

INFINITY PROPERTY & CASUALTY CORP
Form DEF 14A
April 16, 2014

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A
Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934
(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

INFINITY PROPERTY AND CASUALTY CORPORATION

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
- .. Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which the transaction applies:

 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which the transaction applies:

 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of the transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of the transaction:

 - (5) Total fee paid:
- .. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- .. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:

 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

 - (3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

INFINITY PROPERTY AND CASUALTY CORPORATION

3700 Colonnade Parkway
Suite 600
Birmingham, Alabama 35243

**Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders
and Proxy Statement**

To be Held on May 20, 2014

Dear Shareholder:

We invite you to attend our Annual Meeting of Shareholders on May 20, 2014, in Birmingham, Alabama. At the meeting, you will hear a report on our operations and have an opportunity to meet our directors and executives.

This booklet includes the formal notice of the meeting and the Proxy Statement. The Proxy Statement tells you more about the agenda and procedures for the meeting. It also describes how our Board of Directors operates and provides information about the nominees to our Board.

We continue to take advantage of U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission rules that allow companies to furnish their proxy materials over the Internet. As a result, this Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders contains instructions on how to access and review our Proxy Statement and our 2013 Annual Report over the Internet. We believe this process allows us to provide our shareholders with the information they need in a timelier manner.

All shareholders are important to us. We want your shares to be represented at the meeting and urge you either to use the electronic voting system, if available to you through your broker, or to promptly complete and return your proxy form.

Birmingham, Alabama

James H. Romaker
Secretary

April 16, 2014

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS
OF INFINITY PROPERTY AND CASUALTY CORPORATION

Date: Tuesday, May 20, 2014
Time: 9:00 a.m., Central Daylight Time
Place: Renaissance Ross Bridge Resort
4000 Grand Avenue
Birmingham, Alabama 35226

Purpose: To elect nine directors identified in the Proxy Statement
To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the 2014 fiscal year
To approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers
To conduct any other business that may properly be raised

Record Date: March 24, 2014
Mailing Date: Approximately April 16, 2014
INFINITY PROPERTY AND CASUALTY CORPORATION

May 20, 2014

*****IMPORTANT NOTICE*****

Regarding Internet Availability of Proxy Materials
for the Annual Meeting to be held on May 20, 2014

You are receiving this communication because you hold shares in Infinity Property and Casualty Corporation,
and the materials you should review before you cast your vote are now available.

The Proxy Statement and 2013 Annual Report are available at

<http://www.infinityauto.com/proxy2013>

GENERAL INFORMATION

Who May Vote

Shareholders, as recorded in our stock register on March 24, 2014, may vote at the meeting. As of that date, we had 11,420,989 shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote. Each share of common stock is entitled to one vote on each matter to be considered at the meeting.

How to Vote

You may vote in person at the meeting or by proxy. We recommend you vote by proxy even if you plan to attend the meeting. You can always change your vote at the meeting.

Written Proxy. All shareholders can vote by completing and returning the attached proxy card.

Telephone and Internet Proxy. Some shareholders can also vote by touchtone telephone and/or the Internet. The use of electronic voting via the telephone and the Internet is dependent upon how a shareholder holds shares and, if held through a broker, each shareholder's particular broker. Please follow the instructions provided on the proxy card if electronic voting is made available to you and if you wish to vote electronically. Please note that you may be unable to access electronic voting after 11:59 p.m., May 19, 2014.

Access to the Notice of Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement, and the Annual Report to Shareholders

The Notice of Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement, and 2013 Annual Report to Shareholders are available under the Investor Relations section of our website located at www.infinityauto.com. We will also provide a copy of any of these documents to any shareholder free of charge, upon request by calling (205) 803-8186, by e-mailing investor.relations@infinity-insurance.com, or by writing to: Infinity Property and Casualty Corporation, Attn: Investor Relations, 3700 Colonnade Parkway, Suite 600, Birmingham, AL 35243.

Street Name Holders. If you hold your shares in a bank or brokerage account, your bank or broker may also provide you copies of these documents electronically. Please check the information provided in the proxy materials mailed to you by your bank or broker regarding the availability of this service.

How Proxies Work

Our Board of Directors is asking for your proxy. Giving us your proxy means you authorize us to vote your shares at the meeting in the manner you direct. You may vote for all, some, or none of our director candidates. You may also vote for or against the other proposals or abstain from voting on any or all matters.

If you sign and return the enclosed proxy card but do not specify how to vote, your shares will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of our Board of Directors, namely FOR our director candidates, FOR the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the 2014 fiscal year, and FOR the approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of the Company's named executive officers (the NEOs) as disclosed in this Proxy Statement. If any other matters properly come before the meeting or any postponement or adjournment thereof, each properly executed proxy card will be voted in the discretion of the named proxies. Management has not received proper notice of any matters to be presented at the meeting other than those proposed in this Proxy Statement.

Banks or brokers holding shares for beneficial owners must vote those shares as instructed. If the bank or broker has not received instructions from you, the beneficial owner, the bank or broker generally has discretionary voting power only with respect to the ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm for the 2014 fiscal year. It is therefore important that you provide instructions to your bank or broker if your shares are held by such a bank or broker so that your vote with respect to all other matters is counted.

You may receive more than one proxy or voting card depending on how you hold your shares. Shares registered in your name are covered by one card. If you hold shares through someone else, such as a stockbroker, you may receive materials from them asking how you wish to vote.

Revoking a Proxy

You may revoke your proxy before it is voted at the meeting by submitting a new proxy with a later date (or by recording a later telephone or Internet proxy), by voting in person at the meeting, or by notifying our Corporate Secretary in writing at the address of our principal executive offices as listed on the front page of the Proxy Statement.

Quorum

In order to carry on the business of the meeting, we must have a quorum. This means that at least a majority of the outstanding shares eligible to vote must be represented at the meeting, either by proxy or in person. Abstentions and broker non-votes are considered present for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present. Broker non-votes occur when a broker returns a proxy card but does not have authority to vote on a particular proposal.

Vote Tabulation

Votes will be tabulated and the results certified by or under the direction of an Inspector of Elections, who may be an employee of ours.

The nine director candidates who receive the greatest number of FOR votes will be elected to serve as directors on the Board. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast.

Approval of all other matters at the meeting or of postponement or adjournment, require the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast.

Proxy Solicitation

Our Board is soliciting your proxy for use at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders and at any postponement or adjournment thereof. We will bear the costs of the proxy solicitation, including the reimbursement of banks and brokers for reasonable expenses of sending out our proxy materials to the beneficial owners of our common stock. We have engaged D.F. King & Co., Inc. to assist us in soliciting proxies for a fee of \$12,000, plus out-of-pocket expenses. In addition to solicitation by mail, our officers, directors and employees may solicit proxies in person, by telephone, by facsimile, and by e-mail.

Other Matters

Any other matters considered at the meeting, including postponement or adjournment, will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast.

Cumulative Voting

In voting to elect directors, shareholders are entitled to cumulate their votes and to give one candidate a number of votes equal to the number of directors to be elected multiplied by the number of shares held by the shareholder, or to distribute their votes on the same principle among as many candidates as the shareholder so desires. In order to invoke cumulative voting, notice of cumulative voting must be given in writing by a shareholder to our Corporate Secretary at the address as listed on the first page of this Proxy Statement not less than 48 hours prior to the Annual Meeting. The proxies solicited include discretionary authority to cumulate votes.

PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation provide that the Board of Directors consists of one class of directors with a term ending at each annual meeting of shareholders. The size of the Board shall be deemed to be equal to the number of directors elected at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. Based upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the Board of Directors has nominated Angela Brock-Kyle for election and Teresa A. Canida, Jorge G. Castro, James R. Gober, Harold E. Layman, E. Robert Meaney, Drayton Nabers, Jr., William Stancil Starnes, and Samuel J. Weinhoff for re-election to the Board of Directors.

Proxies solicited by the Board will be voted for the election of these nominees. All directors elected at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders will be elected to hold office until the expiration of each elected director's term at the next Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

We have no reason to believe that any of the director nominees will be unable or unwilling to serve if elected. However, if any director nominee becomes unavailable or unwilling to serve before the election, your proxy card authorizes us to vote for a replacement nominee, if the Board names one.

The Board recommends you vote FOR each of the following nominees:

Business Experience

(Additional information regarding each director's specific experience, qualifications, attributes and skills is contained within the Nominating and Corporate

Nominee	Governance Committee section of this Proxy Statement)
<p>ANGELA BROCK-KYLE</p> <p>Age 54</p>	<p>Nominated to serve as a Director in 2014.</p> <p>Previously served as Managing Director and a member of the senior leadership team with Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association-College Retirement Equities Fund (TIAA-CREF) from 2005 until 2012 in Asset Management, Retirement and Individual Financial Services, and Enterprise Risk Management.</p>
<p>TERESA A. CANIDA</p> <p>Age 60</p>	<p>Previously served as Managing Director, Project Finance and Managing Director, Fixed Income Private Placements with TIAA-CREF from 1998 to 2004 and in various investment management roles since 1987.</p> <p>Elected Director in May 2009.</p> <p>Currently serving as President of Taplin, Canida & Habacht LLC since 2008.</p>
	<p>Previously served as President, Managing Principal, and Chief Compliance Officer of Taplin, Canida & Habacht, Inc. (predecessor to Taplin, Canida & Habacht LLC) from 1985 to 2008.</p>

Business Experience

(Additional information regarding each director's specific

experience, qualifications, attributes and skills is

contained within the Nominating and Corporate

Governance Committee section of this Proxy Statement)

Nominee
JORGE G. CASTRO

Elected Director in August 2003.

Age 56

Currently serving as Executive Chairman of the Board of Lombardia Capital Partners since 2014.

Previously served as Chief Executive Officer for Lombardia Capital Partners from 2005 until 2013 and as its Vice Chairman from 2003 until 2005.

Previously served as Chief Executive Officer and Chief Investment Officer of CIC/HCM Asset Management from 1989 to 2003.

JAMES R. GOBER

Elected Director in December 2002.

Age 62

Currently serving as our Chief Executive Officer and President since 2002 and Chairman of the Board since December 2003.

Previously served in various executive roles within each of Infinity's insurance company subsidiaries since 1991.

HAROLD E. LAYMAN

Elected Director in August 2003.

Age 67

Currently serving as a member of Blount International, Inc.'s Board of Directors since 1999 and as Chairman of its Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Currently serving as a member of GrafTech International, Ltd.'s Board of Directors since 2003 and as a member of its Audit and Finance Committee and its Organization, Compensation, and Pension Committee.

Previously served as President of RiverBend Management Group LLC from 2005-2010.

Previously served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Blount International, Inc. until 2002.

Previously served as a member of Grant Prideco, Inc.'s Board of Directors and as Chairman of its Audit Committee from 2003 until 2008.

E. ROBERT MEANEY Elected Director in May 2013.

Age 66 Currently serving as a consultant to the industrial sector.

Currently serving as Distinguished Fellow at the Daugherty Institute of the University of Nebraska.

Previously served as Senior Vice President from 1998 until 2012 and as Corporate Secretary from 2006 until 2011 of Valmont Industries, Inc.

Business Experience

(Additional information regarding each director's specific

experience, qualifications, attributes and skills is

contained within the Nominating and Corporate

Governance Committee section of this Proxy Statement)

Nominee
DRAYTON NABERS, JR.

Elected Director in May 2007.

Age 73

Currently serving as Director of Samford University's Frances Marlin Mann Center for Ethics and Leadership since 2014.

Currently serving as Of Counsel with the law firm of Maynard, Cooper & Gale, P.C. since 2007.

Currently serving as a member of ProAssurance Corporation's Board of Directors since 2007 and as a member and Chairman of its Audit Committee.

Previously served as a member of the Board of Directors of Alabama National Bancorporation from 2007 until 2008.

Previously served as the Chief Justice of the Alabama Supreme Court from 2004 until 2007.

Previously served as the Director of Finance for the State of Alabama from 2003 until 2004.

Previously served as the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Protective Life Corporation until 2002.

WILLIAM STANCIL STARNES

Elected Director in May 2008.

Age 65

Currently serving as Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of ProAssurance Corporation since 2007.

Previously served as a member of the Board of Directors of Alabama National Bancorporation from 1998 until 2008.

Previously served as President of Administration and Planning for Brasfield & Gorrie from 2006 until 2007.

Previously served as Senior Partner of the law firm of Starnes & Atchison LLP until 2006.

5

Business Experience

(Additional information regarding each director's specific

experience, qualifications, attributes and skills is

contained within the Nominating and Corporate

Nominee	Governance Committee section of this Proxy Statement)
SAMUEL J. WEINHOF	Elected Director in May 2004.

Age 63

Currently serving as an insurance industry consultant.

Currently serving as a member of Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, Ltd.'s Board of Directors since 2006, as Chairman of its Compensation Committee, and as a member of its Audit, Enterprise Risk, Executive and Investment Committees.

