

WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/
Form 10-Q
May 10, 2012

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarter ended March 31, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from [_____] to [_____]

Commission file number 1-9876

Weingarten Realty Investors

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

TEXAS
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

74-1464203
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2600 Citadel Plaza Drive
P.O. Box 924133
Houston, Texas
(Address of principal executive offices)

77292-4133
(Zip Code)

(713) 866-6000
(Registrant's telephone number)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal

year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-Q

to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES x NO "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES x NO "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x

Accelerated filer "

Non-accelerated filer "

Smaller reporting company "

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES " NO x

As of April 30, 2012, there were 121,193,807 common shares of beneficial interest of Weingarten Realty Investors, \$.03 par value, outstanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| PART I. | Financial Information: | Page Number |
|-----------------|--|-------------|
| Item 1. | <u>Financial Statements (unaudited):</u> | |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 and 2011</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011</u> | 4 |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 and 2011</u> | 5 |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 and 2011</u> | 6 |
| | <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 7 |
| Item 2. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 29 |
| Item 3. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 38 |
| Item 4. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 38 |
| | | |
| PART II. | Other Information: | |
| Item 1. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 39 |
| Item 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 39 |
| Item 2. | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 39 |
| Item 3. | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 39 |
| Item 4. | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 39 |
| Item 5. | <u>Other Information</u> | 39 |
| Item 6. | <u>Exhibits</u> | 39 |
| | <u>Signatures</u> | 40 |
| | <u>Exhibit Index</u> | 41 |

PART I-FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Financial Statements

WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenues: | | |
| Rentals, net | \$ 119,747 | \$ 115,561 |
| Other | 2,724 | 2,546 |
| Total | 122,471 | 118,107 |
| Expenses: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 34,377 | 32,951 |
| Operating | 23,014 | 21,526 |
| Real estate taxes, net | 14,164 | 14,285 |
| Impairment loss | 6,852 | 770 |
| General and administrative | 8,307 | 6,536 |
| Total | 86,714 | 76,068 |
| Operating Income | 35,757 | 42,039 |
| Interest Expense, net | (31,429) | (36,694) |
| Interest and Other Income, net | 2,386 | 2,055 |
| Gain on Sale of Real Estate Joint Venture and Partnership Interests | 5,562 | - |
| Equity in Earnings of Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net | 4,075 | 3,397 |
| Benefit for Income Taxes | 22 | 316 |
| Income from Continuing Operations | 16,373 | 11,113 |
| Operating Income from Discontinued Operations | 2,191 | 5,015 |
| Gain on Sale of Property from Discontinued Operations | 3,634 | - |
| Income from Discontinued Operations | 5,825 | 5,015 |
| Gain on Sale of Property | 440 | 1,060 |
| Net Income | 22,638 | 17,188 |
| Less: Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | (1,441) | (1,092) |
| Net Income Adjusted for Noncontrolling Interests | 21,197 | 16,096 |
| Dividends on Preferred Shares | (8,869) | (8,869) |
| Net Income Attributable to Common Shareholders | \$ 12,328 | \$ 7,227 |
| Earnings Per Common Share - Basic: | | |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.02 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.05 | 0.04 |

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Net income attributable to common shareholders | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.06 |
| Earnings Per Common Share - Diluted: | | |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.02 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.05 | 0.04 |
| Net income attributable to common shareholders | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.06 |
| Comprehensive Income: | | |
| Net Income | \$ 22,638 | \$ 17,188 |
| Net unrealized gain on derivatives | 16 | 111 |
| Amortization of loss on derivatives | 659 | 619 |
| Comprehensive Income | 23,313 | 17,918 |
| Comprehensive Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | (1,441) | (1,092) |
| Comprehensive Income Adjusted for Noncontrolling Interests | \$ 21,872 | \$ 16,826 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

| | March 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Property | \$ 4,263,222 | \$ 4,688,526 |
| Accumulated Depreciation | (981,443) | (1,059,531) |
| Property Held for Sale, net | 414,413 | 73,241 |
| Property, net * | 3,696,192 | 3,702,236 |
| Investment in Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net | 338,332 | 341,608 |
| Total | 4,034,524 | 4,043,844 |
| Notes Receivable from Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships | 92,216 | 149,204 |
| Unamortized Debt and Lease Costs, net | 117,346 | 115,191 |
| Accrued Rent and Accounts Receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$12,244 in 2012 and \$11,301 in 2011) * | 69,702 | 86,530 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents * | 18,024 | 13,642 |
| Restricted Deposits and Mortgage Escrows | 9,834 | 11,144 |
| Other, net | 170,895 | 168,671 |
| Total Assets | \$ 4,512,541 | \$ 4,588,226 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Debt, net * | \$ 2,497,561 | \$ 2,531,837 |
| Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses | 95,436 | 124,888 |
| Other, net | 115,285 | 107,919 |
| Total Liabilities | 2,708,282 | 2,764,644 |
| Commitments and Contingencies | | |
| Equity: | | |
| Shareholders' Equity: | | |
| Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest - par value, \$.03 per share; shares authorized: 10,000 | 3 | 3 |
| 6.75% Series D cumulative redeemable preferred shares of beneficial interest; 100 shares issued and outstanding in 2012 and 2011; liquidation preference \$75,000 | | |
| 6.95% Series E cumulative redeemable preferred shares of beneficial interest; 29 shares issued and outstanding in 2012 and 2011; liquidation preference \$72,500 | 1 | 1 |
| 6.5% Series F cumulative redeemable preferred shares of beneficial interest; 140 shares issued and outstanding in 2012 and 2011; liquidation preference \$350,000 | 4 | 4 |
| Common Shares of Beneficial Interest - par value, \$.03 per share; shares authorized: 275,000; shares issued and outstanding: 121,113 in 2012 and 120,844 in 2011 | 3,649 | 3,641 |
| Additional Paid-In Capital | 1,989,198 | 1,983,978 |
| Net Income Less Than Accumulated Dividends | (327,296) | (304,504) |
| Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | (27,068) | (27,743) |
| Total Shareholders' Equity | 1,638,491 | 1,655,380 |
| Noncontrolling Interests | 165,768 | 168,202 |
| Total Equity | 1,804,259 | 1,823,582 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$ 4,512,541 | \$ 4,588,226 |

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-Q

* Consolidated Variable Interest Entities Assets and Liabilities included in the above balances (See Note 17):

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Property, net | \$ 227,743 | \$ 230,159 |
| Accrued Rent and Accounts Receivable, net | 6,997 | 8,564 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | 12,148 | 11,382 |
| Debt, net | 278,594 | 279,301 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities: | | |
| Net Income | \$ 22,638 | \$ 17,188 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 38,850 | 38,749 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs and debt discount | 264 | 694 |
| Impairment loss | 10,023 | 1,225 |
| Equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures and partnerships, net | (4,075) | (3,397) |
| Gain on sale of property | (4,074) | (1,060) |
| Gain on sale of real estate joint venture and partnership interests | (5,562) | - |
| Distributions of income from real estate joint ventures and partnerships, net | 601 | 385 |
| Changes in accrued rent and accounts receivable, net | 16,703 | 19,961 |
| Changes in other assets, net | (5,503) | (4,389) |
| Changes in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities, net | (27,524) | (44,167) |
| Other, net | 2,771 | 2,844 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 45,112 | 28,033 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities: | | |
| Acquisition of real estate and land | (20,135) | (18,881) |
| Development and capital improvements | (18,633) | (15,391) |
| Proceeds from sale of property and real estate equity investments, net | 13,629 | 2,573 |
| Change in restricted deposits and mortgage escrows | 1,106 | (10,746) |
| Notes receivable from real estate joint ventures and partnerships and other receivables: | | |
| Advances | (79) | (1,821) |
| Collections | 59,897 | 2,041 |
| Real estate joint ventures and partnerships: | | |
| Investments | (5,518) | (93) |
| Distributions of capital | 3,347 | 4,141 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 33,614 | (38,177) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities: | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of: | | |
| Common shares of beneficial interest, net | 458 | 1,510 |
| Principal payments of debt | (31,204) | (5,098) |
| Changes in unsecured revolving credit facilities | 3,500 | 63,000 |
| Common and preferred dividends paid | (43,333) | (41,391) |
| Debt issuance costs paid | (70) | (97) |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | (3,756) | (4,438) |
| Contributions from noncontrolling interests | - | 1,600 |
| Other, net | 61 | (27) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (74,344) | 15,059 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 4,382 | 4,915 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at January 1 | 13,642 | 23,859 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at March 31 | \$ 18,024 | \$ 28,774 |

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Interest paid during the period (net of amount capitalized of \$783 and \$337, respectively) | \$ 40,836 | \$ 48,360 |
| Income taxes paid during the period | \$ - | \$ 177 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest | Common Shares of Beneficial Interest | Additional Paid-In Capital | Net Income Less Than Accumulated Dividends | Accumulated Loss | Other Comprehensive Interests | Noncontrolling Interests | Total |
|---|---|--|----------------------------------|---|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Balance, January 1, 2011 | \$ 8 | \$ 3,630 | \$ 1,969,905 | \$ (151,780) | \$ (21,774) | \$ 180,268 | | \$ 1,980,257 |
| Net income | | | | 16,096 | | 1,092 | | 17,188 |
| Shares issued under benefit plans | | 6 | 3,824 | | | | | 3,830 |
| Dividends declared common shares (1) | | | | (33,178) | | | | (33,178) |
| Dividends declared preferred shares (2) | | | | (8,213) | | | | (8,213) |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | | | | | | | (4,438) | (4,438) |
| Contributions from noncontrolling interests | | | | | | 1,600 | | 1,600 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | | | 730 | | 730 |
| Other, net | | | 2,233 | (656) | | (1,775) | | (198) |
| Balance, March 31, 2011 | \$ 8 | \$ 3,636 | \$ 1,975,962 | \$ (177,731) | \$ (21,044) | \$ 176,747 | | \$ 1,957,578 |
| Balance, January 1, 2012 | \$ 8 | \$ 3,641 | \$ 1,983,978 | \$ (304,504) | \$ (27,743) | \$ 168,202 | | \$ 1,823,582 |
| Net income | | | | 21,197 | | 1,441 | | 22,638 |
| Shares issued under benefit plans | | 8 | 4,707 | | | | | 4,715 |
| Dividends declared common shares (1) | | | | (35,120) | | | | (35,120) |
| Dividends declared preferred shares (2) | | | | (8,213) | | | | (8,213) |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | | | | | | | (3,756) | (3,756) |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | | | 675 | | 675 |
| Other, net | | | 513 | (656) | | (119) | | (262) |
| Balance, March 31, 2012 | \$ 8 | \$ 3,649 | \$ 1,989,198 | \$ (327,296) | \$ (27,068) | \$ 165,768 | | \$ 1,804,259 |

(1) Common dividend per share was \$.290 and \$.275 for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

(2) Series D, E and F preferred dividend per share was \$12.66, \$43.44 and \$40.63, respectively, for both the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011.

