

Enservco Corp  
Form 10-Q  
May 14, 2012

**FORM 10-Q**

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington D.C. 20549

MARK ONE

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)

OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)

OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

**Commission File Number 0-9494**

**ENSERVCO CORPORATION**

(Exact Name of registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Edgar Filing: Enservco Corp - Form 10-Q

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	84-0811316 (IRS Employer Identification No.)
<b>501 South Cherry St., Ste. 320</b> <b>Denver, CO</b> (Address of principal executive offices)	80246 (Zip Code)

Issuer's telephone number: **(303) 333-3678**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that Enservco was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the Issuer's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date.

Edgar Filing: Enservco Corp - Form 10-Q

Class	Outstanding at May 1, 2012
Common stock, \$.005 par value	21,778,866

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
Part I – Financial Information	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations	4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	5
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	19
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	34
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	34
Part II	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	35
Item 1A. Risk Factors	35
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	35
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	35
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	35
Item 5. Other Information	35
Item 6. Exhibits	36

**Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets**

	March 31, 2012 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2011
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$919,393	\$ 417,005
Accounts receivable, net	6,145,192	4,505,254
Marketable securities	69,429	150,793
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	814,334	593,291
Inventories	578,285	549,432
Deferred tax asset	22,044	187,170
Total current assets	8,548,677	6,402,945
Property and Equipment, net	15,252,590	15,171,870
Non-Competition Agreements, net	120,000	180,000
Goodwill	301,087	301,087
Other Assets	140,635	64,770
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$24,362,989</b>	<b>\$ 22,120,672</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$3,704,776	\$ 2,954,687
Line of credit borrowings	2,988,227	2,263,227
Current portion of long-term debt	3,825,657	3,867,658
Total current liabilities	10,518,660	9,085,572
Long-Term Liabilities		
Deferred rent payable	21,748	22,044
Subordinated debt – related party	1,477,760	1,477,760
Long-term debt, less current portion	8,567,984	8,020,435
Deferred income taxes, net	348,155	387,487
Total long-term liabilities	10,415,647	9,907,726
Total liabilities	20,934,307	18,993,298
Commitments and Contingencies		

Stockholders' Equity

Common and preferred stock, \$.005 par value

Authorized: 100,000,000 common shares and 10,000,000 preferred shares

Issued: 21,882,466 common shares and -0- preferred shares

Treasury Stock: 103,600 common shares

Issued and outstanding: 21,778,866 common shares and -0- preferred shares at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011

Additional paid-in-capital

Accumulated deficit

Accumulated other comprehensive income

Total stockholders' equity

108,894	108,894
6,157,310	6,112,674
(2,839,380 )	(3,117,267 )
1,858	23,073
3,428,682	3,127,374

TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

\$24,362,989 \$ 22,120,672

**See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.**

**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations**

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)
Revenues	\$9,537,994	\$9,261,521
Cost of Revenue	6,676,684	5,797,434
Gross Profit	2,861,310	3,464,087
Operating Expenses		
General and administrative expenses	903,360	748,904
Depreciation and amortization	1,401,192	1,080,607
Total operating expenses	2,304,552	1,829,511
Income from Operations	556,758	1,634,576
Other Expense		
Interest expense	(209,741 )	(180,311 )
Loss on disposals of equipment	-	(44,286 )
Gain on sale of investments	11,762	-
Other income	58,464	4,744
Total other expense	(139,515 )	(219,853 )
Income Before Income Tax Expense	417,243	1,414,723
Income Tax Expense	139,356	529,635
Net Income	\$277,887	\$885,088
Other Comprehensive Income		
Unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities, net of tax	(21,215 )	(81,344 )
Comprehensive Income	\$256,672	\$803,744
Earnings per Common Share		
Income Per Common Share – Basic	\$0.01	\$0.04
Income Per Common Share – Diluted	\$0.01	\$0.04
Basic weighted average number of common shares outstanding	21,778,866	21,778,866
Add: Dilutive shares assuming exercise of options and warrants	1,240,747	618,940
Diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding	23,019,613	22,397,806

**See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.**

4



**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net income	\$ 277,887	\$ 885,088
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities		
Depreciation and amortization	1,401,192	1,080,607
Loss on disposal of equipment	-	44,286
Realized gain on sale of marketable securities	(11,762 )	-
Deferred income taxes	75,481	232,910
Stock-based compensation	44,636	49,681
Bad debt (recoveries) expense	(1,739 )	345
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable	(1,638,199 )	(613,711 )
Income taxes receivable	-	634,941
Inventories	(28,853 )	60,109
Prepays and other current assets	(209,281 )	(259,777 )
Other non-current assets	(75,865 )	14,934
Income taxes payable	-	401,393
Deferred rent payable	(296 )	-
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	750,090	(189,960 )
Net cash provided by operating activities	583,291	2,340,846
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Purchases of property and equipment	(1,421,912 )	(738,191 )
Sales of available-for-sale securities	110,462	-
Proceeds from sales of equipment	-	38,787
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,311,450 )	(699,404 )
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net line of credit borrowings (repayments)	725,000	(1,050,000 )
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	1,359,907	-
Repayment of long-term debt	(854,360 )	(272,752 )
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	1,230,547	(1,322,752 )
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	502,388	318,690
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period	417,005	1,637,807
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period	\$ 919,393	\$ 1,956,497
Supplemental cash flow information consists of the following:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 198,689	\$ 165,951

