

Applied Minerals, Inc.
Form 10-Q
August 11, 2014
Table Of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014

Transition report under section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 000-31380

APPLIED MINERALS,
INC.

(Exact name of registrant as
specified in its charter)

Delaware 82-0096527
(State or (I.R.S.
other Employer
jurisdiction Identification
of No.)
incorporation
or

organization)

110
Greene
Street –
Suite 10012
1101,
New
York,
NY
(Address
of
principal executive
offices) (Zip Code)

(800)
356-6463
(Issuer's
Telephone
Number,
Including
Area
Code)

Former name, former address, and former fiscal year, if changed since last report:

Indicate by check whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES X NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller-reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer X Non-accelerated Filer Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES NO X

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The number of shares of the registrant's common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, outstanding as of August 1, 2014 was 94,980,078.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE: None.

1

Table Of Contents

APPLIED MINERALS, INC.

(An Exploration Stage Company)

SECOND QUARTER 2014 REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

	Page(s)
Item 1. <u>Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2014 (unaudited) and December 31, 2013</u>	3
<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	4
<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity (Deficiency) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014</u>	5
<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	6
<u>Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	15
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	22
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	22

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	22
<u>Risk Factors</u>	22

Item 1A	
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	22
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	22
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	22
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	22
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	23
<u>Signatures</u>	24
Certification under Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	

Table Of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****APPLIED MINERALS, INC.**

(An Exploration Stage Mining Company)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	June 30, 2014 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2013
<u>ASSETS</u>		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$3,210,112	\$8,685,552
Accounts receivable, net of \$0 allowance	19,651	5,756
Deposits and prepaid expenses	334,200	423,472
Total Current Assets	3,563,963	9,114,780
Property and Equipment		
Land and mining property	1,109,938	1,109,938
Property and Equipment, net of depreciation	6,719,905	4,921,611
Total Property and Equipment	7,829,843	6,031,549
Other Assets		
Deposits	68,958	68,958
Total Other Assets	68,958	68,958
TOTAL ASSETS	\$11,462,764	\$15,215,287
<u>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)</u>		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$2,558,417	\$1,580,146
Stock awards payable	--	110,000
Current portion of notes payable	165,624	311,165
Total Current Liabilities	2,724,041	2,001,311
Long-Term Liabilities		
Long-term portion of notes payable	25,996	40,826
Warrant derivative	250,000	950,000
PIK notes payable, net of \$1,982,609 and \$2,020,750 debt discount, respectively	9,042,391	8,486,583
PIK Note derivative	519,750	2,250,000
Total Long-Term Liabilities	9,838,137	11,727,409

Total Liabilities	12,562,178	13,728,720
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 9)	--	--
Stockholders' Equity (Deficiency)		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, noncumulative, nonvoting, nonconvertible, none issued or outstanding	--	--
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 120,000,000 shares authorized, 94,923,310 and 94,646,013 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively	94,923	94,646
Additional paid-in capital	64,020,564	63,213,893
Accumulated deficit prior to the exploration stage	(20,009,496)	(20,009,496)
Accumulated deficit during the exploration stage	(45,205,405)	(41,812,476)
Total Stockholders' Equity (Deficiency)	(1,099,414)	1,486,567
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)	\$11,462,764	\$15,215,287

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements

Table Of Contents**APPLIED MINERALS, INC.**

(An Exploration Stage Mining Company)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

(Unaudited)

	For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
REVENUES	\$47,993	\$12,878	\$59,007	\$37,964
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
Production costs	21,567	2,640	25,316	18,407
Exploration costs	1,257,579	875,654	2,454,735	2,243,266
General and administrative	1,343,719	1,830,663	2,597,735	3,976,086
Depreciation expense	137,193	78,890	245,579	157,688
Total Operating Expenses	2,760,058	2,787,847	5,323,365	6,395,447
Operating Loss	(2,712,065)	(2,774,969)	(5,264,358)	(6,357,483)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):				
Interest expense, net, including amortization of deferred financing cost and debt discount	(304,196)	(7,137)	(604,363)	(13,624)
Gain (loss) on revaluation of warrant derivative	(25,000)	155,000	700,000	650,000
Gain on revaluation of stock award	72,000	21,000	110,000	35,000
Gain (loss) on revaluation of PIK Note derivative	(39,375)	--	1,753,125	--
Other income (expense)	(27,068)	1,221	(87,333)	2,331
Total Other Income (Expense)	(323,639)	170,084	1,871,429	673,707
Net Loss	\$ (3,035,704)	\$ (2,604,885)	\$ (3,392,929)	\$ (5,683,776)
Net Loss Per Share (Basic and Diluted)	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.06)
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding (Basic and Diluted)	94,860,753	94,417,614	94,777,189	94,051,411

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements

Table Of Contents**APPLIED MINERALS, INC.**

(An Exploration Stage Mining Company)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)

(Unaudited)

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit Prior to Exploration Stage	Accumulated Deficit During Exploration Stage	Total Stockholders' Equity (Deficiency)
	Shares	Amount				
Balance, December 31, 2013	94,646,013	\$94,646	\$63,213,893	\$(20,009,496)	\$(41,812,476)	\$ 1,486,567
Shares issued for directors' fees and other services	277,297	277	220,603	--	--	220,880
Stock-based compensation expense	--	--	586,068	--	--	586,068
Net Loss	--	--	--	--	(3,392,929)	(3,392,929)
Balance, June 30, 2014	94,923,310	\$94,923	\$64,020,564	\$(20,009,496)	\$(45,205,405)	\$(1,099,414)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements

Table Of Contents**APPLIED MINERALS, INC.**

(An Exploration Stage Mining Company)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

	For the six months ended	
	June 30,	
	2014	2013
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:		
Net loss	\$(3,392,929)	\$(5,683,776)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operations		
Depreciation	245,579	157,688
Amortization of discount – PIK Notes	53,683	--
Issuance of PIK Notes in payment of interest	525,000	--
Stock issued for director and consulting services	220,880	76,750
Stock-based compensation expense	586,068	2,284,769
Gain on revaluation of warrant derivative	(700,000)	(650,000)
Gain on revaluation of PIK Notes	(1,753,125)	--
(Gain) loss on revaluation of stock awards for non-employees	(110,000)	(35,000)
Change in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(13,895)	(2,540)
Deposits and prepaids	138,439	125,766
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(203,996)	(699,444)
Net cash used in operating activities	(4,404,296)	(4,425,787)
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(306,266)	(2,223)
Construction-in-progress	(513,278)	(924,022)
Net cash used in investing activities	(819,544)	(926,245)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:		
Payments on notes payable	(251,600)	(289,633)
Proceeds from sale of common stock	--	5,560,000
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(251,600)	5,270,367
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(5,475,440)	(81,665)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	8,685,552	3,356,103
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$3,210,112	\$3,274,438

	For the six months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013
Cash Paid For:		
Interest	\$6,121	\$13,624
Income Taxes	\$1,403	\$3,814
Supplemental Disclosure of Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities:		
Additional large mine permit deposit in accounts payable	\$49,167	\$--
Property and equipment financed with notes payable	\$91,229	\$--
Laboratory equipment in accounts payable	\$378,485	\$--
Prepaid insurance financed with note payable	\$--	\$25,005
Reclassification from buildings to milling equipment	\$319,328	\$--
Reclassification of construction-in-progress to buildings	\$2,405,648	\$--
Reclassification of construction-in-progress to milling equipment	\$1,857,727	\$--
Reclassification of construction-in-progress to lab equipment	\$96,077	\$--

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements

Table Of Contents

APPLIED MINERALS, INC.

(An Exploration Stage Mining Company)

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTE 1 – BASIS OF PRESENTATION

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited, condensed, consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial position of Applied Minerals, Inc. ("Applied Minerals" or "the Company" or "we") and its results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented. Such financial statements have been condensed in accordance with the applicable regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and, therefore, do not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013, included in the Company's Annual Report filed on Form 10-K for such year. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year. The condensed consolidated financial statements were prepared using accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). These principles require us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2 – ORGANIZATION AND DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Applied Minerals, Inc. (the "Company") is the owner of the Dragon Mine located in the Tintic Mining District of the State of Utah from where it produces halloysite clay and iron oxide. The Company is currently in various phases of commercial scale trials with several organizations in various markets with respect to uses of its products.

Applied Minerals is a publicly traded company incorporated in the state of Delaware. The common stock trades on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol AMNL.

NOTE 3 – LIQUIDITY

As the Company continues its commercialization efforts of halloysite clay and iron oxide, it will require additional financing later in 2014 to fund its current operations as it has done in the past. The Company has a history of recurring losses from operations and use of cash in operating activities as it is still an exploration stage company. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company's net loss was \$3,392,929 and cash used in operating activities was \$4,404,296. As of June 30, 2014, the Company had working capital of \$839,922 which will not be sufficient to support its current operations for the next twelve months based on its business plan without obtaining additional financing. Collectively, these factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

Besides continuing its strategic business plan on generating revenue, the Company intends to explore various strategic alternatives, including the sale of equity, debt or the disposal of certain non-core assets to raise additional capital. During 2013, the Company raised gross proceeds of \$16,060,000 pursuant to the sale of common stock and issuance of convertible PIK Notes. Management can also take steps to reduce the Company's future operating expenses as needed. However, the Company cannot provide any assurance that it will be able to raise additional capital as needed. The condensed consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of asset carrying amounts or the amount and classification of liabilities that might result should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

NOTE 4 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Exploration-Stage Company

Effective January 1, 2009, due to the shutdown of our contract mining business, we were, and still are, classified as an exploration company as the existence of proven or probable reserves has not been demonstrated and no significant revenue has been earned from the mine. Under the SEC's Industry Guide 7, a mining company is considered an exploration stage company until it has declared mineral reserves determined in accordance with the guide and staff interpretations thereof. As a result, we are unable to present inventories of mined and processed mineralization and are unable to capitalize any related mine development costs.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Applied Minerals, Inc. and its inactive subsidiary, which holds 100 acres of timber and mineral property in northern Idaho.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. In these condensed consolidated financial statements, the warrant and PIK note derivative liabilities, stock compensation and impairment of long-lived assets involve

extensive reliance on management's estimates. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include all highly-liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less. The Company minimizes its credit risk by investing its cash and cash equivalents, which sometimes exceeds FDIC limits, with major financial institutions located in the United States with a high credit rating.

Receivables

Trade receivables are reported at outstanding principal amounts, net of an allowance for doubtful accounts. Management evaluates the collectability of receivable account balances to determine the allowance, if any. Management considers the other party's credit risk and financial condition, as well as current and projected economic and market conditions, in determining the amount of the allowance. Receivable balances are written off when management determines that the balance is uncollectable.

Table Of Contents

APPLIED MINERALS, INC.

(An Exploration Stage Mining Company)

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation and amortization is computed on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, or the life of the lease, whichever is shorter, as follows:

	Estimated Useful Life (years)
Building and Building Improvements	20 – 40
Mining equipment	2 – 7
Office and shop furniture and equipment	3 – 7
Vehicles	5

Depreciation expense for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 totaled \$137,193 and \$78,890, respectively. Depreciation expense for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 totaled \$245,579 and \$157,688, respectively. The Company currently does not capitalize any amounts related to proven or probable reserves and therefore does not have any depletion expense.

Fair Value

ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures*, defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. This topic also establishes a fair value hierarchy, which requires classification based on observable and unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The fair value hierarchy distinguishes between assumptions based on market data (observable inputs) and an entity's own assumptions (unobservable inputs). The hierarchy consists of three levels:

Level 1 - quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities

Level 2 - observable inputs other than quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities

Level 3 - significant unobservable inputs

Liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized as follows:

	Fair value measurement using inputs			Carrying amount	
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Financial instruments:					
Warrant derivative		\$250,000		\$250,000	\$950,000
PIK Note derivative		\$519,750		\$519,750	\$2,250,000

The recorded value of certain financial assets and liabilities, which consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, other current assets, and accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate their fair value at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 based upon the short-term nature of the assets and liabilities. Based on borrowing rates currently available to the Company for loans with similar terms, the carrying value of notes payable approximate fair value. Estimated fair value of the PIK Notes Payable approximates the outstanding principal amount of \$11,025,000 at June 30, 2014. For the Company's warrant and PIK note derivative liabilities, fair value was estimated using a Monte Carlo Model using the following assumptions:

Warrant derivative liability	Fair Value Measurements Using Inputs		
	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	
Market price and estimated fair value of stock	\$0.85	\$ 1.10	
Exercise price	\$1.93	\$ 1.93	
Term (years)	2.48	3.00	
Dividend yield	\$--	\$ --	
Expected volatility *	53.40 %	76.90 %	%
Risk-free interest rate	0.68 %	0.78 %	%

Table Of Contents**APPLIED MINERALS, INC.**

(An Exploration Stage Mining Company)

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

PIK Note derivative liability	Fair Value		Measurements		Using Inputs	
	June	December				
	30,	31, 2013				
	2014					
Market price and estimated fair value of stock	\$0.85	\$ 1.10				
Exercise price	\$1.40	\$ 1.40				
Term (years)	9.08	9.58				
Dividend yield	\$--	--				
Expected volatility *	53.40 %	76.90 %				
Risk-free interest rate	2.40 %	2.96 %				

* During the first quarter of 2014, the Company revised its assumption for expected volatility by switching from a peer-group average volatility to the Company's three-year historical volatility in measuring the value of the derivative liabilities mentioned above. Prior to 2011, the occurrence of certain corporate events would not have made the historical volatility calculations meaningful or accurate if included. This reduction in volatility led to a reduced valuation for both the Warrant and PIK Note derivative liabilities of approximately \$118,500 and \$126,000, respectively. The remaining decrease in the valuation is attributable to the decline in stock price.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets

The Company periodically reviews the carrying amounts of long-lived assets to determine whether current events or circumstances warrant adjustment to such carrying amounts. Long-lived assets are tested for recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that its carrying amount may not be recoverable. When such events occur, the Company compares the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset to its carrying amount.

If this comparison indicates an impairment, the amount of the impairment is typically calculated using discounted expected future cash flows where observable fair values are not readily determinable. Considerable management judgment is necessary to estimate the fair value of assets. Assets to be disposed of are carried at the lower of their financial statement carrying amount or fair value, less cost to sell.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue includes sales of halloysite clay and, commencing in June 2013, iron oxide, and is recognized when title passes to the buyer and when collectability is reasonably assured. Title passes to the buyer based on terms of the sales contract. Product pricing is determined based on related contractual arrangements with the Company's customers.

Mining Exploration and Development Costs

Land and mining property are carried at cost. The Company expenses prospecting and mining exploration costs. At the point when a property is determined to have proven and probable reserves, subsequent development costs will be capitalized and will be charged to operations using the units-of-production method over proven and probable reserves. Upon abandonment or sale of a mineral property, all capitalized costs relating to the specific property are written off in the period abandoned or sold and a gain or loss is recognized.

Income taxes

The Company uses an asset and liability approach which results in the recognition of deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences or benefits of temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of assets and liabilities, as well as operating loss and tax credit carry forwards, using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of its deferred tax assets will not be realized. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. A valuation allowance has been provided for the portion of the Company's net deferred tax assets for which it is more likely than not that they will not be realized.

The Company is subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income tax of certain state jurisdictions. Federal income tax returns subsequent to 2009 are subject to examination by major tax jurisdictions. The Company's practice is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense.

The Company follows the provision of ASC Topic 740-10, "Income Taxes", relating to recognition thresholds and measurement attributes for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. It also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods and requires increased disclosures. This guidance provides that the tax effects from an uncertain tax position can be recognized in our financial statements, only if the position is more likely than not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. As of June 30, 2014, no amounts are included in the financial statements for unrecognized tax benefits.

Stock Options and Warrants

The Company follows ASC 718 (Stock Compensation) and 505-50 (Equity-Based Payments to Non-employees), which provide guidance in accounting for share-based awards exchanged for services rendered and requires companies to expense the estimated fair value of these awards over the requisite service period.

Table Of Contents

APPLIED MINERALS, INC.

(An Exploration Stage Mining Company)

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

We determine the fair value of the stock-based compensation awards granted to non-employees as either the fair value of the consideration received or the fair value of the equity instruments issued, whichever is more reliably measurable. If the fair value of the equity instruments issued is used, it is measured using the stock price and other measurement assumptions as of the earlier of either of (1) the date at which a commitment for performance by the counterparty to earn the equity instruments is reached, or (2) the date at which the counterparty's performance is complete. The Company utilized the contractual term as the expected term.

Per share data

Loss per share for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, is calculated based on 94,860,753 and 94,417,614 weighted average outstanding shares of common stock. Loss per share for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, is calculated based on 94,777,189 and 94,051,411 weighted average outstanding shares of common stock.

At June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, the Company has outstanding options and warrants to purchase 23,258,046 and 15,578,115 shares of Company common stock, which were not included in the diluted computation as their effect would be anti-dilutive.

Environmental Matters

Expenditures for ongoing compliance with environmental regulations that relate to current operations are expensed or capitalized as appropriate. Expenditures resulting from the remediation of existing conditions caused by past operations that do not contribute to future revenue generations are expensed. Liabilities are recognized when environmental assessments indicate that remediation efforts are probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated.

Estimates of such liabilities are based upon currently available facts, existing technology and presently enacted laws and regulations taking into consideration the likely effects of inflation and other societal and economic factors, and include estimates of associated legal costs. These amounts also reflect prior experience in remediating contaminated sites, other companies' clean-up experience and data released by The Environmental Protection Agency or other organizations. Such estimates are by their nature imprecise and can be expected to be revised over time because of changes in government regulations, operations, technology and inflation. Recoveries are evaluated separately from

the liability and, when recovery is assured, the Company records and reports an asset separately from the associated liability.

Based upon management's current assessment of its environmental responsibilities, the Company cannot reasonably estimate any reclamation or remediation liability that may occur in the future, if any.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") ASU 2014-10 Development Stage Entities. The amendments in ASU 2014-10 remove the definition of a development stage entity from Topic 915 Development Stage Entities, thereby removing the distinction between development stage entities and other reporting entities from US GAAP. In addition, the amendments eliminate the requirements for development stage entities to (1) present inception-to-date information in the statements of operations, cash flows, and shareholder's equity, (2) label the financial statements as those of a development stage entity, (3) disclose a description of the development stage activities in which the entity is engaged, and (4) disclose in the first year in which the entity is no longer a development stage entity that in prior years it had been in the development stage. The amendments also clarify that the guidance in Topic 275, Risks and Uncertainties, is applicable to entities that have not commenced planned principal operations. ASU 2014-10 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014, and interim periods therein. The Company could early adopt ASU 2014-10 for any annual reporting period or interim period for which the entity's financial statements have not yet been issued. The Company has elected to adopt this ASU effective with this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and its adoption resulted in the removal of inception-to-date information in the Company's statements of operations and cash flows.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09 *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*. The amendments in ASU 2014-09 affects any entity that either enters into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services or enters into contracts for the transfer of nonfinancial assets unless those contracts are within the scope of other standards (e.g., insurance contracts or lease contracts). This ASU will supersede the revenue recognition requirements in Topic 605 *Revenue Recognition*, and most industry-specific guidance, and creates a Topic 606 *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*.

The core principle of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. To achieve that core principle, an entity should apply the following steps:

Step 1: Identify the contract(s) with a customer.

Step 2: Identify the performance obligations in the contract.

Step 3: Determine the transaction price.

Step 4: Allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract.

Step 5: Recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation.

ASU 2014-09 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period. Early application is not permitted. The Company is currently evaluating these new requirements to determine the method of implementation and any resulting estimated effects on the financial statements.

Table Of Contents**APPLIED MINERALS, INC.**

(An Exploration Stage Mining Company)

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTE 5 - STOCK AWARD PAYABLE

The stock award payable amount of \$110,000 at December 31, 2013 relates to 100,000 shares issuable, but not issued, under a 2007 employment agreement. The Company recorded the stock grant as a liability and revalued based on the quoted price of the Company's stock at the end of each period. During the second quarter of 2014, the Company received a release in writing from the former employee absolving the Company of the Stock Award Payable and accordingly reversed the \$72,000 balance of the liability into Other Income.

NOTE 6 - INCOME TAX

Income tax provisions or benefits for interim periods are computed based on the Company's estimated annual effective tax rate. Based on the Company's historical losses and its expectation of the continuation of losses for the foreseeable future, the Company has determined that it is not more likely than not that deferred tax assets will not be realized and, accordingly, has provided a full valuation allowance as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

NOTE 7 - NOTES PAYABLE

Notes payable at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 consist of the following:

	June 30, 2014 (unaudited)	December 31, 2013
Note payable for mining equipment, payable \$5,556 monthly, including interest (a)	\$ 10,292	\$42,927
Note payable for mining equipment, payable \$950 monthly, including interest (b)	18,809	23,302
Note payable for mining equipment, payable \$6,060 monthly, including interest (c)	41,655	76,313
Note payable for mine site vehicle, payable \$628 monthly, including interest (d)	24,506	28,276

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Note payable for mining equipment, payable \$5,000 monthly, including interest (e)	--	9,932
Note payable for mining equipment, payable \$2,250 monthly, including interest (f)	--	8,898
Note payable to an insurance company, payable \$19,139 monthly, including interest (g)	19,089	132,576
Note payable to an insurance company, payable \$4,297 monthly, including interest (h)	4,286	29,767
Note payable for lab equipment, payable \$9,123 monthly (i)	72,983	--
	191,620	351,991
Less: Current Portion	(165,624)	(311,165)
Notes Payable, Long-Term Portion	\$ 25,996	\$ 40,826

On July 7, 2011, the Company purchased mining equipment for \$198,838 by issuing a note with an implicit (a) interest rate of 9.34%. The note is collateralized by the mining equipment with payments of \$5,556 for 36 months, which started on August 15, 2011

On April 17, 2012, the Company purchased mining equipment for \$40,565 by issuing a note with an effective (b) interest rate of 11.279%. The note is collateralized by the mining equipment with payments of \$950 for 48 months, which started on May 1, 2012.

On July 23, 2012, the Company purchased mining equipment for \$169,500 by issuing a note with an interest rate (c) of 5.5%. The note is collateralized by the mining equipment with payments of \$6,060 for 30 months, which started on August 25, 2012.

On September 20, 2012, the Company purchased a vehicle for the mine site for \$37,701 by issuing a note with an (d) interest rate of 0%. The note is collateralized by the vehicle with payments of \$628 for 60 months, which started on October 20, 2012.

On November 16, 2012, the Company purchased a piece of mining equipment that had been leased for \$67,960 by (e) issuing a note with an effective interest rate of 5.5%. The note is collateralized by the mining equipment with payments of \$3,518 for three months, then \$5,000 for twelve months.

On November 16, 2012, the Company purchased a piece of mining equipment that had been leased for \$33,748 by (f) issuing a note with an effective interest rate of 5.5%. The note is collateralized by the mining equipment with payments of \$1,632 for five months, then \$2,250 for twelve months.

(g) The Company signed a note payable with an insurance company dated October 17, 2012 for directors' and officers' insurance, due in monthly installments, including interest at 3.15%. The note will mature in June 2013.

(h) The Company signed a note payable with an insurance company dated October 17, 2012 for liability insurance, due in monthly installments, including interest at 4.732%. The note will mature in July 2013.

On April 16, 2014, the Company purchased laboratory equipment for \$109,493 by depositing \$18,424 and issuing (i) a non-interest bearing note. The note is collateralized by the lab equipment with payments of \$9,122 for ten months.

The following is a schedule of the principal maturities for the next five years and the total thereafter on these notes as of June 30, 2014:

July 2014 – June 2015	165,624
July 2015 – June 2016	16,571
July 2016 – June 2017	7,540
July 2017 – June 2018	1,885
Thereafter	--
Total Notes Payable	\$ 191,620

During the three and six months ending June 30, 2014, the Company's interest payments totaled \$2,376 and \$6,121, respectively.

Table Of Contents

APPLIED MINERALS, INC.

(An Exploration Stage Mining Company)

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTE 8 – CONVERTIBLE DEBT (PIK NOTES)

In August 2013, the Company received \$10,500,000 of financing through the private placement of 10% mandatory convertible Notes due 2023 ("Notes"). The principal amount of the Notes is due on maturity. The Company can elect to pay semi-annual interest on the Notes with additional PIK Notes containing the same terms as the Notes, except interest will accrue from issuance of such notes. The Company can also elect to pay interest in cash. In February 2014, the Company issued \$525,000 in additional PIK Notes to the holders to pay the semi-annual interest.

The Notes convert into the Company's common stock at a conversion price of \$1.40 per share, which is subject to customary antidilution adjustments. As of issuance, the Notes are convertible into 7,500,000 shares of the common stock. The holders may convert the Notes at any time. The Notes are mandatorily convertible after one year when the weighted average trading price of a share of the common stock for the preceding ten trading days is in excess of the conversion price. The Notes contain customary representations and warranties and several covenants. The proceeds are being used for general corporate purposes. No broker was used and no commission was paid in connection with the sale of the Notes.

These Notes were not issued with the intent of effectively hedging any future cash flow, fair value of any asset, liability or any net investment in a foreign operation. In addition to the customary antidilution provisions the notes contain a down-round provision whereby the conversion price would be adjusted downward in the event that additional shares of the Company's common stock or securities exercisable, convertible or exchangeable for the Company's common stock were issued for cash consideration (e.g. a capital raise) at a price less than the conversion price. Therefore, the estimated fair value of the conversion feature of \$2,055,000 (based on observable inputs) was bifurcated from the Notes and accounted for as a separate derivative liability, which resulted in a corresponding amount of debt discount on the Notes. The debt discount is being amortized using the effective interest method over the 10-year term of the Notes as Interest Expense, while the PIK Note Derivative is carried at fair value (using a Monte Carlo model) until the Notes are converted or otherwise extinguished. Any changes in fair value are recognized in earnings.

At June 30, 2014, the fair value of the PIK Note Derivative was estimated to be \$519,750, which includes the value of the additional PIK Notes issued in February 2014, as mentioned above. Total gain from the revaluation of the original PIK Notes was \$1,753,125 for the six months ending June 30, 2014. In addition, during such period, the Company

amortized \$53,683 of debt discount relating to the PIK Notes Payable, increasing the PIK Notes Payable carrying value to \$9,042,391 as of June 30, 2014.

NOTE 9 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

During the six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company issued a total of 277,297 shares of common stock valued at \$220,880 to directors and consultants as payments of fees.

NOTE 10 - OPTIONS AND WARRANTS TO PURCHASE COMMON STOCK

Derivative Instruments - Warrants

The Company issued 5,000,000 warrants ("Samlyn warrants") in connection with the December 22, 2011 private placement of 10,000,000 shares of common stock. The strike price of these warrants was \$2.00 per share at the date of grant. These warrants were not issued with the intent of effectively hedging any future cash flow, fair value of any asset, liability or any net investment in a foreign operation. In addition to the customary antidilution provisions the notes contain a down-round provision whereby the exercise price would be adjusted downward in the event that additional shares of the Company's common stock or securities exercisable, convertible or exchangeable for the Company's common stock were issued at a price less than the exercise price. Therefore, the fair value of these warrants (based on observable inputs) was recorded as a liability in the balance sheet until they are exercised or expire or are otherwise extinguished. During the first quarter of 2013, the Company issued 3,756,757 shares of its common stock for gross proceeds of \$5,560,000, which triggered a down-round adjustment of \$0.03 from \$2.00 to \$1.97 in the strike price of the Samlyn warrants at that time. As discussed in Note 8, during August 2013, the Company issued \$10,500,000 of 10% mandatorily convertible PIK Notes due 2023 ("Notes") in a private placement, which triggered a down-round adjustment of \$0.04 from \$1.97 to \$1.93 in the strike price of the Samlyn warrants.

During the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company recognized \$25,000 of loss and \$155,000 of income, respectively, of Other Income (Expense) resulting from the changes in the fair value of the warrant liability. During the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company recognized \$700,000 and \$650,000 of income resulting from the decrease in the fair value of the warrant liability. As described in Note 4, this reduction mainly resulted from a lower stock price and a change in the volatility utilized by the Company.

Outstanding Stock Warrants

A summary of the status of the warrants outstanding and exercisable at June 30, 2014 is presented below:

Exercise Price	Warrants Outstanding and Exercisable	
	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (years)
\$ 0.75	139,340	1.25
\$ 0.78	213,402	1.59
\$ 0.80	124,481	1.50
\$ 1.00	212,000	1.16
\$ 1.15	461,340	6.83
\$ 1.93	5,000,000	2.48
\$ 2.00	54,367	2.09
\$ 1.75 (a)	6,204,930	2.68
(a) Weighted average		

Table Of Contents

APPLIED MINERALS, INC.

(An Exploration Stage Mining Company)

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

No warrants have been issued since 2011 and no warrants vested in 2013 or 2014; accordingly, no compensation expense has been recorded during 2013 and 2014. The intrinsic value of the outstanding warrants at June 30, 2014 was \$35,096.

Excluding the 5,000,000 warrants with the down round provisions discussed above, the fair value of each of the Company's stock warrant awards is estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model that uses the assumptions noted in the table below.

Outstanding Stock Options

On November 20, 2012, the shareholders of the Company approved the adoption of the Applied Minerals, Inc. 2012 Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP") and the Short-Term Incentive Plan ("STIP") and the performance criteria used in setting performance goals for awards intended to be performance-based. Under the LTIP, 8,900,000 shares are authorized for issuance. The STIP does not refer to a particular number of shares under the LTIP, but would use the shares authorized in the LTIP for issuance under the STIP. The CEO, the CFO, and named executive officers, and directors, among others are eligible to participate in the LTIP and STIP. Prior to the adoption of the LTIP and STIP, stock options were granted under individual arrangements between the Company and the grantees, and approved by the Board of Directors.

The fair value of each of the Company's stock option awards is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model that uses the assumptions noted in the table below. Expected volatility is based on an average of historical volatility of the Company's common stock. The risk-free interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the stock option award is based on the yield curve of a zero-coupon U.S. Treasury Bond on the date the award is granted with a maturity equal to the expected term of the award.

The significant assumptions relating to the valuation of the Company's options issued for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

	2014		2013	
Dividend Yield	0	%	0	%
Expected Life (years)	5-6		5 - 10	
Expected Volatility	55.70	%	66-83	%
Risk Free Interest Rate	1.71-1.90%		0.88-2.57%	

A summary of the status and changes of the options granted under stock option plans and other agreements for the six months ended June 30, 2014 is as follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at beginning of period	15,878,116	\$ 1.03
Issued	1,175,000	0.84
Exercised	--	--
Forfeited	--	--
Outstanding at end of period	17,053,116	\$ 1.02

During the six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company granted 1,175,000 options to purchase the Company's common stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$0.84. Of the 1,175,000 options granted during 2014, 200,000 options vest quarterly starting on March 31, 2014 and ending on December 31, 2014; 375,000 options granted during the second quarter immediately vested on June 9, 2014; and 600,000 options granted on June 9, 2014 vest monthly over a three-year period.

Table Of Contents

A summary of the status of the options outstanding at June 30, 2014 is presented below:

Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
Number	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding			Exercisable	
7,358,277	4.44	\$ 0.70	7,358,277	\$ 0.70
3,405,134	7.19	\$ 0.83	3,305,134	\$ 0.83
975,000	9.95	\$ 0.84	391,667	\$ 0.84
60,000	2.00	\$ 1.00	60,000	\$ 1.00
300,000	9.15	\$ 1.10	--	--
300,000	8.99	\$ 1.15	83,333	\$ 1.15
100,000	3.59	\$ 1.24	100,000	\$ 1.24
115,000	6.74	\$ 1.35	115,000	\$ 1.35
125,000	3.59	\$ 1.45	125,000	\$ 1.45
330,000	7.45	\$ 1.55	246,667	\$ 1.55
7,645	3.59	\$ 1.58	7,645	\$ 1.58
3,077,060	8.40	\$ 1.66	3,077,060	\$ 1.66
900,000	7.14	\$ 1.90	850,000	\$ 1.90
17,053,116	6.38	\$ 1.02	15,719,783	\$ 1.02

At June 30, 2014, the total compensation expense of \$754,293 for unvested options is to be recognized over the next 1.95 years on a weighted average basis.

Compensation expense of \$371,218 and \$586,068 have been recognized for vesting of options for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of the outstanding options as June 30, 2014 was \$1,181,594.

NOTE 11 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIESCommitments

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of June 30, 2014 that require us to make future cash payments:

	Payment due by period		
	Total	< 1 year	1 - 3 years
Contractual Obligations:			
Rent obligations	\$68,958	\$68,958	--
Total	\$68,958	\$68,958	--

Dexia Holdings and FSAH have entered into and are entering into a number of agreements pursuant to which they will guarantee the assets and liabilities of the GIC subsidiaries for the benefit of FSA (and, if FSAH continues to exist, for losses arising after the closing of the acquisition from the assets, liabilities, operations and business of FSA) to the extent of the post from time to time eligible collateral (other than any assets of FSAM owned as of the closing date) having a value of not less than equal to the excess of (i) the aggregate principal amount of all outstanding GICs over (ii) the aggregate market value of the collateral. In certain circumstances, including issuance of the sovereign guarantees, Dexia will be relieved, in whole or in part, of its obligations under the Agreement and Ancillary Agreements Financial Product Agreements." As of September 30, 2008, the liability of FSAH to FSA under the Agreement is approximately \$4.3 billion (before any tax effects). To the extent FSA is required to pay any amounts to the GIC subsidiaries, FSA will be subject to the risk that it will not receive the guarantee payment from Dexia Holdings under its financial guarantee policy or that it will not receive the guarantee payment at all.

Table of Contents

Dexia Holdings and/or its affiliates have also entered into agreements to:

provide a \$5 billion revolving line of credit to FSAM;

provide capital contributions to FSAH, not to exceed \$500 million in the aggregate, equal to the amount of capital contributions to FSAH, net of any other than temporary impairments that have been determined in accordance with FSAH's accounting policy, as of the date immediately prior to the contribution date, less certain realized tax benefits, if any, arising from the sale of FSAH common stock;

lend FSAM up to \$3.5 billion of securities eligible to act as collateral for GICs.

All of these agreements are described under "The Stock Purchase Agreement and Ancillary Agreements."

Dexia Holdings has agreed to (or cause an affiliate to) provide a liquidity facility for the purpose of covering the payment obligations of FSAH in respect of "strip coverages" included in FSAH's leveraged tax lease debt defeasance business. The initial capacity of the liquidity facility is \$1 billion, subject to adjustment to \$1 billion under specified conditions. See "The Stock Purchase Agreement and Ancillary Agreements - Coverage Liquidity Facility."

Restrictions on the conduct of FSA's business after the closing will limit Assured's operating and financial flexibility.

Under the stock purchase agreement, Assured has agreed to conduct its business, including the business operations of FSAH, in a manner consistent with the business operations described under "The Stock Purchase Agreement and Ancillary Agreements - Post-Closing Conduct of Business." For the first two years after the closing of the acquisition, Assured has agreed that unless FSA is rated at least A- by Moody's for general obligation bond and infrastructure bond insurance, whether written directly, assumed, reinsured or occurring through reinsurance, Assured will not repurchase, redeem or pay any dividends in relation to any class of equity interests unless (i) (A) the rating is at least A- and Aa3 by Moody's (if such rating agencies still rate financial guaranty insurers generally) and (B) the aggregate amount of such action does not exceed \$25 million or (ii) FSA receives prior rating agency confirmation that such action would not cause any rating agency to downgrade FSA's rating following such action. These agreements will limit Assured's operating and financial flexibility.

Although we expect that the acquisition of FSAH will result in benefits to Assured, we may not realize the full benefits.

Integrating the operations of Assured and FSAH successfully or otherwise realizing any of the anticipated cost savings and additional revenue opportunities, involve a number of potential challenges. These challenges, if not resolved, could harm our results of operations and the market price of the Assured common shares may decline as a result.

Realizing the benefits of the acquisition will depend in part on the integration of information technology systems, which is a complex and time-consuming process and we may encounter unexpected difficulties or incur unexpected costs, including:

diversion of management attention from ongoing business concerns to integration matters.

Table of Contents

difficulties in consolidating and rationalizing information technology platforms and ad

difficulties in combining corporate cultures, maintaining employee morale and retainin

We may not successfully integrate the operations of Assured and FSAH in a timely manner and we m
expenses and other benefits and synergies of the acquisition of FSAH to the extent, or in the time frame, an
our ability to realize these net reductions in costs and expenses and other benefits and synergies could be a
ability to combine operations.

***The acquisition of FSAH is subject to the receipt of consents and approvals from government entities th
could have an adverse effect on Assured following the completion of the acquisition.***

We cannot complete the acquisition unless we receive various consents, orders, approvals and clearan
and elsewhere. While we believe that we will receive the requisite regulatory approvals from these authori
authorities may impose conditions on the completion of the acquisition of FSAH or require changes to the
require divestiture of certain assets as a condition to the closing of the acquisition. We are not obligated to
the proposed acquisition if such divestiture would have a material adverse effect on Assured and its subsid
financial products subsidiaries)) taken as a whole after the acquisition. While we do not currently expect th
cannot assure you that they will not be, and such conditions or changes could have the effect of delaying co
or limiting the revenues of Assured following the acquisition, any of which may have an adverse effect on
Approvals Required for the Transaction" and "The Stock Purchase Agreement Closing Conditions" for a

***Subject to certain limitations, Dexia Holdings may sell Assured common shares at any time following th
cause our stock price to decrease.***

Dexia Holdings has agreed not to transfer any of the Assured common shares received in connection
anniversary of the stock purchase agreement. Assured has agreed to register all of such Assured common s
number of Assured common shares by Dexia Holdings or our other stockholders within a short period of ti
more difficult for us to raise funds through future offerings of Assured common shares or acquire other bu

You will experience a reduction in percentage ownership and voting power with respect to Assured com

In connection with the transaction, we will issue to Dexia Holdings up to 44,567,901 Assured commo
price under the stock purchase agreement, we expect to issue additional Assured common shares having a
48.9 million Assured common shares based upon the closing price of the Assured common shares on the N
completion of the acquisition, holders of Assured common shares will experience a substantial reduction in
voting power relative to their respective

Table of Contents

percentage ownership interests in Assured common shares and effective voting power prior to the acquisition.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We and our subsidiaries currently occupy approximately over 142,000 square feet of leased office space, of which that amount are 45,000 square feet for New York office space for the lease that will expire in March 2009. In 2008, the Company Corp., entered into a new five-year lease agreement for New York office space. Management believes that the Company has all the space it needs.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Litigation

Lawsuits arise in the ordinary course of the Company's business. It is the opinion of the Company's management that the expected outcome of these matters, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's liquidity, although an adverse resolution of a number of these items could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations in a particular quarter or fiscal year.

Effective January 1, 2004, Assured Guaranty Mortgage Insurance Company ("AGMIC") reinsured a portion of the Company's Insurance Stop Loss Excess of Loss Reinsurance Agreement (the "Agreement"). Under the Agreement, AGMIC reinsured the Company's guaranty insurance losses in excess of a \$25 million retention and subject to a \$95 million limit. Coverage under the Agreement is subject to the Reinsured's: (1) combined loss ratio exceeded 100%; and (2) risk to capital ratio exceeded 25 to 1, accordingly, AGMIC notified the Reinsured it was terminating the Agreement because of the Reinsured's breach of the terms of the Agreement. The Reinsured considers the Agreement to remain in effect and that the two coverage triggers under the Agreement apply. In 2008, the Reinsured demanded arbitration against AGMIC seeking a declaration that the Agreement remains in effect. An arbitration hearing took place before a three person panel in December 2008 and January 2009. Post hearing, the panel's decision was rendered on February 26, 2009, and the arbitration panel could render its decision at any time thereafter.

It is the opinion of the Company's management, based upon the information available, that the expected outcome of these matters, in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or liquidity, although a resolution of these items could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations in a particular quarter or fiscal year.

During 2007, the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, Assured Guaranty Re Overseas Ltd. ("AGRO") received settlements with defendants in the *In re: National Century Financial Enterprises Inc. Investment Litigation* in the Southern District of Ohio Eastern District. AGRO received approximately \$0.4 million (pre-tax) in 2008, 2007, and 2006 from the settlements. AGRO originally paid claims in 2003 of approximately \$41.7 million (pre-tax) in 2003, including the settlements described above, the

Table of Contents

Company has recovered \$20.5 million (pre-tax). These are a partial settlement of the litigation, and the litigation

In the ordinary course of their respective businesses, certain of the Company's subsidiaries assert claims for amounts paid in prior periods. The amounts, if any, the Company will recover in these proceedings are uncertain, and the amount during any quarter or fiscal year could be material to the Company's results of operations in that particular

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of stockholders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year covered by this report.

On February 6, 2009, a Proxy statement was distributed to Company's stockholders asking for their votes on the common shares to Dexia Holdings or its designated affiliate in connection with Assured's acquisition of Financial Security Assurance shares to the WLR Funds pursuant to the WLR Backstop Commitment. The votes for this Proxy statement were received on March 16, 2009. See Item 1. Business, "Acquisition of Financial Security Assurance Holdings Ltd." for more information.

Executive Officers of the Company

The table below sets forth the names, ages, positions and business experience of the executive officers of the Company.

Name	Age	Position
Dominic J. Frederico	56	President and Chief Executive Officer and Chairman
Michael J. Schozer	51	President of Assured Guaranty
Robert B. Mills	59	Chief Financial Officer
James M. Michener	56	General Counsel and Secretary
Robert A. Bailenson	42	Chief Accounting Officer

Dominic J. Frederico has been President and Chief Executive Officer of Assured Guaranty since December 2003. Mr. Frederico was President and Chief Executive Officer of ACE from June 2003 until April 2004 and served as President and Chief Operating Officer of ACE and Chairman of ACE from 1999 to June 2003. Mr. Frederico was a director of ACE since 2001, but retired from that board when his term expired in 2001. Mr. Frederico was Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of ACE INA from May 1999 through November 1999. Mr. Frederico was Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Bermuda Insurance Ltd. ("ACE Bermuda") from July 1997 to May 1999, Executive Vice President, Underwriting, ACE from 1996 to 1997, Vice President, Financial Lines from January 1995 to December 1996. Prior to joining ACE, Mr. Frederico was Executive Vice President, International Group ("AIG"). Mr. Frederico completed his employment at AIG after serving as Senior Vice President, International Group. Before that, Mr. Frederico was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of UNICOR, Paris, France.

Michael J. Schozer has been President of Assured Guaranty Corp. since December 2003. Mr. Schozer was President of Assured Guaranty Derivatives of Ambac Assurance Corporation from 1996 to December 2003 where he was also a member of the Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

Robert B. Mills has been Chief Financial Officer of Assured Guaranty since January 2004. Mr. Mills of UBS AG and UBS Investment Bank from April 1994 to January 2004 where he was also a member of the firm was with KPMG from 1971 to 1994 where his responsibilities included being partner-in-charge of the Investment

James M. Michener has been General Counsel and Secretary of Assured Guaranty since February 2002. Mr. Michener was General Counsel of Travelers Property Casualty Corp. from January 2002 to February 2004. From April 2001 to January 2002, Mr. Michener was General Counsel of Citigroup's Emerging Markets business. Prior to joining Citigroup's Emerging Markets business, Mr. Michener was General Counsel of Citigroup from April 2001 and General Counsel of Travelers Property Casualty Corp. from May 1996 to April 2000.

Robert A. Bailenson has been Chief Accounting Officer of Assured Guaranty since May 2005 and has been Chief Accounting Officer of the Company since 1990. In addition to this position, Mr. Bailenson serves as the Chief Accounting Officer of the Company's subsidiary, Assured Guaranty Re Ltd., which he has held since 2003. He was Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Assured Guaranty Re Ltd. from 1999 until 2003. He was also Chief Financial Officer of Capital Re Corp., which was acquired by ACE Limited in 1999.

Information pertaining to this item is incorporated by reference to the sections entitled "Proposal No. 2008-1, "Officers and Directors Comply with Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting in 2008?", "Corporate Governance - The Committees of the Board - The Audit Committee" of the definitive proxy statement for the 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and will be filed with the SEC not later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year ending December 31, 2008.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND SECURITIES

Our Common Stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under symbol "AGO." The table below sets forth the high and low sales prices and amount of any cash dividends declared:

	2008		Cash Dividends
	High	Low	
First Quarter	\$26.98	\$16.53	\$ 0.045
Second Quarter	27.58	17.94	0.045
Third Quarter	20.64	7.95	0.045
Fourth Quarter	16.65	5.49	0.045

On February 12, 2009, the closing price for our common stock on NYSE was \$7.38, and the approximate number of shares of common stock outstanding on that date was 14,743.

The Company is a holding company whose principal source of income is net investment income and the ability of our operating subsidiaries to pay dividends to us and our ability to pay dividends to our shareholders, are each dependent upon the performance of our operating subsidiaries and payment of future dividends will be at the discretion of the Board of Directors and will be dependent upon the performance of Assured Guaranty Ltd. and other factors, including legal restrictions on the payment of dividends and such other factors. For more information concerning our dividends, please refer to

Table of Contents

Item 7 under caption "Liquidity and Capital Resources" and Note 14 "Insurance Regulations" to the consolidated financial statements.

On May 4, 2006, the Company's Board of Directors approved a share repurchase program for 1.0 million shares at management's discretion depending on market conditions. In August 2007 the Company completed this share repurchase program and repurchased 1.0 million common shares at an average price of \$24.81.

On November 8, 2007, the Company's Board of Directors approved a new share repurchase program for 0.3 million shares to take place at management's discretion depending on market conditions. During 2007 we repurchased 0.3 million shares and repurchases were made during 2008.

The following table reflects the Company share repurchase activity during the three months ended December 31, 2008, net of payment of employee withholding taxes due in connection with the vesting of restricted stock awards:

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid Per Share	(c) Total Shares Purchased Part of Program Announced
October 1 - October 31	482	\$ 14.61	
November 1 - November 30	626	\$ 10.58	
December 1 - December 31	189	\$ 12.91	
Total	1,297	\$ 12.42	

Set forth below are a line graph and a table comparing the dollar change in the cumulative total share repurchase program from April 22, 2004 through December 31, 2008 as compared to the cumulative total return of the Standard & Poor's 500 Financials Index. The chart and table depict the value on April 22, 2004, December 31, 2004, and December 31, 2008.

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

2007 and December 31, 2008 of a \$100 investment made on April 22, 2004, with all dividends reinvested.

	Assured Guaranty	S&P 500
04/22/04	\$ 100.00	\$ 100.00
12/31/04	\$ 109.67	\$ 100.00
12/31/05	\$ 142.36	\$ 100.00
12/31/06	\$ 149.98	\$ 100.00
12/31/07	\$ 150.57	\$ 100.00
12/31/08	\$ 65.56	\$ 100.00

Source: Bloomberg

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected financial data should be read together with the other information contained in "Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

Reclassification

Effective with the quarter ended March 31, 2008, the Company reclassified the revenues, expenses and gains or losses on contracts that our financial guaranty subsidiaries write in the form of credit default swap ("CDS") contracts to either "premiums" or "shareholder's equity". This reclassification is being adopted by the Company after agreement with members of the National Association of Insurance Insurers in consultation with the staffs of the Office of the Chief Accountant and the Division of Corporate Finance. This reclassification is being implemented in order to increase comparability of our financial statements with other companies in the industry.

In general, the Company structures credit derivative transactions such that the method for making losses is determined by the terms of the contract and generally occurs as losses are realized on the underlying reference obligation. Nonetheless, credit derivative contracts are governed by the rules of the International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. documentation and operates differently from financial guaranty insurance policies. Accounting rules and financial guaranty policies are subject to insurance accounting rules.

