inContact, Inc. Form DEF 14A April 29, 2013

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION**

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

- " Preliminary Proxy Statement
- " Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- " Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-12

# INCONTACT, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Commission File Number: 001-33762

**Not Applicable** 

(Name of Persons Filing Proxy Statement If Other Than the Registrant)

Pay	ment o	of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):
x	No f	fee required.
	Fee	computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.
	1)	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
	2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
	3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
	4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
	5)	Total fee paid:
	Fee	paid previously with preliminary materials.
	Che	eck box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee
	was	paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

1)	Amount Previously Paid:
2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
3)	Filing Party:
4)	Date Filed:

# inContact, Inc.

# 7730 South Union Park Avenue, Suite 500

# Salt Lake City, UT 84047

# ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

June 12, 2013

# NOTICE OF MEETING

The annual meeting of the stockholders of inContact, Inc., a Delaware corporation, will be held at 1:00 p.m., on June 12, 2013, at 7730 South Union Park Avenue, Suite 500, Salt Lake City, UT 84047, for the following purposes:

to elect seven directors;

to approve an amendment to the inContact 2008 Equity Incentive Plan increasing the number of common shares available for awards under the Plan by 1,500,000 to a total of 6,772,500 shares;

to approve amendments to the Employee Stock Purchase Plan extending the termination date by five years to January 31, 2019;

to ratify the Audit Committee s appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as inContact s independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2013; and

to act upon such other matters as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the meeting. Stockholders at the close of business on April 17, 2013 are entitled to vote in person or by proxy at the annual meeting. The annual meeting will be open to the public.

Kimm Partridge, Corporate Secretary

April 29, 2013

# inContact, Inc.

# 7730 South Union Park Avenue, Suite 500

# Salt Lake City, UT 84047

#### PROXY STATEMENT

This proxy statement is provided to stockholders of inContact, Inc. (inContact, we, us, our, or the Company) in connection with the annual meeting of stockholders and any adjournments or postponements of the annual meeting. The annual meeting will be held at 1:00 p.m., on June 12, 2013, at 7730 South Union Park Avenue, Suite 500, Salt Lake City, UT 84047.

# ABOUT THE MEETING, VOTING, STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

#### AND COMPANY INFORMATION

# **Delivery and Availability of Proxy Materials**

Under U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules, we are furnishing proxy materials to our stockholders primarily via the Internet, instead of mailing printed copies of those materials to each stockholder. Beginning April 29, 2013, we mailed to our stockholders (other than those who previously requested electronic or paper delivery) a notice containing instructions on how to access our proxy materials, including our proxy statement and our annual report. On that date, our proxy statement and our Annual Report (of which our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K is a part) were available for review over the Internet at the website listed in the notice. The notice also instructs you on how to access your proxy card to vote through the Internet or by telephone.

This process is designed to expedite stockholders—receipt of proxy materials, lower the cost of the annual meeting and help conserve natural resources. However, if you would prefer to receive printed proxy materials, please follow the instructions included in the notice. If you have previously elected to receive our proxy materials electronically, you will continue to receive these materials via e-mail unless you elect otherwise.

# Matters to be Voted On at the Meeting and Solicitation

By authority of the Board of Directors, inContact is soliciting your vote for:

election of seven directors;

to approve an amendment to the inContact 2008 Equity Incentive Plan increasing the number of common shares available for awards under the Plan by 1,500,000 to a total of 6,772,500 shares;

to approve amendments to the Employee Stock Purchase Plan extending the termination date by five years to January 31, 2019;

to ratify the Audit Committee s appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as inContact s independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2013; and

to act upon such other matters as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the meeting.

The cost of this solicitation is being borne by inContact. Proxies may be solicited on our behalf by our directors, officers and regular employees by telephone or other means. There will be no special or additional

compensation for these services. Brokerage houses, custodians, nominees and fiduciaries will be requested to forward the soliciting materials to the beneficial owners of record of our common shares, and we will reimburse them for their related charges and expenses. We may also elect to engage a proxy solicitation firm to distribute and solicit proxies.

#### Persons Entitled to Vote

Stockholders of record at the close of business on April 17, 2013, the record date, are entitled to vote on matters that come before the meeting. Shares can be voted only if the stockholder is present in person or is represented by proxy.

#### How to Vote

If you own your shares through a bank or broker, you should follow the separate instructions they provide you. If your shares are registered in your name:

You may vote in person at the annual meeting.

You may vote over the Internet. You may vote over the Internet regardless of whether you receive your annual meeting materials through the mail or over the Internet. Simply follow the instructions on your notice of Internet access to the proxy materials or your proxy card. If you vote over the Internet, you should not vote by telephone or vote by returning a proxy card.

You may vote by telephone. You may vote by telephone regardless of whether you receive your annual meeting materials through the mail or over the Internet. Simply follow the instructions on the proxy card. If you vote over the telephone, you should not vote by Internet or vote by returning a proxy card.

You may vote by mail. If you received a proxy card through the mail, simply complete and sign your proxy card and mail it in the enclosed envelope. If you mark your voting instructions on the proxy card, your shares will be voted as you instruct. If no voting specification is made on your signed and returned proxy card, Theodore Stern or Paul Jarman, the persons named as proxy holders on the proxy card, will vote FOR the election of the director nominees, FOR approval of the proposed amendment to the inContact 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, FOR approval of the proposed amendments to the Employee Stock Purchase Plan, and FOR the ratification of the appointment by the Audit Committee of the independent auditor. If any other business is brought before the meeting, any unspecified proxies will be voted in accordance with the judgment of the proxy holders voting those shares. If you vote by mail, you should not vote by telephone or over the Internet.

# **Changing Your Vote**

You can change your vote submitted by Internet, telephone or written proxy card by revoking it at any time before it is cast at the annual meeting in one of three ways:

notify our Corporate Secretary in writing before the annual meeting that you are revoking your proxy;

submit another proxy with a date later than your last vote made by Internet, telephone or proxy; or

vote in person at the annual meeting.

# **Number of Votes and Quorum**

Each share of inContact common stock that you own as of the record date entitles you to one vote. On April 17, 2013 there were 53,362,588 outstanding shares of our common stock. The presence of the owners of a majority of the shares entitled to vote at the annual meeting constitutes a quorum. Presence may be in person or by proxy. You will be considered part of the quorum if you attend the annual meeting, vote by Internet

or telephone or return a signed and dated proxy card. Abstentions, broker non-votes and shares voted by a broker or bank holding shares for a beneficial owner are counted as present and entitled to vote for determining a quorum.

# Required Vote to Approve each Proposal

Election of Directors: Each of the seven Board of Director positions is separately elected. The persons who receive the most votes for each of the seven director positions will be elected. Any shares not voted (whether by abstention or otherwise) have no impact on the vote.

Amendment of the inContact 2008 Equity Incentive Plan: The proposal to amend the inContact 2008 Equity Incentive Plan to increase the number of common shares available for awards under the Plan by 1,500,000 to a total of 6,772,500 shares requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast. Any shares not voted (whether by abstention or otherwise) have no impact on the vote.

Amendment of the Employee Stock Purchase Plan: The proposal to amend the Employee Stock Purchase Plan extending the termination date by five years to January 31, 2019 requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast. Any shares not voted (whether by abstention or otherwise) have no impact on the vote.

Ratification of Appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP, as independent registered public accounting firm for 2013: This proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast. Any shares not voted (whether by abstention or otherwise) have no impact on the vote.

# **Board Recommendations on Proposals**

The Board recommends a vote FOR election of each director nominated by the Board, FOR approval of the proposed amendment to the inContact 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, FOR approval of the proposed amendments to the Employee Stock Purchase Plan, and FOR ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP.