Edgar Filing: Enservco Corp - Form 10-Q

Cash paid for taxes	\$ -	\$ -
Supplemental Disclosure of Non-cash Investing and Financing Activities:		
Non-cash commitments entered into for leases	\$ -	\$ 51,526
Increase (decrease) in fair value of available-for-sale securities	\$ 29,098	\$ (132,679 )

**See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.**

**Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****Note 1 – Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been derived from the accounting records of Enservco Corporation (formerly Aspen Exploration Corporation), Heat Waves Hot Oil Services LLC (“Heat Waves”), Dillco Fluid Service, Inc. (“Dillco”), Trinidad Housing LLC, HE Services LLC, Aspen Gold Mining Company, Enservco Frac Services LLC, and Real GC LLC (collectively, the “Company”) as of December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2012 and the results of operations for the three months ending March 31, 2012 and 2011. Any references to “Aspen” in this report are intended to provide reference for certain actions and events that took place prior to the Merger Transaction and are included to give context to the reader. References to “Enservco” and the “Company” are intended to apply to the Company as a whole and on a post Merger Transaction basis.

The below table provides an overview of the Company’s current ownership hierarchy:

<u>Name</u>	<u>State of Formation</u>	<u>Ownership</u>	<u>Business</u>
Dillco Fluid Service, Inc. (“Dillco”)	Kansas	100% by Enservco	Oil and natural gas field fluid logistic services primarily in the Hugoton Basin in western Kansas and northwestern Oklahoma.
Heat Waves Hot Oil Services LLC (“Heat Waves”)	Colorado	100% by Dillco	Oil and natural gas well services, including logistics and stimulation
HE Services, LLC (“HES”)	Nevada	100% by Heat Waves	No active business operations. Owns construction equipment used by Heat Waves.
Real GC, LLC (“Real GC”)	Colorado	100% by Heat Waves	No active business operations. Owns real property in Garden City, Kansas that is utilized by Heat Waves.
Trinidad Housing, LLC (“Trinidad Housing”)	Colorado	100% by Dillco.	No active business operations.

Edgar Filing: Enservco Corp - Form 10-Q

Enservco Frac Services, LLC	Delaware	100% by Enservco	No active business operations.
Aspen Gold Mining Company	Colorado	100% by Enservco	No active business operations.

On July 27, 2010 Dillco became a wholly owned subsidiary of Aspen (the “Merger Transaction”). At the time of the Merger Transaction Aspen was not engaged in active business operations whereas Dillco conducted operations both directly and through subsidiary entities.

The accompanying unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all of the normal and recurring adjustments necessary to fairly present the interim financial information set forth herein have been included. The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the operating results of a full year or of future years

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") and follow the same accounting policies and methods of their application as the most recent annual financial statements. These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and related footnotes included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Enservco Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2011. All significant inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

## **Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

### **Cash and Cash Equivalents**

The Company considers all highly liquid instruments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company continually monitors its positions with, and the credit quality of, the financial institutions with which it invests.

### **Accounts Receivable**

Accounts receivable are stated at the amount billed to customers. The Company provides a reserve for doubtful accounts based on a review of outstanding receivables, historical collection information and existing economic conditions. The provision for uncollectible amounts is continually reviewed and adjusted to maintain the allowance at a level considered adequate to cover future losses. The allowance is management's best estimate of uncollectible amounts and is determined based on historical performance that is tracked by the Company on an ongoing basis. The losses ultimately incurred could differ materially in the near term from the amounts estimated in determining the allowance. As of March 31, 2012 the Company has recorded an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$100,000. For the quarter ended March 31, 2012 the Company recorded bad debt recoveries of \$1,739.

### **Inventory**

Inventory consists primarily of diesel fuel and chemicals that are used in the servicing of oil wells and is carried at the lower of cost or market in accordance with the first in, first out method. The Company periodically reviews the value of items in inventory and provides write-downs or write-offs of inventory based on its assessment of market conditions. Write-downs and write-offs are charged to cost of goods sold.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consists of (1) trucks, trailers and pickups; (2) trucks that are in various stages of fabrication; (3) real property which includes land and buildings used for office and shop facilities and wells used for the disposal of water; and (4) other equipment such as tools used for maintaining and repairing vehicles, office furniture and fixtures, and computer equipment. Property and equipment is stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. The Company charges repairs and maintenance against income when incurred and capitalizes renewals and betterments, which extend the remaining useful life or expand the capacity of the assets. Depreciation is recorded on a straight-line basis over estimated useful lives of 5 to 30 years.

Leases

The Company conducts a major part of its operations from leased facilities. Each of these leases is accounted for as an operating lease. Normally, the Company records rental expense on its operating leases over the lease term as it becomes payable. If rental payments are not made on a straight-line basis, per terms of the agreement, the Company records a deferred rent expense and recognizes the rental expense on a straight-line basis throughout the lease term. The majority of the Company's facility leases contain renewal clauses and expire through November 2016. In most cases, management expects that in the normal course of business, leases will be renewed or replaced by other leases.

The Company entered into several capital leases in order to acquire trucks and equipment. Each of these leases allow the Company to retain title of the equipment leased through the lease agreements upon final payment of all principal and interest due. The Company records the assets and liabilities associated with these leases at the present value of the minimum lease payments per the lease agreement. The assets and associated liabilities are separately identified in the balance sheet. The assets are classified as Property and Equipment and the liabilities are classified as current and long-term liabilities based on the contractual terms of the agreements and their associated maturities.

