

Atlanticus Holdings Corp
Form 10-K
February 25, 2013

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

of

ATLANTICUS HOLDINGS CORPORATION

a Georgia Corporation
IRS Employer Identification No. 58-2336689
SEC File Number 0-53717

Five Concourse Parkway, Suite 400
Atlanta, Georgia 30328
(770) 828-2000

Atlanticus' common stock, no par value per share, is registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act").

Atlanticus is not a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933

Atlanticus (1) is required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act, (2) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act during the preceding 12 months and (3) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Atlanticus has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months.

Atlanticus believes that its executive officers, directors and 10% beneficial owners subject to Section 16(a) of the Act

Edgar Filing: Atlanticus Holdings Corp - Form 10-K

complied with all applicable filing requirements during 2012, except as set forth under the caption “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance” in Atlanticus’ Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

Atlanticus is a smaller reporting company and is not a shell company.

The aggregate market value of Atlanticus’ common stock (based upon the closing sales price quoted on the NASDAQ Global Select Market) held by non-affiliates as of June 30, 2012 was \$29.4 million. (For this purpose, directors and officers have been assumed to be affiliates, and we also have excluded 1,672,656 loaned shares at June 30, 2012.)

As of February 15, 2013, 13,884,523 shares of common stock, no par value, of Atlanticus were outstanding. (This excludes 1,672,656 loaned shares to be returned as of that date.)

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of Atlanticus’ Proxy Statement for its 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are incorporated by reference into Part III.

Table of Contents

	Page
Part I	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	1
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	7
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	15
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	16
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	16
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	16
Part II	
Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	17
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	18
Item 7. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	18
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	33
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	33
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	34
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	34
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	34
Part III	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	35
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	35
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	35
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	35
Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	35
Part IV	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	36

Cautionary Notice Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

We make forward-looking statements in this Report and in other materials we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) or otherwise make public. In this Report, both Item 1, “Business,” and Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations,” contain forward-looking statements. In addition, our senior management might make forward-looking statements to analysts, investors, the media and others. Statements with respect to expected revenue, income, receivables, income ratios, net interest margins, long-term shareholder returns, acquisitions and other growth opportunities, divestitures and discontinuations of businesses, loss exposure and loss provisions, delinquency and charge-off rates, the effects of account actions we may take or have taken, changes in collection programs and practices, changes in the credit quality and fair value of our credit card loans and fees receivable and the fair value of their underlying structured financing facilities, the impact of actions by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”), Federal Trade Commission (“FTC”), Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (“CFPB”) and other regulators on both us and banks that issue credit cards and other credit products on our behalf, account growth, the performance of investments that we have made, operating expenses, the impact of bankruptcy law changes, marketing plans and expenses, the performance of our Auto Finance segment, our plans in the United Kingdom (“U.K.”), the impact of our U.K. Portfolio of credit card receivables (the “U.K. Portfolio”) on our financial performance, the sufficiency of available liquidity, the prospect for improvements in the liquidity markets, future interest costs, sources of funding operations and acquisitions, our entry into international markets, our ability to raise funds or renew financing facilities, the results associated with our equity-method investees, our servicing income levels, gains and losses from investments in securities, experimentation with new products and other statements of our plans, beliefs or expectations are forward-looking statements. These and other statements using words such as “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “plan,” “project,” “target,” “can,” “could,” “may,” “should,” “will,” “w” expressions also are forward-looking statements. Each forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date of the particular statement. The forward-looking statements we make are not guarantees of future performance, and we have based these statements on our assumptions and analyses in light of our experience and perception of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors we believe are appropriate in the circumstances. Forward-looking statements by their nature involve substantial risks and uncertainties that could significantly affect expected results, and actual future results could differ materially from those described in such statements. Management cautions against putting undue reliance on forward-looking statements or projecting any future results based on such statements or present or prior earnings levels.

Although it is not possible to identify all factors, we continue to face many risks and uncertainties. Among the factors that could cause actual future results to differ materially from our expectations are the risks and uncertainties described under “Risk Factors” set forth in Part I, Item 1A, and the risk factors and other cautionary statements in other documents we file with the SEC, including the following:

- the extent to which federal, state, local and foreign governmental regulation of our various business lines and products limits or prohibits the operation of our businesses;
 - current and future litigation and regulatory proceedings against us;
 - the effect of adverse economic conditions on our revenues, loss rates and cash flows;
- the fragmentation of our industry and competition from various other sources providing similar financial products, or other alternative sources of credit, to consumers;
 - the adequacy of our allowances for uncollectible loans and fees receivable and estimates of loan losses;

- the availability of adequate financing;
- the possible impairment of assets;
- our ability to reduce or eliminate overhead and other costs to lower levels consistent with the current contraction of our loans and fees receivable and other income-producing assets;
- our relationship with the banks that provide certain services that are needed to operate our businesses; and
 - theft and employee errors.

Table of Contents

Most of these factors are beyond our ability to predict or control. Any of these factors, or a combination of these factors, could materially affect our future financial condition or results of operations and the ultimate accuracy of our forward-looking statements. There also are other factors that we may not describe (generally because we currently do not perceive them to be material) that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations.

We expressly disclaim any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law.

In this Report, except as the context suggests otherwise, the words “Company,” “Atlanticus Holdings Corporation,” “Atlanticus,” “we,” “our,” “ours” and “us” refer to Atlanticus Holdings Corporation and its subsidiaries and predecessors. Atlanticus owns Aspire®, Embrace®, Emerge®, Imagine®, Majestic®, Monument®, Salute®, Tribute®, Fortiva®, and other trademarks and service marks in the U.S. and the U.K.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

On November 28, 2012, we announced a change in our name from CompuCredit Holdings Corporation to Atlanticus Holdings Corporation, and we changed our NASDAQ ticker symbol from "CCRT" to "ATLC." The name change became effective on November 30, 2012. Our common stock began trading under our new ticker symbol on December 3, 2012.

Sale of Businesses

As discussed in more detail in the General discussion below, in August 2012, we completed a transaction to sell to Flexpoint Fund II, L.P. our Investments in Previously Charged-Off Receivables segment, including its balance transfer card operations. As a result, these operations are included as discontinued operations for all periods presented within our consolidated statements of operations. Also included within discontinued operations for all periods presented are the activities of our former MEM (a provider in the U.K. of Internet-based, short-term micro-loans) and Retail Micro-Loans segment operations, which we sold in 2011. We had no business operating assets that were held for sale as of December 31, 2012.

General

A general discussion of our business follows. For additional information about our business, please visit our website at www.Atlanticus.com. Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference in this Report.

We are primarily focused on providing financial services. Through our subsidiaries, we offer financial products and services to a market represented by credit risks that regulators classify as "sub-prime."

We traditionally have served our customers principally through our marketing and solicitation of credit card accounts and other credit products and our servicing of various receivables. Given the global financial crisis arising in 2008, we worked with our third-party financial institution partners to close substantially all of the credit card accounts underlying our credit card receivables portfolios in 2009. The only open credit card accounts underlying our credit card receivables are those generated through our credit card products in the U.K. Several of our portfolios of credit card receivables underlying now-closed accounts are encumbered by non-recourse structured financings, and for some of these portfolios, our only remaining economic interest is the servicing compensation that we receive as an offset against our servicing costs given that the likely future collections on the portfolios are insufficient to allow for full repayment of the financings. We have been successful in partnering with certain financing partners to purchase the debt underlying two of our portfolios. Beyond the aforementioned activities within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment, we are applying the experiences and infrastructure associated with our historic credit card offerings to other credit product offerings, including private label merchant credit whereby we partner with retailers to provide credit at the point of sale to their customers who may have been declined under traditional financing options. We specialize in providing this "second look" credit service in various industries across the United States ("U.S."). Using our global infrastructure and technology platform, we also provide loan servicing activities, including underwriting, marketing, customer service and collections operations for third parties. Lastly, through our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment, we are engaged in limited investment activities in ancillary finance, technology and other businesses as we seek to build new products and relationships that could allow for greater utilization of our expertise and infrastructure.

Within our Auto Finance segment, our CAR subsidiary operations principally purchase and/or service loans secured by automobiles from or for and also provide floor-plan financing for a pre-qualified network of independent automotive dealers and automotive finance companies in the buy-here, pay-here used car business. We purchase the

auto loans at a discount and with dealer retentions or holdbacks that provide risk protection. Also within our Auto Finance segment, we are collecting on portfolios of auto finance receivables that we previously originated through franchised and independent auto dealers in connection with prior business activities.

As suggested above, we manage our business activities through two reportable segments—Credit Cards and Other Investments, and Auto Finance. We further describe our segment operations below. (See, also, Note 4, “Segment Reporting,” to our consolidated financial statements included herein for segment-specific financial data.)

The most significant business changes or events for us during the year ended December 31, 2012 were:

- Our receipt of \$10 million from a lender to compensate us for excess costs we incurred for the benefit of the lender in servicing a portfolio that collateralized the lender’s loan to us;
- The September 2012 repurchase of 8,250,000 shares of our common stock at a purchase price of \$10.00 per share for an aggregate cost of \$82.5 million, pursuant to a tender offer;
- The August 2012 sale of our Investments in Previously Charged-Off Receivables segment, including its balance transfer card operations (the post-card issuance activities of which were historically reflected within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment), to Flexpoint Fund II, L.P. for a total of \$130.5 million in fixed and contingent consideration—such transaction resulting in a net gain on sale (after related expenses and recognition of the contingent consideration we earned in November 2012) of \$57.3 million as reflected within our income from discontinued operations category on our 2012 consolidated statement of operations; and
- Our May 2012 repayment to investors in our 3.625% convertible senior notes of \$83.5 million in face amount of such then-outstanding notes.

Table of Contents

In the current environment, the principal recurring cash flows we receive within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment are those associated with (i) servicing compensation, (ii) distributions from one of our equity-method investees that in March 2011 purchased and now holds all of the outstanding notes issued out of our U.K. Portfolio structured financing trust, and (iii) unencumbered credit card receivables portfolios that have already generated enough cash to allow for the repayment of their underlying structured financing facilities. Although we are closely monitoring and managing our liquidity position and in recent years have significantly reduced our overhead infrastructure (which was built to accommodate higher account originations and managed receivables levels), we are maintaining our global infrastructure and incurring heightened overhead and other costs in so doing as we pursue new product offerings that we believe have the potential to grow into our infrastructure and allow for long-term shareholder returns.

Subject to the availability of growth capital at attractive terms and pricing, our shareholders should expect us to continue to evaluate and pursue a variety of activities, including: (1) the acquisition of additional credit card receivables portfolios, and potentially other financial assets that are complementary to our financially underserved credit products and services business; (2) investments in other assets or businesses that are not necessarily financial services assets or businesses; (3) repurchases of our convertible senior notes and other debt or our outstanding common stock; and (4) servicing credit card receivables and other assets for third parties (and in which we have limited or no equity interests) to allow us to leverage our expertise and infrastructure.

Credit Cards and Other Investments Segment. Our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment includes our continuing activities relating to investments in and servicing of our various credit card receivables portfolios, as well as other investments and credit products that generally use much of the same infrastructure as our credit card operations. One such product is our private label merchant credit product, whereby we partner with retailers to provide credit (and in some cases “second look” credit) at the point of sale to their customers. Additionally, we include within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment certain limited investment activities in ancillary finance, technology and other businesses as we seek to build new products and relationships that could allow for greater utilization of our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment expertise and infrastructure.

Substantially all of the credit card accounts underlying our credit card receivables and portfolios have been closed to new cardholder purchases (and hence credit card receivables growth) since 2009. However, as described in more detail below, we do have a growing number of open credit card accounts in the U.K.

Our credit card and other operations are heavily regulated, and over time we change how we conduct our operations either in response to regulation or in keeping with our goal of leading the industry in the application of consumer-friendly practices. We have made several significant changes to our practices over the past several years, and because our account management practices are evolutionary and dynamic, it is possible that we may make further changes to these practices, some of which may produce positive, and others of which may produce adverse, effects on our operating results and financial position. Customers at the lower end of the FICO scoring range intrinsically have higher loss rates than do customers at the higher end of the FICO scoring range. As a result, we have priced our products to reflect this higher loss rate. As such, our products are subject to greater regulatory scrutiny than the products of prime lenders who can price their credit products at much lower levels than we can. See “Consumer and Debtor Protection Laws and Regulations—Credit Cards and Other Investments Segment” and Item 1A, “Risk Factors.”

As is customary in our industry, we historically financed most of our credit card receivables through the asset-backed securitization markets. These markets worsened significantly in 2008, and the level of “advance rates” or leverage we can achieve against credit receivable assets in the current asset-backed securitization markets is far below 2008 levels. Considering this reality, along with a U.S. regulatory environment in which sub-prime credit card lending returns on assets are significantly lower than they were before 2008, we have concluded that we cannot achieve our desired returns on equity through U.S. credit card lending. We continue, however, to originate credit cards in the U.K. because we believe the U.K. environment to be more favorable than the U.S. toward possible significant credit card

origination growth in the future. Additionally, we believe that our growing portfolio of private label merchant credit receivables is generating and will continue to generate attractive returns on assets, thereby allowing us to secure debt financing under terms and conditions (including advance rates and pricing) that will allow us to achieve our desired returns on equity.

Auto Finance Segment. Our Auto Finance segment historically has included a variety of auto sales and lending activities. Our original platform, CAR, acquired in April 2005, purchases auto loans at a discount, services auto loans for a fee and provides floor-plan financing; its customer base includes a nationwide network of pre-qualified independent automotive dealers and automotive finance companies in the buy-here, pay-here used car business. We also historically owned substantially all of JRAS, a buy-here, pay-here dealer we acquired in 2007 and operated from that time until our disposition of certain JRAS operating assets in the first quarter of 2011. Subsequent to the first quarter of 2011, our only remaining JRAS asset is the portfolio of auto finance receivables that it had originated while under our ownership. Lastly, our ACC platform acquired during 2007 historically purchased retail installment contracts from franchised car dealers. We ceased origination efforts within the ACC platform during 2009 and outsourced the collection of its portfolio of auto finance receivables. The auto finance receivables of both the JRAS and the ACC portfolios are liquidating with collections and charge offs, and the effects of these liquidating receivables portfolios on our results of operations are diminishing with each successive financial reporting period.

In our CAR operations, we generate revenues on purchased loans through interest earned on the face value of the installment agreements combined with discounts on loans purchased. We generally earn discount income over the life of the applicable loan. Additionally, we generate revenues from servicing loans on behalf of dealers for a portion of actual collections and by providing back-up servicing for others' similar quality securitized assets. We offer a number of other products to our network of buy-here, pay-here dealers (including our floor-plan financing offering), but the vast majority of our activities are represented by our purchases of auto loans at discounts and our servicing of auto loans for a fee. Our CAR operations currently serve more than 675 dealers in 37 states. These operations are performing well in the current environment (achieving consistent profitability and generating positive cash flows with modest growth).

Table of Contents

How Do We Maintain the Accounts and Mitigate Our Risks?

Credit Cards and Other Investments Segment. We manage account activity using credit behavioral scoring, credit file data and our proprietary risk evaluation systems. These strategies include the management of transaction authorizations, account renewals, over-limit accounts, credit line modifications and collection programs. We use an adaptive control system to translate our strategies into account management processes. The system enables us to develop and test multiple strategies simultaneously, which allows us to continually refine our account management activities. We have incorporated our proprietary risk scores into the control system, in addition to standard credit behavior scores used widely in the industry, in order to segment, evaluate and manage the accounts. We believe that by combining external credit file data along with historical and current customer activity, we are able to better predict the true risk associated with current and delinquent accounts.

For credit card accounts that are open to cardholder purchases (currently only those accounts opened under programs within the U.K.), we monitor authorizations, and we limit customer credit availability for transaction types we believe present higher risks, such as foreign transactions, cash advances, etc. We generally seek to manage credit lines to reward financially underserved customers who are performing well and to mitigate losses from delinquent customer segments, and we periodically review accounts exhibiting favorable credit characteristics for credit line increases. We also employ strategies to reduce otherwise open credit lines for customers demonstrating indicators of increased credit or bankruptcy risk. Data relating to account performance are captured and loaded into our proprietary database for ongoing analysis. We adjust account management strategies as necessary, based on the results of such analyses. Additionally, we use industry-standard fraud detection software to manage the portfolio. We route accounts to manual work queues and suspend charging privileges if the transaction-based fraud models indicate a high probability of fraudulent card use.

Auto Finance Segment. Our CAR operations manage credit quality and loss mitigation at the dealer portfolio level through the implementation of dealer-specific loss reserve accounts. In most instances, the reserve accounts are cross-collateralized across all business presented by any single dealer. CAR monitors performance at the dealer portfolio level (by product type) to adjust pricing or the reserve account or to determine if the dealer is to be excluded from our account purchase program.

CAR applies specific purchase guidelines based upon each product offering, and we establish delegated approval authorities to assist in the monitoring of transactions during the loan acquisition process. Dealers are subject to specific approval criteria, and individual accounts typically are verified for accuracy before, during and after the acquisition process. Dealer portfolios across the business segment are monitored and compared against expected collections and peer dealer performance. Monitoring of dealer pool vintages, delinquencies and loss ratios helps determine past performance and expected future results, which are used to adjust pricing and reserve requirements. Our CAR operations manage risk through diversifying their receivables among multiple dealers.

How Do We Collect from Our Customers?

Credit Cards and Other Investments Segment. The goal of the collections process is to collect as much of the money that is owed to us in the most cost effective and customer friendly manner possible. To this end, we employ the traditional cross-section of letters and telephone calls to encourage payment. However, recognizing that our objective is to maximize the amount collected, we also will offer customers flexibility with respect to the application of payments in order to encourage larger or prompter payments. For instance, in certain cases we vary from our general payment application priority (i.e., of applying payments first to finance charges, then to fees, and then to principal) by agreeing to apply payments first to principal and then to finance charges and fees or by agreeing to provide payments or credits of finance charges and principal to induce or in exchange for an appropriate customer payment. Application of payments in this manner also permits our collectors to assess real time the degree to which a customer's payments over the life of an account have covered the principal credit extensions to the customer. This allows our collectors to

readily identify our potential “economic” loss associated with the charge off of a particular account (i.e., the excess of principal loaned to the customer over payments received back from the customer throughout the life of the account). With this information, our collectors work cooperatively with our customers in a way intended to best protect us from economic loss on the customer relationship. Our selection of collection techniques, including, for example, the order in which we apply payments or the provision of payments or credits to induce or in exchange for customer payment, impacts the statistical performance of our portfolios that we reflect under the “Credit Cards and Other Investments Segment” caption within Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.”

We consider management’s experience in operating professional collection agencies, coupled with our proprietary systems, to be a competitive advantage in minimizing delinquencies and charge offs. Our collectors employ various and evolving tools when engaging with our customers, and they routinely test and evaluate new tools in their drive toward improving our collections with a greater degree of efficiency. These tools include programs under which we may reduce or eliminate a customer’s annual percentage rate (“APR”) or waive a certain amount of accrued fees, provided the customer makes a minimum number or amount of payments. In some instances, we may agree to match a customer’s payments, for example, with a commensurate payment or reduction of finance charges or waiver of fees. In other situations, we may actually settle with customers and adjust their finance charges and fees, for example, based on their commitment and their follow through on their commitment to pay certain portions of the balances they owe. Our collectors may also decrease a customer’s minimum payment under certain collection programs. Additionally, we employ re-aging techniques as discussed below. We also may occasionally use our marketing group to assist in determining various programs to assist in the collection process. Moreover, we willingly participate in the Consumer Credit Counseling Service (“CCCS”) program by waiving a certain percentage of a customer’s debt that is considered our “fair share” under the CCCS program. All of our programs are utilized based on the degree of economic success they achieve.

Table of Contents

We constantly are monitoring and adapting our collection strategies, techniques, technology and training to optimize our efforts to reduce delinquencies and charge offs. We use our systems to develop these proprietary collection strategies and techniques, which we employ in our operations. We analyze the output from these systems to identify the strategies and techniques that we believe are most likely to result in curing a delinquent account in the most cost-effective manner, rather than treating all accounts the same based on the mere passage of time.

Our collection strategies have included utilizing both internal and third-party collectors and creating a competitive process of rewarding the most effective and efficient group of collectors from within our system and among third-party agencies. We have divided our portfolios into various groups that are statistically equivalent and have provided these groups of accounts to our various internal and external collection resources. We compare the results of the collectors against one another to determine which techniques and which collection groups are producing the best results.

As in all aspects of our risk management strategies, we compare the results of each of the above strategies with other collection strategies and devote resources to those strategies that yield the best results. Results are measured based on delinquency rates, expected losses and costs to collect. Existing strategies are then adjusted as suggested by these results. Management believes that maintaining the ongoing discipline of testing, measuring and adjusting collection strategies will result in minimized bad debt losses and operating expenses. We believe this on-going evaluation differs from the approach taken by the vast majority of credit grantors that implement collection strategies based on commonly accepted peer group practices.

We discontinue charging interest and fees for most of our credit products when loans and fees receivable become contractually 90 or more days past due (and in certain circumstances where it is necessary in order to avoid so-called “negative amortization”), and we charge off loans and fees receivable when they become contractually more than 180 days past due (or within 30 days of notification and confirmation of a customer’s bankruptcy or death). However, if a customer makes a payment greater than or equal to two minimum payments within a month of the charge-off date, we may reconsider whether charge-off status remains appropriate. Additionally, in some cases of death, receivables are not charged off if, with respect to the deceased customer’s account, there is a surviving, contractually liable individual or an estate large enough to pay the debt in full.

Our determination of whether an account is contractually past due is relevant to our delinquency and charge-off data included under the “Credit Cards and Other Investments Segment” caption within Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.” Various factors are relevant in analyzing whether an account is contractually past due (i.e., whether an account has not satisfied its minimum payment due requirement), which for us is the trigger for moving receivables through our various delinquency buckets and ultimately to charge-off status. We consider a cardholder’s receivable to be delinquent if the cardholder fails to pay a minimum amount computed as the greater of a stated minimum payment or a fixed percentage of his or her statement balance (for example 3% to 10% of the outstanding balance in some cases or in other cases 1% of the outstanding balance plus any finance charges and late fees billed in the current cycle).

Additionally, in an effort to increase the value of our account relationships, we re-age customer accounts that meet applicable regulatory qualifications for re-aging. It is our policy to work cooperatively with customers demonstrating a willingness and ability to repay their indebtedness and who satisfy other criteria, but are unable to pay the entire past due amount. Generally, to qualify for re-aging, an account must have been opened for at least nine months and may not be re-aged more than once in a twelve-month period or twice in a five-year period. In addition, an account on a workout program may qualify for one additional re-age in a five-year period. The customer also must have made three consecutive minimum monthly payments or the equivalent cumulative amount in the last three billing cycles. If a re-aged account subsequently experiences payment defaults, it will again become contractually delinquent and will be charged off according to our regular charge-off policy. The practice of re-aging an account may affect delinquencies and charge offs, potentially delaying or reducing such delinquencies and charge offs.

Auto Finance Segment. Accounts that CAR purchases from approved dealers initially are collected by the originating branch or service center location using a combination of traditional collection techniques. Auto Finance segment accounts that have been loaded into our data processing system are centrally serviced to leverage auto dialer processing for early stage collections. The collection process includes contacting the customer by phone or mail, skip tracing and using starter interrupt devices to minimize delinquencies. Uncollectible accounts in our CAR operation generally are returned to the dealer under an agreement with the dealer to charge the balance on the account against the dealer's reserve account. We generally do not repossess autos in our CAR operation as a result of the agreements that we have with the dealers.

Table of Contents

Consumer and Debtor Protection Laws and Regulations

Credit Cards and Other Investments Segment. Our U.S. business is regulated directly and indirectly under various federal and state consumer protection, collection and other laws, rules and regulations, including the federal Credit Card Accountability Responsibility and Disclosure Act of 2009 (the “CARD Act”), the federal Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, the federal Truth In Lending Act (“TILA”), the federal Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the federal Fair Credit Reporting Act, the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the federal Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and the federal Telemarketing and Consumer Fraud and Abuse Prevention Act. These statutes and their enabling regulations, among other things, impose disclosure requirements when a consumer credit loan is advertised, when the account is opened and when monthly billing statements are sent. In addition, various statutes limit the liability of credit cardholders for unauthorized use, prohibit discriminatory practices in extending credit, impose limitations on the types of charges that may be assessed and restrict the use of consumer credit reports and other account-related information. Many of our products are designed for customers at the lower end of the FICO scoring range. To offset the higher loss rates among these customers, these products generally are priced higher than our other products. Because of the greater credit risks inherent in these customers and the higher prices that we have had to charge for these products, they, and the banks that have issued them on our behalf, are subject to significant regulatory scrutiny. If regulators, including the FDIC (which regulates the lenders that have issued these products on our behalf), the CFPB and the FTC, object to these products or how we have marketed them, then we could be required to modify or discontinue them. Over the past several years, we have modified both our products and how we have marketed them in response to comments from regulators. Also, in December 2008, we settled litigation associated with allegations that the FDIC and FTC had made about some of our credit card marketing practices.

In the U.K., our credit card operations are subject to U.K. regulations that provide similar consumer protections to those provided under the U.S. regulatory framework. We are licensed and regulated by the Office of Fair Trading (“OFT”), and we are governed by an extensive legislative and regulatory framework that includes the Consumer Credit Act, the Data Protection Act, Privacy and Electronic Communications Regulations, Consumer Protection and Unfair Trading regulations, Financial Services (Distance Marketing) Regulations, the Enterprise Act, Money Laundering Regulations, Financial Ombudsman Service and Advertising Standards Authority adjudications. The aforementioned legislation and regulations impose strict rules on the look and content of consumer contracts, how APRs are calculated and stated, advertising in all forms, who we can contact and disclosures to consumers, among others. The regulators such as the OFT provide guidance on consumer credit practices including collections.

Auto Finance Segment. This segment is regulated directly and indirectly under various federal and state consumer protection and other laws, rules and regulations, including the federal TILA, the federal Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the federal Fair Credit Reporting Act, the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the federal Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and the federal Telemarketing and Consumer Fraud and Abuse Prevention Act. These statutes and their enabling regulations, among other things, impose disclosure requirements. In addition, various state statutes limit the interest rates and fees that may be charged, limit the types of interest computations (e.g., interest bearing or pre-computed) and refunding processes that are permitted, prohibit discriminatory practices in extending credit, impose limitations on fees and other ancillary products and restrict the use of consumer credit reports and other account-related information. Many of the states in which this segment operates have various licensing requirements and impose certain financial or other conditions in connection with these licensing requirements.

Privacy and Data Security Laws and Regulations. We are required to manage, use, and store large amounts of personally identifiable information, principally customers’ confidential personal and financial data, in the course of our business. We depend on our IT networks and systems, and those of third parties, to process, store, and transmit that information. In the past, consumer finance companies have been targeted for sophisticated cyber attacks. A security breach involving our files and infrastructure could lead to unauthorized disclosure of confidential information. We take numerous measures to ensure the security of our hardware and software systems as well as customer information.

We are subject to various U.S. federal and state laws and regulations designed to protect confidential personal and financial data. For example, we must comply with guidelines under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act that require each financial institution to develop, implement and maintain a written, comprehensive information security program containing safeguards that are appropriate to the financial institution's size and complexity, the nature and scope of the financial institution's activities and the sensitivity of any customer information at issue. Additionally, various federal banking regulatory agencies, and as many as 46 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands, have enacted data breach regulations and laws requiring customer notification in the event of a security breach.

Competition

Credit Cards and Other Investments Segment. We face substantial competition from other consumer lenders, the intensity of which varies depending upon economic and liquidity cycles. Our credit card and private label merchant credit businesses compete with national, regional and local bankcard and consumer credit issuers, other general-purpose credit card issuers and retail credit card and merchant credit issuers. Many of these competitors are substantially larger than we are, have significantly greater financial resources than we do and have significantly lower costs of funds than we have.

Auto Finance Segment. Competition within the auto finance sector is very widespread and fragmented. Our auto finance operations target automobile dealers that oftentimes are not capable of accessing indirect lending from major financial institutions or captive finance companies. We compete mainly with a handful of national and regional companies focused on this credit segment (e.g., Credit Acceptance Corporation, Westlake Financial, Mid-Atlantic Finance, General Motors Financial Company, Inc. (formerly AmeriCredit Corp.), Drive Financial, Western Funding Inc., and America's Car-Mart) and a large number of smaller, regional private companies with a narrow geographic focus. Individual dealers with access to capital may also compete in this segment through the purchase of receivables from peer dealers in their markets.

Table of Contents

Employees

As of December 31, 2012, we had 285 employees, most of which are employed within the U.S., principally in Florida and Georgia. Also included in this employee count are 47 employees in the U.K. We consider our relations with our employees to be good. Our employees are not covered by a collective-bargaining agreement, and we have never experienced any organized work stoppage, strike or labor dispute.

Trademarks, Trade Names and Service Marks

We have registered and continue to register, when appropriate, various trademarks, trade names and service marks used in connection with our businesses and for private-label marketing of certain of our products. We consider these trademarks and service marks to be readily identifiable with, and valuable to, our business. This Annual Report on Form 10-K also contains trade names and trademarks of other companies that are the property of their respective owners.

Additional Information

We are headquartered in Atlanta, Georgia, and our principal executive offices are located at Five Concourse Parkway, Suite 400, Atlanta, Georgia 30328. Our headquarters telephone number is (770) 828-2000, and our Internet address is www.atlanticus.com. We make available free of charge on our Internet website certain of our recent SEC filings, including our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements and amendments to those filings as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC.

Certain corporate governance materials, including our Board of Directors committee charters and our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, are posted on our website under the heading "For Investors." From time to time, the corporate governance materials on our website may be updated as necessary to comply with rules issued by the SEC or NASDAQ, or as desirable to further the continued effective and efficient governance of our company.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A.

RISK FACTORS

An investment in our common stock or other securities involves a number of risks. You should carefully consider each of the risks described below before deciding to invest in our common stock. If any of the following risks develops into actual events, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be negatively affected, the market price of our common stock or other securities could decline and you may lose all or part of your investment.

