

BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORP \DE\  
Form 10-Q  
July 16, 2010  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
**Washington D.C. 20549**  
**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2010

**TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 000-27464

**BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**95-4547287**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**4800 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, California**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**90010**  
(Zip Code)

**(323) 634-1700**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject

Edgar Filing: BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated, or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer  Accelerated Filer  Non-Accelerated Filer  Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 1,743,965 shares of the Company's Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, were outstanding as of June 30, 2010.

**Table of Contents****TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2010 (unaudited) and December 31, 2009</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Earnings (unaudited) for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009</u>	3
<u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	4
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	14
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	19
<b>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	20
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	21
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	21
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	21
Item 4. <u>Reserved</u>	21
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	21
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	21
<u>Signatures</u>	22
Ex 31.1 Section 302 Certification of CEO	
Ex 31.2 Section 302 Certification of CFO	
Ex 32 Section 906 Certifications of CEO and CFO	

**Table of Contents****BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

	March 31, 2010 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2009
	<i>(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)</i>	
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash	\$ 4,879	\$ 7,440
Federal funds sold	12,565	
Cash and cash equivalents	17,444	7,440
Securities available for sale, at fair value	13,769	14,961
Securities held to maturity (fair value of \$15,718 at March 31, 2010 and \$16,838 at December 31, 2009)	15,149	16,285
Loans receivable held for sale, net	19,321	20,940
Loans receivable, net of allowance of \$20,110 and \$20,460	435,067	432,640
Accrued interest receivable	2,456	2,419
Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock, at cost	4,536	4,305
Office properties and equipment, net	5,308	5,363
Real estate owned (REO)	2,213	2,072
Bank owned life insurance	2,442	2,418
Deferred tax assets	5,266	4,986
Other assets	5,903	7,217
Total assets	\$ 528,874	\$ 521,046
<b>Liabilities and stockholders equity</b>		
Deposits	\$ 392,747	\$ 385,488
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	88,000	91,600
Junior subordinated debentures	6,000	6,000
Other borrowings	5,000	
Advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance		372
Other liabilities	4,738	6,071
Total liabilities	496,485	489,531
<b>Stockholders Equity:</b>		
Senior preferred, cumulative and non-voting stock, \$1,000 par value, authorized, issued and outstanding 9,000 shares of Series D at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009; liquidation preference of \$9,000 at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009	8,963	8,963
Senior preferred, cumulative and non-voting stock, \$1,000 par value, authorized, issued and outstanding 6,000 shares of Series E at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009; liquidation preference of \$6,000 at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009	5,974	5,974
Preferred, non-cumulative and non-voting stock, \$.01 par value, authorized 1,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 55,199 shares of Series A, 100,000 shares of Series B and 76,950 shares of Series C at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009; liquidation preference of \$552 for Series A, \$1,000 for Series B and \$1,000 for Series C at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009	2	2
Preferred stock discount	(1,662)	(1,756)
Common stock, \$.01 par value, authorized 3,000,000 shares; issued 2,013,942 shares at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009; outstanding 1,743,365 shares at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009	20	20
Additional paid-in capital	14,330	14,273
Retained earnings-substantially restricted	7,992	7,322

Edgar Filing: BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes of \$154 and \$118 at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009	229	176
Treasury stock-at cost, 270,577 shares at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009	(3,459)	(3,459)
Total stockholders' equity	32,389	31,515
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 528,874	\$ 521,046

*See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.*

**Table of Contents****BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Earnings****(Unaudited)**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
	<i>(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)</i>	
Interest and fees on loans receivable	\$ 7,427	\$ 6,254
Interest on mortgage-backed securities	270	294
Interest on investment securities	12	13
Other interest income	10	34
<b>Total interest income</b>	<b>7,719</b>	<b>6,595</b>
Interest on deposits	1,503	1,725
Interest on borrowings	795	752
<b>Total interest expense</b>	<b>2,298</b>	<b>2,477</b>
Net interest income before provision for loan losses	5,421	4,118
Provision for loan losses	574	516
<b>Net interest income after provision for loan losses</b>	<b>4,847</b>	<b>3,602</b>
Non-interest income:		
Service charges	263	347
Net gains (losses) on mortgage banking activities		17
Gain on sale of REO	26	
Provision for losses on loans receivable held for sale	(156)	(105)
Other	33	37
<b>Total non-interest income</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>296</b>
Non-interest expense:		
Compensation and benefits	1,931	1,626
Occupancy expense, net	362	345
Information services	218	198
Professional services	210	173
Office services and supplies	144	144
FDIC insurance	247	105
Other	263	235
<b>Total non-interest expense</b>	<b>3,375</b>	<b>2,826</b>
Earnings before income taxes	1,638	1,072
Income taxes	650	410
<b>Net earnings</b>	<b>\$ 988</b>	<b>\$ 662</b>

Other comprehensive income, net of tax:		
Unrealized gain on securities available for sale	\$ 89	\$ 104
Income tax effect	(36)	(41)
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	53	63
Comprehensive earnings	\$ 1,041	\$ 725
Net earnings	\$ 988	\$ 662
Dividends and discount accretion on preferred stock	(300)	(163)
Earnings available to common shareholders	\$ 688	\$ 499
Earnings per common share-basic	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.29
Earnings per common share-diluted	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.29
Dividends declared per share-common stock	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.05

*See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.*

**Table of Contents****BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(Unaudited)**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
	<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net earnings	\$ 988	\$ 662
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	574	516
Provision for losses on loans receivable held for sale	156	105
Depreciation	108	107
Net amortization of premiums and discounts on loans purchased	(1)	(6)
Net amortization of deferred loan origination costs (fees)	14	(16)
Net amortization of premiums on mortgage-backed securities	59	4
Stock-based compensation expense	57	15
Net gains on mortgage banking activities		(17)
Earnings on bank owned life insurance	(24)	(23)
Gain on sale of REO	(26)	
Net change in:		
Loans receivable held for sale, net	1,544	1,181
Accrued interest receivable	(37)	(176)
Deferred income taxes	(316)	
Other assets	1,314	(127)
Other liabilities	(1,055)	(766)
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,355	1,459
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Available-for-sale securities:		
Maturities, prepayments and calls	1,225	142
Held-to-maturity securities:		
Maturities, prepayments and calls	1,133	1,222
Net change in loans receivable	(4,090)	(29,261)
Proceeds from sale of REO	880	
Investment in affordable housing limited partnership	(278)	
Purchase of Federal Home Loan Bank stock	(231)	(38)
Additions to office properties and equipment	(53)	(110)
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,414)	(28,045)
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Net change in deposits	7,259	33,914
Repayments on Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(3,600)	(2,500)
Net increase in other borrowings	5,000	
Cash dividends paid	(224)	(218)
Net change in advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance	(372)	(436)
Net cash provided by financing activities	8,063	30,760



