Applied Minerals, Inc. Form DEF 14A October 23, 2013

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. ___)

> Filed by the Registrant x Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

> > Check the appropriate box:

- " Preliminary Proxy Statement
- " Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- " Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Applied Minerals, Inc. (Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

x No fee required.

- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.
- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

- (5) Total fee paid:
- " Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - (3) Filing Party:
 - (4) Date Filed:

Persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Applied Minerals, Inc. Proxy Statement 2013

Annual Meeting of Stockholders The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Applied Minerals, Inc. will be held at: Concierge Conference Center, 780 Third Avenue New York, N.Y. 10017 on December 5, 2013 at 3:00 P.M. Eastern Time

Proxy voting options Your vote is important!

Whether or not you expect to attend in person, we urge you to vote your shares via the Internet, or by signing, dating, and returning the enclosed proxy card or voting instruction form at your earliest convenience. This will ensure the presence of a quorum at the meeting. Promptly voting your shares will save us the expense and extra work of additional solicitation. Submitting your proxy now will not prevent you from voting your stock at the meeting if you desire to do so, as your vote by proxy is revocable at your option.

Voting by the Internet is fast and convenient, your vote is immediately confirmed and tabulated, and helps the Company reduce postage costs.

If you prefer, you can vote by mail by returning the enclosed proxy card or voting instruction form in the addressed, prepaid envelope provided.

Please do not return the enclosed paper proxy card if you are voting via the Internet.

Vote by Internet

Record Owners

Before the Meeting - Go to www.proxyvote.com

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time on December 4, 2013. You will need to enter the 12-digit control number received with your Notice of Internet Availability or Proxy.

During the Meeting - Go to www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/AMNL2013

Go to www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/AMNL2013 to vote during the meeting via the Internet. You will need to enter the 12-digit control number received with your Notice or Proxy.

Beneficial Owners

If you are a beneficial and not a record owner of shares, the availability of Internet voting will depend on the voting processes of your broker, bank or other holder of record. Therefore, if you are a beneficial owner, we recommend that you follow the voting instructions in the materials you receive from your broker, bank or other holder of record.

October 22, 2013

DEAR STOCKHOLDER:

We cordially invite you to attend the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on Thursday, December 5, 2013 at 3:00 P.M. Eastern Time at the Concierge Conference Center, 780 Third Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10017.

The Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement contain details of the business to be conducted at the Annual Meeting.

Whether or not you attend the Annual Meeting, it is important that your shares be represented and voted at the meeting. Therefore, I urge you to promptly vote and submit your proxy via the Internet or by signing, dating, and returning the enclosed proxy card in the enclosed envelope. If you attend the Annual Meeting, you will be able to vote in person, even if you have previously submitted your proxy.

At the meeting, the Company's executives discuss the year's results and our plans for the future. We will provide a live webcast of the Annual Meeting available on the website www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/AMNL2013. You can also submit questions and vote online. We will respond to as many questions as time allows.

Sincerely,

Andre Zeitoun President and Chief Executive Officer

Applied Minerals. Inc.

Notice of 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Date:	December 5, 2013
Time:	3:00 p.m. Eastern Time
Place:	Concierge Conference Center, 780 Third Avenue New York, N.Y. 10017
Record date:	October 11, 2013. Only stockholders of record at the close of business on the record date are entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting.
Proxy voting:	Important. Please vote your shares at your earliest convenience. This will ensure the presence of a quorum at the meeting. Promptly voting your shares via the Internet or by signing, dating, and returning the enclosed proxy card or voting instruction form will save the expenses and extra work of additional solicitation. If you wish to vote by mail, we have enclosed an addressed envelope, postage prepaid if mailed in the United States. Submitting your proxy now will not prevent you from voting your shares at the meeting because your proxy is revocable at your option.
Items of business:	• To elect five directors
	• To approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, the compensation paid to our Named Executive Officers
	• To ratify the selection of EisnerAmper LLP as our independent auditor for fiscal year 2013
	• To transact other business that may properly come before the Annual Meeting
Virtual meeting:	You may also vote at the meeting via the Internet by visiting www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/AMNL2013 and following the instructions.

Important notice regarding the availability of proxy materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders meeting to be held on December 5, 2013. Our Proxy Statement and Annual Report to Stockholders are available at www.proxyvote.com .

By order of the Board of Directors

William Gleeson Secretary New York, New York October 22, 2013

Proxy Statement Table of Contents

Part 1 Information about the meeting	1
Internet Availability of Proxy Materials	
Participation in Electronic Meeting	
Solicitation of Proxies	
Householding	
Quorum	
Election of Directors	
Voting Procedures	
Voting on Other Matters	
Part 2 Information concerning Directors	2
Part 2 Information concerning Directors Nominees for Director	····J. 2
Information about Nominees	
Compensation of Directors	
Board Leadership.	
Director Independence	
Risk Oversight	
Code of Ethics	
Board Meetings and Meeting Attendance	8
Committees	
Audit Committee Financial Expert	9
Audit Committee Report	9
Director Nomination Process and Commitments	9
Stockholder Communication to the Board of Directors	10
Part 3 Information concerning executive officers and their compensation	
Executive Officers	
Executive Compensation	11
Summary Compensation Table	
Pensions	1.4
Potential Payments on Termination or Change in Control	14
Grants of Plan-Based Awards	1.4
Outstanding Equity Awards as of December 31, 2012	
Options Exercised and Stock Vested	
Nonqualified Defined Contribution and Other Nonqualified Deferred	15
Compensation Plans	
Related Party Transactions	16
Equity Compensation Plan Information	
Part 4 Compensation Analysis and Discussion	. 17
Objectives and Strategy	
Equity Compensation Burn Rate	
Compensation of Messrs. Zeitoun and Carney	
Compensation of Mr. Gleeson.	
Compensation of Mr. Greeson.	
Tax and Accounting Treatment of Compensation	4. h

Compensation Policies and Practices as they relate to Risk Management	.21
Compensation Committee Report	. 21
	22
Part 5 Information concerning independent registered accountant	22
Independent Registered Accountant for 2013	
Fees to Accountants	. 22
Dismissal of PMB Helin Donovan LLP and Engagement of EisnerAmper LLP	. 23
Policy of the Board of Directors' Pre-Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services	
of Independent Auditors	. 23
Part 6 Additional Important Information	. 24
Beneficial Stock Ownership: Directors, Named Executive Officers, and 5%	24
Holders	
Section 16 Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	. 25
Proposals of Stockholders for 2014 Annual Meeting	
Part 7 Proposals to be Voted on at the Meeting	26
Election of Directors.	
Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	
Ratification of Independent Auditor	

Part 1 Information about the meeting

This Proxy Statement was first sent or given to stockholders on or about October 22, 2013. It is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Applied Minerals, Inc. (the "Company") to be voted at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders for the purposes set forth in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be held at 3:00 p.m. Eastern Time on December 5, 2013 at Concierge Conference Center, 780 Third Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10017. Stockholders who execute proxies retain the right to revoke them at any time before the shares are voted by proxy at the meeting. A stockholder may revoke a proxy by delivering a signed statement to our Corporate Secretary at or prior to the Annual Meeting or by timely executing and delivering, by Internet, mail, or in person at the Annual Meeting, another proxy dated as of a later date. The Company will pay the cost of solicitation of proxies.

Internet Availability of Proxy Materials

We are furnishing proxy materials to our stockholders primarily via the Internet instead of mailing printed copies of those materials to each stockholder. By doing so, we save costs and reduce the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting. On October 22, 2013, we mailed a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to our stockholders. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials contains instructions about how to access our proxy materials and vote online. If you would like to receive a paper copy of our proxy materials, please follow the instructions included in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. If you previously chose to receive our proxy materials electronically, you will continue to receive access to these materials via e-mail unless you elect otherwise.

Participation in Electronic Meeting

You may also attend this year's Annual Meeting of Stockholders via the Internet. To vote or to submit your questions during the Annual Meeting, please log on to www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/AMNL2013. You will need to enter the 12-digit control number on your Notice of Internet Availability or proxy card..

Solicitation of Proxies

The Board of Directors of the Company is soliciting the proxy accompanying this Proxy Statement. Proxies may be solicited by officers, directors, and employees of the Company, none of whom will receive any additional compensation for their services. These solicitations may be made personally or by mail, facsimile, telephone, messenger, email, or the Internet. The Company will pay persons holding shares of Common Stock in their names or in the names of nominees, but not owning such shares beneficially, such as brokerage houses, banks, and other fiduciaries, for the expense of forwarding solicitation materials to their principals. The Company will pay all proxy solicitation costs. Stockholders of record at the close of business on October 11, 2013 will be entitled to vote at the meeting on the basis of one vote for each share held. On October 11, 2013, there were 94,525,447 shares of Common Stock outstanding, held of record by 1,074 registered stockholders.

Householding

To reduce costs and reduce the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting, a single proxy statement and annual report, along with individual proxy cards or individual Notices of Internet Availability will be delivered in one envelope to certain stockholders having the same last name and address and to individuals with more than one account registered at our transfer agent with the same address, unless contrary instructions have been received from an affected stockholder. Stockholders participating in householding will continue to receive separate proxy cards. If you are a registered stockholder and would like to enroll in this service or receive individual copies of this year's and/or future proxy materials, please contact our transfer agent, Broadridge Corporate Issuer Solutions, by phone at 1-(800) 542-1061, mail at Broadridge, Householding Department, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, New York 11717. If you are a beneficial stockholder, you may contact the broker or bank where you hold the account.

Quorum

The presence of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting (47,762,724 shares), in person or represented by proxy, is necessary to constitute a quorum. Abstentions and "broker non-votes" are counted as "present and entitled to vote" for purposes of determining a quorum.

Election of Directors

Five directors are to be elected at the Annual Meeting to hold office until the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders or until their respective successors are elected and qualified. If, for any reason, the directors are not elected at an Annual Meeting, they may be elected at a special meeting of stockholders called for that purpose in the manner provided by the Bylaws of the Company ("Bylaws"). If a proxy is submitted without indicating (i) a vote for all nominees (ii) withhold for all nominees or (iii) for all except specified nominee(s), it will be deemed to grant authority to vote FOR all nominees presented in Part 7 – Proposals to be voted on" and discussed in Part 3 "Information concerning Directors" to serve as directors. All the nominees are current directors.

The Board of Directors expects that each of the nominees will be available for election, but if any of them is unable to serve at the time the election occurs, the proxy will be voted for the election of another nominee designated by our Board.

1

Voting Procedures

Eligibility to Vote

Only holders of shares of Common Stock outstanding as of October 11, 2013 are eligible to vote and each share is entitled to one vote on each matter.

Voting of Proxies

All proxies solicited by the Company, whether received by means of a proxy card or the internet, will be voted and where a choice is made with respect to a matter to be voted on, the shares will be voted in accordance with the specifications so made. If a proxy is submitted without indicating (i) a vote for all nominees (ii) withhold for all nominees or (iii) for all except specified nominee(s), it will be deemed to grant authority to vote FOR all nominees. If a Proxy is submitted without indicating voting instructions on Proposal 2 (Say-on-Pay) or 3 (ratification of independent auditor), then it will be deemed to grant authority to vote FOR such Proposals.

Tabulation of votes

Employees of the Company will tabulate votes cast by proxy or in person at the meeting. We expect to report the final vote tabulation in a Form 8-K filed with the SEC within four business days of the Annual Meeting.

Vote standard for election of directors

The directors will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast, meaning the directors receiving the largest number of "for" votes will be elected to the open positions.

Vote standard for other matters; effect of abstentions and broker non-votes

The shares of a stockholder whose proxy or ballot on any or all proposals is marked as "abstain" will be included in the number of shares present at the Annual Meeting to determine whether a quorum is present.

If you are the beneficial owner of shares held by a broker or other custodian, you may instruct your broker how to vote your shares through the voting instruction form included with this Proxy Statement or other means as specified by the broker or custodian. If you wish to vote the shares you own beneficially at the meeting, you must first request and obtain a "legal proxy" from your broker or other custodian. If you choose not to provide instructions or not obtain a legal proxy, your shares are referred to as "uninstructed shares." Whether your broker or custodian has the discretion to vote these shares on your behalf depends on the ballot item. The following table summarizes the votes required for passage of each proposal and the effect of abstentions and uninstructed shares held by brokers or other custodians.

Item	Votes required for approval	Abstentions	Uninstructed shares
Election of directors	Plurality of shares cast	Not voted	Not voted
Advisory vote on executive compensation ("Say on Pay")	Majority of shares cast	Not voted	Not voted
Ratification of independent auditor	Majority of shares cast	Not voted	Discretionary vote

Voting on other matters

At the date this Proxy Statement went to press, we did not know of any nominees to be nominated or any matters to be raised at the Annual Meeting other than those referred to in this Proxy Statement. If other matters in addition to those listed in the notice are properly presented at the Annual Meeting for consideration, the persons appointed as proxies by the Board of Directors (the persons named in your proxy card if you are a stockholder of record) will have the discretion to vote the proxies they hold on those matters for you.

Part 2

Nominees for Director

The Company's directors are to be elected at each Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The Company's Certificate of Incorporation provides that the number of directors is to be fixed from time to time by resolution of the Board of Directors and the Board of Directors has fixed the number at five. The Board of Directors currently consists of five members.