# Selection of the Board s Director Nominees

Each of the seven nominees now serves as a director and was approved for inclusion on our slate of directors by the entire Board. Each nominee has indicated a willingness to serve as a director for the ensuing year, but in case any nominee is not a candidate at the meeting for any reason, the proxies named in the accompanying proxy form may vote for a substitute nominee selected by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. Theodore Stern is Chairman of the Board. One of our directors, Paul Jarman, is also our President and Chief Executive Officer.

On June 14, 2011, Enterprise Network Holdings, Inc. ( ENH ), made a substantial investment in our common stock and in connection with that investment we entered into an Investor Rights Agreement. The Investor Rights Agreement provides, in part, that so long as ENH holds (1) 100% of the common shares purchased, or (2) if ENH holds less than 100% of such shares, it holds at least the greater of 50% of the common shares acquired or 10% of the total voting power of our common stock then outstanding, then ENH shall have the right to designate two persons to be nominated for election as directors, and at each meeting of stockholders where directors are elected we will cause the ENH designees to be nominated for election and recommend their election as directors. As described below, Hamid Akhavan is nominated for election as a director with our recommendation that he be so elected. Mr. Akhavan is one of the nominees designated by ENH under the Investor Rights Agreement. To date, ENH has not elected to nominate a second person for election as a director, although it reserves the right to do so in the future in accordance with the terms of the Investor Rights Agreement.

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is responsible for reviewing the requisite skills and characteristics of new Board members as well as the composition of the Board as a whole. We believe that the minimum qualifications for serving as a director are that a nominee demonstrates an ability to make a meaningful contribution to the Board s oversight of inContact s business and affairs and have an unsullied reputation with respect to ethical conduct. Nominees for director will include individuals who, taking into account their diversity, skills, and experience in the context of the needs of the Board, as well as other relevant factors such as conflicts of interest and other commitments, would enhance the Board s ability to manage and direct our affairs and business. Although we do not have a formal policy on diversity for board members, it is a factor in assessing

potential board members. Diversity not only encompasses racial and gender diversity, but it also relates to diversity of experience and background in an effort to ensure that the composition of our directors provides a strong and well balanced foundation of skill and experience.

# **Standard for Director Independence**

The Board applies the definition of independence adopted by Nasdaq for determining independence of our directors. Based on that definition, we believe all of the directors nominated for election at our 2013 annual meeting are independent, except for our Chairman of the Board, Mr. Stern, Mr. Akhavan and our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Jarman.

#### How Stockholders Can Recommend a Candidate for Election to the Board

Stockholders who wish to recommend a candidate for election to our Board should write to: Corporate Secretary, inContact, Inc. 7730 South Union Park Avenue, Suite 500, Salt Lake City, UT 84047, stating in detail the qualifications of a candidate for consideration by the Board. In considering Board candidates, the Board seeks individuals of proven judgment and competence who have strong reputations in their respective fields. The Board considers such factors as experience, education, employment history, special talents or personal attributes, anticipated participation in Board activities, and geographic and diversity factors. The process for identifying and evaluating nominees would include detailed consideration of the recommendations and opinions of members of our Board, our executive officers, and our stockholders. There would be no difference in the process of evaluation of candidates recommended by a stockholder and those recommended by other sources.

#### How to Communicate with the Board

Stockholders interested in communicating directly with our Board may do so by writing to: Board of Directors, inContact, Inc. 7730 South Union Park Avenue, Suite 500, Salt Lake City, UT 84047. All such written correspondence is delivered to the director or directors to whom it is addressed or, if addressed generally to the Board, to all directors. Concerns relating to accounting, internal controls, or auditing matters are immediately forwarded to the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and Chairman of the Audit Committee.

#### **Board Attendance at Annual Meeting**

Two members of our Board of Directors attended the 2012 annual meeting of shareholders. We encourage attendance by members of the board and senior executives, but attendance is not required.

# **Business Sought to be Presented from the Floor**

Our Bylaws provide that any item of business not included in the notice of annual meeting, including the nomination of a director, that a stockholder intends to bring to the floor of the annual meeting for action can be ruled out of order and the matter dismissed without further consideration, if the stockholder does not give written notice to inContact describing the business the stockholder intends to bring to the floor of the meeting within five business days after inContact gives written notice of the date and place of the annual meeting to its stockholders. Any such notice must be delivered to inContact on or before May 6, 2013, for the annual meeting scheduled on June 12, 2013.

# How to Submit a Stockholder Proposal for Inclusion in the 2014 Proxy Statement; Discretionary Voting

Stockholder proposals may be submitted for inclusion in our 2014 proxy statement after the 2013 annual meeting, but must be received no later than 5:00 p.m. MST on Tuesday, December 31, 2013. Proposals should be sent via registered, certified, or express mail to: Corporate Secretary, inContact, Inc. 7730 South Union Park Avenue, Suite 500, Salt Lake City, UT 84047.

As to any proposal that is not submitted for inclusion in our proxy statement for the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, but is instead sought to be presented directly at the meeting, certain rules adopted by the SEC permit management to vote proxies in its discretion if: (1) we receive notice of the proposal before the close of business on March 15, 2014, and advise stockholders in the proxy statement about the nature of the proposal and how management intends to vote on such matter; or (2) we do not receive notice of the proposal prior to the close of business on March 15, 2014.

#### Where to Find inContact s Code of Ethics

inContact has a Code of Ethics that applies to all of its employees and directors, including its Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and other officers. We will provide to any person, without charge, a copy of the Code of Ethics upon written or oral request directed to Corporate Secretary, inContact, Inc. 7730 South Union Park Avenue, Suite 500, Salt Lake City, UT 84047, telephone (801) 715-5021. Furthermore, a copy of the Code of Ethics is accessible on the Corporate Governance section of the Investor Relations page on our corporate website, http://www.inContact.com.

# Where to Find inContact s Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, Audit Committee and Compensation Committee Charters

The Board of Directors of inContact has formed a Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, Audit Committee and Compensation Committee. Each of these Committees has a formal charter, which is made available for your examination on our corporate website at <a href="http://www.inContact.com">http://www.inContact.com</a>. We will provide to any person, without charge, a copy of any of the charters upon written or oral request directed to Corporate Secretary, inContact, Inc. 7730 South Union Park Avenue, Suite 500, Salt Lake City, UT 84047, telephone (801) 715-5021.

# Where to Find More Information on inContact

Our corporate website is http://www.inContact.com. We make available on this website, free of charge, access to our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A and amendments to those materials filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically submit such material to the SEC. The SEC makes available on its website, free of charge, reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers, such as us, that file electronically with the SEC. The SEC s website is http://www.sec.gov.

# **Directors and Officers**

The following table sets forth the names, ages, and positions with inContact for each of the directors and officers.

Name	Age	Positions	Since
Theodore Stern	83	Chairman of the Board of Directors	1999
Steve Barnett	71	Director	2000
Paul F. Koeppe	63	Director	2004
Blake O. Fisher, Jr.	69	Director	2004
Mark J. Emkjer	57	Director	2009
Hamid Akhavan	51	Director	2011
Paul Jarman	43	Director and Chief Executive Officer	1997
Gregory Ayers	51	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2009
Scott Welch	48	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	2004
William (Bill) Robinson	47	Executive Vice President of Sales	2012
Mariann McDonagh	51	Executive Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer	2010
Sunny Gosain	41	Executive Vice President and Chief Product Officer	2011
Bassam Salem	40	Executive Vice President and Chief Business Officer	2011

All directors hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are elected and qualify. Officers serve at the discretion of our Board. The following is information on the business experience of each director now serving and a discussion of the qualifications, attributes and skills that led to the Board of Directors conclusion that the nominee should serve as a director.

