

PETROBRAS - PETROLEO BRASILEIRO SA
Form 6-K
May 15, 2017
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 6-K

Report of Foreign Private Issuer
Pursuant to Rule 13a-16 or 15d-16 of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the month of May, 2017

Commission File Number 1-15106

PETRÓLEO BRASILEIRO S.A. - PETROBRAS
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Brazilian Petroleum Corporation - PETROBRAS
(Translation of Registrant's name into English)

Edgar Filing: PETROBRAS - PETROLEO BRASILEIRO SA - Form 6-K

Avenida República do Chile, 65
20031-912 - Rio de Janeiro, RJ
Federative Republic of Brazil

(Address of principal executive office)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover Form 20-F or Form 40-F.

Form 20-F Form 40-F

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant by furnishing the information contained in this Form is also thereby furnishing the information to the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Yes No

Quarterly

Information - ITR

At March 31, 2017 and report on review

of Quarterly Information

(A free translation of the original
in Portuguese)



Index

(Expressed in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated)

Company Data / Share Capital Composition	5
Parent Company Interim Accounting Information / Statement of Financial Position - Assets	6
Parent Company Interim Accounting Information / Statement of Financial Position - Liabilities	7
Parent Company Interim Accounting Information / Statement of Income	8
Parent Company Interim Accounting Information / Statement of Comprehensive Income	9
Parent Company Interim Accounting Information / Statement of Cash Flows – Indirect Method	10
Parent Company Interim Accounting Information/Statement of Changes in Shareholders’ Equity-01/01/2017 to 03/31/2017	11
Parent Company Interim Accounting Information /Statement of Changes in Shareholders’ Equity-01/01/2016 to 03/31/2016	12
Parent Company Interim Accounting Information / Statement of Added Value	13
Consolidated Interim Accounting Information / Statement of Financial Position - Assets	14
Consolidated Interim Accounting Information / Statement of Financial Position - Liabilities	15
Consolidated Interim Accounting Information / Statement of Income	16
Consolidated Interim Accounting Information / Statement of Comprehensive Income	17
Consolidated Interim Accounting Information / Statement of Cash Flows – Indirect Method	18
Consolidated Interim Accounting Information / Statement of Changes in Shareholders’ Equity - 01/01/2017 to 03/31/2017	19
Consolidated Interim Accounting Information / Statement of Changes in Shareholders’ Equity - 01/01/2016 to 03/31/2016	20
Consolidated Interim Accounting Information / Statement of Added Value	21
Notes to the financial statements	22
1. The Company and its operations	22
2. Basis of preparation of interim financial information	22
3. The “Lava Jato (Car Wash) Operation” and its effects on the Company	22
4. Basis of consolidation	23

5.	Summary of significant accounting policies	23
6.	Cash and cash equivalents and Marketable securities	24
7.	Trade and other receivables	24
8.	Inventories	27
9.	Disposal of Assets and other changes in organizational structure	27
10.	Investments	31
11.	Property, plant and equipment	32
12.	Intangible assets	33
13.	Exploration and evaluation of oil and gas reserves	34
14.	Trade payables	34
15.	Finance debt	34
16.	Leases	37
17.	Related-party transactions	38
18.	Provision for decommissioning costs	42
19.	Taxes	42
20.	Employee benefits (Post-Employment)	45
21.	Shareholders' equity	47
22.	Sales revenues	48
23.	Other expenses, net	48
24.	Costs and Expenses by nature	49
25.	Net finance income (expense)	49
26.	Supplemental information on statement of cash flows	49
27.	Segment information	50
28.	Provisions for legal proceedings	53
29.	Collateral for crude oil exploration concession agreements	59
30.	Risk management	60
31.	Fair value of financial assets and liabilities	64
32.	Subsequent events	64
33.	Correlation between the notes disclosed in the complete annual financial statements as of December 31, 2016 and the interim statements as of March 31, 2017	65

(A free translation of the original in Portuguese)

Report on the review of quarterly information - ITR

(A free translation of the original report in Portuguese, as filed with the Brazilian Securities and Exchange Commission (CVM), prepared in accordance with the accounting practices adopted in Brazil, rules of the CVM and of the International Financial Reporting Standards - IFRS)

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of

Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. – Petrobras

Rio de Janeiro - RJ

Introduction

We have reviewed the interim accounting information, individual and consolidated, of Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. – Petrobras (“the Company”), identified as Parent Company and Consolidated, respectively, included in the quarterly information form – ITR for the quarter ended March 31, 2017, which comprises the balance sheet as of March 31, 2017 and the respective statements of income and comprehensive income, statements of changes in shareholders' equity and of cash flows for the three-month period then ended, including the explanatory notes.

The Company`s Management is responsible for the preparation of these interim accounting information in accordance with the CPC 21(R1) and the IAS 34 - Interim Financial Reporting, issued by the International Accounting Standards Board - IASB, as well as the presentation of these information in accordance with the standards issued by the Brazilian Securities and Exchange Commission, applicable to the preparation of quarterly information - ITR. Our responsibility is to express our conclusion on this interim accounting information based on our review.

Scope of the review

We conducted our review in accordance with Brazilian and International Interim Information Review Standards (NBC TR 2410 - Revisão de Informações Intermediárias Executada pelo Auditor da Entidade and ISRE 2410 - Review of Interim Financial Information Performed by the Independent Auditor of the Entity, respectively). A review of interim information consists of making inquiries primarily of the management responsible for financial and accounting matters and applying analytical procedures and other review procedures. The scope of a review is significantly less

than an audit conducted in accordance with auditing standards and, accordingly, it did not enable us to obtain assurance that we were aware of all the material matters that would have been identified in an audit. Therefore, we do not express an audit opinion.

Conclusion on the individual and consolidated interim accounting information

Based on our review, we are not aware of any fact that might lead us to believe that the individual and consolidated interim accounting information included in the aforementioned quarterly information was not prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with CPC 21(R1) and IAS 34, issued by the IASB, applicable to the preparation of the quarterly review - ITR, and presented in accordance with the standards issued by the Brazilian Securities and Exchange Commission.

Emphasis – Impact of the Lava Jato Operation on the Company’s results

We draw attention to Note 3 of the interim financial information, which describes that: i) no additional information has been identified through the date of this accounting information which could materially impact the estimation methodology adopted for the write off recorded on September 30, 2014 ; and ii) the internal investigations being conducted by outside legal counsel under the supervision of a Special Committee created by the Company and the investigation conducted by the Securities and Exchange Commission – SEC are still on going, nevertheless to date no additional impact to those already disclosed in the interim financial statements has been identified. We also draw attention to Note 28.4 of the condensed consolidated financial statements which describes class actions filed against the Company, for which it is unable to make a reliable estimates of loss. Our report is not modified as a result of these matters.

Other matters - Statements of added value

The individual and consolidated statements of value added for the quarter ended March 31, 2017, prepared under the responsibility of the Company's management, and presented as supplementary information for the purposes of IAS 34, were submitted to the same review procedures followed together with the review of the Company's interim financial information. In order to form our conclusion, we evaluated whether these statements were reconciliated to the interim financial information and to the accounting records, as applicable, and whether their form and content are in accordance with the criteria set on Technical Pronouncement CPC 09 - Statement of Value Added. Based on our review, nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe that the accompanying statements of value added were not prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the individual and consolidated interim financial information taken as a whole.