#### Long-Lived Assets

The Company reviews its long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recovered. The Company looks primarily to the discounted future cash flows in its assessment of whether or not long-lived assets have been impaired. No impairments were recorded during the three month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011.

#### Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue when evidence of an arrangement exists, the fee is determinable, services are provided, and collection is reasonably assured. It should be noted that due to the seasonality of the Company's operations, a significant portion of revenues are recognized during the colder, winter months of the year. Therefore, the revenues recognized for the three month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 are not indicative of quarterly revenues through the remainder of the fiscal year.

#### Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income by the diluted weighted average number of common shares. The diluted weighted average number of common shares is computed using the treasury stock method for common stock that may be issued for outstanding stock options.

As of March 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had outstanding Stock-based Option Awards and Warrants to acquire an aggregate of 2,875,000 and 2,550,000 shares of Company common stock, respectively, which have a potentially dilutive impact on earnings per share. For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, the incremental shares of the options and warrants to be included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share had a dilutive impact on the Company's earnings per share of 1,240,747 and 618,940 shares, respectively.

Intangible Assets

*Non-Competition Agreements.* The non-competition agreements with the sellers of Heat Waves, Hot Oil Express, and Dillco have finite lives and are being amortized over a five-year period (Note 3). The Dillco non-competition agreement was written off in June 2009 upon the death of the contracted party. Amortization expense is expected to be recognized through June 2013.

*Goodwill.* Goodwill represents the excess of the cost over the fair value of net assets acquired, including identified intangible assets, recorded in connection with the acquisitions of Heat Waves. Goodwill is not amortized but is assessed for impairment at least annually.

*Impairment.* The Company assesses goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives for impairment at the reporting unit level on an annual basis and between annual tests if events occur or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value below its carrying amount. Guidance requires that the impairment test be performed through the application of a two-step fair value test. The Company utilizes this two-step method and recognizes a goodwill impairment loss in the event that the fair value of the reporting unit does not exceed its carrying value. During fiscal year ending December 31, 2011, the Company performed the annual impairment test and determined that no impairment existed. For the three month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company did not note any events that occurred, nor did any circumstances change, that would result in an impairment of goodwill or intangible assets with indefinite lives as of the last annual test performed.



### Marketable Securities

The Company determines the appropriate classification of its investments in debt and equity securities at the time of purchase and reevaluates such determinations at each balance sheet date. Debt securities are classified as held to maturity when the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold the securities to maturity. Debt securities for which the Company does not have the intent or ability to hold to maturity are classified as available for sale. Held-to-maturity securities are recorded as either short term or long term on the Balance Sheet, based on contractual maturity date and are stated at amortized cost. Marketable securities that are bought and held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near term are classified as trading securities and are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses recognized in earnings. Debt and marketable equity securities not classified as held to maturity or as trading, are classified as available for sale, and are carried at fair market value, with the unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, included in the determination of comprehensive income and reported in stockholders' equity.

The fair value of substantially all marketable securities is determined in reference to quoted market prices. The estimated fair value of securities for which there are no quoted market prices is based on similar types of securities that are traded in the market. See Note 7.

### Loan Fees and Other Deferred Costs

In the normal course of business, the Company often enters into loan agreements with its primary lending institutions. The majority of these lending agreements require origination fees and other fees in the course of executing the agreements. For all costs associated with the execution of the lending agreements, the Company recognizes these as capitalized costs and defers the expensing of these costs over the term of the loan agreement. These deferred costs are classified on the balance sheet as current or long-term assets based on the contractual terms of the loan agreements. All other costs not associated with the execution of the loan agreements are expensed as incurred.

### Deferred Rent Liability

The Company recognizes rent expense on a straight-line basis over the life of the rental agreement. Deferred rent liability is recognized as the difference between rent expense recorded and actual cash payments made and is recorded as a Long-Term Liability as a separate line item on the accompanying consolidated Balance Sheet. As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, deferred rent liability totaled \$21,748 and \$22,044, respectively.

Income Taxes

Enservco LLC (which served as the holding company for the Company's various operating entities until the time of the Merger Transaction in July 2010) and its subsidiaries, with the exception of Dillco (which is a C Corporation subject to federal and state income taxes), are limited liability companies and prior to January 1, 2010 were not subject to federal or state income taxes. On January 1, 2010 Enservco LLC elected to be taxed as a corporation. Therefore, prior to January 1, 2010 no provision or liability for income taxes has been included in the accompanying financial statements, except for income taxes relating to the financial statements of Dillco and Aspen (the current parent (or holding) company for the Company's operations and assets).

The Company recognizes deferred tax liabilities and assets (Note 8) based on the differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the financial statements that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities will be recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Deferred income taxes are classified as a net current or non-current asset or liability based on the classification of the related asset or liability for financial reporting purposes. A deferred tax asset or liability that is not related to an asset or liability for financial reporting is classified according to the expected reversal date. The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets to an amount that it believes is more likely than not expected to be realized.

