BOK FINANCIAL CORP ET AL
Form 10-Q
April 30, 2010

1

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 29, 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
[Missing Graphic Reference]

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2010

OR

¨ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____________ to ______________

Commission File No. 0-19341

BOK FINANCIAL CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)
[Missing Graphic Reference]

Oklahoma	73-1373454
(State or other jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)	(IRS Employer Identification No.)
Bank of Oklahoma Tower P.O. Box 2300 Tulsa, Oklahoma	74192
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)	(Zip Code)

(918) 588-6000
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No ¨

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ¨ No ¨

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of “accelerated filer and large accelerated filer” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer ¨ Non-accelerated filer ¨

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes ¨ No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer’s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 68,042,918 shares of common stock ($.00006 par value) as of March 31, 2010.

BOK Financial Corporation
Form 10-Q
Quarter Ended March 31, 2010

Index

Part I. Financial Information	
Management's Discussion and Analysis (Item 2)	2
Market Risk (Item 3)	45
Controls and Procedures (Item 4)	47
Consolidated Financial Statements – Unaudited (Item 1)	48
Quarterly Financial Summary – Unaudited (Item 2)	78

Part II. Other Information	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	81
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	81
Item 6. Exhibits	81
Signatures	82

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Performance Summary

BOK Financial Corporation ("the Company") reported net income of $60.1 million or $0.88 per diluted share for the first quarter of 2010 compared to $55.0 million or $0.81 per diluted share for the first quarter of 2009 and $42.8 million or $0.63 per diluted share for the fourth quarter of 2009. Net income for the first quarter of 2010 included $6.5 million or $0.10 per diluted share from the purchase of the rights to service $4.2 billion of residential mortgage loans on favorable terms.

Highlights of the first quarter of 2010 included:

- Net interest revenue totaled $182.6 million compared to $169.8 million for the first quarter of 2009 and $184.5 million for the fourth quarter of 2009. Net interest margin was 3.68% for the first quarter of 2010, 3.47% for the first quarter of 2009 and 3.64% for the fourth quarter of 2009. Average earning assets increased $394 million compared to the first quarter of 2009 and decreased $23 million compared to the fourth quarter of 2009.

- Fees and commission revenue totaled $115.3 million for the first quarter of 2010, down $6.2 million from the first quarter of 2009 and down $634 thousand from the previous quarter. Brokerage and trading revenue declined $3.7 million and mortgage banking revenue declined $3.6 million compared to the first quarter of 2009. Deposit service charges decreased $2.7 million and mortgage banking revenue increased $1.5 million over the prior quarter.

- Operating expenses, excluding changes in the fair value of mortgage servicing rights, totaled $177.6 million, up $9.9 million over the first quarter of the prior year and down $4.1 million from the prior quarter. Higher personnel expenses and net losses and operating expenses on repossessed assets partially offset decreases in most other operating expense categories in the first quarter of 2010 compared to both the first and fourth quarter of 2009. Mortgage banking expenses increased $1.8 million over the prior year and decreased $2.2 million compared

to the prior quarter.

3

- Combined reserve for credit losses totaled $314 million or 2.86% of outstanding loans, up from $306 million or 2.72% of outstanding loans at December 31, 2009. Net loans charged off and provision for credit losses were $34.5 million and $42.1 million, respectively, for the first quarter of 2010 compared to $31.9 million and $45.0 million, respectively for the first quarter of 2009 and $35.0 million and $48.6 million for the fourth quarter of 2009.

- Nonperforming assets totaled $483 million or 4.36% of outstanding loans and repossessed assets at March 31, 2010, down from $484 million or 4.24% of outstanding loans and repossessed assets at December 31, 2009. Nonaccruing loans increased $4.2 million and real estate and other repossessed assets decreased $7.1 million during the first quarter.

- Available for sale securities totaled $8.9 billion at March 31, 2010, up $32 million since December 31 due primarily to an increase in the fair value of the portfolio. Other-than-temporary impairment charges on certain privately-issued residential mortgage backed securities reduced pre-tax income by $4.2 million during the first quarter of 2010, $15.0 million during the first quarter of 2009 and $14.5 million during the fourth quarter of 2009.

- Outstanding loan balances were $11.0 billion at March 31, 2010, down $308 million since December 31, 2009 largely due to reduced customer demand and normal repayment trends. Unfunded loan commitments totaled $4.9 billion at March 31, 2010 and $5.0 billion at December 31, 2009.

- Total period-end deposits increased $9.3 million during the first quarter of 2010 to $15.5 billion. Growth in interest-bearing transaction deposits was offset by a decrease in higher-costing time deposits and a seasonal decrease in demand deposits.

- Tangible common equity ratio increased to 8.46% at March 31, 2010 from 7.99% at December 31, 2009 largely due to retained earnings growth. The tangible common equity ratio is a non-GAAP measure of capital strength used by the Company and investors based on shareholders' equity as defined by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America minus intangible assets and equity that does not benefit common shareholders such as preferred equity and equity provided by the U.S. Treasury's Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP") Capital Purchase Program. BOK Financial chose not to participate in the TARP Capital Purchase Program. The Company's Tier 1 capital ratios as defined by banking regulations were 11.45% at March 31, 2010 and 10.86% at December 31, 2009.

- The Company paid a cash dividend of $16.3 million or $0.24 per common share during the first quarter of 2010. On April 27, 2010, the board of directors increased the quarterly cash dividend to $0.25 per common share payable on or about May 28, 2010 to shareholders of record as of May 14, 2010.

Results of Operations

Net Interest Revenue and Net Interest Margin

Net interest revenue totaled $182.6 million for the first quarter of 2010, up $12.7 million or 7% over the first quarter of 2009 and down $1.9 million compared to the fourth quarter of 2009. The increase in net interest revenue over the first quarter of 2009 was due primarily to growth in average earning assets and a 21 basis point improvement in net interest margin. The decrease in net interest revenue from the fourth quarter of 2009 was due to lower average earning assets.

Average earning assets for the first quarter of 2010 increased $394 million or 2% compared to the first quarter of 2009. Average available for sale securities, which consist largely of U.S. government agency issued residential

mortgage-backed securities, increased $2.2 billion. We purchased these securities to supplement earnings, especially in a period of declining loan demand, and to manage interest rate risk. Average loans, net of allowances for loan losses, decreased $1.6 billion compared to the first quarter of 2009.   All major loan categories decreased largely due to reduced customer demand and normal repayment trends. In addition, average balances of trading securities and residential mortgage loans held for sale were lower in the first quarter of 2010.

Growth in average earning assets was funded by a $542 million increase in average deposits and a $104 million increase in average borrowed funds. Average demand deposits for the first quarter of 2010 were up $621 million over the first quarter of 2009. In addition, average interest-bearing transaction accounts increased $1.4 billion over the first quarter of 2009. Average time deposits decreased $1.4 billion compared with the first quarter of 2009 as we continued to decrease brokered deposits and other higher costing time deposits.

Average earning assets for the first quarter of 2010 decreased $23 million compared to the fourth quarter of 2009. Average securities increased $346 million. Growth in average securities was due to purchases of additional U.S. government agency issued residential mortgage-backed securities in the fourth quarter of 2009 as well as increases in the fair value of securities held by the Company in the first quarter of 2010. Average loans, net of allowance for loan losses, decreased $316 million. Commercial, commercial real estate and consumer loan categories decreased in the first quarter of 2010. Residential mortgage loans increased slightly over the fourth quarter of 2009. Average deposits decreased $179 million compared with the fourth quarter of 2009, including a $230 million decrease in average time deposits and a $181 million decrease in average demand deposits offset by a $229 million increase in average interest-bearing transaction accounts. Average borrowed funds increased $270 million from the fourth quarter of 2009.

Net interest margin was 3.68% for the first quarter of 2010, 3.47% for the first quarter of 2009 and 3.64% for the fourth quarter of 2009.

The cost of interest-bearing liabilities was 0.87% for the first quarter of 2010, down 63 basis points from the first quarter of 2009. The cost of interest bearing deposits decreased 82 basis points to 0.94% and the cost of funds purchased and other borrowings decreased 19 basis points to 0.71%. The cost of interest-bearing liabilities for the first quarter of 2010 was also down 7 basis points from the fourth quarter of 2009. The cost of interest-bearing deposits decreased 9 basis points and the cost of funds purchased and other borrowings decreased 1 basis point.

The tax-equivalent yield on earning assets was 4.41% for the first quarter of 2010, down 34 basis points from the first quarter of 2009. Loan yields increased 25 basis points from the first quarter of 2009 to 4.81%. Loan spreads continue to improve. Growth in loan yields was offset by a 118 basis point decrease in securities portfolio yield. Our securities re-price as cash flow received is reinvested at current market rates. The resulting change in yield on the securities portfolio occurs more slowly and may not immediately move in the same direction as changes in market rates. The tax-equivalent yield on earning assets for the first quarter of 2010 was down 1 basis point from the fourth quarter of 2009. Yield on the securities portfolio dropped by 9 basis points while the yield on the loan portfolio increased by 7 basis points. The benefit to net interest margin from earning assets funded by non-interest bearing liabilities was 14 basis points in the first quarter of 2010 compared with 22 basis points in the first quarter of 2009 and 16 basis points in the preceding quarter.

Our overall objective is to manage the Company's balance sheet to be relatively neutral to changes in interest rates as is further described in the Market Risk section of this report. Approximately two-thirds of our commercial and commercial real estate loan portfolios are either variable rate or fixed rate that will re-price within one year. These loans are funded primarily by deposit accounts that are either non-interest bearing, or that re-price more slowly than the loans. The result is a balance sheet that would be asset sensitive, which means that assets generally re-price more quickly than liabilities. Among the strategies that we use to manage toward a relatively rate-neutral position, we purchase fixed-rate, residential mortgage-backed securities and fund them with market rate sensitive liabilities. The liability-sensitive nature of this strategy provides an offset to the asset-sensitive characteristics of our loan portfolio. We also use derivative instruments to manage our interest rate risk. Interest rate swaps with a combined notional amount of $35 million convert fixed rate liabilities to floating rate based on LIBOR. Net interest revenue increased $658 thousand in the first quarter of 2010, $584 thousand in the fourth quarter of 2009 and $4.7 million in the first quarter of 2009 from periodic settlements of these contracts. This increase in net interest revenue contributed

1 basis point to net interest margin in the first quarter of 2010, 1 basis point in the fourth quarter of 2009 and 9 basis points in the first quarter of 2009. Derivative contracts are carried on the balance sheet at fair value. Changes in fair value of these contracts are reported in income as derivatives gains or losses in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

The effectiveness of these strategies is reflected in the overall change in net interest revenue due to changes in interest rates as shown in Table 1 and in the interest rate sensitivity projections as shown in the Market Risk section of this report.

Table 1 – Volume / Rate Analysis
(In thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 / 2009		
		Change Due To (1)	
	Change	Volume	Yield / Rate
Tax-equivalent interest revenue:			
Securities	$(1,693)	$22,264	$(23,957)
Trading securities	(227)	(415)	188
Loans	(11,604)	(19,216)	7,612
Funds sold and resell agreements	(22)	(8)	(14)
Total	(13,546)	2,625	(16,171)
Interest expense:			
Transaction deposits	(5,282)	2,439	(7,721)
Savings deposits	69	10	59
Time deposits	(19,097)	(8,345)	(10,752)
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	(803)	12	(815)
Other borrowings	(1,473)	96	(1,569)
Subordinated debentures	–	2	(2)
Total	(26,586)	(5,786)	(20,800)
Tax-equivalent net interest revenue	13,040	$8,411	$4,629
Change in tax-equivalent adjustment	(311)		
Net interest revenue	$12,729		

(1) Changes attributable to both volume and yield/rate are allocated to both volume and yield/rate on an equal basis.

Other Operating Revenue

Other operating revenue was $113.9 million for the first quarter of 2010 compared to $125.1 million for the first quarter of 2009. Fees and commissions revenue decreased $6.2 million or 5% compared with the first quarter of 2009. Net gains on securities, derivatives and other assets decreased $18.4 million. Other-than-temporary impairment charges recognized in earnings were $10.8 million lower in the first quarter of 2010.

Other operating revenue increased $5.7 million compared to the fourth quarter of 2009. Other-than-temporary impairment charges recognized in earnings were $10.3 million lower compared with the fourth quarter of 2009. Fees and commissions revenue decreased $634 thousand and net gains on securities, derivatives and other assets decreased $3.9 million.

Table 2 – Other Operating Revenue
(In thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Increase	% Increase	Three Months Ended	Increase	% Increase
	2010	2009	(Decrease)	(Decrease)	Dec. 31, 2009	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
Brokerage and trading revenue	$21,035	$24,699	$(3,664)	(15%)	$20,240	$795	4%
Transaction card revenue	25,687	25,428	259	1%	26,292	(605)	(2%)
Trust fees and commissions	16,320	16,510	(190)	(1%)	16,492	(172)	(1%)
Deposit service charges and fees	26,792	27,405	(613)	(2%)	29,501	(2,709)	(9%)
Mortgage banking revenue	14,871	18,498	(3,627)	(20%)	13,403	1,468	11%
Bank-owned life insurance	2,972	2,317	655	28%	2,870	102	4%
Margin asset fees	36	67	(31)	(46%)	50	(14)	(28%)
Other revenue	7,602	6,583	1,019	15%	7,101	501	7%
Total fees and commissions revenue	115,315	121,507	(6,192)	(5%)	115,949	(634)	(1%)
Gain (loss) on other assets	(1,390)	143	(1,533)	N/A	(205)	(1,185)	N/A
Gain (loss) on derivatives, net	(341)	(1,664)	(1,323)	N/A	(370)	29	N/A
Gain on available for sale securities	4,076	22,226	(18,150)	N/A	11,717	(7,641)	N/A
Gain (loss) on mortgage hedge securities	448	(2,118)	2,566	N/A	(4,440)	4,888	N/A
Gain (loss) on securities, net	4,524	20,108	(15,584)	N/A	7,277	(2,753)	N/A
	(9,708)	(54,368)	44,660	N/A	(67,390)	57,682	N/A

Total other-than-temporary impairment								
Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income	(5,483)		(39,366)	33,883	N/A	(52,902)	47,419	N/A
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	(4,225)		(15,002)	10,777	N/A	(14,488)	10,263	N/A
Total other operating revenue	$113,883		$125,092	$(11,209)	(9%)	$108,163	$5,720	5%
Gain (loss) on change in fair value of mortgage servicing rights	$2,100	(1)	$1,955	$145	N/A	$5,285	$(3,185)	N/A

(1) Excludes $11.8 million of initial pretax gain on the purchase of mortgage servicing rights.

Certain percentage increases (decreases) in non-fees and commissions revenue are not meaningful for comparison purposes based on the nature of the item.

Fees and commissions revenue

Diversified sources of fees and commission revenue are a significant part of our business strategy and represented 39% of total revenue for the first quarter of 2010, excluding provision for credit losses and gains and losses on asset sales, securities and derivatives. We believe that a variety of fee revenue sources provide an offset to changes in interest rates, values in the equity markets, commodity prices and consumer spending, all of which can be volatile. We expect continued growth in other operating revenue through offering new products and services and by expanding into markets outside of Oklahoma. However, current and future economic conditions, regulatory constraints, increased competition and saturation in our existing markets could affect the rate of future increases.

Brokerage and trading revenue decreased $3.7 million or 15% compared to the first quarter of 2009. Securities trading revenue totaled $11.0 million for the first quarter of 2010, down $5.9 million or 35% compared to the first quarter of 2009. Higher mortgage lending activity by our mortgage banking customers increased the level of our securities transactions in the first quarter 2009. Customer activity was down in the first quarter of 2010. Customer hedging revenue, totaled $3.3 million for the first quarter of 2010, up $2.2 million from the first quarter of 2009 on higher energy prices and interest rate volatility. Retail brokerage revenue increased $843 thousand over the first quarter of 2009 to $5.7 million and investment banking revenue decreased $899 thousand compared to the first quarter of 2010 to $1.0 million.

Brokerage and trading revenue increased $795 thousand from the fourth quarter of 2009. Increases in retail brokerage fees, derivative fee income and securities trading revenue were partially offset by a decrease in investment banking revenue.

Transaction card revenue depends largely on the volume and amount of transactions processed, the number of ATM locations and the number of merchants served. Transaction card revenue totaled $25.7 million for the first quarter of 2010, up $259 thousand or 1% over the first quarter of 2009. Check card revenue increased $768 thousand or 11%, partially offset by a decline in ATM network revenue of $588 thousand or 5% below the first quarter of 2009. Merchant discounts also increased slightly over the prior year. Transaction card revenue decreased $605 thousand compared with the fourth quarter of 2009, primarily due to lower ATM network revenue and merchant fees offset by higher check card revenue.

