

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

TELUS CORP
Form 6-K
November 07, 2007
Form 6-K

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Report of Foreign Issuer

Pursuant to Rule 13a - 16 or 15d - 16 of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the month of November 2007
(Commission File No. 000-24876)

TELUS Corporation

(Translation of registrant's name into English)

21st Floor, 3777 Kingsway
Burnaby, British Columbia V5H 3Z7
Canada

(Address of principal registered offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports
under cover of Form 20-F or Form 40-F:

Form 20-F Form 40-F

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant by furnishing the information
contained in this Form is also thereby furnishing the information to the
Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of
1934.

Yes No

This Form 6-K consists of the following:

Third Quarter Results
dated November 2, 2007

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
and
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

TELUS CORPORATION

INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

SEPTEMBER 30, 2007

interim consolidated statements of income

| Periods ended September 30 (millions except per share amounts) | Three months | |
|---|---------------------------|------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (restated - Note 2(b)) | |
| OPERATING REVENUES | \$ 2,309.9 | \$ 2,210.7 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | |
| Operations | 1,316.5 | 1,245.8 |
| Restructuring costs (Note 6) | 6.4 | 12.5 |
| Depreciation | 332.5 | 325.8 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 70.1 | 57.5 |
| | 1,725.5 | 1,641.6 |
| OPERATING INCOME | 584.4 | 569.1 |
| Other expense, net | 8.0 | 4.0 |
| Financing costs (Note 7) | 86.2 | 116.6 |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND NON-CONTROLLING INTEREST | 490.2 | 448.5 |
| Income taxes (Note 8) | 78.6 | 126.5 |
| Non-controlling interests | 1.7 | 2.4 |
| NET INCOME AND COMMON SHARE AND NON-VOTING SHARE INCOME | 409.9 | 319.6 |
| OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Note 16(d)) | | |
| Change in unrealized fair value of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges | 8.5 | -- |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment arising from translating financial statements of self-sustaining foreign operations | (1.1) | 0.4 |
| Change in unrealized fair value of available-for-sale financial assets | (0.2) | -- |
| | 7.2 | 0.4 |
| COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | \$ 417.1 | \$ 320.0 |
| NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE AND NON-VOTING SHARE (Note 9) | | |
| - Basic | \$ 1.24 | \$ 0.94 |
| - Diluted | \$ 1.23 | \$ 0.92 |
| DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER COMMON SHARE AND NON-VOTING SHARE | \$ 0.375 | \$ 0.27 |
| TOTAL WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES AND NON-VOTING SHARES OUTSTANDING | | |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income | \$ 409.9 | \$ 319.6 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 402.6 | 383.3 |
| Future income taxes | 222.7 | 146.3 |
| Share-based compensation (Note 10(a)) | (3.3) | 14.2 |
| Net employee defined benefit plans expense | (24.0) | (1.5) |
| Employer contributions to employee defined benefit plans | (18.9) | (28.8) |
| Restructuring costs, net of cash payments (Note 6) | 3.3 | (1.2) |
| Amortization of deferred gains on sale-leaseback of buildings, amortization of deferred charges and other, net | 5.9 | 3.9 |
| Net change in non-cash working capital (Note 18(c)) | (166.4) | (265.4) |
| Cash provided by operating activities | 831.8 | 570.4 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Capital expenditures (Notes 5, 13) | (434.1) | (423.9) |
| Acquisitions | -- | (25.0) |
| Proceeds from the sale of property and other assets | 4.1 | 6.9 |
| Change in non-current materials and supplies, purchase of investments and other | -- | (9.0) |
| Cash used by investing activities | (430.0) | (451.0) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Common Shares and Non-Voting Shares issued | 0.1 | 37.2 |
| Dividends to shareholders | -- | (93.8) |
| Purchase of Common Shares and Non-Voting Shares for cancellation (Note 16(g)) | (232.2) | (119.7) |
| Long-term debt issued (Note 15) | 2,679.9 | 499.0 |
| Redemptions and repayment of long-term debt (Note 15) | (2,850.8) | (448.9) |
| Partial repayment of deferred hedging liability | -- | -- |
| Dividends paid by a subsidiary to non-controlling interests | -- | -- |
| Other | -- | -- |
| Cash used by financing activities | (403.0) | (126.2) |
| CASH POSITION | | |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and temporary investments, net | (1.2) | (6.8) |
| Cash and temporary investments, net, beginning of period | 2.2 | (18.6) |
| Cash and temporary investments, net, end of period | \$ 1.0 | \$ (25.4) |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOWS | | |
| Interest (paid) (Note 18(c)) | \$ (41.1) | \$ (13.0) |
| Interest received | \$ 1.4 | \$ 0.6 |
| Income taxes (inclusive of Investment Tax Credits (Note 8)) (paid) received, net | \$ (1.7) | \$ (0.6) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these interim consolidated financial statements

notes to interim consolidated financial statements (unaudited)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2007

TELUS Corporation is one of Canada's largest telecommunications companies,

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

providing a full range of telecommunications products and services. The Company is the largest incumbent telecommunications service provider in Western Canada and provides data, Internet protocol, voice and wireless services to Central and Eastern Canada.

| Notes to interim consolidated financial statements | Page | Description |
|--|------|---|
| ----- | | |
| General application | | |
| ----- | | |
| 1. Interim financial statements | 7 | Summary explanation of basis of preparation of consolidated financial statements |
| ----- | | |
| 2. Accounting policy developments | 7 | Summary review of generally accepted accounting principles and developments that do, will, or may be adopted |
| ----- | | |
| 3. Capital structure financial policies | 11 | Summary review of the Company's objectives and processes for managing its capital structure |
| ----- | | |
| 4. Financial instruments | 13 | Summary schedule and review of financial instruments, including fair values thereof |
| ----- | | |
| Consolidated statements of income focused | | |
| ----- | | |
| 5. Segmented information | 15 | Summary disclosure of segmented information provided to the Company's chief operating decision maker |
| ----- | | |
| 6. Restructuring costs | 17 | Summary continuity schedule and restructuring costs |
| ----- | | |
| 7. Financing costs | 17 | Summary schedule of items comprising financing costs |
| ----- | | |
| 8. Income taxes | 17 | Summary reconciliations of statutory income tax expense to provision for income taxes |
| ----- | | |
| 9. Per share amounts | 18 | Summary schedule and review of non-recurring items used in calculating per share amounts |
| ----- | | |
| 10. Share-based compensation | 19 | Summary schedules and review of compensation, including share option awards, restricted stock awards, and share purchase plan |
| ----- | | |
| 11. Employee future benefits | 21 | Summary and review of employee future benefits and disclosures |
| ----- | | |
| Consolidated balance sheets focused | | |
| ----- | | |
| 12. Accounts receivable | 23 | Summary schedule and review of accounts receivable, trust transactions and related disclosures |
| ----- | | |
| 13. Capital assets | 24 | Summary schedule of items comprising capital assets |
| ----- | | |
| 14. Goodwill | 25 | Summary schedule of goodwill |
| ----- | | |
| 15. Long-term debt | 25 | Summary schedule of long-term debt |
| ----- | | |
| 16. Shareholders' equity | 28 | Summary schedules and review of share capital, including changes therein including details of share capital, income, accumulated other comprehensive income, price stratification and normal course of business |
| ----- | | |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | |
|---|----|---|
| 17. Commitments and contingent liabilities | 33 | Summary review of contingent liabilities and lawsuits |
| ----- | | |
| Other | | |
| ----- | | |
| 18. Additional financial information | 35 | Summary schedules of items comprising statement line items |
| ----- | | |
| 19. Differences between Canadian and United States generally accepted accounting principles | 37 | Summary schedules and review of differences between Canadian and United States generally accepted accounting principles and how they apply to the Company |
| ----- | | |

1 interim financial statements

The notes presented in these interim consolidated financial statements include only significant events and transactions and are not fully inclusive of all matters normally disclosed in TELUS Corporation's annual audited financial statements. As a result, these interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the TELUS Corporation audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006. These interim consolidated financial statements follow the same accounting policies and methods of their application as set out in the TELUS Corporation consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006, other than as set out in Note 2, including that certain of the comparative amounts have been reclassified to conform with the presentation adopted currently. Accordingly, these interim consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (which are of a normal recurring nature) that are, in the opinion of the Company, necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented.

The terms "TELUS" or "Company" are used to mean TELUS Corporation and, where the context of the narrative permits, or requires, its subsidiaries.

2 accounting policy developments

(a) Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards

In 2006, Canada's Accounting Standards Board ratified a strategic plan that will result in Canadian generally accepted accounting principles (Canadian "GAAP"), as used by public companies, being evolved and converged with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") over a transitional period currently expected to be complete by 2011. The precise timing of convergence will depend on an Accounting Standards Board "progress review" to be undertaken and released by March 31, 2008.

Canadian GAAP will be converged with IFRS through a combination of two methods: as current joint-convergence projects of the United States' Financial Accounting Standards Board and the International Accounting Standards Board are agreed upon, they will be adopted by Canada's Accounting Standards Board and may be introduced in Canada before the complete changeover to IFRS; and standards not subject to a joint-convergence project will be exposed in an omnibus manner for introduction at the time of the complete changeover to IFRS.

As the International Accounting Standards Board currently, and expectedly, has projects underway that should result in new pronouncements that continue to evolve IFRS, and that this Canadian convergence initiative is very much in its infancy as of the date of these consolidated financial statements, it is premature to currently assess the impact of the Canadian initiative, if any, on the Company.

(b) Comprehensive income; recognition and measurement of financial instruments
 Overview: Commencing with the Company's 2007 fiscal year, the recommendations of the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants ("CICA") for accounting for comprehensive income (CICA Handbook Section 1530), for the recognition and

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

measurement of financial instruments (CICA Handbook Section 3855) and for hedges (CICA Handbook Section 3865) apply to the Company. Currently, the concept of comprehensive income for purposes of Canadian GAAP, in the Company's specific instance, is primarily to include changes in shareholders' equity arising from unrealized changes in the fair values of certain financial instruments.

The majority of the impact on the Company of adopting the other comprehensive income and related standards currently arises from the Company's cross currency interest rate swap agreements, as discussed further in Note 15(b) and, to a lesser extent, the cash-settled equity forward agreements that the Company entered into in respect of share-based compensation, as discussed further in Note 10(c).

In the application of hedge accounting to U.S. Dollar denominated long-term debt future cash outflows, an amount (the "hedge value") is recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in respect of the value of the hedging items. The difference between the hedge value that would be recorded on the consolidated balance sheet subsequent to, and prior to, the adoption of the newly applied CICA recommendations, in respect of the U.S. Dollar denominated long-term debt future cash flows, is the difference between the fair value of the hedging items and the hedging asset or liability necessary to recognize the Canadian dollar equivalent of the value of the hedged items at the rate of exchange in the hedging items. This is illustrated in the following table:

notes to interim consolidated financial statements

| As at (millions) | September 30, 2007 | |
|--|-----------------------|------------|
| | 2011 Notes | 2007 Notes |
| Canadian dollar equivalent of principal at rates of exchange in hedging items | \$ 2,950.5 | \$ 1,483.3 |
| Canadian dollar equivalent of principal at balance sheet date rate of exchange | 1,912.2 | 1,357.9 |
| Hedge value necessary to reflect rates of exchange in hedging items | 1,038.3 | 125.4 |
| Difference arising from newly applied CICA recommendations(1) | 163.2 | 14.2 |
| Fair value of hedging items | \$ 1,201.5 | \$ 139.6 |

Comprehensive income as prescribed by U.S. GAAP, and which is disclosed in Note 16(d), is largely aligned with comprehensive income as prescribed by Canadian GAAP, other than for pension accounting impacts. In the Company's specific instance, U.S. GAAP includes, in respect of pension and other defined benefit plans, the difference between the net funded states of the plans and the net accrued benefit asset or liability.

Implementation and application: In the Company's specific instance, the transitional rules for these sections generally require prospective implementation at the beginning of a fiscal year (the exception being in respect of the cumulative foreign currency translation adjustment, which is retrospectively adjusted for at the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption).

Costs of issuing debt securities, less amortization, are now netted against the debt security from which they arose. This resulted in a consolidated

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

balance sheet reclassification from deferred charges to long-term debt of \$19.9 million as at December 31, 2006. Prior to 2007, costs of issuing debt securities were amortized on a straight-line basis, such costs are now required to be amortized using the effective interest method; the Company was not materially affected by the change in amortization method, which was prospectively applied.

In the ongoing application of these recommendations, the Company was required to select from a number of pertinent alternative acceptable accounting principles and methods and the Company has made the following selections:

| Financial instrument | Classified as available-for-sale or held as part of a hedging relationship(1) | Classified as held for trading (1) (2) | Company's rea |
|--|---|---|---|
| .. Short-term marketable security investments(3) held as at January 1, 2007 | | X | . The Compa better ref intentions |
| .. Long-term investments(3) held as at January 1, 2007 | X | | . The Compa available- management |
| .. Stand-alone derivatives which are a part of an established and documented hedging relationship | X | | . The Compa as held fo matching o with the r |

- * Accounts receivable that are available-for-sale to an arm's-length securitization trust are accounted for as loans and receivables. The Company has selected this method as the benefits that would have been expected to arise from using the available-for-sale method were not expected to exceed the costs of selecting and implementing that method.
- * Regular-way purchases or sales, which are those that are not net-settled, of financial assets or financial liabilities are recognized on the trade date. The Company has selected this method as it is consistent with the mandatory trade-date accounting required for derivative instruments.
- * Transaction costs, other than in respect of held for trading items, are added to the initial fair value of the acquired financial asset or financial liability. The Company has selected this method as it believes that this results in a better matching of the transaction costs with the periods benefiting from the transaction costs.
- * In respect of hedges of anticipated transactions, which in the Company's specific instance currently relates to inventory purchase commitments, hedge gains/losses which will be included in the cost of the inventory and will be expensed when the inventory is sold. The Company has selected this method as it believes that a better matching with the risk exposure being hedged is achieved.

Effects disclosure: The effects of the application of CICA Handbook

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

Sections 1530, 3855 and 3865, on the Company's results of operations for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007, are as set out in the following table:

Periods ended September 30, 2007
(millions except per share amounts)

| | Three months | | | |
|--|--|---|--------------------------|--|
| | Excluding effect of application of HB 1530, HB 3855 and HB 3865 | Incremental effect of application of HB 1530, HB 3855 and HB 3865 | As currently reported | Excluding effect of application of HB 1530, HB 3855 and HB 3865 |
| Operating revenues | \$ 2,309.9 | \$ -- | \$ 2,309.9 | \$ 6,743.6 |
| Operating expenses | 1,725.5 | -- | 1,725.5 | 5,268.4 |
| Operating income | 584.4 | -- | 584.4 | 1,475.2 |
| Other expenses, net | 9.5 | (1.5) | 8.0 | 31.7 |
| Financing costs | 86.8 | (0.6) | 86.2 | 336.6 |
| Income before income taxes and non-controlling interest | 488.1 | 2.1 | 490.2 | 1,106.9 |
| Income taxes | 78.4 | 0.2 | 78.6 | 249.7 |
| Non-controlling interests | 1.7 | -- | 1.7 | 4.5 |
| Net income and Common Share and Non-Voting Share income | 408.0 | 1.9 | 409.9 | 852.7 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | |
| Change in unrealized fair value of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges | -- | 8.5 | 8.5 | -- |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment arising from translating financial statements of self-sustaining foreign operations | -- | (1.1) | (1.1) | -- |
| Change in unrealized fair value of available-for-sale financial assets | -- | (0.2) | (0.2) | -- |
| | -- | 7.2 | 7.2 | -- |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 408.0 | \$ 9.1 | \$ 417.1 | \$ 852.7 |
| Net income per Common Share and Non-Voting Share | | | | |
| - Basic | \$ 1.24 | \$ -- | \$ 1.24 | \$ 2.56 |
| - Diluted | \$ 1.23 | \$ -- | \$ 1.23 | \$ 2.54 |

The effects of the application of CICA Handbook Sections 1530, 3855 and 3865, on the Company's retained earnings for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007, are as set out in the following table:

| | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------|
| | Excluding effect of application of HB 1530, HB 3855 | Incremen of appl HB 1530, |
|--|---|---------------------------------|

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| Nine-month period ended September 30, 2007 (millions) | and HB 3865 | HB |
|--|--------------------|----|
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 1,080.1 | \$ |
| Income | 852.7 | |
| <hr/> | | |
| Common Share and Non-Voting Share dividends paid, or payable, in cash | 1,932.8 (374.3) | |
| Purchase of Common Shares and Non-Voting Shares in excess of stated capital | (400.0) | |
| Other | 3.7 | |
| <hr/> | | |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 1,162.2 | \$ |

The effects of the application of CICA Handbook Sections 1530, 3855 and 3865, on the Company's financial position as at September 30, 2007, are as set out in the following table:

| As at September 30, 2007 (millions) | Excluding effect of application of HB 1530, HB 3855 and HB 3865 | Increme of appl HB 153 and |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| <hr/> | | |
| Assets | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and temporary investments, net | \$ 1.0 | \$ |
| Short-term investments | 42.7 | |
| Accounts receivable | 590.7 | |
| Income and other taxes receivable | 269.0 | |
| Inventories | 170.6 | |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 209.0 | |
| Derivative assets | 15.5 | |
| <hr/> | | |
| | 1,298.5 | |
| <hr/> | | |
| Capital assets, net | 11,099.5 | |
| <hr/> | | |
| Other assets | | |
| Deferred charges | 1,121.4 | |
| Investments | 28.9 | |
| Goodwill | 3,168.5 | |
| <hr/> | | |
| | 4,318.8 | |
| <hr/> | | |
| | \$ 16,716.8 | \$ |
| <hr/> | | |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ 1,438.5 | \$ |
| Income and other taxes payable | 5.1 | |
| Restructuring accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 32.1 | |
| Dividends payable | 123.4 | |
| Advance billings and customer deposits | 614.5 | |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 6.0 | |
| Current portion of derivative liabilities | 7.5 | |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | |
|--|-------------|----|
| Current portion of future income taxes | 426.5 | |
| | 2,653.6 | |
| Long-term debt | 4,528.1 | |
| Other long-term liabilities | 1,597.6 | |
| Future income taxes | 1,127.0 | |
| Non-controlling interests | 23.8 | |
| Shareholders' equity | | |
| Common Shares | 2,230.9 | |
| Non-Voting Shares | 3,252.8 | |
| | 5,483.7 | |
| Cumulative foreign currency translation adjustment | (6.4) | |
| Retained earnings and accumulated other comprehensive income | | |
| Retained earnings | 1,162.2 | |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | -- | |
| | 1,162.2 | |
| Contributed surplus | 147.2 | |
| | 6,786.7 | |
| | \$ 16,716.8 | \$ |

(c) Income taxes arising from partnership income

In mid-2006, Canada's Accounting Standards Board's Emerging Issues Committee issued a Draft Abstract ("D59") regarding the accounting for income taxes related to entities that consolidate partnership interests that have a different year end than the consolidating entity. The Company has applied the guidance therein contained through its 2006 year end. In March 2007, the Emerging Issues Committee issued a revised Draft Abstract ("RD59") which changed the approach to determination of the classification of future income taxes between "current" and "non-current". In May 2007, the Emerging Issues Committee removed this issue from its agenda.

Given the absence of specific guidance on the issue, the Company has adopted the policy of accounting for the current portion of the future income tax liability for partnerships to include the tax effect of differences between the accounting and tax bases of current assets and current liabilities and the tax on partnership income to be allocated during the next twelve months. The Company has retrospectively applied this policy, which has, in the Company's specific current instance, the net effect of increasing the current portion of future income tax liabilities. The consolidated balance sheet reclassification is as set out in the following table:

| As at (millions) | September 30, 2007 | | | D |
|------------------|---------------------------------|--|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Pro forma, reflecting D59 | Incremental effect of application of | As currently | As previous reported reflecting |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | application | policy | reported | applicati |
|--|-------------|------------|------------|------------|
| ----- | | | | |
| Current liabilities | | | | |
| Current portion of future income taxes | \$ 308.5 | \$ 118.0 | \$ 426.5 | \$ 93.2 |
| Future Income Taxes | \$ 1,194.8 | \$ (118.0) | \$ 1,076.8 | \$ 1,067.3 |
| ----- | | | | |

(d) Financial instruments - disclosure; presentation

In respect of its 2007 fiscal year, the Company must comply with the recommendations of the CICA for financial instrument disclosure and presentation (CICA Handbook Section 3861). As an activity consistent with Canadian GAAP being evolved and converged with IFRS, the existing recommendations for financial instrument disclosure will be replaced with new recommendations (CICA Handbook Section 3862); the existing recommendations for financial instrument presentation will be carried forward, unchanged (CICA Handbook Section 3863).

Commencing with the Company's 2008 fiscal year, the new recommendations of the CICA for financial instrument disclosures will apply to the Company. The new recommendations will result in incremental disclosures, relative to those currently, with an emphasis on risks associated with both recognized and unrecognized financial instruments to which an entity is exposed during the period and at the balance sheet date, and how an entity manages those risks. The Company is assessing how it will be affected by these new recommendations.

(e) Inventories

Commencing with the Company's 2008 fiscal year, the new, IFRS-converged recommendations of the CICA for accounting for inventories (CICA Handbook Section 3031) will apply to the Company. The new recommendations provide more guidance on the measurement and disclosure requirements for inventories; significantly, the new recommendations allow the reversals of previous write-downs to net realizable value where there is a subsequent increase in the value of inventories. The Company does not expect to be materially affected by the new recommendations.

3 capital structure financial policies

The Company's objectives when managing capital are: (i) to maintain a flexible capital structure which optimizes the cost of capital at acceptable risk; and (ii) to manage capital in a manner which balances the interests of equity and debt holders.

In the management of capital, the Company includes shareholders' equity (excluding accumulated other comprehensive income), long-term debt (including any associated hedging assets or liabilities, net of amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income), cash and temporary investments and securitized accounts receivable in the definition of capital.

The Company manages the capital structure and makes adjustments to it in the light of changes in economic conditions and the risk characteristics of the underlying assets. In order to maintain or adjust the capital structure, the Company may adjust the amount of dividends paid to shareholders, purchase shares for cancellation pursuant to normal course issuer bids, issue new shares, issue new debt, issue new debt to replace existing debt with different characteristics and/or increase or decrease the amount of sales of trade receivables to an arm's-length securitization trust.

The Company monitors capital on a number of bases, including: net debt to total capitalization; net debt to Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization - excluding restructuring costs ("EBITDA - excluding restructuring costs"); and dividend payout ratio of sustainable net earnings.

Net debt to total capitalization is calculated as net debt divided by total capitalization. Net debt is a non-GAAP measure, whose nearest GAAP measure is

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

long-term debt; the calculation of net debt is as set out in the following schedule. Net debt is one component of a ratio used to determine compliance with debt covenants. Total capitalization is defined as the sum of net debt, non-controlling interest and shareholders' equity (excluding accumulated other comprehensive income).

Net debt to EBITDA - excluding restructuring costs is calculated as net debt at the end of the period divided by twelve-month trailing EBITDA - excluding restructuring costs. The calculation of EBITDA - excluding restructuring costs is a non-GAAP measure whose nearest GAAP measure is net income; the calculation of EBITDA - excluding restructuring costs is as set out in the following schedule. This measure, historically, is substantially the same as the leverage ratio covenant in the Company's credit facilities.

Dividend payout ratio of sustainable net earnings is calculated as the most recent quarterly dividend declared per share multiplied by four and divided by basic earnings per share for the twelve-month trailing period.

During 2007, the Company's strategy, which was unchanged from 2006, was to maintain the financial policies and guidelines set out in the following schedule. The Company believes that these financial policies and guidelines, which are reviewed annually, are currently at the optimal level and provide access to capital at a reasonable cost by maintaining credit ratings in the range of BBB+ to A-, or the equivalent.

| As at, or twelve-month periods ended, September 30 (\$ in millions) | Policies and guidelines |
|--|----------------------------|
| ----- | |
| Components of debt and coverage ratios | |
| Net debt (1) | |
| Total capitalization - book value | |
| EBITDA - excluding restructuring costs (2) | |
| Net interest cost (3) | |
| Debt ratios | |
| Net debt to total capitalization | |
| Net debt to EBITDA - excluding restructuring costs | 1.5:1 - 2.0:1 |
| Coverage ratios | |
| Interest coverage on long-term debt (4) | |
| EBITDA - excluding restructuring costs interest coverage (5) | |
| Other measures | |
| Dividend payout ratio of sustainable net earnings | 45 - 55% |
| ----- | |

Total capitalization decreased because of lower share capital and lower net debt, partly offset by higher retained earnings.

Changes in net debt and twelve-month trailing EBITDA - excluding restructuring costs did not have a significant impact on the net debt to EBITDA - excluding restructuring costs ratio measured at September 30, 2007, when compared to one year earlier.

Interest coverage on long-term debt improved by 0.3 because of lower interest expenses and improved by 0.3 because of increased income before taxes and interest expense. The EBITDA - excluding restructuring costs interest coverage ratio improved by 1.1 due to lower net interest costs.

The dividend payout ratio for the twelve-month period ended September 30, 2007, was at 46% and the ratio calculated to exclude the impacts of tax-related adjustments and the charge for adding the net-cash settlement feature for share options granted prior to 2005 in the first quarter of 2007 was also 46%.

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

4 financial instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and temporary investments, accounts receivable, investments accounted for using the cost method, accounts payable, restructuring accounts payable, short-term obligations, long-term debt, interest rate swap agreements, share-based compensation cost hedges, as further discussed in Note 10(b)-(c), and foreign exchange hedges.

Fair value: The carrying value of cash and temporary investments, accounts receivable, accounts payable, restructuring accounts payable and short-term obligations approximates their fair values due to the immediate or short-term maturity of these financial instruments. The carrying values of the Company's investments accounted for using the cost method would not exceed their fair values.

The carrying value of short-term investments equals their fair value as they are classified as held for trading. The fair value is determined directly by reference to quoted market prices.

The fair values of the Company's long-term debt are estimated based on quoted market prices for the same or similar issues or on the current rates offered to the Company for debt of the same maturity as well as the use of discounted future cash flows using current rates for similar financial instruments subject to similar risks and maturities. The fair values of the Company's derivative financial instruments used to manage exposure to interest rate and currency risks are estimated similarly.

The fair values of the Company's derivative financial instruments used to manage exposure to increases in compensation costs arising from certain forms of share-based compensation are estimated based upon fair value estimates of the related cash-settled equity forward agreements provided by the counterparty to the transactions.

As at (millions)

September 30, 2007

| | Hedging item maximum maturity date | Notional amount | Carrying amount | Fair value | Not am |
|--|--|--------------------|--------------------|------------|-----------|
| Assets | | | | | |
| Financial assets designated as held for trading upon initial recognition | | | | | |
| - Short-term investments | | | \$ 44.1 | \$ 44.1 | |
| - Derivatives used to manage currency risks arising from U.S. Dollar denominated revenues to which hedge accounting is not applied | 2007 | \$ 5.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | \$ |
| | | | \$ 44.3 | \$ 44.3 | |
| Derivatives used to manage changes in share-based compensation costs and classified as held for | | | | | |
| - Trading (Note 10(b)) | 2012 | \$ 238.3 | \$ 4.8 | | \$ |
| - Net amounts due from counterparties | | | (1.2) | | |
| | | | 3.6 | \$ 3.6 | |
| - Hedging(1) (Note 10(c)) | 2009 | \$ 71.3 | 18.2 | | \$ |
| - Net amounts due from counterparties | | | (4.4) | | |
| | | | 13.8 | 13.8 | |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | |
|---|---------|---------|
| | \$ 17.4 | \$ 17.4 |
| Long-term investments designated as available-for-sale upon initial recognition | \$ 30.3 | \$ 30.3 |

As at (millions)

September 30, 2007

| | Hedging item maximum maturity date | Notional amount | Carrying amount | Fair value | Not am |
|---|--|--------------------|--------------------|------------|-----------|
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | | | | | |
| Principal (Note 15) | | | \$4,502.6 | \$4,886.1 | |
| Derivatives(1) classified as held for hedging and used to manage interest rate and currency risks associated with U.S. Dollar denominated debt (Note 15(b)) | | | | | |
| - Derivative asset | 2007 | \$ -- | -- | | \$ |
| - Derivative liability - Current | 2007 | \$ -- | -- | | \$ |
| - Derivative liability - Non-current | 2011 | \$2,950.5 | 1,201.5 | | \$2 |
| | | | 1,201.5 | | |
| - Interest payable | | | 32.3 | | |
| Net | | | 1,233.8 | 1,233.8 | |
| Derivatives(1) used to manage interest rate risk associated with planned refinancing of debt maturing June 1, 2007 | 2007 | \$ -- | -- | | \$ |
| | | | \$5,736.4 | \$6,119.9 | |
| Derivatives(1) classified as held for hedging and used to manage currency risks arising from U.S. Dollar denominated purchases to which hedge accounting is applied | 2007 | \$ 65.8 | \$ 6.1 | \$ 6.1 | \$ |
| Financial liabilities designated as held for trading upon initial recognition | | | | | |
| - Derivatives used to manage currency risks arising from U.S. Dollar denominated purchases to which hedge accounting is not applied | 2008 | \$ 106.8 | \$ 7.4 | \$ 7.4 | \$ |

5 segmented information

The Company's reportable segments are Wireline and Wireless. The Wireline segment includes voice local, voice long distance, data and other telecommunications services excluding wireless. The Wireless segment includes digital personal communications services, equipment sales and wireless Internet services. Segmentation is based on similarities in technology, the technical expertise required to deliver the products and services, the distribution

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

channels used and regulatory treatment. Intersegment sales are recorded at the exchange value, which is the amount agreed to by the parties. The following segmented information is regularly reported to the Company's Chief Executive Officer (the Company's chief operating decision maker).

| Three-month periods ended September 30 (millions) | Wireline | | Wireless | | Eliminations | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|--------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 | 2006 |
| <hr/> | | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | | | | | | |
| External revenue | \$1,204.6 | \$1,200.3 | \$1,105.3 | \$1,010.4 | \$ -- | \$ -- |
| Intersegment revenue | 29.7 | 23.5 | 7.0 | 6.0 | (36.7) | (29.5) |
| | 1,234.3 | 1,223.8 | 1,112.3 | 1,016.4 | (36.7) | (29.5) |
| <hr/> | | | | | | |
| Operating expenses | | | | | | |
| Operations expense | 761.6 | 742.5 | 591.6 | 532.8 | (36.7) | (29.5) |
| Restructuring costs | 6.4 | 11.7 | -- | 0.8 | -- | -- |
| | 768.0 | 754.2 | 591.6 | 533.6 | (36.7) | (29.5) |
| <hr/> | | | | | | |
| EBITDA(1) | \$ 466.3 | \$ 469.6 | \$ 520.7 | \$ 482.8 | \$ -- | \$ -- |
| <hr/> | | | | | | |
| CAPEX(2) | \$ 302.6 | \$ 311.4 | \$ 131.5 | \$ 112.5 | \$ -- | \$ -- |
| <hr/> | | | | | | |
| EBITDA less CAPEX | \$ 163.7 | \$ 158.2 | \$ 389.2 | \$ 370.3 | \$ -- | \$ -- |
| <hr/> | | | | | | |
| Operating expenses (as adjusted) (3) | | | | | | |
| Operations expense (as adjusted) (3) | 771.1 | 742.5 | 589.3 | 532.8 | (36.7) | (29.5) |
| Restructuring costs | 6.4 | 11.7 | -- | 0.8 | -- | -- |
| | 777.5 | 754.2 | 589.3 | 533.6 | (36.7) | (29.5) |
| <hr/> | | | | | | |
| EBITDA (as adjusted) (3) | \$ 456.8 | \$ 469.6 | \$ 523.0 | \$ 482.8 | \$ -- | \$ -- |
| <hr/> | | | | | | |
| CAPEX(2) | \$ 302.6 | \$ 311.4 | \$ 131.5 | \$ 112.5 | \$ -- | \$ -- |
| <hr/> | | | | | | |
| EBITDA (as adjusted) less CAPEX | \$ 154.2 | \$ 158.2 | \$ 391.5 | \$ 370.3 | \$ -- | \$ -- |
| <hr/> | | | | | | |
| | | | | | EBITDA (as adjusted) (from above) | |
| | | | | | Incremental charge(3) | |
| | | | | | ----- | |
| | | | | | EBITDA (from above) | |
| | | | | | Depreciation | |
| | | | | | Amortization | |
| | | | | | ----- | |
| | | | | | Operating income | |
| | | | | | Other expense, net | |
| | | | | | Financing costs | |
| | | | | | ----- | |
| | | | | | Income before income taxes and non- controlling interes | |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

Income before income
taxes and non-
controlling interest
Income taxes
Non-controlling
interests

Net income
=====

6 restructuring costs

| Periods ended September 30 (millions) | Three months | | |
|--|--------------|---------|------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Restructuring costs | | | |
| Workforce | | | |
| Voluntary | \$ 2.6 | \$ 6.9 | \$ |
| Involuntary | 3.1 | 5.0 | |
| Other | 0.7 | 0.6 | |
| | 6.4 | 12.5 | |
| Disbursements | | | |
| Workforce | | | |
| Voluntary | -- | 4.6 | |
| Involuntary and other | 3.0 | 8.3 | |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.8 | |
| | 3.1 | 13.7 | |
| Expenses greater than (less than) disbursements | 3.3 | (1.2) | |
| Restructuring accounts payable and accrued liabilities | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | 28.8 | 60.5 | |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 32.1 | \$ 59.3 | \$ |

In the first half of 2007, arising from its competitive efficiency program, the Company undertook a number of smaller initiatives, such as operational consolidation, rationalization and integration. These initiatives are aimed to improve the Company's operating productivity and competitiveness. The Company's estimate of restructuring costs in 2007 is approximately \$25 million.

