

EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND  
Form N-CSR  
November 27, 2012

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**Form N-CSR**

**CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED**  
**MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES**

**Investment Company Act File Number: 811-21147**

**Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund**  
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

**Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110**  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

**Maureen A. Gemma**

**Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110**  
(Name and Address of Agent for Services)

**(617) 482-8260**

(Registrant's Telephone Number)

**September 30**

Date of Fiscal Year End

**September 30, 2012**

Date of Reporting Period



**Item 1. Reports to Stockholders**

Eaton Vance

Municipal Bond Funds

Annual Report

September 30, 2012

Municipal (EIM)

California (EVM)

New York (ENX)

**Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.**

**Annual Report** September 30, 2012

## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

#### Table of Contents

Management's Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance and Fund Profile	
Municipal Bond Fund	4
California Municipal Bond Fund	5
New York Municipal Bond Fund	6
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	7
Financial Statements	8
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	38
Federal Tax Information	39
Notice to Shareholders	40
Annual Meeting of Shareholders	41
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	42
Board of Trustees' Contract Approval	44
Management and Organization	47
Important Notices	49

## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

#### Management's Discussion of Fund Performance

##### Economic and Market Conditions

In the early months of the period, investors appeared to worry about intensifying debt problems in Europe, high U.S. unemployment, a stagnant housing market, and the lingering Congressional deadlock that left businesses perplexed about the direction of tax and regulatory policy. The S&P 500 Index,<sup>2</sup> which had fallen sharply in the late summer of 2011, continued to drift down through November of 2011.

Beginning in mid-December of 2011, however, an equity rally took hold and continued into early April 2012, fueled by stronger economic growth in the fourth quarter, falling unemployment, and what the markets perceived as a successful restructuring of Greek debt. Then in May 2012, the third annual mid-year economic slowdown arrived, instigated by renewed concerns in Europe, slowing growth in China, and continuing political uncertainty in the United States with the upcoming presidential election. Despite a slowdown in consumer spending and weakening employment data, however, stocks moved upward intermittently from June through the end of the period in part because investors anticipated that worsening economic news would prompt the U.S. Federal Reserve (the Fed) to initiate another round of quantitative easing to stimulate the economy. This also drove U.S. Treasury yields to all time lows in July 2012. The Fed proved the markets right when it initiated a new, open-ended round of quantitative easing just weeks before period-end.

Against this backdrop, municipal bonds rallied during the one-year period ending September 30, 2012, led by the long end of the yield curve. The Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index—an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the United States—returned 8.32% for the period, while the Funds' benchmark, the Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index (the Index), returned 12.21%. As yields on high quality bonds fell, investors moved out on the yield curve, buying longer maturity municipal bonds to potentially take advantage of higher yields. In their quest for income during a period of historically low interest rates, investors also favored lower quality, higher yielding issues over higher quality bonds. As a result, longer duration, lower credit quality bonds were the best performers in the municipals space during the period.

Municipal bonds offered higher taxable-equivalent yields than Treasuries during the period. The ratio of 30-year AAA<sup>6</sup> municipal yields to 30-year Treasury

yields—which historically has averaged less than 100% because municipal yields are federally tax-exempt—began the period at 122.4%, making municipal bonds very attractive relative to Treasuries. Investor recognition of this anomaly was likely the main factor that drove the ratio down to 101.6% by period-end, and the increased interest in municipal bonds caused them to outperform Treasuries for the one-year period ending September 30, 2012.

##### Fund Performance

For the fiscal year ending September 30, 2012, Municipal Bond Fund, California Municipal Bond Fund, and New York Municipal Bond Fund shares at net asset value (NAV) all outperformed the 12.21% return of the Index.

The Funds' overall strategy is to invest primarily in higher quality bonds (rated A or higher) with maturities of ten years or more, in order to capture their generally higher yields and greater income payments at the long end of the yield curve. Management tends to hedge to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility at the long end of the yield curve by using Treasury futures and interest-rate swaps in seeking to provide downside protection.

The Funds' use of leverage aided performance for the period. In managing these closed-end mutual funds, management employs leverage in seeking to enhance the Funds' tax-exempt income. The use of leverage has the effect of achieving additional exposure to the municipal market.

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

Leverage magnifies a Fund's exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down markets. During this period of strong performance by municipal bonds, leverage was a key positive contributor to the Fund's relative performance versus the Index.

An overweighting in zero-coupon bonds, which were the best performing coupon in the Index during the period, also helped performance versus the Index for all Funds.

As a risk management tactic within the overall Fund strategy mentioned above, interest rate hedging is intended to moderate performance on both the upside and the downside of the market. During this period, however, municipal bonds strongly outperformed Treasuries. As a result, the hedging strategy did not have a notable effect on relative performance versus the Index.

*See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.*

*Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to [www.eatonvance.com](http://www.eatonvance.com).*



## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Management's Discussion of Fund Performance continued

#### Fund-specific Results

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund's shares at NAV had a total return of 19.33%, outpacing the 12.21% return of the Index. The main drivers of outperformance versus the Index were leverage and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds. The chief detractors from performance versus the Index were underweightings in two areas that saw strong performance during the period: bonds rated BBB and below and bonds with maturities of 20 years and longer.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund's shares at NAV returned 17.34%, surpassing the 12.21% return of the Index. In addition to leverage and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds, contributors to performance versus the Index included an overweighting in 4%–4.5% coupon bonds which had greater duration relative to bonds with higher coupons, and thus benefited more when rates declined. Underweighted positions in bonds rated BBB and below, in industrial development revenue (IDR) bonds, and in bonds with maturities of 20 years or more all detracted from performance versus the Index.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund's shares at NAV returned 15.87%, outperforming the 12.21% return of the Index. Key contributors to performance versus the Index included leverage and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds and an overweighting in IDR bonds. Key detractors from performance versus the Index included an underweighting in bonds with maturities of 20 years or more and an underweighting in hospital bonds which, along with IDRs, were the strongest performing municipal sectors during the period.

*See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.*

*Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to [www.eatonvance.com](http://www.eatonvance.com).*

Eaton Vance

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

**Portfolio Manager** William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

<b>% Average Annual Total Returns</b>	<b>Inception Date</b>	<b>One Year</b>	<b>Five Years</b>	<b>Ten Years</b>
Fund at NAV	8/30/2002	19.33%	5.96%	6.55%
Fund at Market Price		24.45	6.20	6.69
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	8/30/2002	12.21%	6.20%	5.67%

<b>% Premium/Discount to NAV</b>	2.55%
----------------------------------	-------

**Distributions<sup>4</sup>**

Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.807
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.43%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	8.35%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.30%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	8.15%

**% Total Leverage<sup>5</sup>**

Residual Interest Bond (RIB)	38.97%
------------------------------	--------

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>6</sup>

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA	14.4%	BBB	8.8%
AA	62.1	BB	0.2
A	14.0	D	0.5

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

*See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.*

*Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to [www.eatonvance.com](http://www.eatonvance.com).*

Eaton Vance

California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	8/30/2002	17.34%	4.16%	5.30%
Fund at Market Price		9.42	4.02	4.86
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	8/30/2002	12.21%	6.20%	5.67%

% Premium/Discount to NAV
2.54%

Distributions<sup>4</sup>

Total Distributions per share for the period	\$0.731
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.04%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	8.64%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.17%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	8.87%

% Total Leverage<sup>5</sup>

RIB	39.75%
-----	--------

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>6</sup>

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA	14.9%	BBB	7.6%
AA	54.1	BB	2.4
A	20.1	Not Rated	0.9

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

*Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to [www.eatonvance.com](http://www.eatonvance.com).*

Eaton Vance

New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

**Portfolio Manager** Craig R. Brandon, CFA

<b>% Average Annual Total Returns</b>	<b>Inception Date</b>	<b>One Year</b>	<b>Five Years</b>	<b>Ten Years</b>
Fund at NAV	8/30/2002	15.87%	5.64%	5.90%
Fund at Market Price		15.03	6.36	5.78
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	8/30/2002	12.21%	6.20%	5.67%

<b>% Premium/Discount to NAV</b>	1.38%
----------------------------------	-------

**Distributions<sup>4</sup>**

Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.746
Distribution Rate at NAV	4.76%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	8.03%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	4.69%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	7.91%

<b>% Total Leverage<sup>5</sup></b>	
RIB	36.14%

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>6</sup>

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA	16.1%
AA	48.4
A	23.7
BBB	9.6

Not Rated

2.2

*See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.*

*Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to [www.eatonvance.com](http://www.eatonvance.com).*

## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

#### Endnotes and Additional Disclosures

- <sup>1</sup> The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund's actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- <sup>2</sup> S&P 500 Index is an unmanaged index of large-cap stocks commonly used as a measure of U.S. stock market performance. Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- <sup>3</sup> Performance results reflect the effects of leverage.
- <sup>4</sup> The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund's last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund's NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund's distributions may be composed of ordinary income, tax-exempt income, net realized capital gains and return of capital. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates, where applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rates will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes.
- <sup>5</sup> Fund employs RIB financing. The leverage created by RIB investments provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets plus Floating Rate Notes. Floating Rate Notes reflect adjustments for executed but unsettled RIB transactions for California Municipal Bond Fund.
- <sup>6</sup> Ratings are based on Moody's, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. Credit ratings are based largely on the rating agency's investment analysis at the time of rating and the rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer's current financial condition. The rating assigned to a security by a rating agency does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security's market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. If securities are rated differently by the rating agencies, the higher rating is applied. Ratings of BBB or higher by Standard and Poor's or Fitch (Baa or higher by Moody's) are considered to be investment grade quality. Fund profile subject to change due to active management.



## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

## Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 162.3%

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
<b>Education 15.1%</b>		
California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 9,750	\$ 11,582,902
Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Wesleyan University), 5.00%, 7/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	14,700	16,628,493
Houston, TX, Higher Education Finance Corp., (William Marsh Rice University), 5.00%, 5/15/35 <sup>(1)</sup>	15,000	17,538,900
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/27	5,810	7,852,738
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/30	8,325	11,239,166
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	2,000	2,342,520
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.50%, 11/15/36	8,790	10,708,330
New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 <sup>(1)</sup>	15,300	17,394,111
New York Dormitory Authority, (State University Educational Facilities), 4.00%, 5/15/28	8,025	8,900,688
North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, (Duke University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	13,500	15,813,495
Tennessee School Bond Authority, 5.50%, 5/1/38	5,000	5,877,350
University of California, 5.25%, 5/15/39	4,450	5,101,080
University of Colorado, (University Enterprise Revenue), 5.25%, 6/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	11,836,900
University of North Carolina at Charlotte, 5.00%, 4/1/32	2,090	2,509,170
		<b>\$ 145,325,843</b>
<b>Electric Utilities 2.4%</b>		
JEA St. Johns River Power Park System Revenue, FL, 4.00%, 10/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,431,000
South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), 5.50%, 1/1/38	7,110	8,464,455
Wyandotte County/Kansas City, KS, Unified Government Board of Public Utilities, 5.00%, 9/1/36	3,425	3,887,444
		<b>\$ 22,782,899</b>
<b>General Obligations 17.0%</b>		
Chicago Park District, IL, (Harbor Facilities), 5.25%, 1/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 8,320	\$ 9,442,118
City & County of San Francisco, CA, (Earthquake Safety & Emergency Response), 4.00%, 6/15/27	9,080	9,983,006
Delaware Valley, PA, Regional Finance Authority, 5.75%, 7/1/32	3,000	3,663,330
Florida Board of Education, 5.00%, 6/1/31	10,000	11,942,800
	<b>Principal Amount (000 s omitted)</b>	<b>Value</b>
<b>Security</b>		

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

### General Obligations (continued)

Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 8/15/37	\$	6,465	\$	7,545,819
Georgia, 5.00%, 7/1/29		10,000		12,286,200
Hawaii, 5.00%, 12/1/29		7,620		9,274,150
Hawaii, 5.00%, 12/1/30		6,500		7,875,140
Klein, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 2/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>		2,000		2,327,660
Mississippi, (Capital Improvements Projects), 5.00%, 10/1/30 <sup>(1)</sup>		10,000		12,081,500
Mississippi, (Capital Improvements Projects), 5.00%, 10/1/36		15		17,540
Mississippi, 5.00%, 10/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>		12,075		14,119,539
New York, 5.00%, 12/15/30		7,660		9,279,630
New York, 5.00%, 2/15/36		5,000		5,766,000
North East Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.25%, 2/1/28		2,000		2,644,500
Northside Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 6/15/35		180		202,963
Northside Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 6/15/35 <sup>(1)</sup>		12,250		13,812,732
Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup>		6,750		8,025,007
Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/36		2,000		2,362,180
Pasadena, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 2/15/35		4,355		5,170,125
Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, TX, 5.00%, 10/1/35		7,500		9,135,675
Virginia Beach, VA, 4.00%, 4/1/27		2,690		3,049,788
Virginia Beach, VA, 4.00%, 4/1/28		2,820		3,179,804
				<b>\$ 163,187,206</b>

### Hospital 7.2%

California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27	\$	1,000	\$	1,146,580
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28		1,770		2,018,526
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39		11,760		12,863,794
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/36		4,215		4,474,644
Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/35		2,610		2,699,471
Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.25%, 2/15/27		860		899,345
Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.75%, 2/15/34		4,535		4,634,634
Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaii Pacific Health), 5.60%, 7/1/33		3,900		3,948,243

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

## Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
<b>Hospital (continued)</b>		
Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/36	\$ 7,190	\$ 7,696,823
Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/38	8,310	2,385,136
Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/41	10,000	2,449,000
Lehigh County, PA, General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health Network), 5.25%, 7/1/32	8,165	8,357,204
Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/46	5,355	5,689,580
Orange County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Orlando Health, Inc.), 5.00%, 10/1/42	2,000	2,165,940
South Miami, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Baptist Health South Florida Obligated Group), 5.00%, 8/15/42	100	107,159
South Miami, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Baptist Health South Florida Obligated Group), 5.00%, 8/15/42 <sup>(1)</sup>	900	964,431
Tarrant County, TX, Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., (Scott & White Healthcare), 5.25%, 8/15/40	6,105	6,739,859
		<b>\$ 69,240,369</b>
<b>Industrial Development Revenue 0.6%</b>		
St. Charles Parish, LA, (Valero Energy Corp.), 4.00% to 6/1/22 (Put Date), 12/1/40	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,089,540
St. John Baptist Parish, LA, (Marathon Oil Corp.), 5.125%, 6/1/37	4,370	4,652,258
		<b>\$ 5,741,798</b>
<b>Insured-Electric Utilities 3.5%</b>		
American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., OH, (Prairie State Energy Campus), (AGC), 5.75%, 2/15/39	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,753,750
Long Island Power Authority, NY, Electric System Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 5/1/33	1,350	1,589,746
Mississippi Development Bank, (Municipal Energy), (XLCA), 5.00%, 3/1/41	13,895	14,165,814
Paducah, KY, Electric Plant Board, (AGC), 5.25%, 10/1/35	2,735	3,051,002
South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38	7,840	9,401,963
		<b>\$ 33,962,275</b>
<b>Security</b>		
<b>Insured-Education 2.9%</b>		
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (College of the Holy Cross), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32	\$ 14,400	\$ 19,401,840
Miami-Dade County, FL, Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Miami), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/31	7,865	8,842,305
		<b>\$ 28,244,145</b>

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

### Insured-General Obligations 11.5%

Cincinnati, OH, City School District, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.25%, 12/1/30	\$	3,750	\$	5,080,538
Clark County, NV, (AMBAC), 2.50%, 11/1/36		11,845		9,850,657
Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (AGM), (PSF Guaranteed), 2.75%, 8/15/39		9,530		8,899,686
Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (AGM), (PSF Guaranteed), 4.00%, 8/15/40		1,010		1,034,967
Kane, Cook and DuPage Counties, IL, School District No. 46, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/22		49,750		36,560,777
King County, WA, Public Hospital District No. 1, (AGC), 5.00%, 12/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>		7,000		7,669,760
Palm Springs, CA, Unified School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/32		8,955		10,224,461
Port Arthur, TX, Independent School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 2/15/38		95		104,966
Port Arthur, TX, Independent School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 2/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>		10,950		12,098,655
Schaumburg, IL, (BHAC), (FGIC), 5.00%, 12/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>		12,750		13,677,047
Yuma and La Paz Counties, AZ, Community College District, (Arizona Western College), (NPPFG), 3.75%, 7/1/31		5,240		5,407,732
				<b>\$ 110,609,246</b>

### Insured-Hospital 16.6%

Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health), (BHAC), 5.375%, 1/1/32	\$	8,250	\$	9,105,360
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>		11,000		12,351,350
Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), 6.125%, 11/15/39		3,950		4,201,575
Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), 6.25%, 11/15/44		1,050		1,117,074
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, (Catholic Health), (AGM), 5.10%, 10/1/41 <sup>(1)</sup>		11,500		12,332,370
Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (BHAC), 5.25%, 11/15/36 <sup>(1)</sup>		15,500		17,507,560
Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (NPPFG), 5.00%, 11/15/35		3,795		4,085,887

