

Westinghouse Solar, Inc.  
Form 10-Q  
August 02, 2011

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

or

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 001-33695

Westinghouse Solar, Inc.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

90-0181035  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1475 S. Bascom Ave. Suite 101, Campbell, CA  
(Address of principal executive offices)

95008  
(Zip Code)

(408) 402-9400  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting  
company)

Accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: Westinghouse Solar, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  
 No  x

As of July 29, 2011, 12,300,826 shares of the issuer's common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, were outstanding (including non-vested restricted shares).

---

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> | 2 |
|--|---|

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations</u> | 3 |
|--|---|

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock and Stockholders' Equity</u> | 4 |
|--|---|

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | 5 |
|--|---|

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 7 |
|---|---|

|   |    |
|---|----|
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.</u> | 22 |
|---|----|

|  |    |
|--|----|
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.</u> | 32 |
|--|----|

|   |    |
|---|----|
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures.</u> | 32 |
|---|----|

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

|                                   |    |
|-----------------------------------|----|
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings.</u> | 33 |
|-----------------------------------|----|

|                               |    |
|-------------------------------|----|
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors.</u> | 34 |
|-------------------------------|----|

|                          |    |
|--------------------------|----|
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits.</u> | 41 |
|--------------------------|----|

|                   |    |
|-------------------|----|
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 42 |
|-------------------|----|

|                      |    |
|----------------------|----|
| <u>Exhibit Index</u> | 43 |
|----------------------|----|

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <u>EX-31.1 Section 302 Certification of CEO</u> |  |
|---|--|

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <u>EX-31.2 Section 302 Certification of CFO</u> |  |
|---|--|

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <u>EX-32.1 Section 906 Certification of CEO</u> |  |
|---|--|

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <u>EX-32.2 Section 906 Certification of CFO</u> |  |
|---|--|

Table of Contents

## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements.

Westinghouse Solar, Inc.  
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

|  | June 30,<br>2011<br>(unaudited) | December 31,<br>2010 |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| Assets   |                                 |                      |
| Current assets:  |                                 |                      |
| Cash and cash equivalents  | \$2,288,362                     | \$ 596,046           |
| Restricted cash  | —                               | 540,250              |
| Accounts receivable, net   | 1,238,279                       | 912,588              |
| Other receivables  | 7,528                           | 15,864               |
| Inventory, net   | 2,952,352                       | 4,222,800            |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets, net   | 789,091                         | 786,653              |
| Assets of discontinued operations  | 51,841                          | 618,204              |
| Assets held for sale – discontinued operations   | 80,294                          | 290,051              |
| Total current assets   | 7,407,747                       | 7,982,456            |
| Property and equipment, net  | 236,096                         | 334,864              |
| Other assets, net  | 525,019                         | 426,492              |
| Long term assets of discontinued operations  | 221,724                         | 21,724               |
| Total assets   | \$8,390,586                     | \$ 8,765,536         |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity   |                                 |                      |
| Current liabilities:   |                                 |                      |
| Accounts payable   | \$2,180,679                     | \$ 1,483,180         |
| Accrued liabilities  | 526,626                         | 607,823              |
| Accrued warranty   | 77,958                          | 51,860               |
| Common stock warrant liability   | 1,779,224                       | 285,673              |
| Credit facility  | —                               | 540,250              |
| Capital lease obligations – current portion  | 3,559                           | —                    |
| Note payable – current portion   | 41,391                          | 136,816              |
| Liabilities of discontinued operations   | 1,387,087                       | 1,623,927            |
| Total current liabilities  | 5,996,524                       | 4,729,529            |
| Capital lease obligations, less current portion  | 6,010                           | —                    |
| Long-term liabilities of discontinued operations   | 38,440                          | 87,088               |
| Total liabilities  | 6,040,974                       | 4,816,617            |
| Commitments, contingencies and subsequent events (Notes 17 and 18)   |                                 |                      |
| Convertible redeemable preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 1,000,000 shares authorized; 3,590 and 0 shares issued and outstanding on June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively | 1,202,920                       | —                    |
| Stockholders' equity:  |                                 |                      |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized; 11,797,644 and 11,442,438 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010,                        | 11,797                          | 11,442               |

respectively (Note 1)

|  |              |              |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Additional paid-in capital   | 68,521,874   | 68,683,205   |
| Accumulated deficit  | (67,386,979) | (64,745,728) |
| Total stockholders' equity   | 1,146,692    | 3,948,919    |
| Total liabilities, convertible redeemable preferred stock and stockholders' equity | \$8,390,586  | \$ 8,765,536 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

Westinghouse Solar, Inc.  
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations  
(Unaudited)

|  | Three Months Ended |                | Six Months Ended |                |
|--|--------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
|  | June 30,           |                | June 30,         |                |
|  | 2011               | 2010           | 2011             | 2010           |
| Net revenue  | \$2,757,729        | \$2,225,052    | \$4,752,091      | \$2,954,427    |
| Cost of goods sold   | 2,563,842          | 1,883,077      | 4,280,405        | 2,497,273      |
| Gross profit   | 193,887            | 341,975        | 471,686          | 457,154        |
| Operating expenses   |                    |                |                  |                |
| Sales and marketing  | 700,103            | 272,914        | 1,046,431        | 437,763        |
| General and administrative   | 1,464,269          | 2,151,557      | 3,143,214        | 4,710,644      |
| Total operating expenses   | 2,164,372          | 2,424,471      | 4,189,645        | 5,148,407      |
| Loss from operations   | (1,970,485 )       | (2,082,496 )   | (3,717,959 )     | (4,691,253 )   |
| Other income (expense)   |                    |                |                  |                |
| Interest income (expense), net   | (35,148 )          | (3,987 )       | (57,849 )        | 5,135          |
| Adjustment to the fair value of common stock warrants  | 668,041            | 911,379        | 1,130,989        | 1,794,902      |
| Total other income (expense)   | 632,893            | 907,392        | 1,073,140        | 1,800,037      |
| Loss before provision for income taxes and discontinued operations                               | (1,337,592 )       | (1,175,104 )   | (2,644,819 )     | (2,891,216 )   |
| Provision for income taxes   | —                  | —              | —                | —              |
| Net loss from continuing operations (Note 3)   | (1,337,592 )       | (1,175,104 )   | (2,644,819 )     | (2,891,216 )   |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax   | 9,830              | (1,278,318 )   | 3,568            | (1,997,642 )   |
| Net loss   | (1,327,762 )       | (2,453,422 )   | (2,641,251 )     | (4,888,858 )   |
| Preferred deemed dividend  | —                  | —              | (975,460 )       | —              |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders   | \$(1,327,762 )     | \$(2,453,422 ) | \$(3,616,711 )   | \$(4,888,858 ) |
| Net loss from continuing operations per common and common equivalent share (basic and diluted)   | \$(0.11 )          | \$(0.12 )      | \$(0.23 )        | \$(0.30 )      |
| Net loss from discontinued operations per common and common equivalent share (basic and diluted) | \$0.00             | \$(0.13 )      | \$0.00           | \$(0.22 )      |
| Net loss per common and common equivalent share (basic and diluted)                              | \$(0.11 )          | \$(0.25 )      | \$(0.31 )        | \$(0.52 )      |
| Weighted average shares used in computing loss per common share: (basic and diluted)             | 11,387,874         | 9,549,988      | 11,374,872       | 9,290,348      |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Westinghouse Solar, Inc.  
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock and Stockholders' Equity  
(Unaudited)

|   | Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock |             | Common Stock     |          | Additional Paid-in Capital | Accumulated Deficit | Stockholders' Equity |
|---|--|-------------|------------------|----------|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|   | Number of Shares                       | Amount      | Number of Shares | Amount   |                            |                     |                      |
| Balance at January 1, 2011  | —                                      | \$—         | 11,442,438       | \$11,442 | \$68,683,205               | \$(64,745,728)      | \$3,948,919          |
| Issuance of Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock and common stock warrants | 4,000                                  | 364,841     | —                | —        | 89,010                     | —                   | 89,010               |
| Conversion of convertible redeemable preferred stock to common stock                  | (410 )                                 | (137,381 )  | 205,000          | 205      | 137,176                    | —                   | 137,381              |
| Preferred deemed dividend   | —                                      | 975,460     | —                | —        | (975,460 )                 | —                   | (975,460 )           |
| Grants of restricted stock, net of forfeitures and repurchases for employee taxes     | —                                      | —           | 150,206          | 150      | (18,374 )                  | —                   | (18,244 )            |
| Stock-based compensation expense  | —                                      | —           | —                | —        | 606,317                    | —                   | 606,317              |
| Net loss  | —                                      | —           | —                | —        | —                          | (2,641,251 )        | (2,641,251 )         |
| Balance at June 30, 2011  | 3,590                                  | \$1,202,920 | 11,797,644       | \$11,797 | \$68,521,874               | \$(67,386,979)      | \$1,146,692          |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Westinghouse Solar, Inc.  
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
(Unaudited)

|   | Six Months Ended June |               |
|---|-----------------------|---------------|
|   | 30,                   |               |
|   | 2011                  | 2010          |
| Cash flows from operating activities  |                       |               |
| Net loss  | \$(2,641,251)         | \$(4,888,858) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in by operations:          |                       |               |
| Depreciation  | 108,691               | 64,622        |
| Amortization of customer list, customer contracts and patents                 | 2,927                 | 2,004         |
| Bad debt expense  | 10,000                | —             |
| Unrealized gain on fair value adjustment of common stock warrants             | (1,130,989)           | (1,794,902)   |
| Non-cash stock-based compensation expense                                     | 606,317               | 967,208       |
| Loss on assets held for sale  | 10,840                | —             |
| Changes in assets and liabilities:  |                       |               |
| Accounts receivable   | (335,691 )            | (376,416 )    |
| Other receivables   | 8,336                 | 11,465        |
| Inventory   | 1,270,448             | (303,867 )    |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets                                     | (2,438 )              | (352,844 )    |
| Assets of discontinued operations – short term                                | 566,363               | 1,545,310     |
| Assets held for sale  | 20,738                | (131,443 )    |
| Other assets  | (101,454 )            | (253,198 )    |
| Assets of discontinued operations – long-term                                 | (200,000 )            | (7,668 )      |
| Accounts payable  | 697,499               | 213,078       |
| Accrued liabilities and accrued warranty                                      | (55,099 )             | 459,867       |
| Liabilities of discontinued operations  | (279,825 )            | 287,150       |
| Net cash used in operating activities   | (1,444,588)           | (4,558,492)   |
| Cash flows from investing activities  |                       |               |
| Acquisition of property and equipment   | (28,564 )             | (25,290 )     |
| Acquisition of property and equipment from discontinued operations            | —                     | (68,645 )     |
| Proceeds from disposal of property and equipment                              | 18,800                | —             |
| Proceeds from disposal of property and equipment from discontinued operations | 189,019               | 21,500        |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities                           | 179,255               | (72,435 )     |
| Cash flows from financing activities  |                       |               |
| Repayment of long-term debt from discontinued operations                      | —                     | (130,077 )    |
| Repayment of notes payable  | (95,425 )             | —             |
| Repayment on line of credit, net  | (540,250 )            | —             |
| Repayments on capital lease obligations                                       | (1,431 )              | —             |
| Repayments on capital lease obligations from discontinued operations          | (5,662 )              | (11,647 )     |
| Restricted cash   | 540,250               | —             |
| Proceeds from stock offering  | 3,600,000             | 2,499,999     |
| Proceeds from securities purchase agreement                                   | —                     | 593,876       |
| Proceeds from exercise of warrants  | —                     | 921,985       |
| Payment of placement agent and registration fees and other direct costs       | (521,609 )            | (113,414 )    |
| Employee taxes paid for vesting of restricted stock                           | (18,224 )             | (8,864 )      |
| Net cash provided by financing activities                                     | 2,957,649             | 3,751,858     |



Edgar Filing: Westinghouse Solar, Inc. - Form 10-Q

|  |             |             |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 1,692,316   | (879,069 )  |
| Cash and cash equivalents                            |             |             |
| Beginning of period                                  | 596,046     | 5,804,458   |
| End of period  | \$2,288,362 | \$4,925,389 |

5

---

Table of Contents

## Supplemental cash flows disclosures:

|   |             |           |
|---|-------------|-----------|
| Cash paid during the period for interest  | \$11,092    | \$17,520  |
| Supplemental disclosure of non-cash financing activity:                         |             |           |
| Fair value of warrants issued in stock offering                                 | \$2,713,550 | \$—       |
| Conversion of common stock warrant liability upon exercise of warrants          | \$—         | \$211,594 |
| Common stock warrant liability issued in connection with agency placement fee   | \$89,010    | \$—       |
| Preferred deemed dividend   | \$975,460   | \$—       |
| Property and equipment acquired through capital lease                           | \$11,000    | \$—       |
| Property and equipment acquired through capital lease – discontinued operations | \$—         | \$6,000   |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Westinghouse Solar, Inc.  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
June 30, 2011  
(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation and Description of Business

Basis of Presentation — Interim Financial Information

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited and have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information. They should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and related notes to the financial statements of Westinghouse Solar, Inc. (“we”, “us”, “our” or the “Company”), formerly Akeena Solar, Inc., for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 appearing in our Form 10-K. The June 30, 2011 unaudited interim consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q have been prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). Certain information and note disclosures normally included in annual financial statements filed with our Annual Report on Form 10-K have been condensed or omitted as permitted by those rules and regulations. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, necessary for a fair statement of the results of operations for the interim periods presented have been reflected herein. The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year.

Reclassifications

Discontinued Operations

In September 2010, we announced we were exiting the installation business and reclassifying the installation business segment as discontinued operations. (See Note 3. Discontinued Operations). As a result, certain line items in our condensed consolidated balance sheets, consolidated statements of operations and our consolidated statements of cash flows have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Reverse Stock Split

On April 6, 2011, we filed a Certificate of Amendment to our Certificate of Incorporation with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware to effect a reverse split of our common stock at a ratio of 1-for-4. The reverse stock split was effective at the close of business on April 13, 2011. All historical share and per share amounts have been adjusted to reflect the reverse stock split. Our par value was not changed by the reverse stock split.

Description of Business

The Company was incorporated as Akeena Solar, Inc. in February 2001 in the State of California and elected at that time to be taxed as an S Corporation. During June 2006, we reincorporated in the State of Delaware and became a C Corporation. On August 11, 2006, we entered into a reverse merger transaction (the “Merger”) with Fairview Energy Corporation, Inc. (“Fairview”). Pursuant to the merger agreement, the stockholders of Akeena Solar received one share of Fairview common stock for each issued and outstanding share of Akeena Solar common stock. Our common shares were also adjusted from \$0.01 par value to \$0.001 par value at the time of the Merger. Subsequent to the closing of the Merger, the former stockholders of Akeena Solar held a majority of Fairview’s outstanding common stock. Since the stockholders of Akeena Solar owned a majority of the outstanding shares of Fairview common stock immediately following the Merger, and the management and board of Akeena Solar became the management and board of Fairview immediately following the Merger, the Merger was accounted for as a reverse merger transaction and Akeena Solar

was deemed to be the acquirer. The assets, liabilities and the historical operations prior to the Merger are those of Akeena Solar. Subsequent to the Merger, the consolidated financial statements include the assets, liabilities and the historical operations of Akeena Solar and Fairview from the closing date of the Merger.