Previously served as a member of the Board of Directors of Inter-Atlantic Financial, Inc. from 2007 until 2009.

Previously served as Managing Director and Head of Schroders & Co.'s U.S. Financial Institutions Group, Investment Banking from 1997 until 2000.

Previously served as Managing Director at Lehman Brothers from 1985 until 1997.

PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP AS INFINITY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE 2014 FISCAL YEAR

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has recommended the selection and appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2014. If the shareholders do not ratify the selection, the Audit Committee may reconsider its selection or decide to continue the engagement of Ernst & Young LLP. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee may, in its discretion, appoint a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if the Audit Committee determines a change would be in the best interests of Infinity and the shareholders.

The Board recommends you vote

FOR

ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as Infinity's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the 2014 Fiscal Year.

PROPOSAL 3: TO APPROVE, ON AN ADVISORY BASIS, THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act enacted in July 2010, requires that we provide our shareholders with the opportunity to vote to approve, on a non-binding, advisory basis, the compensation of our NEOs as disclosed in this Proxy Statement in accordance with the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

As described in detail below under the heading Compensation Discussion and Analysis, we seek to closely align the interests of our NEOs with the interests of our shareholders. We structure our programs to discourage excessive risk-taking through a balanced use of compensation vehicles and metrics with an overall goal of delivering sustained long-term shareholder value while aligning our executives' interests with those of our shareholders. Further, our programs require that a substantial portion of each NEO's compensation be contingent on delivering performance results that benefit our shareholders. Our compensation programs are designed to reward our NEOs for the achievement of short-term and long-term strategic and operational goals and the achievement of increased total shareholder return.

The vote on this matter is not intended to address any specific element of compensation; rather, the vote relates to the compensation of our NEOs, as described in this Proxy Statement in accordance with the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The vote is advisory, which means that the vote is not binding on the Company, our Board, or the Compensation Committee. The Board and the Compensation Committee will review and consider the voting results when making future decisions regarding our executive compensation program.

Accordingly, we ask our shareholders to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our NEOs, as disclosed in this Proxy Statement pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Summary Compensation Table, and the other related tables and disclosure.

The Board recommends you vote

FOR

approval of the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this Proxy Statement.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

General

Infinity is an Ohio corporation and, therefore, governed by the corporate laws of Ohio. Because our stock is publicly traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market and we file reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission, we are also subject to NASDAQ rules as well as various provisions of federal securities laws.

Governance of the corporation is placed in the hands of the directors who, in turn, elect officers to manage the business operations. The Board of Directors oversees the management of Infinity on your behalf. It reviews Infinity's long-term strategic plans and exercises direct decision making authority in all major decisions, such as acquisitions, the declaration of dividends and undertaking major capital and financing initiatives.

The full Board of Directors met five times during 2013. During 2013, each director attended at least 75% of the total number of meetings held by the Board and by the Committees on which the director served. The independent members of the Board met three times in 2013 without the presence of management directors.

Our policy is to require director attendance at annual meetings of shareholders. Our Chairman excused two directors, who were both unable to travel for medical reasons, from attending the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders; however, all other directors attended the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

Leadership Structure

Our Board of Directors has chosen not to separate the roles of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (CEO) but has utilized a lead director (the Lead Director) since 2004. On May 21, 2013, the independent directors re-appointed Drayton Nabers, Jr. to serve as the Lead Director and to preside at all Board and Executive Committee meetings until the next annual meeting of shareholders and until his successor is appointed. Our Board, acting through its Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, has determined, at this time, to continue to utilize a leadership structure of combining the roles of Chairman and CEO based upon the following:

The Company maintains a strong and independent Lead Director, as evidenced by the following:

The Lead Director has prior experience serving as Chairman of a publicly-traded insurance company and is therefore able to act as a strong, independent voice for the independent directors.

The Lead Director resides in Birmingham and is able to meet, in person, with management and serve as a liaison between management and the independent directors in between meetings of the Board.

The Lead Director reviews and approves the agendas for Board meetings.

The Board has established a practice of naming the Lead Director as Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

The Lead Director frequently meets with the independent directors outside of the presence of management in executive sessions of Board meetings and, less formally, on the evening preceding Board meetings.

Our Board believes that an active, independent Lead Director can be just as effective in implementing the corporate governance objectives designed to be achieved by separating the roles of Chairman and CEO.

The last five directors (including Ms. Brock-Kyle) nominated to our Board were nominated based upon the recommendation of an independent director, not the CEO or any other employee director, and none of the current independent directors were nominated based upon the recommendation of the CEO or any other employee director, which indicates a lack of Board capture by the CEO.

Risk Oversight

The Company's management, including and under the supervision of the CEO, has the primary responsibility for managing risks to the Company, subject to Board oversight. The Board has delegated certain of its risk oversight responsibilities to various Board committees. Specifically, the Board has assigned oversight of the Company's risk management policies and procedures for risks associated with the Company's investment portfolio to the Investment Committee and for risks associated with the Company's compensation policies and practices to the Compensation Committee. The Board has delegated to the Audit Committee the responsibility for oversight of the Company's risk management policies and procedures, except to the extent that such responsibility is delegated to the Investment or Compensation Committee or otherwise retained by the Board. All Board committees regularly report to the Board regarding matters considered and actions taken at committee meetings. Nevertheless, the Board believes that responsibility for oversight of the Company's risk management efforts ultimately resides with the entire Board. As such, in 2013, the Board reviewed and discussed the Company's risk management policies and procedures and its risk appetite and tolerance thresholds as part of a strategic planning session between the Board and senior management.

The Company has historically conducted annual enterprise risk assessment surveys to identify, monitor and assess risk exposures. Survey participants include the Company's NEOs, Board members and certain senior managers and professionals in key departments. The survey results are considered by the Board and management in conjunction with the Company's strategic planning process. The Company uses the survey results to identify the most critical risks facing the Company and to assist in its efforts to assess and monitor and to mitigate, control or avoid such risks.

In 2010, management assembled a Risk Management Committee (the Risk Committee), consisting of Messrs. Smith and Simon and other members of management from the Company's operational, legal, accounting, and finance departments. This Risk Committee has considered and continues to consider information in the aforementioned survey results to further expound the meaning and nature of and interconnectivity among risk areas. During 2013, the Risk Committee regularly provided the Audit Committee with its findings related to the Company's risk oversight function and, as necessary, management's plans to maintain the Company's risk within the risk tolerance thresholds established by the Board.

THE COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The directors have organized themselves into the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, Audit Committee, Investment Committee, Executive Committee, and Compensation Committee. Each of the Nominating and Corporate Governance, Audit, Investment, and Compensation Committees is composed

exclusively of Non-Employee Directors that meet the relevant independence requirements established by the NASDAQ Listing Rules, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, and Securities Exchange Act Rule 10A-3 that apply to their particular assignments. Our Board has determined that all nominees for election to the Board, with the exception of Mr. Gober, meet the independence standards of NASDAQ Listing Rule 5605. Each committee's charter is available under the Investor Relations section of our website located at www.infinityauto.com. Our Board has adopted a Code of Ethics, which is available under the Investor Relations section of our website located at www.infinityauto.com and which applies to all directors, executive officers, and employees of the Company. A copy of the Code of Ethics will also be provided without charge upon written request sent to our Corporate Secretary at the address shown on the cover page of this Proxy Statement. To the extent permitted by NASDAQ Listing Rule 5610, any amendments to or waivers from the Code of Ethics will be posted on our website within four business days after the date of an amendment. Any amendments to or waivers from the Code of Ethics may be disclosed on Form 8-K filed with the SEC either in addition to or in lieu of the website disclosure.

Our Board has adopted a process to facilitate written communications by shareholders to the Board. Shareholders who wish to write to the Board or a specified director or committee of the Board should send correspondence to the Corporate Secretary at 3700 Colonnade Parkway, Suite 600, Birmingham, Alabama 35243. All communications received from shareholders are screened by the Corporate Secretary and, other than trivial or obscene items, are forwarded to the full Board, or to a specific Board member or committee if designated by the shareholder. Trivial items will be delivered to the Board at its next scheduled meeting. Anyone who wishes to communicate with a specific Board member or committee should send instructions asking that the submitted communication be forwarded to the director or to the appropriate committee chairman.

NOMINATING AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is composed of Drayton Nabers, Jr. (Chairman), Harold E. Layman, and Samuel J. Weinhoff and met two times during 2013. Our Board of Directors has established a Charter for the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, which is available under the Investor Relations section of our website located at www.infinityauto.com and is reviewed annually by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Under the terms of its Charter, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for considering and making recommendations concerning the composition, function, and needs of the Board and reviewing, evaluating and developing corporate governance guidelines. In fulfilling its duties, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, among other things, shall:

identify individuals qualified to be Board members consistent with criteria established by the Board;

lead all performance evaluations of the Board or of any Board committee;

recommend nominees to the Board for the next annual meeting of shareholders;

review the structure of the Board and its committees;

review each non-employee director's relationships with the Company and recommend to the Board which directors should qualify as independent under applicable SEC and NASDAQ rules;

consider matters of corporate governance and establish and review the Corporate Governance Guidelines implemented by the Board;

consider issues involving possible conflicts of interest of Board members or the Company's senior executives;

review and establish all matters pertaining to compensation, benefits, fees, and retainers paid to directors; and

Edgar Filing: INFINITY PROPERTY & CASUALTY CORP - Form DEF 14A

consider and review on an annual basis the succession plans for the Company's NEOs, with the succession planning for the CEO to be considered in conjunction with the Board.

Directors, members of management, shareholders, or industry or professional organizations may suggest nominees. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is also able to use the services of a third-party executive search firm to assist it in identifying and evaluating possible nominees for director.

In identifying and considering candidates for nomination to our Board, whether recommended by officers, directors, shareholders or others, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers, in addition to the requirements set out in the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter, the needs of Infinity, the range of talent and experience represented on the Board, and the personal qualities of a candidate that might contribute to the overall diversity of the Board. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also evaluates the ability of a nominee to devote the time and attention necessary to fulfill his or her responsibilities. Shareholders desiring to submit recommendations for nominations by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to the Board should direct them to the Corporate Secretary at the address shown on the cover page of this Proxy Statement.

Upon the recommendation of Mr. Weinhoff, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considered Angela Brock-Kyle as a potential candidate for the Board. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee recommended at its February 25, 2014 meeting that the Board nominate the current independent directors and Mr. Gober for re-election and Ms. Brock-Kyle for election as directors. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considered the experience, qualifications, attributes and skills, as set forth below, of each such director and nominee in recommending the nominees to serve on the Board of Directors.

Nominee	Specific Experience, Qualifications, Attributes and Skills
Angela Brock-Kyle	This candidate was nominated to stand for election to the Board because of i) her knowledge of the financial markets and investment community, including her experience of managing multi-billion dollar investment portfolios and of developing and implementing risk management strategies and procedures, and ii) her knowledge and experience gained through serving on the Board of Directors of non-profit institutions.
Teresa A. Canida	This director was nominated to stand for re-election to the Board because of i) her knowledge of the financial markets and investment community, including her experience serving as President and managing principal of an investment advisory firm, ii) her entrepreneurial skills established through co-founding a multi-billion dollar investment advisory firm, iii) her knowledge and understanding of the Company's core Hispanic customer base, and iv) her knowledge of the Company gained through her tenure on its Board of Directors.
Jorge G. Castro	This director was nominated to stand for re-election to the Board because of i) his knowledge of the financial markets and investment community, including his experience serving as CEO and as Executive Chairman of a money management company, ii) his knowledge and understanding of the Company's core Hispanic customer base, and iii) his knowledge of the Company gained through his tenure on its Board of Directors.
James R. Gober	This director was nominated to stand for re-election to the Board because of i) his position as Chairman, CEO and President of Infinity and the Board's belief about the appropriateness of combining the roles of Chairman and CEO, ii) his extensive knowledge and understanding of all facets of Infinity's operations and its personnel gained through his current position and his service with the Company (and its predecessor companies) in various capacities over several decades, iii) his managerial expertise demonstrated through the financial performance of the Company during his tenure, and iv) his extensive knowledge of and experience in the insurance industry.

