Accredited Mortgage Loan REIT Trust Form 10-Q October 19, 2007

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

| Washington, | D.C. | 20549 |
|-------------|------|-------|
|-------------|------|-------|

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007

or

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

to

Commission File Number 001-32276

ACCREDITED MORTGAGE LOAN REIT TRUST

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland (State or other jurisdiction of

35-2231035 (I.R.S. Employer

 $incorporation\ or\ organization)$

Identification No.)

15253 Avenue of Science

San Diego, California 92128

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: 858-676-2100

| Committee | manistanad | marant to | Castian | 12/b | of the | A ata |
|-----------|------------|-------------|---------|------|----------|-------|
| Securiues | registereu | pursuant to | Section | 14(D |) or the | Act. |

Title of each class

9.75% Series A Perpetual Cumulative Preferred Shares

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Name of each exchange on which registered

New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x or No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one):

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "or No x

The number of outstanding shares of the registrant s common stock as of October 11, 2007 was 100,000.

(1) See explanatory note.

EXPLANATORY NOTE

Accredited Mortgage Loan REIT Trust (the REIT) is an indirect subsidiary of Accredited Home Lenders Holding Co. (Accredited), a company that files annual, quarterly and other reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). All of the REIT soutstanding common shares are owned by Accredited Home Lenders, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Accredited (AHL). As of the date of this report, the only publicly traded securities of the REIT are the REIT s 9.75% Series A Perpetual Cumulative Preferred Shares, payments in respect of which are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by Accredited. In reliance on Rule 12h-5 under the Exchange Act, the REIT did not file reports pursuant to the Exchange Act prior to March 2006. In connection therewith and in accordance with Rule 3-10 of Regulation S-X under the Exchange Act, Accredited has been disclosing certain financial information regarding the REIT in the notes to the consolidated financial statements of Accredited contained in Accredited s related guarantee of those securities, Accredited has also been disclosing certain additional information regarding the REIT in Accredited s Exchange Act reports.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|----------|---|------|
| PART I | | _ |
| Item 1. | Financial Statements of Accredited Mortgage Loan REIT Trust (Unaudited): | |
| | Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 | 2 |
| | Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 | 3 |
| | Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 | 4 |
| | Notes to Unaudited Financial Statements | 5 |
| Item 2. | Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 16 |
| Item 3. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 38 |
| Item 4. | Controls and Procedures | 38 |
| PART II | | |
| Item 1. | Legal Proceedings | 39 |
| Item 1A. | Risk Factors | 39 |
| Item 2. | Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 39 |
| Item 3. | Defaults Upon Senior Securities | 39 |
| Item 4. | Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders | 39 |
| Item 5. | Other Information | 40 |
| Item 6. | <u>Exhibits</u> | 40 |
| | <u>Signatures</u> | 40 |
| | Exhibit Index | 41 |
| | Certifications | |

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains certain forward-looking statements. When used in this report, statements which are not historical in nature, including the words anticipate, estimate, should, expect, believe, intend and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. The include statements containing a projection of revenues, earnings or losses, capital expenditures, dividends, capital structure or other financial terms.

The forward-looking statements in this report are based upon our management s beliefs, assumptions and expectations of our future operations and economic performance, taking into account the information currently available to them. These statements are not statements of historical fact. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, some of which are not currently known to us, that may cause our actual results, performance or financial condition to be materially different from the expectations of future results, performance or financial condition we express or imply in any forward-looking statements. Some of the important factors that could cause our actual results, performance or financial condition to differ materially from expectations are:

a change in the financial stability of Accredited, which guarantees the dividend payments on our 9.75% Series A Perpetual Cumulative Preferred Shares (Series A Preferred Shares);

an increase in mortgage loan payment default rates due to a general deterioration in economic or political conditions, fluctuations in the real estate markets, an increase in unemployment rates, and/or an increase in interest rates that results in higher mortgage loan payment amounts for the borrower;

a decline in interest income due to a decrease in the difference between our cost of capital and the income generated by payments on our securitized mortgage loan pools;

changes in demand for mortgage backed securities that affect our ability to issue notes to fund our securitizations;

our ability to protect and hedge our mortgage loan portfolio against adverse interest rate movements;

our ability to maintain our status as a real estate investment trust and receive the corresponding tax treatment;

the degree and nature of AHL s competition that may impact the value of the mortgage loans originated by AHL;

an increase in the rate of prepayments on securitized mortgage loans that could reduce the amount of cash flow distributed to us in respect of excess interest;

our ability to accurately make estimates about matters that are inherently uncertain under our critical accounting policies; and

the other factors referenced in this report, including, without limitation, under the sections entitled ITEM 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking events discussed in this report might not occur. We qualify any and all of our forward-looking statements entirely by these cautionary factors.

In this Form 10-Q, unless the context requires otherwise, REIT, we, our, and us means Accredited Mortgage Loan REIT Trust.

PART I

ITEM 1. Financial Statements

ACCREDITED MORTGAGE LOAN REIT TRUST

BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | June 30, | December 31, |
|--|---------------------|--------------|
| | 2007 (Unaudited) | 2006 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 64,752 | \$ 23,299 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 44,561 | 52,708 |
| Mortgage loans held for investment, net of allowance of \$141,342 and \$129,936, respectively | 6,649,136 | 7,271,553 |
| Derivative assets, including margin account | 28,962 | 64,665 |
| Real estate owned, net | 132,520 | 65,854 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 32,251 | 24,707 |
| Receivable from parent | 214,386 | 112,419 |
| Total assets | \$ 7,166,568 | \$ 7,615,205 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| LIABILITIES: | | |
| Securitization and other financing | \$ 6,848,520 | \$ 7,289,209 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 32,655 | 57,507 |
| Total liabilities | 6,881,175 | 7,346,716 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 12) | | |
| STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$1.00 par value; authorized 200,000,000 shares; 4,093,678 shares designated, issued and outstanding as 9.75% Series A Perpetual Cumulative Preferred Shares with an aggregate liquidation preference | | |
| of \$102,342 at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 | 4.094 | 4,094 |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value; authorized 100,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 100,000 shares | 1 | 1 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 397,883 | 398,628 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | (4,215) | 7,947 |
| Accumulated deficit | (112,370) | (142,181) |
| Total stockholders equity | 285,393 | 268,489 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 7,166,568 | \$ 7,615,205 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in thousands, except per share amounts) (Unaudited)

| | Three Mo | onths Ended | Six Months Ended | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|--|--|
| | June 30, 2007 2006 | | June 2007 | e 30, 2006 | | |
| REVENUES: | 2007 | 2000 | 2007 | 2000 | | |
| Interest income (including \$3,022, \$2,459, \$5,375 and \$4,047 from parent) | \$ 137,998 | \$ 134,905 | \$ 287,707 | \$ 260,625 | | |
| Interest expense | (93,783) | | (193,248) | (152,550) | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Net interest income | 44,215 | 53,831 | 94,459 | 108,075 | | |
| Provision for losses on mortgage loans held for investment | (27,039) | | (41,629) | (13,051) | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Net interest income after provision | 17,176 | 47,151 | 52,830 | 95,024 | | |
| Other income | 985 | 1,150 | 1,414 | 1,838 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Total net revenues | 18,161 | 48,301 | 54,244 | 96,862 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| OPERATING EXPENSES: | | | | | | |
| Management fee assessed by parent | 9,312 | 8,321 | 19,119 | 16,122 | | |
| Direct general and administrative expenses | 31 | 1 | 325 | 9 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Total operating expenses | 9,343 | 8,322 | 19,444 | 16,131 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Net income | 8,818 | 39,979 | 34,800 | 80,731 | | |
| Dividends on preferred stock | (2,495) | (2,495) | (4,989) | (4,989) | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$ 6,323 | \$ 37,484 | \$ 29,811 | \$ 75,742 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Basic and diluted earnings per common share | \$ 63.23 | \$ 374.84 | \$ 298.11 | \$ 757.42 | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding for basic and diluted | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands) (Unaudited)

| | | Six Months En | nded J | une 30, 2006 |
|---|----|---------------|--------|-----------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | | | |
| Net income | \$ | 34,800 | \$ | 80,731 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | | |
| Amortization of net deferred origination fees on securitized loans | | (4,990) | | (2,376) |
| Amortization of deferred costs | | 5,799 | | 6,626 |
| Provision for losses on mortgage loans held for investment | | 41,629 | | 13,051 |
| Unrealized (gain) loss on derivatives | | (30,143) | | 13,213 |
| Adjustment into earnings for gain on derivatives from other comprehensive income | | (9,552) | | (15,705) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | | |
| Accrued interest receivable | | 8,147 | | (5,464) |
| Derivative assets, including margin account | | 59,894 | | 5,664 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | | (24,277) | | (7,074) |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | | (30,498) | | 4,199 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | | 50,809 | | 92,865 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | | | |
| Principal payments received on mortgage loans held for investment | | 1,280,667 | | 1,113,821 |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | | 1,280,667 | | 1,113,821 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of securitization bond financing, net of fees | | 753,052 | | 2,029,648 |
| Proceeds from issuance of term debt and warrants, net of fees | | 98,557 | | |
| Payments on securitization bond financing | (| (1,292,423) | (| 1,145,953) |
| Payments on temporary credit facilities | | (745,266) | (| 2,010,016) |
| Capital contributions from parent | | 3,013 | | 8,388 |
| Net increase in receivable from parent | | (101,967) | | (71,980) |
| Payments of common stock dividends | | | | (12,300) |
| Payments of preferred stock dividends | | (4,989) | | (4,989) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (| (1,290,023) | (| (1,207,202) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | | 41,453 | | (516) |
| Beginning balance cash and cash equivalents | | 23,299 | | 6,158 |
| | | 20,2// | | 0,100 |
| Ending balance cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 64,752 | \$ | 5,642 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

Accredited Mortgage Loan REIT Trust (the REIT) was formed on May 4, 2004 as a Maryland real estate investment trust for the purpose of acquiring, holding and managing real estate assets. All of the outstanding common shares of the REIT are held by Accredited Home Lenders, Inc. (AHL), a wholly owned subsidiary of Accredited Home Lenders Holding Co., (Accredited). The accompanying financial statements of the REIT have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements included in this report for the REIT have been prepared, without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and footnote disclosures, normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and the related notes included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.

In the opinion of the Company s management, any adjustments contained in the accompanying unaudited financial statements as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 are of a normal recurring nature. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2007.

In addition, during the first quarter of 2007, Accredited engaged financial advisors to evaluate strategic alternatives for the Company. As a result, in June 2007, Accredited entered into an agreement with affiliates of Lone Star Fund V (U.S.) L.P. (Lone Star), pursuant to which Lone Star agreed to acquire all of Accredited soutstanding common stock through a tender offer and subsequent merger. The acquisition was completed on October 12, 2007 and Lone Star now owns 100% of the common stock of Accredited. The outstanding 9.75% Series A Perpetual Cumulative Preferred Shares, par value \$1.00 per share, of the REIT (NYSE: AHH.PrA) (the Series A Preferred Share) remained outstanding following the consummation of the acquisition. On October 19, 2007, Accredited and the REIT announced their intention to deregister the Series A Preferred Shares and Accredited s guarantee with respect to those shares. As a prerequisite to such deregistration, the REIT requested the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) to delist the Series A Preferred Shares from the NYSE and anticipates filing Form 15 with the Securities and Exchange Commission by November 8, 2007, at which time the public reporting obligations of Accredited and the REIT will be suspended.

In August 2004, the REIT completed a public offering of 3,400,000 shares of 9.75% Series A Perpetual Cumulative Preferred Stock. In September 2004 the REIT sold an additional 100,000 Series A preferred shares pursuant to the exercise of the underwriters over-allotment option. In October 2004, the REIT sold an additional 593,678 Series A preferred shares in a public offering.

The REIT engages in the business of acquiring, holding, financing, and securitizing non-prime mortgage loans secured by residential real estate. Generally, the REIT acquires mortgage assets and assumes related funding obligations from AHL, which are accounted for at AHL s carrying value, as contributions of capital from AHL. These mortgage assets consist primarily of residential mortgage loans, or interests in these mortgage loans, that have been originated or acquired by AHL. AHL focuses on borrowers who may not meet conforming underwriting guidelines because of higher loan-to-value ratios, the nature or absence of income documentation, limited credit histories, high levels of consumer debt, or past credit difficulties. AHL originates loans primarily based upon the borrower s willingness and ability to repay the loan and the adequacy of the collateral.

AHL also provides operating facilities, administration and loan servicing for the REIT. The REIT is, therefore, economically and operationally dependent on AHL, and, as such, the REIT s results of operation or financial condition may not be indicative of the conditions that would have existed for its results of operations or financial condition if it had operated as an unaffiliated entity.

The REIT has elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust and to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code with respect thereto. Accordingly, the REIT will generally not be subject to federal or state income tax to the extent that its distributions to shareholders satisfy the real estate investment trust requirements and certain asset, income and share ownership tests are met.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates and assumptions included in our

consolidated financial statements relate to the provision for loan losses, hedging policies and income taxes.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of financial statement presentation, the REIT considers all liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. All liquid assets with an original maturity of three months or less which are not readily available for use, including cash deposits, are classified as restricted cash.

Loans Held for Investment, Securitization Bond Financing and Provision for Losses

Accredited s securitization program calls for the execution of securitization transactions as the principal means of increasing the size of its held for investment portfolio. In support of this program, Accredited periodically identifies mortgage loans meeting the applicable investor characteristics and transfers those mortgage loans from mortgage loans held for sale to mortgage loans held for securitization (held for investment).

Shortly before the execution of a securitization transaction, the mortgage loans held for securitization, which are originated by and to this point have been held in AHL, are contributed at the lower of cost or market (carrying amount), to the REIT. The carrying amount transferred to the REIT consists of the unpaid principal balance, the net deferred origination fees, the basis adjustment for fair value hedge accounting (from funding to contribution date) and the allowance for mortgage loan losses and are thereafter designated as mortgage loans held for investment. The mortgage loans remain mortgage loans held for securitization for approximately 10 business days prior to the close of the securitization transaction.

Mortgage loans held for investment include mortgage loans that the REIT has securitized in structures that are accounted for as financings for accounting purposes as well as mortgage loans held for a scheduled securitization. During the three months ended June 30, 2007, the REIT did not securitize any loans. In June 2006 the Company closed a \$1.4 billion securitization of which \$1.1 billion in mortgage loans was delivered at closing and the remaining balance was delivered in July and August.

These securitizations are structured legally as sales, but for accounting purposes are treated as financings under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 140 Accounting for Transfer and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishment of Liabilities a replacement of FASB Statement No. 125 (SFAS 140). These securitizations do not meet the qualifying special purpose entity criteria under SFAS No. 140 and related interpretations because after the mortgage loans are securitized, the securitization trusts may acquire derivatives relating to beneficial interests retained by the REIT and, AHL, as servicer, subject to applicable contractual provisions, has discretion, consistent with prudent mortgage servicing practices, to determine whether to sell or work out any mortgage loans securitized through the securitization trusts that become troubled. Accordingly, the mortgage loans remain on the balance sheet as mortgage loans held for investment, retained interests are not created for accounting purposes, and securitization bond financing replaces the warehouse debt or asset backed commercial paper originally associated with the mortgage loans held for investment. The REIT records interest income on mortgage loans held for investment and interest expense on the bonds issued in the securitizations over the life of the securitizations. Deferred debt issuance costs and discounts related to the bonds are amortized on a level yield basis over the estimated life of the bonds.

After the mortgage loans are designated as held for securitization, the REIT estimates the losses inherent in the portfolio at the balance sheet date and establishes an allowance for mortgage loan losses. The provision for mortgage loan losses on mortgage loans held for securitization is made in an amount sufficient to maintain credit loss allowances at a level considered appropriate to cover probable losses in the portfolio. The REIT defines a mortgage loan as non-accruing at the time the mortgage loan becomes 90 days or more delinquent under its payment terms. Probable losses are determined based on segmenting mortgage loans in the portfolio according to their contractual delinquency status and applying the REIT and AHL s expected loss experience. A number of other analytical tools are used to determine the reasonableness of the allowance for mortgage loan losses. Loss estimates are reviewed periodically and adjustments, if any, are reported in earnings. As these estimates are influenced by factors outside of the REIT s control, there is uncertainty inherent in these estimates, making it reasonably possible that they could change. Mortgage loans foreclosed upon or deemed uncollectible are carried at lower of cost or fair value less disposition costs.