We are a designer and manufacturer of solar power systems and solar panels with integrated microinverters (which we call AC solar panels). We design, market and sell these solar power systems to solar installers, trade workers and do-it-yourself customers through distribution partnerships, our dealer network and retail outlets. Our products are designed for use in solar power systems for residential and commercial rooftop customers. Prior to September 2010, we were also in the solar power installation business. We launched the distribution of our solar power systems in the second quarter of 2009.

## Table of Contents

On May 17, 2010, we entered into an exclusive worldwide agreement to manufacture, distribute and market our solar panels under the Westinghouse name. On July 22, 2010, we announced that we will operate under the name "Westinghouse Solar" and, effective July 23, 2010 at the opening of the market, our stock began trading under the stock symbol "WEST" on the NASDAQ Capital Market.

On September 10, 2010, we announced that we were expanding our sales of our solar power systems directly to dealers in California and that we were exiting the solar panel installation business. As a result, beginning with the third quarter of 2010, our installation business has been reclassified in our financial statements as discontinued operations. The exit from the installation business was essentially completed by the end of the fourth quarter of 2010. (See Note 3. Discontinued Operations).

At the Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on March 31, 2011, our stockholders approved an amendment to our Certificate of Incorporation to, among other things, formally change the name of the company from "Akeena Solar, Inc." to "Westinghouse Solar, Inc.". The name change became effective on April 6, 2011.

Our Corporate headquarters is located at 1475 S. Bascom Ave., Campbell, CA 95008. Our telephone number is (408) 402-9400. Additional information about Westinghouse Solar is available on our website at <http://www.westinghousesolar.com>. The information on our web site is not incorporated herein by reference.

## 2. Significant Accounting Policies

### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### Revenue Recognition

Revenue from sales of products is recognized when: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (2) delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, (3) the sale price is fixed or determinable, and (4) collection of the related receivable is reasonably assured. We recognize revenue when the solar power systems are shipped to the customer.

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less, when purchased, to be cash equivalents. We maintain cash and cash equivalents which consist principally of demand deposits with high credit quality financial institutions. At certain times, such amounts exceed FDIC insurance limits. We have not experienced any losses on these investments.

### Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable consist of trade receivables. We regularly evaluate the collectability of our accounts receivable. An allowance for doubtful accounts is maintained for estimated credit losses, and such losses have historically been minimal and within our expectations. We consider a number of factors when estimating credit losses, including the aging of a customer's account, creditworthiness of specific customers, historical trends and other information.

Discontinued operations

Discontinued operations are presented and accounted for in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 360, "Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets", (ASC 360). When a qualifying component of the Company is disposed of or has been classified as held for sale, the operating results of that component are removed from continuing operations for all periods presented and displayed as discontinued operations if: (a) elimination of the component's operations and cash flows from the Company's ongoing operations has occurred (or will occur) and (b) significant continuing involvement by the Company in the component's operations does not exist after the disposal transaction.

On September 7, 2010, we announced that we were exiting the solar panel installation business. The exit from the installation business was essentially completed by the end of 2010. The exit from the installation business was therefore classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented under the requirements of ASC 360.

Table of Contents

## Manufacturer and Installation Warranties

The manufacturer directly warrants the solar panels and inverters for a range from 15 to 25 years. We warrant the balance of system components of our products against defects in material and workmanship for five years. We assist our customers in the event of a claim under the manufacturer warranty to replace a defective solar panel or inverter. The warranty liability for the material and the workmanship of the balance of system components of approximately \$78,000 at June 30, 2011 and \$52,000 at December 31, 2010, is included within “Accrued warranty” in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

The liability for our manufacturing warranty consists of the following:

|   | June 30,<br>2011 (Unaudited) | December<br>31, 2010 |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Beginning accrued warranty balance (January 1)                  | \$ 51,860                    | \$8,404              |
| Reduction for labor payments and claims made under the warranty | —                            | —                    |
| Accruals related to warranties issued during the period         | 26,098                       | 43,456               |
| Ending accrued warranty balance                                 | \$ 77,958                    | \$51,860             |

We previously recorded a provision for warranty liability related to our discontinued installation operations. We provided for a 5-year or a 10-year warranty on the installation of a system and all equipment and incidental supplies other than solar panels and inverters that are covered under the manufacturer warranty. The liability for the installation warranty of approximately \$1.2 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is included within “Liabilities of Discontinued Operations” in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. Defective solar panels or inverters are covered under the manufacturer warranty. In the event that a panel or inverter needs to be replaced, we will replace the defective item within the manufacturer’s warranty period (between 5-25 years).

## Patent Costs

We capitalize external legal costs and filing fees associated with obtaining or defending our patents. Upon issuance of new patents or successful defense of existing patents, we amortize these costs using the straight line method over the shorter of the legal life of the patent or its economic life. We believe the remaining useful life we assign to these patents, approximately 13.5 years as of June 30, 2011, are reasonable. We periodically review our patents to determine whether any such cost have been impaired and are no longer being used. To the extent we are no longer using certain patents, the associated costs will be written off at that time.

## Common Stock Warrant Liabilities

In March 2009 and February 2011 we issued warrants to purchase shares of our common stock in connection with certain capital financing transactions. The terms of the warrant agreements related to these two offerings include a cash-out provision which may be triggered at the option of the warrant holders if the Company “goes private,” is acquired for all cash or upon the occurrence of certain other fundamental transactions involving the Company. In addition, the terms of the warrant agreement related to the February 2011 offering include a provision that may require us to reduce the exercise price of the warrants to purchase shares of our common stock upon the occurrence of certain lower-priced future offerings of our equity securities. Under the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 480, Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity (“ASC 480”), financial instruments that may require the issuer to settle the obligation by transferring assets or to reduce the exercise price of its warrants to purchase shares of its common stock are classified as a liability. Therefore, we have classified the warrants as liabilities and will record mark-to-market adjustments to reflect the fair value at each period-end.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2009-13, Revenue Recognition (Topic 605): Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements - a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force (ASU 2009-13). ASU 2009-13 addresses the accounting for sales arrangements that include multiple products or services by revising the criteria for when deliverables may be accounted for separately rather than as a combined unit. Specifically, this guidance establishes a selling price hierarchy for determining the selling price of a deliverable, which is necessary to separately account for each product or service. This hierarchy provides more options for establishing selling price than did the previous guidance. ASU 2009-13 is required to be applied prospectively to new or materially modified revenue arrangements in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. We adopted this standard beginning January 1, 2011, and the adoption did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.



Table of Contents

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements (ASU 2010-06). We adopted ASU 2010-06 effective January 1, 2010, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements which are deferred until fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. We adopted this standard beginning January 1, 2011, and the adoption did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-28, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts (ASU 2010-28). ASU 2010-28 modifies Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test so that for those reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not based on an assessment of qualitative indicators that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that goodwill impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that an impairment may exist. ASU 2010-28 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010. We adopted this standard beginning January 1, 2011, and the adoption did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-29, which updates the guidance in ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations (ASU 2010-29). The objective of ASU 2010-29 is to address diversity in practice about the interpretation of the pro forma revenue and earnings disclosure requirements for business combinations. The amendments in ASU 2010-29 specify that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The amendments also expand the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. The amendments affect any public entity as defined by ASC 805 that enters into business combinations that are material on an individual or aggregate basis. This guidance will become effective for us for any acquisitions occurring on or after the beginning of our 2012 fiscal year. We do not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

### 3. Discontinued Operations

On September 7, 2010, we announced that we were exiting the solar panel installation business and we were expanding our distribution business to include sales of our Westinghouse Solar Power Systems directly to dealers in California. The exit from the installation business was essentially completed by the end of 2010. As a result of the decision to exit the California installation business, we recorded a restructuring charge totaling approximately \$2.6 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2010, the majority of which consisted of non-cash charges. This restructuring charge was comprised primarily of (i) one-time severance costs of \$809,000 related to headcount reductions, which was paid in shares of our common stock, (ii) inventory write downs of \$698,000, (iii) lease accelerations and the write off of leasehold improvements of \$307,000, (iv) goodwill impairment of \$299,000, (v) vehicle, furniture and fixtures and computer equipment write downs of \$290,000 and (vi) other prepaid costs write-downs of \$239,000.

Table of Contents

The assets and liabilities of discontinued operations are presented separately under the captions “Assets of discontinued operations,” “Liabilities of discontinued operations” and “Long-term liabilities of discontinued operations,” respectively, in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and consist of the following:

|   | June 30,<br>2011<br>(unaudited) | December<br>31, 2010 |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| Assets of discontinued operations:                      |                                 |                      |
| Accounts receivable and other receivables               | \$ 12,485                       | \$ 501,454           |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets               | 34,415                          | 69,207               |
| Other assets  | 4,941                           | 47,543               |
| Total current assets of discontinued operations         | 51,841                          | 618,204              |
| Security deposits on operating leases                   | 21,724                          | 21,724               |
| Security deposit – escrow account for installation jobs | 200,000                         | —                    |
| Total assets of discontinued operations                 | \$ 273,565                      | \$ 639,928           |

|   | June 30,<br>2011<br>(unaudited) | December<br>31, 2010 |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| Liabilities of discontinued operations:         |                                 |                      |
| Accounts payable                                | \$ —                            | \$ 130,282           |
| Customer rebate payable                         | 6,000                           | 19,200               |
| Accrued liabilities                             | 136,848                         | 131,139              |
| Accrued warranty                                | 1,192,701                       | 1,246,594            |
| Deferred revenue                                | 50,520                          | 93,751               |
| Current portion of capital lease obligations    | 1,018                           | 2,961                |
| Total current liabilities                       | 1,387,087                       | 1,623,927            |
| Capital lease obligations, less current portion | 1,084                           | 4,803                |
| Other long-term liabilities                     | 37,356                          | 82,285               |
| Total discontinued operations liabilities       | \$ 1,425,527                    | \$ 1,711,015         |

We entered into a Supply and Warranty Agreement and Master Assignment Agreement with Real Goods Solar, Inc. (Real Goods), pursuant to which Real Goods has agreed to perform certain warranty work. The terms of the agreement provide that an escrow account be established as a source of funds from which to satisfy our obligation to pay Real Goods for its fees and reimburse it for its expenses for this warranty work. In March 2011, the company entered into an Escrow Agreement with Real Goods and deposited \$200,000 into an escrow account. The amount is reflected in long-term assets of discontinued operations in the balance sheet. The escrow deposit will be released to the company in the amount of \$40,000, or one-fifth of the remaining escrow funds, per year after each of the fifth through the ninth anniversary of the escrow agreement.

In connection with the announcement of our exit from the solar panel installation business, we reclassified certain assets as “Assets held for sale,” in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and consist of the following:

|                       | June 30,<br>2011<br>(unaudited) | December<br>31, 2010 |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| Assets held for sale: |                                 |                      |
| Inventory             | \$ 80,294                       | \$ 253,370           |

Edgar Filing: Westinghouse Solar, Inc. - Form 10-Q

|                        |           |            |
|------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Office equipment       | —         | 2,869      |
| Furniture and fixtures | —         | 15,085     |
| Vehicles               | —         | 18,727     |
|                        | \$ 80,294 | \$ 290,051 |

Table of Contents

## 4. Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable consists of the following:

|                               | June 30,<br>2011 (Unaudited) | December<br>31,<br>2010 |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Trade accounts                | \$ 1,253,279                 | \$917,588               |
| Less: Allowance for bad debts | (15,000 )                    | (5,000 )                |
|                               | \$ 1,238,279                 | \$912,588               |

## 5. Inventory

Inventory consists of the following:

|                 | June 30,<br>2011 (Unaudited) | December<br>31,<br>2010 |
|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Work in process | \$ 227,296                   | \$591,463               |
| Finished goods  | 2,725,056                    | 3,631,337               |
|                 | \$ 2,952,352                 | \$4,222,800             |

## 6. Property and Equipment, Net

Property and equipment, net consist of the following:

|   | June 30,<br>2011 (Unaudited) | December<br>31,<br>2010 |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Office equipment                                | \$ 529,401                   | \$513,862               |
| Leasehold improvements                          | 148,759                      | 148,407                 |
| Vehicles  | 17,992                       | 30,226                  |
|   | 696,152                      | 692,495                 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (460,056 )                   | (357,631 )              |
|   | \$ 236,096                   | \$334,864               |

Depreciation expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 was approximately \$44,000 and \$109,000, respectively. Depreciation expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 was approximately \$32,000 and \$65,000, respectively.

## 7. Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities consist of the following:

|   | June 30,<br>2011 (Unaudited) | December<br>31,<br>2010 |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Accrued salaries, wages, benefits and bonus | \$ 104,327                   | \$168,616               |
| Sales tax payable                           | 5,448                        | 21,823                  |
| Accrued interest                            | 51,089                       | —                       |
| Accrued accounting and legal fees           | 72,275                       | 92,390                  |
| Allowance for returns                       | 124,000                      | 294,590                 |

Edgar Filing: Westinghouse Solar, Inc. - Form 10-Q

|                           |            |           |
|---------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Customer deposit payable  | 20,173     | —         |
| Other accrued liabilities | 149,314    | 30,404    |
|                           | \$ 526,626 | \$607,823 |

12

---

## Table of Contents

### 8. Credit Facility

On March 3, 2009, we entered into a Loan and Security Agreement (Cash Collateral Account) with Comerica Bank, dated as of February 10, 2009 (the "2009 Bank Facility"), which had a limit of \$1.0 million, subject to our obligation to maintain cash as collateral for any borrowings incurred or any letters of credit issued on our behalf. In connection with the 2011 Credit Facility with Bridge Bank (as described below), our Cash Collateral Account with Comerica Bank was terminated in February 2011.

On February 15, 2011, we entered into a Business Financing Agreement (the "2011 Credit Facility") with Bridge Bank, National Association ("Bridge Bank") to finance our accounts receivables. The 2011 Credit Facility provides for a credit limit of \$750,000, representing the maximum amount of advances based on up to 50% of \$1.5 million of gross eligible accounts receivables. The 2011 Credit Facility may be terminated at any time by either party and may be renewed under similar terms if acceptable and agreed to by both parties. If any advance is not repaid in full within 90 days from the earlier of (a) invoice date, or (b) the date on which such advance is made, we are obligated to immediately pay the outstanding amount to Bridge Bank. Outstanding loans under the 2011 Credit Facility will accrue interest at the Bridge Bank Prime rate plus 3.0% (annualized) of the daily gross financed amount outstanding. The 2011 Credit Facility is secured by substantially all of our assets. As of June 30, 2011, there was nothing borrowed under the 2011 Credit Facility.

### 9. Stockholders' Equity

On May 17, 2010, we entered into a securities purchase agreement with certain institutional accredited investors relating to the sale of 679,348 shares of common stock at a price of \$3.68 per share and Series I Warrants to purchase up to 339,677 shares of common stock (50% of the number of shares of common stock initially issued) at an exercise price of \$4.40 per share, which warrants were not exercisable until six months after issuance and have a term of five and one-half years. The aggregate purchase price for the Securities was \$2,500,000. Under the securities purchase agreement, we also agreed to amend our then outstanding Series H Warrants to extend the term until December 1, 2011. The outstanding Series H Warrants were issued on June 1, 2009, and were due to expire on June 1, 2010. The remaining outstanding Series H Warrants represent the right to purchase up to an aggregate of 156,250 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$5.36 per share.

