Digital Realty Trust, Inc. Form S-11/A July 14, 2005 Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 14, 2005

Registration No. 333-126396

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

AMENDMENT NO. 1

TO

FORM S-11

FOR REGISTRATION UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933
OF SECURITIES OF CERTAIN REAL ESTATE COMPANIES

Digital Realty Trust, Inc.

 $(Exact\ Name\ of\ Registrant\ as\ Specified\ in\ Its\ Governing\ Instruments)$

560 Mission Street, Suite 2900, San Francisco, California 94105, (415) 738-6500

(Address, Including Zip Code, and Telephone Number, Including Area Code, of Registrant s Principal Executive Offices)

Michael F. Foust

Chief Executive Officer

Digital Realty Trust, Inc.

560 Mission Street, Suite 2900, San Francisco, California 94105, (415) 738-6500

(Name, Address, Including Zip Code, and Telephone Number, Including Area Code, of Agent for Service)

Copies to:

Julian T.H. Kleindorfer	Ettore A. Santucci
Keith Benson	Eric J. Graham
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP	GOODWIN PROCTER LLP
633 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000	Exchange Place, 53 State Street
Los Angeles, California 90071	Boston, Massachusetts 02109
(213) 485-1234	(617) 570-1000

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public: As soon as practicable after this Registration Statement becomes effective.

If this form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement of the same offering.

If this form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. "

If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, please check the following box. "

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

Title of Each Class of	Proposed M Aggregate (Amount of
Securities To Be Registered	Price	(1)	Registration Fee
Common Stock, Par Value \$.01 Per Share % Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, Par Value \$.01 Per Share	,	084,900 250,000	\$ 10,249.90 ₍₂₎ \$ 7,444.53 ₍₃₎

- (1) Estimated solely for the purpose of calculating the registration fee pursuant to Rule 457(o) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.
- (2) Of this amount, \$10,151.63 was previously paid.
- (3) Previously paid.

The registrant hereby amends this registration statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this registration statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or until this registration statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This registration statement contains a prospectus relating to an offering of our common stock (the common stock prospectus) and a prospectus relating to a concurrent offering of our % series B cumulative redeemable preferred stock (the preferred stock prospectus). The complete common stock prospectus follows immediately. Following the common stock prospectus are alternate pages for the preferred stock prospectus, including:

the front and back cover pages;

pages for the Prospectus Summary section, describing our preferred stock offering;

pages containing risk factors applicable only to our preferred stock offering;

pages constituting the sections entitled Ratios of Earnings and EBITDA to Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends; and pages constituting the section entitled Underwriting.

The complete prospectus for each of our preferred and common stock offerings will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in accordance with Rule 424 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

SUBJECT TO COMPLETION, DATED JULY 14, 2005

PROSPECTUS

4,200,000 Shares

Common Stock

We are offering 4,200,000 shares of our common stock, par value \$.01 per share. We have granted the underwriters an option to purchase up to 630,000 additional shares of our common stock to cover over-allotments.

Concurrently with this offering, we are also conducting an offering of 2,200,000 shares of our series B preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share. We have granted the underwriters of the preferred stock offering an option to purchase up to 330,000 additional shares of our series B preferred stock to cover over-allotments.

We are organized and conduct our operations to qualify as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, for federal income tax purposes. To assist us in complying with certain federal income tax requirements applicable to REITs, our charter contains certain restrictions relating to the ownership and transfer of our capital stock, including an ownership limit of 9.8% on our common stock.

Our common stock currently trades on the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, under the symbol DLR . On July 12, 2005, the closing sale price of our common stock, as reported on the NYSE, was \$18.03.

See Risk Factors beginning on page 16 for certain risk factors relevant to an investment in our common stock, including, among others:

Our properties depend upon the demand for technology-related real estate and the state of the technology industry generally. A decline in the technology industry could lead to a decrease in the demand for technology-related real estate, which may have a greater adverse effect on our business and financial condition than if we owned a portfolio with a more diversified tenant base.

We depend on significant tenants that may be costly or difficult to replace, and many of our properties are occupied by single tenants. The loss of significant tenants could cause a material decrease in cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we will be taxed as a corporation and our liability for certain federal, state and local income taxes may significantly increase, which could result in a material decrease in cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders.

	Per Share	Total
Public Offering Price	\$	\$
Underwriting Discount	\$	\$
Proceeds, before expenses, to us	\$	\$

The underwriters expect to deliver the shares on or about , 2005.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

Joint Book-Running Managers

Citigroup

Merrill Lynch & Co.

UBS Investment Bank

Credit Suisse First Boston

KeyBanc Capital Markets

RBC Capital Markets

JMP Securities

The date of this prospectus is , 2005.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Prospectus Summary	
DIGITAL REALTY TRUST, INC.	
Overview	
Our Competitive Strengths	2
Business and Growth Strategies	3
Summary Risk Factors	-
The Properties	7
Our Portfolio of Properties	-
RIGHT OF FIRST OFFER PROPERTY	
This Offering	· ·
CONCURRENT PREFERRED STOCK OFFERING	10
Our Tax Status	10
Summary Selected Financial Data	11
RISK FACTORS	16
FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	33
Use of Proceeds	34
PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK AND DISTRIBUTIONS	35
Capitalization	36
SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	37
	Page
Management s Discussionand Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	42
Business and Properties	64
Management	106
Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	119
Policies with Respect to Certain Activities	125
STRUCTURE OF OUR COMPANY	129
DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT OF DIGITAL REALTY TRUST, L.P.	131
Principal Stockholders	137
Description of Preferred Stock	139
Description of Securities	148
MATERIAL PROVISIONS OF MARYLAND LAW AND OF OUR CHARTER AND BYLAWS	153
FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS	159
ERISA Considerations	178
<u>Underwriting</u>	181
<u>Legal Matters</u>	184
<u>Experts</u>	184
Where You Can Find More Information	184

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with different information. You should not assume that the information in this prospectus is accurate as of any date other than the date on the front cover of this prospectus.

NOTE REGARDING THE ACQUISITION PROPERTIES

We are under contract to acquire three properties in Charlotte, North Carolina. We refer to these properties collectively as the Charlotte Internet Gateway properties, or the acquisition properties. While we believe that we will consummate the acquisition of the acquisition properties, we cannot assure you that we will because the consummation of the acquisition remains subject to the completion of our due diligence and satisfaction of customary closing conditions. Information in this prospectus with respect to the acquisition properties, including square feet, tenants, leasing, rents, commissions, credits and allowances and lease expirations, has been provided by the seller of the properties and, although we believe such information to be accurate, we cannot assure you that it is accurate or complete because we are still in the process of conducting acquisition diligence.

i

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

You should read the following summary together with the more detailed information regarding our company and the historical and pro forma financial statements appearing elsewhere in this prospectus, including under the caption Risk Factors. References in this prospectus to we, our, us and our company refer to Digital Realty Trust, Inc., a Maryland corporation, together with our consolidated subsidiaries, including Digital Realty Trust, L.P., a Maryland limited partnership of which we are the sole general partner and to which we refer in this prospectus as our operating partnership. We refer to the concurrent offerings of our common stock and our series B preferred stock as the concurrent offerings. Unless otherwise indicated, the information contained in this prospectus (including debt balances) is as of March 31, 2005, assumes the completion of the concurrent offerings, that the underwriters over-allotment options in the concurrent offerings are not exercised, and that the common stock to be sold in our common stock offering is sold at \$18.03 per share, the closing price of our common stock on the NYSE on July 12, 2005. Additionally, unless otherwise indicated, portfolio property data as of March 31, 2005 relating to square feet, tenants, leasing, rents, commissions, credits and allowances and lease expirations includes such data for the acquisition properties and seven properties which we acquired subsequent to March 31, 2005. Those seven properties are Lakeside Technology Center, Ameriquest Data Center, Savvis Data Centers 2 through 5 and Savvis Office Building.

Digital Realty Trust, Inc.

Overview

We own, acquire, reposition and manage technology-related real estate. We target high-quality, strategically located properties containing applications and operations critical to the day-to-day operations of technology industry tenants and corporate and institutional data center users, including the information technology, or IT, departments of Fortune 1000 and financial services companies. Our tenant base is diversified within the technology industry and reflects a broad spectrum of regional, national and international tenants that are leaders in their respective areas. We intend to operate as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, for federal income tax purposes.

Through our operating partnership, we own 33 properties and are under contract to acquire three additional properties. Our properties are located throughout the U.S. and one property is in London, England. Our properties contain a total of approximately 7.8 million net rentable square feet, excluding space held for redevelopment. Our operations and acquisition activities are focused on a limited number of markets where technology industry tenants and corporate and institutional data center users are concentrated, including the Boston, Chicago, Dallas, Los Angeles, New York, Philadelphia, San Francisco and Silicon Valley metropolitan areas. As of March 31, 2005, our portfolio, excluding space held for redevelopment, was approximately 90.1% leased at an average annualized rent per leased square foot of \$20.12. The types of properties within our focus include:

Internet gateways, which serve as hubs for Internet and data communications within and between major metropolitan areas;

Data centers, which provide secure, continuously available environments for the storage and processing of critical electronic information. Data centers are used for disaster recovery purposes, transaction processing and to house the IT operations of companies;

Technology manufacturing properties, which contain highly specialized manufacturing environments for such purposes as disk drive manufacturing, semiconductor manufacturing and specialty pharmaceutical manufacturing; and

Regional or national headquarters of technology companies that are located in our target markets.

1

Most of our properties have extensive tenant improvements that have been installed at our tenants expense. Unlike traditional office and flex/research and development space, the location of and improvements to our facilities are generally essential to our tenants businesses, which we believe results in high occupancy levels, long lease terms and low tenant turnover. The tenant-installed improvements in our facilities are readily adaptable for use by similar tenants.

Our portfolio includes 21 properties contributed to us by Global Innovation Partners, LLC, or GI Partners, in connection with our initial public offering in November 2004. GI Partners is a private equity fund that was formed to pursue investment opportunities that intersect the real estate and technology industries. GI Partners was formed in February 2001 after a competitive six-month selection process conducted by the California Public Employees Retirement System, or CalPERS, the largest U.S. pension fund.

Our principal executive offices are located at 560 Mission Street, Suite 2900, San Francisco, California 94105. Our telephone number is (415) 738-6500. Our website is located at www.digitalrealtytrust.com. The information found on or accessible through our website is not incorporated into and does not form a part of this prospectus or any other report or document we file with or furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Our Competitive Strengths

We believe we distinguish ourselves from other owners, acquirors and managers of technology-related real estate through our competitive strengths, which include:

High-Quality Portfolio that is Difficult to Replicate. Our portfolio contains state-of-the-art facilities with extensive tenant improvements. Based on current market rents and the estimated replacement costs of our properties and their improvements, we believe that they could not be replicated today on a cost-competitive basis. Many of the properties in our portfolio are located on major aggregation points formed by the physical presence of multiple major telecommunications service providers, which reduces our tenants costs and operational risks and increases the attractiveness of our buildings.

Presence in Key Markets. Our portfolio is located in 16 metropolitan areas, including the Boston, Chicago, Dallas, Los Angeles, New York, Philadelphia, San Francisco and Silicon Valley metropolitan areas, and is diversified so that no one market represented more than 25.7% of the aggregate annualized rent of our portfolio as of March 31, 2005.

Long-Term Leases. We have long-term leases with stable cash flows. As of March 31, 2005, our average lease term was in excess of 12.6 years, with an average of 8.0 years remaining, excluding renewal options. Through 2008, leases representing only 9.2% of our net rentable square feet, or 8.3% of our aggregate annualized rent, are scheduled to expire. Moreover, through 2006, leases representing only 3.3% of our net rentable square feet are scheduled to expire.

Specialized Focus in Dynamic and Growing Industry. We focus solely on technology-related real estate because we believe that the growth of the technology industry, particularly Internet and data communications and corporate data center use, will be superior to that of the overall economy. We believe that our specialized understanding of both real estate and technology gives us a significant competitive advantage over less specialized investors. We use our in-depth knowledge of the technology industry, particularly Internet and data communications and corporate data center use, to identify strategically located properties, market our properties to tenants with specific technology needs, evaluate tenants creditworthiness and business models and assess the long-term value of in-place technical improvements.

Proven Acquisition Capability. Since 2002, we have acquired 33 technology-related real estate properties (including ten properties containing over 2.1 million net rentable square feet since our initial public offering in November 2004), with another three under contract, for an aggregate of 7.8 million

2

net rentable square feet, excluding space held for redevelopment. Our broad network of contacts within a highly fragmented universe of sellers and brokers of technology-related real estate enables us to capitalize on acquisition opportunities. We have developed detailed, standardized procedures for evaluating acquisitions to ensure that they meet our financial and other criteria, which allows us to evaluate investment opportunities efficiently and, as appropriate, commit and close quickly. We acquired more than half of our properties before they were broadly marketed by real estate brokers.

Experienced and Committed Management Team. Our senior management team, including our Executive Chairman, has an average of over 21 years of experience in the technology or real estate industries, including experience as investors in, advisors to and founders of technology companies. We believe that our senior management team s extensive knowledge of both the real estate and the technology industries provides us with a key competitive advantage. Following the completion of the concurrent offerings, our senior management team will collectively own a common equity interest in our company of approximately 3.0% on a fully diluted basis, which aligns management s interests with those of our stockholders.

Unique Sourcing Relationships. The members of GI Partners hold a substantial indirect investment in our company. Accordingly, we anticipate that they will play an active role in our future success. We expect that CalPERS will provide us with introductions to potential sources of acquisitions and access to its technology industry experts and will be a potential source of co-investment capital. In addition, we expect that GI Partners private equity investment professionals will provide additional technology industry expertise and access to deal flow.

Business and Growth Strategies

Our primary business objectives are to maximize sustainable long-term growth in earnings, funds from operations and cash flow per share and to maximize returns to our stockholders. Our business strategies to achieve these objectives are:

Capitalize on Acquisition Opportunities. We believe that acquisitions enable us to increase cash flow and create long-term stockholder value. Our relationships with corporate and institutional information technology groups, technology tenants and real estate brokers who are dedicated to serving these tenants provide us with ongoing access to potential acquisitions and often enable us to avoid competitive bidding. Furthermore, the specialized nature of technology-related real estate makes it more difficult for traditional real estate investors to understand, which fosters reduced competition for acquisitions relative to other property types. We believe this dynamic creates an opportunity for us to obtain better risk-adjusted returns on our capital.

Maximize the Cash Flow of our Properties. We aggressively manage and lease our assets to increase their cash flow. We often acquire properties with substantial in-place cash flow and some vacancy, which enables us to create upside through lease-up. Our portfolio, excluding space held for redevelopment, was approximately 90.1% leased as of March 31, 2005, leaving approximately 779,000 square feet of net rentable space available for lease-up. Moreover, many of our properties contain extensive in-place infrastructure or buildout which may result in higher rents when leased to tenants seeking these improvements. We control our costs by negotiating expense pass-through provisions in tenant leases for operating expenses and certain capital expenditures. Leases covering more than 95% of the leased net rentable square feet in our portfolio as of March 31, 2005 required tenants to pay all or a portion of increases in operating expenses, including real estate taxes, insurance, common area charges and other expenses. Since our initial public offering in November 2004, we have executed leases for 36,044 square feet of technical space at an average annualized rent of \$95.80 per square foot and 209,592 square feet of nontechnical space at an average annualized rent of \$19.48 per square foot, in each case including lease renewals and expansions commencing in 2004 through 2009.

Subdivide Improved Space for Turn-Key Data Center Use. We own approximately 230,000 net rentable square feet of space with extensive data center improvements that is currently, or will shortly be, available for lease. Rather than leasing all of this space to large single tenants, we are subdividing some of it for multi-tenant colocation use, with tenants averaging between 100 and 5,000 square feet of net rentable space. Multi-tenant colocation is a cost-effective solution for tenants who cannot afford or do not require their own extensive infrastructure and security. Because we can provide such features, we are able to lease space to these tenants at a significant premium over other uses.

Leverage Strong Industry Relationships. We use our strong industry relationships with national and regional corporate enterprise information technology groups and technology-intensive companies to identify and comprehensively respond to their real estate needs. Our leasing and sales professionals are real estate and technology industry specialists who can develop complex facility solutions for the most demanding Internet gateway and other technology tenants and corporate and institutional data center users.

Use Capital Efficiently. We intend to sell assets opportunistically. We believe that we can increase stockholder returns by effectively redeploying asset sales proceeds into new acquisition opportunities. Recently, data centers have been particularly attractive candidates for sale to owner/users, as the cost of acquisition is usually substantially lower than the cost to construct a new facility. We will seek such opportunities to realize profits and reinvest our capital.

4

Summary Risk Factors

You should carefully consider the following important risks as well as the additional risks described in Risk Factors beginning on page 16:

Our portfolio of properties consists primarily of technology-related real estate. A decline in the technology industry could lead to a decrease in the demand for technology-related real estate, which may have a greater adverse effect on our business and financial condition than if we owned a portfolio with a more diversified tenant base.

We depend on significant tenants that may be costly or difficult to replace, and many of our properties are occupied by single tenants. The loss of significant tenants could cause a material decrease in cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we will be taxed as a corporation and our liability for certain federal, state and local income taxes may significantly increase, which could result in a material decrease in cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders.

Under a contribution agreement with the third-party contributors who contributed the direct and indirect interests in 200 Paul Avenue and 1100 Space Park Drive to our operating partnership in connection with the contribution and acquisition transactions consummated concurrently with our initial public offering, we agreed to indemnify them against adverse tax consequences if we were to sell, exchange or otherwise dispose of these properties in a taxable transaction until the earlier of November 3, 2013 and the date on which these contributors (or certain transferees) hold less than 25% of the units of our operating partnership issued to them in connection with the contribution of these properties to our operating partnership. These properties represented 10.1% of our portfolio s annualized rent as of March 31, 2005. In addition, under this contribution agreement, we agreed to make up to \$20.0 million of indebtedness available for guaranty by these contributors which will, among other things, allow them to defer the recognition of gain in connection with the contribution of these properties. As a result, we may be required to incur and maintain more debt than we would otherwise.

We have owned our properties for a limited time and therefore our properties may have characteristics or deficiencies unknown to us that could affect their valuation or revenue potential.

Potential losses from fires, floods, earthquakes, terrorist attacks or other liabilities, including liabilities for environmental matters, may not be fully covered by our insurance policies or may be subject to significant deductibles. Our tenants generally retain title to the extensive and highly valuable technology-related improvements in many of our buildings, and therefore are generally required to insure them. In the event of a casualty or other loss involving one of our buildings with extensive installed tenant improvements, our tenants may have the right to terminate their leases if we do not rebuild the base building within prescribed times. In such cases, the proceeds from tenants insurance will not be available to us to restore the improvements, and our insurance coverage may be insufficient to replicate the technology-related improvements made by such tenants.

Upon completion of the concurrent offerings, we anticipate that our pro forma total consolidated indebtedness will be approximately \$660.4 million, and we may incur significant additional debt to finance future acquisition and development activities. We also have an unsecured revolving credit facility with a group of banks, including affiliates of Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, the joint bookrunning managers for our common stock offering, and together with UBS Securities LLC, the bookrunning managers for our series B preferred stock offering, and other underwriters for the concurrent offerings. Our credit facility has a borrowing limit

Table of Contents 16

5

based upon a percentage of the value of our unsecured properties. We estimate that approximately \$141.0 million of this facility will be available to us upon consummation of the concurrent offerings and application of the proceeds therefrom. Our debt service obligations with respect to such indebtedness will reduce cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders, and expose us to the risk of default.

We are party to debt agreements that contain lockbox and cash management provisions pursuant to which revenues generated by properties subject to such indebtedness are immediately swept into an account for the benefit of the lenders and are typically available to be distributed to us only after the funding of reserve accounts for, among other things, debt service, taxes, insurance, tenant improvements and leasing commissions. If our properties do not generate sufficient cash flow, we may be required to fund distributions, including dividends to our preferred stockholders or distributions to our common stockholders, from working capital or borrowings under our credit facility or reduce such distributions. It is our policy to limit our indebtedness to approximately 60% of our total market capitalization, which is the sum of the market values of all of our outstanding common stock, preferred stock, the common and long-term incentive units not owned by our company and the book value of our indebtedness; however, this policy is not a part of our governing documents and our board of directors can change it at any time.

Our charter and bylaws, the Maryland General Corporation Law, or MGCL, and the partnership agreement of our operating partnership contain provisions, including a 9.8% limit on ownership of our common stock, a 9.8% limit on ownership of each series of our preferred stock and a 9.8% limit on ownership of the value of our outstanding capital stock, that may delay or prevent a change of control transaction or limit the opportunity for stockholders to receive a premium for their stock in such a transaction.

Our performance and value are subject to risks associated with events and conditions generally applicable to owners and operators of real property that are beyond our control. Because real estate investments are relatively illiquid, our ability promptly to sell one or more properties in our portfolio in response to adverse changes in the performance of such properties may be limited, which may harm our financial condition.

6

The Properties

Our Portfolio of Properties

The following table presents an overview of our portfolio of properties, including our acquisition properties, based on information as of March 31, 2005:

Property ⁽¹⁾	Metropolitan Area	Percent Ownership	Year Built/ Renovated	Net Rentable Square Feet ⁽²⁾	Percent Leased ⁽³⁾	Annualized Rent ⁽⁴⁾	Annualized Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽⁵⁾	Annualized Net Effective Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽⁶⁾
Internet Gateway								
Lakeside Technology Center	Chicago	100.0%	1912-1929/2000	805,150(7)	94.2%(7)	\$19,290,966	\$25.44	\$29.70
200 Paul Avenue	San Francisco	100.0	1955/1999&2001	532,238	83.4	10,897,301	24.54	27.94
Univision Tower	Dallas	100.0	1983	477,107	79.9	8,120,266	21.30	19.49
Carrier Center	Los Angeles	100.0	1922/1999	450,021	79.7	7,702,967	21.48	24.60
Camperdown House ⁽⁸⁾	London, UK	100.0	1983/1999	63,233	100.0	4,193,136	66.31	66.31
1100 Space Park Drive	Silicon Valley	100.0	2001	167,951	46.6	3,525,347	45.07	52.41
36 Northeast Second Street	Miami	100.0	1927/1999	162,140	81.2	3,129,972	23.78	25.66
Charlotte Internet Gateway	1,111111	100.0	1,2,,1,,,,	102,110	01.2	5,125,572	20.70	20.00
Properties ⁽⁹⁾	Charlotte	100.0	1999/2000/2001	95,490	73.3	1,667,284	23.81	30.00
Burbank Data Center	Los Angeles	100.0	1991	82,911	100.0	1,414,300	17.06	18.41
				2,836,241	83.5	59,941,539	25.31	28.07
Data Center				2,000,211	00.0	0,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	20.01	20.07
	DI'I 111'	100.0	1007/1000	5.45.105	01.5	7.020.201	1406	14.50
833 Chestnut Street	Philadelphia	100.0	1927/1998	547,195(10)	91.5(10)	7,039,201	14.06	14.52
Hudson Corporate Center	New York	100.0	1989/2000	311,950	87.4	6,853,399	25.14	24.66
Savvis Data Center 1	Silicon Valley	100.0	2000	300,000	100.0	5,760,000	19.20	22.09
Webb at LBJ	Dallas	100.0	1966/2000	365,449	89.6	4,499,282	13.74	14.87
AboveNet Data Center NTT/Verio Premier Data	Silicon Valley	100.0	1987/1999	187,085	96.2	4,431,834	24.64	34.52
Center	Silicon Valley	100.0	1982-83/2001	130,752	100.0	3,781,200	28.92	31.11
Savvis Data Center 2	Silicon Valley	100.0	1973/2000	167,932	100.0	3,027,814	18.03	22.08
Savvis Data Center 3	Los Angeles	100.0	1975/1998-99	113,606	100.0	2,048,316	18.03	22.08
Savvis Data Center 4	Silicon Valley	100.0	1972/1999	103,940	100.0	1,874,038	18.03	22.08
Savvis Data Center 5	Silicon Valley	100.0	1977/2000	90,139	100.0	1,625,206	18.03	22.08
Ameriquest Data Center	Denver	100.0	2001	82,229	100.0	1,521,240	18.50	20.34
eBay Data Center	Sacramento	100.0	1983/2000	62,957	100.0	1,479,943	23.51	24.05
VarTec Building	Dallas	100.0	1999	135,250	100.0	1,352,500	10.00	10.45
MAPP Building	Minneapolis/							
	St. Paul	100.0	1947/1999	88,134	100.0	1,339,637	15.20	16.02
Brea Data Center	Los Angeles	100.0	1981/2000	68,807	100.0	1,211,898	17.61	19.83
AT&T Web Hosting Facility	Atlanta	100.0	1998	250,191	50.5	1,098,036	8.69	10.50
				3,005,616	91.5	48,943,544	17.79	19.99
Technology Manufacturing								
Ardenwood Corporate Park Maxtor Manufacturing	Silicon Valley	100.0	1985-86	307,657	100.0	7,691,292	25.00	25.04
Facility	Silicon Valley	100.0	1991 & 1997(11)	183,050	100.0	3,371,122	18.42	19.92
ASM Lithography Facility ⁽¹²⁾	Phoenix	100.0	2002	113,405	100.0	2,549,165	22.48	25.52
		200.0						

Edgar Filing: Digital Realty Trust, Inc. - Form S-11/A

				604,112	100.0	13,611,579	22.53	23.58
Technology Office/ Corporate Headquarters								
Comverse Technology								
Building	Boston	100.0	1957 & 1999 ⁽¹³⁾	386,956	100.0	5,989,152	15.48	16.13
100 Technology Center Drive	Boston	100.0	1989/2001	197,000	100.0	3,743,000	19.00	20.20
Granite Tower	Dallas	100.0	1999	240,065	94.6	3,438,061	15.14	15.04
Stanford Place II	Denver	$98.0_{(14)}$	1982	366,184	88.4	3,088,815	9.54	9.11
Siemens Building	Dallas	100.0	1999	125,538	100.0	1,917,505	15.27	17.54
Savvis Office Building	Silicon Valley	100.0	1977/2000	84,383	100.0	1,521,425	18.03	22.08
				1,400,126	96.0	19,697,958	14.65	15.36
Portfolio Total/Weighted								
Average				7,846,095	90.1%	\$142,194,620	\$20.12	\$22.12
Square Feet of Space Held for Redevelopment				397,563				
Portfolio Total including Space Held for								
Redevelopment				8,243,658				

- (1) We have categorized the properties in our portfolio by their principal use based on annualized rent. However, many of our properties support multiple uses. Since March 31, 2005 we have leased 245,636 rentable square feet (233,491 usable square feet) at an average annualized rent of approximately \$30.68 per square foot, including leases executed prior to March 31, 2005 for which we were not receiving rent as of March 31, 2005. These leases commence between 2005 and 2009. Rent abatements for these leases for the 12 months ending March 31, 2006 total \$260,278.
- (2) Net rentable square feet at a building represents the current square feet at that building under lease as specified in the lease agreements plus management s estimate of space available for lease based on engineering drawings. Net rentable square feet includes tenants proportional share of common areas but excludes space held for redevelopment.
- (3) Includes unoccupied space for which we are receiving rent and excludes space for which leases had been executed as of March 31, 2005 but for which we are not yet receiving rent.
- (4) Annualized rent represents the annualized monthly contractual rent under existing leases as of March 31, 2005. This amount reflects total base rent before any one-time or nonrecurring rent abatements but after annually recurring rent credits and is shown on a net basis; thus, for any tenant under a partial gross lease, the expense stop, or under a full gross lease, the current year operating expenses (which may be estimates as of such date), are subtracted from gross rent. Total abatements for leases in effect as of March 31, 2005 for the 12 months ending March 31, 2006 were \$136,344.
- (5) Annualized rent per leased square foot represents annualized rent as computed above, divided by the total square footage under lease as of the same date.
- (6) For properties owned as of March 31, 2005, annualized net effective rent per leased square foot represents the contractual rent for leases in place as of March 31, 2005, calculated on a straight line basis from the date of acquisition by GI Partners or us or the date the lease commenced, if later. This amount is shown on a net basis; thus, for any tenant under a partial gross lease, the expense stop, or under a full gross lease, the current year operating expenses (which may be estimates as of such date), are subtracted from gross rent. This amount is further reduced by the annual amortization of any tenant improvement and leasing costs incurred by GI Partners or us for such leases, and is then divided by the net rentable square footage under lease as of the same date. For properties acquired after March 31, 2005, the same approach is used, except that the straight line rent calculation is as of the acquisition date or the projected acquisition date.
- (7) Excludes 290,000 square feet of space held for redevelopment.
- (8) Rental amounts for Camperdown House were calculated based on the exchange rate in effect on March 31, 2005 of \$1.89 per £1.00.
- (9) Comprises three properties in Charlotte, North Carolina which we are under contract to acquire. The acquisition of these properties remains subject to the completion of our due diligence and satisfaction of customary closing conditions. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that we will be able to consummate the acquisition.
- (10) Excludes 107,563 square feet of space held for redevelopment.
- (11) This property consists of two buildings: 1055 Page Avenue was built in 1991, and 47700 Kato Road was built in 1997.
- (12) We own the subsidiary that is party to a ground sublease covering this property. The term of the ground sublease expires on December 31, 2082.
- (13) This property consists of two buildings: 100 Quannapowitt was built in 1999, and 200 Quannapowitt was built in 1957 and has subsequently been renovated.
- (14) We indirectly own a 98% interest in a subsidiary that holds the fee simple interest in this property. An unrelated party holds the remaining 2% interest in this subsidiary.

Right of First Offer Property

We have a right of first offer with respect to a 129,366 square foot vacant data center owned by GI Partners in Frankfurt, Germany.

8

This Offering

Issuer Digital Realty Trust, Inc., a Maryland corporation.

Common Stock Offered by Us 4,200,000 shares

Common Stock to be Outstanding after this

Offering

25,621,300 shares(1)

Common Stock and Operating Partnership Units 57,142,731 shares/units⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ to be Outstanding after this Offering

NYSE Symbol DLR

Use of Proceeds

We expect that the net proceeds from our common stock offering will be approximately \$71.4 million after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and our expenses (or approximately \$82.2 million if the underwriters exercise in full their option to purchase additional shares of our common stock). We expect that the proceeds from our concurrent offering of series B preferred stock will be approximately \$52.9 million after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and our expenses (or approximately \$60.9 million if the underwriters exercise in full their option to purchase additional shares of our series B preferred stock). Our operating partnership will subsequently use the net proceeds from the concurrent offerings to repay borrowings under our unsecured revolving credit facility, potentially to acquire the acquisition properties and additional properties, and for general corporate purposes. See Use of Proceeds.

Risk Factors

An investment in our common stock involves various risks, and prospective investors should carefully consider the matters discussed under the caption entitled Risk Factors beginning on page 16 of this prospectus before making a decision to invest in our common stock.

⁽¹⁾ Excludes 630,000 shares issuable upon exercise of the underwriters over-allotment option, 2,068,046 shares available for future issuance under our incentive award plan and 915,495 shares underlying options granted under our incentive award plan.

⁽²⁾ Includes 30,030,870 outstanding common units held by limited partners and 1,490,561 outstanding vested long-term incentive units granted under our incentive award plan that in each case may, subject to limits in the partnership agreement of our operating partnership, be exchanged for cash or, at our option, shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis generally commencing on January 3, 2006.

Concurrent Preferred Stock Offering

We are also making a concurrent offering of 2,200,000 shares of our series B preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share. We have granted the underwriters in the preferred stock offering a 30-day option to purchase up to 330,000 additional shares of our series B preferred stock to cover over-allotments. We will pay cumulative dividends on our series B preferred stock from the date of original issuance in the amount of approximately \$ per share each year, which is equivalent to \$% of the \$25.00 liquidation preference per share. Dividends on our series B preferred stock will be payable quarterly in arrears, beginning on September 30, 2005. On or after \$,2010, we may, at our option, redeem our series B preferred stock, in whole or in part, at any time or from time to time, for cash at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus all accrued and unpaid dividends on such series B preferred stock up to but excluding the redemption date. Our series B preferred stock will not be convertible into or exchangeable for any other property or securities of our company.

Our Tax Status

We are and intend to continue operating in a manner that will allow us to qualify as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as of amended, which we refer to as the Code, commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 2004. To maintain REIT status, we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that we annually distribute at least 90% of our net taxable income to our stockholders, excluding net capital gains. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax on net taxable income we currently distribute to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates and may be precluded from electing REIT status for four years following the year of disqualification. Even if we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to some federal, state and local taxes on our income or property and the income of our taxable REIT subsidiaries will be subject to taxation at normal corporate rates.

10

Summary Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth summary selected consolidated financial and operating data on a pro forma and historical basis for our company and on a combined historical basis for the Digital Realty Predecessor. The Digital Realty Predecessor comprises the real estate activities and holdings of GI Partners related to the properties in our portfolio. We have not presented historical information for Digital Realty Trust, Inc. for periods prior to the consummation of our initial public offering on November 3, 2004 because we did not have any corporate activity until the consummation of our initial public offering other than the issuance of shares of common stock in connection with the initial capitalization of our company, and because we believe that a discussion of the results of Digital Realty Trust, Inc. would not be meaningful. The Digital Realty Predecessor comprises:

the wholly-owned real estate subsidiaries and majority-owned real estate joint ventures that GI Partners contributed to our operating partnership in connection with our initial public offering;

an allocation of GI Partners line of credit to the extent that borrowings and related interest expense related to (1) borrowings to partially fund acquisitions of the properties in our portfolio and (2) borrowings to pay asset management fees paid by GI Partners that were allocated to the properties in our portfolio; and

an allocation of the asset management fees paid to a related party and incurred by GI Partners, along with an allocation of the liability for any such fees that were unpaid as of the date of the financial statements, and an allocation of GI Partners general and administrative expenses.

You should read the following summary selected financial data in conjunction with our consolidated and combined historical and consolidated pro forma financial statements and notes thereto and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The historical consolidated balance sheet information as of December 31, 2004 and the consolidated statement of operations information for the period from November 3, 2004 through December 31, 2004 have been derived from the historical consolidated financial statements of our company audited by KPMG LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, whose report with respect thereto is included elsewhere in this prospectus. The historical combined balance sheet information as of December 31, 2003 and 2002 of the Digital Realty Predecessor and the combined statements of operations information for the years then ended and for the periods from January 1, 2004 through November 2, 2004 of the Digital Realty Predecessor have been derived from the historical combined financial statements audited by KPMG LLP. The historical consolidated balance sheet information as of March 31, 2005 and the consolidated statement of operations information for the three months ended March 31, 2005 have been derived from the unaudited consolidated financial statements of our company. The historical combined statements of the Digital Realty Predecessor. In the opinion of the management of our company, the historical consolidated balance sheet information as of March 31, 2005 and the historical consolidated and combined statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 include all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the information set forth therein. Our results of operations for the interim period ended March 31, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be obtained for the full fiscal year.

Our unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements and operating information as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and for the year ended December 31, 2004 assume the completion of the concurrent offerings and our intended use of the proceeds therefrom as of January 1, 2004 for the operating data and as of the stated date for the balance sheet data. Our unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements

11

also include the effects of our series A preferred stock offering, which closed on February 9, 2005, as if the series A preferred stock offering had closed on January 1, 2004 for the operating data. Our unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements also include the effects of the acquisition by us of Lakeside Technology Center, Ameriquest Data Center, Savvis Data Centers 2 through 5 and Savvis Office Building and the expected acquisition of the acquisition properties, along with the related financing transactions, as if those acquisitions and financing transactions had occurred as of January 1, 2004 for the operating data and as of the stated date for the balance sheet data. Our unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2004 also include the effects of our initial public offering, which closed on November 3, 2004, and the related formation and refinancing transactions that occurred in conjunction with our initial public offering, as if the resulting debt and equity structure were in place as of January 1, 2004 for the operating data. Our pro forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of what our actual financial position and results of operations would have been as of the dates and for the periods indicated, nor does it purport to represent our future financial position or results of operations.

12

The Company and the Digital Realty Predecessor

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

The Company

	The Company			The I	e Predecessor The Company				and Predecessor	The Predecessor				
	Pro Forma Consolidated		storical solidated		istorical ombined	Pro Forma Consolidated		Historical Combined		Historical Combined				
	Three M	Montl	Months Ended N		ı 31,		Year Ended December		ar Ended	Year Ended December 31,				
	2005		2005		2004		31, 2004		2004	2003			2002	
	(unaudited)	(un	audited)	(ur	naudited)	(una	audited)							
Statement of Operations Data:														
Rental revenues	\$ 45,197	\$	32,691	\$	16,028	\$	170,306	\$	89,108	\$	50,099	\$	21,203	
Tenant reimbursements	9,494		6,520		2,728		36,282		16,229		8,661		3,894	
Other revenues	474		432		14		2,680		1,784	_	4,328	_	458	
Total revenues	55,165		39,643		18,770		209,268		107,121		63,088		25,555	
5					_			_					_	
Rental property operating and maintenance	0.004				2 006		26.550		10.051		0.604		4.00=	
expenses	9,034		7,145		3,006		36,570		18,974		8,624		4,997	
Property taxes	6,842		3,681		1,718		24,173		9,334		4,688		2,755	
Insurance	994		599		241		4,342		1,875		626		83	
Interest expense	10,459		8,121		3,813		41,860		24,461		10,091		5,249	
Asset management fees to related party	16.270		10 1 10		796		60.016		2,655		3,185		3,185	
Depreciation and amortization expense	16,379		12,143		5,507		62,316		31,398		16,295		7,659	
General and administrative expenses	2,413		2,413		92		24,847		21,017		329		249	
Net loss from early extinguishment of debt	125		125		0.0		283		283		0.450		1.0.10	
Other expenses	531		521		90		2,922		2,805	_	2,459	_	1,249	
Total expenses	46,777		34,748		15,263		197,313		112,802		46,297		25,426	
Income (loss) before minority interests (deficit)	8,388		4,895		3,507		11,955		(5,681)		16,791		129	
Minority interests in consolidated joint														
ventures	(3)		(3)		46		(24)		(24)		149		190	
Minority interests in operating partnership	4,628		2,159				6,608		(10,214)	_		_		
Net income (loss)	3,763		2,739		3,461		5,371		4,557		16,642		(61)	
Preferred stock dividends	(3,300)		(1,271)		3,401		(13,198)		7,557		10,042		(01)	
Net income (loss) available to common					_									
stockholders/ owners	\$ 463	\$	1,468	\$	3,461	\$	(7,827)	\$	4.557	\$	16.642	\$	(61)	
Stockholders/ Owners	Ψ +03	Ψ	1,400	Ψ	3,401	Ψ	(7,027)	Ψ	4,557	Ψ	10,042	Ψ	(01)	
Earnings (loss) per share available to common														
stockholders basic and diluted	\$ 0.02	\$	0.07	\$		\$	(0.31)	\$	$(0.30)^{(1)}$	\$		\$		
Weighted average common shares outstanding:	Ψ 0.02	Ψ	0.07	Ψ		Ψ	(0.51)	Ψ	(0.50)	Ψ		Ψ		
Basic	25,621		21,421				25,621		20,771(1)					
Diluted	25,735		21,535				25,621		20,771(1)					
Balance Sheet Data (at period end)	23,733		21,000				20,021		20,771(1)					
, and the position of the	\$ 1,076,084	\$	852,112	\$		\$		\$	787,412	\$	391,737	\$	217,009	

Investments in real estate, after accumulated							
depreciation and amortization							
Total assets	1,386,496	1,099,727			1,013,287	479,698	269,836
Notes payable under line of credit	73,072	36,000			44,000	44,436	53,000
Mortgages and other secured loans	587,369	479,701			475,498	253,429	103,560
Total liabilities	741,905	579,393			584,229	328,303	183,524
Minority interests in consolidated joint							
ventures	149	149			997	3,444	3,135
Minority interests in operating partnership	271,541	250,592			254,862		
Stockholders /owner s equity	372,901	269,593			173,199	147,951	83,177
Total liabilities and stockholders /owner s equi-	ty 1,386,496	1,099,727			1,013,287	479,698	269,836
Cash flows from (used in):							
Operating activities	\$	\$ 11,708	\$ 6,627	\$	\$ 44,638	\$ 27,628	\$ 9,645
Investing activities		(71,645)	(40,571)		(371,277)	(213,905)	(164,755)
Financing activities		58,255	33,023		326,022	187,873	158,688
Other Data:							
Funds from operations ⁽²⁾	\$ 24,770	\$ 17,041	\$	\$ 74,295	\$ $(9,010)^{(1)}$	\$	\$
Funds from operations available to common							
stockholders(2)(3)	21,470	15,770		61,097	$(9,010)^{(1)}$		
EBITDA ⁽⁴⁾	35,229	25,162	12,781	116,155	50,202	43,028	12,847
EBITDA available to common stockholders of							
the company and owner of the Predecessor ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	31,929	23,891	12,781	102,957	50,202	43,028	12,847

- (1) For period from November 3, 2004 through December 31, 2004.
- (2) We calculate funds from operations, or FFO, in accordance with the standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT. FFO represents net income (loss) (computed in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property, real estate related depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of deferred financing costs) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Management uses FFO as a supplemental performance measure because, in excluding real estate related depreciation and amortization and losses from property dispositions, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs. We also believe that, as a widely recognized measure of the performance of REITs, FFO will be used by investors as a basis to compare our operating performance with that of other REITs. However, because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization and captures neither the changes in the value of our properties that result from use or market conditions nor the level of capital expenditures and leasing commissions necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which have real economic effect and could materially impact our results from operations, the utility of FFO as a measure of our performance is limited. Other REITs may not calculate FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition and, accordingly, our FFO may not be comparable to such other REITs FFO. Accordingly, FFO should be considered only as a supplement to net income as a measure of our performance. FFO should not be used as a measure of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends or make distributions. FFO also should not be used as a supplement to or substitute for cash flow from operating activities computed in accordanc
- (3) FFO available to common stockholders has been calculated assuming that the common units in our operating partnership are exchanged for common stock. See the reconciliation of net income to FFO available to common stockholders below.
- (4) We believe that earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA, is a useful supplemental performance measure. In addition, we believe EBITDA is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of REITs. However, EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to net income as an indicator of our operating performance. In addition, because EBITDA is calculated before recurring cash charges including interest expense and taxes, and is not adjusted for capital expenditures or other recurring cash requirements of our business, its utility as a measure of our liquidity is limited. Accordingly, EBITDA should not be considered as a supplement to cash flows from operating activities (computed in accordance with GAAP) as a measure of our liquidity. Other REITs may calculate EBITDA differently than we do; accordingly, our EBITDA may not be comparable to such other REITs EBITDA. See the reconciliation of net income to EBITDA on page 15.
- (5) EBITDA available to common stockholders has been calculated assuming that the common units in our operating partnership are exchanged for common stock. See the reconciliation of net income to EBITDA available to common stockholders on page 15.

The following table reconciles our net income to our FFO and FFO available to common stockholders for the periods indicated:

	Thr	o Forma ee Months Ended	Thr	istorical ee Months Ended	D,	o Forma	Co. Pe	distorical nsolidated riod from vember 3, 2004
	March 31, 2005			arch 31, 2005	Ye	ar Ended cember 31, 2004		chrough cember 31, 2004
Reconciliation of net income to FFO and FFO available to common stockholders:								
Net income before minority interest in operating partnership but								
after minority interest in consolidated joint ventures	\$	8,391	\$	4,898	\$	11,979	\$	(16,383)
Plus real estate depreciation and amortization		16,379		12,143		62,316		7,373
FFO		24,770		17,041		74,295		(9,010)
Less preferred stock dividends		3,300		1,271		13,198		
FFO available to common stockholders(1)	\$	21,470	\$	15,770	\$	61,097	\$	(9,010)
	_		_		_		_	

⁽¹⁾ FFO available to common stockholders has been calculated assuming that the common units in our operating partnership are exchanged for common stock.

The following table reconciles our net income to our EBITDA and EBITDA available to common stockholders for the periods indicated:

	The C	Comp	Company		The Predecessor			The Company and he Predecesso	r The Pre	dece	ssor
	Pro Forma Consolidated		istorical isolidated			Pro Forma Consolidated		Histo	rical Combi	ined	
	Thre	Three Months Ended March 31,				ar Ended ecember 31,	Year Ended December 31,	Year Decem			
	2005	2005 2005 2004 2004		2004	2003		2002				
Reconciliation of net income to EBITDA:											
Net income (loss)	\$ 3,763	\$	2,739	\$	3,461	\$	5,371	\$ 4,557	\$ 16,642	\$	(61)
Adjustments:											
Minority interest in operating partnership	4,628		2,159				6,608	(10,214)			
Interest expense	10,459		8,121		3,813		41,860	24,461	10,091		5,249
Depreciation and amortization	16,379	_	12,143		5,507		62,316	31,398	16,295	_	7,659
EBITDA	35,229		25,162		12,781		116,155	50,202	43,028	1	2,847
Less preferred stock dividends	3,300		1,271	_		_	13,198			_	
EBITDA available to common stockholders of the Company and to the owners of the Predecessor	\$ 31,929	\$	23,891	\$	12,781	\$	102,957	\$ 50,202	\$ 43,028	\$ 1	2,847

RISK FACTORS

Investment in our securities involves risks. In addition to other information contained in this prospectus, you should carefully consider the following factors before acquiring the securities offered by this prospectus. Any of the following risks might cause you to lose all or a part of your investment. Some statements in this prospectus, including statements in the following risk factors, constitute forward-looking statements. Please refer to the section entitled Forward-Looking Statements.

