

CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP  
Form 10-Q  
November 06, 2003  
Table of Contents

---

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

---

## FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR QUARTER ENDED September 30, 2003

COMMISSION FILE NO. 1-11706

---

# CARRAMERICA REALTY CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation

or organization)

52-1796339  
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification Number)

1850 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006

(Address or principal executive office) (Zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (202) 729-1700

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

**Number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant s**

**classes of common stock, as of October 28, 2003:**

**Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share: 52,526,376 shares**

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding twelve (12) months (or such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past ninety (90) days. YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES  NO

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index**

|                                             | <b><u>Page</u></b>                                                                                                                                                          |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Part I: Financial Information</u></b> |                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Item 1.                                     | Financial Statements                                                                                                                                                        |
|                                             | <u>Consolidated balance sheets of CarrAmerica Realty Corporation and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2003 (unaudited) and December 31, 2002</u>                            |
|                                             | 4                                                                                                                                                                           |
|                                             | <u>Consolidated statements of operations of CarrAmerica Realty Corporation and subsidiaries for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 (unaudited)</u> |
|                                             | 5                                                                                                                                                                           |
|                                             | <u>Consolidated statements of cash flows of CarrAmerica Realty Corporation and subsidiaries for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 (unaudited)</u>           |
|                                             | 6                                                                                                                                                                           |
|                                             | <u>Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited)</u>                                                                                                               |
|                                             | 7-18                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Item 2.                                     | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>                                                                                |
|                                             | 19-35                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Item 3.                                     | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>                                                                                                           |
|                                             | 36                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Item 4.                                     | <u>Controls and Procedures</u>                                                                                                                                              |
|                                             | 36                                                                                                                                                                          |
| <b><u>Part II: Other Information</u></b>    |                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Item 1.                                     | <u>Legal Proceedings</u>                                                                                                                                                    |
|                                             | 37-38                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Item 6.                                     | <u>Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K</u>                                                                                                                                     |
|                                             | 38-39                                                                                                                                                                       |

**Table of Contents**

**Part I**

**Item 1. Financial Information**

The information furnished in our accompanying consolidated balance sheets, consolidated statements of operations and consolidated statements of cash flows reflects all adjustments which are, in our opinion, necessary for a fair presentation of the aforementioned financial statements for the interim periods.

The financial statements should be read in conjunction with the notes to the financial statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. The results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results to be expected for the full year.

**Table of Contents****CARRAMERICA REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

Consolidated Balance Sheets As Of September 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002

|                                                                                                                                                                                         | September 30,<br>2003 | December 31,<br>2002 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
|                                                                                                                                                                                         | (unaudited)           |                      |
| <b>(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)</b>                                                                                                                               |                       |                      |
| <b>Assets</b>                                                                                                                                                                           |                       |                      |
| Rental property:                                                                                                                                                                        |                       |                      |
| Land                                                                                                                                                                                    | \$ 672,596            | \$ 668,223           |
| Buildings                                                                                                                                                                               | 1,962,915             | 1,954,840            |
| Tenant improvements                                                                                                                                                                     | 401,796               | 367,901              |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment                                                                                                                                                       | 4,197                 | 4,262                |
|                                                                                                                                                                                         | 3,041,504             | 2,995,226            |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation                                                                                                                                                          | (643,049)             | (569,970)            |
| Total rental property                                                                                                                                                                   | 2,398,455             | 2,425,256            |
| Land held for development or sale                                                                                                                                                       | 48,586                | 44,778               |
| Construction in progress                                                                                                                                                                | 12,989                | 12,732               |
| Cash and cash equivalents                                                                                                                                                               | 7,228                 | 3,023                |
| Restricted deposits                                                                                                                                                                     | 3,744                 | 4,505                |
| Accounts and notes receivable, net                                                                                                                                                      | 12,455                | 20,391               |
| Investments in unconsolidated entities                                                                                                                                                  | 132,580               | 125,079              |
| Accrued straight-line rents                                                                                                                                                             | 80,459                | 74,884               |
| Tenant leasing costs, net                                                                                                                                                               | 47,981                | 42,170               |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets, net                                                                                                                                                  | 70,428                | 62,887               |
|                                                                                                                                                                                         | \$ 2,814,905          | \$ 2,815,705         |
| <b>Liabilities, Minority Interest, and Stockholders Equity</b>                                                                                                                          |                       |                      |
| Liabilities:                                                                                                                                                                            |                       |                      |
| Mortgages and notes payable, net                                                                                                                                                        | \$ 1,510,547          | \$ 1,603,949         |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses                                                                                                                                                   | 86,541                | 102,153              |
| Rent received in advance and security deposits                                                                                                                                          | 35,210                | 35,590               |
| Total liabilities                                                                                                                                                                       | 1,632,298             | 1,741,692            |
| Minority interest                                                                                                                                                                       | 73,555                | 76,222               |
| Stockholders equity:                                                                                                                                                                    |                       |                      |
| Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, authorized 35,000,000 shares:                                                                                                                         |                       |                      |
| Series B, C and D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, at redemption value, issued and outstanding, 1,574,615 shares at September 30, 2003 and 3,622,589 shares at December 31, 2002. | 196,325               | 254,518              |
| Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, authorized 35,000,000 shares:                                                                                                                         |                       |                      |
| Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, at redemption value, issued and outstanding, 8,050,000 shares at September 30, 2003.                                                    | 201,250               |                      |
| Common Stock, \$.01 par value, authorized 180,000,000 shares: issued and outstanding 52,330,447 shares at September 30, 2003 and 51,835,647 shares at December 31, 2002.                | 523                   | 518                  |
| Additional paid-in capital                                                                                                                                                              | 958,117               | 951,281              |
| Cumulative dividends in excess of net income                                                                                                                                            | (247,163)             | (208,526)            |

Edgar Filing: CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

|                               |                     |                     |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Total stockholders' equity    | <u>1,109,052</u>    | <u>997,791</u>      |
| Commitments and contingencies | <u>\$ 2,814,905</u> | <u>\$ 2,815,705</u> |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****CARRAMERICA REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

## Consolidated Statements of Operations

For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2003 and 2002

(Unaudited and in thousands, except per share amounts)

|                                                                                                                                     | Three Months Ended |                   | Nine Months Ended |                   |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                                                                                                                                     | September 30,      |                   | September 30,     |                   |
|                                                                                                                                     | 2003               | 2002              | 2003              | 2002              |
| Operating revenues:                                                                                                                 |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| Rental revenue:                                                                                                                     |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| Base rent                                                                                                                           | \$ 102,730         | \$ 103,880        | \$ 310,679        | \$ 312,497        |
| Recoveries from tenants                                                                                                             | 15,292             | 19,407            | 45,038            | 49,127            |
| Parking and other tenant charges                                                                                                    | 3,629              | 3,717             | 14,856            | 9,021             |
|                                                                                                                                     | <u>          </u>  | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> |
| Total rental revenue                                                                                                                | 121,651            | 127,004           | 370,573           | 370,645           |
| Real estate service revenue                                                                                                         | 6,518              | 5,560             | 19,551            | 17,175            |
|                                                                                                                                     | <u>          </u>  | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> |
| Total operating revenues                                                                                                            | 128,169            | 132,564           | 390,124           | 387,820           |
|                                                                                                                                     | <u>          </u>  | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> |
| Operating expenses:                                                                                                                 |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| Property expenses:                                                                                                                  |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| Operating expenses                                                                                                                  | 35,087             | 33,010            | 98,927            | 93,076            |
| Real estate taxes                                                                                                                   | 8,867              | 10,720            | 31,899            | 33,709            |
| General and administrative                                                                                                          | 10,028             | 10,227            | 30,971            | 29,345            |
| Depreciation and amortization                                                                                                       | 32,978             | 29,808            | 98,029            | 92,039            |
|                                                                                                                                     | <u>          </u>  | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> |
| Total operating expenses                                                                                                            | 86,960             | 83,765            | 259,826           | 248,169           |
|                                                                                                                                     | <u>          </u>  | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> |
| Real estate operating income                                                                                                        | 41,209             | 48,799            | 130,298           | 139,651           |
|                                                                                                                                     | <u>          </u>  | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> |
| Other (expense) income:                                                                                                             |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| Interest expense                                                                                                                    | (25,880)           | (24,456)          | (77,788)          | (73,573)          |
| Obligations under lease guarantees                                                                                                  | (811)              |                   | (811)             | (8,693)           |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities                                                                                       | 1,772              | 1,644             | 4,957             | 5,889             |
| Other                                                                                                                               | (96)               | 208               | 95                | 618               |
|                                                                                                                                     | <u>          </u>  | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> |
| Total other expense                                                                                                                 | (25,015)           | (22,604)          | (73,547)          | (75,759)          |
|                                                                                                                                     | <u>          </u>  | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes, minority interest, impairment loss on real estate and gain on sale of assets | 16,194             | 26,195            | 56,751            | 63,892            |
| Income taxes                                                                                                                        | (63)               | (106)             | (435)             | (200)             |
| Minority interest                                                                                                                   | (2,616)            | (5,113)           | (8,385)           | (11,120)          |
| Impairment loss on real estate                                                                                                      |                    |                   | (2,701)           | (1,325)           |
| Gain on sale of assets                                                                                                              | 120                | 7,042             | 3,365             | 10,382            |

Edgar Filing: CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

|                                                             |                  |                  |                  |                  |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Income from continuing operations                           | 13,635           | 28,018           | 48,595           | 61,629           |
| Discontinued operations - Net operations of properties sold | 317              | 1,016            | 1,157            | 5,090            |
| Discontinued operations - Gain on sale of properties        | 10,035           | 19,085           | 10,035           | 19,085           |
| <b>Net income</b>                                           | <b>\$ 23,987</b> | <b>\$ 48,119</b> | <b>\$ 59,787</b> | <b>\$ 85,804</b> |
| <b>Basic net income per common share:</b>                   |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Continuing operations                                       | \$ 0.17          | \$ 0.31          | \$ 0.63          | \$ 0.61          |
| Discontinued operations                                     | 0.01             | 0.03             | 0.02             | 0.11             |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations                     | 0.19             | 0.36             | 0.20             | 0.36             |
| <b>Net income</b>                                           | <b>\$ 0.37</b>   | <b>\$ 0.70</b>   | <b>\$ 0.85</b>   | <b>\$ 1.08</b>   |
| <b>Diluted net income per common share:</b>                 |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Continuing operations                                       | \$ 0.17          | \$ 0.31          | \$ 0.63          | \$ 0.61          |
| Discontinued operations                                     | 0.01             | 0.02             | 0.02             | 0.10             |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations                     | 0.19             | 0.36             | 0.19             | 0.35             |
| <b>Net income</b>                                           | <b>\$ 0.37</b>   | <b>\$ 0.69</b>   | <b>\$ 0.84</b>   | <b>\$ 1.06</b>   |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.



**Table of Contents****CARRAMERICA REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2003 and 2002

(Unaudited and in thousands)

|                                                                                   | <u>2003</u>     | <u>2002</u>     |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| <b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>                                      |                 |                 |
| Net income                                                                        | \$ 59,787       | \$ 85,804       |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |                 |                 |
| Depreciation and amortization                                                     | 99,229          | 95,953          |
| Minority interest                                                                 | 8,385           | 11,120          |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities                                     | (4,957)         | (5,889)         |
| Gain on sale of assets                                                            | (3,365)         | (10,382)        |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations                                           | (10,035)        | (19,085)        |
| Impairment loss on real estate                                                    | 2,701           | 1,325           |
| Obligations under lease guarantees                                                | 811             | 8,693           |
| Loss on sale of residential property                                              | 263             |                 |
| Provision for uncollectible accounts                                              | 3,455           | 4,983           |
| Stock-based compensation                                                          | 2,937           | 3,501           |
| Other                                                                             | (1,223)         | 1,887           |
| Changes in assets and liabilities:                                                |                 |                 |
| Decrease in accounts receivable                                                   | 7,423           | 2,307           |
| Increase in accrued straight-line rents                                           | (5,922)         | (7,344)         |
| Additions to tenant leasing costs                                                 | (13,664)        | (8,235)         |
| Increase in prepaid expenses and other assets                                     | (16,418)        | (12,152)        |
| Decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses                                 | (11,542)        | (4,701)         |
| Decrease in rent received in advance and security deposits                        | (358)           | (1,532)         |
| <b>Total adjustments</b>                                                          | <b>57,720</b>   | <b>60,449</b>   |
| <b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>                                  | <b>117,507</b>  | <b>146,253</b>  |
| <b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>                                      |                 |                 |
| Acquisition and development of rental property                                    | (76,804)        | (151,257)       |
| Additions to land held for development or sale                                    | (3,808)         | (1,564)         |
| Additions to construction in progress                                             | (10,856)        | (4,739)         |
| Issuance of notes receivable                                                      | (3,031)         | (712)           |
| Distributions from unconsolidated entities                                        | 13,603          | 7,036           |
| Investments in unconsolidated entities                                            | (14,350)        | (8,830)         |
| Acquisition of minority interest                                                  | (1,880)         | (8,261)         |
| Decrease (increase) in restricted deposits                                        | 761             | (13,271)        |
| Proceeds from sale of residential property                                        | 402             |                 |
| Proceeds from sales of properties                                                 | 38,817          | 167,888         |
| <b>Net cash used by investing activities</b>                                      | <b>(57,146)</b> | <b>(13,710)</b> |
| <b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>                                      |                 |                 |

Edgar Filing: CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

|                                                                                                                                             |                   |                   |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Repurchase of common shares                                                                                                                 | (7,858)           |                   |
| Repurchase of preferred shares                                                                                                              | (58,270)          | (139,309)         |
| Proceeds from the sale of Series E preferred stock, net of issuance costs                                                                   | 195,070           |                   |
| Exercises of stock options                                                                                                                  | 10,373            | 24,960            |
| Proceeds from issuance of unsecured notes, net of issuance costs                                                                            |                   | 394,496           |
| Net repayments on unsecured credit facility                                                                                                 | (45,000)          | (259,000)         |
| Deferred financing costs                                                                                                                    |                   | (1,063)           |
| Repayments of mortgages payable                                                                                                             | (49,112)          | (40,056)          |
| Dividends and distributions to minority interests                                                                                           | (101,359)         | (112,981)         |
|                                                                                                                                             | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> |
| Net cash used by financing activities                                                                                                       | (56,156)          | (132,953)         |
|                                                                                                                                             | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents                                                                                            | 4,205             | (410)             |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of the period                                                                                          | 3,023             | 5,041             |
|                                                                                                                                             | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of the period                                                                                                | \$ 7,228          | \$ 4,631          |
|                                                                                                                                             | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:                                                                                           |                   |                   |
| Cash paid for interest (net of capitalized interest of \$1,293 and \$2,486 for the nine months ended Sept. 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively) | \$ 90,656         | \$ 77,044         |
|                                                                                                                                             | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> |
| Cash paid for income taxes                                                                                                                  | \$ 388            | \$ 200            |
|                                                                                                                                             | <u>          </u> | <u>          </u> |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**CARRAMERICA REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

---

**(1) Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

**(a) Business**

We are a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed publicly traded real estate investment trust ( REIT ), organized as a corporation under the laws of Maryland. We focus on the acquisition, development, ownership and operation of office properties, located in selected markets across the United States.

