

PVH CORP. /DE/
Form 11-K
June 28, 2013

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 11-K

ANNUAL REPORT

PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(d) OF THE

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

(Mark One):

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the fiscal year ended December 31,
2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-724

A. Full title of the plan and the address of the plan, if different from that of the issuer named below: PVH Associates Investment Plan For Residents Of The Commonwealth Of Puerto Rico

B. Name of issuer of the securities held pursuant to the plan and the address of its principal executive office: PVH Corp., 200 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10016

SIGNATURES

The Plan. Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Plan Committee has duly caused this annual report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

PVH ASSOCIATES INVESTMENT PLAN FOR
RESIDENTS OF THE COMMONWEALTH
OF PUERTO RICO

Date: June 28, 2013

By: /s/ Dana M.
Perlman
Dana M. Perlman
Member of Plan Committee

Edgar Filing: PVH CORP. /DE/ - Form 11-K

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 11-K ITEM 4

PVH ASSOCIATES INVESTMENT PLAN FOR RESIDENTS
OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2012 and 2011

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 11-K ITEM 4

PVH ASSOCIATES INVESTMENT PLAN FOR RESIDENTS
OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO

TABLE OF CONTENTS

December 31, 2012 and 2011

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	1
Financial Statements	
Statements of Net Assets Available for Benefits	3
Statement of Changes in Net Assets Available for Benefits	4
Notes to Financial Statements	5
Supplemental Schedule	
Schedule H, Line 4i--Schedule of Investments Held at Year End at Fair Value	14

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Administrative Committee of the Plan
PVH Associates Investment Plan for Residents
of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Associates Investment Plan for Residents of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico (the "Plan"), which comprise the statements of net assets available for benefits as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related statement of changes in net assets available for benefits for the year then ended December 31, 2012 and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Plan management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America as established by the Auditing Standards Board (United States) and in accordance with the auditing standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the net assets available for benefits of the Plan as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the changes in its net assets available for benefits for the year ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Report on Supplemental Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The supplemental schedule of investments held at year end at fair value as of December 31, 2012, referred to as “supplemental information,” is presented for the purpose of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements but is supplemental information required by the Department of Labor’s Rules and Regulations for Reporting and Disclosure under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. Such information is the responsibility of the Plan’s management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

New York, NY
June 26, 2013

PVH ASSOCIATES INVESTMENT PLAN FOR RESIDENTS
OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO

STATEMENTS OF NET ASSETS AVAILABLE FOR BENEFITS

December 31, 2012 and 2011

	2012	2011
Assets		
Cash	\$ 4,241	\$ 4,253
Participant-directed investments, at fair value	567,195	375,478
Notes from participants	40,058	43,543
Total assets	611,494	423,274
Liabilities		
Other payables	4,456	4,293
Total liabilities	4,456	4,293
Net assets available for benefits, at fair value	607,038	418,981
Adjustment from fair value to contract value for interest in common/collective trust relating to fully benefit-responsive investment contracts	(6,043)	(4,238)
Net assets available for benefits	\$ 600,995	\$ 414,743

The accompanying notes are an integral
part of these financial statements

PVH ASSOCIATES INVESTMENT PLAN FOR RESIDENTS
OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET
ASSETS AVAILABLE FOR BENEFITS

For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

Additions

Contributions:

Employer, net of forfeitures	\$ 46,628
Employees	81,919
Interest and investment income	6,814
Interest income on notes receivable from participants	1,797

Total additions 137,158

Deductions

Payments to participants 5,959

Total deductions 5,959

Net realized and unrealized appreciation
of investments 55,053

Net increase in net assets available for benefits 186,252

Net assets available for benefits at beginning of year 414,743

Net assets available for benefits at end of year \$ 600,995

The accompanying notes are an integral
part of these financial statements

PVH ASSOCIATES INVESTMENT PLAN FOR RESIDENTS
OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

1. Description of the Plan

On November 15, 2011, the Phillips-Van Heusen Corporation Associates Investment Plan for Residents of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico was amended to change the plan name to the PVH Associates Investment Plan for Residents of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico (“the Plan”). The following description of the Plan provides only general information. Participants should refer to the Plan Document for a more complete description of the Plan’s provisions.

Trustee and Recordkeeper

The Plan’s trustee is Charles Schwab Bank (the “Trustee”). The Plan’s recordkeeper is Schwab Retirement Plan Services Company.

General

The Plan is a defined contribution plan covering salaried and hourly retail field workers who are residents of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico who are at least age 21 or older, have completed the earlier of; at least three consecutive months of service and are regularly scheduled to work at least 20 hours per week; or have completed at least 1,000 hours of service during the first 12 months of employment or in any subsequent calendar year. The Plan is subject to the reporting and disclosure requirements of the Employer Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (“ERISA”).

Contributions

Each year, participants may contribute up to 10% of pre-tax annual compensation, as defined by the Plan, up to the maximum amount allowed by law. In addition, eligible participants who have attained age 50 before the close of the plan year shall be eligible to make catch-up contributions up to \$1,500. PVH Corp. (the “Company”) matches 100% of the first 1% of eligible compensation that a participant contributes to the Plan, plus 50% of the next 5% of eligible compensation contributed by the participant.

Participant Accounts

Each participant’s account is credited with the participant’s contributions and allocations of (a) the Company’s contributions and (b) Plan earnings. Forfeited balances of terminated participants’ nonvested accounts are used to reduce future Company contributions.

PVH ASSOCIATES INVESTMENT PLAN FOR RESIDENTS
OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Vesting

Amounts attributable to employee contributions and the allocated earnings thereon are immediately vested. Participants become 100% vested in Company contributions and the allocated earnings thereon after two years of service. Upon death, permanent disability, or reaching age 65, participants or their beneficiaries become 100% vested in Company contributions.

Investment Options

Upon enrollment in the Plan, a participant may direct employee or Company contributions into any one of four pre-mixed asset allocation models or any of 11 individual investment options. A participant may contribute a maximum of 25% of employee contributions for PVH Corp. Common Stock.

Notes Receivable from Participants

Participants may borrow from the Plan, with certain restrictions, using their vested account balance as collateral. The minimum loan amount is \$1,000 and the maximum loan amount is the lesser of (i) \$50,000 reduced by the participant's highest outstanding loan balance during the previous 12 months, or (ii) 50% of the vested value of the participant's account. Interest is fixed for the term of the loan at the prime rate plus 1%. Loan repayments are made through payroll deductions, which may be specified for a term of 1 to 5 years or up to 15 years for the purchase of a primary residence. Upon termination of employment, a participant is given 90 days to repay the loan in full before it is considered to be in default. Delinquent loans are considered to be distributions based on the terms of the Plan document. Participant loans are measured as the unpaid principal balance plus any accrued but unpaid interest.

At December 31, 2012, outstanding notes receivable from participants totaled \$40,058, with maturity dates through 2017 at interest rates ranging from 4.25% to 8.25%.

Forfeitures

Contributions made on behalf of non-vested or partially vested employees who have terminated are retained by the Plan and are used to reduce the Company's future matching contributions. In 2012 and 2011, forfeitures of \$385 and \$1,127, respectively, were used to reduce the Company's matching contributions. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, forfeited non-vested accounts totaled \$40 and \$39, respectively.

PVH ASSOCIATES INVESTMENT PLAN FOR RESIDENTS
OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Payment of Benefits

Participants electing final distributions will receive payment in the form of a lump sum amount equal to the value of their vested account unless the participant notifies the Company of their intent to receive all or a portion of their balance in the form of shares of PVH Corp. Common Stock.

Plan Termination

Although it has not expressed any intent to do so, the Company has the right under the Plan to discontinue its contributions at any time and to terminate the Plan subject to the provisions of ERISA. In the event of Plan termination, participants will become 100% vested in their accounts.

2. Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Accounting

The accompanying financial statements of the Plan were prepared using the accrual basis of accounting.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Administrative Expenses

Substantially all administrative expenses are paid by the Company.

Investments

Investments are recorded in the accompanying financial statements at fair value. See Note 4 for additional information. Purchases and sales of securities are reflected on a trade date basis. All assets of the Plan are held by the Trustee and are segregated from the assets of the Company.

PVH ASSOCIATES INVESTMENT PLAN FOR RESIDENTS
OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In accordance with accounting guidance for defined contribution plans, investments in benefit-responsive investment contracts must be reported at fair value. However, contract value is the relevant measurement attribute for that portion of the net assets available for benefits of a defined contribution plan attributable to fully benefit-responsive investment contracts because contract value is the amount participants would receive if they were to initiate permitted transactions under the terms of the Plan. The Plan invests in investment contracts through a common/collective trust. The Statements of Net Assets Available for Benefits present the fair value of the investments in the common/collective trust as well as the adjustment of the investment in the common/collective trust from fair value to contract value relating to the investment contracts. The Statements of Changes in Net Assets Available for Benefits is prepared on a contract value basis.

Notes Receivable from Participants

Notes receivable from participants are measured at their unpaid principal balance plus any accrued interest.

Recent Accounting Guidance

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs, which amends ASC 820, Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures. ASU 2011-04 requires the categorization by level in the fair value hierarchy of items that are only required to be disclosed at fair value and information about transfers between Level 1 and Level 2. In addition, ASU 2011-04 provides guidance on measuring the fair value of financial instruments managed within a portfolio and the application of premiums and discounts on fair value measurements. ASU 2011-04 requires additional disclosure for Level 3 measurements regarding the sensitivity of fair value to changes in unobservable inputs and any interrelationship between those inputs. Such disclosures are not required for items for which fair value is based on NAV. The new guidance was effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption did not have a material effect on the Statements of Net Assets Available for Benefits and Statement of Changes in Net Assets Available for Benefits.

3. Transactions with Parties-in-Interest

During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Plan purchased 88 and 95 shares, respectively, and sold 55 and 113 shares of the Company's common stock, respectively. The Plan also received \$129 and \$124 during 2012 and 2011, respectively, from the Company as payment of dividends on its common stock.

4. Fair Value Measurements

The FASB defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The guidance establishes a three level fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. The three levels of the hierarchy are defined as follows:

Level 1 – Inputs are unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that management has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 – Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, including quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in inactive markets, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability and inputs derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 – Unobservable inputs reflecting management’s own assumptions about the inputs that market participant would use in pricing the asset or liability based on the best information available.

If the inputs used to measure the financial instruments fall within different levels of the hierarchy, the categorization is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the instrument.

PVH ASSOCIATES INVESTMENT PLAN FOR RESIDENTS
OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following tables set forth the financial assets of the Plan by level within the fair value hierarchy, as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

Asset Category	Total	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2012		
		Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Common Collective Trust Funds:(1)				
Stable Value	\$ 214,285	\$ -	\$ 214,285	\$ -
U.S. Equity Index Mutual Funds:(2)	9,272	-	9,272	-
U.S. Equity	99,169	99,169	-	-
International Equity	33,706	33,706	-	-
Fixed Income	88,291	88,291	-	-
Balanced	17,105	17,105	-	-
Real Estate	8,597	8,597	-	-
PVH Corp. Common Stock(3)	96,246	96,246	-	-
Money Market Funds(4)	524	-	524	-

Total 2018 CEO compensation declined 43% compared to 2017, which followed declines in each of the last five years for an overall reduction of approximately 65% since 2013, demonstrating consistent and ongoing attention to this concern and the impact of compensation program enhancements

The total compensation of AMG's Executive Chairman declined 43% compared to

2017; significant relative reduction expected for the 2019 performance year, as the senior management team continues to evolve; Executive Chairman compensation structure on a forward basis will reflect (i) Mr. Healey’s role as Executive Chairman for a full calendar year (as compared to 2018 when he served as CEO for part of the year), (ii) market comparisons for the role and (iii) award mix and levels that further the Committee’s objectives of aligning compensation with stockholder value creation and retaining and motivating executives

Aggregate total compensation of AMG’s Executive Chairman and its CEO (who each performed in the CEO role during a portion of 2018) declined 29%; total NEO compensation as a group declined more than 20%
 Comment #2

CEO Compensation significantly reduced the existing caps are high caps on Performance-Based Incentive Compensation for AMG’s CEO, including lowering the cap on CEO Performance-Based Incentive Compensation from \$20.0 million to \$17.5 million and reducing the separate cash bonus cap from \$4.5 million to \$4.0 million—a 12.5%

reduction on the overall cap; reflects a 30% reduction of the cap on Performance-Based Incentive Compensation for AMG's CEO over the last two years

Comment #3 Performance Assessments should be more formulaic Performance Assessment scorecards have been enhanced to add disclosure of sub category weightings, for both the Long-Term and Short-Term Performance Assessments

Removed the supplemental performance metrics from the Short-Term Incentive Compensation scorecard; scoring in the financial performance category is now entirely linked to pre-established disclosed financial performance targets

Expanded disclosure on the more subjective qualitative business and strategic factors considered in the analysis, to increase transparency and display the formulaic nature of the process

Comment #4 Executives should hold significantly Equity Ownership Guidelines were revised in 2019 to

larger equity stakes increase the holding requirement for AMG's President and CFO from 7x to 10x his annual base salary

Equity Ownership Guidelines require AMG's CEO and its President and CFO to each hold 10x their annual base salary, which are higher than industry average requirements; each holds shares of AMG stock representing >25x their respective salaries, significantly exceeding the required levels

Ongoing consideration of potential policies and programs to promote further accumulation and retention of equity stakes in AMG by AMG's senior management team, to further align management incentives with stockholder value creation

Comment #5 ESG activities should factor into incentive award decisions Formal weighting of ESG factors added to both the Short-Term and Long-Term Performance Assessment scorecards

Comment #6 Greater attention During 2018, AMG made

should be paid significant progress
to ESG in implementing a
matters number of key ESG
initiatives,
including:

- new policies and practices to promote an inclusive and diverse work environment

- completed the first inventory and secured third-party attestation of AMG's GhG Emissions and participated in the CDP Climate Change Information Request

- transitioned to renewable energy sources at multiple office locations

- eliminated single-use plastic water bottles and straws from AMG's principal offices

- further focused efforts to promote procurement of products and materials which have high concentrations of recycled materials

AMG and The AMG Charitable Foundation both donate to a variety of non-profit organizations and community programs globally, and in 2018

approved a
significant
charitable gift to
establish The Sean
M. Healey and
AMG Center for
ALS at Mass
General

7

Additional information on non-GAAP financial performance measures, including reconciliations to the most directly comparable GAAP measure, can be found in AMG's Annual Report on Form 10-K under "Supplemental Financial Performance Measures."

Compensation Results and Program Overview (cont.)

Compensation Determination Process

- The Incentive Pool, which serves as the basis for determining all Performance-Based Incentive Compensation, was set at 6% of Adjusted Economic net income, resulting in a \$56.0 million pool
- Performance-Based Incentive Compensation of AMG's CEO was capped at the lesser of \$17.5 million or 40% of the Incentive Pool, which resulted in a cap of \$17.5 million
- In addition to the overall incentive award cap, the cash bonus for AMG's CEO was capped at \$4.0 million
- Equity incentive awards were limited to the capped amount of Performance-Based Incentive Compensation less the maximum cash bonus, resulting in a maximum of \$13.5 million of equity incentive awards for AMG's CEO
- Weighted scores were determined using two quantitative assessments—the Short-Term and the Long-Term Incentive Compensation Performance Assessments—and were applied to the cash bonus and equity incentive award caps, respectively, to produce formulaic award payout amounts for each of these two award categories
- For purposes of the Performance Assessments, the Compensation Committee considered Mr. Dalton as serving in the role of CEO for the full year, as a practical expedient and in recognition of his prior role as AMG's President and COO and his exceptional leadership efforts during the CEO transition

Short-Term Incentive Compensation Performance Assessment

- AMG's performance in 2018 reflected the challenging environment for active asset managers, which produced broadly negative returns across asset classes and resulted in mixed performance for the year against Economic earnings per share and EBITDA margin targets
- Despite these challenges, the Committee recognized the positive flows in alternative and multi-asset strategies over the year, as well as the management team's continued focus on building relationships with prospective new Affiliates in a challenging transaction environment, the formation of new strategic partnerships to enhance AMG's product set and expand distribution capabilities, and the active review of AMG's business for opportunities to improve efficiencies and reduce Company expenses, positioning AMG for future growth, as well as the successful execution on the Board's long-term succession plan following the unexpected CEO transition during the year
- An overall weighted score of 50% was applied to the capped annual cash bonus of \$4.0 million, resulting in a formulaic cash bonus of \$2.0 million for AMG's CEO

2018 Financial Results vs. Targets

Financial targets used in the Performance Assessment are designed to align management incentives with stockholder value creation, and take into account factors known at the time. Actual results may be impacted by a number of external factors, including macroeconomic factors, market changes, and regulatory or political changes, as well as other factors such as share repurchases and new investments in Affiliates, that may not be anticipated and could significantly impact AMG's business. These financial targets are not intended to be a form of guidance or a prediction of AMG's performance during the performance year or in any future period.

Long-Term Incentive Compensation Performance Assessment

- An overall weighted score of 33.3%, reflecting the challenging environment for active asset managers and the recent stock performance in particular, was applied to the capped annual amount of equity incentive awards of \$13.5 million, resulting in a formulaic equity incentive award for AMG's CEO of \$4.5 million
- The Committee's allocation for this equity incentive award amount was 40% in the form of Long-Term Deferred Equity Awards and 60% in the form of Long-Term Performance Achievement Awards, consistent with the targeted allocations

Compensation Results and Program Overview (cont.)
2018 Compensation Results and Elements of Compensation

Total 2018 CEO compensation declined 43% compared to 2017 and total NEO compensation declined more than 20%, reflecting a significant reduction in the caps on the Performance-Based Incentive Compensation of AMG's CEO, as well as the results of the Compensation Committee's formal Performance Assessments, which considered AMG's stock performance, financial and operating results and strategic performance for the year and over the long-term, on both a relative and an absolute basis, as well as the business initiatives achieved over the year and AMG's performance against pre-established financial performance targets, and produced formulaic cash bonus and equity incentive awards.

Consistent with prior years, a significant proportion of incentive-based compensation was in the form of performance-based equity awards, which were 60% of total CEO equity incentive awards and were the largest component of total CEO compensation. These awards are subject to three-year cliff vesting, with delivery tied to rigorous return on equity targets that align management incentives with the strategic goals of growing earnings and effectively managing capital.

Supplemental Table—Compensation Earned in Fiscal Year 2018

Name and Principal Position	Cash		Long-Term		All Other Compensation	Total Compensation Earned
	Salary	Bonus	Deferred Equity Awards	Performance Awards		
Nathaniel Dalton Chief Executive Officer	\$650,641	\$2,000,000	\$1,800,000	\$2,700,000	\$37,894	\$7,188,535
Sean M. Healey Executive Chairman	\$750,000	\$2,975,000	\$1,905,000	\$1,270,000	\$263,279	\$7,163,279
Jay C. Horgen President, Chief Financial Officer	\$500,000	\$1,650,000	\$1,400,000	\$2,100,000	\$45,685	\$5,695,685
and Treasurer Hugh P. B. Cutler Executive Vice President and Head of Global Distribution	\$400,000	\$800,000	\$480,000	\$720,000	\$34,979	\$2,434,979
David M. Billings General Counsel and Secretary	\$400,000	\$1,100,000	\$240,000	\$360,000	\$33,554	\$2,133,554

The above tables include equity awards granted to the Company's named executive officers in February 2019 in recognition of 2018 performance (and exclude equity awards granted in January 2018 in recognition of 2017 performance), to better demonstrate how the Company evaluates and compensates its named executive officers. These amounts differ from the compensation reported in the Summary Compensation Table because SEC rules require equity awards to be reported in the fiscal year of grant, even where the awards are intended to compensate executives for performance in a prior year. Please refer to the "Executive Compensation Tables" and "Equity Grant Policy" sections of this Proxy Statement for additional information.

PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Introduction

Our Board of Directors currently consists of ten members. At the Annual Meeting, ten directors are expected to be elected to serve until the 2020 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified. The Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Governance Committee, has nominated Messrs. Samuel T. Byrne, Dwight D. Churchill, Nathaniel Dalton, Glenn Earle, Niall Ferguson, Sean M. Healey, Patrick T. Ryan and Jide J. Zeitlin, and Mses. Tracy P. Palandjian and Karen L. Yerburgh (collectively, the “Nominees”), to serve as directors. Each of the Nominees is currently serving as a director of the Company. As more fully discussed below in the “Corporate Governance Matters and Meetings of the Board of Directors and Committees” section of this Proxy Statement, the Board of Directors has determined that seven of its ten Nominees, Messrs. Byrne, Churchill, Earle, Ferguson, Ryan and Zeitlin, and Ms. Palandjian, have no material relationship with the Company and, therefore, are independent for purposes of NYSE listing standards. The Board of Directors expects that each of the Nominees will, if elected, serve as a director for the new term. However, if any person nominated by the Board of Directors is unable to accept election, the proxies will be voted for the election of such other person or persons as the Board of Directors may recommend.

The Company’s amended and restated by laws (the “By laws”) provide for majority voting in uncontested director elections. Under the majority voting standard, directors are elected by a majority of the votes cast, which means that the number of shares voted “for” a director must exceed the number of shares voted “against” that director. In a contested election (a situation in which the number of nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected), the standard for the election of directors will be a plurality of the votes cast. Abstentions and broker non votes will have no effect on the outcome of the vote on the election of directors.

Under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Nominating and Governance Committee has established procedures for any director who is not elected to tender his or her offer to resign. Upon receiving the director’s offer to resign, the Nominating and Governance Committee will recommend to the Board of Directors whether to accept or reject the offer to resign, or whether other action should be taken. The Nominating and Governance Committee and the Board of Directors, in making their decisions, may consider any factor or information that they deem relevant. The Board of Directors, taking into account the Nominating and Governance Committee’s recommendation, will act on the tendered resignation within ninety days following certification of the election results. A director whose resignation is under consideration must abstain from participating in any recommendation or decision regarding his or her resignation.

Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors believes that the election of each of the Nominees is in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders and, therefore, unanimously recommends that stockholders vote FOR the election of each of the Nominees.

Information Regarding the Nominees

The following table sets forth the name, age (as of April 1, 2019), tenure and other information of each Nominee, along with the committees of the Board of Directors on which each Nominee currently serves.