The Company accounts for any uncertainty in income taxes by recognizing the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The Company measures the tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position based on the largest benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate resolution. The application of income tax law is inherently complex. Laws and regulations in this area are voluminous and are often ambiguous. As such, the Company is required to make many subjective assumptions and judgments regarding income tax exposures. Interpretations of and guidance surrounding income tax law and regulations change over time and may result in changes to the Company's subjective assumptions and judgments which can materially affect amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of income. The result of the reassessment of the Company's tax positions did not have an impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Interest and penalties associated with tax positions are recorded in the period assessed as general and administrative expenses. No interest or penalties have been assessed as of March 31, 2012. The Company files tax returns in the United States and in the states in which it conducts its business operations. The tax years 2008 through 2010 remain open to examination in the taxing jurisdictions to which the Company is subject.

#### Fair Value

The Company follows authoritative guidance that applies to all financial assets and liabilities required to be measured and reported on a fair value basis. The Company also applies the guidance to non-financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, including non-competition agreements and goodwill. The guidance defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The guidance establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available.

Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company's assumptions of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability based on the best information available in the circumstances. The Company did not change its valuation techniques nor were there any transfers between hierarchy levels during the three months ended March 31, 2012. The financial and nonfinancial assets and liabilities are classified based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the reliability of the inputs as follows:

- Level 1: Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;  
Level 2: Quoted prices in active markets for similar assets and liabilities that are observable for the asset or liability; or  
Level 3: Unobservable pricing inputs that are generally less observable from objective sources, such as discounted cash flow models or valuations.

### Stock-based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification 718, “Stock Compensation”, which required companies to recognize compensation expense for the share-based payments based on the estimated fair value of the awards. The effect of this guidance is described in Note 10.

### Management Estimates

The preparation of the Company’s financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

**Note 3 - Non-Competition Agreements**

Non-competition agreements consist of the following as of March 31, 2012:

Non-competition agreements - net, at January 1, 2011	\$420,000
Amortization for the year ended December 31, 2011	(240,000)
Non-competition agreements - net, at December 31, 2011	180,000
Amortization for the three months ended March 31, 2012	(60,000 )
Non-competition agreements - net, at March 31, 2012	\$ 120,000

Amortization expense for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 totaled \$60,000 for each period.

Amortization expense on these non-competition agreements for each of the next two years will be as follows:

Twelve Months Ending March 31,

2013	\$ 105,000
2014	15,000
Total	\$ 120,000

**Note 4 - Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment consists of the following as of:

	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Trucks and vehicles	\$ 23,926,961	\$ 22,050,564
Other equipment	2,908,961	2,888,663
Buildings and improvements	2,947,305	2,947,305
Trucks in process	378,192	852,975
Capitalized truck leases	455,093	455,093
Land	701,420	701,420
Disposal wells	620,104	620,104
Total property and equipment	31,938,036	30,516,124

Edgar Filing: Enservco Corp - Form 10-Q

Accumulated depreciation	(16,685,446 )	(15,344,254 )
Property and equipment - net	\$ 15,252,590	\$ 15,171,870

Depreciation expense for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 totaled \$1,341,192 and \$1,007,197, respectively.

**Note 5 - Debt**

Long-term debt consists of the following as of:

	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Term Loan entered into as part of the debt refinancing in June 2010 with an original principal balance of \$9.1 million, payable in monthly interest only payments from July 2010 to June 2011 with fixed monthly principal and interest installments of \$225,139 beginning July 2011 until March 2015. Interest at Prime plus 1% with a 5.5% floor (5.5% at March 31, 2012), collateralized by equipment, inventory, and accounts of the Company, guaranteed by the subsidiaries and one of the stockholders of the Company, and subject to financial covenants	\$7,484,467	\$ 8,050,472
Notes payable to stockholder, subordinated to all bank debt, fixed interest at 3% compounding annually, interest paid in arrears December 31st of each year, due in December 2018.	1,477,760	1,477,760
Notes payable to equipment finance companies, interest at 2.97% to 4.74%, due in monthly principal and interest installments through January 2012, secured by equipment.	6,964	27,753
Note payable to the seller of Heat Waves. The note was garnished by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") in 2009 and is due on demand; payable in monthly installments of \$3,000 per agreement with the IRS.	341,000	350,000
Mortgage payable to a bank, interest at 8%, due in monthly payments through May 2012 with a balloon payment of \$229,198 on June 15, 2012, secured by land, guaranteed by one of the Company's stockholders.	233,444	242,543
Note payable entered into with a lending institution in order to purchase field equipment, interest at a fixed rate of 6.50%. Term of 48 months, due in monthly installments of \$10,294 through December 2015.	401,390	-
Mortgage payable to a bank, interest at 8%, payable in monthly payments through August 2012 with a balloon payment of \$141,707 on September 1, 2012, secured by land.	145,181	147,631
Notes payable to vehicle finance companies, interest at fixed rates from 6.19% to 10.25%, due in monthly installments through August 2015, secured by vehicles, guaranteed by one of the stockholders.	120,949	139,140
Capital leases entered into with a leasing company in order to purchase trucks and trailers, interest at a fixed rate of 5%. Truck lease term of 24 months, due in monthly installments through September 2012. Trailer lease term of 36 months, payments due in monthly installments through September 2013 (Note 9).	179,401	226,900

Note payable entered into with a lending institution in order to purchase field pickup trucks, interest at a fixed rate of 8.05%. Term of 60 months, due in monthly installments of \$4,688 through September 2016.	211,562	221,213
---	---------	---------

