

MATTEL INC /DE/
Form 10-K
February 26, 2007
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 001-05647

MATTEL, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

95-1567322
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

333 Continental Blvd.

El Segundo, CA 90245-5012

(Address of principal executive offices)

(310) 252-2000

(Registrant's telephone number)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<u>Title of each class</u>	<u>Name of each exchange on which registered</u>
Common Stock, \$1.00 par value	New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

NONE

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment of this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether registrant is a large accelerated filer, accelerated filer or non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant calculated using the market price as of the close of business June 30, 2006 was \$6,276,702,226.

Number of shares outstanding of registrant's common stock, \$1.00 par value, as of February 23, 2007:

393,171,940 shares

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Mattel, Inc. 2007 Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) within 120 days after the close of the registrant's fiscal year (incorporated into Parts II and III).

Table of Contents

MATTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

	<u>Page</u>
<u>PART I</u>	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	3
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	15
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	21
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	21
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	22
Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	22
<u>PART II</u>	
Item 5. <u>Market For Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	23
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	24
Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	25
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	53
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	56
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	101
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	101
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	101
<u>PART III</u>	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance of the Registrant</u>	102
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	102
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	102
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	102
Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	102
<u>PART IV</u>	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	103
<u>Signature</u>	114

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business.

Mattel, Inc. (*Mattel*) designs, manufactures, and markets a broad variety of toy products worldwide through sales to its customers and directly to consumers. *Mattel*'s vision is to provide the world's premier toy brands today and tomorrow. Management has set six key company strategies: (i) improve execution of the existing toy business; (ii) globalize the brands; (iii) extend the brands into new areas; (iv) catch new trends, create new brands and enter new categories; (v) develop people; and (vi) improve productivity, simplify processes and maintain customer service levels.

Mattel believes its products are among the most widely recognized toy products in the world. *Mattel*'s portfolio of brands and products are grouped in the following categories:

Mattel Girls & Boys Brands including *Barbie*® fashion dolls and accessories (*Barbie*®), *Polly Pocket*®, *Pixel Chix*®, *Winx Club* and *Disney Classics* (collectively *Other Girls Brands*), *Hot Wheels*®, *Matchbox*® and *Tyco*® R/C vehicles and playsets (collectively *Wheels*) and *Batman*®, *ARS*, *Superman*, *Radica*® products, and games and puzzles (collectively *Entertainment*).

Fisher-Price Brands including *Fisher-Price*®, *Little People*®, *BabyGear* and *View-Master*® (collectively *Core Fisher-Price*®), *Sesame Street*®, *Dora the Explorer*, *Go-Diego-Go!*, *Winnie the Pooh*, *InteracTV* and *See 'N Say*® (collectively *Fisher-Price*® *Friends*) and *Power Wheels*®.

American Girl Brands including *Just Like You*®, the historical collection and *Bitty Baby*®. *American Girl Brands* products are sold directly to consumers and its children's publications are also sold to certain retailers.

Mattel was incorporated in California in 1948 and reincorporated in Delaware in 1968. Its executive offices are located at 333 Continental Blvd., El Segundo, California 90245-5012, telephone number (310) 252-2000.

Business Segments

Mattel refers to *Mattel*, Inc. and its subsidiaries as a whole, unless the context requires otherwise. This narrative discussion applies to all segments except where otherwise stated. *Mattel*'s reportable segments are separately managed business units and are divided on a geographic basis between domestic and international. The Domestic segment is further divided into *Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US*, *Fisher-Price Brands US* and *American Girl Brands*.

On October 10, 2005, *Mattel* announced the consolidation of its domestic *Mattel Girls & Boys Brands* and *Fisher-Price Brands* divisions into one division. The creation of the *Mattel Brands* division, which resulted in the consolidation of some management and support functions,

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

preserves the natural marketing and design groups that are empowered to create and market toys based on gender and age groups and is expected to more effectively and efficiently leverage Mattel's scale. These changes are consistent with Mattel's ongoing goals to enhance innovation and improve execution. In connection with this consolidation, Mattel executed an initiative in 2006 to streamline its workforce, primarily in El Segundo, California. The consolidation of these divisions did not change Mattel's operating segments.

Management believes that the business environment for Mattel for 2007 will be similar to that of 2006. Mattel expects to continue facing challenges both domestically and internationally as retailers continue to tightly manage inventory. Additionally, Mattel has experienced continued cost pressures in the areas of product costs, including oil-based resin and zinc, and employee-related costs. Management believes that Mattel will continue to encounter a challenging retail environment, along with cost pressures and the possibility of sales declines in the Barbie® brand.

For additional information on Mattel's operating segment reporting, including revenues, segment income and assets, see Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of

Table of Contents

Operations Results of Operations Operating Segment Results and Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. For additional information regarding geographic areas, see Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. For a discussion of the risks inherent in the foreign operations of Mattel, which affect each segment, see Item 1A Risk Factors Factors That May Affect Future Results.

Domestic Segment

The Domestic segment develops toys that it markets and sells through the Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US, Fisher-Price Brands US and American Girl Brands segments.

In the Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US segment, Barbie® includes brands such as Barbie® fashion dolls and accessories, My Scene, and Barbie® Collector. Polly Pocket!, Pixel Chix, Winx Club, and Disney Classics are included within Other Girls Brands. Wheels is comprised of Hot Wheels®, Matchbox®, and Tyco® R/C vehicles and playsets. Entertainment includes Batman, CARS, Justice League, MegaMan, Superman, Yu-Gi-Oh!, and Radica:® products, as well as games and puzzles.

In 2007, Mattel expects to introduce new products, including continuing to leverage content within its core brands. In the Mattel Girls Brands category, new product introductions include full-length animated launches of Barbie® in *Fairytopia III: Magic of the Rainbow* in spring 2007, and Barbie® as *The Island Princess* in fall 2007. Polly Pocket! will be expanding into new categories in 2007, with the introduction of Polly® Fliers and the Polly® Jet. In the Wheels category, Hot Wheels® will launch an all-new track set strategy, including new Flip N Go No Assembly sets. In the Entertainment category, Mattel will expand on the success of CARS and Scene It? brands, and introduce new products including toys and games from Disney's *Ratatouille* movie in summer 2007. Mattel's Radica:® products will expand on the success of 20Q and Girl Tech® brands with new releases, and add U.B. FUNKEYS, an all new platform in 2007.

The Fisher-Price Brands US segment includes Fisher-Price®, Little People®, Rescue Heroes®, BabyGear, View-Master®, Sesame Street®, Dora the Explorer, Go-Diego-Go!, Winnie the Pooh, InteracTV, See 'N Say®, and Power Wheels®. New product introductions for 2007 are expected to include the Smart Cycle, Digital Arts & Crafts Studio, Laugh & Learn Kitchen, Rainforest Bouncer, T.M.X. Friend Ernie, T.M.X. Friend Cookie Monster, Dora's Let's Get Ready Van, Can Play Guitar, and Diego's Mobile Rescue Unit.

The American Girl Brands segment is a direct marketer, children's publisher and retailer best known for its flagship line of historical dolls, books and accessories, as well as the Just Like You and Bitty Baby® brands. American Girl Brands also publishes best-selling Advice & Activity books and the award-winning *American Girl*® magazine. In January 2007, American Girl introduced Nicki, the newest Girl of the Year doll. In addition, American Girl is launching American Girl Boutique and Bistro, a new experiential retail concept, in Dallas, Texas and Atlanta, Georgia in mid-to-late 2007, and a new historical doll in September 2007. American Girl Brands products are sold only in the US and Canada.

International Segment

Products marketed by the International segment are generally the same as those developed and marketed by the Domestic segment, with the exception of American Girl Brands, although some are developed or adapted for particular international markets. Mattel's products are sold directly to retailers and wholesalers in most European, Latin American and Asian countries, and in Australia, Canada and New Zealand, and through agents and distributors in those countries where Mattel has no direct presence.

Table of Contents

Mattel's International segment revenue represented 44% of worldwide consolidated gross sales in 2006. Within the International segment, Mattel operates in four regions that generated the following gross sales during 2006 (in millions):

	Amount	Percentage of International Gross Sales
Europe	\$ 1,544.5	56%
Latin America	739.9	27
Asia Pacific	239.6	9
Other	215.0	8
	\$ 2,739.0	100%

No individual country within the International segment exceeded 6% of worldwide consolidated gross sales during 2006.

The strength of the US dollar relative to other currencies can significantly affect the revenues and profitability of Mattel's international operations. Mattel enters into foreign currency forward exchange and option contracts, primarily to hedge its purchase and sale of inventory and other intercompany transactions denominated in foreign currencies, to limit the effect of exchange rate fluctuations on its results of operations and cash flows. See Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk and Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. For financial information by geographic area, see Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Manufacturing and Materials

Mattel manufactures toy products for all segments in both company-owned facilities and through third-party manufacturers. Products are also purchased from unrelated entities that design, develop and manufacture those products. To provide greater flexibility in the manufacture and delivery of its products, and as part of a continuing effort to reduce manufacturing costs, Mattel has concentrated production of most of its core products in company-owned facilities and generally uses third-party manufacturers for the production of non-core products.

Mattel's principal manufacturing facilities are located in China, Indonesia, Thailand, Malaysia and Mexico. Mattel also utilizes third-party manufacturers to manufacture its products in the US, Mexico, Brazil, Asia, India, New Zealand, and Australia. To help avoid disruption of its product supply due to political instability, civil unrest, economic instability, changes in government policies and other risks, Mattel produces many of its key products in more than one facility. Mattel believes that the existing production capacity at its own and its third-party manufacturers' manufacturing facilities is sufficient to handle expected volume in the foreseeable future. See Item 1A Risk Factors Factors That May Affect Future Results.

Mattel bases its production schedules for toy products on customer orders and forecasts, taking into account historical trends, results of market research and current market information. Actual shipments of products ordered and order cancellation rates are affected by consumer acceptance of product lines, strength of competing products, marketing strategies of retailers, changes in buying patterns of both retailers and consumers, and overall economic conditions. Unexpected changes in these factors could result in a lack of product availability or excess inventory in a

particular product line.

The foreign countries in which most of Mattel's products are manufactured (principally China, Indonesia, Thailand, Malaysia and Mexico) all enjoy permanent normal trade relations (NTR) status under US tariff laws, which provides a favorable category of US import duties. China's NTR status became permanent in 2002,

Table of Contents

following enactment of a bill authorizing such status upon the country's accession to the World Trade Organization (WTO), which occurred in 2001. Membership in the WTO substantially reduces the possibility of China losing its NTR status, which would result in increased costs for Mattel and others in the toy industry.

All US duties on toys were completely eliminated upon implementation of the Uruguay Round WTO agreement in 1995. The European Union, Japan and Canada eliminated their tariffs on most toy categories through staged reductions that were completed by January 1, 2004. The primary toy tariffs still maintained by these countries are European Union and Japanese tariffs on dolls of 4.7% and 3.9%, respectively, and a Canadian tariff of 8.0% on children's wheeled vehicles.

The majority of Mattel's raw materials is available from numerous suppliers but may be subject to fluctuations in price.

Competition and Industry Background

Competition in the manufacture, marketing, and sale of toys is based primarily on quality, play value and price. Mattel offers a diverse range of products for children of all ages and families that includes, among others, toys for infants and preschoolers, girls' toys, boys' toys, youth electronics, hand-held and other games, puzzles, educational toys, media-driven products and fashion-related toys. The Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US and Fisher-Price Brands US segments compete with several large toy companies, including Bandai, Hasbro, Inc., Jakks Pacific, Leap Frog, Lego, MGA Entertainment, and VTech, many smaller toy companies and several manufacturers of video games and consumer electronics. American Girl Brands competes with companies that manufacture girls' toys and with children's book publishers and retailers. Mattel's International segment competes with global toy companies including Bandai, Hasbro, Lego, Tomy, and MGA Entertainment, and other national and regional toy companies and manufacturers of video games and consumer electronics. Foreign regions may include competitors who are strong in a particular toy line or geographical area, but do not compete with Mattel and other international toy companies worldwide.

Competition among the above companies is intensifying due to recent trends towards shorter life cycles for individual toy products, the phenomenon of children outgrowing toys at younger ages and an increasing use of high technology in toys. In addition, a small number of retailers account for an increasingly large number of toy sales, control the shelf space from which toys are viewed, and have direct contact with parents and children through in-store purchases, coupons, and print advertisements. Such retailers can and do promote their own private-label toys, facilitate the sale of competitors' toys, and allocate shelf space to one type of toys over another.

Seasonality

Mattel's business is highly seasonal, with consumers making a large percentage of all toy purchases during the traditional holiday season. A significant portion of Mattel's customers' purchasing occurs in the third and fourth quarters of Mattel's fiscal year in anticipation of such holiday buying. These seasonal purchasing patterns and requisite production lead times cause risk to Mattel's business associated with the underproduction of popular toys and the overproduction of toys that do not match consumer demand. Retailers are also attempting to manage their inventories more tightly in recent years, requiring Mattel to ship products closer to the time the retailers expect to sell the products to consumers. These factors increase the risk that Mattel may not be able to meet demand for certain products at peak demand times, or that Mattel's own inventory levels may be adversely impacted by the need to pre-build products before orders are placed. Additionally, as retailers manage their inventories, Mattel experiences cyclical ordering patterns for products and product lines that may cause its sales to vary significantly from period to period.

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

In anticipation of retail sales in the traditional holiday season, Mattel significantly increases its production in advance of the peak selling period, resulting in a corresponding build-up of inventory levels in the first three

Table of Contents

quarters of its fiscal year. Seasonal shipping patterns result in significant peaks in the third and fourth quarters in the respective levels of inventories and accounts receivable, which result in seasonal working capital financing requirements. See Seasonal Financing.

Product Design and Development

Through its product design and development group, Mattel regularly refreshes, redesigns and extends existing toy product lines and develops innovative new toy product lines for all segments. Mattel believes its success is dependent on its ability to continue this activity effectively. See Item 1A Risk Factors Factors That May Affect Future Results. Product design and development activities are principally conducted by a group of professional designers and engineers employed by Mattel. During 2006, 2005 and 2004, Mattel spent \$173.5 million, \$182.0 million and \$171.3 million, respectively, in connection with the design and development of products, exclusive of royalty payments. See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Additionally, independent toy designers and developers bring concepts and products to Mattel and are generally paid a royalty on the net selling price of products licensed to Mattel. These independent toy designers may also create different products for other toy companies.

Advertising and Marketing

Mattel supports its product lines with extensive advertising and consumer promotions. Advertising takes place at varying levels throughout the year and peaks during the traditional holiday season. Advertising includes television and radio commercials, and magazine and newspaper advertisements. Promotions include in-store displays, sweepstakes, merchandising materials and major events focusing on products and tie-ins with various consumer products companies.

During 2006, 2005 and 2004, Mattel incurred \$651.0 million (11.5% of net sales), \$629.1 million (12.1% of net sales) and \$643.0 million (12.6% of net sales), respectively, on advertising and promotion.

Sales

Mattel's products are sold throughout the world. Products within the Domestic segment are sold directly to retailers, including discount and free-standing toy stores, chain stores, department stores, other retail outlets and, to a limited extent, wholesalers by Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US and Fisher-Price Brands US. Mattel also operates several small retail outlets, generally near or at its corporate headquarters and distribution centers as a service to its employees and as an outlet for its products. American Girl Brands products are sold directly to consumers and its children's publications are also sold to certain retailers. Mattel has three retail stores, American Girl Place® in Chicago, Illinois, New York, New York, and Los Angeles, California, each of which features children's products from the American Girl Brands segment. In April 2006, the third American Girl Place® retail store opened in Los Angeles, California. American Girl Brands also has a retail outlet in Oshkosh, Wisconsin that serves as an outlet for excess product. Products within the International segment are sold directly to retailers and wholesalers in Canada and most European, Asian and Latin American countries, and through agents and distributors in those countries where Mattel has no direct presence. Mattel also has retail outlets in Latin America and Europe as an outlet for its products. Additionally, Mattel sells certain of its products online through its website.

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

During 2006, Mattel's three largest customers (Wal-Mart at \$1.1 billion, Toys 'R Us at \$0.8 billion and Target at \$0.5 billion) accounted for approximately 43% of worldwide consolidated net sales in the aggregate. Within countries in the International segment, there is also a concentration of sales to certain large customers that do not operate in the US. The customers and the degree of concentration vary depending upon the region or

Table of Contents

nation. See Item 1A Risk Factors Factors That May Affect Future Results and Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Licenses and Distribution Agreements

Mattel has license agreements with third parties that permit Mattel to utilize the trademark, characters or inventions of the licensor in products that Mattel sells. A number of these licenses relate to product lines that are significant to Mattel's business and operations.

Mattel has entered into agreements to license entertainment properties from, among others, Disney Enterprises, Inc. (including Disney characters such as Winnie the Pooh and Disney Princesses, CARS from Pixar, and all Disney films and television properties for use in Mattel's DVD board games, such as Scene It?, sold in North America), Viacom International, Inc. relating to its Nickelodeon properties (including Dora the Explorer, Go-Diego-Go!, and SpongeBob SquarePants), Origin Products Limited relating to Polly Pocket!, Warner Bros. Consumer Products (including Batman, Superman, and Justice League), Sesame Workshop (relating to its Sesame Street® properties including Elmo).

Royalty expense during 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$261.2 million, \$225.6 million and \$204.5 million, respectively. See Product Design and Development and Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Mattel also licenses a number of its trademarks, characters and other property rights to others for use in connection with the sale of non-toy products that do not compete with Mattel's products. Mattel distributes some third-party finished products that are independently designed and manufactured.

Trademarks, Copyrights and Patents

Most of Mattel's products are sold under trademarks, trade names and copyrights, and a number of those products incorporate patented devices or designs. Trade names and trademarks are significant assets of Mattel in that they provide product recognition and acceptance worldwide.

Mattel customarily seeks patent, trademark or copyright protection covering its products, and it owns or has applications pending for US and foreign patents covering many of its products. A number of these trademarks and copyrights relate to product lines that are significant to Mattel's business and operations. Mattel believes its rights to these properties are adequately protected, but there can be no assurance that its rights can be successfully asserted in the future or will not be invalidated, circumvented or challenged.

Commitments

In the normal course of business, Mattel enters into contractual arrangements for future purchases of goods and services to ensure availability and timely delivery, and to obtain and protect Mattel's right to create and market certain products. Certain of these commitments routinely

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

contain provisions for guaranteed or minimum expenditures during the term of the contracts. Current and future commitments for guaranteed payments reflect Mattel's focus on expanding its product lines through alliances with businesses in other industries.

As of December 31, 2006, Mattel had outstanding commitments for purchases of inventory, other assets and services totaling \$372.9 million in fiscal year 2007. Licensing and similar agreements with terms extending through 2011 contain provisions for future guaranteed minimum payments aggregating approximately \$135.0 million. See Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Commitments and Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Backlog

Mattel ships products in accordance with delivery schedules specified by its customers, which usually request delivery within three months. In the toy industry, orders are subject to cancellation or change at any time prior to shipment. In recent years, a trend toward just-in-time inventory practices in the toy industry has resulted in fewer advance orders and therefore less backlog of orders. Mattel believes that the amount of backlog orders at any given time may not accurately indicate future sales.

Financial Instruments

Currency exchange rate fluctuations may impact Mattel's results of operations and cash flows. Mattel seeks to mitigate its exposure to market risk by monitoring its foreign currency transaction exposure for the year and partially hedging such exposure using foreign currency forward exchange and option contracts primarily to hedge its purchase and sale of inventory, and other intercompany transactions denominated in foreign currencies. These contracts generally have maturity dates of up to 18 months. In addition, Mattel manages its exposure to currency exchange rate fluctuations through the selection of currencies used for international borrowings. Mattel does not trade in financial instruments for speculative purposes.

For additional information regarding foreign currency contracts, see International Segment above, Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk and Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Seasonal Financing

Mattel maintains and periodically amends or replaces a \$1.3 billion domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility with a commercial bank group that is used as the primary source of financing for the seasonal working capital requirements of its domestic subsidiaries. The agreement in effect was amended and restated in March 2005 and the expiration date of the facility was extended to March 23, 2010. The other terms and conditions of the amended and restated facility are substantially similar to those contained in the previous facility. Interest is charged at various rates selected by Mattel, ranging from market commercial paper rates to the bank reference rate. The domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility contains a variety of covenants, including financial covenants that require Mattel to maintain certain consolidated debt-to-capital and interest coverage ratios. Specifically, Mattel is required to meet these financial covenant ratios at the end of each fiscal quarter and fiscal year, using the formulae specified in the credit agreement to calculate the ratios. Mattel was in compliance with such covenants at the end of each fiscal quarter and fiscal year in 2006. As of December 31, 2006, Mattel's consolidated debt-to-capital ratio, as calculated per the terms of the credit agreement, was 0.29 to 1 (compared to a maximum allowed of 0.50 to 1) and Mattel's interest coverage ratio was 11.72 to 1 (compared to a minimum allowed of 3.50 to 1).

On December 9, 2005, Mattel, Mattel Asia Pacific Sourcing Limited (MAPS), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mattel, Bank of America, N.A., as a lender and administrative agent, and other financial institutions executed a credit agreement (the MAPS facility) which provides for (i) a term loan facility of \$225.0 million consisting of a term loan advanced to MAPS in the original principal amount of \$225.0 million, with \$50.0 million of such amount to be repaid on each of December 15, 2006 and December 15, 2007, and the remaining aggregate principal amount of \$125.0 million to be repaid on December 9, 2008, and (ii) a revolving loan facility consisting of revolving loans advanced to MAPS in the maximum aggregate principal amount at any time outstanding of \$100.0 million, with a maturity date of December 9, 2008. Interest is charged at various rates selected by Mattel based on Eurodollar rates or bank reference rates. On December 15, 2006, in addition to the required payment of \$50.0 million, MAPS prepaid an incremental \$125.0 million of the MAPS term loan facility. The remaining \$50.0 million principal amount, consisting of \$14.3 million due on December 15, 2007 and \$35.7 million due on December 9, 2008, was prepaid on January 16, 2007. As of

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

December 31, 2006, there was no balance outstanding on the MAPS revolving loan facility. In connection with the MAPS facility, Mattel executed a

Table of Contents

Continuing Guaranty Agreement pursuant to which Mattel unconditionally guaranteed the obligations of MAPS arising pursuant to the MAPS facility. The MAPS facility contains a variety of covenants, including financial covenants that require Mattel to maintain certain consolidated debt-to-capital and interest coverage ratios at the end of each fiscal quarter and fiscal year, using the formulae specified and ratios allowed in the MAPS facility to calculate the ratios. The formulae specified in the MAPS facility are the same as those required by the domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility. Mattel was in compliance with such covenants at December 31, 2006.

To finance seasonal working capital requirements of certain foreign subsidiaries, Mattel avails itself of individual short-term credit lines with a number of banks. As of December 31, 2006, foreign credit lines totaled approximately \$200 million, a portion of which are used to support letters of credit. Mattel expects to extend the majority of these credit lines throughout 2007.

In June 2006, Mattel issued \$100.0 million of unsecured floating rate senior notes (Floating Rate Senior Notes) due June 15, 2009 and \$200.0 million of unsecured 6.125% senior notes (6.125% Senior Notes) due June 15, 2011 (collectively Senior Notes). Interest on the Floating Rate Senior Notes is based on the three-month US Dollar London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 40 basis points with interest payable quarterly beginning September 15, 2006. Interest on the 6.125% Senior Notes is payable semi-annually beginning December 15, 2006. The 6.125% Senior Notes may be redeemed at any time at the option of Mattel at a redemption price equal to the greater of (i) the principal amount of the notes being redeemed plus accrued interest to the redemption date, or (ii) a make whole amount based on the yield of a comparable US Treasury security plus 20 basis points.

In June 2006, Mattel entered into two interest rate swap agreements on the \$100.0 million Floating Rate Senior Notes, each in a notional amount of \$50.0 million, for the purpose of hedging the variability of cash flows in the interest payments due to fluctuations of the LIBOR benchmark interest rate. These cash flow hedges are accounted for under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, whereby the hedges are reported in Mattel s consolidated balance sheets at fair value, with changes in the fair value of the hedges reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss. Under the terms of the agreements, Mattel receives quarterly interest payments from the swap counterparties based on the three-month LIBOR plus 40 basis points and makes semi-annual interest payments to the swap counterparties based on a fixed rate of 5.87125%. The three-month LIBOR rate used to determine interest payments under the interest rate swap agreements resets every three months, matching the variable interest on the Floating Rate Senior Notes. The agreements expire in June 2009, which corresponds with the maturity of the Floating Rate Senior Notes.

In October 2005, a major credit rating agency maintained its long-term rating for Mattel at BBB, but changed its long-term outlook to negative and reduced its short-term rating to A-3. In March 2006, this same credit rating agency reduced Mattel s long-term credit rating to BBB- and changed the outlook from negative to stable. Also in October 2005, another major credit rating agency maintained its long-term rating for Mattel at Baa2, but changed its long-term outlook to negative. In May 2006, another major credit rating agency reduced Mattel s long-term credit rating to BBB. Management does not expect these actions to have a significant impact on Mattel s ability to obtain financing or to have a significant negative impact on Mattel s liquidity or results of operations.

Mattel believes its cash on hand at the beginning of 2007, amounts available under its domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility, the MAPS facility, and its foreign credit lines will be adequate to meet its seasonal financing requirements in 2007. As of December 31, 2006, Mattel had available incremental borrowing resources totaling approximately \$1.3 billion under its domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility, the MAPS facility and foreign credit lines.

Mattel has a \$300.0 million domestic receivables sales facility that is a sub-facility of Mattel s domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility. The outstanding amount of receivables sold under the domestic

Table of Contents

receivables facility may not exceed \$300.0 million at any given time, and the amount available to be borrowed under the credit facility is reduced to the extent of any such outstanding receivables sold. Under the domestic receivables facility, certain trade receivables are sold to a group of banks, which currently include, among others, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, Citicorp USA, Inc. and Barclays Bank PLC, as co-syndication agents, and Societe Generale and BNP Paribas, as co-documentation agents. Pursuant to the domestic receivables facility, Mattel Sales Corp. and Fisher-Price, Inc. (which are wholly-owned subsidiaries of Mattel) can sell eligible trade receivables from Wal-Mart and Target to Mattel Factoring, Inc. (Mattel Factoring), a Delaware corporation and wholly-owned, consolidated subsidiary of Mattel. Mattel Factoring is a special purpose entity whose activities are limited to purchasing and selling receivables under this facility. Pursuant to the terms of the domestic receivables facility and simultaneous with each receivables purchase, Mattel Factoring sells those receivables to the bank group. Mattel records the transaction, reflecting cash proceeds and sale of accounts receivable in its consolidated balance sheet, at the time of the sale of the receivables to the bank group.

Sales of receivables pursuant to the domestic receivables sale facility occur periodically, generally quarterly. The receivables are sold by Mattel Sales Corp. and Fisher-Price, Inc. to Mattel Factoring for a purchase price equal to the nominal amount of the receivables sold. Mattel Factoring then sells such receivables to the bank group at a slight discount, and Mattel acts as a servicer for such receivables. Mattel has designated Mattel Sales Corp. and Fisher-Price, Inc. as sub-servicers, as permitted by the facility. Mattel's appointment as a servicer is subject to termination events that are customary for such transactions. The domestic receivables sales facility is also subject to conditions to funding, representations and warranties, undertakings and early termination events that are customary for transactions of this nature. Mattel retains a servicing interest in the receivables sold under this facility.

Until the Master Agreement was terminated on February 9, 2007, Mattel International Holdings B.V., a company incorporated in the Netherlands (the Depositor), Mattel France, a company incorporated in France (Mattel France), and Mattel GmbH, a company incorporated in Germany (Mattel Germany), each of which is a subsidiary of Mattel, and Societe Generale Bank Nederland N.V. (SGBN), were parties to a Master Agreement for the Transfer of Receivables that established a Euro 150 million European trade receivables facility (the European trade receivables facility), pursuant to which Mattel France and Mattel Germany sold trade receivables to SGBN. The European trade receivables facility was subject to conditions to funding, representations and warranties, undertakings and early termination events that were customary for transactions of this nature.

Sales of receivables pursuant to the European trade receivables facility occurred monthly, with the last such sale occurring on January 10, 2007. The receivables were sold by Mattel France and Mattel Germany directly to SGBN for a purchase price equal to the nominal amount of the receivables sold. As a result, no Mattel subsidiary was used as a special purpose entity in connection with these transactions. A portion of the purchase price was funded by SGBN and a portion by a deposit provided by the Depositor. The amount of the deposit was reset on each date on which new receivables were sold. During the 12-month period ending December 31, 2006, the deposit was, on average, equal to about 51% of the aggregate notional amount of sold receivables outstanding during such period.

As with the domestic receivables facility, each sale of accounts receivable was recorded in Mattel's consolidated balance sheet at the time of such sale. Under the European trade receivables facility, the outstanding amount of receivables sold could not exceed Euro 60 million from February 1 through July 31 of each year and could not exceed Euro 150 million at all other times.

Each of Mattel France and Mattel Germany was appointed to service the receivables sold by it to SGBN. No servicing fees were paid by SGBN for such services. The appointment of each of Mattel France and Mattel Germany to act as servicer was subject to termination events that were customary for transactions of this nature.

Mattel France and Mattel Germany were obligated to pay certain fees to the Depositor in consideration of the Depositor providing the deposit to SGBN. During the 12-month period ending December 31, 2006, fees paid

Table of Contents

by Mattel France and Mattel Germany to the Depositor were, on average, approximately 0.11% of the aggregate notional amount of sold receivables outstanding during such period.

In November 2006, the commitment termination date for the European trade receivables facility was extended until February 28, 2007. However, effective on February 9, 2007, the Depositor, Mattel France and Mattel Germany terminated the European trade receivable facility with SGBN. The Company determined the facility was no longer necessary based on projected international cash flows and seasonal financing needs.

Government Regulations and Environmental Quality

Mattel's toy products sold in the US are subject to the provisions of the Consumer Product Safety Act and the Federal Hazardous Substances Act, and may also be subject to the requirements of the Flammable Fabrics Act or the Food, Drug and Cosmetics Act, and the regulations promulgated pursuant to such statutes. The Consumer Product Safety Act and the Federal Hazardous Substances Act enable the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) to exclude from the market consumer products that fail to comply with applicable product safety regulations or otherwise create a substantial risk of injury, as well as articles that contain excessive amounts of a banned hazardous substance. The CPSC may also require the recall and repurchase or repair of articles that are banned. Similar laws exist in some states and cities and in many international markets.

Mattel maintains a quality control program to ensure compliance with various US federal, state and applicable foreign product safety requirements. Notwithstanding the foregoing, there can be no assurance that all of Mattel's products are or will be free from defects or are hazard-free. A product recall could have a material adverse effect on Mattel's results of operations and financial condition, depending on the product affected by the recall and the extent of the recall efforts required. A product recall could also negatively affect Mattel's reputation and the sales of other Mattel products. See Item 1A Risk Factors Factors That May Affect Future Results.

Mattel's advertising is subject to the Federal Trade Commission Act, The Children's Television Act of 1990, the rules and regulations promulgated by the Federal Trade Commission and the Federal Communications Commission as well as laws of certain countries that regulate advertising and advertising to children. In addition, Mattel's websites that are directed towards children are subject to The Children's Online Privacy Protection Act of 1998. Mattel is subject to various other federal, state and local laws and regulations applicable to its business. Mattel believes that it is in substantial compliance with these laws and regulations.

Mattel's operations are from time to time the subject of investigations, conferences, discussions and negotiations with various federal, state and local environmental agencies with respect to the discharge or cleanup of hazardous waste and compliance by those operations with environmental laws and regulations. See Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Environmental and Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Environmental.

Employees

The total number of persons employed by Mattel and its subsidiaries at any one time varies because of the seasonal nature of its manufacturing operations. At December 31, 2006, Mattel's total number of employees was approximately 32,000.

Table of Contents**Executive Officers of the Registrant**

The current executive officers of Mattel, all of whom are appointed annually by and serve at the pleasure of the Board of Directors, are as follows:

Name	Age	Position	Executive Officer Since
Robert A. Eckert	52	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer	2000
Ellen L. Brothers	51	Executive Vice President of Mattel and President, American Girl	2003
Thomas A. Debrowski	56	Executive Vice President, Worldwide Operations	2000
Kevin M. Farr	49	Chief Financial Officer	1996
Neil B. Friedman	59	President, Mattel Brands	1999
Alan Kaye	53	Senior Vice President, Human Resources	2000
Robert Normile	47	Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	1999
Michael A. Salop	42	Senior Vice President, External Affairs and Treasurer	2005
Bryan Stockton	53	Executive Vice President, International	2000
H. Scott Topham	46	Senior Vice President and Corporate Controller	2004

Mr. Eckert has been Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer since May 2000. He was formerly President and Chief Executive Officer of Kraft Foods, Inc., the largest packaged food company in North America, from October 1997 until May 2000. From 1995 to 1997, Mr. Eckert was Group Vice President of Kraft Foods, Inc. From 1993 to 1995, Mr. Eckert was President of the Oscar Mayer foods division of Kraft Foods, Inc. Mr. Eckert worked for Kraft Foods, Inc. for 23 years prior to joining Mattel.

Ms. Brothers has been Executive Vice President of Mattel and President, American Girl since July 2000. From November 1998 to July 2000, she was Senior Vice President of Operations, Pleasant Company (which merged with and into Mattel on December 31, 2003, followed immediately on January 1, 2004, by an asset transfer to Mattel's subsidiary American Girl). From January 1997 to November 1998, she was Vice President of the Catalogue Division, Pleasant Company. She joined Pleasant Company in 1995, prior to its acquisition by Mattel in July 1998, as Vice President of Catalogue Marketing.

Mr. Debrowski has been Executive Vice President, Worldwide Operations, since November 2000. From February 1992 until November 2000, he was Senior Vice President-Operations and a director of The Pillsbury Company. From September 1991 until February 1992, he was Vice President of Operations for the Baked Goods Division of The Pillsbury Company. Prior to that, he served as Vice President and Director of Grocery Operations for Kraft U.S.A.

Mr. Farr has been Chief Financial Officer since February 2000. From September 1996 to February 2000, he was Senior Vice President and Corporate Controller. From June 1993 to September 1996, he served as Vice President, Tax. Prior to that, he served as Senior Director, Tax from August 1992 to June 1993.

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Mr. Friedman has been President, Mattel Brands (which includes Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US and Fisher-Price Brands US) since October 2005. From March 1999 to October 2005, he was President, Fisher-Price Brands. From August 1995 to March 1999, he was President, Tyco Preschool. For more than five years prior to that time, he was President of MCA/Universal Merchandising, Senior Vice President-Sales, Marketing and

Table of Contents

Design of Just Toys, Vice President and General Manager of Baby Care for Gerber Products, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Lionel Leisure, Inc., and President of Aviva/Hasbro.

Mr. Kaye has been Senior Vice President, Human Resources since July 1997. From June 1996 to June 1997 he was President, Texas Division of Kaufman and Broad Homes, a home building company. From June 1991 to June 1996, he served as Senior Vice President, Human Resources for Kaufman and Broad Homes. Prior to that, he worked for two years with the Hay Group, a compensation consulting firm and for 12 years with IBM in various human resources positions.

Mr. Normile has been Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary since March 1999. He served as Vice President, Associate General Counsel and Secretary from August 1994 to March 1999. From June 1992 to August 1994, he served as Assistant General Counsel. Prior to that, he was associated with the law firms of Latham & Watkins LLP and Sullivan & Cromwell LLP.

Mr. Salop has been Senior Vice President, External Affairs and Treasurer since September 2005. He served as Senior Vice President, Strategic Opportunities from May 2004 through September 2005 and Senior Vice President, Corporate Strategic Planning from February 2003 through May 2004. From July 2000 to February 2003 he was Senior Vice President, Finance Europe and from August 1998 through July 2000 he was Vice President, Finance American Girl. Prior to that, he served in various financial roles after joining Mattel in 1990.

Mr. Stockton has been Executive Vice President, International since February 2003. He served as Executive Vice President, Business Planning and Development from November 2000 until February 2003. From April 1998 until November 2000, he was President and Chief Executive Officer of Basic Vegetable Products, the largest manufacturer of vegetable ingredients in the world. For more than 20 years prior to that, he was employed by Kraft Foods, Inc., the largest packaged food company in North America, and was President of Kraft North American Food Service from August 1996 to March 1998.