In the Company's accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, the Company has reclassified "loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)" to "realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives." "Loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)" have been reclassified to "realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives." "Loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)" have been reclassified from "loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)" and are now included in "realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives" which previously included only unrealized mark to market gains or losses on the Company's contracts written in the form of CDS contracts. The Company reclassified all CDS-related balances previously included in "unearned premium reserves," "reinsurance premiums," "premiums receivable" and "reinsurance balances payable" to either "credit derivatives receivable" or "credit derivatives payable" based on the net position of the CDS contract at each balance sheet date.

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

These reclassifications had no impact on net income (loss), comprehensive income (loss), earnings (loss)

	2008	2007
	(\$ in millions)	
Statement of operations data:*		
Gross written premiums	\$ 618.3	\$ 424.5
Net written premiums	604.6	408.0
Net earned premiums	261.4	159.3
Net investment income	162.6	128.1
Net realized investment (losses) gains	(69.8)	(1.3)
Realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives	117.6	74.0
Unrealized gains (losses) on credit derivatives	38.0	(670.4)
Other income(1)	43.4	8.8
 Total revenues	 553.2	 (301.6)
 Loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)	 265.8	 5.8
Profit commission expense	1.3	6.5
Acquisition costs	61.2	43.2
Operating expenses	83.5	79.9
Interest expense	23.3	23.5
Other expense	5.7	2.6
 Total expenses	 440.9	 161.4
 Income (loss) before provision (benefit) for income taxes	 112.3	 (463.0)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	43.4	(159.8)
 Net income (loss)	 \$ 68.9	 \$(303.3)
 Earnings (loss) per share:		
Basic	\$ 0.78	\$ (4.46)
Diluted	\$ 0.77	\$ (4.46)
Dividends per share	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.16

*

Some amounts may not add due to rounding.

Table of Contents

	Year Ended December		
	2008	2007	2006
	(\$ in millions, except per share)		
Balance sheet data (end of period):			
Investments and cash	\$ 3,643.6	\$ 3,147.9	\$ 2,469.4
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	18.9	13.5	4.1
Total assets	4,555.7	3,762.9	2,933.5
Unearned premium reserves	1,233.7	887.2	633.1
Reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses	196.8	125.6	115.2
Credit derivative liabilities (assets), net	586.8	617.6	(49.1)
Long-term debt	347.2	347.1	347.1
Total liabilities	2,629.5	2,096.4	1,280.8
Accumulated other comprehensive income	2.9	56.6	4.1
Shareholders' equity	1,926.2	1,666.6	1,650.0
Book value per share	21.18	20.85	24.18
Financial Ratios:			
Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio(2)	81.4%	3.4%	(3.1)%
Expense ratio(3)	38.7%	55.8%	55.8%
Combined ratio(4)	120.1%	59.2%	55.8%
Combined statutory financial information:			
Contingency reserve(5)	\$ 728.4	\$ 598.5	\$ 645.0
Policyholders' surplus(6)	1,578.4	1,489.9	1,010.0
Additional financial guaranty information (end of period):			
Net in-force business (principal and interest)(7)	\$ 348,816	\$ 302,413	\$ 180,111
Net in-force business (principal only)(7)	222,722	200,279	132,211

- (1) Other income for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 included a change in fair value of Corp.'s committed capital securities entered into in April 2005. The change in fair value was \$0 million.
- (2) Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio, which is a non-GAAP financial measure, is defined as loss and loss adjustment expenses (including net credit derivative losses (recoveries)) divided by net earned premiums plus net credit derivative premiums received and receivable, with respect to credit derivatives.
- (3) Expense ratio is calculated by dividing the sum of ceding commissions expense (income), profit commissions and other expenses by net earned premiums plus net credit derivative premiums received and receivable, with respect to credit derivatives.
- (4) Combined ratio, which is a non-GAAP financial measure, is the sum of the loss and loss adjustment expense ratio and expense ratio.
- (5) Under U.S. statutory accounting principles, financial guaranty and mortgage guaranty insurers are required to maintain a contingency reserve equal to a specified percentage of premiums. A contingency reserve is an additional liability established to cover losses due to policy developments or cycles or other unforeseen circumstances.

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

- (6) Combined policyholders' surplus represents the addition of our combined U.S. based statutory surplus.
- (7) The Company's 2008, 2007 and 2006 reinsurance par outstanding on facultative business are reported on a one-quarter lag.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and accompanying notes which appear elsewhere in this Form 10-K. It contains forward looking statements. For more information on our "Forward Looking Statements" for more information. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated by these forward looking statements, including those discussed below and elsewhere in this Form 10-K, particularly under the headings "Risk Factors".

Executive Summary

Assured Guaranty Ltd. is a Bermuda based holding company which provides, through its operating subsidiaries, structured finance and mortgage markets. We apply our credit expertise, risk management skills and capital resources to develop and provide credit derivative products that meet the credit enhancement needs of our customers. We market our products in the U.S. and international markets.

Our insurance company subsidiaries have been assigned the following insurance financial strength ratings:

	Moody's
Assured Guaranty Corp.	Aa2(Excellent)
Assured Guaranty Re Ltd.	Aa3(Excellent)
Assured Guaranty Re Overseas Ltd.	Aa3(Excellent)
Assured Guaranty Mortgage Insurance Company	Aa3(Excellent)
Assured Guaranty (UK) Ltd	Aa2(Excellent)

"Aaa" (Exceptional) is the highest ranking, which Assured Guaranty Corp. ("AGC") and Assured Guaranty Ltd. ("AGL") have received. "Aa2" (Excellent) is the third highest ranking of 21 ratings categories used by Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's") and "AA" (Very Strong) is the third highest ranking of the 21 ratings categories used by Standard & Poor's. "Aa3" (Excellent) is the highest ranking and "AA" (Very Strong) is the third highest ranking of the 24 ratings categories used by Fitch. This is an opinion with respect to an insurer's ability to pay under its insurance policies and contracts in accordance with the terms of a particular policy or contract. Insurance financial strength ratings do not refer to an insurer's ability to meet its obligations to purchase or discontinue any policy or contract issued by an insurer or to buy, hold, or sell any security issued by an insurer.

On July 21, 2008, Moody's placed under review for possible downgrade the Aaa insurance financial strength rating of Assured Guaranty (UK) Ltd., as well as the Aa2 insurance financial strength rating of Assured Guaranty Ltd. and its wholly owned subsidiary, Assured Guaranty (UK) Ltd., as well as the Aa2 insurance financial strength rating of Assured Guaranty Ltd. Holdings Inc. and the Aa3 issuer rating of the ultimate holding company, Assured Guaranty Ltd. Moody's ratings of Assured Guaranty Ltd. residential mortgage-backed securities portfolio did not change meaningfully from their prior estimates.

On November 21, 2008, Moody's downgraded the insurance financial strength ratings of AGC and its subsidiaries from Aa2 to Aa3 and also downgraded the insurance financial strength ratings of AG Re and its affiliated insurance subsidiaries. In the same rating action, Moody's downgraded the senior unsecured rating of Assured Guaranty Ltd. from Aa2 to Aa3.

Table of Contents

Guaranty US Holdings Inc. and the issuer rating of the ultimate holding company, Assured Guaranty Ltd. t

If the ratings of any of our insurance subsidiaries were reduced below current levels, we expect it would impact our business position and its prospects for future business opportunities. A downgrade may also reduce the value of the economic value for our customers to continue to cede to our subsidiaries at economically viable rates.

With respect to a significant portion of our in-force financial guaranty reinsurance business, in the event of a rating reduction, the terms of each reinsurance agreement, the ceding company may have the right to recapture business ceded to it, including statutory unearned premium and loss reserves (if any) associated with that business. As of December 31, 2008, the amount of deferred revenue to the Company, subject to recapture is approximately \$188 million. If this entire amount were recaptured, the reduction to net income of approximately \$4 million. With respect to one of AG Re's ceding companies, the amount of deferred premium were downgraded to the A category by more than one rating agency, or below A2/A by any one rating agency, the amount of premium subject to recapture by this ceding company is approximately \$390 million. If this entire amount were recaptured, the reduction to net income of approximately \$43 million. Alternatively, the ceding company can increase the amount of premium. The increase may be retroactive to the date of the cession. As of December 31, 2008, the potential increase in ceded premium would result in a net income of approximately \$42 million. The effect on net income under these scenarios is exclusive of any other factors.

If a credit derivative is terminated, the Company could be required to make a mark-to-market payment to the counterparty. If AGC's rating were downgraded to A+, under market conditions at December 31, 2008, if the counterparty's rating were below AGC would have been required to make payments that the Company estimates to be approximately \$261 million. If the counterparty's rating were below BBB- it would have been required to make additional payments that the Company estimates to be approximately \$134.2 million. The Company's mark-to-market methodology is, however, not the basis on which any such payment amount would be determined. The amount of such payment is set forth in the credit derivative documentation and generally follows market practice for such derivatives. The actual amount of such payment may be materially larger than the Company's estimate.

Under a limited number of credit derivative contracts, the Company is required to post eligible securities as collateral. The need to post collateral under these transactions is generally based on mark-to-market valuation of the underlying securities. The thresholds decline if the Company's ratings decline. As of December 31, 2008 the Company had pre-IPO transactions with collateral posting due to changes in market value. Of this amount, as of December 31, 2008, the Company had approximately \$134.2 million (including \$134.2 million for AGC) based on the unrealized mark-to-market loss position for transactions with collateral posted as collateral in the future will depend on changes in the market values of these transactions. Additional collateral contractual thresholds would be eliminated and the amount of par that could be subject to collateral posting would increase. As of December 31, 2008, such a downgrade would have resulted in AGC posting an additional \$88.7 million of collateral required or anticipated for any other transactions.

Table of Contents

The Company's financial strength ratings assigned by S&P and Fitch were affirmed on June 18, 2008. It is uncertain what, if any, impact Moody's ratings actions will have on the Company's financial strength ratings.

On April 8, 2008, investment funds managed by WL Ross & Co. LLC ("WL Ross") purchased 10,650,000 common shares of the Company at a purchase price of \$23.47 per share, resulting in proceeds to the Company of \$250.0 million. The Company contributed \$150.0 million of these proceeds to its subsidiary, Assured Guaranty Re Ltd. In addition, the Company contributed \$100.0 million of these proceeds to its subsidiary, AGC. The commitment to purchase these shares was previously announced at the Company's 2009 annual general meeting of shareholders. Mr. Ross, Jr., President and Chief Executive Officer of WL Ross, has been appointed to the Board of Directors of the Company at the 2009 annual general meeting of shareholders. Mr. Ross's appointment became effective immediately following the 2009 annual general meeting of shareholders, which was held on May 8, 2008. WL Ross has a remaining commitment through April 8, 2009, to purchase up to 10,650,000 common shares of the Company, at the Company's option, subject to the terms and conditions of the investment agreement with the investment agreement, the Company may exercise this option in one or more drawdowns, subject to the terms and conditions of the investment agreement. The purchase price per common share for the subsequent shares is not greater than \$27.57, or less than \$19.37, and the purchase price per common share for such shares will be equal to 97% of the volume weighted average price of the common shares of the Company for the 30 days prior to the applicable drawdown notice. As of December 31, 2008, and as of the date of this filing, the Company has not exercised its option to purchase additional shares and therefore the Company may not, at this time, exercise its option for WL Ross to purchase additional shares.

On September 16, 2008, the Company agreed to waive the standstill provisions of the investment agreement with WL Ross ("WLR Funds") to purchase up to 5,000,000 additional common shares of the Company in open market transactions. Such purchases are in the sole discretion of WL Ross and they are not obligated to purchase any such shares. If such purchases are made, such purchases will be purchased from current shareholders and therefore will not result in an increase in shareholders' equity. If such additional shares were purchased, the WLR Funds would beneficially own 17,166,396 shares or approximately 17.2% of the common shares of the Company based on shares outstanding as of December 31, 2008. As of the date of this filing the Company has not exercised its option to purchase additional shares of the Company.

On December 21, 2007, the Company completed the sale of 12,483,960 of its common shares at a price of approximately \$303.8 million. The Company has contributed the net proceeds of the offering to its reinsurer, Assured Guaranty Re Ltd. ("AGR") to provide capital support in the form of a reinsurance portfolio transaction with Ambac Assurance Corp. ("Ambac") as well as to support the growth of AGC, the Company's principal direct financial guaranty subsidiary, by providing capital support to AGC as its guaranty reinsurer.

We regularly evaluate potential acquisitions of other companies, lines of business and portfolios of risks. We do not intend to announce such transactions. As a general rule, we publicly announce such transactions only after a definitive agreement has been entered into.

On November 14, 2008, Assured Guaranty Ltd. announced that it had entered into a definitive agreement with Dexia ("Dexia") to purchase Financial Security Assurance Holdings Ltd. ("FSAH") and, indirectly, all of its subsidiaries, Financial Security Assurance, Inc. The definitive agreement provides that the Company will be indemnified by Dexia for any and all claims, damages, losses, costs and expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees, that the Company may incur in connection with the acquisition of FSAH.

Table of Contents

which includes its guaranteed investment contract business. Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, the Company has issued 44,567,901 shares of common stock of FSAH, representing as of the date thereof approximately 99.8524% of the issued and outstanding shares of FSAH. Assured expects that it will acquire the remaining shares of FSAH common stock from Dexia or shortly thereafter at the time the acquisition is expected to occur in either the first or second quarter of 2009.

The purchase price is \$722 million (based upon the closing price of the Company's common shares on the NYSE as of the closing date) less \$361 million in cash and up to 44,567,901 of the Company's common shares. If, prior to the closing date, the Company issues common shares (other than pursuant to an employee benefit plan) or other securities that are convertible into common shares at a purchase price per share of less than \$8.10, the Company has agreed to issue to Dexia a number of common shares with an aggregate value as of the closing date (measured based on the average of the volume weighted average price of the Company's common shares on the NYSE trading day period ending three business days prior to the closing date) representing the amount of the purchase price in excess of \$361 million defined to mean (x) the number of the Company's common shares issued (or that upon conversion or exchange would be common shares) multiplied by (y) the positive difference if any between \$8.10 and the purchase (or reference, implied, conversion or exercise) price of such common shares in the dilutive issuance, multiplied by (z) the percentage of the issued and outstanding shares of the Company to be received by Dexia under the stock purchase agreement (without taking into account any additional common shares issuable as a result of the anti-dilution provision).

Under the Purchase Agreement, the Company may elect to pay \$8.10 per share in cash in lieu of up to 44,567,901 common shares or otherwise deliver as part of the purchase price.

The Company expects to finance the cash portion of the acquisition with the proceeds of a public equity offering of common shares. The Company entered into the WLR Backstop Commitment on November 13, 2008 with the WLR Funds pursuant to the Investment Agreement between the Company and the WLR Funds and provided to the Company the obligation of the WLR Funds to purchase common shares of the Company from Assured Guaranty Ltd. or Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc. a number of the Company's common shares equal to the cash portion of the purchase price of \$361 million specified by the Company divided by (ii) the volume weighted average price of the Company's common shares ending with the last NYSE trading day immediately preceding the date of the closing under the stock purchase agreement.

The WLR Funds have no obligation to purchase these common shares pursuant to the WLR Backstop Commitment if the acquisition agreement occurs. The Company may use the proceeds from the sale of the Company's common shares pursuant to the public offering to pay the cash portion of the purchase price under the stock purchase agreement. The WLR Funds' obligations under the WLR Backstop Commitment are secured by a letter of credit issued for the benefit of the Company by Bank of America, N.A. and RBS Citizens Bank, N.A., each of which is a subsidiary of the WLR Funds.

The Company has paid the WLR Funds a nonrefundable commitment fee of \$10,830,000 in connection with the WLR Backstop Commitment and has agreed to pay the

Table of Contents

WLR Funds' expenses in connection with the transactions contemplated thereby. The Company has agreed to obtain the letters of credit referred to above.

In January 2009, AGC finalized an agreement with CIFG Assurance North America, Inc. ("CIFG") totaling approximately \$13.3 billion of net par outstanding. AGC received \$75.6 million, which included \$12.2 million of future installments related to this transaction.

The financial guaranty industry, along with many other financial institutions, continues to be threatened by U.S. residential mortgages. There is significant uncertainty surrounding general economic conditions that may adversely affect our loss experience on these securities. The Company continues to monitor these exposures and received. Additionally, scrutiny from state and federal regulatory agencies could result in changes that limit

Our financial results include four principal business segments: financial guaranty direct, financial guaranty indirect, reinsurance and other. The segment represents lines of business that we exited or sold as part of our 2004 initial public offering ("IPO").

We derive our revenues principally from premiums from our insurance and reinsurance businesses, net settlements on credit derivatives, net investment income, and net realized gains and losses from our investments. Settlements on credit derivatives are a function of the amount and type of contracts we write as well as prevailing market conditions on a basis when the policy is issued or the contract is executed and/or on an installment basis over the life of the contract.

Investment income is a function of invested assets and the yield that we earn on those assets. The yield is a function of investment as well as the type, credit quality and maturity of our invested assets. In addition, we could realize losses from other than temporary declines in market value as a result of changing market conditions, including changes in the quality of our invested assets.

Realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives include credit derivative premiums received, net settlements under its credit default swaps ("CDS"), any contractual claim losses paid and payable related to insured credit derivatives related to their early termination and ceding commissions (expense) income. The Company generally holds credit derivatives in circumstances such as for risk management purposes or as a result of a decision to exit a line of business, typically prior to maturity.

Unrealized gains (losses) on credit derivatives represent the adjustments for changes in fair value that are recorded in "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("FAS 133"). Changes in unrealized gains (losses) on credit derivatives in consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income in unrealized gains (losses) on credit derivatives on a contract by contract basis, are reflected as either net assets or net liabilities in the Company's balance sheet. Changes in the fair value of credit derivatives occur because of changes in interest rates, credit spreads, the credit ratings of the underlying assets or other market factors. The unrealized gains (losses) on credit derivatives will reduce to zero as the exposure matures or in the event of a default on the exposure.

Table of Contents

Changes in the fair value of the Company's credit derivatives do not reflect actual claims or credit losses, a resources, rating agency capital or regulatory capital positions.

In 2008 and 2007 the Company also recorded a fair value gain of \$42.7 million and \$8.3 million, pre-committed capital securities.

Our expenses consist primarily of losses and loss adjustment expenses ("LAE"), profit commission expense, put-option premium expense associated with our committed capital securities (the "CCS Securities") amount and types of business we write. Losses and LAE are based upon estimates of the ultimate aggregated low expected frequency of loss and are investment grade at the time we accept the risk. Profit commission generally based on the profitability of the business reinsured by us. Acquisition costs are related to the production of new business and are directly attributable to the production of new business and recognized over the term of the policy. Expenses consist primarily of salaries and other employee-related costs, including share-based compensation and other expenses related to maintaining a holding company structure. These costs do not vary with the amount of outstanding debt and the contractual interest rate related to that debt. Put-option premium expense, which is recorded in the Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income, is a function of the outstanding amount of the CCS Securities. Losses and LAE are a function of our profitability and the applicable tax rate in the various jurisdictions in which we do business.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements include amounts that, either by their nature or due to requirements of the United States of America ("GAAP"), are determined using estimates and assumptions. The actual amounts realized may differ from the amounts currently provided for in our consolidated financial statements. We believe the items requiring the most judgment are losses and LAE, fair value of credit derivatives, fair value of committed capital securities, valuation of investment premium revenue recognition, deferred acquisition costs, deferred income taxes and accounting for share-based compensation. The policies for these items is of critical importance to understanding our consolidated financial statements. The estimates and assumptions used for these items and should be read in conjunction with the notes to our consolidated financial statements.

Reserves for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

Reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses for non-derivative transactions in our financial guaranty and mortgage guaranty business include case reserves and portfolio reserves. See the "Fair Value of Credit Derivatives" section for more information on our derivative transactions. Case reserves are established when there is significant credit risk and obligations are in default or default is probable, not necessarily upon non-payment of principal or interest but based on expected future loss payments and LAE, net of estimated recoveries, but before considering ceded reinsurance. Case reserves are established by traditional property and casualty insurance companies, which establish case reserves upon non-payment of ("IBNR") reserves for the

Table of Contents

difference between actuarially estimated ultimate losses and recorded case reserves. Financial guaranty insurances, salvage and subrogation, if any, are discounted at the taxable equivalent yield on our investment portfolio. If the Company becomes entitled to the underlying collateral of an insured credit under salvage and subrogation and subrogation as an asset, based on the expected level of recovery. Such amounts have been recorded as

We record portfolio reserves in our financial guaranty direct, financial guaranty assumed reinsurance contracts established with respect to the portion of our business for which case reserves have not been established.

Portfolio reserves are not established based on a specific event, rather they are calculated by aggregating all transactions. Individual transaction reserves are calculated on a quarterly basis by multiplying the par in-force of the transaction without regard to discounting. The ultimate loss factor is defined as the frequency of loss multiplied by the probability of default for each individual issue. The earning factor is inception to date earned premium divided by the transaction. The probability of default is estimated from rating agency data and is based on the transaction's severity is defined as the complement of recovery/salvage rates gathered by the rating agencies of defaulting

Portfolio reserves are recorded gross of reinsurance. We have not ceded any amounts under these reinsurance contracts that exceeded our contractual retentions, required by said contracts.

The Company records an incurred loss that is reflected in the statement of operations upon the establishment of a reserve, we reclassify the corresponding portfolio reserve already recorded for that credit within the balance sheet. The reserve and the reclassified portfolio reserve is recorded as a charge in our statement of operations. Any surplus reserves are recorded quarterly as a charge or credit in our statement of operations in the period such estimates of loss and LAE reserves, actual experience may differ from the estimates reflected in our consolidated financial

The weighted average default frequencies and severities as of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007

	Average Default Frequency
December 31, 2008	1.0
December 31, 2007	0.9

The Company incorporates default frequency and severity by asset class into its portfolio loss reserve calculations. Information published by rating agencies. The increase in average default frequency shown in 2008 is reflective of the Company's portfolio, including HELOC exposures. Rating agencies update default frequency and severity information quarterly.

The chart below demonstrates the portfolio reserve's sensitivity to frequency and severity assumptions. The chart shows the estimate of reasonably possible material changes and are based upon our analysis of historical experience. The chart shows the sensitivity of the portfolio reserve to default and severity assumptions. In all scenarios, the starting point used to test the portfolio reserve's sensitivity

Table of Contents

assumptions was the weighted average frequency and severity by rating and asset class of our insured portfolio. The weighted average frequency was 1.08% and the weighted average severity was 23.87% at December 31, 2008. For example, in the first scenario, the transaction's contribution to the portfolio reserve was recalculated by adding 0.05% (i.e. 5.0% multiplied by

(in thousands of U.S. dollars)	Portfolio Reserve	Impact
Portfolio reserve(1) as of December 31, 2008	\$ 111,419	\$
5% Frequency Increase	116,673	
10% Frequency Increase	123,104	
5% Severity Increase	116,276	
10% Severity Increase	122,310	
5% Frequency and Severity Increase	123,020	

(1) Includes portfolio reserve on credit derivatives of \$39.1 million, which balance sheet items are not reflected in our consolidated balance sheets.

In addition to analyzing the sensitivity of our portfolio reserves to possible changes in frequency and severity assumptions on our financial guaranty and mortgage guaranty case reserves. At December 31, 2008 case reserves were higher than our original estimate due to changes in assumptions including, but not limited to, severity factors, credit derivatives and other. We discuss below the asset classes and credit for which we have recorded expected case losses and which

Home Equity Line of Credit (HELOC) Transactions

Specifically with respect to reserves related to our U.S. home equity line of credit ("HELOC") and other transactions, there is significant uncertainty as to the ultimate performance of these transactions. As of December 31, 2008, the Company has HELOC securitizations, of which \$1.5 billion are transactions with Countrywide and \$1.1 billion were written by other issuers ("direct Countrywide transactions" or "Countrywide 2005-J" and "Countrywide 2007-D").

The performance of our HELOC exposures deteriorated during 2007 and 2008 and transactions, particularly those originated in 2007, continue to perform below our original underwriting expectations. In accordance with our standard practice, we evaluated the most currently available information, including trends in delinquencies and charge-offs on the part of the servicer's ability to fulfill its contractual obligations including its obligation to fund additional draws. In recent periods, Payment Rate (CPR), Draw Rates and delinquency percentages have fluctuated within ranges that we believe are consistent with future performance. Accordingly, the Company is using modeling assumptions that are based upon or which reflect our view of future performance and potential losses. During 2008, the Company extended the time frame during which it revised its assumptions with respect to the overall shape of the default and loss curves. Among other things, the Company believes defaults will occur over the near term. This revision was based upon management's judgment that a variety of economic conditions could lead to a longer period in which default rates remain high. The Company continues to monitor CDR rates and stress periods as well as other modeling approaches including roll rates and hybrid roll rates. The Company incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses of \$111.0 million for

Table of Contents

its direct Countrywide transactions during 2008. The Company's cumulative incurred loss and loss adjustment reserve as of December 31, 2008 were \$111.0 million (\$87.2 million after-tax). During 2008, the Company paid losses on HELOC transactions of \$170.0 million, of which we expect to recover \$59.0 million from the receipt of excess spread. This amount of \$59.0 million is included in "salvage recoverable" on the balance sheet. There were no incurred losses or salvage recoverable amounts on these transactions in 2007.

Credit support for HELOC transactions comes primarily from two sources. In the first instance, excess spread is used to enhance and absorb losses. Over the past 12 months, excess spread (the difference between the interest rate on the insured notes) has averaged approximately 270 basis points per annum. Additionally, for the transactions with excess spread, additional draws on the HELOC loans following the occurrence of a Rapid Amortization Event. Among other things, draws by us exceed a certain threshold. Prior to the occurrence of a Rapid Amortization Event, during the transaction, draws are funded first from principal collections. As such, during the revolving period no additional credit enhancement is required. Our exposure amortizes is reduced to the extent of such additional draws, since principal collections are used to pay the insured notes. Subsequent to the occurrence of a Rapid Amortization Event, new draws are funded by Countrywide on the insured notes. Any draws funded by Countrywide are subordinate to us in the cash flow waterfall and hence we pay losses before we have to make a claim payment. Additionally, since all principal collections are used to pay the insured notes, our exposure begins to amortize more quickly. A Rapid Amortization Event occurred for Countrywide 2008.

We have modeled our HELOC exposures under a number of different scenarios, taking into account the impact of factors that affect transaction performance and potential losses to us. The key variables include the speed or rate at which the portfolio amortizes (the CPR(3)), the default rate, as measured by the CDR(4), excess spread, and the amount of loans that are repurchased. We also account the pool factor (the percentage of the original principal balance that remains outstanding), and the impact of the pool factor. We noted that our contractual rights allow us to retroactively claim that loans included in the insured pool were repurchased. These loans back to the seller such that we would not be responsible for losses related to these loans. Such a benefit has been included in our loss model an estimated benefit for loans we expect Countrywide will repurchase.

(3) The CPR is the annualized rate at which the portfolio amortizes, so that a 15% CPR implies that

(4) The CDR is the annualized default rate, so that a 1.0% CDR implies that 1.0% of the remaining

Table of Contents

The ultimate performance of the Company's HELOC transactions will depend on many factors, such as the performance of the loans generated by the securitized loans, repayment speeds and changes in home prices, as well as the levels of credit loss. These factors may have a material impact upon the ultimate performance of each transaction, including the ability of the borrowers to make payments, including its obligation to fund future draws on lines of credit, as well as the amount of benefit received from the transactions. These variables affecting transaction performance are interrelated, difficult to predict and subject to considerable uncertainty. Under these assumptions, the losses incurred could be materially different from our estimate. We continue to update our estimates as more information is available.

The key assumptions used in our case loss reserves on the direct Countrywide transactions is presented below.

Key Variables

Constant payment rate (CPR)	3-month average, currently 7.8%
Constant default rate (CDR)	6-month average CDR of approximately 19.21% during months 1 through 15, declining to 1.0% at the end of month 15. From months 16 onward, 1.0% CDR is assumed.
Draw rate	3-month average, currently 1.2%
Excess spread	250 bps per annum
Repurchases of Ineligible loans by Countrywide	\$49.3 million; or approximately 2.1% of original pool balance of \$2.4 billion
Loss Severity	100%

Subprime, Alt-A and Closed End Second RMBS Transactions

Another type of RMBS transaction is generally referred to as "Subprime RMBS". The collateral supporting these transactions consists of mortgage loans made to subprime borrowers. A "subprime borrower" is one considered to be a higher risk borrower. As of December 31, 2008, we had net par outstanding of \$6.6 billion related to Subprime RMBS securitizations. The collateral supporting these transactions is generally considered to be Investment Grade risk. Of the total U.S. Subprime RMBS exposure of \$6.6 billion, \$6.1 billion is from transactions issued in our direct financial guaranty segment. As of December 31, 2008, we had portfolio reserves of \$6.6 billion U.S. Subprime RMBS exposure, of which \$6.9 million were portfolio reserves related to our \$6.6 billion exposure for transactions issued from 2005 through 2007.

The problems affecting the subprime mortgage market have been widely reported, with rising delinquency rates and declining performance of Subprime RMBS transactions. Those concerns relate primarily to Subprime RMBS issued in our direct financial guaranty segment. Our exposure that we have to such transactions in our direct financial guaranty segment benefits from various reserves. Our average currently equals approximately 54.3% of the remaining principal balance of the transactions.

Table of Contents

We also have exposure of \$433.1 million to Closed-End Second ("CES") RMBS transactions, of which of RMBS, we have seen significant deterioration in the performance of our CES transactions. On two transactions we have seen a significant increase in delinquencies and collateral losses, which resulted in erosion of the value totaling \$16.2 million. Based on the Company's analysis of these transactions and their projected collateral losses as of December 31, 2008 in its direct segment. Additionally, as of December 31, 2008, the Company had portfolio reserves in its financial guaranty reinsurance segment related to its U.S. C

Another type of RMBS transaction is generally referred to as "Alt-A RMBS". The collateral supporting mortgage loans made to prime quality borrowers that lack certain ancillary characteristics that would make them eligible for ARM, which include transactions where 66% or more of the collateral is comprised of mortgage loans that are not fully indexed. As of December 31, 2008, the Company had net par outstanding of \$7.6 billion related to Alt-A RMBS securitizations in the period from 2005 through 2007 and written in the Company's financial guaranty direct segment. As of December 31, 2008, the Company had case reserves of \$6.5 million and case reserves of \$1.5 million related to its \$7.6 billion Alt-A RMBS exposure, in the financial

The ultimate performance of the Company's RMBS transactions remains highly uncertain and may be affected by many factors, including the level and timing of loan defaults, changes in housing prices and other variables. The Company will adjust its RMBS exposures and will adjust the risk ratings of those transactions based on actual performance and

Life Insurance Securitizations

The Company has exposure on two life insurance reserve securitization transactions based on two direct reinsurance contracts with Scottish Re (U.S.) Inc. ("Scottish Re"). The two transactions relate to Ballantyne Re p.l.c. ("Ballantyne") ("Orkney II") (gross exposure of \$423 million). Under both transactions, monies raised through the issuance of securities are used to meet statutory life insurance reserve requirements. The monies were invested at inception of each transaction in investment accounts managed by the Company's investment manager. However, those investment accounts have incurred substantial mark-to-market losses since mid-2007 due to losses on subprime and Alt-A RMBS transactions. Largely as a result of these mark-to-market losses both we and the Company have reclassified Ballantyne and Orkney II to below investment grade. As regards the Ballantyne transaction, the Company has an exposure of \$900 million, to remediate the risk. On the Orkney Re II transaction, the Company, as directing

Some credit losses have been realized on the securities in the Ballantyne and Orkney Re II portfolios. Performance of the underlying blocks of life insurance business thus far generally has been in accordance with expectations. Investment accounts and the treaty settlements currently is sufficient to cover interest payments due on the securities. However, the rise in credit losses on the invested assets are expected to lead to interest shortfalls. Additionally, the transaction structure, including the invested assets, reserve funding requirements on the underlying blocks of life insurance business, and minimum

Table of Contents

requirements for the transactions themselves that may trigger a shut off of interest payments to the insured

Another key risk is that the occurrence of certain events may result in a situation where either Ballantyne potentially realize substantial investment losses and for Assured Guaranty Ltd. to incur corresponding insured losses. For example, cedants to Scottish Re may have the right to recapture blocks of life insurance business which Scottish Re require Orkney Re II to sell assets and realize investment losses. In the Ballantyne transaction, further declines in the reserve funding requirements could lead to a similar mandatory realization of investment losses to cover insured losses ahead of the scheduled final maturity date.

In order for the Company to incur an ultimate net loss on these transactions, adverse experience on the part of the insured losses in the investment portfolio would need to exceed the level of credit enhancement built into the transactions currently available, including estimates of future investment performance, projected credit impairments on the part of the insurance business, at December 31, 2008, the Company established a case reserve of \$17.2 million for the insured losses resulting primarily from the deterioration in the investment portfolio as discussed above. At this time, the Company expects the insured cedants discussed above to occur. Should these events occur our losses could be significantly greater than the case reserve for the Orkney Re II transaction.

On December 19, 2008, the Company sued J.P. Morgan Investment Management Inc. ("JPMIM"), the investment manager of the York Supreme Court alleging that JPMIM engaged in breaches of fiduciary duty, gross negligence and breach of contract of Orkney Re II. JPMIM requested and was given an extension of time to answer until the end of February 2009.

The Company has exposure to a public finance transaction for sewer service in Jefferson County, Alabama. The total exposure to this transaction is approximately \$456 million as of December 31, 2008. The Company has not yet made additional payments in the near term. Through our cedants, the Company is currently in discussions with the issuer in some or all of these payments being recoverable. A case reserve of \$6.0 million has been established as of December 31, 2008.

A sensitivity analysis is not appropriate for our other segment reserves since the amounts are 100% reinsurance.

We also record IBNR reserves for our other segment. IBNR is an estimate of losses for which the insured has not yet reported to us. In establishing IBNR, we use traditional actuarial methods to estimate the reporting lag of the insured information reported by ceding companies. We record IBNR for trade credit reinsurance within our other segment. This represents lines of business that we exited or sold as part of our 2004 IPO.

For mortgage guaranty transactions we record portfolio reserves in a manner consistent with our financial statements. While insurance companies do not record portfolio reserves, rather just case and IBNR reserves, we record portfolio reserves on a case by case basis, while other industry participants write quota share or first layer loss business. We manage and underwrite mortgage guaranty

Table of Contents

insurance and reinsurance business because they have similar characteristics as insured obligations of more

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("FAS") No. 60, "Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises for Certain Long-Duration Contracts," requires an insurance enterprise to account for long-duration contracts as either short-duration or long-duration contracts. FAS 60 prescribes differing reserving methodologies depending on whether a contract is a short-duration or long-duration contract. Financial guaranty contracts have elements of long-duration insurance contracts in that they can have terms that exceed 30 years or more, but for regulatory purposes are reported as property and liability insurance, which are short-duration contracts. Short-duration and long-duration classifications have different methods of accounting for premium revenue and for accounting for deferred acquisition costs ("DAC") could be different under the two methods.

We believe the guidance of FAS 60 does not expressly address the distinctive characteristics of financial guaranty contracts. The guidance of Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 85-20, "Recognition of Fees for Guarantying Loans," provides the recognition of fees for guarantying a loan, which has similarities to financial guaranty insurance contracts. EITF 85-20 requires an issuer to assess the probability of loss on an ongoing basis to determine if a liability should be recognized under FAS 60. EITF 85-20 requires that a loss be recognized where it is probable that one or more future events will occur confirming the liability. EITF 85-20 also requires that the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated.

The following tables summarize our reserves for losses and LAE by segment and type of reserve as of December 31, 2014. For more information on reserves see " Consolidated Results of Operations."

	Financial Guaranty Direct	As of December 31, 2014 Financial Guaranty Reinsurance (in millions)
<i>Financial Guaranty Insurance Reserves by segment and type(1):</i>		
Case	\$ 64.2	\$ 55.7
IBNR		
Portfolio reserves associated with fundamentally sound credits	11.8	35.5
Portfolio reserves associated with CMC credits	15.8	6.7
Total financial guaranty insurance loss and LAE reserves	91.8	97.9
<i>Credit Derivative Reserves by segment and type(2):</i>		
Case	7.2	5.5
Credit derivative portfolio reserves associated with fundamentally sound credits	15.7	
Credit derivative portfolio reserves associated with CMC credits	23.4	
Total credit derivative loss and LAE reserves	46.3	5.5
Total loss and LAE reserves, including credit derivatives(3)	\$ 138.1	\$ 103.4

90

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

	Financial Guaranty Direct	As of Dec Financial Guaranty Reinsurance (in millio
<i>Financial Guaranty Insurance Reserves by segment and type of reserve(1):</i>		
Case	\$	\$ 35.6
IBNR		
Portfolio reserves associated with fundamentally sound credits	17.0	33.0
Portfolio reserves associated with CMC credits	16.5	11.7
Total financial guaranty insurance loss and LAE reserves	33.5	80.3
<i>Credit Derivative Reserves by segment and type(2):</i>		
Case	3.2	
Credit derivative portfolio reserves associated with fundamentally sound credits	3.9	
Credit derivative portfolio reserves associated with CMC credits	1.2	
Total credit derivative loss and LAE reserves	8.3	
Total loss and LAE reserves, including credit derivatives(3)	\$ 41.8	\$ 80.3

-
- (1) Included in Reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses on the Balance Sheet.
- (2) Included in Credit derivative liabilities/assets on the Balance Sheet.
- (3) Total does not add due to rounding.

The following table sets forth the financial guaranty in-force portfolio by underlying rating:

Ratings(1)	As of December 31, 2008	
	Net par outstanding	% of Net pa outstanding (in billion
Super senior	\$ 32.4	14.
AAA	40.7	18.
AA	47.7	21.
A	66.0	29.
BBB	29.4	13.
Below investment grade	6.6	3.
Total exposures(2)	\$ 222.7	100.

(1)

The Company's internal rating. The Company's scale is comparable to that of the nationally recognized scale, which is not generally used by rating agencies, is used by the Company in instances where the Company's internal rating is based on either (1) the existence of another security rated AAA that is subordinated to the Company's internal rating or (2) a different form of credit enhancement that would pay any claims first in the event that any of the

Table of Contents

exposures incurs a loss, and such credit enhancement, in management's opinion, causes the Company to be an attachment point.

(2)

Total does not add due to rounding.

The change in ratings above is mainly related to the Company's U.S. RMBS exposures.

Our surveillance department is responsible for monitoring our portfolio of credits and maintains a list of credits that are divided into four categories: Category 1 (low priority; fundamentally sound, greater than normal risk profile, may result in loss); Category 2 (medium priority; claim/default probable, case reserve established); Category 3 (high priority; claim/default probable, case reserve established); Category 4 (low priority; fundamentally sound, greater than normal risk profile, may result in loss). The closely monitored credits include all below investment grade ("BIG") exposures where the exposure is greater than \$10.0 million or a material risk of the Company incurring a loss greater than \$0.5 million. The closely monitored credits include where credit quality is deteriorating and where, in the view of the Company, there is significant potential for loss. As of December 31, 2008, the closely monitored credits include approximately 99% of our BIG exposure, and there are 89 different credits. As of December 31, 2007, the closely monitored credits include approximately 99% of our BIG exposure, and \$19.8 million was distributed across 46 different credits. Other than those excluded BIG credits, credits are categorized as fundamentally sound risks.

The following table provides financial guaranty insurance policy and credit derivative contract net par value as of December 31, 2008 and 2007:

Description:	Net Par Outstanding	As of Dec 31, 2008 % of Net Par Outstanding (\$ million)
Fundamentally sound risk	\$ 215,987	99
Closely monitored credits:		
Category 1	2,967	
Category 2	767	
Category 3	2,889	
Category 4	20	
CMC total(1)	6,643	
Other below investment grade risk	92	
Total(1)	\$ 222,722	100

92

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Description:	Net Par Outstanding	% of Net Outstanding (\$)
Fundamentally sound risk	\$ 198,133	9
Closely monitored credits:		
Category 1	1,288	
Category 2	743	
Category 3	71	
Category 4	24	
CMC total(1)	2,126	
Other below investment grade risk	20	
Total	\$ 200,279	10

(1) Total does not add due to rounding.

(2) Includes case reserves on credit derivatives of \$12.7 million at December 31, 2008 and \$3.2 million at December 31, 2007. Excludes credit derivative liabilities in the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

The following table summarizes movements in CMC exposure by risk category:

Net Par Outstanding	Category 1	Category 2	Ca (\$ in
Balance, December 31, 2007	\$ 1,288	\$ 743	\$
Less: amortization	66	395	
Additions from first time on CMC	4,171	1,022	
Deletions Upgraded and removed	195		
Category movement	(2,231)	(603)	
Net change	1,679	24	
Balance, December 31, 2008	\$ 2,967	\$ 767	\$

Table of Contents

The increase of \$4,517 million in financial guaranty CMC net par outstanding during 2008 is mainly exposures.

Industry Methodology

The Company is aware that there are certain differences regarding the measurement of portfolio loss. In January and February 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") staff had discussions with participants. Based on those discussions, in June 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued financial guaranty contracts. In May 2008, the FASB issued FAS No. 163, "Accounting for Financial Guaranty Contracts," (Statement No. 60) ("FAS 163"). FAS 163 requires that an insurance enterprise recognize a claim liability when there is evidence that credit deterioration has occurred in an insured financial obligation. FAS 163 also clarifies the revenue recognition and claim liability measurement as well as requiring expanded disclosures about the impact of provisions of FAS 163 related to premium revenue recognition and claim liability measurement are effective after December 15, 2008, and all interim periods within those fiscal years. Earlier application of these provisions and activity disclosure provisions of FAS 163 are effective for the third quarter of 2008 and are included in our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 of this Form 10-K. FAS 163 will be applied to all existing and new contracts. The cumulative effect of initially applying FAS 163 will be recorded as an adjustment to retained earnings and will not have a material effect on our financial statements. We are in the process of estimating the impact of the new accounting policies in regards to premium revenue recognition and claim liability measurement until we can

Reclassification

Effective with the quarter ended March 31, 2008, we reclassified the revenues, expenses and balance sheet items of our financial guaranty subsidiaries write in the form of CDS contracts. The reclassification does not change the nature of our financial guaranty subsidiaries write in the form of CDS contracts. The reclassification is being adopted by us after agreement with member companies of the Association of Financial Guaranty Companies, the Office of the Chief Accountant and the Division of Corporate Finance of the Securities and Exchange Commission to increase comparability of our financial statements with other financial guaranty companies that have CDS

Our CDS contracts provide for credit protection against payment default and have substantially the same terms as CDS contracts. Under United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, however, CDS contracts are subject to insurance accounting rules.

In our accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, we have reclassified "realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives." Loss and loss adjustment expenses and recoveries (recoveries) will be reclassified to "realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives," and will be reclassified from "loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)" and will be included in "unrealized

Table of Contents

gains (losses) on credit derivatives," which previously included only unrealized mark to market gains or losses. On the consolidated balance sheet, we reclassified all CDS-related balances previously included in "unearned premium receivables," "prepaid reinsurance premiums," "premiums receivable" and "reinsurance balances payable" to "other assets," depending on the net position of the CDS contract at each balance sheet date.

Fair Value of Credit Derivatives

The Company follows FAS 133, FAS No. 149, "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and FAS 155," "Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments" ("FAS 155"), which establishes accounting and reporting requirements for "Fair Value Measurements" ("FAS 157"), which establishes a comprehensive framework for measuring fair value of derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. FAS 157 defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received (or paid) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants who are not related to the reporting entity. FAS 157 also requires an entity maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs to the maximum extent practicable. The price shall represent that available in the principal market, then the price is based on the market that maximizes the value received for an asset or minimizes the value paid (or received) for a liability (market).

FAS 157 specifies a fair value hierarchy based on whether the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value are observable. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions. According to FAS 157, the fair value hierarchy prioritizes model inputs into three broad levels as follows:

Level 1 Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.

Level 2 Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical instruments in inactive markets; observable inputs other than quoted prices, such as interest rates or yield curves and other market data.

Level 3 Model derived valuations in which one or more significant inputs or significant assumptions are unobservable. The use of observable market data when available.

An asset or liability's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of significant input that is used to measure its fair value.

We issue credit derivatives that we view as an extension of our financial guaranty business but that do not qualify for the exception under FAS 133 and FAS 149 and therefore are reported at fair value, with changes in fair value reported in earnings.

Our realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives include credit derivative premiums received and realized gains or losses due to early terminations and ceding commissions (expense) income. Credit derivative losses are earned over the life of the transaction. Claim payments or recoveries are related to credit events requiring

Table of Contents

contract. Realized gains or losses are recorded related to the early termination of credit derivative contracts.

Our unrealized gains and losses on credit derivatives represent changes in fair value of these instruments. Our unrealized gains and losses on credit derivatives will reduce to zero as the exposure approaches its maturity. However, in the event that we terminate a credit derivative contract prior to maturity the unrealized gain or loss will be recognized through other settlements on credit derivatives. Changes in the fair value of our credit derivative contracts do not reflect changes in the Company's claims-paying resources, rating agency capital or regulatory capital positions or debt covenants.

We do not typically exit our credit derivative contracts and there are not quoted prices for our instruments. If quoted market prices exist, however, these inputs reflect contracts that do not contain terms and conditions that would affect the valuation of our credit derivative contracts requires the use of models that contain significant, unobservable inputs. Our valuations are in Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy of FAS 157.

The fair value of these instruments represents the difference between the present value of remaining contractual cash flows and the estimated present value of premiums that a comparable financial guarantor would hypothetically charge for the remaining term of these contracts depends on a number of factors including notional amount of the contract, expected term to maturity of the referenced entities, our own credit risk and remaining contractual flows.

Remaining contractual cash flows, which are included in the realized gains and other settlements on our credit derivatives, are not readily observable variables since they are based on the CDS contractual terms. These variables include i) net premiums received on credit derivative contracts, ii) net premiums paid and payable on purchased contracts, iii) losses paid and payable on credit derivatives recovered and recoverable on purchased contracts. The remaining key variables described above impact our valuation.

Market conditions at December 31, 2008 were such that market prices for our CDS contracts were generally not available. We used a combination of observable market data and valuation models, including various market indexes, to estimate the fair value of the Company's credit derivatives. These models are primarily developed based on historical transactions. Management considers the non-standard terms of its credit derivative contracts in determining the fair value of credit derivatives sold by companies outside of the financial guaranty industry. The non-standard terms include immediate settlement provisions, relatively high attachment points and the fact that the Company does not typically exit contracts under specific circumstances such as exiting a line of business. Because of these terms and conditions, the fair value of the Company's credit derivatives is not necessarily the same prices observed in an actively traded market of credit default swaps that do not contain terms and conditions that would affect the market. These models and the related assumptions are continuously reevaluated by management and enhanced by the use of valuation techniques and availability of more timely market information.