Director Nominee Information: Committee Memberships						
Name	Age	Compensation Committee	Nominating and Governance Committee	Audit Committee	Independence	Other Tenure (Years), Public Boards
Samuel T. Byrne	54					10 —
Dwight D. Churchill	65			(Chair)		9 —
Nathaniel Dalton Chief Executive Officer	52					1 —
Glenn Earle	61					4 1
Niall Ferguson	54					5 —
Sean M. Healey Executive Chairman	57					18 —
Tracy P. Palandjian	48					7 —
Patrick T. Ryan Lead Independent Director	60		(Chair)			14 1
Karen L. Yerburch	56					1 —
Jide J. Zeitlin	55	(Chair)				13 1
	Average	100% Independent; New Chair in 2015	100% Independent; New Chair in 2015	100% Independent; New Chair in 2015	7 of 10 Directors are Independent	Average Tenure = ~8 years No Overboarding

The Nominees bring a wide array of qualifications, skills and attributes to our Board of Directors that support its oversight role on behalf of our stockholders. The most relevant of these qualifications and skills are summarized in the table below:

Director Experience and Skills Overview

Financial, accounting or financial reporting	We use a broad set of financial metrics to measure our operating and strategic performance. Accurate financial reporting and rigorous auditing are critical to our success. We seek to have directors who qualify as audit committee financial experts and expect all of our directors to have an understanding of finance and financial reporting processes.	10 of 10 Directors
Investment management	Directors with investment management experience provide the Board with an enhanced understanding and assessment of our business strategy and bring valuable perspective on topics that are uniquely relevant to our industry.	8 of 10 Directors
Global business	Our continued success depends in part on the sustained growth of our international operations, and we seek directors with global business experience, including managing and growing organizations worldwide.	8 of 10 Directors
Leadership	We seek directors who have held significant leadership positions, as we believe this experience provides directors with a practical understanding of organizations, processes, strategy, risk management and other factors that promote growth.	10 of 10 Directors
Other public company board experience	Directors with experience serving on other public company boards provide valuable operations and management perspectives, which support our Board's ability to oversee and advise management. Further, these directors bring to our Board valuable insights on corporate governance trends and practices and other issues affecting public companies generally.	3 of 10 Directors
Public policy and	We and our Affiliates operate in a highly regulated industry and are directly affected by governmental actions and socioeconomic trends and, therefore, we seek directors with	4 of 10 Directors

government affairs	experience with governmental, regulatory and related organizations.	
Risk management and compliance	Risk management is critical to the success of our business, and we seek directors with regulatory and compliance expertise, as well as experience managing and overseeing risk in public and private companies and in other contexts.	8 of 10 Directors
Environmental, social and governance	Directors who have experience in managing environmental, sustainability and social issues are able to assist the Board in overseeing and advising management to ensure that strategic business imperatives and long-term value creation for stockholders are achieved within a responsible, sustainable business plan.	6 of 10 Directors
Operational	We believe that directors with experience in operations are able to assess and advise management on the formulation and execution of our business strategy.	8 of 10 Directors

The following biographical summaries provide additional information on the business experience, principal occupation and past employment and directorships of each Nominee during at least the last five years.

Director Biographical Information

Samuel T. Byrne Samuel T. Byrne has been a director of the Company since October 2009. Mr. Byrne is a Managing Partner and co-founder of CrossHarbor Capital Partners LLC, a leading alternative investment management firm specializing in real estate, as well as distressed securities and private equity. The firm manages institutional capital on behalf of investors globally, including public pension systems, endowments and foreign institutions such as sovereign wealth funds. Before founding CrossHarbor Capital Partners, Mr. Byrne served as a management consultant advising on corporate restructurings and bankruptcy matters. Prior to that, he was a portfolio manager at Fleet Financial Group and Bank of New England. Mr. Byrne currently serves as Chairman of the Board of Trustees of the Peabody Essex Museum. We believe that Mr. Byrne’s qualifications to serve on our Board of Directors include his extensive investment management experience, including his particular expertise in private equity and real estate.

Governance
Committee

Dwight D. Churchill Dwight D. Churchill has been a director of the Company since February 2010. Mr. Churchill held a number of senior positions at Fidelity Investments before retiring from the firm in 2009. Having joined Fidelity in 1993, he served as the head of the Fixed Income Division, head of Equity Portfolio Management and President of Investment Services. While at Fidelity, Mr. Churchill also served as the elected chair of the Board of Governors for the CFA Institute, a 135,000-member association previously known as the Association for Investment Management & Research, and from June 2014 to January 2015, he served as interim President and Chief Executive Officer at the CFA Institute. Prior to joining Fidelity, Mr. Churchill served as a Managing Director of Prudential Financial, Inc., as President and Chief Executive Officer of CSI Asset Management, Inc., a subsidiary of Prudential Financial, Inc., and held senior roles at Loomis, Sayles & Company and the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System. Mr. Churchill currently serves on the Board of Trustees and the Audit Committee of State Street Global Advisors SPDR ETF Mutual Funds, on the Board of Trustees of the Currier Museum of Art and as a staff consultant at The Public Employees Retirement System of Idaho. We believe that Mr. Churchill’s qualifications to serve on our Board of Directors include his extensive experience in the investment management industry, including his oversight of internal controls, financial reporting and accounting procedures.

Nathaniel Dalton

Chief
Executive
Officer

Nathaniel Dalton is the Company’s Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Dalton was appointed Chief Executive Officer in May 2018, and has been a director of the Company since that time. Mr. Dalton joined AMG in 1996 as its first General Counsel, and has subsequently held a series of leadership positions within the firm. Most notably, Mr. Dalton was responsible for leading AMG’s relationships with its Affiliates for nearly 20 years as well as building AMG’s global distribution platforms. In 2006, Mr. Dalton was named Chief Operating Officer and he became President in 2011. Prior to joining the Company in 1996, Mr. Dalton was an attorney at Goodwin Procter LLP in Boston, focusing on mergers and acquisitions. Mr. Dalton serves as the Chairman of the Boston University Board of Overseers, is a Trustee of the University and serves on the Investment Committee for its Endowment. He received a

J.D. from Boston University School of Law and a B.A. from the University of Pennsylvania. We believe that Mr. Dalton's qualifications to serve on our Board of Directors include his direct knowledge of the Company's strategy and operations through his service as Chief Executive Officer and in other leadership positions at the Company, including President and Chief Operating Officer, and his extensive experience in the financial services and investment management industries.

Glenn Earle Glenn Earle has been a director of the Company since April 2015. Mr. Earle is a member of the Board of Directors of Fiat Chrysler Automobiles N.V., where he also serves as the Chair of the Audit Committee, and of the Boards of Trustees of educational charity Teach First and The Young Vic Theatre. He retired in December 2011 from Goldman Sachs International, where he was most recently a Managing Director and the Chief Operating Officer. He was also Chief Executive of Goldman Sachs International Bank, and his other responsibilities included Co-Chairmanship of the Firm’s Global Commitments and Capital Committees and membership of the Goldman Sachs International Executive Committee. He previously worked at Goldman Sachs in various roles in New York, Frankfurt and London from 1987, becoming a Partner in 1996. From 1979 to 1985, he worked in the Latin America Department at Grindlays Bank/ANZ in London and New York, leaving as a Vice President. Mr. Earle’s other activities include membership of The Higher Education Commission and the Advisory Board of the Sutton Trust. He was previously Vice Chairman of Rothesay Life Group and a Trustee of the Royal National Theatre. Other previous responsibilities include membership of the Board of Trustees of the Goldman Sachs Foundation and of the Ministerial Task Force for Gifted and Talented Youth, and Chairmanship of the Advisory Board of Cambridge University Judge Business School. We believe that Mr. Earle’s qualifications to serve on our Board of Directors include his extensive experience as a senior executive in a leading investment bank, as well as his service on the boards of other public and private companies.

Niall Ferguson Niall Ferguson has been a director of the Company since April 2014. Mr. Ferguson is the Milbank Family Senior Fellow at the Hoover Institution, Stanford University and a Senior Fellow at the Center for European Studies at Harvard University, and previously was the Laurence A. Tisch Professor of History at Harvard University. He is also a Visiting Professor at Tsinghua University in Beijing. Mr. Ferguson is a frequent commentator on contemporary and historical politics and economics and has published fifteen award winning books, including “Kissinger: 1923-1968: The Idealist,” “The Ascent of Money,” “Civilization: The West and the Rest” and “The Great Degeneration: How Institutions Decay and Economies Die.” In 2009, his six-part television series “The Ascent of Money” won the International Emmy for Best Documentary. Mr. Ferguson was the Philippe Roman Visiting Professor at the London School of Economics from 2010 to 2011 and the BBC Reith Lecturer for 2012. In 2010, he won the Benjamin Franklin Award for Public Service, in 2012, the Hayek Prize for Lifetime Achievement and, in 2013, the Ludwig Erhard Prize for Economic Journalism. Mr. Ferguson is a member of the Boards of Trustees of the New York Historical Society and the London-based Centre for Policy Studies, a Managing Director at Greenmantle, a macroeconomic and geopolitical advisory firm that he founded, and a member of the Boards of Directors of Chimerica Media, a film company that he also founded, and Ualà, an Argentine online finance company. We believe that Mr. Ferguson’s qualifications to serve on our Board of Directors include his extensive macroeconomic and geopolitical expertise and influence around the globe.

Sean M. Healey Sean M. Healey is the Company’s Executive Chairman. Mr. Healey has served as Chairman since 2011, and previously served as Chief Executive Officer from 2005 to 2018, as well as President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company. Mr. Healey has been a director of the Company since May 2001. Prior to joining the Company in 1995, Mr. Healey was a Vice President in the Mergers and Acquisitions Department at Goldman, Sachs & Co. focusing on financial institutions. He currently serves as a member of the Council on Foreign Relations and the Visiting Committee of Harvard Law School. In 2006, Mr. Healey received a presidential appointment to serve on the President’s Export Council, the nation’s principal advisory committee on international trade. Mr. Healey received a J.D. from Harvard Law School, an M.A. from University College, Dublin and an A.B. from Harvard College. We believe that

Mr. Healey's qualifications to serve on our Board of Directors include his direct knowledge of the Company's strategy and operations through his prior service as Chief Executive Officer of the Company and his extensive experience in the financial services and investment management industries, including over two decades of experience in investing in asset management firms.

<p>Tracy P. Palandjian</p> <p>Audit Committee & Nominating and Governance Committee</p>	<p>Tracy P. Palandjian has been a director of the Company since March 2012. Ms. Palandjian is the Chief Executive Officer, co-founder and a member of the Board of Directors of Social Finance, Inc., a nonprofit organization focused on developing and managing investments that generate social impact and financial return. Prior to establishing Social Finance, Ms. Palandjian served as a Managing Director at The Parthenon Group, a global strategy consulting firm. At Parthenon, she established and led the Nonprofit Practice and consulted to foundations and nonprofit organizations on strategy development, mission definition, corporate social responsibility and knowledge and innovation in the U.S. and globally. Prior to Parthenon, Ms. Palandjian worked at McKinsey & Company and at Wellington Management Company, LLP. Ms. Palandjian is currently Vice-Chair of the United States Impact Investing Alliance and the Global Social Impact Investment Steering Group (successor to the G8 Social Impact Investment Taskforce). She also serves on the Board of the Surdna Foundation (and chairs its Investment Committee), the Leadership Council of Facing History and Ourselves, The Federal Reserve Bank of Boston Community Development Advisory Council and the Investment Committee of Milton Academy. We believe that Ms. Palandjian’s qualifications to serve on our Board of Directors include her extensive global financial management, consulting and advisory experience.</p>
<p>Patrick T. Ryan</p> <p>Lead Independent Director</p> <p>Audit Committee,</p> <p>Compensation Committee,</p> <p>& Nominating and Governance Committee (Chair)</p>	<p>Patrick T. Ryan has been a director of the Company since July 2005, and has served as Lead Independent Director since February 2015. Mr. Ryan currently serves as Executive Chairman of Press Ganey Holdings, Inc., a company specializing in health care performance improvement. Prior to Press Ganey, Mr. Ryan worked with SV Life Sciences as a Venture Partner from 2007 to 2009, and served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of The Broadlane Group from 2008, until its acquisition by MedAssets Inc. in 2010. Following such acquisition, Mr. Ryan served on the Board of Directors and in the interim role of President of Spend and Clinical Resource Management through the completion of the integration in May 2011. From 2004 to 2007, Mr. Ryan served as Chief Executive Officer and as a member of the Board of Directors of PolyMedica Corporation, a direct to consumer provider of health care products and services for individuals with chronic diseases, until its sale to Medco Health Solutions, Inc. Before joining PolyMedica, Mr. Ryan served as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Physicians Dialysis Inc., a dialysis provider, until its acquisition by DaVita Inc. in 2004. Previously, Mr. Ryan has served as a partner at Westways Ventures, a firm specializing in the strategic development of companies in the healthcare and consumer sectors, as President and Chief Executive Officer of PrincipalCare Inc., a company specializing in women’s healthcare, as President and Chief Executive Officer of ImageAmerica, Inc., a diagnostic imaging services company, as Co-Founder and President of R.B. Diagnostics, a diagnostic imaging services company. Mr. Ryan currently serves on the Board of Directors of American Renal Associates Holdings, Inc. (where he also serves as a member of the Audit Committee). We believe Mr. Ryan’s qualifications to serve on our Board of Directors include his substantial executive management experience at several public and private companies.</p>
<p>Karen L. Yerburgh</p>	<p>Karen L. Yerburgh has been a director of the Company since January 2018. Ms. Yerburgh served until June 2017 as Managing Partner of Genesis Investment Management, LLP, a boutique investment management firm. Genesis is one of the leading emerging markets equities specialists in the world, and has been an AMG Affiliate since 2004. Ms. Yerburgh joined the firm in 1990 and was appointed Managing Partner in 2003. Prior to joining Genesis, she was a senior investment manager at Touche Remnant Investment Management Ltd and Lloyds Investment Management Ltd. She began her career at Grieson Grant & Co. We believe Ms. Yerburgh’s qualifications to serve on our Board of Directors</p>

include her substantial experience in the investment management industry, including as a senior executive in a leading boutique investment management firm.

Jide J. Zeitlin Jide J. Zeitlin has been a director of the Company since January 2006. Mr. Zeitlin is a private investor with interests in Asia, the Middle East and Africa. He formerly served as a Partner at Goldman, Sachs & Co., where he held a number of senior management positions in the investment banking division, including that of Global Chief Operating Officer. He also served in the firm's executive office. Mr. Zeitlin joined Goldman Sachs in 1987, became a Partner in 1996 and retired from the firm in December 2005. Mr. Zeitlin serves as a member of the Harvard Business School Board of Dean's Advisors, and of the boards of the Nigeria Sovereign Investment Authority (where he is Chairman), Playwrights Horizons and Saint Ann's School. He is Chairman Emeritus of Amherst College and a Fellow at the Aspen Global Leadership Network, and formerly served on the boards of Milton Academy, Teach for America and Common Ground Community. Mr. Zeitlin also serves as the Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chair of the Governance and Nominations Committee and as a member of the Human Resources Committee of Tapestry, Inc. (f/k/a Coach, Inc.), a designer and marketer of premium handbags and accessories, and Chairman of the Board of Directors of VI Mining PLC. We believe Mr. Zeitlin's qualifications to serve on our Board of Directors include his substantial experience as a senior executive in a leading investment bank, as well as his extensive service in board capacities at numerous organizations.

Audit Committee & Compensation Committee (Chair)

Corporate Governance Matters and Meetings of the Board of Directors and Committees

The Board of Directors and management regularly review best practices in corporate governance and modify our corporate governance policies and practices as warranted. Our current best practices include:

Governance Highlights

- | | |
|----------------------------|--|
| Independence and Diversity | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Women represent 25% of non-executive directors; two female directors currently on the Board• 25% of the non-executive directors are non-U.S. citizens• Executive Chairman role separates the CEO and Chairman functions• All Board committees are composed exclusively of independent directors |
| Lead Independent Director | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Active Lead Independent Director with expansive duties• New appointment in 2015 |
| Executive Sessions | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Non-executive directors regularly meet without management present, led by AMG's Lead Independent Director• Executive sessions include Board and committee annual self-assessments |
| Board Refreshment | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 40% of the directors joined the Board within last five years• New Lead Independent Director in 2015; Executive Chairman role established in 2018• New chairs of all Board committees in the last four years• Average director age of 56• Balanced mix of short- and long-tenured directors; average tenure of eight years• Long-tenured directors in leadership roles |

No Overboarding	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Nominating and Governance Committee assesses director time commitments in reviewing nominee candidates; directors must notify the committee before accepting board or committee seats at other for-profit companies• Currently three directors serve on the boards of other public companies, and none serves on more than one
Board Oversight of Risk Management and	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• AMG's Board has principal responsibility for oversight of its risk management process and understanding the overall risk profile of the Company, including data security and privacy• Majority of directors have extensive background and experience in risk management
ESG Factors	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• A cross-functional Sustainability Committee was formed in 2018, with oversight responsibility of our policies and operational controls of environmental, health and safety, and social risks
Minimum Equity Ownership Guidelines	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 10x annual base salary for AMG's CEO, 10x for AMG's President and CFO (increased in 2019 from 7x), and 7x annual base salary for all other NEOs• 5x annual base fees for non-executive directors• AMG's CEO and its President and CFO each holds shares of AMG stock representing >25x salary, significantly exceeding required levels
Board Self-Evaluation	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Board conducts an annual self-assessment process coordinated by AMG's Lead Independent Director, including individual director assessments• Nomination policies are adjusted to ensure that AMG's Board as a whole continues to reflect the appropriate mix of skills and experience, and considers diversity of background and experience, as well as ethnicity, gender and other forms of diversity
Accountability	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Directors are elected annually by a majority of votes cast (with a plurality standard for contested elections); directors re-elected by an average vote of 99% in 2018• Each director is required to tender their resignation if he or she fails to receive a majority of votes in an uncontested election• 100% director attendance at Board meetings, and over 98% average attendance rate at Board and committee meetings, in 2018

- Active stockholder engagement—2017-2018 outreach initiative to over 200 stockholders, representing over 90% of AMG’s voting shares, including direct calls and in-person meetings

Succession Planning • The Nominating and Governance Committee has primary responsibility for CEO and other key executive succession planning

- In 2018, AMG announced that its Chairman and CEO had been diagnosed with ALS, and the Board initiated its long term succession plan; advance planning put the Board in a position to immediately provide continuity of leadership in a time of unexpected transition
- Transition and succession planning activities are ongoing, as the Board continues to focus on implementing its long term succession plan, and on further developing and expanding the senior management team, to maintain a breadth and depth of talent to ensure that AMG is well positioned to continue to execute against its strategy

Director Orientation and Development • Orientation and training programs for new directors; additional training for directors in leadership roles

- Continuing education programs and presentations for all directors

Board of Directors: During 2018, the full Board of Directors met six times. Each incumbent member of the Board of Directors in 2018 attended 100% of the meetings of the full Board of Directors and at least 90% of the total number of meetings of (i) the Board of Directors and (ii) all standing committees of the Board of Directors on which such director served, with an average attendance rate at Board and committee meetings of over 98%. We do not have a formal policy regarding director attendance at our Annual Meeting of Stockholders. One director attended the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

At least annually, the Board of Directors evaluates the independence of our directors in light of the standards established by NYSE. A majority of our Board of Directors must be independent within the meaning of NYSE listing standards. After its most recent evaluation of director independence, the Board of Directors affirmatively determined that seven of our ten current directors, Messrs. Byrne, Churchill, Earle, Ferguson, Ryan and Zeitlin, and Ms. Palandjian, are “independent” for purposes of NYSE listing standards. The Board of Directors made its determinations based upon individual evaluations of these directors’ employment or board of directors affiliations, compensation history and any commercial, family or other relationships with the Company. There were no transactions between any director and the Company for the Board of Directors’ consideration in determining the independence of any independent director. Members of the Board of Directors serve as directors, trustees or in similar capacities (but not as executive officers or employees) for non-profit organizations to which we may make charitable contributions from time to time. Contributions to these organizations did not exceed 1% of each of those organizations’ annual consolidated gross revenues during their last completed fiscal years.

The standing committees of the Board of Directors are the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, and the Nominating and Governance Committee. Only independent directors within the meaning of NYSE listing standards serve on these committees. Other members of the Board of Directors may attend committee meetings from time to time at the invitation of the respective committee. Each committee acts pursuant to a written charter adopted by the respective committee. The members and chairs of each committee are set forth above in the table titled “Director Nominee Information: Committee Memberships,” and a description of each committee is set forth below.

Audit Committee: Each of the members meets the independence standards applicable to audit committees under the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 and NYSE listing standards and is an audit committee financial expert, as defined by the SEC. The Audit Committee’s purpose is to assist the Board of Directors in oversight of our internal controls and financial statements and the audit process. The Audit Committee met eight times during 2018.

Compensation Committee: Each member meets the independence requirements applicable to compensation committees under NYSE listing standards. The Compensation Committee is responsible for overseeing our general compensation policies and establishing and reviewing the compensation plans and benefit programs applicable to our executive officers. In that capacity, the Compensation Committee also administers our incentive plans. The Compensation Committee met four times during 2018.

Nominating and Governance Committee: The Nominating and Governance Committee is primarily responsible for recommending criteria to the Board of Directors for Board and committee membership, identifying and evaluating director candidates, overseeing the annual self assessment of the Board of Directors and its committees and of the Chief Executive Officer, overseeing Chief Executive Officer and other key executive succession planning and maintaining our Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Nominating and Governance Committee met five times during 2018.

The Nominating and Governance Committee may solicit director candidate recommendations from a number of sources, including directors, executive officers and third party search firms. The Nominating and Governance Committee will consider for nomination any director candidates, including director candidates recommended by our stockholders, who are deemed qualified by the Nominating and Governance Committee in light of the qualifications

and criteria for Board of Directors membership described below, or such other criteria as approved by the Board of Directors or a committee thereof from time to time. Stockholder recommendations must be submitted to the Nominating and Governance Committee in accordance with the requirements set forth in the By laws, including those discussed in the “Other Matters—Stockholder Proposals” section of this Proxy Statement, and any procedures established from time to time by the Nominating and Governance Committee. The Nominating and Governance Committee does not have a specific policy regarding the consideration of stockholder recommendations for director candidates and considers this appropriate because it evaluates recommendations without regard to their source. The Nominating and Governance Committee evaluates any potential conflicts of interest on a case by case basis, to the extent they may arise.

The Board of Directors believes that a diverse mix of perspectives and expertise enhances its overall effectiveness. When considering candidates for directorship, including nominees currently serving as directors of the Company, the Nominating and Governance Committee takes into account a number of factors, including the following qualifications: the nominee must have the highest personal and professional integrity and have demonstrated exceptional ability and judgment and the attributes necessary (in

conjunction with the other members of the Board of Directors) to best serve the long term interests of the Company and its stockholders. In addition, the Nominating and Governance Committee reviews from time to time the skills and characteristics necessary and appropriate for directors in light of the then current composition of the Board of Directors, including the following factors:

Director Qualifications and Attributes

- Business and leadership experience, including experience managing and growing organizations worldwide
- Knowledge of the financial services industry and, in particular, the asset management industry
- Diversity—in particular, gender diversity, along with geographic, experiential and ethnic diversity
- Understanding of organizations, processes, strategy, risk management and other factors that promote growth
- Understanding of finance and financial reporting processes

In considering diversity, the Nominating and Governance Committee considers diversity of background and experience, as well as ethnicity, gender and other forms of diversity. The Nominating and Governance Committee recognizes the importance of gender diversity, in particular, as an important factor to consider when evaluating the composition of the Board of Directors. The Nominating and Governance Committee does not have a formal policy regarding diversity in identifying nominees for a directorship, but rather considers it among the various factors relevant to the consideration of any particular nominee. The Nominating and Governance Committee reviews our Corporate Governance Guidelines at least annually to ensure that we continue to meet best corporate governance practice standards.