Mr. Topham has been Senior Vice President and Corporate Controller since September 2005. He served as Senior Vice President and Treasurer from March 2005 to August 2005 and as Vice President and Treasurer from March 2004 to March 2005. Prior to that, he served as Vice President and Assistant Controller from May 2001 to March 2004. From August 2000 to May 2001, he served as Vice President and Treasurer of Premier Practice Management, Inc. From June 1999 to August 2000, he served as Division Vice President of Dataworks, Inc., a specialized publishing company. Prior to that, he spent eight years with Total Petroleum (North America) Ltd., most recently as Vice President of Human Resources.

Available Information

Mattel files its Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, Proxy Statements and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) with the SEC. The public may read and copy any materials that Mattel files with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet website that contains reports, proxy and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Mattel's Internet website address is <http://www.mattel.com>. Mattel makes available on its Internet website, free of charge, its Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, Proxy Statements and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after such materials are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC.

Table of Contents**Item 1A. Risk Factors.****Factors That May Affect Future Results****(Cautionary Statement Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995)**

Certain written and oral statements made or incorporated by reference from time to time by Mattel or its representatives in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, other filings or reports filed with the SEC, press releases, conferences, or otherwise, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and may include, but are not limited to, statements about: sales and inventory levels; brand and customer management programs; increased competition; initiatives to promote revenue growth; globalization initiatives; restructuring and financial realignment plans; special charges and other non-recurring charges; initiatives aimed at anticipated cost savings; initiatives to invigorate the Barbie® brand, enhance innovation, improve the execution of the core business, leverage scale, extend brands, catch new trends, create new brands and enter new categories, develop people, improve productivity, simplify processes, maintain customer service levels and improve supply chain; integration of Radica Games Limited; operating efficiencies; capital and investment framework (including statements about free cash flow, seasonal working capital, debt-to-total capital ratios, capital expenditures, strategic acquisitions, dividends and share repurchases); cost pressures and increases; advertising and promotion spending; profitability; price increases, retail store openings and the impact of recent organizational changes. Mattel is including this Cautionary Statement to make applicable and take advantage of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 for any such forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include any statement that may predict, forecast, indicate, or imply future results, performance, or achievements. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of terminology such as believe, anticipate, expect, estimate, may, will, should, project, continue, plans, or other similar words or phrases. Except for historical matters, the matters discussed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and other statements or filings made by Mattel from time-to-time may be forward-looking statements. Management cautions you that forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements. In addition to the important factors detailed herein and from time-to-time in other reports filed by Mattel with the SEC, including Forms 8-K, 10-Q and 10-K, the following important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from past results or those suggested by any forward-looking statements.

If Mattel does not successfully satisfy consumer preferences, enhance existing products, develop and introduce new products and achieve consumer acceptance of those products, Mattel's results of operations may be adversely affected.

Mattel's business and operating results depend largely upon the appeal of its toy products. Consumer preferences, particularly among end users of Mattel's products—children—are continuously changing. Significant, sudden shifts in demand are caused by hit toys and trends, which are often unpredictable. Mattel offers a diverse range of products for children of all ages and families that includes, among others, toys for infants and preschoolers, girls' toys, boys' toys, youth electronics, hand-held and other games, puzzles, educational toys, media-driven products and fashion-related toys. Mattel competes domestically and internationally with a wide range of large and small manufacturers, marketers and sellers of toys, video games, consumer electronics and other play products, as well as retailers, which means that Mattel's market position is always at risk. Mattel's ability to maintain its current product sales, and increase its product sales or establish product sales with new, innovative toys, will depend on Mattel's ability to satisfy play preferences, enhance existing products, develop and introduce new products, and achieve market acceptance of these products. Competition is intensifying due to recent trends towards shorter life cycles for individual toy products, the phenomenon of children outgrowing toys at younger ages and an increasing use of more sophisticated technology in toys. If Mattel does not successfully meet the challenges outlined above in a timely and cost-effective manner, demand for its products could decrease and Mattel's revenues, profitability and results of operations may be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Mattel's business is susceptible to changes in popular culture, media, fashion, and technology. Misperceptions of trends in popular culture, media and movies, fashion, or technology can negatively affect Mattel's sales.

Successful movies and characters in children's literature affect play preferences, and many toys depend on media-based intellectual property licenses. Media-based licenses can cause a line of toys to gain immediate success among children, parents, or families. Trends in media, movies, and children's characters change swiftly and contribute to the transience and uncertainty of play preferences. Mattel responds to such trends and developments by modifying, refreshing, extending, and expanding its product offerings on an annual basis. If Mattel does not accurately anticipate trends in popular culture, movies, media, fashion, or technology, its products may not be accepted by children, parents, or families and could negatively affect Mattel's sales.

Mattel's business is seasonal and therefore its operating results will depend, in large part, on sales during the relatively brief traditional holiday season. Improved inventory management by retailers resulting in shorter lead times for production and possible shipping disruptions during peak demand times may affect Mattel's ability to deliver its products in time to meet retailer demands.

Mattel's business is subject to risks associated with the underproduction of popular toys and the overproduction of toys that do not match consumer demand. Sales of toy products at retail are seasonal, with a majority of retail sales occurring during the period from September through December. As a result, Mattel's operating results will depend, in large part, on sales during the relatively brief traditional holiday season. Retailers are attempting to manage their inventories better, requiring Mattel to ship products closer to the time the retailers expect to sell the products to consumers. This in turn results in shorter lead times for production. Management believes that the increase in last minute shopping during the holiday season and the popularity of gift cards (which often result in purchases after the holiday season) may negatively impact customer re-orders during the holiday season. Shipping disruptions limiting the availability of ships or containers in Asia during peak demand times may affect Mattel's ability to deliver its products in time to meet retailer demand. These factors may decrease sales or increase the risk that Mattel may not be able to meet demand for certain products at peak demand times, or that Mattel's own inventory levels may be adversely impacted by the need to pre-build products before orders are placed.

Uncertainty and adverse changes in the general economic conditions of markets in which Mattel participates may negatively affect Mattel's business.

Current and future conditions in the economy have an inherent degree of uncertainty. As a result, it is difficult to estimate the level of growth or contraction for the economy as a whole. It is even more difficult to estimate growth or contraction in various parts, sectors and regions of the economy, including the many different markets in which Mattel participates. Because all components of Mattel's budgeting and forecasting are dependent upon estimates of growth or contraction in the markets it serves and demand for its products, the prevailing economic uncertainties render estimates of future income and expenditures very difficult to make. Adverse changes may occur as a result of soft global or regional economic conditions, rising oil prices, wavering consumer confidence, unemployment, declines in stock markets or other factors affecting economic conditions generally. These changes may negatively affect the sales of Mattel's products, increase exposure to losses from bad debts, or increase costs associated with manufacturing and distributing products.

The concentration of Mattel's business with a small retail customer base that makes no binding long-term commitments means that economic difficulties or changes in the purchasing policies of its major customers could have a significant impact on Mattel's business and operating results.

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

A small number of customers account for a large share of Mattel's net sales. In 2006, Mattel's three largest customers, Wal-Mart, Toys 'R Us and Target, in the aggregate, accounted for approximately 43% of net sales, and its ten largest customers, in the aggregate, accounted for approximately 52% of net sales. The concentration

Table of Contents

of Mattel's business with a relatively small number of customers may expose Mattel to a material adverse effect if one or more of Mattel's large customers were to significantly reduce purchases for any reason, favor competitors or new entrants, or increase their direct competition with Mattel by expanding their private-label business. Customers make no binding long-term commitments to Mattel regarding purchase volumes and make all purchases by delivering one-time purchase orders. Any customer could reduce its overall purchases of Mattel's products, reduce the number and variety of Mattel's products that it carries and the shelf space allotted for Mattel's products, or otherwise seek to materially change the terms of the business relationship at any time. Any such change could significantly harm Mattel's business and operating results.

The production and sale of private-label toys by Mattel's retail customers may result in lower purchases of Mattel-branded products by those retail customers.

In recent years, consumer goods companies generally, including those in the toy business, have experienced the phenomenon of retail customers developing their own private-label products that directly compete with the products of traditional manufacturers. Some retail chains that are customers of Mattel sell private-label toys designed, manufactured and branded by the retailers themselves. These toys may be sold at prices lower than comparable toys sold by Mattel, and may result in lower purchases of Mattel-branded products by these retailers. In some cases, retailers who sell these private-label toys are larger than Mattel and may have substantially more resources than Mattel.

Liquidity problems or bankruptcy of Mattel's key customers could increase Mattel's exposure to losses from bad debts and could have a material adverse effect on Mattel's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Many of Mattel's key customers are mass-market retailers. The mass-market retail channel in the US has experienced significant shifts in market share among competitors in recent years, causing some large retailers to experience liquidity problems. From 2001 through early 2004, four large customers of Mattel filed for bankruptcy. In addition, Mattel's sales to customers are typically made on credit without collateral. There is a risk that customers will not pay, or that payment may be delayed, because of bankruptcy or other factors beyond the control of Mattel, which could increase Mattel's exposure to losses from bad debts. In addition, if these or other customers were to cease doing business as a result of bankruptcy, or significantly reduce the number of stores operated, it could have a material adverse effect on Mattel's business, financial condition and results of operations.

A reduction or interruption in the delivery of raw materials, parts and components from its suppliers or a significant increase in the price of supplies could negatively impact the gross profit margins realized by Mattel on the sale of its products or result in lower sales.

Mattel's ability to meet customer demand depends, in part, on its ability to obtain timely and adequate delivery of materials, parts and components from its suppliers and internal manufacturing capacity. Mattel has experienced shortages in the past, including raw materials and components. Although Mattel works closely with suppliers to avoid these types of shortages, there can be no assurance that Mattel will not encounter these problems in the future. A reduction or interruption in supplies or a significant increase in the price of one or more supplies, such as fuel and resin (which is an oil-based product) expenses, could have a material adverse effect on Mattel's business. Cost increases, whether resulting from shortages of materials or otherwise, including but not limited to rising costs of materials, transportation, services and labor (including but not limited to wages, expenses related to employee health plans and insurance), could impact the profit margins realized by Mattel on the sale of its products. Because of market conditions, timing of pricing decisions and other factors, there can be no assurance that Mattel will be able to offset any of these increased costs by adjusting the prices of its products. Increases in prices of Mattel's products could result in lower sales.

Table of Contents

Unfavorable resolution of pending and future litigation matters and disputes could have a material adverse effect on Mattel's financial condition.

Mattel is involved in a number of litigation matters. An unfavorable resolution of pending litigation could have a material adverse effect on Mattel's financial condition. Litigation may result in substantial costs and expenses and significantly divert the attention of Mattel's management regardless of the outcome. There can be no assurance that Mattel will be able to achieve a favorable settlement of pending litigation or obtain a favorable resolution of litigation if it is not settled. In addition, current and future litigation, governmental proceedings, labor disputes or environmental matters could lead to increased costs or interruptions of the normal business operations of Mattel.

Recalls, post-manufacture repairs of Mattel products, product liability claims, absence or cost of insurance, and associated administrative costs could harm Mattel's reputation, increase costs or reduce sales.

Mattel is subject to regulation by the Consumer Product Safety Commission and similar state and international regulatory authorities, and its products could be subject to involuntary recalls and other actions by these authorities. Concerns about product safety may lead Mattel to voluntarily recall selected products. Mattel has experienced, and in the future may experience, defects or errors in products after their production and sale to customers. These defects or errors could result in the rejection of Mattel's products by customers, damage to its reputation, lost sales, diverted development resources and increased customer service and support costs, any of which could harm Mattel's business. Individuals could sustain injuries from Mattel's products, and Mattel may be subject to claims or lawsuits resulting from these injuries. There is a risk that these claims or liabilities may exceed, or fall outside the scope of, Mattel's insurance coverage. Moreover, Mattel may be unable to obtain adequate liability insurance in the future. Recalls, post-manufacture repairs of Mattel products, absence or cost of insurance, and administrative costs associated with recalls could harm Mattel's reputation, increase costs or reduce sales.

Failure by Mattel to protect its proprietary intellectual property and information could have a material adverse effect on Mattel's business, financial condition and results of operations.

The value of Mattel's business depends to a large degree on its ability to protect its intellectual property and information, including its trademarks, trade names, copyrights, patents and trade secrets in the US and around the world, as well as its customer, employee and consumer data. Any failure by Mattel to protect its proprietary intellectual property and information, including any successful challenge to Mattel's ownership of its intellectual property or material infringements of its intellectual property, could have a material adverse effect on Mattel's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Political developments, including trade relations, and the threat or occurrence of war or terrorist activities could materially impact Mattel, its personnel and facilities, its customers and suppliers, retail and financial markets, and general economic conditions.

Mattel's business is worldwide in scope, including operations in 42 countries. The deterioration of the political situation in a country in which Mattel has significant sales or operations, or the breakdown of trade relations between the US and a foreign country in which Mattel has significant manufacturing facilities or other operations, could adversely affect Mattel's business, financial condition and results of operations. For example, a change in trade status for China could result in a substantial increase in the import duty of toys manufactured in China and imported into the US. In addition, the occurrence of war or hostilities between countries or threat of terrorist activities, and the responses to and results of these activities, could materially impact Mattel, its personnel and facilities, its customers and suppliers, retail and financial markets, and general economic conditions.

Table of Contents

Disruptions in Mattel's manufacturing operations due to political instability, civil unrest, SARS, avian flu or other diseases could negatively impact Mattel's business, financial position and results of operations.

Mattel owns and operates manufacturing facilities and utilizes third-party manufacturers throughout Asia, primarily in China, Indonesia, Malaysia and Thailand. The risk of political instability and civil unrest exists in certain of these countries, which could temporarily or permanently damage Mattel's manufacturing operations located there. In the past, outbreaks of SARS have been significantly concentrated in Asia, particularly in Hong Kong, and in the Guangdong province of China, where many of Mattel's manufacturing facilities and third-party manufacturers are located. The design, development and manufacture of Mattel's products could suffer if a significant number of Mattel's employees or the employees of its third-party manufacturers or their suppliers contract SARS, avian flu or other communicable diseases, or otherwise are unable to fulfill their responsibilities. Mattel has developed contingency plans designed to help mitigate the impact of disruptions in its manufacturing operations. Mattel's business, financial position and results of operations could be negatively impacted by a significant disruption to its manufacturing operations or suppliers.

Earthquakes or other catastrophic events out of our control may damage Mattel's facilities or those of its contractors and harm Mattel's results of operations.

Mattel has significant operations, including its corporate headquarters, near major earthquake faults in Southern California. Southern California has experienced earthquakes, wildfires and other natural disasters in recent years. A catastrophic event where Mattel has important operations, such as an earthquake, tsunami, flood, typhoon, fire or other natural or manmade disaster, could disrupt Mattel's operations or those of its contractors and impair production or distribution of its products, damage inventory, interrupt critical functions or otherwise affect business negatively, harming Mattel's results of operations.

Significant changes in currency exchange rates could have a material adverse effect on Mattel's business and results of operations.

Mattel's net investment in its foreign subsidiaries and its results of operations and cash flows are subject to changes in currency exchange rates and regulations. Mattel seeks to mitigate the exposure of its results of operations to fluctuations in currency exchange rates by partially hedging this exposure using foreign currency forward exchange and option contracts. These contracts are primarily used to hedge Mattel's purchase and sale of inventory, and other intercompany transactions denominated in foreign currencies. Government action may restrict Mattel's ability to transfer capital across borders and may also impact the fluctuation of currencies in the countries where Mattel conducts business or has invested capital. Significant changes in currency exchange rates, reductions in Mattel's ability to transfer its capital across borders, and changes in government-fixed currency exchange rates, including the Chinese yuan, could have a material adverse effect on Mattel's business and results of operations.

Increases in interest rates, reduction of Mattel's credit ratings or the inability of Mattel to meet the debt covenant coverage requirements in its credit facilities could negatively impact Mattel's ability to conduct its operations.

Increases in interest rates, both domestically and internationally, could negatively affect Mattel's cost of financing both its operations and investments. Any reduction in Mattel's credit ratings could increase the cost of obtaining financing. Additionally, Mattel's ability to issue long-term debt and obtain seasonal financing could be adversely affected by factors such as an inability to meet its debt covenant requirements, which include maintaining consolidated debt-to-capital and interest coverage ratios. Mattel's ability to conduct its operations could be negatively impacted should these or other adverse conditions affect its primary sources of liquidity.

Table of Contents

Mattel's failure to successfully market or advertise its products could have a material adverse effect on Mattel's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Mattel's products are marketed worldwide through a diverse spectrum of advertising and promotional programs. Mattel's ability to sell products is dependent in part upon the success of these programs. If Mattel does not successfully market its products or if media or other advertising or promotional costs increase, these factors could have a material adverse effect on Mattel's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Failure to successfully implement new initiatives could have a material adverse effect on Mattel's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Mattel has announced initiatives to improve the execution of its core business, globalize and extend Mattel's brands, catch new trends, create new brands and offer new innovative toys, develop people, improve productivity, simplify processes, maintain customer service levels, as well as new initiatives designed to drive sales growth, manage costs and improve its supply chain. These initiatives involve investment of capital and complex decision making as well as extensive and intensive execution, and the success of these initiatives is not assured. Failure to successfully implement any of these initiatives could have a material adverse effect on Mattel's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Mattel depends on key personnel and may not be able to hire, retain and integrate sufficient qualified personnel to maintain and expand its business.

Mattel's future success depends partly on the continued contribution of key executives, designers, technical, sales, marketing, manufacturing and administrative personnel. The loss of services of any of Mattel's key personnel could harm Mattel's business. Recruiting and retaining skilled personnel is costly and highly competitive. If Mattel fails to retain, hire, train and integrate qualified employees and contractors, Mattel will not be able to maintain and expand Mattel's business.

Mattel is subject to various laws and government regulations, violation of which could subject it to sanctions. In addition, changes in such laws or regulations may lead to increased costs, changes in Mattel's effective tax rate, or the interruption of normal business operations that would negatively impact Mattel's financial condition and results of operations.

Mattel operates in a highly regulated environment in the US and international markets. US federal, state and local governmental entities and foreign governments regulate many aspects of Mattel's business, including its products and the importation and exportation of its products. These regulations may include accounting standards, taxation requirements (including changes in applicable income tax rates, new tax laws and revised tax law interpretations), trade restrictions, regulations regarding financial matters, environmental regulations, advertising directed toward children, safety and other administrative and regulatory restrictions. While Mattel takes all the steps it believes are necessary to comply with these laws and regulations, there can be no assurance that Mattel will be in compliance in the future. Failure to comply could result in monetary liabilities and other sanctions which could have a negative impact on Mattel's business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, changes in laws or regulations may lead to increased costs, changes in Mattel's effective tax rate, or the interruption of normal business operations that would negatively impact its financial condition and results of operations.

Mattel may engage in acquisitions, mergers or dispositions, which may affect the profit, revenues, profit margins, debt-to-capital ratio, capital expenditures or other aspects of Mattel's business. In addition, Mattel has certain anti-takeover provisions in its by-laws that may

make it more difficult for a third party to acquire Mattel without its consent, which may adversely affect Mattel's stock price.

Mattel may engage in acquisitions, mergers or dispositions, which may affect the profit, revenues, profit margins, debt-to-capital ratio, capital expenditures, or other aspects of Mattel's business. There can be no

Table of Contents

assurance that Mattel will be able to identify suitable acquisition targets or merger partners or that, if identified, it will be able to acquire these targets on acceptable terms or agree to terms with merger partners. There can also be no assurance that Mattel will be successful in integrating any acquired company into its overall operations, or that any such acquired company will operate profitably or will not otherwise adversely impact Mattel's results of operations. Further, Mattel cannot be certain that key talented individuals at these acquired companies will continue to work for Mattel after the acquisition or that they will continue to develop popular and profitable products or services. In addition, Mattel has certain anti-takeover provisions in its bylaws that may make it more difficult for a third party to acquire Mattel without its consent, which may adversely affect Mattel's stock price.

If any of the risks and uncertainties described in the cautionary factors listed above actually occurs, Mattel's business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. The factors listed above are not exhaustive. Other sections of this Annual Report on Form 10-K include additional factors that could materially and adversely impact Mattel's business, financial condition and results of operations. Moreover, Mattel operates in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict the impact of all of these factors on Mattel's business, financial condition or results of operations or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not rely on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results. Any or all of the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and any other public statement made by Mattel or its representatives may turn out to be wrong. Mattel expressly disclaims any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new developments or otherwise.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties.

Mattel owns its corporate headquarters in El Segundo, California, consisting of approximately 335,000 square feet, and an adjacent office building consisting of approximately 55,000 square feet. Mattel also leases buildings in El Segundo consisting of approximately 327,000 square feet. All segments use these facilities. Mattel's Fisher-Price subsidiary owns its headquarters facilities in East Aurora, New York, consisting of approximately 535,000 square feet, which is used by the Fisher-Price Brands US segment and for corporate support functions. American Girl Brands owns its headquarters facilities in Middleton, Wisconsin, consisting of approximately 180,000 square feet, a warehouse in Middleton, consisting of approximately 215,000 square feet, and distribution facilities in Middleton, DeForest and Wilmot, Wisconsin, consisting of a total of approximately 948,000 square feet, all of which are used by the American Girl Brands segment.

Mattel maintains leased sales offices in California, Illinois, Minnesota, New York, and Arkansas and leased warehouse and distribution facilities in California, New Jersey and Texas, all of which are used by the Domestic segment. Mattel has leased retail and related office space in Chicago, Illinois, New York, New York and Los Angeles, California for its American Girl Place® stores, leased retail space in Oshkosh, Wisconsin, which are used by the American Girl Brands segment, and Pomona, California which is used by Mattel Brands. Mattel also has leased office space in Florida, which is used by the International segment, and California, Massachusetts, and Texas, which are used by Radica Games Limited (Radica). Mattel leases a computer facility in Phoenix, Arizona used by all segments. Internationally, Mattel has offices and/or warehouse space in Argentina, Australia, Austria, Belgium, Bermuda, Brazil, Canada, Chile, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hong Kong, Hungary, India, Italy, Japan, Korea, Macau, Mexico, The Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Peru, Poland, Portugal, Puerto Rico, Romania, Singapore, South Korea, Spain, Switzerland, Taiwan, Turkey, the United Kingdom and Venezuela which are leased (with the exception of office space in Chile, certain warehouse space in France, and office and warehouse space in Hong Kong, that is

Table of Contents

owned by Mattel) and used by the International segment. Mattel's principal manufacturing facilities are located in China, Indonesia, Thailand, Malaysia and Mexico. See Manufacturing and Materials.

For leases that are scheduled to expire during the next twelve months, Mattel may negotiate new lease agreements, renew existing lease agreements or utilize alternate facilities. See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Mattel believes that its owned and leased facilities, in general, are suitable and adequate for its present and currently foreseeable needs.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

See Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Litigation and Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year covered by this report.

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Market Information

For information regarding the markets in which Mattel's common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, is traded, see the cover page hereof. For information regarding the high and low closing prices of Mattel's common stock for the last two calendar years, see Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Holder of Record

As of February 23, 2007, Mattel had approximately 40,000 holders of record of its common stock.

Dividends

In 2006, 2005 and 2004, Mattel paid a dividend per share of \$0.65, \$0.50 and \$0.45, respectively, to holders of its common stock. The board of directors declared the dividend in November, and Mattel paid the dividend in December of each year. The payment of dividends on common stock is at the discretion of Mattel's Board of Directors and is subject to customary limitations.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

The information regarding Mattel's equity compensation plans is incorporated herein by reference to Mattel's 2007 Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

During the fourth quarter of 2006, Mattel did not sell any unregistered securities.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

The Board of Directors approved an increase to the share repurchase program of an additional \$250.0 million in November 2003 and, at that time, there were share repurchase authorizations that had not been executed totaling \$5.6 million. During 2004, Mattel repurchased 14.7 million shares at a cost of \$255.1 million. In 2005, the Board of Directors approved the repurchase of an additional \$500.0 million of Mattel's common stock. During 2005, Mattel repurchased 28.9 million shares at a cost of \$500.4 million. In January 2006, the Board of Directors authorized Mattel to increase its share repurchase program by an additional \$250.0 million. During 2006, Mattel repurchased 11.8 million shares at a cost of \$192.7 million. At December 31, 2006, share repurchase authorizations of \$57.3 million had not been executed. Repurchases take place from time to time, depending on market conditions. Mattel's share repurchase program has no expiration date.

During the fourth quarter of 2006, Mattel did not repurchase any shares of its common stock in the open market.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data.**

	For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
(In thousands, except per share and percentage information)					
Operating Results:					
Net sales (a)	\$ 5,650,156	\$ 5,179,016	\$ 5,102,786	\$ 4,960,100	\$ 4,885,340
Gross profit	2,611,793	2,372,868	2,410,725	2,429,483	2,360,987
% of net sales	46.2%	45.8%	47.2%	49.0%	48.3%
Operating income	728,818	664,529	730,817	785,710	733,541
% of net sales	12.9%	12.8%	14.3%	15.8%	15.0%
Income before income taxes	683,756	652,049	696,254	740,854	621,497
Provision for income taxes (b)	90,829	235,030	123,531	203,222	166,455
Income from continuing operations	592,927	417,019	572,723	537,632	455,042
Gain from discontinued operations, net of tax (c)					27,253
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax (d)					(252,194)
Net income	\$ 592,927	\$ 417,019	\$ 572,723	\$ 537,632	\$ 230,101
Net Income Per Common Share Basic:					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.55	\$ 1.02	\$ 1.37	\$ 1.23	\$ 1.04
Gain from discontinued operations (c)					0.06
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle (d)					(0.58)
Net income per common share basic	1.55	1.02	1.37	1.23	0.52
Net Income Per Common Share Diluted:					
Income from continuing operations	1.53	1.01	1.35	1.22	1.03
Gain from discontinued operations (c)					0.06
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle (d)					(0.57)
Net income per common share diluted	1.53	1.01	1.35	1.22	0.52
Dividends Declared Per Common Share	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.05

	December 31,				
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
(In thousands)					
Financial Position:					
Total assets	\$ 4,955,884	\$ 4,372,313	\$ 4,756,492	\$ 4,510,950	\$ 4,459,659
Noncurrent liabilities	940,390	807,395	643,509	826,983	832,194
Stockholders' equity	2,432,974	2,101,733	2,385,812	2,216,221	1,978,712

(a) Effective October 1, 2003, close out sales previously classified as a reduction of cost of sales are now classified as net sales in Mattel's consolidated statements of operations. Close out sales for the fourth quarter of 2003, totaling \$19.2 million, were included in reported net sales. This change in classification had no impact on gross profit, operating income, net income, net income per common share, balance sheets or cash flows.

Table of Contents

The following table provides the quantification of total close out sales by year (in thousands):

For the Year Ended

2003	2002
\$57,328	\$112,673

- (b) The provision for income taxes in 2006 was positively impacted by the Tax Increase Prevention and Reconciliation Act (the Tax Act) passed in May 2006, and income tax benefits of \$63.0 million related to tax settlements of ongoing audits with foreign tax authorities and a settlement with a state tax authority for tax years 1997 and 1998. The provision for income taxes in 2005 was negatively impacted by incremental tax expense of \$107.0 million, resulting from Mattel's decision to repatriate \$2.4 billion in previously unremitted foreign earnings under the American Jobs Creation Act (the Jobs Act), partially offset by \$38.6 million of tax benefit primarily relating to audit settlements with certain tax authorities in both the US and abroad. The provision for income taxes in 2004 was positively impacted by a \$65.1 million tax benefit related to an audit settlement with the US Internal Revenue Service (IRS).
- (c) The Consumer Software segment, which was comprised primarily of The Learning Company, Inc. (Learning Company), was reported as a discontinued operation effective March 31, 2000, and the consolidated financial statements were reclassified to segregate the operating results of the Consumer Software segment. In 2002, Gores Technology Group completed the sale and liquidation of non-cash proceeds related to the sales of the education and productivity divisions of the former Learning Company, Mattel received \$43.3 million in cash proceeds from Gores Technology Group and recognized a gain on the disposal of discontinued operations of \$27.3 million, net of taxes of \$16.0 million, in the consolidated statement of operations in 2002.
- (d) The cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax, in 2002, relates to the adoption of SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, and an impairment of goodwill upon adoption.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the related notes. See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Overview

Mattel designs, manufactures and markets a broad variety of toy products worldwide through sales to its customers and directly to consumers. Mattel's business is dependent in great part on its ability each year to redesign, restyle and extend existing core products and product lines, to design and develop innovative new products and product lines, and to successfully market those products and product lines. Mattel plans to continue to focus on its portfolio of traditional brands that have historically had worldwide appeal, to create new brands utilizing its knowledge of children's play patterns and to target customer and consumer preferences around the world.

Mattel's portfolio of brands and products are grouped in the following categories:

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Mattel Girls & Boys Brands including Barbie® fashion dolls and accessories (Barbie®), Polly Pocket!Pixel Chix, Winx Club and Disney Classics (collectively Other Girls Brands), Hot Wheels®, Matchbox® and Tyco® R/C vehicles and playsets (collectively Wheels) and Batman®, ARS, Superman, Radica:® products, and games and puzzles (collectively Entertainment).

Fisher-Price Brands including Fisher-Price®, Little People®, BabyGear and View-Master® (collectively Core Fisher-Price®), Sesame Street®, Dora the Explorer, Go-Diego-Go!, Winnie the Pooh, InteracTV and See N Say® (collectively Fisher-Price® Friends) and Power Wheels®.

American Girl Brands including Just Like You® the historical collection and Bitty Baby®. American Girl Brands products are sold directly to consumers and its children's publications are also sold to certain retailers.

Table of Contents

On October 10, 2005, Mattel announced the consolidation of its domestic Mattel Girls & Boys Brands and Fisher-Price Brands divisions into one division. The creation of the Mattel Brands division, which resulted in the consolidation of some management and support functions, preserves the natural marketing and design groups that are empowered to create and market toys based on gender and age groups and is expected to more effectively and efficiently leverage Mattel's scale. These changes are consistent with Mattel's ongoing goals to enhance innovation and improve execution. In connection with this consolidation, Mattel executed an initiative in 2006 to streamline its workforce, primarily in El Segundo, California. The consolidation of these divisions did not change Mattel's operating segments.

Management believes that the business environment for Mattel in 2007 will be similar to that of 2006. Mattel expects to continue facing challenges both domestically and internationally as retailers continue to tightly manage inventory. Additionally, Mattel has experienced continued cost pressures in the areas of product costs, including oil-based resin and zinc, and employee-related costs. Management believes that Mattel will continue to encounter a challenging retail environment, along with cost pressures and the possibility of sales declines in the Barbie® brand.

Mattel's objective is to continue to create long-term shareholder value by generating strong cash flow and deploying it in a disciplined and opportunistic manner as outlined in Mattel's capital and investment framework. To achieve this objective, management has established three overarching goals.

The first goal is to enhance innovation in order to reinvigorate the Barbie® brand, while maintaining growth in other core brands by continuing to develop popular toys. Additionally, Mattel plans to pursue additional licensing arrangements and strategic partnerships to extend its portfolio of brands into areas outside of traditional toys.

The second goal is to improve execution in areas including manufacturing, distribution and selling. In 2006, Mattel is continuing to focus on improving the efficiency of its supply chain using Lean supply chain initiatives. The objective of the Lean program is to improve the flow of processes, do more with less and focus on the value chain from beginning to end.

The third goal is to further capitalize on Mattel's scale advantage. For example, as the world's largest toy company, Mattel believes it can realize cost savings when making purchasing decisions based on a One Mattel philosophy.

Results of Operations

2006 Compared to 2005

Consolidated Results

Net sales for 2006 were \$5.65 billion, a 9% increase compared to \$5.18 billion in 2005, including a 1 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates. Net income for 2006 was \$592.9 million, or \$1.53 per diluted share, as compared to net income of \$417.0 million, or \$1.01 per diluted share, for 2005.

Gross profit, as a percentage of net sales, increased to 46.2% in 2006 from 45.8% in 2005. The increase in gross profit was driven by price increases and savings from supply chain initiatives, which were partially offset by unfavorable mix, external cost pressures and higher royalty costs.

Income before income taxes as a percentage of net sales declined to 12.1% in 2006 from 12.6% in 2005. Contributing to this decline were higher selling and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales and lower other non-operating income, partially offset by higher gross margins and lower advertising expenses as a percentage of net sales. Higher selling and administrative expenses were primarily attributed to increased incentive compensation accruals, stock-based compensation including a pre-tax charge of \$19.3 million for prior

Table of Contents

period unintentional stock option accounting errors (see Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements), costs associated with the opening of the third American Girl Place® retail store in April 2006 and the acquisition of Radica in October 2006, partially offset by savings related to the streamlining of the Mattel Brands organization. Other non-operating (income), net in 2005 included gains from the sale of marketable securities of \$25.8 million. There were no gains or losses from the sale of marketable securities in 2006.

Net income in 2006 was positively impacted by the Tax Act passed in May, and income tax benefits of \$63.0 million related to settlements of multiple ongoing audits by foreign tax authorities and a settlement with a state tax authority for tax years 1997 and 1998. Net income in 2005 was negatively impacted by incremental tax expense of \$107.0 million, resulting from Mattel's decision to repatriate \$2.4 billion in previously unremitted foreign earnings under The American Jobs Creation Act (the Jobs Act), partially offset by \$38.6 million of tax benefits primarily relating to audit settlements with certain tax authorities in both the US and abroad.

Shares repurchased under Mattel's share repurchase program resulted in a benefit to Mattel's earnings per share in 2006 when compared to 2005, by reducing the average number of common shares outstanding. Since inception of the share repurchase program in July 2003, Mattel has repurchased 68.1 million shares, representing 15% of common shares outstanding.

The following table provides a summary of Mattel's consolidated results for 2006 and 2005 (in millions, except percentage and basis point information):

	For the Year					
	2006		2005		Year/Year Change	
	Amount	% of Net Sales	Amount	% of Net Sales	%	Basis Points of Net Sales
Net sales	\$ 5,650.2	100.0%	\$ 5,179.0	100.0%	9%	
Gross profit	\$ 2,611.8	46.2%	\$ 2,372.9	45.8%	10%	40
Advertising and promotion expenses	651.0	11.5	629.1	12.1	3%	(60)
Other selling and administrative expenses	1,232.0	21.8	1,079.3	20.8	14%	100
Operating income	728.8	12.9	664.5	12.8	10%	10
Interest expense	79.9	1.4	76.5	1.5	4%	(10)
Interest (income)	(30.5)	0.5	(34.2)	0.7	11%	20
Other non-operating (income), net	(4.4)		(29.8)			
Income before income taxes	\$ 683.8	12.1%	\$ 652.0	12.6%	5%	(50)

Sales

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Net sales for 2006 were \$5.65 billion, a 9% increase compared to \$5.18 billion in 2005, including a 1 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates. Gross sales within the US increased 8% from 2005 and accounted for 56% of consolidated gross sales in 2006 and 2005. In 2006, gross sales in international markets increased 11% compared to 2005, including a 2 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates.

Worldwide gross sales of Mattel Girls & Boys Brands increased 9% to \$3.42 billion in 2006 compared to 2005, including fourth quarter sales of Radica:® products and a 1 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates. Domestic gross sales increased 10% and international gross sales increased 8%, including a 2 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates. Worldwide gross sales of Barbie® were flat, including a 1 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates. Domestic gross sales of Barbie® increased 3% and international gross sales of Barbie® decreased 2%, including a 2 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates. Worldwide gross sales of Other Girls Brands

Table of Contents

increased 11% from 2005, including a 1 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates, primarily driven by strong sales of Polly Pocket! and Pixel Chix worldwide and the continued success of Winx Club in international markets.

Worldwide gross sales in the Wheels category decreased 1% compared to 2005, including a 1 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates. Strong sales of Hot Wheels® and Matchbox® products internationally were more than offset by Hot Wheels® sales declines in the US and Tyco® R/C sales declines worldwide. Worldwide gross sales in the Entertainment category, which includes games and puzzles and Radica:® products, increased by 34% compared to 2005, with no impact from changes in currency exchange rates. Excluding Radica:® products, worldwide gross sales increases in the Entertainment category, were driven by the worldwide success of CARS and Superman products, which more than offset worldwide sales declines of Batman and Yu-Gi-Oh! products.