7 financing costs

| Periods ended September 30 (millions) | Three months | | |
|--|--------------|----------|------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Interest on long-term debt | \$ 108.2 | \$ 125.3 | \$ |
| Interest on short-term obligations and other | 0.6 | 0.3 | |
| Foreign exchange(1) | 3.5 | (0.3) | |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | |
|-------------------------|---------|----------|----|
| Interest income | 112.3 | 125.3 | |
| Interest on tax refunds | (25.3) | (7.9) | |
| Other interest income | (0.8) | (0.8) | |
| | (26.1) | (8.7) | |
| | \$ 86.2 | \$ 116.6 | \$ |

8 income taxes

| Periods ended September 30 (millions) | Three months | | 2007 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | |
| Current | \$ (144.1) | \$ (19.8) | \$ (|
| Future | 222.7 | 146.3 |) |
| | \$ 78.6 | \$ 126.5 | \$ |

The Company's income tax expense differs from that calculated by applying statutory rates for the following reasons:

| Three-month periods ended September 30 (\$ in millions) | 2007 | |
|---|----------|------|
| Basic blended federal and provincial tax at statutory income tax rates | \$ 164.6 | 33.6 |
| Tax rate differential on, and consequential adjustments from, reassessment of prior year tax issues | (76.3) | |
| Revaluation of future income tax liability to reflect future statutory income tax rates | (8.6) | |
| Share option award compensation | 1.1 | |
| Other | (2.2) | |
| Income tax expense per Consolidated Statements of Income | \$ 78.6 | 16.0 |

| Nine-month periods ended September 30 (\$ in millions) | 2007 | |
|---|----------|------|
| Basic blended federal and provincial tax at statutory income tax rates | \$ 373.8 | 33.6 |
| Tax rate differential on, and consequential adjustments from, reassessment of prior year tax issues | (76.3) | |
| Revaluation of future income tax liability to reflect future statutory income tax rates | (36.5) | |
| Share option award compensation | (5.4) | |
| Other | (4.0) | |
| Income tax expense per Consolidated Statements of Income | \$ 251.6 | 22.6 |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

The Company conducts research and development activities, which are eligible to earn Investment Tax Credits. During the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007, the Company recorded Investment Tax Credits of \$NIL (2006 - \$NIL) and \$10.6 million (2006 - \$12.6 million), respectively, \$NIL (2006 - \$NIL) and \$9.2 million (2006 - \$12.6 million) of which was recorded as a reduction of capital and the balance of which was recorded as a reduction of Operations expense.

9 per share amounts

Basic net income per Common Share and Non-Voting Share is calculated by dividing Common Share and Non-Voting Share income by the total weighted average Common Shares and Non-Voting Shares outstanding during the period. Diluted income per Common Share and Non-Voting Share is calculated to give effect to share option awards.

The following table presents the reconciliations of the denominators of the basic and diluted per share computations. Net income equaled diluted Common Share and Non-Voting Share income for all periods presented.

| Periods ended September 30 (millions) | Three months | |
|--|--------------|-------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| ----- | | |
| Basic total weighted average Common Shares and Non-Voting Shares outstanding | 330.1 | 341.1 |
| Effect of dilutive securities | | |
| Exercise of share option awards | 2.7 | 4.0 |
| ----- | | |
| Diluted total weighted average Common Shares and Non-Voting Shares outstanding | 332.8 | 346.1 |
| ===== | | |

For the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007, certain outstanding share option awards, in the amount of 1.3 million (2006 - NIL) and 0.3 million (2006 - 0.3 million), respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted income per Common Share and Non-Voting Share because the share option awards' exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the Common Shares and Non-Voting Shares during the reported periods.

10 share-based compensation

(a) Details of share-based compensation expense

Reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income as "Operations expense" and the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows are the following share-based compensation amounts:

| Three-month periods ended September 30 | 2007 | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------|
| (millions) | Operations expense (recovery) | Associated operating cash outflows | Statement of cash flows adjustment | Operations expense |
| ----- | | | | |
| Share option awards(1) | \$ (4.1) | \$ (6.8) | \$ (10.9) | \$ 5.2 |
| Restricted stock units | 7.8 | (0.2) | 7.6 | 9.6 |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------|---------|
| Employee share purchase plan | 9.2 | (9.2) | -- | 8.6 |
| | \$ 12.9 | \$ (16.2) | \$ (3.3) | \$ 23.4 |

| Nine-month periods ended September 30 | | 2007 | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| (millions) | Operations expense | Associated operating cash outflows | Statement of cash flows adjustment | Operations expense |
| Share option awards(1) | \$ 179.1 | \$ (74.1) | \$ 105.0 | \$ 14.5 |
| Restricted stock units | 23.7 | (2.3) | 21.4 | 23.9 |
| Employee share purchase plan | 26.9 | (26.9) | -- | 25.0 |
| | \$ 229.7 | \$ (103.3) | \$ 126.4 | \$ 63.4 |

For the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007, the associated operating cash outflows in respect of share option awards are net of cash inflows from the cash-settled equity swap agreements of \$1.1 million (2006 - \$NIL) and \$10.0 million (2006 - \$NIL), respectively. For the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007, the income tax benefit arising from share-based compensation was \$3.3 million (2006 - \$6.1 million) and \$81.0 million (2006 - \$16.5 million), respectively; as disclosed in Note 8, not all share-based compensation amounts are deductible for income tax purposes.

(b) Share option awards

The Company applies the fair value based method of accounting for share-based compensation awards granted to employees. Share option awards typically vest over a three-year period (the requisite service period), but may vest over periods of up to five years. The vesting method of share option awards, which is determined on or before the date of grant, may be either cliff or graded; all share option awards granted subsequent to 2004 have been cliff-vesting awards.

The weighted average fair value of share option awards granted, and the weighted average assumptions used in the fair value estimation at the time of grant, using the Black-Scholes model (a closed-form option pricing model), are as follows:

| Periods ended September 30 | Three months | | Nin |
|--|--------------|----------|--------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | |
| Share option award fair value (per share option) | \$ 11.46 | \$ 11.96 | \$ 12. |
| Risk free interest rate | 4.5% | 4.2% | 4. |
| Expected lives(1) (years) | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4. |
| Expected volatility | 24.6% | 30.0% | 26. |
| Dividend yield | 2.8% | 2.3% | 2. |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

The risk free interest rate used in determining the fair value of the share option awards is based on a Government of Canada yield curve that is current at the time of grant. The expected lives of the share option awards are based on historical share option award exercise data of the Company. Similarly, expected volatility considers the historical volatility of the Company's Non-Voting Shares. The dividend yield is the annualized dividend current at the date of grant divided by the share option award exercise price. Dividends are not paid on unexercised share option awards and are not subject to vesting.

Some share option awards have a net-equity settlement feature. As discussed further in Note 16(f), it is at the Company's option whether the exercise of a share option is settled as a share option or using the net-equity settlement feature. So as to align with the accounting treatment that is afforded to the associated share options, the Company has selected the equity instrument fair value method of accounting for the net-equity settlement feature.

In 2007, the Company amended substantially all of its share option awards that were granted prior to January 1, 2005, and which were outstanding on January 1, 2007, by adding a net-cash settlement feature; the optionee has the choice of exercising the net-cash settlement feature. The result of such amendment is that the affected outstanding share option awards largely take on the characteristics of liability instruments rather than equity instruments. For the outstanding share option awards that were amended and which were granted subsequent to 2001, the minimum expense recognized for them will be their grant-date fair values.

In conjunction with the amendment, the Company entered into a cash-settled equity swap agreement that establishes a cap on the Company's cost associated with the affected outstanding share option awards. The following table sets out the number of affected outstanding share option awards and the composition of their capped exercise date fair values.

As at September 30, 2007 (\$ per affected
outstanding share option award)

Affected share option awards granted for

| | Common Shares | | Non-Voting Shares | |
|--|---------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| | prior to 2002 | | after 2001 | |
| Weighted average exercise price | \$ 35.97 | \$ 30.31 | \$ 21.77 | \$ 21.77 |
| Weighted average grant date fair value | -- | -- | 6.70 | 6.70 |
| Weighted average incremental share-based compensation award expense arising from net-cash settlement feature | 35.97 | 30.31 | 28.47 | 28.47 |
| Exercise date fair value capped by cash- settled equity swap agreement | \$ 54.26 | \$ 55.15 | \$ 55.15 | \$ 55.15 |
| Affected share option awards outstanding | 510,466 | 1,633,301 | 2,003,822 | 2,003,822 |

(c) Restricted stock units

The Company uses restricted stock units as a form of incentive compensation. Each restricted stock unit is equal in value to one Non-Voting Share and the dividends that would have arisen thereon had it been an issued and outstanding Non-Voting Share; the notional dividends are recorded as additional issuances

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

of restricted stock units during the life of the restricted stock unit. The restricted stock units become payable as they vest over their lives. Typically, the restricted stock units vest over a period of 33 months. The vesting method, which is determined on or before the date of grant, may be either cliff or graded.

The following table presents a summary of the activity related to the Company's restricted stock units.

| | Three months | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|--|-----------------|
| | Number of restricted stock units | | Weighted average grant date fair value | Number of stock |
| | Non-vested | Vested | value | Non-vested |
| ----- | | | | |
| Periods ended September 30, 2007 | | | | |
| Outstanding, beginning of period | | | | |
| Non-vested | 2,033,647 | -- | \$ 44.99 | 1,518,613 |
| Vested | -- | 3,389 | 39.48 | -- |
| Issued | | | | |
| Initial allocation | 36,997 | -- | 54.52 | 557,558 |
| In lieu of dividends | 13,695 | -- | 55.99 | 38,896 |
| Vested | (2,437) | 2,437 | 40.68 | (7,266) |
| Settled in cash | -- | (2,437) | 40.68 | -- |
| Forfeited and cancelled | (6,758) | -- | 43.57 | (32,657) |
| ----- | | | | |
| Outstanding, end of period | | | | |
| Non-vested | 2,075,144 | -- | 45.23 | 2,075,144 |
| Vested | -- | 3,389 | \$ 39.48 | -- |
| ===== | | | | |

With respect to certain issuances of restricted stock units, the Company entered into cash-settled equity forward agreements that fix the cost to the Company; that information, as well as a schedule of the Company's non-vested restricted stock units outstanding as at September 30, 2007, is set out in the following table.

| | Number of fixed-cost restricted stock units | Cost fixed to the Company per restricted stock unit | Number of variable-cost restricted stock units |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| ----- | | | |
| Vesting in years ending December 31: | | | |
| 2007 | 600,000 | \$ 40.91 | 71,650 |
| 2008 | 160,000 | \$ 50.91 | |
| | 440,000 | \$ 50.02 | |
| | ----- | | |
| | 600,000 | | 268,756 |
| | ----- | | |
| 2009 | 400,000 | \$ 64.26 | 134,738 |
| ----- | | | |
| | 1,600,000 | | 475,144 |
| ===== | | | |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

(d) Employee share purchase plan

The Company has an employee share purchase plan under which eligible employees can purchase Common Shares through regular payroll deductions by contributing between 1% and 10% of their pay. The Company contributes 45%, for employees up to a certain job classification, for every dollar contributed by an employee, to a maximum of 6% of employee pay; for more highly compensated job classifications, the Company contributes 40%. There are no vesting requirements and the Company records its contributions as a component of operating expenses.

| Periods ended September (millions) | Three months | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|---------|---------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 |
| Employee contributions | \$ 22.2 | \$ 20.4 | \$ 64.2 |
| Company contributions | 9.2 | 8.6 | 26.9 |
| | \$ 31.4 | \$ 29.0 | \$ 91.1 |

Under this plan, the Company has the option of offering shares from Treasury or having the trustee acquire shares in the stock market. For the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, all Common Shares issued to employees under the plan were purchased on the market at normal trading prices.

11 employee future benefits

(a) Defined benefit plans - cost (recovery)

The Company's net defined benefit plan costs (recoveries) were as follows:

| Three-month periods ended September 30 | 2007 | | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| (millions) | Incurred in period | Matching adjustments(1) | Recognized in period | Incurred in period |
| Pension benefit plans | | | | |
| Current service cost (employer portion) | \$ 24.9 | \$ -- | \$ 24.9 | \$ 24.3 |
| Interest cost | 81.6 | -- | 81.6 | 79.0 |
| Return on plan assets | (19.4) | (103.0) | (122.4) | (170.5) |
| Past service costs | -- | 0.2 | 0.2 | -- |
| Actuarial loss (gain) | 2.7 | -- | 2.7 | 10.5 |
| Valuation allowance provided against accrued benefit asset | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Amortization of transitional asset | -- | (11.0) | (11.0) | -- |
| | \$ 89.8 | \$ (113.8) | \$ (24.0) | \$ (56.7) |

Nine-month periods ended September 30

2007

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| (millions) | Incurred in period | Matching adjustments (1) | Recognized in period | Incurred in period |
|--|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Pension benefit plans | | | | |
| Current service cost (employer portion) | \$ 74.7 | \$ -- | \$ 74.7 | \$ 72.9 |
| Interest cost | 244.8 | -- | 244.8 | 236.9 |
| Return on plan assets | (256.9) | (110.2) | (367.1) | (242.6) |
| Past service costs | -- | 0.6 | 0.6 | -- |
| Actuarial loss (gain) | 8.1 | -- | 8.1 | 31.5 |
| Valuation allowance provided against accrued benefit asset | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Amortization of transitional asset | -- | (33.1) | (33.1) | -- |
| | \$ 70.7 | \$ (142.7) | \$ (72.0) | \$ 98.7 |

Three-month periods ended September 30

2007

| (millions) | Incurred in period | Matching adjustments (1) | Recognized in period | Incurred in period |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Other benefit plans | | | | |
| Current service cost (employer portion) | \$ -- | \$ -- | \$ -- | \$ 0.8 |
| Interest cost | 0.6 | -- | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Return on plan assets | (0.3) | 0.1 | (0.2) | (0.6) |
| Actuarial loss (gain) | (0.7) | -- | (0.7) | (0.5) |
| Amortization of transitional obligation | -- | 0.2 | 0.2 | -- |
| | \$ (0.4) | \$ 0.3 | \$ (0.1) | \$ 0.2 |

Nine-month periods ended September 30

2007

| (millions) | Incurred in period | Matching adjustments (1) | Recognized in period | Incurred in period |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Other benefit plans | | | | |
| Current service cost (employer portion) | \$ -- | \$ -- | \$ -- | \$ 2.6 |
| Interest cost | 1.9 | -- | 1.9 | 1.4 |
| Return on plan assets | (0.9) | 0.1 | (0.8) | (1.8) |
| Actuarial loss (gain) | (1.9) | -- | (1.9) | (1.4) |
| Amortization of transitional obligation | -- | 0.6 | 0.6 | -- |
| | \$ (0.9) | \$ 0.7 | \$ (0.2) | \$ 0.8 |

(b) Employer contributions

The best estimate of fiscal 2007 employer contributions to the Company's defined benefit pension plans has been revised to approximately \$91 million (the best estimate at December 31, 2006 was \$111 million).

(c) Defined contribution plans

The Company's total defined contribution pension plan costs recognized were as follows:

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| Periods ended September 30 (millions) | Three months | |
|--|--------------|---------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Union pension plan and public service pension plan contributions | \$ 7.9 | \$ 8.2 |
| Other defined contribution pension plans | 7.5 | 4.9 |
| | \$ 15.4 | \$ 13.1 |

12 accounts receivable

On July 26, 2002, TELUS Communications Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of TELUS, entered into an agreement, which was amended September 30, 2002, March 1, 2006, and November 30, 2006, with an arm's-length securitization trust associated with a major Schedule I bank under which TELUS Communications Inc. is able to sell an interest in certain of its trade receivables up to a maximum of \$650 million. As a result of selling the interest in certain of the trade receivables on a fully-serviced basis, a servicing liability is recognized on the date of sale and is, in turn, amortized to earnings over the expected life of the trade receivables. This "revolving-period" securitization agreement had an initial term ending July 18, 2007; the November 30, 2006, amendment resulted in the term being extended to July 18, 2008. TELUS Communications Inc. is required to maintain at least a BBB (low) credit rating by Dominion Bond Rating Service or the securitization trust may require the sale program to be wound down prior to the end of the term; at September 30, 2007, the rating was A (low).

| As at (millions) | September 30, 2007 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Total managed portfolio | \$ 1,150.0 |
| Securitized receivables | (624.0) |
| Retained interest in receivables sold | 64.0 |
| Receivables held | \$ 590.0 |

For the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007, the Company recognized composite losses of \$7.3 million (2006 - \$3.7 million) and \$15.4 million (2006 - \$12.4 million), respectively, on the sale of receivables arising from the securitization.

Cash flows from the securitization are as follows:

| Periods ended September 30 (millions) | Three months | | |
|--|--------------|----------|----------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Cumulative proceeds from securitization, beginning of period | \$ 500.0 | \$ 535.0 | \$ 500.0 |
| Proceeds from new securitizations | 70.0 | -- | 470.0 |
| Securitization reduction payments | (20.0) | (185.0) | (420.0) |
| Cumulative proceeds from securitization, September 30, 2007 | \$ 550.0 | \$ 350.0 | \$ 550.0 |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
| end of period | \$ 550.0 | \$ 350.0 | \$ 550.0 |
| Proceeds from collections reinvested in revolving-period securitizations | \$ 1,102.5 | \$ 1,080.0 | \$ 2,877.0 |
| Proceeds from collections pertaining to retained interest | \$ 142.0 | \$ 138.7 | \$ 350.0 |

13 capital assets

(a) Capital assets, net

As at (millions)

September 30, 2007

| | Cost | Accumulated depreciation and amortization | Net book value | Cost |
|---|------------|---|----------------|------------|
| Property, plant, equipment and other | | | | |
| Telecommunications assets | \$18,761.5 | \$13,445.5 | \$ 5,316.0 | \$18,061.8 |
| Assets leased to customers | 743.4 | 596.9 | 146.5 | 693.3 |
| Buildings and leasehold improvements | 1,907.4 | 1,086.8 | 820.6 | 1,852.5 |
| Office equipment and furniture | 1,156.7 | 904.6 | 252.1 | 1,110.6 |
| Assets under capital lease | 18.4 | 11.8 | 6.6 | 18.5 |
| Other | 340.8 | 266.4 | 74.4 | 340.6 |
| Land | 48.1 | -- | 48.1 | 48.9 |
| Assets under construction | 655.2 | -- | 655.2 | 725.4 |
| Materials and supplies | 34.5 | -- | 34.5 | 33.6 |
| | 23,666.0 | 16,312.0 | 7,354.0 | 22,885.2 |
| Intangible assets subject to amortization | | | | |
| Subscriber base | 362.9 | 154.6 | 208.3 | 362.9 |
| Software | 1,686.5 | 1,186.4 | 500.1 | 1,306.0 |
| Access to rights-of-way and other | 138.5 | 67.9 | 70.6 | 122.3 |
| | 2,187.9 | 1,408.9 | 779.0 | 1,791.2 |
| Intangible assets with indefinite lives | | | | |
| Spectrum licences(1) | 3,985.0 | 1,018.5 | 2,966.5 | 3,984.9 |
| | \$29,838.9 | \$18,739.4 | \$11,099.5 | \$28,661.3 |

The following table presents items included in capital expenditures. Additions of intangible assets subject to amortization include amounts reclassified from assets under construction.

| Periods ended September 30 (millions) | 2007 | Three months 2006 | 2007 | Ni |
|---------------------------------------|------|-------------------|------|----|
|---------------------------------------|------|-------------------|------|----|

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----|------|----|----------|
| Additions of intangible assets | | | | |
| - Subject to amortization | \$ | 35.7 | \$ | 33.5 |
| - With indefinite lives | | -- | | -- |
| | | | | \$ 429.8 |
| | | | | 0.1 |
| | \$ | 35.7 | \$ | 33.5 |
| | | | | \$ 429.9 |

The following table presents items included in capital expenditures.

| Periods ended September 30 (millions) | Three months | | | Ni |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------|------|-------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 | |
| Capitalized internal labour costs | \$ 82.5 | \$ 73.2 | \$ | 244.3 |

(b) Intangible assets subject to amortization

Estimated aggregate amortization expense for intangible assets subject to amortization, calculated upon such assets held as at September 30, 2007, for each of the next five fiscal years is as follows:

Years ending December 31 (millions)

2007 (balance of year)
 2008
 2009
 2010
 2011

14 goodwill

| Periods ended September 30, 2007 (millions) | Three months |
|--|--------------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 3,168.8 |
| Foreign exchange on goodwill of self-sustaining foreign operations | (0.3) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 3,168.5 |

15 long-term debt

(a) Details of long-term debt

| As at (\$ in millions) | Rate of interest | Maturity | September 2007 |
|------------------------|------------------|----------|----------------|
| Series | | | |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | | |
|--|----------|------------|-----------------------|------------|
| TELUS Corporation Notes | | | | |
| | U.S. (2) | 7.50% (1) | June 2007 | \$ -- |
| | U.S. (3) | 8.00% (1) | June 2011 | 1,897.8 |
| | CB | 5.00% (1) | June 2013 | 298.0 |
| | CC | 4.50% (1) | March 2012 | 298.8 |
| | CD | 4.95% (1) | March 2017 | 686.8 |
| | | | | 3,181.4 |
| ----- | | | | |
| TELUS Corporation Commercial Paper | | 5.02% | Through December 2007 | 292.0 |
| ----- | | | | |
| TELUS Corporation Credit Facility | | 5.32% | May 2012 | 199.0 |
| ----- | | | | |
| TELUS Communications Inc. Debentures | | | | |
| | 1 | 12.00% (1) | May 2010 | 49.8 |
| | 2 | 11.90% (1) | November 2015 | 124.0 |
| | 3 | 10.65% (1) | June 2021 | 173.3 |
| | 5 | 9.65% (1) | April 2022 | 244.5 |
| | B | 8.80% (1) | September 2025 | 197.4 |
| | | | | 789.0 |
| ----- | | | | |
| TELUS Communications Inc. First Mortgage Bonds | | | | |
| | U | 11.50% (1) | July 2010 | 29.9 |
| ----- | | | | |
| TELUS Communications Inc. Medium Term Notes | | | | |
| | 1 | 7.10% (1) | February 2007 | -- |
| ----- | | | | |
| Capital leases issued at varying rates of interest from 4.1% to 16.6% and maturing on various dates up to 2013 | | | | 6.9 |
| ----- | | | | |
| Other | | | | 3.3 |
| ----- | | | | |
| Long-Term Debt | | | | 4,502.6 |
| Less: Current maturities | | | | 6.0 |
| ----- | | | | |
| Long-Term Debt - non-current | | | | \$ 4,496.6 |
| ===== | | | | |

(b) TELUS Corporation notes

The notes are senior, unsecured and unsubordinated obligations of the Company and rank equally in right of payment with all existing and future unsecured, unsubordinated obligations of the Company, are senior in right of payment to all existing and future subordinated indebtedness of the Company, and are effectively subordinated to all existing and future obligations of, or guaranteed by, the Company's subsidiaries.

The indentures governing the notes contain certain covenants which, among other things, place limitations on the ability of TELUS and certain of its subsidiaries to: grant security in respect of indebtedness, enter into sale and lease-back transactions and incur new indebtedness.

| Series | Issued | Issue price | Principal face amount | |
|--------|--------|-------------|-----------------------|----------|
| | | | Originally issued | Outstand |
| ----- | | | | |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------|
| 7.50% (U.S. Dollar) Notes due 2007 | May 2001 | U.S.\$995.06 | U.S.\$1.3 billion | -- |
| 8.00% (U.S. Dollar) Notes due 2011 | May 2001 | U.S.\$994.78 | U.S.\$2.0 billion | U.S.\$1.9 |
| 5.00% Notes, Series CB | May 2006 | \$998.80 | \$300 million | \$300 |
| 4.50% Notes, Series CC | March 2007 | \$999.91 | \$300 million | \$300 |
| 4.95% Notes, Series CD | March 2007 | \$999.53 | \$700 million | \$700 |

2007 and 2011 Cross Currency Interest Rate Swap Agreements: With respect to the 2011 (U.S. Dollar) Notes, U.S.\$1.9 billion (with respect to the 2007 and 2011 (U.S. Dollar) Notes, December 31, 2006 - U.S.\$3.1 billion) in aggregate, the Company entered into cross currency interest rate swap agreements which effectively convert the principal repayments and interest obligations to Canadian dollar obligations with effective fixed interest rates and fixed economic exchange rates.

The cross currency interest rate swap agreements contain an optional early termination provision which states that either party could elect to terminate these swap agreements on May 30, 2006, if (i) the highest of the long-term unsecured unsubordinated debt ratings of the Company falls below BBB as determined by Standard & Poor's Rating Services or Baa2 as determined by Moody's Investors Service or (ii) in the case of these two ratings having a difference of two or more rating increments, the lower of the two ratings is below BBB- or Baa3 or (iii) the rating for the Company's counterparties fall below A or A2.

In contemplation of the planned refinancing of the 2007 (U.S. Dollar) Notes, in May 2006 the Company replaced approximately 63% of the notional value of the existing cross currency interest rate swap agreements with a like amount of new cross currency interest rate swap agreements which had a lower effective fixed interest rate and a lower effective fixed exchange rate. This replacement happened concurrent with the issuance of the 5.00% Notes, Series CB; the two transactions had the composite effect of deferring, from June 2007 to June 2013, the payment of \$300 million, representing a portion of the amount that would have been due either under the cross currency interest rate swap agreements or to the 2007 (U.S. Dollar) Note holders (to whom the amounts would ultimately have been paid would depend upon changes in interest and foreign exchange rates over the period to maturity of the underlying debt).

To terminate the previous cross currency interest rate swap agreements, the Company made a payment of \$354.6 million, including \$14.0 million in respect of hedging of then-current period interest payments, to the counterparties. The remaining \$340.6 million portion of the payment made to the counterparties of the previous cross currency interest rate swap agreements exceeded the associated amount of the derivative liability, such excess being \$25.8 million and which was deferred and amortized over the remainder of the life of the 2007 (U.S. Dollar) Notes.

The weighted average effective fixed interest rates and effective fixed exchange rates arising from the cross currency interest rate swap agreements are summarized in the following table:

| As at | September 30, 2007 | | Decem |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| | Effective fixed interest rate | Effective fixed exchange rate (\$: U.S.\$1.00) | Effective f interest ra |
| 2007 (U.S. Dollar) Notes | -- | \$ -- | 7.046% |
| 2011 (U.S. Dollar) Notes | 8.493% | \$ 1.5327 | 8.493% |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

The counterparties of the swap agreements are highly rated financial institutions and the Company does not anticipate any non-performance. TELUS has not required collateral or other security from the counterparties due to its assessment of their creditworthiness.

The Company translates items such as the U.S. Dollar notes into equivalent Canadian dollars at the rate of exchange in effect at the balance sheet date. The swap agreements at September 30, 2007, comprised a net derivative liability of \$1,201.5 million, as set out in Note 4 (December 31, 2006 - \$835.7 million). The asset value of the swap agreements increases (decreases) when the balance sheet date exchange rate increases (decreases) the Canadian dollar equivalent of the U.S. Dollar notes.

(c) TELUS Corporation commercial paper

On May 15, 2007, TELUS Corporation entered into an unsecured commercial paper program, which is backstopped by a portion of its credit facility, enabling it to issue commercial paper up to a maximum aggregate of \$800 million (or U.S. Dollar equivalent), to be used for general corporate purposes, including capital expenditures and investments. Commercial paper debt is due within one year but is classified as long-term debt as the amounts are fully supported, and the Company expects that they will continue to be supported, by the revolving credit facility which has no repayment requirements within the next year.