Equipment Loan entered into with an original principal balance of \$1,000,000, payable in two consecutive interest only payments, beginning December 23, 2010, forty-seven monthly consecutive principal and interest payments of \$23,291, beginning February 23, 2011, and one final principal and interest payment of \$23,315 due on January 23, 2015. Interest at Prime plus 1% with a 5.5% floor (5.5% at March 31, 2012), collateralized by equipment purchased with the equipment loan, guaranteed by the subsidiaries and stockholders of the Company, subject to financial covenants.	730,821	789,975
---	---------	---------



Edgar Filing: Enservco Corp - Form 10-Q

	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Equipment Loan entered into with an original principal balance of \$152,303, payable in forty-seven monthly consecutive principal and interest payments of \$3,548, beginning September 1, 2011, and one final principal and interest payment of \$3,548 due on August 1, 2015. Interest at Prime plus 1% with a 5.5% floor (5.5% at March 31, 2012), collateralized by equipment purchased with the equipment loan, guaranteed by the subsidiaries and one of the stockholders of the Company, subject to financial covenants.	\$132,149	\$ 140,873
Equipment Loan entered into with an original principal balance of \$410,642, payable in forty-seven monthly consecutive principal and interest payments of \$9,565, beginning on October 13, 2011, and one final principal and interest payment of \$9,565 due on September 13, 2015. Interest at Prime plus 1% with a 5.5% floor (5.5% at March 31, 2012), collateralized by equipment purchased with the equipment loan, guaranteed by the subsidiaries and one of the stockholders of the Company, subject to financial covenants.	363,625	387,044
Equipment Loan entered into with an original principal balance of \$452,795, payable in forty-seven monthly consecutive principal and interest payments of \$10,547, beginning on December 9, 2011, and one final principal and interest payment of \$10,030 due on November 9, 2015. Interest at Prime plus 1% with a 5.5% floor (5.5% at March 31, 2012), collateralized by equipment purchased with the equipment loan, guaranteed by the subsidiaries and one of the stockholders of the Company, subject to financial covenants.	418,325	443,909
Equipment Loan entered into with an original principal balance of \$895,632, payable in forty-seven monthly consecutive principal and interest payments of \$20,859, beginning on March 9, 2012, and one final principal and interest payment of \$10,030 due on February 9, 2016. Interest at Prime plus 1% with a 5.5% floor (5.5% at March 31, 2012), collateralized by equipment purchased with the equipment loan, guaranteed by the subsidiaries and one of the stockholders of the Company, subject to financial covenants.	878,604	-
Real Estate Loan for a facility in North Dakota entered into with an original principal balance of \$678,750, amended to \$705,000 during February 2012, payable in two payment streams; the first payment stream requires six monthly consecutive interest only payments beginning December 16, 2011, and the second payment stream requires one-hundred and twenty monthly consecutive principal and interest payments of \$7,416 beginning on June 16, 2012 and ending May 16, 2022. Interest is calculated differently for each of the payment streams; interest for payment stream one is 5.0%, and interest for payment stream two is Prime plus 3.5% with a 4.75% floor (4.75% at March 31, 2012). Loan is collateralized by land and property purchased with the loan, guaranteed by the subsidiaries and one of the stockholders of the Company, subject to financial covenants.	705,000	678,750
Note payable entered into with a lending institution in order to purchase equipment, interest at a fixed rate of 8.2%. Truck lease term of 60 months, due in monthly	40,759	41,890

installments through January 2017.

Total	\$13,871,401	\$13,365,853
Less current portion	(3,825,657)	(3,867,658)
Long-term debt, net of current portion	\$10,045,744	\$9,498,195

Aggregate maturities of debt are as follows:

Twelve Months Ending March 31,

2013	\$3,825,657
2014	3,539,672
2015	3,796,836
2016	621,834
2017	115,270
Thereafter	1,972,132
Total	\$13,871,401

Revolving Line of Credit

As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the outstanding balance on the revolving line of credit with our primary lender was \$2,988,227 and \$2,263,227, respectively.

**Note 6 – Marketable Securities**

Available-for-sale securities

Available-for-sale securities, classified as other current assets, is as follows:

	December 31, 2011				
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Unrealized Losses in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Sales of Securities	Fair Value
Available-for-sale securities	\$365,786	\$ 83,817	\$ (298,810	) \$ -	\$ 150,793

March 31, 2012

Edgar Filing: Enservco Corp - Form 10-Q

	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Unrealized Losses in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Sales of Securities	Fair Value
Available-for-sale securities	\$ 150,793	\$ 30,046	\$ (948	) \$(110,462)	\$ 69,429

Net unrealized holding gains on available-for-sale securities in the amount of \$29,098 for the three months ended March 31, 2012, have been included in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Due to the sale of marketable securities during the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company reversed an additional \$50,313 out of the accumulated other comprehensive income balance, resulting in an unrealized loss on available-for-sales securities of \$21,215 for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and an accumulated other comprehensive income balance of \$1,858 at March 31, 2012.

