

MCGRATH RENTCORP
Form 10-K
February 27, 2008

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)

OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007

Commission file number 0-13292

McGRATH RENTCORP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its Charter)

California

(State or other jurisdiction

94-2579843

(I.R.S. Employer

of incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

5700 Las Positas Road, Livermore, CA 94551-7800

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number: **(925) 606-9200**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

None

Name of each exchange on which registered

None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Edgar Filing: MCGRATH RENTCORP - Form 10-K

Title of Class
Common Stock

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes

No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes

No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes

No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Yes

No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one).

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes

No

Aggregate market value of voting stock, held by nonaffiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2007: \$792,107,152.

As of February 25, 2008, 24,003,121 shares of Registrant's Common Stock were outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

McGrath RentCorp's definitive proxy statement with respect to its Annual Shareholders' Meeting to be held June 4, 2008 which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of its fiscal year, is incorporated by reference into Part III, Items 10, 11, 12, and 13.

Exhibit index appears on page 75

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K which are not historical facts are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. All statements, other than statements of historical facts regarding McGrath RentCorp's (the Company's) business strategy, future operations, financial position, estimated revenues or losses, projected costs, prospects, plans and objectives are forward looking statements. These forward-looking statements appear in a number of places and can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as may, will, should, expect, plan, anticipate, believe, estimate, predict, future, intend, hopes or certain or the negative of these terms or other variations or comparable terminology.

Management cautions that forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those projected in such forward-looking statements including, without limitation, the following: the future prospects for and growth of the Company and the industries in which it operates, the level of the Company's future rentals and sales, customer demand and cost of raw materials, the Company's ability to maintain its business model; the Company's ability to retain and recruit key personnel; the Company's ability to maintain its competitive strengths and to effectively compete against its competitors; the Company's short-term decisions and long-term strategies for the future and its ability to implement and maintain such decisions and strategies, including its strategies: (i) to focus on rental revenue growth from an increasing base of rental assets, (ii) to actively maintain, repair, redeploy, manage and anticipate the need for various models of rental equipment cost-effectively and to maximize the level of proceeds from the sale of such products, and (iii) to create internal facilities and infrastructure capabilities that can provide prompt and efficient customer service, experienced assistance, rapid delivery and timely maintenance of the Company's equipment; the demand by the educational market (and the K-12 market in particular) for the Company's modular products; the effect of delays or interruptions in the passage of statewide and local facility bond measures on the Company's operations; the effect of changes in applicable law, and policies relating to the use of temporary buildings on the Company's modular rental and sales revenues, including with respect to class size and building standards; the effects of changes in the level of state funding to public schools and the use of classrooms that meet the Department of Housing requirements; the Company's ability to maintain and upgrade modular equipment to comply with changes in applicable law and customer preference; the Company's strategy to effectively implement its expansion into Florida, North Carolina, Georgia and other new markets in the U.S.; the Company's expectation that the first phase of its ERP upgrade project will be completed in mid 2008 and the Company's reliance on its information technology systems; the Company's engaging in and ability to consummate future acquisitions; manufacturers' ability to produce products to the Company's specification on a timely basis; the Company's ability to maintain good relationships with school districts, manufacturers, and other suppliers; the impact of debt covenants on the Company's flexibility in running its business and the effect of an event of default on the Company's results of operations; the effect of interest rate fluctuations; the Company's ability to manage its credit risk and accounts receivable; the timing and amounts of future capital expenditures and the Company's ability to meet its needs for working capital including its ability to negotiate lines of credit; the Company's ability to track technology trends to make good buy-sell decisions with respect to electronic test equipment; the effect of changes to the Company's accounting policies and impact of evolving interpretation and implementation of such policies; the risk of litigation and claims against the Company; the impact of a change in the Company's overall effective tax rate as a result of the Company's mix of business levels in various tax jurisdictions in which it does business; the adequacy of the Company's insurance coverage; the impact of a failure by third parties to manufacture our products timely or properly; the level of future warranty costs of modular equipment that we sell; the effect of seasonality on the Company's business; the growth of the Company's business in international markets and the Company's ability to succeed in those markets; and the Company's ability to pass on increases in its costs of rental equipment, including manufacturing costs, operating expenses and interest expense through increases in rental rates and selling prices. Further, our future business, financial condition and results of operations could differ materially from those anticipated by such forward-looking statements and are subject to risks and uncertainties including the risks set forth above and the Risk Factors set forth in this Form 10-K. Moreover, neither we assume nor any other person assumes responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of the forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements are made only as of the date of this Form 10-K and are based on management's reasonable assumptions, however these assumptions can be wrong or affected by known or unknown risks and uncertainties. No forward-looking statement can be guaranteed and subsequent facts or circumstances may contradict, obviate, undermine or otherwise fail to support or substantiate such statements. Readers should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements and are cautioned that any such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance. We are under no duty to update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this Form 10-K to conform such statements to actual results or to changes in our expectations.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

General Overview

McGrath RentCorp is a California corporation organized in 1979 with corporate offices located in Livermore, California. The Company's common stock is traded on the NASDAQ National Global Select Market under the symbol "MGRC". References in this report to the Company, we, us, and ours refer to McGrath RentCorp and its subsidiaries, unless the context requires otherwise.

The Company is a rental company with two rental products; relocatable modular buildings and electronic test equipment. Although the Company's primary emphasis is on equipment rentals, sales of equipment occur in the normal course of business. The Company is comprised of three business segments: (1) Mobile Modular Management Corporation, its modular building rental division, ("Mobile Modular"); (2) TRS-RenTelco, its electronic test equipment rental division and (3) Enviroplex, Inc., its wholly-owned subsidiary classroom manufacturing business selling modular buildings used primarily as classrooms in California ("Enviroplex"). In 2007, Mobile Modular, TRS-RenTelco and Enviroplex contributed 71%, 28% and 1% of the Company's income before provision for taxes (the equivalent of pretax income), respectively, compared to 66%, 30% and 4% for 2006. Even though managed as a separate business unit, Enviroplex's revenues, pretax income contribution and total assets are not significant relative to the Company's consolidated financial position.

Mobile Modular rents and sells modular buildings and accessories to fulfill customers' temporary and permanent space needs in California, Texas, Florida and beginning in 2007, in North Carolina and Georgia. These modular units are used as classrooms, temporary offices adjacent to existing facilities, sales offices, construction field offices, restroom buildings, health care clinics, child care facilities and for a variety of other purposes. Mobile Modular purchases the modulars from various manufacturers who build them to Mobile Modular's design specifications. Mobile Modular currently operates two regional sales and inventory centers in California and one in Texas and regional sales offices in Florida, North Carolina and Georgia. Although Mobile Modular's primary emphasis is on rentals, sales of modulars routinely occur and fluctuate quarter-to-quarter and year-to-year depending on customer requirements, budgets and other factors.

The educational market is the largest segment of our modular business. Mobile Modular and Enviroplex provide classroom and specialty space needs serving public and private schools, colleges and universities. Within the educational market, the rental (by Mobile Modular) and sale (by Enviroplex and Mobile Modular) of modulars to public school districts for use as classrooms, restroom buildings and administrative offices for kindergarten through grade twelve (K-12) comprised approximately 53%, 55% and 59%, of Mobile Modular's rental and sales revenues for 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Fueled by an increasing student population, insufficient funding for new school construction, class size reduction programs, modernization of aging school facilities, and the phasing out of portable classrooms compliant with older building codes, we believe demand in educational market will continue to be favorable.

TRS-RenTelco rents and sells electronic test equipment nationally and internationally from its Grapevine, Texas (Dallas Area) and Dollard-des-Ormeaux, Canada (Montreal Area) facilities. The Dallas facility houses the electronic test equipment inventory, sales engineers, calibration laboratories, and operations staff for U.S. and international business. The Montreal facility houses sales engineers and operations staff to serve the Canadian market. As of December 31, 2007, the original cost of electronic test equipment inventory was comprised of 69% general-purpose electronic test equipment and 31% communications electronic test equipment. In January 2008, the Company launched online ordering for its electronic test equipment rental business.

Engineers, technicians and scientists utilize general-purpose electronic test equipment in developing products, controlling manufacturing processes, field service applications and evaluating the performance of their own electrical and electronic equipment. These instruments are rented primarily to aerospace, defense, electronics, industrial, research and semiconductor industries. To date, Agilent Technologies and Tektronix, a division of Danaher Corporation, have manufactured the majority of TRS-RenTelco's general-purpose electronic test equipment.

Communications test equipment, including fiber optic test equipment, is utilized by technicians, engineers and installation contractors to evaluate voice, data and multimedia communications networks, to install fiber optic cabling, and in the development and manufacturing of transmission, network and wireless products. These instruments are rented primarily to manufacturers of communications equipment and products, electrical and communications installation contractors, field technicians, and service providers. To date, Agilent has manufactured a significant portion of TRS-RenTelco's communications test equipment, with the remaining acquired from over 50 other manufacturers.

No single customer has accounted for more than 10% of the Company's total revenues generated in any given year. In addition, total foreign country customers and operations accounted for less than 10% of the Company's revenues and long-lived assets in any given year.

History, Strategic Expansion and Acquisitions

Prior to starting the Company, Robert McGrath, the Company's founder and Chairman of the Board, was a founder and served as President of Leasametric from 1969 until it was sold to Trans Union Corporation in 1977, and was involved in all aspects of its electronic test equipment rental business. Mr. McGrath was one of the pioneers of the electronic test equipment rental industry and contributed significantly to the success and direction of Leasametric, which was at the time one of the industry's largest and most respected companies. After the sale, Mr. McGrath continued with Trans Union until he founded the Company in 1979, initially renting relocatable modular offices. At the time that Mr. McGrath left Trans Union, he was subject to a non-compete agreement, which prevented him from engaging in the electronic test equipment rental business until 1984, when the Company went public.

The Company started modular operations in Northern California in 1979, expanded to Southern California in 1980, and by acquisition in 1982, grew the Southern California operations and entered the Texas market. When Mr. McGrath's non-compete expired in 1984, the Company entered the electronic test equipment rental business and in the same year went public. Secondary offerings were completed in 1986 and 1991. Proceeds from these offerings were used to fund organic growth, complete two modular acquisitions in 1986 and, in 1991, acquire a communications test equipment rental company from GE Capital operating as RenTelco. Also in 1991, the Company adopted a strategy to purchase large parcels of land and build regional modular sales and inventory centers for its facilities, and eventually completed two in California and one in Texas.

From 1991 through 2001 the Company's two rental businesses grew organically with the exception of a small modular acquisition in 1997. Mobile Modular focused increasingly on educational rental growth and our electronic test equipment rental business benefited from its specialization in communications test equipment as the telecom industry rapidly expanded. During this time, rental revenues for the modular business grew from \$30.5 million in 1991 to \$63.5 million in 2001 and rental revenues for the electronic test equipment business grew from \$6.4 million in 1991 to \$37.2 million in 2001.

Beginning in the latter half of 2001, the electronic test equipment rental industry experienced a significant downturn in business activity levels resulting from weakness in the telecommunications industry due to overcapacity and a general economic slowdown. Although both general-purpose and communications test equipment sectors were affected, the impact to our communications test equipment business levels was significant. As a result, during the first six months of 2002, TRS-RenTelco recorded non-cash impairment charges of \$24.1 million due to excess communications test equipment rental inventory relative to market demand, reducing net income by \$14.5 million and lowering diluted earnings per share by \$0.58 per share. Beginning in late 2003 and continuing into 2004, the general-purpose test equipment markets, and to a lesser extent, communications test equipment markets, showed signs of increasing business activity levels.

In early 2004, the Company leveraged its California classroom rental expertise and strategically expanded organically to Florida, renting modular classroom products. We believe that we have developed good relationships with school districts, manufacturers, and other suppliers while introducing into the Florida market an innovative classroom design, the Campus Maker™. This design is a low profile, steel frame product, that allows school districts to install their classrooms in much closer proximity to one another, thereby freeing up valuable playground and activity space and eliminating the need for a separate ramp system on most installations. The Campus Maker product has significantly improved aesthetics compared to standard modular classrooms and continues to gain popularity in the marketplace.

In May 2004, the Company entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement to purchase substantially all of the assets of Technology Rentals & Services (TRS), a division of CIT Group Inc. in order to facilitate the growth of our electronic test equipment business. Based in Grapevine, Texas (Dallas Area), TRS was similar to the Company's existing electronic test equipment rental business, RenTelco, and was one of the leading providers of general purpose and communications test equipment for rent or sale in North America. The transaction was completed on June 2, 2004, for cash consideration of \$120.2 million. The Company financed the acquisition from a revolving line of credit facility with its banks and 5.08% senior notes due in 2011. Since June 2, 2004, TRS' results have been included in the Consolidated Statements of Income, and since that date, the combined electronic test equipment business has operated under the name TRS-RenTelco.

Since 2004, the Company has continued to focus on its core rental businesses, integrating the acquired TRS operations and establishing and growing Mobile Modular's Florida operations. During 2005, the Company purchased 122.5 acres of undeveloped land in Florida and, in the first half of 2008, expects to complete the construction of its Florida regional sales and inventory center. In late 2007 Mobile Modular expanded its operations in North Carolina and Georgia.

Business Model

The Company invests capital in rental products and generally has recovered its original investment through rents less operating expenses in a relatively short period of time compared to the product's rental life. When the Company's rental products are sold, the proceeds generally have covered a high percentage of the original investment. With these characteristics, a significant base of rental assets on rent generates a considerable amount of operating cash flows to support continued rental asset growth. The Company's rental products, relocatable modular buildings and electronic test equipment, have the following dynamics:

The product required by the customer tends to be expensive compared to the Company's monthly rental charge, with the interim rental solution typically evaluated as a less costly alternative.

Generally, we believe the Company's customers have a short-term need for our rental products. The customer's rental requirement may be driven by a number of factors including time, budget or capital constraints, future uncertainty impacting their ongoing requirements, equipment availability, specific project requirements, peak periods of demand or the customer may want to eliminate the burdens and risks of ownership. For modulares, in many cases a customer's initial short-term rental becomes part of the customer's ongoing infrastructure and turns into a long-term rental.

Both modular and electronic test equipment rental products have long useful lives relative to the typical rental term with modulares having an estimated life of eighteen years compared to the typical committed term of twelve to twenty-four months, and electronic test equipment having an estimated life range of two to seven years depending on the type of product compared to a typical rental term of one to six months.

Typically, we believe short-term rental rates recover the Company's original investment quickly, with modulares in approximately four years, and electronic test equipment in approximately two and one-half years, based on the respective product's annual yield in 2007, or the annual rental revenues divided by the average cost of rental inventory for 2007.

When product is sold from rental inventory, a significant portion of the original investment is recovered. Effective asset management is a critical element to each of the rental businesses and the resulting residuals realized when product is sold from inventory. Modular asset management requires designing and building the product for a long life, coupled with ongoing repair and maintenance investments, to ensure its long useful rental life and generally, higher residuals upon sale. Electronic test equipment asset management requires understanding, selecting and investing in equipment technologies that support market demand and, once invested, proactively managing the equipment at the model level for optimum utilization through its technology life cycle maximizes the rental revenues and residuals realized.

The Company believes that rental revenue growth from an increasing base of rental assets and improved gross profits on rents are the best measures of the health of each of our rental businesses. Additionally, we believe our business model and results are enhanced with operational leverage that is created from large regional sales and inventory centers for modulares, a single U.S. based sales, inventory and operations facility for electronic test equipment and shared senior management and back office functions for financing, human resources, insurance, and operating and accounting systems.

Employees

As of December 31, 2007, the Company had 622 employees, of whom 52 were primarily administrative and executive personnel, with 352, 138 and 80 in the operations of Mobile Modular, TRS-RenTelco and Enviroplex, respectively. None of our employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement, and management believes its relationship with our employees is good.

Available Information

We make available, free of charge at our website www.mgrc.com, the Company's Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) filings. These filings include our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Act of 1934, which are available as soon as reasonably practicable after the Company electronically files such material with, or furnishes such material to, the SEC. Information included on our web site is not incorporated by reference to this Report. Furthermore, all reports the Company files with the SEC are available free of charge through the SEC's web site at www.sec.gov. In addition, the public may read and copy materials filed by the Company at the SEC's Public Reference Room located at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may also obtain additional information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330.

We also have a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics which applies to all directors, officers and employees. Copies of this code can be obtained free of charge at our website www.mgrc.com.

RELOCATABLE MODULAR BUILDINGS

Description

Modulars are designed for use as classrooms, temporary offices adjacent to existing facilities, sales offices, restroom buildings, health care clinics, child care facilities and for a variety of other purposes and office space and may be moved from one location to another. Modulars vary from simple single-unit construction site offices to multi-floor modular units. The Company's modular rental fleet includes a full range of styles and sizes. The Company considers its modulars to be among the most attractive and well designed available. The units are constructed with wood or metal siding, sturdily built and physically capable of a long useful life. Units are generally provided with installed heat, air conditioning, lighting, electrical outlets and floor covering, and may have customized interiors including partitioning, cabinetry and plumbing facilities.

Mobile Modular purchases new modulars from various manufacturers who build to Mobile Modular's design specifications. With the exception of Enviroplex, none of the principal suppliers are affiliated with the Company. During 2007, Mobile Modular purchased 33% of its modular units from one manufacturer. The Company believes that the loss of its primary manufacturer of modulars could have an adverse effect on its operations since Mobile Modular could experience higher prices and longer lead times for delivery of modular units until other manufacturers were able to increase their production capacity.

The Company's modulars are manufactured to comply with state building codes, have a low risk of obsolescence, and can be modified or reconfigured to accommodate a wide variety of customer needs. Historically, as state building codes have changed over the years, Mobile Modular has been able to continue to use existing modulars, with minimal, if any, required upgrades. The Company has no assurance that it will continue to be able to use existing modular equipment with minimal upgrades as building codes change in the future.

Mobile Modular currently operates from two regional sales and inventory centers in California and one in Texas, serving large geographic areas in California and Texas, and sales offices in each of Florida, North Carolina and Georgia serving those regions. The California and Texas operations have in-house infrastructure and operational capabilities to support quick and efficient repair, modification, and refurbishment of equipment for the next rental opportunity. Mobile Modular believes operating from large regional sales and inventory centers results in better operating margins as operating costs can be spread over a large installed customer base. Mobile Modular actively maintains and repairs its rental equipment, and management believes this insures the continued use of the modular product over its long life and, when sold, has resulted in higher sale proceeds relative to its capitalized cost. When rental equipment returns from a customer, the necessary repairs and preventative maintenance are performed prior to its next rental. By

making these expenditures for repair and maintenance throughout the equipment's life we believe that older equipment can generally rent for similar rates as newer equipment. Management believes the condition of the equipment is a more significant factor in determining the rental rate and sale price than its age. Over the last three years, used equipment sold each year represented less than 2% of rental equipment, and has been, on average, 11 years old with sale proceeds recovering a high percentage of the equipment's capitalized cost. Mobile Modular depreciates its rental equipment over 18 years using a 50% residual value.

Competitive Strengths

Market Leadership The Company believes Mobile Modular is the largest supplier in California, and a significant supplier in Florida and Texas, of modular educational facilities for rental to both public and private schools. Management is knowledgeable about the needs of its educational customers and the related regulatory requirements in the states where Mobile Modular operates, which enables Mobile Modular to meet its customer's specific project requirements.

Expertise The Company believes that over the 29 years Mobile Modular has competed in the modular rental industry, it has developed expertise that differentiates it from its competitors. Mobile Modular has dedicated its attention to continuously developing and improving the quality of its modular units. Mobile Modular has expertise in the licensing and regulatory requirements that govern the modulars in the states where it operates and its management, sales and operational staffs are knowledgeable and committed to providing exemplary customer service. Mobile Modular has expertise in project management and complex applications.

Operating Structure The Company believes that part of the strategy for Mobile Modular should be to create facilities and infrastructure capabilities that its competitors cannot easily duplicate. Mobile Modular achieves this by building regional sales and inventory centers designed to serve a broad geographic area and a large installed customer base under a single overhead structure, thereby reducing its cost per transaction. The Company's regional facilities and related infrastructure enable Mobile Modular to maximize its modular inventory utilization through efficient and cost effective in-house repair, maintenance and refurbishment for quick redeployment of equipment to meet its customers' needs. The Mobile Modular's goal is to be more responsive at lower cost.

Asset Management The Company believes Mobile Modular markets high quality, well-constructed and attractive modulars. Mobile Modular requires manufacturers to build to its specifications, which enables Mobile Modular to maintain a standardized quality fleet. In addition, through its ongoing repair, refurbishment and maintenance programs, the Company believes Mobile Modular's buildings are the best maintained in the industry. The Company depreciates its modular buildings over an 18 year estimated useful life to a 50% residual value. Older buildings continue to be productive primarily because of Mobile Modular's focus on ongoing fleet maintenance. Also, as a result of Mobile Modular's maintenance programs, when a modular is sold, a high percentage of the equipment's capitalized cost is recovered. In addition, the fleet's utilization is regionally optimized by managing inventory through estimates of market demand, fulfillment of current rental and sale order activity, modular returns and capital purchases.

Customer Service The Company believes the modular rental industry to be service intensive and locally based. The Company strives to provide excellent service by meeting its commitments to its customers, being proactive in resolving project issues and seeking to continuously improve the customer's experience. Mobile Modular is committed to offering quick response to requests for information, providing experienced assistance, on time delivery and preventative maintenance of its units. Mobile Modular's goal is to continuously improve its procedures, processes and computer systems to enhance internal operational efficiency. The Company believes this dedication to customer service results in high levels of customer loyalty and repeat business.

Management estimates the business of renting relocatable modular buildings is an industry that today has equipment on rent or available for rent in the United States with an aggregate original cost of over \$4.0 billion.

Market

Mobile Modular's largest market segment is for temporary classroom and other educational space needs of public and private schools, colleges and universities in California and Florida, and to a lesser extent in Texas, North Carolina and Georgia. Management believes the demand for rental classrooms is caused by shifting and fluctuating school populations, the lack of state funds for new construction, the need for temporary classroom space during reconstruction of older schools, class size reduction and the phasing out of portable classrooms compliant with older building codes (see Classroom Rentals and Sales to Public Schools (K-12) below).

Other customer applications include sales offices, construction field offices, health care facilities, church sanctuaries and child care services. Industrial, manufacturing, entertainment and utility companies, as well as governmental agencies commonly use large multi-modular complexes to serve their interim administrative and operational space needs. Modulares offer customers quick, cost-effective space solutions while conserving their capital. The Company's corporate, and California and Texas modular regional sales and inventory center offices are housed in various sizes of modular units.

Since most of Mobile Modular's customer requirements are to fill temporary space needs, Mobile Modular's marketing emphasis is on rentals rather than sales. Mobile Modular attracts customers through its website at www.mobilemodularrents.com, extensive yellow page advertising, internet advertising and direct mail. Customers are encouraged to visit a sales and inventory center to view different models on display and to see a regional office, which is a working example of a modular application.

Because service is a major competitive factor in the rental of modulares, Mobile Modular offers quick response to requests for information, assistance in the choice of a suitable size and floor plan, in-house customization services, rapid delivery, timely installation and field service of its units. On Mobile Modular's website, customers are able to view and select inventory for quotation, request in-field service and view billing and account balance information.

Rentals

Rental periods range from one month to several years with a typical initial contract term between twelve and twenty-four months. In general, monthly rental rates are determined by a number of factors including length of term, product availability and product type. Upon expiration of the initial rental agreement term, or any extensions, rental rates are reviewed, and when appropriate, are adjusted based on current market conditions. Most rental agreements are operating leases that provide no purchase options, and when a rental agreement does provide the customer with a purchase option, it is generally on terms management believes to be attractive to Mobile Modular.

The customer is responsible for obtaining the necessary use permits and the costs of insuring the unit, transporting the unit to the site, preparation of the site, installation of the unit, dismantle and return delivery of the unit to Mobile Modular, and certain costs for customization. Mobile Modular maintains the units in good working condition while on rent. Upon return, the units are inspected for damage and customers are billed for items considered beyond normal wear and tear. Generally, the units are then repaired for subsequent use. Repair and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred and can include floor repairs, roof maintenance, cleaning, painting and other cosmetic repairs. The costs of major refurbishment of equipment are capitalized to the extent the refurbishment significantly improves the quality and adds value or life to the equipment.

At December 31, 2007, Mobile Modular owned 27,151 new or previously rented modulares including accessories with an aggregate cost of \$475.1 million, or an average cost per unit of \$17,500. Utilization is calculated each month by dividing the cost of rental equipment on rent by the total cost of rental equipment, excluding new equipment inventory and accessory equipment. At December 31, 2007, fleet utilization was 82.8% and average fleet utilization during 2007 was 82.3%.