Trust fees and commissions decreased to $16.3 million in the first quarter of 2010 from $16.5 million in the first quarter of 2009. The revenue decrease was due to lower balances in our proprietary mutual funds and the timing of tax service fees. We continue to voluntarily waive administration fees on the Cavanal Hill money market funds in order to maintain positive yields on these funds in the current low short-term interest rate environment. Waived fees total $951 thousand for the first quarter of 2010 and $926 thousand for the first quarter of 2009. The fair value of trust assets administered by the Company totaled $30.7 billion compared to $30.4 billion at December 31, 2009 and $28.7 billion at March 31, 2009. Trust fees and commissions also decreased $172 thousand from the fourth quarter of 2009.

Deposit service charges and fees decreased $613 thousand or 2% compared the first quarter of 2009. Commercial account service charge revenue decreased $1.4 million or 15% to $7.7 million. Overdraft fees increased $678 thousand or 4% to $17.1 million compared to the first quarter of 2009. Customers kept greater commercial account balances which increased the earnings credit, a non-cash method for commercial customers to avoid incurring charges for deposit services based on account balances. The increase in overdraft fees was primarily due to an 8% increase in the average per item fee charged which was implemented in the third quarter of 2009. The per item charge increase was partially offset by a 1% decrease in transaction volumes.

Deposit service charges and fees decreased $2.7 million compared with the fourth quarter of 2009. The decrease was primarily due to a $2.5 million seasonal decrease in overdraft fees and a $388 thousand decrease in commercial service charges. Overdraft volumes historically are lower in the first quarter of each year.

Changes in Federal banking regulations which become effective July 1, 2010 are expected to significantly reduce overdraft fee revenue. We currently project overdraft fees to decrease by $15 million to $20 million over the second half of 2010. Management is exploring options to mitigate the anticipated revenue decrease. However, the success of any option is uncertain.

Mortgage banking revenue decreased $3.6 million or 20% compared with the first quarter of 2009 and increased $1.5 million over the fourth quarter of 2009. Revenue from originating and marketing mortgage loans decreased $7.4 million compared to the first quarter of 2009 and $1.5 million compared to the fourth quarter of 2009. Mortgage loans originated for sale in the secondary market totaled $382 million for the first quarter of 2010, $560 million in the fourth quarter of 2009 and $709 million for the first quarter of 2009. Mortgage servicing revenue totaled $8.3 million, up $3.8 million or 82% over the first quarter of 2009 and $2.9 million over the fourth quarter of 2009. The outstanding principal balance of mortgage loans serviced for others totaled $10.9 billion at March 31, 2010, $6.6 billion at December 31, 2009 and $5.5 billion at March 31, 2009. Mortgage servicing revenue for the first quarter of 2010 included $2.0 million from the purchase of the rights to service approximately $4.2 billion of residential mortgage loans on January 22, 2010.

Net gains on securities, derivatives and other assets

Mortgage hedge securities held as an economic hedge of the changes in fair value of mortgage servicing rights are carried at fair value. Changes in fair value of these securities are recognized in earnings as they occur. For the first quarter of 2010 our mortgage hedge securities experienced gains of $448 thousand. The fair value of our mortgage servicing rights experienced a gain of $2.1 million, excluding the impact of the $11.8 million initial gain on the purchase of mortgage servicing rights during the first quarter of 2010.

We recognized $4.1 million of gains on sales of $286 million of available for sale securities in the first quarter of 2010. Securities were sold either because they had reached their expected maximum potential return or to mitigate exposure from rising interest rates.

As more fully discussed in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, we recognized an other-than-temporary impairment loss on certain private-label residential mortgage-backed securities of $4.2 million in earnings during the first quarter of 2010 related to additional declines in projected cash flows as a result of worsening trends in delinquencies and foreclosures.

Net gains or losses on derivatives consist of fair value adjustments of all derivatives used to manage interest rate risk and certain liabilities we have elected to carry at fair value. Derivative instruments generally consist of interest rate swaps where we pay a variable rate based on LIBOR and receive a fixed rate. The fair value of these swaps generally decrease in value resulting in a loss to the Company as interest rate rise and increase in value resulting in a gain to the Company as interest rates fall. Certain certificates of deposit have been designated as reported at fair value. This determination is made when the certificates of deposit are issued based on our intent to swap the interest rate on the certificates from a fixed rate to a LIBOR-based variable rate. As interest rates fall, the fair value of these fixed-rate certificates of deposit generally increases and we recognize a loss. Conversely, as interest rates rise, the fair value of these fixed-rate certificates of deposit generally decreases and we recognize a gain.

Other Operating Expense

Other operating expense for the first quarter of 2010 totaled $163.7 million, down $2.1 million or 1% compared to the first quarter of 2009. Personnel expenses increased $4.2 million or 5% compared with the first quarter of 2009 and non-personnel expenses, excluding the changes in the fair value of mortgage servicing rights, increased $5.7 million or 8% over the first quarter of 2009 primarily due to higher net losses and operating expenses related to repossessed assets and mortgage banking expenses, partially offset by decreases in most other operating expense categories.

Other operating expenses decreased $12.7 million compared to the fourth quarter of 2009. Personnel expenses increased $3.1 million primarily due to seasonal increases in payroll taxes. Non-personnel expenses, excluding the changes in the fair value of mortgage servicing rights, decreased $7.2 million. Most operating expense categories were down from the previous quarter, partially offset by a $2.1 million increase in net losses and operating expenses of repossessed assets over the fourth quarter of 2009.

During the first quarter of 2010, the Company purchased the rights to service more than 34 thousand residential mortgage loans with unpaid principal balances of $4.2 billion. The loans to be serviced are primarily concentrated in the New Mexico market and predominately held by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae. The cash purchase price for these servicing rights was approximately $32 million. The day-one fair value of the servicing rights purchased, based on independent valuation analyses, which were further supported by assumptions and models we regularly use to value our portfolio of servicing rights, was $11.8 million higher than the purchase price. This amount is included in the change in fair value of mortgage servicing rights for the first quarter of 2010. The discounted purchase price can be directly attributed to the distressed financial condition of the seller, which was subsequently

closed by federal banking regulators.

Table 3 – Other Operating Expense
(In thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	2009	Increase (Decrease)	% Increase (Decrease)	Dec. 31, 2009	Increase (Decrease)	% Increase (Decrease)
Regular compensation	$57,760	$54,976	$2,784	5%	$59,122	$(1,362)	(2%)
Incentive compensation:							
Cash-based	18,677	20,586	(1,909)	(9)%	18,734	(57)	%
Stock-based	4,484	1,409	3,075	N/A	2,912	1,572	N/A
Total incentive compensation	23,161	21,995	1,166	5%	21,646	1,515	7%
Employee benefits	15,903	15,656	247	2%	12,919	2,984	23%
Total personnel expense	96,824	92,627	4,197	5%	93,687	3,137	3%
Business promotion	3,978	4,428	(450)	(10%)	5,758	(1,780)	(31%)
Professional fees and services	6,401	6,512	(111)	(2%)	8,813	(2,412)	(27%)
Net occupancy and equipment	15,511	16,258	(747)	(5%)	17,600	(2,089)	(12%)
Insurance	6,533	5,638	895	16%	6,412	121	2%
Data processing & communications	20,309	19,306	1,003	5%	21,121	(812)	(4)%
Printing, postage and supplies	3,322	4,571	(1,249)	(27%)	3,601	(279)	(8%)
Net losses & operating expenses of repossessed assets	7,220	1,806	5,414	N/A	5,101	2,119	N/A
Amortization of intangible assets	1,324	1,686	(362)	(21%)	1,912	(588)	(31%)
Mortgage banking costs	9,267	7,467	1,800	24%	11,436	(2,169)	(19%)
Change in fair value of mortgage servicing rights	(13,932)	(1,955)	(11,977)	N/A	(5,285)	(8,647)	N/A
Other expense	6,975	7,450	(475)	(7%)	6,281	694	11%
Total other operating expense	$163,732	$165,794	$(2,062)	(1%)	$176,437	$(12,705)	(7%)

Number of employees (full-time equivalent)	4,425	4,458	(33)	(1%)	4,355	70	2%

Certain percentage increases (decreases) are not meaningful for comparison purposes.

10

Personnel expense

Regular compensation, which consists of salaries and wages, overtime pay and temporary personnel costs increased $2.8 million or 5% over the first quarter of 2009 primarily due to head count and standard annual merit increases which were effective in the second quarter of 2009. The Company generally awards annual merit increases effective April 1st for a majority of its staff.

Incentive compensation increased $1.2 million or 5% compared to the first quarter of 2009. Cash-based incentive compensation plans are either intended to provide current rewards to employees who generate long-term business opportunities to the Company based on growth in loans, deposits, customer relationships and other measurable metrics or intended to compensate employees with commissions on completed transactions. The $1.9 million decrease in cash-based incentive compensation from the first quarter of 2009 included a $1.1 million decrease in commissions related to brokerage and trading revenue.

The Company also provides stock-based incentive compensation plans. Stock-based compensation plans include both equity and liability awards. Compensation expense related to liability awards increased $2.5 million compared with the first quarter of 2009 due to changes in the market value of BOK Financial common stock and other investments. The market value of BOK Financial common stock increased $4.91 per share in the first quarter of 2010 and decreased $5.90 per share in the first quarter of 2009. Compensation expense for equity awards increased $574 thousand compared with the first quarter of 2009. Expense for equity awards is based on the grant-date fair value of the awards and is unaffected by subsequent changes in fair value.

Employee benefit expense increased $247 thousand or 2% over the first quarter of 2009 primarily due to increased expenses related to medical insurance costs and employee retirement plans, partially offset by decreased employee training expenses and other benefits costs. Medical insurance costs were up $632 thousand or 14%. The Company self-insures a portion of its employee health care coverage and these costs may be volatile.

Personnel expense increased $3.1 million compared with the fourth quarter of 2009 primarily due to increased employee benefit expenses related to a seasonal increase in payroll taxes. Incentive compensation increased $1.5 million, mostly offset by a $1.4 million decrease in regular compensation. Stock-based compensation increased in the first quarter primarily due to changes in the market value of BOK Financial common stock and other investments during the first quarter of 2010.

Non-personnel operating expenses

Non-personnel operating expenses, excluding changes in the fair value of mortgage servicing rights, increased $5.7 million compared to the first quarter of 2009 primarily due to higher net losses and operating expenses related to repossessed assets and mortgage banking costs, partially offset by decreases in most other operating expense categories. Net losses and operating expenses of repossessed assets increased $5.4 million over the prior year and mortgage banking costs increased $1.8 million over the prior. Net losses from sales and write-downs of repossessed property increased $3.8 million compared to the first quarter of 2009. Operating expenses on repossessed assets increased $1.7 million over the prior year.

Non-personnel operating expenses, excluding changes in the fair value of mortgage servicing rights, decreased $7.2 million compared to the fourth quarter of 2009. Reduced operating expenses in most categories were partially offset by higher net losses and operating expense related to repossessed assets. Net losses from sales and write-downs of repossessed property increased $2.6 million during the first quarter of 2010. Operating expenses on repossessed assets were down $439 thousand from the prior quarter.

Income Taxes

Income tax expense was $30.3 million or 33% of book taxable income for the first quarter of 2010 compared with $28.8 million or 34% of book taxable income for the first quarter of 2009 and $24.8 million or 37% of book taxable income for the fourth quarter of 2009.

BOK Financial operates in numerous jurisdictions, which requires judgment regarding the allocation of income, expense and earnings under various laws and regulations of each of these taxing jurisdictions. Each jurisdiction may audit our tax returns and may take different positions with respect to these allocations. The reserve for uncertain tax positions was approximately $12 million at March 31, 2010 and was largely unchanged from December 31, 2009.

Lines of Business

We operate three principal lines of business: commercial banking, consumer banking and wealth management. Commercial banking includes lending, treasury and cash management services and customer risk management products to small businesses, middle market and larger commercial customers. Commercial banking also includes the TransFund network. Consumer banking includes retail lending and deposit services and all mortgage banking activities. Wealth management provides fiduciary services, brokerage and trading, private bank services and investment advisory services in all markets. Wealth management also originates loans for high net worth clients.

In addition to our lines of business, we have a funds management unit. The primary purpose of this unit is to manage our overall liquidity needs and interest rate risk. Each line of business borrows funds from and provides funds to the funds management unit as needed to support their operations. Operating results for funds management and other include the effect of interest rate risk positions and risk management activities, securities gains and losses including impairment charges, the provision for credit losses in excess of net loans charged off, tax planning strategies and certain executive compensation costs that are not attributed to the lines of business.

We allocate resources and evaluate the performance of our lines of business after allocation of funds, certain indirect expenses, taxes based on statutory rates, actual net credit losses and capital costs. The cost of funds borrowed from the funds management unit by the operating lines of business is transfer priced at rates that approximate market for funds with similar duration. Market is generally based on the applicable LIBOR or interest rate swap rates, adjusted for prepayment risk. This method of transfer-pricing funds that support assets of the operating lines of business tends to insulate them from interest rate risk.

The value of funds provided by the operating lines of business to the funds management unit is based on applicable Federal Home Loan Bank advance rates. Deposit accounts with indeterminate maturities, such as demand deposit accounts and interest-bearing transaction accounts, are transfer-priced at a rolling average based on expected duration of the accounts. The expected duration ranges from 30 days for certain rate-sensitive deposits to five years.

Economic capital is assigned to the business units by a capital allocation model that reflects management’s assessment of risk. This model assigns capital based upon credit, operating, interest rate and market risk inherent in our business lines and recognizes the diversification benefits among the units. The level of assigned economic capital is a combination of the risk taken by each business line, based on its actual exposures and calibrated to its own loss history where possible. Average invested capital includes economic capital and amounts we have invested in the lines of business.

As shown in Table 4, net income attributable to our lines of business decreased $812 thousand from compared with the first quarter of 2009. Excluding the day-one gain from the purchase of mortgage servicing rights on favorable terms, net income attributed to our lines of business was down $4.7 million or 9% compared to the first quarter of 2009. The gain on mortgage servicing rights was attributed to the consumer banking line of business in the Oklahoma geographic market. The decrease in net income attributed to our lines of business was due primarily to credit losses attributed to the business units and decreased transfer pricing credit provided to business units in the first quarter of

2010 compared to the first quarter of 2009. Lower interest rates decrease the transfer pricing credit provided to business units that generate lower-costing funds for the Company. In addition, net interest revenue in the business units was reduced by a decrease in average loan balances. The increase in net income attributed to funds management and other was primarily due to growth in the securities portfolio, lower other-than-temporary impairment charges against earnings and a decrease in loan loss provision.

Table 4 – Net Income by Line of Business
(In thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2010	2009
Commercial banking	$11,436	$16,612
Consumer banking	16,155	9,397
Wealth management	3,118	5,512
Subtotal	30,709	31,521
Funds management and other	29,424	23,511
Total	$60,133	$55,032

Commercial Banking

Commercial banking contributed $11.4 million to consolidated net income in the first quarter of 2010, down from $16.6 million in the first quarter of 2009. The decrease in commercial banking net income was primarily due to a $4.0 million increase in net loans charged off and a $3.8 million increase in losses on repossessed assets. Operating revenues decreased $3.6 million compared to the prior year, offset by a $3.6 million decrease in operating expenses.

Table 5 – Commercial Banking
(Dollars in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Increase
	2010	2009	(Decrease)
NIR (expense) from external sources	$84,795	$85,599	$(804)
NIR (expense) from internal sources	(12,461)	(12,699)	238
Total net interest revenue	72,334	72,900	(566)
Other operating revenue	29,819	33,424	(3,605)
Operating expense	50,034	53,593	(3,559)
Net loans charged off	28,379	24,359	4,020
Gain on financial instruments, net	-	-	-
Gain (loss) on repossessed assets, net	(5,023)	(1,184)	(3,839)
Income before taxes	18,717	27,188	(8,471)
Federal and state income tax	7,281	10,576	(3,295)
Net income	$11,436	$16,612	$(5,176)
Average assets	$9,183,180	$10,753,951	$(1,570,771)
Average loans	8,374,205	9,801,898	(1,427,693)
Average deposits	5,689,176	4,749,073	940,103
Average invested capital	997,066	1,052,766	(55,700)
Return on average assets	0.51%	0.63%	(12) b.p.

Return on invested capital	4.65	%	6.40	%	(175) b.p.
Efficiency ratio	48.98	%	50.41	%	(143) b.p.
Net charge-offs (annualized) to average loans	1.37	%	1.01	%	36 b.p.