Edgar Filing: BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Net change in cash and cash equivalents	10,004	4,174
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	7,440	7,476
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 17,444	\$ 11,650
<b>Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:</b>		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 2,296	\$ 2,485
Cash paid for income taxes	\$	\$ 840
<b>Supplemental disclosures of non-cash investing and financing activities:</b>		
Transfers of loans receivable to REO	\$ 1,076	\$

*See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.*

---

**Table of Contents**

**BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements**

**March 31, 2010**

**NOTE (1) Basis of Financial Statement Presentation**

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include Broadway Financial Corporation (the Company) and its wholly owned subsidiary, Broadway Federal Bank, f.s.b. (the Bank). Also included in the unaudited consolidated financial statements is Broadway Service Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Bank. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions for Form 10-Q. These unaudited consolidated financial statements do not include all disclosures associated with the Company's consolidated annual financial statements included in its annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 and, accordingly, should be read in conjunction with such audited consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (all of which are normal and recurring in nature) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2010.

Some items in the prior period consolidated financial statements were reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

**NOTE (2) Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) amended previous guidance relating to transfers of financial assets and eliminates the concept of a qualifying special purpose entity. This guidance must be applied as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. This guidance must be applied to transfers occurring on or after the effective date. Additionally, on and after the effective date, the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity is no longer relevant for accounting purposes. Therefore, formerly qualifying special-purpose entities should be evaluated for consolidation by reporting entities on and after the effective date in accordance with the applicable consolidation guidance. The disclosure provisions were also amended and apply to transfers that occurred both before and after the effective date of this guidance. The effect of adopting this guidance on January 1, 2010 was not material to the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB amended guidance for consolidation of variable interest entities by replacing the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which enterprise, if any, has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity with an approach focused on identifying which enterprise has the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and (1) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or (2) the right to receive benefits from the entity. Additional disclosures about an enterprise's involvement in variable interest entities are also required. This guidance is effective as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period, and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. Early adoption is prohibited. The effect of adopting this guidance on January 1, 2010 was not material to the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB updated previous guidance relating to fair value measurements and disclosures. This guidance adds new disclosures about transfers into and out of Level 1 and Level 2 measurements and the reasons for those transfers. Disclosures of gross amounts of purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements are now required in the roll-forward of Level 3 measurements, replacing the net presentation previously allowed. The update also clarifies existing disclosures guidance about the level of disaggregation to be presented and about inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the requirement to report Level 3 roll-forward activity on a gross basis, which will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. The Company will adopt the disclosure related to the detailed Level 3 roll forward disclosures on January 1, 2011 and the remaining disclosure was adopted as of January 1, 2010. Since this

**Table of Contents****BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****March 31, 2010**

guidance requires only enhanced disclosures concerning fair value measurement, adoption of this guidance did not and will not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

**NOTE (3) Earnings Per Common Share**

Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing earnings available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per common share is computed by dividing earnings available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding for the period, increased for the dilutive effect of Common Stock equivalents.

The following table shows how we computed basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009.

	<b>For the three months ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
	<b>(Dollars in thousands, except per share)</b>	
<b>Basic</b>		
Net earnings	\$ 988	\$ 662
Less: Preferred stock dividends and accretion	(300)	(163)
Earnings available to common shareholders	\$ 688	\$ 499
Weighted average common shares outstanding	1,743,365	1,742,765
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.29
<b>Diluted</b>		
Net earnings	\$ 988	\$ 662
Less: Preferred stock dividends and accretion	(300)	(163)
Earnings available to common shareholders	\$ 688	\$ 499
Weighted average common shares outstanding	1,743,365	1,742,765
Add: dilutive effects of assumed exercises of stock options	5,778	1,982
Average shares and dilutive potential common shares	1,749,143	1,744,747
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.29

Stock options and warrant for 211,763 and 402,000 shares of common stock were not considered in computing diluted earnings per common share for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 because they were anti-dilutive.



**Table of Contents****BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****March 31, 2010****NOTE (4) Securities**

The following table summarizes the amortized cost and fair value of the available-for-sale and held-to-maturity investment securities portfolios at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 and the corresponding amounts of unrealized gains and losses which are recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), for available-for-sale investment securities, were as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains (In thousands)	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
<b>March 31, 2010:</b>				
<b>Available-for-sale</b>				
Residential mortgage-backed	\$ 13,386	\$ 383	\$	\$ 13,769
Total available-for-sale	\$ 13,386	\$ 383	\$	\$ 13,769
<b>Held-to-maturity</b>				
Residential mortgage-backed	\$ 14,149	\$ 476	\$	\$ 14,625
U.S. Government and federal agency	1,000	93		1,093
Total held-to-maturity	\$ 15,149	\$ 569	\$	\$ 15,718
<b>December 31, 2009:</b>				
<b>Available-for-sale</b>				
Residential mortgage-backed	\$ 14,667	\$ 294	\$	\$ 14,961
Total available-for-sale	\$ 14,667	\$ 294	\$	\$ 14,961
<b>Held-to-maturity</b>				
Residential mortgage-backed	\$ 15,285	\$ 460	\$	\$ 15,745
U.S. Government and federal agency	1,000	93		1,093
Total held-to-maturity	\$ 16,285	\$ 553	\$	\$ 16,838

The amortized cost and fair value of the investment securities portfolios are shown by contractual maturity at March 31, 2010. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities if borrowers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Securities not due at a single maturity date, primarily residential mortgage-backed securities, are shown separately.