Derivative Financial Instruments

As part of the REIT s interest rate management process, the REIT uses derivative financial instruments such as Eurodollar futures and options. In connection with some of the securitizations structured as financings, the REIT entered into interest rate cap agreements. In connection with five of the securitizations structured as financings, the REIT entered into interest rate swap agreements. It is not the REIT s policy to use derivatives

to speculate on interest rates. In accordance with SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (SFAS 133), as amended and interpreted, derivative financial instruments are reported on the balance sheet at fair value.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Cash Flow Hedges

Pursuant to SFAS 133 hedge instruments have been designated as hedging the exposure to variability of cash flows from our securitization debt attributable to interest rate risk. Cash flow hedge accounting requires that the effective portion of the gain or loss in the fair value of a derivative instrument designated as a hedge be reported as a component of other comprehensive income in stockholders—equity, and recognized into earnings in the period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings pursuant to SFAS 133. At the inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, the REIT assesses whether the derivatives used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows of the hedged items. When it is determined that a derivative is not highly effective as a hedge, the REIT discontinues cash flow hedge accounting prospectively. In the instance cash flow hedge accounting is discontinued, the derivative will continue to be recorded on the balance sheet at its fair value. Any change in the fair value of a derivative no longer qualifying as an effective hedge is recognized in current period earnings. For terminated hedges or hedges that no longer qualify as effective, the effective portion previously recorded remains in other comprehensive income and continues to be amortized or accreted into earnings with the hedged item. The ineffective portion of the derivative instrument is reported in current earnings as a component of interest expense.

For derivative financial instruments not designated as hedge instruments, unrealized changes in fair value are recognized in the period in which the changes occur and realized gains and losses are recognized in the period when such instruments are settled.

Mortgage Loan Origination Costs and Fees

Loan origination fees and certain direct origination costs are deferred as an adjustment to the carrying value of the loans. These fees and costs are amortized over the life of the loan on a level yield basis for mortgage loans held for investment or recognized when prepayments occur.

Interest Income

Interest income is recorded when earned. Interest income represents the interest earned on loans held for investment. The REIT does not accrue interest on loans that are more than 90 days delinquent.

Income Taxes

The REIT has elected to be subject to taxation as a real estate investment trust under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. As a result, the REIT will generally not be subject to federal or state income tax to the extent that the REIT distributes its earnings to its shareholders and maintains its qualification as a real estate investment trust.

Real Estate Owned

Real estate acquired in settlement of mortgage loans generally results when property collateralizing a mortgage loan is foreclosed upon or otherwise acquired by AHL, as our servicer, in satisfaction of the mortgage loan. Real estate acquired through foreclosure is initially recorded at its estimated fair value less costs to dispose and is carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value less costs to dispose. Fair value is based on the net amount that the REIT could reasonably expect to receive for the asset in a current sale between a willing buyer and a willing seller, that is, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. Adjustments to the carrying value of real estate owned are made through valuation allowances and charge-offs are recognized through a charge to earnings. Legal fees and other direct costs incurred after foreclosure are expensed as incurred.

Other Comprehensive Net Income

Other comprehensive net income includes unrealized gains and losses that are excluded from the statement of operations and are reported as a separate component in stockholders—equity. The unrealized gains and losses include unrealized gains and losses on the effective portion of cash flow hedges. Comprehensive net income is determined as follows for the three and six months ended June 30:

Three Months Ended Six Months Ended

| | June | e 30 , | Jun | e 30, |
|--|-----------|---------------|-----------|------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 | 2006 |
| | | (in tho | usands) | |
| Net income | \$ 8,818 | \$ 39,979 | \$ 34,800 | \$ 80,731 |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges | 11,966 | 24,043 | (2,610) | 48,789 |
| Reclassification adjustment into earnings for unrealized gain on derivatives | (3,198) | (8,817) | (9,552) | (15,705) |
| | | | | |
| | \$ 17,586 | \$ 55,205 | \$ 22,638 | \$ 113,815 |

NOTES TO UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2. CONCENTRATIONS OF RISK

Geographical Concentration

Properties securing mortgage loans held for investment are geographically dispersed throughout the United States. At June 30, 2007, 18% and 15% of the unpaid principal balance of mortgage loans held for investment were secured by properties located in California and Florida, respectively. At December 31, 2006, 19% and 15% of the unpaid principal balance of mortgage loans held for investment were secured by properties located in California and Florida, respectively. The remaining properties securing mortgage loans did not exceed 10% in any other state at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

An overall decline in the economy or the residential real estate market, or the occurrence of a natural disaster that is not covered by standard homeowners insurance policies, such as an earthquake, hurricane or wildfire, could decrease the value of mortgaged properties. This, in turn, would increase the risk of delinquency, default or foreclosure on mortgage loans in our portfolio. This could restrict our and AHL s ability to originate, sell, or securitize mortgage loans, and significantly harm our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations.

3. MORTGAGE LOANS HELD FOR INVESTMENT

Mortgage loans held for investment were as follows:

| | June 30, | December 31, |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (in tho | usands) |
| Mortgage loans held for investment | \$ 6,823,603 | \$ 7,432,443 |
| Basis adjustment for fair value hedge accounting | (8,601) | (10,971) |
| Net deferred origination fees | (24,524) | (19,983) |
| Allowance for loan losses | (141,342) | (129,936) |
| | | |
| Loans held for investment, net | \$ 6,649,136 | \$ 7,271,553 |

Activity in the allowance for losses on loans held for investment was as follows:

| | Balance at | | | | Balance at |
|------------------------------------|------------|---------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Beginning | Contributions | Provision | Charge offs, | End of |
| | of Period | from Parent | for Losses (in thousands) | net | Period |
| Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | | |
| 2007: | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans held for investment | \$ 133,716 | \$ | \$ 9,537 | \$ (1,911) | \$ 141,342 |
| Real estate owned | 34,096 | | 17,502 | (2,618) | 48,980 |
| Total | \$ 167,812 | \$ | \$ 27,039 | \$ (4,529) | \$ 190,322 |

2006:

Edgar Filing: Accredited Mortgage Loan REIT Trust - Form 10-Q

| Mortgage loans held for investment Real estate owned | \$ 108,375 9,686 | \$ 7,022 | \$ 6,347 333 | \$ (497) | \$ 121,247 7,762 |
|---|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Real estate owned | 9,080 | | 333 | (2,257) | 7,702 |
| Total | \$ 118,061 | \$ 7,022 | \$ 6,680 | \$ (2,754) | \$ 129,009 |
| Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | | |
| 2007: | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans held for investment | \$ 129,936 | \$ 4,676 | \$ 10,070 | \$ (3,340) | \$ 141,342 |
| Real estate owned | 22,783 | | 31,559 | (5,362) | 48,980 |
| | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 152,719 | \$ 4,676 | \$ 41,629 | \$ (8,702) | \$ 190,322 |
| | | | | | |
| 2006: | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans held for investment | \$ 98,399 | \$ 15,454 | \$ 8,122 | \$ (728) | \$ 121,247 |
| Real estate owned | 6,996 | | 4,929 | (4,163) | 7,762 |
| | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 105,395 | \$ 15,454 | \$ 13,051 | \$ (4,891) | \$ 129,009 |

NOTES TO UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table summarizes delinquency amounts for mortgage loans and real estate owned before allowance for mortgage loan losses:

| | At Jun | At June 30, 2007 | | | At December 31, 200 | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------|--|
| | Total | Total Delinquent Principal Principal Over | | Delinquent Total | | elinquent | |
| | Principal | | | Principal | Pri | ncipal Over | |
| | Amount (1) | | 90 Days (in tho | Amount (1) | 90 Days | | |
| Mortgage loans held for investment | \$ 6,851,473 | \$ | 361,848 | \$ 7,466,508 | \$ | 271,375 | |
| Real estate owned | 181,500 | | 181,500 | 88,637 | | 88,637 | |
| Total | \$ 7,032,973 | \$ | 543,348 | \$ 7,555,145 | \$ | 360,012 | |

⁽¹⁾ Loans acquired from Aames were recorded at fair value at purchase. The unpaid principal balances do not include these fair value adjustments.

4. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Fair Value Hedges

AHL uses fair value accounting as defined by SFAS 133 for certain derivative financial instruments used to hedge its loans held for sale prior to being contributed to the REIT, and accordingly the basis of loans held for investment held by the REIT includes the fair value basis adjustment. Fair value adjustments to mortgage loan carrying amounts are detailed in Note 3.

Cash Flow Hedges

The REIT utilizes cash flow hedging and cash flow hedge accounting on the variable rate portion of its securitization debt in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 133. A total of \$0.5 million in net effective gains before taxes, included in other comprehensive income at June 30, 2007, is expected to be recognized in earnings during the next twelve months.

| | Three En | | | hs Ended | |
|---|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|--|
| | Jun | e 30, | June 30, | | |
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 | 2006 | |
| | | (in thou | ısands) | | |
| Hedge ineffectiveness recorded in earnings, included as a component of interest expense in the | | | | | |
| consolidated statements of operations | \$ 3,034 | \$ (800) | \$ 8,311 | \$ 1,300 | |
| Effective unrealized gains, net of effective unrealized losses, recorded in other comprehensive | | | | | |
| income, reported as a component of stockholders equity: | \$ 11,966 | \$ 24,043 | \$ (2,610) | \$ 48,789 | |

NOTES TO UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents the fair value of the Company s derivative instruments, including margin account balances at:

| | June 30, | 2007 | December | 31, 2006 |
|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | Notional | Fair | Fair Notional | |
| | amount | value (in tho | amount usands) | value |
| Eurodollar futures | \$ | \$ | \$ 3,758,974 | \$ 4,970 |
| Options on Eurodollar futures | 703,310 | 87 | 630,342 | 2,803 |
| Interest rate swaps | 4,557,364 | 26,294 | 1,951,392 | (665) |
| Interest rate caps | 1,049,785 | 2,581 | 880,717 | 7 |
| | \$ 6,310,459 | 28,962 | \$ 7,221,425 | 7,115 |
| Margin account balances | N/A | | N/A | 28,408 |
| Total | | \$ 28,962 | | \$ 35,523 |

The fair value of derivative liabilities of \$0 and \$29.1 million at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, which are included in accrued expenses and other liabilities have been netted against the fair value of derivative assets shown in the table above. Notional swap amounts are not shown for securitizations in which we reverse the position of the swap embedded in the securitization trust; for these transactions the economic notional hedge amount and the net fair value of the derivatives is zero.

The change in the fair value of derivative financial instruments and the related hedged asset or liability recorded in the consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 was as follows:

| | Interest | Interest | |
|-----------------------------|----------|---|------------|
| | Income | Expense (in thousands | Total |
| Three Months Ended June 30, | | | |
| 2007: | | | |
| Net unrealized gain | \$ 1,814 | \$ 5,398 | \$ 7,212 |
| Net realized gain | | 3,175 | 3,175 |
| | | | |
| Total | \$ 1,814 | \$ 8,573 | \$ 10,387 |
| | | | |
| 2006: | | | |
| Net unrealized gain (loss) | \$ 1,304 | \$ (9,307) | \$ (8,003) |
| Net realized gain | | 18,950 | 18,950 |
| | | | |
| Total | \$ 1,304 | \$ 9,643 | \$ 10,947 |
| | . , | , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | |
| Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
| 2007: | | | |
| Net unrealized gain | \$ 3,635 | \$ 26,508 | \$ 30,143 |
| Net realized loss | + = ,000 | (5,683) | (5,683) |
| | | (2,002) | (2,002) |

| Total | \$ 3,635 \$ 20,825 | \$ 24,460 |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| 2006: | | |
| Net unrealized gain (loss) | \$ 1,977 \$ (15,190) | \$ (13,213) |
| Net realized gain | 32,227 | 32,227 |
| Total | \$ 1,977 \$ 17,037 | \$ 19,014 |

5. CREDIT FACILITIES

AHL and the REIT have entered into aggregate warehouse facilities to permit the securitization of mortgage loans. AHL is the primary obligor under these facilities until the loans are contributed to the REIT for securitization. The REIT then becomes the primary obligor until the loans are securitized, a period of 30 days or less. Each of the facility agreements has cross-default and cross-collateralization provisions and AHL provides a guarantee of the REIT s obligations under the facilities during the time that the REIT owns the mortgage loans. At June 30, 2007 the REIT had no obligations outstanding under these facilities.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

6. SECURITIZATION AND OTHER FINANCING

Securitization and other financing consisted of the following:

| | June 30, | December 31, |
|----------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 ousands) |
| Securitized Bond Financing | \$ 6,760,948 | \$ 7,281,480 |
| Other borrowings | 100,000 | 16,368 |
| | 6,860,948 | 7,297,848 |
| Unamortized bond discounts | (12,428) | (8,639) |
| Total financing, net | \$ 6,848,520 | \$ 7,289,209 |

Securitized Bond Financing

At June 30, 2007 securitized bond financing included securitized bonds bearing interest at fixed rates (ranging from 2.90% to 5.68%) and at variable rated indexed to one-month LIBOR plus a spread (ranging from .04% to 2.75%) maturing through 2037. The bonds are collateralized by mortgage loans held for investment with an aggregate principal balance outstanding of \$6.9 billion and \$7.5 billion at June 30, 2007 and at December 31, 2006, respectively. Unamortized debt issuance costs included in prepaid expenses and other assets were \$20.8 million and \$23.1 million at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

Amounts collected on the mortgage loans are remitted to the respective trustees, who in turn distribute such amounts each month to the bondholders, together with other amounts received related to the mortgage loans, net of fees payable to Accredited, the trustee and the insurer of the bonds. Any remaining funds after payment of fees and distribution of principal is known as excess interest.

The securitization agreements require that a certain level of overcollateralization be maintained for the bonds. A portion of the excess interest may be initially distributed as principal to the bondholders to increase the level of overcollateralization. Once a certain level of overcollateralization has been reached, excess interest is no longer distributed as principal to the bondholders, but, rather, is passed through to Accredited. Should the level of overcollateralization fall below a required level, excess interest will again be paid as principal to the bondholders until the required level has been reached. The securitization agreements also provide that if delinquencies or losses on the underlying mortgage loans exceed certain maximums, the required level of credit enhancement would be increased.

Due to the potential for prepayments of mortgage loans, the early distribution of principal to the bondholders and the optional clean-up call, the bonds are not necessarily expected to be outstanding through the stated maturity date set forth above.

Other Borrowings

On March 30, 2007, Accredited and certain of its subsidiaries entered into a secured five year term Loan Agreement with Mortgage Investment Fundings, L.L.C. (MIF), a lending entity managed by Farallon Capital Management (the Farallon Loan). Pursuant to the Loan Agreement, MIF extended term loans guaranteed by Accredited in an aggregate principal amount of \$230,000,000 (\$130 million with AHL and \$100 million with the REIT). In conjunction with the Loan Agreement, Accredited (i) issued to MIF a warrant to purchase 3,226,431 shares of common stock of Accredited at an exercise price of \$10 per share and (ii) granted to MIF certain preemptive rights to purchase additional equity securities of Accredited, certain registration rights with respect to its equity securities in the Company and Board of Directors observer rights. The loans may be prepaid in full at any time, subject to payment of a premium of 7% of amounts prepaid during the first two years of the facility and a lesser premium thereafter. Upon the occurrence of a change of control, the lenders may demand prepayment of the loans and the loans shall be prepaid in full with a premium of 2% of the amount prepaid. At June 30, 2007 the balance outstanding in the REIT under this agreement was \$94.4

million which is net of the discount representing the fair value of the warrants at the time of issuance of \$5.6 million. The value of the warrants is included in accrued expenses and other liabilities since Accredited was required under certain circumstances to purchase the warrants from the holders pursuant to put rights. In connection with the Lone Star merger, the warrants were cancelled in exchange for a per share cash payment by Lone Star equal to the difference between the \$11.75 per share price offered by Lone Star for the Company s common stock and the \$10 per share exercise price of the warrants. The warrants have been adjusted to estimated fair value at the end of each quarter since the warrants were granted.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Our credit facilities contain extensive restrictions and covenants including minimum profitability, interest coverage, liquidity, and net worth requirements and limitations on other indebtedness. If Accredited fails to comply with any of these covenants or otherwise defaults under a facility, the lender has the right to terminate the facility and require immediate payment which may require sale of the collateral at less than optimal terms. In addition, if Accredited defaults under one facility, it would generally trigger a default under the other facilities. From January to October 17, 2007, several of the covenant requirements were amended or waived to allow Accredited to remain in compliance with all requirements. We anticipate requiring additional amendments to or waivers of these covenants during 2007, and there can be no assurance the lenders will so agree. In the event such amendments or waivers are required and Accredited is unable to obtain them, it could have a material and adverse impact on our ability to fund mortgage loans and continue as a going concern.

The following table summarizes the expected repayments relating to the securitization and other financing at June 30, 2007 and the securitized bonds are based on anticipated receipts of principal and interest on underlying mortgage loan collateral:

| | (in thousands | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| Six months ending December 31, 2007 | \$ | 1,376,228 |
| Year ending December 31: | | |
| 2008 | | 1,854,708 |
| 2009 | | 1,144,246 |
| 2010 | | 766,820 |
| 2011 | | 476,514 |
| 2012 | | 399,565 |
| Thereafter | | 842,867 |
| | | |
| Total | \$ | 6,860,948 |

7. INCOME TAXES AND DISTRIBUTION OF EARNINGS

With the filing of its first Federal income tax return on September 9, 2005, the REIT elected to be treated as a real estate investment trust for income tax purposes in accordance with certain provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. As a result of this election, the REIT will generally not be subject to federal or state income tax to the extent that it distributes its earnings to its shareholders and maintains its qualification as a real estate investment trust. Currently the REIT plans to distribute substantially all of its taxable income to common and preferred shareholders.