Net interest revenue decreased $566 thousand or 1% compared to the first quarter of 2009. Average loan balances attributed to commercial banking decreased $1.4 billion due to reduced customer demand and normal repayment trends, which decreased net interest revenue by $8.0 million. This was offset by an $8.9 million improvement in loan spreads on loans attributable to commercial banking. The decreased internal transfer pricing credit provided to the commercial banking unit on $5.7 billion of average deposits sold to the funds management unit reduced net interest revenue by approximately $1.9 million as deposit spreads compressed due to lower interest rates in the first quarter of 2010 compared to the first quarter of 2009.

Other operating revenue declined $3.6 million compared to first quarter of 2009. Service charges on commercial deposit accounts were down $1.4 million compared to the first quarter of 2009 as customers kept greater commercial deposit balances to offset the decrease in the earnings credit, which provides a non-cash method for commercial customers to avoid incurring charges for deposit services based on account balance. During the first quarter of 2010, the Company recognized a $1.6 million loss on the sale of an alternative investment.

Operating expenses were down $3.6 million or 7% compared to the first quarter of 2009. Costs allocated to the commercial banking segment were down $5.8 million primarily on reduced lending activities. This was partially offset by a $1.5 million increase in repossession expenses and $807 thousand of increased data processing costs. Average repossessed asset balances increased $87 million over the first quarter of 2009.

The average outstanding balance of loans attributed to commercial banking was $8.4 billion for the first quarter of 2010, down $1.4 billion or 15% compared to the first quarter of 2009. See Loan section following for additional discussion of changes in commercial and commercial real estate loans which are primarily attributed to the commercial banking segment. Net commercial banking loans charged off increased $4.0 million over the first quarter of 2009 to $28 million or 1.37% of average loans attributed to this line of business on an annualized basis. The increase in net loans charged off was primarily due to increased losses on commercial real estate loans.

Average deposits attributed to commercial banking were $5.7 billion for the first quarter of 2010, up $940 million or 20% over the first quarter of 2009. Average deposit balances attributed to our commercial & industrial customers increased $477 million or 50% and average balances attributed to our energy customers increased $232 million or 57%. Average treasury services deposit balances increased $103 million or 8%. Average deposits attributable to our commercial real estate customers increased $54 million or 25% and average deposit balances attributable to our small business customers increased $36 million or 2% over the first quarter of 2009.

Consumer Banking

Consumer banking services are provided through four primary distribution channels: traditional branches, supermarket branches, the 24-hour ExpressBank call center and online internet banking.

Consumer banking contributed $16.2 million to consolidated net income for the first quarter of 2010, up $6.8 million compared to the first quarter of 2009 largely due to the $6.5 million day-one gain from the purchase of rights to service $4.2 billion of residential mortgage loans on favorable terms.

Table 6 – Consumer Banking
(Dollars in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Increase
	2010	2009	(Decrease)
NIR (expense) from external sources	$19,589	$12,322	$7,267
NIR (expense) from internal sources	11,860	24,962	(13,102)
Total net interest revenue	31,449	37,284	(5,835)
Other operating revenue	43,243	45,286	(2,043)
Operating expense	58,663	61,629	(2,966)
Net loans charged off	3,333	5,584	(2,251)
Increase in fair value of mortgage service rights	13,932	1,955	11,977
Gain (loss) on financial instruments, net	(211)	(2,118)	1,907
Gain on repossessed assets, net	24	186	(162)
Income before taxes	26,441	15,380	11,061
Federal and state income tax	10,286	5,983	4,303
Net income	$16,155	$9,397	$6,758
Average assets	$6,159,497	$6,042,031	$117,466
Average loans	2,145,468	2,636,415	(490,947)
Average deposits	6,064,778	5,945,973	118,805
Average invested capital	224,935	242,102	(17,167)
Return on average assets	1.06 %	0.63 %	43 b.p.
Return on invested capital	29.13 %	15.74 %	1,339 b.p.
Efficiency ratio	78.54 %	74.64 %	390 b.p.
Net charge-offs (annualized) to average loans	0.63 %	0.86 %	(23) b.p.
Mortgage loans funded for resale	$382,028	$708,561	$(326,533)

	March 31, 2010	March 31, 2009	Increase (Decrease)
Branch locations	198	197	1
Mortgage loan serviced for others	$10,895,182	$5,515,893	$5,379,289

Net interest revenue from consumer banking activities decreased $5.8 million or 16% from the first quarter of 2009. Average earning assets were flat compared to the first quarter of 2009, decreasing only $23 million. Net interest revenue decreased $3.3 million related to lower internal transfer pricing credit provided to the consumer banking segment for deposits sold to our funds management unit and $1.9 million due to a $491 million decrease in average loan balances attributed to the consumer banking division.

Other operating revenue decreased $2.0 million or 5% compared to the first quarter of 2009 primarily due a decrease in mortgage banking revenue of $3.6 million. Mortgage lending volumes have declined from their historic highs in the first quarter of 2009. Transaction card revenue increased $808 thousand or 5% over the first quarter of 2009 and

deposit service charges were up $701 thousand or 9% over the first quarter of 2009.

Operating expenses decreased $3.0 million or 5% compared to the first quarter of 2009, primarily due to a $4.5 million decrease in corporate expenses allocated to the consumer banking division, partially offset by a $1.6 million increase in mortgage banking expenses due to the acquisition of mortgage servicing rights during the first quarter of 2010.

Net loans charged off by the consumer banking unit totaled $3.3 million in the first quarter of 2010 down from $5.3 million in the first quarter of 2009. Net consumer banking charge-offs include residential mortgage loans, indirect automobile loans, overdrawn deposit accounts and other direct consumer loans.

Average consumer deposits increased $119 million or 2% over the first quarter of 2009. Average interest-bearing transaction accounts were up $425 million or 19% and average demand deposit accounts increased $14 million or 2% over the first quarter of 2009. Average time deposits decreased $331 million or 12% compared to the first quarter of 2009. Movement of funds among the various types of consumer deposits was largely based on interest rates and product features offered.

Our Consumer Banking division originates, markets and services conventional and government-sponsored mortgage loans for all of our geographical markets. During the first quarter of 2010, $382 million of mortgage loans were funded compared with $709 million funded in the first quarter of 2009. Approximately 48% of our mortgage loans funded were in the Oklahoma market, 15% in the Texas market and 14% in the Colorado market. In addition to the $10.9 billion of mortgage loans serviced for others, the Consumer banking division also services $783 million of loans for affiliated entities. Approximately 96% of the mortgage loans serviced were to borrowers in our primary geographical market areas. Mortgage servicing revenue increased to $8.3 million in the first quarter of 2010 from $4.6 million in the first quarter of 2009, primarily due to mortgage servicing rights purchased in the first quarter of 2010.

Excluding the $11.8 million pre-tax day-one gain on the purchase of mortgage servicing rights during the first quarter, changes in fair value of our mortgage loan servicing rights, net of economic hedge, increased consumer banking net income by $1.2 million in the first quarter of 2010 compared with a decrease in net income of $100 thousand in the first quarter of 2009. Changes in the fair value of mortgage servicing rights and securities held as an economic hedge are due to movements in interest rates, actual and anticipated loan prepayment speeds and related factors.

Wealth Management

Wealth Management contributed consolidated net income of $3.1 million in the first quarter of 2010 compared to $5.5 million in the first quarter of 2009. The decrease in net income was primarily due a market driven decline in brokerage and trading revenue.

Table 7 – Wealth Management
(Dollars in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Increase
	2010	2009	(Decrease)
NIR (expense) from external sources	$8,600	$3,856	$4,744
NIR (expense) from internal sources	3,021	7,602	(4,581)
Total net interest revenue	11,620	11,458	162
Other operating revenue	37,320	41,273	(3,953)
Operating expense	41,072	41,781	(709)
Net loans charged off	2,765	1,929	836
Loss on financial instruments, net	-	-	-
Income before taxes	5,103	9,021	(3,918)
Federal and state income tax	1,985	3,509	(1,524)
Net income	$3,118	$5,512	$(2,394)
Average assets	$3,288,173	$3,006,169	$282,004
Average loans	1,085,092	1,027,529	57,563
Average deposits	3,209,866	2,929,117	280,749
Average invested capital	202,330	192,700	9,630
Return on assets	0.38 %	0.74 %	(36) b.p.
Return on invested capital	6.25 %	11.60 %	(535) b.p.
Efficiency ratio	83.92 %	79.23 %	469 b.p.
Net charge-offs (annualized) to average loans	1.03 %	0.76 %	27 b.p.

	March 31, 2010	March 31, 2009	Increase (Decrease)
Trust assets	$30,739,254	$28,700,791	$2,038,463

Net interest revenue for the first quarter of 2010 was up $162 thousand or 1% compared to the first quarter of 2009. Net interest revenue decreased $1.5 million due to lower internal transfer pricing credit provided to the wealth management segment for deposits sold to our funds management unit, partially offset by an $840 thousand increase in net interest revenue due to a $281 million increase in average deposits. In addition, net interest revenue increased due to increases in average loans attributable to the wealth management division and improved loan spreads and fees.

Other operating revenue decreased $4.0 million compared to the first quarter of 2009 primarily due to decreased trading and brokerage fees.

Operating expenses decreased $709 thousand compared to the first quarter of 2009 primarily due to a $1.1 million decrease in commissions related to brokerage and trading revenue. All other operating expenses were flat compared to the first quarter of 2009.

Growth in average assets was largely due to funds sold to the funds management unit. Funds provided by wealth management deposits, which are largely sold to the funds management unit, increased primarily due to an increase in interest bearing transaction accounts and demand deposits, offset by a decrease in higher costing time deposits. The

continued growth in wealth management deposits reflect continued movement of customer funds from managed money market products that were not on the Company's balance sheet to deposits as well as high net worth customer relationship growth. Average deposits provided by the wealth management division in the first quarter of 2010 increased $281 million compared with the first quarter of 2009. Interest-bearing transaction accounts averaged $2.2 billion for the first quarter of 2010, an increase of $385 million or 21% over the first quarter of 2009. Average time deposits were $704 million, down $153 million or 18% compared to last year.

At March 31, 2010 and 2009, the Wealth Management line of business was responsible for trust assets with aggregate market values of $30.7 billion and $28.7 billion, respectively, under various fiduciary arrangements. We have sole or joint discretionary authority over $10.7 billion of trust assets at March 31, 2010 compared to $11.0 billion of trust assets at March 31, 2009. The fair value of non-managed assets totaled $12.7 billion at March 31, 2010 and $10.2 billion at March 31, 2009. The fair value of assets held in safekeeping totaled $7.3 billion at March 31, 2010 and $7.6 billion at March 31, 2009.

Geographical Market Distribution

The Company also secondarily evaluates performance by primary geographical market. Loans are generally attributed to geographical markets based on the location of the customer and may not reflect the location of the underlying collateral. Brokered deposits and other wholesale funds are not attributed to a geographical market. Funds management and other also includes insignificant results of operations in locations outside our primary geographic regions.

Table 8 – Net Income by Geographic Region
(In thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2010	2009
Oklahoma	$31,357	$24,868
Texas	5,484	6,888
New Mexico	277	2,606
Arkansas	318	3,705
Colorado	1,103	(1,884)
Arizona	(8,277)	(6,455)
Kansas / Missouri	715	1,736
Subtotal	30,977	31,464
Funds management and other	29,156	23,568
Total	$60,133	$55,032

Oklahoma Market

Oklahoma is a significant market to the Company. Our Oklahoma offices are located primarily in the Tulsa and Oklahoma City metropolitan areas. Approximately 50% of our average loans, 54% of our average deposits and 52% of our consolidated net income is attributed to the Oklahoma market. In addition, all of our mortgage servicing activity and 76% of our trust assets are attributed to the Oklahoma market.

Table 9 – Oklahoma
(Dollars in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	Three Months Ended March 31, 2009	Increase (Decrease)
Net interest revenue	$58,777	$61,746	$(2,969)
Other operating revenue	70,753	75,994	(5,241)
Operating expense	81,917	90,740	(8,823)
Net loans charged off	10,583	6,323	4,260
Increase (decrease) in fair value of mortgage service rights	13,932	1,955	11,977
Gain (loss) on financial instruments, net	(211)	(2,118)	1,907
Gain on repossessed assets, net	570	186	384
Income before taxes	51,321	40,700	10,621
Federal and state income tax	19,964	15,832	4,132
Net income	$31,357	$24,868	$6,489
Average assets	$9,252,626	$8,785,895	$466,731
Average loans	5,546,203	6,479,048	(932,845)
Average deposits	8,323,646	7,565,817	757,829
Average invested capital	706,225	769,642	(63,417)
Return on average assets	1.37 %	1.15 %	22 b.p.
Return on invested capital	18.01 %	13.10 %	491 b.p.
Efficiency ratio	63.24 %	65.88 %	(264) b.p.
Net charge-offs (annualized) to average loans	0.77 %	0.40 %	37 b.p

Net income generated in the Oklahoma market in the first quarter of 2010 increased $6.5 million or 26% over the first quarter of 2009. Excluding the $11.8 million pre-tax day-one gain on the purchase of mortgage servicing rights during the first quarter, net income generated in the Oklahoma market would have been flat with the first quarter of 2009.

Net interest revenue decreased $3.0 million or 5% compared to the first quarter of 2009 due to a $933 million decrease in average loans, offset by improving interest spreads on loans. The benefit to net interest revenue from average deposit growth of $758 million over the first quarter of 2009 was offset by lower internal funds transfer credit provided for deposits sold to the funds management unit.

Other operating revenue decreased $5.2 million or 7% compared to the first quarter of 2009. Brokerage and trading revenue decreased $3.0 million from the first quarter of 2009. Transaction card revenue decreased $1.9 million

partially offset by a $1.8 million increase in deposit service charges and fees.

Net loans charged off totaled $10.6 million or 0.77% of average loans on an annualized basis for first quarter of 2010 compared with $6.3 million or 0.40% of average loans on an annualized basis for the first quarter of 2009.

Average deposits in the Oklahoma market for the first quarter of 2010 increased $758 million over the first quarter of 2009. The increase came primarily from the commercial and wealth management units, including trust, broker/dealer and private banking. The increase was partially offset by a decrease in deposits attributable to consumer banking.

Texas Market

Texas is our second largest market. Our Texas offices are located primarily in the Dallas, Fort Worth and Houston metropolitan areas. Approximately 30% of our average loans, 24% of our average deposits and 9% of our consolidated net income is attributed to the Texas market.

Table 10 – Texas
(Dollars in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Increase
	2010	2009	(Decrease)
Net interest revenue	$32,802	$34,822	$(2,020)
Other operating revenue	14,585	13,328	1,257
Operating expense	31,970	31,951	19
Net loans charged off	6,424	5,444	980
Gain (loss) on repossessed assets, net	(425)	7	(432)
Income before taxes	8,568	10,762	(2,194)
Federal and state income tax	3,084	3,874	(790)
Net income	$5,484	$6,888	$(1,404)
Average assets	$4,328,279	$4,130,024	$198,255
Average loans	3,334,355	3,841,867	(507,512)
Average deposits	3,747,669	3,392,970	354,699
Average invested capital	534,353	535,051	(698)
Return on average assets	0.51%	0.68%	(17) b.p.
Return on invested capital	4.16%	5.22%	(106) b.p.
Efficiency ratio	67.47%	66.36%	111 b.p.
Net charge-offs (annualized) to average loans	0.78%	0.57%	21 b.p.

Net income in the Texas market decreased $1.4 million compared to the first quarter of 2009 primarily due to decreased net interest revenue and increased net loans charged off.

Net interest revenue decreased $2.0 million or 6% compared to the first quarter of 2009. Average outstanding loans decreased $508 million or 13% compared to the first quarter of 2009. Average deposits increased $355 million or 10%. The benefit of an increase in average deposits was offset by the average decrease in loans and reduced the benefit from funds sold to the funds management unit.

Other operating revenue increased $1.3 million or 9% over the first quarter of 2009 primarily due to an increase in trading and brokerage fees, transaction card revenue and trust fees, partially offset by a decrease in mortgage banking revenue. Operating expenses were flat with the first quarter of 2009. Higher personnel costs were offset with a decrease in corporate expenses allocated to the Texas market.

Net loans charged off totaled $6.4 million or 0.78% of average loans for the first quarter of 2010 on an annualized basis and $5.4 million or 0.57% of average loans for the first quarter of 2009 on an annualized basis.

Other Markets

For the first quarter of 2010, net income attributable to our New Mexico market decreased $2.3 million compared to the first quarter of 2009 to $277 thousand or less than 1% of consolidated net income. The decrease in net income attributed to New Mexico resulted primarily from a $2.3 million increase in net loan charged off. Although we attribute all mortgage servicing to the Oklahoma market, the purchase of the rights to service $4.2 billion of residential mortgage loans in the first quarter of 2010 give us the ability to further develop relationships with approximately 34 thousand additional customers, primarily located in the New Mexico market.