Nominee	Specific Experience, Qualifications, Attributes and Skills
Harold E. Layman	This director was nominated to stand for re-election to the Board because of i) his managerial expertise gained through his service as Chairman and CEO of a publicly-traded company, ii) his knowledge of and experience in matters of corporate finance and corporate consolidations, and iii) his experience serving as a director of several publicly-traded companies, including Infinity, and his experience serving on the audit and compensation committees of other companies boards.
E. Robert Meaney	This director was nominated to stand for re-election to the Board because of i) his managerial expertise gained through his service as a senior executive and as President of the international operations of a large, publicly-traded, multinational company, ii) his knowledge and experience in matters of corporate governance, government affairs, and compliance gained through his service as Corporate Secretary with supervisory responsibility for the legal department of a large, publicly-traded, multinational company, and iii) his knowledge of the Company gained through his tenure on its Board of Directors.
Drayton Nabers, Jr.	This director was nominated to stand for re-election to the Board because of i) his managerial expertise gained through his service in various capacities, including as Chairman, CEO and President of a publicly-traded life insurance company and as Director of Finance for the State of Alabama, ii) his legal knowledge and expertise gained through his service as Chief Justice of the Alabama Supreme Court, as General Counsel of a publicly-traded life insurance company, as a clerk for Justice Hugo Black of the U.S. Supreme Court, and as an attorney with a large Birmingham-based law firm, iii) his knowledge and understanding of the insurance industry, and iv) his experience serving on the Board of Directors of several publicly-traded companies, including Infinity and other companies in the financial services industry.
William Stancil Starnes	This director was nominated to stand for re-election to the Board because of i) his managerial expertise gained through his service as Chairman and CEO of a publicly-traded property and casualty insurance company, as the senior managing partner of a Birmingham-based law firm and as the President of Administration and Planning for a general contracting and construction management firm, ii) his knowledge of the property and casualty insurance industry, including his experience as an attorney representing insurance companies, and iii) his experience serving on the Board of Directors of several publicly-traded companies, including Infinity and other companies in the financial services industry.
Samuel J. Weinhoff	This director was nominated to stand for re-election to the Board because of i) his knowledge of the property and casualty insurance industry gained through his experience as a reinsurance underwriter, a buy and sell side equity research analyst and an insurance industry consultant, ii) his knowledge of the financial markets, investment community, and financial services industry gained from his service as a managing director with two different investment banks, and iii) his experience serving on the Board of Directors of several publicly-traded companies, including Infinity and other companies in the financial services industry.

AUDIT COMMITTEE**General**

The Audit Committee is composed of Samuel J. Weinhoff (Chairman), Harold E. Layman, E. Robert Meaney, and William Stancil Starnes and met four times during 2013. Each member of the Audit Committee meets the financial literacy requirements under the NASDAQ Listing Rules. The Board has determined that Mr. Weinhoff is an audit committee financial expert. The Board based this determination upon Mr. Weinhoff's previous work experience, which has included preparing GAAP and statutory basis financial statements for a large property and casualty insurer, serving as an insurance industry analyst at a major investment bank, serving as an investment banker in the insurance industry, and teaching statutory accounting classes. All members of the Audit Committee are independent as that term is used in the NASDAQ Listing Rules and under Rule 10A-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Our Board of Directors has established a Charter for the Audit Committee, which is available under the Investor Relations section of our website located at www.infinityauto.com and is reviewed annually by the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee oversees our accounting and financial reporting processes and audits of our financial statements by our independent registered public accounting firm (the Independent Auditor). The Audit Committee is responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention, and oversight of our Independent Auditor. The Audit Committee also evaluates information received from the Independent Auditor and management to determine whether the Independent Auditor is independent of management. The Independent Auditor reports directly to the Audit Committee. Ernst & Young was the Independent Auditor retained by the Audit Committee for the 2013 fiscal year. Representatives from Ernst & Young will attend the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and will have an opportunity to make a statement and be available to respond to appropriate questions.

The Audit Committee has established procedures for the receipt, retention, and treatment of complaints received by Infinity concerning accounting, internal accounting controls, or auditing matters, and has established procedures for the confidential and anonymous submission by employees of any concerns they may have regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters.

FEES PAID TO INDEPENDENT AUDITOR

The Audit Committee approved all of the fees provided below. Aggregate fees billed by Ernst & Young for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were:

	2013	2012
Audit Fees	\$ 1,359,009	\$ 1,313,995
Audit-Related Fees	0	0
Tax Fees	0	0
All Other Fees	0	0
	\$ 1,359,009	\$ 1,313,995

PRE-APPROVAL OF AUDIT AND NON-AUDIT SERVICES

The Audit Committee, or its Chairman, pre-approves all audit and non-audit services (including the fees and terms of the services) performed by our Independent Auditor prior to the commencement of such services. The Chairman reports to the full Audit Committee at each of its meetings, and the Audit Committee considers and ratifies, where appropriate, those items that the Chairman properly authorized between meetings. For these purposes, the Audit Committee or its Chairman is provided with information as to the nature, extent, and purpose

of each proposed service, as well as the approximate timeframe and proposed cost arrangements for that service. No non-audit services were performed by our Independent Auditor during 2013 or 2012.

The Audit Committee has submitted the following report to shareholders:

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

On February 21, 2013, the Audit Committee retained Ernst & Young as Infinity's independent public accounting firm for fiscal year 2013. At its meeting on February 25, 2014, the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed with management and Ernst & Young the results of the 2013 audit, including the audited financial statements and the assessment of the effectiveness of internal controls. The Audit Committee discussed with Ernst & Young the matters that are required to be discussed by the Standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB), including PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 16. Ernst & Young provided the Audit Committee with the written disclosures and the letter required by the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding Ernst & Young's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence. The Audit Committee discussed with Ernst & Young that firm's independence and determined that Ernst & Young was independent.

Based upon the review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that Infinity's audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 be included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Respectfully submitted,

Audit Committee

Samuel J. Weinhoff (Chairman)

Harold E. Layman

E. Robert Meaney

William Stancil Starnes

INVESTMENT COMMITTEE

The Investment Committee is composed of Jorge G. Castro (Chairman), Teresa A. Canida, E. Robert Meaney, and Drayton Nabers, Jr. and met four times during 2013. Our Board of Directors has established a Charter for the Investment Committee, which is available under the Investor Relations section of our website located at www.infinityauto.com. The purpose of the Investment Committee is to assist the Board in monitoring, evaluating, and overseeing the investment policy, strategies, transactions, and performance of the Company and its subsidiaries. The Investment Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving the Company's investment policy. The Company's investment policy contains guidelines, objectives, and standards designed to mitigate market, credit, and liquidity risks through the establishment of parameters designed to achieve diversification of the Company's fixed income investments. The Company's investment policy also establishes a benchmark index against which the performance of its investment managers is assessed. The Investment Committee is, and pursuant to its Charter is required to be, composed of at least three directors that all satisfy the independence requirements established by the NASDAQ Listing Rules.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

The Executive Committee is composed of James R. Gober (Chairman), Drayton Nabers, Jr., William Stancil Starnes, and Samuel J. Weinhoff and met one time in 2013. The Executive Committee has the authority to exercise the power and authority of the Board of Directors between meetings of the Board, subject to any limitation imposed by law, the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, the Code of Regulations, or any resolution of our Board. On an emergency basis, the Executive Committee has the authority to appoint an interim

CEO pending appointment of a permanent or successor interim CEO by the full Board. Our Board of Directors has established a Charter for the Executive Committee, which is available under the Investor Relations section of our website located at www.infinityauto.com.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

The Compensation Committee is composed of Harold E. Layman (Chairman), Teresa A. Canida, Jorge G. Castro, and William Stancil Starnes and met two times during 2013. Our Board has established a Charter for our Compensation Committee, which is available under the Investor Relations section of our website at www.infinityauto.com and is reviewed annually by our Compensation Committee.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

No member of the Compensation Committee was an officer or employee of the Company during the year ended December 31, 2013 nor has any member of the Compensation Committee been an officer of the Company or had any relationship requiring disclosure under Item 404 of Regulation S-K.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The Compensation Committee is responsible for establishing the Company's executive compensation philosophy and the level of compensation of our NEOs, which consist of:

James R. Gober, Chairman, CEO, and President;

Roger Smith, Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Treasurer;

Samuel J. Simon, Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Assistant Secretary;

Glen N. Godwin, Senior Vice President, Business Development; and

Scott C. Pitrone, Senior Vice President, Product Management.

Executive Summary

2013 Compensation Decisions

We took the following executive compensation actions in 2013:

We did not change the salaries of Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone in 2013.

We paid annual incentives to the NEOs that were 118.5% of target.

We granted Performance Share Units to our NEOs to incentivize long-term performance over the 3-year 2013-2015 Performance Measurement Cycle.

Edgar Filing: INFINITY PROPERTY & CASUALTY CORP - Form DEF 14A

We determined that the Performance Share Units granted to our NEOs in 2011 under the Second Amended and Restated 2008 Performance Share Plan (the Performance Share Plan) for the 2011-2013 Performance Measurement Cycle paid out at 186.24% of target. This payout resulted in our NEOs receiving shares of common stock.

In the aggregate:

The total cash compensation (the sum of salary and annual incentive compensation) for the NEOs, as a group, increased by 3.2% for 2013 compared to 2012.

Total direct compensation (the sum of salary, annual incentive compensation, and the payout from the Performance Share Plan) for the NEOs, as a group, increased by 65.7% for 2013 compared to 2012, driven almost exclusively by an increase in the Performance Share Plan payout from 40.27% in 2012 to 186.24% in 2013.

2014 Compensation Decisions

We made the following compensation decisions in early 2014 related to our NEOs:

We increased Mr. Gober's salary and target annual incentive opportunity by 2.9%. This is the only increase in Mr. Gober's salary or target annual incentive opportunity during his tenure as CEO, except for a company-wide salary adjustment in 2005 to offset the impact of a newly incurred city income tax.

We increased the salary and target annual incentive opportunity for each of the remaining NEOs from 3.3% to 5.2% and from 6.7% to 12.5%, respectively.

We established performance targets for the Annual Executive Bonus Plan for 2014 performance.

We granted Performance Units to our NEOs under the Amended and Restated 2013 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2013 Stock Plan) and established Performance Goals for the 2014-2016 Performance Period.

2013 Say-on-Pay Vote Results

At our 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, Infinity held an advisory vote on the compensation of its NEOs, commonly referred to as a say-on-pay vote. Our shareholders overwhelmingly approved the compensation of our NEOs, with over 99% of votes cast in favor of our 2013 say-on-pay resolution. Based on the results of the 2013 say-on-pay vote, the Compensation Committee concluded that the compensation paid to our NEOs and our overall pay practices have strong shareholder support and do not require substantial revision to address shareholder concerns.

Roles of the Committee, Compensation Consultant and Management

The Compensation Committee is comprised solely of independent directors and is responsible for determining the compensation of our CEO and other NEOs. The Compensation Committee receives assistance during its evaluation process from: (1) Pearl Meyer & Partners (PM&P), the Compensation Committee's independent consultant; and (2) our CEO.

The Compensation Committee reviews and approves our CEO's and NEOs' compensation annually and makes determinations regarding adjustments and other changes in salary, annual incentive, and long-term incentive plans.

Our CEO reviews the compensation of the other NEOs annually and makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee regarding base salary, annual incentive, and long-term incentive compensation plans.

Our Compensation Committee chose not to use outside compensation consulting services prior to establishing 2013 executive compensation for the following reasons:

the Compensation Committee believed the current compensation levels for the NEOs remained adequate,

the Compensation Committee was satisfied with the performance results achieved under the existing compensation structure,

neither the Compensation Committee nor management was proposing to add any new compensation components or significantly change any existing compensation component, and

Edgar Filing: INFINITY PROPERTY & CASUALTY CORP - Form DEF 14A

there was no significant change in any NEO's duties or responsibilities subsequent to PMP's last review of executive compensation in 2010.

During its March 1, 2013 meeting, our Compensation Committee considered the recommendations of Mr. Gober in establishing the 2013 compensation packages for the NEOs, other than himself, and in evaluating their performance, contributions, and the potential for further career advancement. At this meeting, the

Compensation Committee elected to maintain base salaries for the NEOs at the then current level, to maintain the target incentive amounts (as further described herein) for each NEO at the same level established in 2012, and to award an equal number of Performance Share Units for the 2013-2015 Performance Measurement Cycle to each NEO.

In 2013, PM&P was retained by and reported directly to the Compensation Committee. PM&P, at the Compensation Committee's request, regularly provides independent advice on current trends in compensation design, and provides executive compensation data and compensation program proposals to assist in evaluating and setting the overall structure of our executive compensation program and the compensation levels of our NEOs. PM&P does not provide any services to the Company or any of the Company's affiliates other than advising the Compensation Committee on director and executive officer compensation. The Compensation Committee evaluated whether any work performed by PM&P raised any conflict of interest and determined that it did not.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

Our Compensation Committee focuses on the dual objectives of motivating the NEOs primarily through incentive plans of both short and long-term duration and also retaining them over the long-term. Currently, the incentive plans consist of a cash incentive plan based on the achievement of annual performance goals and an equity incentive plan that provides payouts in common stock based on the achievement of long-term goals. Our Compensation Committee believes compensation should be designed with sufficient base compensation to be market competitive and to avoid excessive turnover, while also containing a sufficient at-risk component to provide the NEOs with an incentive to perform at or above expectations.