Risks Related to Our Business and Operations

Our properties depend upon the technology industry and the demand for technology-related real estate.

Our portfolio of properties consists primarily of technology-related real estate. A decline in the technology industry or a decrease in the adoption of data center space for corporate enterprises could lead to a decrease in the demand for technology-related real estate, which may have a greater adverse effect on our business and financial condition than if we owned a portfolio with a more diversified tenant base. We are susceptible to adverse developments in the corporate and institutional data center and broader technology industries (such as business layoffs or downsizing, industry slowdowns, relocations of businesses, costs of complying with government regulations or increased regulation and other factors) and the technology-related real estate market (such as oversupply of or reduced demand for space). In addition, the rapid development of new technologies or the adoption of new industry standards could render many of our tenants—current products and services obsolete or unmarketable and contribute to a downturn in their businesses, thereby increasing the likelihood that they default under their leases, become insolvent or file for bankruptcy.

We depend on significant tenants, and many of our properties are single-tenant properties or are currently occupied by single tenants.

As of March 31, 2005, the 15 largest tenants in our property portfolio represented approximately 64.5% of the total annualized rent generated by our properties. Our largest tenants by annualized rent are Savvis Communications and Qwest Communications International. Savvis Communications leased approximately 1.1 million square feet of net rentable space as of March 31, 2005, representing approximately 15.9% of the total annualized rent generated by our properties. Qwest Communications International leased 654,866 square feet of net rentable space as of March 31, 2005, representing approximately 12.8% of the total annualized rent generated by our properties. In addition, 19 of our properties are occupied by single tenants. Our tenants may experience a downturn in their businesses, which may weaken their financial condition and result in their failure to make timely rental payments or their default under their leases. If any tenant defaults or fails to make timely rent payments, we may experience delays in enforcing our rights as landlord and may incur substantial costs in protecting our investment.

The bankruptcy or insolvency of a major tenant also may adversely affect the income produced by our properties.

If any tenant becomes a debtor in a case under the federal Bankruptcy Code, we cannot evict the tenant solely because of the bankruptcy. In addition, the bankruptcy court might authorize the tenant to reject and terminate its lease with us. Our claim against the tenant for unpaid, future rent would be subject to a statutory cap that might be substantially less than the remaining rent actually owed under the lease. In either case, our claim for unpaid rent would likely not be paid in full. Currently, two tenants, VarTec Telecom, Inc., leasing approximately 156,051 square feet of net rentable space, and Universal Access Inc., leasing approximately 15,641 net rentable square feet, are in bankruptcy. Both tenants are current on their rental obligations. Since we acquired our first building in January 2002, 14 tenants in our buildings leasing approximately

489,000 square feet of net rentable space concluded bankruptcy proceedings. Of the 14 tenants, eight tenants leasing approximately

16

385,000 net rentable square feet paid rent to us on an uninterrupted basis and affirmed their leases. Of the approximately 104,000 net rentable square feet that was rejected and terminated, we had re-leased approximately 21,000 square feet as of the date of this prospectus.

Our revenue and cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders, could be materially adversely affected if any of our significant tenants were to become bankrupt or insolvent, or suffer a downturn in its business, or fail to renew its lease or renew on terms less favorable to us than its current terms.

Our portfolio of properties depends upon local economic conditions and is geographically concentrated in certain locations.

Our properties and acquisition properties are located only in the Atlanta, Boston, Charlotte, Chicago, Dallas, Denver, London, Los Angeles, Miami, Minneapolis/St. Paul, New York, Philadelphia, Phoenix, Sacramento, San Francisco and Silicon Valley metropolitan areas. We depend upon the local economic conditions in these markets, including local real estate conditions. Many of these markets experienced downturns within recent years. Our operations may also be affected if too many competing properties are built in any of these markets. If there is a downturn in the economy in any of these markets, our operations and our revenue and cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders, could be materially adversely affected. We cannot assure you that these markets will grow or will remain favorable to the technology industry.

In addition, our portfolio is geographically concentrated in the Boston, Chicago, Dallas, Los Angeles, New York, Philadelphia, San Francisco and Silicon Valley metropolitan markets. These markets represented 6.8%, 13.6%, 13.6%, 8.7%, 4.8%, 5.0%, 7.7% and 25.7%, respectively, of annualized rent as of March 31, 2005 of the properties in our portfolio. Hence, positive or negative changes in conditions in these markets in particular will impact our overall performance.

We have owned our properties for a limited time.

We own 33 properties and are under contract to acquire three properties. These properties are located throughout the U.S. and one property is in London, England. The properties contain a total of approximately 7.8 million net rentable square feet. All the properties have been under our management for less than four years, and we have owned 15 of the properties for less than one year. The properties may have characteristics or deficiencies unknown to us that could affect their valuation or revenue potential. We cannot assure you that the operating performance of the properties will not decline under our management.

We may have difficulty internalizing our asset management and accounting functions, complying with the rules and regulations applicable to public companies and managing our growth.

A significant portion of the asset management and general and administrative functions of our predecessor were performed by GI Partners related-party asset manager, an affiliate of CB Richard Ellis Investors. This affiliate also provided all of our accounting and financial reporting services. In November 2004, we internalized our asset management function. In April 2005, we internalized our accounting and financial reporting functions. We are also experiencing rapid growth in the size of our portfolio through acquisitions. The growth in our portfolio and the demands placed on our company in connection with internalizing our asset management and accounting functions may significantly strain our management, operational and financial resources and systems. In addition, as a public company, we are subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, and the

rules and regulations of the NYSE. The requirements of these rules and regulations have increased our accounting, legal and financial compliance costs and may strain our management and operational resources and

17

systems. An inability to manage our growth effectively could result in deficiencies in our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting.

We have limited operating history as a REIT and as a public company.

We were formed in March 2004 and have limited operating history as a REIT and as a public company. We cannot assure you that our past experience will be sufficient to successfully operate our company as a REIT or as a public company. Failure to maintain REIT status would have an adverse effect on our cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders.

Tax protection provisions on certain properties could limit our operating flexibility.

We have agreed with the third-party contributors who contributed the direct and indirect interests in the 200 Paul Avenue and 1100 Space Park Drive properties to indemnify them against adverse tax consequences if we were to sell, convey, transfer or otherwise dispose of all or any portion of these interests, in a taxable transaction, in these properties. However, we can sell these properties in a taxable transaction if we pay the contributors cash in the amount of their tax liabilities arising from the transaction and tax payments. The 200 Paul Avenue and 1100 Space Park Drive properties represented 10.1% of our portfolio s annualized rent as of March 31, 2005. These tax protection provisions apply for a period expiring on the earlier of November 3, 2013 and the date on which these contributors (or certain transferees) hold less than 25% of the units issued to them in connection with the contribution of these properties to our operating partnership. Although it may be in our stockholders best interest that we sell a property, it may be economically disadvantageous for us to do so because of these obligations. We have also agreed to make up to \$20.0 million of debt available for these contributors to guarantee. We agreed to these provisions in order to assist these contributors in preserving their tax position after their contributions. As a result, we may be required to incur and maintain more debt than we would otherwise.

Potential losses may not be covered by insurance.

We carry comprehensive liability, fire, extended coverage, earthquake, business interruption and rental loss insurance covering all of the properties in our portfolio under various insurance policies. We select policy specifications and insured limits which we believe to be appropriate and adequate given the relative risk of loss, the cost of the coverage and industry practice. We do not carry insurance for generally uninsured losses such as loss from riots, war or nuclear reaction. Some of our policies, like those covering losses due to floods, are insured subject to limitations involving large deductibles or co-payments and policy limits which may not be sufficient to cover losses. A substantial portion of the properties we own are located in California, an area especially subject to earthquakes. Together, these properties represented approximately 43.2% of our portfolio s annualized rent as of March 31, 2005. While we carry earthquake insurance on our properties, the amount of our earthquake insurance coverage may not be sufficient to fully cover losses from earthquakes. In addition, we may discontinue earthquake or other insurance on some or all of our properties in the future if the cost of premiums for any of these policies exceeds, in our judgment, the value of the coverage relative to the risk of loss.

In addition, many of our buildings contain extensive and highly valuable technology-related improvements. Under the terms of our leases, tenants generally retain title to such improvements and are obligated to maintain adequate insurance coverage applicable to such improvements and under most circumstances use their insurance proceeds to restore such improvements after a casualty. In the event of a casualty or other loss involving one of our buildings with extensive installed tenant improvements, our tenants may have the right to terminate their leases if we do not rebuild the base building within prescribed times. In such cases, the proceeds from tenants insurance will not be available to us to restore the

improvements, and our insurance coverage may be insufficient to replicate the technology-related improvements made by such tenants.

If we or one or more of our tenants experiences a loss which is uninsured or which exceeds policy limits, we could lose the capital invested in the damaged properties as well as the anticipated future cash flows from those

18

properties. In addition, if the damaged properties are subject to recourse indebtedness, we would continue to be liable for the indebtedness, even if these properties were irreparably damaged.

Payments on our debt reduce cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders, and may expose us to the risk of default under our debt obligations.

Upon completion of the concurrent offerings, we anticipate that our pro forma total consolidated indebtedness will be approximately \$660.4 million, and we may incur significant additional debt to finance future acquisition and development activities. We also have an unsecured revolving credit facility with a group of banks, including affiliates of Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, the joint bookrunning managers for our common stock offering, and together with UBS Securities LLC, the bookrunning managers for our series B preferred stock offering, and other underwriters for the concurrent offerings. Our credit facility has a borrowing limit based upon a percentage of the value of our unsecured properties included in the facility s borrowing base. We estimate that approximately \$141.0 million of additional borrowings under this facility will be available to us upon consummation of the concurrent offerings. In addition, under our contribution agreement with respect to the 200 Paul Avenue and 1100 Space Park Drive properties, we have agreed to make available for guarantee up to \$20.0 million of indebtedness and may enter into similar agreements in the future.

Payments of principal and interest on borrowings may leave us with insufficient cash resources to operate our properties, pay the dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders necessary to maintain our REIT qualification. Our level of debt and the limitations imposed on us by our debt agreements could have significant adverse consequences, including the following:

our cash flow may be insufficient to meet our required principal and interest payments;

we may be unable to borrow additional funds as needed or on favorable terms;

we may be unable to refinance our indebtedness at maturity or the refinancing terms may be less favorable than the terms of our original indebtedness;

because a significant portion of our debt bears interest at variable rates, increases in interest rates could materially increase our interest expense;

we may be forced to dispose of one or more of our properties, possibly on disadvantageous terms;

we may default on our obligations and the lenders or mortgagees may foreclose on our properties or our interests in the entities that own the properties that secure their loans and receive an assignment of rents and leases;

we may violate restrictive covenants in our loan documents, which would entitle the lenders to accelerate our debt obligations; and

our default under any one of our mortgage loans with cross default provisions could result in a default on other indebtedness.

If any one of these events were to occur, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders, per share trading price of our common stock or preferred stock, and our ability to satisfy our debt service obligations could be materially adversely affected. Furthermore, foreclosures could create taxable income without accompanying cash proceeds, a circumstance which could hinder our ability to meet the REIT distribution requirements imposed by the Code.

19

We may be unable to identify and complete acquisitions and successfully operate acquired properties.

We are currently under contract to acquire three technology-related properties. In addition, we continually evaluate the market of available properties and may acquire additional technology-related real estate when opportunities exist. Our ability to acquire properties on favorable terms and successfully operate them may be exposed to the following significant risks:

we may be unable to acquire a desired property because of competition from other real estate investors with significant capital, including both publicly traded REITs and institutional investment funds;

even if we are able to acquire a desired property, competition from other potential acquirors may significantly increase the purchase price;

even if we enter into agreements for the acquisition of technology-related real estate, these agreements are subject to customary conditions to closing, including completion of due diligence investigations to our satisfaction;

we may be unable to finance acquisitions on favorable terms or at all;

we may spend more than budgeted amounts to make necessary improvements or renovations to acquired properties;

we may be unable to integrate new acquisitions quickly and efficiently, particularly acquisitions of portfolios of properties, into our existing operations, and as a result our results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected;

acquired properties may be subject to reassessment, which may result in higher than expected tax payments;

market conditions may result in higher than expected vacancy rates and lower than expected rental rates; and

we may acquire properties subject to liabilities and without any recourse, or with only limited recourse, with respect to unknown liabilities such as liabilities for clean-up of undisclosed environmental contamination, claims by tenants, vendors or other persons dealing with the former owners of the properties and claims for indemnification by general partners, directors, officers and others indemnified by the former owners of the properties.

If we cannot finance property acquisitions on favorable terms, or operate acquired properties to meet our financial expectations, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders, per share trading price of our common stock or preferred stock, and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations could be materially adversely affected.

We may be unable to source off-market deal flow in the future.

A key component of our growth strategy is to continue to acquire additional technology-related real estate. To date, more than half of our acquisitions were acquired before they were widely marketed by real estate brokers, or off-market. Properties that are acquired off-market are typically more attractive to us as a purchaser because of the absence of competitive bidding, which could lead to higher prices. If we cannot obtain off-market deal flow in the future, our ability to locate and acquire additional properties at attractive prices could be adversely affected.

We face significant competition, which may decrease or prevent increases of the occupancy and rental rates of our properties.

We compete with numerous developers, owners and operators of real estate, many of which own properties similar to ours in the same submarkets in which our properties are located. If our competitors offer space at rental

20

rates below current market rates, or below the rental rates we currently charge our tenants, we may lose potential tenants and we may be pressured to reduce our rental rates below those we currently charge in order to retain tenants when our tenants leases expire. As a result, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders, per share trading price of our common stock or preferred stock, and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations could be materially adversely affected.

We may be unable to renew leases, lease vacant space or re-lease space as leases expire.

As of March 31, 2005, leases representing 0.7% and 2.6% of the square footage of the properties in our portfolio were scheduled to expire in 2005 and 2006, respectively, and an additional 9.9% of the square footage of the properties in our portfolio was available to be leased. We cannot assure you that leases will be renewed or that our properties will be re-leased at net effective rental rates equal to or above the current average net effective rental rates. If the rental rates for our properties decrease, our existing tenants do not renew their leases or we do not re-lease a significant portion of our available space and space for which leases are scheduled to expire, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders, per share trading price of our common stock or preferred stock, and our ability to satisfy our debt service obligations could be materially adversely affected.

Our growth depends on external sources of capital which are outside of our control.

In order to maintain our qualification as a REIT, we are required under the Code to annually distribute at least 90% of our net taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and excluding any net capital gain. In addition, we will be subject to income tax at regular corporate rates to the extent that we distribute less than 100% of our net taxable income, including any net capital gains. Because of these distribution requirements, we may not be able to fund future capital needs, including any necessary acquisition financing, from operating cash flow. Consequently, we rely on third-party sources to fund our capital needs. We may not be able to obtain the financing on favorable terms or at all. Any additional debt we incur will increase our leverage. Our access to third-party sources of capital depends, in part, on:

general market conditions;

the market s perception of our growth potential;

our current debt levels;

our current and expected future earnings;

our cash flow and cash distributions; and

the market price per share of our common stock and preferred stock.

If we cannot obtain capital from third-party sources, we may not be able to acquire or develop properties when strategic opportunities exist, satisfy our debt service obligations or make the cash distributions to our stockholders necessary to maintain our qualification as a REIT.

Our unsecured credit facility restricts our ability to engage in some business activities.

Our unsecured credit facility contains negative covenants and other financial and operating covenants that, among other things:

restrict our and our subsidiaries ability to incur additional indebtedness;

restrict our and our subsidiaries ability to make certain investments;

restrict our and our subsidiaries ability to merge with another company;

21

restrict our and our subsidiaries ability to create, incur or assume liens;

restrict our ability to make distributions to our stockholders;

require us to maintain financial coverage ratios; and

require us to maintain a pool of unencumbered assets approved by the lenders.

These restrictions could cause us to default on our unsecured credit facility or negatively affect our operations and our ability to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or distributions to our common stockholders.

Joint venture investments could be adversely affected by our lack of sole decision-making authority, our reliance on co-venturers financial condition and disputes between us and our co-venturers.

We may co-invest in the future with third parties through partnerships, joint ventures or other entities, acquiring non-controlling interests in or sharing responsibility for managing the affairs of a property, partnership, joint venture or other entity. In that event, we would not be in a position to exercise sole decision-making authority regarding the property, partnership, joint venture or other entity. Investments in partnerships, joint ventures, or other entities may, under certain circumstances, involve risks not present when a third party is not involved, including the possibility that partners or co-venturers might become bankrupt or fail to fund their share of required capital contributions. Partners or co-venturers may have economic or other business interests or goals which are inconsistent with our business interests or goals, and may be in a position to take actions contrary to our policies or objectives. Such investments may also lead to impasses, for example, as to whether to sell a property, because neither we nor the partner or co-venturer would have full control over the partnership or joint venture. Disputes between us and partners or co-venturers may result in litigation or arbitration that would increase our expenses and prevent our officers and/or directors from focusing their time and effort on our day-to-day business. Consequently, actions by or disputes with partners or co-venturers may subject properties owned by the partnership or joint venture to additional risk. In addition, we may in certain circumstances be liable for the actions of our third-party partners or co-venturers.

Our success depends on key personnel whose continued service is not guaranteed.

We depend on the efforts of key personnel, particularly Michael Foust, our Chief Executive Officer, A. William Stein, our Chief Financial Officer and Chief Investment Officer, and Scott Peterson, our Senior Vice President, Acquisitions. They are important to our success for many reasons, including that each has a national or regional reputation in our industry and the investment community that attracts investors and business and investment opportunities and assists us in negotiations with investors, lenders, existing and potential tenants and industry personnel. If we lost their services, our business and investment opportunities and our relationships with lenders, existing and prospective tenants and industry personnel could suffer. Many of our other senior executives also have strong technology and real estate industry reputations. As a result, we have greater access to potential acquisitions and leasing and other opportunities, and are better able to negotiate with tenants. The loss of any of these key personnel would result in the loss of these and other benefits and could materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

Failure to hedge effectively against interest rate changes may adversely affect results of operations.

We seek to manage our exposure to interest rate volatility by using interest rate hedging arrangements, such as interest cap and interest rate swap agreements. These agreements involve risks, such as the risk that counterparties may fail to honor their obligations under these arrangements, that these arrangements may not be effective in reducing our exposure to interest rate changes and that a court could rule that such an agreement is not legally enforceable. Our policy is to use derivatives only to hedge interest rate risks related to our borrowings, not for speculative or trading purposes, and to enter into contracts only with major financial institutions based on their credit ratings and other factors. However, we may choose to change this policy in the future. Effective November 26, 2004, we entered into interest rate swap agreements with KeyBank National Association and Bank of America for \$140.3 million of our variable rate debt, of which \$139.6 million was

22

outstanding as of March 31, 2005, and effective May 26, 2005, we entered into a \$100.0 million interest rate swap agreement with Bank of America. As a result, approximately 78.9% of our total pro forma indebtedness as of March 31, 2005 was subject to fixed interest rates. Hedging may reduce the overall returns on our investments. Failure to hedge effectively against interest rate changes may materially adversely affect our results of operations.

Our properties may not be suitable for lease to certain data center, technology or office tenants without significant expenditures or renovations.

Because many of our properties contain extensive tenant improvements installed at our tenants expense, they may be better suited for a specific corporate enterprise data center user or technology industry tenant and could require modification in order for us to re-lease vacant space to another corporate enterprise data center user or technology industry tenant. For the same reason, our properties also may not be suitable for lease to traditional office tenants without significant expenditures or renovations.

Ownership of properties located outside of the United States subjects us to foreign currency, business, operational and other risks which may adversely impact our ability to make distributions.

We own one property located outside of the U.S. and have a right of first offer with respect to a second property. In addition, we are currently considering, and will in the future consider, additional international acquisitions.

The ownership of properties located outside of the U.S. subjects us to risk from fluctuations in exchange rates between foreign currencies and the U.S. dollar. We expect that our principal foreign currency exposure will be to the British pound and the euro. Changes in the relation of these currencies to U.S. dollars will affect our revenues and operating margins, may materially adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders, per share trading price of our common stock or preferred stock, and ability to satisfy our debt obligations.

We intend to attempt to mitigate the risk of currency fluctuation by financing our properties in the local currency denominations, although we cannot assure you that we will be able to do so or that this will be effective. We may also engage in direct hedging activities to mitigate the risks of exchange rate fluctuations. If we do engage in foreign currency exchange rate hedging activities, any income recognized with respect to these hedges (as well as any foreign currency gain recognized with respect to changes in exchange rates) may not qualify under the 75% gross income test or the 95% gross income test that we must satisfy annually in order to qualify and maintain our status as a REIT.

Foreign real estate investments generally involve certain risks not generally associated with investments in the United States. Our international acquisitions and operations are subject to a number of risks, including:

acquisition risk resulting from our lack of knowledge of local real estate markets, economies and business practices and customs;

our limited knowledge of and relationships with sellers and tenants in these markets;

due diligence, transaction and structuring costs higher than those we may face in the U.S.;

complexity and costs associated with managing international operations;

difficulty in hiring qualified management, sales personnel and service providers in a timely fashion;

multiple, conflicting and changing legal, regulatory, tax and treaty environments;

exposure to increased taxation, confiscation or expropriation;

23

currency transfer restrictions and limitations on our ability to distribute cash earned in foreign jurisdictions to the U.S.;

difficulty in enforcing agreements in non-U.S. jurisdictions, including those entered into in connection with our acquisitions or in the event of a default by one or more of our tenants; and

political and economic instability in certain geographic regions.

Our inability to overcome these risks could adversely affect our foreign operations and could harm our business and results of operations.

Risks Related to the Real Estate Industry

changing submarket demographics.

Our performance and value are subject to risks associated with real estate assets and with the real estate industry.

Our ability to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders depends on our ability to generate revenues in excess of expenses, scheduled principal payments on debt and capital expenditure requirements. Events and conditions generally applicable to owners and operators of real property that are beyond our control may decrease cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders, and the value of our properties. These events and conditions include:

local oversupply, increased competition or reduction in demand for technology-related space; inability to collect rent from tenants; vacancies or our inability to rent space on favorable terms; inability to finance property development and acquisitions on favorable terms; increased operating costs, including insurance premiums, utilities and real estate taxes; costs of complying with changes in governmental regulations; the relative illiquidity of real estate investments; and

In addition, periods of economic slowdown or recession, rising interest rates or declining demand for real estate, or the public perception that any of these events may occur, could result in a general decline in rents or an increased incidence of defaults under existing leases, which would materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders, per share trading price of our common stock or preferred stock and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations.

Illiquidity of real estate investments could significantly impede our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our properties and harm our financial condition.

Because real estate investments are relatively illiquid, our ability promptly to sell properties in our portfolio in response to adverse changes in their performance may be limited, which may harm our financial condition. The real estate market is affected by many factors that are beyond our control, including:

adverse changes in national and local economic and market conditions;

changes in interest rates and in the availability, cost and terms of debt financing;

changes in laws and regulations, fiscal policies and zoning ordinances and costs of compliance with laws and regulations, fiscal policies and ordinances;

24

the ongoing need for capital improvements, particularly in older structures;

changes in operating expenses; and

civil unrest, acts of war, terrorist attacks and natural disasters, including earthquakes and floods, which may result in uninsured and underinsured losses.

We could incur significant costs related to government regulation and private litigation over environmental matters.

Under various laws relating to the protection of the environment, a current or previous owner or operator of real estate may be liable for contamination resulting from the presence or discharge of hazardous or toxic substances at that property, and may be required to investigate and clean up such contamination at or emanating from that property. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of the contaminants, and the liability may be joint and several. Previous owners used some of our properties for industrial and retail purposes, so those properties may contain some level of environmental contamination. The presence of contamination or the failure to remediate contamination at our properties may expose us to third-party liability or materially adversely affect our ability to sell, lease or develop the real estate or to borrow using the real estate as collateral.

Some of the properties may contain asbestos-containing building materials. Environmental laws require that asbestos-containing building materials be properly managed and maintained, and may impose fines and penalties on building owners or operators for failure to comply with these requirements. These laws may also allow third parties to seek recovery from owners or operators for personal injury associated with exposure to asbestos-containing building materials.

In addition, some of our tenants, particularly those in the biotechnology and life sciences industry and those in the technology manufacturing industry, routinely handle hazardous substances and wastes as part of their operations at our properties. Environmental laws and regulations subject our tenants, and potentially us, to liability resulting from these activities or from previous industrial or retail uses of those properties. Environmental liabilities could also affect a tenant s ability to make rental payments to us. We require our tenants to comply with environmental laws and regulations and to indemnify us for any related liabilities.

Existing conditions at some of our properties may expose us to liability related to environmental matters.

Independent environmental consultants have conducted Phase I or similar environmental site assessments on all of the properties in our portfolio. Site assessments are intended to discover and evaluate information regarding the environmental condition of the surveyed property and surrounding properties. These assessments do not generally include soil samplings, subsurface investigations or an asbestos survey. None of the recent site assessments revealed any past or present environmental liability that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our business, assets or results of operations. However, the assessments may have failed to reveal all environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns. Material environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns may have arisen after the review was completed or may arise in the future. Future laws, ordinances or regulations may impose additional material environmental liability.

We cannot assure you that costs of future environmental compliance will not affect our ability to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders or that such costs or other remedial measures will not have a material adverse effect on our business, assets or results of operations.

Our properties may contain or develop harmful mold or suffer from other air quality issues, which could lead to liability for adverse health effects and costs of remediating the problem.

When excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, mold may grow, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. Some molds may produce airborne toxins or irritants. Indoor air quality issues can also stem from inadequate ventilation, chemical contamination from indoor or outdoor sources and other biological contaminants such as pollen, viruses and bacteria. Indoor exposure to airborne toxins or irritants above certain levels can be alleged to cause a variety of adverse health effects and symptoms, including allergic or other reactions. As a result, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants at any of our properties could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold or other airborne contaminants from the affected property or increase indoor ventilation. In addition, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants could expose us to liability from our tenants, employees of our tenants and others if property damage or health concerns arise.

We may incur significant costs complying with the Americans with Disabilities Act and similar laws.

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, or the ADA, all public accommodations must meet federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. We have not conducted an audit or investigation of all of our properties to determine our compliance with the ADA. If one or more of the properties in our portfolio does not comply with the ADA, then we would be required to incur additional costs to bring the property into compliance. Additional federal, state and local laws also may require modifications to our properties, or restrict our ability to renovate our properties. We cannot predict the ultimate cost of compliance with the ADA or other legislation. If we incur substantial costs to comply with the ADA and any other similar legislation, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders, per share trading price of our common stock or preferred stock and our ability to satisfy our debt service obligations could be materially adversely affected.

We may incur significant costs complying with other regulations.

The properties in our portfolio are subject to various federal, state and local regulations, such as state and local fire and life safety regulations. If we fail to comply with these various regulations, we may have to pay fines or private damage awards. In addition, we do not know whether existing regulations will change or whether future regulations will require us to make significant unanticipated expenditures that will materially adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders, per share trading price of our common stock or preferred stock and our ability to satisfy our debt service obligations.

Risks Related to Our Organizational Structure

Conflicts of interest may exist or could arise in the future with holders of units in our operating partnership.

Conflicts of interest may exist or could arise in the future as a result of the relationships between us and our affiliates, on the one hand, and our operating partnership or any partner thereof, on the other. Our directors and officers have duties to our company and our stockholders under Maryland law in connection with their management of our company. At the same time, we, as general partner, have fiduciary duties under

Maryland law to our operating partnership and to the limited partners in connection with the management of our operating partnership. Our duties as general partner to our operating partnership and its partners may come into conflict with the duties of our directors and officers to our company and our stockholders. Under Maryland law, a general partner of a Maryland limited partnership owes its limited partners the duties of good faith, fairness and loyalty, unless the partnership agreement provides otherwise. The partnership agreement of our operating partnership provides that for

so long as we own a controlling interest in our operating partnership, any conflict that cannot be resolved in a manner not adverse to either our stockholders or the limited partners will be resolved in favor of our stockholders.

Additionally, the partnership agreement expressly limits our liability by providing that we and our officers, directors, agents and employees, will not be liable or accountable to our operating partnership for losses sustained, liabilities incurred or benefits not derived if we or our officers, directors, agents or employees acted in good faith. In addition, our operating partnership is required to indemnify us and our officers, directors, employees, agents and designees to the extent permitted by applicable law from and against any and all claims arising from operations of our operating partnership, unless it is established that (1) the act or omission was committed in bad faith, was fraudulent or was the result of active and deliberate dishonesty, (2) the indemnified party received an improper personal benefit in money, property or services or (3) in the case of a criminal proceeding, the indemnified person had reasonable cause to believe that the act or omission was unlawful.

The provisions of Maryland law that allow the fiduciary duties of a general partner to be modified by a partnership agreement have not been tested in a court of law, and we have not obtained an opinion of counsel covering the provisions set forth in the partnership agreement that purport to waive or restrict our fiduciary duties.

We are also subject to the following additional conflicts of interest with holders of units in our operating partnership:

We may pursue less vigorous enforcement of the terms of contribution and other agreements because of conflicts of interest among GI Partners and certain of our officers. GI Partners and certain other contributors had ownership interests in the properties and in the other assets and liabilities contributed to our operating partnership in our formation and have interests in the property on which we have a right of first offer. We, under the agreements relating to the contribution of such interests, have contractual rights to indemnification in the event of breaches of representations or warranties made by GI Partners and other contributors. In addition, GI Partners has entered into a non-competition agreement with us pursuant to which it agreed, among other things, not to compete with us in certain business activities. Richard Magnuson, the Executive Chairman of our board of directors, is also, and will continue to be, the chief executive officer of the advisor to GI Partners. He and certain of our other senior executives have entered into employment agreements with us containing non-competition provisions. None of these contribution, option, right of first offer, employment and non-competition agreements was negotiated at arm s-length. We may choose not to enforce, or to enforce less vigorously, our rights under these contribution, option, right of first offer, employment and non-competition agreements because of our desire to maintain our ongoing relationship with GI Partners and the other individuals involved.

Tax consequences upon sale or refinancing. Sales of properties and repayment of related indebtedness will affect holders of common units in our operating partnership and our stockholders differently. The parties who contributed the 200 Paul Avenue and 1100 Space Park Drive properties to our operating partnership would incur adverse tax consequences upon the sale of these properties and on the repayment of related debt which differ from the tax consequences to us and our stockholders. Consequently, these holders of common units in our operating partnership may have different objectives regarding the appropriate pricing and timing of any such sale or repayment of debt. While we have exclusive authority under the limited partnership agreement of our operating partnership to determine when to refinance or repay debt or whether, when, and on what terms to sell a property, any such decision would require the approval of our board of directors. Certain of our directors and executive officers could exercise their influence in a manner inconsistent with the interests of some, or a majority, of our stockholders, including in a manner which could prevent completion of a sale of a property or the repayment of indebtedness.

Our charter and Maryland law contain provisions that may delay, defer or prevent a change of control transaction.

Our charter contains 9.8% ownership limits with respect to our capital stock. Our charter, subject to certain exceptions, authorize our directors to take such actions as are necessary and desirable to preserve our

qualification as a REIT and to limit any person to actual or constructive ownership of no more than 9.8% (by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive) of the outstanding shares of our common stock, 9.8% (by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive) of the outstanding shares of any series of preferred stock and 9.8% of the value of our outstanding capital stock. Our board of directors, in its sole discretion, may exempt a proposed transferee from the ownership limit. However, our board of directors may not grant an exemption from the ownership limit to any proposed transferee whose direct or indirect ownership of more than 9.8% of the outstanding shares of our common stock, more than of 9.8% of the outstanding shares of any series of preferred stock or more than 9.8% of the value of our outstanding capital stock could jeopardize our status as a REIT. These restrictions on transferability and ownership will not apply if our board of directors determines that it is no longer in our best interests to attempt to qualify, or to continue to qualify, as a REIT. The ownership limit may delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control that might be in the best interest of our common or preferred stockholders.

We could increase the number of authorized shares of stock and issue stock without stockholder approval. Our charter authorizes our board of directors, without stockholder approval, to increase the aggregate number of authorized shares of stock or the number of authorized shares of stock of any class or series, to issue authorized but unissued shares of our common stock or preferred stock and, subject to the voting rights of holders of preferred stock, to classify or reclassify any unissued shares of our common stock or preferred stock and to set the preferences, rights and other terms of such classified or reclassified shares. Although our board of directors has no such intention at the present time, it could establish a series of preferred stock that could, depending on the terms of such series, delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control that might be in the best interest of our common or preferred stockholders.

Certain provisions of Maryland law could impede changes in control. Certain provisions of the MGCL may have the effect of impeding a third party from making a proposal to acquire us or of impeding a change of control under circumstances that otherwise could be in the best interests of our common or preferred stockholders, including:

business combination provisions that, subject to limitations, prohibit certain business combinations between us and an interested stockholder (defined generally as any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of our shares or an affiliate or associate of ours who, at any time within the two-year period prior to the date in question, was the beneficial owner of 10% or more of our then outstanding voting shares) or an affiliate thereof for five years after the most recent date on which the stockholder becomes an interested stockholder, and thereafter imposes special appraisal rights and special stockholder voting requirements on these combinations; and

control share provisions that provide that control shares of our company (defined as shares which, when aggregated with other shares controlled by the stockholder, entitle the stockholder to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in electing directors) acquired in a control share acquisition (defined as the direct or indirect acquisition of ownership or control of control shares) have no voting rights except to the extent approved by our stockholders by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding all interested shares.

We have opted out of these provisions of the MGCL, in the case of the business combination provisions of the MGCL by resolution of our board of directors, and in the case of the control share provisions of the MGCL pursuant to a provision in our bylaws. However, our board of directors may by resolution elect to opt in to the business combination provisions of the MGCL and we may, by amendment to our bylaws, opt in to the control share provisions of the MGCL in the future.

The provisions of our charter on removal of directors and the advance notice provisions of the bylaws could delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control of our company that might be in the best interest of our common or preferred stockholders. Likewise, if our company s board of directors were to opt in to the business combination provisions of the MGCL or the provisions of Title 3, Subtitle 8 of the MGCL, or if the provision in our bylaws opting out of the control share acquisition provisions of the MGCL were rescinded, these

28

provisions of the MGCL could have similar anti-takeover effects. Further, our partnership agreement provides that our company may not engage in any merger, consolidation or other combination with or into another person, sale of all or substantially all of our assets or any reclassification or any recapitalization or change in outstanding shares of our common stock, unless in connection with such transaction we obtain the consent of the holders of at least 35% of our operating partnership s common and long-term incentive units (including units held by us), and certain other conditions are met.

Our board of directors may change our investment and financing policies without stockholder approval and we may become more highly leveraged, which may increase our risk of default under our debt obligations.

Our board of directors adopted a policy of limiting our indebtedness to 60% of our total market capitalization. Our total market capitalization is defined as the sum of the market value of our outstanding common stock (which may decrease, thereby increasing our debt to total capitalization ratio), excluding options issued under our incentive award plan, plus the aggregate value of the units not held by us, plus the book value of our total consolidated indebtedness. However, our organizational documents do not limit the amount or percentage of indebtedness, funded or otherwise, that we may incur. Our board of directors may alter or eliminate our current policy on borrowing at any time without stockholder approval. If this policy changed, we could become more highly leveraged which could result in an increase in our debt service and which could materially adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to make distributions, including cash available to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distribution to our common stockholders. Higher leverage also increases the risk of default on our obligations.

Our rights and the rights of our stockholders to take action against our directors and officers are limited.

Maryland law provides that our directors and officers have no liability in their capacities as directors or officers if they perform their duties in good faith, in a manner they reasonably believe to be in our best interests and with the care that an ordinarily prudent person in a like position would use under similar circumstances. As permitted by the MGCL, our charter limits the liability of our directors and officers to us and our stockholders for money damages, except for liability resulting from:

actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services; or

a final judgment based upon a finding of active and deliberate dishonesty by the director or officer that was material to the cause of action adjudicated.

In addition, our charter authorizes us to obligate our company, and our bylaws require us, to indemnify our directors and officers for actions taken by them in those capacities to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. As a result, we and our stockholders may have more limited rights against our directors and officers than might otherwise exist under common law. Accordingly, in the event that actions taken in good faith by any of our directors or officers impede the performance of our company, your ability to recover damages from that director or officer will be limited.

Risks Related to Our Status as a REIT

Failure to qualify as a REIT would have significant adverse consequences to us and the value of our stock.

We believe we have operated and intend to continue operating in a manner that will allow us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes under the Code. We have not requested and do not plan to request a ruling from the IRS that we qualify as a REIT, and the statements in this prospectus are not binding on the IRS or any court. If we lose our REIT status, we will face serious tax consequences that would substantially reduce our cash

29

available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends to our preferred stockholders or pay distributions to our common stockholders, for each of the years involved because:

we would not be allowed a deduction for distributions to stockholders in computing our taxable income and would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates;

we also could be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax and possibly increased state and local taxes; and

unless we are entitled to relief under applicable statutory provisions, we could not elect to be taxed as a REIT for four taxable years following the year during which we were disqualified.

In addition, if we fail to qualify as a REIT, we will not be required to make distributions to stockholders. As a result of all these factors, our failure to qualify as a REIT also could impair our ability to expand our business and raise capital, and would materially adversely affect the value of our capital stock.

Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Code provisions for which there are only limited judicial and administrative interpretations. The complexity of these provisions and of the applicable Treasury Regulations that have been promulgated under the Code is greater in the case of a REIT that, like us, holds its assets through a partnership. Our ability to qualify as a REIT may be affected by facts and circumstances that are not entirely within our control. In order to qualify as a REIT, we must satisfy a number of requirements, including requirements regarding the composition of our assets and a requirement that at least 95% of our gross income in any year must be derived from qualifying sources, such as rents from real property. Also, we must make distributions to stockholders aggregating annually at least 90% of our net taxable income, excluding net capital gains. In addition, legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions may materially adversely affect our investors, our ability to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes or the desirability of an investment in a REIT relative to other investments.

Even if we qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we may be subject to some federal, state and local taxes on our income or property and, in certain cases, a 100% penalty tax, in the event we sell property as a dealer. In addition, our taxable REIT subsidiaries will be subject to tax as regular corporations in the jurisdictions in which they operate, including, in the case of the entity holding Camperdown House, the United Kingdom.

To maintain our REIT status, we may be forced to borrow funds on a short-term basis during unfavorable market conditions.

To qualify as a REIT, we generally must distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our net taxable income each year, excluding capital gains, and we will be subject to regular corporate income taxes to the extent that we distribute less than 100% of our net taxable income each year. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which distributions paid by us in any calendar year are less than the sum of 85% of our ordinary income, 95% of our capital gain net income and 100% of our undistributed income from prior years. In order to maintain our REIT status and avoid the payment of income and excise taxes, we may need to borrow funds on a short-term basis to meet the REIT distribution requirements even if the then prevailing market conditions are not favorable for these borrowings. These short-term borrowing needs could result from differences in timing between the actual receipt of cash and inclusion of income for federal income tax purposes, or the effect of non-deductible capital expenditures, the creation of reserves or required debt or amortization payments.

The ability of our board of directors to revoke our REIT election without stockholder approval may cause adverse consequences to our stockholders.

Our charter provides that our board of directors may revoke or otherwise terminate our REIT election, without the approval of our stockholders, if it determines that it is no longer in our best interests to continue to qualify as a REIT. If we cease to qualify as a REIT, we would become subject to U.S. federal income tax on our taxable income and we would no longer be required to distribute most of our taxable income to our stockholders, which may have adverse consequences on the total return to our common or preferred stockholders.

30

Risks Related to this Offering

Market interest rates and other factors may affect the value of our common stock.

One of the factors that will influence the price of our common stock will be the dividend yield on the common stock relative to market interest rates. An increase in market interest rates, which are currently at low levels relative to historical rates, could cause the market price of our common stock to go down. The trading price of the shares of common stock will also depend on many other factors, which may change from time to time, including:

the market for similar securities;

the attractiveness of REIT securities in comparison to the securities of other companies, taking into account, among other things, the higher tax rates imposed on dividends paid by REITs;

government action or regulation;

general economic conditions; and

our financial condition, performance and prospects.

Our unsecured credit facility may limit our ability to pay distributions to our common stockholders.

Our unsecured credit facility prohibits us from distributing to our stockholders more than 95% of our funds from operations (as defined in our unsecured credit facility) during any four consecutive fiscal quarters, except as necessary to enable us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. Consequently, if we do not generate sufficient funds from operations (as defined in our unsecured credit facility) during the twelve months preceding any dividend payment date for our common stock or preferred stock, we will not be able to pay all or a portion of the accumulated dividends payable to our stockholders on that payment date without causing a default under our unsecured credit facility. In the event of a default under our unsecured credit facility, we would be unable to borrow under our unsecured credit facility and any amounts we have borrowed thereunder could become due and payable.

The number of shares available for future sale could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

We cannot predict whether future issuances of shares of our common stock or the availability of shares for resale in the open market will decrease the market price per share of our common stock. Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market, or upon exchange of units, or the perception that such sales might occur could materially adversely affect the market price of the shares of our common stock.

We have granted those persons who received units in the formation transactions related to our initial public offering, including GI Partners, certain registration rights with respect to the shares of our common stock for which their units may be redeemed or exchanged pursuant to the partnership agreement of our operating partnership. These registration rights require us to seek to file a shelf registration statement covering all such shares of common stock by January 3, 2006. In addition, commencing on March 3, 2006, each of GI Partners and another third party who received units in the initial public offering formation transactions have the right, on one occasion, to require us to register all such shares of our common stock. Our officers and directors have agreed not to sell or otherwise transfer any of the 1,490,561 long-term incentive units granted to them in connection with our initial public offering for a period of three years from the date of grant. Our directors and executive officers have agreed with the underwriters not to offer, sell, contract to sell, pledge or otherwise dispose of any shares of common stock or other securities convertible or exchangeable into our common stock for a period of 90 days after the date of this prospectus. If any or all of these holders cause a large number of their shares to be sold in the public market, the sales could reduce the trading price of our common stock and could impede our ability to raise future capital.

The exercise of the underwriters over-allotment option, the exchange of units for common stock, the exercise of any options granted to directors, executive officers and other employees under our incentive award

31

plan, the issuance of our common stock or units in connection with property, portfolio or business acquisitions and other issuances of our common stock could have an adverse effect on the market price of the shares of our common stock, and the existence of units, options, shares of our common stock reserved for issuance as restricted shares of our common stock or upon exchange of units may materially adversely affect the terms upon which we may be able to obtain additional capital through the sale of equity securities. In addition, future sales of shares of our common stock may be dilutive to existing stockholders. We cannot predict whether future issuances of shares of our common stock or the availability of shares for resale in the open market will decrease the market price per share of our common stock. Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market, or upon exchange of units, or the perception that such sales might occur could materially adversely affect the market price of the shares of our common stock.

The market price and trading volume of our common stock may be volatile.

The market price of our common stock may be volatile. In addition, the trading volume in our common stock may fluctuate and cause significant price variations to occur. If the market price of our common stock declines significantly, you may be unable to resell your shares at or above the public offering price. We cannot assure you that the market price of our common stock will not fluctuate or decline significantly in the future.

Some of the factors that could negatively affect our share price or result in fluctuations in the price or trading volume of our common stock include:

actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly operating results or dividends;

changes in our funds from operations or earnings estimates;

publication of research reports about us, the real estate industry or the technology industry;

increases in market interest rates that lead purchasers of our shares to demand a higher yield;

changes in market valuations of similar companies;

adverse market reaction to any additional debt we incur in the future;

additions or departures of key management personnel;

actions by institutional stockholders;

speculation in the press or investment community;

the realization of any of the other risk factors presented in this prospectus; and

general market and economic conditions.

Future offerings of debt, which would be senior to our common stock upon liquidation, and/or preferred equity securities which may be senior to our common stock for purposes of dividend distributions or upon liquidation, may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

In the future, we may attempt to increase our capital resources by making additional offerings of debt or preferred equity securities, including medium-term notes, trust preferred securities, senior or subordinated notes and preferred stock. Upon liquidation, holders of our debt securities and shares of preferred stock and lenders with respect to other borrowings will receive distributions of our available assets prior to the holders of our common stock. Additional equity offerings may dilute the holdings of our existing stockholders or reduce the market price of our common stock, or both. Holders of our common stock are not entitled to preemptive rights or other protections against dilution. Our series A preferred stock has, and the series B preferred stock will have, a preference on liquidating distributions and a preference on dividend payments that could limit our ability to pay a dividend or make another distribution to the holders of our common stock. Because our decision to issue securities in any future offering will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing or nature of our future offerings. Thus, our stockholders bear the risk of our future offerings reducing the market price of our common stock and diluting their stock holdings in us.

