

Edgar Filing: Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. - Form 10-K

Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.
Form 10-K
February 25, 2015

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number
001-34581

KRATON PERFORMANCE POLYMERS, INC.
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)
15710 John F. Kennedy Blvd,
Suite 300
Houston, TX 77032

(Address of principal executive offices,
including zip code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. Common Stock,
par value \$0.01

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

20-0411521
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

281-504-4700

(Registrant's telephone number,
including area code)

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

New York Stock Exchange

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. YES NO

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of “large accelerated filer”, “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company:	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). YES NO

Estimated aggregate market value of the common equity held by nonaffiliates of Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. at June 30, 2014: \$726,003,519. Number of shares of Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding at February 23, 2015: 31,505,059.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.’s proxy statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are incorporated by reference in Part III.

Index to Annual Report
on Form 10-K for
Year Ended December 31, 2014

	PAGE
<u>PART I</u>	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	<u>4</u>
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>14</u>
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>25</u>
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	<u>26</u>
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>27</u>
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>PART II</u>	
Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>29</u>
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>31</u>
Item 7. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>35</u>
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>51</u>
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>52</u>
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>52</u>
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>52</u>
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>PART III</u>	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>53</u>
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>53</u>
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>53</u>
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>53</u>
Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	<u>53</u>
<u>PART IV</u>	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>54</u>

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

Some of the statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K under the headings “Business,” “Risk Factors,” “Selected Financial Data,” “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data” and elsewhere contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We may also make written or oral forward-looking statements in our periodic reports on Forms 10-Q and 8-K, in press releases and other written materials and in oral statements made by our officers, directors or employees to third parties. Statements that are not historical facts, including statements about our beliefs and expectations, are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are often characterized by the use of words such as “believes,” “estimates,” “expects,” “projects,” “may,” “intends,” “plans” or “anticipates” and by discussions of strategy, plans or intentions; anticipated benefits of or performance of our products; beliefs regarding opportunities for new, high-margin applications and other innovations; adequacy of cash flows to fund our working capital requirements; our investment in the joint venture with Formosa Petrochemical Corporation (“FPCC”); our expectations regarding indebtedness to be incurred by our joint venture with FPCC; debt payments, interest payments, capital expenditures, benefit plan contributions, and income tax obligations; our anticipated 2015 capital expenditures, compliance with the MACT rule, health, safety and environmental and infrastructure and maintenance projects, projects to optimize the production capabilities of our manufacturing assets and to support our innovation platform; our ability to fully access our senior secured credit facilities; expectations regarding our counterparties’ ability to perform, including with respect to trade receivables; estimates regarding the tax expense of repatriating certain cash and short-term investments related to foreign operations; expectations regarding high-margin applications; our ability to realize certain deferred tax assets and our beliefs with respect to tax positions; expectations regarding our full year effective tax rate; estimates related to the useful lives of certain assets for tax purposes; expectations regarding our pension contributions for fiscal year 2015; estimates or expectations related to monomer costs, ending inventory levels and related estimated charges; the outcome and financial impact of legal proceedings; expectations regarding the spread between FIFO and ECRC in future periods; the estimates and matters described under the caption “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis—Results of Operations—Outlook;” and projections regarding environmental costs and capital expenditures and related operational savings. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other important factors that could cause the actual results, performance or our achievements, or industry results, to differ materially from historical results, any future results, or performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. There are a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained in this report. Important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those expressed as forward-looking statements are set forth in this report, including but not limited to those under the heading “Risk Factors.” There may be other factors of which we are currently unaware or deem immaterial that may cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements are based on current plans, estimates and projections, and, therefore, you should not place undue reliance on them. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and we undertake no obligation to update them in light of new information or future events.

Presentation of Financial Statements.

The terms “Kraton,” “our company,” “we,” “our,” “ours” and “us” as used in this report refer collectively to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

This Form 10-K includes financial statements and related notes that present the consolidated financial position, results of operations, comprehensive income and cash flows of Kraton, and its subsidiaries. Kraton is a holding company whose only material asset is its investment in its wholly owned subsidiary, Kraton Polymers LLC. Kraton Polymers LLC and its subsidiaries own all of our consolidated operating assets.

PART I

Item 1. Business.

General

Our Company

We are a leading global producer of styrenic block copolymers (“SBCs”) and other engineered polymers. SBCs are highly-engineered synthetic elastomers, which we invented and commercialized almost 50 years ago. We developed the first USBC polymers in 1964 and the first HSBC polymers in the late 1960s. Our SBCs enhance the performance of numerous products by imparting greater flexibility, resilience, strength, durability and processability.

Our polymers are typically formulated or compounded with other products to achieve improved, customer-specific performance characteristics in a variety of applications. We seek to maximize the value of our product portfolio by emphasizing complex or specialized polymers and innovations that yield higher margins than more commoditized products. We refer to these complex or specialized polymers or innovations as being more “differentiated.” In 2014, 56.6% of our revenue was derived from innovation-driven and differentiated products, with 40.0% derived from differentiated grades and 16.6% derived from innovation grades.

Our products are found in many everyday applications, including personal care products such as disposable diapers and the rubberized grips of toothbrushes, razor blades and power tools. Our products are also used to impart tack and shear properties in a wide variety of adhesive products and to impart characteristics such as flexibility and durability in sealants and corrosion resistance in coatings. Our paving and roofing applications provide durability, extending road and roof life.

We also produce Cariflex™ isoprene rubber and isoprene rubber latex. Our Cariflex products are highly-engineered, non-SBC synthetic substitutes for natural rubber and natural rubber latex. Our Cariflex products, which have not been found to contain the proteins present in natural rubber latex and are, therefore, not known to cause allergies, are used in applications such as surgical gloves and condoms. We believe the versatility of Cariflex products provides opportunities for new, high margin applications.

We have a portfolio of innovations at various stages of development and commercialization, including

polyvinyl chloride alternatives for wire and cable, and medical applications;

polymers and compounds for soft skin and coated fabric applications for transportation and consumer markets;

highly-modified asphalt (“HiMA”) for high-performance paving applications;

NEXAR™ family of membrane polymers for heating, ventilation, air conditioning and breathable fabrics; and

synthetic cement formulations and polymers used for viscosity modification in oilfield applications.

We have had a long-standing relationship with many of our customers and work closely with our customers to design products that meet application-specific performance and quality requirements. We have a diverse customer base, with no single customer accounting for more than 10.0% of our revenue in 2014 and our top 10 customers together representing approximately 31.7% of our revenue in 2014. Because of the technical expertise and investment required to develop many of our product formulations and the lead times required to replace them, we believe many of our customers would likely incur additional costs by changing to an alternative vendor.

We generated \$1,230.4 million of revenue and 305.6 kilotons of sales volume for the year ended December 31, 2014. Our customers are diversified by industry and geography with more than 800 customers in over 60 countries. Our total SBC production capacity as of December 31, 2014 was approximately 417 kilotons. Production capacity at our facilities can vary greatly depending upon feedstock, product mix and operating conditions. We manufacture our polymers at five manufacturing facilities globally, including our flagship facility in Belpre, Ohio, as well as facilities in Germany, France, Brazil, and Japan. The facility in Japan is operated by an unconsolidated manufacturing joint venture.

Over the past several years, we have implemented a range of strategic initiatives designed to enhance our profitability and market position, with a focus on increasing our scale and optimizing our manufacturing footprint, particularly in Asia. These include fixed asset investments to expand our capacity in specialized products and enhance productivity at our existing facilities, our 50% investment in our joint venture, Kraton Formosa Polymers Corporation (“KFPC”), located in Mailiao,

Taiwan, and fixed costs management through headcount reductions, production line closures at our facility in Pernis, Netherlands, and system upgrades. During this period, we shifted our focus from lower margin business, and we implemented pricing strategies designed to enhance our overall margins and return on invested capital. With the commercialization of newer innovations such as HiMA and increasing sales of Cariflex™ and products for oilfield service applications, our strategy is focused on continuing to advance our portfolio of differentiated products, while, at the same time, expanding sales of our core product grades.

Corporate History

Prior to our initial public offering and related reorganization transactions in December 2009, we were an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of TJ Chemical Holdings LLC and were indirectly owned by certain affiliates of TPG Capital, L.P., which we refer to collectively as “TPG,” and certain affiliates of J.P. Morgan Partners, LLC, which we refer to collectively as “JPMP,” and certain members of our management. We conduct our business through Kraton Polymers LLC and its consolidated subsidiaries. Prior to our initial public offering, Kraton Polymers LLC’s parent company was Polymer Holdings LLC, a Delaware limited liability company. On December 16, 2009, Polymer Holdings LLC was converted from a Delaware limited liability company to a Delaware corporation and renamed Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc., which remains Kraton Polymers LLC’s parent company. In addition, prior to the closing of the initial public offering, TJ Chemical was merged into (and did not survive the merger with) Kraton Polymers LLC. Our initial public offering was completed, and trading in our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange commenced, in December 2009. TPG and JPMP collectively owned a majority of our common stock following the initial public offering, and through two secondary public offerings conducted in September 2010 and April 2011, sold all of their holdings in our common stock.

Recent Developments

Sales and Marketing Realignment. We historically aligned our commercial activities around four end use markets: Advanced Materials; Adhesive, Sealants and Coatings; Paving and Roofing; and Cariflex™. In 2014, we realigned our sales and marketing organization, moving from an end use focus to an organization structured around three product groups: Performance Products, which is comprised of our unhydrogenated styrenic block copolymers (“USBC’s”); Specialty Polymers, which is comprised of our hydrogenated styrenic block copolymers (“HSBC’s”); and Cariflex, which continues to be comprised of our isoprene rubber (“IR”) and isoprene rubber latex (“IRL”). We believe the alignment along product groups will foster increased collaboration between our sales and marketing and research and technical service organizations and allow us to better meet diverse customer needs as we continue our strategy of driving innovation while increasing our competitive position in our core businesses.

Share Repurchase Program. On October 27, 2014, our board of directors approved a share repurchase program through which we may repurchase outstanding shares of our common stock having an aggregate purchase price of up to \$50.0 million. We intend to finance the share repurchase program through a combination of cash and debt. We plan to repurchase shares of our common stock over the next two years in the open market at prevailing market prices, through privately negotiated transactions, or through a trading program under Rule 10b5-1, subject to market and business conditions, applicable legal requirements and other considerations. Through December 31, 2014, we have repurchased a total of 998,080 shares of our common stock at an average price of \$18.69 per share and a total cost of \$18.6 million (excluding trading commissions). We are not obligated to acquire any specific number of shares of our common stock.

Terminated Combination Agreement with SBC Business of LCY. On January 28, 2014, we executed a definitive agreement (the “Combination Agreement”) to combine with the styrenic block copolymer (“SBC”) operations of Taiwan-based LCY Chemical Corp. (“LCY”). The Combination Agreement called for LCY to contribute its SBC business in exchange for newly issued shares of capital stock in the combined company, such that our existing stockholders and LCY would each own 50% of the outstanding shares of capital stock of the combined enterprise. On June 30, 2014, we notified LCY that our Board of Directors intended to withdraw its recommendation to our stockholders to approve the Combination Agreement unless the parties could agree upon mutually acceptable revised terms to the Combination Agreement. This notice cited the decline in operating results for LCY’s SBC business in the first quarter of 2014 and a related decline in forecasted results thereafter, together with the decline in our stock price and negative reactions from our stockholders. Following our notification of our Board’s intention to change its recommendation, the parties engaged in discussions to determine whether they could mutually agree to changes to the

terms of the Combination Agreement that would enable our Board to continue to recommend that our stockholders approve the Combination Agreement. The parties engaged in numerous discussions subsequent to June 30, 2014 regarding possible revisions to the terms of the Combination Agreement.

On July 31, 2014, an explosion occurred in a pipeline owned by LCY in Kaohsiung, Taiwan, causing substantial property damage and loss of life, and numerous governmental and private investigations and claims have been initiated and asserted against LCY. On August 4, 2014, LCY notified us that it would no longer negotiate, and it would not agree to, any

revisions to the terms of the Combination Agreement. On August 6, 2014, our Board withdrew its recommendation that our stockholders approve the Combination Agreement. On August 8, 2014, we received notice from LCY that LCY had exercised its right to terminate the Combination Agreement.

The provisions of the Combination Agreement provide for us to pay LCY a \$25.0 million break-up fee upon a termination of the Combination Agreement following a withdrawal of our Board's recommendation, unless an LCY material adverse effect has occurred and is continuing at the time of the withdrawal of the Board's recommendation. In LCY's notice terminating the Combination Agreement, LCY requested payment of such \$25.0 million termination fee. On October 6, 2014, LCY filed a lawsuit against us in connection with our refusal to pay the \$25.0 million termination fee. We believe that the impact upon LCY of the July 31, 2014 explosion in a gas pipeline in Kaohsiung, Taiwan, constitutes an LCY material adverse effect as defined in the Combination Agreement, and we have notified LCY that accordingly we are not obligated to pay the termination fee. While the ultimate resolution of this matter cannot be predicted with certainty, we do not expect any material adverse effect upon our financial position, results of operations or cash flows from the ultimate outcome of this matter.

KFPC loan agreement. On July 17, 2014, KFPC executed a syndicated loan agreement (the "KFPC Loan Agreement") in the amount of 5.5 billion New Taiwan Dollars ("NTD"), or \$173.1 million (converted at the December 31, 2014 exchange rate), to provide additional funding to construct the HSBC facility in Taiwan and to provide funding for working capital requirements and/or general corporate purposes. The KFPC Loan Agreement is comprised of a NTD 4.29 billion Tranche A, or \$135.0 million (converted at the December 31, 2014 exchange rate), to fund KFPC's capital expenditures, and a NTD 1.21 billion Tranche B, or \$38.1 million (converted at the December 31, 2014 exchange rate), to fund working capital requirements and/or general corporate purposes. Obligations under the KFPC Loan Agreement are guaranteed 50% by Formosa Petrochemical Corporation and 50% by Kraton Polymers LLC. See Note 6. Long Term Debt to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion of the KFPC Loan Agreement.

Products and Commercial Applications

Our products are high performance elastomers that are engineered for a wide range of applications. Our products possess a combination of high strength and low viscosity, which facilitates ease of processing at elevated temperatures and high processing speeds. Our products can be processed in a variety of manufacturing applications, including injection molding, blow molding, compression molding, extrusion and hot melt, and solution applied coatings.

The majority of worldwide SBC production is dedicated to USBCs, which are primarily used in paving, roofing, adhesives, sealants, coatings, and footwear applications. HSBCs, which are significantly more complex and capital-intensive to manufacture than USBCs, are used in applications such as soft touch and flexible materials, personal hygiene products, medical products, automotive components and certain adhesives and sealant applications. IR and IRL are non-SBC products which are primarily used in applications such as medical products, personal care, adhesives, tackifiers, paints and coatings.

Our products are manufactured and our commercial activities are organized in the following product groups based upon polymer chemistry and process technologies:

Product Groups	Revenue Mix (\$ in millions)								
	2014		2013			2012			
Performance Products	\$678.9	55.2	%	\$762.9	59.0	%	\$850.8	59.8	%
Specialty Polymers	\$412.4	33.5	%	\$412.0	31.9	%	\$464.3	32.6	%
Cariflex	\$138.6	11.3	%	\$116.0	9.0	%	\$105.9	7.4	%
Other	\$0.5	—	%	\$1.2	0.1	%	\$2.2	0.2	%

Performance Products. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, our Performance Products revenue included sales into the following product applications:

Application:	Performance Products Revenue Mix			
	2014	2013	2012	
Paving	26.0	% 27.0	% 31.0	%
Roofing	18.0	% 18.0	% 18.0	%
Personal care	20.0	% 19.0	% 17.0	%
Packaging & industrial adhesives	19.0	% 19.0	% 18.0	%
Industrial	7.0	% 6.0	% 6.0	%
Other	10.0	% 11.0	% 10.0	%

Our Performance Products impart characteristics such as:

- resistance to temperature and weather extremes in roads and roofing;
- resistance to cracking, reduced sound transmission and better drainage in porous road surfaces;
- impact resistance for consumer plastics; and
- increased processing flexibility in adhesive applications, such as packaging tapes and labels, and materials used in disposable diapers.

In paving and roofing applications, our Performance Products primarily compete with chemicals such as styrene-butadiene rubber latex, acetates, polyphosphoric acids and thermoplastic materials like ethylene-propylene-diene-monomer (“EPDM”), polyethylene, atactic polypropylene and unmodified asphalts. We believe that customer choice for these markets is driven principally by total end-product cost, temperature performance, bitumen source and application. Styrene-butadiene-styrene (“SBS”)-modified asphalt in roofing applications produces stronger and more durable felts and shingles, thus reducing the possibility of damage from weather, ice and water build-up and therefore extending service life. SBS modified asphalt pavements enhance the strength and elasticity of asphalt-based paving compositions over an extended temperature range, thus increasing resistance to wear, rutting and cracking and again extending service life. For example, our HiMA technology polymers provide better rut and cracking resistance than other elastic binders, while achieving 25-40% reduction in road thickness without any major sacrifice of viscosity or temperature performance. We believe this innovation will extend road life by allowing pavements to withstand heavy traffic loads and varying climate conditions.

In personal care applications, our Performance Products primarily consist of SBS and styrene-isoprene-styrene (“SIS”) for the manufacturing of ultra-thin stretchable films used for the production of diapers. In addition, our SIS polymers are also used in the lamination process for other personal care products. Our products primarily compete against low priced alternatives such as metallocenes. We believe that customer choice for these markets is driven principally by total end-product cost and performance.

In adhesives applications, our Performance Products primarily compete with acrylics, silicones and solvent-based rubber systems. The choice between these materials is influenced by bond strength, specific adhesion, consistent performance to specification, processing speed, hot-melt application, resistance to water and total end-product cost. Our SBCs are compatible with many other formulating ingredients. For example, we have expanded our offering of formulated compounds for adhesives for protective films that provide improved adhesive performance with no residue or haze after removal. We believe demand for utilization of SBC based adhesives is primarily driven by cost reduction and higher performance.

Specialty Polymers. Our Specialty Polymers are comprised of HSBC products which are significantly more complex to produce than our Performance Products, which are USBC products. As a result, our Specialty Polymers generally generate higher margins than our Performance Products. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, our Specialty Polymers revenue included sales into the following product applications:

Application:	Specialty Polymers Revenue Mix			
	2014	2013	2012	
Lubricant additives	20.0	% 16.0	% 15.0	%
Polymer modification	13.0	% 13.0	% 14.0	%
Personal care	12.0	% 16.0	% 17.0	%
Cable gels	9.0	% 7.0	% 8.0	%
Medical	8.0	% 8.0	% 7.0	%
Adhesives and coatings	7.0	% 6.0	% 6.0	%
Industrial	5.0	% 4.0	% 5.0	%
Consumer	4.0	% 5.0	% 5.0	%
Other	22.0	% 25.0	% 23.0	%

Our Specialty Polymers impart characteristics such as:

- improved flow characteristics for many industrial and consumer sealant and lubricating fluids;
- soft feel in numerous consumer products such as razor blades, power tools, and automobile components;
- impact resistance for demanding engineered plastic applications;
- flexibility for wire and cable plastic outer layers;
- stretch properties in disposable diapers and adult incontinence products;
- resistance to ultraviolet light;
- processing stability and viscosity; and
- elevated temperature resistance

Our products primarily compete against a variety of chemical and non-chemical alternatives including, but not limited to, thermoplastic vulcanizate, thermoplastic polyurethane, PVC, thermoplastic polyolefin, polyethylene terephthalate, polycarbonate, polyamide and ethylene-propylene-diene-monomer based products. We believe demand for our Specialty Polymers portfolio is principally driven by customer-specific needs and by the ability to balance performance characteristics such as ease of use, desired aesthetics, haptics, and managing total end product costs. Since many of our products are highly engineered and customized formulations, they require specialized product testing and validation, production and process evaluation. This results in a long lead time to achieve customer and industry established approvals. Our innovation led growth strategy focuses on translating the inherent strengths of our product technologies such as flexibility, resilience, impact and moisture resistance, and aesthetics (clarity and haptics) to target opportunities where we can expand and/or have the potential to create new market spaces for our solutions. Cariflex™. We market our IR and IRL products under the Cariflex brand name. These products combine the key qualities of natural rubber, such as good mechanical properties and hysteresis, with purity and clarity enhancements, good flow, low gel content, and absence of nitrosamines and natural rubber proteins. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, our Cariflex revenue included sales into the following product applications:

Application:	Cariflex Revenue Mix			
	2014	2013	2012	
Medical	94	% 94	% 92	%
Industrial	6	% 6	% 8	%

Isoprene rubber (formed from polymerizing isoprene) is a high purity, non-SBC product. Our IR polymers are available as bales of rubber or as latex. We focus our IR polymers in demanding applications such as medical products, paints, coatings and specialized footwear. Isoprene rubber latex (emulsion of IR in water) is a substitute for natural rubber latex, particularly in applications with high purity requirements, such as medical, healthcare, personal care and food contact operations. Our IRL is specialized polyisoprene latex with a controlled structure and low chemical impurity levels obtained

through an anionic polymerization process followed by a proprietary latex processing step, both of which were first developed by us. IRL is durable, tear resistant, soft, transparent and odorless. In addition, the synthetic material is non-allergenic and has superior consistency and other advantages to natural rubber latex. IRL is predominately used in synthetic surgical gloves and condoms.

Our products primarily compete with natural rubber, conventional Ziegler Natta sourced solid IR, halo butyl rubber and several synthetic latex alternatives, notably neoprene, nitrile and polychloroprene latex rubber, as well as polyurethane.

We have undertaken several projects to support anticipated continued growth in demand for our Cariflex™ products. In 2011, we commissioned a line conversion project at our Belpre, Ohio, facility, which now provides for production of IR and replaces production capacity at our former manufacturing facility in Pernis, Netherlands, which was closed in 2009. During 2011, we also successfully completed the expansion of our IRL capacity at our Paulinia, Brazil, facility. Further, we executed a contract with a supplier in Japan to expand manufacturing capacity for IRL. The first phase of this expansion was completed in January 2013 with the second phase expected to be completed in early 2016. The completion of both phases of the expansion will more than double our previous capacity in Japan.

Sales and Marketing

Our business is predominantly based on a short sales cycle. We sell our products through a number of channels including a direct sales force, marketing representatives and distributors, with the majority of our products sold through our direct sales force. In smaller markets, we often utilize marketing representatives who act as independent contractors to sell our products and distributors to service our smaller customers. Distributors sell a wide variety of products, which allows smaller customers to obtain multiple products from one source. In addition to our long-term relationships with distributors in North America and Europe, we have established relationships with a wide network of distributors in Latin America and the Asia Pacific region.

Our direct sales force, marketing representatives and distributors interact with our customers to provide both product advice and technical assistance. In general, they arrange and coordinate contact between our customers and our research and development personnel to provide quality control and new product solutions. Our close interaction with our customers has allowed us to develop and maintain what we consider to be strong customer relationships.

Revenue from our customers outside the United States was approximately 69.1%, 69.1% and 67.2% of our total revenue for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Direct sales made outside of the United States are generally priced in local currencies and can be subject to currency exchange fluctuations when reported in our consolidated financial statements, which are maintained in U.S. dollars in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”). For geographic reporting, revenue is attributed to the geographic location in which the customers’ facilities are located. See Note 13 Industry Segment and Foreign Operations to the consolidated financial statements for geographic reporting of revenue and long-lived assets as of and for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

We generated our revenue from customers located in the following regions:

Revenue by Geography:	2014	2013	2012		
Americas	38.9	% 39.3	% 40.0	%	
Europe, Middle East and Africa	36.4	% 38.7	% 39.1	%	
Asia Pacific	24.7	% 22.0	% 20.9	%	

Research, Development and Technology

Our research and development program is designed to develop new products and applications, provide technical service to customers, develop and optimize process technology, and assist in marketing new products. We spent \$31.4 million, \$32.0 million and \$31.0 million for research and development for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We also engage in customer-sponsored research projects; with average spending of approximately \$1.0 million a year for the three-year period ended December 31, 2014.

Our research and development activities are primarily conducted in laboratories in Houston, Texas, and Amsterdam, Netherlands. In 2014, we successfully launched a semi-works facility located at our production facility in Belpre, Ohio. We believe this will accelerate polymer development efforts and commercialization of products including the reduction of customer qualification lead times. We also anticipate utilizing the semi-works facility to produce small commercial quantities for customers which, prior to the launch of our semi-works facility were satisfied by the Belpre,

Ohio production assets. Utilizing the semi-works facility for such smaller quantities is more economical than producing small quantities on the larger-scale Belpre production lines. We also own a laboratory in Paulinia, Brazil, that provides technical services to our South American

customers. Our application and technical service laboratories in Shanghai, China, and Tsukuba, Japan, provide support to our Asian customers. In addition, we have technical service staff located in Mont St. Guibert, Belgium.

Our professionals perform research using scientific application equipment located primarily at our Houston, Belpre, Amsterdam, and Shanghai research and development facilities. At all of our major research and development facilities, we develop new Kraton product samples for our customers and provide guidance to our manufacturing organization. Application equipment is used to evaluate polymers and compounds to determine optimal formulations.

Sources and Availability of Raw Materials

We use butadiene, styrene and isoprene (also referred to as monomers) as our primary raw materials in manufacturing our products.

For our U.S. facilities, we procure a substantial majority of our monomers from U.S. suppliers. In Europe, we generally procure our monomers from regional suppliers, and in Brazil, we generally purchase all our raw materials from local third-party suppliers. In Japan, butadiene and isoprene are supplied under our joint venture agreement with JSR Corporation (“JSR”) and styrene is sourced from local third-party suppliers. We believe our contractual and other arrangements with our suppliers of butadiene, styrene, and isoprene will generally provide an adequate supply of raw materials at competitive, market-based prices to support our current sales levels and that alternative sources of raw material supply are generally available to us, including on a spot market basis. However, we can provide no assurance that suppliers will perform under their contracts, that we will be able to adequately replace expiring or terminated contracts, that we would be able to obtain substitute arrangements on feasible terms or that we will generally be able to source raw materials on economic terms in the future.

Butadiene. Butadiene is available on the global petrochemical market with approximately eight producers in the Americas, 32 in Europe, 61 in Asia and six in the Middle East. We currently source our butadiene in the United States pursuant to contractual arrangements generally having terms ranging from one to two years, subject to renewal conditions, and butadiene in Europe pursuant to contracts and arrangements with LyondellBasell. The contract covering Germany will expire on December 31, 2040, and is subject to renewal conditions at the conclusion of the current term unless terminated with prior written notice by either party. We acquire butadiene in France from LyondellBasell under a contract that became effective on January 1, 2012 and expires on December 31, 2016, subject to renewal conditions. In Brazil, butadiene has been obtained from a local third-party source under contractual arrangements with terms typically of one to two years. In Japan, a majority of our butadiene needs are sourced from JSR on a commercial supply basis.

Styrene. Styrene is available on the global petrochemical market with approximately 11 producers located in the Americas, 20 in Europe, 52 in Asia and five in the Middle East. We currently source styrene in the United States, Europe and Brazil pursuant to contractual arrangements generally having terms ranging from one to two years, subject to renewal conditions.

Isoprene. Isoprene is primarily produced and consumed captively by manufacturers for the production of IR, which is primarily used in the manufacture of rubber tires. As a result, there is limited non-captive isoprene available in the market place. We currently source our global isoprene requirements through a variety of contractual arrangements generally having terms ranging from one to two years, subject to renewal conditions. We also purchase additional supplies of isoprene from various suppliers at prevailing market prices. In Japan, the majority of our isoprene needs are sourced from JSR on a commercial supply basis and from alternative suppliers as needed. We believe our contractual arrangements with several suppliers as well as spot arrangements and longstanding relationships with other third-party suppliers of isoprene will generally provide adequate future supplies of isoprene at competitive prices to support our current sales levels.

Competition

We compete with other SBC producers and non-SBC product producers primarily on the basis of price, breadth of product availability, product quality and speed of service from order to delivery. We believe our customers also base their supply decisions on the supplier’s ability to design and produce custom products and on the availability of technical support. See “Products and Commercial Applications” under “Part I, Item 1. Business” for further discussion of competition in our various product groups.

SBC Industry. Our most significant competitors in the SBC industry are: Asahi Chemical, Chi Mei, Dynasol Elastomers, Kuraray Company, Korea Kumho P.C., LCY, LG Chemical, Sinopec, Taiwan Synthetic Rubber

Corporation, Versalis, Voronezh and Zeon Corporation. Generally, however, we believe individual competitors do not compete across all of our product applications.

Product Substitution. We also compete against a broad range of alternative, non-SBC products within each of our product groups. See “Products and Commercial Applications” under “Part I, Item 1. Business” for further discussion of product substitution.

Operating and Other Agreements

Operating Agreements. LyondellBasell operates our manufacturing facility located in Berre, France. This facility is situated on a major LyondellBasell petrochemical site at which other third party tenants also own facilities.

LyondellBasell charges us fees based on specified costs incurred in connection with operating and maintaining this facility, including the direct and indirect costs of employees and subcontractors, reasonable insurance costs, certain taxes imposed on LyondellBasell (other than income taxes) and depreciation and capital charges on certain assets.

Pursuant to the agreement, LyondellBasell employs and provides all staff, other than certain managers, assistant managers and technical personnel, whom we may appoint. In March 2012, we executed a new operating agreement with LyondellBasell effective as of January 1, 2012. The agreement has an unlimited term, and is terminable as of any date after December 31, 2014 upon 18 months' prior notice by either party. The new agreement also provides for site services, utilities, materials and facilities, which had previously been under a separate agreement.

Pursuant to an agreement dated March 31, 2000, as subsequently amended, LyondellBasell operates and provides certain services, materials and utilities required to operate our manufacturing facility in Wesseling, Germany. We pay LyondellBasell a monthly fee, as well as costs incurred by LyondellBasell in providing the various services, even if the facility fails to produce any output (whether or not due to events within LyondellBasell's control), and even if we reject some or all output. This agreement is terminable after an initial term of 40 years upon five years' prior written notice.

Under certain of these agreements, we are required to indemnify LyondellBasell, including in certain circumstances for loss and damages resulting from LyondellBasell's negligence in performing their obligations.

Information Systems

We utilize ERP software systems to support each of our facilities worldwide. Our ERP software systems utilize a single global system, which provides reliability of our systems. The ERP software systems are supported by internal resources. Technical upgrades to the ERP systems are performed every 12 to 18 months to ensure the recent functionality is available. New technology continues to be approved and implemented to improve efficiencies, network resiliency and critical information protection. An annual disaster recovery exercise is performed on critical systems, both internally and those utilizing third-party data centers.

Patents, Trademarks, Copyrights and Other Intellectual Property Rights

We rely on a variety of intellectual property rights to conduct our business, including patents, trademarks and trade secrets. In 2014, we were awarded 48 patents for new products or applications, and at December 31, 2014, we had 1,132 granted patents and 308 pending patent applications. Since patents are generally in effect for a period of 20 years from the filing date, this means that a significant portion of our portfolio will remain in effect for a long period (assuming most of these applications will be granted). The granted patents and the applications cover both the United States and foreign countries. We do not expect that the expiration of any single patent or specific group of patents would have a material impact on our business. Our material trademarks will remain in effect unless we decide to abandon any of them, subject to possible third-party claims challenging our rights. Similarly, our trade secrets will preserve their status as such for as long as they are the subject of reasonable efforts, on our part, to maintain their secrecy. A significant number of patents in our patent portfolio were acquired from Shell Chemicals. Shell Chemicals retained for itself fully-transferable and exclusive licenses for their use outside of the elastomers field, as well as fully-transferable, non-exclusive licenses within the field of elastomers for certain limited uses in non-competing activities. Shell Chemicals is permitted to sublicense these rights. Shell Chemicals also retains the right to enforce these patents outside the elastomers field and recover any damages resulting from these actions. Shell Chemicals may engage in or be the owner of a business that manufactures and/or sells elastomers in the elastomers field, so long as they do not use patent rights or technical knowledge exclusively licensed to us.

As a general matter, our trade names are protected by trademark laws. Our products are marketed under the registered trademarks "Kraton", "Elexar", "Giving Innovators Their Edge", "NEXAR" and "Cariflex."

In our almost 50 years in the SBC business, we have accumulated a substantial amount of technical and business expertise. Our expertise includes: product development, design and formulation, information relating to the applications in which our products are used, process and manufacturing technology, including the process and design information used in the operation, maintenance and debottlenecking of our manufacturing facilities, and the technical service that we provide to our customers. We hold extensive discussions with customers and potential customers to

define their market needs and product application opportunities. Where we believe necessary, we have implemented trade secret protection for our technical knowledge through non-analysis, secrecy and related agreements.

Employees

We had 934 full-time employees at December 31, 2014. In addition, 172 LyondellBasell manufacturing employees operate our manufacturing facilities and provide maintenance services in Europe under various operating and services arrangements. See “—Operating and Other Agreements.” None of our employees in the United States are subject to collective bargaining agreements. In Europe, Brazil and Japan, a significant number of our employees are in arrangements similar to collective bargaining arrangements. We believe our relationships with our employees continue to be good.

Environmental Regulation

Our operations in the United States and abroad are subject to a wide range of environmental laws and regulations at the international, national, state and local levels. These laws and regulations govern, among other things, air emissions, wastewater discharges, solid and hazardous waste management, site remediation programs and chemical registration, use and management.

Pursuant to these laws and regulations, our facilities are required to obtain and comply with a wide variety of environmental permits for different aspects of their operations. Generally, many of these environmental laws and regulations are becoming increasingly stringent and the cost of compliance with these various requirements can be expected to increase over time.

For example, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) issued new “maximum achievable control technology” (“MACT”) standards under the federal Clean Air Act for controlling hazardous air emissions from industrial boilers. The MACT rule applies to the coal-burning boilers at our Belpre, Ohio, facility. On January 31, 2013, the EPA published standards for industrial boilers, and certain incinerators, and non-hazardous secondary materials in the Federal Register with an effective date of April 1, 2013 and a compliance date of January 31, 2016, three years from the date of publication in the Federal Register. In response to a number of petitions for reconsideration, in August 2013, the EPA issued letters granting reconsideration on three issues raised in the petition. The three issues included in the EPA’s grant of reconsideration relate to (i) the definition of startup and shutdown periods and applicable work practices during such periods, (ii) revisions made to carbon monoxide limits and (iii) the use of particulate matter continuous parameter monitoring systems. On January 21, 2015, the EPA published a notice in the Federal Register announcing reconsideration of these three issues, proposing certain revisions to the definitions of startup and shutdown and to the applicable work practice standard during the startup and shutdown period, as well as a number of technical clarifying changes and corrections to the rule, and requesting public comment on the three issues and proposed revisions.

Although the EPA recently announced that it is reconsidering certain aspects of the rule, we expect to be in compliance with the MACT standards prior to the expiration of the compliance period. Capital expenditures necessary to comply with the MACT rule are currently estimated to be \$54.3 million, of which approximately \$7.0 million has been financed in the form of a capital lease. Through 2014, we have incurred an aggregate \$45.3 million, and we currently expect 2015 capital expenditures for this project to be approximately \$9.0 million, none of which will be financed with a capital lease. While this is a compliance driven project, we also expect this project to lower operating costs by approximately \$10.0 million per year by 2016.

Environmental laws and regulations in various jurisdictions also establish programs and, in some instances, obligations to clean up contamination from current or historic operations. Under some circumstances, the current owner or operator of a site can be held responsible for remediation of past contamination regardless of fault and regardless of whether the activity was legal at the time that it occurred. Evaluating and estimating the potential liability related to site remediation projects is a difficult undertaking, and several of our facilities have been affected by contamination from historic operations.