For the first quarter of 2010, net income in the Arkansas market decreased to $3.4 million from $3.7 million in the first quarter of 2009 primarily due to a decrease in brokerage and trading revenue and an increase in net loans charged off. Average deposits in our Arkansas market were up $40 million or 29% over the first quarter of 2009 due primarily to commercial banking deposits. Wealth management and consumer deposits also increased over the first quarter of 2009.

For the first quarter of 2010, net income in the Colorado market increased $3.0 million from a net loss of in the first quarter of 2009. Net loans charged off decreased $5.4 million from the first quarter of 2009 to $2.6 million or 1.32% of average loan on an annualized basis. Approximately $6.7 million of loans charged off in the first quarter of 2009 were to two borrowers, one in the communications media industry and one in financial services. Average outstanding loan balances were down $161 million or 16% due primarily to commercial loans.

We incurred a net loss of $8.3 million in the Arizona market in the first quarter of 2010 compared with a net loss of $6.5 million in the first quarter of 2009. The loss was largely due to a $3.0 million increase in losses on repossessed assets, primarily composed of commercial real estate. Net loans charged off were flat with the prior year at $10.1 million or 7.98% of average loans on an annualized basis compared with $10.1 million or 6.96% of average loans on an annualized basis in the first quarter of 2009. Average deposits increased $53 million over the first quarter of 2009 and average loans decreased $74 million compared to the prior year.

Consistent with plans when we first acquired Valley Commerce Bank in Phoenix in 2005, our objective is to focus on growth in commercial and small business lending in the Arizona market. We expanded our commercial lending staff in this market and opened three new banking locations during 2009. We have significantly scaled back commercial real estate lending activities which were not contemplated in our initial expansion into this market and exited the Tucson market in the first quarter of 2009 which we first entered in 2006. Losses incurred during the first quarter of 2010 and all of 2009 are largely due to commercial real estate lending. Commercial loans attributed to the Arizona market increased $9.9 million from December 31, 2009 and commercial real estate loans were down $26 million from December 31, 2009. Assets attributable to the Arizona market included $16 million of goodwill that may be impaired in future periods if these commercial and small business lending growth plans are unsuccessful.

Net income attributed to the Kansas/Missouri market decreased $1.0 million compared to the first quarter of 2009. Operating revenue decreased $1.8 million primarily due to a decrease in mortgage banking revenue on lower mortgage origination volume, partially offset by an $830 thousand increase in other operating expenses. Total average deposits increased $56 million over the first quarter of 2009 and average loans decreased $23 million compared to the prior year.

Table 11 – New Mexico
(Dollars in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Increase
	2010	2009	(Decrease)
Net interest revenue	$7,743	$8,461	$(718)
Other operating revenue	5,823	6,370	(547)
Operating expense	8,255	9,136	(881)
Net loans charged off	2,777	505	2,272
Loss on repossessed assets, net	(2,081)	(925)	(1,156)
Income before taxes	453	4,265	(3,812)
Federal and state income tax	176	1,659	(1,483)
Net income	$277	$2,606	$(2,329)
Average assets	$1,273,185	$1,215,379	$57,806
Average loans	741,006	827,389	(86,383)
Average deposits	1,198,249	1,114,123	84,126
Average invested capital	90,087	102,685	(12,598)
Return on average assets	0.09 %	0.87 %	(78) b.p.
Return on invested capital	1.25 %	10.29 %	(904) b.p.
Efficiency ratio	60.85 %	61.60 %	(75) b.p.
Net charge-offs (annualized) to average loans	1.52 %	0.25 %	127 b.p.

Table 12 – Arkansas
(Dollars in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Increase
	2010	2009	(Decrease)
Net interest revenue	$2,916	$2,949	$(33)
Other operating revenue	8,613	11,040	(2,427)
Operating expense	8,907	6,938	1,969
Net loans charged off	1,999	986	1,013
Loss on repossessed assets, net	(102)	(1)	(101)
Income before taxes	521	6,064	(5,543)
Federal and state income tax	203	2,359	(2,156)
Net income	$318	$3,705	$(3,387)
Average assets	$383,514	$445,893	$(62,379)

Average loans	365,272		435,327		(70,055)
Average deposits	180,185		139,981		40,204
Average invested capital	31,292		34,656		(3,364)
Return on average assets	0.34	%	3.37	%	(303) b.p.
Return on invested capital	4.12	%	43.36	%	(3,924) b.p.
Efficiency ratio	77.26	%	49.60	%	2,766 b.p.
Net charge-offs (annualized) to average loans	2.22	%	0.92	%	130 b.p.

Table 13 – Colorado
(Dollars in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	Three Months Ended March 31, 2009	Increase (Decrease)
Net interest revenue	$8,454	$9,060	$(606)
Other operating revenue	5,193	5,169	24
Operating expense	9,196	9,036	160
Net loans charged off	2,646	8,001	(5,355)
Loss on repossessed assets, net	-	(276)	276
Income (loss) before taxes	1,805	(3,084)	4,889
Federal and state income tax	702	(1,200)	1,902
Net income (loss)	$1,103	$(1,884)	$2,987
Average assets	$1,206,197	$1,212,060	$(5,863)
Average loans	815,908	976,789	(160,881)
Average deposits	1,136,007	1,141,625	(5,618)
Average invested capital	140,701	142,093	(1,392)
Return on average assets	0.37%	(0.63)%	100 b.p.
Return on invested capital	3.18%	(5.38)%	856 b.p.
Efficiency ratio	67.38%	63.50%	388 b.p.
Net charge-offs (annualized) to average loans	1.32%	3.32%	(200) b.p.

Table 14 – Arizona
(Dollars in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	Three Months Ended March 31, 2009	Increase (Decrease)
Net interest revenue	$2,619	$2,845	$(226)
Other operating revenue	1,156	1,044	112
Operating expense	4,258	4,385	(127)
Net loans charged off	10,102	10,080	22
Gains (losses) on repossessed assets, net	(2,961)	11	(2,972)
Loss before taxes	(13,546)	(10,565)	(2,981)
Federal and state income tax	(5,269)	(4,110)	(1,159)
Net loss	$(8,277)	$(6,455)	$(1,822)
Average assets	$593,351	$617,258	$(23,907)
Average loans	513,397	587,463	(74,066)
Average deposits	199,348	146,477	52,871

Average invested capital	75,363	87,286	(11,923)
Return on average assets	(5.66)%	(4.24)%	(142) b.p.
Return on invested capital	(44.54)%	(29.99)%	(1,455) b.p.
Efficiency ratio	112.79 %	112.75 %	4 b.p.
Net charge-offs (annualized) to average loans	7.98 %	6.96 %	102 b.p.

Table 15 – Kansas / Missouri
(Dollars in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Increase
	2010	2009	(Decrease)
Net interest revenue	$2,092	$1,715	$377
Other operating revenue	3,996	5,800	(1,804)
Operating expense	4,971	4,141	830
Net loans charged off (recovered)	(54)	532	(586)
Income before taxes	1,171	2,842	(1,671)
Federal and state income tax	456	1,106	(650)
Net income	$715	$1,736	$(1,021)
Average assets	$298,030	$314,382	$(16,352)
Average loans	288,624	311,962	(23,338)
Average deposits	178,714	123,164	55,550
Average invested capital	22,966	24,565	(1,599)
Return on average assets	0.97 %	2.24 %	(127) b.p.
Return on invested capital	12.63 %	28.66 %	(1,603) b.p.
Efficiency ratio	81.65 %	55.10 %	2,655 b.p.
Net charge-offs (annualized) to average loans	(0.08)%	0.69 %	(77) b.p.

24

Financial Condition

Securities

We maintain a securities portfolio to enhance profitability, support interest rate risk management strategies, provide liquidity and comply with regulatory requirements. Securities are classified as held for investment, available for sale or trading. See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements for the composition of the securities portfolio as of March 31, 2010.

Investment (held-to-maturity) securities, which consist primarily of Oklahoma municipal bonds, are carried at cost and adjusted for amortization of premiums or accretion of discounts. At March 31, 2010, investment securities were carried at $310 million and had a fair value of $315 million.

The Company added $70 million to its investment securities portfolio during the first quarter of 2010 comprised primarily of qualifying school construction bonds. The bonds were issued with the Company's assistance by several school districts in our Texas market under a program authorized by the U.S. Treasury Department. Interest on these bonds is payable through federal income tax credits.

Available for sale securities, which may be sold prior to maturity, are carried at fair value. Unrealized gains or losses, less deferred taxes, are recorded as accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity. The amortized cost of available for sale securities totaled $8.8 billion at March 31, 2010, down $62 million from December 31, 2009. At March 31, 2010, residential mortgage-backed securities represented 97% of total available for sale securities. We hold no securities backed by sub-prime mortgage loans, collateralized debt obligations or collateralized commercial real estate loans.

A primary risk of holding mortgage-backed securities comes from extension during periods of rising interest rates or prepayment during periods of falling interest rates which we mitigate by investing in short-duration securities that would have limited extension exposure from rising interest rates. We evaluate this risk through extensive modeling of risk both before making an investment and throughout the life of the security. The expected duration of the residential mortgage-backed securities portfolio was approximately 2.0 years at March 31, 2010. Management estimates that the expected duration would extend to approximately 3.5 years assuming an immediate 300 basis point upward rate shock. The effect of falling interest rates from current low levels is not expected to be significant.

Residential mortgage-backed securities also have credit risk from delinquency or default of the underlying loans. We mitigate this risk by primarily investing in securities issued by U.S. government agencies. Principal and interest payments on the underlying loans are fully guaranteed. At March 31, 2010, approximately $7.6 billion of the amortized costs of the Company's residential mortgage-backed securities were issued by U.S. government agencies. The fair value of these mortgage-backed securities totaled $7.9 billion at March 31, 2010.

We also hold amortized cost of $910 million in residential mortgage-backed securities privately issued by publicly-owned financial institutions. The fair value of our portfolio of privately issued residential mortgage-backed securities totaled $766 million at March 31, 2010. Approximately $593 million of these privately issued residential mortgage-backed securities were rated below investment grade by at least one of the nationally-recognized rating agencies. The unrealized loss on the below investment grade mortgage-backed securities totaled $120 million at March 31, 2010. The amortized cost of our privately issued residential mortgage-backed securities decreased $52 million from December 31, 2009 primarily due to cash received. The unrealized loss on these securities decreased $25 million in the first quarter of 2010.

Our portfolio of privately issued residential mortgage-backed securities consists primarily of amortized cost of $248 million of Jumbo-A residential mortgage loans and $662 million of Alt-A residential mortgage loans. Jumbo-A residential mortgage loans generally meet government underwriting standards, but have loan balances that exceed agency maximums. Alt-A mortgage loans generally do not have sufficient documentation to meet government agency underwriting standards. Credit risk on residential mortgage-backed securities originated by these issuers is mitigated by investment in senior tranches with additional collateral support. Approximately 89% of our Alt-A residential mortgage-backed securities are credit enhanced with additional collateral support and 100% of our Alt-A residential mortgage-backed securities originated in 2007 and 2006 have additional collateral support. Approximately 83% of our Alt-A mortgage-backed securities represents pools of fixed-rate mortgage loans. None of the adjustable rate mortgages are payment option adjustable rate mortgages ("ARMs"). Approximately 27% of our Jumbo-A residential mortgage backed securities represent pools of fixed rate residential mortgage loans. None of the adjustable rate mortgages are payment option ARMs.

The aggregate gross amount of unrealized losses on available for sale securities totaled $155 million at March 31, 2010. On a quarterly basis, we perform separate evaluations on debt and equity securities to determine if the unrealized losses are temporary as more fully described in Note 2 of the consolidated financial statements. Other-than-temporary impairment charges of $4.2 million were recognized in earnings in the first quarter of 2010 on certain privately issued residential mortgage backed securities we do not intend to sell.

Certain government agency issued residential mortgage-backed securities, identified as mortgage trading securities, have been designated as economic hedges of mortgage servicing rights. These securities are carried at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in current period income. These securities are held with the intent that gains or losses will offset changes in the fair value of mortgage servicing rights.

We also maintain a separate trading portfolio with the intent to sell at a profit for the Company that is also carried at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in current period income.

Bank-Owned Life Insurance

We have approximately $249 million of bank-owned life insurance at March 31, 2010. This investment is expected to provide a long-term source of earnings to support existing employee benefit programs. Approximately $216 million is held in separate accounts. Our separate account holdings are invested in diversified portfolios of investment-grade fixed income securities and cash equivalents, including U.S. Treasury and Agency securities, residential mortgage-backed securities, corporate debt, asset-backed and commercial mortgage-backed securities. The portfolios are managed by unaffiliated professional managers within parameters established in the portfolio's investment guidelines. The cash surrender value of certain life insurance policies is further supported by a stable value wrap, which protects against changes in the fair value of the investments. At March 31, 2010, the cash surrender value represented by the underlying fair value of investments held in separate accounts was approximately $226 million. As the underlying fair value of the investments held in a separate account at March 31, 2010 exceeded the net book value of the investments, no cash surrender value was supported by the stable value wrap. The stable value wrap is provided by a highly-rated, domestic financial institution. The remaining cash surrender value of $33 million primarily represented the cash surrender value of policies held in general accounts and other amounts due from various insurance companies.

Loans

The aggregate loan portfolio before allowance for loan losses totaled $11.0 billion at March 31, 2010, a $308 million decrease since December 31, 2009.

Table 16 - Loans
(In thousands)

	March 31, 2010	Dec. 31, 2009	Sept. 30, 2009	June 30, 2009	March 31, 2009
Commercial:					
Energy	$1,892,306	$1,911,994	$2,093,802	$2,203,558	$2,329,237
Services	1,741,924	1,807,824	1,768,454	1,884,097	1,962,297
Wholesale/retail	873,170	921,830	940,258	1,027,532	1,133,275
Manufacturing	395,964	404,061	442,729	496,496	514,748
Healthcare	777,668	792,538	745,777	765,285	747,299
Agriculture	155,410	160,549	156,997	157,759	193,863
Other commercial and industrial	178,297	209,044	222,039	181,124	220,811
Total commercial	6,014,739	6,207,840	6,370,056	6,715,851	7,101,530
Commercial real estate:					
Construction and land development	605,667	645,295	735,196	818,837	879,368
Retail	408,936	423,260	409,775	413,789	424,565
Office	463,995	463,316	488,564	490,044	486,065
Multifamily	377,673	360,436	339,847	306,175	344,227
Industrial	181,117	146,707	127,845	129,239	150,488
Other real estate loans	406,460	452,420	459,108	453,609	447,368
Total commercial real estate	2,443,848	2,491,434	2,560,335	2,611,693	2,732,081
Residential mortgage:					
Permanent mortgage	1,303,589	1,303,340	1,348,183	1,362,505	1,339,957
Home equity	494,122	490,282	481,641	471,470	479,993
Total residential mortgage	1,797,711	1,793,622	1,829,824	1,833,975	1,819,950
Consumer:					
Indirect automobile	396,280	454,508	516,062	582,380	650,370
Other consumer	318,646	332,294	335,287	326,029	335,985
Total consumer	714,926	786,802	851,349	908,409	986,355
Total	$10,971,224	$11,279,698	$11,611,564	$12,069,928	$12,639,916

The decline in outstanding loan balances was broadly distributed among the various segments of the portfolio and across geographic markets. Generally, the decline in outstanding loans balances was due to reduced customer demand in response to current economic conditions, normal repayment trends and management decisions to mitigate credit risk by exiting certain loan types. A breakdown by geographical market follows on Table 17.