The current Board of Directors comprises individuals with a substantial variety of skills and expertise, including with respect to investment management across the capital markets; real estate; private equity; international business; academia; and not for profit organizations. The Nominating and Governance Committee believes it is important to maintain a mix of experienced directors with a deep understanding of the Company and newer directors who bring a fresh perspective. The following are highlights on the composition of our current Board of Directors:

Board of Directors Composition

- Two directors are women, representing 25% of the non executive directors • 25% of the non-executive directors are on the Board non-U.S. citizens

- 40% of the directors joined the Board within last five years; Average non-executive director tenure of eight years
- Average director age of 56
- New chairs of all Board committees in the last four years
- New Lead Independent Director in 2015
- Balanced mix of short- and long-tenured directors
- Long-tenured directors in leadership roles

Succession Planning: The Nominating and Governance Committee has primary responsibility for Chief Executive Officer and other key executive succession planning. Succession planning and executive development are fundamental components of the Board of Directors' governance responsibilities, and are regularly discussed by the Committee with management present as well as in executive sessions. This advance evaluation and planning put the Board of Directors in a position to immediately react to the developments in 2018 that led to the initiation of its long term succession plan. In May 2018, the Company announced that Sean M. Healey had been diagnosed with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (a motor neuron disease otherwise known as ALS, or Lou Gehrig's disease). The Board of Directors immediately initiated its long term succession plan, with Nathaniel Dalton, the Company's then President and Chief Operating Officer, succeeding Mr. Healey as Chief Executive Officer and joining the Board of Directors, and Mr. Healey appointed as Executive Chairman. This was followed in early 2019 by the appointment of Jay C. Horgen, the Company's Chief Financial Officer, as President and the announcement that a new Chief Financial Officer, Thomas M. Wojcik, would be joining AMG from BlackRock, Inc.

The appointment of Mr. Dalton as Chief Executive Officer and Mr. Horgen as President, along with the retention of Mr. Healey in the Executive Chairman role, was an approach that the Board of Directors determined to be in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders, and provides continuity of leadership and draws on the strengths and experiences of the Company's most senior executives. The directors continue to serve as a source of strategic strength for the Company and for Mr. Dalton and Mr. Horgen in their new roles, bringing significant diversity in skills, experiences and perspectives.

2018 CEO Transition and Ongoing Succession Planning

New Executive Chairman Role

- For over 20 years, Mr. Healey's strategic vision and leadership have shaped AMG and driven its long-term success, and AMG continues to benefit from Mr. Healey's contributions through his new position as Executive Chairman
- The new Executive Chairman role, combined with a strong Lead Independent Director, supports a number of important objectives
 - Retains Mr. Healey in a leadership role, keeping him actively involved in the development and oversight of AMG's strategy as he pursues treatment
 - Provides continuity in the execution of AMG's business and strategy, as well as support for the senior management team
 - Maintains continuity in Board governance, with Mr. Healey continuing to chair meetings of the Board and coordinate its agenda, in consultation with AMG's CEO, President and Lead Independent Director, and continuing to guide AMG's strategic direction
 - Separates the Chairman and CEO functions, while retaining a Chairman who has unparalleled knowledge of AMG's business and operations and is a widely recognized leader in the asset management industry
- The total compensation of AMG's Executive Chairman declined 43% compared to 2017; significant relative reduction expected for the 2019 performance year, as the senior management team continues to evolve; Executive Chairman compensation structure on a forward basis will reflect (i) Mr. Healey's role as Executive Chairman for a full calendar year (as compared to 2018 when he served as CEO for part of the year), (ii) market comparisons for the role and (iii) award mix and levels that further the Committee's objectives of aligning compensation with stockholder value creation and retaining and motivating executives

Ongoing Transition and Succession Planning Activities and Continued Enhancement of Senior Team

- Nathaniel Dalton appointed as CEO in May 2018, with long tenure and a uniquely deep understanding of AMG's business
 - Joined AMG in 1996, and has worked side-by-side with Mr. Healey for nearly 25 years as one of AMG's founders; appointed as COO of AMG in 2006 and President in 2011
 - Responsible for AMG's Affiliate relationship management function for nearly 20 years and leading the development of AMG's centralized global distribution function from its earliest stages, with close involvement in strategic decisions and execution throughout AMG's history
 - Provides continuity in the execution of AMG's overall strategy, which is to be the partner of choice to the world's most highly-regarded boutique investment management firms, and a global leader in asset management
- Jay C. Horgen appointed as President in February 2019, in an expanding role as part of the Board's long term succession plan
 - Promotion reflects the continuing evolution of Mr. Horgen's responsibility set and his increasingly broad leadership role at AMG, as well as his central and significant role in supporting Mr. Healey and Mr. Dalton in managing the business

- 25-year focus on the asset management business and a long and successful tenure at AMG—joined AMG in 2007 to oversee New Investments, directly overseeing eight Affiliate investments; promoted to CFO in 2011 and built out the finance department as AMG became a more global company operating in an increasingly complex regulatory environment

- Broadened role involves working closely with the Head of Global Distribution to execute AMG's growth strategy across its distribution platforms, as well as continuing to oversee AMG's finance and capital management functions and continuing to be involved in building relationships with prospective Affiliates

- Additional senior management team transition and succession planning activities are ongoing, with several senior members evolving into new roles and additional members joining the team

- In March 2019, AMG announced the appointment of Thomas M. Wojcik as its next CFO; Mr. Wojcik will join AMG in April 2019 and transition to CFO no later than the announcement of AMG's earnings for the second quarter of 2019; he joins AMG from BlackRock, Inc., where he most recently served as Managing Director and Chief Financial Officer for Europe, Middle East, and Africa (EMEA), Head of EMEA Strategy, and Global Head of Investor Relations, and brings distinctive industry experience as an investor, operator and strategic leader

- Other senior promotions include Alexandra Lynn, who joined AMG in 2009, to Chief Administrative Officer, and John Erickson, who joined AMG in 2014, to taking sole responsibility for AMG's Affiliate relationship management as Executive Vice President, Head of Affiliate Development

- The Board continues to focus on implementing its long term succession plan, and on further developing and expanding the senior management team, to maintain a breadth and depth of talent to ensure that AMG is well positioned to continue to execute against its strategy

Board Size: The Nominating and Governance Committee assesses the size and composition of the Board of Directors each year. Consistent with our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Nominating and Governance Committee believes that our Board of Directors' current size of ten is appropriate, given the size and complexity of the Company and the markets in which we operate.

Executive Sessions of Non Executive Directors: Our non executive directors regularly meet in scheduled executive sessions without management present. In accordance with the charter of the Nominating and Governance Committee and the By laws, Mr. Ryan, the Chair of the Nominating and Governance Committee, also serves as the Lead Independent Director, responsible for calling and chairing the executive sessions, including during the annual Board of Directors offsite, and communicating with the Chairman of the Board of Directors and the Company's Chief Executive Officer.

Board and Committee Self Assessments and Individual Director Assessments: We recognize the critical role that Board of Directors and committee evaluations play in ensuring the effective functioning of our Board of Directors, including in assessing candidates for directorship. To this end, the Lead Independent Director, supported by our Nominating and Governance Committee, oversees the annual self assessment of the Board of Directors and of each committee of the Board of Directors. Directors assess performance and consider various structural and procedural considerations, including the annual selection process for director nominees and communications and interactions with management generally. The Nominating and Governance Committee periodically reviews the format of the Board of Directors and committee self assessment processes to ensure that actionable feedback is solicited on the operation of the Board of Directors and director performance. The Nominating and Governance Committee also oversees annual individual director assessments as part of the recommendation process for director nominees. The table set forth below provides a general overview of the annual self assessment and director assessment processes.

Board and Committee Self-Assessments and Individual Director Assessments

Questionnaire	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Evaluation questionnaire solicits director feedback on a variety of procedural and substantive topics
Executive Session	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Executive session discussion of Board and committee self-assessments led by the Lead Independent Director
Individual Director Assessments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Individual director assessments support an annual evaluation of the Board's composition to ensure that our Board as a whole continues to reflect the appropriate mix of skills and experience

Board Summary

- Summary of Board and committee self-assessments results presented by the Lead Independent Director, followed by a discussion of the full Board

Feedback
Incorporated

- Policies and practices updated as appropriate, as a result of director feedback

Chief Executive Officer Evaluation: The Lead Independent Director oversees an annual performance evaluation of our Chief Executive Officer. As part of this assessment, the Lead Independent Director solicits director feedback on a variety of performance considerations. The Lead Independent Director then synthesizes the directors' feedback and discusses the results with our Chief Executive Officer in a one on one meeting. The Lead Independent Director reports on the results of the evaluation at an executive session of the Board of Directors.

Director On Boarding and Training: When a new non executive director joins the Board of Directors, we provide an orientation program that includes personal briefings by senior management on the Company's operations, strategic plans, financial statements, governance, and key policies and practices. New directors also undergo in depth training on the work of each committee of the Board of Directors. Throughout their tenure on the Board of Directors, each director is expected to maintain the necessary knowledge and information to perform his or her responsibilities as a director. To assist the directors in understanding the Company and its industry and maintaining the level of expertise required for directors, the Company may, from time to time, offer Company sponsored continuing education programs or presentations, including sessions on select topics during the annual Board of Directors offsite. Additional training is also provided when a director assumes a leadership role, such as becoming the chair of a committee.

Leadership Structure: The Board of Directors currently separates the role of Chairman of the Board of Directors from the role of Chief Executive Officer, through the position of Executive Chairman, established in 2018. This leadership structure is further enhanced by the active involvement of the Lead Independent Director. The Company does not have a fixed policy with respect to the separation of the offices of the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, but regularly reviews the structure and believes that the Executive Chairman role, together with a strong Lead Independent Director, is an appropriate and effective leadership structure for the Company at this time. The appointment of Mr. Healey as Executive Chairman retains an experienced Chairman who has

unparalleled knowledge of AMG's business and operations and is a widely recognized leader in the asset management industry. In this role, Mr. Healey continues to chair meetings of the Board of Directors and coordinate the agenda, in consultation with our Lead Independent Director and our Chief Executive Officer, and continues to guide the Company's strategic direction.

The Lead Independent Director position provides for effective checks and balances to ensure the exercise of independent judgment by the Board of Directors and the ability of the non executive directors to work effectively in the board setting. The Company initiated the Lead Independent Director position in 2004, and Mr. Ryan assumed the role in February 2015 and continues to serve as the Company's Lead Independent Director. Mr. Ryan was selected as Lead Independent Director given his excellent qualifications, including his extensive executive management experience, particularly in previous chief executive officer roles at several public and private companies, as well as his current role as Executive Chairman of Press Ganey Holdings. Given Mr. Ryan's service to our Board of Directors for over a decade, including service on all of the committees and as chair of several committees during his tenure, the Board of Directors believes that his extensive knowledge (and participation in the execution) of the Company's corporate strategy over the long term, along with his executive management experience outside of AMG, position him as an effective and strong Lead Independent Director. The Lead Independent Director's principal responsibilities include: serving as a key source of communication between the non executive directors, the Executive Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer; ensuring the flow of appropriate information to and among non executive directors; leading, with the assistance of the Nominating and Governance Committee, the Board of Directors' annual self assessment process and annual performance evaluation of the Chief Executive Officer; and coordinating the agenda for and leading executive sessions and meetings of the non executive directors.

Lead Independent Director Responsibilities

- Board leadership: Provides leadership to the Board and to the non-executive directors, including in executive sessions
- Board governance processes: In coordination with the Nominating and Governance Committee, guides the Board's governance processes, including leading the annual Board and committee self-assessments
- Liaison between Chairman and non-executive directors: Regularly meets with the Chairman and serves as liaison between the Executive Chairman, the CEO and the non-executive directors
- Board discussion items: Works with the Chairman to propose major discussion items for the Board's approval
- Leadership of executive sessions: Leads quarterly executive sessions of the Board
- CEO evaluation: Leads the annual performance evaluation of the CEO
- Additional executive sessions: May call additional meetings of the non-executive directors as needed
- Stockholder communications: Available for direct communication with AMG's stockholders

The Board of Directors will continue to review its leadership structure, and although there are no current plans to do so, the Board of Directors may change its structure in the future if it believes that doing so would be in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

Risk Oversight: It is a key responsibility of our Executive Chairman, our Chief Executive Officer, our President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, our General Counsel and other members of our senior management team to identify, assess and manage the Company's exposure to risk. The Board of Directors plays an important role in overseeing management's performance of these functions. The Board of Directors has approved the charter of the Audit Committee, which provides that one of the primary responsibilities of the Audit Committee is the discussion of the Company's financial risks and steps management has taken to monitor and control such risks, including with respect to risk assessment and risk management policies. The Audit Committee regularly discusses with management and the Company's independent auditors the Company's risk assessment and risk management processes, including major risk exposures, risk mitigants, and the design and effectiveness of the Company's processes and controls to prevent and detect fraudulent activity. Furthermore, the Audit Committee and the Board of Directors as a whole receive regular reports from management and our independent auditors on prevailing material risks and the actions being taken to mitigate them, including reports regarding the Company's business and operations. Management also reports to the Audit Committee and the Board of Directors regarding enhancements made to our risk management processes and controls in light of evolving market, business, regulatory and other conditions, including those related to environmental, social and governance ("ESG") factors, including privacy and data security.

Corporate Environmental, Social and Governance Responsibility: We believe that sound corporate citizenship and attention to governance and environmental principles are essential to our success and that of our Affiliates. We are committed to operating with integrity, contributing to the local communities surrounding our global offices, promoting diversity and inclusion, developing our employees and being thoughtful stewards of natural resources. We are also focused on the security of our data and safeguarding

our clients' privacy. Our Board of Directors provides oversight of these ESG topics, and is committed to supporting the Company's efforts to operate as a sound corporate citizen. We have a cross functional Sustainability Committee with oversight responsibility of our policies and operational controls of environmental, health and safety, and social risks. The Sustainability Committee includes members of our executive management team and reports to the Board of Directors at least annually. We believe that an integrated approach to business strategy, corporate governance and corporate citizenship creates long term value. The following summary highlights certain of our policies and initiatives in these areas. To learn more, please see the "Responsibility" section of our website at www.amg.com/responsibility.html.

Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Highlights

- Work Environment • Equal employment opportunity hiring practices, policies and management of employees
- Anti-harassment policy that prohibits hostility or aversion towards individuals in protected categories, and prohibits sexual harassment in any form, and details how to report and respond to harassment issues and strictly prohibits retaliation against any employee for reporting harassment
- Diversity and Inclusion
- Committed to fostering and promoting an inclusive and globally diverse work environment
 - Formal policies that forbid discrimination based on protected classifications
 - Two directors are female, representing 25% of the non-executive members of the Board
- Privacy and Data Security
- Maintaining privacy policies, management oversight, accountability structures and technology design processes to protect privacy and personal data
 - Data security program is governed by a senior management committee that meets regularly and reports to the Board at least annually
- Community Investment
- AMG and The AMG Charitable Foundation both donate to a variety of non-profit organizations and community programs globally
 - Company-wide campaigns support many charities in local communities surrounding AMG office locations around the world, and AMG encourages employees to volunteer for and serve on boards of non-profit organizations and supports employee gift-matching to eligible non-profit institutions

Edgar Filing: PVH CORP. /DE/ - Form 11-K

- A significant charitable gift in 2018 established The Sean M. Healey and AMG Center for ALS at Mass General

- Business Conduct and Ethics Codes
- A strong corporate culture that promotes the highest standards of ethics and compliance for AMG's business; the majority of AMG's directors have an extensive background and experience in risk management
 - Code of Business Conduct and Ethics sets forth principles to guide employee and director conduct

- Anti-Bribery and Corruption Policies
- Policies on political contributions and other restricted payments require full compliance with all applicable political contribution and anticorruption laws
 - Whistleblower hotline for confidential reporting of any suspected violations

- Business Continuity
- Business continuity policies to ensure the safety of AMG's personnel, facilities and critical business functions in case of natural disasters

- Responsible Investing
- Eleven Affiliates are signatories to the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment (UNPRI) and five are signatories to the UK Stewardship Code
 - AMG's U.S. retail distribution platform was identified as one of the top three "Most ESG Friendly Fund Shops" in 2018, with 65% of its actively-managed equity fund assets in products with "high" or "above average" ESG scores
 - Environmental sustainability factors are incorporated into AMG's assessment process for prospective new Affiliates

- Environment
- During 2018, completed AMG's first inventory and secured third-party attestation of AMG's GhG Emissions and participated in the CDP Climate Change Information Request
 - Recently transitioned to renewable energy sources at multiple office locations
 - Eliminated single-use plastic water bottles and straws from AMG's principal offices
 - Programs to promote the procurement of products and materials which have high concentrations of recycled materials

Governance

- Formal weighting of ESG factors added to compensation determination process
- Strong focus on corporate governance since AMG's inception, with best practices in corporate governance (see Governance Highlights on page 17)

In 2018, in furtherance of our ongoing commitment to serving our local communities through philanthropy, the Company made a \$20 million one time matching gift to advance scientific research in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and develop effective treatments for people with ALS, by helping to establish The Sean M. Healey and AMG Center for ALS at Mass General. This matching gift honored Mr. Healey, our long time leader, and initiated a broader fundraising effort including a grant from The AMG Charitable Foundation, as well as gifts from Mr. Healey, other AMG employees, partners, and other individuals and organizations. The Healey Center will provide meaningful support to research professionals and dedicated physician scientists working together to find a cure for ALS and deliver personalized care and greatly increased access to therapies for people with the disease, benefiting people with ALS both locally and globally. This gift reflects AMG's commitment to supporting non-profit organizations in our communities and to fostering a culture of philanthropy and sound corporate citizenship among our employees and peers.

Related Person Transaction Oversight: Pursuant to its charter, the Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing any related person transaction identified by management or other directors and, in accordance with this authority, has determined that there have been no related person transactions requiring disclosure under Item 404(a) of Regulation S K other than those discussed below under the caption "Other Matters—Related Person Transactions."

Policies and Procedures Regarding Related Person Transactions: Under the Company's written policy regarding related person transactions, the Audit Committee must approve all "related person transactions." A related person transaction is any transaction that is reportable by the Company under paragraph (a) of Item 404 of Regulation S K in which the Company or one of its wholly owned subsidiaries or majority owned Affiliates is or will be a participant and the amount involved exceeds \$120,000 and in which any director, nominee for director, executive officer, any person known to the Company to be a beneficial owner of 5% or more of its voting securities or an immediate family member of any of the foregoing has or will have a direct or indirect material interest. Pursuant to the policy, potential related person transactions are reported to the General Counsel who evaluates the potential transaction to determine whether it is a potential related person transaction. If it is, the General Counsel reports the potential transaction to the Audit Committee for review. The policy also authorizes the Chair of the Audit Committee to ratify, rescind or take any such other action required with respect to any related person transaction not previously approved or ratified under the policy that comes to the General Counsel's attention. The policy sets forth the standards of review to be considered in deciding whether to approve or ratify related person transactions.

In addition, the Audit Committee has considered and adopted standing pre approvals under the policy for limited transactions with related persons. Pre approved transactions include (i) employment as an executive officer, if the related compensation is approved (or recommended to the Board of Directors for approval) by the Compensation Committee; (ii) any compensation paid to a director if the compensation is consistent with the Company's director compensation policies and is required to be reported in the Company's proxy statement under applicable compensation disclosure requirements; (iii) any transaction with another company at which a related person's only relationship is as an employee (other than an executive officer) or director or beneficial owner of less than 10% of that company's equity, if the aggregate amount involved does not exceed the greater of \$1,000,000 or 2% of that company's total annual revenue; (iv) any charitable contribution, grant or endowment by the Company or the Company's charitable foundation to a charitable organization, foundation or university at which a related person's only relationship is as an employee (other than an executive officer), if the aggregate amount involved does not exceed the greater of \$1,000,000 or 2% of such charitable organization's total annual receipts; (v) any transaction where the related person's interest arises solely from the ownership of the Company's common stock and all holders of the Company's common stock received the same benefit on a pro rata basis, such as dividends; (vi) any transaction involving a related person where the rates or charges involved are determined by competitive bids; and (vii) any service provided by the Company to any related person, provided that such service is in the ordinary course of business and on substantially the same terms as those prevailing at the time for comparable services provided to non related persons.

Prohibition Against Hedging Transactions: Pursuant to the Company's insider trading policy, all directors, officers and employees of the Company and its subsidiaries, including spouses and immediate family members of such persons, are prohibited from engaging in short sales or any other form of hedging transaction involving Company securities. This prohibition also applies to any other economically equivalent transaction by any such individuals that would result in a net short exposure to the Company. We believe our anti hedging policy further aligns our directors' and our officers' interests with those of our stockholders.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation: The members of the Compensation Committee during fiscal year 2018 include those individuals set forth above under "Compensation Committee." No person who served as a member of the Compensation Committee during 2018 has been an officer or employee of the Company or has been involved in any related person transactions. No executive officer of the Company serves on the compensation committee or board of directors of another company that has an executive officer that serves (or served during 2018) on the Company's Compensation Committee or Board of Directors.

Engagement with Our Stockholders: Since our inception as a public company, we have maintained an active engagement with our stockholders, meeting with them extensively throughout the year as part of our investor outreach, and we have a history of integrating stockholder feedback into our corporate governance practices and our executive compensation program design. In 2018 and 2019 year to date, we held over 200 meetings with our stockholders, including a majority of our top 30 stockholders, to discuss the Company’s performance and prospects, as well as trends affecting the investment management industry. We also continued our specific outreach effort with our institutional investors to discuss corporate governance topics affecting the Company as well as our philosophy and practices relating to our executive compensation program. This involved discussions with the corporate governance teams at our largest stockholders, as well as many others, representing in aggregate over one-third of our voting shares.

Stockholder Engagement		
Participants	Types of Engagement	Topics Covered
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Members of the Board of Directors Executive Management Investor Relations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Investor conferences Earnings conference calls One on one Investor conference calls and one on one Investor meetings Outreach, calls and meetings with Investors’ corporate governance departments Annual votes on director elections and Say on Pay 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strategic and financial performance and goals, and business initiatives Board composition: qualifications, skills and leadership structure Executive compensation policies and design Regulatory considerations Risk management, including cybersecurity Corporate governance trends, including ESG considerations

Stockholder and Interested Party Communications: Stockholders and other interested parties may communicate directly with the Board of Directors and the Lead Independent Director as follows:

Stockholder Communications

Board of Directors

David M. Billings

Edgar Filing: PVH CORP. /DE/ - Form 11-K

Any communications to the full Board of Directors may be directed to Mr. Billings, General Counsel and Secretary of the Company, who would discuss as appropriate with the Board of Directors

Affiliated Managers Group, Inc.

777 South Flagler Drive

West Palm Beach, Florida 33401-6152

Lead Independent Director A stockholder or other interested party may communicate directly with Mr. Ryan, the Lead Independent Director, by sending a confidential letter addressed to his attention

Patrick T. Ryan, Director

c/o Affiliated Managers Group, Inc.

777 South Flagler Drive

West Palm Beach, Florida 33401-6152

Availability of Corporate Governance Documents: We maintain a Company website that includes, among other items, the Corporate Governance Guidelines; the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applicable to all directors, officers and employees; the Code of Ethics applicable to our Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Financial Officer, and other senior financial officers; and the charters for the Audit, Compensation, and Nominating and Governance Committees. This information is available on the “Investor Relations” section of our website, www.amg.com, under “Corporate Governance—Policies, Procedures and Guidelines,” or for the Committee charters under “Corporate Governance—Board of Directors,” but is not incorporated by reference into this Proxy Statement. If we make any substantive amendment to the Code of Ethics or grant any waiver, including any implicit waiver, from a provision of the Code of Ethics to certain executive officers, we are obligated to disclose the nature of such amendment or waiver, the name of the person to whom any waiver was granted, and the date of waiver on our website or in a report on Form 8 K.