32

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We make statements in this prospectus that are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. In particular, statements pertaining to our capital resources, portfolio performance and results of operations contain forward-looking statements. Likewise, our pro forma financial statements and all of our statements regarding anticipated growth in our funds from operations and anticipated market conditions, demographics and results of operations are forward-looking statements. You can identify forward-looking statements by the use of forward-looking terminology such as believes, expects, may, will, should, seeks, approximately, intends, plans, pro forma, anticipates or the negative of these words and phrases or similar words or phrases which are predictions of or indicate future events or trends and which do not relate solely to historical matters. You can also identify forward-looking statements by discussions of strategy, plans or intentions.

Forward-looking statements involve numerous risks and uncertainties and you should not rely on them as predictions of future events. Forward-looking statements depend on assumptions, data or methods which may be incorrect or imprecise and we may not be able to realize them. We do not guarantee that the transactions and events described will happen as described (or that they will happen at all). The following factors, among others, could cause actual results and future events to differ materially from those set forth or contemplated in the forward-looking statements:

adverse economic or real estate developments in our markets or the technology industry;
general and local economic conditions;
our inability to manage our growth effectively;
defaults on or non-renewal of leases by tenants;
increased interest rates and operating costs;
our failure to obtain necessary outside financing;
decreased rental rates or increased vacancy rates;
difficulties in identifying properties to acquire and completing acquisitions;
our failure to successfully operate acquired properties and operations;
our failure to maintain our status as a REIT;
possible adverse changes to tax laws;

environmental uncertainties and risks related to natural disasters;
financial market fluctuations;
changes in foreign currency exchange rates; and
changes in real estate and zoning laws and increases in real property tax rates.

While forward-looking statements reflect our good faith beliefs, they are not guaranties of future performance. We disclaim any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement to reflect changes in underlying assumptions or factors, new information, data or methods, future events or other changes. For a further discussion of these and other factors that could impact our future results, performance or transactions, see the section above entitled Risk Factors.

33

USE OF PROCEEDS

We estimate that we will receive gross proceeds from our common stock offering of \$75.7 million, or approximately \$87.1 million if the underwriters—over-allotment option is exercised in full. After deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and the estimated expenses of our common stock offering, we expect net proceeds of approximately \$71.4 million, or approximately \$82.2 million if the underwriters over-allotment option is exercised in full.

We estimate that we will receive gross proceeds from our series B preferred stock offering of \$55.0 million, or approximately \$63.3 million if the underwriters—over-allotment option is exercised in full. After deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and the estimated expenses of our series B preferred stock offering, we expect net proceeds of approximately \$52.9 million, or approximately \$60.9 million if the underwriters—option is exercised in full.

We will contribute the net proceeds of the concurrent offerings to our operating partnership. Our operating partnership will subsequently use the net proceeds received from us to repay borrowings under our unsecured credit facility, potentially to acquire the acquisition properties and additional properties, and for general corporate purposes. If the underwriters exercise in full or in part, their over-allotment options on either of the concurrent offerings, we expect to use the additional net proceeds in the same manner. At March 31, 2005 our unsecured credit facility had an outstanding balance of \$36.0 million. At June 30, 2005, the outstanding balance was \$188.0 million. The increase relates to our acquisitions of Lakeside Technology Center, Ameriquest Data Center, Savvis Data Centers 2 through 5 and Savvis Office Building. As of June 30, 2005, our unsecured credit facility bore interest at LIBOR plus 1.625% per annum, which equaled a rate of 4.97%. The credit facility expires in November 2007, subject to a one-year extension option.

Pending application of cash proceeds, we will invest the net proceeds in interest bearing accounts and short-term, interest-bearing securities which are consistent with our intention to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes.

34

PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK AND DISTRIBUTIONS

Our common stock has been listed on the NYSE since October 29, 2004 and is traded under the symbol DLR. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high, low and last sale prices in dollars on the NYSE for our common stock and the distributions we declared with respect to the periods indicated.

	High	Low	Last	Distributions	
Period October 29, 2004 to December 31, 2004	\$ 14.10	\$ 12.00	\$ 13.47	\$ 0.156318	
January 1, 2005 to March 31, 2005	14.81	12.50	14.37	0.24375	
April 1, 2005 to June 30, 2005	17.49	13.67	17.38	0.24375	
Period July 1, 2005 to July 12, 2005	18.43	17.15	18.03		

On July 12, 2005, the closing sale price for our common stock, as reported on the NYSE, was \$18.03. As of July 12, 2005, there were five holders of record of our common stock. This figure does not reflect the beneficial ownership of shares held in nominee name.

We intend to continue to declare quarterly distributions on our common stock. The actual amount and timing of distributions, however, will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon our financial condition in addition to the requirements of the Code, and no assurance can be given as to the amounts or timing of future distributions.

Subject to the distribution requirements applicable to REITs under the Code, we intend, to the extent practicable, to invest substantially all of the proceeds from sales and refinancings of our assets in real estate-related assets and other assets. We may, however, under certain circumstances, make a distribution of capital or of assets. Such distributions, if any, will be made at the discretion of our board of directors. Distributions will be made in cash to the extent that cash is available for distribution.

CAPITALIZATION

The following table sets forth our historical consolidated capitalization as of March 31, 2005 and our consolidated capitalization on a pro forma basis as of March 31, 2005. You should read this table in conjunction with Use of Proceeds, Selected Combined Financial Data, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources and our consolidated financial statements and the notes to our financial statements appearing elsewhere in this prospectus.

	March 31, 2005			
	Historical Consolidated	Pro Forma Consolidated		
	`	ousands)		
Mortgages and other loans	\$ 515,701	\$ 660,441		
Minority interests in our operating partnership	250,592	271,541		
Stockholders equity:				
8.50% series A cumulative redeemable preferred stock, \$.01 par value per share, 4,140,000 shares authorized				
and outstanding historical and on a pro forma basis	99,297	99,297		
% series B cumulative redeemable preferred stock, \$.01 par value per share, 2,530,000 shares				
authorized, no shares issued and outstanding historical and 2,200,000 shares issued and outstanding on a pro				
forma basis ⁽¹⁾		52,867		
Common stock, \$.01 par value per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 21,421,300 shares issued and				
outstanding historical and 25,621,300 shares issued and outstanding on a pro forma basis ⁽²⁾	214	256		
Additional paid in capital	182,095	232,494		
Dividends in excess of earnings	(13,271)	(13,271)		
Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,258	1,258		
Total stockholders equity	269,593	372,901		
Total capitalization	\$ 1,035,886	\$ 1,304,883		

⁽¹⁾ The pro forma preferred stock outstanding includes series B preferred stock to be issued in our series B preferred stock offering and excludes 330,000 shares of series B preferred stock issuable upon exercise of the underwriters over-allotment option.

⁽²⁾ The proforma common stock outstanding includes shares of common stock to be issued in our common stock offering and excludes (i) 630,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the underwriters—over-allotment option in the concurrent offering, (ii) 2,068,046 additional shares available for future issuance under our incentive award plan, (iii) 915,495 shares issuable under options granted under our incentive award plan, (iv) 1,490,561 shares reserved for long-term incentive units granted under our incentive award plan that may, subject to limits in the partnership agreement of our operating partnership, be exchanged for cash or, at our option, shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis generally commencing on January 3, 2006, and (v) 30,030,870 shares reserved for issuance with respect to outstanding common units held by limited partners that may, subject to limits in the partnership agreement of our operating partnership, be exchanged for cash or, at our option, shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis generally commencing on January 3, 2006.

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth selected consolidated financial and operating data on a pro forma and historical basis for our company and on a combined historical basis for the Digital Realty Predecessor. The Digital Realty Predecessor comprises the real estate activities and holdings of GI Partners related to the properties in our portfolio. We have not presented historical information for Digital Realty Trust, Inc. for periods prior to the consummation of our initial public offering on November 3, 2004 because we did not have any corporate activity until the consummation of our initial public offering other than the issuance of shares of common stock in connection with the initial capitalization of our company, and because we believe that a discussion of the results of Digital Realty Trust, Inc. would not be meaningful. The Digital Realty Predecessor comprises:

the wholly-owned real estate subsidiaries and majority-owned real estate joint ventures that GI Partners contributed to our operating partnership in connection with our initial public offering;

an allocation of GI Partners line of credit to the extent that borrowings and related interest expense related to (1) borrowings to partially fund acquisitions of the properties in our portfolio and (2) borrowings to pay asset management fees paid by GI Partners that were allocated to the properties in our portfolio; and

an allocation of the asset management fees paid to a related party and incurred by GI Partners, along with an allocation of the liability for any such fees that were unpaid as of the date of the financial statements, and an allocation of GI Partners general and administrative expenses.

You should read the following summary selected financial data in conjunction with our consolidated and combined historical and consolidated pro forma financial statements and notes thereto and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The historical consolidated balance sheet information as of December 31, 2004 and the consolidated statement of operations information for the period from November 3, 2004 through December 31, 2004 have been derived from the historical consolidated financial statements of our company audited by KPMG LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, whose report with respect thereto is included elsewhere in this prospectus. The historical combined balance sheet information as of December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 of the Digital Realty Predecessor and the combined statements of operations information for the years then ended and for the periods from January 1, 2004 through November 2, 2004 and February 28, 2001 (inception) through December 31, 2001 of the Digital Realty Predecessor have been derived from the historical combined financial statements audited by KPMG LLP. The historical consolidated balance sheet information as of March 31, 2005 and the consolidated statements of operations information for the three months ended March 31, 2005 have been derived from the unaudited consolidated financial statements of our company. The historical combined balance sheet information as of December 31, 2001 and the combined statements of operations information for the three months ended March 31, 2004 have been derived from the unaudited combined financial statements of the Digital Realty Predecessor. In the opinion of the management of our company, the historical consolidated balance sheet information as of March 31, 2005 and the historical consolidated and combined statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 include all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the information set forth therein. Our results of operations for the interim period ended March 31, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be obtained for the full fiscal year.

Our unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements and operating information as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and for the year ended December 31, 2004 assume the completion of the concurrent offerings and our intended use of the proceeds therefrom as of January 1, 2004 for the operating data and as of the stated date for the balance sheet data. Our unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements

37

also include the effects of our series A preferred stock offering, which closed on February 9, 2005, as if the series A preferred stock offering had closed on January 1, 2004 for the operating data. Our unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements also include the effects of the acquisition by us of Lakeside Technology Center, Ameriquest Data Center, Savvis Data Centers 2 through 5 and Savvis Office Building and the expected acquisition of the acquisition properties, along with the related financing transactions, as if those acquisitions and financing transactions had occurred as of January 1, 2004 for the operating data and as of the stated dates for the balance sheet data. Our unaudited summary selected pro forma consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2004 also include the effects of our initial public offering, which closed on November 3, 2004, and the related formation and refinancing transactions that occurred in conjunction with our initial public offering, as if the resulting debt and equity structure were in place as of the first day of the period presented for the operating data. Our pro forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of what our actual financial position and results of operations would have been as of the dates and for the periods indicated, nor does it purport to represent our future financial position or results of operations.

The Company and the Digital Realty Predecessor

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

										The Predecessor						
	The Company			The Predecessor		<u> </u>	The Company	The Company and the Predecessor						Fe	Period from ebruary 28, 2001	
			a Historical ed Consolidated		Historical Combined		Pro Forma Consolidated		Historical Combined		Historical Combined				(inception) through December 31,	
	Three M	Months Ended M		Aarch 31,		Year Ended December 31,	Year Ended December 31, 2004		Year Ended December 31			Historical , Combined				
	2005					2004			2003		2002			2001		
	(unaudited)	(una	udited)	(ur	audited)	(u	naudited)									
Statement of Operations Data:		_				_						_				
Rental revenues	\$ 45,197	\$	32,691	\$	16,028	\$	170,306	\$	89,108	\$		\$	21,203	\$		
Tenant reimbursements	9,494		6,520		2,728		36,282		16,229		8,661		3,894			
Other revenues	474		432	_	14	_	2,680	_	1,784	_	4,328		458	_	12	
Total revenues	55,165		39,643		18,770		209,268		107,121		63,088		25,555		12	
				_				_		_		_				
Rental property operating and																
maintenance expenses	9,034		7,145		3,006		36,570		18,974		8,624		4,997			
Property taxes	6,842		3,681		1,718		24,173		9,334		4,688		2,755			
Insurance	994		599		241		4,342		1,875		626		83			
Interest expense	10,459		8,121		3,813		41,860		24,461		10,091		5,249			
Asset management fees to related party	,,		-,		796		12,000		2,655		3,185		3,185		2,663	
Depreciation and amortization expense	16,379		12,143		5,507		62,316		31,398		16,295		7,659		2,000	
General and administrative expenses	2,413		2,413		92		24,847		21,017		329		249			
Net loss from early extinguishment of	2,113		2,113		,2		21,017		21,017		32)		217			
debt	125		125				283		283							
Other expenses	531		521		90		2,922		2,805		2,459		1,249		107	
other expenses			321	_	70		2,722	_	2,003		2,107		1,217	_	107	
Total expenses	46,777		34,748		15,263		197,313		112,802		46,297		25,426		2,770	
Total expenses	40,777		34,740		13,203		197,313		112,002		40,297		23,420		2,770	
Income (loss) before minority interests																
(deficit)	8,388		4,895		3,507		11,955		(5,681)		16,791		129		(2,758)	
Minority interests in consolidated joint	0,500		4,073		3,307		11,755		(3,001)		10,771		12)		(2,730)	
ventures	(3)		(3)		46		(24)		(24)		149		190			
Minority interests in operating	(3)		(3)		-10		(24)		(24)		147		170			
partnership	4,628		2,159				6,608		(10,214)							
partitersinp	7,020		2,137				0,000		(10,214)					_		
Net income (loss)	3,763		2,739		3,461		5,371		4,557		16,642		(61)		(2,758)	
Preferred stock dividends	(3,300)				3,401		(13,198)		4,337		10,042		(01)		(2,136)	
1 Teleffed Stock dividelids	(3,300)		(1,271)	_		_	(13,170)	_		_		_		_		
Net income (loss) available to common																
stockholders/ owners	\$ 463	\$	1,468	\$	3,461	\$	(7,827)	\$	4,557	\$	16,642	\$	(61)	\$	(2,758)	

Edgar Filing: Digital Realty Trust, Inc. - Form S-11/A

Earnings (loss) per share available to								
common stockholders basic and diluted \$ 0.02	\$ 0.07	\$	\$ (0.31)	\$ $(0.30)^{(1)}$	\$	\$		\$
Weighted average common shares								
outstanding:								
Basic 25,621	21,421		25,621	20,771(1)				
Diluted 25,735	21,535		25,621	20,771(1)				
Balance Sheet Data (at period end)								
Investments in real estate, after								
accumulated depreciation and								
amortization \$1,076,084	\$ 852,112	\$	\$	\$ 787,412	\$ 391,737	\$	217,009	\$
Total assets 1,386,496	1,099,727			1,013,287	479,698		269,836	1,893
Notes payable under line of credit 73,072	36,000			44,000	44,436		53,000	
Mortgages and other secured loans 587,369	479,701			475,498	253,429		103,560	
Total liabilities 741,905	579,393			584,229	328,303		183,524	903
Minority interests in consolidated joint								
ventures 149	149			997	3,444		3,135	
Minority interests in operating								
partnership 271,541	250,592			254,862				
Stockholders /owner s equity 372,901	269,593			173,199	147,951		83,177	990
Total liabilities and								
stockholders /owner s equity 1,386,496	1,099,727			1,013,287	479,698		269,836	1,893
Cash flows from (used in):								
Operating activities \$	\$ 11,708	\$ 6,627	\$	\$ 44,638	\$ 27,628	\$	9,645	\$ (1,867)
Investing activities	(71,645)	(40,571)		(371,277)	(213,905)	(164,755)	(1,881)
Financing activities	58,255	33,023		326,022	187,873		158,688	3,748
Other Data:								
Funds from operations ⁽²⁾ \$ 24,770	\$ 17,041	\$	\$ 74,295	\$ $(9,010)^{(1)}$	\$	\$		\$
Funds from operations available to				, , ,				
common stockholders ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ 21,470	15,770		61,097	$(9,010)^{(1)}$				
EBITDA ⁽⁴⁾ 35,229	25,162	12,781	116,155	50,202	43,028		12,847	(2,758)
EBITDA available to common								
stockholders of the company and								
stockholders of the company and								

- (1) For period from November 3, 2004 through December 31, 2004.
- (2) We calculate funds from operations, or FFO, in accordance with the standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT. FFO represents net income (loss) (computed in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property, real estate related depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of deferred financing costs) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Management uses FFO as a supplemental performance measure because, in excluding real estate related depreciation and amortization and losses from property dispositions, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs. We also believe that, as a widely recognized measure of the performance of REITs, FFO will be used by investors as a basis to compare our operating performance with that of other REITs. However, because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization and captures neither the changes in the value of our properties that result from use or market conditions nor the level of capital expenditures and leasing commissions necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which have real economic effect and could materially impact our results from operations, the utility of FFO as a measure of our performance is limited. Other REITs may not calculate FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition and, accordingly, our FFO may not be comparable to such other REITs FFO. Accordingly, FFO should be considered only as a supplement to net income as a measure of our performance. FFO should not be used as a measure of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends or make distributions. FFO also should not be used as a supplement to or substitute for cash flow from operating activities computed in accordanc
- (3) FFO available to common stockholders has been calculated assuming that the common units in our operating partnership are exchanged for common stock. See the reconciliation of net income to FFO available to common stockholders below.
- (4) We believe that earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA, is a useful supplemental performance measure. In addition, we believe EBITDA is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of REITs. However, EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to net income as an indicator of our operating performance. In addition, because EBITDA is calculated before recurring cash charges including interest expense and taxes, and is not adjusted for capital expenditures or other recurring cash requirements of our business, its utility as a measure of our liquidity is limited. Accordingly, EBITDA should not be considered as a supplement to cash flows from operating activities (computed in accordance with GAAP) as a measure of our liquidity. Other REITs may calculate EBITDA differently than we do; accordingly, our EBITDA may not be comparable to such other REITs EBITDA. See the reconciliation of net income to EBITDA on page 41.
- (5) EBITDA available to common stockholders has been calculated assuming that the common units in our operating partnership are exchanged for common stock. See the reconciliation of net income to EBITDA available to common stockholders on page 41.

The following table reconciles our net income to our FFO and FFO available to common stockholders for the periods indicated:

	Thre	o Forma ee Months Ended arch 31, 2005	nths Three Months I Ended		Pro Forma Year Ended December 31, 2004		Cor Per No	Historical consolidated Period from Tovember 3, 2004 through ecember 31, 2004	
Reconciliation of net income to FFO and FFO available to common stockholders:									
Net income before minority interest in operating partnership but after minority interest in consolidated joint ventures	\$	8,391	\$	4,898	\$	11,979	\$	(16,383)	
Plus real estate depreciation and amortization		16,379		12,143		62,316		7,373	
					_		_		
FFO		24,770		17,041		74,295		(9,010)	
Less preferred stock dividends		3,300		1,271		13,198	_		
FFO available to common stockholders ⁽¹⁾	\$	21,470	\$	15,770	\$	61,097	\$	(9,010)	

⁽¹⁾ FFO available to common stockholders has been calculated assuming that the common units in our operating partnership are exchanged for common stock.

The following table reconciles our net income to our EBITDA and EBITDA available to common stockholders for the periods indicated:

											The	Predece	essor	
	The Company		Pre	The edecessor	C	The ompany	a	The ompany and the edecessor			Feb (in tl	Period from ruary 28, 2001 ception) arough ember 31,		
	Pro Forma Consolidate		torical olidated		istorical ombined		ro Forma nsolidated		istorical ombined	Historical Combined		Historical Combined		
	Three Months Ended		March 31, Year End December			Year Ended , December 31,		Year Ended December 31,						
	2005	2	005	_	2004		2004		2004	2003		2002		2001
Reconciliation of net income to EBITDA:														
Net income (loss) Adjustments:	\$ 3,763	\$	2,739	\$	3,461	\$	5,371	\$	4,557	\$ 16,642	\$	(61)	\$	(2,758)
Minority interest in operating partnership	4,628		2,159				6,608		(10,214)					
Interest expense	10,459		8,121		3,813		41,860		24,461	10,091		5,249		
Depreciation and amortization	16,379		12,143		5,507	_	62,316	_	31,398	16,295	_	7,659		
EBITDA	35,229		25,162		12,781		116,155		50,202	43,028	1	2,847		(2,758)
Less preferred stock dividends	3,300		1,271		12,701		13,198		23,202	.5,020	_		_	(2,730)
EBITDA available to common stockholders of the Company and to the														
owners of the Predecessor ⁽¹⁾	\$ 31,929	\$	23,891	\$	12,781	\$	102,957	\$	50,202	\$ 43,028	\$ 1	2,847	\$	(2,758)

⁽¹⁾ EBITDA available to common stockholders has been calculated assuming that the common units in our operating partnership are exchanged for common stock

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with Selected Financial Data and the financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. Where appropriate, the following discussion analyzes the effects of our initial public offering and the related formation transactions, certain other transactions and the concurrent offerings. These effects are reflected in the proforma combined financial statements located elsewhere in this prospectus. For a discussion of risk factors, see Risk Factors and Forward-Looking Statements.

Overview

Our company. We completed our initial public offering of common stock on November 3, 2004. We expect to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes beginning with our initial taxable period ended December 31, 2004. Our company was formed on March 9, 2004. During the period from our formation until we commenced operations in connection with the completion of our initial public offering, we did not have any corporate activity other than the issuance of shares of common stock in connection with the initial capitalization of our company. Because we believe that a discussion of the results of our company prior to the completion of our initial public offering would not be meaningful, we have set forth below a discussion of historical consolidated operations for our company and the historical combined operations of the Digital Realty Predecessor, and all references in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations to our, we and us include our company and the Digital Realty Predecessor. The Digital Realty Predecessor comprises the real estate activities and holdings of GI Partners related to the properties in our portfolio. The Digital Realty Predecessor s combined historical financial information comprises:

the wholly-owned real estate subsidiaries and majority-owned real estate joint ventures that GI Partners contributed to our operating partnership in connection with our initial public offering;

an allocation of GI Partners line of credit to the extent that borrowings and related interest expense related to (1) borrowings to partially fund acquisitions of the properties in our portfolio and (2) borrowings to pay asset management fees paid by GI Partners that were allocated to the properties in our portfolio; and

an allocation of the asset management fees paid to a related party and incurred by GI Partners, along with an allocation of the liability for any such fees that were unpaid as of the date of the financial statements, and an allocation of GI Partners general and administrative expenses.

Business and strategy. Our primary business objectives are to maximize sustainable long-term growth in cash flows, earnings per share, funds from operations per share and to maximize returns to our stockholders. We expect to achieve our objectives by focusing on our core business of investing in technology-related real estate. We target high-quality, strategically located properties containing applications and operations critical to the day-to-day operations of technology industry tenants and corporate and institutional data center users, including the IT departments of Fortune 1000 and financial services companies. We focus on regional, national and international tenants that require technology real estate and are leaders in their respective areas. Most of our properties contain fully redundant electrical supply systems, multiple power feeds, above-standard electrical HVAC systems, raised floor areas to accommodate computer cables and below-floor cooling systems, extensive in-building communications cabling and high-level security systems. We focus solely on technology-related real estate because we believe that the growth of the technology industry, particularly Internet and data communications and corporate data center use, will be superior to that of the overall economy.

From the acquisition of our first property in 2002 through March 31, 2005, we acquired 26 technology-related real estate properties containing 6.3 million net rentable square feet. Since March 31, 2005 we have acquired seven properties containing approximately 1.4 million net rentable square feet. We are under contract to acquire three additional properties containing approximately 95,490 net rentable square feet. On March 14, 2005, we entered into a contract to acquire Printers Square in Chicago, Illinois. This contract terminated without our

completing the acquisition. We have developed detailed, standardized procedures for evaluating acquisitions to ensure that they meet our financial and other criteria. We expect to continue to acquire additional assets as a key part of our growth strategy. We intend to manage and lease our assets aggressively to increase their cash flow. We often acquire properties with substantial in place cash flow and some vacancy, which enables us to create upside through lease-up. See Business and Properties.

We may acquire properties subject to existing mortgage financing and other indebtedness or we may incur new indebtedness in connection with acquiring or refinancing properties. Debt service on such indebtedness will have a priority over any distributions or dividends with respect to our common stock and our preferred stock. We have adopted a policy limiting our indebtedness to 60% of our total market capitalization. At March 31, 2005, based on the closing price of our common stock on that date of \$14.37, our ratio of debt to total market capitalization was approximately 37.4%. Based on the closing price of our common stock on July 12, 2005 of \$18.03 per share, we expect that our pro forma ratio of debt to total market capitalization upon the completion of the concurrent offerings will be approximately 35.7%. Our total market capitalization is defined as the sum of the market value of our outstanding common stock (which may decrease, thereby increasing our debt to total market capitalization ratio) and liquidation preference of our preferred stock, excluding options issued under our incentive award plan, plus the aggregate value of the units not held by us (including long-term incentive units), plus the book value of our total consolidated indebtedness.

Revenue base. We own 33 properties directly or indirectly through our operating partnership, and are under contract to acquire three additional properties. These properties are located throughout the U.S. and one property is in London, England. The properties contain a total of approximately 7.8 million net rentable square feet, excluding space held for redevelopment. We acquired our first property in January 2002, four additional properties through December 31, 2002, eight properties during the year ended December 31, 2003, eleven properties during the year ended December 31, 2004 and nine properties between January 1, 2005 and June 30, 2005. We are under contract to acquire three additional properties. As of March 31, 2005, the properties in our portfolio, excluding space held for redevelopment, were approximately 90.1% leased at an average annualized rent per leased square foot of \$20.12. Since our tenants generally fund a substantial portion of capital improvements, our lease terms are generally longer than standard commercial leases. At March 31, 2005, our average lease term was 12.6 years, with an average of 8.0 years remaining. Through 2008, leases representing only 9.3% of our net rentable square feet or 8.3% of our aggregate annualized rent as of March 31, 2005 are scheduled to expire.

Operating expenses. Our operating expenses generally consist of utilities, property and ad valorem taxes, insurance and site maintenance costs, and rental expenses on our ground lease. For the Digital Realty Predecessor, a significant portion of the general and administrative type expenses have been reflected in the asset management fees that were paid to GI Partners related-party asset manager. The asset management fees were based on a fixed percentage of capital commitments made by the investors in GI Partners, a portion of which have been allocated to the Digital Realty Predecessor. Since the consummation of our initial public offering, we have internalized our asset management and accounting functions and are now incurring our general and administrative expenses directly. In addition, as a public company, we are incurring significant legal, accounting and other expenses related to corporate governance, Securities and Exchange Commission reporting and compliance with the various provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. In addition, we rely on third-party property managers for most of our properties. As of March 31, 2005, 14 of our properties were managed by affiliates of CB Richard Ellis, an affiliate of GI Partners.

Formation transactions. In connection with the completion of our initial public offering, our operating partnership received contributions from GI Partners and third parties of direct and indirect interests in the majority of the properties in our portfolio in exchange for consideration that included cash, assumption of debt, and common units in our operating partnership.

We accounted for the ownership interests contributed to us by GI Partners in exchange for common units in our operating partnership as a reorganization of entities under common control in a manner similar to a pooling of interests. Accordingly, the assets and liabilities contributed by GI Partners are accounted for by our operating

43

partnership at GI Partners historical cost. We used purchase accounting to account for the acquisition of ownership interests in 200 Paul Avenue and 1100 Space Park Drive, which were contributed to us by third parties in exchange for interests in our operating partnership, cash and the assumption of debt and for the acquisition of the 10% minority ownership interest in Univision Tower, which was contributed to us by our joint venture partner in exchange for an interest in our operating partnership and the repayment of debt. Accordingly, the purchase price for these interests, which are equal to the value of the common units that we issued in exchange plus cash paid and debt assumed, were allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on the fair value of the assets and liabilities.

Factors Which May Influence Future Results of Operations

Rental income. The amount of net rental income generated by the properties in our portfolio depends principally on our ability to maintain the occupancy rates of currently leased space and to lease currently available space and space available from lease terminations. As of March 31, 2005, the occupancy rate in the properties in our portfolio was approximately 90.1% of our net rentable square feet, excluding space held for redevelopment. The amount of rental income we generate also depends on our ability to maintain or increase rental rates at our properties. In addition, one of our strategies is to subdivide approximately 230,000 net rentable square feet of space with extensive data center improvements for multi-tenant colocation use, allowing us to lease small spaces at rates that are significantly higher than prevailing market rates for other uses. Negative trends in our occupancy rates or rental rates could adversely affect our rental income in future periods. Future economic downturns or regional downturns affecting our submarkets or downturns in the technology industry that impair our ability to renew or re-lease space and the ability of our tenants to fulfill their lease commitments, as in the case of tenant bankruptcies, could adversely affect our ability to maintain or increase rental rates at our properties. In addition, growth in rental income will also partially depend on our ability to acquire additional technology-related real estate that meets our investment criteria. One of our tenants, VarTec Telecom, Inc. filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection on November 1, 2004. VarTec Telecom, Inc. occupies 135,250 rentable square feet at VarTec Building in Carrollton, Texas, 8,632 rentable square feet at Univision Tower in Dallas, Texas, and 14,959 rentable square feet at Lakeside Technology Center in Chicago, Illinois. We are closely monitoring their status and we believe our properties provide a favorable opportunity for consolidation of their operations. On August 3, 2004, prior to our acquisition of 200 Paul Avenue, Universal Access Inc. filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. Both tenants are current on their rental obligations.

Scheduled lease expirations. Our ability to re-lease expiring space will impact our results of operations. In addition to approximately 779,000 square feet of available space, excluding space held for redevelopment, in our portfolio as of March 31, 2005, leases representing approximately 0.7% and 2.6% of the square footage of our portfolio are scheduled to expire during the nine months ending December 31, 2005 and the year ending December 31, 2006, respectively. These leases represent approximately 0.6% and 2.2%, respectively, of our total annualized base rent.

Conditions in significant markets. As of March 31, 2005, our portfolio was geographically concentrated in the Boston, Chicago, Dallas, Los Angeles, New York, Philadelphia, San Francisco and Silicon Valley metropolitan markets; these markets provided 6.8%, 13.6%, 13.6%, 8.7%, 4.8%, 5.0%, 7.7% and 25.7%, respectively, of the annualized rent of the properties in our portfolio. Subsequent to March 31, 2005, we acquired or entered into contracts to acquire properties in the Chicago, Denver, Los Angeles and Silicon Valley metropolitan areas that will account for significant portions of our future annualized rent. Positive or negative changes in conditions in our significant markets will impact our overall performance. The Dallas, San Francisco and Silicon Valley metropolitan real estate markets were adversely affected by the most recent downturn in the technology industry, and continue to stabilize as the technology industry and broader economy rebound. The majority of the 3.3% of the net rentable square feet of our portfolio that, as of March 31, 2005, is scheduled to expire in the period ending December 31, 2006 is in Denver. The Denver metropolitan real estate market was also adversely affected by the most recent downturn in the technology industry. We believe that the Denver leasing market appears to be stabilizing, with recent positive absorption of space.

Table of Contents 80

44

Operating expenses. Our operating expenses generally consist of utilities, property and ad valorem taxes, insurance and site maintenance costs, and rental expenses on our ground lease. We are also incurring general and administrative expenses, including expenses relating to our asset management function, and significant legal, accounting and other expenses related to corporate governance, Securities and Exchange Commission reporting and compliance with the various provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Increases or decreases in such operating expenses will impact our overall performance.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated and combined financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). To prepare these financial statements in conformity with GAAP, we must make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses in the reporting period. Our actual results may differ from these estimates. We have provided a summary of our significant accounting policies in Note 2 to our financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. We describe below those accounting policies that require material subjective or complex judgments and that have the most significant impact on our financial condition and results of operations. Our management evaluates these estimates on an ongoing basis, based upon information currently available and on various assumptions management believes are reasonable as of the date on the front cover of this prospectus.

Investments in Real Estate

Acquisition of real estate. The price that we pay to acquire a property is determined by many factors, including the condition of the buildings and improvements, the occupancy of the building, the existence of above and below market tenant leases, the creditworthiness of the tenants, favorable or unfavorable financing and above or below market ground leases. Accordingly, we are required to make subjective assessments to allocate the purchase price paid to acquire investments in real estate among the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on our estimate of the fair values of such assets and liabilities. This includes assessing the value of the buildings and improvements, land, any ground leases, tenant improvements, in-place tenant leases, tenant relationships, the value (or negative value) of above (or below) market leases and any debt assumed from the seller or loans made by the seller to us. Each of these estimates requires a great deal of judgment and some of the estimates involve complex calculations. Our allocation methodology is summarized in Note 2 to our consolidated and combined financial statements. These allocation assessments have a direct impact on our results of operations. For example, if we were to allocate more value to land, there would be no depreciation with respect to such amount. If we were to allocate more value to the buildings as opposed to tenant leases, this amount would be recognized as an expense over a much longer period. This is because the amounts allocated to buildings are depreciated over the estimated lives of the buildings whereas amounts allocated to tenant leases are amortized over the terms of the leases. Additionally, the amortization of the value (or negative value) assigned to above (or below) market rate leases is recorded as an adjustment to rental revenue, whereas the amortization of the value of in-place leases and tenant relationships is included in depreciation and amortization in our combined statements of operations.

Useful lives of assets. We are required to make subjective assessments of the useful lives of our properties to determine the amount of depreciation to record on an annual basis with respect to our investments in real estate. These assessments have a direct impact on our net income because if we were to shorten the expected useful lives of our investments in real estate we would depreciate such investments over fewer years, resulting in more depreciation expense and lower net income on an annual basis.

Asset impairment valuation. We review the carrying value of our properties when circumstances, such as adverse market conditions, indicate potential impairment may exist. We base our review on an estimate of the

future cash flows (excluding interest charges) expected to result from the property s use and eventual disposition. We consider factors such as future operating income, trends and prospects and the effects of leasing demand, competition and other factors. If our evaluation indicates that we may be unable to recover the carrying value of a real estate investment, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value of the property. These losses have a direct impact on our net income because recording an impairment loss results in an immediate negative adjustment to net income. The evaluation of anticipated cash flows is highly subjective and is based in part on assumptions regarding future occupancy, rental rates and capital requirements that could differ materially from actual results. Since cash flows on properties considered to be long-lived assets to be held and used are considered on an undiscounted basis to determine whether an asset has been impaired, our strategy of holding properties over the long-term directly decreases the likelihood of recording an impairment loss. If our strategy changes or market conditions otherwise dictate an earlier sale date, an impairment loss may be recognized and such loss could be material. If we determine that impairment has occurred, the affected assets must be reduced to their fair value. No such impairment losses have been recognized to date.

We estimate the fair value of rental properties using a discounted cash flow analysis that includes projections of future revenues, expenses and capital improvement costs and is similar to the income approach that is commonly used by appraisers.

Revenue Recognition

Rental income is recognized using the straight-line method over the terms of the tenant leases. Deferred rents included in our balance sheets represent the aggregate excess of rental revenue recognized on a straight-line basis over the rental revenue that would be recognized under the terms of the leases. Our leases generally contain provisions under which the tenants reimburse us for a portion of the property operating expenses and real estate taxes that we incur. We recognize these reimbursements in the period during which we incur the expenses. Lease termination fees are recognized when the related leases are canceled and we have no continuing obligation to provide services to such former tenants. As discussed above, we recognize amortization of the value of acquired above or below market tenant leases as a reduction of rental income in the case of above market leases or an increase to rental revenue in the case of below market leases.

We must make subjective estimates as to when our revenue is earned and the collectibility of our accounts receivable related to minimum rent, deferred rent, expense reimbursements, lease termination fees and other income. We specifically analyze accounts receivable and historical bad debts, tenant concentrations, tenant creditworthiness, and current economic trends when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for bad debts. These estimates have a direct impact on our net income because a higher bad debt allowance would result in lower net income, and recognizing rental revenue as earned in one period versus another would result in higher or lower net income for a particular period.

Results of Operations

The discussion below relates to our financial condition and results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 and the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002. We have combined the results of operations for the period from November 3, 2004 through December 31, 2004 and the period from January 1, 2004 through November 2, 2004 to provide a meaningful comparison to the results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2003.

46

The following table identifies each of the properties in our portfolio acquired through March 31, 2005. Our property portfolio has grown significantly since we acquired our first property in January 2002. Because of this growth, a period-to-period comparison of our financial performance focuses primarily on the impact on our revenues and expenses resulting from the new property additions to our portfolio. On a same store property basis, our revenues and expenses have remained substantially stable as a result of the generally consistent occupancy rates at our properties.

		ancy Rate				
Acquired Properties	Acquisition Date	Net Rentable Square Feet	March 31, 2005	December 31, 2004	December 31, 2003	December 31, 2002
Year Ended December 31, 2002						
36 Northeast Second Street	Jan 2002	162,140	81.2%	81.2%	95.7%	95.7%
Univision Tower	Jan 2002	477,107	79.9	80.5	84.1	82.2
Camperdown House	July 2002	63,233	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Hudson Corporate Center	Nov 2002	311,950	87.4	88.7	88.7	100.0
NTT/Verio Premier Data Center	Dec 2002	130,752	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Subtotal		1,145,182				
Year Ended December 31, 2003						
Ardenwood Corporate Park	Jan 2003	307,657	100.0	100.0	80.7	
VarTec Building	Jan 2003	135,250	100.0	100.0	100.0	
ASM Lithography Facility	May 2003	113,405	100.0	100.0	100.0	
AT&T Web Hosting Facility	June 2003	250,191	50.5	50.0	50.0	
Brea Data Center	Aug 2003	68,807	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Granite Tower	Sept 2003	240,065	94.6	95.5	98.9	
Maxtor Manufacturing Facility	Sept 2003	183,050	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Stanford Place II	Oct 2003	366,184	88.4	85.7	79.8	
Subtotal		1,664,609				
Year Ended December 31, 2004						
100 Technology Center Drive	Feb 2004	197,000	100.0	100.0		
Siemens Building	April 2004	125,538	100.0	100.0		
Carrier Center	May 2004	450,021	79.7	81.7		
Savvis Data Center 1	May 2004	300,000	100.0	100.0		
Comverse Technology Building	June 2004	386,956	100.0	100.0		
Webb at LBJ	Aug 2004	365,449	89.6	89.0		
AboveNet Data Center	Sept 2004	187,085	96.2	97.1		
eBay Data Center	Oct 2004	62,957	100.0	100.0		
200 Paul Avenue	Nov 2004	532,238	83.4	83.1		
1100 Space Park Drive	Nov 2004	167,951	46.6	46.6		
Burbank Data Center	Dec 2004	82,911	100.0	100.0		
Subtotal		2,858,106				
Quarter Ended March 31, 2005						
833 Chestnut Street	Mar 2005	547,195	91.5(1)			
MAPP Building	Mar 2005	88,134	100.0			
Subtotal		635,329				

Total 6,303,226

47

⁽¹⁾ Excludes 107,563 square feet of space held for redevelopment.

Comparison of the Three Months Ended March 31, 2005 to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2004

As of March 31, 2005, our portfolio consisted of 26 properties with an aggregate of 6.3 million net rentable square feet compared to a portfolio consisting of 14 properties with an aggregate of 3.0 million net rentable square feet as of March 31, 2004. The increase in our portfolio reflects the acquisition of twelve properties with an aggregate of approximately 3.3 million net rentable square feet during the twelve months ended March 31, 2005.

Total revenues increased \$20.8 million, or 110.6%, to \$39.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 compared to \$18.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. Rental revenue increased \$16.7 million, or 104.4%, to \$32.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 compared to \$16.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. Revenues from tenant reimbursements increased \$3.8 million, or 140.7%, to \$6.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 compared to \$2.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. The increase in rental and tenant reimbursements revenue was primarily due to the twelve properties added to our portfolio during the twelve months ended March 31, 2005 along with a full three months of revenue earned in 2005 attributed to our acquisitions made during the three months ended March 31, 2004.

The increase in other revenue of \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2004 was primarily due to an increase in early lease termination fees.

Total expenses increased \$19.4 million, or 126.8%, to \$34.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 compared to \$15.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. The increase in total expenses was primarily due to twelve properties being added to our portfolio during the twelve months ended March 31, 2005 along with a full three months of expenses incurred in 2005 for our acquisitions made during the three months ended March 31, 2004, resulting in increases in rental property operating and maintenance expense, property taxes, insurance, interest expense, depreciation and amortization expense and general and administrative expense. The increase in total expenses was also due to expenses incurred in connection with being a public company after the completion of our initial public offering in November 2004.

Rental property operating and maintenance expense increased \$4.1 million, or 136.7%, to \$7.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 compared to \$3.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. Rental property operating and maintenance expenses included \$0.4 million and \$0.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, paid to affiliates of CB Richard Ellis Investors for property management and other fees. The increase is primarily due to the twelve properties added to our portfolio during the twelve months ended March 31, 2005 along with a full three months of expenses incurred in 2005 attributed to our acquisition made during the three months ended March 31, 2004.

Interest expense increased \$4.3 million, or 113.2%, to \$8.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 compared to \$3.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. The increase was associated with new mortgage debt incurred primarily in connection with the properties added to our portfolio. The increase in interest related to property acquisitions was partially offset by a reduction in interest related to loans repaid or refinanced in connection with the completion of our initial public offering.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$6.6 million, or 120.0%, to \$12.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 compared to \$5.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. The increase is primarily due to the twelve properties added to our portfolio during the twelve months ended March 31, 2005 along with a full three months of depreciation and amortization in 2005 attributed to our acquisition made during the three months ended March 31, 2004.

General and administrative expense increased \$2.3 million to \$2.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 compared to \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. Prior to the completion of our initial public offering, general and administrative expenses were incurred by the Company Predecessor s related party asset manager and the Company Predecessor incurred an asset management fee, which is included

48

separately in our combined statement of operations. Additionally, as a public company, we are incurring significant legal, accounting and other costs related to corporate governance, Securities and Exchange Commission reporting and other public company overhead.

Other expenses are primarily comprised of write-offs of the carrying amounts for deferred rent, tenant improvements, acquired in-place lease value and acquired above and below market lease values as a result of the early termination of tenant leases. Other expenses increased \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2004, primarily due to no early lease terminations experienced during the three months ended March 31, 2004.

For the three months ended March 31, 2004, the monthly asset management fees to a related party were based on a fixed percentage of capital commitments by the investors in GI Partners, a portion of which was allocated to the Company Predecessor. Effective as of the completion of our initial public offering, no such fees are allocated to us.

Comparison of the Year Ended December 31, 2004 to the Year Ended December 31, 2003

As of December 31, 2004, our portfolio consisted of 24 properties with an aggregate of 5.7 million net rentable square feet compared to a portfolio consisting of 13 properties with an aggregate of 2.8 million net rentable square feet as of December 31, 2003. The increase in our portfolio reflects the acquisition of eleven properties with an aggregate of approximately 2.9 million net rentable square feet during 2004, bringing the total number of properties from 13 as of December 31, 2003 to 24 as of December 31, 2004.

Total revenues increased \$44.0 million, or 69.7%, to \$107.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to \$63.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. Rental revenue increased \$39.0 million, or 77.8%, to \$89.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to \$50.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. Revenues from tenant reimbursements increased \$7.5 million, or 86.2%, to \$16.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to \$8.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. The increase in rental and tenant reimbursements revenue was primarily due to the eleven properties added to our portfolio during 2004 along with a full year of revenue earned in 2004 attributed to our 2003 acquisitions.

The decrease in other revenue of \$2.5 million, or 58.1%, to \$1.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to \$4.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 was primarily due to a decrease in early lease termination fees.

Total expenses increased \$66.5 million, or 143.6%, to \$112.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to \$46.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. The increase in total expenses was primarily due to eleven properties being added to our portfolio during 2004 along with a full year of expenses incurred in 2004 for our 2003 acquisitions, which resulted in increases in rental property operating and maintenance expense, interest expense, depreciation and amortization expense and general and administrative expense. The increase in total expenses was also due to expenses incurred in connection with the completion of our initial public offering and the related formation transactions.

Rental property operating and maintenance expense increased \$10.4 million, or 120.9%, to \$19.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to \$8.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. Rental property operating and maintenance expenses included \$1.1 million and \$0.4 million in 2004 and 2003, respectively, paid to affiliates of CB Richard Ellis Investors for property management and other fees. The increase is primarily due to the acquisition of the eleven properties described above.