Investors should be particularly cautious regarding investments in our common stock or other securities at the present time in light of the current net contraction of our receivables levels, uncertainties as to our business model going forward and our inability to achieve consistent earnings from our continuing operations in recent years.

Our Cash Flows and Net Income Are Dependent Upon Payments from Our Loans and Fees Receivable and Other Credit Products

The collectability of our loans and fees receivable is a function of many factors including the criteria used to select who is issued credit, the pricing of the credit products, the lengths of the relationships, general economic conditions, the rate at which customers repay their accounts or become delinquent, and the rate at which customers borrow funds from us. Deterioration in these factors, which we have experienced over the past few years, adversely impacts our business. In addition, to the extent we have over-estimated collectability, in all likelihood we have over-estimated our financial performance. Some of these concerns are discussed more fully below.

Our portfolio of receivables is not diversified and originates from customers whose creditworthiness is considered sub-prime. Historically, we have obtained receivables in one of two ways—we have either solicited for the origination of the receivables or purchased pools of receivables from other issuers. In either case, substantially all of our receivables are from financially underserved borrowers—borrowers represented by credit risks that regulators classify as “sub-prime.” Our reliance on sub-prime receivables has negatively impacted and may in the future negatively impact, our performance. Our various past and current losses might have been mitigated had our portfolios consisted of higher-grade receivables in addition to our sub-prime receivables. We have no immediate plans to issue or acquire significantly higher-grade receivables.

We may not successfully evaluate the creditworthiness of our customers and may not price our credit products so as to remain profitable. The creditworthiness of our target market generally is considered “sub-prime” based on guidance issued by the agencies that regulate the banking industry. Thus, our customers generally have a higher frequency of delinquencies, higher risks of nonpayment and, ultimately, higher credit losses than consumers who are served by more traditional providers of consumer credit. Some of the consumers included in our target market are consumers who are dependent upon finance companies, consumers with only retail store credit cards and/or lacking general purpose credit cards, consumers who are establishing or expanding their credit, and consumers who may have had a delinquency, a default or, in some instances, a bankruptcy in their credit histories, but who, in our view, have demonstrated recovery. We price our credit products taking into account the perceived risk level of our customers. If our estimates are incorrect, customer default rates will be higher, we will receive less cash from the receivables and the value of our loans and fees receivable will decline, all of which will have a negative impact on performance. While they have begun to rebound modestly, payment rates by our customers declined significantly in 2008 and 2009 and, correspondingly, default rates likewise increased throughout that time period. It also is unclear whether our modestly improved payment rates can be sustained given weakness in the employment outlook and economic environment at large.

Economic slowdowns increase our credit losses. During periods of economic slowdown or recession, we experience an increase in rates of delinquencies and frequency and severity of credit losses. Our actual rates of delinquencies and frequency and severity of credit losses may be comparatively higher during periods of economic slowdown or

recession than those experienced by more traditional providers of consumer credit because of our focus on the financially underserved consumer market, which may be disproportionately impacted.

We are subject to foreign economic and exchange risks. Because of our businesses in the U.K., we have exposure to fluctuations in the U.K. economy, and such fluctuations recently have been significantly negative. We also have exposure to fluctuations in the relative values of the U.S. dollar and the British pound. Because the British pound has experienced a net decline in value relative to the U.S. dollar since we commenced our most significant operations in the U.K., we have experienced significant transaction and translation losses within our financial statements.

Because a significant portion of our reported income is based on management's estimates of the future performance of our loans and fees receivable, differences between actual and expected performance of the receivables may cause fluctuations in net income. Significant portions of our reported income (or losses) are based on management's estimates of cash flows we expect to receive on our loans and fees receivable, particularly for such assets that we report based on fair value. The expected cash flows are based on management's estimates of interest rates, default rates, payment rates, cardholder purchases, servicing costs, and discount rates. These estimates are based on a variety of factors, many of which are not within our control. Substantial differences between actual and expected performance of the receivables will occur and cause fluctuations in our net income. For instance, higher than expected rates of delinquencies and losses could cause our net income to be lower than expected. Similarly, as we have experienced for our credit card receivables portfolios with respect to financing agreements secured by our loans and fees receivable, levels of loss and delinquency can result in our being required to repay our lenders earlier than expected, thereby reducing funds available to us for future growth. Because all of our credit card receivables structured financing facilities are now in amortization status—which for us generally means that the only meaningful cash flows that we are receiving with respect to the credit card receivables that are encumbered by such structured financing facilities are those associated with our contractually specified fee for servicing the receivables—recent payment and default trends have substantially reduced the cash flows that we receive from these receivables.

Table of Contents

Due to our relative lack of historical experience with Internet customers, we may not be able to target successfully these customers or evaluate their creditworthiness. We have less historical experience with respect to the credit risk and performance of customers acquired over the Internet. As a result, we may not be able to target and evaluate successfully the creditworthiness of these potential customers should we engage in marketing efforts to acquire these customers. Therefore, we may encounter difficulties managing the expected delinquencies and losses and appropriately pricing our products.

We Are Substantially Dependent Upon Borrowed Funds to Fund the Receivables We Originate or Purchase

We finance our receivables in large part through financing facilities. All of our financing facilities are of finite duration (and ultimately will need to be extended or replaced) and contain financial covenants and other conditions that must be fulfilled in order for funding to be available. Moreover, most of these facilities currently are in amortization stages (and are not allowing for the funding of any new loans), either based on their original terms or because we have not met financial or asset performance-related covenants. The cost and availability of equity and borrowed funds is dependent upon our financial performance, the performance of our industry generally and general economic and market conditions, and at times equity and borrowed funds have been both expensive and difficult to obtain.

Beginning in 2007, largely as a result of difficulties in the sub-prime mortgage market, new financing generally has been sparse for sub-prime lenders, and the financing that has been available has been on significantly less favorable terms than prior to 2008. As a result, beginning in the third quarter of 2007, we significantly curtailed our marketing for new credit cards and currently are not issuing a significant number of new cards. Moreover, commencing in October 2008 we reduced credit lines and closed a significant number of accounts in response to the unavailability of financing and to reduce our risk exposure. These activities continued into 2009, and as a result, substantially all of our credit cards are now closed to cardholder purchases. If additional financing facilities are not available in the future on terms we consider acceptable—an issue that has been made even more acute in the U.S. given recent regulatory changes that have reduced asset-level returns on credit card lending—we will not be able to grow our credit card business and it will continue to contract in size.

Our Financial Performance Is, in Part, a Function of the Aggregate Amount of Receivables That Are Outstanding

The aggregate amount of outstanding receivables is a function of many factors including purchase rates, payment rates, interest rates, seasonality, general economic conditions, competition from other credit card issuers and other sources of consumer financing, access to funding, and the timing, extent and success of our marketing efforts.

Our credit card business currently is contracting. Growth is a product of a combination of factors, many of which are not in our control. Factors include:

- the level and success of our marketing efforts;
- the degree to which we lose business to competitors;
- the level of usage of our credit products by our customers;
- the availability of portfolios for purchase on attractive terms;
- levels of delinquencies and charge offs;
- the availability of funding on favorable terms;

- the level of costs of soliciting new customers;
- our ability to employ and train new personnel;

our ability to maintain adequate management systems, collection procedures, internal controls and automated systems; and

- general economic and other factors beyond our control.

We have substantially eliminated our U.S. credit card marketing efforts and have aggressively reduced credit lines and closed credit card accounts. In addition, the general economic downturn experienced in 2008 and 2009 significantly impacted not just the level of usage of our credit products by our customers but also levels of payments and delinquencies and other performance metrics. As a result, our credit card business currently is contracting, and until market conditions more substantially reverse, we do not expect overall net growth in our Credit Card and Other Investments or our Auto Finance segments.

Table of Contents

We Operate in a Heavily Regulated Industry

Changes in bankruptcy, privacy or other consumer protection laws, or to the prevailing interpretation thereof, may expose us to litigation, adversely affect our ability to collect our loans and fees receivable, or otherwise adversely affect our operations. Similarly, regulatory changes could adversely affect our ability or willingness to market credit cards and other products and services to our customers. The accounting rules that govern our business are exceedingly complex, difficult to apply and in a state of flux. As a result, how we value our receivables and otherwise account for our business is subject to change depending upon the changes in, and, interpretation of, those rules. Some of these issues are discussed more fully below.

Reviews and enforcement actions by regulatory authorities under banking and consumer protection laws and regulations may result in changes to our business practices, may make collection of account balances more difficult or may expose us to the risk of fines, restitution and litigation. Our operations and the operations of the issuing banks through which we originate some of our credit products are subject to the jurisdiction of federal, state and local government authorities, including the CFPB, the SEC, the FDIC, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the FTC, U.K. banking authorities, state regulators having jurisdiction over financial institutions and debt origination and collection and state attorneys general. Our business practices, including the terms of our products and our marketing, servicing and collection practices, are subject to both periodic and special reviews by these regulatory and enforcement authorities. These reviews can range from investigations of specific consumer complaints or concerns to broader inquiries into our practices generally. If as part of these reviews the regulatory authorities conclude that we are not complying with applicable law, they could request or impose a wide range of remedies including requiring changes in advertising and collection practices, changes in the terms of our products (such as decreases in interest rates or fees), the imposition of fines or penalties, or the paying of restitution or the taking of other remedial action with respect to affected customers. They also could require us to stop offering some of our products, either nationally or in selected states. To the extent that these remedies are imposed on the issuing banks through which we originate credit products, under certain circumstances we are responsible for the remedies as a result of our indemnification obligations with those banks. We also may elect to change practices or products that we believe are compliant with law in order to respond to regulatory concerns. Furthermore, negative publicity relating to any specific inquiry or investigation could hurt our ability to conduct business with various industry participants or to attract new accounts and could negatively affect our stock price, which would adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital and would raise our costs of doing business.

If any deficiencies or violations of law or regulations are identified by us or asserted by any regulator, or if the CFPB, the FDIC, the FTC or any other regulator requires us to change any of our practices, the correction of such deficiencies or violations, or the making of such changes, could have a materially adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or business. In addition, whether or not we modify our practices when a regulatory or enforcement authority requests or requires that we do so, there is a risk that we or other industry participants may be named as defendants in litigation involving alleged violations of federal and state laws and regulations, including consumer protection laws. Any failure to comply with legal requirements by us or the issuing banks through which we originate credit products in connection with the issuance of those products, or by us or our agents as the servicer of our accounts, could significantly impair our ability to collect the full amount of the account balances. The institution of any litigation of this nature, or any judgment against us or any other industry participant in any litigation of this nature, could adversely affect our business and financial condition in a variety of ways.

We are dependent upon banks to issue credit cards and certain other credit products. Our credit card and some of our other credit product programs are dependent on our issuing bank relationships, and their regulators could at any time limit their ability to issue some or all products on our behalf, or that we service on their behalf, or to modify those products significantly. Any significant interruption of those relationships would result in our being unable to originate new receivables and other credit products. It is possible that a regulatory position or action taken with respect to any of the issuing banks through which we have originated credit products or for whom we service receivables might

result in the bank's inability or unwillingness to originate future credit products on our behalf or in partnership with us. In the current state, such a disruption of our issuing bank relationships principally would adversely affect our ability to conduct credit card issuances in the U.K, and to grow our private label merchant credit product offerings and underlying receivables.

Table of Contents

Changes to consumer protection laws or changes in their interpretation may impede collection efforts or otherwise adversely impact our business practices. Federal and state consumer protection laws regulate the creation and enforcement of consumer credit card receivables and other loans. Many of these laws (and the related regulations) are focused on sub-prime lenders and are intended to prohibit or curtail industry-standard practices as well as non-standard practices. For instance, Congress enacted legislation that regulates loans to military personnel through imposing interest rate and other limitations and requiring new disclosures, all as regulated by the Department of Defense. Similarly, in 2009 Congress enacted legislation that required changes to a variety of marketing, billing and collection practices, and the Federal Reserve recently adopted significant changes to a number of practices through its issuance of regulations. While our practices are in compliance with these changes, some of the changes (e.g., limitations on the ability to assess up-front fees) have significantly affected the viability of certain of our prior (in particular our lower-tier) product offerings within the U.S. Changes in the consumer protection laws could result in the following:

• receivables not originated in compliance with law (or revised interpretations) could become unenforceable and uncollectible under their terms against the obligors;

- we may be required to credit or refund previously collected amounts;

• certain fees and finance charges could be limited, prohibited or restricted, which would reduce the profitability of certain accounts;

• certain of our collection methods could be prohibited, forcing us to revise our practices or adopt more costly or less effective practices;

• limitations on the content of marketing materials could be imposed that would result in reduced success for our marketing efforts;

• federal and state laws may limit our ability to recover on charged-off receivables regardless of any act or omission on our part;

- some of our products and services could be banned in certain states or at the federal level;

• federal or state bankruptcy or debtor relief laws could offer additional protections to customers seeking bankruptcy protection, providing a court greater leeway to reduce or discharge amounts owed to us; and

- a reduction in our ability or willingness to lend to certain individuals, such as military personnel.

Material regulatory developments are likely to adversely impact our business and results from operations.

Our Automobile Lending Activities Involve Risks in Addition to Others Described Herein

Automobile lending exposes us not only to most of the risks described above but also to additional risks, including the regulatory scheme that governs installment loans and those attendant to relying upon automobiles and their repossession and liquidation value as collateral. In addition, our most significant active Auto Finance segment business acquires loans on a wholesale basis from used car dealers, for which we rely upon the legal compliance and credit determinations by those dealers.

Declines in automobile sales as we saw in recent years can cause declines in the overall demand for automobile loans. While currently recovering fairly significantly, sales of both new and used cars declined precipitously in recent years. While the unavailability of funding may have had a greater impact on our business, the decline in demand in

recent years was consequential as well because it adversely affected the volume of our lending transactions and our recoveries of repossessed vehicles at auction. Any such future declines in demand will adversely impact our business.

Table of Contents

Funding for automobile lending is difficult to obtain and expensive. In large part due to market concerns regarding sub-prime lending, it is difficult to find lenders willing to fund our automobile lending activities. Our inability to obtain debt facilities with desirable terms (e.g., interest rates and advance rates) and the other capital necessary to fund growth within our Auto Finance segment will cause periods (like our current period) of liquidations in our Auto Finance segment receivables and reductions in profitability and returns on equity. Although we did not experience any such adverse effects when our CAR facility began its required amortization period in June 2011 and was repaid in July 2011 (and although any concerns of such adverse effects are now abated given the new lending facility CAR obtained in October 2011), in the event we may not be able to renew or replace any future Auto Finance segment facilities that bear refunding or refinancing risks when they become due, our Auto Finance segment could experience significant liquidity constraints and diminution in reported asset values as lenders retain significant cash flows within underlying structured financings or otherwise under security arrangements for repayment of their loans. If we cannot renew or replace future facilities or otherwise are unduly constrained from a liquidity perspective, we may choose to sell part or all of our auto loan portfolios, possibly at less than favorable prices.

Our automobile lending business is dependent upon referrals from dealers. Currently we provide substantially all of our automobile loans only to or through used car dealers. Providers of automobile financing have traditionally competed based on the interest rate charged, the quality of credit accepted and the flexibility of loan terms offered. In order to be successful, we not only will need to be competitive in these areas, but also will need to establish and maintain good relations with dealers and provide them with a level of service greater than what they can obtain from our competitors.

The financial performance of our automobile loan portfolio is in part dependent upon the liquidation of repossessed automobiles. In the event of certain defaults, we may repossess automobiles and sell repossessed automobiles at wholesale auction markets located throughout the U.S. Auction proceeds from these types of sales and other recoveries rarely are sufficient to cover the outstanding balances of the contracts; where we experience these shortfalls, we will experience credit losses. Decreased auction proceeds resulting from depressed prices at which used automobiles may be sold in periods of economic slowdown or recession have resulted in higher credit losses for us. Additionally, higher gasoline prices (like those experienced during 2008) tend to decrease the auction value of certain types of vehicles, such as SUVs.

Repossession of automobiles entails the risk of litigation and other claims. Although we have contracted with reputable repossession firms to repossess automobiles on defaulted loans, it is not uncommon for consumers to assert that we were not entitled to repossess an automobile or that the repossession was not conducted in accordance with applicable law. These claims increase the cost of our collection efforts and, if correct, can result in awards against us.

We Routinely Explore Various Opportunities to Grow Our Business, to Make Investments and to Purchase and Sell Assets

We routinely consider acquisitions of, or investments in, portfolios and other assets as well as the sale of portfolios and portions of our business. There are a number of risks attendant to any acquisition, including the possibility that we will overvalue the assets to be purchased and that we will not be able to produce the expected level of profitability from the acquired business or assets. Similarly, there are a number of risks attendant to sales, including the possibility that we will undervalue the assets to be sold. As a result, the impact of any acquisition or sale on our future performance may not be as favorable as expected and actually may be adverse.

Portfolio purchases may cause fluctuations in our reported Credit Card and Other Investments segment's managed receivables data, which may reduce the usefulness of this data in evaluating our business. Our reported Credit Card and Other Investments segment managed receivables data may fluctuate substantially from quarter to quarter as a result of recent and future credit card portfolio acquisitions. As of December 31, 2012, credit card portfolio acquisitions accounted for 38.8% of our total Credit Card and Other Investments segment managed receivables

portfolio based on our ownership percentages.

Receivables included in purchased portfolios are likely to have been originated using credit criteria different from the criteria of issuing bank partners that have originated accounts on our behalf. Receivables included in any particular purchased portfolio may have significantly different delinquency rates and charge-off rates than the receivables previously originated and purchased by us. These receivables also may earn different interest rates and fees as compared to other similar receivables in our receivables portfolio. These variables could cause our reported managed receivables data to fluctuate substantially in future periods making the evaluation of our business more difficult.

Any acquisition or investment that we make will involve risks different from and in addition to the risks to which our business is currently exposed. These include the risks that we will not be able to integrate and operate successfully new businesses, that we will have to incur substantial indebtedness and increase our leverage in order to pay for the acquisitions, that we will be exposed to, and have to comply with, different regulatory regimes and that we will not be able to apply our traditional analytical framework (which is what we expect to be able to do) in a successful and value-enhancing manner.

Table of Contents

Other Risks of Our Business

We are a holding company with no operations of our own. As a result, our cash flow and ability to service our debt is dependent upon distributions from our subsidiaries. Our ability to service our debt is dependent upon the cash flows and operating earnings of our subsidiaries. The distribution of subsidiary earnings, or advances or other distributions of funds by subsidiaries to us, all of which are subject to statutory and could be subject to contractual restrictions, are contingent upon the subsidiaries' cash flows and earnings and are subject to various business and debt covenant considerations.

Unless we obtain a bank charter, we cannot issue credit cards other than through agreements with banks. Because we do not have a bank charter, we currently cannot issue credit cards other than through agreements with banks. Unless we obtain a bank or credit card bank charter, we will continue to rely upon banking relationships to provide for the issuance of credit cards to our customers. Even if we obtain a bank charter, there may be restrictions on the types of credit that the bank may extend. Our various issuing bank agreements have scheduled expirations dates. If we are unable to extend or execute new agreements with our issuing banks at the expirations of our current agreements with them, or if our existing or new agreements with our issuing banks were terminated or otherwise disrupted, there is a risk that we would not be able to enter into agreements with an alternate provider on terms that we consider favorable or in a timely manner without disruption of our business.

We are party to litigation. We are defendants in certain legal proceedings. This includes litigation relating to our former retail micro-loan operations and other litigation customary for a business of our nature. In each case we believe that we have meritorious defenses or that the positions we are asserting otherwise are correct. However, adverse outcomes are possible in these matters, and we could decide to settle one or more of our litigation matters in order to avoid the ongoing cost of litigation or to obtain certainty of outcome. Adverse outcomes or settlements of these matters could require us to pay damages, make restitution, change our business practices or take other actions at a level, or in a manner, that would adversely impact our business.

We face heightened levels of economic risk associated with new investment activities. We recently have made a number of investments in businesses that are not directly allied to our traditional lending activities to, or associated with, the underserved consumer credit market. In addition, some of these investments that we have made and may make in the future are or will be in debt or equity securities of businesses over which we exert little or no control, which likely exposes us to greater risks of loss than investments in activities and operations that we control. While we make only those investments we believe have the potential to provide a favorable return, because some of the investments are outside of our core areas of expertise, they entail risks beyond those described elsewhere in this Report. As occurred with respect to certain such investments in 2012 and 2011 as noted above, these risks could result in the loss of part or all of our investments.

Because we outsource account-processing functions that are integral to our business, any disruption or termination of that outsourcing relationship could harm our business. We generally outsource account and payment processing, and in 2012, we paid Total System Services, Inc. \$7.8 million for these services. If these agreements were not renewed or were terminated or the services provided to us were otherwise disrupted, we would have to obtain these services from an alternative provider. There is a risk that we would not be able to enter into a similar agreement with an alternate provider on terms that we consider favorable or in a timely manner without disruption of our business.

Unauthorized disclosure of sensitive or confidential customer data could expose us to protracted and costly litigation, and civil and criminal penalties. To conduct our business, we are required to manage, use, and store large amounts of personally identifiable information, consisting primarily of confidential personal and financial data regarding our customers. We also depend on our IT networks and systems, and those of third parties, to process, store, and transmit this information. As a result, we are subject to numerous U.S. federal and state laws designed to protect this information. Security breaches involving our files and infrastructure could lead to unauthorized disclosure of

confidential information.

We take a number of measures to ensure the security of our hardware and software systems and customer information. Advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries in the field of cryptography or other developments may result in the technology used by us to protect data being breached or compromised. In the past, consumer finance companies have been the subject of sophisticated and highly targeted attacks on their information technology. An increasing number of websites have reported breaches of their security.

Table of Contents

If any person, including our employees or those of third-party vendors, negligently disregards or intentionally breaches our established controls with respect to such data or otherwise mismanages or misappropriates that data, we could be subject to costly litigation, monetary damages, fines, and/or criminal prosecution. Any unauthorized disclosure of personally identifiable information could subject us to liability under data privacy laws. Further, under credit card rules and our contracts with our card processors, if there is a breach of credit card information that we store, we could be liable to the credit card issuing banks for their cost of issuing new cards and related expenses. In addition, if we fail to follow credit card industry security standards, even if there is no compromise of customer information, we could incur significant fines.

Internet and data security breaches also could impede us from originating loans over the Internet, cause us to lose customers or otherwise damage our reputation or business. Consumers generally are concerned with security and privacy, particularly on the Internet. As part of our growth strategy, we have originated loans over the Internet. The secure transmission of confidential information over the Internet is essential to maintaining customer confidence in our products and services offered online.

Advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries or other developments could result in a compromise or breach of the technology used by us to protect customer application and transaction data transmitted over the Internet. In addition to the potential for litigation and civil penalties described above, security breaches could damage our reputation and cause customers to become unwilling to do business with us, particularly over the Internet. Any publicized security problems could inhibit the growth of the Internet as a means of conducting commercial transactions. Our ability to solicit new loans over the Internet would be severely impeded if consumers become unwilling to transmit confidential information online.

Also, a party that is able to circumvent our security measures could misappropriate proprietary information, cause interruption in our operations, damage our computers or those of our users, or otherwise damage our reputation and business.

Regulation in the areas of privacy and data security could increase our costs. We are subject to various regulations related to privacy and data security/breach, and we could be negatively impacted by these regulations. For example, we are subject to the safeguards guidelines under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. The safeguards guidelines require that each financial institution develop, implement and maintain a written, comprehensive information security program containing safeguards that are appropriate to the financial institution's size and complexity, the nature and scope of the financial institution's activities and the sensitivity of any customer information at issue. Broad-ranging data security laws that affect our business also have been adopted by various states. Compliance with these laws regarding the protection of customer and employee data could result in higher compliance and technology costs for us, as well as potentially significant fines and penalties for non-compliance.

In addition to the foregoing enhanced data security requirements, various federal banking regulatory agencies, and as many as 46 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands, have enacted data breach regulations and laws requiring varying levels of customer notification in the event of a security breach.

Also, federal legislators and regulators are increasingly pursuing new guidelines, laws and regulations that, if adopted, could further restrict how we collect, use, share and secure customer information, which could impact some of our current or planned business initiatives.

Unplanned system interruptions or system failures could harm our business and reputation. Any interruption in the availability of our transactional processing services due to hardware and operating system failures will reduce our revenues and profits. Any unscheduled interruption in our services results in an immediate, and possibly substantial, loss of revenues. Frequent or persistent interruptions in our services could cause current or potential members to believe that our systems are unreliable, leading them to switch to our competitors or to avoid our websites or services,

and could permanently harm our reputation.

Although our systems have been designed around industry-standard architectures to reduce downtime in the event of outages or catastrophic occurrences, they remain vulnerable to damage or interruption from earthquakes, floods, fires, power loss, telecommunication failures, computer viruses, computer denial-of-service attacks, and similar events or disruptions. Some of our systems are not fully redundant, and our disaster recovery planning may not be sufficient for all eventualities. Our systems also are subject to break-ins, sabotage, and intentional acts of vandalism. Despite any precautions we may take, the occurrence of a natural disaster, a decision by any of our third-party hosting providers to close a facility we use without adequate notice for financial or other reasons, or other unanticipated problems at our hosting facilities could cause system interruptions, delays, and loss of critical data, and result in lengthy interruptions in our services. Our business interruption insurance may not be sufficient to compensate us for losses that may result from interruptions in our service as a result of system failures.

Table of Contents

Because of our loan to a small surface coal mining operation (which, due to loan agreement modifications, we were required to consolidate into our financial statements in 2011, but which has since ceased mining operations), we could be subject to (i) significant administrative, civil, and criminal financial and other penalties if this operation does not comply with environmental, health and safety regulations and (ii) liability to third parties for environmental contamination. The coal mining industry is subject to strict regulation by federal, state and local authorities with respect to matters such as employee health and safety, permitting and licensing requirements, the protection of the environment, the protection of historic and natural resources, plants and wildlife, reclamation and restoration of mining properties after mining is completed, and the effects that mining has on groundwater quality and availability. Federal and state authorities inspect coal mines, and in the aftermath of the April 5, 2010 accident at an underground mine in Central Appalachia, mining operations have experienced, and may in the future continue to experience, a significant increase in the frequency and scope of these inspections. Numerous governmental permits and approvals are required for mining operations. Mining operations are required to prepare and present to federal, state and/or local authorities data pertaining to the effect or impact that any proposed exploration for or production of coal may have upon the environment.

The costs, liabilities and requirements associated with the laws and regulations related to these and other environmental matters may be costly and time-consuming. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the assessment of administrative, civil, and criminal financial and other penalties, the imposition of cleanup and site restoration costs and liens and other enforcement measures.

We also could be subject to claims by third parties under federal and state statutes and/or common law doctrines resulting from damage to the environment or historic or natural resources or exposure to hazardous substances on the mine property or elsewhere. Liability for environmental contamination may be without regard to fault and may be strict, joint and several, so that we may be held responsible for the entire amount of the contamination or related damages. These and other similar unforeseen impacts that the former mining operation may have on the environment, as well as exposures to hazardous substances or wastes associated with the former mining operation, could result in costs and liabilities that could adversely affect us.

Even though this former coal mining operation ceased mining operations as of December 31, 2012 and has always been owned and primarily operated by third parties, our financial relationship with this former coal mining operation could subject us to these types of claims and penalties, particularly if these matters were not properly addressed by the owners and operators of the operation. If we are held responsible for sanctions, costs and liabilities in respect of these matters, our profitability could be materially and adversely affected.

Taxing authorities routinely review our tax returns and could challenge the positions that we have taken. Our businesses and the tax accounting for our businesses are very complex, thereby giving rise to a number of tax positions that are under consideration, and in some cases under dispute, in audits of our operations by various taxing authorities, including the Internal Revenue Service at the federal level with respect to net operating losses that we incurred in 2007 and 2008 and that we carried back to obtain tentative refunds of federal taxes paid in earlier years dating back to 2003. It is possible that a court of ultimate jurisdiction may resolve tax positions in favor of the Internal Revenue Service or that we may ultimately settle with the Internal Revenue Service on one or more uncertain tax positions in a manner that differs from the liabilities that we have recorded associated with such positions under our recognition and measurement determinations. The amounts involved in these audits, particularly the amounts of net operating losses that we carried back, are material. To the extent that our ultimate resolution results in more liability than we have recorded, we could experience a material adverse effect on our results of operations and liquidity.

Climate change and related regulatory responses may impact our business. Climate change as a result of emissions of greenhouse gases is a significant topic of discussion and may generate federal and other regulatory responses in the near future, including the imposition of a so-called “cap and trade” system. It is impracticable to predict with any

certainty the impact on our business of climate change or the regulatory responses to it, although we recognize that they could be significant. The most direct impact is likely to be an increase in energy costs, which would adversely impact consumers and their ability to incur and repay indebtedness. However, it is too soon for us to predict with any certainty the ultimate impact, either directionally or quantitatively, of climate change and related regulatory responses.

Risks Relating to an Investment in Our Common Stock

The price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly, and this may make it difficult for you to resell your shares of our common stock when you want or at prices you find attractive. The price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Market constantly changes. We expect that the market price of our common stock will continue to fluctuate. The market price of our common stock may fluctuate in response to numerous factors, many of which are beyond our control. These factors include the following:

- actual or anticipated fluctuations in our operating results;
- changes in expectations as to our future financial performance, including financial estimates by securities analysts and investors;
- the overall financing environment, which is critical to our value;
- the operating and stock performance of our competitors and other sub-prime lenders;
- announcements by us or our competitors of new products or services or significant contracts, acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments;
- changes in interest rates;
- the announcement of enforcement actions or investigations against us or our competitors or other negative publicity relating to us or our industry;
- changes in accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”), laws, regulations or the interpretations thereof that affect our various business activities and segments;
- general domestic or international economic, market and political conditions;
- additions or departures of key personnel; and
- future sales of our common stock and the transfer or cancellation of shares of common stock pursuant to the share lending agreement.