The REIT adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, on January 1, 2007 (FIN 48). As part of the implementation of FIN 48, the REIT evaluated its tax positions to identify and recognize any liabilities related to unrecognized tax benefits resulting from those positions that meet the provisions of FIN 48. As a result of this evaluation, the REIT determined that it did not have material liabilities.

The following is a reconciliation of the income tax provision computed using the statutory federal income tax rate to the income tax provision reflected in the statement of operations:

| | | Three Months Ended June 30, | | hs Ended e 30, | |
|---|----------|--------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|--|
| | 2007 | 2007 2006 | | 2006 | |
| | | (in thousands) | | | |
| Federal income tax at statutory rate | \$ 3,690 | \$ 13,993 | \$ 12,784 | \$ 28,256 | |
| Preferred stock dividends at statutory rate | (873) | (873) | (1,746) | (1,746) | |
| Common stock dividends paid deduction and other | (2,817) | (13,120) | (11,038) | (26,510) | |

Total provision \$ \$ \$ \$

NOTES TO UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

8. ACCRUED EXPENSES AND OTHER LIABILITIES

Accrued expenses and other liabilities were as follows:

| | June 30, | December 31, |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (in t | housands) |
| Accrued interest | \$ 7,568 | \$ 7,894 |
| Derivative liabilities | | 29,141 |
| Other liabilities general | 22,592 | 17,977 |
| Preferred stock dividend payable | 2,495 | 2,495 |
| | | |
| Total | \$ 32,655 | \$ 57,507 |

9. PREFERRED STOCK

The Board of Trustees, or a duly authorized committee thereof, may issue up to 200,000,000 shares of preferred stock from time to time in one or more classes or series. In addition, the Board of Trustees, or duly authorized committee thereof, may fix the preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, and limitations as to dividends or other distributions, qualifications and terms and conditions of redemption.

9.75% Series A Perpetual Cumulative Preferred Shares

The Board of Trustees has classified and designated 4,093,678 preferred shares as Series A Preferred Shares. At June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, there were 4,093,678 preferred shares issued and outstanding.

In March and June 2007, the REIT s Board of Trustees declared quarterly cash dividends on the Preferred Shares at the rate of \$0.609375 per share to shareholders of record on March 15 and June 15, which aggregated \$5.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007.

The Series A Preferred Shares contain covenants requiring the REIT to maintain a total shareholders—equity balance and total loans held for investment of at least \$50.0 million and \$2.0 billion, respectively, commencing on December 31, 2004 and at the end of each quarter thereafter. In addition, commencing with each of the four quarters ending December 31, 2006, the REIT is also required to maintain cumulative unencumbered cash flow (as defined in the agreement) greater than or equal to six times the cumulative preferred dividends required in those four quarters. If the REIT is not in compliance with any of these covenants, no dividends can be declared on the REIT—s common shares until it is in compliance with all covenants as of the end of two successive quarters. As of June 30, 2007, the REIT was in compliance with the covenants applicable to date in 2007.

Accredited has irrevocably and unconditionally agreed to pay in full to the holders of each share of the REIT s Series A Preferred Shares, as and when due, regardless of any defense, right of set-off or counterclaim which the REIT or Accredited may have or assert: (i) all accrued and unpaid dividends (whether or not declared) payable on the REIT s Series A Preferred Shares; (ii) the redemption price (including all accrued and unpaid dividends) payable with respect to any of the REIT s Series A Preferred Shares redeemed by the REIT; and (iii) the liquidation preference, if any, payable with respect to any of the REIT s Series A Preferred Shares. Accredited s guarantee is subordinated in right of payment to Accredited s indebtedness, on parity with the most senior class of Accredited s preferred stock and senior to Accredited s common stock.

10. RECEIVABLE FROM PARENT AND ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICING AGREEMENT WITH PARENT

The REIT has an administration and servicing agreement with its parent company, AHL, whereby AHL provides loan servicing, treasury, accounting, tax and other administrative services for the REIT in exchange for a management fee equal to 0.5% per year on the outstanding

principal balance of the loans serviced, plus miscellaneous fee income collected from mortgagors including late payment charges, assumption fees and similar items. Under this agreement, either party agrees to pay interest on the net average balance payable to the other party at an annual rate equal to the six-month LIBOR plus 1.0%. Management fee expense under this agreement totaled \$9.3 million and \$8.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Interest income under this agreement totaled \$2.4 million and \$1.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Management fee expense under this agreement totaled \$19.1 million and \$16.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Interest income under this agreement totaled \$5.4 million and \$4.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. At June 30, 2007 and December 31,

NOTES TO UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2006, the net receivable from parent was \$214.4 million and \$112.4 million, respectively. The net receivable from parent results from advances of excess cash holdings by the REIT to AHL. We expect the net receivable will be reduced at the time the REIT pays common stock dividends to AHL. Pursuant to the right of offset under the agreement between the parties, the net receivable will accrue interest at an annual rate equal to the six-month LIBOR plus 1.0%.

11. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

The following represents supplemental cash flow information:

Six Months Ended

| | June 30, | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|
| | 2007 200 (in thousands) | | 2006 (s) | |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: | | (| | |
| Cash paid during the period for interest | \$ | 207,484 | \$ | 148,875 |
| | | | | |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | | |
| Transfer of loans held for investment to real estate owned, net of reserves | \$ | 92,863 | \$ | 20,439 |
| | | | | |
| Detail of assets and liabilities contributed from parent: | | | | |
| Mortgage loans, net of reserves | \$ | 752,013 | \$ 2 | 2,029,985 |
| Other net liabilities | | (10,504) | | (25,612) |
| Outstanding balances on warehouse credit facilities | (| (745,267) | (2 | 2,012,768) |
| | | | | |
| Liabilities in excess of assets contributed | \$ | (3,758) | \$ | (8,395) |

12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In September 2007, the REIT was served with the consolidated, amended complaint in *Atlas v. Accredited Home Lenders Holding Co., et al.*, a class action pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. The suit alleges violations of federal securities laws and originally named as defendants AHLHC and certain members of its senior management. Pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act, five similar class actions were consolidated with the *Atlas* matter, and a lead plaintiff was selected. The consolidated, amended complaint was filed on August 24, 2007, and added as defendants the REIT and certain directors of AHLHC. The REIT s response to this complaint is currently scheduled to be due October 26, 2007. A motion to certify a class has not yet been filed, there has been no ruling on the merits of either the plaintiffs individual claims or the claims of the putative class, and the REIT intends to vigorously defend this matter. The ultimate outcome of this matter and the amount of liability, if any, which may result, is not presently determinable, and legal counsel cannot express an opinion as to the extent of the REIT s possible loss, if any, in the consolidated lawsuit. However, the REIT believes any loss in this matter will be substantially or completely covered by insurance and that this matter will not have a material adverse effect on the REIT s financial position or results of operations.

In July 2007, the REIT, AHL and AHLHC were served with a complaint, *National Community Reinvestment Coalition* (*NCRC*) *v. Accredited Home Lenders Holding Company [sic]*, *et al.*, brought in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. The complaint alleges that the REIT, AHL and AHLHC engaged in a practice of discriminating against African-American and Latinos by requiring minimum property values of \$100,000 on row homes for certain loan programs and prohibiting the use of row homes as collateral for certain other loan programs, without business justification for those restrictions. Plaintiff seeks compensatory and punitive damages, declaratory and injunctive relief, and recovery of attorneys fees and costs of suit. There has been no ruling on the merits of plaintiff sclaims. The REIT, AHL and AHLHC intend to vigorously defend this action. The ultimate outcome of this matter and the amount of liability, if any, which may result is not presently determinable, but the REIT does not believe that it will suffer any material loss in this lawsuit or that it will have a material adverse effect on its financial position or results of operations.

13. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On March 15, 2007, Accredited received a notice from the staff of NASDAQ stating that Accredited common stock may be subject to delisting because it had not filed its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 on a timely basis. Accredited requested a hearing before the NASDAQ Listing Qualifications Panel (the Panel) to appeal the NASDAQ staff s determination and to present its plan to regain compliance with NASDAQ s filing requirements, which was held on May 3, 2007, followed by a written submission dated May 21, 2007. The hearing request automatically stayed the delisting of the common stock pending the Panel s review and decision. In addition, on May 15, 2007 and on August 14,

NOTES TO UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2007, Accredited received additional deficiency notices from the staff of NASDAQ stating that the failure to timely file with the SEC its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2007 and June 30, 2007, respectively could serve as an additional basis for the delisting of Accredited s securities from NASDAQ.

On July 23, 2007 the Panel determined to continue listing Accredited s common stock provided that Accredited filed with the SEC its 10-K by September 12, 2007 and its 10-Q for the first quarter of 2007 by September 18, 2007. The 10-K was filed with the SEC on August 2, 2007, and the 10-Q for the first quarter of 2007 was filed on September 18, 2007. On October 1, 2007 the Panel determined to continue listing Accredited s common stock provided that it files with the SEC its 10-Q for the second quarter of 2007 by November 1, 2007. As a result of the Lone Star merger, Accredited s common stock is 100% owned by Lone Star and is no longer publicly traded, and Accredited s common stock has be delisted from NASDAQ.

On June 4, 2007 Accredited and affiliates of Lone Star Fund V (U.S.) L.P. (Lone Star), entered into a definitive merger agreement pursuant to which Lone Star agreed to acquire all of the common stock of Accredited in an all-cash transaction. Under the terms of the agreement, Lone Star agreed to acquire each outstanding share of Accredited common stock at a price of \$15.10 per share, for a total consideration of approximately \$400 million. The acquisition was structured as an all-cash tender offer for all outstanding shares of Accredited common stock to be followed by a merger in which each remaining untendered share of Accredited would be converted into the same \$15.10 cash per share price paid in the tender offer. On September 18, 2007 the merger agreement was amended to, among other things, reduce the acquisition price to \$11.75 per share, and the acquisition was completed at that price on October 12, 2007. The outstanding 9.75% Series A Preferred Shares, par value \$1.00 per share, of the REIT remained outstanding following the consummation of the acquisition.

Beginning in September 2007, AHL implemented a restructuring that included the closing of all retail lending operations, a significant downsizing of wholesale lending operations, and substantial suspension of all U.S. lending unless and until the return of market conditions under which non-prime mortgage loans can again be originated and sold or securitized at a profit. These actions resulted in the closing of 60 retail branch locations, five centralized retail support locations, five wholesale divisions and the settlement services division, and reduced the workforce by approximately 1,600 employees to approximately 1,000 at September 14, 2007. The cost of this restructure including lease costs, fixed asset impairment and personnel costs is estimated at approximately \$35 million. This charge will be recorded in the third quarter of 2007.

In September 2007, we declared quarterly cash dividends on our Preferred Shares at the rate of \$0.609375 per share. The third quarter dividend was paid on October 1, 2007 to preferred shareholders of record at the close of business on September 14, 2007.

On October 10, 2007, Accredited received a letter from the lender under the Farallon Loan in which the lender stated that it was exercising its option under the change of control provisions of the Loan Agreement to demand prepayment of the entire \$230 million loan amount, which \$100 million is owed by the REIT, plus the 2% change of control premium provided for under the Loan Agreement. Accredited and the REIT expects to repay all amounts due under the loan by the 30th business day following the date of the Farallon demand letter from available working capital, with additional amounts to be contributed by Lone Star and by financing certain assets currently securing the Farallon Loan.

On October 12, 2007, Accredited received a \$100 million capital contribution from its new parent, LSF5 Accredited Investments, LLC, a subsidiary of Lone Star Fund V (U.S.) L.P.

On October 15, 2007, AHL resumed U.S. lending operations.

On October 19, 2007, Accredited and the REIT announced their intention to deregister the Series A Preferred Shares and Accredited s guarantee with respect to those shares. As a prerequisite to such deregistration, the REIT requested the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) to delist the Series A Preferred Shares from the NYSE and anticipates filing Form 15 with the Securities and Exchange Commission by November 8, 2007, at which time the public reporting obligations of Accredited and the REIT will be suspended.

ITEM 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be reviewed in conjunction with our financial statements and the related notes and other financial information appearing elsewhere in this report. In addition to historical information, the following discussion and other parts of this document contain forward-looking information that involves risks and uncertainties. Please refer to the section entitled Forward-Looking Statements on page 5 of this Form 10-Q. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated by such forward-looking information due to factors discussed under the section below entitled Risk Factors and elsewhere in this report.

General

Accredited Mortgage Loan REIT Trust (the REIT) was formed on May 4, 2004 as a Maryland real estate investment trust for the purpose of acquiring, holding and managing real estate assets. All of our outstanding common shares are held by Accredited Home Lenders, Inc. (AHL), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Accredited Home Lenders Holding Co (Accredited).

Our intention is to hold all securitized loans and related securitization bond financing activities of AHL. We acquire mortgage assets and assume related funding obligations from AHL, who also provides operating facilities, administration and servicing for us. We are, therefore, economically and operationally dependent on AHL, and, as such, our results of operations or financial condition may not be indicative of the conditions that would have existed for our results of operations or financial condition if we had operated as an unaffiliated entity.

The REIT has elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust and to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code with respect thereto. Accordingly, we will generally not be subject to federal or state income tax to the extent that distributions to shareholders satisfy the real estate investment trust requirements and certain asset, income and share ownership tests are met.

Our operations generate revenues from interest income over the life of the loans that we have securitized in structures that require financing treatment for accounting purposes. This interest income is partially offset by (1) the interest expense we pay on the bonds that we issue to repay warehouse debt incurred to fund these loans, (2) the fees and expenses of securitization participants, such as the servicer and the trustees and (3) the provision for losses on the loans. The provision for loan losses is generally determined as the amount sufficient to maintain credit loss reserves at a level considered by us to be adequate to cover probable losses in the securitized loan portfolio.

Although the securitizations are legally structured as sales for accounting purposes they are treated as financings under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards SFAS 140. The securitizations do not meet the qualifying special purpose entity criteria under SFAS 140 and related interpretations because after the mortgage loans are securitized, the securitization trust may acquire derivatives relating to beneficial interests retained by us. Also, AHL, as servicer, subject to applicable contractual provisions, has sole discretion to use its best commercial judgment in determining whether to sell or work out any mortgage loans securitized through the securitization trust that become troubled. Accordingly, the mortgage loans and securitization indebtedness are included in our balance sheet. We record interest income on the mortgage loans and interest expense on the securities issued from the securitization over the life of the securitization. Our profitability in large part depends on the difference, or spread, between these amounts.

We expect our mortgage loan portfolio to change based on the trend of receiving capital contributions of assets and liabilities from AHL for our periodic securitizations. To the extent that the contributions of mortgage loans for securitizations by AHL are greater than the rate of payments received on existing securitized mortgage loans, we would expect our total mortgage loan portfolio to grow. On the other hand, if the rate of payments received on existing securitized mortgage loans exceeds the contributions of mortgage loans for securitizations by AHL, we would expect our total mortgage loan portfolio to contract.

We anticipate that our results of operations will fluctuate on a quarterly and annual basis. The timing and degree of fluctuation will depend upon several factors, including competition, economic slowdowns and increased interest rates in addition to those discussed under Risk Factors. We cannot assure you that we will be able to sustain revenue growth or maintain profitability on a quarterly or annual basis or that our growth will be consistent with predictions made, if any, by securities analysts.

Lone Star acquisition of Accredited

On June 4, 2007 Accredited entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger with affiliates of Lone Star Fund V (U.S.) L.P. (Lone Star) pursuant to which Lone Star agreed to acquire all of the common stock of Accredited in an all-cash transaction. Under the terms of the agreement, Lone Star agreed to acquire each outstanding share of Accredited common

stock at a price of \$15.10 per share, for a total consideration of approximately \$400 million on a fully diluted basis. On September 18, 2007 the merger agreement was amended to, among other things, reduce the acquisition price to \$11.75 per share, and the acquisition was completed at that price on October 12, 2007. The outstanding 9.75% Series A Perpetual Cumulative Preferred Shares, par value \$1.00 per share (the Series A Preferred), of Accredited Mortgage Loan REIT Trust (NYSE:AHH-PA) remained outstanding following the consummation of the acquisition.

In addition, on September 19, 2007, pursuant to the September 18, 2007 amendment of the merger agreement with Lone Star, Lone Star assumed the lender s obligations under AHL s Senior Secured Credit Agreement secured by mortgage servicing rights and servicing advances related to Accredited s securitizations. Lone Star agreed to remove the \$34 million cap under that facility and funded the facility up to \$49 million, providing approximately \$15 million of additional liquidity for AHL.

Recent Developments

Strategic Restructurings

In response to the ongoing turmoil in the non-prime mortgage industry, beginning in September 2007, Accredited restructured its operations by closing its retail lending operations, substantially reducing its wholesale lending operations, laying off approximately 60% of its workforce and substantially suspending U.S. mortgage loan originations. On October 15, 2007, Accredited resumed U.S. lending operations because we believe that, with the support of our new parent, Lone Star, we can resume lending operations in a manner that will be beneficial over the long term. There can, however, be no assurance that Accredited s resumption of U.S, lending operations will be successful or that its other sources of income derived from its servicing operations, its residual interests in previously securitized loans and its Canadian mortgage loan originations will be sufficient to fund its operations going forward.