Interest expense increased \$14.4 million, or 142.6%, to \$24.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to \$10.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. The increase was associated with new mortgage and other secured debt incurred primarily in connection with the properties added to our portfolio. Interest expense also included \$3.1 million of amortization of deferred financing costs related to notes payable

49

under our bridge loan. The increase in interest related to property acquisitions was partially offset by a reduction in interest related to loans repaid or refinanced in connection with the completion of our initial public offering.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$15.1 million, or 92.6%, to \$31.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to \$16.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. The increase was primarily due to the acquisition of the eleven properties along with a full year of depreciation and amortization incurred in 2004 for our 2003 acquisitions.

General and administrative expense increased \$20.7 million to \$21.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. The increase was primarily due to \$17.9 million of compensation expense recorded upon completion of our initial public offering related to fully-vested long-term incentive units granted in connection with the initial public offering and due to general and administrative expenses incurred from November 3, 2004 through December 31, 2004. Prior to the completion of our initial public offering, Digital Realty Predecessor s related party asset manager incurred general and administrative expenses and, Digital Realty Predecessor incurred an asset management fee, which is included separately in our combined statement of operations. Additionally, as a public company, we are incurring significant legal, accounting and other costs related to corporate governance, Securities and Exchange Commission reporting and other public company overhead. In addition, in 2004, we also incurred title insurance and consulting costs related to our initial public offering that were not considered offering costs or costs that could be capitalized. Accordingly, they were expensed in full in 2004.

Other expenses primarily consist of write-offs of the carrying amounts for deferred tenant improvements, acquired in place lease value and acquired above and below market lease values as a result of the early termination of tenant leases. The total amount of such write-offs for the year ended December 31, 2004 is comparable to the total for the year ended December 31, 2003, despite the decrease in lease termination revenue, because not all 2004 early terminations resulted in termination fees.

For the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, the monthly asset management fee to a related party was based on a fixed percentage of capital commitments by the investors in GI Partners. A portion of these fees were allocated to the Digital Realty Predecessor. Effective as of the completion of our initial public offering, no such fees are allocated to us.

Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2003 to Year Ended December 31, 2002

As of December 31, 2003, our portfolio consisted of 13 properties with an aggregate of approximately 2.8 million net rentable square feet compared to a portfolio consisting of five properties with an aggregate of approximately 1.1 million net rentable square feet as of December 31, 2002. The increase in our portfolio reflects the acquisition of eight properties with an aggregate of approximately 1.7 million net rentable square feet during 2003.

Total revenue increased \$37.5 million, or 146.5%, to \$63.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 compared to \$25.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. Rental revenue increased \$28.9 million, or 136.3%, to \$50.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 compared to \$21.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. Revenues from tenant reimbursements increased \$4.8 million, or 123.1%, to \$8.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 compared to \$3.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The increase in rental and tenant reimbursement revenues was primarily due to the properties added to our portfolio during the latter part of 2002 and the year ended December 31, 2003. Other revenues increased \$3.8 million to \$4.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 compared to \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. This increase was primarily due to an early lease termination fee recognized during the year ended December 31, 2003.

Total expenses increased \$20.9 million, or 82.3%, to \$46.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 compared to \$25.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The increase was primarily due to the increase in expenses related to properties added to our portfolio during the latter part of 2002 and the year ended

50

December 31, 2003. The increase in total expenses includes an increase in interest expense of \$4.9 million to \$10.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 compared to \$5.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 associated with new mortgage and other secured debt incurred in connection with the properties in our portfolio. Other expenses of \$2.5 million and \$1.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, primarily consist of write-offs of the carrying amounts for deferred tenant improvements, acquired in place lease value and acquired above and below market lease values as a result of the early termination of tenant leases in each year. During the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, the asset management fee to a related party remained constant as this fee was based on a fixed percentage of capital commitments by the investors in GI Partners, a portion of which have been allocated to the Digital Realty Predecessor.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Analysis of Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of March 31, 2005, we had \$2.9 million of cash and cash equivalents, excluding \$13.8 million of restricted cash. Restricted cash primarily consists of interest bearing cash deposits required by the terms of several of our mortgage loans for a variety of purposes, including real estate taxes, insurance, anticipated or contractually obligated tenant improvements and leasing reserves.

Our short term liquidity requirements primarily consist of operating expenses and other expenditures associated with our properties, dividend payments on our series A preferred stock, which was issued on February 9, 2005, and our series B preferred stock being offered in the series B preferred stock offering, dividend payments to our common stockholders required to maintain our REIT status, distributions to the limited partners in the operating partnership, capital expenditures and, potentially, acquisitions. We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements through net cash provided by operations, restricted cash accounts established for certain future payments, the proceeds from the concurrent offerings and by drawing upon our unsecured credit facility.

Our properties require periodic investments of capital for tenant-related capital expenditures and for general capital improvements. As of March 31, 2005, we had commitments under leases in effect for \$2.4 million of tenant improvements and leasing commissions, including \$2.2 million for the remainder of 2005 and \$0.2 million in 2006. Although we are under no obligation to do so, assuming we meet our lease-up targets, we anticipate spending up to an additional \$12.8 million during the remainder of 2005 for tenant improvements and leasing commissions to build out or reposition existing space.

We also expect to incur costs of recurring and nonrecurring capital improvements for our properties. Our nonrecurring capital expenditures are discretionary and vary substantially from period to period. Although we have no contractual commitments for the remainder of 2005, we expect nonrecurring capital expenditures at our properties will be approximately \$2.2 million in 2005, due to the growth of our portfolio. 833 Chestnut Street, which we acquired in March 2005, contains 107,563 square feet of space held for redevelopment and Lakeside Technology Center, which we acquired in May 2005, contains approximately 290,000 square feet of space held for redevelopment. Depending on demand in these buildings, we may incur significant costs to build out these spaces.

As of March 31, 2005, we owned approximately 230,000 net rentable square feet of space with extensive data center improvements that we may subdivide for multi-tenant colocation use during the next two years rather than lease such space to large single tenants. We estimate that the cost to subdivide this space will be approximately \$10 to \$20 per square foot, on average. We may also spend additional amounts in the next two years to build out unimproved space for colocation use, depending on tenant demand; however, we are not currently committed to do so. The cost to build out such unimproved space will vary based on the size and condition of the space.

Our operating partnership has a \$210.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility with a group of banks, including affiliates of Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, the joint bookrunning managers for our common stock offering, and together with UBS Securities LLC, the bookrunning managers for our series B preferred stock offering, and other underwriters for the concurrent

offerings. The facility may be expanded to up to \$350.0 million at our request, subject to receipt of lender commitments and satisfaction of other conditions, and includes a \$100.0 million sub-facility for foreign exchange advances in euros, British pounds and Canadian dollars. Borrowings under the facility currently bear interest at a rate based on LIBOR plus a premium ranging from 1.375% to 1.750%, depending on our operating partnership s overall leverage. The premium was 1.625% as of March 31, 2005 and June 30, 2005. The facility matures in November 2007, subject to a one-year extension option. We estimate that approximately \$141.0 million of the facility will be available to us upon consummation of the concurrent offerings and application of the proceeds therefrom. We intend to use available borrowings under the amended unsecured credit facility to, among other things, finance the acquisition of future properties (including, potentially, the right of first offer property), fund tenant improvements and capital expenditures, and provide for working capital and other corporate purposes.

In February 2005, we completed the offering of 4.14 million shares of our 8.50% series A preferred stock for total net proceeds, after underwriting discounts and estimated expenses, of \$99.3 million, including the proceeds from the exercise of the underwriters over-allotment option. We used the net proceeds from the series A preferred stock offering to reduce borrowings under our unsecured credit facility, acquire two properties in March 2005 as described below and for investment and general corporate purposes.

In March 2005, we completed the acquisition of two properties, 833 Chestnut Street in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania and MAPP Building in Minneapolis, Minnesota. The aggregate purchase price for these properties was approximately \$74.6 million, which was paid from the proceeds of our offering of series A preferred stock described above along with the assumption of a \$9.7 million mortgage loan on MAPP Building.

In May 2005, we completed the acquisition of Lakeside Technology Center in Chicago, Illinois, for a purchase price of approximately \$141.6 million, \$35.0 million of which we borrowed under our unsecured credit facility. We are obligated to pay the seller a contingent fee of up to \$20 million in the event a new real estate tax classification for the property is obtained prior to December 31, 2006. We have also agreed with the seller to share a portion, not to exceed \$135,000 per month, of rental revenue, adjusted for our costs to lease the premises, from the lease of the 290,000 square feet of space held for redevelopment. This revenue sharing agreement will terminate in May 2013.

In June 2005, we completed the acquisition of Ameriquest Data Center in Englewood, Colorado, for a purchase price of approximately \$16.5 million, which we borrowed under our unsecured credit facility.

In June 2005, we completed the acquisition of Savvis Data Centers 2 through 5 and Savvis Office Building for a combined purchase price of approximately \$92.5 million, which we borrowed under our unsecured credit facility.

We have also entered into a purchase and sale agreement to acquire the Charlotte Internet Gateway properties for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$17.3 million.

We expect to meet our long-term liquidity requirements to pay for scheduled debt maturities and to fund property acquisitions and nonrecurring capital improvements with net cash from operations, future long-term secured and unsecured indebtedness and the issuance of equity and debt securities. We also may fund future property acquisitions and nonrecurring capital improvements using our unsecured credit facility pending permanent financing.

Distributions

We are required to distribute 90% of our REIT taxable income (excluding capital gains) on an annual basis in order to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. Accordingly, we intend to make, but are not contractually bound to make, regular quarterly distributions to preferred stockholders, common stockholders and unit holders from cash flow from operating activities. All such distributions are at the discretion of our board of directors. We may be required to use borrowings under the credit facility, if necessary, to meet REIT distribution requirements and maintain our REIT status. We consider market factors and our performance in addition to REIT requirements in determining distribution levels. Amounts accumulated for distribution to stockholders are invested primarily in interest-bearing accounts and short-term interest-bearing securities or are used to temporarily reduce borrowings under our unsecured credit facility, which are consistent with our intention to qualify as a REIT.

52

On February 14, 2005, we declared a dividend on our series A preferred stock of \$0.30694 per share for the period from February 9, 2005 through March 31, 2005, which was paid on March 31, 2005 to the holders of record on March 15, 2005. The dividend is equivalent to an annual rate of \$2.125 per preferred share.

On February 14, 2005, we also declared a dividend on our common stock and caused our operating partnership to declare a distribution on its common units and long-term incentive units of \$0.24375 per share or unit, covering the period from January 1, 2005 through March 31, 2005, which was paid on March 31, 2005. The dividend was equivalent to an annual rate of \$0.975 per common share and common unit.

On May 6, 2005, we declared a dividend on our series A preferred stock of \$0.53125 per share for the period from April 1, 2005 through June 30, 2005, which was paid on June 30, 2005 to holders of record on June 15, 2005. The dividend is equivalent to an annual rate of \$2.125 per share of series A preferred stock.

On May 6, 2005, we also declared a dividend on our common stock and caused our operating partnership to declare distributions on common units and long-term incentive units of \$0.24375 per share, which was paid on June 30, 2005 to holders of record on June 15, 2005. The dividend and distribution is equivalent to an annual rate of \$0.975 per common share and common unit.

Commitments and Contingencies

Upon completion of the concurrent offerings and application of the proceeds therefrom, we will have long-term indebtedness totaling \$660.4 million. The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of March 31, 2005, including the maturities and scheduled principal on our pro forma secured debt and unsecured credit facility debt, and provides information about the commitments due in connection with our ground lease and tenant improvements and leasing commissions (in thousands):

		hrough nainder of							
Obligation		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Thereafter	Total
Long-term debt principal payments ⁽¹⁾	\$	6,068	\$ 143,078	\$ 105,392	\$ 104,317	\$ 140,481	\$ 2,926	\$ 155,678	\$ 657,940
Ground lease ⁽²⁾		181	241	241	241	241	241	9,340	10,726
Tenant improvements and leasing									
commissions		2,215	222						2,437
	_								
Total	\$	8,464	\$ 143,541	\$ 105,633	\$ 104,558	\$ 140,722	\$ 3,167	\$ 165,018	\$ 671,103
commissions	<u> </u>			\$ 105,633	\$ 104,558	\$ 140,722	\$ 3,167	\$ 165,018	

⁽¹⁾ Includes \$73.1 million of pro forma borrowings under our unsecured credit facility which matures in November 2007 and excludes \$2.5 million of loan premium.

We are party to interest rate swap agreements with KeyBank National Association and Bank of America for approximately \$139.6 million of our variable rate debt that was outstanding as of March 31, 2005. Additionally, effective May 26, 2005 we entered into a \$100.0 million interest rate

⁽²⁾ After February 2036, rent for the remaining term of the ASM Lithography ground lease will be determined based on a fair market value appraisal of the asset and, as a result, is excluded from the above information.

swap agreement with Bank of America. Under these swaps, we receive variable-rate amounts in exchange for fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying principal amounts. See Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Outstanding Consolidated Indebtedness

Upon completion of the concurrent offerings and the anticipated application of the use of proceeds therefrom, we expect to have approximately \$660.4 million of outstanding consolidated long-term debt as set forth in the table below. We expect our ratio of debt to total market capitalization to be approximately 35.7% (based on the per share closing price of our common stock on July 12, 2005 of \$18.03). Upon completion of the

53

concurrent offerings and application of the use of proceeds therefrom, we expect that approximately \$138.8 million of our outstanding long-term debt will be variable rate debt and approximately 78.9% of our total indebtedness will be subject to fixed interest rates, including the effect of outstanding interest rate swap agreements.

The following table sets forth information with respect to the indebtedness that we expect will be outstanding after the completion of the concurrent offerings and the anticipated application of the proceeds therefrom on a pro forma basis as of March 31, 2005, but does not give effect to the approximately \$239.6 million in interest rate swap agreements (in thousands).

Properties	Interest Rate	Principal Amount	Annual Debt Service ⁽¹⁾	Maturity Date	Balance at Maturity ⁽²⁾
100 Technology Center Drive Mortgage	LIBOR + 1.70%	\$ 20,000	\$ 1,040	Apr. 1, 2009	\$ 20,000
200 Paul Avenue Mortgage	LIBOR + $3.12\%^{(3)}$	46,268	5,012	Jul. 1, 2006 ⁽⁴⁾	43,794
Ardenwood Corporate Park, NTT/Verio Premier Data Center,					
VarTec Building Mortgage	LIBOR + 1.59%	43,000	2,116	Aug. 9, 2006 ⁽⁵⁾	43,000
Ardenwood Corporate Park, NTT/Verio Premier Data Center,					
VarTec Building Mezzanine	LIBOR + 5.75%	22,000	1,998	Aug. 9, 2006 ⁽⁵⁾	22,000
AT&T Web Hosting Facility Mortgage	LIBOR + 1.85%	8,775	484	Dec. 1, 2006 ⁽⁴⁾	8,775
Camperdown House Mortgage	6.85%	25,566(6)	3,682	Oct. 31, 2009	15,481
Carrier Center Mortgage	LIBOR + $4.25\%^{(7)}$	25,854	2,386	Nov. 11, 2007 ⁽⁸⁾	24,750
Charlotte Internet Gateway 1 ⁽⁹⁾ Mortgage	8.22%	6,105	651	Jul. 1, 2020	791
Granite Tower Mortgage	LIBOR + 1.20%	21,555	1,541	Jan. 1, 2009	19,530
Lakeside Technology Center Mortgage	LIBOR + $2.20\%^{(10)}$	100,000	5,146	June 30, 2008 ⁽⁴⁾	97,463
MAPP Building Mortgage	7.62%(11)	9,746(11)	849	Mar. 1, 2032 ⁽¹¹⁾	1,166
Maxtor Manufacturing Facility Mortgage	LIBOR + 2.25%	17,894	1,471	Dec. 31, 2006 ⁽⁴⁾	17,186
Stanford Place II Mortgage	5.14%	26,000	1,336	Jan. 10, 2009	26,000
Univision Tower Mortgage ²⁾	6.04%	57,769	4,191	Nov. 6, 2009	54,075
Secured Term Debt ⁽¹³⁾	5.65%	154,336	10,735	Nov. 11, 2014	130,348
Unsecured Credit Facility ⁽¹⁴⁾	LIBOR + $1.625\%^{(14)}$	73,072	3,621	Nov. 3, 2007 ⁽⁸⁾	73,072
Subtotal		657,940	\$ 46,259		\$ 597,432
Loan premium		2,501			
Total		\$ 660,441			

⁽¹⁾ Annual debt service for floating rate loans is calculated based on the 1-month, 3-month and 6-month LIBOR rates at June 29, 2005, which were 3.33%, 3.50% and 3.66%, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Assuming no unscheduled payments have been made on the principal in advance of its due date.

⁽³⁾ Weighted average interest rate. The first note, in a principal amount of \$45.0 million, bears interest at a rate of LIBOR + 3.0% per annum and the second note, in a principal amount of \$1.3 million, bears interest at a rate of LIBOR + 7.0% per annum.

⁽⁴⁾ Two one-year extensions are available.

⁽⁵⁾ A 13-month extension and a one-year extension are available.

⁽⁶⁾ Based on our hedged exchange rate of \$1.8472 to £1.00.

⁽⁷⁾ Subject to a 2.5% LIBOR floor.

⁽⁸⁾ A one-year extension option is available.

⁽⁹⁾ One of the Charlotte Internet Gateway properties is secured by a mortgage which we will assume upon acquisition of this property.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Weighted average interest rate. The first note, in a principal amount of \$80.0 million, bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 1.375% per annum and the second note, in a principal amount of \$20.0 million, bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 5.5% per annum.

⁽¹¹⁾ If this loan is not repaid by March 1, 2012, the interest rate increases to the greater of 9.62% or the then treasury rate plus 2%.

⁽¹²⁾ The Univision Tower loan is also secured by a \$5.0 million letter of credit issued under our unsecured credit facility.

⁽¹³⁾ This amount represents six mortgage loans secured by our interests in 36 Northeast Second Street, Brea Data Center, Comverse Technology Building, Hudson Corporate Center, Siemens Building, and Webb at LBJ. Each of these loans are cross-collateralized by the six properties.

⁽¹⁴⁾ The interest rate under our unsecured credit facility equals either (i) LIBOR plus a margin of between 1.375% and 1.750% (which option we currently use) or (ii) the greater of (x) the base rate announced by the lender and (y) the federal funds rate, plus a margin of between 0.375% and 0.750%. In each case, the margin is based on our leverage ratio.

Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings

200 Paul Avenue Mortgage Indebtedness. The subsidiary that directly holds the fee interests in 200 Paul Avenue is the borrower under a \$46.3 million mortgage loan comprised of two notes with Greenwich Capital Financial Products, Inc., as lender. The mortgage loan is required to be secured by:

a first priority deed of trust, assignment of rents and security agreement on 200 Paul Avenue, all buildings and improvements, water, water rights, all fixtures, machinery, equipment and other assets, rights to insurance proceeds, together with all interest in any leases and all rents and profits arising from

54

the property, reserve, deposit or escrow accounts, and contracts and agreements, intellectual property, and any and all proceeds from any of the foregoing; and

an absolute assignment of leases and rents, assigning any interest in all present and future leases of all or any portion of the property encumbered by the mortgage and all of its right and title to, and interest in, the leases, including all rights under the leases, all benefits to be derived from them and all rents.

The maturity date for this mortgage loan is July 1, 2006, which date may be extended for up to two additional 12 month periods upon the request of the borrower and satisfaction of certain requirements. The first note, with a balance of \$45.0 million, bears interest at a rate of 1-month LIBOR plus 3% during the current term and the second note, with a \$1.3 million balance as of March 31, 2005, bears interest at a rate of 1-month LIBOR plus 7% during the current term. The first note requires monthly payments of principal and interest commencing in November 2005. The second note requires monthly payments of principal and interest until the maturity date. The loan may be repaid in whole or in part at any time upon 30 to 60 days prior written notice. Prepayment of the \$45.0 million note is subject to a prepayment penalty of 1% of the outstanding principal balance (unless prepaid or repaid in full with loan proceeds from a loan made by the lender during the final 90 days of the initial term) plus the actual costs and expenses of the lender incurred in liquidating or reducing any Eurodollar or LIBOR based investment or obligation entered into by the lender to fund all or any portion of the loan or to provide for or protect the interest rate of the loan. Prepayment of the second note is subject to a prepayment penalty equaling the actual costs and expenses of the lender incurred in liquidating or reducing any Eurodollar or LIBOR based investment or obligation entered into by the lender to fund any or all portion of the loan or to provide for or protect the interest rate of the loan. If the loan is repaid or prepaid in full during the final 30 days of the initial term (with other than lender-loaned funds provided to refinance the loan), the prepayment penalty on the first note is reduced to 0.375% of the outstanding principal balance plus the aforementioned lender costs. The mortgage loan contains affirmative covenants such as financial reporting and maintenance of reserve accounts, and negative covenants, including, among others, limitations on changes to legal structure or organizational documents, ownership of subsidiaries, or creation or incurrence of additional liens or indebtedness. The loan is nonrecourse to the borrower, subject to certain customary recourse carveouts. Our operating partnership provides an unsecured environmental indemnity and guarantees the recourse carveouts under this loan. The borrower was required to enter into an interest rate cap agreement pursuant to the loan that limits the interest rate to 7.25% per annum during the term of this loan.

Ardenwood Corporate Park, NTT/Verio Premier Data Center and VarTec Building Mortgage Indebtedness. The subsidiary that directly holds the fee interests in Ardenwood Corporate Park, the NTT/Verio Premier Data Center and the VarTec Building is the borrower under a \$43.0 million mortgage loan with German American Capital Corporation as lender. The mortgage loan is required to be secured by:

a first mortgage lien on Ardenwood Corporate Park, the NTT/Verio Premier Data Center and the VarTec Building and related improvements, fixtures and real property rights;

a general first lien on all related personal property;

a general first lien on all related accounts and intangibles;

an assignment of leases, rents, security deposits and management agreements for such properties; and

all proceeds, products and profits from the foregoing.

The maturity date of the mortgage loan is August 9, 2006 with one 13-month and one one-year extension option. The mortgage loan bears interest at a rate of 1-month LIBOR plus approximately 1.59% per annum. The mortgage loan requires monthly interest-only payments until the maturity date. The borrower may not prepay the loan during a lockout period that ends on October 8, 2005. The borrower may prepay the loan

without penalty on any monthly payment date after this lockout period with notice to lender of not less than 30 days and not more than 60 days. The loan contains affirmative covenants such as financial reporting and standard lease requirements and negative covenants, including, among others, certain restrictions on the borrower s ability to create or incur additional liens or indebtedness or transfer the property or an interest in the property. In connection with the mortgage loan, the borrower is subject to a lockbox agreement and cash management provisions of the loan

55

pursuant to which all income generated by the Ardenwood Corporate Park, NTT/Verio Premier Data Center and VarTec Building properties is deposited directly into lockbox accounts and then swept into a cash management account for the benefit of the lender from which cash is distributed to us only after funding of tax, insurance, debt service, tenant improvement and leasing, and structural improvement reserve accounts and any payments then due under the Ardenwood Corporate Park, NTT/Verio Premier Data Center and VarTec Building mezzanine loan. The loan is nonrecourse to the borrower, subject to certain recourse carveouts. Until November 3, 2005, GI Partners is not permitted to reduce its percentage of ownership in the common units of our operating partnership (which will be 41.5% after giving effect to the concurrent offerings) below 30% without the consent of the lender. Our operating partnership provides an unsecured environmental indemnity and guarantees the recourse carveouts under the loan. The borrower was required to enter into an interest rate cap agreement pursuant to the loan that limits the interest rate to 7.5% per annum in the term of this loan.

Ardenwood Corporate Park, NTT/Verio Premier Data Center and VarTec Building Mezzanine Indebtedness. The subsidiaries that directly hold the fee interests in Ardenwood Corporate Park, the NTT/Verio Premier Data Center and the VarTec Building are the borrowers under a \$22.0 million mezzanine loan with German American Capital Corporation (c/o Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.) as lender. The mezzanine loan is required to be secured by a first priority pledge of the direct and indirect beneficial interests in our subsidiary that is the mortgage borrower. The maturity date of the mezzanine loan is August 9, 2006 with one 13 month and one one-year extension option. The mezzanine loan bears interest at a rate of 1-month LIBOR plus 5.75% per annum. The mezzanine loan requires monthly interest-only payments until the maturity date. The borrower may not prepay the mezzanine loan during a lockout period that ends on October 8, 2005. The borrower may prepay the mezzanine loan without penalty on any monthly payment date after this lockout period with notice to lender of no less than 30 days and no more than 60 days. The mezzanine loan contains affirmative covenants such as financial reporting and standard lease requirements and negative covenants, including, among others, certain restrictions on the borrowers ability to create or incur additional liens or indebtedness or transfer the property or an interest in the property. In connection with the mezzanine loan, we are subject to a lockbox agreement and cash management provisions which operate in connection with the lockbox and cash management provisions under the Ardenwood Corporate Park, NTT/Verio Premier Data Center and VarTec Building mortgage loan. Until November 3, 2005, GI Partners is not permitted to reduce its percentage of ownership in the common units of our operating partnership (which will be 41.5% after giving effect to the concurrent offerings) below 30% without the consent of the lender. Our operating partnership provides an unsecured environmental indemnity and guarantees the recourse carveouts under the loan. The borrower was required to enter into an interest rate cap agreement pursuant to the mezzanine loan that limits the interest rate to 7.5% per annum in the term of the mezzanine loan.

Camperdown House Mortgage Indebtedness. The subsidiary that holds the fee interests in Camperdown House is the borrower under a £13.8 million (pound) mortgage loan with the Bank of Scotland, as lender.

The mortgage loan is required to be secured by:

a first mortgage debenture on Camperdown House and related improvements, fixtures (including trade and tenant s fixtures), plant and machinery and real property rights;

a general first lien on all belonging to the borrower;

a general first lien on all related accounts and intangibles; and

an assignment of all rental income for the property.

The maturity date of the mortgage loan is October 31, 2009. The mortgage loan bears interest at a rate of 6.845% per annum. The borrower bases quarterly principal and interest payments on a 10-year amortization schedule. The borrower may prepay the loan upon ten days notice to

the lender, subject to a prepayment penalty of one month s interest until July 31, 2005 and without penalty thereafter. The loan contains affirmative covenants such as financial reporting and maintenance of certain coverage ratios, and negative covenants, including, among others, certain restrictions on the borrower s ability to create or incur additional liens or indebtedness or transfer the property or an interest in the property. The borrower provides an environmental indemnity.

56

Carrier Center Mortgage Indebtedness. The subsidiary that directly holds the fee interests in Carrier Center is the borrower under a \$25.9 million mortgage loan with iStar Financial Inc., as lender.

The mortgage loan is required to be secured by:

a first mortgage deed of trust on Carrier Center;

a first priority security interest in all of the ownership interest in the borrower; and

a first priority security interest in all assets of the borrower.

The maturity date of the mortgage loan is November 11, 2007. The mortgage loan bears interest at a rate of LIBOR (subject to a 2.5% floor) plus 4.25% per annum. The borrower has the option of extending the term of the loan by one year subject to a fee equal to 0.50% of the then outstanding principal balance. The mortgage loan is not prepayable until after October 27, 2005 and thereafter is prepayable in whole or in part with not less that 30 days notice. The loan requires monthly interest and principal payments until the maturity date with a balloon payment due at maturity. The loan is subject to affirmative covenants such as financial reporting and negative covenants, including, among others, certain restrictions on the borrower s ability to create or incur additional liens or indebtedness or transfer the property or any interest in the property. In connection with the mortgage loan, the borrower is subject to a lockbox arrangement and cash management provisions of the loan pursuant to which income generated by the Carrier Center property is swept into a cash management account for the benefit of the lender from which cash is distributed to us only after satisfying debt, operating and other reserve cash requirements. The loan is subject to an unsecured environmental indemnity and a guaranty of certain of the obligations of the borrower. The borrower is required to enter into an interest rate cap agreement if the LIBOR rate is at any time equal to or greater than 4.5%.

Lakeside Technology Center Mortgage Indebtedness. The subsidiary that directly holds the fee interests in Lakeside Technology Center is the borrower under a \$100.0 million mortgage loan comprising two notes with Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc., as lender.

The mortgage loan is required to be secured by:

a mortgage, security agreement and fixture filing on Lakeside Technology Center, all land, improvements, easements, equipment, fixtures, personal property, condemnation awards, insurance proceeds, interest rate protection agreement and all other assets and rights of the borrower; and

an absolute assignment of leases and rents, assigning any interest in all present and future leases of all or any portion of the property encumbered by the mortgage and all right and title to, and interest in, the leases, including all rights under the leases, all benefits to be derived from them and all rents.

The maturity date for this mortgage loan is June 9, 2008, which date may be extended for up to two additional 12-month periods upon the request of the borrower and satisfaction of certain requirements. The first note, in a principal amount of \$80.0 million, bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 1.375% per annum and the second note, in a principal amount of \$20.0 million, bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 5.5% per annum. For the twelve months beginning July 2005, the loan requires monthly interest-only payments. Beginning in July 2006, the loan requires monthly payments of principal and interest until the maturity date. The borrower may not prepay the loan during a lockout period that ends in

May 2006. The borrower must pay a prepayment fee in an amount equal to 0.75% of the outstanding principal amount of the loan for any prepayment it makes after May 9, 2006 and prior to and including November 9, 2006. In addition, pursuant to a separate agreement in connection with the loan, the borrower is obligated to pay an exit fee of \$500,000 at the time the loan is paid in full, whether at maturity or upon prepayment. Subject to certain exceptions, a portion of the exit fee is required to be paid upon a partial prepayment with the remainder of the fee due when the loan is paid in full. The mortgage loan contains affirmative covenants such as financial reporting and standardized lease requirements, and negative covenants, including, among others, limitations on changes to legal structure or organizational documents, or creation or incurrence of additional liens or indebtedness. In connection with the mortgage loan, the borrower is subject to a lockbox agreement and cash management agreement pursuant to which all income generated by the Lakeside Technology Center property is deposited directly into the lockbox account and then swept into a cash management account for the benefit of the lender from which cash is distributed to us only after funding of tax, insurance, debt service, any interest accruing at the default rate and late payment charges, and, under certain circumstances, other cash requirements.

The loan is nonrecourse to the borrower, subject to certain customary recourse carveouts. Our operating partnership provides an unsecured environmental indemnity and guarantees the recourse carveouts under this loan. Pursuant to the loan, the borrower was required to enter into an interest rate cap agreement that limits the interest rate to 8.2% per annum during the term of this loan.

Stanford Place II Mortgage Indebtedness. The subsidiary holding a fee interest in Stanford Place II is the borrower under a \$23.4 million mortgage note issued to Principal Life Insurance Company, as lender, and a \$2.6 million mortgage note issued to PFG CTL Mortgage, LLC, as lender

The mortgage loans are required to be secured by:

a first priority deed of trust and security agreement on Stanford Place II and any right, title and interest therein and to the land, real property rights, buildings and improvements including their names and the right to manage and operate them, fixtures, personal property of the borrower used in the operation of the premises, insurance proceeds, settlement awards and damage claims, and any rights to strips of land adjacent to and used in connection with the premises and adjoining ways, streets, sidewalks and alleys;

an assignment of leases and rents; and

a \$450,000 letter of credit issued pursuant to a property reserves agreement.

The maturity date for these mortgage notes is January 10, 2009. These notes bear interest at a rate of 5.14% per annum and require monthly interest-only payments. The borrower has the right upon 30 days—written notice to each lender to pay both loans in full with a prepayment fee equal to the greater of 1% of the principal amount or an amount resulting from a reinvestment yield analysis. The note may be prepaid without such prepayment fee during the last 90 days prior to the maturity date. The security agreement contains negative covenants, including, among others, certain restrictions or prohibitions on the borrower—s ability to create or incur additional liens or indebtedness, transfer the property or an interest in the property and merge or consolidate with or into, convey, transfer or dispose of all or substantially all of its assets to or in favor of any other person. The loan is nonrecourse to the borrower, subject to certain recourse carveouts. The subsidiary borrower provides an unsecured environmental indemnity. Our operating partnership guarantees the subsidiary borrower—s obligations under the environmental indemnity and the recourse carveouts under the loan.

Univision Tower Mortgage Indebtedness. The subsidiary that directly holds the fee interests in Univision Tower is the borrower under a \$57.8 million mortgage loan with Countrywide Commercial Real Estate Finance, Inc., as lender.

The mortgage loan is required to be secured by:

a first priority mortgage/deed of trust on Univision Tower;

a first priority assignment of leases and rents with respect to Univision Tower; and

a first priority security interest in all other property and assets of the borrower.

The maturity date of the mortgage loan is November 6, 2009. The mortgage loan bears interest at a rate of 6.04% per annum.

The mortgage loan is not prepayable in whole or in part until any time during the final three months of the term of the loan. The loan requires monthly payments calculated using the interest rate and a 30-year amortization schedule. The loan is subject to affirmative covenants such as financial reporting and negative covenants, including, among others, certain restrictions on the borrower s ability to create or incur additional liens or indebtedness or transfer the property or any interest in the property. In the event of a default or a decline in the debt service ratio below a specified level, income generated by the Univision Tower property will be swept into a cash management account for the benefit of the lender from which cash will be distributed to us only after satisfying debt, operating and other reserve cash requirements. The loan is nonrecourse to the borrower, subject to certain recourse carveouts. The subsidiary borrower under this loan is subject to an unsecured environmental indemnity. Our operating partnership guarantees the subsidiary borrower s obligations under the environmental indemnity and the recourse obligations under the loan.

58

Converse Technology Building, Hudson Corporate Center, Webb at LBJ, 36 Northeast Second Street, Siemens Building and Brea Data Center Mortgage Indebtedness. The subsidiaries that directly hold the fee interests in Comverse Technology Building, Hudson Corporate Center, Webb at LBJ, 36 Northeast Second Street, Siemens Building and Brea Data Center are borrowers under six separate loans in an aggregate principal amount of \$154.3 million with Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp. as lender. The loans are cross-defaulted and cross-collateralized.

The mortgage loans are required to be secured by:

a first mortgage lien on Comverse Technology Building, Hudson Corporate Center, Webb at LBJ, 36 Northeast Second Street, Siemens Building and Brea Data Center and related improvements, fixtures and real property rights;

a general first lien on all related personal property;

a general first lien on all related accounts and intangibles;

an assignment of leases, rents, security deposits and contracts; and

all proceeds, products and profits from the foregoing.

Each of the mortgage loans is for a ten-year term with a maturity date in November 2014. The mortgage loans bear an interest rate at 5.649% per annum. The borrower may not prepay the mortgage loans until 60 days prior to the maturity date of such loan. Each mortgage loan contains affirmative covenants, such as financial reporting and maintenance of the property, and negative covenants, including, among others, certain restrictions on the borrower s ability to create or incur additional liens or indebtedness or transfer the property or interest in the property. In connection with the mortgage loans, the borrower is subject to a lockbox arrangement and cash management provisions pursuant to which all income generated by Comverse Technology Building, Hudson Corporate Center, Webb at LBJ, 36 Northeast Second Street, Siemens Building and Brea Data Center is deposited directly into lockbox accounts and then swept into a cash management account for the benefit of the lender for which cash is distributed to us only after funding of debt service, taxes, insurance, tenant improvement and leasing, capital improvement, and maintenance reserve accounts. The loans are nonrecourse to the borrowers, subject to recourse carveouts. We and our operating partnership provide on a joint and several basis an unsecured environmental indemnity and guaranty of recourse carveouts under the loans. In addition, each borrower guarantees the obligations of our other borrowing subsidiaries under the mortgage loans. The mortgage loans may be securitized by the lender at its option.

Unsecured Credit Facility. Our operating partnership has a \$210.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility with a group of banks, including affiliates of Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, the joint bookrunning managers for our common stock offering, and together with UBS Securities LLC, the bookrunning managers for our series B preferred stock offering, and other underwriters for the concurrent offerings. The facility may be expanded to up to \$350.0 million at our request, subject to receipt of lender commitments and satisfaction of other conditions, and includes a \$100.0 million sub-facility for foreign exchange advances in euros, British pounds and Canadian dollars. Our credit facility has a borrowing limit based upon a percentage of the value of the unsecured properties included in the facility s borrowing base. In May 2005, we added two properties to the unsecured borrowing base increasing our availability for future borrowings. At March 31, 2005 our unsecured credit facility had an outstanding balance of \$36.0 million. At June 30, 2005, the outstanding balance was \$188.0 million. The increase relates to our acquisitions of Lakeside Technology Center, Ameriquest Data Center, Savvis Data Centers 2 through 5 and Savvis Office Building. As of June 30, 2005, our unsecured credit facility bore interest at LIBOR plus 1.625% per annum, which equaled a rate of 4.97%. The unsecured credit facility has a one-year extension option. The credit facility contains covenants including limitations on our and our subsidiaries ability to incur additional indebtedness, make certain investments or merge with another company, limitations on our ability to make distributions to our stockholders and other restricted payments, and requirements for us to maintain

financial coverage ratios and maintain a pool of unencumbered assets.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Our off-balance sheet arrangements consist of interest rate cap agreements in connection with certain of our indebtedness, a currency fluctuation hedge arrangement in connection with our ownership of Camperdown House in London, England and interest rate swap agreements with KeyBank National Association and Bank of America related to \$239.6 million of outstanding principal on our variable rate debt. See Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

As of March 31, 2005, GI Partners had approximately \$1.2 million of letters of credit outstanding that secure obligations relating to two of our properties, Carrier Center and Stanford Place II. These letters of credit were initially issued in lieu of making deposits required by a local utility and in lieu of establishing a restricted cash account on behalf of a lender. We are in the process of causing these letters of credit to be transferred to us. We are currently reimbursing GI Partners for the costs of maintaining the letters of credit, which costs are less than \$5,000 per quarter. We currently have no other off-balance sheet arrangements.

Cash Flows

The following summary discussion of our cash flows is based on the consolidated and combined statements of cash flows and is not meant to be an all-inclusive discussion of the changes in our cash flows for the periods presented below.

Comparison of Three Months Ended March 31, 2005 to Three Months Ended March 31, 2004

Cash and cash equivalents were \$2.9 million and \$4.3 million at March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$5.1 million to \$11.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 compared to \$6.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. The increase was primarily due to revenues from the properties added to our portfolio which was partially offset by the increased interest expense incurred on the mortgage and other secured debt related to the acquired properties.

Net cash used in investing activities increased \$31.0 million to \$71.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 compared to \$40.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. The increase was primarily the result of the acquisition of two properties during the three months ended March 31, 2005, which required a larger investment than the property acquired during the three months ended March 31, 2004.

Net cash provided by financing activities increased \$25.3 million to \$58.3 million, for the three months ended March 31, 2005 compared to \$33.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. The increase was primarily due to proceeds from the sale of preferred stock of \$103.5 million during the three months ended March 31, 2005 offset by costs paid related to our preferred stock offering and our initial public offering totaling \$4.4 million, payment of dividends and distribution totaling \$22.5 million during the three months ended March 31, 2005, net repayments of debt totaling \$17.8 million during the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to net borrowings of \$20.6 million during the three months ended March 31, 2004 and net contributions from the owner of the Company Predecessor of \$12.5 million during the three months ended March 31, 2004.

Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2004 to Year Ended December 31, 2003

Cash and cash equivalents were \$4.6 million and \$5.2 million at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$17.0 million to \$44.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to \$27.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. The increase was primarily due to the properties added to our portfolio which was partially offset by the increased interest expense incurred on the mortgage and other secured debt related to the acquired properties.

60

Net cash used in investing activities increased \$157.4 million to \$371.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to \$213.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. The increase was primarily the result of the acquisition of eleven properties during the year ended December 31, 2004, which required a larger investment than the acquisitions of eight properties during the year ended December 31, 2003, and an increase in restricted cash as a result of the mortgage loans obtained during 2004.

Net cash provided by financing activities increased \$138.1 million to \$326.0 million, for the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to \$187.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. The increase was primarily due to \$230.8 million of net proceeds from the sale of common stock partially offset by the purchase of units in our operating partnership for \$91.9 million from the investors in GI Partners.

Funds From Operations

We calculate FFO in accordance with the standards established by NAREIT. FFO represents net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property, real estate related depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of deferred financing costs) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

Management uses FFO as a supplemental performance measure because, in excluding real estate related depreciation and amortization and gains and losses from property dispositions, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs. We also believe that, as a widely recognized measure of the performance of REITs, FFO will be used by investors as a basis to compare our operating performance with that of other REITs.

However, because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization and captures neither the changes in the value of our properties that result from use or market conditions nor the level of capital expenditures and leasing commissions necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which have real economic effect and could materially impact our results from operations, the utility of FFO as a measure of our performance is limited. Other REITs may not calculate FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition and, accordingly, our FFO may not be comparable to such other REITs FFO. Accordingly, FFO should be considered only as a supplement to net income as a measure of our performance. FFO should not be used as a measure of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends or make distributions. FFO also should not be used as a supplement to or substitute for cash flow from operating activities computed in accordance with GAAP.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of our pro forma funds from operations for the periods presented (in thousands):

Pro Forma Three Months Ended	Historical Three Months Ended	Pro Forma Year Ended December 31,	Historical Consolidated
March 31,	March 31,	2004	Period from
2005	2005		November 3, 2004

through

December 31, 2004 Reconciliation of net income to FFO and FFO available to common stockholders: Net income before minority interest in operating partnership but after minority interest in consolidated joint ventures \$ 8,391 \$ 4,898 \$ 11,979 (16,383)Plus real estate depreciation and amortization 16,379 12,143 62,316 7,373 FFO 24,770 17,041 74,295 (9,010) Less preferred stock dividends 3,300 1,271 13,198 FFO available to common stockholders(1) 21,470 15,770 61,097 (9,010)

⁽¹⁾ FFO available to common stockholders has been calculated assuming that the common units in our operating partnership are exchanged for common stock.

Inflation

Substantially all of our leases provide for separate real estate tax and operating expense escalations. In addition, many of the leases provide for fixed base rent increases. We believe that inflationary increases may be at least partially offset by the contractual rent increases and expense escalations described above.

New Accounting Pronouncements

Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, or SFAS 123(R), was issued in December 2004. SFAS 123(R), which is effective for our company beginning with the first quarter of 2006, requires an entity to recognize the grant-date fair value of stock options and other equity-based compensation issued to employees in the income statement, but expresses no preference for a type of valuation model. We do not believe the adoption of SFAS 123(R) will have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments are dependent upon prevalent market interest rates. Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. We do not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes and only enter into contracts with major financial institutions based on their credit rating and other factors.

Effective November 26, 2004, we entered into interest rate swap agreements with KeyBank National Association and Bank of America for approximately \$140.3 million of our variable rate debt, of which \$139.6 million was outstanding as of March 31, 2005. The table below summarizes the terms of these interest rate swaps and their fair values as of March 31, 2005 (in thousands):

Notio	Notional Amount Strike Rate		Effective Date	Expiration Date	Fair V	Value
_						
\$	46,268	3.178%	Nov. 26, 2004	Jul. 1, 2006	\$	344
	43,000	3.250	Nov. 26, 2004	Sep. 15, 2006		396
	21,510	3.754	Nov. 26, 2004	Jan 1, 2009		429
	20,000	3.824	Nov. 26, 2004	Apr. 1, 2009		431
	8,775	3.331	Nov. 26, 2004	Dec. 1, 2006		93
\$	139,553				\$ 1	,693

At March 31, 2005, a hypothetical increase in interest rates of 10%, or approximately 40 basis points, would have decreased the fair value of our interest rate swaps by approximately \$1.0 million. A hypothetical decrease in interest rates of 10%, or approximately 40 basis points, would have increased the fair value of our interest rate swaps by approximately \$1.0 million.

At March 31, 2005, a hypothetical increase in interest rates of 10%, or approximately 40 basis points, would have increased the interest expense on our unhedged variable rate debt, and consequently would have reduced future earnings and cash flow by approximately \$0.4 million annually. In addition, the fair value of our \$273.4 million principal amount of outstanding fixed rate debt would have decreased by approximately \$5.8 million.

At March 31, 2005, a hypothetical decrease in interest rates of 10%, or approximately 40 basis points, would have decreased the interest expense on our unhedged variable rate debt, and consequently would have increased future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$0.4 million annually. In addition, the fair value of our \$273.4 million principal amount of outstanding fixed rate debt would have increased by approximately \$5.7 million.

62

Interest risk amounts were determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on our financial instruments. These analyses do not consider the effect of any change in overall economic activity that could occur in that environment. Further, in the event of a change of that magnitude, we might take actions to mitigate further our exposure to the change. However, because it is uncertain which specific actions we might take and their possible effects, these analyses assume no changes in our financial structure.

As of March 31, 2005, our total outstanding debt was approximately \$515.7 million, which consisted of \$456.8 million of principal outstanding for mortgage loans and \$0.9 million for the debt premium on one of our mortgage loans, \$36.0 million of notes payable under our line of credit and \$22.0 million of other secured loans. Approximately \$241.4 million of our total outstanding debt was variable rate debt and after considering that \$139.6 million of such debt is hedged with interest rate swaps, our variable rate debt comprised 19.8% of our total outstanding debt. As of March 31, 2005, the fair value of our outstanding fixed-rate debt approximated \$278.5 million compared to the carrying value of \$274.3 million, comprised of \$273.4 million of principal and \$0.9 million of debt premium.