At this Annual Meeting, five directors are to be elected to serve until the next Annual Meeting of stockholders or until such director's successors are elected and qualified. The Board of Directors' nominees for the Board of Directors are:

· Mario Concha

· John Levy

- \cdot Evan Stone
- · David Taft

· Andre Zeitoun.

Infomation about Nominees

The following table provides the names, ages, positions with the Company, and principal occupations of our current directors, each of whom has been nominated for election as a director at the Annual Meeting:

Name and Position with the Company	Age	Director/Officer Since	Principal Occupation	
John Levy	57	Non-Executive Chairman since August, 2009 and Director since January, 2008	CEO of Board Advisory	
Mario Concha	73	Director since September, 2013	President of Mario Concha and Associates, LLC	
Evan Stone	41	Director since August, 2009	Partner, Lee & Stone	
David Taft	56	Director since October, 2008	President, IBS Capital LLC	

		Director since January,	President and CEO,
Andre Zeitoun	40	2009	Applied Minerals, Inc.

Information about persons nominated by the Board of Directors for the position of director of the Company is listed below, including brief biographies. Each biographic summary is followed by a brief summary of certain experiences, qualifications, attributes or skills that led the Board to determine that each nominee is qualified to, and should, serve as a director for the Company. General information regarding the nomination process is included under the "Director Nomination Process and Commitments" heading.

John Levy, Non-Executive Chairman and Director.

Since 2005, Mr. Levy has been Chief Executive Officer, Board Advisory, Westfield, NJ, a consulting firm established to assist public companies, or companies aspiring to be public, with revenues from \$5 million to over \$1 billion. Board Advisory assists with corporate governance, corporate compliance, ethics, financial reporting and financial strategies.

Since 2006, he has been Lead Director and Audit Committee Chairman, Gilman Ciocia, Inc., Poughkeepsie, NY (GTAX.OB), which provides federal, state and local tax preparation services and financial planning services, principally to individuals.

Since 2009, he has been a Board Member and Chair of Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, Applied Energetics, Inc., Tucson, AZ (AERG.OB), which specializes in the development and application of high power lasers, high voltage electronics, advanced optical systems, and energy management systems technologies.

Since January, 2013, he has been a Board Member and Chair of Audit Committee, China Commercial Credit, Inc., Wujiang, Jiangsu Province, People's Republic of China, (NASDAQ:CCCR), which through its subsidiaries and certain contractual arrangements, controls a microcredit company, Wujiang Luxiang, that provides direct loans and loan guarantee services to small-to-medium sized businesses ("SMEs"), farmers and individuals in the city of Wujiang, Jiangsu.

From 2006 to 2010, he was a Board Member and Audit Committee Chairman, of Take-Two Interactive Software Inc., New York, NY (NASDAQ:TTWO), an integrated global developer, marketer, distributor and publisher of interactive entertainment software games and accessories.

From 2008 to 2010, he was a Board Member of Applied Natural Gas Fuels, Inc., Westlake Village, CA, which through its subsidiaries, engages in the production and wholesale distribution of vehicle-quality liquid natural gas in the western United States. Appointed Chairman of Special Committee to review strategic alternatives, ANGF emerged from a voluntary petition under the

provisions of Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Act in March 2010.

From 2010 to 2012, he was a Board Member, a Compensation Committee Member and an Audit Committee Member, at Brightpoint, Inc., Indianapolis, IN (NASDAQ:CELL), a global leader in providing supply chain solutions to leading stakeholders in the wireless industry. Brightpoint was included on the FORTUNE 500 in 2011. Brightpoint was sold to Ingram Micro Inc. (NYSE: IM) in 2012.

He served as Interim Chief Financial Officer from November, 2005 to March, 2006 of Universal Food & Beverage Company, which filed a voluntary petition under the provisions of Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Act on August 31, 2007.

Mr. Levy is a Certified Public Accountant with nine years experience with the national public accounting firms of Ernst & Young, Laventhol & Horwath, and Grant Thornton. Mr. Levy has a B.S. degree in economics from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania and received his M.B.A. from St. Joseph's University (PA).

Mr. Levy is a member of the compensation committee of the Company.

The Board's assessment of Mr. Levy's key attributes, experience and skills

Mr. Levy has over 30 years of progressive financial, accounting and business experience, including having served as Chief Financial Officer of both public and private companies for over 13 years. Mr. Levy brings to the board

expertise in corporate governance and compliance matters along with extensive experience gained from numerous senior executive positions with public companies. Further, Mr. Levy's service on the boards of directors of public companies in a variety of industries also allows him to bring a diverse blend of experiences to our board.

Mr. Levy has written and taught courses regarding corporate governance matters and also has significant experience dealing with corporate governance matters as part of his corporate and board positions. Mr. Levy has been designated by the Board of Directors as an audit committee financial expert. In his capacity as a Board member, Mr. Levy has provided substantial assistance to the Company on accounting and financial reporting matters. Mr. Levy has also provided significant corporate governance and business strategy advice to the Company since joining its Board of Directors in 2008.

It is these attributes that led the Board to conclude that Mr. Levy should continue to serve as a director and Chairman of the Company.

4

Mario Concha, Director

Mr. Concha is the President of Mario Concha and Associates, LLC, a firm providing consulting services to senior executives and members of boards of directors. In addition to providing consulting services, he has served as a director of The Plaza Group, a chemical marketer; Arclin, Ltd., a manufacturer of specialty resins; and Auro Resources, Corp, a mineral exploration company with holdings in Colombia's gold region. Prior to founding Mario Concha and Associates in 2005, Mr. Concha was an officer of Georgia Pacific Corporation and president of its Chemical Division from 1998 to 2005. Prior to Georgia Pacific, Mr. Concha participated in the formation of GS Industries, a manufacturer of specialty steels for the mining industry, through a leveraged buyout of Armco Inc's Worldwide Grinding Systems Division. He then served as President of its International Division from 1992 to 1998. From 1985 to 1992, Mr. Concha was Vice President-International for Occidental Chemical Corporation. Prior to OxyChem, he served in several senior management positions at Union Carbide Corporation in the United States and overseas.

Mr. Concha is a graduate of Cornell University with a degree in Chemical Engineering. He is a member of the American Chemical Society and of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers.

Mr. Concha is a member of the compensation committee of the Company.

The Board's assessment of Mr. Concha's key attributes, experience and skills

Mr. Concha has over 40 years experience as a hands-on corporate executive. He has first-hand industry knowledge, gained from senior executive positions in various industries, including chemicals, plastics, forest products, metals and mining. In addition to manufacturing operations, he has had extensive involvement in marketing, sales and finance. Mr. Concha also brings corporate governance experience, having served on both public and private company boards.

It is these attributes that led the Board to conclude that Mr. Concha should continue to serve as a director of the Company.

Evan D. Stone, Director

Mr. Stone is a lawyer and has represented hedge funds, private equity funds, venture capital funds and public and private corporations on a wide range of sophisticated corporate and securities matters. Mr. Stone is co-founder of Lee & Stone LLP, a Dallas based law firm specializing in services for the investment community and in 2010-2011 was a principal of Steelbridge Compliance LLC, a Dallas-based firm providing compliance advisory services to investment advisors. Prior to co-founding Lee & Stone in 2009, Mr. Stone served as Vice President and General Counsel for Dallas-based investment manager, Newcastle Capital Management, L.P., which Mr. Stone joined in 2006. Prior to Newcastle, from 2003 through 2006, Mr. Stone worked in the mergers and acquisitions department of the international law firm Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom LLP in New York. Prior to Skadden, Mr. Stone served as a member of the investment-banking department at Merrill Lynch & Co. and Vice President, Corporate Development at Borland Software, Inc. In addition to his work on behalf of investors at Lee & Stone, Mr. Stone currently serves as General Counsel and Secretary of Wilhelmina International, Inc., a leading model and artist management firm, to which offices he was appointed in 2009. Mr. Stone was a director of Wilhelmina International, Inc. from 2009 to 2011.

Mr. Stone is a member of the compensation committee of the Company.

The Board's assessment of Mr. Stone's key attributes, experience and skills

Mr. Stone brings a strong mix of legal, asset management, corporate development and investment banking experience to the Company's Board of Directors. Mr. Stone's legal practice, at his own firm and at Skadden Arps, has focused primarily on mergers and acquisitions, private equity and investment management.

In addition, as General Counsel for Newcastle Management, L.P., an activist investment manager, Mr. Stone was involved in the sourcing, analysis, negotiation and monitoring of a number of the firm's investments and also advised on corporate governance and operational matters. As General Counsel and Secretary and a director of Wilhelmina International, Inc., Mr. Stone gained valuable additional board corporate governance experience related to a public company. Mr. Stone also has investment banking and corporate development experience.

It is these attributes that led the Board to conclude that Mr. Stone should continue to serve as a director of the Company.

David A. Taft, Director

Mr. Taft is the President of IBS Capital LLC, a private investment company based in Boston, Massachusetts, which he founded in 1990. Prior to founding IBS Capital LLC, Mr. Taft spent ten years working in corporate finance with Drexel Burnham Lambert, Winthrop Financial, and Merrill Lynch. Mr. Taft is a graduate of Amherst College and Amos Tuck School of Business Administration at Dartmouth College.

The Board's assessment of Mr. Taft's key attributes, experience and skills

Mr. Taft has over 30 years experience as a corporate investment banker and the manager of IBS Capital LLC, a private investment fund. Mr. Taft brings significant leadership, financial expertise, business development skills, and corporate restructuring experience to the Company's Board of Directors. The investments Mr. Taft has made through his management of the IBS Turnaround Fund have, on occasion, required him to advise companies on issues such as corporate governance, capital raising, balance sheet restructuring and general business strategy.

IBS Capital LLC, under Mr. Taft's direction, has been a large beneficial stockholder of the Company for a number of years.

It is these attributes that led the Board to conclude that Mr. Taft should continue to serve as a director of the Company.

Andre Zeitoun, Chief Executive Officer, President, Director.

Mr. Zeitoun has served as Chief Executive Officer, President, and Director of the Company since January 1, 2009.

Mr. Zeitoun was a Portfolio Manager at SAC Capital/CR Intrinsic Investors from March 2007 through December 2008. At SAC, he led a team of six professionals and managed a several hundred million dollar investment portfolio focused on companies that required a balance sheet recapitalization and/or operational turnaround. Many of these investments required Mr. Zeitoun to take an active role in the turnaround process. From 2003 to 2006, Mr. Zeitoun headed the Special Situations Group at RBC Dain Rauscher as a Senior Vice President and head of the division. He managed all group matters related to sales, trading, research and the investment of the firm's proprietary capital. From 1999 to 2003, Mr. Zeitoun was a Senior Vice President at Solomon Smith Barney. In this role, Mr. Zeitoun led a Special Situations sales trading research team serving middle market institutions. Mr. Zeitoun is a graduate of Canisius College.

The Board's assessment of Mr. Zeitoun's key attributes, experience and skills

Mr. Zeitoun has over 10 years experience indentifying, allocating capital to, and taking an active role in corporate situations requiring a balance sheet recapitalization and/or operational restructuring. In the period beginning in January 2009, Mr. Zeitoun has spearheaded effort to stabilize the Company's balance sheet, raise critically needed capital, engage industry-leading consultants to quantify and characterize the Company's Dragon Mine resource, establish a marketing infrastructure, and sell product. During his time as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, Mr. Zeitoun has developed a high level of expertise in the area of the commercialization of halloysite-based applications and has developed a network of relationships with other experts within the field.

It is these attributes that led the Board to conclude that Mr. Zeitoun should continue to serve as a director of the Company.

Compensation of Directors

The following sets forth compensation to the persons who served as directors in 2012. Mr. Concha became a director in September, 2013.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Fees Paid in Common Stock Awards	Options Awards	Total (\$)
John Levy (2)	\$ 50,000	\$ - 0 -	\$ 223,600	\$ 273,600
Evan Stone (1)(3)	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ - 0 -	\$ 40,000
David Taft (1)	\$ 40,000	\$ - 0 -	\$ - 0 -	\$ 40,000
Andre Zeitoun (1)	\$ - 0 -	\$ - 0 -	\$ - 0 -	\$ - 0 -

- (1) Through 2012, each director, except for Mr. levy, whose compensations is set forth below and Mr. Zeitoun, who is not paid for service as a director, was paid \$10,000 at the beginning of each calendar quarter in cash, stock or a combination of both at the discretion of the director. In November, 2012, the amount of the fees payable, beginning January 1, 2013, to Mr.Taft, for services as a director, were set at \$12,500 per quarter and to Mr. Stone, for services as a director and a member of the Compensation Committee, were set at \$13,750.
- (2) In 2012, John Levy, as Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company, was paid \$12,500 at the beginning of each calendar quarter. In January 2012, he was awarded 100,000 10-year options to purchase shares of Common Stock at \$1.24 per share. The options began vesting monthly, on an equal basis, beginning March, 2012. The value of the options on the grant date was \$85,400. In November, 2012, Mr. Levy was awarded 100,000 5-year options to purchase Common Stock at \$1.66 per share. The options began vesting monthly, on an equal basis, over 12 months beginning January, 2013. The value of the options on the grant date was \$138,200. In November, 2012, the fees payable to Mr. Levy, for services as Chairman and as a member of the Compensation Committee, were set at \$17,500 per quarter, beginning January 1, 2013.
 - (3) For the year ended December 31, 2012, aggregate stock grants for director compensation to Mr. Stone were 14,865 shares.