(d) TELUS Corporation credit facility

On March 2, 2007, TELUS Corporation entered into a new \$2.0 billion bank credit facility with a syndicate of financial institutions. The new credit facility consists of a \$2.0 billion (or U.S. Dollar equivalent) revolving credit facility expiring on May 1, 2012, to be used for general corporate purposes including the backstop of commercial paper. This new facility replaced the Company's pre-existing committed credit facilities prior to the availability termination dates of such facilities.

TELUS Corporation's credit facility is unsecured and bears interest at prime rate, U.S. Dollar Base Rate, a bankers' acceptance rate or London interbank offered rate ("LIBOR") (all such terms as used or defined in the credit facility), plus applicable margins. The credit facility contains customary representations, warranties and covenants including two financial quarter end financial ratio tests. The financial ratio tests are that the Company may not permit its net debt to operating cash flow ratio to exceed 4.0:1 and may not permit its operating cash flow to interest expense ratio to be less than 2.0:1, each as defined under the credit facility.

Continued access to TELUS Corporation's credit facility is not contingent on the maintenance by TELUS Corporation of a specific credit rating.

| As at (millions) | September 30, 2007 | | December 31, 2006 | |
|--|--------------------|-------------|-------------------|----|
| | May 1, 2012 | May 7, 2008 | May 4, 2010 | |
| Revolving credit facility expiring | | | | |
| Net available | \$ 1,402.4 | \$ 579.9 | \$ 800.0 | \$ |
| Drawn(1) | 200.0 | 120.0 | -- | |
| Outstanding, undrawn letters of credit | 103.7 | 100.1 | -- | |
| Backstop of commercial paper | 293.9 | -- | -- | |
| Gross available | \$ 2,000.0 | \$ 800.0 | \$ 800.0 | \$ |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

(e) Long-term debt maturities

Anticipated requirements to meet long-term debt repayments, including related hedge amounts and calculated upon such long-term debts owing as at September 30, 2007, for each of the next five fiscal years are as follows:

| Years ending December 31 (millions) | Principal | Derivative liability |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| 2007 (balance of year) | \$ 1.2 | \$ -- |
| 2008 | 5.6 | -- |
| 2009 | 1.5 | -- |
| 2010 | 80.8 | -- |
| 2011 | 1,916.1 | 1,035.5 |

16 shareholders' equity

(a) Details of shareholders' equity

As at (\$ in millions)

Preferred equity

| Authorized | Amount |
|-------------------------|---------------|
| First Preferred Shares | 1,000,000,000 |
| Second Preferred Shares | 1,000,000,000 |

Common equity

Share capital

Shares

| Authorized | Amount |
|-------------------|---------------|
| Common Shares | 1,000,000,000 |
| Non-Voting Shares | 1,000,000,000 |

Issued

| | |
|-----------------------|----|
| Common Shares (b) | \$ |
| Non-Voting Shares (b) | |

Options (c)

Retained earnings and accumulated other comprehensive income

Retained earnings

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (d)

Total

Contributed surplus (e)

Total Shareholders' Equity

\$

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

(b) Changes in Common Shares and Non-Voting Shares

| Periods ended September, 30 2007 (\$ in millions) | Three months | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Number of shares | Share capital | Number |
| Common Shares | | | |
| Beginning of period | 176,366,014 | \$ 2,235.3 | 178, |
| Common Shares issued pursuant to exercise of share options (f) | -- | -- | |
| Purchase of shares for cancellation pursuant to normal course issuer bid (g) | (349,900) | (4.4) | (2, |
| End of period | 176,016,114 | \$ 2,230.9 | 176, |
| Non-Voting Shares | | | |
| Beginning of period | 155,374,240 | \$ 3,337.9 | 159, |
| Non-Voting Shares issued pursuant to exercise of share options (f) | 4,523 | 0.1 | |
| Non-Voting Shares issued pursuant to use of share option award net-equity settlement feature (f) | 3,478 | -- | |
| Purchase of shares for cancellation pursuant to normal course issuer bid (g) | (3,967,100) | (85.2) | (7, |
| End of period | 151,415,141 | \$ 3,252.8 | 151, |

The amounts credited to the Common Share capital account upon exercise of share options in the preceding table are all for cash received from exercise. Amounts credited to the Non-Voting Share capital account are comprised as follows:

| Periods ended September 30, 2007 (millions) | Three months | |
|---|--------------|-----|
| Non-Voting Shares issued pursuant to exercise of share options | | |
| Cash received from exercise of share options | \$ | 0.1 |
| Share option award expense reclassified from contributed surplus upon exercise of share options (e) | | -- |
| | \$ | 0.1 |

(c) Options

Upon its acquisition of Clearnet Communications Inc. in 2000, the Company was required to record the intrinsic value of Clearnet Communications Inc. options outstanding at that time. As these options are exercised, the corresponding intrinsic values are reclassified to share capital. As these options are forfeited, or as they expire, the corresponding intrinsic value is reclassified to contributed surplus. Proceeds arising from the exercise of these options are credited to share capital.

(d) Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| Three-month period ended September 30, 2007 (millions) | Other comprehensive income (loss) | | | Net |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--|------------|
| | Amount arising | Income taxes | | |
| Change in unrealized fair value of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges | | | | |
| Gains (losses) on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges | \$ (123.5) | \$ (18.7) | | \$ (104.2) |
| Gains and losses on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges in prior periods transferred to net income in the current period | 135.9 | 22.6 | | 113.3 |
| | 12.4 | 3.9 | | 8.3 |
| Cumulative foreign currency translation adjustment | (1.1) | -- | | (1.1) |
| Change in unrealized fair value of available-for-sale financial assets | (0.2) | -- | | (0.2) |
| | \$ 11.1 | \$ 3.9 | | \$ 7.2 |

| Nine-month period ended September 30, 2007 (millions) | Other comprehensive income (loss) | | | Beginning of period |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|------------------------|
| | Amount arising | Income taxes | Net | |
| Change in unrealized fair value of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges | | | | |
| Gains (losses) on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges | \$ (370.6) | \$ (49.6) | \$ (321.0) | |
| Gains and losses on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges in prior periods transferred to net income in the current period | 464.5 | 79.2 | 385.3 | |
| | 93.9 | 29.6 | 64.3 | \$ -- |
| Cumulative foreign currency translation adjustment | (4.9) | -- | (4.9) | (1.5) |
| Change in unrealized fair value of available-for-sale financial assets | (0.3) | -- | (0.3) | -- |
| | \$ 88.7 | \$ 29.6 | \$ 59.1 | \$ (1.5) |

(1) The transitional adjustments arise primarily from the 2007 and 2011 cross

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

currency interest rate swap agreements (Notes 2(b), 15(b)) and are net of income taxes on the cash flow hedges of \$81.7.

The net amount of the existing gains (losses) arising from the unrealized fair value of the 2011 cross currency interest rate swap agreements, which are derivatives that are designated as cash flow hedges, and which are reported in accumulated other comprehensive income, would be reclassified to net income if the agreements (see Note 15(b)) were early terminated; the amount of such reclassification would be dependent upon fair values and amounts of the agreements terminated. As at September 30, 2007, the Company's estimate of the net amount of existing gains (losses) arising from the unrealized fair value of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, other than in respect of the 2011 cross currency interest rate swap agreements, which are reported in accumulated other comprehensive income and which are expected to be reclassified to net income in the next twelve months is \$2.2 million.

(e) Contributed surplus

| Periods ended September 30, 2007 (millions) | Three months |
|---|--------------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 144.0 |
| Share option award expense | |
| - Recognized in period(1) (Note 10(a)) | 3.2 |
| - Reclassified to Non-Voting Share capital account | |
| - Upon exercise of share options | -- |
| - Upon use of share option award net-equity settlement feature | -- |
| - Reclassified to current liabilities upon addition of net-cash settlement feature (Note 10(b)), net of eligible awards settled other than through use of net-cash settlement feature | -- |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 147.2 |

(f) Share option plans

The Company has a number of share option plans under which officers and other employees may receive options to purchase Non-Voting Shares at a price equal to the fair market value at the time of grant; prior to 2001, options were also similarly awarded in respect of Common Shares. Prior to 2002, directors were also awarded options to purchase Non-Voting Shares and Common Shares at a price equal to the fair market value at the time of grant. Option awards currently granted under the plans may be exercised over specific periods not to exceed seven years from the time of grant; prior to 2003, share option awards were granted with exercise periods not to exceed ten years.

The following table presents a summary of the activity related to the Company's share option plans for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30.

| Periods ended September 30, 2007 | Three months | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| | Number of share options | Weighted average share option price | Number of s options |
| Outstanding, beginning of period | 9,341,918 | \$ 36.13 | 10,569,462 |
| Granted | 41,170 | 54.27 | 1,310,743 |
| Exercised(1) | (252,632) | 25.49 | (2,705,722) |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|----|-------|-----------|
| Forfeited and other | (501,792) | | 33.14 | (545,819) |
| Outstanding, end of period | 8,628,664 | \$ | 36.70 | 8,628,664 |

In 2006, certain outstanding grants of share option awards, which were made after 2001, had a net-equity settlement feature applied to them. This event did not result in the optionees receiving incremental value and therefore modification accounting was not required for it. The optionee does not have the choice of exercising the net-equity settlement feature. It is at the Company's discretion whether an exercise of the share option award is settled as a share option or using the net-equity settlement feature. In 2007, certain outstanding grants of share option awards had a net-cash settlement feature applied to them, as further discussed in Note 10(b); the optionee has the choice of exercising the net-cash settlement feature.

The following table reconciles the number of share options exercised and the associated number of Common Shares and Non-Voting Shares issued.

| Periods ended September 30, 2007 | Three months | | | Common Shares |
|---|---------------|-------------------|---------|---------------|
| | Common Shares | Non-Voting Shares | Total | |
| Shares issued pursuant to exercise of share options | -- | 4,523 | 4,523 | 3,180 |
| Impact of optionee choosing to settle share option award exercises using net-cash settlement feature | 16,590 | 225,490 | 242,080 | 324,709 |
| Shares issued pursuant to use of share option award net-equity settlement feature | N/A (1) | 3,478 | 3,478 | N/A (1) |
| Impact of Company choosing to settle share option award exercises using net-equity settlement feature | N/A (1) | 2,551 | 2,551 | N/A (1) |
| Share options exercised | 16,590 | 236,042 | 252,632 | 327,889 |

The following is a life and exercise price stratification of the Company's share options outstanding as at September 30, 2007.

Options outstanding(1)

Range of option prices

| | | | | | | |
|------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Low | \$ 5.95 | \$ 9.14 | \$ 14.63 | \$ 21.99 | \$ 34.88 | \$ 53.09 |
| High | \$ 8.43 | \$ 10.75 | \$ 19.92 | \$ 32.83 | \$ 47.22 | \$ 64.64 |

Year of expiry and number of shares

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | | | | |
|---|---------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 2007 | -- | 2,454 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 2008 | 3,272 | -- | -- | 24,159 | 39,500 | -- |
| 2009 | -- | 2,944 | 362,606 | 104,660 | 69,745 | -- |
| 2010 | -- | -- | 101,471 | 638,865 | 262,547 | -- |
| 2011 | -- | -- | 3,700 | 1,399,482 | 910,984 | -- |
| 2012 | 4,966 | 6,167 | 145,067 | 65,000 | 1,654,624 | -- |
| 2013 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1,468,230 | 63,017 |
| 2014 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1,295,204 |
| | 8,238 | 11,565 | 612,844 | 2,232,166 | 4,405,630 | 1,358,221 |
| ===== | | | | | | |
| Weighted average remaining life (years) | 3.4 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 6.4 |
| Weighted average price | \$ 7.45 | \$ 10.36 | \$ 16.00 | \$ 24.75 | \$ 39.58 | \$ 56.76 |
| Aggregate intrinsic value(2) (millions) | \$ 0.4 | \$ 0.5 | \$ 24.5 | \$ 70.0 | \$ 72.9 | \$ 0.1 |
| Options exercisable | | | | | | |
| Number of shares | 8,238 | 11,565 | 612,844 | 2,181,966 | 1,282,776 | -- |
| Weighted average remaining contractual life (years) | 3.4 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 3.1 | -- |
| Weighted average price | \$ 7.45 | \$ 10.36 | \$ 16.00 | \$ 24.68 | \$ 36.07 | \$ -- |
| Aggregate intrinsic value(2) (millions) | \$ 0.4 | \$ 0.5 | \$ 24.5 | \$ 68.6 | \$ 26.1 | \$ -- |

As at September 30, 2007, 0.5 million Common Shares and 16.1 million Non-Voting Shares were reserved for issuance, from Treasury, under the share option plans.

(g) Purchase of shares for cancellation pursuant to normal course issuer bid
The Company purchased, for cancellation, through the facilities of the Toronto Stock Exchange, Common Shares and Non-Voting Shares pursuant to successive normal course issuer bids; the Company's most current normal course issuer bid runs for a twelve-month period ending December 19, 2007, for up to 12.0 million Common Shares and 12.0 million Non-Voting Shares. The excess of the purchase price over the average stated value of shares purchased for cancellation was charged to retained earnings. The Company ceases to consider shares outstanding on the date of the Company's purchase of its shares although the actual cancellation of the shares by the transfer agent and registrar occurs on a timely basis on a date shortly thereafter. As at September 30, 2007, 210,000 Non-Voting Shares had been purchased that are not yet cancelled.

Three-month period ended September 30, 2007 (\$ in millions)

| | Number of shares | Paid | Charged share capi |
|--|------------------|----------|--------------------|
| ----- | | | |
| Common Shares purchased for cancellation | | | |
| Prior to beginning of period | 2,305,000 | \$ 135.0 | \$ 29. |
| During period | 349,900 | 19.0 | 4. |
| ----- | | | |
| Cumulative total | 2,654,900 | \$ 154.0 | \$ 33. |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | |
|--|------------|----------|---------|
| Non-Voting Shares purchased for cancellation | | | |
| Prior to beginning of period | 4,084,023 | \$ 245.0 | \$ 87. |
| During period | 3,967,100 | 213.2 | 85. |
| Cumulative total | 8,051,123 | \$ 458.2 | \$ 172. |
| Common Shares and Non-Voting Shares purchased for cancellation | | | |
| Prior to beginning of period | 6,389,023 | \$ 380.0 | \$ 116. |
| During period | 4,317,000 | 232.2 | 89. |
| Cumulative total | 10,706,023 | \$ 612.2 | \$ 206. |

Nine-month period ended September 30, 2007 (\$ in millions)

| | Number of shares | Paid | Charg share o |
|--|------------------|----------|---------------|
| Common Shares purchased for cancellation | | | |
| Prior to beginning of period | -- | \$ -- | \$ -- |
| During period | 2,654,900 | 154.0 | 33. |
| Cumulative total | 2,654,900 | \$ 154.0 | \$ 33. |
| Non-Voting Shares purchased for cancellation | | | |
| Prior to beginning of period | 186,723 | \$ 9.8 | \$ 4. |
| During period | 7,864,400 | 448.4 | 168. |
| Cumulative total | 8,051,123 | \$ 458.2 | \$ 172. |
| Common Shares and Non-Voting Shares purchased for cancellation | | | |
| Prior to beginning of period | 186,723 | \$ 9.8 | \$ 4. |
| During period | 10,519,300 | 602.4 | 202. |
| Cumulative total | 10,706,023 | \$ 612.2 | \$ 206. |

(h) Dividend Reinvestment and Share Purchase Plan

The Company has a Dividend Reinvestment and Share Purchase Plan under which eligible shareholders may acquire Non-Voting Shares through the reinvestment of dividends and additional optional cash payments. Excluding Non-Voting Shares purchased by way of additional optional cash payments, the Company, at its discretion, may offer the Non-Voting Shares at up to a 5% discount from the market price. During the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007, the Company did not offer Non-Voting Shares at a discount. Shares purchased through optional cash payments are subject to a minimum investment of \$100 per transaction and a maximum investment of \$20,000 per calendar year.

Under this Plan, the Company has the option of offering shares from Treasury or having the trustee acquire shares in the stock market. Prior to July 1, 2001, when the acquisition of shares from Treasury commenced, all Non-Voting Shares were acquired in the market at normal trading prices; acquisition in the market

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

at normal trading prices recommenced on January 1, 2005.

In respect of Common Share and Non-Voting Share dividends declared during the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007, \$4.5 million (2006 - \$4.1 million) and \$12.2 million (2006 - \$8.6 million), respectively, was to be reinvested in Non-Voting Shares.

17 commitments and contingent liabilities

(a) Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission Decisions 2002-34, 2002-43 and 2006-9 deferral accounts

On May 30, 2002, and on July 31, 2002, the CRTC issued Decisions 2002-34 and 2002-43, respectively, and introduced the concept of a deferral account. The Company must make significant estimates and assumptions in respect of the deferral accounts given the complexity and interpretation required of Decisions 2002-34 and 2002-43. Accordingly, the Company estimates, and records, an aggregate liability of \$148.9 million as at September 30, 2007 (December 31, 2006 - \$164.8 million), to the extent that activities it has undertaken, other qualifying events and realized rate reductions for Competitor Services do not extinguish it; management is required to make estimates and assumptions in respect of the offsetting nature of these items. If the CRTC, upon its periodic review of the Company's deferral account, disagrees with management's estimates and assumptions, the CRTC may adjust the deferral account balance and such adjustment may be material. Ultimately, this process results in the CRTC determining if, and when, the deferral account liability is settled.

On March 24, 2004, the CRTC issued Telecom Public Notice CRTC 2004-1 "Review and disposition of the deferral accounts for the second price cap period", which initiated a public proceeding inviting proposals on the disposition of the amounts accumulated in the incumbent local exchange carriers' deferral accounts during the first two years of the second price cap period.

On February 16, 2006, the CRTC issued Decision CRTC 2006-9, "Disposition of funds in the deferral account". In its decision the CRTC determined that the majority of the accumulated liability within the respective incumbent local exchange carrier's deferral account was to be made available for initiatives to expand broadband services within their incumbent local exchange carrier operating territories to rural and remote communities where service is currently not available. In addition, a minimum of five per cent of the accumulated deferral account balance must be used for initiatives that enhance accessibility to telecommunications services for individuals with disabilities. To the extent that the deferral account balance exceeds the approved initiatives, the remaining balance will be distributed in the form of a one-time rebate to local residential service customers in non-high cost serving areas. Finally, the CRTC indicated that subsequent to May 31, 2006, no additional amounts are to be added to the deferral account and, instead, are to be dealt with via prospective rate reductions.

In September 2006, the Federal Court of Appeal granted the Consumers Association of Canada, the National Anti-Poverty Organization and also Bell Canada leave to appeal CRTC Telecom Decision 2006-9. The consumer groups have filed their appeal asking the Court to direct rebates to local telephone subscribers, rather than have the accumulated deferral account funds used for purposes determined by the CRTC, as noted above. Bell Canada has also filed its appeal of Decision 2006-9 on the grounds that the CRTC exceeded its jurisdiction to the extent it approves rebates from the deferral account. These two appeals have been consolidated and are expected to be heard by the Federal Court of Appeal in early 2008 with a judgement expected to be issued by the Federal Court of Appeal in the second quarter of 2008.

In the event that Bell Canada is successful in its appeal, the Company may realize additional revenue equal to the amount of the deferral account that would otherwise have been rebated by the CRTC. Should the consumer groups be successful in their appeals, the Company may be required to remit a one-time refund of an amount up to, but not exceeding, the aggregate liability of approximately \$149 million in individually small amounts to its entire local residential subscriber base. As the deferral account balance was fully provided

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

for in previous financial statements, the potential refund will not impact the Company's subsequent income from operations. In addition, subject to the potential outcome of this leave to appeal, the Company may need to re-address its intent to extend broadband services to uneconomic remote and rural communities. The Company supports Decision 2006-9 and its designated uses of the deferral account in order to extend high-speed broadband internet service to rural and remote communities and improve telecommunications services for people with disabilities.

Due to the Company's use of the liability method of accounting for the deferral account, the CRTC Decision 2005-6, as it relates to the Company's provision of Competitor Digital Network services, is not expected to affect the Company's consolidated revenues. Specifically, to the extent that the CRTC Decision 2005-6 requires the Company to provide discounts on Competitor Digital Network services, through May 31, 2006, the Company drew down the deferral account by an offsetting amount; subsequent to May 31, 2006, the income statement effects did not change and the Company no longer needed to account for these amounts through the deferral account. For the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007, the Company drew down the deferral account by \$NIL (2006 - \$NIL) and \$15.9 million (2006 - \$19.9 million), respectively, in respect of discounts on Competitor Digital Network services and other qualifying expenditures.

On November 30, 2006, the CRTC issued Telecom Public Notice CRTC 2006-15, "Review of proposals to dispose of the funds accumulated in the deferral accounts", which initiated a public proceeding to consider the proposals submitted by the incumbent local exchange carriers to dispose of the funds accumulated in their respective deferral accounts. The Company expects the CRTC to render its decision in this matter in the latter part of 2007.

On July 6, 2007, the CRTC issued decision CRTC 2007-50, "Use of deferral account to expand broadband services to certain rural and remote communities" In this decision the CRTC approved the use of the deferral account for the purpose of expanding broadband services to 115 communities in British Columbia and Quebec. The Company is currently reviewing the implications of this decision in conjunction with its previously filed submission for use of the deferral account funds and, as directed by the CRTC, filed an update to the previously filed submission on September 4, 2007.

(b) Guarantees

Guarantees: Canadian generally accepted accounting principles require the disclosure of certain types of guarantees and their maximum, undiscounted amounts. The maximum potential payments represent a "worst-case scenario" and do not necessarily reflect results expected by the Company. Guarantees requiring disclosure are those obligations that require payments contingent on specified types of future events. In the normal course of its operations, the Company enters into obligations that GAAP may consider to be guarantees. As defined by Canadian GAAP, guarantees subject to these disclosure guidelines do not include guarantees that relate to the future performance of the Company. As at September 30, 2007, the Company's maximum undiscounted guarantee amounts, without regard for the likelihood of having to make such payment, were not material.

Indemnification obligations: In the normal course of operations, the Company may provide indemnification in conjunction with certain transactions. The term of these indemnification obligations range in duration and often are not explicitly defined. Where appropriate, an indemnification obligation is recorded as a liability. In many cases, there is no maximum limit on these indemnification obligations and the overall maximum amount of the obligations under such indemnification obligations cannot be reasonably estimated. Other than obligations recorded as liabilities at the time of the transaction, historically the Company has not made significant payments under these indemnifications.

In connection with its 2001 disposition of TELUS' directory business, the Company agreed to bear a proportionate share of the new owner's increased directory publication costs if the increased costs were to arise from a change

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

in the applicable CRTC regulatory requirements. The Company's proportionate share would have been 80% through May 2006, declining to 40% in the next five-year period and then to 15% in the final five years. As well, should the CRTC take any action which would result in the owner being prevented from carrying on the directory business as specified in the agreement, TELUS would indemnify the owner in respect of any losses that the owner incurred.

As at September 30, 2007, the Company has no liability recorded in respect of indemnification obligations.

(c) Claims and lawsuits

General: A number of claims and lawsuits seeking damages and other relief are pending against the Company. It is impossible at this time for the Company to predict with any certainty the outcome of such litigation. However, management is of the opinion, based upon legal assessment and information presently available, that it is unlikely that any liability, to the extent not provided for through insurance or otherwise, would be material in relation to the Company's consolidated financial position, excepting the items enumerated following.

TELUS Corporation Pension Plan and TELUS Edmonton Pension Plan: Two statements of claim were filed in the Alberta Court of Queen's Bench on December 31, 2001, and January 2, 2002, respectively, by plaintiffs alleging to be either members or business agents of the Telecommunications Workers Union. In one action, the three plaintiffs alleged to be suing on behalf of all current or future beneficiaries of the TELUS Corporation Pension Plan and in the other action, the two plaintiffs alleged to be suing on behalf of all current or future beneficiaries of the TELUS Edmonton Pension Plan. The statement of claim in the TELUS Corporation Pension Plan related action named the Company, certain of its affiliates and certain present and former trustees of the TELUS Corporation Pension Plan as defendants, and claims damages in the sum of \$445 million. The statement of claim in the TELUS Edmonton Pension Plan related action named the Company, certain of its affiliates and certain individuals who are alleged to be trustees of the TELUS Edmonton Pension Plan and claims damages in the sum of \$15.5 million. On February 19, 2002, the Company filed statements of defence to both actions and also filed notices of motion for certain relief, including an order striking out the actions as representative or class actions. On May 17, 2002, the statements of claim were amended by the plaintiffs and include allegations, inter alia, that benefits provided under the TELUS Corporation Pension Plan and the TELUS Edmonton Pension Plan are less advantageous than the benefits provided under the respective former pension plans, contrary to applicable legislation, that insufficient contributions were made to the plans and contribution holidays were taken and that the defendants wrongfully used the diverted funds, and that administration fees and expenses were improperly deducted. The Company filed statements of defence to the amended statements of claim on June 3, 2002. The Company believes that it has good defences to the actions. As a term of the settlement reached between TELUS Communications Inc. and the Telecommunications Workers Union that resulted in a collective agreement effective November 20, 2005, the Telecommunications Workers Union has agreed to not provide any direct or indirect financial or other assistance to the plaintiffs in these actions, and to communicate to the plaintiffs the Telecommunications Workers Union's desire and recommendation that these proceedings be dismissed or discontinued. The Company has been advised by the Telecommunications Workers Union that the plaintiffs have not agreed to dismiss or discontinue these actions. Should the lawsuits continue because of the actions of the court, the plaintiffs or for any other reason, and their ultimate resolution differ from management's assessment and assumptions, a material adjustment to the Company's financial position and the results of its operations could result.

Certified class action: A class action was brought August 9, 2004, under the Class Actions Act (Saskatchewan), against a number of past and present wireless service providers including the Company. The claim alleges that each of the carriers is in breach of contract and has violated competition, trade practices and consumer protection legislation across Canada in connection with the

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

collection of system access fees, and seeks to recover direct and punitive damages in an unspecified amount. The class was certified on September 17, 2007, by the Saskatchewan Court of Queen's Bench. The Company has applied for leave to appeal the certification decision. The Company believes that it has good defences to the action.

Similar proceedings have also been filed by, or on behalf of, plaintiffs' counsel in other provincial jurisdictions.

Should the ultimate resolution of this action differ from management's assessments and assumptions, a material adjustment to the Company's financial position and the results of its operations could result; management's assessments and assumptions include that a reliable estimate of the exposure cannot be made at this preliminary stage of the lawsuit.