Sales

In addition to operating its rental fleet, Mobile Modular sells modulares to customers. These sales typically arise out of its marketing efforts for the rental fleet and from existing equipment already on rent. Such sales can be of either new or used units from the rental fleet, which permits an orderly turnover of older units. During 2007, Mobile Modular's largest sale was for new modular classrooms to a Florida school district for approximately \$2.3 million. This sale represented approximately 8% of Mobile Modular's sales, 4% of the Company's consolidated sales, and 1% of the Company's consolidated revenues.

Mobile Modular typically provides limited 90-day warranties on used modulares and passes through the manufacturers' one-year warranty on new units to its customers. Warranty costs have not been significant to Mobile Modular's operations to date, and the Company attributes this to its commitment to high quality standards and regular maintenance programs. However, there can be no assurance that warranty costs will continue to be insignificant to Mobile Modular's operations in the future.

Seasonality

Typically, during each calendar year, our highest numbers of classrooms are shipped for rental and sale orders during the second and third quarters for delivery and installation prior to the start of the upcoming school year. The majority of classrooms shipped in the second and third quarters have rental start dates during the third quarter, thereby making the fourth quarter the first full quarter of rental revenues recognized for these transactions. These factors may impact the quarterly revenues and earnings of each year's second, third and fourth quarters.

Competition

Competition in the rental and sale of relocatable modular buildings is intense. Two major national firms are engaged in the rental of modulars, have many offices throughout the country and we believe have greater financial resources than Mobile Modular. In addition, a number of other smaller companies operate regionally throughout the country. Mobile Modular operates primarily in California, Texas, Florida, and beginning late in 2007 in North Carolina and Georgia. Significant competitive factors in the rental business include availability, price, service, reliability, appearance and functionality of the product. Mobile Modular markets high quality, well-constructed and attractive modulars. The Company believes that part of the strategy for modulars is to create facilities and infrastructure capabilities that its competitors cannot easily duplicate. The Company's facilities and related infrastructure enable it to modify modulars efficiently and cost effectively to meet its customers' needs. Management's goal is to be more responsive at less expense. Management believes this strategy, together with its emphasis on prompt and efficient customer service, gives Mobile Modular a competitive advantage. Mobile Modular is determined to respond quickly to requests for information, and provide experienced assistance for the first-time user, rapid delivery and timely repair of its modular units. Mobile Modular's already high level of efficiency and responsiveness continues to improve as the Company upgrades procedures, processes and computer systems that control its internal operations. The Company anticipates continued intense competition and believes it must continue to improve its products and services to remain competitive in market for modulars.

Classroom Rentals and Sales to Public Schools (K-12)

The rental and sales of modulars to public school districts for use as portable classrooms, restroom buildings and administrative offices for kindergarten through grade twelve (K-12) are a significant portion of the Company's revenues. The following table shows the approximate percentages of the Company's modular rental and sales revenues, and of its consolidated rental and sales revenues for the past five years, that rentals and sales to these schools constitute:

| Rentals and Sales to Public Schools (K-12) as a Percentage of Total Rental and Sales Revenues | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|--|
| Percentage of: | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | |
| Modular Rental Revenues (<i>Mobile Modular</i>) | 50% | 50% | 53% | 52% | 49% | |
| Modular Sales Revenues (<i>Mobile Modular & Enviroplex</i>) | 59% | 65% | 67% | 72% | 50% | |
| Modular Rental and Sales Revenues (<i>Mobile Modular & Enviroplex</i>) | 53% | 55% | 59% | 59% | 50% | |
| Consolidated Rental and Sales Revenues ¹ | 30% | 33% | 34% | 36% | 41% | |

¹ Consolidated Rental and Sales Revenue percentage is calculated by dividing Modular rental and sales revenues to public schools (K-12) by the Company's consolidated rental and sales revenues. The decreased percentage of public school (K-12) revenues as a percentage of the Company's consolidated rental and sale revenues for 2004 was primarily due to the added revenues from electronic test equipment operations of TRS acquired in June 2004.

School Facility Funding

Funding for public school facilities is derived from a variety of sources including the passage of both statewide and local facility bond measures, operating budgets, developer fees, various taxes including parcel and sales taxes levied to support school operating budgets, and lottery funds. Looking forward, the Company believes that any interruption in the passage of facility bonds, contraction or elimination of class size reduction programs, a lack of fiscal funding, or a significant reduction of funding from other sources to public schools may have a material adverse effect on both rental and sales revenues of the Company.

Legislation

In California (where most of the Company's educational rentals have occurred), school districts are permitted to purchase only portable classrooms built to the requirements of the California Division of State Architect (DSA). However, school districts may rent classrooms that meet either the Department of Housing (DOH) or DSA requirements. In 1988, California adopted a law which limited the term for which school districts may rent portable classrooms built to DOH standards for up to three years (under a waiver process), and also required the school board to indemnify the State against any claims arising out of the use of such classrooms. Prior to 1988, the majority of the classrooms in the Company's rental fleet were built to the DOH requirements, and since 1988 almost all new classrooms have been built to the DSA requirements. During the 1990's additional legislation was passed extending the use of these DOH classroom buildings under the waiver process through September 30, 2000. In 2000, new California legislation was passed allowing for DOH classroom buildings already in use for classroom purposes as of May 1, 2000 to be utilized until September 30, 2007, provided various upgrades were made to their foundation and ceiling systems. In February 2006, new legislation was passed extending the use of these classroom buildings from September 30, 2007 to September 30, 2015. Currently, regulations and policies are in place that allow for the ongoing use of DOH classrooms from the Company's inventory to meet shorter term space needs of school districts for periods up to 24 months, provided they receive a Temporary Certification or Temporary Exemption from the DSA. As a consequence, the tendency is for school districts to rent the DOH classrooms for shorter periods and to rent the DSA classrooms for longer periods. There can be no assurance that these regulations and policies that allow for the continuing rental of DOH classrooms for new public school projects will remain in place. At December 31, 2007, the net book value of DOH classrooms represented less than 1.5% of the net book value of the Company's modular rental equipment and less than 1.0% of the total assets of the Company, and the utilization of these DOH classrooms was 72.6%.

In 2002, Florida passed a state constitutional amendment setting limits for the maximum allowable number of students in a class for pre-kindergarten through grade twelve. In 2007, school districts were required to meet class size limits based upon the average number of students per class at the school level. By 2009, school districts will be required to meet the class size requirements at the individual classroom level. The class size reduction program is scheduled for implementation through 2010.

ELECTRONIC TEST EQUIPMENT

Description

TRS-RenTelco's general-purpose test equipment rental inventory includes oscilloscopes, amplifiers, analyzers (spectrum, network and logic), signal source and power source test equipment. The communications test equipment rental inventory includes network and transmission test equipment for various fiber, copper and wireless networks. Agilent Technologies and Tektronix manufacture the majority of the general-purpose inventory and the communications test equipment inventory includes equipment from over 50 different manufacturers. TRS-RenTelco also rents electronic test equipment from other rental companies and re-rents the equipment to customers.

Competitive Strengths

Market Leadership The Company believes that TRS-RenTelco is one of the largest electronic test equipment rental and leasing companies offering the broadest and deepest selection of general purpose and communications test equipment for rent in North America.

Expertise The Company believes that its knowledge of products, technology and applications expertise provides it with a competitive advantage over others in the industry. Customer requirements are supported by application engineers and technicians that are knowledgeable about the equipment's uses to ensure the right equipment is selected to meet the customer's needs. This knowledge can be attributed to the vast experience of TRS-RenTelco's management, sales and operational teams.

Operating Structure TRS-RenTelco is supported by a centralized distribution and inventory center on the grounds of the Dallas-Fort Worth Airport in Texas. The Company believes that the centralization of servicing all customers in North America and internationally by TRS-RenTelco's experienced logistics teams provides a competitive advantage by minimizing transaction costs and enabling TRS-RenTelco to ensure customer requirements are met.

Asset Management TRS-RenTelco's rental equipment inventory is serviced by an ISO 9001-2000 registered and compliant calibration laboratory that repairs and calibrates equipment ensuring that off rent equipment is ready to ship immediately to meet customers' needs. TRS-RenTelco's team of technicians, product managers and sales personnel are continuously monitoring and analyzing the utilization of existing products, new technologies, general economic conditions and estimates of customer demand to ensure the right equipment is purchased and sold, at the right point in the equipment's technology life cycle. The Company believes this enables it to maximize utilization of equipment and the cash flow generated by the rental and sales revenue of each model of equipment. TRS-RenTelco strives to maintain strong relationships with equipment manufacturers, which enables it to leverage those relationships to gain rental opportunities.

Customer Service The Company believes that its focus on providing excellent service to its customers provides a competitive advantage. TRS-RenTelco strives to provide exemplary service to fulfill its commitments to its customers. TRS-RenTelco prides itself in providing solutions to meet customers' needs by having equipment available, and responding quickly and thoroughly to their requests. TRS-RenTelco's sophisticated in-house laboratory ensures the equipment is fully functional and meets its customers' delivery requirements. Service needs of TRS-RenTelco's customers are supported 24 hours a day, 7 days a week by its customer care specialists. TRS-RenTelco's goal is to provide service beyond its customers' expectations, which, the Company believes, results in customer loyalty and repeat business. In January 2008, TRS-RenTelco launched an online ordering for rental test equipment. The Company believes web-based sales offerings will become an increasingly important competitive advantage. TRS-RenTelco intends to provide online support, product application and order taking on a 24/5 time frame.

Market

The business of renting electronic test equipment is a market which today has equipment on rent or available for rent in the United States and Canada with an aggregate original cost in excess of a half billion dollars. There is a broad customer base for the rental of such instruments, including aerospace, communications, defense, electrical contractor electronics, industrial, installer contractor, network systems and research companies.

TRS-RenTelco markets its electronic test equipment throughout the United States, Canada, and, to a limited extent, other countries. TRS-RenTelco attracts customers through its outside sales force, website at www.TRS-RenTelco.com, an extensive telemarketing program, trade show participation and direct mail campaigns. A key part of the sales process is TRS-RenTelco's knowledgeable inside sales engineering team that effectively matches test equipment solutions to meet specific customer's requirements.

The Company believes that customers rent electronic test equipment for many reasons. Customers frequently need equipment for short-term projects, to evaluate new products, and for backup to avoid costly downtime. Delivery times for the purchase of such equipment can be lengthy; thus, renting allows the customer to obtain the equipment expeditiously. The Company also believes that the relative certainty of rental costs can facilitate cost control and be useful in the bidding of and passthrough of contract costs. Finally, renting rather than purchasing may better satisfy the customer's budgetary constraints.

Rentals

TRS-RenTelco rents electronic test equipment typically for rental periods of from one to six months, although in some instances, there can be rental terms up to a year or greater. Monthly rental rates range from approximately 3% to 10% of the current manufacturers' list price. TRS-RenTelco depreciates its equipment over 2 to 8 years with no residual value.

At December 31, 2007, TRS-RenTelco had an electronic test equipment rental inventory including accessories with an aggregate cost of \$232.3 million. Utilization is calculated each month by dividing the cost of the rental equipment on rent by the total cost of rental equipment, excluding accessory equipment. Utilization was 69.3% as of December 31, 2007 and averaged 68.3% during the year.

Sales

TRS-RenTelco generally sells used equipment to maintain an inventory of equipment meeting more current technological standards, and to support maintaining target utilization levels at a model number level. TRS-RenTelco attempts to maintain an

inventory where the majority of equipment is less than five years old. In 2007, approximately 17% of the electronic test equipment revenues were derived from sales. The largest electronic test equipment sale during 2007 represented approximately 1% of electronic test equipment sales, 0.4% of the Company's consolidated sales and 0.1% of consolidated revenues.

Seasonality

The Company does not believe the electronic test equipment rental business to be highly seasonal, except for the fourth quarter month of December and the first quarter months of January and February. These months may have lower rental activity due to holiday closures, particularly by larger companies, inclement weather and its impact on various field related communications equipment rentals, and companies operational recovery from holiday closures which may impact the start-up of new projects coming online in the first quarter. These factors may impact the quarterly results of each year's first and fourth quarter.

Competition

The electronic test equipment rental business is characterized by intense competition from several competitors, including Electro Rent Corporation, Telogy and Continental Resources, some of which may have access to greater financial and other resources than we do. TRS-RenTelco competes with these and other test equipment rental companies on the basis of product availability, price, service and reliability. Although no single competitor holds a dominant market share, we face intensifying competition from these established entities and new entrants in the market. Some of our competitors may offer similar equipment for lease, rental or sales at lower prices and may offer more extensive servicing, or financing options.

Operating Segments

For segment information regarding the Company's three operating segments: Mobile Modular, TRS-RenTelco and Enviroplex, see Note 10. Segment Reporting to the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

PRODUCT HIGHLIGHTS

The following table shows the revenue components, percentage of rental and total revenues, rental equipment (at cost), rental equipment (net book value), number of relocatable modular units, year-end and average utilization, average rental equipment (at cost), annual yield on average rental equipment (at cost) and gross margin on rental revenues and sales by product line for the past five years.

Product Highlights

(dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| Relocatable Modular Buildings (operating under Mobile Modular and Enviroplex) | | | | | |
| Revenues | | | | | |
| Rental | \$ 100,541 | \$ 91,124 | \$ 81,180 | \$ 71,460 | \$ 63,948 |
| Rental Related Services | 32,982 | 29,913 | 25,053 | 22,142 | 16,203 |
| Total Modular Rental Operations | 133,523 | 121,037 | 106,233 | 93,602 | 80,151 |
| Sales Mobile Modular | 29,349 | 34,209 | 49,107 | 27,617 | 18,478 |
| Sales Enviroplex | 10,649 | 12,393 | 10,562 | 9,254 | 11,007 |
| Total Modular Sales | 39,998 | 46,602 | 59,669 | 36,871 | 29,485 |
| Other | 654 | 729 | 625 | 444 | 495 |
| Total Modular Revenues | \$ 174,175 | \$ 168,368 | \$ 166,527 | \$ 130,917 | \$ 110,131 |
| Percentage of Rental Revenues | 54.3% | 53.9% | 53.3% | 59.4% | 83.4% |
| Percentage of Total Revenues | 62.1% | 63.0% | 61.2% | 64.6% | 84.1% |
| Rental Equipment, at cost (year-end) | \$ 475,077 | \$ 451,828 | \$ 408,227 | \$ 339,537 | \$ 304,905 |
| Rental Equipment, net book value (year-end) | \$ 358,017 | \$ 343,590 | \$ 307,822 | \$ 245,924 | \$ 215,589 |
| Number of Units (year-end) | 27,151 | 26,467 | 24,928 | 21,566 | 19,713 |
| Utilization (year-end) ¹ | 82.8% | 81.4% | 83.5% | 86.1% | 84.6% |
| Average Utilization ¹ | 82.3% | 82.9% | 84.9% | 85.6% | 84.2% |
| Average Rental Equipment, at cost ² | \$ 427,859 | \$ 385,630 | \$ 341,103 | \$ 303,294 | \$ 283,297 |
| Annual Yield on Average Rental Equipment, at cost | 23.5% | 23.6% | 23.8% | 23.6% | 22.6% |
| Gross Margin on Rental Revenues | 64.5% | 62.2% | 63.8% | 63.0% | 63.0% |
| Gross Margin on Sales | 27.5% | 27.9% | 26.4% | 23.3% | 28.2% |
| Electronic Test Equipment (operating under TRS-RenTelco) | | | | | |
| Revenues | | | | | |
| Rental | \$ 84,776 | \$ 77,816 | \$ 71,136 | \$ 48,898 | \$ 12,730 |
| Rental Related Services | 1,731 | 1,686 | 1,407 | 1,205 | 543 |
| Total Electronics Rental Operations | 86,507 | 79,502 | 72,543 | 50,103 | 13,273 |
| Sales | 17,831 | 17,483 | 31,154 | 20,291 | 7,260 |
| Other | 1,896 | 1,713 | 1,956 | 1,209 | 307 |
| Total Electronics Revenues³ | \$ 106,234 | \$ 98,698 | \$ 105,653 | \$ 71,603 | \$ 20,840 |
| Percentage of Rental Revenues | 45.7% | 46.1% | 46.7% | 40.6% | 16.6% |
| Percentage of Total Revenues | 37.9% | 37.0% | 38.8% | 35.4% | 15.9% |
| Rental Equipment, at cost (year-end) | \$ 232,349 | \$ 186,673 | \$ 154,708 | \$ 149,437 | \$ 34,448 |
| Rental Equipment, net book value (year-end) | \$ 127,997 | \$ 107,752 | \$ 98,611 | \$ 111,864 | \$ 16,457 |
| Utilization (year-end) ¹ | 69.3% | 66.3% | 68.9% | 61.6% | 45.2% |
| Average Utilization ¹ | 68.3% | 69.6% | 66.2% | 61.7% | 45.3% |
| Average Rental Equipment, at cost ⁴ | \$ 209,546 | \$ 170,705 | \$ 151,087 | \$ 93,387 | \$ 36,798 |

Edgar Filing: MCGRATH RENTCORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Annual Yield on Average Rental Equipment, at cost | 40.5% | 45.6% | 47.1% | 52.4% | 34.6% |
| Gross Margin on Rental Revenues | 41.8% | 42.8% | 38.1% | 38.7% | 39.5% |
| Gross Margin on Sales | 35.0% | 37.8% | 24.7% | 26.8% | 34.7% |
| Total Revenues | \$280,409 | \$267,066 | \$272,180 | \$202,520 | \$130,971 |

- 1 Utilization is calculated each month by dividing the cost of rental equipment on rent by the total cost of rental equipment, excluding new equipment inventory and accessory equipment. Average Utilization is calculated using the average cost of equipment for the year.
- 2 Average Rental Equipment, at cost for modulars excludes new equipment inventory and accessory equipment.
- 3 In 2004, certain electronics revenue amounts were reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.
- 4 Average Rental Equipment, at cost, for electronics excludes accessory equipment.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

You should carefully consider the following discussion of various risks and uncertainties. We believe these risk factors are the most relevant to our business and could cause our results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements made by us. The following risk factors are not the only risk factors facing our Company. Additional risks that we do not consider material, or of which we are not currently aware, may also have an adverse impact on us. Our business, financial condition, and results of operations could be seriously harmed if any of these risks or uncertainties actually occurs or materializes. In that event, the market price for our common stock could decline, and you may lose all or part of your investment.

Our stock price is subject to fluctuations and the value of your investment may decline.

The market price of our common stock fluctuates on the NASDAQ Global Select Market and is likely to be affected by a number of factors including but not limited to:

our operating performance and the performance of our competitors, and in particular any variations in our operating results or dividend rate from our stated guidance or from investors' expectations;

changes in general conditions in the economy, the industries in which we operate or the financial markets;

investors' reaction to our press releases, public announcements, or filings with the SEC;

the stock price performance of competitors or other comparable companies;

changes in research analysts' coverage, recommendations or earnings estimates for us or for the stocks of other companies in our industry;

sales of common stock by our directors, executive officers and our other large shareholders, particularly in light of the limited trading volume of our stock;

any merger and acquisition activity that involves us or our competitors; and

other announcements or developments affecting us, our industry, customers, suppliers, or competitors.

In addition, in recent years the stock market has experienced significant price and volume fluctuations. These fluctuations are often unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. These broad market fluctuations may cause declines in the market price of our common stock and are based upon factors that have little or nothing to do with our Company or its performance, and these fluctuations could materially reduce our stock price.

Our future operating results may fluctuate, fail to match past performance or fail to meet expectations.

Our operating results may fluctuate in the future, may fail to match our past performance or fail to meet the expectations of analysts and investors. Our results and related ratios, such as gross margin, operating income percentage and effective tax rate may fluctuate as a result of a number of factors, some of which are beyond our control including but not limited to:

general economic conditions in the states and countries where we rent and sell our products;

legislative and educational policies where we rent and sell our products;

seasonality of our rental businesses and our end-markets;

success of our strategic growth initiatives;

the timing and type of equipment purchases, rentals and sales;

the nature and duration of the equipment needs of our customers;

the timing of new product introductions by us, our suppliers and our competitors;

the volume, timing and mix of maintenance and repair work on our rental equipment;

our equipment mix, availability, utilization, and pricing;

the mix, by state and country, of our revenues, personnel and assets;

rental equipment impairment from excess, obsolete, or damaged equipment;

movements in interest rates or tax rates;

changes in, and application of, accounting rules;

changes in the regulations applicable to us; and

litigation matters.

As a result of these factors, our historical financial results are not necessarily indicative of our future results.

Our ability to retain our executive management and to recruit, retain and motivate key employees is critical to the success of our business.

If we cannot successfully recruit and retain qualified personnel, our operating results and stock price may suffer. We believe that our success is directly linked to the competent people in our organization, including our executive officers, senior managers and other key personnel, and in particular, Dennis Kakures our Chief Executive Officer. Personnel turnover can be costly and could materially and adversely impact our operating results and can potentially jeopardize the success of our current strategic initiatives. We need to attract and retain highly qualified personnel to replace personnel when turnover occurs, as well as add to our staff levels as growth occurs. Our business and stock price likely will suffer if we are unable to fill, or experience delays in filling open positions, or fail to retain key personnel when turnover occurs.

Failure by third parties to manufacture our products to our specifications or on a timely basis may harm our reputation and financial condition.

We depend on third parties to manufacture our products even though we are able to purchase products from a variety of third-party suppliers. In the future, we may be limited as to the number of third-party suppliers for some of our products. Currently, we do not have any long-term purchase contracts with any third-party supplier. In the future, we may not be able to negotiate arrangements with these third parties on acceptable terms, if at all. If we cannot negotiate arrangements with these third parties to produce our products or if the third parties fail to produce our products to our specifications or in a timely manner, our reputation and financial condition could be harmed.

Disruptions in our information technology systems could limit our ability to effectively monitor and control our operations and adversely affect our operations.

Our information technology systems facilitate our ability to monitor and control our operations and adjust to changing market conditions. Any disruption in any of our information technology systems or the failure of these systems to operate as expected could, depending on the magnitude of the problem, adversely affect our operating results by limiting our capacity to effectively monitor and control our operations and adjust to changing market conditions in a timely manner.

During June 2005, we entered into an agreement with Rental Result, a rental software application provider, to support the transition of our modular business, certain aspects of our electronic test equipment business and our accounting systems to their platform. This is a multi-year project and we expect the first phase of the project to be completed in mid 2008. The new ERP and upgrades to our IT infrastructure will result in higher selling and administrative costs in 2007 and beyond. These information system upgrades are important to serve and support our strategic growth.

The delay or failure to implement these new systems effectively could disrupt our business, distract management's focus and attention from our business operations and growth initiatives, and increase our implementation and operating costs, any of which could negatively impact our operations and operating results.

We may engage in future acquisitions that could negatively impact our results of operations, financial condition and business.

In 2004, we acquired TRS, an electronic test equipment rental business. We anticipate that we will continue to consider acquisitions in the future that meet our strategic growth plans. We are unable to predict whether or when any prospective acquisition will be completed. Acquisitions involve numerous risks, including the following:

difficulties in integrating the operations, technologies, products and personnel of the acquired companies;

diversion of management's attention from normal daily operations of the business;

difficulties in entering markets in which we have no or limited direct prior experience and where competitors in such markets have stronger market positions;

timely completion of necessary financing and required amendments, if any, to existing agreements;

an inability to implement uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies;

undiscovered and unknown problems, defects or other issues related to any acquisition that become known to us only after the acquisition;

negative reactions from our customers to an acquisition;

disruptions among employees which may erode employee morale;

potential loss of key employees, including costly litigation resulting from the termination of those employees.

In connection with acquisitions we may:

assume liabilities or acquire damaged assets, some of which may be unknown at the time of such acquisitions;

record goodwill and non-amortizable intangible assets that will be subject to future impairment testing and potential periodic impairment charges;

incur amortization expenses related to certain intangible assets; or

become subject to litigation.

Acquisitions are inherently risky, and no assurance can be given that our future acquisitions will be successful or will not adversely affect our business, operating results, or financial condition. Failure to manage and successfully integrate acquisitions we make could harm our business and operating results in a material way. In addition, if we consummate one or more significant future acquisitions in which the consideration consists of stock or other securities, our existing shareholders' ownership could be diluted significantly. If we were to proceed with one or more

Edgar Filing: MCGRATH RENTCORP - Form 10-K

significant future acquisitions in which the consideration included cash, we could be required to use a substantial portion of our available credit line, or we may be required to seek additional debt or equity financing.

If we do not effectively manage our credit risk, collect on our accounts receivable, or recover our rental equipment from our customers sites, it could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.

We generally sell to customers on 30-day terms, individually perform credit evaluation procedures on our customers on each transaction and require security deposits or other forms of security from our customers when a significant credit risk is identified. Historically, accounts receivable write-offs and related equipment not returned by customers has not been significant and, in each of the last five years has been less than 1% of total revenues. Failure to manage our credit risk and receive timely payments on our customer accounts receivable may result in the write-off of customer receivables and loss of equipment, particularly electronic test equipment. If we are not able to manage credit risk issues, or if a large number of customers should have financial difficulties at the same time, our credit and equipment losses would increase above historical levels. If this should occur, our results of operations may be materially and adversely affected.