While our Compensation Committee believes incentive compensation should include both a short and a long-term component, the Compensation Committee has not formulated any particular benchmark with respect to the percentage of compensation that should come from each component. Our Compensation Committee considers a number of factors when establishing or recommending overall compensation for the NEOs. These factors include, but are not limited to:

the extent to which corporate financial performance objectives have been met,

the scope of an individual's responsibility and ability to influence the Company's results and strategic initiatives,

financial performance over a sustained period of time,

the alignment of the interests of management with our Company's shareholders,

the compensation levels and practices of peer group companies, and

the level of an individual's experience, past performance and future potential.

It is also our Compensation Committee's view that when considering compensation programs for employees, those occupying the highest positions of authority and responsibility should have a larger portion of their compensation tied to long-term performance and such compensation should be linked more closely to the performance of the Company's common stock, i.e. equity-based, as compared to those employees occupying lower level positions of authority and responsibility. The Company uses performance share/unit awards in furtherance of this philosophy.

On an annual basis, our Compensation Committee approves incentive plans for the NEOs. Our Compensation Committee believes the Company's operational performance is guided by two primary factors, combined ratio and growth in written premiums, which management must balance to obtain optimum results. Therefore, the annual and long-term incentive compensation plans for the NEOs contain performance goals based on these two factors, i.e. accident-year combined ratio and growth in written premiums, measured either discretely or in combination with each other. Additionally, our Compensation Committee believes a return metric

is an important measure of the financial performance of the Company, and thus of significant importance to shareholders. For 2013, our Compensation Committee chose to use operating return on equity as the return metric.

Similar to prior years, growth in gross written premiums, accident-year combined ratio, and operating return on equity were components of the NEOs' 2013 annual incentive compensation. Similar measures are in place for the three-year performance period associated with our Performance Share Plan, including Statutory Accident-Year Combined Ratio and Growth in Net Written Premiums as the primary measures coupled with a multiplier based upon profitable growth in targeted markets. Since the majority of the incentive compensation attainable under both the annual and long-term incentive plans is contingent upon underwriting profitability (as opposed to rewarding growth in premiums without regard to profitability or rewarding gains arising from the Company's investment portfolio), the Compensation Committee believes the incentive compensation plans do not encourage our NEOs to take unnecessary or excessive risks and are directly related to the Company's financial goals and long-term strategy.

Principal Guides and Benchmarks Used for Setting Executive Compensation

2013 Executive Compensation Review

In 2013, our Compensation Committee engaged PM&P for the following:

to assist in selecting a peer group of companies from which to evaluate the pay levels, including salary and short- and long-term incentive compensation, for each NEO,

to compare target pay for the NEOs against the Company's peer group and identify whether the compensation for any of the NEOs should be adjusted to better align with the peer group,

to evaluate the alignment of the NEOs' actual pay and the Company's performance against the peer group and determine whether the Company's relative NEO compensation is appropriately aligned with the Company's performance, and

to review the NEOs' employment agreements and the Company's short- and long-term incentive compensation plans and advise on the appropriateness of the terms and conditions contained in such agreements and plans.

PM&P does not currently and has not previously conducted any business directly with the Company. PM&P performs services only for and at the behest of the Compensation Committee.

In its 2013 assessment, PM&P recommended, and our Compensation Committee concurred, that executive pay should be analyzed against the following peer group (the Peer Group):

Donegal Group, Inc.

EMC Insurance Group, Inc.

Mercury General Corporation

National Interstate Corporation

ProAssurance Corporation

RLI Corp.

Safety Insurance Group, Inc.

Selective Insurance Group, Inc.

Edgar Filing: INFINITY PROPERTY & CASUALTY CORP - Form DEF 14A

State Auto Financial Corporation

United Fire Group, Inc.

In performing its 2013 assessment, PM&P examined the executive compensation data furnished in the 2013 proxy statements of the Peer Group companies along with data compiled in compensation surveys. PM&P also examined the prior one- and three-year financial performance data, with a focus on revenue and gross written

premium growth and on book value, profitability, and operating return on equity in accordance with the Company's strategy.

The Company was at or above the 75th percentile versus the Peer Group in size, growth, and profitability measures including the key performance criteria used in the annual and equity incentive plans during the three years covered by the study (2010-2012).

The Compensation Committee considered the results of PM&P's review and its recommendations in establishing 2014 executive compensation and intends to further consult with PM&P during 2014 regarding potential equity awards and the upcoming expiration of the NEOs' employment agreements.

2013 Executive Compensation Components

Our Compensation Committee chose, as has been the practice in prior years, to compensate the NEOs through each of the compensation components summarized in the following table and described in greater detail below. The Compensation Committee based this decision upon i) its satisfaction with the historical performance results achieved under the philosophy and design of our existing compensation program, ii) existing contractual obligations to provide certain minimum levels of compensation to the NEOs, and iii) its compensation consultant, PM&P, having not recommended against the use of any of those components or having advocated the use of any alternative components.

Component	Purpose	Summary Description	Significant Changes since Last Year's Proxy Statement
Salary	To provide a consistent base level of compensation commensurate with an NEO's duties and responsibilities.	Consists of a fixed amount paid on a bi-weekly basis.	Beginning March 2, 2014, Mr. Gober's salary was increased by 2.9%, and the salary of each of the remaining NEOs was increased from 3.3% to 5.2%.
Annual Performance Incentive Plans	To provide incentive compensation for achievement of short-term goals.	Consists of the Annual Executive Bonus Plan, a cash-based incentive plan designed to reward growth in written premiums, accident-year combined ratio performance, and operating return on equity.	For 2014, the weighting for the Statutory Accident Year Combined Ratio metric will be increased from 50.0% to 60.0% and the weighting on the Growth in Gross Written Premiums metric will be decreased from 30.0% to 20.0%. For 2014, Mr. Gober's incentive opportunity was increased by 2.9%, and the incentive opportunity of each of the remaining NEOs was increased from 6.7% to 12.5%.

Component	Purpose	Summary Description	Significant Changes since Last Year's Proxy Statement
Performance Units	To provide incentive compensation for performance measured over a three-year period and to align the NEOs interests with those of the shareholders by linking compensation to the Company's stock price.	Consists of an equity-based incentive to reward performance as measured by growth in net written premiums and statutory accident-year combined ratio with the opportunity for additional compensation for profitable growth in specific geographic regions above a certain threshold.	Beginning in 2014, awards are made from the 2013 Stock Plan, as opposed to the Performance Share Plan.
Restricted Stock	To provide an incentive for each NEO to remain with the Company and to align the NEOs interests with those of the shareholders by linking the value of such incentive to the Company's stock price.	Consists of an outstanding restricted stock grant containing a three-year cliff vesting provision made to each NEO in 2011.	None.
Retirement and Deferred Compensation Plans	To provide vehicles for NEOs and other employees to receive replacement income upon termination of their employment with the Company.	Consists of the Company's 401(k) Plan, a non-qualified supplemental retirement plan (SERP) and a deferred compensation plan (DCP).	None.

Salary

Minimum salaries for each of the NEOs are established pursuant to existing employment agreements. There was no change to any NEO's salary in 2013.

Annual Performance Incentive Plans

The Annual Executive Bonus Plan was established and approved by our shareholders in 2010. Our Compensation Committee structured the Annual Executive Bonus Plan for 2013 based on the attainment of certain performance goals related to three performance measures: operating return on equity, statutory accident-year combined ratio, and growth in gross written premiums. In establishing performance targets under both the Annual Executive Bonus Plan and the Performance Share Plan, our Compensation Committee considers the following factors: i) alignment of the targets with the Company's annual budget and long-term strategic plan, which are reviewed and approved by the Board, ii) the Company's cost of capital, iii) industry trends and the competitive environment, and iv) prevailing macroeconomic conditions.

The table below identifies the target and maximum award opportunities under the Annual Executive Bonus Plan for 2013, in which all NEOs participated. The maximum award is 200% of the target award.

Executive	Target	Maximum
James R. Gober	\$558,800	\$1,117,600
Roger Smith	\$235,000	\$470,000
Samuel J. Simon	\$300,000	\$600,000
Glen N. Godwin	\$200,000	\$400,000
Scott C. Pitrone	\$200,000	\$400,000

Weightings were assigned to each performance measure in the Annual Executive Bonus Plan for 2013, as follows:

A 20% weighting was assigned to Operating Return on Equity.

A 50% weighting was assigned to Statutory Accident-Year Combined Ratio.

A 30% weighting was assigned to Growth in Gross Written Premiums.

Each NEO was subject to the same performance targets for each of these three performance measures. The performance targets under the Annual Executive Bonus Plan for 2013 were as follows:

Operating Return on Equity (20% weighting)

Target	Percentage Payout
10.0% or above	200%
6.0%	150%
5.0%	100%
4.0% or below	0%

Actual 2013 Operating Return on Equity = 4.5% (equating to 50% of target or 10% of each NEO's

target bonus base)

Operating Return on Equity means net earnings less (1) realized gains/losses on investments, net of tax; less (2) loss on redemption of debt, net of tax as a percentage of average equity.

Statutory Accident-Year Combined Ratio (50% weighting)

Target	Percentage Payout
95.0% or below	200%
96.0%	150%
97.0%	100%
98.0% or above	0%

Actual 2013 Statutory Accident-Year Combined Ratio = 96.40% (equating to 130% of target or 65.0% of each NEO's target bonus base)

Statutory Accident-Year Combined Ratio means the Statutory Combined Ratio (defined below) less favorable/unfavorable development on prior accident year loss and loss adjustment expense reserves plus GAAP agent balances and premium receivables charged off.

Edgar Filing: INFINITY PROPERTY & CASUALTY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Statutory Combined Ratio means the sum of the following ratios: (i) losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred as a percentage of net earned premium and (ii) underwriting expenses incurred, net of fees, as a percentage of net written premium.

Growth in Gross Written Premiums (30% weighting)

Target	Percentage Payout
9.0% or above	200%
7.0%	150%
5.0%	100%
1.0% or below	0%

Actual 2013 Growth in Gross Written Premiums = 6.8% (equating to 145% of target or 43.5% of each NEO's target bonus base)

Straight-line interpolation was used to determine the NEOs' payouts for those performance results falling between the designated performance targets.

On February 28, 2014, the incentive compensation under the Annual Executive Bonus Plan for 2013 for each of our NEOs was determined by our Compensation Committee to be 118.5% of each NEO's target amount. The amount of the 2013 payout for each NEO is listed in the table below:

Executive	Target	Award	% of Target
James R. Gober	\$ 558,800	\$662,178	118.5%
Roger Smith	\$ 235,000	\$278,475	118.5%
Samuel J. Simon	\$ 300,000	\$355,500	118.5%
Glen N. Godwin	\$ 200,000	\$237,000	118.5%
Scott C. Pitrone	\$ 200,000	\$237,000	118.5%

Performance Share Plan

As noted above, our Compensation Committee believes a meaningful portion of an NEO's overall compensation should be equity-based. In 2008, our Board and shareholders approved the 2008 Performance Share Plan. In 2008, our Board amended the 2008 Performance Share Plan to reduce the number of shares available for issuance to 500,000 from 1,000,000. In 2010, our Board and shareholders approved the Second and Amended 2008 Performance Share Plan. At the conclusion of each three-year Performance Measurement Cycle, which begins on January 1st of year 1 and ends on December 31st of year 3, each Performance Share Unit will convert to between 0 to 3 shares of common stock depending on the performance results.

Results of the 2011–2013 Performance Measurement Cycle

On February 28, 2011, our Compensation Committee approved an award of 5,933 Performance Share Units to each NEO for the 2011-2013 Performance Measurement Cycle. In determining the conversion ratio, actual performance was measured against the pre-established 2011-2013 Performance Component Targets, which consisted of i) a matrix with the performance results for the annual Growth in Net Written Premiums on one axis and the Statutory Accident-Year Combined Ratio on the other axis, which is used to establish an initial payout ratio of between 0% to 200%, and ii) the Compound Annual Growth Rate in Urban Zone Net Written Premiums performance, which allows for the initial payout ratio to be increased by up to 1.5 times, thus resulting in a potential payout of between 0% to 300%. The Performance Component Targets for the 2011-2013 Performance Measurement Cycle were as follows:

Performance Measure	Threshold	Target	Maximum
Statutory Accident-Year Combined Ratio	100.5%	98.5%	97.0%
Growth in Net Written Premiums	0.0%	8.5%	12.5%

The initial payout ratio was 0% at or below Threshold performance, 100% at Target performance, and 200% at or above Maximum performance, subject to enhancement as set forth below:

Actual Statutory Accident-Year Combined Ratio = 98.15% (just below target)

Actual Growth in Net Written Premiums = 11.99% (just below maximum)

Actual Initial Payout Ratio = 148.99%

Compound Annual Growth Rate in Urban Zone Net Written Premiums	Multiplier
<10.0%	1.00
10.0%-15.0%	1.25
>15.0%	1.50

Actual Compound Annual Growth Rate in Urban Zone Net Written Premiums = 11.93%

Actual Urban Zone Multiplier = 1.25

Actual Final Payout Ratio = 148.99% x 125.0% = 186.24%

Growth in Net Written Premiums means the growth in premiums written on all policies the Company has issued during the period, net of reinsurance.