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*Business*

Weingarten Realty Investors is a real estate investment trust (REIT) organized under the Texas Business Organizations Code. We, and our predecessor entity, began the ownership and development of shopping centers and other commercial real estate in 1948. Our primary business is leasing space to tenants in the shopping and industrial centers we own or lease. We also manage centers for joint ventures in which we are partners or for other outside owners for which we charge fees.

We operate a portfolio of properties that include neighborhood and community shopping centers and industrial properties of approximately 74.1 million square feet. We have a diversified tenant base with our largest tenant comprising only 3.4% of total rental revenues during the first quarter of 2012.

We currently operate, and intend to operate in the future, as a REIT.

Basis of Presentation

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of our subsidiaries, certain partially owned real estate joint ventures or partnerships and variable interest entities (VIEs) which meet the guidelines for consolidation. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

The condensed consolidated financial statements included in this report are unaudited; however, amounts presented in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 are derived from our audited financial statements at that date. In our opinion, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of such financial statements have been included. Such adjustments consisted of normal recurring items. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

The condensed consolidated financial statements and notes are presented as permitted by Form 10-Q and certain information included in our annual financial statements and notes has been condensed or omitted. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Such statements require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts on our consolidated financial statements. Actual results could differ from these estimates. We have evaluated subsequent events for recognition or disclosure in our consolidated financial statements.

Restricted Deposits and Mortgage Escrows

Restricted deposits and mortgage escrows consist of escrow deposits held by lenders primarily for property taxes, insurance and replacement reserves and restricted cash that is held for a specific use or in a qualified escrow account for the purposes of completing like-kind exchange transactions.

Our restricted deposits and mortgage escrows consists of the following (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Restricted cash | \$ 3,445 | \$ 3,169 |

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|------------------|--|----------|----|--------|
| Mortgage escrows | | 6,389 | | 7,975 |
| Total | | \$ 9,834 | \$ | 11,144 |

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Our accumulated other comprehensive loss consists of the following (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Derivatives | \$ 9,341 | \$ 10,016 |
| Retirement liability | 17,727 | 17,727 |
| Total | \$ 27,068 | \$ 27,743 |

Reclassifications

The reclassification of prior years' operating results for certain properties classified as discontinued operations was made to conform to the current year presentation (see Note 10 for additional information). Also, in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows to conform to the current year presentation, prior years' distribution to noncontrolling interests and contributions from noncontrolling interests was reclassified from other, net, and the acquisition of real estate and land was reclassified from development and capital improvements (which was previously titled investment in property). These reclassifications had no impact on previously reported net income, earnings per share, the consolidated balance sheet or cash flows.

Note 2. Newly Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs, which amends previous guidance resulting in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements between GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. The amendments both clarify the application of existing fair value measurement requirements and changes certain principles or requirements for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The provisions of this update are effective for us at January 1, 2012. The adoption of this update did not materially impact our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05 (ASU 2011-05), Presentation of Comprehensive Income, which amends previous guidance by requiring all nonowner changes in equity to be presented in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In addition, an entity will be required to present on the face of the financial statements, reclassification adjustments for items reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income. In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-12, Deferral of Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05, which primarily defers the provision of ASU 2011-05 requiring the presentation on the face of the financial statements other comprehensive income reclassification adjustments. All other provisions of ASU 2011-05 are effective for us at January 1, 2012. Our early adoption of this update as of December 31, 2011, did not materially impact our consolidated financial statements.

Note 3. Property

Our property consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Land | \$ 854,805 | \$ 918,627 |
| Land held for development | 125,435 | 124,528 |
| Land under development | 18,493 | 20,281 |
| Buildings and improvements | 3,201,315 | 3,557,173 |
| Construction in-progress | 63,174 | 67,917 |

| | | |
|-------|--------------|--------------|
| Total | \$ 4,263,222 | \$ 4,688,526 |
|-------|--------------|--------------|

The following carrying charges were capitalized (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Interest | \$ 783 | \$ 337 |
| Real estate taxes | 123 | 11 |
| Total | \$ 906 | \$ 348 |

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, we acquired one shopping center and other retail property for approximately \$19.4 million.

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, we sold four shopping centers and other retail property. We also assigned a 75% consolidated joint venture interest to our partner. Aggregate gross sales proceeds including the assumption of debt from these transactions totaled \$16.2 million and generated gains of \$4.1 million.

Also, sixty and seven properties totaling \$536.6 million and \$94.8 million before accumulated depreciation have been classified as held for sale as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. See Note 10 for additional information.

Note 4. Investment in Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships

We own interests in real estate joint ventures or limited partnerships and have tenancy-in-common interests in which we exercise significant influence, but do not have financial and operating control. We account for these investments using the equity method, and our interests range from 10% to 75% for the 2012 periods presented and 7.8% to 75% for the 2011 periods presented. Combined condensed financial information of these ventures (at 100%) is summarized as follows (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|
| Combined Condensed Balance Sheets | | |
| Property | \$ 2,024,964 | \$ 2,108,745 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (297,029) | (296,496) |
| Property, net | 1,727,935 | 1,812,249 |
| Other assets, net | 170,266 | 173,130 |
| Total | \$ 1,898,201 | \$ 1,985,379 |
| Debt, net (primarily mortgages payable) | \$ 554,038 | \$ 556,920 |
| Amounts payable to Weingarten Realty Investors and affiliates | 109,599 | 170,007 |
| Other liabilities, net | 41,253 | 41,907 |
| Total | 704,890 | 768,834 |
| Accumulated equity | 1,193,311 | 1,216,545 |
| Total | \$ 1,898,201 | \$ 1,985,379 |

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Combined Condensed Statements of Operations | | |
| Revenues, net | \$ 49,847 | \$ 51,326 |
| Expenses: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 16,136 | 17,631 |
| Interest, net | 9,086 | 9,264 |
| Operating | 8,625 | 8,894 |
| Real estate taxes, net | 6,238 | 6,478 |
| General and administrative | 361 | 1,092 |
| Provision for income taxes | 73 | 85 |
| Impairment loss | - | 2,058 |
| Total | 40,519 | 45,502 |
| Loss on sale of property | - | (21) |
| Net income | \$ 9,328 | \$ 5,803 |

Our investment in real estate joint ventures and partnerships, as reported in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, differs from our proportionate share of the entities' underlying net assets due to basis differences, which arose upon the transfer of certain assets to the joint ventures. The net basis differences, which totaled \$5.7 million and \$7.5 million at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, are generally amortized over the useful lives of the related assets.

At March 31, 2011, our real estate joint ventures and partnerships determined that the carrying amount of certain properties was not recoverable and that the properties should be written down to fair value. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, our unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships recorded an impairment charge of \$2.1 million. There was no impairment recorded for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Fees earned by us for the management of these real estate joint ventures and partnerships totaled \$1.7 million and \$1.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

In February 2012, we sold a 47.8% unconsolidated joint venture interest in a Colorado development project to our partner with gross sales proceeds totaling \$29.1 million, which includes the assumption of our share of debt, generating a gain of \$3.5 million.

In April 2011, we acquired a 50%-owned unconsolidated real estate joint venture interest in three shopping centers for approximately \$11.6 million. We also acquired our partner's 50% unconsolidated joint venture interest in a Florida development property that we had previously accounted for under the equity method. This transaction resulted in the consolidation of the property in our consolidated financial statements.

Note 5. Notes Receivable from Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships

We have ownership interests in a number of real estate joint ventures and partnerships. Notes receivable from these entities bear interest ranging from approximately 2.9% to 10% at March 31, 2012 and 2.8% to 10.0% at December 31, 2011. These notes are due at various dates through 2014 and are generally secured by underlying real estate assets.

We believe these notes are fully collectible, and no allowance has been recorded. Interest income recognized on these notes was \$.7 million and \$.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

In February 2012, we received \$59.2 million in payment of our notes receivable from real estate joint ventures and partnerships, in conjunction with the sale of our interest in an unconsolidated real estate joint venture. See Note 15 for additional information.

In April 2011, we eliminated \$21.9 million of our notes receivable from real estate joint ventures and partnerships upon the purchase of our partner's 50% unconsolidated joint venture interest in a Florida development property.

Note 6. Debt

Our debt consists of the following (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Debt payable to 2038 at 1.5% to 8.8% | \$ 2,230,979 | \$ 2,268,668 |
| Debt service guaranty liability | 74,075 | 74,075 |
| Unsecured notes payable under revolving credit facilities | 170,000 | 166,500 |
| Obligations under capital leases | 21,000 | 21,000 |
| Industrial revenue bonds payable to 2015 at 2.4% | 1,507 | 1,594 |
| Total | \$ 2,497,561 | \$ 2,531,837 |

The grouping of total debt between fixed and variable-rate as well as between secured and unsecured is summarized below (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| As to interest rate (including the effects of interest rate contracts): | | |
| Fixed-rate debt | \$ 1,981,494 | \$ 2,014,834 |
| Variable-rate debt | 516,067 | 517,003 |
| Total | \$ 2,497,561 | \$ 2,531,837 |
| As to collateralization: | | |
| Unsecured debt | \$ 1,513,184 | \$ 1,510,932 |
| Secured debt | 984,377 | 1,020,905 |
| Total | \$ 2,497,561 | \$ 2,531,837 |

Effective September 30, 2011, we entered into an amended and restated \$500 million unsecured revolving credit facility. The facility expires in September 2015, provides for a one-year extension upon our request and borrowing rates that float at a margin over LIBOR plus a facility fee. The borrowing margin and facility fee are priced off a grid that is tied to our senior unsecured credit ratings, which are currently 125.0 and 25.0 basis points, respectively. The facility also contains a competitive bid feature that will allow us to request bids for up to \$250 million. Additionally, an accordion feature allows us to increase the facility amount up to \$700 million.

Effective May 2010, we entered into an agreement with a bank for an unsecured and uncommitted overnight facility totaling \$99 million that we intend to maintain for cash management purposes. The facility provides for fixed interest rate loans at a 30 day LIBOR rate plus a borrowing margin based on market liquidity.

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-Q

The following table discloses certain information regarding our unsecured notes payable under our revolving credit facilities (in thousands, except percentages):

| | March 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| Unsecured revolving credit facility: | | |
| Balance outstanding | \$ 170,000 | \$ 145,000 |
| Available balance | 326,571 | 351,571 |
| Letter of credit outstanding under facility | 3,429 | 3,429 |
| Variable interest rate (excluding facility fee) | 1.3% | 1.3% |
| Unsecured and uncommitted overnight facility: | | |
| Balance outstanding | \$ - | \$ 21,500 |
| Variable interest rate | - | 1.5% |
| Both facilities: | | |
| Maximum balance outstanding during the year | \$ 180,000 | \$ 330,700 |
| Weighted average balance | 142,932 | 151,814 |
| Weighted average interest rate (excluding facility fee) | 1.3% | 1.5% |

Related to a development project in Sheridan, Colorado, we have provided a guaranty for the payment of any debt service shortfalls until a coverage rate of 1.4 is met on tax increment revenue bonds issued in connection with the project. The bonds are to be repaid with incremental sales and property taxes and a public improvement fee (PIF) to be assessed on current and future retail sales and, to the extent necessary, any amounts we may have to provide under a guaranty. The incremental taxes and PIF are to remain intact until the earlier of the date the bond liability has been paid in full or 2040, as extended by the Sheridan Redevelopment Agency (Agency) in April 2011. Therefore, a debt service guaranty liability equal to the fair value of the amounts funded under the bonds was recorded. For both periods ended at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had \$74.1 million outstanding for the debt service guaranty liability.