Maturity	Available-for-Sale		Held-to-Maturity	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value (In thousands)	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Within one year	\$	\$	\$	\$
One to five years			1,000	1,093
Five to ten years				
Beyond ten years				
Residential mortgage-backed	13,386	13,769	14,149	14,625
Total	\$ 13,386	\$ 13,769	\$ 15,149	\$ 15,718

**Table of Contents****BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****March 31, 2010**

At March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, securities pledged to secure public deposits and FHLB advances had a carrying amount of \$15.1 million and \$16.3 million, respectively. At March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, there were no holdings of securities of any one issuer, other than the U.S. Government and its agencies, in an amount greater than 10% of stockholders' equity. There were no sales of securities during the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009.

There were no securities with unrealized losses at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009. We evaluate securities for other-than-temporary impairment at least quarterly, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. Consideration is given to the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer; the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than the cost, and our intent and ability to retain our investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. In analyzing an issuer's financial condition, we consider whether the securities are issued by the federal government or its agencies, whether downgrades by bond rating agencies have occurred, and the results of reviews of the issuer's financial condition.

**NOTE (5) Allowance for Loan Losses and Impaired Loans**

Activity in the allowance for loan losses was as follows:

	For the three months ended March 31, 2010      2009 (In thousands)	
Beginning balance	\$ 20,460	\$ 3,559
Provision for loan losses	574	516
Recoveries		
Loans charged off	(924)	
Ending balance	\$ 20,110	\$ 4,075

Individually impaired loans were as follows:

	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
	(In thousands)	
Impaired loans with no allocated allowance for loan losses	\$ 20,356	\$ 17,500
Impaired loans with allocated allowance for loan losses based on fair value of collateral	15,328	16,956
Impaired loans with allocated allowance for loan losses based on present value of cash flows as a troubled debt restructure ( TDR )	14,685	15,186
Total	\$ 50,369	\$ 49,642
Allowance for loan losses allocated to impaired loans based on fair value of collateral	\$ 4,950	\$ 4,831
	490	543

Edgar Filing: BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Allowance for loan losses allocated to impaired loans based on present value of cash flows as a TDR

Allowance for loan losses allocated to impaired loans	\$ 5,440	\$ 5,374
---	----------	----------



**Table of Contents****BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****March 31, 2010**

Non-accrual loans were as follows:

	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
	(In thousands)	
Loans receivable, held for sale	\$ 2,356	\$ 4,013
Loans receivable, net	31,677	30,908
<b>Total non-accrual loans</b>	<b>\$ 34,033</b>	<b>\$ 34,921</b>

Non-accrual loans consist of delinquent loans that are 90 days or more past due and TDRs that do not qualify for accrual status. There were no loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing.

At March 31, 2010, loans classified as a TDR totaled \$31.9 million, of which \$9.5 million were included in nonaccrual loans and \$22.4 million were on accrual status as the loans have complied with the terms of their restructured agreements for a satisfactory period of time. At December 31, 2009, loans classified as a TDR totaled \$32.5 million, of which \$11.0 million were included in nonaccrual loans and \$21.5 million were on accrual status as the loans have complied with the terms of their restructured agreements for a satisfactory period of time. The Company has allocated \$490 thousand and \$543 thousand of specific allowance allocations to TDRs as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The net carrying value of TDRs is evaluated on at least a quarterly basis and valuation allowances are adjusted for changes in the estimated present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate. As of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we did not have any outstanding commitments to extend additional funds to these borrowers.

**NOTE (6) Fair Value**

Fair value is the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair values:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a company's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

The Company used the following methods and significant assumptions to estimate the fair value of each type of financial instrument:

The fair values of securities available-for-sale are determined by obtaining quoted prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1 inputs) or matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities, but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs).

Loans receivable held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value, as determined by outstanding commitments, from third party investors, if available, and if not available, are based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life and credit risk (Level 2 inputs). The fair value of non-performing loans receivable held for sale is generally based upon the fair value of the collateral which is obtained from recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including

**Table of Contents****BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****March 31, 2010**

comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are typically significant and result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

The fair value of impaired loans with specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses is generally based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are typically significant and result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

Nonrecurring adjustments to certain commercial and residential real estate properties classified as real estate owned ( REO ) are measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are generally based on third party appraisals of the property, resulting in a Level 3 classification. In cases where the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, less costs to sell, an impairment loss is recognized.

Mortgage servicing rights assets are recognized on residential and non-residential mortgage loans we service for others. The fair value of mortgage servicing rights is based on a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing income (Level 3 inputs).

***Assets Measured on a Recurring Basis***

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below:

	Carrying Value	Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2010 Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
(In thousands)				
Assets:				
Securities available-for-sale - residential mortgage-backed	\$ 13,769	\$	\$ 13,769	\$

	Carrying Value	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2009 Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
(In thousands)				
Assets:				
Securities available-for-sale - residential mortgage-backed	\$ 14,961	\$	\$ 14,961	\$

**Table of Contents****BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****March 31, 2010*****Assets Measured on a Non-Recurring Basis***

Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below:

	Carrying Value	Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2010 Using Quoted Prices in Active Markets		
		for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
(In thousands)				
<b>Assets:</b>				
Non-performing loans receivable held for sale, net	\$ 6,446	\$	\$	\$ 6,446
Impaired loans carried at fair value of collateral	17,083			17,083
Real estate owned	2,213			2,213
Mortgage servicing rights	448			448

	Carrying Value	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2009 Using Quoted Prices in Active Markets		
		for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
(In thousands)				
<b>Assets:</b>				
Non-performing loans receivable held for sale, net	\$ 5,700	\$	\$	\$ 5,700
Impaired loans carried at fair value of collateral	17,071			17,071
Mortgage servicing rights	450			450

Non-performing loans receivable held for sale were carried at their fair value of \$6.4 million, which was made up of the outstanding balance of \$6.9 million, after partial charge-offs of \$639 thousand. In addition, these loans have a valuation allowance of \$430 thousand at March 31, 2010. The remaining \$12.9 million of loans receivable held for sale were carried at cost at March 31, 2010, as the fair value of these loans exceeded the book value for each individual credit. Charge-offs and changes in valuation allowances on loans receivable held for sale for the three months ended March 31, 2010 resulted in a charge of \$75 thousand.

