Eaton Vance Municipal Income Term Trust Form N-Q December 29, 2014

### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# Form N-Q

### QUARTERLY SCHEDULE OF PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS OF REGISTERED

#### MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

#### 811-22777

**Investment Company Act File Number** 

# **Eaton Vance Municipal Income Term Trust**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Name and Address of Agent for Services)

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

January 31

Date of Fiscal Year End

October 31, 2014

Date of Reporting Period

Item 1. Schedule of Investments

### **Eaton Vance**

**Municipal Income Term Trust** 

October 31, 2014

### PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited)

**Tax-Exempt Investments** 156.8%

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)		Amount			t		
Bond Bank 1.6%	(000	5 omitted)		v uruc				
Rickenbacker Port Authority, OH, (OASBO Expanded Asset Pooled Financing Program), 5.375%, 1/1/32	\$	3,275	\$	3,492,100				
			\$	3,492,100				
			Ψ	3,472,100				
Education 11.9%								
Central Washington University, 3.25%, 5/1/29	\$	2,910	\$	2,886,371				
Central Washington University, 3.25%, 5/1/30	Ψ	3,265	Ψ	3,205,381				
Portland Community College District, OR, 3.25%, 6/15/32 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>		10,250		10,221,382				
Rutgers State University, NJ, 4.00%, 5/1/30 <sup>(1)</sup>		8,425		9,122,000				
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		0,.20		>,1 <b>22</b> ,000				
			\$	25,435,134				
Electric Utilities 8.1%								
Apache County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Tucson Electric Power Co.),								
4.50%, 3/1/30	\$	1,480	\$	1,578,287				
Lower Colorado River Authority, TX, (LCRA Transmission Services Corp.), 4.00%, 5/15/33		4,000		4,098,120				
Salt River Agricultural Improvement and Power District, AZ, 5.00%, 12/1/30 <sup>(1)</sup>		10,000		11,713,300				
			\$	17,389,707				
General Obligations 45.3%			\$	17,389,707				
General Obligations 45.3% Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/28	\$	1,000	<b>\$</b>	<b>17,389,707</b> 590,190				
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	\$	1,000 2,395		, ,				
Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/28	\$			590,190				
Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/28 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/29	\$	2,395		590,190 1,333,823				
Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/28 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/29 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/30	\$	2,395 2,575		590,190 1,333,823 1,352,570				
Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/28 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/29 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Edmonds School District No. 15, Snohomish County, WA, 5.00%, 12/1/30	\$	2,395 2,575 3,000		590,190 1,333,823 1,352,570 3,591,750				
Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/28 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/29 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Edmonds School District No. 15, Snohomish County, WA, 5.00%, 12/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/31 Hawaii, 5.00%, 11/1/28 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	\$	2,395 2,575 3,000 800 955 8,000		590,190 1,333,823 1,352,570 3,591,750 398,424 449,442 9,460,000				
Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/28 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/29 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Edmonds School District No. 15, Snohomish County, WA, 5.00%, 12/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/31 Hawaii, 5.00%, 11/1/28 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Illinois, 5.00%, 5/1/33	\$	2,395 2,575 3,000 800 955 8,000 3,200		590,190 1,333,823 1,352,570 3,591,750 398,424 449,442 9,460,000 3,439,072				
Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/28 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/29 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Edmonds School District No. 15, Snohomish County, WA, 5.00%, 12/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/31 Hawaii, 5.00%, 11/1/28 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Illinois, 5.00%, 5/1/33 Laredo, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranted), 0.00%, 8/1/27	\$	2,395 2,575 3,000 800 955 8,000 3,200 1,570		590,190 1,333,823 1,352,570 3,591,750 398,424 449,442 9,460,000 3,439,072 1,082,751				
Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/28 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/29 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Edmonds School District No. 15, Snohomish County, WA, 5.00%, 12/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/31 Hawaii, 5.00%, 11/1/28 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Illinois, 5.00%, 5/1/33 Laredo, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranted), 0.00%, 8/1/27 Leander Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 0.00%, 8/15/31	\$	2,395 2,575 3,000 800 955 8,000 3,200 1,570 5,000		590,190 1,333,823 1,352,570 3,591,750 398,424 449,442 9,460,000 3,439,072 1,082,751 2,582,050				
Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/28 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/29 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Edmonds School District No. 15, Snohomish County, WA, 5.00%, 12/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/31 Hawaii, 5.00%, 11/1/28 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Illinois, 5.00%, 5/1/33 Laredo, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranted), 0.00%, 8/1/27 Leander Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 0.00%, 8/15/31 New York, 3.00%, 3/1/32 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	\$	2,395 2,575 3,000 800 955 8,000 3,200 1,570 5,000 10,000		590,190 1,333,823 1,352,570 3,591,750 398,424 449,442 9,460,000 3,439,072 1,082,751 2,582,050 10,181,085				
Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/28 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/29 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Edmonds School District No. 15, Snohomish County, WA, 5.00%, 12/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/31 Hawaii, 5.00%, 11/1/28 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Illinois, 5.00%, 5/1/33 Laredo, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranted), 0.00%, 8/1/27 Leander Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 0.00%, 8/15/31 New York, 3.00%, 3/1/32 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> New York, NY, 5.00%, 3/1/30	\$	2,395 2,575 3,000 800 955 8,000 3,200 1,570 5,000 10,000 3,700		590,190 1,333,823 1,352,570 3,591,750 398,424 449,442 9,460,000 3,439,072 1,082,751 2,582,050 10,181,085 4,342,801				
Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/28 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/29 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Edmonds School District No. 15, Snohomish County, WA, 5.00%, 12/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/31 Hawaii, 5.00%, 11/1/28 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Illinois, 5.00%, 5/1/33 Laredo, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranted), 0.00%, 8/1/27 Leander Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 0.00%, 8/15/31 New York, 3.00%, 3/1/32 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> New York, NY, 5.00%, 3/1/30 Pennsylvania, 4.00%, 4/1/29 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	\$	2,395 2,575 3,000 800 955 8,000 3,200 1,570 5,000 10,000 3,700 10,000		590,190 1,333,823 1,352,570 3,591,750 398,424 449,442 9,460,000 3,439,072 1,082,751 2,582,050 10,181,085 4,342,801 10,773,500				
Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/28 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/29 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Edmonds School District No. 15, Snohomish County, WA, 5.00%, 12/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/31 Hawaii, 5.00%, 11/1/28 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Illinois, 5.00%, 5/1/33 Laredo, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranted), 0.00%, 8/1/27 Leander Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 0.00%, 8/15/31 New York, 3.00%, 3/1/32 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> New York, NY, 5.00%, 3/1/30 Pennsylvania, 4.00%, 4/1/29 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> San Bernardino Community College District, CA, 4.00%, 8/1/30 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	\$	2,395 2,575 3,000 800 955 8,000 3,200 1,570 5,000 10,000 3,700 10,000 10,000		590,190 1,333,823 1,352,570 3,591,750 398,424 449,442 9,460,000 3,439,072 1,082,751 2,582,050 10,181,085 4,342,801 10,773,500 10,617,700				
Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/28 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/29 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Edmonds School District No. 15, Snohomish County, WA, 5.00%, 12/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/31 Hawaii, 5.00%, 11/1/28 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Illinois, 5.00%, 5/1/33 Laredo, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranted), 0.00%, 8/1/27 Leander Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 0.00%, 8/15/31 New York, 3.00%, 3/1/32 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> New York, NY, 5.00%, 3/1/30 Pennsylvania, 4.00%, 4/1/29 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> San Bernardino Community College District, CA, 4.00%, 8/1/30 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Santa Clara County, CA, (Election of 2008), 3.00%, 8/1/31	\$	2,395 2,575 3,000 800 955 8,000 3,200 1,570 5,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 2,900		590,190 1,333,823 1,352,570 3,591,750 398,424 449,442 9,460,000 3,439,072 1,082,751 2,582,050 10,181,085 4,342,801 10,773,500 10,617,700 2,796,122				
Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/28 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/29 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Edmonds School District No. 15, Snohomish County, WA, 5.00%, 12/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/31 Hawaii, 5.00%, 11/1/28 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Illinois, 5.00%, 5/1/33 Laredo, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranted), 0.00%, 8/1/27 Leander Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 0.00%, 8/15/31 New York, 3.00%, 3/1/32 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> New York, NY, 5.00%, 3/1/30 Pennsylvania, 4.00%, 4/1/29 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> San Bernardino Community College District, CA, 4.00%, 8/1/30 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Santa Clara County, CA, (Election of 2008), 3.00%, 8/1/31 Tempe Union High School District No. 213, AZ, 4.00%, 7/1/29 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	\$	2,395 2,575 3,000 800 955 8,000 3,200 1,570 5,000 10,000 3,700 10,000 10,000 2,900 4,200		590,190 1,333,823 1,352,570 3,591,750 398,424 449,442 9,460,000 3,439,072 1,082,751 2,582,050 10,181,085 4,342,801 10,773,500 10,617,700 2,796,122 4,476,990				
Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/28 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/29 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Edmonds School District No. 15, Snohomish County, WA, 5.00%, 12/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/31 Hawaii, 5.00%, 11/1/28 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Illinois, 5.00%, 5/1/33 Laredo, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranted), 0.00%, 8/1/27 Leander Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 0.00%, 8/15/31 New York, 3.00%, 3/1/32 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> New York, NY, 5.00%, 3/1/30 Pennsylvania, 4.00%, 4/1/29 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> San Bernardino Community College District, CA, 4.00%, 8/1/30 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Santa Clara County, CA, (Election of 2008), 3.00%, 8/1/31 Tempe Union High School District No. 213, AZ, 4.00%, 7/1/29 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Tempe Union High School District No. 213, AZ, 4.00%, 7/1/30 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	\$	2,395 2,575 3,000 800 955 8,000 3,200 1,570 5,000 10,000 10,000 2,900 4,200 4,350		590,190 1,333,823 1,352,570 3,591,750 398,424 449,442 9,460,000 3,439,072 1,082,751 2,582,050 10,181,085 4,342,801 10,773,500 10,617,700 2,796,122 4,476,990 4,609,739				
Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/28 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/29 Clovis Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2012), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Edmonds School District No. 15, Snohomish County, WA, 5.00%, 12/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/30 Fresno Unified School District, CA, (Election of 2010), 0.00%, 8/1/31 Hawaii, 5.00%, 11/1/28 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Illinois, 5.00%, 5/1/33 Laredo, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranted), 0.00%, 8/1/27 Leander Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 0.00%, 8/15/31 New York, 3.00%, 3/1/32 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> New York, NY, 5.00%, 3/1/30 Pennsylvania, 4.00%, 4/1/29 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> San Bernardino Community College District, CA, 4.00%, 8/1/30 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Santa Clara County, CA, (Election of 2008), 3.00%, 8/1/31 Tempe Union High School District No. 213, AZ, 4.00%, 7/1/29 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	\$	2,395 2,575 3,000 800 955 8,000 3,200 1,570 5,000 10,000 3,700 10,000 10,000 2,900 4,200		590,190 1,333,823 1,352,570 3,591,750 398,424 449,442 9,460,000 3,439,072 1,082,751 2,582,050 10,181,085 4,342,801 10,773,500 10,617,700 2,796,122 4,476,990				