On August 29, 2011, we entered into a \$200 million unsecured term loan of which the proceeds were used to pay down amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility. The initial term of the loan is one year and can be repaid at par after nine months at our option. Borrowing rates under the agreement float at a margin over LIBOR and are priced off a grid that is tied to our senior unsecured credit ratings, which is currently 125.0 basis points.

Various leases and properties, and current and future rentals from those lease and properties, collateralize certain debt. At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the carrying value of such property aggregated \$1.7 billion for both periods.

Scheduled principal payments on our debt (excluding \$170.0 million due under our revolving credit facilities, \$21.0 million of certain capital leases, \$10.3 million fair value of interest rate contracts, \$1.6 million net premium/(discount) on debt, \$10.0 million of non-cash debt-related items, and \$74.1 million debt service guaranty liability) are due during the following years (in thousands):

| | |
|----------------|--------------|
| 2012 remaining | \$ 460,652 |
| 2013 | 315,211 |
| 2014 | 473,968 |
| 2015 | 243,790 |
| 2016 | 231,661 |
| 2017 | 142,096 |
| 2018 | 64,441 |
| 2019 | 153,724 |
| 2020 | 3,746 |
| 2021 | 2,763 |
| Thereafter (1) | 118,575 |
| Total | \$ 2,210,627 |

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-Q

- (1) Includes \$54.1 million of our 3.95% convertible senior unsecured notes outstanding due 2026, which may be called by us at any time and have future put options in 2016 and 2021.

Our various debt agreements contain restrictive covenants, including minimum interest and fixed charge coverage ratios, minimum unencumbered interest coverage ratios, minimum net worth requirements and maximum total debt levels. We believe we were in compliance with our public debt and revolving credit facility covenants as of March 31, 2012.

Note 7. Derivatives and Hedging

The fair value of all our interest rate contracts was reported as follows (in thousands):

| | Assets Balance Sheet | | Liabilities Balance Sheet | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|--------|
| | Location | Amount | Location | Amount |
| Designated Hedges: | | | | |
| March 31, 2012 | Other Assets, net | \$ 10,281 | Other Liabilities, net | \$ 652 |
| December 31, 2011 | Other Assets, net | 10,816 | Other Liabilities, net | 674 |
| <i>Cash Flow Hedges:</i> | | | | |

As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had three active interest rate contracts designated as cash flow hedges with an aggregate notional amount of \$26.9 million and \$27.1 million, respectively. These contracts have maturities through September 2017 and either fix or cap interest rates ranging from 2.3% to 5.0%. We have determined that these contracts are highly effective in offsetting future variable interest cash flows.

As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss relating to cash flow interest rate contracts was \$9.3 million and \$10.0 million, respectively, and will be reclassified to net interest expense as interest payments are made on our fixed-rate debt. Within the next 12 months, approximately \$2.9 million of the balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss is expected to be amortized to net interest expense related to settled interest rate contracts.

Summary of cash flow interest rate contract hedging activity is as follows (in thousands):

| Derivatives Hedging Relationships | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income on Derivative (Effective Portion) | Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss into Income | Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss into Income (Effective Portion) | Location of Gain (Loss) | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|
| | | | | Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) |
| Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 | \$ (16) | Interest expense, net | \$ (659) | Interest expense, net | \$ - |
| Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 | (118) | Interest expense, net | (619) | Interest expense, net | 7 |
| <i>Fair Value Hedges:</i> | | | | | |

As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had four interest rate contracts, maturing through October 2017, with an aggregate notional amount of \$119.0 million and \$119.3 million, respectively, that were designated as fair value hedges and convert fixed interest payments at rates from 4.2% to 7.5% to variable interest payments ranging from .5% to 4.3%. We have determined that our fair value hedges are highly effective in limiting our risk of changes in the fair value of fixed-rate notes attributable to changes in interest rates.

A summary of the changes in fair value of our interest rate contracts is as follows (in thousands):

| | Gain (Loss) on Contracts | Gain (Loss) on Borrowings | Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Three Months Ended March 31, 2012: | | | |
| Interest expense, net | \$ (530) | \$ 530 | \$ - |
| Three Months Ended March 31, 2011: | | | |
| Interest expense, net | (1,505) | 1,505 | - |

A summary of our fair value interest rate contract hedges impact on net income is as follows (in thousands):

| Derivatives Hedging Relationships | Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative | Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 | Interest expense, net | \$ (471) | Interest expense, net | \$ - |
| Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 | Interest expense, net | (470) | Interest expense, net | - |

Note 8. Noncontrolling Interests

The following table summarizes the effect of changes in our ownership interest in subsidiaries on the equity attributable to us as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income adjusted for noncontrolling interests | \$ 21,197 | \$ 16,096 |
| Transfers from the noncontrolling interests: | | |
| Net increase in equity for the acquisition of noncontrolling interests | - | 1,673 |
| Change from net income adjusted for noncontrolling interests and transfers from the noncontrolling interests | \$ 21,197 | \$ 17,769 |

Note 9. Impairment

The following impairment charges were recorded on the following assets based on the difference between the carrying amount of the assets and the estimated fair value (see Note 19 for additional fair value information) (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Continuing operations: | | |
| Property marketed for sale or sold (1) | \$ 244 | \$ 770 |
| Investments in real estate joint ventures and partnerships (2) | 6,608 | - |
| Total reported in continuing operations | 6,852 | 770 |
| Discontinued operations: | | |
| Property held for sale or sold (3) | 3,171 | 455 |
| Total impairment charges | 10,023 | 1,225 |
| Other financial statement captions impacted by impairment: | | |
| Equity in loss of real estate joint ventures and partnerships, net | - | 110 |
| Net impact of impairment charges | \$ 10,023 | \$ 1,335 |

- (1) These charges resulted from changes in management's plans for these properties, primarily the marketing of these properties for sale. Also included in this caption are impairments associated with dispositions that did not qualify to be reported in discontinued operations.
- (2) Amounts reported in 2012 are based on third party offers to buy our interests in industrial real estate joint ventures.
- (3) Amounts reported in 2012 are based on third party offers.

Note 10. Discontinued Operations

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, we sold four shopping centers, of which three were located in Texas and one in North Carolina, and we assigned a 75% consolidated joint venture interest to our partner. We classified seven shopping centers and 53 industrial properties, of which 43 are located in Texas, six each in Florida and Georgia, two in Virginia and one each in Arizona, Illinois and Tennessee, as held for sale. In April 2012, we entered into a purchase and sale agreement to sell a portfolio of 52 wholly-owned industrial properties in order to exit the industrial real estate market and further align and strengthen our position solely as a retail REIT. As of March 31, 2012, the 60 properties classified as held for sale consisted of property and accumulated depreciation totaling \$536.6 million and \$122.2 million, respectively.

During 2011, we sold three industrial properties, of which two were located in Georgia and one in Texas, and eight shopping centers, of which five were located in Texas and one each in Florida, Kansas and North Carolina. As of December 31, 2011, we classified as held for sale seven shopping centers with a net book value of \$73.2 million, of which three were located in Texas and one each in Arizona, Florida, Illinois and North Carolina.

Included in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2011 were \$558.2 million of property and \$129.0 million of accumulated depreciation related to retail and industrial properties that were either sold during 2012 or classified as held for sale as of March 31, 2012.

The operating results of these properties, which includes the 60 properties held for sale, have been reclassified and reported as discontinued operations in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenues, net | \$ 15,179 | \$ 17,019 |
| Depreciation and amortization | (4,473) | (5,798) |
| Operating expenses | (2,701) | (2,944) |
| Real estate taxes, net | (2,482) | (2,635) |
| Impairment loss | (3,171) | (455) |
| General and administrative | (14) | (20) |
| Interest expense, net | (147) | (152) |
| Operating income from discontinued operations | 2,191 | 5,015 |
| Gain on sale of property from discontinued operations | 3,634 | - |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$ 5,825 | \$ 5,015 |

We do not allocate other consolidated interest to discontinued operations because the interest savings to be realized from the proceeds of the sale of these operations is not material.

Note 11. Cash Flow Information

Non-cash investing and financing activities are summarized as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Accrued property construction costs | \$ 6,933 | \$ 3,862 |
| Increase in equity for the acquisition of noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate joint ventures | - | 1,673 |
| Property acquisitions and investments in unconsolidated real estate joint ventures: | | |
| Increase (decrease) in property, net | 1,647 | (47) |
| Increase in security deposits | - | 22 |
| Sale of property and property interest: | | |
| Decrease in debt, net due to debt assumption | (3,366) | - |
| Decrease in restricted deposits and mortgage escrows | (204) | - |
| Decrease in property, net | (2,855) | - |
| Decrease in real estate joint ventures and partnerships - investments | (95) | - |
| Decrease in noncontrolling interests | (95) | - |
| Decrease in security deposits | (11) | - |

Note 12. Earnings Per Share

Earnings per common share basic is computed using net income attributable to common shareholders and the weighted average number of shares outstanding. Earnings per common share diluted include the effect of potentially dilutive securities. Income from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders includes gain on sale of property in accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission guidelines. Earnings per common share basic and diluted components for the periods indicated are as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Numerator: | | |
| Continuing Operations: | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 16,373 | \$ 11,113 |
| Gain on sale of property | 440 | 1,060 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (1,441) | (1,092) |
| Preferred share dividends | (8,869) | (8,869) |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders basic and diluted | \$ 6,503 | \$ 2,212 |
| Discontinued Operations: | | |
| Income from discontinued operations attributable to common shareholders basic and diluted | \$ 5,825 | \$ 5,015 |
| Denominator: | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding basic | 120,481 | 120,142 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | |
| Share options and awards | 960 | 959 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding diluted | 121,441 | 121,101 |

Anti-dilutive securities of our common shares, which are excluded from the calculation of net income per common share diluted are as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Share options (1) | 3,147 | 2,873 |
| Operating partnership units | 1,584 | 1,644 |
| Total anti-dilutive securities | 4,731 | 4,517 |

(1) Exclusion results as exercise prices were greater than the average market price for each respective period.

Note 13. Share Options and Awards

In November 2011, we announced changes to the long-term incentive program under our Amended and Restated 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan. Future grants of awards will incorporate service-based and two market-based measures for restricted share awards to promote share ownership among the participants and to emphasize the importance of total shareholder return. The terms of each grant vary depending upon the participant's responsibilities and position within the Company. We categorize these share awards as either service-based share awards or market-based share awards. All awards were valued at the fair market value on the date of grant and earn dividends throughout the vesting period. Compensation expense is measured at the grant date and recognized over the vesting period. All share awards are awarded subject to the participant's ongoing employment with us.