**(b) Basis of Presentation**

The financial statements have been prepared using the accounting policies described in our 2002 annual report on Form 10-K, except for the following:

As discussed in note 1(d), effective January 1, 2003, we began using the fair value method to account for future employee stock compensation awards on a prospective basis. The effect of the change on our financial statements was immaterial.

Our accounts and those of our controlled subsidiaries and affiliates are consolidated in the financial statements. We consolidate all entities in which we own a direct or indirect majority voting interest, and where the minority holders do not have rights to participate in significant decisions that are made in the ordinary course of business. If applicable, we would consolidate any variable interest entity of which we were the primary beneficiary. We use the equity or cost methods, as appropriate in the circumstances, to account for our investments in and our share of the earnings or losses of unconsolidated entities. These entities are not controlled by us. If events or changes in circumstances indicate that the fair value of an investment accounted for using the equity method or cost method has declined below its carrying value and we consider the decline to be other than temporary, the investment is written down to fair value and an impairment loss is recognized.

Management has made a number of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses in the financial statements, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Estimates are required in order for us to prepare our financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Significant estimates are required in a number of areas, including the evaluation of impairment of long-lived assets and investments, assessing our probable liability under lease guarantees for HQ Global Workplaces, Inc. and the evaluation of the collectibility of accounts receivable. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

**(c) Interim Financial Statements**

The financial statements reflect all adjustments, which are, in our opinion, necessary to reflect a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods, and all adjustments are of a normal, recurring nature.

**(d) New Accounting Pronouncements**

In November 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Interpretation No. 45, *Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others*. The Interpretation requires recognition of liabilities at their fair value for newly issued guarantees. In addition, the Interpretation requires certain disclosures, which we have included in note 5. The adoption of Interpretation No. 45 on January 1, 2003 did not have a material effect on our financial statements.

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure*. SFAS No. 148 provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based compensation and requires disclosure in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. Effective January 1, 2003, we

---

**Table of Contents**

**CARRAMERICA REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

---

adopted the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based compensation costs. We elected to use the prospective method of transition to the fair value method provided in SFAS No. 148 and, accordingly, the method is being applied for all employee stock compensation awards granted, modified or settled on or after January 1, 2003.

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. This Interpretation addresses the consolidation of variable interest entities ( VIEs ) in which the equity investors lack one or more of the essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest or where the equity investment at risk is not sufficient for the entity to finance its activities without subordinated financial support from other parties. The Interpretation initially applied to VIEs created after January 31, 2003 and to VIEs in which we acquired an interest after that date. Effective July 1, 2003, it also applied to VIEs in which we acquired an interest before February 1, 2003. The adoption of Interpretation No. 46 in 2003 had no effect on our financial statements as we concluded that we are not required to consolidate any of our unconsolidated real estate ventures that we have accounted for using the equity method or the VIEs described below.

We entered into two arrangements subsequent to January 31, 2003 which are VIEs. We have provided mezzanine loans and guarantees to third-parties for development management projects. The purpose of these entities is to build and own office buildings in Washington, D.C. Based upon our analysis, we believe that we are not the primary beneficiary of either entity and we are not consolidating them. Our maximum exposure to loss as of September 30, 2003 is \$41.3 million, the sum of our notes receivable and the maximum exposure under the guarantees.

**(e) Limited Life Partnership Interest Redemption Obligation**

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity. SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for the classification and measurement of certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. It requires that an issuer classify a financial instrument that is within its scope as a liability (or an asset in some circumstances). In particular, it requires that mandatorily redeemable financial instruments be classified as liabilities and reported at fair value and that changes in their fair values be reported as interest cost.

SFAS No. 150 was effective for us as of July 1, 2003. On October 29, 2003, the FASB indefinitely delayed the provision of the statement related to non-controlling interests in limited-life subsidiaries that are consolidated. Based on FASB's deferral of this provision, adoption did not affect our financial statements.

We had determined that one of our consolidated partnerships was a limited-life entity. We estimate the fair value of the minority interest in this partnership at September 30, 2003 was \$9.5 million.

**Table of Contents****CARRAMERICA REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

**(f) Earnings Per Share**

The following table sets forth information relating to the computations of our basic and diluted earnings per share ( EPS ) from continuing operations:

|                                                      | Three Months Ended |               |           | Three Months Ended |               |           |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------|-----------|
|                                                      | September 30, 2003 |               |           | September 30, 2002 |               |           |
|                                                      | Income             | Shares        | Per Share | Income             | Shares        | Per Share |
|                                                      | (Numerator)        | (Denominator) | Amount    | (Numerator)        | (Denominator) | Amount    |
| <b>(In thousands, except per share amounts)</b>      |                    |               |           |                    |               |           |
| Basic EPS                                            | \$ 8,996           | 51,925        | \$ 0.17   | \$ 16,924          | 53,124        | \$ 0.31   |
| Effect of Dilutive Securities                        |                    |               |           |                    |               |           |
| Adjustment to dividends on unvested restricted stock | 132                |               |           |                    |               |           |
| Stock options and restricted stock                   |                    | 732           |           |                    | 694           |           |
| Diluted EPS                                          | \$ 9,128           | 52,657        | \$ 0.17   | \$ 16,924          | 53,818        | \$ 0.31   |
| <b>(In thousands, except per share amounts)</b>      |                    |               |           |                    |               |           |
| <b>Nine Months Ended</b>                             |                    |               |           |                    |               |           |
| <b>September 30, 2003</b>                            |                    |               |           |                    |               |           |
|                                                      | Income             | Shares        | Per Share | Income             | Shares        | Per Share |
|                                                      | (Numerator)        | (Denominator) | Amount    | (Numerator)        | (Denominator) | Amount    |
| Basic EPS                                            | \$ 32,599          | 51,750        | \$ 0.63   | \$ 32,758          | 52,824        | \$ 0.61   |
| Effect of Dilutive Securities                        |                    |               |           |                    |               |           |
| Adjustment to dividends on unvested restricted stock | 396                |               |           |                    |               |           |
| Stock options and restricted stock                   |                    | 629           |           |                    | 1,059         |           |
| Diluted EPS                                          | \$ 32,995          | 52,379        | \$ 0.63   | \$ 32,758          | 53,883        | \$ 0.61   |

## Edgar Filing: CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

Income from continuing operations has been reduced by preferred stock dividends and dividends paid on unvested restricted stock of approximately \$4,395,000 and \$7,351,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively and \$13,765,000 and \$24,483,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Income from continuing operations has also been reduced by preferred stock redemption premiums, as discussed below, of \$112,000 and \$3,743,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and \$1,835,000 and \$4,388,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

On July 31, 2003, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued a clarification of Emerging Issues Task Force Topic D-42, The Effect on the Calculation of Earnings per Share for the Redemption or Induced Conversion of Preferred Stock. Topic D-42 provides, among other things, that any excess of the fair value of the consideration transferred to the holders of preferred stock redeemed over the carrying amount of the preferred stock should be subtracted from net earnings to determine net earnings available to common stockholders in the calculation of earnings per share (EPS). The SEC's clarification of the guidance in Topic D-42 provides that the carrying amount of the preferred stock should be reduced by the related issuance costs.

The July 2003 clarification of Topic D-42 is effective for us for the quarter ending September 30, 2003 and is required to be reflected retroactively in the financial statements of prior periods. We have not

---

**Table of Contents**

**CARRAMERICA REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

---

previously considered issuance costs in determining the carrying amount of the preferred stock we redeemed, and accordingly, implementation of the clarification of Topic D-42 affects our previously reported EPS. In particular, our previously reported basic and diluted EPS (from continuing operations and in total) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2002 were reduced by \$0.07 per share and \$0.08 per share, respectively. Implementation of the clarification of Topic D-42 reduced our reported EPS (from continuing operations and in total) for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 by \$0.03 per share.

The effects of units in CarrAmerica Realty, L.P. and Carr Realty, L.P. that are redeemable for shares of our common stock are not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share as their effect is antidilutive.

**(g) Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging**

We manage our capital structure to reflect a long-term investment approach, generally seeking to match the generally stable return nature of our assets with a mix of equity and various debt instruments. With respect to the use of debt instruments, we use fixed rate debt of varying maturities as well as variable rate debt. The mix of fixed and variable rate debt is determined by assessing their relative prices as well as economic conditions. Over the last two years we have increased variable rate exposure as we believe it has provided a more cost effective source of debt financing than fixed rate debt. We believe that our exposure to weaker operating conditions including higher vacancies necessitates the increased use of variable rate instruments.

At times, our mix of variable and fixed rate debt may not suit our needs. At those times, we may use derivative financial instruments, including interest rate caps and swaps, forward interest rate options or interest rate options in order to assist us in managing our debt mix. We either will hedge our variable rate debt to give it a fixed interest rate or hedge fixed rate debt to give it a variable interest rate.

Under interest rate cap agreements, we make initial premium payments to the counterparties in exchange for the right to receive payments from them if interest rates exceed specified levels during the agreement period. Under interest rate swap agreements, we and the counterparties agree to exchange the difference between fixed rate and variable rate interest amounts calculated by reference to specified notional principal amounts during the agreement period. Notional principal amounts are used to express the volume of these transactions, but the cash requirements and amounts subject to credit risk are substantially less. Parties to interest rate cap and swap agreements are subject to market risk for changes in interest rates and credit risk in the event of nonperformance by the counterparty. We do not require any collateral under these agreements but deal only with highly rated institutional counterparties and expect that they will meet their obligations.

Derivative financial instruments are recognized as either assets or liabilities on our balance sheet at their fair values. Subject to certain qualifying conditions, we may designate a derivative as either a hedge of the cash flows from a variable rate debt instrument or anticipated transaction (cash flow hedge) or a hedge of the fair value of a fixed rate debt instrument (fair value hedge). For those derivatives designated as a cash flow hedge, we report the fair value gains and losses in accumulated other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity to the extent the hedge is effective. We recognize these fair value gains or losses in earnings during the period(s) in which the hedged item affects earnings. For derivatives qualifying as fair value hedges, we report fair value gains and losses in earnings along with fair value gains or losses on the hedge item



## Edgar Filing: CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

attributable to the risk being hedged. Most of our derivative financial instruments qualify as fair value hedges. Derivatives that do not qualify for hedge accounting are marked to market through earnings. Amounts receivable or payable under interest rate cap and swap agreements are accounted for as adjustments to interest expense on the related debt.

On May 8, 2002, we entered into interest rate swap agreements with JP Morgan Chase and Bank of America, N.A. hedging \$150.0 million of senior unsecured notes due July 2004. We receive interest at a fixed rate of 7.2% and pay interest at a variable rate of six-month LIBOR in arrears plus 2.72%. The interest rate swaps mature at the same time the notes are due. The swaps qualify as fair value hedges for

---

**Table of Contents**

**CARRAMERICA REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

---

accounting purposes. The fair value of the interest rate swaps is recognized on our balance sheet and the carrying value of the senior unsecured notes is increased or decreased by an offsetting amount. As of September 30, 2003, the fair value of the interest rate swaps was approximately \$3.2 million. We recognized reductions in interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 of approximately \$1.2 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 of approximately \$3.7 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, related to the swaps. As of September 30, 2003, taking into account the effect of the interest rate swaps, the effective interest rate on the notes was reduced to 3.94%.

On November 20, 2002, in conjunction with the issuance of \$175.0 million of senior unsecured notes, we entered into interest rate swap agreements with JP Morgan Chase, Bank of America, N.A. and Goldman, Sachs & Co. We receive interest at a fixed rate of 5.25% and pay interest at a variable rate of six-month LIBOR in arrears plus 1.405%. The interest rate swaps mature at the same time the notes are due. The swaps qualify as fair value hedges for accounting purposes. The fair value of the interest rate swaps is recognized on our balance sheet and the carrying value of the senior unsecured notes is increased or decreased by an offsetting amount. As of September 30, 2003, the fair value of the interest rate swaps was approximately \$3.3 million. We recognized reductions in interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003 of approximately \$1.1 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, related to the swaps. As of September 30, 2003, taking into account the effect of the interest rate swaps, the effective interest rate on the notes was reduced to 2.63%.

As part of the assumption of \$63.5 million of debt associated with the purchase of two operating properties in August 2002, we purchased interest rate caps with a notional amount of \$97.0 million and LIBOR capped at 6.75%. As of September 30, 2003, the fair market value of these interest rate caps was not material.

**(h) Stock/Unit Compensation Plans**

Through 2002, we applied the intrinsic value method of accounting prescribed by Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations to account for our stock/unit compensation plans. Under this method, we recorded compensation expense for awards of stock, options or units to employees only if the market price of the unit or stock on the grant date exceeded the amount the employee was required to pay to acquire the unit or stock. Effective January 1, 2003, we adopted the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based compensation costs. We elected to use the prospective method of transition to the fair value method provided in SFAS No. 148 and, accordingly, the method is being applied for all employee stock compensation awards granted, modified or settled on or after January 1, 2003.

**Table of Contents****CARRAMERICA REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

The following table summarizes pro forma effects on net income and earnings per share if the fair value method had been used to account for all stock-based compensation awards made between 1995 and 2002.

|                                                                                 | Three Months Ended<br>September 30, |                  | Nine Months Ended<br>September 30, |                  |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
|                                                                                 | 2003                                | 2002             | 2003                               | 2002             |
| <b>(In thousands, except per share data)</b>                                    |                                     |                  |                                    |                  |
| Net income as reported                                                          | \$ 23,987                           | \$ 48,119        | \$ 59,787                          | \$ 85,804        |
| Stock-based compensation cost from stock option plans included in net income    | 47                                  |                  | 104                                |                  |
| Stock-based compensation cost from restricted stock plan included in net income | 522                                 | 1,239            | 2,833                              | 3,501            |
| Fair value of stock-based compensation                                          | (1,142)                             | (2,052)          | (4,656)                            | (5,940)          |
| <b>Pro forma net income</b>                                                     | <b>\$ 23,414</b>                    | <b>\$ 47,306</b> | <b>\$ 58,068</b>                   | <b>\$ 83,365</b> |
| <b>Earnings per share as reported:</b>                                          |                                     |                  |                                    |                  |
| Basic                                                                           | \$ 0.37                             | \$ 0.70          | \$ 0.85                            | \$ 1.08          |
| Diluted                                                                         | 0.37                                | 0.69             | 0.84                               | 1.06             |
| <b>Earnings per share, pro forma:</b>                                           |                                     |                  |                                    |                  |
| Basic                                                                           | \$ 0.36                             | \$ 0.68          | \$ 0.82                            | \$ 1.03          |
| Diluted                                                                         | 0.36                                | 0.67             | 0.81                               | 1.01             |

**(i) Reclassifications**

Certain reclassifications of prior period amounts have been made to conform to the current period's presentation.