We are also party to a foreign currency hedging contract with a notional value of approximately £7.9 million, which we use to convert the balance of our investment in the Camperdown House property into U.S. dollars. The fair value of this forward contract was (\$0.2) million as of March 31, 2005 using the currency exchange rate in effect as of that date. If the exchange rate of U.S. dollars to British pounds as of March 31, 2005 were to increase by 10%, the fair value of our forward contract would decrease by \$1.4 million to (\$1.6) million. If the exchange rate of U.S. dollars to British pounds as of March 31, 2005 were to decrease by 10%, the fair value of our forward contract would increase by \$1.5 million to \$1.3 million.

Effective May 26, 2005, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement with Bank of America for \$100.0 million with a strike rate of 4.025% and an expiration date of June 15, 2008. Together with the \$139.6 million in interest rate swaps outstanding as of March 31, 2005, we expect that approximately 78.8% of our total indebtedness, upon completion of the concurrent offerings and the anticipated application of the proceeds therefrom, will be subject to fixed interest rates.

63

BUSINESS AND PROPERTIES

Overview

We own, acquire, reposition and manage technology-related real estate. We target high-quality, strategically located properties containing applications and operations critical to the day-to-day operations of technology industry tenants and corporate and institutional data center users, including the IT departments of Fortune 1000 and financial services companies. Our tenant base is diversified within the technology industry and reflects a broad spectrum of regional, national and international tenants that are leaders in their respective areas. We intend to operate as a REIT for federal income tax purposes.

Through our operating partnership, we own 33 properties and are under contract to acquire three additional properties. Our properties are located throughout the U.S. and one property is in London, England. Our properties contain a total of approximately 7.8 million net rentable square feet, excluding space held for redevelopment. To facilitate research and development, technology transfer and recruitment of technology professionals, companies in the technology industry often cluster near major scientific research institutions, universities and government agencies, all of which drive demand for properties combining office, communications infrastructure and data center space. Additionally, corporate data center users are primarily focused in the major markets where their information technology operations are most efficient. Our operations and acquisition activities are focused on a limited number of markets where technology industry tenants and corporate and institutional data center users are concentrated, including the Boston, Chicago, Dallas, Los Angeles, New York, Philadelphia, San Francisco and Silicon Valley metropolitan areas. As of March 31, 2005, our portfolio, excluding space held for redevelopment, was approximately 90.1% leased at an average annualized rent per leased square foot of \$20.12.

Our senior management team and Executive Chairman have an average of over 21 years of experience in the technology or real estate industries, including experience as investors in, advisors to and founders of technology companies. Under our senior management team s direction, we focus on technology industry facilities that are difficult to replicate and critical to the operations of tenants, which we believe to be the best long-term real estate investment opportunities. The types of properties within our focus include:

Internet gateways, which serve as hubs for Internet and data communications within and between major metropolitan areas;

Data centers, which provide a secure, continuously available environment for the storage and processing of critical electronic information. Data centers are used for disaster recovery purposes, transaction processing and to house the IT operations of companies;

Technology manufacturing properties, which contain highly specialized manufacturing environments for such purposes as disk drive manufacturing, semiconductor manufacturing and specialty pharmaceutical manufacturing; and

Regional or national headquarters of technology companies that are located in our target markets.

Most of our properties have extensive tenant improvements that have been installed at our tenants expense. Unlike traditional office and flex/research and development space, the location of and improvements to our facilities are generally essential to our tenants businesses, which we believe results in high occupancy levels, long lease terms and low tenant turnover. Based on our experience, properties leased to tenants in the communications and information technology industries typically offer fully redundant electrical supply systems, multiple power feeds, above-standard electrical HVAC systems, raised floor areas to accommodate computer cables and below-floor cooling systems, extensive in-building communications cabling and high-level security systems, while properties leased to technology manufacturing companies typically

offer fully redundant electrical supply systems, multiple power feeds, above-standard electrical HVAC systems, high-level security systems and clean room space. The tenant-installed improvements in our facilities are readily adaptable for use by similar tenants.

64

Our portfolio includes 21 properties contributed to us by Global Innovation Partners, LLC, or GI Partners, in connection with our initial public offering in November 2004. GI Partners is a private equity fund that was formed to pursue investment opportunities that intersect the real estate and technology industries. GI Partners was formed in February 2001 after a competitive six-month selection process conducted by the California Public Employees Retirement System, or CalPERS, the largest U.S. pension fund. Upon selecting GI Partners, CalPERS provided a \$500 million equity commitment to GI Partners to invest in technology-related real estate and technology operating businesses. In addition, CB Richard Ellis Investors, a subsidiary of CB Richard Ellis, or CBRE, the largest global real estate services firm, and members of GI Partners management provided a commitment of \$26.3 million. Upon the consummation of our initial public offering, GI Partners contributed substantially all of its technology-related real estate investments to our operating partnership. Pursuant to our non-competition agreement with GI Partners, GI Partners manages its investments in other businesses, but does not, subject to limited exceptions, pursue new investments in technology-related real estate. See Our Competitive Strengths.

We were formed in March 2004 by Digital Properties Holdings LLC. The sole members of Digital Properties Holdings are Richard A. Magnuson, the Executive Chairman of our board of directors, and Michael F. Foust, our Chief Executive Officer. In April 2004, Digital Properties Holdings sold its interest in us to GI Partners for \$2,000. Currently, we employ 44 people. In addition, we engage CBRE and other experienced property management companies to provide on-site property management services. We intend to pay regular quarterly dividends to our stockholders. Substantially all of our business is conducted through Digital Realty Trust, L.P., our operating partnership.

Our Competitive Strengths

We believe we distinguish ourselves from other owners, acquirors and managers of technology-related real estate through our competitive strengths, which include:

High-Quality Portfolio that is Difficult to Replicate. Our portfolio contains state-of-the-art facilities with extensive tenant improvements. Based on current market rents and estimated replacement costs of our properties and their improvements, we believe that they could not be replicated today on a cost-competitive basis. Based on an analysis prepared by CCG Facilities Integration Incorporated, the estimated cost to construct data center space would range from \$900 to \$1200 per square foot of raised floor area. We currently own 29 properties which contain raised floor data center space. In addition, many of the properties in our portfolio are located on major aggregation points formed by the physical presence of multiple major telecommunications service providers, which reduces our tenants costs and operational risks and increases the attractiveness of our buildings.

Presence in Key Markets. Our portfolio is located in 16 metropolitan areas, including the Boston, Chicago, Dallas, Los Angeles, New York, Philadelphia, San Francisco and Silicon Valley metropolitan areas, and is diversified so that no one market represented more than 25.7% of the aggregate annualized rent of our portfolio as of March 31, 2005.

65

Long-Term Leases. We have long-term leases with stable cash flows. As of March 31, 2005, our average lease term was in excess of 12.6 years, with an average of 8.0 years remaining, excluding renewal options. Through 2008, leases representing only 9.2% of our net rentable square feet, or 8.3% of our aggregate annualized rent, are scheduled to expire. Moreover, through 2006, leases representing only 3.3% of our net rentable square feet are scheduled to expire.

Specialized Focus in Dynamic and Growing Industry. We focus solely on technology-related real estate because we believe that the growth of the technology industry, particularly Internet and data communications and corporate data center use, will be superior to that of the overall economy. We believe that our specialized understanding of both real estate and technology gives us a significant competitive advantage over less specialized investors. We use our in-depth knowledge of the technology industry, particularly Internet and data communications and corporate data center use, to identify strategically located properties, market our properties to tenants with specific technology needs, evaluate tenants creditworthiness and business models and assess the long-term value of in-place technical improvements.

Proven Acquisition Capability. Since 2002, we have acquired 33 technology-related real estate properties (including ten properties containing over 2.1 million net rentable square feet since our initial public offering in November 2004), with another three under contract, for an aggregate of 7.8 million net rentable square feet, excluding space held for redevelopment. Our broad network of contacts within a highly fragmented universe of sellers and brokers of technology-related real estate enables us to capitalize on acquisition opportunities. We have developed detailed, standardized procedures for evaluating acquisitions to ensure that they meet our financial and other criteria, which allows us to evaluate investment opportunities efficiently and, as appropriate, commit and close quickly. We acquired more than half of our properties before they were broadly marketed by real estate brokers.

Experienced and Committed Management Team. Our senior management team, including our Executive Chairman, has an average of over 21 years of experience in the technology or real estate industries, including experience as investors in, advisors to and founders of technology companies. We believe that our senior management team s extensive knowledge of both the real estate and the technology industries provides us with a key competitive advantage. Following the completion of the concurrent offerings, our senior management team will collectively own a common equity interest in our company of approximately 3.0% on a fully diluted basis, which aligns management s interests with those of our stockholders.

Unique Sourcing Relationships. The members of GI Partners hold a substantial indirect investment in our company. Accordingly, we anticipate that they will play an active role in our future success. We expect that CalPERS will provide us with introductions to potential sources of acquisitions and access to its technology industry experts and will be a potential source of co-investment capital. In addition, we expect that GI Partners private equity investment professionals will provide additional technology industry expertise and access to deal flow.

Business and Growth Strategies

Our primary business objectives are to maximize sustainable long-term growth in earnings, funds from operations and cash flow per share and to maximize returns to our stockholders. Our business strategies to achieve these objectives are:

Capitalize on Acquisition Opportunities. We believe that acquisitions enable us to increase cash flow and create long-term stockholder value. Our relationships with corporate and institutional information technology groups, technology tenants and real estate brokers who are dedicated to serving these tenants provide us with ongoing access to potential acquisitions and often enable us to avoid competitive bidding. Furthermore, the specialized nature of technology-related real estate makes it more difficult for traditional real estate investors to understand, which fosters reduced competition for

120

acquisitions relative to other property types. We believe this dynamic creates an opportunity for us to obtain better risk-adjusted returns on our capital.

Maximize the Cash Flow of our Properties. We aggressively manage and lease our assets to increase their cash flow. We often acquire properties with substantial in-place cash flow and some vacancy, which enables us to create upside through lease-up. Our portfolio, excluding space held for redevelopment, was approximately 90.1% leased as of March 31, 2005, leaving approximately 779,000 square feet of net rentable space available for lease-up. Moreover, many of our properties contain extensive in-place infrastructure or buildout which may result in higher rents when leased to tenants seeking these improvements. We control our costs by negotiating expense pass-through provisions in tenant leases for operating expenses and certain capital expenditures. Leases covering more than 95% of the leased net rentable square feet in our portfolio as of March 31, 2005 required tenants to pay all or a portion of increases in operating expenses, including real estate taxes, insurance, common area charges and other expenses. Since our initial public offering in November 2004, we have executed leases for 36,044 square feet of technical space at an average annualized rent of \$95.80 per square foot and 209,592 square feet of nontechnical space at an average annualized rent of \$19.48 per square foot, in each case including lease renewals and expansions commencing in 2004 through 2009.

Subdivide Improved Space for Turn-Key Data Center Use. We own approximately 230,000 net rentable square feet of space with extensive data center improvements that is currently, or will shortly be, available for lease. Rather than leasing all of this space to large single tenants, we are subdividing some of it for multi-tenant colocation use, with tenants averaging between 100 and 5,000 square feet of net rentable space. Multi-tenant colocation is a cost-effective solution for tenants who cannot afford or do not require their own extensive infrastructure and security. Because we can provide such features, we are able to lease space to these tenants at a significant premium over other uses.

Leverage Strong Industry Relationships. We use our strong industry relationships with national and regional corporate enterprise information technology groups and technology-intensive companies to identify and comprehensively respond to their real estate needs. Our leasing and sales professionals are real estate and technology industry specialists who can develop complex facility solutions for the most demanding Internet gateway and other technology tenants and corporate and institutional data center users.

Use Capital Efficiently. We intend to sell assets opportunistically. We believe that we can increase stockholder returns by effectively redeploying asset sales proceeds into new acquisition opportunities. Recently, data centers have been particularly attractive candidates for sale to owner/users, as the cost of acquisition is usually substantially lower than the cost to construct a new facility. We will seek such opportunities to realize profits and reinvest our capital.

67

Our Portfolio of Properties

The following table presents an overview of our portfolio of properties, including our acquisition properties, based on information as of March 31, 2005:

Property ⁽¹⁾	Metropolitan Area	Percent Ownership	Year Built/ Renovated	Net Rentable Square Feet ⁽²⁾	Percent Leased ⁽³⁾	Annualized Rent ⁽⁴⁾		Annualized Net Effective Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽⁶⁾
Internet Gateway								
Lakeside Technology Center	Chicago	100.0%	1912-1929/2000	805,150(7)	94.2%(7)	\$ 19,290,966	\$ 25.44	\$ 29.70
200 Paul Avenue	San Francisco	100.0	1955/1999&2001	532,238	83.4	10,897,301	24.54	27.94
Univision Tower	Dallas	100.0	1983	477,107	79.9	8,120,266	21.30	19.49
Carrier Center	Los Angeles	100.0	1922/1999	450,021	79.7	7,702,967	21.48	24.60
Camperdown House ⁽⁸⁾	London, UK	100.0	1983/1999	63,233	100.0	4,193,136	66.31	66.31
1100 Space Park Drive	Silicon Valley	100.0	2001	167,951	46.6	3,525,347	45.07	52.41
36 Northeast Second Street	Miami	100.0	1927/1999	162,140	81.2	3,129,972	23.78	25.66
Charlotte Internet Gateway								
Properties ⁽⁹⁾	Charlotte	100.0	1999/2000/2001	95,490	73.3	1,667,284	23.81	30.00
Burbank Data Center	Los Angeles	100.0	1991	82,911	100.0	1,414,300	17.06	18.41
				2,836,241	83.5	59,941,539	25.31	28.07
Data Center				2,000,211	0010	0,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	20.01	20107
833 Chestnut Street	Dhiladalahia	100.0	1927/1998	547 105 40	01.5.40	7,039,201	14.06	14.52
Hudson Corporate Center	Philadelphia New York	100.0	1989/2000	547,195 ₍₁₀₎ 311,950	91.5 ₍₁₀₎ 87.4	6,853,399	25.14	24.66
Savvis Data Center 1		100.0	2000		100.0			22.09
	Silicon Valley	100.0		300,000	89.6	5,760,000	19.20	
Webb at LBJ	Dallas	100.0	1966/2000	365,449 187,085	96.2	4,499,282	13.74	14.87 34.52
AboveNet Data Center NTT/Verio Premier Data Center	Silicon Valley Silicon Valley	100.0	1987/1999 1982-83/2001		100.0	4,431,834	24.64 28.92	34.32
Savvis Data Center 2	Silicon Valley	100.0	1973/2000	130,752 167,932	100.0	3,781,200 3,027,814	18.03	22.08
Savvis Data Center 2 Savvis Data Center 3	Los Angeles	100.0	1975/1998-99	113,606	100.0	2,048,316	18.03	22.08
Savvis Data Center 3 Savvis Data Center 4	Silicon Valley	100.0	1972/1999	103,940	100.0	1,874,038	18.03	22.08
Savvis Data Center 4 Savvis Data Center 5	Silicon Valley	100.0	1977/2000	90,139	100.0	1,625,206	18.03	22.08
Ameriquest Data Center	Denver	100.0	2001	82,229	100.0	1,521,240	18.50	20.34
eBay Data Center	Sacramento	100.0	1983/2000	62,957	100.0	1,479,943	23.51	24.05
VarTec Building	Dallas	100.0	1999	135,250	100.0	1,352,500	10.00	10.45
MAPP Building	Minneapolis/	100.0	1)))	133,230	100.0	1,332,300	10.00	10.43
WATT Building	St. Paul	100.0	1947/1999	88,134	100.0	1,339,637	15.20	16.02
Brea Data Center	Los Angeles	100.0	1981/2000	68,807	100.0	1,211,898	17.61	19.83
AT&T Web Hosting Facility	Atlanta	100.0	1998	250,191	50.5	1,098,036	8.69	10.50
Title 1 web Hosting Lacinty	7 Hilling	100.0	1,550					
				3,005,616	91.5	48,943,544	17.79	19.99
Technology Manufacturing								
Ardenwood Corporate Park	Silicon Valley	100.0	1985-86	307,657	100.0	7,691,292	25.00	25.04
Maxtor Manufacturing Facility	Silicon Valley	100.0	1991 & 1997(11)	183,050	100.0	3,371,122	18.42	19.92
ASM Lithography Facility ⁽¹²⁾	Phoenix	100.0	2002	113,405	100.0	2,549,165	22.48	25.52
				604,112	100.0	13,611,579	22.53	23.58
Technology Office/ Corporate Headquarters								
Comverse Technology Building	Boston	100.0	1957 & 1999(13)	386,956	100.0	5,989,152	15.48	16.13
100 Technology Center Drive	Boston	100.0	1989/2001	197,000	100.0	3,743,000	19.00	20.20
Granite Tower	Dallas	100.0	1999	240,065	94.6	3,438,061	15.14	15.04

Stanford Place II	Denver	98.0(14)	1982	366,184	88.4	3,088,815	9.54	9.11
Siemens Building	Dallas	100.0	1999	125,538	100.0	1,917,505	15.27	17.54
Savvis Office Building	Silicon Valley	100.0	1977/2000	84,383	100.0	1,521,425	18.03	22.08
				1,400,126	96.0	19,697,958	14.65	15.36
Portfolio Total/Weighted Average				7,846,095	90.1%	\$ 142,194,620 \$	20.12 \$	22.12
Square Feet of Space Held for Redevelopment				397,563				
Portfolio Total including Space								
Held for Redevelopment				8,243,658				

- (1) We have categorized the properties in our portfolio by their principal use based on annualized rent. However, many of our properties support multiple uses. Since March 31, 2005 we have leased 245,636 rentable square feet (233,491 usable square feet) at an average annualized rent of approximately \$30.68 per square foot, including leases executed prior to March 31, 2005 for which we were not receiving rent as of March 31, 2005. These leases commence between 2005 and 2009. Rent abatements for these leases for the twelve months ending March 31, 2006 total \$260,278.
- (2) Net rentable square feet at a building represents the current square feet at that building under lease as specified in the lease agreements plus management s estimate of space available for lease based on engineering drawings. Net rentable square feet includes tenants proportional share of common areas but excludes space held for redevelopment.
- (3) Includes unoccupied space for which we are receiving rent and excludes space for which leases had been executed as of March 31, 2005 but for which we are not yet receiving rent.
- (4) Annualized rent represents the annualized monthly contractual rent under existing leases as of March 31, 2005. This amount reflects total base rent before any one-time or nonrecurring rent abatements but after annually recurring rent credits and is shown on a net basis; thus, for any tenant under a partial gross lease, the expense stop, or under a full gross lease, the current year operating expenses (which may be estimates as of such date), are subtracted from gross rent. Total abatements for leases in effect as of March 31, 2005 for the twelve months ending March 31, 2006 were \$136,344.
- (5) Annualized rent per leased square foot represents annualized rent as computed above, divided by the total square footage under lease as of the same date.
- (6) For properties owned as of March 31, 2005, annualized net effective rent per leased square foot represents the contractual rent for leases in place as of March 31, 2005, calculated on a straight line basis from the date of acquisition by GI Partners or us or the date the lease commenced, if later. This amount is shown on a net basis; thus, for any tenant under a partial gross lease, the expense stop, or under a full gross lease, the current year operating expenses (which may be estimates as of such date), are subtracted from gross rent. This amount is further reduced by the annual amortization of any tenant improvement and leasing costs incurred by GI Partners or us for such leases, and is then divided by the net rentable square footage under lease as of the same date. For properties acquired after March 31, 2005, the same approach is used, except that the straight line rent calculation is as of the acquisition date or the projected acquisition date.
- (7) Excludes 290,000 square feet of space held for redevelopment.
- (8) Rental amounts for Camperdown House were calculated based on the exchange rate in effect on March 31, 2005 of \$1.89 per £1.00.
- (9) Comprises three properties in Charlotte, North Carolina which we are under contract to acquire. The acquisition of these properties remains subject to the completion of our due diligence and satisfaction of customary closing conditions. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that we will be able to consummate the acquisition.
- (10) Excludes 107,563 square feet of space held for redevelopment.
- (11) This property consists of two buildings: 1055 Page Avenue was built in 1991, and 47700 Kato Road was built in 1997.
- (12) We own the subsidiary that is party to a ground sublease covering this property. The term of the ground sublease expires on December 31, 2082.
- (13) This property consists of two buildings: 100 Quannapowitt was built in 1999, and 200 Quannapowitt was built in 1957 and has subsequently been renovated.
- (14) We indirectly own a 98% interest in a subsidiary that holds the fee simple interest in this property. An unrelated third party holds the remaining 2% interest in this subsidiary.

69

Tenant Diversification

Our portfolio is currently leased to more than 180 companies, many of which are nationally recognized firms in the technology industry. The following table sets forth information regarding the 15 largest tenants in our portfolio based on annualized rent as of March 31, 2005:

Tenant	Property	Lease Expiration ⁽¹⁾	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Portfolio Square Feet	Annualized Rent	Percentage of Portfolio Annualized Rent
Savvis Communications Corp.			1,119,401	14.3%	\$ 22,573,499	15.9%
Savvis communications corp.	Hudson Corporate Center	Sep. 2011	234,570	3.0	6,098,820	4.3
	Savvis Data Center 1 ⁽²⁾	Sep. 2015	300,000	3.8	5,760,000	4.1
	Savvis Data Center 2	Feb. 2019	167,932	2.1	3,027,814	2.1
	Savvis Data Center 3	Feb. 2019	113,606	1.4	2,048,316	1.4
	Savvis Data Center 4	Feb. 2019	103,940	1.3	1,874,038	1.3
	Savvis Data Center 5	Feb. 2019	90,139	1.1	1,625,206	1.1
	Savvis Office Building	Feb. 2019	84,383	1.1	1,521,425	1.1
	36 Northeast Second Street	Aug. 2009	23,805	0.3	609,928	0.4
	Univision Tower	Sep. 2009	1,026	0.0	7,952	0.0
Qwest Communications		·	(54.97)	9.2	10 105 240	12.0
International, Inc.	Lakasida Taahnalagy Cantar	Iun 2015	654,866	8.3 1.8	18,195,340	12.8 2.4
	Lakeside Technology Center	Jun. 2015	142,346	0.9	3,417,805	2.4
	Lakeside Technology Center 200 Paul Avenue	Jun. 2015 Aug. 2015	74,412 65,622	0.9	3,120,629 2,986,143	2.2
	36 Northeast Second Street	Feb. 2014	78,540	1.0	1,640,783	1.2
	Carrier Center	Jan. 2020	68,000	0.9	1,482,215	1.0
	Burbank Data Center	Jan. 2011	82,911	1.1	1,414,300	1.0
	Lakeside Technology Center	Jun. 2015	50,000	0.6	1,219,344	0.9
	Charlotte Internet Gateway	Juii. 2013	50,000	0.0	1,219,344	0.9
	Properties Properties	Jun. 2020	40,879	0.5	1,007,000	0.7
	200 Paul Avenue	Aug. 2015	24,205	0.3	917.363	0.6
	Univision Tower	Apr. 2008	20,135	0.3	635,595	0.4
	Carrier Center	Jul. 2005	350(3)	0.0	165,450	0.1
	Charlotte Internet Gateway		22 (3)			
	Properties	Sep. 2019	3,806	0.0	87,173	0.1
	Univision Tower	Apr. 2008	3,650	0.0	81,412	0.1
	1100 Space Park Drive	Aug. 2011	10(3)	0.0	20,128	0.0
Verio, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	•		238,051	3.0	6,317,748	4.4
,	NTT/Verio Premier Data Center	May 2010	130,752	1.7	3,781,200	2.7
	Lakeside	Aug. 2015	107,299	1.4	2,536,548	1.8
Equinix, Inc.			272,904	3.5	5,933,780	4.2
	Lakeside Technology Center	Mar. 2015	143,630	1.8	3,591,330	2.5
	Carrier Center	Oct. 2015	129,274	1.6	2,342,450	1.6
Comverse Network Systems			367,033	4.7	5,690,307	4.0
	Comverse Technology Building	Feb. 2011	166,109	2.1	2,989,962	2.1
	Comverse Technology Building	Feb. 2011	199,033	2.5	2,680,017	1.9
	Comverse Technology Building	Feb. 2011	1,891	0.0	20,328	0.0
Abgenix, Inc.			131,386	1.7	4,980,607	3.5
	Ardenwood Corporate Park	Apr. 2011	73,887	0.9	3,341,136	2.3
	Ardenwood Corporate Park	Apr. 2011	33,499	0.4	968,791	0.7
	Ardenwood Corporate Park	Apr. 2011	24,000	0.3	670,680	0.5
Leslie & Godwin ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	Camperdown House	Dec. 2009	63,233	0.8	4,193,136(7)	
Stone & Webster, Inc. ⁽⁸⁾	100 Technology Center Drive	Mar. 2013	197,000	2.5	3,743,000	2.6
AboveNet, Inc.			135,093	1.7	3,640,767	2.6
	AboveNet Data Center	Nov. 2019	131,721	1.7	3,576,418	2.5
	Univision Tower	Apr. 2014	3,372	0.0	64,349	0.0
Maxtor Corporation	Maxtor Manufacturing Facility	Sep. 2011	183,050	2.3	3,371,122	2.4
SBC Communications, Inc.	Webb at LBJ	Nov. 2010	141,663	1.8	2,773,762	2.0

VSNL Telecommunications (US)						
Inc.	1100 Space Park Drive	Nov. 2016	59,289	0.8	2,721,041	1.9

Tenant	Property	Lease Expiration ⁽¹⁾	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Portfolio Square Feet	Annualized Rent	Percentage of Portfolio Annualized Rent
Thomas Jefferson University			185,707	2.4	2,594,812	1.8
	833 Chestnut Street	Jul. 2008	38,245	0.5	504,757	0.4
	833 Chestnut Street	Apr. 2015	28,503	0.4	313,533	0.2
	833 Chestnut Street	Oct. 2010	18,568	0.2	265,766	0.2
	833 Chestnut Street	Dec. 2011	16,638	0.2	261,662	0.2
	833 Chestnut Street	Jul. 2008	15,708	0.2	248,526	0.2
	833 Chestnut Street	Feb. 2011	12,787	0.2	188,619	0.1
	833 Chestnut Street	Aug. 2009	12,132	0.2	185,610	0.1
	833 Chestnut Street	Jul. 2008	13,514	0.2	184,358	0.1
	833 Chestnut Street	Jun. 2012	7,693	0.1	112,150	0.1
	833 Chestnut Street	Nov. 2012	5,177	0.1	88,512	0.1
	833 Chestnut Street	Dec. 2008	6,437	0.1	87,465	0.1
	833 Chestnut Street	Nov. 2009	5,366	0.1	81,517	0.1
	833 Chestnut Street	Mar. 2008	2,427	0.0	32,713	0.0
	833 Chestnut Street	Feb. 2009	1,506	0.0	22,901	0.0
	833 Chestnut Street	Mar. 2012	1,006	0.0	16,723	0.0
ASM Lithography	ASM Lithography Facility	Feb. 2017	113,405	1.4	2,549,165	1.8
XO Communications			96,546	1.2	2,458,680	1.7
	200 Paul Avenue	Feb. 2015	64,907	0.8	1,852,634	1.3
	Carrier Center	Aug. 2015	29,000	0.4	467,981	0.3
	Univision Tower	Oct. 2008	2,559	0.0	92,171	0.1
	Carrier Center	Sep. 2010	80(3)	0.0	45,894	0.0
Total, Top 15 Tenants			3,958,627	50.5%	\$ 91,736,766	64.5%

- (1) Assumes the exercise of no renewal options and the exercise of all early termination options.
- (2) Microsoft Corporation subleases a portion of Savvis Communications Corp. s space in this building.
- (3) Telecommunications colocation space.
- (4) Verio is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nippon Telegraph & Telephone.
- (5) Leslie & Godwin is a United Kingdom subsidiary of AON Corporation.
- (6) 100% of the Camperdown House property is subleased by Level 3 Communications from Leslie & Godwin through December 2009. Leslie & Godwin remains liable to us for rents under its lease. Subject to a payment by Level 3 Communications, which we can waive, Level 3 Communications is obligated to take a further lease of this property for a term expiring in 2015, subject to one five-year extension option. Including the Camperdown House sublease, Level 3 Communications occupies a total of 104,676 square feet of net rentable space in our buildings.
- (7) Rental amounts for Camperdown House were calculated based on the exchange rate in effect on March 31, 2005 at \$1.89 per £1.00.
- (8) Stone & Webster is the primary operating unit of the Engineering, Construction and Maintenance segment of The Shaw Group Inc.

Lease Distribution

The following table sets forth information relating to the distribution of leases in the properties in our portfolio, based on net rentable square feet under lease as of March 31, 2005:

Square Feet Under Lease	Number of Leases	Percentage of All Leases	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Portfolio Leased Square Feet	Annualized Rent	Percentage of Portfolio Annualized Rent
Available		%	778,631	9.9%	\$	%
2,500 or less	107	35.3	97,036	1.2	3,768,470	2.6

Edgar Filing: Digital Realty Trust, Inc. - Form S-11/A

2,501-10,000	70	23.1	373,200	4.8	6,985,423	4.9
10,001-20,000	40	13.2	598,067	7.6	11,256,431	7.9
20,001-40,000	37	12.2	1,000,895	12.8	15,457,941	10.9
40,001-100,000	29	9.6	1,905,848	24.3	43,190,797	30.4
Greater than 100,000	20	6.6	3,092,418	39.4	61,535,558	43.3
Portfolio Total	303	100.0%	7,846,095	100.0%	\$ 142,194,620	100.0%

Lease Expirations

The following table sets forth a summary schedule of the lease expirations for leases in place as of March 31, 2005 plus available space, for each of the ten full calendar years and the partial year beginning April 1, 2005, at the properties in our portfolio. Unless otherwise stated in the footnotes, the information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination rights.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Portfolio Square Feet	Annualized Rent	Percentage of Portfolio Annualized Rent	Annuali Rent F Lease Squar Foot	er d e	Annualized Rent Per Leased Square Foot at Expiration	Annualized Rent at Expiration
Available		778,631	9.9%	\$		% \$		\$	\$
2005	17	54,110	0.7	878,808	0.6	λο φ 16.	24	18.00	973,750
2006	30	206,601	2.6	3,112,937	2.2	15.	07	15.96	3,296,695
2007	31	232,725	3.0	4,066,721	2.9	17.	47	19.34	4,500,327
2008	28	230,339	3.0	3,683,703	2.6	15.	99	16.66	3,837,617
2009	33	447,029	5.7	10,439,484	7.3	23.	35	24.81	11,092,718
2010	33	889,534	11.3	16,208,571	11.4	18.	22	20.89	18,585,750
2011	28	1,156,427	14.8	24,198,538	17.0	20.	93	24.06	27,818,319
2012	10	134,741	1.7	2,645,215	1.9	19.	63	22.88	3,083,344
2013	17	587,378	7.5	9,985,592	7.0	17.	00	19.09	11,212,939
$2014^{(1)}$	24	575,485	7.3	9,341,704	6.6	16.	23	20.39	11,736,564
Thereafter	52	2,553,095	32.5	57,633,347	40.5	22.	57	31.90	81,449,365
Portfolio Total	303	7,846,095	100.0%	\$ 142,194,620	100.0%	\$ 20.	12	\$ 25.13	\$ 177,587,388

⁽¹⁾ Includes 63,233 square feet of net rentable space in Camperdown House. Property is subleased by Level 3 Communications from Leslie & Godwin, a U.K. subsidiary of AON Corporation, through December 2009. Level 3 Communications has executed a lease that will commence upon expiration of the Leslie & Godwin lease and continue through December 2014. Leslie & Godwin remains liable to us for rents under its lease.

Historical Tenant Improvements and Leasing Commissions

The following table sets forth certain historical information regarding tenant improvement and leasing commission costs per square foot for tenants at the properties in our portfolio through March 31, 2005:

	Yea	r Ended Decembe	er 31,	Three Months Ended	Annual Weighted
	2002 ⁽¹⁾	2003 ⁽²⁾	2004 ⁽³⁾	March 31, 2005 ⁽⁴⁾	Average 2002 March 31, 2005
Expirations					
Number of leases expired during year	7	18	9	9	13
Aggregate net rentable square footage of expiring					
leases	32,870	216,659	122,965	23,839	121,949
Renewals ⁽⁵⁾					
Number of leases/renewals	5	10	4	3	7
Square Feet	28,418	78,172	19,079	12,295	42,450
Tenant improvement costs per square foot ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 4.12	\$ 1.83	\$ 15.06	\$ 3.93	\$ 4.32
Leasing commission costs per square foot ⁽⁶⁾	5.08	6.09	6.78	9.11	6.24
Total tenant improvement and leasing commission					
costs per square foot ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 9.20	\$ 7.92	\$ 21.84	\$ 13.04	\$ 10.56
New leases ⁽⁷⁾					
Number of leases	4	18	34	6	19
Square Feet	34,794	229,211	220,868	15,762	154,042
Tenant improvement costs per square foot ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 14.34	\$ 2.27	\$ 14.55	\$ 13.01	\$ 8.86
Leasing commission costs per square foot ⁽⁶⁾	12.37	12.55	10.08	4.55	11.20
Total tenant improvement and leasing commission					
costs per square foot ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 26.71	\$ 14.82	\$ 24.63	\$ 17.55	\$ 20.06
Total					
Number of leases	9	28	38	9	26
Square Feet	63,212	307,383	239,947	28,057	196,492
Tenant improvement costs per square foot ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 9.75	\$ 2.16	\$ 14.59	\$ 9.03	\$ 7.88
Leasing commission costs per square foot ⁽⁶⁾	9.09	10.91	9.82	6.55	10.13
Total tenant improvement and leasing commission					
costs per square foot ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 18.84	\$ 13.07	\$ 24.41	\$ 15.57	\$ 18.01

⁽¹⁾ Includes the following properties acquired in 2002: 36 Northeast Second Street (from January 2002); Univision Tower (from January 2002); Camperdown House (from July 2002); Hudson Corporate Center (from November 2002); and NTT/Verio Premier Data Center (from December 2002).

As of March 31, 2005, we had commitments under leases in effect for \$2.4 million of tenant improvements and leasing commissions, including \$2.2 million for the remainder of 2005 and \$0.2 million in 2006. Although we are not obligated to do so, assuming we meet our own lease-up

⁽²⁾ Includes the properties listed in footnote 1 above, and the following additional properties acquired in 2003: VarTec Building (from January 2003); Ardenwood Corporate Park (from January 2003); ASM Lithography Facility (from May 2003); AT&T Web Hosting Facility (from June 2003); Brea Data Center (from August 2003); Granite Tower (from September 2003); Maxtor Manufacturing Facility (from September 2003); and Stanford Place II (from October 2003).

⁽³⁾ Includes properties listed in footnotes 1 and 2 above and 100 Technology Center Drive (from February 2004), Siemens Building (from April 2004), Carrier Center (from May 2004), Savvis Data Center (from May 2004), Comverse Technologies Building (from June 2004), Webb at LBJ (from August 2004), AboveNet Data Center (from September 2004), eBay Data Center (from October 2004), 200 Paul Avenue (from November 2004), 1100 Space Park Drive (from November 2004) and Burbank Data Center (from December 2004).

⁽⁴⁾ Includes the properties listed in footnotes 1, 2 and 3 above, 833 Chestnut Street (from March 2005) and MAPP Building (from March 2005).

⁽⁵⁾ Does not include retained tenants that have relocated to new space or expanded into new space. Renewals start the day the tenant occupies the building.

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes all tenant improvement and leasing commissions are paid in the calendar year in which the lease commences, which may be different than the year in which they were actually paid.

⁽⁷⁾ Includes retained tenants that have relocated or expanded into new space within our portfolio.

targets, we anticipate spending an additional \$12.8 million during the remainder of 2005 for tenant improvements and leasing commissions not under contract to build out or reposition existing space.

73

Historical Capital Expenditures

The following table sets forth certain information regarding historical recurring capital expenditures (excluding tenant improvements and leasing commissions) at the properties in our portfolio through March 31, 2005 from the date they were acquired by us or GI Partners:

		Year Ended December 31,						ree Months Ended	Annual Weight Average 2002		
	2002 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾		2003 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾		2004(2)(4)		March 31, 2005 ⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾		March 31, 2005		
Recurring capital expenditures		08,758		88,636		747,234	\$	290,197			
Total square feet at period end	1,1	45,182	2,7	92,266	5,6	552,700		6,303,226			
Recurring capital expenditures per square											
foot	\$	0.18	\$	0.14	\$	0.13	\$	0.05	\$	0.15	

⁽¹⁾ Includes the following properties acquired in 2002: 36 Northeast Second Street (from January 2002); Univision Tower (from January 2002); Camperdown House (from July 2002); Hudson Corporate Center (from November 2002); and NTT/Verio Premier Data Center (from December 2002).

For the years ended December 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004 and the three months ended March 31, 2005, nonrecurring capital expenditures for our properties were \$0.4 million, \$0.8 million, \$2.2 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. Nonrecurring capital expenditures are discretionary and vary substantially from period to period, based on management s view as to whether incurring such expenses is merited by future income generation. Although we have no contractual commitments for the remainder of 2005, we expect nonrecurring capital expenditures at our properties will be approximately \$2.2 million in 2005 due to the growth of our portfolio. We may spend additional amounts related to the build-out of unimproved space for colocation use, depending on tenant-demand; however, currently we are not committed to do so.

Description of Our Portfolio

Through our operating partnership, we own 33 properties and are under contract to acquire three additional properties. These properties are located throughout the U.S. and one property is in London, England. The properties contain a total of approximately 7.8 million net rentable square feet excluding space held for redevelopment. We describe our properties, including the acquisition properties, below. We present additional data for each property that comprised 10% or more of our total consolidated assets as of March 31, 2005 or that had gross revenues that amounted to 10% or more of our consolidated gross revenues as of March 31, 2005.

Internet Gateways. The following nine properties serve as hubs for Internet and data communications within and between major metropolitan areas.

⁽²⁾ Recurring capital expenditures for properties acquired during the period are annualized.

⁽³⁾ Includes the properties listed in footnote 1 above, and the following additional properties acquired in 2003: VarTec Building (from January 2003), Ardenwood Corporate Park (from January 2003); ASM Lithography Facility (from May 2003); AT&T Web Hosting Facility (from June 2003); Brea Data Center (from August 2003); Granite Tower (from September 2003); Maxtor Manufacturing Facility (from September 2003); and Stanford Place II (from October 2003).

⁽⁴⁾ Includes properties listed in footnotes 1 and 3 above and the following properties acquired in 2004: 100 Technology Center Drive (from February 2004), Siemens Building (from April 2004), Carrier Center (from May 2004), Savvis Data Center 1 (from May 2004), Comverse Technology Building (from June 2004), Webb at LBJ (from August 2004) AboveNet Data Center (from September 2004), eBay Data Center (from October 2004), 200 Paul Avenue (from November 2004), 1100 Space Park Drive (from November 2004) and Burbank Data Center (from December 2004).

⁽⁵⁾ Includes the properties listed in footnotes 1, 3 and 4 above, and the following properties acquired in 2005: 833 Chestnut Street (from March 2005) and MAPP Building (from March 2005).

Lakeside Technology Center, Chicago, Illinois

Lakeside Technology Center is an eight-story telecommunications carrier facility and data center located in the south loop area of the Chicago central business district. The building contains 805,150 rentable square feet, excluding space held for redevelopment, and is on the historic register. This noted Chicago landmark was

74

completely renovated in 1999-2000 to create a world-class data center and Internet gateway facility. The property is a premier Internet gateway facility in the Midwestern region of the country, and it houses numerous carriers, including AT&T, Deutsche Telekom, Qwest Communications International, XO Communications, 360 Networks, Verio, and MCI. Additionally, the property provides mission critical data center space for several large enterprise tenants, including Computer Sciences Corporation and Fidelity Information Services. Most of these tenants have invested significant amounts of their own capital into improving their spaces within the building.

The aggregation of service providers in this building creates a cost-effective operating environment for cross connections and passing traffic (voice, data and Internet) between networks without costly local access charges. Both long-haul, backbone networks and local/regional metropolitan area networks operate in the building, and several have a point-of-presence in the building-managed colocation facility. The abundance of bandwidth and telecom carriers operating in the building also attracts large-scale data center users requiring cost-efficient bandwidth solutions from multiple providers.

The facility offers tenants superior electrical and mechanical systems infrastructure, including abundant electrical power and UPS/backup power generation, telecommunications quality HVAC capacity and distribution, ample telecommunications and electrical riser and conduit capacity, and multiple telecommunications networks that provide service to, and interconnect within, the building. Because it was initially constructed as an RR Donnelley book publishing and printing plant, the building also features heavy floor loading capacity which is well suited to data center users. Cooling capacity is provided via an adjacent chilled water plant operated by Trigen.

Lakeside Technology Center was originally completed in phases between 1912 and 1929, and periodically refurbished thereafter. The property served as the headquarters and primary book publishing and printing facility for RR Donnelley until 1997. The large floorplates, heavy floor loading, and concrete frame engineering made it ideally suited to conversion to a telecommunications and data center facility. This conversion took place in 1999 and 2000. The building contains approximately 290,000 square feet of space held for redevelopment.

We acquired a fee simple interest in this property from an unrelated party in May 2005. We are obligated to pay the seller a contingent fee of up to \$20 million in the event a new real estate tax classification for the property is obtained prior to December 31, 2006. We have also agreed with the seller to share a portion, not to exceed \$135,000 per month, of rental revenue, adjusted for our costs to lease the premises, from the lease of the 290,000 square feet of space held for redevelopment. This revenue sharing agreement will terminate in May 2013.

The property is currently 94.2% leased, excluding 290,000 square feet held for redevelopment, to 13 tenants, primarily as telecommunications or data center/colocation facilities. The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of the property as of March 31, 2005:

	Principal									
	Nature of	Lease	Renewal	Total Leased	Percentage of Property Square	Annualized	of Property I		Annualized Rent Per Leased	
Tenant	Business	Expiration	Options	Square Feet ⁽¹⁾	Feet	Rent	Rent	t Square Foo		
								_		
Qwest Communications										
International, Inc.	Telecommunications			266,758	33.1%	\$ 7,757,778	40.2%	\$	29.08	
		Jun. 2015	2 x 5 yrs	142,346	17.7	3,417,805	17.7		24.01	
		Jun. 2015	2 x 5 yrs	74,412	9.2	3,120,629	16.2		41.94	
		Jun. 2015	2 x 5 yrs	50,000	6.2	1,219,344	6.3		24.39	
Equinix, Inc.	Data Centers	Mar. 2015	1 x 5 yrs	143,630	17.8	3,591,330	18.6		25.00	

Verio, Inc.	Telecommunications	Aug. 2015	2 x 5 yrs	107,299	13.3	2,536,548	13.1	23.64
Total/Weighted Average				517,687	64.2%	\$ 13,885,656	71.9%	\$ 26.82

⁽¹⁾ Excludes 290,000 square feet of space held for redevelopment.

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at the property as of March 31, 2005 plus available space for each of the ten full or partial calendar years beginning April 1, 2005, assuming that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination options. As of March 31, 2005, the weighted average lease term remaining in the building was 9.5 years.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Rent	Percentage of Property Annualized Rent	Annualized Rent Per Leased Square Foot		Annualize Rent Per Leased Squ Foot at Expiratio	r iare
Available		46,788	5.8%	\$		% \$		\$	
2005		,							
2006									
2007									
2008									
2009									
2010	3	76,865	9.6	1,716,950	8.9		22.34	24.3	54
2011									
2012									
2013									
2014	2	104,072	12.9	1,876,415	9.7		18.03	22.2	28
Thereafter	12	577,425	71.7	15,697,601	81.4		27.19	36.5	1
Total/Weighted Average	17	805,150	100.0%	\$ 19,290,966	100.0%	6 \$	25.44	\$ 33.3	2

⁽¹⁾ Excludes 290,000 square feet of space held for redevelopment.

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective rent per leased square foot for Lakeside Technology Center as of the indicated date.

Date	Percent Leased	Annualized Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Annualized Net Effective Rent Per Leased Square Foot
March 31, 2005 ⁽¹⁾	94.2%(2)	\$25.44	\$29.70

⁽¹⁾ Because we did not own this property prior to May 2005, we are unable to present information for years prior to 2005.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop Lakeside Technology Center.

Lakeside Technology Center is subject to a \$100.0 million mortgage loan described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

⁽²⁾ Excludes 290,000 square feet of space held for redevelopment.

The current real estate tax rate for Lakeside Technology Center is \$64 per \$1,000 of equalized assessed value. The total annual tax for the Lakeside property at this rate for the 2005 tax year is \$10,384,429 (at a taxable equalized assessed value of \$161,424,325). There were no direct assessments imposed on the Lakeside property by the City of Chicago for the 2004 tax year. The seller of Lakeside Technology Center is seeking to obtain a new real estate tax qualification which will reduce the assessment rate by up to 58% in exchange for a payment by us of up to \$20.0 million.