Table of Contents

In addition, the stock markets from time to time experience extreme price and volume fluctuations that may be unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of companies. These broad fluctuations may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock, regardless of our actual operating performance.

Future sales of our common stock or equity-related securities in the public market, including sales of our common stock pursuant to share lending agreements or short sale transactions by purchasers of convertible notes, could adversely affect the trading price of our common stock and our ability to raise funds in new stock offerings. Sales of significant amounts of our common stock or equity-related securities in the public market, including sales pursuant to share lending agreements, or the perception that such sales will occur, could adversely affect prevailing trading prices of our common stock and could impair our ability to raise capital through future offerings of equity or equity-related securities. Future sales of shares of common stock or the availability of shares of common stock for future sale, including sales of our common stock in short sale transactions by purchasers of our convertible notes, may have a material adverse effect on the trading price of our common stock.

Our business is going through a substantial period of transition and we are exploring various options. We are exploring various options designed to produce the greatest benefit possible for our shareholders. Currently these options include share repurchases, and we may consider additional options in the future. On December 31, 2009, we paid a \$.50 per share dividend to our shareholders, and a tender offer that we completed on May 14, 2010 resulted in our repurchase of 12,180,604 shares of our common stock for \$85.3 million, in addition to our repurchase of \$24.8 million in face amount of our 3.625% convertible senior notes for \$14.7 million. Further, in a tender offer completed in April 2011, we repurchased 13,125,000 shares of our common stock at a purchase price of \$8.00 per share for an aggregate cost of \$105.0 million. Finally, we completed a tender offer in September 2012, whereby we repurchased 8,250,000 shares of our common stock at a purchase price of \$10.00 per share for an aggregate cost of \$82.5 million.

We have the ability to issue preferred shares, warrants, convertible debt and other securities without shareholder approval. Our common shares may be subordinate to classes of preferred shares issued in the future in the payment of dividends and other distributions made with respect to common shares, including distributions upon liquidation or dissolution. Our articles of incorporation permit our Board of Directors to issue preferred shares without first obtaining shareholder approval. If we issued preferred shares, these additional securities may have dividend or liquidation preferences senior to the common shares. If we issue convertible preferred shares, a subsequent conversion may dilute the current common shareholders' interest. We have similar abilities to issue convertible debt, warrants and other equity securities.

Our executive officers, directors and parties related to them, in the aggregate, control a majority of our voting stock and may have the ability to control matters requiring shareholder approval. Our executive officers, directors and parties related to them own a large enough stake in us to have an influence on, if not control of, the matters presented to shareholders. As a result, these shareholders may have the ability to control matters requiring shareholder approval, including the election and removal of directors, the approval of significant corporate transactions, such as any reclassification, reorganization, merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets and the control of our management and affairs. Accordingly, this concentration of ownership may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change of control of us, impede a merger, consolidation, takeover or other business combination involving us or discourage a potential acquirer from making a tender offer or otherwise attempting to obtain control of us, which in turn could have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock.

Note Regarding Risk Factors

The risk factors presented above are all of the ones that we currently consider material. However, they are not the only ones facing our company. Additional risks not presently known to us, or which we currently consider immaterial, may also adversely affect us. There may be risks that a particular investor views differently from us, and our analysis might be wrong. If any of the risks that we face actually occur, our business, financial condition and operating results could

be materially adversely affected and could differ materially from any possible results suggested by any forward-looking statements that we have made or might make. In such case, the trading price of our common stock could decline, and you could lose part or all of your investment. We expressly disclaim any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law.

ITEM 1B.

UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

15

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We lease our principal executive offices (which include the primary operations of our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment), and our lease is for 335,000 square feet, of which we have sub-leased approximately 220,000 square feet. Our Auto Finance segment principally operates out of Lake Mary, Florida in approximately 9,600 square feet of leased space, with additional offices and branch locations in various states. Our operations in the U.K. include approximately 2,700 of aggregate square feet of leased space in Crawley and London. Currently, we have excess facility capacity that we are trying to sublease. As such, we believe that our facilities are suitable to our business and that we will be able to lease or purchase such additional facilities as our needs, if any, require.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are involved in various legal proceedings that are incidental to the conduct of our business. The most significant of these are described below.

Certain of our subsidiaries are defendants in a purported class action lawsuit entitled Knox, et al., vs. First Southern Cash Advance, et al., No. 5 CV 0445, filed in the Superior Court of New Hanover County, North Carolina, on February 8, 2005. The plaintiffs allege that in conducting a so-called “payday lending” business, certain subsidiaries within our Retail Micro-Loans segment (the operations of which were sold in October 2011, subject to our retention of liability for this litigation) violated various laws governing consumer finance, lending, check cashing, trade practices and loan brokering. The plaintiffs further allege that certain subsidiaries were the alter ego of our former Retail Micro Loans segment subsidiaries and are liable for their actions. The plaintiffs are seeking damages of up to \$75,000 per class member, and attorney’s fees. These claims are similar to those that have been asserted against several other market participants in transactions involving small-balance, short-term loans made to consumers in North Carolina. On January 23, 2012, among other orders, the trial court denied the defendants’ motion to compel arbitration, and granted the plaintiffs’ motion for class certification. We are vigorously defending this lawsuit.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

In 2010, we loaned money to a start-up coal strip mine operation located in the State of Alabama. This loan was restructured in late 2011, which resulted in this operation being consolidated onto our financial statements as of December 31, 2011. This restructured financial arrangement may have caused one of our subsidiaries to be deemed a mine “operator” under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977, as amended. For this reason, information concerning mine safety violations or other regulatory matters required by Section 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and Item 104 of Regulation S-K is included in Exhibit 95 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K. As of December 31, 2012, we had written off our investment in the aforementioned coal strip mine operation, and it had ceased operations and had begun reclamation efforts.

Table of Contents

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "ATLC." The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sales prices per share of our common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market. As of February 15, 2013, there were 54 record holders of our common stock, which does not include persons whose stock is held in nominee or "street name" accounts through brokers, banks and intermediaries.

2011	High	Low
1st Quarter 2011	\$6.97	\$5.90
2nd Quarter 2011	\$6.85	\$2.32
3rd Quarter 2011	\$3.20	\$2.25
4th Quarter 2011	\$4.21	\$2.63
2012	High	Low
1st Quarter 2012	\$6.00	\$3.78
2nd Quarter 2012	\$5.96	\$3.29
3rd Quarter 2012	\$6.46	\$3.72
4th Quarter 2012	\$3.99	\$3.28

The closing price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on February 15, 2013 was \$3.21.

There were no repurchases of our common stock during the fourth quarter ended December 31, 2012. We will continue to evaluate our stock price relative to other investment opportunities and, to the extent we believe that the repurchase of our stock represents an appropriate return of capital, we will repurchase shares of our stock.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

As a “smaller reporting company,” as defined by Item 10 of Regulation S-K, we are not required to provide this information.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included therein where certain terms have been defined.

This Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations includes forward-looking statements. We base these forward-looking statements on our current plans, expectations and beliefs about future events. There are risks that our actual experience will differ materially from the expectations and beliefs reflected in the forward-looking statements in this section. See “Cautionary Notice Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.”

OVERVIEW

We are a provider of various credit and related financial services and products to or associated with the financially underserved consumer credit market—a market represented by credit risks that regulators classify as “sub-prime.”

Currently, within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment, we are collecting on portfolios of credit card receivables underlying now-closed credit card accounts. These receivables include both receivables we originated through third-party financial institutions and portfolios of receivables we purchased from third-party financial institutions. The only open credit card accounts underlying our credit card receivables are those generated through our credit card products in the U.K. Several of our portfolios of credit card receivables underlying now-closed accounts are encumbered by non-recourse structured financings, and for some of these portfolios, our only remaining economic interest is the servicing compensation we receive as an offset against our servicing costs given that the likely future collections on the portfolios are insufficient to allow for full repayment of the financings. Beyond these activities within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment, we are applying the experiences and infrastructure associated with our historic credit card offerings to other credit product offerings, including private label merchant credit whereby we partner with retailers to provide credit at the point of sale to their customers who may have been declined under traditional financing options. We specialize in providing this "second look" credit service in various industries across the U.S. Using our global infrastructure and technology platform, we also provide loan servicing activities, including underwriting, marketing, customer service and collections operations for third parties. Lastly, through our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment, we are engaged in limited investment activities in ancillary finance, technology and other businesses as we seek to build new products and relationships that could allow for greater utilization of our expertise and infrastructure.

In the current environment, the recurring cash flows we receive within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment include those associated with (1) servicing compensation, (2) distributions from one of our equity-method investees that in March 2011 purchased and now holds all of the outstanding notes issued out of our U.K. Portfolio structured financing trust, (3) unencumbered credit card receivables portfolios that have already generated enough cash to allow for the repayment of their underlying structured financing facilities, and (4) our private label merchant credit receivables. We are closely monitoring and managing our liquidity position, reducing our overhead infrastructure (which was built to accommodate higher account originations and managed receivables levels) and further leveraging our global infrastructure in order to maximize returns to shareholders on existing assets. Some of these actions, while prudent to maximize cash returns on existing assets, have had the effect of reducing our potential

for profitability.

As is customary in our industry, we historically financed most of our credit card receivables through the asset-backed securitization markets. These markets worsened significantly in 2008, and the level of “advance rates” or leverage we can achieve against credit card receivable assets in the current asset-backed securitization markets is far below 2008 levels. Considering this reality, along with a U.S. regulatory environment in which sub-prime credit card lending returns on assets are significantly lower than they were before 2008, we have concluded that we cannot achieve our desired returns on equity through U.S. credit card lending. We continue, however, to originate credit cards in the U.K. because we believe the U.K. environment to be more favorable than the U.S. toward possible significant credit card origination growth in the future. Additionally, we believe that our growing portfolio of private label merchant credit receivables is generating and will continue to generate attractive returns on assets, thereby allowing us to secure debt financing under terms and conditions (including advance rates and pricing) that will allow us to achieve our desired returns on equity.

Within our Auto Finance segment, our CAR subsidiary operations principally purchase and/or service loans secured by automobiles from or for, and also provide floor-plan financing for, a pre-qualified network of independent automotive dealers and automotive finance companies in the buy-here, pay-here used car business. We purchase the auto loans at a discount and with dealer retentions or holdbacks that provide risk protection. Also within our Auto Finance segment, we are collecting on portfolios of auto finance receivables that we previously originated through franchised and independent auto dealers in connection with prior business activities.

Table of Contents

In August 2012, we completed a transaction to sell to Flexpoint Fund II, L.P. for \$130.5 million our Investments in Previously Charged-Off Receivables segment, including its balance transfer card operations, the credit card receivables (and underlying activities) of which were historically reflected within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment. The sales price included (1) \$119.7 million (cash of \$106.7 million and a note receivable of \$13.0 million, which was subsequently repaid) at closing, of which \$10.0 million in cash will be held in escrow for 12 months following the closing date of the transaction to satisfy certain indemnification provisions, and (2) an additional \$10.8 million in cash that we received in the fourth quarter of 2012 upon the achievement of certain targets. Our basis in the net assets that were included in the sale was \$67.0 million resulting in a gain on sale (after related expenses and recognition of the additional contingent consideration) of \$57.3 million, which is reported within our income from discontinued operations category on our consolidated statements of operations.

We also completed transactions to dispose of our Retail Micro-Loans segment and our U.K. Internet micro-loan operations during 2011 as discussed further below. In accordance with applicable accounting requirements, we have classified the results of all our sold operations as discontinued operations within our consolidated statements of operations for all periods presented.

A summary of our most significant business changes or events during 2012 is as follows:

- Our receipt of \$10 million from a lender to compensate us for excess costs we incurred for the benefit of the lender in servicing a portfolio that collateralized the lender's loan to us;
- The September 2012 repurchase of 8,250,000 shares of our common stock at a purchase price of \$10.00 per share for an aggregate cost of \$82.5 million, pursuant to a tender offer;
- The August 2012 sale of our Investments in Previously Charged-Off Receivables segment, including its balance transfer card operations as described above; and
- Our May 2012 repayment to investors in our 3.625% convertible senior notes of \$83.5 million in face amount of such then-outstanding notes.

Subject to the availability of growth capital at attractive terms and pricing, our shareholders should expect us to continue to evaluate and pursue a variety of activities, including: (1) the acquisition of additional credit card receivables portfolios, and potentially other financial assets that are complementary to our financially underserved credit card business; (2) investments in other assets or businesses that are not necessarily financial services assets or businesses; (3) additional opportunities to repurchase our convertible senior notes and other debt or our outstanding common stock; and (4) servicing credit card receivables and other assets for third parties (and in which we have limited or no equity interests) to allow us to leverage our expertise and infrastructure.

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(In Thousands)	For the Year Months Ended December 31,		Income Increases (Decreases) from 2011 to 2012
	2012	2011	
Total interest income	\$86,810	\$145,517	\$(58,707)
Interest expense	(31,124)	(43,828)	12,704
Fees and related income on earning assets:			
Fees on credit products	16,478	10,474	6,004
Changes in fair value of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value	89,502	181,502	(92,000)
Changes in fair value of notes payable associated with structured financings recorded at fair value	(30,150)	(90,524)	60,374
Losses on investments in securities	(4,254)	(4,449)	195
Loss on sale of JRAS assets	-	(4,648)	4,648
Other	(3,366)	2,210	(5,576)
Other operating income:			
Servicing income	16,233	3,281	12,952
Other income	2,487	7,070	(4,583)
Equity in income equity-method investees	9,288	32,657	(23,369)
Total	\$151,904	\$239,262	(87,358)
Losses upon charge off of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value	90,128	139,480	49,352
Provision for losses on loans and fees receivable recorded at net realizable value	16,770	1,089	(15,681)
Other operating expenses:			
Salaries and benefits	17,317	21,022	3,705
Card and loan servicing	41,095	45,345	4,250
Marketing and solicitation	2,996	3,620	624
Depreciation	2,742	4,642	1,900
Other	24,687	26,110	1,423
Net income	24,132	135,064	(110,932)
Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests	319	(1,047)	1,366
Net income attributable to controlling interests	24,451	134,017	(109,566)
Income from discontinued operations before income tax	69,063	140,063	(71,000)

Table of Contents

Year Ended December 31, 2012, Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2011

Total interest income. Total interest income consists primarily of finance charges and late fees earned on our credit card, private label merchant credit, and auto finance receivables. The decline period over period is due to continued net liquidations of our credit card and auto finance receivables over the past year. Moreover, absent the effects of possible portfolio acquisitions, we expect our ongoing total interest income to decline for the next several quarters along with continuing expected net liquidations of our credit card and auto finance receivables.

Interest expense. The decrease in interest expense is due to (1) our debt facilities being repaid commensurate with net liquidations of the underlying credit card receivables and auto finance receivables that serve as collateral for the facilities, and (2) the effects of our repurchases of our convertible senior notes throughout 2011 and our May 2012 repayment of substantially all of our 3.625% convertible senior notes as discussed in Note 11, "Convertible Senior Notes," in the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

We also note that notwithstanding the effects of our convertible senior notes issuance discount accretion in increasing monthly interest expense amounts in the future, we expect lower interest expense for these notes in future periods attributable to our 2011 repurchases and our May 2012 repayment of substantially all of our 3.625% convertible senior notes.

Fees and related income on earning assets. The significant factors affecting our differing levels of fees and related income on earning assets include:

- 2012 increases in fees earned on our credit products, principally due to billings on credit card accounts in the U.K., net of the effect of continued credit card receivables liquidations;
- "Other" category losses arising in 2012 due to operating losses incurred by a former small coal mining operation we were required to consolidate in the fourth quarter of 2011 based on workout efforts we had undertaken related to a loan we made to the operation; and
- our recognition of a \$4.6 million loss in the three months ended March 31, 2011 corresponding to our sale of certain assets associated with our JRAS operations.

We do not expect significant ongoing losses with respect to the coal mining operation mentioned above. By December 31, 2012, it had ceased mining operations, and we had written off substantially all of our investment; its only current activity is land reclamation.

Although not materially different in amount between 2011 and 2012, we note that with our \$5.5 million in 2012 and \$5.3 million in 2011 losses on investments in securities, we do not hold any material amounts of investments in securities as of December 31, 2012; as such, there is no potential for material future losses on such securities holdings in the future.

Given expected net liquidations in our credit card receivables (absent possible portfolio acquisitions) in the future, we expect our change in fair value of credit card receivables recorded at fair value and our change in fair value of notes payable associated with structured financings recorded at fair value amounts to gradually diminish (absent significant changes in the assumptions used to determine these fair values) in the future. These amounts, however, are subject to potentially high levels of volatility if we experience changes in the quality of our credit card receivables or if there are significant changes in market valuation factors (e.g., interest rates and spreads) in the future. Such volatility will be muted somewhat, however, by the offsetting nature of the receivables and underlying debt being recorded at fair value and with the expected reductions in the face amounts of such outstanding receivables and debt as we experience

further credit card receivables liquidations and associated debt amortizing repayments.

Servicing income. Our reported servicing income is comprised of servicing compensation paid to us by third parties associated with our servicing of their loans and fees receivable. Positively impacting 2012 is income from transitional services we provided to the buyer of our Retail Micro-Loans segment, such services having substantially ended, and we currently are providing to the buyer of our Investment in Previously Charged-Off Receivables segment and balance transfer card operations since our disposition of these operations in August 2012. Additionally, in the fourth quarter of 2012, we received \$10.0 million from a lender to compensate us for excess costs we incurred for the benefit of the lender in servicing a credit card portfolio that collateralized the lender's loan to us. Absent these revenues (none of which are expected to be material servicing income sources for us in 2013), servicing income would have declined commensurate with liquidations in the portfolios of loans and fees receivables we service for third parties.

Table of Contents

Currently, servicing income is not a significant income source for us, and unless we grow the number of contractual servicing relationships we have with other third parties, we will not experience sustained levels of growth and income within this category. We have started to receive servicing income associated with a new partner product rollout; however, revenues associated with this program are not yet sufficient to offset declines we are experiencing in this category.

Other income. Other income principally is represented by our ancillary and interchange revenues. The decline in our ancillary interchange revenues corresponds with our account closure actions and net liquidations we have experienced in all of our credit card receivables portfolios in recent years. Absent portfolio acquisitions, we do not expect significant ancillary and interchange revenues in the future.

Equity in income of equity-method investees. The significant decrease in income associated with our equity-method investees is principally related to our 50% interest in the joint venture that purchased in March 2011 the outstanding notes issued out of our U.K. Portfolio structured financing trust. Contemporaneous with our March 2011 acquisition of our 50% interest in the joint venture, it elected to account for its investment in the U.K. Portfolio structured financing notes at their fair value, and it recognized a \$34.2 million gain (of which our 50% share represented \$17.1 million) equal to the excess of the fair value of the notes as of March 31, 2011 over the joint venture's discounted purchase price of the notes.

We expect to see continued liquidations in the credit card receivables portfolios and structured financing notes held by our equity-method investees for the foreseeable future. As such, absent possible additional investments in our existing or in new equity-method investees in the future, we expect gradually declining effects from our equity-method investments on our operating results.

Losses upon charge off of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value. This account reflects charge offs of the face amount credit card receivables we record at fair value on our consolidated balance sheet. We have experienced a general trending decline in, and we expect future trending declines in, these charge offs as we continue to liquidate our credit card receivables. The effects of this general trending decline were muted in 2012, however, given our sale of a large volume of late-stage delinquent accounts and related receivables (which we treated as having been charged off contemporaneous with their sale) out of our U.K. credit card receivables portfolio during the first quarter of 2012.

Provision for losses on loans and fees receivable recorded at net realizable value. Our provision for losses on loans and fees receivable recorded at net realizable value covers aggregate loss exposures on (1) principal receivable balances, (2) finance charges and late fees receivable underlying income amounts included within our total interest income category, and (3) other fees receivable. We experienced a year over year increase in this category between 2011 and 2012 due to the effects of (1) disproportionately greater reductions in our allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivables recorded in the year ended December 31, 2011 associated with significant performance improvements experienced at that time, and (2) elevated losses incurred on new credit product testing in the year ended December 31, 2012. For the foreseeable future, we expect growth in new product receivables recorded at net realizable value to exceed liquidations of our auto finance receivables recorded at net realizable value. Accordingly, we expect increases in our provisions for losses on loans and fees receivable recorded at net realizable value in future quarters—such increases predominantly expected to reflect the effects of volume (i.e., growth of new product receivables), rather than credit quality changes or deterioration. Based on experience with some of our new products (in particular our U.K. credit card product) in 2012, we expect net improvements in the credit quality of our new product receivables throughout 2013. See Note 2, “Significant Accounting Policies and Consolidated Financial Statement Components,” and our Credit Cards and Other Investments and Auto Finance segment discussions for further credit quality statistics and analysis.

Total other operating expense. Total other operating expense decreased for the year ended December 31, 2012 relative to the year ended December 31, 2011, reflecting the following:

- diminished salaries and benefits costs resulting from our ongoing cost-cutting efforts as we continue to adjust our internal operations to reflect the declining size of our existing portfolios;
- lower card and loan servicing expenses reflecting the effects of continuing credit card and auto finance receivables portfolio liquidations;
- decreases in depreciation for the year ended December 31, 2012 reflecting a diminished level of capital investments by us; and
- decreases in marketing and solicitation and other expense levels consistent with the aforementioned receivables portfolio liquidations and our cost-cutting efforts.

Table of Contents

A large portion of our operating costs are variable based on the levels of accounts we market and receivables we service (both for our own account and for others) and the pace and breadth of our search for, acquisition of and introduction of new business lines, products and services. However, a number of our operating costs are fixed and will over time comprise a larger percentage of our total costs given the ongoing contraction of our credit card and auto finance loans and fees receivable levels. To this extent, our rate of cost reduction can be expected to slow relative to the rate of contraction in these loans and fees receivable. We do, however, attempt to maximize the utility that we get from our incurrence of fixed costs by our testing and exploration of new products and services and areas of investment, and we continue to perform extensive reviews of all areas of our businesses for cost savings opportunities to better align our costs with our net liquidating portfolio of managed receivables.

Notwithstanding our cost-cutting efforts and focus, while it is relatively easy for us to scale back our variable expenses, it is much more difficult (to which we alluded above) for us to appreciably reduce our fixed and other costs associated with an infrastructure (particularly within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment) that was built to support growing managed receivables and levels of managed receivables that are significantly higher than both our current levels and the levels that we expect to see in the near future. At this point, our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment cash inflows are sufficient to cover its direct variable costs and a portion, but not all, of its share of overhead costs (including, for example, corporate-level executive and administrative costs and our convertible senior notes interest costs). As such, if we are not successful in further reducing overhead costs or expanding revenue-earning activities to levels commensurate with such costs, then, depending upon the sufficiency of excess cash flows and earnings generated from our Auto Finance subsidiary and those credit card portfolios that have repaid their underlying structured financing facilities, we may experience continuing pressure on our liquidity position and our ability to be profitable.

Noncontrolling interests. We reflect the ownership interests of noncontrolling holders of equity in our majority-owned subsidiaries as noncontrolling interests in our consolidated statements of operations. Because of various transactions that took place in early 2011, unless we enter into significant new majority-owned subsidiary ventures with noncontrolling interest holders in the future, we expect to have negligible noncontrolling interests in our majority-owned subsidiaries and negligible allocations of income or loss to noncontrolling interest holders in future quarters. Transactions contributing to this development and the decline in net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in 2012 versus 2011 include:

- Our collective January 2011 and April 2011 purchases of most of the noncontrolling interest holders' ownership interests in our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment majority-owned subsidiaries; and
- Our April 2011 sale of the majority-owned subsidiaries through which we owned our former U.K. Internet micro-loan operations.

Income taxes. Computed considering results for only our continuing operations before income taxes, our effective income tax benefit rate was 35.9% for the year ended December 31, 2012, versus our effective income tax benefit rate of 48.5% for the year ended December 31, 2011. We have experienced no material changes in effective tax rates associated with differences in filing jurisdictions, and the variations in our effective tax rates between the periods principally bear the effects of (1) changes in valuation allowances against income statement-oriented federal, foreign and state deferred tax assets, (2) variations in the level of our pre-tax income among the different reporting periods relative to the level of our permanent differences within such periods and (3) the effects on financial reporting results of intra-period tax allocations associated with our discontinued operations as required under GAAP.

We recognize potential accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. We recognized \$1.9 million and \$2.1 million in potential interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. To the extent such interest and penalties are not assessed as a result of a resolution of the underlying tax position, amounts accrued are reduced and reflected as a

reduction of income tax expense. We recognized \$1.0 million of such reductions in each of the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Credit Cards and Other Investments Segment

Included at the end of this “Credit Cards and Other Investments Segment” section under the heading “Definitions of Financial, Operating and Statistical Measures” are definitions for various terms we use throughout our discussion of the Credit Cards and Other Investments segment.

Our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment includes our continuing activities relating to investments in and servicing of our various credit card receivables portfolios, as well as other investments and products that are not yet material to our overall financial position but which generally utilize much of the same infrastructure as our credit card operations.

The revenues we earn from credit card activities primarily include finance charges, late fees, over-limit fees, annual fees, activation fees, monthly maintenance fees, returned-check fees and cash advance fees. Also, while insignificant currently, revenues (during previous periods of broad account origination and in which significant numbers of accounts were open to cardholder purchases) also have included those associated with (1) our sale of ancillary products such as memberships, subscription services and debt waiver, as well as (2) interchange fees representing a portion of the merchant fee assessed by card associations based on cardholder purchase volumes underlying credit card receivables.

Table of Contents

We record the finance charges and late fees assessed on our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment credit products in the interest income consumer loans, including past due fees category on our consolidated statements of operations, we include the over-limit, annual, monthly maintenance, returned-check, cash advance and other fees in the fees and other income on earning assets category on our consolidated statements of operations, and we reflect the charge offs within our provision for losses on loans and fees receivable on our consolidated statements of operations (for all credit product receivables other than those credit card receivables underlying formerly off-balance-sheet securitization structures) and within losses upon charge off of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value on our consolidated statements of operations (for all of our other credit card receivables underlying formerly off-balance-sheet securitization structures for which we have elected the fair value option). Additionally, we show the effects of fair value changes for those credit card receivables for which we have elected the fair value option as a component of fees and related income on earning assets in our consolidated statements of operations.

We historically have originated and purchased credit card portfolios through subsidiary entities. Generally, if we control through direct ownership or exert a controlling interest in the entity, we consolidate it and reflect its operations as noted above. If we exert significant influence but do not control the entity, we record our share of its net operating results in the equity in income of equity-method investees category on our consolidated statements of operations.

Background

We make various references within our discussion of the Credit Cards and Other Investments segment to our managed receivables. In calculating managed receivables data, we include within managed receivables those receivables we manage for our consolidated subsidiaries, but we exclude from managed receivables any noncontrolling interest holders' shares of the receivables during applicable periods. Additionally, we include within managed receivables only our economic share of the receivables that we manage for our equity-method investees.

Financial, operating and statistical data based on aggregate managed receivables are important to any evaluation of our performance in managing our credit card portfolios, including our underwriting, servicing and collecting activities and our valuing of purchased receivables. In allocating our resources and managing our business, management relies heavily upon financial data and results prepared on this "managed basis." Analysts, investors and others also consider it important that we provide selected financial, operating and statistical data on a managed basis because this allows a comparison of us to others within the specialty finance industry. Moreover, our management, analysts, investors and others believe it is critical that they understand the credit performance of the entire portfolio of our managed receivables because it reveals information concerning the quality of loan originations and the related credit risks inherent within the portfolios.

Reconciliation of the managed receivables data to our GAAP financial statements requires: (1) an understanding that our managed receivables data are based on billings and actual charge offs as they occur, without regard to any changes in our allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable or any changes in the fair value of loans and fees receivable and their associated structured financing notes; (2) inclusion of our economic share of (or equity interest in) the receivables we manage for our equity-method investees; (3) removal of our noncontrolling interest holders' shares of the managed receivables underlying our GAAP consolidated results; and (4) treatment of the transaction in which our 50%-owned equity-method investee acquired our U.K. Portfolio structured financing trust notes (a) as a deemed sale of the U.K. Portfolio trust receivables at their face amount, (b) followed by the 50%-owned equity-method investee's deemed repurchase of such receivables for consideration equal to the discounted purchase price that it paid for the notes, and (c) as though the difference between the deemed face amount and the deemed discounted repurchase price of the receivables is to be treated as credit quality discount to be accreted into managed earnings as a reduction of net charge offs over the remaining life of the receivables.

We typically have purchased credit card receivables portfolios at substantial discounts. In our managed basis statistical data, we apply a portion of these discounts against receivables acquired for which charge off is considered

likely, including accounts in late stages of delinquency at the date of acquisition; this portion is measured based on our acquisition date estimate of the shortfall of cash flows expected to be collected on the acquired portfolios relative to the face amount of receivables represented within the acquired portfolios. We refer to the balance of the discount for each purchase not needed for credit quality as accretable yield, which we accrete into net interest margin in our managed basis statistical data using the interest method over the estimated life of each acquired portfolio. As of the close of each financial reporting period, we evaluate the appropriateness of the credit quality discount component and the accretable yield component of our acquisition discount based on actual and projected future results.