**(2) HQ Global Workplaces, Inc.**

In 1997, we began making investments in HQ Global Workplaces, Inc. (HQ Global), a provider of executive office suites. On June 1, 2000, we, along with HQ Global, VANTAS Incorporated (VANTAS) and FrontLine Capital Group (FrontLine), consummated several transactions including (i) the merger of VANTAS with and into HQ Global, (ii) the acquisition by FrontLine of shares of HQ Global common stock from us and other stockholders of HQ Global, and (iii) the acquisition by VANTAS of our debt and equity interests in OmniOffices (UK) Limited and OmniOffices LUX 1929 Holding Company S.A. We received \$377.3 million in cash in connection with these transactions. In addition, \$140.5 million of debt which we had guaranteed was repaid with a portion of the cash proceeds. Following the transaction, we owned approximately 16% of the equity of HQ Global on a diluted basis and our investment had a carrying value of \$42.2 million. In 2001, based on a number of

## Edgar Filing: CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

considerations, we recorded an impairment charge that reduced the carrying value of our remaining investment in HQ Global to zero.

On March 13, 2002, HQ Global filed for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the federal bankruptcy laws. During 1997 and 1998, to assist HQ Global as it grew its business, we provided guarantees of HQ Global's performance under four office leases. In the course of the bankruptcy proceedings, which were concluded in September 2003, HQ Global rejected two of these four leases. One lease was for approximately 22,000 square feet of space at two adjacent buildings in San Jose, California. Our liability under this guarantee was limited to approximately \$2.0 million. We reached agreement with the landlord of this lease under which we paid \$1.75 million in full satisfaction of the guarantee in January 2003. We recognized this expense in 2002.

The second lease rejected by HQ Global is a sublease, which runs through March 2008, for approximately 26,000 square feet of space in downtown Manhattan. In June 2002, we received a demand for payment of the full amount of the guarantee. We joined with HQ Global in filing suit on July 24, 2002 in HQ Global's bankruptcy proceedings asking the bankruptcy court to declare that, due to the surrender of the premises by HQ Global and the deemed acceptance by the landlord under the sublease of that surrender by virtue of its use of the premises, the lease was terminated by the landlord under the sublease not later than February 28, 2003. In light of our defenses and the uncertainty of these proceedings, we had not previously accrued any expense related to the guarantee. However, on September 16, 2003, the bankruptcy court ruled that HQ Global did not effectively surrender the premises under the sublease and that the landlord under the sublease therefore could not be deemed to have accepted a surrender. In October 2003, we entered into a tentative settlement agreement with the landlord under the sublease, agreeing to pay \$5.4 million in cash in one payment. We accrued a provision for loss for this settlement in the third quarter of 2003 and we anticipate making this payment prior to the end of 2003.

**Table of Contents****CARRAMERICA REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

One of the guaranteed leases that was not rejected by HQ Global runs through January 2013, and is for approximately 19,000 square feet of space in San Mateo, California. In the second quarter of 2002, we accrued a provision for loss under this guarantee of \$6.9 million based on the assumption that HQ Global would reject this lease and based on our estimates of the mitigated damages that would be incurred under the lease. In January 2003, HQ Global assigned its interest as a tenant in this lease to us and we in turn subleased the space back to HQ Global at current market rates together with the right to participate in a portion of HQ Global's future profits, if any, generated by its operations in the space. These agreements were subject to approval by the bankruptcy court and would have been enforceable only if HQ Global successfully reorganized and emerged from bankruptcy proceedings. On September 15, 2003, HQ Global's plan of reorganization was approved by the bankruptcy court. Based on HQ Global's reorganization plan being approved and HQ Global's current operating performance in the space, we reevaluated our estimated loss related to the guarantee and reduced our provision for loss under this guarantee by \$4.6 million in the third quarter of 2003 to \$2.3 million. As of September 30, 2003, we had paid \$150,000 under this guarantee.

**(3) Gain on Sale of Assets, Impairment Loss on Real Estate and Discontinued Operations**

The table below summarizes property sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002:

| 2003          |           |                | 2002                  |           |                |
|---------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Property Name | Sale Date | Square Footage | Property Name         | Sale Date | Square Footage |
| Wateridge     | May-03    | 62,194         | Wasatch 17            | May-02    | 72,088         |
| Katella       | Aug-03    | 80,609         | Commons @ Las Colinas | Aug-02    | 604,234        |
| Pacificare    | Sep-03    | 104,377        | Braker Point          | Aug-02    | 195,230        |
| Lakewood      | Sep-03    | 80,816         |                       |           |                |
| <b>Total</b>  |           | <b>327,996</b> |                       |           | <b>871,552</b> |

We dispose of assets from time to time that are inconsistent with our long-term strategic or return objectives. During the three months ended September 30, 2003 we disposed of three operating properties, recognizing a gain of \$10.2 million, \$10.0 million of which is classified as discontinued operations. We continue to manage the Lakewood property under a management agreement and the gain on this sale and the operating results of the property are not classified as discontinued operations due to our continuing involvement. We have no continuing involvement with the Katella and Pacificare properties and, accordingly, the gains on these sales and the operating results of the properties are classified as discontinued operations. For the three months ended September 30, 2002, we disposed of two operating properties, recognizing a gain of \$26.1 million, \$19.1 million of which is classified as discontinued operations. We continue to manage the Braker Point property under a management agreement and the gain on this sale and the operating results of the property are not classified as discontinued operations due to our continuing involvement. We have no continuing involvement with Commons at Las Colinas and, accordingly, the gain on sale and results of operations of the property are classified as discontinued operations.

## Edgar Filing: CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

During the nine months ended September 30, 2003, we disposed of four operating properties, recognizing a gain of \$13.4 million, \$10.0 million of which is classified as discontinued operations. We continue to manage two properties (Wateridge and Lakewood) under management agreements and the gain on these sales and the operating results of these properties are not classified as discontinued operations due to our continuing involvement. We have no continuing involvement with the Katella and Pacificare properties and, accordingly, the gains on these sales and the operating results of the properties are classified as discontinued operations. We also recognized an impairment loss of \$2.7 million on the Lakewood property in the second quarter of 2003 as its estimated fair market value less costs to sell was less than its carrying amount. During the nine months ended September 30, 2002, we disposed of three operating properties, recognizing a gain of \$29.5 million, \$19.1 million of which is classified as discontinued operations. We continued to manage two of the properties (Wasatch 17 and Braker

**Table of Contents****CARRAMERICA REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Point) under management agreements and, accordingly, the operating results of the properties and the gains on the sales are not classified as discontinued operations due to our continuing involvement. We have no continuing involvement with Commons at Las Colinas and, accordingly, the gain on sale and results of operations of the property are classified as discontinued operations. We recognized an impairment loss of \$1.3 million on parcels of land held for development during the nine months ended September 30, 2002.

Operating results of the properties classified as discontinued operations are summarized as follows:

| (In thousands)                    | For the three months<br>ended September 30, |          | For the nine months<br>ended September 30, |          |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------------|----------|
|                                   | 2003                                        | 2002     | 2003                                       | 2002     |
| Revenue                           | \$ 423                                      | \$ 2,147 | \$ 1,980                                   | \$ 9,730 |
| Property expenses                 | 106                                         | 305      | 511                                        | 726      |
| Depreciation and amortization     |                                             | 826      | 312                                        | 3,914    |
| Net operations of properties sold | \$ 317                                      | \$ 1,016 | \$ 1,157                                   | \$ 5,090 |

**(4) Acquisitions**

For the nine months ended September 30, 2003, we acquired an operating property with 156,000 net rentable square feet for approximately \$51.1 million. This acquisition was funded using our unsecured credit facility and proceeds from the sale of properties. For the nine months ended September 30, 2002, we acquired four operating properties with 773,000 net rentable square feet for approximately \$185.1 million. We funded those acquisitions using our unsecured credit facility, proceeds from the sale of properties and the assumption of debt.

We allocate the purchase cost of acquired properties to the related physical assets and in-place leases based on their fair values.

The fair values of acquired office buildings are determined on an if-vacant basis considering a variety of factors, including the physical condition and quality of the buildings, estimated rental and absorption rates, estimated future cash flows and valuation assumptions consistent with current market conditions. The if-vacant fair value is allocated to land, where applicable, buildings, tenant improvements and equipment based on property tax assessments and other relevant information obtained in connection with the acquisition of the property.

## Edgar Filing: CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

The fair value of in-place leases includes the effect of leases with above or below-market rents and where applicable, customer relationship value at the date of acquisition. Above-market and below-market in-place lease values are determined on a lease-by-lease basis based on the present value (using an interest rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the difference between (a) the contractual amounts to be paid under the lease and (b) our estimate of fair market lease rate for the corresponding space over the remaining non-cancellable term of the lease. The capitalized above-market lease values are amortized as a reduction of rental income over the remaining non-cancellable terms of the related leases. The capitalized below-market lease values are amortized as an increase to rental income over the initial term and any below-market renewal periods of the related leases. Customer relationship values are determined based on our evaluation of the specific characteristics of each tenant's lease and our overall relationship with the tenant. Characteristics we consider include the nature and extent of our existing business relationships with the tenant, growth prospects for developing new business with the tenant, the tenant's credit quality and expectations of lease renewals. The value of customer relationship intangibles is amortized to expense over the lessor of the initial lease term and any expected renewal periods or the remaining useful life of the building. The remaining fair value of in-place leases is amortized to expense over the initial term of the respective leases. Should a tenant terminate its lease, the unamortized portion of the in-place lease value is charged to expense.



**Table of Contents****CARRAMERICA REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

We allocated the \$51.1 million purchase price of the building we acquired in the nine months ended September 30, 2003 based on the above criteria as follows:

| (In thousands)            |                  |
|---------------------------|------------------|
| Land                      | \$ 11,676        |
| In-place lease intangible | 6,096            |
| Tenant improvements       | 18,275           |
| Building                  | 15,075           |
|                           | <u>\$ 51,122</u> |

**(5) Guarantees**

Our obligations under guarantee agreements at September 30, 2003 are summarized as follows:

| Type of Guarantee            | Project Relationship | Term   | Maximum Exposure | Carrying Value |
|------------------------------|----------------------|--------|------------------|----------------|
| Loan <sup>1</sup>            | 575 7th Street       | Apr-05 | \$ 40,000,000    | \$             |
| Loan <sup>2</sup>            | Atlantic Building    | Dec-03 | 21,000,000       |                |
| Loan <sup>3</sup>            | Shakespeare Theater  | Dec-04 | 16,500,000       | 175,000        |
| Lease <sup>4</sup>           | HQ Global            | Jan-13 | 16,400,000       | 8,069,000      |
| Indemnification <sup>5</sup> | HQ Global            |        | unknown          |                |
| Loan <sup>6</sup>            | Square 320           | Mar-05 | 16,070,000       | 135,000        |

1. Loan guarantee relates to a joint venture in which we have a 30% interest and for which we are the developer. It is a payment guarantee to the lender on behalf of the joint venture. If the joint venture defaults on the loan, we may be required to perform under the guarantee. We have a reimbursement guarantee from the other joint venture partner to repay us its proportionate share (70%) of any monies we pay under the guarantee.
2. Loan guarantee relates to a third party project for which we are the developer. It is a payment guarantee to the lender. If the third party defaults on the loan, we may be required to perform under the guarantee. We have a security interest in the third party's interest in the underlying property. In the event of a default, we can exercise our rights under the security agreement to take title to the property and sell the property to mitigate our exposure under the guarantee. We have entered into an agreement with the lender that permits us to acquire the lender's first position mortgage securing the loan if the third party defaults on the loan and we then make payment in full to the lender under the guarantee.
3. Represents a payment guarantee on a third party project for which we are the developer. We have entered into an agreement with the lender that permits us to acquire the lender's first position mortgage securing the loan if the third party defaults on the loan and we then make payment in full to the lender under the guarantee.
4. See note 2 for further discussion.

5. See Part II, Item 1 for further discussion.
6. Loan guarantee relates to a third party project for which we are the developer. It is a payment guarantee to the lender. If the third party defaults on the loan, we may be required to perform under the guarantee. We have a security interest in the third party's interest in the underlying property. In the event of a default, we can exercise our rights under the security agreement to take title to the property and sell the property to mitigate our exposure under the guarantee. We have entered into an agreement with the lender that permits us to acquire the lender's first position mortgage securing the loan if the third party defaults on the loan and we then make payment in full to the lender under the guarantee.

**(6) Segment Information**

Our only reportable operating segment is real estate property operations. Other business activities and operating segments that are not reportable are included in other operations. The performance measure we use to assess results for real estate property operations is segment operating income. We define segment operating income as total rental revenue less property expenses, which include property operating expenses (other than depreciation and amortization) and real estate taxes. The real estate property operations segment includes the operation and management of consolidated rental properties including those classified as discontinued operations. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in note 1.

