COOPER TIRE & RUBBER CO Form DEF 14A March 23, 2016

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549
SCHEDULE 14A
(RULE 14a-101)
INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT
SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION
Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)
Filed by the Registrant
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant
Check the appropriate box:
Preliminary Proxy Statement
Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
Definitive Proxy Statement
Definitive Additional Materials
Soliciting Material Pursuant to § 240.14a-12

Cooper Tire & Rubber Company (Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)
(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)
Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):
No fee required.
Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies: (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:  (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined): (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction: (5) Total fee paid:

"Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and ...identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:
- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
- (3) Filing Party:
- (4) Date Filed:

### **COOPER TIRE & RUBBER COMPANY**

### NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

### TO THE STOCKHOLDERS:

The 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Cooper Tire & Rubber Company (the "Company") will be held at The Westin Detroit Metropolitan Airport, McNamara Terminal, 2501 Worldgateway Place, Detroit, Michigan 48242 on Friday, May 6, 2016, at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, for the following purposes:

- (1) To elect nine Directors of the Company for the ensuing year.
- (2) To ratify the selection of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2016.
- (3) To approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, the Company's named executive officer compensation.
- To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any postponement(s) or adjournment(s) thereof.

Only holders of Common Stock of record at the close of business on March 14, 2016, are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting.

### BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Stephen Zamansky,

Senior Vice President,

General Counsel and Secretary

Findlay, Ohio

March 22, 2016

Please mark, date, and sign the enclosed proxy and return it promptly in the enclosed addressed envelope, which requires no postage. In the alternative, you may vote by Internet or telephone. See page 2 of the proxy statement for additional information on voting by Internet or telephone. If you are present and vote in person at the Annual Meeting, the enclosed proxy card will not be used.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
GENERAL INFORMATION AND VOTING	1
Purpose of Annual Meeting	1
Voting	1
Proxy Matters	2
AGENDA ITEM 1 – Election of Directors	3
Nominees for Director	3
AGENDA ITEM 2 – Ratification of the Selection of the Company's Independent Registered Public	8
Accounting Firm	8
AGENDA ITEM 3 – Proposal To Approve, On A Non-Binding Advisory Basis, Named Executive Officer Compensation	9
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	10
Executive Summary	10
2015 Financial Results	11
Our Executive Officer Compensation Program is Administered by the Compensation Committee	11
Executive Compensation Philosophy and Approach	12
Base Salaries	13
Incentive Compensation	14
Retirement Benefits	19
Executive Deferred Compensation Plan	20
Perquisites and Other Compensation	20
Other Program Design Elements	20
Employment Agreement and Change in Control Plan	22
Compensation Plan for 2016	22
Executive Compensation Consultant Disclosure	22
Compensation-Related Risk Assessment	23
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT	23
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	24
2015 Summary Compensation Table	24
2015 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table	26
Outstanding Equity Awards at 2015 Fiscal Year-End Table	28
2015 Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table	30
2015 Pension Benefits Table	30
2015 Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table	31
Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control	33
2015 Director Compensation Table	43

-i-

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

MEETINGS OF THE BOARD OF

**DIRECTORS AND 45** 

<u>ITS</u>

**COMMITTEES** 

# **COMPENSATION**

**COMMITTEE** 

INTERLOCKS 51

**AND INSIDER** 

**PARTICIPATION** 

### **RELATIONSHIP**

**WITH** 

**INDEPENDENT** 

REGISTERED 51

**PUBLIC** 

**ACCOUNTING** 

**FIRM** 

# **AUDIT**

COMMITTEE 53

**REPORT** 

**BENEFICIAL** 

OWNERSHIP OF 54

**SHARES** 

**SECURITY** 

OWNERSHIP OF 55

**MANAGEMENT** 

SECTION 16(a)

**BENEFICIAL** 

OWNERSHIP 56

**REPORTING** 

**COMPLIANCE** 

**STOCKHOLDER** 

PROPOSALS FOR 56

**THE ANNUAL** 

**MEETING IN 2017** 

INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE 56

HOUSEHOLDING 56

**SOLICITATION** 

AND OTHER 57 MATTERS

**IMPORTANT** 

**NOTICE** 

**REGARDING THE** 

**AVAILABILITY** 

**OF PROXY** 

**MATERIALS FOR** 57

**THE** 

**STOCKHOLDER** 

**MEETING TO BE** 

HELD ON MAY 6,

2016

-ii-

### **COOPER TIRE & RUBBER COMPANY**

701 Lima Avenue, Findlay, Ohio 45840

March 22, 2016

### PROXY STATEMENT

#### GENERAL INFORMATION AND VOTING

This proxy statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Cooper Tire & Rubber Company (the "Company," "Cooper Tire," "our," "we," or "us") to be used at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company to be held on May 6, 2016, at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, at The Westin Detroit Metropolitan Airport, McNamara Terminal, 2501 Worldgateway Place, Detroit, Michigan 48242. This proxy statement and the related form of proxy were first mailed or made available to stockholders on or about March 22, 2016.

### **Purpose of Annual Meeting**

The purpose of the Annual Meeting is for stockholders to act on the matters outlined in the notice of Annual Meeting on the cover page of this proxy statement. These matters consist of (1) the election of nine Directors, (2) the ratification of the selection of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2016, (3) the approval, on a non-binding advisory basis, of the Company's named executive officer compensation, and (4) the transaction of such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any postponement(s) or adjournment(s) thereof.

### **Voting**

Each share of the Company's Common Stock will be entitled to one vote on each matter. Only stockholders of record at the close of business on March 14, 2016, (the "record date") will be eligible to vote at the Annual Meeting. As of the

record date, there were 55,526,781 shares of Common Stock outstanding. The holders of a majority of the shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding, and present in person or represented by proxy, constitute a quorum. Abstentions and "broker non-votes" with respect to a proposal will be counted to determine whether a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting.

If your shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm, bank, broker-dealer, or other similar organization, then you are the beneficial owner of shares held in "street name," and the organization holding your account is considered the stockholder of record for purposes of voting at the Annual Meeting. As a beneficial owner, you have the right to instruct that organization on how to vote the shares held in your account. "Broker non-votes" occur when an organization that holds shares for a beneficial owner has not received voting instructions with respect to the proposal from the beneficial owner. Whether such organization has the discretion to vote those shares on a particular proposal depends on the ballot item. If the organization that holds your shares does not have discretion and you do not give the organization instructions, the votes will be "broker non-votes," which may have the same effect as votes against the proposal.

Below is a summary of the vote threshold required for passage of each agenda item and the effect of abstentions and "broker non-votes."

Agenda Item 1. Except in the case of a contested election, each nominee for election as a Director who receives a majority of the votes cast with respect to such Director's election by stockholders will be elected as a Director. In the case of a contested election, the nominees for election as Directors who receive the greatest number of votes will be elected as Directors. Abstentions and "broker non-votes" are not counted for purposes of the election of Directors.

Agenda Item 2. Although the Company's independent registered public accounting firm may be selected by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors without stockholder approval, the Audit Committee will consider the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Common Stock having voting power present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting to be a ratification by the stockholders of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2016. As a result, abstentions will have the same effect as a vote cast against the proposal. As a routine matter, we do not expect "broker non-votes" with respect to this proposal.

Agenda Item 3. Although the advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation is non-binding, the advisory vote allows our stockholders to express their opinions regarding officer compensation. The Board will consider the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Common Stock having voting power present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting as approval of the compensation of the Company's named executive officers for fiscal 2015. Abstentions are counted as votes against and "broker non-votes" are not counted for purposes of the advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation. As a result, if you own shares through a bank, broker-dealer, or similar organization, you must instruct your bank, broker-dealer, or other similar organization to vote in order for them to vote your shares.

### **Proxy Matters**

Stockholders may vote by completing, properly signing, and returning the accompanying proxy card, or by attending and voting at the Annual Meeting. If you properly complete and return your proxy card in time to vote, your proxy (one of the individuals named in the proxy card) will vote your shares as you have directed. If you sign and return the proxy card but do not indicate specific choices as to your vote, your proxy will vote your shares (i) to elect the nominees listed under "Nominees for Director," (ii) for the ratification of the selection of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, and (iii) for approval of the compensation of the Company's named executive officers for fiscal year 2015.

Stockholders of record and participants in certain defined contribution plans sponsored by the Company (see below) may also vote by using a touch-tone telephone to call 1-800-690-6903, or by the Internet by accessing the following website: <a href="http://www.proxyvote.com">http://www.proxyvote.com</a>.

Voting instructions, including your stockholder account number and personal proxy control number, are contained on the accompanying proxy card. You will also use this accompanying proxy card if you are a participant in the following defined contribution plans sponsored by the Company:

· Spectrum Investment Savings Plan

- · Pre-Tax Savings Plan (Texarkana Represented Employees)
- · Pre-Tax Savings Plan (Findlay Represented Employees)

Those stockholders of record who choose to vote by telephone or Internet must do so no later than 11:59 p.m., Eastern Daylight Time, on May 5, 2016. All voting instructions from participants in the defined contribution plans sponsored by the Company and listed above must be received no later than 5:00 p.m., Eastern Daylight Time, on May 4, 2016.