Our Belpre, Ohio, facility is the subject of a site investigation and remediation program administered by the EPA pursuant to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (“RCRA”). In March 1997, Shell Chemicals entered into a consent order to investigate and remediate areas of contamination on and adjacent to the site. In March 2003, we joined Shell Chemicals in signing a new consent order that required additional remediation and assessment of various areas of contamination and continues to require groundwater-monitoring and reporting. Shell Chemicals continues to take the lead in this program, has posted financial assurance of \$5.2 million for the work required under the consent order and has also indemnified us for the work required under this program, subject to the condition that we provide

notice of any claims on or prior to February 28, 2021. In turn, we have agreed with Shell Chemicals that we will, for a fee, provide certain services related to the remediation program. We have agreed with Shell Chemicals that we will pay up to \$100,000 per year for the groundwater monitoring associated with the 2003 consent order.

Our Brazilian facility has also been affected by prior Shell Chemicals operations. A Shell Chemicals pesticide manufacturing operation was previously located on a tract of land adjacent to our Brazilian facility. In addition, areas of our facility were used by Shell Chemicals as part of its crop protection business. Shell Chemicals has retained responsibility for remediating a former manufacturing facility located on our site and has also indemnified us for identified waste management areas used in prior operations. The indemnity for remediation relating directly to the facility for the previous pesticide

manufacturing operations and for disposal activity related to that facility and for third-party claims regarding hazardous substance disposal expires in 2021. Shell Chemicals has installed a hydraulic barrier to prevent migration of ground water contamination and has completed other cleanup actions on the site.

Shell Chemicals agreed to indemnify us for specific categories of environmental claims brought with respect to matters occurring before our separation from Shell Chemicals in February 2001. Coverage under the indemnity varies depending upon the nature of the environmental claim, the location giving rise to the claim and the manner in which the claim is triggered. The indemnity for specific site clean-up matters and for third-party claims regarding hazardous substance disposal expires in 2021. Claims that may arise in the future related to past operations may not be covered by the Shell Chemicals' indemnities, and amounts that are recoverable under those indemnities may not be sufficient to satisfy claims against us.

In addition, we may in the future be subject to claims that arise solely from events or circumstances occurring after February 2001 that would not, in any event, be covered by the Shell Chemicals' indemnity. While we recognize that we may, in the future, be held liable with respect to remediation activities beyond those identified to date, at present we are not aware of any circumstances that are reasonably expected to give rise to remediation claims that would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or cause us to exceed our projected level of anticipated capital expenditures.

In January 2014, our Belpre, Ohio, facility experienced a mechanical equipment failure due to inclement weather that resulted in a release of process solvents into nearby waterways. Applicable authorities were notified, and cleanup activities are substantially complete. Kraton may be required to pay governmental fines or sanctions in excess of \$100,000 in connection with this event.

Insurance

We have levels of insurance that we believe to be customary for a company of our size in our industry. Our insurance policies are subject to customary deductibles and limits.

Seasonality

Seasonal changes and weather conditions typically affect sales volumes with respect to paving and roofing customers and generally result in higher sales volumes into this market in the second and third quarters of the calendar year compared to the first and fourth quarters of the calendar year. Sales for our other product applications tend to show relatively little seasonality.

Available Information

We electronically file reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), including annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to such reports. The public may read and copy any materials that we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains an internet site that contains reports and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC at <http://www.sec.gov>. Additionally, information about us, including our reports filed with the SEC, is available through our web site at <http://www.kraton.com>. Such reports are accessible at no charge through our web site and are made available as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is filed with or furnished to the SEC. Our website and the information contained on that site, or connected to that site, are not incorporated by reference into this report.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

LyondellBasell Industries provides significant operating and other services under agreements that are important to our business. The failure of LyondellBasell to perform its obligations, or the termination of these agreements, could adversely affect our operations.

We have operating and service agreements with LyondellBasell Industries, or LyondellBasell, that are important to our business. We are a party to:

operating agreements under which LyondellBasell (in Berre, France, and Wesseling, Germany) operates and maintains our European manufacturing facilities and employs and provides substantially all of the staff for those facilities; these operating agreements also provide for site services, utilities, materials and facilities, which had previously been under separate agreements; and

lease agreements under which we lease our European manufacturing sites (a 96 kiloton capacity facility in Wesseling, Germany and a 85 kiloton capacity facility in Berre, France) from LyondellBasell.

Under the terms of the above agreements, either party is permitted to terminate the applicable agreement in a variety of situations. The operating agreement relating to the Berre facility is terminable by either party upon 18 months' written notice. As of the date of this filing, no such notice has been given by either party. Should LyondellBasell fail to provide these services or should any operating agreement be terminated, we would be forced to obtain these services from third parties or provide them ourselves. Similarly, if in connection with or independent from the termination of an operating agreement, LyondellBasell terminates a facility lease, we would be forced to relocate our manufacturing facility. The failure of LyondellBasell to perform its obligations under, or the termination of, any of these agreements could materially adversely affect our operations and, depending on market conditions at the time of any such termination, we may not be able to enter into substitute arrangements in a timely manner, if at all, and if we are able to enter into a substitute arrangement, it may not be on terms as favorable to us.

Conditions in the global economy and capital markets may adversely affect the company's results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Our products are sold in markets that are sensitive to changes in general economic conditions, such as automotive, construction and consumer products. Downturns in general economic conditions can cause fluctuations in demand for our products, product prices, volumes and margins. A decline in the demand for our products or a shift to lower-margin products due to deteriorating economic conditions could adversely affect sales of our products and our profitability and could also result in impairments of certain of our assets.

Our business and operating results have been affected by fluctuating commodity prices, volatile exchange rates and other challenges currently affecting the global economy and our customers. Uncertainty regarding global economic conditions poses a continuing risk to our business, as consumers and businesses may postpone spending in response to tighter credit, negative financial news or declines in income or asset values, which may reduce demand for our products. If global economic and market conditions, or economic conditions in key markets, remain uncertain or deteriorate further, our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows could be materially adversely affected. The failure of our raw materials suppliers to perform their obligations under long-term supply agreements, or our inability to replace or renew these agreements when they expire, could increase our cost for these materials, interrupt production or otherwise adversely affect our results of operations.

Our manufacturing processes use three primary raw materials: butadiene, styrene and isoprene. We have entered into long-term supply agreements with Shell Chemicals, LyondellBasell and others to supply our raw material needs in the United States and Europe. As these contracts expire, we may be unable to renew these contracts or obtain new long-term supply agreements on terms favorable to us, if at all, which may significantly impact our operations.

In addition, most of our long-term contracts contain provisions that allow our suppliers to limit, or allocate, the amount of raw materials shipped to us below the contracted amount in certain circumstances. If we are required to obtain alternate sources for raw materials because a supplier is unwilling or unable to perform under raw material supply agreements or if a supplier terminates its agreements with us, we may not be able to obtain these raw materials from alternative suppliers in sufficient quantities or in a timely manner, and we may not be able to enter into long-term supply agreements on terms as favorable to us, if at all. A lack of availability of raw materials could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

If the availability of isoprene is limited, we may be unable to produce some of our products in quantities or on economic terms sought by our customers, which could have an adverse effect on our sales of products requiring isoprene.

Isoprene is not widely available, and the few isoprene producers tend to use their production for captive manufacturing purposes or to sell only limited quantities into the world chemicals market. As a result, there is limited non-captive isoprene available for purchase in the markets in which we operate.

Currently, we source our isoprene requirements for the United States and Europe from a portfolio of suppliers. In Japan, we obtain the majority of our isoprene requirements from our joint venture partner, and from alternative suppliers as needed. In Brazil, isoprene is primarily obtained from a local third party supplier. These suppliers may not be able to meet our isoprene requirements, and we may not be able to obtain isoprene in quantities required for our operations on terms favorable to us, or at all. A lack of availability of isoprene in the quantities we require to produce products containing isoprene could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Because there is limited non-captive isoprene availability, the market for isoprene is thin and prices are particularly volatile. Prices for isoprene are impacted by the supply and prices of natural and synthetic rubber, prevailing energy prices and the existing supply and demand of isoprene in the market. In the past, tight supply in the isoprene market has been exacerbated by operational problems of some key producers and reduced availability of crude C5 inputs for the extraction units. More recently, the trend toward lighter ethylene cracker feedslates has reduced the supply of crude C5 in the United States. This decrease has been replaced by imports of crude C5 and/or isoprene. Significant increases in the cost of isoprene could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

If the availability of butadiene is limited, we may be unable to produce some of our products in quantities or on economic terms sought by our customers, which could have an adverse effect on our sales of products requiring butadiene.

The North American market is structurally short of butadiene and has relied on imports of crude C4 and/or butadiene to balance demand. With the trend toward lighter ethylene cracker feedslates in the United States, there has been a reduction in the supply of crude C4. The North American market has been supplemented by imports of crude C4 and butadiene. Historically, the European market has been better balanced and provided exports to North America.

Currently, our butadiene requirements in the United States are satisfied by several suppliers, and LyondellBasell is our major butadiene supplier in Europe. In general, the quantity of butadiene available in any one region is dependent on the cracking inputs of olefins plants, ethylene demand, inter-regional demand for butadiene and demand for other oil derivatives. Suppliers may not be able to meet our butadiene requirements, and we may not be able to obtain substitute supplies of butadiene from alternative suppliers in a timely manner or on favorable terms. A lack of availability of butadiene in the quantities we require to produce products containing butadiene could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

If the availability of styrene is limited, we may be unable to produce some of our products in quantities or on economic terms sought by our customers, which could have an adverse effect on facility utilization and our sales of products requiring styrene.

We satisfy our styrene requirements in the United States and Europe pursuant to purchase agreements with terms of one to two years, subject to renewal conditions. We have more than one supplier in each of these regions and also generally have alternatives for either modifying the contract, supply portfolio or obtaining spot supply. As contracts expire, we cannot give assurances that we will obtain new long-term supply agreements or that the terms of any such agreements will be on terms favorable to us, and consequently our future acquisition costs for styrene may therefore increase.

Increases in the costs of our raw materials could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations if those costs cannot be passed onto our customers.

Our results of operations are directly affected by the cost of raw materials. We use butadiene, styrene, and isoprene as our primary raw materials in manufacturing our products. On a first-in, first-out (FIFO) basis, these monomers together represented approximately \$512.8 million, \$609.5 million and \$732.9 million or 51.6%, 57.2% and 61.5% of our total cost of goods sold for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Since the cost of our three primary raw materials comprise a significant amount of our total cost of goods sold, our selling prices for our

products and therefore our total revenue is impacted by movements in our raw material costs, as well as the cost of other inputs. In the past we have experienced erratic and significant changes in the costs of these monomers, the cost of which has generally correlated with changes in energy prices, supply and demand factors, and prices for natural and synthetic rubber. The pricing for butadiene has historically been particularly volatile. Political unrest in the Middle East and market dislocation resulting from U.S. sanctions relating thereto could lead to increases in the price of crude oil, and, as a result, in the price of our primary raw materials. In addition, product mix can have an impact on our overall unit selling prices, since we provide an extensive product offering and therefore experience a wide range of unit selling prices. Because of the significant portion of our cost of goods sold represented by these three monomers, our gross profit margins could be adversely affected by changes in the cost of these raw materials if we are unable to pass the increases on to our customers.

In response to volatile raw material price increases, we have aggressively pursued price increases for our products to offset increased costs. Although we have been successful in recovering a substantial amount of the raw material cost increases while retaining customers, there can be no assurance that we can continue to recover raw material costs or retain customers in the future. As a result of our pricing actions, customers may become more likely to consider competitors' products, some of which may be available at a lower cost. Significant loss of customers could result in a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Significant fluctuations in raw material costs may result in volatility in our quarterly operating results and impact the market price of our common stock.

We use the FIFO basis of accounting for inventory and cost of goods sold, and therefore gross profit. In periods of raw material price volatility, reported results under FIFO will differ from what the results would have been if cost of goods sold were based on estimated current replacement cost (ECRC). Specifically, in periods of declining raw material costs, reported gross profit will be lower under FIFO than under ECRC, and in periods of rising raw material costs, gross profit will be higher under FIFO than under ECRC. However, because monomer costs are difficult to predict, we cannot accurately anticipate fluctuations in monomer costs with precision, or effectively or economically hedge against the effects of any such change. If monomer costs fluctuate in a quarter, our earnings will be affected, the magnitude of which could be significant, which could cause our earnings to depart from the periodic expectations of financial analysts or investors and, therefore, the market price of our common stock may be volatile as a result.

Our industry is highly competitive, and we may lose market share to other producers of styrenic block copolymers or to producers of other products that can be substituted for our products.

Our industry is highly competitive, and we face significant competition from both large international producers and from smaller regional competitors. Our competitors may improve their competitive position in our core markets by successfully introducing new products, improving their manufacturing processes, or expanding their capacity or manufacturing facilities. Further, some of our competitors benefit from advantageous cost positions that could make it increasingly difficult for us to compete in markets for less-differentiated applications. If we are unable to keep pace with our competitors' product and manufacturing process innovations or cost position, our financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

In addition, competition between styrenic block copolymers and other products within various product applications in which we compete is intense. Increased competition from existing or newly developed SBC or non-SBC products may reduce demand for our products in the future and our customers may decide on alternate sources to meet their requirements. If we are unable to successfully compete with other producers of styrenic block copolymers or if other products can be successfully substituted for our products, our sales may decline.

If we are not able to continue the technological innovation and successful commercial introduction of new products, our customers may turn to other producers to meet their requirements.

Our industry and the markets into which we sell our products experience periodic technological change and ongoing product improvements. In addition, our customers may introduce new generations of their own products or require new technological and increased performance specifications that would require us to develop customized products. Innovation or other changes in our customers' product performance requirements may also adversely affect the demand for our products. Our future growth and profitability will depend on our ability to gauge the direction of the commercial and technological progress in all key markets, and upon our ability to successfully develop, manufacture and sell products in such changing markets. In order to maintain our profit margins and our competitive position, we must continue to identify, develop and market innovative products on a timely basis to replace existing products. We may not be successful in developing new products and technology that successfully compete with newly introduced products and materials, and our customers may not accept, or may have lower demand for, any of our new products. Further, an important part of our strategy is the creation of demand for innovations that we develop and introduce to the markets. If we fail to keep pace with evolving technological innovations, fail to modify our products in response to our customers' needs or fail to develop innovations that generate additional demand, then our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected as a result of reduced sales of our products or diminished return on investment in innovations.

Our business relies on intellectual property and other proprietary information, and our failure to protect our rights could harm our competitive advantages with respect to the manufacturing of some of our products.

Our success depends, to a significant degree, upon our ability to protect and preserve our intellectual property and other proprietary information relating to our business. However, we may be unable to prevent third parties from using our intellectual property and other proprietary information without our authorization or from independently developing intellectual property and other proprietary information that is similar to ours, particularly in those countries where the laws do not protect our proprietary rights to the same degree as in the United States. The use of our intellectual property and other proprietary

information by others could reduce or eliminate any competitive advantage we have developed, potentially causing us to lose sales or otherwise harm our business. If it becomes necessary for us to litigate to protect these rights, any proceedings could be burdensome and costly, and we may not prevail.

In addition, we acquired a significant number of patents from Shell Chemicals. According to the agreements with Shell Chemicals relating to their contribution of these patents to us and our ownership of these patents, Shell Chemicals retained for itself fully-transferable and exclusive licenses to their use outside of the elastomers business, as well as fully-transferable non-exclusive licenses within the field of elastomers for certain limited uses in non-competing activities. Shell Chemicals is permitted to sublicense these rights. Shell Chemicals also retains the right to enforce these patents outside the elastomers field and recover any damages resulting from these actions. Our patent applications and issued patents may not provide us with any competitive advantage and may be challenged by third parties. Our competitors may also attempt to design around our patents or copy or otherwise obtain and use our intellectual property and other proprietary information. Moreover, our competitors may already hold or have applied for patents in the United States or abroad that, if enforced or issued, could possibly prevail over our patent rights or otherwise limit our ability to manufacture or sell one or more of our products in the United States or abroad. With respect to our pending patent applications, we may not be successful in securing patents for these claims. Our failure to secure these patents may limit our ability to protect inventions that these applications were intended to cover. In addition, the expiration of a patent can result in increased competition with consequent erosion of profit margins.

It is our policy to enter into confidentiality agreements with our employees and third parties to protect our unpatented proprietary manufacturing expertise, continuing technological innovation and other trade secrets, but our confidentiality agreements could be breached or may not provide meaningful protection for our trade secrets or proprietary manufacturing expertise. Adequate remedies may not be available in the event of an unauthorized use or disclosure of our trade secrets and manufacturing expertise. Violations by others of our confidentiality agreements and the loss of employees who have specialized knowledge and expertise could harm our competitive position and cause our sales and operating results to decline as a result of increased competition. In addition, others may obtain knowledge of our trade secrets through independent development or other access by legal means.

The applicable governmental authorities may not approve our pending service mark and trademark applications. A failure to obtain trademark registrations in the United States and in other countries could limit our ability to obtain and retain our trademarks and impede our marketing efforts in those jurisdictions. Moreover, third parties may seek to oppose our applications or otherwise challenge the resulting registrations. In the event that our trademarks are successfully challenged, we could be forced to rebrand our products, which could result in loss of brand recognition and could require us to devote resources to advertising and marketing new brands.

The failure of our patents, trademarks or confidentiality agreements to protect our intellectual property and other proprietary information, including our processes, apparatuses, technology, trade secrets, trade names and proprietary manufacturing expertise, methods and compounds, could have a material adverse effect on our competitive advantages over other producers.

Our products may infringe on the intellectual property rights of others, which may cause us to incur unexpected costs or prevent us from selling our products.

Many of our competitors have a substantial amount of intellectual property. We cannot guarantee that our processes and products do not and will not infringe issued patents (whether present or future) or other intellectual property rights belonging to others, including, without limitation, situations in which our products, processes or technologies may be covered by patent applications filed by other parties in the United States or abroad.

From time to time, we oppose patent applications that we consider overbroad or otherwise invalid in order to maintain the necessary freedom to operate fully in our various business lines without the risk of being sued for patent infringement. If, however, patents are subsequently issued on any such applications by other parties, or if patents belonging to others already exist that cover our products, processes or technologies, we could be liable for infringement or have to take other remedial or curative actions to continue our manufacturing and sales activities with respect to one or more products.

We may also be subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of our business, including claims of alleged infringement of the patents, trademarks and other intellectual property rights of third parties by us or our

licensees in connection with their use of our products. Intellectual property litigation is expensive and time-consuming, regardless of the merits of any claim, and could divert our management's attention from operating our business.

If we were to discover that our processes, technologies or products infringe the valid intellectual property rights of others, we might need to obtain licenses from these parties or substantially re-engineer our products in order to avoid infringement. We may not be able to obtain the necessary licenses on acceptable terms, or at all, or be able to re-engineer our products successfully. Moreover, if we are sued for infringement and lose, we could be required to pay substantial damages

and/or be enjoined from using or selling the infringing products or technology. If we incur significant costs to litigate our intellectual property rights or to obtain licenses, or if our inability to obtain required licenses for our processes, technologies or products prevents us from selling our products, our business and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

A major failure of our information systems could harm our business.

We depend on integrated information systems to conduct our business. We may experience operating problems with our information systems as a result of system failures, viruses, computer “hackers” or other causes. If our systems for protecting against these risks prove not to be sufficient, we could be adversely affected by, among other things, loss or damage of intellectual property, proprietary information, or customer data, having our business operations interrupted, and increased costs to prevent, respond to, or mitigate attacks on our systems. Any significant disruption or slowdown of our systems could cause customers to cancel orders or cause standard business processes to become inefficient or ineffective, which could adversely affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Our business is subject to seasonality that may affect our quarterly operating results and impact the market price of our common stock.

Seasonal changes and weather conditions typically affect our sales for paving and roofing applications. In particular, sales volumes for paving products generally rise in the warmer months and generally decline during the colder months of fall and winter. Roofing product sales volumes tend to be more consistent throughout the year. In addition, abnormally cold or wet seasons may cause reduced purchases from our Paving and Roofing customers. However, because seasonal weather patterns are difficult to predict, we cannot accurately estimate fluctuations in our quarterly Paving and Roofing sales in any given year. If Paving and Roofing results cause our operating results to fall below the periodic expectations of financial analysts or investors, the market price of our common stock may decline.

Substantial indebtedness could adversely affect our financial condition and prevent us from fulfilling our obligations under the senior secured credit facilities and the senior notes.

As of December 31, 2014, we had \$350.0 million principal amount of indebtedness outstanding in the form of senior unsecured notes. Additionally, we have entered into an asset-based revolving credit facility consisting of a \$150.0 million U.S. senior secured revolving credit facility and a \$100.0 million Dutch senior secured revolving credit facility. As of December 31, 2014, the facilities were undrawn, and available borrowing capacity was \$191.6 million. We may request up to an aggregate of \$100.0 million of additional revolving facility commitments of which up to an aggregate of \$40.0 million may be additional Dutch revolving facility commitments, provided that we satisfy additional conditions described in the senior secured credit facilities, and provided further that the U.S. revolver commitment is at least 60% of the commitments after giving effect to such increase. Furthermore, our KFPC joint venture executed a syndicated loan agreement in the amount of 5.5 billion NTD, or \$173.1 million (converted at the December 31, 2014 exchange rate), to provide additional funding to construct the HSBC facility in Taiwan and to provide funding for working capital requirements and/or general corporate purposes. Formosa Petrochemical Corporation and Kraton Polymers LLC are the guarantors of the KFPC Loan Agreement with each guarantor guaranteeing fifty percent (50%) of the indebtedness.

Although the terms of our senior secured credit facilities, the indenture governing the senior notes and the KFPC Loan Agreement contain restrictions on the incurrence of additional indebtedness, these restrictions are subject to a number of important exceptions, and additional indebtedness that we may incur from time to time to finance projects or for other reasons in compliance with these restrictions could be substantial. If we and our restricted subsidiaries incur significant additional indebtedness, the related risks that we face could increase.

Our indebtedness could:

- make it more difficult for us to satisfy our financial obligations;
- increase our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions;
 - increase the risk that we breach financial covenants and other restrictions in our debt agreements, which can be exacerbated by volatility in the cost of our monomers and the resulting impact on our earnings;
- require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to make payments on our indebtedness, thereby reducing the availability of our cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures and other general corporate purposes;
- limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in the business and industry in which we operate;

restrict us from exploiting business opportunities;
place us at a disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt and lease obligations; and

18

limit our ability to borrow additional funds for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, debt service requirements, execution of our business strategy and other general corporate purposes or to refinance our existing debt.

Our ability to pay principal of and interest on indebtedness, fund working capital and make anticipated capital expenditures depends on our future performance, which is subject to general economic conditions and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. There can be no assurance that our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations or that future borrowings will be available under the senior secured credit facilities to fund liquidity needs, including debt service. Furthermore, if we decide to undertake additional investments in existing or new facilities, this will likely require additional capital, and there can be no assurance that this capital will be available. Our debt instruments, including our senior secured credit facilities and the indenture governing our senior notes, impose significant operating and financial restrictions on us and affect our ability to access liquidity.

Our senior secured credit facilities and the indenture governing our senior notes contain, and any future indebtedness may contain, a number of restrictive covenants that impose significant operating and financial restrictions on us. Under the terms of our senior secured credit facilities, we are subject to a financial covenant requiring us to maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.0 to 1.0 if availability under the facilities is below specified amounts. In addition, our senior secured credit facilities and indenture include restrictions on our ability to, in certain circumstances, among other things:

- place liens on our or our subsidiaries' assets;
- make investments other than permitted investments;
- incur additional indebtedness;
- merge, consolidate or dissolve;
- sell assets;
- engage in transactions with affiliates;
- change the nature of our business;
- change our or our subsidiaries' fiscal year or organizational documents; and
- make restricted payments (including certain equity issuances).

A failure by us or our subsidiaries to comply with the covenants and restrictions contained in the agreements governing our indebtedness could result in an event of default under such indebtedness, which could adversely affect our ability to respond to changes in our business and manage our operations. Upon the occurrence of an event of default under any of the agreements governing our indebtedness, the lenders could elect to declare all amounts outstanding to be due and payable and exercise other remedies as set forth in the agreements. Further, an event of default or acceleration of indebtedness under one instrument may constitute an event of default under another instrument. If any of our indebtedness were to be accelerated, there can be no assurance that our assets would be sufficient to repay this indebtedness in full, which could have a material adverse effect on our ability to continue to operate as a going concern.

Chemical manufacturing is inherently hazardous, which could result in accidents that disrupt our operations or expose us to significant losses or liabilities.

Hazards associated with chemical manufacturing and the related storage and transportation of raw materials, products and wastes exist in our operations and the operations of other occupants with whom we share manufacturing sites. These hazards could lead to an interruption or suspension of operations and have an adverse effect on the productivity and profitability of a particular manufacturing facility or on us as a whole. These potential risks include, but are not necessarily limited to:

- pipeline and storage tank leaks and ruptures;
- explosions and fires;
- inclement weather and natural disasters;
- terrorist attacks;
- mechanical failure; and
- chemical spills and other discharges or releases of toxic or hazardous substances or gases.

These hazards may result in personal injury and loss of life, damage to property and contamination of the environment, which may result in a suspension of operations and the imposition of civil or criminal penalties,

including governmental fines, expenses for remediation and claims brought by governmental entities or third parties.
The loss or

19

shutdown of operations over an extended period at our Belpre facility, which is our largest manufacturing facility, or any of our other major operating facilities could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Our property, business interruption and casualty insurance may not fully insure us against all potential hazards incidental to our business.

We may be liable for damages based on product liability claims brought against our customers.

Many of our products provide critical performance attributes to our customers' products that are sold to consumers who could potentially bring product liability suits in which we could be named as a defendant. The sale of these products entails the risk of product liability claims. If a person were to bring a product liability suit against one of our customers, the customer may attempt to seek contribution from us. A person may also bring a product liability claim directly against us. A successful product liability claim or series of claims against us in excess of our insurance coverage, for which we are not otherwise indemnified, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. There can be no assurance that our efforts to protect ourselves from product liability claims in this regard will ultimately protect us from any such claims.

As a global business, we are exposed to local business risks in different countries, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

We have significant operations in foreign countries, including manufacturing facilities, research and development facilities, sales personnel and customer support operations. Currently, we operate, or others operate on our behalf, facilities in Brazil, Germany, France and Japan, in addition to our operations in the United States. Furthermore, we are a 50/50 joint venture partner with FPCC to build, own and operate a 30 kiloton HSBC plant at FPCC's petrochemical site in Mailiao, Taiwan.

Our foreign operations are subject to risks inherent in doing business in foreign countries, including, but not necessarily limited to:

- new and different legal and regulatory requirements in local jurisdictions;
- export duties or import quotas;
- domestic and foreign customs and tariffs or other trade barriers;
- potential staffing difficulties and labor disputes;
- risk of non-compliance with the United States Foreign Corrupt Practices Act or similar anti-bribery legislation in other countries by agents or other third-party representatives;
- managing and obtaining support and distribution for local operations;
- increased costs of transportation or shipping;
- credit risk and financial conditions of local customers and distributors;
- potential difficulties in protecting intellectual property;
- risk of nationalization of private enterprises by foreign governments;
- potential imposition of restrictions on investments;
- potentially adverse tax consequences, including imposition or increase of withholding and other taxes on remittances and other payments by subsidiaries;
- foreign currency exchange restrictions and fluctuations;
- local political and social conditions, including the possibility of hyperinflationary conditions and political instability in certain countries; and
- civil unrest, including labor unrest, in response to local political conditions.

We may not be successful in developing and implementing policies and strategies to address the foregoing risks in a timely and effective manner at each location where we do business. Consequently, the occurrence of one or more of the foregoing risks could have a material adverse effect on our international operations or upon our financial condition and results of operations.

Compliance with extensive environmental, health and safety laws could require material expenditures, changes in our operations or site remediation.

Materials such as butadiene, styrene and isoprene, which are used in the manufacture of our products, can represent potentially significant health and safety concerns. Our products are also used in a variety of applications that have specific regulatory requirements such as those relating to products that have contact with food or are used for medical applications.

We use large quantities of hazardous substances and generate hazardous wastes in our manufacturing operations. Consequently, our operations are subject to extensive environmental, health and safety laws and regulations at the international, national, state and local level in multiple jurisdictions. These laws and regulations govern, among other things, air emissions, wastewater discharges, solid and hazardous waste management, site remediation programs and chemical use and management. Many of these laws and regulations have become more stringent over time and the costs of compliance with these requirements may increase, including costs associated with any necessary capital investments. In addition, our production facilities require operating permits that are subject to renewal and, in some circumstances, revocation. The necessary permits may not be issued or continue in effect, and renewals of any issued permits may contain significant new requirements or restrictions. The nature of the chemical industry exposes us to risks of liability due to the use, production, management, storage, transportation and sale of materials that are heavily regulated or hazardous and can cause contamination or personal injury or damage if released into the environment. Because of the nature of our operations, we could be subject to legislation and regulation affecting the emission of greenhouse gases. In the last five years, the EPA promulgated regulations applicable to projects involving greenhouse gas emissions above a certain threshold, and the U.S. and certain states within the U.S. have enacted, or are considering, limitations on greenhouse gas emissions. Jurisdictions outside the U.S. are also addressing greenhouse gases by legislation or regulation. In addition, efforts have been made and continue to be made at the international level toward the adoption of international treaties or protocols that would address global greenhouse gas emissions. These requirements to limit greenhouse gas emissions may require us to incur capital investments to upgrade our operations to comply with any future greenhouse gas emissions controls. While the impact of any such legislation, regulation, treaties or protocols is currently speculative, any such legislation, regulation, treaties or protocols, if enacted, may have an adverse effect on our operations or financial condition. Further, some scientific studies on the effect of the emission of greenhouse gases on climate suggest that adverse weather events may become stronger or more frequent in the future in certain of the areas in which we operate, although the scientific studies are not unanimous. Due to their location, some of our operations may be vulnerable to operational and structural damages resulting from hurricanes and other severe weather systems. Our insurance may not cover all associated losses. We are taking steps to mitigate physical risks from storms, but no assurance can be given that future storms will not have a material adverse effect on our business.

Compliance with environmental laws and regulations generally increases the costs of transportation and storage of raw materials and finished products, as well as the costs of storage and disposal of wastes. We may incur substantial costs, including fines, damages, criminal or civil sanctions and remediation costs, or experience interruptions in our operations for violations arising under environmental laws, regulations or permit requirements.

Regulation of our employees' exposure to butadiene could require material expenditures or changes in our operations. Butadiene is a known carcinogen in laboratory animals at high doses and is being studied for its potential adverse health effects. The Occupational Safety and Health Administration limits the permissible employee exposure to butadiene. Future studies on the health effects of butadiene may result in additional regulations or new regulations in Europe that further restrict or prohibit the use of, and exposure to, butadiene. Additional regulation of butadiene could require us to change our operations, and these changes could affect the quality of our products and materially increase our costs.

We may be subject to losses due to lawsuits arising out of environmental damage or personal injuries associated with chemical manufacturing.

We face the risk that individuals could, in the future, seek damages for personal injury due to exposure to chemicals at our facilities or to chemicals otherwise owned or controlled by us. We may be subject to future claims with respect to workplace exposure, workers' compensation and other matters that are filed after the date of our acquisition of Shell Chemicals' elastomers business. While Shell Chemicals has agreed to indemnify us for certain claims brought with respect to matters occurring before our separation from Shell Chemicals in February 2001, those indemnity obligations are subject to limitations, and we cannot be certain that those indemnities will be sufficient to satisfy claims against us. In addition, we face the risk that future claims would fall outside of the scope of the indemnity due either to the limitations on the indemnity or to their arising from events and circumstances occurring after February 2001. Finally, under certain of the lease and operating agreements under which LyondellBasell leases and provides services to our sites in Wesseling, Germany, and Berre, France, we are required to indemnify LyondellBasell in

certain circumstances, including in certain circumstances for loss and damages resulting from LyondellBasell's negligence in performing their obligations.

Some environmental laws could impose on us the entire cost of clean-up of contamination present at a facility even though we did not cause the contamination. These laws often identify the site owner as one of the parties that can be jointly and severally liable for on-site remediation, regardless of fault or whether the original activity was legal at the time it occurred. For example, our Belpre, Ohio, facility is the subject of a required remediation program to clean up past contamination at the site and at an adjacent creek and we are a party to that site clean-up order. While Shell Chemicals has posted financial assurance of

\$5.2 million for this program and has taken the lead in implementing the program, we may incur costs and be required to take action under this program. Similarly, the Shell Chemicals indemnity for remediation at the Belpre facility may not cover all claims that might be brought against us.

Our Paulinia, Brazil, facility also has on-site contamination resulting from past operations of Shell Chemicals. Although an indemnity from Shell Chemicals covers claims related to specified areas within the facility, we may be required to undertake and pay for remediation of these and other areas. The indemnity coverage from Shell Chemicals is limited in time and amount and we cannot rely upon it to cover possible future claims for on-site contamination separate from the areas specified in the indemnity. The Paulinia facility is also adjacent to a former Shell Chemicals site where we believe past manufacturing of hydrocarbons resulted in significant contamination of soil and groundwater and required relocation of nearby residents. It is our understanding that the Shell Chemicals portion of the site has changed ownership several times, which may impact financial responsibility for contamination on the site. While we are not aware of any significant contamination at our Paulinia facility, we could potentially be the subject of claims related to pesticide contamination and effects at some point in the future.

In general, there is always the possibility that a third-party plaintiff or claimant, or governmental or regulatory authority, could seek to include us in an action or claim for damages, clean-up, or remediation pertaining to events or circumstances occurring or existing at one or more of our sites prior to the time of our ownership or occupation of the applicable site. In the event that any of these actions or claims were asserted against us, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

We are subject to litigation arising from the termination of the Combination Agreement with LCY Chemical Corp. On October 6, 2014, we and two of our subsidiaries, Kraton Performance Polymers Limited and NY MergerCo, LLC, were named as defendants in a lawsuit filed by LCY Chemical Corp. and its subsidiary, LCY Synthetic Rubber Corp. (together, the "LCY Parties"), in connection with the previously announced termination of the Combination Agreement. The lawsuit alleges breach of contract by Kraton and seeks payment of the \$25 million termination fee, along with awards of unspecified compensatory, expectancy and consequential damages. If this lawsuit is ultimately decided against us, we may be required to pay a significant amount of money to the LCY parties.

Regulatory and statutory changes applicable to us or our customers could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We and many of the applications for the products in the markets in which we sell our products are regulated by various national and local rules, laws and regulations. Changes in any of these areas could result in additional compliance costs, seizures, confiscations, recall or monetary fines, any of which could prevent or inhibit the development, distribution and sale of our products. For example, changes in environmental regulations restricting the use of disposable diapers could cause a decline in sales to producers of that product. In addition, we benefit from certain trade protections, including anti-dumping protection. If we were to lose these protections, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

We are subject to customs, international trade, export control, antitrust, zoning and occupancy and labor and employment laws that could require us to modify our current business practices and incur increased costs.