**Table of Contents****CARRAMERICA REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Operating results of our reportable segment and our other operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are summarized as follows:

|                                           | For the three months ended September 30, 2003 |                                        |                                                      |          |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| (In millions)                             | Real Estate<br>Property<br>Operations         | Other<br>Operations and<br>Unallocated | Reclassifications<br>-<br>Discontinued<br>Operations | Total    |
| Revenue                                   | \$ 122.1                                      | \$ 6.5                                 | (0.4)                                                | \$ 128.2 |
| Segment expense                           | 44.1                                          | 10.0                                   | (0.1)                                                | 54.0     |
| Segment operating income (loss)           | 78.0                                          | (3.5)                                  | (0.3)                                                | 74.2     |
| Depreciation expense                      |                                               |                                        |                                                      | 33.0     |
| Operating income                          |                                               |                                        |                                                      | 41.2     |
| Interest expense                          |                                               |                                        |                                                      | (25.9)   |
| Other income                              |                                               |                                        |                                                      | 0.9      |
| Gain on sale of assets                    |                                               |                                        |                                                      | 0.1      |
| Minority interest and taxes               |                                               |                                        |                                                      | (2.7)    |
| Discontinued operations - properties sold |                                               |                                        |                                                      | 10.4     |
| Net income                                |                                               |                                        |                                                      | \$ 24.0  |

|                                 | For the three months ended September 30, 2002 |                                           |                                                     |          |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------|
| (In millions)                   | Real<br>Estate<br>Property<br>Operations      | Other<br>Operations<br>and<br>Unallocated | Reclassification<br>-<br>Discontinued<br>Operations | Total    |
| Revenue                         | \$ 129.1                                      | \$ 5.6                                    | \$ (2.1)                                            | \$ 132.6 |
| Segment expense                 | 44.1                                          | 10.2                                      | (0.3)                                               | 54.0     |
| Segment operating income (loss) | 85.0                                          | (4.6)                                     | (1.8)                                               | 78.6     |
| Depreciation expense            |                                               |                                           |                                                     | 29.8     |
| Operating income                |                                               |                                           |                                                     | 48.8     |
| Interest expense                |                                               |                                           |                                                     | (24.5)   |

Edgar Filing: CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

|                                           |         |
|-------------------------------------------|---------|
| Other income                              | 1.9     |
| Gain on sale of assets                    | 7.0     |
| Minority interest and taxes               | (5.2)   |
| Discontinued operations - properties sold | 20.1    |
|                                           | <hr/>   |
| Net income                                | \$ 48.1 |
|                                           | <hr/>   |

**Table of Contents****CARRAMERICA REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

|                                              | For the nine months ended September 30, 2003 |                                        |                                                     |          |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------|
| (In millions)                                | Real Estate<br>Property<br>Operations        | Other<br>Operations and<br>Unallocated | Reclassification<br>-<br>Discontinued<br>Operations | Total    |
| Revenue                                      | \$ 372.6                                     | \$ 19.6                                | \$ (2.0)                                            | \$ 390.2 |
| Segment expense                              | 131.3                                        | 31.0                                   | (0.4)                                               | 161.9    |
| Segment operating income (loss)              | 241.3                                        | (11.4)                                 | (1.6)                                               | 228.3    |
| Depreciation expense                         |                                              |                                        |                                                     | 98.0     |
| Operating income                             |                                              |                                        |                                                     | 130.3    |
| Interest expense                             |                                              |                                        |                                                     | (77.8)   |
| Other income                                 |                                              |                                        |                                                     | 4.2      |
| Gain on sale of assets and impairment losses |                                              |                                        |                                                     | 0.7      |
| Minority interest and taxes                  |                                              |                                        |                                                     | (8.8)    |
| Discontinued operations - properties sold    |                                              |                                        |                                                     | 11.2     |
| Net income                                   |                                              |                                        |                                                     | \$ 59.8  |

|                                              | For the nine months ended September 30, 2002 |                                           |                                                     |          |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------|
| (In millions)                                | Real<br>Estate<br>Property<br>Operations     | Other<br>Operations<br>and<br>Unallocated | Reclassification<br>-<br>Discontinued<br>Operations | Total    |
| Revenue                                      | \$ 380.3                                     | \$ 17.2                                   | \$ (9.7)                                            | \$ 387.8 |
| Segment expense                              | 127.5                                        | 29.3                                      | (0.7)                                               | 156.1    |
| Segment operating income (loss)              | 252.8                                        | (12.1)                                    | (9.0)                                               | 231.7    |
| Depreciation expense                         |                                              |                                           |                                                     | 92.0     |
| Operating income                             |                                              |                                           |                                                     | 139.7    |
| Interest expense                             |                                              |                                           |                                                     | (73.6)   |
| Other expense                                |                                              |                                           |                                                     | (2.2)    |
| Gain on sale of assets and impairment losses |                                              |                                           |                                                     | 9.1      |
| Minority interest and taxes                  |                                              |                                           |                                                     | (11.3)   |
| Discontinued operations - properties sold    |                                              |                                           |                                                     | 24.1     |

|            |         |
|------------|---------|
| Net income | \$ 85.8 |
|------------|---------|

**(7) Supplemental Cash Flow Information**

In the first quarter of 2002, 80,000 shares of our Series A Cumulative Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock were converted to shares of common stock, retiring all remaining shares of Series A Cumulative Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock.

Our employees converted approximately \$0.6 million and \$1.0 million in restricted units to 25,978 shares and 41,544 shares of common stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

**(8) Preferred and Common Stock**

On March 18, 2003, we redeemed 2,000,000 shares of our Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share plus \$0.107125 per share in accrued and unpaid dividends for the period

**Table of Contents**

**CARRAMERICA REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

---

from March 1, 2003 through and including the redemption date. This resulted in a cash expenditure of approximately \$50.2 million in March 2003, which we funded by drawing on our \$500 million unsecured credit facility. Including this redemption, for the nine months ended September 30, 2003, we repurchased or redeemed 2,331,670 shares of our preferred stock for approximately \$58.3 million, excluding accrued and unpaid dividends.

On September 25, 2003, we issued 8,050,000 shares of 7.5% Series E cumulative preferred stock with net proceeds of \$195.1 million. These shares are not redeemable before September 25, 2008 unless redemption is necessary to maintain our status as a REIT.

During the first nine months of 2003, we repurchased 322,600 shares of our common stock for approximately \$7.9 million.

**(9) Subsequent Event**

On October 12, 2003, we redeemed the remaining outstanding shares of our Series B, C and D preferred stock for \$196.3 million plus \$1.3 million of accrued dividends.

---

**Table of Contents**

---

**Management's Discussion and Analysis**

---

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The discussion that follows is based primarily on our consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 and should be read along with the consolidated financial statements and related notes. The ability to compare one period to another may be significantly affected by acquisitions completed, development properties placed in service and dispositions made during those periods.

**General**

During the nine months ended September 30, 2003 we completed the following significant transactions:

We repurchased or redeemed an aggregate of 2.3 million shares of our preferred stock for approximately \$58.3 million.

We repurchased 322,600 shares of our common stock for approximately \$7.9 million.

We disposed of four operating properties generating net proceeds of approximately \$38.8 million.

We acquired a 20% interest in a joint venture which purchased a \$190.0 million operating property.

We acquired a 156,000 square foot building in California for \$51.1 million.

We issued 8.05 million shares of preferred stock with net proceeds of approximately \$195.1 million.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2002 we completed the following significant transactions:

We issued \$400.0 million of 7.125% senior unsecured notes in January 2002.

We entered into an interest rate swap against \$150.0 million of our senior unsecured notes effectively converting our fixed rate debt to variable rate debt.

We repurchased and redeemed an aggregate of 5,571,718 shares of our preferred stock for approximately \$139.3 million.



## Edgar Filing: CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

We purchased four operating properties for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$185.1 million.

We disposed of three operating properties generating net proceeds of approximately \$167.9 million.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

Critical accounting policies and estimates are those that are both important to the presentation of our financial condition and results of operations and require management's most difficult, complex or subjective judgments. Our critical accounting policies and estimates relate to evaluating the impairment of long-lived assets and investments, allocating the purchase cost of acquired properties, assessing our probable liability under lease guarantees for HQ Global Workplaces, Inc. ( HQ Global ) and evaluating the collectibility of accounts receivable.

If events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of a rental property to be held and used or land held for development may be impaired, we perform a recoverability analysis based on estimated undiscounted cash flows to be generated from the property in the future. If the analysis indicates that the carrying value is not recoverable from future cash flows, the property and related assets such as tenant improvements and lease commissions, are written down to estimated fair value and an impairment loss is recognized. If we decide to sell rental properties or land holdings, we evaluate the recoverability of the carrying amounts of the assets. If the evaluation indicates that the carrying value is not recoverable from estimated net sales proceeds, the property is written down to estimated fair value less costs to sell and an impairment loss is recognized. Our estimates of cash flows and fair values of the properties are based on current market conditions and consider matters such as rental rates and occupancies for comparable properties, recent sales data for comparable properties and, where applicable, contracts or the results of negotiations with purchasers or prospective purchasers. Changes in estimated future cash flows due to changes in our plans or views of market and economic conditions could result in recognition of additional impairment losses which, under applicable accounting guidance, could be substantial.

**Table of Contents**

**Management's Discussion and Analysis**

If events or circumstances indicate that the fair value of an investment has declined below its carrying value and we consider the decline to be other than temporary, the investment is written down to fair value and an impairment loss is recognized.

We allocate the purchase cost of acquired properties to the related physical assets and in-place leases based on their fair values. The estimated fair values are based on a number of factors and judgments including estimates of future cash flows of properties, market analysis of in-place tenant leases and our assessment of the value of tenant relationships. The estimated lives of the purchased tangible and intangible assets vary. A change in the allocation of the purchase cost among the purchased assets would affect the timing of recognition of the related revenue and expenses.

As a result of the bankruptcy of HQ Global, we were required to make estimates regarding our probable liability under guarantees of HQ Global's performance under four office leases. After carefully evaluating the facts and circumstances of each property and developments in the bankruptcy proceedings, we accrued a loss of \$8.7 million in 2002, our best estimate of the probable liability related to these guarantees. Our estimated loss was based on such factors as the expected period of vacancy for the space before it could be relet, expected rental rates and other factors. Circumstances changed regarding these guarantees and we accrued a net additional loss of \$0.8 million in September 2003. In the future, circumstances could cause us to further reevaluate our liability under these guarantees and adjust our estimate as appropriate.

Our allowance for doubtful accounts receivable is established based on analysis of the risk of loss on specific accounts. The analysis places particular emphasis on past-due accounts and considers information such as the nature and age of the receivable, the payment history of the tenant or other debtor, the amount of security we hold, the financial condition of the tenant and our assessment of its ability to meet its lease obligations, the basis for any disputes and the status of related negotiations, etc. Our estimate of the required allowance, which is reviewed on a quarterly basis, is subject to revision as these factors change and is sensitive to the effects of economic and market conditions on our tenants, particularly in our largest markets (i.e., the San Francisco Bay and Washington, D.C. Metro areas). For example, due to economic conditions and analysis of our accounts receivable, we increased our provision for uncollectible accounts (and related accrued straight-line rents) by approximately \$3.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2003.

**Results Of Operations**

**Property Operations Revenue**

Property operations revenue is summarized as follows:

| For the       | Variance | For the       | Variance |
|---------------|----------|---------------|----------|
| three months  | _____    | nine months   | _____    |
| ended         |          | ended         |          |
| September 30, |          | September 30, |          |

Edgar Filing: CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

|                                  |          |          | 2003 vs. |          | 2003 vs. |          |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                                  | 2003     | 2002     | 2002     | 2003     | 2002     | 2002     |
| <b>(in millions)</b>             |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Minimum base rent                | \$ 102.7 | \$ 103.9 | \$ (1.2) | \$ 310.7 | \$ 312.5 | \$ (1.8) |
| Recoveries from tenants          | 15.3     | 19.4     | (4.1)    | 45.0     | 49.1     | (4.1)    |
| Parking and other tenant charges | 3.6      | 3.7      | (0.1)    | 14.9     | 9.0      | 5.9      |

Property operations revenue is composed of minimum base rent from our office buildings, revenue from the recovery of operating expenses from our tenants and other revenue such as parking and termination fees. Occupancy rates in our buildings began to decline in most of our markets in late 2001 and have continued to decline into 2003. This decline has negatively affected our operating revenue. Occupancy in stabilized buildings (buildings in operation more than one year) by market as of September 30, 2003 and 2002 is as follows:

**Table of Contents****Management's Discussion and Analysis**

| Market                    | September 30, 2003   |                | September 30, 2002   |                | Variance             |                |
|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|
|                           | Rentable Sq. Footage | Percent Leased | Rentable Sq. Footage | Percent Leased | Rentable Sq. Footage | Percent Leased |
| Washington, DC            | 3,522,832            | 96.4           | 3,522,297            | 97.8           | 535                  | (1.4)          |
| Chicago                   | 1,225,895            | 67.2           | 1,235,127            | 85.6           | (9,232)              | (18.4)         |
| Atlanta                   | 1,784,355            | 80.2           | 1,774,131            | 77.6           | 10,224               | 2.6            |
| Dallas                    | 1,006,358            | 81.3           | 1,007,320            | 85.1           | (962)                | (3.8)          |
| Austin                    | 432,050              | 92.6           | 432,083              | 86.1           | (33)                 | 6.5            |
| Denver                    | 904,719              | 95.5           | 815,138              | 96.1           | 89,581               | (0.6)          |
| Phoenix                   | 532,506              | 100.0          | 532,506              | 100.0          |                      |                |
| Portland                  | 275,193              | 80.7           | 275,193              | 80.7           |                      |                |
| Seattle                   | 1,500,896            | 77.7           | 1,501,368            | 97.6           | (472)                | (19.9)         |
| Salt Lake City            | 628,349              | 87.0           | 630,029              | 92.0           | (1,680)              | (5.0)          |
| San Francisco             | 5,667,576            | 90.3           | 5,420,611            | 95.1           | 246,965              | (4.8)          |
| Orange County/Los Angeles | 1,629,086            | 95.3           | 1,812,749            | 85.0           | (183,663)            | 10.3           |
| San Diego                 | 1,191,901            | 91.6           | 1,253,989            | 97.2           | (62,088)             | (5.6)          |
| <b>Total</b>              | <b>20,301,716</b>    | <b>88.5</b>    | <b>20,212,541</b>    | <b>92.1</b>    | <b>89,175</b>        | <b>(3.6)</b>   |

As a result of the ongoing weak economic climate, the real estate markets have been materially affected. The contraction of office workforces has reduced demand for office space and overall vacancy rates for office properties have increased in all of our markets. During 2002 and in some cases continuing into 2003, our markets weakened substantially and our operations were adversely impacted. Vacancy rates now appear to have peaked in many of our markets, and some positive net absorption of space is occurring. However, because vacancy rates are still at high levels in most markets, we do not expect any material improvement in leasing conditions until at least 2004. The occupancy in our portfolio of stabilized operating properties decreased to 88.5% at September 30, 2003 compared to 92.3% at December 31, 2002 and 92.1% at September 30, 2002. Market rental rates have declined in most markets from peak levels and we believe there will be additional declines in some markets this year. Rental rates on space that was re-leased in the first nine months of 2003 decreased an average of 10.0% in comparison to rates that were in effect under expiring leases.

**Minimum Base Rent**

Minimum base rent decreased \$1.2 million (1.2%) in the third quarter of 2003 compared to 2002. The decrease in minimum base rent in 2003 was due primarily to higher vacancies. We expect minimum base rent to be under downward pressure during the remainder of the year as a result of re-leasing space at lower rates than those rates that were in effect under expiring leases. Minimum base rent decreased \$1.8 million (0.6%) for the first nine months of 2003 compared to 2002. The decrease in minimum base rent in 2003 was due primarily to higher vacancies partially offset by higher rents in buildings we acquired in the latter half of 2002 compared to the rents in buildings we sold in 2002, some of which are included in discontinued operations. Minimum base rent for buildings included in discontinued operations in 2003 was \$7.7 million less than those included in 2002.



**Table of Contents****Management's Discussion and Analysis**

Our lease rollover by square footage and rent at September 30, 2003 is as follows:

|                     | <b>Leased Sq.<br/>Footage<sup>1</sup></b> | <b>Rent<br/>(\$000)</b> |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2003                | 782,548                                   | 13,629                  |
| 2004                | 2,378,515                                 | 50,522                  |
| 2005                | 2,172,150                                 | 54,334                  |
| 2006                | 2,442,327                                 | 59,403                  |
| 2007                | 2,625,752                                 | 51,260                  |
| 2008                | 2,350,656                                 | 46,465                  |
| 2009                | 1,739,940                                 | 34,196                  |
| 2010                | 614,865                                   | 15,528                  |
| 2011                | 447,298                                   | 9,147                   |
| 2012                | 1,026,879                                 | 25,865                  |
| 2013 and thereafter | 1,384,114                                 | 49,987                  |
|                     | <b>17,965,044</b>                         | <b>410,336</b>          |

<sup>1</sup> Does not include vacant space at 9/30/03 - 2.3 million sq. ft.