A stockholder may revoke a proxy by filing a notice of revocation with the Secretary of the Company, or by submitting a properly executed proxy card bearing a later date. A stockholder may also revoke a previously executed proxy (including one submitted by Internet or telephone) by attending and voting at the Annual Meeting, after requesting that the earlier proxy be revoked. Attendance at the Annual Meeting, without further action on the part of the stockholder, will not operate to revoke a previously granted proxy card. If the shares are held in the name of a bank, broker or other holder of record, the stockholder must obtain a proxy executed in his or her favor from the holder of record to be able to vote at the Annual Meeting.

### **AGENDA ITEM 1**

#### **ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

In accordance with the Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, the Board of Directors has fixed the total number of Directors to be elected at the Annual Meeting at nine. All nine of our Directors standing for reelection have a term that expires at this Annual Meeting and each has consented to stand for reelection. At this Annual Meeting, nine Directors are being elected to serve for a term of office that will expire at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders in 2017. In the event that any of the nominees becomes unavailable to serve as a Director before the Annual Meeting, the Board of Directors may designate a new nominee, and the persons named as proxies will vote for that substitute nominee.

The Board of Directors recommends that stockholders vote FOR the nine nominees for Director.

### NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR

ROY V. ARMES Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, and President

Mr. Armes, age 63, has served as Chief Executive Officer and President of the Company since January 2007 and as Chairman of the Board since December 2007. He was previously employed at Whirlpool Corporation, a manufacturer and marketer of major home appliances, for 31 years, where he gained experience in engineering, manufacturing, global procurement, and international operations management. Mr. Armes also developed a successful track record at Whirlpool Corporation of developing customer relationships and consumer-oriented products. During his career at Whirlpool Corporation, Mr. Armes served in positions including: Senior Vice President, Project Management Office; Corporate Vice President and General Director, Whirlpool Mexico; Corporate Vice President, Global Procurement Operations; President/Managing Director, Whirlpool Greater China; Vice President, Manufacturing Technology, Whirlpool Asia (Singapore); and Vice President, Manufacturing & Technology, Refrigeration Products, Whirlpool Europe (Italy). Mr. Armes is also a director of AGCO Corporation. Mr. Armes has a B.S. in Mechanical Engineering from The

University of Toledo. As previously disclosed, Mr. Armes intends to retire from the Company effective August 31, 2016. Mr. Armes' education, board member experience, and business management experience in manufacturing, technology, and sales and marketing, including eight years of international business experience, qualify him to continue serving as a member of the Board of Directors.

Director Since 2007

### NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR (CONT.)

**THOMAS P. CAPO** Former Chairman of the Board,
Dollar Thrifty Automotive Group, Inc.

Mr. Capo, age 65, served as Chairman of the Board of Dollar Thrifty Automotive Group, Inc., a vehicle rental company, from October 2003 to November 2010. Mr. Capo was a Senior Vice President and Treasurer of DaimlerChrysler Corporation, an automobile manufacturer, from November 1998 until August 2000. From November 1991 to October 1998, he was Treasurer of Chrysler Corporation, an automobile manufacturer. Prior to holding these positions, Mr. Capo served as Vice President and Controller of Chrysler Financial Corporation, a finance company. Mr. Capo currently serves as a director of Lear Corporation, and, until its sale in November 2012, he served as a director of Dollar Thrifty Automotive Group, Inc. Mr. Capo has a B.S. in Accounting and Finance, an M.A. in Economics, and an M.B.A. in Finance, each from the University of Detroit Mercy. Mr. Capo's public company board and committee experience, including at the board chairman level, executive management and leadership experience, especially in finance, treasury, capital markets, financial reporting and compliance, including his service as a public company treasurer and controller, and education qualify him to continue serving as a member of the Board of Directors.

Director Since 2007

### STEVEN M. CHAPMAN Group Vice President,

China and Russia, Cummins, Inc.

Mr. Chapman, age 62, is Group Vice President, China and Russia, for Cummins, Inc. Cummins designs, manufactures, and markets diesel engines and related components and power systems. Mr. Chapman has been with Cummins since 1985 and served in various capacities, including as Group Vice President, Emerging Markets & Businesses, President of Cummins' International Distribution Business, Vice President of International, and Vice President of Southeast Asia and China. Mr. Chapman graduated from St. Olaf College with a B.A. in Asian Studies and from Yale University with a M.P.P.M. in Management. Mr. Chapman's education, board member experience, and business management experience in operations and international operations qualify him to continue serving as a member of the Board of Directors.

Director Since 2006

### NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR (CONT.)

SUSAN F. DAVIS Executive Vice President,
Asia-Pacific Region for Johnson Controls

Ms. Davis, age 62, has served as Executive Vice President of the Asia-Pacific Region for Johnson Controls since 2015. Johnson Controls is a globally diversified technology and industrial leader serving customers in more than 150 countries. Ms. Davis has served in positions of increasing responsibility within Johnson Controls. She was named Vice President of Organizational Development in 1993. The following year, she was appointed Corporate Vice President of Human Resources and was named Executive Vice President of Human Resources in 2005. She was named Chief Human Resources Officer in 2012. She joined the company in 1985, following its acquisition of Hoover Universal, where she began her career in 1983 as a strategic planner for the automotive seating and plastics machinery business. Ms. Davis holds a Master of Business Administration (MBA) degree from the University of Michigan. She graduated magna cum laude with a Master of Arts degree and magna cum laude with a Bachelor of Arts, both from Beloit College. Ms. Davis currently serves as director of Quanex Corporation and vice chair of the Human Resources Policy Association. Ms. Davis' education, board member experience, and business management experience qualify her to continue serving as a member of the Board of Directors.

Director Since 2016

# JOHN J. HOLLAND President,

Greentree Advisors LLC

Mr. Holland, age 66, has served as President of Greentree Advisors LLC since 2005. Greentree Advisors LLC provides business advisory services. Mr. Holland served as President of The International Copper Association (ICA) from 2012 to 2015. The ICA is a marketing and trade organization for the global copper industry. Mr. Holland served as President, Chief Operating Officer, and Chief Financial Officer of MMFX Technologies Corporation from September 2008 until October 2009. MMFX Technologies is an inventor and manufacturer of nano technology steel. Prior to that, he was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Alternative Energy Sources, Inc., an ethanol producer, from August 2006 until June 2008. Mr. Holland previously was employed by Butler Manufacturing Company, a producer of pre-engineered building systems, supplier of architectural aluminum systems and components, and provider of construction and real estate services for the non-residential

construction market, from 1980 until his retirement in 2004. Prior to his retirement from Butler, Mr. Holland served as Chairman of the Board from 2001 to 2004, as Chief Executive Officer from 1999 to 2004, and as President from 1999 to 2001. Mr. Holland is also a director of SAIA, Inc., and NCI Buildings Systems Inc. Mr. Holland holds B.S. and M.B.A. degrees from the University of Kansas. Mr. Holland's education, board member experience, and business management experience in operations and accounting, including his service as a chief executive officer and chief financial officer, qualify him to continue serving as a member of the Board of Directors.

Director Since 2003

### NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR (CONT.)

**JOHN F. MEIER** Former Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer,

Libbey Inc.

Mr. Meier, age 68, served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Libbey Inc., a producer of glass tableware and china, from June 1993 until July 2011. Mr. Meier served as Chairman of the Board of Applied Industrial Technologies, an industrial distributor, from December 2011 through October 2014, and continues to serve on that company's Board. Mr. Meier received a B.S. degree in Business Administration from Wittenberg University and an M.B.A. degree from Bowling Green State University. He is trustee emeritus of Wittenberg University. Mr. Meier's education, board member experience, and business management experience, including his service as a chief executive officer, qualify him to continue serving as a member of the Board of Directors.

Director Since 1997

**GARY S. MICHEL** Senior Vice President and

President, Residential Heating, Ventilation

and Air Conditioning (HVAC),

Ingersoll Rand

Mr. Michel, age 53, has served as President of Ingersoll Rand's residential HVAC business since 2011; which includes brands such as Trane, American Standard, Ameristar, and Nexia Home Intelligence. Ingersoll Rand is a \$13 billion global business that enhances the quality and comfort of air in homes and buildings; transports and protects food and perishables, and increases industrial productivity and efficiency. Mr. Michel, a 30-year veteran of Ingersoll Rand, is a Senior Vice President of the company and also serves as a member of its enterprise leadership team. He also leads the Ingersoll Rand Sales Excellence Initiative, as well as serving as a co-lead of the company's enterprise sustainability efforts. Mr. Michel began his tenure with Ingersoll Rand as an application engineer and went on to hold product, sales, and business management roles before moving into a series of leadership positions across various geographic and market segments, culminating in his current role. Mr. Michel holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering from Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University and a Master of Business Administration (MBA) degree from the University of Phoenix.

Director Since 2015

### NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR (CONT.)

**JOHN H. SHUEY** Former Chairman of the Board,

President and Chief Executive Officer, Amcast Industrial Corporation

Mr. Shuey, age 70, joined Amcast Industrial Corporation, a producer of aluminum components for the automotive industry and plumbing products for the construction industry, in 1991 as Executive Vice President. He was elected President and Chief Operating Officer in 1993, a director in 1994, Chief Executive Officer in 1995, and Chairman in 1997. Mr. Shuey served as Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer through February 2001. Prior to joining Amcast, Mr. Shuey served as chief financial officer for two Fortune 500 companies. Mr. Shuey has been a private investor since February 2001. Mr. Shuey has a B.S. degree in Industrial Engineering and an M.B.A. degree, both from the University of Michigan. Mr. Shuey's education, board

experience, and business and financial management experience, including service as chief financial officer for two Fortune 500 companies, as well as his service as a chief executive officer and in numerous leadership positions for many organizations, qualify him

to continue serving as a member of the Board of Directors.