Worldwide gross sales of Fisher-Price Brands increased 12% to \$2.27 billion in 2006 compared to 2005, including a 1 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates. Domestic gross sales increased 8%, while international gross sales increased 20%, including a benefit of 2 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates. Worldwide gross sales of Core Fisher-Price® increased 11% compared to 2005, including a 1 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates, primarily driven by the worldwide success of BabyGear and infant and newborn products. Worldwide gross sales of Fisher-Price® Friends increased 19% compared to 2005, including a 1 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates, driven by several Nickelodeon properties including Go-Diego-Go!, Dora the Explorer, and The Backyardigans and T.M.X Elmo from Sesame Street®.

Gross sales of American Girl Brands increased 1% to \$440.0 million in 2006 compared to 2005, driven by the American Girl Place® retail stores, including American Girl®'s third store which opened in Los Angeles, California in April 2006. Growth in the retail stores was partially offset by a decline in the catalog business.

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales increased by \$232.2 million, or 8%, from \$2.81 billion in 2005 to \$3.04 billion in 2006, as compared to a 9% increase in net sales. On an overall basis, cost of sales increased primarily due to increased sales volume. Within cost of sales, product costs increased by \$204.9 million, or 9%, from \$2.21 billion in 2005 to \$2.42 billion in 2006, which was primarily driven by increased sales volume and higher external cost pressures, partially offset by cost savings realized from supply chain efficiency initiatives. Royalty expense increased by \$35.6 million, or 16%, from \$225.6 million in 2005 to \$261.2 million in 2006, and is reflective of higher sales of licensed products in 2006. Freight and logistics expenses decreased by \$8.2 million, or 2%, from \$365.5 million in 2005 to \$357.3 million in 2006. The decrease in freight and logistics expenses was primarily due to supply chain savings and distribution center efficiency initiatives, including strategies to shorten customer shipping distances, partially offset by increased sales volume.

Gross Profit

Gross profit, as a percentage of net sales, was 46.2% in 2006 compared to 45.8% in 2005. The increase in gross profit was driven by price increases and supply chain savings, which were partially offset by higher external cost pressures and unfavorable product mix, including higher royalty costs for licensed products.

Advertising and Promotion Expenses

Advertising and promotion expenses were 11.5% of net sales in 2006, compared to 12.1% in 2005 due primarily to overall higher sales volume and greater leverage in advertising spending. Mattel expects advertising spending levels for 2007 to be fairly consistent with its 11%-13% historical range to support its plan to invest in the business to drive long-term performance.

Table of Contents

Other Selling and Administrative Expenses

Other selling and administrative expenses were \$1.23 billion in 2006, or 21.8% of net sales, compared to \$1.08 billion in 2005, or 20.8% of net sales. The increase in other selling and administrative expenses in 2006 is primarily attributable to an \$86.3 million increase in incentive compensation accruals, an increase of \$27.3 million in stock-based compensation, including a pre-tax charge of \$19.3 million for prior period unintentional stock option accounting errors (see Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements), costs associated with the third American Girl Place[®] retail store, and additional selling and administrative expenses for Radica (acquired in October 2006), partially offset by savings related to the 2006 streamlining of the Mattel Brands organization.

Non-Operating Items

Interest expense was \$79.9 million in 2006 compared to \$76.5 million in 2005 due to higher average long-term borrowings and higher short-term interest rates, partially offset by lower average short-term borrowings. Interest income decreased from \$34.2 million in 2005 to \$30.5 million in 2006 due to lower average invested cash balances. Other non-operating (income), net was \$4.3 million compared to \$29.8 million in 2005. Other non-operating income in 2005 included gains from the sale of marketable securities of \$25.8 million. There were no gains or losses from the sale of marketable securities in 2006.

As of December 31, 2006 and 2005, Mattel held no marketable securities.

Provision for Income Taxes

Net income in 2006 was positively impacted by the Tax Act passed in May 2006, and income tax benefits of \$63.0 million related to settlements of ongoing audits with foreign tax authorities and a settlement with a state tax authority for tax years 1997 and 1998, which were recorded in the first two quarters of 2006. Net income in 2005 was negatively impacted by incremental tax expense of \$107.0 million, resulting from Mattel's decision to repatriate \$2.4 billion in previously unremitted foreign earnings under the Jobs Act, partially offset by \$38.6 million of tax benefits primarily relating to audit settlements with certain tax authorities in both the US and abroad.

Operating Segment Results

Mattel's operating segments are separately managed business units and are divided on a geographic basis between domestic and international. The Domestic segment is further divided into Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US, Fisher-Price Brands US and American Girl Brands. Operating segment results should be read in conjunction with Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Domestic Segment

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US gross sales increased 10% in 2006 compared to 2005. Within this segment, gross sales of Barbie® increased 3% and gross sales of Other Girls Brands decreased 5%. Gross sales in the Wheels category decreased 9% driven by sales declines in Hot Wheels® and Tyco® R/C product lines. Gross sales in the Entertainment category, which includes games and puzzles and Radica:® products, increased 61% driven by the success of CARS and Superman products and Radica:® products, which more than offset sales declines in Batman and Yu-Gi-Oh!. Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US segment income increased 29% to \$267.2 million in 2006, primarily due to higher sales volume and improved gross profit, partially offset by higher other selling and administrative expenses. The increase in gross profit is due to higher sales volume, including Radica:® products, price increases and supply chain savings, partially offset by external cost pressures and unfavorable mix.

Fisher-Price Brands US gross sales increased 8%, reflecting an increase in sales of Core Fisher Price® and Fisher Price® Friends products. Sale increases in Core Fisher Price® products reflected strong sales of

Table of Contents

BabyGear and infant and newborn products. Sales increases in Fisher-Price® Friends were driven by several Nickelodeon properties including Go-Diego-Go!, Dora the Explorer, and The Backyardigans and Sesame Street®. Fisher-Price Brands US segment income increased 25% to \$216.1 million in 2006, primarily due to higher sales volume and improved gross profit resulting from price increases and favorable product mix, partially offset by higher external cost pressures.

American Girl Brands gross sales increased 1% from the prior year. American Girl Brands segment income decreased from \$106.2 million to \$97.0 million in 2006, primarily driven by higher other selling and administrative expenses associated with the American Girl Place® retail store in Los Angeles which opened in 2006.

International Segment

The following table provides a summary of percentage changes in gross sales within the International segment in 2006 versus 2005:

Non-US Regions:	% Change in Gross Sales	Impact of Change in Currency Rates (in % pts)
Europe	9	2
Latin America	15	1
Asia Pacific	10	
Other	12	4
Total International	11	2

International gross sales increased 11% in 2006 compared to 2005, including a 2 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates. Gross sales of Barbie® decreased 2%, including a 2 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates. Gross sales of Other Girls Brands increased 26%, including a 3 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates, primarily driven by increased sales of Polly Pocket!, Pixel Chix and Winx Club. Gross sales in the Wheels category grew by 7%, including a 2 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates, mainly driven by the success of Hot Wheels® and Matchbox® products, partially offset by Tyco® R/C sales declines. Gross sales in the Entertainment category increased by 15%, mainly due to strong sales in CARS and Superman products, which more than offset decline in sales of Batman and Yu-Gi-Oh! products. Fisher-Price Brands gross sales increased 20%, including a 2 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates, due to increased sales of Core Fisher-Price® products, primarily infant and newborn and BabyGear products and growth in Fisher-Price® Friends, mainly Dora the Explorer and Go-Diego-Go! properties, and T.M.X. Elmo from Sesame Street®. International segment income increased 33% to \$419.1 million in 2006, as a result of an increase in sales volume, improved gross profit and benefits from changes in currency exchange rates. Improved gross profit resulted from price increases, partially offset by external cost pressures, unfavorable product mix, and higher royalty costs.

2005 Compared to 2004*Consolidated Results*

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Net sales for 2005 were \$5.18 billion, a 1% increase compared to \$5.10 billion in 2004, including a 1 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates. Net income for 2005 was \$417.0 million, or \$1.01 per diluted share, as compared to net income of \$572.7 million, or \$1.35 per diluted share, for 2004.

Gross profit, as a percentage of net sales, declined from 47.2% in 2004 to 45.8% in 2005. Higher external cost pressures, higher sales of lower margin products, including the impact of sales mix, and higher royalty costs

Table of Contents

were the primary drivers for the decline in gross profit, partially offset by a moderate price increase implemented in January 2005 and favorable changes in currency exchange rates.

Income before income taxes declined as a percentage of net sales in 2005 compared to 2004. Contributing to this decline were overall lower gross margins, higher selling, general and administrative costs due to upward cost pressures for employee-related expenses and ongoing investments in growth strategies including new product design and expansion of our American Girl Place® retail stores, partially offset by lower incentive compensation, lower advertising expenses and favorable changes in currency exchange rates. Income before income taxes in 2004 was negatively impacted by a pre-tax charge of \$16.2 million, primarily related to the elimination of approximately 285 positions as a result of headcount reductions, and integration of the Matchbox® and Tyco® R/C business into the Hot Wheels® business in California, partially offset by net favorable legal settlements.

Net income in 2005 was negatively impacted by incremental tax expense of \$107.0 million resulting from Mattel's decision to repatriate \$2.4 billion in previously unremitted foreign earnings under the Jobs Act, partially offset by \$38.6 million of tax benefit primarily relating to audit settlements with certain tax authorities in both the US and abroad. Net income in 2004 was positively impacted by a \$65.1 million tax benefit related to an audit settlement with the IRS.

Shares repurchased under Mattel's share repurchase program resulted in a benefit to Mattel's earnings per share in 2005 when compared to 2004, by reducing the average number of common shares outstanding.

The following table provides a summary of Mattel's consolidated results for 2005 and 2004 (in millions, except percentage and basis point information):

	For the Year					
	2005		2004		Year/Year Change	
	Amount	% of Net Sales	Amount	% of Net Sales	%	Basis Points of Net Sales
Net sales	\$ 5,179.0	100.0%	\$ 5,102.8	100.0%	1%	
Gross profit	\$ 2,372.9	45.8%	\$ 2,410.7	47.2%	2%	(140)
Advertising and promotion expenses	629.1	12.1	643.0	12.6	2%	(50)
Other selling and administrative expenses	1,079.3	20.8	1,036.9	20.3	4%	50
Operating income	664.5	12.8	730.8	14.3	9%	(150)
Interest expense	76.5	1.5	77.8	1.5	2%	
Interest (income)	(34.2)	0.7	(19.7)	0.4	74%	(30)
Other non-operating (income), net	(29.8)		(23.5)			
Income before income taxes	\$ 652.0	12.6%	\$ 696.2	13.6%	6%	(100)

Sales

Net sales for 2005 were \$5.18 billion, a 1% increase compared to \$5.10 billion in 2004, including a 1 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates. Gross sales within the US decreased 2% from 2004 and accounted for 56% of consolidated gross sales in 2005 compared to 58% in 2004. In 2005, gross sales in international markets increased 5% compared to 2004, including a 1 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates.

Worldwide gross sales of Mattel Girls & Boys Brands decreased 3% to \$3.14 billion in 2005 compared to 2004, including a 1 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates. Domestic gross sales decreased 10% and international gross sales increased 3%, including a 1 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates. Worldwide gross sales of Barbie® decreased 13% from 2004, including a

Table of Contents

1 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates. Domestic gross sales of Barbie® decreased 21% and international gross sales of Barbie® decreased 7%, including a 1 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates. Worldwide gross sales of Other Girls Brands increased 25% from 2004, including a 1 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates, primarily driven by sales of Disney Princesses, Pound Puppies and Pixel Chix worldwide, and Winx Club in international markets. Worldwide gross sales in the Wheels category decreased 1% compared to 2004, including a 2 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates. International gross sales increases in the Hot Wheels® and Tyco® R/C product lines were more than offset by sales declines in the US. Worldwide gross sales in the Entertainment category were flat with the prior year, including a 2 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates. In the Entertainment category, growth in worldwide sales of Batman products was partially offset by sales declines in Yu-Gi-Oh! and Harry Potter worldwide, and JuiceBox in the US.

Worldwide gross sales of Fisher-Price Brands increased 5% to \$2.02 billion in 2005 compared to 2004, with no impact from changes in currency exchange rates. Domestic gross sales increased 3%, while international gross sales grew low double digits. Worldwide gross sales of Core Fisher-Price® increased 1% compared to 2004, with no impact from changes in currency exchange rates, primarily driven by infant products and continued growth in the BabyGear line internationally. Worldwide gross sales of Fisher-Price® Friends increased 18% compared to 2004, with no impact from changes in currency exchange rates, mainly attributable to the continued strength of the Dora the Explorer property.

Gross sales of American Girl Brands increased 15% to \$436.1 million in 2005 compared 2004, primarily due to continued strong performance of the American Girl Place® retail stores and the direct channels, driven by the success of the Marisol doll and book from the Just Like You contemporary line, and doll and book products related to the American Girl® live-action, made-for-TV movies.

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales increased by \$114.1 million, or 4%, from \$2.69 billion in 2004 to \$2.81 billion in 2005, as compared to a 1% increase in net sales. On an overall basis, cost of sales increased primarily due to increased sales volume, external cost pressures, and higher royalty costs for licensed products. Within cost of sales, product costs increased by \$74.7 million, or 3%, from \$2.14 billion in 2004 to \$2.21 billion in 2005, which was primarily driven by increased sales volume and external cost pressures. Royalty expense increased by \$21.1 million, or 10%, from \$204.5 million in 2004 to \$225.6 million in 2005, and is reflective of higher sales of licensed products in 2005. Freight and logistics expenses increased by \$18.2 million, or 5%, from \$347.3 million in 2004 to \$365.5 million in 2005, which was primarily driven by increased sales volume and external cost pressures.

Gross Profit

Gross profit, as a percentage of net sales, was 45.8% in 2005 compared to 47.2% in 2004. The decrease in gross profit, as a percentage of net sales, resulted from higher external cost pressures, higher sales of lower margin products, including the impact of sales mix, and higher royalty costs. These factors were partially offset by favorable changes in currency exchange rates and a moderate price increase implemented in January 2005.

Advertising and Promotion Expenses

Advertising and promotion expenses were 12.1% of net sales in 2005, compared to 12.6% in 2004.

Other Selling and Administrative Expenses

Other selling and administrative expenses were \$1.08 billion in 2005, or 20.8% of net sales, compared to \$1.04 billion in 2004, or 20.3% of net sales. Other selling and administrative expenses increased in 2005, primarily due to the following:

Higher external cost pressures and employee-related costs;

Table of Contents

Investments in growth initiatives including new product design and development and higher overhead costs in 2005 associated with the third American Girl Place[®] retail store that opened in April 2006; and

Net favorable legal settlements in 2004 that did not recur in 2005.

The consolidation of its Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US and Fisher-Price Brands US divisions into one division included the elimination of executive-level positions and resulted in severance charges totaling \$7.1 million in 2005, of which \$6.1 million resulted from the elimination of the position of president of the Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US division. The overall increase in other selling and administrative expenses was partially offset by a \$16.2 million charge for severance in 2004 related to the elimination of approximately 285 positions, resulting from headcount reductions and the relocation of the Matchbox[®] and Tyco[®] R/C brands from New Jersey to California and lower incentive compensation in 2005.

Non-Operating Items

Interest expense decreased from \$77.8 million in 2004 to \$76.5 million in 2005 due to lower average debt in 2005, partially offset by higher average short-term borrowing rates. Interest income increased from \$19.7 million in 2004 to \$34.2 million in 2005, primarily as a result of higher interest rates. Other non-operating (income), net was \$29.8 million in 2005, comprised mainly of a \$25.8 million gain from the sale of marketable securities. Other non-operating (income), net was \$23.5 million in 2004, comprised mainly of a \$22.1 million gain from the sale of marketable securities.

As of December 31, 2005, Mattel held no marketable securities. As of December 31, 2004, the pre-tax unrealized gains on marketable securities held by Mattel were \$26.1 million (\$16.4 million after-tax).

Provision for Income Taxes

Net income in 2005 was negatively impacted by incremental tax expense of \$107.0 million, resulting from Mattel's decision to repatriate \$2.4 billion in previously unremitted foreign earnings under the Jobs Act and was positively impacted by \$38.6 million of tax benefit primarily relating to audit settlements with certain tax authorities in both the US and abroad. Net income in 2004 was positively impacted by a \$65.1 million tax benefit related to an audit settlement with the IRS.

Operating Segment Results

Mattel's operating segments are separately managed business units and are divided on a geographic basis between domestic and international. The Domestic segment is further divided into Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US, Fisher-Price Brands US and American Girl Brands. Operating Segment Results should be read in conjunction with Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Domestic Segment

Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US gross sales decreased 10% in 2005 compared to 2004. Within this segment, gross sales of Barbie® declined 21% and gross sales of Other Girls Brands increased double-digits, primarily driven by increased sales of Disney Princesses, Pound Puppies and Pixel Chix. Gross sales in the Wheels category decreased 8% driven by sales declines in all the Wheels brands. Gross sales in the Entertainment category decreased double digits, primarily driven by sales declines in Yu-Gi-Oh! and JuiceBox which offset strong sales of Batman products. Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US segment income decreased 37% to \$206.5 million in 2005, primarily due to lower sales volume and a decline in gross profit resulting from increased sales of lower margin products, including the impact of sales mix, increased royalty costs and ongoing external cost pressures.

Table of Contents

Fisher-Price Brands US gross sales increased 3%, reflecting an increase in sales of Fisher-Price® Friends, driven by the continued success of the Dora the Explorer property. Sales increases in Fisher-Price® Friends were partially offset by a decrease in sales of Power Wheels® and a decrease in sales of Core Fisher Price® products, which included strong sales of infant and BabyGear lines. Fisher-Price Brands US segment income was \$173.0 million in 2005, which was flat compared to 2004, primarily due to higher sales volume offset by higher employee-related costs, additional investment in product design and development, and ongoing external cost pressures.

American Girl Brands gross sales increased 15%, primarily as a result of the continued strong performance of the American Girl Place® retail stores and the direct channels, driven by the success of the Marisol doll and book from the Just Like You contemporary line, and doll and book products related to the American Girl® live-action, made-for-TV movies. American Girl Brands segment income increased 37% to \$106.2 million in 2005, driven by higher sales volume, improved gross profit, and tight management of costs.

International Segment

The following table provides a summary of percentage changes in gross sales within the International segment in 2005 versus 2004:

Non-US Regions:	% Change in Gross Sales	Impact of Change in Currency Rates (in % pts)
Europe		(1)
Latin America	23	8
Asia Pacific	7	2
Other	(3)	5
Total International	5	1

International gross sales increased 5% in 2005 compared to 2004, including a 1 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates. Gross sales of Barbie® decreased 7%, including a 1 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates and gross sales of Other Girls Brands increased double-digits, including a 2 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates, primarily driven by increased sales of Disney Princesses, Pound Puppies and Winx Club. Gross sales in the Wheels category grew by mid single-digits in 2005 compared to 2004, mainly due to growth in sales of Hot Wheels® products. Gross sales in the Entertainment category increased by double-digits in 2005 compared to 2004, primarily due to strong sales in the male-action properties including Batman, Robots and MegaMan, partially offset by declines in Harry Potter and Yu-Gi-Oh! properties. Fisher-Price Brands gross sales increased 11%, including a 1 percentage point benefit from changes in currency exchange rates, due to strong growth in Core Fisher-Price® products, primarily infant and BabyGear lines, and Fisher-Price® Friends, mainly Dora the Explorer properties. International segment income increased 6% to \$316.2 million in 2005, as a result of an increase in sales volume, benefits from changes in currency exchange rates and a modest price increase, partially offset by increased external cost pressures, higher employee-related costs and investments in emerging international markets.

Income Taxes

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Mattel's effective tax rate on income before income taxes in 2006 was 13.3% compared to 36.0% in 2005. The 2006 income tax provision includes a tax benefit \$63.0 million related to settlements with foreign and state taxing authorities. Of the total benefit recorded, \$57.5 million represents refunds of previously paid taxes, recorded as an expense in previous years. These refunds were recorded as a reduction to income tax expense in the period the refunds were received by Mattel. The balance of the tax benefit recorded in 2006 was a net reduction to total income tax reserves resulting from tax settlements with foreign and state tax authorities. The

Table of Contents

2006 income tax provision was also positively impacted by approximately \$37 million as a result of the Tax Act passed in May 2006.

The 2005 income tax provision includes estimated US federal and state taxes of \$107.0 million related to Mattel's repatriation of \$2.4 billion in qualifying dividends from Mattel's foreign subsidiaries pursuant to the Jobs Act. The 2005 effective tax rate also includes a tax benefit of \$38.6 million primarily related to audit settlements reached with certain tax authorities in both the US and abroad. In 2005, the IRS completed its examination of Mattel's US federal income tax returns through 2003.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Mattel's primary sources of liquidity are its cash balances and access to short-term borrowing facilities. Cash flows from operations could be negatively impacted by decreased demand for Mattel's products, which could result from factors such as adverse economic conditions and changes in public and consumer preferences, or by increased costs associated with manufacturing and distribution of products or shortages in raw materials or component parts. Additionally, Mattel's ability to issue long-term debt and obtain seasonal financing could be adversely affected by factors such as an inability to meet its debt covenant requirements, which include maintaining consolidated debt-to-capital and interest coverage ratios, or a deterioration of Mattel's credit ratings. Mattel's ability to conduct its operations could be negatively impacted should these or other adverse conditions affect its primary sources of liquidity.

Capital and Investment Framework

To guide future capital deployment decisions, with a goal of maximizing shareholder value, Mattel's Board of Directors in 2003 established the following capital and investment framework:

To maintain approximately \$800 million to \$1 billion in year-end cash available to fund a substantial portion of seasonal working capital;

To maintain a year-end debt-to-capital ratio of about 25%;

To invest approximately \$180 million to \$200 million in capital expenditures annually to maintain and grow the business;

To make strategic acquisitions consistent with Mattel's vision of providing the world's premier toy brands today and tomorrow; and

To return excess funds to shareholders through dividends and share repurchases.

Over the long-term, assuming cash flows from operating activities remain strong, Mattel plans to use its free cash flows to invest in strategic acquisitions and to return funds to shareholders through cash dividends and, depending on market conditions, share repurchases. However, the ability to implement successfully the capital deployment plan is directly dependent on Mattel's ability to generate strong cash flows from operating activities. There is no assurance that Mattel will continue to generate strong cash flows from operating activities or achieve its targeted goals from investing activities.

Operating Activities

Cash flows generated from operating activities were \$875.9 million during 2006, compared to \$466.7 million in 2005 and \$570.4 million in 2004. The increase in cash flows from operating activities in 2006 from 2005 was primarily the result of higher net income and lower working capital, mainly due to higher levels of accounts payable and accrued expenses due primarily to higher incentive accruals, and the timing of vendor payments, partially offset by higher accounts receivable at December 31, 2006. The decrease in cash flows from operating activities in 2005 from 2004 was primarily due to lower net income and a change in working capital

Table of Contents

requirements, mainly due to payments made in 2005 related to year-end 2004 accruals to vendors and foreign tax authorities.

Investing Activities

On October 3, 2006, Mattel completed its acquisition of Radica for net cash paid of \$196.4 million, which includes the purchase price and acquisition costs of \$235.1 million, net of cash acquired of \$38.7 million. Mattel's acquisition of Radica is intended to maximize and combine the core competencies of each company, as well as provide growth opportunities internationally and for existing brands like Barbie®, Hot Wheels®, and Fisher-Price®. See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Cash flows used for investing activities were \$314.8 million during 2006, primarily due to the acquisition of Radica, investments in tooling to support existing and new products and Mattel's long-term information technology strategy, partially offset by proceeds from the sale of property, plant and equipment. Cash flows used for investing activities were higher in 2006 as compared to 2005 due to the Radica acquisition and lower proceeds from the sale of investments. Cash flows used for investing activities were lower in 2005 as compared to 2004 due to higher proceeds from the sale of investments and lower payments for business acquired in 2005, partially offset by higher investments in other property, plant and equipment in 2005 as a result of investment in Mattel's long-term information technology strategy and spending associated with the construction of the new American Girl Place® in Los Angeles. In 2004, Mattel used cash flows for investing activities of \$108.1 million. Capital expenditures were partially offset by proceeds from the sale of investments and property, plant and equipment, primarily related to the disposal of property in Mexico that was no longer needed when manufacturing operations in Mexico were combined as part of the financial realignment plan.

Financing Activities

Cash flows used for financing activities decreased to \$374.1 million in 2006 from \$537.3 million in 2005 as a result of lower share repurchases, higher proceeds from the exercise of stock options, and the issuance of \$300.0 million of Senior Notes in June 2006, which were partially offset by higher payments on short-term borrowings, the repayment of \$175.0 million of the MAPS term loan facility in December 2006 and \$50.0 million of Medium-term notes in May and September 2006 and increased dividend payments. Cash flows used for financing activities increased \$71.0 million to \$537.3 million in 2005 compared to 2004, primarily due to the repayment of \$150.0 million of 6 1/8% senior notes in July 2005 and the 10.15% mortgage note for \$39.1 million in November 2005 upon maturity, higher dividends paid and an increase in share repurchases in 2005, partially offset by \$225.0 million of proceeds from the MAPS term loan facility and \$100.0 million under the MAPS revolving loan facility.

The Board of Directors approved an increase to the share repurchase program of an additional \$250.0 million in November 2003 and, at that time, there were share repurchase authorizations that had not been executed totaling \$5.6 million. During 2004, Mattel repurchased 14.7 million shares at a cost of \$255.1 million. In 2005, the Board of Directors approved the repurchase of an additional \$500.0 million of Mattel's common stock. During 2005, Mattel repurchased 28.9 million shares at a cost of \$500.4 million, of which \$487.1 million was paid during 2005. In January 2006, the Board of Directors authorized Mattel to increase its share repurchase program by an additional \$250.0 million. During 2006, Mattel repurchased 11.8 million shares at a cost of \$192.7 million. The total amount paid for share repurchases in 2006 was \$205.9 million. At December 31, 2006, share repurchase authorizations of \$57.3 million had not been executed. Repurchases take place from time to time, depending on market conditions. Mattel's share repurchase program has no expiration date.

In 2006, 2005 and 2004, Mattel paid a \$0.65 per share, \$0.50 per share, and \$0.45 per share dividend to holders of its common stock, respectively. The Board of Directors declared the dividend in November, and

Table of Contents

Mattel paid the dividend in December of each year. The dividend payments were \$249.5 million, \$200.5 million, and \$186.9 million in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Seasonal Financing

Mattel maintains and periodically amends or replaces a \$1.3 billion domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility with a commercial bank group that is used as the primary source of financing for the seasonal working capital requirements of its domestic subsidiaries. The agreement in effect was amended and restated in March 2005 and the expiration date of the facility was extended to March 23, 2010. The other terms and conditions of the amended and restated facility are substantially similar to those contained in the previous facility. Interest is charged at various rates selected by Mattel, ranging from market commercial paper rates to the bank reference rate. The domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility contains a variety of covenants, including financial covenants that require Mattel to maintain certain consolidated debt-to-capital and interest coverage ratios. Specifically, Mattel is required to meet these financial covenant ratios at the end of each fiscal quarter and fiscal year, using the formulae specified in the credit agreement to calculate the ratios. Mattel was in compliance with such covenants at the end of each fiscal quarter and fiscal year in 2006. As of December 31, 2006, Mattel's consolidated debt-to-capital ratio, as calculated per the terms of the credit agreement, was 0.29 to 1 (compared to a maximum allowed of 0.50 to 1) and Mattel's interest coverage ratio was 11.72 to 1 (compared to a minimum allowed of 3.50 to 1).

On December 9, 2005, Mattel, MAPS, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mattel, Bank of America, N.A., as a lender and administrative agent, and other financial institutions executed a credit agreement (the MAPS facility) which provides for (i) a term loan facility of \$225.0 million consisting of a term loan advanced to MAPS in the original principal amount of \$225.0 million, with \$50.0 million of such amount to be repaid on each of December 15, 2006 and December 15, 2007, and the remaining aggregate principal amount of \$125.0 million to be repaid on December 9, 2008, and (ii) a revolving loan facility consisting of revolving loans advanced to MAPS in the maximum aggregate principal amount at any time outstanding of \$100.0 million, with a maturity date of December 9, 2008. Interest is charged at various rates selected by Mattel based on Eurodollar rates or bank reference rates. On December 15, 2006, in addition to the required payment of \$50.0 million, MAPS prepaid an incremental \$125.0 million of the MAPS term loan facility. The remaining \$50.0 million principal amount, consisting of \$14.3 million due on December 15, 2007 and \$35.7 million due on December 9, 2008, was prepaid on January 16, 2007. As of December 31, 2006, there was no balance outstanding on the MAPS revolving loan facility. In connection with the MAPS facility, Mattel executed a Continuing Guaranty Agreement pursuant to which Mattel unconditionally guaranteed the obligations of MAPS arising pursuant to the MAPS facility. The MAPS facility contains a variety of covenants, including financial covenants that require Mattel to maintain certain consolidated debt-to-capital and interest coverage ratios at the end of each fiscal quarter and fiscal year, using the formulae specified and ratios allowed in the MAPS facility to calculate the ratios. The formulae specified in the MAPS facility are the same as those required by the domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility. Mattel was in compliance with such covenants at December 31, 2006.

To finance seasonal working capital requirements of certain foreign subsidiaries, Mattel avails itself of individual short-term credit lines with a number of banks. As of December 31, 2006, foreign credit lines totaled approximately \$200 million, a portion of which are used to support letters of credit. Mattel expects to extend the majority of these credit lines throughout 2007.

In June 2006, Mattel issued \$100.0 million of unsecured floating rate senior notes (Floating Rate Senior Notes) due June 15, 2009 and \$200.0 million of unsecured 6.125% senior notes (6.125% Senior Notes) due June 15, 2011 (collectively Senior Notes). Interest on the Floating Rate Senior Notes is based on the three-month US Dollar London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 40 basis points with interest payable quarterly beginning September 15, 2006. Interest on the 6.125% Senior Notes is payable semi-annually beginning December 15, 2006. The 6.125% Senior Notes may be redeemed at any time at the option of Mattel at a redemption price equal to the greater of (i) the principal amount of the notes being redeemed plus accrued

Table of Contents

interest to the redemption date, or (ii) a make whole amount based on the yield of a comparable US Treasury security plus 20 basis points.

In June 2006, Mattel entered into two interest rate swap agreements on the \$100.0 million Floating Rate Senior Notes, each in a notional amount of \$50.0 million, for the purpose of hedging the variability of cash flows in the interest payments due to fluctuations of the LIBOR benchmark interest rate. These cash flow hedges are accounted for under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, whereby the hedges are reported in Mattel's consolidated balance sheets at fair value, with changes in the fair value of the hedges reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss. Under the terms of the agreements, Mattel receives quarterly interest payments from the swap counterparties based on the three-month LIBOR plus 40 basis points and makes semi-annual interest payments to the swap counterparties based on a fixed rate of 5.87125%. The three-month LIBOR rate used to determine interest payments under the interest rate swap agreements resets every three months, matching the variable interest on the Floating Rate Senior Notes. The agreements expire in June 2009, which corresponds with the maturity of the Floating Rate Senior Notes.

In October 2005, a major credit rating agency maintained its long-term rating for Mattel at BBB, but changed its long-term outlook to negative and reduced its short-term rating to A-3. In March 2006, this same credit rating agency reduced Mattel's long-term credit rating to BBB- and changed the outlook from negative to stable. Also in October 2005, another major credit rating agency maintained its long-term rating for Mattel at Baa2, but changed its long-term outlook to negative. In May 2006, another major credit rating agency reduced Mattel's long-term credit rating to BBB. Management does not expect these actions to have a significant impact on Mattel's ability to obtain financing or to have a significant negative impact on Mattel's liquidity or results of operations.

Mattel believes its cash on hand at the beginning of 2007, amounts available under its domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility, the MAPS facility, and its foreign credit lines will be adequate to meet its seasonal financing requirements in 2007. As of December 31, 2006, Mattel had available incremental borrowing resources totaling approximately \$1.3 billion under its domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility, the MAPS facility and foreign credit lines.

Mattel has a \$300.0 million domestic receivables sales facility that is a sub-facility of Mattel's domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility. The outstanding amount of receivables sold under the domestic receivables facility may not exceed \$300.0 million at any given time, and the amount available to be borrowed under the credit facility is reduced to the extent of any such outstanding receivables sold. Under the domestic receivables facility, certain trade receivables are sold to a group of banks, which currently include, among others, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, Citicorp USA, Inc. and Barclays Bank PLC, as co-syndication agents, and Societe Generale and BNP Paribas, as co-documentation agents. Pursuant to the domestic receivables facility, Mattel Sales Corp. and Fisher-Price, Inc. (which are wholly-owned subsidiaries of Mattel) can sell eligible trade receivables from Wal-Mart and Target to Mattel Factoring, Inc. (Mattel Factoring), a Delaware corporation and wholly-owned, consolidated subsidiary of Mattel. Mattel Factoring is a special purpose entity whose activities are limited to purchasing and selling receivables under this facility. Pursuant to the terms of the domestic receivables facility and simultaneous with each receivables purchase, Mattel Factoring sells those receivables to the bank group. Mattel records the transaction, reflecting cash proceeds and sale of accounts receivable in its consolidated balance sheet, at the time of the sale of the receivables to the bank group.

Sales of receivables pursuant to the domestic receivables sale facility occur periodically, generally quarterly. The receivables are sold by Mattel Sales Corp. and Fisher-Price, Inc. to Mattel Factoring for a purchase price equal to the nominal amount of the receivables sold. Mattel Factoring then sells such receivables to the bank group at a slight discount, and Mattel acts as a servicer for such receivables. Mattel has designated Mattel Sales Corp. and Fisher-Price, Inc. as sub-servicers, as permitted by the facility. Mattel's appointment as a servicer is subject to termination events that are customary for such transactions. The domestic receivables sales facility is also subject to conditions to funding, representations and warranties, undertakings and early termination events

Table of Contents

that are customary for transactions of this nature. Mattel retains a servicing interest in the receivables sold under this facility.

Until the Master Agreement was terminated on February 9, 2007, Mattel International Holdings B.V., a company incorporated in the Netherlands (the Depositor), Mattel France, a company incorporated in France (Mattel France), and Mattel GmbH, a company incorporated in Germany (Mattel Germany), each of which is a subsidiary of Mattel, and Societe Generale Bank Nederland N.V. (SGBN), were parties to a Master Agreement for the Transfer of Receivables that established a Euro 150 million European trade receivables facility (the European trade receivables facility), pursuant to which Mattel France and Mattel Germany sold trade receivables to SGBN. The European trade receivables facility was subject to conditions to funding, representations and warranties, undertakings and early termination events that were customary for transactions of this nature.

Sales of receivables pursuant to the European trade receivables facility occurred monthly, with the last such sale occurring on January 10, 2007. The receivables were sold by Mattel France and Mattel Germany directly to SGBN for a purchase price equal to the nominal amount of the receivables sold. As a result, no Mattel subsidiary was used as a special purpose entity in connection with these transactions. A portion of the purchase price was funded by SGBN and a portion by a deposit provided by the Depositor. The amount of the deposit was reset on each date on which new receivables were sold. During the 12-month period ending December 31, 2006, the deposit was, on average, equal to about 51% of the aggregate notional amount of sold receivables outstanding during such period.

As with the domestic receivables facility, each sale of accounts receivable was recorded in Mattel's consolidated balance sheet at the time of such sale. Under the European trade receivables facility, the outstanding amount of receivables sold could not exceed Euro 60 million from February 1 through July 31 of each year and could not exceed Euro 150 million at all other times.

Each of Mattel France and Mattel Germany was appointed to service the receivables sold by it to SGBN. No servicing fees were paid by SGBN for such services. The appointment of each of Mattel France and Mattel Germany to act as servicer was subject to termination events that were customary for transactions of this nature.

Mattel France and Mattel Germany were obligated to pay certain fees to the Depositor in consideration of the Depositor providing the deposit to SGBN. During the 12-month period ending December 31, 2006, fees paid by Mattel France and Mattel Germany to the Depositor were, on average, approximately 0.11% of the aggregate notional amount of sold receivables outstanding during such period.

In November 2006, the commitment termination date for the European trade receivables facility was extended until February 28, 2007. However, effective on February 9, 2007, the Depositor, Mattel France and Mattel Germany terminated the European trade receivable facility with SGBN. The Company determined the facility was no longer necessary based on projected international cash flows and seasonal financing needs.