On October 7, 2010, we entered into a securities purchase agreement with certain institutional accredited investors relating to the sale of 1,000,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$2.20 per share, along with the sale of Series J Warrants to purchase up to 400,001 shares of common stock (40% of the number of shares of common stock initially issued) at an exercise price of \$2.44 per share. The warrants were not exercisable until six months after issuance and have a term of five years from the date they are first exercisable. The aggregate purchase price for the shares and the warrants was \$2,200,000. Under the securities purchase agreement, we agreed to amend the outstanding Series I Warrants, such that the exercise price of the Series I Warrants is reduced from \$4.40 per share to \$2.44 per share. In addition, with respect to 45% of the shares of common stock subject to each of the Series I Warrants, (i) each warrant is not exercisable until the six month anniversary of the closing under the securities purchase agreement, and (ii) the expiration date is extended such that the warrant is exercisable for five years from the delayed initial exercise date. The outstanding Series I Warrants were originally issued on May 17, 2010, and represent the right to purchase up to an aggregate of 339,677 shares of common stock.

On February 17, 2011, we entered into a securities purchase agreement with certain institutional accredited investors relating to the sale of 4,000 units at a price of \$900 per unit. See Note 10 for a discussion of the sale of units.

On March 25, 2011, we entered into a Supply Agreement with Light Way Green New Energy Co., Ltd (Lightway). Lightway is a leading international vertically integrated manufacturer of polycrystalline silicon wafers, solar cells and

solar modules. Lightway will provide an additional source of supply for our proprietary Westinghouse solar panels. In consideration of the new contract manufacturing arrangement, we have agreed to issue to Lightway a number of shares of our common stock with a market value of \$520,000, based on the closing share price of our common stock on the date of the first shipment of products by Lightway. As of June 30, 2011, no shares had been issued pursuant to the agreement. The issued shares will vest ratably on a monthly basis over a one year period beginning on the date of first shipment, and will be subject to forfeiture in the event of termination of the Supply Agreement by either party.

Table of Contents

10. Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock and Preferred Deemed Dividend

On February 17, 2011, we entered into a securities purchase agreement with certain institutional accredited investors relating to the sale of 4,000 units at a price of \$900 per unit (the “Securities Purchase Agreement”). Each unit consists of (i) one share of Series B Preferred Stock (the “Series B Preferred”), with each such share of Series B Preferred initially convertible into 500 shares of common stock at an initial conversion price of \$1.80 per share, subject to future adjustment for various events, and (ii) warrants to purchase 425 shares of common stock at an initial exercise price of \$2.40 per share, subject to future adjustment for various events, which warrants are not exercisable until six months after issuance and have a term of five years from the date of first exercisability (the “Series K Warrants” and together with the Series B Preferred, the “Securities”). The aggregate purchase price for the Securities was \$3,600,000, less \$522,000 in issuance costs. As of June 30, 2011, 410 shares of preferred stock were converted into 205,000 shares of common stock.

The Securities Purchase Agreement also includes standstill provisions under which we agree not to engage in other stock issuances for specified periods of time, and rights of participation under which the purchasers have rights to participate in future debt and equity offerings of our securities. The Certificate of Designation to create the Series B Preferred includes certain negative covenants regarding indebtedness and other matters, and includes provisions under which the holders of the Series B Preferred are entitled to demand redemption for cash upon specified triggering events. The Series B Preferred bears dividends at the rate 4% per year for the first year, and 8% per year thereafter, payable in stock or in cash at our election, subject to certain restrictions.

In connection with the sale of the Securities under the Securities Purchase Agreement, we entered into a registration rights agreement with the purchasers (the “Registration Rights Agreement”). In accordance with the Registration Rights Agreement, we filed a registration statement, on March 18, 2011, to register for resale the shares of common stock issued and issuable to the purchasers upon conversion of the Series B Preferred and the shares then issuable upon exercise of the Series K Warrants. The registration statement was declared effective on June 17, 2011. Under the terms of the Registration Rights Agreement, we are obligated to maintain the effectiveness of the resale registration statement until all securities registered thereunder are sold or otherwise can be sold pursuant to Rule 144, without restriction.

On the date of issuance, we recorded the value of the Series B Preferred of \$1.5 million and of the warrants of \$2.6 million on our balance sheet. The closing price of our common stock on the date of issuance was used to value the Series B Preferred and we used the Black-Scholes model to value the Series K Warrants. For purposes of calculating the fair value of the warrants we used a risk free rate of return of 1.4%, an expected life of 4.1 years and a volatility percentage of 103.2%. The intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature is considered a preferred deemed dividend totaling \$975,000 to the preferred shareholders, and was charged to additional paid-in capital on our condensed consolidated balance sheets and net loss attributable to common stockholders on our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

See Note 12 for a discussion of the accounting treatment of the stock warrant transactions described above.

11. Stock Option Plan and Stock Incentive Plan

On August 8, 2006, we adopted the Akeena Solar, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (the “Stock Plan”) pursuant to which shares of common stock are available for issuance to employees, directors and consultants under the Stock Plan as restricted stock and/or options to purchase common stock. The Stock Plan allows for issuance of up to 3,000,000 shares and there were 2,305,980 shares available for issuance under the Stock Plan as of June 30, 2011.



Restricted stock and options to purchase common stock may be issued under the Stock Plan. The restriction period on restricted stock grants generally expires at a rate of 25% per year over four years, unless decided otherwise by our Compensation Committee. Options to purchase common stock generally vest and become exercisable as to one-third of the total amount of shares subject to the option on each of the first, second and third anniversaries from the date of grant. Options to purchase common stock generally have a 5-year term.

We use the Black-Scholes-Merton Options Pricing Model (Black-Scholes) to estimate fair value of our employee and our non-employee director stock-based awards. Black-Scholes requires various judgmental assumptions, including estimating stock price volatility, expected option life and forfeiture rates. If we had made different assumptions, the amount of our deferred stock-based compensation, stock-based compensation expense, gross margin, net loss and net loss per share amounts could have been significantly different. We believe that we have used reasonable methodologies, approaches and assumptions to determine the fair value of our common stock, and that our deferred stock-based compensation and related amortization were recorded properly for accounting purposes. If any of the assumptions we used change significantly, stock-based compensation expense may differ materially in the future from that recorded in the current period.

Table of Contents

We measure compensation expense for non-employee stock-based compensation under Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 505-50, "Equity-Based Payments to Non-Employees." The fair value of the option issued is used to measure the transaction, as this is more reliable than the fair value of the services received. The estimated fair value is measured utilizing Black-Scholes using the value of our common stock on the date that the commitment for performance by the counterparty has been reached or the counterparty's performance is complete (generally the vesting date). The fair value of the equity instrument is charged directly to expense and additional paid-in capital.

We recognized stock-based compensation expense of approximately \$189,000 and \$348,000 during the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and approximately \$606,000 and \$818,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, relating to compensation expense calculated based on the fair value at the time of grant for restricted stock and based on Black-Scholes for stock options granted under the Stock Plan.

The following table sets forth a summary of restricted stock activity for the six months ended June 30, 2011:

|   | Number of<br>Restricted<br>Shares | Weighted-Average<br>Grant Date<br>Fair Value |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| Outstanding and not vested beginning balance at January 1, 2011 | 101,446                           | \$ 7.57                                      |
| Granted   | 179,086                           | \$ 2.10                                      |
| Forfeited/cancelled   | (23,903 )                         | \$ 4.17                                      |
| Released/vested   | (56,207 )                         | \$ 5.63                                      |
| Outstanding and not vested at June 30, 2011                     | 200,422                           | \$ 3.64                                      |

Restricted stock is valued at the grant date fair value of the common stock and expensed over the requisite service period or vesting period. We estimate forfeitures when recognizing stock-based compensation expense for restricted stock, and the estimate of forfeitures is adjusted over the requisite service period should actual forfeitures differ from such estimates. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there was approximately \$612,000 and \$682,000, respectively, of unrecognized stock-based compensation expense associated with the granted but unvested restricted stock. Stock-based compensation expense relating to these restricted shares is being recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.2 years. The total fair value of shares vested during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 was approximately \$34,000 and \$110,000. Tax benefits resulting from tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized (excess tax benefits) are classified as financing cash flows on our consolidated statements of cash flows. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, there were no excess tax benefits relating to restricted stock and therefore there is no impact on the accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows.

The following table sets forth a summary of stock option activity for the six months ended June 30, 2011:

|                                | Number of<br>Shares<br>Subject to<br>Option | Weighted-Average<br>Exercise Price |
|--------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Outstanding at January 1, 2011 | 999,775                                     | \$ 8.66                            |
| Granted                        | 272,209                                     | \$ 2.14                            |
| Forfeited/cancelled/expired    | (279,730 )                                  | \$ 11.46                           |
| Exercised                      | —   | \$ -                               |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2011   | 992,254                                     | \$ 6.08                            |
| Exercisable at June 30, 2011   | 354,674                                     | \$ 11.25                           |



Table of Contents

Stock options are valued at the estimated fair value grant date or the measurement date and expensed over the requisite service period or vesting period. The weighted-average volatility was based upon the historical volatility of our common stock price. The fair value of stock option grants during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

|  | Three Months Ended |           | Six Months Ended June |           |      |           |   |
|--|--------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|------|-----------|---|
|  | June 30,           |           | 2011                  |           | 2010 |           |   |
|  | 2011               | 2010      |                       |           |      |           |   |
| Weighted-average volatility              | —                  | 109.0     | %                     | 103.1     | %    | 109.0     | % |
| Expected dividends                       | —                  | 0.0       | %                     | 0.0       | %    | 0.0       | % |
| Expected life                            | —                  | 3.0 years |                       | 3.0 years |      | 3.0 years |   |
| Weighted-average risk-free interest rate | —                  | 1.4       | %                     | 1.2       | %    | 1.4       | % |

The weighted-average fair value per share of the stock options as determined on the date of grant was \$1.34 for the stock options to purchase 272,209 shares of common stock granted during the six months ended June 30, 2011. The weighted-average remaining contractual term for the stock options outstanding (vested and expected to vest) and exercisable as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, was 2.6 years and 3.5 years, respectively. The total estimated fair value of stock options vested during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 was approximately \$56,000 and \$384,000, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of stock options outstanding as of June 30, 2011 was zero.

We estimate forfeitures when recognizing stock-based compensation expense for stock options and the estimate of forfeitures is adjusted over the requisite service period should actual forfeitures differ from such estimates. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there was approximately \$920,000 and \$1.3 million, respectively, of unrecognized stock-based compensation expense associated with stock options granted. Stock-based compensation expense relating to these stock options is being recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.0 years. Tax benefits resulting from tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized (excess tax benefits) is classified as financing cash flows on our consolidated statements of cash flows. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, there were no excess tax benefits relating to stock options and therefore there is no impact on the accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows.

## 12. Stock Warrants

During March 2009, in connection with an equity financing, we issued We issued Series E Warrants to purchase 334,822 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$5.36 per share. The fair value of the warrants was estimated using Black-Scholes with the following weighted average assumptions: risk-free interest rate of 2.69%, an expected life of five years; an expected volatility factor of 112% and a dividend yield of 0.0%. The value assigned to these warrants was approximately \$1.0 million, of which \$1.0 million was reflected as common stock warrant liability with an offset to additional paid-in capital as of the offering close date. As of June 30, 2011, the fair value of the warrants was estimated using Black-Scholes with the following weighted average assumptions: risk-free interest rate of 0.5%, an expected life of 2.7 years; an expected volatility factor of 109.7% and a dividend yield of 0.0%. The fair value of the warrants decreased to \$184,000 as of June 30, 2011 and we recognized a \$102,000 favorable non-cash adjustment from the change in fair value of these warrants for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

On June 1, 2009, we entered into an amendment agreement (the “Amendment Agreement”) with investors who had previously acquired Series G Warrants. Pursuant to the Amendment Agreement, the investors purchased 156,250 shares of our common stock through the exercise of a portion of their Series G Warrants, with gross proceeds to us of \$700,000. In conjunction with that exercise, we issued new Series H Warrants to purchase up to an aggregate of 156,250 shares of Common Stock at a strike price of \$5.36 per share. The Series H Warrants became exercisable on

December 1, 2009 and had a term of nine months from the day they first become exercisable. In conjunction with the May 17, 2010 transaction discussed below, the expiration date for the Series H Warrants was extended.

On May 17, 2010, we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement and issued Series I Warrants to purchase 339,677 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$4.40 per share (the "Series I Warrants"). The fair value of the warrants was estimated using Black-Scholes with the following weighted-average assumptions: risk-free interest rate of 1.28%, an expected life of 4.1 years; an expected volatility factor of 107% and a dividend yield of 0.0%. The estimated value of these warrants was approximately \$950,000. Under the May 17, 2010 Securities Purchase Agreement, we also agreed to extend the term of the remainder of our outstanding Series H Warrants until December 1, 2011. The estimated value assigned to the extension of these warrants was approximately \$210,000.

Table of Contents

On October 7, 2010, we entered into a securities purchase agreement and issued Series J Warrants to purchase 400,001 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.44 per share (the “Series J Warrants”). The fair value of the warrants was estimated using Black-Scholes with the following weighted-average assumptions: risk-free interest rate of 0.54%, an expected life of 4.1 years; an expected volatility factor of 103.7% and a dividend yield of 0.0%. The estimated value of these warrants was approximately \$694,000, which was allocated to additional paid in capital. Under the securities purchase agreement, we also agreed to amend the outstanding Series I Warrants, such that the exercise price of the Series I Warrants was reduced to \$2.44 per share. In addition, with respect to 45% of the shares of common stock subject to each of the Series I Warrants, (i) each warrant was not exercisable until the six month anniversary of the closing under the securities Purchase agreement, and (ii) the expiration date was extended such that the warrant is exercisable for five years from the delayed initial exercise date. The outstanding Series I Warrants were originally issued on May 17, 2010, and represent the right to purchase up to an aggregate of 339,677 shares of our common stock.

On February 17, 2011, we entered into a securities purchase agreement and issued Series K Warrants (the “Series K Warrants”) to purchase up to 1,700,002 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.40 per share, which warrants are not exercisable until six months after issuance and have a term of five and one-half years. The fair value of the warrants was estimated using Black-Scholes with the following weighted-average assumptions: risk-free interest rate of 1.4%, an expected life of 4.1 years; an expected volatility factor of 103.2% and a dividend yield of 0.0%. The estimated value of these warrants was approximately \$2.6 million, of which \$2.6 million was reflected as common stock warrant liability with an offset to preferred stock as of the offering close date. As of June 30, 2011, the fair value of the warrants was estimated using Black-Scholes with the following weighted average assumptions: risk-free interest rate of 0.8%, an expected life of 3.8 years; an expected volatility factor of 109.7% and a dividend yield of 0.0%. The fair value of the warrants decreased to \$1.6 million as of June 30, 2011 and we recognized a \$1.0 million favorable non-cash adjustment from the change in fair value of these warrants for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

In connection with the February 17, 2011 securities purchase agreement, we issued as a placement agent fee to our financial advisory firm warrants to purchase 60,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.44 per share, with a term of five years. The fair value of the warrants was estimated using Black-Scholes with the following weighted-average assumptions: risk-free interest rate of 1.4%, an expected life of 3.8 years; an expected volatility factor of 103.2% and a dividend yield of 0.0%. The estimated value of these warrants was approximately \$89,000 which was reflected as a reduction in the net proceeds of the preferred stock with an offset to additional paid in capital as of the offering close date.