University City, MO, School District, 0.00%, 2/15/33	3,000	1,523,370
Washington, 4.00%, 7/1/29 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	10,793,500
		\$ 96,861,989
Hospital 13.0%		
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Providence Health & Services),		
4.00%, 10/1/28 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	10,000	\$ 10,688,100
Delaware Health Facilities Authority, (Nanticoke Memorial Hospital), 5.00%, 7/1/28	2,500	2,656,975
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Jordan Hospital),		
5.375%, 10/1/28	1,000	1,000,220
Onondaga Civic Development Corp., NY, (St. Joseph s Hospital Health Center),		
4.50%, 7/1/32	715	695,245

Security	A	rincipal amount s omitted)		Value
Southcentral Pennsylvania General Authority, (WellSpan Health Obligated Group), 5.00%, 6/1/29 <sup>(3)</sup>	\$	825	\$	953,147
Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., TX, (Baylor Health Care System), 4.00%, 11/15/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	·	10,000	·	10,206,800
Yavapai County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Yavapai Regional Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/1/28		1,500		1,665,855
			\$	27,866,342
Housing 8.2%  Pannay Ivania Hayaina Financa Agency SEMB (AMT) 2.000/. 10/1/29(1)	¢	5,500	¢	5 607 065
Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, SFMR, (AMT), 3.90%, 10/1/28 <sup>(1)</sup> Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, SFMR, (AMT), 4.20%, 10/1/33 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	1,500	\$	5,697,065 1,564,425
Virginia Housing Development Authority, 3.625%, 1/1/31 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>		10,000		10,326,800
Virginia Housing Development Authority, 5.025 %, 1/1/51		10,000		10,320,800
			\$	17,588,290
Industrial Development Revenue 7.0%				
Illinois Finance Authority, (Peoples Gas Light and Coke Co.), 4.00%, 2/1/33	\$	1,500	\$	1,547,055
Louisiana Public Facilities Authority, (Cleco Power LLC), 4.25%, 12/1/38		5,000		5,165,050
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Covanta Energy), (AMT), 4.875%, 11/1/27 <sup>(6)</sup>		2,500		2,569,800
Matagorda County, TX, Navigation District No. 1, (AEP Texas Central Co.), Series 2008-1, 4.00%, 6/1/30		1,155		1,178,135
Matagorda County, TX, Navigation District No. 1, (AEP Texas Central Co.), Series 2008-2, 4.00%, 6/1/30		3,000		3,060,090
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Continental Airlines), Series 2000A, (AMT), 5.625%, 11/15/30		500		544,195
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Continental Airlines), Series 2000B, (AMT), 5.625%, 11/15/30		855		931,257
			\$	14,995,582
Insured-Electric Utilities 3.2%				
Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (AGM), 0.678%, 7/1/29 <sup>(4)</sup>	\$	2,000	\$	1,504,900
Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/29	φ	500	Ф	485,530
Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/30		5,000		4,828,750
			\$	6,819,180
Incured Conoral Obligations 197				
Insured-General Obligations 1.8% Irvington Township, NJ, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/15/30	\$	1,875	\$	2,138,625
McHenry County, IL, Community Unit School District No. 12, (AGM), 4.25%, 1/1/29	Ф	1,873	Ф	1,257,085
Puerto Rico Public Buildings Authority, (NPFG), 6.00%, 7/1/28		500		508,155
Tuesto Rico Fuelle Buildings Ruthority, (1417 6), 0.00%, 7/1/20		300		300,133
			\$	3,903,865
Insured-Industrial Development Revenue 2.0%				
Massachusetts Port Authority, (Delta Airlines, Inc.), (AMBAC), (AMT), 5.00%, 1/1/27	\$	4,200	\$	4,200,252
			\$	4,200,252
Insured-Other Revenue 0.7%				
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (The Goethals Bridge Replacement), (AGM), (AMT), 5.00%, 1/1/31	\$	1,340	\$	1,490,830
(**************************************	Ψ	1,5 10	φ	1,170,030

		\$ 1,490,830
Insured-Special Tax Revenue 1.4%		
Puerto Rico Convention Center District Authority, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/31	\$ 1,475	\$ 1,333,798
Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 7/1/35	1,115	246,883
Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 7/1/28	1,480	1,434,298
		\$ 3,014,979
Insured-Transportation 2.3%		
Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority, CA, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 10/1/31 <sup>(5)</sup>	\$ 5,805	\$ 2,097,172
Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, CA, (AGM), 0.00%, 1/15/32	795	558,488
Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.50%, 7/1/31	1,000	1,038,760
Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 7/1/20 <sup>(5)</sup>	1,625	1,166,457
		\$ 4,860,877