Director Since 1996

**ROBERT D. WELDING** Former Non-Executive Chairman, Public Safety Equipment (Int'l) Limited

Mr. Welding, age 67, served as the Non-Executive Chairman of Public Safety Equipment (Int'l) Limited, a manufacturer of highway safety and enforcement products, from January 2009 until his retirement in May 2010. Prior to that, he was President, Chief Executive Officer, and a director of Federal Signal Corporation, a manufacturer of capital equipment, from November 2003 until his retirement in 2007. Prior to holding those positions, Mr. Welding was Executive Vice President of BorgWarner, Inc., a U.S. automotive parts supplier, and Group President of BorgWarner's Driveline Group from November 2002 until November 2003, and was President of BorgWarner's Transmission Systems Division from 1996 to November 2002. Mr. Welding graduated from the University of Nebraska with a B.S. in Mechanical Engineering, holds an M.B.A. from the University of Michigan, and is a graduate of Harvard Business School's Advanced Management Program. Mr. Welding's education, board member experience, and business management experience in strategy development, operations leadership, continuous improvement, product development, technology, and corporate leadership qualify him to continue

serving as a member of the Board of Directors.

Director Since 2007

Note: The beneficial ownership of the Directors and nominees in the Common Stock of the Company is shown in the table presented under the heading "Security Ownership of Management" in this proxy statement.

### **AGENDA ITEM 2**

# RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Ernst & Young LLP served as the independent registered public accounting firm of the Company in 2015 and has been retained by the Audit Committee to do so in 2016. In connection with the audit of the 2016 financial statements, the Company has engaged Ernst & Young LLP to perform audit services for the Company. The Board of Directors has directed that management submit the selection of the independent registered public accounting firm for ratification by the stockholders at the Annual Meeting.

Stockholder ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm is not required by the Company's Bylaws or otherwise. However, the Board of Directors is submitting the selection of Ernst & Young LLP to the stockholders for ratification. If the stockholders do not ratify the selection, the Audit Committee will reconsider whether or not to retain the firm. In such event, the Audit Committee may retain Ernst & Young LLP, notwithstanding the fact that the stockholders did not ratify the selection, or select another nationally recognized public accounting firm without resubmitting the matter to the stockholders. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee reserves the right in its discretion to select a different nationally recognized public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

The Board of Directors recommends that stockholders vote FOR the ratification of the selection of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm.

### **AGENDA ITEM 3**

### PROPOSAL TO approve, ON A NON-BINDING ADVISORY BASIS,

### NAMED executive OFFICER compensation

The Board of Directors is aware of the significant interest in executive compensation matters by investors and the general public. The Company is submitting this proposal, commonly known as a "say-on-pay" proposal, to stockholders. The Company is currently conducting say-on-pay votes every year and expects to hold the next say-on-pay vote in connection with its 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. As required under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act, we are asking you to cast a non-binding advisory vote to approve the Company's named executive officer compensation through the consideration of the following resolution:

"RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion, is hereby APPROVED."

Our Compensation Committee has overseen the development and implementation of a compensation program that is discussed more fully in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" and "Executive Compensation," including the summary tables and narrative sections of this proxy statement.

The Company's compensation program emphasizes a pay-for-performance philosophy. Performance-based annual cash incentive and long-term incentive programs, collectively, are the majority of the targeted annual compensation for our named executive officers. These programs are designed to:

Drive the long-term financial and operational performance of the Company;

Deliver value to our stockholders;

Recognize and reward corporate, group and individual performance;

.

Provide a pay package that reflects our judgment of the value of each officer's position in the marketplace and the Company; and

Attract and retain strong executive leadership.

In executing a philosophy which begins with creating long-term value to stockholders, the Compensation Committee has established a framework for executive compensation that promotes a culture of performance and accountability with due consideration to risk management, transparency, and the need to adjust to rapidly changing market conditions. The program is heavily weighted toward pay at risk, with limited executive perquisites and benefits and clear line of sight to the link between important Company strategic goals and the rewards for achieving those objectives.

To further promote alignment with the interests of stockholders and a culture of enduring performance and accountability, the Company's executives have stock ownership requirements and are bound by a clawback policy which allows for the recoupment of incentive payments in certain circumstances. The fully independent Compensation Committee believes that the executive compensation program is an essential factor in the Company's strengthening of its leadership team and competitive position in the marketplace, both of which lead to business continuity and long-term value creation.

Because your vote is advisory, it will not be binding upon the Company, the Compensation Committee, or the Board of Directors. However, we value stockholders' opinions, and the Board will carefully consider the outcome of the advisory vote on named executive officer compensation.

The Board of Directors recommends that the stockholders vote FOR approval, on a non-binding advisory basis, of the compensation of the Company's named executive officers for fiscal year 2015.

### COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

### **Executive Summary**

Cooper Tire's executive compensation program for its named executive officers is driven by our financial and strategic goals and the principle of pay for performance. The compensation program, which primarily consists of a base salary and performance-based cash incentive and equity awards, is built upon many of the principles and governance practices highlighted below.

# **Compensation Design and Levels**

- ·Pay is tied to performance:
  - Approximately 86% of the Chief Executive Officer's target annual compensation and 71% of other named executive
- -officer's target annual compensation is at-risk and varies with performance, against incentive goals as well as performance of the stock in the case of stock options and restricted stock unit (RSU) awards.
- There is an appropriate balance of annual and long-term incentives, and metrics used in the annual plan are different from the metrics used in the long-term incentive plan.
- The annual incentive plan is based upon the achievement of established corporate or business unit performance goals.
- -Two-thirds of the long-term opportunity is based on the achievement of established corporate performance goals.
- Dividend equivalents are not accrued or paid on performance awards that are not notionally earned.
- To facilitate a comprehensive view of all current and previously granted forms of compensation, tally sheets are used by the Compensation Committee.
- Compensation peer groups are evaluated periodically to align with investor expectations and changes in the Company's business and market practice.
- The Compensation Committee references the market median with respect to establishing compensation levels for the named executive officers.
- Executive officers, including named executive officers, receive the same group benefits as other salaried employees, including health, life insurance, disability, and retirement benefits; they are also eligible for a non-qualified
- supplementary benefit plan designed to restore 401(k) benefits lost due to Internal Revenue Code statutory limits and a deferred compensation plan, which is legally required to limit participation and does not provide any fixed, above-market earnings opportunity.
- Executive officer perquisites are limited and reviewed annually by the Compensation Committee. There are no tax gross-ups on any perquisites, other than for travel costs of spouses who accompany executives to participate in business-related activities.
- The Company maintains a double trigger requirement for change in control severance benefits and for the ·acceleration of time-based equity awards, including restricted stock units and stock options (provided the awards are assumed or replaced with substantially equivalent awards).
- Except for Mr. Armes, whose employment agreement was entered into in 2006, there are no excise tax gross-up provisions upon a change in control.
- The Company's three-year average burn rate is below the mean burn rate for the Russell 3000 companies in GICS group 2510.

# **Executive Compensation Policies and Practices**

- •Executives who participate in the long-term incentive plan are required to meet minimum levels of stock ownership. The Board has an established policy for recoupment of annual and long-term incentive compensation in the event of a •restatement of reported financial results or if an employee has engaged in unethical conduct detrimental to the Company.
- •Except for Mr. Armes, none of the named executive officers have an employment agreement.
- The process used to evaluate risks associated with the compensation program is formalized with an annual review of compensation plans, practices, and policies. Risk mitigation is incorporated into plan design, including capping both annual and long-term incentive plan payouts.
- The Compensation Committee generally designs and administers the executive compensation programs to maximize tax deductibility of executive compensation paid to the named executive officers.
- Our executive compensation consultant is retained directly by and reports to the Compensation Committee, does not provide any services to management, and had no prior relationship with our Chief Executive Officer or any other named executive officer.
- ·The Board has adopted an anti-hedging and anti-pledging policy.

### 2015 Financial Results

**Operating Profit** 

The Company entered 2015 on the heels of selling its ownership interest in the Cooper Chengshan (Shandong) Tire Company Ltd. ("CCT") joint venture and the expectation of new tariffs on passenger and light truck tires imported into the U.S. from China, each of which presented unique challenges and opportunities throughout the year. Numerous steps were taken around the globe to transform and enhance the business, and the Company achieved record-setting operating profit of \$354 million and a 6.8% unit volume increase across all regions, excluding CCT from prior years. In addition to the financial achievements, which are reflected in the results shown below, the Company made significant investments in its manufacturing facilities around the world, with a continued focus on producing and selling more high-value, high-margin products and enhancing worldwide competitiveness.