18 additional financial information

(a) Income statement

| Periods ended September 30 (millions) | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| ----- | | | |
| Operations expense(1): | | | |
| Cost of sales and service | \$ 764.8 | \$ 683.9 | \$ 2,242. |
| Selling, general and administrative | 551.7 | 561.9 | 1,851. |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| | \$ 1,316.5 | \$ 1,245.8 | \$ 4,093. |
| ===== Advertising expense | \$ 71.6 | \$ 64.2 | \$ 211. |
| ===== | | | |

(b) Balance sheet

| As at (millions) | September 2007 |
|---|-------------------|
| ----- | |
| Accounts receivable | |
| Customer accounts receivable | \$ 398. |
| Accrued receivables - customer | 151. |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (65.) |
| | ----- |
| | 484. |
| Accrued receivables - other | 100. |
| Other | 6. |
| | ----- |
| | \$ 590. |
| ===== Prepaid expense and other | |
| Prepaid expenses | \$ 130. |
| Deferred customer activation and connection costs | 67. |
| Other | 10. |
| | ----- |
| | \$ 209. |
| ===== | |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| As at (millions) | September 2007 | |
|---|-------------------|--------|
| ----- | | |
| Deferred charges (restated - Note 2(b)) | | |
| Recognized transitional pension assets and pension plan contributions in excess of charges to income | \$ | 970. |
| Deferred customer activation and connection costs | | 11. |
| Other | | 16. |
| ----- | | |
| | \$ | 1,098. |
| ===== | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | | |
| Accrued liabilities | \$ | 418. |
| Payroll and other employee-related liabilities | | 362. |
| Accrual for net-cash settlement feature for share option awards (Note 10(b)) | | 127. |
| Asset retirement obligations | | 4. |
| ----- | | |
| | | 913. |
| Trade accounts payable | | 336. |
| Interest payable | | 115. |
| Other | | 72. |
| ----- | | |
| | \$ | 1,438. |
| ===== | | |
| Advance billings and customer deposits | | |
| Advance billings | \$ | 360. |
| Regulatory deferral accounts (Note 17(a)) | | 148. |
| Deferred customer activation and connection fees | | 69. |
| Customer deposits | | 35. |
| ----- | | |
| | \$ | 614. |
| ===== | | |
| Other long-term liabilities | | |
| Derivative liabilities (Note 4) | \$ | 1,201. |
| Pension and other post-retirement liabilities | | 206. |
| Other | | 143. |
| ----- | | |
| | | 1,551. |
| Deferred customer activation and connection fees | | 111. |
| Deferred gain on sale-leaseback of buildings | | 64. |
| Asset retirement obligations | | 33. |
| ----- | | |
| | \$ | 1,760. |
| ===== | | |

(c) Supplementary cash flow information

| Periods ended September 30 (millions) | Three months | |
|--|--------------|-----------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| ----- | | |
| Net change in non-cash working capital | | |
| Short-term investments | \$ 10.7 | \$ (98.8) |
| Accounts receivable | (18.9) | (225.6) |
| Inventories | (11.8) | (28.2) |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Prepaid expenses and other | 86.8 | 54.0 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (66.9) | 66.3 |
| Income and other taxes receivable and payable, net | (171.4) | (21.5) |
| Advance billings and customer deposits | 5.1 | (11.6) |
| | \$ (166.4) | \$ (265.4) |
| ===== | | |
| Interest (paid) | | |
| Amount paid in respect of interest expense | \$ (41.1) | \$ (13.0) |
| Forward starting interest rate swap agreement termination payments | -- | -- |
| Interest related portion of cross currency interest rate swap agreement termination payments | -- | -- |
| | \$ (41.1) | \$ (13.0) |
| ===== | | |

19 differences between Canadian and United States generally accepted accounting principles

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Canadian GAAP. The principles adopted in these financial statements conform in all material respects to those generally accepted in the United States except as summarized below. Significant differences between Canadian GAAP and U.S. GAAP would have the following effect on reported net income of the Company:

| Periods ended September 30 (millions except per share amounts) | Three months | |
|---|--------------|----------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Net income in accordance with Canadian GAAP | \$ 409.9 | \$ 319.6 |
| Adjustments: | | |
| Operating expenses | | |
| Operations (b) | (1.3) | (4.2) |
| Amortization of intangible assets (c) | (12.5) | (12.5) |
| Accounting for derivatives (e) | -- | 1.7 |
| Taxes on the above adjustments and tax rate changes (f) | 4.1 | 5.0 |
| Net income in accordance with U.S. GAAP | 400.2 | 309.6 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes (g) | | |
| In accordance with Canadian GAAP | 7.2 | 0.4 |
| Change in pension related other comprehensive income accounts | 6.1 | (0.7) |
| Change in unrealized fair value of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges | -- | (33.1) |
| In accordance with U.S. GAAP | 13.3 | (33.4) |
| Comprehensive income in accordance with U.S. GAAP | \$ 413.5 | \$ 276.2 |
| ===== | | |
| Net income in accordance with U.S. GAAP per Common Share and Non-Voting Share | | |
| - Basic | \$ 1.21 | \$ 0.91 |
| - Diluted | \$ 1.20 | \$ 0.90 |

The following is an analysis of retained earnings (deficit) reflecting the application of U.S. GAAP

Nine-month periods ended September 30 (millions)

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

Schedule of retained earnings (deficit) under U.S. GAAP

Balance at beginning of period
 Net income in accordance with U.S. GAAP

Common Share and Non-Voting Share dividends paid, or payable, in cash
 Purchase of Common Shares and Non-Voting shares in excess of stated capital
 Adjustment to purchase of share optionawards not in excess of their fair value

Balance at end of period

The following is an analysis of major balance sheet categories reflecting the application of U.S. GAAP:

As at (millions)

Current Assets
 Capital Assets
 Property, plant, equipment and other
 Intangible assets subject to amortization (c)
 Intangible assets with indefinite lives
 Goodwill (d)
 Other Assets

Current Liabilities
 Long-Term Debt
 Other Long-Term Liabilities
 Deferred Income Taxes (f)
 Non-Controlling Interest
 Shareholders' Equity

The following is a reconciliation of shareholders' equity incorporating the differences between Canadian and U.S. GAAP:

| | Shareholders' Equity | | | |
|--|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| | Common Shares | Non-voting Shares | Retained earnings (deficit) | Accumulated comprehensive income (loss) |
| As at September 30, 2007 (millions) | | | | |
| Under Canadian GAAP | \$2,230.9 | \$3,252.8 | \$1,167.3 | \$ (118.6) |
| Adjustments: | | | | |
| Merger of BC TELECOM and TELUS (a), (c), (d) | 1,743.7 | 941.6 | (1,314.0) | -- |
| Share-based compensation (b) | 10.5 | 56.4 | (98.6) | -- |
| Acquisition of Clearnet Communications Inc. | | | | |
| Goodwill (d) | -- | 131.4 | (7.9) | -- |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | | | |
|---|---------------|-------------------|------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Convertible debentures | -- | (2.9) | 4.1 | -- | -- |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (g) | -- | -- | -- | -- | (255.6) |
| Under U.S. GAAP | \$3,985.1 | \$4,379.3 | \$ (249.1) | \$ (374.2) | |
| Shareholders' Equity (as adjusted) | | | | | |
| As at December 31, 2006 (millions) | Common Shares | Non-Voting Shares | Options | Retained earnings (deficit) | Accumulated other comprehensive income |
| Under Canadian GAAP | \$2,264.4 | \$3,420.8 | \$ 0.8 | \$1,080.1 | \$ (433.2) |
| Adjustments: | | | | | |
| Merger of BC TELECOM and TELUS (a), (c), (d) | 1,770.1 | 993.0 | -- | (1,368.3) | |
| Share-based compensation (b) | 10.6 | 63.3 | -- | (131.2) | |
| Acquisition of Clearnet Communications Inc. | | | | | |
| Goodwill (d) | -- | 131.4 | -- | (7.9) | |
| Convertible debentures | -- | (2.9) | -- | 4.1 | |
| Accounting for derivatives (e) | -- | -- | -- | 3.7 | |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (g) | -- | -- | -- | -- | (433.2) |
| Under U.S. GAAP | \$4,045.1 | \$4,605.6 | \$ 0.8 | \$ (419.5) | \$ (433.2) |

(a) Merger of BC TELECOM and TELUS

The business combination between BC TELECOM and TELUS Corporation (renamed TELUS Holdings Inc., which was wound up June 1, 2001) was accounted for using the pooling of interests method under Canadian GAAP. Under Canadian GAAP, the application of the pooling of interests method of accounting for the merger of BC TELECOM and TELUS Holdings Inc. resulted in a restatement of prior periods as if the two companies had always been combined. Under U.S. GAAP, the merger is accounted for using the purchase method. Use of the purchase method resulted in TELUS (TELUS Holdings Inc.) being acquired by BC TELECOM for \$4,662.4 million (including merger related costs of \$51.9 million) effective January 31, 1999.

(b) Operating expenses - Operations

Future employee benefits: Under U.S. GAAP, TELUS' future employee benefit assets and obligations have been recorded at their fair values on acquisition. Accounting for future employee benefits under Canadian GAAP changed to become more consistent with U.S. GAAP effective January 1, 2000. Canadian GAAP provides that the transitional balances can be accounted for prospectively. Therefore, to conform to U.S. GAAP, the amortization of the transitional amount needs to be removed from the future employee benefit expense. As of June 30, 2007, the amortization of this difference had been completed.

Effective as of the end of the first year ending after December 15, 2006, U.S. GAAP requires the full recognition of obligations associated with its employee future benefit plans as prescribed by Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and other Postretirement Plans". Applying this standard, the funded status of the Company's plans is shown gross on the consolidated balance sheets and the difference between the net funded plan states and the net accrued benefit asset or liability is included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income.

Share-based compensation: Effective January 1, 2004, Canadian GAAP required the adoption of the fair value method of accounting for share-based

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

compensation for awards made after 2001. The Canadian GAAP disclosures for share-based compensation awards are set out in Note 10.

Effective January 1, 2006, U.S. GAAP required the adoption of the fair value method of accounting for share-based compensation for awards made after 1994. Prior to the adoption of the fair value method of accounting, the intrinsic value based method was used to account for share option awards granted to employees.

On a prospective basis, commencing January 1, 2006, there is no longer a difference between Canadian GAAP and U.S. GAAP share-based compensation expense recognized in the results of operations arising from current share-based compensation awards accounted for as equity instruments. As share option awards granted subsequent to 1994 and prior to 2002 are captured by U.S. GAAP, but are not captured by Canadian GAAP, differences in shareholders' equity accounts arising from these awards will continue.

In 2007, the Company amended substantially all of its share option awards that were granted prior to January 1, 2005, and which were outstanding on January 1, 2007, by adding a net-cash settlement feature; the optionee has the choice of exercising the net-cash settlement feature. The result of such amendment is that the affected outstanding share option awards largely take on the characteristics of liability instruments rather than equity instruments; the minimum expense recognized for the affected share option awards will be their grant-date fair values. Under U.S. GAAP, the grant-date fair value of affected outstanding share option awards granted subsequent to 1994 affect the transitional amount whereas Canadian GAAP only considers grant-date fair values for affected outstanding share option awards granted subsequent to 2001; this resulted in the U.S. GAAP expense being less than (greater than) the Canadian GAAP expense by \$(1.3 million) and \$25.3 million, for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007, respectively.

(c) Operating expenses - Amortization of intangible assets

As TELUS' intangible assets on acquisition have been recorded at their fair value (see (a)), amortization of such assets, other than for those with indefinite lives, needs to be included under U.S. GAAP; consistent with prior years, amortization is calculated using the straight-line method.

The incremental amounts recorded as intangible assets arising from the TELUS acquisition above are as follows:

| | Cost | Accumulated amortization | |
|---|------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| ----- | | | September |
| As at (millions) | | | 2007 |
| ----- | | | |
| Intangible assets subject to amortization | | | |
| Subscribers - wireline | \$ 1,950.0 | \$ 380.6 | \$ 1,569 |
| Subscribers - wireless | 250.0 | 250.0 | - |
| ----- | | | |
| | 2,200.0 | 630.6 | 1,569 |
| ----- | | | |
| Intangible assets with indefinite lives | | | |
| Spectrum licences(1) | 1,833.3 | 1,833.3 | - |
| ----- | | | |
| | \$ 4,033.3 | \$ 2,463.9 | \$ 1,569 |
| ===== | | | |

Estimated aggregate amortization expense for intangible assets subject to amortization, calculated upon such assets held as at September 30, 2007, for

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

each of the next five fiscal years is as follows:

Years ending December 31 (millions)

 2007 (balance of year)
 2008
 2009
 2010
 2011

(d) Goodwill

Merger of BC TELECOM and TELUS: Under the purchase method of accounting, TELUS' assets and liabilities at acquisition (see (a)) have been recorded at their fair values with the excess purchase price being allocated to goodwill in the amount of \$403.1 million. Commencing January 1, 2002, rather than being systematically amortized, the carrying value of goodwill is periodically tested for impairment.

Additional goodwill on Clearnet purchase: Under U.S. GAAP, shares issued by the acquirer to effect an acquisition are measured at the date the acquisition was announced; however, under Canadian GAAP, at the time the transaction took place, shares issued to effect an acquisition were measured at the transaction date. This results in the purchase price under U.S. GAAP being \$131.4 million higher than under Canadian GAAP. The resulting difference is assigned to goodwill. Commencing January 1, 2002, rather than being systematically amortized, the carrying value of goodwill is periodically tested for impairment.

(e) Accounting for derivatives

Under U.S. GAAP, all derivatives need to be recognized as either assets or liabilities and measured at fair value. Prior to January 1, 2007, this was different from the Canadian GAAP treatment for financial instruments as applied by the Company; see Note 2(b).

(f) Income taxes

| Periods ended September 30 (millions) | Three months | | | Six |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|------------|-----|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 | |
| Current | \$ (144.1) | \$ (19.8) | \$ (141.8) | |
| Deferred | 218.6 | 141.3 | 370.9 | |
| | 74.5 | 121.5 | 229.1 | |
| Investment Tax Credits | -- | -- | (10.6) | |
| | \$ 74.5 | \$ 121.5 | \$ 218.5 | |

The Company's income tax expense (recovery), for U.S. GAAP purposes, differs from that calculated by applying statutory rates for the following reasons:

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| Three-month periods ended September 30 (\$ in millions) | 2007 | |
|---|----------|-----|
| Basic blended federal and provincial tax at statutory income tax rates | \$ 160.0 | 33. |
| Tax rate differential on, and consequential adjustments from, reassessment of prior year tax issues | (76.3) | |
| Revaluation of deferred income tax liability to reflect future statutory income tax rates | (8.6) | |
| Share option award compensation | 1.5 | |
| Other | (2.1) | |
| U.S. GAAP income tax expense (recovery) | \$ 74.5 | 15. |
| | | |
| Nine-month periods ended September 30 (\$ in millions) | 2007 | |
| Basic blended federal and provincial tax at statutory income tax rates | \$ 363.3 | 33. |
| Tax rate differential on, and consequential adjustments from, reassessment of prior year tax issues | (76.3) | |
| Revaluation of deferred income tax liability to reflect future statutory income tax rates | (43.6) | |
| Share option award compensation | (13.9) | |
| Investment Tax Credits, net of tax | (7.0) | |
| Other | (4.0) | |
| U.S. GAAP income tax expense (recovery) | \$ 218.5 | 20. |
| | | |

The operations of the Company are complex, and related tax interpretations, regulations and legislation are continually changing. As a result, there are usually some tax matters in question.

Effective January 1, 2007 the Company adopted the method of accounting for uncertain income tax positions prescribed by Financial Accounting Standards Board Financial Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes". This Interpretation is intended to standardize accounting practice for the recognition, derecognition and measurement of tax benefits to enable consistency and comparability among reporting entities for the reporting of income tax assets and liabilities. No consequential adjustments were required in the Company's financial statements as a result of that adoption.

As at January 1, 2007, the Company had net unrecognized tax benefits totaling \$277.1 million, of which \$240.5 million would, if recognized, have impacted the effective tax rate. The gross amount of the unrecognized tax benefits was \$662.8 million. The gross amount of unrecognized tax benefits is calculated as the undiscounted cumulative impact of such positions on taxable income before timing-related reversals that have yet to be realized and before the application of losses carried forward multiplied by the applicable tax rate for the estimated period when such benefit will be realized.

During the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007, the Company recognized Canadian Investment Tax Credits arising from the Company's conduct of its scientific research and experimental development activities of \$NIL (\$NIL after applicable income tax effect) and \$10.6 million (\$7.0 million after applicable income tax effect), respectively. Investment Tax Credits of \$23.9 million (\$17.4 million after applicable income tax effect) have been abandoned and will no longer be pursued. Gross unrecognized tax benefits were reduced by \$45.1 million. During the three-month and nine-month period ended September 30, 2007, the Company filed additional claims for Canadian Investment Tax Credits of \$NIL (\$NIL after applicable income tax effect) and \$41.2 million (\$28.0 million after applicable income tax effect), respectively, that have not been recognized in the accounts. Gross unrecognized tax benefits increased by \$41 million.

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007, additional gross unrecognized tax benefits of \$159.3 million were claimed on tax returns filed during the period. Net unrecognized tax benefits from such positions were nominal.

During the second quarter of 2007, a tax rate reduction for 2011 was enacted which resulted in an increase in unrecognized tax benefits of \$1.9 million that would, if recognized, impact the effective tax rate. There was no impact on the gross unrecognized tax benefits.

During the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007, net unrecognized tax benefits for prior periods decreased by \$70.5 million due to the effective settlement of certain positions in prior taxation years. Gross unrecognized tax benefits for such prior periods decreased by \$64 million. In addition, the net and gross unrecognized tax benefits decreased by \$25.7 million to reflect a change in the estimated timing of the utilization of such unrecognized tax benefits should they ultimately be realized.

As at September 30, 2007, the Company had net unrecognized tax benefits aggregating \$186.3 million, of which \$149.7 million would, if fully recognized, impact the effective tax rate. The gross amount of unrecognized tax benefits was \$728.4 million.

In the application of both Canadian GAAP and U.S. GAAP, the Company accrues for interest charges on current tax liabilities that have not been funded, which would include interest and penalties arising from uncertain tax positions. The Company includes such charges as a component of financing costs. As at January 1, 2007, and September 30, 2007, the Company has recorded accrued interest of \$7.6 million in respect of differences between the times tax-related exposures have been funded compared to the times the tax-related exposures may have come into existence. There was no interest nor were there penalties relating to current tax liabilities charged by the Company against its income during the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007.

As at January 1, 2007, it was reasonably possible that the Company's net unrecognized tax benefits (before tax) would significantly decrease, in an estimated range of between \$30 million and \$35 million, in the following twelve months for the resolution of certain Canadian Investment Tax Credits arising from the Company's conduct of its scientific research and experimental development activities.

As at January 1, 2007, it was reasonably possible that the Company's unrecognized tax benefits would significantly increase and decrease in the next twelve months for the following items:

* It was expected that Notices of Reassessment would be issued by various government authorities over the next twelve months that were expected to effectively settle a number of uncertain tax positions and result in both adjustments to the effective tax rate and the abandonment of any remaining unrecognized tax benefits. Certain presently unrecognized tax benefits pertaining to a number of items involving uncertainty as to the exact taxation period tax deductions may be claimed among periods of changing statutory tax rates were expected to be resolved within an estimated range of \$35 million to \$45 million. The gross amount of such unrecognized tax benefits range from \$100 million to \$110 million. It was also expected that both net and gross unrecognized benefits estimated to range between \$40 million and \$50 million, that relate to issues pertaining to the eligibility of certain capital and operating costs would be concluded.

As at September 30, 2007, it is reasonably possible that the Company's net unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase and decrease in the next twelve months for the following items:

* It is expected that Notices of Reassessment will be issued and/or settlement will be reached with various government authorities over the next twelve months that are expected to effectively settle a number of uncertain tax positions and result in both adjustments to the effective tax rate and the abandonment of any remaining unrecognized tax benefits. Certain presently unrecognized tax benefits pertaining to a number of

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

items involving uncertainty as to the exact taxation period tax deductions that may be claimed among periods of changing statutory tax rates are expected to be resolved within an estimated range of \$45 million to \$55 million. The gross amount of such unrecognized tax benefits range from \$90 million to \$110 million. It is also expected that both net and gross unrecognized benefits estimated to range between \$15 million and \$25 million, that relate to issues pertaining to the eligibility of certain capital and operating costs will be concluded.

As at January 1, 2007, and September 30, 2007, it is reasonably possible that the Company's net and gross unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase and decrease due to the expected lapse of the statute of limitations that would otherwise allow governmental authorities to challenge positions taken in tax returns for certain prior taxation years. Such unrecognized tax benefits are reasonably estimated at \$4 million.

As at January 1, 2007, and September 30, 2007, income tax returns pertaining to taxation years that remain open to examination by major jurisdictions are as follows:

| | Restricted to Appeals | Other |
|---------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| Canada | 1999 - 2000 | 2001 - 2006 |
| United States | N/A | 2003 - 2006 |

(g) Additional disclosures required under U.S. GAAP - Comprehensive income (loss)

U.S. GAAP requires that a statement of comprehensive income be displayed with the same prominence as other financial statements. Comprehensive income, which incorporates net income, includes all changes in equity during a period except those resulting from investments by and distributions to owners. There is no requirement to disclose comprehensive income under Canadian GAAP prior to fiscal periods beginning on or after January 1, 2007.

Three-month periods ended September 30
(millions)

| | 2007 | | 2006 | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Canadian GAAP other comprehensive income (loss) (1) | Pension and other benefit plans | US GAAP other comprehensive income (loss) | Canadian GAAP other comprehensive income (loss) | Pension and other benefit plans |
| Amount arising | \$ 11.1 | \$ 8.7 | \$ 19.8 | \$ 0.4 | \$ (0.4) |
| Income tax expense (recovery) | 3.9 | 2.6 | 6.5 | -- | (0.4) |
| Net | 7.2 | 6.1 | 13.3 | 0.4 | (0.4) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), beginning of period | (125.8) | (261.7) | (387.5) | (6.5) | (170.4) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), end of period | \$ (118.6) | \$ (255.6) | \$ (374.2) | \$ (6.1) | \$ (171.2) |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

Nine-month periods ended September 30
(millions)

| | 2007 | | | 2006 | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Canadian GAAP other comprehensive income (loss) (1) | Pension and other benefit plans | US GAAP other comprehensive income (loss) | Canadian GAAP other comprehensive income (loss) | Pension and other benefit plans | |
| Amount arising | \$ 88.7 | \$ 26.1 | \$ 114.8 | \$ 1.2 | \$ (3.9) | |
| Income tax expense (recovery) | 29.6 | 7.9 | 37.5 | -- | (0.3) | |
| Net | 59.1 | 18.2 | 77.3 | 1.2 | (3.6) | |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), beginning of period | (177.7) | (273.8) | (451.5) | (7.3) | (167.7) | |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), end of period | \$ (118.6) | \$ (255.6) | \$ (374.2) | \$ (6.1) | \$ (171.3) | |

(h) Recently issued accounting standards not yet implemented

Single definition of "fair value". Under U.S. GAAP, effective for its 2008 fiscal year, the Company is expected to be required to comply with a unified approach to fair value measurement of assets and liabilities, as prescribed by Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements". The Company is assessing the provisions of this statement.

Fair value option. Under U.S. GAAP, effective for its 2008 fiscal year, the Company will be able to choose to measure eligible items at fair value at specified election dates, as prescribed by Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities". The Company does not expect to be materially affected by the new statement.

Other: As would affect the Company, there are no other U.S. accounting standards currently issued and not yet implemented that would differ from Canadian accounting standards currently issued and not yet implemented.

Caution regarding forward-looking statements

This report and Management's discussion and analysis contain statements about expected future events and financial and operating results of TELUS Corporation (TELUS or the Company) that are forward-looking. By their nature, forward-looking statements require the Company to make assumptions and are subject to inherent risks and uncertainties. There is significant risk that predictions, assumptions (see below) and other forward-looking statements will not prove to be accurate. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a number of factors could cause actual future results, conditions, actions or events to differ materially from financial and operating targets, expectations, estimates or intentions expressed in the forward-looking

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

statements.

Assumptions for 2007 guidance purposes include: economic growth consistent with recent provincial and national estimates by the Conference Board of Canada, including 2007 real GDP (gross domestic product) growth of approximately 2.6% in Canada; increased wireline competition in both business and consumer markets, particularly from cable-TV and voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) companies; forbearance for local retail wireline services in major urban incumbent markets by the second half of 2007; no further price cap mandated consumer price reductions; a wireless industry market penetration gain of approximately 4.5 to five percentage points (excluding competitors' subscriber write-offs in the first half of 2007); restructuring expenses of approximately \$25 million; statutory tax rate of 33 to 34%; a discount rate of 5.0% and an expected long-term average return of 7.25% for pension accounting, unchanged from 2006; average shares outstanding of 330 to 335 million; and no prospective significant acquisitions or divestitures. Earnings per share (EPS), cash balances, net debt and common equity may be affected by the potential purchases of up to 24 million TELUS shares over a 12-month period under the normal course issuer bid that commenced December 20, 2006.

Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially include but are not limited to: competition (including more active price discounting); economic growth and fluctuations (including pension performance, funding and expenses); capital expenditure levels (including possible spectrum asset purchases); financing and debt requirements (including share repurchases); tax matters (including acceleration or deferral of required payments of significant amounts of cash taxes); human resource developments (including possible labour disruptions); technology (including reliance on systems and information technology); regulatory developments (including local forbearance, wireless number portability, the timing, rules, process and cost of future spectrum auctions, and possible changes to foreign ownership restrictions); process risks (including internal reorganizations, conversion of legacy systems and billing system integrations); health, safety and environmental developments; litigation and legal matters; business continuity events (including manmade and natural threats); any prospective acquisitions or divestitures; and other risk factors discussed herein and listed from time to time in TELUS' public disclosure documents including its annual report, annual information form, and other filings with securities commissions in Canada (at www.sedar.com) and filings in the United States including Form 40-F (on EDGAR at www.sec.gov).

For further information, see Section 10: Risks and risk management of TELUS' 2006 annual and 2007 first and second quarter Management's discussion and analyses, as well as updates reported in Section 10 of this document.

Management's discussion and analysis

October 31, 2007

The following is a discussion of the consolidated financial condition and results of operations of TELUS Corporation for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, and should be read together with TELUS' interim Consolidated financial statements. This discussion contains forward-looking information that is qualified by reference to, and should be read together with, the discussion regarding forward-looking statements above.

TELUS' interim Consolidated financial statements have been prepared in

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), which differ in certain respects from U.S. GAAP. See Note 19 to the interim Consolidated financial statements for a summary of the principal differences between Canadian and U.S. GAAP as they relate to TELUS. The interim Consolidated financial statements and Management's discussion and analysis were reviewed by TELUS' Audit Committee and approved by TELUS' Board of Directors. All amounts are in Canadian Dollars unless otherwise specified.

TELUS has issued guidance on and reports on certain non-GAAP measures that are used by management to evaluate performance of business units, segments and the Company. In addition, non-GAAP measures are used in measuring compliance with debt covenants and are used to manage the capital structure. Because non-GAAP measures do not have a standardized meaning, securities regulations require that non-GAAP measures be clearly defined and qualified, and reconciled with their nearest GAAP measure. For the readers' reference, the definition, calculation and reconciliation of consolidated non-GAAP measures is provided in Section 11: Reconciliation of non-GAAP measures and definition of key operating indicators.

Management's discussion and analysis contents

| Section | Description |
|--|---|
| 1. Introduction and performance summary | A summary of TELUS' consolidated results for the third quarter and first nine months of 2007 |
| 2. Core business, vision and strategy | Examples of TELUS' activities in support of its six strategic imperatives |
| 3. Key performance drivers | TELUS' 2007 priorities |
| 4. Capability to deliver results | An update on TELUS' capability to deliver results |
| 5. Results from operations | A detailed discussion of operating results for the third quarter and first nine months of 2007 |
| 6. Financial condition | A discussion of changes in the balance sheet for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007 |
| 7. Liquidity and capital resources | A discussion of cash flow, liquidity, credit facilities and other disclosures |
| 8. Critical accounting estimates and accounting policy developments | A description of accounting estimates and changes to accounting policies |
| 9. Annual guidance for 2007 | TELUS' revised annual guidance for 2007 |
| 10. Risks and risk management | An update of risks and uncertainties facing TELUS and how it manages these risks |
| 11. Reconciliation of non-GAAP measures and definition of key operating indicators | A description, calculation and reconciliation of certain measures used by management |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

1. Introduction and performance summary

1.1 Materiality for disclosures

Management determines whether or not information is material based on whether it believes a reasonable investor's decision to buy, sell or hold securities in the Company would likely be influenced or changed if the information were omitted or misstated.

1.2 Canadian telecommunications industry developments

In mid-April 2007, Canada's largest telecommunications service provider BCE Inc. announced a strategic review process. Three consortia signed non-disclosure and standstill agreements to gain access to a BCE data room in order to enable them to potentially prepare an offer to BCE shareholders under a competitive auction process. On June 21, 2007, TELUS confirmed that it had entered into a mutual non-disclosure and standstill agreement and was pursuing non-exclusive discussions to acquire BCE. On June 26, the three consortia submitted bids to acquire BCE, while TELUS announced that inadequacies in BCE's bid process did not make it possible for TELUS to submit an offer as part of the strategic review process announced by BCE. On June 30, BCE announced that it had entered into a definitive agreement to be acquired by a consortium led by Teachers Private Capital, the private investment arm of the Ontario Teachers' Pension Plan, and the U.S.-based Providence Equity Partners and Madison Dearborn Partners, LLC. The consortium also included TD Securities Inc. who, with several other institutions, agreed to provide equity financing for the purchase. The BCE Board recommended that their common shareholders accept the offer at an all-cash price of \$42.75 per common share. In September 2007, BCE Inc. announced that its shareholders overwhelmingly approved the plan of arrangement, which involves the acquisition by the consortium of all outstanding common and preferred shares of BCE. The closing of the transaction is subject to receipt of regulatory approvals widely expected to occur in the first half of 2008.

The CRTC continues to process and approve certain applications from incumbent telecommunications companies for regulatory forbearance of both residential and business local services. This provides more flexibility in pricing and bundling of services for incumbents as they compete with other service providers.

1.3 Consolidated highlights

| (\$ millions, except shares, per share amounts, subscribers and ratios) | Quarters ended September 30 | | | Nine-month periods ended Sept. 30 | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------|--------|--------------------------------------|---------|--------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | Change | 2007 | 2006 | Change |
| ----- Consolidated statements of income ----- | | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | 2,309.9 | 2,210.7 | 4.5 % | 6,743.6 | 6,426.4 | 4.9 % |
| Operating income | 584.4 | 569.1 | 2.7 % | 1,475.2 | 1,543.7 | (4.4)% |
| Net-cash settlement feature (recovery) expense(1) | (7.2) | - | - | 168.1 | - | - |
| Operating income (as adjusted) | 577.2 | 569.1 | 1.4 % | 1,643.3 | 1,543.7 | 6.5 % |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Income before income taxes | 490.2 | 448.5 | 9.3 % | 1,113.9 | 1,154.7 | (3.5) % |
| Net-cash settlement feature (recovery) expense | (7.2) | - | - | 168.1 | - | - |
| Income before income taxes (as adjusted) | 483.0 | 448.5 | 7.7 % | 1,282.0 | 1,154.7 | 11.0 % |
| Net income | 409.9 | 319.6 | 28.3 % | 857.8 | 886.3 | (3.2) % |
| Net-cash settlement feature (recovery) expense, after tax | (4.9) | - | - | 104.1 | - | - |
| Net income (as adjusted) | 405.0 | 319.6 | 26.7 % | 961.9 | 886.3 | 8.5 % |
| Earnings per share, basic (\$) | 1.24 | 0.94 | 31.9 % | 2.57 | 2.57 | - % |
| Net-cash settlement feature per share | (0.01) | - | - | 0.31 | - | - |
| Earnings per share, basic (as adjusted) (2) (\$) | 1.23 | 0.94 | 30.9 % | 2.88 | 2.57 | 12.1 % |
| Earnings per share, diluted (\$) | 1.23 | 0.92 | 33.7 % | 2.55 | 2.54 | 0.4 % |
| Cash dividends declared per share (\$) | 0.375 | 0.275 | 36.4 % | 1.125 | 0.825 | 36.4 % |
| Consolidated statements of cash flows | | | | | | |
| Cash provided by operating activities | 831.8 | 570.4 | 45.8 % | 2,354.3 | 2,056.5 | 14.5 % |
| Cash used by investing activities | 430.0 | 451.0 | (4.7) % | 1,300.1 | 1,253.2 | 3.7 % |
| Capital expenditures | 434.1 | 423.9 | 2.4 % | 1,297.8 | 1,203.2 | 7.9 % |
| Cash used by financing activities | 403.0 | 126.2 | n.m. | 1,041.7 | 837.3 | 24.4 % |
| Subscribers and other measures | | | | | | |
| Subscriber connections (3) (thousands) at Sept. 30 | 11,008 | 10,531 | 4.5 % | | | |
| EBITDA (4) | 987.0 | 952.4 | 3.6 % | 2,635.9 | 2,712.2 | (2.8) % |
| Net-cash settlement feature (recovery) expense | (7.2) | - | - | 168.1 | - | - |
| EBITDA (as | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|-------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| adjusted) (4) | 979.8 | 952.4 | 2.9 % | 2,804.0 | 2,712.2 | 3.4 % |
| Free cash flow (5) | 502.9 | 519.8 | (3.3)% | 1,145.4 | 1,346.4 | (14.9)% |
| ----- | | | | | | |
| Debt and payout ratios | | | | | | |
| ----- | | | | | | |
| Net debt to | | | | | | |
| EBITDA - excluding | | | | | | |
| restructuring (6) | 1.7 | 1.7 | - | | | |
| Dividend payout | | | | | | |
| ratio (%) (7) | 46 | 39 | 7 pts | | | |
| ----- | | | | | | |
| ----- | | | | | | |

TELUS' annual guidance for 2007, described in Section 9 of its 2007 first and second quarter Management's discussion and analysis, included the expectation that a non-cash charge of approximately \$180 million would be recorded in Operations expense as a result of introducing a net-cash settlement feature for share option awards granted prior to 2005. For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007, \$168.1 million in respect of this charge was recorded in Operations expense (\$104.1 million after-tax impact in Net income or 31 cents per share). The recovery in the third quarter was an adjustment to the initial estimate recorded. The net-cash settlement feature expense for full year of 2007 is expected to be approximately \$170 million. See Caution regarding forward looking statements as well as Section 9 for TELUS' guidance for the full year.