Funding and Liquidity Transactions

In February and March 2007, in response to the adverse operating environment and declining whole loan sale prices, AHL s warehouse line creditors reduced their valuations of the mortgage loan collateral securing their warehouse financing to AHL and placed significant margin calls on AHL to post additional cash to cover the decrease. To alleviate the pressures from these margin calls, on March 16, 2007, AHL sold \$2.7 billion of loans held for sale at a substantial discount. This sale resulted in a pre-tax loss of \$150 million in the first quarter of 2007, but provided AHL with approximately \$134 million in cash after paying off credit providers. Management believes the sale of its loans at a discount was necessary to provide additional liquidity to AHL.

On March 30, 2007, Accredited, AHL, and the REIT entered into a secured Loan Agreement with Mortgage Investment Fundings, L.L.C. (MIF), a lending entity managed by Farallon Capital Management, L.L.C. (the Farallon Loan) Pursuant to the Loan Agreement, MIF extended term loans guaranteed by Accredited in an aggregate principal amount of \$230 million consisting of (i) term loan to the REIT in the principal amount of \$70.0 million (the Term A Loan), (ii) a term loan to AHL in the principal amount of \$130.0 million (the Term B Loan), and (iii) a term loan to the REIT in the principal amount of \$30.0 million (the Term C Loan).

The proceeds of the Term A Loan and the Term B Loan are available to fund repurchase obligations of the REIT and AHL, to satisfy margin calls from warehouse lenders, to fund mortgage loan originations, to pay for transaction costs in connection with the transaction, for general working capital and other corporate needs and to repay specific indebtedness. The Term C Loan is solely available to repay certain specified indebtedness of the REIT. The Term A and Term B loan have an interest rate of 13% annum, whereas the Term C Loan had an interest rate of 9% per annum for the first 60 days and an interest rate of 13% per annum thereafter. The loans may be repaid in full at any time, subject to payment of a premium of 7% of amounts prepaid during the first two years of the facility and a lesser premium thereafter. Upon the occurrence of a change of control, the lenders may demand prepayment of the loans and the loans shall be prepaid in full with a premium of 2% of the amount repaid.

Also on March 30, 2007, AHL and the REIT amended the Amended and Restated Master Repurchase Agreement, dated as of December 30, 2005, with Credit Suisse First Boston Mortgage Capital LLC (CSFB), and, on March 29, 2007, entered into a Master Repurchase Agreement with Wachovia Bank, N.A. (Wachovia). Under the amended agreement with CSFB, the term of the CSFB repurchase facility was extended through March 28, 2008, and the maximum committed amount available forborrowing remained at \$600 million. Under the agreement with Wachovia, as amended on May 1, 2007 and on July 5, 2007, the term of the CSFB repurchase facility was extended through March 28, 2008, and the maximum committed amount available for borrowing was increased to \$1 billion.

On September 4, 2007, the Company entered into an amendment to the Master Repurchase Agreement with Wachovia and on September 5, 2007 entered in an amendment to the Amended and Restated Master Repurchase Agreement with

CSFB, both effective on and after July 31, 2007. Pursuant to these amendments, the parties modified the definition of Adjusted Tangible Net Worth to include the amount of the Company s pool trust preferred securities. In addition, the CSFB amendment contains an additional sublimit for performing aged warehouse loans.

AHL used the proceeds obtained from the sale of loans in the first quarter of 2007 and proceeds from the Farallon term notes, to repay substantially all the debt then outstanding on its warehouse credit facilities. Concurrent with the repayment of these facilities, AHL terminated many of the warehouse credit lines and obtained waivers or amendments with respect to certain covenants on the remaining facilities. In exchange for the waivers granted, AHL agreed that it would not seek additional borrowings under some of these credit agreements. As of August 31, 2007, those non-funding facilities had also been terminated or had expired.

In May 2007, due to market conditions adversely impacting the salability of any asset-backed commercial paper notes collateralized by non-prime mortgage loans, AHL terminated its \$2.5 billion asset-backed commercial paper facility and repaid the related short-term liquidity notes and subordinated notes.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2007, AHL sold over \$1 billion of mortgage loans in whole loan sale transactions at a premium above par.

On August 17, 2007 AHL entered into a transaction treated as a financing for accounting purposes. The transaction includes a call provision exercisable by AHL which results in the transaction failing to qualify for sale treatment in accordance with certain provisions of SFAS 140. Under the transaction, AHL agreed to trade approximately \$1 billion of loans at an advance rate of 92.25% of the original principal balance of the mortgage loans traded, which is comparable to the advance rates AHL was then receiving from warehouse lenders. The initial settlement consisted of a pool of approximately \$500 million mortgage loans and closed on August 17, 2007. The remaining loans were scheduled to trade every other week as borrowers made their first payments due under the subject loans. As of October 16, 2007, \$976 million of the original pool had been settled with the final settlement of loans expected to occur by the end of October 2007. Under the agreement, AHL has the right but not the obligation, in its sole discretion, to reacquire all of the loans traded through mid-November 2007 at a premium of approximately 3% of the original principal balance of the mortgage loans traded or approximately \$30 million dollars. If AHL does not reacquire the loans by mid-November, its right to reacquire the loans expires and the investor will keep the loans with limited recourse to AHL, and AHL would then recognize the transaction as a sale.

On October 10, 2007, we received a letter from the lender of the Farallon Loan in which the lender stated that it was exercising its option under the change of control provisions of the Loan Agreement to demand payment, by the 30th day following our receipt of the letter, of the entire \$100 million outstanding balance of the loan, accrued interest thereon and the 2% change of control premium provided for under the Loan Agreement. We expect to repay all amounts due under the loan when due from available working capital, by financing certain assets currently securing the term loan, and with additional amounts contributed by Lone Star.

On October 12, 2007, Accredited received a \$100 million capital contribution from its new parent, LSF5 Accredited Investments, LLC, a subsidiary of Lone Star Fund V (U.S.) L.P.

On October 15, 2007, Accredited resumed U.S. lending operations.

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q has not been filed on a timely basis

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K (10-K) for the year ended December 31, 2006 and our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31 and June 30, 2007 were not filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on a timely basis. These delinquencies have had adverse consequences to us, and may continue to have certain adverse consequences even after they have been filed, including, for example, making us ineligible to register our securities for sale with the SEC using a short-form registration.

NYSE Delisting

On October 19, 2007, Accredited and the REIT announced their intention to deregister the Series A Preferred Shares and Accredited s guarantee with respect to those shares, on the basis that the shares are currently held by less than 300 registered holders, and, as a prerequisite to such deregistration, the REIT requested the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) to delist the Series A Preferred Shares from the NYSE. The NYSE has ten days following such request in which to make the filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) that begins the delisting process, and delisting is effective ten days following such filing. At that time, the filings which begin the deregistration process can be made with the SEC. The deregistration filings are expected to be made by November 8, 2007, at which time the public reporting obligations of Accredited and the REIT will be suspended. The terms and conditions of the Series A Preferred Shares and Accredited s related guarantee will remain unchanged following any delisting of the shares and any deregistration of the shares and the related guarantee. However, if the Series A Preferred Shares are delisted from the NYSE, there may be a material adverse impact on the market price and liquidity of our Preferred Shares, and our Preferred Shares may be subject to the penny stock rules contained in Section 15(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as

amended, and the rules promulgated thereunder. Also, if the Series A Preferred Share and the related guarantee are deregistered under the 34 Act, holders of the shares may not have access to the same quantity and quality of information regarding Accredited and the REIT as they would have if the shares and the guarantee remained registered.

NASDAQ Delisting Notification

On March 15, 2007, Accredited received a notice from the staff of NASDAQ stating that Accredited common stock may be subject to delisting because it had not filed its 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 on a timely basis. Accredited requested a hearing before the NASDAQ Listing Qualifications Panel to appeal the NASDAQ staff s determination and to present its plan to regain compliance with NASDAQ s filing requirements, which was held on May 3, 2007, followed by a written submission dated May 21, 2007. The hearing request automatically stayed the delisting of the common stock pending the Panel s review and decision. In addition, on May 15, 2007 and on August 14, 2007, Accredited received additional deficiency notices from the staff of NASDAQ stating that the failure to timely file with the SEC its 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2007 and June 30, 2007, respectively could serve as an additional basis for the delisting of Accredited s securities from NASDAQ.

On July 23, 2007 the Panel determined to continue listing Accredited s common stock provided that Accredited filed with the SEC their 10-K by September 12, 2007 and their 10-Q for the first quarter of 2007 by September 18, 2007. The 10-K was filed with the SEC on August 2, 2007, and the 10-Q for the first quarter was filed on September 18, 2007. On October 1, 2007 the Panel determined to continue listing Accredited common stock provided that they file with the SEC their 10-Q for the second quarter of 2007 by November 1, 2007. As a result of the Lone Star merger, Accredited s common stock is 100% owned by Lone Star and is no longer publicly traded, and Accredited s common stock has been delisted from NASDAQ.

Class Action Lawsuits

In 2007, several class action lawsuits were filed against Accredited and certain of its officers and directors. Certain of the lawsuits generally allege that, between November 1, 2005 and March 12, 2007, Accredited issued materially false and misleading statements regarding their business and financial results causing Accredited stock to trade at artificially inflated prices. Other complaints allege breaches of fiduciary duty by Accredited and members of its Board of Directors in connection with Accredited s entry into an Agreement and Plan of Merger with affiliates of Lone Star Fund V (U.S.) L.P. Accredited has resolved the latter lawsuit and believes that the other lawsuits have no merit and intends to vigorously defend the cases.

Securitized \$760 million of non-prime loans

On January 30, 2007 the REIT completed a securitization for \$760 million in asset-backed securities. The related bonds accrue interest at one-month LIBOR plus various spread and mature in 2037.

Paid first, second and third quarter dividends on the REIT Series A Preferred Stock

The Pricing Committee of the Board of Trustees of the REIT authorized, and the REIT declared in March, June and September of 2007, the quarterly cash dividend on the REIT Series A Preferred Stock at the rate of \$0.609375 per share. The first, second and third quarter dividends were paid on April 2, July 2 and October 1, 2007, respectively, to preferred shareholders of record at the close of business on March 15, June 15 and September 14, 2007, respectively.

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 Compared to the Three Months Ended June 30, 2006

Net Revenues

Net revenues and key indicators that affected our net revenues were as follows for the three months ended June 30:

| | 2007 | 2006 (dollars in the | Change ousands) | % Change |
|----------------------|------------|-------------------------|--------------------|----------|
| Interest income | \$ 137,998 | \$ 134,905 | \$ 3,093 | 2.3% |
| Interest expense | (93,783) | (81,074) | (12,709) | 15.7% |
| Net interest income | 44,215 | 53,831 | (9,616) | (17.9)% |
| Provision for losses | (27,039) | (6,680) | (20,359) | 304.8% |

| Net interest income after provision | 17,176 | 47,151 | (29,975) | (63.6)% |
|---|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| Other income | 985 | 1,150 | (165) | (14.3)% |
| | | | | |
| Total net revenues | \$ 18,161 | \$ 48,301 | \$ (30,140) | (62.4)% |
| | | | | |
| Average inventory of mortgage loans held for investment | \$ 7,343,855 | \$ 6,599,536 | \$ 744,319 | 11.3% |
| Annualized interest income as a percentage of average inventory of mortgage | | | | |
| loans held for investment | 7.52% | 8.18% | | |

⁽¹⁾ Interest income includes prepayment penalty income and gains and losses from certain hedging activities.

⁽²⁾ Interest expense includes gains and losses from hedging activities and amortization of debt issuance costs.

Interest Income and Expense

Interest income increased \$5.8 million or 4.8%, from \$120.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 to \$125.9 million for the same period in 2007. This increase was primarily the result of a \$744 million or 11.3% increase in the average portfolio of loans held for investment from \$6.6 billion in the second quarter of 2006 to \$7.3 billion in the second quarter of 2007, as we continued to execute our securitization program and added securitized loans acquired from Aames. The interest rates on loans held for investment decreased 42 basis points, from 7.28% for the three months ended June 30, 2006 to 6.86% for the same period in 2007 primarily due to the increase in the average balance of non-performing loans in the portfolio, from \$134.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 to \$527.7 million for the same period in 2007. Prepayment penalty fees received and other income declined \$2.6 million, from \$14.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 to \$12.1 million for the same period in 2007 as loan prepayments began to slow due to falling home prices and tighter credit conditions. The \$744 million increase in the average portfolio of loans held for investment in the second quarter of 2007 was caused by the addition of \$1.1 billion in securitized loans during the twelve months ended June 30, 2007 and the \$1.1 billion in securitized loans acquired from Aames held during the second quarter of 2007, less normal portfolio run-off due to principal repayments.

Interest expense increased \$8.5 million or 9.7% from \$87.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 to \$96.2 million for the same period in 2007. This increase in interest expense was primarily the result of the \$666 million or 10.2% increase in our average securitized bond financing from \$6.5 billion in the second quarter of 2006 to \$7.2 billion in the second quarter of 2007 as our cost of funds rate remained steady at 5.27% in the second quarter of 2007 compared to 5.30% in the same period in 2006. The \$666 million increase in the average securitized bond financing was the result of the addition of \$1.1 billion in securitized bonds during the twelve months ended June 30, 2007 and the \$1.1 billion in securitized bonds acquired from Aames and held during the second quarter of 2007, less normal bond amortization.

The resulting interest spread on our mortgage loans held for investment portfolio declined \$6.1 million from \$53.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 to \$47.7 million for the same period in 2007. Although the average portfolio grew by \$744 million, the interest yield (including prepayment penalty fees) declined by 65 basis points and the cost of funds (including hedging gains) increased by 6 basis points causing the net interest spread to contract by 71 basis points, from 3.28% in 2006 to 2.57% in 2007.

Interest expense on other term debt was \$3.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and represented interest on the \$100 million in loans from Farallon at 13% plus discount amortization.

The components of our net interest income were as follows for the three months ended June 30:

| | Interest | 2007 Average | | Interest | 2006 Average | |
|---|------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| | Income | Balance | Average | Income | Balance | Average |
| | (Expense) | Outstanding | Rate (dollars in t | (Expense) housands) | Outstanding | Rate |
| Mortgage loans held for investment: | | | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 125,941 | \$ 7,343,855 | 6.86% | \$ 120,162 | \$ 6,599,536 | 7.28% |
| Prepayment penalty and other income | 12,057 | | 0.66 | 14,743 | | 0.89 |
| Interest expense | (96,194) | 7,214,996 | (5.27) | (87,667) | 6,548,598 | (5.30) |
| Hedging gains and bond issue cost amortization, net | 5,864 | | 0.32 | 6,593 | | 0.41 |
| Spread | 47,668 | | 2.57 | \$ 53,831 | | 3.28 |
| Other term debt: | | | | | | |
| Interest expense | (3,453) | 100,000 | (13.66) | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 44,215 | \$ 7,343,855 | 2.41% | \$ 53,831 | \$ 6,599,536 | 3.32% |

Provision and Reserve for Losses

The provision for losses and related reserves were comprised of the following:

| | | 2007 | | 2006 (dollars in the | | Change ds) | % Change |
|---|------|-----------|----|-------------------------|------|---------------|----------|
| Provision for losses for the three months ended June 30: | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans held for investment | \$ | 9,537 | \$ | 6,347 | \$ | 3,190 | 50.3% |
| Real estate owned | | 17,502 | | 333 | | 17,169 | 5,155.9% |
| Total provision for losses on mortgage loans held for investment | \$ | 27,039 | \$ | 6,680 | \$ | 20,359 | 304.8% |
| | J | June 30, | De | ecember 31, | | | |
| | | 2007 | | 2006 (dollars in tho | | Change ds) | % Change |
| Reserve for losses at period end: | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans held for investment (1)(2) | \$ | 141,342 | \$ | 129,936 | \$ | 11,406 | 8.8% |
| Real estate owned (3) | | 48,980 | | 22,783 | | 26,197 | 115.0% |
| Total reserve for losses | \$ | 190,322 | \$ | 152,719 | \$ | 37,603 | 24.6% |
| Principal balance at period end: | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans held for investment (1) | \$ 5 | 5,850,159 | \$ | 6,268,944 | \$ (| (418,785) | (6.7)% |
| Reserve balance as a percentage of mortgage loans held for investment (4) | | 2.42% | | 2.07% | | | |

- (1) The reserve for losses on mortgage loans held for investment in 2007 excludes reserves for losses on Aames mortgage loans due to the effect of purchase accounting. The principal balance of mortgage loans held for investment at June 30, 2007 also excludes any Aames related balances for consistency in presentation within this table.
- (2) Reserves for losses on mortgage loans held for investment are included in mortgage loans held for investment on the balance sheets.
- (3) Reserves for losses on real estate owned are included in real estate owned on the balance sheets.
- (4) The reserve balance percentages for mortgage loans held for investment are calculated by dividing the reserve for losses on mortgage loans held for investment by the principal balance of mortgage loans held for investment.

We make provisions for losses on our portfolio of mortgage loans held for investment based upon our estimate of expected losses resulting from loans in various stages of delinquency, calculated using assumptions of loss frequency and loss severity. The provision for losses reflects additions to loss reserves after the mortgage loans and related reserves are contributed from AHL to the REIT.