The actual results against 2015 targets are as follows:

Corporate Performance Metrics\* 2015 Targets 2015 Performance

Free Cash Flow \$50,000,000 \$94,624,000

Net Income \$164,000,000 \$212,766,000

Return on Invested Capital 13.3% 18.0%

### Our Executive Officer Compensation Program Is Administered by the Compensation Committee

\$280,000,000 \$354,480,000

The Compensation Committee is responsible for performing the duties of the Board of Directors relating to the compensation of our executive officers and other senior management. During 2015, our named executive officers were Mr. Roy V. Armes, Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and President; Ms. Ginger M. Jones, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer; Ms. Brenda S. Harmon, Senior Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer; Mr. Bradley E. Hughes, Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer; and Mr. Stephen Zamansky, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary.

<sup>\*</sup>For more information about how these performance metrics are calculated and reconciliations to amounts presented in Form 10-K, see "Incentive Compensation – Performance Metrics for 2015" on pages 14 and 15.

With input, as appropriate, from management and our outside executive compensation consultant, the Compensation Committee reviews and approves all elements of our executive compensation program. Management is responsible for making recommendations to the Compensation Committee regarding executive officer compensation (except with respect to Mr. Armes' compensation) and effectively implementing our executive compensation program, as approved by the Compensation Committee.

The Compensation Committee retained Exequity LLP as its executive compensation consultant in 2015 and utilized data from Aon Hewitt, an outside compensation consultant, for pay benchmarking.

Additional information about the role and processes of the Compensation Committee is presented under the heading "Executive Compensation Consultant Disclosure" and "Meetings of the Board of Directors and Its Committees - Compensation Committee" in this proxy statement.

### **Executive Compensation Philosophy and Approach**

The Cooper Tire executive officer compensation program is designed to deliver value to our stockholders by driving long-term financial and operational performance. To accomplish this goal, we have structured our executive compensation program to attract, motivate, and retain the caliber of leadership required to meet these objectives. In the following sections, we will address our benchmarking process and philosophy, how we set compensation levels, and the separate, but integrated elements of our program.

### Compensation Peer Groups

The Compensation Committee annually analyzes market benchmark data regarding base salary and annual and long-term incentive opportunities and periodically evaluates market benchmark data regarding other compensation elements. The Compensation Committee uses benchmarking data to assess market pay levels and program design. For each element of compensation and in the aggregate, the Committee sets compensation targets near the middle of the range offered by comparable companies.

<u>Peer Group for Pay Level Benchmarking</u> - For 2015 officer pay level, we engaged Aon Hewitt to provide general industry data on 109 companies with revenues between \$1.75 billion and \$7.0 billion. The median revenue of these 109 companies was approximately \$3.57 billion.

<u>Peer Group for Program Design</u> Benchmarking - For purposes of benchmarking executive program design, the Committee periodically reviews a group of 14 companies (listed below) whose annual revenues range from approximately 50% to 250% of our revenues and who have similar characteristics with respect to capital-intensive manufacturing, producing and marketing a consumer-branded product, focusing on technology-driven products, and managing international operations.

Borg Warner Inc.

Dana Holding Corp.

Dover Corp.

Lennox International

NACCO Industries, Inc.

Snap-on Incorporated

Flowserve Corporation SPX Corp.
Harley-Davidson, Inc. Steelcase Inc.
Harsco Corporation Tenneco
Leggett & Platt Incorporated Timken Co.

Our Compensation Levels Are Set Considering Business Needs, Market Data and Other Factors

We use a comprehensive and structured approach in setting the compensation framework for all executive positions. We begin with a review of the Company's overall strategy and the particular role each executive position is expected to play in achieving the goals of the Company. Starting with this foundation and with the assistance of the Compensation Committee's executive compensation consultant, we obtain and review relevant market benchmark data for each position regarding base salary, annual cash incentive opportunities, and long-term incentive award levels. We then determine an appropriate range of compensation for each position by assessing the market data in conjunction with the valuation of the position's impact and importance in setting and achieving the strategic objectives of the Company.

To facilitate a comprehensive view of all current and previously granted forms of compensation for each named executive officer, tally sheets are used by the Compensation Committee. Informed by tally sheet data, competitive market data, organization strategies, and individual performance assessments, the Compensation Committee uses its judgment, rather than a formulaic approach, in setting target compensation for each named executive officer each year.

### Elements of Our Compensation Program

We believe that our executive compensation program, by element and in total, best achieves our objectives. The majority of each named executive officer's compensation opportunity is based on the achievement of important financial and strategic goals established at the beginning of the respective performance period. The primary elements of our executive compensation program, all key to the attraction, retention, and motivation of our named executive officers, are shown in the following table:

Element	Purpose	Nature of Component
Base Salary	To value the competencies, skills, experience, and performance of individual executives.	Cash. Not "at risk." Reviewed annually.
Annual Incentive Compensation	To motivate and reward executives for the achievement of targeted financial and strategic goals.	Cash award. Performance-based and "at risk." Amount earned will vary based upon results achieved against annual goals (operating profit and free cash flow in 2015).
Long-Term Incentive Compensation	To motivate and reward executives for the achievement of long-term goals and creation of stockholder value.	Equity and cash awards. Performance-based and "at risk." Amount earned will vary depending upon results achieved against long-term incentive goals (net income and return on invested capital in 2015) and in the case of stock options and RSU awards, Company stock performance.
Non-Qualified Benefits	To attract the level of talent required to achieve strategic objectives and to promote continuity of leadership.	Supplementary benefit plan to make up for qualified plan benefits lost due to limits of the Internal Revenue Code. Opportunity to participate in a non-qualified deferred compensation plan.

### **Base Salaries**

We provide market competitive base salaries to attract and retain outstanding talent and to provide a fixed component of pay for our named executive officers. Base salaries are reviewed annually and are determined with consideration to the role of the executive, time in position, competitive market data regarding similar roles in similar organizations, individual performance, budget, and other considerations. The Compensation Committee uses the median of market data as the general reference point for base salary decisions because it believes that the median is the best representation of competitive salaries in the market for similar roles and talent.

In setting base salaries for 2015, the Compensation Committee considered the officer's experience in his or her current role, the impact of his or her role on the Company's results, the overall quality and manner in which the officer performs his or her role, the financial position of the Company, and the value of retention.

### **Incentive Compensation**

With input from management and its executive compensation consultant, the Compensation Committee reviews and discusses annual corporate and business unit performance targets and the appropriateness of these performance metrics and targets considering the following primary factors prior to approval:

- Expected performance based upon the annual operating plan as approved by the Board;
- The economic environment in which we expect to operate during the year, including risk factors;
  - The achievement of financial results expected to enhance stockholder value; and
    - The strategic goals and initiatives of the Company.

The Compensation Committee then establishes a bonus pool aimed at preserving the ability to deduct compensation paid under the annual incentive plan and the performance-based long-term incentive programs. The bonus pool approach establishes a maximum dollar amount and a maximum number of share units that can be paid to the Chief Executive Officer and certain other named executive officers from which the Compensation Committee may exercise negative discretion in determining the actual amounts paid under the annual and long-term incentive plans. The Compensation Committee exercised such negative discretion for 2015 to adjust the amounts awarded consistent with the financial and operating performance of the Company in connection with the metrics, goals, and targets described below. Please also see the section titled "Other Program Design Elements – Tax Deductibility of Executive Compensation" on pages 20 and 21 for additional information regarding the pool structure and approach.

Annual Incentive Compensation

#### Target Opportunity

At target levels of achievement, the Annual Incentive Plan (AIP) is designed to approximate the market median of awards for executives in similar roles in similar organizations. At the highest level of achievement, the annual cash incentive opportunity for our named executive officers was 200% of the target opportunity in 2015. At a threshold level of performance, the incentive opportunity was 50% of the target in 2015, with no incentive earned if performance was below the threshold achievement level.

The Compensation Committee uses the median of general industry market data as the general reference point for target annual cash incentive opportunities because it believes that the median is the best representation of competitive annual cash incentive levels in the market for similar roles and talent. With regard to setting individual annual cash incentive opportunity levels, the Compensation Committee has the discretion to adjust the target opportunity levels as it deems appropriate. Typical reasons for adjusting an individual officer's target annual cash incentive opportunity level above or below the market median include how long the officer has been in his or her current role, the impact of the role upon the organization, and the multiple of salary needed to bring the total cash compensation of the executive to a competitive level.

Presented below are the target incentive awards for the named executive officers in 2015 using base salary dollars for the period of January 1, 2015, through December 31, 2015, and using AIP target percentages for the full period;

	2015 Target Incentive		
Named Executive Officer	\$	(% of Salary)	
Mr. Armes	\$1,544,526	140%	
Ms. Jones	\$352,500	75%	
Ms. Harmon	\$269,007	65%	
Mr. Hughes	\$504,296	90%	
Mr. Zamansky	\$270,895	65%	

### Performance Metrics for 2015

The performance metrics under the 2015 Annual Incentive Plan for the named executive officers were 60% Corporate Operating Profit and 40% Corporate Free Cash Flow. The potential payout on each of the financial metrics ranged from 0% to 200% of target. The table below summarizes the threshold, target, and maximum goals as compared to actual results:

Performance Metric	Threshold Goal	Target Goal	Maximum Goal	Performance Result	Results as a Percent of Target
Corporate Operating Profit	\$170,000,000	\$280,000,000	\$350,000,000	\$354,480,000	200%
Corporate Free Cash Flow	\$0	\$50,000,000	\$80,000,000	\$94,624,000	200%

Corporate operating profit is equal to operating profit from the Company's financial statements.