200 Paul Avenue, San Francisco, California

200 Paul Avenue contains of 532,238 square feet of net rentable space in four buildings on a 7.35-acre site located approximately four miles south of downtown San Francisco. Two interconnected buildings totaling 405,254 square feet are dedicated to telecommunications network and data center use. Over 40 telecommunications carriers plus other hosting and Internet company providers are located at 200 Paul Avenue,

76

including SBC Communications, Verizon, Qwest Communications International, Level 3 Communications, MCI, Cingular, AboveNet, Time Warner Telecom, Global Crossing, XO Communications and WilTel Communications. Most of these tenants and licensees have invested significant amounts of their own capital to improve their spaces for telecommunications use.

This aggregation of service providers at 200 Paul Avenue creates a cost-effective operating environment for cross connections and passing traffic (voice, data and Internet) between networks without costly local access charges. Both long-haul, backbone networks and local/regional metropolitan area networks operate in these buildings, all of whom have a point-of-presence in the building-managed colocation facility.

The facility offers tenants superior electrical and mechanical systems infrastructure, including abundant electrical power and UPS/backup power generation, carrier-quality HVAC capacity and distribution, ample telecommunications and electrical riser and conduit capacity, and numerous telecommunications networks that provide service to, and interconnect within, the buildings. Both buildings comply with or exceed the city s seismic criteria in effect at the time of the 1999 renovation. The annex building exceeds the seismic design criteria in effect at the time of the 2001 construction, an important attribute for mission-critical facilities in this part of the country.

The main 200 Paul Avenue building was constructed in phases starting in 1955 and completed in 1962. The large floor plates, high ceilings and robust concrete frame structure are ideal for telecommunications and data center use. This building was substantially re-developed in 1999 as a multi-tenant facility to house numerous carrier networks, its own colocation operations, web hosting and data center operations. The annex building was completed in 2001.

We acquired a fee simple interest in this property in connection with our initial public offering from San Francisco Wave eXchange, LLC, an unrelated third party.

As of March 31, 2005, 200 Paul Avenue was approximately 83.4% leased to 17 tenants, primarily in the telecommunications network business. The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of 200 Paul Avenue as of March 31, 2005:

	Principal								
	Nature of	Lease	Renewal	Total Leased	Percentage of Property Square	Annualized	Percentage of Property Annualized	Annualiz Rent Pe Leased	er
Tenant	Business	Expiration	Options	Square Feet	Feet	Rent	Rent	Square F	'oot
0 10 11	TP 1		2 5						
Qwest Communications	Telecommunications	Aug. 2015	2 x 5 yrs	89,827	16.8%	\$ 3,903,506	35.8%	\$ 43	3.46
International, Inc.		Aug. 2015	2 x 5 yrs	65,622	12.3	2,986,143	27.4	45	5.51
				24,205	4.5	917,363	8.4	37	7.90
XO Communications, Inc.	Telecommunications	Feb. 2015	2 x 5 yrs	64,907	12.2	1,852,634	17.0	28	3.54
RCN Telecom Services of Ca.,			•						
Inc.	Telecommunications	Aug. 2014	3 x 5 yrs	57,121	10.7	1,327,510	12.2	23	3.24
Williams Communications	Telecommunications	Jun. 2009	3 x 5 yrs	84,690	15.9	1,135,430	10.4	13	3.41
Total/Weighted Average				296,545	55.6%	\$ 8,219,080	75.4%	\$ 27	7.72

77

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at 200 Paul Avenue as of March 31, 2005 plus available space, for each of the ten full and partial calendar years beginning April 1, 2005, assuming that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination options. As of March 31, 2005, the weighted average remaining lease term for this building was 7.4 years.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Rent	Percentage of Property Annualized Rent	Annualized Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Annualized Rent Per Leased Square d Foot at Expiration
Available		88,213	16.6%				
2005	1	10	0.0	\$ 29,504	0.3%	\$ 2,950.36	\$ 3,038.87
2006	1	10	0.0	51,564	0.5	5,156.40	5,803.57
2007	1	857	0.2	25,710	0.2	30.00	31.83
2008	3	70,653	13.3	512,607	4.7	7.26	7.81
2009	5	132,730	24.9	2,349,820	21.5	17.70	19.79
2010	1	10	0.0	32,782	0.3	3,278.18	3,914.32
2011			0.0				
2012			0.0				
2013			0.0				
2014	1	57,121	10.7	1,327,510	12.2	23.24	30.48
Thereafter	5	182,634	34.3	6,567,804	60.3	35.96	48.92
Total/Weighted Average	18	532,238	100.0%	\$ 10,897,301	100.0%	\$ 24.54	\$ 31.55

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective rent per leased square foot for 200 Paul Avenue as of the indicated date:

Date	Percent Leased	Annualized Rent Per Leased Percent Leased Square Foot			
				-1-	are Foot
March 31, 2005	83.4%	\$	24.54	\$	27.94
December 31, 2004 ⁽¹⁾	83.1		24.55		28.07

⁽¹⁾ Because we did not own this property prior to November 2004, we are unable to present information for years prior to 2004.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop 200 Paul Avenue.

200 Paul Avenue is subject to a \$46.3 million mortgage loan described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

The current real estate tax rate for 200 Paul Avenue is \$11.07 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for 200 Paul Avenue at this rate for the 2005 tax year is \$284,823 (at a taxable assessed value of \$25,723,555). There were no direct assessments imposed on 200 Paul Avenue by the City and County of San Francisco for the 2004 tax year.

Univision Tower, Dallas, Texas

Univision Tower is a 26-story, 477,107 square foot telecommunications carrier facility and office building located in downtown Dallas, Texas. Based on data from PriMetrica, Inc., we believe that the Dallas central business district, where this property is located, contains one of the largest aggregations of telecommunications carriers in the Southwest. The building is an important network site, located near both the SBC local central office and the regional AT&T long distance switch facility. Over 35 networks along with other colocation and web hosting providers, and over 70 service providers in total, are located in the building, including Verizon, AT&T, SBC Communications, XO Communications, MCI, Telefonica, Owest Communications International,

78

Level 3 Communications and Time Warner Communications. Most of these tenants have invested significant amounts of their own capital to improve their spaces for telecommunications use.

The aggregation of service providers in this building creates a cost-effective operating environment for cross connections and passing traffic (voice, data and Internet) between networks without costly local access charges. Both long-haul, backbone networks and local/regional metro area networks operate in the building, several of which have a point-of-presence in the building-managed colocation facility. In addition, the building contains the regional headquarters and production studios for Univision, the largest Spanish language television broadcaster in the United States.

The facility offers tenants superior electrical and mechanical systems infrastructure, including abundant electrical power and UPS/backup power generation, telecommunications quality HVAC capacity and distribution, ample telecommunications and electrical riser and conduit capacity, and multiple telecommunications networks that provide service to, and interconnect within, the building. The building structure has been enhanced to accommodate heavier floor loads and power availability and distribution for the telecommunications/colocation tenants. Electric power is provided by two independent grids operated by TXU Energy, which ensures a high degree of availability to the building. This level of electrical service is a significant benefit for our telecommunications tenants, all of whom are heavy power consumers.

Univision Tower was acquired by GI Partners in January 2002. We became the fee simple owner of this property upon consummation of our initial public offering.

As of March 31, 2005, Univision Tower was approximately 79.9% leased to 53 tenants. Approximately 72.9% of the property is leased to telecommunications service providers and to Univision. The balance of the tenancy represents typical office users ranging in size from a few thousand square feet up to a full floor (20,000 square feet). No single tenant occupies more than 7.5% of the square footage. The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of the Univision Tower property as of March 31, 2005:

	Principal								
	Nature of	Lease	Renewal	Total Leased	Percentage of Property Square	Annualized	Percentage of Property Annualized	Re	nualized ent Per æased
Tenant	Business	Expiration	Options	Square Feet	Feet	Rent	Rent	Squ	are Foot
Qwest Communications									
International, Inc.	Telecommunications			23,785	5.0%	\$ 717,007	8.8%	\$	30.15
		Apr. 2008	2 x 5 yrs	20,135	4.2	635,595	7.8		31.57
		Apr. 2008	None	3,650	0.8	81,412	1.0		22.30
LayerOne, Inc.	Telecommunications	Nov. 2015	3 x 5 yrs	16,557	3.5	656,396	8.1		39.64
Univision Television Group	Media/			35,917	7.5	640,323	7.9		17.83
-	Telecommunications	Apr. 2017	2 x 5 yrs	20,254	4.2	357,975	4.4		17.67
		Apr. 2017	None	15,663	3.3	282,348	3.5		18.03
Global Crossing	Telecommunications	_		33,467	7.0	560,659	6.9		16.75
		Feb. 2007	None	19,613	4.1	349,764	4.3		17.83
		Feb. 2007	1 x 5 yrs	11,904	2.5	181,276	2.2		15.23
		Feb. 2007	1 x 5 yrs	1,950	0.4	29,619	0.4		15.19
Total/Weighted Average				109,726	23.0%	\$ 2,574,385	31.7%	\$	23.46

79

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at Univision Tower as of March 31, 2005, plus available space, for each of the ten full and partial calendar years beginning April 1, 2005, assuming that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination options. As of March 31, 2005, the weighted average remaining lease term for this building was 5.0 years.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Rent	Percentage of Property Annualized Rent	Rent	Annualized ent Per Leased Square Foot		nualized ent Per ed Square 'oot at piration
Available		95,869	20.1%						
2005	3	20,111	4.2	\$ 273,811	3.4%	\$	13.62	\$	13.75
2006	8	17,629	3.7	383,545	4.7		21.76		21.79
2007	15	100,920	21.2	1,771,251	21.8		17.55		18.82
2008	13	63,617	13.3	1,694,459	20.9		26.64		27.33
2009	9	41,304	8.7	941,843	11.6		22.80		27.21
2010	4	5,268	1.1	206,988	2.5		39.29		44.48
2011	4	4,971	1.0	138,421	1.7		27.85		50.55
2012	1	19,780	4.1	364,990	4.5		18.45		18.45
2013	2	30,114	6.3	381,830	4.7		12.68		16.71
2014	4	25,050	5.3	666,409	8.2		26.60		26.60
Thereafter	6	52,474	11.0	1,296,719	16.0		24.71		27.78
Total/Weighted Average	69	477,107	100.0%	\$ 8,120,266	100.0%	\$	21.30	\$	23.35

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective rent per leased square foot for the Univision Tower property as of the indicated dates:

			alized Rent Leased	Ef	alized Net fective Rent Leased
Date	Percent Leased	Square Foot		Square Foot	
					
March 31, 2005	79.9%	\$	21.30	\$	19.49
December 31, 2004	80.5		20.60		19.29
December 31, 2003	84.1		20.41		20.47
December 31, 2002 ⁽¹⁾	82.2		19.86		21.03

⁽¹⁾ Because neither we nor GI Partners owned this property prior to 2002, we are unable to present information for years prior to 2002.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop Univision Tower.

Univision Tower is subject to a \$57.8 million mortgage loan described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

The current real estate tax rate for the Univision Tower property is \$29.76 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for the Univision Tower property at this rate for the 2005 tax year is \$1,637,023 (at a taxable assessed value of \$55,012,340). There were no direct assessments imposed on the Univision Tower property by the City of Dallas for the 2004 tax year.

Carrier Center, Los Angeles, California

Carrier Center is a seven-story, 450,021 square foot telecommunications network carrier and data center facility located in downtown Los Angeles, California. Based on data from PriMetrica, Inc., we believe that the central business district of Los Angeles, where Carrier Center is located, contains one of the largest aggregations of telecommunications carriers on the West Coast. Over 25 carriers, colocation and web hosting providers are located in the building, including AT&T, SBC Communications, XO Communications, Deutsche Telekom, Qwest Communications International, Level 3 Communications, and Equinix and its colocation customers. Most

80

of these tenants have invested significant amounts of their own capital to improve their spaces for telecommunications use.

This aggregation of service providers in this building creates a cost-effective operating environment for cross connections and passing traffic (voice, data and Internet) between networks without costly local access charges. Both long haul, backbone networks and local/regional metropolitan area networks operate in the building, several of which have a point-of-presence in the building-managed colocation facility.

The facility offers tenants superior electrical and mechanical systems infrastructure, including abundant electrical power and UPS/backup power generation, telecommunications quality HVAC capacity and distribution, ample telecommunications and electrical riser and conduit capacity, and multiple telecommunications networks that provide service to, and interconnect within, the building. The building has high seismic integrity, an important attribute for mission-critical facilities in California. Electrical power is provided by the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, or DWP, a significant benefit for our tenants, all of whom are heavy power consumers. DWP electricity rates have historically been lower than the regional utility and its track record for power availability is superior. DWP did not suffer the brown-outs of the recent past and potential tenants at the property consider DWP to be a significant factor in their site selection process.

Carrier Center was originally completed in 1922, with periodic refurbishments thereafter. Its large floor plates and robust concrete frame engineering made the structure ideal for adaptation as a data center. Carrier Center was substantially re-developed in 1999 by 360 Networks as a multi-tenant facility to house its own operations along with numerous other network colocation, web hosting and data center operations.

GI Partners acquired the property in May 2004. We became the fee simple owner upon exercise of our option to acquire this property in November 2004.

As of March 31, 2005, the Carrier Center property was approximately 79.7% leased to 22 tenants, primarily in the telecommunications network and colocation businesses. The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of Carrier Center as of March 31, 2005:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Rent	Percentage of Property Annualized Rent	R	nualized ent Per Leased are Foot
Equinix, Inc.	Data centers	Oct. 2015	2 x 5 yrs	129,274	28.7 %	\$ 2,342,450	30.4 %	\$	18.12
Qwest Communications			•						
International, Inc.	Telecommunications			68,350	15.2	1,647,665	21.3		24.11
		Jan. 2020	2 x 5 yrs	68,000	15.1	1,482,215	19.2		21.80
		Jul. 2005	2 x 5 yrs	350(1)	0.1	165,450	2.1		472.71
360 Networks (USA), Inc. ⁽¹⁾	Web hosting and								
	colocation			68,120	15.1	1,297,775	16.9		19.05
		Oct. 2007	4 x 5 yrs	68,000	15.1	1,230,938	16.0		18.10
		Oct. 2007	4 x 5 yrs	120(1)	0.0	66,837	0.9		556.97
			·						
Total/Weighted Average				265,744	59.0 %	\$ 5,287,890	68.6 %	\$	19.90

(1) In June 2005 we restructured 360 Networks leases in Carrier Center and Lakeside Technology Center. In connection with this restructuring, these leases were terminated, 360 Networks entered into a new lease for colocation space at this property, 360 Networks paid us a negotiated termination fee and we entered into a direct lease for 5,000 net rentable square feet with T-Systems North America, a division of Deutsche Telekom.

81

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at the Carrier Center property as of March 31, 2005, plus available space, for each of the ten full and partial calendar years beginning April 1, 2005, assuming that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination options. As of March 31, 2005, the weighted average remaining lease term for this building was 9.3 years.

	Number of Leases	Square Footage of	Percentage of Property	Annualized	Percentage of Property Annualized	Per	Leased	Ro Leaso F	nualized ent Per ed Square Foot at
Year of Lease Expiration	Expiring	Expiring Leases	Square Feet	Rent	Rent	Squ	are Foot	EX	piration
Available		91,399	20.3%						
2005	3	423	0.1	\$ 193,389	2.5%	\$	457.18	\$	561.34
2006	2	120		63,716	0.8		530.97		737.59
2007	4	73,388	16.3	1,416,635	18.4		19.30		21.24
2008	1	80		171,749	2.2		2,146.86		2,447.67
2009	2	1,284	0.3	32,400	0.4		25.23		28.41
2010	4	17,110	3.8	551,398	7.2		32.23		41.50
2011	1	4,750	1.1	52,058	0.7		10.96		13.83
2012									
2013	1	6,552	1.5	121,941	1.6		18.61		16.45
2014	5	10,356	2.3	188,871	2.4		18.24		30.47
Thereafter	6	244,559	54.3	4,910,810	63.8		20.08		29.36
Total/Weighted Average	29	450,021	100.0%	\$ 7,702,967	100.0%	\$	21.48	\$	29.27

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective rent per leased square foot for Carrier Center as of the indicated date:

			dized Rent Leased	Annualized Net Effective Rent Per Leased Square Foot		
Date	Percent Leased	Squa	are Foot			
						
March 31, 2005	79.7%	\$	21.48	\$	24.60	
December 31, 2004 ⁽¹⁾	81.7		21.01		24.63	

⁽¹⁾ Because neither we nor GI Partners owned this property prior to 2004, we are unable to present information for years prior to 2004.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop Carrier Center.

Carrier Center is subject to a \$25.9 million mortgage loan described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

The current real estate tax rate for the Carrier Center property is \$19.62 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for the Carrier Center property at this rate for the 2005 tax year is \$529,699 (at a taxable assessed value of \$27,000,000). There were no direct assessments imposed on the Carrier Center property by the City of Los Angeles for the 2004 tax year.

Camperdown House, London, United Kingdom

Camperdown House is a six-story building located in the insurance district of the City of London (Central London), containing a total of 63,233 square feet of net rentable space. In addition to its history as the center of the financial industry in the United Kingdom and Europe, the area where this building is located is a major aggregation point for telecommunications networks. The building is an important network colocation and switching facility for Level 3 Communications, where it interconnects its trans-Atlantic and European networks and distributes traffic to other carriers. Approximately 46,233 square feet is improved telecommunications/data center space featuring enhanced and redundant electrical capacity and backup power generation, specialized

82

HVAC systems, customized fire suppression equipment and raised data center flooring to accommodate cabling and air flow distribution. The remaining 17,000 square feet is used as office space for network engineers and administrative staff. The property was originally constructed in 1983 as an office building and was substantially re-developed by Level 3 Communications in the late 1990 s. GI Partners acquired Camperdown House in July 2002. We became the fee simple owner of this property upon consummation of our public offering.

As of March 31, 2005, Camperdown House was 100.0% leased to Leslie & Godwin, a United Kingdom subsidiary of AON Corporation. The lease expires in December 2009 and has one five-year renewal option. Leslie & Godwin is no longer in occupation but still has an obligation to pay rent for the term of the lease. Leslie & Godwin has subleased its entire space to Level 3 Communications. Level 3 Communications is obligated, subject to a payment which we can waive, to take a further lease of this property for a term expiring in 2014, subject to one five-year extension option.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop Camperdown House.

Camperdown House is subject to a £13.8 million mortgage loan described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

Camperdown House is currently owned by Asbury Park Holdings Limited (U.K.), a Jersey company. A transfer of Camperdown House by Asbury Park Holdings Limited could result in a substantial tax in the United Kingdom. In order to avoid this tax, our operating partnership indirectly acquired 100% of the interests in Asbury Park Holdings Limited in connection with our initial public offering, and Camperdown House continues to be owned by Asbury Park Holdings Limited. Asbury Park Holdings Limited is presently classified as a corporation for United States federal income tax purposes, and the requirements for qualification as a REIT limit our ability to own stock of a corporation, subject to certain exceptions. One such exception relates to stock of a taxable REIT subsidiary. As a result, we and Asbury Park Holdings Limited made an election for Asbury Park Holdings Limited to be treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary.

1100 Space Park Drive, Santa Clara, California (Silicon Valley metropolitan area)

The 1100 Space Park Drive property consists of 167,951 square feet of net rentable space in one three-story building on a 3.34-acre site strategically located near many of Santa Clara s telecommunications network gateways, including SBC s main central office, WilTel Communications, Qwest Communications International and Equinix. Built in 2001, the 1100 Space Park Drive structure consists of a cast-in-place concrete frame with concrete exterior walls. The building was specifically built to house telecommunications networks and data center operations and is therefore designed with many pre-installed features to allow tenants to install their improvements quickly and economically. We estimate that one tenant, Tyco Telecommunications, invested over \$20 million in improvements to its premises.

The Santa Clara location is attractive because it is in the heart of the Silicon Valley technology and telecommunications markets and because users benefit by using Silicon Valley Power for electrical service. Similar to DWP in Los Angeles, Silicon Valley Power is generally less expensive and more reliable than the regional utility. Silicon Valley Power is the local, municipal utility for the City of Santa Clara and has two separate substations and a major power generation plant situated within one-quarter mile of 1100 Space Park Drive.

There are nine telecommunications carriers located at 1100 Space Park Drive, including SBC Communications, Verizon, Qwest Communications International, Tyco Telecommunications, and AT&T and another seven carriers have extensive fiber networks within two blocks of the property.

83

This aggregation of service providers at 1100 Space Park Drive creates a cost effective operating environment for cross connections and passing traffic (voice, data and Internet) between networks without costly local access charges. Both long-haul, backbone networks and local/regional metropolitan area networks operate in 1100 Space Park Drive, all of whom have a point-of-presence in the building-managed colocation facility.

The facility offers tenants superior electrical and mechanical systems infrastructure, including abundant electrical power and UPS/backup power generation, carrier-quality HVAC capacity and distribution, ample telecommunications and electrical riser and conduit capacity, and numerous telecommunications networks that provide service to, and interconnect within, the building. The building exceeds the seismic design criteria in effect at the time of the 2001 construction, an important attribute for mission-critical facilities in California.

We acquired this property upon consummation of our initial public offering from Santa Clara Wave eXchange, LLC, an unrelated third party.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop 1100 Space Park Drive.

1100 Space Park Drive is not subject to any debt. However, our ability to incur debt secured by 1100 Space Park Drive is limited by the terms of our unsecured credit facility, as described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

36 Northeast Second Street, Miami, Florida

36 Northeast Second Street, a 162,140 square foot telecommunications network facility located in the Miami central business district, is one of the most significant telecommunications properties in the region. This central business district location is the main aggregation point of various telecommunications carriers in South Florida and is the gateway to Central and South American networks. The seven-story, poured in place concrete building was originally constructed in 1927 as the central office for the predecessor to BellSouth and was completely re-developed in 1999 to meet the high engineering standards required for telecommunications and data center operations. The building shell is engineered to withstand severe weather conditions and provides a secure environment for critical telecommunications network operations. The facility offers tenants superior electrical and mechanical systems infrastructure including abundant electrical power and full uninterruptible power supply, or UPS/backup power generation, telecommunications quality HVAC capacity and distribution, ample telecommunications and electrical riser and conduit capacity, and multiple telecommunications networks that provide service to, and interconnect within, the building. In the aggregate, including tenants, customers of tenants, and services providers, over 60 carriers and ISPs are located in the building, including over 25 carrier networks such as AT&T, Verizon, Qwest Communications International, BellSouth, Telefonica, Savvis Communications and Level 3 Communications. Most of our tenants invest significant amounts of their own capital to improve their spaces for telecommunications use.

This aggregation of carriers in our building creates a cost-effective operating environment for cross connections and passing traffic (voice, data and Internet) between networks without costly local access charges. This property is strategically located for many service providers who either lease space directly from the building or are customers of our tenants. GI Partners acquired this facility in January 2002. We became the fee simple owner of 36 Northeast Second Street upon consummation of our initial public offering.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop 36 Northeast Second Street.

36 Northeast Second Street is one of the properties that secure the \$154.3 million mortgage loan described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and

84

Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

The current real estate tax rate for 36 Northeast Second Street is \$29.46 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for 36 Northeast Second Street at this rate for the 2005 tax year is \$292,898 (at a taxable assessed value of \$10,159,583). There were no direct assessments imposed on 36 Northeast Second Street by the City of Miami for the 2004 tax year.

Charlotte Internet Gateway Properties, Charlotte, North Carolina

The Charlotte Internet Gateway properties consist of two four-story and one three-story buildings located in Charlotte, North Carolina. The three buildings contain an aggregate of 95,490 net rentable square feet and were originally constructed between 1999 and 2001.

The buildings are strategically located near downtown Charlotte and are served by several major telecommunications networks, which make the Charlotte Internet Gateway properties three of the primary telecommunications facilities and data centers in their market. The amenities and locations of these buildings makes them attractive to local telecommunications companies, data center users and disaster recovery operations.

On June 15, 2005, we entered into a purchase and sale agreement to acquire a fee simple interest in the Charlotte Internet Gateway properties from an unrelated third party for approximately \$17.3 million. The acquisition of this property is subject to numerous closing conditions, including the satisfactory conclusion of our due diligence review during an inspection period ending on July 15, 2005. We expect to complete the acquisition of this property in the third quarter of 2005. During the inspection period, we have the right to terminate the purchase agreement for any reason or for no reason.

We have paid deposits on this property totaling \$250,000. These deposits are refundable up to the end of the inspection period pursuant to the terms of the purchase agreement. If we elect to terminate the purchase agreement after the end of the inspection period but prior to closing, the seller will be entitled to retain the deposits (except under circumstances set forth in the purchase agreement). If we do not elect to terminate the purchase agreement on or before July 15, 2005, the deposits will be credited toward the purchase price.

The buildings are 73.3% leased to five tenants. The primary tenants are Qwest Communications International and Verizon Global Network. Qwest Communications International leases 44,685 square feet under two leases that expire in 2019 and 2020. Verizon Global Network currently leases 14,690 square feet under a lease that expires in 2023. However, in October 2009 or earlier, subject to the terms of the lease, Verizon Global Network s rentable area will be reduced to 12,328 square feet.

Other than making normal recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop the Charlotte Internet Gateway properties.

Upon the completion of this acquisition, the Charlotte Internet Gateway properties will be subject to a \$6.1 million mortgage loan. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Outstanding Consolidated Indebtedness.

Burbank Data Center, Burbank, California

Burbank Data Center is an 82,911 square foot, two-story data center and telecommunications property located in Burbank, California. The property was built in 1991 and features 26,000 square feet of raised floor space. The property offers superior electrical and mechanical systems infrastructure, including abundant electrical power and UPS/backup power generation, telecommunications quality HVAC capacity and distribution, ample telecommunications and electrical riser and conduit capacity, and multiple

85

telecommunications networks that provide service to, and interconnect within, the building. In December 2004, we acquired a fee simple interest in this property from an unrelated third party.

The property is 100% leased to Qwest Communications International through January 2011. There are two five-year renewal options. The facility serves as one of only eight Qwest CyberCenter facilities in North America handling voice, data, wireless and web hosting as part of Qwest s national fiber optic network. We estimate that Qwest Communications International has invested approximately \$22 million to improve the property into a telecommunications/data center facility. The property is well located in the desirable Burbank, California market and benefits from access to a large number of telecommunications service providers in the immediate area.

Other than making normal recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop Burbank Data Center.

Burbank Data Center is not subject to any debt. However our ability to incur debt secured by the Burbank Data Center is limited by the terms of our unsecured credit facility, as described in Management s Discussion and Analysis Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

Data Centers. The following sixteen properties provide a secure, continuously available environment for the storage and processing of critical electronic information. Data centers are used for disaster recovery purposes, transaction processing and to house the operations of information technology, or IT, service companies.

833 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

833 Chestnut Street is a 15-story re-developed historic building with 547,195 net rentable square feet located in downtown Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The property, originally constructed in 1927, was completely re-developed in 1998. The renovation included installing new elevators, extensive electrical and ventilation systems to accommodate data center users, and improvements to the lobbies and the exterior. We estimate the renovation cost approximately \$42.0 million. The property also includes web hosting and corporate data backup operations that lease over 57,000 square feet of space that includes substantial data center improvements. In March 2005, we acquired a fee simple interest in this property from an unrelated third party.

The property is served by several major telecommunications networks, making it an attractive location for potential data center users. In addition, it has direct access to major mass transit routes and a wide variety of retail amenities that appeal to potential office tenants. The site is located two blocks from the Thomas Jefferson University medical center, and affiliated medical groups are major tenants in the property.

86

As of March 31, 2005, the property, excluding 107,563 square feet of space held for redevelopment, was 91.5% leased to 16 tenants. Thomas Jefferson University, Health Partners of Philadelphia, Inc., Inflow, Inc., and the United States General Services Administration are among the property s major tenants. The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of the 833 Chestnut Street property as of March 31, 2005:

	Principal Nature Of	Lease	Renewal	Total Leased Square	Percentage of Property	Annualized	Percentage of Property Annualized	Re	nualized ent Per ed Square
Tenant	Business	Expiration	Options	Feet ⁽¹⁾	Square Feet	Rent	Rent		Foot
Thomas Jefferson University Hospital ⁽²⁾	Medical			185,707	33.9%	\$ 2,594,812	36.9%	\$	13.97
•		Jul. 2008	None	38,245	7.0	504,757	7.2		13.20
		Jul. 2015	None	28,503	5.2	313,533	4.5		11.00
		Oct. 2010	None	18,568	3.4	265,766	3.8		14.31
		Dec. 2011	None	16,638	3.0	261,662	3.7		15.73
		Jul. 2008	None	15,708	2.9	248,526	3.5		15.82
		Feb. 2011	None	12,787	2.3	188,619	2.7		14.75
		Aug. 2009	None	12,132	2.2	185,610	2.6		15.30
		Jul. 2008	None	13,514	2.5	184,358	2.6		13.64
		Jun. 2012	None	7,693	1.4	112,150	1.6		14.58
		Nov. 2012	None	5,177	0.9	88,512	1.3		17.10
		Dec. 2008	None	6,437	1.2	87,465	1.2		13.59
		Nov. 2009	None	5,366	1.0	81,517	1.2		15.19
		Mar. 2008	None	2,427	0.4	32,713	0.5		13.48
		Feb. 2009	None	1,506	0.3	22,901	0.3		15.21
		Mar. 2012	None	1,006	0.2	16,723	0.2		16.62
Health Partners of Philadelphia,									
Inc.	Insurance			99,145	18.1	1,227,813	17.4		12.38
		Jan. 2006 ₍₃₎	None	55,229	10.1	676,199	9.6		12.24
		Jan. 2006 ₍₃₎	None	25,925	4.7	329,370	4.7		12.70
		Jan. 2006 ₍₃₎	None	11,351	2.1	190,739	2.7		16.80
		Jan. 2006 ₍₃₎	None	5,143	0.9	16,983	0.2		3.30
		Jan. 2006(3)	None	1,497	0.3	14,522	0.2		9.70
General Services Administration	Government			56,286	10.3	913,797	13.0		16.23
		Jul. 2013(4)	None	22,435	4.1	425,111	6.0		18.95
		Mar. 2014 ₍₄₎	None	22,902	4.2	300,883	4.3		13.14
		May. 2014 ₍₄₎	None	10,949	2.0	187,803	2.7		17.15
Inflow, Inc.	IT Services	Nov. 2010	2 x 5 yrs	40,555	7.4	718,591	10.2		17.72
Total/Weighted Average				381,693	69.7%	\$ 5,455,013	77.5%	\$	14.29

⁽¹⁾ Excludes 107,563 square feet of space held for redevelopment.

⁽²⁾ Represents leases to various affiliates of the Thomas Jefferson University Hospital and Jefferson University Physicians.

⁽³⁾ The lease provides for an expiration date of January 31, 2009 but grants Health Partners a right of early termination. On April 26, 2005, Health Partners exercised its right to terminate the lease as of January 31, 2006. Pursuant to the terms of the lease, Health Partners is obligated pay us an early termination fee, which we estimate to be approximately \$1.5 million.

⁽⁴⁾ General Services Administration has the right to terminate this lease at any time within the five years prior to its expiration.

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at 833 Chestnut Street as of March 31, 2005, plus available space, for each of the ten full and partial calendar years beginning April 1, 2005, assuming that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination options. As of March 31, 2005, the weighted average remaining lease term for this building was 6.0 years.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases (1)	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Rent	Percentage of Property Annualized Rent	Rent	nualized Per Leased uare Foot	R Leas H	nualized ent Per sed Square Foot at spiration
Available		46,657	8.5%						
2005	2	2,437	0.4	\$ 27,391	0.4%	\$	11.24	\$	11.24
2006	5	99,145	18.1	1,227,813	17.5		12.38		13.57
2007	0	0	0.0	0	0.0		0.00		0.00
2008	5	76,331	13.9	1,057,818	15.0		13.86		13.64
2009	4	22,179	4.1	333,297	4.7		15.03		15.56
2010	3	60,208	11.0	1,001,717	14.2		16.64		19.88
2011	3	43,646	8.0	646,796	9.2		14.82		16.96
2012	4	14,483	2.6	225,770	3.2		15.59		18.40
2013	3	64,256	11.7	898,092	12.8		13.98		14.98
2014	2	33,851	6.2	488,687	6.9		14.44		10.98
Thereafter	5	84,002	15.5	1,131,820	16.1		13.47		19.16
Total Weighted Average	36	547,195	100.0%	\$ 7,039,201	100.0%	\$	14.06	\$	15.80

⁽¹⁾ Excludes 107,563 square feet of space held for redevelopment.

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective rent per leased square foot for 833 Chestnut Street as of the indicated date.

				Annualized Net Effective		
			alized Rent Leased	Rent Per Leased		
Date	Percent Leased	Squ	are Foot	Square Foot		
March 31, 2005 ⁽¹⁾	91.5%(2)	\$	14.06	\$	14.52	

⁽¹⁾ Because we did not own this property prior to 2005, we are unable to present information for years prior to 2005.

Other than making normal recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate improve or redevelop 833 Chestnut Street.

833 Chestnut Street is not subject to any debt. However, our ability to incur debt secured by 833 Chestnut Street will be limited by the terms of our unsecured credit facility, as described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

⁽²⁾ Excludes 107,563 square feet of space held for redevelopment.

The current real estate tax rate for 833 Chestnut Street is \$36.97 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for 833 Chestnut Street for the 2005 tax year is \$449,562 (at a taxable assessed value of \$12,160,000. There were no direct assessments imposed on the 833 Chestnut Street property by the city of Philadelphia for the 2004 tax year.

Hudson Corporate Center, Weehawken, New Jersey (New York City metropolitan area)

Hudson Corporate Center is a three-story, 311,950 square foot data center and back office facility located directly across the Hudson River from midtown Manhattan in Weehawken, New Jersey. This location is attractive to a wide variety of users as it is easily accessed via light rail and Hudson River ferries and is adjacent to the Lincoln Tunnel. The New York City metropolitan location is the source of a large number of financial industry and other enterprise customers for our tenants and to telecommunications colocation opportunities. The

88

property was re-developed in 1989 as a back office bank operations center and was substantially improved for data center and telecommunications use in the late 1990 s. A large investment was made to improve the property by Exodus Communications, the predecessor to Savvis Communications, and by Level 3 Communications, to satisfy their data center requirements. We estimate that existing tenants have invested over \$100 million in this building and improvements. Improvements to the property include redundant power, extensive HVAC systems, backup UPS/generator power and specialized fire suppression systems. In addition, large flexible floor plates, high floor load capacity and ample floor-to-ceiling slab heights make the facility attractive to tenants such as Savvis Communications and Level 3 Communications. A number of carrier networks serve the property providing attractive colocation opportunities. Carriers include Verizon, AT&T, MCI, Level 3 Communications, and ConEd Communications, a metropolitan area fiber network.

The property was acquired by GI Partners in November 2002. We became the fee simple owner of Hudson Corporate Center upon consummation of our initial public offering.

As of March 31, 2005, Hudson Corporate Center was 87.4% leased to two tenants in the telecommunications and IT services businesses, including Savvis Communications web hosting and managed services operations and Level 3 Communications, which operates a telecommunications and colocation gateway at the facility. The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of Hudson Corporate Center as of March 31, 2005:

					Percentage of Property				
Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Square Feet	Annualized Rent	Percentage of Property Annualized Rent	R I	nualized ent Per Leased aare Foot
Savvis Communications	IT services	Sep. 2011	2 x 7 yrs	234,570	75.2%	\$ 6,098,820	89.0%	\$	26.00
Level 3 Communications	Telecommunications	Oct. 2013	1 x 5 yrs	38,017	12.2	754,579	11.0		19.85
Total/Weighted Average				272,587	87.4%	\$ 6,853,399	100.0%	\$	25.14

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at the Hudson Corporate Center property as of March 31, 2005, plus available space, for each of the ten full and partial calendar years beginning April 1, 2005, assuming that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination options. As of March 31, 2005, the weighted average remaining lease term for this building was 6.8 years.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Rent	Percentage of Property Annualized Rent	Annualized Rent Per Lease Square Foot	Annualized Rent Per Leased Square Foot at Expiration
Available		39,363	12.6%				
2005	0	0	0.0	\$ 0	0.0%	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
2006	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00	0.00
2007	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00	0.00
2008	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00	0.00
2009	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00	0.00
2010	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00	0.00
2011	1	234,570	75.2	6,098,820	89.0	26.00	26.00

Edgar Filing: Digital Realty Trust, Inc. - Form S-11/A

2012	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00	0.00
2013	1	38,017	12.2	754,579	11.0	19.85	21.85
2014	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00	0.00
Thereafter	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00	0.00
Total Weighted Average	2	311,950	100.0%	\$ 6,853,399	100.0%	\$ 25.14	\$ 25.42

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective rent per leased square foot for Hudson Corporate Center as of the indicated dates:

Date	Percent Leased	Per	alized Rent Leased are Foot	Effec Per	alized Net tive Rent Leased are Foot
March 31, 2005	87.4%	\$	25.14	\$	24.66
December 31, 2004	88.7		24.98		24.46
December 31, 2003	88.7		22.43		24.58
December 31, 2002 ⁽¹⁾	100.0		21.48		23.62

⁽¹⁾ Because neither we nor GI Partners owned this property prior to 2002, we are unable to present information for years prior to 2002.

In addition to making normally recurring capital expenditures, we plan to renovate 10,000 square feet of space held for redevelopment for data center users at a cost of approximately \$2.3 million.

Savvis Communications has a right of first offer with respect to the sale of the underlying premises. This right will not be triggered by the concurrent offerings or by a subsequent sale by us of the subsidiary that owns this property.

Hudson Corporate Center is one of the properties that secure the \$154.3 million mortgage loan described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

The current real estate tax rate for Hudson Corporate Center is \$30.58 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for the Hudson Corporate Center property at this rate for the 2005 tax year is \$1,541,232 (at a taxable assessed value of \$50,400,000). There were no direct assessments imposed on Hudson Corporate Center by the City of Weehawken and Hudson County for the 2004 tax year.

Savvis Data Center 1, Santa Clara, California (Silicon Valley metropolitan area)

Savvis Data Center 1 is a two building, 300,000 square foot data center facility located in Santa Clara, California. Built in 2000, the 2045 Lafayette and the 2055 Lafayette buildings are each 150,000 square foot, two-story steel frame structures with precast concrete exterior walls and feature 135,000 square feet of raised floor space each. The property was built specifically for Exodus, the predecessor to Savvis Communications, as a state-of-the-art data center. We estimate that Exodus invested approximately \$150 million in the electrical systems, including high capacity, redundant service and UPS/generator systems, enhanced HVAC and fire suppression systems and robust security systems. The Santa Clara location is attractive because it is in the heart of the Silicon Valley technology and telecommunications markets and because users benefit by using Silicon Valley Power for electrical service. Silicon Valley Power is the local, municipal utility for Santa Clara, with a substation on site providing high-quality power delivery that is important for data center users. Silicon Valley Power is generally less expensive and more reliable than the regional utility.

GI Partners purchased the property from the developer in May 2004. We became the fee simple owner of Savvis Data Center upon consummation of our initial public offering.

As of March 31, 2005, Savvis Data Center 1 was 100.0% leased to Savvis Communications for a term ending in September 2015, with three five-year renewal options. Savvis Communications is a leading company in the web hosting, network, and application services sector. Savvis Communications subleases a portion of the facility to Microsoft Corporation, which uses the property as its primary Bay Area data center for customer-facing infrastructure. Microsoft has the ability to expand further in the facility.

90

Savvis Data Center 1 is not subject to any debt. However, our ability to incur debt secured by the Savvis Data Center is limited by the terms of our unsecured credit facility, as described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

The current real estate tax rate for Savvis Data Center 1 is \$11.02 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for Savvis Data Center 1 at this rate for the 2005 tax year is \$409,451 (at a taxable assessed value of \$37,155,273). There were no direct assessments imposed on Savvis Data Center 1 by the City of Santa Clara for the 2004 tax year.

Webb at LBJ, Dallas, Texas

Webb at LBJ is a 365,449 square foot single-story web hosting, telecommunications and office building. The property is very well located with immediate access and high visibility from the LBJ Freeway at Webb Chapel Road. In addition, the site is located near several major telecommunications networks, including SBC Communications, MCI, AT&T, and T-Mobile. The property was originally developed as a retail center and was substantially re-developed for telecommunications and data center users in 1998-99. The major tenant at this building is SBC Communications. The T-Mobile wireless division of Deutsche Telekom, formerly Voicestream, also leases approximately 30,000 square feet in the building. Both of these companies have made extensive improvements to their spaces with robust electrical service and UPS/generator back-up, extensive HVAC systems, and specialized fire suppression and security systems. This site is one of two national SBC web-hosting and managed services facilities for corporate customers and telecommunications colocation, with the other in Irvine, California. The T-Mobile facility is one of the primary wireless service switch facilities in North Texas. In addition, approximately 83,000 square feet of the property is leased to retail tenants.

As of March 31, 2005, Webb at LBJ was approximately 89.6% leased. SBC Communications leases 141,663 square feet of the property pursuant to a lease that expires in November 2010 and is subject to two five-year renewal options.

Webb at LBJ is one of the properties that secure the \$154.3 million mortgage loan described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

AboveNet Data Center, San Jose, California (Silicon Valley metropolitan area)

AboveNet Data Center is a 187,085 square foot building centrally located in downtown San Jose, California. The building contains a major web hosting and telecommunications colocation facility for AboveNet, including over 65,000 square feet of data center and colocation facilities. The remainder of their space consists of extensively improved conference and training facilities and a network operations center. AboveNet provides its customers with web hosting, managed services and telecommunications network services. The central business district location is one of the densest aggregations of carriers on the West Coast, making the property a desirable site for colocation and hosting businesses. Carriers serving the facility include SBC Communications, MCI, AT&T, and MFN, which is AboveNet s network.

This property was re-developed in 1999 for AboveNet s data center occupancy. The facility was improved to provide superior electrical and mechanical systems infrastructure, including abundant electrical power and specialized UPS/backup power generation, telecommunications quality HVAC capacity and distribution, ample telecommunications and electrical riser and conduit capacity, and multiple telecommunications

networks that provide service to, and interconnect within, the building. Data center quality enhanced fire/life/safety systems and security systems were installed. The building structure has been enhanced to robust seismic specifications and to accommodate heavier floor loads and power availability and distribution for AboveNet and its customers. We estimate that AboveNet invested over \$30 million in the improvements.

91

The property was acquired by GI Partners in September 2004. We became the fee simple owner of AboveNet Data Center upon consummation of our initial public offering.

As of March 31, 2005, AboveNet Data Center was approximately 96.2% leased to nine tenants. AboveNet, the primary tenant of this property, leases approximately 131,721 square feet at an annualized rent of \$3,576,418, or \$27.15 per square foot. AboveNet s lease expires in November 2019 and is subject to two ten-year renewal options.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop AboveNet Data Center.

AboveNet Data Center is not subject to any debt. However, our ability to incur debt secured by AboveNet Data Center is limited by the terms of our unsecured credit facility, as described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

NTT/Verio Premier Data Center, San Jose, California (Silicon Valley metropolitan area)

NTT/Verio Premier Data Center is a two-story, 130,752 square foot, data center 100% leased to Verio, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nippon Telegraph & Telephone. The building was designed as one of Verio s two premier data centers in the United States, into which the great majority of Verio s customer operations for web hosting and colocation are being consolidated. In 2001, Verio completed significant improvements to the property, which we estimate cost over \$60 million, to create a critical use data center infrastructure containing over 90,000 square feet of raised floor space, with substantial mission-critical infrastructure such as large electrical capacity and distribution, UPS/backup generator systems, robust HVAC systems and state-of-the-art fire suppression and security systems. In addition, the structure was significantly re-developed to meet very high seismic specifications. The property also serves as an important telecommunications node connecting NTT s network to a major west coast Internet peering facility, also located in San Jose, California, a primary Internet peering and interconnection point on the West Coast. The property was acquired by GI Partners in December 2002. We became the fee simple owner of NTT/Verio Data Center upon consummation of our initial public offering.

Verio s lease expires in May 2010 and is subject to three five-year renewal options. Verio has a right of first offer with respect to the sale of the underlying premises. This right will not be triggered by the concurrent offerings or a subsequent sale by us of the subsidiary that owns this property.

