

INTERGROUP CORP
Form 10-K
September 29, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
^x 1934**

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014

or

**..TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-10324

THE INTERGROUP CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE	13-3293645
(State or other jurisdiction of Incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

10940 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2150, Los Angeles, California 90024

(Address of principal executive offices)(Zip Code)

(310) 889-2500

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock \$.01 par value	The NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (Section 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendments to this

Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act):

Yes No

The aggregate market value of the Common Stock, no par value, held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the closing price on December 31, 2013 (the last business day of registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2013) was \$15,207,000.

The number of shares outstanding of registrant's Common Stock, as of September 3, 2014, was 2,386,246.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE: None

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I</u>	
Item 1. <u>Business.</u>	4
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors.</u>	12
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments.</u>	12
Item 2. <u>Properties.</u>	12
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings.</u>	18
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures.</u>	19
<u>PART II</u>	
Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	20
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data.</u>	20
Item 7. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.</u>	21
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.</u>	30
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.</u>	30
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.</u>	62
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures.</u>	62
<u>PART III</u>	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.</u>	63
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation.</u>	66
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.</u>	73
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.</u>	75
Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	76

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules 77

Signatures 81

2

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains certain “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements give our current expectations or forecasts of future events. You can identify these statements by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. They contain words such as “anticipate,” “estimate,” “expect,” “project,” “intend,” “plan,” “believe” “may,” “could,” “might” words or phrases of similar meaning in connection with any discussion of future operating or financial performance. From time to time we also provide forward-looking statements in our Forms 10-Q and 8-K, Annual Reports to Shareholders, press releases and other materials we may release to the public. Forward looking statements reflect our current views about future events and are subject to risks, uncertainties, assumptions and changes in circumstances that may cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from those expressed in any forward looking statement. Consequently, no forward looking statement can be guaranteed and our actual future results may differ materially.

Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations include, but are not limited to:

risks associated with the lodging industry, including competition, increases in wages, labor relations, energy and fuel costs, actual and threatened pandemics, actual and threatened terrorist attacks, and downturns in domestic and international economic and market conditions, particularly in the San Francisco Bay area;

risks associated with the real estate industry, including changes in real estate and zoning laws or regulations, increases in real property taxes, rising insurance premiums, costs of compliance with environmental laws and other governmental regulations;

the availability and terms of financing and capital and the general volatility of securities markets;

changes in the competitive environment in the hotel industry;

risks related to natural disasters;

litigation; and

other risk factors discussed below in this Report.

We caution you not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as to the date hereof. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward looking statements, whether as a result of new

information, future events or otherwise. You are advised, however, to consult any further disclosures we make on related subjects on our Forms 10-K, 10-Q, and 8-K reports to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

PART I

Item 1. Business.

GENERAL

The InterGroup Corporation (“InterGroup” or the “Company” and may also be referred to as “we” “us” or “our” in this report) a Delaware corporation formed in 1985, as the successor to Mutual Real Estate Investment Trust (“M-REIT”), a New York real estate investment trust created in 1965. The Company has been a publicly-held company since M-REIT's first public offering of shares in 1966.

The Company was organized to buy, develop, operate, rehabilitate and dispose of real property of various types and descriptions, and to engage in such other business and investment activities as would benefit the Company and its shareholders. The Company was founded upon, and remains committed to, social responsibility. Such social responsibility was originally defined as providing decent and affordable housing to people without regard to race. In 1985, after examining the impact of federal, state and local equal housing laws, the Company determined to broaden its definition of social responsibility. The Company changed its form from a REIT to a corporation so that it could pursue a variety of investments beyond real estate and broaden its social impact to engage in any opportunity which would offer the potential to increase shareholder value within the Company's underlying commitment to social responsibility.

As of June 30, 2014, the Company owned approximately 80.9% of the common shares of Santa Fe Financial Corporation (“Santa Fe”), a public company (OTCBB: SFEF). Santa Fe's revenue is primarily generated through its 68.8% owned subsidiary, Portsmouth Square, Inc. (“Portsmouth”), a public company (OTCBB: PRSI). InterGroup also directly owns approximately 12.9% of Portsmouth. Portsmouth's primary business is conducted through its general and limited partnership interest in Justice Investors, a California limited partnership (“Justice” or the “Partnership”). Portsmouth has a 93% limited partnership interest in Justice and is the sole general partner. The financial statements of Justice are consolidated with those of the Company. See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements.

Justice owns a 543-room hotel property located at 750 Kearny Street, San Francisco California, known as the *Hilton San Francisco Financial District* (the Hotel) and related facilities including a five level underground parking garage. The Hotel is operated by the partnership as a full service Hilton brand hotel pursuant to a Franchise License Agreement with Hilton Hotels Corporation. Justice also has a Management Agreement with Prism Hospitality L.P. (Prism) to perform the day-to-day management functions of the Hotel. The parking garage that is part of the Hotel property is managed by Ace Parking pursuant to a contract with the Partnership.

The parking garage that is part of the Hotel property is managed by Ace Parking Management, Inc. pursuant to a contract with the Partnership. Portsmouth also receives management fees as a general partner of Justice for its services in overseeing and managing the Partnership's assets. Those fees are eliminated in consolidation.

In addition to the operations of the Hotel, the Company also generates income from the ownership, management and, when appropriate, sale of real estate. Properties include seventeen apartment complexes, two commercial real estate properties and two single-family houses. The properties are located throughout the United States, but are concentrated in Texas and Southern California. The Company also has investments in unimproved real property. All of the Company's operating real estate properties were managed by professional third party property management companies through July 2014. Beginning August 2014, the Company began managing its properties located outside of California in-house, while the properties located in California with exception to the two commercial properties, are still being managed by a third party property management company. The two commercial properties are managed in-house.

The Company acquires its investments in real estate and other investments utilizing cash, securities or debt, subject to approval or guidelines of the Board of Directors and its Real Estate Investment Committee. The Company may also look for new real estate investment opportunities in hotels, apartments, office buildings and development properties. The acquisition of any new real estate investments will depend on the Company's ability to find suitable investment opportunities and the availability of sufficient financing to acquire such investments. To help fund any such acquisition, the Company may borrow funds to leverage its investment capital. The amount of any such debt will depend on a number of factors including, but not limited to, the availability of financing and the sufficiency of the acquisition property's projected cash flows to support the operations and debt service.

The Company also derives income from the investment of its cash and investment securities assets. The Company has invested in income-producing instruments, equity and debt securities and will consider other investments if such investments offer growth or profit potential. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for a discussion of the Company's marketable securities and other investments.

RECENT BUSINESS DEVELOPMENTS

Limited Partnership Redemption and Restructuring

In December 2013, the Partnership determined to restructure its ownership to facilitate a refinancing of the Hotel and redeem the interests of certain Partners, including Evon. In the course of this refinancing, restructuring and redemption, the Partnership created Justice Holdings Company, LLC ("Holdings"), a Delaware Limited Liability Company, Justice Operating Company, LLC ("Operating") and Justice Mezzanine Company, LLC ("Mezzanine"). Holdings and Mezzanine are both wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Partnership; Operating is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mezzanine. Mezzanine is the Mezzanine borrower and in December 2013, the Partnership conveyed ownership of the Hotel to Operating.

On December 18, 2013, the Partnership completed an Offer to Redeem any and all limited partnership interests not held by Portsmouth and the Loan Agreements, as defined below. In addition, the Partnership approved amendments to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership, which amendments became effective upon the completion of the Offer to Redeem and the consummation of the Loan Agreements. Such amendments are described below. As a result, Portsmouth, which prior to the Offer to Redeem owned 50% of the then outstanding limited partnership interests now controls approximately 93% of the voting interest in Justice and is now its sole General Partner.

Pursuant to the Offer to Redeem, the Partnership has accepted tenders, for cash, from Evon, a general partner and seventy-three of the limited partners representing approximately 29.173% of partnership interests outstanding prior to the Offer to Redeem for \$1,385,000 for each 1% tendered. On December 19, 2013, Justice distributed the amounts due each of these former partners pursuant to the terms of the tender offer.

In addition, the Partnership has accepted the election of holders of approximately 17.146% of the limited partnership interests outstanding prior to the Offer to Redeem to participate in an alternate redemption structure. Under that alternative redemption structure, the Partnership paid to Holdings \$1,385,000 for each 1% tendered. Those partners who elected the alternative redemption structure may within 12 months of December 18, 2013, designate property for Holdings to purchase and then require Holdings to transfer that property to the partner in redemption of that partner's interest in the Partnership. The governing agreement also provides for other possible methods of redeeming the

interests of the partners who elected the alternate redemption structure. During the year ended June 30, 2014, a total of \$2,928,000 was redeemed under the alternative redemption structure. As of June 30, 2014, the current and deferred payments related to the alternative redemption structure, which are held by Justice's wholly owned subsidiary, Holdings, are classified as restricted cash and, together with the expenses discussed below, total \$16,163,000 and are classified on the balance sheet as redemption payable.

The Partnership incurred approximately \$6,681,000 in restructuring costs relating to the Offer to Redeem and related financing transactions, including a one-time management fee of \$1,550,000, approximately \$431,000 in legal, accounting and other professional expenses, and payment of a Documentary Transfer Tax of approximately \$4.7 million to the City and County of San Francisco ("CCSF"). CCSF required payment of the Documentary Transfer Tax as a condition to record the transfer of the Hotel to Operating and other documents related to the Loan Agreements. While the Partnership believes the amount of Documentary Transfer tax that was assessed by CCSF was incorrect, the tax was paid, under protest, to allow for the consummation of the redemption transaction, the Loan Agreements and the recording of all related documents. The Partnership has challenged CCSF's imposition of the tax and filed a refund claim with the CCSF. No prediction can be made as to whether CCSF's calculation of the tax will be upheld, or whether any portion of the tax will be refunded.

In connection with the Offer to Redeem, the Partnership retired existing debt and replaced it with lower-yielding loans, the proceeds of which were used to fund the Offer to Redeem and to provide for additional working capital for the Hotel. The Partnership incurred a loss on the extinguishment of debt of \$3,910,000 which included a yield maintenance (prepayment penalty) expense of \$3,808,000 and a write-off of capitalized loan costs on the refinanced debt of approximately \$102,000.

As a result of the ownership structure implemented in December 2013, the Partnership is the indirect sole owner of a 543-room hotel property located at 750 Kearny Street, San Francisco, California, now known as the Hilton San Francisco Financial District (the Hotel) and related facilities including a five level underground parking garage. The Hotel is operated by Operating as a full service Hilton brand hotel pursuant to a Franchise License Agreement with Hilton Hotels Corporation. Operating also has a Management Agreement with Prism Hospitality L.P. (Prism) to perform management functions for the Hotel. The management agreement with Prism had an original term of ten years and can be terminated at any time with or without cause by the Partnership owner. Effective January 2014, the management agreement with Prism was amended by the Partnership. Effective December 1, 2013, GMP Management, Inc., a company owned by a Justice limited partner and related party, also provides management services for the Partnership pursuant to a Management Services Agreement, which is for a term of 3 years, but which can be terminated earlier by the Partnership for cause.

HILTON HOTELS FRANCHISE LICENSE AGREEMENT

The Partnership entered into a Franchise License agreement (the License agreement) with the Hilton Hotels Corporation (Hilton) on December 10, 2004. The term of the License agreement is for a period of 15 years commencing on the opening date, with an option to extend the license agreement for another five years, subject to certain conditions.

Beginning on the opening date in January 2006, the Partnership paid monthly royalty fees for the first two years of three percent (3%) of the Hotel's gross room revenue for the preceding calendar month; the third year was at four percent (4%) of the Hotel's gross room revenue; and the fourth year until the end of the term will be five percent (5%) of the Hotel's gross room revenue. The Partnership also pays a monthly program fee of four percent (4%) of the Hotel's gross revenue. The amount of the monthly program fee is subject to change; however, the increase cannot exceed one percent (1%) of the Hotel gross room revenue in any calendar year, and the cumulative increases in the monthly fees will not exceed five percent (5%) of gross room revenue. The Hotel is also subject to certain penalties if fees are not paid timely. The royalty, program and penalty fees are referred to collectively as "Franchise fees." Franchise fees for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 were \$3,806,000 and \$3,374,000, respectively.

The Partnership also pays Hilton a monthly information technology recapture charge of up to 0.75% of the Hotel's gross revenues. Due to the difficult economic environment, Hilton agreed to reduce its information technology fees to 0.65%. For the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, those charges were \$270,000 and \$236,000, respectively.

HOTEL MANAGEMENT COMPANY AGREEMENT

On February 2, 2007, the Partnership entered into an agreement with Prism to manage and operate the Hotel as its agent. The agreement was effective for a term of ten years, unless the agreement was extended or earlier terminated as provided in the agreement. Under the management agreement, the Partnership was required to pay the base management fees of up to 2.5% of gross operating revenues of the Hotel (i.e., room, food and beverage, and other operating departments) for the fiscal year. Of that amount, 1.75% of the gross operating revenues was paid monthly. The balance or 0.75% was paid only to the extent that the partially adjusted net operating income (net operating income less capital expenditures) for the fiscal year exceeded the amount of the Hotel's return for the fiscal year. The base management fee was limited to 1.75% for the period ended January 31, 2014 and year ended June 30, 2013, respectively. In January 2014 the Partnership amended the management agreement to a fixed rate of \$20,000 per month. It can also earn an incentive fee of \$10,500 for each month that the revenues per room of the Hotel exceed the average revenues per room of a defined set of competing hotels. Management fees paid to Prism during the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 were \$579,000 and \$754,000, respectively.

Effective December 1, 2013, GMP Management, Inc. (GMP), a company owned by a Justice limited partner and related party, also provides management services for the Partnership pursuant to a Management Services Agreement. The management agreement with GMP has a term of 3 years, but may be terminated earlier by the Partnership for cause. Under the agreement, GMP is required to advise the Partnership on the management and operation of the hotel; administer the Partnership's contracts, leases, agreements with hotel managers and franchisors and other contracts and agreements; provide administrative and asset management services, oversee financial reporting, and maintain offices at the Hotel in order to facilitate provision of services. GMP is paid an annual base management fee of \$325,000 per year, increasing by 5% per year, payable in monthly installments, and to reimbursement for reasonable and necessary costs and expenses incurred by GMP in performing its obligations under the agreement. During the year ended June 30, 2014, GMP was reimbursed for \$235,000, for the salaries, benefits and local payroll taxes for three key employees. Management fees paid to GMP during the year ended June 30, 2014 were \$424,000.

GARAGE OPERATIONS

The Partnership formerly leased the Hotel's parking garage from its owner, Evon, under a lease that was to expire in November 2010. Effective October 1, 2008, Justice and Evon entered into an installment sale agreement whereby Justice purchased all of Evon's right, title, and interest in the remaining term of the garage lease and other related assets. Justice also agreed to assume Evon's contract with Ace Parking Management, Inc. (Ace Parking) for the management of the garage.

The garage is currently operated by Ace Parking for the Partnership pursuant to a Parking Facilities Management Agreement (the "Parking Agreement"). The initial term of the Parking Agreement was to expire on October 31, 2010, with an option to renew for another five-year term.

On October 31, 2010, the Partnership and Ace Parking entered into an amendment of the Parking Agreement to extend the term for a period of sixty two (62) months, commencing on November 1, 2010 and terminating December 31, 2015, subject to either party's right to terminate the agreement without cause on ninety (90) days written notice. The monthly management fee of \$2,000 and the accounting fee of \$250 remain the same, but the amendment modified how the Excess Profit Fee to be paid to Ace Parking would be calculated.

The amendment provides that, if net operating income (NOI) from the garage operations exceeds \$1,800,000 but is less than \$2,000,000, then Ace Parking will be entitled to an Excess Profit Fee of one percent (1%) of the total annual NOI. If the annual NOI is \$2,000,000 or higher, Ace Parking will be entitled to an Excess Profit Fee equal to two percent (2%) of the total annual NOI. The garage's NOI exceeded the annual NOI of \$2,000,000 for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. Base Management and incentive fees to Ace Parking amounted to \$44,000 for each of the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

CHINESE CULTURE FOUNDATION LEASE

On March 15, 2005, the Partnership entered into an amended lease with the Chinese Culture Foundation of San Francisco (the “Foundation”) for the third floor space of the Hotel commonly known as the Chinese Cultural Center, which the Foundation had right to occupy pursuant to a 50-year nominal rent lease.

The amended lease requires the Partnership to pay to the Foundation a monthly event space fee in the amount of \$5,000 adjusted annually based on the local Consumer Price Index. The term of the amended lease expires on October 17, 2023, with an automatic extension for another 10 year term if the property continues to be operated as a hotel. This amendment allowed Justice to incorporate the third floor into the renovation of the Hotel resulting in a new ballroom for the joint use of the Hotel and new offices and a gallery for the Chinese Culture Center.

SALES AND REFINANCINGS OF REAL ESTATE PROPERTIES

In February 2014, the Company entered into a contract to sell its 249 unit apartment complex located in Austin, Texas and the adjacent unimproved land for \$15,800,000. The purchase/sale agreement provides that purchaser can terminate the agreement with or without cause, however, the potential purchaser would forfeit the earnest money (\$208,000) and additional consideration (\$250,000) totaling \$458,000. The purchaser also has the option to extend the agreement. As of August 31, 2014, the Company has received the \$458,000. The Company is currently in the process of negotiating another extension of the purchase agreement.

In June 2014, the Company obtained a second mortgage on its 151-unit apartment located in Morris County, New Jersey in the amount of \$2,740,000. The term of the loan is approximately 8 years with the interest rate fixed at 4.51%. The loan matures in August 2022.

In June 2014, the Company obtained a seven month extension of its \$992,000 mortgage note payable on the first commercial building located in Los Angeles, California that matured in June 2014. The loan was extended to January 2015. Interest rate on the note remains the same.

In April 2014, the Company refinanced its \$526,000 mortgage note payable on the second commercial building located in Los Angeles, California for a new 3-year interest only mortgage in the amount of \$1,100,000. The Company received net proceeds of \$556,000. The interest rate on the new loan is fixed at 3.25% per annum and the note matures in May 2017.

In July 2013, the Company refinanced its \$466,000 adjustable rate mortgage note payable on its 8-unit apartment located in Los Angeles, California for a new 30-year mortgage in the amount of \$500,000. The interest rate on the new loan is fixed at 3.50% per annum for the first five years and variable for the remaining of the term. The note matures in July 2043.

REAL ESTATE PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

In July 2014, the Company terminated its property management agreement with the professional third party property and asset management company that managed its properties located outside of California. Beginning August 2014, the Company began managing its five properties located outside of California in-house, while the properties located in California are still being managed by a third party property management company, with exception to the two commercial buildings which are also managed in-house.

MARKETABLE SECURITIES INVESTMENT POLICIES

In addition to its Hotel and real estate operations, the Company also invests from time to time in income producing instruments, corporate debt and equity securities, publically traded investment funds, mortgage backed securities, securities issued by REIT's and other companies which invest primarily in real estate.

The Company's securities investments are made under the supervision of a Securities Investment Committee of the Board of Directors. The Committee currently has three members and is chaired by the Company's Chairman of the Board and President, John V. Winfield. The Committee has delegated authority to manage the portfolio to the Company's Chairman and President together with such assistants and management committees he may engage. The Committee has established investment guidelines for the Company's investments. These guidelines presently include: (i) corporate equity securities should be listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE), NYSE MKT, NYSE Arca or the Nasdaq Stock Market (NASDAQ); (ii) the issuer of the listed securities should be in compliance with the listing standards of the respective National Securities Exchanges; and (iii) investment in a particular issuer should not exceed 10% of the market value of the total portfolio. The investment policies do not require the Company to divest itself of investments, which initially meet these guidelines but subsequently fail to meet one or more of the investment criteria. Non-conforming investments require the approval of the Securities Investment Committee. The Committee has in the past approved non-conforming investments and may in the future approve non-conforming investments. The Securities Investment Committee may modify these guidelines from time to time.

The Company may also invest, with the approval of the Securities Investment Committee, in unlisted securities, such as convertible notes, through private placements including private equity investment funds. Those investments in non-marketable securities are carried at cost on the Company's balance sheet as part of other investments and reviewed for impairment on a periodic basis. As of June 30, 2014, the Company had other investments of \$15,837,000.

As part of its investment strategies, the Company may assume short positions in marketable securities. Short sales are used by the Company to potentially offset normal market risks undertaken in the course of its investing activities or to provide additional return opportunities. As of June 30, 2014, the Company had obligations for securities sold (equities short) of \$175,000.

In addition, the Company may utilize margin for its marketable securities purchases through the use of standard margin agreements with national brokerage firms. The use of available leverage is guided by the business judgment of management and is subject to any internal investment guidelines, which may be imposed by the Securities Investment Committee. The margin used by the Company may fluctuate depending on market conditions. The use of leverage could be viewed as risky and the market values of the portfolio may be subject to large fluctuations. As of June 30, 2014, the Company had a margin balance of \$2,925,000 and incurred \$618,000 and \$635,000 in margin interest expense during the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

As Chairman of the Securities Investment Committee, the Company's President and Chief Executive officer, John V. Winfield, directs the investment activity of the Company in public and private markets pursuant to authority granted by the Board of Directors. Mr. Winfield also serves as Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of Santa Fe and Portsmouth and oversees the investment activity of those companies. Depending on certain market conditions and various risk factors, the Chief Executive Officer, his family, Santa Fe and Portsmouth may, at times, invest in the same companies in which the Company invests. The Company encourages such investments because it places personal resources of the Chief Executive Officer and his family members, and the resources of Santa Fe and Portsmouth, at risk in connection with investment decisions made on behalf of the Company.

Further information with respect to investment in marketable securities and other investments of the Company is set forth in Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section and Notes 5 and 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Seasonality

Hotel's operations historically have been seasonal. Like most hotels in the San Francisco area, the Hotel generally maintains higher occupancy and room rates during the first and second quarters of its fiscal year (July 1 through December 31) than it does in the third and fourth quarters (January 1 through June 30). These seasonal patterns can be expected to cause fluctuations in the quarterly revenues from the Hotel.

Competition

The hotel industry is highly competitive. Competition is based on a number of factors, most notably convenience of location, brand affiliation, price, range of services and guest amenities or accommodations offered and quality of customer service. Competition is often specific to the individual market in which properties are located.

The Hotel is located in an area of intense competition from other hotels in the Financial District and San Francisco in general. The Hotel is somewhat limited by having only 15,000 square feet of meeting room space. Other hotels, with greater meeting room space, may have a competitive advantage by being able to attract larger groups and small conventions. Increased competition from new hotels, or hotels that have been recently undergone substantial renovation, could have an adverse effect on occupancy, average daily rate (“ADR”) and room revenue per available room (“RevPar”) and put pressure on the Partnership to make additional capital improvements to the Hotel to keep pace with the competition.

The Hotel’s target market is business travelers, leisure customers and tourists, and small to medium size groups. Since the Hotel operates in an upper scale segment of the market, we also face increased competition from providers of less expensive accommodations, such as limited service hotels, during periods of economic downturn when leisure and business travelers become more sensitive to room rates. Like other hotels, we have experienced some decrease in some higher rated corporate and business travel as many companies have cut their travel and entertainment budgets in response to economic conditions. As a result, there could be added pressure on all hotels in the San Francisco market to lower room rates in an effort to maintain occupancy levels during such periods.

Our highest priority remains guest satisfaction. We believe that enhancing the guest experience differentiates the Hotel from our competition by building the most sustainable guest loyalty. During fiscal 2013, we completed a significant, “green” project that retrofits all of our guest room windows with new “double-pane” inserts that result in greater energy savings and better sound attenuation for our guests. We have also upgraded our common areas of the Hotel and improved our restaurant facilities, food and beverage services and now provide advanced technological amenities throughout our lobby. Our guest responses to these improvements have been very positive. The Hotel also remains a leader in implementing Hilton’s Huanying (“Welcome”) program that features a tailored experience for Chinese travelers. We continue taking steps that further develop our ties with the local Chinese community and the city of San Francisco, representing good corporate citizenship and promoting important, new business opportunities.

Moving forward, we will continue to focus on cultivating more international business, especially from China, and capturing a greater percentage of the higher rated business, leisure and group travel. We will also continue in our efforts to upgrade our guest rooms and facilities and explore new and innovative ways to differentiate the Hotel from its competition, as well as focusing on returning our food and beverage operations to profitability. During the last twelve months, we have seen steady improvement in business and leisure travel. If that trend in the San Francisco market and the hotel industry continues, it should translate into an increase in room revenues and profitability. However, like all hotels, it will remain subject to the uncertain domestic and global economic environment and other risk factors beyond our control, such as the effect of natural disasters.

The Hotel is also subject to certain operating risks common to all of the hotel industry, which could adversely impact performance. These risks include:

- Competition for guests and meetings from other hotels including competition and pricing pressure from internet wholesalers and distributors;

- increases in operating costs, including wages, benefits, insurance, property taxes and energy, due to inflation and other factors, which may not be offset in the future by increased room rates;

- labor strikes, disruptions or lock outs;

- dependence on demand from business and leisure travelers, which may fluctuate and is seasonal;

- increases in energy costs, cost of fuel, airline fares and other expenses related to travel, which may negatively affect traveling;

- terrorism, terrorism alerts and warnings, wars and other military actions, pandemics or other medical events or warnings which may result in decreases in business and leisure travel;

natural disasters; and

adverse effects of downturns and recessionary conditions in international, national and/or local economies and market conditions.

Competition – Rental Properties

The ownership, operation and leasing of multifamily rental properties are highly competitive. The Company competes with domestic and foreign financial institutions, other REITs, life insurance companies, pension trusts, trust funds, partnerships and individual investors. In addition, The Company competes for tenants in markets primarily on the basis of property location, rent charged, services provided and the design and condition of improvements. The Company also competes with other quality apartment owned by public and private companies. The number of competitive multifamily properties in a particular market could adversely affect the Company's ability to lease its multifamily properties, as well as the rents it is able to charge. In addition, other forms of residential properties, including single family housing and town homes, provide housing alternatives to potential residents of quality apartment communities or potential purchasers of for-sale condominium units. The Company competes for residents in its apartment communities based on resident service and amenity offerings and the desirability of the Company's locations. Resident leases at the Company's apartment communities are priced competitively based on market conditions, supply and demand characteristics, and the quality and resident service offerings of its communities.

Environmental Matters

In connection with the ownership of the Hotel, the Company is subject to various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations relating to environmental protection. Under these laws, a current or previous owner or operator of real estate may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances on, under or in such property. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of hazardous or toxic substances.

Environmental consultants retained by the Partnership or its lenders conducted updated Phase I environmental site assessments in fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 on the Hotel property. These Phase I assessments relied, in part, on Phase I environmental assessments prepared in connection with the Partnership's first mortgage loan obtained in July 2005. Phase I assessments are designed to evaluate the potential for environmental contamination on properties based generally upon site inspections, facility personnel interviews, historical information and certain publicly-available databases; however, Phase I assessments will not necessarily reveal the existence or extent of all environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns at the properties.