The following table summarizes the Warrant activity for the six months ending June 30, 2011:

|                                | Warrants<br>for Number<br>of Shares | Weighted-Average<br>Exercise Price |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Outstanding at January 1, 2011 | 1,503,998                           | \$ 9.32                            |
| Issued                         | 1,760,002                           | \$ 2.40                            |
| Exercised                      | —                                   | \$ —                               |
| Cancelled/expired              | —                                   | \$ —                               |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2011   | 3,264,000                           | \$ 5.59                            |

### 13. Earnings Per Share

On January 1, 2009, we adopted Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 260 (formerly Financial Accounting Standards Board Staff Position (FSP) Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 03-6-1) (ASC 260), Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities (the “Staff Position”), which

states that unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents are considered participating securities and shall be included in the computation of net income (loss) per share pursuant to the two-class method described in ASC 260 (formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 128), Earnings Per Share.

In accordance with the Staff Position, basic net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss), excluding net income (loss) attributable to participating securities, by the weighted average number of shares outstanding less the weighted average unvested restricted shares outstanding. Diluted net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss), excluding net income (loss) attributable to participating securities, by the denominator for basic net income (loss) per share and any dilutive effects of stock options, restricted stock, convertible notes and warrants.

Table of Contents

On April 6, 2011, we filed a Certificate of Amendment to our Certificate of Incorporation with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware to effect a reverse split of our common stock at a ratio of 1-for-4. The reverse stock split became effective at the close of business on April 13, 2011. All historical share and per share amounts have been adjusted to reflect this reverse stock split. The par value of our common stock did not change. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net loss per share (unaudited):

|  | Three Months Ended<br>June 30, |                | Six Months Ended<br>June 30, |                |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|----------------|
|  | 2011                           | 2010           | 2011                         | 2010           |
| <b>Basic:</b>  |                                |                |                              |                |
| <b>Numerator:</b>  |                                |                |                              |                |
| Net loss   | \$(1,327,762 )                 | \$(2,453,421 ) | \$(2,641,251 )               | \$(4,888,858 ) |
| Less: Net loss allocated to participating securities           | 24,695                         | 43,511         | 41,312                       | 92,584         |
| Net loss attributable to stockholders                          | (1,303,067 )                   | \$(2,409,910 ) | (2,599,939 )                 | \$(4,796,274 ) |
| Preferred deemed dividend                                      | —                              | —              | (975,460 )                   | —              |
|  | \$(1,303,067 )                 | \$(2,409,910 ) | \$(3,575,399 )               | \$(4,796,274 ) |
| <b>Denominator:</b>  |                                |                |                              |                |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding                            | 11,603,691                     | 9,722,413      | 11,555,616                   | 9,469,683      |
| Weighted-average unvested restricted shares outstanding        | (215,817 )                     | (172,425 )     | (180,744 )                   | (179,335 )     |
| Denominator for basic net loss per share                       | 11,387,874                     | 9,549,988      | 11,374,872                   | 9,290,348      |
| Basic net loss per share attributable to common stockholders   | \$(0.11 )                      | \$(0.25 )      | \$(0.31 )                    | \$(0.52 )      |
| <b>Diluted:</b>  |                                |                |                              |                |
| <b>Numerator:</b>  |                                |                |                              |                |
| Net loss   | \$(1,327,762 )                 | \$(2,453,421 ) | \$(2,641,251 )               | \$(4,888,858 ) |
| Less: Net loss allocated to participating securities           | 24,695                         | 43,511         | 41,312                       | 92,584         |
| Net loss attributable to stockholders                          | (1,303,067 )                   | \$(2,409,910 ) | (2,599,939 )                 | \$(4,796,274 ) |
| Preferred deemed dividend                                      | —                              | —              | (975,460 )                   | —              |
|  | \$(1,303,067 )                 | \$(2,409,910 ) | \$(3,575,399 )               | \$(4,796,274 ) |
| <b>Denominator:</b>  |                                |                |                              |                |
| Denominator for basic calculation                              | 11,387,874                     | 9,549,988      | 11,374,872                   | 9,290,348      |
| Weighted-average effect of dilutive stock options              | —                              | —              | —                            | —              |
| Denominator for diluted net loss per share                     | 11,387,874                     | 9,549,988      | 11,374,872                   | 9,290,348      |
| Diluted net loss per share attributable to common stockholders | \$(0.11 )                      | \$(0.25 )      | \$(0.31 )                    | \$(0.52 )      |

The following table sets forth potential shares of common stock at the end of each period presented that are not included in the calculation of diluted net loss per share because to do so would be anti-dilutive:

|   | June 30,<br>2011 | December<br>31, 2010 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Stock options outstanding                     | 992,254          | 999,775              |
| Unvested restricted stock                     | 200,422          | 101,446              |
| Warrants to purchase common stock             | 3,264,000        | 1,503,998            |
| Preferred stock convertible into common stock | 1,795,000        | —                    |





Table of Contents

14. Concentration of Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to credit risk are comprised of cash and cash equivalents, which are maintained at high quality financial institutions. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there was approximately \$2.0 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, in excess of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation limit of \$250,000.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, our two largest customers together accounted for 46.4% and 46.9%, respectively, of our net revenue from continuing operations. The relative magnitude and the mix of sales to our largest customers have varied significantly quarter to quarter. Over time, as we work to add additional distributors to our network and to grow our distribution business, we anticipate that the relative significance to our revenue of any particular customer will decline. We do not expect any one customer to continue to account for more than 10% of our revenue on an ongoing basis.

We maintain reserves for potential credit losses and such losses, in the aggregate, have generally not exceeded management's estimates. Our top three vendors accounted for approximately 58.0% and 59.4% of materials purchased during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. At June 30, 2011, accounts payable included amounts owed to the top three vendors of approximately \$1.8 million. At December 31, 2010, accounts payable included amounts owed to 2010 the top three vendors of approximately \$1.1 million.

We currently obtain virtually all of our solar panels from Suntech, which manufactures panels for us that are built to our unique specifications, and we currently purchase all of the microinverters used in our AC solar panels from Enphase. We recently announced our entry into a volume supply agreement for a new generation of our solar panel products from Light Way Green New Energy Co., Ltd. We believe that our commercial relationship with each of those suppliers is good. Although we had a significant amount of inventory on hand as of June 30 2011, and although we believe we could find alternative suppliers for solar panels manufactured to our specifications, and alternative suppliers for microinverters, on comparable terms, the sudden loss of either of our current primary component supply relationships could cause a delay in manufacturing and be disruptive to our operations.

15. Fair Value Measurement

We use a fair-value approach to value certain assets and liabilities. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. We use a fair value hierarchy, which distinguishes between assumptions based on market data (observable inputs) and an entity's own assumptions (unobservable inputs). The hierarchy consists of three levels:

- Level one — Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;
- Level two — Inputs other than level one inputs that are either directly or indirectly observable; and
- Level three — Unobservable inputs developed using estimates and assumptions, which are developed by the reporting entity and reflect those assumptions that a market participant would use.

Determining which category an asset or liability falls within the hierarchy requires significant judgment. We evaluate our hierarchy disclosures each quarter. Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized as follows (unaudited):

| Assets | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|
|--------|---------|---------|---------|

Edgar Filing: Westinghouse Solar, Inc. - Form 10-Q

|   |             |     |     | June 30,<br>2011 |
|---|-------------|-----|-----|------------------|
| Fair value of cash equivalents – money market | \$1,895,750 | \$— | \$— | \$1,895,750      |
| Total   | \$1,895,750 | \$— | \$— | \$1,895,750      |

| Liabilities                                  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3     | June 30,<br>2011 |
|--|---------|---------|-------------|------------------|
| Fair value of common stock warrant liability | \$—     | \$—     | \$1,779,224 | \$1,779,224      |
| Accrued rent related to office closures      | —       | —       | 141,645     | 141,645          |
| Total  | \$—     | \$—     | \$1,920,869 | \$1,920,869      |

Table of Contents

Cash equivalents represent the fair value of our investment in a money market account as of June 30, 2011. A discussion of the valuation techniques used to measure fair value for the common stock warrants is in Note 13. The accrued rent relates to a non-cash charge for the closure of our Anaheim, Clovis and San Diego, California and Denver, Colorado locations, calculated by discounting the future lease payments to their present value using a risk-free discount rate from 0.0% to 1.2%. The accrued rent is included within liabilities of discontinued operations and long-term liabilities of discontinued operations in our consolidated balance sheets.

The following table shows the changes in Level 3 liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the six months ended June 30, 2011:

|  | Other<br>Liabilities* | Common<br>Stock<br>Warrant<br>Liability | Total Level<br>3 |
|--|-----------------------|---|------------------|
| Beginning balance – January 1, 2011                          | \$ 209,654            | \$ 285,673                              | \$ 495,327       |
| Total realized and unrealized gains or losses                | 338                   | (1,130,989)                             | (1,130,651)      |
| Purchases, sales, repayments, settlements and issuances, net | (68,347 )             | —                                       | (68,347 )        |
| Net transfers in and/or (out) of level 3                     | —                     | 2,624,540                               | 2,624,540        |
| Ending balance – June 30, 2011                               | \$ 141,645            | \$ 1,779,224                            | \$ 1,920,869     |

\* Represents the estimated fair value of the office closures included in accrued and other long-term liabilities.

## 16. Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes arise from timing differences resulting from income and expense items reported for financial account and tax purposes in different periods. A deferred tax asset valuation allowance is recorded when it is more likely than not that deferred tax assets will not be realized. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, there was no income tax expense or benefit for federal and state income taxes in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations due to our net loss and a valuation allowance on the resulting deferred tax asset. Our deferred tax asset has a 100% valuation allowance.

## 17. Commitments and Contingencies

## Litigation

On May 18, 2009, we and certain of our officers were named in a class action complaint in the United States District Court Northern District of California San Jose Division alleging violations of the federal securities laws. The suit alleges various omissions and misrepresentations during the period of December 26, 2007 to March 13, 2008 regarding, among other things, our backlog reporting and bank line of credit. On October 22, 2010, plaintiffs moved the court to certify themselves as a class, a procedure required in order for plaintiffs to move forward with their case as a class action. On March 10, 2011, the District Court granted plaintiffs' motion for class certification.

Beginning on May 28, 2010, several derivative lawsuits were brought against us, current and former members of our board of directors, and certain current and former officers in Santa Clara Superior Court (*Dulgarian v. Cinnamon, et. al.*) and in the United States District Court Northern District of California San Jose Division (*Sabbag v. Cinnamon, et al.*; *Jaquez v. Cinnamon, et. al.*; *Triskett v. Cinnamon, et. al.*; *Cilurzo v. Cinnamon, et. al.*; and *Klein v. Cinnamon, et al.*), respectively. Such tag-along derivative cases often occur when a securities class action suit is pending. The complaints repeat many of the allegations made by plaintiffs in the class action with respect to our backlog, our Andalay product and our supply agreement with Suntech, which were recently dismissed by the District Court in the class action. The complaints also allege the making of improper statements concerning our license agreement with

Suntech and our Comerica line of credit, a failure to exercise oversight over the financial and reporting process, and trading on inside information. The plaintiffs seek damages, restitution, attorneys' fees, equitable and/or injunctive relief and a judgment directing us to improve our corporate governance.

On or about July 12, 2011, the parties reached an agreement in principle to settle the class and derivative actions. The proposed settlement would result in a release of all claims, implementation of certain corporate governance measures, and a cash payment to be made exclusively from the proceeds of directors and officers liability insurance. The proposed settlement will not become final until several additional events occur, including finalization and documentation of the settlement terms, notice to the class members, and court approval.

Table of Contents

On October 22, 2009, we filed a complaint against several defendants including Zep Solar, Inc (Zep). in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division for the direct and contributory infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,406,800 (Andalay Patent No. '800). Our suit alleges the defendants are engaged in various sales, marketing and other activities involving a product that embodies inventions contained in Andalay Patent No. '800. The defendants moved to stay the case on January 27, 2010 after filing a petition with the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") to have the Andalay Patent No. '800 re-examined as a response to the suit. On March 14, 2010, the District Court judge granted defendants' motion to stay the case pending resolution of the re-examination proceeding in the USPTO. On June 7, 2011, the USPTO issued a Reexamination Certificate as to the remaining claims of the Andalay Patent No. '800. As a result of the reexamination, certain claims of the patent have been cancelled, while claims 5, 6 and 10 remain. On June 7, 2011, the defendants submitted a second request for reexamination as to the remaining claims of the Andalay Patent No. '800. On July 15, 2011, the USPTO granted the request to open a reexamination proceeding concerning the remaining claims. Currently, 95% of patent re-examination requests are granted by the USPTO almost as a matter of routine. The court has ordered that the lawsuit remain stayed during this process. We intend to aggressively pursue this case upon completion of the reexamination process.

We are also involved in other litigation from time to time in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the outcome of such proceedings will not materially affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

18. Subsequent Events

On July 13, 2011 we received written notification from the Listing Qualifications Department of The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC stating that our common stock is subject to delisting from The NASDAQ Capital Market because our stockholders' equity is less than \$2.5 million as required by the listing rules, pending the opportunity to request a hearing before a NASDAQ Listing Qualifications Panel. We have requested a hearing before the Panel to review the Staff Determination and a hearing is scheduled for August 25, 2011, which will stay any action with respect to the Staff Determination and allow the continued listing of our common stock on The NASDAQ Capital Market until the Panel renders a decision subsequent to the hearing. At the hearing, we intend to present a plan to regain compliance and to request that the Panel allow us additional time within which to regain compliance. If the Panel or the Staff of NASDAQ accepts our plan, NASDAQ can grant us a period of up to 180 calendar days from the date of the May 6, 2011 Letter for us to regain compliance with the Listing Rule. There can be no assurance that the Panel will grant our request for continued listing on The NASDAQ Capital Market.

We have evaluated subsequent events through the date of this filing, noting no other events that require adjustment of, or disclosure in, the consolidated financial statements for the period ended June 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

All references to the "Company," "we," "our," and "us" refer to Westinghouse Solar, Inc. and its subsidiaries ("Westinghouse Solar").

The following discussion highlights what we believe are the principal factors that have affected our financial condition and results of operations as well as our liquidity and capital resources for the periods described. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this Quarterly Report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. This discussion contains "forward-looking statements," including but not limited to expectations regarding revenue growth, net sales, gross profit, operating expenses and performance objectives, and statements using the terms "believes," "expects," "will," "could," "plans," "anticipates," "estimates," "predicts," "intends," "potential," "continue," "should," "may," or the negative of these terms or similar expressions. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. Such risks and uncertainties include, without limitation, the risks described below in Item 1A. of Part II of this Quarterly Report. Further information on potential risk factors that could affect our future business and financial results and financial condition can be found in our periodic filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). We undertake no obligation to update any of these forward-looking statements.

Company Overview

We are a designer and manufacturer of solar power systems and solar panels with integrated microinverters (which we call AC solar panels). Our products are designed for use in solar power systems for residential and commercial rooftop customers. We design, market and sell these solar power systems to solar installers, trade workers and do-it-yourself customers in the United States and Canada through distribution partnerships, our dealer network and retail home improvement outlets. We source our components (such as solar panels and inverters) from manufacturers such as Suntech Power Holdings Co. Ltd. ("Suntech"), Light Way Green New Energy Co., Ltd ("Lightway") and Enphase Energy ("Enphase").

Prior to September 2010, we were also in the solar power system installation business and we had completed over 4,300 solar power installations for customers in California, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Colorado and Connecticut since the commencement of our operations in 2001. According to data compiled by the California Energy Commission, the Solar Electric Power Association and the New Jersey Clean Energy Program, we had been one of the largest national integrators of residential and commercial solar electric power systems in the United States over the past four years.