NTT/Verio Data Center is one of three properties that secure a \$43.0 million securitized first mortgage and a \$22.0 million securitized mezzanine mortgage described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

Savvis Data Center 2, Santa Clara, California (Silicon Valley metropolitan area)

Savvis Data Center 2 is a two-story, 167,932 net rentable square foot building which was completely renovated in 2000, with state-of-the-art infrastructure and 99,807 square feet of raised floor space. The property was built specifically for Exodus, the predecessor to Savvis Communications, as a state-of-the-art data center. We estimate that Exodus invested approximately \$50 million in the electrical systems, including high capacity, redundant service and UPS/generator systems, enhanced HVAC and fire suppression systems and robust security systems. The Santa Clara location is attractive because it is in the heart of the Silicon Valley technology and telecommunications markets and because users benefit by using Silicon Valley Power for electrical service. Silicon Valley Power is the local, municipal utility for Santa Clara, with a substation on site providing high-quality power delivery that is important for data center users. Silicon Valley Power is generally less expensive and more reliable than the regional utility.

This building is 100.0% leased to Savvis Communications through February 20	This I	building is	100.0% leased	l to Savvis	Communications	through February	2019.
---	--------	-------------	---------------	-------------	----------------	------------------	-------

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop Savvis Data Center 2.

Savvis Data Center 2 is one of a portfolio of five properties which we acquired in June 2005 from an unrelated party for approximately \$92.5 million.

Savvis Data Center 2 is not subject to any debt. However, our ability to incur debt secured by this property is limited by the terms of our unsecured credit facility, as described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

Savvis Data Center 3, El Segundo, California (Los Angeles metropolitan area)

Savvis Data Center 3 is a two-story, 113,606 net rentable square foot building which was completely renovated in 1998-99, with state-of-the-art infrastructure and 58,996 square feet of raised floor space. The property was built specifically for Exodus, the predecessor to Savvis Communications, as a state-of-the-art data center. We estimate that Exodus invested approximately \$30 million in the electrical systems, including high capacity, redundant service and UPS/generator systems, enhanced HVAC and fire suppression systems and robust security systems.

This building is 100.0% leased to Savvis Communications through February 2019.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop Savvis Data Center 3.

Savvis Data Center 3 is one of a portfolio of five properties which we acquired in June 2005 from an unrelated party for approximately \$92.5 million.

Savvis Data Center 3 is not subject to any debt. However, our ability to incur debt secured by this property is limited by the terms of our unsecured credit facility, as described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

Savvis Data Center 4, Santa Clara, California (Silicon Valley metropolitan area)

Savvis Data Center 4 is a single-story, 103,940 net rentable square foot building which was completely renovated in 1999, with state-of-the-art infrastructure and 64,323 square feet of raised floor space. The property was built specifically for Exodus, the predecessor to Savvis Communications, as a state-of-the-art data center. We estimate that Exodus invested approximately \$32 million in the electrical systems, including high capacity, redundant service and UPS/generator systems, enhanced HVAC and fire suppression systems and robust security systems. The Santa Clara location is attractive because it is in the heart of the Silicon Valley technology and telecommunications markets and because users benefit by using Silicon Valley Power for electrical service. Silicon Valley Power is the local, municipal utility for Santa Clara, with a substation on site providing high-quality power delivery that is important for data center users. Silicon Valley Power is generally less expensive and more reliable than the regional utility.

This building is 100.0% leased to Savvis Communications through February 2019.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop Savvis Data Center 4.

93

Savvis Data Center 4 is one of a portfolio of five properties which we acquired in June 2005 from an unrelated party for approximately \$92.5 million.

Savvis Data Center 4 is not subject to any debt. However, our ability to incur debt secured by this property is limited by the terms of our unsecured credit facility, as described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

Savvis Data Center 5, Santa Clara, California (Silicon Valley metropolitan area)

Savvis Data Center 5 is a single-story, 90,139 net rentable square foot building which was completely renovated in 2000, with state-of-the-art infrastructure and 70,639 square feet of raised floor space. The property was built specifically for Exodus, the predecessor to Savvis Communications, as a state-of-the-art data center. We estimate that Exodus invested approximately \$35 million in the electrical systems, including high capacity, redundant service and UPS/generator systems, enhanced HVAC and fire suppression systems and robust security systems. The Santa Clara location is attractive because it is in the heart of the Silicon Valley technology and telecommunications markets and because users benefit by using Silicon Valley Power for electrical service. Silicon Valley Power is the local, municipal utility for Santa Clara, with a substation on site providing high-quality power delivery that is important for data center users. Silicon Valley Power is generally less expensive and more reliable than the regional utility.

This building is 100.0% leased to Savvis Communications through February 2019.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop Savvis Data Center 5.

Savvis Data Center 5 is one of a portfolio of five properties which we acquired in June 2005 from an unrelated party for approximately \$92.5 million.

Savvis Data Center 5 is not subject to any debt. However, our ability to incur debt secured by this property is limited by the terms of our unsecured credit facility, as described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

Ameriquest Data Center, Englewood, Colorado (Denver metropolitan area)

Ameriquest Data Center is an 82,229 square foot single-story data center and office building in Englewood, Colorado. The property was developed in 2001 as a flex office property and subsequently leased to a web hosting company that ultimately failed. The web hosting company invested significant capital in the building before going out of business, converting it to a state-of-the-art data center and colocation facility. The property was repositioned as a potential corporate data center site and marketed across a broad array of companies and enterprise users.

The property is 100% leased to Ameriquest through January 2012 at an initial annual net rent of \$1,521,240, or \$18.50 per leased square foot. There are also three five-year renewal options. The facility houses mission critical data center operations for many of Ameriquest s lines of business, including home lending and loan servicing. In addition to occupying all of the building s approximately 43,000 square feet of data center space, Ameriquest maintains administrative offices at this location. Ameriquest has indicated that it intends to invest its own capital in the building in order to expand and customize the data center to its use.

We acquired Ameriquest Data Center in June 2005 from GI Partners for \$16.5 million. See Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.

94

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop Ameriquest Data Center.

Ameriquest Data Center is not subject to any debt. However, our ability to incur debt secured by Ameriquest Data Center is limited by the terms of our unsecured credit facility, as described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

eBay Data Center, Rancho Cordova, California (Sacramento metropolitan area)

eBay Data Center is a 62,957 square foot data center facility strategically located in Rancho Cordova, a technology-oriented suburb of Sacramento. Built in 1983, the building underwent significant improvements from 1999 to 2000, including its development as a data center for its current tenant, Sprint Communications Company. eBay, Inc. has been operating out of the facility for over five years under a web-hosting colocation agreement with Sprint, and has recently entered into a direct lease. The building includes approximately 30,000 square feet of data center space with raised floors. The property contains redundant UPS and backup generators, large electrical capacity, robust HVAC systems and state-of-the-art security systems and fire detection and suppression systems. Tenants benefit from redundant electrical feeds from the Sacramento Municipal Utility district.

We acquired a 75% tenancy-in-common interest in the eBay Data Center property upon the consummation of our initial public offering and an unrelated third party held the remaining 25% of the tenancy-in-common. On January 7, 2005, the third-party owner of the remaining 25% interest in this property exercised its option to require us to purchase its 25% interest. The purchase price for the remaining 25% interest was \$4.1 million. The acquisition was consummated on January 21, 2005.

As of March 31, 2005, eBay Data Center was 100% leased by two tenants, eBay and Sprint. eBay s lease for 49,648 square feet, or 78.9% of the facility, will expire on September 30, 2009. Sprint s new lease for 13,309 square feet, or 21.1% of the facility, will expire on September 30, 2014. Both leases are subject to three five-year extension options.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop eBay Data Center.

eBay Data Center property is not subject to any debt. However, our ability to incur debt secured by eBay Data Center is limited by the terms of our unsecured credit facility. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Outstanding Consolidated Indebtedness.

VarTec Building, Carrollton, Texas (Dallas metropolitan area)

VarTec Building is a 135,250 square foot single story office property developed in 1999. Well located in suburban Dallas, the building has an attractive brick and glass façade and features five distinct pods, each with its own common area and restrooms, that can be readily divided. This property was acquired by GI Partners in January 2003. We became the fee simple owner of this property upon consummation of our initial public offering.

The property is 100% leased to VarTec Telecom, Inc. through January 2014. The company provides voice and data services to business and residential customers. The facility houses the network operations center that manages VarTec s nationwide network, their corporate data center operations, including customer billing, and administrative offices. VarTec Telecom filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection on November 1, 2004. We are closely monitoring VarTec Telecom s status and we believe VarTec Building provides a favorable opportunity for consolidation of VarTec Telecom s operations. VarTec is current in its rental obligations and has relocated its corporate headquarters to the building.

95

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop VarTec Building.

VarTec Building is one of three properties that secure a \$43.0 million securitized first mortgage and a \$22.0 million securitized mezzanine mortgage described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

MAPP Building, Minneapolis/St. Paul, Minnesota

MAPP Building is an 88,134 square foot single-story property located in St. Paul, Minnesota. The property was built in 1947 and fully re-developed in 1999 for the current tenant operations. The building contains a data center facility, consisting of approximately 20,000 square feet of raised floor data center space and related infrastructure. In March 2005, we acquired a fee simple interest in this property from an unrelated third party.

The property is 100% leased to the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc., or MISO, through December 2013. There is one five-year renewal option. MISO oversees the Mid-Continent Area Power Pool, or MAPP the electrical power grid for much of the upper Midwest, Michigan, Ohio and parts of Canada. This facility is MISO s main network operations center for power grid management.

Other than making normal recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop MAPP Building.

MAPP Building is subject to a \$9.7 million mortgage. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Outstanding Consolidated Indebtedness.

Brea Data Center, Brea, California (Los Angeles metropolitan area)

Brea Data Center is a 68,807 square foot single story office building built in 1981 as a data center for Beckman Coulter Engineering. The building contains 30,000 square feet of data center space with redundant, robust infrastructure improvements including UPS and backup generators, enhanced HVAC systems, fire suppression and security systems. The remainder of the facility is improved for office use. The property was acquired by GI Partners in August 2003. We became the fee simple owner of the Brea Data Center property upon consummation of our initial public offering.

As of March 31, 2005, the Brea Data Center property was 100% leased to Systems Management Specialists, Inc., or SMS, which operates its IT outsourcing business in the facility. SMS manages business applications and other mission-critical operations for its customers. SMS was acquired in April 2004 by Infocrossing, a larger, publicly traded company, also focused on corporate enterprises and institutional customers. SMS made significant infrastructure improvements to the Brea Data Center in 2000, which we estimate cost over \$10 million, improving the electrical and HVAC infrastructure in the facility. SMS s lease expires in December 2014, and is subject to two five-year renewal options.

GI Partners made a significant equity investment in SMS in 2003 that was sold as part of the Infocrossing transaction in April 2004.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop Brea Data Center.

Brea Data Center property is one of the properties that secure the \$154.3 million mortgage loan described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

96

AT&T Web Hosting Facility, Lithia Springs, Georgia (Atlanta metropolitan area)

AT&T Web Hosting Facility is a 250,191 square foot building located in suburban Atlanta, Georgia. The building was constructed in 1998 as a warehouse distribution facility, and AT&T subsequently leased 50% of the building for its web hosting/managed services and colocation business. We estimate that AT&T invested over \$50 million to improve 113,225 square feet of the space into a state-of-the-art data center for their web-based businesses and corporate data center customers. AT&T invested heavily in the electrical systems including redundant service and UPS/generator systems, enhanced HVAC and fire suppression systems, and robust security systems. The property benefits from its proximity to several telecommunications networks including those of AT&T, Savvis Communications, and BellSouth. GI Partners acquired the property in June 2003. We became the fee simple owner of AT&T Web Hosting Facility upon the consummation of our initial public offering.

As of March 31, 2005, the property was 51% leased to AT&T; the balance of the building consists of industrial warehouse space that is presently unoccupied. AT&T s lease expires in March 2016 and has four five- year renewal options. AT&T has a right of first refusal with respect to the sale of the underlying premises. This right will not be triggered by the concurrent offerings or by the subsequent sale by us of the subsidiary that owns this property.

We have begun certain ordinary course capital improvement projects in the vacant half of the building. We do not expect the costs of these improvements to be material.

AT&T Web Hosting Facility property is subject to a mortgage loan, which totaled \$8.8 million at March 31, 2005. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Outstanding Consolidated Indebtedness.

Technology Manufacturing Properties. The following three properties are occupied primarily by tenants that focus on technology manufacturing.

Ardenwood Corporate Park, Fremont, California (Silicon Valley metropolitan area)

Ardenwood Corporate Park comprises two one-story and one two-story office and biotechnology R&D buildings with a total of 307,657 square feet of net rentable space. Biotechnology labs, clean room, quality control and labeling facilities, cold storage space for biotechnology materials and administrative space occupy 131,386 square feet. The remaining 176,271 square feet is used as office space. GI Partners acquired this property in January 2003. We became the fee simple owner of Ardenwood Corporate Park upon consummation of our initial public offering.

As of March 31, 2005, Ardenwood Corporate Park was 100% leased by three tenants. Abgenix, a biopharmaceutical company focused on the research, development and manufacturing of therapeutic human antibodies, occupies a newly completed biotechnology lab and quality control facility. This facility operates as part of Abgenix s corporate campus environment in conjunction with its corporate headquarters and manufacturing facility located adjacent to the facility. Logitech, a leading global manufacturer of personal computer hardware peripherals (e.g. keyboards, computer mice, web cameras, etc.), uses the property as its North American headquarters. Infosys Technologies, a global information technology services and consulting firm, uses the property as its Western United States headquarters.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures and certain tenant improvements in connection with the Infosys lease, we have no plans with respect to significant renovation, improvement or redevelopment of the Ardenwood Corporate Park property.

Ardenwood Corporate Park is one of three properties that secure a \$43.0 million securitized first mortgage and a \$22.0 million securitized mezzanine mortgage described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

97

The current real estate tax rate for the Ardenwood Corporate Park property is \$10.88 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for the Ardenwood Corporate Park property at this rate for the 2005 tax year is \$386,749 (at a taxable assessed value of \$35,546,758). In addition, there were \$220,588 in various direct assessments imposed on the Ardenwood Corporate Park property by the City of Fremont for the 2004 tax year.

Maxtor Manufacturing Facility, Fremont, California (Silicon Valley metropolitan area)

Maxtor Manufacturing Facility is a two-building, 183,050 square foot facility located in Fremont, California. The property contains 65,000 square feet of clean room manufacturing space with extensive tenant improvements, and was originally built as a computer disk manufacturing facility. The 1055 Page Avenue building (59,040 square feet) is a single-story office and light manufacturing facility built in 1991, and the 47700 Kato Road building (124,010 square feet) is a two-story steel frame with glass curtain wall clean room and office facility constructed in 1997. The building contains substantial electrical and HVAC improvements, and we estimate that over \$100 million was invested by the previous owner to improve the property. GI Partners acquired the space vacant in September 2003 and simultaneously leased it to Maxtor for a term of eight years. We became the fee simple owner of the Maxtor Manufacturing Facility upon consummation of our initial public offering.

Maxtor leases 100.0% of the property. Maxtor s lease expires in September 2011 and is subject to one three-year extension option.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop Maxtor Manufacturing Facility.

Maxtor Manufacturing Facility is subject to a mortgage loan, which totaled \$17.9 million at March 31, 2005. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Outstanding Consolidated Indebtedness.

ASM Lithography Facility, Tempe, Arizona (Phoenix metropolitan area)

ASM Lithography Facility is a 113,405 square foot two-story technical training and office facility for ASML US, Inc., the U.S. subsidiary of ASM Lithography, one of the largest suppliers of lithography equipment to the semiconductor industry. The facility, which was completed in 2002 and has been improved with electrical and HVAC upgrades, contains an 11,200 square foot clean room environment for customer training with ASM Lithography s photolithography equipment, which is used for wafer production in the computer chip industry.

The property is in the Arizona state-sponsored Arizona State University Research Park adjacent to ASM Lithography s U.S. headquarters. The ASU Research Park is subject to a ground lease between the Arizona Board of Regents and Price-Elliot Research Park, Inc., a non-profit organization established by the State of Arizona. GI Partners acquired a ground sublease interest in the land on which the ASM Lithography Facility is located from Price-Elliot Research Park, Inc. in May 2003. This ground sublease expires on December 31, 2082. The rent payment amount due annually through 2016 under the ground sublease is approximately \$241,399. Thereafter, the rent increases every ten years as set forth in the sublease agreement. In addition, GI Partners is required under the ground sublease to be assessed and pay a municipal service fee to the City of Tempe to reimburse the City for the cost of providing municipal services to the ASU Research Park. We have the right to terminate this lease at any time during the first 30 years of the term, and thereafter upon every ten-year anniversary. We became the holder of this ground sublease upon consummation of our initial public offering.

As of March 31, 2005, the ASM Lithography Facility was 100.0% leased to ASM Lithography. ASM Lithography s lease does not expire until February 2017, is subject to two five-year renewal options and is guaranteed by ASM Lithography s parent company.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop ASM Lithography Facility.

98

ASM Lithography Facility is not subject to any debt. However, our ability to incur debt secured by ASM Lithography Facility is limited by the terms of our unsecured credit facility, as described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

Technology Office/Corporate Headquarters Properties. The following six properties are primarily leased as technology office/corporate headquarters.

Comverse Technology Building, Wakefield, Massachusetts (Boston metropolitan area)

Comverse Technology Building is a two-building, 386,956 square foot facility located in Wakefield, Massachusetts. The property is well located on the Route 128 technology corridor with almost one-half mile of freeway frontage and access from two highway exits. The 168,000 square foot 100 Quannapowitt building is a four-story, suburban office facility built in 1999, consisting of a steel frame structure and concrete framing and exterior walls. The facility also includes a parking structure providing 455 parking spaces. The 220,000 square foot 200 Quannapowitt building, is a two-story, office and research and development facility built in 1957 with periodic upgrades, consisting of concrete frame and floors. The building was originally developed by American Mutual Insurance and served as its headquarters until the late 1980s.

As of March 31, 2005, Comverse Technology Building was 100% leased. 94.9% of the property is leased to the Comverse business unit of Comverse Technology, a provider of enhanced telecommunications systems for major carriers such as Verizon and Verizon Wireless. Comverse Technology Building serves as the division s United States headquarters, providing sales and customer training labs, corporate data center, electronics and software testing and assembly facilities, and executive offices for the CEO and senior management of the division. This division is one of the largest business units within the parent company. The terms of the lease expire in February 2011 and are subject to two five-year renewal options.

The property was purchased by GI Partners in June 2004. We became the fee simple owner of the Comverse Technology Building upon consummation of our initial public offering.

The following table summarizes information regarding the Comverse Technology lease as of March 31, 2005:

Tenant	Principal Nature Of Business	Lease Expiration	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Rent	Percentage of Property Annualized Rent	Rei Le	ualized nt Per ased re Foot
Comverse Network Systems	Software			367,033	94.8%	\$ 5,690,307	94.9%	\$	15.50
		Feb. 2011	2 x 5 yrs	166,109	42.9	2,989,962	49.9		18.00
		Feb. 2011	2 x 5 yrs	199,033	51.4	2,680,017	44.7		13.47
		Feb. 2011	2 x 5 yrs	1,891	0.5	20,328	0.3		10.75

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at the Comverse Technology Building as of March 31, 2005 plus available space, for each of the ten full and partial calendar years beginning April 1, 2005, assuming that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination options. As of March 31, 2005, the weighted average remaining lease term for this building was 5.6 years.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Rent	Percentage of Property Annualized Rent	Annualized Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Annualized Rent Per Leased Square Foot at Expiration
Available			%				
2005				\$	%	\$	\$
2006	1	19,923	5.1	298,845	5.0	15.00	15.00
2007							
2008							
2009							
2010							
2011	3	367,033	94.9	5,690,307	95.0	15.50	16.83
2012							
2013							
Thereafter							
Total/Weighted Average	4	386,956	100.0%	\$ 5,989,152	100.0%	\$ 15.48	\$ 16.73

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective rent per leased square foot for the Comverse Technology Building as of the indicated date:

			alized Rent r Leased	Annualized Net Effective Rent Per Leased	
Date	Percent Leased	Square Foot		Square Foot	
March 31, 2005	100.0%	\$	15.48	\$	16.13
December 31, 2004	100.0		15.22		16.14

⁽¹⁾ Because neither we nor GI Partners owned this property prior to 2004, we are unable to present information for years prior to 2004.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop Comverse Technology Building.

Comverse Technology Building is one of the properties that secure the \$154.3 million mortgage loan described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

100 Technology Center Drive, Stoughton, Massachusetts (Boston metropolitan area)

100 Technology Center Drive is a six-story 197,000 square foot office building with a brick and granite exterior located in Stoughton, Massachusetts. The property is well located in the Route 128 South suburban sub-market and was originally developed as the headquarters for Reebok.

The property is currently the regional headquarters for Stone & Webster, Inc., a primary operating division of The Shaw Group Inc., which guarantees the lease. Stone & Webster leases 100.0% of the property and operates its environmental and energy engineering and construction management services from this site. In addition, the facility is the primary corporate data center of Stone & Webster and a data back-up site for The Shaw Group. Stone & Webster s lease expires in March 2013 and is subject to two five-year renewal options.

100

Annualized rent under Stone & Webster s lease is \$3,743,000, or \$19.00 per leased square foot. GI Partners acquired this property in February 2004. We became the fee simple owner of the 100 Technology Center Drive property upon consummation of our initial public offering.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop 100 Technology Center Drive.

100 Technology Center Drive property is subject to a mortgage loan which totaled \$20.0 million at March 31, 2005. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Outstanding Consolidated Indebtedness.

Granite Tower, Farmers Branch, Texas (Dallas metropolitan area)

Granite Tower is a ten-story, 240,065 square foot office tower located in suburban Dallas, Texas. The property was developed in 1999 and is one of the newest properties in its sub-market. The property also contains a four-story parking structure providing 900 parking spaces. The property is well located with high visibility and easy access from the LBJ Freeway and is less than five minutes from the Galleria sub-market. Granite Tower was acquired by GI Partners in September 2003. We became the fee simple owner of the Granite Tower property upon consummation of our initial public offering.

As of March 31, 2005, the Granite Tower was 94.6% leased to 14 tenants operating in various businesses, including software services. The primary tenants are Home Interiors & Gifts, Inc. and Carreker Corp. Home Interiors leases 74,139 square feet under a lease that expires on January 2010. Monster Worldwide, Inc. subleases 43,661 square feet of net rentable space at the property from Home Interiors. USF Processors, Inc. sub-subleases 18,948 square feet of net rentable space at the property from Monster Worldwide, Inc. Carreker leases an aggregate of 72,433 square feet on three floors with terms expiring in May 2010 with two five-year renewal options.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop Granite Tower.

Granite Tower is subject to a mortgage loan which totaled \$21.6 million as of March 31, 2005. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Outstanding Consolidated Indebtedness.

Stanford Place II, Englewood, Colorado (Denver metropolitan area)

Stanford Place II is a 17-story, 366,184 square foot office building in the Denver Tech Center. The building and associated parking structure were developed in 1982, with the latest lobby and HVAC upgrades completed in 2003. The building is well located with exceptional highway (I-25 and I-225) and surface street access and ample covered parking. As of March 31, 2005, the Stanford Place II was 88.4% leased. GI Partners acquired this property in October 2003. We became the owner of 98% of the entity that is the fee simple owner of the Stanford Place II property upon consummation of our initial public offering and an unrelated third party continues to hold the remaining 2% interest in the entity that owns this property. After we and our 2% joint venture partner receive a return of capital plus a 15% return on investment, our joint venture partner will be allocated a larger share of subsequent distributions.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop Stanford Place II.

Stanford Place II is subject to two secured notes which totaled \$26.0 million as of March 31, 2005, described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

101

Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

Siemens Building, Farmers Branch, Texas (Dallas metropolitan area)

Siemens Building is a two-story 125,538 square foot office building completed in 1999. The building, while presently 100.0% leased to Siemens Subscriber Networks, is readily divisible, consisting of three individual pods connected via two glass atrium lobbies. The property is well located adjacent to the desirable Galleria complex with easy access to the Dallas Toll Road and the LBJ Freeway. Siemens Subscriber Networks is an independent business unit of Siemens Information and Communication Networks, a division of Siemens AG. Siemens Subscriber Networks uses the property as its headquarters as well as one of its main research facilities. Siemens Subscriber Networks is a major provider of DSL modems and networking technology for major telecommunications carriers such as SBC Communications. Siemens Subscriber Networks lease expires in April 2010 and is subject to two five-year renewal options. GI Partners acquired the property in April 2004. We became the fee simple owner of Siemens Building upon consummation of our initial public offering.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop Siemens Building.

Siemens Building is one of the properties that secure the \$154.3 million mortgage loan described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

Savvis Office Building, Santa Clara, California (Silicon Valley metropolitan area)

Savvis Office Building is a single-story, 84,383 square foot building which was completely renovated in 2000. This building is 100.0% leased to Savvis Communications through February 2019.

Other than making normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans to renovate, improve or redevelop Savvis Office Building.

Savvis Data Office Building is one of a portfolio of five properties which we acquired in June 2005 from an unrelated party for approximately \$92.5 million.

Savvis Office Building is not subject to any debt. However, our ability to incur debt secured by this property is limited by the terms of our unsecured credit facility, as described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Material Provisions of Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the Concurrent Offerings.

Right of First Offer Property

We have a right of first offer with respect to a 129,366 square foot vacant data center owned by GI Partners in Frankfurt, Germany.

102

Depreciation

The following table sets forth for each property in our portfolio and component thereof upon which depreciation is taken, the (i) federal tax basis as of March 31, 2005, or, with respect to the properties that we expect to acquire, the federal tax basis as of the acquisition date (ii) rate, (iii) method, and (iv) life claimed with respect to such property or component thereof for purposes of depreciation.

Property	Federal Tax Basis (1)	Rate	Method ⁽²⁾	Life Claimed ⁽²⁾	
36 Northeast Second Street	\$ 19,827,179	2.56%	Straight line	39 years	
100 Technology Center Drive	34,574,946	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
200 Paul Avenue	27,804,789	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
833 Chestnut Street	53,800,000	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
1100 Space Park Drive	24,781,870	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
AboveNet Data Center	36,390,787	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Ameriquest Data Center	14,283,289	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Ardenwood Corporate Park	39,559,223	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
ASM Lithography Facility	15,015,259	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
AT&T Web Hosting Facility	9,208,049	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Brea Data Center	6,876,780	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Burbank Data Center	10,025,458	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Camperdown House	8,614,850	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Carrier Center	55,992,849	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Charlotte Internet Gateway Properties	14,734,473	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Comverse Technology Building	44,979,123	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
eBay Data Center	12,481,193	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Granite Tower	22,521,688	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Hudson Corporate Center	38,092,981	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
MAPP Building	13,025,228	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Lakeside Technology Center	134,175,376	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Maxtor Manufacturing Facility	18,584,427	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
NTT/Verio Premier Data Center	25,742,615	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Savvis Data Center 1	53,070,270	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Savvis Data Center 2	21,635,966	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Savvis Data Center 3	13,732,667	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Savvis Data Center 4	12,800,324	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Savvis Data Center 5	10,901,180	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Savvis Office Building	8,948,218	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Siemens Building	14,064,385	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Stanford Place II	26,434,297	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Univision Tower	62,805,247	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
VarTec Building	8,212,518	2.56	Straight line	39 years	
Webb at LBJ	39,422,587	2.56	Straight line	39 years	

⁽¹⁾ Upon the consummation of the formation transactions in connection with our initial public offering, the entity that owns Univision Tower terminated for tax purposes and the entity that owns Stanford Place II technically terminated for tax purposes under Section 708(b)(1)(B) of the Code. Consequently, under Section 168(i)(7) of the Code, each of these properties was treated as a newly acquired asset placed in service on the day following the consummation of the formation transactions, the federal tax basis of which will be depreciated over its claimed life. Federal tax basis numbers assume that 95% of the basis adjustments resulting from the formation transactions are allocated to the real property and 5% to land.

⁽²⁾ Unless otherwise noted, depreciation method and life claimed for each property and component thereof are determined by reference to the IRS-mandated method for depreciating assets placed into service after 1986, known as the Modified Accelerated Cost Recovery System.

In addition, we have an aggregate of approximately \$1.2 million in additional tax basis of depreciable furniture, fixtures and equipment associated with the properties in our portfolio as of March 31, 2005. Depreciation on this furniture, fixtures and equipment is computed on the straight line and double declining balance methods over the claimed life of such property, which is either five or seven years.

Regulation

General

Office properties in our submarkets are subject to various laws, ordinances and regulations, including regulations relating to common areas. We believe that each of our properties has the necessary permits and approvals to operate its business.

Americans With Disabilities Act

Our properties must comply with Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, or ADA, to the extent that such properties are public accommodations as defined by the ADA. The ADA may require removal of structural barriers to access by persons with disabilities in certain public areas of our properties where such removal is readily achievable. We believe that our properties substantially comply with the ADA and that we will not be required to make substantial capital expenditures to address the requirements of the ADA. However, noncompliance with the ADA could result in imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants. The obligation to make readily achievable accommodations is an ongoing one, and we will continue to assess our properties and to make alterations as appropriate in this respect.

Environmental Matters

Under various laws relating to the protection of the environment, a current or previous owner or operator of real estate may be liable for contamination resulting from the presence or discharge of hazardous or toxic substances at or from that property, and may be required to investigate and clean up such contamination at that property or emanating from that property. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of the contaminants, and the liability may be joint and several. Previous owners used some of our properties for industrial and retail purposes, so those properties may contain some level of environmental contamination. The presence of contamination or the failure to remediate contamination at our properties may expose us to third-party liability or materially adversely affect our ability to sell, lease or develop the real estate or to borrow using the real estate as collateral.

Some of the properties may contain asbestos-containing building materials. Environmental laws require that asbestos-containing building materials be properly managed and maintained, and may impose fines and penalties on building owners or operators for failure to comply with these requirements. These laws may also allow third parties to seek recovery from owners or operators for personal injury associated with exposure to asbestos-containing building materials.

In addition, some of our tenants, particularly those in the biotechnology and life sciences industry and those in the technology manufacturing industry, routinely handle hazardous substances and wastes as part of their operations at our properties. Environmental laws and regulations

subject our tenants, and potentially us, to liability resulting from these activities or from previous industrial or retail uses of those properties. Environmental liabilities could also affect a tenant s ability to make rental payments to us. We require our tenants to comply with environmental laws and regulations and to indemnify us for any related liabilities.

Independent environmental consultants have conducted Phase I or similar environmental site assessments on all of the properties in our portfolio. Site assessments are intended to discover and evaluate information regarding the environmental condition of the surveyed property and surrounding properties. These assessments do not

104

generally include soil samplings, subsurface investigations or an asbestos survey. None of the recent site assessments revealed any past or present environmental liability that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our business, assets or results of operations. However, the assessments may have failed to reveal all environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns. Material environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns may have arisen after the review was completed or may arise in the future. Future laws, ordinances or regulations may impose material additional environmental liability.

Insurance

We carry comprehensive liability, fire, extended coverage, earthquake, business interruption and rental loss insurance covering all of the properties in our portfolio. We select policy specifications and insured limits which we believe to be appropriate given the relative risk of loss, the cost of coverage and industry practice and, in the opinion of our company s management, the properties in our portfolio are currently adequately insured. We do not carry insurance for generally uninsured losses such as loss from riots, war or nuclear reaction. In addition, we carry earthquake insurance on our properties in an amount and with deductibles which we believe are commercially reasonable. Certain of the properties in our portfolio are located in areas known to be seismically active. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business and Operations Potential losses may not be covered by insurance.

Competition

We compete with numerous developers, owners and operators of office and commercial real estate, many of which own properties similar to ours in the same submarkets in which our properties are located, but which have lower occupancy rates than our properties. If our competitors offer space at rental rates below current market rates, or below the rental rates we currently charge our tenants, we may lose potential tenants and we may be pressured to reduce our rental rates below those we currently charge in order to retain tenants when our tenants leases expire.

Employees

We currently employ 44 people. None of these employees is represented by a labor union.

We have entered into an arrangement with a professional employer organization (PEO) pursuant to which the PEO provides personnel management services to us. The services are provided through a co-employment relationship between us and the PEO, under which all of our employees are treated as employed by both the PEO and us. The services and benefits provided by the PEO include payroll services, workers compensation insurance and certain employee benefits plan coverage. Our agreement with the PEO is terminable by either party upon 30 days prior written notice.

Offices

Our headquarters is located in San Francisco, California. We have regional offices in Los Angeles, California, and Dallas, Texas.

Legal Proceedings

In the ordinary course of our business, we are frequently subject to claims for negligence and other claims and administrative proceedings, none of which we believe would have a material adverse effect or are material.

105

MANAGEMENT

Directors and Executive Officers

Our board of directors consists of six members, including a majority of directors who are independent within the meaning of the NYSE listing standards. Pursuant to our charter, our directors are elected by our stockholders to serve until the next annual meeting and until their successors are duly elected and qualify. See Material Provisions of Maryland Law and of Our Charter and Bylaws Our Board of Directors. Subject to rights pursuant to any employment agreements, officers serve at the pleasure of our board of directors.

The following table sets forth certain information concerning our directors, executive officers and certain of our other senior officers as of March 31, 2005:

Name	Age	Position
Richard A. Magnuson ⁽¹⁾	47	Executive Chairman of the Board
Michael F. Foust ⁽¹⁾	49	Chief Executive Officer and Director
Laurence A. Chapman	56	Director
Ruann F. Ernst, Ph.D.	58	Director
Kathleen Earley	53	Director
Dennis E. Singleton	60	Director
A. William Stein ⁽¹⁾	51	Chief Financial Officer and Chief Investment Officer
Scott E. Peterson ⁽¹⁾	43	Senior Vice President, Acquisitions

⁽¹⁾ Denotes our named executive officers.

The following is a biographical summary of the experience of our directors, executive officers and certain other senior officers.

Richard A. Magnuson serves as Executive Chairman of our board of directors. Mr. Magnuson is a founder of, and since February 2001 has served as Chief Executive Officer of the advisor to GI Partners. Since November 1999, Mr. Magnuson has served as Executive Managing Director of CB Richard Ellis Investors, where he formed and continues to manage the investments and activities of GI Partners. From 1994 through 1999, Mr. Magnuson held various positions with Nomura Securities, most recently as Deputy Managing Director of their London-based Principal Finance Group. From 1989 until 1994, Mr. Magnuson was a Director in the Investment Banking division of Merrill Lynch. From 1981 until 1986, Mr. Magnuson worked for Digital Research, the company that developed the personal computer operating system, and founded and sold InterActive Software, a software services company. Mr. Magnuson serves on the board of directors of NYSE-listed Glenborough Realty Trust, a REIT focused on multi-tenant office properties, where he is a member of the Audit Committee and is Chairman of the Nomination and Governance Committee. Mr. Magnuson is also a director of two private companies. Mr. Magnuson holds a Bachelor of Arts degree from Dartmouth College and a Master of Business Administration degree from Stanford University Graduate School of Business.

Michael F. Foust has served as our Chief Executive Officer and as a Director since our inception. Mr. Foust is a founder of, and since February 2001 has served as a managing director of the advisor to, GI Partners. During his tenure at GI Partners, Mr. Foust directed technical property acquisitions and portfolio management. Mr. Foust has over 20 years of experience in institutional real estate investments and portfolio management. Prior to the founding of GI Partners, from 1999 to 2001, he was a senior director at CB Richard Ellis Investors. From 1995 to 1999, Mr. Foust was a Senior Vice President at CB Richard Ellis, where he managed regional asset services operations. During the period from

1985 to 1995, Mr. Foust held senior portfolio management and investment positions at UBS Asset Management, Karsten Realty Advisors, and Trammell Crow Company. Prior to his real estate career, from 1979 to 1985, Mr. Foust participated in the origination of two related international telecommunications companies, Consortium Communications International and Progressive Systems Inc. The companies provided message switching and turn-key networks for multinational corporations. Mr. Foust received

106

a Bachelor of Arts degree from Harvard University and a Master of Business Administration degree from Harvard Business School.

Laurence A. Chapman served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Goodrich Corp. from 1999 to 2000. Mr. Chapman served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Rohr, Inc., a \$1.2 billion aerospace company, from 1994 until 1999, when Rohr, Inc. merged with Goodrich Corp. His responsibilities at both companies included accounting, treasury, tax, insurance, investor relations, financial planning and information technology functions. Prior to his service at Rohr, Inc., Mr. Chapman was employed at Westinghouse Electric Corporation from 1981 through 1994. From 1992 through 1994, Mr. Chapman was the Vice President and Treasurer of Westinghouse Electric Corporation where he was responsible for the financing activities of Westinghouse Electric Corporation and Westinghouse Credit Corp. His responsibilities included supervising corporate finance, cash and short-term funding, project finance, bank relations and international treasury. Mr. Chapman received a Bachelor of Commerce degree with Distinction from McGill University and a Master of Business Administration degree from Harvard Business School. Mr. Chapman is Chair of our Audit Committee and is a member of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Ruann F. Ernst, Ph.D. served as Chief Executive Officer of Digital Island, Inc., an e-business delivery network company, from June 1998 through January 2002. Ms. Ernst was Chairperson of the Board of Digital Island from December 1999 through July 2001, when the company was acquired by Cable & Wireless, Plc. From 1988 through 1998, Ms. Ernst worked for Hewlett Packard Company, an electronics equipment and computer company, in various management positions, most recently as General Manager, Financial Services Business Unit. Ms. Ernst is also a director of one for-profit private and two not-for-profit entities and serves on the Business School Advisory Council and Foundation Board of The Ohio State University. Ms. Ernst received a Bachelor of Science, a Master of Science and a Ph.D. from The Ohio State University. Ms. Ernst is a member of our Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Kathleen Earley is President and Chief Operating Officer of TriZetto Group, Inc. From 1994 through September 2001, Ms. Earley was employed at AT&T Corporation. While at AT&T Corporation, Ms. Earley served as Senior Vice President of Enterprise Networking and Chief Marketing Officer, where she oversaw all AT&T Corporation business-related brand, image and advertising and marketing strategy. One of Ms. Earley s largest contributions was as President of AT&T Data & Internet Services, an \$8 billion business unit that provided Internet Protocol (IP), web hosting, data and managed network services. Under her leadership, AT&T s network became one of the largest Internet backbones in the industry. Prior to joining AT&T Corporation, Ms. Earley was employed by IBM Corporation for 17 years with positions in sales, marketing, planning and strategy development. Ms. Earley is currently a member of the board of directors of two publicly held companies, Vignette Corp. and Computer Network Technology Corp. Ms. Earley is also a director of two private companies. Ms. Earley received a Bachelor of Science degree and a Master of Business Administration degree, both from the University of California, Berkeley. Ms. Earley is Chair of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and is a member of our Compensation Committee.

Dennis E. Singleton was a founding partner of Spieker Partners, the predecessor of Spieker Properties, Inc., one of the largest owners and operators of commercial property on the west coast prior to its \$7.2 billion acquisition by Equity Office Properties Trust in 2001. Mr. Singleton served as Chief Financial Officer and Director of Spieker Properties, Inc. from 1993 to 1995, Chief Investment Officer and Director from 1995 to 1997 and Vice Chairman and Director from 1998 to 2001. During his tenure, Mr. Singleton was involved in identifying and analyzing strategic portfolio acquisition and operating opportunities and oversaw the acquisition and development of more than 20 million square feet of commercial property. From 2001 to present, Mr. Singleton has managed personal investments in real estate. Mr. Singleton received a Bachelor of Science degree from Lehigh University and a Master of Business Administration degree from Harvard Business School. Mr. Singleton is Chair of our Compensation Committee and is a member of our Audit Committee.

A. William Stein joined GI Partners as a consultant in April 2004 and became our Chief Financial Officer and Chief Investment Officer in July 2004. Mr. Stein has over 20 years of investment, financial and operating

107

management experience in both large company environments and small, rapidly growing companies. Prior to joining our company, Mr. Stein provided turnaround management advice to both public and private companies. From 2000 to 2001, Mr. Stein served as Co-Head of VentureBank@PNC and Media and Communications Finance at The PNC Financial Services Group where he was responsible for directing the delivery of PNC s products and services to VentureBank s high technology and emerging growth client base. Before joining PNC, Mr. Stein was President and Chief Operating Officer of TriNet Corporate Realty Trust, a \$1.8 billion REIT that was acquired by Starwood Financial Trust (now called iStar Financial) in late 1999. Prior to being named President of TriNet, Mr. Stein was Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary. TriNet s portfolio consisted of office, industrial and retail properties throughout the U.S. Before joining TriNet in 1995, Mr. Stein held a number of senior investment and financial management positions with Westinghouse Electric, Westinghouse Financial Services and Duquesne Light Company. Mr. Stein practiced law for eight years, specializing in financial transactions and litigation. Mr. Stein received a Bachelor of Arts degree from Princeton University, a Juris Doctor degree from the University of Pittsburgh and a Master of Science degree with Distinction from the Graduate School of Industrial Administration at Carnegie Mellon University.

Scott E. Peterson is our Senior Vice President responsible for acquisition activities. Mr. Peterson was a managing director of GI Partners from August 2002 until October 2004. While at GI Partners, Mr. Peterson was responsible for property acquisitions with an emphasis on technical properties. Mr. Peterson has over 17 years of real estate experience and was most recently a Senior Vice President with GIC Real Estate, the real estate investment entity for the Government of Singapore Investment Corporation, from May 1994 to August 2002. Previously, Mr. Peterson was active in investments, development and asset management with LaSalle Partners, a real estate services company and Trammell Crow Company, a real estate developer. Mr. Peterson received a Bachelor of Arts degree from Northwestern University, and a Master of Business Administration from Northwestern University.

Board Committees

Our board of directors has three standing committees: an audit committee, a compensation committee and a nominating and corporate governance committee. Under our bylaws, the composition of each committee must comply with the listing requirements and other rules and regulations of the NYSE, as amended or modified from time to time. Each of these committees consists of three independent directors. Our bylaws define independent director by reference to the rules, regulations and listing qualifications of the NYSE, which generally deem a director to be independent if the director has no relationship to us that may interfere with the exercise of the director s independence from management and our company.

Audit Committee. The audit committee helps ensure the integrity of our financial statements, the qualifications and independence of our independent auditor and the performance of our internal audit function and independent auditors. The audit committee selects, assists and meets with the independent auditor, oversees each annual audit and quarterly review, establishes and maintains our internal audit controls and prepares the report that federal securities laws require be included in our annual proxy statement. Mr. Chapman is chair and Ms. Ernst and Mr. Singleton are members of the audit committee.

Compensation Committee. The compensation committee reviews and approves the compensation and benefits of our executive officers, administers and makes recommendations to our board of directors regarding our compensation and stock incentive plans, produces an annual report on executive compensation for inclusion in our proxy statement and publishes an annual committee report for our stockholders. Mr. Singleton is chair and Ms. Ernst and Ms. Earley are members of the compensation committee.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The nominating and corporate governance committee develops and recommends to our board of directors a set of corporate governance principles, adopts a code of ethics, adopts policies with respect to conflicts of interest, monitors our compliance with corporate governance

108

requirements of state and federal law and the rules and regulations of the NYSE, establishes criteria for prospective members of our board of directors, conducts candidate searches and interviews, oversees and evaluates our board of directors and management, evaluates from time to time the appropriate size and composition of our board of directors and recommends, as appropriate, increases, decreases and changes in the composition of our board of directors and formally proposes the slate of directors to be elected at each annual meeting of our stockholders. Ms. Earley is chair and Ms. Ernst and Mr. Chapman are members of the nominating and corporate governance committee.

Our board of directors may from time to time establish certain other committees to facilitate the management of our company.

Compensation of Directors

Each of our directors who is not an employee of our company or our subsidiaries receives an annual retainer of \$20,000 for services as a director and receives a fee of \$1,500 for each meeting attended in person and \$500 for each meeting attended telephonically. Directors who serve on our audit, nominating and corporate governance and/or compensation committees receive a fee of \$1,000 for each meeting attended in person or \$500 for each meeting attended telephonically. Directors who serve as the Chair of one of our committees receive an additional annual retainer of \$3,000. Directors who are employees of our company or our subsidiaries do not receive compensation for their services as directors.

Our 2004 incentive award plan provides for formula grants of long-term incentive units to non-employee directors. In connection with the consummation of our initial public offering, each of our initial non-employee directors was awarded 6,448 long-term incentive units. On the date of our third and each subsequent annual meeting, each of our initial non-employee directors who is reelected to our board of directors will receive an additional 1,612 long-term incentive units. Similarly, each non-employee director who is initially elected to our board of directors after our initial public offering will be awarded 6,448 long-term incentive units on the date of his or her initial election and an additional 1,612 long-term incentive units on the date of his or her third and each subsequent reelection to our board of directors. If a non-employee director does not qualify as an accredited investor within the meaning of the federal securities laws on the date the director is to receive long-term incentive units as described above, the director will receive instead of long-term incentive units an equivalent number of fully vested shares of restricted stock at a purchase price equal to the par value of our common stock.

109

Executive Officer Compensation

Because we were only recently organized, meaningful individual compensation information is not available for periods prior to November 3, 2004. The following table sets forth the annual base salary, bonus and other compensation paid in 2004 to our Chief Executive Officer and our other most highly compensated executive officers for the year ended December 31, 2004 (our Named Executive Officers).