Although the Phase I assessments and other environmental reports we have reviewed disclose certain conditions on our properties and the use of hazardous substances in operation and maintenance activities that could pose a risk of environmental contamination or liability, we are not aware of any environmental liability that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company believes that the Hotel and its rental properties are in compliance, in all material respects, with all federal, state and local environmental ordinances and regulations regarding hazardous or toxic substances and other environmental matters, the violation of which could have a material adverse effect on the Company. The Company has not received written notice from any governmental authority of any material noncompliance, liability or claim relating to hazardous or toxic substances or other environmental matters in connection with any of its present properties.

EMPLOYEES

As of June 30, 2014, the Company had a total of 7 full-time employees in its corporate office. Effective July 2002, the Company entered into a client service agreement with Insperity, a professional employer organization serving as an off-site, full service human resource department for its corporate office. Insperity personnel management services are delivered by entering into a co-employment relationship with the Company's employees. In July 2014, the Company terminated its relationship with Insperity and entered into a client service agreement with ADP, another professional

employer organization that provides similar services. The agreement with ADP began in August 2014. The employees and the Company are not party to any collective bargaining agreement, and the Company believes that its employee relations are satisfactory.

The administrative and accounting employees of Justice and management of the Hotel are not unionized and the Company believes that their relationships with the Hotel are satisfactory and consistent with the market in San Francisco.

As of June 30, 2014, the Partnership had approximately 268 employees. Approximately 72% of those employees were represented by one of three labor unions, and their terms of employment were determined under collective bargaining agreements (CBAs). During the year ended June 30, 2014, CBAs for the Local 2 (Hotel and Restaurant Employees), Local 856 (International Brotherhood of Teamsters), and Local 39 (stationary engineers) were renewed. Negotiation of collective bargaining agreements, which includes not just terms and conditions of employment, but scope and coverage of employees, is a regular and expected course of business operations for the Partnership.

The Partnership expects and anticipates that the terms and conditions of the CBAs will have an impact on wage and benefit costs, operating expenses, and certain Hotel operations during the life of each CBA, and these terms and conditions are taken into account in the Hotel operating and budgetary practices.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The Company files annual and quarterly reports on Forms 10-K and 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or the “Commission”). The public may read and copy any materials that we file with the Commission at the SEC’s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days during the hours of 10:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330. The Commission also maintains an Internet site at <http://www.sec.gov> that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the Commission.

Other information about the Company can be found on its website www.intgla.com. Reference in this document to that website address does not constitute incorporation by reference of the information contained on the website.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Not required for smaller reporting companies.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties.

SAN FRANCISCO HOTEL PROPERTY

The Hotel is owned directly by the Partnership. The Hotel is centrally located near the Financial District in San Francisco, one block from the Transamerica Pyramid. The Embarcadero Center is within walking distance and North Beach is two blocks away. Chinatown is directly across the bridge that runs from the Hotel to Portsmouth Square Park. The Hotel is a 31-story (including parking garage), steel and concrete, A-frame building, built in 1970. The Hotel has 543 well-appointed guest rooms and luxury suites situated on 22 floors. The third floor houses the Chinese Culture Center and grand ballroom. The Hotel has approximately 15,000 square feet of meeting room space, including the grand ballroom. Other features of the Hotel include a 5-level underground parking garage and pedestrian bridge across Kearny Street connecting the Hotel and the Chinese Culture Center with Portsmouth Square Park in Chinatown. The bridge, built and owned by the Partnership, is included in the lease to the Chinese Culture Center.

Since the Hotel recently completed renovations, there is no present program for any further major renovations; however, the Partnership expects to expend at least 4% of gross annual Hotel revenues each year for capital improvements and requirements. In the opinion of management, the Hotel is adequately covered by insurance.

HOTEL FINANCINGS

On December 18, 2013: (i) Justice Operating Company, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (“Operating”), entered into a loan agreement (“Mortgage Loan Agreement”) with Bank of America (“Mortgage Lender”); and (ii) Justice Mezzanine Company, a Delaware limited liability company (“Mezzanine”), entered into a mezzanine loan agreement (“Mezzanine Loan Agreement” and, together with the Mortgage Loan Agreement, the “Loan Agreements”) with ISBI San Francisco Mezz Lender LLC (“Mezzanine Lender” and, together with Mortgage Lender, the “Lenders”). The Partnership is the sole member of Mezzanine, and Mezzanine is the sole member of Operating.

The Loan Agreements provide for a \$97,000,000 Mortgage Loan and a \$20,000,000 Mezzanine Loan. The proceeds of the Loan Agreements were used to fund the redemption of limited partnership interests described above and the pay-off of the prior mortgage.

The Mortgage Loan is secured by the Partnership's principal asset, the Hilton San Francisco-Financial District (the "Property"). The Mortgage Loan bears an interest rate of 5.28% per annum and matures on January 1, 2024. The term of the Mortgage Loan is 10 years with interest only due in the first three years and equal monthly principal and interest payments based upon a 30 year amortization schedule for the remaining seven years of the Mortgage Loan term. The Mortgage Loan also requires payments for impounds related to property tax, insurance and capital improvement reserves. As additional security for the Mortgage Loan, there is a limited guaranty ("Mortgage Guaranty") executed by the Company in favor of Mortgage Lender.

The Mezzanine Loan is secured by the Operating membership interest held by Mezzanine and is subordinated to the Mortgage Loan. The Mezzanine Loan bears interest at 9.75% per annum and matures on January 1, 2024. Interest only payments are due monthly. As additional security for the Mezzanine Loan, there is a limited guaranty executed by the Company in favor of Mezzanine Lender (the "Mezzanine Guaranty" and, together with the Mortgage Guaranty, the "Guaranties").

The Guaranties are limited to what are commonly referred to as "bad boy" acts, including: (i) fraud or intentional misrepresentations; (ii) gross negligence or willful misconduct; (iii) misapplication or misappropriation of rents, security deposits, insurance or condemnation proceeds; and (iv) failure to pay taxes or insurance. The Guaranties will be full recourse guaranties under identified circumstances, including failure to maintain "single purpose" status which is a factor in a consolidation of Operating or Mezzanine in a bankruptcy of another person, transfer or encumbrance of the Property in violation of the applicable loan documents, Operating or Mezzanine incurring debts that are not permitted, and the Property becoming subject to a bankruptcy proceeding. Pursuant to the Guaranties, the Company is required to maintain a certain minimum net worth and liquidity. As of June 30, 2014, the Company is in compliance with both requirements.

Each of the Loan Agreements contains customary representations and warranties, events of default, reporting requirements, affirmative covenants and negative covenants, which impose restrictions on, among other things, organizational changes of the respective borrower, operations of the Property, agreements with affiliates and third parties. Each of the Loan Agreements also provides for mandatory prepayments under certain circumstances (including casualty or condemnation events) and voluntary prepayments, subject to satisfaction of prescribed conditions set forth in the Loan Agreements.

On July 27, 2005, Justice entered into a first mortgage loan with The Prudential Insurance Company of America in a principal amount of \$30,000,000 (the "Prudential Loan"). The term of the Prudential Loan is for 120 months at a fixed interest rate of 5.22% per annum. The Prudential Loan calls for monthly installments of principal and interest in the

amount of approximately \$165,000, calculated on a 30-year amortization schedule. The Loan is collateralized by a first deed of trust on the Partnership's Hotel property, including all improvements and personal property thereon and an assignment of all present and future leases and rents. The Prudential Loan is without recourse to the limited and general partners of Justice. This loan was paid off in full on December 18, 2013 in connection to the refinancing of the loans and partnership redemption.

In March 2007, Justice entered into a second mortgage loan with The Prudential Insurance Company of America (the "Second Prudential Loan") in a principal amount of \$19,000,000. The term of the Second Prudential Loan is for approximately 100 months and matures on August 5, 2015, the same date as the Partnership's first mortgage loan with Prudential. The Second Prudential Loan is at a fixed interest rate of 6.42% per annum and calls for monthly installments of principal and interest in the amount of approximately \$119,000, calculated on a 30-year amortization schedule. The Loan is collateralized by a second deed of trust on the Partnership's Hotel property, including all improvements and personal property thereon and an assignment of all present and future leases and rents. The Loan is without recourse to the limited and general partners of Justice. This loan was paid off in full on December 18, 2013 in connection to the refinancing of the loans and partnership redemption.

RENTAL PROPERTIES

As June 30, 2014, the Company's investment in real estate consisted of twenty one properties located throughout the United States, with a concentration in Texas and Southern California. These properties include seventeen apartment complexes, two single-family houses as strategic investments and two commercial real estate properties. All properties are operating properties. In addition to the properties, the Company owns approximately 4.1 acres of unimproved real estate in Texas and 2 acres of unimproved land in Maui, Hawaii.

MANAGEMENT OF RENTAL PROPERTIES

The Company may engage third party management companies as agents to manage certain of Company's residential rental properties.

Effective June 17, 2013, InterGroup entered into an unrelated third party Property Management Agreement with R & K Interests, Inc., doing business as Investors' Property Services ("IPS") to provide property management services for all of the Company's rental properties located outside the state of California . The properties subject to the agreement are the Company's apartment complexes located in Las Colinas TX, Austin TX, St. Louis MO, Parsippany NJ and Florence KY. Subject to its other terms and conditions, the agreement is for consecutive one (1) year renewable terms but may be terminated by the parties upon thirty (30) days advance written notice. The agreement provides for compensation to IPS of 2.8% of the gross income from operations of the properties (as defined) as a property management fee and certain other fees as set forth in the agreement for any additional services.

Effective July 1, 2013, InterGroup also entered into an Asset Management Agreement with Delta Alliance Capital Management, LLC, to provide asset management services covering all of the Company's rental properties and its two commercial buildings. Delta Alliance is a related firm to IPS. Delta Alliance was formed to acquire commercial real estate holdings and assist and advise clients in monitoring the operations of similar real estate holdings. Subject to its other terms and conditions, the agreement is for consecutive one (1) year renewable terms but may be terminated by the parties upon thirty (30) days advance written notice. The agreement provides for compensation to Delta Alliance of 0.5% of the gross income from operations of all the properties as an asset management fee.

In July 2014, both agreements with IPS and Delta Alliance were terminated and the Company brought the management of the properties located outside of California back in-house. During the year ended June 30, 2014, total management fees paid to these two firms totaled \$381,000.

Effective August 1, 2005, the Company entered into a Management Agreement with Century West Properties, Inc. ("Century West") to act as an agent of the Company to rent and manage all of the Company's residential rental properties in the Los Angeles, California area. The Management Agreement with Century West was for an original term of twelve months ending on July 31, 2006 and continues on a month-to-month basis, until terminated upon 30 days prior written notice. The Management Agreement provides for a monthly fee equal to 4% of the monthly gross receipts from the properties with resident managers and a fee of 4 1/2% of monthly gross receipts for properties without resident managers. During the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the management fees were \$159,000 and \$138,000, respectively.

In the opinion of management, each of the properties is adequately covered by insurance. None of the properties are subject to foreclosure proceedings or litigation, other than such litigation incurred in the normal course of business. The Company's residential rental property leases are short-term leases, with no lease extending beyond one year.

Description of Properties

Las Colinas, Texas. The Las Colinas property is a water front apartment community along Beaver Creek that was developed in 1993 with 358 units on approximately 15.6 acres of land. The Company acquired the complex on April 30, 2004 for approximately \$27,145,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 27.5 years. Real estate property taxes for the year ended June 30, 2014 were approximately \$694,000. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$18,970,000 at June 30, 2014 and the maturity date of the mortgage is December 1, 2022.

Morris County, New Jersey. The Morris County property is a two-story garden apartment complex that was completed in June 1964 with 151 units on approximately 8 acres of land. The Company acquired the complex on September 15, 1967 at an initial cost of approximately \$1,600,000. Real estate property taxes for the year ended June 30, 2014 were approximately \$216,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$10,279,000 at June 30, 2014 and the maturity date of the mortgage is July 31, 2022. In June 2014, the Company obtained a second mortgage on this property in the amount of \$2,740,000. The term of the loan is approximately 8 years with the interest rate fixed at 4.51%. The loan matures in August 2022.

St. Louis, Missouri. The St. Louis property is a two-story project with 264 units on approximately 17.5 acres. The Company acquired the complex on November 1, 1968 at an initial cost of \$2,328,000. For the year ended June 30, 2014, real estate property taxes were approximately \$147,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$5,943,000 at June 30, 2014 and the maturity date of the mortgage is May 31, 2023.

Florence, Kentucky. The Florence property is a three-story apartment complex with 157 units on approximately 6.0 acres. The Company acquired the property on December 20, 1972 at an initial cost of approximately \$1,995,000. For the year ended June 30, 2014, real estate property taxes were approximately \$46,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$3,722,000 at June 30, 2014 and the maturity date of the mortgage is July 1, 2014.

Austin, Texas. The Austin property is a two-story project with 249 units on approximately 7.8 acres. The Company acquired the complex with 190 units on November 18, 1999 for \$4,150,000. The Company also acquired an adjacent complex with 59 units on January 8, 2002 for \$1,681,000. For the year ended June 30, 2014, real estate taxes were approximately \$224,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$6,505,000 at June 30, 2014 and the maturity date of the mortgage is July 1, 2023. The Company also owns approximately 4.1 acres of unimproved land and a single family house adjacent to this property.

Los Angeles, California. The Company owns two commercial properties, twelve apartment complexes, and two single-family houses in the general area of West Los Angeles.

The first Los Angeles commercial property is a 5,500 square foot, two story building that served as the Company's corporate offices until it was leased out, effective October 1, 2009 and the Company leased a new space for its corporate office. The Company acquired the building on March 4, 1999 for \$1,876,000. The property taxes for the year ended June 30, 2014 were approximately \$30,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$992,000 at June 30, 2014. In June 2014, the Company obtained a seven month extension on this mortgage that matured in June 2014. The loan was extended to January 2015. Interest rate on the note remains the same.

The second Los Angeles commercial property is a 5,900 square foot commercial building. The Company acquired the building on September 15, 2000 for \$1,758,000. The property taxes for the year ended June 30, 2014 were approximately \$14,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. In April 2014, the Company refinanced its \$526,000 mortgage note payable for a new 3-year interest only mortgage in the amount of \$1,100,000. The Company received net proceeds of \$556,000. The interest rate on the new loan is fixed at 3.25% per annum and the note matures in May 2017.

The first Los Angeles apartment complex is a 10,600 square foot two-story apartment with 12 units. The Company acquired the property on July 30, 1999 at an initial cost of approximately \$1,305,000. For the year ended June 30, 2013, real estate property taxes were approximately \$21,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$2,008,000 at June 30, 2014 and the maturity date of the mortgage is January 1, 2022.

The second Los Angeles apartment complex is a 29,000 square foot three-story apartment with 27 units. This complex is held by Intergroup Woodland Village, Inc. ("Woodland Village"), which is 55.4% and 44.6% owned by Santa Fe and the Company, respectively. The property was acquired on September 29, 1999 at an initial cost of approximately \$4,075,000. For the year ended June 30, 2014, real estate property taxes were approximately \$61,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$3,084,000 at June 30, 2014 and the maturity date of the mortgage is December 1, 2020.

The third Los Angeles apartment complex is a 12,700 square foot apartment with 14 units. The Company acquired the property on October 20, 1999 at an initial cost of approximately \$2,150,000. For the year ended June 30, 2014, real estate property taxes were approximately \$35,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$1,780,000 at June 30, 2014 and the maturity date of the mortgage is March 1, 2021.

The fourth Los Angeles apartment complex is a 10,500 square foot apartment with 9 units. The Company acquired the property on November 10, 1999 at an initial cost of approximately \$1,675,000. For the year ended June 30, 2014, real estate property taxes were approximately \$27,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$1,213,000 at June 30, 2014 and the maturity date of the mortgage is March 1, 2021.

The fifth Los Angeles apartment complex is a 26,100 square foot two-story apartment with 31 units. The Company acquired the property on May 26, 2000 at an initial cost of approximately \$7,500,000. For the year ended June 30, 2014, real estate property taxes were approximately \$107,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$5,475,000 at June 30, 2014 and the maturity date of the mortgage is December 1, 2020.

The sixth Los Angeles apartment complex is a 27,600 square foot two-story apartment with 30 units. The Company acquired the property on July 7, 2000 at an initial cost of approximately \$4,411,000. For the year ended June 30, 2014, real estate property taxes were approximately \$69,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$6,399,000 at June 30, 2014 and the maturity date of the mortgage is September 1, 2022.

The seventh Los Angeles apartment complex is a 3,000 square foot apartment with 4 units. The Company acquired the property on July 19, 2000 at an initial cost of approximately \$1,070,000. For the year ended June 30, 2014, real estate property taxes were approximately \$16,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$383,000 at June 30, 2014 and the maturity date of the mortgage is September 1, 2042.

The eighth Los Angeles apartment complex is a 4,500 square foot two-story apartment with 4 units. The Company acquired the property on July 28, 2000 at an initial cost of approximately \$1,005,000. For the year ended June 30, 2014, real estate property taxes were approximately \$15,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$648,000 at June 30, 2014 and the maturity date of the mortgage is September 1, 2042.

The ninth Los Angeles apartment complex is a 7,500 square foot apartment with 7 units. The Company acquired the property on August 9, 2000 at an initial cost of approximately \$1,308,000. For the year ended June 30, 2014, real estate property taxes were approximately \$21,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$949,000 at June 30, 2014 and the maturity date of the mortgage is September 1, 2042.

The tenth Los Angeles apartment complex is a 13,000 square foot two-story apartment with 8 units. The Company acquired the property on May 1, 2001 at an initial cost of approximately \$1,206,000. For the year ended June 30, 2014, real estate property taxes were approximately \$19,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. In July 2013, the Company refinanced its \$466,000 adjustable rate mortgage note payable on this property for a new 30-year mortgage in the amount of \$500,000. The interest rate on the new loan is fixed at 3.50% per annum for the first five years and variable for the remaining of the term. The note matures in July 2043. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$491,000 at June 30, 2014

The eleventh Los Angeles apartment complex, which is owned 100% by the Company's subsidiary Santa Fe, is a 4,200 square foot two-story apartment with 2 units. Santa Fe acquired the property on February 1, 2002 at an initial cost of approximately \$785,000. For the year ended June 30, 2014, real estate property taxes were approximately \$12,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method based upon an estimated useful Life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$388,000 at June 30, 2014 and the maturity date of the mortgage is September 1, 2042.

The twelfth apartment which is located in Marina del Rey, California, is a 6,316 square foot two-story apartment with 9 units. The Company acquired the property on April 29, 2011 at an initial cost of approximately \$4,000,000. For the year ended June 30, 2014, real estate property taxes were approximately \$52,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 27.5 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$1,427,000 at June 30, 2014 and the maturity date of the mortgage is May 1, 2021.

The first Los Angeles single-family house is a 2,771 square foot home. The Company acquired the property on November 9, 2000 at an initial cost of approximately \$660,000. For the year ended June 30, 2014, real estate property taxes were approximately \$10,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$417,000 at June 30, 2014 and the maturity date of the mortgage is September 1, 2042.

The second Los Angeles single-family house is a 2,201 square foot home. The Company acquired the property on August 22, 2003 at an initial cost of approximately \$700,000. For the year ended June 30, 2014, real estate property taxes were approximately \$12,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$445,000 at June 30, 2014 and the maturity date of the mortgage is September 1, 2042.

In August 2004, the Company purchased an approximately two acre parcel of unimproved land in Kihei, Maui, Hawaii for \$1,467,000. The Company intends to obtain the entitlements and permits necessary for the joint development of the parcel with an adjoining landowner into residential units. After the completion of this predevelopment phase, the Company will determine whether it more advantageous to sell the entitled property or to commence with construction. Due to current economic conditions, the project is on hold.

MORTGAGES

Further information with respect to mortgage notes payable of the Company is set forth in Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

ECONOMIC AND PHYSICAL OCCUPANCY RATES

The Company leases units in its residential rental properties on a short-term basis, with no lease extending beyond one year. The economic occupancy (gross potential less rent below market, vacancy loss, bad debt, discounts and concessions divided by gross potential rent) and the physical occupancy (gross potential rent less vacancy loss divided by gross potential rent) for each of the Company's operating properties for fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 are provided below.

Property	Economic Occupancy	Physical Occupancy		
1. Las Colinas, TX	80	%	95	%
2. Morris County, NJ	92	%	98	%
3. St. Louis, MO	80	%	90	%
4. Florence, KY	80	%	90	%
5. Austin, TX	88	%	95	%
6. Los Angeles, CA (1)	83	%	97	%
7. Los Angeles, CA (2)	77	%	97	%
8. Los Angeles, CA (3)	96	%	97	%
9. Los Angeles, CA (4)	98	%	98	%
10. Los Angeles, CA (5)	74	%	97	%
11. Los Angeles, CA (6)	96	%	97	%
12. Los Angeles, CA (7)	89	%	91	%
13. Los Angeles, CA (8)	92	%	92	%
14. Los Angeles, CA (9)	80	%	85	%
15. Los Angeles, CA (10)	93	%	94	%
16. Los Angeles, CA (11)	100	%	100	%
17. Marina del Rey, CA (12)	85	%	97	%

The Company's Los Angeles, California properties are subject to various rent control laws, ordinances and regulations which impact the Company's ability to adjust and achieve higher rental rates.

One of the Company's two commercial properties in Los Angeles, California is currently leased. This lease ends in September 2014. The second commercial building was leased in August 2014.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

In August 2012, two current and four former employees of the Hotel commenced a putative wage and hour class action against the Partnership. The Complaint alleged that the Partnership failed to provide compliant meal periods, failed to authorize and permit compliant rest periods, failed to pay all regular and overtime wages due, failed to provide accurate itemized wage statements, and failed to pay all wages owed upon termination of employment.

In February 2013, the Partnership agreed to settle the class action lawsuit for \$525,000. The amount was accrued as of June 30, 2013 and is included as part of "Accounts payable and accrued liabilities" in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Prism Hotels L.P. agreed to reimburse the Partnership for 50% of the total amount of the settlement and pay up to \$300,000 of legal fees and defense costs incurred in defense of the lawsuit. During fiscal 2013, the Partnership incurred legal costs of \$365,000 associated with the lawsuit, of which Prism agreed to pay \$300,000 in accordance with the agreement. The amount due to Prism at June 30, 2013 for the management fee was applied against the

receivable for the reimbursement of the settlement and legal costs. The Partnership insurance carrier awarded \$225,000 in insurance proceeds as a result of a claim related to the settlement. Of the total proceeds, 50%, or \$112,500, was allocated to the Partnership and the remaining amount was allocated to Prism. The insurance reimbursement awarded to the Partnership was offset against the related legal expense included as part of “General and administrative” expenses in the statements of income and partners’ accumulated deficit. During the year ended June 30, 2014 the Partnership paid the entire settlement of \$525,000.

The City of San Francisco’s Tax Collector’s office has claimed that Justice owes the City of San Francisco \$2.1 million based on the Tax Collector’s interpretation of the San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code relating to Transient Occupancy Tax and Tourist Improvement District Assessment. This amount exceeds Justice’s estimate of the taxes owed, and Justice has disputed the claim and is seeking to discharge all penalties and interest charges imposed by the Tax Collector. The Company paid the full amount in March 2014 as part of the appeals process but is reflecting the amount on the balance sheet in “Other Assets, Net” as it is currently under protest.

Several legal matters are pending relating to the redemption transaction described in Note 2 of the consolidated financial statements. As previously stated in Note 2, on December 17, 2013, Documentary Transfer Tax of approximately \$4.7 million was paid to the City and County of San Francisco (“CCSF”). CCSF required payment of the Documentary Transfer Tax as a condition to record the transfer of the Hotel to Operating and other documents related to the Loan Agreements. While the Partnership believes the amount of Documentary Transfer tax that was assessed by CCSF was incorrect, the tax was paid, under protest, to allow for the consummation of the redemption transaction, the Loan Agreements and the recording of all related documents. The Partnership has challenged CCSF’s imposition of the tax and filed a refund claim with the CCSF. No prediction can be made as to whether CCSF’s calculation of the tax will be upheld, or whether any portion of the tax will be refunded.

On February 13, 2014, Evon filed a complaint in San Francisco Superior Court against the Partnership, Portsmouth, and a limited partner and related party asserting contract and tort claims based on Justice’s withholding of \$4.7 million from a payment due to Holdings to pay the transfer tax described in Note 2 and Note 18 of the consolidated financial statements. On April 1, 2014, Defendants removed the action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. Evon dismissed its complaint on April 8, 2014 and, that same day, filed a second complaint in San Francisco Superior Court substantially similar to the dismissed complaint, except for the omission of a federal cause of action. Evon’s current operative complaint in the action asserts causes of action for breach of contract and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing against Justice only; breach of fiduciary duty against Portsmouth only; conversion against Justice and Portsmouth; and fraud/concealment against Justice, Portsmouth and a Justice limited partner and related party. In July 2014, Justice paid to Holdings a total of \$4.7 million, the amount Evon claims was incorrectly withheld from Holdings to pay the transfer tax described in Note 18 of the consolidated financial statements. No prediction can be given as to the ultimate outcome of this matter.

On April 21, 2014, the Partnership commenced an arbitration action against Glaser Weil Fink Howard Avchen & Shapiro, LLP (formerly known as Glaser Weil Fink Jacobs Howard Avchen & Shapiro, LLP), Brett J. Cohen, Gary N. Jacobs, Janet S. McCloud, Paul B. Salvaty, and Joseph K. Fletcher III (collectively, the “Respondents”) in connection with the redemption transaction. The arbitration is pending before JAMS in Los Angeles. No prediction can be given as to the outcome of this matter.

On June 27, 2014, the Partnership commenced an action in San Francisco Superior Court against Evon, Holdings, and those partners who elected the alternative redemption structure. The action seeks a declaration of the correct interpretation of (i) the special allocations sections of the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Justice Investors, a California Limited Partnership, with an effective date of January 1, 2013; and (ii) whether certain partners who elected the alternative redemption structure breached the governing Limited Partnership Interest Redemption Option Agreement. The complaint states that these declarations are relevant to preparation of the Partnership’s 2013 and 2014 state and federal tax returns and the associated Forms K-1 to be issued to affected current and former partners. No prediction can be given as to the outcome of this matter.

The Partnership has timely filed its 2013 federal and state partnership income tax returns, however, depending on the ultimate outcome of the Partnership’s declaratory relief action filed in San Francisco Superior Court, the Partnership’s

2013 federal and state partnership income tax returns may be amended.

The Company is also involved from time to time in various claims in the ordinary course of business. Management does not believe that the impact of such matters will have a material effect on the financial conditions or result of operations when resolved.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

PART II**Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters.****MARKET INFORMATION**

The Company's Common Stock is listed and trades on the NASDAQ Capital Market tier of the NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC under the symbol: "INTG". The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices for the Company's common stock for each quarter of the last two fiscal years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 as reported by NASDAQ.