Table of Contents

Valuation models include the use of management estimates and current market information. Management's estimate of the fair value of credit derivative instruments is affected by current market conditions. Management considers factors such as the performance of underlying assets, life of the instrument, and the extent of credit default swaps exposure to the nature and extent of activity in the financial guaranty credit derivative marketplace. The assumptions that management uses for the future due to market conditions. Due to the inherent uncertainties of the assumptions used in the valuation of credit derivative products, actual experience may differ from the estimates reflected in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The fair value adjustment excluding incurred losses on credit derivatives recognized in our statement of income was a net gain of \$81.7 million compared with a \$666.9 million loss for the year ended December 31, 2007 and a \$5.5 million gain for the year ended December 31, 2006. The 2008 gain includes a gain of \$4,147.6 million associated with the change in AGC's credit spread, which widened by 1,775 basis points at December 31, 2008. Management believes that the widening of AGC's credit spread is primarily due to the experienced currently by the broader financial markets and increased demand for credit protection against default risk. The gain attributable to the significant increase in AGC's credit spread were declines in fixed income securities in certain markets as a result of the continued deterioration in credit markets and some credit rating downgrades of securities guaranteed by the Company. The higher credit spreads in the fixed income security market are due to the run-off of obligation and collateralized loan obligation markets as well as continuing market concerns over the most troubled markets, subprime securities and commercial mortgage backed securities. The 2007 loss is primarily related to spreads widening in the collateralized loan obligation markets, with approximately 45% of the Company's unrealized loss on credit derivatives was due to a decline in the market value of collateralized loan obligation transactions, with the balance generated by lower market values principally in the fixed income markets. The 2006 loss of \$5.5 million is primarily related to the run-off of transactions and changes in credit spreads in the market, the fair value adjustment amount will fluctuate significantly in future periods.

Fair Value of Committed Capital Securities ("CCS")

The fair value of CCS Securities represents the present value of remaining expected put option premium payments. The fair value of such estimated payments based upon the quoted price for such premium payments as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, is included in the consolidated balance sheet as a value asset for CCS Securities as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, is included in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. In 2008, 2007, and 2006, the Company recognized net income of \$42.7 million and \$8.3 million, pre-tax, respectively, related to Assured Guaranty Corp.'s CCS Securities.

Valuation of Investments

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, we had total investments of \$3.6 billion and \$3.1 billion, respectively, valued based on fair value from independent market valuations. The fair values of the Company's U.S. Treasury securities are primarily

Table of Contents

upon broker dealer quotes obtained from several independent active market makers. The fair values of the securities were determined primarily using matrix pricing models. The matrix pricing models incorporate factors such as time and spreads, in order to calculate the fair values of specific securities owned by the Company. As of December 31, 2008, securities were classified as Level 2 and our short-term investments were classified as either Level 1 or Level 2.

As of December 31, 2008, approximately 87% of our investments were long-term fixed maturity securities compared with 82% and 3.9 years as of December 31, 2007. Changes in interest rates affect the value of our investments. As interest rates of fixed maturity securities increases and as interest rates rise, the fair value of fixed maturity securities decreases. We invest in high-quality, liquid instruments. We continue to receive sufficient information to value our investments under current market conditions.

The following table summarizes the estimated change in fair value on our investment portfolio as of December 31, 2008, if interest rates across the entire yield curve:

Change in Interest Rates

- 300 basis point rise
- 200 basis point rise
- 100 basis point rise
- 100 basis point decline
- 200 basis point decline
- 300 basis point decline

See Item 7A, "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" for more information.

Other Than Temporary Impairments

We have a formal review process for all securities in our investment portfolio, including a review for impairment include:

- a decline in the market value of a security by 20% or more below amortized cost for a continuous period of 12 months;
- a decline in the market value of a security for a continuous period of 12 months;
- recent credit downgrades of the applicable security or the issuer by rating agencies;
- the financial condition of the applicable issuer;
- whether scheduled interest payments are past due; and
- whether we have the ability and intent to hold the security for a sufficient period of time to recover our cost.

If we believe a decline in the value of a particular investment is temporary, we record the decline as a "temporary impairment" in comprehensive income" in

Table of Contents

shareholders' equity. If we believe the decline is "other than temporary," we write down the carrying value of operations. In periods subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment, the impairment measurement date of the impairment. Accordingly, the discount (or reduced premium) based on the new cash flow periods based upon the amount and timing of expected future cash flows of the security, if the recoverable amount is greater than the carrying value of the investment after the impairment.

Our assessment of a decline in value includes management's current assessment of the factors noted above and we ultimately record a loss after having originally concluded that the decline in value was temporary.

As part of our other than temporary impairment review process, we consider the nature of the investment, the severity (both as a percentage of book value and absolute dollars) and duration of the impairment and advice from investment advisors, volatility of the securities fair value, recent news reports, etc., when performing our assessment.

The Company recognized \$71.3 million of other than temporary impairment losses substantially related to investments ended December 31, 2008 primarily due to the fact that it does not have the intent to hold these securities until they recover. The Company continues to monitor the value of these investments. Future events may result in further impairment of the investments for other than temporary impairment losses for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

As of December 31, 2008, excluding the securities described above, the Company's gross unrealized losses were \$113.6 million at December 31, 2007. The \$113.6 million increase in gross unrealized losses was primarily attributable to municipal securities, \$48.6 million, and corporate securities, \$10.4 million. The increase in these unrealized losses was related to the overall illiquidity in the financial markets and resulted in a sudden and severe depressed demand for securities.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company had 58 securities in an unrealized loss position for greater than 10% of book value. Of these securities, 20 securities had unrealized losses greater than 10% of book value. The unrealized loss as of December 31, 2008 was \$24.1 million. This unrealized loss is primarily attributable to the market illiquidity and volatility of the securities and individual issuer credit. Except as noted below, the Company has recognized no other than temporary impairment losses on securities until a recovery in value.

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the unrealized losses in our investment portfolio by type of security and length of time in continuous unrealized loss position as of the dates indicated:

Length of Time in Continuous Unrealized Loss Position	As of December 31 Estimated Fair Value	Gr Unre Los
Municipal securities		
0 6 months	\$ 168.8	\$
7 12 months	310.6	
Greater than 12 months	137.9	
	617.3	
Corporate and foreign government securities		
0 6 months	23.7	
7 12 months	81.9	
Greater than 12 months	14.2	
	119.8	
U.S. Government obligations		
0 6 months		
7 12 months	8.0	
Greater than 12 months		
	8.0	
Mortgage and asset-backed securities		
0 6 months	143.6	
7 12 months	111.0	
Greater than 12 months	74.4	
	329.0	
Preferred stock		
0 6 months	12.4	
7 12 months		
Greater than 12 months		
	12.4	
Total	\$1,086.5	\$ (

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the unrealized losses in our investment portfolio by type of security a

Remaining Time to Maturity	As of December 31, 200	
	Estimated Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
		(\$)
Municipal securities		
Due in one year or less	\$	\$
Due after one year through five years	5.4	
Due after five years through ten years	42.4	(2)
Due after ten years	569.5	(48)
	617.3	(51)
Corporate and foreign government securities		
Due in one year or less	0.8	
Due after one year through five years	23.9	(1)
Due after five years through ten years	72.6	(6)
Due after ten years	22.5	(4)
	119.8	(11)
U.S. Government obligations		
Due in one year or less	8.0	
Due after one year through five years		
Due after five years through ten years		
Due after ten years		
	8.0	
Mortgage and asset-backed securities		
	329.0	(59)
Preferred stock		
	12.4	(0)
Total	\$ 1,086.5	\$ (122)

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes, for all realized losses through December 31, 2008 and 2007, the fair value of securities in a continuous unrealized loss position prior to the date of sale of the security or the recognition of an other

Length of Time in Continuous Unrealized Loss Position Prior to Sale	Estimated Fair Value
Municipal securities	
0-6 months	\$ 2.5
7-12 months	
Greater than 12 months	3.6
	6.1
Corporate and foreign government securities	
0-6 months	6.4
7-12 months	3.6
Greater than 12 months	2.9
	12.9
U.S. Government securities	
0-6 months	
7-12 months	
Greater than 12 months	
Mortgage and asset-backed securities	
0-6 months	82.0
7-12 months	35.1
Greater than 12 months	51.4
	168.5
Preferred stock	
0-6 months	4.8
7-12 months	
Greater than 12 months	
	4.8
Total	\$ 192.3

(1) Includes \$71.3 million of other than temporary realized losses in 2008. There were no other than

Premium Revenue Recognition

Premiums are received either upfront or in installments. Upfront premiums are earned in proportion to premium is earned ratably over its installment period, generally one year or less. Premium earnings under are based upon and are in proportion to the principal amount guaranteed and therefore result in higher premium higher. For insured bonds for which the par value outstanding is declining during the insurance

Table of Contents

period, upfront premium earnings are greater in the earlier periods thus matching revenue recognition with 2007 and 2006, approximately 81%, 78% and 72%, respectively, of our gross written premiums were received in installments. The premiums are allocated in accordance with the principal amortization schedule over the amortization period. When an insured issue is retired early, is called by the issuer, or is in substance paid in Government securities in escrow, the remaining unearned premium reserves are earned at that time. Unearned written that is applicable to the unexpired amount at risk of insured bonds.

In our reinsurance businesses, we estimate the ultimate written and earned premiums to be received from each year because some of our ceding companies report premium data anywhere from 30 to 90 days after the end of each year. In our statement of operations are based upon reports received from ceding companies supplemented by our own reports that have not yet been received. As of December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 the assumed premium estimates for our consolidated financial statements are \$5.4 million and \$1.0 million, \$8.8 million and \$2.0 million and \$25.0 million, respectively. Factors used to arrive at management's best estimate of assumed premiums are premium amounts reported historically and actual amounts. Differences between such estimates and actual amounts are recorded in the period in which the actual amounts are received. Differences have not been material. We do not record a provision for doubtful accounts related to our assumed premium estimates based on the collectibility of assumed premium. No provision for doubtful accounts related to our premium received is recorded.

Deferred Acquisition Costs

Acquisition costs incurred, other than those associated with credit derivative products, that vary with the amount of premium written are deferred and amortized in relation to earned premiums. These costs include direct and indirect expenses such as salaries and benefits of underwriting and marketing personnel. As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, we had deferred acquisition costs of \$12.1 million and \$10.1 million, respectively. Ceding commissions paid to primary insurers are the largest component of deferred acquisition costs, consisting of 65% and 68% of total deferred acquisition costs as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Management uses its judgment in determining what types of costs should be deferred. We annually conduct a study to determine which operating costs vary with, and are recoverable from, premiums. Costs that qualify for deferral. Ceding commissions received on premiums we cede to other reinsurers reduce acquisition costs. Costs related to servicing the insured or reinsured business are considered in determining the recoverability of acquisition costs. Acquisition costs for credit derivative products are expensed as incurred. When an insured issue is retired early, as discussed in the Premium Revenue Recognition section of the Estimates, the remaining related deferred acquisition cost is expensed at that time.

Deferred Income Taxes

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, we had a net deferred income tax asset of \$129.1 million and a net deferred income tax liability of \$12.1 million, respectively. Certain of our subsidiaries are subject to U.S. income tax. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are estimated based on the balance sheet carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted rates in effect for the year in which the temporary differences are expected to be realized.

Table of Contents

relate principally to unrealized gains and losses on investments and credit derivatives, deferred acquisition reserves, net operating loss carryforwards ("NOLs") and statutory contingency reserves. A valuation allowance that in management's opinion is more likely than not to be realized.

As of December 31, 2008, Assured Guaranty Re Overseas Ltd. ("AGRO") had a stand alone NOL of \$27.2 million as of December 31, 2007, which is available to offset its future U.S. taxable income. The Company has \$27.2 million of this NOL through 2023. AGRO's stand alone NOL is not permitted to offset the income of any other members of the AGRO Group.

Under applicable accounting rules, we are required to establish a valuation allowance for NOLs that will not be utilized. Management has assessed the likelihood of realization of all of its deferred tax assets. Based on this assessment, that \$20.0 million of AGRO's \$47.9 million NOL will not be utilized before it expires and has established a valuation allowance for this deferred tax asset. Management believes that all other deferred income taxes are more-likely-than-not to be realized. Management's judgment, is reviewed quarterly and will be adjusted to the extent actual taxable income differs from estimates. NOLs or capital losses.

Taxation of Subsidiaries

The Company's Bermuda subsidiaries are not subject to any income, withholding or capital gains taxes. Other subsidiaries are subject to income taxes imposed by U.S. and U.K. authorities and file applicable tax returns. The Company has elected under Section 953(d) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code to be taxed as a U.S. domestic corporation.

The U.S. Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") has completed audits of all of the Company's U.S. subsidiaries for tax years 2001 through 2004. In September 2007, the IRS completed its audit of tax years 2002 through 2004 for Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc., AGRO, Assured Guaranty Mortgage Insurance Company, and Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc. ("AGUS") for tax years 2002 through the date of the IPO. AGUS includes Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc. consolidated tax return of a subsidiary of ACE Limited ("ACE"), our former Parent, for years prior to the IPO. In addition, the IRS is reviewing the tax liability associated with the tax examination of AGUS as it relates to years prior to the IPO. In addition, the IRS is reviewing the tax liability associated with the tax examination of AGUS as it relates to years prior to the IPO.

Uncertain Tax Positions

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" ("FIN 48"), on January 1, 2007. As a result of the adoption of FIN 48, the Company reduced its liability for unrecognized tax benefits by \$2.6 million. The total liability for unrecognized tax benefits as of January 1, 2007 was \$12.9 million. The tax rate.

Subsequent to the adoption of FIN 48, the IRS published final regulations on the treatment of consolidated tax returns. The treatment of certain capital losses is no longer at a level that would require recording an associated liability for an unrecognized tax benefit.

Table of Contents

Company decreased its liability for unrecognized tax benefits and its provision for income taxes \$4.1 million in 2007, upon completion of the IRS audit of Assured Guaranty Overseas US Holdings Inc. and subsidiaries, approximately \$6.0 million.

The total liability for unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$5.1 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, on the balance sheets. During the year ended December 31, 2008 the net liability increased by approximately \$4.1 million. The Company intends to take on the Company's 2008 tax return. The Company does not believe it is reasonably possible to settle the liability within 12 months.

The Company's policy is to recognize interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income. The Company accrued \$0.9 million in interest and penalties.

Liability For Tax Basis Step-Up Adjustment

In connection with the IPO, the Company and ACE Financial Services Inc. ("AFS"), a subsidiary of the Company and AFS made a "Section 338 (h)(10)" election that has the effect of increasing the tax basis of the Company's assets to fair value. Future tax benefits that the Company derives from the election will be payable to AFS when realized.

As a result of the election, the Company has adjusted its net deferred tax liability to reflect the new tax basis. The Company is expected to result in increased future income tax deductions and, accordingly, may reduce income taxes payable. Tax benefits by the Company will be paid to AFS. Such tax benefits will generally be calculated by comparing the Company's tax liability would have been owed by those subsidiaries had the increase in basis not occurred. After a 15 year period, the Company and AFS will negotiate a settlement of the unrealized benefit based on the expected realization of the tax benefits.

The Company initially recorded a \$49.0 million reduction of its existing deferred tax liability, based on the Section 338(h)(10) election. Under the tax allocation agreement, the Company estimated that, as of the IPO date, the Company accordingly established this amount as a liability. The initial difference, which is attributable to the change in tax basis associated step-up in the tax basis of its assets and no amounts due to AFS, resulted in an increase to additional tax liability in 2008 and 2007, the liability for tax basis step-up adjustment, which is included in the Company's balance sheet as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The Company has paid ACE and correspondingly reduced its liability by \$0.7 million and \$5.0 million in 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Accounting for Share-Based Compensation

Prior to January 1, 2006, we accounted for our share-based employee compensation plans under the method prescribed in the Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("APB 25") and related Interpretive Ruling "Stock-Based Compensation" ("FAS 123").

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of FAS No. 123 (revised) using a prospective transition method. Under that transition method, compensation expense includes: (a) compensation cost for awards but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value.

Table of Contents

value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of FAS 123, and (b) compensation expense for based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of FAS 123R. Because we e results for prior periods have not been restated.

The following table presents pre-DAC and pre-tax, share-based compensation cost by share-based exp

(in thousands of U.S. dollars)		2
Share-Based Employee Cost		
<i>Restricted Stock</i>		
Recurring amortization		\$
Accelerated amortization for retirement eligible employees		
Subtotal		
<i>Restricted Stock Units</i>		
Recurring amortization		
Accelerated amortization for retirement eligible employees		
Subtotal		
<i>Stock Options</i>		
Recurring amortization		
Accelerated amortization for retirement eligible employees		
Subtotal		
<i>ESPP</i>		
Total Share-Based Employee Cost		1
Share-Based Directors Cost		
<i>Restricted Stock</i>		
<i>Restricted Stock Units</i>		
Total Share-Based Directors Cost		
Total Share-Based Cost		\$ 1

At December 31, 2008, there was \$12.3 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to no under all equity compensation plans. Total unrecognized compensation cost will be adjusted for future cha cost over a weighted average period of 1.5 years. As a result of the adoption of FAS 123R, the income tax computation of the income tax expense (benefit), and deferred tax assets and liabilities, subject to certain p differences between the income tax effects of expenses recognized in the results of operations and the relat adoption of FAS 123R, the tax benefits relating to the income tax deductions for compensatory stock optio

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted were \$7.59, \$6.83 and \$6.71 for the year. The fair value of options issued is

Table of Contents

estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, with the following weighted-

	2008
Dividend yield	0.8%
Expected volatility	35.10%
Risk free interest rate	2.8%
Expected life	5 years
Forfeiture rate	6.0%

These assumptions were based on the following:

The expected dividend yield is based on the current expected annual dividend and share price.

Expected volatility is estimated at the date of grant based on the historical share price volatility.

The risk-free interest rate is the implied yield currently available on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon bonds with a maturity equal to the expected life of the granted stock options.

The expected life is based on the average expected term of our guideline companies, with the exception of the Company which has insufficient expected life data.

The forfeiture rate is based on the rate used by our guideline companies, since the Company's forfeiture rate will be reassessed at each balance sheet date and may change based on new facts and circumstances.

For options granted before January 1, 2006, the Company amortizes the fair value on an accelerated basis. For options granted on or after January 1, 2006, the Company amortizes the fair value on a straight-line basis. All options are amortized over the requisite service periods, with the exception of retirement-eligible employees. Stock options are generally granted once a year on the date of grant. The Company may elect to use different assumptions under the Black-Scholes option valuation model based on the Company's net income or earnings per share.

Accounting for Cash-Based Compensation

In February 2006, the Company established the Assured Guaranty Ltd. Performance Retention Plan. The Plan covers employees which vest after four years of continued employment (or earlier, if the employee's termination of employment was revised in 2008 giving the Compensation Committee greater flexibility in establishing the terms of performance objectives for different performance periods and performance objectives. See Note 20 "Employee Benefit Plans" to the consolidated financial statements for greater detail about the Performance Retention Plan.

The Company's compensation expense for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 was in the form of performance retention awards made in 2008 (which vest over a four year period) and 2007 (which cliff vest after 4 years). The Company's compensation expense (before tax) and \$0.2 million (\$0.1 million after tax) of expense for performance retention awards in 2008 and 2007 was offset by \$0.2 million of accelerated expense related to retirement-eligible employees.

Table of Contents

Goodwill

In connection with FAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets", the Company does not annually or more frequently should circumstances warrant. The impairment test evaluates the Company's direct and reinsurance lines of business to their carrying value. If fair value is greater than carrying value, there is no impairment. If fair value is less than carrying value then goodwill is deemed to be impaired and the reporting unit is equal to the carrying value, but not less than \$0. No such impairment to goodwill was recorded.

As part of the impairment test of goodwill, there are inherent assumptions and estimates used by management for our direct and reinsurance lines of business that are subject to change based on future events. Management considers losses, expenses, interest rates, cost of capital and tax rates. Many of the factors used in assessing fair value are likely that assumptions and estimates will change in future periods. These changes can result in future impairment.

The Company has concluded that it is reasonably likely that the goodwill associated with our reinsurance business if the volume of new business in the financial guaranty reinsurance market does not return to historical levels will continue to execute portfolio based reinsurance contracts on blocks of business for other financial guarantors. This may cause a triggering event that will cause management to reassess its goodwill amounts related to its reinsurance business. For more information on goodwill, see the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 of this 10-K for greater detail about Goodwill.

Information on Residential Mortgage Backed Securities ("RMBS"), Subprime RMBS, Collateralized Debt Obligations ("CDOs of ABS") and Prime RMBS Exposures

Our Risk Management and Surveillance personnel are responsible for monitoring and reporting on all aspects of the surveillance process is to monitor trends and changes in transaction credit quality, detect any deterioration and take action if necessary or appropriate. All transactions in the insured portfolio are risk rated, and surveillance personnel monitor for changes in transaction credit quality. In assessing the credit quality of our insured portfolio, we take into consideration factors include the amount of credit support or subordination benefiting our exposure, delinquency and loss history, the exposure has amortized and the year in which it was insured.

The tables below provide information on the risk ratings and certain other risk characteristics of the CDOs of ABS exposures as of December 31, 2008 (dollars in millions):

Table of Contents

Distribution of U.S. RMBS by Rating(1) and Year Insured as of

Year insured:	Super Senior	AAA Rated	AA Rated	A Rated
2004 and prior	\$	\$ 253	\$ 159	\$ 136
2005		346	125	136
2006	2,920	184	541	400
2007	1,314	344	736	1,785
2008	2,150	545		
	\$6,384	\$ 1,672	\$ 1,561	\$ 2,458
% of total	34.7%	9.1%	8.5%	13.4%

Distribution of U.S. Prime HELOC RMBS by Rating(1) and Year Insured as of

Year insured:	Super Senior	AAA Rated	AA Rated	A Rated
2004 and prior	\$	\$ 2	\$ 12	\$ 5
2005				2
2006				
2007		11	2	
2008				
	\$	\$ 13	\$ 13	\$ 8
% of total	0.0%	0.8%	0.8%	0.4%

Distribution of U.S. Closed End Seconds RMBS by Rating(1) and Year Insured as of

Year insured:	Super Senior	AAA Rated	AA Rated	A Rated
2004 and prior	\$	\$	\$	\$
2005				
2006				
2007	47	60	135	
2008				
	\$ 47	\$ 60	\$ 135	\$
% of total	10.9%	14.0%	31.2%	0.0%

Table of Contents**Distribution of U.S. Alt-A RMBS by Rating(1) and Year Insured**

Year insured:	Super Senior	AAA Rated	AA Rated	A Rated
2004 and prior	\$	\$ 19	\$ 16	\$
2005		228	42	
2006				
2007	595	113	456	886
2008	2,150	378		
	\$2,746	\$ 738	\$ 514	\$ 886
% of total	44.2%	11.9%	8.3%	14.3%

Distribution of U.S. Alt-A Option ARM RMBS by Rating(1) and Year Insured

Year insured:	Super Senior	AAA Rated	AA Rated	A Rated
2004 and prior	\$	\$ 39	\$ 14	\$ 17
2005				43
2006				
2007		5	68	272
2008		151		
	\$	\$ 195	\$ 82	\$ 333
% of total	0.0%	13.8%	5.8%	23.6%

Distribution of U.S. Subprime RMBS by Rating(1) and Year Insured

Year insured:	Super Senior	AAA Rated	AA Rated	A Rated
2004 and prior	\$	\$ 173	\$ 38	\$ 41
2005		5		90
2006	2,920	125	532	400
2007		12	75	627
2008		16		
	\$2,920	\$ 331	\$ 645	\$ 1,157
% of total	44.0%	5.0%	9.7%	17.4%

(1)

Assured's internal rating. Assured's scale is comparable to that of the nationally recognized rating used by rating agencies, is used by the Company in instances where Assured's AAA-rated exposure exists in the presence of another security rated AAA that is subordinated to Assured's exposure or (2) Assured's internal rating enhancement that would pay any claims first in the event that any of the exposures incurs a loss or default that causes Assured's attachment point to be materially above the AAA attachment point.

Table of Contents**Distribution of Financial Guaranty Direct U.S. RMBS by Rating(1) and Type**

Ratings(1):	Prime First Lien	Prime Closed End Seconds	Prime HELOC	Alt-A First Lien
Super senior	\$ 672	\$ 47	\$	\$ 2,746
AAA	252	58		736
AA	83	134	6	512
A				886
BBB	613		17	20
Below investment grade		185	1,220	1,294
Total exposures	\$ 1,620	\$ 424	\$ 1,242	\$ 6,193

Distribution of Financial Guaranty Direct U.S. RMBS Net Par Outstanding by Rating

Year issued:	Super Senior	AAA Rated	AA Rated	A Rated
2004 and prior	\$	\$ 163	\$ 71	\$ 50
2005	2,020	461	656	530
2006	1,189		75	150
2007	3,175	847	658	1,630
2008				
	\$6,384	\$1,471	\$1,461	\$2,360
% of total	36.9%	8.5%	8.4%	13.6%

Distribution of Financial Guaranty Direct U.S. RMBS Net Par Outstanding by Rating

Year issued:	Super Senior	AAA Rated	AA Rated	A Rated
2004 and prior	\$	\$ 163	\$ 71	\$ 50
2005		337	124	130
2006	2,920	124	532	400
2007	1,314	318	733	1,780
2008	2,150	529		
	\$6,384	\$1,471	\$1,461	\$2,360
% of total	36.9%	8.5%	8.4%	13.6%

(1)

Assured's internal rating. Assured's scale is comparable to that of the nationally recognized rating used by rating agencies, is used by the Company in instances where Assured's AAA-rated exposure exists in the absence of another security rated AAA that is subordinated to Assured's exposure or (2) Assured's exposure is enhanced by a security enhancement that would pay any claims first in the event that any of the exposures incurs a loss, causes Assured's attachment point to be materially above the AAA attachment point.

Table of Contents

Distribution of Financial Guaranty Direct U.S. RMBS by Year Insured

Year insured:	Prime First Lien	Prime Closed End Seconds	Prime HELOC	Alt-A First Lien
2004 and prior	\$	\$	\$ 22	\$ 51
2005	192		605	383
2006	342			
2007	1,085	424	614	3,230
2008				2,529
	\$ 1,620	\$ 424	\$ 1,242	\$ 6,193

Distribution of Financial Guaranty Direct U.S. RMBS by Year Issued

Year issued:	Prime First Lien	Prime Closed End Seconds	Prime HELOC	Alt-A First Lien
2004 and prior	\$	\$	\$ 22	\$ 51
2005	192		605	383
2006	342			379
2007	1,085	424	614	5,380
2008				
	\$ 1,620	\$ 424	\$ 1,242	\$ 6,193

Table of Contents**Distribution of Financial Guaranty Direct U.S. Mortgage-Backed Securities Issued January 1, 2005 Subordination, Cumulative Losses and 60+ Day Delinquencies as of December 31, 2008(1)****U.S. Prime First Lien**

Year issued:	Net Par Outstanding	Pool Factor(2)	Subordination(3)	Cumulative Losses(4)
2005	\$ 192	75.8%	5.4%	0.1
2006	342	69.1%	5.3%	0.0
2007	1,085	87.6%	10.5%	0.2
2008		N/A	N/A	N/A
	\$ 1,620	82.3%	8.8%	0.1

U.S. Prime CES

Year issued:	Net Par Outstanding	Pool Factor(2)	Subordination(3)	Cumulative Losses(4)
2005	\$	N/A	N/A	N/A
2006		N/A	N/A	N/A
2007	424	67.5%	25.7%	24.5
2008		N/A	N/A	N/A
	\$ 424	67.5%	25.7%	24.5

U.S. Prime HELOC

Year issued:	Net Par Outstanding	Pool Factor(2)	Subordination(3)	Cumulative Losses(4)
2005	\$ 605	33.5%	0.0%	10.5
2006		N/A	N/A	N/A
2007	614	68.8%	0.0%	14.0
2008		N/A	N/A	N/A
	\$ 1,220	51.3%	0.0%	12.3

U.S. Alt-A First Lien

Year issued:	Net Par Outstanding	Pool Factor(2)	Subordination(3)	Cumulative Losses(4)
2005	\$ 383	61.9%	11.6%	0.7
2006	379	76.6%	39.5%	1.8
2007	5,380	79.4%	20.7%	0.8
2008		N/A	N/A	N/A
	\$ 6,142	78.1%	21.3%	0.9

Table of Contents

Financial Guaranty Direct Collateralized Debt Obligations of Asset-Backed Securities (CDOs of ABS Insured and by Collateral:

Year	Legal Final Maturity(2)	Net Par Outstanding	Type of Collateral as a Percent of Total Pool						
			RMBS (Includes Subprime)	Comm. MBS (CMBS)(3)	Investment Grade Corporate	CDOs of ABS	Total Collateral Pool	U.S. Subprime First Lien RMBS	
CDOs of Mezzanine ABS(3):									
2001	2017	\$ 113.5	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%
2001	2016	59.7	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%
2002	2017	102.1	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%
2002	2017	92.9	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%
2002	2017	88.7	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%
2002	2017	64.8	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%
2003	2018	118.6	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%
2003	2038	74.5	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%
2003	2018	46.5	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%
Subtotal:		\$ 761.3	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%
CDOs of High Grade ABS(4):									
No CDO of ABS business written									
CDOs of Pooled AAA ABS(5):									
2003	2010	636.2	35%	34%	26%	5%	0%	100%	0%
Subtotal:		\$ 636.2	35%	34%	26%	5%	0%	100%	0%
Total:		\$1,397.5	16%	15%	66%	2%	0%	100%	0%

- (1) A "CDO of ABS" is a collateralized debt obligation (CDO) transaction whose collateral pool consists primarily of asset-backed securities (MBS). ABS transactions securities generally represent an ownership interest in a trust that contains several tranches that can have varying levels of subordination, credit protection triggers and credit ratings.
- (2) "Legal Final Maturity" represents the final date for payment specified in the transaction documents and does not include the weighted average life.
- (3) "CDOs of Mezzanine ABS" is a market term that refers to transactions where the underlying collateral at issue is of lower credit quality. The collateral underlying Assured's exposure to CDOs of Mezzanine ABS is comprised of mezzanine commercial property REITs. The transactions to which Assured has exposure are static pools rather than active pools originated primarily in the period from 1997-2003. The collateral underlying Assured's exposure to CDOs of Mezzanine ABS information as of December 31, 2008, as follows: 16% AAA, 8% AA, 13% A, 45% BBB and 18% below investment grade.
- (4) "CDOs of High Grade ABS" is a market term that refers to transactions where the underlying collateral at issue is of higher credit quality.
- (5) "CDOs of Pooled AAA ABS" is a market term that refers to transactions where the underlying collateral at issue is of higher credit quality. Assured's exposure to CDOs of Pooled AAA ABS was rated, based on rating information as of December 31, 2008, as follows: 100% AAA.
- (6) Represents the sum of subordinate tranches and over-collateralization and does not include any benefit from the over-collateralization.

Table of Contents

Consolidated Results of Operations

The following table presents summary consolidated results of operations data for the years ended Dec

Revenues:	
Gross written premiums	\$
Net written premiums	
Net earned premiums	
Net investment income	
Net realized investment losses	
Change in fair value of credit derivatives	
Realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives	
Unrealized gains (losses) on credit derivatives	
Net change in fair value of credit derivatives	
Other income	
Total revenues	
Expenses:	
Loss and loss adjustment expenses	
Profit commission expense	
Acquisition costs	
Operating expenses	
Interest expense	
Other expense	
Total expenses	
Income (loss) before provision (benefit) for income taxes	
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	
Net income (loss)	\$
Underwriting (loss) gain by segment:	
Financial guaranty direct	\$
Financial guaranty reinsurance	
Mortgage guaranty	
Other	
Total	\$

(1) Some amounts may not add due to rounding.

We organize our business around four principal business segments: financial guaranty direct, financial guaranty reinsurance, mortgage guaranty, and other. Some of these segments consist of a number of lines of business that we exited as part of our April 2004 IPO, which are included in the other segment reflected in the above numbers.

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Net Income (Loss)

Net income (loss) was \$68.9 million, \$(303.3) million and \$159.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The increase in net income of \$372.2 million in 2008 compared with 2007 was primarily due to the following factors:

a \$38.0 million unrealized gain on credit derivatives in 2008 compared with a \$(670.4) million unrealized loss in 2007. In 2008, the widening of the Company's own credit spread, which was substantially offset by a narrowing of the market spread, leading to a relatively small net unrealized gain. During 2007, market spreads widened leading to the \$(670.4) million unrealized loss. The unrealized loss on credit derivatives was \$(487.9) million for 2008 and 2007, respectively. With considerable volatility continuing in the future periods, See Note 4 "Credit Derivatives" to the consolidated financial statements for more information on credit derivatives as of December 31, 2008 and 2007,

an increase of \$102.1 million in net earned premium to \$261.4 million in 2008 from \$159.3 million in 2007, primarily due to growth in our in-force book of business and an increase in net earned premiums attributable to the sale of unsecured pre-payment or refundings of underlying municipal bonds, of \$61.9 million in 2008 compared with 2007,

an increase of \$34.5 million in net investment income to \$162.6 million in 2008 from \$128.1 million in 2007, primarily due to invested assets from positive operating cash flows as well as increased capital from equity investments in 2008 compared with 2007,

an increase of \$34.6 million in other income, which included a fair value gain of \$42.7 million on the sale of Assured Guaranty Corp.'s committed capital securities.

Partially offsetting these positive factors were:

a decrease of \$170.9 million in underwriting gain (loss) to \$(76.4) million underwriting loss in 2008 compared with a \$94.5 million underwriting gain in 2007, primarily due to an increase in loss and loss adjustment expenses associated with the sale of Assured Guaranty Corp.'s committed capital securities in 2008 compared with 2007,

an increase in net realized investment (losses) of \$(68.5) million primarily related to the sale of Assured Guaranty Corp.'s committed capital securities, and a temporary impairment,

an increase of \$3.6 million in operating expenses during 2008, resulting from (1) the adoption of new stock awards in 2008 and the related amortization as well as the accelerated vesting of stock awards required by FAS 123R and (2) expansion of our performance retention plan, and

a \$203.2 million increase in our provision (benefit) for income tax to a \$43.4 million provision in 2008 compared with a \$246.6 million benefit in 2007. This provision is mainly related to the unrealized gain on credit derivatives recognized in 2007. In addition, the 2007 provision for income taxes included a \$10.1 million benefit which was reduced subsequent to the adoption of FIN 48, due to final regulations on the treatment of net operating losses and as a result of the completion of an IRS audit of Assured Guaranty Overseas.

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The decrease in net income of \$463.0 million to a net (loss) of \$(303.3) million in 2007 was primarily due to the following factors:

a \$(670.4) million unrealized loss on credit derivatives in 2007 compared with a \$11.8 million gain in 2006, primarily attributable to credit spreads widening in all asset classes including residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities. Net of related income taxes, the unrealized (loss) gain on credit derivatives was \$688.2 million in 2007 and 2006, respectively,

an increase of \$8.4 million in other income, which included a fair value gain of \$8.3 million on the sale of Assured Guaranty Corp.'s committed capital securities,

a \$9.7 million increase in interest expense to \$23.5 million in 2007 from \$13.8 million in 2006, primarily due to our Series A Enhanced Junior Subordinated Debentures which were issued in December 2006,

an increase of \$11.9 million in operating expenses to \$79.9 million in 2007 from \$68.0 million in 2006, primarily due to employee related expenses due to staffing additions and merit increases. Also contributed to the increase were stock option awards, due to new stock awards in 2007 and the related amortization expense on stock options for retirement eligible employees as required by FAS 123R, which the Company adopted in 2006.

Partially offsetting these negative factors were:

an increase of \$3.5 million in underwriting gain to \$94.5 million in 2007 from \$91.0 million in 2006,

an increase of \$16.6 million in net investment income to \$128.1 million in 2007 from \$111.5 million in 2006, primarily due to increased invested assets due to positive operating cash flows, and

a \$10.1 million reduction in our provision for income taxes in 2007 due to a reduction in our effective tax rate, primarily due to the adoption of FIN 48, due to final regulations on the treatment of a tax uncertainty regarding the deductibility of interest expense, and the completion of an IRS audit of Assured Guaranty Overseas US Holdings Inc. and subsidiaries.

Gross Written Premiums

Gross Written Premiums	Year Ended 2008
Financial guaranty direct	\$484.7
Financial guaranty reinsurance	129.3
Mortgage guaranty	0.7
Total financial guaranty gross written premiums	614.7
Other	3.5
Total gross written premiums	\$618.3

Gross written premiums for the year ended December 31, 2008 were \$618.3 million, compared with \$424.5 million in 2007, an increase of \$193.8 million, or 46%. Gross written premiums in our financial guaranty direct operations increased by \$193.8 million, or 46%, due to a \$371.8 million increase in U.S. generated business, of which

Table of Contents

\$355.8 million was from our upfront U.S. public finance business, as we continue to increase our market share in international business to \$16.9 million in 2008, compared with \$71.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. In our financial guaranty reinsurance segment decreased \$121.7 million primarily due to a large portfolio of reinsurance contracts that contributed \$143.2 million to gross written premiums in the fourth quarter of 2007. The decrease in gross written premiums related to the run-off of our quota share treaty business as well as commutations executed in the latter part of 2007.

Gross written premiums for the year ended December 31, 2007 were \$424.5 million compared with \$261.3 million in 2006, an increase of \$163.2 million, or 62%. Our 2007 financial guaranty direct segment increased \$42.3 million due to growth in our U.S. structured finance business and \$18.1 million in our U.S. structured finance business, while our international infrastructure business segment increased \$127.1 million in 2007 compared with 2006 primarily due to execution of a reinsurance program whereby we assumed a diversified portfolio of financial guaranty transactions, which resulted in gross written premiums of \$127.1 million in 2007. In our mortgage guaranty segment decreased \$5.7 million compared with 2006, primarily attributable to the run-off of our quota share treaty business as well as commutations executed in the latter part of 2006.

Net Earned Premiums

Net Earned Premiums

Financial guaranty direct
Financial guaranty reinsurance
Mortgage guaranty

Total financial guaranty net earned premiums
Other

Total net earned premiums

Net earned premiums for the year ended December 31, 2008 increased \$102.1 million, or 64%, compared with 2007. Financial guaranty direct net earned premiums increased \$37.2 million in 2008, compared with 2007. This increase is primarily due to growth in our U.S. structured finance business, resulting in increased net earned premiums. The year ended December 31, 2008 had \$1.3 million in net earned premiums from our international infrastructure business, compared to \$2.8 million in 2007. Public finance refunding premiums reinsurance segment increased \$60.6 million in 2008 compared to \$2.8 million in 2007. Public finance refunding premiums reinsurance segment increased \$60.6 million in 2008. This increase is primarily a result of greater refundings of municipal auction rate and structured finance business. Excluding refundings, our financial guaranty reinsurance segment increased \$31.2 million in 2008 compared with 2007. In our mortgage guaranty segment decreased \$5.7 million in 2008 compared with 2006, primarily attributable to the run-off of our quota share treaty business as well as commutations executed in the latter part of 2007.

Table of Contents

Net earned premiums for the year ended December 31, 2007 increased \$14.5 million, or 10%, compared to 2006. Net earned premiums from our financial guaranty direct operations increased to \$52.8 million in 2007 compared with \$38.3 million in 2006. Net earned premiums from our in-force book of business, resulting in increased net earned premiums, and to public finance refundings which were not available in 2006 amounts had no earned premiums from public finance refundings in the financial guaranty direct segment. Net earned premiums from guaranty reinsurance and mortgage guaranty segments in 2007 compared to 2006 were primarily related to

Net Investment Income

Net investment income was \$162.6 million, \$128.1 million and \$111.5 million and had pre-tax yields of 4.8%, 4.8% and 4.8% at December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The \$34.5 million increase in investment income in 2008 compared to 2007 was primarily due to assets due to positive operating cash flows as well as increased capital from equity offerings in April 2008. The \$16.6 million increase in 2007 compared with 2006 was mainly due to increased invested assets due to positive operating cash flows.

Net Realized Investment Losses

Realized investment gains and losses are determined using the specific identification method and are principally from the sale of fixed maturity securities, were \$(69.8) million, \$(1.3) million and \$(2.0) million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The Company recognized \$71.3 million of other than temporary impairment losses substantially all of which were recognized in the year ended December 31, 2008 primarily due to the fact that it does not have the intent to hold these securities to maturity. The Company had no write downs of investments for other than temporary impairment losses in 2007 and 2006. Net realized investment losses were \$(62.7) million, \$(1.3) million and \$(1.5) million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Realized Gains and Other Settlements on Credit Derivatives

Realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives

Net credit derivative premiums received and receivable:

Direct segment

Reinsurance segment

Total net credit derivative premiums received and receivable

Net credit derivative losses recovered and recoverable

Ceding commissions (paid/payable) received/receivable, net

Total realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives

Realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives, were \$117.6 million, \$74.0 million and \$73.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Total net credit derivative premiums received and receivable, which represents premium income from credit derivative business written in credit derivative form, was \$118.1 million, \$72.7 million and \$61.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Net credit derivative losses recovered and recoverable were \$1.3 million, \$1.3 million and \$1.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Table of Contents

and 2006, respectively, relates to recoveries received by us in those years for claim payments made in prior years on these contracts in either 2008, 2007 or 2006.

Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Credit Derivatives

Unrealized gains (losses) on credit derivatives

Pre-tax:

Unrealized gains (losses) on credit derivatives, excluding incurred losses on credit derivatives

Incurring (losses) gains on credit derivatives

Total unrealized gains (losses) on credit derivatives

After-tax:

Unrealized gains (losses) on credit derivatives, excluding incurred losses on credit derivatives

Incurring (losses) gains on credit derivatives

Total unrealized (losses) gains on credit derivatives

Credit derivatives are recorded at fair value as required by FAS 133, FAS 149 and FAS 155. The change in fair value for 2008 was a \$38.0 million gain compared with a \$670.4 million loss for the year ended December 31, 2007 and a \$11.8 million loss for 2006. The change in fair value for 2008 was attributable to the widening of credit default spreads traded on AGC, which increased 100 points at December 31, 2008. For the year ended December 31, 2008, approximately 50% of our unrealized gains were generated by higher market values for high yield and investment grade corporate collateralized loan obligation transactions, with the balance of the change generated by higher market values in the commercial mortgage backed securities markets. The change in fair value for 2007 was attributable to spreads widening in the commercial mortgage backed securities markets. For the year ended 2007, approximately 45% of the Company's unrealized loss on credit derivatives was due to a decline in market values for corporate collateralized loan obligation transactions, with the balance generated by lower market values for commercial mortgage backed securities markets. Changes in the fair value of our derivative contracts do not reflect actual claims or credit events, but rather changes in market resources, rating agency capital or regulatory capital positions. With considerable volatility continuing in the market, we expect spreads to remain significantly wider in future periods. The change in fair value of \$11.8 million for 2006 was related to many factors, including changes in credit spreads. Unrealized gains (losses) on credit derivatives, excluding incurred losses on credit derivatives, were \$(485.4) million and \$4.0 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The gain or loss created by the estimated fair value adjustment will rise or fall based on estimated market values. Fair value is defined as the amount at which an asset or liability could be bought or sold in a current transaction between willing parties. Credit derivative contracts which require us to make payments upon the occurrence of certain defined credit events relating to the underlying obligation (e.g., payment default). The Company's credit derivative exposures are substantially similar to its financial guaranty insurance contracts (e.g., payment default). They are contracts that are generally held to maturity. The unrealized gains and losses on credit derivatives increase as the exposure approaches its maturity date, unless there is a payment default on the exposure.

Table of Contents

Management also calculates portfolio and case reserve expenses on our credit derivative contracts in the same manner as for reinsurance contracts. Prior to the First Quarter 2008, incurred losses on credit derivatives were apportioned to the financial guaranty direct segment and included in loss and loss adjustment expenses. As a result of reclassifying our accounts commencing with the First Quarter 2008, we no longer make this apportionment in our financial statements. The change in the quality of our credit derivative contracts. The incurred (losses) gains on credit derivatives were \$(43.7) million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. The increase in loss with 2007 is primarily due to an increase in portfolio reserves as a result of downgrades within our U.S. RMBS portfolio.

Other Income

The years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 included a fair value gain of \$42.7 million and \$8.3 million, respectively, on the Company's committed capital securities. The increase was due to the widening of the Company's credit spreads on the securities ended December 31, 2006, as the fair value of CCS securities was \$0 as of December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2007.

Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses (Recoveries)

Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses (Recoveries)

Financial guaranty direct

Financial guaranty reinsurance

Mortgage guaranty

Total financial guaranty loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)

Other

Total loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)

Loss and loss adjustment expenses for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were \$265.8 million, \$265.8 million and \$265.8 million, respectively. Results for the financial guaranty direct segment for 2008 included losses incurred of \$48.5 million related primarily to our assumed HELOC exposures, primarily related to HELOC and Closed-End Second exposures, respectively, driven by credit deterioration, primarily related to the subprime mortgage market. The financial guaranty reinsurance segment included losses incurred of \$48.5 million related primarily to our assumed HELOC exposures.

In 2007, loss and LAE for the financial guaranty direct segment included a \$2.4 million case reserve increase primarily attributable to downgrades of transactions in our CMC list related to the subprime mortgage market exposures. Portfolio reserves also increased as a result of growth in new business and revised rating agency actions. The financial guaranty reinsurance segment had a \$(24.1) million loss benefit principally due to the restructuring of aircraft-related transactions, recoveries and increases in salvage reserves for aircraft-related transactions.

In 2006, the financial guaranty direct segment had loss and loss adjustment expenses of \$2.6 million net of recoveries, primarily due to an increase in net earned premiums and downgrades of a sub-prime mortgage transaction, partially offset by a decrease in net earned premiums.

Table of Contents

net recovery of \$(1.6) million relating to the settlement of a sub-prime mortgage transaction. The financial expenses of \$13.1 million due to \$6.8 million of net case loss and LAE reserve additions, primarily related to the transaction as well as other asset backed securities and a \$1.6 million write-down of expected litigation reserves established in 1998 from a bankruptcy estate. In addition, the Company increased portfolio reserves \$6.2 million related to an infrastructure transaction and management updating its rating agency default statistics, as part of our normal operations of various credits.

Profit Commission Expense

Profit commissions, which are primarily related to our mortgage guaranty segment, allow the ceding company to reduce the contract due to lower than expected losses. Expected or favorable loss development generates profit commission. Portfolio reserves are not a component of these profit commission calculations. For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, profit commissions were \$1.3 million, \$6.5 million and \$9.5 million, respectively. The decreases in profit commissions were primarily due to run-off of mortgage guaranty experience rated quota share treaties, which have a large profit commission component. The increases in losses incurred for certain transactions ceded to us and subject to profit commission.

Acquisition Costs

Acquisition costs primarily consist of ceding commissions, brokerage fees and operating expenses that are directly related to the acquisition of new business. Acquisition costs that vary with and are directly related to the acquisition of new business are deferred and amortized over the life of the business. For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, acquisition costs incurred were \$61.2 million, \$43.2 million and \$45.2 million, respectively. The changes in net earned premium from non-derivative transactions. The increase of \$18.0 million in 2008 compared with 2007, and 2007 compared with 2006, was primarily due to refunded earned premium, and the related deferred ceding commission which was amortized. The decrease in 2008 compared with 2007, and 2007 compared with 2006, was primarily related to a greater portion of earned premium coming from our financial guaranty direct business, which had a higher commission rate than incurred in our other segment during 2008, 2007 and 2006.

Operating Expenses

For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, operating expenses were \$83.5 million, \$79.5 million, and \$79.5 million, respectively. The increases in 2008 compared with 2007, and 2007 compared with 2006, were mainly due to higher salaries and related expenses. Also contributing to the increases was the amortization of restricted stock and stock option award programs each year and the related amortization as well as the accelerated vesting of these awards for retirement.

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$23.3 million, \$23.5 million and \$13.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The amounts were mainly comprised of \$13.4 million of interest expense, net of amortization of our cash flow hedges ("Senior Notes") in May 2004 and \$9.8 million of interest expense related to the issuance of our 6.40% Senior Notes ("Debentures") in

Table of Contents

December 2006. The coupon on the Senior Notes is 7.0%, however, the effective rate is approximately 6.4% for the Company in March 2004, the term of which matches that of the Senior Notes. In addition, the 2007 amount included \$13.4 million of interest expense, net of amortization of our cash flow hedge, and \$13.4 million of interest expense on our Debentures.