Board Leadership

In August, 2009, the Board appointed John Levy as Chairman. Accordingly, the Company has separate persons serving in the roles of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board. The Board believes that separating the Chair from the CEO position facilitates more effective board interaction and improves management accountability.

Mr. Zeitoun, the Chief Executive Officer, is responsible for, among other things, managing the business and affairs of the Company within the guidelines established by the Board, reporting to the Board of Directors, recommending to the

Board strategic directions for the Company's business, and implementing the corresponding strategic, business and operational plans approved by the Board. Mr. Levy, the Board Chair, sets the agenda for and presides at Board meetings and coordinates the Board's communication with Mr. Zeitoun and the management of the Company. The Board Chair, Mr. Levy, is fully independent.

Director Independence

Messrs. Levy, Concha, and Stone are deemed to be independent under the independence standards of Nasdaq, which the Company uses to determine independence, and under the enhanced independence standards of Section 10A-3 of the Securities Exchange Act. They are also deemed to be outside directors under the standards of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. Messrs. Zeitoun and Taft are deemed to be not independent. Mr. Zeitoun is not independent because he is an employee of the Company. Mr. Taft is deemed to be not independent because of the size of his beneficial holdings of the Company's Common Stock.

Risk Oversight

The Board oversees management's evaluation and planning for risks that the Company faces. Management regularly discusses risk management at its internal meetings and reports to the Board thos risks that it thinks are most critical and what it is doing in response to those risks. The Board exercises oversight by reviewing key strategic and financial plans with management at each of its regular quarterly meetings as well as at certain special meetings. The Board's risk oversight function is coordinated under the leadership of the independent Chair of the Board and the Board does not believe that this oversight has any effect on the separation of the role of Chair from CEO.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Conduct and Ethics for our Chief Executive Officer and our senior financial officers. A copy of our Code of Conduct and Ethics is posted on out website at www.appliedminerals.com and can be obtained at no cost, by telephone at (212 226-4265) or via mail by writing to Applied Minerals, Inc., 110 Greene Street, Suite 1101, New York, New York, 10012. We believe our Code of Conduct and Ethics is reasonably designed to deter wrongdoing and promote honest and ethical conduct; to provide full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in public reports; to comply with applicable laws; and to ensure prompt internal reporting of code violations; and provide accountability for adherence to the code.

Board Meetings and Meeting Attendance

During the year ended December 31, 2012, there were 10 meetings of the Board of Directors. Each of the incumbent directors who were on the Board of Directors during 2012 attended at least 75% of the total number of meetings of the Board of Directors and Messrs. Levy and Stone attended all meetings of the compensation committee.

Members of the Board are expected to attend the Annual Meetings of Stockholders, including the upcoming stockholder meeting. All then incumbent directors attended the 2012 Annual Meeting.

Committees

We do not have nominating or auditing committees. Rather, the Board of Directors, as a whole, performs the functions that would otherwise be performed by the audit and nominating committees. Our Board views the addition of standing audit and nominating committees as an unnecessary additional expense to, and process for, the Company given its stage of development and the involvement of the Board of Directors in Company operations.

The members of the Compensation Committee are John Levy and Evan Stone.

The primary responsibilities of the Compensation Committee are to:

- assist our Board of Directors in establishing the annual goals and objectives of the chief executive officer;
- recommend to the independent members of our Board the compensation of the chief executive officer;
- oversee an evaluation of the performance of the Company's other executive officers and approve their compensation;
- oversee and advise our Board on the adoption of policies that govern executive officer compensation programs and other compensation-related polices;
- oversee plans for executive officer development and succession;

- oversee administration of our equity-based compensation and other benefit plans; and
- authorize grants of equity compensation awards under our stock plan.

The compensation committee is authorized to hire consultants, including lawyers and compensation consultants.

The Committee may delegate to the Chief Executive Officer the authority to make equity compensation grants of options and rights to employees who are not executive officers.

The CEO has had and may in the future have a role in determining or recommending executive compensation other than his own.

The Compensation Committee periodically reviews the compensation paid to non-employee directors and makes recommendations to our Board of Directors for any adjustments.

The Compensation Committee Charter describes the specific responsibilities and functions of the Compensation Committee. A copy of the compensation committee charter is available at www.appliedminerals.com.

Audit Committee Financial Expert

The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Levy is an audit committee financial expert as the term is defined in the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and is independent under the independence standards of Nasdaq and the enhanced independence standards of Section 10A-3 of the Securities Exchange Act.

Audit Committee Report

The Board of Directors has not created a separate audit committee or a charter for such a committee. The Board of Directors acts as an audit committee. The Board believes that a separate audit committee is not needed in light of the stage of development of the Company and the involvement of the Board of Directors in Company operations.

In the discharge of its responsibilities, the Board of Directors has reviewed the Company's audited financial statements for fiscal year 2012. In addition,

- A. The Board of Directors, acting as an audit committee, has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management;
- B. The Board of Directors, acting as an audit committee, has discussed with the independent auditors the matters required to be discussed by the statement on Auditing Standards No. 114, as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol. 1. AU section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T;
- C. The Board of Directors, acting as an audit committee, has received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent accountant required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the audit committee concerning independence, and has discussed with the independent accountant the independent accountant's independence; and
- D. Based on the review and discussions referred to in paragraphs (A) through (C) above, the Board of Directors, acting as an audit committee, recommended and determined that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the last fiscal year for filing with the Commission.

Directors of the Board of Directors performing the function of the audit committee:

John Levy	Evan Stone
David Taft	Andre Zeitoun

Director Nomination Process and Commitments

The Board of Directors has not created a separate nominating committee (or a charter for such a committee). Rather, the Board as a whole performs such functions and each director is eligible to participate and has participated in the nomination process.

The Board does not have a specific policy for identifying possible nominees, no set process for considering candidates, and no specific minimum qualifications that nominees must have in order to be selected.

Generally speaking, the criteria that our Board uses to select nominees from among possible nominees have included the following: individual's reputation for integrity, honesty and adherence to high ethical standards; such person's demonstrated business acumen, experience and ability to exercise sound judgment in matters that relate to the current and long-term objectives of the Company; such person's willingness and ability to contribute positively to the decision-making process of the Company; such person's commitment to understand the Company and its industry and to regularly attend and participate in meetings of the Board and its committees; such person's interest and ability to understand the sometimes conflicting interests of the various constituencies of the Company, which include stockholders, employees, customers, creditors and the general public; such person's ability to act in the interests of all stakeholders; and such person should not have, or appear to have, a conflict of interest that would impair the person's ability to represent the interests of all of the Company's stockholders and to fulfill the responsibilities of a director.

The Board does not have a policy relating to diversity in connection with the identification or selection of nominees and has not considered the issue.

The Board will consider director candidates recommended by any stockholder. In evaluating candidates recommended by our stockholders, the Board of Directors has no set process for evaluating nominees, and the criteria would include the ones set forth above that are applied to nominees nominated by the Board. Any stockholder recommendations for director nominees proposed for consideration by the Board should include the nominees' name and qualifications for Board membership and should be addressed in writing to the President, Applied Minerals, Inc., 110 Greene St., Suite 1101, New York, New York 10012.

There have been no changes in the procedures by which stockholders may recommend candidates for director.

Mr. Levy was originally recommended for election as director by Mr. Taft, a beneficial stockholder who, at the time, was not a director. Mr. Taft was originally recommended by Mr. Levy and another, now former, director. Mr. Zeitoun was elected a director pursuant to the terms of the management agreement with Material Advisors LLC. Mr. Stone was originally recommended by Mr. Zeitoun, a director and President and CEO. Mr. Concha was originally recommended by Mr. Levy.

Rights of the Samlyn Entities to nominate Directors

Samlyn Onshore Fund, LP, a Delaware limited partnership, and Samlyn Offshore Master Fund, Ltd., a Cayman Islands exempted company (collectively, the "Samlyn Entities") own in the aggregate 10,000,000 shares of Common Stock of the Company and warrants to purchase an additional 5,000,000 shares of Common Stock in the aggregate.

The Samlyn Entities jointly have the right to designate one person (the "Initial Nominee") to be nominated for election to the Board and the Board of Directors shall use commercially reasonable efforts to cause the election or appointment, as the case may be, of such nominee as a director of the Company.

Until the earlier to occur of (i) a Termination Event (defined below) and (ii) December 22, 2016 if Andre Zeitoun is not serving as one of the following: a named executive officer of the Company or chairman of the Board, then the Samlyn Entities jointly, during such period in which Andre Zeitoun is not serving in such capacity, shall be entitled to designate a number of additional nominees who together with the Initial Nominee, shall comprise at least 20% of the total number of directors, and the number of directors representing such 20% shall be rounded up to the nearest whole number.

If any nominee (i) is jointly designated by the Samlyn Entities at a time (A) at which such nominee cannot be included in the proxy statement prepared by management of the Company in connection with the soliciting of proxies for a meeting of the stockholders of the Company called with respect to the election of directors or (B) after which a meeting of the stockholders has been held with respect to the election of directors (clauses (i)(A) and (i)(B) collectively, the "Interim Period") or (ii) is nominated for election to the Board but not elected by the stockholders of the Company for any reason whatsoever, the Board shall increase the number of members serving on the Board by a number of additional members equal to the number of such Nominees designated during the Interim Period and/or not elected (as applicable), and the Samlyn Entities jointly shall be entitled to promptly designate nominee(s), who shall be appointed by the Board to fill such additional member positions promptly following such meeting of the stockholders called for the election of directors.

If the Samlyn Entities, together with their respective affiliates, cease to beneficially own at least 9,700,000 shares of Common Stock (which for this purpose includes shares of Common Stock underlying unexercised Warrants), then the rights of the Samlyn Entities described above shall terminate automatically (the "Termination Event"). As promptly as practicable following the Termination Event, at the request of the Board, the Samlyn Nominees shall cause each Nominee to execute and deliver a letter of resignation to the Company, which resignation shall be effective immediately with respect to the Company and, if applicable, any subsidiary of the Company for which such Nominee serves as a director, manager or other similar position.

The Samlyn Entities have not exercised their right to nominate a director.

Obligation to appoint or elect another independent director

In August, 2013, the Company sold \$10.5 million of 10% PIK Election Convertible Notes due 2023 ("2023 Notes). In connection with such sale, the Company agreed to cause one independent director to be nominated and appointed to its Board of Directors prior to December 31, 2013, and another independent director to be nominated and appointed to its Board of Directors prior to March 31, 2014, unless holders of the 2023 Notes, by majority vote based on their

percentage ownership of the total principal amount of 2023 Notes outstanding, shall waive such obligation or extend the deadline by which such obligation shall be met. Mr. Concha's election in September, 2013 satisfied the first of the two requirements. The holders of the 2023 Notes did not nominate Mr. Concha and had no input into the Board's decision to elect him to the Board. The Board of Directors is currently evaluating candidates whose election would satisfy the second requirement.

Stockholder Communications to the Board of Directors

Stockholders may communicate with the Board of Directors by sending an email or a letter to Applied Minerals, Inc. Board of Directors, c/o President & CEO, 110 Greene Street, Suite 1101, New York, New York, 10012. The President will receive the correspondence and forward it to the individual director or directors to whom the communication is directed or to all directors if not directed to one or more specifically.

Part 3

Information concerning executive officers and their compensation

Executive Officers

The Named Executive Officers of the Company are:

Name	Age	Position
Andre Zeitoun	40	President, CEO, Director
Nat Krishnamurti	41	Chief Financial Officer
William Gleeson	70	General Counsel

Messrs. Zeitoun, Krishnamurti and Gleeson were appointed to their positions pursuant to employment agreements.

Andre Zeitoun (biographical information about Mr. Zeitoun appears above under "Information about Directors).

Nat Krishnamurti. Mr. Krishnamurti was appointed Chief Financial Officer in May, 2012, replacing Interim Chief Financial Officer, Christopher Carney, who was named Vice President for Business Development and Corporate Strategy. Prior thereto, Mr. Krishnamurti spent 11 years at inVentiv Health, a provider of clinical, communications and commercial services to the global pharmaceutical, life sciences and biotechnology industries, where he served in a number of senior-level finance positions. The last position was Vice President - Accounting and Reporting, a position he held as the company transitioned its corporate headquarters to a different state after being acquired. Prior thereto he was Chief Accounting Officer. Mr. Krishnamurti is a CPA and worked as an auditor for PwC.

William Gleeson. Mr. Gleeson was appointed General Counsel in September 2011. Prior thereto he was a partner in the law firm K&L Gates LLP for more than five years, specializing in securities, corporate, and M&A law.

Executive Compensation

Except for compensation for 2013 and for bonuses awarded in November, 2012, at the time that compensation decisions relating to the compensation described below were made, there was no compensation committee of the Board. At such times, the Board of Directors did not believe a separate compensation committee is needed in view of the Company's stage of development, the involvement of the Board of Directors in Company affairs, and the history and structure of executive compensation. Mr. Zeitoun, who was compensated through Material Advisors LLC, did not participate in the Board deliberations concerning such compensation paid to Material Advisors LLC. The Board did not use compensation consultants in determining the amount or types of compensation or benchmark compensation.