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

## Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
<b>Insured-Hospital (continued)</b>		
Illinois Finance Authority, (Children s Memorial Hospital), (AGC), 5.25%, 8/15/47	\$ 15,000	\$ 16,141,644
Indiana Health and Educational Facility Finance Authority, (Sisters of St. Francis Health Services), (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/41 <sup>(1)</sup>	2,500	2,693,375
Iowa Finance Authority, Health Facilities, (Iowa Health System), (AGC), 5.625%, 8/15/37	2,625	2,993,498
Maricopa County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/32	1,675	1,909,416
Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (LifeBridge Health), (AGC), 4.75%, 7/1/47 <sup>(1)</sup>	19,150	19,906,999
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hackensack University Medical Center), (AGC), 5.25%, 1/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	5,250	5,691,420
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series II, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38	545	585,946
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38	410	440,803
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	3,250	3,494,173
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38	13,115	14,659,816
Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (MultiCare Health System), (AGC), 6.00%, 8/15/39	5,795	6,804,953
Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series C, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33 <sup>(1)</sup>	8,700	9,630,897
Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series D, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33 <sup>(1)</sup>	12,605	13,947,042
		<b>\$ 159,601,158</b>
<b>Insured-Industrial Development Revenue 1.1%</b>		
Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc. Project), (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 9,000	\$ 10,114,920
		<b>\$ 10,114,920</b>
<b>Insured-Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 9.1%</b>		
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34	\$ 2,910	\$ 3,350,661
San Diego County, CA, Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	24,000	26,407,920
	<b>Principal Amount (000 s omitted)</b>	<b>Value</b>
<b>Security</b>		
<b>Insured-Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation (continued)</b>		
San Jose, CA, Financing Authority, (Civic Center), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 6/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 42,750	\$ 42,887,655
Tri-Creek Middle School Building Corp., IN, (AGM), 5.25%, 1/15/34 <sup>(1)</sup>	13,000	14,359,540
		<b>\$ 87,005,776</b>
<b>Insured-Other Revenue 4.1%</b>		

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (AGC), 5.00%, 6/1/45 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	25,875	\$ 26,533,778
Harris County-Houston, TX, Sports Authority, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/34		16,795	4,859,297
New York, NY, Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 7.00%, 3/1/49		6,750	8,297,910
			<b>\$ 39,690,985</b>

### Insured-Solid Waste 0.5%

Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24	\$	2,760	\$ 3,276,506
Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/26		1,575	1,851,255
			<b>\$ 5,127,761</b>

### Insured-Special Tax Revenue 7.5%

Alabama Public School and College Authority, (AGM), 2.50%, 12/1/27	\$	17,940	\$ 17,706,959
Houston, TX, Hotel Occupancy Tax, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 9/1/24		18,035	10,830,919
Jacksonville, FL, Excise Tax, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.125%, 10/1/27		1,175	1,178,948
Miami-Dade County, FL, Professional Sports Franchise Facilities, (AGC), 7.00%, (0.00% until 10/1/19), 10/1/39		15,000	12,696,000
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54		96,650	8,252,944
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45		54,710	8,469,108
Utah Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (AGM), 4.75%, 6/15/32 <sup>(1)</sup>		10,800	12,437,383
			<b>\$ 71,572,261</b>

### Insured-Student Loan 0.9%

Maine Educational Loan Authority, (AGC), 5.625%, 12/1/27	\$	7,855	\$ 8,973,552
			<b>\$ 8,973,552</b>

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

## Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
<b>Insured-Transportation 20.6%</b>		
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 4.75%, 1/1/34	\$ 21,640	\$ 23,083,171
Clark County, NV, (Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 7/1/39	8,080	9,108,665
Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/23 <sup>(2)</sup>	10,070	900,157
Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/28 <sup>(2)</sup>	3,100	184,419
Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 5.375%, 1/1/40 <sup>(2)</sup>	15,000	3,673,350
E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPF), 0.00%, 9/1/21	10,200	7,025,148
E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPF), 0.00%, 9/1/39	25,000	5,535,000
Harris County, TX, Toll Road, Senior Lien, (BHAC), (NPF), 5.00%, 8/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup>	7,800	8,974,446
Manchester, NH, (Manchester-Boston Regional Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30	6,710	7,471,182
Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup>	20,995	24,073,075
Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	14,000	15,920,380
Metropolitan Washington, D.C., Airports Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/29	1,785	2,030,277
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/38	11,700	13,349,934
North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.50%, 1/1/29	1,015	1,173,482
North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.75%, 1/1/39	1,160	1,340,368
North Texas Tollway Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 1/1/48 <sup>(1)</sup>	20,000	23,274,400
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/26 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	11,585,900
Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/24	1,605	798,584
Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/25	1,950	903,669
Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/26	1,000	430,380
San Joaquin Hills, CA, Transportation Corridor Agency, (Toll Road Bonds), (NPF), 0.00%, 1/15/25	26,215	13,556,825
Texas Turnpike Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/15/20	23,845	18,244,763
Texas Turnpike Authority, (Central Texas Turnpike System), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/15/42	5,475	5,512,778
		<b>\$ 198,150,353</b>

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
<b>Insured-Water and Sewer 15.0%</b>		
Austin, TX, Water and Wastewater, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,279,400
Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), 5.25%, 10/1/26	3,185	3,676,191
Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), 5.25%, 10/1/27	1,985	2,281,579
Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 10/1/38	3,170	3,544,535
Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38	3,060	3,417,592
Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (NPF), 0.00%, 1/1/23	13,670	9,465,655
DeKalb County, GA, Water and Sewer, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	12,577,600
District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup>	8,500	9,913,295

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

Houston, TX, Utility System, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup>	27,570	31,905,380
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/32	5,540	7,438,281
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/38	1,070	1,464,049
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 4.00%, 8/1/40	9,095	9,291,270
New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 6/15/40 <sup>(1)</sup>	9,500	11,520,555
San Luis Obispo County, CA, (Nacimiento Water Project), (NPPG), 4.50%, 9/1/40	3,535	3,681,384
Seattle, WA, Drain and Wastewater Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 6/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	27,670	31,353,148

**\$ 143,809,914**

### Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.6%

Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., NY, 5.75%, 2/15/47	\$ 2,565	\$ 3,033,882
North Carolina, Capital Improvement Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/30	335	401,246
North Carolina, Capital Improvement Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/30 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	11,977,500

**\$ 15,412,628**

### Other Revenue 2.5%

New York, NY, Transitional Finance Authority, Building Aid Revenue, 5.00%, 7/15/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 10,750	\$ 12,378,733
Oregon Department of Administrative Services, Lottery Revenue, 5.25%, 4/1/30	9,200	11,330,352

**\$ 23,709,085**



## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

## Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
<b>Special Tax Revenue 5.2%</b>		
Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/30	\$ 1,390	\$ 1,650,222
Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/31	1,500	1,774,155
Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/33	1,285	1,505,120
Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/36	1,020	1,186,709
New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 2/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	20,000	23,228,000
New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 12/15/32	7,180	8,627,488
New York Urban Development Corp., Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/31	10,000	11,767,000
		<b>\$ 49,738,694</b>
<b>Senior Living/Life Care 0.2%</b>		
Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Charlestown Community, Inc.), 6.125%, 1/1/30	\$ 1,175	\$ 1,369,275
		<b>\$ 1,369,275</b>
<b>Transportation 9.5%</b>		
Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35	\$ 8,275	\$ 9,372,844
Los Angeles, CA, Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.25%, 5/15/28	3,285	3,937,959
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/38	4,640	5,310,712
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/40	6,735	7,656,887
Miami-Dade County, FL, (Miami International Airport), 5.00%, 10/1/41	10,940	12,073,384
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 5.00%, 12/15/24	10,000	12,235,400
New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/37	1,275	1,450,466
New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/42	1,385	1,566,144
Orlando-Orange County, FL, Expressway Authority, Series A, 5.00%, 7/1/35	2,915	3,271,767
Orlando-Orange County, FL, Expressway Authority, Series A, 5.00%, 7/1/40	2,590	2,876,920
Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 6.00%, (0.00% until 12/1/15), 12/1/34	5,000	4,941,050
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 4.00%, 7/15/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	9,650	10,391,024
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 4.75%, 7/15/31	4,300	4,800,520
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 7/15/39	5,000	5,710,950
	<b>Principal Amount (000 s omitted)</b>	<b>Value</b>
<b>Security</b>		
<b>Transportation (continued)</b>		
Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, NY, 5.00%, 11/15/33	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,670,850
		<b>\$ 91,266,877</b>

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

<b>Water and Sewer 7.7%</b>			
California Department of Water Resources, (Central Valley Project), 5.25%, 12/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	10,000	\$ 12,170,900
Charleston, SC, Waterworks and Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/35		2,735	3,209,905
Chicago, IL, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/42		5,000	5,731,350
Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.00%, 7/1/32		1,070	1,146,302
Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.25%, 7/1/39		1,785	1,913,716
Honolulu, HI, City and County Wastewater System, 5.25%, 7/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>		9,750	11,581,050
King County, WA, Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup>		10,000	11,520,100
Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/34		1,445	1,625,842
Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/40		6,325	7,045,670
New York Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 6/15/34		10,000	11,652,400
Portland, OR, Water System, 5.00%, 5/1/36		5,385	6,277,295
			<b>\$ 73,874,530</b>
<b>Total Tax-Exempt Investments 162.3%</b>			
<b>(identified cost \$1,424,276,702)</b>			<b>\$ 1,558,511,550</b>
<b>Other Assets, Less Liabilities (62.3)%</b>			<b>\$ (597,984,042)</b>
<b>Net Assets 100.0%</b>			<b>\$ 960,527,508</b>

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC	Assured Guaranty Corp.
AGM	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC	AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.
BHAC	Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
FGIC	Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG	National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.
PSF	Permanent School Fund
XLCA	XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

#### Portfolio of Investments continued

At September 30, 2012, the concentration of the Fund's investments in the various states, determined as a percentage of total investments, is as follows:

California	12.8%
Texas	12.4%
New York	12.0%
Others, representing less than 10% individually	62.8%

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2012, 57.5% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.0% to 20.2% of total investments.

(1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

(2) Defaulted security. Issuer has defaulted on the payment of interest or has filed for bankruptcy.

Eaton Vance

California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 163.6%

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
<b>Education 15.5%</b>		
California Educational Facilities Authority, (California Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 11/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 10,000	\$ 11,402,400
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Claremont McKenna College), 5.00%, 1/1/27	2,680	3,126,676
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/31	550	648,829
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/36	940	1,082,034
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/22	395	470,595
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/23	365	431,507
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/30	1,375	1,539,807
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), 5.00%, 2/1/29	3,630	4,239,114
California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San Francisco), 6.125%, 10/1/36	650	801,040
California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/39	6,200	7,300,810
California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of the Pacific), 5.00%, 11/1/30	1,790	2,040,117
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/31	1,175	1,340,875
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/35	800	887,792
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/26	2,270	2,692,220
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27	2,395	2,824,855
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/28	2,520	2,961,403
		<b>\$ 43,790,074</b>
<b>Electric Utilities 3.1%</b>		
Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/29 <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 3,905	\$ 4,067,487
Southern California Public Power Authority, (Tieton Hydropower), 5.00%, 7/1/35	1,890	2,151,935
Vernon, Electric System Revenue, 5.125%, 8/1/21	2,375	2,652,281
		<b>\$ 8,871,703</b>
<b>General Obligations 19.6%</b>		
California, 5.50%, 11/1/35	\$ 4,600	\$ 5,458,774
Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/40 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	11,589,100
	<b>Principal Amount (000 s omitted)</b>	<b>Value</b>
<b>Security</b>		
<b>General Obligations (continued)</b>		
Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/32	\$ 545	\$ 610,623

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/33	600	664,206
Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/34	655	719,668
Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/35	645	716,982
Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/36	785	867,425
Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.50%, 8/1/39	2,815	3,165,130
Palo Alto, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/40 <sup>(1)</sup>	7,020	8,108,311
San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2002), 5.00%, 8/1/32	1,375	1,618,086
San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/31	2,545	3,005,874
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, (Election of 2004), 5.00%, 8/1/35	5,000	5,725,600
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/33	1,910	2,262,892
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/35	2,230	2,612,735
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/37	15	17,325
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	4,975	5,746,175
Tamalpais Union High School District, 5.00%, 8/1/26	1,000	1,231,510
Tamalpais Union High School District, 5.00%, 8/1/28	1,000	1,222,480

**\$ 55,342,896**

### Hospital 16.2%

California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 7/1/23	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,127,820
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27	1,750	2,006,515
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28	550	627,226
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39	4,580	5,009,879
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Lucile Salter Packard Children's Hospital), 5.00%, 8/15/51 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	10,907,200
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Stanford Hospital and Clinics), 5.00%, 8/15/51	6,000	6,711,540
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Sutter Health), 5.25%, 8/15/31 <sup>(1)</sup>	5,000	5,801,400

## Eaton Vance

## California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

## Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
<b>Hospital (continued)</b>		
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/34	\$ 2,170	\$ 2,317,538
Torrance, (Torrance Memorial Medical Center), 5.50%, 6/1/31 <sup>(2)</sup>	3,950	3,955,727
Washington Township Health Care District, 5.00%, 7/1/32	3,165	3,300,019
Washington Township Health Care District, 5.25%, 7/1/29	3,005	3,011,851
		<b>\$ 45,776,715</b>
<b>Insured-Education 11.7%</b>		
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/32	\$ 2,300	\$ 2,539,959
California State University, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	8,250	9,015,600
University of California, (AGM), 4.50%, 5/15/26 <sup>(1)</sup>	3,095	3,372,992
University of California, (AGM), 4.50%, 5/15/28 <sup>(1)</sup>	6,690	7,095,481
University of California, (BHAC), (FGIC), 4.75%, 5/15/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,750	11,100,665
		<b>\$ 33,124,697</b>
<b>Insured-Electric Utilities 14.3%</b>		
Anaheim Public Financing Authority, (Electric System District), (BHAC), (NPF), 4.50%, 10/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 20,000	\$ 21,452,582
Glendale, Electric System Revenue, (AGC), 5.00%, 2/1/31	2,240	2,496,368
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Electric System Revenue, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/26 <sup>(1)</sup>	6,750	7,883,325
Northern California Power Agency, (Hydroelectric), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/24	2,000	2,276,880
Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/27	1,000	1,145,020
Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/24	4,000	5,030,320
		<b>\$ 40,284,495</b>
<b>Insured-Escrowed/Prerefunded 1.5%</b>		
California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, (Bay Area Toll Bridges), (AMBAC), Prerefunded to 1/1/28, 5.00%, 7/1/36	\$ 3,090	\$ 4,237,564
		<b>\$ 4,237,564</b>
<b>Security</b>	<b>Principal Amount (000 s omitted)</b>	<b>Value</b>

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

### Insured-General Obligations 20.9%

Antelope Valley Community College District, (Election of 2004), (NPFG), 5.25%, 8/1/39	\$	4,175	\$ 4,793,067
Burbank Unified School District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/21		4,135	3,097,818
Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/34		23,150	7,186,454
El Camino Hospital District, (NPFG), 4.45%, 8/1/36		2,385	2,480,996
Palm Springs Unified School District, (Election of 2008), (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/33		4,500	5,113,845
Riverside Community College District, (Election of 2004), (AGM), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/32		5,705	6,537,987
San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), (AGM), 5.00%, 8/1/32		15	17,190
San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), (AGM), 5.00%, 8/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup>		6,100	6,990,661
San Diego Unified School District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/22		2,300	1,615,980
San Diego Unified School District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/23		5,000	3,306,900
San Juan Unified School District, (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/21		5,630	4,181,345
San Mateo County, Community College District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/22		4,840	3,578,793
San Mateo County, Community College District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/23		4,365	3,078,416
San Mateo County, Community College District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/25		3,955	2,508,103
San Mateo Union High School District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/21		5,240	4,048,319
Ventura County, Community College District, (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/27		350	358,460
			<b>\$ 58,894,334</b>

### Insured-Hospital 7.0%

California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/34	\$	2,205	\$ 2,435,489
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/31 <sup>(1)</sup>		10,000	11,270,100
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 3/1/41 <sup>(1)</sup>		3,500	3,799,705
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>		2,000	2,207,340
			<b>\$ 19,712,634</b>