Asset Quality

Our delinquency and charge-off data at any point in time reflect the credit performance of our managed receivables. The average age of the accounts underlying our receivables, the timing of portfolio purchases, the success of our collection and recovery efforts and general economic conditions all affect our delinquency and charge-off rates. The average age of the accounts underlying our receivables portfolio also affects the stability of our delinquency and loss rates. We consider this delinquency and charge-off data in our determination of the fair value of our credit card receivables underlying formerly off-balance-sheet securitization structures, as well as our allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable in the case of our other credit product receivables that we report at net realizable value. Our strategy for managing delinquency and receivables losses consists of account management throughout the customer relationship. This strategy includes credit line management and pricing based on the risks. See also our discussion of collection strategies under the heading “How Do We Collect from Our Customers?” in Item 1, “Business,” of this Report.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the delinquency trends of the receivables we manage within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment, as well as charge-off data and other managed loan statistics (in thousands; percentages of total):

	At or for the Three Months Ended													
	2012				2011				2011					
	Dec. 31	Sept. 30	Jun. 30	Mar. 31	Dec. 31	Sept. 30	Jun. 30	Mar. 31	Dec. 31	Sept. 30	Jun. 30	Mar. 31		
Period-end managed receivables	\$294,167	\$326,557	\$356,897	\$401,394	\$480,355	\$540,023	\$613,747	\$698,226						
Period-end managed accounts	256	281	309	340	390	431	481	543						
Percent 30 or more days past due	10.0	% 11.0	% 9.9	% 10.4	% 13.0	% 12.6	% 11.9	% 12.5	%	%	%	%	%	%
Percent 60 or more days past due	7.2	% 8.1	% 6.9	% 7.9	% 9.7	% 8.9	% 8.7	% 9.5	%	%	%	%	%	%
Percent 90 or more days past due	5.1	% 5.8	% 4.6	% 5.9	% 6.9	% 6.2	% 6.2	% 7.0	%	%	%	%	%	%
Average managed receivables	\$309,025	\$340,628	\$378,227	\$438,601	\$511,834	\$580,212	\$659,686	\$754,300						
Combined gross charge-off ratio	16.5	% 15.3	% 20.7	% 53.9	% 19.3	% 20.9	% 24.2	% 29.7	%	%	%	%	%	%
Net charge-off ratio	14.4	% 13.1	% 16.8	% 47.4	% 15.2	% 16.7	% 19.8	% 24.1	%	%	%	%	%	%
Adjusted charge-off ratio	12.7	% 11.4	% 15.1	% 30.6	% 12.2	% 13.9	% 16.7	% 22.9	%	%	%	%	%	%
Total yield ratio	15.7	% 23.5	% 24.2	% 22.9	% 23.2	% 19.2	% 21.8	% 22.0	%	%	%	%	%	%
Gross yield ratio	18.1	% 19.2	% 19.9	% 18.9	% 18.6	% 19.3	% 18.9	% 18.6	%	%	%	%	%	%
Net interest margin	12.3	% 13.5	% 13.3	% 10.4	% 12.6	% 13.4	% 12.8	% 11.9	%	%	%	%	%	%
Other income ratio	-2.9	% 3.9	% 3.5	% 2.7	% 3.7	% -1.0	% 2.1	% 2.2	%	%	%	%	%	%
Operating ratio	7.3	% 18.5	% 18.2	% 15.3	% 12.1	% 12.3	% 12.5	% 11.0	%	%	%	%	%	%

Managed receivables. The consistent quarterly declines in our period-end and average managed receivables over the last eight quarters reflect the net liquidating state of our credit card receivables portfolios given the closure of substantially all credit card accounts underlying the portfolios. Moreover, with the exception of originations in the U.K., we have curtailed our credit card marketing efforts in light of (1) dislocation in the liquidity markets and uncertainty as to when and if these markets will rebound sufficiently to facilitate organic growth in our credit card receivables operations and (2) an unfavorable credit card account origination regulatory climate in our primary U.S. market. Despite fairly rapid receivables growth we are experiencing and expect over the coming quarters for our private label merchant credit offering, we do not anticipate receivables additions in the near term sufficient to offset the receivables balance contractions noted above.

Delinquencies. Delinquencies have the potential to impact net income in the form of net credit losses. Delinquencies also are costly in terms of the personnel and resources dedicated to resolving them. We intend for the account management strategies we use on our portfolio to manage and, to the extent possible, reduce the higher delinquency rates that can be expected in a more mature managed portfolio such as ours. These account management strategies include conservative credit line management, purging of inactive accounts and collection strategies intended to optimize the effective account-to-collector ratio across delinquency categories. We further describe these collection strategies under the heading "How Do We Collect from Our Customers?" in Item 1, "Business" of this Report. We measure the success of these efforts by measuring delinquency rates. These rates exclude accounts that have been charged off.

Our lower-tier credit card receivables typically experience substantially higher delinquency rates and charge-off levels than those of our other originated and purchased portfolios. Our delinquency statistics recently have benefited from a mix change whereby disproportionately higher charge-off levels for our lower-tier credit card portfolios relative to those of our other credit card receivables have caused a decline in lower-tier credit card receivables as a percentage of our aggregate managed credit card receivables.

Table of Contents

Given that our accounts primarily consist of closed credit card accounts with no significant account actions taken in the past several quarters, one would logically expect to see the relatively lower delinquency and charge-off benefits of our more mature portfolios. This trend is bearing out as noted in the trending year-over-year declines in our 2012 delinquency statistics relative to corresponding dates in prior years and is consistent with our expectations for the next few quarters. We do note, however, that we participated in a unique transaction opportunity during the first quarter of 2012, whereby we sold for a total of \$10.4 million, a price that we viewed as attractive, \$44.0 million in face value of our U.K. portfolio credit cards receivable associated with late-stage delinquent accounts that had not yet reached the 180-day charge-off threshold. These receivables had a GAAP carrying value of \$9.8 million on the sale date, thereby rendering an insignificant gain upon their sale. This transaction had two effects on our managed receivables data: (1) the future periods' charge off of these receivables was accelerated into the first quarter of 2012 through our treatment of the accounts as having been charged off in all of our managed receivables charge-off ratios contemporaneous with the sale of these receivables; and (2) the removal of these late-stage delinquent accounts from our March 31, 2012 managed receivables balances contributed to a better-than-typical improvement in our delinquency statistics as of March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012. Given this acceleration we experienced a slight increase in our delinquency rates as of September 30 and December 31, 2012, in part due to the aforementioned transaction, but also in part to the effects of higher delinquency rates associated with credit card originations in the U.K. (the effects of which are also evident in the table of current loans receivable, current fees receivable and delinquent loans and fees receivable as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 presented within Note 2, "Significant Accounting Policies and Consolidated Financial Statement Components," to our consolidated financial statements). Nevertheless, we still expect to see continuing future trending declines in our delinquency rates when compared to similar prior year periods.

Charge offs. We generally charge off our Credit Card and Other Investments segment receivables when they become contractually 180 days past due or within 30 days of notification and confirmation of a customer's bankruptcy or death. However, if a cardholder makes a payment greater than or equal to two minimum payments within a month of the charge-off date, we may reconsider whether charge-off status remains appropriate. Additionally, in some cases of death, receivables are not charged off if, with respect to the deceased customer's account, there is a surviving, contractually liable individual or an estate large enough to pay the debt in full.

Our lower-tier credit card offerings have higher charge offs relative to their average managed receivables balances, than do our other portfolios. Due to the recent higher rate of decline in these receivables relative to all of our other outstanding credit card receivables, all things being equal, one would expect reduced charge-off ratios. This is supported by the above overall trend of declining year-over-year quarterly charge-off rates in all quarters but the first quarter of 2012. This trend is muted to some degree, however, for our net charge-off ratio and our adjusted charge-off ratio (as discussed in more detail below) simply due to a change in the mix of our charge offs toward a higher relative level of principal charge offs versus finance and fee charge offs.

All of our charge-off ratios were skewed higher during the first quarter of 2012 by reason of the unique transaction opportunity mentioned in our Delinquencies discussion above. In future quarters (and absent any unique transaction opportunities like that experienced in the first quarter of 2012), we expect the general rate of decline in our charge-off ratios to moderate and our charge-off ratios to generally stabilize (subject to normal seasonal variations). Our expectation of a reduced rate of decline in our charge-off ratios is based on (1) the age, maturity and stability of our portfolio of generally liquidating receivables associated with closed credit card accounts, coupled with (2) an expectation of higher charge off rates on credit card receivables associated with credit card originations in the U.K.

Combined gross charge-off ratio. See the above general Charge Offs discussion.

Net charge-off ratio. See the above general Charge Offs discussion.

Adjusted charge-off ratio. This ratio reflects our net charge offs, less credit quality discount accretion with respect to our acquired portfolios. Therefore, its trend line should follow that of our net charge-off ratio, adjusted for the diminishing impact of past portfolio acquisitions and for the additional impact of new portfolio acquisitions. In the first and second quarters of 2011, the gap between the net charge-off ratio and the adjusted charge-off ratio widened (as it typically does following each portfolio acquisition at a discounted purchase price) because we determine our managed receivables statistics by treating the transaction in which our 50%-owned equity-method investee acquired our U.K. Portfolio structured financing trust notes as a deemed sale of the U.K. Portfolio trust receivables at their face amount, followed by the 50%-owned equity-method investee's repurchase of such receivables for consideration equal to the discounted purchase price that it paid for the notes. Although one would expect the gap between the net charge-off ratio and the adjusted charge-off ratio to gradually narrow (as we saw in the last two quarters of 2011) absent another portfolio acquisition, the unique transaction opportunity mentioned in our Delinquencies discussion above caused a significant widening of the gap between the net charge-off ratio and the adjusted charge-off ratio in the first quarter of 2012. That transaction opportunity caused our first quarter 2012 charge offs to be comprised of a disproportionately higher level of U.K. Portfolio charge offs than normal (for which significant levels of credit quality discount were accreted in the adjusted charge-off ratio computation in the first quarter of 2012).

Table of Contents

We also note that our U.K. credit card receivables originations, which have produced high levels of delinquencies and charge offs for early vintages, caused a mix change whereby a greater proportion than typical of our net charge-offs in the fourth quarter of 2012 were comprised of receivables (i.e., early vintage U.K. receivables originations) not represented by those in portfolios purchased at discounted purchase prices. Accordingly, notwithstanding year-over-year declines in our fourth quarter combined gross charge-off and net charge-off ratios, we experienced a slight increase in our fourth quarter 2012 adjusted charge-off ratio relative to that in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Total yield ratio and gross yield ratio. As noted previously, the mix of our managed receivables generally has shifted away from those receivables of our lower-tier credit card offerings. Those receivables have higher delinquency rates and late and over-limit fee assessments than do our other portfolios, and thus have higher total yield and gross yield ratios as well. Accordingly, we would expect to see a slight generally trending decline in our total yield and gross yield ratios consistent with disproportionate reductions in our lower-tier credit card receivables due to their higher charge-off levels over the past several quarters. Our total and gross yield ratios also have been adversely affected over the past several quarters by our 2007 U.K. Portfolio acquisition. Its total and gross yields are below average as compared to our other portfolios, and the rate of decline in receivables in this portfolio has lagged behind the rate of decline in receivables in our other portfolios, thus continuing to suppress our yield ratios.

Notwithstanding the above, our addition of lower-tier credit card accounts in the U.K. in 2012 has temporarily reversed and delayed our generally declining total and gross yield ratio trends. While the addition of these accounts has resulted in temporary increases in our total and gross yield ratios, we expect these accounts to also reduce the rate of decline in our charge-off rates as the accounts season, mature, and charge off at higher rates than we experience on our liquidating pool of credit card receivables associated with closed credit card accounts.

We also note exceptions to the trendlines discussed above with respect to our total yield ratio wherein our fourth quarter 2012 and third quarter 2011 total yield ratios were depressed by respective \$5.5 million and \$5.3 million write-downs of our investments in non-marketable debt and equity securities. Absent these write-downs, our total yield ratios would have been 22.9% in both the fourth quarter of 2012 and the third quarter of 2011. These write-downs also adversely impacted our Other Income ratio as discussed below.

Net interest margin. Because of the significance of the late fees charged on our lower-tier credit card receivables as a percentage of outstanding receivables balances, we generally would expect our net interest margin to increase as our lower-tier credit card receivables become a larger percentage and to decrease as they become a smaller percentage of our overall managed receivables. Accordingly, the disproportionate reductions we have experienced in our lower-tier credit card receivables levels is the principal factor that has contributed to the continued general declining trend in our net interest margins relative to those experienced in prior years.

Our net interest margin also is affected by the effects of our 2007 U.K. Portfolio acquisition. The net interest margin for this portfolio is below the weighted average rate of our other portfolios, and the impact of this portfolio continues to be felt as our originated portfolios continue to decline in size at a faster pace than our acquired U.K. Portfolio, thus increasing the impact of this portfolio's lower net interest margin on the overall results.

Consistent with our experiences in the past few quarters, we expect a relatively stable low-double-digit net interest margin for the foreseeable future.

Other income ratio. We generally expect our other income ratio to increase as our lower-tier receivables become a larger percentage, and to decrease as our lower-tier receivables become a smaller percentage, of our overall managed receivables. When underlying open accounts, these receivables generate significantly higher annual membership, over-limit, monthly maintenance and other fees than do our other portfolios. Consequently, the closure of credit card accounts and the mix change discussed above under which our lower-tier receivables comprise a much smaller percentage of our total receivables accounts in significant part for our low other income ratios.

As generally experienced in 2011 and 2012, we expect a positive generally low single-digit other income ratio for the foreseeable future unless we experience material gains or losses associated with future debt repurchases, investment write-downs or other unique transactions, which could cause an increase or decrease in the ratio. Negatively affecting our other income ratio for the third quarter of 2011 were \$5.3 million of losses that we recognized due to other-than-temporary declines in the values of non-marketable debt securities in which we had previously invested; excluding the impact of these write-downs, our other income ratio would have been 2.1%. Similarly in the fourth quarter of 2012, we experienced other-than-temporary declines in the values of non-marketable debt and equity securities in the amount of \$5.5 million; excluding the impact of these write-downs, our other income ratio would have been 4.3%.

Operating ratio. Although we have been highly focused on expense reduction and cost control efforts, our managed receivables levels are generally falling at faster rates than the rates at which we have been able thus far to reduce our costs (particularly when considering our fixed infrastructure costs and costs of other non-receivables-based business initiatives and investments). This accounts for the trendline of generally increasing operating ratios over the past several quarters. In the fourth quarter of 2012, this trend was significantly offset due to the receipt of \$10.0 million from a lender to compensate us for excess costs we incurred for the benefit of the lender in servicing a credit card portfolio that collateralized the lender's loan to us; excluding the impact of this payment, our operating ratio would have been 20.2%.

Future Expectations

Because the accounts underlying substantially all of our credit card receivables are closed and because of expected liquidations within each of our credit card receivables portfolios, we generally do not expect our yield-oriented managed receivables statistics to improve significantly from their current levels for the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents

Our credit card operations within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment are separate and distinct from our other operations. As such, if we were ever to conclude that the ongoing costs of these operations exceeded their benefits (i.e., cash flows to us and residual asset values), we could liquidate our credit card operations (either by continuing to allow them to decline in size or through more aggressive action) with minimal impact on future financial performance of our other operations. We reference the table included in Note 11, “Convertible Senior Notes,” to our consolidated financial statements, which quantifies the risk to our consolidated total equity position associated with a complete liquidation of our credit cards receivables portfolios.

Definitions of Financial, Operating and Statistical Measures

Combined gross charge-off ratio. Represents an annualized fraction the numerator of which is the aggregate amounts of finance charge, fee and principal losses from customers unwilling or unable to pay their receivables balances, as well as from bankrupt and deceased customers, less current-period recoveries, and the denominator of which is average managed receivables. Recoveries on managed receivables represent all amounts received related to managed receivables that previously have been charged off, including payments received directly from customers and proceeds received from the sale of those charged-off receivables. Recoveries typically have represented less than 2% of average managed receivables.

Net charge-off ratio. Represents an annualized fraction the numerator of which is the principal amount of losses, net of recoveries, and the denominator of which is average managed receivables. (The numerator excludes finance charge and fee charge offs, which are charged against the related income item at the time of charge off, as well as losses from fraudulent activity in accounts, which are included separately in other operating expenses.)

Adjusted charge-off ratio. Represents an annualized fraction the numerator of which is principal net charge offs as adjusted to apply discount accretion related to the credit quality of acquired portfolios to offset a portion of the actual face amount of net charge offs, and the denominator of which is average managed receivables. (Historically, upon our acquisitions of credit card receivables, a portion of the discount reflected within our acquisition prices has related to the credit quality of the acquired receivables—that portion representing the excess of the face amount of the receivables acquired over the future cash flows expected to be collected from the receivables. Because we treat the credit quality discount component of our acquisition discount as related exclusively to acquired principal balances, the difference between our net charge offs and our adjusted charge offs for each respective reporting period represents the total dollar amount of our charge offs that were charged against our credit quality discount during each respective reporting period.)

Total yield ratio. Represents an annualized fraction, the numerator of which includes all finance charge and late fee income billed on all outstanding receivables, plus credit card fees (including over-limit fees, cash advance fees, returned check fees and interchange income), plus earned, amortized amounts of annual membership fees and activation fees with respect to certain of our credit card products, plus ancillary product income, plus amortization of the accretable yield component of our acquisition discounts for portfolio purchases, plus gains (or less losses) on debt repurchases and other activities within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment, and the denominator of which is average managed receivables.

Gross yield ratio. Represents an annualized fraction, the numerator of which is finance charges and late fees, and the denominator of which is average managed receivables.

Net interest margin. Represents an annualized fraction, the numerator of which includes finance charge and late fee income billed on all outstanding receivables, plus amortization of the accretable yield component of our acquisition discounts for portfolio purchases, less interest expense associated with portfolio-specific structured financing debt facilities and finance charge and late fee charge offs, and the denominator of which is average managed receivables. (Net interest margins are influenced by a number of factors, including (1) the level of finance charges and late fees,

(2) the weighted average cost of funds underlying portfolio-specific debt or within our securitization structures, (3) amortization of the accretable yield component of our acquisition discounts for portfolio purchases and (4) the level of our finance charge and late fee charge offs.)

Other income ratio. Represents an annualized fraction, the numerator of which includes credit card fees (including over-limit fees, cash advance fees, returned check fees and interchange income), plus earned, amortized amounts of annual membership fees and activation fees with respect to certain of our credit card products, plus ancillary product income, less all fee charge offs (with the exception of late fee charge offs, which are netted against the net interest margin), plus realized and unrealized gains (or less losses) on debt repurchases, investments in debt and equity securities, and other activities within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment, and the denominator of which is average managed receivables.

Operating ratio. Represents an annualized fraction, the numerator of which includes all expenses (other than marketing and solicitation expenses) associated with our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment, net of any servicing income we receive from third parties, and the denominator of which is average managed receivables.

Table of Contents

Auto Finance Segment

Our Auto Finance segment historically included a variety of auto sales and lending activities.

Our original platform, CAR, acquired in April 2005, principally purchases and/or services loans secured by automobiles from or for and also provides floor-plan financing for a pre-qualified network of independent automotive dealers and automotive finance companies in the buy-here, pay-here used car business.

We also historically owned substantially all of JRAS, a buy-here, pay-here dealer we acquired in 2007 and operated from that time until our disposition of certain JRAS operating assets in the first quarter of 2011.

Lastly, our ACC platform acquired during 2007 historically purchased retail installment contracts from franchised car dealers. We ceased origination efforts within the ACC platform during 2009 and outsourced the collection of its portfolio of auto finance receivables.

Collectively, we currently serve more than 675 dealers through our Auto Finance segment in 37 states.

Managed Receivables Background

For reasons set forth previously within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment discussion, we also provide managed-receivables-based financial, operating and statistical data for our Auto Finance segment. Reconciliation of the auto finance managed receivables data to our GAAP financial statements requires an understanding that our managed receivables data are based on billings and actual charge offs as they occur, without regard to any changes in our allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable.

Analysis of Statistical Data

Financial, operating and statistical metrics for our Auto Finance segment are detailed (dollars and numbers of accounts in thousands; percentages of total) in the following tables:

	At or for the Three Months Ended							
	2012				2011			
	Dec. 31	Sept. 30	Jun. 30	Mar. 31	Dec. 31	Sept. 30	Jun. 30	Mar. 31
Period-end managed receivables	\$64,158	\$67,858	\$72,886	\$75,275	\$87,755	\$99,237	\$113,316	\$128,254
Period-end managed accounts	23	23	24	24	26	27	29	30
Percent 30 or more days past due	14.0 %	13.3 %	10.7 %	8.3 %	12.8 %	11.9 %	10.2 %	8.6 %
Percent 60 or more days past due	5.0 %	5.4 %	3.6 %	3.3 %	4.9 %	4.7 %	3.8 %	3.6 %
Percent 90 or more days past due	2.1 %	2.4 %	1.1 %	1.6 %	2.1 %	2.3 %	1.5 %	1.5 %
Average managed receivables	\$65,065	\$69,538	\$75,877	\$80,503	\$92,719	\$106,881	\$120,773	\$140,132
Gross yield ratio	36.8 %	35.3 %	35.2 %	33.9 %	36.3 %	35.5 %	32.6 %	29.2 %
Adjusted charge-off ratio	5.9 %	3.9 %	4.2 %	8.2 %	8.3 %	9.8 %	10.9 %	21.1 %
Recovery ratio	3.5 %	3.9 %	4.7 %	6.0 %	7.1 %	5.6 %	7.0 %	3.4 %
Net interest margin	35.6 %	23.8 %	32.0 %	17.0 %	24.4 %	25.6 %	23.8 %	20.5 %
Other income ratio	3.8 %	2.7 %	2.3 %	2.3 %	1.4 %	1.2 %	0.9 %	-11.2 %

Edgar Filing: Atlanticus Holdings Corp - Form 10-K

Operating ratio 26.1 % 24.7 % 24.0 % 29.9 % 21.3 % 19.5 % 18.7 % 18.7 %

Managed receivables. Period-end managed receivables have gradually declined because we curtailed purchasing and origination activities within our ACC and JRAS operations prior to 2011 (and sold our JRAS operations, but not its underlying receivables, in February 2011). For all of the periods set forth above, only CAR continues to purchase/originate loans, but not at growth levels significant enough to offset the gradual liquidations of our ACC and JRAS portfolios' managed receivables. ACC and JRAS managed receivables are substantially liquidated at this point, and we expect the pace of decline in our managed receivables levels to abate significantly over the next few quarters. We also expect to begin seeing net growth in our managed receivables within the next year.

Table of Contents

Delinquencies. Our ACC and JRAS receivables are substantially liquidated and are at largely insignificant levels relative to our better performing CAR receivables, which have significantly lower late stage (60 or more days past due) delinquency and charge-off rates; this fact and a recovering economy accounted for the modest year-over-year general improvement in delinquency statistics through the end of the second quarter of 2012. Because the JRAS and ACC receivables are largely insignificant, we do not expect any material further improvements in our delinquency statistics associated with further liquidations of these receivables. Delinquencies rose somewhat within our CAR receivables portfolio in the third and fourth quarters of 2012, primarily due to seasonal patterns and a slightly weakened market. Delinquencies experienced in 2011 and early 2012 reflected historically low delinquency rates, and the current levels we are experiencing more closely represent what we would expect going forward. Even at the slightly elevated rates (when compared to last year), because we earn significant yields on CAR's receivables and have significant dealer reserves (i.e., retainages or holdbacks on the amount of funding CAR provides to its dealer customers) to protect against credit losses, we are not currently concerned that the rise in delinquencies will have a significantly adverse impact on our adjusted charge-off ratio.

Gross yield ratio, net interest margin and other income ratio. With the exception of the first and third quarters of 2012, a general trend line of improving net interest margins is evident relative to comparable prior year periods due in part to liquidation of the JRAS and ACC receivables, thereby causing the better-performing CAR receivables to comprise a greater percentage of our average managed auto finance receivables. The terms of our ACC debt facility provide that 37.5% of any cash flows (net of contractual servicing compensation) generated on the ACC auto finance receivables portfolio after repayment of the notes will be allocated to the note holders as additional compensation for the use of their capital. Significant recent improvements in performance of the ACC receivables caused us to resume significant accruals of contingent interest expense under the debt facility, and our accruals of such additional interest during the first and third quarters of 2012 caused the decline in our net interest margin relative to our improving net interest margin trend line. Because all principal under the ACC debt facility has now been repaid (and the only remaining obligation under the facility is for contingent interest that we have fully accrued at this point), we do not expect any significant future effects on our net interest margin associated with contingent interest under the ACC debt facility.

Consistent with our recent experiences, because our liquidating ACC and JRAS receivables are now largely insignificant relative to our total portfolio of auto finance receivables, the higher gross yields we achieve within our CAR operations generally are expected to continue to result in slightly higher trending gross yield ratios and net interest margins in future quarters relative to comparable prior year quarterly levels.

The principal component of our other income ratio was the gross profit that our former JRAS buy-here, pay-here operations generated from their auto sales prior to our sale of these operations in February 2011. As such, the other income ratio historically moved in relative tandem with the volume of JRAS's auto sales. Our other income ratio in the first quarter of 2011 reflects the \$4.6 million loss recognized on the sale of our JRAS operating assets in February 2011. Because of the sale of these operations (and the commensurate elimination of the principal source of other income), we expect an insignificant other income ratio for the foreseeable future in line with what we have experienced in 2012 quarters.

Adjusted charge-off ratio and recovery ratio. We generally charge off auto finance receivables when they are between 120 and 180 days past due, unless the collateral is repossessed and sold before that point, in which case we will record a charge off when the proceeds are received. The adjusted charge-off ratio reflects our net charge offs, less credit quality discount accretion with respect to our acquired portfolios. Our adjusted charge-off ratio was significantly elevated in the first quarter of 2011, principally reflecting the adverse effects of five 2010 JRAS lot closures and the corresponding negative impact this had on JRAS collections. Because our ACC receivables and the receivables of our JRAS operations that we retained in connection with our sale of our JRAS operations in February 2011 have declined and are now largely insignificant relative to our total portfolio of auto finance receivables and because of significantly improved performance of the ACC and JRAS receivables due both to the aging of the portfolios and some economic

recovery and better than expected tax refund seasonal effects, our adjusted charge-off ratio has declined significantly subsequent to the first quarter of 2011. Our CAR receivables, which experience significantly lower charge offs, now comprise a more significant proportion of our average managed auto finance receivables—a factor that not only contributed to the 2011 decline in our adjusted charge-off ratio, but is also expected to result in lower adjusted charge-off ratios in future quarters. Also serving to reduce our second quarter 2011 adjusted charge-off ratio as well as increase our second quarter 2011 recovery ratio was a large sale of repossessed autos at auction related to the receivables of our former JRAS operations, which had accumulated a growing inventory of such vehicles leading into the second quarter of 2011 as well as increased recoveries experienced in our ACC portfolio. A similar increase in recoveries was seen during the fourth quarter of 2011 in our ACC portfolio. We expect our recovery rate to fluctuate modestly from quarter to quarter due to the timing of the sale of repossessed autos, but more importantly, we expect our recovery rate to fall gradually with the declining effects of ACC and JRAS on our operations; CAR experiences significantly lower charge offs and recoveries than experienced in ACC's and JRAS's operations.

Operating ratio. We have experienced a modest general trend line of increasing year-over-year operating ratios, which largely reflects the higher costs of our CAR operations as a percentage of receivables than such operating costs of our ACC and JRAS operations as a percentage of their receivables in prior periods. (Such higher costs correspond with the significantly higher gross yield ratios and net interest margins within our CAR operations.) As noted above, our CAR receivables and operating costs now comprise a greater percentage of respective total Auto Finance segment receivables and operating costs given the gradual liquidation of ACC and JRAS receivables. Notwithstanding this general trend line, we do not expect a significantly higher operating ratio for the foreseeable future. The spike in the first quarter of 2012 operating ratio arose due to an impairment charge of \$1.2 million recognized during that quarter associated with unfavorable terms on the sublease of our former ACC offices and certain non-recurring costs we incurred in the collection of our JRAS receivables.

Table of Contents

Future Expectations

Our CAR operations are performing well in the current environment (achieving consistent profitability) and are expected to continue at current levels for the foreseeable future. Generally offsetting these positive results are ACC and JRAS operations, which are expected to modestly depress overall Auto Finance segment results relative to CAR's stand-alone results for 2012. However, because ACC's and JRAS's receivables are now substantially liquidated, they should have a small and further diminishing adverse future effect on the positive results we are experiencing within our CAR operations. Additionally, planned modest expansions in 2013 will have an initial negative impact on our operating ratio as we incur start up costs associated with the new locations.

Liquidity, Funding and Capital Resources

We continue to see some dislocation in the availability of attractively priced and termed liquidity as a result of the market disruptions that began in 2007. This ongoing disruption has resulted in a decline in liquidity available to fund sub-prime credit card receivables, wider spreads above the underlying interest indices (typically LIBOR for our borrowings) for the loans that lenders are willing to make against credit card receivables, and a decrease in advance rates for those loans. Moreover, the most recent global financial crisis differs in key respects from our experiences during other down economic and financing cycles. First, while we had difficulty obtaining asset-backed financing for our originated credit card portfolio activities at attractive advance rates in the last down cycle (2001 through 2003), the credit spreads (above base pricing indices like LIBOR) at that time were not as wide (expensive) as those seen during the recent crisis. Additionally, while we were successful during that down cycle in obtaining asset-backed financing for credit card portfolio acquisitions at attractive advance rates, pricing and other terms, that financing has not been available from traditional market participants since the advent of the most recent crisis.

Although we are hopeful that subprime credit card liquidity markets ultimately will return to more traditional levels, we are not able to predict when or if that will occur, and we are managing our business with the assumption that such liquidity markets will not return to more traditional levels in the near term. We have closed substantially all of our credit card accounts (other than those associated with our U.K. accounts). To the extent possible given constraints thus far on our ability to reduce expenses at the same rate as our managed receivables are liquidating, we are managing our receivables portfolios with a goal of generating the necessary cash flows over the coming quarters for us to use in de-leveraging our business, while continuing to enhance shareholder value to the greatest extent possible.

All of our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment's structured financing facilities are expected to amortize down with collections on the receivables within their underlying trusts with no bullet repayment requirements or refinancing balance sheet risks to us. Accordingly, we now have only one structured financing facility that presents repayment requirements or refinancing balance sheet risks to us—that being our CAR structured finance facility into which we entered in October of 2011 and which does not mature until October 2014.

Our focus on liquidity has resulted in and will continue to result in growth and profitability trade-offs. For example, as noted throughout this Report, we have closed substantially all of our credit card accounts (other than those underlying our accounts in the U.K.); consequently, our portfolio of our managed credit card receivables is expected to show net liquidations for the foreseeable future.