Corporate free cash flow is defined as cash provided by continuing operations plus proceeds from sale of assets, less capital expenditures and dividends, from the Company's financial statements.

Following is the calculation of corporate free cash flow for 2015:

Cash Provided by Continuing Operations \$300,314,000
Plus: Proceeds From Sale of Assets 1,651,000
Less: Capital Expenditures (182,544,000)
Less: Dividends (24,797,000)
Corporate Free Cash Flow \$94,624,000

Presented below are the actual incentive awards for the named executive officers in 2015 based upon eligible earnings for the period of January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015, the target bonus percentage levels for the same period, and an AIP achievement level of 200%:

Named Executive Officer	\$	(% of Salary)
Mr. Armes	\$3,089,052	280.0%
Ms. Jones	\$705,000	150.0%
Ms. Harmon	\$538,015	130.0%
Mr. Hughes	\$1,008,592	180.0%
Mr. Zamansky	\$541,790	130.0%

Long-Term Incentive Compensation

The Compensation Committee approves long-term incentive awards for the named executive officers and other senior executives of the Company. Long-term incentive awards are granted and can only be granted under the Cooper Tire & Rubber Company 2014 Incentive Compensation Plan, which allows for a variety of forms of long-term incentives.

For 2015, awards of restricted stock units ("RSUs"), performance-based stock units, and performance-based cash were granted, with each weighted approximately one-third of the total award. In determining the appropriate form or mix of long-term performance awards, the Compensation Committee considers such factors as the motivational impact of various components, alignment with stockholder interests, the affordability of certain awards, and other business objectives which may prescribe or suggest the form or mix of awards at a particular time in the business cycle.

#### Award Grant Timing and Pricing

For current executives in the plan, the grant date is typically the date of our February Compensation Committee meeting. For most new executives, the grant date may be as of, or shortly after, the hiring date of the newly eligible executive. The methodology to determine the number of options or shares to grant and to establish the exercise price of equity-based awards is to average the high and low trading price of our common stock, as quoted on the New York Stock Exchange, on the date of grant.

#### Performance-Based Stock Units and Performance-Based Cash

Key design features of our performance-based stock units and performance-based cash grants include:

- ·One-year measurement periods within a three-year performance period;
- · At the start of each year, specific financial metrics are set;
- At the end of each year within a three-year performance period, performance-based stock units and performance-based cash can be notionally earned if financial targets for the awards have been achieved;
- Payout opportunities can range from 0% to 200% of the target award opportunity;
- Notionally earned performance-based stock units and performance-based cash, if any, vest and are payable at the end of the three-year cycle, with performance-based stock units payable in shares of common stock and performance-based cash settled in cash; and
- Dividend equivalents, which are credited to notionally earned performance-based stock units, are reinvested into additional stock units and paid at the end of the three-year cycle with the underlying and vested performance-based stock units. Performance-based stock units that have not been notionally earned do not receive dividend equivalents.

Since the performance period for each performance-based grant is three years, participants can have overlapping three-year award opportunities active at any time.

The financial metrics for the 2015 measurement period of the 2013-2015, 2014-2016, and 2015-2017 performance periods approved by the Compensation Committee at the beginning of 2015 were as follows:

Metric Weighting
Net Income 80%
Return on Invested Capital 20%

The Compensation Committee selected these performance metrics because net income and prudent management of capital are essential to the strategic and financial goals of the Company over each measurement period and the full three-year performance period. The ultimate value of performance-based stock units is based on the Company's financial results and the stock price, which aligns with long-term stockholder value creation. The ultimate value of performance-based cash is based on the Company's financial results.

For purposes of performance-based stock units and the performance-based cash awards, the following table summarizes the threshold, target, and maximum performance goals for 2015 for the 2013-2015, 2014-2016, and 2015-2017 performance periods, as compared to the performance results:

Performance Metric	Threshold Goal	Target Goal	Maximum Goal	Performance Result	Results as a Percent of Target
Net Income	\$100,000,000	\$164,000,000	\$200,000,000	\$212,766,000	200.0%
Return on Invested Capital	11.0%	13.3%	16.0%	18.0%	200.0%

Return on invested capital is calculated by dividing operating profit from the Company's financial statements, less income tax and the tax impact of net interest expense, by an average of debt and equity. The average of debt and equity is calculated by taking the sum of the balance at the end of fiscal year 2014 and the balance at the end of each quarter in fiscal year 2015 and dividing by five.

Following is the calculation of return on invested capital for 2015:

Numerator:

Operating Profit \$354,480,000 Income Tax Expense (118,224,000) Net Interest Tax Effect (7,648,000) \$228,608,000

Denominator:

Average of Debt and Equity \$1,272,227,000

Corporate Return on Invested Capital 18.0%

### Performance-Based Stock Units

For the 2015-2017 performance period, the Compensation Committee granted individual target award opportunities for performance-based stock units, a portion of which could be notionally earned in 2015.

Presented below are the target numbers of performance-based stock units for the 2015 measurement period (or "tranche") of the 2013-2015, 2014-2016, and 2015-2017 performance periods.

Target Performance-Based Stock	Unit
Assert For 2015	

	Award For 2015		
Named Executive Officer	2013-2015	2014-2016	2015-2017
	Long-Term Incentive	Long-Term Incentive	Long-Term Incentive
	Performance Period	Performance Period	Performance Period
Mr. Armes	17,034	20,695	15,408
Ms. Jones	_		2,497
Ms. Harmon	2,392	2,736	1,844
Mr. Hughes	3,144	3,869	3,096
Mr. Zamansky	2,248	2,588	1,844

### Performance-Based Cash

For the 2015-2017 performance period, the Compensation Committee also granted individual target award opportunities for performance-based cash, a portion of which could be notionally earned in 2015.

Presented below are the target performance-based cash awards for the 2015 tranche of the 2013-2015, 2014-2016, and 2015-2017 performance periods:

Target Performance-Based Cash						
Award For 2015						
2013-2015	2014-2016	2015-2017				
Long-Term Incentive	Long-Term Incentive Long-Term Incentive					
Performance Period	Performance Period Performance Period					
\$451,111	\$495,834	\$564,000				
	_	\$91,389				
\$63,334	\$65,550	\$67,500				
\$83,234	\$92,692	\$113,334				
\$59,500	\$62,000	\$67,500				
	Award For 2015 2013-2015 Long-Term Incentive Performance Period \$451,111 — \$63,334 \$83,234	Award For 2015 2013-2015 2014-2016 Long-Term Incentive Performance Period \$451,111 \$495,834 \$63,334 \$65,550 \$83,234 \$92,692				

# Amounts Notionally Earned for the 2015 Measurement Period

In 2015, there was an opportunity to notionally earn performance-based stock units and performance-based cash granted under the 2013-2015, the 2014-2016, and the 2015-2017 performance periods. Presented below are the performance-based stock units (PBUs) and the performance cash notionally earned for the 2015 measurement period.

	2015 M	2015 Measurement Period							
	2013-2015		2014-2	016	2015-2017				
	Perforn	nance Period			Performance Period				
Named	PBUs	Performance	DDIIa	Performance	DDIIa	Performance			
<b>Executive Officer</b>	rbus	Cash	1008	Cash	IDUS	Cash			
Mr. Armes	34,068	\$902,222	41,390	\$991,668	30,816	\$1,128,000			
Ms. Jones	_				4,994	\$182,778			
Ms. Harmon	4,784	\$126,668	5,472	\$131,100	3,688	\$135,000			
Mr. Hughes	6,288	\$166,468	7,738	\$185,384	6,192	\$226,668			
Mr. Zamansky	4,496	\$119,000	5,176	\$124,000	3,688	\$135,000			

# Amounts Earned for the 2013-2015 Performance Period

The table below summarizes the awards which were notionally earned in 2014 and 2015 for the now completed 2013-2015 performance period. These awards were paid in shares of common stock and cash in early 2016. There were no awards notionally earned by the named executive officers in 2013 under the 2012-2014 and the 2013-2015 plans.

	2013-2	2015 Performa						
	2013 I	Measurement	Measurement 2014 Measurement		2015 Measurement		2013-2015 Total	
	Period		Period		Period		Earned	
	0% Achievement		X7 7% Achievement		200.0%			
					Achievement			
Named Executive	PBUs	Performance	PBUs	Performance	PBUs	Performance	PBUs	Performance
Officer	PBUS	Cash	PBUS	Cash	PBUS	Cash	rbus	Cash
Mr. Armes	_	_	14,000	\$370,813	34,068	\$902,222	48,068	\$1,273,035
Ms. Jones		_	_		_		_	
Ms. Harmon		_	1,965	\$52,060	4,784	\$126,668	6,749	\$178,728
Mr. Hughes		_	2,583	\$68,418	6,288	\$166,468	8,871	\$234,886
Mr. Zamansky		_	1,846	\$48,909	4,496	\$119,000	6,342	\$167,909

In accordance with the regulations established by the Securities and Exchange Commission for the 2015 Summary Compensation Table, the "Stock Awards" column for 2015 shows only the performance stock unit tranches granted in 2015. The "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" column for 2015 shows the cash amounts notionally earned in 2014 and 2015 for the now completed 2013-2015 performance period because these cash amounts became nonforfeitable and were fully earned after the end of 2015. Likewise, in the 2015 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table, the Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Awards column shows the performance cash tranches for each performance period.