Fiscal 2014	High	Low
First Quarter (7/ 1 to 9/30)	\$21.01	\$18.02
Second Quarter (10/1 to 12/31)	\$20.04	\$18.30
Third Quarter (1/1 to 3/31)	\$19.43	\$18.31
Fourth Quarter (4/1 to 6/30)	\$19.49	\$17.18

Fiscal 2013	High	Low
First Quarter (7/ 1 to 9/30)	\$24.00	\$21.91
Second Quarter (10/1 to 12/31)	\$23.81	\$18.01
Third Quarter (1/1 to 3/31)	\$23.90	\$19.91
Fourth Quarter (4/1 to 6/30)	\$23.50	\$20.00

As of June 30, 2014, the approximate number of holders of record of the Company's Common Stock was 328. Such number of owners was determined from the Company's shareholders records and does not include beneficial owners of the Company's Common Stock whose shares are held in names of various brokers, clearing agencies or other nominees.

DIVIDENDS

The Company has not declared any cash dividends on its common stock and does not foresee issuing cash dividends in the near future.

SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS.

This information appears in Part III, Item 12 of this report.

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The Company did not have any purchases of its common stock for its own account, during the fourth quarter of its fiscal year ending June 30, 2014:

The Company has only one stock repurchase program. The program was initially announced on January 13, 1998 and was amended on February 10, 2003, October 12, 2004 and June 3, 2009. The total number of shares authorized to be repurchased pursuant to those prior authorizations was 995,000, adjusted for stock splits. On November 15, 2012, the Board of Directors authorized the Company to purchase up to an additional 100,000 shares of Company's common stock. As of June, 30, 2014, that total amount of shares authorized for repurchase is approximately 97,000. The purchases will be made, in the discretion of management, from time to time, in the open market or through privately negotiated third party transactions depending on market conditions and other factors. The Company's repurchase program has no expiration date and can be amended and increased, from time to time, in the discretion of the Board of Directors. No plan or program expired during the period covered by the table.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

Not required for smaller reporting companies.

Item 7. Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

As of June 30, 2014, the Company owned approximately 80.9% of the common shares of its subsidiary, Santa Fe and Santa Fe owned approximately 68.8% of the common shares of Portsmouth Square, Inc. InterGroup also directly owns approximately 12.9% of the common shares of Portsmouth. The Company's principal sources of revenue continue to be derived from the general and limited partnership interests of its subsidiary, Portsmouth, in the Justice Investors limited partnership ("Justice" or the "Partnership"), rental income from its investments in multi-family real estate properties and income received from investment of its cash and securities assets. Justice owns a 543 room hotel property located at 750 Kearny Street, San Francisco, California 94108, known as the "Hilton San Francisco Financial District" (the "Hotel") and related facilities, including a five-level underground parking garage. The financial statements of Justice have been consolidated with those of the Company.

The Hotel is operated by the Partnership as a full service Hilton brand hotel pursuant to a Franchise License Agreement with Hilton Hotels Corporation. The term of the Agreement is for a period of 15 years commencing on January 12, 2006, with an option to extend the license term for another five years, subject to certain conditions. Justice also has a Management Agreement with Prism Hospitality L.P. ("Prism") to perform the day-to-day management functions of the Hotel.

The parking garage that is part of the Hotel property is managed by Ace Parking pursuant to a contract with the Partnership. Portsmouth also receives management fees as a general partner of Justice for its services in overseeing and managing the Partnership's assets. Those fees are eliminated in consolidation.

In December 2013, the Partnership determined to restructure its ownership to facilitate a refinancing of the Hotel and redeem the interests of certain Partners, including Evon. In the course of this refinancing, restructuring and redemption, the Partnership created Justice Holdings Company, LLC ("Holdings"), a Delaware Limited Liability Company, Justice Operating Company, LLC ("Operating") and Justice Mezzanine Company, LLC ("Mezzanine"). Holdings and Mezzanine are both wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Partnership; Operating is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mezzanine. Mezzanine is the Mezzanine borrower and in December 2013, the Partnership conveyed ownership of the Hotel to Operating.

On December 18, 2013, the Partnership completed an Offer to Redeem any and all limited partnership interests not held by Portsmouth and the Loan Agreements, as defined below. In addition, the Partnership approved amendments to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership, which amendments became effective upon the completion of the Offer to Redeem and the consummation of the Loan Agreements. Such amendments are described

below. As a result, Portsmouth, which prior to the Offer to Redeem owned 50% of the then outstanding limited partnership interests now controls approximately 93% of the voting interest in Justice and is now its sole General Partner.

Pursuant to the Offer to Redeem, the Partnership has accepted tenders, for cash, from Evon, a general partner and seventy-three of the limited partners representing approximately 29.173% of partnership interests outstanding prior to the Offer to Redeem for \$1,385,000 for each 1% tendered. On December 19, 2013, Justice distributed the amounts due each of these former partners pursuant to the terms of the tender offer.

In addition, the Partnership has accepted the election of holders of approximately 17.146% of the limited partnership interests outstanding prior to the Offer to Redeem to participate in an alternate redemption structure. Under that alternative redemption structure, the Partnership paid to Holdings \$1,385,000 for each 1% tendered. Those partners who elected the alternative redemption structure may within 12 months of December 18, 2013, designate property for Holdings to purchase and then require Holdings to transfer that property to the partner in redemption of that partner's interest in the Partnership. The governing agreement also provides for other possible methods of redeeming the interests of the partners who elected the alternate redemption structure. During the year ended June 30, 2014, a total of \$2,928,000 was redeemed under the alternative redemption structure. As of June 30, 2014, the current and deferred payments related to the alternative redemption structure, which are held by Justice's wholly owned subsidiary, Holdings, are classified as restricted cash and, together with the expenses discussed below, total \$16,163,000 and are classified on the balance sheet as redemption payable.

The Partnership incurred approximately \$6,681,000 in restructuring costs relating to the Offer to Redeem and related financing transactions, including a one-time management fee of \$1,550,000, approximately \$431,000 in legal, accounting and other professional expenses, and payment of a Documentary Transfer Tax of approximately \$4.7 million to the City and County of San Francisco (“CCSF”). CCSF required payment of the Documentary Transfer Tax as a condition to record the transfer of the Hotel to Operating and other documents related to the Loan Agreements. While the Partnership believes the amount of Documentary Transfer tax that was assessed by CCSF was incorrect, the tax was paid, under protest, to allow for the consummation of the redemption transaction, the Loan Agreements and the recording of all related documents. The Partnership has challenged CCSF’s imposition of the tax and filed a refund claim with the CCSF. No prediction can be made as to whether CCSF’s calculation of the tax will be upheld, or whether any portion of the tax will be refunded.

In connection with the Offer to Redeem, the Partnership retired existing debt and replaced it with lower-yielding loans, the proceeds of which were used to fund the Offer to Redeem and to provide for additional working capital for the Hotel. The Partnership incurred a loss on the extinguishment of debt of \$3,910,000 which included a yield maintenance (prepayment penalty) expense of \$3,808,000 and a write-off of capitalized loan costs on the refinanced debt of approximately \$102,000.

As a result of the ownership structure implemented in December 2013, the Partnership is the indirect sole owner of a 543-room hotel property located at 750 Kearny Street, San Francisco, California, now known as the Hilton San Francisco Financial District (the Hotel) and related facilities including a five level underground parking garage. The Hotel is operated by Operating as a full service Hilton brand hotel pursuant to a Franchise License Agreement with Hilton Hotels Corporation. Operating also has a Management Agreement with Prism Hospitality L.P. (Prism) to perform management functions for the Hotel. The management agreement with Prism had an original term of ten years and can be terminated at any time with or without cause by the Partnership owner. Effective January 2014, the management agreement with Prism was amended by the Partnership. Effective December 1, 2013, GMP Management, Inc., a company owned by a Justice limited partner and related party, also provides management services for the Partnership pursuant to a Management Services Agreement, which is for a term of 3 years, but which can be terminated earlier by the Partnership for cause.

The Hotel is operated by the Partnership as a full service Hilton brand hotel pursuant to a Franchise License Agreement with Hilton Hotels Corporation. The term of the Agreement is for a period of 15 years commencing on January 12, 2006, with an option to extend the license term for another five years, subject to certain conditions. Justice also has a Management Agreement with Prism to perform the day-to-day management functions of the Hotel.

In addition to the operations of the Hotel, the Company also generates income from the ownership and management of real estate. Properties include seventeen apartment complexes, two commercial real estate properties, and two single-family houses as strategic investments. The properties are located throughout the United States, but are concentrated in Texas and Southern California. The Company also has investments in unimproved real property. All of the Company’s operating real estate properties with exception of the two commercial properties were managed by professional third party property management companies. In July 2014, the Company terminated its property and asset

management agreements with the professional third party property management company that managed its properties located outside of California. Beginning August 2014, the Company began managing its five properties located outside of California in-house, while the properties located in California are still being managed by a third party property management company, with exception to the two commercial buildings which are also managed in-house.

The Company acquires its investments in real estate and other investments utilizing cash, securities or debt, subject to approval or guidelines of the Board of Directors. The Company also invests in income-producing instruments, equity and debt securities and will consider other investments if such investments offer growth or profit potential.

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013

The Company had a net loss of \$6,748,000 for the year ended June 30, 2014 compared to net income of \$625,000 for the year ended June 30, 2013. The significant change in the net (loss) income is primarily attributable to the costs related to the restructuring and redemption of the limited partners of Justice Investors and the related refinancing of the mortgage note on the Hotel. This is partially offset by the continued improvement of Hotel operations prior to the non-recurring expenses and to a lesser extent, improvement in the real estate operations and gains on marketable securities.

The Company had net loss from Hotel operations of \$10,664,000 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, compared to net income of \$2,864,000 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. The change in the net (loss) income is primarily attributable the costs related to the restructuring and the redemption of the limited partners of Justice and the related refinancing of the mortgage note on the Hotel. This is partially offset by the increase revenues at the Hotel resulting from higher average room rates partially offset by the related increase in operating expenses.

The following table sets forth a more detailed presentation of Hotel operations for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

For the year ended June 30,	2014	2013
Hotel revenues:		
Hotel rooms	\$41,502,000	\$36,378,000
Food and beverage	5,862,000	6,617,000
Garage	2,893,000	2,786,000
Other operating departments	706,000	784,000
Total hotel revenues	50,963,000	46,565,000
Operating expenses, excluding non-recurring charges, depreciation and amortization	(40,805,000)	(38,635,000)
Operating income before non-recurring charges, interest and depreciation and amortization	10,158,000	7,930,000
Hotel restructuring costs	(6,681,000)	-
Hotel occupancy tax - penalty fees	(1,278,000)	-
Income before loss on extinguishment of debt, loss on disposal of assets , interest, depreciation and amortization	2,199,000	7,930,000
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(3,910,000)	-
Loss on disposal of assets	(1,092,000)	-
Interest expense - mortgage	(4,960,000)	(2,612,000)
Interest expense - occupancy tax	(328,000)	-
Depreciation and amortization expense	(2,573,000)	(2,454,000)
Net (loss) income from Hotel operations	\$(10,664,000)	\$2,864,000

For the year ended June 30, 2014, the Hotel generated operating income of \$10,158,000 before non-recurring charges and interest and depreciation and amortization on total operating revenues of \$50,963,000 compared to operating income of \$7,930,000 before non-recurring charges and interest and depreciation and amortization on total operating revenues of \$46,565,000 for the year ended June 30, 2013. Room revenues increased by \$5,124,000 for the year ended June 30, 2014 compared to the year ended June 30, 2013 primarily as the result of higher room rates from the improving economy and increased tourism. Food and beverage revenues decreased by \$755,000 due to the closing of certain outlets that were not profitable and garage revenues increased by \$107,000 for the same period due to the increase in transient parking.

Major factors for the increase in operating expenses were an increase in contractual union wages and benefits in all operating departments and higher commissions paid for certain group and city-wide convention business in the current period. Franchise and management fees, which are based on a percentage of revenues, also increased as well as costs for certain promotions for Hilton Honors members during the current period. However, management fees which are based on a percentage of revenues, also decreased as the result of the new revised agreement. Justice paid a flat rate fee beginning in January of 2014.

The following table sets forth the average daily room rate, average occupancy percentage and room revenue per available room (“RevPAR”) of the Hotel for the year ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Nine Months	Average	Average	
Ended March 31,	Daily Rate	Occupancy %	RevPAR
2014	\$ 229	92	% \$ 209
2013	\$ 205	90	% \$ 184

Room revenues remained strong as the San Francisco market continued to have good demand for higher rated business. The Hotel’s average daily rate increased by \$24 for the year ended June 30, 2014 compared to the year ended June 30, 2013, while occupancy percentages increased to 92% from 90%. As a result, the Hotel was able to achieve a RevPAR number that was \$25 higher than the comparative prior year period.

The Partnership incurred approximately \$6,681,000 in restructuring costs relating to the Offer to Redeem and related financing transactions, including a one-time management fee of \$1,550,000, approximately \$431,000 in legal, accounting and other professional expenses, and payment of a Documentary Transfer Tax of approximately \$4.7 million to the City and County of San Francisco (“CCSF”). CCSF required payment of the Documentary Transfer Tax as a condition to record the transfer of the Hotel to Operating and other documents related to the Loan Agreements. While the Partnership believes the amount of Documentary Transfer tax that was assessed by CCSF was incorrect, the tax was paid, under protest, to allow for the consummation of the redemption transaction, the Loan Agreements and the recording of all related documents. The Partnership has challenged CCSF’s imposition of the tax and filed a refund claim with the CCSF. No prediction can be made as to whether CCSF’s calculation of the tax will be upheld, or whether any portion of the tax will be refunded.

In connection with the Offer to Redeem, the Partnership retired existing debt and replaced it with lower-yielding loans, the proceeds of which were used to fund the Offer to Redeem and to provide for additional working capital for the Hotel. The Partnership incurred a loss on the extinguishment of debt of \$3,910,000 which included a yield maintenance (prepayment penalty) expense of \$3,808,000 and a write-off of capitalized loan costs on the refinanced debt of approximately \$102,000.

The City of San Francisco’s Tax Collector’s office has claimed that Justice owes the City of San Francisco \$2.1 million based on the Tax Collector’s interpretation of the San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code relating to Transient Occupancy Tax and Tourist Improvement District Assessment. This amount exceeds Justice’s estimate of the taxes owed, and Justice has disputed the claim and is seeking to discharge all penalties and interest charges imposed by the Tax Collector. The Company paid the full amount in March 2014 as part of the appeals process but is reflecting the amount on the balance sheet in “Other Assets, Net” as it is currently under protest.

In December 2013, Justice determined to substantially demolish the Hotel's ground-level Spa (with the exception of the ceilings and certain mechanical systems) to build out additional meeting rooms, a technology lounge and re-locate Hotel offices. Justice believes this will result in a greater guest experience and increases in operating revenues. Justice recorded a loss of approximately \$738,000 as a disposal of assets on the closure of the Hotel's Spa on the lobby level.

Our highest priority is guest satisfaction. We believe that enhancing the guest experience differentiates the Hotel from our competition by building the most sustainable guest loyalty. During fiscal 2013, we completed a significant, "green" project that retrofits all of our guest room windows with new "double-pane" inserts that result in greater energy savings and better sound attenuation for our guests. We have also upgraded our common areas of the Hotel and improved our restaurant facilities, food and beverage services and now provide advanced technological amenities throughout our lobby. Our guest responses to these improvements have been very positive. The Hotel also remains a leader in implementing Hilton's Huanying ("Welcome") program that features a tailored experience for Chinese travelers. We continue taking steps that further develop our ties with the local Chinese community and the city of San Francisco, representing good corporate citizenship and promoting important, new business opportunities.

Moving forward, we will continue to focus on cultivating more international business, especially from China, and capturing a greater percentage of the higher rated business, leisure and group travel. We will also continue in our efforts to upgrade our guest rooms and facilities and explore new and innovative ways to differentiate the Hotel from its competition, as well as focusing on returning our food and beverage operations to profitability. During the last twelve months, we have seen steady improvement in business and leisure travel. If that trend in the San Francisco market and the hotel industry continues, it should translate into an increase in room revenues and profitability. However, like all hotels, it will remain subject to the uncertain domestic and global economic environment and other risk factors beyond our control, such as the effect of natural disasters.

Revenue from real estate operations increased to \$16,332,000 for the year ended June 30, 2014 from \$15,474,000 for the year ended June 30, 2013. The increase in real estate revenues is primarily due to improved occupancy and increased rents at our properties and a one-time \$249,000 storm damage insurance claim received by the Company on three of its properties. Real estate operating expenses increased to \$8,982,000 for the year ended June 30, 2014 from \$8,529,000 for the year ended June 30, 2013 primarily as the result of higher repairs and maintenance related costs. Mortgage interest expense related to real estate decreased to \$3,026,000 for fiscal 2014 from \$3,556,000 for fiscal 2013 as the result of management taking advantage of the lower interest rate environment and refinancing its largest mortgages during fiscal 2013 and also additional smaller mortgages in fiscal 2014. Management continues to review and analyze the Company's real estate operations to improve occupancy and rental rates and to reduce expenses and improve efficiencies. In July 2014, the Company terminated its property management agreement with the professional third party property management company that managed its properties located outside of California. Beginning August 2014, the Company began managing its five properties located outside of California in-house, while the properties located in California are still being managed by a third party property management company, with exception to the two commercial buildings which are also managed in-house.

The Company had a net gain on marketable securities of \$998,000 for the year ended June 30, 2014 compared to a net loss on marketable securities of \$856,000 for the year ended June 30, 2013. For the year ended June 30, 2014, the Company had a net realized gain of \$870,000 and a net unrealized gain of \$128,000. For the year ended June 30, 2013, the Company had a net realized gain of \$147,000 and a net unrealized loss of \$1,003,000. Gains and losses on marketable securities and other investments may fluctuate significantly from period to period in the future and could have a significant impact on the Company's net income. However, the amount of gain or loss on marketable securities and other investments for any given period may have no predictive value and variations in amount from period to period may have no analytical value. For a more detailed description of the composition of the Company's marketable securities please see the Marketable Securities section below.

During the year ended June 30, 2014, the Company had an unrealized gain of \$181,000 related to other investments compared to an unrealized loss of \$216,000 for the year ended June 30, 2013. The gain is due to the increase in the fair value of stock warrants.

The Company and its subsidiaries, Portsmouth and Santa Fe, compute and file income tax returns and prepare discrete income tax provisions for financial reporting. The income tax benefit (expense) during the year ended June 30, 2014

and 2013 represents primarily the income tax effect on the Portsmouth's pretax (loss) income which includes its share in net income (loss) of the Hotel. The Company's tax benefit as a percentage of the Portsmouth's income (loss) before income taxes has increased in fiscal 2014 due to the redemption and a larger ownership in Justice.

MARKETABLE SECURITIES AND OTHER INVESTMENTS

As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company had investments in marketable equity securities of \$11,420,000 and \$12,624,000, respectively. The following table shows the composition of the Company's marketable securities portfolio by selected industry groups as:

As of June 30, 2014		% of Total Investment Securities	
Industry Group	Fair Value		
Basic materials	\$5,081,000	44.5	%
Technology	1,395,000	12.2	%
REITs and real estate companies	1,001,000	8.8	%
Financial services	820,000	7.2	%
Other	3,123,000	27.3	%
	\$11,420,000	100.0	%

As of June 30, 2013		% of Total Investment Securities	
Industry Group	Fair Value		
Basic materials	\$4,733,000	37.5	%
Technology	2,698,000	21.4	%
Financial services	2,261,000	17.9	%
REITs and real estate companies	878,000	7.0	%
Other	2,054,000	16.2	%
	\$12,624,000	100.0	%

The Company's investment portfolio is diversified with 35 different equity positions. The Company holds four equity securities that comprise of more than 10% of the equity value of the portfolio. The largest security represents 44.2% of the portfolio and consists of the common stock of Comstock Mining, Inc. ("Comstock" - NYSE MKT: LODE) which is included in the basic materials industry group. The amount of the Company's investment in any particular issuer may increase or decrease, and additions or deletions to its securities portfolio may occur, at any time. While it is the internal policy of the Company to limit its initial investment in any single equity to less than 10% of its total portfolio value, that investment could eventually exceed 10% as a result of equity appreciation or reduction of other positions. A significant percentage of the portfolio consists of common stock in Comstock that was obtained through dividend payments by Comstock on its 7.5% Series A-1 Convertible Preferred Stock. Marketable securities are stated at fair value as determined by the most recently traded price of each security at the balance sheet date.

The Company also holds a \$13,231,000 investment in Comstock Series A-1 Convertible Preferred Stock which is carried at cost and included in Other investments, net.

The following table shows the net gain or loss on the Company's marketable securities and the associated margin interest and trading expenses for the respective years.

For the years ended June 30,	2014	2013
------------------------------	------	------

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Net gain (loss) on marketable securities	\$998,000	\$(856,000)
Net unrealized gain (loss) on other investments	181,000	(216,000)
Impairment loss on other investments	(101,000)	(105,000)
Dividend and interest income	1,064,000	1,082,000
Margin interest expense	(618,000)	(635,000)
Trading expenses	(1,181,000)	(1,073,000)
	\$343,000	\$(1,803,000)

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY

The Company's cash flows are primarily generated from its Hotel operations, and general partner management fees and limited partnership distributions from Justice Investors, its real estate operations and from the investment of its cash in marketable securities and other investments.

On December 18, 2013, the Partnership completed an Offer to Redeem any and all limited partnership interests not held by Portsmouth and the Loan Agreements, as defined below. In addition, the Partnership approved amendments to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership, which amendments became effective upon the completion of the Offer to Redeem and the consummation of the Loan Agreements. Such amendments are described below. As a result, Portsmouth, which prior to the Offer to Redeem owned 50% of the then outstanding limited partnership interests now controls approximately 93% of the voting interest in Justice and is now its sole General Partner.

Pursuant to the Offer to Redeem, the Partnership has accepted tenders, for cash, from Evon, a general partner and seventy-three of the limited partners representing approximately 29.173% of partnership interests outstanding prior to the Offer to Redeem for \$1,385,000 for each 1% tendered. On December 19, 2013, Justice distributed the amounts due each of these former partners pursuant to the terms of the tender offer.

In addition, the Partnership has accepted the election of holders of approximately 17.146% of the limited partnership interests outstanding prior to the Offer to Redeem to participate in an alternate redemption structure. Under that alternative redemption structure, the Partnership paid to Holdings \$1,385,000 for each 1% tendered. Those partners who elected the alternative redemption structure may within 12 months of December 18, 2013, designate property for Holdings to purchase and then require Holdings to transfer that property to the partner in redemption of that partner's interest in the Partnership. The governing agreement also provides for other possible methods of redeeming the interests of the partners who elected the alternate redemption structure. As of June 30, 2014, the current and deferred payments related to the alternative redemption structure, which are held by Justice's wholly owned subsidiary, Holdings, are classified as restricted cash and, together with the expenses discussed below, total \$16,163,000 and are classified on the balance sheet as redemption payable.

The Partnership incurred approximately \$6,681,000 in restructuring costs relating to the Offer to Redeem and related financing transactions, including a one-time management fee of \$1,550,000, approximately \$431,000 in legal, accounting and other professional expenses, and payment of a Documentary Transfer Tax of approximately \$4.7 million to the City and County of San Francisco ("CCSF"). CCSF required payment of the Documentary Transfer Tax as a condition to record the transfer of the Hotel to Operating and other documents related to the Loan Agreements. While the Partnership believes the amount of Documentary Transfer tax that was assessed by CCSF was incorrect, the tax was paid, under protest, to allow for the consummation of the redemption transaction, the Loan Agreements and the recording of all related documents. The Partnership has challenged CCSF's imposition of the tax and filed a refund

claim with the CCSF. No prediction can be made as to whether CCSF's calculation of the tax will be upheld, or whether any portion of the tax will be refunded.

In connection with the Offer to Redeem, the Partnership retired existing debt and replaced it with lower-yielding loans, the proceeds of which were used to fund the Offer to Redeem and to provide for additional working capital for the Hotel. The Partnership incurred a loss on the extinguishment of debt of \$3,910,000 which included a yield maintenance (prepayment penalty) expense of \$3,808,000 and a write-off of capitalized loan costs on the refinanced debt of approximately \$102,000.

To fund redemption of limited partnership interests and to repay the prior mortgage, Justice obtained a \$97,000,000 mortgage loan and a \$20,000,000 mezzanine loan.

The mortgage loan are secured by the Partnership's principal asset, the Hilton San Francisco-Financial District. The mortgage loan initially bears an interest rate of 5.28% per annum and matures in January 2024. As additional security for the mortgage loan, there is a limited guaranty executed by the Company in favor of mortgage lender.

The mezzanine loan is secured by the Operating membership interest held by Mezzanine and is subordinated to the Mortgage Loan. The mezzanine loan initially bears interest at 9.75% per annum and matures in January 2024. As additional security for the mezzanine loan, there is a limited guaranty executed by the Company in favor of mezzanine lender.

The new Justice Compensation Agreement that became effective on December 1, 2008, when Portsmouth assumed the role of managing general partner of Justice, has provided additional cash flows to the Company. Under the new Compensation Agreement, Portsmouth is now entitled to 80% of the minimum base fee to be paid to the general partners of \$285,000, while under the prior agreement, Portsmouth was entitled to receive only 20% of the minimum base fee. The general partner fees paid to Portsmouth for the year ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$475,000 and \$401,000, respectively.

Despite an uncertain economy, the Hotel has continued to generate positive cash flows. While the debt service requirements related to the new loans, may create some additional risk for the Company and its ability to generate cash flows in the future, management believes that cash flows from the operations of the Hotel and the garage will continue to be sufficient to meet all of the Partnership's current and future obligations and financial requirements. Management also believes that there is sufficient equity in the Hotel assets to support future borrowings, if necessary, to fund any new capital improvements and other requirements.

On July 2, 2014, the Partnership obtained from the Intergroup Corporation (parent company of Portsmouth) an unsecured loan in the principal amount of \$4,250,000 at 12% per year fixed interest, with a term of 2 years, payable interest only each month. Intergroup received a 3% loan fee. The loan may be prepaid at any time without penalty. The proceeds of the loan were applied to the July 2014 payments to Holdings described in Note 20 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the Company obtained a second mortgage on its 151-unit apartment located in Morris County, New Jersey in the amount of \$2,740,000. The term of the loan is approximately 8 years with the interest rate fixed at 4.51%. The loan matures in August 2022.

In June 2014, the Company obtained a seven month extension of its \$992,000 mortgage note payable on the first commercial building located in Los Angeles, California that matured in June 2014. The loan was extended to January 2015. Interest rate on the note remains the same.

In April 2014, the Company refinanced its \$526,000 mortgage note payable on the second commercial building located in Los Angeles, California for a new 3-year interest only mortgage in the amount of \$1,100,000. The Company received net proceeds of \$556,000. The interest rate on the new loan is fixed at 3.25% per annum and the

note matures in May 2017.