At December 31, 2012, we had \$67.9 million in unrestricted cash. Because the characteristics of our assets and liabilities change, liquidity management has been a dynamic process for us affected by the pricing and maturity of our assets and liabilities. We historically have financed our business through cash flows from operations, asset-backed structured financings and the issuance of debt and equity. Details concerning our cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2012 are as follow:

-

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we generated \$32.9 million in cash flows from operations compared to \$83.8 million of cash flows from operations generated during the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease was principally related to (1) lower collections of credit card finance charge receivables in the year ended December 31, 2012 relative to the same period in 2011, given diminished receivables levels, (2) the lack of any finance and fee collections associated with our U.K. Internet micro-loan operations in the year ended December 31, 2012, given our sale of these operations in April 2011, and (3) reduced net liquidations of receivables associated with our JRAS operations in 2012 versus 2011.

- During the year ended December 31, 2012, we generated \$199.3 million of cash through our investing activities, compared to generating \$433.5 million of cash in investing activities during the year ended December 31, 2011. This decrease is primarily due to the reduced levels of our outstanding investments and the cash returns thereof in 2012 based on the shrinking size of our liquidating credit card and auto finance receivable portfolios, as well as the net proceeds we received from the sale of our MEM and JRAS operations during the year ended December 31, 2011. Offsetting this decline are net proceeds received during the year ended December 31, 2012 from the sale of our Investments in Previously Charged-Off Receivables segment, including its balance transfer card operations.
- During the year ended December 31, 2012, we used \$309.4 million of cash in financing activities, compared to our use of \$458.6 million of cash in financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2011. In both periods, the data reflect net repayments of debt facilities corresponding with net declines in our loans and fees receivable that serve as the underlying collateral for the facilities (principally credit card and auto loans and fees receivable). Beyond the effects of higher 2011 than 2012 repayment levels based on receivables liquidations under our structured financing facilities, we used more cash to fund stock repurchases in 2011 than in 2012. We used \$105.0 million in proceeds to repurchase stock in a April 2011 tender offer, versus our use of \$82.5 million to repurchase stock in a September 2012 tender offer. These effects were partially offset, however, by the fact that we used only \$59.7 million of cash for convertible senior notes repurchases in the year ended December 31, 2011, versus the \$83.5 million we used to repay our 3.625% convertible senior notes upon the exercise by note holders of a put right in May 2012.

Table of Contents

We note that the \$67.9 million in aggregate December 31, 2012 unrestricted cash referenced above represents the aggregate of all unrestricted cash held by our various business subsidiaries.

Beyond our immediate financing efforts discussed throughout this Report, shareholders should expect us to evaluate debt and equity issuances as a means to fund our investment opportunities. We expect to take advantage of any opportunities to raise additional capital if terms and pricing are attractive to us. Any proceeds raised under these efforts or additional liquidity available to us could be used to fund (1) potential receivables portfolio acquisitions, which may represent attractive opportunities for us in the current liquidity environment, (2) further repurchases of our convertible senior notes and common stock, and (3) investments in certain financial and non-financial assets or businesses. Pursuant to a share repurchase plan authorized by our Board of Directors on May 11, 2012, we are authorized to repurchase 10,000,000 shares of our common stock through June 30, 2014.

Lastly, we note that as of this Report date the only remaining material refunding or refinancing risks to us are those of the CAR financing facility into which we entered in October 2011 and which does not mature until October 2014 and our 5.875% convertible senior notes which are due in November 2035.

Contractual Obligations, Commitments and Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements

Commitments and Contingencies

We do not currently have any off-balance-sheet arrangements; however, we do have certain contractual arrangements that would require us to make payments or provide funding if certain circumstances occur (“contingent commitments”). We do not currently expect that these contingent commitments will result in any material amounts being paid by us. See Note 12, “Commitments and Contingencies,” to our consolidated financial statements included herein for further discussion of these matters.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 2, “Significant Accounting Policies and Consolidated Financial Statement Components,” to our consolidated financial statements included herein for a discussion of recent accounting pronouncements.

Critical Accounting Estimates

We have prepared our financial statements in accordance with GAAP. These principles are numerous and complex. We have summarized our significant accounting policies in the notes to our consolidated financial statements. In many instances, the application of GAAP requires management to make estimates or to apply subjective principles to particular facts and circumstances. A variance in the estimates used or a variance in the application or interpretation of GAAP could yield a materially different accounting result. It is impracticable for us to summarize every accounting principle that requires us to use judgment or estimates in our application. Nevertheless, we describe below the areas for which we believe that the estimations, judgments or interpretations that we have made, if different, would have yielded the most significant differences in our consolidated financial statements.

On a quarterly basis, we review our significant accounting policies and the related assumptions, in particular, those mentioned below, with the audit committee of the Board of Directors.

Measurements for Loans and Fees Receivable at Fair Value and Notes Payable Associated with Structured Financings at Fair Value

Our valuation of loans and fees receivable, at fair value is based on the present value of future cash flows using a valuation model of expected cash flows and the estimated cost to service and collect those cash flows. We estimate the

present value of these future cash flows using a valuation model consisting of internally developed estimates of assumptions third-party market participants would use in determining fair value, including estimates of net collected yield, principal payment rates, expected principal credit loss rates, costs of funds, discount rates and servicing costs. Similarly, our valuation of notes payable associated with structured financings, at fair value is based on the present value of future cash flows utilized in repayment of the outstanding principal and interest under the facilities using a valuation model of expected cash flows net of the contractual service expenses within the facilities. We estimate the present value of these future cash flows using a valuation model consisting of internally developed estimates of assumptions third-party market participants would use in determining fair value, including: estimates of net collected yield, principal payment rates and expected principal credit loss rates on the credit card receivables that secure the non-recourse notes payable; costs of funds; discount rates; and contractual servicing fees.

Table of Contents

The aforementioned credit losses, payment rates, servicing costs, contractual servicing fees, costs of funds, discount rates and yields earned on credit card receivables estimates significantly affect the reported amount of our loans and fees receivable, at fair value and our notes payable associated with structured financings, at fair value on our consolidated balance sheet, and they likewise affect our changes in fair value of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value and changes in fair value of notes payable associated with structured financings recorded at fair value categories within our fees and related income on earning assets line item on our consolidated statement of operations.

Allowance for Uncollectible Loans and Fees

Through our analysis of loan performance, delinquency data, charge-off data, economic trends and the potential effects of those economic trends on our customers, we establish an allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable as an estimate of the probable losses inherent within those loans and fees receivable that we do not report at fair value. To the extent that actual results differ from our estimates of uncollectible loans and fees receivable, our results of operations and liquidity could be materially affected.

Recognition and Measurements with Respect to Uncertain Tax Positions

Our businesses and the tax accounting for our businesses are very complex, thereby giving rise to a number of uncertain tax positions, several of which are matters that are under consideration, and in some cases under dispute, in audits of our operations by various taxing authorities (including the Internal Revenue Service at the federal level with respect to net operating losses that we incurred in 2007 and 2008 and that we carried back to obtain tentative refunds of federal taxes paid in earlier years dating back to 2003).

In determining whether we are entitled to recognize, and in measuring the level of benefits that we are entitled to recognize associated with, uncertain tax positions, we (and experts we have hired to advise us) make an evaluation of the technical merits of a tax position derived from sources of authorities in the tax law (legislation and statutes, legislative intent, regulations, rulings, and case law) and their applicability to the facts and circumstances underlying our tax position. Although we believe we are several years away from ultimate resolution, and possible settlement and payment, with respect to our uncertain tax positions, including those taken in the 2007 and 2008 years under audit by the Internal Revenue Service, it is possible that we may ultimately resolve one or more uncertain tax positions in a manner that differs from the liabilities we have recorded associated with such positions under our recognition and measurement determinations.

To the extent that our ultimate resolutions result in less liability than we have recorded associated with our uncertain tax positions, we could experience a material release of liability, increase in income, and greater liquidity than our investors might otherwise expect. Alternatively, to the extent that our ultimate resolutions result in more liability than we have recorded, our results of operations and liquidity could be materially adversely affected.

ITEM QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

7A.

As a “smaller reporting company,” as defined by Item 10 of Regulation S-K, we are not required to provide this information.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

See the Index to Financial Statements in Item 15, “Exhibits and Financial Statements Schedules.”

Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management of Atlanticus Holdings Corporation is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f)) for Atlanticus Holdings Corporation and our subsidiaries. Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of our principal executive and financial officers to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of our financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with GAAP. Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our principal executive and financial officers, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the framework in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”).

Based on our evaluation under the framework in Internal Control—Integrated Framework, management has concluded that internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of December 31, 2012, an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Act) was carried out on behalf of Atlanticus Holdings Corporation and our subsidiaries by our management with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Based upon the evaluation, management concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2012. During the fourth quarter of our year ended December 31, 2012, no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Act) occurred that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting is set forth in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

This Annual Report does not include an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report is not subject to attestation by our registered public accounting firm pursuant to SEC rules that permit us to provide only management's report in this Annual Report.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this Item will be set forth in our Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders in the sections entitled “Proposal One: Election of Directors,” “Executive Officers of Atlanticus,” “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance” and “Corporate Governance” and is incorporated by reference.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item will be set forth in our Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders in the section entitled “Executive and Director Compensation” and is incorporated by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Equity Compensation Plan Information

We maintain two stock-based employee compensation plans (our Employee Stock Purchase Plan or “ESPP” and our 2008 Equity Incentive Plan). The 2008 Equity Incentive Plan provides for grants of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units and incentive awards. The maximum aggregate number of shares of common stock that may be issued under this plan and to which awards may relate is 2,000,000 shares (of which 953,518 remained as of December 31, 2012). Upon shareholder approval of the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan in May 2008, all remaining shares available for grant under our previous stock option and restricted stock plans were terminated.

All employees, excluding executive officers, are eligible to participate in the ESPP. Under the ESPP, employees can elect to have up to 10% of their annual wages withheld to purchase common stock in Atlanticus up to a fair market value of \$10,000. The amounts deducted and accumulated by each participant are used to purchase shares of common stock at the end of each one-month offering period. The price of stock purchased under the ESPP is approximately 85% of the fair market value per share of our common stock on the last day of the offering period.

The following table provides information about our outstanding option and restricted stock unit awards as of December 31, 2012.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to Be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options and Restricted Stock Units (1)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance under Employee Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in First Column) (2)
Equity compensation plans previously approved by security holders	522,492	\$ 40.99	1,013,518
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	—	—	—

Total	522,492	\$ 40.99	1,013,518
-------	---------	----------	-----------

- (1) Does not include outstanding shares of previously awarded restricted stock.
- (2) Includes 953,518 options or other share-based awards available under our 2008 Equity Incentive Plan and 60,000 shares available under our ESPP as of December 31, 2012.

Further information required by this Item will be set forth in our Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders in the section entitled “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management” and is incorporated by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this Item will be set forth in our Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders in the sections entitled “Related Party Transactions” and “Corporate Governance” and is incorporated by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item will be set forth in our Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders in the section entitled “Auditor Fees” and is incorporated by reference.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

The following documents are filed as part of this Report:

1. Financial Statements

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-1
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	F-2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	F-6
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements as of December 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	F-7

2. Financial Statement Schedules

None.

Table of Contents

3. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit	Incorporated by Reference from Atlanticus's SEC Filings Unless Otherwise Indicated(1)
2.1	Agreement for the sale and purchase of the entire issued share capital of Purpose UK Holdings Limited and certain shares in MEM Holdings Limited, dated December 31, 2010, among CCRT International Holdings B.V., Atlanticus Holdings Corporation (formerly CompuCredit Holdings Corporation), Dollar Financial U.K. Limited and Dollar Financial Corp.	March 4, 2011, Form 10-K, exhibit 2.2
2.2	Asset Purchase Agreement, dated August 5, 2011, by August 8, 2011, Form 8-K, exhibit 2.1 and among Advance America, Cash Advance Centers, Inc., AAFA Acquisition, Inc., Atlanticus Holdings Corporation (formerly CompuCredit Holdings Corporation), CCIP Corp. (formerly CompuCredit Intellectual Property Holdings Corp. II), Valued Services, LLC, Valued Services of Alabama, LLC, Valued Services of Colorado, LLC, Valued Services of Kentucky, LLC, Valued Services of Oklahoma, LLC, Valued Services of Mississippi, LLC, Valued Services of Tennessee, LLC, Valued Services of Wisconsin, LLC, Valued Services of Ohio, LLC, VS of Ohio, LLC, Valued Services of South Carolina, LLC, and VS of South Carolina, LLC.	
2.3	Membership Interest Purchase Agreement between Atlanticus Holdings Corporation (formerly CompuCredit Holdings Corporation) and JCAP Transitory Acquisition Sub, LLC	August 9, 2012, Form 10-Q, exhibit 2.1
3.1	Articles of Incorporation.	June 8, 2009, Proxy Statement/Prospectus, Annex B
3.1	(a) Articles of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation	November 30, 2012, Form 8-K exhibit 3.1
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws (as amended through November 30, 2012).	November 30, 2012, Form 8-K exhibit 3.2
4.1	Form of common stock certificate.	July 7, 2009, Form 8-K, exhibit 3.3
4.2	Indenture dated May 27, 2005 with U.S. Bank National Association, as successor to Wachovia Bank, National Association.	May 31, 2005, Form 8-K, exhibit 4.1
4.3	Supplemental Indenture dated June 30, 2009 with U.S. Bank National Association, as successor to Wachovia Bank, National Association.	July 7, 2009, Form 8-K, exhibit 4.1
4.4	Indenture dated November 23, 2005 with U.S. Bank National Association, as successor to Wachovia Bank, National Association.	November 28, 2005, Form 8-K, exhibit 4.1
4.5	Supplemental Indenture dated June 30, 2009 with U.S. Bank National Association, as successor to	July 7, 2009, Form 8-K, exhibit 4.2

Edgar Filing: Atlanticus Holdings Corp - Form 10-K

	Wachovia Bank, National Association.	
10.1	Stockholders Agreement dated as of April 28, 1999.	January 18, 2000, Form S-1, exhibit 10.1
10.2	† 2008 Equity Incentive Plan	April 16, 2008, Schedule 14A, Appendix A
10.2	(a) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement—Directors.	May 13, 2008, Form 8-K, exhibit 10.2
10.2	(b) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement—Employees.	May 13, 2008, Form 8-K, exhibit 10.3
10.2	(c) Form of Stock Option Agreement—Directors.	May 13, 2008, Form 8-K, exhibit 10.4
10.2	(d) Form of Stock Option Agreement—Employees.	May 13, 2008, Form 8-K, exhibit 10.5
10.2	(e) Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement—Directors	May 13, 2008, Form 8-K, exhibit 10.6
10.2	(f) Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement—Employees.	May 13, 2008, Form 8-K, exhibit 10.7
10.3	† Amended and Restated Employee Stock Purchase Plan.	April 16, 2008, Schedule 14A, Appendix B
10.4	† Amended and Restated Employment Agreement for Richard R. House, Jr.	December 29, 2008, Form 8-K, exhibit 10.4
10.4	(a) Restricted Stock Agreement, dated May 9, 2006 between Atlanticus Holdings Corporation (formerly CompuCredit Holdings Corporation) and Richard R. House, Jr.	May 15, 2006, Form 8-K, exhibit 10.1
10.4	(b) Option Agreement, dated May 9, 2006 between Atlanticus Holdings Corporation (formerly CompuCredit Holdings Corporation) and Richard R. House, Jr.	May 15, 2006, Form 8-K, exhibit 10.2

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit	Incorporated by Reference from Atlanticus's SEC Filings Unless Otherwise Indicated (1)
10.5	† Amended and Restated Employment Agreement for David G. Hanna.	December 29, 2008, Form 8-K, exhibit 10.1
10.6	† Amended and Restated Employment Agreement for Richard W. Gilbert.	December 29, 2008, Form 8-K, exhibit 10.3
10.7	† Amended and Restated Employment Agreement for J.Paul Whitehead, III.	August 9, 2012, Form 10-Q, exhibit 10.1
10.8	† Outside Director Compensation Package.	Filed herewith
10.9	Master Indenture, dated as of July 14, 2000, among CompuCredit Credit Card Master Note Business Trust, The Bank of New York, and Credigistics Corporation (formerly CompuCredit Corporation).	November 14, 2000, Form 10-Q, exhibit 10.1
10.9	(a) First Amendment to Master Indenture dated as of September 7, 2000.	November 14, 2000, Form 10-Q, exhibit 10.1(a)
10.9	(b) Second Amendment to Master Indenture dated as of April 1, 2001.	March 1, 2004, Form 10-K, exhibit 10.9(b)
10.9	(c) Third Amendment to Master Indenture dated as of March 18, 2002.	March 1, 2004, Form 10-K, exhibit 10.9(c)
10.9	(d) Form of Indenture Supplement.	November 22, 2000, Form 10-Q/A, exhibit 10.1(b)
10.9	(e) Amended and Restated Series 2004-One Indenture Supplement, dated March 1, 2010, to the Master Indenture.	June 25, 2010, Form 8-K/A, exhibit 10.2
10.9	(f) Transfer and Servicing Agreement, dated as of July 14, 2000, among CCFC Corp. (formerly CompuCredit Funding Corp.), Credigistics Corporation (formerly CompuCredit Corporation), CompuCredit Credit Card Master Note Business Trust and The Bank of New York.	March 24, 2003, Form 10-K, exhibit 10.11
10.9	(g) First Amendment to Transfer and Servicing Agreement dated as of September 7, 2000.	November 14, 2000, Form 10-Q, exhibit 10.2(a)
10.9	(h) Second Amendment to Transfer and Servicing Agreement dated as of December 28, 2000.	March 30, 2001, Form 10-K, exhibit 10.8(b)
10.9	(i) Third Amendment to Transfer and Servicing Agreement dated as of April 1, 2001.	March 1, 2004, Form 10-K, exhibit 10.10(c)
10.9	(j) Fourth Amendment to Transfer and Servicing Agreement dated as of August 3, 2001.	March 1, 2004, Form 10-K, exhibit 10.10(d)
10.9	(k) Fifth Amendment to Transfer and Servicing Agreement dated as of August 20, 2002.	March 1, 2004, Form 10-K, exhibit 10.10(e)
10.9	(l) Sixth Amendment to Transfer and Servicing Agreement dated as of April 1, 2003.	March 1, 2004, Form 10-K, exhibit 10.10(f)
10.9	(m) Seventh Amendment to Transfer and Servicing Agreement dated as of June 26, 2003.	March 1, 2004, Form 10-K, exhibit 10.10(g)
10.9	(n) Eighth Amendment to Transfer and Servicing Agreement dated as of December 1, 2004.	March 2, 2006, Form 10-K, exhibit 10.10(o)
10.9	(o)	March 2, 2006, Form 10-K, exhibit 10.10(p)

Ninth Amendment to Transfer and Servicing
Agreement dated as of June 10, 2005.

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit	Incorporated by Reference from Atlanticus's SEC Filings unless Otherwise Indicated (1)
10.10	Amended and Restated Note Purchase Agreement, dated March 1, 2010, among Merrill Lynch Mortgage Capital Inc., CCFC Corp. (formerly CompuCredit Funding Corp.), Credigistics Corporation (formerly CompuCredit Corporation), and CompuCredit Credit Card Master Note Business Trust.	June 25, 2010, Form 8-K/A, exhibit 10.1
10.11	Share Lending Agreement.	November 22, 2005, Form 8-K, exhibit 10.1
10.11	(a) Amendment to Share Lending Agreement	March 6, 2012, Form 10-K, exhibit 10.12(a)
10.12	Agreement relating to the Sale and Purchase of Monument Business, dated April 4, 2007.	August 1, 2007, Form 10-Q, exhibit 10.1
10.12	(a) Account Ownership Agreement for Partridge Acquired Portfolio Business Trust, dated April 4, 2007, with R Raphael & Sons PLC.	August 1, 2007, Form 10-Q, exhibit 10.2
10.12	(b) Receivables Purchase Agreement for Partridge Acquired Portfolio Business Trust, dated April 4, 2007, with R Raphael & Sons PLC.	August 1, 2007, Form 10-Q, exhibit 10.3
10.12	(c) Receivables Purchase Agreement for Partridge Acquired Portfolio Business Trust, dated April 4, 2007, with Partridge Funding Corporation.	August 1, 2007, Form 10-Q, exhibit 10.4
10.12	(d) Master Indenture for Partridge Acquired Portfolio Business Trust, dated April 4, 2007, among Partridge Acquired Portfolio Business Trust, Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, Deutsche Bank AG, London Branch and CompuCredit International Acquisition Corporation.	August 1, 2007, Form 10-Q, exhibit 10.5
10.12	(e) Series 2007-One Indenture Supplement for Partridge Acquired Portfolio Business Trust, dated April 4, 2007.	August 1, 2007, Form 10-Q, exhibit 10.6
10.12	(f) Transfer and Servicing Agreement for Partridge Acquired Portfolio Business Trust, dated April 4, 2007, among Partridge Funding Corporation, CIAC Corporation (formerly CompuCredit International Acquisition Corporation), Partridge Acquired Portfolio Business Trust and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas.	August 1, 2007, Form 10-Q, exhibit 10.7
10.13	Assumption Agreement dated June 30, 2009 between Atlanticus Holdings Corporation (formerly CompuCredit Holdings Corporation) and Credigistics Corporation (formerly CompuCredit Corporation)	July 7, 2009, Form 8-K, exhibit 10.1

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit	Incorporated by Reference from Atlanticus's SEC Filings unless Otherwise Indicated (1)
10.14	Loan and Security Agreement, dated October 4, 2011 among CARS Acquisition LLC, et al and Wells Fargo Preferred Capital, Inc.	March 6, 2012, Form 10-K, exhibit 10.16(a)
10.14	(a) Agreement by Atlanticus Holdings Corporation (formerly CompuCredit Holdings Corporation) in favor of Wells Fargo Preferred Capital, Inc	March 6, 2012, Form 10-K, exhibit 10.16(a)
10.15	Credit Agreement, dated November 2, 2011, by and among Jefferson Capital Systems, LLC, Jefferson Capital Card Services, LLC and The Private Bank and Trust Company	February 24, 2012, Form 8-K/A, exhibit 10.1
10.15	(a) Security Agreement, dated November 2, 2011 by and between Jefferson Capital Systems, LLC and The Private Bank and Trust Company.	February 24, 2012, Form 8-K/A, exhibit 10.2
10.15	(b) Security Agreement, dated November 2, 2011 by and between Jefferson Capital Card Services, LLC and The Private Bank and Trust Company.	February 24, 2012, Form 8-K/A, exhibit 10.3
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant.	Filed herewith
23.1	Consent of BDO USA, LLP.	Filed herewith
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).	Filed herewith
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).	Filed herewith
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.	Filed herewith
95	Mine Safety Disclosure	Filed Herewith
99.1	Charter of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.	Filed Herewith
99.2	Charter of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors.	March 1, 2004, Form 10-K, exhibit 99.2
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document	Filed herewith
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	Filed herewith
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document	Filed herewith
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document	Filed herewith
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document	Filed herewith
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document	Filed herewith

Management contract, compensatory plan or arrangement.

(1) Documents incorporated by reference from SEC filings made prior to June 2009 were filed under CompuCredit Corporation (now Credigistics Corporation) (File No. 000-25751), our predecessor issuer.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors

Atlanticus Holdings Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Atlanticus Holdings Corporation as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Atlanticus Holdings Corporation at December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ BDO USA, LLP

Atlanta, Georgia

February 25, 2013

F-1

Table of Contents

Atlanticus Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheets
(Dollars in thousands)

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Assets		
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents	\$ 67,915	\$ 144,913
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	12,921	23,759
Loans and fees receivable:		
Loans and fees receivable, net (of \$8,252 and \$7,480 in deferred revenue and \$8,763 and \$7,156 in allowances for uncollectible loans and fees receivable at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively)	59,952	64,721
Loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, net (of \$22 and \$511 in deferred revenue and \$2,388 and \$7,537 in allowances for uncollectible loans and fees receivable at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively)	9,673	31,902
Loans and fees receivable, at fair value	20,378	28,226
Loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, at fair value	133,595	238,763
Investments in previously charged-off receivables	-	37,110
Property at cost, net of depreciation	7,192	8,098
Investments in equity-method investees	37,756	49,862
Deposits	16,397	2,968
Prepaid expenses and other assets	14,647	17,585
Total assets	\$ 380,426	\$ 647,907
Liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 38,596	\$ 47,140
Notes payable, at face value	22,670	23,765
Notes payable associated with structured financings, at face value	4,077	23,151
Notes payable associated with structured financings, at fair value	140,127	241,755
Convertible senior notes	95,335	176,400
Income tax liability	60,434	59,368
Total liabilities	361,239	571,579
Commitments and contingencies (Note 13)		
Equity		
Common stock, no par value, 150,000,000 shares authorized: 15,509,179 shares issued and outstanding (including 1,672,656 loaned shares to be returned) at December 31, 2012; and 31,997,581 shares issued and 23,559,402 shares outstanding (including 1,672,656 loaned shares to be returned) at December 31, 2011	-	-
Additional paid-in capital	211,122	294,246
	-	(187,615)

Edgar Filing: Atlanticus Holdings Corp - Form 10-K

Treasury stock, at cost, 0 and 8,438,179 shares at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively

Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,154)	(2,257)
Retained deficit	(190,673)	(28,257)
Total shareholders' equity	19,295	76,117
Noncontrolling interests	(108)	211
Total equity	19,187	76,328
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 380,426	\$ 647,907

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

Atlanticus Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries
 Consolidated Statements of Operations
 (Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Interest income:		
Consumer loans, including past due fees	\$ 85,801	\$ 144,331
Other	1,009	1,186
Total interest income	86,810	145,517
Interest expense	(31,124)	(43,828)
Net interest income before fees and related income on earning assets and provision for losses on loans and fees receivable	55,686	101,689
Fees and related income on earning assets	68,210	94,565
Losses upon charge off of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value	(90,128)	(139,480)
Provision for losses on loans and fees receivable recorded at net realizable value	(16,770)	(1,089)
Net interest income, fees and related income on earning assets	16,998	55,685
Other operating income:		
Servicing income	16,233	3,281
Other Income	2,487	7,070
Equity in income of equity-method investees	9,288	32,657
Total other operating income	28,008	43,008
Other operating expense:		
Salaries and benefits	17,317	21,022
Card and loan servicing	41,095	45,345
Marketing and solicitation	2,996	3,620
Depreciation	2,742	4,642
Other	24,687	26,110
Total other operating expense	88,837	100,739
Loss on from continuing operations before income taxes	(43,831)	(2,046)
Income tax benefit	15,609	994
Loss on continuing operations	(28,222)	(1,052)
Discontinued operations:		
Income from discontinued operations before income taxes	69,063	140,063
Income tax expense	(16,709)	(3,947)
Income from discontinued operations	52,354	136,116
Net income	24,132	135,064
Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests (including \$1,129 of income associated with noncontrolling interests in discontinued operations during the year ended December 31, 2011)	319	(1,047)
Net income attributable to controlling interests	\$ 24,451	\$ 134,017
Loss on continuing operations attributable to controlling interests per common share—basic	\$ (1.45)	\$ (0.04)
Loss on continuing operations attributable to controlling interests per common share—diluted	\$ (1.45)	\$ (0.04)

Edgar Filing: Atlanticus Holdings Corp - Form 10-K

Income from discontinued operations attributable to controlling interests per common share—basic	\$ 2.72	\$ 5.25
Income from discontinued operations attributable to controlling interests per common share—diluted	\$ 2.71	\$ 5.23
Net income attributable to controlling interests per common share—basic	\$ 1.27	\$ 5.21
Net income attributable to controlling interests per common share—diluted	\$ 1.26	\$ 5.19

See accompanying notes.

F-3

Table of Contents

Atlanticus Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries
 Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)
 (Dollars in thousands)

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Net income	\$ 24,132	\$ 135,064
Other comprehensive income:		
Foreign currency translation adjustment	1,147	588
Reclassifications of foreign currency translation adjustment to consolidated statements of operations	(19)	2,699
Income tax (expense) benefit related to other comprehensive income	(25)	64
Comprehensive income	25,235	138,415
Comprehensive loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests	319	(1,047)
Comprehensive income attributable to controlling interests	\$ 25,554	\$ 137,368

See accompanying notes.