Compound Annual Growth Rate in Urban Zone Net Written Premiums means the compound annual growth rate of premiums written on all policies the Company has issued in designated Urban Zones, net of reinsurance.

Straight-line interpolation was used to determine the conversion ratio for performance results that fell between the points on the 2011-2013 Performance Compensation Matrix.

On February 28, 2014, our Compensation Committee determined that each Performance Share Unit awarded under the Performance Share Plan for the 2011-2013 Performance Measurement Cycle should convert to 1.8624 shares of common stock, thereby resulting in a payout, to be distributed on April 15, 2014, of 11,049 shares to each NEO.

2013 - 2015 Performance Measurement Cycle

On March 1, 2013, our Compensation Committee approved an award of 6,479 Performance Share Units to each NEO for the 2013-2015 Performance Measurement Cycle. In determining the number of Performance Share Units to award, the Compensation Committee divided the average of the intraday high and low price of the Company's common stock for the last five trading days of calendar year 2012 into \$375,000 and rounding up to arrive at the Performance Share Unit award for each NEO.

In determining the conversion ratio, actual performance will be measured against the pre-established 2013-2015 Performance Component Targets, which consist of i) a matrix with the performance results for the annual Growth in Net Written Premiums on one axis and the Statutory Accident-Year Combined Ratio on the other axis, to establish an initial payout ratio of between 0% to 200%, and ii) a matrix with the Compound Annual Growth Rate in Gross Written Premiums in targeted markets on one axis and the Accident Year Combined Ratio in the same targeted markets on the other axis to establish a multiplier of 1.0 to 1.5, which allows for the initial payout ratio to be increased by up to 1.5 times, thus resulting in a potential payout of between 0% to 300%.

Restricted Stock

The Compensation Committee believes the restricted stock grants made in 2011, which will fully vest on August 2, 2014, continue to provide an adequate retention incentive for the NEOs. As such, no restricted stock grants were made to the NEOs during 2013. However, the Compensation Committee will consider making another restricted stock grant in 2014 upon the vesting of the restricted stock granted in 2011.

Retirement and Deferred Compensation Plans

The Company matches employee contributions to the 401(k) plan in an amount equal to 100% of the first 4% of a participant's deferral contribution and 50% of the next 2% of a participant's deferral contribution, subject to Internal Revenue Code (the "IRC") qualified defined contribution plans limits.

The Company maintains the SERP in which employees, including NEOs, earning in excess of the IRC annual compensation limit may participate. Effective January 1, 2010, the Company amended the SERP to permit a participant to make contributions into the SERP by deferring a portion of his or her compensation that exceeds the IRC annual compensation limit and to receive a Company matching contribution on such deferrals in an amount equal to 100% of the first 4% of a participant's deferral contribution and 50% of the next 2% of a participant's deferral contribution.

The Company also maintains the DCP into which any eligible participant, including the NEOs, may elect to defer from 5% to 80% of his or her base salary during any calendar year period and/or from 10% to 80% of any direct lump sum incentive payment. The Company does not have a defined benefit retirement plan for its employees, including its NEOs.

Perquisites

It is the Company's philosophy to limit the type and amount of perquisites that it provides to its executives, including its NEOs. As disclosed in the Summary Compensation Tables, Mr. Gober received a personal automobile allowance, and Messrs. Gober, Smith, and Godwin received automobile and homeowner's insurance premium reimbursements.

Employment Agreements / Change-in-Control Arrangements

The Company has employment agreements with Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone that will expire on August 2, 2014. The NEOs' employment agreements contain a "double trigger" for payment of severance benefits upon a change-in-control (meaning that an executive is entitled to severance benefits only upon the occurrence of both a change-in-control and termination of employment, as defined in the agreements). Our Board believes such an arrangement is more beneficial to the Company than employment agreements providing for severance benefits solely upon a change-in-control. The employment agreements provide for a base salary of at least \$558,800, \$312,000, \$406,400, \$270,000, and \$252,000 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone, respectively, and an annual incentive opportunity for each NEO. Pursuant to the employment agreements, the annual incentive target must be equal to at least 100% of Mr. Gober's annual salary, and at least \$235,000, \$300,000, \$200,000, and \$200,000 for Messrs. Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone, respectively.

Each NEO's employment agreement provides for certain severance benefits in the event that the NEO's employment with the Company is terminated. If Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone's employment is terminated by the Company other than for cause, or is terminated by the executive for "good reason," which includes, among other things, the assignment to the executive of any duties inconsistent with the executive's status as an executive officer of the Company (including by reason of the Company becoming a

subsidiary, or under the control, of a company not an affiliate of Infinity), the agreements provide that the executive will receive payment of:

two times the executive's most recent salary in a lump sum payment;

a lump-sum payment of \$558,800, \$235,000, \$300,000, \$200,000, and \$200,000 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone, respectively, pro-rated based on the actual number of days elapsed in the year in which the executive's termination takes place, plus \$1,117,600, \$470,000, \$600,000, \$400,000, and \$400,000 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone, respectively;

allowance providing that any outstanding options issued under the 2002 Stock Option Plan shall remain exercisable through the remaining term of such options (there are presently no options outstanding);

100% vesting of restricted shares;

lump-sum payment in lieu of a contribution into the executive's 401(k) or SERP account with the amount of the company match being equal to the executive's eligible wages and deferral election in effect at the time of termination for a period of 18 months; and

payment of the executive's life insurance and medical and dental benefits for a period of 18 months after termination.

In the event of an NEO's death or disability, the NEO (or the NEO's estate) will receive a lump sum payment equal to \$558,800, \$235,000, \$300,000, \$200,000, and \$200,000 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone, respectively, pro rated based upon the actual number of days elapsed in the year in which the NEO's termination takes place. Mr. Gober is entitled to accelerated, pro rata vesting of restricted stock upon qualified retirement, provided that at least 50% of the time between the date of grant and date of vesting has elapsed as of the date of such retirement. Regardless of the manner in which an NEO's employment is terminated, an NEO is entitled to receive amounts earned but unpaid during the NEO's term of employment. These amounts include accrued but unpaid salary, payment of accrued but unused vacation time, and reimbursement of any incurred business expenses prior to the date of termination. Additionally, an NEO will be entitled to receive all amounts, if any, accrued and vested under our 401(k) Plan, the DCP, and the SERP. All payments set forth in the employment agreement shall be paid in a manner that complies with Section 409A of the IRC.

Pursuant to the terms of each NEO's employment agreement, each NEO agrees to the following restrictions during the term of the NEO's employment and for a period of 24 months following the NEO's termination of employment:

Non-Competition. The executive agrees not to compete against the Company.

Non-Diversion. The executive agrees not to divert customers or take advantage of business opportunities of which the executive becomes aware during his employment with the Company.

Non-Recruitment. The executive agrees not to solicit, induce or influence or attempt to solicit, induce or influence any employee of the Company to leave his or her employment.

Non-Disclosure. The executive agrees not to disclose confidential information of the Company.

Edgar Filing: INFINITY PROPERTY & CASUALTY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Litigation Cooperation. The executive agrees to reasonably cooperate with the Company, at the Company's expense, by making himself available to testify on behalf of the Company in any action, suit or proceeding and to provide information to the Company or its counsel in regards to such action, suit or proceeding.

Non-Disparagement. Both the Company and the executive agree not to make disparaging statements about each other; however, neither party is precluded from making truthful statements or disclosures as required by law, regulation or the legal process.

Additional Considerations

Executive Share Ownership Guidelines

To further align the interests of management with the Company's long-term shareholders, the Board of Directors adopted stock ownership guidelines in July 2006, which were amended on February 26, 2008 and on August 2, 2011. The amended stock ownership guidelines provide that the CEO should own Company stock equal to five (5) times his or her salary and each of the remaining NEOs should own Company stock equal to three (3) times his or her salary. Each executive subject to the stock ownership guidelines is required to retain 100% of the after-tax equity received by such executive through the vesting, on or after January 1, 2008, of any performance share or restricted stock grant, until such officer has satisfied his or her minimum stock ownership requirement. The determination of the share value and the executive's base salary shall be based on the market value of the shares and the executive's base salary on the preceding trading day ahead of any proposed sale or relinquishment of shares by the executive. In the event of economic hardship, our Compensation Committee may temporarily waive (or in the case of any NEO other than the CEO, our CEO may waive) compliance with the restriction on the sale of Company stock by an executive prior to such executive's satisfaction of the minimum stock ownership requirements. No waivers from the stock ownership guidelines were requested or granted during 2013. Only equity in vested, non-derivative, and unencumbered shares of common stock shall be counted toward satisfying the stock ownership requirements. NEOs are expected to notify the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee at least 48 hours in advance of any planned sale of common stock received as a restricted stock or performance share grant. As of March 24, 2014 and including the effect of the April 15, 2014 conversion of performance share units into shares of common stock, each NEO satisfies the requisite ownership thresholds set forth in the amended stock ownership guidelines.

Impact of Tax Regulations

Section 162(m)

Our Compensation Committee considers the potential impact of Section 162(m) of the IRC when considering compensation awards. Section 162(m) disallows a tax deduction for any publicly held corporation for individual compensation exceeding \$1 million in any taxable year for the CEO and the Company's other covered employees, as defined in Section 162(m), except for compensation that is performance-based under a plan that is approved by the shareholders and that meets certain other technical requirements. It is the policy of our Compensation Committee to periodically consider whether particular compensation and incentive payments to the Company's executives will be deductible for federal income tax purposes. The Company believes that none of the executive compensation paid in 2013 exceeds the limits for deductibility under Section 162(m). Our Compensation Committee retains the ability to evaluate the performance of the Company's executives and to compensate executives appropriately, even if it may result in the non-deductibility of certain compensation under applicable tax laws and regulations.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

Our Compensation Committee is responsible for establishing the compensation for the NEOs and for administering Infinity's equity-based compensation plans. Our Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K as well as the accompanying tables, and based on this review and discussion has recommended to our Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company's Proxy Statement.

Respectfully submitted,

Compensation Committee

Harold E. Layman (Chairman)

Teresa A. Canida

Jorge G. Castro

William Stancil Starnes

COMPENSATION TABLES

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and Principal Position (a)	Year (b)	Salary (\$) (c)	Stock Awards (\$) (e) ^{1 2}	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) (g)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) (h)	All Other Compensation (\$) (i)	Total (\$) (j) ³
James R. Gober Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President	2013	\$ 558,800	\$ 362,047 ⁴	\$ 662,178 ⁵	\$ 107,513 ⁶	\$ 60,730 ^{7 8 9 10}	\$ 1,751,268
	2012	\$ 558,800	\$ 372,322 ⁴	\$ 620,268 ⁵	\$ 98,896 ⁶	\$ 60,781 ^{7 8 9 10}	\$ 1,711,067
	2011	\$ 558,800	\$ 1,127,006 ⁴	\$ 796,290 ⁵	\$ 2,319 ⁶	\$ 59,282 ^{7 8 9 10}	\$ 2,543,697
Roger Smith Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2013	\$ 312,000	\$ 362,047 ⁴	\$ 278,475 ⁵	\$ 19,589 ⁶	\$ 51,599 ^{7 8 9 10}	\$ 1,023,710
	2012	\$ 312,000	\$ 372,322 ⁴	\$ 260,850 ⁵	\$ 18,802 ⁶	\$ 47,974 ^{7 8 9 10}	\$ 1,011,948
	2011	\$ 312,000	\$ 1,061,301 ⁴	\$ 334,875 ⁵	\$ 435 ⁶	\$ 42,617 ^{7 8 9 10}	\$ 1,751,228
Samuel J. Simon Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Assistant Secretary	2013	\$ 406,400	\$ 362,047 ⁴	\$ 355,500 ⁵	\$ 14,335 ⁶	\$ 53,987 ^{8 9 10}	\$ 1,192,269
	2012	\$ 406,400	\$ 372,322 ⁴	\$ 333,000 ⁵	\$ 14,272 ⁶	\$ 48,046 ^{8 9 10}	\$ 1,174,040
	2011	\$ 406,400	\$ 1,061,301 ⁴	\$ 427,500 ⁵	\$ 307 ⁶	\$ 46,214 ^{8 9 10}	\$ 1,941,722
Glen N. Godwin Senior Vice President, Business Development	2013	\$ 270,000	\$ 362,047 ⁴	\$ 237,000 ⁵	\$ 25,932 ⁶	\$ 46,922 ^{7 8 9 10}	\$ 941,901
	2012	\$ 270,000	\$ 372,322 ⁴	\$ 222,000 ⁵	\$ 26,677 ⁶	\$ 44,891 ^{7 8 9 10}	\$ 935,890
	2011	\$ 270,000	\$ 1,061,301 ⁴	\$ 285,000 ⁵	\$ 686 ⁶	\$ 31,042 ^{7 8 9 10}	\$ 1,648,029
Scott C. Pitrone Senior Vice President, Product Management	2013	\$ 252,000	\$ 362,047 ⁴	\$ 237,000 ⁵	\$ 10,838 ⁶	\$ 36,067 ^{8 9 10}	\$ 897,952
	2012	\$ 252,000	\$ 372,322 ⁴	\$ 222,000 ⁵	\$ 9,151 ⁶	\$ 25,263 ^{8 9}	\$ 880,736
	2011	\$ 252,000	\$ 1,061,301 ⁴	\$ 285,000 ⁵	\$ 177 ⁶	\$ 31,698 ^{8 9 10}	\$ 1,630,176

¹ For dollar amounts recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and December 31, 2011, calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 for awards under the Company's Performance Share Plan and for assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts, please refer to Note 7, Share-Based Compensation, to the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and December 31, 2011, included in the Company's 2013, 2012, and 2011 Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

² Represents the fair value on the date of grant for the awards of Performance Share Units under the Performance Share Plan made in 2013, 2012, and 2011 (equal to \$360,192 in 2011 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone) and for the awards of restricted stock under the Restricted Stock Plan made in 2011 (equal to \$766,814 for Mr. Gober and \$701,109 for Messrs. Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone), calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The fair value of the Performance Share Units is based upon the assumption that the probable performance outcome will be at the targeted performance level; however, the actual amount may be more (up to three times the award) or less (down to zero times the award) if actual performance is above or below, respectively, the targeted performance level.