The share awards are subject to a three-year cliff vesting basis. Service-based share and market-based share awards are subject to the achievement of select performance goals as follows:

Service-based awards vest upon the third anniversary of the grant date. These grants are subject only to continued employment and not dependent on future performance measures. Accordingly, if such vesting criteria are not met, compensation cost previously recognized would be reversed.

Market-based awards vest based upon the performance metrics at the end of a three-year period. These awards are based 50% on our three-year relative total shareholder return (TSR) as compared to the FTSE NAREIT U.S. Shopping Center Index. The other 50% is tied to our three-year absolute TSR. At the end of a three year period, the performance measures are analyzed; the actual number of shares earned is determined and the earned shares vest. The probability of meeting the market criteria is considered when calculating the estimated fair market value on the date of grant using a Monte Carlo simulation. These awards are accounted for as awards with market criteria, with compensation cost recognized over the service period, regardless of whether the market criteria are achieved and the awards are ultimately earned and vest.

The fair value of the market-based share awards was estimated on the date of grant using a Monte Carlo valuation model based on the following assumptions:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| | Minimum | Maximum |
| Dividend yield | 0% | 4.4% |
| Expected volatility | 27.7% | 51.6% |
| Expected life (in years) | - | 3 |
| Risk-free interest rate | .1% | .4% |

A summary of the status of unvested restricted share awards for the three months ended March 31, 2012 is as follows:

| | Unvested Restricted Share Awards | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|--|---|--|
| Outstanding, January 1, 2012 | 407,328 | \$ 20.43 |
| Granted: | | |
| Service-based awards | 129,813 | 24.91 |
| Market-based awards relative to FTSE NAREIT U.S. Shopping Center Index | 57,650 | 26.45 |
| Market-based awards relative to three-year absolute TSR | 57,650 | 27.65 |
| Vested | (90,391) | 19.37 |
| Forfeited | (114) | 24.91 |
| Outstanding, March 31, 2012 | 561,936 | \$ 23.00 |

As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there was approximately \$7.3 million and \$5.0 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested restricted shares, which is expected to be amortized over a weighted average of 2.6 years and 2.3 years, respectively.

Note 14. Employee Benefit Plans

We sponsor a noncontributory qualified retirement plan and a separate and independent nonqualified supplemental retirement plan for certain employees. The components of net periodic benefit cost for both plans are as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Service cost | \$ 898 | \$ 1,142 |
| Interest cost | 1,098 | 1,166 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (1,102) | (820) |
| Prior service cost | (58) | (49) |
| Recognized loss | 389 | 311 |
| | | |
| Total | \$ 1,225 | \$ 1,750 |

Subsequent to March 31, 2012 and 2011, we contributed \$2.5 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, to the qualified retirement plan. Currently, we do not anticipate making any additional contributions to this plan during 2012.

Note 15. Related Parties

Through our management activities and transactions with our real estate joint ventures and partnerships, we had net accounts receivable of \$1.8 million and \$2.2 million outstanding as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. We also had accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$7.8 million and \$8.2 million outstanding as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, we recorded joint venture fee income of \$1.7 million and \$1.6 million, respectively.

In February 2012, we sold our 47.8% unconsolidated joint venture interest in a Colorado development project to our partner with gross sales proceeds totaling \$29.1 million, which includes the assumption of our share of debt, generating a gain of \$3.5 million.

Note 16. Commitments and Contingencies

As of March 31, 2012, we participate in four real estate ventures structured as DownREIT partnerships that have properties in Arkansas, California, North Carolina, Texas and Utah. As a general partner, we have operating and financial control over these ventures and consolidate them in our consolidated financial statements. These ventures allow the outside limited partners to exchange their interest in the partnership for our common shares or an equivalent amount in cash. We may acquire any limited partnership interests that are put to the partnership, and we have the option to redeem the interest in cash or a fixed number of our common shares, at our discretion. We also participate in a real estate venture that has a property in Texas that allows its outside partner to put operating partnership units to us. We have the option to redeem these units in cash or a fixed number of our common shares, at our discretion. No common shares were issued in exchange for any of these interests for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011. The aggregate redemption value of these interests was approximately \$42 million and \$35 million as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

We are subject to numerous federal, state and local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations in the areas where we own or operate properties. We are not aware of any contamination which may have been caused by us or any of our tenants that would have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

As part of our risk management activities, we have applied and been accepted into state sponsored environmental programs which will limit our expenses if contaminants need to be remediated. We also have an environmental insurance policy that covers us against third party liabilities and remediation costs.

While we believe that we do not have any material exposure to environmental remediation costs, we cannot give absolute assurance that changes in the law or new discoveries of contamination will not result in additional liabilities to us.

During 2011, we filed a lawsuit against our joint venture partner in connection with a development project in Sheridan, Colorado for failure to perform on the joint venture's past due intercompany note payable to us, which has been eliminated within our consolidated financial statements. We are also involved in a consolidated and two unconsolidated joint ventures with this partner. To date, we are unable to determine the outcome of the lawsuit or its potential effects on our other joint ventures with this partner.

We have entered into commitments aggregating \$79.3 million comprised principally of construction contracts which are generally due in 12 to 36 months.

We are also involved in various matters of litigation arising in the normal course of business. While we are unable to predict with certainty the amounts involved, our management and counsel are of the opinion that, when such litigation is resolved, any additional liability, if any, will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Note 17. Variable Interest Entities

Consolidated VIEs:

Two of our real estate joint ventures whose activities principally consist of owning and operating 30 neighborhood/community shopping centers, of which 22 are located in Texas, three in Georgia, two each in Tennessee and Florida and one in North Carolina, were determined to be VIEs. These VIEs have financing agreements that are guaranteed solely by us for tax planning purposes. We have determined that we are the primary beneficiary and have consolidated these joint ventures.

A summary of our consolidated VIEs is as follows (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Maximum Risk of Loss (1) | \$ 137,912 | \$ 138,176 |
| Assets held by VIEs | 303,294 | 309,387 |
| Assets held as collateral for debt | 246,888 | 250,105 |

(1) The maximum risk of loss has been determined to be limited to our guaranties of debt for each real estate joint venture.

Restrictions on the use of these assets are significant because they are collateral for the VIEs' debt, and we would generally be required to obtain our partners' approval in accordance with the joint venture agreements for any major transactions. Transactions with these joint ventures on our consolidated financial statements have been limited to changes in noncontrolling interests and reductions in debt from our partners' contributions. We and our partners are subject to the provisions of the joint venture agreements which include provisions for when additional contributions may be required including operating cash shortfalls and unplanned capital expenditures. We have not provided any additional support to the VIEs as of March 31, 2012.

Unconsolidated VIEs:

At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, two unconsolidated real estate joint ventures were determined to be VIEs through the issuance of secured loans, of which \$21.3 million of debt associated with a tenancy-in-common arrangement is recorded in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet, since the lenders have the ability to make decisions that could have a significant impact on the success of the entities. At December 31, 2011, we had another unconsolidated real estate joint venture with an interest in an entity, which was deemed to be a VIE since the unconsolidated joint venture provided a guaranty for the entity's debt; however, in February 2012, our unconsolidated joint venture interest associated with these entities was sold. A summary of our unconsolidated VIEs is as follows (in thousands):

| March 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|-------------------|----------------------|
|-------------------|----------------------|

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----|--------|----|--------|
| Investment in Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net (1) | \$ | 30,429 | \$ | 30,377 |
| Maximum Risk of Loss (2) | | 33,776 | | 75,274 |

- (1) The carrying amount of the investments represents our contributions to the real estate joint ventures net of any distributions made and our portion of the equity in earnings of the joint ventures.
- (2) The maximum risk of loss has been determined to be limited to our debt exposure for each real estate joint venture.

We and our partners are subject to the provisions of the joint venture agreements that specify conditions, including operating shortfalls and unplanned capital expenditures, under which additional contributions may be required.

Note 18. Business Combinations

Effective April 13, 2011, we acquired our partner's 50% interest in an unconsolidated joint venture (Palm Coast) related to a development property in Palm Coast, Florida, which resulted in the consolidation of this property within our shopping center segment. Management has determined that this transaction qualified as a business combination to be accounted for under the acquisition method. Accordingly, the assets and liabilities of this transaction were recorded in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at its estimated fair value as of the effective date, with any applicable partner's share of the resulting net change included in noncontrolling interests. Fair value of assets acquired, liabilities assumed and equity interests was estimated using market-based measurements, including cash flow and other valuation techniques. The fair value measurement is based on both significant inputs for similar assets and liabilities in comparable markets and significant inputs that are not observable in the markets in accordance with our fair value measurements accounting policy. Key assumptions include third-party broker valuation estimates, discount rate of 8%, a terminal capitalization rate for similar properties, and factors that we believe market participants would consider in estimating fair value. The result of this transaction is included in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income beginning April 13, 2011.

The following table summarizes the transaction related to the business combination, including the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as indicated (in thousands):

| | Palm Coast April 13, 2011 |
|--|--|
| Fair value of our equity interest before business combinations | \$ 7,578 |
| Fair value of consideration transferred | \$ 11,462 (1) |
| Amounts recognized for assets and liabilities assumed: | |
| Assets: | |
| Property | \$ 32,807 |
| Unamortized debt and lease costs | 2,421 |
| Accrued rent and accounts receivable | 211 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 1,402 |
| Other, net | 694 |
| Liabilities: | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | (137) |
| Other, net | (318) |
| Total net assets | \$ 37,080 |
| Noncontrolling interests of the real estate joint venture | \$ - |

(1) Consideration included \$.5 million of cash and \$11.0 million in debt reimbursement.

The following table summarizes the pro forma impact of the real estate joint venture as if Palm Coast had been consolidated on January 1, 2011, the earliest year presented, as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Pro Forma 2012 ⁽¹⁾ | Pro Forma 2011 ⁽¹⁾ |
| Revenues | \$ 122,471 | \$ 118,993 |
| Net income | 22,638 | 17,177 |
| Net income attributable to common shareholders | 12,328 | 7,216 |
| Earnings per share basic | .10 | .06 |
| Earnings per share diluted | .10 | .06 |

(1) There are no non-recurring pro forma adjustments included within or excluded from the amounts in the preceding table.

Note 19. Fair Value Measurements

Recurring Fair Value Measurements:

Investments Held in Grantor Trusts

These assets are valued based on publicly quoted market prices for identical assets.

Derivative Instruments

We use interest rate contracts with major financial institutions to manage our interest rate risk. The valuation of these instruments is determined based on assumptions that management believes market participants would use in pricing, using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves and implied volatilities. The fair values of our interest rate contracts have been determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts (or payments) and the discounted expected variable cash payments (or receipts). The variable cash payments (or receipts) are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves.

We incorporate credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both our own nonperformance risk and the respective counter-party's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of our derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, we have considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral, thresholds and guarantees. In conjunction with the FASB's fair value measurement guidance, an accounting policy election was made to measure the credit risk of its derivative financial instruments that are subject to master netting agreements on a net basis by counterparty portfolio.