Non-performing loans receivable held for sale were carried at their fair value of \$5.7 million, which was made up of the outstanding balance of \$6.7 million, net of a valuation allowance of \$1.0 million at December 31, 2009. The remaining \$15.2 million of loans receivable held for sale were carried at cost at December 31, 2009, as the fair value of these loans exceeded the book value for each individual credit. Changes in valuation allowances on loans receivable held for sale during 2009 resulted in a charge of \$734 thousand.

Impaired loans, which are measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral, had a carrying amount of \$35.7 million at March 31, 2010, after partial charge-offs of \$495 thousand. In addition, these loans have a specific valuation allowance of \$4.9 million at March 31, 2010. Of the \$35.7 million impaired loan portfolio, which are measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral, \$22.0 million were carried at their fair value of \$17.1 million as a result of the aforementioned charge-offs and specific valuation allowances. The remaining \$13.7 million were carried at cost at March 31, 2010, as the fair value of the collateral on these loans exceeded the book value for each individual credit.

Edgar Filing: BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Charge-offs and changes in specific valuation allowances for the three months ended March 31, 2010 on impaired loans carried at fair value at March 31, 2010 resulted in additional provision for loan losses of \$560 thousand.

Impaired loans, which are measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral, had a carrying amount of \$34.5 million at December 31, 2009, after partial charge-offs of \$1.4 million. In addition, these loans have a specific

**Table of Contents****BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****March 31, 2010**

valuation allowance of \$4.8 million at December 31, 2009. Of the \$34.5 million impaired loan portfolio, which are measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral, \$21.9 million were carried at their fair value of \$17.1 million as a result of the aforementioned charge-offs and specific valuation allowances. The remaining \$12.6 million were carried at cost at December 31, 2009, as the fair value of the collateral on these loans exceeded the book value for each individual credit. Charge-offs and changes in specific valuation allowances during 2009 on impaired loans carried at fair value at December 31, 2009 resulted in additional provision for loan losses of \$6.2 million.

Real estate owned, which is measured at the lower of carrying or fair value less costs to sell, had a carrying amount of \$2.2 million at March 31, 2010, which is made up of an outstanding balance of \$2.3 million, with a valuation allowance of \$81 thousand. Changes in the valuation allowance on real estate owned outstanding at March 31, 2010 resulted in impairment charges of \$81 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Mortgage servicing rights were carried at their fair value of \$448 thousand at March 31, 2010, resulting in a charge of \$2 thousand for the quarter ended March 31, 2010.

Mortgage servicing rights were carried at their fair value of \$450 thousand at December 31, 2009, resulting in a charge of \$13 thousand for the year ended December 31, 2009.

***Fair Values of Financial Instruments***

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments, at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 were as follows:

	March 31, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
	(In thousands)			
<b>Financial Assets:</b>				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 17,444	\$ 17,444	\$ 7,440	\$ 7,440
Securities available-for-sale	13,769	13,769	14,961	14,961
Securities held-to-maturity	15,149	15,718	16,285	16,838
Loans receivable held for sale, net	19,321	19,321	20,940	20,940
Loans receivable, net	434,207	436,103	432,640	434,770
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	4,536	N/A	4,305	N/A
Accrued interest receivable	2,456	2,456	2,419	2,419
<b>Financial Liabilities:</b>				
Deposits	\$ (392,747)	\$ (390,390)	\$ (385,488)	\$ (382,435)
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(88,000)	(91,357)	(91,600)	(94,491)
Junior subordinated debentures	(6,000)	(4,329)	(6,000)	(5,237)
Other borrowings	(5,000)	(5,000)		
Advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance			(372)	(372)
Accrued interest payable	(495)	(495)	(494)	(494)

The methods and assumptions, not previously presented, used to estimate fair value are described as follows:

Carrying amount is the estimated fair value for cash and cash equivalents, accrued interest receivable and payable, demand deposits, short term debt, advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance, and variable rate loans, deposits and borrowings that reprice frequently and fully. The methods for determining the fair values for securities were described previously. For fixed rate loans and deposits and for variable rate loans

and deposits with infrequent repricing or repricing limits, fair value is based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life and credit risk without consideration of widening credit spreads due to market illiquidity. Fair value of debt is based on current rates for similar financing. It was not practicable to determine the fair value of FHLB stock due

**Table of Contents**

**BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)**

**March 31, 2010**

to restrictions placed on its transferability. The fair values of off-balance-sheet items are not considered material (or are based on the current fees or cost that would be charged to enter into or terminate such arrangements) and, as such, they are not presented herein.

**NOTE (7) Stock-based Compensation**

In 2008, we adopted the 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan ( 2008 LTIP ), which authorizes up to a maximum of 351,718 shares plus certain shares covered under the Company s 1996 Long-Term Incentive Plan and 1996 Stock Option Plan, which have expired. At March 31, 2010, 199,843 shares remain available for issuance pursuant to future grants. The granting of awards to key employees and directors is typically in the form of options to purchase capital stock.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2010, the Company granted 8,750 stock options, with an exercise price of \$6.00 per share. These options vest immediately and expire in ten years. Using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, the Company estimated the fair value of these stock options to be \$34 thousand or \$3.86 per share. The Company expensed this amount during the current quarter. The assumptions used in the option pricing model and the determination of stock option expense were an expected volatility of 102.91%, a risk free interest rate of 3.68%, an expected option term of ten years, and a 3.33% dividend yield.

The Company recorded \$34 thousand of stock-based compensation expense, net of tax, during the first quarter of 2010 compared to \$9 thousand for the first quarter of 2009.

**NOTE (8) Loss Contingencies**

Loss contingencies, including claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business, are recorded as liabilities when the likelihood of loss is probable and an amount or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. Management does not believe there now are any such matters that will have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

On September 18, 2008, a lawsuit was filed in the Superior Court of the State of California for Los Angeles County against Broadway Federal Bank by Daniel D. Holliday III, Attorney at Law, LLC ( Holliday ). This legal action arises from a dispute over the priority of the Bank s lien against a \$2.6 million deposit account balance in the Bank securing a land development loan. The lawsuit seeks damages of \$2.6 million, plus interest, costs and attorneys fees according to proof. The plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief to prevent the Bank from asserting a senior security interest on the deposit account and to prevent the Bank from applying the funds in the deposit account to satisfy the amount owing on the loan.