**Recoveries from Tenants**

Recoveries from tenants decreased \$4.1 million in the third quarter of 2003 from 2002 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 from 2002. The reduction in recoveries from tenants is primarily the result of higher vacancies and new base years for new tenants and tenants renewing their leases.

**Parking and Other Tenant Charges**

Parking and other tenant charges decreased \$0.1 million (2.7%) in the third quarter of 2003 from 2002. Parking and other tenant charges increased \$5.9 million (65.6%) for the first nine months of 2003 compared to the same period in 2002. Lease termination fees were \$3.3 million higher in 2003 compared to 2002. Lease termination fees are paid by a tenant in exchange for our agreement to terminate the lease. Vacancies created as a result of these terminations are expected to negatively impact minimum base rent for the remainder of the year. Other tenant charges increased \$2.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 compared to 2002 due primarily to a fee to restore a tenant's space (\$1.2 million) and increased parking revenue from acquired properties (\$1.3 million).

**Property Expenses**

Edgar Filing: CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

Property expenses are summarized as follows:

| (in millions)               | For the            |         | For the           |               |         |          |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------|-------------------|---------------|---------|----------|
|                             | three months ended |         | nine months ended |               |         |          |
|                             | September 30,      |         | Variance          | September 30, |         | Variance |
|                             |                    |         | 2003 vs.          |               |         | 2003 vs. |
|                             | 2003               | 2002    | 2002              | 2003          | 2002    | 2002     |
| Property operating expenses | \$ 35.1            | \$ 33.0 | \$ 2.1            | \$ 98.9       | \$ 93.1 | \$ 5.8   |
| Real estate taxes           | 8.9                | 10.7    | (1.8)             | 31.9          | 33.7    | (1.8)    |

Property operating expenses increased \$2.1 million (6.4%) in the third quarter of 2003 from 2002 as a result of general increases in expenses, including utilities (\$0.5 million), repairs and maintenance (\$0.6 million), ground rent (\$0.4 million) and salaries and benefits (\$0.2 million). These increases were partially offset by lower bad debt expense (\$1.0 million).

For the nine months ended September 30, 2003, property operating expenses increased \$5.8 million from 2002 due primarily to higher insurance expense (\$2.8 million), repairs and maintenance (\$1.6 million), ground rent (\$1.1 million), utilities (\$0.5 million) and salaries and benefits (\$0.3 million) partially offset by lower bad debt expense (\$2.1 million). The increase in insurance expense was due primarily to the effect of increases in our property and casualty insurance premiums and the cost of terrorism coverage upon renewal of our policies in June 2002.

**Table of Contents****Management's Discussion and Analysis**

Real estate taxes decreased \$1.8 million (16.8%) in the third quarter of 2003 from 2002 as a result of real estate tax refunds and lower property assessments. For the nine months ended September 30, 2003, real estate taxes decreased \$1.8 million (5.3%) from 2002 for the same reason.

**Property Operating Margin**

Property operating margin, defined as property operations revenue less property expenses excluding depreciation, is summarized as follows:

|                                   | For the            |         |               | For the           |          |               |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------|---------------|-------------------|----------|---------------|
|                                   | three months ended |         |               | nine months ended |          |               |
|                                   | September 30,      |         | Variance      | September 30,     |          | Variance      |
|                                   | 2003               | 2002    | 2003 vs. 2002 | 2003              | 2002     | 2003 vs. 2002 |
| (in millions)                     |                    |         |               |                   |          |               |
| Property operating margin         | \$ 77.7            | \$ 83.3 | \$ (5.6)      | \$ 239.7          | \$ 243.9 | \$ (4.2)      |
| Property operating margin percent | 63.8%              | 65.6%   |               | 64.7%             | 65.8%    |               |

Property operating margin decreased \$5.6 million (6.7%) in the third quarter of 2003 from 2002 due primarily to increased vacancies and higher property operating expenses. Property operating margin as a percentage of property operations revenue was 63.8% for the third quarter of 2003 compared to 65.6% in 2002. For the nine months ended September 30, 2003, property operating margin decreased \$4.2 million (1.7%) for the same reasons.

**Real Estate Service Revenue**

Real estate service revenue, which includes our third party property management services and our development services, increased \$1.0 million (17.2%) in the third quarter of 2003 from 2002. The increase was due primarily to leasing fee revenue (\$1.1 million) earned from a lease to a tenant in a joint venture property in which we own a 30% interest. For the first nine months of 2003, real estate service revenue increased \$2.4 million (13.8%) from 2002 due primarily to the leasing fee revenue and higher development incentive fees.

**General and Administrative Expense**



## Edgar Filing: CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

General and administrative expense decreased slightly by \$0.2 million (2.0%) in the third quarter of 2003 from 2002. General and administrative expense increased \$1.6 million (5.5%) for the first nine months of 2003 compared to 2002 due primarily to higher payroll costs, including incentive compensation.

### **Depreciation and Amortization**

Depreciation and amortization increased \$3.2 million (10.6%) in the third quarter of 2003 compared to the third quarter of 2002. This increase was due primarily to amortization of intangible assets related to property acquisitions in the second half of 2002 and 2003. Depreciation and amortization increased \$6.0 million (6.5%) in the first nine months of 2003 compared to 2002 due primarily to amortization of intangible assets related to property acquisitions in the second half of 2002 and in 2003 and the acceleration of depreciation of tenant improvement costs related to terminated leases.

### **Interest Expense**

Interest expense increased \$1.4 million (5.8%) during the third quarter of 2003 compared to the third quarter of 2002. This increase was due primarily to higher debt levels to finance our repurchases of common and preferred stock in the latter half of 2002 and 2003 and the acquisition of properties. The effect of this increase was partially offset by a decrease in short-term interest rates on our variable rate line of credit, our interest rate swap agreements and repayment of higher rate mortgages. Interest expense increased \$4.2 million (5.7%) for the first nine months of 2003 compared to 2002 for the same reasons.

**Table of Contents****Management's Discussion and Analysis****Gain on Sale of Assets, Impairment Loss on Real Estate and Discontinued Operations**

The table below summarizes property sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002:

| Property Name | 2003      |                | Property Name         | 2002      |                |
|---------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|
|               | Sale Date | Square Footage |                       | Sale Date | Square Footage |
| Wateridge     | May-03    | 62,194         | Wasatch 17            | May-02    | 72,088         |
| Katella       | Aug-03    | 80,609         | Commons @ Las Colinas | Aug-02    | 604,234        |
| Pacificare    | Sep-03    | 104,377        | Braker Point          | Aug-02    | 195,230        |
| Lakewood      | Sep-03    | 80,816         |                       |           |                |
| <b>Total</b>  |           | <b>327,996</b> |                       |           | <b>871,552</b> |

We dispose of assets from time to time that are inconsistent with our long-term strategic or return objectives. During the three months ended September 30, 2003 we disposed of three operating properties, recognizing a gain of \$10.2 million, \$10.0 million of which is classified as discontinued operations. We continue to manage the Lakewood property under a management agreement and the gain on this sale and the operating results of the property are not classified as discontinued operations due to our continuing involvement. We have no continuing involvement with the Katella and Pacificare properties and, accordingly the gains on these sales and the operating results of the properties are classified as discontinued operations. For the three months ended September 30, 2002, we disposed of two operating properties, recognizing a gain of \$26.1 million, \$19.1 million of which is classified as discontinued operations. We continue to manage the Braker Point property under a management agreement and the gain on this sale and the operating results of the property are not classified as discontinued operations due to our continuing involvement. We have no continuing involvement with Commons at Las Colinas and, accordingly, the gain on sale and results of operations of the property are classified as discontinued operations.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2003, we disposed of four operating properties, recognizing a gain of \$13.4 million, \$10.0 million of which is classified as discontinued operations. We continue to manage two properties (Wateridge and Lakewood) under management agreements and the gain on these sales and the operating results of these properties are not classified as discontinued operations due to our continuing involvement. We have no continuing involvement with the Katella and Pacificare properties and, accordingly the gains on these sales and the operating results of the properties are classified as discontinued operations. We also recognized an impairment loss of \$2.7 million on the Lakewood property in the second quarter of 2003 as its estimated fair market value less costs to sell was less than its carrying amount. During the nine months ended September 20, 2002, we disposed of three operating properties, recognizing a gain of \$29.5 million, \$19.1 million of which is classified as discontinued operations. We continued to manage two of the properties (Wasatch 17 and Braker Point) under management agreements and, accordingly, the operating results of the properties and the gains on the sales are not classified as discontinued operations due to our continuing involvement. We have no continuing involvement with Commons at Las Colinas and, accordingly, the gain on sale and results of operations of the property are classified as discontinued operations. We recognized an impairment loss of \$1.3 million on parcels of land held for development during the nine months ended September 30, 2002.

## Edgar Filing: CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

Discontinued operations - net operations of properties sold decreased \$0.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2003 compared to 2002 and \$3.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 compared to 2002. The decreases in net operations of properties sold are due primarily to the Commons at Las Colinas which was sold in August 2002. The Commons at Las Colinas was a significantly larger property than the properties sold in 2003 and included in discontinued operations.

### **Other Income and Expense**

Other income, before interest expense, was \$0.9 million and \$1.9 million in the third quarter of 2003 and 2002, respectively. Equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities increased \$0.1 million in 2003 from 2002 due to an investment in a new joint venture in 2003 partially offset by the sale of one joint venture in the fourth quarter of 2002. Other income decreased \$0.3 million due primarily to a loss on the sale of a residential condominium unit in 2003. Additional residential sales are expected to occur in the fourth quarter of 2003. We also accrued a \$5.4 million loss related to lease guarantees associated with HQ Global related to a New York lease and reduced our provision for loss by \$4.6 million related to a California lease in the third quarter of 2003, resulting in a net loss related to HQ Global lease guarantees of \$0.8 million in the third quarter of 2003.

**Table of Contents****Management's Discussion and Analysis**

Other income (expense), before interest expense, was \$4.2 million and (\$2.2) million in the first nine months of 2003 and 2002, respectively. Equity in earnings from unconsolidated entities decreased \$0.9 million in 2003 from 2002 due to increased vacancies in the properties and the sale of one joint venture in the fourth quarter of 2002 partially offset by the an investment in a unconsolidated venture in the second quarter of 2003. We also accrued net losses related to lease guarantees associated with HQ Global of \$0.8 million in 2003 and \$8.7 million in 2002.

**Income from Continuing Operations and Diluted Earnings per Share**

Income from continuing operations decreased \$14.4 million (51.3%) in the third quarter of 2003 compared to 2002 for the reasons discussed above. Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations decreased \$0.14 (45.2%) per share for the third quarter of 2003 compared to 2002. The decrease was due primarily to lower earnings (\$0.28), partially offset by reduced preferred stock and restricted stock dividends (\$0.07) and lower issuance costs associated with redeemed preferred stock (\$0.07).

Income from continuing operations decreased \$13.0 million (21.1%) for the first nine months of 2003 compared to 2002 for the reasons discussed above. Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations increased \$0.02 (3.8%) per share in the first nine months of 2003 compared to 2002. The increase was due primarily to a reduction in preferred and restricted stock dividends (\$0.21), lower issuance costs associated with redeemed preferred stock (\$0.05), partially offset by lower earnings (\$0.24).

**Consolidated Cash Flows**

Consolidated cash flow information is summarized as follows:

|                                       | For the       |          | Variance         |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------|------------------|
|                                       | nine months   |          |                  |
|                                       | ended         |          |                  |
|                                       | September 30, |          |                  |
|                                       | 2003          | 2002     | 2003 vs.<br>2002 |
| <i>(in millions)</i>                  |               |          |                  |
| Cash provided by operating activities | \$ 117.5      | \$ 146.3 | \$ (28.8)        |
| Cash used by investing activities     | (57.1)        | (13.7)   | (43.4)           |
| Cash used by financing activities     | (56.2)        | (133.0)  | 76.8             |

## Edgar Filing: CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

Operations generated \$117.5 million of net cash for the first nine months of 2003 compared to \$146.3 million in 2002. The change in cash flow from operating activities was primarily the result of factors discussed above in the analysis of operating results. The level of net cash provided by operating activities is also affected by the timing of receipt of revenues and payment of expenses.

Our investing activities used net cash of \$57.1 million in 2003 and \$13.7 million in 2002. The change in cash used by investing activities in 2003 was due primarily to increased investments in joint ventures (\$5.5 million), increased notes receivable (\$2.3 million) and reduced proceeds from the sale of properties (\$129.1 million), partially offset by decreased capital expenditures on real estate principally fewer property acquisitions (\$66.1 million), decrease in restricted deposits (\$14.0 million), increased distributions from joint ventures (\$6.6 million) and decreased acquisition of minority interest (\$6.4 million).

Our financing activities used net cash of \$56.2 million in 2003 and \$133.0 million in 2002. The change in net cash used by financing activities in 2003 was due primarily to decreased repayments of our credit facility borrowings (\$214.0 million), reduced dividend payments (\$11.6 million) and lower repurchases and redemptions of common and preferred stock (\$73.2 million), partially offset by lower proceeds from sales of securities (preferred stock in 2003 and unsecured debt in 2002) (\$199.4 million), reduced proceeds from stock option exercises (\$14.6 million) and increased mortgage payments (\$9.1 million).

---

**Table of Contents**

---

**Management's Discussion and Analysis**

---

**LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

**General**

As of September 30, 2003, we had approximately \$7.2 million in available cash and cash equivalents. As a REIT, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our taxable income to our stockholders on an annual basis. In addition, we and our affiliates regularly require capital to invest in our existing portfolio of operating assets for capital projects. These capital projects include such things as large-scale renovations, routine capital improvements, deferred maintenance on properties we have recently acquired and leasing-related matters, including tenant improvements, allowances and leasing commissions. The amounts of the leasing-related expenditures can vary significantly depending on negotiations with tenants and the willingness of tenants to pay higher base rents over the life of the leases.

We derive substantially all of our revenue from tenants under leases at our properties. Our operating cash flow therefore depends materially on the rents that we are able to charge to our tenants, and the ability of these tenants to make their rental payments. Although our top 25 tenants accounted for approximately 35.0% of our annualized minimum base rents as of September 30, 2003, we believe that the diversity of our tenant base (no tenant accounted for more than 5% of annualized minimum base rents as of September 30, 2003) helps insulate us from the negative impact of tenant defaults and bankruptcies. However, general economic downturns, or economic downturns in one or more of our markets, could materially adversely impact the ability of our tenants to make lease payments and our ability to re-lease space on favorable terms as leases expire. In either of these cases, our cash flow and therefore our ability to meet our capital needs would be adversely affected.