Although we have determined that the majority of the inputs used to value our derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with our derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by ourselves and our counter-parties. However, we have assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of our derivative positions and have determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of our derivatives. As a result, we have determined that the derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-Q

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, aggregated by the level in the fair value hierarchy in which those measurements fall, are as follows (in thousands):

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets and Liabilities (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Fair Value at March 31, 2012 |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Assets: | | | | |
| Investments in grantor trusts | \$ 16,010 | | | \$ 16,010 |
| Derivative instruments: | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | \$ 10,281 | | 10,281 |
| Total | \$ 16,010 | \$ 10,281 | \$ - | \$ 26,291 |

| | | | | |
|--|------------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Derivative instruments: | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | \$ 652 | | \$ 652 |
| Deferred compensation plan obligations | \$ 16,010 | | | 16,010 |
| Total | \$ 16,010 | \$ 652 | \$ - | \$ 16,662 |

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets and Liabilities (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Fair Value at December 31, 2011 |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Assets: | | | | |
| Investments in grantor trusts | \$ 14,693 | | | \$ 14,693 |
| Derivative instruments: | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | \$ 10,816 | | 10,816 |
| Total | \$ 14,693 | \$ 10,816 | \$ - | \$ 25,509 |

| | | | | |
|--|------------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Derivative instruments: | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | \$ 674 | | \$ 674 |
| Deferred compensation plan obligations | \$ 14,693 | | | 14,693 |
| Total | \$ 14,693 | \$ 674 | \$ - | \$ 15,367 |

A reconciliation of the outstanding balance of the subordinate tax increment revenue bonds using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) is as follows (in thousands):

| | Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
|------------------------------|--|
| Outstanding, January 1, 2011 | \$ 10,700 |

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|----------------------------------|----|----------|
| Settlement of recalled bonds (1) | | (10,700) |
| Outstanding, December 31, 2011 | \$ | - |

- (1) Settlement of recalled bonds represents the recall of previously issued subordinated tax increment revenue bonds that were available for sale and were replaced with held to maturity subordinated tax increment revenue bonds associated with the exchange transaction in April 2011.

Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements:

Property and Property Held for Sale Impairments

Property is reviewed for impairment if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the property, including any identifiable intangible assets, site costs and capitalized interest, may not be recoverable. In such an event, a comparison is made of the current and projected operating cash flows of each such property into the foreseeable future on an undiscounted basis to the carrying amount of such property. If we conclude that an impairment may have occurred, estimated fair values are determined by management utilizing cash flow models, market capitalization rates and market discount rates, or by obtaining third-party broker valuation estimates, appraisals, bona fide purchase offers or the expected sales price of an executed sales agreement in accordance with our fair value measurements accounting policy. Market capitalization rates and market discount rates are determined by reviewing current sales of similar properties and transactions, and utilizing management's knowledge and expertise in property marketing.

Investments in Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships Impairments

The fair value of our investment in partially owned real estate joint ventures and partnerships is estimated by management based on a number of factors, including the performance of each investment, the life and other terms of the investment, holding periods, market conditions, cash flow models, market capitalization rates and market discount rates, or by obtaining third-party broker valuation estimates, appraisals and bona fide purchase offers in accordance with our fair value measurements accounting policy. Market capitalization rates and market discount rates are determined by reviewing current sales of similar properties and transactions, and utilizing management's knowledge and expertise in property marketing. We recognize an impairment loss if we determine the fair value of an investment is less than its carrying amount and that loss in value is other than temporary.

Subordinate Tax Increment Revenue Bonds Impairment

Investments in tax increment revenue bonds are reviewed for impairment if changes in circumstances or forecasts indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable, and if it is uncertain if the investment will be held to maturity. In such an event, a comparison is made of the projected recoverability of cash flows from the tax increment revenue bonds to the carrying amount of each investment. If we conclude that an impairment may have occurred, fair values are determined by management utilizing third-party sales revenue projections until the maturity of the bonds and discounted cash flow models.

Assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at March 31, 2012, aggregated by the level in the fair value hierarchy in which those measurements fall, are as follows (in thousands):

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets and Liabilities (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Fair Value | Total Gains (Losses) (1) |
|---|---|--|--|-------------------|---|
| Property held for sale | | \$ 39,791 | | \$ 39,791 | \$ (3,171) |
| Investment in real estate joint ventures and partnerships | | 24,231 | | 24,231 | (6,608) |
| Total | \$ - | \$ 64,022 | \$ - | \$ 64,022 | \$ (9,779) |

(1) Total gains (losses) exclude impairments on disposed assets because they are no longer held by us.

Property held for sale with a carrying amount of \$42.2 million was written down to a fair value of \$39.8 million less costs to sell of \$.8 million, resulting in a loss of \$3.2 million, which was included in discontinued operations in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the period. Management's estimate of the fair value of these properties was determined using bona fide purchase offers for the Level 2 inputs.

Our net investment in real estate joint ventures and partnerships with a carrying amount of \$30.8 million was written down to a fair value of \$24.2 million, resulting in a loss of \$6.6 million, which was included in earnings for the period. Management's estimate of the fair value of this investment was determined using the weighted average of the bona fide purchase offers received for the Level 2 inputs.

Assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at December 31, 2011, aggregated by the level in the fair value hierarchy in which those measurements fall, are as follows (in thousands):

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets and Liabilities (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Fair Value | Total Gains (Losses) (1) |
|---|---|--|--|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Property | | \$ 389 | \$ 98,207 | \$ 98,596 | \$ (36,907) |
| Property held for sale | | 43,657 | 1,500 | 45,157 | (13,799) |
| Investment in real estate joint ventures and partnerships | | | 6,311 | 6,311 | (1,752) |
| Subordinate tax increment revenue bonds | | | 26,723 | 26,723 | (18,737) |
| Total | \$ - | \$ 44,046 | \$ 132,741 | \$ 176,787 | \$ (71,195) |

(1) Total gains (losses) are reflected throughout 2011 and exclude impairments on disposed assets because they are no longer held by us. In accordance with our policy of evaluating and recording impairments on the disposal of long-lived assets, property with a carrying amount of \$135.5 million was written down to a fair value of \$98.6 million, resulting in a loss of \$36.9 million, which was included in earnings for the period. Management's estimate of the fair value of these properties was determined using the expected sales price of an executed agreement for the Level 2 input and using third party broker valuations, bona fide purchase offers, cash flow models and discount rates ranging from 8% to 13% for the Level 3 inputs.

Property held for sale with a carrying amount of \$57.0 million was written down to a fair value of \$45.2 million less costs to sell of \$2.0 million, resulting in a loss of \$13.8 million, which was included in discontinued operations in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the period. Management's estimate of the fair value of these properties was determined using the expected sales price of executed agreements for the Level 2 inputs and a cash flow model using a discount rate of 10% for the Level 3 input.

Our net investment in real estate joint ventures and partnerships with a carrying amount of \$8.1 million was written down to a fair value of \$6.3 million, resulting in a loss of \$1.8 million, which was included in earnings for the period. Management's estimate of the fair value of these investments was determined using the life and other terms of the investment, our partner's financial condition, cash flow models and market capitalization rates ranging from 7% to 9% for the Level 3 inputs.

A net credit loss on the exchange of bonds of \$18.7 million was recognized upon the recall and replacement of our investment in tax increment revenue bonds by the Agency in April 2011. The exchange transaction resulted in us receiving approximately \$16.5 million in cash proceeds and \$57.7 million in new subordinated bonds replacing the face value of our \$51.3 million of senior bonds and \$22.4 million of subordinate bonds, which had been previously written down to a fair value of \$10.7 million. The carrying value of the \$57.7 million subordinated bonds received in the exchange were written down to their fair value of \$26.7 million, of which a loss of \$11.7 million was previously recognized in December 2010. The net credit loss resulted as management did not expect to recover the par value of the bonds based upon changes in terms of the bonds and future sales tax revenue projections of the development project through their maturity. Management's estimates of the fair value of these investments were determined using third-party sales revenue projections, future growth rates ranging from 1% to 4% and inflation rates ranging from 1% to 2% for the Level 3 inputs.

Fair Value Disclosures:

Unless otherwise described below, short-term financial instruments and receivables are carried at amounts which approximate their fair values based on their highly-liquid nature, short-term maturities and/or expected interest rates for similar instruments.

Notes Receivable from Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships

We estimated the fair value of our notes receivable from real estate joint ventures and partnerships based on quoted market prices for publicly-traded notes and on the discounted estimated future cash receipts. We obtain quoted market prices from a third-party mortgage banking firm or other financial institutions, who we believe through experience and accessibility to the market, has provided measurements within the fair value guidance. The discount rates used approximate current lending rates for a note or groups of notes with similar maturities and credit quality which at March 31, 2012 was 2.74%, assumes the note is outstanding through maturity and considers the note's collateral (if applicable). We have utilized market information as available or present value techniques to estimate the amounts required to be disclosed. Since such amounts are estimates that are based on limited available market information for similar transactions, there can be no assurance that the disclosed value of any financial instrument could be realized by immediate settlement of the instrument.

Schedule of the fair value of our notes is as follows (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Carrying value | \$ 92,216 | \$ 149,204 |
| Fair value, using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) | 94,384 | 153,532 |

Tax Increment Revenue Bonds

We estimated the fair value of our held to maturity tax increment revenue bonds, which were issued by the Agency in connection with our investment in a development project in Sheridan, Colorado, based on assumptions that management believes market participants would use in pricing, using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis based on the expected future sales tax revenues of the development project. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the bonds, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, such as market discount rates of 7.5% at March 31, 2012, and unobservable market-based inputs, such as future growth of 1.0% to 4.0% and inflation rates of 1.0% to 2.0% at March 31, 2012. Since such amounts are estimates that are based on limited available market information for similar transactions, there can be no assurance that the disclosed value of any financial instrument could be realized by immediate settlement of the instrument.

Schedule of the fair value of our tax increment revenue bonds is as follows (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Carrying value | \$ 26,505 | \$ 26,505 |
| Fair value, using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) | 26,505 | 26,505 |

A reconciliation of the credit loss recognized on our tax increment revenue bonds at March 31, 2012 is as follows (in thousands):

| | Credit Loss Recognized |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Beginning balance, January 1, 2011 | \$ 11,717 |
| Additions | 19,305 |
| Ending balance, December 31, 2011 | 31,022 |
| Additions | - |
| Ending balance, March 31, 2012 | \$ 31,022 |

Debt

We estimated the fair value of our debt based on primarily quoted market prices for publicly-traded debt and on the discounted estimated future cash payments to be made for other debt. We obtain quoted market prices from a third-party mortgage banking firm or other financial institutions, who we believe through experience and accessibility to the market, has provided measurements within the fair value guidance. For inactively traded debt, our third-party provider establishes a benchmark for all REIT securities based on the largest, most liquid and most frequent investment grade securities in the REIT bond market. This benchmark is then adjusted to consider how a market participant would be compensated for risk premiums such as, longevity of maturity dates, lack of liquidity and credit quality of the issuer. The discount rates used approximate current lending rates for loans or groups of loans with similar maturities and credit quality, 1.4% to 6.4% at March 31, 2012, assumes the debt is outstanding through maturity and considers the debt's collateral (if applicable). We have utilized market information as available or present value techniques to estimate the amounts required to be disclosed. Since such amounts are estimates that are based on limited available market information for similar transactions, there can be no assurance that the disclosed value of any financial instrument could be realized by immediate settlement of the instrument.