Table 17 – Loans by Principal Market
(In thousands)

	March 31, 2010	Dec. 31, 2009	Sept. 30, 2009	June 30, 2009	March 31, 2009
Oklahoma:					
Commercial	$2,616,086	$2,649,252	$2,738,217	$2,918,478	$3,119,362
Commercial real estate	787,543	820,578	815,362	855,742	881,620
Residential mortgage	1,235,788	1,228,822	1,245,917	1,249,104	1,234,417
Consumer	404,570	451,829	483,369	521,431	562,021
Total Oklahoma	$5,043,987	$5,150,481	$5,282,865	$5,544,755	$5,797,420
Texas:					
Commercial	$1,935,819	$2,017,081	$2,075,379	$2,182,756	$2,277,186
Commercial real estate	769,682	735,338	734,742	741,199	816,830
Residential mortgage	307,643	313,113	335,797	345,780	337,044
Consumer	160,449	170,062	188,374	196,752	214,134
Total Texas	$3,173,593	$3,235,594	$3,334,292	$3,466,487	$3,645,194
New Mexico:					
Commercial	$326,203	$341,802	$344,910	$380,378	$393,180
Commercial real estate	298,197	305,061	344,988	313,190	315,511
Residential mortgage	85,629	86,415	88,271	90,944	99,805
Consumer	16,713	17,473	18,176	18,826	19,900
Total New Mexico	$726,742	$750,751	$796,345	$803,338	$828,396
Arkansas:					
Commercial	$86,566	$103,443	$99,559	$97,676	$99,955
Commercial real estate	129,125	132,436	128,984	133,026	133,227
Residential mortgage	17,071	16,849	19,128	19,015	17,145
Consumer	110,123	124,265	136,461	152,620	168,971
Total Arkansas	$342,885	$376,993	$384,132	$402,337	$419,298
Colorado:					
Commercial	$495,916	$545,724	$569,549	$595,858	$675,223
Commercial real estate	228,998	239,970	249,879	269,923	267,035
Residential mortgage	68,049	66,504	68,667	58,557	59,120
Consumer	17,991	17,362	18,272	14,097	14,599
Total Colorado	$810,954	$869,560	$906,367	$938,435	$1,015,977
Arizona:					
Commercial	$209,019	$199,143	$219,330	$215,540	$211,953
Commercial real estate	202,192	227,249	257,169	262,607	285,841
Residential mortgage	68,015	65,047	57,304	58,265	61,605
Consumer	3,068	3,461	4,826	3,229	5,261
Total Arizona	$482,294	$494,900	$538,629	$539,641	$564,660
Kansas / Missouri:					

Commercial	$345,130	$351,395	$323,112	$325,165	$324,671
Commercial real estate	28,111	30,802	29,211	36,006	32,017
Residential mortgage	15,516	16,872	14,740	12,310	10,814
Consumer	2,012	2,350	1,871	1,454	1,469
Total Kansas / Missouri	$390,769	$401,419	$368,934	$374,935	$368,971
Total BOK Financial loans	$10,971,224	$11,279,698	$11,611,564	$12,069,928	$12,639,916

Commercial

Commercial loans represent loans for working capital, facilities acquisition or expansion, purchases of equipment and other needs of commercial customers primarily located within our geographical footprint. Commercial loans are underwritten individually and represent on-going relationships based on a thorough knowledge of the customer, the customer's industry and market. While commercial loans are generally secured by the customer's assets including real property, inventory, accounts receivable, operating equipment, interests in mineral rights and other property and may also include personal guarantees of the owners and related parties, the primary source of repayment of the loans is the on-going cash flow from operations of the customer's business. Inherent lending risks are centrally monitored on a continuous basis from underwriting throughout the life of the loan for compliance with commercial lending policies.

The commercial loan portfolio decreased $193 million during the first quarter of 2010 to $6.0 billion at March 31, 2010. The change in outstanding commercial loans was primarily related to a $66 million decrease in service sector loans, a $49 million decrease in wholesale/retail sector loans and a $31 million decrease in other commercial and industrial loans. Commercial loan origination activity has slowed to less than amounts necessary to offset normal repayment trends in the portfolio. In general, loan demand has softened due to lower working capital needs and less capital project spending by our customers. The commercial sector of our loan portfolio is distributed as follows in Table 18.

Table 18 – Commercial Loans by Principal Market
(In thousands)

	Oklahoma	Texas	New Mexico	Arkansas	Colorado	Arizona	Kansas/ Missouri	Total
Energy	$919,247	$718,954	$174	$3,473	$234,533	$2,641	$13,284	$1,892,306
Services	486,612	606,744	202,378	23,568	168,991	132,032	121,599	1,741,924
Wholesale/retail	460,103	246,168	34,872	41,407	21,557	35,718	33,345	873,170
Manufacturing	207,727	110,549	43,009	1,494	15,846	13,125	4,214	395,964
Healthcare	462,863	213,162	26,546	4,301	48,027	22,060	709	777,668
Agriculture	23,358	3,234	189	280	218	–	128,131	155,410
Other commercial and industrial	56,176	37,008	19,035	12,043	6,744	3,443	43,848	178,297
Total commercial loans	$2,616,086	$1,935,819	$326,203	$86,566	$495,916	$209,019	$345,130	$6,014,739

We have always been an energy lender. Accordingly, loans to energy producers and borrowers related to the energy industry are the largest portion of our commercial loan portfolio. In addition, energy production and related industries have a significant impact on the economy in our primary markets. Loans collateralized by oil and gas properties are subject to a semi-annual engineering review by our internal staff of petroleum engineers. This review is utilized as the basis for developing the expected cash flows supporting the loan amount. The projected cash flows are discounted according to risk characteristics of the underlying oil and gas properties. Loans are evaluated to demonstrate with reasonable certainty that crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids can be recovered from known oil and gas reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions at current pricing levels and with existing conventional equipment and operating methods and costs. As part of our evaluation of credit quality, we analyze rigorous stress tests over a range of commodity prices and take proactive steps to mitigate risk when appropriate.

Energy loans totaled $1.9 billion or 17% of total loans. Outstanding energy loans decreased $20 million during the first quarter of 2010 primarily due to low customer loan demand as a result of low commodity prices which has led to curtailed exploration and production of oil and gas reserves and reduced borrowing capacity based upon collateral values. Approximately $1.5 billion of energy loans were to oil and gas producers, flat with December 31, 2009. Approximately 52% of the committed production loans are secured by properties primarily producing natural gas and 48% are secured by properties primarily producing oil. The energy category also included approximately $61 million of loans to borrowers that provide services to the energy industry, $239 million of loans to borrowers engaged in wholesale or retail energy sales and $41 million of loans to borrowers that manufacture equipment primarily for the energy industry.

The services sector of the loan portfolio totaled $1.7 billion or 16% of total loans and consists of a large number of loans to a variety of businesses, including communications, gaming and transportation services. Approximately $1.0 billion of the services category is made up of loans with individual balances of less than $10 million. Service sector loans are generally secured by the assets of the borrower with repayment coming from the cash flows of ongoing operations of the customer's business. Loans in this sector may also be secured by personal guarantees of the owners or related parties. Outstanding loans to the service sector of the loan portfolio decreased $66 million during the first quarter of 2010 due to reduced loan demand as a result of general economic conditions.

We participate in shared national credits when appropriate to obtain or maintain business relationships with local customers. Shared national credits are defined by banking regulators as credits of more than $20 million and with three or more non-affiliated banks as participants. At March 31, 2010, the outstanding principal balance of these loans totaled $1.5 billion. Substantially all of these loans are to borrowers with local market relationships. We serve as the agent lender in approximately 24% of our shared national credits, based on dollars committed. We hold shared credits to the same standard of analysis and perform the same level of review as internally originated credits. Our lending policies generally avoid loans in which we do not have the opportunity to maintain or achieve other business relationships with the customer. In addition to management's quarterly assessment of credit risk, grading of shared national credits is provided annually by banking regulators. Risk grading provided by the regulators in the third quarter of 2009 did not differ significantly from management's assessment.

Commercial Real Estate

Commercial real estate represents loans for the construction of buildings or other improvements to real estate and property held by borrowers for investment purposes within our geographical footprint. We require collateral values in excess of the loan amounts, demonstrated cash flows in excess of expected debt service requirements, equity investment in the project and a portion of the project already sold, leased or permanent financing already secured. The expected cash flows from all significant new or renewed income producing property commitments are stress tested to reflect the risks in varying interest rates, vacancy rates and rental rates. As with commercial loans, inherent lending risks are centrally monitored on a continuous basis from underwriting throughout the life of the loan for compliance with applicable lending policies.

Commercial real estate loans totaled $2.4 billion or 22% of the loan portfolio at March 31, 2010. Over the past five years, the percentage of commercial real estate loans to our total loan portfolio ranged from 20% to 23%. The outstanding balance of commercial real estate loans decreased $48 million from the previous quarter end. The commercial real estate sector of our loan portfolio is distributed as follows in Table 19.

Table 19 – Commercial Real Estate Loans by Principal Market
(In thousands)

	Oklahoma	Texas	New Mexico	Arkansas	Colorado	Arizona	Kansas/ Missouri	Total
Construction and land development	$ 166,900	$153,735	$73,015	$17,467	$124,954	$64,773	$4,823	$605,667
Retail	145,812	121,046	57,355	19,786	10,441	41,927	12,569	408,936
Office	111,534	167,496	77,405	13,189	60,501	33,422	448	463,995
Multifamily	120,954	159,054	20,517	55,776	4,892	9,806	6,674	377,673
Industrial	69,579	75,059	21,851	620	1,069	12,866	73	181,117
Other real estate loans	172,764	93,292	48,054	22,287	27,141	39,398	3,524	406,460
Total commercial	$ 787,543	$769,682	$298,197	$129,125	$228,998	$202,192	$28,111	$2,443,848

real estate
loans

Construction and land development loans, which consisted primarily of residential construction properties and developed building lots, decreased $40 million from December 31, 2009 to $606 million at March 31, 2010 primarily due to payments. In addition, approximately $3.2 million of construction and land development loans were transferred to other real estate owned in the first quarter of 2010 and $4.3 million were charged-off. This sector of the loan portfolio is expected to continue to decrease as construction projects currently in process are completed. Other real estate loans decreased $46 million and retail sector loans decreased $14 million during the first quarter of 2010. Decreases in commercial real estate loans were partially offset by a $34 million increase in loans secured by industrial facilities and a $17 million increase in loans secured by multifamily residential properties, primarily in the Texas market.

Residential Mortgage and Consumer

Residential mortgage loans provide funds for our customers to purchase or refinance their primary residence or to borrow against the equity in their home. Residential mortgage loans are secured by a first or second-mortgage on the customer's primary residence. Consumer loans include direct loans secured by and for the purchase of automobiles, recreational and marine equipment as well as other unsecured loans. Consumer loans also include indirect automobile loans made through primary dealers. Residential mortgage and consumer loans are made in accordance with underwriting policies we believe to be conservative and are fully documented. Credit scoring is assessed based on significant credit characteristics including credit history, residential and employment stability.

Residential mortgage loans totaled $1.8 billion, up $4.1 million from December 31, 2009. Permanent 1-4 family mortgage loans were flat with the prior quarter, increasing $249 thousand and home equity loans increased $3.8 million, primarily in the Oklahoma market. In general, we sell the majority of our conforming fixed-rate loan originations in the secondary market and retain the majority of our non-conforming and adjustable-rate mortgage loans. We have no concentration in sub-prime residential mortgage loans. Our mortgage loan portfolio does not include payment option adjustable rate mortgage loans or adjustable rate mortgage loans with initial rates that are below market.

The permanent mortgage loan portfolio is primarily composed of various mortgage programs to support customer relationships including jumbo mortgage loans, non-builder construction loans and special loan programs for high net worth individuals or certain professionals. The aggregate outstanding balance of loans in these programs is $1.1 billion. Jumbo loans may be fixed or variable rate and are fully amortizing. The size of jumbo loans exceed maximums set under government sponsored entity standards, but otherwise generally conform to those standards. These loans generally require a minimum FICO score of 720 and a maximum debt-to-income ratio ("DTI") of 38%. Loan-to-value ratios ("LTV") are tiered from 60% to 100%, depending on the market. Special mortgage programs include fixed and variable rate fully amortizing loans tailored to the needs of certain health-care professionals. Variable rate loans are fully indexed at origination and may have fixed rates for three to ten years, then adjust annually thereafter. The maximum loan amount of any of our residential mortgage loans products is $4 million.

Approximately $106 million or 8% of permanent mortgage loans consist of first lien, fixed rate residential mortgage loans originated under various community development programs. The outstanding balance of these loans is down from $110 million at December 31, 2009. These loans were underwritten to standards approved by various U.S. government agencies under these programs and include full documentation. However, these loans do have a higher risk of delinquency and losses given default than traditional residential mortgage loans. The initial maximum LTV of loans in these programs was 103%.

The composition of residential mortgage and consumer loans at March 31, 2010 is as follows in Table 20.

Table 20 – Residential Mortgage and Consumer Loans by Principal Market
(In thousands)

	Oklahoma	Texas	New Mexico	Arkansas	Colorado	Arizona	Kansas/ Missouri	Total
Permanent Mortgage	$931,639	$222,289	$18,571	$12,379	$49,430	$57,455	$11,826	$1,303,589
Home equity	304,149	85,354	67,058	4,692	18,619	10,560	3,690	494,122
Total residential mortgage	$1,235,788	$307,643	$85,629	$17,071	$68,049	$68,015	$15,516	$1,797,711
Consumer:								

Indirect automobile	$235,935	$55,952	$–	$104,393	$–	$–	$–	$396,280
Other consumer	168,635	104,497	16,713	5,730	17,991	3,068	2,012	318,646
Total consumer	$404,570	$160,449	$16,713	$110,123	$17,991	$3,068	$2,012	$714,926

Indirect automobile loans decreased $58 million from December 31, 2009, primarily due to the previously-disclosed decision by the Company to exit the business in the first quarter of 2009 in favor of a customer-focused direct lending approach.

Loan Commitments

We enter into certain off-balance sheet arrangements in the normal course of business. These arrangements included unfunded loan commitments which totaled $4.9 billion and standby letters of credit which totaled $580 million at March 31, 2010. Loan commitments may be unconditional obligations to provide financing or conditional obligations that depend on the borrower's financial condition, collateral value or other factors. Standby letters of credit are unconditional commitments to guarantee the performance of our customer to a third party. Since some of these commitments are expected to expire before being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Approximately $5.5 million of the outstanding standby letters of credit were issued on behalf of customers whose loans are non-performing at March 31, 2010.

We also have off-balance sheet commitments for residential mortgage loans sold with full or partial recourse as more fully described in Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements. At March 31, 2010, the principal balance of residential mortgage loans sold subject to recourse obligations totaled $324 million, down from $331 million at December 31, 2009. Substantially all of these loans are to borrowers in our primary markets including $228 million to borrowers in Oklahoma, $35 million to borrowers in Arkansas, $18 million to borrowers in New Mexico, $16 million to borrowers in the Kansas/Missouri area and $15 million to borrowers in Texas.

Customer Derivative Programs

We offer programs that permit our customers to hedge various risks, including fluctuations in energy, cattle and other agricultural product prices, interest rates and foreign exchange rates, or to take positions in derivative contracts. Each of these programs work essentially the same way. Derivative contracts are executed between the customers and the Company. Offsetting contracts are executed between the Company and selected counterparties to minimize the risk to us of changes in commodity prices, interest rates or foreign exchange rates. The counterparty contracts are identical to the customer contracts, except for a fixed pricing spread or a fee paid to us as compensation for administrative costs, credit risk and profit.

The customer derivative programs create credit risk for potential amounts due to the Company from our customers and from the counterparties. Customer credit risk is monitored through existing credit policies and procedures. The effects of changes in commodity prices, interest rates or foreign exchange rates are evaluated across a range of possible options to determine the maximum exposure we are willing to have individually to any customer. Customers may also be required to provide margin collateral to further limit our credit risk.

Counterparty credit risk is evaluated through existing policies and procedures. This evaluation considers the total relationship between BOK Financial and each of the counterparties. Individual limits are established by management, approved by Credit Administration and reviewed by the Asset / Liability Committee. Margin collateral is required if the exposure between the Company and any counterparty exceeds established limits. Based on declines in the counterparties' credit ratings, these limits may be reduced and additional margin collateral may be required.

A deterioration of the credit standing of one or more of the customers or counterparties to these contracts may result in BOK Financial recognizing a loss as the fair value of the affected contracts may no longer move in tandem with the offsetting contracts. This occurs if the credit standing of the customer or counterparty deteriorated such that either the fair value of underlying collateral no longer supported the contract or the customer or counterparty's ability to provide margin collateral was impaired.

Derivative contracts are carried at fair value. At March 31, 2010, the net fair values of derivative contracts reported as assets under these programs totaled $337 million, down from $355 million at December 31, 2009 due to cash

settlements and reduced transaction volumes. At March 31, 2010, derivative contracts carried as assets included energy contracts with fair values of $170 million, interest rate contracts with fair values of $95 million, and foreign exchange contracts with fair values of $60 million. The aggregate net fair values of derivative contracts held under these programs reported as liabilities totaled $344 million.

At March 31, 2010, total derivative assets were reduced by $13 million of cash collateral received from counterparties and total derivative liabilities were reduced by $33 million of cash collateral paid to counterparties related to instruments executed with the same counterparty under a master netting agreement as permitted by generally accepted accounting principles.