On April 17, 2009, the Bank filed a cross-complaint against Holliday (as an individual), Bachmann Springs Holdings, LLC (the developer), Thomas T. Bachmann (the principal of the developer), Robert Estareja (an agent of Bachmann Springs Holdings), Alan Roberson (the loan broker), Canyon Acquisitions, LLC ( Canyon ) (the broker who located the investors for the real estate project at issue and the entity funding Holliday s fees and costs), and Brent Borland (Canyon s principal), alleging causes of action for: declaratory relief, money due on default on promissory note, judicial foreclosure on personal property, money lent, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, implied equitable indemnity, rescission based on fraud, and equitable subordination. The basis of the cross-complaint is that, among other things, the cross-defendants conspired with each other to fraudulently induce the Bank to make the loan at issue. See Part II Item 1, Legal Proceedings for further description.

With respect to the foregoing matters, the Bank s attorneys have not concluded that the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome is neither probable or remote , and express no opinion as to the likely outcome of such matters. Management has vigorously defended against the lawsuit and prosecuted the cross-complaint.

As of March 31, 2010, a \$600 thousand specific allocation of the allowance for loan losses has been established for the related \$2.2 million loan and the loan is on nonaccrual status. If the Bank is unsuccessful in defending against the





**Table of Contents**

lawsuit, the loan and the non-accrued interest receivable balances may not be fully collectible and a loss may be incurred that could be material to the Company's consolidated financial statements.

**NOTE (9) Subsequent Events**

As reported in the Company's most recent Form 10-K Annual Report, the Company and the Bank are currently considered by the Office of Thrift Supervision (the OTS) to be in troubled condition. The Company has recently been notified by the OTS that the Company and the Bank will be receiving a regulatory order pursuant to findings from the OTS examination concluded February 2010, and as part of that order, the Bank anticipates that it will be subject to increased capital ratio requirements.

During the second quarter of 2010, the Bank sold two commercial loans and recorded a loss of \$228 thousand. The loans were carried at their fair value at March 31, 2010, which was made up of the outstanding balance of \$4.5 million, net of a valuation allowance of \$1.7 million at March 31, 2010.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### **ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ( MD&A ) is intended to provide a reader of our financial statements with a narrative from the perspective of our management on our financial condition, results of operations, liquidity and certain other factors that may affect our future results. Our MD&A should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes included in Item 1, Financial Statements, of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

#### **Overview**

During the three months ended March 31, 2010, total assets increased \$7.8 million, or 2%, primarily in cash and cash equivalents which increased \$10.0 million or 134%, as we improve our liquidity in anticipation of deposit run offs resulting from growth restrictions imposed by our primary regulator, the Office of Thrift Supervision ( OTS ). Our deposits increased \$7.3 million or 2%, while FHLB advances decreased \$3.6 million or 4%. In February 2010, we borrowed an aggregate of \$5.0 million under our \$5.0 million line of credit with a correspondent bank and invested all of the proceeds in the equity capital of the Bank.

Our net earnings for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 were \$988 thousand, or \$0.39 per diluted share, compared to net earnings of \$662 thousand, or \$0.29 per diluted share, for the same period a year ago, representing an increase in net earnings of \$326 thousand, or 49%. The annualized return on average assets was 0.76% for the first quarter of 2010, compared to 0.63% for the first quarter of 2009. The annualized return on average equity was 12.34% for the first quarter of 2010, compared to 8.01% for the same period a year ago. The efficiency ratio was 60.41% for the first quarter of 2010, compared to 64.02% for the same period in 2009.

In the course of a regularly scheduled comprehensive examination of Broadway Federal by the OTS and the FDIC, which commenced in January 2010, the OTS informed us that it considers the Company and the Bank to be in troubled condition and has imposed limitations on various aspects of our operations, including among others, limitations on our growth and ability to pay dividends and on our ability to use brokered deposits to fund our operations. Further discussions of these subjects and other important aspects of our operations and financial condition are contained in Part II, Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

#### **Results of Operations**

##### *Net Earnings*

Net earnings for the first quarter of 2010 were \$988 thousand, or \$0.39 per diluted common share, up \$326 thousand, or 49%, when compared with net earnings of \$662 thousand, or \$0.29 per diluted common share, in the first quarter of 2009. A significant increase in net interest income more than offset lower non-interest income, higher provision for loan losses and increased non-interest expenses.

##### *Net Interest Income*

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010, net interest income before provision for loan losses was \$5.4 million, which represented an increase of \$1.3 million, or 32%, from the first quarter of 2009. The increase of \$1.3 million in net interest income was the combination of a \$1.1 million increase related to higher average interest-earning assets, which resulted from the substantial growth in our loan portfolio during 2009, and a \$172 thousand increase due to an expanded net interest margin. Average interest-earning assets increased \$114.5 million, or 28%, from the first quarter of 2009. Our net interest margin for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 increased to 4.17%, 10 basis points higher than the first quarter of 2009, primarily because we were able to reduce the annualized cost of our interest-bearing liabilities more rapidly than the decline in the annualized yield on our interest-earning assets.

## **Table of Contents**

The annualized yield on our average interest-earning assets decreased 57 basis points to 5.94% for the first quarter of 2010 from 6.51% for the same period a year ago. The decline in asset yields is directly related to the downward repricing of assets in this lower interest rate environment. Higher levels of non-accrual loans also resulted in lower loan yields.

The annualized cost of our average interest-bearing liabilities decreased 73 basis points to 1.89% for the first quarter of 2010 from 2.62% for the same period a year ago. The decrease primarily reflected the 78 basis points decrease in the annualized cost of deposits. The decline in deposit costs relates to the continued low level of interest rates during the period.

### *Provision for Loan Losses*

The Company records a provision for loan losses as a charge to its earnings when necessary in order to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level sufficient, in management's judgment, to absorb losses inherent in the loan portfolio and is reflective of portfolio fluctuations, changes to the loan portfolio composition, management's evaluation of the quality of the loan portfolio and net charge-offs.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, we recorded provisions for loan losses of \$574 thousand and \$516 thousand, respectively. The provision recorded in the 2010 first quarter reflects a \$560 thousand increase in specific loss allocation on impaired loans and a \$14 thousand increase in the general valuation allowance.