The outstanding amounts of accounts receivable that have been sold under these facilities and other factoring arrangements, net of collections from customers, have been excluded from Mattel's consolidated balance sheets and are summarized as follows (in thousands):

December 31,

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Receivables sold pursuant to the:		
Domestic receivables facility	\$ 255,871	\$ 251,372
European receivables facility	103,886	95,946
Other factoring arrangements	52,505	95,763
	<u>\$ 412,262</u>	<u>\$ 443,081</u>

Table of Contents*Financial Position*

Mattel's cash and equivalents were \$1,205.6 million at December 31, 2006, an increase of \$207.8 million from 2005. The increase was primarily driven by cash flows generated from operating activities of \$875.9 million, proceeds from the issuance of \$300.0 million of Senior Notes in June 2006, and proceeds from the exercise of stock options of \$116.9 million, partially offset by dividend payments of \$249.5 million, share repurchases of \$205.9 million, acquisition of Radica in October 2006 for net cash paid of \$196.4 million (which includes the purchase price and acquisition costs of \$235.1 million, net of cash acquired of \$38.7 million), and repayments of \$100.0 million on the MAPS revolving loan facility, \$175.0 million on the MAPS term loan facility, and \$50.0 million on the Medium-term notes. Accounts receivable increased by \$183.2 million to \$943.8 million at December 31, 2006, reflecting increased fourth quarter sales and lower factored receivables. Management expects to collect the majority of these receivables in the first quarter of 2007. Inventory levels were relatively consistent year over year. Based on its analysis of point of sale information for its top US customers, management believes that inventory levels of Mattel products at retail were slightly lower at December 31, 2006 than 2005.

Current portion of long-term debt decreased \$35.7 million to \$64.3 million at December 31, 2006, compared to December 31, 2005 primarily due to the \$50.0 million payment on the MAPS term loan facility in December 2006, partially offset by the reclassification of \$14.3 million of the remaining \$50.0 million on the MAPS term loan facility from long-term debt. Accounts payable and accrued liabilities increased \$293.9 million from December 31, 2005 to \$1.4 billion at December 31, 2006, mainly due to changes in currency exchange rates, increased amounts due to third party manufacturers, and higher incentive compensation and royalty accruals.

A summary of Mattel's capitalization is as follows (in millions, except percentage information):

	December 31,			
	2006		2005	
Medium-term notes	\$ 300.0	9%	\$ 350.0	12%
Senior Notes	300.0	9		
MAPS term loan	35.7	1	175.0	6
Total noncurrent long-term debt	635.7	19	525.0	18
Other noncurrent liabilities	304.7	9	282.4	10
Stockholders' equity	2,433.0	72	2,101.7	72
	\$ 3,373.4	100%	\$ 2,909.1	100%

Total noncurrent long-term debt increased \$110.7 million at December 31, 2006 compared to December 31, 2005 due to the aforementioned proceeds from the issuance of \$300.0 million of Senior Notes, partially offset by the \$125.0 million prepayment of the MAPS term loan facility and the reclassification of \$14.3 million of the MAPS term loan facility and \$50.0 million of Medium-term notes to current portion of long-term debt. Mattel expects to satisfy its future long-term capital needs through the generation of corporate earnings and issuance of long-term debt instruments. Stockholders' equity of \$2.4 billion at December 31, 2006 increased \$331.2 million from December 31, 2005, primarily as a result of current year net income and the exercise of stock options partially offset by share repurchases and payment of the annual dividend on common stock in the fourth quarter of 2006.

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Mattel's debt-to-capital ratio, including short-term borrowings and the current portion of long-term debt decreased to 22.3% at December 31, 2006 from 26.1% at December 31, 2005 due to higher net income in 2006, higher stock option exercises, the repayment of all short-term borrowings outstanding at December 31, 2005 and the repayment of \$175.0 million on the MAPS term loan facility, partially offset by share repurchases, the annual dividend payment, and proceeds from the issuance of \$300.0 million of Senior Notes. Mattel's objective is to continue to maintain a year-end debt-to-capital ratio of approximately 25%.

Table of Contents*Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements*

Mattel has no off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on its financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that is material to shareholders.

Commitments

In the normal course of business, Mattel enters into debt agreements, contractual arrangements to obtain and protect Mattel's right to create and market certain products, and for future purchases of goods and services to ensure availability and timely delivery. These arrangements include commitments for future inventory purchases and royalty payments pursuant to licensing agreements. Certain of these commitments routinely contain provisions for guaranteed or minimum expenditures during the term of the contracts.

	<u>Total</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>Thereafter</u>
Long-term debt	\$ 700.0	\$ 64.3	\$ 85.7	\$ 150.0	\$ 50.0	\$ 250.0	\$ 100.0
Interest on long-term debt	163.7	44.3	39.4	31.0	24.8	15.3	8.9
Capital leases*	8.8	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	7.3
Operating leases	487.0	71.0	58.0	48.0	42.0	40.0	228.0
Purchases of inventory, other assets and services	372.9	372.9					
Licensing minimum guarantees	135.0	39.0	38.0	24.0	21.0	13.0	
Defined benefit and postretirement benefit plans	266.5	24.6	24.9	24.5	23.9	24.3	144.3
Total	\$ 2,133.9	\$ 616.4	\$ 246.3	\$ 277.8	\$ 162.0	\$ 342.9	\$ 488.5

* Represents total obligation, including imputed interest of \$6.5 million.

Litigation*Litigation Related to LeapFrog Enterprises, Inc.*

Fisher-Price, Inc. (Fisher-Price), a subsidiary of Mattel, was sued for patent infringement by LeapFrog Enterprises, Inc. in a lawsuit filed in October 2003 in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, and in September 2004, Mattel was joined to the lawsuit as a defendant. The lawsuit alleged that Fisher-Price's PowerTouch system infringed a LeapFrog patent relating to an electronic learning device for teaching phonics. A 10-day trial commenced on May 16, 2005, which resulted in a deadlocked jury. As an alternative to retrying the case, the parties agreed to submit the case for decision, based on the existing trial record, to the presiding judge. The plaintiff in this lawsuit asserted a total damages claim of up to approximately \$90 million, which was reduced to approximately \$58 million pursuant to rulings by the Court, and sought an injunction preventing the further sale of the PowerTouch system; the damages could possibly have been trebled if a willful infringement had been found. On March 30, 2006, the Court issued a Memorandum and Order holding that Mattel and Fisher-Price did not infringe LeapFrog's patent and furthermore holding that LeapFrog's patent claim, which was the basis of LeapFrog's lawsuit, was invalid due to obviousness. On May 1, 2006, LeapFrog filed an appeal of the Court's ruling with the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Both parties have filed their briefs with regard to the appeal, and the Court of Appeals has scheduled a hearing on March 7, 2007 for oral arguments. Mattel and its

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

subsidiary Fisher-Price continue to believe the action is without merit and intend to continue defending themselves vigorously.

Litigation Related to Carter Bryant and MGA Entertainment, Inc.

In April 2004, Mattel filed a lawsuit in Los Angeles County Superior Court against Carter Bryant (Bryant), a former Mattel design employee. The suit alleges that Bryant aided and assisted a Mattel competitor,

Table of Contents

MGA Entertainment, Inc. (MGA), during the time he was employed by Mattel, in violation of his contractual and other duties to Mattel. In September 2004, Bryant asserted counterclaims against Mattel, including counterclaims in which Bryant sought, as a putative class action representative, to invalidate Mattel's Confidential Information and Proprietary Inventions Agreements with its employees. In December 2004, MGA intervened as a party-defendant in Mattel's action against Bryant, asserting that its rights to the Bratz property are at stake in the litigation. Mattel's suit was removed to the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

Separately, in November 2004, Bryant filed an action against Mattel in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The action sought a judicial declaration that Bryant's purported conveyance of rights in Bratz was proper and that he did not misappropriate Mattel property in creating Bratz.

In April 2005, MGA filed suit against Mattel in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. MGA's action alleges claims of trade dress infringement, trade dress dilution, false designation of origin, unfair competition and unjust enrichment. The suit alleges, among other things, that certain products, themes, packaging and/or television commercials in various Mattel product lines have infringed upon products, themes, packaging and/or television commercials for various MGA product lines, including Bratz. The complaint also asserts that various alleged Mattel acts with respect to unidentified retailers, distributors and licensees have damaged MGA and that various alleged acts by industry organizations, purportedly induced by Mattel, have damaged MGA. MGA's suit alleges that MGA has been damaged in an amount believed to reach or exceed tens of millions of dollars and further seeks punitive damages, disgorgement of Mattel's profits and injunctive relief.

In June 2006, the three cases were consolidated in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. On July 17, 2006, the Court issued an order dismissing all claims that Bryant had asserted against Mattel, including Bryant's purported counterclaims to invalidate Mattel's Confidential Information and Proprietary Inventions Agreements with its employees, and Bryant's claims for declaratory relief. Although Bryant was given leave by the Court to file amended claims consistent with the Court's rulings, Bryant did not do so within the time period allowed. Mattel believes the claims against it are without merit and intends to continue to vigorously defend against them.

In November 2006, Mattel asked the Court for leave to file an Amended Complaint that included not only additional claims against Bryant, but also included claims for copyright infringement, RICO violations, misappropriation of trade secrets, intentional interference with contract, aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty and breach of duty of loyalty, and unfair competition, among others, against MGA Entertainment, Inc., Isaac Larian, certain MGA affiliates and an MGA employee. The basis for the Amended Complaint was the MGA defendants' infringement of Mattel's copyrights and their pattern of misappropriation of trade secrets and unfair competition in violation of the applicable statutes. On January 12, 2007, the Court allowed Mattel to file these claims as counterclaims in the consolidated cases, which Mattel did that same day. Neither Bryant nor the MGA defendants have responded to the counterclaims.

Environmental

Beaverton, Oregon

Mattel previously operated a manufacturing facility on a leased property in Beaverton, Oregon that was acquired as part of the March 1997 merger with Tyco Toys, Inc. In March 1998, samples of groundwater used by the facility for process water and drinking water disclosed elevated levels of certain chemicals, including trichloroethylene. Mattel immediately closed the water supply and self-reported the sample results to the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) and the Oregon Health Division. Mattel also implemented a community outreach program to employees, former employees and surrounding landowners.

Prior to 2003, Mattel recorded pre-tax charges totaling \$19.0 million related to this property. During 2004 and 2003, Mattel recognized pre-tax income of \$0.9 million and \$7.9 million, respectively, representing

Table of Contents

adjustments to the reserve accrued in 1999 associated with the closure of the Beaverton facility. Costs totaling approximately \$7.0 million have been incurred through December 31, 2006 for the Beaverton property, largely related to environmental remediation, attorney fees, consulting work and an employee medical screening program. In January 2003, Mattel entered into a settlement with the ODEQ resolving its cleanup liability in return for a contribution of \$0.4 million to the cleanup, which is being performed by the company that caused the contamination. The remaining liability of approximately \$3.2 million as of December 31, 2006 represents estimated amounts to be incurred for employee medical screening, project management, and other costs related to the Beaverton property.

General

Mattel is also involved in various other litigation and legal matters, including claims related to intellectual property, product liability and labor, as well as environmental matters, which Mattel is addressing or defending in the ordinary course of business. Management believes that any liability that may potentially result upon resolution of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on Mattel's business, financial condition or results of operations.

Effects of Inflation

Inflation rates in the US and in major foreign countries where Mattel does business have not had a significant impact on its results of operations or financial position during 2006, 2005 or 2004. The US Consumer Price Index increased 2.5% in 2006, 3.4% in 2005 and 3.3% in 2004. Mattel receives some protection from the impact of inflation from high turnover of inventories and its ability under certain circumstances at certain times to pass on higher prices to its customers.

Employee Savings Plan

Mattel sponsors a 401(k) savings plan, the Mattel Personal Investment Plan, for its domestic employees. Mattel makes employer contributions in cash and allows employees to allocate both their voluntary contributions and their employer automatic and matching contributions to a variety of investment funds, including a fund that is fully invested in Mattel common stock (the Mattel Stock Fund). Employees are not required to allocate any funds to the Mattel Stock Fund, which allows employees to limit or eliminate their exposure to market changes in Mattel's stock price. Furthermore, Mattel's plan limits an employee's maximum allocation to the Mattel Stock Fund a percentage of the employee's total account balance, which is currently 25%. Employees may generally reallocate their account balances on a daily basis. The only limitation on the frequency of reallocations applies to changes involving the Mattel Stock Fund by employees classified as insiders or restricted personnel under Mattel's insider trading policy. Pursuant to Mattel's insider trading policy, insiders and restricted personnel are limited to certain periods in which they may make allocations into or out of the Mattel Stock Fund.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Mattel makes certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. The accounting policies and estimates described below are those Mattel considers most critical in preparing its consolidated financial statements. Management has discussed the development and selection of these critical accounting policies and estimates with the Audit Committee of its Board of Directors, and the Audit Committee has reviewed the disclosures included below. The following is a review of the accounting policies and estimates that include significant judgments made by management using information available at the time the estimates

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

are made. As described below, however, these estimates could change materially if different information or assumptions were used instead.

Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements includes a summary of Mattel's significant accounting policies, estimates, and methods used in the preparation of Mattel's consolidated financial statements. In most

Table of Contents

instances, Mattel must use an accounting policy or method because it is the only policy or method permitted under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Accounts Receivable Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The allowance for doubtful accounts represents adjustments to customer trade accounts receivable for amounts deemed partially or entirely uncollectible. Management believes the accounting estimate related to the allowance for doubtful accounts is a critical accounting estimate because significant changes in the assumptions used to develop the estimate could materially affect key financial measures, including other selling and administrative expenses, net income and accounts receivable. In addition, the allowance requires a high degree of judgment since it involves estimation of the impact of both current and future economic factors in relation to its customers' ability to pay amounts owed to Mattel.

Mattel's products are sold throughout the world. Products within the Domestic segment are sold directly to retailers, including discount and free-standing toy stores, chain stores, department stores, other retail outlets and, to a limited extent, wholesalers. Products within the International segment are sold directly to retailers and wholesalers in Canada and most European, Asian and Latin American countries, and through agents and distributors in those countries where Mattel has no direct presence.

In recent years, the mass-market retail channel has experienced significant shifts in market share among competitors, causing some large retailers to experience liquidity problems. In addition, many of Mattel's customers have been negatively impacted by worsening economic conditions. From 2001 through early 2004, four large customers of Mattel filed for bankruptcy. Mattel's sales to customers are typically made on credit without collateral and are highly concentrated in the third and fourth quarters due to the cyclical nature of toy sales, which results in a substantial portion of trade receivables being collected during the latter half of the year and the first quarter of the following year. There is a risk that customers will not pay, or that payment may be delayed, because of bankruptcy or other factors beyond the control of Mattel. This could increase Mattel's exposure to losses from bad debts.

On a consolidated basis, a small number of customers account for a large share of Mattel's net sales and accounts receivable. For 2006, Mattel's three largest customers, Wal-Mart, Toys 'R Us and Target, in the aggregate, accounted for approximately 43% of net sales, and its ten largest customers, in the aggregate, accounted for approximately 52% of net sales. As of December 31, 2006, Mattel's three largest customers accounted for approximately 22% of net accounts receivable, and its ten largest customers accounted for approximately 27% of net accounts receivable. Within countries in the International segment, there is also a concentration of sales to certain large customers that do not operate in the US. The customers and the degree of concentration vary depending upon the region or nation. The concentration of Mattel's business with a relatively small number of customers may expose Mattel to a material adverse effect if one or more of Mattel's large customers were to experience financial difficulty.

Mattel has procedures to mitigate its risk of exposure to losses from bad debts. Revenue is recognized provided that: there are no uncertainties regarding customer acceptance; persuasive evidence of an agreement exists documenting the specific terms of the transaction; the sales price is fixed or determinable; and collectibility is reasonably assured. Credit limits and payment terms are established based on the underlying criteria that collectibility must be reasonably assured at the levels set for each customer. Extensive evaluations are performed on an ongoing basis throughout the fiscal year of each customer's financial performance, cash generation, financing availability and liquidity status. Customers are reviewed at least annually, with more frequent reviews being performed if necessary, based on the customer's financial condition and the level of credit being extended. For customers who are experiencing financial difficulties, management performs additional financial analyses prior to shipping to those customers on credit. Customer terms and credit limits are adjusted, if necessary, to reflect the results of the review. Mattel uses a variety of financial arrangements to ensure

Table of Contents

collectibility of accounts receivable of customers deemed to be a credit risk, including requiring letters of credit, factoring or purchasing various forms of credit insurance with unrelated third parties or requiring cash in advance of shipment.

The following table summarizes Mattel's allowance for doubtful accounts at December 31 (in millions, except percentage information):

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 19.4	\$ 24.6	\$ 32.8
As a percentage of total accounts receivable	2.0%	3.1%	4.1%

Mattel's allowance for doubtful accounts is based on management's assessment of the business environment, customers' financial condition, historical collection experience, accounts receivable aging and customer disputes. When circumstances arise or a significant event occurs that comes to the attention of management, such as a bankruptcy filing of a customer, the allowance is reviewed for adequacy and adjusted to reflect the change in the estimated amount to be received from the customer. Changes in the allowance for doubtful accounts between December 31, 2006 and 2005 reflect management's assessment of the factors noted above, including past due accounts, disputed balances with customers, and the financial condition of customers. The allowance for doubtful accounts is also affected by the time at which uncollectible accounts receivable balances are actually written off.

Mattel believes that its allowance for doubtful accounts at December 31, 2006 is adequate and proper. However, as described above, Mattel's business is greatly dependent on a small number of customers. Should one or more of Mattel's major customers experience liquidity problems, then the allowance for doubtful accounts of \$19.4 million, or 2.0% of accounts receivable, at December 31, 2006 may not prove to be sufficient to cover such losses. Any incremental bad debt charges would negatively affect the results of operations of one or more of Mattel's business segments.

Inventories Allowance for Obsolescence

Inventories, net of an allowance for excess quantities and obsolescence, are stated at the lower of cost or market. Inventory obsolescence reserves are recorded for damaged, obsolete, excess and slow-moving inventory. Management believes that the accounting estimate related to the allowance for obsolescence is a critical accounting estimate because changes in the assumptions used to develop the estimate could materially affect key financial measures, including gross profit, net income and inventories. In addition, the valuation requires a high degree of judgment since it involves estimation of the impact resulting from both current and expected future events. As more fully described below, valuation of Mattel's inventory could be impacted by changes in public and consumer preferences, demand for product, or changes in the buying patterns of both retailers and consumers and inventory management of customers.

In the toy industry, orders are subject to cancellation or change at any time prior to shipment since actual shipments of products ordered and order cancellation rates are affected by consumer acceptance of product lines, strength of competing products, marketing strategies of retailers, changes in buying patterns of both retailers and consumers and overall economic conditions. Unexpected changes in these factors could result in excess inventory in a particular product line, which would require management to make a valuation estimate on such inventory.

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Mattel bases its production schedules for toy products on customer orders and forecasts, taking into account historical trends, results of market research and current market information. Mattel ships products in accordance with delivery schedules specified by its customers, who usually request delivery within three months. In anticipation of retail sales in the traditional holiday season, Mattel significantly increases its production in advance of the peak selling period, resulting in a corresponding build-up of inventory levels in the first three quarters of its fiscal year. These seasonal purchasing patterns and requisite production lead times cause risk to

Table of Contents

Mattel’s business associated with the underproduction of popular toys and the overproduction of toys that do not match consumer demand. Retailers are also attempting to manage their inventories more tightly, requiring Mattel to ship products closer to the time the retailers expect to sell the products to consumers. These factors increase inventory valuation risk since Mattel may not be able to meet demand for certain products at peak demand times, or Mattel’s own inventory levels may be adversely impacted by the need to pre-build products before orders are placed.

Additionally, current conditions in the domestic and global economies are uncertain. As a result, it is difficult to estimate the level of growth or contraction for the economy as a whole. It is even more difficult to estimate growth or contraction in various parts of the economy, including the markets in which Mattel participates. Because all components of Mattel’s budgeting and forecasting are dependent upon estimates of growth or contraction in the markets it serves and demand for its products, the prevailing economic uncertainties render estimates of future demand for product more difficult. Such economic changes may affect the sales of Mattel’s products and its corresponding inventory levels, which would potentially impact the valuation of its inventory.

At the end of each quarter, management within each business segment, Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US, Fisher-Price Brands US, American Girl Brands and International, performs a detailed review of its inventory on an item by item basis and identifies which products are believed to be obsolete or slow-moving. Management assesses the need for, and the amount of, an obsolescence reserve based on the following factors:

Customer and/or consumer demand for the item;

Overall inventory positions of Mattel’s customers;

Strength of competing products in the market;

Quantity on hand of the item;

Standard retail price of the item;

Mattel’s cost for the item; and

Length of time the item has been in inventory.

The time frame between when an estimate is made and the time of disposal depends on the above factors and may vary significantly. Generally, slow-moving inventory is liquidated during the next annual selling cycle.

The following table summarizes Mattel’s obsolescence reserve at December 31 (in millions, except percentage information):

<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
-------------	-------------	-------------

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Allowance for obsolescence	\$	43.3	\$	60.5	\$	65.2
As a percentage of total inventory		10.1%		13.8%		13.5%

The decrease in the allowance for obsolescence from 2005 to 2006 was mainly due to 2006 efforts to liquidate excess inventory. The decrease from 2004 to 2005 in the allowance for obsolescence was mainly due to the utilization of reserves created for specifically-identified inventory in 2004. Management believes that its allowance for obsolescence at December 31, 2006 is adequate and proper. However, the impact resulting from the aforementioned factors could cause actual results to vary. Any incremental obsolescence charges would negatively affect the results of operations of one or more of Mattel's business segments.

Valuation of Goodwill

Effective on January 1, 2002, Mattel adopted SFAS No. 142, which superseded Accounting Principles Board Opinion (APB) No. 17, *Intangible Assets*. This statement addresses the accounting and reporting of

Table of Contents

goodwill and other intangible assets subsequent to their acquisition. In accordance with the adoption of SFAS No. 142, Mattel ceased amortization of goodwill effective January 1, 2002.

Management believes that the accounting estimate related to the valuation of its goodwill is a critical accounting estimate because significant changes in the assumptions used to develop the estimate could materially affect key financial measures, including net income and noncurrent assets, specifically goodwill. The valuation of goodwill involves a high degree of judgment since the first step of the impairment test required by SFAS No. 142 consists of a comparison of the fair value of a reporting unit with its book value. Based on the assumptions underlying the valuation, impairment is determined by estimating the fair value of a reporting unit and comparing that value to the reporting unit's book value. If the fair value is more than the book value of the reporting unit, an impairment loss is not recognized. If an impairment exists, the fair value of the reporting unit is allocated to all of its assets and liabilities excluding goodwill, with the excess amount representing the fair value of goodwill. An impairment loss is measured as the amount by which the book value of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds the estimated fair value of that goodwill.

SFAS No. 142 requires that goodwill be allocated to various reporting units, which are either at the operating segment level or one reporting level below the operating segment, for purposes of evaluating whether goodwill is impaired. Mattel's reporting units for are: Mattel Girls Brands US, Mattel Boys Brands US (including newly acquired Radica), Fisher-Price Brands US, American Girl Brands and International. Goodwill is allocated to Mattel's reporting units based on an allocation of brand-specific goodwill to the reporting units selling those brands. For each of the reporting units, the fair value of the reporting unit exceeded its carrying amount. As of September 30, 2006, Mattel performed the annual impairment test required by SFAS No. 142 and determined that its goodwill was not impaired. There were no events or circumstances that indicated the impairment test should be performed again at December 31, 2006.

Mattel utilizes the fair value of the cash flows that the business can be expected to generate in the future (Income Approach) to test for impairment. The Income Approach valuation method requires Mattel to make projections of revenue, operating costs and working capital investment for the reporting unit over a multi-year period. Additionally, management must make an estimate of its weighted average cost of capital to be used as a discount rate. Changes in these projections or estimates could result in a reporting unit either passing or failing the first step in the SFAS No. 142 impairment model, which could significantly change the amount of any impairment ultimately recorded.

Sales Adjustments

Mattel routinely enters into arrangements with its customers to provide sales incentives, support customer promotions, and provide allowances for returns and defective merchandise. Such programs are based primarily on customer purchases, customer performance of specified promotional activities, and other specified factors such as sales to consumers. Accruals for these programs are recorded as sales adjustments that reduce gross revenue in the period the related revenue is recognized. Sales adjustments for such programs totaled \$507.9 million, \$444.5 million, and \$443.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

These programs primarily involve fixed amounts or percentages of sales to customers. Accruals for such programs are calculated based on an assessment of customers' purchases and performance under the programs and any other specified factors. While the majority of sales adjustment amounts are readily determinable at period end and do not require estimates, certain of the sales adjustments require management to make estimates. In making these estimates, management considers all available information, including the overall business environment, historical trends and information from customers. Management believes that the accruals recorded for customer programs at December 31, 2006 are adequate and proper.

Benefit Plan Assumptions

As discussed in Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements, Mattel and certain of its subsidiaries have retirement and other postretirement benefit plans covering substantially all employees of these companies. Mattel

Table of Contents

accounts for its defined benefit pension plans in accordance with SFAS No. 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans*, SFAS No. 87, *Employers' Accounting for Pensions*, and its other postretirement benefit plans in accordance with SFAS No. 106, *Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions*. See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Actuarial valuations are used in determining amounts recognized in financial statements for retirement and other postretirement benefit plans. These valuations incorporate the following significant assumptions:

Weighted average discount rate to be used to measure future plan obligations and interest cost component of plan income or expense;

Rate of future compensation increases (for defined benefit pension plans);

Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets (for funded plans); and

Health care cost trend rates (for other postretirement benefit plans).

Management believes that these assumptions are critical accounting estimates because significant changes in these assumptions would ultimately impact Mattel's results of operations and financial position. Management believes that the assumptions utilized to record its obligations under its plans are reasonable based on the plans' experience and advice received from its outside actuaries. Mattel reviews its benefit plan assumptions annually and modifies its assumptions based on current rates and trends as appropriate. The effects of such changes in assumptions are amortized as part of plan income or expense in future periods in accordance with SFAS Nos. 87 and 106.

At the end of each fiscal year, Mattel determines the weighted average discount rate used to calculate the projected benefit obligation. The discount rate is an estimate of the current interest rate at which the benefit plan liabilities could be effectively settled at the end of the year. The discount rate also impacts the interest cost component of plan income or expense. At December 31, 2006, Mattel determined the discount rate for its domestic benefit plans to be 5.7% as compared to 5.4% and 5.7% for the years ended 2005 and 2004, respectively. In estimating this rate, Mattel reviews rates of return on high quality, corporate bond indices, which approximate the timing and amount of benefit payments. Assuming all other benefit plan assumptions remain constant, the increase in the discount rate from 5.4% to 5.7% will result in a decrease in benefit plan expense during 2007 of approximately \$1.2 million.

The rate of future compensation increases used by Mattel for the benefit obligation of its domestic defined benefit pension plans averaged 4.0% for 2006 and 4.4 % for 2005 and 2004, based on plan demographics. The rate of future compensation increases used by Mattel for the net periodic pension cost of its domestic defined benefit pension plans averaged 4.4 % for 2006, 2005 and 2004, based on plan demographics. These assumptions are reviewed annually based on historical salary increases for participants in the defined benefit pension plans. This assumption impacts the service and interest cost components of plan income or expense.

The long-term rate of return on plan assets is based on management's expectation of earnings on the assets that secure Mattel's funded defined benefit pension plans, taking into account the mix of invested assets, the arithmetic average of past returns, economic and stock market conditions and future expectations and the long-term nature of the projected benefit obligation to which these investments relate. The long-term rate of return is used to calculate the expected return on plan assets that is used in calculating pension income or expense. The difference between this expected return and the actual return on plan assets is deferred. The net deferral of past asset gains or losses affects the calculated

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

value of plan assets and, ultimately, future pension income or expense. Mattel's long-term rate of return for its domestic defined benefit pension plans was 8.0% in 2006, 2005 and 2004. Assuming all other benefit plan assumptions remain constant, a one percentage point decrease in the expected return on plan assets would result in an increase in benefit plan expense of approximately \$2.5 million.

The health care cost trend rates used by Mattel for its other postretirement benefit plans reflect management's best estimate of expected claim costs over the next ten years. These trend rates impact the service

Table of Contents

and interest cost components of plan expense. Rates ranging from 9.0% in 2006 to 5.0% in 2010, with rates assumed to stabilize in 2010 and thereafter, were used in determining plan expense for 2006. These rates are reviewed annually and are estimated based on historical costs for participants in the other postretirement benefit plans as well as estimates based on current economic conditions. As of December 31, 2006, Mattel adjusted the health care cost trend rates for its other postretirement benefits plans to range from 9.0% in 2006 reducing to 5.0% in 2011, with rates assumed to stabilize in 2011 and thereafter. Assuming all other postretirement benefit plan assumptions remain constant, a one percentage point increase in the assumed health care cost trend rates would increase benefit plan expense during 2007 by approximately \$0.6 million.

A one percentage point increase/(decrease) in the assumed health care cost trend rate for each future year would impact the postretirement benefit obligation as of December 31, 2006 by approximately \$4.7 million and \$(4.1) million, respectively, while a one percentage point increase/(decrease) would impact the service and interest cost recognized for 2006 by approximately \$0.3 million and \$(0.2) million, respectively.

Share-Based Payments

Prior to January 1, 2006, Mattel accounted for its employee stock compensation plans based on the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and related interpretations. Under APB Opinion No. 25, compensation expense is only recognized in the statements of operations for employee stock options with exercise prices below the measurement date market price of the company's stock (see Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements). The amount of additional compensation expense that would have resulted if Mattel had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, was included as a proforma disclosure in the financial statement footnotes.

Effective January 1, 2006, Mattel adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) using the modified-prospective transition method. Accordingly, results for prior periods have not been restated and compensation cost in 2006 includes the portion of share-based payment awards attributable to employee service during the period for (i) grants made prior to January 1, 2006, but not previously included in the proforma expense disclosures in Mattel's financial statements, based on the measurement date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, and (ii) grants made subsequent to January 1, 2006 based on the measurement date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R).

Beginning January 1, 2006 and in connection with the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), Mattel recognizes the cost of all new employee share-based payment awards on a straight-line attribution basis over the requisite employee service period, net of estimated forfeitures; whereas, prior to January 1, 2006, Mattel used the graded vesting attribution method prescribed by Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 28, *Accounting for Stock Appreciation Rights and Other Variable Stock Option or Award Plans*. In accounting for the income tax benefits associated with employee exercises of share-based payments, Mattel has elected to adopt the alternative simplified method as permitted by FSP No. FAS 123(R)-3, *Accounting for the Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards*. FSP No. FAS 123(R)-3 permits the adoption of either the transition guidance described in SFAS No. 123(R) or the alternative simplified method specified in FSP No. FAS 123(R)-3 to account for the income tax effects of share-based payment awards. In determining when additional tax benefits associated with share-based payment exercises are recognized, Mattel follows the ordering of deductions of the tax law, which allows deductions for share-based payment exercises to be utilized before previously existing net operating loss carryforwards. In computing dilutive shares under the treasury stock method, Mattel does not reduce the tax benefit amount within the calculation for the amount of deferred tax assets that would have been recognized had Mattel previously expensed all share-based payment awards.

Determining the fair value of share-based awards at the measurement date requires judgment, including estimating the expected term that stock options will be outstanding prior to exercise, the associated volatility and the expected dividends. The fair value of options granted has been

estimated using the Black-Scholes valuation

Table of Contents

model. The expected life of the options used in this calculation is the period of time the options are expected to be outstanding and has been determined based on historical exercise experience. Expected stock price volatility is based on the historical volatility of Mattel's stock for a period approximating the expected life, the expected dividend yield is based on Mattel's most recent actual annual dividend payout, and the risk-free interest rate is based on the implied yield available on US Treasury zero-coupon issues. Judgment is also required in estimating the amount of share-based awards that will be forfeited prior to vesting.

The following weighted average assumptions were used in determining fair value for options granted:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Expected life (in years)	5.1	4.9	6.3
Risk-free interest rate	4.9%	4.1%	4.0%
Volatility factor	28.0%	27.6%	38.5%
Dividend yield	2.8%	2.4%	1.2%
Weighted average fair value per granted option	\$ 4.51	\$ 4.56	\$ 6.67

The following table summarizes the sensitivity of valuation assumptions within the calculation of stock option fair values, if all other assumptions are held constant:

	<u>Increase in Assumption Factor</u>	<u>Increase (Decrease) in Fair Value (in % pts)</u>
Expected life (in years)	1 year	7.1
Risk-free interest rate	1%	7.1
Volatility factor	1%	2.9
Dividend yield	1%	(11.5)

	<u>(Decrease) in Assumption Factor</u>	<u>Increase (Decrease) in Fair Value (in % pts)</u>
Expected life (in years)	(1) year	(8.4)
Risk-free interest rate	(1)%	(6.9)
Volatility factor	(1)%	(2.7)
Dividend yield	(1)%	12.6

On December 28, 2005, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of Mattel approved the acceleration of vesting of options for approximately 12.4 million shares with an exercise price of \$16.09 or greater granted to employees other than Mattel's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Vesting was not accelerated for stock options held by any member of the Board of Directors. The primary purpose of the accelerated vesting was to avoid recognizing future compensation expense associated with the accelerated stock options under SFAS No. 123(R). Additionally, for financial reporting purposes, there may be other potential tax benefits derived from accelerating the vesting of stock options. Due to the acceleration of vesting in 2005, future share-based payment grants are expected to impact Mattel's consolidated statements of operations more significantly than in the current period. For those future grants, different valuation assumptions, or actual

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

forfeitures differing significantly from estimated forfeitures, could have a material effect on Mattel's future financial statements. Additionally, Mattel is evaluating the types of share-based payment awards it grants to employees and different types of share-based payment awards may be granted in the future.

In addition to a \$19.3 million pre-tax charge during 2006 for prior period unintentional stock option accounting errors (see Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements), Mattel recognized compensation expense of \$4.6 million for stock options during the

Table of Contents

year ended December 31, 2006 as a component of other selling and administrative expenses. Prior to January 1, 2006, no compensation expense was recognized in the consolidated statements of operations for stock options. Compensation expense recognized related to grants of restricted stock and restricted stock units (RSUs) to certain employees and non-employee Board members was \$3.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2006. As of December 31, 2006, total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested share-based payments totaled \$29.3 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.5 years.

Income Taxes

Mattel's income tax provision and related income tax assets and liabilities are based on actual and expected future income, US and foreign statutory income tax rates, and tax regulations and planning opportunities in the various jurisdictions in which Mattel operates. Management believes that the accounting estimate related to income taxes is a critical accounting estimate because significant judgment is required in interpreting tax regulations in the US and in foreign jurisdictions, evaluating Mattel's worldwide uncertain tax positions, and assessing the likelihood of realizing certain tax benefits. Actual results could differ materially from those judgments, and changes in judgments could materially affect Mattel's consolidated financial statements.

Certain income and expense items are accounted for differently for financial reporting and income tax purposes. As a result, the tax expense reflected in Mattel's consolidated statements of operations is different than that reported in Mattel's tax returns filed with the taxing authorities. Some of these differences are permanent, such as expenses that are not deductible in Mattel's tax return, and some differences reverse over time, such as depreciation expense. These timing differences create deferred income tax assets and liabilities. Deferred income tax assets generally represent items that can be used as a tax deduction or credit in Mattel's tax returns in future years for which Mattel has already recorded a tax benefit in its consolidated statement of operations. Mattel records a valuation allowance to reduce its deferred income tax assets if, based on the weight of available evidence, management believes expected future taxable income is not likely to support the use of a deduction or credit in that jurisdiction. Management evaluates the level of Mattel's valuation allowances at least annually, and more frequently if actual operating results differ significantly from forecasted results.

Mattel accrues a tax reserve for additional income taxes and interest, which may become payable in future years as a result of audit adjustments by tax authorities. Mattel applies a consistent methodology to estimate any additional tax liabilities based on management's assessment of all relevant information, including prior audit experiences. The tax reserves are periodically reviewed and are adjusted as circumstances warrant and as events occur that affect Mattel's liability for additional taxes, such as the lapsing of applicable statutes of limitations, conclusion of tax audits, identification of new issues, and any administrative guidance or administrative developments.