We are subject to numerous regulations, including customs and international trade laws, export control, antitrust laws and zoning and occupancy laws that regulate manufacturers generally and/or govern the importation, promotion and sale of our products, the operation of factories and warehouse facilities and our relationship with our customers, suppliers and competitors. If these regulations were to change or were violated by our management, employees, suppliers, buying agents or trading companies, the costs of certain goods could increase, or we could experience delays in shipments of our goods, be subject to fines or penalties, or suffer reputational harm, which could reduce demand for our products and hurt our business and negatively impact our results of operations. In addition, changes in federal and state minimum wage laws and other laws relating to employee benefits could cause us to incur additional wage and benefits costs, which could negatively impact our profitability.

Legal requirements are frequently changed and subject to interpretation, and we are unable to predict the ultimate cost of compliance with these requirements or their effects on our operations. We may be required to make significant expenditures or modify our business practices to comply with existing or future laws and regulations, which may increase our costs and materially limit our ability to operate our business.

Fluctuations in currency exchange rates may significantly impact our results of operations and may significantly affect the comparability of our results between financial periods.

Our operations are conducted by our subsidiaries in many countries. The results of the operations and the financial position of these subsidiaries are reported in the relevant foreign currencies and then translated into U.S. dollars at the applicable exchange rates for inclusion in our consolidated financial statements. The main currencies to which we are exposed,

besides the U.S. dollar, are the Euro, Japanese Yen and Brazilian Real. The exchange rates between these currencies and the U.S. dollar in recent years have fluctuated significantly and may continue to do so in the future. A depreciation of these currencies against the U.S. dollar will decrease the U.S. dollar equivalent of the amounts derived from these operations reported in our consolidated financial statements and an appreciation of these currencies will result in a corresponding increase in such amounts. Because many of our raw material costs are determined with respect to the U.S. dollar rather than these currencies, depreciation of these currencies may have an adverse effect on our profit margins or our reported results of operations. Conversely, to the extent that we are required to pay for goods or services in foreign currencies, the appreciation of such currencies against the U.S. dollar will tend to negatively impact our results of operations. In addition, currency fluctuations may affect the comparability of our results of operations between financial periods.

We incur currency transaction risk whenever we enter into either a purchase or sale transaction using a currency other than the local currency of the transacting entity. From time to time, we use hedging strategies to reduce our exposure to currency fluctuations. Given the volatility of exchange rates, there can be no assurance that we will be able to effectively manage our currency transaction risks, that our hedging activities will be effective or that any volatility in currency exchange rates will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. We may have additional tax liabilities.

We are subject to income taxes and state taxes in the U.S., as well as numerous foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgment is required in determining our worldwide provision for income taxes. In the ordinary course of our business, there are many transactions and calculations where the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. Although we believe our tax estimates are reasonable, the final determination of tax audits and any related litigation could be materially different to that which is reflected in our consolidated financial statements. Should any tax authority take issue with our estimates, our results of operations, financial position and cash flows could be adversely affected.

Our formation of a joint venture to expand HSBC capacity in Asia is subject to risks and uncertainties.

We are a 50/50 joint venture partner with FPCC to build, own and operate a 30 kiloton HSBC plant at FPCC's petrochemical site in Mailiao, Taiwan. Construction of the HSBC plant is ongoing; however, the plant may not be successfully constructed and operated within our expected timeframe or budget or yield expected results. In addition, the project remains subject to numerous known and unknown contingencies, including material governmental approvals and permitting; cost and availability of raw materials, labor and financing; weather and operational delays; and economic, political and other disruptions. If any of these risks materialize, our prospects in Asia and as a result, our ability to meet demand for HSBC products could be materially adversely affected.

In January 2014, a group of local residents in Mailiao, Taiwan, sued the Taiwanese Executive Yuan (the executive branch of the Taiwanese government) to overturn an appeal decision rendered by the Executive Yuan in which it had overturned a prior ruling of the Taiwan Environmental Protection Administration. The Taiwan EPA ruling in question required the inclusion of restrictive conditions relating to FPCC's entire petrochemical site in Mailiao, Taiwan, which is the site of our joint venture with FPCC, in the environmental permit for the construction of the HSBC plant by our joint venture company. Neither we nor our joint venture is a party to the proceedings, nor do we or our joint venture have any right under Taiwan law to join the proceedings. The court in the proceeding has issued a ruling that could reinstate the restrictive conditions in FPCC's environmental permit. The Executive Yuan and FPCC have appealed the ruling. The ruling conflicts with the prior appeal decision of the Executive Yuan, and if the ruling is not overturned, it could adversely impact the ability of the joint venture to obtain material operating permits in the future.

Our relationship with our employees could deteriorate, which could adversely affect our operations.

As a manufacturing company, we rely on our employees and good relations with our employees to produce our products and maintain our production processes and productivity. We had 934 full-time employees as of December 31, 2014. A significant number of our non-U.S. employees are subject to arrangements similar to collective bargaining arrangements. With respect to these employees, we may not be able to negotiate labor agreements on satisfactory terms, and actions by our employees may disrupt our business. If these workers were to engage in a strike, work stoppage or other slowdown, our operations could be disrupted or we could experience higher labor costs. In addition, if our other employees were to become unionized, in particular our employees at our Belpre, Ohio, facility, we could experience significant operating disruptions and higher ongoing labor costs, which could adversely affect our business and financial condition and results of operations. Because many of the personnel who operate our

European facilities are employees of LyondellBasell, relations between LyondellBasell and its employees may also adversely affect our business and financial condition and results of operations.

Loss of key personnel or our inability to attract and retain new qualified personnel could hurt our business and inhibit our ability to operate and grow successfully.

Our success in the highly competitive markets in which we operate will continue to depend to a significant extent on our key employees. We are dependent on the expertise of our executive officers. Loss of the services of any of our executive

officers could have an adverse effect on our prospects. We may not be able to retain our key employees or to recruit qualified individuals to join our company. The loss of key employees could result in high transition costs and could disrupt our operations.

We generally do not have long-term contracts with our customers and the loss of customers could adversely affect our sales and profitability.

With some exceptions, our business is based primarily upon individual sales orders with our customers. As such, our customers could cease buying our products from us at any time, for any reason, with little or no recourse. If multiple customers elected not to purchase products from us, our business prospects, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

A decrease in the fair value of pension assets could materially increase future funding requirements of the pension plan.

We sponsor a defined benefit pension plan. The total projected benefit obligation of our defined benefit pension plan exceeded the fair value of the plan assets by approximately \$54.1 million at December 31, 2014. We contributed \$7.2 million to the pension plan in 2014. Among the key assumptions inherent in the actuarially calculated pension plan obligation and pension plan expense are the discount rate and the expected rate of return on plan assets. If discount rates or actual rates of return on invested plan assets were to decrease, the pension plan obligation could increase materially. The size of future required pension contributions could result in our dedicating a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to making the contributions, which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Domestic or international natural disasters or terrorist attacks may disrupt our operations, decrease the demand for our products or otherwise have an adverse impact on our business.

Chemical related assets, and U.S. corporations such as ours, may be at greater risk of future terrorist attacks than other possible targets in the U.S. and throughout the world. Moreover, extraordinary events such as natural disasters may negatively affect local economies, including those of our customers or suppliers. The occurrence of such events cannot be predicted, although they can be expected to continue to adversely impact the economy in general and our specific markets. The resulting damage from such an event could include loss of life, property damage or site closure. Any, or a combination, of these factors could adversely impact our results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

Delaware law and some provisions of our organizational documents make a takeover of our company more difficult. Provisions of our charter and bylaws may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of our company. A change of control could be proposed in the form of a tender offer or takeover proposal that might result in a premium over the market price for our common stock. In addition, these provisions could make it more difficult to bring about a change in the composition of our board of directors, which could result in entrenchment of current management. For example, our charter and bylaws:

- establish a classified board of directors so that not all members of our board of directors are elected at one time;
- require that the number of directors be determined, and provide that any vacancy or new board seat may be filled, only by the board;
- do not permit stockholders to act by written consent;
- do not permit stockholders to call a special meeting;
- permit the bylaws to be amended by a majority of the board without shareholder approval, and require that a bylaw amendment proposed by stockholders be approved by two-thirds of all outstanding shares;
- establish advance notice requirements for nominations for elections to our board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted upon by stockholders at stockholder meetings; and
- authorize the issuance of undesignated preferred stock, or “blank check” preferred stock, by our board of directors without shareholder approval.

Our Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. Executive Severance Program and the equity arrangements with our executive officers also contain change in control provisions. Under the terms of these arrangements, the executive officers are entitled to receive significant cash payments, immediate vesting of options, restricted shares and notional shares, and continued medical benefits in the event their employment is terminated under certain circumstances within one year following a change in control, and with respect to certain equity awards, within two years following a change in

control.

24

Any Supplemental Pension Benefits a participant may have accrued under the Kraton Polymers U.S. LLC Pension Benefit Restoration Plan also vests immediately on a change of control and any amounts accrued under the Kraton Polymers LLC Executive Deferred Compensation Plan are immediately payable upon a change of control. We disclose in proxy statements filed with the SEC potential payments to our named executive officers in connection with a change of control. Further, certain change of control transactions constitute an event of default under our credit facility and require us to repurchase our outstanding senior notes at a price equal to 101% of their principal amount, plus any accrued and unpaid interest.

These arrangements and provisions of our organizational documents and Delaware law may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing changes of control or changes in management of our company, even if such transactions or changes would have significant benefits for our stockholders. As a result, these provisions could limit the price some investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

We do not currently pay dividends and may not pay any dividends for the foreseeable future.

We do not currently pay dividends, and we may not pay dividends to our stockholders for the foreseeable future. The senior secured credit facilities and our senior notes indenture limit our ability to pay cash dividends and may preclude us from paying cash dividends, and we may be subject to other restrictions on our ability to pay dividends from time to time. In addition, because we are a holding company, our ability to pay dividends depends on our receipt of cash dividends and distributions from our subsidiaries. Accordingly, investors must be prepared to rely on sales of their common stock after price appreciation to earn an investment return, which may never occur. Investors seeking cash dividends should not purchase our common stock. Any determination to pay dividends in the future will be made at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon our results of operations, financial conditions, contractual restrictions, restrictions imposed by applicable law or the SEC and other factors our board deems relevant. We are a holding company with nominal net worth and will depend on dividends and distributions from our subsidiaries to pay any dividends.

Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. is a holding company with nominal net worth. We do not have any assets or conduct any business operations other than our investments in our subsidiaries, including Kraton Polymers LLC. As a result, our ability to pay dividends, if any, will be dependent upon cash dividends and distributions or other transfers from our subsidiaries. Payments to us by our subsidiaries will be contingent upon their respective earnings and subject to any limitations on the ability of such entities to make payments or other distributions to us. In addition, our subsidiaries are separate and distinct legal entities and have no obligation to make any funds available to us.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties.

Our principal executive offices are located at 15710 John F. Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 300, Houston, Texas 77032. We believe that our properties and equipment are generally in good operating condition and are adequate for our present needs. Production capacity at our sites can vary greatly depending upon feedstock, product mix and operating conditions.

Our properties consist primarily of manufacturing and research and development facilities for the production of specialty chemicals. The following table sets forth our principal facilities:

Location	Acres	Approximate Square Footage	Use	Owned/Leased	
Belpre, Ohio	350	3,600,000	Manufacturing and R&D	Owned	(1)
Wesseling, Germany	8.1	354,000	Manufacturing	Owned	(2)
Berre, France	9.0	392,000	Manufacturing	Owned	(2)
Paulinia, Brazil	179	2,220,000	Manufacturing	Owned	
Kashima, Japan	11.6	395,000	Manufacturing	Owned	(3)
Mailiao, Taiwan	41.4	1,800,000	Manufacturing	Leased	(4)
Houston, Texas	N/A	105,500	R&D	Leased	(5)
Shanghai, China	N/A	33,000	R&D	Leased	(5)
Amsterdam, Netherlands	N/A	32,015	R&D	Leased	(5)
Tsukuba, Japan	4.5	23,327	R&D	Leased	(5)

(1) A portion of the HSBC capacity at the Belpre facility is owned by Infineum USA, a joint venture between Shell Chemicals and ExxonMobil.

(2) We lease the land, but own the manufacturing facility and production equipment.

(3) The Kashima, Japan, facility is owned by our 50%-50% joint venture with JSR.

(4) The Mailiao, Taiwan facility is under construction by our 50%-50% KFPC joint venture with FPCC. The joint venture leases the land, but owns the manufacturing facility and production equipment.

(5) We lease the facility, but own the equipment.

Belpre, Ohio. Our Belpre site is our largest manufacturing facility, with connections to barge, rail and truck shipping and receiving facilities. The Belpre facility has approximately 192 kilotons of production capacity to which we are entitled. The Belpre facility currently produces Performance Products, Specialty Polymers, and Cariflex™ products.

A portion of the HSBC capacity at Belpre is owned by Infineum USA. Infineum is a joint venture between Shell Chemicals and ExxonMobil that makes products for the lubricant additives business. Under a facility sharing agreement that terminates in 2030, we operate Infineum's share of the HSBC assets to manufacture a line of products for Infineum, and Infineum is entitled to a portion of the HSBC capacity at Belpre. Other than those assets owned by Infineum, we own the Belpre facility and the land on which it is located.

Wesseling, Germany. Our Wesseling manufacturing facility is located on the premises of LyondellBasell. The facility has direct access to major highways and extensive railway connections. Production capacity is approximately 96 kilotons. LyondellBasell owns the land on the premises and leases it to us. The lease is for a term of 30 years, beginning from March 31, 2000 and is extended automatically for a successive period of 10 years unless terminated upon one-year's written notice by either party. We own the SBC manufacturing facility and production equipment in the facility. The Wesseling facility currently produces Performance Products. LyondellBasell provides us operating and site services, utilities, materials and facilities under a long-term production agreement. LyondellBasell has the right to approve any expansion of our facility at Wesseling although its consent may only be withheld if an expansion would be detrimental to the site.

Berre, France. Our Berre manufacturing facility is located in southeastern France. The facility has direct access to sea, rail and road transport and has a production capacity of approximately 85 kilotons. The Berre site is leased to us by LyondellBasell, which operates the facility and with which our lease exists under a long-term lease due to expire in 2030. We own the SBC manufacturing facility and production equipment at Berre. We currently produce Performance

Products and Specialty Polymers there. We have an operating agreement with LyondellBasell for various site services, utilities and facilities under a long-term agreement; however, the operating agreement is terminable by either party upon 18 months' written notice. As of the date of this filing, no such notice has been given by either party.

Paulinia, Brazil. Our Paulinia manufacturing facility is located with access to major highways. The facility currently has a production capacity of approximately 28 kilotons of Performance Products in addition to capacity dedicated to producing Cariflex™ products. We own the facility and the land at Paulinia. BASF owns the adjacent site and shares title to the facilities that are common to the two companies such as the administration building, cafeteria and maintenance facilities.

Kashima, Japan. Our Kashima manufacturing facility is owned and operated by a joint venture named Kraton JSR Elastomers K.K., (“KJE”), between us and JSR. The Kashima facility is located northeast of Tokyo on the main island of Honshu at a JSR site that includes several synthetic rubber facilities and butadiene and isoprene extraction units. This facility is serviced by rail, barge and truck connections. Production capacity is approximately 31 kilotons of Performance Products, and we are generally entitled to 50% of this production pursuant to our joint venture agreement.

JSR markets its portion of the production under its own trademarks, and we market our portion of the production under the Kraton® brand name although this amount may vary from time to time based on the economic interest of the joint venture. We and JSR each have a right of first refusal on the transfer of the joint venture interests of the other.

Mailiao, Taiwan. We are a 50/50 joint venture partner with FPCC to build, own and operate a 30 kiloton plant at FPCC’s petrochemical site in Mailiao, Taiwan to produce Specialty Polymers. Construction of the plant is ongoing with completion expected in the first quarter of 2016.

Research, Development and Technical Service Facilities. Our research and development activities are primarily conducted in laboratories in Houston, Texas, and Amsterdam, Netherlands. We support our customers via a technical service network of laboratories around the globe. Our technical service laboratories are located in Shanghai, China, Tsukuba, Japan, and Paulina, Brazil. In addition we have a technical service office in Mont St. Guibert, Belgium. We perform application development and technical service support in all locations. In addition, our research and development centers in Houston and Amsterdam carry out polymer and process development to support our manufacturing sites as well as our customers. In 2014, we successfully launched a semi-works facility located at our production facility in Belpre, Ohio. We believe this will accelerate polymer development efforts and commercialization of products including the reduction of customer qualification lead times. We also anticipate utilizing the semi-works facility to produce small commercial quantities for customers which, prior to the launch of our semi-works facility, were satisfied by the Belpre, Ohio, production assets. Utilizing the semi-works facility for such smaller quantities is more economical than producing small quantities on the larger-scale Belpre production lines.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

We received notice from the tax authorities in Brazil assessing R\$6.1 million, or \$2.3 million (converted at the December 31, 2014 exchange rate), in connection with tax credits that were generated from the purchase of certain goods which were subsequently applied by us against taxes owed. We have appealed the assertion by the tax authorities in Brazil that the goods purchased were not eligible to earn the credits. While the outcome of this proceeding cannot be predicted with certainty, we do not expect this matter to have a material adverse effect upon our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On October 6, 2014, we and two of our subsidiaries, Kraton Performance Polymers Limited and NY MergerCo, LLC, were named as defendants in a lawsuit filed by LCY Chemical Corp. and its subsidiary, LCY Synthetic Rubber Corp. (together, the “LCY Parties”), in connection with the previously announced termination of the Combination Agreement. The lawsuit alleges breach of contract by Kraton and seeks payment of the \$25.0 million termination fee, along with awards of unspecified compensatory, expectancy and consequential damages. The lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. While the ultimate resolution of this lawsuit cannot be predicted with certainty, we do not expect any material adverse effect upon our financial position, results of operations or cash flows from the ultimate outcome of this lawsuit.

In January 2014, our Belpre, Ohio facility experienced a mechanical equipment failure due to inclement weather that resulted in a release of process solvents into nearby waterways. Applicable authorities were notified, and cleanup

activities are underway. Kraton may be required to pay governmental fines or sanctions in excess of \$100,000 in connection with this event.

We and certain of our subsidiaries, from time to time, are parties to various other legal proceedings, claims and disputes that have arisen in the ordinary course of business. These claims may involve significant amounts, some of which would not be covered by insurance. While the outcome of these proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, our management does not expect any of these other existing matters, individually or in the aggregate, to have a material adverse

effect upon our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Furthermore, Shell Chemicals has agreed, subject to certain limitations, to indemnify us for certain claims brought with respect to matters occurring before February 28, 2001. As of the date of this Form 10-K, we have not been named as parties in any of these claims. Our right to indemnification from Shell Chemicals is subject to certain time limitations. A substantial settlement payment or judgment in excess of our accruals could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

For information regarding legal proceedings, including environmental matters, see “Part I, Item 1.

Business—Environmental Regulation” and Note 11 Commitments and Contingencies (subsections (b) and (d) of which are incorporated herein by reference) to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol "KRA". The following table sets forth the high and low intraday sales prices of our common stock per share, as reported by the NYSE.

	Stock Price Range	
	High	Low
2014		
Fourth Quarter	\$21.35	\$15.52
Third Quarter	\$22.62	\$17.53
Second Quarter	\$28.35	\$21.23
First Quarter	\$28.87	\$20.91
2013		
Fourth Quarter	\$23.98	\$18.38
Third Quarter	\$22.16	\$18.33
Second Quarter	\$23.73	\$18.82
First Quarter	\$28.26	\$23.25

We have not previously declared or paid any dividends or distributions on our common stock. As of February 23, 2015, we had approximately 102 shareholders of record of our common stock and approximately 4,900 beneficial owners.

Stock Performance Graph

The following graph reflects the comparative changes in the value from December 31, 2009 through December 31, 2014, assuming an initial investment of \$100 and the reinvestment of dividends, if any, in (1) our common stock, (2) the S&P SmallCap 600 Index, and (3) the Dow Jones U.S. Specialty Chemicals Index. The information under this caption is not deemed to be "soliciting material" or to be "filed" with the SEC or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent we specifically incorporate it by reference into such a filing. Historical performance should not be considered indicative of future stockholder returns.

Total Return to Shareholders'
(Includes reinvestment of dividends)

Company Name / Index	Annual Return Percentage, Years Ending				
	12/31/2010	12/31/2011	12/31/2012	12/31/2013	12/31/2014
Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.	128.24	% (34.41))% 18.37	% (4.08))% (9.80)
S&P SmallCap 600 Index	26.31	% 1.02	% 16.33	% 41.31	% 5.76
Dow Jones U.S. Specialty Chemicals	37.19	% (2.82))% 32.23	% 22.88	% 8.62

Company Name / Index	Cumulative Value of \$100 Investment, through December 31, 2014					
	Base Period 12/31/09	12/31/2010	12/31/2011	12/31/2012	12/31/2013	12/31/2014
Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.	\$ 100.00	\$ 228.24	\$ 149.71	\$ 177.21	\$ 169.99	\$ 153.32
S&P SmallCap 600 Index	\$ 100.00	\$ 126.31	\$ 127.59	\$ 148.42	\$ 209.74	\$ 221.81
Dow Jones U.S. Specialty Chemicals	\$ 100.00	\$ 137.19	\$ 133.32	\$ 176.28	\$ 216.62	\$ 235.29

Dividends

We have not previously declared or paid any dividends or distributions on our common stock and have instead deployed earnings to fund the development of our business. Any future determination to pay dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our financial condition, results of operations, capital expenditure requirements, restrictions contained in current and future financing instruments and other factors that our board of directors deems relevant. Because we are a holding company, our ability to pay dividends depends on our receipt of cash dividends and distributions from our subsidiaries. The terms of our senior notes and senior secured credit facilities restrict our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends, as may the terms of any of our future debt or preferred securities. For more information about these restrictions, see Note 6 Long-Term Debt to the consolidated financial statements.

Repurchase of Equity Securities

On October 27, 2014, our board of directors approved a share repurchase plan of up to \$50.0 million of our common stock. As of December 31, 2014, 998,080 shares had been repurchased at an average price of \$18.69 per share and a total cost of \$18.6 million (excluding trading commissions). The repurchase plan has no expiration date and all shares purchased have been canceled.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of a Publicly Announced Program	Maximum Dollar Value (in millions) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program
November 1 - 30, 2014	652,377	\$18.60	652,377	\$37.9
December 1 - 31, 2014	345,703	\$18.84	345,703	\$31.4
Total	998,080	\$18.69	998,080	\$31.4

No shares were repurchased in the month of October 2014.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The selected financial data below should be read in conjunction with “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” included under Item 7 of this Form 10-K as well as the consolidated financial statements and the related notes.

	Years ended December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands, except per share data)				
Consolidated statements of operations data:					
Revenue	\$1,230,433	\$1,292,121	\$1,423,122	\$1,437,479	\$1,228,425
Cost of goods sold	993,366	1,066,289	1,191,680	1,121,293	927,932
Gross profit	237,067	225,832	231,442	316,186	300,493
Operating expenses:					
Research and development	31,370	32,014	31,011	27,996	23,628
Selling, general and administrative	104,209	105,558	98,555	101,606	92,305
Depreciation and amortization	66,242	63,182	64,554	62,735	49,220
Impairment of long-lived assets	4,731	—	5,434	—	—
Total operating expenses	206,552	200,754	199,554	192,337	165,153
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	—	—	2,985	—
Earnings of unconsolidated joint venture (1)	407	530	530	529	487
Interest expense, net	24,594	30,470	29,303	29,884	23,969
Income (loss) before income taxes	6,328	(4,862)) 3,115	91,509	111,858
Income tax expense (benefit)	5,118	(3,887)) 19,306	584	15,133
Consolidated net income (loss)	1,210	\$(975)) \$(16,191)) \$90,925	\$96,725
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	(1,209)) (357)) —	—	—
Net income (loss) attributable to Kraton	\$2,419	\$(618)) \$(16,191)) \$90,925	\$96,725
Earnings (loss) per common share:					
Basic	\$0.07	\$(0.02)) \$(0.50)) \$2.85	\$3.13
Diluted	\$0.07	\$(0.02)) \$(0.50)) \$2.81	\$3.07
Weighted average common shares outstanding:					
Basic	32,163	32,096	31,939	31,786	30,825
Diluted	32,483	32,096	31,939	32,209	31,379

(1) Represents our 50% joint venture interest in Kraton JSR Elastomers K.K., which is accounted for using the equity method of accounting.

	As of December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands)				
Consolidated balance sheets data:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$53,818	\$175,872	\$223,166	\$88,579	\$92,750
Total assets	\$1,082,452	\$1,194,797	\$1,229,189	\$1,153,756	\$1,080,723
Total debt	\$351,872	\$350,989	\$448,017	\$392,500	\$382,675

	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Other data:					
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges	1.11:1.00	0.80:1.00	1.02:1.00	3.54:1.00	5.07:1.00

Our earnings were insufficient to cover our fixed charges by approximately \$8.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Adjusted Gross Profit, EBITDA, and Adjusted EBITDA

We consider Adjusted Gross Profit, EBITDA, and Adjusted EBITDA to be important supplemental measures of our performance and believe they are frequently used by investors, securities analysts and other interested parties in the evaluation of our performance and/or that of other companies in our industry, including period-to-period comparisons. In addition, management uses these measures to evaluate operating performance, and our incentive compensation plan bases incentive compensation payments on our Adjusted EBITDA performance, along with other factors. Adjusted Gross Profit, EBITDA, and Adjusted EBITDA have limitations as analytical tools and in some cases can vary substantially from other measures of our performance. You should not consider any of them in isolation, or as substitutes for analysis of our results under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”).

	Years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		
Adjusted Gross Profit (1) (2)	\$257,308	\$260,293	\$264,289
EBITDA (3)	\$97,164	\$88,790	\$96,972
Adjusted EBITDA (1) (4)	\$147,194	\$140,906	\$143,842

(1) Although we report our financial results using the FIFO basis of accounting, as part of our pricing strategy, we measure our business performance using the estimated current replacement cost of our inventory and cost of goods sold. We maintain our perpetual inventory in our global enterprise resource planning system, where the carrying value of our inventory is determined using FIFO. At the beginning of each month, we determine the estimated current cost of our raw materials for that particular month, and using the same perpetual inventory system that we use to manage inventory and therefore costs of goods sold under FIFO, we revalue our ending inventory to reflect the total cost of such inventory as if it was valued using the estimated current replacement cost. The result of this revaluation from FIFO creates the spread between FIFO and ECRC. With inventory valued under FIFO and ECRC, we then have the ability to report cost of goods sold and therefore Adjusted Gross Profit and Adjusted EBITDA under both our FIFO convention and under estimated current replacement cost.

(2) Adjusted Gross Profit is gross profit net of the impact of the spread between the FIFO basis of accounting and ECRC and net of the impact of items we do not consider indicative of our ongoing operating performance. We explain how each adjustment is derived and why we believe it is helpful and appropriate in the reconciliation below. You are encouraged to evaluate each adjustment and the reasons we consider it appropriate for supplemental analysis. As a measure of our performance, Adjusted Gross Profit is limited because it often varies substantially from gross profit calculated in accordance with US GAAP due to volatility in raw material prices.

(3) EBITDA represents net income before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization. Limitations for EBITDA as an analytical tool include the following:

- EBITDA does not reflect the significant interest expense on our debt;

• EBITDA does not reflect the significant depreciation and amortization expense associated with our long-lived assets; EBITDA included herein should not be used for purposes of assessing compliance or non-compliance with financial covenants under our debt agreements. The calculation of EBITDA in the debt agreements includes adjustments, such as as extraordinary, non-recurring or one-time charges, proforma cost savings, certain non-cash items, turnaround costs, and other items included in the definition of EBITDA in the debt agreements; and

• other companies in our industry may calculate EBITDA differently than we do, limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure.

Adjusted EBITDA is EBITDA net of the impact of the spread between the FIFO basis of accounting and ECRC and net of the impact of items we do not consider indicative of our ongoing operating performance. We explain how each adjustment is derived and why we believe it is helpful and appropriate in the reconciliation below. You are encouraged to evaluate each adjustment and the reasons we consider it appropriate for supplemental analysis. As an analytical tool, Adjusted EBITDA is subject to the limitations applicable to EBITDA described above, as well as the following limitations:

due to volatility in raw material price, Adjusted EBITDA may, and often does, vary substantially from EBITDA, net income and other performance measures, including net income calculated in accordance with US GAAP; and Adjusted EBITDA may, and often will, vary significantly from EBITDA calculations under the terms of our debt agreements and should not be used for assessing compliance or non-compliance with financial covenants under our debt agreements.

Because of these and other limitations, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered as a measure of discretionary cash available to us to invest in the growth of our business.

Our presentation of non-GAAP financial measures and the adjustments made therein should not be construed as an inference that our future results will be unaffected by unusual or non-recurring items, and in the future we may incur expenses or charges similar to the adjustments made in the presentation of our non-GAAP financial measures.

We compensate for the above limitations by relying primarily on our GAAP results and using Adjusted Gross Profit, EBITDA, and Adjusted EBITDA only as supplemental measures. See our financial statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

We reconcile Gross Profit to Adjusted Gross Profit as follows:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		
Gross profit	\$237,067	\$225,832	\$231,442
Add (deduct):			
Settlement gain (a)	—	—	(6,819)
Property tax dispute (b)	—	—	5,646
Restructuring and other charges (c)	651	218	1,006
Production downtime (d)	9,905	3,506	2,481
Impairment of spare parts inventory (e)	430	—	—
Spread between FIFO and ECRC	9,255	30,737	30,533
Adjusted gross profit	\$257,308	\$260,293	\$264,289

(a) Receipt from LyondellBasell in settlement of disputed charges.

(b) Charge associated with resolution of a property tax dispute in France.

(c) Severance expenses and other restructuring related charges.

In 2014, weather-related production downtime at our Belpre, Ohio, facility and an operating disruption from a small fire at our Berre, France, facility. In 2013, production downtime at our Belpre, Ohio facility, in preparation for the installation of natural gas boilers to replace the coal-burning boilers required by the MACT legislation. In 2012, storm related charges at our Belpre, Ohio, facility.

(e) Impairment of inventory of spare parts associated with the coal-burning boilers which are planned for decommissioning in 2015.

Edgar Filing: Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. - Form 10-K

We reconcile consolidated net income (loss) to EBITDA, and Adjusted EBITDA as follows:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		
Net income (loss) attributable to Kraton	\$2,419	\$(618)	\$(16,191)
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	(1,209)	(357)	—
Consolidated net income (loss)	1,210	(975)	(16,191)
Add (deduct):			
Interest expense, net	24,594	30,470	29,303
Income tax expense (benefit)	5,118	(3,887)	19,306
Depreciation and amortization	66,242	63,182	64,554
EBITDA	\$97,164	\$88,790	\$96,972
Add (deduct):			
Settlement gain (a)	—	—	(6,819)
Property tax dispute (b)	—	—	6,211
Retirement plan charges (c)	399	—	1,100
Restructuring and other charges (d)	2,953	815	1,359
Transaction and acquisition related costs (e)	9,585	9,164	—
Impairment of long-lived assets (f)	4,731	—	5,434
Impairment of spare parts inventory (g)	430	—	—
Production downtime (h)	10,291	3,506	2,481
KFPC startup costs (i)	1,911	—	—
Non-cash compensation expense (j)	10,475	7,894	6,571
Spread between FIFO and ECRC	9,255	30,737	30,533
Adjusted EBITDA	\$147,194	\$140,906	\$143,842

(a) Receipt from LyondellBasell in settlement of disputed charges, which is recorded in cost of goods sold.

(b) Charge associated with resolution of a property tax dispute in France, of which \$5.6 million is recorded in cost of goods sold and \$0.6 million is recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses.

(c) In 2014, charges associated with the termination of the defined benefit restoration pension plan, which are primarily recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses. In 2012, retirement plan settlement charge associated with a disbursement from a benefit plan upon the retirement of an employee, which is recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses.

(d) Restructuring and other charges which are primarily recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses in 2014 and 2013 and primarily in cost of goods sold in 2012.

(e) Primarily professional fees related to the terminated Combination Agreement with LCY, which are recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses.

(f) In 2014, \$2.4 million was related to engineering and design assets for projects we determined were no longer economically viable; \$1.4 million was related to information technology and office assets associated with fourth quarter restructuring activities; and \$0.9 million was related to other long-lived assets. In 2012, \$3.4 million was associated with the Asia HSBC facility and \$2.0 million was associated with other long-lived assets.

(g) Impairment of spare parts inventory associated with the coal-burning boilers which are planned for decommissioning in 2015 which is recorded in cost of goods sold.

(h) In 2014, weather-related production downtime at our Belpre, Ohio, facility and an operating disruption from a small fire at our Berre, France, facility, of which \$9.9 million is recorded in cost of goods sold and \$0.4 million is recorded in selling general and administrative expenses. In 2013, production downtime at our Belpre, Ohio, facility, in preparation for the installation of natural gas boilers to replace the coal-burning boilers required by the MACT legislation, which is recorded in cost of goods sold. In 2012, storm related charges at our Belpre, Ohio facility, which are recorded in cost of goods sold.

(i) Startup costs related to the joint venture company, KFPC, which are recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses.

We had historically recorded these costs in selling, general and administrative expenses; however, beginning in the second quarter of 2013, a portion of these costs were recorded in cost of goods sold and research and development (j) expenses. In 2014, \$9.0 million, \$0.9 million and \$0.6 million and in 2013, \$7.1 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.3 million is recorded in selling, general and administrative, research and development expenses, and cost of goods sold, respectively.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

INTRODUCTION

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations should be read in conjunction with Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. This discussion contains forward-looking statements and involves numerous risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to those described in the Item 1A. Risk Factors and below under the caption "Factors Affecting Our Results of Operations." Actual results may differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements.

OVERVIEW

We are a leading global producer of styrenic block copolymers ("SBCs") and other engineered polymers. SBCs are highly-engineered synthetic elastomers, which we invented and commercialized almost 50 years ago, that enhance the performance of numerous products by imparting greater flexibility, resilience, strength, durability, and processability. Our polymers are typically formulated or compounded with other products to achieve improved, customer-specific performance characteristics in a variety of applications. We seek to maximize the value of our product portfolio by emphasizing complex or specialized polymers and innovations that yield higher margins than more commoditized products. We refer to these complex or specialized polymers or innovations as being more "differentiated." In 2014, 56.6% of our revenue was derived from innovation-driven and differentiated products, with 40.0% derived from differentiated grades and 16.6% derived from innovation grades.

Our products are found in many everyday applications, including personal care products such as disposable diapers and the rubberized grips of toothbrushes, razor blades, and power tools. Our products are also used to impart tack and shear properties in a wide variety of adhesive products and to impart characteristics such as, flexibility and durability in sealants and corrosion resistance in coatings. Our paving and roofing applications provide durability, extending road and roof life.

We also produce Cariflex™ isoprene rubber and isoprene rubber latex. Our Cariflex products are highly-engineered, non-SBC synthetic substitutes for natural rubber and natural rubber latex. Our Cariflex products, which have not been found to contain the proteins present in natural rubber latex and are, therefore, not known to cause allergies, are used in applications such as surgical gloves and condoms. We believe the versatility of Cariflex products provide opportunities for new, high margin applications.

We have a portfolio of innovations at various stages of development and commercialization, including polyvinyl chloride alternatives for wire and cable, and medical applications; polymers and compounds for soft skin and coated fabric applications for transportation and consumer markets; highly-modified asphalt ("HiMA") for high-performance paving applications; NEXAR™ family of membrane polymers for heating, ventilation, air conditioning and breathable fabrics; and synthetic cement formulations and polymers used for viscosity modification in oilfield applications.