Corresponding balances related to the prior year audit and corresponding balances to the first quarter review of the prior year

The corresponding balances related to the individual and consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2016 were audited by other independent auditors, who issued an unqualified report dated March 21, 2017, and the individual and consolidated interim statements of income and comprehensive income, changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows for the three-month period ended March 31, 2016 were reviewed by other independent auditors who issued an unqualified report dated May 12, 2016. The corresponding balances related to the individual and consolidated statements of value added for the three-month period ended March 31, 2016 were submitted to the same review procedures by those independent auditors and, based on their review, those independent auditors reported that they were not aware of any fact that would lead them to believe that the statement of value added was not prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the individual and consolidated interim accounting information taken as a whole.

Rio de Janeiro, May 11, 2017

KPMG Auditores Independentes

CRC SP-014428/O-6 F-RJ

Marcelo Gavioli

Accountant CRC 1SP201409/O-1

4

Company Data / Share Capital Composition

Number of Shares

(Thousand) Current Quarter
 03/31/2017

From Paid-in Capital

Common	7,442,454
Preferred	5,602,043
Total	13,044,497

Treasury Shares

Common	0
Preferred	0
Total	0

Parent Company Interim Accounting Information / Statement of Financial Position - Assets

(R\$ Thousand)

Account Code	Account Description	Current Quarter Previous Fiscal Year	
		03/31/2017	12/31/2016
1	Total Assets	676,007,000	682,088,000
1.01	Current Assets	78,181,000	81,264,000
1.01.01	Cash and Cash Equivalents	7,213,000	6,267,000
1.01.02	Marketable Securities	2,838,000	2,487,000
1.01.03	Trade and Other Receivables	24,942,000	31,073,000
1.01.04	Inventories	22,843,000	23,500,000
1.01.06	Recoverable Taxes	5,801,000	5,850,000
1.01.06.01	Current Recoverable Taxes	5,801,000	5,850,000
1.01.06.01.01	Current Income Tax and Social Contribution	886,000	786,000
1.01.06.01.02	Other Recoverable Taxes	4,915,000	5,064,000
1.01.08	Other Current Assets	14,544,000	12,087,000
1.01.08.01	Non-Current Assets Held for Sale	9,081,000	8,260,000
1.01.08.03	Others	5,463,000	3,827,000
1.01.08.03.01	Advances to Suppliers	213,000	361,000
1.01.08.03.02	Others	5,250,000	3,466,000
1.02	Non-Current Assets	597,826,000	600,824,000
1.02.01	Long-Term Receivables	42,802,000	46,098,000
1.02.01.02	Marketable Securities Measured at Amortized Cost	291,000	286,000
1.02.01.03	Trade and Other Receivables	9,866,000	10,262,000
1.02.01.06	Deferred Taxes	10,191,000	14,199,000
1.02.01.06.01	Deferred Income Tax and Social Contribution	905,000	4,873,000
1.02.01.06.02	Deferred Taxes and Contributions	9,286,000	9,326,000
1.02.01.09	Other Non-Current Assets	22,454,000	21,351,000
1.02.01.09.03	Advances to Suppliers	556,000	510,000
1.02.01.09.04	Judicial Deposits	12,743,000	11,735,000
1.02.01.09.05	Other Long-Term Assets	9,155,000	9,106,000
1.02.02	Investments	121,809,000	121,191,000
1.02.03	Property, Plant and Equipment	424,497,000	424,771,000
1.02.04	Intangible Assets	8,718,000	8,764,000

Parent Company Interim Accounting Information / Statement of Financial Position - Liabilities

(R\$ Thousand)

Account Code	Account Description	Current Quarter 03/31/2017	Previous Fiscal Year 12/31/2016
2	Liabilities	676,007,000	682,088,000
2.01			
	Gross income (loss)		503,084 161,204
	Operating expenses:		
	General and administrative expense		5,821,068 533,549
	Depreciation, depletion and amortization		334,331 116,847
	Total operating expenses		6,155,399 650,396
	Other income (expense)		
	Interest income		50 -
	Interest and accretion expense		(1,909,487) (169,001)
	Total other income (expense)		(1,909,437) (169,001)
	Net loss before taxes		(7,561,752) (658,193)
	Provision for income taxes		- -
	Net (loss)	\$	(7,561,752) \$(658,193)
	Loss per share:		
	Basic and Diluted	\$	(0.48) \$(.05)
	Weighted average shares outstanding:		
	Basic and Diluted		15,741,749 13,634,482

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

TORCHLIGHT ENERGY RESOURCES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW (Unaudited)

	THREE MONTHS ENDING March 31, 2014	THREE MONTHS ENDING March 31, 2013
Cash Flows From Operating Activities		
Net (loss)	\$ (7,561,752)	\$ (658,193)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash from operations:		
Stock based compensation	4,393,375	131,005
Accretion of convertible note discounts	1,605,025	138,194
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	334,331	116,847
Change in:		
Accounts receivable	(199,937)	30,981
Note receivable	(129,820)	-
Prepaid expenses	(44,679)	(11,538)
Other assets	298	-
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	1,318,957	146,750
Related party payable	-	4,852
Due to working interest owners	(136,181)	-
Asset retirement obligation	534	-
Interest payable	(24,168)	42,369
Net cash used in operating activities	(444,017)	(58,733)
Cash Flows From Investing Activities		
Investment in oil and gas properties	(5,247,243)	(198,918)
Acquisition of office equipment	(15,849)	-
Net cash used in investing activities	(5,263,092)	(198,918)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities		
Proceeds from sale of common stock	5,570,291	-
Proceeds from warrant exercise	180,000	-
Proceeds from promissory notes	18,493	1,627,680
Repayment of promissory notes	-	(51,000)
Net cash provided by financing activities	5,768,784	1,576,680
Net increase (decrease) in cash	61,675	1,319,029
Cash - beginning of period	1,811,713	63,252
Cash - end of period	\$ 1,873,388	\$ 1,382,281
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Non cash transactions:		
Common stock issued for services	\$ 7,375	\$ -
Warrants issued in connection with promissory notes	\$ -	\$ 294,378
Warrants issued for services	\$ 4,464,765	\$ -
Beneficial conversion feature on promissory notes	\$ -	\$ 593,170
Common stock issued in conversion of promissory notes	\$ 981,825	\$ -
Common stock issued in warrant exercises	\$ 180,000	\$ -

Asset retirement obligation	\$	-	\$	-
Interest paid	\$	309,498	\$	5,868

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

1. NATURE OF BUSINESS

Torchlight Energy Resources, Inc. (“we,” “us,” and the “Company”) was incorporated in October 2007 under the laws of the State of Nevada as Pole Perfect Studios, Inc. (“PPS”). From its incorporation to November 2010, the company was primarily engaged in business start-up activities.