As a result of the ongoing weak economic climate, the real estate markets have been materially affected. The contraction of office workforces has reduced demand for office space and overall vacancy rates for office properties have increased in all of our markets. During 2002 and in some cases continuing into 2003, our markets weakened substantially and our operations were adversely impacted. Vacancy rates now appear to have peaked in many of our markets, and some positive net absorption of space is occurring. However, because vacancy rates are still at high levels in most markets, we do not expect any material improvement in leasing conditions until at least 2004. The occupancy in our portfolio of stabilized operating properties decreased to 88.5% at September 30, 2003 compared to 92.3% at December 31, 2002 and 92.1% at September 30, 2002. Market rental rates have declined in most markets from peak levels and we believe there will be additional declines in some markets this year. Rental rates on space that was re-leased in the first nine months of 2003 decreased an average of 10.0% in comparison to rates that were in effect under expiring leases.

In the future, if, as a result of general economic downturns, our credit rating is downgraded, our properties do not perform as expected, we cannot raise the expected funds from the sale of properties and/or if we are unable to obtain capital from other sources, we may not be able to make required principal and interest payments, make strategic acquisitions or make necessary routine capital improvements with respect to our existing portfolio of operating assets. While we believe that we would continue to have sufficient funds to pay our operating expenses and debt service and our regular quarterly dividends, our ability to expand our development activity or to fund acquisitions of properties could be adversely affected. In addition, if a property is mortgaged to secure payment of indebtedness and we are unable to meet mortgage payments, the holder of the mortgage could foreclose on the property, resulting in loss of income and asset value. An unsecured lender could also attempt to foreclose on some of our assets in order to receive payment. In most cases, very little of the principal amount that we borrow is repaid prior to the maturity of the loan. We may refinance that debt when it matures, or we may pay off the loan. If principal amounts due at maturity cannot be refinanced, extended or paid with proceeds of other capital transactions, such as new equity capital, our cash flow may be insufficient to repay all maturing debt. Prevailing interest rates or other factors at the time of a refinancing (such as possible reluctance of lenders to make commercial real estate loans) may result in higher interest rates and increased interest expense.

Our ability to raise funds through sales of debt and equity securities is dependent on, among other things, general economic conditions, general market conditions for REITs, rental rates, occupancy levels, market perceptions about us, our debt rating and the current trading price of our stock. We will continue to analyze which source of capital is most advantageous to us at any particular point in time, but the capital markets may not consistently be available on terms that are attractive.

**Table of Contents****Management's Discussion and Analysis****Capital Structure**

We manage our capital structure to reflect a long-term investment approach, generally seeking to match the stable return nature of our assets with a mix of equity and various debt instruments. We expect that our capital structure will allow us to obtain additional capital from diverse sources that could include additional equity offerings of common stock and/or preferred stock, public and private debt financings and possible asset dispositions. Our management believes, but there can be no assurance, that we will have access to the capital resources necessary to expand and develop our business, to fund our operating and administrative expenses, to continue to meet our debt service obligations, to pay dividends in accordance with REIT requirements, to acquire additional properties and land and to pay for construction in progress.

**Debt Financing**

We generally use fixed rate debt instruments in order to match the returns from our real estate assets. We also utilize variable rate debt for short-term financing purposes or to protect against the risk, at certain times, that fixed rates may overstate our long-term costs of borrowing if assumed inflation or growth in the economy implicit in higher fixed interest rates do not materialize. At times, our mix of variable and fixed rate debt may not suit our needs. At those times, we use derivative financial instruments including interest rate swaps and caps, forward interest rate options or interest rate options in order to assist us in managing our debt mix. We either will hedge our variable rate debt to give it a fixed interest rate or hedge fixed rate debt to give it a variable interest rate.

We have three investment grade ratings. As of September 30, 2003, Fitch Rating Services and Standard & Poors have each assigned their BBB rating to our prospective senior unsecured debt offerings and their BBB- rating to our prospective cumulative preferred stock offerings. Moody's Investor Service has assigned its Baa2 rating with a negative outlook to our prospective senior unsecured debt offerings and its Baa3 rating to our prospective cumulative preferred stock offerings. A downgrade in rating by any one of these rating agencies could result from, among other things, a change in our financial position or a downturn in general economic conditions. Any such downgrade could adversely affect our ability to obtain future financing or could increase the interest rates on our existing variable rate debt. However, we have no debt instruments under which the principal maturity would be accelerated upon a downward change in our debt rating.

Our total debt at September 30, 2003 is summarized as follows:

|                                                     |                     |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>(In thousands)</b>                               |                     |
| Fixed rate mortgages                                | \$ 370,276          |
| Unsecured credit facility                           | 43,000              |
| Senior unsecured notes                              | 1,100,000           |
|                                                     | <hr/>               |
|                                                     | 1,513,276           |
| Unamortized discount and fair value adjustment, net | (2,729)             |
|                                                     | <hr/>               |
|                                                     | <b>\$ 1,510,547</b> |
|                                                     | <hr/>               |



## Edgar Filing: CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

Our fixed rate mortgage debt bore an effective weighted average interest rate of 8.0% at September 30, 2003 and had a weighted average maturity of 5.2 years. \$43.0 million (2.8%) of our total debt at September 30, 2003 bore a LIBOR-based variable interest rate and \$325.0 million (21.5%) of our debt was subject to variable interest rates through interest rate swap agreements. The interest rate on borrowings on our unsecured credit facility at September 30, 2003 was 1.82%.

Our primary external source of liquidity is our credit facility. We have a three-year, \$500 million unsecured credit facility expiring in June 2004 with J.P. Morgan Chase, as agent for a group of banks. We can extend the life of the facility an additional year at our option. The facility carries an interest rate of 70 basis points over 30-day LIBOR, or 1.82% as of September 30, 2003. As of September 30, 2003, \$43.0 million was drawn on the credit facility, \$4.8 million in letters of credit were outstanding and we had \$452.2 million available for borrowing.

**Table of Contents****Management's Discussion and Analysis**

Our unsecured credit facility contains financial and other covenants with which we must comply. Some of these covenants include:

A minimum ratio of annual EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) to interest expense;

A minimum ratio of annual EBITDA to fixed charges;

A maximum ratio of aggregate unsecured debt to unencumbered assets;

A maximum ratio of total debt to tangible fair market value of our assets; and

Restrictions on our ability to make dividend distributions in excess of 90% of funds from operations.

Availability under the unsecured credit facility is also limited to a specified percentage of the fair value of our unmortgaged properties. During the second quarter of 2003, we amended our credit agreement to change our maximum ratio of aggregate unsecured debt to unencumbered assets covenant to allow for continuing compliance. Failure to comply with any of the covenants under our unsecured credit facility or other debt instruments could result in a default under one or more of our debt instruments. This could cause our lenders to accelerate the timing of payments and would therefore have a material adverse effect on our business, operations, financial condition or liquidity. As of September 30, 2003, we were in compliance with our loan covenants.

We have senior unsecured notes outstanding at September 30, 2003 as follows:

|                          | Note<br>Principal   | Unamortized<br>Discount | Fair Value<br>Adjustment | Total               |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>(In thousands)</b>    |                     |                         |                          |                     |
| 7.200% notes due in 2004 | \$ 150,000          | \$ (169)                | \$ 3,246                 | \$ 153,077          |
| 6.625% notes due in 2005 | 100,000             | (902)                   |                          | 99,098              |
| 7.375% notes due in 2007 | 125,000             | (543)                   |                          | 124,457             |
| 5.261% notes due in 2007 | 50,000              | (125)                   |                          | 49,875              |
| 5.250% notes due in 2007 | 175,000             | (1,128)                 | 3,281                    | 177,153             |
| 6.875% notes due in 2008 | 100,000             | (1,826)                 |                          | 98,174              |
| 7.125% notes due in 2012 | 400,000             | (4,563)                 |                          | 395,437             |
|                          | <u>\$ 1,100,000</u> | <u>\$ (9,256)</u>       | <u>\$ 6,527</u>          | <u>\$ 1,097,271</u> |

Of our senior unsecured notes, \$625.0 million was issued in 2002. In January 2002, we issued \$400.0 million of senior unsecured notes. The notes bear interest at 7.125% per annum payable semi-annually beginning on July 15, 2002. The notes mature on January 15, 2012. In November 2002, we issued \$50.0 million of 5.261% and \$175.0 million of 5.25% senior unsecured notes. Interest on these notes is payable semi-annually beginning May 30, 2003. The notes mature on November 30, 2007. All of the notes are unconditionally guaranteed by

CarrAmerica Realty, L.P., one of our subsidiaries.

Our senior unsecured notes also contain covenants with which we must comply. These include:

Limits on our total indebtedness on a consolidated basis;

Limits on our secured indebtedness on a consolidated basis;

Limits on our required debt service payments; and

Compliance with the financial covenants of our credit facility.

### **Derivative Financial Instruments**

On May 8, 2002, we entered into interest rate swap agreements with JP Morgan Chase and Bank of America, N.A. hedging \$150.0 million of senior unsecured notes due July 2004. We receive interest at a fixed rate of 7.2% and pay interest at a variable rate of six-month LIBOR in arrears plus 2.72%. The interest rate swaps mature at the same time the notes are due. The swaps qualify as fair value hedges for accounting purposes. The fair value of the interest rate swaps is recognized on our balance sheet and the carrying value of the senior unsecured notes is increased or decreased by an offsetting amount. As of September 30, 2003, the fair value of the interest rate swaps was approximately \$3.2 million. We recognized reductions in interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 of approximately \$1.2 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 of approximately \$3.7 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, related to the swaps. As of September 30, 2003, taking into account the effect of the interest rate swaps, the effective interest rate on the notes was reduced to 3.94%.

---

**Table of Contents**

---

**Management's Discussion and Analysis**

---

On November 20, 2002, in conjunction with the issuance of \$175.0 million of senior unsecured notes, we entered into interest rate swap agreements with JP Morgan Chase, Bank of America, N.A. and Goldman Sachs & Co. We receive interest at a fixed rate of 5.25% and pay interest at a variable rate of six-month LIBOR in arrears plus 1.405%. The interest rate swaps mature at the same time the notes are due. The swaps qualify as fair value hedges for accounting purposes. The fair value of the interest rate swaps is recognized on our balance sheet and the carrying value of the senior unsecured notes is increased or decreased by an offsetting amount. As of September 30, 2003, the fair value of the interest rate swaps was approximately \$3.3 million. We recognized reductions in interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003 of approximately \$1.1 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, related to the swaps. As of September 30, 2003, taking into account the effect of the interest rate swaps, the effective interest rate on the notes was reduced to 2.63%.

As part of the assumption of \$63.5 million of debt associated with the purchase of two operating properties in August 2002, we purchased interest rate caps with a notional amount of \$97.0 million and LIBOR capped at 6.75%. As of September 30, 2003, the fair market value of these interest rate caps was not material.

**Stock Repurchases and Dividends**

On March 18, 2003, we redeemed 2,000,000 shares of our Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share plus \$0.107125 per share in accrued and unpaid dividends for the period from March 1, 2003 through and including the redemption date. This resulted in a cash expenditure of approximately \$50.2 million in March 2003, which we funded by drawing on our \$500 million unsecured credit facility. Including this redemption, for the nine months ended September 30, 2003, we repurchased or redeemed 2,331,670 shares of our preferred stock for approximately \$58.3 million, excluding accrued and unpaid dividends. On September 25, 2003, we issued 8,050,000 shares of 7.5% Series E cumulative preferred stock with net proceeds of \$195.1 million. These shares are not redeemable before September 25, 2008 unless redemption is necessary to maintain our status as a REIT. On October 12, 2003, we redeemed the remaining outstanding shares of our Series B, C and D preferred stock for \$196.3 million plus \$1.3 million of accrued dividends.

Our Board of Directors has authorized us to spend up to \$400.0 million to repurchase our common stock, preferred stock and debt securities, excluding the 9.2 million shares repurchased from Security Capital in November 2001 and our preferred stock redemptions of 4.0 million, 2.0 million and 7.9 million shares in September 2002, March 2003 and October 2003, respectively, which were separately approved. Since the start of this program in mid-2000 through September 30, 2003, we have acquired approximately 10.4 million of our common shares for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$296.9 million, including 322,600 shares for approximately \$7.9 million in the first nine months of 2003. We continue to monitor market conditions and other alternative investments in order to evaluate whether repurchase of our securities is appropriate.

We pay dividends quarterly. The maintenance of these dividends is subject to various factors, including the discretion of the Board of Directors, the ability to pay dividends under Maryland law, the availability of cash to make the necessary dividend payments and the effect of REIT distribution requirements, which require at least 90% of our taxable income to be distributed to stockholders. In addition, under our line of credit, we generally are restricted from paying dividends that would exceed 90% of our funds from operations during any four-quarter period.

**Capital Commitments**

## Edgar Filing: CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

We will require capital for development projects currently underway and in the future. As of September 30, 2003, we had a residential project under development. We undertook this wholly-owned project in conjunction with an office development project in a joint venture. Our total investment in the residential project is expected to be \$20.4 million. As of September 30, 2003, we had invested \$13.0 million in this project with the remainder expected to be incurred during this year. As of September 30, 2003, we had 477,000 rentable square feet of office space under construction in a joint venture project in which we own a minority interest. This project is expected to cost \$159.0 million, of which our total investment is expected to be approximately \$47.7 million. Through September 30, 2003, approximately \$126.6 million or 79.6% of total project costs had been expended on this project. We have financed our investment in both our wholly-owned and our joint venture projects under construction at September 30, 2003 from borrowings under our credit facility and project-specific debt. We expect that our credit facility and project-specific

**Table of Contents**

**Management's Discussion and Analysis**

---

financing of selected assets will provide the additional funds required to complete existing development projects and to finance the costs of additional projects we may undertake. As a result of market conditions, we believe we will be limiting our development activities in the near future and expect to concentrate our growth efforts on the acquisition of properties.

We have \$150.0 million in unsecured notes maturing July 1, 2004. We anticipate refinancing this debt with new unsecured debt rather than paying it down out of income from operations or from proceeds of an equity offering. If we are unable to issue unsecured notes, we expect to use our unsecured credit facility to repay the maturing notes.

**Unconsolidated Investments and Related Parties**

We have minority investments in two non-real estate operating companies, AgilQuest and essention, which we account for using the cost method. These are startup entities in which we invested \$2.8 million and \$1.7 million, respectively. In the fourth quarter of 2002, we recognized an impairment of \$0.5 million on our investment in essention because we believed the value of our investment was partially impaired. In the future, additional impairment charges related to our investments may be required.

We have investments in real estate joint ventures in which we hold 15%-50% interests. These investments are accounted for using the equity or cost method, as appropriate, and therefore the assets and liabilities of the joint ventures are not included in our consolidated financial statements. Most of these joint ventures own and operate office buildings financed by non-recourse debt obligations that are secured only by the real estate and other assets of the joint ventures. We have no obligation to repay this debt and the lenders have no recourse to our other assets. As of September 30, 2003, we guaranteed \$40.0 million of debt related to a joint venture and have provided completion guarantees related to three joint venture projects for which total costs are anticipated to be \$265.3 million, of which \$224.7 million has been expended to date. We have not funded any amounts under these guarantees and do not expect any funding will be required in the future.