Net loan charge-offs for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 totaled \$924 thousand (of which \$429 thousand represented overdrafted NOW accounts, \$366 thousand represented one-to-four family loans and \$129 thousand represented commercial real estate loans) compared to \$2.3 million for the 2009 fourth quarter (of which \$1.2 million represented one-to-four family loans, \$667 thousand represented commercial real estate loans, \$200 thousand represented a multi-family loan and \$230 thousand represented unsecured commercial and consumer loans) and \$0 for the 2009 first quarter. Net loan charge-offs as a percent of average loans were approximately 19 basis points for the quarter ended March 31, 2010.

### *Non-interest Income*

Non-interest income for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 totaled \$166 thousand compared to \$296 thousand for the 2009 first quarter. The decrease was primarily due to a reduction in loan and retail banking fees of \$84 thousand and an \$81 thousand valuation allowance on real estate owned (REO), partially offset by a \$30 thousand decrease in provision for losses on loans receivable held for sale.

### *Non-interest Expense*

Non-interest expense for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 totaled \$3.4 million compared to \$2.8 million for the 2009 first quarter. The year over year increase was primarily due to higher compensation and benefits expense, primarily reflecting a lower amount of salaries that were deferred on loan originations in the 2010 first quarter as a result of decreased loan origination volume, higher premiums for FDIC insurance and higher expenses for professional services.

### *Income Taxes*

The Company's effective income tax rate was 39.68% for the three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to 38.25% for the three months ended March 31, 2009. Income taxes are computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rate of 34% and the California income tax rate of 10.84% to earnings before income taxes. The effective income tax rate for each period was reduced by the amount of non-taxable income from earnings on bank owned life insurance and the impact of state income tax deductions for loans made in designated enterprise zones.

## **Financial Condition**

### *Total Assets*

Total assets were \$528.9 million at March 31, 2010, which represented an increase of \$7.8 million from December 31, 2009. Primarily accounting for the increase in total assets are increases in cash and cash equivalents of \$10.0 million and

---

**Table of Contents**

in net loans receivable of \$2.4 million, partially offset by decreases in securities portfolio of \$2.3 million and in loans receivable held for sale of \$1.6 million.

*Loan Portfolio*

Our loan portfolio increased to \$435.1 million at March 31, 2010, a \$2.4 million increase from December 31, 2009, with a \$6.5 million increase in our multi-family residential real estate loan portfolio, offset by a \$3.2 million decrease in our commercial real estate loan portfolio and a \$1.0 million decrease in our one-to-four family residential real estate loan portfolio. Loan originations for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 totaled \$10.9 million compared to \$16.7 million for the previous quarter and \$39.4 million for the comparable quarter in 2009. Loan repayments for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 totaled \$7.5 million compared to \$7.2 million for the previous quarter and \$11.8 million for the first quarter in 2009.

*Deposits*

Deposits totaled \$392.7 million at March 31, 2010 compared to \$385.5 million at December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily due to increases in certificates of deposit ( CDs ). Core deposits (NOW, demand, money market and passbook accounts) represented 29% of total deposits at March 31, 2010, compared to 30% at December 31, 2009 and CDs represented 71% of total deposits at March 31, 2010 compared to 70% at December 31, 2009. Included in CDs are brokered deposits, which decreased \$6.1 million, or 6%, in the first quarter of 2010 and represented 24% of total deposits at March 31, 2010, compared to 26% at December 31, 2009.

*Borrowings*

Since the end of 2009, FHLB borrowings decreased \$3.6 million, or 4%, to \$88.0 million at March 31, 2010 from \$91.6 million at December 31, 2009, as management replaced these borrowings with the aforementioned deposit inflows. In February 2010, the Company borrowed an aggregate of \$5.0 million under its \$5.0 million line of credit with another financial institution and invested all of the proceeds in the equity capital of the Bank.

*Allowance for Loan Losses*

At March 31, 2010, the allowance for loan losses was \$20.1 million, or 4.42% of total gross loans receivable, excluding loans receivable held for sale, compared to \$20.5 million, or 4.52% of total gross loans receivable, excluding loans receivable held for sale, at year-end 2009. The \$350 thousand decrease in the allowance for loan losses from December 31, 2009 to March 31, 2010 was due to net loan charge-offs of \$924 thousand on nine impaired loans totaling \$5.2 million during the first quarter of 2010, offset by \$574 thousand of provision for loan losses. Loan charge-offs during the first quarter of 2010 were specifically reserved for at year-end 2009.

Management believes that the allowance for loan losses is adequate to cover probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio as of March 31, 2010, but there can be no assurance that actual losses will not exceed the estimated amounts. In addition, the Office of Thrift Supervision and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation periodically review the allowance for loan losses as an integral part of their examination process. These agencies may require an increase to the allowance for loan losses based on their judgments of the information available to them at the time of their examinations.

*Non-Performing Assets*

The Company experienced an improvement in its asset quality during the quarter ended March 31, 2010. Total non-performing assets ( NPAs ) decreased to \$36.2 million, or 6.85% of total assets, from \$37.0 million, or 7.10% of total assets, at December 31, 2009 because of a reduction in non-accrual loans. These loans consist of delinquent loans that are 90 days or more past due, plus troubled debt restructurings that do not qualify for accrual status because the borrowers have not yet demonstrated performance according to the restructured terms for a period of at least six months. At March 31, 2010, total NPAs were comprised of \$34.0 million in non-accrual loans and \$2.2 million in REO. The non-accrual loans of \$34.0 million included \$19.9 million of commercial real estate loans, \$7.2 million of commercial loans, \$3.6 million of one-to-four family residential real estate loans, \$1.1 million of multi-family residential real estate loans and \$2.2 million of secured consumer loans.

---

## **Table of Contents**

The Bank performed an impairment analysis for all non-performing loans, and recorded specific loss allocations for impaired loans of \$560 thousand during the first quarter of 2010. The specific loss allocations for the 2010 first quarter is mainly related to a commercial loan carried at fair value at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 and which required a specific allowance allocation of \$1.7 million at March 31, 2010 and \$1.3 million at December 31, 2009. This resulted in a \$376 thousand impairment charge to the provision for loan losses during the first quarter of 2010. In addition, the Bank recorded impairment charges on six new impaired loans consisting of three one-to-four family residential loans, two multi-family residential loans and one commercial real estate loan. The loans are non-performing and the recent valuation of the underlying collateral reflected decreases in values. The Bank accordingly allocated \$172 thousand of specific loss allocations on these six loans during the first quarter of 2010.