On November 23, 2010, we entered into and closed a Share Exchange Agreement (the “Exchange Agreement”) between the major shareholders of PPS and the shareholders of Torchlight Energy, Inc (“TEI”). At closing, the TEI Stockholders transferred all of their shares of TEI common stock to us in exchange for an aggregate of 9,444,500 newly issued shares of our common stock. This transaction was recorded as a reverse acquisition for accounting purposes where TEI is the accounting acquirer. The assets and liabilities of PPS were recorded at fair value of \$0. The Company recorded \$447,084 of goodwill which represents the estimated fair value of the consideration exchanged. Also at closing of the Exchange Agreement, certain of the former PPS shareholders transferred to us an aggregate of 14,400,000 shares of our common stock for cancellation in exchange for aggregate consideration of \$270,000. Upon closing of these transactions, we had 12,251,420 shares of common stock issued and outstanding. The 9,444,500 shares issued to the TEI Stockholders at closing represented 77.1% of our voting securities after completion of the Exchange Agreement.

As a result of the transactions effected by the Exchange Agreement, at closing (i) TEI became our wholly-owned subsidiary, (ii) we abandoned all of our previous business plans within the health and fitness industries and (iii) the business of TEI became our sole business. TEI is an exploration stage energy company, incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada in June 2010. It is engaged in the acquisition, exploration, exploitation and/or development of oil and natural gas properties in the United States.

On December 10, 2010, we effected a 4-for-1 forward split of our shares of common stock outstanding. All owners of record at the close of business on December 10, 2010 (record date) received three additional shares for every one share they owned. All share amounts reflected throughout this report take into account the 4-for-1 forward split.

Effective February 8, 2011, we changed our name to “Torchlight Energy Resources, Inc.” In connection with the name change, our ticker symbol changed from “PPFT” to “TRCH.”

The Company is engaged in the acquisition, exploration, development and production of oil and gas properties within the United States. The Company’s success will depend in large part on its ability to obtain and develop profitable oil and gas interests.

2. GOING CONCERN

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles applicable to a going concern, which assumes that the Company will be able to meet its obligations and continue its operations for its next fiscal year.

At March 31, 2014, the Company had not yet achieved profitable operations, had accumulated losses of \$23,402,711 since its inception and expects to incur further losses in the development of its business, which casts substantial doubt about the Company’s ability to generate future profitable operations and/or to obtain the necessary financing to meet its obligations and repay its liabilities arising from normal business operations when they come due. Management’s plan to address the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern includes: (1) obtaining debt or equity funding from private placement or institutional sources; (2) obtaining loans from financial institutions, where possible, or (3) participating in joint venture transactions with third parties. Although management believes that it will be able to

obtain the necessary funding to allow the Company to remain a going concern through the methods discussed above, there can be no assurances that such methods will prove successful. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

3.SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company maintains its accounts on the accrual method of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These interim period unaudited financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and footnotes which are included as part of the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. Accounting principles followed and the methods of applying those principles, which materially affect the determination of financial position, results of operations and cash flows are summarized below:

Use of estimates – The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and certain assumptions that affect the amounts reported in these consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

3.SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - continued

Basis of presentation—The financial statements are presented on a consolidated basis and include all of the accounts of Torchlight Energy Resources Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary, Torchlight Energy, Inc. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Risks and uncertainties – The Company’s operations are subject to significant risks and uncertainties, including financial, operational, technological and other risks associated with operating an emerging business, including the potential risk of business failure.

Concentration of risks – The Company’s cash is placed with a highly rated financial institution, and the Company periodically reviews the credit worthiness of the financial institutions with which it does business. At times the Company’s cash balances are in excess of amounts guaranteed by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Fair value of financial instruments – Financial instruments consist of cash, accounts receivable, notes receivable, accounts payable, notes payable to related party and convertible promissory notes. The estimated fair values of cash, accounts receivable, notes receivable, accounts payable and notes to related party approximate the carrying amount due to the relatively short maturity of these instruments. The carrying amounts of notes receivable and convertible promissory notes approximate their fair value giving affect for the term of the note and the effective interest rates.

For assets and liabilities that require re-measurement to fair value the Company categorizes them in a three-level fair value hierarchy as follows:

- Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 inputs are quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets or inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly through market corroboration.
- Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs based on management’s own assumptions used to measure assets and liabilities at fair value.

A financial asset or liability’s classification within the hierarchy is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Accounts receivable – Accounts receivable consist of uncollateralized oil and natural gas revenues due under normal trade terms, as well as amounts due from working interest owners of oil and gas properties for their share of expenses paid on their behalf by the Company. Management reviews receivables periodically and reduces the carrying amount by a valuation allowance that reflects management’s best estimate of the amount that may not be collectible. As of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 no valuation allowance was considered necessary.

Investment in oil and gas properties – The Company uses the full cost method of accounting for exploration and development activities as defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). Under this method of accounting, the costs of unsuccessful, as well as successful, exploration and development activities are capitalized as properties and equipment. This includes any internal costs that are directly related to property acquisition, exploration and development activities but does not include any costs related to production, general corporate overhead or similar activities. Gain or loss on the sale or other disposition of oil and gas properties is not recognized, unless the gain or loss would significantly alter the relationship between capitalized costs and proved reserves.

Oil and gas properties include costs that are excluded from costs being depleted or amortized. Oil and natural gas property costs excluded represent investments in unevaluated properties and include non-producing leasehold,

geological and geophysical costs associated with leasehold or drilling interests and exploration drilling costs. The Company allocates a portion of its acquisition costs to unevaluated properties based on relative value. Costs are transferred to the full cost pool as the properties are evaluated over the life of the reservoir.

Capitalized interest - The Company capitalizes interest on unevaluated properties during the periods in which they are excluded from costs being depleted or amortized. During three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company capitalized \$13,190 of interest on unevaluated properties.

Depreciation, depletion and amortization –The depreciable base for oil and natural gas properties includes the sum of all capitalized costs net of accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization (“DD&A”), estimated future development costs and asset retirement costs not included in oil and natural gas properties, less costs excluded from amortization. The depreciable base of oil and natural gas properties is amortized on a unit-of-production method.

Ceiling test – Future production volumes from oil and gas properties are a significant factor in determining the full cost ceiling limitation of capitalized costs. Under the full cost method of accounting, the Company is required to periodically perform a “ceiling test” that determines a limit on the book value of oil and gas properties. If the net capitalized cost of proved oil and gas properties, net of related deferred income taxes, plus the cost of unproved oil and gas properties, exceeds the present value of estimated future net cash flows discounted at 10 percent, net of related tax affects, plus the cost of unproved oil and gas properties, the excess is charged to expense and reflected as additional accumulated DD&A. The ceiling test calculation uses a commodity price assumption which is based on the nweighted arithmetic average of the price on the first day of each month for each month within the prior 12 month period and excludes future cash outflows related to estimated abandonment costs. The Company did not recognize impairment on its oil and gas properties during the quarter ended March 31, 2014, nor any prior period. Due to the volatility of commodity prices, should oil and natural gas prices decline in the future, it is possible that a write-down could occur.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

3.SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - continued

Proved reserves are estimated quantities of crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids, which geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions. The independent engineering estimates include only those amounts considered to be proved reserves and do not include additional amounts which may result from new discoveries in the future, or from application of secondary and tertiary recovery processes where facilities are not in place or for which transportation and/or marketing contracts are not in place. Estimated reserves to be developed through secondary or tertiary recovery processes are classified as unevaluated properties.