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. This Interpretation addresses the consolidation of variable interest entities ( VIEs ) in which the equity investors lack one or more of the essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest or where the equity investment at risk is not sufficient for the entity to finance its activities without subordinated financial support from other parties. The Interpretation initially applied to VIEs created after January 31, 2003 and to VIEs in which we acquired an interest after that date. Effective July 1, 2003, it also applied to VIEs in which we acquired an interest before February 1, 2003. The adoption of Interpretation No. 46 in 2003 had no effect on our financial statements as we concluded that we are not required to consolidate any of our unconsolidated real estate ventures that we have accounted for using the equity method or the VIEs described below.

We entered into two arrangements subsequent to January 31, 2003 which are VIEs. We have provided mezzanine loans and guarantees to third-parties for development management projects. The purpose of these entities is to build and own office buildings in Washington, D.C. Based upon our analysis, we believe that we are not the primary beneficiary of either entity and we are not consolidating them. Our maximum exposure to loss as of September 30, 2003 is \$41.3 million, the sum of our notes receivable and the maximum exposure under the guarantees.

Our investments in these joint ventures are subject to risks not inherent in our majority owned properties, including:

Absence of exclusive control over the development, financing, leasing, management and other aspects of the project;

Possibility that our co-venturer or partner might:

become bankrupt;

have interests or goals that are inconsistent with ours;

take action contrary to our instructions, requests or interests (including those related to our qualification as a REIT for tax purposes); or

otherwise impede our objectives; and

Possibility that we, together with our partners, may be required to fund losses of the investee.

In addition to making investments in these ventures, we provide construction management, leasing and property management, development and architectural and other services to them. We earned fees for these services of \$3.3 million and \$1.8 million in the third quarter of 2003 and 2002, respectively, and \$6.9 million and \$6.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Accounts receivable from joint ventures and other affiliates were \$0.7 million at September 30, 2003.

**Table of Contents****Management's Discussion and Analysis**

We have a consulting agreement with Oliver Carr, one of our directors, under which Mr. Carr provides services to us. We paid Mr. Carr \$104,750 during the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and \$105,000 for the full year 2002. The agreement expired in June 2003.

**Guarantee Obligations**

Our obligations under guarantee agreements at September 30, 2003 are summarized as follows:

| Type of Guarantee            | Project Relationship | Term   | Maximum Exposure | Carrying Value |
|------------------------------|----------------------|--------|------------------|----------------|
| Loan <sup>1</sup>            | 575 7th Street       | Apr-05 | \$ 40,000,000    | \$             |
| Loan <sup>2</sup>            | Atlantic Building    | Dec-03 | 21,000,000       |                |
| Loan <sup>3</sup>            | Shakespeare Theater  | Dec-04 | 16,500,000       | 175,000        |
| Lease <sup>4</sup>           | HQ Global            | Jan-13 | 16,400,000       | 8,069,000      |
| Indemnification <sup>5</sup> | HQ Global            |        | unknown          |                |
| Loan <sup>6</sup>            | Square 320           | Mar-05 | 16,070,000       | 135,000        |

1. Loan guarantee relates to a joint venture in which we have a 30% interest and for which we are the developer. It is a payment guarantee to the lender on behalf of the joint venture. If the joint venture defaults on the loan, we may be required to perform under the guarantee. We have a reimbursement guarantee from the other joint venture partner to repay us its proportionate share (70%) of any monies we pay under the guarantee.
2. Loan guarantee relates to a third party project for which we are the developer. It is a payment guarantee to the lender. If the third party defaults on the loan, we may be required to perform under the guarantee. We have a security interest in the third party's interest in the underlying property. In the event of a default, we can exercise our rights under the security agreement to take title to the property and sell the property to mitigate our exposure under the guarantee. We have entered into an agreement with the lender that permits us to acquire the lender's first position mortgage securing the loan if the third party defaults on the loan and we then make payment in full to the lender under the guarantee.
3. Represents a payment guarantee on a third party project for which we are the developer. We have entered into an agreement with the lender that permits us to acquire the lender's first position mortgage securing the loan if the third party defaults on the loan and we then make payment in full to the lender under the guarantee.
4. See note 2 for further discussion.
5. See Part II, Item 1 for further discussion.
6. Loan guarantee relates to a third party project for which we are the developer. It is a payment guarantee to the lender. If the third party defaults on the loan, we may be required to perform under the guarantee. We have a security interest in the third party's interest in the underlying property. In the event of a default, we can exercise our rights under the security agreement to take title to the property and sell the property to mitigate our exposure under the guarantee. We have entered into an agreement with the lender that permits us to acquire the lender's first position mortgage securing the loan if the third party defaults on the loan and we then make payment in full to the lender under the guarantee.

In the normal course of business, we guarantee our performance of services or indemnify third parties against our negligence.

**Insurance**



Although we believe our properties are adequately covered by insurance, we cannot predict at this time if we will be able to obtain full coverage at a reasonable cost in the future. The costs associated with our June 30, 2002 property and casualty insurance renewals were higher than anticipated. Although we have an excellent claims history and safety record, all lines of coverage were affected by higher premiums, in part because insurance companies have experienced a loss of income on their investments, underwriting results have been poor and also as a result of the events of September 11, 2001.

Our insurance renewal on June 30, 2002 increased premiums from the prior year approximately 155%. The property insurance deductible increased from \$5,000 to \$10,000 per claim. Since reinsurance treaties renew twice each year (January and July), our property and casualty insurance renewal date has been changed from June 30 to May 15 to enable underwriters to concentrate on the insurance proposals well ahead of treaty renewal.

In anticipation of our scheduled property and casualty insurance renewal on May 15, 2003, we provided updated data to our insurance broker to enable our broker to market our insurance with various insurers. We received insurance coverage and cost proposals in April 2003 and our final costs in May. Our premiums for the 2003-2004 renewal period were relatively unchanged from the 2002-2003 period.

---

**Table of Contents**

---

**Management's Discussion and Analysis**

---

As a result of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 ( TRIA ), we elected to purchase the TRIA coverage upon our 2003 insurance renewal rather than stand alone coverage. In June 2002, we purchased stand alone terrorism coverage with limits of \$200 million per occurrence and in the aggregate, with a deductible of \$1.0 million per claim, at a cost of approximately \$2.2 million per year. Our TRIA insurance increases our coverage to \$500 million for foreign certified terrorist acts but also includes \$25 million of coverage for domestic terrorism. Coverage, either under TRIA or a stand alone policy, includes only physical damage and does not include losses due to biological, chemical or radioactive contamination. The lack of coverage for such contamination could have a material adverse effect on our financial results if a building we own becomes uninhabitable as a result of a biological, chemical, radioactive or other contamination.

Due to the rising cost of California earthquake insurance, we reviewed our probable maximum loss ( PML ) and industry practice related to earthquake coverage for various factors. As a result of this review, we determined that it was possible to lower our earthquake coverage from \$200 million to \$150 million. We believe this will be sufficient coverage but there can be no assurance that such coverage will adequately compensate us for any loss, that our coverage would continue after a loss, or that a loss, even if covered, would not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

In December 2002, three major corporate insurance policies were renewed for another annual term. The policies were the Directors and Officers Liability, Employment Practices Liability and the Professional (Errors and Omissions) Liability. As had been expected, the insurance markets were not favorable to the buyer due mainly to insurance industry conditions. The increases in premiums were 44% for Directors and Officers Liability, 76% for Employment Practices Liability and 45% for Professional (Errors and Omissions) Liability. The deductible for the Directors and Officers Liability policy changed from \$250,000 for each claim to \$500,000 for securities claims and \$250,000 for all other claims. The deductible for the Employment Practices Liability increased from \$50,000 per claim to \$250,000 per claim.

**HQ Global Workplaces, Inc.**

In 1997, we began making investments in HQ Global Workplaces, Inc. ( HQ Global ), a provider of executive office suites. On June 1, 2000, we, along with HQ Global, VANTAS Incorporated (VANTAS) and FrontLine Capital Group (FrontLine), consummated several transactions including (i) the merger of VANTAS with and into HQ Global, (ii) the acquisition by FrontLine of shares of HQ Global common stock from us and other stockholders of HQ Global, and (iii) the acquisition by VANTAS of our debt and equity interests in OmniOffices (UK) Limited and OmniOffices LUX 1929 Holding Company S.A. We received \$377.3 million in cash in connection with these transactions. In addition, \$140.5 million of debt which we had guaranteed was repaid with a portion of the cash proceeds. Following the transaction, we owned approximately 16% of the equity of HQ Global on a diluted basis and our investment had a carrying value of \$42.2 million. In 2001, based on a number of considerations, we recorded an impairment charge that reduced the carrying value of our remaining investment in HQ Global to zero.

On March 13, 2002, HQ Global filed for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the federal bankruptcy laws. During 1997 and 1998, to assist HQ Global as it grew its business, we provided guarantees of HQ Global's performance under four office leases. In the course of the bankruptcy proceedings, which were concluded in September 2003, HQ Global rejected two of these four leases. One lease was for approximately 22,000 square feet of space at two adjacent buildings in San Jose, California. Our liability under this guarantee was limited to approximately \$2.0 million. We reached agreement with the landlord of this lease under which we paid \$1.75 million in full satisfaction of the guarantee in January 2003. We recognized this expense in 2002.

## Edgar Filing: CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

The second lease rejected by HQ Global is a sublease, which runs through March 2008, for approximately 26,000 square feet of space in downtown Manhattan. In June 2002, we received a demand for payment of the full amount of the guarantee. We joined with HQ Global in filing suit on July 24, 2002 in HQ Global's bankruptcy proceedings asking the bankruptcy court to declare that, due to the surrender of the premises by HQ Global and the deemed acceptance by the landlord under the sublease of that surrender by virtue of its use of the premises, the lease was terminated by the landlord under the sublease not later than February 28, 2003. In light of our defenses and the uncertainty of these proceedings, we had not previously accrued any expense related to the guarantee. However, on September 16, 2003, the bankruptcy court ruled that HQ Global did not effectively surrender the premises under the

---

**Table of Contents**

---

**Management's Discussion and Analysis**

---

sublease and that the landlord under the sublease therefore could not be deemed to have accepted a surrender. In October 2003, we entered into a tentative settlement agreement with the landlord under the sublease agreeing to pay \$5.4 million in cash in one payment. We accrued a provision for loss for this settlement in the third quarter of 2003 and we anticipate making this payment prior to the end of 2003.

One of the guaranteed leases that was not rejected by HQ Global runs through January 2013, and is for approximately 19,000 square feet of space in San Mateo, California. In the second quarter of 2002, we accrued a provision for loss under this guarantee of \$6.9 million based on the assumption that HQ Global would reject this lease and based on our estimates of the mitigated damages that would be incurred under the lease. In January 2003, HQ Global assigned its interest as a tenant in this lease to us and we in turn subleased the space back to HQ Global at current market rates together with the right to participate in a portion of HQ Global's future profits, if any, generated by its operations in the space. These agreements were subject to approval by the bankruptcy court and would have been enforceable only if HQ Global successfully reorganized and emerged from bankruptcy proceedings. On September 15, 2003, HQ Global's plan of reorganization was approved by the bankruptcy court. Based on HQ Global's reorganization plan being approved and HQ Global's current operating performance in the space, we reevaluated our estimated loss related to the guarantee and reduced our provision for loss under this guarantee by \$4.6 million to \$2.3 million in the third quarter of 2003. As of September 30, 2003, we had paid \$150,000 under this guarantee.

**New Accounting Pronouncements**

In November 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Interpretation No. 45, Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others. The Interpretation requires recognition of liabilities at their fair value for newly issued guarantees. The Interpretation requires certain disclosures, which we have included in note 5. The adoption of Interpretation No. 45 on January 1, 2003 did not have a material effect on our financial statements.

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure. SFAS No. 148 provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based compensation and requires disclosure in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. Effective January 1, 2003, we adopted the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based compensation costs. We elected to use the prospective method of transition to the fair value method provided in SFAS No. 148 and, accordingly, the method is being applied for all employee stock compensation awards granted, modified or settled on or after January 1, 2003.

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. This Interpretation addresses the consolidation of variable interest entities (VIEs) in which the equity investors lack one or more of the essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest or where the equity investment at risk is not sufficient for the entity to finance its activities without subordinated financial support from other parties. The Interpretation initially applied to VIEs created after January 31, 2003 and to VIEs in which we acquired an interest after that date. It also applies to VIEs in which we acquired an interest before February 1, 2003. The adoption of Interpretation No. 46 in 2003 had no effect on our financial statements as we concluded that we are not required to consolidate any of our unconsolidated real estate ventures that we have accounted for using the equity method or the VIEs described below.

We entered two arrangements subsequent to January 31, 2003 which are VIEs. We have provided mezzanine loans and guaranties to third-parties for development management projects. The purpose of these entities is to build and own office buildings in Washington, D.C. Based upon our analysis, we believe that we are not the primary beneficiary of either entity and; we are not consolidating them. Our maximum

exposure to loss as of September 30, 2003 is \$41.3 million, the sum of our notes receivable and the maximum exposure under the guaranties.

---

**Table of Contents**

---

**Management's Discussion and Analysis**

---

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity. SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for the classification and measurement of certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. It requires that an issuer classify a financial instrument that is within its scope as a liability (or an asset in some circumstances). In particular, it requires that mandatorily redeemable financial instruments be classified as liabilities and reported at fair value and that changes in their fair values be reported as interest cost.

SFAS No. 150 was effective for us as of July 1, 2003. On October 29, 2003, the FASB indefinitely delayed the provision of the statement related to non-controlling interests in limited-life subsidiaries that are consolidated. Based on FASB's deferral of this provision, adoption did not affect our financial statements.

We had determined that one of our consolidated partnerships was a limited-life entity. We estimate the fair value of the minority interest in this partnership at September 30, 2003 was \$9.5 million.

**Funds From Operations**

Funds from Operations ( FFO ) is a widely used measure of operating performance for real estate companies. We provide FFO as a supplement to net income calculated in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ). Although FFO is a widely used measure of operating performance for equity REITs, FFO does not represent net income calculated in accordance with GAAP. As such, it should not be considered an alternative to net income as an indication of our operating performance. In addition, FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP, nor does it represent cash available to pay distributions and should not be considered as an alternative to cash flow from operating activities, determined in accordance with GAAP as a measure of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to make cash distributions. FFO is defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) as follows:

Net income - computed in accordance with GAAP;

Less gains (or plus losses) from sales of operating properties and items that are classified as extraordinary items under GAAP;

Plus depreciation and amortization of assets uniquely significant to the real estate industry;

Plus or minus adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures (to reflect funds from operations on the same basis).