The total provision for losses on mortgage loans held for investment, including real estate owned (REO), increased \$20.3 million or 304.8%, from \$6.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 to \$27.0 million for the same period in 2007. The increase in the provision was due to the \$53.5 million increase in the level of REO during the second quarter of 2007 compared to the \$13.7 million increase in REO in the second quarter of 2006 and an increase in the estimated loss severity rate on REO assets.

The key ratio of loss reserves on mortgage loans held for investment to principal balance outstanding on mortgage loans held for investment increased from 2.07% at December 31, 2006 to 2.42% at June 30, 2007.

Management Fee Assessed by Parent Company

The management fee assessed by the parent, AHL, for servicing and general and administrative services totaled \$9.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007, compared to \$8.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006, and represents 0.5% per annum on the monthly outstanding principal balance of the loans serviced by AHL.

Direct General and Administrative Expense

Direct general and administrative expenses totaled \$31,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2007 compared to \$1,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and represented audit, legal and trustee fees incurred directly by the REIT.

Income taxes

There is no provision for income taxes for the three months ended June 30, 2007 or for the three months ended June 30, 2006, as we expect to dividend all of our 2007 taxable income to preferred and common shareholders. It is anticipated that, in conjunction with satisfying the ongoing distribution requirements applicable to a real estate investment trust, we will continue to dividend all of our taxable income to shareholders. Therefore, we do not expect to record an income tax provision in 2007. Our parent company, AHL, will be subject to federal and state taxes on the taxable income that is distributed to it at the parent company s effective tax rate.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 Compared to the Six Months Ended June 30, 2006

Net Revenues

Net revenues and key indicators that affected our net revenues were as follows for the six months ended June 30:

| | 2007 | 2006 (dollars in th | Change ousands) | % Change |
|---|--------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Interest income | \$ 287,707 | \$ 260,625 | \$ 27,082 | 10.4% |
| Interest expense | (193,248) | (152,550) | (40,698) | 26.7% |
| Net interest income | 94,459 | 108,075 | (13,616) | (12.6)% |
| Provision for losses | (41,629) | (13,051) | (28,578) | 219.0% |
| Net interest income after provision | 52,830 | 95,024 | (42,194) | (44.4)% |
| Other income | 1,414 | 1,838 | (424) | (23.1)% |
| Total net revenues | \$ 54,244 | \$ 96,862 | \$ (42,618) | (44.0)% |
| | | | | |
| Average inventory of mortgage loans held for investment | \$ 7,545,443 | \$ 6,455,561 | \$ 1,089,882 | 16.9% |
| Annualized interest income as a percentage of average inventory of mortgage loans held for investment | 7.63% | 8.08% | | |

- (1) Interest income includes prepayment penalty income and gains and losses from certain hedging activities.
- (2) Interest expense includes gains and losses from hedging activities and amortization of debt issuance costs.

Interest Income and Expense

Interest income increased \$28.9 million or 12.4%, from \$233.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 to \$262.1 million for the same period in 2007. This increase was primarily the result of a \$1.0 billion or 16.9% increase in the average portfolio of loans held for investment from \$6.5 billion in the first half of 2006 to \$7.5 billion in the first half of 2007, as we continued to execute our securitization program and added securitized loans acquired from Aames. The average interest rate on loans held for investment decreased 27 basis points, from 7.22% for the six months ended June 30, 2006 to 6.95% for the same period in 2007 primarily due to the increase in the average balance of non-performing loans in the portfolio, from \$125.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 to \$416.3 million for the same period in 2007. Prepayment penalty fees received and other income declined \$1.8 million, from was \$27.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 to \$25.6 million for the same period in 2007 as loan prepayments began to slow due to falling home prices and tighter credit conditions. The \$1.0 billion increase in the average portfolio of loans held for investment in the first half of 2007 was caused by the addition of \$1.1 billion in securitized loans during the twelve months ended June 30, 2007 and the \$1.1 billion in securitized loans acquired from Aames held during the first half of 2007, less normal portfolio run-off due to principal repayments.

Interest expense increased \$41.2 million or 25.2% from \$163.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 to \$204.6 million for the same period in 2007. This increase was primarily the result of the \$1.0 billion or 15.9% increase in our average securitized bond financing from \$6.4 billion in the first half of 2006 to \$7.4 billion in the first half of 2007 plus a 40 basis point increase in our cost of funds rate, from 5.08% for the six months ended June 30, 2006 to 5.48% for the same period in 2007. The 40 basis point rate increase in our cost of funds was caused by the increase in the average One Month LIBOR rate. The \$1.0 billion increase in the average securitized bond financing in the first half of 2007 was the result of the addition of \$1.1 billion in securitized bonds during the twelve months ended June 30, 2007 and the \$1.1 billion in securitized bonds acquired from Aames and held during the first half of 2007, less normal bond amortization.

The resulting interest spread on our mortgage loans held for investment portfolio declined \$10.0 million from \$108.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 to \$98.1 million for the same period in 2007. Although the average portfolio grew by \$1.0 billion, the interest yield (including prepayment penalty fees) declined by 44 basis points and the cost of funds (including hedging gains) increased by 34 basis points causing the net interest spread to contract by 78 basis points, from 3.33% in 2006 to 2.55% in 2007.

Interest expense on other term debt was \$3.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and represented interest on the \$100 million in loans from Farallon (from issuance on March 30, 2007) plus discount amortization.

The components of our net interest margin were as follows for the six months ended June 30:

| | Interest | 2007 Average | | Interest | 2006 Average | |
|---|------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| | Income | Balance | Average | Income | Balance | Average |
| | (Expense) | Outstanding | Rate (dollars in t | (Expense) housands) | Outstanding | Rate |
| Mortgage loans held for investment: | | | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 262,060 | \$ 7,545,443 | 6.95% | \$ 233,172 | \$ 6,455,561 | 7.22% |
| Prepayment penalty and other income | 25,647 | | 0.68 | 27,453 | | 0.85 |
| Interest expense | (204,589) | 7,427,961 | (5.48) | (163,395) | 6,409,874 | (5.08) |
| Hedging gains and bond issue cost amortization, net | 15,021 | | 0.40 | 10,845 | | 0.34 |
| Spread | 98,139 | | 2.55 | \$ 108,075 | | 3.33 |
| Other term debt: | | | | | | |
| Interest expense | (3,680) | 50,556 | (14.48) | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 94,459 | \$ 7,545,443 | 2.50% | \$ 108,075 | \$ 6,455,561 | 3.36% |

Provision and Reserve for Losses

The provision for losses and related reserves were comprised of the following:

| | 2007 | | 2006 Change (dollars in thousands) | | % Change | | |
|--|------|----------|------------------------------------|---|----------|---------------|----------|
| Provision for losses for the six months ended June 30: | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans held for investment | \$ | 10,070 | \$ | 8,122 | \$ | 1,948 | 24.0% |
| Real estate owned | | 31,559 | | 4,929 | | 26,630 | 540.3% |
| Total provision for losses on mortgage loans held for investment | \$ | 41,629 | \$ | 13,051 | \$ | 28,578 | 219.0% |
| | J | une 30, | De | cember 31, | | | |
| | | 2007 | | 2006 (dollars in tho | | Change ds) | % Change |
| Reserve for losses at period end: | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans held for investment (1)(2) | \$ | 141,342 | \$ | 129,936 | \$ | 11,406 | 8.8% |
| Real estate owned (3) | | 48,980 | | 22,783 | | 26,197 | 115.0% |
| T. () | \$ | 190,322 | \$ | 152,719 | \$ | 37,603 | 24.6% |
| Total reserve for losses | Ψ | 1,0,022 | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | |
| Principal balance at period end: | Ψ | 170,622 | | - , | | | |
| | | ,850,159 | \$ | 6,268,944 | \$ (| (418,785) | (6.7)% |

- (1) The reserve for losses on mortgage loans held for investment in 2007 excludes reserves for losses on Aames mortgage loans due to the effect of purchase accounting. The principal balance of mortgage loans held for investment at June 30, 2007 also excludes any Aames related balances for consistency in presentation within this table.
- (2) Reserves for losses on mortgage loans held for investment are included in mortgage loans held for investment on the balance sheets.
- (3) Reserves for losses on real estate owned are included in real estate owned on the balance sheets.
- (4) The reserve balance percentages for mortgage loans held for investment are calculated by dividing the reserve for losses on mortgage loans held for investment by the principal balance of mortgage loans held for investment.

23

We make provisions for losses on our portfolio of mortgage loans held for investment based upon our estimate of expected losses resulting from loans in various stages of delinquency, calculated using assumptions of loss frequency and loss severity. The provision for losses reflects additions to loss reserves after the mortgage loans and related reserves are contributed from AHL to the REIT.

The total provision for losses on mortgage loans held for investment, including REO, increased \$28.6 million or 219.0%, from \$13.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 to \$41.6 million for the same period in 2007. The increase in the provision was due to the \$92.9 million increase in the level of REO during the first half of 2007 compared to the \$20.4 million increase in REO in the first half of 2006 and an increase in the loss severity rates on REO assets.

The key ratio of loss reserves on mortgage loans held for investment to principal balance outstanding on mortgage loans held for investment increased from 2.07% at December 31, 2006 to 2.42% at June 30, 2007.

Management Fee Assessed by Parent Company

The management fee assessed by the parent, AHL, for servicing and general and administrative services totaled \$19.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007, and \$16.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006, and represents 0.5% per annum on the monthly outstanding principal balance of the loans serviced by AHL.

Direct General and Administrative Expense

Direct general and administrative expenses totaled \$325,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and \$9,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and represent audit, legal and trustee fees incurred directly by the REIT.

Income taxes

There is no provision for income taxes for the six months ended June 30, 2007 or for the six months ended June 30, 2006, as we expect to dividend all of our 2007 taxable income to preferred and common shareholders. It is anticipated that, in conjunction with satisfying the ongoing distribution requirements applicable to a real estate investment trust, we will continue to dividend all of our taxable income to shareholders. Therefore, we do not expect to record an income tax provision in 2007. Our parent company, AHL, will be subject to federal and state taxes on the taxable income that is distributed to it at the parent company s effective tax rate.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Parent Contributions

During the six months ended June 30, 2007, AHL contributed to the REIT mortgage loans, net of reserves, of \$752.0 million, and other net liabilities of \$10.5 million, and reduced by contributions of aggregate outstanding credit facility balances of \$745.3 million. AHL may from time-to-time in the future contribute additional capital or other net assets to us.

On March 30, 2007, Accredited, AHL, and the REIT entered into a secured Loan Agreement with Mortgage Investment Fundings, L.L.C. (MIF), a lending entity managed by Farallon Capital Management, L.L.C. Pursuant to the Loan Agreement, MIF extended term loans guaranteed by Accredited in an aggregate principal amount of \$230 million consisting of (i) term loan to the REIT in the principal amount of \$70.0 million (the Term A Loan), (ii) a term loan to AHL in the principal amount of \$130.0 million (the Term B Loan), and (iii) a term loan to the REIT in the principal amount of \$30.0 million (the Term C Loan).

The proceeds of the Term A Loan and the Term B Loan are available to fund repurchase obligations of the REIT and AHL, to satisfy margin calls from warehouse lenders, to fund mortgage loan originations, to pay for transaction costs in connection with the transaction, for general working capital and other corporate needs and to repay specific indebtedness. The Term C Loan is solely available to repay certain specified indebtedness of the REIT. The Term A and Term B loan have an interest rate of 13% annum, whereas the Term C Loan had an interest rate of 9% per annum for the first 60 days and an interest rate of 13% per annum thereafter. The loans may be repaid in full at any time, subject to payment of a premium of 7% of amounts prepaid during the first two years of the facility and a lesser premium thereafter. Upon the occurrence of a change of control, the lenders may demand prepayment of the loans and the loans shall be prepaid in full with a premium of 2% of the amount repaid. On October 10, 2007, we received a letter from MIF in which MIF stated that it was exercising its option under the change of control provisions to demand payment, by the 30th day following our receipt of the letter, of the entire outstanding balance of the loans, accrued interest thereon and the 2% change of control premium. We expect to be able to repay the loan when due from available working capital, by financing certain assets currently securing the Farallon Loan, and with additional amounts contributed by Lone Star.

On October 12, 2007, Accredited received a \$100 million capital contribution from its new parent, LSF5 Accredited Investments, LLC, a subsidiary of Lone Star Fund V (U.S.) L.P.

Preferred Stock

The Pricing Committee of the Board of Trustees of the REIT authorized, and the REIT declared in March, June and September of 2007, the quarterly cash dividend on the REIT Series A Preferred Stock at the rate of \$0.609375 per share. The first, second and third quarter dividends were paid on April 2, July 2 and October 1, 2007, respectively, to preferred shareholders of record at the close of business on March 15, June 15 and September 14, 2007, respectively.

The Series A Preferred Shares contain covenants requiring us to maintain a total shareholders—equity balance and total loans held for investment of at least \$50 million and \$2.0 billion, respectively, commencing on December 31, 2004 and at the end of each quarter thereafter, and, commencing with each of the four quarters ending December 31, 2006, to maintain a cumulative unencumbered cash flow greater than or equal to six times the cumulative preferred dividends required in those four quarters. If we are not in compliance with any of these covenants, no dividends can be declared on our common stock until we are in compliance with all covenants as of the end of two successive quarters. As of June 30, 2007, we were in compliance with the covenants applicable to date in 2007.

Securitizations

In March 2007, we completed a securitization containing \$760.0 million of first and second priority residential mortgage loans through the REIT. The securitizations utilized a senior/subordinated structure consisting of senior and subordinated notes with a final stated maturity date in approximately thirty years. The securitization is structured as a financing; therefore, both the mortgage loans and the debt represented by the notes will remain on our consolidated balance sheet. We used the proceeds from these securitizations primarily to repay warehouse financing for the mortgage loans. No securitizations were initiated in the second quarter of 2007.

Summary of Cash Activity

Cash provided by operating activities of \$50.8 million, cash provided by principal payments received on mortgage loans held for investment of \$1.3 billion, cash capital contributions from AHL of \$3.0 million and net proceeds from the issuance of securitized bond financing of \$753.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2007 were used primarily to pay off outstanding credit facility balances contributed by AHL of \$745.3 million, to fund payments on the securitization bond financing of \$1.3 billion and to pay dividends on our preferred stock of \$5.0 million.

Credit Facilities

Accredited repaid outstanding borrowings in the amount of \$16 million related to unsold portions of securitized bonds and the facility was repaid and terminated. Additionally, the REIT terminated two \$100 million Master Repurchase Agreements secured by owner trust certificates of securitized mortgage loans for which the REIT was depositor.

Parent Guarantee

Accredited has irrevocably and unconditionally agreed to pay in full to the holders of each share of our Series A Preferred Shares, as and when due, regardless of any defense, right of set-off or counterclaim which we or Accredited may have or assert: (i) all accrued and unpaid dividends (whether or not declared) payable on our Series A Preferred Shares, (ii) the redemption price (including all accrued and unpaid dividends) payable with respect to any of the REIT s Series A Preferred Shares redeemed by us and (iii) the liquidation preference, if any, payable with respect to any of our Series A Preferred Shares. Accredited s guarantee is subordinated in right of payment to Accredited s indebtedness, on parity with the most senior class of Accredited s preferred stock and senior to Accredited s common stock.

Cash required for the payment of preferred stock dividends in excess of cash provided by operating activities would be advanced by AHL. The full payment of the preferred stock dividend is irrevocably and unconditionally guaranteed by AHL.

We are required to distribute 90% or more of our taxable income to our sole shareholder, AHL. Because the dividend is based upon taxable income there can be a significant difference between our reported results under generally accepted accounting principles and US federal taxable income for the same period. As a result of this our dividend distributions have exceeded our net cash provided by operating activities. However, over time our reported income and taxable income should be substantially equivalent and therefore our cash flows should be sufficient to satisfy our common dividend requirements.

Market Risk

Market risks generally represent the risk of loss that may result from the potential change in the value of a financial instrument due to fluctuations in interest and foreign exchange rates and in equity and commodity prices. Our market risk relates primarily to interest rate fluctuations. We may be directly affected by the level of and fluctuations in interest rates, which affect the spread between the rate of interest received on our mortgage loans held for investment and the related financing rate. Our profitability could be adversely affected during any period of unexpected or rapid changes in interest rates, by impacting the value of loans held for investment and changing the level of loan prepayments, thereby adversely affecting our long-term net interest income.

The objective of interest rate risk management is to control the effects that interest rate fluctuations have on the value of our assets and liabilities. Our management of interest rate risk is intended to mitigate the volatility of earnings associated with fluctuations in the net interest on loans held for investment due to changes in the current market rate of interest.

We use several reports and risk management strategies to monitor, evaluate, and manage the risk profile of our loan portfolio in response to changes in the market risk. We cannot assure you, however, that we will adequately offset all risks associated with our loan portfolio.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

As part of our interest rate management process, we use derivative financial instruments such as Eurodollar futures, options interest rate cap agreements and interest rate swap agreements. It is not our policy to use derivatives to speculate on interest rates. In accordance with SFAS 133, as amended and interpreted, derivative financial instruments are reported on the balance sheet at their fair value.