The determination of oil and gas reserves is a subjective process, and the accuracy of any reserve estimate depends on the quality of available data and the application of engineering and geological interpretation and judgment. Estimates of economically recoverable reserves and future net cash flows depend on a number of variable factors and assumptions that are difficult to predict and may vary considerably from actual results. In particular, reserve estimates for wells with limited or no production history are less reliable than those based on actual production. Subsequent re-evaluation of reserves and cost estimates related to future development of proved oil and gas reserves could result in significant revisions to proved reserves. Other issues, such as changes in regulatory requirements, technological advances and other factors which are difficult to predict could also affect estimates of proved reserves in the future.

Gains and losses on the sale of oil and gas properties are not generally reflected in income. Sales of less than 100% of the Company's interest in the oil and gas property are treated as a reduction of the capital cost of the field, with no gain or loss recognized, as long as doing so does not significantly affect the unit-of-production depletion rate. Costs of retired equipment, net of salvage value, are usually charged to accumulated depreciation.

Goodwill - Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net identifiable tangible and intangible assets of acquired companies. Goodwill is not amortized; instead, it is tested for impairment annually or more frequently if indicators of impairment exist.

Goodwill was \$447,084 as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, and was acquired on November 23, 2010 in connection with the Company's reverse acquisition (Note 1).

Asset retirement obligations – Accounting principles require that the fair value of a liability for an asset's retirement obligation ("ARO") be recorded in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made, and that the corresponding cost be capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. The liability is accreted to its then-present value each subsequent period, and the capitalized cost is depleted over the useful life of the related asset. Abandonment cost incurred is recorded as a reduction to the ARO liability.

Inherent in the fair value calculation of an ARO are numerous assumptions and judgments including the ultimate settlement amounts, inflation factors, credit adjusted discount rates, timing of settlement, and changes in the legal, regulatory, environmental and political environments. To the extent future revisions to these assumptions impact the fair value of the existing ARO liability, a corresponding adjustment is made to the oil and gas property balance. Settlements greater than or less than amounts accrued as ARO are recorded as a gain or loss upon settlement.

Asset retirement obligation activity is disclosed in Note 10.

Share-based compensation– Compensation cost for equity awards is based on the fair value of the equity instrument on the date of grant and is recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award. Compensation cost for liability awards is based on the fair value of the vested award at the

end of each period.

Revenue recognition – The Company recognizes oil and gas revenues based on production date. When production is sold at a fixed or determinable price, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred and title has transferred, and collectability is reasonably assured any revenue adjustments for volume variances are recognized.

Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share - Basic earnings (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per common share is computed in the same way as basic earnings (loss) per common share except that the denominator is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would be outstanding if all potential common shares had been issued and if the additional common shares were dilutive. The Company has not included potentially dilutive securities in the calculation of loss per share for any periods presented as the effects would be anti-dilutive.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

3.SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - continued

Environmental laws and regulations – The Company is subject to extensive federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations. Environmental expenditures are expensed or capitalized depending on their future economic benefit. The Company believes that it is in compliance with existing laws and regulations.

Recent accounting pronouncements – In May 2011, the FASB issued updated accounting guidance related to fair value measurements and disclosures. This guidance includes amendments that clarify the application of existing fair value measurement requirements, in addition to other amendments that change principles or requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. This guidance is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued guidance that amends and simplifies the rules related to testing goodwill for impairment. The revised guidance allows an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. The results of this assessment will determine whether it is necessary to perform the currently required two-step impairment test. Under this update, an entity also has the option to bypass the qualitative assessment for any reporting unit in any period and proceed directly to performing the two-step goodwill impairment test. This guidance is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Other recently issued or adopted accounting pronouncements are not expected to have, or did not have, a material impact on the Company's financial position or results from operations.

Subsequent events – The Company evaluated subsequent events through May 15, 2014 the date of issuance of the financial statements. Subsequent events are disclosed in Note 11.

Reclassifications – Certain amounts from the prior year have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. The reclassifications had no impact on total assets or the net loss.

4.RELATED PARTY PAYABLES

As of March 31, 2014 related party payables consisted of accrued and unpaid compensation to two of our executive officers totaling \$90,000.

5.COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company is subject to contingencies as a result of environmental laws and regulations. Present and future environmental laws and regulations applicable to the Company's operations could require substantial capital expenditures or could adversely affect its operations in other ways that cannot be predicted at this time. As of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, no amounts had been recorded because no specific liability has been identified that is reasonably probable of requiring the Company to fund any future material amounts.

6.STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

The Board of Directors does not currently have the authority to issue any shares of preferred stock. As of March 31, 2014 there were no issued and outstanding shares of preferred stock and there were no agreements or understandings

for the issuance of preferred stock.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2014, the Company issued 1,537,500 shares of Common Stock for cash of \$5,570,291.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2014, the Company issued 2,500 shares of Common Stock as compensation for services valued at \$7,375.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2014, the Company issued 102,500 shares of Common Stock for exercise of warrants.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2014 the Company issued 561,043 shares of Common Stock in conversions of convertible note principal of \$981,825.

During January, 2014 warrants previously issued to officers pursuant to Employment Agreements, which had been subject to vesting based on milestones, were fully vested resulting in noncash charges computed using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model totaling \$4,386,000.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

6. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY - continued

A summary of warrants outstanding as of March 31, 2014 by exercise price and year of expiration is presented below:

Exercise Price	Expiration Date in						Total
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	
\$ 1.75	87,144	855,000	1,135,714	-	-	-	2,077,858
\$ 2.00	-	-	1,085,271	126,000	1,696,380	-	2,907,651
\$ 2.09	-	-	-	-	2,800,000	-	2,800,000
\$ 2.50	225,000	50,000	100,000	-	-	-	375,000
\$ 2.75	-	-	250,000	-	-	-	250,000
\$ 2.82	-	-	-	-	38,174	-	38,174
\$ 3.00	-	-	100,000	-	-	-	100,000
\$ 5.00	771,212	-	25,000	-	-	-	796,212
\$ 6.00	-	-	-	-	577,501	-	577,501
	1,083,356	905,000	2,695,985	126,000	5,112,055	-	9,922,396

At March 31, 2014 the Company had reserved 9,922,396 shares for future exercise of warrants.