## Eaton Vance

## California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

## Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
<b>Insured-Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 11.2%</b>		
Puerto Rico Public Finance Corp., (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.50%, 8/1/27	\$ 3,885	\$ 5,425,636
San Diego County Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	11,003,300
San Jose Financing Authority, (Civic Center), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 6/1/37	1,000	1,003,220
San Jose Financing Authority, (Civic Center), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 6/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	14,000	14,045,080
		<b>\$ 31,477,236</b>
<b>Insured-Special Tax Revenue 13.6%</b>		
Ceres, Redevelopment Agency Tax, (AMBAC), 4.00%, 11/1/36	\$ 7,765	\$ 6,878,237
Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/31	595	533,787
Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/37	7,240	6,160,516
Pomona, Public Financing Authority, (NPFPG), 5.00%, 2/1/33	5,940	5,940,416
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54	29,265	2,498,938
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFPG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	18,040	2,792,592
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transportation District, Sales Tax Revenue, (AGM), 4.25%, 7/1/36	1,600	1,651,248
San Jose Redevelopment Agency, (Merged Area Redevelopment Project), (XLCA), 4.25%, 8/1/36	3,680	3,333,528
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 4/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	7,500	8,577,825
		<b>\$ 38,367,087</b>
<b>Insured-Transportation 1.9%</b>		
San Joaquin Hills, Transportation Corridor Agency, (NPFPG), 0.00%, 1/15/30	\$ 3,445	\$ 1,339,830
San Jose, Airport Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 3/1/33	1,885	1,997,949
San Jose, Airport Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 3/1/37	2,040	2,144,305
		<b>\$ 5,482,084</b>
<b>Insured-Water and Sewer 9.8%</b>		
Calleguas Las Virgines Public Financing Authority, (Municipal Water District), (BHAC), (FGIC), 4.75%, 7/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,484,750
East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/32	345	396,854
East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (FGIC), (NPFPG), 5.00%, 6/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	6,500	7,476,950
	<b>Principal Amount (000 s omitted)</b>	<b>Value</b>
<b>Security</b>		
<b>Insured-Water and Sewer (continued)</b>		
Riverside, Water System Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/38	\$ 1,595	\$ 1,762,268



## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

San Luis Obispo County, (Nacimiento Water Project), (BHAC), (NCFG), 5.00%, 9/1/38	5,000	5,458,150
San Luis Obispo County, (Nacimiento Water Project), (NCFG), 4.50%, 9/1/40	2,750	2,863,877
Santa Clara Valley Water District, (AGM), 3.75%, 6/1/28	2,225	2,314,467
		<b>\$ 27,757,316</b>
<b>Special Tax Revenue 6.7%</b>		
San Diego County Regional Transportation Commission, 5.00%, 4/1/42 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 10,000	\$ 11,576,600
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transportation District, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/36 <sup>(3)</sup>	6,250	7,378,687
		<b>\$ 18,955,287</b>
<b>Transportation 8.2%</b>		
Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), 5.25%, 4/1/29 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 6,500	\$ 7,864,740
Long Beach, Harbor Revenue, 5.00%, 5/15/27	1,960	2,328,519
Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.00%, 5/15/35 <sup>(1)</sup>	7,500	8,524,200
San Francisco City and County Airport Commission, (San Francisco International Airport), 5.00%, 5/1/35	2,190	2,440,492
San Jose, Airport Revenue, 5.00%, 3/1/31	1,750	1,951,548
		<b>\$ 23,109,499</b>
<b>Water and Sewer 2.4%</b>		
Beverly Hills Public Financing Authority, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 6/1/37	\$ 10	\$ 11,876
Beverly Hills Public Financing Authority, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 6/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	5,725	6,799,125
		<b>\$ 6,811,001</b>
<b>Total Tax-Exempt Investments 163.6%</b> (identified cost \$425,786,444)		<b>\$ 461,994,622</b>
<b>Other Assets, Less Liabilities (63.6)%</b>		<b>\$ (179,642,063)</b>
<b>Net Assets 100.0%</b>		<b>\$ 282,352,559</b>

## Eaton Vance

### California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

#### Portfolio of Investments continued

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC	Assured Guaranty Corp.
AGM	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC	AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.
BHAC	Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
FGIC	Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG	National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.
XLCA	XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2012, 56.1% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 2.1% to 22.1% of total investments.

(1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

(2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.

(3) When-issued security.

## Eaton Vance

## New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

## Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 155.0%

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
<b>Bond Bank 4.8%</b>		
New York Environmental Facilities Corp., 5.00%, 10/15/39	\$ 3,360	\$ 3,888,158
New York Environmental Facilities Corp., Clean Water and Drinking Water, (Municipal Water Finance), 5.00%, 10/15/35	50	57,135
New York Environmental Facilities Corp., Clean Water and Drinking Water, (Municipal Water Finance), 5.00%, 10/15/35 <sup>(1)</sup>	6,100	6,970,409
		<b>\$ 10,915,702</b>
<b>Education 25.5%</b>		
Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University Project), 5.00%, 6/1/20	\$ 760	\$ 908,496
Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University Project), 5.00%, 6/1/21	950	1,141,624
Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University Project), 5.00%, 6/1/31	800	904,088
Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University Project), 5.00%, 6/1/32	300	336,864
Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/23	405	464,284
Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/24	210	238,646
Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/25	135	152,651
New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/39	240	277,865
New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	11,577,700
New York Dormitory Authority, (Columbia University), 5.00%, 10/1/41 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	11,684,200
New York Dormitory Authority, (Cornell University), 5.00%, 7/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	5,700	6,549,528
New York Dormitory Authority, (New York University), 5.00%, 7/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	11,316,000
New York Dormitory Authority, (Rochester Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 7/1/40	2,000	2,238,940
New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 <sup>(1)</sup>	2,700	3,069,549
New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), 5.00%, 7/1/26	1,175	1,387,499
New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), 5.25%, 7/1/30	250	294,613
New York Dormitory Authority, (The New School), 5.50%, 7/1/40	5,250	6,028,785
		<b>\$ 58,571,332</b>
<b>Security</b>		
	<b>Principal Amount (000 s omitted)</b>	<b>Value</b>
<b>Electric Utilities 1.6%</b>		
Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/30	\$ 3,560	\$ 3,703,397
		<b>\$ 3,703,397</b>

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

### Escrowed/Prerefunded 0.7%

Madison County Industrial Development Agency, (Colgate University), Prerefunded to 7/1/13, 5.00%, 7/1/33	\$	1,630	\$ 1,689,316
			<b>\$ 1,689,316</b>

### General Obligations 8.7%

Arlington Central School District, 4.00%, 12/15/29	\$	2,360	\$ 2,604,472
Arlington Central School District, 4.00%, 12/15/30		2,330	2,560,507
Long Beach City School District, 4.50%, 5/1/26		4,715	5,370,998
New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 <sup>(1)</sup>		7,250	8,436,825
Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/35		465	518,703
Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/36		490	544,689
			<b>\$ 20,036,194</b>

### Hospital 5.7%

New York Dormitory Authority, (Highland Hospital of Rochester), 5.00%, 7/1/26	\$	620	\$ 687,345
New York Dormitory Authority, (Highland Hospital of Rochester), 5.20%, 7/1/32		820	913,070
New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 5/1/20		1,065	1,285,817
New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 5/1/26		2,055	2,221,311
Suffolk County Economic Development Corp., (Catholic Health Services of Long Island Obligated Group), 5.00%, 7/1/28		6,900	7,929,894
			<b>\$ 13,037,437</b>

### Housing 1.2%

New York Housing Development Corp., 4.95%, 11/1/39	\$	2,500	\$ 2,674,000
			<b>\$ 2,674,000</b>

### Industrial Development Revenue 1.0%

New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.), 5.25%, 10/1/35	\$	500	\$ 587,180
New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.), 5.50%, 10/1/37		1,440	1,757,362
			<b>\$ 2,344,542</b>

## Eaton Vance

## New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

## Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
<b>Insured-Education 19.0%</b>		
Madison County Industrial Development Agency, (Colgate University), (NPF), 5.00%, 7/1/39	\$ 4,000	\$ 4,136,880
New York Dormitory Authority, (City University), (AMBAC), 5.50%, 7/1/35	925	1,141,274
New York Dormitory Authority, (Educational Housing Services CUNY Student Housing), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 7/1/23	1,750	2,079,543
New York Dormitory Authority, (Fordham University), (AGC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,750	12,038,710
New York Dormitory Authority, (Pratt Institute), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/34	1,555	1,718,353
New York Dormitory Authority, (Pratt Institute), (AGC), 5.125%, 7/1/39	2,405	2,655,793
New York Dormitory Authority, (St. John's University), (NPF), 5.25%, 7/1/37	3,750	4,094,400
New York Dormitory Authority, (State University), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	8,500	9,418,595
Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPF), 0.00%, 7/1/34	5,555	2,119,455
Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPF), 0.00%, 7/1/36	8,455	2,927,290
Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPF), 0.00%, 7/1/37	4,000	1,320,200
		<b>\$ 43,650,493</b>
<b>Insured-Electric Utilities 6.0%</b>		
Long Island Power Authority Electric System Revenue, (BHAC), 5.75%, 4/1/33	\$ 5,000	\$ 6,126,900
New York Power Authority, (BHAC), (NPF), 4.50%, 11/15/47 <sup>(1)</sup>	7,210	7,699,269
		<b>\$ 13,826,169</b>
<b>Insured-Escrowed / Prerefunded 1.5%</b>		
New York Dormitory Authority, (Brooklyn Law School), (XLCA), Prerefunded to 7/1/13, 5.125%, 7/1/30	\$ 3,280	\$ 3,399,129
		<b>\$ 3,399,129</b>
<b>Insured-General Obligations 9.0%</b>		
Brentwood Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23	\$ 2,290	\$ 2,727,298
Brentwood Union Free School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/24	2,390	2,873,545
East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/20	200	241,570
East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/21	200	239,060
East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/22	200	237,106
East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/23	200	234,892
	<b>Principal Amount (000 s omitted)</b>	<b>Value</b>
<b>Security</b>		
<b>Insured-General Obligations (continued)</b>		

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

Eastchester Union Free School District, (AGM), 3.75%, 6/15/21	\$	255		\$ 284,539
Eastchester Union Free School District, (AGM), 4.00%, 6/15/23		175		194,334
Freeport, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/15/20		185		225,620
Freeport, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/15/21		195		235,517
Huappage Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 7/15/24		940		1,048,965
Hoosic Valley Central School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 6/15/23		1,110		1,251,259
Longwood Central School District, Suffolk County, (AGC), 4.15%, 6/1/23		820		911,143
Longwood Central School District, Suffolk County, (AGC), 4.25%, 6/1/24		860		955,976
New York, (AGM), 5.00%, 4/1/22		2,250		2,577,622
Oneida County, (AGC), 4.00%, 4/15/22		645		718,472
Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/15/19		785		916,841
Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/15/20		825		953,568
Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/22		905		1,038,659
Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23		950		1,082,934
William Floyd Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 12/15/24		1,590		1,778,336

**\$ 20,727,256**

### Insured-Hospital 7.8%

New York Dormitory Authority, (Hudson Valley Hospital Center), (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 8/15/36	\$	4,355		\$ 4,740,330
New York Dormitory Authority, (Maimonides Medical Center), (NPF), 5.00%, 8/1/33		2,525		2,692,761
New York Dormitory Authority, (New York and Presbyterian Hospital), (AGM), (BHAC), (FHA), 5.25%, 2/15/31 <sup>(1)</sup>		9,840		10,530,079

**\$ 17,963,170**

### Insured-Housing 1.1%

New York Housing Development Corp., (FGIC), (NPF), 5.00%, 7/1/25	\$	2,350		\$ 2,508,484
--	----	-------	--	--------------

**\$ 2,508,484**

## Eaton Vance

## New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

## Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
<b>Insured-Other Revenue 6.1%</b>		
New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (American Museum of Natural History), (NPMF), 5.00%, 7/1/44	\$ 2,055	\$ 2,191,370
New York City Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (NPMF), 4.75%, 3/1/46	6,930	7,095,142
New York City Transitional Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.50%, 7/15/38	4,050	4,707,679
		<b>\$ 13,994,191</b>
<b>Insured-Solid Waste 2.0%</b>		
Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/21	\$ 1,490	\$ 1,216,570
Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/23	1,090	822,787
Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/25	3,635	2,510,876
		<b>\$ 4,550,233</b>
<b>Insured-Special Tax Revenue 6.4%</b>		
New York State Housing Finance Agency, (AGM), 5.00%, 3/15/37	\$ 2,415	\$ 2,608,755
New York Thruway Authority, Miscellaneous Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 4/1/20	2,175	2,786,697
Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 7/1/36	3,000	668,970
Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (FGIC), 0.00%, 7/1/32	4,000	1,208,840
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPMF), 0.00%, 8/1/45	12,675	1,962,090
Sales Tax Asset Receivables Corp., (AMBAC), 5.00%, 10/15/29	850	922,437
Sales Tax Asset Receivables Corp., (AMBAC), 5.00%, 10/15/32	4,185	4,531,811
		<b>\$ 14,689,600</b>
<b>Insured-Transportation 8.3%</b>		
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/24 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 5,600	\$ 6,652,856
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup>	11,000	12,376,430
		<b>\$ 19,029,286</b>
<b>Security</b>		
<b>Insured-Water and Sewer 2.8%</b>		
Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.125%, 11/1/23	\$ 300	\$ 358,392

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.375%, 11/1/28	3,835	4,567,715
Suffolk County Water Authority, (NPFPG), 4.50%, 6/1/25	1,475	1,558,441
		<b>\$ 6,484,548</b>

### Other Revenue 6.8%

Battery Park City Authority, 5.00%, 11/1/34	\$ 4,925	\$ 6,071,146
Brooklyn Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays Center), 0.00%, 7/15/31	4,900	2,114,987
New York Liberty Development Corp., (7 World Trade Center), 5.00%, 9/15/40	6,500	7,423,065
		<b>\$ 15,609,198</b>

### Special Tax Revenue 11.3%

New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 2/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 10,000	\$ 11,549,700
New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 6/15/31 <sup>(1)</sup>	6,500	7,862,010
New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/33	500	585,045
New York Thruway Authority, Miscellaneous Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 4/1/26	2,370	2,804,113
New York Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.50%, 11/1/35 <sup>(1) (2)</sup>	1,000	1,228,800
New York Urban Development Corp., Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/32	1,765	2,016,389
		<b>\$ 26,046,057</b>

### Transportation 12.2%

Metropolitan Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 11/15/38	\$ 3,430	\$ 3,925,807
Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/35	1,565	1,741,000
Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/40	300	332,184
New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/37	8,755	9,959,863
New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/42	275	310,967
Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, 5.00%, 11/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	11,735,200
		<b>\$ 28,005,021</b>

### Water and Sewer 5.5%

Albany Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/26	\$ 755	\$ 905,169
Albany Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/29	500	591,330



## Eaton Vance

## New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

## Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
<b>Water and Sewer (continued)</b>		
New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), 5.00%, 6/15/34	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,177,090
New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), 5.00%, 6/15/44 <sup>(1)</sup>	8,750	9,942,625
		<b>\$ 12,616,214</b>
<b>Total Tax-Exempt Investments</b> 155.0%		
(identified cost \$320,387,354)		<b>\$ 356,070,969</b>
<b>Other Assets, Less Liabilities</b> (55.0)%		<b>\$ (126,278,482)</b>
<b>Net Assets</b> 100.0%		<b>\$ 229,792,487</b>

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC	Assured Guaranty Corp.
AGM	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC	AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.
BHAC	Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
FGIC	Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
FHA	Federal Housing Administration
NPFG	National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.
XLCA	XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by New York municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2012, 45.2% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.0% to 16.9% of total investments.

<sup>(1)</sup> Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

<sup>(2)</sup> Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$478,800.



## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

## Statements of Assets and Liabilities

	September 30, 2012		
	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
<b>Assets</b>			
Investments			
Identified cost	\$ 1,424,276,702	\$ 425,786,444	\$ 320,387,354
Unrealized appreciation	134,234,848	36,208,178	35,683,615
<b>Investments, at value</b>	<b>\$ 1,558,511,550</b>	<b>\$ 461,994,622</b>	<b>\$ 356,070,969</b>
Restricted cash*	\$ 1,236,000	\$ 875,000	\$ 240,000
Interest receivable	18,885,615	5,529,628	4,175,754
Receivable for investments sold	76,441	5,500,000	
Receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts	41,375	27,375	9,375
Receivable from the transfer agent	93,779		20,736
Deferred debt issuance costs	848,971	221,258	72,165
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,579,693,731</b>	<b>\$ 474,147,883</b>	<b>\$ 360,588,999</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>			
Payable for floating rate notes issued	\$ 613,330,000	\$ 181,275,000	\$ 130,035,000
Payable for when-issued securities		7,292,312	
Due to custodian	3,466,104	2,411,906	233,820
Payable to affiliates:			
Investment adviser fee	807,401	222,110	172,226
Interest expense and fees payable	1,346,796	446,631	221,587
Accrued expenses	215,922	147,365	133,879
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>\$ 619,166,223</b>	<b>\$ 191,795,324</b>	<b>\$ 130,796,512</b>
<b>Net Assets</b>	<b>\$ 960,527,508</b>	<b>\$ 282,352,559</b>	<b>\$ 229,792,487</b>
<b>Sources of Net Assets</b>			
Common shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares authorized	\$ 681,433	\$ 217,562	\$ 158,938
Additional paid-in capital	957,878,978	306,726,139	223,931,848
Accumulated net realized loss	(131,948,476)	(61,135,470)	(30,292,430)
Accumulated undistributed (distributions in excess of) net investment income	(411,732)	417,199	283,114
Net unrealized appreciation	134,327,305	36,127,129	35,711,017
<b>Net Assets</b>	<b>\$ 960,527,508</b>	<b>\$ 282,352,559</b>	<b>\$ 229,792,487</b>
<b>Common Shares Outstanding</b>	<b>68,143,279</b>	<b>21,756,186</b>	<b>15,893,773</b>
<b>Net Asset Value</b>			
<b>Net assets ÷ common shares issued and outstanding</b>	<b>\$ 14.10</b>	<b>\$ 12.98</b>	<b>\$ 14.46</b>

\* Represents restricted cash on deposit at the broker for open financial futures contracts.