Highlights for the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, as discussed in Section 5: Results from operations, include the following:

- Subscriber connections increased by 477,000 during the 12-month period ended September 30, 2007. The number of wireless subscribers grew by 10.9% to 5.41 million, the number of Internet subscribers grew by 7.5% to 1.16 million and the number of network access lines decreased by 3.0% to 4.44 million.
- Operating revenues increased by \$99.2 million and \$317.2 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared to the same periods in 2006, due primarily to growth in wireless network revenues and wireline data revenues, which more than offset declines in wireline voice local and long distance revenue.
- Operating income increased by \$15.3 million in the third quarter of 2007 and decreased by \$68.5 million in the first nine months of 2007, when compared to the same periods in 2006. Excluding the net-cash settlement feature expense recorded in 2007, operating income (as adjusted) increased by \$8.1 million and \$99.6 million, respectively, in the third quarter and the first nine months, primarily due to growth in wireless EBITDA partly offset by higher amortization expenses.
- Income before income taxes increased by \$41.7 million in the third quarter of 2007 and decreased by \$40.8 million in the first nine months of 2007, when compared to the same periods in 2006. Excluding the effect of the net-cash settlement feature, Income before income taxes increased by \$34.5 million and \$127.3 million, respectively, in the third quarter and the first nine months, due to lower financing costs and growth in operating income (as adjusted).
- Net income in 2007 included favourable tax-related adjustments of approximately \$93 million or 28 cents per share in the third quarter

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

and approximately \$107 million or 32 cents per share in the first nine months. In 2006, favourable tax-related adjustments of approximately \$30 million or nine cents per share were recorded in the third quarter and \$145 million or 42 cents per share were recorded in the first nine months.

- Net income and EPS - basic for the third quarter of 2007 increased by \$90.3 million and 30 cents, respectively, when compared to the same period in 2006. Net income for the first nine months of 2007 decreased by \$28.5 million when compared to the same period in 2006, while EPS - basic was unchanged. Excluding the effect of the net-cash settlement feature for first nine months of 2007, Net income (as adjusted) increased by \$75.6 million while EPS - basic (as adjusted) increased by 31 cents.
- The average numbers of shares outstanding during third quarter and first nine months of 2007 were 3% lower than in same periods in 2006. The decrease in shares was due to repurchases under normal course issuer bid (NCIB) programs as well as fewer shares being issued from treasury following the introduction of the net-cash settlement feature for options.

Highlights for the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, as discussed in Section 7: Liquidity and capital resources, include the following:

- Cash provided by operating activities increased by \$261.4 million and \$297.8 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared to the same periods in 2006. In 2007, proceeds from securitized accounts receivable increased by \$50 million during the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, while in 2006, proceeds decreased by \$185 million and \$150 million, respectively, for comparative increases in 2007 operating cash flow of \$235 million and \$200 million, respectively.
- Cash used by investing activities decreased by \$21.0 million in the third quarter and increased by \$46.9 million in the first nine months of 2007, when compared to the same periods in 2006. The decrease for the quarter was primarily due to acquisitions in the prior year period. Capital expenditure intensity ratios were consistent at about 19% of consolidated revenues in the third quarter and first nine months of both 2007 and 2006. Capital expenditures increased by \$10.2 million and \$94.6 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared with the same periods in 2006 due to primarily to increased investment in digital wireless capacity and coverage.
- Cash used by financing activities increased by \$276.8 million and \$204.4 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared to the same periods in 2006. Under its NCIB programs, the Company repurchased 4.3 million shares for cancellation for an outlay of \$232.2 million in the third quarter of 2007 (2006: 2.1 million shares for \$119.7 million), while for the first nine months of 2007, the Company repurchased 10.5 million shares for cancellation for outlay of \$602.4 million (2006: 12.7 million shares for \$600.7 million). The increase cash used by financing activities for the first nine-months included the June 1, 2007 repayment of \$1,483.3 million (US\$1,166.5 million) for matured 7.50% Notes, net of \$1 billion debt issue in March 2007 and outstanding commercial paper issue of \$293.9 million.
- Free cash flow decreased by \$16.9 million and \$201.0 million,

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared to the same periods in 2006. The decrease for the third quarter was caused by higher interest payments (mainly from March 2007 debt issues with semi-annual interest payments), higher capital expenditures and higher share-based compensation payments, net of improved EBITDA and lower restructuring payments. The decrease for the first nine months of 2007 was caused by lower EBITDA, higher capital expenditures, and lower recoveries of cash taxes and related interest, partly offset by lower restructuring payments, share-based compensation expense in excess of payments and lower interest payments.

- Net debt to EBITDA of 1.7 continued to be in the long-term target range of 1.5 to 2.0 times.
- The dividend payout ratio based on actual earnings at September 30, 2007 was 46% and the dividend payout ratio calculated to exclude the impacts of tax-related adjustments and the charge for introducing the net-cash feature was also 46% - within the target guideline of 45 to 55% of net sustainable earnings.

2. Core business, vision and strategy

The following discussion is qualified in its entirety by the Caution regarding forward-looking statements at the beginning of Management's discussion and analysis. It is also qualified by Section 10: Risks and risk management of TELUS' 2006 annual and 2007 first and second quarter Management's discussions and analyses, as well as updates reported in Section 10 of this document.

TELUS' core business, vision and strategy were detailed in its 2006 Management's discussion and analysis. Recent activities supporting, and events affecting, the Company's six strategic imperatives include the following:

Focusing relentlessly on the growth markets of data, IP and wireless

The Company expanded the number of urban areas where TELUS TV(R) service is offered. On September 17, TELUS TV was officially launched in the Eastern Quebec communities of Luceville, Mont-Joli, Rimouski, Sainte-Blandine, Saint-Georges-de-Beauce and Sainte-Luce. TELUS' digital television and entertainment service is made possible by investments in broadband infrastructure. The service is also available in select areas of Calgary, Greater Edmonton and the Lower Mainland of B.C.

In August, TELUS signed an eight-year contract with the Western Canada Lottery Corporation to implement an Alberta-wide Internet Protocol (IP) lottery terminal network. The IP network will connect more than 2,300 lottery ticket machines in Alberta to each other and to the WCLC headquarters in Winnipeg.

Partnering, acquiring and divesting to accelerate the implementation of TELUS' strategy and focus TELUS' resources on core business

On June 21, 2007, TELUS had confirmed that it had entered into a mutual non-disclosure and standstill agreement and was pursuing non-exclusive discussions to acquire BCE. On June 26, TELUS announced that inadequacies in BCE's bid process did not make it possible for TELUS to submit an offer as part of the strategic review process announced by BCE. In its second quarter results news release on August 3, TELUS announced it had concluded its assessment and did not intend to submit a competing offer to acquire BCE. An expense of approximately \$4 million related to various costs of this assessment was recorded in Other expense in the third quarter.

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

Investing in internal capabilities to build a high-performance culture and efficient operations

TELUS recognizes that training is a key element for success and has implemented many training programs. By the end of the quarter, close to 100 per cent of TELUS team members completed the mandatory online ethics and respect course. The course is designed to help team members in their ethical decisions at work, point them to TELUS' related policies and to teach with case situations and dilemmas to apply judgement. Underlying this initiative is an "Ask First, Act Later" approach when a proper course of action is unclear.

TELUS' mandatory security awareness course was also being completed by team members. This online course interactively promotes a safe, secure environment for clients and employees alike. It is also designed to protect company assets, sensitive information and our client's privacy.

TELUS has hundreds of training courses including the Premier Sales Organization training program and the training programs for the Connections Women's Network, and the Spirited Teamwork - Stronger Together program. Recently, the American Society for Training & Development recognized these TELUS initiatives with a BEST award and recognized TELUS as one of the top organizations when it comes to using employee learning and development to enable enterprise-wide success.

3. Key performance drivers

The following discussion is qualified in its entirety by the Caution regarding forward-looking statements at the beginning of Management's discussion and analysis. It is also qualified by Section 10: Risks and risk management of TELUS' 2006 annual and 2007 first and second quarter Management's discussions and analyses, as well as updates reported in Section 10 of this document.

2007 corporate priorities across wireline and wireless

Advancing TELUS' leadership position in the consumer market

- Combining TELUS' suite of data applications with deregulated heritage services
- Attaining best-in-class customer loyalty and growth through unparalleled customer experiences
- Achieving customer addition targets by expanding distribution channels and addressing key market segments with new service offerings.

Advancing TELUS' leadership position in the business market

- Progressing further in key industry verticals with specific applications that provide non-price-based differentiation
- Leveraging wireless number portability to expand TELUS' business market share in Central Canada
- Focusing on small business customer loyalty and growth with innovative solutions.

Advancing TELUS' leadership position in the wholesale market

- Growing in domestic and international markets through recognition that TELUS is Canada's IP leader
- Achieving excellence in customer service to support local forbearance in key incumbent markets
- Expanding the Company's markets, channels and products by focusing on strategic relationships with TELUS' partners.

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

Driving TELUS' technology evolution and improvements in productivity and service excellence

- Implementing technology roadmaps for Future Friendly Home and wireless service offerings that simplify TELUS' product portfolio and improve service development and execution
- Rolling out consolidated customer care systems to replace multiple legacy systems in Alberta and B.C.
- Accelerating customer service delivery dates.

Strengthening the spirit of the TELUS team and brand, and developing the best talent in the global communications industry

- Growing TELUS' business ownership culture with a team philosophy of "our business, our customers, our team, my responsibility" thereby attracting, developing and retaining great talent
 - Leading the way in corporate social responsibility as TELUS strives to be Canada's premier corporate citizen.
-

4. Capability to deliver results

The following discussion is qualified in its entirety by the Caution regarding forward-looking statements at the beginning of Management's discussion and analysis.

4.1 Principal markets addressed and competitors

The principal markets addressed and competitors have not changed significantly from those described in TELUS' annual 2006 Management's discussion and analysis. After two full quarters of wireless number portability (WNP), implemented March 2007, indications are that WNP has been a net contributing factor to increased subscriber loading (inbound porting exceeded outbound porting). WNP initially contributed to increased wireless customer retention costs as a percentage of revenues in the second quarter of 2007 (8.2% compared to 6.2% in 2006) and continues to contribute to a small increase in subscriber churn (total churn was 1.45% and 1.43% in the second and third quarters of 2007 compared to 1.30% and 1.36% in the same periods in 2006).

4.2 Operational capabilities

Regulation

To the end of September, the CRTC has granted forbearance for residential local services in Calgary, Edmonton, Fort McMurray, Rimouski, Victoria and most Greater Vancouver exchanges, as well as nine additional exchanges in Eastern Quebec. The areas forborne from regulation cover more than one million residential subscribers of TELUS. As communities are deregulated, TELUS for the first time will be able to bring promotions and bundles of services that are better tailored to the needs of community residents. TELUS expects to receive decisions on a number of other applications in the near future.

In September, the CRTC granted forbearance for eligible business local services in 35 exchanges, including Calgary, Edmonton, Fort McMurray and Rimouski, Victoria and most of Greater Vancouver, or approximately two-thirds of TELUS' total business lines. For further discussion, see Section 10.1 Regulatory.

Development of a new billing and client care system in the wireline segment

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

In late-March 2007, the Company converted more than one million wireline customers in Alberta to a new billing and client care system. The expected customer service and cost benefits of this project include streamlined and standardized processes and the elimination over time of multiple legacy information systems. During the second quarter of 2007, the transition from pilot to full scale implementation, while largely successful, resulted in initial system difficulties that reduced order processing capability, which caused increased installation backlogs and higher than expected costs such as extra call centre resources to maintain service levels. The critical billing function performed as expected. At this time the backlogs have been significantly reduced and additional call centre resources are being reduced. The additional expenses in the third quarter were approximately \$8 million or half of the \$16 million expenses recorded in the second quarter. See Section 10.3 Process risks.

Transition to the new system in 2007 reduced Wireline EBITDA by approximately \$8 million in the third quarter and \$37 million in the nine-month period. This included costs primarily related to additional temporary labour to perform system fixes and maintain service levels were \$8 million in the third quarter and \$24 million for the nine-month period. It also included a one-time reduction of \$13 million in long distance revenue recorded in the second quarter of 2007. The one-time revenue reduction resulted from system enhancements, which provided management with better data for estimating earned, but unbilled revenue.

AMP'D Mobile Canada

In the second quarter, the U.S. based parent company AMP'D Mobile, Inc. entered bankruptcy proceedings in the U.S. As a result, AMP'D Mobile sales were discontinued in Canada. In the second quarter, TELUS recorded a pre-tax write-off of its \$11.8 million equity investment in AMP'D Mobile, Inc. (in Other expense, net) along with pre-tax adjustments of approximately \$5 million for accelerated depreciation and amortization and approximately \$2 million in Operations expense. Operations expense in the third quarter included approximately \$3 million for a write-down of subscriber equipment and write-off of prepaid marketing costs.

4.3 Liquidity and capital resources

Capital structure financial policies (Note 3 of the interim Consolidated financial statements)

The Company monitors capital on a number of bases, including: net debt to total capitalization; net debt to EBITDA - excluding restructuring costs; and dividend payout ratio of sustainable net earnings. For further discussion and specific guidelines, see Section 7.4 Liquidity and capital resource measures. TELUS' 2007 financing plan was described in Section 9.3 of its 2006 Management's discussion and analysis. Progress against the financing plan is outlined below.

TELUS' 2007 financing plan and results

Repurchase TELUS Common Shares and TELUS Non-Voting Shares under the normal course issuer bid (NCIB)

During the third quarter of 2007, approximately 350,000 Common Shares and 3.97 million Non-Voting Shares were repurchased for cancellation for an outlay totalling \$232.2 million. From December 20, 2004 to September 30, 2007 under three NCIB programs, approximately 19 million Common Shares and 30.9 million Non-Voting Shares were repurchased for cancellation for an outlay totalling \$2.37 billion. See Section 7.3 Cash used by financing activities.

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

Pay dividends

The dividend declared in the third quarter of 2007, payable on October 1, was 37.5 cents per share, an increase of 36.4% from the dividend declared in the third quarter of 2006. The dividend declared for the fourth quarter of 2007 increased by 7.5 cents per share, or 20%, to 45 cents per share, which is payable on January 1, 2008.

Use proceeds from securitized receivables and bank facilities, as needed, to supplement free cash flow and meet other cash requirements

The balance of proceeds from securitized accounts receivable increased by a net \$50 million during the third quarter of 2007, closing at \$550 million. During the second quarter, proceeds increased from \$150 million to \$550 million at May 31 and were reduced to \$500 million at June 30. Increases in proceeds were used for general corporate purposes.

Maintain a minimum \$1 billion in unutilized liquidity

TELUS had more than \$1.4 billion of available liquidity from unutilized credit facilities at September 30, 2007. See Section 7.5 Credit facilities.

Maintain position of fully hedging foreign exchange exposure for indebtedness

Maintained for the 8.00% U.S. Dollar Notes due 2011, the one remaining foreign currency-denominated debt issue.

Give consideration to refinancing all or a portion of U.S. Dollar Notes in advance of its June 1, 2007 scheduled maturity

In March 2007, the Company publicly issued \$300 million 4.50%, Series CC, 2012 Canadian Dollar Notes and \$700 million, 4.95%, Series CD, 2017 Canadian Dollar Notes. Proceeds from these debt issues, combined with a second quarter commercial paper issue of \$663.5 million and the second quarter increase in proceeds from securitized accounts receivable, were used for general corporate purposes and repayment of \$1,483.3 million for the June 1 maturity of US\$1,166.5 million, 7.50% Notes.

Preserve access to the capital markets at a reasonable cost by maintaining investment grade credit ratings and targeting improved credit ratings in the range of BBB+ to A-, or the equivalent, in the future

Three of four rating agencies put their outlooks to under review in the second quarter due to TELUS being in non-exclusive discussions to acquire BCE. With the TELUS' announcement in August that it did not intend to submit a competing offer to acquire BCE, the rating agencies in the third quarter reinstated their stable trend outlooks. At October 31, 2007, investment grade credit ratings from the four rating agencies that cover TELUS were in the desired range. See Section 7.7 Credit Ratings.

4.4 Changes in internal control over financial reporting

There were no changes in internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

Company's internal control over financial reporting.

5. Results from operations

5.1 General

The Company has two reportable segments: wireline and wireless. Segmentation is based on similarities in technology, the technical expertise required to deliver the products and services, the distribution channels used and regulatory treatment. Intersegment sales are recorded at the exchange value. Segmented information is regularly reported to the Company's Chief Executive Officer (the chief operating decision-maker). See Note 5 of the interim Consolidated financial statements.

5.2 Quarterly results summary

| (\$ in millions, except per share amounts) | 2007 Q3 | 2007 Q2 | 2007 Q1 | 2006 Q4 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| ----- | | | | |
| Segmented revenue (external) | | | | |
| Wireline segment | 1,204.6 | 1,180.1 | 1,205.6 | 1,234.3 |
| Wireless segment | 1,105.3 | 1,048.0 | 1,000.0 | 1,020.3 |
| ----- | | | | |
| Operating revenues (consolidated) | 2,309.9 | 2,228.1 | 2,205.6 | 2,254.6 |
| Operations expense | 1,316.5 | 1,340.3 | 1,436.6 | 1,368.6 |
| Restructuring costs | 6.4 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 7.9 |
| ----- | | | | |
| EBITDA(1) | 987.0 | 884.6 | 764.3 | 878.1 |
| Depreciation | 332.5 | 318.3 | 317.7 | 353.2 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 70.1 | 72.5 | 49.6 | 53.9 |
| ----- | | | | |
| Operating income | 584.4 | 493.8 | 397.0 | 471.0 |
| Other expense (income) | 8.0 | 18.5 | 3.8 | 10.1 |
| Financing costs | 86.2 | 127.2 | 117.6 | 133.6 |
| ----- | | | | |
| Income before income taxes and non-controlling interest | 490.2 | 348.1 | 275.6 | 327.3 |
| Income taxes | 78.6 | 93.7 | 79.3 | 89.7 |
| Non-controlling interests | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| ----- | | | | |
| Net income | 409.9 | 253.1 | 194.8 | 236.2 |
| ----- | | | | |
| Net income per Common Share and Non-Voting Share | | | | |
| - basic | 1.24 | 0.76 | 0.58 | 0.70 |
| - diluted | 1.23 | 0.75 | 0.57 | 0.69 |
| Dividends declared per Common Share and Non-Voting Share | 0.375 | 0.375 | 0.375 | 0.375 |
| ----- | | | | |

| (\$ in millions, except per share amounts) | 2006 Q3 | 2006 Q2 | 2006 Q1 | 2005 Q4 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| ----- | | | | |
| Segmented revenue (external) | | | | |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Wireline segment | 1,200.3 | 1,189.9 | 1,198.6 | 1,209.9 |
| Wireless segment | 1,010.4 | 945.3 | 881.9 | 876.8 |
| ----- | | | | |
| Operating revenues (consolidated) | 2,210.7 | 2,135.2 | 2,080.5 | 2,086.7 |
| Operations expense | 1,245.8 | 1,207.4 | 1,201.1 | 1,316.8 |
| Restructuring costs | 12.5 | 30.7 | 16.7 | 35.5 |
| ----- | | | | |
| EBITDA(1) | 952.4 | 897.1 | 862.7 | 734.4 |
| Depreciation | 325.8 | 335.2 | 339.2 | 346.2 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 57.5 | 46.9 | 63.9 | 67.0 |
| ----- | | | | |
| Operating income | 569.1 | 515.0 | 459.6 | 321.2 |
| Other expense (income) | 4.0 | 9.6 | 4.3 | 9.3 |
| Financing costs | 116.6 | 127.5 | 127.0 | 171.7 |
| ----- | | | | |
| Income before income taxes and non-controlling interest | 448.5 | 377.9 | 328.3 | 140.2 |
| Income taxes | 126.5 | 18.7 | 116.1 | 58.8 |
| Non-controlling interests | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.9 |
| ----- | | | | |
| Net income | 319.6 | 356.6 | 210.1 | 78.5 |
| ----- | | | | |
| Net income per Common Share and Non-Voting Share | | | | |
| - basic | 0.94 | 1.03 | 0.60 | 0.22 |
| - diluted | 0.92 | 1.02 | 0.60 | 0.22 |
| Dividends declared per Common Share and Non-Voting Share | 0.275 | 0.275 | 0.275 | 0.275 |
| ----- | | | | |

The consolidated revenue trend continues to reflect strong growth in wireless network revenues generated from an increasing subscriber base. Wireless ARPU (average revenue per subscriber unit per month) declined 1.3% in third quarter following 18 successive quarters of year-over-year increases, as strong data growth was offset by declining voice revenues, due to shifting product mix, pricing competition and an increase in-bucket (included minute) usage. The consolidated revenue trend also reflected growth in wireline segment data revenue, while wireline voice local and long distance revenues continue to decline due to substitution for wireless and Internet services, as well as competition from VoIP service providers, resellers and facilities-based competitors.

Historically, there is significant fourth quarter seasonality with higher wireless subscriber additions and related acquisition costs and equipment sales, resulting in lower wireless EBITDA. The seasonality affects, to a lesser extent, the wireline high-speed Internet subscriber additions and related costs.

As described in Section 4.2, quarterly Operations expenses in 2007 include an expense for introducing a net-cash settlement feature for share option awards. The net-cash settlement feature expense (recovery) for the first, second and third quarters of 2007 were \$173.5 million, \$1.8 million and \$(7.2) million, respectively. The credit in the third quarter was an adjustment to the initial estimate recorded. Operations expense in the fourth quarter of 2005 was affected by temporary net labour disruption expenses of approximately \$52 million. Restructuring costs varied by quarter, depending on the progress of ongoing initiatives underway.

The downward trend in depreciation expense was interrupted by: (i) a provision of approximately \$20 million in the third quarter of 2007 for a reduction in estimated useful service lives for certain network assets; and

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

(ii) a provision of approximately \$17 million in the fourth quarter of 2006 to align estimated useful lives for TELUS Quebec assets, resulting from integration of financial systems. With a major new wireline billing and client care system put into service in March 2007, \$18 million of additional amortization was recorded in each of the second and third quarters of 2007, reversing the downward trend in Amortization of intangible assets. In addition, Amortization expenses in the second and fourth quarters of 2006 and the first quarter of 2007 were reduced by approximately \$12 million, \$5 million and \$5 million, respectively, for investment tax credits relating to assets capitalized in prior years that are now fully amortized, following a determination of eligibility by a government tax authority.

Within Financing costs shown in the table above, interest expenses trended lower except for the following items: (i) interest expense in respect of a court decision in a lawsuit related to a 1997 BC TEL bond redemption matter (including \$7.8 million in the fourth quarter of 2006); and (ii) a charge of \$33.5 million in the fourth quarter of 2005 for early redemption of \$1.578 billion of Notes. The early redemption of Notes on December 1, 2005, contributed to lower financing costs in the first half of 2006. The sequential decline in financing costs in the third quarter 2007 is due to lower effective interest rates and debt balances plus increased interest income from tax refunds. Financing costs in the eight periods shown are net of varying amounts of interest income.

The generally upward trend in Net income and earnings per share reflect the items noted above as well as adjustments arising from legislated income tax changes and tax reassessments for prior years, including any related interest on reassessments.

| Tax-related adjustments (\$ in millions, except EPS amounts) | 2007 Q3 | 2007 Q2 | 2007 Q1 | 2006 Q4 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Approximate Net income impact | 93 | 10 | 4 | 20 |
| Approximate EPS impact | 0.28 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.06 |
| Approximate basic EPS excluding tax-related impacts | 0.96 | 0.73 | 0.57 | 0.64 |

| Tax-related adjustments (\$ in millions, except EPS amounts) | 2006 Q3 | 2006 Q2 | 2006 Q1 | 2005 Q4 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Approximate Net income impact | 30 | 118 | (3) | (3) |
| Approximate EPS impact | 0.09 | 0.34 | (0.01) | (0.01) |
| Approximate basic EPS excluding tax-related impacts | 0.85 | 0.69 | 0.61 | 0.23 |

5.3 Consolidated results from operations

| (\$ in millions except EBITDA margin in % and Employees) | Quarters ended | | | Nine-month periods | | |
|--|----------------|------|--------|--------------------|------|--------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | Change | 2007 | 2006 | Change |
| | September 30 | | | ended Sept. 30 | | |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|----------|
| Operating revenues | 2,309.9 | 2,210.7 | 4.5 % | 6,743.6 | 6,426.4 | 4.9 % |
| Operations expense | 1,316.5 | 1,245.8 | 5.7 % | 4,093.4 | 3,654.3 | 12.0 % |
| Restructuring costs | 6.4 | 12.5 | (48.8)% | 14.3 | 59.9 | (76.1)% |
| ----- | | | | | | |
| EBITDA(1) | 987.0 | 952.4 | 3.6 % | 2,635.9 | 2,712.2 | (2.8)% |
| Depreciation | 332.5 | 325.8 | 2.1 % | 968.5 | 1,000.2 | (3.2)% |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 70.1 | 57.5 | 21.9 % | 192.2 | 168.3 | 14.2 % |
| ----- | | | | | | |
| Operating income | 584.4 | 569.1 | 2.7 % | 1,475.2 | 1,543.7 | (4.4)% |
| ----- | | | | | | |
| Operations expense (as adjusted) (2) | 1,323.7 | 1,245.8 | 6.3 % | 3,925.3 | 3,654.3 | 7.4 % |
| EBITDA (as adjusted) (2) | 979.8 | 952.4 | 2.9 % | 2,804.0 | 2,712.2 | 3.4 % |
| Operating income (as adjusted) (2) | 577.2 | 569.1 | 1.4 % | 1,643.3 | 1,543.7 | 6.5 % |
| EBITDA margin(3) | 42.7 | 43.1 | (0.4)pts | 39.1 | 42.2 | (3.1)pts |
| EBITDA margin (as adjusted) (4) | 42.4 | 43.1 | (0.7)pts | 41.6 | 42.2 | (0.6)pts |
| Full-time equivalent employees at end of period | 33,282 | 29,754 | 11.9 % | | | |
| ----- | | | | | | |
| ----- | | | | | | |

The following discussion is for the consolidated results of TELUS. Further detail by segment is provided for Operating revenues, Operations expense, Restructuring costs, EBITDA and Capital expenditures in Section 5.4 Wireline segment results, Section 5.5 Wireless segment results and Section 7.2 Cash used by investing activities - capital expenditures.

Operating revenues

Consolidated Operating revenues increased by \$99.2 million and \$317.2 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007 when compared to the same periods in 2006. Growth in wireless network revenue and wireline data revenue continue to exceed erosion in wireline voice local and long distance revenues. Consolidated operating revenues for the first nine months of 2007 include a one-time reduction of about \$13 million in long distance revenues, recorded in the second quarter. The second quarter adjustment resulted from billing system enhancements, which provided management with better data for estimating earned, but unbilled revenue.

Operations expense

Consolidated Operations expense increased by \$70.7 million and \$439.1 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2006. The increases include non-cash charges for introducing a net-cash settlement feature for share option awards granted before 2005. Operations expense adjusted to exclude these non-cash charges increased by \$77.9 million and \$271.0 million, respectively, primarily to support the 10.9% year-over-year growth in the wireless subscriber base and growth in wireless network revenue. In addition, expenses in the wireline segment increased primarily due to billing system conversion costs and external labour costs to improve service levels, as well

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

as from increased staffing. TELUS' net defined benefit pension plan expense decreased by approximately \$22 million and \$66 million, respectively, due primarily to favourable returns on plan assets in 2006.

The number of employees increased to support the wireline segment's provision of outsourcing services to TELUS' customers, including human resources outsourcing services and international call centre services, and to support the growing wireless segment subscriber base. The number of full-time equivalent employees providing outsourcing services to the Company's customers increased by about 1,730 at September 30, 2007 when compared to one year earlier, while elsewhere in the wireline segment the increase was 1,222. In the wireless segment, the number of full-time equivalent employees increased by 576 to support growth over the same period.

Restructuring costs

Restructuring costs decreased by \$6.1 million and \$45.6 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2006. Restructuring expenses in 2007 were in respect of several smaller efficiency initiatives. The Company expects restructuring costs for the full year to be approximately \$25 million.

EBITDA

Consolidated EBITDA increased by \$34.6 million in the third quarter of 2007 and decreased by \$76.3 million in the first nine months of 2007, when compared to the same periods in 2006. EBITDA adjusted to exclude the net-cash settlement feature increased by \$27.4 million and \$91.8 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared to the same periods in 2006. Wireline EBITDA (as adjusted) decreased mainly due to implementation impacts of a new wireline billing and client care system (described in Section 4.2 Operational capabilities). Wireless segment EBITDA (as adjusted) increased as growth in the subscriber base increased network revenues, but was partially offset by higher operations costs to support subscriber growth, and for the nine month period, increased cost of acquisition (COA) related to the higher gross subscriber additions and higher retention spend related to the implementation of wireless number portability.

Depreciation

Depreciation increased by \$6.7 million in the third quarter of 2007 and decreased by \$31.7 million in the first nine months of 2007, when compared to the same periods in 2006. The increase in the third quarter of 2007 included approximately \$20 million for a reduction in estimated useful service lives for certain network assets. The decrease for nine-month period was primarily due to write-downs in 2006, partly offset by the 2007 write-downs as well as accelerated depreciation of \$1.5 million in the second quarter of 2007 for assets related to the discontinuation of AMP'D Mobile Canada services. The 2006 adjustments included a reduction in the estimated useful service lives for computer servers and furniture as well as write-offs of certain other network assets.

Amortization of intangible assets

Amortization increased by \$12.6 million and \$23.9 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared to the same periods in 2006. A new wireline billing and client care system was put into service in March 2007, increasing amortization by \$18.0 million and \$36.0 million, respectively. Accelerated amortization of \$3.5 million was recorded in the second quarter of 2007 for assets related to the discontinuation of AMP'D Mobile Canada services. These increases were partly offset by lower amortization for other fully amortized software assets. In addition, amortization expenses were reduced by approximately \$5 million for

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

the first nine months of 2007 and reduced by approximately \$12 million in the first nine months of 2006 to recognize investment tax credits, now determined eligible by the tax authority, relating to assets capitalized in prior years that are now fully amortized.

Operating income

Operating income increased by \$15.3 million in the third quarter of 2007 and decreased by \$68.5 million in the first nine months of 2007, when compared to the same periods in 2006. When adjusted to exclude the net-cash settlement feature recorded in 2007, operating income (as adjusted) increased by \$8.1 million and \$99.6 million, respectively, in the third quarter and the first nine months. The increase was due mainly to growth in Wireless EBITDA.