Information Regarding Executive Officers of the Company

The name, age (as of April 1, 2019) and positions of each of our executive officers, as well as a description of their business experience and past employment during at least the last five years, are set forth below:

Executive Officer Information

Name	Age	Position	Biographical Information
Sean M. Healey	57	Executive Chairman	For the biographical information of Mr. Healey, see “Information Regarding the Nominees” above.
Nathaniel Dalton	52	Chief Executive Officer	For the biographical information of Mr. Dalton, see “Information Regarding the Nominees” above.
Jay C. Horgen	48	President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	Mr. Horgen has served as President of the Company since February 2019 and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since May 2011. Previously, Mr. Horgen served as Executive Vice President of the Company in New Investments. Prior to joining AMG in 2007, Mr. Horgen was a founder and Managing Director of Eastside Partners, a private equity firm. Prior to that, Mr. Horgen served as a Managing Director in the Financial Institutions Group at Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated. From 1993 to 2000, he worked as an investment banker in the Financial Institutions Group at Goldman, Sachs & Co. Mr. Horgen received a B.A. from Yale University.
Hugh P. B. Cutler	46	Executive Vice President and Head of Global Distribution	Mr. Cutler joined the Company in March of 2017 and serves as Executive Vice President and Head of Global Distribution. Mr. Cutler has over twenty years of experience in leading multi-region sales and marketing organizations across investment management firms, including Barclays Global Investors Ltd, Legal & General Investment Management Ltd., and Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC. In these roles, he had leadership responsibilities spanning across a broad range of strategies, products, client types and geographies. Mr. Cutler began his career as a consultant and actuary at Towers Perrin. Mr. Cutler is a Fellow of the Institute of Actuaries in the United Kingdom and received a B.Sc. in Mathematics with First Class Honours from Bristol University.
David M. Billings	56	General Counsel and Secretary	Mr. Billings has served as General Counsel and Secretary of the Company since June 2014. Prior to joining AMG, Mr. Billings was a partner at Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, where he led the firm’s investment funds practice in London. Mr. Billings received a J.D. from Harvard Law School and a B.A. with high honors from the University of Virginia.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Executive Summary

This section provides discussion and analysis of our executive compensation program, including the elements of executive compensation, the 2018 compensation results, the rationale and process for reaching these results, and our compensation governance policies. The compensation results discussed will be those of our Chief Executive Officer, Nathaniel Dalton, and our other named executive officers.

The Compensation Committee designs the executive compensation program to align management incentives with long term stockholder interests. The executive compensation structure reflects this philosophy, with the substantial majority of total annual compensation in the form of variable performance based incentive awards. The significant majority of these variable performance based incentive awards are in the form of equity incentive awards, with delivery of more than half of these awards tied to the achievement of rigorous pre established performance targets. The Committee's compensation determinations for 2018 reflected its long term philosophy of aligning pay with performance, and relied on formal assessments of AMG's performance and accomplishments for the year and over the long term, on both a relative and absolute basis (the "Performance Assessments").

In conducting the Performance Assessments, the Committee recognized the Company's performance for both the year and over the long term. These results occurred against the backdrop of a challenging environment for active asset managers. Despite our strong long term stock performance, the Committee recognized the stock price decrease for 2018 and over the trailing 3 and 5 year periods, reflecting elevated market volatility, particularly in the fourth quarter of 2018, and financial and operating performance that was impacted by the broadly negative returns across asset classes. Notwithstanding these challenges, the Committee also recognized the continued focus on building relationships with prospective new Affiliates, the formation of new strategic partnerships to enhance AMG's product set and expand distribution capabilities, including the partnership with Nordea Asset Management to deepen coverage of European and Latin American clients, and AMG's new office in Japan. The Committee further recognized the management team's active pursuit of opportunities to improve efficiencies and reduce Company expenses (including both operating expenses and interest expense, lowering AMG's cost of capital), while also working with a number of Affiliates to assist in aligning their business infrastructures with foreseeable opportunities and against evolving industry dynamics. Alongside these strategic and operational accomplishments, the senior management team also successfully executed on the Board of Directors' long term succession plan, following an unexpected CEO transition during the year. As a result of this transition, Mr. Healey, Mr. Dalton and Mr. Horgen all moved into new positions, which the Compensation Committee took into account in the final compensation determinations for the year. Recognizing the Company's stock performance and its financial results over the year, total 2018 compensation for our named executive officers declined relative to the prior year—consistent with our compensation program philosophy of aligning pay with long term performance. This included a 44% decrease in the variable performance based incentive compensation ("Performance Based Incentive Compensation") granted to our Chief Executive Officer, as well as a decrease in the combined total compensation of our Executive Chairman and our Chief Executive Officer (each of whom performed in the Chief Executive Officer role during a portion of 2018) of 29% and a decrease in the combined total compensation of our named executive officers as a group of more than 20%.

Throughout this Compensation Discussion and Analysis we discuss the 2018 compensation results for our named executive officers, which include equity awards granted in February 2019 in recognition of 2018 performance, and exclude equity awards granted in January 2018 in recognition of 2017 performance, to better demonstrate how we evaluate and compensate our named executive officers. These amounts differ from the compensation reported in the Summary Compensation Table because SEC rules governing the reporting of compensation in that table require equity awards to be reported in the fiscal year of grant, even where the awards are intended to compensate executives for performance in a prior year. Please refer to the "Executive Compensation Tables" and "Equity Grant Policy" sections of

this Proxy Statement for additional information.

Overview of Our Executive Compensation Program Philosophy

The Compensation Committee has structured our executive compensation program over the long term to further several core objectives, which include the following:

- Attracting, retaining and motivating key members of senior management
- Closely aligning executive compensation with our performance and accomplishments for the year and over the long term

27

- Focusing executives on long term performance with equity incentive awards, with a majority of these awards subject to rigorous pre established performance targets measured over a forward multi year period
 - Compensating executives based on a combination of Company performance—on both a relative and absolute basis—and individual performance
 - Avoiding incentives that might encourage excessive risk taking
 - Routinely reviewing and evolving our compensation program to incorporate feedback from stockholders and best practices into our executive compensation program design
- These objectives inform the design of our compensation program, which includes the following components:

- Awarding fixed and variable Performance Based Incentive Compensation in an appropriate mix to align management incentives with stockholder value creation
 - Performing market comparisons to ensure that our compensation is in line with that of our Peer Group
 - Implementing strong compensation governance practices within a robust corporate governance framework
- Stockholder and Proxy Advisory Firm Feedback and Surveys

To ensure that our Board of Directors, including the Compensation Committee, is apprised of stockholder and proxy advisory firm views, senior management regularly meets with and surveys these constituents regarding our executive compensation program and governance matters. As part of this process, we conduct regular outreach initiatives with the corporate governance teams at our largest stockholders, as well as representatives from major proxy advisory firms.

At our last Annual Meeting, over 94% of stockholder votes cast were in favor of our named executive officer compensation, reflecting strong support for our executive compensation program design and its demonstrated linkage of pay for performance. This high level of support followed a comprehensive outreach to stockholders to discuss a broad range of topics, including executive compensation and governance matters. During this outreach, senior management attended in person meetings with top institutional investors and major proxy advisory firms, in several of which our Compensation Committee Chair, Jide Zeitlin, participated. The Compensation Committee considered the feedback from this outreach, and implemented meaningful enhancements to our compensation program in 2017.

This engagement continued after our 2018 Annual Meeting, and during that ongoing process stockholders expressed support for the 2017 enhancements, including the following:

- Significantly increased the proportion of performance based equity awards to 60% of our CEO’s total equity incentive awards, with the result that performance conditioned equity is now the largest component of total CEO compensation
- Revised the weightings of the performance scorecard categories, to increase the quantitative financial and stock performance factors and reduce the weighting of the more subjective strategic factors
- Resolved performance award “re testing” concerns through use of a single operating metric hurdle measured over a single three year period
- Implemented a new return on equity metric for performance awards, to better align management incentives with the strategic goals of both growing earnings and effectively managing capital
- Significantly expanded our Performance Assessments disclosure, including disclosure of the scores for each scorecard category as well as the financial targets used to determine formulaic cash bonus awards
- Established distinct caps on Performance Based Incentive Compensation for each NEO, in addition to the CEO, and lowered the existing cap on CEO Performance Based Incentive Compensation by 20%

Following our 2018 Annual Meeting, we continued our engagement with stockholders and proxy advisory firms on our executive compensation program and governance practices. This outreach included meetings with institutional investors over the course of the year, as well as multiple in person meetings with major proxy advisory firms. Our Compensation Committee Chair, Jide Zeitlin, once again attended multiple meetings with top institutional investors and a major proxy advisory firm. The collective feedback from this outreach was taken into account and is reflected in

further enhancements made to our corporate governance practices and compensation program design this year, as well as in the Committee's final compensation determinations.

2018 Stockholder Comments and

Governance and Compensation Program Design Enhancements

Stockholder Comments	AMG Response
<p>Comment #1</p> <p>Maximum award values remain high</p>	<p>Total 2018 CEO compensation declined 43% compared to 2017, which followed declines in each of the last five years for an overall reduction of approximately 65% since 2013, demonstrating consistent and ongoing attention to this concern and the impact of compensation program enhancements</p> <p>The total compensation of AMG’s Executive Chairman declined 43% compared to 2017; significant relative reduction expected for the 2019 performance year, as the senior management team continues to evolve; Executive Chairman compensation structure on a forward basis will reflect (i) Mr. Healey’s role as Executive Chairman for a full calendar year (as compared to 2018 when he served as CEO for part of the year), (ii) market comparisons for the role and (iii) award mix and levels that further the Committee’s objectives of aligning compensation with stockholder value creation and retaining and motivating executives</p>
<p>Comment #2</p> <p>CEO compensation caps are high</p>	<p>Aggregate total compensation of AMG’s Executive Chairman and its CEO (who each performed in the CEO role during a portion of 2018) declined 29%; total NEO compensation as a group declined more than 20%</p> <p>Significantly reduced the existing caps on Performance Based Incentive Compensation for AMG’s CEO, including lowering the cap on CEO Performance Based Incentive Compensation from \$20.0 million to \$17.5 million and reducing the separate cash bonus cap from \$4.5 million to \$4.0 million—a 12.5% reduction on the overall cap; reflects a 30% reduction of the cap on Performance Based Incentive Compensation for AMG’s CEO over the last two years</p>
<p>Comment #3</p> <p>Performance Assessments should be more formulaic</p>	<p>Performance Assessment scorecards have been enhanced to add disclosure of sub category weightings, for both the Long Term and Short Term Performance Assessments</p> <p>Removed the supplemental performance metrics from the Short Term Incentive Compensation scorecard; scoring in the financial performance category is now entirely linked to pre established disclosed financial performance targets</p>
<p>Comment #4</p> <p>Executives should hold significantly larger equity stakes</p>	<p>Expanded disclosure on the more subjective qualitative business and strategic factors considered in the analysis, to increase transparency and display the formulaic nature of the process</p> <p>Equity Ownership Guidelines were revised in 2019 to increase the holding requirement for AMG’s President and CFO from 7x to 10x his annual base salary</p> <p>Equity Ownership Guidelines require AMG’s CEO and its President and CFO to each hold 10x their annual base salary, which are higher than industry average requirements; each holds shares of AMG stock representing >25x their respective salaries, significantly exceeding the required levels</p>

Ongoing consideration of potential policies and programs to promote further accumulation and retention of equity stakes in AMG by AMG's senior management team, to further align management incentives with stockholder value creation

Comment #5 ESG activities should factor into incentive award decisions

Formal weighting of ESG factors added to both the Short Term and Long Term Performance Assessment scorecards

Comment #6 Greater attention should be paid

During 2018, AMG made significant progress in implementing a number of key ESG initiatives, including:

to ESG matters

- new policies and practices to promote an inclusive and diverse work environment
- completed the first inventory and secured third party attestation of AMG's GhG Emissions and participated in the CDP Climate Change Information Request
- transitioned to renewable energy sources at multiple office locations
- eliminated single use plastic water bottles and straws from AMG's principal offices
- further focused efforts to promote procurement of products and materials which have high concentrations of recycled materials

AMG and The AMG Charitable Foundation both donate to a variety of non profit organizations and community programs globally, and in 2018 approved a significant charitable gift to establish The Sean M. Healey and AMG Center for ALS at Mass General

The Compensation Committee recognizes that in enhancing the compensation program, stockholder input is critical for ensuring the continued alignment of management and stockholder interests, and the Committee promotes an active process of stockholder engagement throughout the year. Our management team continues to communicate with our largest stockholders and proxy advisory firms, and to follow developments in their methodologies and analyses to ensure that the Company and our Board of Directors remain apprised of current and potential future developments.

Named Executive Officer Annual Compensation Determination Process

The Compensation Committee's annual compensation process begins during Committee meetings early in the fiscal year and continues throughout the year, with periodic reviews of the Company's financial performance on both a relative and absolute basis and progress on various strategic objectives, as well as discussions regarding the principles and continuing effectiveness of the compensation program. The Committee, including, in particular, the Committee Chair, meets with its independent outside executive compensation consulting firm, Thomas E. Shea & Associates, LLC (our "Compensation Consultant"), at key points throughout the year to consider the compensation of the peer companies set forth in the "Market and Industry Comparison" section of this Proxy Statement (our "Peer Group") and potential structures for incentive awards. The Committee considers the components of the compensation program (including the mix of compensation elements, market level compensation and our compensation governance practices) in analyzing the extent to which the program furthers the Committee's objectives of aligning compensation with stockholder value creation while retaining and motivating our executives. As discussed above, the Committee also seeks feedback from stockholders and proxy advisory firms in an ongoing engagement cycle, and takes that feedback into account in both enhancing the compensation program design, as well as in the Committee's final compensation determinations for the year.

As part of this process, our Compensation Consultant regularly provides the Compensation Committee with comprehensive data, including Peer Group equity incentive awards and total direct compensation, along with analyses of the Company's historical pay levels relative to our Peer Group. Our Compensation Consultant also provides projections regarding general executive compensation market trends among other S&P 500[®] companies, as well as the universe of financial institutions that are relevant competitors for executive talent.

Following year end, the Compensation Committee conducts comprehensive Performance Assessments of the Company's accomplishments during the year and over the long term, on both an absolute basis and relative to our Peer Group, and considers the individual contributions of each of the members of our senior management team. As part of the continuous evolution of our compensation program and in response to the stockholder engagement process discussed above, the Committee made further enhancements this year to further evolve our executive compensation program design. In January 2019, based upon the Performance Assessments, the Compensation Committee made final performance based incentive cash and equity award determinations for our named executive officers.

Exhibit 1

Named Executive Officer Compensation Determination Approach

The Compensation Committee designs the executive compensation program to align pay with performance. The following Exhibit provides an overview of our compensation structure, which was used to determine 2018 salary and Performance Based Incentive Compensation (“Total Direct Compensation”) for our Chief Executive Officer and also provided the basis for determining the Total Direct Compensation of our other named executive officers. This structure reflects the Committee’s executive compensation program philosophy of closely aligning management incentives with long term stockholder interests, with the substantial majority of Total Direct Compensation in the form of variable performance based incentive awards, the significant majority of which are in the form of equity incentive awards, and with delivery of more than half of these equity incentive awards tied to the achievement of rigorous pre established performance targets. Consistent with our compensation program approach of aligning pay with performance, our most senior named executive officers typically receive the closest alignment with the targeted ranges.

Exhibit 2

- Base salary is a small portion of Total Direct Compensation
- Equity incentive awards with rigorous performance conditions are the largest component of total NEO compensation
- All compensation other than base salary is variable and performance based, determined using the Incentive Pool and awarded in amounts based on formal Performance Assessments
- Cash is a significantly smaller portion of Total Direct Compensation than equity incentive awards

The following is a summary of the key features of the Compensation Committee’s compensation determination process, including a significant number of enhancements made over the last several years in response to stockholder and proxy advisory firm feedback and to further support our compensation program philosophy of aligning pay with performance:

Continued use of a performance based incentive pool as the basis for determining all variable Performance Based Incentive Compensation award amounts: The performance based incentive pool (the “Incentive Pool”) serves as an overall cap on aggregate Performance Based Incentive Compensation for our named executive officers. The Incentive Pool was established as a percentage of Economic net income, a key operating metric (calculated on a pre tax, pre compensation basis, “Adjusted Economic net income”). The Committee used the Incentive Pool as the basis for determining all Performance Based Incentive Compensation, including cash bonuses and all equity awards, to align the total amount of Performance Based Incentive Compensation with Company performance.

Reduced the annual cap on Performance Based Incentive Compensation and the separate annual cash bonus cap for our Chief Executive Officer by 12.5%: The Compensation Committee applied an annual cap on our Chief Executive Officer’s Performance Based Incentive Compensation (“CEO Performance Based Incentive Compensation”) equal to the lesser of \$17.5 million or 40% of the Incentive Pool, reduced from the prior year cap of the lesser of \$20.0 million or 40% of the Incentive Pool, and imposed a cap on the amount of our Chief Executive Officer’s annual cash bonus of \$4.0 million, reduced from the prior year cap of \$4.5 million. This reduction in the annual cap on Performance Based Incentive Compensation for our Chief Executive Officer reflected a 12.5% reduction over the year and a 30% reduction over the last two years. This structure resulted in a \$13.5 million annual cap on our Chief Executive Officer’s equity incentive awards. The Compensation Committee also applied annual caps on Performance Based Incentive Compensation for our Executive Chairman and for our President and Chief Financial Officer at the lesser of \$10.0 million or 20% of the Incentive Pool, and applied annual caps for our Head of Global Distribution and for our General Counsel at the lesser of \$5.0 million or 10% of the Incentive Pool.

•Determined Short Term and Long Term Performance Based Incentive Compensation using two separate Performance Assessments: Consistent with the prior year, the Compensation Committee determined cash bonus awards (“Short Term Incentive Compensation”) and equity incentive awards (“Long Term Incentive Compensation”) using separate processes:

Performance Assessment for Short Term Incentive Compensation determinations:

Continued use of a Short Term Incentive Compensation performance scorecard to evaluate contributions and progress over the year in two equally weighted categories: (i) business initiatives and (ii) financial performance

In response to stockholder feedback, sub category weightings were added to both scorecard categories and disclosure on the assessment was expanded, to increase transparency into the formulaic determination process; a formal weighting of ESG activities was also added to the factors considered by the Committee

The financial performance assessment measures performance against pre established disclosed financial targets set based on projected performance levels designed to align management incentives with stockholder value creation; targets include Economic earnings per share and EBITDA margin, together tracking the efficiency, stability and growth of our earnings; the supplemental performance metrics used in the prior year were removed so that the financial performance category score is now entirely linked to pre established disclosed financial performance targets The assessment produces a score that is applied to a maximum bonus amount, set based on peer and market data, to produce a formulaic cash bonus award amount

Performance Assessment for Long Term Incentive Compensation determinations:

Continued use of a Long Term Incentive Compensation scorecard, with weighted assessment of our financial results, stock performance and strategic accomplishments for the year and over the long term

The weightings of the two quantitative categories (financial results and stock performance) accounted for two thirds of the overall score, with only one third weightings for the more subjective strategic factors

Expanded disclosure includes weightings in each sub category, to show the linkage between the assessment and the awarded amounts, and to increase transparency

Added formal consideration of ESG factors to the assessment, to promote responsible management of environmental, social and governance practices, and the pursuit of meaningful initiatives to continuously improve AMG’s corporate stewardship

The overall weighted score is applied to the annual cap on Performance Based Incentive Compensation (less the maximum cash bonus award), to produce a formulaic equity award amount

•Allocations of Performance Based Incentive Compensation reflect a significant proportion of performance based equity awards:

A significant majority of Performance Based Incentive Compensation is in the form of equity incentive awards:

Approximately 70% of CEO Performance Based Incentive Compensation was in the form of equity incentive awards, which is in the top quartile of our Peer Group

A substantial majority of the equity incentive awards have transparent performance targets: Of the equity incentive awards, 60% were targeted to be performance awards, granted in the form of Long Term Performance Achievement Awards, with three year cliff vesting and delivery further subject to rigorous pre established performance targets; this allocation was the largest component of our CEO’s Total Direct Compensation and is above our Peer Group average proportion

•Long Term Performance Achievement Awards use a return on equity target, measured over a single three year performance period:

Long Term Performance Achievement Awards are only deliverable if we meet target return on equity levels:

Return on equity targets align management incentives with the strategic goals of not only growing earnings, but also effective stewardship of stockholder capital; the three year measurement period takes into account retained earnings from previous years and indicates the effectiveness of stockholder capital reinvestment

Return on equity incorporates the effect of dividends, share repurchases, new investments, cost containment and reinvestment in the business; the Compensation Committee considered market conditions and the Company’s business performance in choosing the target average return on equity mid point of 17% for the 2018 Long Term Performance Achievement Awards, which is 650 basis points above our current weighted average cost of equity of 10.5%

This metric is used by other S&P 500® financial services companies as an objective measure of management’s effectiveness at using stockholder equity to generate earnings and to encourage responsible long term planning

Use of a single forward looking performance period:

Use of a single forward three year measurement period, with cliff vesting three years from issuance; any portion of the award that will not be delivered following the performance period will be forfeited

This award structure was introduced for the 2017 performance year, and was retained for the 2018 performance year following positive stockholder feedback on our revised award design

Short Term Performance Based Incentive Compensation Determination Process

For 2018 cash bonus award determinations, the Compensation Committee set a maximum cash bonus for our Chief Executive Officer of \$4.0 million, a reduction over the prior year cap of \$4.5 million, to promote relative pay alignment. Following year end, the Compensation Committee conducted a Short Term Incentive Performance Assessment to produce a score based on the weighted categories discussed below, which was applied to the maximum cash bonus to establish a cash bonus award amount. In conducting this assessment, the Committee evaluated contributions and progress over the year in two equally weighted categories, assessing business initiatives and financial performance, and reviewing the factors set forth in the table below. These include quantitative financial factors, as well as qualitative factors that recognize key company and individual achievements. This Performance Assessment process links compensation to performance, as awards are determined after the fiscal year-end based on a review of actual performance for the year.

Exhibit 3

2018 Short-Term Incentive Compensation Performance Assessment

Business Initiatives

Annual Financial Performance

Weighting: 50%

Weighting: 50%

- Individual Objectives and Contributions (Weighting: 20%)
- Economic earnings per share (Weighting: 50%)
- Operational Performance (Weighting: 20%)
- EBITDA margin (Weighting: 50%)

- Strategic Initiatives (Weighting: 20%)
- Capital Management (Weighting: 20%) (See Exhibit 4 for Targets and Achievement Levels)
- Risk Management & ESG Factors (Weighting: 20%)

Business Initiatives Score	60%	Financial Performance Score	40%
Overall Weighted Score	50%		

The Compensation Committee’s assessment recognized the significant business accomplishments achieved over the year, which occurred in a challenging environment for active asset managers and a transition period for the management team. During this period, the senior management team delivered on its commitment to returning capital to stockholders, with an additional increase in our quarterly cash dividend and \$490 million in share repurchases in 2018, and lowered our cost of capital and extended the duration of our borrowings through the refinancing of our credit facilities and entered into foreign currency derivative contracts to access lower interest rates. Further, the Committee recognized the continued focus on cultivating strong relationships with prospective new Affiliates in a challenging transaction environment and on the formation of new strategic partnerships to enhance AMG’s product set and expand distribution capabilities, including the recent partnership with Nordea Asset Management to deepen coverage of European and Latin American clients, and our new office in Japan. The Committee also recognized the management team’s active pursuit of opportunities to improve efficiencies and support the positioning of Affiliates’ businesses for future

opportunities, a recent example of which is combining the investment team at Trilogy Global Advisors with GW&K Investment Management; and reducing Company operating expenses while also working with a number of Affiliates to assist in aligning their business infrastructures with foreseeable opportunities and against evolving industry dynamics.