Other Expense

For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, other expenses were \$5.7 million, \$2.6 million and \$2.6 million, respectively. The 2008 amount reflects put option premiums associated with Assured Guaranty Corp.'s \$200.0 million committed capital securities. The 2007 amount reflects the increase in annualized rates from One-Month LIBOR plus 110 basis points to One-Month LIBOR plus 120 basis points in April 2008.

Income Tax

For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, income tax expense (benefit) was \$43.4 million, \$43.4 million and \$43.4 million, respectively. The effective rate was 38.7%, 34.5% and 15.9% for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Our income tax expense is recognized by each of our operating subsidiaries, with U.S. subsidiaries taxed at the U.S. marginal corporate tax rate of 30%, and no taxes for our Bermuda holding company and subsidiaries. Accounting for income tax expense is based on the distribution of taxable income across these jurisdictions. 2008 included \$38.0 million of pre-tax expense which was associated with subsidiaries taxed in the U.S., compared with a \$(670.4) million pre-tax unrealized loss in 2007, the IRS completed its audit of Assured Guaranty Overseas US Holdings Inc. and subsidiaries for the year ended December 31, 2007, resulting in a reduction of our FIN 48 tax liability. 2007 also included a \$4.1 million reduction of the Company's FIN 48 tax liability. 2006 included a \$4.1 million reduction of the Company's FIN 48 tax liability, due to final regulations on the treatment of a tax uncertainty regarding the use of consolidated losses. 2006 also included a \$13.5 million of loss recoveries from third party litigation settlements related to the equity layer credit.

Segment Results of Operations

Our financial results include four principal business segments: financial guaranty direct, financial guaranty indirect, investment management and underwriting. Our primary measure of each segment's financial performance is underwriting gains and losses. Underwriting gains and losses are the sum of loss and loss adjustment expenses, realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives less the sum of commission expense, acquisition costs and other operating expenses that are directly related to the operation of the segment. Other income and expense items, such as net investment income, realized investment gains and losses, underwriting reserves allocation, other income, and interest and other expenses, that are not directly related to the underwriting operations are included in net income.

Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio, which is a non-GAAP financial measure, is defined as loss and loss adjustment expense divided by net estimate of credit derivative incurred case and portfolio loss and loss adjustment expense reserves, which includes realized gains and losses on credit derivatives, plus net credit derivative losses (recoveries), which is included in realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives, plus net credit derivative losses (recoveries).

Table of Contents

derivative premiums received and receivable, which is included in realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives, less the sum of ceding commissions expense (income), profit commission expense, acquisition costs and operating expenses, which is included in realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives. The combined ratio, which is the sum of the loss and loss adjustment expense ratio and the expense ratio.

Financial Guaranty Direct Segment

The financial guaranty direct segment consists of our primary financial guaranty insurance business. Financial guaranty insurance provides an unconditional and irrevocable guaranty that protects the holder of a financial obligation. Financial guaranty insurance may be issued to the holders of the insured obligations at the time of issuance or at a later date in the market to holders of public bonds and structured securities. As an alternative to traditional financial guaranty insurance, an issuer can also be provided through a credit derivative, such as a credit default swap. Under a credit default swap, the buyer of protection upon the occurrence of one or more specified credit events with respect to a referenced obligation. Credit derivatives typically provide protection to a buyer rather than credit enhancement of an issue as in traditional insurance.

The table below summarizes the financial results of our financial guaranty direct segment for the period ended December 31, 2009.

	Year ended 2009
Gross written premiums	\$ 488
Net written premiums	477
Net earned premiums	90
Realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives:	
Net credit derivative premiums received and receivable	113
Net credit derivative losses recovered and recoverable (paid and payable)	
Ceding commissions income (expense), net	0
Total realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives	113
Loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)	199
Incurred losses (gains) on credit derivatives	38
Total loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)	237
Profit commission expense	
Acquisition costs	14
Operating expenses	6
Underwriting (loss) gain	\$(100)
Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio	113
Expense ratio	30
Combined ratio	153

Table of Contents

Gross Written Premiums	Year Ended 2008
Public finance	\$425.3
Structured finance	59.4
Total	\$484.7

The financial guaranty direct segment contributed \$484.7 million, \$167.1 million and \$124.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Gross written premiums in our financial guaranty direct segment for 2007 primarily due to a \$371.8 million increase in U.S. generated business, of which \$355.8 million was from new business, to increase our book of business. Partially offsetting this increase was a reduction of our international infrastructure business of \$71.3 million for 2007, as the prior included a few large infrastructure transactions. Gross written premium for 2008 was \$425.3 million in 2007 from 2006 primarily due to a \$42.7 million increase in U.S. generated business, mainly in public finance business, as we continue to execute our direct business strategy. Our international business was \$59.4 million in 2007 compared with \$71.6 million in 2006.

Generally, gross and net written premiums from the public finance market are received upfront, while structured finance premiums have been received on an installment basis. The contribution of upfront premiums to gross written premiums was \$425.0 million, \$121.1 million and \$98.9 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. In 2008, 2007 and 2006, 87.5%, 72.5% and 79.6% of gross written premiums in this segment, or \$59.7 million, \$46.0 million and \$25.9 million, respectively, were received on an installment basis.

Net Written Premiums	Year Ended 2008
Public finance	\$418.2
Structured finance	56.5
Total	\$474.7

For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, net written premiums were \$474.7 million, \$167.1 million and \$124.8 million, respectively. The variances in net written premiums are consistent with the variances in gross written premiums as we typically retain 10% of gross written premiums in this segment.

Net Earned Premiums	Year Ended 2008
Public finance	\$34.6
Structured finance	55.4
Total	\$90.0

Included in public finance direct net earned premiums are refundings of:

\$ 1.3

Net earned premiums for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, were \$90.0 million, \$55.4 million and \$37.2 million, respectively. Net earned premiums for 2008 reflects our increased market penetration, which has resulted in growth of our in-force book of business. Net earned premiums increased \$25.0 million in 2008 from 2007 for the same reason. Net earned premiums increased \$25.0 million in 2008 from 2007 for the same reason. Included in 2008 and 2007 financial guaranty direct net earned premiums were \$1.3 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, of refundings, which reflect the unscheduled pre-payment or refundings of underlying municipal bonds. These refundings are included in structured finance net earned premiums.

Table of Contents

interest rates. There were no unscheduled refundings in 2006. We evaluate our net earned premiums both i

Realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives

Net credit derivative premiums received and receivable
 Net credit derivative losses recovered and recoverable (paid and payable)
 Ceding commissions received/receivable (paid/payable), net

Total realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives

Realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives, were \$113.8 million, \$72.7 million and \$60.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and were comprised only of net credit derivative premiums received and receivable, with respect to CDS contracts. The increases in both 2008 and 2007 are attributable to the increases in our direct business volume. The 44% increase in par outstanding during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, as well as the fact we have any losses paid or payable under these contracts in either 2008, 2007 or 2006.

Loss and loss adjustment expenses were \$196.7 million, \$29.2 million and \$2.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Loss and loss adjustment expenses are affected by changes in the mix, size and credit trends in our book of business and loss adjustment expenses for prior periods. The loss ratios for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were 100%, 100% and 100%, respectively. The 2008 year included an incurred loss and LAE of \$53.9 million mainly attributable to two Closed-End Securitizations related to our direct HELOC exposures driven by credit deterioration, primarily related to increases in delinquency rates. The 2007 year included an incurred loss of \$17.2 million due to establishment of a case reserve for a real estate related to a reserve increase and a \$30.2 million portfolio reserve increase, primarily attributable to downgrades of transactional credit exposures, as well as growth in new business and management's annual updating of rating agency data.

Loss and LAE of \$2.6 million in 2006 were due to an increase of \$4.5 million associated with the impact of downgrades to sub-prime mortgage transactions, partially offset by a case loss reserve net recovery of \$(1.9) million from a transaction.

Incurred losses (gains) on credit derivatives were \$38.4 million in 2008 compared with \$3.6 million in 2007 and \$0.2 million in 2006. Incurred losses on credit derivatives were primarily due to portfolio reserves as a result of downgrades within our U.S. RMBS credit derivative book of business.

For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, acquisition costs incurred were \$14.0 million, \$13.5 million and \$13.5 million, respectively. Acquisition costs incurred over the periods are directly related to changes in net earned premiums from new business.

Operating expenses for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were \$61.5 million, \$60.5 million and \$60.5 million, respectively. The Company implemented a new operating expense allocation methodology to more closely allocate expenses to the business units. This methodology was based on a comprehensive study which was based on departmental time estimates and headcount. The increase in operating expenses is attributable to increased staff additions and merit increases as well as the increase in the amortization of retention awards and other performance retention programs.

Table of Contents

each year and the related amortization as well as the accelerated vesting of these awards for retirement elig

Financial Guaranty Reinsurance Segment

In our financial guaranty reinsurance business, we assume all or a portion of risk undertaken by other protection. The financial guaranty reinsurance business consists of public finance and structured finance re written upfront and earned over the life of the policy, and premiums on structured finance are typically written installment period.

The table below summarizes the financial results of our financial guaranty reinsurance segment for the

Gross written premiums	\$
Net written premiums	
Net earned premiums	
Realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives:	
Net credit derivative premiums received and receivable	
Net credit derivative losses recovered and recoverable	
Ceding commissions (expense) income, net	
Total realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives	
Loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)	
Incurred losses on credit derivatives	
Total loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)	
Profit commission expense	
Acquisition costs	
Operating expenses	
Underwriting gain	\$
Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio	
Expense ratio	
Combined ratio	

	Year E
Gross Written Premiums	2008
Public finance	\$ 91.3
Structured finance	38.0
Total	\$ 129.3

Gross written premiums for our financial guaranty reinsurance segment include upfront premiums on premiums on business primarily underwritten in prior periods. Consequently, this amount is affected by ch structured finance. For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, 59%, 84% and 68%, respectively premiums and 41%, 16% and 32%, respectively, were installment premiums.

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Gross written premiums for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were \$129.3 million, decrease of \$121.7 million, or 48%, in gross written premiums for 2008, compared with 2007 was mainly a 2007 which contributed \$143.2 million of written premium. For 2007, gross written premiums increased \$ the Ambac portfolio mentioned above.

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the Company's gross written premiums by type of contract:

Gross Written Premiums	Year Ended 2008
Treaty	\$ 37.9
Facultative	91.4
Total	\$ 129.3

The following table summarizes the Company's reinsurance gross written premiums by significant client:

Gross Written Premiums by Client(1)	Year Ended 2008
Financial Security Assurance Inc.(1)	\$ 94.5
Ambac Assurance Corporation(2)	27.6
Financial Guaranty Insurance Company	21.2
MBIA Insurance Corporation	6.0
XL Capital Assurance Ltd(3).	(20.3)

- (1) Excludes credit derivative gross written premiums.
- (2) In December 2007, the Company's reinsurance subsidiary, AG Re, reinsured approximately \$29 billion of net par outstanding from Ambac.
- (3) In July 2008, AG Re commuted its \$2.1 billion portfolio of business assumed, returning \$14.6 million of unearned premium, net of ceding commissions, and a net cost to the Company of \$1.8 million.

Net Written Premiums	Year Ended 2008
Public finance	\$ 91.1
Structured finance	38.0
Total	\$ 129.1

For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, net written premiums were \$129.1 million, \$129.1 million and \$129.1 million, respectively. Changes in net written premiums in all periods are consistent with the changes in gross written premiums because, to date, we have not retroactively adjusted our net written premiums.

Net Earned Premiums

Public finance
Structured finance

Total

Included in public finance reinsurance net earned premiums are refundings of:

130

Table of Contents

premium from a treaty which has a profit commission component. The decrease in 2008, compared with 2007, was primarily due to the loss of certain companies, which reflects increases in reported loss and LAE for transactions subject to profit commission.

For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, acquisition costs incurred were \$46.6 million, \$47.5 million and \$47.5 million, respectively. Acquisition costs incurred over the periods are directly related to the changes in net earned premiums from negotiated ceding commission rates for transactions executed in recent years.

Operating expenses for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, were \$19.7 million, \$17.5 million and \$17.5 million, respectively. The Company implemented a new operating expense allocation methodology to more closely allocate expenses to the business units. This methodology was based on a comprehensive study which was based on departmental time estimates and headcount. The increase in operating expenses for 2008 was attributable to increased staff additions and merit increases as well as the increase in the amortization of retention awards and other performance retention programs each year and the related amortization as well as the accretion of employee benefits as required by FAS 123R.

Mortgage Guaranty Segment

Mortgage guaranty insurance provides protection to mortgage lending institutions against the default of a borrower. The mortgage guaranty insurer, in advance, had a loan-to-value ratio in excess of a specified ratio. We primarily function as a reinsurer in this segment, which is reinsured by primary mortgage insurers.

The table below summarizes the financial results of our mortgage guaranty segment for the periods presented.

	Year Ended 2008
Gross written premiums	\$ 0.7
Net written premiums	0.7
Net earned premiums	5.7
Loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)	2.0
Profit commission expense	0.4
Acquisition costs	0.5
Operating expenses	2.2
Underwriting gain	\$ 0.6
Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio	35.1%
Expense ratio	53.9%
Combined ratio	89.0%

Gross written premiums for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were \$0.7 million, \$0.7 million and \$0.7 million, respectively. The decrease in gross written premiums for 2007 compared with 2006 was primarily related to the run-off of our quota share arrangement in the latter part of 2007. The decrease in gross written premiums for 2007 compared with 2006 was primarily related to the decrease in gross written premiums as commutations executed in the latter part of 2006.

Table of Contents

Net written premiums for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were \$0.7 million, \$2.7 million and \$2.7 million, respectively, compared with gross written premiums, as we do not cede a significant amount of our mortgage guaranty business.

For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, net earned premiums were \$5.7 million, \$17.1 million and \$17.1 million, respectively. Net earned premiums for both periods reflects the run-off of our quota share treaty business as well as commutation.

Loss and loss adjustment expenses were \$2.0 million, \$0.6 million and \$(4.4) million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Loss and loss adjustment expense ratios for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were 35.1%, 35.1% and 35.1%, respectively. The 2008 loss and loss adjustment expense included \$2.3 million of LAE related to one transaction in arbitration (refer to Note 16 "Commitments and Contingencies" of this Form 10-K for further discussion). The loss and loss adjustment expense for 2007 was due to the annual updating of rating agency default statistics used in its portfolio reserving process. The 2006 result was due to the release of IBNR reserves related to the settlement of the 1997 quota share treaty year. This release however, was offset by the release of reserves discussed below.

Profit commission expense for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$0.4 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. Profit commission expense for 2008 compared with 2007 is primarily due to the run-off of mortgage guaranty business and the profit commission component. The 2007 profit commission expense is mainly related to the commutation of the 1997 quota share treaty. The 2006 year included \$4.1 million of profit commission expense due to the settlement of the 1997 quota share treaty. The profit commission component of these profit commission calculations.

Acquisition costs incurred for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were \$0.5 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. Acquisition costs incurred are directly related to the changes in net earned premiums.

Operating expenses for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were \$2.2 million, \$2.0 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. The Company implemented a new operating expense allocation methodology to more closely allocate expenses. The methodology was based on a comprehensive study which was based on departmental time estimates and headcount. The increase in operating expenses is attributable to increased salaries due to increased staff additions and merit increases as well as the increase in stock awards, due to new stock awards and other performance retention programs each year and the related amount of expense for retirement eligible employees as required by FAS 123R.

Other Segment

The other segment represents lines of businesses that we exited or sold as part of our IPO.

The other segment had no earned premiums during 2008, 2007 or 2006. However, due to loss recoveries of \$1.9 million, \$1.3 million and \$13.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Recoveries of \$0.4 million, \$1.3 million and \$13.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our liquidity, both on a short-term basis (for the next twelve months) and a long-term basis (beyond the ability of our operating subsidiaries to pay dividends or make other payments to us, (2) external financings), liquidity requirements include the payment of our operating expenses, interest on our debt, and dividends on our periodic capital investments in our operating subsidiaries. In the ordinary course of our business, we evaluate our holding company expenses, debt-related expenses and our dividend policy, as well as rating agency considerations, to receive from our subsidiaries and the income we expect to receive from our invested assets, management's needs over the next twelve months, including the ability to pay dividends on our common shares. Total cash available was \$16.0 million, or \$0.18 per common share, \$11.0 million, or \$0.16 per common share, and \$10.5 million over the next twelve months, the ability of our operating subsidiaries to declare and pay dividends may be influenced by and rating agencies regulations and general economic conditions. Consequently, although management believes in our debt service and other obligations over the long term, it remains possible that we may be required to seek external financing to pay operating expenses, debt service obligations or pay dividends on our common shares. These external sources, if available, the costs of such financing may be higher than our current levels.

We anticipate that a major source of our liquidity, for the next twelve months and for the longer term, will be the payment of dividends. Certain of our operating subsidiaries are subject to restrictions on their ability to pay dividends. We expect to pay dividends in 2009 with notice to, but without the prior approval of, the Maryland Insurance Commissioner. Our company to a Bermuda holding company presently are subject to a 30% withholding tax. The amount available for distribution contributed surplus in 2009 in compliance with Bermuda law is \$1,125.0 million. However, any distribution of this total statutory capital, as set out in its previous year's financial statements, would require the prior approval of the Bermuda Commissioner.

Liquidity at our operating subsidiaries is used to pay operating expenses, claims, payment obligations, interest on debt postings, reinsurance premiums and dividends to AGUS for debt service and dividends to us, as well as, to pay dividends to our subsidiaries. In addition, certain of our operating companies may be required to post additional collateral in connection with certain transactions. Management believes that these subsidiaries' operating needs generally can be met from operating income and investment income from their respective investment portfolios.

Net cash flows provided by operating activities were \$427.0 million, \$385.9 million and \$261.6 million for the years ended 2006, respectively. The increase in cash flows provided by operating activities in 2008, compared with 2007, was primarily received in our financial guaranty direct segment due to growth in our U.S. public finance business.

The increase in cash flows provided by operating activities in 2007 was due to significant amount of cash provided by our direct and financial guaranty reinsurance segments, partially offset by payments for income taxes.

Table of Contents

2006 operating cash flows were primarily due to upfront premium received in both our financial guaranty and surety business, which was partially offset by losses during 2006 and \$13.5 million of loss recoveries from third party litigation settlements from business, which were recorded in 2007.

Net cash flows used in investing activities were \$(649.6) million, \$(664.4) million and \$(228.5) million for 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. These investing activities were primarily net purchases of fixed maturity investment securities. The increase in 2008 was due to purchases of fixed maturity securities with the cash generated from positive cash flows from operations and offerings in April 2008 and December 2007. The increase in 2007 was due to purchases of fixed maturity securities and a public offering, as discussed below.

Net cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities were \$229.3 million, \$281.4 million and \$(30.0) million for 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

On December 21, 2007, the Company completed the sale of 12,483,960 of its common shares at a price of approximately \$303.8 million. The Company has contributed the net proceeds of the offering to its reinsurer to provide capital support in the form of a reinsurance portfolio transaction with Ambac Assurance Corp. for the purpose of support the growth of AGC, the Company's direct financial guaranty subsidiary, by providing reinsurance.

On April 8, 2008, investment funds managed by WL Ross & Co. LLC ("WL Ross") purchased 10,650,000 of the Company's common shares at \$23.47 per share, resulting in proceeds to the Company of \$250.0 million. The Company contributed \$150.0 million of these proceeds to its subsidiary, Assured Guaranty U.S.A. In addition, the Company contributed \$100.0 million of these proceeds to its subsidiary, AGC. The commitment to purchase these shares was previously announced on February 2, 2008. On April 8, 2009 to purchase up to \$750.0 million of the Company's common equity, at the Company's option under an investment agreement with the Company dated February 28, 2008. In accordance with the investment agreement, the Company may drawdowns, subject to a minimum drawdown of \$50 million, provided that the purchase price per common share is less than \$19.37, the price per common share for the initial shares. The purchase price per common share for the initial shares was the average price of a common share on the NYSE for the 15 NYSE trading days prior to the applicable drawdown. As of the date of this filing, the purchase price per common share is outside of this range and therefore the Company may not purchase additional shares.

During 2008 we paid \$16.0 million in dividends, \$3.6 million, net, under our option and incentive plan. The Company also issued common shares with the December 2007 equity offering and issuance of common shares to WL Ross.

In addition, during 2007 we paid \$11.0 million in dividends, \$9.3 million for share repurchases, \$2.0 million for debt issue costs and \$0.4 million in debt issue costs related to \$150.0 million of Series A Enhanced Junior Subordinated Debentures.

On May 4, 2006, the Company's Board of Directors approved a share repurchase program for 1.0 million shares at the discretion of management's discretion depending on market conditions. In August 2007 the Company completed this share repurchase program.

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

2007 and 2006, we paid \$3.7 million and \$21.1 million to repurchase 0.2 million shares and 0.8 million shares.

On November 8, 2007, the Company's Board of Directors approved a new share repurchase program that will take place at management's discretion depending on market conditions. During 2007 we paid \$5.6 million for share repurchases.

During 2006 we paid \$21.1 million for share repurchases, \$10.5 million in dividends and \$2.1 million for the IPO, and related interest to subsidiaries of ACE.

In December 2006, Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc. ("AGUS"), a subsidiary of the Company, issued \$554.3 million of Senior Notes and \$226.5 million of Series A Enhanced Junior Subordinated Debentures (the "Debentures") due in 2066. The Debentures pay a fixed 6.40% rate of interest until December 31, 2066, and thereafter LIBOR plus a margin of 2.38% with quarterly resets thereafter. Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc. used the proceeds from the Senior Notes and Debentures to repurchase common shares from ACE Bermuda.

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2008:

	Total	As of Less Than 1 Year
Senior Notes(1)	\$554.3	\$ 14.0
Series A Enhanced Junior Subordinated Debentures(1)	226.5	9.6
Operating lease obligations(2)	31.9	7.1
Reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses(3)	156.9	224.0
Total(4)	\$969.6	\$ 254.7

(1) Principal and interest. See also Note 18 "Long-Term Debt" to the consolidated financial statements.

(2) Lease payments are subject to escalations in building operating costs and real estate taxes.

(3) We have estimated the timing of these payments based on our historical experience and our expectations. These payments may vary significantly from the amounts shown above, especially for our portfolio of operating lease obligations. We have reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses of \$48.7 million and mortgage reserves of \$1.5 million. These amounts are not discounted.

(4) Totals may not add due to rounding.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In June 2008, the Company's subsidiary, Assured Guaranty Corp., entered into a new five-year lease agreement with annual payments of \$5.3 million for the first twelve month period and \$5.7 million for subsequent twelve month periods, plus escalation in building operating costs and real estate taxes.

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company did not have any significant off-balance-sheet arrangements that would affect its consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Credit Facilities

2006 Credit Facility

On November 6, 2006, Assured Guaranty Ltd. and certain of its subsidiaries entered into a \$300.0 million credit facility ("2006 credit facility") with a syndicate of banks, for which ABN AMRO Incorporated and Bank of America Securities Inc. are the sole arrangers, and AGC, Assured Guaranty (UK) Ltd. ("AG (UK)"), AG Re, AGRO and Assured Guaranty Ltd. are the borrowers or to request that letters of credit be issued for the account of such borrower.

Of the \$300.0 million available to be borrowed, no more than \$100.0 million may be borrowed by Assured Guaranty Ltd. in aggregate, and no more than \$20.0 million may be borrowed by AG (UK). The stated amount of all outstanding letters of credit in respect of all letters of credit cannot, in the aggregate, exceed \$100.0 million.

The 2006 credit facility also provides that Assured Guaranty Ltd. may request that the commitment under the facility be increased to a maximum aggregate amount of \$400.0 million. Any such incremental commitment increase is subject to a minimum increase of at least \$25.0 million.

The proceeds of the loans and letters of credit are to be used for the working capital and other general corporate purposes and reinsurance transactions.

At the closing of the 2006 credit facility, (i) AGC guaranteed the obligations of AG (UK) under such facility and (ii) AG Re and AGRO under such facility and agreed that, if the Company Consolidated Assets (as defined in the 2006 credit facility) were to fall below \$1.2 billion, it would, within 15 days, guarantee the obligations of AGC and AG (UK) under such facility and (iii) Assured Guaranty Holdings Inc. guaranteed the obligations of Assured Guaranty Ltd., AG Re and AGRO under such facility and (iv) Assured Guaranty Ltd. well as Assured Guaranty Ltd.

The 2006 credit facility's financial covenants require that Assured Guaranty Ltd. (a) maintain a minimum Consolidated Net Worth of Assured Guaranty Ltd. as of the most recent fiscal quarter of Assured Guaranty Ltd. of at least \$1.2 billion, (b) maintain a maximum debt-to-capital ratio of 30%. In addition, the 2006 credit facility requires that AGC maintain a maximum debt-to-capital ratio of 30% as of the fiscal quarter prior to November 6, 2006. Furthermore, the 2006 credit facility contains restrictions on distributions, among other things, in respect of their ability to incur debt, permit liens, become liable in respect of guaranties, distributions, dissolve or become party to a merger, consolidation or acquisition, dispose of assets or enter into certain transactions subject to certain minimum thresholds and exceptions. The 2006 credit facility has customary events of default (including grace periods) payment default, failure to comply with covenants, material inaccuracy of representation or control and cross-default to other debt agreements. A default by one borrower will give rise to a right of acceleration of all then outstanding. As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, Assured Guaranty was in compliance with all of the covenants of the 2006 credit facility.

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, no amounts were outstanding under this facility nor have there been any defaults under the 2006 credit facility.

Table of Contents

The 2006 credit facility replaced a \$300.0 million three-year credit facility. Letters of credit totaling a December 31, 2008 related to the Real Estate Lease agreement discussed above. No letters of credit were o

Non-Recourse Credit Facilities

AG Re Credit Facility

On July 31, 2007 AG Re entered into a non-recourse credit facility ("AG Re Credit Facility") with a s satisfy certain reinsurance agreements and obligations. The AG Re Credit Facility expires in July 2014.

The AG Re Credit Facility does not contain any financial covenants. The AG Re Credit Facility has c materiality thresholds and grace periods) payment default, failure to comply with covenants, material inacc insolvency proceedings, change of control and cross-default to other debt agreements. If any such event of potential outstanding borrowings in an accelerated manner.

AG Re's obligations to make payments of principal and interest on loans under the AG Re Credit Faci limited recourse obligations of AG Re and are payable solely from the collateral securing the AG Re Credi obligations in a designated portfolio, premiums with respect to defaulted insured obligations in that portfol

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, no amounts were outstanding under this facility nor have there b

Series A Enhanced Junior Subordinated Debentures

On December 20, 2006, AGUS issued \$150.0 million of Series A Enhanced Junior Subordinated Deb \$149.7 million. The Debentures are guaranteed on a junior subordinated basis by Assured Guaranty Ltd. T 5,692,599 of Assured Guaranty Ltd.'s common shares from ACE Bermuda Insurance Ltd., a subsidiary of December 15, 2016, and thereafter pay a floating rate of interest, reset quarterly, at a rate equal to 3 month one or more times to defer payment of interest for one or more consecutive periods for up to ten years. Any AGUS may not defer interest past the maturity date.

On any date on which accrued interest through the most recent interest payment date has not been pai otherwise, AGUS and Assured Guaranty Ltd. will not, and will not permit any subsidiary to, declare or pay payments of interest, principal or premium, or any guarantee payments on, or redeem, repurchase, purchas or Assured Guaranty Ltd.'s capital stock, debt securities that rank equal or junior to the Debentures or the s junior to the Debentures or the subordinated guarantees, other than pro rata payments on debt securities th guarantees with certain exceptions.

If AGUS has optionally deferred interest payments otherwise due on the Debentures, then following t a deferral period or (ii) a payment, during a deferral period, of current interest on the Debentures, AGUS a reasonable efforts to sell qualifying warrants and non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock. If such efforts

Table of Contents

commercial paper and (in limited cases) U.S. Treasury Securities (the "Eligible Assets"), (iii) entering into agreements.

Initially, all of the CCS Securities were issued to a special purpose pass-through trust (the "Pass-Through Trust"). In 2008 and the committed capital securities were distributed to the holders of the Pass-Through Trust's securities. These securities are consolidated in Assured Guaranty's financial statements.

Income distributions on the Pass-Through Trust Securities and CCS Securities were equal to an annual rate for periods ending on or prior to April 8, 2008. Following dissolution of the Pass-Through Trust, distributions will be determined through an auction process. On April 7, 2008 this auction process failed, thereby increasing the annualized rate on the AGC Preferred Stock. Distributions on the AGC Preferred Stock will be determined pursuant to the same process or, if the Company elects, a fixed rate equal to One-Month LIBOR plus 250 basis points (based on the then current 30-year swap rate).

Put Agreement

Pursuant to the Put Agreement, AGC will pay a monthly put premium to each Custodial Trust except where the AGC Preferred Stock has been put to a Custodial Trust is held by that Custodial Trust or (2) upon termination of the Put Agreement. The put premium is the distribution rate on the CCS Securities for the respective distribution period less the excess of (i) the Custodial Trust's distribution period (including any fees and expenses of the Pass-Through Trust) (expressed as an annual rate) and (ii) the distribution period (expressed as an annual rate), (B) the aggregate face amount of the CCS Securities of that Custodial Trust as of the end of the distribution period calculated, and (C) a fraction, the numerator of which will be the actual number of days in such distribution period and the denominator of which will be the number of days in the distribution period. In addition, and as a condition to exercising the put option under a Put Agreement, AGC is required to enter into a Put Agreement with the respective Custodial Trust pursuant to which AGC agrees it will pay the fees and expenses of the Custodial Trust (including the Pass-Through Trust) during the period when such Custodial Trust holds AGC Preferred Stock.

Upon exercise of the put option granted to AGC pursuant to the Put Agreement, a Custodial Trust will hold the AGC Preferred Stock and will hold the AGC Preferred Stock until the earlier of (i) the redemption of such AGC Preferred Stock by AGC or (ii) the termination of the Custodial Trust.

Each Put Agreement has no scheduled termination date or maturity, however, it will terminate if (1) AGC fails to pay the put premium under the Put Agreement, and such failure continues for five business days, (2) AGC elects to have the AGC Preferred Stock redeemed (a "Redemption Event"), (3) AGC fails to pay (i) dividends on the AGC Preferred Stock, or (ii) the fees and expenses of the Custodial Trust, and such failure continues for five business days, (4) AGC fails to pay the redemption price of the AGC Preferred Stock, (5) the face amount of a Custodial Trust's CCS Securities is less than \$20,000,000, (6) AGC elects to terminate the Custodial Trust is entered. If, as a result of AGC's failure to pay the put premium, the Custodial Trust is liquidated, the proceeds which will be distributed to the holders of the Pass-Through Trust Securities. The termination payment will be distributed to the holders of the AGC Preferred Stock invested in Eligible Assets.

Table of Contents

calculated from the date of the failure to pay the put premium through the end of the applicable period.

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, the put option had not been exercised.

AGC Preferred Stock

AGC Preferred Stock under the Put Agreement will be issued in one or more series, with each series having an aggregate face amount of a Custodial Trust's outstanding CCS Securities, net of fees and expenses, upon exercise. The AGC Preferred Stock will be perpetual.

For each distribution period, holders of the outstanding AGC Preferred Stock of any series, in preference to the common shares ranking junior to the AGC Preferred Stock, will be entitled to receive out of any funds legally available to AGC, as determined by the Directors of AGC or a duly authorized committee thereof, cash dividends at a rate per share equal to the dividend rate on the CCS Securities for the respective distribution period. Prior to a Fixed Rate Distribution Event, the dividend rate on the AGC Preferred Stock will be equal to the dividend rate on the CCS Securities. The Custodial Trust's expenses (including any expenses of the Pass-Through Trust) for the period will be reimbursed pursuant to the Trust Expense Reimbursement Agreement.

Upon a Fixed Rate Distribution Event, the distribution rate on the AGC Preferred Stock will equal the dividend rate on the CCS Securities. A "Fixed Rate Distribution Event" will be deemed to have occurred when AGC Preferred Stock is outstanding and (1) AGC fails to pay dividends at a fixed rate, (2) AGC fails to pay dividends on the AGC Preferred Stock for the related distribution period, or (3) AGC fails to pay the fees and expenses of the Custodial Trust for the related distribution period pursuant to the Trust Expense Reimbursement Agreement and such failure continues for five business days.

During the period in which AGC Preferred Stock is held by a Custodial Trust and unless a Fixed Rate Distribution Event occurs, dividends will be paid every 49 days. Following a Fixed Rate Distribution Event, dividends will be paid every 90 days.

Following exercise of the put option during any Flexed Rate Period, AGC may redeem the AGC Preferred Stock on any distribution payment date by paying a redemption price to such Custodial Trust in an amount equal to the liquidation preference amount of the AGC Preferred Stock (plus any accrued but unpaid dividends on the AGC Preferred Stock for the then current distribution period). If AGC redeems the AGC Preferred Stock, the Custodial Trust will reinvest the redemption proceeds in Eligible Assets and, in accordance with the Trust Expense Reimbursement Agreement, will reimburse the Custodial Trust. If the AGC Preferred Stock was distributed to holders of CCS Securities during any distribution period, the AGC Preferred Stock will be distributed to the holders of the AGC Preferred Stock until the end of such period.

Following exercise of the put option AGC Preferred Stock held by a Custodial Trust in whole or in part, AGC may redeem the AGC Preferred Stock held by such Custodial Trust in an amount equal to the liquidation preference amount of the AGC Preferred Stock (plus any accrued but unpaid dividends on such AGC Preferred Stock for the then current distribution period). If AGC partially redeems the AGC Preferred Stock, the proceeds will be distributed pro rata to the holders of the CCS Securities (and a corresponding reduction in the amount of the AGC Preferred Stock held by such Custodial Trust). If AGC must redeem all of the AGC Preferred Stock if after giving effect to a partial redemption, the aggregate amount of the AGC Preferred Stock held by such Custodial Trust immediately following such

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of our available-for-sale fixed maturity securities as of December 31, 2008 are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to prepay principal with the payment of interest. There are no prepayment penalties.

See Note 9 "Investments" to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 of this Form 10-K for more information about our investment securities as of December 31, 2008 and 2007.

(\$ in millions)	2008	
	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
Due within one year	\$ 29.0	\$ 29.0
Due after one year through five years	357.1	357.1
Due after five years through ten years	564.7	564.7
Due after ten years	1,117.0	1,117.0
Mortgage-backed securities	1,081.9	1,081.9
Preferred stock	12.6	12.6
Total(1)	\$3,162.3	\$ 3,162.3

(1)

Total may not add due to rounding.

Fair value of the fixed maturity securities is based upon market prices provided by either independent valuation firms or reference to broker or underwriter bid indications. Our investment portfolio does not include any non-publicly traded securities. For a more detailed description of our investment valuation process, see "Critical Accounting Estimates."

We review our investment portfolio for possible impairment losses. For additional information, see "Critical Accounting Estimates."

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the ratings distributions of our investment portfolio as of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, by the Moody's and S&P classifications.

AAA or equivalent
AA
A
BBB
Below investment grade(1)

Total

(1) Represents \$1.0 million, or less than 0.1%, of the investment portfolio at December 31, 2008.

As of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, our investment portfolio contained three securities. The change in the rating distributions reflected above is mainly the result of downgrades of certain financial guarantees. As of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the weighted average credit quality of our entire investment portfolio was BBB.

As of December 31, 2008, \$641.1 million of the Company's \$3,154.1 million of fixed maturity securities are guaranteed by third-party guarantors. The following table presents the credit rating of these \$641.1 million of securities without the third-party guaranty:

Rating
AAA
AA
A
BBB
Not Available

Total(1)

(1) Excludes \$4.6 million of fixed maturity securities wrapped by Assured Guaranty.

As of December 31, 2008, the distribution by third-party guarantor of the \$641.1 million is presented in the following table:

Guarantor
MBIA
Ambac
FSA
FGIC

Total(1)

(1) Excludes \$4.6 million of fixed maturity securities wrapped by Assured Guaranty.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2008 and to date, the Company has had no investments in or asset positions with

Short-term investments include securities with maturity dates equal to or less than one year from the date of purchase. These investments include money market funds, discounted notes and certain time deposits for foreign cash portfolios. Short-term investments are valued at their fair value. The value of these securities due to the short maturity of these investments.

Under agreements with our cedants and in accordance with statutory requirements, we maintain fixed premium receivables with reinsured companies and for the protection of policyholders, generally in states where we or our subsidiaries are licensed. The value of such restricted balances as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$1,233.4 million and \$936.0 million, respectively.

Under certain derivative contracts, the Company is required to post eligible securities as collateral. The amount of collateral needed to post collateral under these transactions is generally based on mark-to-market valuation in excess of the fair value of the Company's pledged securities totaled \$157.7 million and \$0.4 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Credit Risk

The recent credit crisis and related turmoil in the global financial system has had and may continue to have a significant impact on the global financial system. On September 15, 2008, Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in the Southern District of New York. As of December 31, 2008, we had CDS contracts outstanding with Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., with future installment payments totaling \$44.7 million (\$38.5 million present value). We are currently reviewing our rights under the CDS contracts.

As of December 31, 2008, the present value of future installments ("PVI") of our CDS contracts with Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. was approximately \$495.1 million. The largest counterparties are:

Counterparty	
Deutsche Bank AG	\$
RBS/ABN AMRO	
Barclays Capital	
Lehman Brothers International	
Others(1)	
Total	\$

(1) Each counterparty within the "Other" category represents less than 5% of the total PVI.

Market Risk

Market risk represents the potential for losses that may result from changes in the value of a financial instrument. The primary market risks that impact the value of our financial instruments are interest rate risk, basis risk, such as foreign exchange risk, and credit spread risk. Each of these risks and the specific types of financial instruments impacted are described in the following table.

Table of Contents

are responsible for monitoring risk limits and applying risk measurement methodologies. The estimation of conditions is a key element in managing market risk. We use various systems, models and stress test scenarios include estimates made by management that use current and historic market information. The valuation results that actually are realized in the market. See " Critical Accounting Estimates Valuation of Investments."

Financial instruments that may be adversely affected by changes in interest rates consist primarily of investment portfolio is generation of an optimal level of after-tax investment income while preserving capital are based on many factors, including our tax position, fluctuation in interest rates, regulatory and rating agencies we have retained BlackRock Financial Management, Inc. to manage our investment portfolio. These investments portfolio in accordance with investment guidelines approved by our Board of Directors.

Financial instruments that may be adversely affected by changes in credit spreads consist primarily of We enter into credit derivative contracts which require us to make payments upon the occurrence of certain (generally a fixed income obligation). The Company's credit derivative exposures are substantially similar credit protection against payment default, and are generally not subject to collateral calls due to changes in derivative transactions such that the circumstances giving rise to our obligation to make loss payments is so only occurs as losses are realized on the underlying reference obligation. Nonetheless, credit derivative transactions Derivatives Association, Inc. ("ISDA") documentation and operate differently from financial guaranty insurance a reference obligation under a credit derivative may be more limited than when we issue a financial guaranty exposure under credit derivatives, like our exposure under financial guaranty policies, has been generally favorable unlike financial guaranty insurance policies, a credit derivative may be terminated for a breach of the ISDA derivative transactions, one such specified event is the failure of AGC to maintain specified financial strength

If a credit derivative is terminated we could be required to make a mark-to-market payment as determined rating were downgraded to A+, under market conditions at December 31, 2008, if the counterparties exercised would have been required make payments that the Company estimates to be approximately \$261 million. If "BBB+" and "BB+" it would have been required to make additional payments that the Company estimates

Under a limited number of credit derivative contracts, the Company is required to post eligible securities securities. The need to post collateral under these transactions is generally based on mark-to-market valuation thresholds decline if the Company ratings decline. As of December 31, 2008 the Company had pre-IPO transactions collateral posting due to changes in market value. Of this amount, as of December 31, 2008, the Company (including \$134.2 million for AGC) based on the unrealized mark-to-market loss position for transactions posted as collateral in the future will depend on changes in the market values of these transactions. Additional contractual

Table of Contents

thresholds would be eliminated and the amount of par that could be subject to collateral posting requirements as of December 31, 2008, such a downgrade would have resulted in AGC posting an additional \$88.7 million of collateral required or anticipated for any other transactions.

Unrealized gains and losses on credit derivatives are a function of changes in the estimated fair value of the underlying obligations change, the fair value of the related credit derivative changes. Market liquidity conditions can be such, Assured Guaranty experiences mark-to-market gains or losses. We consider the impact of our own credit spread we assume through CDS contracts, in determining the fair value of our credit derivatives. We determine our own credit spread of the Company at each balance sheet date. The quoted price of CDS contracts traded on AGC at December 31, 2008, was 180 basis points, respectively. The price of CDS traded on the Company generally moves directionally the same as the price of CDS traded on the Company has an effect of offsetting unrealized losses that result from widening general market credit spreads. If credit spreads widen, the value of our CDS decreases. Conversely, as our own credit spread narrows, the value of our credit derivatives generally results in an unrealized gain on credit derivatives for us and a widening of spreads generally results in an unrealized loss on credit derivatives for us.

The impact of changes in credit spreads will vary based upon the volume, tenor, interest rates, and other factors that are determined. In addition, since each transaction has unique collateral and structure terms, the underlying credit risk is also determined. The fair value of credit derivatives also reflects the change in our own credit cost based on the price to purchase new debt. As of December 31, 2008, we incurred net pre-tax unrealized gains on credit derivative contracts of \$38.0 million, which is associated with the change in AGC's credit spread, which widened substantially from 180 basis points at December 31, 2007 to 200 basis points at December 31, 2008. Management believes that the widening of AGC's credit spread is due to the correlation between AGC's credit spread and financial markets. Offsetting the gain attributable to the significant increase in AGC's credit spread were decreases in the value of our credit derivatives attributable to widening spreads in certain markets as a result of the continued deterioration in credit markets due to delinquencies or defaults on securities guaranteed by the Company. The higher credit spreads in the fixed income markets, particularly in the high yield collateralized debt obligation and collateralized loan obligation markets as well as continued widening of subprime residential mortgage backed securities.

The total notional amount of credit derivative exposure outstanding as of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively, was \$75.1 billion and \$71.6 billion, respectively.

We generally hold these credit derivative contracts to maturity. The unrealized gains and losses on credit derivatives are reported at their maturity date, unless there is a payment default on the exposure.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the estimated change in fair values on the net balance of Assured Guaranty's net assets due to parallel shifts in credit spreads at December 31, 2008:

(Dollars in millions)

Credit Spreads(1)	Estimated Net Fair Value (Pre-Tax)
December 31, 2008:	
100% widening in spreads	\$ (1,538.3)
50% widening in spreads	(1,044.0)
25% widening in spreads	(793.5)
10% widening in spreads	(642.2)
Base Scenario	(539.2)
10% narrowing in spreads	(454.4)
25% narrowing in spreads	(326.7)
50% narrowing in spreads	(118.4)

(1)

Includes the effects of spreads on both the underlying asset classes and the CDOs.

See Item 7A, "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" for more information.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued FAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurement" ("FAS 157"). FAS 157 defines fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. FAS 157 applies to other accounting pronouncements since the FASB had previously concluded in those accounting pronouncements that fair value is the relevant measurement for fair value measurements. FAS 157 is effective for the measurement of financial assets and liabilities in financial statements for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. FASB Staff Position ("FSP") No. 157-2 clarifies the application of FAS 157 to certain assets and liabilities for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. We adopted FAS 157 for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We adopted FAS 157 for non-financial assets and liabilities effective January 1, 2009. FAS 157 did not have a material effect on our financial position.

In February 2007, the FASB issued FAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (Including Derivatives) and Investments in Equity Instruments (Including Certain Securities) at Fair Value" ("FAS 159"). FAS 159 allows an entity to choose, at specified election dates, to measure many financial assets and financial liabilities (as well as certain equity instruments) at fair value (the "fair value option"). The election is made on an instrument-by-instrument basis. For each instrument, FAS 159 specifies that all subsequent changes in fair value for that instrument shall be reported in earnings. FAS 159 is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. We elected to apply the fair value option to any eligible items on our adoption date.

In April 2007, the FASB Staff issued FASB Staff Position No. FIN 39-1, "Amendment of FASB Interpretation No. 13, 'Offsetting of Assets and Liabilities', to Allow Certain Companies to Offset Cash Collateral Receivables or Payables with Net Derivative Positions under Certain Circumstances." FASB Staff Position No. FIN 39-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with early adoption permitted. FSP FIN 39-1 did not affect the Company's financial position.

Table of Contents

In December 2007, the FASB issued FAS No. 141 (revised), "Business Combinations" ("FAS 141R") the acquirer of a business recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired from the acquiree. FAS 141R also provides guidance for recognizing and measuring the goodwill acquired in the acquisition. The Company is required to disclose to enable users of the financial statement to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. FAS 141R is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim statements within those fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2008, prospectively to business combinations whose acquisition date is subsequent to the statement's adoption. The Company is currently in the process of accounting for its pending acquisition of FSAH. As of December 31, 2008, the Company had paid \$2.7 million for the acquisition of FSAH that the Company plans to expense in the first quarter 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued FAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements" ("FAS 160"). FAS 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary for the financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim statements within those fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2008. The impact, if any, FAS 160 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued FAS No. 161, "Disclosures About Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("FAS 161"). FAS 161 establishes the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and for hedging activities. FAS 161 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. Early application is encouraged. The Company will apply FAS 161 to its results of operations or financial position.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FAS 163. FAS 163 requires that an insurance enterprise recognize a credit deterioration loss when there is evidence that credit deterioration has occurred in an insured financial obligation. FAS 163 also clarifies the accounting for premium revenue recognition and claim liability measurement, as well as requiring expanded disclosures about the insurance enterprise's activities. The provisions of FAS 163 related to premium revenue recognition and claim liability measurement are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and all interim periods within those fiscal years. Earlier application of FAS 163 is permitted. The management activity disclosure provisions of FAS 163 were effective for the third quarter of 2008 and are being applied to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 of this Form 10-K. FAS 163 will be applied to the Company's financial statements written by the Company. The cumulative effect of initially applying FAS 163 will be recorded as an adjustment to the beginning balance of retained earnings. The effect of FAS 163 is expected to have a material effect on the Company's financial statements. The Company is in the process of applying FAS 163. The Company will continue to follow its existing accounting policies in regards to premium revenue recognition until it completes its first quarter 2009 financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP EITF 03-6-1, "Participating Securities and the Two-Class Method" ("FSP EITF 03-6-1"). FSP EITF 03-6-1 addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to the vesting date. FSP EITF 03-6-1 requires the allocation in calculating earnings per share ("EPS") under the two-class method described in FAS No. 128 to be based on the number of unvested share-based payment awards that have non-forfeitable rights to dividend or dividend equivalents. FSP EITF 03-6-1 is effective for the first quarter of 2009. The FSP is effective for the first quarter of 2009.

Table of Contents

fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008; earlier application is not permitted. This FSP also requires... The Company does not expect adoption of the FSP to have a material effect on its results of operations or...

In October 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. 157-3, "Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Instrument with a Net Asset or Liability" ("FSP 157-3"). FSP 157-3 clarified the application of FAS 157, "Fair Value Measurements", in a... issued. It did not have an impact on the Company's current results of operations or financial position.