A table showing the notional and fair value of derivative assets and liabilities on both a gross and net basis is presented in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

The fair value of derivative contracts reported as assets under these programs, net of cash margin held by the Company, by category of debtor at March 31, 2010 follows in Table 21.

Table 21 – Fair Value of Derivative Contracts
(In thousands)

Customers	$145,763
Energy companies	90,222
Banks	64,800
Exchanges	21,412
Other	2,186
Fair value of customer hedge asset derivative contracts, net	$324,383

The largest net amount due from a single counterparty, a domestic subsidiary of a major energy company, at March 31, 2010 was $89 million. This amount was offset by $68 million in letters of credit issued by multiple independent financial institutions.

Our customer derivative program also introduces liquidity and capital risk. We are required to provide cash margin to certain counterparties when the net negative fair value of the contracts exceeds established limits. Also, changes in commodity prices affect the amount of regulatory capital we are required to hold as support for the fair value of our derivative assets. These risks are modeled as part of the management of these programs. Based on current prices, a decrease in market prices equivalent to $18 per barrel of oil would increase the fair value of derivative assets by $350 million. An increase in prices equivalent to $145 per barrel of oil would decrease the fair value of derivative assets by $355 million as current prices move away from the fixed prices embedded in our existing contracts. Further increases in prices equivalent to $152 per barrel of oil would increase the fair value of our derivative assets by $404 million. Liquidity requirements of this program are also affected by our credit rating. A decrease in credit rating from A1 to below investment grade would increase our obligation to post cash margin on existing contracts by approximately $46 million.

Summary of Loan Loss Experience

We maintain separate reserves for loan losses and reserves for off-balance sheet credit risk. The combined allowance for loan losses and reserve for off-balance sheet credit losses totaled $314 million or 2.86% of outstanding loans and 91% of nonaccruing loans at March 31, 2010. The allowance for loan losses was $300 million and the reserve for off-balance sheet credit losses was $14 million. At December 31, 2009, the combined allowance for loan losses and off-balance sheet credit losses was $306 million or 2.72% of outstanding loans and 90% of nonaccruing loans.

Table 22 – Summary of Loan Loss Experience
(In thousands)

	Three Months Ended				
	March 31, 2010	Dec. 31, 2009	Sept. 30, 2009	June 30, 2009	March 31, 2009
Reserve for loan losses:					
Beginning balance	$292,095	$280,902	$263,309	$251,002	$233,236
Loans charged off:					
Commercial	11,373	12,773	12,026	9,135	15,791
Commercial real estate	22,357	12,505	17,407	17,186	10,215
Residential mortgage	1,842	6,055	3,479	5,373	1,765
Consumer	4,756	6,641	5,669	5,715	6,764
Total	40,328	37,974	38,581	37,409	34,535
Recoveries of loans previously charged off:					
Commercial	3,063	640	858	692	356
Commercial real estate	672	317	20	83	41
Residential mortgage	120	335	201	179	214
Consumer	1,995	1,658	1,515	1,518	2,053
Total	5,850	2,950	2,594	2,472	2,664
Net loans charged off	34,478	35,024	35,987	34,937	31,871
Provision for loan losses	42,100	46,217	53,580	47,244	49,637
Ending balance	$299,717	$292,095	$280,902	$263,309	$251,002
Reserve for off-balance sheet credit losses:					
Beginning balance	$14,388	$11,985	$10,445	$10,569	$15,166
Provision for off-balance sheet credit losses	–	2,403	1,540	(124)	(4,597)
Ending balance	$14,388	$14,388	$11,985	$10,445	$10,569
Total provision for credit losses	$42,100	$48,620	$55,120	$47,120	$45,040
Reserve for loan losses to loans outstanding at period-end	2.73%	2.59%	2.42%	2.18%	1.99%
Net charge-offs (annualized) to average loans	1.23	1.22	1.21	1.13	1.00
Total provision for credit losses (annualized) to average loans	1.51	1.69	1.85	1.52	1.41
Recoveries to gross charge-offs	14.51	7.77	6.72	6.61	7.71

Reserve for loan losses as a multiple of net charge-offs (annualized)	2.17	x	2.08	x	1.95	x	1.88	x	1.97	x
Reserve for off-balance sheet credit losses to off-balance sheet credit commitments	0.26	%	0.26	%	0.22	%	0.19	%	0.19	%
Combined reserves for credit losses to loans outstanding at period-end	2.86		2.72		2.52		2.27		2.07	

Allowance for Loan Losses

The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is assessed by management based on an ongoing quarterly evaluation of the probable estimated losses inherent in the portfolio. The allowance consists of specific reserves attributed to impaired loans, general reserves based on migration factors and non-specific reserves based on general economic, risk concentration and related factors. An independent Credit Administration department is responsible for performing this evaluation for the entire company to ensure that the methodology is applied consistently. For the three months ended March 31, 2010, there have been no material changes in the approach or techniques utilized in developing the allowance for loan losses.

Specific reserves for impaired loans are determined by evaluation of estimated future cash flows, collateral value or historical statistics. Loans are considered to be impaired when it is probable that we will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. This is substantially the same criteria used to determine when a loan should be placed on nonaccrual status. Generally, all nonaccruing commercial and commercial real estate loans are considered impaired. Substantially all impaired loans are collateralized. Collateral includes real property, inventory, accounts receivable, operating equipment, interests in mineral rights, and other property. Collateral may also include personal guaranties by borrowers and related parties.

Delinquency status is not a significant consideration in the evaluation of impairment or risk-grading of commercial or commercial real estate loans. These evaluations are based on an assessment of the borrowers' paying capacity and attempt to identify changes in credit risk before payments become delinquent. Changes in the delinquency trends of residential mortgage loans and consumer loans may indicate increases or decreases in expected losses.

Impaired loans are charged-off when the loan balance or a portion of the loan balance is no longer supported by the paying capacity of the borrower based on an evaluation of available cash resources or collateral value. No reserves are attributed to the remaining balance of loans that have been charged-down to amounts management expects to recover. Impaired loans totaled $311 million at March 31, 2010 and $317 million at December 31, 2009. At March 31, 2010, $186 million of impaired loans had specific reserves of $12 million and $125 million had no specific reserves because they had been charged down to amounts we expect to recover. Impaired loans with no specific reserves had gross outstanding principal balances of $229 million. Cumulative life-to-date charge-offs of impaired loans with no specific reserves at March 31, 2010 totaled $104 million, including $22 million charged-off in the first quarter of 2010. At December 31, 2009, $204 million of impaired loans had $36 million of specific reserves and $113 million had no specific reserves.

General reserves for unimpaired loans are based on migration models. Separate migration models are used to determine general reserves for commercial and commercial real estate loans, residential mortgage loans, and consumer loans. All commercial and commercial real estate loans are risk-graded based on an evaluation of the borrowers' ability to repay the loans. Migration factors are determined for each risk-grade to determine the inherent loss based on historical trends. We use an eight-quarter aggregate accumulation of net losses as a basis for the migration factors. Greater emphasis is placed on losses incurred in more recent periods. The higher of current loss factors based on migration trends or a minimum migration factor based upon long-term history is assigned to each risk grade. The general reserve for residential mortgage loans is based on an eight-quarter average percent of loss. The general reserve for consumer loans is based on an eight-quarter average percent of loss with separate migration factors determined by major product line, such as indirect automobile loans and direct consumer loans. The aggregate amount of general reserves determined by migration factors for all unimpaired loans totaled $265 million at March 31, 2010 and $238 million at December 31, 2009.

Nonspecific reserves are maintained for risks beyond factors specific to a particular loan or identified by the migration models. These factors include trends in the economy in our primary lending areas, conditions in certain industries where we have a concentration and overall growth in the loan portfolio. Evaluation of nonspecific factors considers the effect of the duration of the business cycle on migration factors. Nonspecific factors also consider current economic conditions and other relevant factors. Nonspecific reserves totaled $23 million at March 31, 2010 and $18 million at December 31, 2009.

The provision for loan losses is the amount necessary to maintain the allowance for loan losses at an amount determined by management to be adequate based on its evaluation. The provision for loan losses totaled $42.1 million for the first quarter of 2010, $46.2 million for the fourth quarter of 2009 and $49.6 million for the first quarter of 2009. Factors considered in determining the provision for credit losses for the first quarter of 2010 included trends of net charge-offs, nonperforming loans and risk grading.

Net Loans Charged-Off

Loans are charged off against the allowance for loan losses when the loan balance or a portion of the loan balance is no longer covered by the paying capacity of the borrower based on an evaluation of available cash resources and collateral value. Collateral values are generally evaluated annually, or more frequently for certain collateral types or collateral located in certain distressed markets. Loans are evaluated quarterly and charge-offs are taken in the quarter in which the loss is identified.

Net loans charged off during the first quarter of 2010 totaled $34.5 million compared to $35.0 million in the previous quarter and $31.9 million in the first quarter of 2009. The ratio of net loans charged off (annualized) to average outstanding loans was 1.23% for the first quarter of 2010 compared with 1.22% in the fourth quarter of 2009 and 1.00% for the first quarter of 2009. Net loans charged off in the first quarter of 2010 decreased $546 thousand compared to the previous quarter.

Net loans charged off by category and principal market area during the first quarter of 2010 follow in Table 23.

Table 23 – Net Loans Charged Off
(In Thousands)

	Oklahoma	Texas	Colorado	Arkansas	New Mexico	Arizona	Kansas/ Missouri	Total
Commercial	$ (981)	$4,531	$387	$1,397	$2,749	$226	$-	$8,310
Commercial real estate	9,023	219	2,504	-	-	9,940	-	21,685
Residential mortgage	766	860	11	23	29	33	-	1,722
Consumer	1,276	814	52	579	30	7	3	2,761
Total net loans charged off	$ 10,084	$6,424	$2,954	$1,999	$2,808	$10,206	$3	$34,478

Net commercial loans charged off during the first quarter of 2010 decreased $3.8 million compared to the prior quarter and included $5.4 million from the healthcare sector of the loan portfolio primarily in the Texas market and $2.0 million from the wholesale / retail sector of the loan portfolio primarily in the New Mexico market.

Net charge-offs of commercial real estate loans increased $9.5 million over the fourth quarter of 2009 and included $9.1 million of loans secured by retail facilities primarily in the Arizona market and $7.4 million of loans secured by multifamily residential properties primarily in the Oklahoma market. Land and residential construction sector charge-offs totaled $3.7 million in the first quarter of 2010, a $5.6 million decrease from the prior quarter. Land and residential construction sector loan portfolio charge-offs were primarily composed of $1.2 million in the Oklahoma market, $1.1 million in the Colorado market and $1.1 million in the Arizona market.

Residential mortgage net charge-offs decreased $4.0 million compared to the previous quarter and included $860 thousand of loans in the Texas market and $766 thousand of loans in the Oklahoma market. Consumer loan net charge-offs, which includes indirect auto loan and deposit account overdraft losses, decreased $2.2 million over the previous quarter. Net charge-offs of indirect auto loans totaled $1.6 million for the first quarter of 2010 and $2.4 million for the fourth quarter of 2009.

The Company considers the credit risk from loan commitments and letters of credit in its evaluation of the adequacy of the reserve for loan losses. A separate reserve for off-balance sheet credit risk is maintained. Table 22 presents the trend of reserves for off-balance sheet credit losses and the relationship between the reserve and loan commitments. The provision for credit losses included the combined charge to expense for both the reserve for loan losses and the reserve for off-balance sheet credit losses. All losses incurred from lending activities will ultimately be reflected in charge-offs against the reserve for loan losses following funds advanced against outstanding commitments and after the exhaustion of collection efforts.

Nonperforming Assets

Table 24 – Nonperforming Assets
(In thousands)

	March 31, 2010	Dec. 31, 2009	Sept. 30, 2009	June 30, 2009	March 31, 2009
Nonaccrual loans:					
Commercial	$84,491	$101,384	$128,266	$126,510	$128,501
Commercial real estate	219,639	204,924	212,418	189,586	175,487
Residential mortgage	36,281	29,989	38,220	35,860	34,182
Consumer	3,164	3,058	3,897	1,037	1,065
Total nonaccrual loans	343,575	339,355	382,801	352,993	339,235
Renegotiated loans (3)	17,763	15,906	17,426	17,479	13,623
Total nonperforming loans	361,338	355,261	400,227	370,472	352,858
Other nonperforming assets	121,933	129,034	89,507	75,243	61,383
Total nonperforming assets	$483,271	$484,295	$489,734	$445,715	$414,241
Nonaccrual loans by principal market:					
Oklahoma	$89,512	$83,176	$112,610	$108,490	$105,536
Texas	61,839	66,892	65,911	51,582	55,225
New Mexico	23,572	26,693	35,541	29,640	18,046
Arkansas	15,206	13,820	5,911	3,888	4,078
Colorado (4)	66,990	60,082	50,432	45,794	38,567
Arizona	85,808	84,559	108,161	106,076	111,772
Kansas / Missouri	648	4,133	4,235	7,523	6,011
Total nonaccrual loans	$343,575	$339,355	$382,801	$352,993	$339,235
Nonaccrual loans by loan portfolio sector:					
Commercial:					
Energy	$17,182	$22,692	$48,992	$53,842	$49,618
Manufacturing	4,834	15,765	17,429	16,975	18,248
Wholesale / retail	6,629	12,057	7,623	10,983	8,650
Agriculture	65	65	98	105	115
Services	35,535	30,926	30,094	24,713	30,226
Healthcare	10,538	13,103	13,758	14,222	14,288
Other	9,708	6,776	10,272	5,670	7,356
Total commercial	84,491	101,384	$128,266	126,510	128,501
Commercial real estate:					
Land development and construction	140,508	109,779	113,868	97,425	99,922
Retail	14,843	26,236	22,254	17,474	9,893
Office	26,660	25,861	31,406	27,685	23,305
Multifamily	15,725	26,540	28,223	27,827	27,198
Industrial	–	279	527	527	575
Other commercial real estate	21,903	16,229	16,140	18,648	14,594
Total commercial real estate	219,639	204,924	212,418	189,586	175,487
Residential mortgage:					
Permanent mortgage	34,134	28,314	36,431	34,149	32,848
Home equity	2,147	1,675	1,789	1,711	1,334
Total residential mortgage	36,281	29,989	38,220	35,860	34,182
Consumer	3,164	3,058	3,897	1,037	1,065
Total nonaccrual loans	$343,575	$339,355	$382,801	$352,993	$339,235

Ratios:										
Reserve for loan losses to nonperforming loans	82.95	%	82.22	%	70.19	%	71.07	%	71.13	%
Nonperforming loans to period-end loans	3.29		3.15		3.45		3.07		2.79	
Loans past due (90 days or more) (1)	$12,915		$10,308		$24,238		$32,479		$46,123	(2)
(1) Includes residential mortgages guaranteed by agencies of the U.S. Government.	$3,183		$1,400		$2,589		$1,337		$395	
(2) Includes a $23 million loan that was paid current after March 31, 2009.										
(3) Includes residential mortgages guaranteed by agencies of the U.S. Government. These loans have been modified to extend payment terms and/or reduce interest rates to current market.	14,083		12,799		11,234		11,079		10,514	
(4) Includes loans subject to First United Bank sellers escrow.	4,281		4,311		4,173		8,305		11,287	

37

Nonperforming assets totaled $483 million or 4.36% of outstanding loans and repossessed assets at March 31, 2010, down $1.0 million since December 31, 2009. In addition to $344 million of nonaccruing loans, nonperforming assets included $18 million of restructured residential mortgage loans and $122 million of real estate and other repossessed assets. Nonperforming assets included $14 million of restructured residential mortgage loans guaranteed by agencies of the U.S. government and $7.9 million of loans and repossessed assets acquired with First United Bank in the second quarter of 2007. The Company will be reimbursed by the sellers up to $4.1 million for any losses incurred during a three-year period after the June 2007 acquisition date. The First United guaranty expires in the second quarter of 2010. The Company generally retains nonperforming assets to maximize potential recovery which may cause future nonperforming assets to increase. A rollforward of nonperforming assets for the first quarter of 2010 follows in Table 25.

Table 25 – Rollforward of Nonperforming Assets
(In thousands)

	Nonaccruing Loans	Renegotiated Loans	Real Estate and Other Repossessed Assets	Total Nonperforming Assets
Balance, December 31, 2009	$ 339,355	$ 15,906	$ 129,034	$ 484,295
Additions	72,787	-	-	72,787
Payments	(32,808)	-	-	(32,808)
Charge-offs / Write-offs	(32,265)	-	(5,937)	(38,202)
Foreclosures	(6,102)	-	6,102	-
Sales	-	-	(7,521)	(7,521)
Return to accrual	(3,601)	-	-	(3,601)
Other, net	6,209	1,857	255	8,321
Balance, March 31, 2010	$ 343,575	$ 17,763	$ 121,933	$ 483,271

The distribution of nonaccruing loans among our various markets follows in Table 26.