The majority of worldwide SBC production is dedicated to un-hydrogenated SBCs ("USBCs"), which are primarily used in paving, roofing, adhesives, sealants, coatings, and footwear applications. Hydrogenated SBCs ("HSBCs"), which are significantly more complex and capital-intensive to manufacture than USBCs, are used in applications such as soft touch and flexible materials, personal hygiene products, medical products, automotive components and certain adhesives and sealant applications. Isoprene rubber ("IR") and isoprene rubber latex ("IRL") are non-SBC products which are primarily used in applications such as medical products, personal care, adhesives, tackifiers, paints and coatings.

Our products are manufactured and our commercial activities are organized in the following product groups based upon polymer chemistry and process technologies:

Product Group Revenue Percentage:	2014	2013	2012	
Performance Products	55.2	% 59.0	% 59.8	%
Specialty Polymers	33.5	% 31.9	% 32.6	%
Cariflex	11.3	% 9.0	% 7.4	%
Other	—	% 0.1	% 0.2	%

2014 Financial Overview

Sales volume was 305.6 kilotons in 2014 compared to 313.5 kilotons in 2013.

Revenue was \$1,230.4 million in 2014 compared to \$1,292.1 million in 2013.

Gross profit was \$237.1 million in 2014 compared to \$225.8 million in 2013. Adjusted gross profit (non-GAAP) was \$257.3 million in 2014 compared to \$260.3 million in 2013.

Adjusted EBITDA (non-GAAP) was \$147.2 million in 2014 compared to \$140.9 million in 2013.

Net income attributable to Kraton was \$2.4 million or \$0.07 per diluted share in 2014 compared to a net loss of \$0.6 million or \$0.02 per diluted share in 2013. Diluted earnings (loss) per share was impacted by items that are discussed further in Net income (loss) attributable to Kraton.

See “Part II, Item 6. Selected Financial Data” for reconciliation of adjusted gross profit, EBITDA, and Adjusted EBITDA.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Factors Affecting Our Results of Operations

Raw Materials. We use butadiene, styrene, and isoprene as our primary raw materials in manufacturing our products, and our results of operations are directly affected by the cost of these raw materials. On a FIFO basis, these monomers together represented approximately \$512.8 million, \$609.5 million and \$732.9 million or 51.6%, 57.2% and 61.5% of our total cost of goods sold for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Since the cost of our three primary raw materials comprise a significant amount of our total cost of goods sold, our selling prices for our products and therefore our total revenue is impacted by movements in our raw material costs, as well as the cost of other inputs.

The cost of these monomers has generally correlated with changes in energy prices and is generally influenced by supply and demand factors and prices for natural and synthetic rubber. In aggregate, average purchase prices were lower for butadiene, isoprene and styrene during 2014 compared to 2013. Average butadiene and isoprene purchase prices were lower during 2013 compared to 2012 while average styrene purchase prices were higher in 2013 compared to 2012.

We use the FIFO basis of accounting for inventory and cost of goods sold, and therefore gross profit. In periods of raw material price volatility, reported results under FIFO will differ from what the results would have been if cost of goods sold were based on ECRC. Specifically, in periods of rising raw material costs, reported gross profit will be higher under FIFO than under ECRC. Conversely, in periods of declining raw material costs, reported gross profit will be lower under FIFO than under ECRC. In recognition of the fact that the cost of raw materials affects our results of operations and the comparability of our results of operations we provide the difference, or spread, between FIFO and ECRC. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, reported results under FIFO were lower than results would have been on an ECRC basis by \$9.3 million, \$30.7 million, and \$30.5 million, respectively.

International Operations and Currency Fluctuations. We operate a geographically diverse business, serving customers in over 60 countries from five manufacturing facilities on four continents. Our sales and production costs are mainly denominated in U.S. dollars, Euro, Japanese Yen and Brazilian Real. From time to time, we use hedging strategies to reduce our exposure to currency fluctuations.

We generated our revenue from customers located in the following regions:

Revenue by Geography:	2014	2013	2012	
Americas	38.9	% 39.3	% 40.0	%
Europe, Middle East and Africa	36.4	% 38.7	% 39.1	%
Asia Pacific	24.7	% 22.0	% 20.9	%

Our financial results are subject to gains and losses on currency translations, which occur when the financial statements of foreign operations are translated into U.S. dollars. The financial statements of operations outside the United States where the local currency is considered to be the functional currency are translated into U.S. dollars using the exchange rate at each balance sheet date for assets and liabilities and the average exchange rate for each period for revenue, expenses, gains and losses and cash flows. The effect of translating the balance sheet into U.S.

dollars is included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Any appreciation of the functional currencies against the U.S. dollar will increase the U.S. dollar equivalent of amounts of revenue, expenses, gains and losses and cash flows, and any depreciation of the functional currencies will decrease the U.S. dollar amounts reported. Our results of operations are also subject to currency transaction risk. We incur currency transaction risk when we enter into either a purchase or sale transaction using a currency

other than the local currency of the transacting entity. The estimated impact from currency fluctuations amounted to a pre-tax income of \$0.4 million, a pre-tax loss of \$4.8 million and a pre-tax loss of \$6.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The primary driver for our pre-tax income in 2014 was the change in foreign currency exchange rates between the Euro and U.S. dollar. The primary driver for our pre-tax losses in 2013 and 2012 was the change in foreign currency exchange rates between the Japanese Yen and U.S. dollar and the Euro and U.S. dollar, respectively.

Seasonality. Seasonal changes and weather conditions typically affect our Performance Products sales into paving and roofing applications generally resulting in higher sales volumes in the second and third quarters of the calendar year versus the first and fourth quarters of the calendar year. Our other markets tend to show relatively little seasonality.

Outlook

Based upon recent raw material price trends, we estimate that first quarter 2015 will reflect a negative spread between FIFO and ECRC of \$30.0 million to \$35.0 million.

Year Ended December 31, 2014 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2013

Revenue

Revenue was \$1,230.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$1,292.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, a decline of \$61.7 million or 4.8% (a decline of \$50.3 million or 3.9% excluding an \$11.4 million negative effect from currency fluctuations) with \$51.3 million of the decline attributable to lower average selling prices associated with lower average raw material costs. Sales volumes declined 7.9 kilotons or 2.5% from 313.5 kilotons for the year ended December 31, 2013 to 305.6 kilotons for the year ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in total sales volume did not have a material impact on the period-over-period change in revenue, as the revenue impact from lower sales volume in our Performance Products business was more than offset by the revenue contribution from increased sales volume in the higher revenue per ton Cariflex™ and Specialty Polymers businesses.

With respect to revenue for each of our product groups:

Cariflex revenue was \$138.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$116.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The \$22.6 million or 19.5% revenue increase (an increase of \$25.4 million or 21.9% excluding a \$2.8 million negative effect from currency fluctuations) was due to a 24.1% increase in sales volumes led by sales into surgical glove applications, and to a lesser extent, increased sales into condom and medical stopper markets. The revenue contribution from higher sales volume was partially offset by lower average selling prices due to lower isoprene costs.

Specialty Polymers revenue was \$412.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$412.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The \$0.4 million or 0.1% revenue increase (an increase of \$1.5 million or 0.4% excluding a \$1.1 million negative effect from currency fluctuations) was due to a 4.6% increase in sales volume, which was offset by lower average selling prices reflective of lower raw material costs. The increase in sales volume was primarily due to growth in lubricant additives, cable gels, and polymer modification applications partially offset by lower volume into personal care applications.

Performance Products revenue was \$678.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$762.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The \$84.0 million or 11.0% revenue decline (a decline of \$76.5 million or 10.0% excluding a \$7.5 million negative effect from currency fluctuations) was due to a 6.2% reduction in sales volumes and, to a lesser extent, lower average selling prices driven by lower butadiene and isoprene costs. The decline in sales volume was primarily due to lower paving and roofing volumes in Europe, lower paving volumes in Asia Pacific and lower volumes into packaging & industrial adhesives applications.

Cost of Goods Sold

Cost of goods sold was \$993.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$1,066.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The \$72.9 million or 6.8% decrease was primarily driven by a \$66.0 million reduction in raw material costs (including a period over period benefit of \$21.5 million due to the spread between FIFO and ECRC), an \$18.6 million decrease from lower sales volumes, and an \$11.1 million decrease due to foreign currency fluctuations. Partially offsetting these decreases were \$9.9 million of costs associated with the weather-related production downtime at our Belpre, Ohio, facility and an operating disruption from a small fire at our Berre, France, facility in the first quarter of 2014 and increases in other variable and manufacturing costs.

Gross Profit

Gross profit was \$237.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$225.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. Gross profit as a percentage of revenue was 19.3% and 17.5% for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Operating Expenses

Research and development expenses were \$31.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$32.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, a decrease of \$0.6 million or 2.0% primarily due to lower professional fees and maintenance costs partially offset by higher employee related costs. Research and development expenses were 2.5% of revenue for both of the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$104.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$105.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, a decrease of \$1.3 million or 1.3%. The decrease was primarily due to a \$1.3 million decrease in employee related costs, a \$1.0 million decrease in professional fees and a \$0.8 million decrease in information technology costs. These decreases were partially offset by a \$1.7 million increase in restructuring related costs and a \$0.4 million increase in transaction and acquisition related costs. Selling, general and administrative expenses were 8.5% and 8.2% of revenue for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Depreciation and amortization was \$66.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$63.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, an increase of \$3.1 million or 4.8%, largely due to capital expenditures.

We incurred impairments of long-lived assets of \$4.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 related to engineering and design, information technology, and other long-lived assets. We did not incur any impairment charges for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Interest expense, net

Interest expense, net was \$24.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$30.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, a decrease of \$5.9 million or 19.3%. The decrease was primarily due to charges aggregating \$5.8 million incurred in connection with our March 2013 refinancing and to lower outstanding indebtedness in 2014.

Income tax expense (benefit)

Our income tax provision was a \$5.1 million expense and a \$3.9 million benefit for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Our effective tax rate was 80.9% and 79.9% for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Our effective tax rates differed from the U.S. corporate statutory tax rate of 35.0%, primarily due to the mix of pre-tax income or loss earned in certain jurisdictions and the change in our valuation allowance.

We record a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. As of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, a valuation allowance of \$90.4 million and \$90.0 million, respectively, has been provided for net operating loss carryforwards and other deferred tax assets. We increased our valuation allowance by \$0.4 million in 2014, which includes a \$9.8 million increase related to changes in other comprehensive income (loss), partially offset by a \$9.4 million decrease to the income tax provision. The \$9.4 million is comprised of \$7.6 million related to current year operating income and \$1.8 million related to the assessment of our ability to utilize net operating loss carryforwards in future periods. We decreased our valuation allowance by \$0.4 million in 2013, which includes a \$0.5 million decrease due to changes in other comprehensive income (loss), partially offset by a \$0.1 million increase to the income tax provision. The \$0.1 million is comprised of \$10.2 million related to current year operating losses, offset by a \$10.1 million benefit related to the tax effect of unrealized pension gains. We consider the reversal of deferred tax liabilities within the net operating loss carryforward period, projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Excluding the change in our valuation allowance, our effective tax rates would have been a 229.0% expense and an 81.4% benefit for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Net income (loss) attributable to Kraton

Net income attributable to Kraton was \$2.4 million or \$0.07 per diluted share for the year ended December 31, 2014, an increase in net income of \$3.0 million, compared to a net loss of \$0.6 million or \$0.02 per diluted share for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Net income for the year ended December 31, 2014 was negatively impacted by the following items, net of tax:

- Restructuring and other charges of \$2.7 million or \$0.08 per diluted share
- Fees related to the terminated Combination Agreement with LCY of \$9.4 million or \$0.29 per diluted share
- Production downtime at our Belpre, Ohio, and Berre, France, facilities of \$10.2 million, or \$0.31 per diluted share
- A charge for impairment of long-lived assets of \$4.6 million or \$0.14 per diluted share
- Start-up charges related to the joint venture with FPCC of \$0.8 million or \$0.02 per diluted share
- Impairment of spare parts inventory associated with the coal-burning boilers of \$0.4 million or \$0.01 per diluted share
- Charges associated with the termination of the defined benefit restoration pension plan of \$0.4 million or \$0.01 per diluted share
- Negative spread between FIFO and ECRC of \$9.2 million or \$0.28 per diluted share

Net income for the year ended December 31, 2014 was positively impacted by the following item:

- A reduction in our income tax valuation allowance of \$1.8 million or \$0.05 per diluted share related to the assessment of our ability to utilize net operating losses in future periods

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2013 was negatively impacted by the following items, net of tax:

- Restructuring and other charges of \$0.7 million or \$0.02 per diluted share
- Fees related to the terminated Combination Agreement with LCY of \$9.2 million or \$0.28 per diluted share
- Charges associated with the credit facility refinancing of \$5.8 million or \$0.18 per diluted share
- Production downtime related to MACT legislation of \$3.5 million or \$0.11 per diluted share
- Negative spread between FIFO and ECRC of \$30.7 million or \$0.94 per diluted share.

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2013 was positively impacted by the following item:

- Income tax benefit related to a portion of the change in our valuation allowance for deferred tax assets of \$10.1 million or \$0.31 benefit per diluted share

Year Ended December 31, 2013 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2012

Revenue

Revenue amounted to \$1,292.1 million on sales volumes of 313.5 kilotons for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$1,423.1 million on sales volumes of 313.4 kilotons for the year ended December 31, 2012. The \$131.0 million or 9.2% revenue decline (a decline of \$121.7 million or 8.6% excluding a \$9.3 million negative effect from currency fluctuations) was largely due to a reduction in global product sales prices associated with lower average raw material costs of \$110.4 million and a \$10.3 million negative effect associated with revenue mix.

With respect to revenue for each of our product groups:

Cariflex™ revenue was \$116.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$105.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The \$10.1 million or 9.5% revenue increase (an increase of \$14.8 million or 14.0% excluding a \$4.7 million negative effect from currency fluctuations) reflects a 13.5% increase in sales volumes, mainly in the surgical glove market and other medical applications.

Specialty Polymers revenue was \$412.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$464.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The \$52.3 million or 11.3% revenue decline (a decline of \$51.3 million or 11.0% excluding a \$1.0 million negative effect from currency fluctuations) was due to lower sales volumes and lower average selling prices, reflective of lower average raw materials costs. The decline in sales volume was primarily due to lower sales in personal care, cable gels, polymer modification and industrial applications.

Performance Products revenue was \$762.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$850.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The \$87.8 million or 10.3% revenue decline (a decline

of \$84.2 million or 9.9% excluding a \$3.6 million negative effect from currency fluctuations) was due to lower average selling prices indicative of lower average raw material costs, primarily butadiene, partially offset by increased sales volume. The increase in sales volume was primarily due to increased sales into paving and roofing, personal care, and packaging & industrial adhesives applications. With respect to paving and roofing volumes, although first half 2013 sales volumes were down 15.1% compared to the first half of 2012, primarily due to the effect of poor weather conditions in North America and Europe, second half 2013 sales volumes were up 14.7% due to improved demand compared to the second half of 2012. As a result, overall sales volume for paving and roofing applications increased 1.0% year on year. With respect to innovation sales, we experienced growth in personal care applications and improved demand for our HiMA paving applications.

Cost of Goods Sold

Cost of goods sold was \$1,066.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$1,191.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The \$125.4 million or 10.5% decrease was driven largely by an \$119.6 million reduction in raw material costs, a \$5.4 million reduction due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, a \$4.6 million reduction due to sales mix, and the absence of net charges amounting to \$2.3 million recorded in 2012, which related to a property tax dispute in France, storm-related charges, restructuring and other charges and the LBI settlement. Partially offsetting these decreases in cost of goods sold were increased costs from the production downtime related to the MACT legislation of \$3.5 million, increased turnaround costs of \$2.5 million, and other increases in cost of goods sold.

Gross Profit

Gross profit was \$225.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$231.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, a decrease of \$5.6 million or 2.4%. Gross profit as a percentage of revenue was 17.5% and 16.3% for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Operating Expenses

Research and development expenses were \$32.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$31.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, an increase of \$1.0 million or 3.2% primarily due to an increase in employee related costs partially offset by decreased lease expense for our research and development facilities.

Research and development expenses were 2.5% and 2.2% of revenue for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$105.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$98.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, an increase of \$7.0 million or 7.1%. The increase was primarily due to \$9.2 million of professional fees related to the terminated Combination Agreement with LCY, a \$1.1 million increase in costs associated with the joint venture with FPCC and a \$1.0 million increase in other professional fees, partially offset by a \$1.0 million decrease in employee related costs, lower legal expenses of \$1.1 million, and the absence of a 2012 retirement plan settlement charge of \$1.1 million and a \$0.6 million charge associated with the resolution of a property tax dispute in France during 2012. Selling, general and administrative expenses were 8.2% and 6.9% of revenue for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Depreciation and amortization was \$63.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$64.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, a decrease of \$1.4 million or 2.1%.

We did not incur any impairment charges of long-lived assets for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to a \$5.4 million charge for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Interest expense, net

Interest expense, net was \$30.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$29.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, an increase of \$1.2 million or 4.0%. The reduction in interest expense associated with lower outstanding indebtedness was more than offset by charges aggregating \$5.8 million incurred in connection with our 2013 refinancing.

Income tax expense (benefit)

Our income tax provision was a \$3.9 million benefit and a \$19.3 million expense for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Our effective tax rate was 79.9% and 619.8% for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Our effective tax rates differed from the U.S. corporate statutory tax rate of 35.0%, primarily due to the mix of pre-tax income or loss earned in certain jurisdictions and the change in our valuation allowance.

We record a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, a valuation allowance of \$90.0 million and \$90.4 million, respectively, has been provided for net operating loss carryforwards and other deferred tax assets. We decreased our valuation

allowance by \$0.4 million in 2013, which includes a \$0.5 million decrease due to changes in other comprehensive income (loss), partially offset by a \$0.1 million increase to the income tax provision. The \$0.1 million is comprised of \$10.2 million related to current year operating losses, offset by \$10.1 million of income tax benefit related to the tax effect of unrealized pension gains. We increased our valuation allowance by \$36.2 million in 2012, of which \$30.7 million was included in the income tax provision and \$5.5 million represents changes in equity. The \$30.7 million increase in the valuation allowance is comprised of \$13.5 million related to the reversal of the benefit recorded for prior year's net operating losses and \$17.2 million related to current year operating losses. We consider the reversal of deferred tax liabilities within the net operating loss carryforward period, projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Excluding the change in our valuation allowance, our effective tax rates would have been an 81.4% and 366.1% benefit for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Net loss attributable to Kraton

Net loss attributable to Kraton was \$0.6 million or \$0.02 per diluted share for the year ended December 31, 2013, an increase in net income of \$15.6 million, compared to a net loss of \$16.2 million or \$0.50 per diluted share for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2013 was negatively impacted by the following items, net of tax:

• Restructuring and other charges of \$0.7 million or \$0.02 per diluted share

• Fees related to the terminated Combination Agreement with LCY of \$9.2 million or \$0.28 per diluted share

• Charges associated with the credit facility refinancing of \$5.8 million or \$0.18 per diluted share

• Production downtime related to MACT legislation of \$3.5 million or \$0.11 per diluted share

• Negative spread between FIFO and ECRC of \$30.7 million or \$0.94 per diluted share

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2013 was positively impacted by the following item:

- Income tax benefit related to a portion of the change in our valuation allowance for deferred tax assets of \$10.1 million or \$0.31 benefit per diluted share

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2012 was negatively impacted by the following items, net of tax:

• Property tax dispute settlement charge of \$6.2 million or \$0.20 per diluted share

• Restructuring and other charges of \$1.2 million or \$0.03 per diluted share

• Retirement plan settlement charge of \$1.1 million or \$0.03 per diluted share

• Storm related charges of \$2.5 million or \$0.08 per diluted share

• Impairment of long-lived assets of \$5.4 million or \$0.17 per diluted share

• Income tax expense related to a portion of the change in our valuation allowance for deferred tax assets of \$13.5 million or \$0.42 per diluted share

• Negative spread between FIFO and ECRC of \$30.5 million or \$0.95 per diluted share

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2012 was positively impacted by the following item, net of tax:

• Receipt from LyondellBasell in settlement of disputed charges of \$6.9 million or \$0.22 benefit per diluted share

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make assumptions and estimates that directly affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements. Certain critical accounting policies requiring significant judgments, estimates, and assumptions are described in this section. We consider an accounting estimate to be critical if (1) it requires assumptions to be made that are uncertain at the time the estimate is made, and (2) changes to the estimate or different estimates that could have reasonably been used would have materially changed our consolidated financial statements. We believe the current assumptions and other considerations used to estimate amounts reflected in our consolidated financial statements are appropriate. However, should our actual experience differ from these assumptions and other considerations used in estimating these amounts, the impact of these differences could have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. The allowance for doubtful accounts is our best estimate of the amount of probable credit losses in our existing receivables and is determined based on our assessment of the credit worthiness of individual customers, historical write-off experience and global economic data. We review the allowance for doubtful accounts quarterly. Account balances are charged off against the allowance after all means of collection have been exhausted and the potential for recovery is considered remote. We do not have any off-balance sheet credit exposure related to our customers.

Inventories. Our inventory is principally comprised of finished goods inventory. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market as primarily determined on a first-in, first-out basis. We evaluate the carrying cost of our inventory on a quarterly basis for this purpose. If the cost of the inventories exceeds their market value, provisions are made for the difference between the cost and the market value.

Property, Plant and Equipment. Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost. Major renewals and improvements that extend the useful lives of equipment are capitalized. Repair and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. Disposals are removed at carrying cost less accumulated depreciation with any resulting gain or loss reflected in earnings. We capitalize interest costs which are incurred as part of the cost of constructing major facilities and equipment. Depreciation is recognized using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Machinery and equipment	20 years
Building and land improvements	20 years
Manufacturing control equipment	10 years
Office equipment	5 years
Research equipment and facilities	5 years
Vehicles	5 years
Computer hardware and information systems	3 years

Long-Lived Assets. In accordance with the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets Subsections of FASB ASC Subtopic 360-10, Property, Plant, and Equipment—Overall, long-lived assets, such as property, plant, and equipment, and purchased intangible assets subject to amortization are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. If circumstances require a long-lived asset or asset group be tested for possible impairment, we first compare undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by that asset or asset group to its carrying value. If the carrying value of the long-lived asset or asset group is not recoverable on an undiscounted cash flow basis, impairment is recognized to the extent that the carrying value exceeds its fair value. Fair value is determined through various valuation techniques including discounted cash flow models, quoted market values and third-party independent appraisals, as considered necessary.

Asset Retirement Obligations (“ARO”). Our ARO consists of estimated costs of dismantlement, removal, site reclamation and similar activities associated with our facilities. We recognize the fair value of a liability for an ARO in the period in which we have an existing legal obligation associated with the retirement of our facilities and the obligation can reasonably be estimated. The associated asset retirement cost is capitalized as part of the carrying cost of the asset. The recognition of an ARO requires that we make numerous estimates, assumptions and judgments regarding such factors as the existence of a legal obligation for an ARO; estimated probabilities, amounts and timing of settlements; the credit-adjusted risk-free rate to be used; discount rate and inflation rates. In periods subsequent to initial measurement of the ARO, we recognize changes in the liability resulting from the accretion of the liability to its

non-discounted amount and revisions to either the timing or the amount of the original estimate of undiscounted cash flows. Revisions also result in increases or decreases in the carrying cost of these assets. Increases in the ARO liability due to accretion is charged to depreciation and amortization expense. The related capitalized cost, including revisions thereto, is charged to depreciation and amortization expense. See Note 11 Commitments and Contingencies (subsection (c)) to the consolidated financial statements.

Contingencies. We are routinely involved in litigation, claims and disputes incidental to our business. Professional judgment is required to classify the likelihood of these contingencies occurring. A contingency is categorized as probable, reasonably possible, or remote. A contingency is classified as probable if the future event or events are likely to occur. For the probable contingencies, a loss is accrued and disclosed as of the date of the financial statements if it is both probable that an asset has been impaired or a liability has been incurred at the date of the financial statements and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. A reasonably possible contingency occurs if the chance of the future event or events happening is more than remote but less than likely (reasonably possible but not probable). We disclose the loss contingencies in the footnotes to the financial statements but do not recognize any liability. A remote contingency is one where the chance of the future event or events occurring is slight. We neither accrue for nor disclose the liability in the notes to the financial statements.

Share-Based Compensation. Share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award. We recognize these costs using the straight-line method over the requisite service period. The Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. 2009 Equity Incentive Plan (the "Equity Plan") allows for the grant to key employees, independent contractors, and eligible non-employee directors of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options (which together with the incentive stock options, are referred to herein as ("Options")), stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards, in addition to other equity or equity-based awards (including performance-based awards) as our board determines from time to time. We estimate the fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes valuation model. Since our equity interests were privately held prior to our initial public offering we have limited publicly traded stock history, and as a result our estimated volatility is based on a combination of our historical volatility and similar companies' stock that are publicly traded. Until such time that we have enough publicly traded stock history to estimate volatility based solely on our stock, we expect to estimate volatility of options granted based on a combination of our historical volatility and similar companies' stock that are publicly traded. The expected term of options represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. For all periods presented, we used the simplified method to calculate the expected term of options. The risk free interest rate for the periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. For all periods presented, the dividend yield is assumed to be zero based on historical and expected dividend activity. Forfeitures are based substantially on the history of cancellations of similar awards granted in prior years. See Note 3 Share-Based Compensation to the consolidated financial statements.

Income Taxes. We conduct operations in separate legal entities in different jurisdictions. As a result, income tax amounts are reflected in our consolidated financial statements for each of those jurisdictions.

Income taxes are recorded utilizing an asset and liability approach. This method gives consideration to the future tax consequences associated with the differences between the financial accounting and tax basis of the assets and liabilities as well as the ultimate realization of any deferred tax asset resulting from such differences. Valuation allowances are recorded to reduce deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that a tax benefit will not be realized. In determining whether a valuation allowance is required, the company evaluates primarily (a) the impact of cumulative losses in past years, and (b) current and/or recent losses. A recent trend in earnings despite cumulative losses is a prerequisite to considering not recording a valuation allowance.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, we consider whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. We consider the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the periods in which the deferred tax assets are deductible, we believe it is more likely than not that we will realize the benefits of these deductible differences, net of the existing valuation allowances.

Benefit Plan Valuations. We sponsor a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan ("Pension Plan"), a non-qualified defined benefit pension plan, and an additional post-retirement benefit plan ("Retiree Medical Plan"). We annually evaluate significant assumptions related to the benefits and obligations of these plans. Our estimation of the projected benefit obligations and related benefit expense requires that certain assumptions be made regarding such variables as expected return on plan assets, discount rates, rates of future compensation increases, estimated future employee turnover rates and retirement dates, distribution election rates, mortality rates, retiree utilization rates for health care

services and health care cost trend rates. The determination of the appropriate assumptions requires considerable judgment concerning future events and has a significant impact on the amount of the obligations and expense recorded. We rely in part on actuarial studies when determining the appropriateness of certain of the assumptions used in determining the benefit obligations and the annual expenses for these plans.

The discount rates are determined annually and are based on rates of return of high-quality long-term fixed income securities currently available with maturities consistent with the projected benefit payout period. The expected long-term rate of return on assets is derived from a review of anticipated future long-term performance of individual asset classes and consideration of an appropriate asset allocation strategy, given the anticipated requirements of the Pension Plan, to determine

the average rate of earnings expected on the funds invested to provide for the pension plan benefits. We also consider recent fund performance and historical returns in establishing the expected rate of return.

Movements in the capital markets impact the market value of the investment assets used to fund our Pension Plan. Future changes in plan asset returns, assumed discount rates and various other factors related to our pension and post-retirement plans will impact future pension expenses and liabilities.

The estimated effect of alternate assumptions on the 2015 estimated annual expense for the Pension Plan and Retiree Medical Plan were performed at varying discount rates, expected return on assets, expected salary increase, and, in the case of our Retiree Medical Plan, health care cost increases.

The measurement date of the Pension Plan's assets and obligations was December 31, 2014. We applied a 4.12% discount rate, assumed an 8.5% long term expected rate of return on plan assets and assumed an expected salary rate increase of 3.0%. The percentage of equity securities in our Pension Plan as of December 31, 2014 was approximately 61.7%, up from approximately 57.6% as of December 31, 2013, and the percentage of debt securities as of December 31, 2014 was approximately 28.8%, down from approximately 33.8% as of December 31, 2013. The plan's strategic target asset allocation as of December 31, 2014 was 50% equity, 30% debt and 20% other, with the "other" component consisting of a global market fund and a real estate fund, among others. We have assumed that the funds in the "other" category together would behave similarly to debt and therefore included the 20% "other" as bonds in our assessment.

We estimated a range of returns on the plan assets using a historical stochastic simulation model that determines the compound average annual return (assuming these asset classes—stocks, bonds and cash) over a 20-year historical period (the approximate duration of our liabilities under the Pension Plan). The distribution of results from these simulations is then used to determine a median expected asset return.

Based on the plan's current target asset allocation, the median estimate for future asset returns (before non-investment expenses) was 8.9%. The asset return assumption set for determining the 2014 FASB ASC 715 expense was 8.5%, after non-investment expenses paid by the Trust. For the past three years, non-investment related expenses have averaged 0.5%. Therefore, the 8.5% return after non-investment expenses assumption is equivalent to a gross assumption of 9.0% (8.5% + 0.5%). A 9.0% rate (before non-investment expenses) falls within an acceptable range of simulated asset returns, between the 40th and 60th percentile.

For the Pension Plan, a 100 basis point decrease in the assumed discount rate would result in a corresponding increase of \$3.0 million in our estimated Pension Plan expense for 2015. A 100 basis point decrease from 8.5% in the rate of return on plan assets would result in a corresponding increase of \$1.0 million and a 100 basis point increase in the expected salary rate would result in a corresponding increase of \$1.2 million in expenses for 2015, in each case holding all other assumptions and factors constant.

For the Retiree Medical Plan, a 100 basis point decrease in the assumed discount rate would result in a corresponding increase of \$0.4 million in our estimated expense and a 100 basis point increase in the assumed health care trend rate would result in a corresponding increase of \$0.1 million in our estimated expense for 2015, in each case holding all other assumptions and factors constant. For additional information about our benefit plans, See Note 12 Employee Benefits to the consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition. Sales are recognized in accordance with the provisions of ASC 605, Revenue Recognition—Overall, when the revenue is realized or realizable, and has been earned. Revenue for product sales is recognized when risk and title to the product transfer to the customer, which usually occurs at the time shipment is made. Our products are generally sold free on board shipping point or, with respect to countries other than the United States, an equivalent basis. As such, title to the product passes when the product is delivered to the freight carrier. Our standard terms of delivery are included in our contracts of sale, order confirmation documents and invoices. Shipping and other transportation costs charged to customers are recorded in both revenue and cost of goods sold.

We have entered into agreements with some of our customers whereby they earn rebates from us when the volume of their purchases of our product reach certain agreed upon levels. We recognize the rebate obligation ratably, as a reduction of revenue.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Description of Senior Secured Credit Facilities

In March 2013, we entered into an asset-based revolving credit facility consisting of a U.S. senior secured revolving credit facility of \$150.0 million and a Dutch senior secured revolving credit facility of \$100.0 million (the "Senior Secured Credit Facilities"), to replace our then-existing senior secured credit facility, and repaid in full all outstanding amounts payable under the previously existing facility.

The Senior Secured Credit Facilities are primarily secured by receivables and inventory, and borrowing availability under the facilities is subject to borrowing base limitations based on the level of receivables and inventory available for security. The Senior Secured Credit Facilities include a \$100.0 million uncommitted accordion feature that, subject to borrowing base availability and approval of the bank syndicate, could increase aggregate availability to \$350.0 million. We cannot guarantee that all of the lending counterparties contractually committed to fund a revolving credit draw request will actually fund future requests, although we currently believe that each of the counterparties would meet their funding requirements. The Senior Secured Credit Facilities terminate on March 27, 2018; however, we may, from time to time, request that the lenders extend the maturity of their commitments; provided that at no time shall there be more than four maturity dates under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities.

The Senior Secured Credit Facilities contain a financial covenant requiring us to maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.0 to 1.0 if availability under the facilities is below specified amounts. Our failure to comply with this financial maintenance covenant would give rise to a default under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities. If factors arise that negatively impact our profitability, we may not be able to satisfy this covenant. In addition, the Senior Secured Credit Facilities contain customary events of default, including, without limitation, a failure to make payments under the facilities, cross-default with respect to other indebtedness and cross-judgment default, certain bankruptcy events and certain change of control events. If we are unable to satisfy the covenants or other provisions of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities at any future time we would need to seek an amendment or waiver of such covenants or other provisions. The respective lenders under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities may elect not to consent to any amendment or waiver requests that we may make in the future, and, if they do consent, they may do so on terms that are not favorable to us. In the event that we are unable to obtain any such waiver or amendment and we are not able to refinance or repay our Senior Secured Credit Facilities, our inability to meet the covenants or other provisions of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities would constitute an event of default, which would permit the bank lenders to accelerate the Senior Secured Credit Facilities. Such acceleration may in turn constitute an event of default under our senior notes or other indebtedness. At December 31, 2014, we were in compliance with the covenants under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities. For additional information regarding our Senior Secured Credit Facilities, see "Senior Secured Credit Facilities" in Note 6 Long-Term Debt to the consolidated financial statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Description of 6.75% Senior Notes due 2019

Kraton Polymers LLC and its wholly-owned financing subsidiary Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation issued \$350.0 million aggregate principal amount of 6.75% senior notes that mature on March 1, 2019. The notes are general unsecured, senior obligations and are unconditionally guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis. We pay interest on the notes at 6.75% per annum, semi-annually in arrears on March 1 and September 1 of each year. Prior to March 1, 2015, we may redeem all or a part of the senior notes, at a redemption price equal to 100.00% of the principal amount of the senior notes redeemed plus the applicable premium as of such date, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the applicable redemption date. After March 1, 2015, we may redeem all or a part of the senior notes for 103.375%, 101.688%, and 100.000% of the principal amount in 2015, 2016 and 2017 and thereafter, respectively. At December 31, 2014, we were in compliance with the covenants under the indenture governing our 6.75% senior notes. For additional information regarding our 6.75% senior notes, see "6.75% Senior Notes due 2019" in Note 6 Long-Term Debt to the consolidated financial statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Description of KFPC Loan Agreement

On July 17, 2014, KFPC executed the KFPC Loan Agreement in the amount of 5.5 billion NTD, or \$173.1 million (converted at the December 31, 2014 exchange rate), to provide additional funding to construct the Specialty Polymers manufacturing facility in Taiwan and to provide funding for working capital requirements and/or general corporate purposes.

The KFPC Loan Agreement is comprised of a NTD 4.29 billion Tranche A, or \$135.0 million (converted at the December 31, 2014 exchange rate), to fund KFPC's capital expenditures, and a NTD 1.21 billion Tranche B, or \$38.1 million (converted at the December 31, 2014 exchange rate), to fund working capital requirements and/or general corporate purposes. As of December 31, 2014, no draws have been made on the KFPC Loan Agreement and as of the date of this filing, NTD 0.3 billion, or 9.4 million (converted at the February 24, 2015 exchange rate) was drawn and outstanding. The initial term of the KFPC Loan Agreement is five years from the date of the first drawing of either tranche. To the extent that the first drawing has not occurred within six months from the date of the KFPC Loan Agreement, the term will be five years from expiration of this

six-month period. Subject to certain conditions, KFPC can request a two-year extension of the term of the KFPC Loan Agreement.

