

TigerLogic CORP
Form 10-Q
November 12, 2009
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark one)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 0-16449

TIGERLOGIC CORPORATION

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Edgar Filing: TigerLogic CORP - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State of Incorporation)

94-3046892
(I.R.S. Employer ID. No.)

25A Technology Drive, Irvine, California
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

92618
(Zip Code)

(949) 442-4400

(Issuer's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES NO

As of October 31, 2009, the Registrant had 27,837,298 shares of its common stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

TIGERLOGIC CORPORATION

INDEX

| | | |
|----------|---|----|
| PART I. | <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | 3 |
| ITEM 1. | <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u> | 3 |
| | <u>UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 AND MARCH 31, 2009</u> | 3 |
| | <u>UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 AND 2008</u> | 4 |
| | <u>UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS SIX MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 AND 2008</u> | 5 |
| | <u>NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u> | 6 |
| ITEM 2. | <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u> | 11 |
| ITEM 4T. | <u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u> | 17 |
| PART II. | <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u> | 17 |
| ITEM 1. | <u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u> | 17 |
| ITEM 1A. | <u>RISK FACTORS</u> | 18 |
| ITEM 6. | <u>EXHIBITS</u> | 23 |

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****TIGERLOGIC CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| | September 30, 2009 | March 31, 2009 |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash | \$ 11,439 | \$ 12,282 |
| Trade accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$47 and \$271, respectively | 867 | 881 |
| Other current assets | 442 | 389 |
| Total current assets | 12,748 | 13,552 |
| Property, furniture and equipment-net | 821 | 849 |
| Goodwill | 26,388 | 26,388 |
| Deferred tax assets | 428 | 383 |
| Other assets | 173 | 161 |
| Total assets | \$ 40,558 | \$ 41,333 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 219 | \$ 161 |
| Accrued liabilities | 1,545 | 2,090 |
| Deferred revenue | 4,204 | 4,531 |
| Total current liabilities | 5,968 | 6,782 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' equity | | |
| Preferred stock | | |
| Common stock | 2,692 | 2,673 |
| Additional paid-in-capital | 130,392 | 129,684 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 2,433 | 2,861 |
| Accumulated deficit | (100,927) | (100,667) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 34,590 | 34,551 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 40,558 | \$ 41,333 |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**TIGERLOGIC CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(In thousands, except per share data)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|---|-------------------|---|-------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net revenues | | | | |
| Licenses | \$ 912 | \$ 1,331 | \$ 2,004 | \$ 2,967 |
| Services | 2,450 | 2,819 | 4,945 | 5,738 |
| Total net revenues | 3,362 | 4,150 | 6,949 | 8,705 |
| Operating expenses | | | | |
| Cost of license revenues | 3 | 8 | 24 | 12 |
| Cost of service revenues | 402 | 436 | 800 | 884 |
| Selling and marketing | 938 | 1,497 | 1,864 | 3,324 |
| Research and development | 1,539 | 1,943 | 3,117 | 4,175 |
| General and administrative | 903 | 1,244 | 2,059 | 2,787 |
| Total operating expenses | 3,785 | 5,128 | 7,864 | 11,182 |
| Operating loss | (423) | (978) | (915) | (2,477) |
| Other income (expense) | | | | |
| Interest income-net | 1 | 55 | | 106 |
| Other income (expense)-net | (120) | (604) | 673 | (610) |
| Total other income (expense) | (119) | (549) | 673 | (504) |
| Loss before income taxes | (542) | (1,527) | (242) | (2,981) |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | 15 | (32) | 18 | (21) |
| Net loss | \$ (557) | \$ (1,495) | \$ (260) | \$ (2,960) |
| Basic and diluted net loss per share | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.06) | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.11) |
| Shares used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share | 26,862 | 26,536 | 26,803 | 26,494 |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**TIGERLOGIC CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| | Six Months Ended September 30, 2009 2008 (In thousands) | |
|---|---|------------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (260) | \$ (2,960) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization of long-lived assets | 185 | 217 |
| Provision for bad debt | 12 | (34) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 453 | 847 |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | 18 | (21) |
| Foreign currency exchange (gain) loss | (551) | 613 |
| Change in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Trade accounts receivable | 62 | 416 |
| Other current and non-current assets | (44) | (97) |
| Accounts payable | 51 | (97) |
| Accrued liabilities | (620) | (379) |
| Deferred revenue | (443) | (298) |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (1,137) | (1,793) |
| Cash flows used in investing activities-purchase of property, furniture and equipment | (106) | (531) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 235 | 555 |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 38 | 86 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 273 | 641 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | 127 | (149) |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (843) | (1,832) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 12,282 | 14,065 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 11,439 | \$ 12,233 |
| Non-cash financing activities: | | |
| Conversion of debt to common stock | \$ | \$ 977 |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**TIGERLOGIC CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****SEPTEMBER 30, 2009****1. INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial information furnished herein reflects all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring items, which in the opinion of management are necessary to fairly state TigerLogic Corporation and its subsidiaries (collectively, the Company or we, us or our) financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the dates and periods presented and to make such information not misleading. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been omitted pursuant to rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC); nevertheless, management of the Company believes that the disclosures herein are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2009, contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on June 24, 2009. The results of operations for the three and six month periods ended September 30, 2009, are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for any other interim period or the fiscal year ending March 31, 2010. The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the date preceding the filing date of this Form 10-Q on November 12, 2009, and determined that no subsequent events have occurred that would require recognition in the unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements or disclosure in the notes thereto. The carrying amount of the Company's financial instruments (cash, short-term trade receivables and payables) approximates fair value as of September 30, 2009 due to the short-term maturity of those instruments.

2. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company has a stock option plan that provides for the granting of stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units to directors, employees and consultants. The Company also has an employee stock purchase plan which allows employees to purchase the Company's common stock at a discount.

Total stock-based compensation expense included in the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, was as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Cost of revenue | \$ 14 | \$ 13 | \$ 32 | \$ 20 |
| Operating expense: | | | | |
| Selling and marketing | 27 | 130 | 59 | 341 |
| Research and development | 100 | 127 | 211 | 254 |
| General and administrative | 99 | 127 | 151 | 232 |
| Total stock-based compensation expense | 240 | 397 | 453 | 847 |
| Income tax benefit | | | | |
| Net stock-based compensation expense | \$ 240 | \$ 397 | \$ 453 | \$ 847 |

As of September 30, 2009, there was approximately \$2.0 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.8 years.

3. RECENTLY ADOPTED ACCOUNTING GUIDANCE

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standard Board (FASB) issued guidance relating to accounting standards codification (Codification) and the hierarchy of United States generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). This guidance establishes the FASB Codification as the source

Edgar Filing: TigerLogic CORP - Form 10-Q

of authoritative accounting guidance under U.S. GAAP. The rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative U.S. GAAP for SEC registrants. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

TIGERLOGIC CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2009

In May 2009, the FASB issued guidance relating to accounting for and disclosing subsequent events that occur after the balance sheet date, but before the financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. This guidance sets forth the circumstances under which an entity should recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements and also identifies the disclosures that an entity should make about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued guidance relating to interim disclosure requirements of fair value of financial instruments. This guidance requires publicly-traded entities to disclose the fair value of all financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value, whether recognized or not recognized in the statement of financial position. The adoption of this did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued updated guidance relating to accounting for assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination that arise from contingencies and addressing application issues on initial recognition and measurement, subsequent measurement and accounting, and disclosure of assets and liabilities arising from contingencies in a business combination. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued guidance relating to certain convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion. This guidance specifies that issuers of such instruments should separately account for the liability and equity components in a manner that will reflect the entity's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate when interest cost is recognized in subsequent periods. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued guidance relating to business combinations. This guidance requires an acquirer to recognize the assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date, measured at their fair values as of that date. The guidance also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. A portion of the Company's net operating loss carryforwards are attributable to preacquisition periods. A full valuation allowance has been recorded against these deferred tax assets because their realization is not likely. If they were realized, though, the reduction of any valuation allowance related to preacquisition net operating loss carryforwards would be recorded as a reduction of income tax expense under this guidance.