Effective management of our rental assets is vital to our business.

Our modular and electronics rental products have long useful lives and managing those assets is a critical element to each of our rental businesses. Modular asset management requires designing and building the product for a long life that anticipates the needs of our customers, including anticipating changes in legislation, regulations, building codes and local permitting in the various markets in which the Company operates. Electronic test equipment asset management requires understanding, selecting and investing in equipment technologies that support market demand, including anticipating technological advances and changes in manufacturers' selling prices. For both our modular and electronic test equipment assets, we must successfully maintain and repair this equipment cost-effectively to maximize the useful life of the products and the level of proceeds from the sale of such products.

The nature of our businesses exposes us to the risk of litigation and liability under environmental, health and safety and products liability laws.

Certain aspects of our businesses involve risks of liability. In general, litigation in our industry, including class actions that seek substantial damages, arises with increasing frequency. Claims may be asserted under environmental, labor, health and safety or product liability laws. Litigation is invariably expensive, regardless of the merit of the plaintiffs' claims. We may be named as a defendant in the future, and there can be no assurance, irrespective of the merit of such future actions, that we will not be required to make substantial settlement payments in the future. Further, a significant portion of our business is conducted in California which is one of the most highly regulated and litigious states in the country. Therefore, our potential exposure to losses and expenses due to new laws, regulations or litigation may be greater than companies with a less significant California presence.

Conducting our routine businesses exposes us to risk of litigation from employees, vendors and other third parties.

We are subject to claims arising from disputes with employees, vendors and other third parties in the normal course of business; these risks may be difficult to assess or quantify and their existence and magnitude may remain unknown for substantial periods of time. If the plaintiffs in any suits against us were to successfully prosecute their claims, or if we were to settle such suits by making significant payments to the plaintiffs, our operating results and financial condition would be harmed. Even if the outcome of a claim proves favorable to us, litigation can be time consuming and costly and may divert management resources. In addition, our organizational documents require us to indemnify our senior executives to the maximum extent permitted by California law. If our senior executives were named in any lawsuit, our indemnification obligations could magnify the costs of these suits.

Our debt instruments contain covenants that restrict or prohibit our ability to enter into a variety of transactions and may limit our ability to finance future operations or capital needs.

The agreements governing our 5.08% senior notes due in 2011 and our unsecured revolving line of credit facility contain various covenants that may limit our discretion in operating our business. In particular, we are limited in our ability to merge, consolidate, reorganize or transfer substantially all of our assets, and create liens on our assets to secure debt. In addition, we are required to meet certain financial covenants. These restrictions could limit our ability to obtain future financing, make strategic acquisitions or needed capital expenditures, withstand economic downturns in our business or the economy in general, conduct operations or otherwise take advantage of business opportunities that may arise.

A failure to comply with the restrictions contained in the agreements could lead to an event of default, which could result in an acceleration of our indebtedness. In the event of an acceleration, we may not have or be able to obtain sufficient funds to refinance our indebtedness or make the required accelerated payments. If we default on our indebtedness, our business financial condition and results of operation could be materially and adversely affected.

The majority of our indebtedness is subject to variable interest rates, which makes us vulnerable to increases in interest rates.

Our indebtedness exposes us to interest rate increases because the majority of our indebtedness is subject to variable rates. At present, we do not have any derivative financial instruments such as interest rate swaps or hedges to mitigate interest rate variability. The interest rates under our credit facilities will be reset at varying periods. These interest rate adjustments could expose our operating results and cash flows to periodic fluctuations. Our annual debt service obligations will increase by approximately \$1.5 million per

year for each 1% increase in the average interest rate we pay, based on the \$149.7 million balance of variable rate debt outstanding at December 31, 2007. If interest rates rise in the future, and particularly, if they rise significantly, our income will be negatively affected.

Our effective tax rate may change and become less predictable as our business expands.

We continue to consider expansion opportunities domestically and internationally for our rental businesses, such as our organic expansion of our modular business in Florida, North Carolina and Georgia and expansion through acquisition of TRS. Since the Company's effective tax rate depends on business levels, personnel and assets located in various jurisdictions, further expansion into new markets or acquisitions may change the effective tax rate in the future and may make it and consequently our earnings less predictable going forward. In addition, the enactment of tax law changes by federal and state taxing authorities may impact the Company's current period tax provision and its deferred tax liabilities.

Changes in financial accounting standards may cause lower than expected operating results and affect our reported results of operations.

Changes in accounting standards and their application may have a significant effect on our reported results on a going forward basis and may also affect the recording and disclosure of previously reported transactions. New accounting pronouncements and varying interpretations of accounting pronouncements have occurred and may occur in the future. Changes to existing rules or the questioning of current practices may adversely affect our reported financial results or the way we conduct our business.

Failure to comply with internal control attestation requirements could lead to loss of public confidence in our financial statements and negatively impact our stock price.

As a public reporting company, we are required to comply with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, including Section 404, and the related rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including expanded disclosures and accelerated reporting requirements. Compliance with Section 404 and other requirements has and will continue to increase our costs and require additional management resources. We may need to continue to implement additional finance and accounting systems, procedures and controls to satisfy new reporting requirements. While we completed a favorable assessment as to the adequacy of our internal control over financial reporting for our fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, there is no assurance that future assessments of the adequacy of our internal control over financial reporting will be favorable. If we are unable to obtain future unqualified reports as to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, investors could lose confidence in the reliability of our internal controls over financial reporting, which could adversely affect our stock price.

If we suffer loss to our facilities, equipment or distribution system due to catastrophe, our operations could be seriously harmed.

Our facilities, rental equipment and distribution systems may be subject to catastrophic loss due to fire, flood, hurricane, earthquake, terrorism or other natural or man-made disasters. In particular, we have our headquarters, three operating facilities, and rental equipment in California, which are located in areas with above average seismic activity and could be subject to a catastrophic loss caused by an earthquake. Our rental equipment and facilities in Florida are located in areas subject to hurricanes and other tropical storms. In addition to customers' insurance on rented equipment, we carry property insurance on our rental equipment in inventory and operating facilities as well as business interruption insurance. We believe our insurance policies are adequate with the appropriate limits and deductibles to mitigate the potential loss exposure of our business. We do not have financial reserves for policy deductibles and we do have exclusions under our insurance policies that are customary for our industry, including earthquakes, flood and terrorism. If any of our facilities or a significant amount of our rental equipment were to experience a catastrophic loss, it could disrupt our operations, delay orders, shipments and revenue recognition and result in expenses to repair or replace the damaged rental equipment and facility not covered by insurance.

SPECIFIC RISKS RELATED TO OUR RELOCATABLE MODULAR BUILDINGS BUSINESS SEGMENT:

A significant reduction of funding to public schools could cause the demand for our modular classroom units to decline, which could result in a reduction in our revenues and profitability.

Rentals and sales of modulars to public school districts for use as classrooms, restroom buildings, and administrative offices for kindergarten through grade twelve represent a significant portion of Mobile Modular's rental and sales revenues. Funding for public school facilities is derived from a variety of sources including the passage of both statewide and local facility bond measures, developer fees and various taxes levied to support school operating budgets. Many of these funding sources are subject to financial and political considerations, which vary from district to district and are not tied to demand. Historically, we have benefited from the passage of facility bond measures and believe these are essential to our business. In California, our largest education market, state and local budgetary constraints have also affected the amount of funding received by public school districts.

To the extent public school districts' funding is reduced for the rental and purchase of modulars, our business could be harmed and our results of operations negatively impacted. We believe that interruptions or delays in the passage of facility bond measures, changes in legislative or educational policies at either the state or local level including the contraction or elimination of class size reduction programs, a lack or insufficient amount of fiscal funding, a significant reduction of funding to public schools, or changes negatively impacting enrollment may reduce the rental and sale demand for our educational products and may have a material adverse effect on both rental and sales revenue of the Company.

Public policies that create demand for our products and services may change.

California and Florida have passed legislation to limit the number of students that may be grouped in a single classroom for certain grade levels. School districts with class sizes in excess of these limits have been and continue to be a significant source of our demand for modular classrooms. Further, in California, efforts to address aging infrastructure and deferred maintenance have resulted in a significant increase in modernization and reconstruction projects by public school districts including seismic retrofitting, asbestos abatement and various building repairs and upgrades. If educational priorities and policies shift away from class-size reduction or modernization and reconstruction projects, demand for our products and services may decline, not grow as quickly as or reach the levels that we anticipate. Significant equipment returns may result in lower utilization until equipment can be redeployed or sold, which may cause rental rates to decline and negatively affect our revenues and operating income.

Failure to comply with applicable regulations could harm our business and financial condition, resulting in lower operating results and cash flows.

Similar to conventionally constructed buildings, the modular building industry, including the manufacturers and lessors of portable classrooms, are subject to regulations by multiple governmental agencies at the federal, state and local level relating to environmental, zoning, health, safety and transportation matters, among other matters. Failure to comply with these laws or regulations could impact our business or harm our reputation and result in higher capital or operating expenditures or the imposition of penalties or restrictions on our operations.

As with conventional construction, typically new codes and regulations are not retroactively applied. Nonetheless, new governmental regulations in these or other areas may increase our acquisition cost of new rental equipment, limit the use of or make obsolete some of our existing equipment, or increase our general and administrative costs.

Building codes are generally reviewed every three years. All aspects of a given code are subject to change including but not limited to such items as structural specifications for earthquake safety, energy efficiency and environmental standards, fire and life safety, transportation, lighting and noise limits. On occasion, state agencies have undertaken studies of indoor air quality and noise levels with a focus on permanent and modular classrooms. These results could impact our existing modular equipment, and affect the future construction of our modular product.

Compliance with building codes and regulations entail a certain amount of risk as municipalities do not necessarily interpret these building codes and regulations in a consistent manner, particularly where applicable regulations may be unclear and subject to interpretation. These regulations often provide broad discretion to governmental authorities that oversee these matters, which can

result in unanticipated delays or increases in the cost of compliance in particular markets. The construction and modular industries have developed many best practices which are constantly evolving. Some of our peers and competitors may adopt practices that are more or less stringent than the Company's. When, and if, regulatory standards are clarified, the effect of the clarification may be to impose rules on our business and practices retroactively, at which time, we may not be in compliance with such regulations and we may be required to incur costly remediation. If we are unable to pass these increased costs on to our customers, our profitability, operating cash flows and financial condition could be negatively impacted.

Our planned expansions of our modular operations into new markets will affect our operating results.

We have established modular operations in California, Texas and Florida and launched operations in North Carolina and Georgia in late 2007. We have identified several U.S. markets that we believe will be attractive long-term opportunities for our educational and commercial modular business and continue to consider opportunities for growth of our modular business. There are risks inherent in the undertaking of such expansion, including the risk of revenue from the business in these markets not meeting our expectations, higher than expected costs in entering these new markets, risk associated with compliance with applicable state laws and regulations, response by competitors and unanticipated consequences of expansion. In addition, expansion in new markets may be affected by local economic and market conditions. Expansion of our operations into these new markets will require a significant amount of attention from our management, a commitment of financial resources and will require us to add qualified management in these markets.

We are subject to laws and regulations governing government contracts. These laws and regulations make these government contracts more favorable to government entities than other third parties and any changes in these laws and regulations, or the failure to comply with these laws and regulations could harm our business.

We have agreements relating to the sale of our products to government entities and, as a result, we are subject to various statutes and regulations that apply to companies doing business with the government. The laws governing government contracts can differ from the laws governing private contracts. For example, many government contracts contain pricing terms and conditions that are not applicable to private contracts such as clauses that allow government entities not to perform on contractual obligations in the case of a lack of fiscal funding. Also, in the educational markets we serve, we are able to utilize piggyback contracts in marketing our products and services and ultimately to book business. The term piggyback contract refers to contracts for portable classrooms or other products entered into by public school districts following a formal bid process that allows for the use of the same contract terms and conditions with the successful vendor by other public school districts. As a result, piggyback contracts allow us to more readily book orders from our government customers, primarily public school districts, and to reduce the administrative expense associated with booking these orders. The governmental statutes and regulations that allow for use of piggyback contracts are subject to change or elimination in their entirety. A change in the manner of use or the elimination of piggyback contracts would likely negatively impact our ability to book new business from these government customers and could cause our administrative expenses related to processing these orders to increase significantly. In addition, any failure to comply with these laws and regulations might result in administrative penalties or even in the suspension of these contracts and as a result, the loss of the related revenues which would harm our business and results from operations.

Seasonality of our educational business may have adverse consequences for our business.

A significant portion of the modular sale and rental revenues are derived from the educational market. Typically, during each calendar year, our highest numbers of classrooms are shipped for rental and sale orders during the second and third quarters for delivery and installation prior to the start of the upcoming school year. The majority of classrooms shipped in the second and third quarters have rental start dates during the third quarter, thereby making the fourth quarter the first full quarter of rental revenues recognized for these transactions. These factors may impact the quarterly revenues and earnings of each year's second, third and fourth quarters. The differences in quarterly revenues and earnings may also be subject to fluctuations in state funding. In the past, impaired levels of funding available to the school districts from the states in which we do business have caused school districts to experience budget shortfalls and to reduce their demand for our products despite growing student populations, class size reduction initiatives and modernization and reconstruction project needs. Any reductions in funding available to school districts from the states in which we do business could result in a lower volume of orders for our products which could reduce our revenues and operating income and consequently harm our financial condition.

We face strong competition in our modular building markets.

The modular building leasing industry is highly competitive in our states of operation and we expect it to remain so. The competitive market in which we operate may prevent us from raising rental fees or sales prices to pass any increased costs on to our customers. We compete on the basis of a number of factors, including equipment availability, quality, price, service, reliability, appearance, functionality and delivery times. We believe we may experience pricing pressures in our areas of operation in the future as some of our competitors seek to obtain market share by reducing prices.

Some of our larger national competitors in the modular building leasing industry, notably Williams Scotsman International, Inc. and Modspace, formed by the combination of the former GE Capital Modular Space and Resun Space Solutions, have a greater range of products and services, greater financial and marketing resources, larger customer bases, and greater name recognition than we have. These larger competitors may be better able to respond to changes in the relocatable modular building market, to finance acquisitions, to fund internal growth and to compete for market share, any of which could harm our business.

We may not be able to quickly redeploy modular equipment returning from leases.

As of December 31, 2007, 61% of our modular portfolio had equipment on rent for periods exceeding the original committed term. Generally, when a customer continues to rent the modular equipment beyond the contractual term, the equipment rents on a month-to-month basis. If a significant number of our rented modular units were returned during a short period of time, particularly those units that are rented on a month-to-month basis, a large supply of units would need to be remarketed. Our failure to effectively remarket a large influx of units returning from leases could negatively affect our financial performance and our ability to continue expanding our rental fleet.

Significant increases in raw material and labor costs could increase our acquisition cost of new modular rental equipment and repair and maintenance costs of our fleet, which would increase our operating costs and harm our profitability.

We incur labor costs and purchase raw materials, including lumber, siding and roofing and other products to perform periodic repairs, modifications and refurbishments to maintain physical conditions of our modular equipment. The volume, timing and mix of maintenance and repair work on our rental equipment may vary quarter-to-quarter and year-to-year. Generally, increases in labor and raw material costs will also increase the acquisition cost of new modular equipment and increase the repair and maintenance costs of our fleet. We also maintain a fleet of service trucks and use subcontractor companies for delivery, set-up, return delivery and dismantle of modulars for our customers. We rely on our subcontractor service companies to meet customer demands for timely shipment and return, and the loss or inadequate number of subcontractor service companies may cause prices to increase, while negatively impacting our reputation and operating performance. During periods of rising prices for labor, raw materials or fuel, and in particular, when the prices increase rapidly or to levels significantly higher than normal, we may incur significant increases in our acquisition costs for new modular equipment and incur higher operating costs that we may not be able to recoup from our customers, which would reduce our profitability.

Failure by third parties to manufacture our products timely or properly may harm our reputation and financial condition.

We are dependent on third parties to manufacture our products even though we are able to purchase products from a variety of third-party suppliers. Mobile Modular purchases new modulars from various manufacturers who build to Mobile Modular's design specifications. With the exception of Enviroplex, none of the principal suppliers are affiliated with the Company. During 2007, Mobile Modular purchased 33% of its modular product from one manufacturer. The Company believes that the loss of its primary manufacturer of modulars could have an adverse effect on its operations since Mobile Modular could experience higher prices and longer delivery lead times for modular product until other manufacturers were able to increase their production capacity.

Failure to properly design, manufacture, repair and maintain the modular product may result in impairment charges and reduction of our operating results and cash flows.

We estimate the useful life of the modular product to be 18 years with a residual value of 50%. However, proper design, manufacture, repairs and maintenance of the modular product during our ownership is required for the product to reach the estimated useful life of 18 years with a residual value of 50%. If we do not appropriately manage the design, manufacture, repair and maintenance of our modular product, or otherwise, delay or defer such repair or maintenance, we may be required to incur impairment

charges for equipment that is beyond economic repair, incur significant capital expenditures to acquire new modular product to serve demand and accordingly experience reduction of our future operating results and cash flows.

Our warranty costs may increase.

Sales of new relocatable modular buildings not manufactured by us are typically covered by warranties provided by the manufacturer of the products sold. We provide ninety-day warranties on certain modular sales of used rental equipment and one-year warranties on equipment manufactured by our Enviroplex subsidiary. Historically, our warranty costs have not been significant, and we monitor the quality of our products closely. If a defect were to arise in the installation of our equipment at the customer's facilities or in the equipment acquired from our suppliers or by our Enviroplex subsidiary, we may experience increased warranty claims. Such claims could disrupt our sales operations, damage our reputation and require costly repairs or other remedies, negatively impacting revenues and operating income.

SPECIFIC RISKS RELATED TO OUR ELECTRONIC TEST EQUIPMENT BUSINESS SEGMENT:

Market risk and cyclical downturns in the industries using test equipment may result in periods of low demand for our product resulting in excess inventory, impairment charges and reduction of our operating results and cash flows.

TRS-RenTelco's revenues are derived from the rental and sale of general purpose and communications test equipment to a broad range of companies, from Fortune 500 to middle and smaller market companies, in the aerospace, defense, communications, manufacturing and semiconductor industries. Electronic test equipment rental and sales revenues are primarily affected by the business activity within these industries related to research and development, manufacturing, and communication infrastructure and maintenance. Historically, these industries have been cyclical and have experienced periodic downturns, which have a material adverse impact on the industry's demand for equipment, including the electronic test equipment rented by us. We experienced this in 2002, as a result of a significant and prolonged downturn in the telecommunications industry, and recorded non-cash impairment charges of \$24.1 million resulting from the depressed and low projected demand for the rental products coupled with high inventory levels, especially communications equipment.

In addition, the severity and length of any downturn in an industry may also affect overall access to capital, which could adversely affect our customers. During periods of reduced and declining demand for test equipment, we are exposed to additional receivable risk from non-payment and may need to rapidly align our cost structure with prevailing market conditions while at the same time motivating and retaining key employees. While the market demand for communications test equipment has improved from 2002 levels as the telecommunications industry has recovered, no assurance can be given regarding the length or extent of the recovery, and no assurance can be given that our rental utilization rates, operating results and cash flows will not be adversely impacted by the reversal of any current trends or any future downturns or slowdowns in the rate of capital investment in this industry.

Seasonality of our electronic test equipment business may impact quarterly results.

Generally, rental activity declines in the fourth quarter month of December and the first quarter months of January and February. These months may have lower rental activity due to holiday closures, particularly by larger companies, inclement weather and its impact on various field related communications equipment rentals, and companies' operational recovery from holiday closures which may impact the start-up of new projects coming online in the first quarter. These seasonal factors may impact quarterly results in each year's first and fourth quarter.

Our rental test equipment may become obsolete, which could result in an impairment charge.

Electronic test equipment is characterized by changing technology and evolving industry standards that may render our existing equipment obsolete through new product introductions, or enhancements, before the end of its anticipated useful life, causing us to incur impairment charges. We must anticipate and keep pace with the introduction of new hardware, software and networking technologies and acquire equipment that will be marketable to our current and prospective customers.

Additionally, some manufacturers of our equipment may be acquired or cease to exist, resulting in a future lack of support for equipment purchased from those manufacturers. This could result in the remaining useful life to shorten, causing us to incur an impairment charge. We monitor our manufacturers' capacity to support their products, the introduction of new technologies, and

acquire equipment that will be marketable to our current and prospective customers. Failure to properly select, manage and respond to the technological needs of our customers and changes of our products through their technology life cycle may cause certain electronic test equipment to become obsolete, resulting in impairment charges and may negatively impact operating results and cash flows.

If we do not effectively compete in the rental equipment market, our operating results will be materially and adversely affected.

The electronic test equipment rental business is characterized by intense competition from several competitors, including Electro Rent Corporation, Telogy and Continental Resources, some of which may have access to greater financial and other resources than we do. Although no single competitor holds a dominant market share, we face intensifying competition from these established entities and new entrants in the market. We believe that we anticipate and keep pace with the introduction of new products and acquire equipment that will be marketable to our current and prospective customers. We compete on the basis of a number of factors, including product availability, price, service and reliability. Some of our competitors may offer similar equipment for lease, rental or sale at lower prices and may offer more extensive servicing, or financing options. Failure to adequately forecast the adoption of, and demand for, new or existing products may cause us not to meet our customers' equipment requirements and may materially and adversely affect our operating results.

If we are not able to obtain equipment at favorable rates, there could be a material adverse effect on our operating results.

The majority of our rental equipment portfolio is comprised of general-purpose test and measurement instruments purchased from leading manufacturers such as Agilent Technologies and Tektronix, a division of Danaher Corporation. We depend on purchasing equipment from these manufacturers and suppliers for use as our rental equipment. If, in the future, we are not able to purchase necessary equipment from one or more of these suppliers on favorable terms, we may not be able to meet our customers' demands in a timely manner or for a rental rate that generates a profit. If this should occur, we may not be able to secure necessary equipment from an alternative source on acceptable terms and our business may be materially and adversely affected.

If we are not able to anticipate and mitigate the risks associated with operating internationally, there could be a material adverse effect on our operating results.

Currently, total foreign country customers and operations account for less than 10% of the Company's revenues and long-lived assets. In recent years some of our customers have expanded their international operations faster than domestic operations, and this trend may continue. Over time, we anticipate the amount of international business may increase if our focus on international market opportunities continues. Operating in foreign countries subjects the Company to additional risks, any of which may adversely impact our future operating results, including:

international political, economic and legal conditions including tariffs and trade barriers;

our ability to comply with customs, import/export and other trade compliance regulations of the countries in which we do business, together with any unexpected changes in such regulations;

greater difficulty in our ability to recover rental equipment and obtain payment of the related trade receivables;

difficulties in attracting and retaining staff and business partners to operate internationally;

language and cultural barriers;

seasonal reductions in business activities in the countries where our international customers are located;

difficulty with the integration of foreign operations;

longer payment cycles;

currency fluctuations; and

potential adverse tax consequences.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

The Company currently conducts its operations from seven locations. Inventory centers, at which relocatable modular buildings are displayed, refurbished and stored are located in Livermore, California (San Francisco Bay Area), Mira Loma, California (Los Angeles Area) and Pasadena, Texas (Houston Area). The three inventory centers conduct rental and sales operations from multi-modular buildings, serving as working models of the Company's modular product. The Company also has a modular sales office in Orlando, Florida. Electronic test equipment rental and sales operations are conducted from a facility in Grapevine, Texas (Dallas Area) and a sales office in Dollard-des-Ormeaux, Quebec (Montreal, Canada Area). The Company's wholly owned subsidiary, Enviroplex, manufactures modular buildings used primarily as classrooms in California from its facility in Stockton, California (San Francisco Bay Area).

In October 2005, the Company completed the purchase of 122.5 acres of undeveloped land in Polk County, Florida, at a cost of \$8.1 million. In 2006, the Company began the process of developing a portion of the property for use as a sales office and inventory center to repair, refurbish and store modular rental units. The Company expects construction of the sales office and inventory center will be completed and occupied in mid 2008.

The following table sets forth for each property the total acres, square footage of office space, square footage of warehouse space and total square footage at December 31, 2007.