As of December 31, 2006, Mattel's tax reserves totaled approximately \$127 million, and related to potential income tax audit adjustments by US federal, state and foreign tax authorities primarily in areas such as transfer pricing and challenges to Mattel's global intercompany pricing structure; challenges to tax credits claimed by local tax authorities; income tax nexus and apportionment issues for which local tax authorities may challenge Mattel's nexus activities and apportionment among entities and jurisdictions; and issues identified in current income tax audits. Mattel will adopt FIN 48 as of January 1, 2007.

In 2006, Mattel recognized total income tax benefits of \$63.0 million related to settlements of ongoing audits with foreign tax authorities and a settlement with a state tax authority for tax years 1997 and 1998. Of the \$63.0 million of total income tax benefit recorded, \$57.5 million represents refunds of previously paid taxes, recorded as an expense in previous years. These refunds were recorded as a reduction to income tax expense in the period the refunds were received by Mattel. The remainder of the tax benefit recorded in 2006 is a net reduction to total income tax reserves resulting from tax settlements with foreign and state tax authorities.

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

In 2005, Mattel reduced its total income tax reserves by \$38.6 million as a result of tax benefits primarily relating to audit settlements reached with certain tax authorities in both the US and abroad. The ultimate

Table of Contents

settlement of any particular issue with the applicable taxing authority could have a material impact on Mattel's provision for income taxes, net income and deferred income tax assets and liabilities.

In the normal course of business, Mattel is regularly audited by federal, state and foreign tax authorities. Mattel is currently under audit by the IRS for the 2004 and 2005 tax years. The ultimate settlement of any particular issue with the applicable taxing authority could have a material impact on Mattel's consolidated financial statements.

New Accounting Pronouncements

SFAS No. 156

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets*, which requires that all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. The standard permits an entity to choose either the amortization method or the fair value measurement method for each class of separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. Under the amortization method, an entity amortizes servicing assets or servicing liabilities in proportion to and over the period of estimated net servicing income or net servicing loss and assess servicing assets or servicing liabilities for impairment or increased obligation based on fair value at each reporting date. Under the fair value measurement method, an entity measures servicing assets or servicing liabilities at fair value at each reporting date and reports changes in fair value in earnings in the period in which the changes occur. SFAS No. 156 is effective as of the beginning of the first fiscal year beginning after September 15, 2006. Mattel does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 156 to have a material impact on its results of operations and financial position.

FIN 48

In July 2006, the FASB issued Final Interpretation No. (FIN) 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, an interpretation of SFAS No. 109. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for income taxes by prescribing the minimum recognition threshold an uncertain tax position is required to meet before tax benefits associated with such uncertain tax positions are recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. In addition, FIN 48 excludes income taxes from the scope of SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. Differences between the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets prior to the adoption of FIN 48 and the amounts reported after adoption are accounted for as a cumulative-effect adjustment to the beginning balance of retained earnings upon adoption of FIN 48. FIN 48 also requires that amounts recognized in the balance sheet related to uncertain tax positions be classified as a current or noncurrent liability, based upon the timing of the ultimate payment to a taxing authority. Mattel currently records tax reserves related to uncertain tax positions as a current liability and upon adoption of FIN 48, will reclassify to noncurrent liabilities those uncertain tax positions for which a cash tax payment is not expected within the next twelve months. Mattel will adopt FIN 48 as of January 1, 2007 and does not believe that the adoption of FIN 48 will have a material impact on results of operations.

SFAS No. 157

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, which provides guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. The standard also responds to investors' requests for expanded information about the extent to which companies measure assets

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. SFAS No. 157 applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. The standard does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. Under SFAS No. 157, fair value refers to the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in the market in which the reporting entity transacts. SFAS No. 157 clarifies the principle that fair value should be based on the assumptions market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability

Table of Contents

and establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets and the lowest priority to unobservable data, for example, the reporting entity's own data. Fair value measurements would be separately disclosed by level within the fair value hierarchy. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Mattel does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 157 to have a material impact on its results of operations and financial position.

SFAS No. 159

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, which provides companies with an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. The objective of SFAS No. 159 is to reduce both complexity in accounting for financial instruments and the volatility in earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently. SFAS No. 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between companies that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 159 is effective for Mattel as of January 1, 2008. Mattel has not completed its evaluation of SFAS No. 159 but does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 159 to have a material effect on its operating results or financial position.

Non-GAAP Financial Measure

In this Annual Report on Form 10-K, Mattel includes a non-GAAP financial measure, gross sales, which it uses to analyze its operations and to monitor, assess and identify meaningful trends in its operating and financial performance. Net sales, as reported in the consolidated statements of operations, include the impact of sales adjustments, such as trade discounts and other allowances. Gross sales represent sales to customers, excluding the impact of sales adjustments. Consistent with its segment reporting, Mattel presents changes in gross sales as a metric for comparing its aggregate, business unit, brand and geographic results to highlight significant trends in Mattel's business. Changes in gross sales are discussed because, while Mattel records the detail of such sales adjustments in its financial accounting systems at the time of sale, such sales adjustments are generally not associated with individual products.

A reconciliation of gross sales to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure, net sales, is as follows (in thousands):

	For the Year		
	2006	2005	2004
Revenues			
Domestic:			
Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US	\$ 1,507,493	\$ 1,364,922	\$ 1,511,550
Fisher-Price Brands US	1,471,604	1,358,562	1,319,200
American Girl Brands	439,970	436,085	379,112
Total Domestic	3,419,067	3,159,569	3,209,862
International	2,738,967	2,463,984	2,336,236
Gross sales	6,158,034	5,623,553	5,546,098
Sales adjustments	(507,878)	(444,537)	(443,312)

Net sales	\$ 5,650,156	\$ 5,179,016	\$ 5,102,786
-----------	--------------	--------------	--------------

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

Currency exchange rate fluctuations may impact Mattel's results of operations and cash flows. Inventory purchase transactions denominated in the Euro, British pound sterling, Canadian dollar, Mexican peso, Hong

Table of Contents

Kong dollar and Indonesian rupiah were the primary transactions that caused currency transaction exposure for Mattel during 2006, 2005, and 2004. Mattel seeks to mitigate its exposure to market risk by monitoring its currency transaction exposure for the year and partially hedging such exposure using foreign currency forward exchange and option contracts primarily to hedge its purchase and sale of inventory, and other intercompany transactions denominated in foreign currencies. These contracts generally have maturity dates of up to 18 months. For those intercompany receivables and payables that are not hedged, the transaction gains or losses are recorded in the consolidated statement of operations in the period in which the exchange rate changes as part of operating income or other non-operating (income), net based on the nature of the underlying transaction. Transaction gains or losses on intercompany inventory transactions are recorded in the consolidated statement of operations in the period in which the inventory is sold to customers. In addition, Mattel manages its exposure to currency exchange rate fluctuations through the selection of currencies used for international borrowings. Mattel does not trade in financial instruments for speculative purposes.

Mattel's financial position is also impacted by currency exchange rate fluctuations on translation of its net investment in subsidiaries with non-US dollar functional currencies. Assets and liabilities of subsidiaries with non-US dollar functional currencies are translated into US dollars at fiscal year-end exchange rates. Income, expense and cash flow items are translated at weighted average exchange rates prevailing during the fiscal year. The resulting currency translation adjustments are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss within stockholders' equity. Mattel's primary currency translation exposures during 2006 were related to its net investment in entities having functional currencies denominated in the Euro, British pound sterling, Indonesian rupiah and Mexican peso.

Mattel's foreign currency forward exchange contracts that were used to hedge firm foreign currency commitments as of December 31, 2006 are shown in the following table. All contracts are against the US dollar and are maintained by reporting units with a US dollar functional currency, with the exception of the Indonesian rupiah and Malaysian ringgit contracts that are maintained by entities with either a rupiah or ringgit functional currency.

	Buy			Sell		
	Contract Amount	Weighted Average Contract Rate	Fair Value	Contract Amount	Weighted Average Contract Rate	Fair Value
(In thousands of US dollars)						
Euro*	\$ 368,678	1.31	\$ 371,170	\$ 342,441	1.30	\$ 349,284
Canadian dollar*				37,350	0.89	36,163
British pound sterling*				58,134	1.95	58,273
Japanese yen	3,261	117.69	3,229	6,923	119.09	6,924
Australian dollar*	17,208	0.78	17,353	27,480	0.76	28,199
Swiss franc	17,421	1.22	17,449			
Mexican peso	58,997	10.89	59,581	12,614	11.00	12,641
Indonesian rupiah	45,245	9,438	46,799			
New Zealand dollar*	6,307	0.70	6,345	1,889	0.67	1,963
Czech koruna				771	21.20	787
Taiwan dollar				9,305	32.74	9,369
Singapore dollar				1,690	1.54	1,701
Hungarian forint				699	194.67	717
Polish zloty				4,707	2.91	4,730
New Turkish lira				1,581	1.43	1,604
Malaysian ringgit	8,294	3.62	8,567			
	<u>\$ 525,411</u>		<u>\$ 530,493</u>	<u>\$ 505,584</u>		<u>\$ 512,355</u>

* *The weighted average contract rate for these contracts is quoted in US dollar per local currency.*

Table of Contents

For the purchase of foreign currencies, fair value reflects the amount, based on dealer quotes, that Mattel would pay at maturity for contracts involving the same currencies and maturity dates, if they had been entered into as of December 31, 2006. For the sale of foreign currencies, fair value reflects the amount, based on dealer quotes, that Mattel would receive at maturity for contracts involving the same currencies and maturity dates, if they had been entered into as of December 31, 2006. The differences between the fair value and the contract amounts are expected to be fully offset by currency transaction gains and losses on the underlying hedged transactions.

In addition to the contracts involving the US dollar detailed in the above table, Mattel also had contracts to sell British pound sterling for the purchase of Euro. As of December 31, 2006, these contracts had a contract amount of \$57.6 million and a fair value of \$58.9 million.

Had Mattel not entered into hedges to limit the effect of currency exchange rate fluctuations on its results of operations and cash flows, its income before income taxes would have decreased by approximately \$1 million in 2006 and \$3 million in 2005, and increased by approximately \$38 million in 2004.

Interest Rate Risk

In December 2005, Mattel, MAPS, Bank of America, N.A., and other financial institutions executed the MAPS facility which provides for (i) a term loan facility of \$225.0 million consisting of a term loan advanced to MAPS in the original principal amount of \$225.0 million, with \$50.0 million of such amount to be repaid on each of December 15, 2006 and December 15, 2007, and the remaining aggregate principal amount of \$125.0 million to be repaid on December 9, 2008, and (ii) a revolving loan facility consisting of revolving loans advanced to MAPS in the maximum aggregate principal amount at any time outstanding of \$100.0 million, with a maturity date of December 9, 2008. Interest is charged at varying rates selected by Mattel based on Eurodollar rates or bank reference rates. On December 15, 2006, in addition to the required payment of \$50.0 million, MAPS prepaid an incremental \$125.0 million of the MAPS term loan facility. The remaining \$50.0 million principal amount, consisting of \$14.3 million due on December 15, 2007 and \$35.7 million due on December 9, 2008, was prepaid on January 16, 2007. As of December 31, 2006, there was no balance outstanding on the MAPS revolving loan facility.

In June 2006, Mattel issued \$100.0 million of unsecured Floating Rate Senior Notes due June 15, 2009. Interest on the Floating Rate Senior Notes is based on the three-month US Dollar LIBOR plus 40 basis points with interest payable quarterly beginning September 15, 2006.

In June 2006, Mattel entered into two interest rate swap agreements on the \$100.0 million Floating Rate Senior Notes, each in a notional amount of \$50.0 million, for the purpose of hedging the variability of cash flows in the interest payments due to fluctuations of the LIBOR benchmark interest rate. These cash flow hedges are accounted for under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, whereby the hedges are reported in Mattel's consolidated balance sheets at fair value, with changes in the fair value of the hedges reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss. Under the terms of the agreements, Mattel receives quarterly interest payments from the swap counterparties based on the three-month LIBOR plus 40 basis points and makes semi-annual interest payments to the swap counterparties based on a fixed rate of 5.87125%. The three-month LIBOR rate used to determine interest payments under the interest rate swap agreements resets every three months, matching the variable interest on the Floating Rate Senior Notes. The agreements expire in June 2009, which corresponds with the maturity of the Floating Rate Senior Notes.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

An assumed 50 basis point movement in interest rates on Mattel's variable rate borrowings would have had an immaterial impact on its results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)). Mattel's management, including Robert A. Eckert, its principal executive officer, and Kevin M. Farr, its principal financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of Mattel's internal control over financial reporting using the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that Mattel's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2006. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited management's assessment of the effectiveness of Mattel's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 as stated in their report which is included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Mattel, Inc.

We have completed integrated audits of Mattel, Inc.'s consolidated financial statements and of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Our opinions, based on our audits, are presented below.

Consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(1) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Mattel, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2) presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit of financial statements includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, during the year ended December 31, 2006, Mattel, Inc. changed the manner in which it accounts for stock compensation costs and the manner which it accounts for defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans.

Internal control over financial reporting

Also, in our opinion, management's assessment, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 8, that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on those criteria. Furthermore, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the COSO. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on management's assessment and on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We conducted our audit of internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. An audit of internal control over financial reporting includes obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM (Cont d.)

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Los Angeles, California

February 26, 2007

Table of Contents**MATTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	December 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
	(In thousands, except share data)	
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and equivalents	\$ 1,205,552	\$ 997,734
Accounts receivable, less allowances of \$19.4 million and \$24.6 million in 2006 and 2005, respectively	943,813	760,643
Inventories	383,149	376,897
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	317,624	277,226
Total current assets	2,850,138	2,412,500
Property, plant and equipment, net	536,749	547,104
Goodwill	845,324	718,069
Other noncurrent assets	723,673	694,640
Total Assets	\$ 4,955,884	\$ 4,372,313
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current Liabilities		
Short-term borrowings	\$	\$ 117,994
Current portion of long-term debt	64,286	100,000
Accounts payable	375,882	265,936
Accrued liabilities	980,435	796,473
Income taxes payable	161,917	182,782
Total current liabilities	1,582,520	1,463,185
Noncurrent Liabilities		
Long-term debt	635,714	525,000
Other	304,676	282,395
Total noncurrent liabilities	940,390	807,395
Commitments and Contingencies (See Note 9)		
Stockholders' Equity		
Common stock \$1.00 par value, 1.0 billion shares authorized; 441.4 million shares issued	441,369	441,369
Additional paid-in capital	1,613,307	1,589,281
Treasury stock at cost; 57.1 million shares and 52.8 million shares in 2006 and 2005, respectively	(996,981)	(935,711)
Retained earnings	1,652,140	1,309,822
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(276,861)	(303,028)

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Total stockholders' equity	2,432,974	2,101,733
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 4,955,884	\$ 4,372,313

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**MATTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	For the Year		
	2006	2005	2004
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)		
Net Sales	\$ 5,650,156	\$ 5,179,016	\$ 5,102,786
Cost of sales	3,038,363	2,806,148	2,692,061
Gross Profit	2,611,793	2,372,868	2,410,725
Advertising and promotion expenses	650,975	629,115	642,967
Other selling and administrative expenses	1,232,000	1,079,224	1,036,941
Operating Income	728,818	664,529	730,817
Interest expense	79,853	76,490	77,764
Interest (income)	(30,468)	(34,211)	(19,683)
Other non-operating (income), net	(4,323)	(29,799)	(23,518)
Income Before Income Taxes	683,756	652,049	696,254
Provision for income taxes	90,829	235,030	123,531
Net Income	\$ 592,927	\$ 417,019	\$ 572,723
Net Income Per Common Share Basic	\$ 1.55	\$ 1.02	\$ 1.37
Weighted average number of common shares	382,921	407,402	419,235
Net Income Per Common Share Diluted	\$ 1.53	\$ 1.01	\$ 1.35
Weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares	386,422	411,039	423,093
Dividends Declared Per Common Share	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.45

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**MATTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	For the Year		
	2006	2005	2004
(In thousands)			
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$ 592,927	\$ 417,019	\$ 572,723
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows from operating activities:			
Gain on sale of investments		(32,831)	(22,135)
Net (gain) on sale of other property, plant and equipment	(10,984)	(107)	(2,717)
Depreciation	166,328	170,772	176,729
Amortization	5,936	4,219	5,749
Deferred income taxes	(10,129)	106,350	(18,560)
Share-based compensation	27,546	197	235
Increase (decrease) from changes in assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable, net	(103,882)	(12,680)	(170,203)
Inventories	38,071	32,872	(18,578)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(9,954)	1,524	(17,452)
Accounts payable, accrued liabilities and income taxes payable	180,361	(223,335)	58,364
Other, net	(274)	2,677	6,217
Net cash flows from operating activities	875,946	466,677	570,372
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:			
Purchases of tools, dies and molds	(69,335)	(74,690)	(89,858)
Purchases of other property, plant and equipment	(64,106)	(62,441)	(53,732)
Proceeds from sale of investments		48,361	32,900
Payments for businesses acquired	(197,710)	(1,495)	(12,955)
Proceeds from sale of other property, plant and equipment	16,367	8,074	15,588
Net cash flows used for investing activities	(314,784)	(82,191)	(108,057)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:			
Proceeds from short-term borrowings	213,301	208,085	125,295
Payments of short-term borrowings	(332,285)	(119,395)	(119,025)
Proceeds from long-term debt	298,356	225,000	
Payments of long-term debt	(225,000)	(189,130)	(52,308)
Purchase of treasury stock	(205,947)	(487,127)	(255,130)
Payment of dividends on common stock	(249,542)	(200,464)	(186,864)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	116,901	28,426	21,683
Other, net	10,096	(2,712)	
Net cash flows used for financing activities	(374,120)	(537,317)	(466,349)
Effect of Currency Exchange Rate Changes on Cash	20,776	(6,270)	8,188
Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Equivalents	207,818	(159,101)	4,154

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Cash and Equivalents at Beginning of Year	997,734	1,156,835	1,152,681
Cash and Equivalents at End of Year	<u>\$ 1,205,552</u>	<u>\$ 997,734</u>	<u>\$ 1,156,835</u>
Supplemental Cash Flow Information:			
Cash paid during the year for:			
Income taxes, gross	\$ 218,518	\$ 220,317	\$ 105,321
Interest	79,508	80,501	77,111
Non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Liability for businesses acquired	\$	\$	\$ 1,024
Liability for equipment acquired	4,537	7,341	6,899
Asset write-downs	950	878	5,095

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**MATTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY**

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Treasury Stock	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Stockholders Equity
(In thousands)						
Balance, December 31, 2003	\$ 441,212	\$ 1,599,278	\$ (244,691)	\$ 707,429	\$ (287,007)	\$ 2,216,221
Comprehensive income:						
Net income				572,723		572,723
Change in net unrealized gain on securities					(16,359)	(16,359)
Change in net unrealized (loss) on derivative instruments					(1,412)	(1,412)
Minimum pension liability adjustments					(1,430)	(1,430)
Currency translation adjustments					36,380	36,380
Comprehensive income				572,723	17,179	589,902
Purchase of treasury stock			(255,130)			(255,130)
Issuance of treasury stock for stock option exercises		(6,409)	26,472			20,063
Issuance of common stock for exercise of stock options	157	1,839				1,996
Tax impact of stock option exercises		(376)				(376)
Dividends declared				(186,864)		(186,864)
Balance, December 31, 2004	441,369	1,594,332	(473,349)	1,093,288	(269,828)	2,385,812
Comprehensive income:						
Net income				417,019		417,019
Change in net unrealized gain on securities					(16,442)	(16,442)
Change in net unrealized (loss) on derivative instruments					29,252	29,252
Minimum pension liability adjustments					(7,243)	(7,243)
Currency translation adjustments					(38,767)	(38,767)
Comprehensive income				417,019	(33,200)	383,819
Purchase of treasury stock			(500,375)			(500,375)
Issuance of treasury stock for stock option exercises		(9,448)	37,874			28,426
Other issuance of treasury stock		2	48			50
Restricted stock and restricted stock units		106	91			197
Tax impact of stock option exercises		4,268				4,268
Dividends declared		21		(200,485)		(200,464)
Balance, December 31, 2005	441,369	1,589,281	(935,711)	1,309,822	(303,028)	2,101,733
Comprehensive income:						
Net income				592,927		592,927
Change in net unrealized (loss) on derivative instruments					(10,787)	(10,787)
Minimum pension liability adjustments					21,465	21,465
Currency translation adjustments					69,632	69,632
Comprehensive income				592,927	80,310	673,237
Purchase of treasury stock			(192,749)			(192,749)
Issuance of treasury stock for stock option exercises		(12,049)	131,423			119,374
Other issuance of treasury stock		(5)	55			50

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Share-based compensation	27,546				27,546
Tax impact of stock option exercises	8,522				8,522
Dividend equivalents for restricted stock units	12	1	(1,067)		(1,054)
Dividends declared			(249,542)		(249,542)
Adjustment for initial adoption of SFAS No.158				(54,143)	(54,143)
Balance, December 31, 2006	\$ 441,369	\$ 1,613,307	\$ (996,981)	\$ 1,652,140	\$ (276,861)
					\$ 2,432,974

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

MATTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation and Basis of Preparation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Mattel, Inc. and its subsidiaries (Mattel). All majority-owned subsidiaries are consolidated and included in Mattel s consolidated financial statements. Investments in joint ventures and other companies are accounted for by the equity method or cost basis, depending upon the level of the investment and/or Mattel s ability to exercise influence over operating and financial policies. Mattel does not have any minority stock ownership interests in which it has a controlling financial interest that would require consolidation. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation, and certain amounts in the consolidated financial statements for prior years have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Use of Estimates

Preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could ultimately differ from those estimates.

Foreign Currency Translation Exposure

Mattel s reporting currency is the US dollar. The translation of its net investment in subsidiaries with non-US dollar functional currencies subjects Mattel to currency exchange rate fluctuations in its results of operations and financial position. Assets and liabilities of subsidiaries with non-US dollar functional currencies are translated into US dollars at fiscal year-end exchange rates. Income, expense, and cash flow items are translated at weighted average exchange rates prevailing during the fiscal year. The resulting currency translation adjustments are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss within stockholders equity. Mattel s primary currency translation exposures are related to its net investment in entities having functional currencies denominated in the Euro, British pound sterling, Indonesian rupiah and Mexican peso.

Cash and Equivalents

Cash and equivalents includes short-term investments, which are highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less when purchased. Such investments are stated at cost, which approximates market value.

Marketable Securities

Marketable securities are comprised of investments in publicly traded securities, classified as available-for-sale, and are recorded at market value with unrealized gains or losses, net of tax, reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss within stockholders' equity until realized. Gains or losses on the sale of marketable securities are calculated using the specific identification method. Mattel held no marketable securities at December 31, 2006 and 2005.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Credit is granted to customers on an unsecured basis. Credit limits and payment terms are established based on extensive evaluations made on an ongoing basis throughout the fiscal year of the financial performance, cash generation, financing availability and liquidity status of each customer. Customers are reviewed at least annually,

Table of Contents

with more frequent reviews being performed if necessary, based on the customer's financial condition and the level of credit being extended. For customers who are experiencing financial difficulties, management performs additional financial analyses before shipping to those customers on credit. Mattel uses a variety of financial arrangements to ensure collectibility of accounts receivable of customers deemed to be a credit risk, including requiring letters of credit, factoring or purchasing various forms of credit insurance with unrelated third parties or requiring cash in advance of shipment.

Mattel records an allowance for doubtful accounts based on management's assessment of the business environment, customers' financial condition, historical collection experience, accounts receivable aging and customer disputes. When circumstances arise or a significant event occurs that comes to the attention of management, such as a bankruptcy filing of a customer, the allowance is reviewed for adequacy and adjusted to reflect the change in the estimated amount to be received from the customer.

Inventories

Inventories, net of an allowance for excess quantities and obsolescence, are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined by the first-in, first-out method.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives of 10 to 40 years for buildings, 3 to 10 years for machinery and equipment, and 10 to 20 years, not to exceed the lease term, for leasehold improvements. Tools, dies and molds are amortized using the straight-line method over 3 years. Estimated useful lives are periodically reviewed and, where appropriate, changes are made prospectively. The carrying value of fixed assets is reviewed when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. Any impairment identified is assessed by evaluating the operating performance and future undiscounted cash flows of the underlying assets. When property is sold or retired, the cost of the property and the related accumulated depreciation are removed from the consolidated balance sheet and any resulting gain or loss is included in the results of operations.

Goodwill

Goodwill is allocated to various reporting units, which are either at the operating segment level or one reporting level below the operating segment for purposes of evaluating whether goodwill is impaired. Mattel's reporting units are: Mattel Girls Brands US, Mattel Boys Brands US, Fisher-Price Brands US, American Girl Brands and International. Mattel tests goodwill for impairment annually in the third quarter, or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable, which is based on the fair value of the cash flows that the reporting units can be expected to generate in the future.

Foreign Currency Transaction Exposure

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Currency exchange rate fluctuations may impact Mattel's results of operations and cash flows. Mattel's currency transaction exposures include gains and losses realized on unhedged inventory purchases and unhedged receivables and payables balances that are denominated in a currency other than the applicable functional currency. Gains and losses on unhedged inventory purchases and other transactions associated with operating activities are recorded in the components of operating income in the consolidated statement of operations. Gains and losses on unhedged intercompany loans and advances are recorded as a component of other non-operating (income), net in the consolidated statements of operations in the period in which the currency exchange rate changes. Inventory purchase transactions denominated in the Euro, British pound sterling, Canadian dollar, Mexican peso, Hong Kong dollar and Indonesian rupiah are the primary transactions that cause foreign currency transaction exposure for Mattel.

Table of Contents

Derivative Instruments

Mattel uses foreign currency forward exchange and option contracts as cash flow hedges primarily to hedge its purchases and sales of inventory denominated in foreign currencies. Additionally, Mattel uses fair value hedges to hedge intercompany loans and advances denominated in foreign currencies.

At the inception of the contracts, Mattel designates its derivatives as either cash flow or fair value hedges and documents the relationship of the hedge to the underlying transaction, for cash flow hedges, or the recognized asset or liability, for fair value hedges. Hedge effectiveness is assessed at inception and throughout the life of the hedge to ensure the hedge qualifies for hedge accounting treatment. Changes in fair value associated with hedge ineffectiveness, if any, are recorded in the results of operations.

Changes in fair value of Mattel's cash flow derivatives are deferred and recorded as part of accumulated other comprehensive loss in stockholders' equity until the underlying transaction is settled. Upon settlement, any gain or loss resulting from the derivative is recorded in the results of operations. Transaction gains or losses on hedged intercompany inventory transactions are recorded in the consolidated statements of operations in the period in which the inventory is sold to customers. In the event that an anticipated transaction is no longer likely to occur, Mattel recognizes the change in fair value of the derivative in its results of operations in the period the determination is made.

Mattel uses fair value derivatives to hedge most intercompany loans and advances denominated in foreign currencies. Due to the short-term nature of the contracts involved, Mattel does not use hedge accounting for these contracts. Changes in fair value of these derivatives were not significant to the results of operations during any year.

Revenue Recognition and Sales Adjustments

Revenue is recognized upon shipment or upon receipt of products by the customer, depending on terms, provided that: there are no uncertainties regarding customer acceptance; persuasive evidence of an agreement exists documenting the specific terms of the transaction; the sales price is fixed or determinable; and collectibility is reasonably assured. Management assesses the business environment, the customer's financial condition, historical collection experience, accounts receivable aging and customer disputes to determine whether collectibility is reasonably assured. If collectibility is not considered reasonably assured at the time of sale, Mattel does not recognize revenue until collection occurs. Mattel routinely enters into arrangements with its customers to provide sales incentives, support customer promotions, and provide allowances for returns and defective merchandise. Such programs are based primarily on customer purchases, customer performance of specified promotional activities, and other specified factors such as sales to consumers. Accruals for these programs are recorded as sales adjustments that reduce gross revenue in the period the related revenue is recognized.

Advertising and Promotion Costs

Costs of media advertising are expensed the first time the advertising takes place, except for direct-response advertising, which is capitalized and amortized over its expected period of future benefits. Direct-response advertising consists primarily of catalog production and mailing costs that are generally amortized within three months from the date the catalogs are mailed.

Product Recalls

Mattel establishes a reserve for product recalls on a product-specific basis during the period in which the circumstances giving rise to the recall become known. Facts underlying the recall, including where the product affected by the recall is located (e.g., with consumers, in customers inventory, or in Mattel's inventory), whether

Table of Contents

the product is repairable, cost estimates for communicating the recall to consumers and customers, and cost estimates for parts and labor if the recalled product is deemed to be repairable, are considered when establishing a product recall reserve. When facts or circumstances become known that would indicate that the recall reserve is either not sufficient to cover or exceeds the estimated product recall expenses, the reserve is adjusted, as appropriate.

Research and Development Costs

Research and development costs are charged to the results of operations as incurred.

Employee Benefit Plans

Mattel and certain of its subsidiaries have retirement and other postretirement benefit plans covering substantially all employees of these companies. Mattel accounts for its defined benefit pension plans in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 158, *Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans*, SFAS No. 87, *Employers Accounting for Pensions*, and its other postretirement benefit plans in accordance with SFAS No. 106, *Employers Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions*. Actuarial valuations are used in determining amounts recognized in financial statements for retirement and other postretirement benefit plans (see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

Share-Based Payments

Prior to January 1, 2006, Mattel accounted for its employee stock compensation plans based on the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and related interpretations. Under APB Opinion No. 25, compensation expense is only recognized in the statements of operations for employee stock options with exercise prices below the measurement date market price of the company s stock (see Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements). The amount of additional compensation expense that would have resulted if Mattel had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, was included as a proforma disclosure in the financial statement footnotes.

Effective January 1, 2006, Mattel adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) using the modified-prospective transition method. Accordingly, results for prior periods have not been restated and compensation cost in 2006 includes the portion of share-based payment awards attributable to employee service during the period for (i) grants made prior to January 1, 2006, but not previously included in the proforma expense disclosures in Mattel s financial statements, based on the measurement date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, and (ii) grants made subsequent to January 1, 2006 based on the measurement date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R).

Beginning January 1, 2006 and in connection with the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), Mattel recognizes the cost of all new employee share-based payment awards on a straight-line attribution basis over the requisite employee service period, net of estimated forfeitures; whereas, prior to January 1, 2006, Mattel used the graded vesting attribution method prescribed by Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 28, *Accounting for Stock Appreciation Rights and Other Variable Stock Option or Award Plans*. In accounting for the income tax benefits associated with employee exercises of share-based payments, Mattel has elected to adopt the alternative simplified method as permitted by FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. FAS 123(R)-3, *Accounting for the Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards*. FSP No. FAS

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

123(R)-3 permits the adoption of either the transition guidance described in SFAS No. 123(R) or the alternative simplified method specified in FSP No. FAS 123(R)-3 to account for the income tax effects of share-based payment awards. In determining when additional tax benefits associated with share-based payment exercises are recognized, Mattel follows the ordering of deductions of the tax law, which allows deductions for share-based payment exercises to be utilized

Table of Contents

before previously existing net operating loss carryforwards. In computing dilutive shares under the treasury stock method, Mattel does not reduce the tax benefit amount within the calculation for the amount of deferred tax assets that would have been recognized had Mattel previously expensed all share-based payment awards.

Determining the fair value of share-based awards at the measurement date requires judgment, including estimating the expected term that stock options will be outstanding prior to exercise, the associated volatility and the expected dividends. The fair value of options granted has been estimated using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The expected life of the options used in this calculation is the period of time the options are expected to be outstanding and has been determined based on historical exercise experience. Expected stock price volatility is based on the historical volatility of Mattel's stock for a period approximating the expected life, the expected dividend yield is based on Mattel's most recent actual annual dividend payout, and the risk-free interest rate is based on the implied yield available on US Treasury zero-coupon issues. Judgment is also required in estimating the amount of share-based awards that will be forfeited prior to vesting.

The following weighted average assumptions were used in determining fair value for options granted:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Expected life (in years)	5.1	4.9	6.3
Risk-free interest rate	4.9%	4.1%	4.0%
Volatility factor	28.0%	27.6%	38.5%
Dividend yield	2.8%	2.4%	1.2%
Weighted average fair value per granted option	\$ 4.51	\$ 4.56	\$ 6.67

On December 28, 2005, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of Mattel approved the acceleration of vesting of all outstanding unvested stock options with an exercise price of \$16.09 or greater granted to employees other than Robert A. Eckert, Mattel's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, under the Amended and Restated Mattel 1996 Stock Option Plan, the Amended and Restated Mattel 1999 Stock Option Plan and the Mattel, Inc. 2005 Equity Compensation Plan (collectively, the "Stock Option Plans"). Options held by non-employee members of the Board of Directors were also excluded from the acceleration. The effective date of the acceleration was December 28, 2005; on such date, the closing price of Mattel's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange was \$15.95 per share. The options as to which vesting was accelerated have exercise prices per share ranging from \$16.09 to \$22.52, and a weighted average exercise price per share of \$18.34. As a result of the acceleration, options for approximately 12.4 million shares became immediately exercisable. Typically, stock options granted to employees under the Stock Option Plans vest over a three-year period. The number of shares subject to, and exercise prices of, the options as to which vesting was accelerated remain unchanged.

With regard to the accelerated options held by Mattel's executive officers who report directly to Mr. Eckert, Mattel imposed a restriction consisting of a holding period on shares underlying the portion of such options as to which vesting was accelerated. Pursuant to this restriction, each such executive officer is required to refrain from selling any shares acquired upon exercise of any portion of such options that was accelerated, until the earlier of (a) the date on which the portion of the option being exercised by such executive officer would have become vested pursuant to the option's original vesting schedule, or (b) the date on which such executive officer ceases to be an executive officer of Mattel. The primary purpose of the accelerated vesting was to avoid recognizing future compensation expense associated with the accelerated stock options under the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*, by Mattel in 2006. Additionally, for financial reporting purposes, there were other potential tax benefits derived from accelerating the vesting of outstanding stock options prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R).

In addition to a \$19.3 million pre-tax charge during 2006 for prior period unintentional stock option accounting errors (see Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements), Mattel recognized compensation expense of \$4.6 million for stock options during the year ended

December 31, 2006 as a component of other

Table of Contents

selling and administrative expenses. Prior to January 1, 2006, no compensation expense was recognized in the consolidated statements of operations for stock options.

Compensation expense recognized related to grants of restricted stock and restricted stock units (RSUs) to certain employees and non-employee Board members was \$3.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. As of December 31, 2006, total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested share-based payments totaled \$29.3 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.5 years.

Income Taxes

Certain income and expense items are accounted for differently for financial reporting and income tax purposes. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities, applying enacted statutory income tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse.

Net Income Per Common Share

Basic net income per common share is computed by dividing reported net income by the weighted average number of common shares, outstanding during each period.

Diluted net income per common share is computed by dividing reported net income by the weighted average number of common shares and other common equivalent shares outstanding during each period. The calculation of common equivalent shares assumes the exercise of dilutive stock options and warrants, net of assumed treasury share repurchases at average market prices, as applicable. Nonqualified stock options totaling 22.0 million, 27.6 million and 25.3 million were excluded from the calculation of diluted net income per common share for 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively, because they were anti-dilutive.

A reconciliation of weighted average shares for the years ended December 31 is as follows (in thousands):

	2006	2005	2004
Common shares	382,921	407,402	419,235
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock options and restricted stock	3,501	3,637	3,858
Common and common equivalent shares	386,422	411,039	423,093

New Accounting Pronouncements

SFAS No. 156

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets*, which requires that all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. The standard permits an entity to choose either the amortization method or the fair value measurement method for each class of separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. Under the amortization method, an entity amortizes servicing assets or servicing liabilities in proportion to and over the period of estimated net servicing income or net servicing loss and assess servicing assets or servicing liabilities for impairment or increased obligation based on fair value at each reporting date. Under the fair value measurement method, an entity measures servicing assets or servicing liabilities at fair value at each reporting date and reports changes in fair value in earnings in the period in which the changes occur. SFAS No. 156 is effective as of the beginning of the first fiscal year beginning after September 15, 2006. Mattel does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 156 to have a material impact on its results of operations and financial position.