In September 2006, the FASB issued guidance relating to fair value measurement. This guidance defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. It also applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurement as a relevant attribute. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements (see further discussion in note 5).

4. RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING GUIDANCE

In October 2009, the FASB issued an update to existing guidance on revenue recognition for certain arrangements with multiple deliverables. This update will allow companies to allocate consideration received for qualified separate deliverables using estimated selling price for both delivered and undelivered items when vendor-specific objective evidence or third-party evidence is unavailable. Additional disclosures discussing the nature of multiple element arrangements, the types of deliverables under the arrangements, the general timing of their delivery, and significant factors and estimates used to determine estimated selling prices are required. The Company plans to adopt this updated guidance prospectively for new revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal year beginning April 1, 2011. The Company does not expect this guidance to have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued an update to existing guidance on software revenue recognition. The existing software revenue recognition guidance applied to revenue arrangement for products or services that include software that is more-than-incidental to the products or services as a whole. The updated guidance excludes from its scope tangible products that contain both software and non-software components that function together to deliver a product's essential functionality. The Company plans to adopt this updated guidance prospectively for new revenue

Edgar Filing: TigerLogic CORP - Form 10-Q

arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal year beginning April 1, 2011. The Company does not expect this guidance to have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In August 2009, the FASB further updated the fair value measurement guidance to clarify how an entity should measure liabilities at fair value. The update reaffirms that fair value is based on an orderly transaction between market participants, even though liabilities are infrequently transferred due to contractual or other legal restrictions. This update also clarifies that restrictions preventing the transfer of a liability should not be considered as a separate input or adjustment in the measurement of fair value. The Company plans to adopt the provisions of this update for fair value measurements of liabilities effective October 1, 2009. The Company does not expect this guidance to have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**TIGERLOGIC CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****SEPTEMBER 30, 2009****5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT**

During fiscal year 2009, the Company converted all of its investment balances in money market mutual funds into cash. As such, there were no cash equivalents in our balance sheets as of September 30, 2009 or March 31, 2009. The carrying amounts of accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued expenses, and deferred revenue approximate fair value because of the short-term maturity of these instruments. There were no nonfinancial assets or liabilities that required recognition or disclosure at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in our balance sheets as of September 30, 2009 or March 31, 2009.

6. STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

Basic loss per share is computed using the net loss and the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted loss per share is computed using the net loss and the weighted average number of common shares and potential common shares outstanding during the period when the potential common shares are not anti-dilutive. Potential dilutive common shares include outstanding stock options.

Weighted outstanding options to purchase 3,077,642 shares and 3,168,030 shares of the Company's common stock have been excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per share for the three and six month periods ended September 30, 2009, respectively, and 3,501,123 shares and 3,933,674 shares for the three and six month periods ended September 30, 2008, respectively, because the effect of their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive.

The change in accumulated other comprehensive loss during the three and six month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 is the result of the effect of foreign exchange rate changes. The following table reconciles net loss as reported with total comprehensive loss (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net loss reported | \$ (557) | \$ (1,495) | \$ (260) | \$ (2,960) |
| Translation adjustments - net | 180 | 474 | (428) | 475 |
| Total comprehensive loss | \$ (377) | \$ (1,021) | \$ (688) | \$ (2,485) |

7. BUSINESS SEGMENT

The Company operates in one reportable segment. International operations consist primarily of foreign sales offices selling software developed in the United States of America combined with local maintenance revenue. The following table summarizes consolidated financial information of the Company's operations by geographic location (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net revenue | | | | |
| North America | \$ 2,260 | \$ 2,807 | \$ 4,699 | \$ 5,827 |
| Europe/Africa | 1,102 | 1,343 | 2,250 | 2,878 |

Edgar Filing: TigerLogic CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Total | \$ 3,362 | \$ 4,150 | \$ 6,949 | \$ 8,705 |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|

Table of Contents**TIGERLOGIC CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****SEPTEMBER 30, 2009**

| | September 30, 2009 | March 31, 2009 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Long-lived assets | | |
| North America | \$ 27,303 | \$ 27,317 |
| Europe/Africa | 507 | 464 |
| Total | \$ 27,810 | \$ 27,781 |

The Company is engaged in the design, development, sale, and support of four product lines: 1) Yolink, 2) XDMS, 3) MDMS, and 4) RAD software tools. To date, we have not recognized any revenue relating to our Yolink product line and revenue from our XDMS product line has been insignificant. The following table represents the net revenue by product line (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2009 | | Six Months Ended September 30, 2009 | |
|---------------------------|---|----------|---|----------|
| Net revenue | | | | |
| Databases (XDMS and MDMS) | \$ 2,407 | \$ 3,114 | \$ 5,114 | \$ 6,392 |
| RAD Tools | 955 | 1,036 | 1,835 | 2,313 |
| Total | \$ 3,362 | \$ 4,150 | \$ 6,949 | \$ 8,705 |

8. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In connection with Carlton Baab's resignation as President and Chief Executive Officer and as a Director on February 26, 2009, the Company entered into a separation and consulting agreement with Mr. Baab dated February 26, 2009 (the "Agreement"). The agreement provides Mr. Baab with certain benefits, including: (i) a lump sum payment equal to one year of base salary (\$248,000) payable six months and one day after the date of the Agreement; (ii) reimbursement of COBRA premiums for dental and vision insurance for up to 12 months or, if earlier, until such time Mr. Baab ceases to be eligible for COBRA; (iii) reimbursement of health insurance premiums for up to 12 months or, if earlier, until such time Mr. Baab terminates such insurance coverage; and (iv) in the event that we pay a bonus within six months to certain employees specified in the Agreement, a bonus payment calculated as a percentage of Mr. Baab's base salary in effect immediately prior to his resignation equivalent to the highest bonus payment made (as a percentage of base salary) to such specified employees. The Agreement also provided that Mr. Baab served as a consultant to the Company through August 27, 2009. During the consulting period, the Company paid Mr. Baab an aggregate of \$124,000 and Mr. Baab's outstanding stock options continued to vest. The total charge recorded to general and administrative expense during the year ended March 31, 2009 pursuant to the Agreement was approximately \$414,000. The Agreement contains a mutual release of claims and a mutual non-disparagement provision. Mr. Baab's consulting agreement and vesting of outstanding stock options terminated on August 27, 2009. No bonus payment was made during the term of the Agreement. During the six months ended September 30, 2009, the Company paid Mr. Baab the lump sum payment of \$248,000 and total consulting fees of \$124,000. The Company continues to reimburse Mr. Baab for COBRA and health insurance premium in accordance with the terms of the Agreement.