Other income statement items

| Other expense, net (\$ millions) | Quarters ended September 30 | | | Nine-month periods ended Sept. 30 | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------|---------|--------------------------------------|------|--------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | Change | 2007 | 2006 | Change |
| | 8.0 | 4.0 | 100.0 % | 30.3 | 17.9 | 69.3 % |

Other expense increased by \$4.0 million and \$12.4 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared to the same periods in 2006. Accounts receivable securitization expenses were \$7.2 million and \$15.4 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, which increased by \$3.5 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, from the same periods in 2006 (see Section 7.6 Accounts receivable sale). In the third quarter of 2007, the Company expensed approximately \$4 million for various costs of assessing whether to acquire BCE, which ultimately led to the decision in August to not bid for BCE. For the first nine months of 2007, increased expenses includes an \$11.8 million second quarter write-off was taken for the Company's equity investment of in AMP'D Mobile Inc.

| Financing costs (\$ millions) | Quarters ended September 30 | | | Nine-month periods ended Sept. 30 | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------|---------|--------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | Change | 2007 | 2006 | Change |
| Interest on long-term debt, short-term obligations and other | 108.8 | 125.6 | (13.4)% | 354.9 | 378.1 | (6.1)% |
| Foreign exchange losses (gains) | 3.5 | (0.3) | n.m. | 11.1 | 4.5 | 146.7 % |
| Interest income | (26.1) | (8.7) | n.m. | (35.0) | (11.5) | n.m. |
| | 86.2 | 116.6 | (26.1)% | 331.0 | 371.1 | (10.8)% |

Interest expenses decreased by \$16.8 million and \$23.2 million,

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared to the same periods in 2006. The decreases were primarily due to financing activities in the first half of 2007 (see Section 7.3 Cash used by financing activities), which resulted in a lower effective interest rate for the third quarter and first nine months of 2007 as well as a lower average debt balance for the third quarter, when compared to the same periods in 2006. Partly offsetting the lower effective interest rate was a higher average debt balance for the first nine months of 2007 as debt issues were completed in March 2007 and commercial paper was issued in May ahead of the June 1 maturity of \$1,483.3 million (US\$1,166.5 million) Notes. The Company's net debt, as calculated in Section 11.4, was \$6,121 million at September 30, 2007, down slightly from \$6,147 million one year earlier.

The decrease in interest expenses for the first nine months of 2007 also included an adjustment for application of the effective rate method for issue costs as required under CICA Handbook Section 3855 (recognition and measurement of financial instruments). In March 2007, forward starting interest rate swaps were terminated resulting in prepaid interest of approximately \$10 million being deferred and amortized over 10 years, which is the term of the new debt.

Interest income increased by \$17.4 million and \$23.5 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared with the same periods in 2006, due primarily to recognition of increased interest on tax refunds, and for the first nine months, increased interest from investments.

| Income taxes (\$ millions) | Quarters ended September 30 | | | Nine-month periods ended Sept. 30 | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------|-----------|--------------------------------------|---------|--------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | Change | 2007 | 2006 | Change |
| Blended federal and provincial statutory income tax based on net income before tax | 164.6 | 150.7 | 9.2 % | 373.8 | 388.0 | (3.7)% |
| Tax rate differential on, and consequential adjustments from, reassessments for prior years | (76.3) | (24.9) | n.m. | (76.3) | (23.9) | n.m. |
| Revaluation of future income tax liability | (8.6) | - | n.m. | (36.5) | (107.0) | 65.9 % |
| Share option award compensation | 1.1 | 1.8 | (38.9)% | (5.4) | 4.9 | n.m. |
| Other | (2.2) | (1.1) | (100.0)% | (4.0) | (0.7) | n.m. |
| | 78.6 | 126.5 | (37.9)% | 251.6 | 261.3 | (3.7)% |
| Blended federal and provincial statutory tax rates (%) | 33.6 | 33.6 | - | 33.6 | 33.6 | - |
| Effective tax rates (%) | 16.0 | 28.2 | (12.2)pts | 22.6 | 22.6 | - |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

The blended federal and provincial statutory income tax expense increased in the third quarter of 2007 and decreased in first nine months of 2007, when compared with the same periods in 2006, due primarily to the comparable changes in income before taxes of 9.3 per cent and (3.5) per cent, respectively. The effective tax rates were lower than the statutory tax rates due to favourable reassessments of prior years' tax matters and revaluation of future income tax liabilities. Revaluations of future tax liabilities resulted from reductions to future federal income tax rates (during the second quarters of 2007 and 2006) as well as future tax rates being applied to temporary differences.

Based on the assumption of the continuation of the rate of TELUS earnings, the existing legal entity structure, and no substantive changes to tax regulations, the Company expects to be able to substantially utilize its non-capital losses before the end of 2007. The Company's assessment is that the risk of expiry of such non-capital losses is remote. Under the existing legal entity structure, TELUS currently expects cash tax payments to be minimal in 2007, increasing in 2008, with substantial cash tax payments in 2009. The blended federal and provincial statutory tax rate for 2007 is expected to be approximately 33 to 34%.

| Non-controlling interests (\$ millions) | Quarters ended September 30 | | | Nine-month periods ended Sept. 30 | | |
|--|--------------------------------|------|---------|--------------------------------------|------|---------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | Change | 2007 | 2006 | Change |
| | 1.7 | 2.4 | (29.2)% | 4.5 | 7.1 | (36.6)% |

Non-controlling interests represents minority shareholders' interests in several small subsidiaries.

Comprehensive income

As discussed in Section 8.2 Accounting policy developments, commencing with the 2007 fiscal year, the Company adopted the recommendations of the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants (CICA) for accounting for comprehensive income (CICA Handbook Section 1530). Currently, the concept of comprehensive income for purposes of Canadian GAAP, in the Company's specific instance, is primarily to include changes in shareholders' equity arising from unrealized changes in the fair values of financial instruments (see Section 7.8). The calculation of earnings per share is based on Net income and Common Share and Non-Voting Share income, as required by GAAP.

5.4 Wireline segment results

| Operating revenues - wireline segment (\$ millions) | Quarters ended September 30 | | | Nine-month periods ended Sept. 30 | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------|--------|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | Change | 2007 | 2006 | Change |
| Voice local(1) | 511.3 | 533.4 | (4.1)% | 1,559.0 | 1,592.3 | (2.1)% |
| Voice long distance(2) | 181.3 | 199.1 | (8.9)% | 536.6 | 612.6 | (12.4)% |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|
| Data (3) | 446.3 | 410.8 | 8.6 % | 1,305.7 | 1,207.5 | 8.1 % |
| Other | 65.7 | 57.0 | 15.3 % | 189.0 | 176.4 | 7.1 % |
| ----- | | | | | | |
| External operating revenue | 1,204.6 | 1,200.3 | 0.4 % | 3,590.3 | 3,588.8 | 0.0 % |
| Intersegment revenue | 29.7 | 23.5 | 26.4 % | 83.5 | 71.8 | 16.3 % |
| ----- | | | | | | |
| Total operating revenues | 1,234.3 | 1,223.8 | 0.9 % | 3,673.8 | 3,660.6 | 0.4 % |
| ----- | | | | | | |

Network access lines As at September 30
(000s) 2007 2006 Change

| | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| Residential network access lines | 2,643 | 2,809 | (5.9)% |
| Business network access lines | 1,800 | 1,770 | 1.7 % |
| ----- | | | |
| Total network access lines(1) | 4,443 | 4,579 | (3.0)% |

| (000s) | Quarters ended September 30 | | | Nine-month periods ended Sept. 30 | | |
|--------|--------------------------------|------|--------|--------------------------------------|------|--------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | Change | 2007 | 2006 | Change |

| | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|--------|-------|-------|---------|
| Change in residential network access lines | (42) | (39) | (7.7)% | (132) | (119) | (10.9)% |
| Change in business network access lines | 7 | (1) | n.m. | 27 | 7 | n.m. |
| ----- | | | | | | |
| Change in total network access lines | (35) | (40) | 12.5 % | (105) | (112) | 6.3 % |
| ----- | | | | | | |

Internet subscribers As at September 30
(000s) 2007 2006 Change

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| High-speed Internet subscribers | 994.0 | 872.3 | 14.0 % |
| Dial-up Internet subscribers | 164.6 | 205.5 | (19.9)% |
| ----- | | | |
| Total Internet subscribers(1) | 1,158.6 | 1,077.8 | 7.5 % |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| (000s) | Quarters ended September 30 | | | Nine-month periods ended Sept. 30 | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------|---------|--------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | Change | 2007 | 2006 | Change |
| High-speed Internet net additions | 31.3 | 41.5 | (24.6)% | 77.3 | 109.3 | (29.3)% |
| Dial-up Internet net reductions | (7.6) | (11.4) | 33.3 % | (29.5) | (30.7) | 3.9 % |
| Total Internet subscriber net additions | 23.7 | 30.1 | (21.3)% | 47.8 | 78.6 | (39.2)% |

Revenues in the Wireline segment increased by \$10.5 million and \$13.2 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared with the same periods in 2006.

- Voice local revenue decreased by \$22.1 million and \$33.3 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared with the same periods in 2006. The decreases were due primarily to lower revenues from basic access and optional enhanced services arising from increased competition for residential subscribers offset in part by growth in business local services and price increases allowed under regulation. For the first nine months of 2007, this was partly offset by first quarter recoveries of approximately \$14.5 million from the price cap deferral account, which offset unfavourable mandated retroactive rate adjustments for basic data revenue pursuant to two recent CRTC (Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission) decisions and included recovery of previously incurred amounts associated with mandated local number portability and start-up costs.

Residential line losses include the effect of increased competition from resellers and VoIP competitors (including cable-TV companies, which have expanded their geographic coverage and introduced lower-priced telephony services), as well as technological substitution to wireless services. To a lesser degree, residential second lines decreased from migration of dial-up Internet subscribers to high-speed Internet service. Residential line losses of 42,000 in the third quarter of 2007 reflected a sequential improvement from losses of 56,000 in the second quarter of 2007. The net increase in business lines was experienced in Ontario and Quebec urban non-incumbent areas.

- Voice long distance revenues decreased by \$17.8 million and \$76.0 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared with the same periods in 2006 due primarily to lower average per-minute rates (due to industry-wide price competition) and lower business minute volumes, partly offset by increased consumer minute volumes. In addition, a one-time reduction of about \$13 million was recorded in the second quarter of 2007 as a result of system enhancements, which provided management with better data for estimating earned, but unbilled revenue.
- Wireline segment data revenues increased by \$35.5 million and \$98.2 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

months of 2007, when compared with the same periods in 2006. This growth was primarily due to increased Internet, enhanced data and hosting service revenues from growth in business services and high-speed Internet subscribers. High-speed Internet subscriber net additions were lower than one year earlier, reflecting competitive markets and the impact of the new billing and client care system, which temporarily reduced the Company's order processing capability in the second quarter and to a lesser degree, in the third quarter. Monthly rates for high-speed Internet services were raised by one dollar per month in the second quarter of 2006 for those customers not on rate protection plans, which contributed to an overall increase in average revenue per subscriber. Managed data revenues increased from the provision of business process outsourcing services to customers as well as digital entertainment services to consumers in larger urban incumbent markets.

Pursuant to CRTC Decision 2007-6 (relating to digital network access link charges) and CRTC Decision 2007-10 (relating to basic service extension feature charges), retroactive rate reductions totalling approximately \$11 million in basic data services revenues were recorded in the first quarter of 2007. Data revenue for the first nine months of 2007 grew by approximately \$110 million or 9% once adjusted to exclude the impact of these two mandated retroactive competitor price reductions.

- Other revenue increased by approximately \$8.7 million and \$12.6 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared with the same periods in 2006. The increases were due mainly to a reduction in the provision for quality-of-service rate rebates, which resulted from improved service delivery, as measured by CRTC-defined quality-of-service indicators, and favourable decisions by the CRTC on exclusion applications for severe weather and other extraordinary events. Voice equipment sales increased in the third quarter, but decreased in the nine-month period.
- Intersegment revenue represents services provided by the wireline segment to the wireless segment. These revenues are eliminated upon consolidation together with the associated expense in the wireless segment.

| Operating expenses - wireline segment (\$ millions, except employees) | Quarters ended September 30 | | | Nine-month periods ended Sept. 30 | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------|--------|--------------------------------------|---------|--------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | Change | 2007 | 2006 | Change |
| Salaries, benefits and other employee- related costs, before net-cash settlement feature | 425.2 | 416.8 | 2.0 % | 1,282.1 | 1,247.0 | 2.8 % |
| Net-cash settlement feature (recovery) expense | (9.5) | - | - | 143.6 | - | - |
| Other operations expenses | 345.9 | 325.7 | 6.2 % | 1,013.4 | 964.5 | 5.1 % |
| Operations expense | 761.6 | 742.5 | 2.6 % | 2,439.1 | 2,211.5 | 10.3 % |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Restructuring costs | 6.4 | 11.7 | (45.3)% | 13.6 | 56.4 | (75.9)% |
| ----- | | | | | | |
| Total operating expenses | 768.0 | 754.2 | 1.8 % | 2,452.7 | 2,267.9 | 8.1 % |
| ----- | | | | | | |
| Operations expense (as adjusted) (1) | 771.1 | 742.5 | 3.9 % | 2,295.5 | 2,211.5 | 3.8 % |
| Total operating expenses (as adjusted) (1) | 777.5 | 754.2 | 3.1 % | 2,309.1 | 2,267.9 | 1.8 % |
| Full-time equivalent employees, end of period(2) | 25,828 | 22,876 | 12.9 % | | | |
| ----- | | | | | | |
| ----- | | | | | | |

Total Wireline operating expenses increased by \$13.8 million and \$184.8 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared with the same periods in 2006. Expenses in the third quarter included approximately \$8 million of additional costs (\$24 million for the first nine months) related to the March billing and client care system conversion. Of the additional costs, approximately \$2 million (\$8 million for the first nine months) was recorded in salaries and benefits for customer contact centres and approximately \$6 million (\$16 million for the first nine months) was recorded in other operations expenses primarily for external labour costs. External labour for the first nine-months of 2007 also included about \$4 million to deal with severe winter weather-related backlogs in early 2007 and preparation costs for expected flooding in British Columbia in the second quarter.

Operations expense:

- Salaries, benefits and employee-related expenses increased by \$8.4 million and \$35.1 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared with the same periods in 2006. The increase was mainly due to increased staffing and scheduled compensation increases, partly offset by a lower defined benefit pension plan expense.
- Introduction of a net-cash settlement feature for share option awards granted prior to 2005 resulted in a non-cash charge of \$143.6 million being recorded for the first nine months of 2007. In the third quarter of 2007, a recovery of \$9.5 million was recorded for an adjustment to the initial estimate as well as forfeitures in the current quarter.
- Other operations expenses increased by \$20.2 million and \$48.9 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared with the same periods in 2006. The increases in the third quarter and first nine months included higher external labour costs for billing/client care system support and installation/repair activity to improve service levels. The remaining third quarter increases were due to network facility costs from higher customer demand, increased cost of sales as well as inflationary increases. The increase for the nine-month period also included external labour costs to help clear backlogs caused by severe winter weather on the west coast early in 2007 and flood preparation costs in the second quarter, partly offset by: (i) lower

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

transit and termination charges due to lower per-minute rates partly offset by higher outbound minute volumes; (ii) lower expenses arising from CRTC decisions on basic service extension features and network access link charges; and (iii) increased capitalization of labour related to the higher capital expenditure activity in 2007.

Restructuring costs in 2007 were for several small efficiency initiatives and decreased by \$5.3 million and \$42.8 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared with the same periods in 2006.

| EBITDA (\$ millions) and EBITDA margin (%) wireline segment | Quarters ended September 30 | | | Nine-month periods ended Sept. 30 | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------|----------|--------------------------------------|---------|----------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | Change | 2007 | 2006 | Change |
| EBITDA | 466.3 | 469.6 | (0.7)% | 1,221.1 | 1,392.7 | (12.3)% |
| EBITDA (as adjusted) (1) | 456.8 | 469.6 | (2.7)% | 1,364.7 | 1,392.7 | (2.0)% |
| EBITDA margin | 37.8 | 38.4 | (0.6)pts | 33.2 | 38.0 | (4.8)pts |
| EBITDA margin (as adjusted) | 37.0 | 38.4 | (1.4)pts | 37.1 | 38.0 | (0.9)pts |

Wireline EBITDA decreased by \$3.3 million and \$171.6 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared with the same periods in 2006. Wireline EBITDA (as adjusted) decreased by \$12.8 million and \$28.0 million, respectively, mainly due to billing system conversion impacts of about \$8 million and \$37 million, respectively. In addition, increased labour costs to deal with weather-related backlogs and emergency preparations were approximately \$4 million in the first nine months of 2007.

5.5 Wireless segment results

| Operating revenues - wireless segment (\$ millions) | Quarters ended September 30 | | | Nine-month periods ended Sept. 30 | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---------|--------|--------------------------------------|---------|--------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | Change | 2007 | 2006 | Change |
| Network revenue | 1,034.8 | 944.5 | 9.6 % | 2,969.1 | 2,653.2 | 11.9 % |
| Equipment revenue | 70.5 | 65.9 | 7.0 % | 184.2 | 184.4 | (0.1)% |
| External operating revenue | 1,105.3 | 1,010.4 | 9.4 % | 3,153.3 | 2,837.6 | 11.1 % |
| Intersegment revenue | 7.0 | 6.0 | 16.7 % | 20.0 | 17.1 | 17.0 % |
| Total operating revenues | 1,112.3 | 1,016.4 | 9.4 % | 3,173.3 | 2,854.7 | 11.2 % |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| Key operating indicators | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| - wireless segment | As at September 30 | | | | | |
| (000s) | 2007 | 2006 | Change | | | |
| Subscribers - postpaid(1) | 4,334.2 | 3,949.1 | 9.8 % | | | |
| Subscribers - prepaid | 1,072.3 | 925.2 | 15.9 % | | | |
| Subscribers - total(2) | 5,406.5 | 4,874.3 | 10.9 % | | | |
| Digital POPs(3) covered including roaming/resale (millions) (4) | 31.6 | 31.0 | 1.9 % | | | |
| | Quarters ended September 30 | | | Nine-month periods ended Sept. 30 | | |
| | 2007 | 2006 | Change | 2007 | 2006 | Change |
| Subscriber gross additions - postpaid | 217.1 | 215.8 | 0.6 % | 609.6 | 601.2 | 1.4 % |
| Subscriber gross additions - prepaid | 145.8 | 116.7 | 24.9 % | 403.3 | 312.7 | 28.9 % |
| Subscriber gross additions - total | 362.9 | 332.5 | 9.1 % | 1,012.9 | 913.9 | 10.8 % |
| Subscriber net additions - postpaid | 98.2 | 108.6 | (9.6)% | 258.2 | 282.3 | (8.5)% |
| Subscriber net additions - prepaid | 36.3 | 28.6 | 26.9 % | 95.0 | 71.3 | 33.2 % |
| Subscriber net additions - total | 134.5 | 137.2 | (2.0)% | 353.2 | 353.6 | (0.1)% |
| ARPU(\$)(5) | 64.80 | 65.67 | (1.3)% | 63.52 | 63.10 | 0.7 % |
| Churn, per month(%) (5) | 1.43 | 1.36 | 0.07pts | 1.41 | 1.33 | 0.08pts |
| Lifetime revenue per subscriber(\$)(5) | 4,546 | 4,845 | (6.2)% | 4,515 | 4,744 | (4.8)% |
| COA(6) per gross subscriber addition (\$)(5) | 379 | 386 | (1.8)% | 412 | 402 | 2.5 % |
| COA per gross subscriber addition to lifetime revenue (%) (5) | 8.3 | 8.0 | 0.3 pts | 9.1 | 8.5 | 0.6 pts |
| Average minutes of use per subscriber per month (MOU) | 410 | 409 | 0.2 % | 401 | 403 | (0.5)% |
| EBITDA (\$ millions) | 520.7 | 482.8 | 7.9 % | 1,414.8 | 1,319.5 | 7.2 % |
| EBITDA (as adjusted) (7) (\$ millions) | 523.0 | 482.8 | 8.3 % | 1,439.3 | 1,319.5 | 9.1 % |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|----------|---------|---------|----------|
| EBITDA to network revenue (%) | 50.3 | 51.1 | (0.8)pts | 47.7 | 49.7 | (2.0)pts |
| EBITDA (as adjusted) to network revenue (%) | 50.5 | 51.1 | (0.4)pts | 48.5 | 49.7 | (1.2)pts |
| Retention spend to network revenue(5) (%) | 6.3 | 6.7 | (0.4)pts | 7.2 | 6.4 | 0.8 pts |
| EBITDA excluding COA (\$ millions) (5) | 658.1 | 611.4 | 7.6 % | 1,832.1 | 1,686.9 | 8.6 % |
| EBITDA (as adjusted) excluding COA (\$ millions) | 660.4 | 611.4 | 8.0 % | 1,856.6 | 1,686.9 | 10.1 % |

Wireless segment revenues increased by \$95.9 million and \$318.6 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007 when compared with the same periods in 2006, due to the following:

- Network revenue increased by \$90.3 million and \$315.9 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, respectively, when compared with the same periods in 2006. The increase was the result of a 10.9% expansion in the subscriber base during this period. While data ARPU increased by 40.9% to \$7.20 for the third quarter and increased by 50.7% to \$6.69 for the first nine months of 2007 as compared with \$5.11 and \$4.44, respectively, for the same periods in 2006, total ARPU decreased by 87 cents in the third quarter of 2007, when compared to the same period in 2006. The change is attributed to a shifting product mix driven by successful prepaid net additions and maturity of the iDEN business, combined with a decline in voice ARPU. Voice ARPU was \$57.60 in the third quarter of 2007, a decrease of \$2.96 or 4.5% from the same period in 2006, caused mainly by a greater mix of included-minute rate plans as voice MOU remained relatively steady at 410 and increased pricing competition in the business and discount segments of the market. Similarly, voice ARPU declined by \$1.83 or 3.1% to \$56.83 for the first nine months of 2007.

Data revenues increased to 11.1% of Network revenue, or \$115.9 million, in the third quarter of 2007 as compared with 7.8% of Network revenues, or \$74.1 million, in the third quarter of 2006 - reflecting a growth rate of 56.3%. Similarly, data revenues for the first nine months of 2007 increased to 10.6% of Network revenue, or \$315.3 million, as compared with 7.0% of Network revenue, or \$188.2 million, for the same period in 2006 - reflecting a growth rate of 67.6%. This growth, driven by continued migration to full function personal digital assistants (PDA) and EVDO-capable handsets as well as increased EVDO coverage, was principally related to text messaging, Internet browser activities and RIM/BlackBerry service revenues.

At September 30, 2007, the mix of postpaid subscribers declined slightly to 80.2% of the total cumulative subscriber base, as compared to 81.0% from one year earlier. The 98,200 postpaid subscriber net additions for the third quarter of 2007 represented 73.0% of all net additions as compared with 108,600 or 79.2% of all net additions for the same period in 2006. Moreover, the 258,200 postpaid subscriber net additions for the first nine months of 2007 represented 73.1% of all net additions as compared with 282,300 or

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

79.8% of all net additions for the same period in 2006. Total net subscriber additions remained strong and were down only marginally in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007 as compared with the same periods in 2006 as growth in prepaid largely offset the decline in postpaid.

The blended churn rate increased in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007 when compared with the respective periods in 2006. The postpaid monthly churn rates for the third quarter and first nine months of 2007 were approximately one per cent, increasing slightly over the same periods last year. The prepaid churn rates also increased slightly in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007 when compared with the same periods in 2006. Total deactivations were 228,400 for the third quarter and 659,700 for the first nine months of 2007 as compared with 195,300 and 560,300, respectively, for the same periods last year. Wireless number portability continued to have an impact on churn and deactivations in the quarter and first nine months of 2007, as compared to the prior year. However, churn at 1.43% has improved slightly from 1.45% reported in second quarter of 2007, despite a reduction in retention costs as described below.

- Equipment sales, rental and service revenue increased by \$4.6 million in the third quarter and decreased by \$0.2 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007 when compared with the same periods in 2006. The increase in the third quarter is due to higher gross additions and related handset and accessory revenue. The marginal decrease for the first nine months was due principally to an increase in gross subscriber additions offset by higher retention costs in the form of increased discounts on upgrades. Gross subscriber additions were 362,900 for the third quarter of 2007 and 1,012,900 for the first nine months of 2007 as compared with 332,500 and 913,900, respectively, for the same periods in 2006. The higher gross additions include the impact of increased promotional offerings and competitive porting-in resulting from wireless number portability. Handset revenues associated with gross subscriber activations are included in COA per gross subscriber addition.
- Intersegment revenues represent services provided by the wireless segment to the wireline segment and are eliminated upon consolidation along with the associated expense in the wireline segment.

| Operating expenses - wireless segment (\$ millions, except employees) | Quarters ended September 30 | | | Nine-month periods ended Sept. 30 | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------|----------|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | Change | 2007 | 2006 | Change |
| Equipment sales expenses | 163.3 | 148.2 | 10.2 % | 475.3 | 411.3 | 15.6 % |
| Network operating expenses | 131.7 | 114.9 | 14.6 % | 373.0 | 332.4 | 12.2 % |
| Marketing expenses | 104.4 | 102.0 | 2.4 % | 319.8 | 287.8 | 11.1 % |
| General and administration expenses | 192.2 | 167.7 | 14.6 % | 589.7 | 500.2 | 17.9 % |
| Operations expense | 591.6 | 532.8 | 11.0 % | 1,757.8 | 1,531.7 | 14.8 % |
| Restructuring costs | - | 0.8 | (100.0)% | 0.7 | 3.5 | (80.0)% |
| Total operating | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|--------|---------|---------|--------|
| expenses | 591.6 | 533.6 | 10.9 % | 1,758.5 | 1,535.2 | 14.5 % |
| ----- | | | | | | |
| Operations expense | | | | | | |
| (as adjusted) (1) | 589.3 | 532.8 | 10.6 % | 1,733.3 | 1,531.7 | 13.2 % |
| Total operating expenses | | | | | | |
| (as adjusted) (1) | 587.0 | 533.6 | 10.0 % | 1,734.0 | 1,535.2 | 12.9 % |
| Full-time equivalent employees at end of period | 7,454 | 6,878 | 8.4 % | | | |
| ----- | | | | | | |
| ----- | | | | | | |

Wireless segment total operating expenses increased by \$58.0 million and \$223.3 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007 when compared with the same periods in 2006. Total operating expenses as adjusted to exclude the 2007 net-cash settlement feature increased by \$55.7 million and \$198.8 million, respectively, to promote, acquire, retain and support the 10.9% year-over-year growth in the subscriber base and the 11.9% growth in Network revenue during the first nine months of 2007.

- Equipment sales expenses increased by \$15.1 million and \$64.0 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007 when compared with the same periods in 2006, due primarily to an increase in gross subscriber activations and increased retention activity related to wireless number portability combined with a greater mix of data devices, partly offset by reduced handset costs from the strong appreciation of the Canadian Dollar against the U.S. Dollar in the third quarter of 2007. Handset costs including data equipment associated with gross subscriber activations are included in COA per gross subscriber addition.
- Network operating expenses increased by \$16.8 million and \$40.6 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, respectively, when compared with the same periods in 2006. The increases were principally due to higher revenue share with third party data content providers, licensing costs on data services, site-related expenses to support cell sites, a larger subscriber base and higher Canadian and U.S. roaming costs. Expenses for the first nine months of 2007 were net of a reduction arising from CRTC Decision 2007-6 related to retail network access link charges.
- Marketing expenses increased by only \$2.4 million or 2.4% in the third quarter when compared to 2006, to support a 9.1% increase in gross subscriber additions reflecting strong efficiencies in marketing spending. For the first nine months, marketing expenses increased by \$32.0 million when compared to 2006, due primarily to higher advertising and promotions costs driven by wireless number portability and increased dealer compensation costs related to the higher gross subscriber additions and increased retention activity. COA per gross subscriber addition decreased by \$7 or 2.1% in the third quarter as compared with the same period last year as an increase in data units as a percentage of total gross subscriber additions was offset by a favourable rate on prepaid gross subscriber additions. COA per gross subscriber addition increased by \$10 or 2.5% for the first nine months of 2007, due principally to higher subsidies on data units, higher advertising and promotion on new product launches and spending related to wireless number portability. COA was \$137.4 million and \$417.3 million, respectively, for the

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

third quarter and first nine months of 2007 as compared to \$128.6 million and \$367.4 million, respectively, for the same periods in 2006.

Retention costs as a percentage of network revenue decreased to 6.3% in the third quarter, down from 6.7% in the same period in 2006, with a continued focus on re-contracting high value clients. Retention costs to Network Revenue were 7.2% in the first nine months of 2007 as compared to 6.4% in the same period in 2006, due mainly to the impact of Wireless number portability. The lifetime revenue per subscriber decreased in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared to the same periods in 2006 because of the increased churn rates and lower ARPU. Consequently, COA as a percentage of lifetime revenue increased in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007. Lifetime revenue per subscriber and COA as a percentage of lifetime revenue improved by \$156 and 1.4 points, respectively, compared to the second quarter of 2007.

- General and administration expense increased by \$24.5 million and \$89.5 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, respectively, when compared with the same periods in 2006. Excluding non-cash charges for share option awards granted before 2005, general and administration expenses grew by \$22.2 million and \$65.0 million for the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, respectively. The increases were primarily due to a 8.4% increase in employees to support the growth in Network revenue, subscriber base, and expansion of the client care and company-owned retail stores teams to manage customer service levels.
- Restructuring costs for the first nine months of 2007 were in respect of the Company's operational efficiency program.

| Wireless segment EBITDA (\$ millions) and EBITDA margin (%) | Quarters ended September 30 | | | Nine-month periods ended Sept. 30 | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------|----------|--------------------------------------|---------|----------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | Change | 2007 | 2006 | Change |
| EBITDA | 520.7 | 482.8 | 7.9 % | 1,414.8 | 1,319.5 | 7.2 % |
| EBITDA (as adjusted) (1) | 523.0 | 482.8 | 8.3 % | 1,439.3 | 1,319.5 | 9.1 % |
| EBITDA margin | 46.8 | 47.5 | (0.7)pts | 44.6 | 46.2 | (1.6)pts |
| EBITDA margin (as adjusted) | 47.0 | 47.5 | (0.5)pts | 45.4 | 46.2 | (0.8)pts |

Wireless segment EBITDA increased by \$37.9 million and \$95.3 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007 when compared with the same periods in 2006. EBITDA (as adjusted) increased by \$40.2 million and \$119.8 million, respectively, due to Network revenue growth partially offset by increased COA expense related to higher gross additions, higher retention spend due to increased data device upgrades, increased network costs, and higher general and administration costs to support growth in subscriber base. EBITDA in the third quarter of 2007 represents a sequential improvement of \$70.0M from EBITDA in the second quarter of 2007, while the EBITDA margin (as adjusted) showed a sequential improvement of 4.1 percentage points in the third quarter of 2007.