Sounity	A	rincipal mount s omitted)		Value
Security Insured-Water and Sewer 2.2%	(000)	s offitted)		vaiue
Jefferson County, AL, Sewer Revenue, (AGM), 0.00%, 10/1/27	\$	875	\$	485,170
Jefferson County, AL, Sewer Revenue, (AGM), 0.00%, 10/1/29	Ψ	1,225	Ψ	592,190
Jefferson County, AL, Sewer Revenue, (AGM), 0.00%, 10/1/29		1,045		467,742
Jefferson County, AL, Sewer Revenue, (AGM), 0.00%, 10/1/33		5,870		2,116,839
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/28		1,000		1,000,260
			\$	4,662,201
Lease Revenue/Certificates of Participation 0.5%				
Michigan Strategic Fund, (Facility for Rare Isotope Beams), 4.00%, 3/1/31	\$	1,000	\$	1,041,500
			\$	1,041,500
Other Revenue 7.9%				
New York Liberty Development Corp., (3 World Trade Center), 5.15%, 11/15/34 <sup>(3)(6)</sup>	\$	3,250	\$	3,313,505
Oregon Department of Administrative Services, Lottery Revenue, 4.00%, 4/1/29 <sup>(1)</sup>	·	10,000	·	10,843,300
Salt Verde Financial Corp., AZ, Senior Gas Revenue, 5.00%, 12/1/32		2,460		2,815,593
			\$	16,972,398
Senior Living/Life Care 4.5%				
Harris County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., TX, (Brazos Presbyterian Homes,				
Inc.), 5.75%, 1/1/28	\$	140	\$	157,644
Lancaster Industrial Development Authority, PA, (Garden Spot Village), 5.375%, 5/1/28	Ψ	600	Ψ	654,438
Lorain County Port Authority, OH, (Kendal at Oberlin), 5.00%, 11/15/30		1,250		1,376,887
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (North Hill Communities), 4.00%, 11/15/17		470		470,235
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (North Hill Communities), 4.50%, 11/15/18		710		713,444
Multnomah County, OR, Hospital Facilities Authority, (Mirabella at South Waterfront),		/10		713,444
5.125%, 10/1/34		1,500		1,572,750
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (United Methodist Homes of New Jersey),		1,500		1,372,730
4.00%, 7/1/27		765		770,546
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (United Methodist Homes of New Jersey),		0.1.0		044.000
4.00%, 7/1/28		910		911,283
Palm Beach County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Sinai Residences of Boca Raton),		0.40		1 0 6 0 10 0
7.25%, 6/1/34		940		1,068,489
Savannah, GA, Economic Development Authority, (Marshes of Skidaway Island), 6.00%, 1/1/24		500		545,585
Warren County, OH, (Otterbein Homes Obligated Group), 5.00%, 7/1/28		1,200		1,350,696
			\$	9,591,997
Special Tax Revenue 6.5%				
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, Dedicated Tax Revenue, 0.00%, 11/15/30 <sup>(5)</sup>	\$	5,000	\$	2,920,800
New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 4.00%, 3/15/30 <sup>(1)</sup>		10,000		10,893,700
			\$	13,814,500
Student Loan 2.5%				
Massachusetts Educational Financing Authority, (AMT), 5.00%, 1/1/27	\$	3,000	\$	3,342,630
New Jersey Higher Education Student Assistance Authority, (AMT), 4.00%, 12/1/28	7	2,000	Ψ	2,035,120
		_,000		2,000,120
			\$	5,377,750
Transportation 17.5%				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Chicago, IL, (Midway International Airport), (AMT), 5.00%, 1/1/32	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,527,800
Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, CA, 0.00%, 1/15/27	2,000	1,317,280
Grand Parkway Transportation Corp., TX, 4.95%, (0.00% until 10/1/23), 10/1/29	800	606,768
Grand Parkway Transportation Corp., TX, 5.05%, (0.00% until 10/1/23), 10/1/30	1,500	1,135,065
Grand Parkway Transportation Corp., TX, 5.20%, (0.00% until 10/1/23), 10/1/31	2,000	1,513,140
Houston, TX, (United Airlines, Inc.), (AMT), 5.00%, 7/1/29	1,470	1,557,759
Kentucky Public Transportation Infrastructure Authority, (Downtown Crossing Project),		
0.00%, 7/1/28	1,240	592,150
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, 0.00%, 12/15/29	10,000	5,155,100