Astoria Capital Partners, L.P. (Astoria) is a major stockholder of ours, holding a majority of our outstanding common stock. Richard W. Koe, Chairman of our Board of Directors and Interim President and Chief Executive Officer, serves as the Managing General Partner for Astoria. The Company entered into an expense reimbursement agreement with Astoria, in connection with Mr. Koe's appointment as our Interim President and Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to which the Company agreed to reimburse Astoria for a portion of overhead costs and expenses related to the use by Mr. Koe of Astoria's premises and office equipment while performing his employment duties for the Company. The agreement will terminate 90 days after Mr. Koe is either no longer employed by the Company or is no longer performing services for the Company from

Edgar Filing: TigerLogic CORP - Form 10-Q

Astoria's premises. Mr. Koe also serves as President of Astoria. Since the start of the agreement on April 1, 2009, the Company has reimbursed Astoria approximately \$32,000 or approximately \$6,400 per month, and the Company does not anticipate any material changes in this monthly amount.

Table of Contents

TIGERLOGIC CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2009

9. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company is subject from time to time to litigation, claims and suits arising in the ordinary course of business. During the quarter ended September 30, 2009, the Company was a party to the following legal proceedings:

Raining Data v. Soheil Raissi (formerly the Company's Vice President of Product Development and Professional Services), Mario Barrenechea (formerly the Company's Senior Vice President, Worldwide Sales and Marketing, Pick and Omnis Products), and Adevnet. On or about December 8, 2006, the Company filed a complaint in Orange County Superior Court that sets forth allegations that the defendants threaten to and have misappropriated the Company's trade secrets. The Company's allegations in the complaint include that the individual defendants have used or disclosed the Company's trade secrets (in the form of source code and licenses and other trade secret information) in violation of their agreements with the Company to maintain the confidentiality of such trade secret information, and in violation of California laws prohibiting the misappropriation of trade secrets. The Company sought injunctive relief from the defendants, including, but not limited to, compelling the defendants to immediately return all of the Company's trade secrets and property; enjoining the defendants and their employees and agents from using or disclosing our trade secrets, including the Company's source code; ordering the defendants to disclose all gains and profits they have derived from the misappropriation of the Company's trade secrets; enjoining the defendants from directly or indirectly supplying, selling or promoting any product that incorporates the Company's trade secrets. On June 27, 2008, the Court granted the Company's motion to File a Second Amended Complaint, adding claims for Breach of the Duty of Loyalty and for Unjust Enrichment against the Defendants.

In January of 2007, the Defendants filed a cross-complaint, alleging several claims against the Company and Carlton H. Baab, the Company's former President and Chief Executive Officer, and the Company filed a Special Motion to Strike (an anti-SLAPP motion) the Cross-Complaint, which the Court granted in June of 2008, striking the entire Cross-Complaint and awarding the Company its attorney's fees in bringing the motion, which the Company has collected. The Defendants then appealed both Orders. The Company then proceeded with discovery on the Second Amended Complaint.

The Company reached a settlement with Defendant Soheil Raissi on May 8, 2009, including the Company's dismissal of the Second Amended Complaint against Raissi, and Raissi's dismissal of his appeals of the trial court's orders granting the anti-SLAPP motion and the award of attorney fees to the Company for bringing its anti-SLAPP motion. Defendant Adevnet, LLC has been dismissed because it is no longer a viable entity.

On June 26, 2009, the Appellate Court affirmed the trial court's Orders granting the Company's anti-SLAPP Motion as well as its Motion for Attorney Fees against Barrenechea. Subsequently, on August 3, 2009, the Company reached a settlement with Defendant Mario Barrenechea including the Company's dismissal of the Second Amended Complaint against Barrenechea. As such, all of the Company's litigation against Raissi, Adevnet, and Barrenechea has been concluded.

Indemnification

The Company's standard customer license and software agreements contain indemnification and warranty provisions which are generally consistent with practice in the Company's industry. The duration of the Company's service warranties generally does not exceed 30 days following completion of its services. The Company has not incurred significant obligations under customer indemnification or warranty provisions historically and does not expect to incur significant obligations in the future. Accordingly, the Company does not maintain accruals for potential customer indemnification or warranty-related obligations. The maximum potential amount of future payments that the Company could be required to make is generally limited under the indemnification provisions in its customer license and service agreements.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The section entitled Management's Discussion and Analysis set forth below contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). These statements may generally be identified by the use of such words as expect, anticipate, believe, intend, plan, will, or shall, or the negative of those terms. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events. Forward-looking statements involve certain risks and uncertainties and actual results may differ materially from those discussed in any such statement. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from such forward-looking statements include the risks described under the heading Risk Factors in Item 1A of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q and, elsewhere in this Form 10-Q. The forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-Q include, but are not limited to statements about the following: (1) our future success, (2) our research and development efforts, (3) our future operating results and cash flow, (4) our ability to compete, (5) the markets in which we operate, (6) our revenue, (7) cost of license revenue and cost of service revenue, (8) our selling and marketing costs, (9) our backlog, (10) our research and development expenses, (11) the effect of critical accounting policies, (12) our belief that our existing cash balances will be sufficient to meet our operating and capital expenditure requirements through the foreseeable future, (13) our focus on the continued development and enhancement of the TigerLogic product line, and identification of new and emerging technology areas and discussions with channel partners for the sale and distribution of the TigerLogic product line, (14) the effect of recent changes in tax laws on our financial statements, and (15) the possibility that we may seek to take advantage of strategic acquisition opportunities. All forward-looking statements in this document are made as of the date hereof, based on information available to us as of the date hereof, and we assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statement.

Overview

We were incorporated in the State of Delaware in August 1987. We were originally incorporated as Blyth Holdings, Inc. and our name was changed to Omnis Technology Corporation in September 1997. Effective December 1, 2000, we completed the acquisition of PickAx, Inc., a Delaware corporation (PickAx). Concurrent with the acquisition, we changed our name to Raining Data Corporation. On April 17, 2008, we changed our name to TigerLogic Corporation. Reference to we, our, us or the Company in this Form 10-Q mean TigerLogic Corporation and our subsidiaries.

Products

Our principal business is the design, development, sale, and support of software infrastructure. Our products allow customers to create and enhance flexible software applications for their own needs. Our software may be categorized into the following product lines: Yolink, XML data management servers (XDMS), Multidimensional Database Management Systems (MDMS), and Rapid Application Development (RAD) software tools. All of our revenue to date has been principally derived from MDMS and RAD software products.

Many of our products are based on the proprietary Pick Universal Data Model (Pick UDM) and are capable of handling data from many sources. The Pick UDM is a core component across the XDMS and MDMS product lines.

Beginning in 2001, we began an extensive effort to leverage our Pick UDM and core intellectual property to create the TigerLogic technology product line, which includes an internet browser-based search application called Yolink (formerly known as ChunkIt!) designed to enhance the search experience and productivity of any Web user, and an enterprise class XML Database Management Server for the emerging XML market to address the growing need for managing and querying native XML data and the ability to handle structured and unstructured data. We are focused on the continued development and enhancement of these product lines, identification of new and emerging technology areas and discussions with channel partners for the sale and distribution of the TigerLogic product line.

In addition, one of the elements of our business strategy involves expansion through the acquisitions of businesses, assets, products or technologies that allow us to complement our existing product offerings, expand our market coverage, or enhance our technological capabilities. We continually evaluate and explore strategic opportunities as they arise, including business combination transactions, strategic partnerships, and the purchase or sale of assets, including tangible and intangible assets such as intellectual property.