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

## Statements of Operations

	Year Ended September 30, 2012		
	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
<b>Investment Income</b>			
Interest	\$ 68,031,207	\$ 19,634,379	\$ 15,165,712
<b>Total investment income</b>	<b>\$ 68,031,207</b>	<b>\$ 19,634,379</b>	<b>\$ 15,165,712</b>
<b>Expenses</b>			
Investment adviser fee	\$ 9,842,269	\$ 2,964,378	\$ 2,314,931
Trustees' fees and expenses	60,891	18,927	14,898
Custodian fee	319,384	198,873	160,683
Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees	23,610	19,627	20,014
Legal and accounting services	1,250,066	83,098	79,497
Printing and postage	96,421	27,696	25,151
Interest expense and fees	4,365,867	1,283,603	937,362
Miscellaneous	119,005	54,162	49,500
<b>Total expenses</b>	<b>\$ 16,077,513</b>	<b>\$ 4,650,364</b>	<b>\$ 3,602,036</b>
Deduct			
Reduction of custodian fee	\$ 5,340	\$ 2,263	\$ 783
<b>Total expense reductions</b>	<b>\$ 5,340</b>	<b>\$ 2,263</b>	<b>\$ 783</b>
<b>Net expenses</b>	<b>\$ 16,072,173</b>	<b>\$ 4,648,101</b>	<b>\$ 3,601,253</b>
<b>Net investment income</b>	<b>\$ 51,959,034</b>	<b>\$ 14,986,278</b>	<b>\$ 11,564,459</b>
<b>Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)</b>			
Net realized gain (loss)			
Investment transactions	\$ 2,752,001	\$ (1,506,405)	\$ 4,000,250
Extinguishment of debt	(178,342)	(42,160)	(177)
Financial futures contracts	(5,496,143)	(4,351,972)	(845,139)
Swap contracts	(929,946)		(381,278)
<b>Net realized gain (loss)</b>	<b>\$ (3,852,430)</b>	<b>\$ (5,900,537)</b>	<b>\$ 2,773,656</b>
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)			
Investments	\$ 107,358,572	\$ 32,274,261	\$ 16,403,576
Financial futures contracts	350,801	1,491,500	130,710
Swap contracts	3,525,400		1,445,414
<b>Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)</b>	<b>\$ 111,234,773</b>	<b>\$ 33,765,761</b>	<b>\$ 17,979,700</b>
<b>Net realized and unrealized gain</b>	<b>\$ 107,382,343</b>	<b>\$ 27,865,224</b>	<b>\$ 20,753,356</b>
<b>Net increase in net assets from operations</b>	<b>\$ 159,341,377</b>	<b>\$ 42,851,502</b>	<b>\$ 32,317,815</b>

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

## Statements of Changes in Net Assets

	Year Ended September 30, 2012		
	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
<b>Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets</b>			
From operations			
Net investment income	\$ 51,959,034	\$ 14,986,278	\$ 11,564,459
Net realized gain (loss) from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt, financial futures contracts and swap contracts	(3,852,430)	(5,900,537)	2,773,656
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments, financial futures contracts and swap contracts	111,234,773	33,765,761	17,979,700
<b>Net increase in net assets from operations</b>	<b>\$ 159,341,377</b>	<b>\$ 42,851,502</b>	<b>\$ 32,317,815</b>
Distributions to common shareholders			
From net investment income	\$ (54,964,947)	\$ (15,903,902)	\$ (11,840,617)
<b>Total distributions to common shareholders</b>	<b>\$ (54,964,947)</b>	<b>\$ (15,903,902)</b>	<b>\$ (11,840,617)</b>
Capital share transactions			
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$ 446,577	\$ 110,639	\$ 312,111
<b>Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions</b>	<b>\$ 446,577</b>	<b>\$ 110,639</b>	<b>\$ 312,111</b>
<b>Net increase in net assets</b>	<b>\$ 104,823,007</b>	<b>\$ 27,058,239</b>	<b>\$ 20,789,309</b>
<b>Net Assets</b>			
At beginning of year	\$ 855,704,501	\$ 255,294,320	\$ 209,003,178
<b>At end of year</b>	<b>\$ 960,527,508</b>	<b>\$ 282,352,559</b>	<b>\$ 229,792,487</b>
<b>Accumulated undistributed (distributions in excess of) net investment income included in net assets</b>			
<b>At end of year</b>	<b>\$ (411,732)</b>	<b>\$ 417,199</b>	<b>\$ 283,114</b>

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

## Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	Year Ended September 30, 2011		
	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
From operations			
Net investment income	\$ 59,775,936	\$ 17,409,457	\$ 12,638,739
Net realized loss from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt, financial futures contracts and swap contracts	(35,203,163)	(17,357,508)	(8,994,586)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments, financial futures contracts and swap contracts	2,630,225	(542,668)	2,521,605
<b>Net increase (decrease) in net assets from operations</b>	<b>\$ 27,202,998</b>	<b>\$ (490,719)</b>	<b>\$ 6,165,758</b>
Distributions to common shareholders			
From net investment income	\$ (62,345,602)	\$ (18,450,457)	\$ (13,072,034)
<b>Total distributions to common shareholders</b>	<b>\$ (62,345,602)</b>	<b>\$ (18,450,457)</b>	<b>\$ (13,072,034)</b>
Capital share transactions			
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$ 1,307,692	\$ 321,761	\$ 456,451
<b>Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions</b>	<b>\$ 1,307,692</b>	<b>\$ 321,761</b>	<b>\$ 456,451</b>
<b>Net decrease in net assets</b>	<b>\$ (33,834,912)</b>	<b>\$ (18,619,415)</b>	<b>\$ (6,449,825)</b>
<b>Net Assets</b>			
At beginning of year	\$ 889,539,413	\$ 273,913,735	\$ 215,453,003
<b>At end of year</b>	<b>\$ 855,704,501</b>	<b>\$ 255,294,320</b>	<b>\$ 209,003,178</b>
<b>Accumulated undistributed net investment income</b>			
<b>included in net assets</b>			
<b>At end of year</b>	<b>\$ 2,673,223</b>	<b>\$ 1,370,924</b>	<b>\$ 608,504</b>

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

## Statements of Cash Flows

	<b>Year Ended September 30, 2012</b>		
	<b>Municipal Fund</b>	<b>California Fund</b>	<b>New York Fund</b>
<b>Cash Flows From Operating Activities</b>			
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$ 159,341,377	\$ 42,851,502	\$ 32,317,815
Adjustments to reconcile net increase in net assets from operations to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Investments purchased	(249,762,649)	(124,975,155)	(59,293,513)
Investments sold	255,993,028	137,142,994	69,691,370
Net amortization/accretion of premium (discount)	(5,983,657)	(861,953)	(200,791)
Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs	129,261	29,349	30,661
Increase in restricted cash	(1,236,000)	(875,000)	(240,000)
Decrease (increase) in interest receivable	(463,271)	287,946	263,379
Increase in receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts	(41,375)	(27,375)	(9,375)
Decrease (increase) in receivable from the transfer agent	(93,779)	29,491	18,853
Decrease in miscellaneous receivable	614,666		
Decrease in payable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts	(21,078)	(124,938)	(10,938)
Decrease in payable for open swap contracts	(3,525,400)		(1,445,414)
Increase (decrease) in payable to affiliate for investment adviser fee	30,507	(17,030)	(15,091)
Increase (decrease) in interest expense and fees payable	132,656	29,372	(11,475)
Increase in accrued expenses	8,976	24,372	13,233
Net change in unrealized (appreciation) depreciation from investments	(107,358,572)	(32,274,261)	(16,403,576)
Net realized (gain) loss from investments	(2,752,001)	1,506,405	(4,000,250)
Net realized loss on extinguishment of debt	178,342	42,160	177
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	<b>\$ 45,191,031</b>	<b>\$ 22,787,879</b>	<b>\$ 20,705,065</b>
<b>Cash Flows From Financing Activities</b>			
Distributions paid to common shareholders, net of reinvestments	\$ (54,518,370)	\$ (15,793,263)	\$ (11,528,506)
Proceeds from secured borrowings	76,835,000	47,820,000	23,840,000
Repayment of secured borrowings	(75,390,000)	(64,035,000)	(37,965,000)
Increase in due to custodian	3,466,104	2,411,906	233,820
<b>Net cash used in financing activities</b>	<b>\$ (49,607,266)</b>	<b>\$ (29,596,357)</b>	<b>\$ (25,419,686)</b>
<b>Net decrease in cash</b>	<b>\$ (4,416,235)</b>	<b>\$ (6,808,478)</b>	<b>\$ (4,714,621)</b>
<b>Cash at beginning of year</b>	<b>\$ 4,416,235</b>	<b>\$ 6,808,478</b>	<b>\$ 4,714,621</b>
<b>Cash at end of year</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:</b>			
Noncash financing activities not included herein consist of:			
Reinvestment of dividends and distributions	\$ 446,577	\$ 110,639	\$ 312,111
Cash paid for interest and fees	4,103,950	1,224,882	918,176

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

## Financial Highlights

		<b>Municipal Fund</b>				
		<b>Year Ended September 30,</b>				
		<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Net asset value	Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 12.560	\$ 13.080	\$ 13.170	\$ 11.080	\$ 15.100
<b>Income (Loss) From Operations</b>						
	Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0.763	\$ 0.878	\$ 0.878	\$ 0.846	\$ 0.959
	Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	1.584	(0.482)	(0.059)	2.051	(3.797)
	Distributions to preferred shareholders					
	From net investment income					(0.171)
	From net realized gain					(0.051)
	<b>Total income (loss) from operations</b>	<b>\$ 2.347</b>	<b>\$ 0.396</b>	<b>\$ 0.819</b>	<b>\$ 2.897</b>	<b>\$ (3.060)</b>
<b>Less Distributions to Common Shareholders</b>						
	From net investment income	\$ (0.807)	\$ (0.916)	\$ (0.909)	\$ (0.807)	\$ (0.773)
	From net realized gain					(0.187)
	<b>Total distributions to common shareholders</b>	<b>\$ (0.807)</b>	<b>\$ (0.916)</b>	<b>\$ (0.909)</b>	<b>\$ (0.807)</b>	<b>\$ (0.960)</b>
Net asset value	End of year (Common shares)	<b>\$ 14.100</b>	<b>\$ 12.560</b>	<b>\$ 13.080</b>	<b>\$ 13.170</b>	<b>\$ 11.080</b>
Market value	End of year (Common shares)	<b>\$ 14.460</b>	<b>\$ 12.350</b>	<b>\$ 13.900</b>	<b>\$ 13.160</b>	<b>\$ 11.140</b>
	<b>Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>19.33%</b>	<b>3.89%</b>	<b>6.77%</b>	<b>28.15%</b>	<b>(21.24)%</b>
	<b>Total Investment Return on Market Value<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>24.45%</b>	<b>(3.87)%</b>	<b>13.55%</b>	<b>27.36%</b>	<b>(21.90)%</b>
<b>Ratios/Supplemental Data</b>						
	Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 960,528	\$ 855,705	\$ 889,539	\$ 893,391	\$ 719,392
	Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): <sup>(3)</sup>					
	Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.30%	1.25%	1.12%	1.04%	0.89%
	Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.48%	0.56%	0.54%	1.33%	0.59%
	Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.78%	1.81%	1.66%	2.37%	1.48%
	Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.30%	1.25%	1.12%	1.04%	0.86%
	Net investment income	5.75%	7.54%	7.04%	7.94%	6.94%
	Portfolio Turnover	17%	18%	18%	19%	54%

(1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.

(2) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.



Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

(3) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.

(4) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

## Financial Highlights continued

	<b>California Fund</b>				
	<b>Year Ended September 30,</b>				
	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 11.740	\$ 12.610	\$ 12.940	\$ 11.310	\$ 15.000
<b>Income (Loss) From Operations</b>					
Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0.689	\$ 0.801	\$ 0.847	\$ 0.827	\$ 0.930
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	1.282	(0.822)	(0.331)	1.570	(3.418)
Distributions to preferred shareholders					
From net investment income					(0.153)
From net realized gain					(0.094)
<b>Total income (loss) from operations</b>	<b>\$ 1.971</b>	<b>\$ (0.021)</b>	<b>\$ 0.516</b>	<b>\$ 2.397</b>	<b>\$ (2.735)</b>
<b>Less Distributions to Common Shareholders</b>					
From net investment income	\$ (0.731)	\$ (0.849)	\$ (0.846)	\$ (0.767)	\$ (0.724)
From net realized gain					(0.231)
<b>Total distributions to common shareholders</b>	<b>\$ (0.731)</b>	<b>\$ (0.849)</b>	<b>\$ (0.846)</b>	<b>\$ (0.767)</b>	<b>\$ (0.955)</b>
<b>Net asset value End of year (Common shares)</b>	<b>\$ 12.980</b>	<b>\$ 11.740</b>	<b>\$ 12.610</b>	<b>\$ 12.940</b>	<b>\$ 11.310</b>
<b>Market value End of year (Common shares)</b>	<b>\$ 12.650</b>	<b>\$ 12.270</b>	<b>\$ 13.300</b>	<b>\$ 12.970</b>	<b>\$ 11.090</b>
<b>Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>17.34%</b>	<b>0.48%</b>	<b>4.53%</b>	<b>22.99%</b>	<b>(19.08)%</b>
<b>Total Investment Return on Market Value<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>9.42%</b>	<b>(0.43)%</b>	<b>10.00%</b>	<b>25.72%</b>	<b>(19.15)%</b>
<b>Ratios/Supplemental Data</b>					
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 282,353	\$ 255,294	\$ 273,914	\$ 280,743	\$ 245,011
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): <sup>(3)</sup>					
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.25%	1.42%	1.16%	1.06%	0.95%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.48%	0.57%	0.56%	1.28%	0.51%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.73%	1.99%	1.72%	2.34%	1.46%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.25%	1.42%	1.16%	1.04%	0.92%
Net investment income	5.57%	7.20%	7.01%	7.64%	6.74%
Portfolio Turnover	27%	21%	11%	8%	39%

(1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.

(2) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

(3) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.

(4) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

## Financial Highlights continued

	<b>New York Fund</b>				
	<b>Year Ended September 30,</b>				
	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.170	\$ 13.610	\$ 13.640	\$ 11.650	\$ 14.800
<b>Income (Loss) From Operations</b>					
Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0.728	\$ 0.797	\$ 0.831	\$ 0.790	\$ 0.923
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	1.308	(0.412)	(0.041)	1.934	(3.152)
Distributions to preferred shareholders From net investment income					(0.215)
<b>Total income (loss) from operations</b>	<b>\$ 2.036</b>	<b>\$ 0.385</b>	<b>\$ 0.790</b>	<b>\$ 2.724</b>	<b>\$ (2.444)</b>
<b>Less Distributions to Common Shareholders</b>					
From net investment income	\$ (0.746)	\$ (0.825)	\$ (0.820)	\$ (0.734)	\$ (0.706)
<b>Total distributions to common shareholders</b>	<b>\$ (0.746)</b>	<b>\$ (0.825)</b>	<b>\$ (0.820)</b>	<b>\$ (0.734)</b>	<b>\$ (0.706)</b>
<b>Net asset value End of year (Common shares)</b>	<b>\$ 14.460</b>	<b>\$ 13.170</b>	<b>\$ 13.610</b>	<b>\$ 13.640</b>	<b>\$ 11.650</b>
<b>Market value End of year (Common shares)</b>	<b>\$ 14.660</b>	<b>\$ 13.450</b>	<b>\$ 14.010</b>	<b>\$ 14.120</b>	<b>\$ 10.980</b>
<b>Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>15.87%</b>	<b>3.37%</b>	<b>6.16%</b>	<b>24.78%</b>	<b>(17.07)%</b>
<b>Total Investment Return on Market Value<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>15.03%</b>	<b>2.56%</b>	<b>5.56%</b>	<b>37.06%</b>	<b>(20.22)%</b>
<b>Ratios/Supplemental Data</b>					
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 229,792	\$ 209,003	\$ 215,453	\$ 215,303	\$ 183,643
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): <sup>(3)</sup>					
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.22%	1.39%	1.12%	1.04%	0.99%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.43%	0.52%	0.55%	1.34%	0.55%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.65%	1.91%	1.67%	2.38%	1.54%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.22%	1.39%	1.12%	1.03%	0.95%
Net investment income	5.29%	6.37%	6.30%	6.83%	6.63%
Portfolio Turnover	17%	29%	11%	21%	48%

(1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.