Seven loans held for sale totaling \$6.9 million, after partial charge-offs of \$639 thousand, with a specific valuation allowance of \$430 thousand, were on non-accrual status or classified as TDR as of March 31, 2010. This compares to six loans held for sale totaling \$6.7 million, with a specific valuation allowance of \$994 thousand, as of December 31, 2009.

### *Performance Ratios*

The annualized return on average equity for first quarter 2010 was 12.34%, compared to 8.01% for first quarter 2009. The annualized return on average assets for first quarter 2010 was 0.76%, compared to 0.63% for first quarter 2009. The increase in our annualized returns on average equity and average assets between first quarters was primarily due to higher net earnings in the first quarter of 2010 as a result of higher net interest income, which exceeded the increases in the provision for loan losses and non-interest expense and the decrease in non-interest income.

The efficiency ratio for first quarter 2010 was 60.41%, compared to 64.02% for first quarter 2009. The improvement in our efficiency ratio was primarily due to higher net interest income before provision for loan losses for the first quarter of 2010. The increase in net interest income was primarily a result of the strong growth in our interest earning assets and improvement in our net interest rate margin.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The Bank's primary sources of funds include deposits, advances from the FHLB and other borrowings, proceeds from the sale of loans, mortgage-backed and investment securities, and principal and interest payments from loans and mortgage-backed and other investment securities. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans and securities are predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and loan and security prepayments are greatly influenced by the general level of interest rates, economic conditions and competition. Primary uses of funds include withdrawal of and interest payments on deposits, originations of loans, purchases of mortgage-backed and other investment securities, and payment of operating expenses.

Sources of liquidity and capital for the Company on a stand-alone basis include distributions from the Bank and the issuance of equity and debt securities, such as the senior preferred stock issued in 2008 and 2009 to the United States Department of Treasury, preferred stock issued to others in 2002 and in 2006, the junior subordinated debentures issued in 2004, the sale to Cathay General Bancorp of 70,000 shares of common stock in 2004 and 145,000 shares of common stock in 2006 and other borrowings. Dividends and other capital distributions from the Bank are subject to general regulatory restrictions. In addition, as discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10K, the Office of Thrift Supervision has placed limitations on the Company and accordingly, the Company may not incur, issue, renew, repurchase, make payments on or increase any debt or redeem any capital stock without prior notice to and receipt of written notice of non-objection from the OTS Regional Director.

On February 28, 2010, we borrowed an aggregate of \$5.0 million under our \$5.0 million line of credit with another financial institution and invested all of the proceeds in the equity capital of the Bank. Borrowings under the line of credit are secured by the Company's assets. The full amount of this borrowing will mature and become payable on July 31, 2010. We do not have sufficient cash available to repay the borrowing at this time and would require approval of the OTS to make any payment on this loan or to obtain a dividend from the Bank for such purpose. We have entered in to discussions with the lender on our line of credit and are also exploring alternative borrowing sources.

**Table of Contents****Regulatory Capital**

The OTS capital regulations include three separate minimum capital requirements for savings institutions that are subject to OTS supervision. First, the tangible capital requirement mandates that the Bank's stockholder's equity, less intangible assets, be at least 1.50% of adjusted total assets as defined in the capital regulations. Second, the core capital requirement currently mandates that core capital (tangible capital plus certain qualifying intangible assets) be at least 4.00% of adjusted total assets as defined in the capital regulations. Third, the risk-based capital requirement presently mandates that core capital plus supplemental capital (as defined by the OTS) be at least 8.00% of risk-weighted assets as prescribed in the capital regulations. The capital regulations assign specific risk weightings to all assets and off-balance-sheet items for this purpose.

Broadway Federal was in compliance with all capital requirements in effect at March 31, 2010, and met the capital ratio standards necessary to be considered well-capitalized under the prompt corrective action regulations adopted by the OTS pursuant to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (FDICIA). However, in March 2010, the Company and Bank were determined to be in troubled condition by the OTS and we anticipate that we will be required to enter into formal agreements with the OTS that will require the Company and Bank to maintain higher levels of regulatory capital than currently required. In that event, the Company and Bank would be precluded from being considered to be more than adequately capitalized until such agreements are terminated and the Company and Bank are no longer considered to be in troubled condition.

Actual and required capital amounts and ratios at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are presented below.

	Actual		Required		To Be Well	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective	Action Regulations
	(Dollars in thousands)				Amount	Ratios
March 31, 2010:						
Tangible Capital to adjusted total assets	\$ 41,291	7.82%	\$ 7,920	1.50%	N/A	N/A
Tier 1(Core) Capital to adjusted total assets	\$ 41,291	7.82%	\$ 21,119	4.00%	\$ 26,399	5.00%
Tier 1(Core) Capital to risk weighted assets	\$ 41,291	10.60%	N/A	N/A	\$ 23,366	6.00%
Total Capital to risk weighted assets	\$ 46,285	11.89%	\$ 31,155	8.00%	\$ 38,944	10.00%
December 31, 2009:						
Tangible Capital to adjusted total assets	\$ 34,797	6.69%	\$ 7,803	1.50%	N/A	N/A
Tier 1(Core) Capital to adjusted total assets	\$ 34,797	6.69%	\$ 20,809	4.00%	\$ 26,011	5.00%
Tier 1(Core) Capital to risk weighted assets	\$ 34,797	8.91%	N/A	N/A	\$ 23,443	6.00%
Total Capital to risk weighted assets	\$ 39,806	10.19%	\$ 31,257	8.00%	\$ 39,072	10.00%

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

As of March 31, 2010, an evaluation was performed under the supervision of the Company's Chief Executive Officer ( CEO ) and Chief Financial Officer ( CFO ) of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Company's CEO and CFO concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of March 31, 2010 for the reasons discussed in the following paragraph regarding the Company's disclosure controls and procedures and in its internal controls over financial reporting. Management has implemented changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting subsequent to March 31, 2010 to address the material weaknesses in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that were identified at December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2010, as described below.