In May 2009, we released Yolink 2.0, a replacement for ChunkIt! 1.0 which was released in October 2008. Yolink is a simple and easy to use browser-based search enhancement tool. This application is designed to enhance the search experience and productivity of any Web user. As an application that sits inside a Web browser, its function is to identify and display information containing keywords in the user's search query from embedded hyperlinks that are commonly found on Web pages. To facilitate the user's review, each keyword is highlighted with a unique color. This capability is especially useful for reviewing and searching through the many Web pages that contain hundreds, if not thousands of embedded hyperlinks. Yolink delivers users the benefit of being able to quickly search through and preview links that contain information pertinent to their interest. Yolink can save users the time of having to click through a multitude of irrelevant links, and from having to manage multiple windows and tabs.

Table of Contents

Yolink is available for download at www.yolink.com. To date, we have not recognized any revenue from the Yolink product.

Competition

The application development tools software market is rapidly changing and intensely competitive. Our MDMS products compete with products developed by companies such as Oracle, Microsoft, and IBM. Our RAD products currently encounter competition from several direct competitors, including Microsoft, and competing development environments, including JAVA. Competition is developing and evolving in the XML market for which our XDMS products are intended. Companies that do or are expected to compete in this market include Oracle, IBM, Microsoft, and Sybase, as well as a number of smaller companies with products that directly and indirectly compete with our XDMS products. Our Yolink search application will encounter competition from several direct competitors including Google, Yahoo, Microsoft, AOL, and Ask, as well as a number of smaller companies with products that directly and indirectly compete with our Yolink product. Most of our competitors have significantly more financial, technical, marketing, and other resources than we do. As a result, these competitors may be able to respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies, evolving markets and changes in customer requirements, and may devote greater resources to the development, promotion, and sale of their products.

We believe that our ability to compete in the various product markets depends on factors both within and outside our control, including the timing of release, performance and price of new products developed by both us and our competitors. Although we believe that we currently compete favorably with respect to most of these factors, we may not be able to maintain our competitive position against current and potential competitors, especially those with greater resources.

We continue to focus on preparing the Company for growth in new market opportunities, such as the Yolink and XDMS product lines, while also continuing to meet the needs of our loyal customer base by investing in the development of new updates and releases for our existing MDMS and RAD product lines. While the current adverse worldwide economic conditions have resulted in lower revenue, we believe that our relatively stable services revenue and lower expenditures will continue to result in sufficient working capital balances to fund growth initiatives aimed at increasing stockholder value.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and disclosure of contingent liabilities.

On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition and accounting for goodwill. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We have identified the accounting policies below as the policies critical to our business operations and the understanding of our results of operations and how the related judgments and estimates affect the preparation of our consolidated financial statements:

Revenue Recognition

Goodwill

Employee Stock-Based Compensation

Income Taxes

These critical accounting policies are described in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009 and there have been no changes in our application of these policies during the period ended September 30, 2009.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth certain unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations data in total dollars, as a percentage of total net revenues and as a percentage change from the same period in the prior year. Cost of license revenues and cost of service revenues are expressed as a percentage of the related revenues. This information should be read in conjunction with the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2009 | | | Three Months Ended September 30, 2008 | | Six Months Ended September 30, 2009 | | | Six Months Ended September 30, 2008 | |
|---|--|----------------------|-------------------|--|----------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------|--|----------------------|
| | Results (In thousands) | % of Net Revenues | Percent Change | Results (In thousands) | % of Net Revenues | Results (In thousands) | % of Net Revenues | Percent Change | Results (In thousands) | % of Net Revenues |
| Net revenues | | | | | | | | | | |
| Licenses | \$ 912 | 27% | (31)% | \$ 1,331 | 32% | \$ 2,004 | 29% | (32)% | \$ 2,967 | 34% |
| Services | 2,450 | 73% | (13)% | 2,819 | 68% | 4,945 | 71% | (14)% | 5,738 | 66% |
| Total net revenues | 3,362 | 100% | (19)% | 4,150 | 100% | 6,949 | 100% | (20)% | 8,705 | 100% |
| Operating expenses | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cost of revenues: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cost of license revenues (as a % of license revenues) | 3 | 0% | (63)% | 8 | 1% | 24 | 1% | 100% | 12 | 0% |
| Cost of service revenues (as a % of service revenues) | 402 | 16% | (8)% | 436 | 15% | 800 | 16% | (10)% | 884 | 15% |
| Selling and marketing | 938 | 28% | (37)% | 1,497 | 36% | 1,864 | 27% | (44)% | 3,324 | 38% |
| Research and development | 1,539 | 46% | (21)% | 1,943 | 47% | 3,117 | 45% | (25)% | 4,175 | 48% |
| General and administrative | 903 | 27% | (27)% | 1,244 | 30% | 2,059 | 30% | (26)% | 2,787 | 32% |
| Total operating expenses | 3,785 | 113% | (26)% | 5,128 | 124% | 7,864 | 113% | (30)% | 11,182 | 128% |
| Operating loss | (423) | (13)% | (57)% | (978) | (24)% | (915) | (13)% | (63)% | (2,477) | (28)% |
| Other income (expense)-net | (119) | (4)% | (78)% | (549) | (13)% | 673 | 10% | (234)% | (504) | (6)% |
| Loss before income taxes | (542) | (16)% | (65)% | (1,527) | (37)% | (242) | (3)% | (92)% | (2,981) | (34)% |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | 15 | 0% | (147)% | (32) | (1)% | 18 | 0% | (186)% | (21) | 0% |
| Net loss | \$ (557) | (17)% | (63)% | \$ (1,495) | (36)% | \$ (260) | (4)% | (91)% | \$ (2,960) | (34)% |

REVENUE

Edgar Filing: TigerLogic CORP - Form 10-Q

NET REVENUE. Our revenue is derived principally from two sources: fees from software licensing and fees for post contract technical support. We license our software primarily on a per-CPU, per-server, per-port or per-user basis. Therefore, the addition of CPU s, servers, ports or users to existing systems increases our revenue from our installed base of licenses. Similarly, the reduction of CPU s, servers, ports or users to existing systems decreases our revenue from our installed base of licenses. The timing of orders and customer ordering patterns have resulted in fluctuations in license revenue between quarters and year-to-year. Total revenue decreased \$0.8 million or 19% and \$1.8 million or 20% for the three and six month periods ended September 30, 2009, respectively, as compared to the same periods in the prior year, primarily due to lower orders of licenses and reduction or non-renewal of support services from our existing customer base as a result of the current adverse global economic conditions. We anticipate that revenue will continue to be adversely affected until global economic conditions improve.

We have been actively developing and marketing our TigerLogic product line. Should our development efforts and the adoption of these product lines be successful, we anticipate additional revenues in future periods related to these products. However, we can give no assurances as to customer acceptance of any new products or services, or the ability of the current or any new products and services to generate revenue. While we are committed to research and development efforts that are intended to allow us to penetrate new markets and generate new sources of revenue, such efforts may not result in additional products, services or revenue.

Table of Contents

OPERATING EXPENSES

COST OF LICENSE REVENUE. Cost of license revenue is comprised of direct costs associated with software license sales including software packaging, documentation, physical media costs and royalties. Cost of license revenue as a percentage of license revenue for the three and six month periods ended September 30, 2009 remained relatively consistent with the same periods in prior year.

COST OF SERVICE REVENUE. Cost of service revenue includes primarily personnel costs relating to providing consulting, technical support and training services. Cost of service revenue as a percentage of service revenue for the three and six months period ended September 30, 2009 remained relatively consistent with the same periods in prior year. The slight decrease in absolute dollar value was mainly due to foreign currency translation effect from the British Pound into the US Dollar.