Security	A	incipal mount s omitted)	Value
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AMT), 4.00%, 7/15/36 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	\$	10,000	\$ 10,270,796
Route 460 Funding Corp., VA, 0.00%, 7/1/29		2,880	1,459,152
Route 460 Funding Corp., VA, 0.00%, 7/1/30		2,670	1,286,593
Route 460 Funding Corp., VA, 0.00%, 7/1/31		3,000	1,364,160
Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, NY, 0.00%, 11/15/29		3,665	2,171,109
Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, NY, 0.00%, 11/15/31		6,600	3,568,818
			\$ 37,525,690
Water and Sewer 8.7%			
Chicago, IL, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/28	\$	1,500	\$ 1,738,560
Chicago, IL, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/29		2,000	2,308,780
Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 7/1/27		1,000	1,101,340
Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), 5.00%, 7/1/30		5,000	5,483,500
Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District, 4.00%, 11/15/33 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>		7,500	7,890,675
			\$ 18,522,855
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 156.8% (identified cost \$322,152,812)			\$ 335,428,018
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (56.8)%			\$ (121,556,156)
Net Assets 100.0%			\$ 213,871,862

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM - Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT - Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimum

Tax.

NPFG - National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

PSF - Permanent School Fund

SFMR - Single Family Mortgage Revenue

At October 31, 2014, the concentration of the Trust s investments in the various states, determined as a percentage of total investments, is as follows:

New York	14.4%
Texas	11.3%
Others, representing less than 10% individually	74.3%

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic

developments, at October 31, 2014, 8.6% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 0.3% to 3.5% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds.
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$34,363,667.
- (3) When-issued security.
- (4) Variable rate security. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at October 31, 2014.
- (5) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.

4

(6) Security exempt from registration pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in certain transactions (normally to qualified institutional buyers) and remain exempt from registration. At October 31, 2014, the aggregate value of these securities is \$5,883,305 or 2.8% of the Trust s net assets.

The Trust did not have any open financial instruments at October 31, 2014.

The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments of the Trust at October 31, 2014, as determined on a federal income tax basis, were as follows:

Aggregate cost	\$ 199,855,494
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$ 13,459,037
Gross unrealized depreciation	(141,513)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 13,317,524

Under generally accepted accounting principles for fair value measurements, a three-tier hierarchy to prioritize the assumptions, referred to as inputs, is used in valuation techniques to measure fair value. The three-tier hierarchy of inputs is summarized in the three broad levels listed below.

Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical investments

Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)

Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including a fund s own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)
In cases where the inputs used to measure fair value fall in different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level disclosed is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

At October 31, 2014, the hierarchy of inputs used in valuing the Trust s investments, which are carried at value, were as follows:

Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 335,428,018	\$	\$ 335,428,018
<b>Total Investments</b>	\$	\$ 335,428,018	\$	\$ 335,428,018

The Trust held no investments or other financial instruments as of January 31, 2014 whose fair value was determined using Level 3 inputs. At October 31, 2014, there were no investments transferred between Level 1 and Level 2 during the fiscal year to date then ended.

For information on the Trust s policy regarding the valuation of investments and other significant accounting policies, please refer to the Trust s most recent financial statements included in its semiannual or annual report to shareholders.

#### Item 2. Controls and Procedures

- (a) It is the conclusion of the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer that the effectiveness of the registrant s current disclosure controls and procedures (such disclosure controls and procedures having been evaluated within 90 days of the date of this filing) provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant on this Form N-Q has been recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the Commission s rules and forms and that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant on this Form N-Q has been accumulated and communicated to the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer in order to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.
- (b) There have been no changes in the registrant s internal controls over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter for which the report is filed that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

#### **Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

### Eaton Vance Municipal Income Term Trust

By: /s/ Payson F. Swaffield Payson F. Swaffield President

Date: December 22, 2014

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Payson F. Swaffield Payson F. Swaffield President

Date: December 22, 2014

By: /s/ James F. Kirchner James F. Kirchner Treasurer

Date: December 22, 2014