Summary Compensation Table

		Annual Compensation			Long-Term Compensation		
Name and Principal Position	Year	Base Salary ⁽¹⁾	Bonus (2)	Other Annual Compensation	Long-Term Incentive Unit Awards (3)	Securities Underlying Options	All Other Compensation
Richard A. Magnuson,							
Executive Chairman	2004	\$ 26,731	\$ 40,100	\$	\$ 9,697,788	125,263	\$
Michael F. Foust,							
Chief Executive Officer	2004	62,372	46,800		3,297,252	125,263	
A. William Stein,							
Chief Financial Officer and							
Chief Investment Officer	2004	51,679	38,800		1,697,112	80,815	
Scott E. Peterson,							
Senior Vice President, Acquisitions	2004	44,551	33,400		1,260,708	80,815	
John O. Wilson, ⁽⁴⁾							
Former Executive Vice President, Technology Infrastructure	2004	39,904	29,900			50,000	

- (1) Amounts received in base salary for the partial year beginning with our initial public offering on November 3, 2004 and ending on December 31, 2004. Under the terms of employment agreements which became effective in connection with our initial public offering, Mr. Magnuson s, Mr. Foust s, Mr. Stein s, Mr. Peterson s and Mr. Wilson s annual base salaries are \$150,000, \$350,000, \$250,000 and \$250,000, respectively. See Employment Agreements.
- (2) Under the terms of Mr. Magnuson s Executive Chairman agreement, Mr. Magnuson will be eligible to receive an annual performance-based bonus with an initial target and maximum level equal to 100% and 150% of annual base compensation, respectively. Under the terms of Mr. Foust s, Mr. Stein s, Mr. Peterson s and Mr. Wilson s employment agreements, these executives will be eligible to receive annual performance-based bonuses with initial target and maximum levels equal to 50% and 75% of base salary, respectively. The amounts in this column represent awards earned during the specified year and to be paid in the following year.
- (3) In connection with the consummation of our initial public offering, Messrs. Magnuson, Foust, Stein and Peterson were granted 808,149, 274,771, 141,426 and 105,059, respectively, vested long-term incentive units pursuant to our 2004 Incentive Award Plan. These long-term incentive units, or any securities into which they are converted or for which they are exchanged, are not transferable for a period of three years from the date of grant. The long-term incentive units set forth in the table achieved full parity with our common units on February 9, 2005. For more information about long-term incentive units, see 2004 Incentive Award Plan.
- (4) On May 20, 2005, we entered into a Termination and Consulting Agreement with John O. Wilson, our former Executive Vice President, Technology Infrastructure. The Termination Agreement provides for, among other things, the termination of Mr. Wilson s employment with us, the termination of the Employment Agreement between Mr. Wilson and us, dated July 31, 2004, the waiver of any severance or other payments, accelerated vesting of options and other equity based awards under the Employment Agreement, the acceleration of options to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock, and the provision of consulting services to us by Mr. Wilson.

110

Option Grants in 2004

Potential Realizable

					Value at		
					Assumed Annual Rates of Share Price Appreciation		
	Number of Securities	Percent of Total	Exercise Price				
	Underlying Options	Options Granted to Employees	per Common	Expiration	for Opt	ion Term	
Name	Granted	in 2004	Share	Date	5%	10%	
Richard A. Magnuson,							
Executive Chairman	125,263	13.5%	\$ 12.00	10/28/14	\$ 945,327	\$ 2,395,644	
Michael F. Foust,	,		7		4 > 10,021	7 2,000,000	
Chief Executive Officer	125,263	13.5	12.00	10/28/14	945,327	2,395,644	
A. William Stein,							

80,815

80,815

50,000

8.7

8.7

5.4

12.00

12.00

13.02

10/28/14

10/28/14

12/16/14

609,889

609,889

409,410

1,545,580

1,545,580

1,037,526

2004 Incentive Award Plan

Chief Financial Officer and Chief

Senior Vice President, Acquisitions

Former Executive Vice President, Technology Infrastructure

Investment Officer

Scott E. Peterson,

John O. Wilson,

We have adopted the 2004 Incentive Award Plan of Digital Realty Trust, Inc., Digital Services, Inc. and Digital Realty Trust, L.P. The incentive award plan provides for the grant of incentive awards to our and their employees, directors and consultants (and our and their respective subsidiaries). Awards issuable under the incentive award plan include stock options, restricted stock, dividend equivalents, stock appreciation rights, long-term incentive units, cash performance bonuses and other incentive awards. Only our employees and employees of our qualifying subsidiaries are eligible to receive incentive stock options under the incentive award plan. We have reserved a total of 4,474,102 shares of our common stock for issuance pursuant to the incentive award plan, subject to certain adjustments set forth in the plan. Each long-term incentive unit issued under the incentive award plan will count as one share of stock for purposes of calculating the limit on shares that may be issued under the plan and the individual award limit discussed below.

With respect to stock option grants and other awards granted to our independent directors, the plan will be administered by our board of directors. With respect to all other awards, the plan will be administered by our compensation committee or another committee designated by our board of directors. Each member of the committee that administers the plan will be both a non-employee director within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and an outside director within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code. The incentive award plan provides that the plan administrator has the authority to designate recipients of awards and to determine the terms and provisions of awards, including the exercise or purchase price, expiration date, vesting schedule and terms of exercise.

The incentive award plan provides that the maximum number of shares which may be subject to awards granted any individual during any calendar year will not exceed 1,118,526 shares. However, this limit will not apply until the earliest of the first material modification of the plan, the issuance of all of the shares reserved for issuance under the plan, the expiration of the plan, or the first meeting of our stockholders at which directors are to be elected that occurs more than three years after November 3, 2004.

The exercise price of nonqualified stock options and incentive stock options granted under the incentive award plan must be at least 85% and 100%, respectively, of the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. Incentive stock options granted to optionees who own more than 10% of our outstanding common stock on the date of grant must have an exercise price that is at least 110% of fair market value of our common

111

stock on the grant date. Stock options granted under the incentive award plan will expire no later than ten years after the date of grant, or five years after the date of grant with respect to incentive stock options granted to individuals who own more than 10% of our outstanding common stock on the grant date. The purchase price, if any, of other incentive awards will be determined by the plan administrator.

The incentive award plan also provides for the issuance of restricted stock awards and other incentive awards to eligible individuals. Restricted stock awards will generally be subject to such transferability and vesting restrictions as the plan administrator shall determine. With respect to other incentive awards under the plan, such as stock appreciation rights, performance-based awards, dividend equivalents, stock payments and other stock-based awards, the plan administrator will determine the terms and conditions of such awards, including the purchase or exercise price, if any, of such awards, vesting and other exercisability conditions, and whether the awards will be based on specified performance criteria.

Long-term incentive units may be issued to eligible participants for the performance of services to or for the benefit of our operating partnership. Long-term incentive units, whether vested or not, will receive the same quarterly per unit distributions as common units in our operating partnership, which equal per share distributions on our common stock. Initially, long-term incentive units will not have full parity with common units with respect to liquidating distributions. Upon the occurrence of specified events, long-term incentive units may over time achieve full parity with common units in our operating partnership for all purposes, and therefore accrete to an economic value for participants equivalent to our common stock on a one-for-one basis. If such parity is reached, vested long-term incentive units may be converted into an equal number of common units of our operating partnership at any time, and thereafter enjoy all the rights of common units of our operating partnership. Holders of common units in our operating partnership may elect to redeem their units for cash or, at our election, an equivalent number of shares of our common stock, at any time beginning after January 3, 2006. However, there are circumstances under which the long-term incentive units will not achieve full parity with common units of our operating partnership. Until and unless such parity is reached, the value that a participant will realize for a given number of vested long-term incentive units will be less than the value of an equal number of shares of our common stock. In connection with the consummation of our initial public offering, we caused our operating partnership to issue an aggregate of 1,490,561 long-term incentive units to our officers.

Cash performance bonuses payable under the incentive award plan may be based on the attainment of performance goals that are established by the plan administrator and relate to one or more performance criteria described in the plan. Cash performance bonuses paid to certain of our employees must be based upon objectively determinable bonus formulas established in accordance with the plan, and the maximum bonus payable to any such individual with respect to any fiscal year may not exceed \$2,000,000.

The incentive award plan provides for formula grants of long-term incentive units to our independent directors. In connection with the consummation of our initial public offering, each independent director who was an accredited investor was granted 6,448 long-term incentive units. Commencing with the third annual meeting of stockholders following November 3, 2004, each such independent director will receive 1,612 long-term incentive units on the date of each annual meeting of stockholders at which the independent director is reelected to our board of directors. Similarly, each independent director who is initially elected to our board of directors after November 3, 2004 will receive 6,448 long-term incentive units on the date of such initial election and, commencing with the third annual meeting of stockholders following such initial election, 1,612 long-term incentive units on the date of each annual meeting of stockholders at which the independent director is reelected to our board of directors. If a non-employee director does not qualify as an accredited investor within the meaning of the federal securities laws on the date of any grant of long-term incentive units, the director will not receive that grant of long-term incentive units, but will instead receive an equivalent number of fully vested shares of restricted stock at a per share purchase price equal to the par value of our common stock.

In the event of certain corporate transactions and changes in our corporate structure or capitalization, the plan administrator may make appropriate adjustments to (i) the aggregate number and type of shares issuable

under the incentive award plan, (ii) the terms and conditions of any outstanding awards, including the number and type of shares issuable thereunder, and (iii) the grant or exercise price of each outstanding award. In addition, in the event of a change in control (as defined in the plan), each outstanding award which is not converted, assumed or replaced by the successor corporation will become exercisable in full. The plan administrator also has the authority under the incentive award plan to take certain other actions with respect to outstanding awards in the event of a corporate transaction, including provision for the cash-out, termination, assumption or substitution of such awards. If a change in control occurs and outstanding awards are not converted, assumed, or replaced by a successor entity, then immediately prior to the change in control such awards will become fully exercisable and all forfeiture restrictions on such awards will lapse.

With the approval of our board of directors, the plan administrator may at any time terminate, amend or modify the incentive award plan, provided that to the extent necessary and desirable to comply with any applicable law, regulation, or stock exchange rule, we must obtain stockholder approval of any amendment in such a manner and to such a degree as required, and without the approval of our stockholders, no amendment may increase the maximum number of shares issuable under the incentive award plan, permit the plan administrator to grant options with an exercise price that is below the fair market value on the date of grant, or permit the plan administrator to extend the exercise period for an option beyond ten years from the date of grant. In addition, no stock option may be amended to reduce the exercise price of the shares subject thereto below the exercise price on the date on which the stock option is granted, and, subject to the plan s adjustment provisions, no stock option may be granted in connection with the cancellation or surrender of any stock option having a higher per share exercise price. Any termination, amendment or modification of the incentive award plan which materially adversely affects any outstanding award requires the prior written consent of the affected holder. The incentive award plan will terminate on the earlier of the expiration of ten years from the date that it is adopted by our board of directors or the expiration of ten years from the date it is approved by our stockholders. No award may be granted under the plan after its termination, but awards that are outstanding at such time will remain in effect. The incentive award plan became effective as of October 24, 2004.

The incentive award plan provides that no participant in the plan will be permitted to acquire, or will have any right to acquire, shares thereunder if such acquisition would be prohibited by the stock ownership limits contained in our charter or would impair our status as a REIT.

On December 16, 2004, we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a Registration Statement on Form S-8 covering the shares of common stock issuable under the incentive award plan.

Employment Agreements

We have entered into employment agreements, effective as of October 27, 2004, with Messrs. Foust, Stein and Peterson. The employment agreements provide for Mr. Foust to serve as our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Stein to serve as our Chief Financial Officer and Chief Investment Officer and Mr. Peterson to serve as our Senior Vice President, Acquisitions.

The employment agreements with Messrs. Foust and Stein have terms ending on November 3, 2006. The employment agreement with Mr. Peterson provides that his employment with us is at-will and may be terminated by either him or us upon 30 days advance written notice.

The employment agreements provide for (i) an annual base salary of \$350,000 for Mr. Foust, \$290,000 for Mr. Stein, and \$250,000 for Mr. Peterson, subject to increase in accordance with our policies as in effect from time to time, (ii) eligibility for an annual cash performance bonus under our incentive bonus plan based on the satisfaction of performance goals established in accordance with the terms of such plan, and (iii) medical and other group welfare plan coverage and fringe benefits provided to similarly-situated executives. Each executive starget and maximum annual bonus will initially be 50% and 75%, respectively, of his base salary. With respect to Messrs. Foust and Stein, these bonus

provisions will apply until the earliest to occur of (i) the first material

113

modification of the bonus plan (within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code), (ii) the expiration of the bonus plan, (iii) our first annual stockholders meeting that occurs after the close of the third calendar year following the calendar year in which our initial public offering occurs, or (iv) such other date required by Section 162(m) of the Code. Pursuant to the employment agreements, we have issued to each of Messrs. Foust, Stein and Peterson that number of long-term incentive units of our operating partnership which is equal to 17%, 8.75% and 6.5%, respectively, of a management pool consisting of 1,616,299 units. Pursuant to the grant agreements, these long-term incentive units are not be transferable for a period of three years from the date of grant and receive the same quarterly per unit distributions as common units in our operating partnership, which equal per share distributions on our common stock. The long-term incentive units were issued to each executive as part of his compensation for services rendered to or for the benefit of our operating partnership. These long-term incentive units achieved full parity with our common units on February 9, 2005. In addition, in connection with the consummation of our initial public offering, each of Messrs. Foust, Stein and Peterson was granted an incentive stock option to purchase that number of shares of our common stock which is equal to 15.5%, 10.0% and 10.0%, respectively, of a management pool consisting of 808,149 options. The options were issued to each executive in his capacity as an employee of Digital Realty Trust, Inc. or its subsidiary corporations. The per share exercise price of such options is equal to \$12.00. Subject to the executive s continued employment with us, the options will vest in equal annual installments of 25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant. In the event of a change in control of our company, the options will vest in full. The long-term incentive units and the stock options were awarded under our

The employment agreements also provide that if an executive s employment is terminated by us without cause or, in the case of Messrs. Foust and Stein, by the executive for good reason (each as defined in the employment agreements), then, subject to the executive s execution and non-revocation of a general release of claims, the executive will be entitled to receive a lump-sum severance payment in an amount equal to 100% (in the case of Messrs. Foust and Stein) or 50% (in the case of Mr. Peterson) of the sum of his then current annual base salary plus target annual bonus. If, however, such termination occurs within one year after a change in control of our company or within the six-month period immediately preceding a change in control in connection with the change in control, the amount of the executive s severance payment will be equal to 200% (in the case of Messrs. Foust and Stein) or 100% (in the case of Mr. Peterson) of the sum of his then current annual base salary and target annual bonus (or if greater, the executive s annual bonus for the preceding year). In addition, in such event, all outstanding stock options and other equity-based awards held by the executive will become fully vested and exercisable on the later to occur of the termination of employment or the change in control. The executive will not be entitled to any such payment or benefit if the termination of his employment results from his disability or death. Mr. Foust s and Mr. Stein s employment agreements provide that if their employment is terminated by us within the six months prior to, or twelve months after, a change in control, the termination will be presumed to be without cause.

In the event that Mr. Foust or Mr. Stein voluntarily terminates his employment without good reason prior to the end of the employment term, the employment agreement provides that we may elect to retain him as a consultant for a period ending not later than the earlier of the first anniversary of his termination of employment or November 3, 2006. Under this arrangement, the executive is obligated to perform up to ten hours of consulting services per month and we will pay him a consulting fee in an amount equal to the base salary that he would have received for the consulting period had he remained employed by us. Subject to the executive s compliance with the confidentiality, non-solicitation and non-compete covenants discussed below, the consulting fee is payable in full in a lump-sum upon completion of the consulting period.

Messrs. Foust and Stein are each entitled to an additional tax gross-up payment under their employment agreements if any amounts paid or payable to the executive would be subject to the excise tax on certain so-called excess parachute payments under Section 4999 of the Code. However, if a reduction in the payments of 10% or less would render the excise tax inapplicable, then the payments will be reduced by such amount and we will not be required to make the gross-up payment.

114

The employment agreements also contain standard confidentiality provisions which apply indefinitely and non-solicitation provisions which will apply during the term of the executive s employment and for a one-year period thereafter (six months in the case of Mr. Peterson) or, in the case of Messrs. Foust and Stein, for the duration of the consulting period, if later. In addition, Messrs. Foust s and Stein s employment agreements provide that they generally may not compete with us through the acquisition or ownership of technology-related real estate properties in the United States or Europe during the term of their employment with us or the period during which they are providing consulting services to us. This covenant will not prohibit Messrs. Foust or Stein from making investments in which they own less than a 9.5% beneficial interest and have no active management role and, under limited circumstances, investments in which they own more than a 9.5% interest.

On May 20, 2005, we entered into a Termination and Consulting Agreement with Mr. Wilson, our former Executive Vice President, Technology Infrastructure, which provides for the termination of Mr. Wilson s employment with us, the waiver of any severance or other payments, accelerated vesting of options and other equity based awards under the employment agreement, the acceleration of options to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock and the provision of consulting services to us by Mr. Wilson.

Executive Chairman Agreement

We have entered into an agreement with Mr. Magnuson, effective as of October 27, 2004, pursuant to which we and our operating partnership employ him as Executive Chairman of our board of directors. Mr. Magnuson s employment agreement has a term ending on November 3, 2006. The agreement subjects Mr. Magnuson to the confidentiality, non-solicitation and non-compete covenants discussed below. Under the agreement, Mr. Magnuson has agreed to waive his right to receive all cash compensation payable to him for serving as a member of our board of directors. This waiver will remain in effect until such time as GI Partners ceases to own at least a 10% beneficial interest in our operating partnership (on a fully diluted basis). Prior to that time, Mr. Magnuson will be entitled to base compensation during his employment equal to \$150,000 per year and an annual bonus based on the satisfaction of performance goals established under our applicable bonus plan. During this period, Mr. Magnuson s target and maximum annual bonus will be 100% and 150%, respectively, of his annual base compensation. Effective at such time as GI Partners ceases to own such a 10% beneficial interest, and continuing during his employment, Mr. Magnuson will be entitled to base compensation equal to \$300,000 per year and an annual bonus based on the satisfaction of performance goals established under our applicable bonus plan. Mr. Magnuson s target and maximum annual bonus during this period will be 50% and 75%, respectively, of his annual base compensation. Mr. Magnuson s target and maximum bonus amounts set forth above will apply until the earliest to occur of (i) the first material modification of the bonus plan (within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code), (ii) the expiration of the bonus plan, (iii) our first annual stockholders meeting that occurs after the close of the third calendar year following the calendar year in which our initial public offering occurs, or (iv) such other date as required by Section 162(m) of the Code.

Pursuant to the agreement, we have issued Mr. Magnuson that number of long-term incentive units of our operating partnership equal to 50% of a management pool consisting of 1,616,299 units. The long-term incentive units were issued to Mr. Magnuson as part of his compensation for services rendered to or for the benefit of our operating partnership. These long-term incentive units are not transferable for a period of three years from the date of grant. The long-term incentive units issued to Mr. Magnuson achieved full parity with our common units on February 9, 2005. In addition, in connection with our initial public offering, we granted Mr. Magnuson an incentive stock option to purchase that number of shares of our common stock which is equal to 15.5% of a management pool consisting of 808,149 options. The option was issued to Mr. Magnuson in his capacity as an employee of the Digital Realty Trust, Inc. or its subsidiary corporations. The per share exercise price of the options is \$12.00. Subject to Mr. Magnuson s continued employment or directorship with us, the options will vest in equal annual installments of 25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant. In the event of a change in control of our company, the options will vest in full. The long-term incentive units and the stock options were awarded to Mr. Magnuson under our 2004 incentive award plan.

115

The agreement also provides that, subject to Mr. Magnuson s execution and non-revocation of a general release of claims, if he is not reelected to our board of directors or if we remove or fail to nominate him (other than for cause, as defined in the agreement), we will pay him a lump-sum payment in an amount equal to the sum of (x) the greater of \$300,000 or 100% of his then current annual base compensation as described above plus (y) his then current target annual bonus. If, however, such termination occurs within one year after a change in control of our company or within the six-month period immediately before a change in control in connection with the change in control, the amount of this payment will be equal to 200% of the sum of (x) the greater of \$300,000 or his then current annual compensation plus (y) his target annual bonus (or if greater, his annual bonus for the preceding year). In addition, in such event, all outstanding stock options and other equity-based awards held by Mr. Magnuson will become fully vested and exercisable on the later to occur of the termination of directorship or the change in control. If Mr. Magnuson remains employed by us after his directorship terminates, he will not be entitled to these payments or benefits until his employment terminates. Mr. Magnuson will not be entitled to these payments or benefits if the termination of his directorship results from his disability or death. Mr. Magnuson s employment agreement provides that if his directorship is terminated by us within the six months prior to, or twelve months after, a change in control, the termination will be presumed to be without cause.

In the event that Mr. Magnuson voluntarily terminates his employment prior to the end of the employment term, the employment agreement provides that we may elect to retain him as a consultant for a period ending not later than the earlier of the first anniversary of his termination of employment or the second anniversary of the completion of our initial public offering. Under this arrangement, Mr. Magnuson will be obligated to perform up to ten hours of consulting services per month and we will pay him a consulting fee in an amount equal to the base compensation that he would have received for the consulting period had he remained employed by us. Subject to Mr. Magnuson s compliance with the confidentiality, non-solicitation and non-compete covenants discussed below, the consulting fee is payable in full in a lump-sum payment upon completion of the consulting period.

Mr. Magnuson is also entitled to an additional tax gross-up payment under his agreement if any amounts paid or payable to him would be subject to the excise tax on certain so-called excess parachute payments under Section 4999 of the Code. However, if a reduction in the payments of 10% or less would render the excise tax inapplicable, then the payments will be reduced by such amount and we will not be required to make the gross-up payment.

The agreement provides that Mr. Magnuson s employment with us is not exclusive and, subject to the confidentiality, non-solicitation and non-compete covenants discussed below, will not limit Mr. Magnuson s ability to provide services to any other person or entity, including CB Richard Ellis Investors, where he remains employed, or its affiliates and GI Partners. Mr. Magnuson s agreement contains standard confidentiality provisions which apply indefinitely and non-solicitation provisions which will apply during the term of his employment or directorship and continue for the duration of the consulting period or a one-year period after termination of his employment or directorship (whichever is later). In addition, Mr. Magnuson s employment agreement provides that he generally may not compete with us through the acquisition or ownership of technology-related real estate properties in the United States or Europe during the term of his employment with us or the period during which he is providing consulting services to us. This covenant will not prohibit Mr. Magnuson from providing management or other services in respect of real estate which do not involve the acquisition or ownership by him of technology-related real estate or from making investments in which he owns less than a 9.5% beneficial interest and has no active management role and, under limited circumstances, investments in which he owns more than a 9.5% interest.

401(k) Plan

Under the client services arrangement which we have entered into with the PEO, both we and the PEO are intended to be co-employers of our employees. Accordingly, our employees are eligible to participate in the PEO s 401(k) plan, a retirement savings plan that is intended to be tax-qualified under Section 401(a) of the Code.

116

Indemnification Agreements

We have entered into indemnification agreements with each of our executive officers and directors that obligate us to indemnify them to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. The indemnification agreements provide that:

If a director or executive officer is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any proceeding, other than a proceeding by or in the right of our company, by reason of such director s or executive officer s status as a director, officer or employee of our company, we must indemnify such director or executive officer for all expenses and liabilities actually and reasonably incurred by him or her, or on his or her behalf, unless it has been established that:

the act or omission of the director or executive officer was material to the matter giving rise to the proceeding and was committed in bad faith or was the result of active and deliberate dishonesty;

the director or executive officer actually received an improper personal benefit in money, property or other services; or

with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, the director or executive officer had reasonable cause to believe that his or her conduct was unlawful.

If a director or executive officer is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any proceeding by or in the right of our company to procure a judgment in our company s favor by reason of such director s or executive officer s status as a director, officer or employee of our company, we must indemnify such director or executive officer for all expenses and liabilities actually and reasonably incurred by him or her, or on his or her behalf, unless it has been established that:

the act or omission of the director or executive officer was material to the matter giving rise to the proceeding and was committed in bad faith or was the result of active and deliberate dishonesty; or

the director or executive officer actually received an improper personal benefit in money, property or other services;

provided, however, that we will have no obligation to indemnify such director or executive officer for all expenses and liabilities actually and reasonably incurred by him or her, or on his or her behalf, if it has been adjudged that such director or executive officer is liable to us with respect to such proceeding.

Upon application of a director or executive officer of our company to a court of appropriate jurisdiction, the court may order indemnification of such director or executive officer if:

the court determines that such director or executive officer is entitled to indemnification under the applicable section of the MGCL, in which case the director or executive officer shall be entitled to recover from us the expenses of securing such indemnification; or

the court determines that such director or executive officer is fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnification in view of all the relevant circumstances, whether or not the director or executive officer has met the standards of conduct set forth in the

applicable section of the MGCL or has been adjudged liable for receipt of an improper personal benefit under the applicable section of the MGCL; provided, however, that our indemnification obligations to such director or executive officer will be limited to the expenses actually and reasonably incurred by him or her, or on his or her behalf, in connection with any proceeding by or in the right of our company or in which the officer or director shall have been adjudged liable for receipt of an improper personal benefit under the applicable section of the MGCL.

Notwithstanding, and without limiting, any other provisions of the agreements, if a director or executive officer is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any proceeding by reason of such director s or executive officer s status as a director, officer or employee of our company, and such director or executive officer is successful, on the merits or otherwise, as to one or more but less than all claims,

117

issues or matters in such proceeding, we must indemnify such director or executive officer for all expenses actually and reasonably incurred by him or her, or on his or her behalf, in connection with each successfully resolved claim, issue or matter, including any claim, issue or matter in such a proceeding that is terminated by dismissal, with or without prejudice.

We must pay all indemnifiable expenses in advance of the final disposition of any proceeding if the director or executive officer furnishes us with a written affirmation of the director s or executive officer s good faith belief that the standard of conduct necessary for indemnification by our company has been met and a written undertaking to reimburse us if a court of competent jurisdiction determines that the director or executive officer is not entitled to indemnification.

We must pay all indemnifiable expenses to the director or executive officer within 20 calendar days following the date the director or executive officer submits proof of the expenses to us.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, may be permitted to directors, officers or persons controlling the registrant pursuant to the foregoing provisions, the registrant has been informed that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Act and is therefore unenforceable.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

There are no compensation committee interlocks and none of our employees participate on the compensation committee.

118

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Acquisition of Certain Properties by GI Partners Prior to our Initial Public Offering

Through various transactions during the two years prior to the completion of our initial public offering, GI Partners acquired the following properties (the aggregate purchase price paid by GI Partners for each property is indicated parenthetically): Hudson Corporate Center (\$57,030,000); NTT/Verio Premier Data Center (\$28,500,000); VarTec Building (\$12,000,000); Ardenwood Corporate Park (\$57,000,000); ASM Lithography Facility (\$22,400,000); AT&T Web Hosting Facility (\$13,500,000); Brea Data Center (\$10,150,000); Granite Tower (\$33,200,000); Maxtor Manufacturing Facility (\$25,000,000); Stanford Place II (\$35,050,000); 100 Technology Center Drive (\$38,100,000); Siemens Building (\$17,200,000); Carrier Center (\$75,000,000); Savvis Data Center (\$60,000,000); Comverse Technology Building (\$58,000,000); Webb at LBJ (\$45,850,000); AboveNet Data Center (\$36,500,000) and eBay Data Center (75% interest for \$9,600,000).

GI Partners Contribution Agreement

In connection with the consummation of our initial public offering, our operating partnership entered into a contribution agreement with GI Partners pursuant to which GI Partners contributed its direct or indirect interests in a portfolio of properties to the operating partnership in exchange for units and the assumption of debt. Under GI Partners contribution agreement, GI Partners directly received 31,930,695 units and we assumed or repaid an aggregate of \$548.8 million of debt. The aggregate value of the units issued to GI Partners was \$383.2 million, based upon the initial public offering price of our common stock of \$12.00 per share.

Pursuant to the GI Partners contribution agreement, we assumed or succeeded to all of the contributors rights, obligations and responsibilities with respect to the properties and the property entities contributed. GI Partners contribution agreement contains representations and warranties by GI Partners to our operating partnership with respect to the condition and operations of the properties and interests contributed to us and certain other matters. With some exceptions, GI Partners has agreed to indemnify our operating partnership for breach of these representations and warranties on or prior to February 15, 2006, subject to a \$500,000 deductible and up to a maximum of \$15.0 million. GI Partners pledged units to our operating partnership with a value, based on the initial public offering price of \$12.00 per share of our common stock, equal to \$15.0 million in order to secure its indemnity obligations, and except in limited circumstances, these units will be the sole recourse of our operating partnership in the case of a breach of a representation or warranty or other claim for indemnification.

In connection with the consummation of our initial public offering, the entities that own the properties contributed to us made special distributions payable to GI Partners in an aggregate amount of approximately \$5.2 million. These distributions were in an amount calculated to approximate customary commercial real estate prorations, whereby the buyer and seller apportion rents, taxes, utilities, escrowed or restricted funds and other operating expenses. Such distributions were contemplated by the parties in connection with determining the aggregate consideration to be received by GI Partners under its contribution agreement.

eBay Data Center Purchase Agreement

In connection with the consummation of our initial public offering, our operating partnership entered into a purchase and sale agreement with GI Partners pursuant to which GI Partners transferred its 75% direct or indirect interest in the entity that owns the eBay Data Center property for a purchase price in cash equal to the amount paid by GI Partners to acquire the property plus transaction costs and expenses, for a maximum

aggregate price of approximately \$10.3 million. The purchase and sale agreement contains representations and warranties by GI Partners to our operating partnership with respect to the interests transferred to us and certain other matters. GI Partners has agreed to indemnify us for breach of these representations and warranties on or prior to February 15, 2006. On January 21, 2005, we purchased the remaining 25% interest from an unrelated third party for \$4.1 million.

119

Purchase of Operating Partnership Units from CalPERS and Global Innovation Contributors

Immediately following the consummation of our initial public offering, GI Partners made a pro rata allocation, in accordance with their respective interests and with the terms of its constitutive documents, to its investors, CalPERS and Global Innovation Contributors, LLC, or GI Contributors, of a portion of the operating partnership units received by GI Partners in the formation transactions consummated concurrently with our initial public offering (having an aggregate value of approximately \$81.7 million based on the initial public offering price of our common stock), and immediately thereafter, we purchased from these investors the operating partnership units allocated to them at a price per unit of \$11.16, which is equal to the per share initial public offering price of our common stock, net of underwriting discounts and commissions and financial advisory fees paid to the underwriters, for our initial public offering. In addition, because the underwriters exercised their over-allotment option in connection with our initial public offering, GI Partners made an additional pro rata allocation to CalPERS and GI Contributors of 1,421,300 operating partnership units (equal to the number of shares sold pursuant to such exercise), and we purchased those operating partnership units from CalPERS and GI Contributors at a price per unit equal to \$11.16 per share. The units purchased by us from CalPERS and GI Contributors automatically converted from limited partner interests to general partner interests upon purchase by us.

Richard Magnuson, the Executive Chairman of our board of directors, Michael Foust, our Chief Executive Officer and a member of our board of directors, and Scott Peterson, our Senior Vice President, Acquisitions, are minority investors in GI Contributors, and received in the aggregate less than 0.1% of the total cash paid by us to CalPERS and GI Contributors, consistent with the percentage of their total capital commitment in GI Partners. See Other Benefits to Related Parties and Related Party Transactions.

200 Paul Avenue and 1100 Space Park Drive Contribution Agreement

In connection with the consummation of our initial public offering, our operating partnership entered into a contribution agreement with San Francisco Wave eXchange, LLC, Santa Clara Wave eXchange, LLC and eXchange Colocation, LLC, referred to below as the eXchange parties, pursuant to which the eXchange parties contributed their interests in 200 Paul Avenue, 1100 Space Park Drive, the eXchange colocation business and other specified assets and liabilities to the operating partnership in exchange for cash, units and the assumption of debt. Under the eXchange parties contribution agreement, the eXchange parties directly received \$15.0 million in cash, 5,935,846 operating partnership units and we assumed or repaid an aggregate of \$62.8 million of indebtedness encumbering the properties. The eXchange parties are unaffiliated with GI Partners; however, John O. Wilson, our former Executive Vice President, Technology Infrastructure, owns a 10% interest in the eXchange parties.

Pursuant to the eXchange parties contribution agreement, we assumed or succeeded to all of the contributors rights, obligations and responsibilities with respect to the properties and the property entities contributed. The eXchange parties contribution agreement contains representations and warranties by the eXchange parties to our operating partnership with respect to the condition and operations of the properties and interests to be contributed to us and certain other matters. The eXchange parties have agreed to indemnify our operating partnership for breach of a representation or warranty on or prior to February 15, 2006, subject to a \$150,000 deductible and up to a maximum of \$5.0 million. The eXchange parties pledged units to our operating partnership with a value, based on the initial public offering price of \$12.00 per share of our common stock in our initial public offering, equal to \$5.0 million in order to secure its indemnity obligations, and, except in limited circumstances, these units will be the sole recourse of our operating partnership in the case of a breach of a representation or warranty or other claim for indemnification.

Under the eXchange parties contribution agreement, we have agreed to indemnify each eXchange party against adverse tax consequences in the event our operating partnership directly or indirectly, sells, exchanges or otherwise disposes of (whether by way of merger, sale of assets or otherwise) in a taxable transaction any interest in 200 Paul Avenue or 1100 Space Park Drive until the earlier of November 3, 2013 and the date on which these contributors hold less than 25% of the units issued to them in the formation transactions consummated

concurrently with our initial public offering. The 200 Paul Avenue and 1100 Space Park Drive properties represented 10.1% of our portfolio s annualized rent as of March 31, 2005. These tax indemnities do not apply to the disposition of a restricted property pursuant to a transaction described in Section 721, 1031 or 1033 of the Code, or another applicable non-recognition provision under the Code.

Under the eXchange parties contribution agreement, we agreed to make \$20.0 million of indebtedness available for guaranty by these parties until the earlier of November 3, 2013 and the date on which these contributors or certain transferees hold less than 25% of the units issued to them in the formation transactions consummated concurrently with our initial public offering. Among other things, these guaranties of debt allow the eXchange parties to defer the recognition of gain in connection with the contribution of these properties.

200 Paul Avenue and 1100 Space Park Drive Property Management Agreement

Concurrently with the consummation of our initial public offering, we entered into a property management agreement with the eXchange parties. We entered into this agreement in order to maintain continuity of management until we internalize our property management function. Under the terms of the agreement, the eXchange parties generally supervised the operation and management of the 200 Paul Avenue and 1100 Space Park Drive properties in exchange for a monthly management fee in the amount of 2% of the gross monthly rents and other revenues received from the properties. We were responsible for all leasing commissions and costs of on-site employees of the eXchange parties. We terminated this agreement on February 28, 2005.

Partnership Agreement

Concurrently with the consummation of our initial public offering, we entered into a partnership agreement with the various limited partners of our operating partnership, including GI Partners and the eXchange parties. Pursuant to the partnership agreement, persons holding units as a result of the formation transactions consummated concurrently with our initial public offering have rights beginning on January 3, 2006 to cause our operating partnership to redeem each of their units for cash equal to the then-current market value of one share of common stock, or, at our election, to exchange their units for shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis. See Description of Partnership Agreement of Digital Realty Trust, L. P.

Executive Chairman and Employment Agreements

We have entered into employment agreements with our executive officers as described in Management Employment Agreements and an agreement with the Executive Chairman of our board of directors as described in Management Executive Chairman Agreement that became effective in connection with the consummation of our initial public offering and the related formation transactions. These agreements provide for salary, bonuses and other benefits, including severance benefits upon a termination of employment, as well as vested long-term incentive units and option awards, among other matters.

We have also issued 6,448 long-term incentive units to each of our outside directors under our 2004 Incentive Award Plan.

Indemnification of Officers and Directors

Effective upon the consummation of our initial public offering, we entered into an indemnification agreement with each of our executive officers and directors as described in Management Indemnification Agreements.

Right of First Offer Agreements

We have a right of first offer agreement with respect to a property in Frankfurt, Germany which is currently owned by GI Partners. Pursuant to this agreement, we have the right to make the first offer to purchase this

121

property if GI Partners decides to sell it. If we make an offer that is rejected, GI Partners may sell the property, but only to a third party within 180 days thereafter, on terms that are better than the terms of our offer or the unsolicited offer that we elected not to match. We may pay for the purchase of this property with units, with each unit valued at the then-current fair market value of a share of our common stock, or in cash. The right of first offer agreement will expire on the earlier of December 31, 2009, the completion of the dissolution of GI Partners or the date on which GI Partners no longer owns the property.

We also had a right of first offer agreement with respect to Ameriquest Data Center, in Englewood, Colorado, which was owned by GI Partners. We purchased this property for \$16.5 million paid in cash in June 2005. The transaction was negotiated at arm s-length with GI Partners and was approved by the independent members of our board of directors. An independent appraisal commissioned by us in January 2005 determined that the property had a market value of \$17,000,000.

Registration Rights

We have granted those persons who received units in the formation transactions, including GI Partners, certain registration rights with respect to the shares of our common stock that may be acquired by them in connection with the exercise of the redemption/exchange rights under the partnership agreement of our operating partnership. These registration rights require us to seek to file a shelf registration statement covering all such shares of common stock by January 3, 2006. In addition, commencing on March 3, 2006, each of GI Partners and another third party who received units in the formation transaction have the right, on one occasion, to require us to register all such shares of our common stock.

We have also granted registration rights to GI Partners with respect to any units issued, or to be issued, upon exercise of the Carrier Center option agreement or the Englewood, Colorado or Frankfurt right of first offer agreements, effective as of the date which is 14 months following the closing of the applicable property acquisition. In the event we fail to file this registration statement or file it but fail to maintain its effectiveness, holders will have the right (subject to certain limitations) to have their shares included in any registration statement we file for an underwritten public offering, and holders who individually or in the aggregate own more than \$5.0 million of such shares will have the right to require us to register all such shares of our common stock, provided that we will not be required to effect more than one such demand registration in any twelve-month period.

Transition Services Agreement with CB Richard Ellis Investors

We entered into a transition services agreement with CB Richard Ellis Investors pursuant to which CB Richard Ellis Investors provided us with transitional accounting and other services for the first quarter of 2005. We paid CB Richard Ellis Investors a one-time fee of \$58,500 for these services, and were also required to reimburse CB Richard Ellis Investors for reasonable travel and other out-of-pocket expenses. This agreement has since expired.

Linc Facility Services Agreements

In April 2005, we entered into two agreements with Linc Facility Services, LLC, or LFS, on a cost plus basis, primarily for personnel providing for operations and maintenance repairs of the mechanical, electrical, plumbing and general building service systems of five of our properties. Under the first agreement, our first year estimated cost for services LFS performs for Carrier Center is \$359,061 plus a 6% fee. Under the second agreement, our estimated cost for services LFS performs for 200 Paul Avenue, 1100 Space Park and AboveNet Data Center is \$493,028 plus a

fee of 5% - 6%, depending on the services performed. Each of these contracts is for an initial term of two years and will be automatically renewed unless terminated. In January 2005, we entered into a contract with Linc Service, LLC primarily for HVAC maintenance services for Webb at LBJ. Our estimated cost for the one-year term of this contract is approximately \$3,495. In 2004, we also entered into two contracts with Linc Services, LLC primarily for HVAC maintenance services for Univision Tower. Each contract provides services to a different space in Univision Tower and is for a one-year term. Our estimated costs for the contracts are \$10,788 and \$4,248.

LFS and Linc Service, LLC and Linc Services, LLC belong to The Linc Group, which GI Partners has owned since late 2003.

122

Employment Relationships

Prior to the consummation of our initial public offering, Richard A. Magnuson, our Executive Chairman, Michael F. Foust, our Chief Executive Officer and Scott E. Peterson, our Senior Vice President, Acquisitions, were employees of CB Richard Ellis Investors. In addition, A. William Stein, our Chief Financial Officer and Chief Investment Officer, provided services to GI Partners under a consulting relationship. Mr. Magnuson remained an employee of CB Richard Ellis Investors following the consummation of the initial public offering, the employment of Messrs. Foust and Peterson with CB Richard Ellis Investors terminated and they became our full-time employees and the consulting relationship between A. William Stein and GI Partners ceased. See Management Employment Agreements.

GI Partners Loan Agreement

In connection with our initial public offering, we borrowed funds from GI Partners on an interest-free basis in order to pay expenses (including accounting and legal fees) relating to our initial public offering and the related formation transactions. We repayed these borrowed funds, a total of approximately \$5.3 million, upon the consummation of our initial public offering.

Transfer of Letters of Credit to the Company from GI Partners

As of March 31, 2005, GI Partners had approximately \$1.2 million of letters of credit outstanding that secure obligations relating to two of our properties, Carrier Center and Stanford Place II. These letters of credit were initially issued in lieu of making deposits required by a local utility and in lieu of establishing a restricted cash account on behalf of a lender. We are in the process of causing these letters of credit to be transferred to us. We are currently reimbursing GI Partners for the costs of maintaining the letters of credit, which are less than \$5,000 per quarter.

Settlement of Foreign Currency Forward Contract Assumed from GI Partners

On January 24, 2005, we settled the foreign currency forward contract that we assumed from GI Partners related to the Camperdown House property located in the United Kingdom for a payment of approximately \$2.5 million. On the same date, we entered into a new foreign currency forward contract to hedge the foreign currency risk related to owning a property in the United Kingdom. On February 4, 2005, GI Partners reimbursed us for \$1.9 million of the settlement since it was determined that the negative value associated with the forward contract was not otherwise factored into the determination of the number of units that were granted to GI Partners in exchange for its interests in Camperdown House.

Non-Competition Agreement with Global Innovation Partners, LLC

We have entered into a non-competition agreement with GI Partners pursuant to which GI Partners has agreed not to acquire or own, directly or indirectly, interests in technology-related real estate properties in the United States or Europe for the remainder of GI Partners investment period, which ends in February 2006. This agreement does not prohibit GI Partners from, among other things, providing management or other services

in respect of real estate not owned by GI Partners. It also does not prohibit the acquisition of any entity so long as the ownership of technology-related real estate is not the entity so primary business, provided that the value of the technology-related real estate owned by such entity accounts for less than 35% of the entity so total enterprise value, as determined by GI Partners. In addition, this agreement does not prohibit GI Partners from owning the Frankfurt data center. The sole remedy available to us for breach of this agreement is the right to purchase the property from GI Partners at GI Partners cost within 90 days of notice to us of the purchase by GI Partners.

123

Other Benefits to Related Parties and Related Party Transactions

CB Richard Ellis Investors, Richard Magnuson, the Executive Chairman of our board of directors, Michael Foust, our Chief Executive Officer and a member of our board of directors, and Scott Peterson, our Senior Vice President, Acquisitions, are investors in Global Innovation Manager, LLC, or GI Manager, the manager of GI Partners. GI Manager is entitled under certain circumstances to share in distributions made by GI Partners to its investors, including distributions related to GI Partners ownership interest in our operating partnership. Under the terms of GI Partners constitutive agreement, GI Manager is only entitled to share in distributions after the other investors in GI Partners CalPERS and GI Contributor receive a return of their invested capital and a specified rate of return from their capital investments. Distributions from GI Partners to GI Manager are distributed by GI Manager 50% to CB Richard Ellis Investors, and 50% to certain individuals presently or historically involved with GI Partners, including Messrs. Magnuson, Foust and Peterson. To date, no distributions have been made to GI Manager.

CB Richard Ellis Investors is the sole member of Global Innovation Advisor, LLC, or GI Advisor. GI Advisor manages the investments of GI Partners on behalf of GI Manager. Mr. Magnuson is a member of the management and investment committees of GI Advisor, for which he is not separately compensated.

Mr. Magnuson is the chief executive officer of GI Advisor, for which he is not separately compensated.

Pursuant to the terms of GI Partners constitutive agreement, GI Partners pays GI Advisor an asset management fee equal to a percentage of its investors capital commitments or, following the fund s investment period, its investors capital contributions, to GI Partners. Although we are not a party to this arrangement and are not obligated to pay this management fee in the future, \$2,655,000, \$3,185,000, \$3,185,000 and \$2,663,000 of these fees were allocated to the Digital Realty Predecessor for the period from January 1, 2004 through November 2, 2004, the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the period from inception on February 28, 2001 to December 31, 2001, respectively. These fees were allocated to the Digital Realty Predecessor because the asset management fee represented GI Partners general and administrative expenses.

We and the Digital Realty Predecessor paid additional compensation, not encompassed in management fees, to affiliates of CB Richard Ellis Investors for real estate services. The following table presents fees incurred by us and the Digital Realty Predecessor and earned by affiliates of CB Richard Ellis Investors (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Years Ended December 31,			
	ch 31, 005	2004	2003	2002		
Lease commissions Brokerage fees	\$ 18	\$ 411	\$ 1,092	\$ 116		