As a result of our extensive installation experience, our team of engineers developed AC solar panels that have the racking, wiring, grounding and inverter built-in. We have received three U.S. patents and four international patents for our technology and we have 23 more U.S. and foreign patents pending.

Customer response to the AC solar panels was very favorable; they preferred the aesthetics, reliability, safety and performance of our integrated solar panels over ordinary solar panels. In early 2009, we closed our non-California offices on the east coast and in Colorado and began distributing our solar power systems to customers outside of California. By mid-2010, it became clear to us that the business and profit potential of the design and manufacturing business was better than that of being an installer. Thus, in September 2010, we made the strategic decision to exit our California solar panel installation business and expand our sales of solar power systems to dealers and other solar installers in California, by far the largest solar market in the United States. Our business is now focused solely on design and manufacturing activities, and sales of our solar power systems to solar installers, trade workers and

retailers through distribution partnerships, our dealer network and retail home improvement outlets.

On May 17, 2010, we entered into an exclusive worldwide agreement that permits us to manufacture, distribute and market our solar panels under the Westinghouse name. On July 22, 2010, we announced that we will operate under the name "Westinghouse Solar" and, effective July 23, 2010 at the opening of the market, our stock began trading under the stock symbol "WEST" on the NASDAQ Capital Market. We formally changed our corporate name from "Akeena Solar, Inc." to "Westinghouse Solar, Inc.", effective April 6, 2011.

After the close of business on April 13, 2011, we implemented a 1-for-4 reverse stock split of our common stock. All share numbers and per share amounts presented in this report reflect the implementation of that reverse stock split.

Our corporate headquarters is located at 1475 S. Bascom Ave., Campbell, CA 95008. Our telephone number is (408) 402-9400. Additional information about Westinghouse Solar is available on our website at <http://www.westinghousesolar.com>. The information on our web sites is not incorporated herein by reference.



Table of Contents

Concentration of Risk in Customer and Supplier Relationships

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, our two largest customers together accounted for 46.4% and 46.9%, respectively, of our net revenue from continuing operations. The relative magnitude and the mix of sales to our largest customers have varied significantly quarter to quarter. Over time, as we work to add additional distributors to our network and to grow our distribution business, we anticipate that the relative significance to our revenue of any particular customer will decline. We do not expect any one customer to continue to account for more than 10% of our revenue on an ongoing basis. We maintain reserves for potential credit losses and such losses, in the aggregate, have generally not exceeded management's estimates.

Our top three vendors accounted for approximately 58.0% and 59.4% of materials purchased during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. At June 30, 2011, accounts payable included amounts owed to the top three vendors of approximately \$1.8 million. At December 31, 2010, accounts payable included amounts owed to 2010 the top three vendors of approximately \$1.1 million.

We currently obtain virtually all of our solar panels from Suntech, which manufactures panels for us that are built to our unique specifications, and we currently purchase all of the microinverters used in our AC solar panels from Enphase. On March 25, 2011, we announced our entry into a volume supply agreement for a new generation of our solar panel products from Light Way Green New Energy Co., Ltd and we expect to receive the first production shipment of products built to our specification from Light Way in the third quarter of 2011. We believe that our commercial relationship with each of those suppliers is good. Although we had a significant amount of inventory on hand as of June 30 2011, and although we believe we could find alternative suppliers for solar panels manufactured to our specifications, and alternative suppliers for microinverters, on comparable terms, the sudden loss of either of our current primary component supply relationships could cause a delay in manufacturing and be disruptive to our operations.

Table of Contents

Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 as Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2010

## Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain information related to our operations, expressed in dollars and as a percentage of net sales:

|   | Three Months Ended June 30, |        |    |              |         |    |
|---|-----------------------------|--------|----|--------------|---------|----|
|   | 2011                        |        |    | 2010         |         |    |
| Net revenue   | \$ 2,757,729                | 100.0  | %  | \$ 2,225,052 | 100.0   | %  |
| Cost of goods sold  | 2,563,842                   | 93.0   | %  | 1,883,077    | 84.6    | %  |
| Gross profit  | 193,887                     | 7.0    | %  | 341,975      | 15.4    | %  |
| Operating expenses:   |                             |        |    |              |         |    |
| Sales and marketing   | 700,103                     | 25.4   | %  | 272,914      | 12.3    | %  |
| General and administrative  | 1,464,269                   | 53.1   | %  | 2,151,557    | 96.7    | %  |
| Total operating expenses  | 2,164,372                   | 78.5   | %  | 2,424,471    | 109.0   | %  |
| Loss from operations  | (1,970,485)                 | (71.5) | )% | (2,082,496)  | (93.6)  | )% |
| Other income (expense):   |                             |        |    |              |         |    |
| Interest income (expense), net  | (35,148 )                   | (1.3   | )% | (3,987 )     | (0.2    | )% |
| Unrealized gain on adjustment to the fair value of common stock warrants                      | 668,041                     | 24.2   | %  | 911,379      | 41.0    | %  |
| Total other income (expense)  | 632,893                     | 22.9   | %  | 907,392      | 40.8    | %  |
| Loss before provision for income taxes  | (1,337,592)                 | (48.5) | )% | (1,175,104)  | (52.8)  | )% |
| Provision for income taxes  | —                           | 0.0    | %  | —            | 0.0     | %  |
| Net loss from continuing operations   | (1,337,592)                 | (48.5) | )% | (1,175,104)  | (52.8)  | )% |
| Discontinued Operations   |                             |        |    |              |         |    |
| Gain (loss) from discontinued operations  | 9,830                       | 0.4    | %  | (1,278,318)  | (57.5)  | )% |
| Net loss  | \$ (1,327,762)              | (48.1) | )% | (2,453,422)  | (110.3) | )% |
| Loss from continuing operations per common and common equivalent share (basic and diluted):   | \$ (0.11 )                  |        |    | \$ (0.12 )   |         |    |
| Loss from discontinued operations per common and common equivalent share (basic and diluted): | \$ (0.00 )                  |        |    | \$ (0.13 )   |         |    |
| Net loss per common and common equivalent share (basic and diluted):                          | \$ (0.11 )                  |        |    | \$ (0.25 )   |         |    |

## Discontinued operations

As a result of the exit from the installation business on September 7, 2010, and in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, the installation business operation has been reclassified to discontinued operations in our Consolidated Balance Sheets and our Consolidated Statements of Operations. Amounts for the prior periods have been reclassified to conform to this presentation. The installation business segment had historically been our core business and represented most of our revenue.

Net revenue

We generate revenue from the sale of solar power systems. In the three months ended June 30, 2011 we generated \$2.8 million of revenue, an increase of \$533,000, or 23.9%, compared to \$2.2 million of revenue in the three months ended June 30, 2010. The increase in revenue was due to the growth of our network of distribution dealers, increased revenue from our strategic partners and more competitive pricing.

Table of Contents

Cost of goods sold

Cost of goods sold as a percent of revenue during the three months ended June 30, 2011, was 93.0% of net revenue compared to 84.6% during the three months ended June 30, 2010. Gross profit from continuing operations for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was \$194,000, or 7.0% of revenue, compared to \$342,000 or 15.4% of revenue for the same period in 2010. The decrease in gross margin in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2010, was due to lower average selling prices related to reduced customer pricing to encourage customers to switch to our products in anticipation of the introduction of our new lower cost, larger format panels that will begin shipping to customers in the third quarter of 2011. The lower average sale prices were partially offset by lower panel and component cost.

Sales and marketing expenses

Sales and marketing expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2011 were \$700,000, or 25.4% of net revenue as compared to \$273,000, or 12.3% of net revenue during the same period of the prior year. The increase in sales and marketing expense for the three months ended June 30, 2011, reflects higher expenditures for Westinghouse licensing fees, trade shows and advertising expense of \$324,000 and payroll and commissions of \$77,000 supporting the expansion of our distribution business.

General and administrative expenses

General and administrative expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2011 were \$1.4 million, or 53.1% of net revenue as compared to \$2.2 million, or 96.7% of net revenue during the same period of the prior year. The decrease in general and administrative expense for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was due primarily to reductions in payroll costs of \$207,000, stock compensation expense of \$161,000, research and development costs of \$74,000, computer hardware and software of \$38,000, travel costs of \$31,000, office supplies of \$21,000 and rent expense of \$20,000.

Interest, net

During the three months ended June 30, 2011, net interest expense was approximately \$35,000, an increase of \$31,000 from \$4,000 in interest expense recorded during the same period in 2010.

Adjustment to the fair value of common stock warrants

During the three months ended June 30, 2011, we recorded mark-to-market adjustments to reflect the fair value of outstanding common stock warrants accounted for as a liability, resulting in an unrealized gain of \$668,000 in our condensed consolidated statements of operations. The fair value of the warrants are lower now primarily due a decrease in the price of our common stock and a shorter life for the remainder of our outstanding warrants. During the three months ended June 30, 2010, we recorded mark-to-market adjustments resulting in a \$911,000 unrealized gain in our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Income taxes

During the three months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, there was no income tax expense or benefit for federal and state income taxes reflected in our condensed consolidated statements of operations due to the our net loss and a valuation allowance on the resulting deferred tax asset.

Net loss from continuing operations

Net loss from continuing operations for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 was \$1.3 million, or \$0.11 per share, compared to a net loss from continuing operations of \$1.2 million, or \$0.12 per share, for the quarter ended June 30, 2010. The net loss includes favorable non-cash adjustments to the fair value of common stock warrants of \$668,000 and \$911,000 for the second quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Excluding the impact of the common stock warrant adjustments in both periods, net loss from continuing operations for the second quarter of 2011 was \$2.0 million, or \$0.17 per share, compared to a net loss of \$2.1 million, or \$0.21 per share, for the second quarter of 2010.

Gain (loss) from discontinued operations

During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, we recorded a \$10,000 net gain from the discontinuance of our installation business segment, compared with a loss of \$1.3 million during the same period in 2010. The decrease was due to the timing of when we restructured our operations.

Table of Contents

Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 as Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2010

## Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain information related to our operations, expressed in dollars and as a percentage of net sales:

|   | Six Months Ended June 30, |        |   |                |         |   |
|---|---------------------------|--------|---|----------------|---------|---|
|   | 2011                      |        |   | 2010           |         |   |
| Net revenue   | \$ 4,752,091              | 100.0  | % | \$ 2,954,427   | 100.0   | % |
| Cost of goods sold  | 4,280,405                 | 90.1   | % | 2,497,273      | 84.5    | % |
| Gross profit  | 471,686                   | 9.9    | % | 457,154        | 15.5    | % |
| Operating expenses:   |                           |        |   |                |         |   |
| Sales and marketing   | 1,046,431                 | 22.0   | % | 437,763        | 14.8    | % |
| General and administrative  | 3,143,214                 | 66.1   | % | 4,710,644      | 159.4   | % |
| Total operating expenses  | 4,189,645                 | 88.2   | % | 5,148,407      | 174.3   | % |
| Loss from operations  | (3,717,959)               | (78.2) | % | (4,691,253)    | (158.8) | % |
| Other income (expense):   |                           |        |   |                |         |   |
| Interest income (expense), net  | (57,849 )                 | (1.2)  | % | 5,135          | 0.2     | % |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on adjustment to the fair value of common stock warrants               | 1,130,989                 | 23.8   | % | 1,794,902      | 60.8    | % |
| Total other income (expense)  | 1,073,140                 | 22.6   | % | 1,800,037      | 60.9    | % |
| Loss before provision for income taxes  | (2,644,819)               | (55.7) | % | (2,891,216)    | (97.9)  | % |
| Provision for income taxes  | —                         | 0.0    | % | —              | 0.0     | % |
| Net loss from continuing operations   | (2,644,819)               | (55.7) | % | (2,891,216)    | (97.9)  | % |
| Discontinued Operations   |                           |        |   |                |         |   |
| Gain (loss) from discontinued operations  | 3,568                     | (0.1)  | % | (1,997,642)    | (67.6)  | % |
| Net loss  | (2,641,251)               | (55.6) | % | (4,888,858)    | (165.5) | % |
| Preferred deemed dividend   | (975,460 )                | (20.5) | % | —              | 0.0     | % |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders  | \$ (3,316,711)            | (76.1) | % | \$ (4,888,858) | (165.5) | % |
| Loss from continuing operations per common and common equivalent share (basic and diluted):   | \$ (0.23 )                |        |   | \$ (0.30 )     |         |   |
| Loss from discontinued operations per common and common equivalent share (basic and diluted): | \$ 0.00                   |        |   | \$ (0.22 )     |         |   |
| Net loss per common and common equivalent share (basic and diluted):                          | \$ (0.31 )                |        |   | \$ (0.52 )     |         |   |

## Net revenue

We generate revenue from the sale of solar power systems. In the six months ended June 30, 2011 we generated \$4.8 million of revenue, an increase of \$1.8 million, or 60.8%, compared to \$3.0 million of revenue in the six months ended June 30, 2010. The increase in revenue was due to the growth of our network of distribution dealers, increased

revenue from our strategic partners and more competitive pricing.

## Table of Contents

### Cost of goods sold

Cost of goods sold as a percent of revenue during the six months ended June 30, 2011, was 90.1% of net revenue compared to 84.5% during the six months ended June 30, 2010. Gross profit from continuing operations for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$472,000, or 9.9% of revenue, compared to \$457,000 or 15.5% of revenue for the same period in 2010. The decrease in gross margin in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2010, was due to lower average selling prices as a result of lower customer pricing to encourage customers to switch to our products in anticipation of the introduction of our new lower cost, larger format panels that will begin shipping to customers in the third quarter of 2011. The decrease in margin in the six months ended June 30, 2011 was also due to higher inventory overhead allocations in the current year, partially offset by lower panel and component cost.

### Sales and marketing expenses

Sales and marketing expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2011 were \$1.0 million, or 22.0% of net revenue as compared to \$438,000, or 14.8% of net revenue during the same period of the prior year. The increase in sales and marketing expense for the six months ended June 30, 2011, reflects higher expenditures for Westinghouse licensing fees, trade shows and advertising expense of \$322,000 and payroll and commissions of \$227,000 supporting the expansion of our distribution business.

### General and administrative expenses

General and administrative expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2011 were \$3.1 million, or 66.1% of net revenue as compared to \$4.7 million, or 159.4% of net revenue during the same period of the prior year. The decrease in general and administrative expense for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was due primarily to reductions in payroll costs of \$541,000, stock compensation expense of \$239,000, research and development costs of \$199,000, professional fees of \$112,000, computer hardware and software of \$74,000, travel costs of \$71,000, office supplies of \$52,000 and rent expense of \$40,000.

### Interest, net

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, net interest expense was approximately \$58,000 compared to approximately \$5,000 in interest income recorded during the same period in 2010. The change was primarily due to interest expense related to preferred stock.

### Adjustment to the fair value of common stock warrants

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, we recorded mark-to-market adjustments to reflect the fair value of outstanding common stock warrants accounted for as a liability, resulting in an unrealized gain of \$1.1 million in our condensed consolidated statements of operations. The fair value of the warrants are lower now primarily due a decrease in the price of our common stock and a shorter life for the remainder of our outstanding warrants. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we recorded mark-to-market adjustments resulting in a \$1.8 million unrealized gain in our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

### Income taxes

During the six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, there was no income tax expense or benefit for federal and state income taxes reflected in our condensed consolidated statements of operations due to the our net loss and a valuation allowance on the resulting deferred tax asset.



Net loss from continuing operations

Net loss from continuing operations for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$2.6 million, or \$0.23 per share, compared to a net loss from continuing operations of \$2.9 million, or \$0.30 per share, for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2011 included a favorable non-cash adjustment to the fair value of common stock warrants of \$1.1 million. Net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2010 included a favorable non-cash adjustment of \$1.8 million to reflect the fair value of common stock warrants.