Facilities

| | <i>Total Acres</i> | <i>Square Footage</i> | | <i>Total</i> |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|
| | | <i>Office</i> | <i>Warehouse</i> | |
| <u>Corporate Offices</u> | | | | |
| Livermore, California ¹ | | 26,160 | | 26,160 |
| Plano, Texas ³ | 2.6 | 28,337 | 10,773 | 39,110 |
| <u>Relocatable Modular Buildings</u> | | | | |
| Livermore, California ^{1,2} | 137.2 | 7,680 | 53,440 | 61,120 |
| Mira Loma, California | 78.5 | 7,920 | 45,440 | 53,360 |
| Pasadena, Texas | 50.0 | 3,868 | 24,000 | 27,868 |
| Orlando, Florida ⁴ | | 2,640 | | 2,640 |
| Polk County, Florida ⁵ | 122.5 | | | |
| Lake County, Florida ⁹ | 15.0 | | | |
| <u>Electronic Test Equipment</u> | | | | |
| Grapevine, Texas ⁶ | | 45,000 | 71,895 | 116,895 |
| Dollard-des-Ormeaux, Quebec ⁷ | | 12,500 | | 12,500 |
| <u>Enviroplex</u> | | | | |
| Stockton, California ⁸ | 14.9 | 4,551 | 124,015 | 128,566 |
| | 420.7 | 138,656 | 329,563 | 468,219 |

- The modular building complex in Livermore, California is 33,840 square feet and includes the corporate offices and modulars branch operations.
- Of the 137.2 acres, 2.2 acres with an 8,000 square foot warehouse facility is leased to a third party through March 2008, 2.2 acres are leased to a third party through October 2010 and 33.3 acres are undeveloped.
- Of the 39,110 square feet, 19,181 square feet are leased to a third party through February 2011 and 19,929 square feet are leased to a third party through September 2012.
- This facility is leased through May 2008.

Edgar Filing: MCGRATH RENTCORP - Form 10-K

- 5 This land is currently under development and estimated to be completed by mid 2008.
- 6 This facility is leased through December 2008.
- 7 This facility is leased through December 2010.
- 8 Within Enviroplex, 6 acres of the 14.9 acres are leased through June 2009 and includes 2,460 square feet of office space and 18,030 feet of warehouse space.
- 9 This land is leased through June 2008

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

The Company is involved in various lawsuits and routine claims arising out of the normal course of its business. The Company maintains insurance coverage for its operations and employees with appropriate aggregate, per occurrence and deductible limits as the Company reasonably determines necessary or prudent with current operations and historical experience. The major policies include coverage for property, general liability, auto, directors and officers, health, and workers' compensation insurances. In the opinion of management, the ultimate amount of liability not covered by insurance, if any, under any pending litigation and claims, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the financial position or operating results of the Company.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASE OF SECURITIES.

The Company's common stock is traded in the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol MGRC .

On February 15, 2005, the Company's Board of Directors approved a 2-for-1 stock split which became effective on March 25, 2005. All share and per share information in the 2007 Form 10-K reflects this stock split.

The market price (as quoted by NASDAQ) and cash dividends declared, per share of the Company's common stock, by calendar quarter for the past two years were as follows:

Stock Activity

| | 2007 | | | | 2006 | | | |
|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 4Q | 3Q | 2Q | 1Q | 4Q | 3Q | 2Q | 1Q |
| High | \$ 35.96 | \$ 36.75 | \$ 33.80 | \$ 32.17 | \$ 32.23 | \$ 28.17 | \$ 30.25 | \$ 33.28 |
| Low | \$ 23.40 | \$ 28.76 | \$ 29.68 | \$ 27.90 | \$ 24.70 | \$ 21.42 | \$ 25.04 | \$ 23.86 |
| Close | \$ 25.75 | \$ 33.24 | \$ 33.69 | \$ 31.67 | \$ 30.63 | \$ 25.60 | \$ 27.81 | \$ 30.06 |
| Dividends Declared | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.16 |

As of February 25, 2008, the Company's common stock was held by approximately 70 shareholders of record, which does not include shareholders whose shares are held in street or nominee name. The Company believes that when holders in street or nominee name are added, the number of holders of the Company's common stock exceeds 500.

The Company has declared a quarterly dividend on its common stock every quarter since 1990. The total amount of cash dividends paid by the Company in 2007 and 2006 is discussed under Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources. Subject to its continued profitability and favorable cash flow, the Company intends to continue the payment of quarterly dividends.

The following table sets forth information with respect to repurchases of shares of the Company's common stock made by us during the three months ended December 31, 2007.

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Maximum Number of Shares that may yet be Purchased under the Plans or Programs |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| October 1 - October 31 | | | | 1,977,267 |
| November 1 - November 30 | 114,600 | \$ 26.90 | 114,600 | 1,862,667 |
| December 1 - December 31 | 683,043 | \$ 25.04 | 683,043 | 1,179,624 |
| Total | 797,643 | \$25.31 | 797,643 | 1,179,624 |

In a press release dated March 21, 2003, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a stock repurchase plan that authorized the repurchase of up to 2,000,000 shares of our outstanding common stock (as adjusted for a 2-1 stock split effective March 25, 2005). There is no expiration date specified for this program. We may repurchase shares from time to time in the over-the-counter market and/or through block trades, subject to market conditions and applicable federal and state securities laws and regulations, at such prices as the officers of the Company shall deem appropriate and desirable on behalf of the Company. All shares repurchased by the Company are canceled and returned to the status of authorized but unissued shares of common stock. The repurchases may be commenced or suspended at any time or from time to time without prior notice depending on prevailing market conditions and other factors. During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company purchased \$20.2 million of its common stock representing 797,643 shares at an average price of \$25.31 per share. During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company purchased \$0.5 million of its common stock representing 22,733 shares at an average price of \$23.14 per share. As of February 25, 2008, 604,735 shares of the Company's common stock remain authorized for repurchase.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

The following table summarizes the Company's selected financial data for the five years ended December 31, 2007 and should be read in conjunction with the detailed Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes reported in Item 8 below.

Selected Consolidated Financial Data

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| Operations Data | | | | | |
| Revenues | | | | | |
| Rental | \$ 185,317 | \$ 168,940 | \$ 152,316 | \$ 120,358 | \$ 76,678 |
| Rental Related Services | 34,713 | 31,599 | 26,460 | 23,347 | 16,746 |
| Rental Operations | 220,030 | 200,539 | 178,776 | 143,705 | 93,424 |
| Sales | 57,829 | 64,085 | 90,823 | 57,162 | 36,745 |
| Other | 2,550 | 2,442 | 2,581 | 1,653 | 802 |
| Total Revenues | 280,409 | 267,066 | 272,180 | 202,520 | 130,971 |
| Costs and Expenses | | | | | |
| Direct Costs of Rental Operations | | | | | |
| Depreciation of Rental Equipment | 51,642 | 45,353 | 44,178 | 32,426 | 12,745 |
| Rental Related Services | 24,257 | 21,830 | 17,893 | 15,172 | 10,356 |
| Other | 33,363 | 33,576 | 29,292 | 24,007 | 18,623 |
| Total Direct Costs of Rental Operations | 109,262 | 100,759 | 91,363 | 71,605 | 41,724 |
| Cost of Sales | 40,591 | 44,481 | 67,378 | 43,134 | 25,913 |
| Total Costs | 149,853 | 145,240 | 158,741 | 114,739 | 67,637 |
| Gross Profit | 130,556 | 121,826 | 113,439 | 87,781 | 63,334 |
| Selling and Administrative | 50,026 | 45,499 | 39,819 | 33,705 | 22,626 |
| Income from Operations | 80,530 | 76,327 | 73,620 | 54,076 | 40,708 |
| Interest Expense | 10,719 | 10,760 | 7,890 | 5,188 | 2,668 |
| Income before Provision for Income Taxes | 69,811 | 65,567 | 65,730 | 48,888 | 38,040 |
| Provision for Income Taxes | 27,337 | 24,209 | 24,649 | 18,843 | 15,178 |
| Income before Minority Interest | 42,474 | 41,358 | 41,081 | 30,045 | 22,862 |
| Minority Interest in Income of Subsidiary | 64 | 280 | 262 | 48 | 170 |
| Net Income | \$ 42,410 | \$ 41,078 | \$ 40,819 | \$ 29,997 | \$ 22,692 |
| Earnings Per Share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.65 | \$ 1.65 | \$ 1.23 | \$ 0.94 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.67 | \$ 1.63 | \$ 1.61 | \$ 1.21 | \$ 0.93 |
| Shares Used in Per Share Calculations: | | | | | |
| Basic | 25,231 | 24,948 | 24,668 | 24,344 | 24,250 |
| Diluted | 25,443 | 25,231 | 25,331 | 24,804 | 24,517 |
| Balance Sheet Data (at period end) | | | | | |
| Rental Equipment, at cost | \$ 707,426 | \$ 638,501 | \$ 562,935 | \$ 488,974 | \$ 339,353 |
| Rental Equipment, net | \$ 486,014 | \$ 451,342 | \$ 406,433 | \$ 357,788 | \$ 232,046 |
| Total Assets | \$ 642,236 | \$ 585,542 | \$ 543,160 | \$ 474,280 | \$ 323,858 |
| Notes Payable | \$ 197,729 | \$ 165,557 | \$ 163,232 | \$ 151,888 | \$ 47,266 |
| Shareholders' Equity | \$ 244,031 | \$ 230,792 | \$ 198,469 | \$ 166,888 | \$ 143,978 |

Edgar Filing: MCGRATH RENTCORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Shares Issued and Outstanding | 24,578 | 25,090 | 24,832 | 24,543 | 24,244 |
| Book Value Per Share | \$ 9.93 | \$ 9.20 | \$ 7.99 | \$ 6.80 | \$ 5.94 |
| Debt (Total Liabilities) to Equity | 1.63 | 1.54 | 1.73 | 1.84 | 1.25 |
| Debt (Notes Payable) to Equity | 0.81 | 0.72 | 0.82 | 0.91 | 0.33 |
| Return on Average Equity | 17.2% | 19.2% | 22.5% | 19.5% | 16.5% |
| Cash Dividends Declared Per Common Share | \$ 0.72 | \$ 0.64 | \$ 0.56 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.40 |

To supplement the Company's financial data presented on a basis consistent with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), the Company presents Adjusted EBITDA which is defined by the Company as net income before minority interest in income of subsidiary, interest expense, provision for income taxes, depreciation, amortization, and non-cash stock-based compensation.

The Company presents Adjusted EBITDA as a financial measure as management believes it provides useful information to investors regarding the Company's liquidity and financial condition and because management, as well as the Company's lenders use this measure in evaluating the performance of the Company.

Management uses Adjusted EBITDA as a supplement to GAAP measures to further evaluate the Company's period-to-period operating performance and evaluate the Company's ability to meet future capital expenditure and working capital requirements. Management believes the exclusion of non-cash charges, including stock-based compensation, is useful in measuring the Company's cash available to operations and the performance of the Company. Because the Company finds Adjusted EBITDA useful, the Company believes its investors will also find Adjusted EBITDA useful in evaluating the Company's performance.

Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for net income, cash flows, or other consolidated income or cash flow data prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States or as a measure of the Company's profitability or liquidity. Adjusted EBITDA is not in accordance with or an alternative for GAAP, and may be different from non-GAAP measures used by other companies. Unlike EBITDA which may be used by other companies or investors, Adjusted EBITDA does not include stock-based compensation charges and income from the minority interest in the Company's Enviroplex subsidiary. The Company believes that Adjusted EBITDA is of limited use in that it does not reflect all of the amounts associated with the Company's results of operations as determined in accordance with GAAP and does not accurately reflect real cash flow. In addition, other companies may not use Adjusted EBITDA or may use other non-GAAP measures, limiting the usefulness of Adjusted EBITDA. Therefore, Adjusted EBITDA should only be used to evaluate the Company's results of operations in conjunction with the corresponding GAAP measures. The presentation of Adjusted EBITDA is not meant to be considered in isolation or as a substitute for the most directly comparable GAAP measures. The Company compensates for the limitations of Adjusted EBITDA by relying upon GAAP results to gain a complete picture of the Company's performance. Since Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure as defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Company includes in the tables below reconciliations of Adjusted EBITDA to the most directly comparable financial measures calculated and presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

Reconciliation of Net Income to Adjusted EBITDA

(dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| Net Income | \$ 42,410 | \$ 41,078 | \$ 40,819 | \$ 29,997 | \$ 22,692 |
| Minority Interest in Income of Subsidiary | 64 | 280 | 262 | 48 | 170 |
| Provision for Income Taxes | 27,337 | 24,209 | 24,649 | 18,843 | 15,178 |
| Interest Expense | 10,719 | 10,760 | 7,890 | 5,188 | 2,668 |
| Income from Operations | 80,530 | 76,327 | 73,620 | 54,076 | 40,708 |
| Depreciation and Amortization | 54,002 | 47,461 | 46,433 | 34,501 | 14,692 |
| Non-Cash Stock-Based Compensation | 3,457 | 3,125 | 44 | 57 | 112 |
| Adjusted EBITDA ¹ | \$ 137,989 | \$ 126,913 | \$ 120,097 | \$ 88,634 | \$ 55,512 |
| Adjusted EBITDA Margin ² | 49% | 48% | 44% | 44% | 42% |

Reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities*(dollar amounts in thousands)*

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| Adjusted EBITDA ¹ | \$ 137,989 | \$ 126,913 | \$ 120,097 | \$ 88,634 | \$ 55,512 |
| Interest Paid | (10,718) | (10,511) | (7,799) | (5,518) | (2,899) |
| Income Taxes Paid | (14,424) | (17,248) | (22,871) | (8,355) | (4,016) |
| Gain on Sale of Rental Equipment | (10,027) | (9,747) | (9,662) | (8,532) | (5,421) |
| Change in certain assets and liabilities: | | | | | |
| Accounts Receivable, net | (7,227) | 4,590 | (9,134) | (8,067) | 1,050 |
| Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets | (1,721) | 148 | (1,312) | (2,348) | (2,756) |
| Accounts Payable and Other Liabilities | (2,076) | 7,254 | 10,223 | 5,697 | 1,481 |
| Deferred Income | 3,096 | (2,280) | 2,311 | 761 | 4,633 |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities | \$ 94,892 | \$ 99,119 | \$ 81,853 | \$ 62,272 | \$ 47,584 |

1 Adjusted EBITDA is defined as net income before minority interest in income of subsidiary, interest expense, provision for income taxes, depreciation, amortization, non-cash stock-based compensation and non-cash impairment charges.

2 Adjusted EBITDA Margin is calculated as Adjusted EBITDA divided by total revenues for the period.

Adjusted EBITDA is a component of two restrictive financial covenants for the Company's unsecured line of credit and 5.08% senior notes due in 2011. Under these agreements, the Company is subject to the following financial covenants:

Maintain a leverage ratio of funded debt to Adjusted EBITDA (as defined) not to exceed 2.25. At December 31, 2007 the actual ratio was 1.43.

Maintain a fixed charge coverage of Adjusted EBITDA to fixed charges of at least 2.00. At December 31, 2007 the actual ratio was 3.22.

At December 31, 2007, the Company was in compliance with these covenants. There are no anticipated trends that the Company is aware of that would indicate non-compliance with these covenants, though, significant deterioration in our financial performance could impact the Company's ability to comply with these covenants.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. The Company's actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including those set forth in this section as well as those discussed under Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors, and elsewhere in this document. This discussion should be read together with the financial statements and the related notes thereto set forth in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Results of Operations

General

The Company, incorporated in 1979, is a leading rental provider of relocatable modular buildings for classroom and office space, and electronic test equipment for general purpose and communications needs. The Company's primary emphasis is on equipment rentals. The Company is comprised of three business segments: (1) Mobile Modular Management Corporation, its modular building rental division (Mobile Modular); (2) TRS-RenTelco, its electronic test equipment rental division and; (3) Enviroplex, Inc., its wholly-owned subsidiary classroom manufacturing business selling modular buildings used primarily as classrooms in California (Enviroplex). In 2007, Mobile Modular, TRS-RenTelco and Enviroplex contributed 71%, 28% and 1% of the Company's income before provision for taxes (the equivalent of pretax income), respectively, compared to 66%, 30% and 4% for 2006. Although managed as a separate business unit, Enviroplex's revenues, pretax income contribution and total assets are not significant relative to the Company's consolidated financial position.

The Company generates the majority of its revenue from the rental of relocatable modular buildings and electronic test equipment on operating leases with sales of equipment occurring in the normal course of business. The Company requires significant capital outlay to purchase its rental inventory and recovers its investment through rental and sales revenues. Rental revenue and other services negotiated as part of the lease agreement with the customer and related costs are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Sales revenue and related costs are recognized upon delivery and installation of the equipment to the customer. Sales revenues are less predictable and can fluctuate from quarter to quarter and year to year depending on customer demands and requirements. Generally, rental revenues recover the equipment's capitalized cost in a short period of time relative to the equipment's rental life and when sold, sale proceeds recover a high percentage of its capitalized cost.

The Company's growth in rental assets has been primarily funded through internal cash flow and conventional bank financing. The Company presents Adjusted EBITDA as a financial measure as management believes it provides useful information to investors regarding the Company's liquidity and financial condition and because management, as well as the Company's lenders use this measure in evaluating the performance of the Company.

Management uses Adjusted EBITDA as a supplement to GAAP measures to further evaluate the Company's period-to-period operating performance and evaluate the Company's ability to meet future capital expenditure and working capital requirements. Management believes the exclusion of non-cash charges, including stock-based compensation, is useful in measuring the Company's cash available to operations and the performance of the Company. Because the Company finds Adjusted EBITDA useful, the Company believes its investors will also find Adjusted EBITDA useful in evaluating the Company's performance.

Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for net income, cash flows, or other consolidated income or cash flow data prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States or as a measure of the Company's profitability or liquidity. Adjusted EBITDA is not in accordance with or an alternative to GAAP, and may be different from non-GAAP measures used by other companies. Unlike EBITDA which may be used by other companies or investors, Adjusted EBITDA does not include stock-based compensation charges and income from the minority interest in the Company's Enviroplex subsidiary. The Company believes that Adjusted EBITDA is of limited use in that it does not reflect all of the amounts associated with the Company's results of operations as determined in accordance with GAAP and does not accurately reflect real cash flow. In addition, other companies may not use Adjusted EBITDA or may use other non-GAAP measures, limiting the usefulness of Adjusted EBITDA. Therefore, Adjusted EBITDA should only be used to evaluate the Company's results of operations in conjunction with the corresponding GAAP measures. The presentation of Adjusted EBITDA is not meant to be considered in isolation or as a substitute to the most directly

comparable GAAP measures. The Company compensates for the limitations of Adjusted EBITDA by relying upon GAAP results to gain a complete picture of the Company's performance. (For more information, see Item 6. Selected Financial Data above.)

Significant risks of rental equipment ownership are borne by the Company, which include, but are not limited to, uncertainties in the market for its products over the equipment's useful life, use limitations for modular equipment related to updated building codes or legislative changes, technological obsolescence of electronic test equipment, and rental equipment deterioration. The Company believes it mitigates these risks by continued advocacy and collaboration with governing agencies and legislative bodies for ongoing use of its modular product, staying abreast of technology trends in order to make good buy-sell decisions of electronic test equipment, and ongoing investment in repair and maintenance programs to insure both types of rental equipment are in good operating condition.

The Company's modular revenues are primarily affected by demand for classrooms which in turn is affected by shifting and fluctuating school populations, the level of state funding to public schools, the need for temporary classroom space during reconstruction of older schools and changes in policies regarding class size. In particular, public schools in the State of California from time to time experience fluctuations in funding from the state. As a result of any reduced funding, lower expenditures by these schools may result in certain planned programs, including the increase in the number of classrooms such as the Company provides to be postponed or terminated. However, reduced expenditures may in fact result in schools reducing their long-term facility construction projects in favor of using the Company's modular classroom solutions. At this time, the Company can make no assurances as to whether public schools will either reduce or increase their demand for the Company's modular classrooms as a result of fluctuations in funding of public schools by the State of California. Looking forward, the Company believes that any interruption in the passage of facility bonds or contraction of class size reduction programs by public schools may have a material adverse effect on both rental and sales revenues of the Company. (For more information, see Item 1. Business Relocatable Modular Buildings Classroom Rentals and Sales to Public Schools (K-12) and Item 1A. Risk Factors A significant reduction of funding to public schools could cause the demand for our modular classroom units to decline, which could result in a reduction in our revenues and profitability above.)

Revenues of TRS-RenTelco are derived from the rental and sale of general purpose and communications test equipment to a broad range of companies, from Fortune 500 to middle and smaller market companies primarily in the electronics, communications, aerospace and defense industries. Electronic test equipment revenues are primarily affected by the business activity within these industries related to research and development, manufacturing, and communication infrastructure installation and maintenance.

The Company's rental operations include rental and rental related service revenues which comprised approximately 78% of consolidated revenues in 2007 and 73% for the three years ended December 31, 2007. Over the past three years, modulares comprised 60% and electronic test equipment comprised 40% of the cumulative rental operations revenues. The Company's direct costs of rental operations include depreciation of rental equipment, rental related service costs, impairment of rental equipment, and other direct costs of rental operations which include direct labor, supplies, repairs, insurance, property taxes, license fees and amortization of certain lease costs.

The Company also sells both modular and electronic test equipment that is new, previously rented, or manufactured by its subsidiary, Enviroplex. The renting and selling of some modular equipment requires a dealer's license, which the Company has obtained from the appropriate governmental agencies. Sales and other revenues of both modular and electronic test equipment have comprised approximately 22% of the Company's consolidated revenues in 2007 and 27% over the last three years. During these three years, modulares comprised 67% and electronics represented 33% of sales and other revenues. The Company's cost of sales includes the carrying value of the equipment sold and the direct costs associated with the equipment sold such as delivery, installation, modifications and related site work.

The rental and sale of modulares to public school districts comprised 31%, 33% and 34% of the Company's consolidated rental and sales revenues for 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. (For more information, see Item 1. Business Relocatable Modular Buildings Classroom Rentals and Sales to Public Schools (K-12) above.)

Selling and administrative expenses primarily include personnel and benefit costs, depreciation and amortization, bad debt expense, advertising costs, and professional service fees. The Company believes that sharing of common facilities, financing, senior management, and operating and accounting systems by all of the Company's operations, results in an efficient use of overhead.

Historically, the Company's operating margins have been impacted favorably to the extent its costs and expenses are leveraged over a large installed customer base. However, there can be no assurance as to the Company's ability to maintain a large installed customer base or ability to sustain its historical operating margins.

Recent Developments

In February 2008, the Company announced that the board of directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.20 per common share for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, an increase of 11% over the prior year's comparable quarter.

In November of 2007, the Company purchased the remaining minority interest in Enviroplex, a classroom manufacturing business selling modular classrooms in California. The stock purchase was for \$3.8 million in cash and increased the Company's ownership of Enviroplex from 81.1% to 100%.

The Company adopted the 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2007 Plan), effective June 6, 2007, under which 1,875,000 shares of common stock of the Company, plus the number of shares that remain available for grants of awards under the Company's 1998 Stock Option Plan (the 1998 Plan) and those shares that become available as a result of forfeiture, termination, or expiration of awards previously granted under the 1998 Plan, are reserved for the grant of awards to its employees, directors and consultants to acquire common stock of the Company. The awards have a maximum term of 10 years. Options under the 2007 are granted at an exercise price of not less than 100% of the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. The 2007 Plan replaces the Company's 1998 Plan and the 2000 Long-Term Bonus Plan (the 2000 Plan). The 2000 Plan under which no awards have been granted, only provided for the grant of stock bonuses to officers and key employees.

In October 2005, the Company completed the purchase of 122 acres of land in Polk County, Florida for \$8.1 million. The land is currently being developed for the use as a sales office and inventory center to repair, refurbish and store modular rental equipment. The development is expected to be completed in mid 2008.

Edgar Filing: MCGRATH RENTCORP - Form 10-K

The following table sets forth for the periods indicated the results of operations as a percentage of revenues and the percentage of changes in the amount of such of items as compared to the amount in the indicated prior period:

| | Percent of Revenues | | | | Percent Change | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Three Years 2007 2005 | Year Ended December 31, 2007 2006 | | 2005 | 2007 over 2006 | 2006 over 2005 |
| Revenues | | | | | | |
| Rental | 62% | 66% | 63% | 56% | 10% | 11% |
| Rental Related Services | 11 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 19 |
| Rental Operations | 73 | 78 | 75 | 66 | 10 | 12 |
| Sales | 26 | 21 | 24 | 33 | -10 | -29 |
| Other | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | -5 |
| Total Revenues | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 5% | -2% |
| Costs and Expenses | | | | | | |
| Direct Costs of Rental Operations | | | | | | |
| Depreciation of Rental Equipment | 17 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 3 |
| Rental Related Services | 8 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 11 | 22 |
| Other | 12 | 12 | 13 | 11 | -1 | 15 |
| Total Direct Costs of Rental Operations | 37 | 39 | 38 | 34 | 8 | 10 |
| Cost of Sales | 18 | 14 | 16 | 24 | -9 | -34 |
| Total Costs | 55 | 53 | 54 | 58 | 3 | -9 |
| Gross Profit | 45 | 47 | 46 | 42 | 7 | 7 |
| Selling and Administrative | 17 | 18 | 17 | 15 | 10 | 14 |
| Income from Operations | 28 | 29 | 29 | 27 | 5 | 4 |
| Interest Expense | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | | 36 |
| Income before Provision for Income Taxes | 25 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 6 | |
| Provision for Income Taxes | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 12 | -2 |
| Income before Minority Interest | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 3 | 1 |
| Minority Interest in Income of Subsidiary | <i>nm</i> | <i>nm</i> | <i>nm</i> | <i>nm</i> | <i>nm</i> | <i>nm</i> |
| Net Income | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 3% | 1% |

nm = not meaningful

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007 Compared to

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2006

Overview

Consolidated revenues in 2007 increased \$13.3 million, or 5%, to \$280.4 million from \$267.1 million in 2006. Consolidated net income in 2007 increased \$1.3 million, or 3%, to \$42.4 million, or \$1.67 per diluted share, from \$41.1 million, or \$1.63 per diluted share, in 2006. The Company's year over year revenue increase was due to higher revenues from rental operations, partly offset by lower sales revenue. Mobile Modular's rental revenues increased 10% to \$100.5 million, resulting from continued education market demand for classroom product in California and Florida with gross profit on rents increasing 14% to \$64.8 million. TRS-RenTelco's rental revenues increased 9% to \$84.8 million, with gross profit on rents increasing 6% to \$35.5 million.