**Note 7 - Fair Value Measurements**

The following tables present the Company's financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis by level within the fair value hierarchy:

	December 31, 2011			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Available-for-sale Securities				
Equity Securities – industrial metals and minerals	\$85,900	\$ -	\$ -	\$85,900
Mutual Fund – bonds international	64,893	-	-	64,893
Total	\$150,793	\$ -	\$ -	\$150,793

	March 31, 2012			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Available-for-sale Securities				
Mutual Fund – bonds international	\$69,429	\$ -	\$ -	\$69,429

**Note 8 – Income Taxes**

During and before the 2009 calendar (and fiscal) year, Enservco LLC and some of its subsidiaries had elected to be treated as limited liability companies for income tax purposes. Accordingly, all taxable income and losses for these entities are reported in the respective income tax returns of the member and no provision for income taxes has been recorded in the accompanying financial statements. Subsidiaries taxed as corporations, however, do record a provision for income taxes.

Pursuant to a reorganization of the Company (prior to the Merger Transaction), effective as of December 31, 2009, the ownership of Heat Waves, Trinidad Housing, Real GC and certain assets of HNR LLC (“HNR”, deconsolidated as of December 31, 2009) were contributed to Dillco. Since Dillco is a C Corporation, this reorganization effectively resulted in a conversion from a limited liability corporation to a C Corporation for the entities and the assets of HNR. Accordingly, the corresponding net deferred tax liabilities of Dillco were recorded as liabilities of the Company with a corresponding increase in deferred income tax expense.

Also, pursuant to the Merger Transaction with Aspen (a C Corporation) at July 27, 2010, the Company has recorded all net deferred tax assets contributed by Aspen as part of the Merger Transaction as an increase in the deferred income tax benefit.

Income tax expense during interim periods is based on applying an estimated annual effective income tax rate to year-to-date income, plus any significant unusual or infrequently occurring items which are recorded in the interim period. The provision for income taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 differs from the amount that would be provided by applying the statutory U.S. federal income tax rate of 34% to pre-tax income primarily because of state income taxes and estimated permanent differences.

The computation of the annual estimated effective tax rate at each interim period requires certain estimates and significant judgment including, but not limited to, the expected operating income for the year, projections of the proportion of income earned and taxed in various jurisdictions, permanent and temporary differences, and the likelihood of recovering deferred tax assets generated in the current year. The accounting estimates used to compute the provision for income taxes may change as new events occur, more experience is obtained, additional information becomes known or as the tax environment changes.

**Note 9 – Commitments and Contingencies**

The Company leases six facilities under lease commitments that expire through November 2016. Future minimum lease commitments are as follows:

Twelve Months Ending March 31,	
2013	\$ 192,754
2014	167,503
2015	149,251
2016	151,000
2017	62,848
Total	\$723,356

The Company has entered into capital leases for five water transport units (each unit includes one truck and one trailer), which have been included in Property and Equipment (Note 4) and are summarized in the table below as of March 31, 2012:

Capitalized Trucks	\$218,807
Capitalized Trailers	236,286
Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(99,636 )
Net Assets Under Capital Leases	\$355,457

The following is a summary of the future minimum lease payments under capital leases as of March 31, 2012:

Twelve Months Ending March 31,	Minimum Lease Payment
2013	\$ 142,534
2014	42,239
2015	-
Total minimum lease payments	184,773
Less: Interest	(5,372 )
Net minimum lease payments	179,401
Less: Current portion	(137,686 )
Long-term portion of minimum lease payments	\$ 41,715

**Note 10 – Stockholder's Equity**

2010 Option Plan

On July 27, 2010 the Company's Board of Directors adopted the Aspen Exploration 2010 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2010 Plan"). The aggregate number of shares of our common stock that may be issued through December 31, 2011 under all equity-based awards made under the 2010 Plan is 3,500,000 shares. The number of shares subject to the 2010 Plan may be reset each year, commencing January 1, 2012, based on the number of shares of stock then outstanding. As such, at January 1, 2012 the number of shares of common stock available under the 2010 Plan was reset to 3,266,830 shares; calculated as 15% of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock (21,778,866 shares) on that date.

Through March 31, 2012 the Company had granted options to acquire a total of 2,185,000 shares of common stock granted pursuant to the 2010 Plan. A portion of these options are subject to vesting schedules.



The exercise price of the options granted under the 2010 Plan was determined based on the terms and conditions within the 2010 Plan. Pursuant to the 2010 Plan, options to acquire an aggregate of 975,000 shares of common stock were granted on the date of the Merger Transaction. The exercise price of these options was based on the closing price of the Company's common stock on the second business day following the Company reporting the closing of the Merger Transaction. Of these shares, 225,000 shares vested immediately upon grant and the remaining 750,000 shares vest ratably over the term of the options. Subsequently, options to acquire 2,275,000 shares of common stock were granted under the 2010 Plan and the exercise price of these options was based either on the closing sale price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant or the ten day average closing price of the Company's common stock prior to the grant date. These 2,275,000 shares vest over two to three year periods with 833,333 shares having vested on the date of grant. Subsequent to issuance of the 2,275,000 shares, as of March 31, 2012, 1,065,000 of these shares expired for failure to meet established performance goals or as a result of termination of employment.