Gain (loss) from discontinued operations

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, we recorded a \$4,000 net gain from the discontinuance of our installation business segment, compared with a loss of \$2.0 million during the same period in 2010. The decrease was due to the timing of when we restructured our operations.

## Table of Contents

### Preferred deemed dividend

On the date of issuance, we recorded the fair value of the warrants of \$2.6 million as "Common stock warrant liability" with the residual value of \$975,460 allocated to "Convertible redeemable preferred stock." We used the Black-Scholes model to value the Series K warrants. For purposes of calculating the fair value of the warrants we used a risk free rate of return of 1.4%, an expected life of 4.1 years and a volatility percentage of 103.2%. We also recorded the beneficial conversion feature of \$975,460 as a preferred deemed dividend. The amount of the beneficial conversion feature was limited to the amount of proceeds allocated to the instrument. In addition, direct fundraising costs of approximately \$522,000 and 60,000 warrants issued to the placement agent, valued at \$89,010, were deducted from the proceeds raised.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

The current economic downturn presents us with challenges in meeting the working capital needs of our business. Our primary requirements for working capital are to fund our purchases of solar panels and microinverters, and to cover our payroll and lease expenses. In recent years, we have incurred losses from operations and have undertaken several equity financing transactions to provide us with capital as we worked to grow our business. In September 2010, we exited our solar installation business to focus exclusively on our design and manufacturing business. The shift in our business focus reduced our quarterly operating cash expenses. We have plans to reach breakeven cash flow from operations in 2011. In the event that our revenue or our margins are lower than anticipated, it will take us longer to reach breakeven cash flows, and further staffing reductions and expense cuts could occur. Our revenue levels remain difficult to predict, and we anticipate that we will continue to sustain losses in the near term, and we cannot assure investors that we will be successful in reaching break-even.

As of June 30, 2011, we had approximately \$2.3 million in cash on hand and \$750,000 available on our Business Financing (the "2011 Credit Facility") with Bridge Bank National Association ("Bridge Bank"). We intend to address ongoing working capital needs through continued careful management of our operations along with obtaining debt financing and raising additional equity. As an additional potential source of capital, our outstanding warrants provide the possibility for us to receive additional proceeds upon the exercise of warrants, depending on market conditions. We also have an effective shelf registration statement, permitting us to raise funds in the public markets from time to time. We believe that funds available to us through debt and equity financing and funds generated by our operations are adequate to fund our anticipated cash needs, at least through the next twelve months. The current economic downturn adds uncertainty to our anticipated revenue levels and to the timing of cash receipts, which are needed to support our operations. It also worsens the market conditions for seeking equity and debt financing. We currently anticipate that we will retain all of our earnings, if any, for development of our business and do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on common stock in the foreseeable future.

### Our Line of Credit

On March 3, 2009, we entered into a Loan and Security Agreement (Cash Collateral Account) with Comerica Bank, dated as of February 10, 2009 (the "2009 Bank Facility"), which had a limit of \$1.0 million, subject to our obligation to maintain cash as collateral for any borrowings incurred or any letters of credit issued on our behalf. In connection with the 2011 Credit Facility with Bridge Bank (as described below) our Cash Collateral Account with Comerica Bank was terminated in February 2011.

On February 15, 2011, we entered into the 2011 Credit Facility with Bridge Bank to finance our accounts receivables. The 2011 Credit Facility provides for a credit limit of \$750,000, representing the maximum amount of advances based on up to 50% of \$1.5 million of gross eligible accounts receivables. The 2011 Credit Facility may be terminated at anytime by either party and may be renewed under similar terms if acceptable and agreed to by the parties. If any

advance is not repaid in full within 90 days from the earlier of (a) invoice date, or (b) the date on which such advance is made, we are obligated to immediately pay the outstanding amount to Bridge Bank. Outstanding loans under the 2011 Credit Facility will accrue interest at the Bridge Bank Prime rate plus 3.0% (annualized) of the daily gross financed amount outstanding. The 2011 Credit Facility is secured by substantially all of our assets. As of June 30, 2011, there was nothing borrowed under the 2011 Credit Facility.

#### Equity Financing Activity

On May 17, 2010, we entered into a securities purchase agreement with certain institutional accredited investors relating to the sale of 679,348 shares of common stock at a price of \$3.68 per share and Series I Warrants to purchase up to 339,677 shares of common stock (50% of the number of shares of common stock initially issued) at an exercise price of \$4.40 per share, which warrants are not exercisable until six months after issuance and have a term of five and one-half years. The aggregate purchase price for the Securities was \$2,500,000. Under the Securities Purchase Agreement, we also agreed to amend the still outstanding Series H Warrants to extend the term until December 1, 2011. The outstanding Series H Warrants were issued on June 1, 2009, and were due to expire on June 1, 2010. The remaining outstanding Series H Warrants represent the right to purchase up to an aggregate of 156,250 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$5.36 per share.

Table of Contents

On October 7, 2010, we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with certain institutional accredited investors relating to the sale of 1,000,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$2.20 per share, along with the sale of Series J Warrants to purchase up to 400,001 shares of common stock (40% of the number of shares of common stock initially issued) at an exercise price of \$2.44 per share. The warrants are not exercisable until six months after issuance and have a term of five years from the date they are first exercisable. The aggregate purchase price for the shares and the warrants was \$2,200,000. Under the Securities Purchase Agreement, we agreed to amend the outstanding Series I Warrants, such that the exercise price of the Series I Warrants is reduced from \$4.40 per share to \$2.44 per share. In addition, with respect to 45% of the shares of common stock subject to each of the Series I Warrants, (i) each warrant is not exercisable until the six month anniversary of the closing under the Securities Purchase Agreement, and (ii) the expiration date is extended such that the warrant is exercisable for five years from the delayed initial exercise date. The outstanding Series I Warrants were originally issued on May 17, 2010, and represent the right to purchase up to an aggregate of 339,677 shares of common stock.

On February 17, 2011, we entered into a securities purchase agreement with certain institutional accredited investors relating to the sale of 4,000 units at a price of \$900 per unit. Each unit consists of (i) one share of Series B Preferred Stock, with each such share of Series B Preferred Stock initially convertible into 500 shares of common stock at an initial conversion price of \$1.80 per share, subject to future adjustment for various events, and (ii) warrants to purchase 425 shares of common stock at an initial exercise price of \$2.40 per share, subject to future adjustment for various events, which warrants are not exercisable until six months after issuance and have a term of five years from the date of first exercisability. The aggregate purchase price for the Securities was \$3,600,000. During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, 410 shares of preferred stock had been converted into 205,000 shares of common stock.

In connection with the February 17, 2011 securities purchase agreement, we issued as a placement agent fee to our financial advisory firm warrants to purchase 60,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.44 per share, with a term of five years. The fair value of the warrants was estimated using Black-Scholes with the following weighted-average assumptions: risk-free interest rate of 1.4%, an expected life of 3.8 years; an expected volatility factor of 103.2% and a dividend yield of 0.0%. The estimated value of these warrants was approximately \$89,000, which was reflected as a reduction in the net proceeds of the preferred stock with an offset to additional paid in capital as of the offering close date.

Cash flow analysis

Our primary capital requirement is to fund purchases of solar panels and inverters. Significant sources of liquidity are cash on hand, cash flows from operating activities, working capital and proceeds from equity financings. As of June 30, 2011, we had approximately \$2.3 million in cash and cash equivalents. As of June 30, 2011, we also had approximately \$750,000 in additional borrowing capacity available under our Bridge Bank 2011 Credit Facility.

Cash used in operating activities was approximately \$1.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Excluding non-cash items of \$1.1 million of unrealized gain on the fair value adjustment of common stock warrants and stock-based compensation expense of \$606,000, cash used in operating activities was primarily from a \$336,000 increase in accounts receivable and a \$280,000 decrease in liabilities of discontinued operations, more than offset by a \$1.3 million decrease in inventory, an increase of \$697,000 in accounts payable and a decrease of \$366,000 in assets of discontinued operations. The increases and decreases in assets and liabilities were primarily due to the timing of payments and receipts. Cash flows used in operating activities were approximately \$4.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Excluding non-cash items of \$1.8 million of unrealized gain on the fair value adjustment for common stock warrants and \$967,000 of stock-based compensation expense, cash used in operating activities was primarily due to a \$376,000 increase in accounts receivable, a \$353,000 increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets, a \$304,000 increase in inventory and a \$253,000 increase in other assets, partially offset by a decrease of \$1.5

million in assets of discontinued operations, a \$460,000 increase in accrued liabilities and accrued warranty and an increase of \$213,000 in accounts payable. The increases and decreases in assets and liabilities were primarily due to the timing of payments and receipts.

Cash provided by investing activities was \$179,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to \$189,000 in proceeds from disposal of property and equipment from discontinued operations, partially offset by \$29,000 in acquisitions of property and equipment. Cash used in investing activities was \$72,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010, primarily for the acquisition of property and equipment from discontinued operations of \$69,000 and \$25,000 for the acquisition of property and equipment, partially offset by \$22,000 in proceeds from disposal of property and equipment from discontinued operations.

Cash provided by financing activities was approximately \$3.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, we received proceeds of \$3.1 million from the issuance of common stock, net of \$522,000 in fees. Cash provided by financing activities was approximately \$3.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, primarily from \$2.4 million in proceeds from the issuance of common shares pursuant to our stock offering, net of \$113,000 in fees, \$922,000 in proceeds from the exercise of warrants to purchase our common stock and \$594,000 in proceeds from a securities purchase agreement, partially offset by \$130,000 in the repayment of long-term debt of discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

## Contractual Obligations

| Obligation       | Total     | Payments Due        |           |           |                      |
|------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|
|                  |           | Less than<br>1 year | 1-3 years | 4-5 years | More than<br>5 years |
| Operating leases | \$467,961 | \$329,100           | \$138,861 | \$—       | \$—                  |
| Capital leases   | 12,461    | 5,076               | 7,385     | —         | —                    |
| Note payable     | 41,557    | 41,557              | —         | —         | —                    |
|                  | \$521,979 | \$375,733           | \$146,246 | \$—       | \$—                  |

## Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires estimates and assumptions that affect the reporting of assets, liabilities, sales and expenses, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements for the years ending December 31, 2010 and 2009 as filed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K provides a summary of our significant accounting policies, which are all in accordance with generally accepted accounting policies in the United States. Certain of our accounting policies are critical to understanding our consolidated financial statements, because their application requires management to make assumptions about future results and depends to a large extent on management's judgment, because past results have fluctuated and are expected to continue to do so in the future.

The application of the accounting policies described in the following paragraphs is highly dependent on critical estimates and assumptions that are inherently uncertain and highly susceptible to change. For all these policies, we caution that future events rarely develop exactly as estimated, and the best estimates routinely require adjustment. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and assumptions, including those discussed below.

**Revenue recognition.** Revenue from sales of products is recognized when: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (2) delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, (3) the sale price is fixed or determinable, and (4) collection of the related receivable is reasonably assured. We recognize revenue when the solar power systems are shipped to the customer.

**Inventory.** Inventory is stated at the lower of cost (on an average basis) or market value. We determine cost based on our weighted-average purchase price and include both the costs of acquisition and the shipping costs in our inventory. We regularly review the cost of inventory against its estimated market value and record a lower of cost or market write-down to cost of goods sold, if any inventory has a cost in excess of estimated market value. Our inventory generally has a long life cycle and obsolescence has not historically been a significant factor in its valuation.

**Long-lived assets.** We periodically review our property and equipment and identifiable intangible assets for possible impairment whenever facts and circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be fully recoverable. Assumptions and estimates used in the evaluation of impairment may affect the carrying value of long-lived assets, which could result in impairment charges in future periods. Significant assumptions and estimates include the projected cash flows based upon estimated revenue and expense growth rates and the discount rate applied to expected cash flows. In addition, our depreciation and amortization policies reflect judgments on the estimated useful lives of assets.

**Patent Costs.** We capitalize external legal costs and filing fees associated with obtaining or defending our patents. Upon issuance of new patents or successful defense of existing patents, we amortize these costs using the straight line method over the shorter of the legal life of the patent or its economic life. We believe the remaining useful life we assign to these patents, approximately 13.5 years as of June 30, 2011, are reasonable. We periodically review our

patents to determine whether any such cost have been impaired and are no longer being used. To the extent we are no longer using certain patents, the associated costs will be written off at that time.

Stock-based compensation. We use the Black-Scholes-Merton Options Pricing Model (Black-Scholes) to estimate fair value of our employee and our non-employee director stock-based awards. Black-Scholes requires various judgmental assumptions, including estimating stock price volatility, expected option life and forfeiture rates. We measure compensation expense for non-employee stock-based compensation under ASC 505-50, "Equity-Based Payments to Non-Employees." The fair value of the option issued is used to measure the transaction, as this is more reliable than the fair value of the services received. The estimated fair value is measured utilizing Black-Scholes using the value of our common stock on the date that the commitment for performance by the counterparty has been reached or the counterparty's performance is complete.

Warranty provision. The manufacturer directly warrants the solar panels and inverters for a range from 15 to 25 years. We warrant the balance of system components of our products against defects in material and workmanship for five years. We assist our customers in the event of a claim under the manufacturer warranty to replace a defective solar panel or inverter.

## Table of Contents

**Common Stock Warrant Liabilities.** In March 2009 and February 2011 we issued warrants to purchase shares of our common stock in connection with certain capital financing transactions. The terms of the warrant agreements related to these two offerings contained a cash-out provision which may be triggered at the option of the warrant holders if the Company “goes private,” is acquired for all cash or upon the occurrence of certain other fundamental transactions involving the Company. In addition, the terms of the warrant agreement related to the February 2011 offering contain a provision that may require us to reduce the exercise price of the warrants to purchase shares of our common stock upon the occurrence of certain lower-priced future offerings of our equity securities. Under the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 480, Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity (“ASC 480”), financial instruments that may require the issuer to settle the obligation by transferring assets or to reduce the exercise price of its warrants to purchase shares of its common stock are classified as a liability. Therefore, we have classified the warrants as liabilities and will record mark-to-market adjustments to reflect the fair value at each period end.

### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2009-13, Revenue Recognition (Topic 605): Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements - a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force (ASU 2009-13). ASU 2009-13 addresses the accounting for sales arrangements that include multiple products or services by revising the criteria for when deliverables may be accounted for separately rather than as a combined unit. Specifically, this guidance establishes a selling price hierarchy for determining the selling price of a deliverable, which is necessary to separately account for each product or service. This hierarchy provides more options for establishing selling price than did the previous guidance. ASU 2009-13 is required to be applied prospectively to new or materially modified revenue arrangements in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. We adopted this standard beginning January 1, 2011, and the adoption did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements (ASU 2010-06). We adopted ASU 2010-06 effective January 1, 2010, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements which are deferred until fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. We adopted this standard beginning January 1, 2011, and the adoption did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-28, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts (ASU 2010-28). ASU 2010-28 modifies Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test so that for those reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not based on an assessment of qualitative indicators that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that goodwill impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that an impairment may exist. ASU 2010-28 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010. We adopted this standard beginning January 1, 2011, and the adoption did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-29, which updates the guidance in ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations (ASU 2010-29). The objective of ASU 2010-29 is to address diversity in practice about the interpretation of the pro forma revenue and earnings disclosure requirements for business combinations. The amendments in ASU 2010-29 specify that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) that occurred



during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The amendments also expand the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. The amendments affect any public entity as defined by ASC 805 that enters into business combinations that are material on an individual or aggregate basis. This guidance will become effective for us for any acquisitions occurring on or after the beginning of our 2012 fiscal year. We do not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

#### Seasonality

Our quarterly operating results may vary significantly from quarter to quarter as a result of seasonal changes in weather as well as changes in state or federal subsidies.