The total outstanding principal amount is payable in six semi-annual installments with the first payment due upon the expiry of a thirty-month period commencing on the date of the first drawing of loans and each subsequent payment due every six months thereafter. The first five installments shall be in an amount equal to 10% of the outstanding principal amount and the final installment shall be in an amount equal to the remaining 50% of the outstanding principal amount. In the event the extension period is granted, the final 50% of the outstanding principal amount shall be repaid in five equal semi-annual installments with the first installment due on the original final maturity date. The KFPC Loan Agreement is subject to a variable interest rate composed of a fixed 0.8% margin plus the three-month or six-month fixing rate of the Taipei Interbank Offered Rate (depending on the interest period selected by KFPC in the drawdown request or the interest period notice), subject to a floor of 1.7%. Interest is payable on a monthly basis.

The KFPC Loan Agreement contains certain financial covenants which change during the term of the KFPC Loan Agreement. The financial covenants include a maximum debt to equity ratio of 3.0 to 1.0 commencing in 2014, which will decrease over time to 1.2 to 1.0 in 2018; a minimum tangible net worth requirement of \$50.0 million commencing in 2014, which will increase to \$100.0 million in 2019; and a minimum interest coverage ratio of 2.5 to 1.0 commencing in 2016, which will increase to 5.0 to 1.0 in 2017. In each case, these covenants are calculated and tested on an annual basis. Formosa Petrochemical Corporation and Kraton Polymers LLC are the guarantors of the KFPC Loan Agreement with each guarantor guaranteeing 50% of the indebtedness. At December 31, 2014, we were in compliance with the covenants under the KFPC Loan Agreement. For additional information regarding our KFPC Loan Agreement, see "KFPC Loan Agreement" in Note 6 Long-Term Debt to the consolidated financial statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Known Trends and Uncertainties

Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. is a holding company without any operations or assets other than the operations of its subsidiaries. Cash flows from operations of our subsidiaries, cash on hand and available borrowings under our credit facility are our principal sources of liquidity.

Based upon current and anticipated levels of operations, we believe that cash flows from operations of our subsidiaries, cash on hand, and borrowings available to us will be sufficient to fund our expected financial obligations, planned capital expenditures and anticipated liquidity requirements, including working capital requirements, our investment in the joint venture with FPCC, debt payments, interest payments, benefit plan contributions and income tax obligations. However, these cash flows are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, earnings, sensitivities to the cost of raw materials, seasonality and fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. Because feedstock costs generally represent a substantial portion of our cost of goods sold, in periods of rising feedstock costs, we generally consume cash in operating activities due to increases in accounts receivable and inventory costs, partially offset by increased value of accounts payable. Conversely, during periods in which feedstock costs are declining, we generate cash flow from decreases in working capital.

Going forward there can be no assurance that our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations or that future borrowings will be available under our senior secured credit facilities to fund liquidity needs and enable us to service our indebtedness. At December 31, 2014, we had \$53.8 million of cash and cash equivalents, which includes \$8.0 million of cash-on-hand at KFPC, the consolidated joint venture in Asia. As of December 31, 2014, our available borrowing capacity under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities was \$191.6 million of which \$0.0 million was drawn and as of the date of this filing, our available borrowing capacity was \$183.7 million, of which \$0.0 million was drawn. Excluding the \$8.0 million of KFPC cash, our liquidity at December 31, 2014 amounted to \$237.4 million. Our available cash and cash equivalents are held in accounts managed by third-party financial institutions and consist of cash invested in interest bearing funds and operating accounts. To date, we have not experienced any losses or lack of access to our invested cash or cash equivalents; however, we cannot provide any assurance that adverse conditions in the financial markets will not impact access to our invested cash and cash equivalents.

We made contributions of \$7.2 million to our pension plan for the year ended December 31, 2014 and \$6.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. We expect our total pension plan contributions for the year ended December 31, 2015 to be \$3.7 million. Our pension plan obligations are predicated on a number of factors, the primary ones being

the return on our pension plan assets and the discount rate used in deriving our pension obligations. If the investment return on our pension plan assets does not meet or exceed expectations during 2015, and the discount rate decreases from the prior year, higher levels of contributions could be required in 2016 and beyond.

As of December 31, 2014, we had \$47.3 million of cash and short-term investments related to foreign operations that management asserts are permanently reinvested. As a result of net operating loss carryforwards, management estimates that approximately \$1.0 million of additional cash tax expense would be incurred if this cash were repatriated.

Turbulence in U.S. and international markets and economies may adversely affect our liquidity and financial condition, the liquidity and financial condition of our customers, and our ability to timely replace maturing liabilities and access the capital markets to meet liquidity needs, resulting in adverse effects on our financial condition and results of operations. However, to date we have been able to access borrowings available to us in amounts sufficient to fund liquidity needs.

Our ability to pay principal and interest on our indebtedness, fund working capital, make anticipated capital expenditures and fund our investment in the joint venture with FPCC depends on our future performance, which is subject to general economic conditions and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. "See Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors" for further discussion.

Operating Cash Flows and Liquidity

Net cash provided by operating activities totaled \$29.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 and \$105.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. This represents a net decrease of \$75.6 million, which was primarily driven by changes in working capital. The net change in working capital was a use of cash of \$53.2 million in 2014 compared to a source of cash of \$43.8 million in 2013, a period-over-period decline in cash flows of \$97.0 million. The period-over-period changes are as follows:

- \$27.1 million decrease in cash flows associated with inventories of products, materials and supplies, largely due to increases in the quantities of finished goods inventories partially offset by decreases in the costs of raw material and finished goods inventories;

- \$50.3 million decrease in cash flows associated with trade accounts payable primarily due to the timing of payments and a decrease in the cost of raw materials; and

- \$33.8 million net decrease in cash flows due to the timing of payments of other items, including related party transactions, taxes, and pension costs; partially offset by

- \$14.2 million increase in cash flows associated with accounts receivable reflecting improved days sales outstanding and lower sales volumes.

Net cash provided by operating activities totaled \$105.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 and \$146.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This represents a net decrease of \$40.9 million, which was primarily driven by changes in working capital. The net change in working capital was a source of cash of \$43.8 million in 2013 compared to a source of cash of \$72.9 million in 2012; a period-over-period decline in cash flows of \$29.0 million. The period-over-period changes are as follows:

- \$42.4 million decrease in cash flows associated with inventories of products, materials and supplies, largely due to changes in the quantity of raw material and finished goods inventories and to a lesser extent the costs of raw materials and finished goods inventories;

- \$17.8 million decrease in cash flows associated with accounts receivable reflecting changes in timing of cash receipts and sales volumes, partially offset by decreases in revenue per ton; partially offset by

- \$6.3 million increase in cash flows associated with trade accounts payable primarily due to the timing of payments partially offset by a decrease in the cost of raw materials; and

- \$24.9 million net increase in cash flows due to the timing of payments of other items, including related party transactions, taxes, and pension costs.

Investing Cash Flows

Net cash used in investing activities totaled \$114.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 and \$88.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. Capital projects in 2014 included the following:

- \$44.3 million for KFPC joint venture project construction costs;

- \$39.0 million related to projects to optimize the production capabilities of our manufacturing assets, which includes \$26.8 million to comply with the MACT rule; and

- \$20.7 million related to health, safety and environmental, including infrastructure and maintenance projects.

Net cash used in investing activities totaled \$88.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 and \$69.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Capital projects in 2013 included the following:

- \$11.9 million for KFPC joint venture project construction costs;

\$23.7 million to support our innovation platform, which includes \$17.9 million related to the semi-works facility; \$27.3 million related to projects to optimize the production capabilities of our manufacturing assets, which includes \$13.6 million to comply with the MACT rule; and \$18.1 million related to health, safety and environmental, including infrastructure and maintenance projects.

Expected Capital Expenditures. We currently expect 2015 capital expenditures, excluding expenditures by the KFPC joint venture, will be approximately \$60.0 million to \$65.0 million. Included in this estimate is approximately \$19.0 million to \$22.0 million for health, safety and environmental and infrastructure and maintenance projects. The remaining anticipated 2015 capital expenditures are primarily associated with projects to optimize the production capabilities of our manufacturing assets and to support our innovation platform.

We currently anticipate the total KFPC joint venture project construction cost will be at least \$200.0 million, of which, 2014 capital expenditures were \$44.3 million and 2015 capital expenditures will be approximately \$130.0 million to \$140.0 million. The project has been funded with a combination of equity and debt financing. From the inception of the project to December 31, 2014, we and FPCC have each made equity investments of \$41.6 million to KFPC. On July 17, 2014, KFPC executed a syndicated loan agreement in the amount of 5.5 billion New Taiwan Dollars, or \$173.1 million (converted at the December 31, 2014 exchange rate), to provide the debt portion of the project financing including funding for working capital and/or general corporate purposes. Kraton Polymers LLC and FPCC are guarantors of the KFPC Loan Agreement with each guaranteeing 50.0% of the indebtedness. See Note 6 Long-Term Debt to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion of the KFPC Loan Agreement.

Financing Cash Flows and Liquidity

Our consolidated capital structure as of December 31, 2014 was approximately 52.5% equity, 42.9% debt and 4.6% noncontrolling interest compared to approximately 56.8% equity, 38.7% debt and 4.5% noncontrolling interest as of December 31, 2013.

Net cash used in financing activities totaled \$24.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$62.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. In 2014, we repurchased \$18.7 million in shares of our common stock as part of our share repurchase program approved in October 2014. In 2013, we repaid the \$96.9 million remaining principal amount of term loans and received \$41.6 million from FPCC, which represents their portion of the equity investment in the KFPC joint venture.

Net cash used in financing activities totaled \$62.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$53.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. In 2013, we repaid the \$96.9 million remaining principal amount of term loans and received \$41.6 million from FPCC, which represents their portion of the equity investment in the KFPC joint venture. In 2012, we increased the amount outstanding under the 6.75% Senior Notes by \$100.0 million and made a \$40.0 million voluntary prepayment on the term loan portion of the senior secured credit facility.

Other Contingencies

As a chemicals manufacturer, our operations in the United States and abroad are subject to a wide range of environmental laws and regulations at both the national and local levels. These laws and regulations govern, among other things, air emissions, wastewater discharges, solid and hazardous waste management, site remediation programs and chemical use and management.

Pursuant to these laws and regulations, our facilities are required to obtain and comply with a wide variety of environmental permits for different aspects of their operations. Generally, many of these environmental laws and regulations are becoming increasingly stringent, and the cost of compliance with these various requirements can be expected to increase over time.

In the context of the separation in February 2001, Shell Chemicals agreed to indemnify us for specific categories of environmental claims brought with respect to matters occurring before the separation. However, the indemnity from Shell Chemicals is subject to dollar and time limitations. Coverage under the indemnity also varies depending upon the nature of the environmental claim, the location giving rise to the claim and the manner in which the claim is triggered. Therefore, if claims arise in the future related to past operations, we cannot give assurances that those claims will be covered by the Shell Chemicals' indemnity and also cannot be certain that any amounts recoverable will be sufficient to satisfy claims against us.

In addition, we may in the future be subject to claims that arise solely from events or circumstances occurring after February 2001, which would not, in any event, be covered by the Shell Chemicals' indemnity. While we recognize that we may in the future be held liable for remediation activities beyond those identified to date, at present we are not aware of any

circumstances that are reasonably expected to give rise to remediation claims that would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or cause us to exceed our projected level of anticipated capital expenditures. The EPA issued new MACT standards for controlling hazardous air emissions from industrial boilers. The MACT rule applies to the coal-burning boilers at our Belpre, Ohio, facility. On January 31, 2013, the EPA published standards for industrial boilers, and certain incinerators, and non-hazardous secondary materials in the Federal Register with an effective date of April 1, 2013 and a compliance date of January 31, 2016, three years from the date of publication in the Federal Register. In response to a number of petitions for reconsideration, in August 2013, the EPA issued letters granting reconsideration on three issues raised in the petition. The three issues included in the EPA's grant of reconsideration relate to (i) the definition of startup and shutdown periods and applicable work practices during such periods, (ii) revisions made to carbon monoxide limits and (iii) the use of particulate matter continuous parameter monitoring systems. On January 21, 2015, the EPA published a notice in the Federal Register announcing reconsideration of these three issues, proposing certain revisions to the definitions of startup and shutdown and to the applicable work practice standard during the startup and shutdown period, as well as a number of technical clarifying changes and corrections to the rule, and requesting public comment on the three issues and proposed revisions. We plan to be in compliance with the MACT standards prior to the expiration of the compliance period.

Except for the foregoing, we currently estimate that any expenses incurred in maintaining compliance with environmental laws and regulations will not materially affect our results of operations or cause us to exceed our level of anticipated capital expenditures. However, we cannot give assurances that regulatory requirements or permit conditions will not change, and we cannot predict the aggregate costs of additional measures that may be required to maintain compliance as a result of such changes or expenses.

We had no material operating expenditures for environmental fines, penalties, government imposed remedial or corrective actions during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 or 2012.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We are not a party to any material off-balance sheet arrangements as of December 31, 2014, other than operating leases.

Contractual Obligations

Our principal outstanding contractual obligations relate to the senior notes and related interest payments, the operating leases of some of our facilities, the minimum purchase obligations required under our KFPC joint venture agreement and other agreements, and the feedstock contracts with LyondellBasell and others to provide us with styrene, butadiene and isoprene. The following table summarizes our contractual cash obligations as of December 31, 2014 for the periods indicated.

Dollars in Millions	Payments Due by Period						
	Total	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 and after
Long-term debt obligations	\$350.0	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$350.0	\$—
Estimated interest payments on debt	101.5	24.6	24.6	24.6	23.8	3.9	—
Operating lease obligations	47.5	15.5	11.7	7.1	4.2	3.7	5.3
Capital lease obligation	1.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.5
Purchase obligations (1)	2,772.2	267.8	239.8	105.0	112.8	113.2	1,933.6
Estimated pension obligations (2)	36.6	—	0.7	1.3	2.1	3.3	29.2
Total contractual cash obligations	\$3,308.8	\$308.0	\$276.9	\$138.1	\$143.0	\$474.2	\$1,968.6

Included in this line are our estimated minimum purchases required under our KFPC joint venture agreement. Due (1) to the indefinite term of this joint venture, we have based our minimum purchases on an assumed 20 year useful life of the facility.

(2) This represents our future pension contributions utilizing the following assumptions:

• The plan was “frozen” at December 31, 2014;

All assets at December 31, 2014 were moved into a portfolio of high quality bonds whose cash flow matches the expected cash flow of the “frozen” plan. The yield on the portfolio of bonds as of December 31, 2014 is equal to the estimated PPA effective rate at January 1, 2015. Assets were assumed to remain in such portfolio until all obligations of the plan were paid out;

• An estimated PPA effective rate as of January 1, 2015 of 4.45%;

• All contributions are made at the latest date allowable by law; and

• All other assumptions as used in the 2014 funding actuarial valuation of the plan are met.

Impact of Inflation. Our results of operations and financial condition are presented based on historical cost. While it is difficult to accurately measure the impact of inflation due to the imprecise nature of the estimates required, we believe the effects of inflation, if any, on our results of operations and financial condition have been immaterial.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

We are exposed to certain market risks, including risks from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, and commodity prices that could impact our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. We selectively manage our exposure to these and other market risks through regular operating and financing activities as well as through the use of market risk sensitive instruments. We use such financial instruments as risk management tools and not for speculative investment purposes. The market risk sensitive instruments that we have entered into as of December 31, 2014 consist of a series of non-deliverable forward contracts.

Interest rate risk. We were exposed to interest rate risk as a result of our previously outstanding variable rate debt under our senior secured credit agreement which we refinanced in March 2013 and repaid all our outstanding indebtedness. Associated with the refinancing we terminated and settled the existing interest rate swap agreement that was in place to hedge or otherwise protect against interest rate fluctuations on a portion of our variable rate debt.

Foreign currency exchange risk. We conduct operations in many countries around the world. Our results of operations are subject to both currency transaction risk and currency translation risk. We incur currency transaction risk when we enter into either a purchase or sale transaction using a currency other than the local currency of the transacting entity. We are subject to currency translation risk because our financial condition and results of operations are measured and recorded in the relevant domestic currency and then translated into U.S. dollars for inclusion in our historical consolidated financial statements. We attempt to selectively manage significant exposures to potential foreign currency exchange losses based on current market conditions, future operating activities, and the associated cost in relation to the perceived risk of loss. The purpose of our foreign currency risk management activities is to minimize the risk that our cash flows from the sale and/or purchase of services and products in foreign currencies will be adversely affected by changes in exchange rates.

Periodically, we enter into foreign currency agreements to hedge or otherwise protect against fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. These agreements typically do not qualify for hedge accounting and gains/losses resulting from both the up-front premiums and/or settlement of the hedges at expiration of the agreements are recognized in the period in which they are incurred. In 2014, we entered into a series of foreign currency forward contracts to reduce our exposure to exchange rate volatility. These contracts were structured such that the underlying foreign currency exchange gains/losses would be offset by the mark-to-market impact of the hedging instruments and reduce the impact of foreign currency exchange movements throughout the year. The notional amounts of open foreign currency forward contracts were \$46.9 million at December 31, 2014 and \$44.9 million at December 31, 2013. The notional amounts of our forward contracts do not generally represent amounts exchanged by the parties, and thus are not a measure of our exposure or of the cash requirements related to these contracts. As such, cash flows related to these contracts are typically not material. The amounts exchanged are calculated by reference to the notional amounts and by other terms of the contracts, such as exchange rates.

In addition, in 2013 we entered into a series of non-deliverable forward and foreign currency option contracts to protect our net investment in various foreign subsidiaries against adverse changes in exchange rates by fixing the U.S. dollar/Euro exchange rate and the New Taiwan Dollar/Euro exchange rate. These contracts qualified for hedge accounting in accordance with ASC 815-35 "Net Investment Hedges" and typically settled weekly or monthly and all were settled at December 31, 2013. See Note 8 Fair Value Measurements, Financial Instruments and Credit Risk to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion.

We use sensitivity analysis models to measure the impact of a hypothetical 10% adverse movement of foreign currency exchange rates against the U.S. dollar. For our foreign currency transaction risk, a hypothetical 10% adverse change in the foreign currency exchange rates for all our foreign currency positions would result in a \$1.7 million pre-tax loss for our net monetary assets denominated in currencies other than the respective entity's functional currency at December 31, 2014. For our foreign currency translation risk, a hypothetical 10% adverse change in the applicable average foreign currency exchange rates relative to the U.S. dollar in 2015 would negatively impact our pre-tax income by \$5.6 million.

There are certain limitations inherent in the sensitivity analyses presented, primarily due to the assumption that interest rates and exchange rates change instantaneously in an equally adverse fashion. In addition, the analyses are unable to reflect the complex market reactions that normally would arise from the market shifts modeled. While this is our best estimate of the impact of the various scenarios, these estimates should not be viewed as forecasts.

Commodity price risk. We are exposed to commodity price risk due to our forward contractual purchase commitments for raw materials. Styrene, butadiene and isoprene are primarily supplied by a portfolio of suppliers under long-term supply contracts and arrangements with various expiration dates. We are subject to future purchase commitments for commodities under minimum purchase contracts for raw materials. Based on pricing as of December 31, 2014, a hypothetical 10.0% change in the market price for these raw materials would change our 2015 cost of goods sold by \$26.7 million.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

The financial statements are set forth herein commencing on page F-5 of this report.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

An evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. As of December 31, 2014, based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the design and operation of these disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

See Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting on page F-2 of the audited consolidated financial statements provided under Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm

See Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on page F-3 of the audited consolidated financial statements provided under Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the three months ended December 31, 2014 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

Information in response to this item is incorporated by reference to our Proxy Statement relating to our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders. The Proxy Statement will be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

Information in response to this item is incorporated by reference to our Proxy Statement relating to our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders. The Proxy Statement will be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

Information in response to this item is incorporated by reference to our Proxy Statement relating to our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders. The Proxy Statement will be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

Information in response to this item is incorporated by reference to our Proxy Statement relating to our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders. The Proxy Statement will be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

Information in response to this item is incorporated by reference to our Proxy Statement relating to our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders. The Proxy Statement will be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

(a) 1. Financial Statements

The following financial statements are included in Item 8:

Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.

(i) The reports of KPMG LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

(ii) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013

(iii) Consolidated Statements of Operations—years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

(iv) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)—years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

(v) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity—years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

(vi) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows—years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

(vii) Notes to consolidated financial statements

2. Exhibits

The exhibits listed on the accompanying Exhibit Index are filed as part of this report and are on file with us.

(b) Exhibits

See Item 15(a) 2 above.

(c) Financial Statement Schedule

See Schedule II.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 25, 2015

Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.

/S/ KEVIN M. FOGARTY

Kevin M. Fogarty

President and Chief Executive Officer

This report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on February 25, 2015.

Signature	Title
/S/ KEVIN M. FOGARTY Kevin M. Fogarty	President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director (Principal Executive Officer)
/S/ STEPHEN E. TREMBLAY Stephen E. Tremblay	Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)
/S/ JASON P. CLARK Jason P. Clark	Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)
/S/ ANNA C. CATALANO* Anna C. Catalano	Director
/S/ STEVEN J. DEMETRIOU* Steven J. Demetriou	Director
/S/ DOMINIQUE FOURNIER* Dominique Fournier	Director
/S/ JOHN J. GALLAGHER, III* John J. Gallagher	Director
/S/ BARRY J. GOLDSTEIN* Barry J. Goldstein	Director
/S/ FRANCIS S. KALMAN* Francis S. Kalman	Director
/S/ DAN F. SMITH* Dan F. Smith	Director
/S/ KAREN A. TWITCHELL* Karen A. Twitchell	Director

*By: /S/ STEPHEN E. TREMBLAY
Stephen E. Tremblay
As attorney-in-fact

55

KRATON PERFORMANCE POLYMERS, INC.
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Audited Consolidated Financial Statements	
<u>Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u>	<u>F-2</u>
<u>The reports of KPMG LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>F-3</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>F-5</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>F-6</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>F-7</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>F-8</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>F-9</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>F-10</u>

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Internal control over financial reporting, no matter how well designed, has inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Further, because of changes in conditions, the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting may vary over time.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, we conducted an evaluation to assess the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014 based upon criteria set forth in the Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our assessment, we believe that, as of December 31, 2014, our internal control over financial reporting is effective.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014 has been audited by KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report that is included herein.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.:

We have audited Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013) issued by COSO.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), changes in equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2014, and our report dated February 25, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Houston, Texas

February 25, 2015

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), changes in equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2014. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2014, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 25, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Houston, Texas

February 25, 2015

KRATON PERFORMANCE POLYMERS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except par value)

	December 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$53,818	\$175,872
Receivables, net of allowances of \$245 and \$315	107,432	129,356
Inventories of products	326,992	328,772
Inventories of materials and supplies	10,968	10,947
Deferred income taxes	7,247	7,596
Other current assets	24,521	20,665
Total current assets	530,978	673,208
Property, plant and equipment, less accumulated depreciation of \$387,463 and \$353,428	451,765	414,257
Intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$88,939 and \$78,784	49,610	57,488
Investment in unconsolidated joint venture	12,648	14,074
Debt issuance costs	7,153	9,213
Deferred income taxes	2,176	1,326
Other long-term assets	28,122	25,231
Total assets	\$1,082,452	\$1,194,797
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$87	\$—
Accounts payable-trade	72,786	115,736
Other payables and accruals	50,888	54,539
Deferred income taxes	1,633	182
Due to related party	18,121	24,603
Total current liabilities	143,515	195,060
Long-term debt, net of current portion	351,785	350,989
Deferred income taxes	15,262	18,359
Other long-term liabilities	103,739	75,991
Total liabilities	614,301	640,399
Commitments and contingencies (note 11)		
Equity:		
Kraton stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 100,000 shares authorized; none issued	—	—
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 500,000 shares authorized; 31,831 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2014; 32,547 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013	318	325
Additional paid in capital	361,342	363,590
Retained earnings	168,041	170,827
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(99,218)	(21,252)
Total Kraton stockholders' equity	430,483	513,490
Noncontrolling interest	37,668	40,908
Total equity	468,151	554,398
Total liabilities and equity	\$1,082,452	\$1,194,797

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

KRATON PERFORMANCE POLYMERS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Revenue	\$ 1,230,433	\$ 1,292,121	\$ 1,423,122
Cost of goods sold	993,366	1,066,289	1,191,680
Gross profit	237,067	225,832	231,442
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	31,370	32,014	31,011
Selling, general and administrative	104,209	105,558	98,555
Depreciation and amortization	66,242	63,182	64,554
Impairment of long-lived assets	4,731	—	5,434
Total operating expenses	206,552	200,754	199,554
Earnings of unconsolidated joint venture	407	530	530
Interest expense, net	24,594	30,470	29,303
Income (loss) before income taxes	6,328	(4,862)) 3,115
Income tax expense (benefit)	5,118	(3,887)) 19,306
Consolidated net income (loss)	1,210	(975)) (16,191)
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	(1,209)) (357)) —
Net income (loss) attributable to Kraton	\$ 2,419	\$ (618)) \$(16,191)
Earnings (loss) per common share:			
Basic	0.07	(0.02)) (0.50)
Diluted	0.07	(0.02)) (0.50)
Weighted average common shares outstanding:			
Basic	32,163	32,096	31,939
Diluted	32,483	32,096	31,939

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
F-6

KRATON PERFORMANCE POLYMERS, INC.
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(In thousands)

	Years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Net income (loss) attributable to Kraton	\$2,419	\$(618)	\$(16,191)
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax of \$0	(44,628)	(4,198)	(2,594)
Reclassification of loss on interest rate swap, net of tax of \$0	—	837	—
Unrealized loss on interest rate swaps, net of tax of \$0	—	—	(28)
Unrealized loss on net investment hedge, net of tax of \$0	—	(490)	(2,335)
(Increase) decrease in benefit plans liability, net of tax of \$0, \$10,065, and \$0	(33,338)	17,109	(11,935)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(77,966)	13,258	(16,892)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Kraton	(75,547)	12,640	(33,083)
Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	(3,240)	(722)	—
Consolidated comprehensive income (loss)	\$(78,787)	\$11,918	\$(33,083)

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

F-7

KRATON PERFORMANCE POLYMERS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

(In thousands)

	Common Stock	Additional Paid in Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Kraton Equity	Noncontrolling Interest	Total Equity
Balance at January 1, 2012	\$ 321	\$ 347,455	\$ 187,636	\$ (17,618)	\$ 517,794	\$ —	\$ 517,794
Net loss	—	—	(16,191)	—	(16,191)	—	(16,191)
Other comprehensive loss	—	—	—	(16,892)	(16,892)	—	(16,892)
Exercise of stock options	2	931	—	—	933	—	933
Non-cash compensation related to equity awards	—	6,571	—	—	6,571	—	6,571
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 323	\$ 354,957	\$ 171,445	\$ (34,510)	\$ 492,215	\$ —	\$ 492,215
Net loss	—	—	(618)	—	(618)	(357)	(975)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	—	—	—	13,258	13,258	(365)	12,893
Consolidation of variable interest entity	—	—	—	—	—	41,630	41,630
Exercise of stock options	2	739	—	—	741	—	741
Non-cash compensation related to equity awards	—	7,894	—	—	7,894	—	7,894
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ 325	\$ 363,590	\$ 170,827	\$ (21,252)	\$ 513,490	\$ 40,908	\$ 554,398
Net income (loss)	—	—	2,419	—	2,419	(1,209)	1,210
Other comprehensive loss	—	—	—	(77,966)	(77,966)	(2,031)	(79,997)
Retired treasury stock from employee tax withholdings	—	(704)	—	—	(704)	—	(704)
Retired treasury stock from share repurchases	(10)	(13,464)	(5,205)	—	(18,679)	—	(18,679)
Exercise of stock options	1	1,447	—	—	1,448	—	1,448
Non-cash compensation related to equity awards	2	10,473	—	—	10,475	—	10,475
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$ 318	\$ 361,342	\$ 168,041	\$ (99,218)	\$ 430,483	\$ 37,668	\$ 468,151

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
F-8

KRATON PERFORMANCE POLYMERS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

	Years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Consolidated net income (loss)	\$ 1,210	\$ (975)) \$(16,191)
Adjustments to reconcile consolidated net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	66,242	63,182	64,554
Amortization of debt premium	(164)) (153)) (108)
Amortization of debt issuance costs	2,223	7,389	2,986
(Gain) loss on property, plant and equipment	314	(52)) 415
Impairment of long-lived assets	4,731	—	5,434
Impairment of spare parts inventory	430	—	—
Earnings from unconsolidated joint venture, net of dividends received	80	(108)) (130)
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	(2,523)) (15,546)) 9,948
Share-based compensation	10,475	7,894	6,571
Decrease (increase) in:			
Accounts receivable	13,005	(1,158)) 16,646
Inventories of products, materials and supplies	(15,883)) 11,246	53,615
Other assets	(6,437)) 179	(1,695)
Increase (decrease) in:			
Accounts payable-trade	(35,368)) 14,944	8,680
Other payables and accruals	(30)) 934	(6,481)
Other long-term liabilities	(3,849)) 3,384	(1,534)
Due to related party	(4,598)) 14,296	3,623
Net cash provided by operating activities	29,858	105,456	146,333
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Kraton purchase of property, plant, and equipment	(66,398)) (69,143)) (65,006)
KFPC purchase of property, plant, and equipment	(44,277)) (11,937)) —
Purchase of software and other intangibles	(3,710)) (5,125)) (4,603)
Settlement of net investment hedge	—	(2,490)) (335)
Net cash used in investing activities	(114,385)) (88,695)) (69,944)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds from debt	39,000	40,000	101,250
Repayments of debt	(39,000)) (136,875)) (45,626)
Capital lease payments	(6,007)) (2,950)) —
Contribution from noncontrolling interest	—	41,630	—
Purchase of treasury stock	(19,383)) —) —
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	1,448	741	933
Debt issuance costs	(485)) (4,794)) (3,156)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(24,427)) (62,248)) 53,401
Effect of exchange rate differences on cash	(13,100)) (1,807)) 4,797
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(122,054)) (47,294)) 134,587
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	175,872	223,166	88,579
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 53,818	\$ 175,872	\$ 223,166
Supplemental disclosures			
Cash paid during the period for income taxes, net of refunds received	\$ 10,724	\$ 8,885	\$ 14,241
Cash paid during the period for interest, net of capitalized interest	\$ 22,396	\$ 23,543	\$ 24,402

Edgar Filing: Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. - Form 10-K

Capitalized interest	\$3,198	\$4,180	\$2,648
Supplemental non-cash disclosures			
Property, plant and equipment accruals	\$5,375	\$8,757	\$7,512
Asset acquired through capital lease	\$7,033	\$2,950	\$—

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

F-9

KRATON PERFORMANCE POLYMERS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

INDEX

	PAGE
<u>1. Description of Business, Basis of Presentation, and Significant Accounting Policies</u>	<u>F-11</u>
<u>2. New Accounting Pronouncements</u>	<u>F-15</u>
<u>3. Share-Based Compensation</u>	<u>F-16</u>
<u>4. Detail of Certain Balance Sheet Accounts</u>	<u>F-18</u>
<u>5. Earnings per Share (“EPS”)</u>	<u>F-19</u>
<u>6. Long-Term Debt</u>	<u>F-21</u>
<u>7. Debt Issuance Costs</u>	<u>F-23</u>
<u>8. Fair Value Measurements, Financial Instruments and Credit Risk</u>	<u>F-24</u>
<u>9. Impairment and Restructuring Charges</u>	<u>F-26</u>
<u>10. Income Taxes</u>	<u>F-27</u>
<u>11. Commitments and Contingencies</u>	<u>F-30</u>
<u>12. Employee Benefits</u>	<u>F-32</u>
<u>13. Industry Segment and Foreign Operations</u>	<u>F-38</u>
<u>14. Related Party Transactions</u>	<u>F-39</u>
<u>15. Variable Interest Entity</u>	<u>F-39</u>
<u>16. Supplemental Guarantor Information</u>	<u>F-39</u>
<u>17. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)</u>	<u>F-51</u>
<u>18. Subsequent Events</u>	<u>F-52</u>

1. Description of Business, Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

Description of Business. We are a leading global producer of styrenic block copolymers (“SBCs”) and other engineered polymers. SBCs are highly-engineered synthetic elastomers, which we invented and commercialized almost 50 years ago, that enhance the performance of numerous products by imparting greater flexibility, resilience, strength, durability and processability.

Our polymers are typically formulated or compounded with other products to achieve improved, customer-specific performance characteristics in a variety of applications. We seek to maximize the value of our product portfolio by emphasizing complex or specialized polymers and innovations that yield higher margins than more commoditized products. We refer to these complex or specialized polymers or innovations as being more “differentiated.”

Our products are found in many everyday applications, including personal care products such as disposable diapers and the rubberized grips of toothbrushes, razor blades and power tools. Our products are also used to impart tack and shear properties in a wide variety of adhesive products and to impart characteristics such as, flexibility and durability in sealants and corrosion resistance in coatings. Our paving and roofing applications provide durability, extending road and roof life.

We also produce Cariflex™ isoprene rubber and isoprene rubber latex. Our Cariflex products are highly-engineered, non-SBC synthetic substitutes for natural rubber and natural rubber latex. Our Cariflex products, which have not been found to contain the proteins present in natural rubber latex and are, therefore, not known to cause allergies, are used in applications such as surgical gloves and condoms. We believe the versatility of Cariflex products provide opportunities for new, high margin applications.

We have a portfolio of innovations at various stages of development and commercialization, including

polyvinyl chloride alternatives for wire and cable, and medical applications;

polymers and compounds for soft skin and coated fabric applications for transportation and consumer markets;

highly-modified asphalt (“HiMA”) for high-performance paving applications;

NEXAR™ family of membrane polymers for heating, ventilation, air conditioning and breathable fabrics; and

synthetic cement formulations and polymers used for viscosity modification in oilfield applications.

We manufacture our polymers at five manufacturing facilities globally, including our flagship facility in Belpre, Ohio, as well as facilities in Germany, France, Brazil and Japan. The facility in Japan is operated by an unconsolidated manufacturing joint venture. The terms “Kraton,” “our company,” “we,” “our,” “ours” and “us” as used in this report refer collectively to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Basis of Presentation. The accompanying consolidated financial statements presented herein are for us and our consolidated subsidiaries, each of which is a wholly-owned subsidiary, except our 50% investment in our joint venture, Kraton Formosa Polymers Corporation (“KFPC”), located in Mailiao, Taiwan. KFPC is a variable interest entity for which we have determined that we are the primary beneficiary and, therefore, have consolidated into our financial statements. Our 50% investment in our joint venture located in Kashima, Japan is accounted for under the equity method of accounting. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

Significant Accounting Policies. These financial statements reflect all normal recurring adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary to fairly present our results of operations and financial position.

Use of Estimates. The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period.

Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Significant items subject to such estimates and assumptions include

- the useful lives of fixed assets;
- allowances for doubtful accounts and sales returns;
- the valuation of derivatives, deferred tax assets, property, plant and equipment, inventory, investments and share-based compensation; and
- liabilities for employee benefit obligations, environmental matters, asset retirement obligations, income tax uncertainties and other contingencies.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. It is our policy to invest our excess cash in investment instruments whose value is not subject to market fluctuations, such as bank deposits or certificates of deposit. Other permitted investments include commercial paper of major U.S. corporations with ratings of A1 by Standard & Poor's Ratings Group or P1 by Moody's Investor Services, Inc., loan participations of major U.S. corporations with a short term credit rating of A1/P1 and direct obligations of the U.S. government or its agencies. We consider all investments having a remaining maturity, at the time of purchase, of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Receivables. Receivables are recorded at the invoiced amount and do not bear interest. The allowance for doubtful accounts is our best estimate of the amount of probable credit losses in our existing receivables and is determined based on our assessment of the credit worthiness of individual customers, historical write-off experience and global economic data. We review the allowance for doubtful accounts quarterly. Account balances are charged off against the allowance after all means of collection have been exhausted and the potential for recovery is considered remote. We do not have significant off-balance sheet credit exposure related to our customers.

Inventories. Our inventory is principally comprised of finished goods inventory. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market as primarily determined on a first-in, first-out basis. We evaluate the carrying cost of our inventory on a quarterly basis for this purpose. If the cost of the inventories exceeds their market value, provisions are made for the differences between the cost and the market value.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. We account for derivatives and hedging activities in accordance with ASC 815, "Derivatives and Hedging," which requires entities to recognize all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities in the balance sheet at their respective fair values. For derivatives designated in cash flow hedging relationships, changes in the fair value are either offset through earnings against the change in fair value of the hedged item attributable to the risk being hedged or recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), to the extent the derivative is effective at offsetting the changes in cash flows being hedged until the hedged item affects earnings.

For all hedging relationships, we formally document the hedging relationship and our risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking the hedge, the hedging instrument, the hedged transaction, the nature of the risk being hedged, how the hedging instrument's effectiveness in offsetting the hedged risk will be assessed prospectively and retrospectively, and a description of the method used to measure ineffectiveness. We also formally assess both at the inception of the hedging relationship and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging relationships are highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows of hedged transactions. For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as part of a cash flow hedging relationship, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of other comprehensive income (loss) and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on the derivative representing either hedge ineffectiveness or hedge components excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are recognized in current earnings.

We discontinue hedge accounting prospectively when we determine that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting cash flows attributable to the hedged risk, the derivative expires or is sold, terminated, or exercised, and the cash flow hedge is de-designated because a forecasted transaction is not probable of occurring, or we remove the designation of the cash flow hedge.

In all situations in which hedge accounting is discontinued and the derivative remains outstanding, we continue to carry the derivative at its fair value on the balance sheet and recognize any subsequent changes in its fair value in earnings. When it is probable that a forecasted transaction will not occur, we discontinue hedge accounting and recognize immediately in earnings gains and losses that were accumulated in other comprehensive income (loss) related to the hedging relationship.

F-12

Property, Plant and Equipment. Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost. Major renewals and improvements which extend the useful lives of equipment are capitalized. Repair and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. Disposals are removed at carrying cost less accumulated depreciation with any resulting gain or loss reflected in earnings. We capitalize interest costs which are incurred as part of the cost of constructing major facilities and equipment. Depreciation is recognized using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Machinery and equipment	20 years
Building and land improvements	20 years
Manufacturing control equipment	10 years
Office equipment	5 years
Research equipment and facilities	5 years
Vehicles	5 years
Computer hardware and information systems	3 years

Major Maintenance Activities. Major maintenance or turnaround costs are expensed as incurred.

Asset Retirement Obligations (“ARO”). We have determined that we have contractual or regulatory requirements to decommission and perform other remediation for many of our manufacturing and research facilities upon retirement. We account for ARO’s pursuant to the provisions of ASC 410-20, “Asset Retirement Obligations.” ASC 410-20 requires us to record the fair value of an ARO as a liability in the period in which we have a legal obligation associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development, and/or normal use of the assets. The ARO is also capitalized as part of the carrying cost of the asset and is depreciated over the life of the asset. The recognition of an ARO requires us to make numerous estimates, assumptions and judgments regarding such factors as the existence of a legal obligation for an ARO; estimated probabilities, amounts and timing of settlements; the credit-adjusted risk-free rate to be used; discount rate and inflation rates. Subsequent to the initial measurement of the ARO, the obligation is to be adjusted at the end of each period to reflect accretion of the liability to its non-discounted amount and changes in either the timing or the amount of the original estimated future cash flows underlying the obligation. Revisions also result in increases or decreases in the carrying cost of these assets. Increases in the ARO liability due to accretion is charged to depreciation and amortization expense. The related capitalized cost, including revisions thereto, is charged to depreciation and amortization expense.

Long-Lived Assets. In accordance with the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets Subsections of ASC 360-10, “Property, Plant, and Equipment—Overall,” long-lived assets, such as property, plant, and equipment, and purchased intangible assets subject to amortization, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. If circumstances require a long-lived asset or asset group be tested for possible impairment, we first compare undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by that asset or asset group to its carrying value. If the carrying value of the long-lived asset or asset group is not recoverable on an undiscounted cash flow basis, impairment is recognized to the extent that the carrying value exceeds its fair value. Fair value is determined through various valuation techniques including discounted cash flow models, quoted market values and third-party independent appraisals, as considered necessary.

Intangible Assets. We have intangible assets related to technology, tradenames/trademarks, customer relationships and software as detailed in Note 4 Detail of Certain Balance Sheet Accounts to the consolidated financial statements.

Intangible assets are amortized on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The estimated useful life of technology, tradenames/trademarks and customer relationships is 15 years, while the estimated useful life of software is 10 years.

Pension and Other Postretirement Plans. We sponsor a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan, a non-qualified defined benefit pension plan, and an additional post-retirement benefit plan. We annually evaluate significant assumptions related to the benefits and obligations of these plans. Our estimation of the projected benefit obligations and related benefit expense requires that certain assumptions be made regarding such variables as expected return on plan assets, discount rates, rates of future compensation increases, estimated future employee turnover rates and retirement dates, distribution election rates, mortality rates, retiree utilization rates for health care services and health care cost trend rates. The determination of the appropriate assumptions requires considerable judgment concerning future events and has a significant impact on the amount of the obligations and expense recorded. We rely in part on

actuarial studies when determining the appropriateness of certain of the assumptions used in determining the benefit obligations and the annual expenses for these plans.

F-13

Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Venture. Our 50% equity investment in a manufacturing joint venture at our Kashima site is accounted for under the equity method with our share of the operating results of the joint venture classified within earnings of unconsolidated joint venture.

We evaluate our equity method investment for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate, in our judgment, that the carrying value of such investment may have experienced an other-than-temporary decline in value. When evidence of loss in value has occurred, we compare the estimated fair value of the investment to the carrying value of the investment to determine whether impairment has occurred. We assess the fair value of our equity method investment using commonly accepted techniques, and may use more than one method, including, but not limited to, recent third party comparable sales, internally developed analysis and analysis from outside advisors. If the estimated fair value is less than the carrying value and we consider the decline in value to be other than temporary, the excess of the carrying value over the estimated fair value is recognized in the financial statements as an impairment.

Debt Issuance Costs. We capitalize financing fees and other costs related to issuing long-term debt. We amortize these costs using the effective interest method, except for costs related to revolving debt, which are amortized using the straight-line method. The amortization of debt issuance costs are recorded in interest expense.

Contingencies. We are routinely involved in litigation, claims and disputes incidental to our business. Professional judgment is required to classify the likelihood of these contingencies occurring. All relevant information that can be acquired concerning the uncertain set of circumstances needs to be obtained and used to determine the probability classification. A contingency is categorized as probable, reasonably possible, or remote. A contingency is classified as probable if the future event or events are likely to occur. For the probable contingencies, a loss is accrued and disclosed as of the date of the financial statements if it is both probable that an asset has been impaired or a liability has been incurred at the date of the financial statements and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. A reasonably possible contingency occurs if the chance of the future event or events happening is more than remote but less than likely (reasonably possible but not probable). We disclose the loss contingencies in the footnotes to the financial statements but do not recognize any liability. A remote contingency is one where the chance of the future event or events occurring is slight. We neither accrue for nor disclose the liability in the notes to the financial statements. For loss contingencies, our accounting policy is to expense legal costs as incurred.

Environmental Costs. Environmental costs are expensed as incurred unless the expenditures extend the economic useful life of the relevant assets. Costs that extend the economic useful life of assets are capitalized and depreciated over the remaining life of those assets. Liabilities are recorded when environmental assessments, or remedial efforts are probable, and the cost can be reasonably estimated.

Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments. For cash and cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable and certain accrued expenses, the carrying amount approximates fair value due to the short maturities of these instruments. For long-term debt instruments and interest rate swap agreements, fair value is estimated based upon market values (if applicable) or on the current interest rates available to us for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities. Considerable judgment is required in developing these estimates.

Revenue Recognition. Revenue is recognized in accordance with the provisions of ASC 605, "Revenue Recognition—Overall," when the revenue is realized or realizable, and has been earned. Revenue for product sales is recognized when risk and title to the product transfer to the customer, which usually occurs at the time shipment is made. Our products are generally sold free on board shipping point or, with respect to countries other than the United States, an equivalent basis. As such, title to the product passes when the product is delivered to the freight carrier. Our standard terms of delivery are included in our contracts of sale, order confirmation documents and invoices. Shipping and other transportation costs charged to customers are recorded in both revenue and cost of goods sold.

We have entered into agreements with some of our customers whereby they earn rebates from us when the volume of their purchases of our product reach certain agreed upon levels. We recognize the rebate obligation ratably, as a reduction of revenue.

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expenses are expensed as incurred.

Share-Based Compensation. Share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award. We recognize these costs using the straight-line method over the requisite service period. The Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. Equity Incentive Plan (the "Equity Plan") allows for the grant to key employees, independent contractors, and eligible non-employee directors of incentive stock options (for employees only),

non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards, in addition to other equity or equity-based awards (including

F-14

performance-based awards) as our board determines from time to time. We estimate the fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes valuation model. Since our equity interests were privately held prior to our initial public offering, we have limited publicly traded stock history, and as a result our estimated volatility is based on a combination of our historical volatility and similar companies' stock that are publicly traded. Until such time that we have enough publicly traded stock history to estimate volatility based solely on our stock, we expect to estimate volatility of options granted based on a combination of our historical volatility and similar companies' stock that are publicly traded. The expected term of options represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. For all periods presented, we used the simplified method to calculate the expected term of options. The risk free interest rate for the periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. For all periods presented, the dividend yield is assumed to be zero based on historical and expected dividend activity. Forfeitures are based substantially on the history of cancellations of similar awards granted in prior years. See Note 3 Share-Based Compensation to the consolidated financial statements.

Leases. Our leases entered into as of December 31, 2014 are classified as either operating or capital leases. A lease is deemed a capital lease when one of the following conditions is met: (1) ownership of the asset is transferred to the lessee at the end of the lease term, (2) the lease contains a bargain purchase option, (3) the lease term is 75% or more of the asset's useful life, or (4) the net present value of minimum lease payments is equal to 90% or more of the asset's fair market value. All other leases are classified as an operating lease. The capital lease obligation is classified as either a current liability or long term liability based on the lease payment schedule, and is offset by an asset purchased pursuant to the lease which is depreciated over the lesser of the lease term or the asset useful life, in accordance with our depreciation policy. For operating leases which contain escalating rent payment clauses, we use the straight-line method to record lease expense.

Income Taxes. We conduct operations in separate legal entities in different jurisdictions. As a result, income tax amounts are reflected in these consolidated financial statements for each of those jurisdictions.

Income taxes are recorded utilizing an asset and liability approach. This method gives consideration to the future tax consequences associated with the differences between the financial accounting and tax basis of the assets and liabilities as well as the ultimate realization of any deferred tax asset resulting from such differences. Valuation allowances are recorded to reduce deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that a tax benefit will not be realized.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, we consider whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. We consider the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the periods in which the deferred tax assets are deductible, we believe it is more likely than not that we will realize the benefits of these deductible differences, net of the existing valuation allowances.

Foreign Currency Translation and Foreign Currency Exchange Rates. Financial statements of our operations outside the United States where the local currency is considered to be the functional currency are translated into U.S. dollars using the exchange rate at each balance sheet date for assets and liabilities and the average exchange rate for each period for revenue, expenses, gains, losses and cash flows. The effects of translating such operations into U.S. dollars are included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

2. New Accounting Pronouncements

Adoption of Accounting Standards.

We have implemented all new accounting pronouncements that are in effect and that management believes would materially impact our financial statements.

New Accounting Standard

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, which provides a single comprehensive model for entities to use in accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers and will supersede most current revenue recognition guidance. The

standard is effective for public entities for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016. Early adoption is not permitted. Our evaluation of this standard is currently ongoing and therefore, the effects of this standard on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows are not yet known.

F-15

3. Share-Based Compensation

We account for share-based awards under the provisions of ASC 718, "Share-Based Payment," which established the accounting for share-based awards exchanged for employee services. Accordingly, share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and we expense these costs using the straight-line method over the requisite service period. Share-based compensation expense was approximately \$10.3 million, \$7.9 million and \$6.6 million, net of tax effects of \$0.2 million, \$0.0 million, and \$0.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We have historically recorded these costs in selling, general and administrative expenses; however, beginning in the second quarter of 2013, a portion of these costs were recorded in cost of goods sold and research and development expenses. At December 31, 2014, there was approximately \$2.2 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested option awards to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.61 years, and \$6.3 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to awards of restricted stock, restricted share units and performance share units expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.86 years.

Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. 2009 Equity Incentive Plan. On November 30, 2009, our board of directors and our stockholders approved the Equity Plan and on May 25, 2011, our board of directors and stockholders approved the amendment and restatement of the Equity Plan. The Equity Plan allows for the grant to key employees, independent contractors, and eligible non-employee directors of incentive stock options (to employees only), non-qualified stock options (which together with the incentive stock options, are referred to herein as ("Options")), stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards, in addition to other equity or equity-based awards (including performance-based awards) as the compensation committee of our board, with respect to employees and independent contractors, and our full board, with respect to non-employee directors, determines from time to time.

Under the Equity Plan, there are a total of 4,350,000 shares of our common stock reserved for issuance. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013 there were 1,859,189 and 2,304,949 shares of our common stock available for issuance, respectively.

Stock Option Activity

Option activities for the year ended December 31, 2014 are as follows:

	Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price
	(in thousands)	
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	1,595	\$23.56
Granted	158	27.98
Exercised	104	13.95
Forfeited	12	24.47
Expired	18	30.53
Outstanding at December 31, 2014	1,619	24.52
Exercisable at December 31, 2014	1,164	\$24.35

We granted 158,118, 131,321 and 348,502 options to our employees during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. These options have a ten year term and vest in equal installments over three years. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 were \$12.32, \$12.30 and \$13.23, respectively. There were 103,873, 52,971 and 65,352 options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The total intrinsic value of the options exercised was \$1.1 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

F-16

The following table summarizes additional information regarding the outstanding and exercisable options at December 31, 2014.

	Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value(1)	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term
	(in thousands)		(in thousands)	(in years)
Outstanding options	1,619	\$24.52	\$ 3,851	6.15
Exercisable options	1,164	\$24.35	\$ 3,365	5.57

(1) The intrinsic value of a stock option is the amount by which the market value of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price of the option as of December 31, 2014.

Weighted-Average Assumptions for Option Pricing

	2014	2013	2012	
Risk-free interest rate	1.82	% 1.01	% 1.11	%
Expected dividend yield	—	% —	% —	%
Expected volatility	47.2	% 55.0	% 54.0	%
Expected term	6.0 years	6.0 years	6.0 years	

Since our equity interests were privately held prior to our initial public offering, we have limited publicly traded stock history, and as a result our estimated volatility is based on a combination of our historical volatility and similar companies' stock that are publicly traded. Until such time that we have enough publicly traded stock history to estimate volatility based solely on our stock, we expect to estimate volatility of options granted based on a combination of our historical volatility and similar companies' stock that are publicly traded. The expected term of options represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. For all periods presented, we used the simplified method to calculate the expected term of options. The risk free interest rate for the periods within the expected term of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. For all periods presented, the dividend yield is assumed to be zero based on historical and expected dividend activity.

Restricted stock awards, Restricted share units and Performance share units

We may grant to certain employees time-vested restricted stock awards and time-vested restricted share units. In addition, we may grant performance share units, which vest after the achievement of performance criteria established at grant. Holders of restricted share units and performance share units do not have any beneficial ownership in the common stock underlying the restricted share units or performance share units, and the grant represents an unsecured promise to deliver common stock on a future date. Actual shares of common stock underlying the restricted share units will not be issued until the earlier of the passage of the vesting period, a change in control that also results in the termination of the grantee's employment, or the death / disability of the participant. The performance share units vest at the end of a three-year period assuming continued employment and assuming our company's achievement of the performance measures established by our Compensation Committee when the performance share units were initially granted. When performance share units vest, a number of shares of common stock equal to 0% to 200% of the initial grant amount will be issued, depending on the level of achievement of such performance measures. We awarded 192,812, 203,027 and 116,982 shares of restricted stock to our employees, which are subject to a three-year cliff vesting, during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We issued 22,518, 26,424 and 19,394 shares of restricted stock to members of the board of directors during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, which vested on the grant date. We granted 38,918 and 28,976 restricted share units and 90,186 and 67,585 performance share units to our employees during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013,

respectively, which are subject to a three-year cliff vesting.

F-17

The following table represents the non-vested restricted stock awards, restricted share units and performance share units granted, vested and forfeited during 2014.

	Shares	Weighted-average Grant-date Fair Value
	(in thousands)	
Non-vested shares at December 31, 2013	513	\$27.05
Granted	344	26.33
Vested	133	34.36
Forfeited	33	24.38
Non-vested shares at December 31, 2014	691	\$25.40

The total fair value of shares vested during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 pursuant to restricted stock awards, restricted share units and performance share units was \$4.6 million, \$0.9 million, and \$1.2 million, respectively.

4. Detail of Certain Balance Sheet Accounts

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
	(in thousands)	
Inventories of products:		
Finished products	\$253,834	\$246,758
Work in progress	5,552	5,384
Raw materials	67,606	76,630
Total inventories of products	\$326,992	\$328,772
Property, plant and equipment:		
Land	\$11,240	\$11,191
Buildings	47,646	47,340
Plant and equipment	658,258	650,034
Construction in progress ⁽¹⁾	122,084	59,120
Property, plant and equipment	839,228	767,685
Less accumulated depreciation	387,463	353,428
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation	\$451,765	\$414,257
Intangible assets:		
Technology	\$44,979	\$44,202
Customer relationships	35,145	35,145
Tradenames/trademarks	25,393	24,445
Software	33,032	32,480
Intangible assets	138,549	136,272
Less accumulated amortization:		
Technology	32,835	29,870
Customer relationships	25,827	23,470
Tradenames/trademarks	17,127	15,488
Software	13,150	9,956
Total accumulated amortization	88,939	78,784
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization	\$49,610	\$57,488

(1) Construction in progress includes \$7.0 million and \$4.5 million of assets financed with short term capital leases as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

F-18

Edgar Filing: Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. - Form 10-K

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
	(in thousands)	
Other payables and accruals:		
Employee related	\$16,156	\$16,066
Interest payable	7,959	7,955
Other	26,773	30,518
Total other payables and accruals	\$50,888	\$54,539
Other long-term liabilities:		
Pension and other postretirement benefits	\$86,605	\$57,924
Other	17,134	18,067
Total other long-term liabilities	\$103,739	\$75,991
Accumulated other comprehensive loss:		
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$(21,870)	\$22,758
Net unrealized loss on net investment hedge	(1,926)	(1,926)
Benefit plans liability	(75,422)	(42,084)
Total accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$(99,218)	\$(21,252)

Aggregate depreciation expense for property, plant and equipment was approximately \$54.5 million, \$52.4 million and \$54.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Aggregate amortization expense for intangible assets was approximately \$11.2 million, \$10.3 million and \$10.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Estimated amortization expense for each of the next five years is as follows:

December 31:	Amortization Expense (in thousands)
2015	\$ 11,088
2016	\$ 10,380
2017	\$ 9,819
2018	\$ 9,426
2019	\$ 2,256

5. Earnings per Share (“EPS”)

Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income attributable to Kraton by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the period.

Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income attributable to Kraton by the diluted weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the period and, accordingly, reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other agreements to issue common stock, such as stock options, were exercised, settled or converted into common stock and were dilutive. The diluted weighted-average number of shares used in our diluted EPS calculation is determined using the treasury stock method.

Unvested awards of share-based payments with rights to receive dividends or dividend equivalents, such as our restricted stock awards are considered to be participating securities and therefore the two-class method is used for purposes of calculating EPS. Under the two-class method, a portion of net income is allocated to these participating securities and therefore is excluded from the calculation of EPS allocated to common stock. Restricted stock awards outstanding totaled 489,543, 416,662 and 248,097 at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. These shares

are subject to forfeiture and restrictions on transfer until vested and have identical voting, income and distribution rights to the unrestricted common shares outstanding. Our weighted average restricted stock awards outstanding were 491,698, 392,329 and 286,879 for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We withheld 27,173 shares of restricted stock upon vesting to satisfy employee payroll tax withholding requirements for the year ended December 31, 2014. We immediately retired all shares

F-19

Edgar Filing: Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. - Form 10-K

withheld and the transactions were reflected in additional paid in capital in the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity and as a purchase of treasury stock in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Restricted share units in the amount of 95,055, 58,467 and 29,491 were outstanding at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, including vested and non-vested restricted share units. Weighted average restricted share units of 84,658 are included in the computation of our diluted EPS for the year ended December 31, 2014. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, weighted average restricted share units of 52,784 and 29,491 are not included as a component of diluted EPS as they are anti-dilutive.

Performance share units in the amount of 137,206 and 67,585 were outstanding at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The computation of diluted EPS includes weighted average performance share units of 28,902 for the year ended December 31, 2014. The computation of diluted EPS excludes the effect of performance share units for which the performance contingencies had not been met as of the reporting date, amounting to 102,396 and 67,585 for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Stock options in the amount of 1,619,091, 1,594,581 and 1,535,655 were outstanding at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The computation of diluted earnings per share excludes the effect of the potential exercise of stock options that are anti-dilutive. The number of stock options excluded from the computation was 1,001,198, 1,594,581 and 1,535,655 for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The calculations of basic and diluted EPS are as follows:

	Year ended December 31, 2014		
	Net	Weighted	Earnings
	Income	Average	Per
	attributable	Shares	Share
	to Kraton	Outstanding	
	(in thousands, except per share data)		
Basic:			
As reported	\$2,419	32,655	
Amounts allocated to unvested restricted shares	(36) (492)
Amounts available to common stockholders	\$2,383	32,163	\$0.07
Diluted:			
Amounts allocated to unvested restricted shares	36	492	
Non participating share units		114	
Stock options added under the treasury stock method		206	
Amounts reallocated to unvested restricted shares	(36) (492)
Amounts available to stockholders and assumed conversions	\$2,383	32,483	\$0.07
	Year ended December 31, 2013		
	Net	Weighted	Loss
	Loss	Average	Per
	attributable	Shares	Share
	to Kraton	Outstanding	
	(in thousands, except per share data)		
Basic:			
As reported	\$(618) 32,488	
Amounts allocated to unvested restricted shares	7	(392)
Amounts available to common stockholders	\$(611) 32,096	\$(0.02
Diluted:			

Edgar Filing: Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. - Form 10-K

Amounts allocated to unvested restricted shares	(7)	392	
Non participating share units			—	
Stock options added under the treasury stock method			—	
Amounts reallocated to unvested restricted shares	7		(392)
Amounts available to stockholders and assumed conversions	\$(611)	32,096	\$(0.02)

F-20

	Year ended December 31, 2012		
	Net	Weighted	Loss
	Loss	Average	Per
	attributable	Shares	Share
	to Kraton	Outstanding	
	(in thousands, except per share data)		
Basic:			
As reported	\$(16,191)	32,226	
Amounts allocated to unvested restricted shares	144	(287)	
Amounts available to common stockholders	\$(16,047)	31,939	\$(0.50)
Diluted:			
Amounts allocated to unvested restricted shares	(144)	287	
Non participating share units		—	
Stock options added under the treasury stock method		—	
Amounts reallocated to unvested restricted shares	144	(287)	
Amounts available to stockholders and assumed conversions	\$(16,047)	31,939	\$(0.50)

Share Repurchase Program. On October 27, 2014, our board of directors approved a share repurchase program through which we may repurchase outstanding shares of our common stock having an aggregate purchase price of up to \$50.0 million. We intend to finance the share repurchase program through a combination of cash and debt. We plan to repurchase shares of our common stock over the next two years in the open market at prevailing market prices, through privately negotiated transactions, or through a trading program under Rule 10b5-1, subject to market and business conditions, applicable legal requirements and other considerations. Through December 31, 2014, we have repurchased a total of 998,080 shares of our common stock at an average price of \$18.69 per share and a total cost of \$18.6 million (excluding trading commissions). We are not obligated to acquire any specific number of shares of our common stock.

6. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consists of the following:

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
	(in thousands)	
6.75% unsecured notes	\$350,825	\$350,989
Capital lease obligation	1,047	—
Total debt	351,872	350,989
Less current portion of total debt	87	—
Long-term debt	\$351,785	\$350,989

Senior Secured Credit Facilities. In March 2013, we entered into an asset-based revolving credit facility consisting of a \$150.0 million U.S. senior secured revolving credit facility (the "U.S. Facility") and a \$100.0 million Dutch senior secured revolving credit facility (the "Dutch Facility", and together with the U.S. Facility, the "Senior Secured Credit Facilities"). Borrowing under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities is subject to borrowing base limitations based on the level of receivables and inventory available for security.

We may request up to an aggregate of \$100.0 million of additional revolving facility commitments of which up to an aggregate of \$40.0 million may be additional Dutch revolving facility commitments, provided that we satisfy additional conditions described in the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, and provided further that the U.S. revolver commitment is at least 60% of the commitments after giving effect to such increase.

Kraton Polymers U.S. LLC and Kraton Polymers Nederland B.V. are the borrowers under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, and Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc., Kraton Polymers LLC, Elastomers Holdings LLC and Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation are the guarantors for both the U.S. Facility and the Dutch Facility. In addition, K.P. Global Holdings C.V. and Kraton Polymers Holdings B.V. are guarantors for the Dutch Facility. The Senior Secured Credit Facilities terminate on

F-21

March 27, 2018; however, we may from time to time request that the lenders extend the maturity of their commitments. Availability under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities is limited to the lesser of the borrowing base and total commitments (less certain reserves).

The Senior Secured Credit Facilities are primarily secured by receivables and inventory. The U.S. Facility provides for borrowings in the United States and is secured by assets located in the United States. The Dutch Facility provides for borrowings outside of the United States and is secured by assets located outside of the United States.

Borrowings under the U.S Facility (other than swingline loans) bear interest at a rate equal to, at the applicable borrower's option, either (a) a base rate determined by reference to the greater of (1) the prime rate of Bank of America, N.A., (2) the federal funds rate plus 0.5% and (3) LIBOR plus 1.0%, or (b) a rate based on LIBOR, in each case plus an applicable margin. U.S. swingline loans shall bear interest at a base rate determined by reference to the greater of (1) the prime rate of Bank of America, N.A., (2) the federal funds rate plus 0.5% or (3) LIBOR plus 1.0%, in each case plus an applicable margin.

Borrowings under the Dutch Facility (other than swingline loans) bear interest at a rate equal to, at the applicable borrower's option, either (a) a fluctuating rate, with respect to Euros, Pounds Sterling and Dollars outside of the U.S. and Canada, equal to the rate announced by the European Central Bank and used as a base rate by the local branch of Bank of America in the jurisdiction in which such currency is funded, or (b) a rate based on LIBOR, in each case plus an applicable margin. Dutch swingline loans bear interest at a fluctuating rate, with respect to Euros, Pounds Sterling and Dollars outside of the U.S. and Canada, equal to the rate announced by the European Central Bank and used as a base rate by the local branch of Bank of America in the jurisdiction in which such currency is funded.

The applicable margin is subject to a minimum of 0.5% and a maximum of 1.0% with respect to U.S. base rate loans, and a minimum of 1.5% and maximum of 2.0% for foreign base rate borrowings, and a minimum of 1.5% and maximum of 2.0% for both U.S. and foreign LIBOR loans and is subject to adjustment based on the borrowers' excess availability of the applicable facility for the most recent fiscal quarter.

In addition to paying interest on outstanding principal amounts under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, the borrowers will be required to pay a commitment fee in respect of the unutilized commitments at an annual rate of 0.375%.

The Senior Secured Credit Facilities contain a financial covenant that if either (a) excess availability is less than the greater of (i) 12.5% of the lesser of the commitments and the borrowing base and (ii) \$31,250,000 or (b) U.S. availability is less than the greater of (i) 12.5% of the lesser of the U.S. commitments and U.S. borrowing base and (ii) \$18,750,000, then following such event, Kraton and its restricted subsidiaries must maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.0 to 1.0 for four fiscal quarters (or for a shorter duration if certain financial conditions are met). The Senior Secured Credit Facilities contain certain customary events of default, including, without limitation, a failure to make payments under the facility, cross-default and cross-judgment default, certain bankruptcy events and certain change of control events.

As of December 31, 2014, our available borrowing capacity was \$191.6 million of which \$0.0 million was drawn. As of the date of this filing, our available borrowing capacity was \$183.7 million, of which \$0.0 million was drawn.

6.75% Senior Notes due 2019. Kraton Polymers LLC and its wholly-owned financing subsidiary Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation issued \$350.0 million aggregate principal amount of 6.75% senior notes that mature on March 1, 2019 pursuant to an indenture, dated February 11, 2011 (\$250.0 million senior notes) and supplemental indenture thereto dated March 20, 2012 (\$100.0 million senior notes). The indenture provides that the notes are general unsecured, senior obligations and will be unconditionally guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis. We pay interest on the notes at 6.75% per annum, semi-annually in arrears on March 1 and September 1 of each year.

Capital Lease. In January 2014, we entered into a 10 year capital lease with a principal amount of \$7.0 million to fund a portion of our capital expenditures.

KFPC Loan Agreement. On July 17, 2014, KFPC executed the KFPC Loan Agreement in the amount of 5.5 billion NTD, or \$173.1 million (converted at the December 31, 2014 exchange rate), to provide additional funding to construct the HSBC facility in Taiwan and to provide funding for working capital requirements and/or general corporate purposes.

The KFPC Loan Agreement is comprised of a NTD 4.29 billion Tranche A, or \$135.0 million (converted at the December 31, 2014 exchange rate), to fund KFPC's capital expenditures, and a NTD 1.21 billion Tranche B, or \$38.1 million (converted at the December 31, 2014 exchange rate), to fund working capital requirements and/or general corporate purposes. As of December 31, 2014, no draws have been made on the KFPC Loan Agreement. The initial term of the KFPC Loan

F-22

Agreement is five years from the date of the first drawing of either tranche. To the extent that the first drawing has not occurred within six months from the date of the KFPC Loan Agreement, the term will be five years from expiration of this six-month period. Subject to certain conditions, KFPC can request a two-year extension of the term of the KFPC Loan Agreement.

The total outstanding principal amount is payable in six semi-annual installments with the first payment due upon the expiry of a thirty-month period commencing on the date of the first drawing of loans and each subsequent payment due every six months thereafter. The first five installments shall be in an amount equal to 10.0% of the outstanding principal amount and the final installment shall be in an amount equal to the remaining 50.0% of the outstanding principal amount. In the event the extension period is granted, the final 50.0% of the outstanding principal amount shall be repaid in five equal semi-annual installments with the first installment due on the original final maturity date. The KFPC Loan Agreement is subject to a variable interest rate composed of a fixed 0.8% margin plus the three-month or six-month fixing rate of the Taipei Interbank Offered Rate (depending on the interest period selected by KFPC in the drawdown request or the interest period notice), subject to a floor of 1.7%. Interest is payable on a monthly basis.

The KFPC Loan Agreement contains certain financial covenants which change during the term of the KFPC Loan Agreement. The financial covenants include a maximum debt to equity ratio of 3.0 to 1.0 commencing in 2014, which will decrease over time to 1.2 to 1.0 in 2018; a minimum tangible net worth requirement of \$50.0 million commencing in 2014, which will increase to \$100.0 million in 2019; and a minimum interest coverage ratio of 2.5 to 1.0 commencing in 2016, which will increase to 5.0 to 1.0 in 2017. In each case, these covenants are calculated and tested on an annual basis. Formosa Petrochemical Corporation and Kraton Polymers LLC are the guarantors of the KFPC Loan Agreement with each guarantor guaranteeing 50.0% of the indebtedness.

Debt Maturities. The principal payments on our outstanding total debt as of December 31, 2014, are as follows:

December 31:	Principal Payments (in thousands)
2015	\$ 87
2016	93
2017	98
2018	91
2019	350,097
Thereafter	581
Total debt	\$ 351,047

See Note 8 Fair Value Measurements, Financial Instruments and Credit Risk to the consolidated financial statements for fair value information related to our long-term debt.

7. Debt Issuance Costs

We capitalize debt issuance costs related to issuing long-term debt and amortize these costs using the effective interest method, except for costs related to revolving debt, which are amortized using the straight-line method. Amortization of debt issuance costs and the accelerated write-off of debt issuance costs in connection with refinancing activities are recorded as a component of interest expense. We had net debt issuance costs of \$9.5 million and \$11.4 million (of which \$2.3 million and \$2.2 million were included in other current assets) as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. In connection with the March 2013 refinancing of our indebtedness, we charged to interest expense \$5.1 million of unamortized debt issuance costs related to our previously existing indebtedness and we capitalized \$4.8 million of debt issuance costs related to our new indebtedness. During the year ended December 31, 2014, our consolidated joint venture, KFPC, capitalized \$0.5 million of debt issuance costs related to the KFPC Loan Agreement executed in July 2014. We amortized \$2.2 million, \$2.4 million (which excludes the \$5.1 million of accelerated amortization), and \$3.0 million of debt issuance costs for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and

2012, respectively.

F-23

8. Fair Value Measurements, Financial Instruments and Credit Risk

ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures" defines fair value, establishes a consistent framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure requirements about fair value measurements. ASC 820 requires entities to, among other things, maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value.

ASC 820 defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date.

ASC 820 specifies a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on whether the inputs to those valuation techniques are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect our market assumptions.

In accordance with ASC 820, these two types of inputs have created the following fair value hierarchy:

- Level 1—Inputs that are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets;
- Level 2—Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability, including:
 - Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets
 - Quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active
 - Inputs other than quoted prices that is observable for the asset or liability
 - Inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means; and
- Level 3—Inputs that are unobservable and reflect our assumptions used in pricing the asset or liability based on the best information available under the circumstances (e.g., internally derived assumptions surrounding the timing and amount of expected cash flows).

Recurring Fair Value Measurements. The following tables set forth by level within the fair value hierarchy our financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. These financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment, and may affect the valuation of their fair value and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

	Balance Sheet Location	December 31, 2014	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
			Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) (in thousands)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Retirement plan asset—current	Other current assets	272	272	—	—
Retirement plan asset—noncurrent	Other long-term assets	2,055	2,055	—	—
Total		\$2,327	\$ 2,327	\$ —	\$ —

	Balance Sheet Location	December 31, 2013	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
			Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) (in thousands)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Retirement plan asset—noncurrent	Other long-term assets	1,908	1,908		
Total		\$ 1,908	\$ 1,908	\$ —	\$ —

The use of derivatives creates exposure to credit risk relating to potential losses that could be recognized in the event that the counterparties to these instruments fail to perform their obligations under the contracts. We seek to minimize this risk by limiting our counterparties to major financial institutions with acceptable credit ratings and monitoring the total value of positions with individual counterparties. In the event of a default by one of our counterparties, we may not receive payments provided for under the terms of our derivatives.

Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements. Our long-lived assets, such as property, plant, and equipment, and purchased intangible assets subject to amortization are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable. When impairment has occurred, such long-lived assets are written down to fair value.

The following table sets forth by level within the fair value hierarchy our fair value measurements with respect to non-financial assets that are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment, and may affect the valuation of their fair value and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels. See Note 9 Impairment and Restructuring Charges, for further discussion.

	Fair Value Measurements as of Reporting Date				Total Impairment Charges
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) (in thousands)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	December 31, 2014	
Long-lived assets	\$—	\$—	\$ 723	\$ 723	\$ 4,731
Total	\$—	\$—	\$ 723	\$ 723	\$ 4,731

For the year ended December 31, 2013 we determined that there was no impairment related to our long-lived assets. The following table presents the carrying values and approximate fair values of our long-term debt as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	December 31, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	Carrying Value (in thousands)	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
6.75% unsecured notes (quoted prices in active market for identical assets – level 1)	\$ 350,825	\$ 358,750	\$ 350,989	\$ 369,250
	\$ 1,047	\$ 1,047	\$ —	\$ —

Capital lease obligation (significant other observable inputs
- level 2)

Financial Instruments

Interest Rate Swap Agreements. Periodically, we enter into interest rate swap agreements to hedge or otherwise protect against interest rate fluctuations on a portion of our variable rate debt. These interest rate swap agreements are designated as cash flow hedges on our exposure to the variability of future cash flows.

F-25

On March 27, 2013, in connection with the refinancing of our credit facility, we terminated and settled an interest rate swap agreement, and as a result, recognized \$0.7 million of interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2013. We recorded an unrealized loss of \$0.1 million in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to the effective portion of this interest rate swap agreement for the year ended December 31, 2012.

We did not have any interest rate swap agreements in effect during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Fair Value Hedges. In April 2012, we entered into a series of non-deliverable forward contracts to reduce our exposure to fluctuations in the Canadian dollar (“CAD”) against the U.S. dollar associated with the funding of certain capital expenditures. These non-deliverable forward contracts qualified for hedge accounting and were designated as fair value hedges in accordance with ASC 815-25 “Fair Value Hedges.” These hedges were effective in offsetting our exposure to the CAD, and therefore the \$0.1 million gain on these hedges was offset by the \$0.1 million loss on the exposure associated with the funding of our semi-works facility for the year ended December 31, 2013. Similarly, for the year ended December 31, 2012, the \$0.1 million loss on these hedges was offset by the \$0.1 million gain on the exposure to the CAD. We did not have any fair value hedges in place during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Net Investment Hedges. During 2012, we entered into a series of non-deliverable forward and foreign currency option contracts to protect our net investment in our European subsidiaries against adverse changes in exchange rates by fixing the U.S. dollar/Euro exchange rate. The notional amounts of these contracts ranged from €50.0 million to €100.0 million with all contracts expiring after thirty days. In June 2013, we entered into a TWD 450.0 million notional amount non-deliverable forward contract to protect our net investment in our subsidiary in Taiwan against adverse changes in exchange rates by fixing the New Taiwan Dollar/Euro exchange rate. These contracts qualify for hedge accounting and were designated as net investment hedges in accordance with ASC 815-35 “Net Investment Hedges.” For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, we recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss an aggregate \$0.5 million and \$2.3 million loss related to the settlement of the effective portion of these contracts, respectively. We did not have any net investment hedges in place during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Foreign Currency Hedges. Periodically, we enter into foreign currency agreements to hedge or otherwise protect against fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. These agreements typically do not qualify for hedge accounting and gains/losses resulting from both the up-front premiums and/or settlement of the hedges at expiration of the agreements are recognized in the period in which they are incurred. During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, we entered into a series of foreign currency option and forward contracts to reduce our exposure to exchange rate volatility. The contracts were structured such that the underlying foreign currency exchange gains/losses would be offset by the mark-to-market impact of the hedging instruments and reduce the impact of foreign currency exchange movements throughout the period. These contracts did not qualify for hedge accounting. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, we recorded an aggregate loss of \$6.5 million and \$1.8 million and an aggregate gain of \$1.6 million, respectively, which are recorded in cost of goods sold. In all periods, these contracts are structured such that these gains/losses from the mark-to-market impact of the hedging instruments materially offset the underlying foreign currency exchange gains/losses to reduce the overall impact of foreign currency exchange movements throughout the period.

Credit Risk

Our customers are diversified by industry and geography with more than 800 customers in over 60 countries. We analyze the counterparties’ financial condition prior to extending credit and we establish credit limits and monitor the appropriateness of those limits on an ongoing basis. We also obtain cash, letters of credit or other acceptable forms of security from customers to provide credit support, where appropriate, based on our financial analysis of the customer and the contractual terms and conditions applicable to each transaction.

9. Impairment and Restructuring Charges

During 2014, we determined that we had impaired long-lived assets and, as a result, recorded pre-tax impairment charges of \$4.7 million. Of this amount, \$2.4 million related to engineering and design assets for projects we determined were no longer economically viable; \$1.4 million related to information technology and office assets associated with fourth quarter 2014 restructuring activities; and \$0.9 million related to other long-lived assets. The \$5.4 million carrying value of these long-lived assets was reduced to fair market value of \$0.7 million. We used internally developed assumptions in determining the fair value of these long-lived assets, which are classified within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

F-26

During 2012, we recorded pre-tax impairment charges aggregating \$5.4 million, of which \$3.4 million was associated with the Asia HSBC facility and \$2.0 million was associated with other long-lived assets. The \$18.1 million carrying value of the long-lived assets was reduced to fair market value of \$12.7 million.

For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, restructuring and restructuring-related expenses amounted to \$3.0 million, \$0.8 million and \$1.4 million, respectively. Restructuring expenses for 2014 were comprised of severance expenses of \$2.5 million and \$0.4 million of other restructuring related costs, which are recorded primarily in selling, general and administrative expenses.

10. Income Taxes

Income taxes are recorded utilizing an asset and liability approach. This method gives consideration to the future tax consequences associated with the differences between the financial accounting and tax basis of the assets and liabilities as well as the ultimate realization of any deferred tax asset resulting from such differences.

Our income tax provision was a \$5.1 million expense, a \$3.9 million benefit, and a \$19.3 million expense for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Our effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 were an 80.9% expense, a 79.9% benefit and a 619.8% expense, respectively. Our effective tax rates differed from the U.S. corporate statutory tax rate of 35.0%, primarily due to the mix of pre-tax income or loss earned in certain jurisdictions and the change in our valuation allowance. Excluding the change in our valuation allowance, our effective tax rates would have been a 229.0% expense, an 81.4% benefit, and a 366.1% benefit for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The provision for income taxes is comprised of the following:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		
Current tax provision (benefit):			
U.S.	\$550	\$(49)	\$(50)
Foreign	7,091	11,708	9,408
Total current tax provision	7,641	11,659	9,358
Deferred tax provision (benefit):			
U.S.	—	(10,065)	9,211
Foreign	(2,523)	(5,481)	737
Total deferred tax provision (benefit)	(2,523)	(15,546)	9,948
Total income tax expense (benefit)	\$5,118	\$(3,887)	\$19,306

Income (loss) before income taxes is comprised of the following:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		
Income (loss) before income taxes:			
U.S.	\$8,391	\$(39,445)	\$(46,930)
Foreign	(2,063)	34,583	50,045
Total income (loss) before income taxes	\$6,328	\$(4,862)	\$3,115

Edgar Filing: Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. - Form 10-K

The provision for income taxes differs from the amount computed by applying the U.S. corporate statutory income tax rate to income (loss) before income taxes for the reasons set forth below:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		
Income taxes at the statutory rate	\$2,215	\$(1,702)	\$1,090
Foreign tax rate differential	11,777	(4,278)	(20,931)
State taxes, net of federal benefit	140	(285)	2,010
Permanent differences	182	1,145	1,158
Deferred adjustments	—	—	2,847
Tax credits	(535)	—	92
Alternative minimum tax	541	—	—
Uncertain tax positions	(429)	1,020	2,214
Valuation allowance	(9,370)	72	30,709
Other	597	141	117
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$5,118	\$(3,887)	\$19,306

	Years ended December 31,			
	2014	2013	2012	
Income taxes at the statutory rate	35.0	% 35.0	% 35.0	%
Foreign tax rate differential	186.1	88.0	(671.9))
State taxes, net of federal benefit	2.2	5.9	64.5	
Permanent differences	2.9	(23.6)	37.2)
Deferred adjustments	—	—	91.4	
Tax credits	(8.5)	—	3.0)
Alternative minimum tax	8.5	—	—	
Uncertain tax positions	(6.7)	(21.0)	71.1)
Valuation allowance	(148.1)	(1.5)	985.8)
Other	9.5	(2.9)	3.7)
Effective tax rate	80.9	% 79.9	% 619.8	%

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes, as well as net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. The tax effects of temporary differences are comprised of the following:

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
	(in thousands)	
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 106,944	\$ 121,644
Inventory	7,116	7,308
Benefit plans accrual	28,042	15,961
Other accruals and reserves	18,694	17,975
	160,796	162,888
Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets	(90,433)	(89,994)
Total deferred tax assets	\$ 70,363	\$ 72,894
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Property, plant and equipment	\$(74,363)	\$(75,467)
Intangible assets	(3,472)	(7,046)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(77,835)	(82,513)
Net deferred tax liabilities	\$(7,472)	\$(9,619)

	December 31	
	2014	2013
	(in thousands)	
Net deferred tax liabilities consist of:		
Current deferred tax assets	\$ 7,247	\$ 7,596
Non-current deferred tax assets	2,176	1,326
Current deferred tax liabilities	(1,633)	(182)
Non-current deferred tax liabilities	(15,262)	(18,359)
Net deferred tax liabilities	\$(7,472)	\$(9,619)

As of December 31, 2014, we had \$305.4 million of net operating loss carryforwards, of which \$58.7 million relates to foreign jurisdictions and \$246.7 million relates to the United States, which will expire beginning in 2024 through 2033, if not utilized. We expect to generate sufficient taxable income in future years that will allow utilization of the portion of the net operating loss carryforwards for which no valuation allowance has been provided.

We record a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. As of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, a valuation allowance of \$90.4 million and \$90.0 million, respectively, has been provided for net operating loss carryforwards and other deferred tax assets. We increased our valuation allowance by \$0.4 million in 2014, which includes a \$9.8 million increase related to changes in other comprehensive income (loss), partially offset by a \$9.4 million decrease to the income tax provision. The \$9.4 million is comprised of \$7.6 million related to current year operating income and \$1.8 million related to the assessment of our ability to utilize net operating loss carryforwards in future periods. We decreased our valuation allowance by \$0.4 million in 2013, which includes a \$0.5 million decrease due to changes in other comprehensive income (loss), partially offset by a \$0.1 million increase to the income tax provision. The \$0.1 million is comprised of \$10.2 million related to current year operating losses, offset by a \$10.1 million benefit related to the tax effect of unrealized pension gains. We consider the reversal of deferred tax liabilities within the net operating loss carryforward period, projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies in making this assessment.

For the period ending December 31, 2014, the unremitted earnings of our foreign subsidiaries are permanently reinvested in the corresponding country of origin. Accordingly, we have not provided deferred taxes for the differences between the book basis and underlying tax basis in those subsidiaries or on the foreign currency translation adjustment amounts related to such operations.

We file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and in various state and foreign jurisdictions. For our U.S. federal income tax returns, the statute of limitations has expired through the tax year ended December 31, 2003. As a result of net operating loss carryforwards from 2004, the statute remains open for all years subsequent to 2003. In addition, open tax years for state and foreign jurisdictions remain subject to examination.

We recognize the tax impact of certain tax positions only when it is more likely than not those such positions are sustainable. The taxes are recorded in accordance with ASC 740-10, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes," which prescribes the minimum recognition threshold.

As of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, we had \$4.7 million and \$6.4 million, respectively, of unrecognized tax benefits related to uncertain foreign tax positions, all of which, if recognized, would impact our effective tax rate. For the years ending December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, we recorded \$0.1 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.2 million in interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits within the provision for income taxes. As of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, we had \$0.6 million of penalties and interest included in the total unrecognized tax benefits. We believe that no current tax positions that have resulted in unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase or decrease within one year.

The following presents a rollforward of our unrecognized tax benefits including associated interest and penalties.

	December 31	
	2014	2013
	(in thousands)	
Balance at January 1	\$6,423	\$5,056
Increase in current year tax positions	432	622
Increase in prior year tax positions	320	745
Decrease in prior year tax positions	(743)	—
Lapse of statute of limitations	(255)	—
Settlements	(1,514)	—
Balance at December 31	\$4,663	\$6,423

11. Commitments and Contingencies

(a) Lease Commitments

We have entered into various long-term non-cancelable operating leases. Future minimum lease commitments at December 31, 2014, are as follows: 2015—\$15.5 million; 2016—\$11.7 million; 2017—\$7.1 million; 2018—\$4.2 million; 2019—\$3.7 million; and 2020 and thereafter—\$5.3 million. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, we recorded \$18.7 million, \$11.2 million, and \$12.8 million in rent expense, respectively.

(b) Environmental and Safety Matters

Our finished products are not generally classified as hazardous under U.S. environmental laws. However, our operations involve the handling, transportation, treatment, and disposal of potentially hazardous materials that are extensively regulated by environmental, health and safety laws, regulations and permit requirements. Environmental permits required for our operations are subject to periodic renewal and can be revoked or modified for cause or when new or revised environmental requirements are implemented. Changing and increasingly strict environmental requirements can affect the manufacturing, handling, processing, distribution and use of our chemical products and the raw materials used to produce such products and, if so affected, our business and operations may be materially and adversely affected. In addition, changes in environmental requirements can cause us to incur substantial costs in upgrading or redesigning our facilities and processes, including waste treatment, disposal, and other waste handling practices and equipment.

We conduct environmental management programs designed to maintain compliance with applicable environmental requirements at all of our facilities. We routinely conduct inspection and surveillance programs designed to detect and respond to leaks or spills of regulated hazardous substances and to correct identified regulatory deficiencies. However, a business risk inherent with chemical operations is the potential for personal injury and property damage claims from employees, contractors and their employees, and nearby landowners and occupants. While we believe our business

operations and facilities generally are operated in compliance, in all material respects, with all applicable environmental and health and safety requirements, we

F-30

cannot be sure that past practices or future operations will not result in material claims or regulatory action, require material environmental expenditures, or result in exposure or injury claims by employees, contractors and their employees, and the public. Some risk of environmental costs and liabilities are inherent in our operations and products, as it is with other companies engaged in similar businesses.

Our Paulinia, Brazil, and Belpre, Ohio, facilities are subject to a number of actual and/or potential environmental liabilities primarily relating to contamination caused by former operations at those facilities. Some environmental laws could impose on us the entire costs of cleanup regardless of fault, legality of the original disposal, or ownership of the disposal site. In some cases, the governmental entity with jurisdiction could seek an assessment for damage to the natural resources caused by contamination from those sites. Shell Chemicals has agreed, subject to certain limitations, in time and amounts, to indemnify us against most environmental liabilities related to the acquired facilities that arise from conditions existing prior to the closing.

In the first quarter of 2014, we experienced weather-related downtime at our Belpre, Ohio facility. In addition, our facility in Berre, France, experienced an operating disruption resulting from a small fire that impacted one of the production lines at this facility. We incurred \$10.3 million of net costs in the year ended December 31, 2014 associated with these two events.

We had no material operating expenditures for environmental fines, penalties, government imposed remedial or corrective actions in each of the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012.

(c) Asset Retirement Obligations (“ARO”)

The changes in the aggregate carrying amount of our ARO liability are as follows:

	2014	2013
	(in thousands)	
Beginning balance	\$10,497	\$9,837
Accretion expense	533	505
Obligations settled	(95) —
Foreign currency translation	(541) 155
Ending balance	\$10,394	\$10,497

For a portion of our ARO liability related to the decommissioning of the coal boilers at our Belpre, Ohio, facility, we recorded a \$3.1 million receivable from Shell Chemicals pursuant to the indemnity included in the February 2001 separation agreement.

(d) Legal Proceedings

We received notice from the tax authorities in Brazil assessing R\$6.1 million or \$2.3 million (converted at the December 31, 2014 exchange rate) in connection with tax credits that were generated from the purchase of certain goods which were subsequently applied by us against taxes owed. We have appealed the assertion by the tax authorities in Brazil that the goods purchased were not eligible to earn the credits. While the outcome of this proceeding cannot be predicted with certainty, we do not expect this matter to have a material adverse effect upon our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On January 28, 2014, we executed a definitive agreement (the “Combination Agreement”) to combine with the styrenic block copolymer (“SBC”) operations of Taiwan-based LCY Chemical Corp. (“LCY”). The Combination Agreement called for LCY to contribute its SBC business in exchange for newly issued shares in the combined company, such that our existing stockholders and LCY would each own 50% of the outstanding shares of the combined enterprise.

On June 30, 2014, we notified LCY that our Board of Directors intended to withdraw its recommendation to our stockholders to approve the Combination Agreement unless the parties could agree upon mutually acceptable revised terms to the Combination Agreement. This notice cited the decline in operating results for LCY’s SBC business in the first quarter of 2014 and a related decline in forecasted results thereafter, together with the decline in our stock price and negative reactions from our stockholders. Following our notification of our Board’s intention to change its recommendation, the parties engaged in discussions to determine whether they could mutually agree to changes to the terms of the Combination Agreement that would enable our Board to continue to recommend that our stockholders approve the Combination Agreement. The parties engaged in numerous discussions subsequent to June 30, 2014 regarding possible revisions to the terms of the Combination Agreement.

On July 31, 2014, an explosion occurred in a pipeline owned by LCY in Kaohsiung, Taiwan, causing substantial property damage and loss of life, and numerous governmental and private investigations and claims have been initiated and

F-31

asserted against LCY. On August 4, 2014, LCY notified us that it would no longer negotiate, and it would not agree to, any revisions to the terms of the Combination Agreement. On August 6, 2014, our Board withdrew its recommendation that our stockholders approve the Combination Agreement. On August 8, 2014, we received notice from LCY that LCY had exercised its right to terminate the Combination Agreement.

The provisions of the Combination Agreement provide for us to pay LCY a \$25.0 million break-up fee upon a termination of the Combination Agreement following a withdrawal of our Board's recommendation, unless an LCY material adverse effect has occurred and is continuing at the time of the withdrawal of our Board's recommendation. In LCY's notice terminating the Combination Agreement, LCY requested payment of such \$25.0 million termination fee. On October 6, 2014, LCY filed a lawsuit against us in connection with our refusal to pay the \$25.0 million termination fee. We believe that the impact upon LCY of the July 31, 2014 explosion in a gas pipeline in Kaohsiung, Taiwan constitutes an LCY material adverse effect as defined in the Combination Agreement, and we have notified LCY that accordingly we are not obligated to pay the termination fee. While the ultimate resolution of this matter cannot be predicted with certainty, we do not expect any material adverse effect upon our financial position, results of operations or cash flows from the ultimate outcome of this matter.

We and certain of our subsidiaries, from time to time, are parties to various other legal proceedings, claims and disputes that have arisen in the ordinary course of business. These claims may involve significant amounts, some of which would not be covered by insurance. A substantial settlement payment or judgment in excess of our accruals could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. While the outcome of these proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, we do not expect any of these existing matters, individually or in the aggregate, to have a material adverse effect upon our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

12. Employee Benefits

(a) U.S. Retirement Benefit Plan. We have a U.S. noncontributory defined benefit pension plan ("Pension Plan") which covers all salaried and hourly wage employees in the United States who were employed by us on or before December 31, 2005. Employees who began their employment with us after December 31, 2005 are not covered by our Pension Plan. The benefits under the Pension Plan are based primarily on years of service and employees' pay near retirement. For our employees who were employed as of March 1, 2001 and who: (1) were previously employed by Shell Chemicals; and (2) elected to transfer their pension assets to us, we consider the total combined Shell Chemicals and Kraton service when calculating the employee's pension benefit. For those employees who: (1) elected to retire from Shell Chemicals; or (2) elected not to transfer their pension benefit, only Kraton service (since March 1, 2001) is considered when calculating benefits.

The 2014 measurement date of the Pension Plan's assets and obligations was December 31, 2014. Based on the funded status of our Pension Plan as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, we reported an increase in our accumulated other comprehensive loss of approximately \$28.6 million and a decrease of \$22.4 million, respectively, and a related change in accrued pension obligations.

Edgar Filing: Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. - Form 10-K

Information concerning the pension obligation, plan assets, amounts recognized in our financial statements and underlying actuarial and other assumptions are as follows:

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
	(in thousands)	
Change in benefit obligation:		
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 122,222	\$ 133,727
Service cost	2,800	3,332
Interest cost	6,171	5,506
Benefits paid	(4,170)	(3,641)
Actuarial (gain) / loss	31,862	(16,702)
Benefit obligation at end of year	\$ 158,885	\$ 122,222
Change in plan assets:		
Fair value at beginning of year	\$ 92,709	\$ 81,364
Actual return on plan assets	9,053	8,776
Employer contributions	7,200	6,210
Benefits paid	(4,170)	(3,641)
Fair value at end of year	\$ 104,792	\$ 92,709
Funded status at end of year	\$ (54,093)	\$ (29,513)
Amounts recognized on balance sheet:		
Noncurrent liabilities	\$ (54,093)	\$ (29,513)
Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss:		
Prior service cost	\$—	\$—
Net actuarial loss	52,666	24,021
Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ 52,666	\$ 24,021

The accumulated benefit obligation for the Pension Plan was \$145.1 million and \$112.7 million at December 31, 2014, and 2013, respectively.

Estimated Future Benefit Payments.

The following benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid:

	(in thousands)
2015	\$ 4,714
2016	4,986
2017	5,344
2018	5,702
2019	6,124
Years 2020-2024	37,303
	\$ 64,173

Net periodic pension costs consist of the following components:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		
Service cost benefits earned during the period	\$ 2,800	\$ 3,332	\$ 3,130
Interest on prior year's projected benefit obligation	6,171	5,506	5,510
Expected return on plan assets	(7,677)	(6,608)	(5,936)
Amortization of net actuarial loss	1,841	3,576	2,617
Net periodic pension costs	\$ 3,135	\$ 5,806	\$ 5,321

Discount rates are determined annually and are based on rates of return of high-quality long-term fixed income securities currently available and expected to be available during the maturity of the pension benefits.

	December 31,			
	2014	2013		
Weighted average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations:				
Measure date	12/31/2014	12/31/2013		
Discount rate	4.12	% 5.02	%	%
Rates of increase in salary compensation level	3.00	% 3.00	%	%
Weighted average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost:				
Discount rate	5.02	% 4.21	%	%
Rates of increase in salary compensation level	3.00	% 3.00	%	%
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets	8.50	% 8.50	%	%

Our management relied in part on actuarial studies in establishing the expected long-term rate of return on assets assumption. The study includes a review of anticipated future long-term performance of individual asset classes and consideration of the appropriate asset allocation strategy given the anticipated requirements of the Pension Plan to determine the average rate of earnings expected on the funds invested to provide for the Pension Plan benefits. While the study gives appropriate consideration to recent fund performance and historical returns, the assumption is primarily a long-term, prospective rate. Based on our most recent study, the expected long-term return assumption for our Pension Plan effective for 2014 will remain at 8.5%.

Pension Plan Assets. We maintain target allocation percentages among various asset classes based on an investment policy established for our Pension Plan. The target allocation is designed to achieve long term objectives of return, while mitigating downside risk and considering expected cash flows. The plan's strategic target allocation as of December 31, 2014 was 50.0% equity, 30.0% debt and 20.0% consisting of real estate funds, hedge funds and commodity funds. The latter was assumed to behave similar to debt securities and therefore we included this 20.0% asset allocation as bonds in the model. Our investment policy is reviewed from time to time to ensure consistency with our long term objective.

Our Pension Plan asset allocations at December 31, 2014 and 2013 by asset category are as follows:

	Percentage of Plan Assets at December 31,			
	2014	2013		
Equity securities	61.7	% 57.6	%	%
Debt securities	28.8	% 33.8	%	%
Real estate	3.0	% 4.0	%	%
Other	6.5	% 4.6	%	%
Total	100.0	% 100.0	%	%

No pension assets were invested in debt or equity securities of Kraton at December 31, 2014 and 2013.

The inputs and methodology used for valuing securities are not an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities. The following is a description of the primary valuation methodologies used for assets measured at fair value:

• Common/Collective Trust Funds: Valued at the net asset value per unit held at year end as quoted by the funds.

• Mutual Funds, Real Estate Funds and Other Funds: Valued at the net asset value of shares held at year end as quoted in the active market.

A summary of total investments for our pension plan assets measured at fair value is presented below. See Note 8 Fair Value Measurements, Financial Instruments and Credit Risk to the consolidated financial statements for a detailed description of fair value measurements and the hierarchy established for Level 1, 2 and 3 valuation inputs.

Pension Plan Assets Fair Value Measurements at
December 31, 2014

Total	Quoted Prices In Active Markets Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
(in thousands)			
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$529	\$ 529	\$—
Common/Collective Trust Funds(a):			
Equity Funds	35,864	—	35,864
Debt Funds	21,155	—	21,155
Mutual Funds:			
Equity Funds	28,815	28,815	—
Debt Funds	9,009	9,009	—
Real Estate	3,106	3,106	—
Other	6,314	6,314	—
Total	\$104,792	\$ 47,773	\$57,019

Pension Plan Assets Fair Value Measurements at
December 31, 2013

Total	Quoted Prices In Active Markets Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
(in thousands)			
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$472	\$ 472	\$—
Common/Collective Trust Funds(a):			
Equity Funds	30,547	—	30,547
Debt Funds	19,094	—	19,094
Mutual Funds:			
Equity Funds	22,899	22,899	—
Debt Funds	12,262	12,262	—
Real Estate	3,668	3,668	—
Other	3,767	3,767	—
Total	\$92,709	\$ 43,068	\$49,641

(a) Strategies are generally to invest in equity or debt securities, or a combination thereof, that match or outperform certain predefined indices.

(b) Other Retirement Benefit Plans. Certain employees were eligible to participate in a non-qualified defined benefit restoration plan (“BRP”) which was intended to restore certain benefits under the Pension Plan in the United States and the Kraton Savings Plan in the United States, which would otherwise be lost due to certain limitations imposed by law on tax-qualified plans.

On November 26, 2014, the Company terminated the BRP effective November 30, 2014. As a result of this termination, future benefit accruals were eliminated in the BRP and the lump sum amount payable to each participant was determined with an anticipated payment date in December 2015. As such, a curtailment event was recognized at December 1, 2014 resulting in a curtailment expense of \$0.4 million.

For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, we made contributions related to the BRP of \$0.1 million, \$0.0 million, and \$1.6 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2014, \$2.2 million was recognized as a component of other current liabilities and as of December 31, 2013, \$1.3 million was recognized as a component of other long-term liabilities.

(c) Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions. Health and welfare benefits are provided to benefit eligible employees in the United States who retire from Kraton and were employed by us prior to January 1, 2006. Retirees under the

F-35

age of 65 are eligible for the same medical, dental, and vision plans as active employees, but with an annual cap on premiums that vary based on years of service and ranges from \$7,000 to \$10,000 per employee. Our subsidy schedule for medical plans is based on accredited service at retirement. Retirees are responsible for the full cost of premiums for postretirement dental and vision coverage. In general, the plans stipulate that health and welfare benefits are paid as covered expenses as incurred. We accrue the cost of these benefits during the period in which the employee renders the necessary service.

Employees who were retirement eligible as of February 28, 2001, have the option to participate in either Shell Chemicals or Kraton postretirement health and welfare plans.

ASC 715, "Compensation-Retirement Benefits," requires that we measure the plans' assets and obligations that determine our funded status at the end of each fiscal year and the 2014 measurement date of the plans' assets and obligations was December 31, 2014. We are also required to recognize as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss the changes in funded status that occurred during the year that are not recognized as part of new periodic benefit cost.

Based on the funded status of our postretirement benefit plan as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, we reported an increase in our accumulated other comprehensive loss of approximately \$4.1 million and a decrease of \$3.9 million, respectively, and a related change in accrued pension obligations.

Information concerning the plan obligation, the funded status and amounts recognized in our financial statements and underlying actuarial and other assumptions are as follows:

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
	(in thousands)	
Change in benefit obligation:		
Benefit obligation at beginning of period	\$26,893	\$29,437
Service cost	498	557
Interest cost	1,275	1,155
Benefits and expenses paid (premiums)	(767) (1,052)
Actuarial (gain) loss	4,545	(3,204)
Benefit obligation at end of period	\$32,444	\$26,893
Reconciliation of plan assets(1):		
Employer contributions	\$767	\$1,052
Benefits paid	(767) (1,052)
	\$—	\$—
Funded status at end of year	\$(32,444)	\$(26,893)

(1) Shell Chemicals has committed to a future cash payment related to retiree medical expenses based on a specified dollar amount per employee, if certain contractual commitments are met. We have recorded an asset of approximately \$9.9 million and \$9.0 million as our estimate of the present value of this commitment as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
	(in thousands)	
Amounts recognized in the balance sheet:		
Current liabilities	\$(1,320)	\$(1,173)
Noncurrent liabilities	(31,124)	(25,720)
	\$(32,444)	\$(26,893)
Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss:		
Prior service cost	\$—	\$—
Net actuarial loss	11,684	7,554
	\$11,684	\$7,554

Net periodic benefit costs consist of the following components:

	Years ended December 31,			
	2014	2013	2012	
	(in thousands)			
Service cost	\$498	\$557	\$493	
Interest cost	1,275	1,155	1,206	
Amortization of net actuarial loss	416	708	566	
Net periodic benefit costs	\$2,189	\$2,420	\$2,265	
			December 31,	
			2014	2013
Weighted average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations:				
Measurement date		12/31/2014	12/31/2013	
Discount rate	4.02	%	4.86	%
Rates of increase in salary compensation level	N/A		N/A	
Weighted average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost:				
Discount rate	4.86	%	4.02	%
Rates of increase in salary compensation level	N/A		N/A	
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets	N/A		N/A	
			December 31,	
			2014	2013
Assumed health care cost trend rates:				
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year	7.00	%	7.50	%
Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (the ultimate trend rate)	5.00	%	5.00	%
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate trend rate	2020		2020	

Discount rates are determined annually and are based on rates of return of high-quality long-term fixed income securities currently available and expected to be available during the maturity of the postretirement benefit plan.

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plans. A 1.0% change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effect (in thousands):

	1% Increase	1% Decrease	
Effect on total of service and interest cost components	\$26	\$(34))
Effect on postretirement benefit obligation	\$560	\$(693))

(d) Kraton Savings Plan. The Kraton Savings Plan, as adopted on March 1, 2001, covers substantially all U.S. employees, including executive officers. Through automatic payroll deduction, participants have the option to defer up to 60% of eligible earnings in any combination of pretax and/or post-tax contributions, subject to annual dollar limitations set forth in the Internal Revenue Code. Under this plan, we have two types of employer contributions:

(1) For our standard contributions, we make matching contributions of 50.0% of the first 6.0% contributed by the employee after completing one year of service, and we make matching contributions of 100.0% of the first 6.0% contributed by the employee after completing five years of service.

(2) For our enhanced contributions, we make employer contributions of 3.0% for employees who have less than five years of service and a 4.0% contribution for employees who have five or more years of service.

For our employees who were employed as of February 28, 2001, and who were previously employed by Shell Chemicals, we recognize their Shell Chemicals years of service for purposes of determining employer contributions under our Plan. Our contributions to the plan for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, were \$4.0 million, \$3.7 million, and \$3.4 million, respectively.

13. Industry Segment and Foreign Operations

We operate in one segment for the manufacturing and marketing of engineered polymers. In accordance with the provisions of ASC 280, "Segment Reporting," our chief operating decision-maker has been identified as the President and Chief Executive Officer, who regularly reviews operating results to make decisions about allocating resources and assessing performance for the entire company. Since we operate in one segment and in one group of similar products, all financial segment and product group information required by ASC 280 can be found in the consolidated financial statements.

Our products are manufactured and our commercial activities are organized in the following product groups based upon polymer chemistry and process technologies:

	Revenue for the years ended		
	December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		
Performance Products	\$678,930	\$762,939	\$850,762
Specialty Polymers	412,435	412,009	464,260
Cariflex	138,596	116,003	105,898
Other	472	1,170	2,202
	\$1,230,433	\$1,292,121	\$1,423,122

During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, no single customer accounted for 10.0% or more of our total revenue.

For geographic reporting, revenue is attributed to the geographic location in which the customers' facilities are located. Long-lived assets consist primarily of property, plant, and equipment, which are attributed to the geographic location in which they are located and presented at historical cost.

Following is a summary of revenue by geographic region:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		
Revenue:			
United States	\$380,620	\$399,547	\$466,496
Germany	163,065	172,625	191,636
Japan	83,193	81,056	89,131
China	80,219	72,103	76,283
Thailand	53,667	42,402	40,959
Brazil	49,944	55,549	49,735
Belgium	43,748	39,825	45,599
France	41,878	47,443	48,352
United Kingdom	33,610	36,736	37,993
Italy	33,315	43,321	44,490
Malaysia	27,175	26,353	19,208
Netherlands	25,384	29,146	32,110
Sweden	17,961	17,269	17,467
Taiwan	17,914	17,182	19,914
Mexico	16,447	17,710	13,188
Canada	15,466	16,187	18,856
South Korea	15,442	12,923	15,489
Argentina	14,453	15,672	14,833
Austria	12,855	12,863	16,811
Turkey	12,463	23,403	29,777
Poland	9,310	13,751	21,945
All other countries	82,304	99,055	112,850

\$1,230,433 \$1,292,121 \$1,423,122

F-38

Following is a summary of long-lived assets by geographic region:

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
	(in thousands)	
Long-lived assets, at cost:		
United States	\$495,313	\$453,157
France	115,987	123,804
Brazil	71,970	76,524
Germany	60,022	64,258
Taiwan	56,994	12,935
Netherlands	29,838	27,865
China	7,273	7,226
Japan	1,637	1,696
All other countries	194	220
	\$839,228	\$767,685

14. Related Party Transactions

We own a 50.0% equity investment in a SBC manufacturing joint venture in Kashima, Japan. Our due to related party liability on the consolidated balance sheet is related to this joint venture and the purchases from the joint venture amounted to \$40.3 million, \$50.7 million, and \$48.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

15. Variable Interest Entity

The following table summarizes the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 for KFPC before intercompany eliminations. See Note 6 Long Term Debt, for further discussion related to the KFPC Loan Agreement executed on July 17, 2014.

	December 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
	(In thousands)	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$7,993	\$66,816
Other current assets	2,533	256
Property, plant and equipment	56,904	12,912
Intangible assets	9,579	10,094
Other long-term assets	1,098	462
Total assets	\$78,107	\$90,540
Current liabilities	2,771	8,724
Total liabilities	\$2,771	\$8,724

16. Supplemental Guarantor Information

Kraton Polymers LLC and Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation, a financing subsidiary, collectively, the Issuers, are co-issuers of the 6.75% senior notes due March 1, 2019. Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. and Elastomers Holdings LLC, a U.S. holding company and wholly-owned subsidiary of Kraton Polymers LLC, collectively, the Guarantors, fully and unconditionally guarantee on a joint and several basis, the Issuers' obligations under the 6.75% senior notes. Our remaining subsidiaries are not guarantors of the 6.75% senior notes. We do not believe that separate financial statements and other disclosures concerning the Guarantor Subsidiaries would provide any additional information that would be material to investors in making an investment decision.