In July 2013, the Company refinanced its \$466,000 adjustable rate mortgage note payable on its 8-unit apartment located in Los Angeles, California for a new 30-year mortgage in the amount of \$500,000. The interest rate on the new loan is fixed at 3.50% per annum for the first five years and variable for the remaining of the term. The note matures in July 2043.

In May 2013, the Company refinanced its \$5,671,000 mortgage note payable on its 264-unit apartment building located in St. Louis, Missouri for a new 10-year mortgage in the amount of \$6,045,000. The interest rate on the new loan is fixed at 4.05% per annum for ten years, with monthly principal and interest payments based on a 30-year amortization schedule. The note matures in May 2023.

In November 2012, the Company refinanced its \$17,509,000 mortgage note payable on its 358-unit apartment building located in Las Colinas, Texas for a new 10-year mortgage in the amount of \$19,500,000. The interest rate on the new loan is fixed at 3.73% per annum for ten years, with monthly principal and interest payments based on a 30-year amortization schedule. The note matures in December 2022. The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$529,000 from the refinancing.

In September 2012, the Company refinanced its \$388,000 adjustable rate mortgage note payable on its 2-unit apartment located in Los Angeles, California for a new 30-year fixed rate mortgage in the amount of \$400,000. The interest rate on the new loan is 4.25% per annum. The note matures in September 2042.

In August 2012, the Company refinanced two mortgages on two properties located in Los Angeles, California with mortgage note payable balances totaling \$1,583,000 for two new 30-year mortgages totaling \$1,650,000. The interest rate on the two loans is fixed at 3.85% for the first five years and variable thereafter, with monthly principal and interest payments based on a 30-year amortization schedule. The notes mature in September 2042.

In August 2012, the Company refinanced three mortgages on three properties located in Los Angeles, California with mortgage note payable balances totaling \$1,243,000 for three new 30-year mortgages totaling \$1,285,000. The interest rate on the three loans is fixed at 4.25% for the first five years and variable thereafter, with monthly principal and interest payments based on a 30-year amortization schedule. The notes mature in September 2042.

In July 2012, the Company refinanced its \$9,010,000 mortgage note payable on its 151-unit apartment building located in Morris County, New Jersey for a new 10-year mortgage in the amount of \$10,780,000. The interest rate on the new loan is fixed at 3.51% per annum for ten years, with monthly principal and interest payments based on a 25-year amortization schedule. The note matures in August 2022. The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$1,513,000 from the refinancing.

Management believes that its cash, securities assets, real estate and the cash flows generated from those assets and from partnership distributions and management fees, will be adequate to meet the Company's current and future obligations. Additionally, management believes there is significant appreciated value in the Hotel and other real estate properties to support additional borrowings if necessary.

MATERIAL CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The following table provides a summary of the Company's material financial obligations which also includes interest.

	Total	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Thereafter
Mortgage notes payable	\$192,360,000	\$2,679,000	\$5,064,000	\$2,289,000	\$3,088,000	\$3,239,000	\$176,001,000
Other notes payable	282,000	166,000	66,000	50,000	-	-	-

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Interest	79,924,000	10,311,000	10,098,000	9,964,000	9,397,000	8,740,000	31,414,000
Total	\$272,566,000	\$13,156,000	\$15,228,000	\$12,303,000	\$12,485,000	\$11,979,000	\$207,415,000

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

The Company has no material off balance sheet arrangements.

IMPACT OF INFLATION

Hotel room rates are typically impacted by supply and demand factors, not inflation, since rental of a hotel room is usually for a limited number of nights. Room rates can be, and usually are, adjusted to account for inflationary cost increases. Since Prism has the power and ability under the terms of its management agreement to adjust hotel room rates on an ongoing basis, there should be minimal impact on partnership revenues due to inflation. Partnership revenues are also subject to interest rate risks, which may be influenced by inflation. For the two most recent fiscal years, the impact of inflation on the Company's income is not viewed by management as material.

The Company's residential rental properties provide income from short-term operating leases and no lease extends beyond one year. Rental increases are expected to offset anticipated increased property operating expenses.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Critical accounting policies are those that are most significant to the portrayal of our financial position and results of operations and require judgments by management in order to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts in our consolidated financial statements. We evaluate our estimates on an on-going basis, including those related to the consolidation of our subsidiaries, to our revenues, allowances for bad debts, accruals, asset impairments, other investments, income taxes and commitments and contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities. The actual results may differ from these estimates or our estimates may be affected by different assumptions or conditions.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Not required for smaller reporting companies.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	PAGE
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	31
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets - June 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	32
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations - For years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	33
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' (Deficit) Equity - For years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	34
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - For years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	35
<u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	36

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of

The Intergroup Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of The InterGroup Corporation and its subsidiaries (the Company) As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' (deficit) equity and cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended June 30, 2014. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of The InterGroup Corporation and its subsidiaries as of June 30, 2014 and 2013, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended June 30, 2014 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Burr Pilger Mayer, Inc.

San Francisco, California

September 26, 2014

THE INTERGROUP CORPORATION**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

As of June 30,	2014	2013
ASSETS		
Investment in hotel, net	\$41,897,000	\$41,728,000
Investment in real estate, net	63,697,000	65,262,000
Investment in marketable securities	11,420,000	12,624,000
Other investments, net	15,837,000	15,280,000
Cash and cash equivalents	4,705,000	1,453,000
Restricted cash - redemption	16,163,000	-
Restricted cash	3,982,000	2,448,000
Other assets, net	7,759,000	5,891,000
Total assets	\$ 165,460,000	\$ 144,686,000
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' (DEFICIT) EQUITY		
Liabilities:		
Accounts payable and other liabilities	\$4,083,000	\$3,666,000
Accounts payable and other liabilities - hotel	15,161,000	8,804,000
Redemption payable	16,163,000	-
Due to securities broker	2,925,000	2,762,000
Obligations for securities sold	175,000	2,565,000
Other notes payable	282,000	1,595,000
Mortgage notes payable - hotel	117,000,000	43,413,000
Mortgage notes payable - real estate	75,360,000	73,512,000
Deferred income taxes	943,000	4,617,000
Total liabilities	232,092,000	140,934,000
Commitments and contingencies		
Shareholders' (deficit) equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 100,000 shares authorized; none issued	-	-
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 4,000,000 shares authorized; 3,383,364 and 3,363,361 issued; 2,381,638 and 2,361,835 outstanding, respectively	33,000	33,000
Additional paid-in capital	10,092,000	9,714,000
Retained earnings (Accumulated deficit)	(39,401,000)	9,899,000
Treasury stock, at cost, 1,001,726 and 1,001,526 shares	(11,818,000)	(11,813,000)
Total InterGroup shareholders' (deficit) equity	(41,094,000)	7,833,000
Noncontrolling interest	(25,538,000)	(4,081,000)
Total shareholders' (deficit) equity	(66,632,000)	3,752,000
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 165,460,000	\$ 144,686,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

THE INTERGROUP CORPORATION**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

For the years ended June 30,	2014	2013
Revenues:		
Hotel	\$50,963,000	\$46,565,000
Real estate	16,332,000	15,474,000
Total revenues	67,295,000	62,039,000
Costs and operating expenses:		
Hotel operating expenses	(40,805,000)	(38,635,000)
Hotel restructuring costs	(6,681,000)	-
Hotel occupancy tax - penalty fees	(1,278,000)	-
Real estate operating expenses	(8,982,000)	(8,529,000)
Depreciation and amortization expense	(4,723,000)	(4,577,000)
General and administrative expense	(2,168,000)	(1,949,000)
Total costs and operating expenses	(64,637,000)	(53,690,000)
Income from operations	2,658,000	8,349,000
Other income (expense):		
Interest expense - mortgage	(7,986,000)	(6,168,000)
Interest expense - occupancy tax	(328,000)	-
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(3,910,000)	-
Loss on disposal of assets	(1,092,000)	-
Net gain (loss) on marketable securities	998,000	(856,000)
Net unrealized gain (loss) on other investments and derivatives	181,000	(216,000)
Impairment loss on other investments	(101,000)	(105,000)
Dividend and interest income	1,064,000	1,082,000
Trading and margin interest expense	(1,799,000)	(1,708,000)
Net other expense	(12,973,000)	(7,971,000)
Income (loss) before income taxes	(10,315,000)	378,000
Income tax benefit	3,567,000	247,000
Net (loss) income	(6,748,000)	625,000
Less: Net loss (income) attributable to the noncontrolling interest	2,056,000	(1,340,000)
Net loss attributable to InterGroup	\$(4,692,000)	\$(715,000)
Net (loss) income per share		
Basic	\$(2.85)	\$0.27
Diluted	\$(2.85)	\$0.26
Net loss per share attributable to InterGroup		
Basic	\$(1.98)	\$(0.30)
Diluted	\$(1.98)	\$(0.30)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	2,368,861	2,354,990

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Weighted average number of diluted common shares outstanding	2,368,861	2,407,128
--	-----------	-----------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

33

THE INTERGROUP CORPORATION**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' (DEFICIT) EQUITY**

	Common Stock		Additional	Retained Earnings	Treasury	InterGroup	Noncontrolling	Total
	Shares	Amount	Paid-in Capital	(Accumulated Deficit)	Stock	Shareholders' Equity (Deficit)	Interest	Sharehold Equity (Deficit)
Balance at June 30, 2012	3,346,588	\$33,000	\$9,417,000	\$10,614,000	\$(11,757,000)	\$8,307,000	\$(4,721,000)	\$3,586,000
Net (loss) income	-	-	-	(715,000)	-	(715,000)	1,340,000	625,000
Issuance of stock for compensation	3,528	-	88,000	-	-	88,000	-	88,000
Issuance of stock related to stock options exercised	5,000	-	52,000	-	-	52,000	-	52,000
Conversion of RSU to stock	8,245	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stock options expense	-	-	324,000	-	-	324,000	-	324,000
Investment in Santa Fe	-	-	(105,000)	-	-	(105,000)	(51,000)	(156,000)
Investment in Portsmouth	-	-	(62,000)	-	-	(62,000)	(13,000)	(75,000)
Purchase of treasury stock	-	-	-	-	(56,000)	(56,000)	-	(56,000)
Distributions to noncontrolling interest	-	-	-	-	-	-	(600,000)	(600,000)
Dividends to noncontrolling	-	-	-	-	-	-	(36,000)	(36,000)

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

interest

Balance at June 30, 2013	3,363,361	33,000	9,714,000	9,899,000	(11,813,000)	7,833,000	(4,081,000)	3,752,000
Net (loss) income	-	-	-	(4,692,000)	-	(4,692,000)	(2,056,000)	(6,748,000)
Redemption of limited partnership interest		-	-	(65,298,000)	-	(65,298,000)	1,146,000	(64,152,000)
Allocation of accumulated deficit of Justice to noncontrolling interest relating to the redemption of limited partnership interests		-	-	20,690,000	-	20,690,000	(20,690,000)	-
Stock options expense	-	-	476,000	-	-	476,000	-	476,000
Issuance of stock for compensation	4,192	-	88,000	-	-	88,000	-	88,000
Issuance of stock related to stock options exercised	7,616	-	35,000	-	-	35,000	-	35,000
Conversion of RSU to stock	8,195	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Investment in Santa Fe	-	-	(213,000)	-	-	(213,000)	137,000	(76,000)
Investment in Portsmouth	-	-	(8,000)	-	-	(8,000)	6,000	(2,000)
Purchase of treasury stock	-	-	-	-	(5,000)	(5,000)	-	(5,000)
Balance at June 30, 2014	3,383,364	\$33,000	\$10,092,000	\$(39,401,000)	\$(11,818,000)	\$(41,094,000)	\$(25,538,000)	\$(66,632,000)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

THE INTERGROUP CORPORATION**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

For the years ended June 30,	2014	2013
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net (loss) income	\$(6,748,000)	\$625,000
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Net unrealized loss on marketable securities	128,000	1,003,000
Unrealized (gain) loss on other investments and derivative instruments	(181,000)	216,000
Impairment loss on other investments	101,000	105,000
Gain on insurance recovery	(249,000)	(404,000)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	3,910,000	-
Loss on disposal of assets	1,092,000	-
Depreciation and amortization	4,723,000	4,577,000
Stock compensation expense	564,000	412,000
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Investment in marketable securities	1,076,000	(4,646,000)
Other assets, net	(2,005,000)	(536,000)
Accounts payable and other liabilities	6,774,000	723,000
Due to securities broker	163,000	1,033,000
Obligations for securities sold	(2,390,000)	1,834,000
Deferred taxes	(3,674,000)	(364,000)
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,284,000	4,578,000
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Investment in hotel, net	(3,696,000)	(3,486,000)
Investment in real estate, net	(337,000)	(1,930,000)
Proceeds from (payments for) other investments	(477,000)	60,000
Investment in Santa Fe	(76,000)	(156,000)
Investment in Portsmouth	(2,000)	(75,000)
Net cash used in investing activities	(4,588,000)	(5,587,000)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from mortgage notes payable	156,660,000	39,240,000
Payments of mortgage and other notes payable	(86,448,000)	(37,767,000)
Restricted cash for redemption and mortgage impounds	(17,697,000)	(471,000)
Redemption payments and dividends to noncontrolling interest	(2,928,000)	-
Distributions to noncontrolling interest	(45,061,000)	(636,000)
Purchase of treasury stock	(5,000)	(56,000)
Proceeds from exercise of options	35,000	52,000
Net cash provided by financing activities	4,556,000	362,000
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	3,252,000	(647,000)
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year	1,453,000	2,100,000

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year	\$4,705,000	\$1,453,000
Supplemental information:		
Income tax paid	\$180,000	\$327,000
Interest paid	\$8,932,000	\$6,803,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

THE INTERGROUP CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 - BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND PRACTICES:

Description of the Business

The InterGroup Corporation, a Delaware corporation, (“InterGroup” or the “Company”) was formed to buy, develop, operate and dispose of real property and to engage in various investment activities to benefit the Company and its shareholders.

As of June 30, 2014, the Company had the power to vote 84.8% of the voting shares of Santa Fe Financial Corporation (“Santa Fe”), a public company (OTCBB: SFEF). This percentage includes the power to vote an approximately 4% interest in the common stock in Santa Fe owned by the Company’s Chairman and President pursuant to a voting trust agreement entered into on June 30, 1998.

Santa Fe’s primary business is conducted through the management of its 68.8% owned subsidiary, Portsmouth Square, Inc. (“Portsmouth”), a public company (OTCBB: PRSI). Portsmouth has a 93% limited partnership interest in Justice and is the sole general partner. InterGroup also directly owns approximately 12.9% of the common stock of Portsmouth. The financial statements of Justice are consolidated with those of the Company.

Justice owns a 543-room hotel property located at 750 Kearny Street, San Francisco California, known as the *Hilton San Francisco Financial District* (the Hotel) and related facilities including a five level underground parking garage. The Hotel is operated by the partnership as a full service Hilton brand hotel pursuant to a Franchise License Agreement with Hilton Hotels Corporation. Justice also has a Management Agreement with Prism Hospitality L.P. (Prism) to perform the day-to-day management functions of the Hotel. The parking garage that is part of the Hotel property is managed by Ace Parking pursuant to a contract with the Partnership.

Management believes that the revenues expected to be generated from the operations of the hotel, garage and leases will be sufficient to meet all of the Partnership’s current and future obligations and financial requirements. Management also believes that there is significant value in the Hotel to support additional borrowings, if necessary.

In addition to the operations of the Hotel, the Company also generates income from the ownership of real estate. Properties include apartment complexes, commercial real estate, and two single-family houses as strategic investments. The properties are located throughout the United States, but are concentrated in Texas and Southern California. The Company also has investments in unimproved real property. The Company's residential rental properties are managed by two professional third party property management companies.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and Santa Fe. All significant inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Investment in Hotel, Net

The Hotel property and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Building improvements are being depreciated on a straight-line basis over their useful lives ranging from 3 to 39 years. Furniture, fixtures, and equipment are being depreciated on a straight-line basis over their useful lives ranging from 3 to 7 years.

Repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred. Costs of significant renewals and improvements are capitalized and depreciated over the shorter of its remaining estimated useful life or life of the asset. The cost of assets sold or retired and the related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts; any resulting gain or loss is included in other income (expenses).

The Company reviews property and equipment for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. If the carrying amount of the asset, including any intangible assets associated with that asset, exceeds its estimated undiscounted net cash flow, before interest, the Partnership will recognize an impairment loss equal to the difference between its carrying amount and its estimated fair value. If impairment is recognized, the reduced carrying amount of the asset will be accounted for as its new cost. For a depreciable asset, the new cost will be depreciated over the asset's remaining useful life. Generally, fair values are estimated using discounted cash flow, replacement cost or market comparison analyses. The process of evaluating for impairment requires estimates as to future events and conditions, which are subject to varying market and economic factors. Therefore, it is reasonably possible that a change in estimate resulting from judgments as to future events could occur which would affect the recorded amounts of the property. No impairment losses were recorded for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Investment in Real Estate, Net

Rental properties are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation of rental property is provided on the straight-line method based upon estimated useful lives of 5 to 40 years for buildings and improvements and 5 to 10 years for equipment. Expenditures for repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred and major improvements are capitalized.

The Company also reviews its rental property assets for impairment. No impairment losses on the investment in real estate have been recorded for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

The fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property, which includes land, building and improvements, is determined by valuing the property as if they were vacant, and incorporates costs during the lease-up periods considering current market conditions and costs to execute similar leases such as lost rental revenue and tenant improvements. The value of tangible assets are depreciated using straight-line method based upon the assets estimated useful lives.

Investment in Marketable Securities

Marketable securities are stated at fair value as determined by the most recently traded price of each security at the balance sheet date. Marketable securities are classified as trading securities with all unrealized gains and losses on the Company's investment portfolio recorded through the consolidated statements of operations.

Other Investments, Net

Other investments include non-marketable securities (carried at cost, net of any impairments loss), non –marketable warrants (carried at fair value) and certain convertible preferred securities, received in exchange for debt instruments, carried at a book basis, initially determined using the estimated fair value on the exchange date. The Company has no significant influence or control over the entities that issue these investments. These investments are reviewed on a periodic basis for other-than-temporary impairment. The Company reviews several factors to determine whether a loss is other-than-temporary. These factors include but are not limited to: (i) the length of time an investment is in an unrealized loss position, (ii) the extent to which fair value is less than cost, (iii) the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer and (iv) our ability to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. For the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company recorded impairment losses related to other investments of \$101,000 and \$105,000, respectively. As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, the allowance for impairment losses was \$4,727,000 and \$4,626,000, respectively.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company has investments in stock warrants which are considered derivative instruments.

Derivative financial instruments consist of financial instruments or other contracts that contain a notional amount and one or more underlying (e.g. interest rate, security price or other variable), require no initial net investment and permit net settlement. Derivative financial instruments may be free-standing or embedded in other financial instruments. Further, derivative financial instruments are initially, and subsequently, measured at fair value on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

For the investment in stock warrants, the Company used the Black-Scholes option valuation model to estimate the fair value these instruments which requires management to make significant assumptions including trading volatility, estimated terms, and risk free rates. Estimating fair values of derivative financial instruments requires the development of significant and subjective estimates that may, and are likely to, change over the duration of the instrument with related changes in internal and external market factors. In addition, option-based models are highly volatile and sensitive to changes in the trading market price of the underlying common stock, which has a high-historical volatility. Since derivative financial instruments are initially and subsequently carried at fair values, the Company's consolidated statement of operations will reflect the volatility in these estimate and assumption changes.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents consist of highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less when purchased and are carried at cost, which approximates fair value.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash is comprised of amounts held by lenders for payment of real estate taxes, insurance, replacement reserves for the operating properties, capital addition reserves for the Hotel, tenant security deposits that are invested in certificates of deposit and the funds held by Holdings to implement the alternate redemption structure for those partners who elected that structure.

Other Assets, Net

Other assets include accounts receivable, prepaid insurance, loan fees, franchise fees, license fees, inventory, occupancy tax deposits and other miscellaneous assets. Loan fees are stated at cost and amortized over the term of the loan using the effective interest method. Franchise fees are stated at cost and amortized over the life of the agreement (15 years). License fees are stated at cost and amortized over 10 years.

Accounts receivable from the Hotel and rental property customers are carried at cost less an allowance for doubtful accounts that is based on management's assessment of the collectability of accounts receivable. The Company extends unsecured credit to its customers but mitigates the associated credit risk by performing ongoing credit evaluations of its customers. As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, the balance of allowance for doubtful accounts was \$62,000 and \$19,000, respectively.

Due to Securities Broker

The Company may utilize margin for its marketable securities purchases through the use of standard margin agreements with national brokerage firms. Various securities brokers have advanced funds to the Company for the purchase of marketable securities under standard margin agreements. These advanced funds are recorded as a liability.

Obligation for Securities Sold

Obligation for securities sold represents the fair market value of shares sold with the promise to deliver that security at some future date and the fair market value of shares underlying the written call options with the obligation to deliver that security when and if the option is exercised. The obligation may be satisfied with current holdings of the same security or by subsequent purchases of that security. Unrealized gains and losses from changes in the obligation are included in the statement of operations.

Accounts Payable and Other Liabilities

Accounts payable and other liabilities include trade payables, advance deposits and other liabilities.

Treasury Stock

The Company records the acquisition of treasury stock under the cost method.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (i.e., the “exit price”) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Accounting standards for fair value measurement establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company’s assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the observability of inputs as follows:

Level 1—inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2—inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the assets or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instruments.

Level 3—inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value.

Revenue Recognition

Room revenue is recognized on the date upon which a guest occupies a room and/or utilizes the Hotel’s services. Food and beverage revenues are recognized upon delivery. Garage revenue is recognized when a guest uses the garage space. The Company records a liability for payments collected in advance of revenue recognition. This liability is included in Accounts payable and other liabilities. Rental revenue is recognized on the straight-line method of accounting whereby contractual rent payment increases are recognized evenly over the lease term, regardless of when

the rent payments are received by Justice. The leases contain provisions for base rent plus a percentage of the lessees' revenues, which are recognized when earned.

Revenue recognition from apartment rentals commences when an apartment unit is placed in service and occupied by a rent-paying tenant. Apartment units are leased on a short-term basis, with no lease extending beyond one year.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising costs were \$434,000 and \$419,000 for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes are calculated under the liability method. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are based on differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities at the current enacted tax rates. Changes in deferred income tax assets and liabilities are included as a component of income tax expense. Changes in deferred income tax assets and liabilities attributable to changes in enacted tax rates are charged or credited to income tax expense in the period of enactment. Valuation allowances are established for certain deferred tax assets where realization is not likely.

Assets and liabilities are established for uncertain tax positions taken or positions expected to be taken in income tax returns when such positions are judged to not meet the "more-likely-than-not" threshold based on the technical merits of the positions.

Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Basic income (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. The computation of diluted income (loss) per share is similar to the computation of basic earnings per share except that the weighted-average number of common shares is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if potential dilutive common shares had been issued. The Company's only potentially dilutive common shares are stock options and restricted stock units (RSUs). As of June 30, 2014, the Company had 37,738 stock options were considered potentially dilutive common shares. As of June 30, 2013, the Company had 52,138 stock options and RSUs that were considered potentially dilutive common shares. The basic and diluted earnings per share were the same for the year ended June 30, 2014 because the Company had a net loss.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) requires the use of estimates and assumptions regarding certain types of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses. Such estimates primarily relate to unsettled transactions and events as of the date of the financial statements. Accordingly, upon settlement, actual results may differ from estimated amounts.

Reclassifications

Certain prior year balances have been reclassified to conform with the current year presentation.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2013, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update (“ASU”) No. 2013-11, *Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists* (“ASU 2013-11”). ASU 2013-11 will become effective for the Company on July 1, 2014. The Company is currently evaluating the impact ASU 2013-11 but believes that this ASU will not have a significant impact on its Consolidated Financial Statements as it relates primarily as to how items are presented in the financial statements.

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-08, “Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205) and Property, Plant, and Equipment (Topic 360)” (“ASU 2014-08”). The amendments in ASU 2014-08 provide guidance for the recognition of discontinued operations, change the requirements for reporting discontinued operations in ASC 205-20, “Discontinued Operations” (“ASC 205-20”) and require additional disclosures about discontinued operations. ASU 2014-08 is effective for the Company for periods beginning after December 15, 2014. Early application is permitted, but only for disposals that have not been reported in financial statements previously issued or available for issuance. The Company is currently evaluating the impact ASU 2014-08 but believes that this ASU will not have a significant impact on its Consolidated Financial Statements as it relates primarily as to how items are presented in the financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, “Revenue from Contracts from Customers (Topic 606)” (“ASU 2014-09”). The core principle of ASU 2014-09 is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. ASU 2014-09 is effective for the Company for periods beginning after December 15, 2017. Early application is permitted for the annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2016. The Company is currently evaluating the impact ASU 2014-09 will have on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

NOTE 2 - JUSTICE INVESTORS

On July 14, 2005, the FASB issued Staff Position (FSP) SOP 78-9-1, "Interaction of AICPA Statement of Position 78-9 and EITF Issue No. 04-5" which was codified into ASC Topic 910-810, "Real Estate – General – Consolidation", to amend the guidance in AICPA Statement of Position 78-9, "Accounting for Investments in Real Estate Ventures" (SOP 78-9) to be consistent with the consensus in Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 04-5 "Determining Whether a General Partner, or General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights" which was codified into ASC 810-20, "Consolidation", eliminated the concept of "important rights"(ASC Topic 970-810) and replaces it with the concepts of "kick out rights" and "substantive participating rights". In accordance with guidance set forth in ASC Topic 970-20, Portsmouth has applied the principles of accounting applicable for investments in subsidiaries due to its substantial limited partnership interest and general partnership rights and has consolidated the financial statements of Justice with those of the Company effective as of July 1, 2006. For the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the results of operations for Justice were consolidated with those of the Company.

Effective December 1, 2008, Portsmouth and Evon, as the two general partners of Justice, entered into a 2008 Amendment to the Limited Partnership agreement (the Amendment) that provided for a change in the respective roles of the general partners. Pursuant to the Amendment, Portsmouth assumed the role of managing general partner and Evon continued on as the co-general partner of Justice. The Amendment was ratified by approximately 98% of the limited partnership interests. The Partnership Agreement was amended and restated in its entirety to comply with the new provisions of the California Corporations Code known as the "Uniform Limited Partnership Act of 2008." The Amendment did not result in any material modifications of the rights or obligations of the general and limited partners. The Amendment also provides that future amendments to the limited partnership agreement may be made only upon the consent of the general partners and at least seventy five percent (75%) of the interests of the limited partners. Consent of at least 75% of the interests of the limited partners is required to remove a general partner pursuant to the Amendment.