The FASB adopted FSP FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-4, "Disclosures About Credit Derivatives and Certain Instruments and Hedging Activities" to address concerns that current derivative disclosure requirements... these instruments can have on the financial performance and operations of an entity. Companies will be required... activities to enable users to better understand: (1) how and why a company uses derivatives, (2) how it accounts... derivatives affect its financial statements. These should include the terms of the derivatives, collateral posted... that could be detrimental to earnings or liquidity. Disclosures specific to credit derivatives must be included... other derivative and hedging disclosures must be included in the Company's March 31, 2009 Form 10-Q. M... disclosures are in compliance with the items required by FSP 133-1 and FAS 161.

In December 2008, the FASB adopted FSP FAS 140-4 and FIN 46(R)-8, "Disclosures by Public Entities About Their Involvement in Variable Interest Entities" to require public entities to provide, among other things, additional... involvement with variable interest entities. FSP FAS 140-4 and FIN 46(R)-8 was effective when issues. It... operations or financial position.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Information concerning quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk appears in Part II, Item 7, "Condition and Results of Operations" under the headings " Critical Accounting Estimates Valuation of In...

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

**INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
ASSURED GUARANTY LTD.**

Management's Responsibility for Financial Statements and Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2008 and 2007	
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	151

Table of Contents

Management's Responsibility for Financial Statements and Internal Control

Financial Statements

The consolidated financial statements of Assured Guaranty Ltd. were prepared by management, who has determined that the financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Management has made informed estimates and judgments of management. Financial information elsewhere in this annual report is

The Board of Directors, operating through its Audit Committee, which is composed entirely of directors, provides oversight of the financial reporting process and safeguarding of assets against unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition and recommends the appointment of an independent registered public accounting firm and submits its recommendations to the Board of Directors.

The Audit Committee meets with management, the independent registered public accounting firm and the outside firm engaged to perform internal audit functions for the Company to review the work of the independent registered public accounting firm and the outside firm and management representatives present, to discuss the results of their audits; the adequacy of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and safeguarding of assets against unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition.

The consolidated financial statements have been audited by an independent registered public accounting firm. The independent registered public accounting firm has unrestricted access to all financial records and related data, including minutes of all meetings of the Board of Directors. Management believes that all representations made to our independent registered public accounting firm during their audit were true and accurate.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of Assured Guaranty Ltd. is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As of December 31, 2008, management has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting in "Internal Control - Integrated Framework," issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadwell Commission, and concluded that Assured Guaranty Ltd.'s internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2008.

The effectiveness of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, was audited by an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report included in this Item under the heading "Auditor's Report."

/s/ DOMINIC J. FREDERICO

/s/ ROBERT B. MILLS

Dominic J. Frederico
President and Chief Executive Officer

Robert B. Mills
Chief Financial Officer

152

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Account

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Assured Guaranty Ltd.:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of equity and of cash flows, present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Assured Guaranty Ltd. for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period covered by the consolidated financial statements, in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintains an effective system of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these internal controls over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, which is included in the Company's Financial Statements and Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 8. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was in place as of the end of the period of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements; assessing the risk of material misstatement of the financial statements by considering the internal control structure, including the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as required by generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are supported by valid and supporting documentation; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of the effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
New York, New York
February 25, 2009

Table of Contents**Assured Guaranty Ltd.****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(in thousands of U.S. dollars except per share and sha

Assets

Fixed maturity securities, at fair value (amortized cost: \$3,162,308 in 2008 and \$2,526,889 in 2007)

Short-term investments, at cost which approximates fair value

Total investments

Cash and cash equivalents

Accrued investment income

Deferred acquisition costs

Prepaid reinsurance premiums

Reinsurance recoverable on ceded losses

Premiums receivable

Goodwill

Credit derivative assets

Deferred income taxes

Current income taxes receivable

Salvage recoverable

Committed capital securities, at fair value

Other assets

Total assets**Liabilities and shareholders' equity****Liabilities**

Unearned premium reserves

Reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses

Profit commissions payable

Reinsurance balances payable

Current income taxes payable

Funds held by Company under reinsurance contracts

Credit derivative liabilities

Senior Notes

Series A Enhanced Junior Subordinated Debentures

Other liabilities

Total liabilities

Commitments and contingencies

Shareholders' equity

Common stock (\$0.01 par value, 500,000,000 shares authorized; 90,955,703 and 79,948,979 shares issued and outstanding in 2008 and 2007)

Additional paid-in capital

Retained earnings

Accumulated other comprehensive income

Total shareholders' equity

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive

(in thousands of U.S. dollars except per share amount)

	For the 2008
Revenues	
Gross written premiums	\$ 618,2
Ceded premiums	(13,7
Net written premiums	604,5
Increase in net unearned premium reserves	(343,1
Net earned premiums	261,3
Net investment income	162,5
Net realized investment losses	(69,8
Change in fair value of credit derivatives	
Realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives	117,5
Unrealized gains (losses) on credit derivatives	38,0
Net change in fair value of credit derivatives	155,6
Other income	43,4
Total revenues	553,1
Expenses	
Loss and loss adjustment expenses	265,7
Profit commission expense	1,3
Acquisition costs	61,2
Other operating expenses	83,4
Interest expense	23,2
Other expense	5,7
Total expenses	440,8
Income before provision (benefit) for income taxes	112,3
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	
Current	3
Deferred	43,1
Total provision (benefit) for income taxes	43,4
Net income (loss)	68,8
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of taxes	
Unrealized holding (losses) gains on fixed maturity securities arising during the year	(109,4
Reclassification adjustment for realized losses included in net income (loss)	62,6
Change in net unrealized (losses) gains on fixed maturity securities	(46,7
Change in cumulative translation adjustment	(6,6

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Change in cash flow hedge	(4
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of taxes	(53,7
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 15,1
Earnings (loss) per share:	
Basic	\$ 0.
Diluted	\$ 0.
Dividends per share	\$ 0.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity

For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

(in thousands of U.S. dollars)

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Unearned Stock Grant Compensation	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income
Balance, December 31, 2005	\$ 748	\$ 881,998	\$ (14,756)	\$ 747,691	\$ 159,734
Net income					159,734
Dividends (\$0.14 per share)					(10,478)
Common stock repurchases	(65)	(170,998)			
Shares cancelled to pay withholding taxes	(1)	(2,914)			
Stock options exercises	1	2,544			
Tax benefit for stock options exercised			170		
Shares issued under Employee Stock Purchase Plan		501			
Reclassification due to adoption of FAS 123R	(10)	(14,746)	14,756		
Share-based compensation and other	2	14,701			
Change in cash flow hedge, net of tax of \$(225)					
Change in cumulative translation adjustment					
Unrealized loss on fixed maturity securities, net of tax of \$(861)					
Balance, December 31, 2006	\$ 675	\$ 711,256	\$	\$ 896,947	\$ 2,629
Cumulative effect of FIN 48 adoption					2,629
Net loss					(303,272)
Dividends (\$0.16 per share)					(11,048)
Common stock issuance, net of offering costs	125	303,696			
Common stock repurchases	(4)	(9,345)			
Shares cancelled to pay withholding taxes	(2)	(4,086)			
Stock options exercises	1	1,501			
Tax benefit for stock options exercised			183		
Shares issued under Employee Stock Purchase Plan		627			
Share-based compensation and other	4	20,054			
Change in cash flow hedge, net of tax of \$(225)					
Change in cumulative translation adjustment					
Unrealized gain on fixed maturity securities, net of tax of \$402					
Balance, December 31, 2007	\$ 799	\$ 1,023,886	\$	\$ 585,256	\$ 68,883
Net income					68,883

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Dividends (\$0.18 per share)			(16,015)
Dividends on restricted stock units		69	(69)
Common stock issuance, net of offering costs	107	248,948	
Shares cancelled to pay withholding taxes	(2)	(4,449)	
Stock options exercises		342	
Tax benefit for stock options exercised		16	
Shares issued under Employee Stock Purchase Plan		425	
Share-based compensation and other	6	15,133	
Change in cash flow hedge, net of tax of \$(225)			
Change in cumulative translation adjustment			
Unrealized loss on fixed maturity securities, net of tax of \$(21,523)			
Balance, December 31, 2008	\$ 910	\$ 1,284,370	\$ 638,055

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated

Table of Contents**Assured Guaranty Ltd.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(in thousands of U.S. dollars)**

	For the 2008
Operating activities	
Net income (loss)	\$ 68.
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash flows provided by operating activities:	
Non-cash interest and operating expenses	16.
Net amortization of premium on fixed maturity securities	2.
Provision (benefit) for deferred income taxes	43.
Net realized investment losses	69.
Unrealized (gains) losses on credit derivatives	(38.)
Fair value gain on committed capital securities	(42.)
Change in deferred acquisition costs	(29.)
Change in accrued investment income	(6.)
Change in premiums receivable	12.
Change in prepaid reinsurance premiums	(5.)
Change in unearned premium reserves	346.
Change in reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses, net	16.
Change in profit commissions payable	(13.)
Change in funds held by Company under reinsurance contracts	5.
Change in current income taxes	(22.)
Tax benefit for stock options exercised	
Other changes in credit derivatives assets and liabilities, net	7.
Other	(3.)
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	426.
Investing activities	
Fixed maturity securities:	
Purchases	(1,272.)
Sales	532.
Maturities	11.
Sales (purchases) of short-term investments, net	78.
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(649.)
Financing activities	
Net proceeds from common stock issuance	248.
Repurchases of common stock	
Dividends paid	(16.)
Proceeds from employee stock purchase plan	
Share activity under option and incentive plans	(4.)
Tax benefit for stock options exercised	
Net proceeds from issuance of Series A Enhanced Junior Subordinated Debentures	
Debt issue costs	
Repayment of notes assumed during formation transactions	
Net cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	229.
Effect of exchange rate changes	(2.)

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	4.
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	8.

Cash and cash equivalents at end of year \$ 12.

Supplemental cash flow information

Cash paid during the year for:

Income taxes	\$ 18.
Interest	\$ 23.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

1. Business and Organization

On April 28, 2004, subsidiaries of ACE Limited ("ACE"), completed an initial public offering ("IPO") of \$0.01 per share, of Assured Guaranty Ltd. (the "Company"), formerly AGC Holdings Ltd. Assured Guaranty Ltd. is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "AGO". The IPO raised approximately \$840.1 million in net proceeds, all of which were used to repurchase 5,692,599 of the Company's common shares.

On December 20, 2006, Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc., a subsidiary of the Company, completed the offering of \$100 million of Subordinated Debentures and used the proceeds to repurchase 5,692,599 of the Company's common shares. Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc. now owns approximately 21% of the Company's outstanding common shares.

On December 21, 2007, the Company completed the sale of 12,483,960 of its common shares at a price of approximately \$303.8 million. The Company has contributed the net proceeds of the offering to its reinsurer, Assured Guaranty Re. Assured Guaranty Re has used the proceeds to provide capital support in the form of a reinsurance portfolio transaction with the Company. Assured Guaranty Re has par outstanding, as well as to support the growth of Assured Guaranty Corp. ("AGC"), the Company's direct reinsurer. Assured Guaranty AG Re is AGC's principal financial guaranty reinsurer.

Assured Guaranty Ltd. is a Bermuda based holding company which provides, through its operating subsidiaries, structured finance and mortgage markets. Credit enhancement products are financial guarantees or other types of insurance that protect the credit of underlying debt obligations. The Company issues policies in both financial guaranty and credit default swap markets. The Company's expertise, risk management skills and capital markets experience to develop insurance, reinsurance and derivatives products for its customers. Under a reinsurance agreement, the reinsurer, in consideration of a premium paid to it, agrees to assume all or part of the liability of the ceding company under one or more insurance policies that the ceding company has issued. The characteristics and value depend upon the characteristics and value of an underlying security. Assured Guaranty Ltd. provides financial guaranty to various financial institutions, serving the U.S. and international markets. Assured Guaranty Ltd.'s financial results are primarily derived from direct, financial guaranty reinsurance, mortgage guaranty and other. These segments are further discussed below.

Financial guaranty insurance provides an unconditional and irrevocable guaranty that protects the holder of the insured obligation and interest when due. Financial guaranty insurance may be issued to the holders of the insured obligations in the secondary market to holders of public bonds and structured securities. A loss event occurs upon expiration of a policy occurs when the insured obligation defaults. This requires the Company to pay the required principal amount of the contract. The principal types of obligations covered by the Company's financial guaranty direct and financial guaranty reinsurance are mortgage finance obligations and public finance obligations. Because both businesses involve similar risks, the Company

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

1. Business and Organization (Continued)

portfolio and financial guaranty assumed reinsurance portfolio on a unified process and procedure basis.

Mortgage guaranty insurance is a specialized class of credit insurance that provides protection to mortgage loans that, at the time of the advance, had a loan to value in excess of a specified ratio. Reinsurers increase the insurance capacity of the ceding company, to assist the ceding company in meeting applicable financial strength of the ceding company, and to manage the ceding company's risk profile. The Company operates on a unified process and procedure basis.

The Company has participated in several lines of business that are reflected in its historical financial statements since its 2004 initial public offering ("IPO"). The results from these lines of business make up the Company's Other

On April 8, 2008, investment funds managed by WL Ross & Co. LLC ("WL Ross") purchased 10,650,000 shares of the Company at \$23.47 per share, resulting in proceeds to the Company of \$250.0 million. The Company contributed \$150.0 million to its reinsurance subsidiary, AG Re. In addition, the Company contributed \$100.0 million of these proceeds to its investment subsidiary, AGC. The Company also contributed the same amount to its Maryland domiciled insurance subsidiary, AGC. The commitment expires on February 29, 2008. In addition, Wilbur L. Ross, Jr., President and Chief Executive Officer of WL Ross, will serve a term expiring at the Company's 2009 annual general meeting of shareholders. Mr. Ross's appointment was approved at the Company's 2008 annual general meeting of shareholders, which was held on May 8, 2008. WL Ross has a remaining commitment of \$750.0 million of the Company's common equity, at the Company's option, subject to the terms and conditions of the investment agreement, as amended, dated February 28, 2008. In accordance with the investment agreement, the Company may exercise this option in an amount of up to \$50 million, provided that the purchase price per common share for the subsequent shares is not greater than the volume weighted average price of a common share as rated triple-A (Stable) by Moody's, Standard & Poor's and Fitch to drawdown on the commitment. The purchase price per common share will be 97% of the volume weighted average price of a common share on the NYSE for the 15 NYSE trading days ending on December 31, 2008, and as of the date of this filing, the purchase price per common share is outside of this range. WL Ross may exercise its option for WL Ross to purchase additional shares.

On September 16, 2008, the Company agreed to waive the standstill provisions of the investment agreement ("WLR Funds") to purchase up to 5,000,000 additional common shares of the Company in open market transactions. Such purchases are in the sole discretion of WL Ross and they are not obligated to purchase any such shares. If such shares will be purchased from current shareholders and therefore will not result in an increase in shareholders' equity, then, if additional shares were purchased, the WLR

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

1. Business and Organization (Continued)

Funds would beneficially own 17,166,396 shares or approximately 18.9% of the Company's outstanding common stock as of December 31, 2008. As of the date of this filing, the Company has not been notified that WLR Funds purchased any of the Company's common stock.

The Company's subsidiaries have been assigned the following insurance financial strength ratings:

	Moody's
Assured Guaranty Corp.	Aa2(Excellent)
Assured Guaranty Re Ltd.	Aa3(Excellent)
Assured Guaranty Re Overseas Ltd.	Aa3(Excellent)
Assured Guaranty Mortgage Insurance Company	Aa3(Excellent)
Assured Guaranty (UK) Ltd.	Aa2(Excellent)

On November 21, 2008, Moody's downgraded the insurance financial strength ratings of AGC and its subsidiaries to Aa3(Excellent) from Aa2(Excellent). Moody's also downgraded the insurance financial strength ratings of AG Re and its affiliated insurance operating companies to Aa3(Excellent) from Aa2(Excellent). In addition, Moody's downgraded the senior unsecured rating of AGUS and the issuer rating of the ultimate holding company, Assured Guaranty Ltd., to Aa3(Excellent) from Aa2(Excellent). In connection with these downgrades, Moody's also announced that its ratings outlook for all of Assured's ratings was "stable." The Company's ratings were categorized as stable from Moody's, Standard & Poor's Rating Service, a division of McGraw-Hill Companies.

Acquisition of Financial Security Assurance Holdings Ltd.

On November 14, 2008, Assured Guaranty Ltd. announced that it had entered into a definitive agreement ("Dexia") to purchase Financial Security Assurance Holdings Ltd. ("FSAH") and, indirectly, all of its subsidiaries, including Financial Security Assurance, Inc. The definitive agreement provides that the Company will be indemnified for the acquisition, which includes its guaranteed investment contract business. Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, the Company will acquire 99.8524% of common stock of FSAH, representing as of the date thereof approximately 99.8524% of the issued and outstanding common stock of FSAH. Shares of FSAH are currently held by current or former directors of FSAH. Assured expects that it will acquire the remaining shares with the closing of the acquisition of shares of FSAH common stock from Dexia or shortly thereafter at the price then being paid, expected to occur in either the first or second quarter of 2009.

The purchase price is \$722 million (based upon the closing price of the Company's common shares on November 14, 2008) of \$361 million in cash and up to 44,567,901 of the Company's common shares. Under the Purchase Agreement, Assured will, in lieu of up to 22,283,951 of the Company's common shares that it would otherwise deliver as part of the purchase price, deliver to FSAH the right to purchase up to 22,283,951 of the Company's common shares.

The Company expects to finance the cash portion of the acquisition with the proceeds of a public equity offering of the Company's common stock and a commitment ("the WLR Backstop") from WLR Funds to purchase up to 22,283,951 of the Company's common shares.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

1. Business and Organization (Continued)

Commitment") from the WLR Funds, a related party, to fund the cash portion of the purchase price with the WLR Funds. The WLR Backstop Commitment entered into the WLR Backstop Commitment on November 13, 2008 with the WLR Funds. The WLR Backstop Commitment is a letter of credit issued between the Company and the WLR Funds and provided to the Company the option to cause the WLR Funds to purchase the Company's common shares equal to the quotient of (i) the aggregate amount of the WLR Funds' commitment to the Company divided by (ii) the volume weighted average price of the Company's common share on the NYSE trading day immediately preceding the date of the closing under the stock purchase agreement, with the WLR Funds to purchase the Company's common shares at the floor amount of \$6.00, WLR Funds will purchase the Company's common shares.

The WLR Funds have no obligation to purchase these common shares pursuant to the WLR Backstop Commitment unless the WLR Funds' obligation occurs. The Company may use the proceeds from the sale of the Company's common shares to purchase the Company's common shares under the stock purchase agreement. The WLR Funds' obligations under the WLR Backstop Commitment are secured by a letter of credit issued for the benefit of the Company by Bank of America, N.A. and RBS Citizens Bank, N.A., each of which is a subsidiary of Citigroup.

The Company has paid the WLR Funds a nonrefundable commitment fee of \$10,830,000 in connection with the WLR Backstop Commitment and has agreed to pay the WLR Funds' expenses in connection with the transactions contemplated by the WLR Backstop Commitment for the \$4.1 million cost of obtaining the letters of credit referred to above.

2. Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, which requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Actual results may differ from those estimates. (See Notes 4 and 11 for discussion of significant estimates of derivatives and liabilities.)

The volatility and disruption in the global financial markets have reached unprecedented levels. The adverse economic conditions, combined with volatile oil prices, depressed home prices and increasing foreclosures, falling confidence and the risks of increased inflation and unemployment, have precipitated an economic slowdown. These factors, combined with volatile oil prices, depressed home prices and increasing foreclosures, falling confidence and the risks of increased inflation and unemployment, have precipitated an economic slowdown that may adversely affect our profitability, financial position, investment portfolio, cash flow, statutory capital, financial ratios and other financial metrics. In addition, legislative, regulatory or judicial changes in the United States and other countries may have an adverse effect on our business.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

jurisdictions regulating our Company may adversely affect our ability to pursue our current mix of business.

All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Reclassifications

Certain prior year items have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Effective with the quarter ended March 31, 2008, the Company reclassified the revenues, expenses and gains or losses on CDS contracts that the Company's financial guaranty subsidiaries write in the form of credit default swap ("CDS") contracts to the Company's net income (loss) or shareholders' equity. This reclassification is being adopted by the Company in accordance with the recommendations of the International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. ("ISDA") and the International Association of Financial Guaranty Insurers in consultation with the staffs of the Office of the Chief Accountant and the Securities and Exchange Commission. The reclassification is being implemented in order to increase comparability of the Company's financial statements with other financial guaranty companies that have CDS contracts.

In general, the Company structures credit derivative transactions such that circumstances giving rise to losses on CDS contracts are subject to derivative accounting rules and financial guaranty policies are subject to insurance policies.

In the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, the Company reclassified "earned premiums" to "realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives." Loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries) have been reclassified to "realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives." Loss and loss adjustment expenses have been reclassified from "loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries) on credit derivatives," which previously included only unrealized mark to market gains or losses on the Company's CDS contracts. On the balance sheet, the Company reclassified all CDS-related balances previously included in "unearned premium reserves," "prepaid reinsurance premiums," "premiums receivable" and "reinsurance balances payable" to either "credits" or "debits" depending on the net position of the CDS contract at each balance sheet date.

Table of Contents**Assured Guaranty Ltd.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006****2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

The effects of these reclassifications on the Company's consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 and consolidated comprehensive income and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 are as follows (dollars in millions):

	As of As previous reported
ASSETS:	
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	\$ 17,000
Premiums receivable	57,000
Unrealized gains on derivative financial instruments(1)	17,000
Credit derivative assets	
Committed capital securities, at fair value(1)	
Total assets	3,800,000
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:	
Unearned premium reserves	\$ 908,000
Reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses	133,000
Reinsurance balances payable	4,000
Unrealized losses on derivative financial instruments	630,000
Credit derivative liabilities	
Total liabilities	2,133,000
Total shareholders' equity	1,666,000
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	3,800,000

(1)

A fair value gain of \$8.3 million related to Assured Guaranty Corp.'s committed "gains on derivative financial instruments" at December 31, 2007 has been reclassified to conform with the 2008 presentation.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

	Year Ended December 31, 2007	
	As previously reported	As reclassified
Gross written premiums	\$ 505,899	\$ 424,540
Ceded premiums	(19,615)	(16,570)
Net written premiums	486,284	407,970
Increase in net unearned premium reserves	(254,304)	(248,710)
Net earned premiums	231,980	159,260
Realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives		73,990
Unrealized (losses) gains on derivative financial instruments(1)	(658,535)	
Unrealized (losses) gains on credit derivatives		(670,403)
Other income(1)	485	8,800
Loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)	7,965	5,770
Acquisition costs	43,244	43,150
Net (loss) income	(303,272)	(303,272)

(1)

A fair value gain of \$8.3 million related to Assured Guaranty Corp.'s committed capital securities on derivative financial instruments" for the year ended December 31, 2007 has been reclassified to

	Year Ended December 31, 2007	
	As previously reported	As reclassified
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Change in unrealized losses (gains) on derivative financial instruments(1)	\$ 658,535	\$ (8,316)
Fair value gain on committed capital securities(1)		(8,316)
Unrealized losses (gains) on credit derivatives		670,403
Change in premiums receivable	(16,349)	(5,029)
Change in prepaid reinsurance premiums	(9,549)	(8,994)
Change in unearned premium reserves	263,853	257,705
Change in reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses, net	10,926	8,391
Other changes in credit derivative assets and liabilities, net		(6,744)
Net cash provided by operating activities	385,850	385,850

(1)

A fair value gain of \$8.3 million related to Assured Guaranty Corp.'s committed capital securities on derivative financial instruments" for the year ended December 31, 2007 has been reclassified to conform with the 2008 presentation.

These adjustments had no impact on net income (loss), comprehensive income (loss), earnings (loss)

Premium Revenue Recognition

Premiums are received either upfront or in installments. Upfront premiums are earned in proportion to the premium is earned ratably over its

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

installment period, generally one year or less. Premium earnings under both the upfront and installment methods are in proportion to the principal amount guaranteed and therefore result in higher premium earnings during periods in which the par value outstanding is declining during the insurance period, upfront premium earnings are recognized with the underlying risk. The premiums are allocated in accordance with the principal amortization over the amortization period. When an insured issue is retired early, is called by the issuer, or is in substantial default, including placing U.S. Government securities in escrow, the remaining unearned premium reserves are earned at the time of the premiums written that is applicable to the unexpired amount at risk of insured bonds.

In the Company's reinsurance businesses, the Company estimates the ultimate written and earned premium for each quarter and the end of each year because some of the Company's ceding companies report premium data on a quarterly period. Written premiums reported in the Company's statement of operations are based upon reports received from the ceding company's own estimates of premium for which ceding company reports have not yet been received. Differences between actual and estimated premium are recognized in the period in which the actual amounts are determined.

Investments

The Company accounts for its investments in fixed maturity securities in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards ("FAS") No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities," which requires the appropriate classification of securities at the time of purchase. As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, all investments in fixed maturity securities are available-for-sale and are carried at fair value with a corresponding adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income. The fair values of investments are calculated from independent market valuations. The fair values of the Company's U.S. Treasury securities are based on dealer quotes obtained from several independent active market makers. The fair values of the Company's non-U.S. securities are primarily using matrix pricing models. The matrix pricing models incorporate factors such as tranche type, maturity, and credit spreads, in order to calculate the fair values of specific securities owned by the Company.

The amortized cost of fixed maturity securities is adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts. That amortization or accretion is included in net investment income. For mortgage-backed securities, and other securities with prepayment assumptions are evaluated and revised as necessary. Any necessary adjustments required due to changes in prepayment assumptions are recognized in current income.

Realized gains and losses on sales of investments are determined using the specific identification method. Net realized gains, net of applicable deferred income taxes, are

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

included in accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity. The Company has a formal process for identifying securities for impairment, including a review for impairment losses. Factors considered when assessing impairment include:

a decline in the market value of a security by 20% or more below amortized cost for a

a decline in the market value of a security for a continuous period of 12 months;

recent credit downgrades of the applicable security or the issuer by rating agencies;

the financial condition of the applicable issuer;

whether scheduled interest payments are past due; and

whether the Company has the ability and intent to hold the security for a sufficient period

If the Company believes a decline in the value of a particular investment is temporary, the decline is recorded in "accumulated other comprehensive income" in shareholders' equity. If the Company believes the decline is other than temporary, the carrying value of the investment is reduced to its fair value and a realized loss is recorded in its consolidated statements of operations and recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment, the impaired security is accounted for as if it had been purchased at its fair value. Accordingly, the discount (or reduced premium) based on the new cost basis is accreted into net investment income over the life of expected future cash flows of the security, if the recoverable value of the investment based upon those cash flows is greater after the impairment.

The Company's assessment of a decline in value includes management's current assessment of the factors causing the decline, outside investment managers. If that assessment changes in the future, the Company may ultimately record a loss if the value was temporary.

As part of its other than temporary impairment review process, management considers the nature of the impairment (if any), the severity (both as a percentage of book value and absolute dollars) and duration of the impairment, and any other available evidence, such as discussions with investment advisors, volatility of the securities fair value, and management's assessment.

Short-term investments are recorded at cost, which approximates fair value. Short-term investments are those with a maturity of less than one year from date of purchase.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company classifies demand deposits as cash. Cash equivalents are short-term, highly liquid investments

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Deferred Acquisition Costs

Acquisition costs incurred, other than those associated with credit derivative products, that vary with the amount of premium are deferred and amortized in relation to earned premiums. These costs include direct and indirect expenses such as salaries of underwriting and marketing personnel. Management uses its judgment in determining what types of costs should be deferred. The Company annually conducts a study to determine which operating costs vary with premium and qualify for deferral. Ceding commissions received on premiums the Company cedes to other companies are expensed as incurred. Adjustment expenses and the remaining costs of servicing the insured or reinsured business are considered acquisition costs. Acquisition costs associated with credit derivative products are expensed as incurred. When an insured issues a claim, in the Revenue Recognition section, the remaining related deferred acquisition cost is expensed at that time.

Reserves for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

Reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses for non-derivative transactions in the Company's financial guaranty, reinsurance and mortgage guaranty business include case reserves and portfolio reserves. See Note 4. Credit derivative transactions. Case reserves are established when there is significant credit deterioration on specific transactions and default is probable, not necessarily upon non-payment of principal or interest by an insured. Case reserves include loss adjustment expenses ("LAE"), net of estimated recoveries, but before considering ceded reinsurance. Case reserves established by traditional property and casualty insurance companies, which establish case reserves upon non-payment of claims ("IBNR") reserves for the difference between actuarially estimated ultimate losses and recorded case reserves. Case reserves and related salvage and subrogation, if any, are discounted at the taxable equivalent yield on government securities, 6%, in all periods presented. When the Company becomes entitled to the underlying collateral of an insured upon claim payment, it reduces the corresponding loss reserve for a particular financial guaranty insurance policy. Case reserves with FAS No. 60, "Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises". If the expected salvage and subrogation amounts are recorded as a salvage recoverable asset in the Company's balance sheets.

The Company records portfolio reserves in its financial guaranty direct, financial guaranty assumed reinsurance and mortgage guaranty business. Portfolio reserves are established with respect to the portion of the Company's business for which case reserves have not been established.

Portfolio reserves are not established based on a specific event, rather they are calculated by aggregating all transactions. Individual transaction reserves are calculated on a quarterly basis by multiplying the par in-force of the portfolio without regard to discounting. The ultimate loss factor is defined as

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

the frequency of loss multiplied by the severity of loss, where the frequency is defined as the probability of inception to date earned premium divided by the estimated ultimate written premium for each transaction. The data and is based on the transaction's credit rating, industry sector and time until maturity. The severity is defined by the rating agencies of defaulting issues and is based on the industry sector.

Portfolio reserves are recorded gross of reinsurance. The Company has not ceded any amounts under portfolio reserves have not exceeded the Company's contractual retentions, required by said contracts.

The Company records an incurred loss that is reflected in the statement of operations upon the establishment of a case reserve. Initially recorded case reserve, the Company reclassifies the corresponding portfolio reserve already recorded for the portfolio reserves or the initial case reserves are recorded quarterly as a charge or credit in the Company's statement of operations. Due to the inherent uncertainties of estimating loss and LAE reserves, actual experience may differ from the statements, and the differences may be material.

The Company also records IBNR reserves for its other segment. IBNR is an estimate of losses for which claims have been reported to the Company. In establishing IBNR, the Company uses traditional actuarial methods to estimate experience, claim reviews and information reported by ceding companies. The Company records IBNR for 100% reinsured. The other segment represents lines of business that the Company exited or sold as part of its operations.

For mortgage guaranty transactions the Company records portfolio reserves in a manner consistent with other guaranty insurance companies do not record portfolio reserves, rather just case and IBNR reserves, the Company records business on an excess of loss basis, while other industry participants write quota share or first layer loss business in the same manner as its financial guaranty insurance and reinsurance business because they have similar characteristics and securities.

FAS No. 60 is the authoritative guidance for an insurance enterprise. FAS 60 prescribes differing reserve requirements within its definition of a short-duration contract or a long-duration contract. Financial guaranty contracts that are irrevocable and extend over a period that may exceed 30 years or more, but for regulatory purposes are normally considered short-duration contracts. The short-duration and long-duration classifications have different contract liability recognition. Additionally, the accounting for deferred acquisition costs ("DAC") could be different.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Con

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

The Company believes the guidance of FAS 60 does not expressly address the distinctive characteristics of certain financial instruments. The Company applies the analogous guidance of Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 85-20, "Recognition of Fees for Guaranting a Loan," which provides guidance relating to the recognition of fees for guaranteeing a loan, which has similarities to financial instruments. Under EITF 85-20, the guarantor should assess the probability of loss on an ongoing basis to determine if a liability for "Contingencies" ("FAS 5"). FAS 5 requires that a loss be recognized where it is probable that one or more losses will be incurred at the date of the financial statements and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated.

The Company is aware that there are certain differences regarding the measurement of portfolio loss. In January and February 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") staff had discussions with certain participants. Based on those discussions, in June 2005, the FASB staff decided additional guidance is needed. FASB issued FAS No. 163, "Accounting for Financial Guarantee Insurance Contracts - An Interpretation of FAS 60," which provides additional information.

Profit Commissions

Under the terms of certain of the Company's reinsurance contracts, the Company is obligated to pay the reinsurer a commission based upon a specified percentage of the net underwriting profits. The Company's liability for profit commissions is recorded on the balance sheet under the caption, "Profit commissions payable". The unamortized discount on this liability is recorded as a contra liability.

Reinsurance

In the ordinary course of business, the Company's insurance subsidiaries assume and retrocede business. Reinsurance agreements provide greater diversification of business and may minimize the net potential loss from large risks. Reinsurance does not relieve the Company of its obligation to the reinsured. Reinsurance recoverable on ceded losses includes balances due from reinsurers and amounts that may be recovered from reinsurers, based on contracts in force, and is presented net of any provision for estimated uncollectible reinsurance. Uncollectible reinsurance is included in loss and loss adjustment expenses. Prepaid reinsurance premiums are recorded as assets to the unexpired terms of the reinsurance contracts in force.

Certain of the Company's assumed and ceded reinsurance contracts are funds held arrangements. In a funds held arrangement, the reinsurer pays premiums instead of paying them to the reinsurer and losses are offset against these funds in an experience rating account. The reinsurer earns interest on the experience account balance at a predetermined credited rate of interest. The Company earns interest between 4% and 6% on its assumed funds held arrangements and generally pays interest at fixed rates of interest earned or credited on funds.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

held arrangements is included in net investment income. In addition, interest on funds held arrangements with respect to the coverage period. If the account is fully depleted, which can extend many years beyond the expiration of the coverage period.

Goodwill

In connection with FAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets", the Company does not amortize goodwill. An impairment test should be performed annually or more frequently should circumstances warrant. The impairment test evaluates the Company's direct and reinsurance lines of business to their carrying value. If fair value is greater than carrying value, there is no impairment. If fair value is less than carrying value then goodwill is deemed to be impaired and the carrying value of the reporting unit is equal to the carrying value, but not less than \$0. No such impairment to goodwill was recorded for 2008, 2007 or 2006.

As part of the impairment test of goodwill, there are inherent assumptions and estimates used by management in determining the fair value of our direct and reinsurance lines of business that are subject to change based on future events. Management uses assumptions and estimates for losses, expenses, interest rates, cost of capital and tax rates. Many of the factors used in assessing fair value are subject to change and it is likely that assumptions and estimates will change in future periods. These changes can result in future impairment.

The Company has concluded that it is reasonably likely that the goodwill associated with our reinsurance business will be impaired if the volume of new business in the financial guaranty reinsurance market does not return to historical levels. If the volume of new business continues to decline, the Company may continue to execute portfolio based reinsurance contracts on blocks of business for other financial guaranty companies. This may cause a triggering event that will cause management to reassess its goodwill amounts related to its reinsurance business. For more information, see Note 10.

Income Taxes

Certain of the Company's subsidiaries are subject to U.S. income tax. In accordance with FAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes", the Company has provided for with respect to the temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax carrying amounts in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. Such temporary differences relate primarily to net operating loss carryforwards, LAE, unearned premium reserves, unrealized gains and losses on investments, unrealized gains and losses on derivatives, and a valuation allowance is recorded to reduce the deferred tax asset to that amount that is more likely than not to be realized.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is calculated using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. If there are any shares issued during the period, the shares issued are increased to the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the period.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

include all potentially dilutive securities. All potentially dilutive securities, including nonvested restricted stock, are included in the denominator of the earnings per share calculation. Basic and diluted earnings per share are calculated by dividing net income by the number of shares outstanding. For more information on Earnings (Loss) Per Share, for more information.

Share-Based Compensation

Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for its share-based employee compensation plans under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("APB 25") and "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("FAS 123"). In accordance with FAS 123 and FAS No. 148, "Disclosure" ("FAS 148") the Company disclosed its net income and earnings per share in the notes to consolidated financial statements. Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the fair value-based method in measuring compensation expense for its share-based incentive programs.

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of FAS No. 123R, "Share-Based Payment" ("FAS 123R"), using a modified prospective transition method. Under that transition method, compensation expense includes: (a) compensation expense for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of FAS 123; and (b) compensation expense for all share-based payments granted on or after January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of FAS 123R. See Note 20 for further discussion regarding the methodology utilized in recognizing compensation expense.

Variable Interest Entities and Special Purpose Entities

The Company provides financial guarantees with respect to debt obligations of special purpose entities. The Company's variable interest exists through this financial guaranty insurance or credit derivative contract. To protect the Company's interests, the Company provides certain protections to the Company. This financial protection can take several forms, the most common are over-collateralization and excess spread. In the case of over-collateralization (i.e. the principal amount of the securitized assets exceeds the amount of the debt guaranteed by the Company), the structure allows defaults of the securitized assets before a default is experienced by the Company. In the case of first loss, the financial guaranty insurance policy only covers a senior layer of loss, including VIEs. The first loss exposure with respect to the assets is either retained by the seller or sold off to a third party. In the case of excess spread, the financial assets contributed to special purpose entities, including VIEs, generate an excess spread over the debt payments on the debt issued by the special purpose entity. Such excess spread is typically distributed through the special purpose entity to create additional credit enhancement, applied to redeem debt issued by the special purpose entity (thereby increasing the value of the equity or other investors in the transaction).

There are two different accounting frameworks applicable to special purpose entities ("SPE"); the quantitative approach and the VIE framework under Financial

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Interpretation ("FIN") 46R "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities". The applicable framework depends on the nature of the entity. The QSPE framework is applicable when an entity transfers (sells) financial assets to a SPE mechanism designed to ensure that the activities of the entity are essentially predetermined in their entirety at the inception of the entity, to certain events, and that the transferor of the financial assets cannot exercise control over the entity and that the entity is consolidated by the transferor or other counterparty, as long as the entity does not have the unilateral ability to absorb the entity's residual returns. SPEs meeting all of FAS 140's criteria for a QSPE are not within the scope of FIN 46 and as such, do not meet the QSPE criteria, consolidation is assessed pursuant to FIN 46R. Under FIN 46R, a VIE is defined as an entity in which determining which party maintains a controlling financial interest. As such, a VIE (i) lacks enough equity to support its operations without additional subordinated financial support from other parties, (ii) its equity owners lack the right to absorb the entity's residual returns and (iii) its equity owners do not have an obligation to absorb or the right to receive the entity's losses or residual returns. An investor in the entity or a financial guarantor) to consolidate that VIE if that holder will absorb a majority of the entity's residual returns of the VIE, or both. The Company determines whether it is the primary beneficiary of a VIE based on whether it includes, among other factors, its capital structure, contractual terms, which variable interests create or absorb the entity's residual returns of the VIE. When qualitative analysis is not conclusive the Company performs a quantitative analysis. To date, the Company has not indicated that the Company does not have a majority of the variability in any of these VIEs and as a result, the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company's exposure provided through its financial guarantees with respect to debt securities at net par in force in Note 7. Insurance In Force.

Qualifying Special Purpose Entities:

During 2006, the Company issued a financial guaranty on financial assets that were transferred into a special purpose vehicle. This entity was to provide a financial guarantee client with funding for their debt obligation. This entity met the requirements of FIN 46R and accordingly are not consolidated in the Company's consolidated financial statements. The QSPEs are not subject to the requirements of FIN 46R and accordingly are not consolidated in the Company's consolidated financial statements. The QSPEs are demonstrably distinct from the Company, and neither the Company, nor its affiliates or its agents can unilaterally absorb the entity's residual returns. The QSPEs are contractually limited to purchasing assets, issuing notes to fund such purchases, and related administrative expenses. The QSPEs have an insurance policy, insurance premiums are paid to the Company by the QSPE and are earned in a manner commensurate with the risk. Any losses incurred would be included in the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

There were no such transactions during 2008 or 2007.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

3. Recent Accounting Pronouncements (Continued)

subsidiary. FAS 160 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, FAS 160 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued FAS No. 161, "Disclosures About Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("FAS 161"). FAS 161 establishes the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and for hedging activities for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. Early application is encouraged. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, FAS 161 will have on its current results of operations or financial position.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FAS No. 163, "Accounting for Financial Guarantee Insurance Contracts" ("FAS 163"). FAS 163 requires an enterprise to recognize a claim liability prior to an event of default (insured event) when there is evidence that the insured event is probable. FAS 163 also clarifies the methodology to be used for financial guaranty premium revenue recognition and expanded disclosures about the insurance enterprise's risk management activities. The provisions of FAS 163 regarding measurement are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. Early application of these provisions is not permitted. The expanded risk management activity disclosure provisions are effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company has adopted FAS 163 and is included in Note 11 of these financial statements. FAS 163 will be applied to all existing and future financial guarantee insurance contracts of the Company. The cumulative effect of initially applying FAS 163 will be recorded as an adjustment to retained earnings. The effect of FAS 163 to be material to premiums receivable and unearned premium reserve on its balance sheet. The Company is in the process of finalizing the impact of the adoption of FAS 163 on retained earnings, premiums receivable and unearned premium reserve recognition and claims liability methodologies. The Company will disclose the impact of the adoption of FAS 163 in its 2008 financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP EITF 03-6-1, "Participating Securities and the Two-Class Method" ("FSP 03-6-1"). FSP 03-6-1 addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to the adoption of FAS No. 128. FSP 03-6-1 requires the allocation in calculating earnings per share ("EPS") under the two-class method described in FAS No. 128 to include unvested share-based payment awards that have non-forfeitable rights to dividend or dividend equivalents. The FSP is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008; earlier application is not permitted. The Company's EPS will not be adjusted retrospectively. The Company does not expect adoption of the FSP to have a material effect on its financial statements.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. 157-3, "Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset or Liability" ("FSP 157-3"). FSP 157-3 clarified the application of FAS 157, "Fair Value Measurements", in a number of areas. FSP 157-3 was issued. It did not have an impact on the Company's current results of operations or financial position.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

3. Recent Accounting Pronouncements (Continued)

The FASB adopted FSP FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-4, "Disclosures About Credit Derivatives and Certain Instruments and Hedging Activities" to address concerns that current derivative disclosure requirements of these instruments can have on the financial performance and operations of an entity. Companies will be required to disclose activities to enable users to better understand: (1) how and why a company uses derivatives, (2) how it accounts for derivatives affect its financial statements. These should include the terms of the derivatives, collateral posted, and other disclosures that could be detrimental to earnings or liquidity. Disclosures specific to credit derivatives must be included in the Company's March 31, 2009 Form 10-Q. All other derivative and hedging disclosures must be included in the Company's March 31, 2009 Form 10-Q. All disclosures are in compliance with the items required by FSP 133-1 and FAS 161.

In December 2008, the FASB adopted FSP FAS 140-4 and FIN 46(R)-8, "Disclosures by Public Entities About Their Involvement in Variable Interest Entities" to require public entities to provide, among other things, additional disclosures about their involvement with variable interest entities. FSP FAS 140-4 and FIN 46(R)-8 was effective when issued. It does not affect the Company's operations or financial position.

4. Credit Derivatives

Credit derivatives issued by the Company, principally in the form of insured CDS contracts, have been accounted for under FAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("FAS 133"), FAS No. 149, "Amendments to FAS 133, 'Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities'" ("FAS 149") and FAS No. 155, "Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments" ("FAS 155"). The Company recognizes all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets and measure those derivatives at fair value. A derivative may be specifically designated as a fair value, cash flow or foreign currency hedge. FAS 155 requires the Company to recognize derivatives relating to beneficial interests in securitized financial instruments. This recognition was not required for the Company's derivatives. The fair value of a derivative depends on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting designation.

Realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives include credit derivative premiums received under its insured CDS as well as any contractual claim losses paid and payable related to insured credit events. The Company also recognizes income and realized gains or losses related to their early termination. The Company generally holds credit derivatives in circumstances such as for risk management purposes or as a result of a decision to exit a line of business, terminate a contract prior to maturity.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

4. Credit Derivatives (Continued)

The following table disaggregates realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives into its components for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2008	2007
Realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives		
Net credit derivative premiums received and receivable	\$ 118,077	\$ 72,000
Net credit derivative losses recovered and recoverable	391	1,000
Ceding commissions (paid/payable) received/receivable, net	(879)	
Total realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives	\$ 117,589	\$ 73,000

Unrealized gains (losses) on credit derivatives represent the adjustments for changes in fair value that are not yet realized. Changes in unrealized gains and losses on credit derivatives are reflected in the consolidated statements of operations and balance sheets. Unrealized gains and losses resulting from changes in the fair value of credit derivatives, including credit spreads, the credit ratings of the referenced entities and the issuing Company's own credit rating and other factors, will reduce to zero as the exposure approaches its maturity date, unless there is a payment default. The Company's credit derivative contracts do not reflect actual claims or credit losses, and have no impact on the Company's regulatory capital positions.

The Company determines fair value of its credit derivative contracts primarily through modeling that uses observable market indices and on recent pricing for similar contracts, and expected contractual life to derive a fair value estimate (see Note 5). Credit spreads capture the impact of recovery rates and performance of underlying assets. The pricing model takes into account not only how credit spreads on risks that it assumes affects pricing, but how changes in credit spreads affect the fair value of the underlying obligations. If credit spreads of the underlying obligations change, the fair value of the related credit derivative contracts will change.

The impact of changes in credit spreads will vary based upon the volume, tenor, interest rates, and other factors. In addition, since each transaction has unique collateral and structure terms, the underlying credit risk is not uniform. The fair value of credit derivative contracts also reflects the change in the Company's own credit cost based on changes in its credit rating. In 2008, the Company incurred net pre-tax unrealized gains on credit derivative contracts of \$38.0 million. This gain is associated with the change in AGC's credit spread, which widened substantially from 180 basis points at December 31, 2007 to 200 basis points at December 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

4. Credit Derivatives (Continued)

December 31, 2008. Management believes that the widening of AGC's credit spread is due to the correlation by the broader financial markets and increased demand for credit protection against AGC as the result of it to the significant increase in AGC's credit spread were declines in fixed income security market prices primarily as a result of the continued deterioration in credit markets and some credit rating downgrades, rather than from the Company. The higher credit spreads in the fixed income security market are due to the recent lack of liquidity in collateralized loan obligation markets as well as continuing market concerns over the most recent vintages of commercial mortgage backed securities. The 2007 loss of \$670.4 million primarily related to spreads widening on approximately 45% of the Company's unrealized loss on credit derivatives was due to a decline in the market value of collateralized loan obligation transactions, with the balance generated by lower market values principally in commercial mortgage backed securities markets. The 2006 gain of \$11.8 million primarily related to the run-off of transactions and changes in credit spreads.

The total notional amount of credit derivative exposure outstanding as of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 guaranty exposure was \$75.1 billion and \$71.6 billion, respectively.

The components of the Company's unrealized gain (loss) on credit derivatives as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 are as follows:

Asset Type	Net Par Outstanding (in billions)	Weighted Average Credit Rating
Corporate collateralized loan obligations	\$ 26.3	AA
Market value CDOs of corporates	3.8	AA
Trust preferred securities	6.2	AA
Total pooled corporate obligations	36.3	AA
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	5.8	AA
Residential mortgage-backed securities	20.3	AA
Other	9.7	AA
Total	\$ 72.0	AA
Reinsurance exposures written in CDS form	3.2	AA
Grand Total	\$ 75.1	AA

(1)

Based on the Company's internal rating, which is on a comparable scale to the industry.

Corporate collateralized loan obligations, market value CDO's, and trust preferred securities, which cover all U.S. structured finance pooled corporate obligations and international pooled corporate obligations. Commercial mortgage-backed securities are primarily U.S. structured finance commercial mortgage-backed securities.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Con

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

4. Credit Derivatives (Continued)

backed securities. Residential mortgage-backed securities are comprised of prime and subprime U.S. mortg residential mortgage-backed and international home equity securities. Other includes all other U.S. and int international infrastructure and pooled infrastructure securities.