With the participation of the Company's CEO and CFO, management has conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's system of internal control over financial reporting. Based on this evaluation, management determined that the Company's system of internal controls was not effective as of March 31, 2010. The allowance for loan losses was not increased in proportion to the increased risk of loss in the loan portfolio. Additionally, there were weaknesses in the design and implementation of internal controls for monitoring loan originations, approval and disbursements and the documentation of loan underwriting, including documentation of borrower repayment ability. The Company had identified the need for and increased the allowance for loan losses to cover the increased portfolio risk of loss at December 31, 2009. The calculation of the allowance for loan losses has been improved with the use of the migration to loss analysis and more frequent update of the Bank's historical loss rates. The loan review process has also been enhanced to ensure all problem and impaired loans will be identified and properly classified. Also, loan concentrations are to be reviewed for any added risk. The classification system has been revised to provide that all Troubled Debt Restructures (TDRs) be classified as substandard pending receipt of satisfactory financial documentation and a sufficient period of payment and other performance to establish the borrower's future ability to comply with the modified loan terms. An Internal Asset Review Committee of the Board of Directors has also been established to ensure the loan review process is independent of loan origination and servicing. The loan policy has been revised to include requirements to verify borrower financial performance and income and strengthened loan approval procedures. The enhancements also include the requirement to obtain audited financial statements on certain loans.



---

**Table of Contents**

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Bank is the defendant in Daniel D. Holliday III, Attorney at Law, LLC ( Holliday ) v. Broadway Federal Bank (Case No. BC 398403), a lawsuit filed in the Superior Court of the State of California for Los Angeles County on September 18, 2008 and amended on March 4, 2009, November 20, 2009 and May 24, 2010. This legal action arises from a dispute over the priority of the Bank's lien against a \$2.6 million deposit account balance in the Bank securing a land development loan. The lawsuit seeks damages of \$2.6 million, plus interest, costs and attorneys fees according to proof. The plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief to prevent the Bank from asserting a senior security interest on the deposit account and to prevent the Bank from applying the funds in the deposit account to satisfy the amount owing on the loan.

On April 17, 2009, the Bank filed a cross-complaint against Holliday (as an individual), Bachmann Springs Holdings, LLC (the developer), Thomas T. Bachmann (the principal of the developer), Robert Estareja (an agent of Bachmann Springs Holdings), Alan Roberson (the loan broker), Canyon Acquisitions, LLC ( Canyon ) (the broker who located the investors for the real estate project at issue and the entity funding Holliday's fees and costs), and Brent Borland (Canyon's principal), alleging causes of action for: declaratory relief, money due on default on promissory note, judicial foreclosure on personal property, money lent, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, implied equitable indemnity, rescission based on fraud, and equitable subordination. The basis of the cross-complaint is that, among other things, the cross-defendants conspired with each other to fraudulently induce the Bank to make the loan at issue.

On or about October 27, 2009, Holliday filed and served a motion for leave to file a third amended complaint, which motion was granted on November 20, 2009. In addition to the causes of action pleaded against the Bank in the second amended complaint, the proposed third amended complaint includes a cause of action against the Bank for equitable subordination as well as causes of action against Wayne Standback, a vice-president of the Bank (Mr. Standback passed away on October 13, 2009) and Paul Hudson, the Chairman and CEO of the Bank, for negligence and conspiracy. Broadway filed a demurrer to and motion to strike the third amended complaint, the hearing on which took place on May 14, 2010. The demurrer was sustained with ten days' leave to amend. The fourth amended complaint, which was served on May 24, 2010, contains the same causes of action as the third amended complaint. Mr. Standback, however, is no longer a defendant. Hudson demurred to the fourth amended complaint, the hearing on which is set for August 27, 2010, and the Bank filed an answer.

Holliday and the Bank participated in mediation before a retired Superior Court judge on December 10, 2009 during which the parties attempted to resolve all of the disputes set forth in the pleadings and in counsel's letter dated October 9, 2009. However, the parties were not successful in reaching a settlement.

On January 21, 2010, the court set a trial date in the Holliday matter for October 5, 2010.

On February 1, 2010, Canyon filed a complaint in Los Angeles County Superior Court against the Bank and several of its officers and directors including Paul Hudson, Kellogg Chan, Javier Leon, Odell Maddox, Rick McGill, Daniel Medina, and Virgil Roberts, and certain non-Bank related defendants, for declaratory relief, breach of contract, interference with economic relations, negligence, intentional concealment, conspiracy, breach of fiduciary duty, and equitable subordination (Canyon Acquisitions, LLC v. Broadway Federal Bank Case No. BC 431035). The complaint arises out of the same transaction that is the subject of the Holliday lawsuit discussed above. The Bank notified the court of this fact, which deemed the cases related. In the complaint, Canyon seeks general damages of not less than \$10,000,000 and punitive damages in an unspecified amount. Service of the Canyon Complaint was effective as of March 16, 2010. The Bank has filed a demurrer to and motion to strike the complaint, the hearings on which were set for June 18, 2010. However, on June 18, 2010, Canyon filed a first amended complaint alleging similar causes of action and the scheduled hearing was not held. Then, on June 23, 2010, Canyon filed a motion to (1) consolidate the Canyon and Holliday lawsuits and (2) treat the Canyon lawsuit as a cross-complaint in the Holliday lawsuit. The hearing on Canyon's motion is set for July 16, 2010.

**Table of Contents**

With respect to the foregoing matters, the Bank's attorneys have not concluded that the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome is either probable or remote, and express no opinion as to the likely outcome of such matters. Management has vigorously defended against the lawsuit and prosecuted the cross-complaint.

As of March 31, 2010, a \$600 thousand specific allocation of the allowance for loan losses has been established for the related \$2.2 million loan and the loan is on nonaccrual status. If the Bank is unsuccessful in defending against the lawsuit, the loan and the non-accrued interest receivable balances may not be fully collectible and a loss may be incurred that could be material to the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

None

Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None

Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

Item 4. RESERVED

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None

Item 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit 31.1 - Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Exhibit 31.2 - Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Exhibit 32 - Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

**Table of Contents**

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: July 16, 2010

By: /s/ Paul C. Hudson  
Paul C. Hudson  
Chief Executive Officer

Date: July 16, 2010

By: /s/ Samuel Sarpong  
Samuel Sarpong  
Chief Financial Officer