(2) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.

(3) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

<sup>(4)</sup> Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).

## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

#### Notes to Financial Statements

##### 1 Significant Accounting Policies

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund, (each individually referred to as the Fund, and collectively, the Funds), are Massachusetts business trusts registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), as non-diversified, closed-end management investment companies. The Funds seek to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax, including alternative minimum tax, and, in state specific funds, taxes in its specified state.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies of the Funds. The policies are in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

**A Investment Valuation** Debt obligations (including short-term obligations with a remaining maturity of more than sixty days) are generally valued on the basis of valuations provided by third party pricing services, as derived from such services' pricing models. Inputs to the models may include, but are not limited to, reported trades, executable bid and asked prices, broker/dealer quotations, prices or yields of securities with similar characteristics, benchmark curves or information pertaining to the issuer, as well as industry and economic events. The pricing services may use a matrix approach, which considers information regarding securities with similar characteristics to determine the valuation for a security. Short-term obligations purchased with a remaining maturity of sixty days or less are generally valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value. Financial futures contracts are valued at the closing settlement price established by the board of trade or exchange on which they are traded. Interest rate swaps are normally valued using valuations provided by a third party pricing service. Such pricing service valuations are based on the present value of fixed and projected floating rate cash flows over the term of the swap contract. Future cash flows are discounted to their present value using swap rates provided by electronic data services or by broker/dealers. Investments for which valuations or market quotations are not readily available or are deemed unreliable are valued at fair value using methods determined in good faith by or at the direction of the Trustees of a Fund in a manner that fairly reflects the security's value, or the amount that the Fund might reasonably expect to receive for the security upon its current sale in the ordinary course. Each such determination is based on a consideration of relevant factors, which are likely to vary from one pricing context to another. These factors may include, but are not limited to, the type of security, the existence of any contractual restrictions on the security's disposition, the price and extent of public trading in similar securities of the issuer or of comparable entities, quotations or relevant information obtained from broker/dealers or other market participants, information obtained from the issuer, analysts, and/or the appropriate stock exchange (for exchange-traded securities), an analysis of the entity's financial condition, and an evaluation of the forces that influence the issuer and the market(s) in which the security is purchased and sold.

**B Investment Transactions and Related Income** Investment transactions for financial statement purposes are accounted for on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses on investments sold are determined on the basis of identified cost. Interest income is recorded on the basis of interest accrued, adjusted for amortization of premium or accretion of discount.

**C Federal Taxes** Each Fund's policy is to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute to shareholders each year substantially all of its taxable, if any, and tax-exempt net investment income, and all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains. Accordingly, no provision for federal income or excise tax is necessary. Each Fund intends to satisfy conditions which will enable it to designate distributions from the interest income generated by its investments in municipal obligations, which are exempt from regular federal income tax when received by each Fund, as exempt-interest dividends.

At September 30, 2012, the following Funds, for federal income tax purposes, had capital loss carryforwards and current year deferred capital losses which will reduce the respective Fund's taxable income arising from future net realized gains on investment transactions, if any, to the extent permitted by the Internal Revenue Code, and thus will reduce the amount of distributions to shareholders, which would otherwise be necessary to relieve the Funds of any liability for federal income or excise tax. The current year deferred capital losses are treated as arising on the first day of the Funds' next taxable year and are treated as realized prior to the utilization of the capital loss carryforward. The amounts and expiration dates of the capital loss carryforwards and the amounts of the current year deferred capital losses are as follows:

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

<b>Expiration Date</b>	<b>Municipal Fund</b>	<b>California Fund</b>	<b>New York Fund</b>
September 30, 2013	\$	\$	\$ 125,998
September 30, 2015	31,250		
September 30, 2016	6,857,645	533,889	
September 30, 2017	18,034,628	4,562,453	7,946,914
September 30, 2018	56,183,712	23,169,615	8,909,352
September 30, 2019	16,458,561	7,665,268	6,463,209
<b>Total capital loss carryforward</b>	<b>\$ 97,565,796</b>	<b>\$ 35,931,225</b>	<b>\$ 23,445,473</b>
<b>Current year deferred capital losses</b>	<b>\$ 37,911,988</b>	<b>\$ 24,178,821</b>	<b>\$ 6,316,331</b>

## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

#### Notes to Financial Statements continued

As of September 30, 2012, the Funds had no uncertain tax positions that would require financial statement recognition, de-recognition, or disclosure. Each Fund files a U.S. federal income tax return annually after its fiscal year-end, which is subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service for a period of three years from the date of filing.

**D Expense Reduction** State Street Bank and Trust Company (SSBT) serves as custodian of the Funds. Pursuant to the custodian agreement, SSBT receives a fee reduced by credits, which are determined based on the average daily cash balance each Fund maintains with SSBT. All credit balances, if any, used to reduce each Fund's custodian fees are reported as a reduction of expenses in the Statements of Operations.

**E Legal Fees** Legal fees and other related expenses incurred as part of negotiations of the terms and requirement of capital infusions, or that are expected to result in the restructuring of, or a plan of reorganization for, an investment are recorded as realized losses. Ongoing expenditures to protect or enhance an investment are treated as operating expenses.

**F Use of Estimates** The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

**G Indemnifications** Under each Fund's organizational documents, its officers and Trustees may be indemnified against certain liabilities and expenses arising out of the performance of their duties to each Fund. Under Massachusetts law, if certain conditions prevail, shareholders of a Massachusetts business trust (such as a Fund) could be deemed to have personal liability for the obligations of the Fund. However, each Fund's Declaration of Trust contains an express disclaimer of liability on the part of Fund shareholders and the By-laws provide that the Fund shall assume the defense on behalf of any Fund shareholders. Moreover, the By-laws also provide for indemnification out of Fund property of any shareholder held personally liable solely by reason of being or having been a shareholder for all loss or expense arising from such liability. Additionally, in the normal course of business, each Fund enters into agreements with service providers that may contain indemnification clauses. Each Fund's maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against each Fund that have not yet occurred.

**H Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held** The Funds may invest in residual interest bonds, also referred to as inverse floating rate securities, whereby a Fund may sell a variable or fixed rate bond to a broker for cash. At the same time, the Fund buys a residual interest in the assets and cash flows of a Special-Purpose Vehicle (the SPV), (which is generally organized as a trust), set up by the broker. The broker deposits a bond into the SPV with the same CUSIP number as the bond sold to the broker by the Fund, and which may have been, but is not required to be, the bond purchased from the Fund (the Bond). The SPV also issues floating rate notes (Floating Rate Notes) which are sold to third-parties. The residual interest bond held by a Fund gives the Fund the right (1) to cause the holders of the Floating Rate Notes to generally tender their notes at par, and (2) to have the broker transfer the Bond held by the SPV to the Fund, thereby terminating the SPV. Should the Fund exercise such right, it would generally pay the broker the par amount due on the Floating Rate Notes and exchange the residual interest bond for the underlying Bond. Pursuant to generally accepted accounting principles for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishment of liabilities, the Funds account for the transaction described above as a secured borrowing by including the Bond in their Portfolio of Investments and the Floating Rate Notes as a liability under the caption "Payable for floating rate notes issued" in their Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The Floating Rate Notes have interest rates that generally reset weekly and their holders have the option to tender their notes to the broker for redemption at par at each reset date. Accordingly, the fair value of the payable for floating rate notes issued approximates its carrying value. If measured at fair value, the payable for floating rate notes would have been considered as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy (see Note 9) at September 30, 2012. Interest expense related to the Funds liability with respect to Floating Rate Notes is recorded as incurred. The SPV may be terminated by the Fund, as noted above, or by the broker upon the occurrence of certain termination events as defined in the trust agreement, such as a downgrade in the credit quality of the underlying Bond, bankruptcy or payment failure by the issuer of the underlying Bond, the inability to remarket Floating Rate Notes that have been tendered due to insufficient buyers in the market, or the failure by the SPV to obtain renewal of the liquidity agreement under which liquidity support is provided for the Floating Rate Notes up to one year. Structuring fees paid to the liquidity provider upon the creation of an SPV have been recorded as debt issuance costs and are being amortized as interest expense to the expected maturity of the related trust. Unamortized structuring fees related to a terminated SPV are recorded as a realized loss on extinguishment of debt. At September 30, 2012, the amounts of the Funds' Floating Rate Notes and related interest rates and collateral were as follows:



Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

	<b>Municipal Fund</b>	<b>California Fund</b>	<b>New York Fund</b>
Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 613,330,000	\$ 181,275,000	\$ 130,035,000
Interest Rate or Range of Interest Rates (%)	0.18 - 0.60	0.18 - 0.28	0.18 - 0.25
Collateral for Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 743,932,854	\$ 221,095,607	\$ 160,638,485

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

## Notes to Financial Statements continued

For the year ended September 30, 2012, the Funds' average Floating Rate Notes outstanding and the average interest rate including fees and amortization of deferred debt issuance costs were as follows:

	<b>Municipal Fund</b>	<b>California Fund</b>	<b>New York Fund</b>
Average Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 613,285,423	\$ 187,123,265	\$ 137,780,178
Average Interest Rate	0.71%	0.69%	0.68%

The Funds may enter into shortfall and forbearance agreements with the broker by which a Fund agrees to reimburse the broker, in certain circumstances, for the difference between the liquidation value of the Bond held by the SPV and the liquidation value of the Floating Rate Notes, as well as any shortfalls in interest cash flows. The Funds had no shortfalls as of September 30, 2012.

The Funds may also purchase residual interest bonds from brokers in a secondary market transaction without first owning the underlying bond. Such transactions are not required to be treated as secured borrowings. Shortfall agreements, if any, related to residual interest bonds purchased in a secondary market transaction are disclosed in the Portfolio of Investments.

The Funds' investment policies and restrictions expressly permit investments in residual interest bonds. Such bonds typically offer the potential for yields exceeding the yields available on fixed rate bonds with comparable credit quality and maturity. These securities tend to underperform the market for fixed rate bonds in a rising long-term interest rate environment, but tend to outperform the market for fixed rate bonds when long-term interest rates decline. The value and income of residual interest bonds are generally more volatile than that of a fixed rate bond. The Funds' investment policies do not allow the Funds to borrow money except as permitted by the 1940 Act. Management believes that the Funds' restrictions on borrowing money and issuing senior securities (other than as specifically permitted) do not apply to Floating Rate Notes issued by the SPV and included as a liability in the Funds' Statement of Assets and Liabilities. As secured indebtedness issued by an SPV, Floating Rate Notes are distinct from the borrowings and senior securities to which the Funds' restrictions apply. Residual interest bonds held by the Funds are securities exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933.

**I Financial Futures Contracts** Upon entering into a financial futures contract, a Fund is required to deposit with the broker, either in cash or securities, an amount equal to a certain percentage of the purchase price (initial margin). Subsequent payments, known as variation margin, are made or received by the Fund each business day, depending on the daily fluctuations in the value of the underlying security, and are recorded as unrealized gains or losses by the Fund. Gains (losses) are realized upon the expiration or closing of the financial futures contracts. Should market conditions change unexpectedly, the Fund may not achieve the anticipated benefits of the financial futures contracts and may realize a loss. Futures contracts have minimal counterparty risk as they are exchange traded and the clearinghouse for the exchange is substituted as the counterparty, guaranteeing counterparty performance.

**J Interest Rate Swaps** Pursuant to interest rate swap agreements, a Fund makes periodic payments at a fixed interest rate and, in exchange, receives payments based on the interest rate of a benchmark industry index. Payments received or made are recorded as realized gains or losses. During the term of the outstanding swap agreement, changes in the underlying value of the swap are recorded as unrealized gains or losses. The value of the swap is determined by changes in the relationship between two rates of interest. A Fund is exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the swap counterparty. Risk may also arise from movements in interest rates.

**K When-Issued Securities and Delayed Delivery Transactions** The Funds may purchase or sell securities on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis. Payment and delivery may take place after the customary settlement period for that security. At the time the transaction is negotiated, the price of the security that will be delivered is fixed. The Funds maintain security positions for these commitments such that sufficient liquid assets will be available to make payments upon settlement. Securities purchased on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis are marked-to-market daily and begin earning interest on settlement date. Losses may arise due to changes in the market value of the underlying securities or if the counterparty does not perform under the contract.

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

**L Statement of Cash Flows** The cash amount shown in the Statement of Cash Flows of a Fund is the amount included in the Fund's Statement of Assets and Liabilities and represents the cash on hand at its custodian and does not include any short-term investments.

### 2 Distributions to Shareholders

Each Fund intends to make monthly distributions of net investment income to common shareholders. In addition, at least annually, each Fund intends to distribute all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains (reduced by available capital loss carryforwards from prior years, if any). Distributions are recorded on the ex-dividend date.

The Funds distinguish between distributions on a tax basis and a financial reporting basis. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that only distributions in excess of tax basis earnings and profits be reported in the financial statements as a return of capital. Permanent differences between book and tax accounting relating to distributions are reclassified to paid-in capital. For tax purposes, distributions from short-term capital gains are considered to be from ordinary income.

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

The tax character of distributions declared for the years ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 was as follows:

	Year Ended September 30, 2012		
	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
<b>Distributions declared from:</b>			
Tax-exempt income	\$ 54,887,510	\$ 15,878,359	\$ 11,824,850
Ordinary income	\$ 77,437	\$ 25,543	\$ 15,767

	Year Ended September 30, 2011		
	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
<b>Distributions declared from:</b>			
Tax-exempt income	\$ 62,334,562	\$ 18,365,683	\$ 13,057,383
Ordinary income	\$ 11,040	\$ 84,774	\$ 14,651

During the year ended September 30, 2012, the following amounts were reclassified due to expired capital loss carryforwards and differences between book and tax accounting, primarily for accretion of market discount:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Change in:			
Paid-in capital	\$ (314,751)	\$	\$
Accumulated net realized loss	\$ 393,793	\$ 36,101	\$ 49,232
Accumulated undistributed (distributions in excess of) net investment income	\$ (79,042)	\$ (36,101)	\$ (49,232)

These reclassifications had no effect on the net assets or net asset value per share of the Funds.

As of September 30, 2012, the components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on a tax basis were as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Undistributed tax-exempt income	\$ 706,884	\$ 417,199	\$ 283,114
Capital loss carryforward and deferred capital losses	\$ (135,477,784)	\$ (60,110,046)	\$ (29,761,804)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 136,737,997	\$ 35,101,705	\$ 35,180,391

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

The differences between components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) on a tax basis and the amounts reflected in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities are primarily due to wash sales, residual interest bonds, expenditures on defaulted bonds, futures contracts and accretion of market discount.

### 3 Investment Adviser Fee and Other Transactions with Affiliates

The investment adviser fee is earned by Eaton Vance Management (EVM) as compensation for investment advisory services rendered to each Fund. The fee is computed at an annual rate of 0.65% of each Fund's average weekly gross assets and is payable monthly. Average weekly gross assets include the principal amount of any indebtedness for money borrowed, including debt securities issued by a Fund. Pursuant to a fee reduction agreement with EVM, average weekly gross assets are calculated by adding to net assets the amount payable by the Fund to floating rate note holders, such adjustment being

Eaton Vance

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

limited to the value of the Auction Preferred Shares (APS) outstanding prior to any APS redemptions by the Fund. EVM also serves as the administrator of each Fund, but receives no compensation. For the year ended September 30, 2012, the investment adviser fees were as follows:

	<b>Municipal Fund</b>	<b>California Fund</b>	<b>New York Fund</b>
Investment Adviser Fee	\$ 9,842,269	\$ 2,964,378	\$ 2,314,931

Officers and Trustees of the Funds who are members of EVM's organization receive remuneration for their services to the Funds out of the investment adviser fee. Trustees of the Funds who are not affiliated with the investment adviser may elect to defer receipt of all or a percentage of their annual fees in accordance with the terms of the Trustees Deferred Compensation Plan. For the year ended September 30, 2012, no significant amounts have been deferred. Certain officers and Trustees of the Funds are officers of EVM.