SELLING AND MARKETING. Selling and marketing expense consists primarily of salaries, benefits, advertising, tradeshow, travel and overhead costs for our sales and marketing personnel. Selling and marketing expense for the three and six month periods ended September 30, 2009 decreased \$0.6 million or 37% and \$1.5 million or 44%, respectively, when compared to the same periods in the prior year. This decrease was mainly due to lower headcount and marketing expense. Prior period's expenses included expenses relating to the introduction of our TigerLogic Yolink (formerly ChunkIt!) product. We anticipate that selling and marketing costs related to the TigerLogic product line may increase as we further develop the sales channel for these products and if customer acceptance of these products increases. In addition, if our continued research and development efforts are successful, including with respect to our TigerLogic product line, and new products or services are created, we may incur increased sales and marketing expense to promote those new products in future periods.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT. Research and development expense consists primarily of salaries and other personnel-related expenses and overhead costs for engineering personnel including employees in the US and the UK and contractors in the US. Research and development expense for the three and six month periods ended September 30, 2009 decreased \$0.4 million or 21% and \$1.1 million or 25%, respectively, when compared to the same periods in the prior year mainly due to lower headcount and consulting expense related to our Yolink product. We are committed to our research and development efforts and expect research and development expense will remain at the current level in future periods or increase if we believe that additional spending is warranted. Such efforts may not result in additional new products and any new products, including the TigerLogic product line, may not generate sufficient revenue, if any, to offset the research and development expense.

GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE. General and administrative expense consists primarily of costs associated with our finance, human resources, legal and other administrative functions. These costs consist principally of salaries and other personnel-related expenses, professional fees, depreciation and overhead costs. General and administrative expense for the three and six month periods ended September 30, 2009 decreased \$0.3 million or 27% and \$0.7 million or 26%, respectively, over the same periods in the prior year. The decrease in general and administrative expense was mainly due to: lower legal costs related to litigation matters which have been settled during this fiscal year; higher prior year expense for Yolink product patent and trademark registrations; and lower stock-based compensation expense due to the termination of the vesting of stock options related to the former CEO's outstanding options upon his departure in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2009.

OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE). Other income (expense) consists primarily of gains and losses on foreign currency transactions. The change in other income (expense) during the three and six month periods ended September 30, 2009 as compared to the same periods in the prior year, was mainly due to foreign exchange gains and losses from a significant intercompany balance outstanding denominated in British Pound, which has gained significantly against the U.S. Dollar in the current quarter when compared to same quarter in prior year. The Company has been recording the intercompany transaction gains and losses in accordance with applicable accounting guidance in determining net income for the period in which exchange rates change. However, intercompany transactions and balances for which settlement is not planned or anticipated in the foreseeable future are considered to be part of the net investment and related gains or losses are to be accumulated in a separate component of equity.

Due to the uncertainty in exchange rates, we may experience transaction gains or losses in future periods, the effect of which cannot be predicted at this time.

PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES. Our effective tax rate was 2.8% and 7.4% for the three and six month periods ended September 30, 2009, respectively as compared to (2.1%) and (0.7%) for the three and six month periods ended September 30, 2008, respectively. The benefit for income taxes reflects the refundable research and development credit, net of income tax on net earnings from foreign subsidiaries, as further discussed below. Due to uncertainties surrounding the timing of realizing the benefits of the net operating loss carryforwards in the future, we continue to carry a full valuation allowance against net deferred tax assets in domestic and foreign jurisdictions, except France due to its profitability in the past few years.

Table of Contents

In July 2008, the Housing Assistance Act of 2008 introduced a refundable research and development credit refund that could be claimed in lieu of special bonus depreciation as enacted by the Economic Stimulus Act of 2008. Based on our analysis for the six months ended September 30, 2009, we expect an additional cash refund of approximately \$8,000. This amount has been included in the provision for income taxes for the six month period ended September 30, 2009. Since our initial adoption of the Housing Assistance Act of 2008 on April 1, 2008 total cash refund expected to date is approximately \$33,000, which represents \$25,000 of estimated cash refunds through fiscal year ended March 31, 2009 and an additional \$8,000 of estimated cash refunds for the six months ended September 30, 2009.

We expect to generate U.S. federal research and development credits for the period beginning April 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009 resulting in additional carryovers. We do not expect an income tax impact for the quarter ended September 30, 2009 nor for the year ending March 31, 2010, due to the full valuation allowance applied to our deferred tax assets (including our research and development credit carryovers). The refundable research and development credits claimed and ultimately refunded would reduce the research and development credit carry forward amount related to the deferred tax asset and related valuation allowance.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

As of September 30, 2009, we had \$11.4 million in cash. We believe that our existing cash balances will be sufficient to meet our operating and capital expenditure requirements for the remainder of the fiscal year ending March 31, 2010 and through the foreseeable future. We are committed to research and development and marketing efforts that are intended to allow us to penetrate new markets and generate new sources of revenue and improve operating results. However, our research and development and marketing efforts have required, and will continue to require, cash outlays without the immediate or short-term receipt of related revenue. Our ability to meet our expenditure requirements is dependent upon our future financial performance, which will be affected by, among other things, prevailing economic conditions, our ability to penetrate new markets and attract new customers, market acceptance of our new and existing products and services, the success of research and development efforts and other factors beyond our control.

On November 9, 2004, we entered into a lease agreement with The Irvine Company whereby we leased one building in Irvine, California, comprising approximately 29,000 square feet, to replace our then headquarters facility. The lease commenced in November 2005 and has a five-year term. If certain conditions are met, we have the option to extend the term of the lease for an additional thirty-six months. The annual basic rent during the five-year term ranges from approximately \$475,000 during the first year to approximately \$545,000 during the fifth year. The rent expense is being recognized on a straight line basis over the lease term. We are currently exploring our options before the current lease term expires in October 2010 for the purpose of consolidating space and reducing future lease expense.

We had no material commitments for capital expenditures as of September 30, 2009.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$1.1 million and \$1.8 million for the six month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decrease in net cash used in operating activities for the six month period ended September 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in prior year was primarily due to lower operating expenses as a result of lower headcount, and lower marketing and legal expenses. Net cash used in investing activities was \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million for the six month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Prior year's cash used in investing activities was due to expenditures related to equipment purchased for the introduction of our Yolink product. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million for the six month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Net cash provided by financing activities was primarily due to proceeds derived from the exercise of stock options and corresponding issuance of common stock.

There was no outstanding line of credit during the six months ending September 30, 2009 or 2008.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We did not have any off-balance sheet liabilities or transactions as of September 30, 2009.

NON-GAAP FINANCIAL INFORMATION

EBITDA (as defined below) should not be construed as a substitute for net income (loss) or as a better measure of liquidity than cash flow from operating activities, which are determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP. EBITDA excludes components that are significant in understanding and assessing our results of operations and cash flows. EBITDA does not represent funds available for management's discretionary use and is not intended to represent cash flow from operations. In addition, EBITDA is not a term defined by GAAP and as a result our measure of EBITDA might not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies.

Table of Contents

However, EBITDA is used by management to evaluate, assess and benchmark our operational results and we believe that EBITDA is relevant and useful information, which is often reported and widely used by analysts, investors and other interested parties in our industry. Accordingly, we are disclosing this information to permit a more comprehensive analysis of our operating performance, to provide an additional measure of performance and liquidity and to provide additional information with respect to our ability to meet future debt service, capital expenditure and working capital requirements.