Theodore Stern became a director of inContact in June 1999 and subsequently the Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer in September 2000. Mr. Stern served in those positions until January 1, 2005, when the two positions were separated. He served on the board of directors of Distributed Energy Systems Corporation, a manufacturer of renewable generation systems located in Wallingford, CT from November 2003 to May 2010. He holds a Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering from the Pratt Institute and a Master of Science degree in Theoretical Mathematics from New York University. Mr. Stern is a member of the National Academy of Engineering. Mr. Stern has over 20-years of experience serving as a director with public companies. Through that service he gained experience as chairman and as a member of audit, compensation and governance committees, which we believe brings a wealth of experience and savvy to developing and overseeing the development of our strategic and operating plans consistent with effective corporate governance policies. His twelve years of service as our Chairman gives him an in-depth knowledge of who we are and where we are going, which we believe to be valuable in making our decisions for the future.

Steve Barnett has served for the past seven years as an advisor to manufacturing and technology companies, as well as to public entities, on improving business operations. Mr. Barnett currently serves as an advisor to, and is on the Board of Directors of, a private electrical systems manufacturing company; as well, he also serves as an advisor and mentor to Senior Management of a global manufacturer specializing in custom engineered transformers and reactive magnetics. He is Chairman of the Board of a technology company specializing in juvenile safety products. He served on the Board of Directors for Medis Technologies Ltd., a company specializing in advanced technology regarding unique fuel cell power packs for portable electronic devices from 2004 to 2009. He also served on the Board of Directors for OSI Geospatial Inc., a TSE company which delivers advanced geospatial systems and software that enable shared real-time situational awareness for military and homeland security, safety and security application on a worldwide basis from 2009 to 2011. Mr. Barnett graduated from the University of Chicago Law School with a Doctor of Jurisprudence degree. Mr. Barnett has served as a director of inContact for 13 years. His long-time service provides an excellent historical perspective that enhances the development of our strategic and operational plans going forward. His experience as a director for other software and technology companies enables him to fulfill an oversight function with respect to our research and development efforts and how to focus those efforts on exploiting market opportunities.

Paul F. Koeppe was President, CEO and founder of Superconductivity, Inc., a manufacturer of superconducting magnetic energy storage systems from 1988 to 1997 when it was acquired by American Superconductor, an electricity solutions company. He then served as Executive Vice President of Strategic Planning for American Superconductor until his retirement in 2001. From 1993 to 1995, Paul was acting CEO and chairman of the executive committee of the board of directors of Best Power, Inc., a supplier of uninterruptible power supply equipment. Beginning August 2009, he serves as the Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chairman of the Audit Committee for ZBB Energy Corporation, a manufacturer of large capacity energy storage systems, and served as Interim CEO from November 2009 until January 2010. Mr. Koeppe has also served as a Director of Distributed Energy Systems Corp., a public company engaged in the business of creating and delivering products and services to the energy marketplace and also as a Director of Northern Power Systems from 1998 to until 2003 when Northern was acquired by Distributed Energy Systems Corp. He holds a Bachelors Degree in Business Administration and Associate Degrees in Materials Management and Electrical Power Technology. Mr. Koeppe now serves as the chairman of the audit committee of ZBB Energy Corporation, which (together with his other experience) brings significant financial experience to our Board, as well as experience with sound corporate governance and internal control policies. Furthermore, his substantial experience as an executive officer followed by board service provides to us executive and employee compensation experience that we depend on to guide the policies we establish for compensating and incentivizing our employees.

Blake O. Fisher, Jr. provided management and financial consulting to the telecommunications and utility industries from May 2004 through December 2008, including financial consulting to the USDA on Rural Utilities Service s broadband program. From May 2004 to December 2004 he served as Chief Financial Officer for Fiber Utilities of Iowa, an entity that provides operation and construction services to municipal utilities. From May 2002 to May 2004 he was retired from business activities. From February 1996 to May 2002, he held senior management positions with McLeodUSA, a telecommunications provider, initially as Chief Financial Officer, then as President of the company s Western region and as Chief Development Officer. He also serves on the Board of Directors for Headwaters Incorporated, an energy and building products company. The Board believes that Mr. Fisher s chief financial officer experience is of substantial benefit in discharging the Board s oversight function with respect to financial controls and procedures, the Board s relationship with our financial staff and auditors, annual budget development and strategic planning.

Mark J. Emkjer is currently the CEO of the Health Services Division of WebMD. From May 2004 to January 2009 he was CEO, President and Board Member of Accelrys, Inc., a software company serving the pharmaceutical and biotech industries. Prior to Accelrys, Mr. Emkjer was the President and CEO of Sunquest, a public company listed on Nasdaq that develops laboratory and pharmacy information systems for hospitals worldwide. Mr. Emkjer has held executive-level positions with other companies since graduating with a Masters in Business Administration and International Finance from the University of Miami in Florida. Mr. Emkjer s substantial experience as an executive in software companies provides significant industry experience and knowledge with respect to our product, product development, market opportunities and operational aspects of a software company. The Board believes this software industry knowledge and experience provides valuable insights for short-term and long-term operational and strategic planning.

Hamid Akhavan is currently the CEO of Siemens Enterprise Communications. His extensive management experience includes product development, and international sales and marketing, as well as technology, IT and procurement. Prior to joining Siemens Enterprise Communications, Mr. Akhavan served as Chief Operating Officer (COO) at Deutsche Telekom Group, where he was responsible for the company s mobile operations in Europe, and he was also Chairman of the Executive Operating Board at Deutsche Telekom. He was also previously the CEO at T-Mobile International AG. The Board believes that Mr. Akhavan s extensive management experience in the telecommunications industry and his international experience provide valuable insight to the Company as it increases its international presence.

Paul Jarman has served as an officer of inContact during the past ten years. He has served as President since December 2002 and as Chief Executive Officer since January 2005. Prior to December 2002 he served as an Executive Vice President. Mr. Jarman is one of the original founders of inContact. He earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from the University of Utah. We believe Mr. Jarman s qualifications to serve on our Board include his executive management experience, including experience for several years as our Chief Executive Officer and a long history and familiarity with inContact, which provides the Board with essential insight into the day-to-day operations of the Company.

# **Executive Officers**

Information on our executive officers is presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

#### STOCK OWNERSHIP

The following table sets forth the number and percentage of the outstanding shares of common stock that, according to the information supplied to inContact, were beneficially owned by each person who, to the knowledge of the Company, is the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding common stock. Except as otherwise indicated, the persons named in the table have sole voting and dispositive power with respect to all shares beneficially owned, subject to community property laws where applicable. The number of shares owned and percent of class is as of April 17, 2013 for the principal stockholders, unless otherwise noted.

Name and Address	Common Shares	Percentage of Class (1)
Principal stockholders:	5.44. 45	01 CM35 (1)
FMR LLC (2)	6,749,592	12.6%
82 Devonshire Street		
Boston, MA 02109		
Enterprise Network Holdings, Inc.	6,396,389	12.0%
1881 Campus Commons Dr.		
Reston, VA 20191		
The Vanguard Group (3)	3,462,464	6.5%
100 Vanguard Blvd.		
Malvern, PA 19355		
BlackRock, Inc. (4)	2,648,640	5.0%
40 East 52nd Street		
New York, NY 10022		

- (1) These figures represent the percentage of ownership of the named persons assuming each of them alone has exercised any warrants or purchase rights the person may hold to purchase common shares.
- (2) Based on information contained in a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 13, 2013, reflecting the shareholder s beneficial ownership as of December 31, 2012. Fidelity Management and Research Company, a wholly owned subsidiary of FMR LLC, holds the shares and is a registered investment adviser.
- (3) Based on information contained in a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 7, 2013, reflecting the shareholder s beneficial ownership as of December 31, 2012. Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company (VFTC), a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Vanguard Group, Inc., is the beneficial owner of 39,112 shares or .07% of the Common Stock outstanding of the Company as a result of its serving as investment manager of collective trust accounts.
- (4) Based on information contained in a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 4, 2013, reflecting the shareholder s beneficial ownership as of December 31, 2012.