For 2007, on a consolidated basis,

Gross profit increased \$8.7 million, or 7%, to \$130.6 million, with the increase attributable to improvements in rental operations of both businesses partly offset by lower gross profit on sales.

Selling and administrative expenses increased \$4.5 million, or 10% to \$50.0 million from \$45.5 million in 2006, with the increase primarily attributable to higher personnel and benefit costs, professional fees and bad debt expense.

Interest expense decreased \$0.1 million, to \$10.7 million from \$10.8 million in 2006 primarily due to lower net average interest rates partly offset by the Company's 2% higher average debt levels in 2007.

Pretax income contributions were 71% and 28% by Mobile Modular and TRS-RenTelco, respectively, in 2007, compared to 66% and 30%, respectively, in 2006. These results are discussed on a segmental basis below.

Provision for income taxes was based on an effective tax rate of 39.2% as compared with 36.9% in 2006 due primarily to the fiscal 2006 reduction in the Company's deferred tax liability as a result of a franchise tax law change enacted by the state of Texas in May 2006. Looking forward, the Company estimates that the effective tax rate will remain relatively consistent with the 2007 rate, based on the expected revenue distribution by state. However, there can be no assurance that such expected revenue distribution by state will be achieved, which could cause the Company's effective tax rate to change.

Adjusted EBITDA increased \$11.1 million, or 9%, to \$138.0 million compared to \$126.9 million in 2006 resulting primarily from improved income from rental operations of TRS-RenTelco and Mobile Modular. Adjusted EBITDA is defined as net income before minority interest in income of subsidiary, interest expense, provision for income taxes, depreciation, amortization and non-cash stock-based compensation. A reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to net cash provided by operating activities and net income to Adjusted EBITDA can be found in Item 6, Selected Financial Data, on page 28.

Mobile Modular

For 2007, Mobile Modular's total revenues increased \$7.6 million, or 5%, to \$163.5 million from \$156.0 million in 2006 due to the higher rental and rental related services revenues from the continued educational market demand for classrooms, partly offset by lower sales revenues.

Edgar Filing: MCGRATH RENTCORP - Form 10-K

The following table summarizes year-to-year results for each revenue and gross profit category, pretax income, and other selected data.

Mobile Modular 2007 compared to 2006

(dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Twelve Months Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | \$ | % |
| Revenues | | | | |
| Rental | \$ 100,541 | \$ 91,124 | \$ 9,417 | 10% |
| Rental Related Services | 32,982 | 29,913 | 3,069 | 10% |
| Rental Operations | 133,523 | 121,037 | 12,486 | 10% |
| Sales | 29,349 | 34,209 | (4,860) | -14% |
| Other | 654 | 729 | (75) | -10% |
| Total Revenues | \$ 163,526 | \$ 155,975 | \$ 7,551 | 5% |
| Gross Profit | | | | |
| Rental | \$ 64,847 | \$ 56,672 | \$ 8,175 | 14% |
| Rental Related Services | 10,422 | 9,782 | 640 | 7% |
| Rental Operations | 75,269 | 66,454 | 8,815 | 13% |
| Sales | 7,855 | 9,069 | (1,214) | -13% |
| Other | 654 | 729 | (75) | -10% |
| Total Gross Profit | \$ 83,778 | \$ 76,252 | \$ 7,526 | 10% |
| Pre-tax Income | \$ 49,164 | \$ 43,439 | \$ 5,725 | 13% |
| Other Information | | | | |
| Depreciation of Rental Equipment | \$ 12,383 | \$ 10,898 | \$ 1,485 | 14% |
| Interest Expense Allocation | \$ 7,575 | \$ 7,907 | \$ (332) | -4% |
| Average Rental Equipment ¹ | \$ 427,859 | \$ 385,630 | \$ 42,229 | 11% |
| Average Rental Equipment on Rent ¹ | \$ 352,230 | \$ 319,716 | \$ 32,514 | 10% |
| Average Monthly Total Yield ² | 1.96% | 1.97% | | -1% |
| Average Utilization ³ | 82.3% | 82.9% | | -1% |
| Average Monthly Rental Rate ⁴ | 2.38% | 2.38% | | 0% |
| Period End Rental Equipment ¹ | \$ 448,771 | \$ 410,205 | \$ 38,566 | 9% |
| Period End Utilization ³ | 82.8% | 81.4% | | 2% |
| Period End Floors ¹ | 26,315 | 24,854 | 1,461 | 6% |

1 Average and Period End Rental Equipment represents the cost of rental equipment excluding new equipment inventory and accessory equipment. Period End Floors excludes new equipment inventory.

2 Average Monthly Total Yield is calculated by dividing the averages of monthly rental revenues by the cost of rental equipment, for the period.

3 Period End Utilization is calculated by dividing the cost of rental equipment on rent by the total cost of rental equipment excluding new equipment inventory and accessory equipment. Average Utilization for the period is calculated using the average costs of the rental equipment.

4 Average Monthly Rental Rate is calculated by dividing the averages of monthly rental revenues by the cost of rental equipment on rent, for the period. Mobile Modular's gross profit for 2007 increased \$7.5 million, or 10%, to \$83.8 million from \$76.3 million in 2006. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006:

Edgar Filing: MCGRATH RENTCORP - Form 10-K

Gross Profit on Rental Revenues Rental revenues increased \$9.4 million, or 10%, compared to 2006 due to the continued education market demand for classrooms. The rental revenue increase resulted from an 11% increase in average rental equipment primarily to support the classroom demand, partly offset by a 1% lower average total yield due to 1% lower utilization. As a percentage of rental revenues, depreciation was 12% in 2007 and 2006, with other direct costs decreasing from 26% in 2006 to 23% in 2007, resulting in a gross margin percentage of 65% in 2007 compared to 62% in 2006. The lower other direct costs was primarily due to lower inventory center material costs incurred to prepare used equipment in 2007 compared to 2006. The higher rental revenues and higher gross margin percentage resulted in rental gross profit increasing 14%, to \$64.8 million from \$56.7 million in 2006.

Gross Profit on Rental Related Services Rental related services revenues increased \$3.1 million, or 10%, compared to 2006, primarily due to the continued demand for classroom buildings. Most of these service revenues are negotiated with the initial lease and are recognized on a straight-line basis with the associated costs over the initial term of the lease. The increase in rental related services revenues was primarily attributable to the mix of leases and associated service revenues within the initial lease term during 2007 as compared to 2006. The higher revenues, partly offset by lower gross margin percentage of 32% in 2007 compared with 33% in 2006 resulted in rental related services gross profit increasing \$0.6 million, or 7%, to \$10.4 million from \$9.8 million in 2006.

Gross Profit on Sales Sales revenues decreased \$4.9 million, or 14%, compared to 2006. Sales occur routinely as a normal part of Mobile Modular's rental business; however, these sales can fluctuate from quarter to quarter and year to year depending on customer requirements, equipment availability and funding. Lower sales volume, together with comparable gross margin percentage of 27% in 2007 and 2006, resulted in sales gross profit decreasing \$1.2 million, or 13%, to \$7.9 million from \$9.1 million in 2006. For 2007, Mobile Modular's selling and administrative expenses increased \$2.2 million, or 9%, to \$27.1 million from \$24.9 million in 2006, primarily attributable to higher personnel and benefit costs to support revenue growth, and represented 27% of rental revenues in 2007 and 2006.

TRS-RenTelco

For 2007, TRS-RenTelco's total revenues increased \$7.5 million, or 8%, to \$106.2 million, primarily due to higher rental revenues. The increase in revenues was offset by higher selling and administrative expenses and lower gross margin on rental revenues and sales, which resulted in a pretax income decrease of \$0.1 million, or 1%, to \$19.7 million from \$19.8 million in 2006.

The following table summarizes year over year results for each revenue and gross profit category, pretax income, and other selected data.

TRS-RenTelco 2007 compared to 2006

(dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Twelve Months Ended | | Increase | |
|---|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| | December 31, | | (Decrease) | |
| | 2007 | 2006 | \$ | % |
| Revenues | | | | |
| Rental | \$ 84,776 | \$ 77,816 | \$ 6,960 | 9% |
| Rental Related Services | 1,731 | 1,686 | 45 | 3% |
| Rental Operations | 86,507 | 79,502 | 7,005 | 9% |
| Sales | 17,831 | 17,483 | 348 | 2% |
| Other | 1,896 | 1,713 | 183 | 11% |
| Total Revenues | \$ 106,234 | \$ 98,698 | \$ 7,536 | 8% |
| Gross Profit | | | | |
| Rental | \$ 35,465 | \$ 33,339 | \$ 2,126 | 6% |
| Rental Related Services | 34 | (13) | 47 | 362% |
| Rental Operations | 35,499 | 33,326 | 2,173 | 7% |
| Sales | 6,247 | 6,603 | (356) | -5% |
| Other | 1,896 | 1,713 | 183 | 11% |
| Total Gross Profit | \$ 43,642 | \$ 41,642 | \$ 2,000 | 5% |
| Pre-tax Income | \$ 19,730 | \$ 19,827 | \$ (97) | 0% |
| Other Information | | | | |
| Depreciation of Rental Equipment | \$ 39,259 | \$ 34,455 | \$ 4,804 | 14% |
| Interest Expense Allocation | \$ 3,705 | \$ 3,385 | \$ 320 | 9% |
| Average Rental Equipment ¹ | \$ 209,546 | \$ 170,705 | \$ 38,841 | 23% |
| Average Rental Equipment on Rent ¹ | \$ 143,032 | \$ 118,798 | \$ 24,234 | 20% |
| Average Monthly Total Yield ² | 3.37% | 3.80% | | -11% |
| Average Utilization ³ | 68.3% | 69.6% | | -2% |
| Average Monthly Rental Rate ⁴ | 4.94% | 5.46% | | -10% |
| Period End Rental Equipment ¹ | \$ 230,851 | \$ 186,085 | \$ 44,766 | 24% |
| Period End Utilization ³ | 69.3% | 66.3% | | 5% |

1 Average and Period End Rental Equipment represents the cost of rental equipment excluding accessory equipment.

2 Average Monthly Total Yield is calculated by dividing the averages of monthly rental revenues by the cost of rental equipment, for the period.

3 Period End Utilization is calculated by dividing the cost of rental equipment on rent by the total cost of rental equipment excluding accessory equipment. Average Utilization for the period is calculated using the average costs of the rental equipment.

Edgar Filing: MCGRATH RENTCORP - Form 10-K

4 Average Monthly Rental Rate is calculated by dividing the averages of monthly rental revenues by the cost of rental equipment on rent, for the period. TRS-RenTelco's gross profit for 2007 increased \$2.0 million, or 5%, to \$43.6 million from \$41.6 million in 2006. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006:

Gross Profit on Rental Revenues Rental revenues increased \$7.0 million, or 9%, compared to 2006, resulting in increased gross profit on rental revenues of \$2.1 million, or 6%, to \$35.5 million as compared to the same period in 2006 due to

favorable market demand across a broad range of electronic test equipment product and market segments. The increase in gross profit on rental revenues is due to 23% higher average rental equipment as compared to 2006, partly offset by lower average monthly yield as utilization of rental equipment decreased 2% from 69.6% in 2006 to 68.3% in 2007 and average monthly rental rate decreased 10% in 2007 compared to 2006. The rental rate decrease was due to account penetration and other competitive pressures, the phasing out of TRS acquired equipment having a lower original cost compared to new equipment purchases and a greater mix of general purpose test equipment that typically has lower rental rates, but longer depreciable lives, compared to communications test equipment. As a percentage of rental revenues, depreciation increased to 46% in 2007 from 44% in 2006, with other direct costs decreasing from 13% in 2006 to 12% in 2007, resulting in a gross margin percentage of 42% in 2007 compared to 43% in 2006.

Gross Profit on Sales Sales revenues increased \$0.3 million, or 2%, compared to 2006. Sales occur routinely as a normal part of TRS-RenTelco's rental business; however, these sales can fluctuate from quarter to quarter and year to year depending on customer requirements, equipment availability and funding. Higher sales volume was partly offset by a lower gross margin percentage, 35% in 2007 compared to 38% in 2006 resulting in sales gross profit decreasing \$0.4 million, or 5%, to \$6.2 million from \$6.6 million in 2006.

For 2007, TRS-RenTelco's selling and administrative expenses increased \$1.8 million, or 10%, to \$20.2 million from \$18.4 million in 2006, primarily attributable to higher personnel and benefit costs to support increased revenue levels. Selling and administrative expenses as a percentage of rental revenues was 24% in 2007 and 2006.

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2006 Compared to

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2005

Overview

Consolidated revenues in 2006 decreased \$5.1 million, or 2%, to \$267.1 million from \$272.2 million in 2005. Consolidated net income in 2006 increased \$0.3 million, or 1%, to \$41.1 million, or \$1.63 per diluted share, from \$40.8 million, or \$1.61 per diluted share, in 2005. The Company's year over year revenue decrease was due to lower sales revenue in 2006 primarily due to \$14.3 million of sales of modular classrooms related to the hurricane damages in the southeastern U.S. in 2005. Mobile Modular's rental revenues increased 12% to \$91.1 million, resulting from continued education market demand for classroom product in California and Florida with gross profit on rents increasing 9% to \$56.7 million. TRS-RenTelco's rental revenues increased 9% to \$77.8 million, with gross profit on rents increasing 23% to \$33.3 million.

For 2006, on a consolidated basis,

Gross profit increased \$8.4 million, or 7%, to \$121.8 million from \$113.4 million in 2005, with the increase attributable to improvements in rental operations of both businesses partly offset by lower gross profit on sales.

Selling and administrative expenses increased \$5.7 million, or 14% to \$45.5 million from \$39.8 million in 2005, with the increase primarily attributable to \$3.1 million of non-cash stock compensation expense related to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payment (SFAS No. 123R) effective January 1, 2006 and higher personnel and benefit costs.

Interest expense increased \$2.9 million, or 36%, to \$10.8 million from \$7.9 million in 2005 primarily due to the Company's 11% higher average debt levels and 23% higher average interest rates in 2006.

Pretax income contributions were 66% and 30% by Mobile Modular and TRS-RenTelco, respectively, in 2006, compared to 71% and 26%, respectively, in 2005. These results are discussed on a segmental basis below.

Provision for income taxes was based on a lower effective tax rate of 36.9% as compared with 37.5% in 2005 due primarily to the reduction in the Company's deferred tax liability as a result of a franchise tax law change enacted by the state of Texas in May 2006.

EBITDA increased \$6.8 million, or 6%, to \$126.9 million compared to \$120.1 million in 2005 resulting primarily from improved income from rental operations before depreciation of TRS-RenTelco and Mobile Modular. EBITDA is defined as net income before minority interest in income of subsidiary, interest expense, provision for income taxes, depreciation, amortization and other non-cash stock compensation. A reconciliation of net income to EBITDA can be found in Item 6. Selected Financial Data. on page 28.

Mobile Modular

Mobile Modular's total revenues were \$156.0 million in 2006 and 2005 with higher rental and rental related services revenues from the continued educational market demand for classrooms offset by lower sales revenues due to a \$14.3 million sale of modular classrooms related to the hurricane damages in the southeastern U.S. in 2005.

Edgar Filing: MCGRATH RENTCORP - Form 10-K

The following table summarizes year-to-year results for each revenue and gross profit category, pretax income, and other selected data.

Mobile Modular 2006 compared to 2005

(dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Twelve Months Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | \$ | % |
| Revenues | | | | |
| Rental | \$ 91,124 | \$ 81,180 | \$ 9,944 | 12% |
| Rental Related Services | 29,913 | 25,053 | 4,860 | 19% |
| Rental Operations | 121,037 | 106,233 | 14,804 | 14% |
| Sales | 34,209 | 49,107 | (14,898) | -30% |
| Other | 729 | 625 | 104 | 17% |
| Total Revenues | \$ 155,975 | \$ 155,965 | \$ 10 | 0% |
| Gross Profit | | | | |
| Rental | \$ 56,672 | \$ 51,756 | \$ 4,916 | 9% |
| Rental Related Services | 9,782 | 8,259 | 1,523 | 18% |
| Rental Operations | 66,454 | 60,015 | 6,439 | 11% |
| Sales | 9,069 | 12,100 | (3,031) | -25% |
| Other | 729 | 625 | 104 | 17% |
| Total Gross Profit | \$ 76,252 | \$ 72,740 | \$ 3,512 | 5% |
| Pre-tax Income | \$ 43,439 | \$ 46,794 | \$ (3,355) | -7% |
| Other Information | | | | |
| Depreciation of Rental Equipment | \$ 10,898 | \$ 9,587 | \$ 1,311 | 14% |
| Interest Expense Allocation | \$ 7,907 | \$ 5,679 | \$ 2,228 | 39% |
| Average Rental Equipment ¹ | \$ 385,630 | \$ 341,103 | \$ 44,527 | 13% |
| Average Rental Equipment on Rent ¹ | \$ 319,716 | \$ 289,584 | \$ 30,132 | 10% |
| Average Monthly Total Yield ² | 1.97% | 1.98% | | -1% |
| Average Utilization ³ | 82.9% | 84.9% | | -2% |
| Average Monthly Rental Rate ⁴ | 2.38% | 2.34% | | 2% |
| Period End Rental Equipment ¹ | \$ 410,205 | \$ 366,253 | \$ 43,952 | 12% |
| Period End Utilization ³ | 81.4% | 83.5% | | -3% |
| Period End Floors ¹ | 24,854 | 23,135 | 1,719 | 7% |

1 Average and Period End Rental Equipment represents the cost of rental equipment excluding new equipment inventory and accessory equipment. Period End Floors excludes new equipment inventory.

2 Average Monthly Total Yield is calculated by dividing the averages of monthly rental revenues by the cost of rental equipment, for the period.

3 Period End Utilization is calculated by dividing the cost of rental equipment on rent by the total cost of rental equipment excluding new equipment inventory and accessory equipment. Average Utilization for the period is calculated using the average costs of the rental equipment.

4 Average Monthly Rental Rate is calculated by dividing the averages of monthly rental revenues by the cost of rental equipment on rent, for the period. Mobile Modular's gross profit for 2006 increased \$3.5 million, or 5%, to \$76.3 million from \$72.7 million in 2005. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005:

Edgar Filing: MCGRATH RENTCORP - Form 10-K

Gross Profit on Rental Revenues Rental revenues increased \$9.9 million, or 12%, compared to 2005 due to the continued education market demand for classrooms and the increased demand for commercial buildings. The rental revenue increase resulted from a 13% increase in average rental equipment primarily to support the classroom demand, partly offset by a 1% lower average total yield from lower utilization partly offset by improved rental rates. As a percentage of rental revenues, depreciation was 12% in 2006 and 2005, with other direct costs increasing from 24% in 2005 to 26% in 2006, resulting in a gross margin percentage of 62% in 2006 compared to 64% in 2005. The higher other direct costs was primarily due to

increased inventory center labor and material costs to prepare a higher volume of used equipment to meet increased demand for commercial projects and California classrooms in 2006. The higher rental revenues and lower gross margin percentage resulted in rental gross profit increasing \$4.9 million, or 9%, to \$56.7 million from \$51.8 million in 2005.

Gross Profit on Rental Related Services Rental related services revenues increased \$4.9 million, or 19%, compared to 2005, primarily due to the ongoing demand for classrooms and the increased demand for commercial buildings. Most of these service revenues are negotiated with the initial lease and are recognized on a straight-line basis with the associated costs over the initial term of the lease. The increase in rental related services revenues was primarily attributable to the mix of leases and associated service revenues within the initial lease term during 2006 as compared to 2005. The higher revenues and comparable gross margin percentage of 33% in 2005 and 2006 resulted in rental related services gross profit increasing \$1.5 million, or 18%, to \$9.9 million from \$8.3 million in 2005.

Gross Profit on Sales Sales revenues decreased \$14.9 million, or 30%, compared to 2005 primarily as a result of a \$14.3 million sale related to damages caused by Hurricane Katrina in the southeastern U.S. in 2005. Sales occur routinely as a normal part of Mobile Modular's rental business; however, these sales can fluctuate from quarter to quarter and year to year depending on customer requirements, equipment availability and funding. Lower sales volume, partly offset by higher gross margin percentage of 27% compared to 25% in 2005, resulted in sales gross profit decreasing \$3.0 million, or 25%, to \$9.1 million from \$12.1 million in 2005. For 2006, Mobile Modular's selling and administrative expenses increased \$4.6 million, or 23%, to \$24.9 million from \$20.3 million in 2005, due primarily to \$1.9 million of non-cash stock compensation expense related to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R effective January 1, 2006, and represented 27% of rental revenues, compared to 25% in 2005. Allocated interest expense increased \$2.2 million, or 39%, to \$7.9 million from \$5.7 million in 2005 primarily as a result of the Company's higher average interest rates and debt levels in 2006.

TRS-RenTelco

For 2006, TRS-RenTelco's total revenues decreased \$7.0 million, or 7%, to \$98.7 million, due to lower sales volume, partly offset by higher rental revenues. The decrease in revenues was offset by higher gross profit on rental revenues, which resulted in a pretax income increase of \$2.6 million, or 15%, to \$19.8 million from \$17.2 million in 2005.

Edgar Filing: MCGRATH RENTCORP - Form 10-K

The following table summarizes year over year results for each revenue and gross profit category, pretax income, and other selected data.

TRS-RenTelco 2006 compared to 2005

(dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Twelve Months Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | \$ | % |
| Revenues | | | | |
| Rental | \$ 77,816 | \$ 71,136 | \$ 6,680 | 9% |
| Rental Related Services | 1,686 | 1,407 | 279 | 20% |
| Rental Operations | 79,502 | 72,543 | 6,959 | 10% |
| Sales | 17,483 | 31,154 | (13,671) | -44% |
| Other | 1,713 | 1,956 | (243) | -12% |
| Total Revenues | \$ 98,698 | \$ 105,653 | \$ (6,955) | -7% |
| Gross Profit | | | | |
| Rental | \$ 33,339 | \$ 27,090 | \$ 6,249 | 23% |
| Rental Related Services | (13) | 308 | (321) | -104% |
| Rental Operations | 33,326 | 27,398 | 5,928 | 22% |
| Sales | 6,603 | 7,689 | (1,086) | -14% |
| Other | 1,713 | 1,956 | (243) | -12% |
| Total Gross Profit | \$ 41,642 | \$ 37,043 | \$ 4,599 | 12% |
| Pre-tax Income | \$ 19,827 | \$ 17,211 | \$ 2,616 | 15% |
| Other Information | | | | |
| Depreciation of Rental Equipment | \$ 34,455 | \$ 34,591 | \$ (136) | 0% |
| Interest Expense Allocation | \$ 3,385 | \$ 2,475 | \$ 910 | 37% |
| Average Rental Equipment ¹ | \$ 170,705 | \$ 151,087 | \$ 19,618 | 13% |
| Average Rental Equipment on Rent ¹ | \$ 118,798 | \$ 99,980 | \$ 18,818 | 19% |
| Average Monthly Total Yield ² | 3.80% | 3.92% | | -3% |
| Average Utilization ³ | 69.6% | 66.2% | | 5% |
| Average Monthly Rental Rate ⁴ | 5.46% | 5.93% | | -8% |
| Period End Rental Equipment ¹ | \$ 186,085 | \$ 154,119 | \$ 31,966 | 21% |
| Period End Utilization ³ | 66.3% | 68.9% | | -4% |

1 Average and Period End Rental Equipment represents the cost of rental equipment excluding accessory equipment.

2 Average Monthly Total Yield is calculated by dividing the averages of monthly rental revenues by the cost of rental equipment, for the period.

3 Period End Utilization is calculated by dividing the cost of rental equipment on rent by the total cost of rental equipment excluding accessory equipment. Average Utilization for the period is calculated using the average costs of the rental equipment.