Concurrent with the Amendment, a new General Partner Compensation Agreement (the Compensation Agreement) was entered into on December 1, 2008, among Justice, Portsmouth and Evon to terminate and supersede all prior compensation agreements for the general partners. Pursuant to the Compensation Agreement, the general partners of Justice will be entitled to receive an amount equal to 1.5% of the gross annual revenues of the partnership (as defined), less \$75,000 to be used as a contribution toward the cost of Justice engaging an asset manager. In no event shall the annual compensation be less than a minimum base of approximately \$285,000, with eighty percent (80%) of that amount being allocated to Portsmouth for its services as managing general partner and twenty percent (20%) allocated to Evon as the co-general partner. Compensation earned by the general partners in each calendar year in excess of the minimum base will be payable in equal fifty percent (50%) shares to Portsmouth and Evon. As described below, the Compensation Agreement was amended upon the completion of the Offer to Redeem on December 18, 2013.

In December 2013, the Partnership determined to restructure its ownership to facilitate a refinancing of the Hotel and redeem the interests of certain Partners, including Evon. In the course of this refinancing, restructuring and redemption, the Partnership created Justice Holdings Company, LLC (“Holdings”), a Delaware Limited Liability Company, Justice Operating Company, LLC (“Operating”) and Justice Mezzanine Company, LLC (“Mezzanine”). Holdings and Mezzanine are both wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Partnership; Operating is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mezzanine. Mezzanine is the Mezzanine borrower and in December 2013, the Partnership conveyed ownership of the Hotel to Operating.

On December 18, 2013, the Partnership completed an Offer to Redeem any and all limited partnership interests not held by Portsmouth and the Loan Agreements, as defined below. In addition, the Partnership approved amendments to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership, which amendments became effective upon the completion of the Offer to Redeem and the consummation of the Loan Agreements. Such amendments are described below. As a result, Portsmouth, which prior to the Offer to Redeem owned 50% of the then outstanding limited partnership interests now controls approximately 93% of the voting interest in Justice and is now its sole General Partner.

Pursuant to the Offer to Redeem, the Partnership has accepted tenders, for cash, from Evon, a general partner and seventy-three of the limited partners representing approximately 29.173% of partnership interests outstanding prior to the Offer to Redeem for \$1,385,000 for each 1% tendered. On December 19, 2013, Justice distributed the amounts due each of these former partners pursuant to the terms of the tender offer.

In addition, the Partnership has accepted the election of holders of approximately 17.146% of the limited partnership interests outstanding prior to the Offer to Redeem to participate in an alternate redemption structure. Under that alternative redemption structure, the Partnership paid to Holdings \$1,385,000 for each 1% tendered. Those partners who elected the alternative redemption structure may within 12 months of December 18, 2013, designate property for Holdings to purchase and then require Holdings to transfer that property to the partner in redemption of that partner's interest in the Partnership. The governing agreement also provides for other possible methods of redeeming the interests of the partners who elected the alternate redemption structure. During the year ended June 30, 2014, a total of \$2,928,000 was redeemed under the alternative redemption structure. As of June 30, 2014, the current and deferred payments related to the alternative redemption structure, which are held by Justice's wholly owned subsidiary, Holdings, are classified as restricted cash and, together with the expenses discussed below, total \$16,163,000 and are classified on the balance sheet as redemption payable.

The Partnership incurred approximately \$6,681,000 in restructuring costs relating to the Offer to Redeem and related financing transactions, including a one-time management fee of \$1,550,000, approximately \$431,000 in legal, accounting and other professional expenses, and payment of a Documentary Transfer Tax of approximately \$4.7 million to the City and County of San Francisco ("CCSF"). CCSF required payment of the Documentary Transfer Tax as a condition to record the transfer of the Hotel to Operating and other documents related to the Loan Agreements. While the Partnership believes the amount of Documentary Transfer tax that was assessed by CCSF was incorrect, the tax was paid, under protest, to allow for the consummation of the redemption transaction, the Loan Agreements and the recording of all related documents. The Partnership has challenged CCSF's imposition of the tax and filed a refund claim with the CCSF. No prediction can be made as to whether CCSF's calculation of the tax will be upheld, or whether any portion of the tax will be refunded.

In connection with the Offer to Redeem, the Partnership retired existing debt and replaced it with lower-yielding loans, the proceeds of which were used to fund the Offer to Redeem and to provide for additional working capital for the Hotel. The Partnership incurred a loss on the extinguishment of debt of \$3,910,000 which included a yield maintenance (prepayment penalty) expense of \$3,808,000 and a write-off of capitalized loan costs on the refinanced debt of approximately \$102,000.

As a result of the ownership structure implemented in December 2013, the Partnership is the indirect sole owner of a 543-room hotel property located at 750 Kearny Street, San Francisco, California, now known as the Hilton San Francisco Financial District (the Hotel) and related facilities including a five level underground parking garage. The Hotel is operated by Operating as a full service Hilton brand hotel pursuant to a Franchise License Agreement with Hilton Hotels Corporation. Operating also has a Management Agreement with Prism Hospitality L.P. (Prism) to perform management functions for the Hotel. The management agreement with Prism had an original term of ten years and can be terminated at any time with or without cause by the Partnership owner. Effective January 2014, the management agreement with Prism was amended by the Partnership. Effective December 1, 2013, GMP Management, Inc., a company owned by a Justice limited partner and related party, also provides management services for the Partnership pursuant to a Management Services Agreement, which is for a term of 3 years, but which can be terminated earlier by the Partnership for cause.

As of June 30, 2014, the Partnership had an accumulated deficit. That accumulated deficit is primarily attributable to the redemption of certain limited partners, effective December 18, 2013. The Partnership utilized the book value method to record the redemption of the limited partners. Under book value (bonus) method the remaining partners continue the existing partnership, recording no changes to the book values of the partnership's assets and liabilities. As a result, any revaluation of the existing partnership's assets or liabilities that might be undertaken is solely to determine the settlement price to the outgoing partner. The partner's withdrawal from the partnership is recorded by adjusting the remaining partners' capital accounts with the amount of the bonus, which is allocated according to their income sharing ratio. The amount of adjustment is equal to the difference between the settlement price paid to the withdrawing partner and the book value of his share of total partnership capital at the time he withdraws. Justice Partner's capital was reduced by approximately \$64.1 million for the redemption.

Management believes that the revenues and cash flows expected to be generated from the operations of the Hotel, garage and leases will be sufficient to meet all of the Partnership's current and future obligations and financial requirements. Management also believes that there is significant appreciated value in the Hotel and other real estate properties in excess of the net book value to support additional borrowings, if necessary.

NOTE 3 – INVESTMENT IN HOTEL, NET

Investment in hotel consisted of the following as of:

June 30, 2014	Cost	Accumulated Depreciation	Net Book Value
Land	\$2,738,000	\$-	\$2,738,000
Furniture and equipment	23,306,000	(20,072,000)	3,234,000
Building and improvements	59,828,000	(23,903,000)	35,925,000
	\$85,872,000	\$(43,975,000)	\$41,897,000

June 30, 2013	Cost	Accumulated Depreciation	Net Book Value
Land	\$2,738,000	\$-	\$2,738,000
Furniture and equipment	22,271,000	(19,310,000)	2,961,000
Building and improvements	58,875,000	(22,846,000)	36,029,000
	\$83,884,000	\$(42,156,000)	\$41,728,000

The Partnership leases certain equipment under agreements that are classified as capital leases. The cost of equipment under capital leases was \$2,131,000 at June 30, 2014 and 2013. The accumulated depreciation on capital leases was \$2,098,000 and \$1,930,000 as of June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

In December 2013, Justice determined to substantially demolish the Hotel's ground-level Spa (with the exception of the ceilings and certain mechanical systems) to build out additional meeting rooms, a technology lounge and re-locate Hotel offices. Justice believes this will result in a greater guest experience and increases in operating revenues. Justice recorded a loss of approximately \$738,000 as a disposal of assets on the closure of the Hotel's Spa on the lobby level.

NOTE 4 - INVESTMENT IN REAL ESTATE, NET

At June 30, 2014, the Company's investment in real estate consisted of twenty-three properties located throughout the United States. These properties include eighteen apartment complexes, two single-family houses as strategic investments, and two commercial real estate properties. The Company also owns two unimproved real estate properties located in Austin, Texas and Maui, Hawaii.

Investment in real estate included the following:

As of June 30,	2014	2013
Land	\$25,781,000	\$25,781,000
Buildings, improvements and equipment	74,039,000	73,453,000
Accumulated depreciation	(36,123,000)	(33,972,000)
	\$63,697,000	\$65,262,000

In February 2014, the Company entered into a contract to sell its 249 unit apartment complex located in Austin, Texas and the adjacent unimproved land for \$15,800,000. The purchase/sale agreement provides that purchaser can terminate the agreement with or without cause, however, the potential purchaser would forfeit the earnest money (\$208,000) and additional consideration (\$250,000) totaling \$458,000. The purchaser also has the option to extend the agreement. As of August 31, 2014, the Company has received the \$458,000. The Company is currently in the process of negotiating another extension of the purchase agreement.

NOTE 5 - INVESTMENT IN MARKETABLE SECURITIES

The Company's investment in marketable securities consists primarily of corporate equities. The Company has also periodically invested in corporate bonds and income producing securities, which may include interests in real estate based companies and REITs, where financial benefit could inure to its shareholders through income and/or capital gain.

At June 30, 2014 and 2013, all of the Company's marketable securities are classified as trading securities. The change in the unrealized gains and losses on these investments are included in earnings. Trading securities are summarized as follows:

Investment	Cost	Gross Unrealized Gain	Gross Unrealized Loss	Net Unrealized Gain	Fair Value
As of June 30, 2014					
Corporate Equities	\$ 10,369,000	\$ 2,717,000	\$ (1,666,000)	\$ 1,051,000	\$ 11,420,000
As of June 30, 2013					
Corporate Equities	\$ 11,314,000	\$ 3,391,000	\$ (2,081,000)	\$ 1,310,000	\$ 12,624,000

As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company had \$1,615,000 and \$1,670,000, respectively, of unrealized losses related to securities held for over one year.

Net loss on marketable securities on the statement of operations is comprised of realized and unrealized gains (losses). Below is the composition of the two components for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

For the year ended June 30,	2014	2013
Realized gain on marketable securities	\$870,000	\$147,000
Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities	128,000	(1,003,000)
Net gain (loss) on marketable securities	\$998,000	\$(856,000)

NOTE 6 – OTHER INVESTMENTS, NET

The Company may also invest, with the approval of the Securities Investment Committee and other Company guidelines, in private investment equity funds and other unlisted securities, such as convertible notes through private placements. Those investments in non-marketable securities are carried at cost on the Company's balance sheet as part of other investments, net of other than temporary impairment losses.

Other investments, net consist of the following:

Type	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013
Preferred stock - Comstock, at cost	\$ 13,231,000	\$ 13,231,000
Private equity hedge fund, at cost	1,650,000	1,774,000
Corporate debt and equity instruments, at cost	269,000	269,000
Other preferred stock	480,000	-
Warrants - at fair value	207,000	6,000
	\$ 15,837,000	\$ 15,280,000

As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company had investments in corporate debt and equity instruments which had attached warrants that were considered derivative instruments. These warrants have an allocated cost basis of \$420,000 and \$400,000, respectively, as of June 30, 2014 and 2013 and a fair value of \$207,000 and \$6,000, respectively, as of June 30, 2014 and 2013. During the year ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company had an unrealized gain of \$181,000 and an unrealized loss of \$216,000, respectively, related to these warrants.

NOTE 7 - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The carrying values of the Company's financial instruments not required to be carried at fair value on a recurring basis approximate fair value due to their short maturities (i.e., accounts receivable, other assets, accounts payable and other liabilities, due to securities broker and obligations for securities sold) or the nature and terms of the obligation (i.e., other notes payable and mortgage notes payable).

The assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis are as follows:

As of June 30, 2014	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Other investments - warrants	\$-	\$ -	\$ 207,000	\$ 207,000
Investment in marketable securities:				
Basic materials	5,081,000	-	-	5,081,000
Technology	1,395,000	-	-	1,395,000
REITs and real estate companies	1,001,000	-	-	1,001,000
Financial services	820,000	-	-	820,000
Other	3,123,000	-	-	3,123,000
	11,420,000	-	-	11,420,000
	\$ 11,420,000	\$ -	\$ 207,000	\$ 11,627,000

As of June 30, 2013	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Cash equivalents - money market	\$3,000	\$ -	\$-	\$3,000
Other investments - warrants		-	6,000	6,000
Investment in marketable securities:				
Basic materials	4,733,000	-	-	4,733,000
Technology	2,698,000	-	-	2,698,000
Financial services	2,261,000	-	-	2,261,000
REITs and real estate companies	878,000	-	-	878,000
Other	2,054,000	-	-	2,054,000
	12,624,000	-	-	12,624,000
	\$12,627,000	\$ -	\$6,000	\$12,633,000

The fair values of investments in marketable securities are determined by the most recently traded price of each security at the balance sheet date. The fair value of the warrants was determined based upon a Black-Scholes option valuation model.

Financial assets that are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis and are not included in the tables above include "Other investments in non-marketable securities," that were initially measured at cost and have been written down to fair value as a result of impairment or adjusted to record the fair value of new instruments received (i.e., preferred shares) in exchange for old instruments (i.e., debt instruments). The following table shows the fair value hierarchy for these assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as follows:

Assets	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	June 30, 2014	Net loss for the year ended June 30, 2014
Other non-marketable investments	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,630,000	\$ 15,630,000	\$ (101,000)

Assets	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	June 30, 2013	Net loss for the year ended June 30, 2013
Other non-marketable investments	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,274,000	\$ 15,274,000	\$ (105,000)

Other investments in non-marketable securities are carried at cost net of any impairment loss. The Company has no significant influence or control over the entities that issue these investments. These investments are reviewed on a periodic basis for other-than-temporary impairment. When determining the fair value of these investments on a non-recurring basis, the Company uses valuation techniques such as the market approach and the unobservable inputs include factors such as conversion ratios and the stock price of the underlying convertible instruments. The Company reviews several factors to determine whether a loss is other-than-temporary. These factors include but are not limited to: (i) the length of time an investment is in an unrealized loss position, (ii) the extent to which fair value is less than cost, (iii) the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer and (iv) our ability to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

NOTE 8 – OTHER ASSETS, NET

Other assets consist of the following as of June 30:

	2014	2013
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 1,964,000	\$ 1,957,000

Prepaid expenses	1,120,000	581,000
Occupancy tax deposit	1,061,000	-
Inventory - hotel	653,000	918,000
Miscellaneous assets, net	2,961,000	2,435,000
Total other assets	\$7,759,000	\$5,891,000

Amortization expense of loan fees and franchise costs for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$88,000 and \$72,000, respectively.

NOTE 9 – OTHER NOTES PAYABLE

The Partnership had a \$2,500,000 unsecured revolving line of credit facility with East West Bank that was to mature on April 30, 2010. Effective April 29, 2010, the Partnership obtained a modification from the bank which converted its revolving line of credit facility to a term loan.

The modification provided that the Partnership will pay the balance on its line of credit facility over a period of four years, to mature on April 30, 2014. This term loan called for monthly principal and interest payments, calculated on a six-year amortization schedule. Pursuant to the modification, the annual floating interest rate was reduced by 0.5% to the WSJ Prime Rate plus 2.5% (with a minimum floor rate of 5.0% per annum). The term note was paid off in full in December 2013 in connection to the partnership redemption.

During fiscal 2013, Justice entered into a financing agreement with Ace Parking Management, Inc. to purchase equipment. The note bears 11.5% interest and is payable in equal monthly installments (principal and interest) through April 2018. As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, the note payable balance was \$182,000 and \$219,000, respectively.

The Partnership had short-term financing agreements with a financial institution for the payment of its general, property, and workers' compensation insurance. The notes payable under these financing agreements bore interest at 4% per annum and was payable in equal monthly installments (principal and interest) through July 2013. This note payable was paid in full during the year ended June 30, 2014. The Partnership obtained a new short term financing agreement with a financial institution for such insurance during the year ended June 30, 2014. The notes payable under these financing agreements bear interest at 5.0% per annum and was payable in equal monthly installments (principal and interest) through July 2014. The outstanding balance was \$91,000 and \$71,000 as of June 2014 and 2013, respectively.

NOTE 10 - MORTGAGE NOTES PAYABLE

On December 18, 2013: (i) Justice Operating Company, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("Operating"), entered into a loan agreement ("Mortgage Loan Agreement") with Bank of America ("Mortgage Lender"); and (ii) Justice Mezzanine Company, a Delaware limited liability company ("Mezzanine"), entered into a mezzanine loan agreement ("Mezzanine Loan Agreement" and, together with the Mortgage Loan Agreement, the "Loan Agreements") with ISBI San Francisco Mezz Lender LLC ("Mezzanine Lender" and, together with Mortgage Lender, the "Lenders"). The Partnership is the sole member of Mezzanine, and Mezzanine is the sole member of Operating.

The Loan Agreements provide for a \$97,000,000 Mortgage Loan and a \$20,000,000 Mezzanine Loan. The proceeds of the Loan Agreements were used to fund the redemption of limited partnership interests described above and the pay-off of the prior mortgage.

The Mortgage Loan is secured by the Partnership's principal asset, the Hilton San Francisco-Financial District (the "Property"). The Mortgage Loan bears an interest rate of 5.28% per annum and matures on January 1, 2024. The term of the Mortgage Loan is 10 years with interest only due in the first three years and equal monthly principal and interest payments based upon a 30 year amortization schedule for the remaining seven years of the Mortgage Loan term. The

Mortgage Loan also requires payments for impounds related to property tax, insurance and capital improvement reserves. As additional security for the Mortgage Loan, there is a limited guaranty (“Mortgage Guaranty”) executed by the Company in favor of Mortgage Lender.

The Mezzanine Loan is secured by the Operating membership interest held by Mezzanine and is subordinated to the Mortgage Loan. The Mezzanine Loan bears interest at 9.75% per annum and matures on January 1, 2024. Interest only is payable monthly. As additional security for the Mezzanine Loan, there is a limited guaranty executed by the Company in favor of Mezzanine Lender (the “Mezzanine Guaranty” and, together with the Mortgage Guaranty, the “Guaranties”).

The Guaranties are limited to what are commonly referred to as “bad boy” acts, including: (i) fraud or intentional misrepresentations; (ii) gross negligence or willful misconduct; (iii) misapplication or misappropriation of rents, security deposits, insurance or condemnation proceeds; and (iv) failure to pay taxes or insurance. The Guaranties will be full recourse guaranties under identified circumstances, including failure to maintain “single purpose” status which is a factor in a consolidation of Operating or Mezzanine in a bankruptcy of another person, transfer or encumbrance of the Property in violation of the applicable loan documents, Operating or Mezzanine incurring debts that are not permitted, and the Property becoming subject to a bankruptcy proceeding. Pursuant to the Guaranties, the Company is required to maintain a certain minimum net worth and liquidity. As of June 30, 2014, the Company is in compliance with both requirements.

Each of the Loan Agreements contains customary representations and warranties, events of default, reporting requirements, affirmative covenants and negative covenants, which impose restrictions on, among other things, organizational changes of the respective borrower, operations of the Property, agreements with affiliates and third parties. Each of the Loan Agreements also provides for mandatory prepayments under certain circumstances (including casualty or condemnation events) and voluntary prepayments, subject to satisfaction of prescribed conditions set forth in the Loan Agreements.

In June 2014, the Company obtained a second mortgage on its 151-unit apartment located in Morris County, New Jersey in the amount of \$2,740,000. The term of the loan is approximately 8 years with the interest rate fixed at 4.51%. The loan matures in August 2022.

In June 2014, the Company obtained a seven month extension of its \$992,000 mortgage note payable on the first commercial building located in Los Angeles, California that matured in June 2014. The loan was extended to January 2015. Interest rate on the note remains the same.

In April 2014, the Company refinanced its \$526,000 mortgage note payable on the second commercial building located in Los Angeles, California for a new 3-year interest only mortgage in the amount of \$1,100,000. The Company received net proceeds of \$556,000. The interest rate on the new loan is fixed at 3.25% per annum and the note matures in May 2017.

In July 2013, the Company refinanced its \$466,000 adjustable rate mortgage note payable on its 8-unit apartment located in Los Angeles, California for a new 30-year mortgage in the amount of \$500,000. The interest rate on the new loan is fixed at 3.50% per annum for the first five years and variable for the remaining of the term. The note matures in July 2043.

Mortgage notes payable secured by real estate and hotel As of June 30, 2014 and 2013 are summarized as follows:

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

As of June 30, 2014								
Property	Number of Units	Note Origination Date	Note Maturity Date		Mortgage Balance	Interest Rate		
SF Hotel	543 rooms	December 2013	January 2024		\$ 97,000,000	5.28	%	
SF Hotel	543 rooms	December 2013	January 2024		20,000,000	9.75	%	
Mortgage notes payable - hotel					\$ 117,000,000			
Austin	249	June 2003	July 2023		\$ 6,505,000	5.46	%	
Florence	157	June 2005	July 2015		3,722,000	4.96	%	
Las Colinas	358	November 2012	December 2022		18,970,000	3.73	%	
Morris County	151	July 2012	July 2022		10,279,000	3.51	%	
Morris County	151	June 2014	August 2022		2,740,000	4.51	%	
St. Louis	264	May 2013	May 2023		5,943,000	4.05	%	
Los Angeles	4	September 2012	September 2042		383,000	4.25	%	
Los Angeles	2	September 2012	September 2042		388,000	4.25	%	
Los Angeles	1	August 2012	September 2042		417,000	4.25	%	
Los Angeles	31	January 2010	December 2020		5,475,000	4.85	%	
Los Angeles	30	August 2007	September 2022		6,399,000	5.97	%	
Los Angeles	27	November 2010	December 2020		3,085,000	4.85	%	
Los Angeles	14	April 2011	March 2021		1,780,000	5.89	%	
Los Angeles	12	December 2011	January 2022		2,008,000	4.25	%	
Los Angeles	9	April 2011	May 2021		1,427,000	5.60	%	
Los Angeles	9	April 2011	March 2021		1,213,000	5.89	%	
Los Angeles	8	July 2013	July 2043		491,000	3.50	%	
Los Angeles	7	August 2012	September 2042		949,000	3.85	%	
Los Angeles	4	August 2012	September 2042		649,000	3.85	%	
Los Angeles	1	September 2012	September 2042		445,000	4.25	%	
Los Angeles	Office	March 2009	March 2015		992,000	5.02	%	
Los Angeles	Office	April 2014	May 2017		1,100,000	3.25	%	
Mortgage notes payable - real estate					\$ 75,360,000			

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

As of June 30, 2013								
Property	Number of Units	Note Origination Date	Note Maturity Date			Mortgage Balance	Interest Rate	
SF Hotel	543 rooms	July 2005	August 2015			\$ 26,043,000	5.22	%
SF Hotel	543 rooms	March 2005	August 2015			17,370,000	6.42	%
Mortgage notes payable - hotel						\$ 43,413,000		
Austin	249	June 2003	July 2023			\$ 6,694,000	5.46	%
Florence	157	June 2005	July 2014			3,802,000	4.96	%
Las Colinas	358	November 2012	December 2022			19,326,000	3.73	%
Morris County	151	July 2012	July 2022			10,556,000	3.51	%
St. Louis	264	May 2013	May 2023			6,045,000	4.05	%
Los Angeles	4	September 2012	September 2042			390,000	4.25	%
Los Angeles	2	September 2012	September 2042			395,000	4.25	%
Los Angeles	1	August 2012	September 2042			425,000	4.25	%
Los Angeles	31	January 2010	December 2020			5,570,000	4.85	%
Los Angeles	30	August 2007	September 2022			6,505,000	5.97	%
Los Angeles	27	November 2010	December 2020			3,138,000	4.85	%
Los Angeles	14	April 2011	March 2021			1,805,000	5.89	%
Los Angeles	12	December 2011	January 2022			2,045,000	4.25	%
Los Angeles	9	April 2011	May 2021			1,447,000	5.60	%
Los Angeles	9	April 2011	March 2021			1,230,000	5.89	%
Los Angeles	8	May 2001	November 2029			466,000	2.49	%
Los Angeles	7	August 2012	September 2042			967,000	3.85	%
Los Angeles	4	August 2012	September 2042			661,000	3.85	%
Los Angeles	1	September 2012	September 2042			453,000	4.25	%
Los Angeles	Office	March 2009	March 2014			1,036,000	5.02	%
Los Angeles	Office	September 2000	December 2013			556,000	6.00	%
Mortgage notes payable - real estate						\$ 73,512,000		

In May 2013, the Company refinanced its \$5,671,000 mortgage note payable on its 264-unit apartment building located in St. Louis, Missouri for a new 10-year mortgage in the amount of \$6,045,000. The interest rate on the new loan is fixed at 4.05% per annum for ten years, with monthly principal and interest payments based on a 30-year amortization schedule. The note matures in May 2023.

In November 2012, the Company refinanced its \$17,509,000 mortgage note payable on its 358-unit apartment building located in Las Colinas, Texas for a new 10-year mortgage in the amount of \$19,500,000. The interest rate on the new loan is fixed at 3.73% per annum for ten years, with monthly principal and interest payments based on a 30-year amortization schedule. The note matures in December 2022. The Company received net proceeds of

approximately \$529,000 from the refinancing.

In September 2012, the Company refinanced its \$388,000 adjustable rate mortgage note payable on its 2-unit apartment located in Los Angeles, California for a new 30-year fixed rate mortgage in the amount of \$400,000. The interest rate on the new loan is 4.25% per annum. The note matures in September 2042.

In July 2012, the Company refinanced its \$9,010,000 mortgage note payable on its 151-unit apartment building located in Morris County, New Jersey for a new 10-year mortgage in the amount of \$10,780,000. The interest rate on the new loan is fixed at 3.51% per annum for ten years, with monthly principal and interest payments based on a 25-year amortization schedule. The note matures in August 2022. The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$1,513,000 from the refinancing.

In August 2012, the Company refinanced two mortgages on two properties located in Los Angeles, California with mortgage note payable balances totaling \$1,583,000 for two new 30-year mortgages totaling \$1,650,000. The interest rate on the two loans is fixed at 3.85% for the first five years and variable thereafter, with monthly principal and interest payments based on a 30-year amortization schedule. The notes mature in September 2042.

In August 2012, the Company refinanced three mortgages on three properties located in Los Angeles, California with mortgage note payable balances totaling \$1,243,000 for three new 30-year mortgages totaling \$1,285,000. The interest rate on the three loans is fixed at 4.25% for the first five years and variable thereafter, with monthly principal and interest payments based on a 30-year amortization schedule. The notes mature in September 2042.

Future minimum payments for all notes payable are as follows:

For the year ending June 30,	
2015	\$2,845,000
2016	5,130,000
2017	2,339,000
2018	3,088,000
2019	3,239,000
Thereafter	176,001,000
	\$192,642,000

NOTE 11 – GARAGE OPERATIONS

The Partnership formerly leased the Hotel’s parking garage from its owner, Evon, under a lease that was to expire in November 2010. Effective October 1, 2008, Justice and Evon entered into an installment sale agreement whereby Justice purchased all of Evon’s right, title, and interest in the remaining term of the garage lease and other related assets. Justice also agreed to assume Evon’s contract with Ace Parking Management, Inc. (Ace Parking) for the management of the garage.