The following table sets forth, as of April 17, 2013, the number and percentage of the outstanding shares of common stock that, according to the information supplied to inContact, were beneficially owned by (i) each person who is currently a director, (ii) each executive officer, and (iii) all current directors and executive officers as a group. Except as otherwise indicated, the persons named in the table have sole voting and dispositive power with respect to all shares beneficially owned, subject to community property laws where applicable.

Name and Address	Common Shares	Percentage of Class (1)
Officers and directors:		,
Theodore Stern (2)	1,738,892	3.3%
Steve Barnett (2)	556,888	1.0%
Paul F. Koeppe (2)	457,414	0.9%
Blake O. Fisher, Jr. (2)	240,414	0.5%
Mark J. Emkjer (2)	92,846	0.2%
Hamid Akhavan (3)	6,396,389	12.0%
Paul Jarman (2)	1,055,551	2.0%
Gregory S. Ayers (2)	298,334	0.6%
Scott Welch (2)	33,334	0.1%
William Robinson		0.0%
Mariann McDonagh (2)	183,334	0.3%
Sunny Gosain (2)	100,000	0.2%
Bassam Salem (2)	221,667	0.4%
All Executive Officers and Directors as a Group (13 persons)	11,375,063	21.3%

- (1) These figures represent the percentage of ownership of the named groups and individuals assuming each of them alone has exercised options to purchase common shares, and percentage ownership of all officers and directors as a group, assuming all purchase rights held by such individuals are exercised.
- (2) These figures include: for Mr. Stern 101,179 shares issuable under restricted stock units; for Mr. Barnett options to purchase 80,000 shares at exercise prices ranging from \$2.65 to \$4.57 per share and 120,488 shares issuable under restricted stock units; for Mr. Koeppe options to purchase 117,000 shares at an exercise prices ranging from \$2.00 to \$4.57 per share, and 118,414 shares issuable under restricted stock units; for Mr. Fisher options to purchase 107,000 shares at exercise prices ranging from \$2.00 to \$4.57 per share and 118,414 shares issuable under restricted stock units; for Mr. Emkjer 92,846 shares issuable under restricted stock units; for Mr. Jarman options to purchase 973,466 shares of common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$1.76 to \$5.39 per share; for Mr. Ayers options to purchase 298,334 shares of common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$1.78 to \$3.34 per share; for Mr. Welch options to purchase 33,334 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$3.34 per share; for Ms. McDonagh options to purchase 183,334 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$3.09 per share; for Mr. Gosain options to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$3.57 per share; and for Mr. Salem options to purchase 221,667 shares of common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$3.34 to \$3.93.
- (3) These shares are owned by Enterprise Network Holdings, Inc., the parent company of Siemens Enterprise Communications, Inc., of which Mr. Akhavan is the CEO.

# THE BOARD AND ITS COMMITTEES; CORPORATE GOVERNANCE; SECTION 16 COMPLIANCE

#### The Board and Committees

Our Board of Directors has seven members. The Chairman of the Board, Theodore Stern, provides business consulting services to inContact for a monthly fee. Our Chief Executive Officer, Paul Jarman, is a member of the Board and is a full time employee of inContact. Hamid Akhavan is CEO of Siemens Enterprise Communications, Inc., a reseller of our inContact portfolio of software services and a subsidiary of Enterprise Network Holdings, Inc. who owns approximately 12.1% of inContact s common stock. The other four members of the Board, Steve Barnett, Paul F. Koeppe, Blake O. Fisher, Jr. and Mark J. Emkjer, are non-employee directors, and the Board has determined that these persons (who constitute a majority of the Board) are independent directors under the criteria set forth in Rule 5605(a)(2) of the Nasdaq Listing Rules. The Board met eight times during the year ended December 31, 2012. All directors attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board, once elected or appointed to the Board.

In 2007, the Board formed a Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee which is appointed by the Board to: (1) identify individuals qualified to serve as members of the Board and, where appropriate, recommend individuals to be nominated by the Board for election by the stockholders or to be appointed by the Board to fill vacancies consistent with the criteria approved by the Board; (2) develop and periodically evaluate and recommend changes to inContact s Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Ethics, and to review the Company s policies and programs that relate to matters of corporate responsibility, including public issues of significance to the Company and its stakeholders; and (3) oversee an annual evaluation of the performance of the Board. The current members of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee are Steve Barnett (Chairman), Blake O. Fisher, Jr., Paul F. Koeppe and Mark J. Emkjer, and the Board has determined that each of the members of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is independent under the standard set forth in Rule 5605(a)(2) of the Nasdaq Listing Rules. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee met four times in 2012, and all director members of the committee attended 100% of the meetings. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors, which sets forth the responsibilities and powers delegated by the Board to the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee.

In 2000, the Board formed the Audit Committee, the current members of which are Blake O. Fisher, Jr. (Chairman), Steve Barnett, Paul F. Koeppe and Mark J. Emkjer. The Audit Committee provides oversight for financial reporting matters, internal controls, and compliance with the Company's financial policies, and meets with its auditors when appropriate. The Audit Committee met six times in 2012, and all director members of the committee attended 100% of the meetings. The Board has determined that Steve Barnett, Paul F. Koeppe and Blake O. Fisher, Jr. are audit committee financial experts within the meaning of Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K. Further, the Board has determined that each of the members of the Audit Committee is independent under the standard set forth in Rule 5605(a)(2) of the Nasdaq Listing Rules. The Audit Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors, which sets forth the responsibilities and powers delegated by the Board to the Audit Committee.

During 2000, the Board also formed the Compensation Committee, the current members of which are Paul F. Koeppe, (Chairman), Steve Barnett, Blake O. Fisher, Jr. and Mark J. Emkjer, and the Board has determined that each of the members of the Compensation Committee is independent under the standard set forth in Rule 5605(a)(2) of the Nasdaq Listing Rules. The Compensation Committee recommends to the Board for determination compensation of our executive officers, including the chief executive officer, and addresses salary and benefit matters for other key personnel and employees of the Company. The Compensation Committee met six times in 2012, and all director members of the committee attended 100% of the meetings. The Compensation Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors, which sets forth the responsibilities and powers delegated by the Board to the Compensation Committee.

# **Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Ethics**

In 2007, the Board adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines for inContact. Under the Guidelines, the Audit Committee is required to conduct, at least annually, an appropriate review of all related party transactions for potential conflict of interest situations on an ongoing basis, and all such transactions must be approved by the Audit Committee. For purposes of this review, related party transactions means transactions required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 404 of Regulation S-K adopted by the Commission, which are related party transactions we report in this proxy statement.

The bylaws of the Company provide that no contract or transaction between inContact and one or more of its directors or officers, or between inContact and any other corporation, firm, association, or other organization in which one or more of its directors or officers are financially interested, shall be void or voidable solely for this reason, or solely because the director or officer is present at or participates in the meeting of the Board of Directors or committee that authorizes or approves the contract or transaction, or because their votes are counted for such purpose, provided that:

the material facts as to his, her, or their relationship or interest as to the contract or transaction are disclosed or are known to the Board of Directors or the committee and noted in the minutes, and the Board of Directors or committee, authorizes the contract or transaction in good faith by the affirmative vote of a majority of disinterested directors, even though the disinterested directors are less than a quorum;

the material facts as to his, her, or their relationship or interest as to the contract or transaction are disclosed or are known to the stockholders entitled to vote thereon, and the contract or transaction is specifically approved or ratified in good faith by the majority of shares entitled to vote, counting the votes of the common or interested directors or officers; or

the contract or transaction is fair as to inContact as of the time it is authorized or approved.