The Company's exposure to pooled corporate obligations is highly diversified in terms of obligors and to limit exposure to any given obligor and industry. The majority of the Company's pooled corporate expos obligations ("CLOs"). Most of these direct CLOs have an average obligor size of less than 1% and typically approximately 10%. The Company's exposure also benefits from embedded credit enhancement in the tran of losses in the underlying collateral, further insulating the Company from industry specific concentrations

The Company's \$9.7 billion exposure to Other CDS contracts is also highly diversified. It includes \$4 comprised of diversified pools of international infrastructure project transactions and loans to regulated uti enhancement sufficient for the Company to attach at super senior AAA levels. The remaining \$5.7 billion o deals typically structured with significant underlying credit enhancement and spread across various asset c regulated utilities and consumer receivables. Substantially all of this \$9.7 billion of exposure is rated invest

The unrealized loss of \$(339.2) million on Other CDS contracts for the year ended December 31, 200 assumption and widening of spreads for a pooled infrastructure transaction during the Second Quarter 200 the ratings downgrades on a wrapped film securitization transaction where the Company provided credit pr guarantor. The ratings downgrade of that other financial guarantor caused the downgrade and credit spread in an unrealized loss of \$(104.6) million.

With considerable volatility continuing in the market, unrealized gains (losses) on credit derivatives m

The Company's exposure to the mortgage industry is discussed in Note 11.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

4. Credit Derivatives (Continued)

The following table presents additional details about the Company's unrealized gain on pooled corporate loan obligations, market value CDOs and trust preferred securities, by asset type as of December 31, 2008:

Asset Type	Original Subordination(2)	Current Subordination(2)	Net Paid (in billions)
High yield corporates	36.2%	32.3%	\$ 2
Trust preferred	46.3%	42.6%	
Market value CDOs of corporates	39.2%	26.0%	
Investment grade corporates	28.6%	29.9%	
Commercial real estate	49.1%	49.1%	
CDO of CDOs (corporate)	1.7%	4.9%	
Total	37.9%	33.5%	\$ 3

(1) Based on the Company's internal rating, which is on a comparable scale to that of the nationally

(2) Represents the sum of subordinate tranches and over-collateralization and does not include any b absorb losses.

The following table presents additional details about the Company's unrealized gain on credit derivatives by vintage as of December 31, 2008:

Vintage	Original Subordination(2)	Current Subordination(2)	Net Paid (in billions)
2004 and Prior	19.7%	21.4%	\$
2005	27.8%	28.9%	
2006	27.6%	27.9%	
2007	35.8%	35.9%	
2008			
Total	27.6%	28.5%	\$

Table of Contents**Assured Guaranty Ltd.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006****4. Credit Derivatives (Continued)**

The following tables present additional details about the Company's unrealized gain on credit derivatives by vintage and asset type as of December 31, 2008:

Vintage	Original Subordination(2)	Current Subordination(2)	Net Paid-At-Risk Outstanding (in billions)
2004 and Prior	5.2%	12.9%	\$ 2.1
2005	24.4%	50.4%	\$ 1.1
2006	16.4%	23.3%	\$ 0.8
2007	16.4%	18.5%	\$ 0.7
2008			\$ 0.1
Total	18.1%	27.5%	\$ 4.8

Asset Type	Original Subordination(2)	Current Subordination(2)	Net Paid-At-Risk Outstanding (in billions)
Alt-A loans	20.3%	23.3%	\$ 1.1
Prime first lien	10.3%	12.2%	\$ 0.6
Subprime lien	26.9%	54.4%	\$ 3.1
Total	18.1%	27.5%	\$ 4.8

In general, the Company structures credit derivative transactions such that the circumstances giving rise to a claim under financial guaranty policies and generally occurs as losses are realized on the underlying reference obligation. The Company's credit derivative transactions are structured to operate differently from financial guaranty insurance policies. For example, our credit derivative may be more limited than when the Company issues a financial guaranty insurance policy. The Company's exposure under credit derivatives, like the Company's exposure under financial guaranty insurance policies, is measured as of December 31, 2008. However, unlike financial guaranty insurance policies, a credit derivative may be terminated for a breach of contract. In some older credit derivative transactions, one such specified event is the failure of AGC to maintain specific credit ratings. If a credit derivative is terminated the Company could be required to make a mark-to-market payment as determined by the rating agency. For example, if the counterparty's rating were downgraded to A+, under market conditions at December 31, 2008, if the counterparties exercised their right to terminate, the Company would have been required to make payments that the Company estimates to be approximately \$261 million. If the counterparty's rating were downgraded to "BBB+" and "BB+" it would have been required to make additional payments that the Company estimates to be approximately \$100 million and \$50 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Con

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

4. Credit Derivatives (Continued)

Under a limited number of credit derivative contracts, the Company is required to post eligible securities as collateral. The need to post collateral under these transactions is generally based on mark-to-market valuation thresholds decline if the Company's ratings decline. As of December 31, 2008 the Company had pre-IPO transactions with collateral posting due to changes in market value. Of this amount, as of December 31, 2008, the Company had posted as collateral in the future will depend on changes in the market values of these transactions. Additional contractual thresholds would be eliminated and the amount of par that could be subject to collateral posting as of December 31, 2008, such a downgrade would have resulted in AGC posting an additional \$88.7 million required or anticipated for any other transactions.

As of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the Company considered the impact of its own credit spread assumes through CDS contracts, in determining the fair value of its credit derivatives. The Company determines the credit spread on the Company at each balance sheet date. The quoted price of CDS contracts traded on AGC at December 31, 2008 and 180 basis points, respectively. Historically, the price of CDS traded on AGC moves directionally the same as the CDS prices traded on AGC has an effect of offsetting unrealized losses that result from widening general market credit spreads. CDS prices traded on AGC has an effect of offsetting unrealized gains that result from narrowing general market credit spreads. In 2008, the values of our CDS contracts before and after considering implications of our credit spreads were \$1.7 million. In 2007, the values of our CDS contracts before and after considering implications of our credit spreads were \$1.7 million. December 31, 2007 the effect of our own credit was not significant. As noted above, our own credit spread of 1,775 basis points at December 31, 2008. As such, the impact of our own credit spread significantly affected the fair value of our credit derivatives.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

4. Credit Derivatives (Continued)

The following table summarizes the estimated change in fair values on the net balance of the Company's credit derivatives at December 31, 2008:

(Dollars in millions)

Credit Spreads(1)	Estimated Net Fair Value (Pre-Tax)
December 31, 2008:	
100% widening in spreads	\$ (1,538.3)
50% widening in spreads	(1,044.0)
25% widening in spreads	(793.5)
10% widening in spreads	(642.2)
Base Scenario	(539.2)
10% narrowing in spreads	(454.4)
25% narrowing in spreads	(326.7)
50% narrowing in spreads	(118.4)

(1)

Includes the effects of spreads on both the underlying asset classes and the Company's credit derivatives.

The Company had no derivatives that were designated as hedges, except as described in Note 18. Long-term debt is carried at amortized cost.

5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amount and estimated fair value of financial instruments are presented in the following table:

	As of December 31, 2008	
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
	(in thousands)	
<i>Assets:</i>		
Fixed maturity securities	\$3,154,137	\$3,154,137
Cash and short-term investments	489,502	489,502
Credit derivative assets	146,959	146,959
<i>Liabilities:</i>		
Unearned premium reserves	1,233,714	1,785,766
<i>Long-term debt:</i>		
Senior Notes	197,443	106,562
Series A Enhanced Junior Subordinated Debentures	149,767	37,500
Credit derivative liabilities	733,766	733,766
<i>Off-Balance Sheet Instruments:</i>		
Future installment premiums	182	463,400

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)

Background

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted FAS 157. FAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands the requirements for disclosures about fair value measurements. FAS 157 applies to other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements.

FAS 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability between market participants on the measurement date. The price shall represent that available in the principal market for the asset or liability. The price is based on the market that maximizes the value received for an asset or minimizes the amount paid for a liability.

FAS 157 specifies a fair value hierarchy based on whether the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value are observable. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect the Company's assumptions. With FAS 157, the fair value hierarchy prioritizes model inputs into three broad levels as follows:

Level 1 Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.

Level 2 Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical instruments in inactive markets; observable inputs other than quoted prices, such as interest rates or yield curves and other market data; and model inputs that are derived from observable market data.

Level 3 Model derived valuations in which one or more significant inputs or significant assumptions are unobservable. The Company uses the maximum use of observable market data when available.

An asset or liability's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of significant input that is used to measure its fair value.

Effect on the Company's financial statements

FAS 157 applies to both amounts recorded in the Company's financial statements and to disclosures. The Company's financial statements on a recurring basis are fixed maturity securities available for sale, short-term investments, credit derivatives, and other financial instruments.

Table of Contents**Assured Guaranty Ltd.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006****5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)**

liabilities relating to the Company's CDS contracts and CCS Securities. The fair value of these items as of

(Dollars in millions)	Fair Value	Fair Value Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Fair Value Significant Other
Assets			
Fixed maturity securities	\$ 3,154.1	\$	\$
Short-term investments	477.2	47.8	\$
Credit derivative assets	147.0	\$	\$
CCS Securities	51.1	\$	\$
Total assets	\$ 3,829.4	\$ 47.8	\$
Liabilities			
Credit derivative liabilities	\$ 733.8	\$	\$
Total liabilities	\$ 733.8	\$	\$

Fixed Maturity Securities and Short-term Investments

The fair value of fixed maturity securities and short-term investments is determined using one of three pricing methods: broker-dealer quotations, pricing services or broker-dealer quotations. Pricing services for each sector of the market are determined based upon the pricing service's methodology.

Typical inputs used by these three pricing methods include, but are not limited to, reported trades, benchmark cash flows and prepayments speeds. Based on the typical trading volumes and the lack of quoted market prices, the Company normally derive the security prices through recent reported trades for identical or similar securities making use of market observable information as outlined above. If there are no recent reported trades, the third party pricing service is used to develop a security price where future cash flow expectations are developed based upon collateral performance. For the pricing of asset backed securities are estimates of the rate of future prepayments of principal over the term of the security based on the characteristics of the underlying structure and prepayment speeds previously experienced at the time of the security. The Company does not make any internal adjustments to prices provided by its third party pricing service.

The Company has analyzed the third party pricing services' valuation methodologies and related inputs for the investment portfolio to determine an appropriate FAS 157 fair value hierarchy level based upon trading activity. In the evaluation, each price was classified as Level 1, 2 or 3. Prices provided by third party pricing services with Level 1 inputs are the money fund

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)

portion of short-term investments are classified as Level 1. No investments were classified as Level 3 as of

Committed Capital Securities ("CCS Securities")

The fair value of CCS Securities represents the present value of remaining expected put option premium payments. The fair value of such estimated payments based upon the quoted price for such premium payments as of December 31, 2008 for CCS Securities is included in the consolidated balance sheet. Changes in fair value of this asset are included in other comprehensive income and operations and comprehensive income. The significant market inputs used are observable, therefore, the CCS Securities are classified as Level 1.

Level 3 Valuation Techniques

Financial instruments are considered Level 3 when their values are determined using pricing models, and at least one significant model assumption or input is unobservable. Level 3 financial instruments also include derivatives. The valuation of Level 3 financial instruments requires significant management judgment or estimation. A brief description of the valuation techniques used for Level 3 financial instruments is provided below.

Credit Derivatives

The Company's credit derivatives consist of insured CDS contracts (see Note 4). As discussed in Note 4, the Company has entered into CDS contracts, and there are no quoted prices for its instruments or for similar instruments. Observable inputs or market data for CDS contracts that do not contain terms and conditions similar to the credit derivative contracts issued by the Company requires the use of models that contain significant, unobservable inputs. Thus, we believe the CDS contracts are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy discussed above.

The fair value of the Company's credit derivative contracts represents the difference between the present value of cash flows the Company receives for the credit protection and the estimated present value of premiums that a comparable financial institution would receive for the same protection at the balance sheet date. The fair value of the Company's credit derivatives depends on a number of factors, including the expected term, credit spreads, changes in interest rates, the credit ratings of referenced entities, the Company's credit ratings, and Contractual cash flows, which are included in the "Realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives" line item in the consolidated income statement. The fair value of credit derivative contracts is determined using readily observable variables of the fair value of credit derivative contracts since they are based on contractual cash flows and are payable on written credit derivative contracts, (ii) net premiums paid and payable on purchased contracts, (iii) net premiums received and recoverable on purchased contracts, and (iv) losses recovered and recoverable on purchased contracts. The remaining loss (losses) on credit derivatives".

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)

Market conditions at December 31, 2008 were such that market prices of the Company's CDS contracts were not readily available, the Company used a combination of observable market data and valuation models, using various inputs, including credit risk, and estimated contractual payments to estimate the "Unrealized gains (losses) on credit derivatives" presented. These models are primarily developed internally based on market conventions for similar transactions.

Management considers the non-standard terms of its credit derivative contracts in determining the fair value of credit derivatives sold by companies outside the financial guaranty industry. The non-standard terms include the terms of settlement provisions, relatively high attachment points and the fact that the Company does not exit derivatives in certain specific circumstances such as exiting a line of business. Because of these terms and conditions, the fair value of these derivatives is not the same prices observed in an actively traded market of credit derivatives that do not contain terms and conditions that are not in the market. These models and the related assumptions are continuously reevaluated by management and enhanced by the use of techniques and availability of more timely market information.

Valuation models include the use of management estimates and current market information. Management estimates the fair value of credit derivative instruments is affected by current market conditions. Management considers factors such as the performance of underlying assets, life of the instrument, and the extent of credit derivative exposure the Company has in the market and extent of activity in the financial guaranty credit derivative marketplace. The assumptions that management uses are based on due to market conditions. Due to the inherent uncertainties of the assumptions used in the valuation models, actual experience may differ from the estimates reflected in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Listed below are various inputs and assumptions that are key to the establishment of our fair value for credit derivatives.

Assumptions

The key assumptions of our internally developed model include:

Gross spread is the difference between the yield of a security paid by an issuer on an instrument, the difference between the yield and an index such as LIBOR. Such pricing is based on the Company's relative to capital market spreads as observed and executed in competitive markets, including the Company's own market transactions.

Gross spread on a financial guarantee written in CDS form gets allocated among 1) the cost of the Company in putting the deal together and funding the transaction, 2) premiums paid to us for our credit derivatives.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)

protection purchased on us by the originator to hedge their counterparty credit risk exposure, referred to as the net spread. The Company's own credit risk is factored into the determination of the quoted market price for credit protection bought on the Company, as reflected by quoted market prices. The cost to acquire CDS protection sold on AGC affects the amount of spread on CDS contracts. As the cost to acquire CDS protection sold on AGC increases the amount of premium we capture on acquire CDS protection sold on AGC decreases the amount of premium we capture on CDS contracts. Capture is not permitted to go below the historic minimum rate charged by us to assume CDS contracts. Unrealized gains that are recognized on certain CDS contracts.

The Company determines the fair value of its CDS contracts by applying the net spread model to the value of its CDS contracts.

Actual transactions are used to validate the model results and to explain the correlation between model prices and actual prices.

Inputs

The specific model inputs are listed below, including how we derive inputs for market credit spreads.

Gross spread This is an input into the Company's fair value model that is used to determine the premium a guarantor would charge the Company to transfer risk at the reporting date. The Company estimates the estimated present value of premiums that a comparable financial guarantor would charge at the reporting date, on terms identical to the original contracts written by the Company and the Company's derivative contract. This is an observable input that the Company obtains for deals it has written.

Credit spreads on risks assumed These are obtained from market data sources published for risks similar to assets within our transactions) as well as collateral-specific spreads provided by market sources. If market credit spreads are not available or reliable for the underlying reference obligations, the underlying reference obligations, considering asset class, credit quality rating and notional amount. Previously, these indices are adjusted to reflect the non-standard terms of the Company's contracts. The Company obtained approximately 22% of its credit spread data, based on notional par outstanding contracts, obtained from market sources or similar market indices. Market sources determine credit spreads by asset classes and receiving price quotes from their trading desks for the specific asset class. The Company cross-referencing quotes received from one

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)

market source against quotes received from another market source to ensure reasonable quotes received from one quarter to another, with the relative change experienced by specific spreads obtained from third-party, independent market sources are unpublished by traders whom are not trustees. Management obtains this information as the result of due diligence process.

Credit spreads on the Company's name The Company obtains the quoted price of CDS by third parties.

The following is an example of how changes in gross spreads, the Company's own credit spread and the amount of premium the Company can demand for its credit protection. Scenario 1 represents the market conditions at the reporting date and Scenario 2 represents the market conditions at a subsequent reporting date.

	Scenario 1	
	bps	% of Total
Original Gross Spread / Cash Bond Price (in Bps)	185.0	
Bank Profit (in Bps)	115.0	62%
Hedge Cost (in Bps)	30.0	16%
AGC Premium Received Per Annum (in Bps)	40.0	22%

In Scenario 1, the gross spread is 185bps. The bank or deal originator captures 115bps of the original gross spread. The CDS spread on AGC was 300bps (300bps \times 10% = 30bps). Under this scenario AGC received premium of 40bps.

In Scenario 2, the gross spread is 500bps. The bank or deal originator captures 50bps of the original gross spread. The CDS spread on AGC was 1,760bps (1,760bps \times 25% = 440bps). Under this scenario AGC would receive premium of 440bps.

In this example, the contractual cash flows exceed the amount a market participant would require to fund a credit default swap contract, thus resulting in an asset. This credit derivative asset is equal to the difference between the expected future cash flows for the Company's credit default swap contract and the weighted average remaining life of the contract. The expected future cash flows for the Company's credit default swap contract are based on a 17.0% over LIBOR at December 31, 2008, with over 97% of the transactions ranging from 1.0% to 6.0% over LIBOR.

The Company corroborates the assumptions in its fair value model, including the amount of exposure to credit default swap contracts, by obtaining quotes from independent third parties each reporting period. Recent increases in the CDS spread on AGC have resulted in a decrease in the fair value of the Company's credit default swap contracts.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)

hedging a greater portion of its exposure to AGC. This has the effect of reducing the amount of contractual

The amount of premium a financial guaranty insurance market participant can demand is inversely related to the risk as measured by market credit spreads. This is because the buyers of credit protection typically hedge a portion of their contractual terms of financial guaranty insurance contracts typically do not require the posting of collateral. As the guarantor's own credit spread increases the cost to buy credit protection on the guarantor, thereby, reducing the amount of premium spread on the deal. The extent of the hedge depends on the types of instruments insured and the current market conditions.

A credit derivative asset under FAS 157 is the result of contractual cash flows on in-force deals in existence at the reporting date if it sold protection on the same risk as of the current reporting date. If the Company were able to freely exit its contracts (i.e., contain proscriptions on transfer and there was a viable exchange market), it would be able to realize an amount equal to the premiums to which it's entitled and the current market premiums for a similar contract.

To clarify, management does not believe there is an established market where financial guaranty insurance contracts providing protection under an insured financial guaranty credit derivative do not, except for certain rare circumstances. Management has determined that the exit market for the Company's credit derivatives is a hypothetical one based on its entry into and exit from the Company's deals to establish historical price points in the hypothetical market that are used in the fair value measurements.

The following spread hierarchy is utilized in determining which source of spread to use, with the rule that the highest priority source is used. The Company either interpolates or extrapolates CDS spreads based on similar transactions or market indices.

1. Actual collateral specific credit spreads (if up-to-date and reliable market-based spreads are available).
2. Credit spreads are interpolated based upon market indices or deals priced or closed during the reporting period.
3. Credit spreads provided by the counterparty of the credit default swap.
4. Credit spreads are extrapolated based upon transactions of similar asset classes, similar to the Company's deals.

Over time the data inputs can change as new sources become available or existing sources are discontinued. It is the Company's objective to move to higher levels on the hierarchy whenever possible, but it is sometimes necessary to use discontinued data sources or assessments that the higher priority inputs are no longer considered to be representative. This can

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)

happen, for example, if transaction volume changes such that a previously used spread index is no longer v

As of December 31, 2008, the Company obtained approximately 8% of its credit spread information, specific credit spreads, while 78% was based on market indices and 14% was based on spreads provided b based on the historical relationship between premium the Company receives when a financial guarantee w market index related to the specific asset class and rating of the deal. This curve indicates expected credit s For specific transactions where no price quotes are available and credit spreads need to be extrapolated, an spread quote from one of the first three sources within the Company's spread hierarchy is chosen. This alte similar underlying assets, similar credit ratings, and similar time to maturity. The Company then calculates for the alternative transaction. This percentage change is then applied to the historical credit spread of the t calculate the transactions current spread. Counterparties determine credit spreads by reviewing new issuan from their trading desks for the specific asset in question. These quotes are validated by cross-referencing received from another market source to ensure reasonableness. In addition, management compares the rela specific asset class for reasonableness and accuracy.

The Company's credit derivative valuation model, like any financial model, has certain strengths and

The primary strengths of the Company's CDS modeling techniques are:

The model takes account of transaction structure and the key drivers of market value. T average life, level of subordination and composition of collateral.

The model maximizes the use of market-driven inputs whenever they are available. Th collateral, and the credit rating of referenced entities. These are viewed by us to be the

The Company is able to use actual transactions to validate its model results and to expl indicative CDS market prices.

The model is a well-documented, consistent approach to valuing positions that minimi market-based spread inputs that helps mitigate the degree of subjectivity during period

The primary weaknesses of the Company's CDS modeling techniques are:

There is no exit market or actual exit transactions. Thus our exit market is a hypothetical

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)

There is a very limited market in which to verify the fair values developed by the Company.

At December 31, 2008, the markets for the inputs to the model were highly illiquid, with various procedures to corroborate the reasonableness of quotes received and calculated, including other quotes received on similarly structured transactions, observed spreads on structured transactions on a selective basis when possible, through second independent quotes on the same reference.

Due to the non-standard terms under which the Company enters into derivative contracts, the same prices observed in an actively traded market of credit derivatives that do not exist in the financial guaranty market.

As discussed above, the Company does not trade or exit its credit derivative contracts in the normal course of business, which is limited by the absence of actual exit transactions. However, management does compare modeled results to actual results, compare modeled values to premiums on deals the Company received on new deals written within the reporting period, compare modeled values to premiums on deals the Company received on new deals written within the reporting period for a particular asset type in the period or if the number of transactions is not reflective of a representative sample of the population offered by the Company to provide credit protection on new transactions within the reporting period, the premium on transactions to provide credit protection in net tight and wide credit environments and/or the premium on transactions on a selective basis within the reporting period.

The net par outstanding of the Company's credit derivative contracts was \$75.1 billion and \$71.6 billion at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The estimated remaining average life of these contracts at December 31, 2008 was 7.0 years.

As required by FAS 157, financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of measurement. As of December 31, 2008, these contracts are classified as Level 3 in the FAS 157 hierarchy. Management deemed significant to the valuation model, most significantly the Company's estimate of the value of the net par outstanding of the contracts and of the Company's current credit standing.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)

The table below presents a reconciliation of the Company's credit derivatives whose fair value included in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2008.

(Dollars in millions)	Year December Fair Measure Sign Unobserv (Le Credit Liability
Beginning Balance	\$
Total gains or losses realized and unrealized	
Unrealized gains on credit derivatives	
Realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives	
Current period net effect of purchases, settlements and other activity included in unrealized portion of beginning balance	
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	
Ending Balance	\$
Gains and losses (realized and unrealized) included in earnings for the period are reported as follows:	
Total realized and unrealized gains included in earnings for the period	\$
Change in unrealized gains on credit derivatives still held at the reporting date	\$

Items in the Company's financial statements measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis and for debt securities, including senior notes, Series A enhanced junior subordinated debentures and future installment premiums. The fair value of these items is reported in the following table.

(Dollars in millions)	Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Fair Value Measure Significant C Observable I (Level 2)
Liabilities			
Unearned premium reserves	\$ 1,785.8	\$	\$
Senior Notes	106.6		
Series A Enhanced Junior Subordinated Debentures	37.5		
Total liabilities	\$ 1,929.9	\$	\$
Off-Balance Sheet Instruments			
Future installment premiums	\$ 463.4	\$	\$

Unearned Premium Reserves

The fair value of the Company's unearned premium reserves is based on the estimated cost of entering portfolio with third party reinsurers under current market conditions. This figure is based on management's insurance company would demand to assume the Company's in-force book of financial guaranty insurance we have

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)

observed in recent portfolio transfers that have occurred in the financial guaranty market and includes adjustments for stressed losses and ceding commissions. The significant inputs for stressed losses and ceding commissions classified this fair value measurement as Level 3.

Senior Notes and Series A Enhanced Junior Subordinated Debentures

The fair value of the Company's \$200.0 million of Senior Notes and \$150.0 million of Series A Enhanced Junior Subordinated Debentures is calculated by calculating the midpoint of quoted bid/ask prices over the U.S. Treasury yield at the year-end date and the significant market inputs used are observable, therefore, the Company classified this fair value measurement as Level 2.

Future Installment Premiums

The fair value of the Company's installment premiums is derived by calculating the present value of the installment premiums discounted at 6.0%. The significant inputs used to fair value this item are observable, therefore, the Company classified this fair value measurement as Level 2.

6. Statutory Accounting Practices

These consolidated financial statements are prepared on a GAAP basis, which differs in certain respects from the accounting practices of insurance regulatory authorities, including the Maryland Insurance Administration, the New York State Insurance Department and the Bermuda Monetary Authority.

The Company's U.S. domiciled insurance companies prepare statutory financial statements in accordance with the accounting practices of the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC") and their respective Insurance Departments. The Company's U.S. domiciled insurance companies were required to follow the NAIC Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual. There are no permitted accounting practices on a state-by-state basis. The Company's U.S. domiciled insurance companies had total statutory capital and surplus of \$408.7 million and \$430.5 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The Company's U.S. domiciled insurance companies had total statutory net income of \$27.6 million, \$73.2 million and \$66.0 million as of December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

AG Re, a Bermuda regulated Class 3 insurer and Long-Term insurer, prepares its statutory financial statements in accordance with the Insurance Act 1978, amendments thereto and Related Regulations. The statutory capital and surplus of AG Re was \$2.4 million, \$2.4 million and \$2.4 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The statutory net income of AG Re was \$2.4 million, \$78.0 million and \$78.0 million as of December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

7. Insurance In Force

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, net financial guaranty par in force, including insured CDS, was \$1.1 billion and \$1.1 billion, respectively. The portfolio was broadly diversified by payment source, geographic location and maturity schedule, with total net par in force as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Con

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

7. Insurance In Force (Continued)

The composition of net par in force by bond type was as follows:

U.S. public finance:

General obligation
 Tax-backed
 Municipal utilities
 Transportation
 Healthcare
 Higher education
 Investor-owned utilities
 Housing
 Other public finance

Total U.S. public finance

U.S. structured finance:

Pooled corporate obligations
 Residential mortgage-backed and home equity
 Commercial mortgage-backed securities
 Consumer receivables
 Commercial receivables
 Structured credit
 Insurance securitizations
 Other structured finance

Total U.S. structured finance

International:

Infrastructure and pooled infrastructure
 Pooled corporate obligations
 Residential mortgage-backed and home equity
 Regulated utilities
 Commercial receivables
 Public finance
 Future flow
 Insurance securitizations
 Commercial mortgage-backed securities
 Structured credit
 Consumer receivables
 Other international structured finance

Total international

Total exposures(1)

(1)

Totals may not add due to rounding.

194

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

7. Insurance In Force (Continued)

Maturities for U.S. public finance obligations range from 1 to 44 years, with a weighted average life of 7 years. International finance transactions range from 1 to 39 years with a weighted average life of 7 years. CDS transactions are included in all structured finance categories except public finance.

The portfolio contained exposures in each of the 50 states and abroad. The distribution of net financial assets is shown in the following table:

	As of December 31, 2008	
	Net par outstanding	% of Net par outstanding
	(in billion)	
Domestic:		
California	\$ 16.2	7.1
New York	9.5	4.2
Florida	8.4	3.7
Texas	7.3	3.2
Illinois	5.9	2.6
Pennsylvania	4.6	2.0
Massachusetts	4.4	1.9
New Jersey	4.2	1.8
Michigan	3.1	1.4
Washington	2.9	1.3
Other states	40.8	18.0
Mortgage and structured (multiple states)	74.4	33.0
Total domestic exposures	181.7	81.0
International:		
United Kingdom	23.7	10.5
Germany	3.3	1.5
Australia	2.6	1.1
Ireland	0.9	0.4
Turkey	0.8	0.4
Other	9.6	4.3
Total international exposures	41.0	18.0
Total exposures(1)	\$ 222.7	100.0

(1) Totals may not add due to rounding.

Table of Contents**Assured Guaranty Ltd.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006****7. Insurance In Force (Continued)**

The following table sets forth the net financial guaranty par outstanding by underwriting rating:

Ratings(1)	As of December 31, 2008	
	Net par outstanding	% of Net par outstanding (in billion)
Super senior	\$ 32.4	14.
AAA	40.7	18.
AA	47.7	21.
A	66.0	29.
BBB	29.4	13.
Below investment grade	6.6	3.
Total exposures(2)	\$ 222.7	100.

(1)

The Company's internal rating. The Company's scale is comparable to that of the nationally recognized, but not generally used by rating agencies, is used by the Company in instances where the Company's internal rating is to either (1) the existence of another security rated AAA that is subordinated to the Company's internal rating or (2) a different form of credit enhancement that would pay any claims first in the event that any of the Company's obligations, in management's opinion, causes the Company's attachment point to be materially above the AAA rating.

(2)

Totals may not add due to rounding.

As part of its financial guaranty business, the Company enters into CDS transactions whereby one party pays a premium amount in return for a contingent payment by the other party in the event one or more defined credit events occur on the securities or loans. A credit event may be a nonpayment event such as a failure to pay, bankruptcy, or restructuring. The total notional amount of insured CDS exposure outstanding as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 and 2006 was \$75.1 billion and \$71.6 billion, respectively.

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company's net mortgage guaranty insurance in force (represented by the amount currently reinsured) was approximately \$0.4 billion and \$1.1 billion, respectively, and net risk in force was approximately \$0.4 billion and \$1.1 billion, respectively. These amounts are not included in the above table.

8. Premiums Earned from Refunded and Called Bonds

Net earned premiums include \$61.9 million, \$17.6 million and \$11.2 million for 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, which reflect the unscheduled pre-payment or refundings of underlying municipal bonds. The increase in 2008 compared to 2007 reflects the increase in municipal auction rate and variable rate debt as reported by the Company's ceding companies. The 2008 year-to-date financial

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

8. Premiums Earned from Refunded and Called Bonds (Continued)

guaranty direct segment and \$60.6 million of refundings in the financial guaranty reinsurance segment. The refundings in the financial guaranty direct segment and \$14.8 million of refundings in the financial guaranty reinsurance segment in 2007. The refundings in the financial guaranty direct segment in 2006. The unscheduled refundings included in net earned premium in the financial guaranty reinsurance segment. These unscheduled refundings are sensitive to market interest rates and other factors.

9. Investments

The following table summarizes the Company's aggregate investment portfolio as of December 31, 2008:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains
	(in thousands)	
<i>Fixed maturity securities</i>		
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 426,592	\$ 49,300
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	1,235,942	33,100
Corporate securities	274,237	5,700
Mortgage-backed securities	1,081,879	21,700
Asset-backed securities	80,710	
Foreign government securities	50,323	4,100
Preferred stock	12,625	
Total fixed maturity securities	3,162,308	114,300
Short-term investments	477,197	
Total investments	\$3,639,505	\$ 114,300

197

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

9. Investments (Continued)

The following table summarizes the Company's aggregate investment portfolio as of December 31, 2008:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains (in thousands)
<i>Fixed maturity securities</i>		
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 297,445	\$ 13,500
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	1,043,000	38,600
Corporate securities	179,369	4,700
Mortgage-backed securities	859,666	9,800
Asset-backed securities	68,148	3,000
Foreign government securities	71,386	1,600
Preferred stock	7,875	1,000
Total fixed maturity securities	2,526,889	69,000
Short-term investments	552,938	
Total investments	\$3,079,827	\$ 69,000

Approximately 29% and 28% of the Company's total investment portfolio as of December 31, 2008 are fixed maturity securities, including collateralized mortgage obligations and commercial mortgage-backed securities. As of December 31, 2008, approximately 69% and 55% of the Company's total mortgage-backed securities were government agency securities. The weighted average credit quality of the Company's entire investment portfolio was AA+ and AAA, respectively, as of December 31, 2008. The Company continues to receive sufficient information to value its investments under current market conditions.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of available-for-sale fixed maturity securities as of December 31, 2008 are as follows. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call on certain instruments without penalties.

	Amortized Cost (in thousands)
Due within one year	\$ 28,000
Due after one year through five years	357,000
Due after five years through ten years	564,000
Due after ten years	1,117,000
Mortgage-backed securities	1,081,000
Preferred stock	12,000
Total	\$ 3,162,000

Table of Contents**Assured Guaranty Ltd.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006****9. Investments (Continued)**

Proceeds from the sale of available-for-sale fixed maturity securities were \$532.1 million, \$786.6 million and \$786.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Net realized investment gains (losses) consisted of the following:

	For the Year 2008
	(in thousands)
Gains	\$ 5,656
Losses	(4,189)
Other than temporary impairments	(71,268)
Net realized investment (losses) gains	\$ (69,801)

The change in net unrealized gains (losses) of available-for-sale fixed maturity securities consists of:

	For the Year 2008
	(in thousands)
Fixed maturity securities	\$ (6,189)
Less: Deferred income tax (benefit) expense	(2,000)
Change in net unrealized (losses) gains on fixed maturity securities	\$ (4,189)

The following tables summarize, for all securities in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2008, the amount of loss by length of time the amounts have continuously been in an unrealized loss position.

	Less than 12 months Fair value	Unrealized loss	As of December 31, 2008 12 months or more Fair value	Unrealized loss
	(in millions of U.S. dollars)			
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 8.0	\$	\$	\$
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	479.4	(28.7)	137.9	-
Corporate securities	105.6	(10.2)	14.2	-
Mortgage-backed securities	181.4	(44.5)	74.4	-
Asset-backed securities	73.2	(7.2)	-	-
Foreign government securities	-	-	-	-
Preferred stock	12.4	(0.3)	-	-
Total	\$ 860.0	\$ (90.9)	\$ 226.5	\$

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

9. Investments (Continued)

	Less than 12 months		As of December 31, 2008	
	Fair value	Unrealized loss	Fair value	Unrealized loss
	(in millions of U.S. dollars)			
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 25.4	\$	\$	\$
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	190.2	(2.5)	8.6	
Corporate securities	33.2	(1.1)	12.8	
Mortgage-backed securities	64.6	(0.7)	234.3	
Asset-backed securities	5.1		20.1	
Foreign government securities	2.6			
Preferred stock				
Total	\$321.1	\$ (4.3)	\$275.8	\$

The above unrealized loss balances are comprised of 218 and 161 fixed maturity securities as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. In evaluating the above securities, the Company considered factors such as sector credit ratings and industry analyst reports. The Company's gross unrealized loss position stood at \$122.5 million compared to \$8.9 million at December 31, 2007. The 2008 loss is primarily attributable to mortgage and asset-backed securities, \$54.3 million, municipal securities, \$48.6 million and foreign government securities, \$20.6 million. The unrealized loss on these securities during the year ended December 31, 2008 was related to the overall illiquidity in the market and depressed demand for non-cash investments.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company had 58 securities in an unrealized loss position for greater than 10% of book value totaling \$31.6 million. Of these securities, 20 securities had unrealized losses greater than 10% of book value. The unrealized loss on these securities as of December 31, 2008 was \$24.1 million. This unrealized loss is primarily attributable to the market illiquidity and volatility of the securities and individual issuer credit. Except as noted below, the Company has recognized no other than temporary impairment losses on these securities until a recovery in value.

The Company recognized \$71.3 million of other than temporary impairment losses substantially related to the securities as of December 31, 2008 primarily due to the fact that it does not have the intent to hold these securities until they mature. The Company continues to monitor the value of these investments. Future events may result in further impairment of the securities and the Company may recognize other than temporary impairment losses for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

Table of Contents**Assured Guaranty Ltd.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006****9. Investments (Continued)**

Net investment income is derived from the following sources:

	For the Years Ended	
	2008	
	(in thousands)	
Income from fixed maturity securities	\$ 154,467	\$ 154,467
Income from short-term investments	11,578	11,578
Gross investment income	166,045	166,045
Less: investment expenses	(3,487)	(3,487)
Net investment income	\$ 162,558	\$ 162,558

Under agreements with its cedants and in accordance with statutory requirements, the Company maintains collateral of \$1,233.4 million and \$936.0 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, for the benefit of reinsureds, generally in states in which the Company or its subsidiaries, as applicable, are not licensed or accredited.

Under certain derivative contracts, the Company is required to post eligible securities as collateral, generally in excess of the need to post collateral under these transactions is generally based on mark-to-market valuation in excess of the Company's pledged securities totaled \$157.7 million and \$0.4 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The Company is not exposed to significant concentrations of credit risk within its investment portfolio.

No material investments of the Company were non-income producing for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006.

Table of Contents**Assured Guaranty Ltd.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006****10. Reserves for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses**

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses (reclassified as discussed in Note 2):

	For the 2008 (in millions)
Balance as of January 1	\$ 125,5
Less reinsurance recoverable	(8,8
Net balance as of January 1	116,7
Transfers to case reserves from portfolio reserves	69,3
Incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses pertaining to case and IBNR reserves:	
Current year	163,1
Prior years	111,1
	274,3
Transfers to case reserves from portfolio reserves	(69,3
Incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses pertaining to portfolio reserves	(8,6
Total losses and loss adjustment expenses	265,7
Loss and loss adjustment expenses (paid) and recovered pertaining to:	
Current year	(90,3
Prior years	(169,0
	(259,4
Total loss and loss adjustment expenses (paid) recovered	(259,4
Change in salvage recoverable, net	67,4
Foreign exchange (gain) loss on reserves	(2,0
Net balance as of December 31	190,2
Plus reinsurance recoverable	6,5
Balance as of December 31	\$ 196,7

The difference between the portfolio reserve transferred to case reserves and the ultimate case reserve is \$10.5 million.

The financial guaranty case basis reserves have been discounted using the taxable equivalent yield on December 31, 2007 and 2006, resulting in a discount of \$(8.7) million, \$3.9 million and \$9.6 million, respectively.

The unfavorable current and prior year development in 2008 of is primarily due to incurred losses related to public finance transactions (see Note 2 for further detail).

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

10. Reserves for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses (Continued)

The favorable prior year development in 2007 of \$17.7 million is primarily due to \$8.6 million of loss recovery and a \$4.3 million increase in salvage reserves for aircraft related transactions, reported to us by our cedant. The

Losses and loss adjustment expenses paid (received), were \$259.4 million, \$(4.7) million and \$11.4 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006. The loss payments of \$259.4 million in 2008 are related to several HELOC and Closed-End Mortgage transactions in which claims were paid in 2002 and 2006. These recoveries were partially offset by loss payments on other transactions in which claims were paid in 2002 and 2006. These recoveries were partially offset by loss payments on other exposures. The loss payments of \$11.4 million in 2006 were related to a U.S. Infrastructure transaction and

11. Significant Risk Management Activities

The Risk Oversight and Audit Committees of the Board of Directors oversees our risk management policies. Specific risk policies and limits are set by the Portfolio Risk Management Committee, the Credit and Surveillance officers. As part of its risk management strategy, the Company may seek to obtain credit enhancements and periodically enter into other arrangements to alleviate all or a portion of this risk.

Risk Management and Surveillance personnel are responsible for monitoring and reporting on all transactions in the Direct and Reinsurance segments. The primary objective of the surveillance process is to monitor trends and deterioration in credit quality, and take such remedial actions as may be necessary or appropriate. All transactions personnel are responsible for adjusting those ratings to reflect changes in transaction credit quality. Surveillance personnel are also responsible for monitoring and reporting on loss situations when necessary. For transactions where a loss is considered probable, surveillance personnel report to the Reserve Committee. The Reserve Committee is made up of the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and Accounting Officer. The Reserve Committee considers the recommendations of the surveillance personnel and the subsidiaries.

Direct Businesses

We conduct surveillance procedures to track risk aggregations and monitor performance of each risk, and credit quality. In general, the review process includes the collection and analysis of information from financial statements and reports, general industry or sector news and analyses, and rating agency reports. For monitoring general economic trends, developments with respect to state and municipal finances, and the financial transactions, the surveillance process can include monitoring transaction performance data and cash flows,

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Con

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

11. Significant Risk Management Activities (Continued)

conditions, and evaluation of servicer or collateral manager performance and financial condition. Additionally, we conduct periodic reviews of the issuer's performance to assess transaction performance and identify situations where there may have been a change in credit quality. We also conduct periodic discussions with or site visits to issuers, servicers or other parties to a transaction.

Reinsurance Businesses

For transactions in the Company's Reinsurance segment, the primary insurers are responsible for conducting surveillance. The Company monitors the activities of the primary insurers through a variety of means, such as review of surveillance reports, periodic discussions with their analysts. Our surveillance personnel take steps to ensure that the primary insurer is in compliance with the reinsurance agreement. To this end, we conduct periodic reviews of ceding companies' surveillance activities, review the primary insurer's underwriting, surveillance, and claim files for certain transactions. In the event of credit deterioration, reviews of the ceding company's risk mitigation activities are conducted. Our surveillance personnel also monitor the issuer's rating agency reports to help focus surveillance activities on sectors or credits of particular concern. For certain transactions, we conduct analysis and remodeling of the transaction.

Closely Monitored Credits

The Company's surveillance department is responsible for monitoring our portfolio of credits and managing risk. Closely monitored credits are divided into four categories:

Category 1 (low priority; fundamentally sound, greater than normal risk);

Category 2 (medium priority; weakening credit profile, may result in loss);

Category 3 (high priority; claim/default probable, case reserve established);

Category 4 (claim paid, case reserve established for future payments).

The closely monitored credits include all below investment grade ("BIG") exposures where there is a material risk of the Company incurring a loss greater than \$0.5 million or a material risk of the Company incurring a loss greater than \$10.0 million where credit quality is deteriorating and where, in the view of the Company, there is significant potential for loss. As of December 31, 2008, the closely monitored credits include approximately 99% of our BIG exposure, and the remaining 1% is spread across 89 different credits. Other than those excluded BIG credits, credits that are not included in the closely monitored credits are considered sound risks.

Table of Contents**Assured Guaranty Ltd.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006****11. Significant Risk Management Activities (Continued)**

The following table provides financial guaranty net par outstanding by credit monitoring category as of

	Closely Monitored Credit		
	Category 1	Category 2	Category 3
Number of policies	53	33	
Remaining weighted-average contract period (in years)	15.8	17.3	
Insured contractual payments outstanding:			
Principal	\$ 1,101.9	\$ 670.9	\$ 2,000.0
Interest	836.5	308.7	
Total	\$ 1,938.4	\$ 979.6	\$ 2,000.0
Gross reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses			
	\$ 0.2	\$ 1.2	\$ 1.2
Less:			
Gross potential recoveries			
Discount, net			
Net reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses			
	\$ 0.2	\$ 1.2	\$ 1.2
Reinsurance recoverable	\$	\$	\$

The Company's loss adjustment expenses for mitigating claim liabilities were \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008.

In accordance with FAS 163, the above table includes financial guaranty contracts written in insurance form, mortgage guaranty insurance or the Company's other lines of insurance.

The Company insures various types of residential mortgage-backed securitizations ("RMBS"). Such transactions include first mortgage loans and closed and open-end second mortgage loans or home equity loans on one-to-four family residential properties, cooperative apartments. An RMBS transaction where the underlying collateral is comprised of revolving home equity loans is referred to as a "HELOC" transaction. In general, the collateral supporting HELOC securitizations are second lien loans on residential properties. As of December 31, 2008, the Company had net par outstanding of \$1.7 billion for transactions written in the Company's financial guaranty direct segment ("direct Countrywide transactions" or "Countrywide 2005-July 2008"). The Company had net par outstanding of \$2.4 billion related to HELOC securitizations, of which \$2.1 billion was written in the Company's financial guaranty direct segment.

The performance of our HELOC exposures deteriorated during 2007 and 2008 and transactions, particularly those written in 2007, continue to perform below our expectations.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

11. Significant Risk Management Activities (Continued)

original underwriting expectations. In accordance with its standard practice, during the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company reviewed historical loss information, including trends in delinquencies and charge-offs on the underlying loans, draw rates on the loans, and other information related to its obligations including its obligation to fund additional draws. The key assumptions used in our analysis of the Company's obligations and transactions are presented in the following table:

Key Variables

Constant payment rate (CPR)	3-month average, 7.8% as of December 31, 2008
Constant default rate (CDR)	6-month average CDR of approximately 19.21% during months 1-9, declining to 1.0% at the end of month 15. From months 16 onward, a 1.0% CDR is assumed.
Draw rate	3-month average, 1.2% as of December 31, 2008
Excess spread	250 bps per annum
Repurchases of Ineligible loans by Countrywide	\$49.3 million; or approximately 2.1% of original pool balance of \$2.4 billion
Loss Severity	100%

In recent periods, CDR, CPR, Draw Rates and delinquency percentages have fluctuated within ranges that are consistent with the Company's project future performance. Accordingly, the Company is using modeling assumptions that are based upon historical data and are used to project future performance and potential losses. During 2008, the Company extended the time frame during which the Company's model is used, and also revised its assumptions with respect to the overall shape of the default and loss curves. Among other things, the Company believes that projected defaults will occur over the near term. This revision was based upon management's judgment that the current economic conditions could lead to a longer period in which default rates remain high. The Company continues to use a variety of CDR rates and stress periods as well as other modeling approaches including roll rates and hybrid

As a result of this modeling and analysis, the Company incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses of \$87.2 million after-tax in 2008. The Company's cumulative incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses on the direct Countrywide transaction as of December 31, 2008, are expected to recover \$59.0 million from the receipt of excess spread from future cash flows as well as funding "salvage recoverable" on the balance sheet.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

11. Significant Risk Management Activities (Continued)

There were no incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses or salvage recoverable amounts on these transactions.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses of \$130.0 million related to the Company's financial guaranty direct segment, including \$111.0 million of incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses related to Countrywide transactions. The remaining \$38.1 million of incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses related to the Company's financial guaranty reinsurance segment.

The ultimate performance of the Company's HELOC transactions will depend on many factors, such as the performance of the loans generated by the securitized loans, repayment speeds and changes in home prices, as well as the levels of credit loss. These factors may have a material impact upon the ultimate performance of each transaction, including the ability of the borrowers to make payments, including its obligation to fund future draws on lines of credit, as well as the amount of benefit received from the transactions. As variables affecting transaction performance are interrelated, difficult to predict and subject to considerable uncertainty, the losses incurred could be materially different from our estimate. We continue to update our estimate as more information becomes available.

A summary of the Company's exposure to these two deals and their actual performance statistics through December 31, 2008 is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Country 2008
Original principal balance	\$
Remaining principal balance	\$
Cumulative losses (% of original principal balance)(1)	
Total delinquencies (% of current balance)(2)	
Average initial FICO score of borrowers(3)	
Interest margin over prime(4)	
Revolving period(5)	
Repayment period(6)	
Average draw rate(7)	
Average constant payment rate(8)	
Excess spread(9)	

- (1) Cumulative collateral losses expressed as a percentage of the original deal balance.
- (2) Total delinquencies (loans >30 days past due) as a percentage of the current deal balance.
- (3) Fair Isaacs and Company score is a measurement designed to indicate the credit quality of a borrower.
- (4) Floating rate charged to borrowers above the prime rate.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

11. Significant Risk Management Activities (Continued)

- (5) Time period (usually 5 - 10 years) in which the borrower may draw funds from their HELOC.
- (6) Time period (usually 10 - 20 years) in which the borrower must repay the funds withdrawn from the HELOC.
- (7) Represents the three-month average draw rate as of December 2008.
- (8) Represents the three-month average constant payment rate as of December 2008.
- (9) Excess spread during December 2008.