Our EBITDA was negative \$0.1 million or negative 3% of total net revenue for the three month period ended September 30, 2009, and negative \$0.3 million or negative 4% of total net revenue for the six month period ended September 30, 2009. Our EBITDA was negative \$0.5 million, or negative 11% of total net revenue for the three month period ended September 30, 2008, and negative \$1.4 million or negative 16% for the six month period ending September 30, 2008. The improvement in EBITDA was a result of lower operating expenses due to lower headcount and lower legal and marketing expense, partially offset by lower revenues.

EBITDA is defined as net income (loss) with adjustments for depreciation and amortization, non-cash stock-based compensation expense, interest income (expense)-net, other income (expense)-net, and income tax provision (benefit). The following table reconciles EBITDA to the GAAP reported net loss:

RECONCILIATION OF EBITDA TO NET LOSS

(In thousands)

| | For the Three Months Ended September 30, | | For the Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|--------------------------------|---|-----------------|---|-------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Reported net loss | \$ (557) | \$ (1,495) | \$ (260) | \$ (2,960) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 90 | 111 | 185 | 217 |
| Stock-based compensation | 240 | 397 | 453 | 847 |
| Interest expense-net | (1) | (55) | | (106) |
| Other (income) expense-net | 120 | 604 | (673) | 610 |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | 15 | (32) | 18 | (21) |
| EBITDA | \$ (93) | \$ (470) | \$ (277) | \$ (1,413) |

Our EBITDA financial information can also be reconciled to net cash used in operating activities as follows:

RECONCILIATION OF EBITDA TO NET CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES

(In thousands)

| | For the Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|---|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | \$ (1,137) | \$ (1,793) |
| Interest expense-net | | (106) |
| Other expense (income)-net | (673) | 610 |
| Change in trade accounts receivable | (62) | (416) |
| Change in other current and non-current assets | 44 | 97 |
| Change in accounts payable | (51) | 97 |
| Change in accrued liabilities | 620 | 379 |
| Change in deferred revenue | 443 | 298 |
| Foreign currency exchange gain (loss) | 551 | (613) |
| Provision for bad debt | (12) | 34 |

| | | |
|--------|----------|------------|
| EBITDA | \$ (277) | \$ (1,413) |
|--------|----------|------------|

Table of Contents

ITEM 4T. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our principal executive officer and principal financial officer evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. Based on such evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) are effective, as of the end of the period covered by this report, to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Management necessarily applied its judgment in assessing the benefits of controls relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues, if any, within our company have been detected.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is subject from time to time to litigation, claims and suits arising in the ordinary course of business. During the quarter ended September 30, 2009, the Company was a party to the following legal proceedings:

Raining Data v. Soheil Raissi (formerly the Company's Vice President of Product Development and Professional Services), Mario Barrenechea (formerly the Company's Senior Vice President, Worldwide Sales and Marketing, Pick and Omnis Products), and Adevnet. On or about December 8, 2006, the Company filed a complaint in Orange County Superior Court that sets forth allegations that the defendants threaten to and have misappropriated the Company's trade secrets. The Company's allegations in the complaint include that the individual defendants have used or disclosed the Company's trade secrets (in the form of source code and licenses and other trade secret information) in violation of their agreements with the Company to maintain the confidentiality of such trade secret information, and in violation of California laws prohibiting the misappropriation of trade secrets. The Company sought injunctive relief from the defendants, including, but not limited to, compelling the defendants to immediately return all of the Company's trade secrets and property; enjoining the defendants and their employees and agents from using or disclosing our trade secrets, including the Company's source code; ordering the defendants to disclose all gains and profits they have derived from the misappropriation of the Company's trade secrets; enjoining the defendants from directly or indirectly supplying, selling or promoting any product that incorporates the Company's trade secrets. On June 27, 2008, the Court granted the Company's Motion to File a Second Amended Complaint, adding claims for Breach of the Duty of Loyalty and for Unjust Enrichment against the Defendants.

In January of 2007, the Defendants filed a cross-complaint, alleging several claims against the Company and Carlton H. Baab, the Company's former President and Chief Executive Officer, and the Company filed a Special Motion to Strike (an anti-SLAPP motion) the Cross-Complaint, which the Court granted in June of 2008, striking the entire Cross-Complaint and awarding the Company its attorney's fees in bringing the motion, which the Company has collected. The Defendants then appealed both Orders. The Company then proceeded with discovery on the Second Amended Complaint.

The Company reached a settlement with Defendant Soheil Raissi on May 8, 2009, including the Company's dismissal of the Second Amended Complaint against Raissi, and Raissi's dismissal of his appeals of the trial court's orders granting the anti-SLAPP motion and the award of attorney fees to the Company for bringing its anti-SLAPP motion. Defendant Adevnet, LLC has been dismissed because it is no longer a viable entity.

On June 26, 2009, the Appellate Court affirmed the trial court's Orders granting the Company's anti-SLAPP Motion as well as its Motion for Attorney Fees against Barrenechea. Subsequently, on August 3, 2009, the Company reached a settlement with Defendant Mario Barrenechea including the Company's dismissal of the Second Amended Complaint against Barrenechea. As such, all of the Company's litigation against

Raissi, Adevnet, and Barrenechea has been concluded.

Table of Contents**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

We operate in a rapidly changing environment that involves numerous risks and uncertainties. A description of the risks and uncertainties associated with our business is set forth below. You should carefully consider such risks and uncertainties, together with the other information previously disclosed in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009 filed with the SEC on June 24, 2009, and in our other public filings. If any of such risks and uncertainties actually occurs, our business, financial condition or operating results could differ materially from the plans, projections and other forward-looking statements included in the section titled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and elsewhere in this report and in our other public filings. In addition, if any of the following risks and uncertainties, or if any other risks and uncertainties, actually occurs, our business, financial condition or operating results could be harmed substantially, which could cause the market price of our stock to decline, perhaps significantly. The following section lists some, but not all, of these risks and uncertainties that may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operation.

IF WE DO NOT DEVELOP NEW PRODUCTS AND ENHANCE EXISTING PRODUCTS TO KEEP PACE WITH RAPIDLY CHANGING TECHNOLOGY AND INDUSTRY STANDARDS, OUR REVENUE MAY DECLINE.

We have devoted significant resources to the research and development of products and technologies. We believe that our future success will depend in large part on strong research and development efforts with respect to both our existing and new products. Beginning in 2001, we began an extensive effort to leverage our time-proven Pick UDM and core intellectual property to create the TigerLogic product line, which includes an internet browser-based search application called Yolink and an enterprise class XML database management server for the emerging XML market. While we intend for these efforts to improve our future operating results and increase cash flow, such new products may not be successful or generate significant revenue. The development of new or enhanced software products is a complex and uncertain process requiring high levels of innovation, as well as accurate anticipation of customer and technical trends. In developing new products and services, we may fail to develop and market products that respond to technological changes or evolving industry standards in a timely or cost-effective manner, or experience difficulties that could delay or prevent the successful development, introduction and marketing of these new products. The development and introduction of new or enhanced products also requires us to manage the transition from older products in order to minimize disruptions in customer ordering patterns and to ensure that adequate supplies of new products can be delivered to meet customer demand. Failure to develop and introduce new products, or enhancements to existing products, in a timely and cost-effective manner in response to changing market conditions or customer requirements, or lack of customer acceptance of our products, will materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

OUR FAILURE TO COMPETE EFFECTIVELY MAY HAVE AN ADVERSE IMPACT ON OUR OPERATING RESULTS.