³ Rows may not cross-foot due to rounding.

⁴ Grant date fair value of Performance Share Units for performance at the maximum achievement level equals \$1,086,140 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin and Pitrone in 2013, \$1,116,967 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin and Pitrone in 2012, and \$1,080,577 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone in 2011.

⁵ Represents payments based upon performance for each year under the Annual Executive Bonus Plan.

⁶ Represents above market rate of interest on participant's deferred compensation balance.

⁷ Includes i) automobile allowances of \$6,294, \$4,806, and \$4,630 for Mr. Gober in 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively, and ii) automobile and homeowner's insurance premiums of \$3,384, \$9,000, and \$5,052 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, and Godwin, respectively, in 2013, of \$3,194, \$9,000, and \$4,170 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, and Godwin, respectively, in 2012, and of \$3,204, \$9,000, and \$4,373 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, and Godwin, respectively, in 2011.

⁸ Includes matching 401(k) contributions of \$12,750 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone in 2013, of \$12,500 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone in 2012, and of \$12,250, \$12,250, \$12,250, \$11,144 and \$12,250 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone, respectively, in 2011.

⁹ Includes accrued but unpaid dividends on restricted stock in the amount of \$18,612, \$13,959, and \$11,167 in 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively, for Mr. Gober and of \$17,017, \$12,763, and \$10,210 in 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively, for Messrs. Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone.

¹⁰ Includes SERP matching contributions of \$19,690, \$12,832, \$24,220, \$12,103, and \$6,300 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone, respectively, for 2013, of \$26,322, \$13,711, \$22,783, and \$15,458 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, and Godwin, respectively, for 2012, and of \$28,031, \$11,157, \$23,754, \$5,315, and \$9,238 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone, respectively, for 2011.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

Name (a)	Grant Date (b)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards
		Threshold (c)	Target (d)	Maximum (e)	Threshold (f)	Target (g)	Maximum (h)	(i)
James R. Gober	03/01/2013 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0	\$ 558,800	\$ 1,117,600				
	03/01/2013 ⁽²⁾				0	6,479	19,437	\$ 362,047 ⁽³⁾
Roger Smith	03/01/2013 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0	\$ 235,000	\$ 470,000				
	03/01/2013 ⁽²⁾				0	6,479	19,437	\$ 362,047 ⁽³⁾
Samuel J. Simon	03/01/2013 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0	\$ 300,000	\$ 600,000				
	03/01/2013 ⁽²⁾				0	6,479	19,437	\$ 362,047 ⁽³⁾
Glen N. Godwin	03/01/2013 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0	\$ 200,000	\$ 400,000				
	03/01/2013 ⁽²⁾				0	6,479	19,437	\$ 362,047 ⁽³⁾
Scott C. Pitrone	03/01/2013 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0	\$ 200,000	\$ 400,000				
	03/01/2013 ⁽²⁾				0	6,479	19,437	\$ 362,047 ⁽³⁾

- (1) Our Compensation Committee approved the Annual Executive Bonus Plan for 2013 performance on March 1, 2013. The shareholders approved the Annual Executive Bonus Plan at the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders on May 26, 2010.
- (2) Our Compensation Committee approved the Performance Component Targets for the 2013-2015 Performance Measurement Cycle (as such terms are defined in the Performance Share Plan) on March 1, 2013. The shareholders approved the Performance Share Plan at the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders on May 26, 2010.
- (3) Represents the grant date fair value of the award, calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, based upon the assumption that actual performance will be at the targeted performance level.

In keeping with his egalitarian-based philosophy towards executive compensation, Mr. Gober advised our Compensation Committee in 2008 that he would prefer that each NEO receive an identical annual award of Performance Share Units. In 2013, our Compensation Committee elected to award all NEOs an identical grant of Performance Share Units at its March 1, 2013 meeting. For further information regarding the Annual Executive Bonus Plan for 2013, the Performance Share Plan and the Performance Measurement Cycle for 2013-2015, please refer to the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END

Name	Option Awards					Stock Awards			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable (b)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable (c)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) (d)	Exercise Price (\$) (e)	Option Expiration Date (f)	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) (g)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) (h)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#) (i)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (\$) (j)
James R. Gober	0					15,510 ⁽²⁾	\$ 1,112,843	6,479 ⁽³⁾ 6,540 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 464,868 ⁽⁵⁾ \$ 1,407,735 ⁽⁵⁾
Roger Smith	0					14,181 ⁽²⁾	\$ 1,017,487	6,479 ⁽³⁾ 6,540 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 464,868 ⁽⁵⁾ \$ 1,407,735 ⁽⁵⁾
Samuel J. Simon	7,500			\$ 33.58 ⁽¹⁾	02/13/2014	14,181 ⁽²⁾	\$ 1,017,487	6,479 ⁽³⁾ 6,540 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 464,868 ⁽⁵⁾ \$ 1,407,735 ⁽⁵⁾
Glen N. Godwin	0					14,181 ⁽²⁾	\$ 1,017,487	6,479 ⁽³⁾ 6,540 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 464,868 ⁽⁵⁾ \$ 1,407,735 ⁽⁵⁾
Scott C. Pitrone	0					14,181 ⁽²⁾	\$ 1,017,487	6,479 ⁽³⁾ 6,540 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 464,868 ⁽⁵⁾ \$ 1,407,735 ⁽⁵⁾

- (1) The closing price of the Company's stock on February 13, 2004, the date of grant, was \$32.12. \$33.58 reflects the closing price of the Company's common stock on February 12, 2004, the day before the date of grant.
- (2) Represents restricted stock vesting on August 2, 2014.
- (3) Represents Performance Share Units awarded for the 2013-2015 Performance Measurement Cycle (as such terms are defined in the Performance Share Plan). Each Performance Share Unit will convert into 0 to 3 shares of common stock based upon actual performance vis-à-vis the pre-established Performance Component Targets (as defined in the Performance Share Plan) for the 2013-2015 Performance Measurement Cycle.
- (4) Represents Performance Share Units awarded for the 2012-2014 Performance Measurement Cycle (as such terms are defined in the Performance Share Plan). Each Performance Share Unit will convert into 0 to 3 shares of common stock based upon actual performance vis-à-vis the pre-established Performance Component Targets (as defined in the Performance Share Plan) for the 2012-2014 Performance Measurement Cycle.
- (5) Represents payout value at the target performance level under the 2013-2015 Performance Measurement Cycle and at the maximum performance level under the 2012-2014 Performance Measurement Cycle with each Performance Share Unit converting into 1 and 3 shares of common stock, respectively. Since performance under the 2013-2015 Performance Measurement Cycle exceeds the threshold performance level, the Company is required to disclose the payout value at the target performance level. Since performance under the 2012-2014 Performance Measurement Cycle exceeds the target performance level, the Company is required to disclose the payout value at the maximum performance level. Under the 2013-2015 Performance Measurement Cycle, payout value at the maximum performance level would be equal to three times the disclosed amount. Under the 2012-2014 Performance Measurement Cycle, payout value at the target performance level would be equal to one-third the disclosed amount.

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized On Vesting (\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d) ⁽¹⁾	(e)
James R. Gober	25,000	\$ 804,000	11,049	\$ 792,766
Roger Smith			11,049	\$ 792,766
Samuel J. Simon	12,500	\$ 405,254	11,049	\$ 792,766
Glen N. Godwin	5,000	\$ 163,500	11,049	\$ 792,766
Scott C. Pitrone	9,200	\$ 342,805	11,049	\$ 792,766

- (1) Represents shares of common stock to be distributed on April 15, 2014 under the Performance Share Plan upon the conversion into 1.8624 shares of common stock for each Performance Share Unit awarded for the 2011-2013 Performance Measurement Cycle (as such terms are defined in the Performance Share Plan) and which vested as of December 31, 2013.

NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION

Name		Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$) (b)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY (\$) (c)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$) (d)	Aggregate Withdrawals / Distributions (\$) (e)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$) (f)
(a)	Plan					
James R. Gober	DCP	\$ 533,654	\$ 0	\$ 254,771 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0	\$ 5,520,877 ⁽²⁾
	SERP	\$ 23,628	\$ 19,690	\$ 92,216	\$ 0	\$ 513,294 ⁽³⁾
Roger Smith	DCP	\$ 41,685	\$ 0	\$ 46,419 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0	\$ 987,513 ⁽²⁾
	SERP	\$ 14,258	\$ 12,832	(\$ 3,419)	\$ 0	\$ 169,526 ⁽³⁾
Samuel J. Simon	DCP	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 33,969 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0	\$ 713,340 ⁽²⁾
	SERP	\$ 41,711	\$ 24,220	\$ 38,351	\$ 0	\$ 344,823 ⁽³⁾
Glen N. Godwin	DCP	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 61,449 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0	\$ 1,290,434 ⁽²⁾
	SERP	\$ 14,523	\$ 12,103	\$ 16,715	\$ 0	\$ 124,506 ⁽³⁾
Scott C. Pitrone	DCP	\$ 88,800	\$ 0	\$ 25,683 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0	\$ 555,484 ⁽²⁾
	SERP	\$ 7,560	\$ 6,300	(\$ 1,005)	\$ 0	\$ 60,192 ⁽³⁾

- (1) Includes \$107,513, \$19,589, \$14,335, \$25,932, and \$10,838 of above-market interest reported in Column (h), Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings, in the above Summary Compensation Table for Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone, respectively, for fiscal year 2013.
- (2) Includes above-market interest reported in Column (h), Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings, in the above Summary Compensation Table in the amount of \$98,896, \$18,802, \$14,272, \$26,677, and \$9,151 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone, respectively, for fiscal year 2012, and \$2,319, \$435, \$307, \$686, and \$177 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone, respectively, for fiscal year 2011, and as previously reported in Column (h) Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings in the registrant's Summary Compensation Tables for fiscal years preceding 2011 in the amount of \$108,703, \$21,711, \$13,245, \$29,467, and \$1,087 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone, respectively.
- (3) Includes SERP contributions reported in Column (i), All Other Compensation, in the above Summary Compensation Table in the amount of \$26,322, \$13,711, \$22,783, and \$15,458 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, and Godwin, respectively, for fiscal year 2012, in the amount of \$28,031, \$11,157, \$23,754, \$5,315, and \$9,238 for Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone, respectively, for fiscal year 2011, and as previously reported in Column (i) All Other Compensation in the registrant's Summary Compensation Tables for fiscal years preceding 2011, in the amount of \$68,984, \$28,182, \$40,057, \$13,717, and \$7,257, for Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone, respectively.