#### Restricted Stock Units

The size of the restricted stock unit grants was determined with reference to the competitive benchmarking described on page 12, the Cooper Tire stock price, as well as individual performance and other long-term considerations.

The restricted stock units granted in 2015 generally vest in equal installments of one-third per year beginning one year after the date of grant and are presented in the "2015 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table" that follows the Summary Compensation Table.

#### **Retirement Benefits**

In order to attract high caliber leadership and promote management continuity among our named executive officers, we provide the following retirement benefits:

401(k) Plan. The Company provides a 401(k) retirement savings plan for eligible employees, including the named executive officers. Under the Spectrum Retirement Savings Plan, in which the named executive officers participate, participants may choose to contribute up to the annual limit determined by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"). The Company currently provides each participant with a stated matching contribution of 100% of the first 1% of pay contributed by the employee and 50% of the next 5% of pay contributed by the employee. In addition, the Company may make a discretionary contribution into the 401(k) plan on behalf of all employees eligible to participate in the Spectrum Retirement Savings Plan, up to the limits determined annually by the IRS.

• <u>Pension Plan</u>. Among the named executive officers, only Mr. Armes has an accrued benefit under the frozen cash balance plan. At "retirement", as defined under the frozen cash balance plan, a participant who is eligible for an immediate benefit under the cash balance plan may elect his benefit be paid in the form of an annuity or in a lump sum. A participant who terminates prior to eligibility to receive an immediate benefit under the plan will receive an annuity at the time of normal or early retirement unless, within one year of termination of employment, the

participant elects a lump-sum payment.

Non-Qualified Supplementary Benefit Plan. The Non-Qualified Supplementary Benefit Plan is a non-elective deferred compensation plan. This plan is designed to make-up for any qualified retirement plan benefits lost due to limits of the Internal Revenue Code ("Code"), and the named executive officers participate in the Non-Qualified Supplementary Benefit Plan only to the extent that full participation in our qualified plan is restricted by limits under the Code. Mr. Armes, who has an accrued balance under the frozen cash balance plan as noted above, has a balance in the Non-Qualified Supplementary Benefit Plan for the cash balance plan benefits that were lost due to the limits of the Internal Revenue Code, as well as a balance for the 401(k) benefits lost due to IRS limitations. These balances are shown in the "2015 Pension Benefits Table" on page 30.

For the executives who participate in the Company's long-term incentive plan, including the named executive officers, retirement eligibility is the earlier of the date the executive becomes age 65, or the date the sum of his or her years of continuous employment with the Company and his or her age equals at least 70 years.

The actuarial change from 2014 in our named executive officers' pension benefit is presented in the "2015 Summary Compensation Table" on page 24. Detailed information about these pension plans is also presented in the "2015 Pension Benefits Table" and related disclosures on page 30.

# **Executive Deferred Compensation Plan**

In order to provide executives an opportunity to defer earned salary or cash incentive awards, the Company offers a non-qualified deferred compensation plan. The plan allows selected senior management employees, including our named executive officers, to elect to defer receipt of up to 80% of their base salary and up to 100% of their annual incentive compensation each year (subject to an aggregate \$10,000 minimum per year), until a date or dates chosen by the participant. We do not make matching or other employer contributions to the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan. Amounts deferred into this plan are credited to a notional account that is notionally invested in the same investment vehicles offered in the 401(k) plan and/or Cooper Tire stock, at the participant's election. The plan does not provide any fixed, above-market earnings opportunity. Detailed information about this plan is presented in the "2015 Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table" and related footnotes.

Perquisites and Other Compensation

We provide a limited annual allowance of \$15,000 to cover the cost of financial planning services and an annual executive physical for our named executive officers. There is minimal use of the Company plane for personal use, and we do not provide a tax gross-up on the imputed income associated with any personal use of the Company plane by an executive. It is the Company's policy to reimburse for and to gross up the imputed income associated with the travel costs of spouses who accompany the executives to participate in business-related activities. The value of the noted perquisites is detailed in the footnote to the "All Other Compensation" column of the 2015 Summary Compensation Table on page 24.

Other Program Design Elements

Requirements to Maintain a Minimum Level of Stock Ownership

We believe that our named executive officers, whose business decisions impact our operations and results, should obtain and maintain a reasonable equity ownership in the Company. Toward that end, the Compensation Committee has established stock ownership guidelines for our named executive officers as outlined below:

Officer Ownership Guideline Targeted Achievement Date

Mr. Armes 5X Base Salary January 1, 2013 Ms. Jones 3X Base Salary December 3, 2019

Ms. Harmon 3X Base Salary December 16, 2014 Mr. Hughes 3X Base Salary November 18, 2014 Mr. Zamansky 3X Base Salary April 4, 2016

If any of our named executive officers do not satisfy the stock ownership guidelines in a timely manner, the Compensation Committee may take action, including requiring that 50% of an executive's annual cash incentive be paid in stock; requiring that the executive retain 50% of the net after-tax shares following the exercise of any stock options or upon the vesting of other equity awards; requiring that 50% of the executive's long-term incentive awards be paid in stock; or reducing the executive's long-term incentive grants. All named executive officers had met their respective ownership requirements as of March 1, 2016.

Clawback Policy

Our Board has adopted a policy that permits us to recoup the incentive compensation paid to our executives in certain circumstances. Under this policy, if the Company significantly restates its reported financial results, the Board will review the circumstances that caused such restatement, consider issues of accountability and oversight, and analyze the impact of such restatement on compensation paid or awarded to Company employees. If the restatement is the result of fraud or misconduct, the Board may elect to recover all annual cash incentive awards, long-term incentive awards, and other incentive-based compensation paid to the employees who engaged in such fraud or misconduct. Additionally, for participants in the Company's long-term incentive plans, the Board may elect to recover amounts paid out to the extent the Company's performance results were over-stated as a result of such restatement, and, for all participants, the Board may adjust any unvested or notionally earned amounts related to the relevant measurement period(s) to reflect the restatement. If the restatement is not the result of fraud or misconduct, the Board may adjust any unvested or notionally earned amounts related to the relevant measurement period(s) to reflect the restatement. The policy also provides that if the Board determines that any employee has engaged in unethical conduct detrimental to the Company, the Board may seek recoupment of all annual cash incentives, long-term incentives, or other incentive-based compensation paid to such employee during the period(s) of such unethical behavior, and cancel all unvested or notionally earned incentive-based compensation related to such period(s). Recovery under the Clawback Policy is in addition to any recoupment required or permitted by law, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and common law, or by contract.

Hedging and Pledging Transactions

In order to align the interests of the Company's officers and Directors with those of its stockholders and to address a potential appearance of improper or inappropriate conduct, the Board of Directors has adopted a policy with respect to hedging and pledging of Common Stock or other equity securities of the Company ("Company Securities"). This anti-hedging policy prohibits Company officers and Directors, including certain spouses of such persons, from hedging Company Securities, including short-selling, options, puts, calls, collars and exchange funds, as well as derivatives such as swaps, forwards and futures, or pledging or otherwise encumbering Company Securities as collateral for indebtedness. Persons subject to this policy will be afforded a reasonable opportunity to unwind or otherwise terminate any prohibited hedging transactions or arrangements existing as of the time such person becomes subject to the policy.

Tax Deductibility of Executive Compensation

The financial reporting and income tax consequences of the compensation elements are considered by the Compensation Committee when it analyzes the design and level of compensation. The Compensation Committee balances its objective of ensuring effective and competitive compensation packages with the desire to maximize the tax deductibility of compensation.

Regulations issued under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code provide that compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to the Chief Executive Officer and certain other named executive officers will not be deductible unless it meets specified criteria for being "performance-based." The Compensation Committee generally designs and administers the executive incentive programs of the Company to qualify for the performance-based exemption. It also reserves the right to provide compensation that does not meet the exemption criteria if, in its sole discretion, it determines that doing so advances the business objectives of the Company.

In 2014, the Compensation Committee implemented a bonus pool approach to preserve the ability to deduct compensation paid under the AIP and the performance-based long-term incentive programs. The bonus pool approach establishes a maximum dollar amount and a maximum number of share units that can be paid to the Chief Executive Officer and certain other named executive officers. For 2015, the bonus pool formula was based on the greater of 4% of Operating Profit or 5% of Net Cash Provided from Continuing Operations for the AIP and the greater of 3% of Cumulative Operating Profit or 4% of Cumulative Net Cash Provided from Continuing Operations for performance-based stock units and 1.5% of Cumulative Operating Profit or 2.0% of Cumulative Net Cash Provided from Continuing Operation for performance-based cash under the long-term incentive programs. Within the limits of the

pool, the Compensation Committee determined the amounts paid under the annual and long-term incentive plans, as previously described.

Employment Agreement and Change in Control Plan

The Company has an employment agreement with Mr. Armes that specifies minimum pay levels and provides severance benefits in certain circumstances (both with and without a change in control). The terms of Mr. Armes' employment agreement were negotiated in the light of market benchmark data provided in 2006 by Towers Watson, cost and other considerations, and were set to attract him to join the Company. The terms of the original agreement dated December 19, 2006, were amended and restated on December 22, 2008, with respect to Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. Mr. Armes' base salary as of December 31, 2015, was \$1,112,000.