The market for our products is highly competitive, diverse and subject to rapid change. Our products and services compete on the basis of the following key characteristics: performance; inter-operability; scalability; functionality; reliability; pricing; post sale customer support; quality; compliance with industry standards; and overall total cost of ownership.

The application development tools software market is rapidly changing and intensely competitive. Our MDMS products compete with products developed by companies such as Oracle, Microsoft and IBM. Our RAD products currently encounter competition from several direct competitors, including Microsoft, and competing development environments, including JAVA. Competition is developing and evolving in the XML market for which our XDMS products are intended. Companies that do or are expected to compete in this market include Oracle, IBM, Microsoft and Sybase, as well as a number of smaller companies with products that directly and indirectly compete with our XDMS products. Our Yolink search application will encounter competition from several direct competitors including Google, Yahoo, Microsoft, AOL and Ask, as well as a number of smaller companies with products that directly and indirectly compete with our Yolink product. Additionally, as we expand our business, we expect to compete with a different group of companies, including smaller, highly focused companies offering single products.

Most of our competitors have significantly more financial, technical, marketing and other resources than we do. As a result, these competitors may be able to respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies, evolving markets and changes in customer requirements and may devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of their products. Our products and services could fall behind marketplace demands at any time. If we fail to address the competitive challenges, our business would suffer materially.

BECAUSE OUR MDMS AND RAD PRODUCTS COMPETE WITH PRODUCTS FROM MUCH LARGER AND WELL KNOWN COMPANIES, OUR REVENUE MAY DECLINE IF WE CANNOT MAINTAIN OUR SALES TO EXISTING CUSTOMERS OR GENERATE SALES TO NEW CUSTOMERS.

We face very strong competition from much larger and well known companies in the markets for our MDMS and RAD products. As a result, existing customers and new customers may be inclined to adopt other technologies. To maintain or grow our revenue in these markets, we will

need to maintain or grow our sales to existing customers and to generate sales to new customers,

Table of Contents

including corporate development teams, commercial application developers, system integrators, independent software vendors and independent consultants. If we fail to attract new customers, if we lose our customers to competitors, or if the MDMS or RAD markets decline, our revenue may be adversely affected. In the longer term, it is expected that our revenue from the MDMS and RAD markets will eventually decline as customers adopt newer technologies.

ADVERSE ECONOMIC CONDITIONS COULD HARM OUR BUSINESS.

Our operations and performance depend significantly on worldwide economic conditions, which have deteriorated significantly in many countries and regions, including without limitation the United States and Western Europe from which we derive a majority of our revenue. Unfavorable changes in economic conditions, including recession, rising inflation, diminished credit availability, declining valuation of investments or other changes in economic conditions may result in lower information technology spending and may adversely affect our revenue. For example, current or potential customers may be unable to fund software purchases, which could cause them to delay, decrease or cancel purchases of our products and services or to not pay us or to delay paying us for previously purchased products and services. Further, since we generally license our software on a per-CPU, per-server, per-port or per-user basis, any decrease in CPU s, servers, ports or users by our customers would result in a decrease in our revenue. These and other economic factors could have a material adverse effect on demand for our products and services and on our financial results.

OUR PRODUCTS HAVE A LONG SALES CYCLE WHICH COULD RESULT IN DELAYS IN THE RECEIPT OF REVENUE.

The sales cycle for our MDMS and RAD products typically ranges from three to nine months or longer and the sales cycle for our XDMS products is anticipated to be significantly longer since these markets are emerging and the products are still in the process of being adopted by the marketplace. The adoption cycle for our Yolink product is anticipated to be long since the search market currently has much larger direct competitors such as Google, Yahoo, Microsoft, AOL and Ask. Our products are typically used by application developers, system integrators and value added resellers to develop applications that are critical to their corporate end user s business. Because our products are often part of an end user s larger business process, re-engineering initiative, or implementation of client/server or web-based computing, the end users frequently view the purchase of our products as part of a long-term strategic decision regarding the management of their workforce-related operations and expenditures. Thus, this sometimes results in end users taking a significant period of time to assess alternative solutions by competitors or to defer a purchase decision as a result of an unrelated strategic issue beyond our control. As a result, a significant period of time may elapse between our research and development efforts and recognition of revenue, if any.

THE CONCENTRATION OF OUR STOCK OWNERSHIP GIVES CERTAIN STOCKHOLDERS SIGNIFICANT CONTROL OVER OUR BUSINESS.

As of September 30, 2009, Astoria beneficially owned approximately 55% of our outstanding common stock. Richard W. Koe, Chairman of the Board of Directors and Interim President and Chief Executive Officer, serves as the Managing General Partner for Astoria Capital Management, Inc. (ACM), which is a general partner of Astoria. This concentration of stock ownership allows Astoria, acting alone, to block any actions that require approval of our stockholders, including the election of members to our Board of Directors and the approval of significant corporate transactions. Moreover, this concentration of ownership may delay or prevent a change in control.

WE MAY EXPERIENCE QUARTERLY FLUCTUATIONS IN OPERATING RESULTS, WHICH MAY RESULT IN VOLATILITY OF OUR STOCK PRICE.

We expect to continue to spend substantial amounts of money in the area of research and development, sales and marketing and operations in order to promote new product development and introduction. Because the expenses associated with these activities are relatively fixed in the short-term, we may be unable to timely adjust spending to offset any unexpected shortfall in revenue growth or any decrease in revenue levels. Operating results may also fluctuate due to factors such as:

the size and timing of customer orders;

changes in pricing policies by us or our competitors;

Edgar Filing: TigerLogic CORP - Form 10-Q

our ability to develop, introduce, and market new and enhanced versions of our products;

the number, timing, and significance of product enhancements and new product announcements by our competitors;

the demand for our products;

non-renewal of customer support agreements;

Table of Contents

software defects and other product quality problems; and

personnel changes.

We operate without a significant backlog of orders. As a result, the quarterly sales and operating results in any given quarter are dependent, in large part, upon the volume and timing of orders booked and products shipped during that quarter. Accordingly, we may be unable to adjust spending in a timely manner to compensate for any unanticipated decrease in orders, sales or shipments. Therefore, any decline in demand for our products and services, in relation to the forecast for any given quarter, could materially and negatively impact the results of our operations. As a result, our quarterly operating results may fluctuate, which may cause our stock price to be volatile. In addition, we believe that period-to-period comparisons of our operating results should not be relied upon as indications of future performance.

THE SUCCESS OF OUR BUSINESS DEPENDS IN PART UPON OUR ABILITY TO RECRUIT AND RETAIN KEY PERSONNEL AND MANAGEMENT.

Mr. Koe was appointed Interim President and Chief Executive Office in February 2009, following the resignation of Carlton H. Baab. We are in the process of conducting a search for a permanent President and Chief Executive Officer. The loss of one or more of our executives could adversely affect our business. In addition, we have in the past restructured or made other adjustments to our workforce in response to management changes, product changes, performance issues, acquisitions and other internal and external considerations. Workforce restructurings could result in a temporary lack of focus and reduced productivity, which could negatively affect our revenues.

We believe that our future success will depend to a significant extent on our ability to recruit, hire and retain highly skilled management and employees with experience in engineering, product management, business development, sales, marketing and customer service. Competition for such personnel in the software industry can be intense, and there can be no assurance that we will be successful in attracting and retaining such personnel. If we are unable to do so, we may experience inadequate levels of staffing to develop and license our products and perform services for our customers, which could adversely affect our business.

THE INABILITY TO PROTECT OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COULD HARM OUR ABILITY TO COMPETE.