Table of Contents

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk represents the risk of changes in the value of market risk sensitive instruments caused by fluctuations in interest rates, foreign exchange rates and commodity prices. Changes in these factors could cause fluctuations in our results of operations and cash flows.

Interest Rate Risk

As of June 30, 2011, there were no borrowings under our Bridge Bank 2011 Credit Facility. If we were to borrow the \$750,000 maximum under the 2011 Credit Facility, interest would accrue at the rate of the Bridge Bank Prime rate plus a margin of 3.0%.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

We do not have any foreign currency exchange risk as purchases of our solar panels from manufacturers outside the United States and sales of our solar panels to Canada are denominated in U.S. currency.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2011. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level as of the end of the period covered by this report. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, we and our management recognize that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and that our management necessarily is required to apply its judgment in evaluating and implementing possible controls and procedures. In addition, the design of any control system is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events.

The term “disclosure controls and procedures,” as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company’s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Quarterly Evaluation of Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, also conducted an evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) to determine whether any change occurred during the second fiscal quarter of 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Based on that evaluation, our management concluded that there was no such change during the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2011.



Table of Contents

PART II  
OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Litigation

On May 18, 2009, we and certain of our officers were named in a class action complaint in the United States District Court Northern District of California San Jose Division alleging violations of the federal securities laws. The suit alleges various omissions and misrepresentations during the period of December 26, 2007 to March 13, 2008 regarding, among other things, our backlog reporting and bank line of credit. On October 22, 2010, plaintiffs moved the court to certify themselves as a class, a procedure required in order for plaintiffs to move forward with their case as a class action. On March 10, 2011, the District Court granted plaintiffs' motion for class certification.

Beginning on May 28, 2010, several derivative lawsuits were brought against us, current and former members of our board of directors, and certain current and former officers in Santa Clara Superior Court (*Dulgarian v. Cinnamon*, et al.) and in the United States District Court Northern District of California San Jose Division (*Sabbag v. Cinnamon*, et al.; *Jaquez v. Cinnamon*, et al.; *Triskett v. Cinnamon*, et al.; *Cilurzo v. Cinnamon*, et al.; and *Klein v. Cinnamon*, et al.), respectively. Such tag-along derivative cases often occur when a securities class action suit is pending. The complaints repeat many of the allegations made by plaintiffs in the class action with respect to our backlog, our Andalay product and our supply agreement with Suntech, which were recently dismissed by the District Court in the class action. The complaints also allege the making of improper statements concerning our license agreement with Suntech and our Comerica line of credit, a failure to exercise oversight over the financial and reporting process, and trading on inside information. The plaintiffs seek damages, restitution, attorneys' fees, equitable and/or injunctive relief and a judgment directing us to improve our corporate governance.

On or about July 12, 2011, the parties reached an agreement in principle to settle the class and derivative actions. The proposed settlement would result in a release of all claims, implementation of certain corporate governance measures, and a cash payment to be made exclusively from the proceeds of directors and officers liability insurance. The proposed settlement will not become final until several additional events occur, including finalization and documentation of the settlement terms, notice to the class members, and court approval.

On October 22, 2009, we filed a complaint against several defendants including Zep Solar, Inc (Zep). in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division for the direct and contributory infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,406,800 (Andalay Patent No. '800). Our suit alleges the defendants are engaged in various sales, marketing and other activities involving a product that embodies inventions contained in Andalay Patent No. '800. The defendants moved to stay the case on January 27, 2010 after filing a petition with the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") to have the Andalay Patent No. '800 re-examined as a response to the suit. On March 14, 2010, the District Court judge granted defendants' motion to stay the case pending resolution of re-examination proceeding in the USPTO. On June 7, 2011, the USPTO issued a Reexamination Certificate as to the remaining claims of the Andalay Patent No. '800. As a result of the reexamination, certain claims of the patent have been cancelled, while claims 5, 6 and 10 remain. On June 7, 2011, the defendants submitted a second request for reexamination as to the remaining claims of the Andalay Patent No. '800. On July 15, 2011, the USPTO granted the request to open a reexamination proceeding concerning the remaining claims. Currently, 95% of patent re-examination requests are granted by the USPTO almost as a matter of routine. The court has ordered that the lawsuit remain stayed during this process. We intend to aggressively pursue this case upon completion of the reexamination process.

We are also involved in other litigation from time to time in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the outcome of such proceedings will not materially affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and information we provide in our press releases, telephonic reports and other investor communications, may contain forward-looking statements with respect to anticipated future events and our projected financial performance, operations and competitive position that are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those forward-looking statements and our expectations. Future economic and industry trends that could potentially affect revenue, profitability, and growth remain difficult to predict. The factors underlying our forecasts and forward-looking statements are dynamic and subject to change. As a result, any forecasts or forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are given and do not necessarily reflect our outlook at any other point in time.

Risks Relating to Our Business

We may fail to realize some or all of the anticipated benefits of our shift to a design and manufacturing business model in California and throughout North America, which may adversely affect the value of our common stock.

The success of our exit from the solar system installation business in California in September 2010, and our shift to focus exclusively on a design and manufacturing business model will depend, in large part, on our ability to successfully expand our distribution channels to include authorized dealers in California, as well as elsewhere in North America, and to accelerate the growth of our design and manufacturing business. California is the largest state in the country for solar products, accounting for approximately 50 percent of the U.S. market, and we are only beginning to develop distribution channel partners in California.

If we are not able to achieve the expansion of our design and manufacturing business and meet our revenue growth and cost reduction objectives within the anticipated time frame, or at all, the anticipated benefits and cost savings of our change in strategic focus and our restructuring may not be realized or may take longer to realize than expected, and the value of our common stock may be adversely affected.

Specifically, risks in the operations of our business in order to realize the anticipated benefits of the change to a design and manufacturing business model include, among other things:

- failure to arrange for cost competitive manufacturing of our proprietary solar panels;
- failure to find and develop distribution relationships with new channel partners, particularly in the California market;
- failure to successfully manage existing distribution relationships;
- the loss of key employees critical to the ongoing operation of our business;
- failure to effectively coordinate sales and marketing efforts to communicate the capabilities of our company;
- unpredictability and delays in the timing of projected distribution orders, and resulting accumulation of excess product inventory;
- failure to focus and develop our distribution product and service offerings quickly and effectively;
- failure to successfully develop new products and services on a timely basis that address the market opportunities; and
- unexpected revenue attrition or delays.

In addition, the shift in our business model may result in additional or unforeseen expenses, and the anticipated cost reduction benefits may not be realized.

We are dependent upon our key suppliers for the components used in our systems and we must arrange for cost competitive manufacturing of our proprietary solar panels in order to grow our business; our suppliers are dependent upon the continued availability and pricing of silicon and other raw materials used in solar modules.

We currently obtain virtually all of our solar panels from Suntech, which manufactures panels for us that are built to our unique specifications. On March 25, 2011, we entered into a supply agreement with Lightway, which will provide an additional source of supply for our proprietary Westinghouse solar panels and more favorable pricing, however we have not yet begun receiving product shipments from this new source of supply. We currently purchase all of the microinverters used in our AC solar panels from Enphase. Although we believe that our commercial relationship with each of these suppliers is good, and although we had a significant amount of inventory on hand as of June 30, 2011, the sudden loss of either of our current primary component supply relationships could cause a delay in manufacturing and be disruptive to our operations.

Table of Contents

It is critical to the growth of our revenue that our products can be offered at competitive pricing. We believe that we will need to reduce the unit production cost of our products over time to maintain our ability to offer competitively priced products. Our ability to achieve cost reductions will depend on our ability to maintain favorable supplier contracts and to increase sales volumes so we can achieve economies of scale. We cannot provide assurance that we will be able to achieve any such production cost reductions. If we fail to negotiate better terms and maintain our relationships with these suppliers or develop new supplier relationships, we may not achieve production cost reductions necessary to competitively price our products, which could adversely affect or limit our sales and growth.

We are currently subject to market prices for the components that we purchase, which are subject to fluctuation. We cannot ensure that the prices charged by our suppliers will not increase because of changes in market conditions or other factors beyond our control. An increase in the price of components used in our systems could result in an increase in costs to our customers and could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and demand for our products.

Our suppliers are dependent upon the availability and pricing of silicon, one of the main materials used in manufacturing solar panels. In the past, the world market for solar panels experienced a shortage of supply due to insufficient availability of silicon. This shortage caused the prices for solar modules to increase.

Interruptions in our ability to procure needed components for our systems, whether due to discontinuance by our suppliers, delays or failures in delivery, shortages caused by inadequate production capacity or unavailability, financial failure, or for other reasons, would adversely affect or limit our sales and growth. There is no assurance that we will continue to find qualified manufacturers on acceptable terms and, if we do, there can be no assurance that product quality will continue to be acceptable, which could lead to a loss of sales and revenues.

We may have warranty obligations to Real Goods Solar, Inc. that could adversely affect our results of operations.

In connection with our exit from the solar system installation business in California, Real Goods Solar, Inc. (Real Goods) agreed to undertake primary, "first responder" responsibility for future warranty service obligations relating to the approximately 800 installations for SunRun that we have previously completed or will bring to completion as we transition out of the installation business (the "WS Installations"). We retain secondary warranty responsibility on the WS Installations, in the event that Real Goods fails to perform the warranty. We will reimburse Real Goods for actual warranty service work completed by Real Goods related to these "first responder" installations. Other than solar panels and inverters that are covered under the manufacturer warranty, we provided our customers for WS Installations a 5-year or a 10-year warranty. We have accrued, and included within "Liabilities of Discontinued Operations" in our condensed consolidated balance sheets for June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, a liability of approximately \$1.2 million to cover these warranty obligations. That amount is intended to cover both the WS Installations and the Assigned Installations. The terms of the Warranty Agreements provided that we establish an escrow account as a source of funds from which to satisfy our obligation to pay Real Goods for its fees and reimburse it for its expenses for warranty work performed by it pursuant to the Warranty Agreements which are not paid to Real Goods from the company directly. In March 2011, we entered into an Escrow Agreement with Real Goods and deposited the \$200,000 into an escrow fund. The amount is reflected in long-term assets of discontinued operations in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. The escrow deposit will be released to us in the amount of \$40,000, or one-fifth of the remaining escrow funds, per year after each of the fifth through the ninth anniversary of the escrow agreement. If Real Goods fails to perform under the assigned warranty coverage, or the actual warranty expenses exceed the amounts we have accrued, we could incur significant unexpected additional expenses, which would adversely affect our results of operations.

Impairment charges could reduce our results of operations.



In accordance with the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standard Codification (ASC) 350, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (ASC 350), we test goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite useful lives for impairment on an annual basis, and on an interim basis if an event occurs that might reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below its carrying value. We also assess the fair value of our inventory and other tangible assets as of the end of each reporting period. As a result of our exit from the installation business, we impaired approximately \$2.0 million for inventory, equipment and other assets no longer needed in our business. We may determine that further asset impairment charges are needed in the future. Although any such impairment charge would be a non-cash expense, further impairment of our tangible or intangible assets could materially increase our expenses and reduce our results of operations.

Table of Contents

We are exposed to risks associated with the ongoing financial crisis and weakening global economy, which increase the uncertainty of project financing for solar installations and the risk of non-payment from customers.

The continuing tight credit markets and weak global economy are contributing to an ongoing slowdown in the solar industry, which may worsen if these economic conditions are prolonged or deteriorate further. The market for installation of solar power systems depends largely on commercial and consumer capital spending. Economic uncertainty exacerbates negative trends in these areas of spending, and may cause our customers to push out, cancel, or refrain from placing orders, which may reduce our net sales. Difficulties in obtaining capital and deteriorating market conditions may also lead to the inability of some customers to obtain affordable financing, including traditional project financing and tax-incentive based financing and home equity based financing, resulting in lower sales to potential customers with liquidity issues, and may lead to an increase of incidents where our customers are unwilling or unable to pay for systems they purchase, and additional bad debt expense for Westinghouse Solar. Further, these conditions and uncertainty about future economic conditions make it challenging for us to obtain equity and debt financing to meet our working capital requirements to support our business, forecast our operating results, make business decisions, and identify the risks that may affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. If we are unable to timely and appropriately adapt to changes resulting from the difficult macroeconomic environment, our business, financial condition or results of operations may be materially and adversely affected.

We may need additional capital in the future to fund the growth of our business, and financing may not be available.

Our currently available capital resources and cash flows from operations may be insufficient to meet our working capital and capital expenditure requirements. Our cash requirements will depend on numerous factors, including the rate of growth of our sales, the timing and levels of products purchased, pricing, payment terms and credit limits from manufacturers, the availability and terms of asset-based credit facilities, the timing and level of our accounts receivable collections, and our ability to manage our business profitability.

We may need to raise additional funds through public or private debt or equity financings or enter into new asset-based or other credit facilities, but such financings may dilute our stockholders. We cannot assure you that any additional financing that we may need will be available on terms favorable to us, or at all. If adequate funds are not available or are not available on acceptable terms, we may not be able to take advantage of unanticipated opportunities, develop new products or otherwise respond to competitive pressures. In any such case, our business, operating results or financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

Our Andalay technology may encounter unexpected problems or may not be protectable, which could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Our Andalay technology is relatively new and has not been tested in installation settings for a sufficient period of time to prove its long-term effectiveness and benefits. Problems may occur with Andalay products or their underlying components that are unexpected and could have a material adverse effect on our business or results of operations. We have been issued several U.S. and foreign patents that cover key claims of our Andalay solar panel technology, and we are asserting claims of infringement of our patent rights in a lawsuit. Lawsuits and re-examination proceedings in the United States or elsewhere could challenge the scope or enforceability of our patent claims. We have several other pending patent applications covering Andalay technology. Ultimately, we may not be able to realize the benefits from any patent that is issued.

Because our industry is highly competitive and has low barriers to entry, we may lose market share to larger companies that are better equipped to weather a decline in market conditions due to increased competition.

Our industry is highly competitive and fragmented, is subject to rapid change and has low barriers to entry. Competition in the solar power services industry may increase in the future, partly due to low barriers to entry, as well as from other alternative energy sources now in existence or developed in the future. Increased competition could result in price reductions, reduced margins or loss of market share and greater competition for qualified technical personnel. There can be no assurance that we will be able to compete successfully against current and future competitors. If we are unable to compete effectively, or if competition results in a deterioration of market conditions, our business and results of operations would be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Our profitability depends, in part, on our success and brand recognition and we could lose our competitive advantage if we are not able to protect our trademarks and patents against infringement, and any related litigation could be time-consuming and costly.

We believe that the “Westinghouse” name has significant value and recognition in the North American market, and that our “Andalay” brand has gained substantial recognition by customers in certain geographic areas. We have registered the “Andalay” trademark with the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Use of our trademarks or similar trademarks by competitors in geographic areas in which we have not yet operated could adversely affect our ability to use or gain protection for our brand in those markets, which could weaken our brand and harm our business and competitive position. In addition, any litigation relating to protecting our trademarks and patents against infringement could be time consuming and costly.

The success of our business depends on the continuing contributions of Barry Cinnamon and other key personnel who may terminate their employment with us at any time, and we will need to hire additional qualified personnel.