Schedule of the fair value of our debt is as follows (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| Fixed-rate debt: | | |
| Carrying value | \$ 1,981,494 | \$ 2,014,834 |
| Fair value, using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) | 2,121,724 | 2,054,670 |
| Variable-rate debt: | | |
| Carrying value | \$ 516,067 | \$ 517,003 |
| Fair value, using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) | 529,783 | 531,353 |

Note 20. Segment Information

The reportable segments presented are the segments for which separate financial information is available, and for which operating performance is evaluated regularly by senior management in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. We evaluate the performance of the reportable segments based on net operating income, defined as total revenues less operating expenses and real estate taxes. Management does not consider the effect of gains or losses from the sale of property or interests in real estate joint ventures and partnerships in evaluating segment operating performance.

The shopping center segment is engaged in the acquisition, development and management of real estate, primarily anchored neighborhood and community shopping centers located in Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Missouri, Nevada, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia and Washington. The customer base includes supermarkets, discount retailers, drugstores and other retailers who generally sell basic necessity-type commodities. The industrial segment is engaged in the acquisition, development and management of bulk warehouses and office/service centers. Its properties are located in California, Florida, Georgia, Tennessee, Texas and Virginia, and the customer base is diverse. In April 2012, we decided to exit from the industrial segment as we entered into a purchase and sale agreement in which we agreed to sell a portfolio of 52 wholly-owned industrial properties located in Florida, Georgia, Tennessee, Texas and Virginia. We are actively marketing our remaining industrial assets which are all held in unconsolidated joint ventures, and we expect to dispose of our interests during 2012. These transactions, once completed, will complete our exit from the industrial real estate market and further align and strengthen our position solely as a retail REIT. Included in "Other" are corporate-related items, insignificant operations and costs that are not allocated to the reportable segments.

Information concerning our reportable segments is as follows (in thousands):

| | Shopping Center | Industrial | Other | Total |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Three Months Ended March 31, 2012: | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 120,094 | \$ 65 | \$ 2,312 | \$ 122,471 |
| Net Operating Income | 84,567 | 16 | 710 | 85,293 |
| Equity in Earnings of Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net | 3,853 | 222 | - | 4,075 |
| Three Months Ended March 31, 2011: | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 115,749 | \$ 270 | \$ 2,088 | \$ 118,107 |
| Net Operating Income (Loss) | 82,177 | 121 | (2) | 82,296 |
| Equity in Earnings (Loss) of Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net | 3,324 | 146 | (73) | 3,397 |
| As of March 31, 2012: | | | | |
| Investment in Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net | \$ 309,548 | \$ 28,784 | \$ - | \$ 338,332 |
| Total Assets | 3,318,973 | 338,223 | 855,345 | 4,512,541 |
| As of December 31, 2011: | | | | |
| Investment in Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net | \$ 305,876 | \$ 35,732 | \$ - | \$ 341,608 |
| Total Assets | 3,336,507 | 344,559 | 907,160 | 4,588,226 |

Segment net operating income reconciles to income from continuing operations as shown on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Total Segment Net Operating Income | \$ 85,293 | \$ 82,296 |
| Depreciation and Amortization | (34,377) | (32,951) |
| Impairment Loss | (6,852) | (770) |
| General and Administrative | (8,307) | (6,536) |
| Interest Expense, net | (31,429) | (36,694) |
| Interest and Other Income, net | 2,386 | 2,055 |
| Gain on Sale of Real Estate Joint Venture and Partnership Interests | 5,562 | - |
| Equity in Earnings of Real Estate Joint Ventures and Partnerships, net | 4,075 | 3,397 |
| Benefit for Income Taxes | 22 | 316 |
| Income from Continuing Operations | \$ 16,373 | \$ 11,113 |

* * * * *

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q, together with other statements and information publicly disseminated by us, contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and include this statement for purposes of complying with these safe harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain assumptions and describe our future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by use of the words believe, expect, intend, anticipate, estimate, project, or similar expressions. You should not rely on forward-looking statements since they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, which are, in some cases, beyond our control and which could materially affect actual results, performances or achievements. Factors which may cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations include, but are not limited to, (i) disruptions in financial markets, (ii) general economic and local real estate conditions, (iii) the inability of major tenants to continue paying their rent obligations due to bankruptcy, insolvency or general downturn in their business, (iv) financing risks, such as the inability to obtain equity, debt, or other sources of financing on favorable terms, (v) changes in governmental laws and regulations, (vi) the level and volatility of interest rates, (vii) the availability of suitable acquisition opportunities, (viii) the ability to dispose properties, (ix) changes in expected development activity, (x) increases in operating costs, (xi) tax matters, including failure to qualify as a real estate investment trust, could have adverse consequences and (xii) investments through real estate joint ventures and partnerships involve risks not present in investments in which we are the sole investor. Accordingly, there is no assurance that our expectations will be realized. We do not undertake any obligation to release publicly any revisions to our forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and the comparative summary of selected financial data appearing elsewhere in this report. Historical results and trends which might appear should not be taken as indicative of future operations. Our results of operations and financial condition, as reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and related footnotes, are subject to management's evaluation and interpretation of business conditions, retailer performance, changing capital market conditions and other factors which could affect the ongoing viability of our tenants.

Executive Overview

Weingarten Realty Investors is a REIT organized under the Texas Business Organizations Code. We, and our predecessor entity, began the ownership and development of shopping centers and other commercial real estate in 1948. Our primary business is leasing space to tenants in the shopping and industrial centers we own or lease. We also manage centers for joint ventures in which we are partners or for other outside owners for which we charge fees.

We operate a portfolio of rental properties which includes neighborhood and community shopping centers and industrial properties that total approximately 74.1 million square feet. We have a diversified tenant base with our largest tenant comprising only 3.4% of total rental revenues during the first quarter of 2012.

Our long-term strategy is to focus on improving our core operations and increasing shareholder value. We accomplish this through hands-on leasing and management, selective redevelopment of the existing portfolio of properties, disciplined growth from strategic acquisitions and new developments, as well as dispositions of assets that no longer meet our ownership criteria. We remain committed to maintaining a conservatively leveraged balance sheet, a well-staggered debt maturity schedule and strong credit agency ratings.

During 2011, we announced our intentions to dispose of over \$600 million of non-core operating properties over the next few years, which will recycle capital for growth opportunities, strengthen our operating fundamentals and allow for further deleveraging of our balance sheet. As of March 31, 2012, we have successfully disposed of \$47.7 million, both directly or through our interest in real estate joint ventures or partnerships, and have approximately \$133.9 million currently under contracts or letters of intent, excluding the industrial portfolio agreement referred to below. Upon the completion of this program, we believe our remaining portfolio of retail properties will be among the strongest in our sector.

In April 2012, we entered into a purchase and sale agreement with DRA Fund VII LLC, an affiliate of DRA Advisors LLC, in which we agreed to sell a portfolio of wholly-owned industrial properties for a gross selling price of \$382.4 million. The portfolio consists of 52 industrial properties, aggregating approximately 9.6 million square feet located in Florida, Georgia, Tennessee, Texas and Virginia. We are actively marketing our remaining industrial assets which are all held in unconsolidated joint ventures, and we expect to dispose of our interests during 2012. These transactions, once completed, will complete our exit from the industrial real estate market and further align and strengthen our position as a retail REIT.

As we are generally selling lower tier, non-core assets, pricing remains an issue, and the typical buyer is generally less sophisticated and has limited access to financing. Despite these conditions, we continue to believe we will successfully execute our disposition plan; although continued weakness in the CMBS debt markets and a downturn in the economy could impact our ability to execute this plan. Nonetheless, competition for quality acquisition opportunities remains substantial. During 2012, we will continue to identify selected properties that meet our return hurdles and to actively evaluate other opportunities as they enter the market.

We strive to maintain a strong, conservative capital structure which provides ready access to a variety of attractive long and short-term capital sources. We carefully balance lower cost short-term financing with long-term liabilities associated with acquired or developed long-term assets. The funding of the industrial transaction will allow us to significantly reduce our debt levels, specifically to pay off our \$200 million term loan and to reduce amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility, and strengthen our balance sheet, further enhancing our access to various sources of capital. While the availability of capital has improved over the past year, there can be no assurance that such pricing and availability will not deteriorate in the future.

At March 31, 2012, we owned or operated under long-term leases, either directly or through our interest in real estate joint ventures or partnerships, a total of 374 developed income-producing properties and 11 properties under various stages of construction and development. The total number of centers includes 309 neighborhood and community shopping centers, 73 industrial projects and three other operating properties located in 22 states spanning the country from coast to coast.

We also owned interests in 39 parcels of land held for development that totaled approximately 29.1 million square feet.

We had approximately 7,300 leases with 5,100 different tenants at March 31, 2012.

Leases for our properties range from less than a year for smaller spaces to over 25 years for larger tenants. Rental revenues generally include minimum lease payments, which often increase over the lease term, reimbursements of property operating expenses, including real estate taxes, and additional rent payments based on a percentage of the tenants' sales. The majority of our anchor tenants are supermarkets, value-oriented apparel/discount stores and other retailers or service providers who generally sell basic necessity-type goods and services. Through this challenging economic environment, we believe the stability of our anchor tenants, combined with convenient locations, attractive and well-maintained properties, high quality retailers and a strong tenant mix, should ensure the long-term success of our merchants and the viability of our portfolio.

In assessing the performance of our properties, management carefully monitors various operating metrics of the portfolio. The operating metrics of our retail portfolio continue to strengthen as the economy continues to stabilize. Our retail portfolio delivered exceptional operating results with:

an increase in total retail occupancy of 1.1% over the first quarter of 2011 and .4% over prior quarter;

an increase of 1.7% in small shop occupancy over the first quarter of 2011; and

rental rate increases of 5.1% which include an increase of 4.1% on new leases.

Occupancy for the total portfolio decreased from 92.1% at December 31, 2011 to 91.7% at March 31, 2012. While we will continue to monitor the economy and the effects on our tenants, we believe the significant diversification of our portfolio, both geographically and by tenant base, and the quality of our portfolio will allow us to maintain occupancy levels at or above these levels as we move through 2012, assuming no bankruptcies by multiple national or regional tenants. Improvements in economic conditions contributed to the increase in overall rental rates on a same-space basis as we completed new leases and renewed existing leases, primarily from our retail portfolio. We completed 409 new leases or renewals during the first quarter of 2012, totaling 2.1 million square feet, which increased rental rates an average of 4.5% on a cash basis. While we have achieved a 4.1% growth this quarter on new retail leases, achieving positive rental growth on new leases for the remainder of 2012 will be challenging.

New Development

At March 31, 2012, we had 11 properties in various stages of construction and development. We have funded \$151.9 million to date on these projects, and we estimate our investment upon completion to be \$197.2 million, after consideration of proceeds from anticipated land sales and tax incentive financing which is estimated to be \$24.6 million. Overall, the average projected return on investment for these properties is approximately 7.2% upon projected completion.