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

6. Financial condition

The following are changes in the Consolidated balance sheets in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007.

| | Sept. 30, 2007 (\$ millions) | Dec. 31, 2006 (adjusted) | Changes | | Explanation of the change in balance |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|---------|--|
| Current Assets | | | | | |
| Cash and temporary investments, net | 1.0 | (11.5) | 12.5 | n.m. | See Section 7. Liquidity and capital resources |
| Short-term investments | 44.1 | 110.2 | (66.1) | (60.0)% | Liquidation of some investments of surplus cash |
| Accounts receivable | 590.7 | 707.2 | (116.5) | (16.5)% | Decreased by \$50 million for the net increase in securitized accounts receivable (see Section 7.6), lower days outstanding on wireline receivables, and receipt of inducements for renegotiated building leases |
| Income and other taxes receivable | 269.0 | 95.4 | 173.6 | 182.0 % | Increased recovery and interest receivable for favourable tax reassessments of prior years, net of approximately \$10 million refunds and interest received |
| Inventories | 170.6 | 196.4 | (25.8) | (13.1)% | Primarily a seasonal reduction of wireless handset inventories net of an increase to wireline work-in-progress inventories |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 209.0 | 195.3 | 13.7 | 7.0 % | Primarily prepayment of annual property taxes and wireless licence fees net of amortization |
| Current portion derivative assets | 16.4 | 40.4 | (24.0) | (59.4)% | Primarily new net-cash-settled equity swaps offset by the maturity of cross- |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

currency swaps related
to the notes that
matured June 1, 2007

| | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|-----------|---------|--|
| Current | | | | | |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 1,438.5 | 1,363.6 | 74.9 | 5.5 % | Primarily an increase in the liability for net-cash settled share options and increased interest payable, partly offset by reduced payables for inventories and capital expenditures, as well as reductions in quality-of-service rate rebate accruals |
| Income and other taxes payable | 5.1 | 10.3 | (5.2) | (50.5)% | Periodic instalment payments made |
| Restructuring accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 32.1 | 53.1 | (21.0) | (39.5)% | Payments under previous and current programs exceeded new obligations |
| Dividends payable | 123.4 | - | 123.4 | n.m. | Dividends payable on September 30 were remitted on the October 1 dividend payment date. See Section 7.3 Cash used by financing activities |
| Advance billings and customer deposits | 614.5 | 606.3 | 8.2 | 1.4 % | Primarily increased customer deposits and wireless billings, net of draw-downs from price cap deferred revenue |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 6.0 | 1,433.5 | (1,427.5) | (99.6)% | Repayment of U.S. Dollar Notes that matured June 1 and medium-term TCI notes that matured in February |
| Current portion of derivative liabilities | 13.6 | 165.8 | (152.2) | (91.8)% | Maturity of cross-currency swaps related to the note maturing June 1, partly offset by changes to U.S. currency forward contracts |
| Current | 426.5 | 137.2 | 289.3 | n.m. | An increase in |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---|---|
| portion of future income taxes | | | | | | temporary differences for current assets and liabilities as well as partnership taxable income that will be allocated in the next 12 months. The December 31, 2006 balance includes a reclassification of \$44 million from long- term future income taxes. See Note 2(c) of the interim Consolidated financial statements |
| ----- | | | | | | ----- |
| Working capital(1) | (1,358.9) | (2,436.4) | 1,077.5 | 44.2 % | | Mainly the repayment of long-term debt that matured June 1 with proceeds from new long-term debt. See Section 7.3 Cash used by financing activities |
| ----- | | | | | | ----- |
| Capital Assets, Net | 11,099.5 | 10,982.1 | 117.4 | 1.1 % | | See Sections 5.3 Consolidated results from operations - Depreciation, Amortization as well as 7.2 Cash used by investing activities |
| ----- | | | | | | ----- |
| Other Assets | | | | | | |
| Deferred charges | 1,098.2 | 956.6 | 141.6 | 14.8 % | | Primarily pension plan contributions and pension recoveries resulting from favourable returns on plan assets |
| Investments | 30.3 | 35.2 | (4.9) | (13.9)% | | Includes an \$11.8 million write- off of an equity investment in AMP'D Mobile, Inc., net of new investments and fair value adjustments |
| Goodwill | 3,168.5 | 3,169.5 | (1.0) | 0.0 % | - | |
| ----- | | | | | | ----- |
| Long-Term Debt | 4,496.6 | 3,474.7 | 1,021.9 | 29.4 % | | Includes notes issued in March, commercial paper issues under a program established in May, and an increase in utilized bank facilities, partly offset by a reduction in the Canadian dollar value of 2011 U.S. |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

Dollar Notes. At Dec. 31, 2006, the current portion of long-term debt was \$1,433.5 million.

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---|
| Other Long-Term Liabilities | 1,760.8 | 1,257.3 | 503.5 | 40.0 % | Primarily changes in U.S. Dollar exchange rates and a fair value adjustment of the derivative liabilities associated with 2011 U.S. Dollar Notes |
| Future Income Taxes | 1,076.8 | 1,023.3 | 53.5 | 5.2 % | An increase in temporary differences for long-term assets and liabilities |
| Non-Controlling Interests | 23.8 | 23.6 | 0.2 | 0.8 % | - |
| Shareholders' Equity | | | | | |
| Common equity | 6,679.6 | 6,928.1 | (248.5) | (3.6) % | Decreased primarily due to NCIB expenditures of \$602.4 million, dividends of \$374.3 million and transitional amounts for accumulated other comprehensive income of \$176.2 million; partly offset by Net income of \$857.7 million and Other comprehensive income of \$59.1 million |

7. Liquidity and capital resources

7.1 Cash provided by operating activities

| (\$ millions) | Quarters ended September 30 | | | Nine-month periods ended Sept. 30 | | |
|---------------|--------------------------------|-------|--------|--------------------------------------|---------|--------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | Change | 2007 | 2006 | Change |
| | 831.8 | 570.4 | 45.8 % | 2,354.3 | 2,056.5 | 14.5 % |

Cash provided by operating activities increased by \$261.4 million and \$297.8 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

2007, when compared with the same periods in 2006. Changes in cash provided by operating activities included:

- EBITDA increased by \$34.6 million in the third quarter of 2007 and decreased by \$76.3 million in the first nine months of 2007, when compared to the same periods in 2006 (as described in Section 5: Results from operations);
- Share-based compensation payments in excess of the expense included in EBITDA increased by \$17.5 million in the third quarter of 2007 when compared with the same period in 2006 for a comparative reduction in cash flow. Share-based compensation expense in excess of payments in the first nine months of 2007 increased by \$91.1 million when compared with the same period in 2006, for a comparative increase in cash flow;
- Employer contributions to employee defined benefit plans decreased by \$9.9 million and \$36.8 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared to the same periods in 2006 mainly due to updated actuarial valuations;
- Interest paid increased by \$28.1 million in the third quarter of 2007 and decreased by \$14.4 million in the first nine months of 2007 when compared to the same periods in 2006. The increase in the third quarter was primarily due to new debt issues in March 2007, which have semi-annual interest payments in September, and use of commercial paper in the third quarter of 2007. The decrease for the nine-month period was due to the paid amounts in the second quarter of 2006 including \$31.2 million for terminating cross currency interest rate swaps as well as partial payment of interest in respect of a court decision in a lawsuit regarding a 1997 BC TEL bond redemption matter, partly offset by repayment of forward starting interest rate swaps in the first quarter of 2007;
- Interest received decreased by \$15.0 million in the first nine months of 2007 when compared to the same period in 2006, due mainly to the receipt of interest on tax refunds in the first quarter of 2006;
- Income taxes received net of instalment payments decreased by \$93.5 million in the first nine months of 2007 when compared to the same period in 2006, due mainly to collection of income taxes receivable during first quarter of 2006;
- Proceeds from securitized accounts receivable increased by a net \$50 million during the third quarter and first nine months of 2007. In the comparable periods in 2006, proceeds were reduced by \$185 million and \$150 million, respectively. Consequently, operating cash flow increased by \$235 million and \$200 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007 when compared to the same periods in 2006. See Section 7.6 Accounts receivable sale;
- Cash provided by a decrease in Short-term investments was \$10.7 million and \$66.1 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, for a comparative increase in cash flow of \$109.5 million and \$164.9 million, respectively, when compared with \$98.8 million cash used for Short-term investments in the third quarter and first nine months of 2006; and
- Other changes in non-cash working capital for the respective periods.

7.2 Cash used by investing activities

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| (\$ millions) | Quarters ended September 30 | | | Nine-month periods ended Sept. 30 | | |
|---------------|--------------------------------|-------|--------|--------------------------------------|---------|--------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | Change | 2007 | 2006 | Change |
| | 430.0 | 451.0 | (4.7)% | 1,300.1 | 1,253.2 | 3.7 % |

Cash used by investing activities decreased by \$21.0 million in the third quarter of 2007 and increased by \$46.9 million in the first nine months of 2007, when compared with the same periods in 2006. The decrease in the third quarter was primarily due to \$25 million for acquisitions in 2006. The increase for the first nine months was primarily due to increased capital expenditures (see below), partly offset by \$44.5 million for acquisitions in 2006 and changes in other investing activities.

Assets under construction were \$655.2 million at September 30, 2007, a decrease of \$70.2 million from December 31, 2006. The decrease primarily reflects a transfer of \$342.1 million to intangible assets subject to amortization in the first quarter of 2007 for activation of certain phases of the new consolidated wireline billing and client care system, net of increases in other assets under construction during 2007.

| Capital expenditures (\$ in millions, ratios in %) | Quarters ended September 30 | | | Nine-month periods ended Sept. 30 | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------|----------|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | Change | 2007 | 2006 | Change |
| Wireline segment | 302.6 | 311.4 | (2.8)% | 882.0 | 881.8 | 0.0 % |
| Wireless segment | 131.5 | 112.5 | 16.9 % | 415.8 | 321.4 | 29.4 % |
| TELUS consolidated capital expenditures | 434.1 | 423.9 | 2.4 % | 1,297.8 | 1,203.2 | 7.9 % |
| Capital expenditure intensity ratio(1) | 18.8 | 19.2 | (0.4)pts | 19.2 | 18.7 | 0.5pts |
| EBITDA less capital expenditures(2) | 552.9 | 528.5 | 4.6 % | 1,338.1 | 1,509.0 | (11.3)% |
| EBITDA (as adjusted) less capital expenditures(2) | 545.7 | 528.5 | 3.3 % | 1,506.2 | 1,509.0 | (0.2)% |

Capital expenditures increased by \$10.2 million and \$94.6 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared to the same periods in 2006. The capital intensity level was consistent at about 19% of consolidated revenues in 2007 and 2006. TELUS' EBITDA (as adjusted) less capital expenditures increased by \$10.4 million in the third quarter of 2007, when compared to the same period in 2006, as wireless EBITDA (as adjusted) growth exceeded the increase in wireless capital

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

expenditures.

- Wireline segment capital expenditures decreased by \$8.8 million in the third quarter and increased by \$0.2 million in first nine months of 2007 when compared to the same periods in 2006. Expenditures decreased for billing and client care system development in both the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared to the same periods in 2006, because the Alberta phase of the system was put into service in March 2007. Increases in capital expenditures included up-front capital investment to support new enterprise customers, network sustainment, and non-incumbent growth. Wireline capital expenditure intensity levels were in the 24 to 25% range for the third quarter and first nine months of 2007 and 2006. Wireline cash flow (EBITDA less capital expenditures) was \$339.1 million in the first nine months of 2007, or a decrease of 33.6% when compared to the same period in 2006. Wireline cash flow based on EBITDA (as adjusted) for the first nine months of 2007 was \$482.7 million, a decrease of 5.5% from the same period in 2006.
- Wireless segment capital expenditures increased by \$19.0 million and \$94.4 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007 when compared to the same periods in 2006. The increases were principally related to continued enhancement of digital wireless capacity and coverage. Wireless capital expenditure intensity levels in 2007 were 11.8% for the third quarter and 13.1% for the first nine months of 2007 as compared to 11.1% and 11.3%, respectively, for the same periods in 2006. Wireless cash flows (EBITDA less capital expenditures) were \$389.2 million in the third quarter and \$999.0 million for the first nine months of 2007 representing an increase of 5.1% for the quarter and no change for the nine-month period. Wireless cash flows based on EBITDA (as adjusted) were \$391.5 million and \$1,023.5 million, respectively, for the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, or increases of 5.7% and 2.5%, respectively, from the same periods in 2006.

7.3 Cash used by financing activities

| (\$ millions) | Quarters ended September 30 | | | Nine-month periods ended Sept. 30 | | |
|---------------|--------------------------------|-------|--------|--------------------------------------|-------|--------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | Change | 2007 | 2006 | Change |
| | 403.0 | 126.2 | n.m. | 1,041.7 | 837.3 | 24.4 % |

Cash used by financing activities increased by \$276.8 million and \$204.4 million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, when compared with the same periods in 2006.

- Proceeds from Common Shares and Non-Voting Shares issued were \$0.1 million in the third quarter of 2007 and \$0.7 million for the first nine months of 2007, as compared to \$37.2 million and \$82.9 million, respectively, in the same periods in 2006. The decreases were due to implementation of the net-cash settlement feature for share option awards granted prior to 2005 and the introduction of the net equity settlement feature in May 2006.
- Cash dividends paid for the third quarter of 2007 were zero because

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

the declared dividend for the third quarter was paid on Monday, October 1, 2007. For the third quarter of 2006, cash dividends of \$93.8 million were remitted at the end of September and recorded in the third quarter because the dividend payment date of October 1, 2006 was on a weekend. Cash dividends paid to shareholders in the first nine months of 2007 were \$250.9 million, compared to \$284.5 million in the same period of 2006, with the decrease due primarily to the different remittance dates for the third quarter dividend, partly offset by an increased dividend rate for dividends paid in 2007. The fourth quarter of 2007 is expected to reflect remittance of the declared dividends for both the third and fourth quarters.

- The Company's renewed NCIB program (Program 3) came into effect on December 20, 2006 and is set to expire on December 19, 2007. At September 30, 2007, the Company has purchased 22% of the maximum 12 million Common shares and 67% of the maximum 12 million Non-Voting Shares under this program. From December 20, 2004 to September 30, 2007, TELUS repurchased approximately 19.0 million Common Shares and 30.9 million Non-Voting Shares for \$2.37 billion under three NCIB programs. The Company intends to renew in December the normal course issuer bid share repurchase program for 2008.

The following table shows quarterly purchases under NCIB programs for 2007 and 2006.

Normal course issuer bid programs

| By program | Shares repurchased | | | Purchase cost (\$ millions) | | |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| | Common Shares | Non-Voting Shares | Total | Charged to Share capital (1) | Charged to Retained earnings (2) | Paid |
| 2006 (Program 2) | | | | | | |
| First quarter | 1,783,300 | 3,334,500 | 5,117,800 | 93.3 | 138.3 | 231.6 |
| Second quarter | 2,913,600 | 2,643,300 | 5,556,900 | 93.0 | 156.4 | 249.4 |
| Third quarter | 743,700 | 1,328,600 | 2,072,300 | 37.9 | 81.8 | 119.7 |
| Nine months | 5,440,600 | 7,306,400 | 12,747,000 | 224.2 | 376.5 | 600.7 |
| 2007 (Program 3) | | | | | | |
| First quarter | 1,975,000 | 1,530,000 | 3,505,000 | 57.8 | 142.9 | 200.7 |
| Second quarter | 330,000 | 2,367,300 | 2,697,300 | 55.0 | 114.5 | 169.5 |
| Third quarter | 349,900 | 3,967,100 | 4,317,000 | 89.6 | 142.6 | 232.2 |
| Nine months | 2,654,900 | 7,864,400 | 10,519,300 | 202.4 | 400.0 | 602.4 |

(1) Represents the book value of shares repurchased
(2) Represents the cost in excess of the book value of shares repurchased

- A major debt issue was completed in March 2007 with five-year and 10-year maturities:

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

2012 Canadian Dollar Notes: the Company publicly issued \$300 million 4.50%, Series CC, Notes at a price of \$999.91 per \$1,000.00 of principal.

2017 Canadian Dollar Notes: the Company publicly issued \$700 million 4.95%, Series CD, Notes at a price of \$999.53 per \$1,000.00 of principal.

The notes are redeemable at the option of the Company, in whole at any time, or in part from time to time, on not fewer than 30 and not more than 60 days' prior notice, at a redemption price equal to the greater of (i) the present value of the notes discounted at the Government of Canada yield plus 15 basis points for the 2012 notes and 24 basis points for the 2017 notes, or (ii) 100% of the principal amount thereof. In addition, accrued and unpaid interest, if any, will be paid to the date fixed for redemption.

- On May 15, 2007, TELUS entered into an unsecured commercial paper program, which is backstopped by a portion of its credit facility, enabling it to issue commercial paper up to a maximum aggregate of \$800 million (or U.S. Dollar equivalent), to be used for general corporate purposes. Commercial paper of \$293.9 million was outstanding at September 30, 2007, a decrease of \$369.6 million from June 30, 2007.
- During the third quarter of 2007, utilized bank facilities increased from \$0 at June 30, 2007 to \$200 million at September 30, or an increase of \$80 million since December 31, 2006.
- Debt repayments in 2007 included the \$1,483.3 million to repay US\$1,166.5 million 7.50% Notes that matured on June 1, and \$70 million to repay TCI 7.10% Medium-Term Notes that matured in February.

The following are anticipated requirements to meet long-term debt repayments, including related hedge amounts and calculated upon such long-term debts owing as at September 30, 2007, during each of the five years ending December 31. Interest obligations are not included.

Long-term debt maturities

| (\$ millions) | Derivative | | Total(1) |
|------------------------|------------|-----------|----------|
| | Principal | liability | |
| 2007 (balance of year) | 1.2 | - | 1.2 |
| 2008 | 5.6 | - | 5.6 |
| 2009 | 1.5 | - | 1.5 |
| 2010 | 80.8 | - | 80.8 |
| 2011 | 1,916.1 | 1,035.5 | 2,951.6 |

7.4 Liquidity and capital resource measures

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| As at, or 12-month periods ended, September 30 | 2007 | 2006 | Change |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Components of debt and coverage ratios(1) (\$ millions) | | | |
| Net debt (including securitized accounts receivables) | 6,121.4 | 6,147.2 | (25.8) |
| Total capitalization - book value | 12,943.4 | 13,157.4 | (214.0) |
| EBITDA - excluding restructuring costs - 12-month trailing | 3,536.2 | 3,542.0 | (5.8) |
| Net interest cost - 12-month trailing | 464.6 | 542.8 | (78.2) |
| Debt ratios | | | |
| Fixed-rate debt as a proportion of total indebtedness (%) | 83.0 | 92.6 | (9.6)pts |
| Average term to maturity of debt (years) | 5.8 | 4.8 | 1.0 |
| Net debt to total capitalization (%) (1) | 47.3 | 46.7 | 0.6pts |
| Net debt to EBITDA - excluding restructuring costs(1) | 1.7 | 1.7 | - |
| Coverage ratios(1) | | | |
| Interest coverage on long-term debt EBITDA - excluding restructuring costs interest coverage | 3.9 | 3.3 | 0.6 |
| | 7.6 | 6.5 | 1.1 |
| Other measures - 12-month trailing | | | |
| Free cash flow (\$ millions) (2) | 1,370.3 | 1,449.4 | (79.1) |
| Dividend payout ratio (%) (1) | 46 | 39 | 7pts |

Net debt and total capitalization are calculated on a basis generally consistent with the Company's credit agreements. Net debt excludes accumulated comprehensive income amounts arising from financial instruments used to manage interest rate and currency risks associated with U.S. Dollar denominated debt. Total capitalization also excludes accumulated other comprehensive income. See Section 11.4.

Total capitalization decreased because of lower share capital and lower net debt, partly offset by higher retained earnings. Changes in Net debt and 12-month trailing EBITDA did not have a significant impact on the net debt to EBITDA ratio at September 30, 2007 when compared to one year earlier. The average term to maturity of debt of 5.8 years at September 30, 2007 represents an increase from 4.5 years at December 31, 2006 due to repayment of maturing notes on June 1 and the March debt issue, net of commercial paper issues in beginning in May. The proportion of debt on a fixed-rate basis decreased from one year-earlier with the issue of commercial paper as well as higher outstanding balances of bank facilities and securitized accounts receivable.

Interest coverage on long-term debt improved by 0.3 because of lower interest expenses, and improved by 0.3 because of increased income before taxes and interest expense. The EBITDA interest coverage ratio improved by 1.1 due to lower net interest costs. The decrease in 12-month trailing free cash flow resulted from higher capital expenditures and lower recoveries of income

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

tax and related interest, net of lower interest paid and improved EBITDA before share-based compensation. The dividend payout ratio based on actual earnings at September 30, 2007 was 46% and the ratio calculated to exclude the impacts of tax-related adjustments and the charge for introducing the net-cash feature was also 46%.

The Company's strategy is to maintain the financial policies and guidelines set out below. The Company believes that these measures are currently at the optimal level and provide access to capital at a reasonable cost by maintaining credit ratings in the range of BBB+ to A-, or the equivalent.

TELUS' long-term financial policies and guidelines are:

- Net debt to EBITDA of 1.5 to 2.0 times; and
- Dividend payout ratio of 45 to 55% of sustainable net earnings.

The Company no longer considers the ratio Net debt to total capitalization to be a long-term policy measure. The measure is based on book values of net debt and equity; however, the book value of equity has been reduced significantly by cumulative effect of normal course issuer bids, which includes the market value of equity in excess of book value.

7.5 Credit facilities

On March 2, 2007, TELUS closed a new five-year \$2 billion credit facility with a syndicate of 18 financial institutions. The new facility replaced \$1.6 billion of existing credit facilities, of which \$800 million would have expired in 2008 and \$800 million would have expired in 2010. The new facility may be used for general corporate purposes including the backstop of commercial paper. The new facility has no substantial changes in terms and conditions other than reduced pricing and extended term, which reflects favourable market conditions and TELUS' financial position. Notably, the May 2012 maturity date of the new credit facility extends beyond the maturity date of TELUS' June 2011 Notes.

At September 30, 2007, TELUS had available liquidity exceeding \$1.4 billion from unutilized credit facilities, consistent with the Company's objective of maintaining at least \$1 billion of unutilized liquidity.

TELUS Credit Facilities at September 30, 2007

| (\$ in millions) | Expiry | Size | Drawn | Outstanding for undrawn letters of credit | Backstop for commer- cial paper program | Available liquidity |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|------------------------|
| Five-year revolving facility(1) | May 1, 2012 | 2,000.0 | (200.0) | (103.7) | (293.9) | 1,402.4 |
| Other bank facilities | - | 77.2 | - | (2.9) | - | 74.3 |
| Total | - | 2,077.2 | (200.0) | (106.6) | (293.9) | 1,476.7 |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

TELUS' revolving credit facility contains customary covenants including a requirement that TELUS not permit its consolidated Leverage Ratio (debt to trailing 12-month EBITDA) to exceed 4.0:1 (approximately 1.7:1 at September 30, 2007) and not permit its consolidated Coverage Ratio (EBITDA to Interest Expense on a trailing 12-month basis) to be less than 2.0:1 (approximately 7.6:1 at September 30, 2007) at the end of any financial quarter. There are certain minor differences in the calculation of the Leverage Ratio and Coverage Ratio under the credit agreement as compared with the calculation of Net debt to EBITDA and EBITDA interest coverage. Historically, the calculations have not been materially different. The covenants are not impacted by revaluation of capital assets, intangible assets and goodwill for accounting purposes. Continued access to TELUS' credit facility is not contingent on the maintenance by TELUS of a specific credit rating.

7.6 Accounts receivable sale

On July 26, 2002, TCI, a wholly owned subsidiary of TELUS, entered into an agreement, which was amended September 30, 2002, and March 1, 2006, and November 30, 2006, with an arm's-length securitization trust associated with a major Schedule I bank, under which TCI is able to sell an interest in certain of its trade receivables up to a maximum of \$650 million. As a result of selling the interest in certain of the trade receivables on a fully serviced basis, a servicing liability is recognized on the date of sale and is, in turn, amortized to earnings over the expected life of the trade receivables. This revolving-period securitization agreement had an initial term ending July 18, 2007; the November 30, 2006 amendment resulted in the term being extended to July 18, 2008.

TCI is required to maintain at least a BBB (low) credit rating by Dominion Bond Rating Service (DBRS) or the securitization trust may require the sale program to be wound down. The necessary credit rating was exceeded by three levels at A (low) as of August 1, 2007.

| Balance of proceeds from securitized receivables (\$ millions) | 2007 Sept. | 2007 June | 2007 Mar. | 2006 Dec. | 2006 Sept. | 2006 June | 2006 Mar. | 2005 Dec. |
|--|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 |
| | 550.0 | 500.0 | 150.0 | 500.0 | 350.0 | 535.0 | 400.0 | 500.0 |

7.7 Credit ratings

On February 26, 2007, Moody's Investors Services upgraded its rating for TELUS by one level to Baal (equivalent to BBB+) and assigned an outlook of stable. On March 5, 2007, DBRS upgraded the rating of TELUS notes to A (low) from BBB (high) and confirmed its A (low) ratings for TCI, all with a stable trend. In addition, DBRS confirmed its preliminary rating of R-1 (low) for TELUS' commercial paper program.

On June 21, 2007, TELUS announced that it was in non-exclusive discussions to acquire BCE. This was followed by a second announcement by TELUS on June 26 that inadequacies in BCE's bid process did not make it possible for TELUS to submit an offer as part of the strategic review process announced by BCE. Following the June 21 announcement, DBRS placed the credit ratings for TELUS Corporation and TCI "under review with developing implications". Similarly, Moody's affirmed its Baal rating for TELUS and changed its outlook to "developing" and Standard and Poors (S&P) placed the credit ratings of TELUS Corporation and TCI on "credit watch with negative implications."

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

Following TELUS' earnings announcement on August 3, 2007, in which management indicated that TELUS did not intend to make a competing offer for BCE, DBRS affirmed its ratings and restored its trend to stable. On August 7, Moody's affirmed its ratings and restored the outlook to stable. On September 26, S&P removed TELUS and TCI from credit watch, affirmed the ratings for TELUS and TCI and changed the outlook to stable.

| Credit rating summary | DBRS | S&P | Moody's | Fitch |
|---------------------------------|-----------|--------|---------|--------|
| TELUS Corporation | | | | |
| Senior bank debt | - | - | - | BBB+ |
| Notes | A (low) | BBB+ | Baa1 | BBB+ |
| Commercial paper | R-1 (low) | - | - | - |
| TELUS Communications Inc. (TCI) | | | | |
| Debentures | A (low) | BBB+ | - | BBB+ |
| Medium-term notes | A (low) | BBB+ | - | BBB+ |
| First mortgage bonds | A (low) | A- | - | - |
| Trend or outlook | Stable | Stable | Stable | Stable |

7.8 Financial instruments; Commitments and contingent liabilities

Financial instruments (Note 4 of the interim Consolidated financial statements)

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and temporary investments, accounts receivable, investments accounted for using the cost method, accounts payable, restructuring accounts payable, short-term obligations, long-term debt, interest rate swap agreements, share-based compensation cost hedges, as further discussed in Note 10(b)-(c) of the interim Consolidated financial statements, and foreign exchange hedges.

Fair value: The carrying value of cash and temporary investments, accounts receivable, accounts payable, restructuring accounts payable and short-term obligations approximates their fair values due to the immediate or short-term maturity of these financial instruments. The carrying values of the Company's investments accounted for using the cost method would not exceed their fair values.

The carrying value of short-term investments equals their fair value as they are classified as held for trading. The fair value is determined directly by reference to quoted market prices.

The fair values of the Company's long-term debt are estimated based on quoted market prices for the same or similar issues or on the current rates offered to the Company for debt of the same maturity as well as the use of discounted future cash flows using current rates for similar financial instruments subject to similar risks and maturities. The fair values of the Company's derivative financial instruments used to manage exposure to interest rate and currency risks are estimated similarly.

The fair values of the Company's derivative financial instruments used to manage exposure to increases in compensation costs arising from certain forms of share-based compensation are estimated based upon fair value estimates of the related cash-settled equity forward agreements provided by the counterparty to the transactions.

The effect of the adoption of comprehensive income on the Company's derivatives was to record them at their fair values rather than at their carrying amounts. The primary change was in derivatives classified as held for

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

hedging and used to manage interest rate and currency risks associated with U.S. Dollar denominated debt, as shown in the table below.

| As at (\$ millions) | September 30, 2007 | | | |
|--|--|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| | Hedging item maximum maturity date | Notional amount | Carrying amount | Fair value |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Long-term debt | | | | |
| Principal | | | 4,502.6 | 4,886.1 |
| Derivatives(1) classified as held for hedging and used to manage interest rate and currency risks associated with U.S. Dollar denominated debt | | | | |
| - Derivative asset | 2007 | - | - | |
| - Derivative liability | | | | |
| - Current | 2007 | - | - | |
| - Non-current | 2011 | 2,950.5 | 1,201.5 | |
| | | | 1,201.5 | |
| - Interest payable | | | 32.3 | |
| Net | | | 1,233.8 | 1,233.8 |
| Derivatives(1) used to manage interest rate risk associated with planned refinancing of debt maturing June 1, 2007 | | | | |
| | 2007 | - | - | - |
| | | | 5,736.4 | 6,119.9 |
| As at (\$ millions) | | | | |
| December 31, 2006 | | | | |
| | Hedging item maximum maturity date | Notional amount | Carrying amount | Fair value |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Long-term debt | | | | |
| Principal | | | 4,908.2 | 5,535.9 |
| Derivatives(1) classified as held for hedging and used to manage interest rate and currency risks associated with U.S. Dollar denominated debt | | | | |
| - Derivative asset | 2007 | 809.9 | (40.4) | |
| - Derivative liability | | | | |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | | |
|---|------|---------|---------|---------|
| - Current | 2007 | 673.4 | 165.8 | |
| - Non-current | 2011 | 2,950.5 | 710.3 | |
| | | | 835.7 | |
| - Interest payable | | | 6.3 | |
| Net | | | 842.0 | 1,090.6 |
| Derivatives(1) used to manage interest rate risk associated with planned refinancing of debt maturing June 1, 2007 | 2007 | 500.0 | - | 6.5 |
| | | | 5,750.2 | 6,633.0 |

Commitments and contingent liabilities (Note 17 of the interim Consolidated financial statements)

The Company's commitments and contingent liabilities have not changed significantly in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007. Changes to maturities of long-term debt as a result of financing activities in the first nine months of 2007 were described in Section 7.3 above. Uncertain income tax positions are substantially funded.

On July 6, 2007, the CRTC issued Decision Telecom 2007-50, Use of deferral account to expand broadband services to certain rural and remote communities. In this decision, the CRTC approved the use of the deferral account for the purpose of expanding broadband services to 115 communities in British Columbia and Quebec. The Company is currently reviewing the implications of this decision in conjunction with its previously filed submission for use of the deferral account funds and, as directed by the CRTC, filed an update to the previously filed submission on September 4, 2007.