4 Average Monthly Rental Rate is calculated by dividing the averages of monthly rental revenues by the cost of rental equipment on rent, for the period. TRS-RenTelco's gross profit for 2006 increased \$4.6 million, or 12%, to \$41.6 million from \$37.0 million in 2005. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005:

Edgar Filing: MCGRATH RENTCORP - Form 10-K

Gross Profit on Rental Revenues Rental revenues increased \$6.7 million, or 9%, compared to 2005, resulting in increased gross profit on rental revenues of \$6.2 million, or 23%, to \$33.3 million as compared to the same period in 2005 due to favorable market conditions across a broad range of electronic test equipment product and market segments. The increase in gross profit on rental revenues is due to 13% higher average rental equipment on rent as compared to 2005, with average utilization of rental equipment increasing 5% from 66.2% in 2005 to 69.6% in 2006. As a percentage of rental revenues, depreciation decreased to 44% in 2006 from 49% in 2005, due primarily to equipment acquired in 2004 as part of the TRS acquisition becoming fully depreciated, with other direct costs remaining at 13% in 2005 and 2006, resulting in a gross margin percentage of 43% in 2006 compared to 38% in 2005.

Gross Profit on Sales Sales revenues decreased \$13.7 million, or 44%, compared to 2005 as a result of having less underutilized rental equipment to sell. Sales occur routinely as a normal part of TRS-RenTelco's rental business; however, these sales can fluctuate from quarter to quarter and year to year depending on customer requirements, equipment availability and funding. Lower sales volume was partly offset by a higher gross margin percentage, 38% in 2006 compared to 25% in 2005 resulting in sales gross profit decreasing \$1.1 million, or 14%, to \$6.6 million from \$7.7 million in 2005. The increase in gross margin percentage was due to a higher proportion of used equipment sales and these used sales having a higher gross margin percentage in 2006 compared to 2005.

For 2006, TRS-RenTelco's selling and administrative expenses increased \$1.0 million, or 6%, to \$18.4 million from \$17.4 million in 2005 due primarily to \$1.2 million of non-cash stock compensation expense related to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R effective January 1, 2006. Selling and administrative expenses as a percentage of rental revenues were 24% in 2006 and 2005. Allocated interest expense increased \$0.9 million, or 37%, to \$3.4 million from \$2.5 million in 2005 as a result of the Company's higher average interest rates and debt levels in 2006.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

This section contains statements that constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. See the statements at the beginning of this Item for cautionary information with respect to such forward-looking statements

The Company's rental businesses are capital intensive and generate significant cash flows. Cash flows for the Company in 2007 as compared to 2006 are summarized as follows:

Cash Flows from Operating Activities: The Company's operations provided net cash flow of \$94.9 million for 2007, a decrease of 4%, as compared to \$99.1 million in 2006. The \$4.2 million decrease in net cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to the increase in accounts receivable partly offset by improved income from operations before depreciation of Mobile Modular and TRS-RenTelco and other balance sheet changes.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities: Net cash used in investing activities was \$92.6 million for 2007 as compared to \$90.0 million in 2006. The \$2.6 million increase in net cash used in investing activities was due to \$6.3 million higher purchases of property, plant and equipment in 2007 of \$10.5 million from \$4.2 million in 2006, \$1.6 million higher proceeds from the sale of rental equipment occurring in the normal course of business of \$25.7 million from \$24.1 million in 2006, \$5.9 million lower rental equipment purchases of \$104.0 million from \$109.9 million in 2006 and the purchase of the minority interest in subsidiary of \$3.8 million.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities: Net cash provided by financing activities was \$2.4 million in 2007, compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$9.0 million in 2006. In 2007, net cash provided by financing activities included borrowing of \$32.2 million on bank lines of credit, net proceeds from the exercise of stock options of \$5.6 million, repurchases of the Company's common stock of \$17.7 million and the payment of dividends to shareholders of \$17.7 million. In 2006, net cash used in financing activities included borrowings of \$2.3 million on bank lines of credit, net proceeds from the exercise of stock options of \$4.6 million, repurchases of the Company's common stock of \$0.5 million and the payment of dividends to shareholders of \$15.5 million.

Significant capital expenditures are required to maintain and grow the Company's rental assets. During the last three years, the Company has financed its working capital and capital expenditure requirements through cash flow from operations, proceeds from the sale of rental equipment and from bank borrowings. Sales occur routinely as a normal part of the Company's rental business. However, these sales can fluctuate from year to year depending on customer requirements and funding. Although the net proceeds received from sales may fluctuate from year to year, the Company believes its liquidity will not be adversely impacted from lower sales in any given year because it believes it has the ability to increase its bank borrowings and conserve its cash in the future by reducing the amount of cash it uses to purchase rental equipment, pay dividends, or repurchase the Company's common stock.

As the following table indicates, cash flow provided by operating activities and proceeds from sales of rental equipment have been greater than rental equipment purchases over the past three years.

Funding of Rental Asset Growth

(amounts in thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | Three Year Totals |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | |
| Cash Provided by Operating Activities | \$ 94,861 | \$ 99,119 | \$ 81,853 | \$ 275,833 |
| Proceeds from the Sale of Rental Equipment | 25,694 | 24,144 | 31,406 | 81,244 |
| Cash Available for Purchase of Rental Equipment | 120,555 | 123,263 | 113,259 | 357,077 |
| Purchases of Rental Equipment | (104,010) | (109,920) | (105,501) | (319,431) |
| Cash Available for Other Uses | \$ 16,545 | \$ 13,343 | \$ 7,758 | \$ 37,646 |

In addition to increasing its rental assets, the Company had other capital expenditures for property, plant and equipment of \$10.5 million in 2007, \$4.3 million in 2006, and \$10.5 million in 2005, and has used cash to provide returns to its shareholders, both in the form of cash dividends and stock repurchases. The Company has in the past made purchases of shares of its common stock from time to time in the over-the-counter market (NASDAQ) and/or through privately negotiated, large block transactions under an authorization from the Board of Directors. Shares repurchased by the Company are canceled and returned to the status of authorized but unissued stock. During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company purchased \$20.2 million of its common stock representing 797,643 shares at an average price of \$25.31 per share. During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company purchased \$0.5 million of its common stock representing 22,733 shares at an average price of \$23.14 per share. As of February 25, 2008, 604,735 shares of the Company's common stock remain authorized for repurchase. The following table summarizes the dividends paid and the repurchases of the Company's common stock during the past three years.

Dividend and Repurchase Summary

(amounts in thousands, except per share data)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | Three Year Totals |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | |
| Cash Dividends Paid | \$ 17,673 | \$ 15,460 | \$ 13,068 | \$ 46,201 |
| Shares Repurchased | 798 | 23 | | 821 |
| Average Price Per Share | \$ 25.31 | \$ 23.19 | \$ | \$ 25.25 |
| Aggregate Purchase Price | \$ 20,188 | \$ 527 | \$ | \$ 20,715 |
| Total Cash Returned to Shareholders | \$ 37,861 | \$ 15,987 | \$ 13,068 | \$ 66,916 |

Revolving Lines of Credit

As the Company's assets have grown, it has been able to negotiate increases in the borrowing limit under its general bank line of credit. In July 2005, the Company increased its unsecured line of credit from \$130.0 million to \$190.0 million and extended the term of the credit agreement (the Credit Agreement) through June 30, 2008. The Company increased its borrowings under this line by \$48.0 million during 2007, and at December 31, 2007 the outstanding borrowings under this line were \$149.5 million. The Credit Agreement requires the Company to pay interest at the prime rate or, at the Company's election, at other rate options available under the Agreement. In addition, the Company pays a commitment fee on the daily average unused portion of the available line. Among other restrictions, the Credit Agreement requires the Company to:

- (1) maintain a minimum net worth of \$127.5 million plus 50% of all net income generated subsequent to December 31, 2003 plus 90% of the gross proceeds of any new stock issuance proceeds, which was \$215.4 million at December 31, 2007 (at December 31, 2007 actual was \$242.2 million);
- (2) maintain a leverage ratio of funded debt to Adjusted EBITDA (as defined) not to exceed 2.25 during specified periods (at December 31, 2007 the ratio was 1.43); and
- (3)

Edgar Filing: MCGRATH RENTCORP - Form 10-K

maintain a fixed charge coverage of Adjusted EBITDA (as defined) to fixed charges of at least 2.00 during specified periods (at December 31, 2007 the ratio was 3.22).

At December 31, 2007, the Company was in compliance with these covenants. There are no anticipated trends that the Company is aware of that would indicate non-compliance with these covenants, though, significant deterioration in our financial performance could impact the Company's ability to comply with these covenants.

In addition to the \$190.0 million line of credit, the Company has a \$5.0 million committed line of credit facility, related to its cash management services expiring June 30, 2008, of which \$0.2 million was outstanding as of December 31, 2007. The Company's credit facility related to its cash management services, facilitates automatic borrowings and repayments with the bank on a daily basis depending on the Company's cash position and allows the Company to maintain minimal cash balances. At December 31, 2007, the Company had capacity to borrow up to an additional \$45.3 million under its existing lines of credit beyond its then existing debt. The Company had total liabilities to equity ratios of 1.63 to 1 and 1.54 to 1 as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The debt (notes payable) to equity ratios were 0.81 to 1 and 0.72 to 1 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Although no assurance can be given, the Company believes it will continue to be able to negotiate general bank lines of credit adequate to meet capital requirements not otherwise met by operational cash flows and proceeds from sales of rental equipment.

5.08% Senior Notes Due in 2011

In June 2004, the Company completed a private placement of \$60.0 million of 5.08% senior notes due in 2011. Interest on these notes is due semi-annually in arrears and the principal is due in five equal annual installments, with first payment made on June 2, 2007, which reduced the principal balance to \$48.0 million. Among other restrictions, the Note Agreement, under which the senior notes were sold, requires the Company to maintain a minimum tangible net worth, funded debt to Adjusted EBITDA ratios and rolling fixed charge ratios relative to Adjusted EBITDA similar to the \$190.0 million unsecured line of credit agreement described above. At December 31, 2007, the Company was in compliance with all covenants related to the Note Agreement.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

The Company's material contractual obligations and commitments consist of \$195.0 million revolving lines of credit (collectively, the Revolving Credit Lines) with its banks expiring in 2008, \$48.0 million of 5.08% senior notes due in 2011, and operating leases for facilities. The operating lease amounts exclude property taxes and insurance. The table below provides a summary of the Company's contractual obligations and reflects expected payments due as of December 31, 2007 and does not reflect changes that could arise after that date.

Payments Due by Period

(dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Total | Less than 1 Year | 1 - 3 Years | 3-5 Years | More than 5 Years |
|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------|----------------------|
| Revolving Lines of Credit | \$ 149,729 | \$ 149,729 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 5.08% Senior Notes due in 2011 | 52,877 | 14,134 | 38,743 | | |
| Operating Leases for Facilities | 1,134 | 838 | 296 | | |
| Total Contractual Obligations | \$ 203,740 | \$ 164,701 | \$ 39,039 | \$ | \$ |

The Company believes that its needs for working capital and capital expenditures through 2008 and beyond will be adequately met by operating cash flow, proceeds from the sale of rental equipment, and bank borrowings.

Please see the Company's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows on page 55 for a more detailed presentation of the sources and uses of the Company's cash.

Critical Accounting Policies

In response to the Securities and Exchange Commission's Release No. 33-8040, Cautionary Advice Regarding Disclosure About Critical Accounting Policies, the Company has identified the most critical accounting principles upon which its financial status depends. The Company determined the critical principles by considering accounting policies that involve the most complex or subjective decisions or assessments. The Company has identified its most critical accounting policies as depreciation, maintenance and repair, and impairment of rental equipment. Descriptions of these accounting policies are found in both the notes to the consolidated financial statements and at relevant sections in this management's discussion and analysis.

Depreciation The estimated useful lives and estimated residual values used for rental equipment are based on the Company's experience as to the economic useful life and sale value of its products. Additionally, to the extent information is publicly available, the Company also compares its depreciation policies to other companies with similar rental products for reasonableness.

The lives and residual values of rental equipment are subject to periodic evaluation. For modular equipment, external factors to consider may include, but are not limited to, changes in legislation, regulations, building codes, local permitting, and supply or demand. Internal factors for modulars may include, but are not limited to, change in equipment specifications, condition of equipment, or maintenance policies. For electronic test equipment, external factors to consider may include, but are not limited to, technological advances, changes in manufacturers selling prices, and supply or demand. Internal factors for electronic test equipment may include, but are not limited to, change in equipment specifications, condition of equipment or maintenance policies.

Changes in useful lives or residual values will impact depreciation expense and any gain or loss from the sale of used equipment. Depending on the magnitude of such changes, the impact on the financial statements could be significant.

Maintenance, Repair and Refurbishment Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. The direct material and labor costs of value-added additions or major refurbishment of modular buildings are capitalized to the extent the refurbishment significantly improves the quality and adds value or life to the equipment. Judgement is involved as to when these costs should be capitalized. The Company's policies narrowly limit the capitalization of value-added items to specific additions such as restrooms, 40 and 60-foot sidewalls and ventilation upgrades. In addition, only major refurbishment costs incurred near the end of the estimated useful life of the rental equipment, which extend its useful life, and are subject to certain limitations, are capitalized. Changes in these policies could impact the Company's financial results.

Impairment The carrying value of the Company's rental equipment is its capitalized cost less accumulated depreciation. To the extent events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value cannot be recovered, an impairment loss is recognized to reduce the carrying value to fair value. The Company determines fair value based upon the condition of the equipment and the projected net cash flows from its sale considering current market conditions. Additionally, if the Company decides to sell or otherwise dispose of the rental equipment, it is carried at the lower of cost or fair value less costs to sell or dispose. Due to uncertainties inherent in the valuation process and market conditions, it is reasonably possible that actual results of operating and disposing of rental equipment could be materially different than current expectations.

Impact of Inflation

Although the Company cannot precisely determine the effect of inflation, from time to time it has experienced increases in costs of rental equipment, manufacturing costs, operating expenses and interest. Because a majority of its rentals are relatively short term, the Company has generally been able to pass on such increased costs through increases in rental rates and selling prices, but there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to continue to pass on increased costs to customers in the future.

Off Balance Sheet Transactions

As of December 31, 2007, the Company did not have any off-balance-sheet arrangements, as defined in Item 303(a)(4)(ii) of Regulation S-K.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

The Company is exposed to cash flow and fair value risk due to changes in interest rates with respect to its notes payable. Weighted average variable rates are based on implied forward rates in the yield curve at December 31, 2007. The estimate of fair value of the Company's fixed rate debt is based on the borrowing rates currently available to the Company for bank loans with similar terms and average maturities. The table below presents principal cash flows by expected annual maturities, related weighted average interest rates and estimated fair value of the Company's notes payable as of December 31, 2007.

Expected Annual Maturities of Notes Payable as of December 31, 2007

(dollar amounts in thousands)

| | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | Thereafter | Total | Estimated Fair Value |
|--------------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------|------------|------------|----------------------------|
| 5.08% Senior Notes due in 2011 | \$ 12,000 | \$ 12,000 | \$ 12,000 | \$ 12,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ 48,000 | \$ 48,570 |
| Average Interest Rate | 5.08% | 5.08% | 5.08% | 5.08% | | | 5.08% | |
| Revolving Lines of Credit | \$ 149,729 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 149,729 | \$ 149,729 |
| Average Interest Rate | 6.01% | | | | | | 6.01% | |

The Company formed a wholly owned Canadian subsidiary, TRS-RenTelco Inc. in conjunction with the TRS acquisition (see Item 1 Business History, Strategic Expansion and Acquisitions and Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements). The Canadian operations of the Company subject it to foreign currency risks (i.e. the possibility that the financial results could be better or worse than planned because of changes in foreign currency exchange rates). Currently, the Company does not use derivative instruments to hedge its economic exposure with respect to assets, liabilities and firm commitments denominated in foreign currencies. In 2007, the Company has experienced minimal impact on net income due to foreign exchange rate fluctuations. Although there can be no assurances, given the size of the Canadian operations, the Company does not expect future foreign exchange gains and losses to be significant.

The Company has no derivative financial instruments that expose the Company to significant market risk.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

| Index | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <u>Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting</u> | 49 |
| <u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 50 |
| <u>Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting</u> | 50 |
| <u>Report on Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 51 |
| Consolidated Financial Statements | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2007 and 2006</u> | 52 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005</u> | 53 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005</u> | 54 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005</u> | 55 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 56 |

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Company's management is responsible for the preparation and integrity of the consolidated financial statements appearing in our Annual Report filed on Form 10-K. The consolidated financial statements were prepared in conformity with United States generally accepted accounting principles and include amounts based on management's estimates and judgments. All other financial information in this report has been presented on a basis consistent with the information included in the financial statements.

The Company's management is also responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company maintains a system of internal control that is designed to provide reasonable assurance as to the reliable preparation and presentation of the consolidated financial statements, as well as to safeguard assets from unauthorized use or disposition.

The Company's system of internal control over financial reporting is embodied in the Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. It sets the tone of our organization and includes factors such as integrity and ethical values. Our internal control over financial reporting is supported by formal policies and procedures, which are reviewed, modified and improved as changes occur in business conditions and operations.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, which is composed solely of outside directors, meets periodically with members of management and the independent auditors to review and discuss internal controls over financial reporting, as well as accounting and financial reporting matters. The independent auditors report to the Audit Committee and accordingly have full and free access to the Audit Committee at any time.

The Company's management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 based on the criteria set forth in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on its evaluation, management believes that, as of December 31, 2007, the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective based on those criteria.

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Board of Directors and Shareholders of McGrath RentCorp and Subsidiaries:

We have audited McGrath RentCorp and Subsidiaries' Internal Control over Financial as of December 31, 2007, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). McGrath RentCorp and Subsidiaries' management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on McGrath RentCorp and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, McGrath RentCorp and Subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by COSO.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of McGrath RentCorp and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and the related statements of income, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007, and our report dated February 25, 2008 expresses an unqualified opinion on these statements.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

San Francisco, California

February 25, 2008

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Continued)

Report on Consolidated Financial Statements

Board of Directors and Shareholders of McGrath RentCorp and Subsidiaries:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of McGrath RentCorp and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of McGrath RentCorp and Subsidiaries at December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of McGrath RentCorp and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated February 25, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ **GRANT THORNTON LLP**

San Francisco, California

February 25, 2008

MCGRATH RENTCORP

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| <u>Assets</u> | | |
| Cash | \$ 5,090 | \$ 349 |
| Accounts Receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,400 in 2007 and \$1,000 in 2006 | 67,061 | 59,834 |
| Rental Equipment, at cost: | | |
| Relocatable Modular Buildings | 475,077 | 451,828 |
| Electronic Test Equipment | 232,349 | 186,673 |
| | 707,426 | 638,501 |
| Less Accumulated Depreciation | (221,412) | (187,159) |
| Rental Equipment, net | 486,014 | 451,342 |
| Property, Plant and Equipment, net | 66,480 | 58,146 |
| Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets | 17,591 | 15,871 |
| Total Assets | \$ 642,236 | \$ 585,542 |
| <u>Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</u> | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Notes Payable | \$ 197,729 | \$ 165,557 |
| Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities | 55,642 | 55,509 |
| Deferred Income | 28,948 | 25,852 |
| Minority Interest in Subsidiary | | 3,479 |
| Deferred Income Taxes, net | 115,886 | 104,353 |
| Total Liabilities | 398,205 | 354,750 |
| Commitments and Contingencies (Note 8) | | |
| Shareholders' Equity: | | |
| Common Stock, no par value | | |
| Authorized 40,000 shares | | |
| Issued and Outstanding 24,578 shares in 2007 and 25,090 shares in 2006 | 41,917 | 33,963 |
| Retained Earnings | 202,114 | 196,829 |
| Total Shareholders' Equity | 244,031 | 230,792 |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | \$ 642,236 | \$ 585,542 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

MCGRATH RENTCORP

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

| <i>(in thousands, except per share amounts)</i> | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Revenues | | | |
| Rental | \$ 185,317 | \$ 168,940 | \$ 152,316 |
| Rental Related Services | 34,713 | 31,599 | 26,460 |
| Rental Operations | 220,030 | 200,539 | 178,776 |
| Sales | 57,829 | 64,085 | 90,823 |
| Other | 2,550 | 2,442 | 2,581 |
| Total Revenues | 280,409 | 267,066 | 272,180 |
| Costs and Expenses | | | |
| Direct Costs of Rental Operations | | | |
| Depreciation of Rental Equipment | 51,642 | 45,353 | 44,178 |
| Rental Related Services | 24,257 | 21,830 | 17,893 |
| Other | 33,363 | 33,576 | 29,292 |
| Total Direct Costs of Rental Operations | 109,262 | 100,759 | 91,363 |
| Cost of Sales | 40,591 | 44,481 | 67,378 |
| Total Costs of Revenues | 149,853 | 145,240 | 158,741 |
| Gross Profit | 130,556 | 121,826 | 113,439 |
| Selling and Administrative | 50,026 | 45,499 | 39,819 |
| Income from Operations | 80,530 | 76,327 | 73,620 |
| Interest Expense | 10,719 | 10,760 | 7,890 |
| Income before Provision for Income Taxes | 69,811 | 65,567 | 65,730 |
| Provision for Income Taxes | 27,337 | 24,209 | 24,649 |
| Income before Minority Interest | 42,474 | 41,358 | 41,081 |
| Minority Interest in Income of Subsidiary | 64 | 280 | 262 |
| Net Income | \$ 42,410 | \$ 41,078 | \$ 40,819 |
| Earnings Per Share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.65 | \$ 1.65 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.67 | \$ 1.63 | \$ 1.61 |
| Shares Used in Per Share Calculations: | | | |
| Basic | 25,231 | 24,948 | 24,668 |
| Diluted | 25,443 | 25,231 | 25,331 |
| Cash Dividends Declared Per Share | \$ 0.72 | \$ 0.64 | \$ 0.56 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

MCGRATH RENTCORP

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

| (in thousands, except per share amounts) | Common Stock | | Retained Earnings | Total Shareholders Equity |
|---|--------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2004 | 24,543 | \$ 21,586 | \$ 145,302 | \$ 166,888 |
| Net Income | | | 40,819 | 40,819 |
| Repurchase of Common Stock | (1) | (1) | (29) | (30) |
| Non-Cash Stock Compensation | | 44 | | 44 |
| Exercise of Stock Options | 290 | 3,313 | | 3,313 |
| Excess Tax Benefit from the Exercise of Stock Options | | 1,282 | | 1,282 |
| Dividends Declared of \$0.56 Per Share | | | (13,847) | (13,847) |
| Balance at December 31, 2005 | 24,832 | \$ 26,224 | \$ 172,245 | \$ 198,469 |
| Net Income | | | 41,078 | 41,078 |
| Repurchase of Common Stock | (23) | (24) | (502) | (526) |
| Non-Cash Stock Compensation | | 3,125 | | 3,125 |
| Exercise of Stock Options | 281 | 3,591 | | 3,591 |
| Excess Tax Benefit from the Exercise of Stock Options | | 1,047 | | 1,047 |
| Dividends Declared of \$0.64 Per Share | | | (15,992) | (15,992) |
| Balance at December 31, 2006 | 25,090 | \$ 33,963 | \$ 196,829 | \$ 230,792 |
| Net Income | | | 42,410 | 42,410 |
| Repurchase of Common Stock | (798) | (1,077) | (19,112) | (20,189) |
| Non-Cash Stock Compensation | | 3,457 | | 3,457 |
| Exercise of Stock Options | 286 | 4,194 | | 4,194 |
| Excess Tax Benefit from the Exercise of Stock Options | | 1,380 | | 1,380 |
| Dividends Declared of \$0.72 Per Share | | | (18,013) | (18,013) |
| Balance at December 31, 2007 | 24,578 | \$ 41,917 | \$ 202,114 | \$ 244,031 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

MCGRATH RENTCORP

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| (in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities: | | | |
| Net Income | \$ 42,410 | \$ 41,078 | \$ 40,819 |
| Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities: | | | |
| Depreciation | 54,002 | 47,461 | 46,433 |
| Provision for Doubtful Accounts | 1,195 | 863 | 845 |
| Non-Cash Stock Compensation | 3,457 | 3,125 | 44 |
| Gain on Sale of Rental Equipment | (10,027) | (9,747) | (9,662) |
| Change In: | | | |
| Accounts Receivable | (8,422) | 3,727 | (9,979) |
| Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets | (1,721) | 148 | (1,312) |
| Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities | (631) | 8,829 | 2,646 |
| Deferred Income | 3,096 | (2,280) | 2,311 |
| Deferred Income Taxes | 11,533 | 5,915 | 9,708 |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities | 94,892 | 99,119 | 81,853 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities: | | | |
| Purchase of Rental Equipment | (104,010) | (109,920) | (105,501) |
| Purchase of Property, Plant and Equipment | (10,482) | (4,247) | (10,512) |
| Purchase of Minority Interest in Subsidiary | (3,756) | | |
| Proceeds from Sale of Rental Equipment | 25,694 | 24,144 | 31,406 |
| Net Cash Used in Investing Activities | (92,554) | (90,023) | (84,607) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities: | | | |
| Net Borrowings Under Bank Lines of Credit | 32,172 | 2,325 | 11,344 |
| Proceeds from the Exercise of Stock Options | 4,194 | 3,591 | 3,313 |
| Excess Tax Benefit from Exercise and Disqualifying Disposition of Stock Options | 1,381 | 1,047 | 1,282 |
| Repurchase of Common Stock | (17,671) | (526) | (30) |
| Payment of Dividends | (17,673) | (15,460) | (13,068) |
| Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities | 2,403 | (9,023) | 2,841 |
| Net Increase in Cash | 4,741 | 73 | 87 |
| Cash Balance, beginning of period | 349 | 276 | 189 |
| Cash Balance, end of period | \$ 5,090 | \$ 349 | \$ 276 |
| Interest Paid, during the period | \$ 10,718 | \$ 10,511 | \$ 7,799 |
| Income Taxes Paid, during the period | \$ 14,424 | \$ 17,248 | \$ 22,871 |
| Dividends Declared, not yet paid | \$ 4,536 | \$ 4,016 | \$ 3,479 |
| Rental Equipment Acquisitions, not yet paid | \$ 7,403 | \$ 9,432 | \$ 14,694 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

MCGRATH RENTCORP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1. ORGANIZATION AND BUSINESS

McGrath RentCorp (the Company) is a California corporation organized in 1979. The Company is a rental company with two rental products; relocatable modular buildings and electronic test equipment. Although the Company's primary emphasis is on equipment rentals, sales of equipment occur in the normal course of business. The Company is comprised of three business segments: its modular building division (Mobile Modular), its electronic test equipment division (TRS-RenTelco), and its classroom manufacturing business (Enviroplex), a wholly owned subsidiary) selling modular classrooms in California.