In addition, the Committee noted the significant progress made in ESG initiatives over the year, under the guidance of our Sustainability Committee, including completing the Company's first inventory and securing third party attestation of our Greenhouse Gas (GhG) Emissions, participation in the CDP Climate Change Information Request, and transitioning to renewable energy sources at multiple office locations. AMG also made a significant one time matching gift to establish The Sean M. Healey and AMG Center for ALS at Mass General, uniting world experts to revolutionize treatments and pursue a cure for ALS, reflecting AMG's commitment to non-profit organizations in our communities and to fostering a culture of philanthropy and sound corporate citizenship among our employees and peers.

Alongside these strategic and operational accomplishments, the senior management team also successfully executed on the Board of Directors' long term succession plan, which the Board initiated following an unexpected CEO transition during the year, with exceptional leadership efforts by Mr. Healey, who was appointed Executive Chairman during 2018, Mr. Dalton, who was appointed Chief Executive Officer and joined the Board of Directors during 2018, and Mr. Horgen, who was appointed President following the end of the year. The Compensation Committee recognized the successful execution on the long term succession plan, as well as the continuing focus on developing and expanding the senior management team, to support the Board's goal of maintaining a breadth and depth of talent to ensure that AMG is well positioned to continue to execute against its strategy. Taken together, these factors resulted in a Business Initiatives score of 60%.

The Compensation Committee's assessment also includes a comparison of our actual results against targets that are set based on projected performance levels reviewed during the Review Period, and are designed to align management incentives with stockholder value creation. These targets include Economic earnings per share and EBITDA margin (Adjusted EBITDA as a percentage of both Aggregate fees and of GAAP Revenue), which together track the efficiency, stability and growth of our earnings. The Compensation Committee recognized the mixed performance for the year against these targets, with Economic earnings per share of \$14.50 for the year, relative to a projected level of \$15.02, and an EBITDA margin of 18% on an Aggregate fee basis, which matched the target level, and 40% on a Revenue basis, relative to a projected level of 42%, resulting in a Financial Performance score of 40%. The assessment resulted in an overall weighted score of 50% and a formulaic cash bonus amount for our Chief Executive Officer of \$2.0 million.

Exhibit 4



Financial targets used in the Short Term Incentive Compensation Performance Assessment are designed to align management incentives with stockholder value creation, and take into account factors known at the time. Actual results may be impacted by a number of external factors, including macroeconomic factors, market changes, and

regulatory or political changes, as well as other factors such as share repurchases and new investments in Affiliates, that may not be anticipated and could significantly impact our business. In performing its assessment, the Compensation Committee recognizes that management must respond to these factors and may take that into account in its review of year end performance against targets. These financial targets are not intended to be

a prediction of the Company’s performance during the performance year or in any future period, and are not intended to provide investors or any other party with guidance about our future financial performance or operating results. See the “Forward Looking Statements” section of our Annual Report on Form 10 K.

Long Term Performance Based Incentive Compensation Determination Process

Following year end, the Compensation Committee conducted its Long Term Incentive Compensation Performance Assessment, based on the weighted categories discussed below, to establish the amount of the Long Term Incentive Compensation awards for 2018. In conducting this assessment, the Committee reviewed the Company’s financial results, stock performance and strategic accomplishments for the year and over the long term, on both a relative and absolute basis. The following Exhibit summarizes the key results that the Compensation Committee considered in its 2018 Long Term Incentive Compensation Performance Assessment, as well as the results of the Committee’s overall assessment. A more detailed description of each performance factor follows the Exhibit.

Exhibit 5

2018 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Performance Assessment		
Key Financial and Operating Metrics	Stockholder Value Creation	Strategic Performance Criteria
Weighting: 33.3%	Weighting: 33.3%	Weighting: 33.3%

Relative Growth Rates
(Weighting: 50%)

- Compound annual growth rates of key financial and operating metrics relative to AMG’s Peer Group over the trailing 1 , 3 and 5 year periods, including AUM, Aggregate fees, GAAP net income, GAAP earnings per share (diluted), Economic net income, Economic earnings per share and Adjusted EBITDA

Relative Stock Performance
(Weighting: 50%)

- Annual and long-term (measured over the trailing 1 , 3 and 5 year periods) stock performance relative to AMG’s Peer Group and the S&P 500®
- Absolute Stock Performance
(Weighting: 50%)

Investment Performance and Organic Growth Generation (Weighting: 30%)

- Investment performance by Affiliates in areas of strategic focus, positioning AMG for future success in areas of strong client demand
- Annual and long term organic growth from net client cash flows, both on an absolute basis and relative to AMG’s Peer Group

Absolute Growth Rates

(Weighting: 50%)

- Compound annual growth rates of key financial and operating metrics over the trailing 1 , 3 and 5 year periods, including AUM, Aggregate fees, GAAP net income, GAAP earnings per share (diluted), Economic net income, Economic earnings per share and Adjusted EBITDA

- Annual and long-term (measured over the trailing 1 , 3 and 5 year periods) stock performance on an absolute basis

New Investments Strategy, Pursuit of Strategic Initiatives and Global Distribution Enhancements (Weighting: 30%)

- Cultivation of relationships with prospective new Affiliates to position AMG for strong future growth; deployment of capital through investments in new Affiliates
- Working with Affiliates on strategic matters and pursuing new strategic partnerships
- Development of AMG’s Global Distribution platform

Capital Management (Weighting: 30%)

- Effective balance sheet management to return capital to stockholders and to ensure adequate capacity and flexibility to execute on AMG’s growth strategy

ESG Strategies and Initiatives (Weighting: 10%)

- Responsible management of environmental, social and governance practices; meaningful initiatives to continuously improve AMG’s corporate stewardship

Key Financial and Operating Metrics Score	Stockholder Value Creation Score	Strategic Performance Criteria Score
40%	0%	60%

Overall Weighted Score 33.3%

36

Performance Category: Key Financial and Operating Performance Metrics (Weighted 33.3%)

The Compensation Committee's Long Term Incentive Compensation Performance Assessment included a review of our key financial and operating metrics, on both a relative and absolute basis. The Committee noted our strong long term results across our key financial and operating metrics, as well as the challenging environment for active asset managers. Our 2018 financial and operating results were impacted by industry wide client risk aversion and elevated market volatility, particularly in the fourth quarter, which produced broadly negative returns across asset classes. Our Aggregate fees for the year were relatively flat compared to the prior year and assets under management were lower, reflecting modestly negative flows for the year as well as the impact of elevated market volatility and foreign exchange rate changes. The Committee also recognized that our year end GAAP results were largely impacted by a small number of one time items, including non cash impairment charges relating to two alternative Affiliates in 2018 and a one time gain in the prior year relating to tax reform, as well as AMG's donation to establish The Sean M. Healey and AMG Center for ALS at Mass General. The following tables set forth the key financial results and growth rates reviewed by the Committee.

Exhibit 6

(1) GAAP net income and GAAP earnings per share for 2018 are also shown as adjusted to add back impairment charges net of taxes totaling \$265 million.

Additional information on non-GAAP financial performance measures, including reconciliations to the most directly comparable GAAP measure, can be found in our Annual Report on Form 10-K under “Supplemental Financial Performance Measures.” Aggregate fees is an operating measure that consists of the total asset- and performance-based fees earned by all of our Affiliates, as further described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

38

AMG's Key Financial and Operating Metrics vs. Peer Averages:

1-, 3- and 5-Year Compound Annual Growth Rates ("CAGRs")

- AUM CAGRs of 12%, +6% and +6% for the 1, 3 and 5 year periods, compared to Peer CAGRs of 7%, +4% and +3% for the same periods
- Aggregate Fees CAGRs of 2%, +10% and +7% for the 1, 3 and 5 year periods, compared to Peer CAGRs of +3% for each of these periods
- GAAP earnings per share (diluted) CAGRs of 62%, 21% and -6% for the 1, 3 and 5 year periods, compared to Peer CAGRs of +24%, +6% and +11% for the same periods
- GAAP net income CAGRs of 65%, 22% and 6% for the 1, 3 and 5 year periods, compared to Peer CAGRs of +22%, +4% and +9% for the same periods
- Economic net income CAGRs of 5%, +4% and +7% for the 1, 3 and 5 year periods, compared to Peer CAGRs of +1%, +7% and +7% for the same periods
- Adjusted EBITDA CAGRs of 14%, +1% and +3% for the 1, 3 and 5 year periods, compared to Peer CAGRs of +4%, +3% and +4% for the same periods
- Economic earnings per share CAGRs of 1%, +5% and +8% for the 1, 3 and 5 year periods, compared to Peer CAGRs of +17%, +9% and +9% for the same periods

The above table includes both GAAP financial measures as well as publicly reported adjusted financial measures of AMG and its peers. Peer EBITDA metrics are calculated using available company data and industry standard calculation methodologies.

Performance Category: Stockholder Value Creation (Weighted 33.3%)

The Compensation Committee's Long Term Incentive Compensation Performance Assessment included a review of our annual and long term stock performance, on both a relative and absolute basis. The Committee noted our stock price decrease for 2018 and over the trailing 3 and 5 year periods, reflecting elevated market volatility, particularly in the fourth quarter of 2018, and noted the relative underperformance during the same periods. Stockholder returns in 2018 were impacted across the sector, with stock prices declining 36% at the median across publicly traded traditional asset managers, relative to the 6% return generated by the S&P 500® index.

-Stock price declined 52% in 2018, reflecting a challenging market environment for active asset managers and elevated market volatility, particularly in the fourth quarter, and trailed our Peer Group median and the S&P 500® (+3% and 6%, respectively)

-Stock performance of +135% over the trailing 10 year period, outperforming our Peer Group median of +118%; stock price declined 38% and 54% over the trailing 3 and 5 year periods, respectively, below our Peer Group median (+55% and +39%, respectively)

Exhibit 7

Market data as of December 31, 2018.

39

Performance Category: Strategic Performance Criteria (Weighted 33.3%)

The Long Term Incentive Compensation Performance Assessment included a review of the Company's execution on certain strategic performance criteria, including product performance and strategy, organic growth, new Affiliate investments, strategic initiatives, and risk and capital management. The Compensation Committee noted the Company's achievements in 2018 and over the longer term, as further described below.

Capital Management and Pursuit of Efficiency Opportunities: The Committee reviewed our capital management activity and recognized achievements in this performance category, including the following:

- Demonstrated commitment to returning capital to stockholders, with an increase in our quarterly cash dividend each year since its initiation, along with \$490 million in share repurchases in 2018 and between \$100 million and \$300 million in repurchases targeted for the first half of 2019

- Lowered our cost of capital and extended the duration of our borrowings through the refinancing of our credit facilities, and entered into foreign currency derivative contracts to access lower interest rates

- Active review of business for opportunities to improve efficiencies and support the positioning of Affiliates' businesses for future opportunities; for example, combining the investment team at Trilogy Global Advisor with GW&K Investment Management

- Reducing operating expenses at AMG while also working with a number of Affiliates to assist in aligning their business infrastructures with foreseeable opportunities and against evolving industry dynamics

ESG Initiatives: The Committee noted the significant progress made in ESG initiatives, under the guidance of our Sustainability Committee, including completing our first inventory and securing a third party attestation of our GhG Emissions, participation in the CDP Climate Change Information Request, and transitioning to renewable energy sources at multiple office locations. The Committee also recognized the meaningful furtherance of AMG's ongoing commitment to serving our local communities through philanthropy, with a significant one time matching gift to establish The Sean M. Healey and AMG Center for ALS at Mass General, uniting world experts to revolutionize treatments and pursue a cure for ALS, reflecting AMG's commitment to fostering a culture of philanthropy and sound corporate citizenship among our employees and peers.

Investment Performance and Product Strategy: The Committee reviewed our execution and ongoing development of our active, return oriented product strategy, especially in the areas of global equity and alternative strategies, and recognized solid performance in this category, including the following:

- Successful implementation of a differentiated product strategy relative to our Peer Group, with global equity and alternative strategies accounting for approximately 75% of the Company's AUM. We believe these areas to have outstanding secular growth prospects. The success of our strategic focus on attractive active, return oriented product areas is further evidenced by the strong organic growth we have generated over the trailing 3 and 5 year periods, including positive net client cash flows in alternative and multi asset strategies during 2018 against a backdrop of industry wide outflows in active equities

- Enhanced our active, return oriented product set through the addition of new products as a result of the product development efforts at our Affiliates

New Affiliate Investments: The Committee reviewed our new Affiliate investment activity, including the overall volume of opportunities reviewed and the continued progress in relationship development with our core prospect universe, and recognized continued progress in this performance category in a challenging transaction environment, including the following:

- Continued focus on cultivating strong relationships with prospective new Affiliates, with extensive meetings and calls with prospects around the globe, to position us for substantial new Affiliate investment opportunities

-

Deployment of over \$2 billion in aggregate transaction value over the last four years, enhancing our geographic diversity and strategic position in active, return oriented strategies

Organic Growth: The Committee reviewed our annual and long term net client cash flows, on both an absolute basis and relative to our Peer Group, and recognized strong long term performance in this category, notwithstanding a challenging market environment for active products during 2018:

Strong long term organic growth, with net client cash flows over the past decade of over \$115 billion into active equity products and alternative strategies

40

- Client cash flows for 2018 were impacted by elevated market volatility and increased industry wide client risk aversion; however, positive flows in alternative and multi asset strategies over the year
- AMG is one of the 5 largest global managers of active, return oriented strategies and the 5th largest publicly traded global asset manager, as measured by total assets under management
- Strategic Initiatives and Expanding Global Distribution Capabilities: The Committee reviewed our progress in expanding our global institutional and U.S. retail distribution platforms, and recognized solid performance in this category, including the following:

•Formation of new strategic partnerships to enhance AMG’s product set and expand distribution capabilities, including the recent partnership with Nordea Asset Management to deepen coverage of European and Latin American clients

•Opening of a new office in Japan to build strategy for executing on substantial opportunities in that market

•Ongoing momentum in our Global Distribution strategy, with investments in expanded capabilities resulting in the generation of significant new business as we, together with our Affiliates, continue to build relationships with the largest and most sophisticated clients around the world

•Won client investment mandates in every coverage region of our global platform over the last two years

•Ongoing focus on enhancing the breadth and depth of institutional coverage across global regions and retail coverage in the U.S.

•Enhanced our Global Distribution platform, which supports the growth of our existing Affiliates and increases our attractiveness as a potential partner to new Affiliates—in turn increasing the scale, capacity and product diversification for our overall franchise

2018 Named Executive Officer Compensation Results

For 2018, the Compensation Committee set the size of the Incentive Pool at 6% of Adjusted Economic net income, resulting in an Incentive Pool of \$56.0 million. CEO Performance Based Incentive Compensation was capped at a maximum payout equal to the lesser of \$17.5 million or 40% of the Incentive Pool, which represented a cap of \$17.5 million for our Chief Executive Officer, and his cash bonus award was capped at a maximum of \$4.0 million. Equity incentive awards were limited to the capped amount of Performance Based Incentive Compensation less the maximum cash bonus, resulting in a maximum of \$13.5 million of equity incentive awards for our Chief Executive Officer. These annual caps served as the basis for determining formulaic award payouts, using the scores of the two Performance Assessments. In the case of the cash bonus, the score of the Short Term Incentive Compensation Performance Assessment was applied to the maximum bonus amount for our Chief Executive Officer, to determine a formulaic cash bonus amount. In the case of the equity incentive awards, the score of the Long Term Incentive Compensation Performance Assessment was applied to the annual capped amount of Performance Based Incentive Compensation, less the applicable maximum cash bonus, to determine a formulaic equity incentive award amount for our Chief Executive Officer. For purposes of the Performance Assessments, the Committee considered Mr. Dalton as serving in the role of Chief Executive Officer for the full year, as a practical expedient and in recognition of his prior role as President and Chief Operating Officer and his exceptional leadership efforts during the CEO transition.

The Short Term Incentive Compensation Performance Assessment recognized the significant business accomplishments during the year, which occurred in a challenging environment for active asset managers that produced mixed performance for the year against the financial performance targets, and a year of transition for the management team. During this period, the senior management team delivered on its commitment to returning capital to stockholders, executed on its capital management strategies, progressed on building relationships with prospective new Affiliates, pursued new strategic partnerships, expanded our Global Distribution platform, reduced operating expenses and advanced on significant ESG initiatives. The Committee also recognized the successful execution on the Board of Directors’ long term succession plan, which the Board initiated following an unexpected CEO transition during the year. This assessment produced a formulaic cash bonus award for our Chief Executive Officer of \$2.0 million, representing 50% of the \$4.0 million maximum amount.

The Long Term Incentive Compensation Performance Assessment recognized significant achievements in an environment that remained challenging for active asset managers, and against the backdrop of significant declines across most asset classes for 2018. However, the Committee also recognized that our stock price was negative for 2018, as well as the trailing 3 and 5 year periods, reflecting market volatility and industry headwinds for active asset managers over this period. This assessment produced a formulaic Long Term Incentive Compensation award for our Chief Executive Officer of \$4.5 million, representing 33.3% of the \$13.5 million maximum amount. The Committee's allocation for these equity incentive awards was 40% in the form of Long Term

Deferred Equity Awards and 60% in the form of Long Term Performance Achievement Awards, consistent with the targeted allocations.

The annual cap on Performance Based Incentive Compensation for our Executive Chairman was set at the lesser of \$10.0 million or 20% of the Incentive Pool. The Committee applied the results of its Performance Assessments, and recognized the significant contributions of Mr. Healey during the year, particularly during the CEO transition, and the continued leadership during the ongoing transition and succession planning, and the fact that Mr. Healey was in the Chief Executive Officer role for the first part of the year. The Committee determined to grant a mix of awards for our Executive Chairman that included a larger portion of cash relative to the other named executive officers and equity incentive award allocations that included a greater proportion of time vesting awards, given his health diagnosis and his new position. In keeping with the trend of prior years, the notional value of the cash award granted to our Executive Chairman declined 17% year over year, with a total decline of 41% over the past five years. This process produced a cash bonus award of \$2.975 million and equity incentive awards of \$3.175 million, with \$1.905 million in the form of Long Term Deferred Equity Awards and \$1.270 million in the form of Long Term Performance Achievement Awards. The total compensation of our Executive Chairman declined 43% compared to 2017, with a significant relative reduction expected for the 2019 performance year, as the senior management team continues to evolve. The Executive Chairman's compensation structure on a forward basis will reflect (i) Mr. Healey's role as Executive Chairman for a full calendar year (as compared to 2018 when he served as Chief Executive Officer for part of the year), (ii) market comparisons for the role and (iii) award mix and levels that further the Committee's objectives of aligning compensation with stockholder value creation and retaining and motivating executives.

For determining the compensation of Jay C. Horgen for 2018, when he served as Chief Financial Officer, the Committee used an annual cap on Performance Based Incentive Compensation set at the lesser of \$10.0 million or 20% of the Incentive Pool. The Committee then applied the results of its Performance Assessment, and recognized Mr. Horgen's significant contributions during a challenging performance year, and his central and significant role in supporting Mr. Healey and Mr. Dalton in managing the business. The assessment also recognized the continuing evolution of his responsibility set and his increasingly broad leadership role at the Company, and the Committee's compensation program philosophy of designing incentive structures that retain and motivate key management team members, and promote alignment with long term stockholder interests. The Committee awarded Mr. Horgen a cash bonus award of \$1.65 million and equity incentive awards of \$3.5 million. The Committee's allocation for the equity incentive awards was 40% in the form of Long Term Deferred Equity Awards and 60% in the form of Long Term Performance Achievement Awards, consistent with the targeted allocations.

CEO Performance-Based Incentive Compensation Declined -44%

Reflecting AMG's Compensation Program Philosophy of Aligning Pay with Performance

Our Chief Executive Officer's Performance Based Incentive Compensation decreased by 44% relative to that awarded to the Chief Executive Officer in the prior year, consistent with our compensation program philosophy of aligning pay with performance. This reduction followed declines in each of the last five years for an overall reduction of our Chief Executive Officer's Performance Based Incentive Compensation of approximately 65% since 2013. The aggregate total compensation of our Executive Chairman and of our Chief Executive Officer (who each performed in the Chief Executive Officer role during a portion of 2018) declined 29% relative to performance year 2017, and total compensation of our named executive officers as a group declined more than 20% over the same period. These outcomes demonstrate the impact and effectiveness of compensation program enhancements of the past several years to further tighten the link between pay and performance, as well as the Compensation Committee's consistent and ongoing attention to concern over compensation levels and alignment with long term stockholder interests. The following table summarizes total compensation for performance year 2018 for our named executive officers:

2018 Performance-Based

Incentive Compensation

Name	Total						Total Compensation Earned
	Salary	Cash Bonus	Long-Term Deferred Equity Awards	Long-Term Performance Achievement Awards	Performance- Based Incentive Compensation	All Other Compensation	
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Nathaniel Dalton	650,641	2,000,000	1,800,000	2,700,000	6,500,000	37,894	7,188,535
Sean M. Healey	750,000	2,975,000	1,905,000	1,270,000	6,150,000	263,279	7,163,279
Jay C. Horgen	500,000	1,650,000	1,400,000	2,100,000	5,150,000	45,685	5,695,685
Hugh P. B. Cutler	400,000	800,000	480,000	720,000	2,000,000	34,979	2,434,979
David M. Billings	400,000	1,100,000	240,000	360,000	1,700,000	33,554	2,133,554

The Performance Based Incentive Compensation amounts provided to the named executive officers for the 2018 performance year set forth in the above table differ from the amounts disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table. Equity awards granted in recognition of performance in 2018 were granted in February 2019, and do not appear in the Summary Compensation Table, as SEC rules governing the reporting of equity compensation in the Summary Compensation Table require equity awards to be reported in the fiscal year of grant, even when the awards are intended to compensate executives for performance in a prior year. The equity awards granted in February 2019 are included as part of total 2018 compensation in the above table, to better demonstrate how we evaluate and compensate our named executive officers. Similarly, equity awards granted in recognition of performance in 2017 were granted in January 2018 and appear in the Summary Compensation Table for 2018, in accordance with these reporting rules.

The decrease in our Chief Executive Officer's total 2018 compensation relative to the prior year was consistent with our compensation program philosophy of aligning pay with performance, as reflected in the following chart.

Exhibit 8

Note: Stock price performance and CAGRs calculated beginning on 12/31/2013. AMG 2018 CEO compensation includes equity incentive awards earned for performance during 2018; see the "Executive Compensation Tables" section of this Proxy Statement for information regarding differences from the Summary Compensation Table. 2018 GAAP Earnings per share in the table above is adjusted to add back impairment charges net of taxes totaling \$265 million.

Total 2018 compensation reductions followed declines in each of the last five years, for an overall reduction of 64% for our Chief Executive Officer compensation since 2013 and similar significant reductions across the named executive officer group, demonstrating consistent and ongoing attention to compensation levels and the effectiveness of the compensation program.

Additional information on non-GAAP financial performance measures, including reconciliations to the most directly comparable GAAP measure, can be found in AMG's Annual Report on Form 10-K under "Supplemental Financial Performance Measures."