A number of claims and lawsuits seeking damages and other relief are pending against the Company. It is impossible at this time for the Company to predict with any certainty the outcome of such litigation. However, management is of the opinion, based upon legal assessment and information presently available, that it is unlikely that any liability, to the extent not provided for through insurance or otherwise, would be material in relation to the Company's Consolidated financial position, excepting the items enumerated in Note 17(c) of the interim Consolidated financial statements and updated in Section 10.4 Litigation and legal matters.

7.9 Outstanding share information

The following is a summary of the outstanding shares for each class of equity at September 30 and October 12, 2007. In addition, for October 12, 2007, the total number of outstanding and issuable shares is presented assuming full conversion of outstanding options as well as options not yet granted, but for which shares have been reserved.

| Class of equity security (millions of shares) | Common Shares | Non-Voting Shares | Total shares |
|--|------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
|--|------------------|----------------------|-----------------|

Common equity

Outstanding shares at Sept. 30 and

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-----------|
| Oct. 12, 2007 | 176.0 | 151.4 | 327.4 (1) |
| Options outstanding and issuable(2) (3) at Oct. 12, 2007 | 0.5 | 16.1 | 16.6 |
| ----- | | | |
| Outstanding and issuable shares at Oct. 12, 2007 | 176.5 | 167.5 | 344.0 |
| ----- | | | |
| ----- | | | |

8. Critical accounting estimates and accounting policy developments

8.1 Critical accounting estimates

TELUS' critical accounting estimates are described Section 8.1 of its 2006 Management's discussion and analysis. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

8.2 Accounting policy developments (Note 2 of the interim Consolidated financial statements)

The interim Consolidated financial statements follow the same accounting policies and methods of their application as set out in Note 1 of TELUS' 2006 Consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006, other than as set out below, including that certain of the comparative amounts have been reclassified to conform with the presentation adopted currently.

Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards

In 2006, Canada's Accounting Standards Board ratified a strategic plan that will result in Canadian GAAP, as used by public companies, being evolved and converged with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) over a transitional period currently expected to be complete by 2011. The precise timing of convergence will depend on an Accounting Standards Board progress review to be undertaken and released by March 31, 2008.

Canadian GAAP will be converged with IFRS through a combination of two methods: as current joint-convergence projects of the United States' Financial Accounting Standards Board and the International Accounting Standards Board are agreed upon, they will be adopted by Canada's Accounting Standards Board and may be introduced in Canada before the complete changeover to IFRS; and standards not subject to a joint-convergence project will be exposed in an omnibus manner for introduction at the time of the complete changeover to IFRS.

As the International Accounting Standards Board currently, and expectedly, has projects underway that should result in new pronouncements that continue to evolve IFRS, and that this Canadian convergence initiative is very much in its infancy as of the date of these consolidated financial statements, it is premature to currently assess the impact of the Canadian initiative, if any, on the Company.

Comprehensive income; recognition and measurement of financial instruments

Commencing with the Company's 2007 fiscal year, the recommendations of the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants (CICA) for accounting for

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

comprehensive income (CICA Handbook Section 1530), for the recognition and measurement of financial instruments (CICA Handbook Section 3855) and for hedges (CICA Handbook Section 3865) apply to the Company. Currently, the concept of comprehensive income for purposes of Canadian GAAP, in the Company's specific instance, is to include changes in shareholders' equity arising from unrealized changes in the values of financial instruments. The implementation and the application of Handbook Sections 1530, 3855 and 3865 were described in the Company's 2007 first quarter Management's discussion and analysis. See Note 2(b) of the interim Consolidated financial statements.

Income taxes arising from partnership income

In mid-2006, Canada's Accounting Standards Board's Emerging Issues Committee issued a Draft Abstract (D59) regarding the accounting for income taxes related to entities that consolidate partnership interests that have a different year end than the consolidating entity. The Company has applied the guidance therein contained through its 2006 year-end. In March 2007, the Emerging Issues Committee issued a revised Draft Abstract (RD59) which changed the approach to determination of the classification of future income taxes between current and non-current. In May 2007, the Emerging Issues Committee removed this issue from its agenda.

Given the absence of specific guidance on the issue, the Company has adopted the policy of accounting for the current portion of the future income tax liability for partnerships to include the tax effect of differences between the accounting and tax bases of current assets and current liabilities and the tax on partnership income to be allocated during the next twelve months. The Company has retrospectively applied this policy, which has, in the Company's specific current instance, the net effect of increasing the current portion of future income tax liabilities. The consolidated balance sheet reclassification is as set out in Note 2(c) of the interim Consolidated financial statements.

Financial instruments - disclosure; presentation

In respect of its 2007 fiscal year, the Company must comply with the recommendations of the CICA for financial instrument disclosure and presentation (CICA Handbook Section 3861). As an activity consistent with Canadian GAAP being evolved and converged with IFRS, the existing recommendations for financial instrument disclosure will be replaced with new recommendations (CICA Handbook Section 3862); the existing recommendations for financial instrument presentation will be carried forward, unchanged (CICA Handbook Section 3863).

Commencing with the Company's 2008 fiscal year, the new recommendations of the CICA for financial instrument disclosures will apply to the Company. The new recommendations will result in incremental disclosures, relative to those currently, with an emphasis on risks associated with both recognized and unrecognized financial instruments to which an entity is exposed during the period and at the balance sheet date, and how an entity manages those risks. The Company is assessing how it will be affected by these new recommendations.

Inventories

Commencing with the Company's 2008 fiscal year, the new, IFRS-converged recommendations of the CICA for accounting for inventories (CICA Handbook Section 3031) will apply to the Company. The new recommendations provide more guidance on the measurement and disclosure requirements for inventories; significantly, the new recommendations allow the reversals of previous write-downs to net realizable value where there is a subsequent increase in the value of inventories. The Company does not expect to be materially affected by the new recommendations.

9. Annual guidance for 2007

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

The following discussion is qualified in its entirety by the Caution regarding forward-looking statements at the beginning of Management's discussion and analysis. It is also qualified by Section 10: Risks and risk management of TELUS' 2006 annual and 2007 first and second quarter Management's discussion and analyses, as well as this report.

The Company has revised its annual guidance for 2007 to reflect tax-related recoveries, continuing focus on profitable subscriber growth, and the impact on high-speed Internet net additions from temporarily reduced order processing capability resulting from the billing and client care system conversion, and other factors.

| | Revised guidance for 2007 | Previous guidance for 2007 | Change |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| (Second quarter MD&A) | | | |
| ----- | | | |
| Consolidated | | | |
| Revenues | \$9.125 to \$9.175 billion | \$9.175 to \$9.275 billion | Narrowed range and reduced by \$50 to \$100 million |
| EBITDA (1) (as adjusted) (2) | \$3.725 to \$3.775 billion | \$3.725 to \$3.825 billion | Reduced upper end of range by \$50 million |
| Earnings per share (as adjusted) (3) | \$3.55 to \$3.65 | \$3.25 to \$3.45 | Increased 20 to 30 cents and narrowed range |
| Capital expenditures | No Change | Approx. \$1.75 billion | No Change |
| ----- | | | |
| Wireline segment | | | |
| Revenue (external) | \$4.85 to \$4.875 billion | \$4.85 to \$4.9 billion | Reduced upper end of range by \$25 million |
| EBITDA (as adjusted) (2) | \$1.80 to \$1.825 billion | \$1.775 to \$1.825 billion | Increased lower end of range by \$25 million |
| Capital expenditures | No Change | Approx. \$1.2 billion | No Change |
| High-speed Internet net additions | Approx. 110,000 | More than 125,000 | Reduced by approx. 15,000 |
| ----- | | | |
| Wireless segment | | | |
| Revenue (external) | \$4.275 to \$4.30 billion | \$4.325 to \$4.375 billion | Narrowed range and reduced by \$50 to \$75 million |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | Narrowed range and reduced by |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| EBITDA (as adjusted) (2) | \$1.925 to \$1.95 billion | \$1.95 to \$2.0 billion | \$25 to \$50 million |
| Capital expenditures | No Change | Approx. \$550 million | No Change |
| Wireless subscriber net additions | Approx. 530,000 | More than 550,000 | Reduced by 20,000 |

The following key assumptions were made at the time the original targets for 2007 were announced in December 2006. Where applicable, updates are provided for the key assumptions.

| Key assumption for 2007 targets | Actual result for the year to-date |
|---|--|
| Canadian real GDP growth of 2.7% (revised to 2.6%) | In its Autumn outlook, the Conference Board of Canada issued revised estimates for Canadian real GDP growth of 2.6% for 2007 and 2.8% for 2008 |
| Increased wireline competition in both business and consumer markets, particularly from cable-TV and VoIP companies | Evidence of healthy competition within TELUS' incumbent business and consumer markets are forbearance decisions from the CRTC that cover urban areas with more than one million residential subscribers and 35 business exchanges that serve more than two-thirds of TELUS total business lines. |
| Forbearance for local retail wireline services in major urban markets by the second half of 2007 | Assumption confirmed for residential services by early August and for retail business services by late September. See Section 10.1 Regulatory |
| No further price cap mandated consumer price reductions | The CRTC's decision on the parameters for the next price cap period was announced on April 30, 2007 confirming this assumption |
| Canadian wireless industry market penetration gain of 4.5 to five percentage points | Based on Company estimates and Canadian industry net additions in the first half of 2007 (excluding any impacts of competitors' subscriber write-offs), the industry penetration gain for 2007 is trending within the key assumption range |
| TELUS would record approximately \$50 million of restructuring expenses (see revision) | Restructuring costs are expected to be approximately \$25 million in 2007 |
| TELUS' statutory income tax rate is expected to be 33 to 34% with minimal cash tax payments in 2007 | Assumptions unchanged. See Section 5: Consolidated results from operations - Income taxes |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

A discount rate of 5.0% and expected long-term average return of 7.25% for pension accounting

Assumptions unchanged

Average TELUS shares outstanding of 330 to 335 million shares for the full year

Average shares outstanding of 333.5 million for the first nine months of 2007 was 3% lower than the same period in 2006, and is within the range of the 2.5 to 4% reduction implied by the key assumption for the full year

TELUS continues to have long-term policy guidelines for Net debt to EBITDA and dividend payout, described in Section 7.4. The 2007 annual guidance is in compliance with these policy guidelines.

10. Risks and risk management

The following are significant updates to the risks described in Section 10 of TELUS' 2006 annual and 2007 first quarter Management's discussions and analyses.

10.1 Regulatory

The outcome of any existing or future regulatory reviews, proceedings, court appeals, Federal Cabinet appeals or other regulatory developments could have a material impact on TELUS' operating procedures, costs and revenues.

Local forbearance - Telecom Decisions 2007-64, 2007-84, 2007-92 and 2007-97

The CRTC granted forbearance on August 3 (Decision 2007-64) for incumbent residential local services in Victoria, most of Greater Vancouver, Calgary, Edmonton and Rimouski. Forbearance was also granted on September 10 (Decision 2007-84) for nine additional exchanges in Eastern Quebec. The areas forborne from regulation cover more than one million residential subscribers and TELUS expects to receive decisions on a number of other applications in the near future.

TELUS also applied for deregulation of business local services in phone exchanges covering 78 per cent of business lines in B.C. and Alberta, and 52 per cent in Eastern Quebec. In Telecom Decision 2007-92 on September 27, the CRTC granted forbearance for eligible business local services in 35 exchanges, including Victoria, most of Greater Vancouver, Calgary, Edmonton, Fort McMurray and Rimouski, which cover about two-thirds of TELUS' total business lines. Decision 2007-92 deregulated services such as single and multi-line business access, features and bundles, integrated services digital network primary rate interface (ISDN-PRI) and direct-in-dial (DID). TELUS' IP-One Evolution(R) service, Centrex service and enhanced exchange wide dialling (EEWD) lines were not included in this decision and are expected to be addressed in proceedings under Telecom Public Notice 2007-14, in early 2008. There can be no assurance that forbearance will be granted for any or all of the exchanges or services included in the Company's outstanding or future forbearance applications.

On October 5, 2007, in Decision 2007-97, the CRTC eliminated winback rules for telecommunication companies' incumbent ADSL Internet services as well as for large cable carriers' higher-speed access services.

With these forbearance and winback decisions, pricing and marketing of retail local services can be set by the marketplace, rather than by regulators. TELUS expects to bundle services and features in new ways to

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

better meet customer needs. However, competitive intensity is expected to increase as the entire industry is expected to be more innovative.

Essential services - Application to review and vary Ethernet and ADSL orders (Telecom Decision 2007-77)

In this decision in August, the CRTC rescinded its previous orders with respect to implementation of new services and new rates that were ordered in Ethernet and high-speed Internet (ADSL) decisions in January 2007. The commission also approved deferral account compensation for revenues forgone as a result of its previous determination to retroactively reduce TELUS ADSL central office activation fees. In the essential services proceeding currently under way (Public Notice 2006-14), TELUS continues to argue that ADSL and Ethernet are not essential services and should not be unbundled.

Quality-of-service rebate program - CRTC decisions on TELUS' exclusion applications

TELUS' remaining exclusion application for retail quality-of-service programs, concerning the series of severe storms in the Lower Mainland of B.C. from November 2006 to January 2007, was decided favourably in August 2007 in Decision 2007-72. TELUS will not be required to issue rebates.

Wireless number portability (WNP)

Phase one of WNP (sometimes referred to as local number portability, or LNP) was implemented successfully on March 14, 2007 in the majority of populated centres in Canada by Canadian wireless carriers, including TELUS. Implementation of WNP in remaining areas was achieved in September 2007. Experience from two full quarters of WNP, indicates that it is a net contributor to increased subscriber loading for TELUS, with inbound porting exceeding outbound porting, but WNP has also contributed initially to increased wireless customer retention costs as a percentage of revenues in the second quarter of 2007 and a slight increase in subscriber churn in the second and third quarters of 2007. Subscriber churn and retention costs may be permanently higher in the future.

WNP could also lead to an increase in migration of network access lines to wireless services, as well as present opportunities for TELUS to market more effectively in the business/enterprise market in Central Canada where TELUS has a lower market share than its competitors. There can be no assurance that this will be the case.

Foreign-ownership restrictions

TELUS and its subsidiaries are subject to the foreign ownership restrictions imposed by the Telecommunications Act, the Radiocommunication Act and the Broadcasting Act. In July 2007, the Minister of Industry and the Minister of Finance announced the creation of a Competition Review Panel to review Canadian competition and investment legislation: the Competition Act and the Investment Canada Act. As the Panel will report to the Minister of Industry by June 30, 2008, it is expected that any subsequent legislation changes would not be effective until late-2008 or 2009. TELUS has supported the relaxation of foreign ownership restrictions in the past, but believes that any such relaxation must be on an equal basis for broadcasting and telecommunications companies.

10.2 Human resources

Collective bargaining at TELUS Quebec

Two collective agreements between TELUS Quebec and the Syndicat des agents de maitrise de TELUS covering approximately 520 professional and

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

supervisory team members in Quebec expired on March 31, 2007. The parties continue to negotiate to achieve a new collective agreement.

10.3 Process risks

TELUS systems and processes could negatively impact financial results and customer service - Billing/revenue assurance and efficiency programs

TELUS successfully converted all of its wireline consumer customers in Alberta to a new integrated billing and client care system in late-March 2007. The new system includes re-engineered processes for order entry, pre-qualification, service fulfillment and assurance, customer care, collections/credit, customer contract and information management. During the second quarter of 2007, and to a lesser degree in the third quarter of 2007, initial system difficulties were experienced that reduced order processing capability, which caused increased installation backlogs and higher costs such as extra call centre resources in order to maintain service levels. The critical billing function performed as expected and additional call centre operations are being reduced. Additional post-conversion expenses were approximately \$8 million in the third quarter, down from \$16 million in the second quarter. There can be no assurance that this undertaking will not negatively impact, on an extended basis, TELUS' customer service levels, competitive position and financial results.

Additional phases of development and conversion are planned over the next several years including a similar system conversion for B.C. consumers planned for the first half of 2008. Although the Company expects to benefit from lessons learned in the Alberta conversion, the legacy systems in B.C. are different enough that there is no assurance that the B.C. conversion will be as successful as the Alberta conversion. This customer-focused project required extensive system development and, in itself, presents future implementation risks due to the complexity of the implementation task and resource constraints, as well as reliance on newly developed third party software code. Significant time delays in implementing new phases of this system, or system instability, could negatively impact TELUS' competitive ability to quickly and effectively launch new products, services and promotions; achieve and maintain a competitive cost structure; and deliver better information and analytics to management.

10.4 Litigation and legal matters

Certified class action

A class action was brought August 9, 2004 under the Class Actions Act (Saskatchewan), against a number of past and present wireless service providers including the Company. The claim alleges that each of the carriers is in breach of contract and has violated competition, trade practices and consumer protection legislation across Canada in connection with the collection of system access fees, and seeks to recover direct and punitive damages in an unspecified amount. The class was certified on September 17, 2007 by the Saskatchewan Court of Queen's Bench. The Company has applied for leave to appeal the certification decision. The Company believes that it has good defences to the action.

Similar proceedings have been filed by, or on behalf of, plaintiffs' counsel in other provincial jurisdictions, but are not expected to proceed until the Saskatchewan action has been decided. Should the ultimate resolution of this action differ from management's assessments and assumptions, a material adjustment to the Company's financial position and the results of its operations could result; management's assessments and assumptions include that a reliable estimate of the exposure cannot be made at this preliminary stage of the lawsuit.

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

11. Reconciliation of non-GAAP measures and definition of key operating indicators

11.1 Earnings before interest taxes depreciation and amortization (EBITDA)

TELUS has issued guidance on and reports EBITDA because it is a key measure used by management to evaluate performance of business units, segments and the Company. EBITDA is also utilized in measuring compliance with debt covenants - see Section 11.4 EBITDA excluding restructuring costs. EBITDA is a measure commonly reported and widely used by investors as an indicator of a company's operating performance and ability to incur and service debt, and as a valuation metric. The Company believes EBITDA assists investors in comparing a company's performance on a consistent basis without regard to depreciation and amortization, which are non-cash in nature and can vary significantly depending upon accounting methods or non-operating factors such as historical cost.

EBITDA is not a calculation based on Canadian or U.S. GAAP and should not be considered an alternative to Operating income or Net income in measuring the Company's performance, nor should it be used as an exclusive measure of cash flow, because it does not consider the impact of working capital growth, capital expenditures, debt principal reductions and other sources and uses of cash, which are disclosed in the Consolidated statements of cash flows. Investors should carefully consider the specific items included in TELUS' computation of EBITDA. While EBITDA has been disclosed herein to permit a more complete comparative analysis of the Company's operating performance and debt servicing ability relative to other companies, investors should be cautioned that EBITDA as reported by TELUS may not be comparable in all instances to EBITDA as reported by other companies.

The following is a reconciliation of EBITDA with Net income and Operating income. EBITDA (as adjusted) excludes a non-cash charge for introducing a net-cash settlement feature for share option awards granted prior to January 1, 2005. EBITDA (as adjusted) is regularly reported to the chief operating decision-maker and corresponds to the definition used in setting TELUS' 2007 EBITDA targets and revised guidance (see Section 9).

| (\$ millions) | Quarters ended | | Nine-month periods | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | September 30 2007 | September 30 2006 | ended September 30 2007 | ended September 30 2006 |
| Net income | 409.9 | 319.6 | 857.8 | 886.3 |
| Other expense (income) | 8.0 | 4.0 | 30.3 | 17.9 |
| Financing costs | 86.2 | 116.6 | 331.0 | 371.1 |
| Income taxes | 78.6 | 126.5 | 251.6 | 261.3 |
| Non-controlling interest | 1.7 | 2.4 | 4.5 | 7.1 |
| Operating income | 584.4 | 569.1 | 1,475.2 | 1,543.7 |
| Depreciation | 332.5 | 325.8 | 968.5 | 1,000.2 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 70.1 | 57.5 | 192.2 | 168.3 |
| EBITDA | 987.0 | 952.4 | 2,635.9 | 2,712.2 |
| Add back: Non-cash (recovery) charge in 2007 for introducing a net-cash settlement feature for options granted prior to 2005 | (7.2) | - | 168.1 | - |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | | |
|----------------------|-------|-------|---------|---------|
| EBITDA (as adjusted) | 979.8 | 952.4 | 2,804.0 | 2,712.2 |
|----------------------|-------|-------|---------|---------|

In addition to EBITDA, TELUS calculates EBITDA less capital expenditures as a simple proxy for cash flow at a consolidated level and in its two reportable segments. EBITDA less capital expenditures may be used for comparison to the reported results for other telecommunications companies over time and is subject to the potential comparability issues of EBITDA described above.

| | Quarters ended | | Nine-month periods | |
|---|----------------|---------|--------------------|-----------|
| (\$ millions) | September 30 | | ended September 30 | |
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 | 2006 |
| EBITDA | 987.0 | 952.4 | 2,635.9 | 2,712.2 |
| Capital expenditures | (434.1) | (423.9) | (1,297.8) | (1,203.2) |
| EBITDA less capital expenditures | 552.9 | 528.5 | 1,338.1 | 1,509.0 |
| Add back: Non-cash charge in 2007 for introducing a net- cash settlement feature for options granted prior to 2005 | (7.2) | - | 168.1 | - |
| EBITDA (as adjusted) less capital expenditures | 545.7 | 528.5 | 1,506.2 | 1,509.0 |

11.2 Free cash flow

The Company reports free cash flow because it is a key measure used by management to evaluate its performance. Free cash flow excludes certain working capital changes and other sources and uses of cash, which are disclosed in the Consolidated statements of cash flows. Free cash flow is not a calculation based on Canadian or U.S. GAAP and should not be considered an alternative to the Consolidated statements of cash flows. Free cash flow is a measure that can be used to gauge TELUS' performance over time. Investors should be cautioned that free cash flow as reported by TELUS may not be comparable in all instances to free cash flow as reported by other companies. While the closest GAAP measure is Cash provided by operating activities less Cash used by investing activities, free cash flow is considered relevant because it provides an indication of how much cash generated by operations is available after capital expenditures, but before proceeds from divested assets, and changes in certain working capital items (such as trade receivables, which can be significantly distorted by securitization changes that do not reflect operating results, and trade payables).

The following reconciles free cash flow with Cash provided by operating activities less Cash used by investing activities:

| | Quarters ended | | Nine-month periods | |
|---------------|----------------|------|--------------------|------|
| (\$ millions) | September 30 | | ended September 30 | |
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 | 2006 |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

| | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Cash provided by operating activities | 831.8 | 570.4 | 2,354.3 | 2,056.5 |
| Cash (used) by investing activities | (430.0) | (451.0) | (1,300.1) | (1,253.2) |
| | 401.8 | 119.4 | 1,054.2 | 803.3 |
| Net employee defined benefit plans expense | 24.0 | 1.5 | 69.0 | 4.4 |
| Employer contributions to employee defined benefit plans | 18.9 | 28.8 | 67.5 | 104.3 |
| Amortization of deferred gains on sale-leaseback of buildings, amortization of deferred charges and other, net | (5.9) | (3.9) | (1.1) | (12.5) |
| Reduction (increase) in securitized accounts receivable | (50.0) | 185.0 | (50.0) | 150.0 |
| Non-cash working capital changes (except changes in taxes, interest, and securitized accounts receivable) and other | 118.2 | 161.9 | 3.5 | 246.9 |
| Acquisition | - | 25.0 | - | 44.5 |
| Proceeds from the sale of property and other assets | (4.1) | (6.9) | (5.4) | (14.9) |
| Other investing activities | - | 9.0 | 7.7 | 20.4 |
| Free cash flow | 502.9 | 519.8 | 1,145.4 | 1,346.4 |

The following shows management's calculation of free cash flow.

| (\$ millions) | Quarters ended | | Nine-month periods | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | September 30 2007 | September 30 2006 | ended September 30 2007 | ended September 30 2006 |
| EBITDA | 987.0 | 952.4 | 2,635.9 | 2,712.2 |
| Restructuring costs net of cash payments | 3.3 | (1.2) | (21.0) | 2.2 |
| Share-based compensation | (3.3) | 14.2 | 126.4 | 35.3 |
| Donations and securitization fees included in Other expense | (9.2) | (8.5) | (27.6) | (20.6) |
| Cash interest paid | (41.1) | (13.0) | (283.2) | (297.6) |
| Cash interest received | 1.4 | 0.6 | 8.9 | 23.9 |
| Income taxes received (paid), less investment tax credits received that were previously recognized in either EBITDA or capital expenditures, and other | (1.1) | (0.8) | 3.8 | 94.2 |
| Capital expenditures | (434.1) | (423.9) | (1,297.8) | (1,203.2) |
| Free cash flow | 502.9 | 519.8 | 1,145.4 | 1,346.4 |

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

11.3 Definition of key operating indicators

These measures are industry metrics and are useful in assessing the operating performance of a wireless company.

Average revenue per subscriber unit per month (ARPU) is calculated as Network revenue divided by the average number of subscriber units on the network during the period and expressed as a rate per month. Data ARPU is a component of ARPU, calculated on the same basis for revenues derived from services such as text messaging, mobile computing, personal digital assistance devices, Internet browser activity and pay-per-use downloads.

Churn per month is calculated as the number of subscriber units disconnected during a given period divided by the average number of subscriber units on the network during the period, and expressed as a rate per month. A prepaid subscriber is disconnected when the subscriber has no usage for 90 days following expiry of the prepaid card.

Cost of acquisition (COA) consists of the total of handset subsidies, commissions, and advertising and promotion expenses related to the initial subscriber acquisition during a given period. As defined, COA excludes costs to retain existing subscribers (retention spend).

COA per gross subscriber addition is calculated as cost of acquisition divided by gross subscriber activations during the period.

COA per gross subscriber addition to lifetime revenue is calculated as cost of acquisition for new subscribers divided by expected lifetime revenue of the subscriber base, expressed as a percentage.

EBITDA excluding COA is a measure of operational profitability normalized for the period costs of adding new customers.

Lifetime revenue per subscriber is calculated as ARPU divided by the churn per month. The metric provides a means of estimating the average total revenue expected from existing subscribers.

Retention spend to Network revenue represents direct costs associated with marketing and promotional efforts aimed at the retention of the existing subscriber base divided by Network revenue.

11.4 Definition of liquidity and capital resource measures

Dividend payout ratio is defined as the most recent quarterly dividend declared per share multiplied by four and divided by basic earnings per share for the 12-month trailing period. The target guideline for the annual dividend payout ratio is on a prospective basis, rather than on a trailing basis, and is 45 to 55% of sustainable net earnings.

EBITDA - excluding restructuring costs is used in the calculation of Net debt to EBITDA and EBITDA interest coverage, consistent with the calculation of the Leverage Ratio and the Coverage Ratio in credit facility covenants. Restructuring costs were \$22.2 million and \$95.4 million, respectively, for the twelve-month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

EBITDA - excluding restructuring costs interest coverage is defined as EBITDA excluding restructuring costs divided by Net interest cost. Historically, this measure is substantially the same as the Coverage Ratio covenant in TELUS' credit facilities.

Interest coverage on long-term debt is calculated on a 12-month trailing basis as Net income before interest expense on long-term debt and income tax

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

expense divided by interest expense on long-term debt. Interest expense on long-term debt for the 12-month trailing period ending September 30, 2006 includes losses on redemption of long-term debt. The 12-month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 also include accruals for estimated costs to settle a lawsuit.

Net debt is a non-GAAP measure whose nearest GAAP measure is the sum of Long-term debt and Current maturities of long-term debt, as reconciled below. Net debt is one component of a ratio used to determine compliance with debt covenants (refer to the description of Net debt to EBITDA below).

| (\$ millions) | As at Sept. 30, 2007 | As at Dec. 31, 2006 | As at Sept. 30, 2006 |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Long-term debt including current portion | 4,502.6 | 4,908.2 | 4,764.5 |
| Debt issuance costs netted against long-term debt | 31.5 | 19.9 | 21.5 |
| Derivative liability | 1,201.5 | 838.5 | 985.8 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income amounts arising from financial instruments used to manage interest rate and currency risks associated with U.S. Dollar denominated debt | (163.2) | - | - |
| Debt | 5,572.4 | 5,766.6 | 5,771.8 |
| Deduct Cash and temporary investments | (1.0) | 11.5 | 25.4 |
| Add proceeds from securitized accounts receivable | 550.0 | 500.0 | 350.0 |
| Net debt | 6,121.4 | 6,278.1 | 6,147.2 |

The derivative liability in the table above relates to cross currency interest rate swaps that effectively convert principal repayments and interest obligations to Canadian dollar obligations, which at September 30, 2007, is in respect of the US\$1,925.0 million debenture maturing June 1, 2011. At December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, the derivative liability was in respect of the 2011 debenture and the US\$1,166.5 million debenture that matured June 1, 2007. Management believes that Net debt is a useful measure because it incorporates the exchange rate impact of cross currency swaps put into place that fix the value of U.S. Dollar denominated debt, and because it represents the amount of long-term debt obligations that are not covered by available cash and temporary investments.

Net debt to EBITDA - excluding restructuring costs is defined as Net debt as at the end of the period divided by the 12-month trailing EBITDA excluding restructuring costs. TELUS' guideline range for Net debt to EBITDA is from 1.5 to 2.0 times. Historically, Net debt to EBITDA - excluding restructuring costs is substantially the same as the Leverage Ratio covenant in TELUS' new credit facilities.

Net debt to total capitalization provides a measure of the proportion of debt used in the Company's capital structure. The long-term target ratio for Net debt to total capitalization is 45 to 50%.

Edgar Filing: TELUS CORP - Form 6-K

Net interest cost is defined as Financing costs before gains on redemption and repayment of debt, calculated on a 12-month trailing basis. No gains on redemption and repayment of debt were recorded in the respective periods. Losses recorded on the redemption of long-term debt are included in net interest cost. Net interest costs for the 12-months ending September 30, 2007 and 2006 are equivalent to reported quarterly financing costs over those periods.

Total capitalization - book value excludes Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and is calculated as follows.

| (\$ millions) | As at | As at | As at |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | Sept. 30 2007 | Dec. 31 2006 | Sept. 30 2006 |
| Net debt | 6,121.4 | 6,278.1 | 6,147.2 |
| Non-controlling interests | 23.8 | 23.6 | 22.3 |
| Shareholders equity | 6,679.6 | 6,928.1 | 6,987.9 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | 118.6 | - | - |
| Total capitalization - book value | 12,943.4 | 13,229.8 | 13,157.4 |

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: November 2, 2007

TELUS Corporation

/s/ Audrey Ho

Name: Audrey Ho
Title: Vice President, Legal Services and
General Counsel and Corporate Secretary