Warrants issued in relation to the promissory notes issued (see note 9) were valued using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model. The assumptions used in calculating the fair value of the warrants issued are as follows:

R i s k - f r e e	0.78%
interest rate	
E x p e c t e d	191%
volatility of	247%
common stock	
Dividend yield	0.00%
Discount due	30.00%
to lack of	
marketability	
Expected life	3 years
of warrant	- 5
years	

7. CAPITALIZED COSTS

The following table presents the capitalized costs of the Company as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	3/31/2014	12/31/2013
Evaluated costs subject to amortization	\$ 13,897,154	\$ 9,484,014
Unevaluated costs	5,606,098	4,758,806
Total capitalized costs	19,503,252	14,242,820
Less accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization	(1,538,400)	(1,204,069)

Net capitalized costs	\$ 17,964,852	\$ 13,038,751
-----------------------	---------------	---------------

Unevaluated costs as of March 31, 2014 include \$656,647 associated with the Company's interest in the Coulter #1 well. The Coulter #1 wells is undergoing production and test operations with the goal of removing sufficient water from the wellbore to allow production of natural gas. Other unevaluated costs are related to accumulated mineral lease costs.

In April 2013, we entered into an agreement to acquire certain assets of Xtreme Oil & Gas, Inc. of Plano, Texas ("Xtreme"). Included in that agreement were the Smokey Hills Prospect in McPherson County, Kansas, the Cimarron Area Hunton Project in Logan County, Oklahoma, and an interest in a salt water disposal facility in Seminole, Oklahoma. Total consideration for all the properties was \$1.6 million.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

8. INCOME TAXES

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. A valuation allowance is established to reduce deferred tax assets if it is more likely than not that the related tax benefits will not be realized. The Company has placed a 100% valuation allowance against the net deferred tax asset because future realization of these assets is not assured.

Authoritative guidance for uncertainty in income taxes requires that the Company recognize the financial statement benefit of a tax position only after determining that the relevant tax authority would more likely than not sustain the position following an examination. Management has reviewed the Company's tax positions and determined there were no uncertain tax positions requiring recognition in the consolidated financial statements. The Company's tax returns remain subject to Federal and State tax examinations for all tax years since inception as none of the statutes have expired. Generally, the applicable statutes of limitation are three to four years from their respective filings.

Estimated interest and penalties related to potential underpayment on any unrecognized tax benefits are classified as a component of tax expense in the statement of operation. The Company has not recorded any interest or penalties associated with unrecognized tax benefits for any periods covered by these financial statements.

As of December 31, 2013 the Company had federal net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$12.6 million available to offset future taxable income, and has incurred additional taxable losses during 2014. These loss carryforwards will expire in various years through 2032, if not previously utilized. Utilization of these net operating loss carryforwards is dependent, in part, on generating sufficient taxable income prior to the expiration of such loss carryforwards. In addition, the Company's ability to utilize its net operating loss carryforwards may be substantially limited or eliminated pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 382.

9. PROMISSORY NOTES

On December 18, 2012, the Company exchanged \$412,500 of outstanding convertible promissory notes for new 12% Series A Secured Convertible Promissory Notes ("12% Notes") described below. The 12% Notes were issued as part of a larger offering with senior liens on the Company's oil and gas properties. In order to induce the holders of the previously outstanding convertible promissory notes to exchange such promissory notes and to relinquish their priority liens on the Company's oil and gas properties in favor of all 12% Convertible Promissory Note holders, the Company agreed to grant the note holders a total of 235,714 four year warrants to purchase common stock at \$1.75 per share, valued at \$240,428, and 235,714 four year warrants to purchase common stock at \$2.00 per share, valued at \$233,357. The total of these warrants, \$473,785, is reflected as debt issuance costs on the balance sheet as of December 31, 2012, as these costs relate to the larger offering of 12% Convertible Promissory Notes.

On December 18, 2012, the Company issued \$690,000 of 12% Notes to new investors. Together with the conversion described above, there was \$1,102,500 of principal amount outstanding as of December 31, 2012. The 12% Notes are due and payable on March 31, 2015 and provide for conversion into common stock at a price of \$1.75 per share and include the issuance of 8,000 warrants for each \$70,000 of principal amount purchased. The warrants carry a five year term and have an exercise price of \$2.00 per share. They were valued at \$137,340, which is reflected as a discount on the 12% Notes, to be amortized over the life of the debt under the effective interest method. Since the conversion

price on the 12% Notes was below the market price of the Company's common stock on the date of issuance, this constitutes a beneficial conversion feature. The amount is calculated as the difference between the market price of the common stock on the date of closing and the effective conversion price as adjusted by the discount for the warrants issued. The amount of the beneficial conversion feature was \$390,600, and is also reflected as a discount on the 12% Notes. The fair value of the 12% Notes is determined utilizing Level 2 measurements in the fair value hierarchy.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company issued an additional \$10,895,773 in principal value of 12% Notes. Such notes carry the same terms as described above. In connection therewith, the Company also issued a total of 1,308,082 five-year warrants to purchase common stock at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share. The value of the warrant shares was \$1,917,158 and the amount recorded for the beneficial conversion feature was \$5,770,654. These amounts were recorded as a discount on the 12% Notes. In addition, the Company engaged a placement agent to source investors for the majority of these additional notes. This placement agent was paid a fee of 10% of the principal amount of the notes plus a non-accountable expense reimbursement of up to 2% of the principal raised by the agent. The placement agent also received 552,057 warrants to purchase common shares at \$2.00 per share for a period of three years, valued at \$614,163. All the amounts paid to the placement agent have been included in debt issuance costs and will be amortized into interest expense over the life of the 12% Notes.

The 12% Notes have a first priority lien on all of the assets of the Company.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

10. ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

The following is a reconciliation of the asset retirement obligation liability through March 31, 2014:

Asset retirement obligation – January 1, 2011	\$-
Estimated liabilities recorded	10,828
Accretion expense	541
Asset retirement obligation – December 31, 2011	11,369
Adjustment to estimated liability	693
Accretion expense	552
Asset retirement obligation – December 31, 2012	12,614
Adjustment to estimated liability	10,407
Accretion expense	1,361
Asset retirement obligation – December 31, 2013	24,382
Accretion expense	534
Asset retirement obligation – March 31, 2014	\$24,916

11. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

None

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Basis of Presentation of Financial Information

On November 23, 2010, the Share Exchange Agreement (the "Exchange Agreement" or "Transaction") between Pole Perfect Studios, Inc. ("PPS") and Torchlight Energy, Inc. ("TEI") was entered into and closed, through which the former shareholders of TEI became shareholders of PPS. At closing, PPS abandoned its previous business. Consequently, as a result of the Transaction, the business of TEI became our sole business.

Summary of Key Results

Overview

We are engaged in the acquisition, exploration, exploitation and/or development of oil and natural gas properties in the United States.

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our audited financial statements included herewith for the year ended December 31, 2013. This discussion should not be construed to imply that the results discussed herein will necessarily continue into the future, or that any conclusion reached herein will necessarily be indicative of actual operating results in the future. Such discussion represents only the best present assessment by our management.

We had no active operations prior to the inception of TEI on June 25, 2010 and had limited revenues prior to the year ended December 31, 2012.

Current Projects

We currently have interests in five oil and gas projects, the Marcelina Creek Field Development in Wilson County, Texas, the Coulter Field in Waller County, Texas, the Smokey Hills Prospect in McPherson County, Kansas, the Ring Energy Joint Venture in Southwest Kansas and the Hunton play in partnership with Husky Ventures in Central Oklahoma.