We rely heavily on the services of Barry Cinnamon, our Chief Executive Officer, as well as several other management personnel. Loss of the services of any such individuals would adversely impact our operations. In addition, we believe our technical personnel represent a significant asset and provide us with a competitive advantage over many of our competitors and that our future success will depend upon our ability to retain these key employees and our ability to attract and retain other skilled financial, engineering, technical and managerial personnel. None of our key personnel are party to any employment agreements with us and management and other employees may voluntarily terminate their employment at any time. We do not currently maintain any “key man” life insurance with respect to any of such individuals.

If we are unable to attract, train and retain highly qualified personnel, the quality of our services may decline and we may not successfully execute our internal growth strategies.

Our success depends in large part upon our ability to continue to attract, train, motivate and retain highly skilled and experienced employees, including technical personnel. Qualified technical employees periodically are in great demand and may be unavailable in the time frame required to satisfy our customers’ requirements. While we currently have available technical expertise sufficient for the requirements of our business, expansion of our business could require us to employ additional highly skilled technical personnel. We expect competition for such personnel to increase as the market for solar power systems expands.

There can be no assurance that we will be able to attract and retain sufficient numbers of highly skilled technical employees in the future. The loss of personnel or our inability to hire or retain sufficient personnel at competitive rates of compensation could impair our ability to secure and complete customer engagements and could harm our business.

Unexpected warranty expenses or service claims could reduce our profits.

We maintain a warranty reserve on our balance sheet for potential warranty or service claims that could occur in the future. This reserve is adjusted based on our ongoing operating experience with equipment and installations. It is possible, perhaps due to bad supplier material or defective installations, that we would have actual expenses substantially in excess of the reserves we maintain. Our failure to accurately predict future warranty claims could result in unexpected profit volatility.

Risks Relating to Our Industry

We have experienced technological changes in our industry. New technologies may prove inappropriate and result in liability to us or may not gain market acceptance by our customers.

The solar power industry (and the alternative energy industry, in general) is subject to technological change. Our future success will depend on our ability to appropriately respond to changing technologies and changes in function of products and quality. If we adopt products and technologies that are not attractive to consumers, we may not be successful in capturing or retaining a significant share of our market. In addition, some new technologies are relatively untested and unperfected and may not perform as expected or as desired, in which event our adoption of such products or technologies may cause us to lose money.

A drop in the retail price of conventional energy or non-solar alternative energy sources may negatively impact our profitability.

We believe that an end customer's decision to purchase or install solar power capabilities is primarily driven by the cost and return on investment resulting from solar power systems. Fluctuations in economic and market conditions that affect the prices of conventional and non-solar alternative energy sources, such as decreases in the prices of oil and other fossil fuels, could cause the demand for solar power systems to decline, which would have a negative impact on our profitability. Changes in utility electric rates or net metering policies could also have a negative effect on our business.

## Table of Contents

Existing regulations, and changes to such regulations, may present technical, regulatory and economic barriers to the purchase and use of solar power products, which may significantly reduce demand for our products and services.

New government regulations or utility policies pertaining to solar power systems are unpredictable and may result in significant additional expenses or delays and, as a result, could cause a significant reduction in demand for solar energy systems and our services. For example, there currently exist metering caps in certain jurisdictions which effectively limit the aggregate amount of power that may be sold by solar power generators into the power grid.

Our business depends on the availability of rebates, tax credits and other financial incentives; reduction, elimination or uncertainty of which would reduce the demand for our products and services.

Many states offer incentives to offset the cost of solar power systems. These systems can take many forms, including direct rebates, state tax credits, system performance payments and Renewable Energy Credits (RECs). Moreover, the federal government currently offers a 30% tax credit for the installation of solar power systems. Businesses may also elect to accelerate the depreciation on their system over five years. Uncertainty about the introduction of, reduction in or elimination of such incentives or delays or interruptions in the implementation of favorable federal or state laws could substantially increase the cost of our systems to our customers, resulting in significant reductions in demand for our services, which would negatively impact our sales.

If solar power technology is not suitable for widespread adoption or sufficient demand for solar power products does not develop or takes longer to develop than we anticipate, our sales would decline and we would be unable to achieve or sustain profitability.

The market for solar power products is emerging and rapidly evolving, and its future success is uncertain. Many factors will influence the widespread adoption of solar power technology and demand for solar power products, including:

- cost effectiveness of solar power technologies as compared with conventional and non-solar alternative energy technologies;
- performance and reliability of solar power products as compared with conventional and non-solar alternative energy products;
- capital expenditures by customers that tend to decrease if the U.S. economy slows; and
- availability of government subsidies and incentives.

If solar power technology proves unsuitable for widespread commercial deployment or if demand for solar power products fails to develop sufficiently, we would be unable to generate enough revenue to achieve and sustain profitability. In addition, demand for solar power products in the markets and geographic regions we target may not develop or may develop more slowly than we anticipate.

### Risks Relating to our Common Stock

If our shareholder's equity remains below \$2.5 million or if the trading price of our common stock falls below \$1 per share or we fail to satisfy any other listing criteria, our common stock could be delisted from the NASDAQ Capital Market.

We must meet NASDAQ's continuing listing requirements in order for our common stock to remain listed on the NASDAQ Capital Market. The listing criteria we must meet include, but are not limited to, a minimum bid price for our common stock of \$1.00 per share and a minimum shareholders' equity of \$2.5 million. Effective after the close of business on April 13, 2011, we implemented a reverse split of our common stock at a ratio of 1-for-4. As a result of

the reverse stock split, since April 14, 2011 our common stock has traded above \$1 per share. However, as of March 31, 2011, we had approximately \$2.2 million of shareholders' equity and, as of June 30, 2011, our shareholders' equity was \$1.1 million. Failure to meet NASDAQ's continued listing criteria may result in the delisting of our common stock on the NASDAQ Capital Markets.

On July 13, 2011 we received written notification from the Listing Qualifications Department of The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC stating that our common stock is subject to delisting from The NASDAQ Capital Market pending the opportunity to request a hearing before a NASDAQ Listing Qualifications Panel. We requested a hearing before an Appeals Panel to review the Staff Determination, which stayed any action with respect to the Staff Determination and allows the continued listing of our common stock on The NASDAQ Capital Market until the Panel renders a decision subsequent to the hearing. The hearing is scheduled for August 25, 2011. At the hearing, we intend to present a plan to regain compliance and to request that the Panel allow us additional time within which to regain compliance. If the Panel or the Staff of NASDAQ accepts our plan, NASDAQ can grant us a period of up to 180 calendar days from the date of the May 6, 2011 letter for us to regain compliance with the NASDAQ Listing Rule. There can be no assurance that the Panel will grant our request for continued listing on The NASDAQ Capital Market.

A delisting from the NASDAQ Capital Market would make the trading market for our common stock less liquid, and would also make us ineligible to use Form S-3 to register the sale of shares of our common stock or to register the resale of our securities held by certain of our security holders with the SEC, thereby making it more difficult and expensive for us to register our common stock or other securities and raise additional capital.

Table of Contents

Our stockholders may be diluted by the conversion of our Series B Preferred Stock and the exercise of warrants; in the event we have a “change of control” or if we fail to comply with the terms of the Series B Preferred Stock, we may be in default and face demands for redemption and significant penalties.

On February 17, 2011, we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with accredited investors, pursuant to which we sold to such investors our Series B 4% Convertible Preferred (“Series B Preferred”), which is initially convertible into an aggregate of 2,000,000 of our common stock at an initial conversion price of \$1.80 per share, and our Series K Warrants that are initially exercisable for an aggregate of 1,700,002 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$2.40 per share, subject to future adjustment for various events. The conversion price of the Series B Preferred is subject to adjustment downward in the event that (i) we sell common stock (or securities convertible into or exercisable for shares of common stock) at an effective price below the conversion price of the Series B Preferred, and/or (ii) the market price of our common stock at the one-year anniversary of these transaction is below the then effective conversion price of the Series B Preferred. If these price adjustment provisions are triggered, then the number of shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the Series B Preferred may be subject to increase, and the exercise price of the Series K Warrants is subject to decrease. When the investors convert or exercise these securities, our stockholders may experience dilution in the net tangible book value of their common stock. In addition, the sale or availability for sale of the underlying shares in the marketplace could depress our stock price. We have agreed to register for resale all of the underlying shares of common stock relating to the Series B Preferred and the Series K Warrants. Upon registration, the investors could resell the underlying shares immediately upon issuance, which may result in significant downward pressure on the market price of our stock.

In addition, the terms of our Series B Preferred include various agreements and negative covenants on our part. In the event we fail to comply with those provisions, or if a “change of control” of the Company occurs, it could constitute a “triggering event” (as defined in the Certificate of Designation which designates the rights of the Series B Preferred), and the holders of our Series B Preferred could then demand that all of the outstanding shares of Series B Preferred be redeemed for cash, or under certain circumstances, for shares of our common stock. Any such demand for redemption in cash could have a material adverse affect on our financial position and liquidity, and any demand for redemption in stock could have a material dilutive effect for our stockholders. In addition, in such event the dividend rate on our outstanding Series B Preferred is subject to increase to 18% per annum thereafter.

Future sales of common stock by our existing stockholders may cause our stock price to fall.

The market price of our common stock could decline as a result of sales by our existing stockholders of shares of common stock in the market, or the perception that these sales could occur. These sales might also make it more difficult for us to sell equity securities at a time and price that we deem appropriate. As of July 29, 2011, we had 12,300,826 shares of common stock outstanding (which includes 184,951 unvested shares of restricted stock granted to our Board of Directors and our employees), 1,356 shares of Series B Preferred Stock that are convertible into 1,322,063 shares of common stock and we had warrants to purchase 3,264,000 shares of common stock and options to purchase 992,254 shares of common stock outstanding.

All of the shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of our outstanding vested options will be freely tradable without restriction under the federal securities laws unless purchased by our affiliates. The shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of our outstanding warrants are generally covered (or will be covered) by effective registration statements which permit the underlying shares issuable upon their exercise to be freely tradable in the public market.

Our stock price may be volatile, which could result in substantial losses for investors.

The market price of our common stock is likely to be highly volatile and could fluctuate widely in response to various factors, many of which are beyond our control, including the following:



- technological innovations or new products and services by us or our competitors;
- announcements or press releases relating to the energy sector or to our business or prospects;
- additions or departures of key personnel;
- regulatory, legislative or other developments affecting us or the solar power industry generally;
- our ability to execute our business plan;
- operating results that fall below expectations;
- volume and timing of customer orders;
- industry developments;
- economic and other external factors; and
- period-to-period fluctuations in our financial results.

In addition, the securities markets have from time to time experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that are unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. These market fluctuations may also significantly affect the market price of our common stock.

Table of Contents

Risks Relating to Our Company

Our Chief Executive Officer, Barry Cinnamon, beneficially owns a significant number of shares of our common stock, which gives him significant influence over decisions on which our stockholders may vote and which may discourage an acquisition of the Company.

Barry Cinnamon, our Chief Executive Officer, beneficially owns, in the aggregate, approximately 15.6% of our outstanding common stock as of July 29, 2011. The interests of our Chief Executive Officer may differ from the interests of other stockholders. As a result, Mr. Cinnamon's voting power may have a significant influence on the outcome of virtually all corporate actions requiring stockholder approval, irrespective of how our other stockholders may vote, including the following actions:

- election of our directors;
- the amendment of our Certificate of Incorporation or By-laws; and
- the merger of our company or the sale of our assets or other corporate transaction.

Mr. Cinnamon's stock ownership may discourage a potential acquirer from seeking to acquire shares of our common stock or otherwise attempting to obtain control of our company, which in turn could reduce our stock price or prevent our stockholders from realizing a premium over our stock price.

We are subject to the reporting requirements of the federal securities laws, which impose additional burdens on us

We are a public reporting company and, accordingly, subject to the information and reporting requirements of the Exchange Act and other federal securities laws, including compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. As a public company, these rules and regulations result in increased compliance costs and make certain activities more time consuming and costly.

Our Certificate of Incorporation authorizes our board to create new series of preferred stock without further approval by our stockholders, which could adversely affect the rights of the holders of our common stock.

Our Board of Directors has the authority to fix and determine the relative rights and preferences of preferred stock. Our Board of Directors also has the authority to issue preferred stock without further stockholder approval. As a result, our Board of Directors could authorize the issuance of new series of preferred stock that would grant to holders the preferred right to our assets upon liquidation, the right to receive dividend payments before dividends are distributed to the holders of common stock and the right to the redemption of the shares, together with a premium, prior to the redemption of our common stock. In addition, our Board of Directors could authorize the issuance of new series of preferred stock that has greater voting power than our common stock or that is convertible into our common stock, which could decrease the relative voting power of our common stock or result in dilution to our existing stockholders.

Table of Contents

## Item 6. EXHIBITS.

| Exhibit Number | Description  |
|----------------|--|
| 3.1            | Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 7, 2006).   |
| 3.2            | By-laws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 7, 2006).   |
| 3.3            | Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation as filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on August 11, 2006 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.3 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 14, 2006). |
| 3.4            | Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation as filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on May 7, 2010 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.4 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on July 30, 2010).    |
| 3.5            | Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation as filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on April 6, 2011 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.5 of our Form 10-Q, filed on May 10, 2011).                       |
| 4.2            | Certificate of Designation with respect to Series B Preferred Stock, as filed on February 17, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 17, 2011).                              |
| 31.1           | *Section 302 Certification of Principal Executive Officer  |
| 31.2           | *Section 302 Certification of Principal Financial Officer  |
| 32.1           | *Section 906 Certification of Principal Executive Officer  |
| 32.2           | *Section 906 Certification of Principal Financial Officer  |
| 101.INS        | *XBRL Taxonomy Extension Instance Document†  |
| 101.SCH        | *XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Linkbase Document†   |
| 101.CAL        | *XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document†  |
| 101.DEF        | *XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document†   |
| 101.LAB        | *XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document†   |
| 101.PRE        | *XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document†   |

\* filed herewith

† Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files in Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: August 2, 2011

/s/ Barry Cinnamon  
Barry Cinnamon  
Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Dated: August 2, 2011

/s/ Margaret R. Randazzo  
Margaret R. Randazzo  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer and  
Principal Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

## Exhibit Index

| Exhibit Number | Description  |
|----------------|--|
| 3.1            | Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 7, 2006).   |
| 3.2            | By-laws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 7, 2006).   |
| 3.3            | Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation as filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on August 11, 2006 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.3 of our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 14, 2006). |
| 3.4            | Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation as filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on May 7, 2010 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.4 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on July 30, 2010).    |
| 3.5            | Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation as filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on April 6, 2011 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.5 of our Form 10-Q, filed on May 10, 2011).                       |
| 4.2            | Certificate of Designation with respect to Series B Preferred Stock, as filed on February 17, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 17, 2011).                              |
| 31.1           | *Section 302 Certification of Principal Executive Officer  |
| 31.2           | *Section 302 Certification of Principal Financial Officer  |
| 32.1           | *Section 906 Certification of Principal Executive Officer  |
| 32.2           | *Section 906 Certification of Principal Financial Officer  |
| 101.INS        | *XBRL Taxonomy Extension Instance Document†  |
| 101.SCH        | *XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Linkbase Document†   |
| 101.CAL        | *XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document†  |
| 101.DEF        | *XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document†   |
| 101.LAB        | *XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document†   |
| 101.PRE        | *XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document†   |
| *              | filed herewith   |
| †              |  |

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files in Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