We have approximately \$125.4 million in land held for development at March 31, 2012. We continue to experience greater levels of interest compared to previous years in our land held for development from retailers and other market participants. Also, certain tracts of land have been designated for transition to land under development as additional phases of existing developments become feasible. We continue to pursue additional development and redevelopment opportunities in multiple markets; however, finding the right opportunities remains very challenging.

Acquisitions and Joint Ventures

Acquisitions are a key component of our long-term strategy. The availability of quality acquisition opportunities in the market remains sporadic. Competition for the highest quality core properties is intense which has in many cases driven pricing to pre-recession highs. We remain disciplined in approaching these opportunities, pursuing only those that provide appropriate risk-adjusted returns.

The use of joint venture arrangements is another facet of our long-term strategy. Partnering with institutional investors through real estate joint ventures enables us to acquire high quality assets in our target markets while also meeting our financial return objectives. Under these arrangements, we benefit from access to lower-cost capital, as well as leveraging our expertise to provide fee-based services, such as acquisition, leasing, property management and asset management, to the joint ventures.

An analysis of our equity method investments in real estate joint ventures and partnerships, specifically our industrial real estate joint ventures, resulted in an impairment charge of \$6.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 based on third party offers to buy these assets.

Fee income from joint venture and third party managed operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was approximately \$1.8 million and \$1.7 million, respectively. This fee income is based upon revenues, net income, collected cash and in some cases appraised property values. In 2012, we anticipate these fees will be consistent with our 2011 performance until we exit our industrial joint venture interests; which would result in a reduction of approximately \$.5 million.

Dispositions

Dispositions are also a key component of our ongoing management process where we selectively prune properties from our portfolio that no longer meet our geographic or growth targets. Dispositions provide capital, which may be recycled into properties that have high barrier-to-entry locations within high growth metropolitan markets, and thus have higher long-term growth potential. Additionally, proceeds from dispositions may be used to reduce outstanding debt, further deleveraging our balance sheet. Over time, we expect this will produce a portfolio with higher occupancy rates and stronger internal revenue growth. During 2011, we announced a strategic initiative to dispose of over \$600 million of non-core operating properties over the next few years.

Our disposition program may be impacted by market pricing conditions and debt financing available to prospective purchasers. After specifically identifying potential disposition properties and analyzing current market data, we recognized an impairment charge of \$3.2 million during the three months ended March 31, 2012 on two properties which we believe are probable to sell as part of this initiative during 2012.

Summary of Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based on our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities and contingencies as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. We evaluate our assumptions and estimates on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

A disclosure of our critical accounting policies which affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements is included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. There have been no significant changes to our critical accounting policies during 2012, and there are no accounting pronouncements that have been issued, but not yet adopted, that we believe will have a material impact to our consolidated financial statements.

Results of Operations

Comparison of the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011

The following table is a summary of certain items from our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income which we believe represent items which significantly changed in 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011:

| | For The Three Months Ended March 31, | | |
|---|---|-------------|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | % Change |
| Revenues | \$ 122,471 | \$ 118,107 | 3.7% |
| Depreciation and amortization | 34,377 | 32,951 | 4.3% |
| Operating expenses | 23,014 | 21,526 | 6.9% |
| Impairment loss | 6,852 | 770 | 789.9% |
| General and administrative expenses | 8,307 | 6,536 | 27.1% |
| Interest expense, net | 31,429 | 36,694 | (14.3)% |
| Gain on sale of real estate joint venture and partnership interests | 5,562 | - | -% |
| <i>Revenues</i> | | | |

The increase in revenues of \$4.4 million is primarily attributable to an increase in net rental revenues of \$4.2 million associated with an increase in occupancy, the acquisition of two properties each in 2012 and 2011, as well as new development completions.

Depreciation and Amortization

The increase in depreciation and amortization of \$1.4 million was primarily attributable to the acquisition of two properties each in 2012 and 2011, new development completions and other capital activities.

Operating Expenses

The increase in operating expenses of \$1.5 million is primarily attributable to an increase in management fees of \$1.1 million due to the fair value increase of \$.5 million in the assets held in a grantor trust related to our deferred compensation plan and an increase in salary expense related to our long-term incentive plan.

Impairment Loss

The impairment loss in 2012 of \$6.9 million is primarily attributable to our impairment of an equity interest in an unconsolidated real estate joint venture that owns industrial properties. The impairment loss in 2011 of \$.8 million was primarily attributable to a retail building and two land parcels that were sold.

General and Administrative Expenses

The increase in general and administrative expenses of \$1.8 million resulted primarily from the 2012 issuance of share awards to retirement eligible individuals and a 2011 reduction to our benefits accruals, which is not present in the first quarter of 2012.

Interest Expense, net

Net interest expense decreased \$5.3 million or 14.3%. The components of net interest expense were as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Gross interest expense | \$ 32,324 | \$ 37,246 |
| Amortization of convertible bond discount | - | 572 |
| Over-market mortgage adjustment | (112) | (787) |
| Capitalized interest | (783) | (337) |
| Total | \$ 31,429 | \$ 36,694 |

Gross interest expense totaled \$32.3 million in the first quarter of 2012, down \$4.9 million or 13.2% from the first quarter of 2011. The decrease in gross interest expense was due primarily from the repayment of notes and mortgages through our revolving credit facility. In the first quarter of 2012, the weighted average debt outstanding was \$2.5 billion at a weighted average interest rate of 5.2% as compared to \$2.6 billion outstanding at a weighted average interest rate of 5.7% in 2011.

Gain on Sale of Real Estate Joint Venture and Partnership Interests

The increase of \$5.6 million is attributable to the sale of an interest in three unconsolidated real estate joint ventures during 2012.

Effects of Inflation

We have structured our leases in such a way as to remain largely unaffected should significant inflation occur. Most of the leases contain percentage rent provisions whereby we receive increased rentals based on the tenants' gross sales. Many leases provide for increasing minimum rentals during the terms of the leases through escalation provisions. In addition, many of our leases are for terms of less than 10 years, which allow us to adjust rental rates to changing market conditions when the leases expire. Most of our leases also require the tenants to pay their proportionate share of operating expenses and real estate taxes. As a result of these lease provisions, increases due to inflation, as well as real estate tax rate increases, generally do not have a significant adverse effect upon our operating results as they are absorbed by our tenants. Under the current economic climate, little to no inflation is occurring.

Capital Resources and Liquidity

Our primary liquidity needs are paying our common and preferred dividends, maintaining and operating our existing properties, paying our debt service costs, excluding debt maturities, and funding capital expenditures. Under our 2012 business plan, cash flows from operating activities are expected to meet these planned capital needs.

The primary sources of capital for funding any debt maturities and acquisitions are our revolving credit facility; proceeds from both secured and unsecured debt issuances; proceeds from common and preferred equity issuances; cash generated from the sale of property and the formation of joint ventures; and cash flow generated by our operating properties. Amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility are retired as needed with proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt, common and preferred equity, cash generated from the disposition of properties and cash

flow generated by our operating properties.

As of March 31, 2012, we had \$170.0 million outstanding under our credit facilities and our debt maturities remaining in 2012 totaled \$460.7 million. We believe proceeds from our disposition program for 2012, including the wholly-owned industrial portfolio sale, combined with our available capacity under the revolving credit facilities, will provide adequate liquidity to fund our capital needs. In the event our disposition program does not progress as expected, we believe other debt and equity alternatives are available to us. Although external market conditions are not within our control, we do not currently foresee any reasons that would prevent us from entering the capital markets if needed.

Our most restrictive debt covenants, including debt to assets, secured debt to assets, fixed charge and unencumbered interest coverage and debt yield ratios, limit the amount of additional leverage we can add; however, we believe the sources of capital described above are adequate to execute our business strategy and remain in compliance with our debt covenants.

We have non-recourse debt secured by acquired or developed properties held in several of our real estate joint ventures and partnerships. Off balance sheet mortgage debt for our unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships totaled \$554.0 million, of which our ownership percentage is \$197.1 million at March 31, 2012. Scheduled principal mortgage payments on this debt, excluding non-cash related items totaling \$1.6 million, at 100% are as follows (in millions):

| | |
|----------------|-----------------|
| 2012 remaining | \$ 26.8 |
| 2013 | 55.4 |
| 2014 | 116.4 |
| 2015 | 41.5 |
| 2016 | 98.0 |
| Thereafter | 214.3 |
| Total | \$ 552.4 |

We hedge the future cash flows of certain debt transactions, as well as changes in the fair value of our debt instruments, principally through interest rate contracts with major financial institutions. We generally have the right to sell or otherwise dispose of our assets except in certain cases where we are required to obtain our joint venture partners' consent or a third party consent for assets held in special purpose entities, which are 100% owned by us.

Investing Activities:

Acquisitions and Joint Ventures

During the first quarter of 2012, we acquired one shopping center and other retail property for approximately \$19.4 million.

Dispositions

During the first quarter of 2012, we sold four shopping centers and other retail property. We also sold two unconsolidated real estate joint venture interests and assigned a 75% consolidated joint venture interest to our partner. Aggregate gross sales proceeds including the assumption of debt from these transactions totaled \$47.7 million and generated gains of \$9.6 million.

In April 2012, we entered into a purchase and sale agreement to sell a portfolio of 52 wholly-owned industrial properties for a gross selling price of \$382.4 million.

New Development and Capital Expenditures

At March 31, 2012, we had 11 projects under various stages of construction and development with a total square footage of approximately 2.1 million. Overall, we expect our investment in these properties upon completion to be \$197.2 million, net of future proceeds from land sales and tax incentive financing of \$24.6 million.

Our new development projects are financed initially under our revolving credit facility, as it is our practice not to use third party construction financing. Management monitors amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility and periodically pays down such balances using cash generated from operations, from both secured and unsecured debt issuances, from common and preferred share issuances and from dispositions of properties.

Capital expenditures for additions to the existing portfolio, acquisitions, new development and our share of investments in unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships totaled \$44.4 million and \$36.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011. We have entered into commitments aggregating \$79.3 million comprised principally of construction contracts which are generally due in 12 to 36 months and anticipated to be funded under our revolving credit facility.

Financing Activities:

Debt

Total debt outstanding was \$2.5 billion at both March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Total debt at March 31, 2012, included \$2.0 billion on which interest rates are fixed and \$516.1 million, including the effect of \$119.0 million of interest rate contracts, which bears interest at variable rates. Additionally, of our total debt, \$1.0 billion was secured by operating properties while the remaining \$1.5 billion was unsecured.

We have a \$500 million unsecured revolving credit facility, which expires in September 2015 and provides borrowing rates that float at a margin over LIBOR plus a facility fee. The borrowing margin and facility fee are priced off a grid that is tied to our senior unsecured credit ratings, which are currently 125.0 and 25.0 basis points, respectively. The facility also contains a competitive bid feature that will allow us to request bids for up to \$250 million. Additionally, an accordion feature allows us to increase the facility amount up to \$700 million. As of April 24, 2012, we had \$140.0 million outstanding, and the available balance was \$356.6 million, net of \$3.4 million in outstanding letters of credit.