The garage is currently operated by Ace Parking for the Partnership pursuant to a Parking Facilities Management Agreement (the “Parking Agreement”). The initial term of the Parking Agreement was to expire on October 31, 2010, with an option to renew for another five-year term.

On October 31, 2010, the Partnership and Ace Parking entered into an amendment of the Parking Agreement to extend the term for a period of sixty two (62) months, commencing on November 1, 2010 and terminating December 31, 2015, subject to either party’s right to terminate the agreement without cause on ninety (90) days written notice. The monthly management fee of \$2,000 and the accounting fee of \$250 remain the same, but the amendment modified how the Excess Profit Fee to be paid to Ace Parking would be calculated.

The amendment provides that, if net operating income (NOI) from the garage operations exceeds \$1,800,000 but is less than \$2,000,000, then Ace Parking will be entitled to an Excess Profit Fee of one percent (1%) of the total annual NOI. If the annual NOI is \$2,000,000 or higher, Ace Parking will be entitled to an Excess Profit Fee equal to two percent (2%) of the total annual NOI. The garage's NOI exceeded the annual NOI of \$2,000,000 for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. Base Management and incentive fees to Ace Parking amounted to \$44,000 for each of the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

NOTE 12 – MANAGEMENT AGREEMENTS

On February 2, 2007, the Partnership entered into an agreement with Prism to manage and operate the Hotel as its agent. The agreement was effective for a term of ten years, unless the agreement was extended or earlier terminated as provided in the agreement. Under the management agreement, the Partnership was required to pay the base management fees of up to 2.5% of gross operating revenues of the Hotel (i.e., room, food and beverage, and other operating departments) for the fiscal year. Of that amount, 1.75% of the gross operating revenues was paid monthly. The balance or 0.75% was paid only to the extent that the partially adjusted net operating income (net operating income less capital expenditures) for the fiscal year exceeded the amount of the Hotel's return for the fiscal year. The base management fee was limited to 1.75% for the period ended January 31, 2014 and year ended June 30, 2013, respectively. In January 2014 the Partnership amended the management agreement to a fixed rate of \$20,000 per month. It can also earn an incentive fee of \$10,500 for each month that the revenues per room of the Hotel exceed the average revenues per room of a defined set of competing hotels. Management fees paid to Prism during the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 were \$579,000 and \$754,000, respectively.

Effective December 1, 2013, GMP Management, Inc. (GMP), a company owned by a Justice limited partner and related party, also provides management services for the Partnership pursuant to a Management Services Agreement. The management agreement with GMP has a term of 3 years, but may be terminated earlier by the Partnership for cause. Under the agreement, GMP is required to advise the Partnership on the management and operation of the hotel; administer the Partnership's contracts, leases, agreements with hotel managers and franchisors and other contracts and agreements; provide administrative and asset management services, oversee financial reporting, and maintain offices at the Hotel in order to facilitate provision of services. GMP is paid an annual base management fee of \$325,000 per year, increasing by 5% per year, payable in monthly installments, and to reimbursement for reasonable and necessary costs and expenses incurred by GMP in performing its obligations under the agreement. During the year ended June 30, 2014, GMP was reimbursed for \$235,000, for the salaries, benefits and local payroll taxes for three key employees. Management fees paid to GMP during the year ended June 30, 2014 were \$424,000.

NOTE 13 – CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK

Travel agents and airlines made up 50%, or \$915,000, and 30%, or \$595,000, of accounts receivable at June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Hotel had two customers that accounted for 65%, or \$1,203,000, of accounts receivable at June 30, 2014. The Hotel had one customer who accounted for 32%, or \$525,000, of accounts receivable at June 30, 2013.

The Company maintains its cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash with various financial institutions that are monitored regularly for credit quality. At times, such cash and cash equivalents holdings may be in excess of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other federally insured limits.

NOTE 14 – INCOME TAXES

The provision for the Company's income tax benefit (expense) is comprised of the following:

For the years ended June 30,	2014	2013
Federal		
Current tax expense	\$(51,000)	\$(62,000)
Deferred tax benefit	2,748,000	183,000
	2,697,000	121,000

State		
Current tax expense	(56,000)	(54,000)
Deferred tax benefit	926,000	180,000
	870,000	126,000
	\$3,567,000	\$247,000

The provision for income taxes differs from the amount of income tax computed by applying the federal statutory income tax rate to loss before taxes as a result of the following differences:

For the years ended June 30,	2014	2013
Statutory federal tax rate	\$3,507,000	\$(129,000)
State income taxes, net of federal tax benefit	552,000	69,000
Dividend received deduction	245,000	255,000
Noncontrolling interest	(351,000)	450,000
Valuation allowance	(153,000)	(397,000)
Other	(233,000)	(1,000)
	\$3,567,000	\$247,000

The components of the deferred tax asset and liabilities are as follows:

	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 10,110,000	\$ 8,625,000
Capital loss carryforwards	940,000	896,000
Investment impairment reserve	1,565,000	1,541,000
Accruals and reserves	968,000	886,000
Depreciation and amortization	571,000	528,000
State taxes	707,000	-
Valuation allowance	(1,847,000)	(1,695,000)
	13,014,000	10,781,000
Deferred tax assets (liabilities):		
Deferred gains on real estate sale	(9,633,000)	(9,612,000)
Unrealized gains on marketable securities	(3,789,000)	(3,804,000)
Equity earnings	(535,000)	(1,816,000)
State taxes	-	(166,000)
	(13,957,000)	(15,398,000)
Net deferred tax liability	\$(943,000)	\$(4,617,000)

The deferred tax valuation allowance increased by \$152,000 and \$397,000, respectively, during the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

As of June 30, 2014, the Company had estimated net operating losses (NOLs) of \$25,165,000 and \$18,086,000 for federal and state purposes, respectively. Below is the break-down of the NOLs for Intergroup, Santa Fe and Portsmouth. The carryforward expires in varying amounts through the year 2024.

	Federal	State
InterGroup	\$3,922,000	\$1,502,000

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Santa Fe	6,879,000	3,304,000
Portsmouth	14,364,000	13,280,000
	\$25,165,000	\$18,086,000

The Company is subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as to income tax in multiple state jurisdictions. Federal income tax returns of the Company are subject to IRS examination for the 2010 through 2013 tax years. State income tax returns are subject to examination for the 2009 through 2013 tax years.

Utilization of the net operating loss carryover may be subject a substantial annual limitation if it should be determined that there has been a change in the ownership of more than 50 percent of the value of the Company's stock, pursuant to Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and similar state provisions. The annual limitation may result in the expiration of net operating loss carryovers before utilization.

As of June 30, 2014, there were no uncertain tax positions. Management does not anticipate any future adjustments in the next twelve months which would result in a material change to its tax position. For the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company did not have any interest and penalties.

NOTE 15 – SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company operates in three reportable segments, the operation of the hotel (“Hotel Operations”), the operation of its multi-family residential properties (“Real Estate Operations”) and the investment of its cash in marketable securities and other investments (“Investment Transactions”). These three operating segments, as presented in the financial statements, reflect how management internally reviews each segment’s performance. Management also makes operational and strategic decisions based on this information.

Information below represents reported segments for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. Segment income (loss) from Hotel operations consists of the operation of the hotel and operation of the garage. Segment income from real estate operations consists of the operation of the rental properties. Income (loss) from investments consists of net investment gain (loss), dividend and interest income and investment related expenses.

As of and for the year ended June 30, 2014	Hotel Operations	Real Estate Operations	Investment Transactions	Other	Total
Revenues	\$50,963,000	\$16,332,000	\$-	\$-	\$67,295,000
Segment operating expenses	(48,764,000)	(8,982,000)	-	(2,168,000)	(59,914,000)
Segment income (loss) from operations	2,199,000	7,350,000	-	(2,168,000)	7,381,000
Interest expense - mortgage	(4,960,000)	(3,026,000)	-	-	(7,986,000)
Interest expense - occupancy tax	(328,000)	-	-	-	(328,000)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(3,910,000)	-	-	-	(3,910,000)
Loss on disposal of assets	(1,092,000)	-	-	-	(1,092,000)
Depreciation and amortization expense	(2,573,000)	(2,150,000)	-	-	(4,723,000)
Gain from investments	-	-	343,000	-	343,000
Income tax benefit	-	-	-	3,567,000	3,567,000
Net income (loss)	\$(10,664,000)	\$2,174,000	\$343,000	\$1,399,000	\$(6,748,000)
Total assets	\$41,897,000	\$63,697,000	\$27,257,000	\$32,609,000	\$165,460,000

As of and for the year ended June 30, 2013	Hotel Operations	Real Estate Operations	Investment Transactions	Other	Total
Revenues	\$46,565,000	\$15,474,000	\$-	\$-	\$62,039,000
Segment operating expenses	(38,635,000)	(8,529,000)	-	(1,949,000)	(49,113,000)
Segment income (loss) from operations	7,930,000	6,945,000	-	(1,949,000)	12,926,000
Interest expense	(2,612,000)	(3,556,000)	-	-	(6,168,000)
Depreciation and amortization expense	(2,454,000)	(2,123,000)	-	-	(4,577,000)

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Loss from investments	-	-	(1,803,000)	-	(1,803,000)
Income tax benefit	-	-	-	247,000	247,000
Net income (loss)	\$2,864,000	\$1,266,000	\$(1,803,000)	\$(1,702,000)	\$625,000
Total assets	\$41,728,000	\$65,262,000	\$27,904,000	\$9,792,000	\$144,686,000

NOTE 16 – STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

The Company follows the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 123 (Revised), "Share-Based Payments" ("SFAS No. 123R"), which was primarily codified into ASC Topic 718 "Compensation – Stock Compensation", which addresses accounting for equity-based compensation arrangements, including employee stock options and restricted stock units.

The Company currently has three equity compensation plans, each of which has been approved by the Company's stockholders. The InterGroup Corporation 2008 Restricted Stock Unit Plan (the "2008 RSU Plan"), the InterGroup Corporation 2007 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the "2007 Stock Plan") and the Intergroup 2010 Omnibus Employee Incentive Plan are described below. Any outstanding options issued under the Key Employee Plan or the Non-Employee Director Plan remain effective in accordance with their terms.

Intergroup Corporation 2010 Omnibus Employee Incentive Plan

On February 24, 2010, the shareholders of the Company approved The Intergroup Corporation 2010 Omnibus Employee Incentive Plan (the “2010 Incentive Plan”), which was formally adopted by the Board of Directors following the annual meeting of shareholders. The Company believes that such awards better align the interests of its employees with those of its shareholders. Option awards are generally granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company’s stock at the date of grant; those option awards generally vest based on 5 years of continuous service. Certain option and share awards provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control, as defined in the 2010 Incentive Plan. The 2010 Incentive plan as modified in December 2013, authorizes a total of up to 400,000 shares of common stock to be issued as equity compensation to officers and employees of the Company in an amount and in a manner to be determined by the Compensation Committee in accordance with the terms of the 2010 Incentive Plan. The 2010 Incentive Plan authorizes the awards of several types of equity compensation including stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance awards and other stock based compensation. The 2010 Incentive Plan will expire on February 23, 2020, if not terminated sooner by the Board of Directors upon recommendation of the Compensation Committee. Any awards issued under the 2010 Incentive Plan will expire under the terms of the grant agreement.

On December 26, 2013, the Compensation Committee authorized, subject to shareholder approval, a grant of non-qualified and incentive stock options for an aggregate of 160,000 shares (the “Option Grant”) to the Company’s President and Chief Executive Officer, John V. Winfield. The stock option grant was approved by shareholders on February 19, 2014. The grant of stock options was made pursuant to, and consistent with, the 2010 Incentive Plan, as proposed to be amended. The non-qualified stock options are for 133,195 shares and have a term of ten years, expiring on December 26, 2023, with an exercise price of \$18.65 per share. The incentive stock options are for 26,805 shares and have a term of five years, expiring on December 26, 2018, with an exercise price of \$20.52 per share. In accordance with the terms of the 2010 Incentive Plan, the exercise prices were based on 100% and 110%, respectively, of the fair market value of the Company’s common stock as determined by reference to the closing price of the Company’s common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market on the date of grant. The stock options are subject to time vesting requirements, with 20% of the options vesting annually commencing on the first anniversary of the grant date.

In February 2012, the Compensation Committee awarded 90,000 stock options to the Company’s Chairman, President and Chief Executive, John V. Winfield to purchase up to 90,000 shares of common stock. The exercise price of the options is \$19.77 which is the fair value of the Company’s Common Stock as reported on NASDAQ on February 28, 2012. The options expire ten years from the date of grant. The options are subject to both time and market based vesting requirements, each of which must be satisfied before the options are fully vested and eligible to be exercised. Pursuant to the time vesting requirements, the options vest over a period of five years, with 18,000 options vesting upon each one year anniversary of the date of grant. Pursuant to the market vesting requirements, the options vest in increments of 18,000 shares upon each increase of \$2.00 or more in the market price of the Company’s common stock above the exercise price (\$19.77) of the options. To satisfy this requirement, the common stock must trade at that increased level for a period of at least ten trading days during any one quarter. As of June 30, 2014, only 18,000 of these options have met the market vesting requirements.

On March 16, 2010, the Compensation Committee authorized the grant of 100,000 stock options to the Company's Chairman, President and Chief Executive, John V. Winfield to purchase up to 100,000 shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to the 2010 Incentive Plan. The exercise price of the options is \$10.30, which is 100% of the fair market value of the Company's Common Stock as determined by reference to the closing price of the Company's Common Stock as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market on March 16, 2010, the date of grant. The options expire ten years from the date of grant, unless earlier terminated in accordance with the terms of the 2010 Incentive Plan. The options shall be subject to both time and market based vesting requirements, each of which must be satisfied before options are fully vested and eligible to be exercised. Pursuant to the time vesting requirements, the options vest over a period of five years, with 20,000 options vesting upon each one year anniversary of the date of grant. Pursuant to the market vesting requirements, the options vest in increments of 20,000 shares upon each increase of \$2.00 or more in the market price of the Company's common stock above the exercise price (\$10.30) of the options. To satisfy this requirement, the common stock must trade at that increased level for a period of at least ten trading days during any one quarter. As of June 30, 2014, all the market vesting requirements have been met.

In June 2013, an officer of the Company exercised 5,000 stock options with an exercise price of \$10.30. The company received cash proceeds of \$52,000 related to the stock option exercise. The intrinsic value of the stock options exercised was \$53,000.

During the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company recorded stock option compensation expense of \$476,000 and \$324,000, respectively, related to stock options previously issued. As of June 30, 2014, there was a total of \$1,477,000 of unamortized compensation related to stock options which is expected to be recognized over the weighted-average of 4.5 years.

Option-pricing models require the input of various subjective assumptions, including the option's expected life and the price volatility of the underlying stock. The expected stock price volatility is based on analysis of the Company's stock price history. The Company has selected to use the simplified method for estimating the expected term. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury interest rates whose term is consistent with the expected life of the stock options. No dividend yield is included as the Company has not issued any dividends and does not anticipate issuing any dividends in the future.

The following table summarizes the stock options activity from June 30, 2012 through June 30, 2014:

		Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Life	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Oustanding at	June 30, 2012	242,000	\$ 14.55	7.46 years	\$ 2,050,000
Granted		-	-		
Exercised		(5,000)	10.30		
Forfeited		-	-		
Exchanged		(15,000)	9.52		
Oustanding at	June 30, 2013	222,000	\$ 14.98	6.89 years	\$ 1,353,000
Exercisable at	June 30, 2013	105,000	\$ 13.01	1.86 years	\$ 838,000
Vested and Expected to vest at	June 30, 2013	222,000	\$ 14.98	6.89 years	\$ 1,353,000
Oustanding at	June 30, 2013	222,000	\$ 14.98	6.89 years	\$ 1,353,000
Granted		160,000	18.96		
Exercised		(15,000)	11.75		
Forfeited		-	-		
Exchanged		-	-		
Oustanding at	June 30, 2014	367,000	\$ 16.85	7.71 years	\$ 953,000
Exercisable at	June 30, 2014	92,000	\$ 11.30	5.10 years	\$ 717,000
Vested and Expected to vest at	June 30, 2014	367,000	\$ 16.85	7.71 years	\$ 953,000

The InterGroup Corporation 2007 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors

The InterGroup Corporation 2007 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the “2007 Stock Plan”) was approved by the shareholders of the Company on February 21, 2007, and was thereafter adopted by the Board of Directors. The 2007 Stock Plan will terminate upon the earlier of the date all shares reserved for issuance have been awarded or February 21, 2017, if not sooner terminated by the Board upon recommendation by the Compensation Committee. The stock available for issuance under the 2007 Stock Plan shall be unrestricted shares of the Company's Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share, which may be unissued shares or treasury shares. Subject to certain adjustments upon changes in capitalization, a maximum of 60,000 shares of the Common Stock will be available for issuance to participants under the 2007 Stock Plan.

All non-employee directors are eligible to participate in the 2007 Plan. Each non-employee director as of the adoption date of the 2007 Stock Plan was granted an award of 600 unrestricted shares of the Company's Common Stock. On each July 1 following the adoption date of the 2007 Stock Plan, each non-employee director shall receive an automatic grant of a number of shares of Company's Common Stock equal in value to \$18,000 based on 100% of the fair market value (as defined) of the Common Stock on the date of grant, provided he or she holds such position on that date and the number of shares of Common Stock available for grant under the 2007 Stock Plan is sufficient to permit such automatic grant. Any fractional shares resulting from such grant will be rounded up to next highest whole share. All stock awards to non-employee directors will be fully vested on the date of grant. The dollar amount of the annual grant is subject to further adjustment by the Board of Directors upon recommendation by the Compensation Committee.

The stock awards granted under the 2007 Stock Plan are shares of unrestricted Common Stock and are fully vested on the date of grant. The right of the non-employee director to receive his or her annual grant of Common Stock is personal to the director and is not transferable. Once received, shares of Common Stock awarded to the non-employee director are freely transferable subject to any requirements of Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). On June 28, 2007, Company filed a registration statement on Form S-8 to register the shares subject to the 2007 Stock Plan and the Company's two prior stock option plans under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"). Upon recommendation of the Compensation Committee, the Board may, at any time and from time to time and in any respect, amend or modify the 2007 Stock Plan. The Board must obtain stockholder approval of any material amendment to the 2007 Stock Plan if required by any applicable law, regulation or stock exchange rule. The Board of Directors may amend the 2007 Stock Plan or any award agreement, which amendment may be retroactive, in order to conform it to any present or future law, regulation or ruling relating to plans of this or similar nature. No amendment or modification of the 2007 Stock Plan or any award agreement may adversely affect any outstanding award without the written consent of the participant holding the award.

Upon recommendation of the Compensation Committee, the Board of Directors, on February 23, 2011, voted to increase the annual grant awarded to each of the non-employee directors to a number of shares of Company's common stock equal in value to \$22,000, effective as of the July 1, 2011 grant, while decreasing the annual cash compensation payable to non-employee directors from \$16,000 to \$12,000 per year.

For the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the four non-employee directors of the Company received a total grant of 4,192 and 3,528 shares of Common Stock pursuant to the 2007 Stock Plan, respectively.

The InterGroup Corporation 2008 Restricted Stock Unit Plan

On December 3, 2008, the Board of Directors of the Company adopted, a new equity compensation plan for its officers, directors and key employees entitled, The InterGroup Corporation 2008 Restricted Stock Unit Plan (the "2008 RSU Plan"). The Plan was adopted, in part, to replace the stock option plans that expired on December 7, 2008. The 2008 RSU Plan was approved by shareholders at the Company's Annual Meeting of Shareholders on February 18, 2009.

The 2008 RSU Plan authorizes the Company to issue restricted stock units ("RSUs") as equity compensation to officers, directors and key employees of the Company on such terms and conditions established by the Compensation Committee of the Company. RSUs are not actual shares of the Company's common stock, but rather promises to deliver common stock in the future, subject to certain vesting requirements and other restrictions as may be determined by the Committee. Holders of RSUs have no voting rights with respect to the underlying shares of common stock and holders are not entitled to receive any dividends until the RSUs vest and the shares are delivered. No awards of RSUs shall vest until at least nine months after shareholder approval of the 2008 RSU Plan on February 18, 2009. Subject to certain adjustments upon changes in capitalization, a maximum of 200,000 shares of the common

stock are available for issuance to participants under the 2008 RSU Plan. The 2008 RSU Plan will terminate ten (10) years from December 3, 2008, unless terminated sooner by the Board of Directors. After the 2008 RSU Plan is terminated, no awards may be granted but awards previously granted shall remain outstanding in accordance with the Plan and their applicable terms and conditions.

Under the 2008 RSU Plan, the Compensation Committee also has the power and authority to establish and implement an exchange program that would permit the Company to offer holders of awards issued under prior shareholder approved compensation plans to exchange certain options for new RSUs on terms and conditions to be set by the Committee. The exchange program is designed to increase the retention and motivational value of awards granted under prior plans. In addition, by exchanging options for RSUs, the Company will reduce the number of shares of common stock subject to equity awards, thereby reducing potential dilution to stockholders in the event of significant increases in the value of its common stock.

The table below summarizes the RSUs granted and outstanding.

		Number of RSUs	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
RSUs outstanding as of	June 30, 2012	8,245	\$ 24.94
Granted		8,195	20.99
Converted to common stock		(8,245)	24.94
RSUs outstanding as of	June 30, 2013	8,195	\$ 20.99
Granted		-	-
Converted to common stock		(8,195)	20.99
RSUs outstanding as of	June 30, 2014	-	\$ -

During the year ended June 30, 2013, no additional compensation expense was recognized related to the exchange of previously issued stock options to RSUs as the fair market value of the options immediately prior to the exchanges, approximated the fair value of the RSUs on the date of issuance.

NOTE 17 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In December 2012, Justice declared a limited partnership distribution in the amount of \$1,200,000, of which Portsmouth received \$600,000. The amount received by Portsmouth was eliminated in consolidation.

In connection with the redemption of limited partnership interests of Justice Investors, Limited Partnership described in Note 2 above, Justice Operating Company, LLC agreed to pay a total of \$1,550,000 in fees to certain officers and directors of the Company for services rendered in connection with the redemption of partnership interests, refinancing of Justice's properties and reorganization of Justice Investors. This agreement was superseded by a letter dated December 11, 2013 from Justice Investors, Limited Partnership, in which Justice Investors Limited Partnership assumed the payment obligations of Justice Operating Company, LLC. The first payment under this agreement was made concurrently with the closing of the loan agreements described in Note 1 above, with the remaining payments due upon Justice Investor's having adequate available cash as described in the letter.

During the year ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company received management fees from Justice Investors totaling \$475,000 and \$401,000, respectively. These amounts were eliminated in consolidation.

Two general partners provided services to the Partnership through December 17, 2013. On December 18, 2013 the Partnership redeemed Evon's partnership interest and Portsmouth Square became the sole general partner. During each of the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the general partners were paid a total of \$591,000 and \$620,000, respectively, which is included in "General and administrative" expense in the statements of income and partners' accumulated deficit. The total amounts paid represent the minimum base compensation of \$285,000 each year plus \$305,000 and \$335,000, respectively, calculated at one and one-half percent of Hotel revenue. The Partnership's obligation to pay Evon, Justice's former general partner, terminated as of December 18, 2013. Under the terms of the Justice Partnership Agreement, its current general partner, Portsmouth, receives annual base compensation of \$285,000, plus one percent of Hotel Revenue. Amounts paid to Portsmouth are eliminated in consolidation.

Effective December 1, 2013, GMP Management, Inc. (GMP), a company owned by a Justice limited partner and related party, also provides management services for the Partnership pursuant to a Management Services Agreement. The management agreement with GMP has a term of 3 years, but may be terminated earlier by the Partnership for cause. Under the agreement, GMP is required to advise the Partnership on the management and operation of the hotel; administer the Partnership's contracts, leases, agreements with hotel managers and franchisors and other contracts and agreements; provide administrative and asset management services, oversee financial reporting, and maintain offices at the Hotel in order to facilitate provision of services. GMP is paid an annual base management fee of \$325,000 per year, increasing by 5% per year, payable in monthly installments, and to reimbursement for reasonable and necessary costs and expenses incurred by GMP in performing its obligations under the agreement. During the year ended June 30, 2014, GMP was reimbursed for \$235,000, for the salaries, benefits and local payroll taxes for three key employees. Management fees paid to GMP during the year ended June 30, 2014 were \$424,000.

As Chairman of the Securities Investment Committee, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, John V. Winfield, directs the investment activity of the Company in public and private markets pursuant to authority granted by the Board of Directors. Mr. Winfield also serves as Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of InterGroup and oversees the investment activity of the Company. Depending on certain market conditions and various risk factors, the Chief Executive Officer, his family and the Company may, at times, invest in the same companies in which the Company invests. The Company encourages such investments because it places personal resources of the Chief Executive Officer and his family members, and the resources of InterGroup, at risk in connection with investment decisions made on behalf of the Company.

In fiscal year ended June 30, 2004, the disinterested members of the respective Boards of Directors of the Company and its subsidiaries, Santa Fe and Portsmouth, established a performance based compensation program for the Company's CEO to keep and retain his services as a direct and active manager of the Company's securities portfolio. Pursuant to the current criteria established by the Board, Mr. Winfield is entitled to performance based compensation for his management of the Company's securities portfolio equal to 20% of all net investment gains generated in excess of an annual return equal to the Prime Rate of Interest (as published in the Wall Street Journal) plus 2%. Compensation amounts are calculated and paid quarterly based on the results of the Company's investment portfolio for that quarter. Should the Company have a net investment loss during any quarter, Mr. Winfield would not be entitled to any further performance-based compensation until any such investment losses are recouped by the Company. This performance based compensation program may be further modified or terminated at the discretion of the respective Boards of Directors. The Company's CEO did not earn any performance based compensation for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

NOTE 18 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Franchise Agreements

The Partnership entered into a Franchise License agreement (the License agreement) with the Hilton Hotels Corporation (Hilton) on December 10, 2004. The term of the License agreement is for a period of 15 years commencing on the opening date, with an option to extend the license agreement for another five years, subject to certain conditions.

Beginning on the opening date in January 2006, the Partnership paid monthly royalty fees for the first two years of three percent (3%) of the Hotel's gross room revenue for the preceding calendar month; the third year was at four percent (4%) of the Hotel's gross room revenue; and the fourth year until the end of the term will be five percent (5%) of the Hotel's gross room revenue. The Partnership also pays a monthly program fee of four percent (4%) of the Hotel's gross revenue. The amount of the monthly program fee is subject to change; however, the increase cannot exceed one percent (1%) of the Hotel gross room revenue in any calendar year, and the cumulative increases in the monthly fees will not exceed five percent (5%) of gross room revenue. The Hotel is also subject to certain penalties if fees are not paid timely. The royalty, program and penalty fees are referred to collectively as "Franchise fees." Franchise fees for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 were \$3,806,000 and \$3,374,000, respectively.