4 Purchases and Sales of Investments

Purchases and sales of investments, other than short-term obligations, for the year ended September 30, 2012 were as follows:

	<b>Municipal Fund</b>	<b>California Fund</b>	<b>New York Fund</b>
Purchases	\$ 249,762,649	\$ 122,478,301	\$ 59,293,513
Sales	\$ 255,243,139	\$ 139,209,575	\$ 69,560,906

5 Common Shares of Beneficial Interest

Common shares issued pursuant to the Funds' dividend reinvestment plan for the years ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 were as follows:

	<b>Municipal Fund</b>	<b>California Fund</b>	<b>New York Fund</b>
Year Ended September 30, 2012	33,515	9,232	22,680
Year Ended September 30, 2011	114,120	29,025	36,395

6 Federal Income Tax Basis of Investments

The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments of each Fund at September 30, 2012, as determined on a federal income tax basis, were as follows:

<b>Municipal Fund</b>	<b>California Fund</b>	<b>New York Fund</b>
---------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

<b>Aggregate cost</b>	<b>\$ 808,443,553</b>	<b>\$ 245,617,917</b>	<b>\$ 190,855,578</b>
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$ 165,984,763	\$ 37,421,958	\$ 36,426,335
Gross unrealized depreciation	(29,246,766)	(2,320,253)	(1,245,944)
<b>Net unrealized appreciation</b>	<b>\$ 136,737,997</b>	<b>\$ 35,101,705</b>	<b>\$ 35,180,391</b>
7 Overdraft Advances			

Pursuant to the custodian agreement, SSBT may, in its discretion, advance funds to the Funds to make properly authorized payments. When such payments result in an overdraft, the Funds are obligated to repay SSBT at the current rate of interest charged by SSBT for secured loans (currently, the Federal Funds rate plus 2%). This obligation is payable on demand to SSBT. SSBT has a lien on a Fund's assets to the extent of any overdraft. At

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

## Notes to Financial Statements continued

September 30, 2012, the Municipal Fund, California Fund and New York Fund had payments due to SSBT pursuant to the foregoing arrangement of \$3,466,104, \$2,411,906 and \$233,820, respectively. Based on the short-term nature of these payments and the variable interest rate, the carrying value of the overdraft advances approximated its fair value at September 30, 2012. If measured at fair value, overdraft advances would have been considered as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy (see Note 9) at September 30, 2012. The Funds' average overdraft advances during the year ended September 30, 2012 were not significant.

## 8 Financial Instruments

The Funds may trade in financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of their investing activities. These financial instruments may include financial futures contracts and interest rate swaps and may involve, to a varying degree, elements of risk in excess of the amounts recognized for financial statement purposes. The notional or contractual amounts of these instruments represent the investment a Fund has in particular classes of financial instruments and do not necessarily represent the amounts potentially subject to risk. The measurement of the risks associated with these instruments is meaningful only when all related and offsetting transactions are considered.

A summary of obligations under these financial instruments at September 30, 2012 is as follows:

## Futures Contracts

Fund	Expiration Month/Year	Contracts	Position	Aggregate Cost	Value	Net Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)
Municipal		331				
	12/12	U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond	Short	\$ (49,535,582)	\$ (49,443,125)	\$ 92,457
California		200				
	12/12	U.S. 10-Year Treasury Note	Short	\$ (26,544,946)	\$ (26,696,875)	\$ (151,929)
		194				
	12/12	U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond	Short	(29,049,629)	(28,978,749)	70,880
New York		75				
	12/12	U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond	Short	\$ (11,230,527)	\$ (11,203,125)	\$ 27,402

At September 30, 2012, the Funds had sufficient cash and/or securities to cover commitments under these contracts.

Each Fund is subject to interest rate risk in the normal course of pursuing its investment objective. Because the Funds hold fixed-rate bonds, the value of these bonds may decrease if interest rates rise. To hedge against this risk, the Municipal Fund and New York Fund entered into interest rate swap contracts. The Funds also purchase and sell U.S. Treasury futures contracts to hedge against changes in interest rates.

The fair values of open derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk at September 30, 2012 were as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
<b>Asset Derivative:</b>			
Futures Contracts	\$ 92,457 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 70,880 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 27,402 <sup>(1)</sup>



Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

<b>Total</b>	\$ 92,457	\$ 70,880	\$ 27,402
<b>Liability Derivative:</b>			
Futures Contracts	\$	\$ (151,929) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$
<b>Total</b>	\$	\$ (151,929)	\$

<sup>(1)</sup> Amount represents cumulative unrealized appreciation or (depreciation) on futures contracts in the Futures Contracts table above. Only the current day's variation margin on open futures contracts is reported within the Statement of Assets and Liabilities as Receivable or Payable for variation margin, as applicable.

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

## Notes to Financial Statements continued

The effect of derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) on the Statement of Operations and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk for the year ended September 30, 2012 was as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Realized Gain (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	\$ (6,426,089) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ (4,351,972) <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ (1,226,417) <sup>(1)</sup>
Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	\$ 3,876,201 <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 1,491,500 <sup>(4)</sup>	\$ 1,576,124 <sup>(3)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> Statement of Operations location: Net realized gain (loss) Financial futures contracts and Swap contracts.

<sup>(2)</sup> Statement of Operations location: Net realized gain (loss) Financial futures contracts.

<sup>(3)</sup> Statement of Operations location: Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) Financial futures contracts and Swap contracts.

<sup>(4)</sup> Statement of Operations location: Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) Financial futures contracts.

The average notional amounts of futures contracts and interest rate swaps outstanding during the year ended September 30, 2012, which are indicative of the volume of these derivative types, were approximately as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
<b>Average Notional Amount:</b>			
Futures Contracts	\$ 36,715,000	\$ 39,400,000	\$ 8,077,000
Interest Rate Swaps	\$ 2,308,000	\$	\$ 946,000
<b>9 Fair Value Measurements</b>			

Under generally accepted accounting principles for fair value measurements, a three-tier hierarchy to prioritize the assumptions, referred to as inputs, is used in valuation techniques to measure fair value. The three-tier hierarchy of inputs is summarized in the three broad levels listed below.

Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical investments

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)

Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including a fund's own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

In cases where the inputs used to measure fair value fall in different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level disclosed is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

At September 30, 2012, the hierarchy of inputs used in valuing the Funds' investments and open derivative instruments, which are carried at value, were as follows:

<b>Municipal Fund Asset Description</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Total</b>
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 1,558,511,550	\$	\$ 1,558,511,550
<b>Total Investments</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 1,558,511,550</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 1,558,511,550</b>
Futures Contracts	\$ 92,457	\$	\$	\$ 92,457
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 92,457</b>	<b>\$ 1,558,511,550</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 1,558,604,007</b>

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

<b>California Fund</b>				
<b>Asset Description</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Total</b>
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 461,994,622	\$	\$ 461,994,622
<b>Total Investments</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 461,994,622</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 461,994,622</b>
Futures Contracts	\$ 70,880	\$	\$	\$ 70,880
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 70,880</b>	<b>\$ 461,994,622</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 462,065,502</b>
<b>Liability Description</b>				
Futures Contracts	\$ (151,929)	\$	\$	\$ (151,929)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ (151,929)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ (151,929)</b>

<b>New York Fund</b>				
<b>Asset Description</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Total</b>
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 356,070,969	\$	\$ 356,070,969
<b>Total Investments</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 356,070,969</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 356,070,969</b>
Futures Contracts	\$ 27,402	\$	\$	\$ 27,402
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 27,402</b>	<b>\$ 356,070,969</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 356,098,371</b>

The Funds held no investments or other financial instruments as of September 30, 2011 whose fair value was determined using Level 3 inputs. At September 30, 2012, there were no investments transferred between Level 1 and Level 2 during the year then ended.

## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

#### Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Trustees and Shareholders of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund:

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund (collectively, the Funds), including the portfolios of investments, as of September 30, 2012, and the related statements of operations and cash flows for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. The Funds are not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of their internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of September 30, 2012, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers; where replies were not received from brokers, we performed other auditing procedures. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2012, the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended, the changes in their net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Boston, Massachusetts

November 16, 2012

## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

#### Federal Tax Information (Unaudited)

The Form 1099-DIV you receive in January 2013 will show the tax status of all distributions paid to your account in calendar year 2012. Shareholders are advised to consult their own tax adviser with respect to the tax consequences of their investment in the Funds. As required by the Internal Revenue Code and/or regulations, shareholders must be notified regarding exempt-interest dividends.

**Exempt-Interest Dividends.** The Funds designate the following percentages of dividends from net investment income as exempt-interest dividends:

Municipal Bond Fund	99.86%
California Municipal Bond Fund	99.84%
New York Municipal Bond Fund	99.87%

Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

### Notice to Shareholders (Unaudited)

At the August 8, 2011 Board Meeting, the Trustees approved the following defensive investing policy: During unusual market conditions, the Funds may invest up to 100% of its assets in cash or cash equivalents temporarily, which may be inconsistent with a Fund's investment objective(s) and other policies.

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

## Annual Meeting of Shareholders (Unaudited)

The Funds held their Annual Meeting of Shareholders on July 20, 2012. The following action was taken by the shareholders:

**Item 1:** The election of William H. Park, Lynn A. Stout and Ralph F. Verni as Class I Trustees of each Fund for a three-year term expiring in 2015, Scott E. Eston as a Class II Trustee of each Fund for a one-year term expiring in 2013 and Harriett Tee Taggart as a Class III Trustee of each Fund for a two-year term expiring in 2014.

	Nominee for Class I Trustee	Nominee for Class I Trustee	Nominee for Class I Trustee	Nominee for Class II Trustee	Nominee for Class III Trustee
	Elected by All Shareholders:	Elected by All Shareholders:	Elected by All Shareholders:	Elected by All Shareholders:	Elected by All Shareholders:
	William H. Park	Lynn A. Stout	Ralph F. Verni	Scott E. Eston	Harriett Tee Taggart
Municipal Fund					
For	62,926,599	62,425,679	62,866,078	62,981,916	62,800,444
Withheld	1,516,457	2,017,377	1,576,978	1,461,140	1,642,612
California Fund					
For	19,337,964	19,317,831	19,349,897	19,333,199	19,307,332
Withheld	1,096,022	1,116,155	1,084,089	1,100,787	1,126,654
New York Fund					
For	14,604,397	14,530,819	14,608,073	14,613,797	14,565,600
Withheld	527,089	600,667	523,413	517,689	565,886



## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

#### Dividend Reinvestment Plan

Each Fund offers a dividend reinvestment plan (Plan) pursuant to which shareholders may elect to have distributions automatically reinvested in common shares (Shares) of the Fund. You may elect to participate in the Plan by completing the Dividend Reinvestment Plan Application Form. If you do not participate, you will receive all distributions in cash paid by check mailed directly to you by American Stock Transfer & Trust Company (AST) as dividend paying agent. On the distribution payment date, if the NAV per Share is equal to or less than the market price per Share plus estimated brokerage commissions, then new Shares will be issued. The number of Shares shall be determined by the greater of the NAV per Share or 95% of the market price. Otherwise, Shares generally will be purchased on the open market by AST, the Plan agent (Agent). Distributions subject to income tax (if any) are taxable whether or not Shares are reinvested.

If your Shares are in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you can ask the firm or nominee to participate in the Plan on your behalf. If the nominee does not offer the Plan, you will need to request that each Fund's transfer agent re-register your Shares in your name or you will not be able to participate.

The Agent's service fee for handling distributions will be paid by each Fund. Plan participants will be charged their pro-rata share of brokerage commissions on all open-market purchases.

Plan participants may withdraw from the Plan at any time by writing to the Agent at the address noted on the following page. If you withdraw, you will receive Shares in your name for all Shares credited to your account under the Plan. If a participant elects by written notice to the Agent to sell part or all of his or her Shares and remit the proceeds, the Agent is authorized to deduct a \$5.00 fee plus brokerage commissions from the proceeds.

If you wish to participate in the Plan and your Shares are held in your own name, you may complete the form on the following page and deliver it to the Agent. Any inquiries regarding the Plan can be directed to the Agent at 1-866-439-6787.

## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

#### Application for Participation in Dividend Reinvestment Plan

This form is for shareholders who hold their common shares in their own names. If your common shares are held in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you should contact your nominee to see if it will participate in the Plan on your behalf. If you wish to participate in the Plan, but your brokerage firm, bank, or nominee is unable to participate on your behalf, you should request that your common shares be re-registered in your own name which will enable your participation in the Plan.

The following authorization and appointment is given with the understanding that I may terminate it at any time by terminating my participation in the Plan as provided in the terms and conditions of the Plan.

Please print exact name on account:

Shareholder signature

Date

Shareholder signature

Date

Please sign exactly as your common shares are registered. All persons whose names appear on the share certificate must sign.

**YOU SHOULD NOT RETURN THIS FORM IF YOU WISH TO RECEIVE YOUR DISTRIBUTIONS IN CASH. THIS IS NOT A PROXY.**

*This authorization form, when signed, should be mailed to the following address:*

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

c/o American Stock Transfer & Trust Company

P.O. Box 922

Wall Street Station

New York, NY 10269-0560

# Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

## Number of Employees

Each Fund is organized as a Massachusetts business trust and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as a closed-end management investment company and has no employees.

## Number of Shareholders

As of September 30, 2012, Fund records indicate that there are 717, 135 and 139 registered shareholders for Municipal Bond Fund, California Municipal Bond Fund and New York Municipal Bond Fund, respectively, and approximately 23,925, 5,457 and 4,961 shareholders owning the Fund shares in street name, such as through brokers, banks and financial intermediaries for Municipal Bond Fund, California Municipal Bond Fund and New York Municipal Bond Fund, respectively.

If you are a street name shareholder and wish to receive Fund reports directly, which contain important information about a Fund, please write or call:

Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc.

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

1-800-262-1122

## NYSE MKT symbols

Municipal Bond Fund	EIM
California Municipal Bond Fund	EVM
New York Municipal Bond Fund	ENX

## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

#### Board of Trustees Contract Approval

##### Overview of the Contract Review Process

The Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act ), provides, in substance, that each investment advisory agreement between a fund and its investment adviser will continue in effect from year to year only if its continuation is approved at least annually by the fund's board of trustees, including by a vote of a majority of the trustees who are not interested persons of the fund ( Independent Trustees ), cast in person at a meeting called for the purpose of considering such approval.

At a meeting of the Boards of Trustees (each a Board ) of the Eaton Vance group of mutual funds (the Eaton Vance Funds ) held on April 23, 2012, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, voted to approve continuation of existing advisory and sub-advisory agreements for the Eaton Vance Funds for an additional one-year period. In voting its approval, the Board relied upon the affirmative recommendation of the Contract Review Committee of the Board, which is a committee comprised exclusively of Independent Trustees. Prior to making its recommendation, the Contract Review Committee reviewed information furnished by each adviser to the Eaton Vance Funds (including information specifically requested by the Board) for a series of meetings of the Contract Review Committee held between February and April 2012, as well as information considered during prior meetings of the committee. Such information included, among other things, the following:

##### *Information about Fees, Performance and Expenses*

An independent report comparing the advisory and related fees paid by each fund with fees paid by comparable funds;

An independent report comparing each fund's total expense ratio and its components to comparable funds;

An independent report comparing the investment performance of each fund (including, where relevant, yield data, Sharpe ratios and information ratios) to the investment performance of comparable funds over various time periods;

Data regarding investment performance in comparison to benchmark indices and customized peer groups, in each case as approved by the Board with respect to the funds;

For each fund, comparative information concerning the fees charged and the services provided by each adviser in managing other accounts (including mutual funds, other collective investment funds and institutional accounts) using investment strategies and techniques similar to those used in managing such fund;

Profitability analyses for each adviser with respect to each fund;

##### *Information about Portfolio Management and Trading*

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

Descriptions of the investment management services provided to each fund, including the investment strategies and processes employed, and any changes in portfolio management processes and personnel;

Information about the allocation of brokerage and the benefits received by each adviser as a result of brokerage allocation, including information concerning the acquisition of research through client commission arrangements and the fund's policies with respect to soft dollar arrangements;

Data relating to portfolio turnover rates of each fund;

The procedures and processes used to determine the fair value of fund assets and actions taken to monitor and test the effectiveness of such procedures and processes;

Information about each adviser's processes for monitoring best execution of portfolio transactions, and other policies and practices of each adviser with respect to trading;

*Information about each Adviser*

Reports detailing the financial results and condition of each adviser;

Descriptions of the qualifications, education and experience of the individual investment professionals whose responsibilities include portfolio management and investment research for the funds, and information relating to their compensation and responsibilities with respect to managing other mutual funds and investment accounts;

Copies of the Codes of Ethics of each adviser and its affiliates, together with information relating to compliance with and the administration of such codes;

Copies of or descriptions of each adviser's policies and procedures relating to proxy voting, the handling of corporate actions and class actions;

Information concerning the resources devoted to compliance efforts undertaken by each adviser and its affiliates on behalf of the funds (including descriptions of various compliance programs) and their record of compliance with investment policies and restrictions, including policies with respect to market-timing, late trading and selective portfolio disclosure, and with policies on personal securities transactions;

Descriptions of the business continuity and disaster recovery plans of each adviser and its affiliates;

A description of Eaton Vance Management's procedures for overseeing third party advisers and sub-advisers, including with respect to regulatory and compliance issues, investment management and other matters;

## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Board of Trustees Contract Approval continued

#### *Other Relevant Information*

Information concerning the nature, cost and character of the administrative and other non-investment management services provided by Eaton Vance Management and its affiliates;

Information concerning management of the relationship with the custodian, subcustodians and fund accountants by each adviser or the funds administrator; and

The terms of each advisory agreement.