KRATON PERFORMANCE POLYMERS, INC.
CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET

December 31, 2014

(In thousands, except par value)

	Kraton	Kraton Polymers LLC(1)	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
ASSETS						
Current assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$—	\$646	\$5,881	\$47,291	\$—	\$53,818
Receivables, net of allowances	—	553	37,266	69,613	—	107,432
Inventories of products	—	35	201,146	125,811	—	326,992
Inventories of materials and supplies	—	—	9,092	1,876	—	10,968
Deferred income taxes	—	—	3,566	3,681	—	7,247
Other current assets	—	5,317	931	18,273	—	24,521
Total current assets	—	6,551	257,882	266,545	—	530,978
Property, plant and equipment, less accumulated depreciation	—	46,081	248,220	157,464	—	451,765
Intangible assets, less accumulated amortization	—	45,356	4,000	254	—	49,610
Investment in consolidated subsidiaries	529,701	1,382,584	—	—	(1,912,285)	—
Investment in unconsolidated joint venture	—	813	—	11,835	—	12,648
Debt issuance costs	—	4,674	1,297	1,182	—	7,153
Deferred income taxes	—	428	—	1,748	—	2,176
Other long-term assets	—	6,384	591,841	85,520	(655,623)	28,122
Total assets	\$529,701	\$1,492,871	\$1,103,240	\$524,548	\$(2,567,908)	\$1,082,452
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' AND MEMBER'S EQUITY						
Current liabilities:						
Current portion of long-term debt	—	—	87	—	—	87
Accounts payable-trade	—	637	30,332	41,817	—	72,786
Other payables and accruals	—	21,913	14,017	14,958	—	50,888
Deferred income taxes	—	—	—	1,633	—	1,633
Due to related party	—	—	—	18,121	—	18,121
Total current liabilities	—	22,550	44,436	76,529	—	143,515
Long-term debt, net of current portion	—	350,825	960	—	—	351,785
Deferred income taxes	—	8,443	3,566	3,253	—	15,262
Other long-term liabilities	—	582,462	93,191	83,709	(655,623)	103,739
Total liabilities	\$—	\$964,280	\$142,153	\$163,491	\$(655,623)	\$614,301
Commitments and contingencies (note 11)						
Stockholders' and member's equity:						
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value; 100,000 shares authorized; none issued	—	—	—	—	—	—

Edgar Filing: Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. - Form 10-K

Common stock, \$.01 par value; 500,000 shares authorized	318	—	—	—	—	318
Additional paid in capital	361,342	—	—	—	—	361,342
Member's equity	—	529,701	1,030,294	352,290	(1,912,285)	—
Retained earnings	168,041	—	—	—	—	168,041
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	—	(1,110)	(69,207)	(28,901)	—	(99,218)
Kraton stockholders' and member's equity	529,701	528,591	961,087	323,389	(1,912,285)	430,483
Noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	37,668	—	37,668
Total stockholders' and member's equity	529,701	528,591	961,087	361,057	(1,912,285)	468,151
Total liabilities and stockholders' and member's equity	\$529,701	\$1,492,871	\$1,103,240	\$524,548	\$(2,567,908)	\$1,082,452

(1) Kraton Polymers LLC and Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation, a financing subsidiary, collectively, the Issuers, are co-issuers of the 6.75% senior notes due March 1, 2019. Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation has minimal assets and income. We do not believe that separate financial information concerning the Issuers would provide additional information that would be material to investors in making an investment decision.

KRATON PERFORMANCE POLYMERS, INC.
CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET

December 31, 2013

(In thousands, except par value)

	Kraton	Kraton Polymers LLC(1)	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
ASSETS						
Current assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$—	\$—	\$11,792	\$164,080	\$—	\$175,872
Receivables, net of allowances	—	80	45,971	83,305	—	129,356
Inventories of products	—	—	176,823	151,949	—	328,772
Inventories of materials and supplies	—	—	8,898	2,049	—	10,947
Deferred income taxes	—	—	3,952	3,644	—	7,596
Other current assets	—	2,071	1,541	17,053	—	20,665
Total current assets	—	2,151	248,977	422,080	—	673,208
Property, plant and equipment, less accumulated depreciation	—	47,157	241,650	125,450	—	414,257
Intangible assets, less accumulated amortization	—	34,208	23,280	—	—	57,488
Investment in consolidated subsidiaries	534,742	1,325,811	—	—	(1,860,553)	—
Investment in unconsolidated joint venture	—	813	—	13,261	—	14,074
Debt issuance costs	—	6,000	1,874	1,339	—	9,213
Deferred income taxes	—	536	—	790	—	1,326
Other long-term assets	—	612	563,305	108,393	(647,079)	25,231
Total assets	\$534,742	\$1,417,288	\$1,079,086	\$671,313	\$(2,507,632)	\$1,194,797
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' AND MEMBER'S EQUITY						
Current liabilities:						
Accounts payable-trade	—	104	48,259	67,373	—	115,736
Other payables and accruals	—	7,875	25,970	20,694	—	54,539
Due to related party	—	—	—	24,603	—	24,603
Deferred income taxes	—	—	—	182	—	182
Total current liabilities	—	7,979	74,229	112,852	—	195,060
Long-term debt, net of current portion	—	350,989	—	—	—	350,989
Deferred income taxes	—	10,553	3,953	3,853	—	18,359
Other long-term liabilities	—	513,560	64,394	145,116	(647,079)	75,991
Total liabilities	—	883,081	142,576	261,821	(647,079)	640,399
Commitments and contingencies (note 11)						
Stockholders' and member's equity:						
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value; 100,000 shares authorized; none issued	—	—	—	—	—	—
	325	—	—	—	—	325

Edgar Filing: Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. - Form 10-K

Common stock, \$.01 par value; 500,000 shares authorized						
Additional paid in capital	363,590	—	—	—	—	363,590
Member's equity	—	534,742	972,485	353,326	(1,860,553)	—
Retained earnings	170,827	—	—	—	—	170,827
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	—	(535)	(35,975)	15,258	—	(21,252)
Kraton stockholders' and member's equity	534,742	534,207	936,510	368,584	(1,860,553)	513,490
Noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	40,908	—	40,908
Total stockholders' and member's equity	534,742	534,207	936,510	409,492	(1,860,553)	554,398
Total liabilities and stockholders' and member's equity	\$534,742	\$1,417,288	\$1,079,086	\$671,313	\$(2,507,632)	\$1,194,797

(1) Kraton Polymers LLC and Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation, a financing subsidiary, collectively, the Issuers, are co-issuers of the 6.75% senior notes due March 1, 2019. Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation has minimal assets and income. We do not believe that separate financial information concerning the Issuers would provide additional information that would be material to investors in making an investment decision.

KRATON PERFORMANCE POLYMERS, INC.
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS
Year ended December 31, 2014
(In thousands)

	Kraton	Kraton Polymers LLC(1)	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenue	\$—	\$—	\$626,791	\$ 773,091	\$(169,449)	\$1,230,433
Cost of goods sold	—	11,146	506,932	644,737	(169,449)	993,366
Gross profit	—	(11,146)	119,859	128,354	—	237,067
Operating expenses						
Research and development	—	10,997	2,666	17,707	—	31,370
Selling, general and administrative	—	10,989	400	92,820	—	104,209
Depreciation and amortization	—	22,515	29,728	13,999	—	66,242
Impairment of long-lived assets	—	1,407	3,324	—	—	4,731
Total operating expenses	—	45,908	36,118	124,526	—	206,552
Earnings in consolidated subsidiaries	1,210	81,126	—	—	(82,336)	—
Earnings of unconsolidated joint venture	—	—	—	407	—	407
Interest expense (income), net	—	24,864	(179)	(91)	—	24,594
Income (loss) before income taxes	1,210	(792)	83,920	4,326	(82,336)	6,328
Income tax expense (benefit)	—	(2,002)	550	6,570	—	5,118
Consolidated net income (loss)	1,210	1,210	83,370	(2,244)	(82,336)	1,210
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	(1,209)	—	(1,209)
Net income (loss) attributable to Kraton	\$1,210	\$1,210	\$83,370	\$ (1,035)	\$(82,336)	\$2,419

Kraton Polymers LLC and Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation, a financing subsidiary, collectively, the Issuers, (1) are co-issuers of the 6.75% senior notes due March 1, 2019. Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation has minimal assets and income. We do not believe that separate financial information concerning the Issuers would provide additional information that would be material to investors in making an investment decision.

KRATON PERFORMANCE POLYMERS, INC.
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS
Year ended December 31, 2013
(In thousands)

	Kraton	Kraton Polymers LLC(1)	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenue	\$—	\$—	\$635,456	\$ 812,412	\$(155,747)	\$1,292,121
Cost of goods sold	—	1,988	511,220	708,828	(155,747)	1,066,289
Gross profit (loss)	—	(1,988)	124,236	103,584	—	225,832
Operating expenses						
Research and development	—	—	17,372	14,642	—	32,014
Selling, general and administrative	—	154	73,202	32,202	—	105,558
Depreciation and amortization	—	16,317	32,049	14,816	—	63,182
Total operating expenses	—	16,471	122,623	61,660	—	200,754
Earnings (loss) in consolidated subsidiaries	(975)	58,772	—	—	(57,797)	—
Earnings of unconsolidated joint venture	—	—	—	530	—	530
Interest expense (income), net	—	43,477	(14,490)	1,483	—	30,470
Income (loss) before income taxes	(975)	(3,164)	16,103	40,971	(57,797)	(4,862)
Income tax expense (benefit)	—	(2,189)	(10,114)	8,416	—	(3,887)
Consolidated net income (loss)	\$(975)	\$(975)	\$26,217	\$ 32,555	\$(57,797)	\$(975)
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$ (357)	\$—	\$(357)
Net income (loss) attributable to Kraton	\$(975)	\$(975)	\$26,217	\$ 32,912	\$(57,797)	\$(618)

(1) Kraton Polymers LLC and Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation, a financing subsidiary, collectively, the Issuers, are co-issuers of the 6.75% senior notes due March 1, 2019. Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation has minimal assets and income. We do not believe that separate financial information concerning the Issuers would provide additional information that would be material to investors in making an investment decision.

KRATON PERFORMANCE POLYMERS, INC.
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS
Year ended December 31, 2012
(In thousands)

	Kraton	Kraton Polymers LLC(1)	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenue	\$—	\$—	\$708,666	\$ 870,810	\$(156,354)	\$1,423,122
Cost of goods sold	—	(1,696)	596,078	753,652	(156,354)	1,191,680
Gross profit	—	1,696	112,588	117,158	—	231,442
Operating expenses						
Research and development	—	—	19,024	11,987	—	31,011
Selling, general and administrative	—	54	68,320	30,181	—	98,555
Depreciation and amortization	—	16,317	34,860	13,377	—	64,554
Impairment of long-lived assets	—	—	5,434	—	—	5,434
Total operating expenses	—	16,371	127,638	55,545	—	199,554
Earnings (loss) in consolidated subsidiaries	(16,191)	33,451	—	—	(17,260)	—
Earnings of unconsolidated joint venture	—	—	—	530	—	530
Interest expense (income), net	—	38,405	(15,111)	6,009	—	29,303
Income (loss) before income taxes	(16,191)	(19,629)	61	56,134	(17,260)	3,115
Income tax expense (benefit)	—	(3,438)	10,289	12,455	—	19,306
Net income (loss) attributable to Kraton	(16,191)	(16,191)	(10,228)	43,679	(17,260)	(16,191)

(1) Kraton Polymers LLC and Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation, a financing subsidiary, collectively, the Issuers, are co-issuers of the 6.75% senior notes due March 1, 2019. Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation has minimal assets and income. We do not believe that separate financial information concerning the Issuers would provide additional information that would be material to investors in making an investment decision.

KRATON PERFORMANCE POLYMERS, INC.
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
Year ended December 31, 2014
(In thousands)

	Kraton	Kraton Polymers LLC (1)	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net income (loss) attributable to Kraton	\$ 1,210	\$ 1,210	\$ 83,370	\$ (1,035)	\$ (82,336)	\$ 2,419
Other comprehensive loss:						
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax of \$0	—	(575)	—	(44,053)	—	(44,628)
Increase in benefit plans liability, net of tax of \$0	—	—	(33,232)	(106)	—	(33,338)
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax	—	(575)	(33,232)	(44,159)	—	(77,966)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Kraton	1,210	635	50,138	(45,194)	(82,336)	(75,547)
Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	(3,240)	—	(3,240)
Consolidated comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 1,210	\$ 635	\$ 50,138	\$ (48,434)	\$ (82,336)	\$ (78,787)

(1) Kraton Polymers LLC and Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation, a financing subsidiary, collectively, the Issuers, are co-issuers of the 6.75% senior notes due March 1, 2019. Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation has minimal assets and income. We do not believe that separate financial information concerning the Issuers would provide additional information that would be material to investors in making an investment decision.

KRATON PERFORMANCE POLYMERS, INC.
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
Year ended December 31, 2013
(In thousands)

	Kraton	Kraton Polymers LLC (1)	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net income (loss) attributable to Kraton	\$(975)	\$(975)	\$26,217	\$ 32,912	\$(57,797)	\$(618)
Other comprehensive income (loss):						
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax of \$0	—	(4)	—	(4,194)	—	(4,198)
Reclassification of loss on interest rate swap, net of tax of \$0	—	837	—	—	—	837
Unrealized loss on net investment hedge, net of tax of \$0	—	(189)	—	(301)	—	(490)
(Increase) decrease in benefit plans liability, net of tax of \$10,065	—	—	17,112	(3)	—	17,109
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	—	644	17,112	(4,498)	—	13,258
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Kraton	(975)	(331)	43,329	28,414	(57,797)	12,640
Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	(722)	—	(722)
Consolidated comprehensive income (loss)	\$(975)	\$(331)	\$43,329	\$ 27,692	\$(57,797)	\$11,918

(1) Kraton Polymers LLC and Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation, a financing subsidiary, collectively, the Issuers, are co-issuers of the 6.75% senior notes due March 1, 2019. Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation has minimal assets and income. We do not believe that separate financial information concerning the Issuers would provide additional information that would be material to investors in making an investment decision.

KRATON PERFORMANCE POLYMERS, INC.
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
Year ended December 31, 2012
(In thousands)

	Kraton	Kraton Polymers LLC (1)	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net income (loss) attributable to Kraton	\$(16,191)	\$(16,191)	\$(10,228)	\$ 43,679	\$(17,260)	\$(16,191)
Other comprehensive income (loss):						
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax of \$0	—	263	—	(2,857)	—	(2,594)
Unrealized loss on interest rate swap, net of tax of \$0	—	(28)	—	—	—	(28)
Unrealized loss on net investment hedge, net of tax of \$0	—	(2,335)	—	—	—	(2,335)
(Increase) decrease in benefit plans liability, net of tax of \$0	—	—	(12,088)	153	—	(11,935)
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax	—	(2,100)	(12,088)	(2,704)	—	(16,892)
Consolidated comprehensive income (loss)	\$(16,191)	\$(18,291)	\$(22,316)	\$ 40,975	\$(17,260)	\$(33,083)

(1) Kraton Polymers LLC and Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation, a financing subsidiary, collectively, the Issuers, are co-issuers of the 6.75% senior notes due March 1, 2019. Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation has minimal assets and income. We do not believe that separate financial information concerning the Issuers would provide additional information that would be material to investors in making an investment decision.

KRATON PERFORMANCE POLYMERS, INC.
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
Year ended December 31, 2014
(In thousands)

	Kraton	Kraton Polymers LLC(1)	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities	\$—	\$(8,744)	\$81,969	\$(43,367)	\$—	\$29,858
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities:						
Proceeds from intercompany loans	—	31,959	—	—	(31,959)	—
Kraton purchase of property, plant and equipment	—	(1,628)	(49,210)	(15,560)	—	(66,398)
KFPC purchase of property, plant and equipment	—	—	—	(44,277)	—	(44,277)
Purchase of software and other intangibles	—	(3,710)	—	—	—	(3,710)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	—	26,621	(49,210)	(59,837)	(31,959)	(114,385)
Cash flows used in financing activities:						
Proceeds from debt	—	—	39,000	—	—	39,000
Repayments of debt	—	—	(39,000)	—	—	(39,000)
Capital lease payments	—	—	(6,007)	—	—	(6,007)
Purchase of treasury stock	(19,383)	—	—	—	—	(19,383)
Cash contribution from member	—	(18,679)	(704)	—	19,383	—
Cash distribution to member	17,935	1,448	—	—	(19,383)	—
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	1,448	—	—	—	—	1,448
Debt issuance costs	—	—	—	(485)	—	(485)
Payments on intercompany loans	—	—	(31,959)	—	31,959	—
Net cash used in financing activities	—	(17,231)	(38,670)	(485)	31,959	(24,427)
Effect of exchange rate differences on cash	—	—	—	(13,100)	—	(13,100)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	—	646	(5,911)	(116,789)	—	(122,054)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	—	—	11,792	164,080	—	175,872
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$—	\$646	\$5,881	\$47,291	\$—	\$53,818

Kraton Polymers LLC and Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation, a financing subsidiary, collectively, the Issuers, are co-issuers of the 6.75% senior notes due March 1, 2019. Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation has minimal assets and income. We do not believe that separate financial information concerning the Issuers would provide additional information that would be material to investors in making an investment decision.

(1)

F-48

KRATON PERFORMANCE POLYMERS, INC.
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
Year ended December 31, 2013
(In thousands)

	Kraton	Kraton Polymers LLC(1)	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities	\$—	\$29,662	\$(46,569)	\$122,363	\$—	\$105,456
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities:						
Proceeds from intercompany loans	—	68,962	—	—	(68,962)	—
Kraton purchase of property, plant and equipment	—	—	(40,048)	(29,095)	—	(69,143)
KFPC purchase of property, plant and equipment	—	—	—	(11,937)	—	(11,937)
Purchase of software and other intangibles	—	—	(5,125)	—	—	(5,125)
Settlement of net investment hedge	—	(2,490)	—	—	—	(2,490)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	—	66,472	(45,173)	(41,032)	(68,962)	(88,695)
Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities:						
Proceeds from debt	—	—	40,000	—	—	40,000
Repayments of debt	—	(96,875)	(40,000)	—	—	(136,875)
Capital lease payments	—	—	(2,950)	—	—	(2,950)
Cash contribution from member	—	741	(741)	—	—	—
Cash distribution to member	(741)	—	741	—	—	—
Contribution from noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	41,630	—	41,630
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	741	—	—	—	—	741
Debt issuance costs	—	—	(3,310)	(1,484)	—	(4,794)
Proceeds from (payments on) intercompany loans	—	—	28,891	(97,853)	68,962	—
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	—	(96,134)	22,631	(57,707)	68,962	(62,248)
Effect of exchange rate differences on cash	—	—	—	(1,807)	—	(1,807)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	—	—	(69,111)	21,817	—	(47,294)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	—	—	80,903	142,263	—	223,166
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$—	\$—	\$11,792	\$164,080	\$—	\$175,872

(1)Kraton Polymers LLC and Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation, a financing subsidiary, collectively, the Issuers, are co-issuers of the 6.75% senior notes due March 1, 2019. Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation has minimal

assets and income. We do not believe that separate financial information concerning the Issuers would provide additional information that would be material to investors in making an investment decision.

F-49

KRATON PERFORMANCE POLYMERS, INC.
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
Year Ended December 31, 2012
(In thousands)

	Kraton	Kraton Polymers LLC(1)	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities	\$—	\$(46,544)	\$99,059	\$93,818	\$—	\$146,333
Cash flows used in investing activities:						
Repayments of intercompany loans	—	(6,522)	—	—	6,522	—
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	—	—	(51,516)	(13,490)	—	(65,006)
Purchase of software and other intangibles	—	—	(4,603)	—	—	(4,603)
Settlement of net investment hedge	—	(335)	—	—	—	(335)
Net cash used in investing activities	—	(6,857)	(56,119)	(13,490)	6,522	(69,944)
Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities:						
Proceeds from debt	—	101,250	—	—	—	101,250
Repayments of debt	—	(45,626)	—	—	—	(45,626)
Cash contribution from member	—	933	—	—	(933)	—
Cash distribution to member	(933)	—	—	—	933	—
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	933	—	—	—	—	933
Debt issuance costs	—	(3,156)	—	—	—	(3,156)
Proceeds from (payments on) intercompany loans	—	—	31,933	(25,411)	(6,522)	—
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	—	53,401	31,933	(25,411)	(6,522)	53,401
Effect of exchange rate differences on cash	—	—	—	4,797	—	4,797
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	—	—	74,873	59,714	—	134,587
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	—	—	6,030	82,549	—	88,579
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$—	\$—	\$80,903	\$142,263	\$—	\$223,166

Kraton Polymers LLC and Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation, a financing subsidiary, collectively, the Issuers, are co-issuers of the 6.75% senior notes due March 1, 2019. Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation has minimal assets and income. We do not believe that separate financial information concerning the Issuers would provide additional information that would be material to investors in making an investment decision.

17. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following table sets forth a summary of Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s quarterly financial information for each of the four quarters ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	First Quarter(1)	Second Quarter(2)	Third Quarter(3)	Fourth Quarter(4)	Total
(in thousands, except per share data)					
2014					
Revenue	\$311,656	\$323,767	\$318,971	\$276,039	\$1,230,433
Gross profit	57,073	72,080	63,824	44,090	237,067
Operating income (loss)	(1,851)	19,132	23,458	(10,224)	30,515
Net income (loss) attributable to Kraton	(7,909)	11,143	16,615	(17,430)	2,419
Earnings (loss) per common share					
Basic	(0.24)	0.34	0.51	(0.54)	0.07
Diluted	(0.24)	0.33	0.50	(0.54)	0.07
Weighted average common shares outstanding					
Basic	32,162	32,268	32,315	31,907	32,163
Diluted	32,162	32,777	32,600	31,907	32,483
2013					
Revenue	\$340,107	\$334,543	\$327,109	\$290,362	\$1,292,121
Gross profit	59,911	59,861	47,450	58,610	225,832
Operating income	10,786	10,670	1,793	1,829	25,078
Net income (loss) attributable to Kraton	(3,748)	3,829	(5,598)	4,899	(618)
Earnings (loss) per common share					
Basic	(0.12)	0.12	(0.17)	0.15	(0.02)
Diluted	(0.12)	0.12	(0.17)	0.15	(0.02)
Weighted average common shares outstanding					
Basic	32,062	32,073	32,073	32,111	32,096
Diluted	32,062	32,378	32,073	32,439	32,096

(1) During the first quarter of 2014, we incurred charges of approximately \$13.0 million associated with production downtime at our Belpre, Ohio, and Berre, France, facilities, which are primarily recorded in cost of goods sold and charges of approximately \$9.2 million related to professional fees for the terminated Combination Agreement with LCY, which are recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses. During the first quarter of 2013, we charged \$5.1 million to interest expense, related to the write-off of unamortized debt issuance costs in connection with the refinancing of our credit facility and \$0.7 million related to the termination and settlement of our interest rate swap agreement.

(2) During the second quarter of 2014 and 2013, we incurred charges of approximately \$3.8 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, related to professional fees for the terminated Combination Agreement with LCY, which are recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses.

(3) The third quarter of 2014 included a \$4.2 million benefit from a reduction in estimated transaction fees related to the terminated Combination Agreement with LCY, which is recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses and a \$1.0 million benefit from an insurance recovery related to the first quarter 2014 production downtime at our Belpre, Ohio, facility, which is recorded in cost of goods sold and a \$1.9 million tax benefit due to a reduction of income tax valuation allowance related to the assessment of our ability to utilize net operating losses in future periods. During the third quarter of 2013, we incurred charges of approximately \$3.5 million, attributable to the MACT related production downtime at our Belpre, Ohio, facility, which is recorded in costs of goods sold, and charges of approximately \$1.0 million related to professional fees for the terminated Combination Agreement with LCY, which are recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses.

(4) During the fourth quarter of 2014, we incurred charges of approximately \$2.3 million related to restructuring costs which are primarily recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses and recognized impairments of long

lived assets of approximately \$4.7 million. The fourth quarter of 2014 also included an approximate \$1.7 million benefit from insurance recoveries and reductions in estimated expenses related to the first quarter 2014 production downtime at our Belpre, Ohio, facility. During the fourth quarter of 2013, we incurred charges of approximately \$7.1 million related to professional fees for the terminated Combination Agreement with LCY. The fourth quarter of 2013 also included a \$10.1 million tax benefit related to a portion of the change in our valuation allowance for deferred tax assets.

Basic and diluted earnings per share are computed independently for each of the quarters presented. Therefore, the sum of quarterly basic and diluted per share information may not equal annual basic and diluted earnings per share.

F-51

18. Subsequent Events

We have evaluated significant events and transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date and determined that there were no events or transactions that would require recognition or disclosure in our consolidated financial statements for the period ended December 31, 2014.

F-52

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.:

Under date of February 25, 2015, we reported on the consolidated balance sheets of Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), changes in equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2014, which are included in Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s annual report on Form 10-K. In connection with our audits of the aforementioned consolidated financial statements, we also audited the related consolidated financial statement schedule II—Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves (financial statement schedule) in Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s annual report on Form 10-K. This financial statement schedule is the responsibility of Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement schedule based on our audits.

In our opinion, the financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Houston, Texas

February 25, 2015

F-53

KRATON PERFORMANCE POLYMERS, INC.

SCHEDULE II—VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS AND RESERVES

For the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012

(In thousands)

	Balance at Beginning of Period	Net Expenses	Write-offs	Balance at End of Period
Allowance for doubtful accounts:				
Year ended December 31, 2014	\$ 315	\$ 13	\$(83)	\$245
Year ended December 31, 2013	\$ 401	\$ 6	\$(92)	\$315
Year ended December 31, 2012	\$ 549	\$(23)	\$(125)	\$401
	Balance at Beginning of Period	Net Expenses	Foreign Currency	Balance at End of Period
Inventory reserves:				
Year ended December 31, 2014	\$ 10,476	\$339	\$213	\$11,028
Year ended December 31, 2013	\$ 11,179	\$(693)	\$(10)	\$10,476
Year ended December 31, 2012	\$ 11,843	\$(665)	\$1	\$11,179

F-54

EXHIBIT INDEX

Item 15. Exhibits

The following is a list of all exhibits filed as a part of this annual report on Form 10-K, including those incorporated by reference.

Exhibit No	Description of Exhibits
2.1	Combination Agreement by and among Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc., KPP Shelfco Limited, NY Mergerco, LLC, LCY Chemical Corp. and LCY Synthetic Rubber Corp., dated as of January 28, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 28, 2014)
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Form S-1/A filed with the SEC on September 20, 2010)
3.2	Bylaws of Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Form S-1/A filed with the SEC on September 20, 2010)
4.1	Specimen Stock Certificate of Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Form S-1/A filed with the SEC on December 10, 2009)
4.2	Indenture, dated as of February 11, 2011, among Kraton Polymers LLC, Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation, the Guarantors named therein and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, relating to the 6.75% Senior Notes due 2019 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 15, 2011)
4.3	First Supplemental Indenture dated as of March 20, 2012, among Kraton Polymers LLC, Kraton Polymers Capital Corporation, the Guarantors named therein and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, relating to the 6.75% Senior Notes due 2019 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 21, 2012)
10.1	Guarantee Agreement by and between Kraton Polymers LLC and Taiwan Cooperative Bank, Ltd., dated as of July 17, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Kraton Performance Polymer, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on July 31, 2014)
10.2	Loan Security and Guarantee Agreement, dated as of March 27, 2013, among Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc., as a Guarantor, Kraton Polymers U.S. LLC, as U.S. Borrower, Kraton Polymers Nederland B.V., as Dutch Borrower, the other Guarantors named therein, the Lenders named therein, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 1, 2013)
10.3	Pledge Agreement, dated as of March 27, 2013, among Kraton Polymers U.S. LLC, as initial U.S. Borrower, Kraton Polymers LLC and Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc., as Pledgors, the other Pledgors named therein, and Bank of America, N.A., as Collateral Agent for the holders of the Secured Obligations (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Current

Edgar Filing: Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. - Form 10-K

Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 1, 2013)

- 10.4 Shareholder Agreement of Kraton Formosa Polymers Corporation, dated as of February 27, 2013, by and between KP Investment BV and Formosa Petrochemical Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on May 2, 2013)
- 10.5 Ground Lease, dated as of February 27, 2013, by and between Formosa Petrochemical Corporation and Kraton Formosa Polymers Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on May 2, 2013)
- 10.6 Contribution Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2001, between Shell Oil Company and Shell Elastomers (portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.44 to Amendment No. 1 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K/A filed with the SEC on October 28, 2011)
- 10.7 Contribution Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2001, between Shell Internationale Research Maatschappij B.V. and Kraton Polymers Research B.V. (portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.45 to Amendment No. 3 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K/A filed with the SEC on March 8, 2012)

E-1

Edgar Filing: Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. - Form 10-K

Exhibit No	Description of Exhibits
10.8	Amended and Restated Belpre Facility Sharing and Operating Agreement, dated as of July 1, 1999, among Infineum USA LP, Shell Oil Kraton and Shell Elastomers LLC (portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to Amendment No. 1 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K/A filed with the SEC on October 28, 2011)
10.9	Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Belpre Facility Sharing and Operating Agreement, dated as of January 23, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.69 to the Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed with the SEC on November 20, 2009)
10.10	Amendment No. 2 to Amended and Restated Belpre Facility Sharing and Operating Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.70 to the Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed with the SEC on November 20, 2009)
10.11	Manufacturing Facility Lease, dated as of August 24, 2000, between Shell Chimie and Kravis (Berre-Kraton D) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.47 to Kraton Polymers LLC's Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed with the SEC on April 1, 2005)
10.12	Manufacturing Facility Lease, dated as of August 24, 2000, between Shell Chimie and Kraton Polymers France SAS (Berre-Kraton G) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.48 to Kraton Polymers LLC's Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed with the SEC on April 1, 2005)
10.13	Operating Production Agreement, dated effective as of January 1, 2012, among Compagnie Petrochimique de Berre SAS, Kraton Polymers France SAS and Kraton Polymers Nederland BV (Berre) (portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on May 1, 2012)
10.14	1,3-Butadiene Supply Agreement, dated effective as of January 1, 2012, between Basell Polyolefines France SAS and Kraton Polymers Nederland B.V. (Berre) (portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on May 1, 2012)
10.15	Business Lease, dated as of March 31, 2000, between Elenac GmbH and Kraton Polymers GmbH (Wesseling) (portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.49 to Kraton Polymers LLC's Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed with the SEC on April 1, 2005).
10.16	Amendment to the Business Lease dated March 31, 2000 between Bassell Polyolefine GmbH (previously Elenac GmbH) and Kraton Polymers GmbH (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.49(a) to Kraton Polymers LLC's Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed with the SEC on April 1, 2005)
10.17	Production Agreement (Elastomers), dated as of March 31, 2000, between Elenac GmbH and Kraton Polymers GmbH (Wesseling) (portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to Amendment No. 2 to Kraton Polymers LLC's Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed with the SEC on July 15, 2005)
10.18	

Edgar Filing: Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. - Form 10-K

First Amendment to the Production Agreement (Elastomers), dated effective as of January 1, 2012, among Kraton Polymers GmbH, Kraton Polymers Nederland BV and Basell Polyolefine GmbH (Wesseling) (portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on May 1, 2012)

10.19 1,3-Butadiene Agreement, dated as of December 1, 1999, between Deutsche Shell Chemie GmbH and MWW Achtundzwanzigste Vermoegensverwaltungs GmbH (portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to Amendment No. 1 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K/A filed with the SEC on October 28, 2011)

10.20 Amendment to Agreement for the Supply of 1,3-Butadiene, effective as of January 1, 2012, between Basell Polyolefine GmbH and Kraton Polymers Nederland B.V. (Wesseling) (portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on May 1, 2012)

10.21+ Savings Deferred Compensation and Restoration Plan, dated December 31, 2008, restated (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Form S-1/A filed with the SEC on November 20, 2009)

E-2

Edgar Filing: Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. - Form 10-K

Exhibit No	Description of Exhibits
10.22+	Kraton Polymers U.S. LLC Benefits Restoration Plan, as amended and restated effective as of January 1, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on November 1, 2012)
10.23+	Form of Letter to Participants in the Benefits Restoration Plan with respect to Death Benefit (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 28, 2013)
10.24+	Kraton Polymers U.S. LLC Pension Benefit Restoration Plan as amended and restated December 10, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 27, 2014)
10.25+	Kraton Polymers LLC Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated December 31, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Form S-1/A filed with the SEC on December 2, 2009)
10.26+	Polymer Holdings LLC Executive Deferred Compensation Plan dated November 30, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.52 to the Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Form S-1/A filed with the SEC on December 2, 2009)
10.27+	TJ Chemical Holdings LLC 2004 Option Plan (as amended and restated November 30, 2009) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.53 to the Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Form S-1/A filed with the SEC on December 2, 2009)
10.28+	Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. 2009 Equity Incentive Plan (as amended and restated February 16, 2012) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 29, 2012)
10.29+*	Form of Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. Restricted Stock Award Agreement under the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan
10.30+*	Form of Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement under the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan
10.31+*	Form of Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. Restricted Stock Performance Unit Award Agreement under the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan
10.32+*	Form of Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. Stock Option Award Agreement under the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan
10.33+	Form of Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. Special Restricted Stock Performance Unit Award Agreement under the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 27, 2014)
10.34+	Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. 2013 Cash Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on August 1, 2012)

Edgar Filing: Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. - Form 10-K

- 10.35+ First Amendment to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. 2013 Cash Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 16, 2013)
- 10.38+ Summary of Terms of 2014 Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. Cash Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Current Reports on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 18, 2014)
- 10.39+ Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. Executive Severance Program effective as of November 1, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 29, 2012)
- 10.40+ Form of Employee Confidentiality and Non-Competition Agreement entered into by executives participating in the Executive Severance Program (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 29, 2012)
- 10.41+ Notional Unit Award Grant Agreement dated July 15, 2005, between Kevin M. Fogarty and Kraton Polymers LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 to Amendment No. 3 to Kraton Polymers LLC's Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed with the SEC on August 30, 2005)
- 10.42+ Amendment No. 1 dated December 18, 2008 to the Notional Unit Award Grant Agreement, between Kevin M. Fogarty and Kraton Polymers LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed with the SEC on November 20, 2009)

E-3

Edgar Filing: Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. - Form 10-K

Exhibit No	Description of Exhibits
10.43+	Amendment No. 2 dated December 8, 2009 to the Notional Unit Award Grant Agreement, between Kevin M. Fogarty and Kraton Polymers LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.47 to the Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed with the SEC on December 10, 2009)
10.44+	Amendment to Outstanding Option Grant Agreements (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.92 to the Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed with the SEC on December 2, 2009)
10.45+	Form of Indemnification Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 16, 2011)
10.46+	Executive Compensation Recoupment Policy (adopted September 11, 2013) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 16, 2013)
12.1*	Statement of Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
21.1*	List of Significant Subsidiaries
23.1*	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
24.1*	Powers of Attorney
31.1*	Certification by CEO pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2*	Certification by CFO pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1*	Certification by CEO and CFO pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101*	The following materials from Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012 (iv) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, and (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
+	Denotes management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.
*	Filed herewith.