The Partnership also pays Hilton a monthly information technology recapture charge of up to 0.75% of the Hotel's gross revenues. Due to the difficult economic environment, Hilton agreed to reduce its information technology fees to 0.65%. For the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, those charges were \$270,000 and \$236,000, respectively.

Employees

As of June 30, 2014, the Partnership had approximately 268 employees. Approximately 72% of those employees were represented by one of three labor unions, and their terms of employment were determined under collective bargaining agreements (CBAs). During the year ended June 30, 2014, CBAs for the Local 2 (Hotel and Restaurant Employees), Local 856 (International Brotherhood of Teamsters), and Local 39 (stationary engineers) were renewed. Negotiation of collective bargaining agreements, which includes not just terms and conditions of employment, but scope and coverage of employees, is a regular and expected course of business operations for the Partnership.

The Partnership expects and anticipates that the terms and conditions of the CBAs will have an impact on wage and benefit costs, operating expenses, and certain Hotel operations during the life of each CBA, and these terms and conditions are taken into account in the Hotel operating and budgetary practices.

Legal Matters

In August 2012, two current and four former employees of the Hotel commenced a putative wage and hour class action against the Partnership. The Complaint alleged that the Partnership failed to provide compliant meal periods, failed to authorize and permit compliant rest periods, failed to pay all regular and overtime wages due, failed to provide accurate itemized wage statements, and failed to pay all wages owed upon termination of employment.

In February 2013, the Partnership agreed to settle the class action lawsuit for \$525,000. The amount was accrued as of June 30, 2013 and is included as part of "Accounts payable and accrued liabilities" in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Prism Hotels L.P. agreed to reimburse the Partnership for 50% of the total amount of the settlement and pay up to \$300,000 of legal fees and defense costs incurred in defense of the lawsuit. During fiscal 2013, the Partnership incurred legal costs of \$365,000 associated with the lawsuit, of which Prism agreed to pay \$300,000 in accordance with the agreement. The amount due to Prism at June 30, 2013 for the management fee was applied against the receivable for the reimbursement of the settlement and legal costs. The Partnership insurance carrier awarded \$225,000 in insurance proceeds as a result of a claim related to the settlement. Of the total proceeds, 50%, or \$112,500, was allocated to the Partnership and the remaining amount was allocated to Prism. The insurance reimbursement awarded to the Partnership was offset against the related legal expense included as part of "General and administrative" expenses in the statements of income and partners' accumulated deficit. During the year ended June 30, 2014 the Partnership paid the entire settlement of \$525,000.

The City of San Francisco's Tax Collector's office has claimed that Justice owes the City of San Francisco \$2.1 million based on the Tax Collector's interpretation of the San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code relating to Transient Occupancy Tax and Tourist Improvement District Assessment. This amount exceeds Justice's estimate of the taxes owed, and Justice has disputed the claim and is seeking to discharge all penalties and interest charges imposed by the Tax Collector. The Company paid the full amount in March 2014 as part of the appeals process but is reflecting the amount on the balance sheet in "Other Assets, Net" as it is currently under protest.

Several legal matters are pending relating to the redemption transaction described in Note 2. As previously stated in Note 2, on December 17, 2013, Documentary Transfer Tax of approximately \$4.7 million was paid to the City and County of San Francisco ("CCSF"). CCSF required payment of the Documentary Transfer Tax as a condition to record the transfer of the Hotel to Operating and other documents related to the Loan Agreements. While the Partnership believes the amount of Documentary Transfer tax that was assessed by CCSF was incorrect, the tax was paid, under protest, to allow for the consummation of the redemption transaction, the Loan Agreements and the recording of all related documents. The Partnership has challenged CCSF's imposition of the tax and filed a refund claim with the CCSF. No prediction can be made as to whether CCSF's calculation of the tax will be upheld, or whether any portion of the tax will be refunded.

On February 13, 2014, Evon filed a complaint in San Francisco Superior Court against the Partnership, Portsmouth, and a limited partner and related party asserting contract and tort claims based on Justice's withholding of \$4.7 million from a payment due to Holdings to pay the transfer tax described in Note 2. On April 1, 2014, Defendants removed the action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. Evon dismissed its complaint on April 8, 2014 and, that same day, filed a second complaint in San Francisco Superior Court substantially similar to the dismissed complaint, except for the omission of a federal cause of action. Evon's current operative complaint in the action asserts causes of action for breach of contract and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing against Justice only; breach of fiduciary duty against Portsmouth only; conversion against Justice and Portsmouth; and fraud/concealment against Justice, Portsmouth and a Justice limited partner and related party. In July 2014, Justice paid to Holdings a total of \$4.7 million, the amount Evon claims was incorrectly withheld from Holdings to pay the transfer tax described in Note 2. No prediction can be given as to the ultimate outcome of this matter.

On April 21, 2014, the Partnership commenced an arbitration action against Glaser Weil Fink Howard Avchen & Shapiro, LLP (formerly known as Glaser Weil Fink Jacobs Howard Avchen & Shapiro, LLP), Brett J. Cohen, Gary N. Jacobs, Janet S. McCloud, Paul B. Salvaty, and Joseph K. Fletcher III (collectively, the “Respondents”) in connection with the redemption transaction. The arbitration is pending before JAMS in Los Angeles. No prediction can be given as to the outcome of this matter.

On June 27, 2014, the Partnership commenced an action in San Francisco Superior Court against Evon, Holdings, and those partners who elected the alternative redemption structure. The action seeks a declaration of the correct interpretation of (i) the special allocations sections of the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Justice Investors, a California Limited Partnership, with an effective date of January 1, 2013; and (ii) whether certain partners who elected the alternative redemption structure breached the governing Limited Partnership Interest Redemption Option Agreement. The complaint states that these declarations are relevant to preparation of the Partnership’s 2013 and 2014 state and federal tax returns and the associated Forms K-1 to be issued to affected current and former partners. No prediction can be given as to the outcome of this matter.

The Partnership has timely filed its 2013 federal and state partnership income tax returns, however, depending on the ultimate outcome of the Partnership’s declaratory relief action filed in San Francisco Superior Court, the Partnership’s 2013 federal and state partnership income tax returns may be amended.

The Company is also involved from time to time in various claims in the ordinary course of business. Management does not believe that the impact of such matters will have a material effect on the financial conditions or result of operations when resolved.

NOTE 19 – EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN

Justice has a 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan (the Plan) for non-union employees who have completed six months of service. Justice provides a matching contribution up to 4% of the contribution to the Plan based upon a certain percentage on the employees’ elective deferrals. Justice may also make discretionary contributions to the Plan each year. Contributions made to the Plan amounted to \$53,000 and \$56,000 during the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Certain employees of Justice who are members of various unions are covered by union-sponsored, collectively bargained, multi-employer health and welfare and benefit pension plans. Justice does not contribute separately to those multi-employer plans.

NOTE 20 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company has evaluated all events occurring subsequent to June 30, 2014 and concluded that no additional subsequent events has occurred outside the normal course of business operations that require disclosure.

On July 2, 2014, the Partnership obtained from the Intergroup Corporation (parent company of Santa Fe) an unsecured loan in the principal amount of \$4,250,000 at 12% per year fixed interest, with a term of 2 years, payable interest only each month. Intergroup received a 3% loan fee. The loan may be prepaid at any time without penalty. The proceeds of the loan were applied to the July 2014 payments to Holdings described in Note 18 above.

In July 2014, the Company terminated its third party property management agreement for the management of the Company's properties located outside of California and will manage the properties in-house going forward.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the fiscal period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based upon such evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed in this filing is accumulated and communicated to management and is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, for the Company. In establishing adequate internal control over financial reporting, management has developed and maintained a system of internal control, policies and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information contained in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and other information presented in this annual report is reliable, does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact, and fairly presents in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company as of and for the periods presented in this annual report.

Management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of Company's internal control over financial reporting using the framework in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control Integrated Framework (1992 Framework). Based on its evaluation under that framework, management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of June 30, 2014.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the last quarterly period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART III**Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance**

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the Directors and Executive Officers of the Company as of June 30, 2014:

Name	Position with the Company	Age	Term to Expire
Class A Directors:			
John V. Winfield ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾	Chairman of the Board; President and Chief Executive Officer	67	Fiscal 2015 Annual Meeting
Jerold R. Babin ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁷⁾	Director	80	Fiscal 2015 Annual Meeting
Class B Directors:			
Yvonne L. Murphy ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾	Director	57	Fiscal 2014 Annual Meeting
William J. Nance ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾	Director	70	Fiscal 2014 Annual Meeting
Class C Director:			
John C. Love ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	Director	74	Fiscal 2014 Annual Meeting
Other Executive Officers:			
David C. Gonzalez	Vice President Real Estate	47	N/A
David T. Nguyen	Treasurer and Controller	40	N/A

⁽¹⁾ Member of the Executive Committee

⁽²⁾ Member of the Administrative and Compensation Committee

⁽³⁾ Member of the Audit Committee

⁽⁴⁾ Member of the Real Estate Investment Committee

- (5) Member of the Nominating Committee
- (6) Member of the Securities Investment Committee
- (7) Member of the Special Strategic Options Committee

Business Experience:

The principal occupation and business experience during the last five years for each of the Directors and Executive Officers of the Company are as follows:

John V. Winfield — Mr. Winfield was first appointed to the Board in 1982. He currently serves as the Company's Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer, having first been appointed as such in 1987. Mr. Winfield also serves as President, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company's subsidiaries, Santa Fe Financial Corporation ("Santa Fe") and Portsmouth Square, Inc. ("Portsmouth"), both public companies. Mr. Winfield also serves as Chairman of the Board of Comstock Mining, Inc. (NYSE MKT: LODE), a public company in which he was elected a director on June 23, 2011. Mr. Winfield's extensive experience as an entrepreneur and investor, as well as his managerial and leadership experience from serving as a chief executive officer and director of public companies, led to the Board's conclusion that he should serve as a director of the Company.

Jerold R. Babin — Mr. Babin was first appointed as a Director of the Portsmouth, a subsidiary of the Company, on February 1996. Mr. Babin was elected to the Board of InterGroup in February 2014. Mr. Babin is a retail securities broker. From 1974 to 1989, he worked at Drexel Burnham and from 1989 to June 30, 2010, he worked for Prudential Securities (later Wachovia Securities and now Wells Fargo Advisors) where he held the title of First Vice-President. Mr. Babin retired from his position at Wells Fargo advisors in June 2010. For the past 20 years, until present, Mr. Babin has also served as an arbitrator for FINRA (formerly NASD). Mr. Babin's extensive experience in the securities and financial markets as well as his experience in the securities and public company regulatory industry led to the Board's conclusion that he should serve as a director of the Company.

Yvonne L. Murphy — Mrs. Murphy was elected to the Board of InterGroup in February 2014. Mrs. Murphy has had an impressive 30-year history in corporate management, legal research and legislative lobbying. She was a member of Governor Kenny C. Guinn's executive staff in Nevada, and was employed for years by the prestigious Jones Vargas law firm in Reno, Nevada. She served in nine legislative sessions during the most challenging years in Nevada's history. Prior to starting her own lobbying firm, Ms. Murphy worked for RR Partners in its corporate office in Las Vegas, Nevada and in the Government Affairs Division in Reno. She has a Doctorate and a Masters in Business Administration from the California Pacific University.

William J. Nance — Mr. Nance is a Certified Public Accountant and private consultant to the real estate and banking industries. He is also President of Century Plaza Printers, Inc. Mr. Nance was first elected to the Board in 1984. He served as the Company's Chief Financial Officer from 1987 to 1990 and as Treasurer from 1987 to June 2002. Mr. Nance is also a Director of Santa Fe and Portsmouth. Mr. Nance also serves as a director of Comstock Mining, Inc. Mr. Nance's extensive experience as a CPA and in numerous phases of the real estate industry, his business and management experience gained in running his own businesses, his service as a director and audit committee member for other public companies and his knowledge and understanding of finance and financial reporting, led to the Board's conclusion that he should serve as a director of the Company.

John C. Love — Mr. Love was appointed to the Board in 1998. Mr. Love is an international hospitality and tourism consultant. He is a retired partner in the national CPA and consulting firm of Pannell Kerr Forster and, for the last 30 years, a lecturer in hospitality industry management control systems and competition & strategy at Golden Gate University and San Francisco State University. He is Chairman Emeritus of the Board of Trustees of Golden Gate University and the Executive Secretary of the Hotel and Restaurant Foundation. Mr. Love is also a Director of Santa Fe and Portsmouth. Mr. Love's extensive experience as a CPA and in the hospitality industry, including teaching at the university level for the last 30 years in management control systems, and his knowledge and understanding of finance and financial reporting, led to the Board's conclusion that he should serve as a director of the Company.

David C. Gonzalez — Mr. Gonzalez was appointed Vice President Real Estate of the Company on January 31, 2001. Over the past 26 years, Mr. Gonzalez has served in numerous capacities with the Company, including Controller and Director of Real Estate.

David T. Nguyen — Mr. Nguyen was appointed as Treasurer of the Company on February 26, 2003 and serves as the Company's Principal Financial Officer. Mr. Nguyen also serves as Treasurer of Santa Fe and Portsmouth, having been appointed to those positions on February 27, 2003. Mr. Nguyen is a Certified Public Accountant and, from 1995 to 1999, was employed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP where he was a Senior Accountant specializing in real estate. Mr. Nguyen served as the Company's Controller from 1999 to 2001 and from 2002 to the present.

Michael G. Zybala — Mr. Zybala is an attorney at law and has served as Assistant Secretary and legal counsel of the Company since January 1999. Mr. Zybala is also the Vice President and Secretary of Santa Fe and Portsmouth and has served as their General Counsel since 1995. Mr. Zybala has provided legal services to Santa Fe and Portsmouth since 1978. In April 2014, Mr. Zybala passed away. The Company is in the process of finding a permanent replacement.

Family Relationships: There are no family relationships among directors, executive officers, or persons nominated or chosen by the Company to become directors or executive officers.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings: No director or executive officer, or person nominated or chosen to become a director or executive officer, was involved in any legal proceeding requiring disclosure.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company's officers and directors, and each beneficial owner of more than ten percent of the Common Stock of the Company, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Officers, directors and greater than ten-percent shareholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

Based solely on its review of the copies of Forms 3 and 4 and amendments thereto furnished to the Company during its most recent fiscal year and Forms 5 and amendments thereto furnished to the Company with respect to its most recent fiscal year, or written representations from certain reporting persons that no Forms 5 were required for those persons, the Company believes that during fiscal 2014 all filing requirements applicable to its officers, directors, and greater than ten-percent beneficial owners were complied with.

Code of Ethics.

The Company has adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to its principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, including its Board of Directors. A copy of the Code of Ethics is posted on the Company's website at www.intgla.com. The Company will provide to any person without charge, upon request, a copy of its Code of Ethics by sending such request to: The InterGroup Corporation, Attn: Treasurer, 10940 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2150, Los Angeles, CA 90024. The Company will promptly disclose any amendments or waivers to its Code of Ethics on Form 8-K and will post such information on its website.

BOARD AND COMMITTEE INFORMATION

InterGroup's common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Capital Market tier of the NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC ("NASDAQ"). InterGroup is a Smaller Reporting Company under the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). With the exception of the Company's President and CEO, John V. Winfield, all of InterGroup's Board of Directors consists of "independent" directors as independence is defined by the applicable rules of the SEC and NASDAQ.

Nominating Committee

The Company's Nominating Committee is comprised of two “independent” directors as independence is defined by the applicable rules of the SEC and NASDAQ. Directors Babin and Murphy serve as the current members of the Nominating Committee. The Company has not established a charter for the Nominating Committee and the Committee has no policy with regard to consideration of any director candidates recommended by security holders. As a smaller reporting company whose directors own in excess of sixty percent of the voting shares of the Company, InterGroup has not deemed it appropriate to institute such a policy. There have not been any material changes to the procedures by which security holders may recommend nominees to the Company’s board of directors.

Audit Committee and Audit Committee Financial Expert

The Company is a Smaller Reporting Company under SEC rules and regulations. The Company’s Audit Committee is currently comprised of three members: Directors Nance (Chairperson), Babin and Love, each of who meet the independence requirements of the SEC and NASDAQ as modified or supplemented from time to time. The Company’s Board of Directors has determined that Directors Nance and Love also meet the Audit Committee Financial Expert requirement as defined by the SEC and NASDAQ based on their qualifications and business experience discussed above in this Item 10.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The following table provides certain summary information concerning compensation awarded to, earned by, or paid to the Company's principal executive officer and other named executive officers of the Company whose total compensation exceeded \$100,000 for all services rendered to the Company and its subsidiaries for each of the Company's last two completed fiscal years ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013. There was no non-equity incentive plan compensation or nonqualified deferred compensation earnings. There are currently no employment contracts with the executive officers.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and Position	Fiscal Year	Salary	Bonus	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Other Compensation	Total
John V. Winfield Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer	2014	\$647,000(1)	\$-		\$1,616,000 (2)	\$239,000 (3)(7)	\$2,502,000
	2013	\$522,000(1)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$139,000 (3)	\$661,000
David C. Gonzalez Vice President - Real Estate	2014	\$216,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$216,000
	2013	\$216,000	\$35,000	\$53,000 (4)	\$-	\$-	\$304,000
David T. Nguyen Treasurer and Controller	2014	\$195,000(5)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$195,000
	2013	\$180,000(5)	\$15,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$195,000
Michael G. Zybala (8) Assistant Secretary and General Counsel	2014	\$168,000(6)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$50,000 (7)	\$218,000
	2013	\$180,000(6)	\$20,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$200,000

(1) Mr. Winfield also serves as President and Chairman of the Board of the Company's subsidiary, Santa Fe, and Santa Fe's subsidiary, Portsmouth. Mr. Winfield received a salary from Santa Fe and Portsmouth in the aggregate amount of \$473,000 and \$255,000 from those entities for the fiscal years 2014 and 2013. The amounts include director's fees totaling \$12,000 for each year.

(2) For fiscal 2014, the dollar amount reflects aggregate grant date fair value of options expected to vest, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, of 160,000 stock options granted to Mr. Winfield on December 26, 2013 pursuant to the Company's 2010 Incentive Plan. On December 26, 2013, the Compensation Committee authorized, subject to shareholder approval, a grant of non-qualified and incentive stock options for an aggregate of 160,000 shares (the "Option Grant") to the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, John V. Winfield. The stock option grant was approved by shareholders on February 19, 2014. The grant of stock options was made pursuant to, and consistent with, the 2010 Incentive Plan, as proposed to be amended. The non-qualified stock options are for 133,195 shares and have a term of ten years, expiring on December 26, 2023, with an exercise price of \$18.65 per share. The incentive stock options are for 26,805 shares and have a term of five years, expiring on December 26, 2018, with an exercise price of \$20.52 per share. In accordance with the terms of the 2010 Incentive Plan, the exercise prices were based on 100% and 110%, respectively, of the fair market value of the Company's common stock as determined by reference to the closing price of the Company's common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market on the date of grant. The stock options are subject to time vesting requirements, with 20% of the options vesting annually commencing on the first anniversary of the grant date.

(3) Amounts include annual premiums for split dollar whole life insurance policies owned by, and the beneficiary of which are, a trust for the benefit of Mr. Winfield's family and compensation for a portion of the salary of an assistant. The amount of compensation related to the assistant was approximately \$54,000 for each of the fiscal years 2014 and 2013, respectively. The annual insurance premiums paid were \$85,000 for the same respective years. Santa Fe and Portsmouth paid \$43,000 of that amount. The Company has a secured right to receive, from any proceeds of the policies, reimbursement of all premiums paid prior to any payment to the beneficiary.

(4) For fiscal 2013, Mr. Gonzalez exercised 5,000 stock options with an exercise price of \$10.30. The company received cash proceeds of \$52,000 related to the stock option exercise. The intrinsic value of the stock options exercised was \$53,000.

(5) Mr. Nguyen's salary is allocated approximately 50% to the Company and 50% to Santa Fe and Portsmouth.

(6) For fiscal 2014 and 2013, respectively, these amounts include \$193,000 and \$123,000 in salary, bonus and other compensation allocated to and paid by Portsmouth and \$30,000 and \$25,000 in salary allocated to Santa Fe.

(7) In connection with the redemption of limited partnership interests of Justice in Note 2 of the consolidated financial statements, Justice agreed to pay a total of \$1,550,000 in fees to certain officers and directors of the Company for services rendered in connection with the redemption of partnership interests, refinancing of Justice's properties and reorganization of Justice Investors. The first payment under this agreement was made concurrently with the closing of the loan agreements, with the remaining payments due upon Justice having adequate available cash.

(8) In April 2014, Michael G. Zybala passed away. The Company is in the process of finding a permanent replacement.

Compensation Committee and Executive Compensation

The Company's Administrative and Compensation Committee (the "Compensation Committee") is comprised of three "independent" members of the Board of Directors as independence is defined by the applicable rules of the SEC and NASDAQ. Mr. Nance serves as Chairman of the Compensation Committee. The Company has not established a charter for the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee reviews and recommends to the Board of Directors the compensation for the Company's Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers, including equity or performance based compensation and plans. The Compensation Committee seeks to design and set compensation to attract and retain highly qualified executive officers and to align their interests with those of long-term owners of the Company. The Compensation Committee may also make recommendations to the Board of Directors as to the amount and form of director compensation. The Compensation Committee has not engaged any compensation consultants in determining the amount or form of executive or director compensation, but does review and monitor published compensation surveys and studies. The Compensation Committee may delegate to the Company's Chief Executive Officer the authority to determine the compensation of certain executive officers. The Compensation Committee also oversees the Company's 2007 Stock Plan, the 2008 RSU Plan and the 2010 Incentive Plan.

In fiscal year ended June 30, 2004, the disinterested members of the respective Boards of Directors of the Company and its subsidiaries, Santa Fe and Portsmouth, established a performance based compensation program for the Company's CEO to keep and retain his services as a direct and active manager of the Company's securities portfolio. Pursuant to the current criteria established by the Board, Mr. Winfield is entitled to performance based compensation for his management of the Company's securities portfolio equal to 20% of all net investment gains generated in excess of an annual return equal to the Prime Rate of Interest (as published in the Wall Street Journal) plus 2%. Compensation amounts are calculated and paid quarterly based on the results of the Company's investment portfolio for that quarter. Should the Company have a net investment loss during any quarter, Mr. Winfield would not be entitled to any further performance-based compensation until any such investment losses are recouped by the Company. This performance based compensation program may be further modified or terminated at the discretion of the respective Boards of Directors. The Company's CEO did not earn any performance based compensation for the

years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014

The following table sets forth information concerning option awards and stock awards for each named executive officer that were outstanding as of the end of the Company's last completed fiscal year ended June 30, 2014. There were no other equity incentive plan awards that were outstanding.

Name	Option Awards			
	Number of securities underlying unexercised options (#) exercisable	Number of securities underlying exercised options (#) exercisable	Option exercise price \$	Option expiration date
John V. Winfield	80,000	20,000	(1) \$ 10.30	3/15/20
John V. Winfield	36,000	54,000	(2) \$ 19.77	2/27/22
John V. Winfield	-	133,195	\$ 18.65	12/26/23
John V. Winfield	-	23,805	\$ 18.65	12/26/23
Michael G. Zybala	2,000	3,000	(3) \$ 24.92	6/30/2021

(1) Stock options issued to Mr. Winfield pursuant to the Company's 2010 Incentive Plan are subject to both time and performance based vesting requirements, each of which must be satisfied before the options are fully vested and eligible to be exercised. Pursuant to the time vesting requirements, the options vest over a period of five years, with 20,000 options vesting upon each one year anniversary of the date of grant, March 16, 2010. Pursuant to the performance vesting requirements, the options vest in increments of 20,000 shares upon each increase of \$2.00 or more in the market price of the Company's common stock above the exercise price (\$10.30) of the options. To satisfy this requirement, the common stock must trade at that increased level for a period of at least ten trading days during any one quarter. As of June 30, 2014, the performance vesting requirements of the options were satisfied.

(2) Stock options issued to Mr. Winfield pursuant to the Company's 2010 Incentive Plan are subject to both time and performance based vesting requirements, each of which must be satisfied before the options are fully vested and eligible to be exercised. Pursuant to the time vesting requirements, the options vest over a period of five years, with 18,000 options vesting upon each one year anniversary of the date of grant, February 28, 2012. Pursuant to the performance vesting requirements, the options vest in increments of 18,000 shares upon each increase of \$2.00 or more in the market price of the Company's common stock above the exercise price (\$19.77) of the options. To satisfy this requirement, the common stock must trade at that increased level for a period of at least ten trading days during any one quarter. As of June 30, 2014, 18,000 options have met the performance vesting requirements.

(3) On December 26, 2013, the Compensation Committee authorized, subject to shareholder approval, a grant of non-qualified and incentive stock options for an aggregate of 160,000 shares (the "Option Grant") to the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, John V. Winfield. The stock option grant was approved by shareholders on February 19, 2014. The grant of stock options was made pursuant to, and consistent with, the 2010 Incentive Plan, as proposed to be amended. The non-qualified stock options are for 133,195 shares and have a term of ten years, expiring on December 26, 2023, with an exercise price of \$18.65 per share. The incentive stock options are for 26,805 shares and have a term of five years, expiring on December 26, 2018, with an exercise price of \$20.52 per share. In accordance with the terms of the 2010 Incentive Plan, the exercise prices were based on 100% and 110%, respectively, of the fair market value of the Company's common stock as determined by reference to the closing price of the Company's common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market on the date of grant. The stock options are subject to time vesting requirements, with 20% of the options vesting annually commencing on the first anniversary of the grant date.

(4) Mr. Zybala's stock options vest over a period of five years, with 1,000 options vesting upon each one year anniversary of the date of grant, July 1, 2011. Mr. Zybala passed away in April 2014, as the result, these options terminate in April 2015 as per the stock option agreement.

Internal Revenue Code Limitations

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”), provides that, in the case of a publicly held corporation, the corporation is not generally allowed to deduct remuneration paid to its chief executive officer and certain other highly compensated officers to the extent that such remuneration exceeds \$1,000,000 for the taxable year. Certain remuneration, however, is not subject to disallowance, including compensation paid on a commission basis and, if certain requirements prescribed by the Code are satisfied, other performance based compensation. Since InterGroup, Santa Fe and Portsmouth are each public companies, the \$1,000,000 limitation applies separately to the compensation paid by each entity. Stock option expenses are also amortized over a several years. For fiscal years 2014 and 2013, no compensation paid by the Company to its CEO or other executive officers was subject the deduction disallowance prescribed by Section 162(m) of the Code.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS

The Company currently has three equity compensation plans, each of which has been approved by the Company’s stockholders. However, any outstanding stock options issued under the Company’s prior equity compensation plans remain effective in accordance with their terms.