Table of Contents*FIN 48*

In July 2006, the FASB issued Final Interpretation No. (FIN) 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, an interpretation of SFAS No. 109. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for income taxes by prescribing the minimum recognition threshold an uncertain tax position is required to meet before tax benefits associated with such uncertain tax positions are recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. In addition, FIN 48 excludes income taxes from the scope of SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. Differences between the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets prior to the adoption of FIN 48 and the amounts reported after adoption are accounted for as a cumulative-effect adjustment to the beginning balance of retained earnings upon adoption of FIN 48. FIN 48 also requires that amounts recognized in the balance sheet related to uncertain tax positions be classified as a current or noncurrent liability, based upon the timing of the ultimate payment to a taxing authority. Mattel currently records tax reserves related to uncertain tax positions as a current liability and upon adoption of FIN 48, will reclassify to noncurrent liabilities those uncertain tax positions for which a cash tax payment is not expected within the next twelve months. Mattel will adopt FIN 48 as of January 1, 2007 and does not believe that the adoption of FIN 48 will have a material impact on its results of operations

SFAS No. 157

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, which provides guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. The standard also responds to investors' requests for expanded information about the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. SFAS No. 157 applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. The standard does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. Under SFAS No. 157, fair value refers to the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in the market in which the reporting entity transacts. SFAS No. 157 clarifies the principle that fair value should be based on the assumptions market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability and establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets and the lowest priority to unobservable data, for example, the reporting entity's own data. Fair value measurements would be separately disclosed by level within the fair value hierarchy. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Mattel does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 157 to have a material impact on its results of operations and financial position.

SFAS No. 159

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*, which provides companies with an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. The objective of SFAS No. 159 is to reduce both complexity in accounting for financial instruments and the volatility in earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently. SFAS No. 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between companies that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 159 is effective for Mattel as of January 1, 2008. Mattel has not completed its evaluation of SFAS No. 159 but does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 159 to have a material effect on its operating results or financial position.

Table of Contents**Note 2 Goodwill**

The change in the carrying amount of goodwill by reporting unit for the years ended 2006 and 2005 is shown below. Brand-specific goodwill held by foreign subsidiaries is allocated to the US reporting units selling those brands, thereby causing foreign currency translation impact to the US reporting units.

	Mattel		Mattel			Total
	Girls Brands	Boys Brands	Fisher-Price	American Girl		
	US Division	US Division	Brands US	Brands	International	
	(In thousands)					
Balance at December 31, 2004	\$ 37,566	\$ 54,411	\$ 217,152	\$ 207,571	\$ 218,980	\$ 735,680
Impact of currency exchange rate changes	(3,563)	(277)	(697)		(13,074)	(17,611)
Balance at December 31, 2005	34,003	54,134	216,455	207,571	205,906	718,069
Radica acquisition (see Note 12)		71,726			35,858	107,584
Impact of currency exchange rate changes	4,275	333	836		14,227	19,671
Balance at December 31, 2006	\$ 38,278	\$ 126,193	\$ 217,291	\$ 207,571	\$ 255,991	\$ 845,324

In 2006, Mattel performed the annual impairment test required by SFAS No. 142 and determined that its goodwill was not impaired.

Note 3 Income Taxes

Consolidated pre-tax income consists of the following (in thousands):

	For the Year		
	2006	2005	2004
US operations	\$ 33,985	\$ 27,990	\$ 89,934
Foreign operations	649,771	624,059	606,320
	\$ 683,756	\$ 652,049	\$ 696,254

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

The provision for current and deferred income taxes consists of the following (in thousands):

	For the Year		
	2006	2005	2004
Current			
Federal	\$ 25,483	\$ 20,844	\$ (8,456)
State	(5,294)	5,989	7,950
Foreign	80,769	101,847	142,597
	100,958	128,680	142,091
Deferred			
Federal	4,635	82,816	16,387
State	(2,185)	11,410	2,550
Foreign	(12,579)	12,124	(37,497)
	(10,129)	106,350	(18,560)
Provision for income taxes	\$ 90,829	\$ 235,030	\$ 123,531

Table of Contents

Deferred income taxes are provided principally for tax credit carryforwards, net operating loss carryforwards, research and development expenses, depreciation, employee compensation-related expenses and certain other reserves that are recognized in different years for financial reporting and income tax reporting purposes. Mattel's deferred income tax assets (liabilities) are comprised of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
Tax credit carryforwards	\$ 228,085	\$ 219,218
Research and development expenses	184,508	172,852
Loss carryforwards	133,752	177,316
Allowances and reserves	95,189	89,161
Intangible assets	29,714	54,780
Deferred compensation	68,097	45,310
Other	64,007	46,058
	<u>803,352</u>	<u>804,695</u>
Gross deferred income tax assets		
Intangible assets	(36,382)	(35,884)
Other	(15,820)	(33,056)
	<u>(52,202)</u>	<u>(68,940)</u>
Gross deferred income tax liabilities		
Deferred income tax asset valuation allowances	(185,459)	(201,809)
	<u>(185,459)</u>	<u>(201,809)</u>
Net deferred income tax assets	<u>\$ 565,691</u>	<u>\$ 533,946</u>

Net deferred income tax assets are reported in the consolidated balance sheets as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$ 72,406	\$ 58,390
Other noncurrent assets	503,168	495,914
Current liabilities	(1,148)	(1,396)
Other noncurrent liabilities	(8,735)	(18,962)
	<u>\$ 565,691</u>	<u>\$ 533,946</u>

As of December 31, 2006, Mattel has federal and foreign loss carryforwards totaling \$359.1 million and tax credit carryforwards of \$228.1 million. Utilization of these loss and tax credit carryforwards is subject to annual limitations. Mattel's loss and tax credit carryforwards expire in the following periods (in millions):

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

	<u>Loss</u> <u>Carryforwards</u>	<u>Tax Credit</u> <u>Carryforwards</u>
2007 2011	\$ 56.6	\$ 129.9
Thereafter	106.7	88.7
No expiration date	195.8	9.5
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total	<u>\$ 359.1</u>	<u>\$ 228.1</u>

Management considered all available evidence and determined that a valuation allowance of \$185.5 million was required as of December 31, 2006 for those loss and tax credit carryforwards that are not expected to provide future tax benefits. Of the total valuation allowance of \$185.5 million, \$4.9 million relates to items whereby the realization, if any, would result in a credit to goodwill rather than income tax expense. Changes in the valuation allowance for 2006 include increases in the valuation allowance for 2006 foreign losses without benefits, including losses of Radica Games Limited (Radica), acquired by Mattel in 2006, and a decrease in the

Table of Contents

valuation allowance for loss carryforwards which expired and were written off. Management believes it is more likely than not that Mattel will generate sufficient taxable income in the appropriate carryforward periods to realize the benefit of the remaining net deferred income tax assets of \$565.7 million.

Differences between the provision for income taxes at the US federal statutory income tax rate and the provision in the consolidated statements of operations are as follows (in thousands):

	For the Year		
	2006	2005	2004
Provision at US federal statutory rates	\$ 239,315	\$ 228,217	\$ 243,689
Increase (decrease) resulting from:			
Foreign earnings taxed at different rates, including withholding taxes	(104,846)	(70,942)	(68,175)
Foreign losses without income tax benefit	15,738	10,110	7,730
State and local taxes, net of US federal benefit	1,314	583	6,825
Repatriation of foreign earnings under the Jobs Act, including state taxes		107,010	
Adjustments to previously accrued taxes	(63,016)	(38,572)	(65,100)
Other	2,324	(1,376)	(1,438)
Provision for income taxes	\$ 90,829	\$ 235,030	\$ 123,531

On May 17, 2006, the Tax Increase Prevention and Reconciliation Act (the Tax Act) was signed into law. As a result of the certain provisions of the Tax Act, Mattel's 2006 income tax provision was positively impacted by approximately \$37 million. These provisions of the Tax Act impacting Mattel's 2006 income tax provision are set to expire on December 31, 2008. On October 22, 2004, the American Jobs Creation Act (the Jobs Act) was signed into law. Among its various provisions, the Jobs Act creates a temporary incentive for US corporations to repatriate accumulated income earned abroad by providing an 85% dividends received deduction for certain dividends from controlled foreign corporations. Mattel repatriated \$2.4 billion in foreign earnings during 2005, resulting in an increase to Mattel's 2005 income tax provision of \$107.0 million.

The cumulative amount of undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries that Mattel intends to permanently invest and upon which no deferred US income taxes have been provided is \$1.8 billion as of December 31, 2006. The additional US income tax on unremitted foreign earnings, if repatriated, would be offset in whole or in part by foreign tax credits. The extent of this offset would depend on many factors, including the method of distribution, and specific earnings distributed.

Mattel accrues a tax reserve for additional income taxes and interest, which may become payable in future years as a result of audit adjustments by tax authorities. Mattel applies a consistent methodology to estimate any additional tax liabilities based on management's assessment of all relevant information, including prior audit experiences. The tax reserves are periodically reviewed and are adjusted as circumstances warrant and as events occur that affect Mattel's liability for additional taxes, such as the lapsing of applicable statutes of limitations, conclusion of tax audits, identification of new issues, and any administrative guidance or administrative developments.

As of December 31, 2006, Mattel's tax reserves totaled approximately \$127 million, and related to potential income tax audit adjustments by US federal, state and foreign tax authorities primarily in areas such as transfer pricing and challenges to Mattel's global intercompany pricing

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

structure; challenges to tax credits claimed by local tax authorities; income tax nexus and apportionment issues for which local tax authorities may challenge Mattel's nexus activities and apportionment among entities and jurisdictions; and issues identified in current income tax audits. Mattel will adopt FIN 48 as of January 1, 2007.

In 2006, Mattel recognized total income tax benefits of \$63.0 million related to settlements with taxing authorities, including \$56.8 million as a result of settlements with foreign tax authorities and \$6.2 million due to

Table of Contents

a settlement with a state tax authority for tax years 1997 and 1998. Of the \$63.0 million of total income tax benefits recorded, \$57.5 million represents refunds of previously paid taxes, recorded as an expense in previous years. These refunds were recorded as a reduction to income tax expense in the period the refunds were received by Mattel. The remainder of the tax benefit recorded in 2006 is a net reduction to total income tax reserves resulting from tax settlements with foreign and state tax authorities.

In 2005, Mattel reduced its total income tax reserves by \$38.6 million as a result of tax settlements reached with various tax authorities and reassessments of tax exposures based on the status of current audits in various jurisdictions around the world. In 2004, Mattel reached a settlement with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) regarding the examination of Mattel s US federal income tax returns for the years 1998 through 2001. The settlement resulted in a net benefit of \$65.1 million from changes in tax estimates, and the benefit is reflected in the 2004 provision for income taxes in the consolidated statement of operations. During 2005, the IRS completed its examination of the Mattel, Inc. US federal income tax returns for 2002 and 2003.

In the normal course of business, Mattel is regularly audited by federal, state and foreign tax authorities. Mattel is currently under audit by the IRS for the 2004 and 2005 tax years. The ultimate settlement of any particular issue with the applicable taxing authority could have a material impact on Mattel s consolidated financial statements.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that tax benefits related to the exercise of nonqualified stock options and stock warrants be credited to additional paid-in-capital. The exercise of nonqualified stock options resulted in an increase in additional paid-in-capital for related income tax benefits totaling \$8.5 million in 2006, and an increase in additional paid-in-capital totaling \$4.3 million in 2005.

Note 4 Employee Benefit Plans

Mattel and certain of its subsidiaries have qualified and nonqualified retirement plans covering substantially all employees of these companies. These plans include defined benefit pension plans, defined contribution retirement plans, postretirement benefit plans, and deferred compensation and excess benefit plans. In addition, Mattel makes contributions to government-mandated retirement plans in countries outside the US where its employees work.

A summary of retirement plan expense is as follows (in millions):

	For the Year		
	2006	2005	2004
Defined benefit pension plans	\$ 21.7	\$ 22.2	\$ 15.2
Defined contribution retirement plans	29.7	29.6	27.8
Postretirement benefit plans	3.7	4.9	5.0
Deferred compensation and excess benefit plans	4.5	3.2	3.1
Government-mandated plans outside the US	0.6	0.3	0.9
	\$ 60.2	\$ 60.2	\$ 52.0



Defined Benefit Pension and Postretirement Benefit Plans

Mattel provides defined benefit pension plans for eligible domestic employees, which satisfy the requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA). Some of Mattel 's foreign subsidiaries have defined benefit pension plans covering substantially all of their eligible employees. Mattel funds these plans in accordance with the terms of the plans and local statutory requirements, which differ for each of the countries in which the subsidiaries are located. Mattel also has unfunded postretirement health insurance plans covering certain eligible domestic employees.

Table of Contents*Adoption of SFAS No. 158*

In the fourth quarter of 2006, Mattel adopted SFAS No. 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans*, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R). SFAS No. 158 requires an entity to (i) recognize in its statement of financial position an asset for a defined benefit postretirement plan's overfunded status or a liability for a plan's underfunded status, (ii) measure a defined benefit postretirement plan's assets and obligations that determine its funded status as of the end of the employer's fiscal year, and (iii) recognize changes in the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan in comprehensive income in the year in which the changes occur. SFAS No. 158 does not change the amount of net periodic benefit cost included in net income or address the various measurement requirements associated with postretirement benefit plan accounting. The requirement to measure plan assets and benefit obligations as of the date of the fiscal year-end statement of financial position is consistent with Mattel's current accounting treatment. Retrospective application of SFAS No. 158 is not permitted and accordingly, results for the prior periods have not been restated.

The impact of adopting SFAS No. 158 on the December 31, 2006 consolidated balance sheet is as follows (in thousands):

	Before Adoption of		After Adoption of SFAS No. 158
	SFAS No. 158	Adjustments	
Other noncurrent assets (a)	\$ 697,029	\$ 26,644	\$ 723,673
Total assets	4,929,240	26,644	4,955,884
Other noncurrent liabilities (b)	223,889	80,787	304,676
Total noncurrent liabilities	859,603	80,787	940,390
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss), net of tax (c)	(222,718)	(54,143)	(276,861)
Total stockholders' equity	2,487,117	(54,143)	2,432,974

(a) Intangible assets and deferred tax assets totaled \$0 and \$60,353, respectively, after the adoption of SFAS No. 158.

(b) Noncurrent accrued benefit liability totaled \$176,584 after the adoption of SFAS No. 158.

(c) Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) for pension benefits totaled \$(101,393) after the adoption of SFAS No. 158.

A summary of the components of Mattel's net benefit cost for the years ended December 31 is as follows (in thousands):

	Defined Benefit Pension Plans			Postretirement Benefit Plans		
	2006	2005	2004	2006	2005	2004
Service cost	\$ 12,110	\$ 10,016	\$ 7,998	\$ 106	\$ 119	\$ 121
Interest cost	24,234	23,117	21,584	2,690	3,245	3,404
Expected return on plan assets	(25,804)	(23,889)	(22,146)			
Amortization of prior service cost	1,933	1,920	(599)			
Recognized actuarial loss	9,205	10,993	7,877	918	1,507	1,498
Net periodic benefit cost	21,678	22,157	14,714	3,714	4,871	5,023
Special termination benefits			519			
Net benefit cost	\$ 21,678	\$ 22,157	\$ 15,233	\$ 3,714	\$ 4,871	\$ 5,023



Table of Contents

Net periodic benefit cost for Mattel's domestic defined benefit pension and postretirement benefit plans was calculated on January 1 of each year using the following assumptions:

	For the Year		
	2006	2005	2004
<i>Defined benefit pension plans:</i>			
Discount rate	5.4%	5.7%	6.0%
Weighted average rate of future compensation increases	4.4%	4.4%	4.4%
Long-term rate of return on plan assets	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%
<i>Postretirement benefit plans:</i>			
Discount rate	5.4%	5.7%	6.0%
Annual increase in Medicare Part B premium	6.0%	6.0%	4.0%
Health care cost trend rate:			
Pre-65	9.0%	10.0%	8.0%
Post-65	10.0%	11.0%	9.0%
Ultimate cost trend rate (pre- and post-65)	5.0%	5.0%	5.5%
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate cost trend rate:			
Pre-65	2010	2010	2007
Post-65	2011	2011	2007

Discount rates, weighted average rates of future compensation increases, and long-term rates of return on plan assets for Mattel's foreign defined benefit pension plans differ from the assumptions used for Mattel's domestic defined benefit pension plans due to differences in local economic conditions in which the non-US plans are based. The rates shown in the preceding table are indicative of the weighted average rates of all Mattel's defined benefit pension plans given the relative insignificance of the foreign plans to the consolidated total.

The estimated net loss and prior service cost for the defined benefit pension plans that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic benefit cost over the next fiscal year is \$9.9 million. The estimated net loss for the other defined benefit postretirement plans that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net period benefit cost over the next fiscal year is \$0.8 million.

Table of Contents

A summary of the changes in benefit obligation and plans assets is as follows (in thousands):

	Defined Benefit		Postretirement	
	Pension Plans		Benefit Plans	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Change in Benefit Obligation				
Benefit obligation, beginning of year	\$ 466,453	\$ 418,410	\$ 59,938	\$ 58,564
Service cost	12,110	10,016	106	119
Interest cost	24,234	23,117	2,690	3,245
Participant contributions	56	54		
Plan amendments	423	16,820		
Impact of currency exchange rate changes	12,093	(10,551)		
Actuarial loss (gain)	(28,743)	29,158	(9,625)	1,732
Benefits paid	(24,240)	(20,571)	(3,063)	(3,722)
	<u>462,386</u>	<u>466,453</u>	<u>50,046</u>	<u>59,938</u>
Benefit obligation, end of year	\$ 462,386	\$ 466,453	\$ 50,046	\$ 59,938
Change in Plan Assets				
Plan assets at fair value, beginning of year	\$ 296,564	\$ 287,667	\$	\$
Actual return on plan assets	31,979	21,176		
Employer contributions	14,212	13,266	3,063	3,722
Participant contributions	56	54		
Impact of currency exchange rate changes	7,192	(5,028)		
Benefits paid	(24,240)	(20,571)	(3,063)	(3,722)
	<u>325,763</u>	<u>296,564</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>
Plan assets at fair value, end of year	\$ 325,763	\$ 296,564	\$	\$
Net Amount Recognized in Consolidated Balance Sheets				
Funded status, end of year	\$ (136,623)	\$ (169,889)	\$ (50,046)	\$ (59,938)
Unrecognized actuarial loss	N/A	178,215	N/A	23,970
Unrecognized prior service cost	N/A	17,161	N/A	
	<u>N/A</u>	<u>25,487</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>(35,968)</u>
Net amount recognized	N/A	\$ 25,487	N/A	\$ (35,968)
Intangible asset	N/A	\$ 17,161	N/A	\$
Accrued benefit liability	N/A	(99,403)	N/A	(35,968)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss (a)	N/A	107,729	N/A	
	<u>N/A</u>	<u>25,487</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>(35,968)</u>
Net amount recognized	N/A	\$ 25,487	N/A	\$ (35,968)
Current accrued benefit liability	(6,454)	N/A	(3,631)	N/A
Noncurrent accrued benefit liability	(130,169)	N/A	(46,415)	N/A
	<u>(136,623)</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>(50,046)</u>	<u>N/A</u>
Total accrued benefit liability	\$ (136,623)	N/A	\$ (50,046)	N/A
Amounts recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss (a)				
Net loss	\$ 137,864	\$ 107,729	\$ 13,427	\$
Prior service cost	10,455			

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

\$ 148,319	\$ 107,729	\$ 13,427	\$
------------	------------	-----------	----

(a) Amounts exclude related tax benefits of \$60.4 million and \$39.0 million for December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, which are also included in accumulated other comprehensive loss.

The accumulated benefit obligation differs from the projected benefit obligation in that it assumes future compensation levels will remain unchanged. Mattel's accumulated benefit obligation for its defined benefit pension plans as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 totaled \$435.9 million and \$428.4 million, respectively. Mattel

Table of Contents

does not have any defined benefit pension plans for which the plan assets exceed the accumulated benefit obligation.

The assumptions used in determining the projected and accumulated benefit obligations of Mattel's domestic defined benefit pension and postretirement benefit plans are as follows:

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
<i>Defined benefit pension plans:</i>		
Discount rate	5.7%	5.4%
Weighted average rate of future compensation increases	4.0%	4.4%
<i>Postretirement benefit plans:</i>		
Discount rate	5.7%	5.4%
Annual increase in Medicare Part B premium	6.0%	6.0%
Health care cost trend rate:		
Pre-65	9.0%	9.0%
Post-65	11.0%	10.0%
Ultimate cost trend rate (pre- and post-65)	5.0%	5.0%
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate cost trend rate:		
Pre-65	2011	2010
Post-65	2013	2011

The estimated future benefit payments for Mattel's defined benefit pension and postretirement benefit plans are as follows (in thousands):

	Defined Benefit Pension Plans	Postretirement Benefit Plans Before Subsidy	Benefit of Medicare Part D Subsidy
2007	\$ 20,870	\$ 4,109	\$ (375)
2008	21,092	4,183	(403)
2009	20,711	4,191	(411)
2010	20,025	4,248	(423)
2011	20,421	4,280	(425)
2012 - 2016	125,098	21,317	(2,123)

Mattel expects to make cash contributions totaling approximately \$15 million to its defined benefit pension and postretirement benefit plans in 2007, including approximately \$10 million for benefit payments for its unfunded plans.

Mattel's domestic defined benefit pension plan assets are comprised of the following:

December 31,

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Equity securities	75%	70%
Debt securities	23	26
Cash	2	4
	<u>100%</u>	<u>100%</u>

Mattel periodically commissions an actuarial study of the plans' assets and liabilities to determine an asset allocation that would best match cash flows from the plans' assets to expected benefit payments. The percentage allocation of plan assets as of December 31, 2006 approximates the target allocation of such assets. The

Table of Contents

Administrative Committee, which includes Mattel's Treasurer, monitors the returns earned by the plans' assets and reallocates investments as needed. Mattel's defined benefit pension plan assets are not directly invested in Mattel common stock. Mattel believes that the long-term rate of return on plan assets of 8.0% as of December 31, 2006 is reasonable based on historical returns, and based on the fact that the actual return on market value of plan assets has been approximately 10% over the last ten years.

A one percentage point increase/(decrease) in the assumed health care cost trend rate for each future year would impact the postretirement benefit obligation as of December 31, 2006 by approximately \$4.7 million and \$(4.1) million, respectively, while a one percentage point increase/(decrease) would impact the service and interest cost recognized for 2006 by approximately \$0.3 million and \$(0.2) million, respectively.

The Medicare Act was signed into law on December 8, 2003. On May 19, 2004, the FASB issued FSP No. 106-2, which provides guidance as to how employers who sponsor post-65 prescription drug benefits should recognize the impact of the Medicare Act. Applying the guidance in FSP No. 106-2, Mattel, with the assistance of its outside actuaries, determined that the prescription drug benefits provided to certain retirees under one of its postretirement benefit plans are actuarially equivalent to the benefits provided under Medicare Part D, and that Mattel will be eligible to receive a federal subsidy beginning in 2006. On July 1, 2004, Mattel adopted the provisions of FSP No. 106-2 and reduced its accumulated postretirement benefit obligation by \$7.6 million in recognition of the actuarial impact of the subsidy on benefits attributed to prior service. Mattel's net periodic benefit cost for 2004 was reduced by \$1.0 million in the areas of interest cost (\$0.5 million) and amortization of unrecognized net actuarial loss (\$0.5 million). On January 21, 2005, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services released final regulations implementing the Medicare Act. The final regulations did not have a material impact on Mattel's results of operations or financial position for the year ending December 31, 2006.

During 1999, Mattel amended The Fisher-Price Pension Plan to convert it from a career-average plan to a cash balance plan and applied for a determination letter from the IRS. In 2003, Mattel amended The Fisher-Price Pension Plan to reflect recent changes in regulations and court cases associated with cash balance plans and submitted a new application for a determination letter to the IRS. Mattel plans to convert The Fisher-Price Pension Plan to a cash balance plan upon receipt of a determination letter.

Defined Contribution Retirement Plans

Domestic employees are eligible to participate in 401(k) savings plans sponsored by Mattel or its subsidiaries, which are funded defined contribution plans satisfying ERISA requirements. Mattel makes employer contributions in cash and allows employees to allocate both their voluntary contributions and their employer automatic and matching contributions to a variety of investment funds, including a fund that is fully invested in Mattel common stock (the Mattel Stock Fund). Employees are not required to allocate any funds to the Mattel Stock Fund, which allows employees to limit or eliminate their exposure to market changes in Mattel's stock price. Furthermore, Mattel's plans limit an employee's maximum allocation to the Mattel Stock Fund to 25% of the employee's total account balance. Employees may generally reallocate their account balances on a daily basis. The only limitation on the frequency of reallocations applies to changes involving the Mattel Stock Fund by employees classified as insiders or restricted personnel under Mattel's insider trading policy. Pursuant to Mattel's insider trading policy, insiders and restricted personnel are limited to certain periods in which they may make allocations into or out of the Mattel Stock Fund.

Certain non-US employees participate in other defined contribution retirement plans with varying vesting and contribution provisions.

Deferred Compensation and Excess Benefit Plans

Mattel has a deferred compensation plan that permits certain officers and key employees to elect to defer portions of their compensation. The deferred compensation plan, together with certain contributions made by

Table of Contents

Mattel and participating employees to an excess benefit plan, earns various rates of return. The liability for these plans as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$50.6 million and \$52.0 million, respectively, and is included in other noncurrent liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. Mattel has purchased group trust-owned life insurance contracts designed to assist in funding these programs. The cash surrender value of these policies, valued at \$62.5 million and \$58.7 million as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, are held in an irrevocable grantor trust, the assets of which are subject to the claims of Mattel's creditors and are included in other noncurrent assets in the consolidated balance sheets.

Incentive Compensation Plans

Mattel has annual incentive compensation plans under which officers and key employees may earn incentive compensation based on Mattel's performance and subject to certain approvals of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. For 2006, 2005, and 2004, \$93.7 million, \$22.0 million and \$41.8 million, respectively, was charged to expense for awards under these plans.

The Mattel 2003 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the LTIP) was approved by Mattel's stockholders in May 2003. The LTIP is intended to motivate and retain key executives of Mattel who regularly and directly make or influence decisions that affect the medium- and long-term success of Mattel. The LTIP replaces the Long-Term Incentive Plan approved in November 2000 and is effective as of January 1, 2003. Awards are based upon the financial performance of Mattel during a specified performance period and are settled in cash or unrestricted or restricted common stock of Mattel. In March 2003, the Compensation Committee of Mattel's Board of Directors established a January 1, 2003–December 31, 2006 performance cycle under the LTIP and in March 2005, the Compensation Committee established a January 1, 2005–December 31, 2007 performance cycle under the LTIP. For 2006, \$14.8 million was charged to expense for LTIP awards. No amounts were charged to expense in 2005 or 2004 for LTIP awards.

Note 5 Seasonal Financing and Debt*Seasonal Financing*

Mattel maintains and periodically amends or replaces a \$1.3 billion domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility with a commercial bank group that is used as the primary source of financing for the seasonal working capital requirements of its domestic subsidiaries. The agreement in effect was amended and restated in March 2005 and the expiration date of the facility was extended to March 23, 2010. The other terms and conditions of the amended and restated facility are substantially similar to those contained in the previous facility. Interest is charged at various rates selected by Mattel, ranging from market commercial paper rates to the bank reference rate. The domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility contains a variety of covenants, including financial covenants that require Mattel to maintain certain consolidated debt-to-capital and interest coverage ratios. Specifically, Mattel is required to meet these financial covenant ratios at the end of each fiscal quarter and fiscal year, using the formulae specified in the credit agreement to calculate the ratios. Mattel was in compliance with such covenants at the end of each fiscal quarter and fiscal year in 2006. As of December 31, 2006, Mattel's consolidated debt-to-capital ratio, as calculated per the terms of the credit agreement, was 0.29 to 1 (compared to a maximum allowed of 0.50 to 1) and Mattel's interest coverage ratio was 11.72 to 1 (compared to a minimum allowed of 3.50 to 1).

On December 9, 2005, Mattel, Mattel Asia Pacific Sourcing Limited (MAPS), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mattel, Bank of America, N.A., as a lender and administrative agent, and other financial institutions executed a credit agreement (the MAPS facility) which provides for (i) a term loan facility of \$225.0 million consisting of a term loan advanced to MAPS in the original principal amount of \$225.0 million, with \$50.0 million of such amount to be repaid on each of December 15, 2006 and December 15, 2007, and the remaining aggregate principal amount of \$125.0 million to be repaid on December 9, 2008, and (ii) a revolving loan facility consisting of revolving loans advanced to MAPS in the

maximum aggregate principal amount at any time outstanding of

Table of Contents

\$100.0 million, with a maturity date of December 9, 2008. Interest is charged at various rates selected by Mattel based on Eurodollar rates or bank reference rates. On December 15, 2006, in addition to the required payment of \$50.0 million, MAPS prepaid an incremental \$125.0 million of the MAPS term loan facility. The remaining \$50.0 million principal amount, consisting of \$14.3 million due on December 15, 2007 and \$35.7 million due on December 9, 2008, was prepaid on January 16, 2007. As of December 31, 2006, there was no balance outstanding on the MAPS revolving loan facility. In connection with the MAPS facility, Mattel executed a Continuing Guaranty Agreement pursuant to which Mattel unconditionally guaranteed the obligations of MAPS arising pursuant to the MAPS facility. The MAPS facility contains a variety of covenants, including financial covenants that require Mattel to maintain certain consolidated debt-to-capital and interest coverage ratios at the end of each fiscal quarter and fiscal year, using the formulae specified and ratios allowed in the MAPS facility to calculate the ratios. The formulae specified in the MAPS facility are the same as those required by the domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility. Mattel was in compliance with such covenants at December 31, 2006.

To finance seasonal working capital requirements of certain foreign subsidiaries, Mattel avails itself of individual short-term credit lines with a number of banks. As of December 31, 2006, foreign credit lines totaled approximately \$200 million, a portion of which are used to support letters of credit. Mattel expects to extend the majority of these credit lines throughout 2007.

In June 2006, Mattel issued \$100.0 million of unsecured floating rate senior notes (Floating Rate Senior Notes) due June 15, 2009 and \$200.0 million of unsecured 6.125% senior notes (6.125% Senior Notes) due June 15, 2011 (collectively Senior Notes). Interest on the Floating Rate Senior Notes is based on the three-month US Dollar London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 40 basis points with interest payable quarterly beginning September 15, 2006. Interest on the 6.125% Senior Notes is payable semi-annually beginning December 15, 2006. The 6.125% Senior Notes may be redeemed at any time at the option of Mattel at a redemption price equal to the greater of (i) the principal amount of the notes being redeemed plus accrued interest to the redemption date, or (ii) a make whole amount based on the yield of a comparable US Treasury security plus 20 basis points.

In June 2006, Mattel entered into two interest rate swap agreements on the \$100.0 million Floating Rate Senior Notes, each in a notional amount of \$50.0 million, for the purpose of hedging the variability of cash flows in the interest payments due to fluctuations of the LIBOR benchmark interest rate. These cash flow hedges are accounted for under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, whereby the hedges are reported in Mattel's consolidated balance sheets at fair value, with changes in the fair value of the hedges reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss. Under the terms of the agreements, Mattel receives quarterly interest payments from the swap counterparties based on the three-month LIBOR plus 40 basis points and makes semi-annual interest payments to the swap counterparties based on a fixed rate of 5.87125%. The three-month LIBOR rate used to determine interest payments under the interest rate swap agreements resets every three months, matching the variable interest on the Floating Rate Senior Notes. The agreements expire in June 2009, which corresponds with the maturity of the Floating Rate Senior Notes.

In October 2005, a major credit rating agency maintained its long-term rating for Mattel at BBB, but changed its long-term outlook to negative and reduced its short-term rating to A-3. In March 2006, this same credit rating agency reduced Mattel's long-term credit rating to BBB- and changed the outlook from negative to stable. Also in October 2005, another major credit rating agency maintained its long-term rating for Mattel at Baa2, but changed its long-term outlook to negative. In May 2006, another major credit rating agency reduced Mattel's long-term credit rating to BBB. Management does not expect these actions to have a significant impact on Mattel's ability to obtain financing or to have a significant negative impact on Mattel's liquidity or results of operations.

Mattel believes its cash on hand at the beginning of 2007, amounts available under its domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility, the MAPS facility, and its foreign credit lines will be adequate to meet its

Table of Contents

seasonal financing requirements in 2007. As of December 31, 2006, Mattel had available incremental borrowing resources totaling approximately \$1.3 billion under its domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility, the MAPS facility and foreign credit lines.

Mattel has a \$300.0 million domestic receivables sales facility that is a sub-facility of Mattel's domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility. The outstanding amount of receivables sold under the domestic receivables facility may not exceed \$300.0 million at any given time, and the amount available to be borrowed under the credit facility is reduced to the extent of any such outstanding receivables sold. Under the domestic receivables facility, certain trade receivables are sold to a group of banks, which currently include, among others, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, Citicorp USA, Inc. and Barclays Bank PLC, as co-syndication agents, and Societe Generale and BNP Paribas, as co-documentation agents. Pursuant to the domestic receivables facility, Mattel Sales Corp. and Fisher-Price, Inc. (which are wholly-owned subsidiaries of Mattel) can sell eligible trade receivables from Wal-Mart and Target to Mattel Factoring, Inc. (Mattel Factoring), a Delaware corporation and wholly-owned, consolidated subsidiary of Mattel. Mattel Factoring is a special purpose entity whose activities are limited to purchasing and selling receivables under this facility. Pursuant to the terms of the domestic receivables facility and simultaneous with each receivables purchase, Mattel Factoring sells those receivables to the bank group. Mattel records the transaction, reflecting cash proceeds and sale of accounts receivable in its consolidated balance sheet, at the time of the sale of the receivables to the bank group.

Sales of receivables pursuant to the domestic receivables sale facility occur periodically, generally quarterly. The receivables are sold by Mattel Sales Corp. and Fisher-Price, Inc. to Mattel Factoring for a purchase price equal to the nominal amount of the receivables sold. Mattel Factoring then sells such receivables to the bank group at a slight discount, and Mattel acts as a servicer for such receivables. Mattel has designated Mattel Sales Corp. and Fisher-Price, Inc. as sub-servicers, as permitted by the facility. Mattel's appointment as a servicer is subject to termination events that are customary for such transactions. The domestic receivables sales facility is also subject to conditions to funding, representations and warranties, undertakings and early termination events that are customary for transactions of this nature. Mattel retains a servicing interest in the receivables sold under this facility. The fair value of the net servicing asset is based on an estimate of interest Mattel earns on cash collections prior to remitting the funds to the bank group, partially offset by an estimate of the cost of servicing the trade receivables sold. The fair value of the net servicing asset totaled \$2.3 million at December 31, 2006.

Until the Master Agreement was terminated on February 9, 2007, Mattel International Holdings B.V., a company incorporated in the Netherlands (the Depositor), Mattel France, a company incorporated in France (Mattel France), and Mattel GmbH, a company incorporated in Germany (Mattel Germany), each of which is a subsidiary of Mattel, and Societe Generale Bank Nederland N.V. (SGBN), were parties to a Master Agreement for the Transfer of Receivables that established a Euro 150 million European trade receivables facility (the European trade receivables facility), pursuant to which Mattel France and Mattel Germany sold trade receivables to SGBN. The European trade receivables facility was subject to conditions to funding, representations and warranties, undertakings and early termination events that were customary for transactions of this nature.

Sales of receivables pursuant to the European trade receivables facility occurred monthly, with the last such sale occurring on January 10, 2007. The receivables were sold by Mattel France and Mattel Germany directly to SGBN for a purchase price equal to the nominal amount of the receivables sold. As a result, no Mattel subsidiary was used as a special purpose entity in connection with these transactions. A portion of the purchase price was funded by SGBN and a portion by a deposit provided by the Depositor. The amount of the deposit was reset on each date on which new receivables were sold. During the 12-month period ending December 31, 2006, the deposit was, on average, equal to about 51% of the aggregate notional amount of sold receivables outstanding during such period. The deposit totaled \$120.1 million and \$97.8 million as of December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

Table of Contents

As with the domestic receivables facility, each sale of accounts receivable was recorded in Mattel's consolidated balance sheet at the time of such sale. Under the European trade receivables facility, the outstanding amount of receivables sold could not exceed Euro 60 million from February 1 through July 31 of each year and could not exceed Euro 150 million at all other times.