Mobile Modular rents and sells modular buildings and accessories to fulfill customers' temporary and permanent space needs in California, Texas, Florida, North Carolina and Georgia. These modular buildings are used as classrooms, temporary offices adjacent to existing facilities, sales offices, construction field offices, health care clinics, child care facilities and for a variety of other purposes. Significant portions of Mobile Modular's rental and sales revenues are derived from the educational market and are primarily affected by demand for classrooms, which in turn is affected by shifting and fluctuating school populations, the level of state funding to public schools, the need for temporary classroom space during reconstruction of older schools and changes in policies regarding class size. Looking forward, the Company believes that any interruption in the passage of facility bonds, contraction of class size reduction programs, a lack of fiscal funding by the state for existing contracts, or a significant reduction of future funding to public schools may have a material adverse effect on both rental and sales revenues of the Company.

TRS-RenTelco rents and sells electronic test equipment nationally and internationally from its Grapevine, Texas (Dallas Area) and Dollard-des-Ormeaux, Canada (Montreal Area) facilities. TRS-RenTelco revenues are derived from the rental and sale of general purpose and communications test equipment to a broad range of companies, from Fortune 500 to middle and smaller market companies, in the aerospace, defense, electronics, industrial, research and semiconductor industries. Electronic test equipment revenues are primarily affected by the business activity within these industries related to research and development, manufacturing, and communication infrastructure installation and maintenance.

Enviroplex manufactures portable classrooms built to the requirements of the California Division of the State Architect (DSA) and sells directly to California public school districts and other educational institutions.

Significant risks of rental equipment ownership are borne by the Company, which include, but are not limited to, uncertainties in the market for its products over the equipment's useful life, use limitations for modular equipment related to updated building codes or legislative changes, technological obsolescence of electronic test equipment, and rental equipment deterioration. The Company believes it mitigates these risks by continuing advocacy and collaboration with governing agencies and legislative bodies for continuing use of its modular products, staying abreast of technology trends in order to make good buy-sell decisions of electronic test equipment, and ongoing investment in repair and maintenance programs to insure both types of rental equipment are maintained in good operating condition.

NOTE 2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of McGrath RentCorp, Mobile Modular Management Corporation, Enviroplex and TRS-RenTelco Inc. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Revenue Recognition

Rental revenue from operating leases is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 13, Accounting for Leases . Rental billings for periods extending beyond month end are recorded as deferred income and are recognized as earned. Rental related services revenue is primarily associated with relocatable modular building leases and consists of billings to customers for modifications, delivery, installation, building, additional site related work, and dismantle and return delivery. Revenue from these services is an integral part of the negotiated lease agreement with the customer and is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.

MCGRATH RENTCORP**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** *(Continued)*

Sales revenue is recognized upon delivery and installation of the equipment to the customer. Certain financed sales meeting the requirements of SFAS No. 13 are accounted for as sales-type leases. For these leases, sales revenue and the related accounts receivable are recognized upon delivery and installation of the equipment and the unearned interest is recognized over the lease term on a basis which results in a constant rate of return on the unrecovered lease investment.

Other revenue is recognized when earned and primarily includes interest income on sales-type leases, rental income on facility rentals and certain logistics services.

Sales taxes charged to customers are reported on a net basis and excluded from revenues and expenses.

Depreciation of Rental Equipment

Rental equipment is depreciated on a straight-line basis for financial reporting purposes and on an accelerated basis for income tax purposes. The costs of major refurbishment of relocatable modular buildings are capitalized to the extent the refurbishment significantly adds value to, or extends the life of the equipment. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred.

The estimated useful lives and residual values of the Company's rental equipment used for financial reporting purposes are as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| Relocatable modular buildings and accessories | 3 to 18 years, 0% to 50% residual value |
| Electronic test equipment and accessories | 2 to 8 years, no residual value |

Costs of Rental Related Services

Costs of rental related services are primarily associated with relocatable modular building leases and consist of costs for services to be provided under the negotiated lease agreement for delivery, installation, modifications, skirting, additional site related work, and dismantle and return delivery. Costs related to these services are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.

Impairment of Rental Equipment

The Company evaluates the carrying value of rental equipment in accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*. Under SFAS No. 144, rental equipment is reviewed for impairment whenever events or circumstances have occurred that would indicate the carrying amount may not be fully recoverable. A key element in determining the recoverability of the rental equipment's carrying value is the Company's outlook as to the future market conditions for its equipment. If the carrying amount is not fully recoverable, an impairment loss is recognized to reduce the carrying amount to fair value. The Company determines fair value based upon the condition of the equipment and the projected net cash flows from its sale considering current market conditions.

Other Direct Costs of Rental Operations

Other direct costs of rental operations primarily relate to costs associated with modular operations and include direct labor, supplies, repairs, insurance, property taxes, and license fees. Other direct costs of rental operations also include certain modular lease costs charged to the customer in the negotiated rental rate, which are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales in the Consolidated Statements of Income includes the carrying value of the equipment sold and all direct costs associated with the sale.

MCGRATH RENTCORP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Warranty Reserves

Sales of new relocatable modular buildings, electronic test equipment and related accessories not manufactured by the Company are typically covered by warranties provided by the manufacturer of the products sold. The Company typically provides limited 90-day warranties for certain sales of used rental equipment and a one-year warranty on equipment manufactured by Enviroplex. Although the Company's policy is to provide reserves for warranties when required for specific circumstances, the Company has not found it necessary to establish such reserves to date as warranty costs have not been significant.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is recognized on a straight-line basis for financial reporting purposes, and on an accelerated basis for income tax purposes with no residual values. Depreciation expense is included in Selling and Administrative expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred.

Property, plant and equipment consist of the following:

| | Estimated Useful Life In Years | | December 31, | |
|--|--------------------------------------|----|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| | | | 2007 | 2006 |
| | | | <i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i> | |
| Land | | | \$ 26,046 | \$ 25,834 |
| Land improvements | 20 | 50 | 22,247 | 22,203 |
| Buildings | 30 | | 11,779 | 11,763 |
| Furniture, Office and Computer Equipment | 5 | 10 | 7,077 | 5,822 |
| Machinery and Service Equipment | 5 | 20 | 2,796 | 2,760 |
| | | | 69,945 | 68,382 |
| Less Accumulated Depreciation | | | (15,216) | (13,082) |
| | | | 54,729 | 55,300 |
| Construction In Progress | | | 11,751 | 2,846 |
| | | | \$ 66,480 | \$ 58,146 |

Construction in progress at December 31, 2007 consisted primarily of \$6.1 million related to the Company's new ERP system and \$5.1 million related to development of a sales and inventory center in Florida. Construction in progress at December 31, 2006 consisted primarily of \$2.8 million of costs related to the new ERP system.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for using an asset and liability approach. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded based on the differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities at the tax rates in effect when these differences are expected to reverse.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share (EPS) is computed as net income divided by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS is computed as net income divided by the weighted average number of shares outstanding of common stock and common stock equivalents for the period including the dilutive effects of stock options and other potentially dilutive securities. Common stock equivalents result from the number of dilutive options computed using the treasury stock method and the average share price for the reported period. The effect of dilutive options on the weighted average number of shares for the

MCGRATH RENTCORP**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was 212,241, 283,080, and 662,260, respectively. Stock options to purchase 530,000, 545,500, and 106,000 shares in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, of the Company's common stock were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because the exercise price exceeded the average market price and the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

Accounts Receivable and Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company's accounts receivable consist of amounts due from customers for rentals, sales, financed sales and unbilled amounts for the portion of Mobile Modular end-of-lease services earned, which were negotiated as part of the lease agreement. Unbilled receivables related to end-of-lease services were \$17.4 million and \$14.7 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The Company sells primarily on 30-day terms, individually performs credit evaluation procedures on its customers on each transaction and will require security deposits from its customers when a significant credit risk is identified. The Company records an allowance for doubtful accounts in amounts equal to the estimated losses expected to be incurred in the collection of the accounts. The estimated losses are based on historical collection experience in conjunction with an evaluation of the current status of the existing accounts. Customer accounts are written off against the allowance for doubtful accounts when an account is determined to be uncollectable. The allowance for doubtful accounts activity was as follows:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | 2007 | 2006 |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Beginning Balance, January 1 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,000 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 1,195 | 863 |
| Write-offs, net of recoveries | (795) | (863) |
| Ending Balance, December 31 | \$ 1,400 | \$ 1,000 |

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentration of credit risk consist primarily of trade accounts receivable. A significant portion of the Company's total revenues are derived from the educational market. Within the educational market, modular rentals and sales to public school districts for kindergarten through grade twelve (K-12) comprised approximately 30%, 33% and 34% of the Company's consolidated rental and sales revenues for 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, with no one customer accounting for more than 10% of the Company's consolidated revenues in any single year. A lack of fiscal funding or a significant reduction of funding from the respective states, in particular, the States of California and Florida, to public schools could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company believes that the carrying amounts for cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and notes payable approximate their fair value except for fixed rate debt included in notes payable which has an estimated fair value of \$48,570,000, and \$58,659,000 compared to the recorded value of \$48,000,000 and \$60,000,000 as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The estimates of fair value of the Company's fixed rate debt are based on the borrowing rates currently available to the Company for bank loans with similar terms and average maturities.

Foreign Currency Transactions

The Company's Canadian subsidiary, TRS-RenTelco Inc., a British Columbia corporation, functions as a branch sales office for TRS-RenTelco in Canada. Since the functional currency of the Company's Canadian subsidiary is the U.S. dollar, foreign currency transaction gains and losses of the Company's Canadian subsidiary are reported in the results of operations in the period in which they occur. Currently, the Company does not use derivative instruments to hedge its economic exposure with respect to assets, liabilities and firm commitments as the foreign currency transactions and risks to date have not been significant.

MCGRATH RENTCORP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Stock-Based Compensation

Beginning on January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS No. 123R) using the modified prospective method, which requires the expensing of employee stock options at fair value. Under the modified prospective method, compensation expense recognized includes the estimated expense for stock options granted on and subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123R, and the estimated expense for the portion vesting in the period for options granted prior to, but not vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123 Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS No. 123). Stock based compensation expense is recognized net of estimated forfeitures. Results for prior periods have not been restated, as provided for under the modified prospective method. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the Company used the intrinsic method of valuing share-based payment transactions allowed under Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees . Accordingly, because stock option grant prices equaled market prices on the dates of grant, no compensation expense was recognized by the Company for stock-based compensation. As permitted by the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, stock-based compensation was historically reported as a pro forma disclosure in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

The Company utilizes the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of employee stock-based compensation at the date of grant, which requires the use of accounting judgment and financial estimates, including estimates of the expected term option holders will retain their vested stock options before exercising them, the estimated volatility of the Company's stock price over the expected term and the number of options that will be forfeited prior to the completion of their vesting requirements. Application of alternative assumptions could produce significantly different estimates of the fair value of stock-based compensation and consequently, the related amounts recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the non-cash stock-based compensation expense included in Selling and Administrative Expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Income was \$3.5 million and \$3.1 million before provision for income taxes, respectively. The Company recorded a tax benefit of approximately \$1.4 million and \$1.2 million related to the aforementioned stock-based compensation expenses. For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the stock-based compensation expenses, net of taxes, reduced net income by \$2.1 million and \$1.9 million, respectively or \$0.08 per diluted share for both periods.

The following table shows on a pro forma basis the effect on net income and earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2005 had compensation cost for the stock-based compensation plans been determined based upon the fair value at grant in accordance with SFAS No. 123R:

| <i>(in thousands, except per share amounts)</i> | Year Ended December, 31 2005 |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Net Income, as reported | \$ 40,819 |
| Pro Forma Compensation Charge | (1,543) |
| Pro Forma Net Income | \$ 39,276 |
| Earnings Per Share: | |
| Basic as reported | \$ 1.65 |
| Basic pro forma | 1.59 |
| Diluted as reported | 1.61 |
| Diluted pro forma | 1.55 |

MCGRATH RENTCORP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The fair value of each option granted was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model using the following weighted average assumptions:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Expected term (in years) | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.5 |
| Expected volatility | 29.6% | 30.1% | 37.8% |
| Expected dividend yields | 2.3% | 2.0% | 2.3% |
| Risk-free interest rates | 4.6% | 4.4% | 3.9% |

The Company monitors option exercise behavior to determine the appropriate homogenous groups for estimation purposes. Currently, the Company's option activity is separated into two categories: directors and employees. The expected term of the options represents the estimated period of time until exercised and is based on historical experience, giving consideration to the option terms, vesting schedules and expectations of future employee behavior. Expected stock volatility is based on historical stock price volatility of the Company and the risk free interest rates are based on U.S. Treasury yields in effect on the date of the option grant for the estimated period the options will be outstanding. The expected dividend yield is based upon the current dividend annualized as a percentage of the grant exercise price.

The weighted average fair value per share of grants at grant dates was \$8.46, \$8.37 and \$7.75 during the years ended 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No.157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company does not believe the adoption of SFAS No. 157 will have a significant effect on the Company's financial condition, or results of operations.

In February 2007, FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (SFAS No. 159). SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. SFAS No. 159 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company does not believe the adoption of SFAS No. 159 will have a significant effect on its financial condition, or results of operations.

In December 2007, FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), Business Combinations, and SFAS No. 160, Accounting and Reporting of Noncontrolling Interest in Consolidated Financial Statements, an Amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin (ARB) No. 51. These new standards will significantly change the accounting for and reporting of business combination transactions and noncontrolling (minority) interests in consolidated financial statements. SFAS Nos. 141(R) and 160 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of SFAS Nos. 141(R) and 160 will have on its financial condition and results of operations. We expect the impact to be limited to any business combination transactions that occur after December 31, 2008.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions in determining reported amounts of assets and liabilities,

MCGRATH RENTCORP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during each period presented. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The most significant estimates included in the financial statements are the future cash flows and fair values used to determine the recoverability of the rental equipment's carrying value, the various assets' useful lives and residual values, and the allowance for doubtful accounts.

Reclassifications

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation. Such reclassifications did not affect total revenues, operating income or net income.

NOTE 3. FINANCED LEASE RECEIVABLES

The Company has entered into sales type leases to finance certain equipment sales to customers. The lease agreements have a bargain purchase option at the end of the lease term. The minimum lease payments receivable and the net investment included in accounts receivable for such leases are as follows:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|----------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Gross minimum lease payments receivable | \$ 3,114 | \$ 4,588 |
| Less unearned interest | (430) | (747) |
| Net investment in sales type lease receivables | \$ 2,684 | \$ 3,841 |

As of December 31, 2007, the future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable leases to be received in 2008 and thereafter are as follows:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|
| Year Ended December 31, | |
| 2008 | \$ 2,287 |
| 2009 | 504 |
| 2010 | 269 |
| 2011 | 44 |
| 2012 | 10 |
| 2013 and thereafter | |
| Total minimum future lease payments | \$3,114 |

NOTE 4. NOTES PAYABLE

Notes Payable consist of the following:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | December 31, | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| 5.08% Senior Notes due in 2011 | \$ 48,000 | \$ 60,000 |

Edgar Filing: MCGRATH RENTCORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Unsecured Revolving Lines of Credit | 149,729 | 105,557 |
| | \$ 197,729 | \$ 165,557 |

MCGRATH RENTCORP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Senior Notes

In June 2004, the Company completed a private placement of \$60.0 million of 5.08% senior notes (Notes) due in 2011. Interest on these Notes is due semi-annually in arrears and the principal is due in five equal annual installments with first payment made on June 2, 2007. Among other restrictions, the Note Agreement, under which the Notes were sold, requires the Company to maintain a minimum tangible net worth, funded debt to EBITDA ratios and rolling fixed charge ratios relative to EBITDA similar to the \$190.0 million unsecured line of credit agreement described below. At December 31, 2007, the Company was in compliance with all covenants related to the Note Agreement.

Revolving Lines of Credit

In July 2005, the Company increased its unsecured line of credit from \$130.0 million to \$190.0 million and extended the term of the credit agreement (the Credit Agreement) through June 30, 2008. The Company increased its borrowings under this line by \$48.0 million during 2007, and at December 31, 2007 the outstanding borrowings under this line were \$149.5 million. The Credit Agreement requires the Company to pay interest at the prime rate or, at the Company's election, at other rate options available under the Agreement. In addition, the Company pays a commitment fee on the daily average unused portion of the available line. Among other restrictions, the Credit Agreement requires the Company to:

- (1) maintain a minimum net worth of \$127.5 million plus 50% of all net income generated subsequent to December 31, 2003 plus 90% of the gross proceeds of any new stock issuance proceeds, which was \$215.4 million at December 31, 2007 (at December 31, 2007 actual was \$242.2 million);
- (2) maintain a leverage ratio of funded debt to Adjusted EBITDA (as defined) not to exceed 2.25 during specified periods (at December 31, 2007 the ratio was 1.43); and
- (3) maintain a fixed charge coverage of Adjusted EBITDA (as defined) to fixed charges of at least 2.00 during specified periods (at December 31, 2007 the ratio was 3.22).

At December 31, 2007, the Company was in compliance with these covenants. There are no anticipated trends that the Company is aware of that would indicate non-compliance with these covenants, though, significant deterioration in our financial performance could impact the Company's ability to comply with these covenants and has the capacity to borrow up to an additional \$45.3 million under the existing bank lines of credit.

The following information relates to the lines of credit for each of the following periods:

| <i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i> | Year Ended December 31, | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Maximum amount outstanding | \$ 149,729 | \$ 136,893 |
| Average amount outstanding | \$ 127,804 | \$ 117,621 |
| Weighted average interest rate, during the period | 6.18% | 6.47% |
| Weighted average interest rate, end of period | 6.01% | 6.31% |
| Prime interest rate, end of period | 7.25% | 8.25% |

MCGRATH RENTCORP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

NOTE 5. INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes consists of the following:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Current | \$ 16,228 | \$ 16,135 | \$ 21,188 |
| Deferred | 11,109 | 8,074 | 3,461 |
| | \$ 27,337 | \$ 24,209 | \$ 24,649 |

The reconciliation of the federal statutory tax rate to the Company's effective tax rate is as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Federal statutory rate | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| State taxes, net of federal benefit | 4.2 | 2.3 | 2.6 |
| Other | | (0.4) | (0.1) |
| | 39.2% | 36.9% | 37.5% |

The following table shows the deferred income taxes related to the temporary differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and the respective amounts included in Deferred Income Taxes, net on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | December 31, | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Deferred Tax Liabilities: | | |
| Accelerated Depreciation | \$ 120,870 | \$ 108,718 |
| Prepaid Costs Currently Deductible | 5,243 | 4,713 |
| Other | 1,263 | 1,111 |
| Total Deferred Tax Liabilities | 127,376 | 114,542 |
| Deferred Tax Assets: | | |
| Accrued Costs Not Yet Deductible | 5,322 | 4,555 |
| Deferred Revenues | 2,035 | 2,799 |
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts | 543 | 389 |
| Other | 3,590 | 2,446 |
| Total Deferred Tax Assets | 11,490 | 10,189 |

| | | |
|----------------------------|------------|------------|
| Deferred Income Taxes, net | \$ 115,886 | \$ 104,353 |
|----------------------------|------------|------------|

In 2007, 2006 and 2005 the Company obtained an excess tax benefit of \$1,380,000, \$1,047,000 and \$1,282,000 respectively, from the exercise of non-qualified options and early disposition of stock obtained through the exercise of incentive stock options by employees. The tax benefit was recorded as common stock in conjunction with the proceeds received from the exercise of the stock options.

In July 2006, FASB issued FASB Interpretation Number 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, (FIN48) an Interpretation of SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. The Company adopted the provisions of FIN 48 on January 1, 2007. Previously, the Company had

Edgar Filing: MCGRATH RENTCORP - Form 10-K

accounted for tax contingencies in accordance SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies . As required by FIN 48, which clarifies SFAS No. 109, the Company recognizes the financial statement benefit of a tax position only after

MCGRATH RENTCORP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

determining that the relevant tax authority would more likely than not sustain the position following an audit. For tax positions meeting the more-likely-than-not threshold, the amount recognized in the financial statements is the largest benefit that has a greater than 50 percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the relevant tax authority. At January 1, 2007, the Company applied FIN 48 to all tax positions for which the statute of limitations remained open and determined there were no material unrecognized tax benefits as of that date. In addition, there have been no material changes in unrecognized benefits since January 1, 2007. As a result, the adoption of FIN 48 did not have a material effect on the Company's financial condition, or results of operation.

The Company is subject to income taxes in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and various states and foreign jurisdictions. Tax regulations within each jurisdiction are subject to interpretation of the related tax laws and regulations and require the application of significant judgment. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or non-U.S. income tax examinations by tax authorities for the years before 2003.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in the provision for income taxes for all periods presented. Such interest and penalties were not significant.

NOTE 6. BENEFIT PLANS**Stock Plans**

The Company adopted the 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2007 Plan), effective June 6, 2007, under which 1,875,000 shares of common stock of the Company, plus the number of shares that remain available for grants of awards under the Company's 1998 Stock Option Plan (the 1998 Plan) and those shares that become available as a result of forfeiture, termination, or expiration of awards previously granted under the 1998 Plan, are reserved for the grant of awards to its employees, directors and consultants to acquire common stock of the Company. The awards have a maximum term of 10 years. Options under the 2007 Plan are granted at an exercise price of not less than 100% of the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. The 2007 Plan replaces the Company's 1998 Plan and the 2000 Long-Term Bonus Plan (the 2000 Plan). The 2000 Plan, under which no awards have been granted, only provided for the grant of stock bonuses to officers and key employees.

As of December 31, 2007, a cumulative total of 4,224,500 shares subject to options have been granted with exercise prices ranging from \$7.81 to \$34.28. Of these, options have been exercised for the purchase of 1,528,654 shares, while options for 522,500 shares have been terminated, and options for 2,173,346 shares remain outstanding under the stock plans. Most of these options vest over five years and expire ten years after grant. To date, no options have been issued to any of McGrath RentCorp's non-employee advisors. As of December 31, 2007, 2,173,000 shares remain available for issuance of awards under the stock plans.

Option activity and options exercisable including the weighted average exercise price for the three years ended December 31, 2007 are as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| | 2007 | | 2006 | | 2005 | |
| | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| Options outstanding at January 1, | 2,015,219 | \$ 20.72 | 1,852,054 | \$ 17.30 | 1,481,604 | \$ 13.27 |
| Options granted during the year | 574,000 | 31.27 | 486,500 | 29.28 | 686,000 | 23.48 |
| Options exercised during the year | (285,273) | 14.70 | (280,855) | 12.78 | (290,550) | 11.44 |
| Options terminated during the year | (130,600) | 20.54 | (42,450) | 22.24 | (25,000) | 20.11 |
| Options outstanding at December 31, | 2,173,346 | 24.30 | 2,015,219 | 20.72 | 1,852,054 | 17.30 |

Edgar Filing: MCGRATH RENTCORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|
| Options exercisable at December 31, | 952,846 | 20.56 | 825,944 | 17.87 | 515,904 | 11.99 |
|-------------------------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|

MCGRATH RENTCORP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The aggregate intrinsic value of stock options is calculated as the difference between the exercise price of the underlying awards and the quoted price of the Company's common stock. The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$3.2 million and \$20.0 million, respectively, and having a weighted average remaining contract life of 6.73 years and 7.65 years, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercisable as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$4.9 million and \$10.5 million, respectively, and having a weighted average remaining contract life of 6.56 years and 6.92 years, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised under the Company's stock option plans was \$4.1 million, \$4.0 million and \$2.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, determined as of the date of option exercise. As of December 31, 2007, there was approximately \$7.6 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested share-based compensation arrangements granted under our stock plans, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.0 years.