Under the Company s Code of Ethics, an officer has an obligation to disclose to the Board of Directors or appropriate committee any situation that may present a conflict of interest between inContact and the officer.

# **Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight**

Our Chief Executive Officer is a member of the Board, but a different director who is not an executive officer serves as Chairman of the Board. The Board believes that this leadership structure is best at this time, as it facilitates the ability of the Board and its committees to bring independent evaluation and thinking to strategic planning for our present and future operations, and allows the Board, without the participation of our executives, to fulfill its oversight function with respect to what we do and how we do it. We believe the benefit of having the Chief Executive Officer on the Board is the information and insight about our business that he brings to each Board meeting and adds to the totality of information our Directors receive for consideration.

Our directors believe it is a Board-level function to provide oversight with respect to the management of risks. Accordingly, our Board regularly engages in risk oversight by evaluating our circumstances and opportunities for the purpose of trying to strike a reasonable balance between anticipated risks we are willing to accept to create opportunities to achieve rewards that are consistent with our short and long-term business objectives. While certain risks are in the purview of Committees of the Board, and are scrutinized closely at the Committee level, the full Board has responsibility for risk oversight.

Our Board believes each action, either directly or indirectly by association with other circumstances and events, could create or enhance risk exposure at any level of our operations. As management modifies and refines our strategic plan, it:

Assesses risks it believes may be associated with implementation of the strategy,

Briefs the Board on the potential risks,

Seeks from the Board guidance on how to proceed and appropriate authorization, and

Develops systemic processes and procedures it believes will enable employees to monitor the level of various risks as part of a process to gather information reported up the ladder to the Board for consideration in its oversight of our risk profile and deciding on future strategies and actions.

Our Board is assisted in its oversight responsibilities by the standing Board committees, which have assigned areas of oversight responsibility for various matters as described in the Committee charters. For example, our Audit Committee assists the Board soversight of the integrity of our financial statements, the qualifications and independence of our independent registered public accounting firm, and the performance of our internal audit function and independent registered public accounting firm. Pursuant to its charter the Audit Committee also considers our policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management. The Compensation Committee oversees the compensation of our chief executive officer and other executive officers and evaluates the appropriate compensation incentives to motivate senior management to grow long-term stockholder returns without undue risk taking. Our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee periodically evaluate and recommend changes to our Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Ethics.

With respect to consideration of risks related to compensation, our Board has reviewed potential risks related to compensation policies and practices applicable to all employees and has concluded that they are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our company.

# **Audit Committee Report**

The purposes of the Audit Committee are set forth in the Committee s written charter. As provided in the charter, the role of the committee is to assist the Board of Directors in its oversight on matters relating to accounting, financial reporting, internal control, auditing, regulatory compliance activities and other matters the Board deems appropriate. The Committee also selects inContact s independent registered public accounting firm in accordance with the provisions set out in the charter. Management, however, is responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of inContact s financial statements, and for the procedures designed to assure compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. The independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for planning and carrying out proper audits and reviews.

In fulfilling its responsibilities, the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements contained in the 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K of inContact with management and the independent registered public accounting firm. In addition, the Committee has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed pursuant to Statement of Auditing Standards No. 61, as modified or supplemented. The Committee has also received from the independent registered public accounting firm the written disclosures and letter required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, considered whether the provision of non-audit services by the independent registered public accounting firm is compatible with maintaining the independent registered public accounting firm is independence, and discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm its independence.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions with management and the independent registered public accounting firm referred to above, and subject to the limitations on the responsibilities and role of the Audit Committee set forth in the charter and discussed above, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board approved, that the audited financial statements of inContact be included in its 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Respectfully submitted by the members of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors:

Blake O. Fisher, Jr. (Chairman)

Paul F. Koeppe

Steve Barnett

Mark J. Emkjer

# Section 16(a) Filing Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) requires officers and directors of inContact and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of its equity securities to file reports of ownership and changes in their ownership on Forms 3, 4 and 5 with the Commission, and forward copies of such filings to the Company. Based on the copies of filings received by the Company during the most recent fiscal year the directors, officers and beneficial owners of more than ten percent of the equity securities of inContact registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act have filed on a timely basis all required Forms 3, 4 and 5 and any amendments thereto.

# **EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

#### **Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

The primary objectives of our compensation policies are to attract and retain experienced and well-qualified executive officers, and provide incentives for financial and business achievements that benefit our stockholders. The Compensation Committee of the Board is charged with the responsibility of evaluating compensation and benefits for our executive officers and recommending specific compensation packages to the Board. The Compensation Committee also evaluates and recommends compensation arrangements for our non-employee Directors to the Board.

# How the Compensation Committee Performs its Tasks

With respect to executives other than the Chief Executive Officer, the Compensation Committee received recommendations from the Chief Executive Officer with respect to 2012 performance and appropriate levels of compensation. For 2012, the Compensation Committee approved a compensation package for the executive officers that closely followed the Chief Executive Officer is recommendation, which included a fixed base salary and a cash bonus based on performance. The Chairman of the Board discusses his recommendations for compensation for our Chief Executive Officer with him with a view to obtaining the input of our Chief Executive Officer with respect to his own evaluation of his performance and goals for the coming year and a compensation package that is reasonable in relation to the compensation recommended for our other executive officers. Based on these discussions the Chairman of the Board recommended to the Committee a package for 2012 that included a fixed base salary and a cash bonus based on performance.

The Compensation Committee s conclusions and recommendations on the compensation packages for our executive officers are based on the total mix of information from the sources described above, as well as the Committee members general knowledge of executive compensation practices and their personal evaluations of the likely effects of compensation levels and structure on the attainment of our business and financial objectives.

At the annual meeting of stockholders in 2011, the non-binding advisory vote on approval of executive compensation for inContact s named executive officers was affirmative by a substantial margin with respect to those stockholders who voted on the matter. Accordingly, the Committee continued in 2012 to craft compensation arrangements for executive officers that are consistent with past practices. A substantial majority of stockholders voting on the non-binding advisory vote on how frequently we seek a non-binding advisory vote on executive compensation selected three years, so we intend to hold such a vote every three years consistent with that input from the stockholders.

# **Compensation Consultant**

In October 2012, the Compensation Committee retained the services of the independent executive consulting firm Radford Consulting Services, or Radford, to conduct a competitive review and analysis of inContact s executive and equity compensation programs. Radford reported the results of its review and analysis

directly to the Compensation Committee. Radford did not provide any other assistance or services in 2012. The Compensation Committee does not believe that there was any relationship or arrangement with Radford in 2012 that affected the independence of Radford in the executive compensation analysis that Radford performed. The analysis covered the following items:

Review of inContact s executive compensation peer group;

Assess the competiveness of the executive cash compensation program;

Review peer group equity compensation trends

Evaluate the appropriate levels of annual long-term incentives to be considered for 2013; and

Present preliminary recommendations to the Committee.

As part of its engagement, Radford proposed a comparative group of companies ( peer group ) for analysis purposes, which the Compensation Committee approved. As part of the process, Radford conducted individual interviews with our Chief Executive Officer, Senior Director of Human Resources, and other members of senior management, as they deemed appropriate, to learn more about our business operations and strategy, key performance metrics and strategic goals, as well as the labor markets in which we compete.