The table above contains data relating to two separate plans. One of these plans is the DCP, effective as of February 19, 2003, as amended and restated effective January 1, 2005. Certain members of management, including Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone, are eligible to participate in the DCP. For calendar year 2013, the interest rate paid on balances in the DCP was 5.0%. A participant in the DCP may elect to defer from 5% to 80% of his or her base salary during any calendar year period and/or from 10% to 80% of any direct lump sum incentive payment other than a long-term incentive compensation payment. Additionally, a participant is not permitted to make a deferral election that would reduce a participant's paid compensation below the amount necessary to satisfy applicable employment taxes (e.g. FICA/Medicare) on amounts deferred, benefit plan withholding requirements, or income tax withholding for compensation that cannot be deferred. Upon making a deferral election, the participant must decide to either defer such compensation until the participant's termination of employment with the Company or defer such compensation until the first day of a year selected by the participant (a Fixed Date). However, in the event that a participant is still an employee on the Fixed Date, then such distribution will not begin until after the participant's termination of his or her employment with the Company. Distributions begin on January 15th or July 15th of a calendar year following the expiration of the

participant's employment or the Fixed Date. Pursuant to Section 409A of the IRC, the Company will generally need to wait a minimum of six (6) months following termination of employment before making a distribution to a participant. Participants may choose to receive such distributions in a lump sum payment or in annual installments, not to exceed ten (10) years. On or about November 15th of each year, the Company, acting through the Compensation Committee, establishes the interest rate to be paid on deferred compensation balances for the upcoming year.

The other plan is the SERP, effective as of May 1, 2003, as amended and restated effective January 1, 2010. Certain members of management, including Messrs. Gober, Smith, Simon, Godwin, and Pitrone, are eligible to participate in the SERP. The SERP is a nonqualified deferred compensation plan that is intended to enable eligible employees to make contributions and to receive employer retirement contributions that are precluded by the provisions of the Company's 401(k) retirement plan or by limitations on compensation which may be considered in making contributions to the Company's 401(k) retirement plan. Effective January 1, 2010, the Company amended the SERP to permit participants to make contributions into the SERP and the Company to make matching contributions on such participants' contributions on compensation that exceeds the statutory annual compensation limit set forth in IRC Section 401(a)(17) of \$255,000 in 2013 for qualified defined contribution plans.

Under the SERP, a participant may defer from 1% to 25% of his or her eligible compensation into the SERP. A participant is not permitted to make a deferral election that would reduce a participant's paid compensation below the amount necessary to satisfy applicable employment taxes (e.g. FICA/Medicare) on amounts deferred, benefit plan withholding requirements, or income tax withholding for compensation that cannot be deferred. A deferral election under the SERP is applied only after any other deferral election made pursuant to another nonqualified deferred compensation plan is applied to a participant's compensation. Each participant in the SERP directs his or her contributions into one or more of the investment options contained in the Company's 401(k) retirement plan (excluding a stable value fund investment option, which is not available to SERP participants). Participant returns are dependent upon the performance of the investment options chosen by the participant. No participant may receive a distribution from the SERP prior to the participant's death or the participant's resignation, discharge, or retirement from the Company. Upon a participant's death, the balance in the participant's account shall be distributed to the participant's beneficiaries in a lump sum cash payment within 90 days of the participant's death. Upon a participant's resignation, discharge, or retirement from the Company, the participant shall be entitled to a distribution of his or her SERP account balance in a lump sum or in ten or fewer equal annual installments that begin on the following January 15th or July 15th. A SERP participant must select the time and method of payment upon his or her enrollment in the SERP. Pursuant to Section 409A of the IRC, the Company will generally need to wait a minimum of six (6) months following termination of employment before making a distribution to a participant.

Employment Contracts / Change-in-Control Arrangements

The following table shows the compensation costs that would result, pursuant to the terms of each NEO's employment agreement, from a termination of such NEO's employment due to (i) Termination by the Company without Cause or by the NEO for Good Reason, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, (ii) Voluntary Termination by the NEO without Good Reason or Termination by the Company with Cause, and (iii) Death or Disability. Except as otherwise indicated in the footnotes below, the calculations used to prepare this table assume the terminating event occurred on the last day of the Company's most recently completed fiscal year and that the price per share of the Company's common stock is the closing market price as of the date on which the stock was last actively traded in the most recently completed fiscal year. An NEO is entitled to receive amounts earned during his term of employment regardless of the manner in which the NEO's employment is terminated. These amounts include accrued but unpaid salary, payment of accrued but unused vacation time, and reimbursement of any incurred business expense prior to the date of termination. Except for unused vacation time, these amounts are not shown in the tables. Additionally, an NEO will be entitled to receive all amounts accrued and vested under our 401(k) Plan, the DCP, and the SERP, in which the NEO participates. These amounts will be determined and paid in accordance with the applicable plans and are not included in the tables because they are not termination payments. In order to receive the enhanced payments due to Termination by the Company without Cause or by the NEO for Good Reason, the NEO must enter into a binding general waiver and release of claims with the Company. For additional information on the employment contracts of the NEOs, please refer to the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement.

Name	Benefit	Termination by the	Voluntary Termination by	Death or
		Company without Cause or by the Officer for Good Reason (\$)	Officer without Good Reason or Termination by the Company with Cause (\$)	Disability (\$)
James R. Gober	Salary	\$ 1,117,600	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Additional Cash Payment	\$ 1,676,400	\$ 0	\$ 558,800
	Accelerated Restricted Stock Vesting	\$ 1,150,998 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 927,180 ⁽²⁾	\$ 0
	Unused Vacation Time	\$ 81,671	\$ 81,671	\$ 81,671
	Life Insurance	\$ 92,210	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Medical Insurance	\$ 10,894	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Dental Insurance	\$ 436	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Payout in Lieu of 401(k) Match and Discretionary Contribution and SERP Contribution	\$ 48,660	\$ 0	\$ 0
Roger Smith	Salary	\$ 624,000	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Additional Cash Payment	\$ 705,000	\$ 0	\$ 235,000
	Accelerated Restricted Stock Vesting	\$ 1,052,372 ⁽³⁾	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Unused Vacation Time	\$ 13,781	\$ 13,781	\$ 13,781
	Life Insurance	\$ 36,195	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Medical Insurance	\$ 17,020	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Dental Insurance	\$ 701	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Payout in Lieu of 401(k) Match and Discretionary Contribution and SERP Contribution	\$ 38,374	\$ 0	\$ 0
Samuel J. Simon	Salary	\$ 812,800	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Additional Cash Payment	\$ 900,000	\$ 0	\$ 300,000
	Accelerated Restricted Stock Vesting	\$ 1,052,372 ⁽³⁾	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Unused Vacation Time	\$ 59,397	\$ 59,397	\$ 59,397
	Life Insurance	\$ 57,381	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Medical Insurance	\$ 17,020	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Dental Insurance	\$ 763	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Payout in Lieu of 401(k) Match and Discretionary Contribution and SERP Contribution	\$ 55,455	\$ 0	\$ 0

Glen N. Godwin	Salary	\$ 540,000	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Additional Cash Payment	\$ 600,000	\$ 0	\$ 200,000
	Accelerated Restricted Stock Vesting	\$ 1,052,372 ⁽³⁾	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Unused Vacation Time	\$ 26,464	\$ 26,464	\$ 26,464
	Life Insurance	\$ 36,417	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Medical Insurance	\$ 17,020	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Dental Insurance	\$ 763	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Payout in Lieu of 401(k) Match and Discretionary Contribution and SERP Contribution	\$ 37,279	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Scott C. Pitrone	Salary	\$ 504,000	\$ 0
Additional Cash Payment		\$ 600,000	\$ 0	\$ 200,000
Accelerated Restricted Stock Vesting		\$ 1,052,372 ⁽³⁾	\$ 0	\$ 0
Unused Vacation Time		\$ 17,446	\$ 17,446	\$ 17,446
Life Insurance		\$ 26,496	\$ 0	\$ 0
Medical Insurance		\$ 10,894	\$ 0	\$ 0
Dental Insurance		\$ 436	\$ 0	\$ 0
Payout in Lieu of 401(k) Match and Discretionary Contribution and SERP Contribution		\$ 28,575	\$ 0	\$ 0

- (1) Includes \$18,612, \$13,959 and \$5,584 in accrued but unpaid dividends on restricted stock reported in Column (i), All Other Compensation, in the above Summary Compensation Table for years 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.
- (2) Only in the event of a qualified retirement, Mr. Gober would be entitled to receive, as of December 31, 2013, an accelerated pro rata vesting of the August 2, 2011 restricted stock grant of 15,510 shares, equating to 12,494 shares, along with unpaid accrued dividends of \$30,736, with \$14,993, \$11,245, and \$4,498 reported in Column (i), All Other Compensation, in the above Summary Compensation Table for years 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. In the event of termination by the Company with cause or by Mr. Gober without good reason other than for a qualified retirement, Mr. Gober would not be entitled to accelerated pro rata vesting of the aforementioned restricted stock grant.
- (3) Includes \$17,017, \$12,763 and \$5,105 in accrued but unpaid dividends on restricted stock reported in Column (i), All Other Compensation, in the above Summary Compensation Table for years 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

The following table shows the compensation costs that would result, pursuant to the terms of the Performance Share Plan from a termination of each NEO's employment due to Special Events. These costs are in addition to any costs that may be incurred pursuant to the terms of each NEO's employment agreement. Under the terms of the Performance Share Plan, participants are entitled to pro-rated vesting upon the occurrence of a Special Event, provided that at least one year of the three year performance period has lapsed as of the date of the Special Event. Special Events are defined as death, disability, retirement, involuntary termination without cause and change-in-control (as such terms are defined in the Performance Share Plan). A participant shall have no right to receive payment or other benefits under the Performance Share Plan upon termination of employment other than upon the occurrence of a Special Event.

Name	Benefit	Termination due to a Special Event
James R. Gober	Performance Share Plan	\$ 303,323
Roger Smith	Performance Share Plan	\$ 303,323
Samuel J. Simon	Performance Share Plan	\$ 303,323
Glen N. Godwin	Performance Share Plan	\$ 303,323
Scott C. Pitrone	Performance Share Plan	\$ 303,323

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The Company uses a combination of cash and equity-based compensation to attract and retain qualified candidates to serve on its Board. In setting director compensation, consideration is given to the limited pool of individuals with the necessary skills to serve as a director of a publicly-traded company and the significant time commitment required of each director, particularly with respect to the enhanced time commitments of those individuals that serve on one or more Board committees, as Chairman of a Board committee, or as Lead Director.

Cash Compensation Paid to Board Members

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, each Non-Employee Director received an annual cash retainer of \$40,000. The Chairmen of the Compensation and Investment Committees each received an additional annual cash retainer of \$10,000. The Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee received an additional annual cash retainer of \$5,000. The Chairman of the Audit Committee and the Lead Director each received an additional annual cash retainer of \$15,000. Finally, each Non-Employee Director received a cash payment of \$1,500 for each Board or committee meeting attended, whether in person or telephonically. Board members who are employees of the Company are not entitled to cash compensation for their service on the Board or for attending Board or committee meetings.

Equity Compensation Paid to Board Members

The equity portion of a Non-Employee Director's annual retainer was \$55,000 in 2013. One of the reasons that the Company established the 2013 Stock Plan was for the purpose of better aligning the interests of its Non-Employee Directors with the interests of its shareholders by providing a portion of the Non-Employee Directors' annual compensation in the form of restricted stock. Pursuant to the 2013 Stock Plan, each Non-Employee Director is entitled to receive a grant of restricted stock on or about June 1st of each year, which becomes transferable 6 months after the date of grant. Under the 2013 Stock Plan, each Non-Employee Director annually receives \$55,000 in the form of a restricted stock grant, determined by dividing the average trading price (defined as the midpoint between the intraday high and low) of the Company's common stock for the five trading days preceding the date of grant into \$55,000. Any fractional result is rounded up to the nearest whole number.