Each of Mattel France and Mattel Germany was appointed to service the receivables sold by it to SGBN. No servicing fees were paid by SGBN for such services. The appointment of each of Mattel France and Mattel Germany to act as servicer was subject to termination events that were customary for transactions of this nature. The fair value of the net servicing asset was based on an estimate of interest Mattel would earn on cash collections prior to remitting the funds to the purchaser, partially offset by an estimate of the cost of servicing the trade receivables sold. The net servicing asset for the European trade receivables facility was not material at December 31, 2006 or 2005.

Mattel France and Mattel Germany were obligated to pay certain fees to the Depositor in consideration of the Depositor providing the deposit to SGBN. During the 12-month period ending December 31, 2006, fees paid by Mattel France and Mattel Germany to the Depositor were, on average, approximately 0.11% of the aggregate notional amount of sold receivables outstanding during such period.

In November 2006, the commitment termination date for the European trade receivables facility was extended until February 28, 2007. However, effective on February 9, 2007, the Depositor, Mattel France and Mattel Germany terminated the European trade receivable facility with SGBN. The Company determined the facility was no longer necessary based on projected international cash flows and seasonal financing needs.

Mattel's aggregate losses on receivables sold under the domestic and European trade receivables facilities were \$11.8 million, \$8.7 million, and \$6.4 million during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

The outstanding amounts of accounts receivable that have been sold under these facilities and other factoring arrangements, net of collections from customers, have been excluded from Mattel's consolidated balance sheets and are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
Receivables sold pursuant to the:		
Domestic receivables facility	\$ 255,871	\$ 251,372
European receivables facility	103,886	95,946
Other factoring arrangements	52,505	95,763
	\$ 412,262	\$ 443,081

Short-Term Borrowings

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

As of December 31, 2006, Mattel had no foreign short-term bank loans outstanding and no short-term revolving loans outstanding under the MAPS revolving loan facility. As of December 31, 2005, Mattel had foreign short-term bank loans outstanding totaling \$18.0 million, at a weighted average interest rate of 6.5% and short-term revolving loans outstanding of \$100.0 million under the MAPS revolving loan facility, at a rate of 4.9%.

During 2006 and 2005, Mattel had average borrowings of \$0 and \$640.0 million, respectively, under its domestic unsecured committed credit facilities, \$47.1 million and \$2.7 million, respectively, under the MAPS revolving loan facility, and \$30.4 million and \$32.9 million, respectively, under its foreign credit lines and other short-term borrowings to help finance its seasonal working capital requirements. The weighted average interest rate on domestic borrowings was 3.1% during 2005, 5.4% and 4.9% on the MAPS revolving loan facility during

Table of Contents

2006 and 2005, respectively, and 10.0% and 10.8% during 2006 and 2005, respectively, on the foreign credit lines and short-term borrowings.

Long-Term Debt

Mattel's long-term debt consists of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
Medium-term notes due May 2007 to November 2013	\$ 350,000	\$ 400,000
Senior Notes due June 2009 to June 2011	300,000	
MAPS term loan facility due December 2007 to December 2008	50,000	225,000
	<u>700,000</u>	<u>625,000</u>
Less: current portion	(64,286)	(100,000)
Total long-term debt	<u>\$ 635,714</u>	<u>\$ 525,000</u>

Mattel's Medium-term notes bear interest at fixed rates ranging from 6.50% to 7.49%, with a weighted average interest rate of 7.09% and 7.08% as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. During 2006, Mattel repaid \$50.0 million of Medium-term notes upon maturity.

In June 2006, Mattel issued \$100.0 million of unsecured Floating Rate Senior Notes due June 15, 2009 and \$200.0 million of unsecured 6.125% Senior Notes due June 15, 2011. In June 2006, Mattel entered into two interest rate swap agreements on the \$100.0 million Floating Rate Senior Notes, each in a notional amount of \$50.0 million, for the purpose of hedging the variability of cash flows in the interest payments due to fluctuations of the LIBOR benchmark interest rate.

In December 2006, Mattel repaid \$50.0 million and prepaid \$125.0 million of the MAPS term loan facility. In January 2007, Mattel repaid the remaining \$50.0 million of the MAPS term loan facility. The MAPS term loan facility bears interest at various rates as selected by Mattel, based on Eurodollar rates or bank reference rates, with a weighted average interest rate of 5.6% and 5.2% during 2006 and 2005, respectively.

During 2005, Mattel repaid \$150.0 million of 6¹/₈% senior notes and the 10.15% mortgage note for \$39.1 million upon maturity.

The aggregate amount of long-term debt maturing in the next five years is as follows (in thousands):

	Medium- Term Notes	Senior Notes	MAPS Term Loan	Total
2007	\$ 50,000	\$	\$ 14,286	\$ 64,286
2008	50,000		35,714	85,714
2009	50,000	100,000		150,000
2010	50,000			50,000
2011	50,000	200,000		250,000
Thereafter	100,000			100,000
	<u>\$ 350,000</u>	<u>\$ 300,000</u>	<u>\$ 50,000</u>	<u>\$ 700,000</u>

Note 6 Stockholders Equity

Preference Stock

Mattel is authorized to issue up to 20.0 million shares of \$0.01 par value preference stock, of which none is currently outstanding.

Table of Contents*Preferred Stock*

Mattel is authorized to issue up to 3.0 million shares of \$1.00 par value preferred stock, of which none is currently outstanding.

Common Stock Repurchase Program

The Board of Directors approved an increase to the share repurchase program of an additional \$250.0 million in November 2003 and, at that time, there were share repurchase authorizations that had not been executed totaling \$5.6 million. During 2004, Mattel repurchased 14.7 million shares at a cost of \$255.1 million. In 2005, the Board of Directors approved the repurchase of an additional \$500.0 million of Mattel's common stock. During 2005, Mattel repurchased 28.9 million shares at a cost of \$500.4 million. In January 2006, the Board of Directors authorized Mattel to increase its share repurchase program by an additional \$250.0 million. During 2006, Mattel repurchased 11.8 million shares at a cost of \$192.7 million. At December 31, 2006, share repurchase authorizations of \$57.3 million had not been executed. Repurchases take place from time to time, depending on market conditions. Mattel's share repurchase program has no expiration date.

Dividends

In 2006, 2005 and 2004, Mattel paid a dividend per share of \$0.65, \$0.50 and \$0.45, respectively, to holders of its common stock. The Board of Directors declared the dividend in November, and Mattel paid the dividend in December of each year. The payment of dividends on common stock is at the discretion of Mattel's Board of Directors and is subject to customary limitations.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The changes in the components of other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, are as follows (in thousands):

	For the Year		
	2006	2005	2004
Net income	\$ 592,927	\$ 417,019	\$ 572,723
Currency translation adjustments	69,632	(38,767)	36,380
Minimum pension liability adjustments	21,465	(7,243)	(1,430)
Net unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments:			
Unrealized holding (losses) gains	(13,063)	25,348	(33,232)
Reclassification adjustment for realized losses included in net income	2,276	3,904	31,820
	<u>80,310</u>	<u>29,252</u>	<u>(1,412)</u>

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Net unrealized gains on securities:			
Unrealized holding gains		(195)	(4,820)
Reclassification adjustment for realized gains included in net income		(16,247)	(11,539)
		<u>(16,442)</u>	<u>(16,359)</u>
		<u>\$ 673,237</u>	<u>\$ 383,819</u>
		<u>\$ 589,902</u>	

For 2006, currency translation adjustments resulted in a net gain of \$69.6 million, with gains from the strengthening of the Euro and British pound sterling against the US dollar, partially offset by the weakening of the Mexican peso against the US dollar. For 2005, currency translation adjustments resulted in a net loss of \$38.8 million, with losses from the weakening of the Euro and British pound sterling against the US dollar being partially offset by gains from the strengthening of the Mexican peso against the US dollar. For 2004, currency translation adjustments resulted in a net gain of \$36.4 million, with gains from the strengthening of the British

Table of Contents

pound sterling, Euro, and Mexican peso against the US dollar being partially offset by losses from the weakening of the Indonesian rupiah against the US dollar.

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss are as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
Currency translation adjustments	\$ (168,927)	\$ (238,559)
Minimum pension liability adjustments, net of tax	(47,250)	(68,715)
Net unrealized (loss) gain on derivative instruments, net of tax	(6,541)	4,246
Adjustment for initial adoption of SFAS No. 158, net of tax	(54,143)	
	\$ (276,861)	\$ (303,028)

Note 7 Share-Based Payments*Mattel Stock Option Plans*

In May 2005, Mattel's stockholders approved the Mattel, Inc. 2005 Equity Compensation Plan (the 2005 Plan). Upon approval of the 2005 Plan, Mattel terminated its Amended and Restated 1996 Stock Option Plan (the 1996 Plan) and its 1999 Stock Option Plan (the 1999 Plan), except with regard to grants then outstanding under the 1996 Plan and the 1999 Plan. Restricted stock awards made under the 1996 Plan continue to vest pursuant to the terms of their respective grant agreements. Outstanding stock option grants under plans that have expired or have been terminated continue to be exercisable under the terms of their respective grant agreements. All such stock options expire no later than ten years from the date of grant and generally provide for vesting over a period of three years from the date of grant. Except as discussed below (Stock Option Review), stock options generally were granted with exercise prices equal to the fair market value of Mattel's common stock on the date of grant, although there are a few outstanding stock options that were granted with an exercise price in excess of the fair market value of Mattel's common stock on the date of grant, as to which vesting was dependent upon Mattel's common stock achieving a specified fair market value during a specified time period. Options were granted to non-employee members of Mattel's Board of Directors under the 1996 Plan with exercise prices equal to the fair market value of Mattel's common stock on the date of grant; such options expire no later than ten years from the date of grant and vest over a period of four years from the date of grant.

Under the 2005 Plan, Mattel has the ability to grant nonqualified stock options, incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, RSUs, dividend equivalent rights and shares of common stock to officers, employees, and other persons providing services to Mattel. Generally, options vest and become exercisable contingent upon the grantees' continued employment with Mattel. In the event of a retirement of an employee aged 55 years or greater with 5 or more years of service that occurs at least 6 months after the grant date, nonqualified stock options become fully vested. A similar provision exists for non-employee directors. Nonqualified stock options are granted at not less than 100% of the fair market value of Mattel's common stock on the date of grant, expire no later than ten years from the date of grant and vest on a schedule determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, generally during a period of three years from the date of grant. RSUs granted under the 2005 Plan are generally accompanied by dividend equivalent rights and generally vest over a period of three years from the date of grant. The 2005 Plan also contains provisions regarding grants of equity compensation to the non-employee members of the Board of Directors. Pursuant to these provisions, the Compensation Committee has approved grants to non-employee members of the Board of Directors

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

that consist of a mix of nonqualified stock options and restricted stock units; such stock options and restricted stock units vest over a period of three years from the date of grant, and such stock options have exercise prices equal to the fair market value of Mattel's common stock on the date of grant and expire no later than ten years from the date of grant. The 2005 Plan expires on May 18, 2015, except as to any grants then outstanding.

Table of Contents

The number of shares of common stock available for grant under the 2005 Plan is subject to an aggregate limit of 50 million shares and is further subject to share-counting rules as provided in the 2005 Plan. As a result of such share-counting rules, full-value grants such as grants of restricted stock or restricted stock units count against shares remaining available for grant at a higher rate than grants of stock options and stock appreciation rights. Each stock option or stock appreciation right grant is treated as using one available share for each share actually subject to such grant, whereas each full-value grant is treated as using three available shares for each share actually subject to such full-value grant. The 2005 Plan contains detailed provisions with regard to share-counting.

Effective January 1, 2006, Mattel adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) using the modified-prospective transition method. Prior to January 1, 2006, Mattel applied the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, and related interpretations in accounting for its employee stock compensation plans. The amount of additional compensation expense that would have resulted if Mattel had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 was included as a proforma disclosure in the financial statement footnotes.

Prior to January 1, 2006, Mattel presented all benefits of tax deductions resulting from the exercise of share-based compensation as operating cash flows in the statements of cash flows. SFAS No. 123(R) requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized for those options (excess tax benefits) be classified as financing cash flows and benefits of tax deductions less than the compensation cost recognized for those options (shortfalls) be classified as operating cash flows. Excess tax benefits reflected as a financing cash inflow totaled \$12.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2006. Excess tax benefits (shortfalls) reflected as operating cash inflows (outflows) totaled \$(3.5) million, \$4.3 million, and \$(0.4) million during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

On December 28, 2005, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of Mattel approved the acceleration of vesting of options for approximately 12.4 million shares with an exercise price of \$16.09 or greater granted to employees other than Mattel's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Vesting was not accelerated as to stock options held by any member of the Board of Directors. The primary purpose of the accelerated vesting was to avoid recognizing future compensation expense associated with the accelerated stock options under SFAS No. 123(R). Additionally, for financial reporting purposes, there may be other potential tax benefits derived from accelerating the vesting of stock options.

As of December 31, 2006, total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested share-based payments totaled \$29.3 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.5 years.

Stock Option Review

In August 2006, two derivative shareholder lawsuits were filed against Mattel and certain of its past and present executive officers and members of its Board of Directors in Los Angeles County Superior Court, alleging that certain stock option grants had been backdated (the State Court Derivative Shareholder Lawsuits). During the third quarter of 2006, Mattel commenced and completed a comprehensive review of its historical stock option practices for grants made during the period from the fourth quarter of 1993 through the third quarter of 2006. Outside legal counsel participated in this review, including performing certain investigative procedures.

The review found that there had been no backdating of stock option grants, no misconduct or manipulation associated with stock option grant dates, no intentional deviations from generally accepted accounting principles, and no material inaccuracies with respect to the current or historical financial statements of Mattel. The review did identify some administrative procedural deficiencies that resulted in unintentional accounting errors, principally relating to situations in which, as of the grant date approved by the Compensation Committee, an aggregate number of options to be granted was approved and the exercise price for the options was established, but the allocation of those options to

certain individual employee recipients was not yet finalized (thus resulting

Table of Contents

in later measurement dates for accounting purposes for those individual grants). These accounting errors related to grants made to the general population of employees. The review found no such errors associated with any executive officer or Board member grants and no errors associated with any grants made after 2002.

The unintentional accounting errors associated with the use of incorrect measurement dates for certain grants caused non-cash compensation expense to be understated by a cumulative amount of \$19.3 million (\$13.3 million net of income tax) over the years 1995 through 2005. All of the errors were related to grants made prior to 2003, and the impact on income from continuing operations was not material to any previously reported period (less than 1% in every year). Because the errors are not material to any prior period financial statement and the impact on the current year of correcting the cumulative errors is also not material, a correcting entry to record the cumulative impact of these errors was recorded in the third quarter of 2006. The entry increased other selling and administrative expenses by \$19.3 million and reduced provision for income taxes by \$6.0 million, which resulted in a \$13.3 million reduction in net income. The correcting adjustment also had the effect of increasing noncurrent deferred tax assets by \$3.5 million and additional paid-in capital by \$16.8 million as of December 31, 2006.

In January 2007, two additional shareholder derivative lawsuits were filed against Mattel and certain of its past and present executive officers and members of its Board of Directors in United States District Court for the Central District of California, alleging that certain stock options grants had been backdated (the Federal Court Derivative Shareholder Lawsuits). The factual allegations in the Federal Court Derivative Shareholder Lawsuits are identical to those in the State Court Derivative Shareholder Lawsuits.

In light of the results of the review of its historical stock option practices, Mattel does not believe that final resolution of the State Court Derivative Shareholder Lawsuits or the Federal Court Derivative Shareholder Lawsuits will have a material adverse impact on its consolidated results of operations or financial position.

Stock Options

In addition to the \$19.3 million pre-tax charge for prior period unintentional stock option accounting errors, Mattel recognized compensation expense of \$4.6 million for stock options during the year ended December 31, 2006 as a component of other selling and administrative expenses.

As a result of adopting SFAS No. 123(R) on January 1, 2006, Mattel's income before income taxes and net income for the year ended December 31, 2006, are \$4.6 million and \$2.9 million lower, respectively, than if Mattel had continued to account for share-based compensation under APB No. 25. Basic and diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2006 are \$0.01 and \$0.01 lower, respectively, than if Mattel had continued to account for share-based compensation under APB Opinion No. 25.

Table of Contents

Prior to January 1, 2006, no compensation expense was recognized in the consolidated statements of operations for stock options. Had compensation expense in 2005 and 2004 for nonqualified stock options granted been determined based on their fair value at the measurement date, consistent with the fair value method of accounting prescribed by SFAS No. 123, Mattel's net income and net income per common share would have been adjusted as follows (amounts in millions, except per share amounts):

	For the Year	
	2005	2004
<i>Net income</i>		
As reported	\$ 417.0	\$ 572.7
Pro forma compensation cost, net of tax	(49.0)	(32.9)
Pro forma net income	\$ 368.0	\$ 539.8
<i>Net income per common share</i>		
Basic		
As reported	\$ 1.02	\$ 1.37
Pro forma compensation cost, net of tax	(0.12)	(0.08)
Pro forma net income per common share - basic	\$ 0.90	\$ 1.29
<i>Diluted</i>		
As reported	\$ 1.01	\$ 1.35
Pro forma compensation cost, net of tax	(0.11)	(0.08)
Pro forma net income per common share - diluted	\$ 0.90	\$ 1.27

The fair value of options granted has been estimated using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The expected life of the options used in this calculation is the period of time the options are expected to be outstanding, and has been determined based on historical exercise experience. Expected stock price volatility is based on the historical volatility of Mattel's stock for a period approximating the expected life, the expected dividend yield is based on Mattel's historical annual dividend payout, and the risk-free interest rate is based on the implied yield available on US Treasury zero-coupon issues. The following weighted average assumptions were used in determining fair value for options granted:

	2006	2005	2004
<i>Options granted at market price</i>			
Expected life (in years)	5.1	4.9	6.3
Risk-free interest rate	4.9%	4.1%	4.0%
Volatility factor	28.0%	27.6%	38.5%
Dividend yield	2.8%	2.4%	1.2%

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of stock option information and weighted average exercise prices for Mattel's stock option plans during the year ended December 31, 2006 (amounts in thousands, except average exercise price and average remaining life):

	2006		2005		2004	
	Average		Average		Average	
	Exercise		Exercise		Exercise	
	Number	Price	Number	Price	Number	Price
Outstanding at January 1	47,851	\$ 18.53	45,437	\$ 18.57	42,733	\$ 19.07
Granted	2,505	17.90	7,619	18.68	7,455	16.98
Exercised	(7,385)	16.12	(2,077)	13.68	(1,595)	13.82
Forfeited	(19)	17.94	(938)	18.88	(901)	18.87
Canceled	(4,445)	22.51	(2,190)	23.09	(2,255)	25.10
Outstanding at December 31	38,507	\$ 18.50	47,851	\$ 18.53	45,437	\$ 18.57
Exercisable at December 31	35,529	\$ 18.54	46,871	\$ 18.54	32,371	\$ 18.69
Available for grant at December 31	35,961		42,472		11,274	

There were 50 million shares of common stock authorized for equity instrument awards under the 2005 Plan.

The intrinsic value of a stock option is the amount by which the current market value of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price of an option. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the year ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$38.6 million, \$13.3 million and \$6.8 million, respectively. At December 31, 2006, the total intrinsic value of options outstanding was \$197.7 million, with an average remaining life of 5.1 years. At December 31, 2006, the total intrinsic value of options exercisable was \$183.7 million, with an average remaining life of 4.9 years.

Mattel uses treasury shares purchased under its share repurchase program to satisfy stock option exercises. Cash received from stock options exercised during the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$116.9 million and \$28.4 million, respectively, and the tax benefit for exercises during the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$8.5 million and \$4.3 million, respectively.

Learning Company Stock Option Plans

Prior to its 1999 merger, with Mattel, The Learning Company (Learning Company) and its subsidiaries had various incentive and nonqualified stock option plans that provided benefits for eligible employees and non-employee directors. Effective with the 1999 merger, each option outstanding under these plans was converted into an option to purchase 1.2 shares of Mattel common stock. The exercise price of such options was adjusted by dividing the Learning Company option price by 1.2. Other than options granted under some plans assumed by Learning Company in connection with acquisitions, all Learning Company stock options vested and became fully exercisable as a result of the 1999

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

merger. No options were available for grant under any Learning Company stock option plan during 2006, 2005, or 2004.

The following is a summary of stock option information and weighted average exercise prices for Learning Company's stock option plans during the year (options in thousands):

	2006		2005		2004	
	Number	Price	Number	Price	Number	Price
Outstanding at January 1	77	\$ 4.54	77	\$ 4.54	81	\$ 4.63
Options exercised	(77)	4.54			(4)	4.88
Options canceled						
Outstanding and exercisable at December 31		\$	77	\$ 4.54	77	\$ 4.54

Table of Contents*Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units*

Compensation expense recognized related to grants of restricted stock and RSUs to certain employees and non-employee Board members was \$3.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The following table summarizes the number and weighted average grant date fair value of Mattel's unvested restricted stock and RSUs as of December 31, 2006 (shares in thousands):

	Shares	Weighted-average grant date fair value
Unvested at January 1, 2006	220	\$ 12.55
Granted	1,615	17.95
Vested	(5)	20.70
Forfeited	(19)	17.94
	<hr/>	
Unvested at December 31, 2006	1,811	17.28
	<hr/>	

Note 8 Financial Instruments*Marketable Securities*

As of December 31, 2006 and 2005, Mattel held no marketable securities.

During 2005 and 2004, Mattel sold marketable securities for proceeds totaling \$42.0 million and \$28.2 million, respectively. Gains on sales of these securities totaling \$25.8 million and \$18.3 million, net of transaction costs, were recorded in other non-operating (income), net in the consolidated statements of operations for 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Currency exchange rate fluctuations may impact Mattel's results of operations and cash flows. Inventory sale transactions denominated in the Euro, British pound sterling, Canadian dollar Mexican peso, Hong Kong dollar and Indonesian rupiah are the primary transactions that caused currency transaction exposure for Mattel during 2006 and 2005. Mattel seeks to mitigate its exposure to market risk by monitoring its currency transaction exposure for the year and partially hedging such exposure using foreign currency forward exchange and option contracts. Such contracts are primarily used to hedge Mattel's purchase and sale of inventory, and other intercompany transactions denominated in foreign currencies. These contracts generally have maturity dates of up to 18 months. In addition, Mattel manages its exposure to currency exchange rate

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

fluctuations through the selection of currencies used for international borrowings. Mattel does not trade in financial instruments for speculative purposes. The ineffectiveness related to cash flow hedges was not significant during any year.

Mattel uses fair value derivatives to hedge most intercompany loans and advances denominated in foreign currencies. Due to the short-term nature of the contracts involved, Mattel does not use hedge accounting for these contracts. Changes in the fair value of these derivatives were not significant to the results of operations during any year.

As of December 31, 2006 and 2005, Mattel held foreign currency forward exchange contracts with notional amounts totaling \$1,088.6 million and \$727.2 million. The notional amounts of these contracts were equal to the exposure hedged in both years.

The net loss on derivative financial instruments reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss to Mattel's results of operations was \$2.3 million, \$3.9 million and \$31.8 million during 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. As of December 31, 2006, \$6.7 million of pre-tax unrealized losses (\$6.5 million net of tax) and

Table of Contents

December 31, 2005, \$4.5 million of pre-tax unrealized gains (\$4.2 million net of tax), related to derivative instruments have been recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss. Mattel expects to reclassify the unrealized gains as of December 31, 2006 from accumulated other comprehensive loss to its results of operations over the life of the contracts, generally within 18 months or less.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Mattel's financial instruments include cash, cash equivalents, marketable securities, investments, accounts receivable and payable, short-term borrowings, and accrued liabilities. The carrying amount of these instruments approximates fair value because of their short-term nature.

The estimated fair value of Mattel's long-term debt, including the current portion, is \$714.9 million (compared to a carrying amount of \$700.0 million) as of December 31, 2006 and \$644.6 million (compared to a carrying amount of \$625.0 million) as of December 31, 2005. The estimated fair value has been calculated based on broker quotes or rates for the same or similar instruments.

The estimated fair value of derivative financial instruments recognized in Mattel's consolidated balance sheets is as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
Accounts receivable	\$ 2,961	\$ 1,309
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,072	6,218
Accrued liabilities	(8,706)	(2,231)
Other non-current liabilities	(81)	

The estimated fair value of derivative financial instruments is based on dealer quotes and reflects the amount that Mattel would receive or pay at maturity for contracts involving the same currencies and maturity dates, if they had been entered into as of December 31, 2006 or 2005, respectively.

Note 9 Commitments and Contingencies*Leases*

Mattel routinely enters into noncancelable lease agreements for premises and equipment used in the normal course of business. Certain of these leases include escalation clauses that adjust rental expense to reflect changes in price indices, as well as renewal options. In addition to minimum rental payments, certain of Mattel's leases require additional payments to reimburse the lessors for operating expenses such as real estate taxes, maintenance, utilities and insurance. Rental expense is recorded on a straight-line basis, including escalating minimum payments. The American Girl Place® leases in Chicago, Illinois, New York, New York, and Los Angeles, California, also contain provisions for additional rental payments based on a percentage of the sales of each store after reaching certain sales benchmarks. Contingent rental expense is recorded in the

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

period in which the contingent event becomes probable. The following table shows the future minimum obligations under lease commitments in effect at December 31, 2006 (in thousands):

	Capitalized Leases	Operating Leases
2007	\$ 300	\$ 71,000
2008	300	58,000
2009	300	48,000
2010	300	42,000
2011	300	40,000
Thereafter	7,300	228,000
	\$ 8,800 (a)	\$ 487,000

(a) Includes \$6.5 million of imputed interest.

Table of Contents

Rental expense under operating leases amounted to \$86.9 million, \$77.6 million and \$73.7 million for 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, net of sublease income of \$1.1 million, \$1.3 million and \$1.7 million in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Commitments

In the normal course of business, Mattel enters into contractual arrangements to obtain and protect Mattel's right to create and market certain products, and for future purchases of goods and services to ensure availability and timely delivery. Such arrangements include royalty payments pursuant to licensing agreements and commitments for future inventory purchases. Certain of these commitments routinely contain provisions for guaranteed or minimum expenditures during the term of the contracts. Current and future commitments for guaranteed payments reflect Mattel's focus on expanding its product lines through alliances with businesses in other industries.

Licensing and similar agreements provide for terms extending from 2007 through 2011 and contain provisions for future minimum payments as shown in the following table (in thousands):

	Minimum
	Payments

2007	\$ 39,000
2008	38,000
2009	24,000
2010	21,000
2011	13,000
Thereafter	_____

	\$ 135,000

Royalty expense for 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$261.2 million, \$225.6 million and \$204.5 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2006, Mattel had outstanding commitments for purchases of inventory, other assets and services totaling \$372.9 million in fiscal year 2007.

Insurance

Mattel has a wholly-owned subsidiary, Far West Insurance Company, Ltd. (Far West), that was established to insure Mattel's workers compensation, general, automobile and product liability risks. Far West insures the first \$1.0 million per occurrence of Mattel's workers compensation, the first \$0.5 million for general and automobile liability risks and the first \$2.0 million per occurrence of product liability risks. Various insurance companies, that have an A or better AM Best rating at the time the policies are purchased, reinsure Mattel's risk in excess of the amounts insured by Far West. Mattel's liability for reported and incurred but not reported claims at December 31, 2006 and 2005 totaled

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

\$20.3 million and \$22.1 million, respectively, and is included in the consolidated balance sheets. Loss reserves are accrued based on Mattel's estimate of the aggregate liability for claims incurred using a study prepared by an independent actuary.

Litigation

Litigation Related to LeapFrog Enterprises, Inc.

Fisher-Price, Inc. (Fisher-Price), a subsidiary of Mattel, was sued for patent infringement by LeapFrog Enterprises, Inc. in a lawsuit filed in October 2003 in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, and in September 2004, Mattel was joined to the lawsuit as a defendant. The lawsuit alleged that Fisher-Price's PowerTouch system infringed a LeapFrog patent relating to an electronic learning device for

Table of Contents

teaching phonics. A 10-day trial commenced on May 16, 2005, which resulted in a deadlocked jury. As an alternative to retrying the case, the parties agreed to submit the case for decision, based on the existing trial record, to the presiding judge. The plaintiff in this lawsuit asserted a total damages claim of up to approximately \$90 million, which was reduced to approximately \$58 million pursuant to rulings by the Court, and sought an injunction preventing the further sale of the PowerTouch system; the damages could possibly have been trebled if a willful infringement had been found. On March 30, 2006, the Court issued a Memorandum and Order holding that Mattel and Fisher-Price did not infringe LeapFrog's patent and furthermore holding that LeapFrog's patent claim, which was the basis of LeapFrog's lawsuit, was invalid due to obviousness. On May 1, 2006, LeapFrog filed an appeal of the Court's ruling with the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Both parties have filed their briefs with regard to the appeal, and the Court of Appeals has scheduled a hearing on March 7, 2007 for oral arguments. Mattel and its subsidiary Fisher-Price continue to believe the action is without merit and intend to continue defending themselves vigorously.

Litigation Related to Carter Bryant and MGA Entertainment, Inc.

In April 2004, Mattel filed a lawsuit in Los Angeles County Superior Court against Carter Bryant (Bryant), a former Mattel design employee. The suit alleges that Bryant aided and assisted a Mattel competitor, MGA Entertainment, Inc. (MGA), during the time he was employed by Mattel, in violation of his contractual and other duties to Mattel. In September 2004, Bryant asserted counterclaims against Mattel, including counterclaims in which Bryant sought, as a putative class action representative, to invalidate Mattel's Confidential Information and Proprietary Inventions Agreements with its employees. In December 2004, MGA intervened as a party-defendant in Mattel's action against Bryant, asserting that its rights to the Bratz property are at stake in the litigation. Mattel's suit was removed to the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

Separately, in November 2004, Bryant filed an action against Mattel in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The action sought a judicial declaration that Bryant's purported conveyance of rights in Bratz was proper and that he did not misappropriate Mattel property in creating Bratz.

In April 2005, MGA filed suit against Mattel in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. MGA's action alleges claims of trade dress infringement, trade dress dilution, false designation of origin, unfair competition and unjust enrichment. The suit alleges, among other things, that certain products, themes, packaging and/or television commercials in various Mattel product lines have infringed upon products, themes, packaging and/or television commercials for various MGA product lines, including Bratz. The complaint also asserts that various alleged Mattel acts with respect to unidentified retailers, distributors and licensees have damaged MGA and that various alleged acts by industry organizations, purportedly induced by Mattel, have damaged MGA. MGA's suit alleges that MGA has been damaged in an amount believed to reach or exceed tens of millions of dollars and further seeks punitive damages, disgorgement of Mattel's profits and injunctive relief.

In June 2006, the three cases were consolidated in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. On July 17, 2006, the Court issued an order dismissing all claims that Bryant had asserted against Mattel, including Bryant's purported counterclaims to invalidate Mattel's Confidential Information and Proprietary Inventions Agreements with its employees, and Bryant's claims for declaratory relief. Although Bryant was given leave by the Court to file amended claims consistent with the Court's rulings, Bryant did not do so within the time period allowed. Mattel believes the claims against it are without merit and intends to continue to vigorously defend against them.

In November 2006, Mattel asked the Court for leave to file an Amended Complaint that included not only additional claims against Bryant, but also included claims for copyright infringement, RICO violations, misappropriation of trade secrets, intentional interference with contract, aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty and breach of duty of loyalty, and unfair competition, among others, against MGA Entertainment, Inc., Isaac Larian, certain MGA affiliates and an MGA employee. The basis for the Amended Complaint was the MGA defendants' infringement of Mattel's copyrights and their pattern of misappropriation of trade secrets and

Table of Contents

unfair competition in violation of the applicable statutes. On January 12, 2007, the Court allowed Mattel to file these claims as counterclaims in the consolidated cases, which Mattel did that same day. Neither Bryant nor the MGA defendants have responded to the counterclaims.

Environmental

Beaverton, Oregon

Mattel previously operated a manufacturing facility on a leased property in Beaverton, Oregon that was acquired as part of the March 1997 merger with Tyco Toys, Inc. In March 1998, samples of groundwater used by the facility for process water and drinking water disclosed elevated levels of certain chemicals, including trichloroethylene. Mattel immediately closed the water supply and self-reported the sample results to the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) and the Oregon Health Division. Mattel also implemented a community outreach program to employees, former employees and surrounding landowners.

Prior to 2003, Mattel recorded pre-tax charges totaling \$19.0 million related to this property. During 2004 and 2003, Mattel recognized pre-tax income of \$0.9 million and \$7.9 million, respectively, representing adjustments to the reserve accrued in 1999 associated with the closure of the Beaverton facility. Costs totaling approximately \$7.0 million have been incurred through December 31, 2006 for the Beaverton property, largely related to environmental remediation, attorney fees, consulting work and an employee medical screening program. In January 2003, Mattel entered into a settlement with the ODEQ resolving its cleanup liability in return for a contribution of \$0.4 million to the cleanup, which is being performed by the company that caused the contamination. The remaining liability of approximately \$3.2 million as of December 31, 2006 represents estimated amounts to be incurred for employee medical screening, project management, and other costs related to the Beaverton property.

General

Mattel is also involved in various other litigation and legal matters, including claims related to intellectual property, product liability and labor, as well as environmental matters, which Mattel is addressing or defending in the ordinary course of business. Management believes that any liability that may potentially result upon resolution of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on Mattel's business, financial condition or results of operations.

Note 10 Segment Information

Description of Segments

Mattel's operating segments are separately managed business units and are divided on a geographic basis between domestic and international. On October 10, 2005, Mattel announced the consolidation of its domestic Mattel Girls & Boys Brands and Fisher-Price Brands divisions into one division. The creation of the Mattel Brands division, which resulted in the consolidation of some management and support functions, preserves the natural marketing and design groups that are empowered to create and market toys based on gender and age groups and is expected to more

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

effectively and efficiently leverage Mattel's scale. These changes are consistent with Mattel's ongoing strategy to build brands, cut costs and develop people in a streamlined organization that is focused on scale, innovation and execution. There were no changes to Mattel's operating segments as a result of the consolidation.

Mattel's domestic operating segments include:

Mattel Girls & Boys Brands including Barbie® fashion dolls and accessories (Barbie®), Polly Pocket!Pixel Chix, Winx Club and Disney Classics (collectively Other Girls Brands), Hot Wheels®Matchbox® and Tyco® R/C vehicles and playsets (collectively Wheels) and Batman CARS, Superman, Radica:® products and games and puzzles (collectively Entertainment).

Fisher-Price Brands including Fisher-Price®, Little People®, BabyGear and View-Master® (collectively Core Fisher-Price®), Sesame Street®, Dora the Explorer, Go-Diego-Go!, Winnie the Pooh, InteracTV and See N Say® (collectively Fisher-Price® Friends) and Power Wheels®.

Table of Contents

American Girl Brands including Just Like You, the historical collection and Bitty Baby®. American Girl Brands products are sold directly to consumers and its children's publications are also sold to certain retailers.

Additionally, the International segment sells products in all toy categories, except American Girl Brands.

Segment Data

The tables below present information about revenues, income and assets by segment. Mattel does not include sales adjustments such as trade discounts and other allowances in the calculation of segment revenues (referred to as gross sales). Mattel records these adjustments in its financial accounting systems at the time of sale to each customer, but the adjustments are not allocated to individual products. For this reason, Mattel's chief operating decision maker uses gross sales by segment as one of the metrics to measure segment performance. Such sales adjustments are included in the determination of segment income from operations based on the adjustments recorded in the financial accounting systems. Segment income from operations represents operating income, while consolidated income from operations represents income from operations before income taxes as reported in the consolidated statements of operations. The corporate and other category includes costs not allocated to individual segments, including charges related to incentive compensation and corporate headquarters functions managed on a worldwide basis and the impact of changes in foreign currency rates on intercompany transactions.