Radford ultimately developed preliminary recommendations for our executive compensation that were presented to the Compensation Committee for its consideration. The Compensation Committee engaged in an active dialogue with Radford before approving several compensation elements for 2013 described below.

Benchmarking of Executive Compensation

For 2013, Radford benchmarked our executive compensation using a combination of peer group proxy statement analysis and Radford s own executive compensation surveys. Radford, with input from our Compensation Committee, developed its recommendation for our peer group by identifying publicly-traded technology and software companies with annual revenue between \$50 million and \$250 million. The resulting peer group of 20 companies is set forth below:

Actuate Bazarvoice Brightcove
BroadSoft Callidus Software Brightcove
Carbonite

Clicksoftware Technologies Demandware Descartes Systems Group

Imperva Interactive Intelligence Group iPass

Keynote Systems LivePerson Monotype Imaging Holdings

Pros Holdings Responsys Shoretel

Tangoe Vocus

When considering the competitiveness of executive officer compensation levels, the Compensation Committee reviews the compensation of each executive officer against the available market data for that executive officer from the foregoing benchmarking peer group. The Compensation Committee will not use a formula to set pay in relation to this market data; rather it considers a number of factors, including the benchmarked market data, in determining compensation for each executive officer relative to such data.

# Objectives of our Compensation Programs

We are committed to providing total compensation packages that are intended to retain and motivate talented employees. We believe in pay for performance and we link performance to pay throughout our organization in order to create the appropriate level of incentives. We actively manage our compensation structures and levels to adapt to changes in the marketplace and the continuing evolution of inContact. Changes in the economic and business climate over the past years have resulted in heightened interest and concern by

stockholders and others with respect to compensation levels for executives in American business. The Compensation Committee is sensitive to these concerns and pursues its work with a view to establishing compensation packages and arrangements that address our need to attract and retain executive officers and incentivize those officers to manage our business to achieve short-term objectives for the coming year and long-term sustainable growth, while at the same time paying compensation at a level that is within the limits of our budget.

# The Elements of our Compensation Packages

In line with our compensation objectives, the Compensation Committee seeks to maintain the salary component of each officer s compensation at a moderate level; to provide bonuses based on short-term financial performance; and to also provide stock awards, consisting primarily of options and restricted stock awards, the value of which the Committee believes will be appropriate in relation to future shareholder value, depending on long-term appreciation in the market value of our common stock. The Committee analyzes each of these elements separately, and then expands the analysis to consider each element in the context of the overall compensation packages. inContact also provides what it considers to be a moderate package of retirement, medical and other benefits. In determining the proper allocation of each executive officer s compensation among these elements, the Compensation Committee has sought to achieve for each executive an appropriate balance between economic security and compensation that is at risk based on our performance.

# Base Salary

For 2012 we believe that executive base salaries were at a level that is commensurate with salaries for executives at companies in similar positions and with similar responsibilities as our executive officers in order to compensate them at a level appropriate to their position and responsibilities and to provide economic security that the Committee believes facilitates retention.

For 2013 and subsequent periods we expect, as a result of the engagement of Radford, base salary adjustments will be determined based on an assessment of the executive s job responsibilities, performance against job responsibilities, overall Company performance, competitive salary information, and overall economic conditions. Annually, during the fourth and first quarter of the year, the Compensation Committee will considers these factors in assessing potential adjustments to base salaries for each of our executive officers. For the purpose of setting base salary levels and adjustments to base salary, there is no formulaic method, instead the Compensation Committee will consider individual performance, impact the executive officer had on the overall business, and anticipated future contributions to the Company s short and long-term success. In addition, the Compensation Committee will also consider the market reference point for each executive officer s base salary based on the 25th percentile of companies in our peer group for the executive officers particular role.

# Cash Bonus

The Compensation Committee believes Software revenue, Software bookings and consolidated Adjusted EBITDA are important performance measures. inContact manages its business and reports financial results based on product-based segments: Software and Telecom. Since the end of 2005, inContact has focused its business development and marketing efforts on promoting the Software segment services, which carry significantly higher gross margins than the Telecom segment services. Accordingly, for 2012 we decided the amount of Software segment revenue, Software bookings, and consolidated Adjusted EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes depreciation, amortization, and stock-based compensation) are meaningful indicators of executive officer performance in achieving inContact s business goals, because increasing Software segment revenue and bookings should generate higher gross margin, which should result in improving Adjusted EBITDA if management effectively manages related costs of expansion.

In January 2012, the Board approved an Executive Officer Bonus Plan for the 2012 year and it was a variable cash based incentive plan under which bonuses could be earned based on achieving stated performance

criteria for the first six months of the year and for the full year. Of the total bonus, 40% would be paid for achieving the goals for the first six months of 2012 and the remaining 60% for achieving the full-year goals. Performance measure components were Software segment revenue, Software bookings, and Adjusted EBITDA, as noted above, which were derived from our budget and business plan for the year, as approved by the Board of Directors, and were intended to be targets that were likely to be achieved, but not assured. The bonus for each portion of the year was allocated on a percentage basis to the three performance measures as follows:

	Six Months	Full Year
Software Revenue	25%	45%
Software Bookings	65%	45%
Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA	10%	10%

The three components of the plan each had a threshold and were calculated independently. A partial bonus was payable subject to first achieving the component s cliff or threshold. The threshold for Software revenue was achieving approximately 96% of budget for the first six months and for the year, for Software bookings was achieving approximately 90% of budget for the first six months and 80% for the year, and for consolidated Adjusted EBITDA was achieving specified results representing an improvement over the last six months of 2011. Payment on each component began at 70% of the percentage of the total bonus allocated to each component, and increases in 10% increments to 100% based on achieving 100% of the component goal. The maximum bonus payable for the year ranged from a high of \$160,000 for our Chief Executive Officer to a low of \$80,000 for several of our other executive officers. The performance measure targets in 2012, the amount achieved, and the percent of target actually achieved are listed below:

June 30 (6 months)	Target Amount	Amount Achieved	Percent of Target Achieved
Software Revenue	\$ 24,675,000	\$ 25,130,000	100
		\$ 8.796,000	-0-
Software Bookings	\$ 10,783,000	1	
Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA	\$ (334,000)	\$ 2,118,000	100
December 31 (1 year)			
Software Revenue	\$ 54,111,000	\$ 54,705,000	100
Software Bookings	\$ 26,517,000	\$ 20,796,000	-0-
Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 1,659,000	\$ 6,478,000	100

The amount of bonus paid under the cash based incentive plan to each of our named executive officers is listed in the Summary Compensation Table. The amount paid to our named executive officers is approximately 47% of the maximum cash bonus amount (excluding the stretch bonus described below), except for one officer who has an employment arrangement that guarantees a minimum bonus and received approximately 63% of the maximum cash bonus amount.

In order to provide a further incentive to focus on developing sales in the Software segment, if at the end of the year we attained a target Software revenue run rate, then our executive officers earned a stretch bonus that ranged between 17% of 2012 base salary for certain of our executive officers up to 25% of 2012 base salary for our Chief Executive Officer. Since we met 100% of our goals with respect to ending Software revenue run rate, 100% of the stretch bonuses were paid to the executive officers, which ranged from \$40,000 for certain of our executive officers to \$70,000 for our Chief Executive Officer.