On September 6, 2012, in connection with the adoption by the Board of Directors of the 2012 Long-Term Compensation Plan and the 2012 Short-Term Compensation Plan, the Board established a compensation committee and named Messrs. Levy and Stone to the committee. In November, 2012, the compensation committee determined bonuses for Messrs. Zeitoun and Gleeson for 2012 and their 2013 compensation.

2009 Management Agreement

Andre Zeitoun and Christopher Carney were appointed to positions with the Company pursuant to an agreement with Material Advisors LLC, a management services company formed to provide management services to the Company. Messrs. Zeitoun and Carney are two of the three members of Material Advisors, Mr. Zeitoun being the manager.

On December 30, 2008, the Company entered into a management agreement with Material Advisors ("2009 Management Agreement"). The 2009 Management Agreement commenced on January 1, 2009 and had a term ending on December 31, 2010 but provided for extension for 2011. In March, 2010, the parties agreed to extend the agreement for 2011.

Under the 2009 Management Agreement, Material Advisors was to use Messrs. Zeitoun and Carney and Eric Basroon, the other member of Material Advisors, (the three collectively, "Management Personnel") to perform senior management services. Such services were described in the 2009 Management Agreement to include services that are customarily provided by a chief executive officer but not (unless otherwise agreed) services customarily provided by a chief financial officer (it was subsequently agreed to have Mr. Carney serve as Interim Chief Financial Officer, which he did from February, 2009 to May, 2012). Pursuant to the 2009 Management Agreement, through December 31, 2012, Mr. Zeitoun served as the Company's Chief Executive Officer and as a member of the Company's Board of Directors.

11

The 2009 Management Agreement specified that the senior management services that were to be provided by Material Advisors included, without limitation, consulting with the Board of Directors of the Company and the Company's management on business and financial matters, including matters related to, among other matters, (i) new business development, creating and implementing the Company's business plan and overseeing and supervising the Company's operations, (ii) preparation of operating budgets and business plans, (iii) Company's corporate and financial structure, (iv) formulation of long term business strategies, (v) recruiting senior management, (vi) financing, and (vii) resolving investigations and litigation involving the Company.

Under the 2009 Management Agreement, Material Advisors was paid an annual fee of \$1,000,000 per year the Management Agreement, payable in equal monthly installments of \$83,333.

The 2009 Management Agreement provided that Material Advisors was solely responsible for all travel, entertainment, office and marketing expenses and all other ordinary and necessary business expenses incurred by the Material Advisors and the Management Personnel in connection with the performance of the services ("Company Expenses"). Such Company Expenses in 2009, 2010, and 2011 were \$468,000, \$440,500, and \$450,000, respectively.

Under the 2009 Management Agreement, the Company granted Material Advisors 6,583,277 non-qualified stock options to purchase at \$0.70 per share (a number of shares of the Company equal to 10% of the outstanding Common Stock of the Company on a fully diluted basis, not including these options), vesting in equal monthly installments over three years (the "\$0.70 Option"). On December 30, 2008 (the execution date of the 2009 Management Agreement) as well as on December 29, 2008 (the day before the execution date), the reported closing stock price for the Company's Common Stock was \$0.14.

The 2009 Management Agreement provided for the following treatment of the \$0.70 Option in the event of a "going private transaction." Upon the consummation of a transaction resulting in (i) the Company ceasing to be a SEC reporting company, or having less than 300 stockholders of record and (ii) David A. Taft, IBS Capital LLC, The IBS Turnaround Fund L.P., The IBS Turnaround Fund (QP), The IBS Opportunity Fund (BVI). Ltd., or any of their affiliates or related entities own in the aggregate more than 50% of the outstanding equity capital of the Company immediately following such transaction (a "Going Private Transaction"), the \$0.70 Option will be cancelled and replaced by a non-qualified stock option (the "Going Private Option"), accompanied by a tandem stock appreciation right (the "SAR"). The Going Private Option will provide Material Advisors the right to purchase the same percentage of Company's (or its successor's) outstanding shares of Common Stock (as the \$.70 Option provided) after giving effect to the going private transaction that were subject to the \$0.70 Option. The SAR will entitle Material Advisors to receive either shares of Common Stock or cash equal in value to the excess of the fair market value of a share of Common Stock on the date of exercise over the base price per share under the SAR.

The exercise price of the Going Private Option and the base price under the SAR will be the fair market value per share to be paid in the Going Private Transaction to stockholders who are not investing in the going private vehicle. The term of the \$0.70 Option, the Going Private Option and the SAR will be 10 years. During such periods, the Going Private Option and the SAR will be fully exercisable.

Under the 2009 Management Agreement, Material Advisors was solely responsible for the compensation of the Management Personnel and the Management Personnel were not entitled to any direct compensation or benefits from the Company (including, in the case of Mr. Zeitoun, for service on the Board). The 2009 Management Agreement did not specify the levels of compensation to Messrs. Zeitoun or Carney. At the time the 2009 Management Agreement was entered into (i) the Company did not know, was not entitled to know, did not have any input and was not entitled to have any input into allocation of cash or options described above by Material Advisors to its members or the amounts paid for Company expenses and (ii) the Company did not know and was not entitled to know the amount of the Company Expenses.

The 2009 Management Agreement provided that if Material Advisors was terminated by the Company without Cause or Material Advisors resigned for Good Reason or the Company gave a notice of non-renewal, all unvested options would have vest and if such action occurred in 2009-2010, the remaining annual cash fee through the end of 2010 would have been paid and if such action occurred in 2010, the remaining annual cash fee through the end of 2010 would have been paid. The 2009 Management Agreement did not provide for any adjustment in the cash fee would be made for the Company Expenses to be paid by Material Advisors in the event of termination.

The provisions of the 2009 Management Agreement were determined by negotiation and as part of the overall compensation package

The Company did not use a compensation consultant in connection with the 2009 Management Agreement or benchmark compensation. With respect to the extension for 2011, because all unvested options would vest if the 2009 Management Agreement were not extended for 2011 and the 2011 compensation was already negotiated, the use of a compensation consultant or benchmarking for 2011, would have been, in the judgment of the Board, not useful.

2012 Management Agreement

On February 8, 2011, the Board of Directors extended the 2009 Management Agreement through December 31, 2012 ("2012 Management Agreement"). As part of the 2012 Management Agreement, the Material Advisors were granted options to purchase 2,904,653 shares of Common Stock of the Company at \$0.83 per share with a 10-year term, vesting equally over twelve months beginning January 1, 2012. Otherwise, the 2012 Management Agreement was essentially the same as the 2009 Management Agreement.

On January 18, 2012, the Board of Directors agreed to amend the 2012 Management Agreement so that it would provide that Company would bear the Company Expenses incurred for 2012. On the same date, the Board of Directors also awarded to Material Advisors a \$750,000 bonus payable immediately. At the time, the Board was aware of the allocation by Material Advisors' to its members of compensation (both cash and options) paid to Material Advisors by the Company, with Messrs. Zeitoun and Carney being entitled to allocations of 60% and 20%.

The Company did not use a compensation consultant in connection with the 2012 Management Agreement or benchmark compensation.

Transition to Direct Compensation of Management Personnel

In November, 2012, the Board of Directors and Material Advisors agreed that Management Personnel would become direct employees of the Company and compensation of the Management Personnel in 2013 and subsequent years would be made directly and not through Material Advisors.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table contains information about compensation awarded to our Named Executive Officers in 2012, 2011, and 2010.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Option Awards(1) (\$)	All Other Comp. (\$)	Total (\$)
Andre M. Zeitoun, CEO, Director	2012	600,000	850,000 (2)	2,408,539	- 0 -	3,858,539
2)(3)	2011 2010	282,000 300,000	-0- 5,700	1,181,613 -0-	- 0 - - 0 -	1,463,613 305,700
Nat Krishnamurti, CFO (4)	2012 2011 2010	105,000 -0- -0-	-0- -0- -0-	400,200 -0- -0-	2,917 -0- -0-	508,117 -0- -0-
Christopher Carney, Interim CFO (3)	2012 2011	200,000	-0-	802,847 393,871	- 0 -	1,152,847 518,871
(5)	2011	125,000	1,900	-0-	- 0 -	126,900
William Gleeson, General Counsel (6)	2012 2011 2010	200,000 58,333 -0-	-0- -0- -0-	100,065 1,370,340 -0-	-0- 31,660 -0-	300,065 1,460,333 -0-

(1) The Company's overall average equity compensation burn rate between 2010 and 2012 was 3.62%, which is 1 below ISS's cap of 6.04% used in 2012 for companies in what the Company believes to be its GICs classification, "Materials." Please see Compensation Discussion & Analysis for further details. This column represents aggregate grant date fair value in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The amounts shown exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions, so that the expected life on the options is the full term of the options. For additional information, refer to Note 10 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements found in Item 15, Part IV of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, which serves as the Annual Report to Stockholders. These amounts reflect the Company's accounting expense for these awards and do not correspond to the actual value that will be recognized by the Named Executive Officers. The options awards granted were valued using the Black Scholes Option Valuation Model, the inputs for which included, among other things, an annualized volatility of approximately 84%.

Because of timing, Mr. Zeitoun was awarded two bonuses in 2012 relating to multiple periods: \$450,000 (60% of the \$750,000 paid to Material Advisors) in January 2012, for prior performance; and \$400,000 awarded in November 2012, but paid in January 2013, for 2012 performance. See Compensation Discussion & Analysis for further information.

(3) Except as noted below, Messrs. Zeitoun and Carney received no direct compensation from the Company in 2010, 2011 and 2012. Each is a partner of Material Advisors, LLC, a consulting firm that provided managerial services to the Company in 2009 through 2012 pursuant to the 2009 and 2012 Management Agreements and except as noted below the amounts are allocations from Material Advisors.

Under the terms of the 2009 and 2012 Management Agreements, the Company paid Material Advisors, LLC a \$1 million fee annually in exchange for management services provided by Material Advisors, LLC.

Under the 2012 Management Agreement entered into in February, 2011, Material Advisors was granted options to purchase 2,904,653 shares of Common Stock of Applied Minerals, at \$0.83 per share with a 10-year term. The options vested equally over 12 months beginning on January 1, 2012.

Under the terms of the 2009 Management Agreement, Material Advisors paid certain marketing and general corporate expenses incurred by the Company during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012. Those expenses were \$450,000 and \$468,000 in 2010 and 2011, respectively. Under an amendment to the 2012 Management Agreement entered into in January, 2012, Material Advisors was relieved of the responsibility for such expenses during 2012.

At the time of the amendment of the 2012 Management Agreement in January, 2012, Material Advisors was awarded a \$750,000 cash bonus for prior performance with 60%, or \$450,000, allocated to Mr. Zeitoun, \$150,000 allocated to Mr. Carney.

In November 2012, Mr. Zeitoun was directly awarded by the Company a \$400,000 bonus payable in January, 2013.

Beginning January 1, 2013 Messrs. Zeitoun and Carney became direct employees of Applied Minerals, Inc. Under the terms of their respective 2013 employment agreements with the Company, on November 20, 2012, Mr. Zeitoun was granted options to purchase 1,742,792 shares of Common Stock of Applied Minerals Inc. at \$1.66 per share with a 10-year term and Mr. Carney was granted options to purchase 580,930 shares of Common Stock of Applied Minerals, Inc. at \$1.66 per share with a 10-year term.

- (4) Mr. Krishnamurti was appointed Chief Financial Officer on May 29, 2012. Other Compensation consisted of a car allowance.
- (5) Mr. Carney was the Company's Interim CFO from February 17, 2009 through May 28, 2012. He is currently a Vice President for Business Development.
- (6) Mr. Gleeson's employment began on September 15, 2011. Other compensation in 2011 includes the reimbursement of moving expenses (\$28,100) and health insurance premiums (\$3,560).

Pensions

The Company does not have any pension plan.

Potential Payments on termination or Change in Control

Mr. Zeitoun. Mr. Zeitoun's 2013 employment agreement contains the following provisions. In the event he is terminated by the Company without Cause (as defined) or he terminates his employment for Good Reason (as defined), he shall receive (a) a pro rata portion (based on the number of months in 2013 worked by him through the date of termination relative to a full year) of the Net Target Bonus of \$400,000 (for illustration, if he were terminated without Cause on September 30 and the Net Target Bonus is \$400,000, he would receive \$300,000), provided that this clause (a) shall not be duplicative of bonus amounts included and to be paid to Executive as Accrued Obligations (as defined); plus (b)(i) 500,000, (iii) immediate vesting in (i.e., exercisability of) one-half of all unvested options held by him, and (iv) continuation of benefits (including for his family) on the same terms as in effect immediately prior to the date of termination for a period of six months (or applicable additional compensation to provide that he bears no more cost for Benefits (as defined) under COBRA for six months than he bore immediately prior to termination).