Table 26 – Nonaccruing Loans by Principal Market
(Dollars In thousands)

	March 31, 2010		December 31, 2009		Change	
	Amount	% of outstanding loans	Amount	% of outstanding loans	Amount	% of outstanding loans
Oklahoma	$89,512	1.77 %	$83,176	1.61 %	$6,336	16 b.p.
Texas	61,839	1.95	66,892	2.07	(5,053)	(12)
New Mexico	23,572	3.24	26,693	3.56	(3,121)	(32)
Arkansas	15,206	4.43	13,820	3.67	1,386	76
Colorado	66,990	8.26	60,082	6.91	6,908	135
Arizona	85,808	17.79	84,559	17.09	1,249	70
Kansas / Missouri	648	0.17	4,133	1.03	(3,485)	(86)
Total	$343,575	3.13 %	$339,355	3.01 %	$4,220	12 b.p.

The majority of non-accruing loans are concentrated in the Oklahoma and Arizona markets. Nonaccruing loans in the Oklahoma market are primarily composed of $36 million of commercial loans and $39 million of commercial real estate loans. Nonaccruing loans in the Arizona market consisted primarily of commercial real estate loans.

Nonaccruing loans increased $4.2 million from December 31, 2009 primarily due to a $6.9 million increase in the Colorado market and a $6.3 million increase in the Oklahoma market partially offset by a $5.1 million decrease in the Texas market. During the first quarter of 2010, $73 million of new nonaccruing loans were identified, offset by $33 million in payments received, $32 million in charge-offs and $6 million in foreclosures and repossessions. In addition, $4 million of nonaccruing loans returned to accrual status during the first quarter of 2010. The 12 basis point increase in the ratio of nonaccruing loans to period end loans was impacted by a $308 million decrease in period end loans at March 31, 2010 compared to December 31, 2009.

Commercial

Nonaccruing commercial loans totaled $84 million or 1.40% of total commercial loans at March 31, 2010 and $101 million or 1.63% of total commercial loans at December 31, 2009. At March 31, 2010, nonaccruing commercial loans were primarily composed of $36 million or 2.04% of total services sector loans, $17 million or 0.91% of total energy sector loans and $11 million or 1.36% of total healthcare sector loans. Nonaccruing commercial loans decreased $17 million including an $11 million decrease in nonaccruing manufacturing loans, $5.5 million decrease in nonaccruing energy loans and $5.4 million decrease in nonaccruing wholesale/retail sector loans, partially offset by a $4.6 million increase in nonaccruing service sector loans.

Newly identified nonaccruing commercial loans in the first quarter of 2010 totaled approximately $20 million, offset by $25 million in payments and $10 million in charge-offs. Newly identified nonaccrual loans were primarily in the services and other commercial and industrial sectors of the portfolio. The distribution of nonaccruing commercial loans among our various markets was as follows in Table 27.

Table 27 – Nonaccruing Commercial Loans by Principal Market
(Dollars in thousands)

	March 31, 2010		December 31, 2009		Change	
	Amount	% of outstanding loans	Amount	% of outstanding loans	Amount	% of outstanding loans
Oklahoma	$36,110	1.38 %	$36,990	1.40 %	$(880)	(2) b.p.
Texas	17,450	0.90	32,591	1.62	(15,141)	(72)
New Mexico	8,873	2.72	14,365	4.20	(5,492)	(148)
Arkansas	839	0.97	434	0.42	405	55
Colorado	9,429	1.90	8,132	1.49	1,297	41
Arizona	11,725	5.61	8,804	4.42	2,921	119
Kansas / Missouri	65	0.02	68	0.02	(3)	–
Total commercial	$84,491	1.40 %	$101,384	1.63 %	$(16,893)	(23) b.p.

Commercial Real Estate

Nonaccruing commercial real estate loans totaled $220 million or 8.99% of outstanding commercial real estate loans at March 31, 2010 compared to $205 million or 8.23% of outstanding commercial real estate loans at December 31, 2009. Nonaccruing commercial real estate loans continue to be largely concentrated in land development and residential construction loans. Nonaccruing commercial real estate loans increased $15 million since December 31, 2009. Newly identified nonaccruing commercial real estate loans totaled $53 million, partially offset by $21 million of charge-offs, $8 million of cash payments received and $5 million of foreclosures. The distribution of our nonaccruing commercial real estate loans among our geographic market is as follows in Table 28.

Table 28 – Nonaccruing Commercial Real Estate Loans by Principal Market
(Dollars in thousands)

	March 31, 2010		December 31, 2009		Change	
	Amount	% of outstanding loans	Amount	% of outstanding loans	Amount	% of outstanding loans
Oklahoma	$38,666	4.91 %	$30,525	3.72 %	$8,141	119 b.p.

Texas	33,811	4.39	24,163	3.29	9,648	110
New Mexico	12,370	4.15	10,101	3.31	2,269	84
Arkansas	12,643	9.79	11,727	8.85	916	94
Colorado	57,362	25.05	51,661	21.53	5,701	352
Arizona	64,787	32.04	73,106	32.17	(8,319)	(13)
Kansas / Missouri	–	–	3,641	11.82	(3,641)	(1,182)
Total commercial real estate	$219,639	8.99 %	$204,924	8.23 %	$14,715	76 b.p.

39

Nonaccruing commercial real estate loans are primarily concentrated in the Arizona and Colorado markets. Approximately $65 million or 32.04% of commercial real estate loans in Arizona are nonaccruing and consist primarily of $33 million of nonaccruing residential construction and land development loans, $12 million of nonaccruing loans secured by other commercial and industrial facilities and $10 million of nonaccruing loans secured by office buildings. Nonaccruing commercial real estate in the Arizona market decreased $8.3 million compared to December 31, 2009, primarily due to charge-offs and transfers to other real estate owned. Approximately $57 million or 25.05% of commercial real estate loans in the Colorado market are nonaccruing and consist primarily of $49 million of nonaccruing residential construction and land development loans and $7.5 million of nonaccruing loans secured by office buildings.

The increase in nonaccruing commercial real estate loans included $31 million from nonaccruing residential construction and land development loans, partially offset by an $11 million decrease in nonaccruing loans secured by retail facilities primarily in the Arizona market and a $10 million decrease in nonaccruing loans secured by multifamily residential properties primarily in the Oklahoma and Kansas/Missouri markets. The increase in nonaccruing residential construction and land development loans included $9.8 million in the Texas market, $8.8 million in the Oklahoma market, $7.1 million in the Colorado market and $5.8 million in the New Mexico market.

Residential Mortgage and Consumer

Nonaccruing residential mortgage loans totaled $36 million or 2.02% of outstanding residential loans at March 31, 2010 compared to $30 million or 1.67% of total residential loans at December 31, 2009. Nonaccruing residential mortgage loans primarily consist of permanent residential mortgage loans which totaled $34 million or 2.62% of outstanding residential mortgage loans at March 31, 2010, a $5.8 million increase compared to December 31, 2009. Nonaccruing home equity loans continued to perform well with only $2.1 million or 0.43% of total home equity loans in nonaccrual status. The distribution of nonaccruing residential mortgage loans among our various markets is included in Table 29.

Table 29 – Nonaccruing Residential Mortgage Loans by Principal Market
(Dollars in thousands)

	March 31, 2010			December 31, 2009			Change		
	Amount	% of outstanding loans		Amount	% of outstanding loans		Amount		% of outstanding loans
Oklahoma	$13,741	1.11	%	$14,650	1.19	%	$(909	)	(8) b.p.
Texas	9,724	3.16		9,320	2.98		404		18
New Mexico	2,312	2.70		2,168	2.51		144		19
Arkansas	554	3.25		620	3.68		(66	)	(43)
Colorado	199	0.29		291	0.44		(92	)	(15)
Arizona	9,167	13.48		2,517	3.87		6,650		961
Kansas / Missouri	584	3.76		423	2.51		161		125
Total residential mortgage loans	$36,281	2.02	%	$29,989	1.67	%	$6,292		35 b.p.

In addition to nonaccruing residential mortgage and consumer loans, payments of residential mortgage loans and consumer loans may be delinquent. The composition of residential mortgage and consumer loans past due is included in the following Table 30. Residential mortgage loans less than 90 days past due decreased $1.1 million and residential mortgage loans past due 90 days or more increased $1.7 million during first quarter of 2010. Consumer loans past due 30 to 89 days decreased $7.0 million from December 31, 2009 primarily due to a decrease in indirect automobile loans, partially offset by an increase in other consumer loans. Consumer loans past due 90 days or more

decreased $3.3 million during the first quarter of 2010, primarily due to a decrease in other consumer loans.

Table 30 – Residential Mortgage and Consumer Loans Past Due
(In thousands)

	March 31, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	90 Days or More	30 to 89 Days	90 Days or More	30 to 89 Days
Permanent mortgage	$3,183	$22,649	$1,532	$23,489
Home equity	47	1,744	24	2,049
Total residential mortgage	$3,230	$24,393	$1,556	$25,538
Consumer:				
Indirect automobile	$287	$14,995	$537	$23,191
Other consumer	216	2,832	3,297	1,612
Total consumer	$503	$17,827	$3,834	$24,803

Real Estate and Other Repossessed Assets

Real estate and other repossessed assets totaled $122 million at March 31, 2010, a decrease of $7 million from December 31, 2009. Approximately $4.7 million of the decrease is attributed to 1-4 family residential properties and residential land development in the Arizona market. The distribution of real estate and other repossessed assets attributed by geographical market is included in Table 31 following.

Table 31 – Real Estate and Other Repossessed Assets by Principal Market
(In thousands)

	Oklahoma	Texas	Colorado	Arkansas	New Mexico	Arizona	Kansas/ Missouri	Other	Total
1-4 family residential properties and residential land development properties	$ 5,690	$19,048	$3,924	$4,464	$1,967	$25,587	$649	$370	$61,699
Developed commercial real estate properties	1,366	4,989	4,594	1,391	5,500	16,544	–	–	34,384
Equity interest in partial satisfaction of debts	13,100	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	13,100
Undeveloped land	–	–	2,219	–	–	5,037	–	–	7,256
Construction equipment	–	–	–	–	–	–	4,061	–	4,061
Vehicles	644	182	–	358	–	–	–	–	1,184
Other	–	20	229	–	–	–	–	–	249

Total real estate and other repossessed assets	$ 20,800	$24,239	$10,966	$6,213	$7,467	$47,168	$4,710	$370	$121,933

Undeveloped land is primarily zoned for commercial development. Developed commercial real estate properties are primarily completed with no additional construction necessary for sale. A secondary market is developing for shares of the entity in which we hold an equity interest. Prices indicated in that market exceed our carrying value per share.

Our loan review process also identified loans that possess more than the normal amount of risk due to deterioration in the financial condition of the borrower or the value of the collateral. Because the borrowers are still performing in accordance with the original terms of the loan agreements, and no loss of principal or interest is anticipated, these loans were not included in nonperforming assets. Known information does, however, cause management concern as to the borrowers' ability to comply with current repayment terms. These potential problem loans totaled $231 million at March 31, 2010 and $236 million at December 31, 2009. The current composition of potential problem loans by primary industry included real estate - $133 million, energy - $37 million, manufacturing - $14 million, and services - $17 million. Potential problem real estate loans included $54 million of residential development loans on properties primarily located in Texas, Colorado and Oklahoma and $24 million of loans secured by multifamily residential properties primarily located in Arizona and Texas.

Liquidity and Capital

Subsidiary Banks

Deposits and borrowed funds are the primary sources of liquidity for the subsidiary banks. For the first quarter of 2010, approximately 65% of our funding was provided by average deposit accounts, 20% from borrowed funds, 2% from long-term subordinated debt and 10% from shareholders' equity. Our funding sources, which primarily include deposits and borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Banks and other banks, provide adequate liquidity to meet our operating needs.

Deposit accounts represent our largest funding source. We compete for retail and commercial deposits by offering a broad range of products and services and focusing on customer convenience. Retail deposit growth is supported through our Perfect Banking sales and customer service program, free checking and online bill paying services, an extensive network of branch locations and ATMs and a 24-hour Express Bank call center. Commercial deposit growth is supported by offering treasury management and lockbox services. We also acquire brokered deposits when the cost of funds is advantageous to other funding sources.

Average deposits totaled $15.4 billion at March 31, 2010 and represented approximately 65% of total average liabilities and capital for the first quarter of 2010, compared with $15.6 billion and 66% of total average liabilities and capital for the fourth quarter of 2009. Average deposits decreased $179 million from the fourth quarter of 2009. Average interest-bearing transaction deposit accounts continued to grow in the first quarter of 2010, up $229 million over the fourth quarter of 2009. Growth in our average interest-bearing transaction deposit accounts included $92 million of wealth management deposits, $75 million of commercial deposits and $64 million of consumer banking deposits. Average demand deposits decreased $181 million from the fourth quarter of 2009, primarily related to a seasonal decrease in balances held by our commercial banking customers. Higher-costing average time deposits also decreased $230 million during the first quarter of 2010.

Brokered deposits, which are included in time deposits, averaged $170 million for the first quarter of 2010. Brokered deposits were down $175 million from the fourth quarter of 2009. These deposits, which were largely added in 2008 to remix wholesale funding sources to provide more available liquidity, are being replaced by other deposit products as they mature.

The distribution of deposit accounts among our principal markets is shown in Table 32.

Table 32 – Deposits by Principal Market Area
(In thousands)

	March 31, 2010	Dec. 31, 2009	Sept. 30, 2009	June 30, 2009	March 31, 2009
Oklahoma:					
Demand	$2,062,084	$2,068,908	$1,895,980	$1,451,057	$1,651,111
Interest-bearing:					
Transaction	5,237,983	5,134,902	4,566,058	4,374,089	4,089,838
Savings	101,708	93,006	93,443	94,048	95,827
Time	1,360,756	1,397,240	1,765,980	2,033,312	2,876,313
Total interest-bearing	6,700,447	6,625,148	6,425,481	6,501,449	7,061,978
Total Oklahoma	$8,762,531	$8,694,056	$8,321,461	$7,952,506	$8,713,089
Texas:					
Demand	$1,068,656	$1,108,401	$1,138,794	$1,002,266	$1,021,424
Interest-bearing:					
Transaction	1,675,759	1,748,319	1,716,460	1,660,642	1,527,399
Savings	37,175	35,129	35,724	33,992	33,867
Time	1,043,813	1,100,602	1,007,579	1,035,919	1,054,632
Total interest-bearing	2,756,747	2,884,050	2,759,763	2,730,553	2,615,898
Total Texas	$3,825,403	$3,992,451	$3,898,557	$3,732,819	$3,637,322
New Mexico:					
Demand	$222,685	$209,090	$216,330	$175,033	$180,308
Interest-bearing:					
Transaction	480,189	442,247	424,528	434,498	401,000
Savings	20,036	17,563	18,039	18,255	17,858
Time	495,243	510,202	511,507	542,388	561,300
Total interest-bearing	995,468	972,012	954,074	995,141	980,158
Total New Mexico	$1,218,153	$1,181,102	$1,170,404	$1,170,174	$1,160,466
Arkansas:					
Demand	$17,599	$21,526	$19,077	$17,261	$16,503
Interest-bearing:					
Transaction	61,398	50,879	85,061	73,972	63,924
Savings	1,266	1,346	1,131	1,031	1,100
Time	105,794	101,839	137,109	162,505	150,015
Total interest-bearing	168,458	154,064	223,301	237,508	215,039
Total Arkansas	$186,057	$175,590	$242,378	$254,769	$231,542
Colorado:					
Demand	$136,048	$146,929	$121,555	$113,895	$111,048
Interest-bearing:					
Transaction	456,508	448,846	477,418	445,521	466,276
Savings	18,118	17,802	18,518	18,144	18,905
Time	509,410	525,844	520,906	579,709	584,971
Total interest-bearing	984,036	992,492	1,016,842	1,043,374	1,070,152

Total Colorado	$1,120,084	$1,139,421	$1,138,397	$1,157,269	$1,181,200
Arizona:					
Demand	$61,183	$68,651	$54,046	$55,975	$54,362
Interest-bearing:					
Transaction	81,851	81,909	95,242	89,842	66,809
Savings	1,105	958	971	1,282	970
Time	64,592	60,768	56,809	59,775	54,923
Total interest-bearing	147,548	143,635	153,022	150,899	122,702
Total Arizona	$208,731	$212,286	$207,068	$206,874	$177,064
Kansas / Missouri:					
Demand	$31,726	$30,339	$16,406	$9,692	$16,140
Interest-bearing:					
Transaction	100,037	21,337	15,682	12,907	11,976
Savings	146	148	70	54	117
Time	74,648	71,498	84,923	158,325	141,505
Total interest-bearing	174,831	92,983	100,675	171,286	153,598
Total Kansas / Missouri	$206,557	$123,322	$117,081	$180,978	$169,738
Total BOK Financial deposits	$15,527,516	$15,518,228	$15,095,346	$14,655,389	$15,270,421

In addition to deposits, subsidiary bank liquidity is provided primarily by federal funds purchased, securities repurchase agreements and Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings. Federal funds purchased consist primarily of unsecured, overnight funds acquired from other financial institutions. Funds are primarily purchased from bankers' banks and Federal Home Loan banks from across the country. The largest single source of Federal funds purchased totaled $194 million at March 31, 2010. Securities repurchase agreements generally mature within 90 days and are secured by certain available for sale securities. Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings are generally short term and are secured by a blanket pledge of eligible collateral (generally unencumbered U.S. Treasury and mortgage-backed securities, 1-4 family mortgage loans and multifamily mortgage loans). During the first quarter of 2010, the outstanding balance of federal funds purchased averaged $1.5 billion, securities repurchase agreements averaged $1.1 billion and Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings averaged $2.0 billion.