Fair Value Hedges

We designate certain derivative financial instruments as hedge instruments under SFAS 133, and, at trade date, these instruments and their hedging relationship are identified, designated and documented. For derivative financial instruments designated as hedge instruments, we evaluate the effectiveness of these hedges against the hedged asset or liability to ensure that there remains adequate correlation in the hedge relationship.

Cash Flow Hedges

During the third quarter of 2004, we began utilizing cash flow hedging and implemented the use of cash flow hedge accounting on our securitization debt under SFAS 133. Pursuant to SFAS 133 hedge instruments have been designated as hedging the exposure to variability of cash flows from our securitization debt attributable to interest rate risk. Cash flow hedge accounting requires that the effective portion of the gain or loss in the fair value of a derivative instrument designated as a hedge be reported as a component of other comprehensive income in stockholders—equity, and recorded into earnings in the period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings pursuant to SFAS 133. The ineffective portion on the derivative instrument is reported in current earnings as a component of interest expense.

For derivative financial instruments not designated as hedge instruments, unrealized changes in fair value are recognized in the period in which the changes occur and realized gains and losses are recognized in the period when such instruments are settled.

Interest Rate Simulation Sensitivity Analysis

Changes in market interest rates affect our estimations of the fair value of our securitization debt and the related derivatives. Changes in fair value that are stated below are derived based upon immediate and equal changes to market interest rates of various maturities. All derivative financial instruments and interest rate sensitive liabilities have been included within the sensitivity analysis presented. We model the change in value of our derivative financial instruments using outside valuation models generally recognized within the industry. Projected changes in the value of our debt as stated below are determined based on the change in net present value arising from the selected hypothetical changes in market interest rates. Changes in the fair value of our derivative positions with optionality have been included based on an immediate and equal change in market interest rates. The base or current interest rate curve is adjusted by the levels shown below as of June 30, 2007:

| | +100 bp | +50 bp (in thou | -50 bp isands) | -100 bp |
|--|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Change in fair value of: | | | | |
| Securitized debt subject to portfolio-based accounting | \$ (91,129) | \$ (45,916) | \$ 46,610 | \$ 93,968 |

| Derivatives related to securitized debt subject to portfolio-based accounting | 60,895 | 30,447 | (30,868) | (61,736) |
|---|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | | |
| Net change | \$ (30,234) | \$ (15,469) | \$ 15,742 | \$ 32,232 |

The simulation analysis reflects our efforts to balance the repricing characteristics of our interest-bearing assets and liabilities. Because the impact of +50 vs. +100 basis points and -50 vs. -100 basis points is nearly linear in nature, the interest rate simulation sensitivity analysis may be extrapolated to form conclusions about larger interest rate movements.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations, excluding future interest, June 30, 2007, and the effect such obligations are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flows in future periods:

| | Payments Due by Calendar Period Less than | | | | More than |
|----------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Total | 1 year | 1-3 Years (in thousands) | 3-5 Years | 5 Years |
| Other Borrowings | \$ 100,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 100,000 |
| Securitization bond financing(1) | 6,760,948 | 1,376,228 | 2,998,955 | 1,243,335 | 1,142,430 |
| Total | \$ 6,860,948 | \$ 1,376,228 | \$ 2,998,955 | \$ 1,243,335 | \$ 1,242,430 |

⁽¹⁾ Amounts represent the expected repayment requirements based on anticipated receipts of principal and interest on underlying mortgage loan collateral. The securitization bond financing represents obligations of the respective trusts that issue the notes. The mortgage loans and other assets sold to these issuers are not available to satisfy claims of our creditors. The noteholders recourse is limited to the pledged mortgage loans and other assets.

Off-Balance Sheet Financing Arrangements

As of June 30, 2007, we did not have any off-balance sheet financing arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies

Accounting for Our Loan Sales

We have sold our loans in transactions that have been accounted for in our financial statements as securitizations structured as financings. The transactions were legally structured as sales of mortgage loans, but for accounting purposes were treated as financings under SFAS 140. When we enter into a securitization structured as a financing, the loans remain on our balance sheet, retained interests are not created for accounting purposes, and debt securities issued in the securitization replace the warehouse debt originally associated with the securitized mortgage loans. We record interest income on the mortgage loans and interest expense on the debt securities over the life of the securitization, instead of recognizing a gain or loss upon closing of the transaction.

Estimates

The preparation of our financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Although we base our estimates and assumptions on historical experience and on various other factors that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, our management exercises significant judgment in the final determination of our estimates. Actual results may differ from these estimates. The following areas require significant judgments by management:

Provision for losses; and

Interest rate risk, derivatives and hedging strategies.

Provisions for Losses

We provide market valuation adjustments on certain nonperforming mortgage loans. These adjustments are based upon our estimate of expected losses, calculated using loss frequency and loss severity rate assumptions, and are based upon the

value that we could reasonably expect to obtain from a sale, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. Specifically, we take into account two methods. The purpose of the dual methodology is to incorporate a hybrid approach so that we are taking into account a long-term view of losses which is flexible enough to readily adapt the loss reserve model to changes in the short and medium term. The following methods are applied at the securitization pool level. At June 30, 2007 we had 16 securitized pools to which this methodology was applied.

The first method is a forecast of losses projected using our securitization pool cash flow models. This method is designed to adequately reserve for losses in the short- to medium-term. We monitor the various assumptions used, including prepayment, default, and loss curves, through management portfolio performance reports. In the second method, losses are forecasted for mortgage loans with defaults based on the various delinquency categories and an estimated lifetime loss frequency rate is applied to each bucket using severities based on historical and forecast data. This method is designed to estimate losses over the life of the pool. This is based on historical and forecast data and accounts for the seasoning of the mortgage loans in the study. We monitor the loss frequency and severity assumptions used through management portfolio performance reports and adjust our assumptions as necessary. For both methods, management considers actual historical trends, current market conditions, and forecasted future market conditions in determining assumptions.

Each reporting period an allowance for losses on mortgage loans held for investment is recorded in an amount sufficient to maintain appropriate coverage for probable losses on such mortgage loans. We periodically evaluate the estimates used in calculating expected losses, and any adjustments are reported in current earnings. As these estimates are influenced by factors outside of our control and as uncertainty is inherent in these estimates, it is reasonably possible that they could change and our estimated liability prove to be too high or too low at the measurement date.

Our estimate of expected losses could increase if our actual loss experience is different than originally estimated, or if economic factors change the value we could reasonably expect to obtain from a sale. In particular, if actual losses increase or if values reasonably expected to be obtained from a sale decrease, the provision for losses would increase. Any increase in the provision for losses would adversely affect our results of operations.

Interest Rate Risk, Derivatives and Hedging

We face interest rate risk on our loans held for investment subject to portfolio-based accounting, which exists because some of these loans have fixed interest rates for a period of two, three or five years while the rate passed through to the holders of the securitization bonds is based upon an adjustable rate. We also have interest rate risk for six month adjustable loans and when the loans become adjustable after their two, three or five year fixed rate period. This is due to the loan rates resetting every six months, subject to various caps and floors, versus the monthly reset on the rate passed through to the investors in the mortgage-related securities and holders of the securitization bonds. Our use of derivatives is intended to mitigate the volatility of earnings associated with changes in the cash flows of our loans held for investment subject to portfolio-based accounting due to changes in LIBOR rates.

As part of our interest rate management process, we use derivative financial instruments such as Eurodollar futures and options on Eurodollar futures. In connection with the securitizations structured as financings, we have entered into interest rate cap agreements and interest rate swap agreements. We do not use derivatives to speculate on interest rates. In accordance with SFAS 133, as amended and interpreted, derivative financial instruments are reported on the balance sheet at fair value.

Fair Value Hedges

We designate certain derivative financial instruments as hedge instruments under SFAS 133, and at trade date, these instruments and their hedging relationship are identified, designated and documented. For derivative financial instruments designated as hedge instruments, we evaluate the effectiveness of these hedges against the hedged asset or liability, to ensure there remains a highly effective correlation in the hedge relationship. We have implemented fair value hedge accounting on our mortgage loans held for investment, whereby certain derivatives are designated as a hedge of the fair value of mortgage loans held for investment. Changes in the fair value of such derivative instruments and changes in the fair value of the hedged assets, which are determined to be effective, are recorded as a component of interest income in the period of change. Should the hedge prove to be perfectly effective, the current period net impact to earnings would be minimal. Accordingly, the net amount recorded in the statement of operations relating to fair value hedge accounting is referred to as hedge ineffectiveness.

Cash Flow Hedges

During the third quarter of 2004, we began utilizing cash flow hedging and implemented the use of cash flow hedge accounting on our securitization debt under SFAS 133. Pursuant to SFAS 133, hedge instruments have been designated as hedging the exposure to variability of cash flows from our securitization debt attributable to interest rate risk. Cash flow hedge accounting requires that the effective portion of the gain or loss in the fair value of a derivative instrument designated as a hedge be reported in other comprehensive income, and the ineffective portion be reported in current earnings.

For derivative financial instruments not designated as hedge instruments, unrealized changes in fair value are recognized in the period in which the changes occur and realized gains and losses are recognized in the period when such instruments are settled.

Risk Factors and Accredited s Guarantee of Our Series A Preferred Shares

You should carefully consider the following risks, together with other matters described in this Form 10-Q in evaluating our business and prospects. If any of the events referred to actually occur, our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations could suffer. The risks described are not the only ones we face. Additional risks not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair our business operations. Certain statements in this Form 10-Q (including certain of the following risk factors) constitute forward-looking statements. Please refer to the section entitled Forward-Looking Statements on page 5 of this Form 10-Q.

Risks Related to REIT s Business

We are economically and operationally dependent on Accredited and AHL, and Accredited and AHL are in financial distress.

Historically, we have acquired mortgage assets and assumed related funding obligations from AHL, who also provides operating facilities, administration and servicing for us. Accordingly, we are economically and operationally dependent on AHL and Accredited, and, as such, our results of operations and financial condition may be negatively impacted by adverse market conditions affecting Accredited and AHL.

Accredited and AHL operate in the highly volatile non-prime mortgage sector. Subsequent to December 31, 2006, the non-prime mortgage sector has been characterized by turmoil and deteriorating conditions including the withdrawal of credit by warehouse credit lenders, bankruptcy of multiple industry participants, tightening of underwriting standards, increased mortgage delinquencies and defaults by borrowers, reduced origination of non-prime mortgages, downgrades by credit rating agencies, and reduction in personnel, among others. In response to these challenging conditions and to preserve liquidity, during the first half of 2007, AHL completed on March 16, 2007 the sale of substantially all of its mortgage loans then held for sale totaling approximately \$2.7 billion, borrowed \$230 million under a five-year term note facility, restructured or terminated many credit facilities, terminated its asset-backed commercial paper program, acquired new warehouse credit facilities, downsized operations and executed significant reductions in personnel.

In addition, during the first half of 2007, Accredited engaged financial and legal advisors to evaluate strategic options to enhance liquidity, including raising additional capital. On June 4, 2007, Accredited entered into a merger agreement with affiliates of Lone Star Fund V (U.S.), L.P. (Lone Star) pursuant to which Lone Star agreed to acquire all of the common stock of Accredited in an all-cash transaction.

On September 18, 2007 the merger agreement was amended to, among other things, reduce the price at which Lone Star agreed to acquire all of the common stock of the Company to \$11.75 per share, and the acquisition was completed at that price on October 12, 2007. Our 9.75% Series A Perpetual Cumulative Preferred Shares, par value \$1.00 per share (NYSE: AHH.PrA) remained outstanding following the acquisition.

As conditions in the non-prime mortgage industry continued to deteriorate in the third quarter of 2007, Accredited traded, on August 17, 2007, approximately \$1 billion of loans at an advance rate comparable to the advance rates Accredited was then receiving from warehouse lenders. The initial settlement of approximately \$500 million closed on August 17, 2007, with the remaining loans scheduled to trade every other week as borrowers make their first payments due under the subject loans. Under the agreement, Accredited has the ability but not the obligation, in its sole discretion, to repurchase all of the loans traded through mid-November 2007 at a premium to the advance rate.

In addition, beginning in September 2007, Accredited restructured its operations by closing all retail lending operations, significantly reducing its wholesale lending operations, and substantially suspending all U.S. mortgage loan originations unless and until the return of market conditions under which non-prime mortgage loans can again be originated and sold or securitized at a profit. On October 15, 2007, Accredited resumed U.S. lending operations.

If Accredited is unable to obtain adequate capital resources to fund future operations in the event of prolonged non-prime mortgage sector volatility and deterioration, Accredited s financial and operational viability becomes increasingly uncertain.

Due to these conditions outside of the control of the REIT, Accredited and AHL are forced to operate in an adverse environment for mortgage companies. A bankruptcy filing by one or both entities would be detrimental to the REIT and would constitute an event of default under the warehouse facilities and under certain securitization agreements. AHL s and our warehouse lending facilities are typically structured as repurchase agreements. Accredited s, AHL s and our borrowings under repurchase agreements may qualify for special treatment under the Bankruptcy Code, giving the lenders under such facilities the ability to avoid the automatic stay provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and to take possession of and liquidate our collateral under the repurchase agreements without delay in the event that we file for bankruptcy. Accredited s and AHL s business relies upon access to funds in order to originate mortgages. In turn, our business relies upon AHL s contribution of new mortgages. In the event of a bankruptcy filing and the lenders seizure of the collateral securing the above obligations, AHL and Accredited would likely have great difficulty in obtaining replacement loans because they would not have sufficient collateral to secure the loans they require to continue operating. Without obtaining replacement credit facilities, AHL would be unable to continue as a going concern and would have no mortgages to contribute to the REIT. Furthermore, the special treatment of repurchase agreements under the Bankruptcy Code may make it difficult for us to recover our pledged assets in the event that a lender liquidates. Thus, the use of repurchase agreements exposes our pledged assets to risk in the event of a bankruptcy or other insolvency filing by either a lender or us.

Although an AHL bankruptcy would constitute an event of default under AHL s servicing agreements with the REIT and certain securitization agreements, the automatic stay under the Bankruptcy Code may prevent the termination of such servicing agreements without the prior approval of the court having jurisdiction over AHL s bankruptcy proceedings. AHL may have the ability to assign its rights and obligations under the servicing agreements to a successor servicer. Any delay in the servicing of the mortgages may have a detrimental value to the overall value of the mortgages.

The distributions to be received by us with respect to our securitized mortgage pools are uncertain.

The timing and amount of distributions to us with respect to securitized mortgage pools is not fixed and will be determined by the rate and timing of principal payments on the related mortgage loans (including prepayments and collections upon defaults, liquidations of the mortgage loans and payment of any prepayment charges), by the rate and timing of realized losses on the mortgage loans and by adjustments of the interest rates of certain classes of the underlying notes and adjustments of the interest rates of the mortgage loans.

The distributions to be received by us with respect to the securitized mortgage pools are subordinated in right of payment to the securitization noteholders and to other fees and expenses of the underlying securitization trust.

Payments on the mortgage loans in each mortgage pool will be available to make distributions to us only after payment of servicing fees, trustee fees, administrative fees, payment of other related specified expenses and reimbursements and payment of interest due to the securitization noteholders. Consequently, the amount of excess cash flow distributable to us is extremely sensitive to losses on the related mortgage loans (and the timing thereof), because certain amounts of realized losses with respect to a mortgage pool will be absorbed in the first instance by us, either by reduction in amounts otherwise to be received by us or by a reduction in the amount of overcollateralization provided to the securitization noteholders by the mortgage loans in the related mortgage pool. Overcollateralization is the excess of the aggregate outstanding scheduled principal balance of the mortgage loans in the related mortgage pool over the aggregate principal amount of the related securitization notes. Any such reduction in the amount of overcollateralization to a level below the applicable required overcollateralization amount will be borne by us, because any amounts otherwise available for distribution to us will be distributed instead to the securitization noteholders to restore the reduced amount of overcollateralization to the applicable required overcollateralization amount.

Certain decisions by AHL or us, including, but not limited to, decisions regarding whether we exercise the clean-up call and whether or not certain principal and interest advances or servicing advances are non-recoverable, may also impact the availability of cash flow to us. The clean-up call is the option to terminate the securitization trust on any payment date when the outstanding principal balance of the notes is less than or equal to a certain designated percentage of the original principal balance of the notes. In addition, amounts otherwise to be received by us may be reduced by the right of the securitization noteholders to recover the amount of interest not paid because of the application of the applicable available funds cap rate, if any.

The available funds cap rate applicable to each of the underlying notes subject to such a ceiling is generally calculated with reference to the weighted average of the interest rates of the mortgage loans in the related mortgage pool, net of servicing and trustee fees and mortgage or bond insurance premiums.

The rate of prepayments and defaults on the mortgage loans in a mortgage pool will affect the timing and amount of cash flow that we will receive.