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The options issued under the 2010 Plan were valued using the following weighted average assumptions: no dividend yield, expected volatility of 114.73%, risk free interest rate of 0.78% and expected term of 3.1 years. Expected volatility was calculated based upon actual historical stock price movements over the most recent periods through the date of issuance, equal to the expected option term. Expected pre-vesting forfeitures were assumed to be zero. The expected option term was calculated using the "simplified" method.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012 the Company recognized stock-based compensation expense (through operating expense as general and administrative expense) of \$121,922. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, 1,030,000 shares of the 2010 Plan were forfeited. The cumulative effect of these forfeitures was to reverse \$77,286 of stock-based compensation expense during the three month period ending March 31, 2012, resulting in a net expense of \$44,636 for the period, which is recorded within general and administrative expenses. As of March 31, 2012 the Company had unrecognized expense of \$197,814 associated with outstanding options, which will be recognized over the remaining weighted-average period of 2.0 years. The options were classified as equity instruments on the balance sheet at March 31, 2012.

#### 2008 Option Plan

Through July 27, 2010 Aspen had one equity compensation plan, the "2008 Equity Plan." An aggregate of 1,000,000 common shares were reserved for issuance under the 2008 Equity Plan and in February 2008 the Board of Directors granted directors and employees options to acquire 775,000 shares which vested based on meeting certain performance goals, exercisable at \$2.14 per share through February 27, 2013. Of these, all but 140,431 have expired as of March 31, 2012 for failure to meet established performance goals or as a result of termination of employment. As of December 31, 2011, the Company did not have any unrecognized expense associated with these options.

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The options issued under the 2008 Equity Plan were valued using the following weighted average assumptions: no dividend yield, expected volatility of 58%, risk free interest rate of 2.25% and expected term of 3.3 years. Expected volatility was calculated based upon actual historical stock price movements over the most recent periods through the date of issuance, equal to the expected option term. Expected pre-vesting forfeitures were assumed to be zero. The expected option term was calculated using the “simplified” method.

Pursuant to the 2008 Equity Plan, on February 15, 2010, Aspen’s Board of Directors granted options to certain Aspen employees and consultants. The options were granted to persons who remained with Aspen and had provided (and were then expected to continue to provide) valuable services to Aspen, and to help align interests of the recipients with those of Aspen and its stockholders. In total, Aspen granted options to acquire 350,000 shares of its common stock which were exercisable at \$0.4125 per share (equal to 125% of the closing price on the business day after the day the Company filed its Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2009).

Each of the options expires on February 15, 2015. All of the options granted became vested as a result of the Merger Transaction on July 27, 2010. On July 27, 2010, the Company terminated the 2008 Equity Plan, although such termination did not terminate or otherwise affect the contractual rights of persons who hold options to acquire common stock under the 2008 Equity Plan.

The following information summarizes information with respect to options granted under all equity plans:

	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	2,465,431	\$ 0.59	3.34
Granted	-	-	
Exercised	-	-	
Forfeited or Expired	-	-	
Outstanding at March 31, 2011	2,465,431	\$ 0.59	3.09
Granted	875,000	1.03	
Exercised	-	-	
Forfeited or Expired	(35,000 )	0.84	
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	3,305,431	\$ 0.70	2.51
Granted	400,000	1.07	
Exercised	-	-	
Forfeited or Expired	(1,030,000)	0.50	
Outstanding at March 31, 2012	2,675,431	\$ 0.83	1.99
Exercisable at December 31, 2010	1,298,764	\$ 0.49	3.34
Exercisable at March 31, 2011	1,298,764	\$ 0.49	3.09
Exercisable at December 31, 2011	2,182,097	\$ 0.71	2.25
Exercisable at March 31, 2012	1,515,431	\$ 0.64	2.00

A summary of the status of nonvested shares underlying the options are presented below:

	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Nonvested at December 31, 2010	1,166,667	\$ 0.32
Granted	-	
Vested	-	
Forfeited	-	
Nonvested at March 31, 2011	1,166,667	\$ 0.32
Granted	875,000	0.75
Vested	(883,333 )	0.50
Forfeited	(35,000 )	0.62

Edgar Filing: Enservco Corp - Form 10-Q

Nonvested at December 31, 2011	1,123,334	\$	0.48
Granted	400,000		0.75
Vested	-		-
Forfeited	(363,334 )		0.38
Nonvested at March 31, 2012	1,160,000	\$	0.65

## Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion provides information regarding the results of operations for the three month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, and our financial condition, liquidity and capital resources as of March 31, 2012, and December 31, 2011. The financial statements and the notes thereto contain detailed information that should be referred to in conjunction with this discussion.

### Forward-Looking Statements

The information discussed in this Quarterly Report includes “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 (the “Securities Act”) and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”). All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included herein concerning, among other things, planned capital expenditures, increases in oil and gas production, the number of anticipated wells to be drilled after the date hereof, future cash flows and borrowings, pursuit of potential acquisition opportunities, our financial position, business strategy and other plans and objectives for future operations, are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are identified by their use of terms and phrases such as “may,” “expect,” “estimate,” “project,” “plan,” “believe,” “intend,” “achievable,” “anticipate,” “will,” “continue,” “potential,” “should,” “could,” terms and phrases. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, they do involve certain assumptions, risks and uncertainties. Our results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including, among others:

our \$2.0 million working capital deficit at March 31, 2012 (\$2.7 million deficit at December 31, 2011) raises concerns regarding our financial and operational capabilities absent raising debt or equity, or improving results of operations, to address the working capital deficit;

our ability to generate sufficient cash flows to repay our debt obligations as they become due and to repay our outstanding debt when due;