Marcelina Creek Field Development.

On July 6, 2010, TEI entered into a participation agreement with Bayshore Operating Corporation, LLC ("Bayshore"), which is currently the holder of an oil, gas and mineral lease covering approximately 1,045 acres in Wilson County, Texas, known as the Marcelina Creek Field Development. The Participation Agreement provides for the drilling of four wells. Three of the obligation wells have been drilled. The first three wells include a horizontal re-entry well known as the Johnson-1-H, a vertical well known as the Johnson #4, and a lateral well known as the Johnson #2-H. These three wells are presently producing a total of approximately 150 BOPD. The remaining well is to be a vertical development well at a location to be determined within the existing lease.

The Marcelina Creek Field Development is located over the Austin Chalk, Buda and Eagle Ford Formations, which formations are well known and established producers in central Texas. Their production is controlled by vertical fracturing of the rock with high productivity in wells which encounter the greatest amount of fractures. With the advent of horizontal drilling technology, numerous opportunities exist in areas and fields that were only drilled vertically.

Coulter Field

In January 2012, we entered into a farm-in agreement, titled the “Coulter Limited Partnership Agreement” (the “Coulter Agreement”), with La Sal Energy, LLC (“La Sal”). La Sal owns a 100% working interest and a 75% net revenue interest in approximately 940 acres of oil, gas and mineral leases in Waller County, Texas, on which the well known as “John Coulter #1-R” is located. This well is adjacent to the Katy Field, located on its northwestern up dip edge, which produces primarily from the Wilcox Sparks formation.

Pursuant to the Coulter Agreement, we acquired a 34% working interest and a 25.5% net revenue interest from La Sal’s interest in the John Coulter #1-R for the purchase price of \$350,000, which was to be applied to 100% of the costs of a fracture stimulation treatment on the well. Under the agreement, we had options to purchase additional working interests up to a total of 45%. We exercised the first option and purchased an additional 6% for \$50,000, bringing our working interest to 40% and our net revenue interest to 30%. Our option to purchase an additional 5% working interest can be exercised by the payment of \$50,000 within 30 days of first commercial production from the well. If commercial production is established, the net revenue split will be 80% to us and 20% to La Sal until net revenue totals \$437,500, after which the net revenue will be split according to the interests in the well. Expenses above the initial \$350,000 will be split according to the working interests in the well. Our total investment in the project, including fracture stimulation, subsequent testing, and purchase of additional interests and capitalized interest, amounted to \$639,609 as of March 31, 2014.

The Coulter #1-R was a replacement well drilled by La Sal for the Coulter #1 which had mechanical problems caused by split casing. In February 2012 the well was fracture stimulated. The results were encouraging and the well appears to be capable of commercial gas production. However, the well is still recovering fluid and has not yet been hooked up to a nearby pipeline for production. The source of the fluid has not been conclusively determined. It may be recovery of drilling and/or fracture stimulation fluid or may be entering the wellbore from one or more downhole formations or an adjacent wellbore in the field. We are continuing to flow fluid from the well and the well is periodically shut-in for pressure build up tests. We have cemented off the split casing in the Coulter #1 well and are conducting tests to determine productivity. We have begun discussions with the gas gatherer in the area and are working on completing the gas contract and the well. Limited activity has occurred in the first quarter as we continue to explore our options for this property.

Smokey Hills Prospect, McPherson County, Kansas

In April 2013, we entered into an agreement to acquire certain assets of Xtreme Oil & Gas, Inc. of Plano, Texas (“Xtreme”). Included in that agreement were the Smokey Hills Prospect in McPherson County, Kansas, the Cimarron Area Hunton Project in Logan County, Oklahoma, and an interest in a salt water disposal facility in Seminole, Oklahoma. Total consideration for all the properties was \$1.6 million.

The Smokey Hills acquisition included approximately 10,000 gross acres and a well, the Hoffman 1-H within the greater Lindsborg Field area. Our working interest is nearly 18%. Wells had been drilled vertically in the 1960’s to present at depths of less than 4,000 feet looking for production from Mississippian carbonated fractured reservoirs. The Hoffman well was drilled laterally 4,200 feet and fracking had not been completed at the time of our acquisition of the project. Core analysis and logs indicated good porosity at 14 to 22%. Following our acquisition, the well was hydraulically fractured, but the results were disappointing. We presently are evaluating our next efforts to monetize the investment of nearly \$940,000. Allocated costs are high due to the large acreage position. We are planning to drill a ten well program late Q2 or early Q3 to evaluate the possibility of producing the formation in a traditional vertical method. Of the 800 wells of interest in the area, all were produced vertically and in economic quantities.

The Ring Energy Joint Venture, Southwest Kansas

In October 2013, we entered into a Joint Venture agreement with Ring Energy. The agreement called for us to provide for \$6.2 million in drilling capital to, in effect, match Ring Energy’s expenditures for leasing. In exchange for this commitment, we would receive a 50% interest in each well bore drilled and the acreage unit it held, until we had spent \$6.2 million. At such time, we would then receive a 50% Working Interest in the entire lease block consisting of 17,000 +/- acres. We were to provide \$3.1 million in advance of the program commencing, which would cover approximately 5 wells to be drilled and completed. Once the initial five wells are completed, we and Ring would evaluate the program and the drilling activity and determine if another five wells are to be drilled. Should we continue with the program, we would then deposit another \$3.1 million with Ring for drilling and completion of the next five wells.

We have made the initial \$3.1 million deposit and the first five well drilling program is currently underway. Well locations have been selected and drilling operations have commenced in March, 2014. We are currently in well five of the first five well program.

Hunton Play, Central Oklahoma

The Xtreme transaction also included the acquisition of three Hunton wells, the Hancock, Robinson and Lenhart. The Hancock and Robinson are producing wells but have small working interests of 1% and ¼ of 1%, respectively. The Lenhart well is a 62% working interest and was being prepared for a fracture stimulation when it was previously

damaged, prior to our acquisition, by the service contractor. The well bore at the Hunton level has an irretrievable pipe in the hole and cannot be used to produce from the Hunton. Although Xtreme won the litigation against the contractor, he failed to pay for the replacement of the well bore, and Xtreme was responsible for costs primarily to Baker-Hughes for work done on the well. We took responsibility for those charges and negotiated a settlement of approximately \$600,000.

Subsequent to the above, we have identified a shallow sandstone that could potentially be productive. As previously planned, we tested this formation, and although there were hydrocarbons present, they were thought not to be in sufficient quantities to be economic. However, prior to plugging the well, we had a crew check the well one last time and we found that the well had significant pressure build up indicating a possibility of economic hydrocarbons. We are currently testing the well to determine economic feasibility.