In addition to the information identified above, the Contract Review Committee considered information provided from time to time by each adviser throughout the year at meetings of the Board and its committees. Over the course of the twelve-month period ended April 30, 2012, with respect to one or more funds, the Board met ten times and the Contract Review Committee, the Audit Committee, the Governance Committee, the Portfolio Management Committee and the Compliance Reports and Regulatory Matters Committee, each of which is a Committee comprised solely of Independent Trustees, met ten, nineteen, seven, eight and fourteen times respectively. At such meetings, the Trustees participated in investment and performance reviews with the portfolio managers and other investment professionals of each adviser relating to each fund. The Board and its Committees considered the investment and trading strategies used in pursuing each fund's investment objective, including, where relevant, the use of derivative instruments, as well as risk management techniques. The Board and its Committees also evaluated issues pertaining to industry and regulatory developments, compliance procedures, fund governance and other issues with respect to the funds, and received and participated in reports and presentations provided by Eaton Vance Management and other fund advisers with respect to such matters.

For funds that invest through one or more underlying portfolios, the Board considered similar information about the portfolio(s) when considering the approval of advisory agreements. In addition, in cases where the fund's investment adviser has engaged a sub-adviser, the Board considered similar information about the sub-adviser when considering the approval of any sub-advisory agreement.

The Contract Review Committee was assisted throughout the contract review process by Goodwin Procter LLP, legal counsel for the Independent Trustees. The members of the Contract Review Committee relied upon the advice of such counsel and their own business judgment in determining the material factors to be considered in evaluating each advisory and sub-advisory agreement and the weight to be given to each such factor. The conclusions reached with respect to each advisory and sub-advisory agreement were based on a comprehensive evaluation of all the information provided and not any single factor. Moreover, each member of the Contract Review Committee may have placed varying emphasis on particular factors in reaching conclusions with respect to each advisory and sub-advisory agreement.

#### Results of the Process

Based on its consideration of the foregoing, and such other information as it deemed relevant, including the factors and conclusions described below, the Contract Review Committee concluded that the continuation of the investment advisory agreements of the following funds:

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

### Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund

#### Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund

(the Funds), each with Eaton Vance Management (the Adviser), including their fee structures, is in the interests of shareholders and, therefore, the Contract Review Committee recommended to the Board approval of each agreement. The Board accepted the recommendation of the Contract Review Committee as well as the factors considered and conclusions reached by the Contract Review Committee with respect to each agreement. Accordingly, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, voted to approve continuation of the investment advisory agreement for each Fund.

#### Nature, Extent and Quality of Services

In considering whether to approve the investment advisory agreements of the Funds, the Board evaluated the nature, extent and quality of services provided to the Funds by the Adviser.

The Board considered the Adviser's management capabilities and investment process with respect to the types of investments held by each Fund, including the education, experience and number of its investment professionals and other personnel who provide portfolio management, investment research, and similar services to the Funds. In particular, the Board considered, where relevant, the abilities and experience of such investment personnel in analyzing factors such as credit risk, tax efficiency, and special considerations relevant to investing in municipal bonds. The Board considered the Adviser's large municipal bond team, which includes portfolio managers and credit specialists who provide services to the Funds. The Board also took into account the resources dedicated to portfolio management and other services, including the compensation methods of the Adviser to recruit and retain investment personnel, and the time and attention devoted to each Fund by senior management.

The Board reviewed the compliance programs of the Adviser and relevant affiliates thereof. Among other matters, the Board considered compliance and reporting matters relating to personal trading by investment personnel, selective disclosure of portfolio holdings, late trading, frequent trading, portfolio valuation, business continuity and the allocation of investment opportunities. The Board also evaluated the responses of the Adviser and its affiliates to requests in recent years from regulatory authorities such as the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority.

## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

#### Board of Trustees Contract Approval continued

The Board considered shareholder and other administrative services provided or managed by Eaton Vance Management and its affiliates, including transfer agency and accounting services. The Board evaluated the benefits to shareholders of investing in a fund that is a part of a large family of funds.

After consideration of the foregoing factors, among others, the Board concluded that the nature, extent and quality of services provided by the Adviser, taken as a whole, are appropriate and consistent with the terms of the investment advisory agreements.

#### Fund Performance

The Board compared each Fund's investment performance to a relevant universe of similarly managed funds identified by an independent data provider and appropriate benchmark indices and, where relevant, a peer group of similarly managed funds, and assessed each Fund's performance on the basis of total return and current income return. The Board's review included comparative performance data for the one-, three-, five- and ten-year periods ended September 30, 2011 for each Fund. The Board considered the impact of extraordinary market conditions in recent years on each Fund's performance relative to its peer universe in light of, among other things, the Adviser's efforts to generate competitive levels of tax exempt current income over time through investments in higher quality municipal bonds with longer maturities. The Board noted that the Adviser had taken action to restructure each Fund's portfolio as part of a long-term strategy for managing interest rate risk, consistent with each Fund's objective of providing current income, and that performance had improved relative to peer funds over recent periods. The Board concluded that each Fund's performance had been satisfactory on the basis of current income return, and that it was appropriate to continue to monitor the effectiveness of the actions taken by the Adviser to improve Fund performance on the basis of total return, which it noted had improved for periods ended as of December 31, 2011.

#### Management Fees and Expenses

The Board reviewed contractual investment advisory fee rates payable by each Fund (referred to as management fees). As part of its review, the Board considered the management fees and each Fund's total expense ratio for the year ended September 30, 2011, as compared to a group of similarly managed funds selected by an independent data provider. The Board also considered factors that had an impact on Fund expense ratios, as identified by management in response to inquiries from the Contract Review Committee, as well as actions taken by management in recent years to reduce expenses at the Eaton Vance fund complex level, including the negotiation of reduced fees for transfer agency and custody services.

After reviewing the foregoing information, and in light of the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by the Adviser, the Board concluded that the management fees charged for advisory and related services are reasonable.

#### Profitability

The Board reviewed the level of profits realized by the Adviser and relevant affiliates thereof in providing investment advisory and administrative services to each Fund and to all Eaton Vance Funds as a group. The Board considered the level of profits realized without regard to revenue sharing or other payments by the Adviser and its affiliates to third parties in respect of distribution services. The Board also considered other direct or indirect benefits received by the Adviser and its affiliates in connection with their relationships with the Funds, including the benefits of research services that may be available to the Adviser as a result of securities transactions effected for the Funds and other investment advisory clients.

The Board concluded that, in light of the foregoing factors and the nature, extent and quality of the services rendered, the profits realized by the Adviser and its affiliates are reasonable.

#### Economies of Scale

In reviewing management fees and profitability, the Board also considered the extent to which the Adviser and its affiliates, on the one hand, and each Fund, on the other hand, can expect to realize benefits from economies of scale as the assets of each Fund increase. The Board acknowledged the difficulty in accurately measuring the benefits resulting from the economies of scale with respect to the management of any specific fund or group of funds. The Board reviewed data



## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

summarizing the increases and decreases in the assets of each Fund and of all Eaton Vance Funds as a group over various time periods, and evaluated the extent to which the total expense ratio of each Fund and the profitability of the Adviser and its affiliates may have been affected by such increases or decreases. Based upon the foregoing, the Board concluded that each Fund currently shares in the benefits from economies of scale. The Board also considered the fact that the Funds are not continuously offered and concluded that, in light of the level of the Adviser's profits with respect to each Fund, the implementation of breakpoints in each Fund's advisory fee schedule is not appropriate at this time.

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

## Management and Organization

**Fund Management.** The Trustees of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund (EIM), Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund (EVM) and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund (ENX), (the Funds) are responsible for the overall management and supervision of the Funds' affairs. The Trustees and officers of the Funds are listed below. Except as indicated, each individual has held the office shown or other offices in the same company for the last five years. The

Noninterested Trustees consist of those Trustees who are not interested persons of the Funds, as that term is defined under the 1940 Act. The business address of each Trustee and officer is Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110. As used below, EVC refers to Eaton Vance Corp., EV refers to Eaton Vance, Inc., EVM refers to Eaton Vance Management, BMR refers to Boston Management and Research and EVD refers to Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc. EVC and EV are the corporate parent and trustee, respectively, of EVM and BMR. EVD is a wholly-owned subsidiary of EVC. Each officer affiliated with Eaton Vance may hold a position with other Eaton Vance affiliates that is comparable to his or her position with EVM listed below. Each Trustee oversees 186 portfolios in the Eaton Vance Complex (including all master and feeder funds in a master feeder structure). Each officer serves as an officer of certain other Eaton Vance funds. Each Trustee serves for a three year term. Each officer serves until his or her successor is elected.

Name and Year of Birth	Position(s) with the Funds	Term of Office; Length of Service	Principal Occupation(s) and Directorships
			During Past Five Years and Other Relevant Experience
<b>Interested Trustee</b>			
Thomas E. Faust Jr. 1958	Class II Trustee	Until 2013. 3 years. Trustee since 2007.	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of EVC, Director and President of EV, Chief Executive Officer and President of EVM and BMR, and Director of EVD. Trustee and/or officer of 186 registered investment companies. Mr. Faust is an interested person because of his positions with EVM, BMR, EVD, EVC and EV, which are affiliates of the Funds.  <b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> <sup>(1)</sup> Director of EVC and Hexavest Inc.
<b>Noninterested Trustees</b>			
Scott E. Eston 1956	Class II Trustee	Until 2013. 1 year. Trustee since 2011.	Private investor. Formerly held various positions at Grantham, Mayo, Van Otterloo and Co., L.L.C. (investment management firm) (1997-2009), including Chief Operating Officer (2002-2009), Chief Financial Officer (1997-2009) and Chairman of the Executive Committee (2002-2008); President and Principal Executive Officer, GMO Trust (open-end registered investment company) (2006-2009). Former Partner, Coopers and Lybrand L.L.P. (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (public accounting firm) (1987-1997).  <b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> None.
Benjamin C. Esty 1963	Class II Trustee	Until 2013. 2 years. Trustee since 2005.	Roy and Elizabeth Simmons Professor of Business Administration and Finance Unit Head, Harvard University Graduate School of Business Administration.  <b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> <sup>(1)</sup> None.
Allen R. Freedman	Class II	Until 2013.	Private Investor. Former Chairman (2002-2004) and a Director (1983-2004) of Systems & Computer Technology Corp. (provider of software to higher education). Formerly, a Director of Loring Ward International (fund distributor) (2005-2007). Former Chairman

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

1940	Trustee	3 years. Trustee since 2007.	<p>and a Director of Indus International, Inc. (provider of enterprise management software to the power generating industry) (2005-2007). Former Chief Executive Officer of Assurant, Inc. (insurance provider) (1979-2000).</p> <p><b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b><sup>(1)</sup> Director of Stonemor Partners, L.P. (owner and operator of cemeteries). Formerly, Director of Assurant, Inc. (insurance provider) (1979-2011).</p>
William H. Park	Class I	Until 2015. 3 years. Trustee since 2003.	<p>Consultant and private investor. Formerly, Chief Financial Officer, Aveon Group L.P. (investment management firm) (2010-2011). Formerly, Vice Chairman, Commercial Industrial Finance Corp. (specialty finance company) (2006-2010). Formerly, President and Chief Executive Officer, Prizm Capital Management, LLC (investment management firm) (2002-2005). Formerly, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, United Asset Management Corporation (investment management firm) (1982-2001). Formerly, Senior Manager, Price Waterhouse (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (an independent registered public accounting firm) (1972-1981).</p> <p><b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b><sup>(1)</sup> None.</p>
1947	Trustee		
Ronald A. Pearlman	Class III	Until 2014. 3 years. Trustee since 2003.	<p>Professor of Law, Georgetown University Law Center. Formerly, Deputy Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy) and Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy), U.S. Department of the Treasury (1983-1985). Formerly, Chief of Staff, Joint Committee on Taxation, U.S. Congress (1988-1990).</p> <p><b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b><sup>(1)</sup> None.</p>
1940	Trustee		

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

## Management and Organization continued

Name and Year of Birth	Position(s) with the Funds	Term of Office;	Principal Occupation(s) and Directorships During Past Five Years and Other Relevant Experience
		Length of Service	
<b>Noninterested Trustees (continued)</b>			
Helen Frame Peters 1948	Class III  Trustee	Until 2014. 3 years. Trustee since 2008.	Professor of Finance, Carroll School of Management, Boston College. Formerly, Dean, Carroll School of Management, Boston College (2000-2002). Formerly, Chief Investment Officer, Fixed Income, Scudder Kemper Investments (investment management firm) (1998-1999). Formerly, Chief Investment Officer, Equity and Fixed Income, Colonial Management Associates (investment management firm) (1991-1998).  <b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> <sup>(1)</sup> Formerly, Director of BJ's Wholesale Club, Inc. (wholesale club retailer) (2004-2011). Formerly, Trustee of SPDR Index Shares Funds and SPDR Series Trust (exchange traded funds) (2000-2009). Formerly, Director of Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (a bank for banks) (2007-2009).
Lynn A. Stout 1957	Class I  Trustee	Until 2015. 3 years. Trustee since 2002.	Distinguished Professor of Corporate and Business Law, Jack G. Clarke Business Law Institute, Cornell University Law School. Formerly, the Paul Hastings Professor of Corporate and Securities Law (2006-2012) and Professor of Law (2001-2006), University of California at Los Angeles School of Law.  <b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> <sup>(1)</sup> None.
Harriett Tee Taggart 1948	Class III  Trustee	Until 2014. 2 years. Trustee since 2011.	Managing Director, Taggart Associates (a professional practice firm). Formerly, Partner and Senior Vice President, Wellington Management Company, LLP (investment management firm) (1983-2006).  <b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> Director of Albemarle Corporation (chemicals manufacturer) (since 2007) and The Hanover Group (specialty property and casualty insurance company) (since 2009). Formerly, Director of Lubrizol Corporation (specialty chemicals) (2007-2011).
Ralph F. Verni 1943	Chairman of the Board and Class I  Trustee	Until 2015. 3 years. Chairman of the Board since 2007 and Trustee since 2005.	Consultant and private investor. Formerly, Chief Investment Officer (1982-1992), Chief Financial Officer (1988-1990) and Director (1982-1992), New England Life. Formerly, Chairperson, New England Mutual Funds (1982-1992). Formerly, President and Chief Executive Officer, State Street Management & Research (1992-2000). Formerly, Chairperson, State Street Research Mutual Funds (1992-2000). Formerly, Director, W.P. Carey, LLC (1998-2004) and First Pioneer Farm Credit Corp. (2002-2006).  <b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> <sup>(1)</sup> None.

## Principal Officers who are not Trustees

Name and Year of Birth	Position(s) with the Funds	Length of	Principal Occupation(s)
------------------------	----------------------------	-----------	-------------------------

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

		<b>Service</b>	<b>During Past Five Years</b>
Cynthia J. Clemson 1963	President of EVM and ENX	Since 2005	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
Thomas M. Metzold	President of EIM	Since 2010	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1958 Payson F. Swaffield	Vice President	Since 2011	Vice President and Chief Income Investment Officer of EVM and BMR.
1956 Barbara E. Campbell	Treasurer	Since 2005	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1957 Maureen A. Gemma	Vice President, Secretary and Chief Legal Officer	Vice President since 2011, Secretary since 2007 and Chief Legal Officer since 2008	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1960 Paul M. O Neil	Chief Compliance Officer	Since 2004	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1953			

(1) During their respective tenures, the Trustees (except Mr. Eston and Ms. Taggart) also served as trustees of one or more of the following Eaton Vance funds (which operated in the years noted): Eaton Vance Credit Opportunities Fund (launched in 2005 and terminated in 2010); Eaton Vance Insured Florida Plus Municipal Bond Fund (launched in 2002 and terminated in 2009); and Eaton Vance National Municipal Income Trust (launched in 1998 and terminated in 2009).

## Eaton Vance Funds

### IMPORTANT NOTICES

**Privacy.** The Eaton Vance organization is committed to ensuring your financial privacy. Each of the financial institutions identified below has in effect the following policy ( Privacy Policy ) with respect to nonpublic personal information about its customers:

Only such information received from you, through application forms or otherwise, and information about your Eaton Vance fund transactions will be collected. This may include information such as name, address, social security number, tax status, account balances and transactions.

None of such information about you (or former customers) will be disclosed to anyone, except as permitted by law (which includes disclosure to employees necessary to service your account). In the normal course of servicing a customer's account, Eaton Vance may share information with unaffiliated third parties that perform various required services such as transfer agents, custodians and broker-dealers.

Policies and procedures (including physical, electronic and procedural safeguards) are in place that are designed to protect the confidentiality of such information.

We reserve the right to change our Privacy Policy at any time upon proper notification to you. Customers may want to review our Privacy Policy periodically for changes by accessing the link on our homepage: [www.eatonvance.com](http://www.eatonvance.com).