Mr. Gleeson. Mr. Zeitoun's 2013 employment agreement contains the following provisions. In the event he is terminated by the Company without Cause (as defined) or he terminates his employment for Good Reason (as defined), he shall receive not less than \$41,666 and (ii) continuation of benefits (including for his family) on the same terms as in effect immediately prior to the date of termination for a period of six months (or applicable additional compensation to provide that he bears no more cost for Benefits under COBRA for six months than he bore immediately prior to termination).

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

Name	Grant date	Estimated future payouts under non-equity incentive plan awards		stock awards: Number of shares	Number of securities		Grant date fair value of stock and option awards (\$)
Andre M. Zeitoun, CEO	11-20-12	NA	NA	NA	1,742,792	\$1.66	\$2,408,539
(1) Christopher Carney, Interim CFO (1) (2)	11-20-12	NA	NA	NA	580,931	1.66	802,847

The table set forth below indicates the grants to Named Executive Officers in 2012

Nat Krishnamurti, CFO (1)(3) William	5-29-12	NA	NA	NA	300,000	1.55	400,200
Gleeson, General Counsel (1)	11-20-12(3)	NA	NA	NA	72,406	1.66	100,065

- (1) Options were granted to Messrs. Zeitoun, Carney and Gleeson in November, 2012 under the Company's Long-Term Incentive Plan, which was approved by stockholders in November, 2012. The options granted to Mr. Krishnamurti in 2012 were granted under an individual compensation plan.
- (2) Mr. Carney was the Company's Interim CFO from February 17, 2009 through May 28, 2012. He is currently a Vice President for Business Development.
- (3) Mr. Krishnamurti was appointed Chief Financial Officer on May 29, 2012.

Outstanding Equity Awards as of December 31, 2012

The following table provides information on the holdings as of December 31, 2012 of stock options granted to the Named Executive Officers. This table includes unexercised and unvested option awards. Each equity grant is shown separately for each Named Executive Officer.

OU	JTSTANDI	OPTI Number of Securities Underlying	AWARDS AT ON AWARDS Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised	S Equity Incentive Plan Awards Number of Securities Underlying	R 31, 2013 Option	
Name	Grant Data	Options:	Options: Unexercisable	Unearned Options	Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date
Iname	Grant Date	Exercisable	Unexercisable	Options	Price	Date
Andre Zeitoun (1)	1-1-09	3,949,966	- 0 -	- 0 -	\$0.70	1-1-19
	2-8-11	1,742,792	- 0 -	- 0 -	0.83	1-1-22
	11-20-12	- 0 -	1,742,792	- 0 -	1.66	1-1-23
Nat Krishnamurti (1)(2)	5-29-12	66,676	233,324	- 0 -	1.55	5-1-22
Christopher Carney (1)(3)	1-1-09	1,316,655	- 0 -	- 0 -	0.70	1-1-19
• • • • • •	2-8-11	580,930	- 0 -	- 0 -	0.83	1-1-22
	11-20-12	- 0 -	580,931	- 0 -	1.66	1-1-23
William Gleeson (1)	8-18-11	375,000	525,000	- 0 -	1.90	8-18-21
~ /	11-20-12	72,406	- 0 -	- 0 -	1.66	11-20-22

(1) Options granted in November, 2012 to Messrs. Zeitoun, Carney and Gleeson were granted under the Company's Long-Term Incentive Plan established in September, 2012 and approved by stockholders in November, 2012. All other options were granted under individual plans. Options granted to Messrs. Zeitoun and Carney in 2009 and 2011 were granted to Material Advisors, of which they are members. The amounts shown for those years represent allocations to their individual accounts by Material Advisors

(2) Mr. Krishnamurti was appointed Chief Financial Officer on May 29, 2012.

(3) Mr. Carney was Interim Chief Financial Officer from February 17, 2009 through May 28, 2012. Currently, he is Vice President for Business Development.

Options Exercised and Stock Vested

None of the Named Executive Officers exercised any options, SARs or similar instruments in 2011 and none had any stock awards, vested or unvested.

Nonqualified Defined Contribution and Other Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plans

There are no nonqualified defined contribution and other nonqualified deferred compensation plans for Named Executive Officers.

15

Related Party Transactions

Review, approval or ratification of transactions with related persons

Our Board of Directors has a written policy whereby it reviews any transaction involving the Company and a related party before the transaction or upon any significant change in the transaction or relationship. There are no limitations on the types of transactions subject to review, except for ordinary business travel and entertainment. There are no set standards other than fairness. For these purposes, a related party transaction includes any transaction required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 404 of Regulation S-K of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

2012 and 2013 transactions

Eric Basroon, Vice President of Corporate Development, is a bother-in-law of Andre Zeitoun. In 2012, he received a salary of \$200,000 and was granted 580,931 options with an exercise price of \$1.66 and a Black-Scholes value of \$802,847. In 2013, he is being paid a salary of \$200,000.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

On November 20, 2012, the stockholders of the Company approved the adoption of the Applied Minerals, Inc. 2012 Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP") and the Short-Term Incentive Plan ("STIP") and the performance criteria used in setting performance goals for awards intended to be performance-based under Code Section 162(m). 8,900,000 shares are authorized for issuance under the LTIP. The STIP does not refer to a particular number of shares, but would use the shares authorized in the LTIP for issuance under the STIP. The CEO, the CFO, Named Executive Officers, and directors, among others, are eligible to participate in the LTIP and STIP. Prior to the adoption of the LTIP and STIP, stock options were granted under individual arrangements between the Company and the grantees.

Equity Compensation Information As of December 31, 2012					
	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants, and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))		
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	(a) 3,077,059	(b) \$ 1.66 -	(c) 5,822,941		
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	18,583,341	\$ 1.19	-0-		
Total	21,660,400	\$ 1.26	5,822,941		

Part 4

Compensation analysis and discussion

Objectives and Strategy

The Company's objectives are to develop a range of advanced commercial applications, utilizing the unique halloysite clay resource located at its Dragon Mine property, and to market those applications to industries seeking enhanced product functionality and to market its iron oxides for pigment and other uses. We believe the successful marketing of such applications will generate material profits for the Company, which, in turn, will create significant value for its stockholders. To realize this objective, the Company must attract and retain individuals, including our Named Executive Officers ("Named Executive Officers"), who possess the skill sets and experience needed to effectively develop and implement the business strategies and corporate governance infrastructure necessary to achieve commercial success.

Accordingly, compensation for the Named Executive Officers is designed to:

- Incentivize the Named Executive Officers to lead the Company to profitable operations and to increase stockholder value;
- Link a significant part of NEO compensation to the Company's long-term stock price performance, which aligns the Named Executive Officers' financial interests with those of the Company's stockholders;
- Attract, motivate, and retain qualified employees;
- Motivate the Named Executive Officers to develop long-term careers at the Company and contribute to its future prospects; and
- Permit the Named Executive Officers to remain focused on the development of the Company's business in the midst of actual or potential change-in-control transactions.

The Company seeks to provide competitive compensation that is commensurate with individual and company-wide performance. Accordingly, a significant portion of the total compensation paid to the Named Executive Officers is placed at risk through long-term, equity-based incentives that are designed to encourage the Named Executive Officers to continuously enhance the Company's financial performance and create long-term stockholder value.

The Company does not have a policy concerning minimum ownership, or hedging by executive officers, of Company securities.

The Board did not have a compensation committee ("Compensation Committee") until September, 2012. A Compensation Committee was established on September 6, 2012 and in 2012 its members were Mr. Levy and Mr. Stone. A meeting of the Compensation Committee was held on November 20, 2012, at which time compensation decisions were made. Mr. Taft was also in attendance at that meeting.

Equity Compensation Burn Rate

In evaluating an employee stock plan proposal, ISS, a leading provider of corporate governance solutions to the global financial community, looks at a company's historical use of equity compensation and generally, ISS will recommend a vote against an employee stock plan if the company's average three-year burn rate (an annual burn rate being options and "full value awards" (such awards being valued at 1.5 options for burn rate purposes) divided by weighted average shares outstanding) exceeds the mean plus one standard deviation of the company's global industry classification standard (GICS) group segmented on the basis of whether or not it is in the Russell 3000 index. The aggregate of the mean and one standard deviation is called a "cap." In 2012, the cap for what the Company believes to be the Company's GICs classification (Materials) was 6.04%. The Company's 2012 burn rate was 4.56% and the average burn rate for 2010, 2011, and 2012 was 3.62%.

To our knowledge, ISS has not reviewed our option burn rate practices and has not made any recommendation with respect to any matter submitted to our stockholders for a vote, whether the election of directors or the approval of any plan that provides for the issuance or equity or other compensation.

Compensation of Messrs. Zeitoun and Carney

Mr. Zeitoun has been president and CEO of the Company since 2009 and Mr. Carney was Interim CFO of the Company from February 17, 2009 through May 29, 2012.

Management Agreements with Material Advisors

From 2009-2012, the Company was managed pursuant to management agreements with a limited liability company, Material Advisors, organized solely for the purpose of supplying senior management services to the Company. Material Advisors was required to provide to the Company three senior managers who were the sole members of Material Advisors. Two of these individuals are Mr. Zeitoun and Mr. Carney. The third is Eric Basroon, Vice President of Business Development. The three members of Material Advisors insisted that the Company engage them indirectly, through the entity Material Advisors, which the members believed would provide them an added layer of protection when they assumed the management responsibilities of a company that faced a challenging liquidity situation, an SEC investigation, and a stockholder class action lawsuit.

Under the 2009 and 2012 Management Agreements, the Company compensated Material Advisors in cash and stock options. Given the Company's precarious liquidity situation, its need for a drastic operational turnaround, and the legal challenges it faced, Material Advisors sought a 10.5% equity stake (through an far out-of-the-money option grant) to assume what it considered to be a significant managerial challenge. Cognizant of the Company's need to preserve cash, Material Advisors agreed to pay certain corporate expenditures out of its management fee over the term of the 2009 Management Agreement. Additionally, Material Advisors agreed to set the exercise price of its initial option grant significantly above (500%) the market price of the Company's Common Stock to demonstrate to the Company and its largest stockholder (who had been, and would continue to be, a source of capital for the Company) its commitment to the Company.

Allocations by Material Advisors

Material Advisors, in its sole discretion, allocated amounts of the compensation it received from the Company to its three members. Material Advisors was under no obligation to tell the Company how allocations were made to its three members and, until January 2012, the Company did not know such allocations. With the exception of the bonus granted to Mr. Zeitoun in November, 2012 for 2012 performance (granted to him directly and not to Material Advisors), the members used Material Advisors as a means of bargaining as a group and they made allocations of cash and stock options by agreement among themselves. The Board's inability to control allocations effectively took the issue of internal pay equity among members of Material Advisors out of the hands of the Board.

2009 Management Agreement

The management agreement between the Company and Material Advisors for the 2009-2011 period ("2009 Management Agreement") provides useful background for understanding the Board's actions in connection with 2012 compensation. The 2009 Management Agreement was negotiated in late 2008 at a time when the Company faced a precarious liquidity situation, required new capital, had generated little revenue. had no near-term revenue prospects, had no operations, was delinquent for over a year in its SEC reporting obligations, was operating without a permanent management team, and faced both a securities law class action and an SEC investigation.

The compensatory terms of the 2009 Management Agreement were, in large part, influenced by the significant operational challenges and financial risks that Material Advisors would face as management of the Company.

Under the 2009 Management Agreement, the cash compensation to Material Advisors was \$1 million per year. Of that amount, Material Advisors was required to pay certain of the Company's expenses (marketing, office rent, and travel and entertainment, among others) ("Company Expenses"). From 2009 through 2011, the Company Expenses

assumed by Material Advisors averaged \$450,000 annually. The Board did not know these amounts until January, 2012.

Under the 2009 Management Agreement, Material Advisors was granted an option to purchase 6,583,271 shares of the Company's Common Stock. The option vested over a three-year period. The number of shares of Common Stock subject to the options was selected so that the option, vesting over a three-year period, would give Material Advisors the right to acquire 10% of the total outstanding shares of Common Stock determined as of January 1, 2009, on a fully diluted basis (including the shares subject to the grant of options to Material Advisors). The options were granted with an exercise price of \$0.70 per share at a time when the market price of the Company's Common Stock was \$0.14 per share or 500% out-of-the-money. The Black-Scholes value of the option was \$379,855 at the date of grant.

Material Advisors allocated the cash compensation it received from the Company to its members after payment of Company Expenses. From 2009-2011, Mr. Zeitoun's cash compensation allocated from Material Advisors averaged \$288,000 per year and Mr. Carney's averaged \$125,000 per year. Material Advisors allocated the 60% of the option to Mr. Zeitoun and 20% to Mr. Carney. The remaining cash and options were allocated to Mr. Basroon.

For purposes of the 2009 Management Agreement, compensation to Material Advisors was not benchmarked against peers. In addition to the obvious difficulty of benchmarking compensation under a management agreement designed to compensate three individuals (as opposed to benchmarking an individual's compensation), benchmarking compensation against peers was not meaningful as the creation of an applicable peer group was very difficult given the unique challenges facing the Company.

The directors believed that conditioning all or part of Material Advisors' compensation on the achievement of performance goals appeared inappropriate given that under the circumstances (such circumstances including no operations, no revenues, no near- or mid-term prospects of revenues, among others) and the directors believed that there was no real basis for establishing and prioritizing performance goals and time periods for their achievement. At the time, Material Advisors was engaged to manage the Company, the financial and legal fates of Applied Minerals were so uncertain that the only performance goal that could be defined and measured was the Company's continuation or non-continuation as a going concern.