On March 15, 2013, the Board of Directors approved, upon recommendation of the Compensation Committee, cash bonus payments to certain of our executive officers as additional compensation for the year ended December 31, 2012. These bonus payments are outside of the executive officer bonus plan and were awarded in recognition of what the Compensation Committee views as meaningful improvement in operations

during the latter part of 2012 as a result of the efforts of our executive officers that the Committee believes has, and will likely continue to, enhance shareholder value. The following table shows the cash bonuses approved for our named executive officers on March 15, 2013:

Name and Position	Amount (\$)
Paul Jarman	
Chief Executive Officer	40,000
Gregory S. Ayers	
EVP and Chief Financial Officer	20,000
Mariann McDonagh	
EVP and Chief Marketing Officer	7,600
Bassam Salem	

Chief Business Officer and EVP

Worldwide Services 22,500

For 2013, the Executive Officer Bonus Plan is expected to focus on Company financial performance and officer engagement. Financial performance measures will be calculated annually and includes Software revenue, Software bookings (including bookings from up-selling existing customers), and consolidated Adjusted EBITDA, which will be compared to our budget for the year, as approved by the Board of Directors, and are intended to be targets that are likely to be achieved, but not assured. The Compensation Committee will set engagement goals for the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, and engagement goals for our remaining executive officers will be recommended by the Chief Executive Officer to the Compensation Committee for approval. The three components of the financial performance goals will each have a threshold and will be calculated independent of the other components. If at the end of the year we attain more than 100% of our goals with respect to December 2013 Software revenue run rate, then our executive officers will earn a stretch bonus that ranges between \$40,000 for certain of our executive officers up to \$60,000 for our Chief Executive Officer. Consistent with our goal of compensating performance, the Compensation Committee will set maximum target bonus compensation percentages for our executive officers near the 50th percentile relative to our industry peer group.

# Stock Options and Stock Awards

The Compensation Committee uses stock options and stock awards as an important component of our overall compensation program due to its effect on retaining executives, aligning executives—financial interests with the interests of stockholders and rewarding the achievement of inContact—s long-term strategic goals. Stock options and stock awards provide our executive officers with the opportunity to maintain an equity interest in inContact and to share in the appreciation of the value of our stock.

Through October 2012 we made equity awards to our executive officers solely in the form of stock options. In November 2012, we granted restricted stock awards, or RSAs, to all of our executive officers. Our Compensation Committee believes that RSAs provide a valuable addition to balance our executive officer long-term incentive program. Compared with stock options, we do not have to issue as many RSAs to achieve a given level of value to our executive officers, so, to the extent RSAs are issued in lieu of stock options, the dilutive effect of our employee equity incentives on our outstanding shares will be reduced. The Compensation Committee believes that equity-based awards that include a combination of stock options and RSAs provide an appropriate balance between expenses, dilution, alignment with shareholder interests, compensatory value and retention value. The Compensation Committee also recognizes that RSAs provide a more direct correlation between the compensation expense we must record for financial accounting purposes and the actual value delivered to our executive officers. Our Compensation Committee approved the inclusion of RSAs in the equity-based awards granted to our executive officers in October 2012, with each award including both stock options and RSAs at a ratio of 85% to 15%.

The Compensation Committee targeted equity awards for the executive officers at approximately the 50th percentile relative to our industry peer group. Equity awards to individual executives were based on benchmark data for executive in similar positions at peer companies, the executive s past performance and future expected performance and contributions, the retention value of the executive s equity holdings, the executive s cash compensation as compared to market, and input from the Chief Executive Officer for those other than himself. Stock options were also issued in 2012 as inducements to accept employment with inContact, as in the case of Bill Robinson, our Executive Vice President of Sales. The detail of options granted in 2012 is set forth in the table for Grants of Plan Based Awards.

The 401(k) Plan

Under the 401(k) Plan, our executive officers and generally all full-time domestic exempt and non-exempt employees may contribute a portion of their compensation to the plan on a pre-tax basis. There were no changes to these arrangements during 2012.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan ( Purchase Plan )

The purpose of the Purchase Plan is to provide an opportunity for eligible employees to purchase a limited number of shares of our common stock at a discount through voluntary automatic payroll deductions. The Purchase Plan is designed to attract, retain, and reward our employees and to strengthen the mutuality of interest between our employees and our stockholders. Our Board may at any time amend, suspend or discontinue the Purchase Plan, subject to any stockholder approval needed to comply with the requirements of the SEC and the Internal Revenue Code. The aggregate number of shares of our common stock that may be issued under the Purchase Plan will not exceed 1,000,000 shares (subject to mandatory adjustment in the event of a stock split, stock dividend, recapitalization, reorganization or similar transaction). The maximum amount eligible for purchase of shares through the Purchase Plan by any employee in any year will be \$25,000. The purchase price a participant pays for the shares is equal to 85% of the closing market bid price of the common stock on the first business day or the last business day of each participation period, whichever is lower. This percentage may be changed prior to a participation period at the sole discretion of the Compensation Committee administering the Purchase Plan to any whole percentage that is not less than 85% and not greater than 100%.

Officers and members of the Board of Directors who are eligible employees are permitted to participate. An employee is ineligible to participate if immediately after a grant the employee would own stock possessing five percent or more of the total combined voting power or value of all classes of stock of inContact. We issued 66,000 shares of common stock under the Purchase Plan during 2012. A total of 174,000 shares of common stock have been issued under the Purchase Plan.

# Severance Policy

Executive officers that have been employed by inContact for at least one year will receive severance benefits upon the involuntary termination of their employment in the event of a purchase of inContact or a change of control. The policy is intended to help keep executive officers focused on their work responsibilities during the uncertainty that accompanies a potential change of control, to provide benefits for a period of time after a change of control transaction, and to help us attract and retain key talent. Under the policy, the Chief Executive Officer would receive 24 months of base salary and our other named executive officers would receive 18 months of base salary. These payments are made if the executive officer s employment terminates within six months of the change of control under certain circumstances. The Board of Directors adopted a formal written policy in August 2011 for applying the change in control severance compensation arrangement established previously. The amount due in the event of a purchase or change in control of inContact did not change.

# Regulatory Considerations

The tax and accounting consequences of utilizing various forms of compensation are considered when adopting new or modifying existing compensation. We have administered our incentive and equity compensation programs, severance plans and change in control agreements in compliance with federal tax rules affecting non-qualified deferred compensation. Under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, publicly-held corporations

may not take a tax deduction for compensation in excess of \$1.0 million paid to any of the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table during any fiscal year. There is an exception to the \$1.0 million limitation for performance-based compensation meeting certain requirements. To maintain flexibility in compensating executives in a manner designed to promote varying corporate goals, the compensation committee has not adopted a policy requiring all compensation to be deductible under 162(m). However, the compensation committee considers deductibility under Section 162(m) with respect to compensation arrangements for executives. We believe our annual and long-term incentive compensation programs for executives qualify as performance-based compensation and are not subject to any deductibility limitations under Section 162(m).

# Code of Ethics Violations

It is our policy under our Code of Ethics to take appropriate action against any executive officer whose actions are found to violate the Code or any other policy of inContact. Disciplinary actions may include immediate termination of employment and, where inContact has suffered a loss, pursuing its remedies against the executive officer responsible. inContact will cooperate fully with the appropriate authorities where laws have been violated.

# Insider Trading and Blackout Policy

Our Insider Trading and Blackout Policy prohibits directors, officers, employees and consultants from trading Company securities during regularly scheduled blackout periods surrounding the announcement of earnings and filing annual and quarterly reports with the SEC as well as all other times an individual is in possession of material non-public information. Prohibited trading includes purchases and sales of stock derivative securities such as put and call options and convertible debentures or preferred stock, and debt securities (debentures, bonds and notes).