The amount of cash flow that we will receive with respect to a securitized mortgage pool will be sensitive to the rate and timing of principal payments and realized losses on the related mortgage loans in the related mortgage pool. The amount of cash flow to us in respect of excess interest will be negatively affected by a rapid rate of principal payments and realized losses on the related mortgage loans, and the amount of distributions thereon in respect of excess interest will decrease more significantly as a result of principal payments and realized losses on the related mortgage loans with relatively high interest rates. Excess interest occurs because more interest is required to be paid by the mortgagors than is necessary to pay the interest due to the securitization noteholders and the expenses of the securitization trust. If an underlying securitization trust were terminated as a result of the exercise of the related clean-up call or an event of default under the related indenture, we would not be entitled to any further payments in respect of excess interest. There can be no assurance that the holder of the clean-up call for each securitization trust will not exercise it at the earliest opportunity.

A rapid rate of principal payments on such mortgage loans would have the effect of accelerating payments in respect of principal collections to us, and a slow rate of payment would have the effect of decelerating these payments. Generally, we will not be entitled to receive the entire excess cash flow with respect to a securitized mortgage pool prior to the date on which the related overcollateralization target has been achieved or if a trigger event (such as delinquencies or realized losses reaching a specified percentage) has occurred and is continuing with respect to that mortgage pool. Realized losses on the related mortgage loans will have the effect of reducing the overcollateralization in the related mortgage pool, and therefore reduce the amount ultimately distributable to us, although the application of excess interest may restore a reduction in the overcollateralization amount. There can be no assurance that excess interest will be available for this purpose.

The terms of certain mortgage loans provide for payment by the related mortgagor of a prepayment charge in limited circumstances on certain prepayments. Any prepayment charges paid in respect to the mortgage loans in a mortgage pool will be used to make required payments by the related securitization trust, and any funds in excess thereof will be distributed to us. Generally, each prepayment charge only remains applicable with respect to the related mortgage loan for a limited period of time. In addition, under certain instances, the payment of any otherwise applicable prepayment charge may be waived by AHL, as the underlying servicer.

The securitized mortgage loans may include mortgage loans with LTVs in excess of 80%, which may present a greater risk of loss.

A portion of the mortgage loans in each of our securitized mortgage pools had a mortgage loan-to-value ratio (LTV) at origination in excess of 80% and is not covered by a primary mortgage insurance policy. Mortgage loans with higher LTVs may present a greater risk of loss. There can be no assurance that the LTV of any mortgage loan determined at any time after origination is less than or equal to its original LTV.

The overcollateralization requirement of each securitization trust will affect the rate and timing of distributions to be received by us.

Each securitized mortgage pool is subject to an overcollateralization requirement. The required overcollateralization amount for each underlying securitization trust will be determined as set forth in the related underlying indenture and sale and servicing agreement. No payments will be received by us with respect to a mortgage pool until the then-applicable required overcollateralization amount has been reached and maintained.

The related required overcollateralization amount with respect to a securitization trust may be permitted to decrease, subject to certain conditions specified in the related indenture and sale and servicing agreement. If such conditions have been satisfied, collections of principal that would otherwise be distributed as a payment of principal to the related securitization noteholders will instead be received by us. Conversely, if at any time certain delinquency and/or loss levels are exceeded with respect to a mortgage pool, there will be a delay in the reduction of the related required overcollateralization amount or there may be an increase in the related required overcollateralization amount. There may be significant periods during which no or limited distributions will be made to us in respect of our retained interests in securitized mortgage pools.

Our hedging strategies may not be successful in mitigating our risks associated with interest rates.

We use various derivative financial instruments to provide a level of protection against interest rate risks, but no hedging strategy can protect us completely. When rates change, we expect to record a gain or loss on derivatives, which would be offset by an inverse change in the value of our securitized mortgage loans subject to portfolio based accounting, as reflected in the Interest Rate Simulation Sensitivity Analysis in the section entitled Market Risk in ITEM 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. We cannot assure you, however, that our use of derivatives will offset the risks related to changes in interest rates. There have been periods, and it is likely that there will be periods in the future, during which we will not have offsetting gains or losses in mortgage loan values after accounting for our derivative financial instruments. The derivative financial instruments we select may not have the effect of reducing our

interest rate risk. In addition, the nature and timing of hedging transactions may influence the effectiveness of these strategies. Poorly designed strategies, improperly executed transactions, or inaccurate assumptions could actually increase our risk and losses. In addition, hedging strategies involve transaction and other costs. We cannot assure you that our hedging strategy and the derivatives that we use will adequately offset the risk of interest rate volatility or that our hedging transactions will not result in losses. See discussion under Market Risk under ITEM 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The distributions to us may be subject to basis risk in the difference between One-Month LIBOR and Six-Month LIBOR.

Certain of the underlying securitization trusts have been structured such that a group of adjustable-rate mortgage loans collateralize a class of variable-rate notes. These notes have interest rates based upon One-Month LIBOR, while the interest rates on the related mortgage loans are based upon Six-Month LIBOR, subject to periodic adjustments and limitations on such adjustments. In a rising interest rate environment, the interest rates on the notes may rise more quickly than the interest rates of the mortgage loans. As a consequence, cash flow resulting from excess interest on the mortgage loans, and accordingly, amounts distributable to us may be substantially reduced. The interest payments payable on the notes may be subject to an available funds cap rate. If a rising interest rate environment, or a disproportionately high rate of prepayments (including prepayments and collections upon defaults, liquidations) and realized losses on the mortgage loans in the related mortgage pool with relatively high interest rates, causes the interest rate on the related notes to equal the available funds cap rate applicable thereto, then the excess interest amount is generated by the portion of each underlying securitization trust represented by the principal balance of the related notes may be used to make a compensatory payment to noteholders.

The notes that are subject to basis risk shortfalls as described above will be entitled to recover the amount of any such shortfalls, with interest thereon, to the extent that excess cash flow or other amounts become available to fund such recovery. Any amounts paid directly or indirectly from excess cash flow to the securitization noteholders in respect of any such shortfalls will reduce the excess interest amounts that would otherwise be distributable to us and may reduce the amount of overcollateralization release that would otherwise be available to us and will therefore not be available to us.

Other underlying securitization trusts have been structured such that a group of fixed- and adjustable-rate mortgage loans collateralize a class of variable-rate notes. The cash flow distributed to us under this structure is also subject to the basis risk described above, to the extent of the adjustable-rate mortgage loans, and the basis risk created by issuing variable-rate notes collateralized by fixed-rate mortgage loans. As market interest rates rise, the interest rate on the senior notes rises, but the interest rate on the fixed-rate mortgage loans remains constant, thereby reducing the excess interest available for distribution to us.

The geographic concentration of the mortgaged properties securing our securitized mortgage loans may create risks of greater losses associated with adverse conditions that may arise in areas of concentration.

Our securitized mortgage loans have certain geographic concentrations in a number of states, which may present a greater risk of loss if conditions in those states become adverse. In these states, if the residential real estate market should experience an overall decline in property values after the dates of origination of the mortgage loans, the rates of delinquencies, foreclosures, bankruptcies and losses on the mortgage loans may increase over historical levels of comparable type mortgage loans, and may increase substantially. In addition, properties located in California and Florida may be more susceptible than homes located in other parts of the country to certain types of uninsured hazards, such as earthquakes, wildfires, hurricanes, floods, mudslides and other natural disasters. As of June 30, 2007, 18% and 15% of the unpaid principal amounts of the mortgage loans in our portfolio were secured by properties located in California and Florida, respectively.

Our securitized mortgage loans are underwritten to standards that generally do not conform to the credit criteria required by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and therefore may experience higher levels of delinquencies and losses.

All of our securitized mortgage loans have been originated and underwritten by AHL. In general, AHL originates and acquires mortgage loans that do not meet the credit criteria required by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. These nonconforming mortgage loans may tend to exhibit higher levels of delinquency and loss than mortgage loans, which conform to the requirements of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The interest rates and the LTVs for such mortgage loans are established at levels designed to compensate for and offset the increased delinquency, foreclosure and loss risks presented by such mortgage loans. No assurances can be given, however, that the mortgage loans in any underlying securitization trust will not exceed expected delinquency, foreclosure and loss levels and adversely affect the amount and value of the cash flow to be received by us.

The diversification of the properties securing our interest in mortgage loans is limited, and the liquidation of a mortgage pool after the occurrence of an event of default could greatly reduce or even eliminate the amount distributable to us.

Although our assets consists primarily of the right to receive cash flows from mortgage pools and we benefit from the diversification of risks associated with the mortgage pools, the mortgage pools contain mortgage loans originated or acquired by AHL under AHL s underwriting standards and serviced by AHL as servicer. Accordingly, any benefits arising from the diversification of the properties securing our interest in mortgage loans will be limited. Furthermore, there is no cross-collateralization between the underlying securitization trusts.

We may be adversely affected by litigation to which we or Accredited may become a party.

We are and may in the future become a defendant in lawsuits which seek to recover substantial amounts from us. No assurances can be given that we will be able to successfully defend all or any of such lawsuits, and adverse results in one or more of such lawsuits could have a material adverse effect on us. In addition, we could be adversely affected by litigation to which Accredited is a party.

If we fail to maintain our status as a real estate investment trust, we will be subject to federal or state income tax on taxable income at regular corporate rates.

We were organized to qualify for taxation as a real estate investment trust under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). We have conducted, and intend to continue to conduct, our operations so as to qualify as a real estate investment trust. Qualification as a real estate investment trust involves the satisfaction of numerous requirements, some on an annual and some on a quarterly basis, established under highly technical and complex provisions of the Code for which there are only limited judicial and administrative interpretations and involves the determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within our control. For instance, in order to qualify as a real estate investment trust, no more than 50% of the value of our outstanding shares of beneficial interest may be beneficially owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Code to include certain entities) (the Ownership Test) during the last six months of our taxable year. Furthermore, each year we must distribute to our shareholders at least 90% of our taxable income (the Annual Distribution Requirements). We cannot assure you that we will at all times satisfy these rules and tests.

If we were to fail to qualify as a real estate investment trust in any taxable year, as a result of a determination that we failed to meet the Ownership Test, the Annual Distribution Requirement or any other requirement, we would be subject to federal and state income tax, including any applicable alternative minimum tax, on our taxable income at regular corporate rates. Moreover, unless entitled to relief under certain statutory provisions, we would also be disqualified from treatment as a real estate investment trust for the four taxable years following the year during which the qualification is lost. This treatment would reduce our net earnings and cash flow available for distribution to shareholders. Additionally, distributions to shareholders would no longer be required to be made by us. Accordingly, our failure to qualify as a real estate investment trust could have a material adverse impact on our financial results and the value of our Series A Preferred Shares.

Moreover, in order to satisfy the Ownership Test, our Declaration of Trust establishes certain ownership restrictions on our shares of beneficial interest. For example, no individual (as described above) may beneficially own more than 9.8% of our value. Even with this restriction, depending on the concentration of ownership of Accredited s stock and the relative value in our common and preferred shares, it is possible that AHL s ownership of our common shares would cause us to fail to satisfy the Ownership Test. In such a situation, the Declaration of Trust would require that the number of our common shares held by AHL which causes us to fail to satisfy the Ownership Test be transferred to a charitable trust at a price no greater than the fair market value of our common shares as of such date, and Accredited would have no future beneficial interest in such common shares (including the right to vote or receive dividends on such common shares).

Our shareholders may be adversely affected by our ownership of residual interests in taxable mortgage pools.

We have completed securitizations of mortgage loans using a taxable mortgage pool structure and have retained the residual interest in these securitizations. The U.S. Treasury Department has authority to issue regulations treating a portion of the income from a residual interest in a taxable mortgage pool held by a real estate investment trust as excess inclusion income as defined in Section 860E of the Internal Revenue Code. The U.S. Treasury Department has yet to exercise that authority. Under such circumstances, it is likely that the REIT s shareholders would be subject to tax on their allocable share of the REIT s excess inclusion income. If so, our shareholders generally would not be able to offset their share of excess inclusion income with any current, carryforward or carryback net operating losses. In addition, it is likely that tax exempt entities that own shares in us would be required to treat their allocable share of excess inclusion income as unrelated business taxable income. Further, any portion of our dividends paid to foreign shareholders that is allocable to excess inclusion income would not be eligible for exemption from the 30% withholding tax (or reduced treaty rate) on dividend income. Finally, if our shares are held or acquired by disqualified organizations as defined in Section 860E, then we would be subject to an entity

level tax on the excess inclusion amount allocated to such shareholders, at the highest rate of tax imposed on corporations. If imposed, such entity level tax would be deductible to the REIT. It is possible that we will continue to use the taxable mortgage pool structure in our future securitizations.

The early termination of an underlying securitization trust could substantially reduce or even eliminate the amount of distributions that would otherwise be received by us.

Upon exercise of clean-up call. Each securitization trust is structured such that, when the aggregate outstanding principal balance of the notes has declined to a level less than or equal to a specified amount (typically 10%) of the original aggregate principal balance, then a specified party has the option to purchase the remaining mortgage loans from the trust, causing the securitization trust to call its outstanding notes and terminate the trust. The party holding this option is AHL, in the case of securitization transactions executed by AHL, and us, in the case of transactions executed by us. There can be no assurance that the holder of the clean-up call for each securitization trust will not exercise it at the earliest opportunity.

Upon declaration of event of default. In addition, the indenture with respect to each series of securitization notes issued by a securitization trust includes events of default which, if they occur and are not cured within the applicable cure period, entitle the related controlling party to declare an event of default and cause the trustee to liquidate the mortgage loans.

If a clean-up call were exercised or if a mortgage pool were liquidated as a result of and declaration of an event of default, we would only be entitled to receive that portion of the proceeds on the mortgage loans not required to make payment in full of the principal and accrued and unpaid interest to the securitization noteholders, plus any unpaid fees and expenses of the servicer and the trustees, and any unpaid amounts owed to the note insurer and swap provider. There can be no assurance that any such amount would not be substantially less than the amount that would otherwise be distributable to us had such clean-up call or liquidation not occurred.

Risks Relating to the Terms of REIT s Series A Preferred Shares

We intend to delist our preferred stock from the New York Stock Exchange and deregister the stock and the related guarantee under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which could materially and adversely affect the price and liquidity of our preferred stock.

On October 19, 2007, Accredited and the REIT announced their intention to deregister the Series A Preferred Shares and Accredited s guarantee with respect to those shares, on the basis that the shares are currently held by less than 300 registered holders, and, as a prerequisite to such deregistration, the REIT requested the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) to delist the Series A Preferred Shares from the NYSE. The NYSE has ten days following such request in which to make the filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) that begins the delisting process, and delisting is effective ten days following such filing. At that time, the filings which begin the deregistration process can be made with the SEC. The deregistration filings are expected to be made by November 8, 2007, at which time the public reporting obligations of Accredited and the REIT will be suspended. The terms and conditions of the Series A Preferred Shares and Accredited s related guarantee will remain unchanged following any delisting of the shares and any deregistration of the shares and the related guarantee. However, if the Series A Preferred Shares are delisted from the NYSE, there may be a material adverse impact on the market price and liquidity of our Preferred Shares, and our Preferred Shares may be subject to the penny stock rules contained in Section 15(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the rules promulgated thereunder. Also, if the Series A Preferred Share and the related guarantee are deregistered under the 34 Act, holders of the shares may not have access to the same quantity and quality of information regarding Accredited and the REIT as they would have if the shares and the guarantee remained registered.

The timing and amount of contributions of additional assets to us by our parent are uncertain, and our existing assets may not generate sufficient funds to pay the preferred dividend.

Our sole source of mortgage loans has been contributions from AHL, our parent. As noted above, AHL temporarily suspended U.S. lending operations, and it is uncertain if AHL will resume lending operations at a level which will generate the volume of mortgage loans necessary to cost-effectively execute further securitizations. Even if AHL does generate a sufficient volume of mortgage loans, AHL may not contribute loans to us for securitization because other conditions prerequisite to the execution of securitizations may not be available on cost-effective terms. The ratings agencies have increased the levels of credit enhancement required for securitizations of non-prime loans, AHL s ratings as a servicer of mortgage loans have been downgraded and may be downgraded further, and the ratings of several tranches of our previously securitized bonds have been downgraded and further such downgrades may occur, and of which factors will make it more difficult for us and AHL to execute further securitizations of non-prime mortgage loans. In addition, we intend to operate in a manner that will not subject us to regulation under the Investment Company Act; in order to satisfy the requirements for exemptive relief from regulation under the Investment Company Act, we may be subject to restrictions on our operations, including our ability to acquire certain types of mortgage assets. If we are unable to obtain additional mortgage assets from AHL or otherwise, our existing assets may not generate sufficient funds to pay the dividend on our Series A Preferred Shares.

The Series A Preferred Shares rank subordinate to claims of our creditors and equally with any other parity shares we may issue, and the Series A Preferred Shareholders ability to receive dividends or the liquidation preference is therefore limited.

The Series A Preferred Shares rank subordinate to all claims of our existing and future creditors. As a result, upon any distribution to our creditors in a bankruptcy, liquidation or reorganization or similar proceeding, the holders of our indebtedness will be entitled to be paid in full in cash before any payment may be made to holders of the Series A Preferred Shares. We may incur substantial indebtedness in the future.