We also have an agreement with a bank for an unsecured and uncommitted overnight facility totaling \$99 million that we maintain for cash management purposes. The facility provides for fixed interest rate loans at a 30 day LIBOR rate plus a borrowing margin based on market liquidity. As of April 24, 2012, we had \$27.0 million outstanding under this facility, and the available balance was \$72.0 million.

During 2012, the maximum balance and weighted average balance outstanding under both facilities combined were \$180.0 million and \$142.9 million, respectively, at a weighted average interest rate of 1.3%.

We intend to use the \$382.4 million of proceeds from the sale of our wholly-owned industrial assets to pay off our \$200 million term loan and to reduce amounts outstanding under our \$500 million revolving credit facility.

Our five most restrictive covenants include debt to assets, secured debt to assets, fixed charge and unencumbered interest coverage and debt yield ratios. We believe we were in full compliance with our public debt and revolving credit facility covenants as of March 31, 2012.

Our public debt covenant ratios as defined in our indenture agreement were as follows at March 31, 2012:

| Covenant | Restriction | Actual |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Debt to Asset Ratio | Less than 60.0% | 44.6% |
| Secured Debt to Asset Ratio | Less than 40.0% | 17.6% |
| Fixed Charge Ratio | Greater than 1.5 | 2.6 |
| Unencumbered Asset Test | Greater than 100% | 255.3% |

At March 31, 2012, we had four interest rate contracts with an aggregate notional amount of \$119.0 million that were designated as fair value hedges and convert fixed interest payments at rates ranging from 4.2% to 7.5% to variable interest payments ranging from .5% to 4.3%.

We also have three interest rate contracts with an aggregate notional amount of \$26.9 million that were designated as cash flow hedges. These contracts have maturities through September 2017 and either fix or cap interest rates ranging from 2.3% to 5.0%. We have determined that these contracts are highly effective in offsetting future variable interest cash flows.

We could be exposed to losses in the event of nonperformance by the counter-parties related to our interest rate contracts; however, management believes such nonperformance is unlikely.

Equity

In February 2012, our Board of Trust Managers approved an increase to our quarterly dividend rate for our common shares from \$.275 to \$.290 per share commencing with the first quarter 2012 distribution. Common and preferred dividends paid totaled \$43.3 million during the first three months of 2012. Our dividend payout ratio (as calculated as dividends paid on common shares divided by funds from operations (FFO) - basic) for the three months ended March 31, 2012 approximated 62.9%, respectively, which is inclusive of non-cash transactions including impairment charges and other non-cash items.

In September 2011, we filed a universal shelf registration statement which is effective for three years. We will continue to closely monitor both the debt and equity markets and carefully consider our available financing alternatives, including both public offerings and private placements.

Contractual Obligations

We have debt obligations related to our mortgage loans and unsecured debt, including any draws on our revolving credit facilities. We have shopping centers that are subject to non-cancelable long-term ground leases where a third party owns and has leased the underlying land to us to construct and/or operate a shopping center. In addition, we have non-cancelable operating leases pertaining to office space from which we conduct our business. The table below excludes obligations related to our new development projects because such amounts are not fixed or determinable. We have entered into commitments aggregating \$79.3 million comprised principally of construction contracts which are generally due in 12 to 36 months. The following table summarizes our primary contractual obligations as of March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| | Remaining 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Thereafter | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Mortgages and Notes Payable: (1) | | | | | | | |
| Unsecured Debt | \$ 435,811 | \$ 218,355 | \$ 339,571 | \$ 279,442 | \$ 93,651 | \$ 285,436 | \$ 1,652,266 |
| Secured Debt | 120,495 | 190,045 | 198,601 | 186,274 | 180,869 | 333,584 | 1,209,868 |
| Lease Payments | 2,647 | 3,492 | 3,156 | 2,956 | 2,623 | 121,295 | 136,169 |
| Other Obligations (2) | 34,468 | 36,660 | | | | | 71,128 |
| Total Contractual Obligations | \$ 593,421 | \$ 448,552 | \$ 541,328 | \$ 468,672 | \$ 277,143 | \$ 740,315 | \$ 3,069,431 |

(1) Includes principal and interest with interest on variable-rate debt calculated using rates at March 31, 2012, excluding the effect of interest rate swaps. Also, excludes a \$74.1 million debt service guaranty liability.

(2) Other obligations include income and real estate tax payments, commitments associated with our secured debt, contributions to our retirement plan and other employee payments.

Related to our investment in a development project in Sheridan, Colorado, we, our joint venture partner and the joint venture have each provided a guaranty for the payment of any debt service shortfalls on tax increment revenue bonds issued in connection with the project. In 2011, the Agency reissued \$74.1 million of Series A bonds used for an urban renewal project. The bonds are to be repaid with incremental sales and property taxes and a PIF to be assessed on current and future retail sales and, to the extent necessary, any amounts we may have to provide under a guaranty. The incremental taxes and PIF are to remain intact until the earlier of the payment of the bond liability in full or 2040. The debt associated with this guaranty has been recorded in our consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2012.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of March 31, 2012, none of our off balance sheet arrangements had a material effect on our liquidity or availability of, or requirement for, our capital resources. Letters of credit totaling \$3.4 million were outstanding under the revolving credit facility at both March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Edgar Filing: WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS /TX/ - Form 10-Q

We have entered into several unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships. Under many of these agreements, we and our joint venture partners are required to fund operating capital upon shortfalls in working capital. We have also committed to fund the capital requirements of several new development joint ventures. As operating manager of most of these entities, we have considered these funding requirements in our business plan.

Reconsideration events, including changes in variable interests, could cause us to consolidate these joint ventures and partnerships. We continuously evaluate these events as we become aware of them. Some triggers to be considered are additional contributions required by each partner and each partner's ability to make those contributions. Under certain of these circumstances, we may purchase our partner's interest. Our material unconsolidated real estate joint ventures are with entities which appear sufficiently stable; however, if market conditions were to continue to deteriorate and our partners are unable to meet their commitments, there is a possibility we may have to consolidate these entities. If we were to consolidate all of our unconsolidated real estate joint ventures, we would still be in compliance with our debt covenants.

As of March 31, 2012, two unconsolidated real estate joint ventures were determined to be VIEs through the issuance of secured loans, since the lenders have the ability to make decisions that could have a significant impact on the profitability of the entities. Our maximum risk of loss associated with these VIEs was limited to \$33.8 million at March 31, 2012.

We have a real estate limited partnership agreement with a foreign institutional investor to purchase up to \$280 million of retail properties in various states. Our ownership in this unconsolidated real estate limited partnership is 51%. To date, no properties have been purchased.

Funds from Operations

The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) defines FFO as net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders computed in accordance with GAAP, excluding extraordinary items and gains or losses from sales of operating real estate assets and interests in real estate equity investments, plus depreciation and amortization of operating properties and impairment of depreciable real estate and in substance real estate equity investments, including our share of unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships. We calculate FFO in a manner consistent with the NAREIT definition.

We believe FFO is a widely recognized measure of REIT operating performance which provides our shareholders with a relevant basis for comparison among other REITs. Management uses FFO as a supplemental internal measure to conduct and evaluate our business because there are certain limitations associated with using GAAP net income by itself as the primary measure of our operating performance. Historical cost accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values instead have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, management believes that the presentation of operating results for real estate companies that uses historical cost accounting is insufficient by itself. There can be no assurance that FFO presented by us is comparable to similarly titled measures of other REITs.

FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income or other measurements under GAAP as an indicator of our operating performance or to cash flows from operating, investing or financing activities as a measure of liquidity. FFO does not reflect working capital changes, cash expenditures for capital improvements or principal payments on indebtedness.

FFO is calculated as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income attributable to common shareholders | \$ 12,328 | \$ 7,227 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 37,619 | 36,928 |
| Depreciation and amortization of unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships | 5,644 | 5,964 |
| Impairment of operating properties and real estate equity investments | 9,779 | 762 |
| Impairment of operating properties of unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships | - | 411 |
| Gain on sale of property and interests in real estate equity investments | (9,573) | (1,060) |
| Loss on sale of property of unconsolidated real estate joint ventures and partnerships | - | 10 |
| | | |
| Funds from operations basic and diluted | \$ 55,797 | \$ 50,242 |
| | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding basic | 120,481 | 120,142 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | |
| Share options and awards | 960 | 959 |
| | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding diluted | 121,441 | 121,101 |

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We use fixed and floating-rate debt to finance our capital requirements. These transactions expose us to market risk related to changes in interest rates. Derivative financial instruments are used to manage a portion of this risk, primarily interest rate contracts with major financial institutions. These agreements expose us to credit risk in the event of non-performance by the counter-parties. We do not engage in the trading of derivative financial instruments in the normal course of business. At March 31, 2012, we had fixed-rate debt of \$2.0 billion and variable-rate debt of \$516.1 million, after adjusting for the net effect of \$119.0 million notional amount of interest rate contracts. In the event interest rates were to increase 100 basis points and holding all other variables constant, annual net income and cash flows for the following year would decrease by approximately \$5.2 million associated with our variable-rate debt, including the effect of the interest rate contracts. The effect of the 100 basis points increase would decrease the fair value of our variable-rate and fixed-rate debt by approximately \$69.7 million and \$13.0 million, respectively.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, management has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of March 31, 2012. Based on that evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2012.

There has been no change to our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II-OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

We are involved in various matters of litigation arising in the normal course of business. While we are unable to predict with certainty the amounts involved, our management and counsel believe that when such litigation is resolved, our resulting liability, if any, will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

We have no material changes to the risk factors discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

ITEM 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. Other Information

Not applicable.

ITEM 6. Exhibits

The exhibits required by this item are set forth on the Exhibit Index attached hereto.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS
(Registrant)

By: /s/ Andrew M. Alexander
Andrew M. Alexander
President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Joe D. Shafer
Joe D. Shafer
Senior Vice President/Chief Accounting Officer
(Principal Accounting Officer)

DATE: May 10, 2012

EXHIBIT INDEX

- (a) Exhibits:
- 10.1* Third Amendment to Promissory Note with Reliance Trust Company, Trustee of the Master Nonqualified Plan Trust under the Weingarten Realty Investors Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan and Weingarten Realty Investors Retirement Benefit Restoration Plan dated February 15, 2012.
 - 10.2 * Fourth Amendment to the Weingarten Realty Retirement Plan dated March 2, 2012.
 - 10.3 Purchase and Sale Agreement dated April 10, 2012 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 on WRI s Form 8-K on April 12, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference).
 - 31.1* Certification pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Executive Officer).
 - 31.2* Certification pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Financial Officer).
 - 32.1** Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Sec. 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Executive Officer).
 - 32.2** Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Sec. 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Financial Officer).
 - 101.INS** XBRL Instance Document
 - 101.SCH** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
 - 101.CAL** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
 - 101.DEF** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
 - 101.LAB** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document
 - 101.PRE** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Filed with this report.

** Furnished with this report.

Management contract or compensation plan or arrangement.