F-4

Table of Contents

Atlanticus Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Equity
For the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011
(Dollars in thousands)

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Treasury Stock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss		Retained Deficit	Noncontrolling Interests	Total Equity
	Shares Issued	Amount							
Balance at December 31, 2010	46,217,050	—	\$ 408,751	\$ (208,696)	\$ (5,608)	\$ (151,609)	\$ 18,979	\$ 61,817	
Use of treasury stock for stock-based compensation plans	(550,414)	—	(13,804)	24,469	—	(10,665)	—	—	
Compensatory stock issuances	35,677	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation costs	—	—	2,460	—	—	—	—	2,460	
Purchase of treasury stock	—	—	—	(3,388)	—	—	—	(3,388)	
Repurchase of noncontrolling interests	—	—	5,385	—	—	—	(20,243)	(14,858)	
Contributions by owners of noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	663	663	
Redemption and retirement of shares	(13,704,732)	—	(105,000)	—	—	—	—	(105,000)	
Consolidation of variable interest entity	—	—	—	—	—	—	(235)	(235)	
Settlement of stock-based compensation plan	—	—	(3,513)	—	—	—	—	(3,513)	
Net income	—	—	—	—	—	134,017	1,047	135,064	
	—	—	(33)	—	3,351	—	—	3,318	

Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax									
Balance at December 31, 2011	31,997,581	-	294,246	(187,615)	(2,257)	(28,257)	211	76,328	
Use of treasury stock for stock-based compensation plans	(118,277)	-	(944)	5,169	-	(4,225)	-	-	
Compensatory stock issuances	199,777	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation costs	-	-	320	-	-	-	-	320	
Purchase of treasury stock	-	-	-	(196)	-	-	-	(196)	
Redemption and retirement of shares	(16,569,902)	-	(82,500)	182,642	-	(182,642)	-	(82,500)	
Net income	-	-	-	-	-	24,451	(319)	24,132	
Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax	-	-	-	-	1,103	-	-	1,103	
Balance at December 31, 2012	15,509,179	\$ -	\$ 211,122	\$ -	\$ (1,154)	\$ (190,673)	\$ (108)	\$ 19,187	

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

Atlanticus Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Dollars in thousands)

	For the Year Months Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Operating activities		
Net income	\$ 24,132	\$ 135,064
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation, amortization and accretion, net	2,574	6,187
Losses upon charge off of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value	90,128	139,480
Provision for losses on loans and fees receivable	19,343	20,576
Accretion of discount on convertible senior notes	2,428	6,442
Stock-based compensation expense	320	2,460
Unrealized gain on loans and fees receivable and underlying notes payable held at fair value	(59,352)	(90,978)
Deferred taxes	329	374
Income from equity-method investments	(9,288)	(32,657)
Gain on buy-out of equity-method investee members	-	(619)
Net gain on sale of subsidiary operations	(57,341)	(106,481)
Other non cash adjustments to income	1,602	(261)
Changes in assets and liabilities, exclusive of business acquisitions:		
Decrease (increase) in uncollected fees on earning assets	15,597	(12,617)
Decrease in JRAS auto loans receivable	3,801	12,805
Increase (decrease) in income tax liability	737	(3,903)
(Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses	(3,734)	9,001
Decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses	(3,501)	(4,660)
Other	5,172	3,560
Net cash provided by operating activities	32,947	83,773
Investing activities		
Decrease in restricted cash	9,611	13,752
Investment in equity-method investees	(1,354)	(34,336)
Proceeds from equity-method investees	23,808	23,383
Investments in earning assets	(227,293)	(611,231)
Proceeds from earning assets	298,009	852,419
Investments in subsidiaries	(3,514)	(2,013)
Net cash associated with newly acquired consolidated subsidiaries	-	1,025
Proceeds from sale of subsidiary operations	102,191	192,054
Purchases and development of property, net of disposals	(2,186)	(1,541)
Net cash provided by investing activities	199,272	433,512
Financing activities		
Noncontrolling interests contributions, net	-	663
Purchase of outstanding stock subject to tender offer	(82,500)	(105,000)
Purchase of treasury stock	(196)	(3,388)
Purchases of noncontrolling interests	-	(4,067)
Proceeds from borrowings	21,280	33,462
Repayment of borrowings	(247,983)	(380,288)

Edgar Filing: Atlanticus Holdings Corp - Form 10-K

Net cash used in financing activities	(309,399)	(458,618)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	182	896
Net (decrease) increase in unrestricted cash	(76,998)	59,563
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	144,913	85,350
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 67,915	\$ 144,913
Supplemental cash flow information		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 28,959	\$ 38,083
Net cash income tax payments	\$ 49	\$ 6,479
Supplemental non-cash information		
Issuance of stock options and restricted stock	\$ 559	\$ 303
Notes payable associated with capital leases	\$ 182	\$ -

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

Atlanticus Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2012 and 2011

1. Description of Our Business

Our accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Atlanticus Holdings Corporation (the “Company”) and those entities we control, principally our majority-owned subsidiaries. We are primarily focused on providing financial services. Through our subsidiaries, we offer an array of financial products and services to a market represented by credit risks that regulators classify as “sub-prime.” As discussed further below, we reflect our continuing business lines within two reportable segments: Credit Cards and Other Investments; and Auto Finance. See also Note 4, “Segment Reporting,” for further details.

On November 28, 2012, we announced a change in our name from CompuCredit Holdings Corporation to Atlanticus Holdings Corporation, and we changed our NASDAQ ticker symbol from “CCRT” to “ATLC.” The name change became effective on November 30, 2012. Our common stock began trading under our new ticker symbol on December 3, 2012.

We traditionally have served our customers principally through our marketing and solicitation of credit card accounts and other credit products and our servicing of various receivables. We closed substantially all of the credit card accounts underlying our credit card receivables portfolios in 2009. The only open credit card accounts underlying our credit card receivables are those generated through our credit card products in the U.K. Several of our portfolios of credit card receivables underlying now-closed accounts are encumbered by non-recourse structured financings, and for some of these portfolios, our only remaining economic interest is the servicing compensation that we receive as an offset against our servicing costs given that the likely future collections on the portfolios are insufficient to allow for full repayment of the financings. We have been successful in partnering with certain financing partners to purchase the debt underlying two of our portfolios, and we are pursuing other similar transactions. Beyond these activities within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment, we are applying the experiences and infrastructure associated with our historic credit card offerings to other credit product offerings, including private label merchant credit whereby we partner with retailers to provide credit at the point of sale to their customers. We specialize in providing this “second look” credit service in various industries across the U.S. Using our global infrastructure and technology platform, we also provide loan servicing activities, including underwriting, marketing, customer service and collections operations for third parties. Lastly, through our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment, we are engaged in limited investment activities in ancillary finance, technology and other businesses as we seek to build new products and relationships that could allow for greater utilization of our expertise and infrastructure.

Within our Auto Finance segment, our CAR subsidiary operations principally purchase and/or service loans secured by automobiles from or for and also provide floor-plan financing for a pre-qualified network of independent automotive dealers and automotive finance companies in the buy-here, pay-here used car business. We purchase the auto loans at a discount and with dealer retentions or holdbacks that provide risk protection. Also within our Auto Finance segment, we are collecting on portfolios of auto finance receivables that we previously originated through franchised and independent auto dealers in connection with prior business activities.

In August 2012, we completed a transaction to sell to Flexpoint Fund II, L.P. our Investments in Previously Charged-Off Receivables segment, including its balance transfer card operations. As a result, these operations are included as discontinued operations for all periods presented within our consolidated statements of operations. Also included within discontinued operations for all periods presented are the activities of our former MEM and Retail Micro-Loans segment operations, which we sold in 2011. We had no business operating assets that were held for sale as of December 31, 2012.

F-7

Table of Contents

2. Significant Accounting Policies and Consolidated Financial Statement Components

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies we follow in preparing our consolidated financial statements, as well as a description of significant components of our consolidated financial statements.

Basis of Presentation and Use of Estimates

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance GAAP, under which we are required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of our consolidated financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during each reporting period. We base these estimates on information available to us as of the date of the financial statements. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates. Certain estimates, such as credit losses, payment rates, costs of funds, discount rates and the yields earned on credit card receivables, significantly affect the reported amount of two categories of credit card receivables that we report at fair value and our notes payable associated with structured financings, at fair value, as reported on our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2012 and 2011; these estimates likewise affect our changes in fair value of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value and changes in fair value of notes payable associated with structured financings recorded at fair value categories within our fees and related income on earning assets line item on our consolidated statement of operations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. Additionally, estimates of future credit losses on our loans and fees receivable that we report at net realizable value, rather than fair value, have a significant effect on two categories of such loans and fees receivable, net, that we show on our consolidated balance sheets, as well as on the provision for losses on loans and fees receivable within our consolidated statements of operations.

We have reclassified certain amounts in our prior period consolidated financial statements related to discontinued operations to conform to current period presentation, and we have eliminated all significant intercompany balances and transactions for financial reporting purposes.

Unrestricted Cash and Cash Equivalents

Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents consist of cash, money market investments and overnight deposits. We consider all highly liquid cash investments with low interest rate risk and original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents are carried at cost, which approximates market. We maintain unrestricted cash and cash equivalents for general operating purposes and to meet our longer term debt obligations. The majority of these cash balances are not insured.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 includes certain collections on loans and fees receivable, the cash balances of which are required to be distributed to noteholders under our debt facilities. Our restricted cash balances also include minimum cash balances held in accounts at the request of certain of our business partners.

Loans and Fees Receivable

Our loans and fees receivable include: (1) loans and fees receivable, net; (2) loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, net; (3) loans and fees receivable, at fair value; and (4) loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, at fair value.

Loans and Fees Receivable, Net. Our two categories of loans and fees receivable, net, currently consist of receivables carried at net realizable value (1) associated with (a) U.K. credit card and U.S. private label merchant and other credit

products currently being marketed within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment and (b) our Auto Finance segment's CAR and former JRAS operations (all the aforementioned being labeled in loans and fees receivable, net), and (2) associated with our former ACC auto finance business, which is separately labeled as pledged as collateral for a non-recourse asset-backed structured financing facility. Our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment loans and fees receivable generally are unsecured, while our Auto Finance segment loans and fees receivable generally are secured by the underlying automobiles in which we hold the vehicle title.

As applicable, we show loans and fees receivable net of both an allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable and unearned fees (or "deferred revenue"). For example, our private label merchant and auto finance loans and fees receivable include principal balances and associated fees and interest due from customers which are earned each period a loan is outstanding, net of the unearned portion of loan discounts which we recognize over the life of each loan.

F-8

Table of Contents

For our loans and fees receivable carried at net realizable value (i.e., as opposed to those carried at fair value), we provide an allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable for loans and fees receivable we believe we ultimately will not collect as a result of incurred losses. We determine the necessary allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable by analyzing some or all of the following: historical loss rates; current delinquency and roll-rate trends; vintage analyses based on the number of months an account has been in existence; the effects of changes in the economy on our customers; changes in underwriting criteria; and estimated recoveries. A considerable amount of judgment is required to assess the ultimate amount of uncollectible loans and fees receivable, and we continuously evaluate and update our methodologies to determine the most appropriate allowance necessary.

The components of our aggregated categories of loans and fees receivable, net (in millions) for reporting periods relevant to this Report are as follows:

	Balance at December 31, 2011	Additions	Subtractions	Assets Sold	Balance at December 31, 2012
Loans and fees receivable, gross	\$ 119.3	\$ 178.7	\$ (190.3)	\$ (18.6)	\$ 89.1
Deferred revenue	(8.0)	(26.5)	26.2	\$ -	(8.3)
Allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable	(14.7)	(19.4)	19.3	\$ 3.6	(11.2)
Loans and fees receivable, net	\$ 96.6	\$ 132.8	\$ (144.8)	\$ (15.0)	\$ 69.6

	Balance at December 31, 2010	Additions	Subtractions	Transfer to Assets Held for Sale	Balance at December 31, 2011
Loans and fees receivable, gross	\$ 227.7	\$ 366.6	\$ (432.7)	\$ (42.3)	\$ 119.3
Deferred revenue	(20.5)	(36.9)	43.6	5.8	(8.0)
Allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable	(37.6)	(9.9)	28.8	4.0	(14.7)
Loans and fees receivable, net	\$ 169.6	\$ 319.8	\$ (360.3)	\$ (32.5)	\$ 96.6

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the weighted average remaining accretion periods for the \$8.3 million and \$8.0 million, respectively, of deferred revenue reflected in the above tables were 12.7 and 13.3 months, respectively.

A roll-forward (in millions) of our allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable by class of receivable is as follows:

	Credit Cards	Auto Finance	Other Unsecured Lending Products	Total
For the Year Ended December 31, 2012				
Allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable:				
Balance at beginning of period	\$(4.0)	\$(8.4)	\$(2.3)	\$(14.7)
Provision for loan losses (includes \$2.6 million of provision netted within income from discontinued operations)	(14.6)	1.0	(5.8)	(19.4)
Charge offs	11.2	7.6	4.7	23.5
Recoveries	(0.8)	(3.3)	(0.1)	(4.2)
Sale of Assets	3.6	-	-	3.6
Balance at end of period	\$(4.6)	\$(3.1)	\$(3.5)	\$(11.2)
Balance at end of period individually evaluated for impairment	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-

Edgar Filing: Atlanticus Holdings Corp - Form 10-K

Balance at end of period collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ (4.6)	\$ (3.1)	\$ (3.5)	\$ (11.2)
Loans and fees receivable:				
Loans and fees receivable, gross	\$ 7.2	\$ 64.2	\$ 17.7	\$ 89.1
Loans and fees receivable individually evaluated for impairment	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Loans and fees receivable collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 7.2	\$ 64.2	\$ 17.7	\$ 89.1

F-9

Table of Contents

For the Year Ended December 31, 2011	Credit Cards	Auto Finance	Other Unsecured Lending Products	Total
Allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable:				
Balance at beginning of period	\$(4.0)	\$(28.3)	\$(5.3)	\$(37.6)
Provision for loan losses (includes \$8.8 million of provision netted within income from discontinued operations)	(4.2)	3.7	(9.4)	(9.9)
Charge offs	5.3	16.7	8.8	30.8
Recoveries	(1.1)	(1.2)	(0.4)	(2.7)
Transfer to assets held for sale	-	-	4.0	4.0
Sale of assets	-	0.7	-	0.7
Balance at end of period	\$(4.0)	\$(8.4)	\$(2.3)	\$(14.7)
Balance at end of period individually evaluated for impairment	\$-	\$(0.2)	\$-	\$(0.2)
Balance at end of period collectively evaluated for impairment	\$(4.0)	\$(8.2)	\$(2.3)	\$(14.5)
Loans and fees receivable:				
Loans and fees receivable, gross	\$20.5	\$91.5	\$7.3	\$119.3
Loans and fees receivable individually evaluated for impairment	\$-	\$0.6	\$-	\$0.6
Loans and fees receivable collectively evaluated for impairment	\$20.5	\$90.9	\$7.3	\$118.7

The components (in millions) of loans and fees receivable, net as of the date of each of our consolidated balance sheets are as follows:

	As of December 31,	
	2012	2011
Current loans receivable	\$ 71.4	\$ 100.9
Current fees receivable	0.8	1.9
Delinquent loans and fees receivable	16.9	16.5
Loans and fees receivable, gross	\$ 89.1	\$ 119.3

Delinquent loans and fees receivable reflect the principal, fee and interest components of loans that we did not collect on the contractual due date. Amounts we believe we will not ultimately collect are included as a component in our overall allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable and typically are charged off 180 days from the point they become delinquent for our auto finance, credit card and private label merchant credit receivables, or sooner if facts and circumstances earlier indicate non-collectability. Recoveries on accounts previously charged off are credited to the allowance for uncollectible loans and fees receivable and effectively offset our provision for loan losses in our accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

We consider loan delinquencies a key indicator of credit quality as it provides the best ongoing estimate of how a particular class of receivables is performing. An aging of our delinquent loans and fees receivable, gross (in millions) by class of receivable as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

	Credit Cards	Auto Finance	Other Unsecured Lending Products	Total
As of December 31, 2012				
30-59 days past due	\$0.7	\$5.4	\$0.6	\$6.7
60-89 days past due	1.0	2.0	0.5	3.5
90 or more days past due	4.2	1.6	0.9	6.7
Delinquent loans and fees receivable, gross	5.9	9.0	2.0	16.9
Current loans and fees receivable, gross	1.3	55.2	15.7	72.2
Total loans and fees receivable, gross	\$7.2	\$64.2	\$17.7	\$89.1
Balance of loans greater than 90-days delinquent still accruing interest and fees	\$-	\$0.5	\$-	\$0.5

	Credit Cards	Auto Finance	Other Unsecured Lending Products	Total
As of December 31, 2011				
30-59 days past due	\$0.8	\$6.9	\$0.7	\$8.4
60-89 days past due	0.7	2.5	0.6	3.8
90 or more days past due	1.5	1.9	0.9	4.3
Delinquent loans and fees receivable, gross	3.0	11.3	2.2	16.5
Current loans and fees receivable, gross	17.5	80.2	5.1	102.8
Total loans and fees receivable, gross	\$20.5	\$91.5	\$7.3	\$119.3
Balance of loans greater than 90-days delinquent still accruing interest and fees	\$-	\$1.3	\$-	\$1.3

Loans and Fees Receivable, at Fair Value. Both categories of our loans and fees receivable held at fair value represent receivables underlying credit card securitization trusts that were consolidated onto our consolidated balance sheet pursuant to accounting rules changes on January 1, 2010, some portfolios of which are unencumbered (those labeled loans and fees receivables, at fair value) and some of which are still encumbered under structured financing facilities (those labeled loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, at fair value). Further details concerning our loans and fees receivable held at fair value are presented within Note 7, "Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities."

Investments in Previously Charged-Off Receivables

In August 2012, we completed a transaction to sell to Flexpoint Fund II, L.P. for \$130.5 million our Investments in Previously Charged-Off Receivables segment, including its balance transfer card operations, the credit card receivables (and underlying activities) of which were historically reflected within our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment. The sales price included (1) consideration at closing of \$119.7 million (cash of \$106.7 million and a note receivable issued to us at closing of \$13.0 million, which was subsequently repaid), of which \$10.0 million in cash is being held in escrow for 12 months following the closing date of the transaction to satisfy certain indemnification provisions, and (2) an additional \$10.8 million in cash we received in the fourth quarter of 2012 upon the achievement of certain targets. Our basis in the net assets that were included in the sale was \$67.0 million resulting in a gain on sale (after related expenses and recognition of the additional contingent consideration) of \$57.3 million, which is reported within our income from discontinued operations category on our consolidated statements of

operations.

F-11

Table of Contents

The following table shows (in thousands) a roll-forward of our investments in previously charged-off receivables activities leading up to our sale of these operations:

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Unrecovered balance at beginning of period	\$ 37,110	\$ 29,889
Acquisitions of defaulted accounts	47,958	46,974
Cash collections	(62,614)	(82,236)
Cost-recovery method income recognized on defaulted accounts (included as a component of discontinued operations on our consolidated statements of operations)	33,150	42,483
Sale of unrecovered balance	(55,604)	-
Unrecovered balance at end of period	\$ -	\$ 37,110

Deposits

Deposits include various amounts required to be maintained with our landlords, third-party issuing and other banking relationships and retail electronic payment network providers associated with our ongoing credit card efforts in the U.K. Also included is \$10.0 million held in escrow for 12 months following the closing date of the sale of our Investments in Previously Charged-Off Receivables segment, including its balance transfer card operations, to satisfy certain indemnification provisions.

Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets

Prepaid expenses and other assets include amounts paid to third parties for marketing and other services. These prepaid amounts are expensed as the underlying related services are performed. Also included are (1) commissions paid associated with our various office leases which we amortize into expense over the lease terms and (2) certain notes receivable, including a note receivable associated with the sale of our JRAS's operations in February 2011 as discussed further in Note 10, "Notes Payable."

Property at cost, net of depreciation

We capitalize costs related to internal development and implementation of software used in our operating activities in accordance with applicable accounting literature. These capitalized costs consist almost exclusively of fees paid to third-party consultants to develop code and install and test software specific to our needs and to customize purchased software to maximize its benefit to us.

We record our property at cost less accumulated depreciation or amortization. We compute depreciation expense using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of our assets, which are approximately 40 years for buildings, five years for furniture, fixtures and equipment, and three years for software. We amortize leasehold improvements over the shorter of their estimated useful lives or the terms of their respective underlying leases.

We periodically review our property to determine if it is impaired, and we experienced no material impairments in 2012 or 2011 other than those associated with an Alabama start-up coal strip mine operation that has now ceased mining operations.

Table of Contents

Investments in Equity-Method Investees

We account for investments using the equity method of accounting if we have the ability to exercise significant influence, but not control, over the investees. Significant influence is generally deemed to exist if we have an ownership interest in the voting stock of an incorporated investee of between 20% and 50%, although other factors, such as representation on an investee's board of managers, specific voting and veto rights held by each investor and the effects of commercial arrangements, are considered in determining whether equity method accounting is appropriate. We use the equity method for our investments in a limited liability company formed in 2004 to acquire a portfolio of credit card receivables. We also use the equity method to account for our March 2011 investment to acquire a 50.0% interest in a joint venture with an unrelated third party that purchased all (then \$164.0 million in face amount) of the outstanding notes issued out of the structured financing trust underlying our U.K. portfolio of credit card receivables (the "U.K. Portfolio") for a discounted purchase price of \$64.5 million in cash, a price that the joint venture partners determined to be attractive based on a discounted cash flow analysis of the remaining expected payments on the notes (all of which were allocable to the class "A" portion of the outstanding notes given that no payments were expected associated with the class "B" portion of the outstanding notes thereby rendering them worthless). At the time of their acquisition by the joint venture, we carried the notes as a liability on our consolidated balance sheet at their fair value of \$98.7 million. The 50.0%-owned joint venture elected to account for its investment in the U.K. Portfolio structured financing notes at their fair value, and it recognized a \$34.2 million gain (of which our 50% share represented \$17.1 million) in the three months ended March 31, 2011 equal to the excess of the fair value of the notes at that date over the joint venture's discounted purchase price of the notes. We record our respective interests in the income of our equity-method investees within the equity in income of equity-method investees category on our consolidated statements of operations.

In January 2011, we acquired an additional 47.5% interest in a 47.5% equity-method investee which we had historically accounted for under the equity method of accounting, thereby bringing our aggregate interest in this entity to a 95.0% ownership threshold and leading us to conclude that the assets and liabilities of this entity should be consolidated within our consolidated balance sheets. Additionally, we acquired the remaining 5.0% noncontrolling interest in April 2011 bringing our total ownership to 100% for all subsequent financial reporting periods.

We evaluate our investments in the equity-method investees for impairment each quarter by comparing the carrying amount of each investment to its fair value. Because no active market exists for the investees' limited liability company membership interests, we evaluate our investments for impairment based on our evaluation of the fair value of the equity-method investees' net assets relative to their carrying values. If we ever were to determine that the carrying values of our investments in were greater than their fair values, we would write the investments in equity-method investees down to their fair values.

Fees and Related Income on Earning Assets

Fees and related income on earning assets primarily include: (1) fees associated with our credit products, including the receivables underlying our U.S. private label merchant credit activities, and our credit card receivables; (2) changes in the fair value of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value; (3) changes in fair value of notes payable associated with structured financings recorded at fair value; (4) (losses) gains associated with our investments in securities; and (6) gross losses from auto sales formerly conducted within our Auto Finance segment. We assess fees on credit card accounts underlying our credit card receivables according to the terms of the related cardholder agreements and, except for annual membership fees, we recognize these fees as income when they are charged to the cardholders' accounts. We accrete annual membership fees associated with our credit card receivables into income on a straight-line basis over the cardholder privilege period. Similarly, fees on our other credit products are generally recognized when earned, which coincides with the time they are charged to the customer's account.

The components (in thousands) of our fees and related income on earning assets are as follows:

Edgar Filing: Atlanticus Holdings Corp - Form 10-K

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Fees on credit products	\$ 16,478	\$ 10,474
Changes in fair value of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value (1)	89,502	181,502
Changes in fair value of notes payable associated with structured financings recorded at fair value	(30,150)	(90,524)
Losses on investments in securities	(4,254)	(4,449)
Loss on sale of JRAS assets	-	(4,648)
Other	(3,366)	2,210
Total fees and related income on earning assets	\$ 68,210	\$ 94,565

The above changes in fair value of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value category excludes the impact of charge offs associated with these receivables which are separately stated on our consolidated statements of operations. See Note 7, "Fair values of Assets and Liabilities," for further discussion of these receivables and their effects on our consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

Ancillary and Interchange Revenues

We offer certain ancillary products and services (e.g., memberships, subscription services and debt waiver) to our cardholder customers (most of which are only offered with respect to open credit card accounts). When we market our own products, we record the fees, net of estimated cancellations, as deferred revenue upon the customer's acceptance of the product and we amortize them on a straight-line basis over the life of the product (which ranges from one to twelve months). When we market products for third parties under commission arrangements, we recognize the revenue when we earn it, which is generally during the same month the product is sold to the customer. We consider revenue to be earned once delivery has occurred (i.e., when there is no further performance obligation), the commission is fixed and collectability is reasonably assured. Once these conditions are satisfied, we recognize our commission as ancillary product revenue. Additionally, we receive a portion of the merchant fee assessed by retail electronic payment network providers based on cardholder purchase volumes underlying credit card receivables generated within open credit card accounts, and we recognize these interchange fees as we receive them.

Card and Loan Servicing Expenses

Card and loan servicing costs primarily include collections and customer service expenses. Within this category of expenses are personnel, service bureau, cardholder correspondence and other direct costs associated with our collections and customer service efforts. Card and loan servicing costs also include outsourced collections and customer service expenses. We expense card and loan servicing costs as we incur them, with the exception of prepaid costs, which we expense over respective service periods.

Marketing and Solicitation Expenses

We generally expense credit card account and other product solicitation costs, including printing, credit bureaus, list processing costs, telemarketing, postage and Internet marketing fees, as we incur these costs or expend resources; however, we do capitalize certain direct receivables origination costs and amortize them over account lives.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In August 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued proposed guidance that requires enhanced disclosures for reclassification adjustments out of accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI"). The proposed disclosures would require an entity to break the current period changes in the accumulated balances for each component of other comprehensive income into two categories—amounts reclassified out of AOCI, and everything else. Additional disclosures would be required displaying significant items reclassified out of each component of AOCI, including (1) the line items impacted for those items being reclassified into earnings and (2) a cross-reference to the financial statement notes where further discussion is contained for those items not reclassified into earnings. A final rule was issued in February 2013 and is first effective for us for our financial reporting period ending March 31, 2013. We currently are evaluating the impact of this new guidance, although we do not expect it to be material to our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued guidance requiring entities to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on an entity's financial position. The amendments require enhanced disclosures by requiring improved information about financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either (1) offset in accordance with current literature or (2) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement, irrespective of whether they are offset in accordance with current literature. The guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after January 1, 2013. This standard is effective for us for our financial reporting period ending March 31, 2013, and the disclosures are to be applied retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. We currently are evaluating the impact of this new guidance, although we do not expect it to be material to our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued amended guidance on fair value that is intended to provide a converged fair value framework for U.S. GAAP and IFRS. The amended guidance results in a consistent definition of fair value and common requirements for measurement of and disclosure about fair value between U.S. GAAP and IFRS. While the amended guidance continues to define fair value as an exit price, it changes some fair value measurement principles and expands the existing disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. The amended guidance is effective for public entities for interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011, with early adoption prohibited. The new guidance requires prospective application and disclosure in the period of adoption of the change, if any, in valuation techniques and related inputs resulting from application of the amendments and quantification of the total effect, if practicable. We adopted the amended guidance in the first quarter of 2012 and it had no material effects on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Subsequent Events

We evaluate subsequent events that occur after our consolidated balance sheet date but before our consolidated financial statements are issued. There are two types of subsequent events: (1) recognized, or those that provide additional evidence with respect to conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet, including the estimates inherent in the process of preparing financial statements; and (2) nonrecognized, or those that provide evidence with respect to conditions that did not exist at the date of the balance sheet but arose subsequent to that date. We have evaluated subsequent events occurring after December 31, 2012, and based on our evaluation, we did not identify any recognized or nonrecognized subsequent events that would have required further adjustments to our consolidated financial statements.

3. Discontinued Operations

In April, 2011 and October, 2011, respectively, we sold our U.K. Internet micro-loan subsidiaries and our retail micro-loans subsidiaries; additionally, in August 2012 we sold our Investments in Previously Charged-Off receivables segment along with our balance transfer card operations. Accordingly, their results of operations are shown as discontinued operations within our consolidated statements of operations for all periods presented. Key components of discontinued operations on our consolidated statements of operations are as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Net interest income, fees and related income on earning assets	\$ 37,137	\$ 118,846
Gain on sale of assets	57,341	108,829
Other operating expense	25,415	87,612
Income before income taxes	69,063	140,063
Income tax expense	(16,709)	(3,947)
Net income	\$ 52,354	\$ 136,116
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	\$ -	\$ 1,129

There were no assets held for sale on either our December 31, 2012 or December 31, 2011 consolidated balance sheets.

4. Segment Reporting

Our segment accounting policies are the same as policies described in Note 2, "Significant Accounting Policies and Consolidated Financial Statement Components."

We operate primarily within one industry consisting of two reportable segments by which we manage our business. Our two reportable segments are: Credit Cards and Other Investments and Auto Finance. Due to the 2011 sales of our Retail and U.K.-based Internet micro-loans operations and our August 2012 sale of our Investments in Previously Charged-Off Receivables segment, we have eliminated segment reporting for our former Retail Micro-Loans, Internet Micro-Loans and Investments in Previously Charged-Off Receivables segments. Additionally, we have renamed our Credit Card segment as the Credit Cards and Other Investments segment to encompass ancillary investments and product offerings that are largely start-up in nature and do not qualify for separate segment reporting. All prior period data have been reclassified to this new current period presentation.

As of both December 31, 2012 and 2011, we did not have a material amount of long-lived assets located outside of the U.S., and only a negligible portion of our 2012 and 2011 revenues associated with our continuing operations has been generated outside of the U.S.

Table of Contents

We measure the profitability of our reportable segments based on their income after allocation of specific costs and corporate overhead; however, our segment results do not reflect any charges for internal capital allocations among our segments. Overhead costs are allocated based on headcounts and other applicable measures to better align costs with the associated revenues. Summary operating segment information (in thousands) is as follows:

Year Ended December 31, 2012	Credit Cards and Other Investments	Auto Finance	Total
Interest income:			
Consumer loans, including past due fees	\$ 61,423	\$24,378	\$85,801
Other	740	269	1,009
Total interest income	62,163	24,647	86,810
Interest expense	(25,472)	(5,652)	(31,124)
Net interest income before fees and related income on earning assets and provision for losses on loans and fees receivable	36,691	18,995	55,686
Fees and related income on earning assets	66,939	1,271	68,210
Servicing income	15,438	795	16,233
Equity in income of equity-method investees	9,288	-	9,288
Income tax benefit (expense)	17,989	(2,380)	15,609
(Loss on) income from continuing operations before income taxes	\$ (46,666)	\$2,835	\$(43,831)
Total assets	\$ 316,511	\$63,915	\$380,426

Year Ended December 31, 2011	Credit Cards and Other Investments	Auto Finance	Total
Interest income:			
Consumer loans, including past due fees	\$ 108,201	\$36,130	\$144,331
Other	960	226	1,186
Total interest income	109,161	36,356	145,517
Interest expense	(34,568)	(9,260)	(43,828)
Net interest income before fees and related income on earning assets and provision for losses on loans and fees receivable	74,593	27,096	101,689
Fees and related income on earning assets	98,586	(4,021)	94,565
Servicing income	2,773	508	3,281
Equity in income of equity-method investees	32,657	-	32,657
Income tax benefit (expense)	13,009	(12,015)	994
(Loss on) income from continuing operations before income taxes	\$ (6,560)	\$4,514	\$(2,046)
Total assets	\$ 561,117	\$86,790	\$647,907

Table of Contents

5. Shareholders' Equity

Retired Shares

Pursuant to the closing of a tender offer in September 2012, we repurchased 8,250,000 shares of our common stock at a purchase price of \$10.00 per share for an aggregate cost of \$82.5 million. These shares were retired contemporaneously with the repurchase transaction. Additionally, during the three months ended March 31, 2012, we retired all of our common shares held in treasury, thereby resulting in a \$182.6 million charge to retained deficit in that period. Lastly, pursuant to the closing of a tender offer in April 2011, we repurchased 13,125,000 shares of our common stock at a purchase price of \$8.00 per share for an aggregate cost of \$105.0 million, and those shares were retired. We exclude all retired shares from our outstanding share counts.