In addition, with the approval of a majority of our Board of Trustees and obtaining the approval of a majority of our independent trustees, we may issue authorized parity shares at any time in the future without your consent or approval. Accordingly, if:

we do not have funds legally available to pay full dividends on our Series A Preferred Shares and any other parity shares we may issue; or

we do not have funds legally available to pay the full liquidation value of our Series A Preferred Shares and any parity shares in the event of our liquidation, dissolution or winding up,

then any funds that are legally available to pay such amounts will be paid pro rata to holders of our Series A Preferred Shares and any of our parity shares then outstanding.

The guarantee of the Series A Preferred Shares is subordinate to claims of Accredited s creditors and effectively subordinated to the creditors of Accredited s subsidiaries.

Accredited s guarantee of the Series A Preferred Shares ranks behind all of its existing and future indebtedness. As a result, upon any distribution to Accredited s creditors in a bankruptcy, liquidation or reorganization or similar proceeding, the holders of indebtedness of Accredited will be entitled to be paid in full in cash before any payment may be made to holders of the Series A Preferred Shares under the guarantee.

The guarantee also will effectively rank junior to all liabilities of Accredited s subsidiaries, including AHL. Accredited is a holding company and its assets consist primarily of investments in its subsidiaries. Substantially all of the consolidated liabilities of Accredited have been incurred by its subsidiaries. Therefore, Accredited s rights to participate in the distribution of assets of any subsidiary upon the latter s liquidation or reorganization will be subject to prior claims of the subsidiary s creditors, including trade creditors, except to the extent that Accredited may itself be a creditor with recognized claims against the subsidiary (in which case the claims of Accredited would still be subject to the prior claims of any secured creditor of such subsidiary and of any holder of indebtedness of such subsidiary that is senior to that held by Accredited).

The guarantee is an obligation exclusively of Accredited. Accredited sability to make payments on the guarantee is dependent primarily upon the earnings of its subsidiaries and the distribution or other payment of such earnings to Accredited in the form of dividends, mortgage loans or advances and repayment of mortgage loans and advances from Accredited. The subsidiaries are separate and distinct legal entities and have no obligation, contingent or otherwise, to pay any amounts due pursuant to the guarantee or to make any funds available therefore, whether by dividends, mortgage loans or other payments. The payment of dividends, or the making of mortgage loans and advances to Accredited by its subsidiaries, may be subject to statutory or regulatory restrictions, is contingent upon the earnings of those subsidiaries and is subject to various business considerations.

At June 30, 2007, the guarantee would have been effectively subordinated to approximately \$7.4 billion of indebtedness, including securitization indebtedness but excluding intercompany indebtedness, of Accredited and debt obligations of its subsidiaries. Accredited and its subsidiaries may incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future.

Holders of our Series A Preferred Shares have very limited voting rights and, except in limited circumstances, will not be able to elect trustees or influence other matters submitted to a vote of our shareholders.

Although our Series A Preferred Shares have voting rights, these voting rights are very limited. All series of our preferred shares that provide for such voting rights will have the right to collectively in the aggregate cast 15% of the votes cast by shareholders on all matters put to our shareholders generally for a vote. This voting right will be allocated among the preferred shares according to their liquidation preference. The holders of the common shares will have the right to cast 85% of the votes on such matters. AHL currently holds all of our outstanding common shares of beneficial interest and, accordingly, controls enough voting power to determine the outcome of matters submitted to a vote of our shareholders, including the election of trustees.

Whenever dividends on any of the Series A Preferred Shares or any series of preferred shares ranking on a parity as to the payment of dividends with the Series A Preferred Shares shall be in arrears for six or more quarterly periods (whether consecutive or not), the holders of such Series A Preferred Shares (voting separately as a class with all other series of our preferred shares ranking on a parity with the Series A Preferred Shares as to the payment of distributions and the distribution of assets upon liquidation upon which like voting rights have been conferred and are exercisable) will be entitled to vote for the election of a total of two additional members of our Board of Trustees. In addition, the Series A Preferred Shares will have the right to participate in the vote of all matters put to the preferred shares voting as a class, such vote to be determined by reference to their liquidation preference.

All of our trustees and officers are also directors and/or officers of Accredited and may conduct our business in a manner that serves Accredited s interests better than the interests of holders of the Series A Preferred Shares.

There may be adverse effects from Accredited s ownership of all of our common shares.

Accredited is involved in virtually every aspect of our existence. AHL is the sole holder of our common stock, and, in the first quarter of 2007, AHL pledged all of our common stock as collateral for the Farallon Loan. AHL also acts as servicer of the securitized mortgage loans. In addition, all of our officers and trustees are also officers and/or directors of Accredited. As the holder of all of our outstanding common shares of beneficial interest, except in limited circumstances, AHL will have the right to elect all of our trustees, including our independent trustees.

Accredited may have interests which are not identical to ours. Consequently, conflicts of interest may arise with respect to transactions, including without limitation, our acquisition of mortgage loans from AHL; servicing of mortgage loans; future dispositions of mortgage loans; and the provision by AHL of advisory services to us. It is our intention and that of Accredited that any agreements and transactions between us, on the one hand, and Accredited and/or its affiliates, on the other hand, are fair to all parties and consistent with market terms, including the servicing of mortgage loans. However, there can be no assurance that such transactions will be on terms as favorable to us as those that could have been obtained from unaffiliated third parties.

We may fail to qualify as a real estate investment trust, which would permit us to redeem the Series A Preferred Shares under certain circumstances.

We intend to be owned, organized and operated so as to qualify as a real estate investment trust under the Internal Revenue Code. Although we believe that we will be owned and organized and will operate in such a manner, it is not certain that we will be able to remain qualified as a real estate investment trust for federal income tax purposes. Our qualification as a real estate investment trust depends on, among other factors, the distribution annually of at least 90% of our taxable income, determined before any deduction for dividends paid and excluding any net capital gains, the nature of our assets, the manner of our operation, our organization, our capital structure and the ownership of our equity. Qualification as a real estate investment trust involves the application of highly technical and complex tax law provisions for which there are only limited judicial or administrative interpretations and involves the satisfaction of various requirements not entirely within our control. No assurance can be given that new legislation, Treasury regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions will not significantly change the tax laws with respect to qualification as a real estate investment trust or the federal income tax consequences of such qualification in a way that would have a material adverse affect on our ability to qualify as a real estate investment trust. Any such new legislation, Treasury regulation, interpretation or decision could be the basis of a tax event that would permit us to redeem our Series A Preferred Shares, subject to certain conditions, for the amount of the liquidation preference per share of our Series A Preferred Shares plus accrued and unpaid dividends to, but excluding, the redemption date.

Although we intend to be owned, organized and operated in a manner that allows us to qualify and remain qualified as a real estate investment trust, future economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations may cause us to determine that it is in our best interests and the best interests of holders of our common shares of beneficial interest and preferred shares to revoke our election to be treated as a real estate investment trust. Any such determination by us may be made without shareholder approval but, as long as any shares of the Series A Preferred Shares are outstanding, will require the prior approval of a majority of our independent trustees (as defined in the terms of our Series A Preferred Shares).

Recent changes as well as possible future changes to federal tax laws could make stock in non-REIT corporations more attractive to investors than stock in real estate investment trusts and thereby negatively affect the value of and market for the Series A Preferred Shares.

We may redeem our Series A Preferred Shares upon the occurrence of a tax event or an investment company event, subject to additional conditions.

At any time following the occurrence of a tax event or an investment company event, even if such tax event or investment company event occurs prior to September 30, 2009 (which would otherwise be the first day on which we could redeem the Series A Preferred Shares), we will have the right but not the obligation to redeem our Series A Preferred Shares in whole for an amount equal to the liquidation preference per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, to, but excluding, the date of redemption, subject to certain additional conditions. The occurrence of a tax event or an investment company event will not, however, give a shareholder any right to require us to redeem our Series A Preferred Shares. A tax event will generally occur if we receive an opinion of counsel to the effect that, as a result of a judicial decision or official administrative pronouncement, ruling or regulatory procedure or as a result of changes in the tax laws, regulations or related official interpretations, there is a more than insubstantial risk that dividends with respect to our shares of beneficial interest will not be fully deductible by us or we will be subject to more than a de minimus amount of additional taxes or governmental charges. An investment company event generally will occur if we receive an opinion of counsel to the effect that there is more than an insubstantial risk that we are or will be considered an investment company within the meaning of the Investment Company Act as a result of any judicial decision, any pronouncement or interpretation, the adoption or amendment of any law, rule or regulation, any notice or announcement by any U.S. legislative body, court, governmental agency or regulatory authority, in each case after the initial issuance of Series A Preferred Shares.

The ownership limitations and restrictions on transfer relating to the Series A Preferred Shares could have adverse consequences to us.

In order to facilitate our compliance with the requirements to qualify as a real estate investment trust under the Internal Revenue Code, our Declaration of Trust contains certain ownership limitations and transfer restrictions relating to our Series A Preferred Shares. The Declaration of Trust provides that no person may own, or be deemed to own by virtue of the attribution provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, more than 9.8% (the Aggregate Share Ownership Limit) of the value of our outstanding common and preferred shares of beneficial interest. In addition, the Declaration of Trust further prohibits (a) any person from beneficially or constructively owning shares of beneficial interest of us that would result in us being closely held under Section 856(h) of the Internal Revenue Code or otherwise cause us to fail to qualify as a real estate investment trust and (b) any person from transferring our shares of beneficial interest if such transfer would result in our shares of beneficial interest being owned by fewer than 100 persons. Any person who acquires or attempts or intends to acquire beneficial or constructive ownership of our shares of beneficial interest that will or may violate any of the foregoing restrictions on transferability and ownership is required to give notice immediately to us and provide us with such other information as we may request in order to determine the effect of such transfer on our status as a real estate investment trust. The Aggregate Share Ownership Limit does not apply to the common shares owned, directly or indirectly, by AHL.

If any transfer of our shares of beneficial interest or any other event occurs which, if effective, would result in any person beneficially or constructively owning our shares of beneficial interest in excess or in violation of the above transfer or ownership limitations (a Prohibited Owner), that number of our shares of beneficial interest, the beneficial or constructive ownership of which otherwise would cause such person to violate such limitations (rounded to the nearest whole share) will be transferred to a charitable trust and in such event the Prohibited Owner will not be entitled to benefit economically from such shares, will not be entitled to rights to dividends in respect of such shares and will not possess any rights to vote or other rights attributable to such shares.

Whether or not a holder of Series A Preferred Shares is or becomes a Prohibited Owner will depend both on the number of shares owned by such holder and the relative values of our common and preferred shares. Fluctuations in the relative value of our common shares, the Series A Preferred Shares and any other preferred shares then outstanding could cause a holder of Series A Preferred Shares to inadvertently become a Prohibited Owner, which could have negative economic and other consequences to such holder. Our common shares are not publicly traded and establishing their value may be difficult. If it were determined by the Internal Revenue Service, and subsequently upheld by a court or other administrative body that our valuation was unreasonable, and because of the valuation error we were to become closely held, we would cease to qualify as a real estate investment trust under the Internal Revenue Code and would be subject to corporate level tax.

Our warehouse credit facilities contain covenants that restrict our operations and may inhibit our ability to grow our business and increase revenues.

Our warehouse credit facilities contain extensive restrictions and covenants. If we fail to meet or satisfy any of these covenants, we would be in default under these agreements and our lenders could elect to declare all amounts outstanding under the agreements to be immediately due and payable, enforce their interests against collateral pledged under such agreements and restrict our ability to make additional borrowings. These agreements also contain cross-default provisions, so that if a default occurs under any one agreement, the lenders under our other agreements could also declare a default.

The covenants and restrictions that may interfere with our ability to obtain financing or engage in other business activities, and significantly harm our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations include, among other things:

| minimum profitability; | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| liquidity and net worth re | quirements; | | |
| limitations on indebtedne | ss to net worth ratios; | | |
| asset quality and mortgag | ge loan performance tests; | | |
| inter-company dividends: | | | |
| investments and acquisiti | ons; | | |
| repurchase or redemption | of capital stock; | | |
| mergers or consolidations | s or other changes of control; | | |
| mortgage loan attributes; | | | |
| time periods for holding | nortgage loans; | | |
| loss of servicer ratings; | | | |
| maximum cumulative los | ses; | | |
| financial reporting requir | ements, including timely filing of r | eports required under the Securities | Act; |
| delivery of audited finance | cial statements and auditors letter | (without a going concern qualificat | ion); and |
| material adverse change in These restrictions may interfere with | restrictions. Our ability to obtain financing or to | o engage in other business activities | , which may significantly harm our |

Our lenders have the ability to reasonably determine in their sole discretion whether a material adverse change has occurred and if they were to do so we would be in default under these facilities. There can be no assurance that our lenders will not determine that a material adverse change has occurred and cause us to be in default under these facilities. These agreements also contain cross-default provisions, so that if a default

business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations.

occurs under any one agreement, the lenders under our other agreements could also declare a default. Our lenders have the right to liquidate the collateral pledged under such agreements upon the occurrence of a default and there can be no assurance that the proceeds realized from such a sale would be an amount equal to what would be realized by a sale of the collateral in a non-liquidation setting.

Risks Related to the Merger of Accredited

Our business and the business of Accredited may be adversely impacted by Accredited s merger with Lone Star.

Accredited has spent significant time and money consummating the merger of Accredited with Lone Star. There are uncertainties and other factors that may affect Accredited s business and, indirectly, our business subsequent to the consummation of the merger, including:

the outcome of any litigation and judicial actions that have been or may be instituted against Accredited and others relating to the merger agreement, including legislative action, referenda and taxation;

potential difficulties for employee retention as a result of the merger; and

the effect of the merger on Accredited s and our customer relationships, operating results and business generally.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

See discussion under Market Risk in ITEM 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. In connection with the preparation of this Form 10-Q, as of June 30, 2007, an evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management,

including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act). Based on the evaluation, our management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were not effective in ensuring that reports we file under the Exchange Act occurred within time periods specified in SEC rules and forms as of June 30, 2007.

(b) Changes in Internal Controls. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

Except as set forth below, we are not currently the subject of any litigation, and we are not currently involved in nor, to our knowledge, currently threatened with any material litigation with respect to the assets included in our portfolio.

In August 2007, we were named as a defendant under the consolidated, amended complaint in *Atlas v. Accredited Home Lenders Holding Co., et al.*, a class action pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. The suit alleges violations of federal securities laws and originally named as defendants AHLHC and certain members of its senior management. Pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act, five similar class actions were consolidated with the *Atlas* matter, and a lead plaintiff was selected. The consolidated, amended complaint was filed on August 24, 2007, and added as defendants the REIT and certain directors of AHLHC. Our response to the complaint is currently scheduled to be due on October 26, 2007. A motion to certify a class has not yet been filed, there has been no ruling on the merits of either the plaintiffs individual claims or the claims of the putative class, and we intend to vigorously defend this matter. The ultimate outcome of this matter and the amount of liability, if any, which may result, is not presently determinable, and legal counsel cannot express an opinion as to the extent of our possible loss, if any, in the consolidated lawsuit. However, we believe that any loss in this matter will be substantially or completely covered by insurance and that this matter will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

In July 2007 we were served with a complaint, *National Community Reinvestment Coalition (NCRC) v. Accredited Home Lenders Holding Company [sic], et al.*, brought in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. The complaint alleges that we, AHL and AHLHC engaged in a practice of discriminating against African-Americans and Latinos by requiring minimum property values of \$100,000 on row homes for certain loan programs and prohibiting the use of row homes as collateral for certain other loan programs, without business justification for those restrictions. Plaintiff seeks compensatory and punitive damages, declaratory and injunctive relief, and recovery of attorneys fees and costs of suit. There has been no ruling on the merits of plaintiff s claims, and, if served, we intend to vigorously defend this action. The ultimate outcome of this matter and the amount of liability, if any, which may result is not presently determinable, but we do not believe that we will suffer any material loss in this lawsuit or that it will have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

Legal proceedings to which Accredited is a party are described in its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2007.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

We have provided updated risk factors in the section labeled Risk Factors in Part I, Item 2, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

| <u>ITEM 2. Unregister</u> | <u>ed Sales o</u> | <u>of Equity</u> | Securities and | Use of | Proceeds |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------|
| | | | | | |

None.

ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

ITEM 5. Other Information

None.

ITEM 6. Exhibits

For a list of exhibits filed with this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, refer to the Exhibit Index on page 41.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: October 19, 2007

Accredited Mortgage Loan REIT Trust

By: /s/ James A. Konrath

James A. Konrath

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ Stuart D. Marvin
Stuart D. Marvin
Executive Vice President and Secretary

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

| $3.1^{(1)}$ | Declaration of Trust of the Registrant. |
|-------------|--|
| $3.2^{(2)}$ | Articles Supplementary to Declaration of Trust of Accredited Mortgage Loan REIT Trust dated August 11, 2004. |
| $3.3^{(3)}$ | Articles Supplementary to Declaration of Trust of Accredited Mortgage Loan REIT Trust dated October 4, 2004. |
| $3.4^{(1)}$ | Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant. |
| 31.1 | Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350). |
| 32.2 | Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350). |

⁽¹⁾ Filed with amendment number 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-117484-01) dated August 5, 2004.

⁽²⁾ Filed with Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50179) dated August 9, 2004.

⁽³⁾ Filed with Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-32275) dated October 1, 2004.