While doing the due diligence for the above projects, we met with the operator of the Hancock and Robinson well, Husky Ventures of Oklahoma City. At that time Husky had completed 17 successful wells in the Hunton formation and was expanding its acreage position. We were able to negotiate a 15% working interest in approximately 3,700 acres in the Cimarron Area of Logan County in May 2013. Within a week the Boeckman #1-H well was spud and was subsequently completed and fracture stimulated in July, 2013. At present, the Boeckman well continues to produce at a rate of 81 barrels of oil per day and 438 thousand cubic feet of gas per day. We acquired a working interest in the Boeckman #1-H well and subsequently sold part of our ownership in the Boeckman well for \$990,000. The purchaser executed a promissory note dated May 1, 2013 for the purchase. We have collected the balance in full. We agreed to a preferential payout to the purchaser equal to 50% of his acquired interest. The agreement was amended in the first quarter of 2014 to include our agreement to advance funds under a note receivable from the purchaser to be repaid from the purchaser's revenue preference subsequent to October, 2014. Revenue payable to the investor based on revenue to March 31, 2014 has been accrued in the accompanying financial statements.

In the third quarter of 2013, we acquired from a third party for stock, a 15.3% working interest in 5011+/- acres in the Chisolm Trail AMI with Husky Ventures Inc. as the operator. This acquisition will allow us to participate in 60+ gross wells in the coming two years. This acquisition along with the previous acreage position in the Cimarron Trail will give us 80+ drilling locations in the entire play. It is our intention to continue to increase this position through advanced leasing and forced pooling.

In the fourth quarter of 2013 we entered into our 3rd Area of Mutual Interest (AMI) with Husky Ventures, the Viking Prospect. This AMI covers four townships in size and currently there is over 5,000 acres under lease. We own a 25% Working Interest in the project. Leasing is continuing monthly and recently, Husky has spud the first two wells in the AMI. In January of 2014, we again elected to continue to expand in the Hunton Play with Husky Ventures in our fourth AMI. We again contracted for a 25% Working Interest in an AMI consisting of eight townships in South Central Oklahoma. There is an active leasing program in this AMI as well with over 5,000 acres under lease. We expect to spud our first well in this AMI by July of 2014. Lastly, in February of 2014, we picked up a 10% Working Interest in a well in the Prairie Grove AMI that is currently drilling. We picked up this interest from a non-consenting third party who elected not to participate in the well. Currently, we are actively producing in 15 wells, drilling 5 wells, and 5 wells are currently fracking or flowing back for a total of twenty five wells across all of our AMI's with Husky Ventures.

Salt Water Disposal Facility

As part of the Xtreme transaction we also acquired a 22.5% net royalty on a salt water disposal facility in Seminole, Oklahoma. No value was placed on the facility due to operational uncertainty. The facility which was newly commissioned in January 2013 is a state of the art disposal facility which can handle 20,000 barrels of produced and injected fluids per day. Oil and gas wells produce large quantities of saltwater that must be trucked and disposed of at a cost to the producer. During the first quarter, 2014 the facility averaged only approximately 400 barrels of fluids per day. But with increasing activity in the area, we anticipate that volume increasing in the future. With only a royalty, we have no working interest and are therefore not responsible for any operating expenses. We do however have the right to a working interest of 37.5% when the original investors in the facility receive a payout of their investment.

Historical Results for the quarters ended March 31, 2014 and 2013:

Revenues and Cost of Revenues

For the quarter ended March 31, 2014, we had production revenue of \$682,135 compared to \$229,204 for 2013.

During the first half of 2013, production began a natural decline in both the Johnson #1-BH and the Johnson #4 wells. Refer to the table of production and revenue included below. Our cost of revenue, consisting of lease operating expenses and production taxes, was \$179,051, and \$68,000 for the quarters ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Property	Quarter	Oil Production {BBLs}	Gas Production {MCF}	Oil Revenue	Gas Revenue	Total Revenue
Marcelina	Q1 - 2013	2,255	0	\$ 229,204	\$ -	\$ 229,204
Oklahoma	Q1 - 2013	0	0	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total Q1		2,255	0	\$ 229,204	\$ -	\$ 229,204
Marcelina	Q2 - 2013	1,673	0	\$ 160,823	\$ -	\$ 160,823

Edgar Filing: PETROBRAS - PETROLEO BRASILEIRO SA - Form 6-K

Oklahoma	Q2 - 2013	0	0	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total Q2		1,673	0	\$ 160,823	\$ -	\$ 160,823
Marcelina	Q3 - 2013	3,896	0	\$ 387,872	\$ -	\$ 387,872
Oklahoma	Q3 - 2013	316	1,321	\$ 7,064	\$ -	\$ 7,064
Total Q3		4,212	1,321	\$ 394,936	\$ -	\$ 394,936
Marcelina	Q4 - 2013	4,626	0	\$ 401,956	\$ -	\$ 401,956
Oklahoma	Q4 - 2013	519	2,220	\$ 47,793	\$ 9,286	\$ 57,079
Total Q4		5,145	2,220	\$ 449,749	\$ 9,286	\$ 459,035
Year ended 12/31/13		13,285	3,541	\$ 1,234,712	\$ 9,286	\$ 1,243,998
Marcelina	Q1 - 2014	3,888	0	\$ 360,074	\$ -	\$ 360,074
Oklahoma	Q1 - 2014	2,326	7,366	\$ 233,686	\$ 49,210	\$ 282,896
Total Q1-2014		6,214	7,366	\$ 593,760	\$ 49,210	\$ 642,970

We recorded depreciation, depletion and amortization expense of \$334,331 for the quarter ended March 31, 2014.

General and Administrative Expenses

Our general and administrative expenses for the quarters ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$5,821,068 and \$533,549, respectively. Our general and administrative expenses consisted of compensation expense, substantially all of which was non-cash or deferred, accounting and administrative costs, professional consulting fees and other general corporate expenses. The increase in general and administrative expenses for the quarters ended March 31, 2014 compared to 2013 is primarily related to the significant increase in business operations and noncash compensation and accretion expense related to amortization on debt discount on convertible notes payable for the quarter ended March 31, 2014.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As March 31, 2014, we had working capital of (\$6,648,543), current assets of \$2,686,667 consisting of cash, accounts receivable, notes receivable, and prepaid expenses and total assets of \$22,199,849 consisting of current assets, investments in oil and gas properties and goodwill. As of March 31, 2014, we had current liabilities of \$9,335,210, consisting of, accounts payable (principally for development costs), payables to related parties, notes payable and accrued interest. The remaining balance of convertible notes payable (which mature on March 15, 2015) were reclassified from long term liabilities to current liabilities as of March 31, 2014 which accounts for \$5,425,910 of negative working capital at March 31, 2014. Stockholders' equity was \$12,839,723.

Cash flow used in operating activities for the quarters ended March 31, 2014 was \$444,017 compared to \$58,733 for the quarter ended March 31, 2013. Cash flow used in operating activities can be primarily attributed to net losses from operations, which consists primarily of general and administrative expenses, a substantial portion of which are non-cash in nature, plus increases in accounts and note receivable and offset by increases in accounts payable. We expect to continue to use cash flow in operating activities until such time as we achieve sufficient commercial oil and gas production to cover all of our cash costs.

Cash flow used in investing activities for quarter ended March 31, 2014 was \$5,263,091 compared to \$198,918 for the quarter ended March 31, 2013. Cash flow used in investing activities consists primarily of oil and gas investments in Oklahoma and Kansas properties acquired during the quarter ended March 31, 2014.