The purpose of the Company’s equity compensation plans is to provide a means whereby officers, directors and key employees of the Company develop a sense of proprietorship and personal involvement in the development and financial success of the Company, and to encourage them to devote their best efforts to the business of the Company, thereby advancing the interests of the Company and its shareholders. A further purpose of these plans is to provide a means through which the Company may attract able individuals to become employees or serve as directors of the Company and to provide a means for such individuals to acquire and maintain stock ownership in the Company, thereby strengthening their concern for the welfare of the Company.

The InterGroup Corporation 2007 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors

The InterGroup Corporation 2007 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the “2007 Stock Plan”) was approved by the shareholders of the Company on February 21, 2007, and was thereafter adopted by the Board of Directors. The 2007 Plan will terminate upon the earlier of the date all shares reserved for issuance have been awarded or February 21, 2017, if not sooner terminated by the Board upon recommendation by the Compensation Committee. The stock available for issuance under the 2007 Stock Plan shall be unrestricted shares of the Company’s common stock, par value \$.01 per share, which may be unissued shares or treasury shares. Subject to certain adjustments upon changes in capitalization, a maximum of 60,000 shares of the common stock will be available for issuance to participants under the 2007 Stock Plan.

All non-employee directors are eligible to participate in the 2007 Stock Plan. Each non-employee director as of the adoption date of the 2007 Stock Plan was granted an award of 600 unrestricted shares of the Company's common stock. On each July 1 following the adoption date of the 2007 Stock Plan, each non-employee director shall receive an automatic grant of a number of shares of company's common stock equal in value to \$18,000 based on 100% of the fair market value (as defined) of the Common Stock on the date of grant, provided he or she holds such position on that date and the number of shares of Common Stock available for grant under the 2007 Stock Plan is sufficient to permit such automatic grant. Any fractional shares resulting from such grant will be rounded up to next highest whole share. All stock awards to non-employee directors will be fully vested on the date of grant. The dollar amount of the annual grant is subject to further adjustment by the Board of Directors upon recommendation by the Compensation Committee. The stock awards granted under the 2007 Stock Plan are shares of unrestricted common stock and are fully vested on the date of grant. The right of the non-employee director to receive his or her annual grant of common stock is personal to the director and is not transferable. Once received, shares of common stock awarded to the non-employee director are freely transferable subject to any requirements of Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). On June 28, 2007, Company filed a registration statement on Form S-8 to register the shares subject to the 2007 Stock Plan and the Company's two prior stock option plans under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act").

Upon recommendation of the Compensation Committee, the Board may, at any time and from time to time and in any respect, amend or modify the 2007 Stock Plan. The Board must obtain stockholder approval of any material amendment to the 2007 Stock Plan if required by any applicable law, regulation or stock exchange rule. The Board of Directors may amend the 2007 Stock Plan or any award agreement, which amendment may be retroactive, in order to conform it to any present or future law, regulation or ruling relating to plans of this or similar nature. No amendment or modification of the 2007 Stock Plan or any award agreement may adversely affect any outstanding award without the written consent of the participant holding the award.

Upon recommendation of the Compensation Committee, the Board of Directors, on February 23, 2011, voted to increase the annual grant awarded to each of the non-employee directors to a number of shares of Company's common stock equal in value to \$22,000, effective as of the July 1, 2011 grant, while decreasing the annual cash compensation payable to non-employee directors from \$16,000 to \$12,000 per year.

For the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the four non-employee directors of the Company received a total grant of 4,192 and 3,528 shares of Common Stock pursuant to the 2007 Stock Plan, respectively.

The InterGroup Corporation 2008 Restricted Stock Unit Plan

On December 3, 2008, the Board of Directors adopted, subject to shareholder approval, a new equity compensation plan for its officers, directors and key employees entitled, The InterGroup Corporation 2008 Restricted Stock Unit Plan (the "2008 RSU Plan"). The 2008 RSU Plan was approved and ratified by the shareholders on February 18, 2009.

The 2008 RSU Plan authorizes the Company to issue restricted stock units ("RSUs") as equity compensation to officers, directors and key employees of the Company on such terms and conditions established by the Compensation Committee of the Company. RSUs are not actual shares of the Company's common stock, but rather promises to deliver common stock in the future, subject to certain vesting requirements and other restrictions as may be determined by the Committee. Holders of RSUs have no voting rights with respect to the underlying shares of common stock and holders are not entitled to receive any dividends until the RSUs vest and the shares are delivered. No awards of RSUs shall vest until at least six months after shareholder approval of the Plan. Subject to certain adjustments upon changes in capitalization, a maximum of 200,000 shares of the common stock are available for issuance to participants under the 2008 RSU Plan. The 2008 RSU Plan will terminate ten (10) years from December 3, 2008, unless terminated sooner by the Board of Directors. After the 2008 RSU Plan is terminated, no awards may be granted but awards previously granted shall remain outstanding in accordance with the Plan and their applicable terms and conditions.

The shares of common stock to be delivered upon the vesting of an award of RSUs have been registered under the Securities Act, pursuant to a registration statement filed on Form S-8 by the Company on June 16, 2010. The grant of RSUs is personal to the recipient and is not transferable. Once received, shares of common stock issuable upon the vesting of the RSUs are freely transferable subject to any requirements of Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act. Under the 2008 RSU Plan, the Compensation Committee also has the power and authority to establish and implement an exchange program that would permit the Company to offer holders of awards issued under prior shareholder approved compensation plans to exchange certain options for new RSUs on terms and conditions to be set by the Committee. The exchange program is designed to increase the retention and motivational value of awards granted under prior plans. In addition, by exchanging options for RSUs, the Company will reduce the number of shares of common stock subject to equity awards, thereby reducing potential dilution to stockholders in the event of significant increases in the value of its common stock.

During the year ended June 30, 2014, a total of 8,195 outstanding RSUs that had been previously issued vested and the equivalent common shares were issued. As of June 30, 2014, there were no RSUs outstanding.

The InterGroup Corporation 2010 Omnibus Employee Incentive Plan

On February 24, 2010, the shareholders of the Company approved The InterGroup Corporation 2010 Omnibus Employee Incentive Plan (the “2010 Incentive Plan”), which was formally adopted by the Board of Directors following the annual meeting of shareholders. The 2010 Incentive Plan as modified in December 2013, authorizes a total of up to 400,000 shares of common stock to be issued as equity compensation to officers and employees of the Company in an amount and in a manner to be determined by the Compensation Committee in accordance with the terms of the Plan. The 2010 Incentive Plan authorizes the awards of several types of equity compensation including stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance awards and other stock based compensation. The 2010 Incentive Plan will expire on February 23, 2020, if not terminated sooner by the Board of Directors upon recommendation of the Compensation Committee. Any awards issued under the Plan will expire under the terms of the grant agreement.

The shares of common stock to be issued under the 2010 Incentive Plan have been registered under the Securities Act, pursuant to a registration statement filed on Form S-8 by the Company on June 16, 2010. Once received, shares of common stock issued under the Plan will be freely transferable subject to any requirements of Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act.

On February 28, 2012, the Compensation Committee authorized the grant of 90,000 stock options to the Company's Chairman, President and Chief Executive, John V. Winfield to purchase up to 90,000 shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to the 2010 Incentive Plan. The exercise price of the options is \$19.77, which equals 100% of the fair market value of the Company's common stock as determined by reference to the closing price of the Company's common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market on February 28, 2012 the date of grant. The options expire ten years from the date of grant, unless earlier terminated in accordance with the terms of the 2010 Plan. The options shall be subject to both time and market based vesting requirements, each of which must be satisfied before options are fully vested and eligible to be exercised. Pursuant to the time vesting requirements, the options vest over a period of five years, with 18,000 options vesting upon each one year anniversary of the date of grant. Pursuant to the market vesting requirements, the options vest in increments of 18,000 shares upon each increase of \$2.00 or more in the market price of the Company's common stock above the exercise price (\$19.77) of the options. To satisfy this requirement, the common stock must trade at that increased level for a period of at least ten trading days during any one quarter. As of June 30, 2014, 18,000 options have met the market vesting requirements.

On December 26, 2013, the Compensation Committee authorized, subject to shareholder approval, a grant of non-qualified and incentive stock options for an aggregate of 160,000 shares (the "Option Grant") to the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, John V. Winfield. The stock option grant was approved by shareholders on February 19, 2014. The grant of stock options was made pursuant to, and consistent with, the 2010 Incentive Plan, as proposed to be amended. The non-qualified stock options are for 133,195 shares and have a term of ten years, expiring on December 26, 2023, with an exercise price of \$18.65 per share. The incentive stock options are for 26,805 shares and have a term of five years, expiring on December 26, 2018, with an exercise price of \$20.52 per share. In accordance with the terms of the 2010 Incentive Plan, the exercise prices were based on 100% and 110%, respectively, of the fair market value of the Company's common stock as determined by reference to the closing price of the Company's common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market on the date of grant. The stock options are subject to time vesting requirements, with 20% of the options vesting annually commencing on the first anniversary of the grant date.

Change in Controls Provisions in Equity Compensation Plans.

Under the Company's 2008 RSU Plan and its 2010 Incentive Plan, RSUs, stock options and other incentive awards may vest upon a change in control of the Company in accordance with their respective grant agreements. Outstanding unvested RSUs issued pursuant to the 2008 RSU Plan in exchange for vested stock options will immediately vest upon a change in control. Outstanding stock options issued pursuant to the Company's 2010 Incentive Plan will also immediately vest and become exercisable upon a change in control. Except for the foregoing, there are no employment contracts between the Company and its Officers or Directors or any change in control arrangements.

Compensation of Directors

Until fiscal 2011, each non-employee director received an annual cash retainer in the amount of \$16,000, to be paid in equal quarterly payments. Upon recommendation of the Compensation Committee, the Board of Directors, on February 23, 2011, voted to decrease the annual cash compensation payable to non-employee directors from \$16,000 to \$12,000, effective as of fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. With the exception of members of the Audit Committee, non-employee directors do not receive any additional fees for attending Board or Committee meetings, but are entitled to reimbursement of their reasonable expenses to attend such meetings. Members of the Audit Committee are paid a fee of \$1,000 per quarter, with the Chair of that Committee to receive \$1,500 per quarter. As an executive officer, the Company's Chairman has elected to forego his annual board fees.

Non-employee directors are also eligible for grants of equity compensation under the Company's 2007 Stock Plan and 2008 RSU Plan. Pursuant to the 2007 Stock Plan, each non-employee director was entitled to an annual grant of a number of shares of common stock of the Company equal in value to \$18,000 based on the fair market value of the Common Stock on the date of grant. To compensate for the \$4,000 reduction in annual cash compensation payable to non-employee directors as discussed above, the Board of Directors, upon recommendation of the Compensation Committee, increased the annual grant of common stock to an amount equal in value to \$22,000, effective as of the July 1, 2011 grant. Non-employee directors may also be eligible to participate in exchange offers as may be authorized by the Compensation Committee under the 2008 RSU Plan to exchange previously issued stock options for RSUs.

The following table sets forth the compensation paid to directors for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014:

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash ⁽¹⁾	Stock Awards	All Other Compensation	Total
John C. Love	\$ 68,000	(2) \$ 78,000	(6) \$ 50,000	(7) \$ 196,000
William J. Nance	\$ 70,000	(3) \$ 78,000	(6) \$ 50,000	(7) \$ 198,000
Jerold R. Babin	\$ 14,000	(4) -	-	\$ 14,000
Yvonne L. Murphy	\$ 6,000	(4) -	-	\$ 6,000
John V. Winfield ⁽⁵⁾	-	-	-	

(1) Amounts shown include board retainer fees, committee fees and meeting fees.

(2) Mr. Love also serves as a director of the Company's subsidiaries, Santa Fe and Portsmouth. Amounts shown include \$8,000 in regular board and audit committee fees paid by Santa Fe and \$8,000 in regular board and audit committee fees paid by Portsmouth. These amounts also include \$36,000 in special hotel committee fees paid by Portsmouth related to the oversight of its Hotel asset.

(3) Mr. Nance also serves as a director of the Company's subsidiaries, Santa Fe and Portsmouth. Amounts shown include \$8,000 in regular board and audit committee fees paid by Santa Fe and \$8,000 in regular board and audit committee fees paid by Portsmouth. These amounts also include \$30,000 in special hotel committee fees paid by

Portsmouth related to the oversight of its Hotel asset.

(4) Mr. Babin and Mrs. Murphy were both elected to the InterGroup Board in February 2014.

(5) As Chief Executive Officer, the Company's Chairman, John V. Winfield, was not paid any board, committee or meetings fees. Mr. Winfield did receive a total of \$12,000 in regular board fees from the Company's subsidiaries, which is reported on the Summary Compensation Table.

(6) Dollar amounts shown reflect the fair market value of \$22,000 of common stock issued on July 2, 2013 pursuant to the Company's 2007 Stock Plan, the fair market value of \$34,000 of stock issued related to the RSUs that were vested during Fiscal 2014 and the fair market value \$22,000 related to the exercise of stock options.

(7) In connection with the redemption of limited partnership interests of Justice in Note 2 of the consolidated financial statements, Justice agreed to pay a total of \$1,550,000 in fees to certain officers and directors of the Company for services rendered in connection with the redemption of partnership interests, refinancing of Justice's properties and reorganization of Justice Investors. The first payment under this agreement was made concurrently with the closing of the loan agreements, with the remaining payments due upon Justice having adequate available cash.

Change in Control or Other Arrangements

Except for the foregoing, there are no other arrangements for compensation of Directors and there are no employment contracts between the Company and its Directors or any change in control arrangements.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters**Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners.**

The following table sets forth, as of September 3, 2014, certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of Common Stock of the Company owned by those persons or groups known by the Company to own more than five percent of the outstanding shares of Common Stock.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership⁽¹⁾	Percent of Class⁽²⁾
John V. Winfield 10940 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 2150 Los Angeles, CA 90024	1,537,522	(3) 62.2 %

⁽¹⁾ Unless otherwise indicated and subject to applicable community property laws, each person has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares beneficially owned.

⁽²⁾ Percentages are calculated on the basis of 2,471,046 shares of Common Stock outstanding at September 3, 2014, plus any securities that person has the right to acquire within 60 days pursuant to options, warrants, conversion privileges or other rights.

⁽³⁾ Includes 80,000 shares that Mr. Winfield has a right to acquire pursuant to vested stock options.

Security Ownership of Management.

The following table sets forth, as of September 3, 2014, certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of Common Stock of the Company owned by (i) each Director and each of the named Executive Officers, and (ii) all Directors and Executive Officers as a group.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership⁽¹⁾	Percent of Class⁽²⁾
John V. Winfield	1,537,522	(3) 62.2 %
William J. Nance	58,613	(4) 2.4 %
John C. Love	21,402	(4) 0.9 %
David C. Gonzalez	26,769	1.1 %
David T. Nguyen	2,000	*
Jerold R. Babin	1,152	*
Yvonne L. Murphy	1152	*
All Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (8 persons)	1,648,610	66.7 %

* Ownership does not exceed 1%.

⁽¹⁾ Unless otherwise indicated and subject to applicable community property laws, each person has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares beneficially owned.

⁽²⁾ Percentages are calculated on the basis of 2,471,046 shares of Common Stock outstanding at September 3, 2014, plus any securities that person has the right to acquire within 60 days pursuant to options, warrants, conversion privileges or other rights.

⁽³⁾ Includes 80,000 shares that Mr. Winfield has a right to acquire pursuant to vested stock options.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes 2,400 shares for each director has a right to acquire pursuant to vested stock options.

Changes in Control.

There are no arrangements that may result in a change in control of the Company.

74

SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS.

The following table sets forth information as of June 30, 2014 with respect to compensation plans (including individual compensation arrangements) under which equity securities of the Company are authorized for issuance, aggregated as follows:

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options and warrants (b)	Remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	367,000	\$ 16.85	106,501
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	None	N/A	None
Total	367,000	\$ 16.85	106,501

(a) There were 367,000 stock options outstanding as of June 30, 2014.

(b) Reflects the weighted average exercise price of all outstanding options.

(c) As of June 30, 2014 the Company had 26,654 shares of Common Stock available for future issuance pursuant to its 2007 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors. Pursuant to the 2007 Plan, each non-employee director will receive, on July 1 of each year, an annual grant of a number of shares of Common Stock of the Company equal in value to \$22,000 based on the fair market value of the Common Stock on the date of grant. The Company also had 79,847 RSUs available for future issuance under the 2008 RSU Plan. As of June 30, 2014 there were no shares available for future issuance under the 2010 Omnibus Employee Incentive Plan.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

On December 4, 1998, the Compensation Committee authorized the Company to obtain whole life and split dollar insurance policies covering the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Winfield. During fiscal 2014 and 2013, the Company paid annual premiums in the amount of approximately \$85,000 for the split dollar insurance policy owned by, and the beneficiary of which is, a trust for the benefit of Mr. Winfield's family. The Company has a secured right to receive, from any proceeds of the policy, reimbursement of all premiums paid prior to any payments to the beneficiary.

On June 30, 1998, the Company's Chairman and President entered into a voting trust agreement with the Company giving the Company the power to vote his 4.0% interest in the outstanding shares of the Santa Fe common stock.

In connection with the redemption of limited partnership interests of Justice in Note 2 of the consolidated financial statements, Justice agreed to pay a total of \$1,550,000 in fees to certain officers and directors of the Company for services rendered in connection with the redemption of partnership interests, refinancing of Justice's properties and reorganization of Justice Investors. The first payment under this agreement was made concurrently with the closing of the loan agreements, with the remaining payments due upon Justice having adequate available cash.

Effective December 1, 2013, GMP Management, Inc. (GMP), a company owned by a Justice limited partner and related party, also provides management services for the Partnership pursuant to a Management Services Agreement. The management agreement with GMP has a term of 3 years, but may be terminated earlier by the Partnership for cause. Under the agreement, GMP is required to advise the Partnership on the management and operation of the hotel; administer the Partnership's contracts, leases, agreements with hotel managers and franchisors and other contracts and agreements; provide administrative and asset management services, oversee financial reporting, and maintain offices at the Hotel in order to facilitate provision of services. GMP is paid an annual base management fee of \$325,000 per year, increasing by 5% per year, payable in monthly installments, and to reimbursement for reasonable and necessary costs and expenses incurred by GMP in performing its obligations under the agreement. During the year ended June 30, 2014, GMP was reimbursed for \$235,000, for the salaries, benefits and local payroll taxes for three key employees. Management fees paid to GMP during the year ended June 30, 2014 were \$424,000.

As Chairman of the Securities Investment Committee, the Company's President and Chief Executive officer, John V. Winfield, oversees the investment activity of the Company in public and private markets pursuant to authority granted by the Board of Directors. Mr. Winfield also serves as Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of Santa Fe and Portsmouth and oversees the investment activity of those companies. Depending on certain market conditions and various risk factors, the Chief Executive Officer, his family, Santa Fe and Portsmouth may, at times, invest in the same companies in which the Company invests. The Company encourages such investments because it places personal resources of the Chief Executive Officer and his family members, and the resources of Santa Fe and Portsmouth, at risk in connection with investment decisions made on behalf of the Company. Under the direction of the Securities Investment Committee, the Company has instituted certain modifications to its procedures to reduce the potential for conflicts of interest.

The Company, its subsidiary Santa Fe and Santa Fe's subsidiary, Portsmouth, have established performance based compensation programs for Mr. Winfield's management of the securities portfolios of those companies. The performance based compensation program was approved by the disinterested members of the respective Boards of Directors of the Company and its subsidiaries. No performance bonus compensation was paid to Mr. Winfield for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Director Independence

InterGroup's common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Capital Market tier of the NASDAQ Stock Market LLC ("NASDAQ"). InterGroup is a Smaller Reporting Company under the rules and regulations of the SEC. The Board of Directors of InterGroup currently consists of five members. With the exception of the Company's President and CEO, John V. Winfield, all of InterGroup's Board of Directors consists of "independent" directors as independence is defined by the applicable rules of the SEC and NASDAQ. There are no members of the Company's compensation, nominating or audit committees that do not meet those independence standards.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

Audit Fees - The aggregate fees billed for each of the last two fiscal years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 for professional services rendered by Burr Pilger Mayer, Inc., the independent registered public accounting firm for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements and review of financial statements included in the Company's Form 10-Q reports or services normally provided by the independent registered public accounting firm in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for those fiscal years, were as follows:

	Fiscal Year	
	2014	2013
Audit fees	\$288,000	\$278,000
Audit related fees	-	-
Tax fees	-	-
All other fees	-	-
TOTAL:	\$288,000	\$278,000

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies

The Audit Committee shall pre-approve all auditing services and permitted non-audit services (including the fees and terms thereof) to be performed for the Company by its independent registered public accounting firm, subject to any de minimus exceptions that may be set for non-audit services described in Section 10A(i)(1)(B) of the Exchange Act which are approved by the Committee prior to the completion of the audit. The Committee may form and delegate authority to subcommittees consisting of one or more members when appropriate, including the authority to grant pre-approvals of audit and permitted non-audit services, provided that decisions of such subcommittee to grant pre-approvals shall be presented to the full Committee at its next scheduled meeting. All of the services described herein were approved by the Audit Committee pursuant to its pre-approval policies.

None of the hours expended on the independent registered public accounting firms' engagement to audit the Company's financial statements for the most recent fiscal year were attributed to work performed by persons other than the independent registered public accounting firm's full-time permanent employees.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.

(a)(1) Financial Statements

The following financial statements of the Company are included in Part II, Item 8 of this Report at pages 30 through 61:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets - June 30, 2014 and 2013

Consolidated Statements of Operations for Years Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity (Deficit) for Years Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for Years Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules

All other schedules for which provision is made in Regulation S-X have been omitted because they are not required or are not applicable or the required information is shown in the consolidated financial statements or notes to the consolidated financial statements.

(a)(3) Exhibits

Set forth below is an index of applicable exhibits filed with this report according to exhibit table number.

Exhibit Number Description

3.(i)	Articles of Incorporation:
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation, dated September 11, 1985, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4, filed on September 6, 1985 (Registration No. 33-00126) and Amendment 1 to that Registration Statement filed on October 23, 1985.

- 3.2 Restated Certificate of Incorporation, dated March 9, 1998, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 of the Company's Amended Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB/A for the period ended March 31, 1998, as filed on May 19, 1998.
- 3.3 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation, dated October 2, 1998, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 of the Company's Quarterly report on Form 10-QSB for the period ended September 30, 1998, as filed on November 11, 1998.
- 3.4 Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on August 6, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended June 30, 2007 as filed on September 28, 2007.
- 3.(ii) Amended and Restated By-Laws of The InterGroup Corporation, effective as of December 10, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed on December 12, 2007.
4. Instruments defining the rights of security holders including indentures*
9. Voting Trust Agreement: Voting Trust Agreement dated June 30, 1998 between John V. Winfield and The InterGroup Corporation is incorporated by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Commission on September 28, 1998.
10. Material Contracts:
- 10.1 1998 Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors approved by the Board of Directors on December 8, 1998 and ratified by the shareholders on January 27, 1999 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the Commission on December 21, 1998).
- 10.2 1998 Stock Option Plan for Selected Key Officers, Employees and Consultants approved by the Board of Directors on December 8, 1998 and ratified by the shareholders on January 27, 1999 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the Commission on December 21, 1998).
- 10.3 The InterGroup Corporation 2007 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (incorporated by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the Commission on January 26, 2007).
- 10.4 Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Justice Investors, effective November 30, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q Report for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2010, filed with the Commission on February 11, 2011).
- 10.5 General Partner Compensation Agreement, dated December 1, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Company's Form 10-Q Report for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2008, filed with the Commission on February 12, 2009).
- 10.6 The InterGroup Corporation 2008 Restricted Stock Unit Plan, adopted by the Board of Directors on December 3, 2008, and ratified by the shareholders on February 18, 2009 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, filed with the Commission on January 21, 2009).

- 10.7** Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, dated February 18, 2009, between The InterGroup Corporation and John V. Winfield (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, as filed with the Commission on October 13, 2009).
- 10.8** The InterGroup Corporation 2010 Omnibus Employee Incentive Plan, approved by the shareholders and adopted by the Board of Directors on February 24, 2010 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, filed with the Commission on January 27, 2010).
- 10.9** Employee Stock Option Agreement, dated March 16, 2010, between The InterGroup Corporation and John V. Winfield (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Company's report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, as filed with the Commission on September 27, 2010).
- 10.10** Franchise License Agreement, dated December 10, 2004, between Justice Investors and Hilton Hotels (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Company's amended report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, as filed with the Commission on August 24, 2012).
- 10.11** Management Agreement, dated February 2, 2012, between Justice Investors and Prism Hospitality, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 of the Company's amended report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, as filed with the Commission on August 24, 2012).
- 10.12** Management Agreement, dated August 1, 2005, between Century West Properties, Inc. and The InterGroup Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of the Company's amended report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, as filed with the Commission on August 24, 2012).
- 10.13** Employee Stock Option Agreement, dated February 28, 2012, between The InterGroup Corporation and John V. Winfield (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 of the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, as filed with the Commission on September 20, 2012).
- 10.14** Property Management Agreement, effective June 17, 2013, between R & K Interests, Inc., a California Corporation, doing business as Investors' Property Services and The InterGroup Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's current report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on June 20, 2013).
- 10.15** Asset Management Agreement, effective July 1, 2013, between The InterGroup Corporation and Delta Alliance Capital Management, LLC, a California limited liability company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's current report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on June 20, 2013).
- 14.** Code of Ethics (filed herewith).
- 21.** Subsidiaries (filed herewith)
- 23.1** Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm – Burr Pilger Mayer, Inc. (filed herewith).
- 31.1** Certification of Principal Executive Officer of Periodic Report Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) (filed herewith).

31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer of Periodic Report Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) (filed herewith).

32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (filed herewith).

32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (filed herewith).

* All Exhibits marked by one asterisk are incorporated herein by reference to the Trust's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 6, 1985, Amendment No. 1 to Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 23, 1985, Exhibit 14 to Form 8 Amendment No. 1 to Form 8 filed with the Securities & Exchange Commission November 1987 and Form 8 Amendment No. 1 Item 4 filed with the Securities & Exchange Commission October 1988.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

THE INTERGROUP CORPORATION
(Registrant)

Date: September 29, 2014 by/s/ John V. Winfield
John V. Winfield, President,
Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer

Date: September 29, 2014 by/s/ David T. Nguyen
David T. Nguyen, Treasurer
and Controller

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signatures	Title and Position	Date
/s/ John V Winfield John V. Winfield	President, Chief Operating Officer and Chairman of the Board (Principal Executive Officer)	September 29, 2014
/s/ David T. Nguyen David T. Nguyen	Treasurer and Controller (Principal Financial Officer)	September 29, 2014
/s/ Jerold R. Babin Jerold R. Babin	Director	September 29, 2014
/s/ John C. Love John C. Love	Director	September 29, 2014
/s/ Yvonne L. Murphy Yvonne L. Murphy	Director	September 29, 2014
/s/ William J. Nance William J. Nance	Director	September 29, 2014