# **Summary of Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to compensation for each year in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012 earned by or paid to our chief executive officer, our chief financial officer and our three other most highly compensated executive officers who were serving at fiscal year-end, together referred to as our named executive officers:

# SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

					n-Equity centive					
Name and Principal Position Paul Jarman Chief Executive Officer	Year 2012 2011	Salary (1) \$ 280,000 \$ 250,000	\$ 40,000 \$ 26,000	Compe \$ \$	145,200 26,650	Stock Awards (4) \$ 157,500 \$	Option Awards (4) \$ 475,439 \$ 153,927	All Other Comp (5) \$ 14,028 \$ 13,321	\$ 1 \$	Total npensation 1,112,167 469,898
Gregory S. Ayers EVP and Chief Financial Officer	2010 2012 2011 2010	\$ 246,154 \$ 240,000 \$ 209,192 \$ 198,942	\$ 20,000 \$ 20,000 \$	\$ \$ \$ \$	24,700 87,600 20,500 19,500	\$ 104,475 \$ \$	\$ 314,629 \$ 90,545 \$	\$ 12,839 \$ 15,443 \$ 14,671 \$ 15,633	\$ \$ \$	283,693 782,147 354,908 234,075
William Robinson (6) EVP of Sales	2012 2011 2010	\$ 117,808 \$ \$	\$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$	69,000	\$ \$ \$	\$ 683,775 \$ \$	\$ 6,093 \$ \$	\$ \$ \$	876,676
Mariann McDonagh EVP and Chief Marketing Officer	2012 2011 2010	\$ 224,000 \$ 209,969 \$ 138,462	\$ 20,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 34,615	\$ \$ \$	77,600 21,250	\$ 93,975 \$ \$	\$ 283,166 \$ 90,545 \$ 417,147	\$ 19,631 \$ 18,825 \$ 11,909	\$ \$ \$	718,372 390,589 602,133
Bassam Salem (7) EVP and Chief Business Officer	2012 2011 2010	\$ 240,000 \$ 188,492 \$	\$ 22,500 \$ 12,000 \$	\$ \$ \$	82,300 13,275	\$ 93,975 \$ \$	\$ 420,581 \$ 150,718 \$	\$ 19,228 \$ 14,245 \$	\$ \$ \$	878,584 378,730

- (1) Amounts shown include deferrals to the 401(k) Plan.
- (2) These amounts represent bonuses paid outside of plans established. With the exception of amounts reported for Ms. McDonagh in 2012 of \$12,400 and 2011 of \$50,000, which relate to guaranteed bonuses, the amounts reported were awarded in recognition of significant transactions that the Compensation Committee believes have, and will likely continue to, enhance shareholder value.
- (3) Our executives were eligible for cash bonuses under plans established for the stated years, subject to achieving certain performance objectives.
- (4) This column represents the aggregate grant date fair value of restricted stock awards and stock option awards granted during the year indicated, in accordance with ASC Topic 718 and do not correspond to the actual value that may be realized by the named executives.
- (5) The 2012 amounts for Mr. Jarman and Mr. Robinson reflect employee benefit insurance premiums. The 2012 amounts for Mr. Ayers, Ms. McDonagh and Mr. Salem reflect 401(k) matching contributions and employee benefit insurance premiums.
- (6) Mr. Robinson became Executive Vice President of Sales in July 2012. Mr. Robinson s salary was pro-rated to reflect his service for the months employed. The amount of non-equity incentive plan compensation listed for Mr. Robinson includes \$37,500 of sales commissions paid for 2012.
- (7) Mr. Salem became Executive Vice President and Chief Business Officer in November 2011. Mr. Salem was employed by inContact prior to being elected to his current position. His compensation includes all monies earned by Mr. Salem as an employee in 2011.

#### **Grants of Plan Based Awards**

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to grants of plan-based restricted stock and stock option awards for the year ended December 31, 2012 with respect to the named executive officers. Each of the restricted stock and stock options granted during the year vest in three equal annual installments commencing one year after the date of grant and expire five years from grant date.

Name	Date	Number of Securities Underlying Restricted Stock and Stock Options Awards	of Rea	Date Fair Value stricted Stock Stock Option wards (1)
Paul Jarman	11/16/12	200,000	\$	632,939
Gregory Ayers	11/16/12	132,400	\$	419,104
William Robinson	07/12/12	250,000	\$	683,775
Mariann McDonagh	11/16/12	119,150	\$	377,141
Bassam Salem	01/31/12	50,000	\$	137,415
Bassam Salem	11/16/12	119,150	\$	377,141

(1) This column shows the grant date fair value of the plan-based stock and stock option awards to the named executives during 2012 in accordance with ASC Topic 718. Generally, the grant date fair value is the amount that we will expense in our financial statements over the vesting schedule for the award. Fair value of each stock option is calculated using the Black-Scholes value on the grant date. Fair value of each restricted stock is calculated using the closing price of the common stock on date of grant.

#### Discussion of Summary Compensation Table and Plan Based Awards

The annual base salary of our executive officers is determined each year at the discretion of the Compensation Committee. The annual salaries for our named executive officers are:

	2012	2013
Name	Salary	Salary
Paul Jarman	\$ 280,000	\$ 300,000
Gregory S. Ayers	\$ 240,000	\$ 250,000
William Robinson (1)	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
Mariann McDonagh	\$ 224,000	\$ 245,000
Bassam Salem	\$ 240,000	\$ 250,000

Ms. McDonagh was elected Executive Vice President of Marketing in April 2010. The terms of her employment provides for an annual guaranteed bonus of \$50,000, which is paid ratably over the year.

Mr. Robinson became Executive Vice President of Sales in July 2012. The terms of his employment provide for an annual base salary of \$250,000, participation in a commission plan, stock options to purchase 300,000 common shares at an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the common stock on the grant date, exercisable over a term of five years from date of grant that vest in three equal annual installments, and the right to participate in pension, insurance and other benefit plans available to other inContact executive officers. The total commissions paid to Mr. Robinson under the commission plan for 2012 was \$37,500. Mr. Robinson did not participate in the 2012 Executive Officer Bonus Plan because his incentives are provided through the commission arrangement.

# **Potential Payments Upon Change In Control**

The Board of Directors adopted a severance compensation policy for our named executive officers in 2006, which was formally written and adopted in August 2011. The severance policy provides that our executive officers that have been employed by inContact for at least a year shall receive severance benefits upon the involuntary termination of their employment within six months after a change of control.

A change in control occurs if: (i) any person acquires beneficial ownership of 50% or more of either the then-outstanding shares of our common stock, or the combined voting power of our then-outstanding voting securities entitled to vote generally in the election of directors; (ii) persons who currently constitute the Board of Directors cease for any reason to constitute at least a majority of the Board; or (iii) consummation of a reorganization, merger or consolidation, or sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of our assets, or our acquisition of assets or stock of another entity, in each case, unless, (a) all or substantially all of the individuals and entities who were the beneficial owners of either the outstanding shares of our common stock, or the combined voting power of our outstanding voting securities entitled to vote generally in the election of directors immediately prior to the transaction beneficially own, directly or indirectly, more than 50% of, respectively, our then-outstanding shares of common stock and the combined voting power of our then-outstanding voting securities entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, as the case may be, of the corporation resulting from the transaction, (b) no person beneficially owns, directly or indirectly, 50% or more of, respectively, the then-outstanding shares of common stock of the corporation resulting from the transaction, or the combined voting power of the then-outstanding voting securities of such corporation except to the extent that such ownership existed prior to the transaction, and (c) at least a majority of the members of the board of directors of the corporation feet transaction were members of the Board at the time of the execution of the initial agreement, or of the action of the Board, providing for the transaction

Under the policy, the Chief Executive Officer would receive 24 months of base salary and our other named executive officers would receive 18 months of base salary and amounts payable would be paid in a lump sum on the 65<sup>th</sup> day following the participant s termination date. The following table shows the amount of severance that would have been paid to our named executive officers serving at the end of 2012 assuming the benefit accrued as of December 31, 2012:

		Payments Upon a
Name	Benefit	Change in Control
Paul Jarman	24 months salary	