Another type of RMBS transaction is generally referred to as "Subprime RMBS". The collateral supporting mortgage loans made to subprime borrowers. A "subprime borrower" is one considered to be a higher risk of December 31, 2008, we had net par outstanding of \$6.6 billion related to Subprime RMBS securitization Investment Grade risk. Of the total U.S. Subprime RMBS exposure of \$6.6 billion, \$6.1 billion is from transactions written in our direct financial guaranty segment. As of December 31, 2008, we had portfolio reserves of \$0.7 billion related to our \$6.6 billion U.S. Subprime RMBS exposure, of which \$6.9 million were portfolio reserves related to our \$0.7 billion for transactions issued from 2005 through 2007.

The problems affecting the subprime mortgage market have been widely reported, with rising delinquency performance of Subprime RMBS transactions. Those concerns relate primarily to Subprime RMBS issued exposure that we have to such transactions in our direct financial guaranty segment benefits from various sources. The average currently equals approximately 54.3% of the remaining principal balance of the transactions.

We also have exposure of \$433.1 million to Closed-End Second ("CES") RMBS transactions, of which \$16.2 million of RMBS, we have seen significant deterioration in the performance of our CES transactions. On two transactions we have seen a significant increase in delinquencies and collateral losses, which resulted in erosion of the portfolio reserves totaling \$16.2 million. Based on the Company's analysis of these transaction and their projected collateral losses as of December 31, 2008. Additionally, as of December 31, 2008, the Company had portfolio reserves of \$0.1 million in portfolio reserves in its financial guaranty reinsurance segment related to its U.S. Closed-End Second RMBS.

Another type of RMBS transaction is generally referred to as "Alt-A RMBS". The collateral supporting mortgage loans made to prime quality borrowers that lack certain ancillary characteristics that would make them ARMs, which include transactions where 66% or more of the collateral is comprised of mortgage loans that are not ARMs. As of December 31, 2008, the Company had net par outstanding of \$7.6 billion related to Alt-A RMBS securitization in the period from 2005 through 2007 and written in

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

11. Significant Risk Management Activities (Continued)

the Company's financial guaranty direct segment. As of December 31, 2008, the Company had portfolio related to its \$7.6 billion Alt-A RMBS exposure, in the financial guaranty direct and reinsurance segments.

The ultimate performance of the Company's RMBS transactions remains highly uncertain and may be affected by many factors, including the level and timing of loan defaults, changes in housing prices and other variables. The Company will adjust its RMBS exposures and will adjust the risk ratings of those transactions based on actual performance and

The Company has exposure on two life insurance reserve securitization transactions based on two direct subsidiaries, Scottish Re (U.S.) Inc. ("Scottish Re"). The two transactions relate to Ballantyne Re p.l.c. ("Ballantyne") ("Orkney II") (gross exposure of \$423 million). Under both transactions, monies raised through the issuance of securities are held in statutory life insurance reserve requirements. The monies were invested at inception of each transaction in investment accounts managed by the Company. However, those investment accounts have incurred substantial mark-to-market losses since mid-2007 on subprime and Alt-A RMBS transactions. Largely as a result of these mark-to-market losses both we and the Company have downgraded Ballantyne and Orkney II to below investment grade. As regards the Ballantyne transaction, the Company has an exposure of \$900 million, to remediate the risk. On the Orkney Re II transaction, the Company, as directing

Some credit losses have been realized on the securities in the Ballantyne and Orkney Re II portfolios. Performance of the underlying blocks of life insurance business thus far generally has been in accordance with the requirements of the investment accounts and the treaty settlements currently is sufficient to cover interest payments due on the securities. However, a rise in credit losses on the invested assets are expected to lead to interest shortfalls. Additionally, the transaction structure, including the invested assets, reserve funding requirements on the underlying blocks of life insurance business, and minimum cash requirements that may trigger a shut off of interest payments to the insured notes and thereby result in claim payments being

Another key risk is that the occurrence of certain events may result in a situation where either Ballantyne or Orkney Re II potentially realize substantial investment losses and for Assured Guaranty Ltd. to incur corresponding insured losses. For example, cedants to Scottish Re may have the right to recapture blocks of life insurance business which Scottish Re may require Orkney Re II to sell assets and realize investment losses. In the Ballantyne transaction, further declines in the value of the invested assets could lead to a similar mandatory realization of investment losses. An increase in the reserve funding requirements could lead to a similar mandatory realization of investment losses. The Company will insure insured losses ahead of the scheduled final maturity date.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

11. Significant Risk Management Activities (Continued)

In order for the Company to incur an ultimate net loss on these transactions, adverse experience on the losses in the investment portfolio would need to exceed the level of credit enhancement built into the transactions currently available, including estimates of future investment performance, projected credit impairments on the insurance business, at December 31, 2008, the Company established a case reserve of \$17.2 million for the case loss reserve for the Orkney Re II transaction.

On December 19, 2008, the Company sued J.P. Morgan Investment Management Inc. ("JPMIM"), the York Supreme Court alleging that JPMIM engaged in breaches of fiduciary duty, gross negligence and breach of Orkney Re II. JPMIM requested and was given an extension of time to answer until the end of February 2009.

The Company has exposure to a public finance transaction for sewer service in Jefferson County, Alabama. The total exposure to this transaction is approximately \$456 million as of December 31, 2008. The Company has to make additional payments in the near term. Through our cedants, the Company is currently in discussions with the cedants in some or all of these payments being recoverable. A case reserve of \$6.0 million has been established as of December 31, 2008.

12. Income Taxes

The Company and its Bermuda Subsidiaries are not subject to any income, withholding or capital gains tax. The Company received an assurance from the Minister of Finance in Bermuda that, in the event of any taxes being imposed on the Company, the Company is exempt from taxation in Bermuda until March 28, 2016.

The Company's U.S. subsidiaries are subject to income taxes imposed by U.S. authorities and file U.S. tax returns.

Prior to the IPO in April 2004, Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc. ("AGUS"), Assured Guaranty Company ("AGC") was an AGC subsidiary prior to its merger into AGC in December 2006, AG Financial Products Inc. ("AGFP") filed U.S. income tax returns in the consolidated U.S. tax return of its former shareholder. For periods after April 2004, AGFP and AFP (for the period ended May 18, 2005) file a consolidated U.S. tax return. After the merger into AGC in December 2006, AGFP and AFP (for the period ended May 18, 2005) file a consolidated U.S. tax return. US Holdings Inc. ("AGOUS") and its subsidiaries, Assured Guaranty Re Overseas Ltd. ("AGRO"), Assured Guaranty Re Intermediary Inc., have historically filed a consolidated federal income tax return. AGRO, a Bermuda domiciled company, is treated under the Internal Revenue Code to be taxed as a U.S. domestic corporation. Each company, as a member of its respective group, bears a proportionate share of the consolidated federal tax burden.

Table of Contents**Assured Guaranty Ltd.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006****12. Income Taxes (Continued)**

for its group as if each company filed on a separate return basis with current period credit for net losses.

The following table provides the Company's income tax (benefit) provision and effective tax rates:

	For the Years 2008	
	(in thousands)	
Current tax (benefit) provision	\$ 332	\$
Deferred tax provision (benefit)	43,116	(
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	\$43,448	\$
Effective tax rate	38.7%	

The change in the effective tax rate from year to year is primarily due changes in the proportion of provisions to statutory rates.

Reconciliation of the difference between the provision for income taxes and the expected tax provision:

	For the Years Ended	
	2008	2007
	(in thousands of U.S. dollars)	
Expected tax provision at statutory rates in taxable jurisdictions	\$ 59,961	\$(135,900)
Tax-exempt interest	(16,272)	(13,360)
Change in FIN 48 liability	2,306	(10,150)
Other	(2,547)	(3,350)
Total provision for income taxes	\$ 43,448	\$(159,750)

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

12. Income Taxes (Continued)

The deferred income tax asset (liability) reflects the tax effect of the following temporary differences:

Deferred tax assets:
Unrealized losses on derivative financial instruments
Reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses
Tax and loss bonds
Net operating loss carry forward
Alternative minimum tax credit
Tax basis step-up
Unrealized depreciation on investments
Credit derivative assets/liabilities
Other
Total deferred income tax assets
Deferred tax liabilities:
Deferred acquisition costs
Unearned premium reserves
Contingency reserves
Unrealized appreciation on investments
Unrealized gains on committed capital securities
Other
Total deferred income tax liabilities
Valuation allowance
Net deferred income tax asset

As of December 31, 2008, AGRO had a standalone net operating loss carry forward ("NOL") of \$47.9 million, which is available to offset its future U.S. taxable income. The Company has \$27.2 million of this NOL through 2023. AGRO's stand alone NOL is not permitted to offset the income of any other members of AGRO. As a result, we are required to establish a valuation allowance for NOLs that we believe are more likely than not to expire before the likelihood of realization of all of its deferred tax assets. Based on this analysis, management believes it is more likely than not that the \$47.9 million NOL will not be utilized before it expires and has established a \$7.0 million valuation allowance. Management believes that all other deferred income taxes are more-likely-than-not to be realized. The valuation allowance will be adjusted to the extent actual taxable income differs from estimates of future taxable income that

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Con

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

12. Income Taxes (Continued)

Taxation of Subsidiaries

The Company's Bermuda subsidiaries are not subject to any income, withholding or capital gains tax. U.S. subsidiaries are subject to income taxes imposed by U.S. and U.K. authorities and file applicable tax returns. The Company has elected under Section 953(d) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code to be taxed as a U.S. domestic corporation.

The U.S. Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") has completed audits of all of the Company's U.S. subsidiaries for 2001. In September 2007, the IRS completed its audit of tax years 2002 through 2004 for Assured Guaranty Ltd. The audit includes Assured Guaranty Overseas US Holdings Inc., AGRO, Assured Guaranty Mortgage Insurance Co. and AG Financial Products. There were no significant findings and no cash settlements with the IRS. In addition the IRS is reviewing Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc., AGC and AG Financial Products and were part of the consolidated tax return for the IPO. The Company is indemnified by ACE for any potential tax liability associated with the tax examination. In addition, tax years 2005 and subsequent remain open.

Uncertain Tax Positions

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" ("FIN 48"), on January 1, 2007. As a result of the adoption of FIN 48, the Company reduced its liability for unrecognized tax benefits by \$2.6 million. The total liability for unrecognized tax benefits as of January 1, 2007 was \$12.9 million. The effective tax rate.

Subsequent to the adoption of FIN 48, the IRS published final regulations on the treatment of consolidated tax returns. The effective tax rate on certain capital losses is no longer at a level that would require recording an associated liability for an unrecognized tax benefit. As a result, the liability for unrecognized tax benefits and its provision for income taxes \$4.1 million during the period ended December 31, 2008. During the IRS audit of Assured Guaranty Overseas US Holdings Inc. and subsidiaries, the liability for unrecognized tax benefits was \$5.1 million. The total liability for unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$5.1 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, on the balance sheet. During the year ended December 31, 2008 the net liability of \$2.8 million as of December 31, 2008. Management intends to take on the Company's 2008 tax return. The Company does not believe the liability will change significantly in the next twelve months.

The Company's policy is to recognize interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. The Company accrued \$0.9 million in interest and penalties.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

12. Income Taxes (Continued)

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of the total liability for unrecognized tax benefits:

(in thousands of U.S. dollars)

Balance as of January 1, 2008

Increase in unrecognized tax benefits as a result of position taken during the current period

Balance as of December 31, 2008

Liability For Tax Basis Step-Up Adjustment

In connection with the IPO, the Company and ACE Financial Services Inc. ("AFS"), a subsidiary of the Company and AFS made a "Section 338 (h)(10)" election that has the effect of increasing the tax basis of certain assets to fair value. Future tax benefits that the Company derives from the election will be payable to AFS when realized.

As a result of the election, the Company has adjusted its net deferred tax liability, to reflect the new tax basis. The Company is expected to result in increased future income tax deductions and, accordingly, may reduce income taxes payable by the Company will be paid to AFS. Such tax benefits will generally be calculated by comparing the Company's tax liability would have been owed by those subsidiaries had the increase in basis not occurred. After a 15 year period, the Company and AFS will negotiate a settlement of the unrealized benefit based on the expected realization of the tax benefits.

The Company initially recorded a \$49.0 million reduction of its existing deferred tax liability, based on the Section 338(h)(10) election. Under the tax allocation agreement, the Company estimated that, as of the IPO, the Company accordingly established this amount as a liability. The initial difference, which is attributable to the change in tax basis associated step-up in the tax basis of its assets and no amounts due to AFS, resulted in an increase to additional tax liability of \$49.0 million. As of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the liability for tax basis step-up adjustment, which is included in the Company's consolidated balance sheet, was \$49.0 million and \$9.9 million, respectively. The Company has paid ACE and correspondingly reduced its liability by \$49.0 million and \$9.9 million, respectively.

Tax Treatment of CDS

The Company treats the guaranty it provides on CDS as insurance contracts for tax purposes and as such, the Company is required to make a loss payment to the buyer of credit protection based upon the occurrence of one or more specified events, such as the obligation or entity. The Company holds its CDS to maturity, at which time any unrealized mark to market value of the CDS is realized.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

12. Income Taxes (Continued)

December 31, 2008, the Company did not anticipate any significant credit related losses on its credit default swaps.

The tax treatment of CDS is an unsettled area of the law. The uncertainty relates to the IRS's determination of whether CDS is either subject to capital gain (loss) or ordinary income (loss) treatment. In treating CDS as insurance contracts, the Company makes payment of losses as ordinary income and believes it is more likely than not that any CDS credit related losses will be treated as ordinary income. The IRS takes the view that the losses are capital losses in the future and the Company incurred actual losses as capital losses. The Company's taxable income of the same character within the carryback and carryforward period available under the tax law.

As of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 the deferred tax assets associated with CDS were \$10 million and \$10 million, respectively. The Company came to the conclusion that it is more likely than not that its deferred tax asset related to CDS will be fully realizable. The evidence that was considered included the following:

Negative Evidence

Although the Company believes that income or losses for these credit default swaps are taxable as ordinary income, the federal tax treatment is an unsettled area of tax law as described above.

Changes in the fair value of CDS have resulted in significant swings in the Company's consolidated tax group having a pre-tax loss in future periods could result in the U.S. consolidated tax group having a pre-tax loss, which is considered significant negative evidence under FAS 109.

For the three year period ended December 31, 2008 the US consolidated tax group had a cumulative pre-tax loss.

Positive Evidence

The mark to market loss on CDS is not considered a tax event, and therefore no taxable loss is recognized.

The Company is in a cumulative net gain position related to the taxable activity on CDS.

The Company has no significant anticipated loss payments under its existing CDS contracts.

After analysis of the current tax law on CDS the Company believes it is more likely than not that the losses will be treated as ordinary income for tax purposes.

Table of Contents**Assured Guaranty Ltd.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006****12. Income Taxes (Continued)**

Assuming a hypothetical loss were triggered for the amount of deferred tax asset ("DTA") carryback and future income to offset it as follows:

The amortization of the tax-basis unearned premium reserve of \$528.0 million on the installment premiums of contracts already written we believe will result in significant tax benefits.

The Company has the ability to carryback losses two years which would offset the tax liability.

Although the Company has a significant tax exempt portfolio, this can be considered a tax strategy under FAS 109.

The mark-to-market loss is reflective of market valuations and will change if the Company has the ability to enter new business. The Company writes and continues to write new business in its premium and investment portfolio resulting in expected taxable income in future periods.

After examining all of the available positive and negative evidence, the Company believes that no valuation allowance is needed on the tax asset. The Company will continue to analyze the need for a valuation allowance on a quarter to quarter basis.

13. Analysis of Premiums Written, Premiums Earned And Loss And Loss Adjustment Expenses

The Company enters into reinsurance agreements with non-affiliated companies to limit its exposure to reinsurance. If the reinsurers are unable to meet their obligations, the Company would be liable for such defaulted amounts. Loss and loss adjustment expense amounts for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were as follows (2008 amounts in Note 2):

	For the Years	
	2008	
	(in thousands)	
Premiums Written		
Direct	\$ 484,727	\$
Assumed	133,543	
Ceded	(13,714)	
Net	\$ 604,556	\$
Premiums Earned		
Direct	\$ 93,406	\$
Assumed	176,332	
Ceded	(8,340)	
Net	\$ 261,398	\$

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

13. Analysis of Premiums Written, Premiums Earned And Loss And Loss Adjustment Expenses (Continued)

	For the Years 2008 (in thousands)
Loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)	
Direct	\$ 199,027
Assumed	64,890
Ceded	1,845
Net	\$ 265,762

Reinsurance recoverable on ceded losses and LAE as of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 are mainly related to the Company's other segment. In the event that any or all of the reinsurers are unable to pay their share of the ceded amounts, \$4.8 million and \$8.8 million, respectively, relate to reinsurance agreements with reinsurers.

Agreement with CIFG Assurance North America, Inc.

AGC entered into an agreement with CIFG Assurance North America, Inc. ("CIFG") to assume a diversified portfolio of financial guaranty contracts totaling approximately \$13.3 billion of net par outstanding. The Company closed the transaction in January 2009 and received approximately \$12.2 million of upfront premiums net of ceding commissions, and approximately \$12.2 million of future installments related to the transaction.

XLFA Commutation

Effective July 25, 2008, AG Re commuted its \$2.1 billion portfolio of business assumed from XLFA. The commutation resulted in the recognition of \$14.6 million of unearned premium, net of ceding commissions, and loss reserves of \$5.2 million, resulting in a net benefit of \$9.4 million.

Agreement with Ambac Assurance Corporation

On December 13, 2007, AG Re reinsured a diversified portfolio of financial guaranty contracts totaling approximately \$1.5 billion of net par outstanding. The portfolio was reinsured under AG Re's existing master facultative reinsurance agreement with Ambac Assurance Corporation ("Ambac"), a subsidiary of Ambac Financial Group, Inc. The ceded contracts were reinsured under AG Re's existing master facultative reinsurance agreement with Ambac. Ambac agreed to provide reinsurance under the terms of Ambac's current surplus share treaty program that expires on December 31, 2008. The opportunity to provide reinsurance under the terms of Ambac's surplus share treaty programs that commenced on January 1, 2007 and terminated on December 31, 2007 was not exercised by AG Re. AG Re's reinsurance program in those periods.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

14. Insurance Regulations

AGC is a Maryland domiciled insurance company and a subsidiary of the Company. Under Maryland law, the amount available for distribution as dividends is subject to certain statutory provisions, which generally prohibit the payment of an amount exceeding the lesser of 10% of surplus or net investment income (at the preceding December 31) to the Company. Insurance. The amount available for distribution from the Company during 2009 with notice to, but without approval of the Maryland Insurance Administration under the Maryland insurance law is approximately \$37.8 million. During the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, the amount available for distribution was \$12.1 million and \$13.8 million, respectively, in dividends to AGUS. Under Maryland insurance regulations, the amount of surplus of \$750,000.

AG Re's and AGRO's dividend distribution are governed by Bermuda law. Under Bermuda law, dividends are not to be paid unless the Company is, or would after the payment be, able to pay its liabilities as they become due and discharge its obligations, less than the aggregate of its liabilities and issued share capital and share premium accounts. Distributions of dividends are subject to a 15% limitation without prior approval of the Bermuda Monetary Authority. Dividends are not to be paid unless the Company at all times (i) maintain the minimum solvency margin required under the Insurance Act of 1978 and (ii) have reasonable grounds to believe that its liabilities, both as defined under the Insurance Act of 1978. The amount available at AG Re to pay dividends was \$1,125.0 million. However, any distribution which results in a reduction of 15% or more of AG Re's total assets as shown on its consolidated statements, would require the prior approval of the Bermuda Monetary Authority. During 2008, AG Re declared dividends to Assured Guaranty Ltd. During 2007 and 2006, AG Re declared dividends of \$36.0 million and paid \$35.3 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

15. Related Party Transactions

In 2004 the Company entered into reinsurance transactions with ACE subsidiaries as part of the IPO. The Company is no longer written. The following table summarizes the activity with ACE subsidiaries ("affiliated") in the income statements (certain 2007 and 2006 amounts have been reclassified as discussed in Note 2). Total reinsurance transactions.

	For the 2008 (in millions)
Net earned premiums	
Non-affiliated:	
Gross written premiums	\$ 618,2
Ceded written premiums	(10,1)
Net written premiums	608,1
Increase in net unearned premium reserves	(343,2)
Non-affiliated net earned premiums	264,8
Affiliated:	
Gross written premiums	
Ceded written premiums	(3,5)
Net written premiums	(3,5)
Decrease (increase) in net unearned premium reserves	5
Affiliated net earned premiums	(3,4)
Total	261,3
Net investment income	162,5
Net realized investment losses	(69,8)
Change in fair value of credit derivatives	
Realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives	117,5
Unrealized (losses) gains on credit derivatives	38,0
Net change in fair value of credit derivatives	155,6
Other income	43,4
Total revenues	\$ 553,1

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

15. Related Party Transactions (Continued)

	For the 2008 (in thousands)
Loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)	
Non-affiliated	\$ 265,7
Affiliated	
Total	265,7
Profit commission expense	
Non-affiliated	1,3
Affiliated	
Total	1,3
Acquisition costs	
Non-affiliated	61,2
Affiliated	
Total	61,2
Other operating expenses	83,4
Interest expense	23,2
Other expense	5,7
Total expenses	440,8
Income (loss) before provision (benefit) for income taxes	112,3
Total provision (benefit) for income taxes	43,4
Net income (loss)	\$ 68,8

220

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

15. Related Party Transactions (Continued)

The following table summarizes the affiliated components of each balance sheet item, where applicable.

Assets
Prepaid reinsurance premiums
Reinsurance recoverable on ceded losses
Other assets
Total affiliate assets
Non-affiliate assets
Total assets
Liabilities and shareholders' equity
Liabilities
Unearned premium reserves
Reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses
Funds held by Company under reinsurance agreements
Other liabilities
Total affiliate liabilities
Non-affiliate liabilities
Total liabilities
Total shareholders' equity
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity

221

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

15. Related Party Transactions (Continued)

The following table summarizes the non-affiliated and affiliated components of cash flows from operations:

	For the 2008 (in millions)
Affiliated	\$ (5,950)
Non-affiliated	432,940
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	\$ 426,980
Affiliated	\$
Non-affiliated	(649,610)
Net cash flows used in investing activities	\$ (649,610)
Affiliated(1)(2)	\$ 250,000
Non-affiliated	(20,660)
Net cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	\$ 229,330

(1) 2008 amount represents net proceeds from common stock issued to WL Ross. See Note 1.

(2) 2006 amount represents \$150.0 million share repurchase from ACE and \$2.0 million repayment to ACE.

Transactions and Agreements with ACE

During 2008, 2007 and 2006, ACE provided certain general and administrative services to some of the Company's subsidiaries. In 2008 and 2007 those services were information technology related services and in 2006 also included in the Company's consolidated financial statements related to these services were \$0.1 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. Effective January 1, 2007, the tax consulting and preparation services are no longer provided by ACE.

16. Commitments and Contingencies**Litigation**

Effective January 1, 2004, Assured Guaranty Mortgage Insurance Company ("AGMIC") reinsured a portion of the Company's mortgage insurance business under an Assured Guaranty Mortgage Insurance Stop Loss Excess of Loss Reinsurance Agreement (the "Agreement"). Under the Agreement, AGMIC is responsible for the payment of mortgage insurance losses in excess of a \$25 million retention and subject to a \$95 million limit. Coverage is provided to the Reinsured's: (1) combined loss ratio exceeded 100%; and (2) risk to capital ratio exceeded 25 to 1, accordingly, AGMIC notified the Reinsured it was terminating the Agreement because of the Reinsured's breach of the terms of the Agreement.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

16. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

AGMIC that it considers the Agreement to remain in effect and that the two coverage triggers under the Agreement. In December 2008, the Reinsured demanded arbitration against AGMIC seeking a declaration that the Agreement remained in effect. The arbitration hearing took place before a three person panel in December 2008 and January 2009. Post hearing, on February 26, 2009, and the arbitration panel could render its decision at any time thereafter.

It is the opinion of the Company's management, based upon the information available, that the expected outcome of the arbitration, in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or liquidity, although the outcome of the arbitration could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations in a particular quarter.

Real Estate Leases

The Company and its subsidiaries are party to various lease agreements. In June 2008, the Company's five-year lease agreement for New York office space. Future minimum annual payments of \$5.3 million for the first year and subsequent twelve month periods commenced October 1, 2008 and are subject to escalation in building operating expenses. Future minimum rental payments under the terms of these operating leases for office space are \$7.1 million in 2009, \$5.1 million in 2013 and \$1.1 million thereafter. These payments are subject to escalations in building operating expenses. The amount of expense for the period ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 was approximately \$5.7 million, \$3.5 million and \$3.0 million, respectively.

Reinsurance

In the ordinary course of their respective businesses, certain of the Company's subsidiaries assert claims against reinsurers for amounts not paid in prior periods. The amounts, if any, the Company will recover in these proceedings are uncertain, although the amount of recovery during any quarter or fiscal year could be material to the Company's results of operations in that particular quarter.

During 2006, the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, AGRO, and a number of other parties, completed litigation with National Century Financial Enterprises Inc. Investment Litigation now pending in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. The Company received approximately \$0.4 million (pre-tax) in 2008, \$1.3 million (pre-tax) in 2007 and \$13.5 million (pre-tax) in 2006. The Company's claims in 2003 of approximately \$41.7 million (pre-tax) related to National Century Financial Enterprises Inc. The Company has recovered \$20.5 million (pre-tax), representing a partial settlement of the litigation. The litigation is currently pending.

The Company is party to reinsurance agreements with most of the major monoline primary financial guarantors. The reinsurance treaty agreements are generally subject to termination (i) upon written notice (ranging from 90 to 120 days) or (ii) upon the occurrence of a specified event.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Con

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

16. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

deadline for renewal, (ii) at the option of the primary insurer if the Company fails to maintain certain financial strength rating equivalent to or more stringent than those the Company is otherwise required to maintain for its own company or (iii) upon certain changes of control specified financial strength rating for the particular insurance subsidiary or (iii) upon certain changes of control set forth in (ii) and (iii) above, the Company may be required (under some of its reinsurance agreements) to pay premiums, less ceding commissions, attributable to reinsurance ceded pursuant to such agreements after with respect to the ceded business. Upon the occurrence of the conditions set forth in (ii) above, whether or not the Company obtains a letter of credit or alternative form of security to collateralize its obligation to perform under such agreements, the Company will pay the ceding commission paid.

17. Concentrations

The Company's client base includes all of the major monoline primary financial guaranty insurance companies and reinsurance companies. Of the Company's total non-CDS gross premiums written for the year ended December 31, 2006, 22.0% came from FSA. No other client represented more than 10% of the Company's total non-derivative gross premiums written for the year ended December 31, 2006, 22.0% came from FSA. No other client represented more than 10% of the Company's total non-derivative gross premiums written for the year ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006.

18. Long-Term Debt

The Company's consolidated financial statements include long-term debt used to fund the Company's operations as described below.

Senior Notes

On May 18, 2004, AGUS, a subsidiary of the Company, issued \$200.0 million of 7.0% Senior Notes. The proceeds from the offering were used to repay substantially all of a \$200.0 million promissory note issued to a subsidiary of the Company in March 2004, the term of which matches that of the Senior Notes. The Company recorded an amortized gain on the cash flow hedge, for each of the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, related to the Senior Notes guaranteed by Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Series A Enhanced Junior Subordinated Debentures

On December 20, 2006, AGUS issued \$150.0 million of Series A Enhanced Junior Subordinated Debentures. The proceeds of the offering were \$149.7 million. The proceeds of the

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

18. Long-Term Debt (Continued)

offerings were used to repurchase 5,692,599 of Assured Guaranty Ltd.'s common shares from ACE Bermuda. The debentures bear a fixed 6.40% rate of interest until December 15, 2016, and thereafter pay a floating rate of interest, reset quarterly to 2.38%. AGUS may elect at one or more times to defer payment of interest for one or more consecutive periods at the then applicable rate. AGUS may not defer interest past the maturity date. The Company recorded interest expense of \$10.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. These Debentures are guaranteed on an equal basis by AGC, AG (UK), AG Re and AGRO.

Credit Facilities

2006 Credit Facility

On November 6, 2006, Assured Guaranty Ltd. and certain of its subsidiaries entered into a \$300.0 million "2006 credit facility" with a syndicate of banks. Under the 2006 credit facility, each of AGC, AG (UK), AG Re, and AGRO requested the banks to make loans to such borrower or to request that letters of credit be issued for the account of such borrower.

Of the \$300.0 million available to be borrowed, no more than \$100.0 million may be borrowed by Assured Guaranty Ltd. in the aggregate, and no more than \$20.0 million may be borrowed by AG (UK). The stated amount of all outstanding letters of credit in respect of all letters of credit cannot, in the aggregate, exceed \$100.0 million.

The 2006 credit facility also provides that Assured Guaranty Ltd. may request that the commitment of the banks be increased to a maximum aggregate amount of \$400.0 million. Any such incremental commitment increase is subject to a minimum increase of at least \$25.0 million.

The proceeds of the loans and letters of credit are to be used for the working capital and other general corporate purposes, including reinsurance transactions.

At the closing of the 2006 credit facility, (i) AGC guaranteed the obligations of AG (UK) under such facility and agreed that, if the Company Consolidated Assets (as defined in the 2006 credit facility) were to fall below \$1.2 billion, it would, within 15 days, guarantee the obligations of AGC and AG (UK) under such facility and (ii) AGRO Holdings Inc. guaranteed the obligations of Assured Guaranty Ltd., AG Re and AGRO under such facility as well as Assured Guaranty Ltd.

The 2006 credit facility's financial covenants require that Assured Guaranty Ltd. (a) maintain a minimum Consolidated Net Worth of Assured Guaranty Ltd. as of the most recent fiscal quarter of Assured Guaranty Ltd. and (b) maintain a maximum debt-to-capital ratio of 30%. In addition, the 2006 credit facility requires that AGC maintain a minimum Consolidated Net Worth as of the fiscal

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

18. Long-Term Debt (Continued)

quarter prior to November 6, 2006. Furthermore, the 2006 credit facility contains restrictions on Assured Guaranty Ltd. and its subsidiaries, in respect of their ability to incur debt, permit liens, become liable in respect of guaranties, make loans, dissolve or become party to a merger, consolidation or acquisition, dispose of assets or enter into affiliate transactions, subject to certain minimum thresholds and exceptions. The 2006 credit facility has customary events of default, including (s) payment default, failure to comply with covenants, material inaccuracy of representation or warranty, bank insolvency, and cross-default to other debt agreements. A default by one borrower will give rise to a right of the lenders to accelerate all amounts outstanding. As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, Assured Guaranty was in compliance with all of those financial covenants.

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, no amounts were outstanding under this facility nor have there been any amounts drawn.

The 2006 credit facility replaced a \$300.0 million three-year credit facility. Letters of credit for totaling \$100.0 million were outstanding as of December 31, 2008 discussed in Note 16. No letters of credit were outstanding as of December 31, 2007.

Non-Recourse Credit Facilities

AG Re Credit Facility

On July 31, 2007 AG Re entered into a non-recourse credit facility ("AG Re Credit Facility") with a syndicate of lenders to satisfy certain reinsurance agreements and obligations. The AG Re Credit Facility expires in July 2014.

The AG Re Credit Facility does not contain any financial covenants. The AG Re Credit Facility has customary events of default (including materiality thresholds and grace periods) payment default, failure to comply with covenants, material inaccuracy of representation or warranty, insolvency proceedings, change of control and cross-default to other debt agreements. If any such event of default occurs, the lenders may accelerate potential outstanding borrowings in an accelerated manner.

AG Re's obligations to make payments of principal and interest on loans under the AG Re Credit Facility are limited recourse obligations of AG Re and are payable solely from the collateral securing the AG Re Credit Facility. The AG Re Credit Facility obligations in a designated portfolio, premiums with respect to defaulted insured obligations in that portfolio.

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, no amounts were outstanding under this facility nor have there been any amounts drawn.

Committed Capital Securities

On April 8, 2005, AGC entered into four separate agreements with four different unaffiliated custodians to establish four separate trusts of the custodial trusts to

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

18. Long-Term Debt (Continued)

purchase up to \$50.0 million of perpetual preferred stock of AGC. The custodial trusts were created as a vehicle to obtain immediate access to new capital at its sole discretion at any time through the exercise of the put option. In return for the issuance of its own perpetual preferred stock, the proceeds of which may be used to exercise the put options were not exercised during 2008, 2007 or 2006. Initially, all of committed capital securities of the special purpose pass-through trust (the "Pass-Through Trust"). The Pass-Through Trust was dissolved in April 2008 and the proceeds were distributed to the holders of the Pass-Through Trust's securities. Neither the Pass-Through Trust nor the Company are included in the consolidated financial statements.

Income distributions on the Pass-Through Trust Securities and CCS Securities were equal to an annualized rate of 10% for periods ending on or prior to April 8, 2008. Following dissolution of the Pass-Through Trust, distributions on the CCS Securities are in process. On April 7, 2008 this auction process failed, thereby increasing the annualized rate on the CCS Securities to 12%. Distributions on the AGC Preferred Stock will be determined pursuant to the same process.

For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Company has incurred \$5.7 million, \$2.6 million and \$2.6 million of interest rate swap premiums which are an on-going expense. The increase in 2008 compared with 2007 was due to the increase in the swap rate from 100 basis points to One-Month LIBOR plus 250 basis points as a result of the failed auction process in April 2008. The increase is reflected in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income under other expense.

The CCS securities had a fair value of \$51.1 million (see Note 5) and \$8.3 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The change in fair value of CCS securities during 2008 and 2007 of \$42.7 million and \$8.3 million, respectively, is reflected in comprehensive income in other income. The change in fair value of CCS securities was \$0 during 2006, as the fair value of CCS securities was \$8.3 million at the beginning and end of the year.

Table of Contents**Assured Guaranty Ltd.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006****19. Shareholders' Equity****General**

The Company has an authorized share capital of \$5.0 million divided into 500,000,000 shares, par value \$0.01 per share. The Company's common shares have no preemptive rights or other rights to subscribe for additional common shares and no sinking fund rights. In the event of liquidation, dissolution or winding-up, the holders of the Company's common shares will receive the number of common shares held by such holder, in the Company's assets, if any remain after the payment of the liquidation preference of any outstanding preferred shares. Under certain circumstances, the Company may purchase its common shares from a shareholder at fair market value. All of the common shares are fully paid and non assessable. Holders of the common shares are entitled to dividends as lawfully may be declared from time to time by the Company's Board of Directors.

Issuance of Shares

Subject to the Company's Bye-Laws and Bermuda law, the Company's Board of Directors has the authority to issue common shares as it determines, including the issuance of any shares or class of shares with preferred, deferred or other special rights.

The following table presents changes in the Company's common stock issued and outstanding for each of the periods presented.

	2008	2007
Beginning balance	79,948,979	67,534
Common stock issuance(1)	11,176,726	12,917
Reclassification to remove nonvested restricted stock due to adoption of FAS 123R(2)		
Share activity under option and incentive plans, net(3)	(170,002)	(69,000)
Common stock repurchases(4)		(433)
Ending balance	90,955,703	79,948

(1) Includes public offering, vesting of restricted stock and shares issued under ESPP.

(2) Prior to the adoption of FAS 123R, the Company reported restricted stock awards as issued common stock. On January 1, 2006, the Company reclassified any unvested restricted stock awards as nonvested restricted stock awards.

(3) Includes shares issued from exercises of stock options and shares repurchased from employees in connection with the vesting of restricted stock awards.

(4) 2006 amount includes 5,692,599 shares of the Company's common stock purchased by Assured Guaranty Insurance Ltd., a subsidiary of ACE. See Note 18. Long-Term Debt, for more information.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

19. Shareholders' Equity (Continued)

Acquisition of Common Shares

Under the Company's Bye-Laws and subject to Bermuda law, if the Company's Board of Directors determines that the repurchase of shares would result in adverse tax, legal or regulatory consequences to us, any of the Company's subsidiaries or any of its affiliates (other than such as the Company's Board of Directors considers de minimis), the Company has the option, at its discretion, to repurchase the shares from the Company or to a third party to whom the Company assigns the repurchase right the minimum number of shares necessary to avoid the adverse consequences at a price determined in the discretion of the Board of Directors to represent the shares (as defined in the Bye-Laws).

At the time of the IPO, ACE beneficially owned 26,000,000 common shares of Assured Guaranty Ltd. In December 2007, the Company repurchased 5,692,599 of the Company's common shares from a subsidiary of ACE. In addition, in December 2007, the Company repurchased common shares to Banc of America Securities LLC. Assured Guaranty did not receive any proceeds from these transactions, ACE's ownership in Assured Guaranty Ltd. was reduced to approximately 19.2 million of Assured Guaranty Ltd. common shares.

Stock Repurchase Programs

On November 8, 2007, the Company's Board of Directors approved a new share repurchase program that may be authorized to take place at management's discretion depending on market conditions. During 2007, the Company paid \$3.7 million to repurchase 1.0 million shares of Common Stock at an average price of \$19.82. No shares were repurchased during 2008.

In May 2006, the Company's Board of Directors approved a share repurchase program for 1.0 million shares of Common Stock at management's discretion depending on market conditions. In August 2007 the Company completed this share repurchase program and paid \$3.7 million and \$21.1 million, respectively, to repurchase 1.0 million shares of its Common Stock at an average price of \$19.82.

Dividend Policy

During 2008, 2007 and 2006 the Company paid dividends of \$16.0 million, or \$0.18 per common share, \$10.5 million, or \$0.14 per common share, respectively. Any determination to pay cash dividends will be made at the discretion of management and will depend upon the Company's results of operations and operating cash flows, its financial position and capital resources, regulatory, rating agency and any contractual restrictions on the payment of dividends and any other factors that may affect the Company's ability to pay dividends. For information concerning regulatory constraints that will affect the Company's ability to pay dividends, see Note 19.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

20. Employee Benefit Plans

Share-Based Compensation

Accounting for Share-Based Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted FAS 123R, which replaces FAS 123 and supersedes transactions with employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized as compensation relative fair values.

Prior to the adoption of FAS 123R, the Company followed the guidance of APB 25 and did not record stock options in the statement of operations, since for all grants the exercise price was equal to the market

The Company elected to use the modified prospective transition method for implementing FAS 123R, which includes: (a) compensation expense for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of, January 1, 2006, in accordance with the original provisions of FAS 123, and (b) compensation expense for all share-based payments granted on or after January 1, 2006, at the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of FAS 123R. Because the Company elected to use the modified prospective transition method, the financial statements for prior periods have not been restated and new awards are valued and accounted for prospectively upon a

Effective January 1, 2006, upon adoption of FAS 123R, the Company began recording share-based compensation for the Company sponsored employee stock purchase plan. Also, the Company began recording the cost associated with the plan for employees.

Share-based compensation expense in 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$11.9 million (\$9.6 million after tax), \$10.0 million (\$7.7 million after tax), respectively. The effect on both basic and diluted earnings per share for 2008 was \$0.21. The effect on basic and diluted earnings per share for 2007 was \$0.21. The effect on basic and diluted earnings per share for 2006 was \$0.14 and \$0.13, respectively. The Company capitalized share-based compensation of \$3.3 million (\$2.9 million after tax), \$5.9 million (\$5.1 million after tax) and \$2.2 million (\$1.8 million after tax) in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. FAS 123R requires these awards be expensed over the period the employee is required to provide service to earn part or all of the award, regardless of the employee's status when they retire and is no longer required to provide service to earn part or all of the award, regardless of the employee's status when they retire. Compensation capitalized in 2008, 2007 and 2006 as DAC was \$3.3 million, \$2.7 million and \$2.6 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

20. Employee Benefit Plans (Continued)

The following table presents pre-DAC and pre-tax, share-based compensation cost by share-based type:

(in thousands of U.S. dollars)	Year Ended
	2008
Share-Based Employee Cost	
<i>Restricted Stock</i>	
Recurring amortization	\$ 6,075
Accelerated amortization for retirement eligible employees	125
Subtotal	6,200
<i>Restricted Stock Units</i>	
Recurring amortization	1,174
Accelerated amortization for retirement eligible employees	1,632
Subtotal	2,806
<i>Stock Options</i>	
Recurring amortization	3,373
Accelerated amortization for retirement eligible employees	1,498
Subtotal	4,871
<i>ESPP</i>	125
Total Share-Based Employee Cost	14,002
Share-Based Directors Cost	
<i>Restricted Stock</i>	441
<i>Restricted Stock Units</i>	677
Total Share-Based Directors Cost	1,118
Total Share-Based Cost	\$ 15,120

Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan

As of April 27, 2004, the Company adopted the Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan. The maximum number of shares that may be delivered under the Incentive Plan may not exceed 7,500,000. In the event of certain transactions affecting the number of shares subject to the Incentive Plan, the number and type of shares subject to outstanding awards under the Incentive Plan, may be adjusted.

The Incentive Plan authorizes the grant of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, stock
the Company's common shares. The grant of full value awards may be in return for a participant's previous

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

20. Employee Benefit Plans (Continued)

return for the participant surrendering other compensation that may be due, or may be contingent on the achievement of performance objectives over a specified period, or may be subject to a risk of forfeiture or other restrictions that will lapse upon the achievement of performance objectives by the participant, or achievement of performance or other objectives. Awards under the Incentive Plan may be subject to forfeiture by the Company.

The Incentive Plan is administered by a committee of the Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee determines the amount of awards otherwise determined by the Board. The Board may amend or terminate the Incentive Plan. As of December 31, 2008, there were no awards granted under the Incentive Plan.

Stock Options

Nonqualified or incentive stock options may be granted to employees and directors of the Company. The exercise prices equal to the closing price on the date of grant. To date, the Company has only issued nonqualified stock options that vest in equal annual installments over a three-year period and expire 10 years from the date of grant. None of the options have been exercised. Following is a summary of the Company's options issued and outstanding for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006:

	Year of Expiration	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Balance as of December 31, 2005		\$
Options granted	2016	\$
Options exercised		\$
Options forfeited		\$
Balance as of December 31, 2006		\$
Options granted	2017	\$
Options exercised		\$
Options forfeited		\$
Balance as of December 31, 2007		\$
Options granted	2018	\$
Options exercised		\$
Options forfeited		\$
Balance as of December 31, 2008		\$
Exercisable as of December 31, 2006		\$
Exercisable as of December 31, 2007		\$
Exercisable as of December 31, 2008		\$

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

20. Employee Benefit Plans (Continued)

As of December 31, 2008, the aggregate intrinsic value and weighted average remaining contractual term were \$1.2 million and 1.3 years, respectively. As of December 31, 2007, the aggregate intrinsic value and weighted average remaining contractual term were \$1.1 million and 1.3 years, respectively.

The Company recorded \$4.9 million (\$3.7 million after tax) in share based compensation related to stock options for the year ended December 31, 2008 the total unrecognized compensation expense related to outstanding nonvested stock options was \$1.3 million for the difference between estimated and actual forfeitures. The Company expects to recognize that expense over the next 1.3 years.

The weighted average grant-date fair value of options granted were \$7.59, \$6.83 and \$6.71 for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The fair value of options issued is estimated on the date of grant using the Black Scholes option pricing model. The assumptions used for grants in 2008, 2007 and 2006:

	2008
Dividend yield	0.8%
Expected volatility	35.10%
Risk free interest rate	2.8%
Expected life	5 years
Forfeiture rate	6.0%

These assumptions were based on the following:

The expected dividend yield is based on the current expected annual dividend and share price.

Expected volatility is estimated at the date of grant based on the historical share price volatility.

The risk-free interest rate is the implied yield currently available on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon bonds with a maturity equal to the expected life of the granted stock options.

The expected life is based on the average expected term of the Company's guideline companies. Where the Company has insufficient expected life data, the expected life is based on the average expected term of the Company's guideline companies.

The forfeiture rate is based on the rate used by the Company's guideline companies, since the Company's historical forfeiture rate is not representative. Forfeitures will be reassessed at each grant vesting date and may change based on new data.

For options granted before January 1, 2006, the Company amortizes the fair value on an accelerated basis. For options granted on or after January 1, 2006, the Company amortizes the fair value on a straight-line basis. All options are amortized over the requisite service period, with the exception of retirement-eligible employees. For retirement-eligible employees, options are amortized over the employee's remaining service period.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

20. Employee Benefit Plans (Continued)

first becomes eligible to retire and is no longer required to provide service to earn part or all of the award. The Company uses the Black-Scholes option valuation model in the future, which could materially affect the Company's net income.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, was \$0.3 million, \$1.5 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, \$0.3 million, \$1.5 million and \$0.3 million of stock options and \$16 thousand, \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, related tax benefit was recorded as a reduction of cash flows. In order to satisfy stock option exercises, the Company will either issue new shares or reissue shares of the Company's common shares from ACE Bermuda. See Note 19 for further information.

Restricted Stock Awards

Under the Company's Incentive Plan 20,443, 487,437 and 460,083 restricted common shares were awarded during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, to employees and non-employee directors of the Company. These shares vest at various periods.

The Company has granted restricted stock awards to employees and directors of the Company. Restricted stock awards are amortized over a four-year period. Restricted stock awards are amortized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service periods, with the exception of retirement-eligible employees, discussed above. Prior to the adoption of FAS 123R, the balance sheet in common stock and additional paid-in capital with an offset in unearned stock grant compensation. In accordance with the provisions of FAS 123R, on January 1, 2006, the Company reclassified the balance sheet in common stock and additional paid-in capital in shareholders' equity. The following table summarizes restricted stock award activity for 2008:

Nonvested Shares	Number of Shares
Nonvested at December 31, 2007	1,163,600
Granted	20,400
Vested	(478,400)
Forfeited	(19,300)
Nonvested at December 31, 2008	686,300

The Company recorded \$6.6 million (\$4.9 million after tax) in share-based compensation, related to restricted stock awards, for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

20. Employee Benefit Plans (Continued)

The following table includes a roll-forward of unearned stock grant compensation:

Balance, December 31, 2005
Reclassification due to adoption of FAS 123R
Balance, December 31, 2006

As of December 31, 2008 the total unrecognized compensation cost related to outstanding nonvested stock grants is expected to recognize over the weighted-average remaining service period of 1.5 years. The total fair value of unearned stock grant compensation at December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$10.3 million, \$8.0 million and \$5.2 million, respectively.

Restricted Stock Units

Under the Company's Incentive Plan 275,493, 28,988 and 34,030 restricted stock units were awarded respectively, to employees and non-employee directors of the Company. Restricted stock units are valued at the fair market value of the underlying common stock at the date of grant. The 2008 amount included 251,270 restricted stock units which the Company granted to employees and non-employee directors of the Company under vesting terms similar to those of the restricted common shares and are delivered on the vesting date. The Company. These restricted stock units vest over a one-year period and are delivered after directors leave.

The following table summarizes restricted stock unit activity (excluding dividend equivalents) for the period:

Nonvested Stock Units	Number of Stock Units
Nonvested at December 31, 2007(1)	129,311
Granted(2)	275,493
Delivered(1)	(16,541)
Forfeited	(6,752)
Nonvested at December 31, 2008	381,511

(1) Amounts relate to restricted stock units granted to non-employee directors of the Company.