The following table indicates the options outstanding and options exercisable by exercise price with the weighted average remaining contractual life for the options outstanding and the weighted average exercise price at December 31, 2007:

| Exercise Price | Number Outstanding at December 31, 2007 | Options Outstanding | | | Options Exercisable | |
|----------------|---|---|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| | | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number Exercisable at December 31, 2007 | Weighted Average Exercise Price | |
| \$ 5 - 10 | 55,200 | 2.56 | \$ 9.02 | 55,200 | \$ 9.02 | |
| 10 - 15 | 211,677 | 5.00 | 11.94 | 175,802 | 11.97 | |
| 15 - 20 | 268,550 | 6.34 | 15.71 | 153,300 | 15.51 | |
| 20 - 25 | 503,869 | 7.09 | 22.27 | 294,719 | 22.25 | |
| 25 - 30 | 604,050 | 8.03 | 29.34 | 272,825 | 29.41 | |
| 30 - 35 | 530,000 | 6.25 | 31.39 | 1,000 | 31.28 | |
| 5 - 35 | 2,173,346 | 6.73 | 24.30 | 952,846 | 20.56 | |

Employee Stock Ownership Plan

In 1985, the Company established an Employee Stock Ownership Plan. Under the terms of the plan, as amended, the Company makes annual contributions in the form of cash or common stock of McGrath RentCorp to a trust for the benefit of eligible employees. The amount of the contribution is determined annually by the Board of Directors. Contributions are expensed in the year approved and were \$1,400,000 for 2007 and \$1,300,000 for each of 2006 and 2005.

Long-Term Bonus Plans

In 1991, the Board of Directors adopted a Long-Term Stock Bonus Plan (the 1990 LTB Plan) under which shares of common stock could be granted to officers and key employees. The stock bonuses granted under the 1990 LTB Plan are evidenced by written stock bonus agreements covering specified performance periods. The 1990 LTB Plan provided for the grant of stock bonuses upon achievement of certain financial goals during a specified period. Stock bonuses earned under the 1990 LTB Plan vested over four years from the grant date contingent on the employee's continued employment with the Company. As of December 31, 2007, a cumulative total of 420,486 shares of common stock had been granted, of which all shares are vested. The 1990 LTB Plan expired in December 1999 and no further grants of common stock can occur under the 1990 LTB Plan. In 2000, the Board of Directors adopted a Long-Term Stock Bonus Plan (the 2000 LTB Plan) under which 800,000 shares of common stock were reserved for grant to officers and key employees. The terms of the 2000 LTB Plan are the same as the 1990 Plan described above. As of December 31, 2007, no shares of common stock had been granted or vested under the 2000 LTB Plan. Compensation expense in 2005 under the plans was \$44,000, and was based on a combination of the anticipated number of shares to be granted, the amount of vested shares

MCGRATH RENTCORP
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS *(Continued)*

previously issued and fluctuations in market price of the Company's common stock. There was no compensation expense under these plans in 2007 and 2006. As of December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 there were no unvested shares and the 2007 Plan replaced the 2000 Plan and no additional grants will be made under this plan.

401(k) Plans

In 1995, McGrath RentCorp established a contributory retirement plan, the McGrath RentCorp 401(k) Plan, as amended, covering eligible employees of McGrath RentCorp with at least three months of service. The McGrath RentCorp 401(k) Plan provides that each participant may annually contribute an elected percentage of his or her salary, not to exceed the statutory limit. McGrath RentCorp, at its discretion, may make matching contributions; however, no contributions have been made to date under this plan.

In 1997, Enviroplex established a contributory retirement plan, the Enviroplex 401(k) Plan, as amended, covering eligible employees of Enviroplex with at least three months of service. The Enviroplex 401(k) Plan provides that each participant may annually contribute an elected percentage of his or her salary, not to exceed the statutory limit. Enviroplex at its discretion may make a matching contribution. Enviroplex made contributions of \$31,000, \$32,000 and \$35,000 in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

NOTE 7. SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

From time to time, the Board of Directors has authorized the repurchase of shares of the Company's outstanding common stock. These purchases are made in the over-the-counter market (NASDAQ) and/or through block transactions at such repurchase price as the officers deem appropriate and desirable on behalf of the Company. All shares repurchased by the Company are canceled and returned to the status of authorized but unissued shares of common stock. During 2007 and 2006, the Company repurchased 797,643 and 22,733 shares of common stock for an aggregate repurchase price of \$20,188,000 and \$526,000 or an average price of \$25.31 and \$23.14 per share, respectively. During 2005 there were no repurchases of common stock. As of December 31, 2007, a total of 1,179,624 shares remain authorized for repurchase.

NOTE 8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company leases certain facilities under various operating leases. Most of the lease agreements provide the Company with the option of renewing its lease at the end of the lease term, at the fair rental value. In most cases, management expects that in the normal course of business facility leases will be renewed or replaced by other leases. Minimum payments under these leases, exclusive of property taxes and insurance, are as follows:

(in thousands)

| Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|--------|
| 2008 | \$ 838 |
| 2009 | 153 |
| 2010 | 143 |
| 2011 (no lease terms extend beyond 2010) | |

\$ 1,134

Rent expense was \$1,128,000, \$873,000 and \$779,000 in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The Company is involved in various lawsuits and routine claims arising out of the normal course of its business. The Company maintains insurance coverage for its operations and employees with appropriate aggregate, per occurrence and deductible limits as the Company reasonably determines necessary or prudent with current operations and historical experience. The major policies include coverage for property, general liability, auto, directors and officers, health, and workers' compensation insurances. In the opinion of management, the ultimate amount

Edgar Filing: MCGRATH RENTCORP - Form 10-K

of liability not covered by insurance, if any, under any pending litigation and claims, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the financial position or operating results of the Company.

MCGRATH RENTCORP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

NOTE 9. ACQUISITION

In November 2007, the Company purchased the remaining minority interest in Enviroplex, a classroom manufacturing business selling modular classrooms in California. The stock purchase was for \$3.8 million in cash and increased the Company's ownership of Enviroplex from 81.1% to 100%. The purchase was accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 141 Business Combinations, with the purchased assets and assumed liabilities recorded at their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. With the exception of land, the assets and liabilities acquired had a cost basis that approximated fair value. The cost basis of the Enviroplex land was increased by \$0.2 million to reflect estimated fair value.

NOTE 10. SEGMENT REPORTING

SFAS No. 131, Disclosure about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, establishes annual and interim reporting standards for an enterprise's operating segments and related disclosures about its products, services, geographic areas and major customers. In accordance with SFAS No. 131, the Company's three reportable segments are Mobile Modular, TRS-RenTelco and Enviroplex. Management focuses on several key measures to evaluate and assess each segment's performance including rental revenue growth, gross margin, and income before provision for income taxes. As a separate corporate entity, Enviroplex revenues and expenses are separately maintained from Mobile Modular and TRS-RenTelco. Excluding interest expense, allocations of revenue and expense not directly associated with one of these segments are generally allocated to Mobile Modular and TRS-RenTelco, based on their pro-rata share of direct revenues. Interest expense is allocated between Mobile Modular and TRS-RenTelco based on their pro-rata share of average rental equipment, accounts receivable, deferred income and customer security deposits. The Company does not report total assets by business segment. Summarized financial information for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, for the Company's reportable segments is shown in the following table:

| SEGMENT DATA <i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i> | Mobile Modular | TRS-RenTelco | Enviroplex ¹ | Consolidated |
|---|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
| 2007 | | | | |
| Rental Revenues | \$ 100,541 | \$ 84,776 | \$ | \$ 185,317 |
| Rental Related Services Revenues | 32,982 | 1,731 | | 34,713 |
| Sales and Other Revenues | 30,003 | 19,727 | 10,649 | 60,379 |
| Total Revenues | 163,526 | 106,234 | 10,649 | 280,409 |
| Depreciation of Rental Equipment | 12,383 | 39,259 | | 51,642 |
| Gross Profit | 83,777 | 43,643 | 3,136 | 130,556 |
| Interest Expense (Income) Allocation | 7,575 | 3,705 | (561) | 10,719 |
| Income before Provision for Income Taxes | 49,164 | 19,730 | 917 | 69,811 |
| Rental Equipment Acquisitions | 33,752 | 68,230 | | 101,982 |
| Accounts Receivable, net (period end) | 40,928 | 21,777 | 4,356 | 67,061 |
| Rental Equipment, at cost (period end) | 475,077 | 232,349 | | 707,426 |
| Rental Equipment, net book value (period end) | 358,017 | 127,997 | | 486,014 |
| Utilization (period end) ² | 82.8% | 69.3% | | |
| Average Utilization ² | 82.3% | 68.3% | | |

MCGRATH RENTCORP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

| <u>SEGMENT DATA</u> (Continued) (dollar amounts in thousands) | Mobile Modular | TRS-RenTelco | Enviroplex ¹ | Consolidated |
|--|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
| 2006 | | | | |
| Rental Revenues | \$ 91,124 | \$ 77,816 | \$ | \$ 168,940 |
| Rental Related Services Revenues | 29,913 | 1,686 | | 31,599 |
| Sales and Other Revenues | 34,938 | 19,196 | 12,393 | 66,527 |
| Total Revenues | 155,975 | 98,698 | 12,393 | 267,066 |
| Depreciation of Rental Equipment | 10,898 | 34,455 | | 45,353 |
| Gross Profit | 76,252 | 41,642 | 3,932 | 121,826 |
| Interest Expense (Income) Allocation | 7,907 | 3,385 | (532) | 10,760 |
| Income before Provision for Income Taxes | 43,439 | 19,827 | 2,301 | 65,567 |
| Rental Equipment Acquisitions | 53,196 | 51,336 | | 104,532 |
| Accounts Receivable, net (period end) | 35,314 | 19,652 | 4,868 | 59,834 |
| Rental Equipment, at cost (period end) | 451,828 | 186,673 | | 638,501 |
| Rental Equipment, net book value (period end) | 343,590 | 107,752 | | 451,342 |
| Utilization (period end) ² | 81.4% | 66.4% | | |
| Average Utilization ² | 82.9% | 69.6% | | |
| 2005 | | | | |
| Rental Revenues | \$ 81,180 | \$ 71,136 | \$ | \$ 152,316 |
| Rental Related Services Revenues | 25,053 | 1,407 | | 26,460 |
| Sales and Other Revenues | 49,732 | 33,110 | 10,562 | 93,404 |
| Total Revenues | 155,965 | 105,653 | 10,562 | 272,180 |
| Depreciation of Rental Equipment | 9,587 | 34,591 | | 44,178 |
| Gross Profit | 72,741 | 37,042 | 3,656 | 113,439 |
| Interest Expense (Income) Allocation | 5,679 | 2,475 | (264) | 7,890 |
| Income before Provision for Income Taxes | 46,794 | 17,211 | 1,725 | 65,730 |
| Rental Equipment Acquisitions | 77,174 | 37,393 | | 114,567 |
| Accounts Receivable, net (period end) | 36,069 | 23,291 | 4,342 | 63,702 |
| Rental Equipment, at cost (period end) | 408,227 | 154,708 | | 562,935 |
| Rental Equipment, net book value (period end) | 307,822 | 98,611 | | 406,433 |
| Utilization (period end) ² | 83.5% | 68.9% | | |
| Average Utilization ² | 84.9% | 66.2% | | |

1 Gross Enviroplex sales revenues were \$11,755,000, \$15,017,000 and \$17,418,000 in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, which includes inter-segment sales to Mobile Modular of \$1,106,000, \$2,624,000 and \$6,856,000, which are eliminated in consolidation.

2 Utilization is calculated each month by dividing the cost of rental equipment on rent by the total cost of rental equipment excluding new equipment inventory and accessory equipment. The average utilization for the period is calculated using the average costs of rental equipment. No single customer accounted for more than 10% of total revenues during 2007, 2006 and 2005. In addition, total foreign country customers and operations accounted for less than 10% of the Company's revenues and long-lived assets for the same periods.

MCGRATH RENTCORP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

NOTE 11. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (unaudited)

Quarterly financial information for each of the two years ended December 31, 2007 is summarized below:

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

| | First | Second | 2007 Third | Fourth | Year |
|--|------------|------------|---------------|------------|------------|
| Operations Data | | | | | |
| Rental Revenues | \$ 43,308 | \$ 44,995 | \$ 47,659 | \$ 49,355 | \$ 185,317 |
| Total Revenues | 60,753 | 67,447 | 80,751 | 71,458 | 280,409 |
| Gross Profit | 29,517 | 30,352 | 35,391 | 35,296 | 130,556 |
| Income from Operations | 17,869 | 17,745 | 22,283 | 22,633 | 80,530 |
| Income Before Provision for Income Taxes | 15,248 | 14,913 | 19,621 | 20,029 | 69,811 |
| Net Income | 9,328 | 9,085 | 11,877 | 12,120 | 42,410 |
| Earnings Per Share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.47 | \$ 0.48 | \$ 1.68 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.46 | \$ 0.48 | \$ 1.67 |
| Dividends Declared Per Share | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.72 |
| Shares Used in Per Share Calculations: | | | | | |
| Basic | 25,114 | 25,233 | 25,342 | 25,235 | 25,231 |
| Diluted | 25,387 | 25,491 | 25,607 | 25,373 | 25,443 |
| Balance Sheet Data | | | | | |
| Rental Equipment, net | \$ 462,910 | \$ 474,407 | \$ 481,335 | \$ 486,014 | \$ 486,014 |
| Total Assets | 597,439 | 614,309 | 642,311 | 642,206 | 642,206 |
| Notes Payable | 174,217 | 185,981 | 184,500 | 197,729 | 197,729 |
| Shareholders' Equity | 238,313 | 246,575 | 255,663 | 244,031 | 244,031 |
| | | | 2006 | | |
| | First | Second | Third | Fourth | Year |
| Operations Data | | | | | |
| Rental Revenues | \$ 39,671 | \$ 41,168 | \$ 43,896 | \$ 44,205 | \$ 168,940 |
| Total Revenues | 57,856 | 60,673 | 77,875 | 70,662 | 267,066 |
| Gross Profit | 26,703 | 26,289 | 35,510 | 33,324 | 121,826 |
| Income from Operations | 15,149 | 15,487 | 24,232 | 21,459 | 76,327 |
| Income Before Provision for Income Taxes | 12,796 | 12,714 | 21,273 | 18,784 | 65,567 |
| Net Income | 7,837 | 8,669 | 12,675 | 11,897 | 41,078 |
| Earnings Per Share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.51 | \$ 0.48 | \$ 1.65 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.31 | \$ 0.34 | \$ 0.50 | \$ 0.47 | \$ 1.63 |
| Dividends Declared Per Share | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.64 |
| Shares Used in Per Share Calculations: | | | | | |
| Basic | 24,866 | 24,956 | 24,960 | 25,008 | 24,948 |
| Diluted | 25,604 | 25,209 | 25,152 | 25,313 | 25,231 |
| Balance Sheet Data | | | | | |
| Rental Equipment, net | \$ 425,488 | \$ 442,600 | \$ 445,224 | \$ 451,342 | \$ 451,342 |
| Total Assets | 548,613 | 570,740 | 584,234 | 585,542 | 585,542 |
| Notes Payable | 176,500 | 189,500 | 178,057 | 165,557 | 165,557 |
| Shareholders' Equity | 204,983 | 210,604 | 219,548 | 230,792 | 230,792 |

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. The Company's Management under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in rules promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) for the Company. Based on their evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2007, the CEO and CFO have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in this Annual Report on Form 10-K was (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and (ii) accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting. During the last quarter of the Company's fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, there were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues, if any, within a company have been detected. The Company's disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives, and the CEO and CFO have concluded that these controls and procedures are effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Management's Assessment of Internal Control. Management's assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, is discussed in the Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting included on page 49.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to McGrath RentCorp's definitive Proxy Statement with respect to its Annual Shareholders Meeting to be held June 4, 2008, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by not later than April 29, 2008.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to McGrath RentCorp's definitive Proxy Statement with respect to its Annual Shareholders Meeting to be held June 4, 2008, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by not later than April 29, 2008.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to McGrath RentCorp's definitive Proxy Statement with respect to its Annual Shareholders Meeting to be held June 4, 2008, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by not later than April 29, 2008.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to McGrath RentCorp's definitive Proxy Statement with respect to its Annual Shareholders Meeting to be held June 4, 2008, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by not later than April 29, 2008.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to McGrath RentCorp's definitive Proxy Statement with respect to its Annual Shareholders Meeting to be held June 4, 2008, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by not later than April 29, 2008.

PART IV
ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

Index of documents filed as part of this report:

1. The following Consolidated Financial Statements of McGrath RentCorp are included in Item 8.

| | <i>Page of this report</i> |
|---|----------------------------|
| <u>Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting</u> | 49 |
| <u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 50 |
| <u>Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting</u> | 50 |
| <u>Report on Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 51 |
| Consolidated Financial Statements | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2007 and 2006</u> | 52 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005</u> | 53 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005</u> | 54 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005</u> | 55 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 56 |

2. Financial Statement Schedules. None

3. Exhibits. See Index of Exhibits on page 75 of this report.

Schedules and exhibits required by Article 5 of Regulation S-X other than those listed are omitted because they are not required, are not applicable, or equivalent information has been included in the consolidated financial statements, and notes thereto, or elsewhere herein.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 25, 2008

McGRATH RENTCORP

by: /s/ Dennis C. Kakures
 DENNIS C. KAKURES
 Chief Executive Officer, President and Director

(Principal Executive Officer)

by: /s/ Keith E. Pratt
 KEITH E. PRATT

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

by: /s/ David M. Whitney
 DAVID M. WHITNEY

Vice President and Controller

(Principal Accounting Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates as indicated.

| <i>Name</i> | <i>Title</i> | <i>Date</i> |
|--|---|-------------------|
| /s/ William J. Dawson WILLIAM J. DAWSON | Director | February 25, 2008 |
| /s/ Robert C. Hood ROBERT C. HOOD | Director | February 25, 2008 |
| /s/ Dennis C. Kakures DENNIS C. KAKURES | Chief Executive Officer, President and Director | February 25, 2008 |
| /s/ Joan M. McGrath JOAN M. McGRATH | Director | February 25, 2008 |
| /s/ Robert P. McGrath ROBERT P. McGRATH | Chairman of the Board | February 25, 2008 |
| /s/ Dennis P. Stradford | Director | February 25, 2008 |

Edgar Filing: MCGRATH RENTCORP - Form 10-K

DENNIS P. STRADFORD

/s/ Ronald H. Zech

Director

February 25, 2008

RONALD H. ZECH

McGRATH RENTCORP**INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

| <i>Number</i> | <i>Description</i> | <i>Method of Filing</i> |
|---------------|--|---|
| 3.1 | Articles of Incorporation of McGrath RentCorp | Filed as exhibit 19.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1988 (filed August 14, 1988), and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 3.1.1 | Amendment to Articles of Incorporation of McGrath RentCorp | Filed as exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (filed March 28, 1991 Registration No. 33-39633), and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 3.1.2 | Amendment to Articles of Incorporation of McGrath RentCorp | Filed as exhibit 3.1.2 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997 (filed March 31, 1998), incorporated herein by reference. |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated By-Laws of McGrath RentCorp, as amended and restated on December 28, 2007 | Filed as exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed December 28, 2007), incorporated herein by reference. |
| 4.1 | Note Purchase Agreement | Filed as exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1998 (filed November 12, 1998), and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 4.1.1 | Schedule of Notes with Sample Note | Filed as exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1998 (filed August 11, 1998), and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 4.2 | Note Purchase and Private Shelf Agreement between the Company and Prudential Investment Management, Inc., as placement agent, dated June 2, 2004. | Filed as exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed June 10, 2004) and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 4.2.1 | Amendment to Note Purchase and Private Shelf Agreement between the Company and Prudential Investment Management, Inc., as placement agent, effective as of July 11, 2005. | Filed as exhibit 10.19 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed July 15, 2005) and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 4.3 | Multiparty Guaranty between Enviroplex, Inc., Mobile Modular Management Corporation, Prudential Investment Management, Inc., and such other parties that become Guarantors thereunder, dated June 2, 2004. | Filed as exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed June 10, 2004) and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 4.3.1 | Release from Obligations (TRS-RenTelco Inc.) related to the Note Purchase and Private Shelf Agreement dated June 2, 2004 by and among the Company, certain parties thereto, and Prudential Investment Management, Inc. | Filed as exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (filed August 3, 2006) and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 4.4 | Indemnity, Contribution and Subordination Agreement between Enviroplex, Inc., Mobile Modular Management Corporation, the Company and such other parties that become Guarantors thereunder, dated June 2, 2004. | Filed as exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed June 10, 2004) and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 4.5 | Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement by and among the Company, certain banks that are parties thereto, and Union Bank of California, N.A., dated as of May 7, 2004. | Filed as exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed June 10, 2004) and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 4.5.1 | Amendment No. 1 to the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement by and among the Company, certain banks that are parties thereto, and Union Bank of California, N.A., dated as of July 11, 2005. | Filed as exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed June 15, 2005) and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 4.5.2 | Amendment No. 2 to the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement by and among the Company, certain banks that are parties thereto, and Union Bank of California, N.A., dated as of May 28, 2006. | Filed as exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (filed August 3, 2006) and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 4.6 | \$5,000,000 Committed Credit Facility Letter Agreement between the Company and Union Bank of California, N.A., dated May 13, 2004. | Filed as exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed June 10, 2004) and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 4.6.1 | \$5,000,000 Committed Credit Facility Letter Agreement between the Company and Union Bank of California, N.A., effective as of July 11, 2005. | Filed as exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed July 15, 2005) and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 4.6.2 | \$5,000,000 Credit Line Note, dated May 13, 2004. | Filed as exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed June 10, 2004) and incorporated herein by reference. |

Edgar Filing: MCGRATH RENTCORP - Form 10-K

| <i>Number</i> | <i>Description</i> | <i>Method of Filing</i> |
|---------------|---|--|
| 4.6.3 | \$5,000,000 Credit Line Note, dated July 11, 2005. | Filed as exhibit 10.18 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (filed July 15, 2005) and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.1 | McGrath RentCorp 1998 Stock Option Plan as amended and restated on November 22, 2002 | Filed as exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 (filed March 20, 2003), and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.1.1 | Exemplar Incentive Stock Option for Employees Under the 1998 Stock Option Plan | Filed as exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1998 (filed November 12, 1998), and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.1.2 | Exemplar Non-Qualified Stock Option for Directors under the 1998 Stock Option Plan | Filed as exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1998 (filed November 12, 1998), and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.2 | Exemplar Form of the Directors, Officers and Other Agents Indemnification Agreements | Filed as exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 (filed March 18, 2002), and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.3 | Long-Term Stock Bonus Plan | Filed as exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990 (filed March 28, 1991), and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.3.1 | Exemplar Long-Term Stock Bonus Agreement under Long-Term Stock Bonus Plan | Filed as exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990 (filed March 28, 1991), and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.4 | 2000 Long-Term Stock Bonus Plan | Filed as exhibit 10.4.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 (filed March 30, 2001), and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.4.1 | Exemplar Long-Term Stock Bonus Agreement under 2000 Long-Term Stock Bonus Plan utilized for the 2000-2002 Programs | Filed as exhibit 10.4.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 (filed March 30, 2001), and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.4.2 | Exemplar Long-Term Stock Bonus Agreement under 2000 Long-Term Stock Bonus Plan utilized for Programs starting in 2001 | Filed as exhibit 10.5.2 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 (filed March 18, 2002), and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.5 | McGrath RentCorp Employee Stock Ownership Plan, as amended and restated on September 12, 2003 | Filed as exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003 (filed October 30, 2003), and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.6 | McGrath RentCorp Employee Stock Ownership Trust Agreement, as amended and restated on September 12, 2003 | Filed as exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003 (filed October 30, 2003), and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.7 | McGrath RentCorp 2007 Stock Incentive Plan | Filed as exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 (filed August 2, 2007), and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.7.1 | Form of 2007 Stock Incentive Plan Stock Option Award and Agreement | Filed as exhibit 10.12.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 (filed August 2, 2007), and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.7.2 | Form of 2007 Stock Incentive Plan Non-Qualified Stock Option Award and Agreement | Filed as exhibit 10.12.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 (filed August 2, 2007), and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 21.1 | List of Subsidiaries | Filed herewith. |
| 23 | Written Consent of Grant Thornton LLP | Filed herewith. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Furnished herewith. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Furnished herewith. |