	For the Year		
	2006	2005	2004
	(In thousands)		
Revenues			
Domestic:			
Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US	\$ 1,507,493	\$ 1,364,922	\$ 1,511,550
Fisher-Price Brands US	1,471,604	1,358,562	1,319,200
American Girl Brands	439,970	436,085	379,112
Total Domestic	3,419,067	3,159,569	3,209,862
International	2,738,967	2,463,984	2,336,236
Gross sales	6,158,034	5,623,553	5,546,098
Sales adjustments	(507,878)	(444,537)	(443,312)
Net sales	\$ 5,650,156	\$ 5,179,016	\$ 5,102,786
Segment Income			
Domestic:			
Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US	\$ 267,152	\$ 206,496	\$ 326,317
Fisher-Price Brands US	216,107	172,960	173,237
American Girl Brands	96,997	106,158	77,501
Total Domestic	580,256	485,614	577,055
International	419,097	316,153	299,224
	999,353	801,767	876,279

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Corporate and other expense (a)	270,535	137,238	145,462
Operating income	728,818	664,529	730,817
Interest expense	79,853	76,490	77,764
Interest (income)	(30,468)	(34,211)	(19,683)
Other non-operating (income), net	(4,323)	(29,799)	(23,518)
Income before income taxes	\$ 683,756	\$ 652,049	\$ 696,254

(a) Corporate and other expense includes (i) incentive compensation expense of \$108.5 million, \$22.0 million, and \$41.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively, (ii) \$16.0 million, \$7.1 million, and \$16.2 million of charges related to severance for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively, and (iii) stock compensation expense of \$27.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

	For the Year		
	2006	2005	2004
(In thousands)			
Depreciation/Amortization			
Domestic:			
Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US	\$ 42,503	\$ 42,921	\$ 48,304
Fisher-Price Brands US	37,358	37,170	37,261
American Girl Brands	12,345	12,798	15,166
Total Domestic	92,206	92,889	100,731
International	55,212	58,594	59,125
Corporate and other	147,418	151,483	159,856
	24,846	23,508	22,622
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 172,264	\$ 174,991	\$ 182,478

Segment assets are comprised of accounts receivable and inventories, net of applicable reserves and allowances.

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
(In thousands)		
Assets		
Domestic:		
Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US	\$ 296,533	\$ 255,817
Fisher-Price Brands US	217,124	188,076
American Girl Brands	61,014	60,256
Total Domestic	574,671	504,149
International	663,393	547,980
Corporate and other	1,238,064	1,052,129
	88,898	85,411
Accounts receivable and inventories, net	\$ 1,326,962	\$ 1,137,540

Mattel sells a broad variety of toy products, which are grouped into three major categories: Mattel Girls & Boys Brands, Fisher-Price Brands and American Girl Brands. The table below presents worldwide revenues by category:

For the Year

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
	(In thousands)		
Worldwide Revenues			
Mattel Girls & Boys Brands	\$ 3,423,663	\$ 3,138,768	\$ 3,233,458
Fisher-Price Brands	2,269,430	2,023,858	1,920,164
American Girl Brands	439,970	436,085	379,112
Other	24,971	24,842	13,364
	<u>6,158,034</u>	<u>5,623,553</u>	<u>5,546,098</u>
Gross sales	6,158,034	5,623,553	5,546,098
Sales adjustments	(507,878)	(444,537)	(443,312)
	<u>\$ 5,650,156</u>	<u>\$ 5,179,016</u>	<u>\$ 5,102,786</u>
Net sales	\$ 5,650,156	\$ 5,179,016	\$ 5,102,786

Table of Contents*Geographic Information*

The tables below present information by geographic area. Revenues are attributed to countries based on location of customer. Long-lived assets principally include goodwill, property, plant and equipment, net and identifiable intangibles, net.

	For the Year		
	2006	2005	2004
	(In thousands)		
Revenues			
United States	\$ 3,419,067	\$ 3,159,569	\$ 3,209,862
International:			
Europe	1,544,541	1,408,653	1,410,525
Latin America	739,941	644,902	524,481
Asia Pacific	239,597	218,240	203,575
Other	214,888	192,189	197,655
Total International	2,738,967	2,463,984	2,336,236
Gross sales	6,158,034	5,623,553	5,546,098
Sales adjustments	(507,878)	(444,537)	(443,312)
Net sales	\$ 5,650,156	\$ 5,179,016	\$ 5,102,786

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
	(In thousands)	
Long-Lived Assets		
United States	\$ 1,030,772	\$ 934,476
International	571,806	529,423
Consolidated total	\$ 1,602,578	\$ 1,463,899

Major Customers

Sales to Mattel's three largest customers accounted for 43%, 45% and 46% of worldwide consolidated net sales for 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, as follows (in billions):

	For the Year		
	2006	2005	2004
Wal-Mart	\$ 1.1	\$ 1.0	\$ 1.0
Toys R Us	0.8	0.8	0.8
Target	0.5	0.5	0.5

The Mattel Girls & Boys Brands US and Fisher-Price Brands US segments sell products to each of Mattel's three largest customers. The International segment sells products to Wal-Mart and Toys R Us. The American Girl Brands segment sells its children's publications to Wal-Mart and Target.

Table of Contents**Note 11 Supplemental Financial Information**

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
	(In thousands)	
Inventories include the following:		
Raw materials and work in process	\$ 45,470	\$ 34,038
Finished goods	337,679	342,859
	<u>\$ 383,149</u>	<u>\$ 376,897</u>
Property, plant and equipment, net include the following:		
Land	\$ 39,445	\$ 29,125
Buildings	227,935	231,597
Machinery and equipment	759,467	736,041
Tools, dies and molds	537,463	557,133
Capital leases	23,271	23,271
Leasehold improvements	128,668	115,496
	<u>1,716,249</u>	<u>1,692,663</u>
Less: accumulated depreciation	(1,179,500)	(1,145,559)
	<u>\$ 536,749</u>	<u>\$ 547,104</u>
Other noncurrent assets include the following:		
Deferred income taxes	\$ 503,168	\$ 495,914
Identifiable intangibles (net of amortization of \$42.7 million and \$38.8 million in 2006 and 2005, respectively)	70,593	20,422
Other	149,912	178,304
	<u>\$ 723,673</u>	<u>\$ 694,640</u>
Accrued liabilities include the following:		
Receivable collections due to bank	\$ 245,545	\$ 200,417
Royalties	125,581	106,257
Incentive compensation	93,246	22,555
Advertising and promotion	76,799	75,113
Other	439,264	392,131
	<u>\$ 980,435</u>	<u>\$ 796,473</u>
Other noncurrent liabilities include the following:		
Benefit plan liabilities	\$ 176,584	\$ 165,637
Other	128,092	116,758
	<u>\$ 304,676</u>	<u>\$ 282,395</u>

	For the Year		
	2006	2005	2004
	(In thousands)		
Currency transaction (gains)/losses included in:			
Operating income	\$ (32,008)	\$ (57,356)	\$ (56,667)
Other non-operating expense (income), net	(1,652)	3,120	(2,168)
Net transaction (gains)	<u>\$ (33,660)</u>	<u>\$ (54,236)</u>	<u>\$ (58,835)</u>
Other selling and administrative expenses include the following:			
Design and development	\$ 173,514	\$ 182,015	\$ 171,337
Bad debt expense	3,399	3,108	7,659
Identifiable intangible asset amortization	3,906	2,315	2,622

Table of Contents**Note 12 Acquisition**

On October 3, 2006, Mattel completed its acquisition of Radica for net cash paid of \$196.4 million, which includes the purchase price and acquisition costs of \$235.1 million, net of cash acquired of \$38.7 million. Radica manufactures and markets a diverse line of electronic entertainment products including electronic games carrying the Radica[®], 20Q, Cube World, and Play TV[®] brand names and youth electronics carrying the Girl Tech[®] brand name. Under the Agreement and Plan of Amalgamation (the Amalgamation Agreement) between Radica and certain of Mattel's wholly owned subsidiaries, shareholders of Radica received \$11.55 in cash for each share of Radica common stock at the effective time of the amalgamation. Mattel, Inc. is a party to the Amalgamation Agreement solely as a guarantor of the obligations of its subsidiaries under the Amalgamation Agreement. Mattel's acquisition of Radica is intended to maximize and combine the core competencies of each company, as well as provide growth opportunities internationally and for existing brands like Barbie[®], Hot Wheels[®], and Fisher-Price[®].

Radica had net sales of \$58.7 million from the date of acquisition to December 31, 2006, and its results of operations are included in the 2006 consolidated financial statements following the date of acquisition. Radica's results of operations are not material to Mattel and, therefore, pro-forma results of operations for 2006 and 2005 have not been provided. The following table summarizes the balance sheet of Radica as of the acquisition date based on the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed:

	October 3, 2006
	(In thousands)
Cash and equivalents	\$ 38,698
Accounts receivable	33,226
Inventories	35,309
Property, plant and equipment	17,647
Goodwill	107,584
Identifiable intangible assets	54,200
Other assets	9,764
Total assets	\$ 296,428
Liabilities	\$ 61,365
Equity	235,063
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 296,428

Table of Contents**Note 13 Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)**

	First	Second	Third	Fourth
	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter
(In thousands, except per share amounts)				
Year Ended December 31, 2006				
Net sales	\$ 793,347	\$ 957,655	\$ 1,790,312	\$ 2,108,842
Gross profit	331,958	416,120	851,369	1,012,346
Advertising and promotion expenses	88,853	100,554	205,886	255,682
Other selling and administrative expenses (a)	275,096	265,655	323,248	368,001
Operating (loss) income	(31,991)	49,911	322,235	388,663
Income (loss) before income taxes	(36,495)	42,354	304,553	373,344
Net income (b)	\$ 30,170	\$ 37,380	\$ 239,025	\$ 286,352
Net income per common share basic	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.76
Weighted average number of common shares	388,766	386,191	378,628	378,261
Net income per common share diluted	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.62	\$ 0.75
Weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares	391,287	388,777	382,664	384,008
Dividends declared per common share	\$	\$	\$	\$ 0.65
Common stock market price:				
High	\$ 18.13	\$ 17.57	\$ 19.79	\$ 23.80
Low	14.78	15.94	15.88	19.44
Year Ended December 31, 2005				
Net sales	\$ 783,120	\$ 886,823	\$ 1,666,145	\$ 1,842,928
Gross profit	344,060	386,817	761,257	880,734
Advertising and promotion expenses	87,709	93,116	191,607	256,683
Other selling and administrative expenses	250,822	265,176	260,850	302,376
Operating income	5,529	28,525	308,800	321,675
Income before income taxes	8,948	26,006	311,339	305,756
Net income (loss) (c)	\$ 6,507	\$ (93,987)	\$ 225,339	\$ 279,160
Net income (loss) per common share basic	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.23)	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.70
Weighted average number of common shares	416,096	409,769	403,743	400,213
Net income (loss) per common share diluted	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.23)	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.69
Weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares	421,105	409,769	407,222	402,507
Dividends declared per common share	\$	\$	\$	\$ 0.50
Common stock market price:				
High	\$ 21.42	\$ 21.00	\$ 19.45	\$ 17.00
Low	18.35	17.60	16.39	14.53

- (a) Other selling and administrative expenses for the third quarter of 2006 included stock compensation expense of \$19.3 million related to the correction of prior period unintentional accounting errors.
- (b) Net income for the year ended December 31, 2006 was favorably impacted by income tax benefits of \$56.8 million as a result of settlements with foreign tax authorities in the first quarter of 2006, and \$6.2 million in the second quarter of 2006 related to a settlement with a state tax authority for tax years 1997 and 1998.
- (c) Net income for the year ended December 31, 2005 was impacted by an incremental income tax expense of \$112.9 million in the second quarter of 2005, and a reduction to income tax expense of \$5.9 million in the fourth quarter of 2005 related to the repatriation of unremitted foreign earnings under the Jobs Act. Additionally, net income in the fourth quarter of 2005 was impacted by a \$38.6 million income tax benefit primarily related to audit settlements with certain tax authorities in both the US and abroad.

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of December 31, 2006, Mattel's disclosure controls and procedures were evaluated to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by Mattel in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is accumulated and communicated to management, as appropriate, in a timely manner that would alert them to material information relating to Mattel that would be required to be included in Mattel's periodic reports and to provide reasonable assurance that such information was recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms. Based on this evaluation, Robert A. Eckert, Mattel's principal executive officer, and Kevin M. Farr, Mattel's principal financial officer, concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2006.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data--Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Mattel continues to implement a conversion to new and upgraded financial and human resources information technology systems that began in the fourth quarter of 2002. Mattel has evaluated the effect on its internal control over financial reporting of this conversion and determined that this conversion has not materially affected, and is not reasonably likely to materially affect, Mattel's internal control over financial reporting. Mattel has not made any significant changes to its internal control over financial reporting or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to December 31, 2006.

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

Information required under this Item is incorporated herein by reference to Mattel's 2007 Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after December 31, 2006. Information with respect to the executive officers of Mattel appears under the heading "Executive Officers of the Registrant" in Part I herein. Mattel has adopted the Mattel Code of Conduct (the "Code of Conduct") applicable to all directors, officers and employees which includes its general comprehensive code of ethical business conduct as well as provisions related to accounting and financial matters applicable to the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Corporate Controller and other finance organization employees (the "finance code of ethics"). The Code of Conduct is publicly available on Mattel's corporate website at <http://www.mattel.com>. A copy may also be obtained free of charge by mailing a request in writing to: Secretary, Mail Stop M1-1516, Mattel, Inc., 333 Continental Blvd., El Segundo, California 90245-5012. If Mattel makes any substantive amendments to the Code of Conduct or the finance code of ethics, or grants any waiver, including any implicit waiver from a provision of the Code of Conduct for any executive officer or director, or the finance code of ethics for the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer or Corporate Controller, Mattel will disclose the nature of such amendment or waiver on its corporate website or in a Current Report on Form 8-K. Mattel has posted the Board of Directors corporate governance guidelines and the charters of its Audit, Compensation and Governance and Social Responsibility Committees of the Board of Directors on its corporate website at <http://www.mattel.com>. Copies of the corporate governance guidelines and committee charters may be obtained free of charge by mailing a request to the address noted above.

Mattel has filed the certification of its Chief Executive Officer with the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") for 2006 as required pursuant to Section 303A.12(a) of the NYSE Listed Company Manual. In addition, Mattel has filed the Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 302 certifications of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer with the Securities and Exchange Commission, which are attached hereto as Exhibit 31.0 and Exhibit 31.1, respectively.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information required under this Item is incorporated herein by reference to Mattel's 2007 Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after December 31, 2006.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The information required under this Item is incorporated herein by reference to Mattel's 2007 Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after December 31, 2006.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

The information required under this Item is incorporated herein by reference to Mattel's 2007 Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after December 31, 2006.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

The information required under this Item is incorporated herein by reference to Mattel's 2007 Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after December 31, 2006.

Table of Contents**PART IV****Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.**

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this report:

1. *Financial Statements*

The following financial statements are filed as part of this report under Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

	Page
<u>Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting</u>	56
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	57
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2006 and 2005</u>	59
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004</u>	60
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004</u>	61
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004</u>	62
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	63

2. *Financial Statement Schedules for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004*

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Allowances

All other Financial Statement Schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto. See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

3. *Exhibits (Listed by numbers corresponding to Item 601 of Regulation S-K)*

Exhibit	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference			
		Form	File No.	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
3.0	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Mattel	10-K	001-05647	3.0	March 28, 2001
3.1	Certificate of Amendment of Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Mattel	10-K	001-05647	3.1	March 28, 2002

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

3.2	Certificate of Amendment of Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Mattel	DEF 14A	001-05647	B	March 26, 1998
3.3	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Mattel	8-K	001-05647	99.0	September 21, 2006
4.0	Specimen Stock Certificate with respect to Mattel s Common Stock	10-K	001-05647	4.0	March 28, 2002
4.1	Indenture, dated as of February 15, 1996, between Mattel and Chase Manhattan Bank and Trust Company, National Association, formerly Chemical Trust Company of California, as Trustee	10-K	001-05647	4.1	March 28, 2002

Table of Contents

Exhibit	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference			
		Form	File No.	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
4.2	Indenture, dated as of February 15, 1996, between Mattel and Chemical Trust Company of California (now known as J. P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association) relating to Senior Debt Securities	S-3ASR	333-134740	4.1	June 5, 2006
4.3	Form of Indenture between Mattel and J. P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association, relating to Subordinated Debt Securities	S-3ASR	333-134740	4.2	June 5, 2006
4.4	Underwriting Agreement dated June 8, 2006, between Mattel and Banc of America Securities LLC and Citigroup Global Markets Inc.	8-K	001-05647	1.1	June 12, 2006
4.5	Form of Floating Rate Notes due June 15, 2009	8-K	001-05647	4.1	June 12, 2006
4.6	Form of 6.125% Notes due June 15, 2011	8-K	001-05647	4.2	June 12, 2006
10.0	Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of March 23, 2005, among Mattel, Inc., as Borrower, Bank of America, N.A. as Administrative Agent, and the financial institutions party thereto	8-K	001-05647	99.0	March 29, 2005
10.1	First Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement dated as of March 20, 2002 among Mattel Factoring, Inc., as Transferor, Mattel, Inc., as Servicer, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the financial institutions thereto	10-K	001-05647	10.1	March 28, 2002
10.2	Amendment No. 1 to First Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement dated as of March 19, 2004, among Mattel Factoring, Inc., as Transferor, Mattel, Inc., as Servicer, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the financial institutions party thereto	10-Q	001-05647	99.1	May 7, 2004

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference			
		Form	File No.	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
10.3	Amendment No. 2 to First Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement dated as of March 23, 2005, among Mattel Factoring, Inc., as Transferor, Mattel, Inc., as Servicer, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the financial institutions party thereto	8-K	001-05647	99.1	March 29, 2005
10.4	Credit Agreement dated as of December 9, 2005, among Mattel Asia Pacific Sourcing Limited, as Borrower, Mattel, Inc., Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the financial institutions party thereto	8-K	001-05647	99.1	December 15, 2005
10.5	Continuing Guaranty Agreement dated as of December 9, 2005, by Mattel, Inc., as Guarantor, to Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent	8-K	001-05647	99.2	December 15, 2005
10.6	Distribution Agreement dated November 12, 1997 among Mattel, Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated and Credit Suisse First Boston Corporation	10-K	001-05647	10.2	March 24, 2003
10.7	Master Agreement for the Transfer of Receivables dated 30th November, 2001 among Societe Generale Bank Nederland N.V., Mattel International Holdings B.V. as Depositor and Mattel France S.A. and Mattel GmbH as the Sellers	10-K	001-05647	10.6	March 28, 2002
10.8	Amendment to Master Agreement for the Transfer of Receivables dated December 20, 2001 among Societe Generale Bank Nederland N.V., Mattel International Holdings B.V., Mattel France S.A. and Mattel GmbH	10-K	001-05647	10.7	March 28, 2002
10.9	Amendment to Master Agreement for the Transfer of Receivables dated July 1, 2002 among Societe Generale Bank Nederland, Mattel International Holdings B.V., Mattel France S.A.S. and Mattel GmbH	10-Q	001-05647	99.3	August 9, 2002

Table of Contents

Exhibit	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference			
		Form	File No.	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
10.10	Amendment to Master Agreement for the Transfer of Receivables dated July 29, 2003 among Societe Generale Bank Nederland N.V., Mattel International Holdings B.V., Mattel France S.A.S. and Mattel GmbH	10-Q	001-05647	99.0	August 14, 2003
10.11	Amendment to Master Agreement for the Transfer of Receivables dated July 12, 2004 among Societe Generale Bank Nederland N.V., Mattel International Holdings B.V., Mattel France S.A.S. and Mattel GmbH	10-Q	001-05647	99.0	August 6, 2004
10.12	Letter Agreement Regarding Master Agreement for the Transfer of Receivables among Societe Generale Bank Nederland N.V., Mattel International Holdings B.V., Mattel France S.A.S. and Mattel GmbH	10-Q	001-05647	99.2	November 8, 2004
10.13	Amendment to Master Agreement for the Transfer of Receivables dated June 14, 2005 among Societe Generale Bank Nederland N.V., Mattel International Holdings B.V., Mattel France S.A.S. and Mattel GmbH	8-K	001-05647	99.0	June 24, 2005
10.14	Amendment to Master Agreement for the Transfer of Receivables dated July 1, 2005 among Societe Generale Bank Nederland N.V., Mattel International Holdings B.V., Mattel France S.A.S. and Mattel GmbH	8-K	001-05647	99.0	July 8, 2005
10.15	Termination agreement with Societe Generale Bank Nederland N.V.	8-K	001-05647	99.0	February 9, 2007
Executive Compensation Plans and Arrangements of Mattel					
10.16	Form of Indemnity Agreement between Mattel and its directors and certain of its executive officers	10-K	001-05647	10.9	March 28, 2001
10.17	Executive Employment Agreement dated October 18, 2000 between Mattel and Robert A. Eckert	10-K	001-05647	10.10	March 28, 2001
10.18	Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement between Mattel and Robert A. Eckert dated March 8, 2005	8-K	001-05647	99.7	March 18, 2005

Table of Contents

Exhibit	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference			
		Form	File No.	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
10.19	Letter Agreement between Mattel and Robert A. Eckert entered into on April 4, 2005 regarding the Mattel, Inc. 2005 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.1	April 8, 2005
10.20	Executive Employment Agreement dated January 31, 2000 between Mattel and Neil B. Friedman	10-K	001-05647	10.12	March 10, 2000
10.21	Amendment to Employment Agreement dated November 14, 2000 between Mattel and Neil B. Friedman	10-K	001-05647	10.29	March 28, 2001
10.22	Amendment to Employment Agreement and Stock Option Grant Agreements between Mattel and Neil B. Friedman dated February 10, 2000	10-K	001-05647	10.14	March 10, 2000
10.23	Letter agreement between Mattel and Neil B. Friedman entered into on April 4, 2005 regarding the Mattel, Inc. 2005 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.5	April 8, 2005
10.24	Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement dated March 28, 2000 between Mattel and Kevin M. Farr	10-K	001-05647	10.33	March 28, 2001
10.25	Amendment to Employment Agreement and Stock Option Grant Agreements dated July 20, 2000 between Mattel and Kevin M. Farr	10-K	001-05647	10.34	March 28, 2001
10.26	Amendment to Employment Agreement dated March 6, 2002 between Mattel and Kevin M. Farr	10-K	001-05647	10.30	March 28, 2002
10.27	Letter agreement between Mattel and Kevin M. Farr entered into on April 4, 2005 regarding the Mattel, Inc. 2005 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.4	April 8, 2005
10.28	Executive Employment Agreement dated November 13, 2000 between Mattel and Thomas A. Debrowski	10-K	001-05647	10.24	March 8, 2005
10.29	Letter agreement between Mattel and Thomas A. Debrowski entered into on April 4, 2005 regarding the Mattel, Inc. 2005 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.3	April 8, 2005

Table of Contents

Exhibit	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference			
		Form	File No.	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
10.30	Letter agreement between Mattel and Thomas A. Debrowski dated October 11, 2005, entered into October 12, 2005, amending Mr. Debrowski's employment agreement	8-K	001-05647	99.2	October 14, 2005
10.31	Employment letter dated August 22, 2000 between Mattel and Bryan G. Stockton	10-K	001-05647	10.26	March 12, 2004
10.32	Letter agreement between Mattel and Bryan G. Stockton entered into on March 28, 2005, regarding the Mattel, Inc. 2005 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.31	February 27, 2006
10.33	2002 Mattel Incentive Plan	DEF 14A	001-05647	Appendix A	April 11, 2002
10.34	Mattel, Inc. 2003 Long-Term Incentive Plan	DEF 14A	001-05647	Appendix A	April 2, 2003
10.35	Amendment No.1 to Mattel, Inc. 2003 Long-Term Incentive Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.1	March 18, 2005
10.36	Mattel, Inc. Deferred Compensation and PIP Excess Plan	S-8	333-89458	4.1	May 31, 2002
10.37	Mattel, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors	10-K	001-05647	10.12	March 31, 1999
10.38	Amendment No. 1 to Mattel, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors	10-K	001-05647	10.43	March 28, 2001
10.39	Mattel, Inc. Amended & Restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan as of May 1, 1996	10-K	001-05647	10.37	March 28, 2002
10.40	Amendment No. 1 to Mattel, Inc. Amended & Restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.22	March 10, 2000
10.41	Mattel, Inc. 2005 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.4	March 18, 2005
10.42	The Fisher-Price Pension Plan (1994 Restatement)	10-K	001-05647	10.41	March 28, 2002
10.43	Fifth Amendment to the Fisher-Price Pension Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.49	March 28, 2001
10.44	Sixth Amendment to the Fisher-Price Pension Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.43	March 28, 2002
10.45	Seventh Amendment to the Fisher-Price Pension Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.36	March 12, 2004

Table of Contents

Exhibit	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference			
		Form	File No.	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
10.46	Eighth Amendment to the Fisher-Price Pension Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.37	March 12, 2004
10.47	Ninth Amendment to the Fisher-Price Pension Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.48	February 27, 2006
10.48*	Tenth Amendment to the Fisher-Price Pension Plan				
10.49	The Fisher-Price Section 415 Excess Benefit Plan	10	001-05647	10(n)	June 28, 1991
10.50*	Mattel, Inc. Personal Investment Plan, January 1, 2006 Restatement				
10.51	Mattel, Inc. Amended and Restated 1990 Stock Option Plan (the 1990 Plan)	10-K	001-05647	10.49	March 28, 2002
10.52	Amendment No. 1 to the 1990 Plan	S-4	33-50749	F-1	October 25, 1993
10.53	Amendment No. 2 to the 1990 Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.57	March 28, 2001
10.54	Amendment No. 3 to the 1990 Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.34	March 10, 2000
10.55	Amendment No. 4 to the 1990 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	99.0	May 3, 2000
10.56	Form of First Amendment to Award Agreement under the 1990 Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.60	March 28, 2001
10.57	Notice of Grant of Stock Options and Grant Agreement under the 1990 Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.61	March 28, 2001
10.58	Grant Agreement for a Non-Qualified Stock Option under the 1990 Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.62	March 28, 2001
10.59	Award Cancellation Agreement under the 1990 Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.63	March 28, 2001
10.60	Amended and Restated Mattel, Inc. 1996 Stock Option Plan (the 1996 Plan)	10-K	001-05647	10.58	March 28, 2002
10.61	Amendment to the 1996 Plan	S-8	333-75145	4.2	March 26, 1999
10.62	Amendment No. 2 to the 1996 Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.42	March 10, 2000
10.63	Amendment No. 3 to the 1996 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	99.1	May 3, 2000
10.64	Amendment No. 4 to the 1996 Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.68	March 28, 2001
10.65	Amendment No. 5 to the 1996 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	99.1	October 26, 2001
10.66	Amendment to the 1996 Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.64	March 28, 2002
10.67	Amendment No. 6 to the 1996 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	99.0	August 9, 2002
10.68	Amendment No. 7 to the 1996 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	99.0	November 12, 2002

Table of Contents

Exhibit	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference			
		Form	File No.	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
10.69	Form of Option Grant Agreement for Outside Directors (Initial Grant) under the 1996 Plan, as amended	10-Q	001-05647	99.1	August 14, 2003
10.70	Form of Option Grant Agreement for Outside Directors (Annual Grant) under the 1996 Plan, as amended	10-Q	001-05647	99.2	August 14, 2003
10.71	Form of Option Grant Agreement (Three Year Vesting) under the 1996 Plan, as amended	10-Q	001-05647	99.3	August 14, 2003
10.72	Form of Grant Agreement for a Restricted Stock Grant under the Mattel, Inc. 1996 Stock Option Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.6	March 18, 2005
10.73	Mattel, Inc. 1997 Premium Price Stock Option Plan (the 1997 Plan)	DEF 14A	001-05647	A	March 26, 1998
10.74	First Amendment to the 1997 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	10.00	July 21, 1998
10.75	Second Amendment to the 1997 Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.26	March 31, 1999
10.76	Amendment No. 3 to the 1997 Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.48	March 10, 2000
10.77	Amendment No. 4 to the 1997 Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.75	March 28, 2001
10.78	Amendment No. 5 to the 1997 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	99.1	August 9, 2002
10.79	Form of Option and TLSAR Agreement under the 1997 Plan (25% Premium Grant), as amended	10-Q	001-05647	10.1	July 21, 1998
10.80	Form of Option and TLSAR Agreement under the 1997 Plan (33 1/3 % Premium Grant), as amended	10-Q	001-05647	10.2	July 21, 1998
10.81	Mattel 1999 Stock Option Plan (the 1999 Plan)	10-K	001-05647	10.51	March 10, 2000
10.82	Amendment No. 1 to the 1999 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	99.2	May 3, 2000
10.83	Amendment No. 2 to the 1999 Plan	10-K	001-05647	10.80	March 28, 2001
10.84	Amendment No. 3 to the 1999 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	99.2	August 9, 2002
10.85	Form of Option Grant Agreement (Three Year Vesting) under the 1999 Plan, as amended	10-K	001-05647	10.77	March 12, 2004
10.86	Mattel, Inc. 2005 Equity Compensation Plan (the 2005 Plan)	DEF 14A	001-05647	Appendix C	April 13, 2005
10.87	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2005 grants to employees of Non-Qualified Stock Options (NQSOs) under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.1	August 5, 2005

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference			
		Form	File No.	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
10.88	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2006 grants to employees of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.0	August 4, 2006
10.89	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2006 grants to employees of Restricted Stock Units with Dividend Equivalents (RSUs) under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.5	August 4, 2006
10.90	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2005 grant to Robert A. Eckert of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.2	August 5, 2005
10.91	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2006 grant to Robert A. Eckert of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.1	August 4, 2006
10.92	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2006 grant to Robert A. Eckert of RSUs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.6	August 4, 2006
10.93	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2005 grant to Thomas A. Debrowski of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.4	August 5, 2005
10.94	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2006 grant to Thomas A. Debrowski of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.2	August 4, 2006
10.95	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2005 grant to Kevin M. Farr of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.5	August 5, 2005
10.96	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2006 grant to Kevin M. Farr of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.3	August 4, 2006
10.97	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2005 grant to Neil B. Friedman of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.6	August 5, 2005
10.98	Form of Grant Agreement for August 1, 2006 grant to Neil B. Friedman of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.4	August 4, 2006
10.99	Form of Grant Agreement for October 18, 2005 grant to Neil B. Friedman of RSUs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.1	October 14, 2005

Table of Contents

Exhibit	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference			
		Form	File No.	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
10.100	Form of Amendment to Grant Agreement for October 18, 2005 grant to Neil B. Friedman of RSUs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.4	May 12, 2006
10.101	Form of Grant Agreement for Initial Grants to Outside Director of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.1	March 17, 2006
10.102	Form of Grant Agreement as of March 16, 2006 for Initial Grant to Outside Director of RSUs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.0	May 3, 2006
10.103	Form of Grant Agreement as of September 15, 2006 for Initial Grant to Outside Director of RSUs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.0	August 2, 2006
10.104	Form of Grant Agreement for May 19, 2005 Annual Grants to Outside Directors of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	99.0	August 3, 2005
10.105	Form of Grant Agreement for May 19, 2005 Annual Grants to Outside Directors of RSUs under the 2005 Plan	10-Q	001-05647	99.1	August 3, 2005
10.106	Form of Amendment to Grant Agreement for May 19, 2005 Annual Grants to Outside Directors of RSUs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.3	May 12, 2006
10.107	Form of Grant Agreement for May 11, 2006 Annual Grants to Outside Directors of NQSOs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.1	May 12, 2006
10.108	Form of Grant Agreement for May 11, 2006 Annual Grants to Outside Directors of RSUs under the 2005 Plan	8-K	001-05647	99.2	May 12, 2006
10.109*	Mattel, Inc. Key Executive Life Insurance Plan (for Robert A. Eckert)				
10.110	Mattel, Inc. Summary of Compensation of the Non-Employee Members of the Board of Directors	8-K	001-05647	99.2	March 18, 2005
11.0*	Computation of Income per Common and Common Equivalent Share				
12.0*	Computation of Earnings to Fixed Charges				
21.0*	Subsidiaries of the Registrant as of December 31, 2006				

Table of Contents

Exhibit	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference			
		Form	File No.	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
23.0*	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm				
24.0*	Power of Attorney (on page 114 of Form 10-K)				
31.0*	Certification of Principal Executive Officer dated February 26, 2007 pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002				
31.1*	Certification of Principal Financial Officer dated February 26, 2007 pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002				
32.0**	Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer dated February 26, 2007, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ⁽¹⁾				

* *Filed herewith.*

** *Furnished herewith.*

(1) *This exhibit should not be deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act.*

Mattel has not filed certain long-term debt instruments under which the principal amount of securities authorized to be issued does not exceed 10% of its total assets. Copies of such agreements will be provided to the SEC upon request.

(b) *Exhibits Required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K*

See Item (3) above.

(c) *Financial Statement Schedule*

See Item (2) above.

Copies of this Annual Report on Form 10-K (including Exhibit 24.0) and Exhibits 11.0, 12.0, 21.0, 23.0, 31.0, 31.1 and 32.0 are available to stockholders of Mattel without charge. Copies of other exhibits can be obtained by stockholders of Mattel upon payment of twelve cents per page for such exhibits. Written requests should be sent to: Secretary, Mail Stop M1-1516, Mattel, Inc., 333 Continental Blvd., El Segundo, California 90245-5012.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MATTEL, INC.

Registrant

By: /s/ KEVIN M. FARR

Kevin M. Farr

Chief Financial Officer

Date: February 26, 2007

POWER OF ATTORNEY

We, the undersigned directors and officers of Mattel, Inc. do hereby severally constitute and appoint Robert A. Eckert, Robert Normile, Norman Gholson, and John L. Vogelstein, and each of them, our true and lawful attorneys and agents, to do any and all acts and things in our name and behalf in our capacities as directors and officers and to execute any and all instruments for us and in our names in the capacities indicated below, which said attorneys and agents, or any of them, may deem necessary or advisable to enable said Corporation to comply with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and any rules, regulations and requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission, in connection with this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including specifically, but without limitation, power and authority to sign for us or any of us, in our names in the capacities indicated below, any and all amendments hereto; and we do each hereby ratify and confirm all that said attorneys and agents, or any one of them, shall do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
<hr/> /s/ ROBERT A. ECKERT <hr/> Robert A. Eckert	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer)	February 26, 2007
<hr/> /s/ KEVIN M. FARR <hr/> Kevin M. Farr	Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer)	February 26, 2007

Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

<u>/s/ H. SCOTT TOPHAM</u>	Senior Vice President and Corporate Controller (principal accounting officer)	February 26, 2007
H. Scott Topham		
<u>/s/ EUGENE P. BEARD</u>	Director	February 26, 2007
Eugene P. Beard		
<u>/s/ MICHAEL J. DOLAN</u>	Director	February 26, 2007
Michael J. Dolan		

Table of Contents

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ TULLY M. FRIEDMAN	Director	February 26, 2007
Tully M. Friedman		
/s/ DR. FRANCES D. FERGUSSON	Director	February 26, 2007
Dr. Frances D. Fergusson		
/s/ DOMINIC NG	Director	February 26, 2007
Dominic Ng		
/s/ ANDREA L. RICH	Director	February 26, 2007
Andrea L. Rich		
/s/ RONALD L. SARGENT	Director	February 26, 2007
Ronald L. Sargent		
/s/ CHRISTOPHER A. SINCLAIR	Director	February 26, 2007
Christopher A. Sinclair		
/s/ G. CRAIG SULLIVAN	Director	February 26, 2007
G. Craig Sullivan		
/s/ JOHN L. VOGELSTEIN	Director	February 26, 2007
John L. Vogelstein		
/s/ KATHY BRITTAIN WHITE	Director	February 26, 2007
Kathy Brittain White		

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE II

MATTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS AND ALLOWANCES

	<u>Balance at Beginning of Year</u>	<u>Additions Charged to Operations</u>	<u>Net Deductions</u>	<u>Balance at End of Year</u>
(In thousands)				
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts				
Year ended December 31, 2006	\$ 24,577	\$ 3,399	\$ (8,574)(a)	\$ 19,402
Year ended December 31, 2005	32,831	3,108	(11,362)(a)	24,577
Year ended December 31, 2004	27,451	7,659	(2,279)(a)	32,831
Allowance for Inventory Obsolescence				
Year ended December 31, 2006	\$ 60,535	\$ 22,953	\$ (40,225)(b)	\$ 43,263
Year ended December 31, 2005	65,231	27,574	(32,270)(b)	60,535
Year ended December 31, 2004	53,647	35,437	(23,853)(b)	65,231
Income Tax Valuation Allowances				
Year ended December 31, 2006	\$ 201,809	\$ 12,564	\$ (28,914)(c)	\$ 185,459
Year ended December 31, 2005	205,277	16,249	(19,717)(c)	201,809
Year ended December 31, 2004	208,878	25,745	(29,346)(c)	205,277

(a) Includes writeoffs, recoveries of previous writeoffs, and currency translation adjustments.

(b) Primarily represents relief of previously established reserves resulting from the disposal of related inventory, raw materials, write-downs and currency translation adjustments.

(c) Primarily represents utilization of loss carryforwards.