Prior to the retirement of common shares held in treasury during the three months ended March 31, 2012, we periodically reissued such shares to satisfy exercised options and vested restricted stock. We reissued 154,815 of such shares at gross costs of \$5.2 million during the three months ended March 31, 2012, and we reissued 732,567 of such shares at a gross cost of \$24.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. Also prior to the retirement of common shares held in treasury during the three months ended March 31, 2012, we effectively repurchased treasury shares by having employees who were exercising options or vesting in their restricted stock grants exchange a portion of their stock for payment of required minimum tax withholdings. Such repurchases totaled 36,538 shares during the three months ended March 31, 2012 at gross costs of \$0.2 million; this compares to such repurchases of 206,504 shares for the year ended December 31, 2011, respectively, at gross costs of \$1.1 million.

We had 1,672,656 loaned shares outstanding at December 31, 2012, which were originally lent in connection with our December 2005 issuance of convertible senior notes.

6. Investments in Equity-Method Investees

Our equity-method investments outstanding at December 31, 2012 consist of our interests (aggregating 50%) in a joint venture that was formed in 2004 to purchase a credit card receivables portfolio and our 50.0% interest in a joint venture that purchased in March 2011 the outstanding notes issued out of our U.K. Portfolio structured financing trust. The latter 50%-owned joint venture elected to account for its investment in the U.K. Portfolio structured financing notes at their fair value, and it recognized a \$34.2 million gain (of which our 50% share represented \$17.1 million) in the three months ended March 31, 2011 equal to the excess of the fair value of the notes at that date over the joint venture's discounted purchase price of the notes.

In January 2011, we acquired an additional 47.5% interest in a then 47.5%-owned equity-method investee which we had historically accounted for under the equity method of accounting, thereby bringing our aggregate interest in this entity to a 95.0% ownership threshold and leading us to conclude that we should consolidate the assets and liabilities of this entity within our consolidated balance sheets. Additionally, we acquired the remaining 5.0% noncontrolling interest in this entity in April 2011 to bring our total ownership to 100%.

Table of Contents

In the following tables, we summarize (in thousands) combined balance sheet and results of operations data for our equity-method investees:

	December 31, 2012	As of December 31, 2011
Loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, at fair value	\$ 53,375	\$ 78,413
Investments in non-marketable debt securities, at fair value	\$ 46,564	\$ 81,639
Total assets	\$ 114,375	\$ 167,898
Notes payable associated with structured financings, at fair value	\$ 29,279	\$ 59,515
Total liabilities	\$ 29,558	\$ 59,909
Members' capital	\$ 84,817	\$ 107,989

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2012	2011
Net interest income, fees and related income on earning assets	\$ 20,815	\$ 69,978
Total other operating income	\$ 1,188	\$ 310
Net income	\$ 19,174	\$ 64,726

As noted above, the above tables include our aforementioned 50.0% interest in the joint venture that purchased in March 2011 the outstanding notes issued out of our U.K. Portfolio structured financing trust. Separate financial data for this entity are as follows:

	December 31, 2012	As of December 31, 2011
Investments in non-marketable debt securities, at fair value	\$ 46,564	\$ 81,639
Total assets	\$ 47,125	\$ 83,210
Total liabilities	\$ -	\$ -
Members' capital	\$ 47,125	\$ 83,210

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2012	2011
Net interest income, fees and related income on earning assets	\$ 2,348	\$ 57,715
Net income	\$ 2,292	\$ 57,613

As noted in Note 10, "Notes Payable," notes payable with a fair value of \$46.6 million correspond with the \$46.6 million investment in non-marketable debt securities, at fair value held by our equity method investee as noted in the above table.

Table of Contents

7. Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities

We elected the fair value option with respect to our investments in equity securities as well as our credit card loans and fees receivable portfolios, the retained interests in which we historically recorded at fair value under securitization structures that were off balance sheet prior to accounting rules changes requiring their consolidation into our financial statements effective as of the beginning of 2010. With respect to our equity securities, we decided to carry these assets at fair value due to our intent to invest and redeem these investments with expected frequency. For our credit card loans and fees receivable portfolios underlying our formerly off-balance-sheet securitization structures, we elected the fair value option because, in contrast to substantially all of our other assets, we had significant experiences in determining the fair value of these assets in connection with our historic fair value accounting for our retained interests in their associated securitization structures. Because we elected to account for the credit card receivables underlying our formerly off-balance-sheet securitization structures at fair value, accounting rules require that we account for the notes payable issued by such securitization structures at fair value as well. For all of our other credit card receivables that have never been owned by our formerly off-balance-sheet securitization structures, we have not elected the fair value option, and we record such receivables at net realizable value within loans and fees receivable, net on our consolidated balance sheets.

For all of our other debt other than the notes payable underlying our formerly off-balance sheet credit card securitization structures, we have not elected the fair value option. Nevertheless, pursuant to applicable requirements, we include disclosures of the fair value of this other debt to the extent practicable within the disclosures below. Additionally, we have other liabilities that we are required to carry at fair value in our consolidated financial statements, and they also are addressed within the disclosures below.

Where applicable as noted above, we account for our financial assets and liabilities at fair value based upon a three-tiered valuation system. In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs use quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that we have the ability to access. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs use inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. Where inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety has been determined is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Table of Contents

Valuations and Techniques for Assets

Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the asset or liability. The table below summarizes (in thousands) by fair value hierarchy the December 31, 2012 and 2011 fair values and carrying amounts (1) of our assets that are required to be carried at fair value in our consolidated financial statements and (2) for our assets not carried at fair value, but for which fair value disclosures are required:

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Carrying Amount of Assets
Assets – As of December 31, 2012 (1)				
Investment securities—trading	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Loans and fees receivable, net for which it is practicable to estimate fair value	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 65,290	\$ 55,525
Loans and fees receivable, net for which it is not practicable to estimate fair value (2)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,427
Loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, net	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,094	\$ 9,673
Loans and fees receivable, at fair value	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,378	\$ 20,378
Loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, at fair value	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 133,595	\$ 133,595

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Carrying Amount of Assets
Assets – As of December 31, 2011 (1)				
Investment securities—trading	\$ 151	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 151
Loans and fees receivable, net for which it is practicable to estimate fair value	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 51,327	\$ 43,671
Loans and fees receivable, net for which it is not practicable to estimate fair value (2)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 21,050
Loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, net	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 26,530	\$ 28,732
Loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, at face value for which it is not practicable to estimate fair value	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,170
Loans and fees receivable, at fair value	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 28,226	\$ 28,226
Loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, at fair value	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 238,763	\$ 238,763

(1) For cash, deposits and other short-term investments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

(2)

We do not disclose fair value for this portion of our loans and fees receivable, net because it is not practicable to do so. These loans and fees receivable consist of a variety of receivables that are largely start-up in nature and for which we have neither sufficient history nor a comparable peer group from which we can calculate fair value.

F-20

Table of Contents

Gains and losses associated with fair value changes for the above asset classes are detailed on our fees and related income on earning assets table within Note 2, "Significant Accounting Policies and Consolidated Financial Statement Components." Total realized net gains and losses on our investment securities—trading were a loss of \$0.6 million and a gain of \$0.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, all of which are included as a component of fees and related income on earning assets on our consolidated statements of operations. For our loans and fees receivable included in the above table, we assess the fair value of these assets based on our estimate of future cash flows net of servicing costs, and to the extent that such cash flow estimates change from period to period, any such changes are considered to be attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit risk.

For Level 3 assets carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs, the following table presents (in thousands) a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for 2012 and 2011:

	Loans and Fees Receivable, at Fair Value	Loans and Fees Receivable Pledged as Collateral under Structured Financings, at Fair Value	Total
Balance at January 1, 2011	\$ 12,437	\$ 373,155	\$ 385,592
Transfers in due to consolidation of equity-method investees	-	14,587	14,587
Total gains—realized/unrealized:	-	-	-
Net revaluations of loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, at fair value	-	169,994	169,994
Net revaluations of loans and fees receivable, at fair value	11,508	-	11,508
Settlements, net	(25,024)	(289,717)	(314,741)
Impact of foreign currency translation	-	49	49
Net transfers between categories	29,305	(29,305)	-
Net transfers in and/or out of Level 3	-	-	-
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 28,226	\$ 238,763	\$ 266,989
Transfers in due to consolidation of equity-method investees	-	-	-
Total gains—realized/unrealized:	-	-	-
Net revaluations of loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, at fair value	-	77,083	77,083
Net revaluations of loans and fees receivable, at fair value	12,419	-	12,419
Settlements, net	(23,770)	(181,964)	(205,734)
Impact of foreign currency translation	-	3,216	3,216
Net transfers between categories	3,503	(3,503)	-
Net transfers in and/or out of Level 3	-	-	-
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 20,378	\$ 133,595	\$ 153,973

The unrealized gains and losses for assets within the Level 3 category presented in the tables above include changes in fair value that are attributable to both observable and unobservable inputs. We provide below a brief description of the valuation techniques used for Level 3 assets and liabilities.

Net Revaluation of Loans and Fees Receivable. We record the net revaluation of loans and fees receivable (including those pledged as collateral) in the fees and related income on earning assets category in our consolidated statements of operations, specifically as changes in fair value of loans and fees receivable recorded at fair value. The net revaluation

of loans and fees receivable is based on the present value of future cash flows using a valuation model of expected cash flows and the estimated cost to service and collect those cash flows. We estimate the present value of these future cash flows using a valuation model consisting of internally developed estimates of assumptions third-party market participants would use in determining fair value, including estimates of net collected yield, principal payment rates, expected principal credit loss rates, costs of funds, discount rates and servicing costs.

F-21

Table of Contents

For Level 3 assets carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs, the following table presents (in thousands) quantitative information about the valuation techniques and the inputs used in the fair value measurement for the year ended December 31, 2012:

Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements					
Fair value measurements	Fair Value at December 31, 2012 (in thousands)	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted Average)(1)	
Loans and fees receivable, at fair value	\$ 20,378	Discounted cash flows	Gross yield	21.5	%
			Principal payment rate	3.0	%
			Expected credit loss rate	12.5	%
			Servicing rate	7.5	%
			Discount rate	16.0	%
Loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, at fair value	\$ 133,595	Discounted cash flows	Gross yield	11.2% to 24.8% (17.9%)	
			Principal payment rate	1.6% to 5.2% (2.3%)	
			Expected credit loss rate	11.0% to 23.4% (18.9%)	
			Servicing rate	5.1% to 10.7% (5.9%)	
			Discount rate	16.0% to 16.2% (16.0%)	

(1) Our loans and fees receivable, at fair value consist of a single portfolio with one set of assumptions. As such, no range is given.

Table of Contents

Valuations and Techniques for Liabilities

Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the liability. The table below summarizes (in thousands) by fair value hierarchy the December 31, 2012 and 2011 fair values and carrying amounts (1) of our liabilities that are required to be carried at fair value in our consolidated financial statements and (2) for our liabilities not carried at fair value, but for which fair value disclosures are required:

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets			Carrying Amount of Liabilities
	(Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Other Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Liabilities - As of December 31, 2012				
Liabilities not carried at fair value				
CAR revolving credit facility	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$20,000
ACC amortizing debt facility	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,896	\$3,896
5.875% Convertible Senior Notes	\$ -	\$ 55,787	\$ -	\$94,886
Liabilities carried at fair value				
Interest rate swap underlying CAR facility	\$ -	\$ 175	\$ -	\$175
Economic sharing arrangement liability	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 815	\$815
Notes payable associated with structured financings, at fair value	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 140,127	\$140,127

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets			Carrying Amount of Liabilities
	(Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Other Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Liabilities - As of December 31, 2011				
Liabilities not carried at fair value				
CAR revolving credit facility	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 23,765	\$23,765
ACC amortizing debt facility	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,406	\$20,406
3.625% Convertible Senior Notes	\$ -	\$ 82,060	\$ -	\$82,060
5.875% Convertible Senior Notes	\$ -	\$ 55,089	\$ -	\$94,340
Liabilities carried at fair value				
Notes payable associated with structured financings, at fair value	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 241,755	\$241,755

For our material notes payable, we assess the fair value of these liabilities based on our estimate of future cash flows generated from their underlying credit card receivables collateral, net of servicing compensation required under the note facilities, and to the extent that such cash flow estimates change from period to period, any such changes are considered to be attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit risk. Gains and losses associated with fair value changes for our notes payable associated with structured financing liabilities that are carried at fair value are detailed on our fees and related income on earning assets table within Note 2, "Significant Accounting Policies and Consolidated Financial Statement Components." For our 5.875% and 3.625% Convertible Senior Notes, we assess fair value based upon the most recent trade data available from third-party providers. For our interest rate swap, into

which we entered in March 2012, we assess fair value based on quotes for an identically termed swap arrangement at the end of each measurement period from a third-party provider. The interest rate swap effectively fixes our interest rate to 4.75% from LIBOR plus 4.0% for \$20.0 million of the underlying CAR facility. Additionally, through an agreement with our now-divested Investments in Previously Charged-Off Receivables segment, we are obligated to remit net cash flows associated with certain balance transfer card receivables that we retained subsequent to sale of the entity. We assess the fair value of this obligation based on the present value of future cash flows using a valuation model of expected cash flows related to these specific receivables. We do not disclose the fair value of our other debt as it is not practicable to do so. Given that our other Credit Card and Other Investment segment debt was only recently issued under market terms and conditions, we have seen no data that would suggest that the fair value of this debt is materially different from its carrying amount. See Note 10, "Notes Payable," for further discussion on our notes payable.

Table of Contents

For our material Level 3 liabilities carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs, the following table presents (in thousands) a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

	Notes Payable Associated with Structured Financings, at Fair Value	
	2012	2011
Beginning balance, January 1	\$241,755	\$370,544
Transfers in due to consolidation of equity-method investees	-	15,537
Total (gains) losses—realized/unrealized:		
Net revaluations of notes payable associated with structured financings, at fair value	30,150	90,524
Repayments on outstanding notes payable, net	(134,724)	(235,268)
Impact of foreign currency translation	2,946	418
Net transfers in and/or out of Level 3	-	-
Ending balance, December 31	\$140,127	\$241,755

The unrealized gains and losses for liabilities within the Level 3 category presented in the tables above include changes in fair value that are attributable to both observable and unobservable inputs. We provide below a brief description of the valuation techniques used for Level 3 liabilities.

Net Revaluation of Notes Payable Associated with Structured Financings, at Fair Value. We record the net revaluations of notes payable associated with structured financings, at fair value, in the changes in fair value of notes payable associated with structured financings line item within the fees and related income on earning assets category of our consolidated statements of operations. The net revaluation of these notes is based on the present value of future cash flows utilized in repayment of the outstanding principal and interest under the facilities using a valuation model of expected cash flows net of the contractual service expenses within the facilities. We estimate the present value of these future cash flows using a valuation model consisting of internally developed estimates of assumptions third-party market participants would use in determining fair value, including: estimates of net collected yield, principal payment rates and expected principal credit loss rates on the credit card receivables that secure the non-recourse notes payable; costs of funds; discount rates; and contractual servicing fees.

For material Level 3 liabilities carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs, the following table presents (in thousands) quantitative information about the valuation techniques and the inputs used in the fair value measurement for the year ended December 31, 2012:

Quantitative information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements				
Fair value measurements	Fair Value at December 31, 2012 (in thousands)	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range
				(Weighted Average)
Notes payable associated with structured financings, at fair value	\$140,127	Discounted cash flows	Gross yield	11.2% to 24.8% (17.9%)
			Principal payment rate	1.6% to 5.2% (2.3%)
			Expected credit loss rate	11.0% to 23.4% (18.9%)

Discount rate 6.2% to 16.4%
(15.8%)

F-24

Table of Contents

Other Relevant Data

Other relevant data (in thousands) as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 concerning our certain assets and liabilities we carry at fair value are as follows:

	Loans and Fees Receivable at Fair Value	Loans and Fees Receivable Pledged as Collateral under Structured Financings at Fair Value
As of December 31, 2012		
Aggregate unpaid principal balance within loans and fees receivable that are reported at fair value	\$ 26,154	\$ 192,433
Aggregate fair value of loans and fees receivable that are reported at fair value	\$ 20,378	\$ 133,595
Aggregate fair value of receivables carried at fair value that are 90 days or more past due (which also coincides with finance charge and fee non-accrual policies)	\$ 36	\$ 957
Aggregate excess of balance of unpaid principal receivables within loans and fees receivable that are reported at fair value and are 90 days or more past due (which also coincides with finance charge and fee non-accrual policies) over the fair value of such loans and fees receivable	\$ 1,643	\$ 7,591
As of December 31, 2011		
Aggregate unpaid principal balance within loans and fees receivable that are reported at fair value	\$ 37,272	\$ 367,227
Aggregate fair value of loans and fees receivable that are reported at fair value	\$ 28,226	\$ 238,763
Aggregate fair value of receivables carried at fair value that are 90 days or more past due (which also coincides with finance charge and fee non-accrual policies)	\$ 66	\$ 1,041
Aggregate excess of balance of unpaid principal receivables within loans and fees receivable that are reported at fair value and are 90 days or more past due (which also coincides with finance charge and fee non-accrual policies) over the fair value of such loans and fees receivable	\$ 3,004	\$ 28,359
	Notes Payable Associated with Structured Financings,	Notes Payable Associated with Structured Financings,

Edgar Filing: Atlanticus Holdings Corp - Form 10-K

Notes Payable	at Fair Value as of December 31, 2012	at Fair Value as of December 31, 2011
Aggregate unpaid principal balance of notes payable	\$ 287,711	\$ 420,936
Aggregate fair value of notes payable	\$ 140,127	\$ 241,755

F-25

Table of Contents

8. Property

Details (in thousands) of our property on our consolidated balance sheets are as follows:

	As of December 31,	
	2012	2011
Software	\$61,612	\$60,685
Furniture and fixtures	6,866	7,367
Data processing and telephone equipment	38,604	40,911
Leasehold improvements	28,047	28,597
Total cost	135,129	137,560
Less accumulated depreciation	(127,937)	(129,462)
Property, net	\$7,192	\$8,098

As of December 31, 2012, the weighted-average remaining depreciable life of our depreciable property was 7.9 years.

9. Leases

We lease premises and certain equipment under cancelable and non-cancelable leases, some of which contain renewal options under various terms. Total rental expense for continuing operations associated with these operating leases was \$5.0 million in both 2012 and 2011. During the fourth quarter of 2006, we entered into a 15-year lease in Atlanta, Georgia for 411,125 square feet, 220,648 square feet of which we have subleased, 75,753 square feet of which we have surrendered to the landlord through our exercise of a termination option, and the remainder of which houses our corporate offices. In connection with this lease, we received a \$21.2 million construction allowance for the build-out of our new corporate offices. We are amortizing the construction allowance as a reduction of rent expense over the term of the lease. As of December 31, 2012, the future minimum rental commitments (in thousands) for all non-cancelable operating leases with initial or remaining terms of more than one year (both gross and net of any sublease income) are as follows:

	Gross	Sublease Income	Net
2013	\$10,116	\$(5,208)	\$4,908
2014	8,965	(5,331)	3,634
2015	8,282	(5,290)	2,992
2016	7,253	(5,442)	1,811
2017	7,912	(5,597)	2,315
Thereafter	36,832	(25,461)	11,371
Total	\$79,360	\$(52,329)	\$27,031

In addition, we occasionally lease certain equipment under cancelable and non-cancelable leases, which are accounted for as capital leases in our consolidated financial statements. As of December 31, 2012, we had no material non-cancelable capital leases with initial or remaining terms of more than one year.

Table of Contents

10. Notes Payable

Notes Payable Associated with Structured Financings, at Fair Value

We present on our consolidated balance sheet certain non-recourse, asset-backed structured financing facilities that are secured by credit card receivables held within such trusts. Given our decision to elect the fair value option for reporting the credit card receivables held within the trusts, accounting rules require that we report the underlying debt facilities at fair value as well. We are required to consolidate the assets (credit card receivables, which are presented as loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, at fair value, on our consolidated balance sheets) and debt (classified as notes payable associated with structured financings, at fair value, on our consolidated balance sheets) associated with these structured financings on our consolidated balance sheets because the transactions do not meet the criteria for de-recognition and because we are the primary beneficiary of the structured financing transactions.

Scheduled (in millions) in the table below are (1) the carrying amounts of structured financing notes secured by our credit card receivables and reported at fair value as of both December 31, 2012 and 2011, (2) the outstanding face amounts of structured financing notes secured by our credit card receivables and reported at fair value as of December 31, 2012, and (3) the carrying amounts of the credit card receivables and restricted cash that provide the exclusive means of repayment for the notes (i.e., lenders have recourse only to the specific credit card receivables and restricted cash underlying each respective facility and cannot look to our general credit for repayment) as of December 31, 2012.

	Carrying Amounts at Fair Value as of	
	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Amortizing securitization facility issued out of our upper-tier originated portfolio master trust (stated maturity of June 2013), outstanding face amount of \$201.0 million bearing interest at a weighted average 3.5% interest rate, which is secured by credit card receivables and restricted cash aggregating \$93.6 million in carrying amount	\$93.6	\$154.1
Amortizing term securitization facility (denominated and referenced in U.K. sterling and a stated maturity of April 2014) issued out of our U.K. Portfolio securitization trust, outstanding face amount of \$86.6 million bearing interest at a weighted average 5.1% interest rate, which is secured by credit card receivables and restricted cash aggregating \$47.3 million in carrying amount	46.5	81.6
Amortizing term structured financing facility issued out of a trust underlying a portfolio acquisition by one of our former equity investees, the controlling interests in which we acquired in February 2011, such facility having been repaid in November 2012	-	6.1
Total structured financing notes reported at fair value that are secured by credit card receivables and to which we are subordinated	\$140.1	\$241.8

Contractual payment allocations within these credit cards receivable structured financings provide for a priority distribution of cash flows to us to service the credit card receivables, a distribution of cash flows to pay interest and principal due on the notes, and a distribution of all excess cash flows (if any) to us. Each of the structured financing facilities in the above table is amortizing down along with collections of the underlying receivables and there are no provisions within the debt agreements that allow for acceleration or bullet repayment of the facilities prior to their scheduled expiration dates. Accordingly, we believe that, for all intents and purposes, there is no practical risk of material equity loss associated with lender seizure of assets under the facilities. Nevertheless, the aggregate carrying amount of the credit card receivables and restricted cash that provide security for the \$140.1 million in fair value of

structured financing notes in the above table is \$140.9 million, which means that our maximum aggregate exposure to pre-tax equity loss associated with the above structured financing arrangements is \$0.8 million.

F-27

Table of Contents

Beyond our role as servicer of the underlying assets within the credit cards receivable structured financings, we have provided no other financial or other support to the structures, and we have no explicit or implicit arrangements that could require us to provide financial support to the structures.

Notes Payable Associated with Structured Financings, at Face Value

Beyond the credit card receivables structured financings held at fair value mentioned above, we have entered into certain other non-recourse, asset-backed structured financing transactions within our businesses. We consolidate onto our consolidated balance sheets both the assets (e.g., Auto Finance segment receivables, which are presented as loans and fees receivable pledged as collateral under structured financings, net, on our consolidated balance sheets, Auto Finance segment restricted cash, and other equipment as of December 31, 2012) and debt (classified within notes payable associated with structured financings, at face value, on our consolidated balance sheets) associated with these structured financings because the transactions do not meet the criteria for de-recognition and because we are the primary beneficiary of the structured financing transactions. The principal amount of the structured financing notes outstanding as of both December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the December 31, 2012 carrying amounts of the assets that provide the exclusive means of repayment for the notes (i.e., lenders have recourse only to the specific assets underlying each respective facility and cannot look to our general credit for repayment) are scheduled (in millions) as follows:

	As of	
	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Amortizing debt facility (expiring November 6, 2016) at a minimum fixed rate of 15% at December 31, 2012 that is secured by our ACC Auto Finance segment receivables and restricted cash with an aggregate carrying amount of \$9.7 million (1)	\$3.9	\$20.4
Amortizing debt facility, the repayment of which occurred during the three months ended September 2012 (2)	-	2.6
Vendor-financed software and equipment purchases (expiring September 2014) at an implied rate of 15%, that are secured by certain equipment	0.2	-
Investment in Previously Charged-Off Receivables segment's asset-backed financing, the repayment of which occurred during the three months ended June 30, 2012	-	0.2
Total asset-backed structured financing notes outstanding	\$4.1	\$23.2

- (1) The terms of this lending agreement provided for the application of all excess cash flows from the underlying auto finance receivables portfolio (above and beyond interest costs and contractual servicing compensation to our outsourced third-party servicer) to reduce the outstanding principal balance of the debt, and the outstanding principal balance was repaid in the fourth quarter of 2012. Now that we have repaid the principal portion of the note, the lending agreement requires that we remit 37.5% of future cash flows (net of contractual servicing compensation) generated on the auto finance receivables portfolio to the note holders as additional compensation for the use of their capital. Based on current estimates of this additional compensation, we currently are accruing interest expense on this liability at a 25.6% effective interest rate; and the amount disclosed in the above table represents our accrued interest expense liability under this lending agreement.
- (2) In connection with our sale of JRAS's operations in February 2011, we received a \$2.4 million note secured by JRAS's assets, we retained receivables with a December 31, 2012 carrying amount of \$0.5 million that were originated while JRAS was under our ownership, we pledged those receivables as security for a then \$9.4 million non-recourse loan to us, and we contracted with JRAS to service those receivables on our behalf. This non-recourse loan was repaid in August of 2012 and the remaining receivables are now serviced by our CAR subsidiary.

Similar to our credit cards receivable structured financings, the structured financing facilities secured by the assets scheduled above (with the exception of the vendor-financed software and equipment lending arrangements) generally provide for a priority distribution of cash flows to us (or alternative loan servicers) to service any underlying pledged receivables, a distribution of cash flows to pay interest and principal due on the notes, and a distribution of all excess cash flows to us, other than the additional compensation referred to in footnote (1) to the above table. The receivables-backed structured financing facilities in the above table are amortizing down along with collections of the underlying receivables and there are no provisions within the debt agreements that represent any risks of acceleration or bullet repayment of the facilities prior to the facility expiration dates. Accordingly, we believe that, for all intents and purposes, there is no practical risk of material equity loss associated with lender seizure of assets under the facilities. Nevertheless, the aggregate carrying amount of the receivables that provide security for the \$4.1 million of structured financing notes in the above table at December 31, 2012 was \$9.7 million, which means that our maximum aggregate exposure to pre-tax equity loss associated with the above structured financing arrangements was \$5.6 million on that date.

Beyond our role as servicer of the underlying assets within the above-scheduled structured financings, we have provided no other financial or other support to the structures, and we have no explicit or implicit arrangements that could require us to provide financial support to the structures.

Table of Contents

The scheduled maturities and repayments of our notes payable in the above table are \$0.1 million in 2013 and \$0.1 million in 2014. Because the ACC auto finance debt facility payouts are based on actual collections, there are no scheduled maturities or repayments.

Notes Payable, at Face Value

Other notes payable outstanding as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 that are secured by the financial and operating assets of either the borrower, another of our subsidiaries or both, include the following, scheduled (in millions):

	As of	
	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Revolving credit facility (expiring October 4, 2014) at a an annual rate equal to 4.75% that is secured by the financial and operating assets of CAR with an aggregate carrying amount of \$50.8 million (1)	\$20.0	\$23.8
Revolving credit facility associated with our merchant credit product that can be drawn to the extent of outstanding eligible principal receivables up to \$2.0 million, expiring October 10, 2013 with an annual rate equal to the lender's cost of funds plus 6.0% (6.8% as of December 31, 2012)	1.5	-
Revolving credit facility associated with our test accounts in the U.K. that can be drawn to the extent of outstanding eligible principal receivables up to £5.0 million, expiring December 1, 2016 with an annual rate equal to the lender's cost of funds plus 7.0% (10.3% as of December 31, 2012)	1.2	-
Total notes payable outstanding	\$22.7	\$23.8

(1) Loan is subject to certain affirmative covenants, including a coverage ratio, a leverage ratio and a collateral performance test, the failure of which could result in required early repayment of all or a portion of the outstanding balance.

In March 2012, we entered into an interest rate swap related to \$20.0 million of the \$20.0 million amount drawn on the CAR facility discussed in the table above. The interest rate swap effectively fixes our interest rate to 4.75% from LIBOR plus 4.0%. We include the fair value of the interest rate swap and changes in its fair value in our consolidated balance sheets and statements of operations, respectively. See Note 7, "Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities," for more information regarding this interest rate swap.

As of December 31, 2012, we were in compliance with all outstanding debt covenants.