44

Each of the key elements of named executive officer compensation for 2018 is summarized below:

Exhibit 9

Elements of Named Executive Officer Compensation

Base Salary	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A small portion of overall compensation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Base salary was 9% of our CEO’s Total Direct Compensation and 11% of total NEO compensation • The CEO’s base salary has remained unchanged for more than a decade
Variable Performance Based Incentive Compensation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Incentive Pool, set as a percentage of Adjusted Economic net income, serves as the basis for determining all compensation other than base salary and any prerequisites 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total Performance Based Incentive Compensation payout to Mr. Dalton of \$6.5 million, as compared to a maximum possible payout of \$13.5 million
Cash Bonus Awards	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significantly smaller portion of variable performance based compensation than equity incentive awards 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approximately 30% of Mr. Dalton’s and Mr. Horgen’s Performance Based Incentive Compensation; a higher percentage for Mr. Healey
Long Term Deferred Equity Awards	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Restricted stock unit awards vesting ratably over four years 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Long term vesting in four equal, annual installments from 2020 through 2023 • 40% of Mr. Dalton’s and Mr. Horgen’s Long Term Incentive Compensation

<p>Long Term Performance Achievement</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Restricted stock unit awards with delivery tied to the achievement of rigorous pre established performance targets measuring our average return on equity over a single three year performance period 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 60% of Mr. Dalton's and Mr. Horgen's Long Term Incentive Compensation and the largest component of their overall compensation
<p>Awards</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Targets were set based on historic and projected performance levels that incentivize management to control costs and deploy capital to maximize stockholder value creation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Awards cliff vest at the end of three years, but are only delivered if rigorous return on equity targets are achieved: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - If average return on equity is 17% for the performance period, 100% of the award will be delivered - A ratable portion of the award will be delivered if average return on equity is between 12% and 22%, for delivery of between 25% of the initial award and, in the circumstance of exceptional performance, a maximum of 175% of the initial award - If average return on equity is less than 12%, the full award will be forfeited
<p>Other Compensation</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Medical, dental, life and disability insurance; 401(k) contributions; and other modest perquisites 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Less than 1% of our CEO's total compensation, and the lowest of our Peer Group

The following charts summarize the relative mix of Mr. Dalton's 2018 Total Direct Compensation, and compare the allocation of the proportion of Performance Based Incentive Compensation awarded as equity incentive awards relative to our Peer Group:

Exhibit 10

2018 Mix of CEO Compensation Elements & Peer Comparison

Note: Peer company data based on compensation as reported in Summary Compensation Table in most recently filed proxy statement or annual report; AMG calculation includes equity incentive awards earned for performance during 2018 that were granted in February 2019.

46

Elements of Executive Compensation

The compensation for our named executive officers comprises the following elements, designed to further the Compensation Committee's core objectives.

Base Salary: In determining base salary levels for our named executive officers, the Compensation Committee takes into account the executive's scope of responsibility, performance and salary history, as well as consistency within our salary structure. In addition, the Committee annually reviews the base salaries paid to executive officers of other public companies in our Peer Group. The Committee believes that Performance Based Incentive Compensation—including both cash compensation as well as equity based compensation—should constitute the substantial majority of compensation paid to our named executive officers. The base salary level for our Chief Executive Officer has remained unchanged for more than a decade.

Performance Based Incentive Compensation: The Compensation Committee typically grants both equity and cash awards as part of the overall performance based award package for each named executive officer. The Committee believes that deferred compensation in the form of equity based awards provides long term incentives that further the objectives of increasing stockholder value and retaining our senior management team. Given the Committee's objective of aligning compensation with increases in stockholder value, the Committee generally intends that the substantial majority of total compensation be equity based, and grants of equity based awards are, therefore, generally a larger portion of the variable Performance Based Incentive Compensation than cash bonuses. Furthermore, since the Committee believes the tenure and continuity of management is a strategic imperative to creating stockholder value over the long term, in granting equity awards, the Committee ensures that awards have a long term focus, vest over multiple years and, for at least a majority of these equity awards, are also subject to pre established performance targets.

Performance Based Incentive Compensation is awarded based on the results of the Compensation Committee's assessment of the individual named executive officer's contributions to the Company's short and long term performance, as well as the expected contribution of the named executive officer to our future performance. The Committee considers the relative mix of equity based compensation awards against the performance based cash compensation awarded to each named executive officer in any particular year. The Committee further considers each named executive officer's existing equity ownership, including the relative size and structure of historical grants and the portions of awards that are not yet vested. In addition, the Committee considers each named executive officer's position and performance, the cash and equity based compensation levels of the Company and our individual named executive officers relative to our Peer Group, as well as the comparative levels of equity ownership of individual officers at such companies.

The Compensation Committee uses the Incentive Pool as the basis for determining all Performance Based Incentive Compensation, which includes all compensation other than base salaries and any perquisites, and is established as a percentage of Adjusted Economic net income. Economic net income and Economic earnings per share are used by our management and Board of Directors as our principal financial performance benchmarks, including as measures for aligning executive compensation with stockholder value. See our 2018 Annual Report on Form 10 K, under "Supplemental Financial Performance Measures," for further detail on the usage and calculation of Economic net income and Economic earnings per share, including a reconciliation to the most directly comparable GAAP measure.

Other Elements of Compensation: We provide a 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan for all employees and generally contribute a percentage of compensation to such plans. We also provide other benefits such as medical, dental and life insurance and disability coverage to all eligible employees.

Perquisites: We use only certain perquisite tools deemed appropriate by the Committee to meet the objectives of retaining key members of senior management and optimizing the use of their time and services to the Company. We do not provide tax reimbursements for any perquisite. Our Chief Executive Officer's perquisite compensation is the lowest of our Peer Group.

Market and Industry Comparison

The Compensation Committee believes that in order to retain and motivate key management team members, which is an important part of our compensation program philosophy, total compensation must be competitive relative to the market for the services of our named executive officers. The Compensation Committee used data derived from our Peer Group as one of a number of analytical tools and reference points to inform its decisions about overall compensation, compensation elements, optimum pay mix and the relative competitive landscape.

The Compensation Committee continuously reviews our Peer Group in order to ensure its ongoing relevance. In determining the Company's Peer Group on an annual basis, the Compensation Committee considers both industry and company specific dynamics to identify the peers with which we compete for client assets, stockholders and talent. As a result, the Committee focuses on peers within the asset management industry, as well as financial services companies with significant asset management components to their businesses and does not, for example, include retail or investment banks, brokerage or custodian firms, or insurance companies. The Committee also evaluates the Peer Group to ensure that it reflects the Company's growth, overall changes in the asset management industry, and the business models, size and scope of our competitors. The Company has grown significantly in recent years and is now one of the largest publicly traded asset management companies globally, as measured by market capitalization. Given the strong execution of our growth strategy, we are one of the five largest global managers of active, return oriented strategies and the fifth largest publicly traded global asset manager, as measured by total assets under management.

Similar to prior years, the Compensation Committee reviewed our Peer Group for 2018 compensation comparisons, applying the following principles as a guide.

Exhibit 11

AMG Guiding Principles for Peer Selection

- | | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Consider Industry | <p>Identify companies with a similar business model/philosophy</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Begin with direct 'pure-play' peers within the mono-line asset management industry; once mono-line asset manager peers are identified, select those that are most comparable
 • Extend search beyond mono-line asset managers to identify peers with operationally similar business models (i.e., financial services companies with a significant asset management component to their business) |
| Consider Size and Scope | <p>Ensure companies are similar in strategic complexity, geographic focus, and financial scale</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Select large-cap asset managers within the S&P 500®, as well as a select number of S&P 400® companies
 • Evaluate peers for comparability, primarily considering assets under management and fee revenue as the most relevant metrics
 • Select asset managers with global scale and international operations |

These guiding principles reflect the Compensation Committee's focus on maintaining a Peer Group that remains relevant throughout the various stages of our growth and expansion, and that reflects current developments in the businesses of our peers. The Committee believes that success in the asset management industry, in particular, relies heavily on human talent, given the service oriented and fee based business model, as well as the modest capital requirements of asset management companies. This is in contrast to other financial services companies, such as banks, insurance, specialty finance, brokerage and custodian firms, and financial information technology companies, which also rely on balance sheet capital, scale of operations and physical infrastructure to drive revenue and profitability. Thus, the size of the businesses competing with us for human talent, as measured by assets or revenues, does not always correspond to their profitability. Further, the Committee recognizes that certain firms within the industries that we compete with for executive talent, such as private equity firms and certain asset managers, use compensation models that are distinct from other businesses when comparing pay as a factor of assets or financial results. As a result, in reviewing the Peer Group, the Compensation Committee does not specifically exclude direct competitors based on size alone.

Further, the Compensation Committee believes that, while some constituents look to revenue as determined under generally accepted accounting principles when comparing peer companies, our GAAP Revenue—which represented 44% of Aggregate fees in 2018—has inherent limitations in providing a full picture of the revenue generating power of our business, and that Aggregate fees is the more appropriate measure for peer comparisons. Aggregate fees consists of the total asset and performance based fees earned by all of our Affiliates regardless of whether they are consolidated or accounted for under the equity method and is an operating measure used by management to evaluate the operating performance and material trends across our entire business. This is in contrast to the companies within our Peer Group, which do not have significant equity method subsidiaries and, therefore, their GAAP Revenue reflects substantially all of their asset and performance based fees.

In addition, the Compensation Committee recognizes that the companies within our Peer Group vary by business strategy (including those with substantial passive investment businesses versus actively managed investment strategies), product concentration (money market products versus return oriented equity and/or alternative products), overall profitability and stockholder returns. The nature of the roles of executives also varies by firm. For example, our senior management team has developed a differentiated skill set and reputation to match our unique business model of pursuing long term partnerships with outstanding boutique investment management firms. Therefore, while the Committee takes Peer Group comparisons into account, it also forms its own perspective on appropriate compensation levels, considering additional subjective factors.

The following Exhibit lists the companies in our Peer Group, which the Compensation Committee reviewed in determining 2018 compensation for our named executive officers. We believe this Peer Group is consistent with our guiding principles, and includes companies that we compete with for client assets, executive talent and capital providers, including stockholders. This list indicates the other companies in our Peer Group that are also S&P 500[®] companies, which was one of the factors considered by the Compensation Committee in determining our Peer Group. The following Exhibit also lists the revenue of the companies in our Peer Group, along with our Aggregate fees for the reasons discussed above. Another factor considered by the Committee, which is commonly referenced in peer group analyses, is the Global Industry Classification Standard (“GICS”) code. Within the GICS code applicable to asset management firms (which also includes other businesses in the financial services industry), there are 30 U.S. based asset management companies, from which the Compensation Committee has selected the nine companies that it believes are the most comparable based on the principles previously described.

Exhibit 12

Peer Selection				
Company Name	FY18 Rev./Fees ⁽¹⁾	AUM ⁽²⁾	Market Value ⁽³⁾	S&P 500 [®]
	(\$ millions)	(\$ billions)	(\$ millions)	Member
BlackRock	\$ 14,198	\$ 5,976	\$ 62,270	
Ameriprise	12,835	431	14,545	
Franklin Resources	6,115	650	15,194	
AMG	5,442	736	5,132	
T. Rowe Price	5,373	962	22,217	
Invesco	5,314	888	6,886	
AB	3,367	516	7,266	—
Legg Mason	2,996	727	2,182	—
Lazard	2,755	215	4,790	—
Eaton Vance	1,688	445	4,099	—
Median	\$ 5,343	\$ 689	\$ 7,076	

(1) Revenue is as of December 31, 2018 (and is on an LTM basis for peers that do not report on a calendar year-end basis). For Eaton Vance, revenue is as of the twelve months ended January 31, 2019. For AMG, reflects Aggregate fees as reported in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2018. Aggregate fees is an operating measure that consists of the total asset- and performance-based fees earned by all of our Affiliates, as further described in our Annual Report in Form 10-K.

(2)

AUM is as of December 31, 2018. For Eaton Vance, AUM is as of January 31, 2019. For Ameriprise, excludes wealth management, corporate and other AUM.

(3) Market value represents diluted market capitalization per FactSet as of December 31, 2018; AB market value is derived by using market value per FactSet and adjusting for ownership structure.

Compensation Governance Practices

Our Board of Directors is committed to maintaining responsible compensation practices, and believes that rewards for our senior leaders should be commensurate with the results they achieve for our stockholders. Our strong governance procedures and practices with respect to employment and compensation include the following:

- An annual Say on Pay vote

49

- No employment agreements with our Executive Chairman, our Chief Executive Officer, our President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, or our General Counsel
- No golden parachute change in control agreements with our executives
- The substantial majority of overall executive compensation is in the form of variable performance based incentive awards, with base salary and other fixed compensation generally expected to form only a small portion of total compensation
- Total Performance Based Incentive Compensation of each named executive officer, including our Chief Executive Officer, is subject to an annual maximum payout equal to the lesser of a fixed dollar amount or a percentage of the Incentive Pool, as well as caps on the equity and cash components of the awards
- The majority of equity incentive awards are performance awards with delivery tied to the achievement of pre established performance targets
- Modest perquisites and no tax reimbursements or gross ups for any perquisites
- An insider trading policy that prohibits hedging of Company securities
- No pledging of Company securities by directors or officers
- No option repricing or buy outs of underwater stock options
- No payment of dividends on equity awards before vesting—accrued dividends are only delivered following vesting and satisfaction of any performance conditions
- Equity ownership guidelines for our named executive officers and directors requiring long term ownership of equity totaling a multiple of base salary or directors' fees
- A thorough risk assessment process, as described under “Risk Considerations in our Compensation Program” below
- Double trigger vesting upon change in control for all awards
- Mitigation of the potential dilutive impact of equity awards through share repurchases
- A clawback policy that allows, under certain circumstances, for the recoupment of performance based compensation from executive officers

Our Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee oversees our general compensation policies, establishes and reviews the compensation plans and benefit programs applicable to our named executive officers, and administers our incentive plans.

The Compensation Committee currently consists of Messrs. Byrne, Ryan and Zeitlin, with Mr. Zeitlin serving as the Chair. The members of the Committee have significant experience in compensation matters from their service as directors, executive officers and/or advisors to various public and private companies, and the Committee members collectively have extensive experience with the Company and its compensation matters. The Committee's agenda and meeting calendar are determined by the Committee, with input as appropriate from our Executive Chairman, Mr. Healey, as well as our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Dalton, who attend meetings at the request of the Committee to participate in discussions concerning the compensation of other members of executive management and the design of our incentive plans, but do not participate in discussions regarding their own compensation, which occur in executive sessions of the Committee and in meetings of the Committee with our Compensation Consultant. The Committee also invites Mr. Billings, General Counsel and Secretary, to attend certain meetings to discuss the design, implementation and administration of our incentive plans. The Committee has the sole authority to approve the compensation of our named executive officers and the performance goals related to such plans and programs.

The Compensation Committee regularly meets without management team members present. The Chair from time to time requests that all other non executive directors meet with the Committee in executive sessions and otherwise regularly provides reports to the Board of Directors on compensation considerations. Our Compensation Consultant participates in conference calls and meetings without management present at key points throughout the year, including meetings with the Chair of the Committee.

Compensation Consultant

In 2018, the Compensation Committee again engaged an independent outside executive compensation consulting firm, Thomas E. Shea & Associates, LLC, to assist the Committee with compensation matters, including providing Peer Group benchmarking information and an independent analysis of how our executive and director compensation policies and practices compared to the companies in our Peer Group. In addition to a review of cash and equity compensation and perquisite arrangements across the industry, the analysis provided by our Compensation Consultant also considered financial metrics for our Peer Group, including market capitalization, assets under management, various measures of profitability, and stock price performance. In order to prepare its analysis for the Committee, our Compensation Consultant consulted with our management team throughout the year.

Our Compensation Consultant, which provides no other services to us, reported its findings directly to the Compensation Committee. A representative of our Compensation Consultant met with Committee members at key points throughout the year to update the Committee on the status of compensation surveys and make recommendations regarding executive officer and director compensation program and levels.

The independence of our Compensation Consultant has been evaluated in accordance with SEC rules, and it has been determined that its work does not raise any conflict of interest.

Severance and Potential Change in Control Compensation and Benefits

We do not have individual change in control agreements with any of our named executive officers or our directors, and possible changes in control are addressed through the acceleration of vesting of equity in specific circumstances.

Upon a change in control, outstanding equity awards vesting pursuant to our incentive plans would be accelerated for our named executive officers, as well as for our employees, provided there was also a termination of employment without cause or for good reason (i.e., a “double trigger”). In the event of a change in control (assuming that the double trigger has been met), as of 2018 year end, awards held by our named executive officers would have accelerated as set forth below. The market value amounts in the table have been calculated using a share price of \$97.44, which was the closing price of our common stock as of the last business day of 2018. No amount would have been payable as of 2018 year end with respect to the 2013 Long Term Performance Equity Awards, the 2015 Long Term Growth Achievement Awards, the 2016 Long Term Growth Achievement Awards or the 2017 Long Term Performance Achievement Awards because such awards remained subject to performance based vesting conditions as of such date.

Accelerated Distribution

under 2013 Stock Plan

Named Executive Officer	(# Shares)/Market Value
Sean M. Healey	101,446/\$9,884,898
Nathaniel Dalton	60,568/\$5,901,746
Jay C. Horgen	54,927/\$5,352,087
Hugh P. B. Cutler	7,087/\$690,557
David M. Billings	6,738/\$656,551

We do not have employment agreements with any of our named executive officers, with the exception of Hugh P. B. Cutler, our U.K. based Head of Global Distribution. In March 2017, Mr. Cutler joined the Company and entered into an employment agreement with our wholly owned subsidiary, Affiliated Managers Group Limited. In 2018,

Mr. Cutler received an annual base salary of \$400,000 (£296,240 using the closing spot rate on the date compensation was determined).

Each named executive officer is subject to restrictive covenants that prohibit them from competing with the Company or working for a competing business, and from soliciting certain of our employees, for up to two years following such officer's separation from the Company. Furthermore, each named executive officer is subject to restrictive covenants that prohibit them, for one year following such officer's separation from the Company, from soliciting persons or entities that were clients at the time of or in the two years immediately prior to his separation, or that were prospective clients in the year immediately prior to his separation.

Risk Considerations in our Compensation Program

The Compensation Committee has discussed the concept of risk as it relates to our compensation program with our management team and with our Compensation Consultant. The Compensation Committee does not believe the goals or the underlying philosophy of our compensation program encourage excessive or inappropriate risk taking, or create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Throughout our compensation program, compensation is aligned with increases in stockholder value and long term stockholder interests and, therefore, we believe our compensation arrangements do not encourage inappropriate risk taking. The named executive officers' salaries are fixed in amount and typically account for approximately 10% or less of their total compensation. For 2018, all other compensation (other than base salaries and perquisites) for named executive officers was determined using a performance based incentive pool structure linked to specific financial performance metrics, and the total Performance Based Incentive Compensation of each named executive officer was subject to a maximum payout, which for our Chief Executive Officer was equal to the lesser of \$17.5 million or 40% of the Incentive Pool. Our Chief Executive Officer's cash bonus was subject to an additional cap of \$4.0 million, and his equity incentive awards were further capped at \$13.5 million. The Compensation Committee also applied annual caps on Performance Based Incentive Compensation for our Executive Chairman and for our President and Chief Financial Officer at the lesser of \$10.0 million or 20% of the Incentive Pool, and applied annual caps for our Head of Global Distribution and for our General Counsel at the lesser of \$5.0 million or 10% of the Incentive Pool. Additionally, a substantial portion of executive compensation is in the form of equity incentive awards, a majority of which are subject to specific pre established performance targets, which further aligns executives' interests with those of our stockholders. We believe that these awards do not encourage excessive or inappropriate risk taking given that the value of the awards is tied to our performance, and the awards are subject to long term vesting schedules to help ensure that executives have significant value tied to long term performance. The Compensation Committee retains discretion in the final amount of awards of Performance Based Incentive Compensation, to ensure that the amounts and mix are appropriate. In addition, to further ensure the alignment of compensation with long term performance, we have a clawback policy that allows for the recoupment of performance based compensation from executive officers in the event of a material restatement of our financial results due to a material error within three years of the original reporting. In the event of such occurrence, the Board of Directors will review the facts and circumstances that led to the restatement and will take such actions as it deems necessary and appropriate (such as the possible recoupment of incentive compensation of an executive officer).

Pay Ratio

The total annual compensation of our Chief Executive Officer for 2018, as reported in the "Total" column of the Summary Compensation Table, was \$7,538,535. The total annual compensation of our median employee for 2018, calculated on the same basis, was \$146,010. The ratio of our Chief Executive Officer's total annual compensation for 2018 to our median employee's total annual compensation for 2018 was 52 to 1.

We selected our median employee by analyzing the compensation of each of our employees who were employed by the Company as of December 31, 2018, excluding our Chief Executive Officer, with each employee's compensation calculated by reference to their fixed cash compensation for the year ended December 31, 2018, derived from payroll and other company records. We established a new median employee for 2018, following the CEO transition that occurred during the year. We did not make any cost of living or other adjustments to these amounts, and did not exclude non U.S. employees. We annualized total compensation for full time employees that joined the company during 2018 or had an unpaid leave of absence during the year. For purposes of this analysis, we included all full and part time employees at the Company and at our subsidiaries where we control the compensation determinations for the subsidiary's employees.

We believe executive pay must be internally consistent and equitable to motivate our employees to create stockholder value. We are committed to internal pay equity, and the Compensation Committee monitors the relationship between the pay our executive officers receive and the pay our other employees receive.

Equity Ownership Guidelines

We believe that equity ownership guidelines further align the interests of our named executive officers and directors with those of our stockholders. Our equity ownership guidelines provide that an executive officer or director should own equity in the amount of: ten times annual base salary in the case of our Chief Executive Officer and our President and Chief Financial Officer; seven times annual base salary in the case of the other named executive officers; and five times base annual fees for service in the case of directors. Shares underlying outstanding stock options and unearned performance awards are not counted for purposes of

meeting these guidelines. Executives and directors are strongly encouraged to meet these ownership guidelines within five years from becoming an executive officer or director of the Company. All named executive officers and directors currently satisfy these equity ownership guidelines, and our Chief Executive Officer and our President and Chief Financial Officer each holds shares of AMG stock at levels that exceed 25x their respective salaries—significantly exceeding the required levels.

Equity Grant Policy

We grant all equity awards, including stock options, under the terms of an equity grant policy. Pursuant to the policy, we generally grant equity awards to our named executive officers at regularly scheduled meetings of the Compensation Committee in January or February, and to directors at regularly scheduled meetings of the Compensation Committee in January or February and in July or August, although the Committee retains discretion to grant awards at other times during the year. If the date of a Committee approval of an equity grant falls within a regularly scheduled quarterly blackout period under our insider trading policy, the awards will not become effective and are not priced until the closing of the last day of the blackout period following the public release of our earnings results for the prior quarter and/or year, as applicable. In all other cases, the effective grant date of any equity awards will be the date of the relevant Committee meeting or written consent.

We do not have any program, plan or practice to time equity awards to employees or directors in coordination with the release of material non public information. If the Compensation Committee is in possession of material non public information, either favorable or unfavorable, when equity awards are made, the Compensation Committee will not take this information into consideration when determining award amounts.

Tax Deductibility of Compensation

The availability of tax deductions for cash and equity compensation is one of many factors that the Compensation Committee considers in designing a compensation program that is intended to attract and retain executive talent and to reward our named executive officers for their contributions to the success of the Company.

The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended from time to time, under Section 162(m), generally disallows a tax deduction for compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to any “covered employee” of a publicly held corporation (generally the corporation’s chief executive officer, chief financial officer, and its next three most highly compensated executive officers, in the year that the compensation is paid). Prior to the enactment of changes in U.S. tax laws in December 2017, compensation paid to any covered employee in excess of \$1 million could qualify for an exception to this limitation on deductibility if the compensation qualified as performance based compensation. The enactment of changes in U.S. tax laws in December 2017 eliminated this exception for performance based compensation, but permitted continued deductibility in future years for certain arrangements and awards in place as of November 2, 2017.