The Board, due to the Company's tenuous financial condition, decided it was prudent to heavily weight Material Advisors' overall compensation package provided in the 2009 Management Agreement toward stock options that would have value only if the market price of the Company's stock increased significantly. In light of the extreme out-of-the-money nature of the options, the compensation package can be seen as heavily weighted toward performance pay.

The Company did not use a compensation consultant in connection with the 2009 Management Agreement or benchmark compensation. With respect to the extension for 2011, because all unvested options would vest if the 2009 Management Agreement were not extended for 2011 and the 2011 compensation was already negotiated, the use of a compensation consultant or benchmarking for 2011, would have been, in the judgment of the Board, not useful.

2012 Compensation

In February 2011, the Board agreed to a management agreement with Material Advisors LLC for the year 2012 ("2012 Management Agreement"). The 2012 Management Agreement provided for cash compensation of \$1 million. The \$1 million for 2012 was the same annual amount as was paid in the 2009-2011 period. The 2012 Management Agreement required Material Advisors to Company Expenses (just as Material Advisors did under the 2009 Management Agreement), but Material Advisors was subsequently relieved of the responsibility, as described below.

The 2012 Management Agreement also provided for the grant of an option to acquire 2,907,653 shares of the Company's Common Stock. The per share exercise price was the current market price on the date of grant (\$0.83 per share).

The February 2011 option grant was priced at the money as compared to the significantly out-of-the-money option granted in December 2008. Given the operational progress and improved financial status achieved by management, the Board and Material Advisors agreed there would be no reason to grant an out-of-the-money option to Material Advisors in order for Material Advisors to demonstrate its commitment to the Company.

The Black-Scholes value of the options on the grant date was \$1,969,395. In considering the number of options to be granted, the Board focused primarily on the number of options as a percentage of the fully diluted shares as opposed to the Black-Scholes value.

In February 2011, the Board did not know how Material Advisors allocated cash and stock options to its members or the amount of Company Expenses borne by Material Advisors.

In February 2011, the Board of Directors desired to lock in an agreement for the future services of Material Advisors in order to assure continuity of management. At the same time, Material Advisors was anxious to resolve any uncertainty about its future role with the Company. Both sides believed that it would be appropriate and more efficient to model the 2012 compensation package based on the 2009 Management Agreement.

Although significant progress had been made by Material Advisors in resolving some of the many problems facing the Company, benchmarking against peers continued to seem inappropriate because of the difficulties in finding not only other companies managed under an agreement to supply senior executives to the Company but also other companies facing the same or a similar array of problems.

Although management had significantly resolved many of the legacy issues that had burdened the Company, it was still a pre-revenue operation focused on creating products that were to be sold into new markets. As such, establishing specific performance goals and associated timelines to evaluate management would not be feasible. Accordingly, the compensation package did not link compensation, including vesting of stock options, to the achievement of predetermined performance goals.

The Board believed that the compensation package was designed to reward short-term performance and to encourage employee retention through the salary portion of the compensation package and to reward long-term performance and sustained growth in stock price through the significant amount of shares of Common Stock available for purchase under the options granted to Material Advisors. The cash portion was considered inadequate for the efforts and skill that the three members of Material Advisors would bring to the task.

In January 2012, the Board learned for the first time the amounts of Company Expenses that Material Advisors had been responsible for in 2009-2011 and the allocations that had been made by Material Advisors. It also learned that 2012 compensation to Material Advisors would be allocated 60% to Mr. Zeitoun and 20% to Mr. Carney. The other 20% of 2012 compensation was to be allocated to Mr. Basroon.

In December 2011, the Company had raised \$10 million through the sale of Common Stock and warrants, without the use of an investment banker, in a complex transaction designed to limit dilution. Thereafter, the Board believed that for the first time there were sufficient funds to reward Material Advisors appropriately for its past efforts. The size of the cash bonus granted in January, 2012 was determined, in large part, on the amount of money Material Advisors saved the Company through (i) providing Mr. Carney to serve as Interim CFO, thereby saving the Company the expense of having to hire and compensate a CFO not affiliated with Material Advisors and (ii) the fees the Company avoided paying as a result of the members of Material Advisors raising approximately \$21.6 million capital for the Company without the aid of an agent or broker.

After negotiations with Mr. Zeitoun on behalf of Material Advisors, the Board agreed that it was appropriate to relieve Material Advisors of its obligation to continue to pay Company Expenses (which are noted above). Relieving Material Advisors of this obligation had the effect of increasing the amount of cash distributable in 2012 by Material Advisors to its three members from approximately \$550,000 (based on 2009-2011 experience) to \$1 million. The Board also agreed to grant Material Advisors a bonus of \$750,000 in respect of outstanding performance in the 2009-2011 period. The bonus was discretionary and was not based on the achievement of any pre-determined performance standards. This \$750,000 bonus was also allocated 60% to Mr. Zeitoun (\$450,000) and the remaining 40% allocated to Messrs. Carney and Basroon. In making these compensation decisions, the Board took into account the substantial progress that the Company had made during the 2009-2011 period, the fact that the market price of the Common Stock had increased 1200% during the period of the 2009 Management Agreement, and the Company's improved financial condition (having raised \$10 million in December 2011 through the sale of stock and warrants). The Board believed that it was important to make up for what had seemed to be inadequate past compensation in order to further motivate the members of Material Advisors to perform services for the Company in the future. For the same reasons as noted above in connection with compensation decisions in February 2011, the Board did not believe that use of a compensation consultant or benchmarking or tying any part of cash compensation to the achievement of performance goals was appropriate.

On November 20, 2012, the Board and Material Advisors decided that the Company would deal with the three members of Material Advisors individually and, beginning in 2013, would not engage them through Material Advisors.

On November 20, 2012, the Board determined to grant Mr. Zeitoun a \$400,000 cash bonus for exceptional 2012 performance, payable in the first week of 2013. The Board believed that the additional cash bonus was an appropriate means of motivating and retaining Mr. Zeitoun. The bonus was discretionary and was not based on the achievement of any pre-determined performance standards. On Mr. Zeitoun's recommendation, no bonuses for 2012 were awarded to the other members of Material Advisors.

Mr. Zeitoun's compensation for 2012 as reported in the Summary Compensation Table was significantly in excess of the compensation of the next highest paid NEO and the average of compensation of three Named Executive Officers other than Mr. Zeitoun. But as noted in the footnotes to the Summary Compensation Table and in this CD&A, \$450,000 of the 2012 compensation was paid for performance in prior years and \$400,000 was awarded in 2012 but payable in 2013. To the extent that differentials in compensation between Mr. Zeitoun and the other Named Executive Officers could raise issues of internal pay equity, in the opinion of the Board, Mr. Zeitoun's compensation was fully justified by his expertise, his role in raising capital from investors without the use of investment bankers, his general management of the Company, his role in the marketing and sales efforts of the Company and by the fact that his efforts far exceed the contributions and efforts of other employees.

In February 2011, the Board believed that the structure of the compensation package was heavily weighted toward "pay-for-performance" in that the options incentivized the members of Material Advisors to manage the Company in a manner such that the market price of the Common Stock would increase. The Board did not believe that the increases in cash compensation in January 2012 and (for Mr. Zeitoun) in November 2012 undermined the essential incentive structure of the compensation package because (i) the level of his cash compensation is less than what he had earned in past years and (ii) such a significant percentage of his future compensation is represented by his option grants. The increases did bring the cash component more in line with the contribution and efforts of management.

2013 Compensation

On November 20, 2012, the Board agreed to compensate the three members of Material Advisors directly beginning with calendar year 2013 and determined the 2013 compensation for such persons. The 2013 cash compensation for Mr. Zeitoun would be \$600,000. Mr. Carney was no longer a Named Executive Officer. Options to purchase 1,742,581 shares of Common Stock were granted to Mr. Zeitoun (with a Black-Scholes value of \$2,408,539). The options vest over the period of the employment agreements (2013), vesting on the first day of the month following a month of the employment agreement beginning February 1, 2013 with the last vesting on January 1, 2014. The options have an exercise price equal to market price of the Common Stock on the date of grant. The Board also agreed that Mr. Zeitoun would be eligible for a target bonus for 2013 of \$400,000 but that the amount could be more or less depending on Mr. Zeitoun's 2013 performance. The criteria for determining performance will be decided at the time the Board makes a decision as to whether to award a bonus. These criteria may include quantitative and qualitative considerations such as sales, entering to arrangements with joint ventures and similar "reach through" arrangements, increases in market capitalization, development of corporate staff, management of plant expansions.

To assist in determining 2013 compensation for Mr. Zeitoun, the Compensation Committee sought advice from a compensation consultant from Hay Associates.

The compensation consultant used market data in his analysis that was drawn from the following sources: public peer group in the mining and metals sector (13 companies; Comstock Mining Inc., General Moly Inc., Gold Reserve Inc., Golden Minerals Co., Midway Gold Corp, Mines Management Inc, Paramount Gold and Silver, Prospect Global Resources, Rare Element Resources Ltd, Revett Minerals Inc. Ruby Creek Resources Inc., Searchlight Minerals Corp, and Vista Gold Corp) and specialty chemical sector (7 companies; Monarch Cement Co, Northern Tech Intl,

ADA-ES Inc, Chase Corp, Material Sciences Corp, Senonyx Corp, and Zoltek Cos Inc); the 2012 Hay Group Global Mining Compensation Review for pay-mix purposes; and a sample of companies recently completing an initial public offering as set forth in the Hay Group IPO Reporter (Matador Resources Company, Bonanza Creek Energy, Inc., Carbonite, Inc, C&J Energy, SunCoke Energy, KiOR, Compressco Partners, L.P., Solazyme, Inc., Energy Partners LP, International Corp., Kinder Morgan, Inc., Gevo, Inc., Primo Water Corporation, Amyris, Inc., Molycorp, Inc., Oasis Petroleum LLC, Aluminum Holding Corporation, Douglas Dynamics, Inc., Global Geophysical Services, Inc., Metals USA Holdings Corp., Graham Packaging Company Inc., Cellu Tissue Holdings, Inc.) The consultant stated that the analysis focused on annual compensation mix and levels relative to market as well as CEO equity ownership relative to other companies recently completing an initial public offering. The basis for selecting peer group companies consisted of the following factors: assets, market capitalization, nature of the business, the talent market, complexity, and the market share.

Compared to the peer group companies in the mining sector as well as the specialty chemicals sector in the IPO Reporter sample, the Board determined that Mr. Zeitoun's 2012 compensation was above the 75th percentile. It also determined that Mr. Zeitoun's 2012 pay mix (as among salary, bonus, and options) was generally well aligned with the pay mixes in the samples noted above.

The consultant stated that there is no perfect model for determining what the appropriate compensation for a CEO should be. He said that the Compensation Committee would have to review the data presented in his report and use its business judgment to create a package that would be motivational to the CEO, and also retain him, as the Board believed that the CEO is key to the success of the business. The compensation consultant also said that while the Company's CEO position may have a certain "market value," it is imperative that the Committee should also consider the contribution and value that Mr. Zeitoun brings to the position and, in particular, the facts that (i) Mr. Zeitoun has raised substantial sums of money without the Company's technology development to further commercialize its products, and (iii) serving multiple roles (CEO and COO).

Members of the Board noted differences between the Company and many of the mining companies in the peer group. In particular, many of the peer group companies were gold companies. It noted that entities involved in the gold-mining business are not engaged in businesses that have anywhere near the complexity of the Company's business. In particular, the Board noted that gold companies have a product with a ready market; thus, they do not have to develop a market, as the Company must do. The Board also noted that the stockholder return under Mr. Zeitoun's leadership and, in particular, the Company's market capitalization, had increased from \$10 million to \$150 million under Mr. Zeitoun's leadership. Mr. Zeitoun indicated that he believed that for peer-group comparison purposes, the Company was more like a developmental pharmaceutical company. Other members of the Board also agreed that the Company was more like developmental pharmaceutical, biotech and software companies.

The consultant indicated that he thought that "in this market" compensation for the CEO was \$1.2 to \$1.3 million, split 50/50 between cash and equity. The Board said that the consultant thought a higher amount was not unjustifiable and not inconsistent with what the directors believe to be appropriate.

In response, the consultant noted that the Compensation Committee could take into account a number of factors related to the business and to individual circumstances, including the fact that Mr. Zeitoun raises money for the business without receiving commissions and he has the "contacts" that drive and support the business.

The Board, without Mr. Zeitoun, independently considered Mr. Zeitoun's value to the Company. The Board believes that he is extremely knowledgeable about the Company's mineralization and its mining and processing activities; is crucial to its marketing and sales activities, provides strategic and day-to-day guidance to the Company, and is indispensible to, and not immediately replaceable by, the Company. Finally, the Board also considered the increase in the market price of the Common Stock during Mr. Zeitoun's tenure, an increase of 1,600%, which the Board largely attributed to Mr. Zeitoun and his leadership. On the basis of the foregoing, the Board decided Mr. Zeitoun's 2013

compensation, as set forth above.