11. Convertible Senior Notes

3.625% Convertible Senior Notes Due 2025

In May 2005, we issued \$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of 3.625% convertible senior notes due 2025 to qualified institutional buyers in a private placement, and we subsequently registered the notes for resale with the SEC. For the year ended December 31, 2011, we repurchased \$62.0 million in face amount of these notes in open market transactions. The purchase price for these notes totaled \$59.3 million (including accrued interest) and resulted in an aggregate gain of \$0.3 million (net of the notes' applicable share of deferred costs, which were written off in connection with the purchases). In May 2012, holders of substantially all of the 3.625% convertible senior notes exercised a then-existing put right, under which we repaid \$83.5 million in face amount of such notes outstanding at par. As of December 31, 2012, \$450,000 of these notes remained outstanding.

5.875% Convertible Senior Notes Due 2035

In November 2005, we issued \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of 5.875% convertible senior notes due 2035 to qualified institutional buyers in a private placement, and we subsequently registered the notes for resale with the SEC. These notes are reflected within our convertible senior notes balance on our consolidated balance sheets. In 2011 we repurchased \$1.0 million in face amount of these notes in open market transactions. The purchase price for these notes totaled \$0.4 million (including accrued interest) and resulted in an aggregate gain of \$0.3 million (net of the notes' applicable share of deferred costs, which were written off in connection with the purchases). We did not repurchase in the open market any of our convertible senior notes during the year ended December 31, 2012.

F-29

Table of Contents

During certain periods and subject to certain conditions, the remaining \$139.5 million of outstanding notes as of December 31, 2012 (as referenced in the table below) will be convertible by holders into cash and, if applicable, shares of our common stock at an adjusted effective conversion rate of 40.63 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, subject to further adjustment; the conversion rate is based on an adjusted conversion price of \$24.61 per share of common stock. Upon conversion of the notes, we will deliver to holders of the notes cash of up to \$1,000 per \$1,000 aggregate principal amount of notes and, at our option, either cash or shares of our common stock in respect of the remainder of the conversion obligation, if any. The maximum number of common shares that any note holder may receive upon conversion is fixed at 40.63 shares per \$1,000 aggregate principal amount of notes, and we have a sufficient number of authorized shares of our common stock to satisfy both this conversion obligation and the conversion obligation under the 3.625% convertible senior notes should they arise. Beginning with the six-month period commencing on January 30, 2009, we could pay contingent interest on the notes during a six-month period if the average trading price of the notes is above a specified level. Thus far we have not paid any contingent interest on these notes. In addition, holders of the notes may require us to repurchase the notes upon certain specified events.

In conjunction with the 5.875% convertible senior notes due 2035 offering, we entered into a thirty-year share lending agreement with Bear, Stearns International Limited (“BSIL”) and Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc, as agent for BSIL, pursuant to which we lent BSIL 5,677,950 shares of our common stock that we exclude from all earnings per share computations and for which we received a fee upon consummation of the agreement of \$0.001 per loaned share. The obligations of Bear Stearns were assumed by JP Morgan in 2008. JP Morgan (as the guarantor of the obligation) is required to return the loaned shares to us at the end of the thirty-year term of the share lending agreement or earlier upon the occurrence of specified events. Such events include the bankruptcy of JP Morgan, its failure to make payments when due, its failure to post collateral when required or return loaned shares when due, notice of its inability to perform obligations, or its untrue representations. If an event of default occurs, then the borrower (JP Morgan) may settle the obligation in cash. Further, in the event that JP Morgan’s credit rating drops below A/A2, it would be required to post collateral for the market value of the lent shares (\$5.6 million based on the 1,672,656 of shares remaining outstanding under the share lending arrangement as of December 31, 2012). JP Morgan has agreed to use the loaned shares for the purpose of directly or indirectly facilitating the hedging of our convertible senior notes by the holders thereof or for such other purpose as reasonably determined by us. We deem it highly remote that any event of default will occur and therefore cash settlement, while an option, is an unlikely scenario.

We analogize the share lending agreement to a prepaid forward contract, which we have evaluated under applicable accounting guidance. We determined that the instrument was not a derivative in its entirety and that the embedded derivative would not require separate accounting. The net effect on shareholders’ equity of the shares lent pursuant to the share lending agreement, which includes our requirement to lend the shares and the counterparties’ requirement to return the shares, is the fee received upon our lending of the shares.

Accounting for Convertible Senior Notes

Because our convertible senior notes are Instrument C convertible notes, the accounting for the issuance of the notes includes (1) allocation of the issuance proceeds between the notes and additional paid-in capital, (2) establishment of a discount to the face amount of the notes equal to the portion of the issuance proceeds that are allocable to additional paid-in capital, (3) creation of a deferred tax liability related to the discount on the notes, and (4) an allocation of issuance costs between the portion of such costs considered to be associated with the notes and the portion of such costs considered to be associated with the equity component of the notes’ issuances (i.e., additional paid-in capital). We are amortizing the discount to the remaining face amount of the notes into interest expense over the expected life of the notes, which results in a corresponding release of associated deferred tax liability (and which ended May 2012 for our 3.625% convertible senior notes). Amortization for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 totaled \$2.4 million and \$6.4 million, respectively. Actual incurred interest (based on the contractual interest rates within the two convertible senior notes series) totaled \$9.5 million and \$12.5 million for the years ended

Edgar Filing: Atlanticus Holdings Corp - Form 10-K

December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. We will amortize the discount remaining at December 31, 2012 into interest expense over the expected term of the 5.875% convertible senior notes (currently expected to be October 2035). The weighted average effective interest rate for the 3.625% and 5.875% notes was 9.2% for all periods presented.

The following summarizes (in thousands) components of our consolidated balance sheets associated with our convertible senior notes after giving effect to the accounting treatment described above:

	As of	
	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Face amount of 3.625% convertible senior notes due 2025	\$450	\$83,943
Face amount of 5.875% convertible senior notes due 2035	139,467	139,467
Discount	(44,582)	(47,010)
Net carrying value	\$95,335	\$176,400
Carrying amount of equity component included in additional paid-in capital	\$108,714	\$108,714
Excess of instruments' if-converted values over face principal amounts	\$-	\$-

F-30

Table of Contents

12. Commitments and Contingencies

General

In the normal course of business through the origination of unsecured credit card receivables, we incur off-balance-sheet risks. These risks include commitments of £578,000 (\$935,000) at December 31, 2012 to purchase receivables associated with cardholders who have the right to borrow in excess of their current balances up to the maximum credit limit on their credit card accounts. We have never experienced a situation in which all of our customers have exercised their entire available line of credit at any given point in time, nor do we anticipate this will ever occur in the future. Moreover, there would be a concurrent increase in assets should there be any exercise of these lines of credit. We also have the effective right to reduce or cancel these available lines of credit at any time, which our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment did with respect to substantially all of its outstanding cardholder accounts. At December 31, 2012, our remaining available lines of credit related solely to credit cards issued under programs in the U.K.

Additionally our CAR operations provide floor-plan financing for a pre-qualified network of independent automotive dealers and automotive finance companies in the buy-here, pay-here used car business. The financings allow dealers and finance companies to borrow in excess of their current balances up to the maximum pre-approved credit limit allowed in order to finance ongoing inventory needs. These loans are secured by the underlying auto inventory and in certain cases where we have other lending products outstanding with the dealer, are also secured by the collateral under those lending arrangements as well, including any outstanding dealer reserves. As of December 31, 2012, these commitments totaled \$4.2 million. Each draw against unused commitments is reviewed for conformity to pre-established guidelines.

Credigistics Corporation's (formerly CompuCredit Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary) third-party originating financial institution relationships require security (collateral) related to their issuance of credit cards and cardholder purchases thereunder, and notwithstanding the closure of all credit card accounts the receivables of which Credigistics Corporation previously purchased, these institutions hold a remaining \$0.2 million of pledged collateral as of December 31, 2012. In addition, in connection with our U.K. Portfolio acquisition, Credigistics Corporation guarantees certain obligations of its subsidiaries and its third-party originating financial institution to one of the European payment systems (\$0.2 million as of December 31, 2012). Those obligations include, among other things, compliance with one of the European payment system's operating regulations and by-laws. Credigistics Corporation also guarantees certain performance obligations of its servicer affiliate to the indenture trustee and the trust created under the structured financing relating to our U.K. Portfolio.

Under agreements with third-party originating and other financial institutions, we have agreed to (1) indemnify the financial institutions for certain liabilities associated with the financial institutions' card issuance and other lending activities on our behalf—such indemnification obligations generally being limited to instances in which we either (a) have been afforded the opportunity to defend against any potentially indemnifiable claims or (b) have reached agreement with the financial institutions regarding settlement of potentially indemnifiable claims, and (2) certain contingent liabilities (capped at \$4.4 million) that could arise for us upon the non-performance by third parties under their financial obligations. As of December 31, 2012, we have assessed the likelihood of any potential payments related to the aforementioned contingencies as remote. We will accrue liabilities related to these contingencies in any future period if and in which we assess the likelihood of an estimable payment as probable.

Total System Services, Inc. provides certain services to Credigistics Corporation as a system of record provider under an agreement that extends through May 2015. Were Credigistics Corporation to terminate its U.S. relationship with Total System Services, Inc. prior to the contractual termination period, it would incur significant penalties (\$11.1 million as of December 31, 2012).

Litigation

We are involved in various legal proceedings that are incidental to the conduct of our business. The most significant of these is described below.

F-31

Table of Contents

CompuCredit Corporation and five of our other subsidiaries are the named defendants in a purported class action lawsuit entitled Knox, et al., vs. First Southern Cash Advance, et al., No. 5 CV 0445, filed in the Superior Court of New Hanover County, North Carolina, on February 8, 2005. The plaintiffs allege that in conducting a so-called “payday lending” business, certain subsidiaries within our Retail Micro-Loans segment (the operations of which were sold in October 2011, subject to our retention of liability for this litigation) violated various laws governing consumer finance, lending, check cashing, trade practices and loan brokering. The plaintiffs further allege that CompuCredit Corporation was the alter ego of the subsidiaries and is liable for their actions. The plaintiffs are seeking damages of up to \$75,000 per class member, and attorney’s fees. These claims are similar to those that have been asserted against several other market participants in transactions involving small-balance, short-term loans made to consumers in North Carolina. On January 23, 2012, among other orders, the trial court denied the defendants’ motion to compel arbitration, and granted the plaintiffs’ motion for class certification. We are vigorously defending this lawsuit.

13. Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities reflect the effects of tax losses, credits, and the future income tax effects of temporary differences between the consolidated financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and are measured using enacted tax rates that apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled.

As shown with respect to our continuing operations, the current and deferred portions (in thousands) of federal and state income tax benefit or expense as the case may be are as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Federal income tax benefit:		
Current tax benefit	\$743	\$767
Deferred tax benefit	15,420	989
Total federal income tax benefit	16,163	1,756
Foreign income tax benefit (expense):		
Current tax expense	(30)	(5)
Deferred tax benefit	-	2
Total foreign income tax expense	(30)	(3)
State and other income tax expense:		
Current tax expense	(4)	(111)
Deferred tax expense	(520)	(648)
Total state and other income tax expense	(524)	(759)
Total income tax benefit	\$15,609	\$994

Computed considering results for only our continuing operations before income taxes, our effective income tax benefit rate was 35.9% for the year ended December 31, 2012, versus our effective income tax benefit rate of 48.5% for the year ended December 31, 2011. We have experienced no material changes in effective tax rates associated with differences in filing jurisdictions, and the variations in our effective tax rates between the periods principally bear the effects of (1) changes in valuation allowances against income statement-oriented federal, foreign and state deferred tax assets, (2) variations in the level of our pre-tax income among the different reporting periods relative to the level of our permanent differences within such periods and (3) the effects on financial reporting results of intra-period tax allocations associated with our discontinued operations as required under GAAP.

Table of Contents

Income tax benefits in 2012 and 2011 differed from amounts computed by applying the statutory federal income tax benefit rate to pretax income or loss from consolidated operations principally as a result of the impact of changes in valuation allowances on certain federal and state deferred tax assets, foreign tax expense, and unfavorable permanent differences, including the effects of accruals for uncertain tax positions. The following table reconciles our effective tax expense rates for 2012 and 2011 to the federal statutory rate:

	For the Year Ended December 31,			
	2012		2011	
Statutory tax benefit rate	35.0	%	35.0	%
Increase (decrease) in statutory tax benefit rate resulting from:				
Changes in valuation allowances	6.4		106.9	
Interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions	1.7		35.8	
Foreign income taxes	0.1		(14.5)
Permanent and other differences	(9.1)	(2.4)
State and other income taxes, net	1.8		(112.3)
Effective tax benefit rate	35.9	%	48.5	%

As of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the significant components (in thousands) of our deferred tax assets and liabilities were:

	As of December 31,			
	2012	2011		
Deferred tax assets:				
Software development costs/fixed assets	\$4,421	\$6,133		
Equity in income of equity-method investees	—	3,961		
Goodwill and intangible assets	7,724	8,246		
Deferred costs	424	627		
Provision for loan loss	5,576	5,085		
Equity-based compensation	3,051	3,223		
Charitable contributions	961	2,712		
Other	3,479	4,271		
Accruals for state taxes and interest associated with unrecognized tax benefits	5,260	5,550		
Federal net operating loss carry-forward	107,703	130,534		
Federal credit carry-forward	1,073	1,073		
Foreign net operating loss carry-forward	818	1,725		
State tax benefits	35,744	37,644		
	176,234	210,784		
Valuation allowances	(56,030)	(70,999)
	120,204	139,785		
Deferred tax liabilities:				
Prepaid expenses	(286)	(369)
Equity in income of equity-method investees	(4,055)	—	
Mark-to-market	(1,266)	(3,075)
Credit card fair value election differences	(24,537)	(33,993)
Interest on debentures	(15,135)	(26,511)
Convertible senior notes	(16,320)	(16,653)
Cancellation of indebtedness income	(65,843)	(66,082)
	(127,442)	(146,683)
Net deferred tax liability	\$(7,238)	\$(6,898)

The amounts reported for both 2012 and 2011 have been adjusted to account for the reclassification of unrecognized tax benefits as required by applicable accounting literature.

F-33

Table of Contents

We have recorded a deferred tax asset of \$107.7 million reflecting the benefit of loss carryforwards, which expire in varying amounts between 2028 and 2030. Realization of this deferred tax asset is dependent on generating sufficient taxable income prior to expiration of the loss carryforwards, and we have provided a valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets.

Certain of our deferred tax assets relate to federal, foreign and state net operating losses as noted in the above table, and we have no other net operating losses or credit carry-forwards other than those noted herein. Our \$56.0 million of deferred tax asset valuation allowances are primarily the result of uncertainties regarding the future realization of recorded tax benefits, principally net operating losses and credits from operations in the U.S. (both federal and state) and foreign jurisdictions, and it is more likely than not that these recorded tax benefits will not be utilized to reduce future federal, foreign and state tax liabilities in these jurisdictions.

We conduct business globally, and as a result, one or more of our subsidiaries files federal, state and/or foreign income tax returns. In the normal course of business we are subject to examination by taxing authorities throughout the world, including such major jurisdictions as the U.S., the U.K., and the Netherlands. With a few exceptions, we are no longer subject to federal, state, local, or foreign income tax examinations for years prior to 2009. Currently, we are under audit by various jurisdictions for various years, including by the Internal Revenue Service for the 2007 and 2008 tax years. Although the audits have not been concluded, we do not expect any changes to our reported tax positions in those years that would have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements. Moreover, if any material payments are ultimately determined to be owed as a result of ongoing audits (e.g., through settlement or litigation with taxing authorities), we do not anticipate having to make such payments, if any, for several more years.

We recognize potential accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. We recognized \$1.9 million and \$2.1 million in potential interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. To the extent such interest and penalties are not assessed as a result of a resolution of the underlying tax position, amounts accrued are reduced and reflected as a reduction of income tax expense. We recognized \$1.0 million of such reductions in each of the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Reconciliation (in thousands) of unrecognized tax benefits from the beginning to the end of 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

	2012	2011
Balance at January 1,	\$(54,146)	\$(54,011)
Reductions based on tax positions related to prior years	2,753	2,890
Additions based on tax positions related to prior years	(124)	0
Additions based on tax positions related to the current year	(1,237)	(879)
Interest and penalties accrued	(1,889)	(2,146)
Reductions for tax positions of prior years for lapses of applicable statute of limitations	—	—
Balance at December 31,	\$(54,643)	\$(54,146)

Unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate totaled \$17.2 million and \$16.8 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Absent the effects of potential agreements to extend statutes of limitations periods (as we recently did with respect to our 2007 and 2008 federal income tax returns), the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits with respect to certain of our unrecognized tax positions will significantly change as a result of the lapse of applicable limitations periods in the next 12 months. However, it is not reasonably possible to determine which (if any) limitations periods will lapse in the next 12 months due to the effect of existing and new tax audits and tax agency determinations. Moreover, the net amount of such change cannot be reasonably estimated because our operations over the next 12 months may cause

other changes to the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits. Due to the complexity of the tax rules underlying our uncertain tax position liabilities, and the unclear timing of tax audits, tax agency determinations, and other events (such as the outcomes of tax controversies involving related issues with unrelated taxpayers), we cannot establish reasonably reliable estimates for the periods in which the cash settlement of our uncertain tax position liabilities will occur.

14. Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Controlling Interests Per Common Share

We compute net income (loss) attributable to controlling interests per common share by dividing income (loss) attributable to controlling interests by the weighted-average common shares (including participating securities) outstanding during the period, as discussed below. Diluted computations applicable in financial reporting periods in which we report income reflect the potential dilution to the basic income per common share computations that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised, were converted into common stock or were to result in the issuance of common stock that would share in our income or losses. In performing our net income (loss) attributable to controlling interests per common share computations, we apply accounting rules that require us to include all unvested stock awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents, whether paid or unpaid, in the number of shares outstanding in our basic and diluted calculations. Common stock and unvested share-based payment awards earn dividends equally, and we have included all outstanding restricted stock awards in our basic and diluted calculations for current and prior periods.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the computations of net income (loss) per common share (in thousands, except per share data):

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Numerator:		
Loss on continuing operations attributable to controlling interests	\$(27,903)	\$(970)
Income from discontinued operations attributable to controlling interests	\$52,354	\$134,987
Net income attributable to controlling interests	\$24,451	\$134,017
Denominator:		
Basic (including unvested share-based payment awards) (1)	19,271	25,735
Effect of dilutive stock compensation arrangements (2)	43	86
Diluted (including unvested share-based payment awards) (1)	19,314	25,821
Loss on continuing operations attributable to controlling interests per common share—basic	\$(1.45)	\$(0.04)
Loss on continuing operations attributable to controlling interests per common share—diluted	\$(1.45)	\$(0.04)
Income from discontinued operations attributable to controlling interests per common share—basic	\$2.72	\$5.25
Income from discontinued operations attributable to controlling interests per common share—diluted	\$2.71	\$5.23
Net income attributable to controlling interests per common share—basic	\$1.27	\$5.21
Net income attributable to controlling interests per common share—diluted	\$1.26	\$5.19

- (1) Shares related to unvested share-based payment awards we included in our basic and diluted share counts are 136,174 and 194,841 for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
- (2) The effect of dilutive options is shown only for informational purposes where we are in a net loss position. In such situations, the effect of including outstanding options and restricted stock would be anti-dilutive, and they are thus excluded from all loss period calculations.

As their effects were anti-dilutive, we excluded all of our stock options from our net income (loss) per share computations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, there were no shares potentially issuable and thus includible in the diluted net loss attributable to controlling interests per common share calculation under our 3.625% convertible senior notes due 2025 issued in May 2005 and 5.875% convertible senior notes due 2035 issued in November 2005. However, in future reporting periods during which our closing stock price is above the respective \$20.22 and \$24.61 conversion prices for the May 2005 and November 2005 convertible senior notes, and depending on the closing stock price at conversion, the maximum potential dilution under the conversion provisions of the May 2005 and November 2005 convertible senior notes is 22,246 and 5.7 million shares, respectively, which could be included in diluted share counts in net income per common share calculations. See Note 11, “Convertible Senior Notes,” for a further discussion of these convertible securities.

Table of Contents

15. Stock-Based Compensation

We currently have two stock-based compensation plans, including an Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the “ESPP”) and a 2008 Equity Incentive Plan (the “2008 Plan”).

The 2008 Plan provides for grants of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units and incentive awards. The maximum aggregate number of shares of common stock that may be issued under this plan and to which awards may relate is 2,000,000 shares, and 953,518 shares remained available for grant under this plan as of December 31, 2012. Exercises and vestings under our stock-based employee compensation plans resulted in no income tax-related benefits or charges to additional paid-in capital during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Stock Options

Our 2008 Plan and its predecessor plans provide that we may grant options on or shares of our common stock to members of our Board of Directors, employees, consultants and advisors. The exercise price per share of the options may be less than, equal to, or greater than the market price on the date the option is granted. The option period may not exceed 10 years from the date of grant. The vesting requirements for options granted by us range from immediate to 5 years. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, we expensed stock option-related compensation costs of \$0 and \$0.5 million, respectively. We recognize stock option-related compensation expense for any awards with graded vesting on a straight-line basis over the vesting period for the entire award. Information related to options outstanding is as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2012			
	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average of Remaining Contractual Life	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	570,000	\$39.24		
Issued/Cancelled/Forfeited	(70,000)	-		
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	500,000	\$40.99	0.4	\$-
Exercisable at December 31, 2012	500,000	\$40.99	0.4	\$-
	For the Year Ended December 31, 2011			
	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average of Remaining Contractual Life	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	570,000	\$39.24		
Issued/Cancelled/Forfeited	-	-		
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	570,000	\$39.24	1.2	\$-
Exercisable at December 31, 2011	570,000	\$39.24	1.2	\$-

As of December 31, 2012, we had no unamortized deferred compensation costs associated with non-vested stock options and all outstanding options are exercisable. There were no stock option exercises during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. No options were granted in the years ended December 31, 2012 or 2011.

Table of Contents

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Unit Awards

During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, we granted 150,000 and 44,000 shares of aggregate restricted stock and restricted stock units, respectively, with aggregate grant date fair values of \$0.6 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. When we grant restricted shares, we defer the grant date value of the restricted shares and amortize the grant date values of these shares (net of anticipated forfeitures) as compensation expense with an offsetting entry to the additional paid-in capital component of our consolidated shareholders' equity. Our issued restricted shares generally vest over a range of twenty-four to sixty months and are being amortized to salaries and benefits expense ratably over applicable vesting periods. As of December 31, 2012, our unamortized deferred compensation costs associated with non-vested restricted stock awards were \$0.4 million with a weighted-average remaining amortization period of 2.4 years.

16. Employee Benefit Plans

We maintain a defined contribution retirement plan ("401(k) plan") for our U.S. employees that provides for a matching contribution by us. All full time U.S. employees are eligible to participate in the 401(k) plan. Our U.K. credit card subsidiary offers eligible employees membership in a Group Personal Pension Plan which is set up with Friends Provident. This plan is a defined contribution plan in which all permanent employees who have completed three months of continuous service are eligible to join the plan. Company matching contributions are available to U.K. employees who contribute a minimum of 3% their salaries under our Group Personal Pension Plan and to U.S. employees who participate in our 401(k) plan. We made matching contributions under our U.S. and U.K. plans of \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million in 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Also, all employees, excluding executive officers, are eligible to participate in the ESPP to which we referred above. Under the ESPP, employees can elect to have up to 10% of their annual wages withheld to purchase our common stock up to a fair market value of \$10,000. The amounts deducted and accumulated by each participant are used to purchase shares of common stock at the end of each one-month offering period. The price of stock purchased under the ESPP is approximately 85% of the fair market value per share of our common stock on the last day of the offering period. Employees contributed \$0.02 million to purchase 6,133 shares of common stock in 2012 and \$0.03 million to purchase 10,383 shares of common stock in 2011 under the ESPP. The ESPP covers up to 150,000 shares of common stock. Our charge to expense associated with the ESPP was \$4,500 and \$6,000 in 2012 and 2011, respectively.

17. Related Party Transactions

In our September 2012 tender offer, we purchased for \$10 per share the following shares from our executive officers, members of our Board of Directors, and a 10-percent shareholder:

	Number of Shares	Total Price
Executive Officers		
David G. Hanna, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board	2,344,323	\$23,443,230
Richard R. House, Jr., President and Director	100,240	\$1,002,400
Richard W. Gilbert, Chief Operating Officer and Vice Chairman of the Board	212,023	\$2,120,230
J.Paul Whitehead, III, Chief Financial Officer	49,949	\$499,490
Board Members		
Deal W. Hudson	18,700	\$187,000
Mack F. Mattingly	20,726	\$207,260
Thomas G. Rosencrants	16,172	\$161,720
10% Shareholder		
Frank J. Hanna, III	2,344,324	\$23,443,240

F-37

Table of Contents

Additionally, as part of our April 2011 tender offer, we purchased for \$8 per share the following shares from our executive officers and then-members of our Board of Directors:

	Number of Shares	Total Price
Executive Officers		
David G. Hanna, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board	3,656,028	\$29,248,224
Richard R. House, Jr., President and Director	202,610	\$1,620,880
Richard W. Gilbert, Chief Operating Officer and Vice Chairman of the Board	330,654	\$2,645,232
J.Paul Whitehead, III, Chief Financial Officer	23,984	\$191,872
Board Members		
Frank J. Hanna, III	3,656,028	\$29,248,224
Deal W. Hudson	19,231	\$153,848
Mack F. Mattingly	20,974	\$167,792
Thomas G. Rosencrants	13,871	\$110,968
Gregory J. Corona	29,574	\$236,592

Under a shareholders' agreement into which we entered with David G. Hanna, Frank J. Hanna, III, Richard R. House, Jr., Richard W. Gilbert and certain trusts that were Hanna affiliates following our initial public offering (1) if one or more of the shareholders accepts a bona fide offer from a third party to purchase more than 50% of the outstanding common stock, each of the other shareholders that are a party to the agreement may elect to sell their shares to the purchaser on the same terms and conditions, and (2) if shareholders that are a party to the agreement owning more than 50% of the common stock propose to transfer all of their shares to a third party, then such transferring shareholders may require the other shareholders that are a party to the agreement to sell all of the shares owned by them to the proposed transferee on the same terms and conditions.

During 2012, we loaned \$155,000 to yBuy, Inc. ("yBuy"); the loan bore interest at 5% and was secured by yBuy's assets. At the time of the loan, David G. Hanna was a director of yBuy and an entity controlled by David G. Hanna and members of David G. Hanna's immediate family was a shareholder of yBuy. In November 2012, Mr. Hanna resigned from the Board of yBuy and the affiliated entity disposed of its stock in yBuy for a negligible amount. In November 2012, we entered into an agreement with yBuy to purchase certain of yBuy's assets for consideration equal to the outstanding balance of our loan to yBuy. The assets purchased from yBuy included the rights to a domain name and other immaterial intangible assets. Following the receipt of these assets, there is no outstanding balance on our loan to yBuy. While the loan was outstanding, yBuy did not pay us any principal or interest.

In June 2007 we entered into a sublease for 1,000 square feet of excess office space at our Atlanta headquarters office location, to HBR Capital, Ltd., a corporation co-owned by David G. Hanna and Frank J. Hanna, III. The sublease rate of \$24.30 per square foot is the same as the rate that we pay on the prime lease. This sublease expires in May of 2022.

In June, 2007, a partnership formed by Richard W. Gilbert (our Chief Operating Officer and then-Vice Chairman of our Board of Directors), Richard R. House, Jr. (our President and a member of our Board of Directors), J. Paul Whitehead III (our Chief Financial Officer), Krishnakumar Srinivasan (then-President of our Credit Cards and Other Investments segment), and other individual investors (including an unrelated third-party individual investor), acquired £4.7 million (\$9.2 million) of class "B" notes originally issued to another investor out of our U.K. Portfolio structured financing trust. This acquisition price of the notes was the same price at which the original investor had sold \$60 million of notes to another unrelated third party. Due to various partnership member terminations in 2009 and 2010, only Richard W. Gilbert, Richard R. House, Jr. and one other individual investor remained as partners in the partnership at December 31, 2010. In March 2011, we invested in a 50.0%-owned joint venture that purchased the outstanding notes issued out of our U.K. Portfolio structured financing trust including those owned by this partnership; no consideration was paid for the notes.

In December 2006, we established a contractual relationship with Urban Trust Bank, a federally chartered savings bank (“Urban Trust”), pursuant to which we purchase credit card receivables underlying specified Urban Trust credit card accounts. Under this arrangement, in general, Urban Trust was entitled to receive 5% of all payments received from cardholders and was obligated to pay 5% of all net costs incurred by us in connection with managing the program, including the costs of purchasing, marketing, servicing and collecting the receivables. In April 2009, however, we amended our contractual relationship with Urban Trust such that, in exchange for a payment by us of \$300,000, Urban Trust would sell back its ownership interest in the economics underlying cards issued through Urban Trust Bank. The purchase of this interest resulted in a net gain of \$1.1 million which we recorded in our second quarter 2009 results of operations. Frank J. Hanna, Jr., who is the father of David. G. Hanna and Frank J. Hanna, III, owns a substantial noncontrolling interest in Urban Trust and serves on its Board of Directors. In December 2006, we deposited \$0.3 million with Urban Trust to cover purchases by Urban Trust cardholders. As of December 31, 2011, we had no remaining deposit and had terminated our agreement with Urban Trust.

F-38

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Atlanta, State of Georgia, on February 25, 2013.

Atlanticus Holdings
Corporation

By: /s/ David G. Hanna
David G. Hanna
Chief Executive Officer
and Chairman of the
Board

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this Report has been signed below by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ DAVID G. HANNA David G. Hanna	Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board (Principal Executive Officer)	February 25, 2013
/s/ J. PAUL WHITEHEAD, III J. Paul Whitehead, III	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial & Accounting Officer)	February 25, 2013
/s/ RICHARD R. HOUSE, JR. Richard R. House, Jr.	Director	February 25, 2013
/s/ DEAL W. HUDSON Deal W. Hudson	Director	February 25, 2013
/s/ MACK F. MATTINGLY Mack F. Mattingly	Director	February 25, 2013
/s/ THOMAS G. ROSENCRANTS Thomas G. Rosencrants	Director	February 25, 2013