Cash flow provided by financing activities for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 was \$5,768,784 as compared to \$1,576,680 for the quarter ended March 31, 2013. Cash flow provided by financing activities consists primarily of proceeds from common stock issues and warrant exercises. We expect to continue to have cash flow provided by financing activities as we seek new rounds of financing and continue to develop our oil and gas investments.

Our current assets are insufficient to meet our current obligations or to satisfy our cash needs over the next twelve months and as such we will require additional debt or equity financing. Subsequent to December 31, 2013, we received net proceeds of approximately \$5.57 million from the offering of units of equity consisting of our common stock and warrants, but these proceeds will not be sufficient to fund all of our proposed drilling operations and operating needs during 2014. We will seek additional financing to meet our drilling plans and needs. We face obstacles in continuing to attract new financing due to our history and current record of net losses and working capital deficits. Therefore, despite our efforts we can provide no assurance that we will be able to obtain the financing required to meet our stated objectives or even to continue as a going concern.

We do not expect to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

Commitments and Contingencies

We are subject to contingencies as a result of environmental laws and regulations. Present and future environmental laws and regulations applicable to our operations could require substantial capital expenditures or could adversely affect our operations in other ways that cannot be predicted at this time. As of March 31, 2014 and March 31, 2013, no amounts have been recorded because no specific liability has been identified that is reasonably probable of requiring us to fund any future material amounts.

We currently have interests in five oil and gas projects, the Marcelina Creek Field Development in Wilson County, Texas, the Coulter Field in Waller County, Texas, projects in Logan and Kingfisher counties, Oklahoma and projects in McPherson and Gray and Finney counties in Kansas. See the description under “Current Projects” above under “Overview” for more information and disclosure regarding commitments and contingencies relating to these projects.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Not Applicable.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure of Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, as of March 31, 2014. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective and adequately designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the applicable rules and forms and that such information was accumulated and communicated to our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, in a manner that allowed for timely decisions regarding disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have indicated that, upon evaluation, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting or other factors during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On February 16, 2012, we filed a lawsuit against Hockley Energy, Inc. and Frank O. Snorheim in the District Court of Harris County, Texas in connection with farmout agreements we entered into with Hockley Energy in November 2011 for the Marcelina Creek prospect and the East Stockdale prospect. We allege that Hockley Energy did not perform its obligations under the agreements, which obligations included providing the agreed upon funding, and we seek damages against both Hockley and Mr. Snorheim (who is a shareholder of Hockley Energy) for breach of contract, fraudulent inducement and promissory estoppel. Each defendant has answered our original petition with a general denial, and we have filed a motion for default judgment. A trial date was set for April 28, 2014 but we have refiled for summary judgment. We have also had discussions with the defendants regarding resolving this matter out of court, but we have not reached an agreement to date.

On April 1, 2014, a former member of our Board of Directors, Greg Williams, filed a lawsuit in the 122nd District Court of Galveston County, Texas against us in connection with Mr. Williams' sale of 300,000 shares of our common stock to certain third parties in April 2013. The suit names as defendants the third parties, Torchlight Energy Resources, Inc., Torchlight Energy, Inc., our Chief Executive Officer, Thomas Lapinski, and our President, John Brda. Mr. Williams alleges that Messrs. Brda and Lapinski made misrepresentations to him in connection with the sale, and accordingly they, Torchlight and the third parties are liable to him. The suit seeks rescission of the sale and/or damages. We believe the suit is without merit and intend to vigorously defend ourselves.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

During the quarter ended March 31, 2014, we issued 2,500 shares of common stock to a consultant as compensation for services. The securities were issued under the exemption from registration provided by Section 4(a) (2) of the Securities Act of 1933 and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder. The issuance of securities did not involve a "public offering" based upon the following factors: (i) the issuance of securities was an isolated private

transaction; (ii) a limited number of securities were issued to a single purchaser; (iii) there were no public solicitations; (iv) the investment intent of the purchaser; and (v) the restriction on transferability of the securities issued.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2014, investors exercised warrant agreements to purchase a total of 102,500 shares of common stock, including 100,000 shares at a price of \$1.75 per share and 2,500 shares at a price of \$2.00 per share. The securities were issued under the exemption from registration provided by Section 4(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder. The issuances of securities did not involve a “public offering” based upon the following factors: (i) each issuance of securities was an isolated private transaction; (ii) a limited number of securities were issued to a single purchaser; (iii) there were no public solicitations; (iv) the purchaser previously represented that it was an “accredited investor”; (v) the investment intent of the purchaser; and (vi) the restriction on transferability of the securities issued.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
2.1	Share Exchange Agreement dated November 23, 2010. (Incorporated by reference from Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 24, 2010.) *
3.1	Articles of Incorporation. (Incorporated by reference from Form S-1 filed with the SEC on May 2, 2008.) *
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws (Incorporated by reference from Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 12, 2011.) *
10.1	Agreement to Participate in Oil and Gas Development Joint Venture between Bayshore Operating Corporation, LLC and Torchlight Energy, Inc. (Incorporated by reference from Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 24, 2010) *
10.2	Purchase and Sale Agreement between Torchlight Energy, Inc. and Xtreme Oil and Gas, Inc. effective April 1, 2013. (Incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on May 15, 2013.) *
10.3	Employment Agreement with Thomas Lapinski (Incorporated by reference from Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 15, 2013.) *
10.4	Employment Agreement with John A. Brda (Incorporated by reference from Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 15, 2013.) *
10.5	Amendment to Employment Agreement with John A. Brda (Incorporated by reference from Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 31, 2014.) *
10.6	Employment Agreement with Roger Wurtele (Incorporated by reference from Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 15, 2013.) *
10.7	Amendment to Employment Agreement with Roger Wurtele (Incorporated by reference from Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 31, 2014.) *
10.8	Employment Agreement with Willard McAndrew III (Incorporated by reference from Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 15, 2013.) *
10.9	Amendment to Employment Agreement with Willard McAndrew III (Incorporated by reference from Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 15, 2013.) *
10.10	Second Amendment to Employment Agreement with Willard McAndrew III (Incorporated by reference from Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 31, 2014.) *
10.11	Development Agreement between Ring Energy, Inc. and Torchlight Energy Resources, Inc. (Incorporated by reference from Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 22, 2013.) *

Edgar Filing: PETROBRAS - PETROLEO BRASILEIRO SA - Form 6-K

31.1 Certification of principal executive officer required by Rule 13a – 14(1) or Rule 15d – 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

31.2 Certification of principal financial officer required by Rule 13a – 14(1) or Rule 15d – 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.1 Certification of principal executive officer and principal financial officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Section 1350 of 18 U.S.C. 63.

101.INS XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definitions Linkbase
101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

* Incorporated by reference from our previous filings with the SEC

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Torchlight Energy Resources, Inc.

Date: May 15, 2014

/s/ Thomas Lapinski
By: Thomas Lapinski
Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 15, 2014

/s/ Roger Wurtele
By: Roger Wurtele
Chief Financial Officer and Principal
Accounting Officer