Compensation of Mr. Gleeson

William Gleeson, our General Counsel, was hired as of September 15, 2011. Mr. Gleeson's three-year employment agreement provides for annual cash compensation of \$200,000 plus a 10-year option to purchase up to 900,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.90 per share, which was above the \$1.74 market price on the date of grant, September 15, 2011. The Black-Scholes value of the options was \$1,370,340 on the date of grant. The option vests in 36 monthly installments beginning September 15, 2011. Mr. Gleeson's employment may be terminated at will. The Company did not use a compensation consultant in connection with the employment agreement.

The compensation package in the employment agreement reflects Mr. Gleeson's familiarity with the Company and its legal issues resulting from his representation of the Company beginning in January, 2008. The relative mix of cash and options reflects the Company's strained cash position and its near-term revenue prospects at the time of the hiring, with the number of options designed to compensate for the relatively low cash compensation. The vesting of the options was not tied to any performance standards because at the time, given the Company's limited amount of sales and its lack of profitability, it was deemed that the meaningful performance standards could not be established. For the same reason, the Company did not establish performance standards for bonus awards. The Company did not use compensation consultants in connection with Mr. Gleeson's compensation and did not benchmark compensation. No cash bonus was awarded to Mr. Gleeson.

On November 20, 2012, the Board, on the recommendation of Mr., Zeitoun, awarded Mr. Gleeson 72,406 stock options with a Black-Scholes value of \$100,065 because of exemplary performance in 2012.

Compensation of Mr. Krishnamurti

In May, 2012, the Company, on the recommendation of Mr. Zeitoun, hired Mr. Krishnamurti under a three year employment agreement calling for an annual salary \$180,000 and an option grant to purchase 300,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with Black-Scholes value of \$400,200 determined on the date of grant. The terms, including the compensation amounts and the number of options, as well as the mix between those elements, were negotiated by the parties and the Board believed that the compensation package reflected Mr. Krishnamuti's expertise and experience, and gave him appropriate long-term incentive through the stock options.

Tax and Accounting Treatment of Compensation

Deductibility Cap on Executive Compensation

The Compensation Committee is aware that Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code treats certain elements of executive compensation in excess of \$1 million a year as an expense not deductible by the Company for federal income tax purposes. The Compensation Committee reserves the right to pay compensation that may be non-deductible to the Company if it determines that it would be in the best interests of the Company

Tax and Accounting Treatment of Options

We are required to recognize in our financial statements compensation cost arising from the issuance of stock options. GAAP requires that such that compensation cost is determined using fair value principles (we use the Black-Scholes method of valuation) and is recognized in our financial statements over the requisite service period of an instrument (for 2012 and prior years, generally we used the vesting period for employee and consultant options and

warrants). However, the tax deduction is only recorded on our tax return when the option is exercised. The tax benefit received at exercise and recognized in our tax return is generally equal to the intrinsic value of the option on the date of exercise.

Compensation of Policies and Practices as they relate to Risk Management

The Company does not believe that its compensation policies and practices (cash compensation and at-the-market or above-market five- and ten-year options without performance standards), are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company, as they relate to risk management practices and risk-taking incentives

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee (as it was constituted at the time the Compensation Discussion and Analysis was prepared in March, 2013, Mr. Concha having been appointed in September, 2013)) has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management and based on such review and discussions, the Board of Directors recommended and determined to include this Proxy Statement.

John Levy Evan Stone

Part 5 Information concerning independent registered public accountant

Independent Registered Accountant for 2013

EisnerAmper LLP was the Company's independent accountant for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012 and has selected by our Board of Directors as the Company's independent accountant for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013. The Board asks stockholders to ratify that selection. Although current law, rules, and regulations require the Board of Directors to engage, retain, and supervise the Company's independent auditor, the Board considers the selection of the independent auditor to be an important matter of stockholder concern and is submitting the selection of EisnerAmper LLP for ratification by stockholders as a matter of good corporate practice.

The affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares of Common Stock cast in person or by proxy at the meeting is required to approve the ratification of the selection of EisnerAmper LLP as the Company's independent auditor for the current fiscal year. If a majority of votes cast does not ratify the selection of EisnerAmper LLP, the Board of Directors will consider the result a recommendation to consider the selection of a different firm.

Representatives of the EisnerAmper LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting, will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so, and such representatives are expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Fees to Accountants

The following table presents fees for audit services rendered by EisnerAmper LLP and PMB Helin Donovan, the independent auditors for the audits of the Company's annual consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Tax services were provided by EisnerAmper LLP in 2012 and PMB Helin Donovan in the 2011.

	Year ended		
	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011	
Audit Fees (1) Audit-Related Fees	\$166,352	\$ 78,080	
Tax Fees (2) All Other Fees	7,500	4,225	
Total	\$173,852	\$ 82,305	

- (1) PMB Helin Donovan LLP was our auditor for the 2011 year-end audit and the first quarter of 2012 review. EisnerAmper LLP replaced PMB Helin Donovan LLP starting with the second quarter 2012 review.
 - (2) Tax fees represent the aggregate fees paid for professional services, principally including fees for tax compliance and tax advice.

Dismissal of PMB Helin Donavan LLP and Engagement of Eisner Amper LLP

On June 14, 2012, the Company, at the direction of the Board of Directors, dismissed PMB Helin Donovan LLP as its independent accountant. The directors believed that as the Company transitioned the primary place of its financial recordkeeping and accounting functions from Idaho (which was the place of the Company's headquarters until 2009) to New York City, it was appropriate to move the auditing function from Spokane, Washington to New York City.

During 2010, 2011 and through the date of dismissal in 2012,

- a. There were no disagreements with PMB Helin Donovan LLP on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, which disagreement(s), if not resolved to the satisfaction of PMB Helin Donovan LLP, would have caused it to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreement(s) in connection with its report.
- b. PMB Helin Donovan LLP did not advise the Company that the internal controls necessary for the Company to develop reliable financial statements did not exist except that in its attestation report as of December 31, 2011, PMB Helin Donovan LLP indicated the Company did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 because of the effect of a material weaknesses on the achievement of the objectives of the control criteria and contains an explanatory paragraph that states:

(The Board of Directors acting as audit committee discussed the above matters with PMB Helin Donovan LLP and authorized EisnerAmper to discuss such matters with PMB Helin Donovan LLP.)

- c. PMB Helin Donovan LLP did not advise the Company that information had come to the PMB Helin Donovan LLP's attention that has led it to no longer be able to rely on management's representations, or that had made it unwilling to be associated with the financial statements prepared by management;
- d. PMB Helin Donovan LLP did not advise the Company of the need to expand significantly the scope of its audit, or that information has come to PMB Helin Donovan LLP's attention, that, if further investigated, may have:
 - i. Materially impacted the fairness or reliability of either: a previously issued audit report or the underlying financial statements; or the financial statements issued or to be issued covering the fiscal period(s) subsequent to the date of the most recent financial statements covered by an audit report (including information that may prevent it from rendering an unqualified audit report on those financial statements), or
 - ii. Caused it to be unwilling to rely on management's representations or be associated with the Company's financial statements

PMB Helin Donovan LLP did not advise the Company that information had come to PMB Helin Donovan LLP's attention that it concluded materially impacted the fairness or reliability of either (i) a previously issued audit report or the underlying financial statements, or (ii) the financial statements issued or to be issued covering the fiscal period(s) subsequent to the date of the most recent financial statements covered by an audit report (including information that, unless resolved to the accountant's satisfaction, would prevent it from rendering an unqualified audit report on those financial statements).

On June 15, 2012, the Company provided PMB Helin Donovan LLP with a copy of the statements made above and requested PMB Helin Donovan LLP to furnish the Company with a letter addressed to the Securities and Exchange Commission stating whether it agreed with the statements and, if not, stating the respects in which it does not agree. PMB Helin Donovan LLP did not provide any such letter.

On June 18, 2012, the Company engaged EisnerAmper LLP as its independent accountant. The Company did not consult EisnerAmper in 2010, 2011, or 2012) (prior to its engagement), regarding:

(i) The application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed; or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on the Company's financial statements; or (ii) Any matter that was either the subject of a disagreement (as defined in paragraph 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K and the related instructions) or a reportable event (as described in paragraph 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K, there being no such disagreements or reportable events.

Policy on Board of Directors' Pre-Approval of Audit an Non-Audit Services of Independent Auditors

The Board of Directors, acting as an audit committee, has adopted a policy for the pre-approval of all audit and non-audit services provided by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The policy is designed to ensure that the provision of these services does not impair the registered public accounting firm's independence. Under the policy, any services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm, including audit, audit-related, tax and other services must be specifically pre-approved by the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors does not delegate responsibilities to pre-approve services performed by the independent registered public accounting firm to management. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, all services provided by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm were subject to pre-approval by the Board of Directors.

Part 6 Additional important information

Beneficial Stock Ownership: Directors, Named Executive Officers, and 5% Holders.

The following table sets forth, as of October 2, 2013, information regarding the beneficial ownership of our Common Stock with respect to each of the Named Executive Officers, each of our directors, each person known by us to own beneficially more than 5% of the Common Stock, and all of our directors and Named Executive Officers as a group. Each individual or entity named has sole investment and voting power with respect to shares of Common Stock indicated as beneficially owned by them, subject to community property laws, where applicable, except where otherwise noted. The percentage of Common Stock beneficially owned is based on 94,548,052 shares of Common Stock outstanding as of October 2, 2013 plus a person's shares subject to options or warrants granted that are exercisable or will be exercisable within 60 days of October 2, 2013.

	Name and Address (1)	Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned (2)	Percentage of Common Stock Beneficially Owned
J	lohn Levy (2) (3) (5)	580,957	*
ľ	Mario Concha (2)	7,715	*
H	Evan Stone (2) (3) (6)	314,570	*
Ι	David Taft (3) (7) (8)	22,331,153	23.6%
ŀ	Andre Zeitoun (2) (3) (4) (5)	8,762,899	8.6%
(9)		
ľ	Nat Krishnamurti (2) (4) (10)	365,000	*
V	William Gleeson (2) (4) (11)	772,406	*
All Named Executive Officers		33,120,678	31.9%
Е	and and Directors as a Group		
Ι	BS Capital, LLC (9)	22,339,153	23.6%
S	Samlyn Capital LLC (12)	15,000,000	15.1%
J	lames Berylson (13)	6,534,524	6.7%
ľ	Material Advisors, LLC (15)	9,487,930	9.1%

* Less than 1%

- (1)Unless otherwise indicated, the address of the persons named in this column is c/o Applied Minerals, Inc., 110 Greene Street, Suite 1101, New York, NY 10012.
- (2)Included in this calculation are shares deemed beneficially owned by virtue of the individual's right to acquire them within 60 days of the date of October 2, 2013 as determined pursuant to Rule 13d-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

(3)Director.

- (4)Executive officer.
- (5)Mr. Levy's holdings include vested options, and options that will vest within 60 days of October 2, 2013, to purchase 485,000 shares of Common Stock at

exercise prices between \$0.70 and \$1.66. 125,000 were issued in March, 2009, 60,000 issued in March, 2010, 100,000 issued in March, 2011, and 100,000 issued in March, 2012 and these have terms of five years. 100,000 options issued to Mr. Levy in January, 2013 have a term of 10 years.

- (6)Mr. Stone's holdings include options to purchase 200,481 shares of Common Stock at \$0.83 per share. The term of the options is five years and expires in February, 2016.
- (7)Mr. Taft is the president of IBS Capital LLC. He has beneficial ownership of shares owned by funds of which IBS Capital LLC is the general partner or investment manager, having sole voting and investment power. See footnote 8.
- (8)IBS Capital, LLC, One International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110, is the beneficial owner of shares held by funds it manages by virtue of the right to vote and dispose of the securities. The IBS Turnaround Fund (QP) (A Limited Partnership) owns 12,306,847 shares (13.0% of shares outstanding). The IBS Turnaround Fund (A Limited Partnership) owns 6,102,712 shares (6.5% of outstanding shares). The IBS Opportunity Fund, Ltd. owns 3,921,594 shares (4.1% of outstanding shares).
- (9)Number of shares includes 7,435,550 shares issuable to Andre Zeitoun on the exercise of options that have vested or will vest within 60 days of October 2, 2013. Exercise prices range from \$.7- to \$1.66.3,949,966 options will expire in December, 2018. 1,742,792 options will expire in January, 2022 and 1,742,792 options will expire in November, 2022. 5,692,758 shares issuable through the exercise of these options are attributable to his ownership interest in Material Advisors, LLC of Mr. Zeitoun. See footnote 14.
- (10)Mr. Krishnamurti's holdings include options to purchase 300,000 shares of Common Stock at \$1.55 per share, expiring in May, 2022 and options to purchase 65,000 shares of Common Stock at \$1.35 per share, expiring in May, 2023.
- (11)Number of shares includes 772,406 shares issuable to Mr. Gleeson the exercise of 10-year options that have vested or will vest within 60 days of October 2, 2013. Exercise prices range from \$1.66 to \$1.90.
- (12)Based upon the Schedule 13D filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 3, 2012, Samlyn Capital, LLC, 500 Park Avenue, 2nd Floor, New York, N.Y. 10022, is the beneficial owner of shares of held by funds it manages by virtue of the right to vote and dispose of the securities. The 13D indicated that Samlyn Onshore Fund, L.P. owned 3,850,000 shares and a warrant to purchase 1,925,000 shares of Common Stock for \$2.00 per share and Samlyn Offshore Master Fund, Ltd., owned 6,150,000 shares of Common Stock and a warrant to purchase 3,075,000 shares of Common Stock for \$2.00 per share. The ownership of Samlyn Onshore Fund, L.P. is 6.0% and the ownership of Samlyn Offshore Master Fund, Ltd. is 9.5%, in each case adjusted for the dilutive effect of the warrants held by both funds. Robert Pohly is the president of Samlyn Capital, LLC. He has beneficial ownership of shares owned by funds of which Samlyn Capital, LLC is the general partner or investment manager, Mr. Pohly having sole voting and investment power.
- (13)Based upon the Schedule 13D filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 1, 2013, James Berylson, 33 Arch Street, Suite 3300, Boston, MA 02110, beneficially owns 3,577,381 shares of Common Stock and an additional 2,857,143 shares issuable on conversion of \$4.0 million principal amount of 10% Convertible PIK Notes due 2023.