In implementing our compensation program for the 2018 fiscal year, the Compensation Committee considered, among other things, the ability to grant awards that may achieve a tax deduction, and the ability to grant awards that do not qualify for an exemption from deductibility or are limited as to tax deductibility. The Compensation Committee is committed to maintaining a compensation program and establishing compensation levels that take tax consequences into account, and will continue to consider these issues, while prioritizing a focus on attracting and retaining executive talent and aligning management incentives with long term stockholder interests.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed this Compensation Discussion and Analysis with our management team. Based on its review and discussions with management, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that this Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

JIDE J. ZEITLIN, Chair

SAMUEL T. BYRNE

PATRICK T. RYAN

53

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION TABLES

The following tables provide information regarding the compensation arrangements for the years indicated with respect to the Company's Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Financial Officer, and three other most highly compensated executive officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018 (collectively, the "named executive officers").

Equity awards granted in February 2019 in recognition of performance during fiscal year 2018 do not appear in the following Summary Compensation Table or the Grants of Plan Based Awards table because SEC rules require equity awards to be reported in these tables in the fiscal year of grant, even where the awards are intended to compensate executives for performance in a prior year. We have created a supplemental table that reports equity awards in the year in which they were earned, to better demonstrate how we evaluate and compensate our named executive officers. For information on the equity awards granted in February 2019 in recognition of 2018 performance, please refer to the Supplemental Table—Compensation Earned in Fiscal Year 2018 below, and the related discussion in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Non-Equity Incentive				Compensation Total
		Salary	Plan Compensation	Stock Awards	Stock Option Awards	
	(\$)	(\$)(1)	(\$)	Awards(\$)	(\$)(2)	(\$)
Sean M. Healey(3) Executive Chairman	2018	750,000	2,975,000	8,100,000(4)—	263,279	12,088,279
	2017	750,000	3,600,000	9,335,000(5)—	115,091	13,800,091
	2016	750,000	3,700,000	6,575,000(6)3,575,000 (7)	132,572	14,732,572
Nathaniel Dalton(3) Chief Executive Officer	2018	650,641	2,000,000	4,850,000(4)—	37,894	7,538,535
	2017	500,000	2,135,000	5,565,000(5)—	31,554	8,231,554
	2016	500,000	2,210,000	3,950,000(6)2,100,000 (7)	31,054	8,791,054
Jay C. Horgen President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	2018	500,000	1,650,000	3,625,000(4)—	45,685	5,820,685
	2017	500,000	1,850,000	4,200,000(5)—	45,045	6,595,045
	2016	500,000	1,900,000	2,940,000(6)1,600,000 (7)	41,845	6,981,845
Hugh P. B. Cutler Executive Vice President and Head of Global Distribution(8)	2018	400,000	800,000	1,350,000(4)—	34,979	2,584,979
	2017	270,833	1,175,000	1,250,000(8)—	26,252	2,722,085
David M. Billings General Counsel and Secretary	2018	400,000	1,100,000	595,000 (4)—	33,554	2,128,554
	2017	400,000	1,265,000	800,000 (5)—	33,114	2,498,114
	2016	400,000	1,300,000	585,000 (6)315,000 (7)	31,054	2,631,054

Supplemental Table—Compensation Earned in Fiscal Year 2018

Name	Salary	Cash Bonus	Long-Term	Long-Term	All Other Compensation	Total Compensation Earned
			Deferred Equity Awards	Performance Achievement Awards		
Nathaniel Dalton(3)	650,641	2,000,000	1,800,000	2,700,000	37,894	7,188,535
Sean M. Healey(3)	750,000	2,975,000	1,905,000	1,270,000	263,279	7,163,279
Jay C. Horgen	500,000	1,650,000	1,400,000	2,100,000	45,685	5,695,685
Hugh P. B. Cutler	400,000	800,000	480,000	720,000	34,979	2,434,979
David M. Billings	400,000	1,100,000	240,000	360,000	33,554	2,133,554

(1) For 2018, these amounts represent performance based cash bonus awards determined by the Compensation Committee using the Incentive Pool, established by the Compensation Committee as a set percentage of Adjusted Economic net income, the Short Term Incentive Compensation Performance Assessment, and other factors as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

- (2) For 2018, all other compensation consisted of (i) contributions to a 401(k) profit sharing or similar pension plan in the amount of \$31,633 on behalf of Mr. Cutler and \$27,500 on behalf of each of Messrs. Healey, Dalton, Horgen and Billings, (ii) medical benefits and life and long term disability insurance premiums with respect to each named executive officer and (iii) aircraft usage benefits of \$216,100 with respect to Mr. Healey, based on the incremental cost to the Company, primarily for travel relating to medical treatments. We calculate the incremental cost of the aircraft usage benefit based on the variable costs of operating the aircraft, including fuel, maintenance contracts, landing and ground fees and other miscellaneous expenses. The Company does not provide tax reimbursements for any perquisite.
- (3) Mr. Healey became Executive Chairman of the Company as of May 25, 2018, and Mr. Dalton became Chief Executive Officer, and his salary was increased to \$750,000, as of such date. Mr. Healey previously served as the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and Mr. Dalton previously served as President and Chief Operating Officer.
- (4) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value (computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718) of the following equity incentive awards consisting of restricted stock units granted under the 2013 Stock Incentive Award Plan (the "2013 Stock Plan") in January 2018 in recognition of performance in 2017: (i) 2017 Long Term Deferred Equity Awards granted to Messrs. Healey, Dalton, Horgen, Cutler and Billings with grant date fair values of \$3,200,000, \$1,925,000, \$1,450,000, \$540,000 and \$240,000, respectively, and (ii) 2017 Long Term Performance Achievement Awards granted to Messrs. Healey, Dalton, Horgen, Cutler and Billings with grant date fair values of \$4,900,000, \$2,925,000, \$2,175,000, \$810,000 and \$355,000, respectively. The portions of the 2017 Long Term Performance Achievement Awards that will be eligible to vest will be based on the Company's level of achievement measured against pre established performance targets measuring Average Return on Equity, defined as the annual average of the Company's Economic net income (calculated on a pre compensation basis) over a three year measurement period ending on December 31, 2020, divided by the quarterly average of the Company's Total stockholder's equity, controlling interest (excluding accumulated other comprehensive income) over such period, reflected as a percentage. If Average Return on Equity is below 14% for the measurement period, no shares underlying the initial award will be issued and distributed. If Average Return on Equity is between 14% and 22% for the measurement period, a ratable portion between 20% and up to a maximum of 180% of the shares underlying the initial award will be issued and distributed, with 100% of the shares underlying the initial award issued and distributed if a mid point of 18% Average Return on Equity is achieved. The grant date fair value of the 2017 Long Term Performance Achievement Awards assumes that the mid point level of Average Return on Equity is achieved. For details on the assumptions made in the valuation of these and other awards described herein, see the Company's 2018 Annual Report on Form 10 K, under "Critical Accounting Estimates and Judgments—Share Based Compensation and Affiliate Equity" and the "Share Based Compensation" note to the Consolidated Financial Statements included therein.
- (5) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value (computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718) of the following equity incentive awards consisting of restricted stock units granted under the 2013 Stock Plan in January 2017 in recognition of performance in 2016: (i) 2016 Long Term Deferred Equity Awards granted to Messrs. Healey, Dalton, Horgen and Billings with grant date fair values of \$6,060,000, \$3,615,000, \$2,700,000 and \$515,000, respectively, and (ii) 2016 Long Term Growth Achievement Awards granted to Messrs. Healey, Dalton, Horgen and Billings with grant date fair values (assuming the highest level of performance will be achieved) of \$3,275,000, \$1,950,000, \$1,500,000 and \$285,000, respectively. The portions of the 2016 Long Term Growth Achievement Awards that will be eligible to vest will be based on growth rates of the Company's Economic earnings per share, as compared to a base amount of \$11.85 per share, over three , four and five year measurement periods ending on December 31, 2019, 2020 and 2021, respectively, measured against primary and secondary performance targets, provided that such targets increase by 2.5% for each subsequent measurement period if no performance targets are met in the prior measurement period. If neither the primary nor the secondary performance target is achieved in a given measurement period, but the growth rate of the Company's Adjusted Economic earnings per share for the applicable measurement period meets or exceeds the median of the growth rate of earnings per share of the Company's Peer Group for such period, 50% of the total shares underlying the initial

award will be issued and distributed, up to a maximum of 100% of the number of shares underlying the initial award.

- (6) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value (computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718) of the 2015 Long Term Deferred Equity Awards consisting of restricted stock units granted under the 2013 Stock Plan in February 2016 in recognition of performance in 2015.
- (7) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value (computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 and assuming the highest level of performance will be achieved) of the 2015 Long Term Growth Achievement Awards consisting of stock options granted under the 2011 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (the “2011 Plan”) in February 2016 in recognition of performance in 2015. The number of shares of common stock of the Company underlying each stock option award that may become exercisable is based on growth rates of the Company’s Economic earnings per share, as compared to a base amount of \$11.45 per share, over three , four and five year measurement periods ending on December 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020, respectively, measured against primary and secondary performance targets.

55

- (8) Mr. Cutler joined the Company in March 2017 and, therefore, no compensation is included in the tables for years prior to 2017. As an employee of our wholly owned subsidiary, Affiliated Managers Group Limited (FSA Reference Number 506689), Mr. Cutler's compensation is denominated in U.S. dollars and paid in British pounds, based on the closing spot rate on the applicable date compensation is determined. The stock awards granted to Mr. Cutler in 2017 upon the commencement of his employment represent the following: (i) an award of restricted stock units with a grant date fair value (computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718) of \$250,000, which vested in full on March 1, 2017, and (ii) an award of restricted stock units with a grant date fair value (computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718) of \$1,000,000, vesting in four equal annual installments, subject to continued employment through each vesting date (with certain limited exceptions in the case of death, disability or certain terminations of employment in connection with a change in control).
- (9) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value (computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718) of the 2018 Long Term Deferred Equity Awards consisting of restricted stock units granted under the 2013 Stock Plan in February 2019 in recognition of performance in 2018. These awards will vest in four equal installments on January 1, 2020, 2021, 2022 and 2023, in accordance with the same conditions as the 2017 Long Term Deferred Equity Awards described in footnote (4) above.
- (10) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value (computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718) of the 2018 Long Term Performance Achievement Awards consisting of restricted stock units granted under the 2013 Stock Plan in February 2019 in recognition of performance in 2018. These Long Term Performance Achievement Awards vest in full on January 1, 2022 (subject to the grantee being employed by the Company on such date (and with certain limited exceptions in the case of death, disability or certain terminations of employment in connection with a change in control)); however, the number of shares of common stock of the Company underlying each award that may be issued and distributed for each restricted stock unit will be determined based on the Company's level of achievement measured against pre established performance targets measuring Average Return on Equity. Average Return on Equity is the annual average of the Company's Economic net income (calculated on a pre compensation basis) over a three year measurement period ending on December 31, 2021, divided by the quarterly average of the Company's Total stockholder's equity, controlling interest (excluding accumulated other comprehensive income) over such period, reflected as a percentage. If Average Return on Equity is below 12% for the measurement period, no shares underlying the initial award will be issued and distributed. If Average Return on Equity is between 12% and 22% for the measurement period, a ratable portion between 25% and up to a maximum of 175% of the shares underlying the initial award issued will be issued and distributed, with 100% of the shares underlying the initial award issued and distributed if a mid point of 17% Average Return on Equity is achieved. Any portion of the award that will not be delivered following the three year performance period will be forfeited. The grant date fair value of the Long Term Performance Achievement Awards assumes that the mid point level of Average Return on Equity will be achieved.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2018

Name	Grant Date	Committee Action	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)		Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Grant Date	Fair Value of Stock Awards
			Threshold Target	Maximum Threshold	Target	Maximum	Maximum		
			(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(\$)
Sean M. Healey	1/28/2019	—	—	2,975,000	—	—	—	—	—
	1/30/2018(2)	1/22/2018	—	—	—	—	15,746	—	3,200,000
	1/30/2018(3)	1/22/2018	—	—	—	—	24,112	43,402	4,900,000
Nathaniel Dalton	1/28/2019	—	—	2,000,000	—	—	—	—	—
	1/30/2018(2)	1/22/2018	—	—	—	—	9,472	—	1,925,000
	1/30/2018(3)	1/22/2018	—	—	—	—	14,393	25,907	2,925,000
Jay C. Horgen	1/28/2019	—	—	1,650,000	—	—	—	—	—
	1/30/2018(2)	1/22/2018	—	—	—	—	7,135	—	1,450,000
	1/30/2018(3)	1/22/2018	—	—	—	—	10,703	19,265	2,175,000
Hugh P. B. Cutler	1/28/2019	—	—	800,000	—	—	—	—	—
	1/30/2018(2)	1/22/2018	—	—	—	—	2,657	—	540,000
	1/30/2018(3)	1/22/2018	—	—	—	—	3,986	7,175	810,000
David M. Billings	1/28/2019	—	—	1,100,000	—	—	—	—	—
	1/30/2018(2)	1/22/2018	—	—	—	—	1,181	—	240,000
	1/30/2018(3)	1/22/2018	—	—	—	—	1,747	3,145	355,000

(1) Represents performance based cash bonuses awarded to each named executive officer in recognition of performance in 2018. See footnote (1) to the Summary Compensation Table for additional details regarding these awards.

(2) Represents 2017 Long Term Deferred Equity Awards granted in January 2018 under the 2013 Stock Plan in recognition of performance in 2017, vesting in four equal installments on January 1, 2019, 2020, 2021 and 2022, subject to continued employment through each vesting date (with certain limited exceptions in the case of death, disability or certain terminations of employment in connection with a change in control). The grant date fair value has been computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

(3) Represents 2017 Long Term Performance Achievement Awards granted in January 2018 under the 2013 Stock Plan in recognition of performance in 2017, vesting in full on January 1, 2021, subject to continued employment through the vesting date (with certain limited exceptions in the case of death, disability or certain terminations of employment in connection with a change in control). The number of shares of common stock of the Company underlying each award that may be issued and distributed for each restricted stock unit is based on the Company's level of achievement measured against pre established performance targets measuring the Company's Average

Return on Equity over a three year measurement period ending on December 31, 2020. The grant date fair value has been computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, and assumes that the mid point level of Average Return on Equity will be achieved.

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2018 Fiscal Year-End

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards			
	Exercise Price (\$)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares of Stock That Have Not Vested	Market or Payout Value of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Shares of Stock That Have Not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market Value of Unearned Shares of Stock That Have Not Vested
Sean M. Healey	—	91,902	122.40	2/3/2023	101,446	9,884,898	94,007	4,446,850
Nathaniel Dalton	—	53,985	122.40	2/3/2023	60,568	5,901,746	56,439	2,651,343
Jay C. Horgen	—	41,131	122.40	2/3/2023	54,927	5,352,087	29,535	2,002,736
Hugh P. B. Cutler	—	—	—	—	7,087	690,557	3,986	388,396
David M. Billings	—	8,098	122.40	2/3/2023	6,738	656,551	3,618	352,538

(1) Represents awards of performance based options granted under the 2011 Plan on February 3, 2016 that vested on January 1, 2019, the exercise of which remained subject to the achievement of applicable performance targets at fiscal year end. See the Summary Compensation Table for additional details regarding these awards and relevant performance conditions.

(2) Represents the following awards of restricted stock units granted under the 2013 Stock Plan: (i) 2017 Long Term Deferred Equity Awards granted in January 2018, vesting in four equal installments on January 1, 2019, 2020, 2021 and 2022, (ii) 2016 Long Term Deferred Equity Awards granted in January 2017, vesting in four equal installments on December 31, 2017 and January 1, 2019, 2020 and 2021, (iii) 2015 Long Term Deferred Equity Awards granted in February 2016, vesting in four equal installments on January 1 and December 31, 2017 and January 1, 2019 and 2020, (iv) 2014 Long Term Growth Achievement Awards granted in January 2015, vesting in four equal installments on March 1, 2016, January 1 and December 31, 2017 and January 1, 2019, (v) 2014 Long Term Deferred Equity Awards granted in January 2015, vesting in four equal installments on January 1, 2016 and 2017, December 31, 2017 and January 1, 2019, (vi) equity incentive awards granted in December 2013 (a portion of the 2013 Long Term Deferred Equity Awards), vesting in four equal installments on December 31, 2017

and January 2, 2019, 2020 and 2021, and (vi) for Mr. Cutler, includes an award granted in March 2017 upon the commencement of his employment with the Company, vesting in four equal installments on December 31, 2017 and January 1, 2019, 2020 and 2021. See the Summary Compensation Table for additional details. For each award set forth above, vesting is subject to continued employment through each vesting date (with certain limited exceptions in the case of death, disability or certain terminations of employment in connection with a change in control). These awards participate in cash dividends declared by the Company, the payment of which is deferred until delivery of the shares and is forfeited if the requisite service period or any performance conditions are not satisfied.

- (3) Represents the following awards of restricted stock units granted under the 2013 Stock Plan: (i) 2017 Long Term Performance Achievement Awards, vesting in full on January 1, 2021, with the portion of such awards eligible to vest determined based on the Company's Average Return on Equity during the three year measurement period ending on December 31, 2020, measured against pre established performance targets, (ii) 2016 Long Term Growth Achievement Awards, vesting in full on January 1, 2020, with the portion of such awards eligible to vest determined based on growth rates of the Company's Economic earnings per share over three, four and five year measurement periods ending on December 31, 2019, 2020 and 2021, respectively, measured against primary and secondary pre established performance targets, and (iii) 2013 Long Term Performance Equity Awards divided into three equal tranches, with the number of shares of stock to be issued and distributed for each restricted stock unit granted in each tranche to become distributable only if the Company's stock price reaches performance hurdles of 15%, 25% and 35%, respectively, above the closing price of the Company's common stock on the grant date. The stock price appreciation hurdles for the 2013 Long Term Performance Equity Awards may be met at any time during the award term, but shares in any tranche will be earned and distributable only on the earliest distribution date (January 2, 2018, 2019 or 2020) upon which each of the following requirements has been satisfied with respect to such tranche: (a) the shares have become time vested (each tranche vested in four equal annual installments on each of January 2, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018), (b) the applicable stock price appreciation hurdle has been met for 30 consecutive trading days, and (c) the closing price of the Company's common stock on the applicable distribution date meets the 15% stock price appreciation hurdle. As of December 31, 2018, the achievement of the relative performance targets for the 2017 Long Term Performance Achievement Awards and the 2016 Long Term Growth Achievement Awards had not yet been determined, and none of the stock price appreciation hurdles for the 2013 Long Term Performance Equity Awards had been

met. These awards participate in cash dividends declared by the Company, the payment of which is deferred until delivery of the shares and is forfeited if the requisite service period or any performance conditions are not satisfied. See the Summary Compensation Table for additional details regarding the 2017 Long Term Performance Achievement Awards and the 2016 Long Term Growth Achievement Awards and relevant performance conditions.
Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal Year 2018

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares	Value Realized	Number of Shares	Value Realized
	Acquired on Exercise (#)	on Exercise (\$)(1)	Acquired on Vesting (#)	on Vesting (\$)
Sean M. Healey	70,031	3,588,388	—	—
Nathaniel Dalton	—	—	—	—
Jay C. Horgen	—	—	—	—
Hugh P. B. Cutler	—	—	—	—
David M. Billings	—	—	—	—

(1) Reflects the aggregate value realized upon the exercise of options in 2018. The exercise price of these options was \$99.66, which was equal to the fair market value of a share of the Company's common stock on the applicable date of grant.

Director Compensation

At the request of the Compensation Committee, our Compensation Consultant regularly provides a review of director compensation in the broad peer universe and in our Peer Group. This analysis includes data on total compensation for directors at such peer companies, as well as on the individual components of that compensation, such as annual retainers, meeting fees and equity awards. Our Compensation Consultant also provides comparative data from time to time on compensation by board position (such as committee chairs and lead directors) and information on the nature of the service of particular directors in their various capacities (e.g., lead directors) at such companies. Our Compensation Consultant also provides information from time to time to the Compensation Committee on trends in director compensation.

In determining current compensation levels for the Company's directors, the Compensation Committee's objective is that cash compensation be set at or near the median in comparison to directors at public companies within our Peer Group, while equity compensation linked to stockholder value be higher on a relative basis. The annual fee for service by non executive directors is \$80,000. Directors do not receive quarterly meeting fees. Committee fees are as follows: members of the Audit Committee receive an annual fee of \$20,000, with the Chair receiving an annual fee of \$35,000; members of the Compensation Committee receive an annual fee of \$17,000, with the Chair receiving an annual fee of \$20,000; and members of the Nominating and Governance Committee receive an annual fee of \$13,000, with the Chair receiving an annual fee of \$15,000. The Chair of each Committee receives the annual Chair fee in lieu of the Committee fee. In addition, the Lead Independent Director receives a fee of \$100,000 for his active role as principal liaison with management of the Company and for his services as the principal contact on our Board of Directors for our stockholders and other interested parties. All directors of the Company are provided information technology and support by the Company and are reimbursed for travel expenses incurred in attending meetings of the Board of

Directors and its committees, as well as Board related activities.

Equity grant determinations for directors are made consistent with the Compensation Committee's philosophy that compensation should be directly linked to increases in stockholder value. Directors are granted awards, on a semi annual basis in January or February and in July or August, under the Deferred Compensation Plan, in an aggregate amount of \$80,000, vesting in 25% increments over four years. The directors may determine how these awards are invested, and, to date, they have elected to invest all awards in the stock unit fund under the Deferred Compensation Plan. The number of stock units subject to each award is determined based on the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the grant date, with each stock unit representing one share of the Company's common stock. These awards participate in cash dividends declared by the Company, with such cash dividends invested in the stock unit fund until shares subject to the awards are vested and delivered. Cash dividends are forfeited if the applicable vesting conditions are not satisfied. Directors also receive semi annual stock option grants in January or February and in July or August, with an aggregate annual grant date Black Scholes value of \$120,000. Each of these awards is subject to vesting, in 25% increments over four years. This vesting period is longer than the one to three year vesting schedules employed by companies in our Peer Group and across the market more generally.

Director Compensation in Fiscal Year 2018

The following table sets forth information regarding the compensation earned by the Company's non executive directors in 2018. For compensation information with respect to Messrs. Healey and Dalton and their services as Executive Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, respectively, please see the Summary Compensation Table and other accompanying compensation tables. Messrs. Healey and Dalton receive no additional compensation for their services as directors.

Name	Fees Earned or			All Other	
	Paid in Cash	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Compensation	Total
	(\$)	\$(1)	\$(2)	(\$)	(\$)
Samuel T. Byrne	130,000	80,037	120,085	—	330,122
Dwight D. Churchill	115,000	80,037	120,085	—	315,122
Glenn Earle	100,000	80,037	120,085	—	300,122
Niall Ferguson	93,000	80,037	120,085	—	293,122
Tracy P. Palandjian	113,000	80,037	120,085	—	313,122
Patrick T. Ryan	232,000	80,037	120,085	—	432,122
Jide J. Zeitlin	105,000	80,037	120,085	—	305,122
Karen L. Yerburgh	80,000	80,037	120,085	—	280,122

(1) On January 30, 2018 and July 31, 2018, the Company granted awards to each non executive director then serving on our Board of Directors (in each case, vesting 25% on each of January 1, 2019, 2020, 2021 and 2022). The grant date fair value of each award granted on January 30, 2018 and July 31, 2018, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, is \$40,034 and \$40,003, respectively. As of December 31, 2018, the aggregate unvested portion of awards made under the Deferred Compensation Plan (measured in shares of common stock) was as follows: Mr. Byrne: 1,210; Mr. Churchill: 1,210; Mr. Earle: 1,159; Mr. Ferguson: 1,210; Ms. Palandjian: 1,210; Mr. Ryan: 1,210; Ms. Yerburgh: 447; and Mr. Zeitlin: 1,210.