In order to further align the Non-Employee Directors' interests with the interests of the Company's shareholders, the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines specify that each director, within three years of receiving his or her first restricted stock grant, should beneficially own Company stock in an amount equal to at least \$100,000 or three times the then-current cash portion of the annual Board retainer, whichever is less (the Share Ownership Guidelines). Non-Employee Directors are not permitted to dispose of shares received as part of an annual restricted stock grant until reaching the share ownership target. Furthermore, Non-Employee Directors are encouraged to retain, exclusive of any charitable contributions, beneficial ownership of any stock received as part of such Director's annual Board retainer throughout the duration of such Director's tenure on the Board. As of March 24, 2014, each Non-Employee Director is in compliance with the Share Ownership Guidelines.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) (b)	Stock Awards (\$) (c) ⁽¹⁾	All Other Compensation (\$) (g) ⁽²⁾	Total (\$) (h)
(a) Teresa A. Canida	\$ 60,000	\$ 55,000	\$ 559	\$ 115,559
Jorge G. Castro	\$ 70,000	\$ 55,000	\$ 559	\$ 125,559
Harold E. Layman	\$ 73,000	\$ 55,000	\$ 559	\$ 128,559
E. Robert Meaney.	\$ 36,396	\$ 55,000	\$ 559	\$ 91,955
Drayton Nabers, Jr.	\$ 83,000	\$ 55,000	\$ 559	\$ 138,559
William Stancil Starnes	\$ 63,000	\$ 55,000	\$ 559	\$ 118,559
Samuel J. Weinhoff	\$ 78,000	\$ 55,000	\$ 559	\$ 133,559

- (1) Represents the fair value on the date of grant for the award of restricted stock, calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in Note 7, Share-Based Compensation, to the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, included in the Company's 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K.
- (2) Includes dividends paid on restricted stock during the 6-month transfer restriction period.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

The following are the only shareholders that we know to beneficially own 5% or more of our outstanding common stock as of December 31, 2013. As permitted under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, information regarding the following shareholders and contained in the table below has been obtained from reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. 100 E. Pratt Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202	1,796,571 ⁽¹⁾	15.6%
Dimensional Fund Advisors LP Palisades West, Building One 6300 Bee Cave Road Austin, Texas 78746	1,146,544 ⁽²⁾	9.98%
BlackRock, Inc. 40 East 52 nd Street New York, New York 10022	1,032,308 ⁽³⁾	9.0%
NewSouth Capital Management, Inc. 999 S. Shady Grove Rd., Suite 501 Memphis, TN 38120	753,948 ⁽⁴⁾	6.6%
The Vanguard Group, Inc. 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355	670,878 ⁽⁵⁾	5.84%

(1) According to the information contained in the Schedule 13G/A filed by T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. and T. Rowe Price Small-Cap Stock Fund, Inc. (collectively, "Price Associates") with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 7, 2014: i) Price Associates has sole voting power as to 1,122,400 of these shares and sole dispositive power as to all of these shares, and ii) for purposes of the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Price Associates is deemed to be a beneficial owner of such securities; however, Price Associates expressly disclaims that it is, in fact, the beneficial owner of such securities. This information is provided as of December 31, 2013.

(2) According to the information contained in the Schedule 13G/A filed by Dimensional Fund Advisors LP ("Dimensional") with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 10, 2014: i) Dimensional has sole voting power as to 1,141,206 shares and sole dispositive power as to all of these shares, ii) such shares are owned by certain investment companies, commingled group trusts and separate accounts to

Edgar Filing: INFINITY PROPERTY & CASUALTY CORP - Form DEF 14A

which Dimensional furnishes investment advice or acts as investment manager, and iii) Dimensional disclaims beneficial ownership of all such shares. This information is provided as of December 31, 2013.

- (3) According to the information contained in the Schedule 13G/A filed by BlackRock, Inc. (BlackRock) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 29, 2014: i) BlackRock has sole voting power as to 988,607 shares and sole dispositive power as to all of these shares, ii) various persons have the right to receive or power to direct the receipt of dividends from, or the proceeds from the sale of the Company s common stock, and iii) no one person s interest in the Company s common stock is more than 5% of the total outstanding shares of the Company s common stock. This information is provided as of December 31, 2013.
- (4) According to the information contained in the Schedule 13G/A filed by NewSouth Capital Management,

Inc. (NewSouth) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 6, 2014: i) NewSouth has sole voting power as to 631,518 of these shares and sole dispositive power as to all of these shares, ii) NewSouth is an Investment Advisor and in such capacity acquired the securities on behalf of its clients, and iii) no single client's interest relates to more than 5% of the Company's common stock. This information is provided as of December 31, 2013.

- (5) According to the information contained in the Schedule 13G/A filed by The Vanguard Group, Inc. (Vanguard) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 11, 2014: Vanguard has sole voting power as to 17,506 of these shares, sole dispositive power as to 653,972 of these shares, and shared dispositive power as to 16,906 of these shares. This information is provided as of December 31, 2013.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table shows the beneficial ownership of common stock by each director and each named executive officer and by our directors and executive officers as a group, as of March 24, 2014. Additional information regarding the directors can be found under Proposal 1: Election of Directors.

Name	Position	Common Stock Subject to Options	Other Common Stock Beneficially Owned	Total Common Stock Beneficially Owned	
		Exercisable Within 60 Days or to Distribution under the Performance Share Plan Within 60 Days		Amount	Percentage
James R. Gober	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, and President	11,049 ⁽¹⁾	113,963 ⁽²⁾	125,012	1.09%
Roger Smith	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Treasurer	11,049 ⁽¹⁾	12,012 ⁽³⁾	23,061	*
Samuel J. Simon	Executive Vice President, General Counsel, and Assistant Secretary	11,049 ⁽¹⁾	54,373 ⁽³⁾	65,422	*
Glen N. Godwin	Senior Vice President, Business Development	11,049 ⁽¹⁾	31,708 ⁽³⁾	42,757	*
Scott C. Pitrone	Senior Vice President, Product Management	11,049 ⁽¹⁾	26,402 ⁽³⁾	37,451	*
Angela Brock-Kyle	Nominee	0	0	0	*
Teresa A. Canida	Director	0	5,264	5,264	*
Jorge G. Castro	Director	0	9,819 ⁽⁴⁾	9,819	*
Harold E. Layman	Director	0	15,335	15,335	*
Drayton Nabers, Jr.	Director	0	8,456	8,456	*
E. Robert Meaney	Director	0	931	931	*
William Stancil Starnes	Director	0	7,513	7,513	*
Samuel J. Weinhoff	Director	0	14,537 ⁽⁵⁾	14,537	*
All executive officers, directors and nominees as a group (13 persons)				355,558	3.11%

(1) Includes 11,049 shares of common stock to be distributed on April 15, 2014 under the Performance Share Plan.

(2) Does not include 15,510 shares of restricted stock over which the executive lacks voting or investment power.

(3) Does not include 14,181 shares of restricted stock over which the executive lacks voting or investment power.

(4) Includes 6,579 shares that are pledged as collateral in connection with a bank loan.

(5) Includes 500 shares held in trust for his son.

* Less than 1%

Roger Smith, age 53, was appointed Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer in 2002 and Executive Vice President in 2005, and served as Director from 2003 to 2013 and in various executive capacities with Infinity and its affiliates since 1987.

Samuel J. Simon, age 57, was appointed General Counsel in 2002, Executive Vice President in 2005, and Assistant Secretary in 2010, and served as Director from December 2003 to 2013, as Secretary from 2002 to 2010, and in various legal and executive capacities with Infinity former and its affiliates since 1986.

Glen N. Godwin, age 56, was appointed as Senior Vice President, Business Development in 2009. Mr. Godwin has served in various executive capacities with Infinity and its affiliates since 1987.

Scott C. Pitrone, age 51, was appointed as Senior Vice President, Product Management in 2009. Mr. Pitrone has served in various executive capacities with Infinity and its affiliates since 1993.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following Equity Compensation Plan Information table presents information about the Company's equity compensation plans (Amended and Restated 2002 Stock Option Plan, 2002 Restricted Stock Plan (as amended and restated effective July 31, 2007), Employee Stock Purchase Plan, Performance Share Plan, and the 2013 Stock Plan) as of December 31, 2013.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options	Number of Securities Awarded as Restricted Stock	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans
Equity Compensation Plan approved by security holders:				
Amended and Restated 2002 Stock Option Plan	16,000	\$ 33.58		16,000
2002 Restricted Stock Plan			278,843	0
Employee Stock Purchase Plan				940,055
Second Amended and Restated 2008 Performance Share Plan	97,795 ⁽¹⁾			195,285 ⁽²⁾
Amended and Restated 2013 Stock Incentive Plan			6,517	743,483
Equity Compensation Plans not approved by security holders:				
None				

(1) Represents outstanding awards of Performance Share Units issued under the Performance Share Plan. Upon conversion, each Performance Share Unit will convert into between 0 to 3 shares of common stock. This figure, 97,795, represents the maximum number of shares of common stock that could be issued upon the conversion of the outstanding Performance Share Unit awards at December 31, 2013.

(2) This figure, 195,285, represents the maximum number of shares of common stock that could be issued upon the conversion of all Performance Share Unit awards that remained outstanding at December 31, 2013.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our executive officers, directors, and persons who own more than ten percent (10%) of a registered class of our equity securities to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission by a specified date. Regulations promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission require us to disclose in this Proxy Statement any reporting violations

with respect to the 2013 fiscal year. Based solely upon a review of the applicable filings made with the Securities and Exchange Commission by the officers, directors, and greater than 10% shareholders, all reports required by Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 were filed on a timely basis.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Pursuant to the terms of its Charter, our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is charged with considering questions involving potential conflict of interest between any director or member of senior management and the Company. Pursuant to the terms of our Code of Ethics, all directors and officers are also required to fully disclose any conflicts of interest to the Audit Committee Chairman. Finally, pursuant to the terms of its Charter, our Audit Committee is charged with reviewing and approving or disapproving all related-party transactions. To the extent that a transaction may constitute both a potential conflict of interest and a related-party transaction, then both the Audit Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee would review and approve or disapprove of such transaction.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR NEXT YEAR

Any shareholder who intends to submit a proposal for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders for inclusion in the Proxy Statement for that meeting may do so in accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 14a-8 and is advised that the proposal must be received by the Corporate Secretary, at the address listed on the cover page of this Proxy Statement, no later than December 18, 2014.

For those shareholder proposals that are not submitted in accordance with Rule 14a-8, the designated proxies may exercise their discretionary voting authority, without any discussion of the proposal in our proxy materials, with respect to any shareholder proposal that is received by the Corporate Secretary after March 2, 2015. If there is a change in these deadlines by more than 30 days, we will notify you of the change through our Form 10-Q filings.

INFINITY PROPERTY AND CASUALTY CORPORATION

PROXY FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS, MAY 20, 2014

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF

INFINITY PROPERTY AND CASUALTY CORPORATION

The undersigned Shareholder(s) of INFINITY PROPERTY AND CASUALTY CORPORATION (the Company) hereby constitutes and appoints James R. Gober and James H. Romaker, and each of them, agents and proxies of the undersigned, each with full power of substitution and re-substitution, to attend, vote and act for the undersigned at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Company to be held on May 20, 2014, and at any and all adjournments or postponements thereof, according to the number of shares of Common Stock of the Company which the undersigned may be entitled to vote, and with all the powers which the undersigned would possess if personally present, as indicated on the reverse side.

The proxies are directed to vote as specified on the reverse side. Except as specified to the contrary on the reverse side, the shares represented by this proxy will be voted FOR all nominees listed under Proposal 1 and FOR Proposals 2 and 3. If cumulative voting is invoked by a shareholder through proper notice to the Company, this proxy will give the proxy holders authority, in their discretion, to cumulate all votes to which the undersigned is entitled in respect of the shares represented by this proxy and allocate them in favor of any one or more of the nominees for director if any situation arises which, in the opinion of the proxy holders, makes such action necessary or desirable.

The undersigned revokes any prior proxy at such meeting and ratifies all acts of said agents and proxies, or any of them, may lawfully do by virtue hereof. Receipt of Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement is hereby acknowledged.

(Continued and to be signed on the reverse side)

**ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS OF
INFINITY PROPERTY AND CASUALTY CORPORATION**

May 20, 2014

**Important Notice Regarding Internet Availability of Proxy Materials
for the Annual Meeting to be held on May 20, 2014**

You are receiving this communication because you hold shares in the above company, and the materials you should review before you cast your vote are now available.

The Proxy Statement and 2013 Annual Report are available at <http://www.infinityauto.com/proxy2013>

Please sign, date and mail your proxy card in the envelope provided as soon as possible.

i Please detach along perforated line and mail in the envelope provided. i

PLEASE SIGN, DATE AND RETURN PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. PLEASE MARK YOUR VOTE IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS SHOWN HERE x

				FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
Proposal 1. Elect nine directors.						
	NOMINEES:					
“ FOR ALL NOMINEES	“ Angela Brock-Kyle	Proposal 2.	Ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as Infinity s independent registered public accounting	“	“	“
	“ Teresa A. Canida					
	“ Jorge G. Castro					

			firm for the 2014 fiscal year.
..	James R. Gober		
..	WITHHOLD AUTHORITY FOR ALL NOMINEES	Harold E. Layman	Proposal 3. Approve, in an advisory vote, the compensation of the Company s named executive officers as disclosed in the Proxy Statement.
		E. Robert Meaney	
		Drayton Nabers, Jr.	
..	FOR ALL EXCEPT	William Stancil Starnes	
	(See instructions below)	Samuel J. Weinhoff	

INSTRUCTION: To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), mark **FOR ALL EXCEPT** and fill in the circle next to each nominee you wish to withhold,

In their discretion, the proxies are authorized to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any postponement or adjournment thereof in accordance with and as described in the Notice and Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting.

as shown here:

To change the address on your account, please check the box at right and indicate your new address in the address space above. Please note that changes to the registered name(s) on the account may not be submitted via this method.

Signature of Shareholder

Date:

Signature of Shareholder

Date:

Note: Please sign exactly as your name or names appear on this Proxy. When shares are held jointly, each holder should sign. When signing as executor, administrator, attorney, trustee or guardian, please give full title as such. If the signer is a corporation, please sign full corporate name by duly authorized officer, giving full title as such. If signer is a partnership, please sign in partnership name by authorized person.