(14)Holdings are vested options to purchase 9,487,530 shares of Common Stock at prices between \$0.70 and \$0.83 per share. 6,583,277 options will expire in December, 2018 and 2,904,653 options will expire in January, 2022. Mr. Zeitoun is a member of Material Advisors. Options to purchase 5,692,758 shares have been allocated to his account in accordance with his ownership interest in Material Advisors and the remaining options have been allocated to the accounts of the other members.

Section 16(a) beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our officers, directors, and any person who beneficially owns more than 10% of our Common Stock to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Executive officers, directors, and more than 10% stockholders are required by regulation to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms which they file. During 2012, certain of our directors and executive officers filed Forms 3 or Forms 4 with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The information on these filings reflects the current ownership position of all such individuals. To the best of our knowledge, during 2012 all such filings by our officers and executive directors were made timely, except that Mr. Levy's, Mr. Zeitoun's and Mr. Krishnamurti's filings was late as a result of a Company administrative errors.

Proposals of Stockholders for 2014 Annual Meeting

No determination has been made as to when the 2014 Annual Meeting will be held. We will file a Current Report on Form 8-K when that determination is made.

Proposals made under Rule 14a-8

SEC rule 14a-8 indicates the date or time frames that a proposal must be submitted to the Company in order to be included in the Company's proxy statement and on the Company's proxy card pursuant to the provisions of Rule 14a-8 for the 2014 Annual Meeting. at our principal executive offices at Suite 1101, 110 Greene Street, New York, NY 10012 by the following dates. If the 2014 meeting is held within 30 days of the date of the anniversary of the 2013 Annual Meeting, a stockholder's Rule 14a-8 proposal must be received no later than the close of business on June 15, 2014. If it is held more than 30 days from the date of the anniversary of the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, it must be received a reasonable time before the Company begins to print and send its proxy materials for the 2014 Annual Meeting.

Proposals made under the Company's Bylaws

The Company's Bylaws provide means for stockholders to submit proposals that are not submitted under SEC Rule 14a-8 or to make director nominations. Under the Company's Bylaws, stockholders who wish to make nominations or to submit proposals that are not submitted pursuant to SEC Rule 14a-8 for the 2014 Annual Meeting must provide notice that is delivered to or mailed and received at the principal executive offices of the Company:

- by the close of business 60 days in advance of the anniversary of the 2013 Annual Meeting (which would be October 5, 2014) if such meeting is to be held during the period November 4, 2014 to November 28, 2014;
- (2) 90 days in advance (which would be September 5, 2014), if such meeting is to be held on or after November 29, 2014; and
- (3) with respect to any other Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the close of business on the tenth day following the date of public disclosure of the date of such meeting.

The Company will not consider at the 2014 Annual Meeting any proposal or nomination that does not meet the SEC requirements or the Bylaw requirements, as the case may be.

Part 7

Proposals to be voted on at the meeting

Election of Directors

Five directors have been nominated for election at the Annual Meeting to hold office until the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The nominees were evaluated and recommended by the Board of Directors.

The directors will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast, meaning the directors receiving the largest number of "for" votes will be elected to the open positions.

Our Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the election to the Board of each of the following nominees:

Name and Position with the Company	Age	Director/Officer Since	Principal Occupation
John Levy	57	Non-Executive Chairman since August, 2009 and Director since January, 2008	CEO of Board Advisory
Mario Concha		Director since September, 2013	
Evan Stone	40	Director since August, 2009	Partner, Lee & Stone
David Taft	56	Director since October, 2008	President, IBS Capital LLC
Andre Zeitoun	40	Director since January, 2009	President & CEO, Applied Minerals, Inc.

Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

As required by Section 14A of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, we are asking for your advisory vote on the following resolution (the "Say-on-Pay" resolution):

Resolved, that the stockholders approve, in a nonbinding vote, the compensation of the Company's Named Executive Officers, as disclosed in Parts 3 and 4 of this proxy statement.

We currently hold our Say-on-Pay vote every year. Stockholders will have an opportunity to cast an advisory vote on the frequency of Say-on-Pay votes at least every six years. The next advisory vote on the frequency of the Say-on-Pay vote will occur no later than 2018.

The Company's compensation objectives are to develop a range of advanced commercial applications, utilizing the unique halloysite clay resource located at its Dragon Mine property, and market those applications to industries seeking enhanced product functionality and to market its iron oxides for pigment and other uses. We believe the successful marketing of such applications will generate material profits for the Company, which, in turn, will create significant value for its stockholders. To realize this objective, the Company must attract and retain individuals, including our Named Executive Officers, who possess the skill sets and experience needed to effectively develop and implement the business strategies and corporate governance infrastructure necessary to achieve commercial success.

Accordingly, compensation for the Named Executive Officers is designed to:

- Incentivize the Named Executive Officers to lead the Company to profitable operations and to increase stockholder value;
- Link a significant part of Named Executive Officer compensation to the Company's long-term stock price performance, which aligns the Named Executive Officers' financial interests with those of the Company's stockholders;
- Attract, motivate, and retain qualified employees;
- Motivate the Named Executive Officers to develop long-term careers at the Company and contribute to its future prospects; and
- Permit the Named Executive Officers to remain focused on the development of the Company's business in the midst of actual or potential change-in-control transactions.

The Company seeks to provide competitive compensation that is commensurate with individual and Company-wide performance. Accordingly, a significant portion of the total compensation paid to the Named Executive Officers is placed at risk through long-term, equity-based incentives that are designed to encourage the Named Executive Officers to continuously enhance the Company's financial performance and create long-term stockholder value.

Our Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR approval, on a non-binding, advisory basis, of the compensation paid tour Named Executive Officers.

Ratification of Independent Auditor

The Board of Directors, acting as the audit committee, has selected EisnerAmper LLP as the Company's independent auditor for fiscal year 2013, and the Board asks stockholders to ratify that selection.

Our Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR ratification of the independent auditor.

*** Exercise Your Right to Vote *** Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the

Stockholder Meeting to Be Held on December 5, 2013.

APPLIED MINERALS, INC.

Meeting Information

Meeting Type: Annual Meeting

For holders as of:

October 11, 2013

Date: December 5, 2013

Time: 3:00 p.m., ET

Location:

Concierge Conference Center 780 Third Avenue New York, NY 10017

Meeting live via the Internet-please visit www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/AMNL2013.

M63656-P44081 APPLIED MINERALS, INC.

110 GREENE ST. SUITE 1101

NEW YORK, NY 10012

You are receiving this communication because you are a record owner of shares in Applied Minerals, Inc.

This is not a ballot. You cannot use this notice to vote these shares. This communication presents only an overview of the more complete proxy materials that are available to you on the Internet. You may view the proxy materials online at www.proxyvote.com or easily request a paper copy (see reverse side).

We encourage you to access and review all of the important information contained in the proxy materials before voting.

See the reverse side of this notice to obtain proxy materials and voting instructions.

Before You Vote How to Access the Proxy Materials

Proxy Materials Available to VIEW or RECEIVE:

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS PROXY STATEMENT ANNUAL REPORT TO STOCKHOLDERS

How to View Online:

Have the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow XXXX XXXX XXXX following page) and visit www.proxyvote.com.

How to Request and Receive a PAPER or E-MAIL Copy:

(located on the

If you want to receive a paper or e-mail copy of these documents, you must request one. There is NO charge for requesting a copy. Please choose one of the following methods to make your request: 1) BY INTERNET: www.proxyvote.com 2) BY TELEPHONE: 1-800-579-1639 3) BY E-MAIL*: sendmaterial@proxyvote.com

* If requesting materials by e-mail, please send a blank e-mail with the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow XXXX XXXX XXXX

(located on the following page) in the subject line.

Requests, instructions and other inquiries sent to this e-mail address will NOT be forwarded to your investment advisor. Please make the request as instructed above on or before November 21, 2013 to facilitate timely delivery.

How To Vote

Please Choose One of the Following Voting Methods

Vote In Person: At the meeting, you will need to request a ballot to vote these shares in person.

Vote By Internet:

Before The Meeting: Go to www.proxyvote.com. Have the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow XXXX XXXX XXXX

(located on the following page) available and follow the instructions.

During The Meeting: Go to www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/AMNL2013. Have the information that is printed in the box

marked by the arrow and follow the instructions.

XXXX XXXX XXXX

(located on the following page) available

M63657-P44081

Vote By Mail: You can vote by mail by requesting a paper copy of the materials, which will include a proxy card.

M63658-P44081

Voting Items

1. Election of Directors

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR five nominees for Director with each nominee to serve until the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders or until his successor is elected and qualified.

- 1.1 JOHN LEVY
- 1.2 MARIO CONCHA
- 1.3 EVAN STONE
- 1.4 DAVID TAFT
- 1.5 ANDRE ZEITOUN
- 2. Advisory, non-binding vote on executive compensation

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR approval, on an advisory basis, of executive compensation.

3. The ratification of EisnerAmper LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR ratification.

M63659-P44081

*** Exercise Your Right to Vote *** Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the

Shareholder Meeting to Be Held on December 5, 2013.

APPLIED MINERALS, INC.

Meeting Information

Meeting Type:

For holders as of:

October 11, 2013

Annual Meeting

Date: December 5, 2013

Time: 3:00 p.m., ET

Location:

Concierge Conference Center 780 Third Avenue New York, NY 10017

Meeting live via the Internet-please visit www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/AMNL2013.

M63660-P43496

You are receiving this communication because you hold shares in the company named above.

This is not a ballot. You cannot use this notice to vote these shares. This communication presents only an overview of the more complete proxy materials that are available to you on the Internet. You may view the proxy materials online at www.proxyvote.com or easily request a paper copy (see reverse side).

We encourage you to access and review all of the important information contained in the proxy materials before voting.

See the reverse side of this notice to obtain proxy materials and voting instructions.

Before You Vote How to Access the Proxy Materials

Proxy Materials Available to VIEW or RECEIVE:

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS PROXY STATEMENT ANNUAL REPORT TO STOCKHOLDERS

How to View Online:

Have the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow XXXX XXXX XXXX following page) and visit: www.proxyvote.com.

How to Request and Receive a PAPER or E-MAIL Copy:

(located on the

If you want to receive a paper or e-mail copy of these documents, you must request one. There is NO charge for requesting a copy. Please choose one of the following methods to make your request: 1) BY INTERNET: www.proxyvote.com 2) BY TELEPHONE: 1-800-579-1639 3) BY E-MAIL*: sendmaterial@proxyvote.com

* If requesting materials by e-mail, please send a blank e-mail with the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow XXXX XXXX XXXX

(located on the following page) in the subject line.

Requests, instructions and other inquiries sent to this e-mail address will NOT be forwarded to your investment advisor. Please make the request as instructed above on or before November 21, 2013 to facilitate timely delivery.

How To Vote Please Choose One of the Following Voting Methods

Vote In Person: If you choose to vote these shares in person at the meeting, you must request a "legal proxy." To do so, please follow the instructions at www.proxyvote.com or request a paper copy of the materials, which will contain the appropriate instructions. Many shareholder meetings have attendance requirements including, but not limited to, the possession of an attendance ticket issued by the entity holding the meeting. Please check the meeting materials for any special requirements for meeting attendance.

Vote By Internet: Before The Meeting:

Go to www.proxyvote.com. Have the information that is printed in the box marked by the arr

XXXX XXXX XXXX

(located on the following page) available and follow the instructions.

M63661-P43496

During The Meeting: Go to www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/AMNL2013. Have the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrowXXXX XXXX (located on the following page) available and follow the instructions.

Vote By Mail: You can vote by mail by requesting a paper copy of the materials, which will include a voting instruction form.

M63662-P43496

Voting Items

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR the following proposals:

1. Election of Directors

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR five nominees for Director with each nominee to serve until the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders or until his successor is elected and qualified.

- 1.1 JOHN LEVY
- 1.2 MARIO CONCHA
- 1.3 EVAN STONE
- 1.4 DAVID TAFT
- 1.5 ANDRE ZEITOUN
- 2. Advisory, non-binding vote on executive compensation
- 3. The ratification of EisnerAmper LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm

NOTE: Such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

M63663-P43496

Voting Instructions