We believe that FFO is helpful to investors as a measure of our performance because it excludes various items included in net income that do not relate to or are not indicative of our operating performance, such as gains and losses on sales of real estate and real estate related depreciation and amortization, which can make periodic analyses of operating performance more difficult to compare. Our management believes, however, that FFO, by excluding such items, which can vary among owners of similar assets in similar condition based on historical cost accounting and useful life estimates, can help compare the operating performance of a company's real estate between periods or as compared to different companies. Our FFO may not be comparable to FFO reported by other REITs. These other REITs may not define the term in accordance with

the current NAREIT definition or may interpret the current NAREIT definition differently than us.

**Table of Contents****Management's Discussion and Analysis**

The following table provides the calculation of our FFO and a reconciliation of FFO to net income for the periods presented:

|                                                                                         | For the three months<br>ended September 30, |                  | For the nine months<br>ended September 30, |                   |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------|
|                                                                                         | 2003                                        | 2002             | 2003                                       | 2002              |
| <b>(In thousands)</b>                                                                   |                                             |                  |                                            |                   |
| Net income                                                                              | \$ 23,987                                   | \$ 48,119        | \$ 59,787                                  | \$ 85,804         |
| Adjustments                                                                             |                                             |                  |                                            |                   |
| Minority interest                                                                       | 2,616                                       | 5,113            | 8,385                                      | 11,120            |
| FFO allocable to Unitholders                                                            | (4,343)                                     | (4,857)          | (13,122)                                   | (13,848)          |
| Depreciation and amortization                                                           | 34,658                                      | 32,329           | 103,654                                    | 101,500           |
| Minority interests (non-Unitholders share of depreciation, amortization and net income) | (333)                                       | (291)            | (940)                                      | (807)             |
| Gain on sale of assets                                                                  | (10,155)                                    | (26,127)         | (13,400)                                   | (29,467)          |
| <b>FFO as defined by NAREIT<sup>1</sup></b>                                             | <b>\$ 46,430</b>                            | <b>\$ 54,286</b> | <b>\$ 144,364</b>                          | <b>\$ 154,302</b> |

<sup>1</sup> FFO as defined by NAREIT for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, includes impairment losses on real estate of \$2.7 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. Prior to the third quarter of 2003, we followed a practice of excluding such losses from FFO (except to the extent they related to land). However, we revised this practice based on clarification of the SEC staff's position on the FFO treatment of impairment losses and guidance from NAREIT issued during the third quarter of 2003.

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

Certain statements contained herein constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the Reform Act). Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our, and our affiliates, or the industry's actual results, performance, dividends, achievements or transactions to be materially different from any future results, performance, dividends, achievements or transactions expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such factors include, among others, the following:

National and local economic, business and real estate conditions that will, among other things, affect:

Demand for office properties,

The ability of the general economy to recover timely from the current economic conditions,

The availability and creditworthiness of tenants,



## Edgar Filing: CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

The level of lease rents, and

The availability of financing for both tenants and us;

Adverse changes in the real estate markets, including, among other things:

Competition with other companies, and

Risks of real estate acquisition and development (including the failure of pending acquisitions to close and pending developments to be completed on time and within budget);

Possible charges or payments resulting from our guarantees of certain leases of HQ Global Workplaces, Inc;

Actions, strategies and performance of affiliates that we may not control or companies in which we have made investments;

Ability to obtain insurance at a reasonable cost;

Ability to maintain our status as a REIT for federal and state income tax purposes;

Ability to raise capital;

Governmental actions and initiatives; and

Environmental/safety requirements.

For further discussion of these and other factors that could impact our future results, performance, achievements or transactions, see the documents we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and in particular, the section titled "The Company Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## Table of Contents

### **Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

Our future earnings and cash flows and the fair values of our financial instruments are dependent upon prevailing market rates. Market risk associated with financial instruments and derivative and commodity instruments is the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices or rates. We manage our risk by matching projected cash inflows from operating activities, financing activities and investing activities with projected cash outflows to fund debt payments, acquisitions, capital expenditures, distributions and other cash requirements. We may also use derivative financial instruments at times to limit market risk. Interest rate protection agreements may be used to convert variable rate debt to a fixed rate basis, to convert fixed rate debt to a variable rate basis or to hedge anticipated financing transactions. We use derivative financial instruments only for hedging purposes, and not for speculation or trading purposes.

On May 8, 2002, we entered into interest rate swap agreements with JP Morgan Chase and Bank of America, N.A. hedging \$150.0 million of senior unsecured notes due July 2004. We receive interest at a fixed rate of 7.2% and pay interest at a variable rate of six-month LIBOR in arrears plus 2.72%. The interest rate swaps mature at the same time the notes are due. The swaps qualify as fair value hedges for accounting purposes. The fair value of the interest rate swaps is recognized on our balance sheet and the carrying value of the senior unsecured notes is increased or decreased by an offsetting amount. As of September 30, 2003, the fair value of the interest rate swaps was approximately \$3.2 million. We recognized reductions in interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 of approximately \$1.2 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 of approximately \$3.7 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, related to the swaps. As of September 30, 2003, taking into account the effect of the interest rate swaps, the effective interest rate on the notes was reduced to 3.94%.

On November 20, 2002, in conjunction with the issuance of \$175.0 million of senior unsecured notes, we entered into interest rate swap agreements with JP Morgan Chase, Bank of America, N.A. and Goldman Sachs & Co. We receive interest at a fixed rate of 5.25% and pay interest at a variable rate of six-month LIBOR in arrears plus 1.405%. The interest rate swaps mature at the same time the notes are due. The swaps qualify as fair value hedges for accounting purposes. The fair value of the interest rate swaps is recognized on our balance sheet and the carrying value of the senior unsecured notes is increased or decreased by an offsetting amount. As of September 30, 2003, the fair value of the interest rate swaps was approximately \$3.3million. We recognized reductions in interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003 of approximately \$1.1 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, related to the swaps. As of September 30, 2003, taking into account the effect of the interest rate swaps, the effective interest rate on the notes was reduced to 2.63%.

Our interest rate swaps also expose us to the risk of higher interest costs if LIBOR should increase above its current low levels. A 10% increase in the current interest rate would have increased interest expense related to the bonds subject to the interest rate swaps approximately \$263,000 for the third quarter of 2003 and \$789,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2003.

As part of the assumption of \$63.5 million of debt associated with the purchase of two operating properties in August 2002, we purchased interest rate caps with a notional amount of \$97.0 million and LIBOR capped at 6.75%. As of June 30, 2003, the fair market value of these interest rate caps was not material.

Our unsecured line of credit carries an interest rate of 30-day LIBOR plus 0.7%. This exposes us to the risk of higher interest costs if 30-day LIBOR should increase above its current low levels. A 10% increase in the current interest rate would have increased interest expense on the line of credit approximately \$91,000 for the third quarter of 2003 and \$233,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2003.

## Edgar Filing: CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

Any other significant changes in our market risk that have occurred since the filing of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 are summarized in the Liquidity and Capital Resources section of the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

Evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness as of September 30, 2003 of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15 of the rules promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the design and operation of these disclosure controls and procedures were effective. There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with that evaluation that occurred during the three months ended September 30, 2003 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**Table of Contents**

**Part II**

**OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

**HQ Global Stockholders**

We are currently involved in a lawsuit filed in April 2000 by two stockholders of HQ Global arising out of the June 2000 merger transaction involving HQ Global and VANTAS Incorporated. These two stockholders have brought claims against HQ Global, the board of directors of HQ Global, FrontLine Capital Group and us in Delaware Chancery Court. The two stockholders allege that, in connection with the merger transaction, we breached our fiduciary duties to the two stockholders and breached a contract with the stockholders. The claim relates principally to the allocation of consideration paid to us with respect to our interest in an affiliate of HQ Global that conducts international executive suites operations. The stockholders asked the court to rescind the transaction, or in the alternative to award compensatory and rescissory damages. The court determined that it would not rescind the merger transaction, but held open the possibility that compensatory damages could be awarded or that another equitable remedy might be available.

In connection with the HQ Global/VANTAS merger transaction, we agreed to indemnify all of the individuals who served as directors of HQ Global at the time of the transaction, including Thomas A. Carr, Oliver T. Carr, Jr. and Philip Hawkins, who currently serve as directors and/or executive officers of us, with respect to any losses incurred by them arising out of the above litigation (as well as related litigation that was resolved in our favor in the second quarter of 2003), if they first tried and were unsuccessful in getting the losses reimbursed by HQ Global or from insurance proceeds. It was expected at the time that these former directors would be indemnified against any of these losses by HQ Global, as required by HQ Global's certificate of incorporation and bylaws. HQ Global has not satisfied its indemnity obligation to these directors, and in light of HQ Global's bankruptcy filing in March 2002, is not likely to do so in the future. As a result, we have paid the costs incurred by these directors in connection with the above litigation. We paid approximately \$725,000 of costs pursuant to this indemnification arrangement, all of which represents amounts paid to legal counsel for these directors for this suit and the related litigation that was resolved in our favor in the second quarter of 2003.

We believe that these claims, including those asserted against us and against the former directors who we are obligated to indemnify, are without merit and that we and the former directors will ultimately prevail in this action, although we cannot assure you that the court will not find in favor of these stockholders. If the court did find in favor of these stockholders, such adverse result or any indemnification obligation arising from such adverse result could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Currently, these stockholders have not asserted the amount of any potential damages and, based on the preliminary proceedings to date, we are unable to determine a potential range of loss with respect to the claims against us or the former directors.

**HQ Global Lease Guarantees**

We are currently involved in litigation arising out of a sublease entered into by HQ Global in March 1998 and our guarantee to the landlord of the performance of HQ Global's obligations under the sublease. On March 13, 2002 HQ Global filed for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the federal bankruptcy laws in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware. In its bankruptcy proceedings, HQ Global

## Edgar Filing: CARRAMERICA REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

rejected the sublease effective April 30, 2002. In June 2002, we received a demand for payment by the landlord of the full amount of the guarantee (approximately \$5.4 million of base rent payments remained under the sublease).

We believed, however, that we had defenses to making payment under the guarantee and therefore joined with HQ Global in filing suit on July 24, 2002 in HQ Global's bankruptcy proceedings asking the bankruptcy court to declare that the sublease was terminated not later than February 28, 2002 due to the surrender of the premises by HQ Global and the deemed acceptance by the landlord under the sublease of that surrender by virtue of its use of the premises.

In light of our defenses and the uncertainty of these proceedings, we had not previously accrued any expense relating to the guarantee. However, on September 16, 2003, the bankruptcy court ruled in favor of the landlord under the sublease, finding that HQ Global did not effectively surrender the premises under the sublease and that the landlord under the sublease could not be deemed to have accepted surrender. In October 2003, we entered into a tentative settlement agreement with the landlord under the sublease agreeing to pay \$5.4 million in cash in one payment. We accrued a provision for loss for this settlement in the third quarter of 2003 and we anticipate making this payment prior to the end of 2003.

## Table of Contents

### Broadband Office

On May 8, 2003, Broadband Office, Inc. and the official committee of unsecured creditors of Broadband Office Inc. filed a complaint in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware against a group of REITs, real estate operating companies and individuals, including us, our subsidiaries and Philip Hawkins, our President and Chief Operating Officer, relating to the formation, management and capitalization of Broadband Office. We were an equity investor in and customer of Broadband Office, and, at our request, Mr. Hawkins served as a member of the board of directors of Broadband Office until his resignation from the board of Broadband Office on May 2, 2001. Broadband Office filed for bankruptcy protection on May 9, 2001. The complaint, among other things, alleges, breaches of fiduciary duties by us and Mr. Hawkins as a member of the Broadband Office board, seeks to recharacterize our investment as a holder of common stock to be one as a general unsecured creditor and/or as a general partner responsible jointly with all other alleged general partners for the outstanding debts of the corporation, and also seeks recovery of alleged preference payments made to us or our subsidiaries. The plaintiffs seek relief in an amount in excess of \$300 million jointly and severally from all defendants. Our board of directors has determined that, based on Maryland law and our charter, we are permitted to indemnify Mr. Hawkins in connection with these claims, and has authorized us to advance to or on behalf of Mr. Hawkins his costs and expenses incurred in defending this claim. Currently our legal counsel is also defending Mr. Hawkins in this matter and we are paying those legal fees directly. If it is later determined that Mr. Hawkins was not entitled to indemnification under Maryland law or our charter, Mr. Hawkins has agreed to reimburse us for any costs or expenses advanced to him or on his behalf. On October 29, 2003, we filed a motion to dismiss all claims asserted in the complaint. Due to the inherent uncertainties of the judicial process and the early stage of this action, we are unable to either predict the outcome of or estimate a range of potential loss associated with, this litigation including our agreement to indemnify Mr. Hawkins. While we intend to vigorously defend this matter and believe we have meritorious defenses available to us, there can be no assurance that we would prevail in this matter. If this matter is not resolved in our favor, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

### Winstar Communications

September 3, 2003, Winstar Communications and several affiliated entities ( Winstar ) brought suit against us, a number of other leading commercial real estate companies and the Building Owners and Managers Association International and Building Owners and Managers Association of New Jersey trade associations ( BOMA ). The suit asserts claims for violations of federal and state antitrust law, federal communications law, state business tort law, and seeks both monetary damages of an unspecified amount and injunctive relief. The claims are premised upon allegations that the real estate firms, through and with BOMA, colluded and agreed to deny Winstar necessary access to commercial real estate by denying Winstar access and/or charging Winstar disadvantageous and discriminatory fees that were higher than those charged to the incumbent local telephone companies. As a result of this alleged collusive conduct, Winstar claims that it has been damaged in its ability to provide competitive telecommunications services to customers leasing office space in the defendants' commercial real estate properties.

Due to the inherent uncertainties of the judicial process and the early stage of this action, we are unable to either predict the outcome of, or estimate a range of potential loss associated with, this litigation. While we intend to vigorously defend this matter and believe we have meritorious defenses available to us, there can be no assurance that we would prevail in this matter. If this matter is not resolved in our favor, it could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

### Other Proceedings

We are party to a variety of other legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. All of these matters, taken together, are not expected to have a material adverse impact on us.

**Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K**

(a) Exhibits

31.1 Section 302 Certification from Mr. Thomas A. Carr, dated November 5, 2003

31.2 Section 302 Certification from Mr. Stephen E. Riffe, dated November 5, 2003

32.1 Section 906 Certification from Mr. Thomas A. Carr and Mr. Stephen E. Riffe, dated November 5, 2003

**Table of Contents**

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 3, 2003 regarding a description of material U.S. federal income tax consequences relating to the taxation of the Company as a real estate investment trust and the ownership and disposition of the Company's common stock.

Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 23, 2003 regarding the offering of 7.5% Series E Preferred Stock, the redemption of Series B, C and D preferred stock, recent accounting changes and updating lease guarantees for HQ Global Workplaces, Inc.



**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CARRAMERICA REALTY CORPORATION

/s/ Kurt A. Heister

---

Kurt A. Heister, Senior Vice President and Controller  
(on behalf of the registrant and as the registrant's  
chief accounting officer)

Date: November 6, 2003