Our ability to compete successfully will depend, in part, on our ability to protect our proprietary technology and operations without infringing upon the rights of others. We may fail to do so. In addition, the laws of certain countries in which our products are, or may be, licensed may not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. We rely primarily on a combination of trade secret, copyright and trademark laws and contractual provisions to protect our intellectual property and proprietary rights. Our trademarks include TigerLogic, Yolink, ChunkIt!, Pick, D3, Omnis, Omnis Studio, mvEnterprise, mvBase, and mvDesigner, among others. We also have thirteen pending U.S. patent application as of September 30, 2009. In addition to trademark and copyright protections, we license our products to end users on a right to use basis pursuant to a perpetual license agreement that restricts use of products to a specified number of users.

We generally rely on click-wrap licenses that become effective when a customer downloads and installs software on its system. In order to retain exclusive ownership rights to our software and technology, we generally provide our software in object code only, with contractual restrictions on copying, disclosure and transferability. There can be no assurance that these protections will be adequate, or that our competitors will not independently develop technologies that are substantially equivalent or superior to our technology.

THIRD PARTIES COULD ASSERT THAT OUR SOFTWARE PRODUCTS AND/OR SERVICES INFRINGE ON THEIR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS, WHICH COULD RESULT IN COSTLY LITIGATION, CAUSE PRODUCT SHIPMENT DELAYS, PROHIBIT PRODUCT LICENSING OR REQUIRE US TO ENTER INTO ROYALTY OR LICENSING AGREEMENTS.

There has been a substantial amount of litigation in the software and online services industry regarding intellectual property rights and there is significant uncertainty in our industry as many of the legal principles associated with software and online services continue to evolve rapidly. Third parties may claim that our current or potential future products or services infringe upon their intellectual property rights. We expect that software product developers and providers of software applications and online services will increasingly be subject to infringement claims as the number of products, services and competitors in our industry segment grow and the functionality of products and services in different industry segments overlap. Any claims, with or without merit, could be time consuming, result in costly litigation, divert management's attention, cause product shipment delays, prohibit product licensing or require us to enter into royalty or licensing agreements. Royalty or licensing agreements, if required, may not be available on terms acceptable to us or at all, which could seriously harm our business.

Table of Contents

OUR PRODUCTS MAY CONTAIN SOFTWARE DEFECTS WHICH COULD HARM OUR BUSINESS.

Our enterprise applications software and internet browser-based search application may contain undetected errors or failures. This includes our XDMS and Yolink products, which are at higher risk given these products are in the earliest stages of the product life cycle. This may result in loss of, or delay in, customer acceptance of our products and could harm our reputation and our business. Undetected errors or failures in computer software programs are not uncommon.

The detection and correction of any security flaws can be time consuming and costly. Errors in our software products could affect the ability of our products to work with other hardware or software products, could delay the development or release of new products or new versions of products and could adversely affect market acceptance of our products. If we experience errors or delays in releasing new products or new versions of products, we could lose revenues. End users who rely on our products and services for applications that are critical to their businesses may have a greater sensitivity to product errors and security vulnerabilities than customers for software products generally. Software product errors and security flaws in our products or services could expose us to product liability, performance or warranty claims as well as harm our reputation, which could impact our future sales of products and services.

IF ASTORIA OR OTHER SECURITIES HOLDERS REQUEST REGISTRATION OF THEIR RESTRICTED SECURITIES, OR THESE SECURITIES HOLDERS SELL A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF RESTRICTED SECURITIES IN THE OPEN MARKET, OUR STOCK PRICE MAY DECLINE.

As of September 30, 2009, we had 26,924,760 outstanding shares of common stock, of which approximately 15 million shares were restricted securities held by Astoria and other holders. Restricted securities may be sold in the public market only if they are registered or if they qualify for an exemption from registration promulgated under the Securities Act. At present, all of our outstanding restricted securities may be registered or are eligible for public sale under Rule 144, subject to volume limitations and other requirements of Rule 144.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of common stock by Astoria or other securities holders in the public market, or the perception that those sales may occur, could cause the market price of our common stock to decline. In addition, if we register shares of our common stock in connection with a public offering of securities, we may be required to include shares of restricted securities in the registration, which may have an adverse effect on our ability to raise capital.

OUR GLOBAL OPERATIONS EXPOSE US TO ADDITIONAL RISKS AND CHALLENGES ASSOCIATED WITH CONDUCTING BUSINESS INTERNATIONALLY.

We operate on a global basis with offices or distributors in Europe, Africa, Asia, Latin America, South America, Australia and North America and development efforts in North America and Europe. Approximately 32% of our revenue for the six months ended September 30, 2009 was generated from our international offices. We face several risks inherent in conducting business internationally, including but not limited to the following:

fluctuations in interest rates or currency exchange rates;

language and cultural differences;

local and governmental requirements;

difficulties and costs of staffing and managing international operations;

differences in intellectual property protections;

Edgar Filing: TigerLogic CORP - Form 10-Q

difficulties in collecting accounts receivable and longer collection periods;

seasonal business activities in certain parts of the world; and

trade policies.

In addition, compliance with international and U.S. laws and regulations that apply to our international operations increases our cost of doing business in foreign jurisdictions. These laws and regulations include data privacy requirements, labor relations laws, tax laws, anti-competition regulations, import and trade restrictions, export requirements, U.S. laws such as the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, and local laws which also prohibit corrupt payments to governmental officials. Violations of these laws and regulations could result in fines, criminal sanctions against us, our officers or our employees, and prohibitions on the conduct of our business. Any such violations could include prohibitions on our ability to offer our products and services in one or more countries, could delay or prevent potential acquisitions, and could also materially damage our reputation, our brand, our international expansion efforts, our ability to attract and retain employees, our business and our operating results. Our success depends, in part, on our ability to anticipate these risks and manage these difficulties. These factors or any combination of these factors may adversely affect our revenue or our overall financial performance.

Table of Contents

THE FAILURE OF OUR PRODUCTS TO CONTINUE TO CONFORM TO INDUSTRY STANDARDS MAY HARM OUR OPERATING RESULTS.

A key factor in our future success will continue to be the ability of our products to operate and perform well with existing and future, industry-standard enterprise software applications intended to be used in connection with our MDMS, RAD, and TigerLogic products. Inter-operability may require third party licenses, which may not be available to us on favorable terms or at all. Failure to meet existing or future inter-operability and performance requirements of industry standard applications in a timely manner could adversely affect our business. Uncertainties relating to the timing and nature of new product announcements or introductions or modifications of third party software applications could delay our product development, increase our product development expense or cause customers to delay evaluation, purchase, and deployment of our products.

INEFFECTIVE INTERNAL CONTROLS COULD IMPACT THE COMPANY'S BUSINESS AND OPERATING RESULTS.

Our internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements because of its inherent limitations, including the possibility of human error, the circumvention or overriding of controls, or fraud. Even effective internal controls can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements. Our internal control over financial reporting will be audited by our independent auditors during the next fiscal year as required by the SEC. If such audit identifies any material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting, we would be required to provide appropriate disclosures and implement remedial measures, which could be costly and time-consuming. If we fail to maintain the adequacy of our internal controls, including any failure to implement required new or improved controls, or if we experience difficulties in implementation, our business and operating results could be harmed and we could fail to meet our financial reporting obligations.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibits:

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: November 12, 2009

TIGERLOGIC CORPORATION

/s/ THOMAS LIM
Thomas Lim

Chief Financial Officer and Duly Authorized Officer

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.