As a tool to facilitate attraction and retention of key executive talent, the Company also has a change in control plan that covers each of the other named executives. Under this plan, benefits are received only in the event that an actual change in control and termination occurs, or termination occurs during a time when the Company is party to a definitive agreement, the consummation of which would result in a change in control, and thus are not considered part of annual compensation. We believe that a change in control plan maintains productivity, facilitates a long-term commitment to the organization, and encourages retention when, and if, we are confronted with the potential disruptive impact of a change in control of the Company.

See "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control" beginning on page 33 for more information regarding these arrangements.

Compensation Plan for 2016

When setting executive compensation for 2016, the Compensation Committee took into account the results of the stockholder advisory vote on named executive officer compensation that occurred at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. As a substantial majority (approximately 96%) of the votes cast approved the compensation program described in our 2015 proxy statement, the Compensation Committee applied the same general principles in determining the amounts and types of executive compensation for 2016 as described below.

Base pay levels are set with reference to individual roles, impact, individual performance, and median levels of competitive market pay as determined by general market comparisons as described on page 13.

Annual cash incentive opportunity levels are benchmarked against competitive norms as measured against general industry data for similar executive positions. Individual annual cash incentive opportunity levels are adjusted, if warranted, to maintain competitive compensation packages for our named executive officers.

The long-term incentive opportunity for 2016 includes one-third performance-based stock units, one-third performance-based cash, and one-third RSUs. Individual long-term incentive targets are benchmarked against appropriate market data and adjusted, if warranted, to maintain competitive compensation opportunity for our named executive officers.

### **Executive Compensation Consultant Disclosure**

During the 2015 fiscal year, the Compensation Committee engaged Exequity LLP to serve as an executive compensation consultant. Exequity provides research, data analysis, survey information and design expertise in developing compensation programs for executives. In addition, Exequity keeps the Compensation Committee apprised of regulatory developments and market trends related to executive compensation practices. A representative of Exequity typically attends meetings of the Compensation Committee and is available to participate in executive sessions. The Compensation Committee has considered the independence-related factors enumerated by the NYSE and the SEC and has concluded that Exequity is independent. In addition, the Compensation Committee has concluded that the work of Exequity in 2015 did not raise any conflicts of interest.

### **Compensation-Related Risk Assessment**

The Compensation Committee periodically reviews the incentive plan policies and practices that apply to all of our non-represented employees to determine whether such policies and practices are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the company. As part of this process, during 2015, the Compensation Committee, with the assistance of management and the human resources department, conducted a formal assessment of these compensation plans and practices. After conducting this assessment, both management and the Compensation Committee have determined that none of our compensation policies and practices creates any risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the company.

#### COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The following report has been submitted by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors:

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors has reviewed and discussed the Company's Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based on this review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company's definitive proxy statement on Schedule 14A for its 2016 Annual Meeting, which is incorporated by reference in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, each as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The foregoing report was submitted by the Compensation Committee of the Board and shall not be deemed to be "soliciting material" or to be "filed" with the Securities and Exchange Commission or subject to Regulation 14A promulgated by the Securities Exchange Commission or Section 18 of the Exchange Act.

Respectfully submitted,

John F. Meier, Chairman

Steven M. Chapman

Robert D. Welding

### **EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

The following tables and narratives provide, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, descriptions of the cash compensation paid by the Company, as well as certain other compensation awarded, paid or accrued, during 2015 to our named executive officers, including:

Mr. Roy V. Armes, Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and President;

Ms. Ginger M. Jones, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer;

Ms. Brenda S. Harmon, Senior Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer; Mr. Bradley E. Hughes, Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer; and Mr. Stephen Zamansky, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary; who were our most highly compensated executive officers other than Mr. Armes and Ms. Jones, who were employed by the Company as of December 31, 2015.

### 2015 SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table shows compensation information for 2013, 2014, and 2015 as applicable, for our named executive officers.

Name and Principal Position(1)	Year	Salary	Bonus Awards(2)	Option Awards(3)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualif Deferred Compensi Earnings(	ation	ioTiotal
Roy V. Armes	2015	\$1,103,344	\$3,654,001	_	\$4,362,087	\$ 9,100	\$ 249,154	\$9,377,686
Chairman, Chief Executive	2014	\$1,071,911	\$3,689,147	\$1,851,910	\$ 2,302,674	\$ 8,709	\$ 201,089	\$9,125,440
Officer, and President	2013	\$1,040,459	\$1,389,298	\$1,621,496	\$ 1,454,720	\$ 8,334	\$ 320,282	\$5,834,589
	2015	\$470,574	\$367,309	_	\$ 705,000	_	\$ 27,550	\$1,570,433

Edgar Filing: COOPER TIRE & RUBBER CO - Form DEF 14A

Ginger M. Jones Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2014	\$32,538	\$479,025	_	\$ 25,990	_	_	\$537,553
Brenda S. Harmon	2015	\$413,881	\$459,835	_	\$716,743	_	\$60,050	\$1,650,509
Senior Vice President and	2014	\$401,825	\$664,210	\$244,844	\$ 396,786		\$ 56,895	\$1,764,560
Chief Human Resources Officer	2013	\$389,663	\$225,901	\$227,662	\$ 263,860	_	\$ 78,988	\$1,186,074
Bradley E. Hughes	2015	\$559,912	<b></b> \$713,325	_	\$ 1,243,478		\$92,377	\$2,609,092
Senior Vice President and Chief	2014	\$497,989	\$726,218	\$398,144	\$ 550,618	_	\$72,164	\$2,245,133
Operating Officer	2013	\$470,700	\$273,980	\$347,077	\$ 343,452		\$77,439	\$1,512,648
Stephen Zamansky	2015	\$416,727	\$449,096	_	\$ 709,699	_	\$ 56,957	\$1,632,479
Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	2014	\$393,354	<b>—</b> \$651,295	\$266,324	\$ 381,393	_	\$46,922	\$1,739,288

(1)Ms. Jones joined the Company on December 3, 2014.

Except as otherwise noted below, the amounts shown do not reflect compensation actually received by the named executive officer. The amounts shown in this column are the aggregate grant date fair values computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The assumptions made in the valuation are discussed in Note 14 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015. At maximum performance levels under the 2015 tranche of the 2013-2015 Long-Term Incentive Plan, the grant date value for each of the named executive officers was as follows: Mr. Armes, \$1,252,851; Ms. Jones, \$0; Ms. Harmon, \$175,932; Mr. Hughes, \$231,241; and Mr. Zamansky \$165,340. At maximum performance levels under the 2015 tranche of the 2014-2016 Long-Term Incentive Plan, the grant date value for each of the named executive officers was as follows: Mr. Armes, \$1,522,117; Ms. Jones, \$0; Ms. Harmon, \$201,233; Mr. Hughes, \$284,565; and Mr. Zamansky, \$190,347. At maximum performance levels under the 2015 tranche of the 2015-2017 Long-Term Incentive Plan, the grant date value for each of the named executive officers was as follows: Mr. Armes, \$1,133,258; Ms. Jones, \$183,654; Ms. Harmon, \$135,626; Mr. Hughes, \$227,711; and Mr. Zamansky, \$135,626.

The amounts shown do not reflect compensation actually received by the named executive officer. The amounts shown in this column are the aggregate grant date fair values computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The assumptions made in the valuation are discussed in Note 14 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015.

The amounts shown in this column for 2015 represent payouts in cash for performance under our annual cash incentive program and the performance-based cash notionally earned for the 2014 and 2015 tranches of the 2013-2015 long-term incentive plan. As discussed under "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" above, these amounts were based on achievement of certain financial goals. See "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" beginning on page 10 for more information about our annual cash incentive program and the performance-based component of the long-term incentive program.

These amounts represent aggregate changes in the actuarial present value of the named executive officer's accumulated benefit under our pension plans (including supplemental defined benefit plans). Only Mr. Armes participated in the now frozen pension plan; and none of the named executive officers received above-market earnings on deferred compensation balances from prior years.

The amounts shown in this column for 2015 represent other compensation and perquisites, including company contributions to qualified and non-qualified defined contribution plans, executive physicals, financial planning (6) services, personal use of company aircraft and spouse and dependent travel. The company contributions to the non-qualified plan include contributions made in 2016 for the 2015 plan year. Personal use of the company plane is limited and charged based upon Cooper Tire's operating costs.

Amounts received by each named executive officer for 2015 are identified and quantified in the table below:

Edgar Filing: COOPER TIRE & RUBBER CO - Form DEF 14A

		Company					
	Company	Contributions					
	Contributions	To	Personal,	Tax			
	To Qualified	Non-Qualified	Spouse,	Gross-Up			
	Defined	Defined	and	Related	Financial		
	Contribution	Contribution	Dependent	to Travel	Planning	Executive	
Named Executive Officer	Plan	Plan	Travel	Costs	Services	Physical	Total
Roy V. Armes	\$ 21,620	\$ 202,413	\$ 5,024	\$ 5,097	\$13,733	\$ 1,267	\$249,154
Ginger M. Jones	\$ 21,620			_	\$2,900	\$ 3,030	\$27,550
Brenda S. Harmon	\$ 21,620	\$ 35,376		_	\$750	\$ 2,304	\$60,050
Bradley E. Hughes	\$ 21,620	\$ 60,914	\$ 387	\$ 336	\$9,120	_	\$92,377
Stephen Zamansky	\$ 21,620	\$ 35,212	\$ 66	\$ 59			\$56,957

# 2015 GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS TABLE

The following table shows all plan-based awards granted to our named executive officers during 2015. The unvested portion of the stock awards identified in this table are also reported in the "Outstanding Equity Awards at 2015 Fiscal Year-End Table" on page 28. All awards were granted under our 2014 Incentive Compensation Plan. For a summary of the incentive plan designs, see "Compensation and Discussion Analysis" beginning on page 10.