(2) Includes 24,223 restricted stock units granted to non-employee directors of the Company.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

20. Employee Benefit Plans (Continued)

The Company recorded \$3.5 million (\$3.0 million after tax) in share-based compensation during the year ended December 31, 2008. The expense for restricted stock units is expensed on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. As of December 31, 2008, the fair value of outstanding nonvested restricted stock units was \$2.8 million, which the Company expects to recognize over the next 1.8 years. The total fair value of restricted stock units delivered during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$0.1 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

In January 2005, the Company established the Assured Guaranty Ltd. Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP) under Revenue Code Section 423. The Stock Purchase Plan was approved by shareholders at the 2005 Annual General Meeting and is available to all eligible employees. Maximum annual purchases by participants are limited to the number of shares equal to 10 percent of the participant's compensation or, if less, shares having a value of \$25,000. Participants may elect to purchase a lesser of the fair market value of the stock on the first day or the last day of the subscription period. The ESPP has a maximum of 100,000 shares of its common stock. Employees purchased the Company's shares for aggregate amounts of \$0.1 million during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. The Company recorded \$0.1 million (\$0.1 million after tax) in expense for the ESPP during the year ended December 31, 2008.

Defined Contribution Plan

The Company maintains savings incentive plans, which are qualified under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code and are available to all full-time employees upon hire. Eligible participants may contribute a percentage of their salary up to the IRS limits. On January 1, 2006, the Company amended the U.S. savings incentive plan. The Company matches employee contributions up to 6% of salary, amounts over the IRS limits, are contributed to and matched by the Company into a nonqualified supplemental executive retirement plan. The core contribution of 6% to the qualified plan and the nonqualified supplemental executive retirement plan, respectively. In addition, employees become fully vested after 1 year of service, as defined in the plan. Plan eligibility is in accordance with the plan documents.

In Bermuda the savings incentive plan is available to all full-time employees upon their first date of employment. Contributions are of their salary subject to a maximum of \$15,500 for 2008. Contributions are matched by the Company at a rate of 6% of salary, subject to IRS limitations. Eligible participants also receive a Company core contribution equal to 6% of salary without requiring the participant to contribute to the plan. Participants generally vest in Company contributions immediately. Contributions to those employees who are Bermudian or spouses of Bermudians and who must participate in the Bermuda savings incentive plan. A portion of the foregoing contributions are made to the Bermuda savings incentive plan.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Con

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

20. Employee Benefit Plans (Continued)

national pension scheme plan. If employee or employer contributions in the Bermuda savings incentive pla section 401(a), then contributions in excess of those limits are allocated to a nonqualified plan. The Comp employees' Bermuda nonqualified plan accounts at the discretion of the Board of Directors. No such contri

The Company contributed approximately \$2.3 million in 2008, \$2.9 million in 2007 and \$2.6 million plans. Total discretionary expense under all these plans amounted to approximately \$2.7 million in 2008, \$

Cash-Based Compensation

Performance Retention Plan

In February 2006, the Company established the Assured Guaranty Ltd. Performance Retention Plan w employees. Awards granted to participants before 2008 vest after four years of continued employment (or termination occurs as a result of death, disability, or retirement), and participants receive the designated aw participants who vest as a result of retirement receive the bonus at the end of the four year period during w continued in employment. The value of the award paid is greater than the originally-designated amount on in the company's modified adjusted book value, improves during the four year performance period. For th period as a result of their termination of employment resulting from retirement, death or disability, the valu amount only if actual company performance, as measured by an increase in the company's modified adjust day of the calendar quarter prior to the date of the participant's termination of employment. Awards under compensation subject to the rules of Internal Revenue Code Section 409A, and the plan was revised to sati which occurred in 2007.

The plan was again revised in 2008 to be a sub-plan under our Long-Term Incentive Plan (enabling a exempt from the \$1 million limit on tax deductible compensation). The revisions also give the Compensati performance retention awards, including the ability to establish different performance periods and perform

Two types of awards were granted in 2008. Under the first type, the award is divided into three install period that includes 2008 and 2009, 25% of the award allocated to a performance period that includes 200 performance period that includes 2008 through 2011. Each installment of an award vests if the participant for that installment (or vests on the date of the participant's death, disability, or retirement if that occurs du period is made at the end of that performance

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

20. Employee Benefit Plans (Continued)

period. One half of each installment is increased or decreased in proportion to the increase or decrease of net income and one half of each installment is increased or decreased in proportion to the increase or decrease of operating income. (However, if, during the performance period, a participant dies or becomes disabled while employed, the award is paid before death or disability and is paid after the occurrence of death or disability.)

Under the second type of award granted in 2008, the entire award is allocated to a performance period if the participant remains employed through the end of the performance period (or vests on the date of the participant's death during the performance period). Payment is made at the end of the performance period. One half of the award is increased or decreased in proportion to the modified adjusted book value during the performance period, and one half of the award is increased or decreased in proportion to the return on equity during the performance period. (However, if, during the performance period, a participant dies or becomes disabled based on performance through the quarter ending before death or disability and is paid after the occurrence of death or disability.)

Under both types of the 2008 awards, if a payment would otherwise be subject to the \$1 million limit, the award is reduced unless performance satisfies a minimum threshold.

Modified adjusted book value as of any date is determined by the Compensation Committee and equals:

the book value of the Company, derived by determining shareholders' equity, plus the unearned portion of the company's guaranty net unearned premium reserves, less deferred acquisition costs, plus

the present value of estimated net future installment premiums, as reported in the Company's consolidated financial statements, plus the effects of accumulated other comprehensive income, and the effects of unrealized gains and losses on investments and loans, in accordance with FAS 133.

Operating return on equity as of any date is determined by the Compensation Committee and equals:

the Company's operating income as a percentage of average shareholders' equity, excluding the unearned portion of the company's guaranty net unearned premium reserves, plus

accumulated other comprehensive income and after-tax unrealized gains (losses) on investments and loans, in accordance with FAS 133.

Operating income is net income (loss) excluding after-tax realized gains (losses) on investments and loans, in accordance with FAS 133, and instruments.

In the event of a corporate transaction involving the Company, including, without limitation, any share repurchase, recapitalization, reorganization, merger, amalgamation, consolidation, split-up, spin-off, sale of assets or stock, the Compensation Committee may adjust the calculation of the Company's modified adjusted

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

20. Employee Benefit Plans (Continued)

book value and operating return on equity as the Compensation Committee deems necessary or desirable in the Performance Retention Plan awards.

The Company recognized approximately \$5.7 million (\$4.5 million after tax) and \$0.2 million (\$0.1 million after tax) in 2008 and 2007, respectively. Included in 2008 amounts were \$3.3 million, respectively, of accelerated expense. The Company's compensation expense for 2007 was in the form of performance retention awards and the award of restricted stock.

21. Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per share is calculated by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding. Diluted earnings (loss) per share adjusts basic earnings (loss) per share for the effects of restricted stock, stock options and convertible instruments, only in the periods in which such effect is dilutive.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share ("EPS"):

	For the Years 2008 (in thousands of dollars) per share
Net income (loss)	\$68,883
Basic shares	87,976
Effect of dilutive securities:	
Stock awards	970
Diluted shares(1)	88,946
Basic EPS	\$ 0.78
Diluted EPS	\$ 0.77

(1) Totals may not add due to rounding.

Potentially dilutive securities representing approximately 2.4 million, 5.0 million and 0.8 million shares outstanding in 2007 and 2006, respectively, were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share for these periods.

22. Goodwill

Goodwill of \$94.6 million arose from ACE's acquisition of Capital Re Corporation, Assured's corporate reinsurance subsidiary, on January 1, 2002. Goodwill is amortized over a period of twenty-five years. On January 1, 2002, the Company ceased amortizing goodwill and instead tests for impairment at least annually in accordance with FAS 142. No such impairment was recognized in the year ended December 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

22. Goodwill (Continued)

The following table details goodwill by segment as of December 31, 2008 and 2007:

(in thousands of U.S. dollars)

Financial guaranty direct	\$
Financial guaranty reinsurance	
Mortgage guaranty	
Other	
Total	\$

The Company conducted its most recent impairment test as of December 31, 2008. Step 1 of a goodwill impairment test compares the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. The market value of common stock of a publicly traded company is used to estimate the fair value of goodwill impairment of a publicly traded company. Due to the recent and severe volatility experienced in the market for common stock as measured by quoted prices for their common stock has been severely negatively impacted during the second and third quarters of 2008, the fair value of common stock in the fourth quarter of 2008 has been affected by these macro economic conditions as well as the carrying value.

The Company's Step 1 goodwill impairment test is based on determining the fair value of the Company's reporting units and comparing these fair values to the Company's consolidated fair value. The Company determined the current in-force value of the reporting units on a discounted cash flow basis to assess goodwill for impairment. The inputs to our discounted cash flow model include: unearned premium at carrying value, future installment premiums discounted at 15%, a future expense load factor, and a risk margin. Management has determined that the discounted cash flows supported the Company's goodwill balances for the reporting units as of December 31, 2008.

The pending FSAH transaction may cause a triggering event that will cause management to reassess its goodwill impairment test for its business. If management determines in a future reporting period that goodwill is impaired, the Company will recognize a charge to operations and comprehensive income in an amount up to \$85.4 million, the current carrying value of goodwill. This charge could affect the Company's debt agreements or our overall compliance with the covenants of our debt agreements.

23. Segment Reporting

The Company has four principal business segments: (1) financial guaranty direct, which includes transactional and irrevocable guaranty that indemnifies the holder of a financial obligation against non-payment of principal and interest on a credit derivative; (2) financial guaranty reinsurance, which includes agreements whereby the Company is a reinsurer of a company against part or all of the loss which the latter may sustain under a policy it has issued; (3) mortgage

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

23. Segment Reporting (Continued)

includes mortgage guaranty insurance and reinsurance whereby the Company provides protection against t which includes lines of business in which the Company is no longer active.

The Company does not segregate assets and liabilities at a segment level since management reviews a basis. The Company allocates operating expenses to each segment based on a comprehensive cost study. D expense allocation methodology to more closely allocate expenses to the individual operating segments. T which was based on departmental time estimates and headcount.

Management uses underwriting gains and losses as the primary measure of each segment's financial p premiums plus realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives, less the sum of loss and loss adju credit derivatives, profit commission expense, acquisition costs and other operating expenses that are direc businesses. This measure excludes certain revenue and expense items, such as net investment income, real derivatives, other income, and interest and other expenses, that are not directly related to the underwriting included in net income.

The following table summarizes the components of underwriting gain (loss) for each reporting segme

	Financial Guaranty Direct	Year Ended Financial Guaranty Reinsurance
	(in millions)	
Gross written premiums	\$ 484.7	\$ 129.3
Net written premiums	474.7	129.1
Net earned premiums	90.0	165.9
Realized gain and other settlements on credit derivatives	113.8	3.4
Loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)	196.7	68.4
Incurred losses on credit derivatives	38.4	5.4
Total loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)	235.1	73.8
Profit commission expense		1.0
Acquisition costs	14.0	46.6
Other operating expenses	61.5	19.7
Underwriting (loss) gain	\$(106.8)	\$ 28.1

241

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

23. Segment Reporting (Continued)

	Financial Guaranty Direct	Year Ended Financial Guaranty Reinsurance
	(in millions)	
Gross written premiums	\$ 167.1	\$ 251.0
Net written premiums	154.5	250.8
Net earned premiums	52.8	88.9
Realized gain and other settlements on credit derivatives	72.7	
Loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)	29.2	(24.1)
Incurred losses on credit derivatives	3.6	
Total loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)	32.7	(24.1)
Profit commission expense		2.7
Acquisition costs	10.2	31.3
Other operating expenses	60.5	17.3
Underwriting gain	\$ 22.1	\$ 61.6

	Financial Guaranty Direct	Year Ended Financial Guaranty Reinsurance
	(in millions)	
Gross written premiums	\$ 124.8	\$ 123.9
Net written premiums	124.1	123.2
Net earned premiums	27.8	94.4
Realized gain and other settlements on credit derivatives	60.4	
Loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)	2.6	13.1
Incurred losses (gains) on credit derivatives	(6.3)	
Total loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)	(3.7)	13.1
Profit commission expense		2.7
Acquisition costs	8.7	34.1
Other operating expenses	52.3	14.5
Underwriting gain	\$ 30.8	\$ 30.0

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

23. Segment Reporting (Continued)

The following is a reconciliation of total underwriting (loss) gain to income (loss) before provision for income taxes:

	December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
	(in millions of U.S. dollars)		
Total underwriting (loss) gain	\$ (76.4)	\$ 94.5	\$ 91.1
Net investment income	162.6	128.1	111.1
Net realized investment losses	(69.8)	(1.3)	(2.1)
Unrealized gains (losses) on credit derivatives, excluding incurred losses on credit derivatives	81.7	(666.9)	5.1
Other income	43.4	8.8	0.1
Interest expense	(23.3)	(23.5)	(13.1)
Other expense	(5.7)	(2.6)	(2.1)
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	\$ 112.3	\$ (463.0)	\$ 190.1

The following table provides the lines of businesses from which each of the Company's four reporting segments earned premiums:

	Years ended December 31, 2008
	(in millions of U.S. dollars)
Financial guaranty direct:	
Public finance	\$ 34.6
Structured finance	55.4
Total	90.0
Financial guaranty reinsurance:	
Public finance	123.1
Structured finance	42.8
Total	165.9
Mortgage guaranty:	
Mortgage guaranty	5.7
Total net earned premiums	\$ 261.4
Net credit derivative premiums received and receivable	\$ 118.1
Total net earned premiums and credit derivative premiums received and receivable	\$ 379.5

Table of Contents**Assured Guaranty Ltd.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006****23. Segment Reporting (Continued)**

The other segment had an underwriting gain of \$1.9 million, \$1.3 million and \$13.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The Company recorded net credit derivative loss recoveries of \$0.4 million, \$1.3 million and \$13.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The following table summarizes the Company's gross written premiums by geographic region. Allocation of gross written premiums to geographic regions is based on the location of the policyholder.

	Years Ended		
	2008	2007	2006
	(in millions of dollars)		
North America	\$603.8	97.7%	\$356.4
United Kingdom	10.1	1.6%	62.4
Europe	3.6	0.6%	3.7
Australia	0.6	0.1%	2.0
Other	0.2		
Total	\$618.3	100.0%	\$424.5

24. Subsidiary Information

The following tables present the condensed consolidated financial information for Assured Guaranty Ltd. subsidiary and AG Re and other subsidiaries of Assured Guaranty Ltd. as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 (certain 2007 and 2006 amounts have been reclassified as discussed in Note 2).

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

24. Subsidiary Information (Continued)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2008
(in thousands of U. S. dollars)

	Assured Guaranty Ltd. (Parent Company)	Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc.	AG Re and Other Subsidiaries
Assets			
Total investments and cash	\$ 188	\$ 1,651,761	\$ 1,991,600
Investment in subsidiaries	1,901,108		
Deferred acquisition costs		78,987	209,600
Reinsurance recoverable		22,014	3,400
Goodwill		85,417	
Credit derivative assets		125,082	21,800
Premiums receivable		6,659	23,500
Deferred tax asset		109,565	19,500
Other	29,427	383,272	49,500
Total assets	\$ 1,930,723	\$ 2,462,757	\$ 2,319,200
Liabilities and shareholders' equity			
Liabilities			
Unearned premium reserves	\$	\$ 707,957	\$ 713,900
Reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses		133,710	90,700
Profit commissions payable		3,971	4,600
Credit derivative liabilities		481,253	252,500
Senior Notes		197,443	
Series A Enhanced Junior Subordinated Debentures		149,767	
Other	4,501	82,024	62,900
Total liabilities	4,501	1,756,125	1,124,800
Total shareholders' equity	1,926,222	706,632	1,194,400
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 1,930,723	\$ 2,462,757	\$ 2,319,200

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

24. Subsidiary Information (Continued)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2007
(in thousands of U. S. dollars)

	Assured Guaranty Ltd. (Parent Company)	Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc.	AG Re an Other Subsidiari
Assets			
Total investments and cash	\$ 473	\$ 1,370,865	\$ 1,776,600
Investment in subsidiaries	1,649,599		
Deferred acquisition costs		78,908	180,390
Reinsurance recoverable		20,478	3,500
Goodwill		85,417	
Credit derivative assets		4,552	900
Premiums receivable		11,596	26,900
Deferred tax asset		131,449	16,100
Other	20,458	141,520	27,500
Total assets	\$ 1,670,530	\$ 1,844,785	\$ 2,032,000
Liabilities and shareholders' equity			
Liabilities			
Unearned premium reserves	\$	\$ 346,756	\$ 624,800
Reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses		70,411	70,100
Profit commissions payable		3,628	18,700
Credit derivative liabilities		478,519	144,500
Senior Notes		197,408	
Series A Enhanced Junior Subordinated Debentures		149,738	
Other	3,960	73,241	49,200
Total liabilities	3,960	1,319,701	907,500
Total shareholders' equity	1,666,570	525,084	1,124,500
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 1,670,530	\$ 1,844,785	\$ 2,032,000

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

24. Subsidiary Information (Continued)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008
(in thousands of U. S. dollars)

	Assured Guaranty Ltd. (Parent Company)	Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc.	AG Re and Other Subsidiaries
Revenues			
Net written premiums	\$	\$ 345,912	\$ 258,640
Net earned premiums		91,998	169,400
Net investment income	543	73,576	88,437
Net realized investment losses		(14,661)	(55,140)
Change in fair value of credit derivatives			
Realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives		93,435	24,150
Unrealized gains (losses) on credit derivatives		126,212	(88,170)
Net change in fair value of credit derivatives		219,647	(64,020)
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	85,572		
Other income		44,358	1,000
Total revenues	86,115	414,918	138,687
Expenses			
Loss and loss adjustment expenses		149,479	116,280
Acquisition costs and other operating expenses	17,232	72,085	56,760
Other		29,017	
Total expenses	17,232	250,581	173,040
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	68,883	164,337	(34,360)
Total provision for income taxes		42,693	75,000
Net income (loss)	\$ 68,883	\$ 121,644	\$ (35,110)

*

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Due to the accounting for subsidiaries under common control, net income in the consolidating ac
earnings of subsidiaries, due to 1) recognition of income by Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc.
2) the residual effects of the FSA agreement.

247

Table of Contents**Assured Guaranty Ltd.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006****24. Subsidiary Information (Continued)**

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008**
(in thousands of U. S. dollars)

	Assured Guaranty Ltd. (Parent Company)	Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc.	AG Re and Other Subsidiaries
Revenues			
Net premiums written	\$	\$ 123,554	\$ 284,411
Net premiums earned		58,717	100,541
Net investment income	2	63,611	64,481
Net realized investment (losses) gains		(478)	(89)
Change in fair value of credit derivatives			
Realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives		56,752	17,241
Unrealized losses on credit derivatives		(516,357)	(154,041)
Net change in fair value of credit derivatives		(459,605)	(136,800)
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(285,190)		
Other income		9,657	
Total revenues	(285,188)	(328,098)	27,321
Expenses			
Loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)		(15,375)	21,151
Acquisition costs and other operating expenses	18,084	64,179	47,221
Other		26,091	6,000
Total expenses	18,084	74,895	68,441
(Loss) income before (benefit) provision for income taxes	(303,272)	(402,993)	(41,120)
Total (benefit) provision for income taxes		(153,896)	(5,800)
Net (loss) income	\$ (303,272)	\$ (249,097)	\$ (35,220)

*

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Due to the accounting for subsidiaries under common control, net (loss) income in the consolidated in earnings of subsidiaries, due to 1) recognition of income by Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc. 2) the residual effects of the FSA agreement.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

24. Subsidiary Information (Continued)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008
(in thousands of U. S. dollars)

	Assured Guaranty Ltd. (Parent Company)	Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc.	AG Re and Other Subsidiaries
Revenues			
Net premiums written	\$	\$ 79,246	\$ 176,571
Net premiums earned		56,781	88,021
Net investment income	2	55,710	55,781
Net realized investment losses		(1,175)	(81)
Change in fair value of credit derivatives			
Realized gains and other settlements on credit derivatives		45,162	28,691
Unrealized gains on credit derivatives		5,186	6,641
Net change in fair value of credit derivatives		50,348	35,332
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	176,060		
Other income	2	393	2
Total revenues	176,064	162,057	178,344
Expenses			
Loss and loss adjustment expenses		8,143	3,181
Acquisition costs and other operating expenses	16,317	58,812	47,621
Other	13	16,304	
Total expenses	16,330	83,259	50,802
Income before provision for income taxes	159,734	78,798	127,542
Total provision for income taxes		16,508	13,711
Net income	\$ 159,734	\$ 62,290	\$ 113,831

*

Due to the accounting for subsidiaries under common control, net income in the consolidating statement is equal to the net income of subsidiaries, due to the FSA agreement.

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

24. Subsidiary Information (Continued)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008
(in thousands of U. S. dollars)

	Assured Guaranty Ltd. (Parent Company)	Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc.	AG Re an Other Subsidiari
Dividends received	\$ 31,300	\$ 964	\$
Other operating activities	(9,941)	269,986	166,9
Net cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities	21,359	270,950	166,9
Cash flows from investing activities			
Fixed maturity securities:			
Purchases		(495,798)	(776,2
Sales		207,167	324,9
Maturities			11,7
Sales (purchases) of short-term investments, net	285	(76,158)	154,4
Capital contribution to subsidiary	(250,000)		
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(249,715)	(364,789)	(285,1
Cash flows from financing activities			
Net proceeds from common stock issuance	248,967		
Capital contribution from parent		100,000	150,0
Dividends paid	(16,979)		(31,3
Tax benefit from stock options exercised		16	
Proceeds from employee stock purchase plan	425		
Share activity under option and incentive plans	(4,057)		
Net cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	228,356	100,016	118,7
Effect of exchange rate changes		(1,639)	(8
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		4,538	(2
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		5,688	2,3

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	\$	10,226	\$	2,0
---------------------------------------------------	----	----	--------	----	-----

250

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

24. Subsidiary Information (Continued)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008
(in thousands of U. S. dollars)

	Assured Guaranty Ltd. (Parent Company)	Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc.	AG Re a Other Subsidiar
Dividends received	\$ 35,349	\$ 857	\$
Other operating activities	(13,204)	113,837	285,
Net cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities	22,145	114,694	285,
Cash flows from investing activities			
Fixed maturity securities:			
Purchases		(373,699)	(680,
Sales		256,066	530,
Maturities		6,180	18,
Capital contribution to subsidiary	(304,016)		
Sales (purchases) of short-term investments, net	1,050	(182)	(421,
Net cash flows (used in) provided by investing activities	(302,966)	(111,635)	(553,
Cash flows from financing activities			
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	304,016		
Capital contribution from parent			304,
Repurchases of common stock	(9,349)		
Dividends paid	(11,889)		(35,
Tax benefits from stock options exercised		183	
Debt financing costs		(425)	
Proceeds from employee stock purchase plan	627		
Share activity under option and incentive plans	(2,584)		
Net cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	280,821	(242)	268,
Effect of exchange rate changes		95	
Increase in cash and cash equivalents		2,912	2,
		2,776	2,

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents at
beginning of year

Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$	\$	5,688	\$	2,
-----------------------------------------------------	----	----	-------	----	----

251

Table of Contents

Assured Guaranty Ltd.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Con

December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

24. Subsidiary Information (Continued)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008
(in thousands of U. S. dollars)

	Assured Guaranty Ltd. (Parent Company)	Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc.	AG Re a Other Subsidiar
Dividends received	\$ 42,563	\$	\$
Other operating activities	(7,759)	148,982	120,
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	34,804	148,982	120,
Cash flows from investing activities			
Fixed maturity securities:			
Purchases		(508,406)	(374,
Sales		341,373	315,
Maturities		7,064	9,
(Purchases) sales of short-term investments, net	(1,360)	12,172	(29,
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(1,360)	(147,797)	(79,
Cash flows from financing activities			
Repurchases of common stock	(21,063)	(150,000)	
Dividends paid	(10,458)		(42,
Tax benefits from stock options exercised		170	
Net proceeds from issuance of Series A Enhanced Junior Subordinated Debentures		149,708	
Debt financing costs		(1,500)	
Repayment of note payable	(2,000)		
Proceeds from employee stock purchase plan	501		
Share activity under option and incentive plans	(424)		
Net cash flows used in financing activities	(33,444)	(1,622)	(42,
Effect of exchange rate changes		290	
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(147)	(1,

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year			2,923		3,
------------------------------------------------	--	--	-------	--	----

Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$	\$	2,776	\$	2,
-------------------------------------------------	-----------	-----------	--------------	-----------	-----------

252

Table of Contents**Assured Guaranty Ltd.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006****25. Quarterly Financial Information (unaudited)**

A summary of selected quarterly statement of operations information follows (certain 2007 amounts have been restated).

(in thousands, except per share data)

2008	First	Second
Gross written premiums	\$ 175,802	\$ 245,802
Net written premiums	169,692	240,802
Net earned premiums	46,833	51,802
Net investment income	36,574	40,802
Net realized investment gains (losses)	627	1,802
Net change in fair value of credit derivatives	(232,004)	740,802
Other income	8,536	9,802
Loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)	55,138	38,802
(Loss) income before provision for income taxes	(242,829)	764,802
Net (loss) income	(169,209)	545,802
(Loss) earnings per share(1):		
Basic	\$ (2.11)	\$ 6.45
Diluted	\$ (2.11)	\$ 5.45
Dividends per share	\$ 0.045	\$ 0.045
2007	First	Second
Gross written premiums	\$ 55,167	\$ 71,750
Net written premiums	51,365	68,450
Net earned premiums	37,047	37,980
Net investment income	31,482	30,800
Net realized investment (losses) gains	(279)	(1,500)
Net change in fair value of credit derivatives	7,864	(1,600)
Other income		
Loss and loss adjustment expenses (recoveries)	(4,023)	(9,750)
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	40,327	38,330
Net income (loss)	38,951	32,800
Earnings (loss) per share(1):		
Basic	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.45
Diluted	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.45
Dividends per share	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.04

(1)

Per share amounts for the quarters and the full years have each been calculated separately. Accounting for the effect of potentially dilutive securities is shown because of differences in the average common shares outstanding during each period and, with respect to the full year, the inclusion of the effect of potentially dilutive securities only in the periods in which such effect was significant.

Gross and net written premiums in the fourth quarter of 2007 include the impact of the Ambac portfolio.

The net change in fair value of credit derivatives in the third and fourth quarters of 2007 reflected the impact of the credit portfolio. These losses resulted from the significant widening of credit spreads observed in the third and fourth quarters of 2007. See Note 4.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Assured Guaranty Ltd.'s management, with the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of Assured Guaranty Ltd.'s disclosure controls and procedures under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") as of the end of the period covered by this report. In connection with this evaluation, Assured Guaranty Ltd.'s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures are effective in recording, processing, summarizing and reporting, on a timely basis, financial information that appears in Assured Guaranty Ltd. (including its consolidated subsidiaries) in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act. PricewaterhouseCooper LLP's report of independent registered public accountants on Assured Guaranty Ltd.'s internal control over financial reporting and Supplementary Data.

There has been no change in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

NONE

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Code of Conduct

The Company has adopted a Code of Conduct, which sets forth standards by which all Assured Guaranty Ltd. employees are expected to work for the Company. The Company has posted this Code of Conduct on its internet site (www.assuredguaranty.com) under the heading "Corporate Governance/Code of Conduct". The Company intends to disclose on its internet site any amendments to, or waivers from, the Code of Conduct that are publicly disclosed pursuant to the rules of the SEC or the NYSE. Information pertaining to this item is incorporated by reference to the Company's proxy statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, "Corporate Governance - Did our insiders comply with Section 16(a) beneficial ownership reporting requirements?" and "Corporate Governance - The committees of the Board - The Audit Committee". The Audit Committee will meet at the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which involves the election of directors and will be filed with the SEC not later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year pursuant to regulation 14A.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

This item is incorporated by reference to the section entitled "Executive Compensation", "Corporate Governance - Director Compensation" and "Corporate Governance - Director Compensation" of the definitive proxy statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the SEC not later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year pursuant to regulation 14A.

Table of Contents

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

This item is incorporated by reference to the sections entitled "Information about our Common Share" and "Information about our Common Share" of the definitive proxy statement for the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the SEC pursuant to regulation 14A.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR

This item is incorporated by reference to the sections entitled "Corporate Governance What is our relationship with our directors and officers?" and "Corporate Governance What related person transactions do we have?" and "Corporate Governance What related person transactions do we have?" of the definitive proxy statement for the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the SEC pursuant to regulation 14A.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

This item is incorporated by reference to the section entitled "Proposal No. 4:Ratification of Appointment of the Audit Committee" of the definitive proxy statement for the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the SEC pursuant to regulation 14A.

Table of Contents**PART IV****ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

(a)

Financial Statements, Financial Statement Schedules and Exhibits

1. Financial Statements

The following financial statements of Assured Guaranty Ltd. have been included in Item 8 hereof:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	153
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2008 and 2007	154
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	155
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	156
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	157
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	158

2. Financial Statement Schedules

The following financial statement schedules are filed as part of this report:

Schedule	Title
II	Condensed Financial Information of Registrant (<i>Parent Company Only</i>)
III	Supplementary Insurance Information
IV	Reinsurance
V	Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

The report of the Registrant's independent registered public accounting firm with respect to the above schedules.

All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in

3. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation and Memorandum of Association of the Registrant (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 3.1 to Form S-1 of the Company (#333-111491))
3.2	Bye-laws of the Registrant (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 3.2 to Form S-1 of the Company (#333-111491))
4.1	Specimen Common Share Certificate (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 4.1 to Form S-1 of the Company (#333-111491))
4.2	Certificate of Incorporation and Memorandum of Association of the Registrant (See exhibit 3.1)

256

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
4.3	Bye-laws of the Registrant (See exhibit 3.2)
4.4	Indenture, dated as of May 1, 2004, among the Company, Assured Guaranty U.S. Holdings Inc. and The Bank of New York, as trustee (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 4.1 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004)
4.5	Indenture, dated as of December 1, 2006, entered into among Assured Guaranty Ltd., Assured Guaranty U.S. Holdings Inc. and The Bank of New York, as trustee (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 4.1 to the current report on form 8-K filed on December 20, 2006)
4.6	First Supplemental Subordinated Indenture, dated as of December 20, 2006, entered into among Assured Guaranty Ltd., Assured Guaranty U.S. Holdings Inc. and The Bank of New York, as trustee (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 4.2 to the current report on form 8-K filed on December 20, 2006)
4.7	Replacement Capital Covenant, dated as of December 20, 2006, between Assured Guaranty U.S. Holdings Inc. and Assured Guaranty Ltd., in favor of and for the benefit of each Covered Debtholder (as defined therein) (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 4.1 to the current report on form 8-K filed on December 20, 2006)
10.1	Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.6 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004)*
10.2	Master Separation Agreement dated April 27, 2004, among the Company, ACE Limited, ACE Financial Services Inc. and ACE Bermuda Insurance Ltd. (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.7 to Form S-1 of the Company (#333-111491))
10.3	Transition Services Agreement, dated April 27, 2004, between the Company and ACE Limited (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.8 to Form S-1 of the Company (#333-111491))
10.4	Registration Rights Agreement, dated April 27, 2004, among the Company, ACE Limited and ACE Bermuda Insurance Ltd. (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.9 to Form S-1 of the Company (#333-111491))
10.5	Tax Allocation Agreement, dated April 27, 2004, among the Company, ACE Financial Services Inc., ACE Prime Holdings, Inc., Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc., Assured Guaranty Corp., AGR Financial Products Inc. and ACE Risk Assurance Company (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.11 to Form S-1 of the Company (#333-111491))
10.6	Credit Agreement with Deutsche Bank AG, as Agent, as amended (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.21 to Form S-1 of the Company (#333-111491))
10.7	Retrocession Agreement between Assured Guaranty Re Overseas Ltd. and ACE American Insurance Company (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.29 to Form S-1 of the Company (#333-111491))
10.8	Guaranty by Assured Guaranty Re International Ltd. in favor of Assured Guaranty Re Overseas Ltd. (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.31 to Form S-1 of the Company (#333-111491))
10.9	Guaranty by Assured Guaranty Re Overseas Ltd. in favor of Assured Guaranty Mortgage Insurance Company (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.32 to Form S-1 of the Company (#333-111491))
10.10	Retrocessional Memorandum between ACE Bermuda Insurance Ltd. and Assured Guaranty Re International Ltd. (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.34 to Form S-1 of the Company (#333-111491))

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.11	Quota Share Reinsurance Agreement between Assured Guaranty Re Overseas Ltd. and JCJ Insurance Company (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.35 to Form S-1 of the Company (#333-111491))
10.12	Quota Share Retrocession Agreement between Assured Guaranty Re Overseas Ltd. and ACE INA Overseas Insurance Company Ltd. (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.37 to Form S-1 of the Company (#333-111491))
10.13	Quota Share Retrocession Agreement between Assured Guaranty Re Overseas Ltd. and ACE American Insurance Company (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.38 to Form S-1 of the Company (#333-111491))
10.14	Assignment and Indemnification Agreement between Assured Guaranty Re Overseas Ltd. and ACE INA Overseas Insurance Company Ltd. (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.41 to Form S-1 of the Company (#333-111491))
10.15	UK Title Quota Share Reinsurance Agreement between ACE European Markets Insurance Ltd. and Assured Guaranty Re International Ltd. (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.45 to Form S-1 of the Company (#333-111491))
10.16	Aggregate Loss Portfolio Reinsurance Agreement between Commercial Guaranty Assurance, Ltd. and Assured Guaranty Re Overseas Ltd. (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.49 to Form S-1 of the Company (#333-111491))
10.17	Quota Share Retrocession Agreement, dated April 28, 2004, between Assured Guaranty Re Overseas Ltd. and ACE Tempest Re USA, Inc. for and on behalf of ACE American Insurance Company (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.13 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004)
10.18	Quota Share Retrocession Agreement, dated April 28, 2004, between Assured Guaranty Corp. and ACE Tempest Re USA, Inc. for and on behalf of ACE American Insurance Company (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.14 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004)
10.19	Quota Share Retrocession Agreement, dated April 28, 2004, between Assured Guaranty Re Overseas Ltd. and ACE INA Overseas Insurance Company Ltd. (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.15 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004)
10.20	Commutation and Release Agreement, dated April 28, 2004, between Westchester Fire Insurance Company and Assured Guaranty Re Overseas Ltd. (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.16 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004)
10.21	Assignment and Termination Agreement, dated April 28, 2004, among Assured Guaranty Re International Ltd., ACE Bermuda Insurance Ltd. and ACE Capital Title Reinsurance Company (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.18 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004)
10.22	Assignment Agreement, dated April 28, 2004, among Assured Guaranty Re Overseas Ltd., ACE European Markets Insurance Limited and ACE Bermuda Insurance Ltd. (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.19 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004)
10.23	Assignment Agreement, dated April 15, 2004, among Assured Guaranty Re Overseas Ltd., ACE Bermuda Insurance Ltd. and ACE Capital Title Reinsurance Company (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.20 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004)
10.24	Summary of Annual Compensation*

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.25	Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.34 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005) *
10.26	Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.35 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005) *
10.27	Restricted Stock Agreement for Outside Directors under Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.1 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006)*
10.28	Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for Outside Directors under Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.37 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005)*
10.29	Restricted Stock Agreement under Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan(Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.38 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005)*
10.30	Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan(Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.39 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005)*
10.31	Assured Guaranty Ltd. Employee Stock Purchase Plan (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.40 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004)*
10.32	Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Company and its executive officers and directors(Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.42 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005)
10.33	Put Agreement between Assured Guaranty Corp. and Woodbourne Capital Trust [I][II][III][IV] (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.6 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005)
10.34	Custodial Trust Expense Reimbursement Agreement (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.7 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005)
10.35	Assured Guaranty Corp. Articles Supplementary Classifying and Designating Series of Preferred Stock as Series A Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.8 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005)
10.36	Assured Guaranty Corp. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan Highlights Booklet 2006 Plan Year (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.1 of Form 8-K filed on December 28, 2005)*
10.37	Assured Guaranty Ltd. Supplemental Employee Retirement Plan, as amended through the second amendment (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.2 of Form 8-K filed on December 28, 2005)*
10.38	Assured Guaranty Ltd. Performance Retention Plan (As Amended and Restated as of February 14, 2008 for Awards Granted during 2007) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.50 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007)*
10.39	Five Year Cliff Vest Restricted Stock Agreement under Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.1 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006)*

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.40	Employment agreement dated as of October 5, 2006, between Assured Guaranty Ltd., Assured Guaranty Corp. and Robert Bailenson (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.1 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006)*
10.41	Share Purchase Agreement, dated December 7, 2006, between Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc. and ACE Bermuda Insurance Ltd. (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 99.1 of Form 8-K filed on December 13, 2006)
10.42	\$300,000,000 Revolving Credit Facility Credit Agreement (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 99.1 of Form 8-K filed on November 9, 2006)
10.43	Assured Guaranty Corp. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan Amendment No. 1(Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.2 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007)*
10.44	Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for Outside Directors under Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.1 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007)*
10.45	\$200.0 million soft-capital credit facility (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.2 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007)
10.46	Assured Guaranty Ltd. Performance Retention Plan (As Amended and Restated as of February 14, 2008) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007)*
10.47	Terms of Performance Retention Award Five Year Cliff Vest Granted on February 14, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.59 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007)*
10.48	Form of Award Letter for Performance Retention Award Five Year Cliff Vest Granted on February 14, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.60 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007)*
10.49	Terms of Performance Retention Award Four Year Installment Vesting Granted on February 14, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.61 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007) *
10.50	Form of Award Letter for Performance Retention Award Four Year Installment Vesting Granted on February 14, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.62 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007)*
10.51	2007 Restricted Stock Agreement for Outside Directors under Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007)*
10.52	Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan to be used with employment agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.64 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007)*
10.53	Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.65 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007)*
10.54	Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan to be used with employment agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.66 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007)*

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.55	Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.67 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007) *
10.56	Investment Agreement dated as of February 28, 2008 between Assured Guaranty Ltd. and WLR Recovery Fund IV, L.P. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.68 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007)
10.57	Director Compensation Summary (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.1 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008)*
10.58	Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for Outside Directors under Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.1 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008)*
10.59	Restricted Stock Agreement for Outside Directors under Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.2 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008)*
10.60	Form of amendment to Restricted Stock Unit Awards for Outside Directors (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.3 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008)*
10.61	Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended August 5, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.4 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008)*
10.62	Assured Guaranty Ltd. Supplemental Employee Retirement Plan, as Amended and Restated Effective January 1, 2009*
10.63	Assured Guaranty Corp. Supplemental Employee Retirement Plan, as Amended and Restated Effective January 1, 2009*
10.64	Employment Agreement between Dominic J. Frederico and the Registrant*
10.65	Employment Agreement between Michael J. Schozer and the Registrant*
10.66	Employment Agreement between Robert B. Mills and the Registrant*
10.67	Employment Agreement between James M. Michener and the Registrant*
10.68	Employment Agreement between Robert A. Bailenson and the Registrant*
10.69	Assured Guaranty Ltd. Executive Officer Recoupment Policy*
10.70	Form of Acknowledgement of Assured Guaranty Ltd. Executive Officer Recoupment Policy*
10.71	Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan to be used with employment agreement*
10.72	Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan to be used with employment agreement*
10.73	Terms of Performance Retention Award Four Year Installment Vesting Granted on February 5, 2009*
10.74	Approval dated September 16, 2008 pursuant to Investment Agreement dated as of February 28, 2008 with WLR Recovery Fund IV, L.P. Pursuant to the Investment Agreement, WLR Recovery Fund IV, L.P. and other funds affiliated with WL Ross & Co. LLC (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 99.1 of current report on Form 8-K filed on September 19, 2008)

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.75	Purchase Agreement among Dexia Holdings Inc., Dexia Credit Local S.A. and the Company dated as of November 14, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 99.1 of current report on Form 8-K filed on November 17, 2008)
10.76	Amendment to Investment Agreement dated as of November 13, 2008 between the Company and WLR Recovery Fund IV, L.P. (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 99.1 of current report on Form 8-K filed on November 17, 2008)
14.1	Code of Conduct (Incorporated by reference to exhibit 14.1 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004)
21.1	Subsidiaries of the registrant
23.1	Accountants Consent
31.1	Certification of CEO Pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13A-14 and 15D-14, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of CFO Pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13A-14 and 15D-14, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of CEO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of CFO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
99.1	Assured Guaranty Corp. 2008 Consolidated Financial Statements

*

Management contract or compensatory plan

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has caused this report to be signed by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ASSURED GUARANTY LTD.

By: /s/ DOMINIC J. FREDERICO

Name: Dominic J. Frederico
 Title: *President and Chief Executive Officer*

Date: February 25, 2009

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the persons named in its title, in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Name	Position	
<u>/s/ WALTER A. SCOTT</u> Walter A. Scott	Chairman of the Board; Director	February 25, 2009
<u>/s/ DOMINIC J. FREDERICO</u> Dominic J. Frederico	President and Chief Executive Officer; Director	February 25, 2009
<u>/s/ ROBERT B. MILLS</u> Robert B. Mills	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Duly Authorized Officer)	February 25, 2009
<u>/s/ NEIL BARON</u> Neil Baron	Director	February 25, 2009
<u>/s/ G. LAWRENCE BUHL</u> G. Lawrence Buhl	Director	February 25, 2009
<u>/s/ STEPHEN A. COZEN</u> Stephen A. Cozen	Director	February 25, 2009
<u>/s/ FRANCISCO L. BORGES</u> Francisco L. Borges	Director	February 25, 2009

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Name	Position
<u>/s/ PATRICK W. KENNY</u>	Director
Patrick W. Kenny	
<u>/s/ DONALD H. LAYTON</u>	Director
Donald H. Layton	
<u>/s/ ROBIN MONRO-DAVIES</u>	Director
Robin Monro-Davies	
<u>/s/ MICHAEL O'KANE</u>	Director
Michael O'Kane	
<u>/s/ WILBUR L. ROSS, JR.</u>	Director
Wilbur L. Ross, Jr.	

Table of Contents

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accountant
on
Financial Statement Schedules**

To the Board of Directors
of Assured Guaranty Ltd.:

Our audits of the consolidated financial statements and of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting for the year ended December 31, 2009 appearing in the 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders of Assured Guaranty Ltd. also included an audit of the financial statement schedules of this Form 10K. In our opinion, these financial statement schedules present fairly, in all material respects, the information contained therein in relation to the consolidated financial statements with the related consolidated financial statements.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
New York, New York
February 25, 2009

Table of Contents

Schedule II

**Assured Guaranty Ltd. (Parent Company)
Condensed Balance Sheets
(in thousands of U.S. dollars)**

Assets

Investments in subsidiaries and affiliates on equity basis
Short-term investments, at cost which approximates fair value
Other assets

Total assets

Liabilities and shareholders' equity

Liabilities

Other liabilities

Total liabilities

Shareholders' equity

Common stock
Additional paid-in capital
Retained earnings
Accumulated other comprehensive income

Total shareholders' equity

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity

266

Table of Contents

Schedule II

Assured Guaranty Ltd. (Parent Company)
Condensed Statements of Operations
For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006
(in thousands of U.S. dollars)

	2008
Revenues	
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	\$ 85,3
Net investment income	5
Other income	
Total revenues	86,1
Expenses	
Other operating expenses	17,2
Interest expense	
Total expenses	17,2
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	68,8
Total provision for income taxes	
Net income (loss)	\$ 68,8

Table of Contents

Schedule II

Assured Guaranty Ltd. (Parent Company)
Condensed Statements of Cash Flows
For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006
(in thousands of U.S. dollars)

	2008
Dividends received from Assured Guaranty Re Ltd.	\$ 31,
Other operating activities	(9,
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	21,
Cash flows from investing activities	
Capital contribution to Assured Guaranty Re Ltd.	(150,
Capital contribution to Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc.	(100,
Sales (purchases) of short-term investments, net	:
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(249,
Financing activities	
Net proceeds from issuance of common shares	248,
Repurchases of common stock	:
Dividends paid(1)	(16,
Repayment of note payable	:
Proceeds from employee stock purchase plan	4,
Share activity under option and incentive plans	(4,
Net cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	228,
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	:
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$

(1)

2008 and 2007 include dividends of \$964 thousand and \$857 thousand, respectively, paid to Ass

Table of Contents

Schedule III

Supplementary Insurance Information
(in millions of U.S. dollars)(1)(2)

	DAC	UPR	Loss Reserves	Premiums Written	Premiums Earned	Loss and Loss Adjustm Expenses (Recover
	As of December 31, 2008			For the Year		
Financial guaranty direct	\$ 95.7	\$ 626.7	\$ 91.8	\$ 484.7	\$ 90.0	\$ 19
Financial guaranty reinsurance	192.4	591.1	97.9	129.3	165.9	0
Mortgage guaranty	0.5	15.8	2.6	0.7	5.7	
Other		0.1	4.5	3.5		
Total	\$ 288.6	\$ 1,233.7	\$ 196.8	\$ 618.3	\$ 261.4	\$ 20

	As of December 31, 2007			For the Year		
Financial guaranty direct	\$ 35.2	\$ 237.4	\$ 33.5	\$ 167.1	\$ 52.8	\$ 2
Financial guaranty reinsurance	223.1	628.9	80.3	251.0	88.9	(3)
Mortgage guaranty	1.0	20.7	2.9	2.7	17.5	
Other		0.2	8.8	3.5		
Total	\$ 259.3	\$ 887.2	\$ 125.6	\$ 424.5	\$ 159.3	\$

	As of December 31, 2006			For the Year		
Financial guaranty direct	\$ 34.3	\$ 126.6	\$ 4.6	\$ 124.8	\$ 27.8	\$
Financial guaranty reinsurance	179.3	468.2	94.8	123.9	94.4	
Mortgage guaranty	3.3	35.6	2.3	8.4	22.7	
Other	0.1	0.6	14.2	4.1		
Total	\$ 217.0	\$ 631.0	\$ 115.9	\$ 261.3	\$ 144.8	\$

(1) Certain 2007 and 2006 amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

(2) Some amounts may not add due to rounding.

(3) During 2006, the Company implemented a new operating expense allocation methodology to more closely a methodology was based on a comprehensive study which was based on departmental time estimates and head

Table of Contents

Schedule IV
Reinsurance
Net Earned Premiums
(in millions of U.S. dollars)(1)(2)

	For the Year Ended		
Type of Business:	Direct	Ceded	Assumed
Financial guaranty	\$93.4	\$ 4.7	\$ 16.1
Mortgage guaranty			
Other		3.6	
Total	\$93.4	\$ 8.3	\$ 16.1
For the Year Ended			
Financial guaranty	\$55.0	\$ 3.5	\$ 9.1
Mortgage guaranty			
Other		4.1	
Total	\$55.0	\$ 7.6	\$ 11.1
For the Year Ended			
Financial guaranty	\$28.5	\$ 1.5	\$ 9.1
Mortgage guaranty		2.3	
Other		6.6	
Total	\$28.5	\$ 10.4	\$ 11.1

(1) Certain 2007 and 2006 amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

(2) Some amounts may not add due to rounding.

NM = Not meaningful

Table of Contents

Schedule V

**Valuation and Qualifying Accounts
(in millions of U.S. dollars)**

Valuation and qualifying accounts for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 are as follows:

		Balance at beginning of year
2008	Tax valuation allowance	\$ 7.0
	Allowance for Uncollectible Reinsurance	
	Total	\$ 7.0
2007	Tax valuation allowance	\$ 7.0
	Allowance for Uncollectible Reinsurance	
	Total	\$ 7.0
2006	Tax valuation allowance	\$ 7.0
	Allowance for Uncollectible Reinsurance	
	Total	\$ 7.0