The subsidiary banks began borrowing funds under the Federal Reserve Bank Term Auction Facility program. This is a temporary program which allows banks that are in generally sound financial condition to bid for funds. Funds are borrowed for either 28 or 84 days and are secured by a pledge of eligible collateral. Funds borrowed under this program averaged $247 million for the first quarter of 2010. No amount was outstanding under this program as of March 31, 2010, as we discontinued participation in this program during the first quarter of 2010 in favor of utilizing Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings.

At March 31, 2010, the estimated unused credit available to the subsidiary banks from collateralized sources within our internal policy limits was approximately $3.8 billion.

Parent Company

The primary source of liquidity for BOK Financial is dividends from subsidiary banks, which are limited by various banking regulations to net profits, as defined, for the year plus retained profits for the two preceding years. Dividends are further restricted by minimum capital requirements. Based on the most restrictive limitations as well as management's internal capital policy, at March 31, 2010, the subsidiary banks could declare up to $188 million of dividends without regulatory approval. Future losses or increases in required regulatory capital at the subsidiary banks could affect their ability to pay dividends to the parent company.

Effective December 2, 2009, the Company amended an unsecured revolving credit agreement with George B. Kaiser, its Chairman and principal shareholder. The terms of the amended credit agreement reduced the committed amount from $188 million to $100 million, changed the interest rate and facility fee to reflect current market terms and extended the maturity date from December 2, 2010 to December 2, 2012. Interest on outstanding balances due to Mr. Kaiser is based on one-month LIBOR plus 250 basis points and is payable quarterly. Additional interest in the form of a facility fee is paid quarterly on the unused portion of the commitment at 50 basis points. Previously, interest was due quarterly based on one-month LIBOR plus 125 basis points and the facility fee was paid quarterly on the unused portion of the commitment at 25 basis points. As with the original agreement, the amended agreement has no restrictive covenants. No amounts were outstanding under this credit agreement as of March 31, 2010 or December 31, 2009.

Our equity capital at March 31, 2010 was $2.3 billion, up from $2.2 billion at December 31, 2009. Net income less cash dividend paid increased equity $44 million during the first quarter of 2010. An increase in the fair value of available-for-sale securities was primarily responsible for the change from an accumulated other comprehensive loss of $11 million at December 31, 2009 to accumulated other comprehensive income of $48 million at March 31, 2010. Capital is managed to maximize long-term value to the shareholders. Factors considered in managing capital include projections of future earnings, asset growth and acquisition strategies, and regulatory and debt covenant requirements. Capital management may include subordinated debt issuance, share repurchase and stock and cash dividends.

Based on asset size, we are the largest commercial bank that elected not to participate in the TARP Capital Purchase Program. The decision not to participate in TARP was based on an evaluation of our capital needs in both the current environment and in several capital stress environments. We considered capital requirements for organic growth and potential acquisitions, the cost of TARP capital and a defined exit strategy when the cost of TARP capital increases substantially at the end of year five.

On April 26, 2005, the Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program, which replaced a previously authorized program. The maximum of two million common shares may be repurchased. The specific timing and amount of shares repurchased will vary based on market conditions, securities law limitations and other factors. Repurchases may be made over time in open market or privately negotiated transactions. The repurchase program may be suspended or discontinued at any time without prior notice. Since this program began, 784,073 shares have been repurchased by the Company for $38.7 million. No shares were repurchased in the first quarter of 2010.

BOK Financial and subsidiary banks are subject to various capital requirements administered by federal agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can result in certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that could have a material impact on operations. These capital requirements include quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and off-balance sheet items. The capital standards are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators.

For a banking institution to qualify as well capitalized, its Tier 1, Total and Leverage capital ratios must be at least 6%, 10% and 5%, respectively. All of the Company's banking subsidiaries exceeded the regulatory definitions of well capitalized. The capital ratios for BOK Financial on a consolidated basis are presented in Table 33.

Table 33 – Capital Ratios	March 31, 2010		Dec. 31, 2009		Sept. 30, 2009		June 30, 2009		March 31, 2009	
Average total equity to average assets	9.69	%	9.48	%	9.26	%	8.70	%	8.35	%
Tangible common equity ratio	8.46		7.99		7.78		7.55		6.84	
Tier 1 common equity ratio	11.33		10.75		10.45		9.77		9.58	
Risk-based capital:										
Tier 1 capital	11.45		10.86		10.56		9.86		9.66	
Total capital	15.09		14.43		14.10		13.34		13.08	
Leverage	8.25		8.05		8.16		7.97		7.85	

Capital resources of financial institutions are also regularly measured by the tangible common shareholders' equity ratio. Tangible common shareholders' equity is shareholders' equity as defined by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("GAAP") less intangible assets and equity which does not benefit common shareholders. Equity that does not benefit common shareholders includes preferred equity and equity provided by the U.S. Treasury's TARP program. Tier 1 common equity is tier 1 equity as defined by banking regulations, adjusted for other comprehensive income (loss) and equity which does not benefit common shareholders. These non-GAAP measures are valuable indicators of a financial institution's capital strength since it eliminates intangible assets from shareholders' equity and retains the effect of unrealized losses on securities and other components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in shareholders' equity.

Table 34 following provides a reconciliation of the non-GAAP measures with financial measures defined by GAAP.

Table 34 – Non-GAAP Measures (Dollars in thousands)	March 31, 2010	Dec. 31, 2009	Sept. 30, 2009	June 30, 2009	March 31, 2009
Tangible common equity ratio:					
Total shareholders' equity	$2,312,443	$2,205,813	$2,185,013	$2,050,572	$1,931,300
Less: Intangible assets, net	352,916	354,239	356,152	357,838	359,523
Tangible common equity	1,959,527	1,851,574	1,828,861	1,692,734	1,571,777
Total assets	23,501,976	23,516,831	23,876,841	22,768,319	23,333,442
Less: Intangible assets, net	352,916	354,239	356,152	357,838	359,523
Tangible assets	$23,149,060	$23,162,592	$23,520,689	$22,410,481	$22,973,919
Tangible common equity ratio	8.46 %	7.99 %	7.78 %	7.55 %	6.84 %
Tier 1 common equity ratio:					
Tier 1 capital	$1,922,783	$1,876,778	$1,849,254	$1,807,705	$1,773,576
Less: Non-controlling interest	20,274	19,561	18,981	15,590	14,751
Tier 1 common equity	1,902,509	1,857,217	1,830,273	1,792,115	1,758,825
Risk weighted assets	$16,787,566	$17,275,808	$17,515,147	$18,338,540	$18,355,862
Tier 1 common equity ratio	11.33 %	10.75 %	10.45 %	9.77 %	9.58 %

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Bank of Oklahoma agreed to guarantee rents totaling $28.7 million through September of 2017 to the City of Tulsa ("City") as owner of a building immediately adjacent to the Bank's main office for space currently rented by third-party tenants in the building. All rent payments are current and remaining guaranteed rents totaled $22.1 million at March 31, 2010. In return for this guarantee, Bank of Oklahoma will receive 80% of net cash flow as defined in an agreement with the City through September 2017 from currently vacant space in the same building. Approximately 17 thousand square feet of this additional space has been rented to outside parties since the date of the agreement. The maximum amount that Bank of Oklahoma may receive under this agreement is $4.5 million.

Market Risk

Market risk is a broad term for the risk of economic loss due to adverse changes in the fair value of a financial instrument. These changes may be the result of various factors, including interest rates, foreign exchange prices, commodity prices or equity prices. Financial instruments that are subject to market risk can be classified either as held for trading or held for purposes other than trading. Market risk excludes changes in fair value due to credit of the individual issuers of financial instruments.

BOK Financial is subject to market risk primarily through the effect of changes in interest rates on both its assets held for purposes other than trading and trading assets. The effects of other changes, such as foreign exchange rates, commodity prices or equity prices do not pose significant market risk to BOK Financial. BOK Financial has no material investments in assets that are affected by changes in foreign exchange rates. Energy and agricultural product derivative contracts, which are affected by changes in commodity prices, are matched against offsetting contracts as previously discussed.

The Asset / Liability Committee is responsible for managing market risk in accordance with policy guidelines established by the Board of Directors. The acceptable negative variation in net interest revenue, net income or economic value of equity due to a specified basis point increase or decrease in interest rates is generally limited by

these guidelines to +/- 10%. These guidelines also set maximum levels for short-term borrowings, short-term assets, public funds, and brokered deposits, and establish minimum levels for un-pledged assets, among other things. Compliance with these guidelines is reviewed monthly.

Interest Rate Risk – Other than Trading

As previously noted in the Net Interest Revenue section of this report, management has implemented strategies to manage the Company's balance sheet to have relatively limited exposure to changes in interest rates over a twelve month period. The effectiveness of these strategies in managing the overall interest rate risk is evaluated through the use of an asset/liability model. BOK Financial performs a sensitivity analysis to identify more dynamic interest rate risk exposures, including embedded option positions, on net interest revenue, net income and economic value of equity. A simulation model is used to estimate the effect of changes in interest rates over the next 12 and longer time periods based on multiple interest rate scenarios. Two specified interest rate scenarios are used to evaluate interest rate risk against policy guidelines. The first assumes a sustained parallel 200 basis point increase and the second assumes a sustained parallel 50 basis point decrease in interest rates. Management historically evaluated interest rate sensitivity for a sustained 200 basis point decrease in interest rates. However, the results of a 200 basis point decrease in interest rates in the current low-rate environment are not meaningful.

The Company's primary interest rate exposures included the Federal Funds rate, which affects short-term borrowings, and the prime lending rate and LIBOR, which are the basis for much of the variable-rate loan pricing. Additionally, mortgage rates directly affect the prepayment speeds for mortgage-backed securities and mortgage servicing rights. Derivative financial instruments and other financial instruments used for purposes other than trading are included in this simulation. The model incorporates assumptions regarding the effects of changes in interest rates and account balances on indeterminable maturity deposits based on a combination of historical analysis and expected behavior. The impact of planned growth and new business activities is factored into the simulation model. The effects of changes in interest rates on the value of mortgage servicing rights are excluded from Table 35 due to the extreme volatility over such a large rate range. The effects of interest rate changes on the value of mortgage servicing rights and securities identified as economic hedges are presented in Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The simulations used to manage market risk are based on numerous assumptions regarding the effects of changes in interest rates on the timing and extent of re-pricing characteristics, future cash flows and customer behavior. These assumptions are inherently uncertain and, as a result, the model cannot precisely estimate net interest revenue, net income or economic value of equity or precisely predict the impact of higher or lower interest rates on net interest revenue, net income or economic value of equity. Actual results will differ from simulated results due to timing, magnitude and frequency of interest rate changes, market conditions and management strategies, among other factors.

Table 35 – Interest Rate Sensitivity
(Dollars in Thousands)

	200 bp Increase		50 bp Decrease	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Anticipated impact over the next twelve months on net interest revenue	$(12,706)	$(9,776)	$(24,197)	***
	(1.7)%	(1.2)%	(3.3)%	***

***A 50 basis point decrease was not computed in 2009.

Trading Activities

BOK Financial enters into trading activities both as an intermediary for customers and for its own account. As an intermediary, BOK Financial will take positions in securities, generally mortgage-backed securities, government agency securities, and municipal bonds. These securities are purchased for resale to customers, which include

individuals, corporations, foundations and financial institutions. BOK Financial will also take trading positions in U.S. Treasury securities, mortgage-backed securities, municipal bonds and financial futures for its own account. These positions are taken with the objective of generating trading profits. Both of these activities involve interest rate risk.

A variety of methods are used to manage the interest rate risk of trading activities. These methods include daily marking of all positions to market value, independent verification of inventory pricing, and position limits for each trading activity. Hedges in either the futures or cash markets may be used to reduce the risk associated with some trading programs.

Management uses a Value at Risk ("VAR") methodology to measure the market risk inherent in its trading activities. VAR is calculated based upon historical simulations over the past five years using a variance / covariance matrix of interest rate changes. It represents an amount of market loss that is likely to be exceeded only one out of every 100 two-week periods. Trading positions are managed within guidelines approved by the Board of Directors. These guidelines limit the VAR to $3.7 million. At March 31, 2010, the VAR was $1.8 million. The greatest value at risk during the first quarter of 2010 was $1.8 million.

Controls and Procedures

As required by Rule 13a-15(b), BOK Financial's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation as of the end of the period covered by their report, of the effectiveness of the company's disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e). Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report. As required by Rule 13a-15(d), BOK Financial's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, also conducted an evaluation of the company's internal controls over financial reporting to determine whether any changes occurred during the quarter covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal controls over financial reporting. Based on that evaluation, there has been no such change during the quarter covered by this report.

Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements that are based on management's beliefs, assumptions, current expectations, estimates, and projections about BOK Financial, the financial services industry and the economy in general. Words such as "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "expects," "forecasts," "plans," "projects," variations of such w and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. Management judgments relating to and discussion of the provision and reserve for loan losses involve judgments as to expected events and are inherently forward-looking statements. Assessments that BOK Financial's acquisitions and other growth endeavors will be profitable are necessary statements of belief as to the outcome of future events, based in part on information provided by others that BOK Financial has not independently verified. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict with regard to timing, extent, likelihood and degree of occurrence. Therefore, actual results and outcomes may materially differ from what is expressed, implied, or forecasted in such forward-looking statements. Internal and external factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to: (1) the ability to fully realize expected cost savings from mergers within the expected time frames, (2) the ability of other companies on which BOK Financial relies to provide goods and services in a timely and accurate manner, (3) changes in interest rates and interest rate relationships, (4) demand for products and services, (5) the degree of competition by traditional and nontraditional competitors, (6) changes in banking regulations, tax laws, prices, levies, and assessments, (7) the impact of technological advances and (8) trends in customer behavior as well as their ability to repay loans. BOK Financial and its affiliates undertake no obligation to update, amend, or clarify forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Consolidated Statements of Earnings (Unaudited)
(In thousands except share and per share data)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
Interest revenue	2010	2009
Loans	$131,944	$143,366
Residential mortgage loans held for sale	1,747	2,378
Taxable securities	82,612	84,002
Tax-exempt securities	2,449	2,650
Total securities	85,061	86,652
Trading securities	610	801
Funds sold and resell agreements	8	30
Total interest revenue	219,370	233,227
Interest expense		
Deposits	27,617	51,927
Borrowed funds	3,613	5,889
Subordinated debentures	5,566	5,566
Total interest expense	36,796	63,382
Net interest revenue	182,574	169,845
Provision for credit losses	42,100	45,040
Net interest revenue after provision for credit losses	140,474	124,805
Other operating revenue		
Brokerage and trading revenue	21,035	24,699
Transaction card revenue	25,687	25,428
Trust fees and commissions	16,320	16,510
Deposit service charges and fees	26,792	27,405
Mortgage banking revenue	14,871	18,498
Bank-owned life insurance	2,972	2,317
Margin asset fees	36	67
Other revenue	7,602	6,583
Total fees and commissions	115,315	121,507
Gain (loss) on other assets net	(1,390)	143
Loss on derivatives, net	(341)	(1,664)
Gain on securities, net	4,524	20,108
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses	(9,708)	(54,368)
Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income	(5,483)	(39,366)
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	(4,225)	(15,002)
Total other operating revenue	113,883	125,092
Other operating expense		
Personnel	96,824	92,627
Business promotion	3,978	4,428
Professional fees and services	6,401	6,512
Net occupancy and equipment	15,511	16,258
Insurance	6,533	5,638