			Estimated	Future		Estimate	d Future				
			Payouts U	nder		Payouts Under					
			Non-Equit	Non-Equity Incentive			Equity Incentive				
			Plan Awar	ds		Plan Aw	ards				
									All Other	V-1	
									Stock Awards:	Value of Stock and	
		Grant	\$	\$	\$	#	#	#	Number		
Name	Type(1)		Threshold	Target	Maximum	Threshol	dΓarget	Maximu		Awards	
(a)	1)pc(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	of		
		(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	Stock or	(\$) (8)	
									Units	(i)	
									(#)		
Roy V. Armes	AIP PBU1 PBU2 PBU3 PBU4	2/19/2015 2/19/2015 2/19/2015 2/19/2015 2/19/2015		\$1,544,526 \$451,111	\$3,089,052 \$902,222	8,517 10,348 7,704	17,034 20,695 15,408	34,068 41,390 30,816		\$626,425 \$761,059 \$566,629	
	PBU5 PBU6 RSU	2/19/2015 2/19/2015 2/19/2015	\$247,917	\$495,834 \$564,000	\$991,668 \$1,128,000				46,224	\$1,699,888	
Ginger M. Jones	AIP PBU3	2/19/2015 2/19/2015	\$176,250	\$352,500	\$705,000	1,249	2,497	4,994		\$91,827	
	PBU6 RSU	2/19/2015	\$45,695	\$91,389	\$182,778				7,491	\$275,482	

Edgar Filing: COOPER TIRE & RUBBER CO - Form DEF 14A

Brenda S.	AIP	2/19/2015 \$134,504	\$269,007	\$538,015					
Harmon	PBU1	2/19/2015			1,196	2,392	4,784		\$87,966
	PBU2	2/19/2015			1,368	2,736	5,472		\$100,616
	PBU3	2/19/2015			922	1,844	3,688		\$67,813
	PBU4	2/19/2015 \$31,667	\$63,334	\$126,668					
	PBU5	2/19/2015 \$32,775	\$65,550	\$131,100					
	PBU6	2/19/2015 \$33,750	\$67,500	\$135,000					
	RSU							5,532	\$203,439
Bradley E.	AIP	2/19/2015 \$252,148	\$504,296	\$1,008,592					
Hughes	PBU1	2/19/2015			1,572	3,144	6,288		\$115,621
	PBU2	2/19/2015			1,935	3,869	7,738		\$142,282
	PBU3	2/19/2015			1,548	3,096	6,192		\$113,855
	PBU4	2/19/2015 \$41,617	\$83,234	\$166,468					
	PBU5	2/19/2015 \$46,346	\$92,692	\$185,384					
	PBU6	2/19/2015 \$56,667	\$113,334	\$226,668					
	RSU							7,491	\$275,482
a .		01101001 # 010 # 110	<b>***</b> *********************************	<b>*** *** *** ** ** ** ** </b>					
Stephen	AIP	2/19/2015 \$135,448	\$270,895	\$541,790					* • • • • •
Zamansky	PBU1	2/19/2015			1,124	2,248	4,496		\$82,670
	PBU2	2/19/2015			1,294	2,588	5,176		\$95,174
	PBU3	2/19/2015			922	1,844	3,688		\$67,813
	PBU4	2/19/2015 \$29,750	\$59,500	\$119,000					
	PBU5	2/19/2015 \$31,000	\$62,000	\$124,000					
	PBU6	2/19/2015 \$33,750	\$67,500	\$135,000					
	RSU							5,532	\$203,439

- AIP = Annual Incentive Plan; PBU1 = Performance-based stock units granted in the 2015 tranche of the 2013-2015 Long-Term Incentive Plan; PBU2 = Performance-based stock units granted in the 2015 tranche of the 2014-2016 Long-Term Incentive Plan; PBU3 = Performance-based stock units granted in the 2015 tranche of the 2015-2017
- (1) Long-Term Incentive Plan; PBU4 = Performance-based cash granted in the 2015 tranche of the 2013-2015 Long-Term Incentive Plan; PBU5 = Performance-based cash granted in the 2015 tranche of the 2014-2016 Long Term Incentive Plan; PBU6 = Performance-based cash granted in the 2015 tranche of the 2015-2017 Long Term Incentive Plan; RSU = Restricted Stock Units.
  - The amounts shown in column (c) with respect to AIP represent the threshold opportunity if all of the performance metrics are met. The threshold payout is based on performance at 61% of the Corporate Operating Profit and achievement of Corporate Free Cash Flow of \$0. The amounts shown in column (c) with respect to PBU4, PBU5, and PBU6 represent the threshold execute of performance based each that the executive would notice ally earn for
- (2) and PBU6 represent the threshold amount of performance-based cash that the executive would notionally earn for 2015 performance under the 2013-2015, 2014-2016, and 2015-2017 performance cycles of our Long-Term Incentive Plan, if the 2015 performance is \$100,000,000 for Corporate Net Income and Return on Invested Capital is 11.0 percent. If the 2015 performance is below the applicable targets, our executives would not receive any payout of the performance-based cash awarded to them.
- The amounts shown in column (d) with respect to AIP represent the target opportunity if all of the performance metrics are met. The amounts shown in column (d) with respect to PBU4, PBU5, and PBU6 represent the amount (3) of performance-based cash that the executive would notionally earn for 2015 performance under the 2013-2015, 2014-2016, and 2015-2017 performance cycles of our Long-Term Incentive Plan, if the 2015 performance is at 100% of target (the payout is 100% of the executives' targeted payout amounts).
  - The amounts shown in column (e) with respect to AIP represent the maximum opportunity if all of the performance metrics are met. The maximum payout amounts are capped at 200% of the executives' targeted payout amounts. Maximum payout is earned on performance equal to or exceeding \$350,000,000 for the Corporate Operating Profit and achieving Corporate Free Cash Flow of \$80,000,000. The amounts shown in column (e) with respect to PBU4,
- (4) PBU5, and PBU6 represent the maximum amount of performance-based cash that the executive would notionally earn for 2015 performance under the 2013-2015, 2014-2016, and 2015-17 performance cycles of our Long-Term Incentive Plan. The payout amounts are capped at 200% of the executives' targeted payout amounts. Maximum payout is earned on performance equal to or exceeding \$200,000,000 for Corporate Net Income and a Return on Invested Capital of 16.0 percent.
  - The amounts shown in column (f) represent the threshold number of performance-based stock units that the executive would notionally earn for 2015 performance under the 2013-2015, 2014-2016, and 2015-2017
- (5) performance cycles of our Long-Term Incentive Plan, if the 2015 performance is \$100,000,000 for Corporate Net Income and Return on Invested Capital targets is 11.0 percent (in each case, the payout would have been 50% of the executives' targeted payout amounts). If the 2015 performance is below the applicable targets, our executives would not receive any payout of the performance-based stock units awarded to them.
- (6) The amounts shown in column (g) represent the target number of performance-based stock units that the executive would notionally earn for 2015 performance under the 2013-2015, 2014-2016, and 2015-2017 performance cycles

of our Long-Term Incentive Plan, if the 2015 performance is \$164,000,000 for Corporate Net Income and a Return on Invested Capital target is 13.3 percent (the payout is 100% of the executives' targeted payout amounts).

The amounts shown in column (h) represent the maximum number of performance-based stock units that the executive would notionally earn for 2015 performance under the 2013-2015, 2014-2016, and 2015-2017

(7) performance cycles of our Long-Term Incentive Plan. Maximum payout is earned on performance equal to or exceeding \$200,000,000 of Corporate Net Income and Return on Invested Capital of 16.0 percent. The maximum payout amounts are capped at 200% of the executives' targeted payout amounts.

The amounts in column (l) represent the grant date fair value as of the grant date of stock awards determined (8) pursuant to FASB ASC Topic 718. The assumptions made in the valuation are discussed in Note 14 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015.

For more information about the compensation arrangements in which our named executive officers participate, see "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" beginning on page 10

# **OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT 2015 FISCAL YEAR-END TABLE**

The following table shows all outstanding equity awards (stock options, performance-based stock units that have not been earned, and unvested restricted stock units) held by our named executive officers at the end of 2015.

	Option Awards				Stock Awards			
Name	Numberber of of Secsicities ties Undenkierlying Unexpecies optoptions (#) (#) Exertisable(4)	Exercise Arice (\$)	Grant Date	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)(2)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(3)	of Unearner Shares,	Incentive Plan Awards: Market or
Roy V. Armes	— 41,673	\$25.425	February 21, 2013	February 21, 2023	_	_	_	_
	— 100,702	\$23.960	February 20, 2014	February 20, 2024	— 174 217		— 51.511	— \$1,040,601
	— 142,375				174,317	\$6,597,909	51,511	\$1,949,691