(2) On January 30, 2018 and July 31, 2018, the Company granted 1,116 and 1,353 stock options, respectively, to each non executive director then serving on our Board of Directors (in each case, vesting 25% on each of January 1, 2019, 2020, 2021 and 2022). The grant date fair value of the stock options granted on January 30, 2018 and July 31, 2018, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, is \$60,052 and \$60,033, respectively. As of December 31, 2018, the number of shares of common stock subject to stock options held by each director was as follows: Mr. Byrne: 19,572; Mr. Churchill: 16,161; Mr. Earle: 9,956; Mr. Ferguson: 12,109; Ms. Palandjian: 17,855; Mr. Ryan: 19,572; Ms. Yerburgh: 2,469; and Mr. Zeitlin: 19,572.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth information regarding the securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2018:

Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of	Weighted-average exercise price of	Number of securities remaining available
--	------------------------------------	--

	outstanding options, warrants and rights	outstanding options, warrants and rights	for future issuance under compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders(1)	471,017	132.23	3,957,649
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders(2)	10,233	65.51	22,208
Total	481,250	130.81	3,979,857

(1) Consists of the 2011 Plan and the 2013 Stock Plan.

(2) Consists of the Amended and Restated 2002 Stock Option and Incentive Plan. The 22,208 shares available for issuance under this plan may be issued pursuant to stock option awards, deferred stock awards, restricted stock awards, unrestricted stock awards, restricted stock unit awards, performance share awards or dividend equivalent rights.

60

PROPOSAL 2: ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

In accordance with the requirements of Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), we are again providing for a non-binding, advisory vote for stockholders to approve the compensation of our named executive officers, as disclosed in this Proxy Statement, pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K. The Board of Directors has determined to hold an advisory vote on our named executive officers’ compensation annually, consistent with the outcome of the advisory vote of our stockholders at our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

While this vote is advisory and not binding on the Company, the Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee value the views of our stockholders, and will continue to consider, among other factors, the outcome of the vote when making future compensation decisions for our named executive officers.

In considering your vote on the compensation of our named executive officers, please review the Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 27 of this Proxy Statement. The Compensation Discussion and Analysis describes the Company’s executive compensation program and the decisions that the Compensation Committee made with respect to the compensation of our named executive officers.

Our executive compensation program is designed to enable the Company to attract, motivate and retain key persons while, at the same time, creating a close relationship between performance and compensation. The Company regularly reviews its compensation program and the overall compensation package paid to each of its named executive officers, including through the engagement of an independent compensation consultant, to assess risk and to ensure that the program is structured appropriately in order to achieve the Company’s strategic goals.

Approval of the advisory vote on executive compensation requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of common stock cast at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on this proposal.

Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends that the Company’s stockholders vote FOR the approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation paid to our named executive officers, as disclosed in this Proxy Statement, pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K.

PROPOSAL 3: RATIFICATION OF THE
SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has selected PwC as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the current fiscal year, subject to ratification by the Company's stockholders at the Annual Meeting. PwC has acted as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm since the Company's inception. The Company has been advised by PwC that it is a registered public accounting firm with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (the "PCAOB") and complies with the auditing, quality control and independence standards and rules of the PCAOB and the SEC. A representative of PwC is expected to be present at the Annual Meeting to respond to appropriate questions and to make a statement if he or she so desires.

Although stockholder ratification of the selection of PwC is not required, the Board of Directors is nevertheless submitting the selection of PwC to the stockholders for ratification. Should the selection not be ratified by the stockholders, the Audit Committee will reconsider the matter. Even in the event the selection of PwC is ratified, the Audit Committee, in its discretion, may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change is in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

Ratification of the selection of PwC as our independent registered public accounting firm for the current fiscal year requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of common stock cast at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions will have no effect on this proposal.

Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors believes that the selection of PwC as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm is in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders and, therefore, unanimously recommends that the Company's stockholders vote FOR this proposal.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee currently consists of Messrs. Byrne, Churchill, Earle, Ryan and Zeitlin, and Ms. Palandjian, each an independent director of the Company, with Mr. Churchill serving as the Chair of the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee's purpose is to assist the Board of Directors in oversight of the Company's internal controls and financial statements and the audit process. The Board of Directors has determined in its business judgment that all members of the Audit Committee are "independent," as is required by the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and under Securities and Exchange Commission rules.

Management is responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of the Company's financial statements, accounting and financial reporting principles and internal controls and procedures designed to assure compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. The independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PwC"), is responsible for performing an independent audit of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (the "PCAOB").

In performing its oversight role, the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management and PwC. The Audit Committee has also discussed with PwC the matters required to be discussed by the applicable standards of the PCAOB, including Auditing Standard No. 1301, "Communications with Audit Committee," and other applicable laws and regulations. The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from PwC required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding PwC's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with PwC its independence.

Based on the reports and discussions described in this report, and subject to the limitations on the role and responsibilities of the Audit Committee referred to below and in its charter, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Annual Report on Form 10 K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.

The Audit Committee's role is one of oversight, and members of the Audit Committee rely without independent verification on the information provided to them and on the representations made by management and PwC. Accordingly, the Audit Committee's oversight does not provide an independent basis to determine that management has maintained appropriate accounting and financial reporting principles or appropriate internal controls and procedures designed to assure compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. Furthermore, the Audit Committee's considerations and discussions referred to above do not assure that the audit of the Company's financial statements has been carried out in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB, that the financial statements are presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles or that PwC is in fact "independent."

The Audit Committee operates pursuant to a charter that was most recently adopted by the Board of Directors in October 2018 and is available on the Company's website at www.amg.com.

DWIGHT D. CHURCHILL, Chair

SAMUEL T. BYRNE

GLENN EARLE

TRACY P. PALANDJIAN

PATRICK T. RYAN

JIDE J. ZEITLIN

63

Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The following table sets forth information regarding the fees for professional services rendered PwC in each of the last two fiscal years:

Type of Fee	Year Ended	Year Ended
	December 31, 2017	December 31, 2018
Audit Fees(1)	\$6,698,238	\$6,766,557
Audit Related Fees(2)	1,152,687	1,175,556
Tax Fees(3)	2,822,430	3,489,012
All Other Fees	—	—

(1) Represents fees for the audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements and reviews of the consolidated financial statements filed with the SEC in Forms 10 K and 10 Q, as well as in connection with audits of the financial statements of certain of the Company's subsidiaries and Affiliates.

(2) Represents fees for attest services not required by statute or regulation, benefit plan audits and accounting consultations.

(3) Represents fees for tax compliance and consulting services for the Company and certain of its subsidiaries and Affiliates.

In making its determination regarding the independence of PwC, the Audit Committee considered whether the provision of the services covered in the sections entitled "Audit Related Fees" and "Tax Fees" was compatible with maintaining such independence.

The appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm to audit the Company's financial statements is approved each year by the Audit Committee. At the beginning of the year, the Audit Committee also evaluates other potential engagements by the Company of the accounting firm and approves or rejects each service considering (among other factors) the possible impact of each non-audit service on the accounting firm's independence from management. In accordance with its charter, the Audit Committee pre-approves all auditing services and the terms thereof and any non-audit services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm unless an exception to such pre-approval exists under the Exchange Act or the rules of the SEC. The Audit Committee carefully considers the fees that are proposed to be paid in connection with the approval of audit and non-audit services, and then closely monitors the fees incurred in connection with the provision of such services throughout the year. At each meeting, the Audit Committee receives updates from management on the services that have been provided and fees incurred; from time to time, the Audit Committee may also consider and approve the provision of additional services. In the event that a need arises for the approval of additional services between meetings, the services would be considered and provisionally approved by a designated member of the Audit Committee who would present the scope and fees of the services provisionally pre-approved at the following meeting of the Audit Committee.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT

AND CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

The following table sets forth information as of March 15, 2019 (unless otherwise noted), regarding the beneficial ownership of common stock by (i) persons or “groups” (as that term is used in Section 13(d)(3) of the Exchange Act) known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the common stock of the Company, (ii) named executive officers, (iii) directors and (iv) directors and executive officers as a group. Except as otherwise indicated, we believe, based on information furnished by such persons, that each person listed below has sole voting and investment power over the shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned, subject to community property laws, where applicable.

In accordance with SEC rules, the number of shares of common stock beneficially owned excludes shares underlying restricted stock unit awards that are currently unvested or unsettled and that will remain so within 60 days of March 15, 2019 (the “measurement period”). Additional information regarding the restricted stock units held by each named executive officer is included in the footnotes to the table, as well as in the “Supplemental Table—Compensation Earned in Fiscal Year 2018” and “Outstanding Equity Awards at 2018 Fiscal Year End” tables included elsewhere in this Proxy Statement.

Name of Beneficial Owner(1)	Number of Shares		Percent of Common Stock(2)
	Beneficially Owned(2)		
The Vanguard Group(3)	5,609,895		10.86 %
EdgePoint Investment Group Inc. (4)	4,652,660		9.00 %
BlackRock, Inc.(5)	3,445,712		6.67 %
State Street Corporation(6)	2,698,537		5.22 %
Nathaniel Dalton(7)	236,896		*
Sean M. Healey(8)	183,257		*
Jay C. Horgen(9)	102,567		*
Hugh P. B. Cutler(10)	2,697		*
David M. Billings(11)	15,105		*
Samuel T. Byrne(12)	28,239		*
Dwight D. Churchill(13)	17,305		*
Glenn Earle(14)	7,170		*
Niall Ferguson(15)	9,885		*
Tracy P. Palandjian(16)	14,932		*
Patrick T. Ryan(17)	29,015		*
Karen L. Yerburgh(18)	719		*
Jide J. Zeitlin(19)	90,624		*
Directors and executive officers as a group (13 persons)(20)	738,411		1.43 %

*Less than 1%

(1) The mailing address for each executive officer and director is c/o Affiliated Managers Group, Inc., 777 South Flagler Drive, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401. In certain cases, voting and investment power of certain shares may be shared by an executive officer with one or more family members who reside in the executive’s household.

(2)

In computing the number of shares of common stock beneficially owned by a person, (i) shares of common stock subject to options held by that person that are currently exercisable or that become exercisable within the measurement period are deemed outstanding and (ii) shares of common stock underlying restricted stock units held by that person that are currently unvested or unsettled and that will remain so through the measurement period are not deemed outstanding. For purposes of computing the percentage owned, shares of common stock subject to options that are currently exercisable or that become exercisable within the measurement period are deemed to be outstanding for the holder thereof, but are not for the purpose of computing the ownership percentage of any other person. As of March 15, 2019, a total 51,658,851 shares of common stock were outstanding.

(3) Information is based on a Schedule 13G A filed with the SEC on February 11, 2019 by The Vanguard Group as of December 31, 2018. The Vanguard Group beneficially owns an aggregate of 5,609,895 shares of common stock, with sole voting power over 63,680 of such shares, shared voting power over 9,095 of such shares, sole dispositive power over 5,539,039 of such shares and shared dispositive power over 70,856 of such shares. The address of The Vanguard Group is listed in such Schedule 13G A as 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355.

65

- (4) Information is based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 13, 2019 by EdgePoint Investment Group Inc. as of December 31, 2018. EdgePoint Investment Group Inc. beneficially owns an aggregate of 4,652,660 shares of common stock, with shared voting and dispositive power over all of such shares (with no sole voting or dispositive power reported). The address of EdgePoint Investment Group Inc. is listed in such Schedule G as 150 Bloor Street West, Suite 500, Toronto, Ontario M5S 2X9, Canada.
- (5) Information is based on a Schedule 13G A filed with the SEC on February 4, 2019 by BlackRock, Inc. as of December 31, 2018. BlackRock, Inc. beneficially owns an aggregate of 3,445,712 shares of common stock, with sole voting power over 2,993,457 of such shares and sole dispositive power over all of such shares (with no shared voting or dispositive power reported). The address of BlackRock, Inc. is listed in such Schedule 13G A as 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055.
- (6) Information is based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 13, 2019 by State Street Corporation as of December 31, 2018. State Street Corporation beneficially owns an aggregate of 2,698,537 shares of common stock, with shared voting and dispositive power over 2,452,320 of such shares (with no sole voting or dispositive power reported). The address of State Street Corporation is listed in such Schedule G as State Street Financial Center, One Lincoln Street, Boston, MA 02111.
- (7) Includes 53,985 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within the measurement period. Excludes 48,435 shares of common stock underlying outstanding restricted stock unit awards subject to time based vesting conditions and 80,980 shares of common stock underlying outstanding restricted stock unit awards that are also subject to performance based vesting conditions that will, in each case, remain unvested or unsettled through the measurement period.
- (8) Includes 91,902 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within the measurement period. Excludes 71,038 shares of common stock underlying outstanding restricted stock unit awards subject to time based vesting conditions and 105,550 shares of common stock underlying outstanding restricted stock unit awards that are also subject to performance based vesting conditions that will, in each case, remain unvested or unsettled through the measurement period.
- (9) Includes 41,131 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within the measurement period. Excludes 43,091 shares of common stock underlying outstanding restricted stock unit awards subject to time based vesting conditions and 48,622 shares of common stock underlying outstanding restricted stock unit awards that are also subject to performance based vesting conditions that will, in each case, remain unvested or unsettled through the measurement period.
- (10) Excludes 9,309 shares of common stock underlying outstanding restricted stock unit awards subject to time based vesting conditions and 10,530 shares of common stock underlying outstanding restricted stock unit awards that are also subject to performance based vesting conditions that will, in each case, remain unvested or unsettled through the measurement period.
- (11) Includes 8,098 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within the measurement period. Excludes 5,952 shares of common stock underlying outstanding restricted stock unit awards subject to time based vesting conditions and 6,890 shares of common stock underlying outstanding restricted stock unit awards that are also subject to performance based vesting conditions that will, in each case, remain unvested or unsettled through the measurement period.
- (12) Includes 15,746 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within the measurement period. Excludes 1,093 shares of common stock underlying outstanding restricted stock unit awards subject to time based vesting conditions that will remain unvested through the measurement period.
- (13) Includes 12,335 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within the measurement period. Excludes 1,093 shares of common stock underlying outstanding restricted stock unit awards subject to time based vesting conditions that will remain unvested through the measurement period.
- (14) Includes 6,130 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within the measurement period. Excludes 1,093 shares of common stock underlying outstanding restricted stock unit awards subject to time based vesting conditions that will remain unvested through the measurement period.
- (15)

Includes 8,283 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within the measurement period. Excludes 1,093 shares of common stock underlying outstanding restricted stock unit awards subject to time based vesting conditions that will remain unvested through the measurement period.

(16) Includes 12,335 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within the measurement period. Excludes 1,093 shares of common stock underlying outstanding restricted stock unit awards subject to time based vesting conditions that will remain unvested through the measurement period.

(17) Includes 15,746 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within the measurement period. Excludes 1,093 shares of common stock underlying outstanding restricted stock unit awards subject to time based vesting conditions that will remain unvested through the measurement period.

- (18) Includes 617 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within the measurement period. Excludes 700 shares of common stock underlying outstanding restricted stock unit awards subject to time based vesting conditions that will remain unvested through the measurement period.
- (19) Includes 15,746 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within the measurement period. Excludes 1,093 shares of common stock underlying outstanding restricted stock unit awards subject to time based vesting conditions that will remain unvested through the measurement period.
- (20) Includes 282,054 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within the measurement period.

OTHER MATTERS

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our executive officers and directors, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of the Company's equity securities, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership of our equity securities with the SEC and NYSE. Executive officers, directors and greater than 10% stockholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish to the Company copies of all Section 16(a) forms that they file. To our knowledge, based solely on a review of copies of such reports, all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to our executive officers, directors and greater than 10% beneficial owners have been satisfied.

Related Person Transactions

We previously granted awards of units of profits interests under the 2011 Long Term Equity Interests Plan and the 2012 Long Term Equity Interests Plan (together, the "Plans") to certain of our named executive officers as part of our compensation program, all of which were fully vested as of December 31, 2015. Vested units under the Plans generally may be called by the Company or put by the holder subject to the terms of the Plans. In the first quarter of 2019, Mr. Dalton, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, elected to sell a portion of the units awarded to him under the 2011 and 2012 Plans, which were purchased by the Company for an aggregate purchase price of \$3.7 million.

Our executive officers and directors may invest from time to time in funds advised by our Affiliates on substantially the same terms as other investors.

Expenses of Solicitation

The cost of solicitation of proxies will be borne by us. In an effort to have as large a representation of stockholders at the Annual Meeting as possible, special solicitation of proxies may, in certain instances, be made personally or by mail, telephone or other electronic means by one or more of our employees or by a proxy solicitor. We also may reimburse brokers, banks, nominees and other fiduciaries for postage and reasonable clerical expenses of forwarding the proxy materials to their principals who are beneficial owners of common stock.

The Company has retained Innisfree M&A Incorporated, 501 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10022, for services in connection with the solicitation of proxies for a fee of \$25,000.

Stockholder Proposals

Any stockholder proposals submitted pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 14a-8 and intended to be presented at the Company's 2020 Annual Meeting of Stockholders must be received by us at our principal executive offices on or before December 19, 2019 to be eligible for inclusion in the Proxy Statement and form of proxy card to be distributed by the Board of Directors in connection with such meeting.

Any stockholder proposal, other than a stockholder proposal submitted pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 14a-8, or notice of stockholder nominees for election to the Board of Directors intended to be presented at the Company's 2020 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, must be received in writing at our principal executive offices no earlier than January 30, 2020 nor later than March 15, 2020. Such stockholder proposals and notice of nominations must satisfy the requirements of our Charter and By-laws and must comply with Delaware General Corporation Law, and include the information, representations and materials required under our By-laws. For more complete information on our advance notice procedures and requirements for stockholder proposals and notice of nominations, please refer to our By-laws.

Householding of Proxy Statement

Some banks, brokers and other nominee record holders may be participating in the practice of “householding” proxy statements and annual reports. This means that only one copy of the Notice of Internet Availability or this Proxy Statement and the 2018 Annual Report on Form 10 K may have been sent to multiple stockholders in your household. We will promptly deliver a separate copy of our Proxy Statement and 2018 Annual Report on Form 10 K to you if you write or call us at the following address or telephone number: Affiliated Managers Group, Inc., 777 South Flagler Drive, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 6152, Attention: Investor Relations, (617) 747 3300. If you would like to receive separate copies of these materials in the future, or if you are receiving multiple copies and would like to receive only one copy for your household, you should contact your bank, broker or other nominee record holder, or you may contact us at the above address and telephone number.

Other Matters

The Board of Directors does not know of any matters other than those described in this Proxy Statement that will be presented for action at the Annual Meeting. If other matters are presented, proxies will be voted in accordance with the discretion of the proxy holders.

For those stockholders who receive the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, this Proxy Statement and the 2018 Annual Report on Form 10 K are available at www.proxyvote.com. In addition, a copy of the 2018 Annual Report on Form 10 K will be provided without charge upon the written request of any stockholder to Affiliated Managers Group, Inc., 777 South Flagler Drive, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 6152, Attention: Investor Relations, and may be found on the Company’s website at www.amg.com. This Proxy Statement references materials and information that are available on our website, including our 2018 Annual Report on Form 10 K and the “Responsibilities” section of our website, which are not incorporated by reference into this Proxy Statement and are not part of the Company’s solicitation materials.

REGARDLESS OF THE NUMBER OF SHARES YOU OWN, YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT TO THE COMPANY. PLEASE SUBMIT A PROXY OVER THE INTERNET, BY TELEPHONE OR BY RETURNING A COMPLETED, SIGNED AND DATED PROXY CARD OR VOTING INSTRUCTION FORM.

AFFILIATED MANAGERS GROUP, INC.

777 SOUTH FLAGLER DRIVE

WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33401

WE ENCOURAGE YOU TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF INTERNET OR TELEPHONE VOTING. BOTH ARE AVAILABLE 24 HOURS A DAY, 7 DAYS A WEEK.

VOTE BY INTERNET - www.proxyvote.com

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information. Vote by 11:59 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time on May 28, 2019. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form. If you vote by Internet or telephone, you do not need to mail back your proxy card.

VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903

Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions. Vote by 11:59 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time on May 28, 2019. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF FUTURE PROXY MATERIALS

If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by our company in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions above to vote using the Internet and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access proxy materials electronically in future years.

TO VOTE,
MARK

BLOCKS
BELOW IN
BLUE OR
BLACK INK
AS FOLLOWS:
E62050-P18806 KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS

THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED. DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION ONLY

AFFILIATED
MANAGERS
GROUP, INC.

The Board of Directors
recommends you vote
FOR the following:

1. To elect each of
the following
ten directors of
the Company to
serve until the
2020 Annual
Meeting of
Stockholders
and until their
respective
successors are
duly elected and
qualified.

For Against Abstain

2. To approve, by a non-binding advisory vote, the
compensation of the Company's named executive
officers.

Nominees:

1a. Samuel T.

Byrne

1b. Dwight D.

Churchill

1c. Nathaniel

Dalton

1d. Glenn Earle

1e. Niall

Ferguson

1f. Sean M.

Healey

1g. Tracy P.

Palandjian

1h.

For Against Abstain

3. To ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers
LLP as the Company's independent registered public
accounting firm for the current fiscal year.

NOTE: Such other business as may properly come
before the meeting or any adjournment or
postponement thereof.

Patrick T.

Ryan

1i. Karen L.

Yerburgh

1j. Jide J. Zeitlin

Please sign exactly as your name(s) appear(s) hereon. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, or other fiduciary, please give full title as such. Joint owners should each sign personally. All holders must sign. If a corporation or partnership, please sign in full corporate or partnership name by authorized officer.

Signature Date

Signature (Joint Owners)

Date

[PLEASE SIGN
WITHIN BOX]

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting:

The Notice and Proxy Statement and Form 10-K are available at www.proxyvote.com.

E62051-P18806

PROXY

FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

AFFILIATED MANAGERS GROUP, INC.

May 29, 2019, 10:00 AM EDT

Solicited on behalf of the Board of Directors

The undersigned hereby appoints Nathaniel Dalton and David M. Billings, and each of them, as proxies, each with full power of substitution, and authorizes them to represent and to vote all of the shares of common stock of Affiliated Managers Group, Inc. (the "Company") that the undersigned is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders, and at any adjournments or postponements thereof, and hereby grants each of them full power and authority to act on behalf of the undersigned at said meeting and any adjournments or postponements thereof. The Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be held on Wednesday, May 29, 2019, at 10:00 a.m. Eastern Daylight Time, at the Company's office at 600 Hale Street, Prides Crossing, Massachusetts 01965.

The undersigned hereby revokes any proxy previously given in connection with such meeting and acknowledges receipt of the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, Proxy Statement and 2018 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

This proxy, when properly executed, will be voted in the manner directed herein by the undersigned stockholder.

If no such direction is made on the reverse side of this form, this proxy will be voted "FOR" the election of each of the nominees for director listed in Proposal 1, "FOR" Proposal 2 - To approve, by a non-binding advisory vote, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, and "FOR" Proposal 3 - To ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the current fiscal

year.

Continued, and to be signed on reverse side