Our pledge of privacy applies to the following entities within the Eaton Vance organization: the Eaton Vance Family of Funds, Eaton Vance Management, Eaton Vance Investment Counsel, Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc., Eaton Vance Trust Company, Eaton Vance Management's Real Estate Investment Group and Boston Management and Research. In addition, our Privacy Policy applies only to those Eaton Vance customers who are individuals and who have a direct relationship with us. If a customer's account (i.e., fund shares) is held in the name of a third-party financial advisor/broker-dealer, it is likely that only such advisor's privacy policies apply to the customer. This notice supersedes all previously issued privacy disclosures. For more information about Eaton Vance's Privacy Policy, please call 1-800-262-1122.

**Delivery of Shareholder Documents.** The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) permits funds to deliver only one copy of shareholder documents, including prospectuses, proxy statements and shareholder reports, to fund investors with multiple accounts at the same residential or post office box address. This practice is often called "householding" and it helps eliminate duplicate mailings to shareholders. *Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, may household the mailing of your documents indefinitely unless you instruct Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, otherwise.* If you would prefer that your Eaton Vance documents not be househanded, please contact Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122, or contact your financial advisor. Your instructions that householding not apply to delivery of your Eaton Vance documents will be effective within 30 days of receipt by Eaton Vance or your financial advisor.

**Portfolio Holdings.** Each Eaton Vance Fund and its underlying Portfolio(s) (if applicable) will file a schedule of portfolio holdings on Form N-Q with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year. The Form N-Q will be available on the Eaton Vance website at [www.eatonvance.com](http://www.eatonvance.com), by calling Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122 or in the EDGAR database on the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). Form N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC's public reference room in Washington, D.C. (call 1-800-732-0330 for information on the operation of the public reference room).

**Proxy Voting.** From time to time, funds are required to vote proxies related to the securities held by the funds. The Eaton Vance Funds or their underlying Portfolios (if applicable) vote proxies according to a set of policies and procedures approved by the Funds' and Portfolios' Boards. You may obtain a description of these policies and procedures and information on how the Funds or Portfolios voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30, without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122 and by accessing the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).

**Additional Notice to Shareholders.** A Fund also may purchase shares of its common stock in the open market when they trade at a discount to net asset value or at other times if the Fund determines such purchases are advisable. There can be no assurance that a Fund will take such action or that such purchases would reduce the discount. If applicable, a Fund may also redeem or purchase its outstanding auction preferred shares (APS) in order to maintain compliance with regulatory requirements, borrowing or rating agency requirements or for other purposes as it deems appropriate or necessary.

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

**Closed-End Fund Information.** The Eaton Vance closed-end funds make certain fund performance data and information about portfolio characteristics (such as top holdings and asset allocation) available on the Eaton Vance website after the end of each month. Certain fund performance data for the funds, including total returns, are posted to the website shortly after the end of each month. Portfolio holdings for the most recent month-end are also posted to the website approximately 30 days following the end of the month. This information is available at [www.eatonvance.com](http://www.eatonvance.com) on the fund information pages under Individual Investors Closed-End Funds .

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



This Page Intentionally Left Blank

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Investment Adviser and Administrator

**Eaton Vance Management**

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

Custodian

**State Street Bank and Trust Company**

200 Clarendon Street

Boston, MA 02116

Transfer Agent

**American Stock Transfer & Trust Company**

59 Maiden Lane

Plaza Level

New York, NY 10038

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

**Deloitte & Touche LLP**

200 Berkeley Street

Boston, MA 02116-5022

Fund Offices

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

1453-11/12

CE-IMBSRC

**Item 2. Code of Ethics**

Not required in this filing.

**Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert**

The registrant's Board has designated William H. Park, an independent trustee, as its audit committee financial expert. Mr. Park is a certified public accountant who is a consultant and private investor. Previously, he served as the Chief Financial Officer of Aveon Group, L.P. (an investment management firm), as the Vice Chairman of Commercial Industrial Finance Corp. (specialty finance company), as President and Chief Executive Officer of Prizm Capital Management, LLC (investment management firm), as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of United Asset Management Corporation (an institutional investment management firm) and as a Senior Manager at Price Waterhouse (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (an independent registered public accounting firm).

**Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

**(a) (d)**

The following table presents the aggregate fees billed to the registrant for the registrant's fiscal years ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2012 by the registrant's principal accountant, Deloitte & Touche LLP ( "D&T" ), for professional services rendered for the audit of the registrant's annual financial statements and fees billed for other services rendered by D&T during such periods.

<b>Fiscal Years Ended</b>	<b>9/30/11</b>	<b>9/30/12</b>
Audit Fees	\$ 59,970	\$ 68,210
Audit-Related Fees <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0	\$ 0
Tax Fees <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 13,200	\$ 13,550
All Other Fees <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 300	\$ 310
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 73,470</b>	<b>\$ 82,070</b>

(1) Audit-related fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit of financial statements and are not reported under the category of audit fees.

(2) Tax fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the principal accountant relating to tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning and specifically include fees for tax return preparation and other related tax compliance/planning matters.

(3) All other fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for products and services provided by the registrant's principal accountant other than audit, audit-related, and tax services.

(e)(1) The registrant's audit committee has adopted policies and procedures relating to the pre-approval of services provided by the registrant's principal accountant (the "Pre-Approval Policies"). The Pre-Approval Policies establish a framework intended to assist the audit committee in the proper discharge of its pre-approval responsibilities. As a general matter, the Pre-Approval Policies (i) specify certain types of audit, audit-related, tax, and other services determined to be pre-approved by the audit committee; and (ii) delineate specific procedures governing the mechanics of the pre-approval process, including the approval and monitoring of audit and non-audit service fees. Unless a service is specifically pre-approved under the Pre-Approval Policies, it must be separately pre-approved by the audit committee.

The Pre-Approval Policies and the types of audit and non-audit services pre-approved therein must be reviewed and ratified by the registrant's audit committee at least annually. The registrant's audit committee maintains full responsibility for the appointment, compensation, and oversight of the work of the registrant's principal accountant.

(e)(2) No services described in paragraphs (b)-(d) above were approved by the registrant's audit committee pursuant to the de minimis exception set forth in Rule 2-01 (c)(7)(i)(C) of Regulation S-X.

(f) Not applicable.

(g) The following table presents (i) the aggregate non-audit fees (i.e., fees for audit-related, tax, and other services) billed to the registrant by D&T for the registrant's fiscal years ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2012; and (ii) the aggregate non-audit fees (i.e., fees for audit-related, tax, and other services) billed to the Eaton Vance organization by D&T for the same time periods.

Fiscal Years Ended	9/30/11	9/30/12
<b>Registrant</b>	\$ 13,500	\$ 13,860
<b>Eaton Vance<sup>(1)</sup></b>	\$ 226,431	\$ 606,619

(1) The investment adviser to the registrant, as well as any of its affiliates that provide ongoing services to the registrant, are subsidiaries of Eaton Vance Corp.

(h) The registrant's audit committee has considered whether the provision by the registrant's principal accountant of non-audit services to the registrant's investment adviser and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant that were not pre-approved pursuant to Rule 2-01(c)(7)(ii) of Regulation S-X is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant's independence.

#### **Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrants**

The registrant has a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. William H. Park (Chair), Scott E. Eston, Helen Frame Peters, Lynn A. Stout and Ralph F. Verni are the members of the registrant's audit committee.

#### **Item 6. Schedule of Investments**

Please see schedule of investments contained in the Report to Stockholders included under Item 1 of this Form N-CSR.

#### **Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies**

The Board of Trustees of the Trust has adopted a proxy voting policy and procedure (the "Fund Policy"), pursuant to which the Trustees have delegated proxy voting responsibility to the Fund's investment adviser and adopted the investment adviser's proxy voting policies and procedures (the "Policies") which are described below. The Trustees will review the Fund's proxy voting records from time to time and will annually consider approving the Policies for the upcoming year. In the event that a conflict of interest arises between the Fund's shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund, the investment adviser will generally refrain from voting the proxies related to the companies giving rise to such conflict until it consults with the Board's Special Committee except as contemplated under the Fund Policy. The Board's Special Committee will instruct the investment adviser on the appropriate course of action.

The Policies are designed to promote accountability of a company's management to its shareholders and to align the interests of management with those shareholders. An independent proxy voting service ("Agent"), currently Institutional Shareholder Services, Inc., has been retained to assist in the voting of proxies through the provision of vote analysis, implementation and recordkeeping and disclosure

services. The investment adviser will generally vote proxies through the Agent. The Agent is required to vote all proxies and/or refer them back to the investment adviser pursuant to the Policies. It is generally the policy of the investment adviser to vote in accordance with the recommendation of the Agent. The Agent shall refer to the investment adviser proxies relating to mergers and restructurings, and the disposition of assets, termination, liquidation and mergers contained in mutual fund proxies. The investment adviser will normally vote against anti-takeover measures and other proposals designed to limit the ability of shareholders to act on possible transactions, except in the case of closed-end management investment companies. The investment adviser generally supports management on social and environmental proposals. The investment adviser may abstain from voting from time to time where it determines that the costs associated with voting a proxy outweighs the benefits derived from exercising the right to vote or the economic effect on shareholders interests or the value of the portfolio holding is indeterminable or insignificant.

In addition, the investment adviser will monitor situations that may result in a conflict of interest between the Fund's shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund by maintaining a list of significant existing and prospective corporate clients. The investment adviser's personnel responsible for reviewing and voting proxies on behalf of the Fund will report any proxy received or expected to be received from a company included on that list to the personnel of the investment adviser identified in the Policies. If such personnel expects to instruct the Agent to vote such proxies in a manner inconsistent with the guidelines of the Policies or the recommendation of the Agent, the personnel will consult with members of senior management of the investment adviser to determine if a material conflict of interests exists. If it is determined that a material conflict does exist, the investment adviser will seek instruction on how to vote from the Special Committee.

Information on how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12 month period ended June 30 is available (1) without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122, and (2) on the Securities and Exchange Commission's website at <http://www.sec.gov>.

#### **Item 8. Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies**

##### **Portfolio Management**

Cynthia J. Clemson, William H. Ahern, Jr. and Craig R. Brandon are the portfolio managers of Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund, respectively, and are responsible for the overall and day-to-day management of each Fund's investments.

Ms. Clemson has been an Eaton Vance portfolio manager since 1991 and is a Vice President of Eaton Vance Management ( EVM ) and Boston Management and Research ( BMR ). Mr. Ahern has been an Eaton Vance portfolio manager since 1993 and is a Vice President of EVM and BMR. Mr. Brandon has been an Eaton Vance analyst since 1998 and a portfolio manager since 2004, and is a Vice President of EVM and BMR. This information is provided as of the date of filing of this report.

The following tables show, as of each Fund's most recent fiscal year end, the number of accounts each portfolio manager managed in each of the listed categories and the total assets (in millions of dollars) in the accounts managed within each category. The table also shows the number of accounts with respect to which the advisory fee is based on the performance of the account, if any, and the total assets (in millions of dollars) in those accounts.



Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

	Number of All Accounts	Total Assets of All Accounts	Number of Accounts Paying a Performance Fee	Total Assets of Accounts Paying a Performance Fee
<b>Cynthia J. Clemson</b>				
Registered Investment Companies	10	\$ 2,411.7	0	\$ 0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0
Other Accounts	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0
<b>William H. Ahern, Jr.</b>				
Registered Investment Companies	13	\$ 2,513.2	0	\$ 0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0
Other Accounts	1	\$ 23.2	0	\$ 0
<b>Craig R. Brandon</b>				
Registered Investment Companies	13	\$ 1,452.1	0	\$ 0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0
Other Accounts	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0

The following table shows the dollar range of Fund shares beneficially owned by each portfolio manager as of each Fund's most recent fiscal year end.

	Dollar Range of Equity Securities Owned in the Fund
California Municipal Bond Fund Cynthia J. Clemson	None
Municipal Bond Fund William H. Ahern, Jr.	None
New York Municipal Bond Fund Craig R. Brandon	None

*Potential for Conflicts of Interest.* It is possible that conflicts of interest may arise in connection with a portfolio manager's management of a Fund's investments on the one hand and investments of other accounts for which a portfolio manager is responsible on the other. For example, a portfolio manager may have conflicts of interest in allocating management time, resources and investment opportunities

among the Fund and other accounts he or she advises. In addition, due to differences in the investment strategies or restrictions between a Fund and the other accounts, a portfolio manager may take action with respect to another account that differs from the action taken with respect to the Fund. In some cases, another account managed by a portfolio manager may compensate the investment adviser based on the performance of the securities held by that account. The existence of such a performance based fee may create additional conflicts of interest for a portfolio manager in the allocation of management time, resources and investment opportunities. Whenever conflicts of interest arise, a portfolio manager will endeavor to exercise his or her discretion in a manner that he or she believes is equitable to all interested persons. EVM has adopted several policies and procedures designed to address these potential conflicts including a code of ethics and policies which govern the investment adviser's trading practices, including among other things the aggregation and allocation of trades among clients, brokerage allocation, cross trades and best execution.

### **Compensation Structure for EVM**

Compensation of EVM's portfolio managers and other investment professionals has three primary components: (1) a base salary, (2) an annual cash bonus, and (3) annual stock-based compensation consisting of options to purchase shares of EVC's nonvoting common stock and/or restricted shares of EVC's nonvoting common stock. EVM's investment professionals also receive certain retirement, insurance and other benefits that are broadly available to EVM's employees. Compensation of EVM's investment professionals is reviewed primarily on an annual basis. Cash bonuses, stock-based compensation awards, and adjustments in base salary are typically paid or put into effect at or shortly after the October 31st fiscal year end of EVC.

*Method to Determine Compensation.* EVM compensates its portfolio managers based primarily on the scale and complexity of their portfolio responsibilities and the total return performance of managed funds and accounts versus the benchmark(s) stated in the prospectus, as well as an appropriate peer group (as described below). In addition to rankings within peer groups of funds on the basis of absolute performance, consideration may also be given to relative risk-adjusted performance. Risk-adjusted performance measures include, but are not limited to, the Sharpe Ratio. Performance is normally based on periods ending on the September 30th preceding fiscal year end. Fund performance is normally evaluated primarily versus peer groups of funds as determined by Lipper Inc. and/or Morningstar, Inc. When a fund's peer group as determined by Lipper or Morningstar is deemed by EVM's management not to provide a fair comparison, performance may instead be evaluated primarily against a custom peer group. In evaluating the performance of a fund and its manager, primary emphasis is normally placed on three-year performance, with secondary consideration of performance over longer and shorter periods. For funds that are tax-managed or otherwise have an objective of after-tax returns, performance is measured net of taxes. For other funds, performance is evaluated on a pre-tax basis. For funds with an investment objective other than total return (such as current income), consideration will also be given to the fund's success in achieving its objective. For managers responsible for multiple funds and accounts, investment performance is evaluated on an aggregate basis, based on averages or weighted averages among managed funds and accounts. Funds and accounts that have performance-based advisory fees are not accorded disproportionate weightings in measuring aggregate portfolio manager performance.

The compensation of portfolio managers with other job responsibilities (such as heading an investment group or providing analytical support to other portfolios) will include consideration of the scope of such responsibilities and the managers' performance in meeting them.

EVM seeks to compensate portfolio managers commensurate with their responsibilities and performance, and competitive with other firms within the investment management industry. EVM participates in investment-industry compensation surveys and utilizes survey data as a factor in

determining salary, bonus and stock-based compensation levels for portfolio managers and other investment professionals. Salaries, bonuses and stock-based compensation are also influenced by the operating performance of EVM and its parent company. The overall annual cash bonus pool is based on a substantially fixed percentage of pre-bonus operating income. While the salaries of EVM's portfolio managers are comparatively fixed, cash bonuses and stock-based compensation may fluctuate significantly from year to year, based on changes in manager performance and other factors as described herein. For a high performing portfolio manager, cash bonuses and stock-based compensation may represent a substantial portion of total compensation.

**Item 9. Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Management Investment Company and Affiliated Purchasers**

No such purchases this period.

**Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

No Material Changes.

**Item 11. Controls and Procedures**

(a) It is the conclusion of the registrant's principal executive officer and principal financial officer that the effectiveness of the registrant's current disclosure controls and procedures (such disclosure controls and procedures having been evaluated within 90 days of the date of this filing) provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the Commission's rules and forms and that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been accumulated and communicated to the registrant's principal executive officer and principal financial officer in order to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) There have been no changes in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

**Item 12. Exhibits**

- (a)(1) Registrant's Code of Ethics Not applicable (please see Item 2).
- (a)(2)(i) Treasurer's Section 302 certification.
- (a)(2)(ii) President's Section 302 certification.
- (b) Combined Section 906 certification.

**Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund

By: /s/ Cynthia J. Clemson  
Cynthia J. Clemson  
President

Date: November 9, 2012

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Barbara E. Campbell  
Barbara E. Campbell  
Treasurer

Date: November 9, 2012

By: /s/ Cynthia J. Clemson  
Cynthia J. Clemson  
President

Date: November 9, 2012