- future capital requirements and uncertainty of obtaining additional funding on terms acceptable to us;
- availability of borrowings under our credit facility;
- historical incurrence of losses;

our ability to retain key members of our senior management and key technical employees, and conflicts of interests with respect to our directors;

- effect of seasonal factors;

- a decline in oil or natural gas production or oil or natural gas prices, the impact of price volatility in the oil and natural gas industries and the impact of general economic conditions on the demand for the services we offer to the oil and natural gas industries;

- activities of our competitors, many of whom have greater financial resources than we have;

geographical diversity of our operations and the difficulties inherent in managing such geographically diverse operations;

- ongoing U.S. and global economic uncertainty;

- unanticipated increases in the cost of our operations;

- reliance on limited number of customers and creditworthiness of our customers;
  - increases in interest rates and our failure to hedge against possible interest rate increases;
- impact of environmental, health and safety, and other governmental regulations, and of current or pending legislation;
- further sales or issuances of common stock; and
  - our common stock's limited trading history.

Finally, our future results will depend upon various other risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, those detailed in our filings with the SEC and in Part II, Item 1A of this Quarterly Report. For additional information regarding risks and uncertainties, please read our filings with the SEC under the Exchange Act and the Securities Act, including our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. All forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements in this paragraph and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report. Other than as required under securities laws, we do not assume a duty to update these forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, subsequent events or circumstances, changes in expectations or otherwise.

### **Company Overview and Overview of the Information Presented**

The Company was incorporated as Aspen Exploration Corporation under the laws of the State of Delaware on February 28, 1980 for the primary purpose of acquiring, exploring and developing oil and natural gas and other mineral properties. On June 30, 2009, Aspen disposed of all of its remaining oil and natural gas producing assets and as a result was no longer engaged in active business operations. On June 24, 2010, Aspen entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger and Reorganization with Dillco Fluid Service, Inc. ("Dillco") which set forth the terms by which Dillco became a wholly owned subsidiary of Aspen on July 27, 2010 (the "Merger Transaction").

On December 30, 2010, Aspen changed its name to "Enservco Corporation." As such, throughout this report the terms the "Company" and/or "Enservco" are intended to refer to the Company on a post Merger Transaction basis and as a whole, with respect to both historical and forward looking contexts. As a result of the Merger Transaction, the Company's fiscal year was modified to be the calendar year as described below.

Going forward, and subject to the availability of adequate financing, the Company expects to continue to pursue its growth strategies of exploring additional acquisitions, potentially expanding the geographic areas in which it operates,

and diversifying the products and services it provides to customers, as well as making further investments in its assets and equipment. The Company will require additional debt or equity financing to fund the costs necessary to expand the services it offers. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to raise outside capital or have access to outside funding on reasonable terms, if at all.

### **Accounting Treatment of the Merger**

The Merger Transaction, by which Dillco became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Enservco, was treated as a "reverse acquisition" for accounting purposes. In a reverse acquisition, although Aspen was considered to be the "legal acquirer" (that is, Aspen (now Enservco Corporation) survived as the parent corporation), Dillco was the "accounting acquirer" (that is because Dillco's and its subsidiaries' business was undeniably the more significant business). As a result, Dillco's financial statements became the financial statements of the surviving company. Aspen's financial condition is additive to Dillco's financial statements for the period following the Merger Transaction.

As part of the Merger Transaction, Aspen issued 14,519,244 shares of its common stock to the shareholders of Dillco, in exchange for all of the issued and outstanding shares of Dillco (7,259,622 shares).



Effective with the Agreement, the Company's stockholders' equity was recapitalized as that of Aspen, or \$72,596 from Dillco and \$36,298 from Aspen for a total of \$108,894, while 100% of the assets and liabilities of Aspen were recorded as being acquired in the reverse acquisition.

Dillco's fiscal year end is December 31 whereas prior to the Merger Transaction Aspen's fiscal year end was June 30. Because Dillco was the accounting acquirer the Merger Transaction resulted in the Company's fiscal year end being deemed to change to December 31. Thus, starting with its Form 10-Q filed for the quarter ended September 30, 2010, the Company is now filing annual and quarterly reports based on the December 31 fiscal year end of Dillco rather than the former (pre-acquisition) June 30 fiscal year end of Aspen. Although not required to complete the change of the fiscal year, more than a majority of the Company's stockholders approved that change (as well as a change to the Company's tax year) by consent.

The financial statements included in this report are for Enservco's three month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 and include Aspen's financial statements only as a result of, and subsequent to, the Merger Transaction. As such, the following management's discussion and analysis is with respect to Enservco's three months ended March 31, 2012, and the corresponding period(s) in the previous fiscal year. Because of the business combination by which Dillco became a wholly owned subsidiary of Enservco, no separate discussion regarding Aspen's financial condition or results of operations are included in this report.

**Discussion of Operations for the Three Months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011**

The following table shows the results of operations for the periods noted. Please see information following the table for management's discussion of significant changes.

	For the Three Months Ended					
	March 31,					
	2012	% of		2011	% of	
	(Unaudited)	Revenue		(Unaudited)	Revenue	
Revenues	\$9,537,994	100	%	\$9,261,521	100	%
Cost of Revenue	6,676,684	70	%	5,797,434	63	%
Gross Profit	2,861,310	30	%	3,464,087	37	%
Operating Expenses						
General and administrative expenses	903,360	9	%	748,904	8	%
Depreciation and amortization	1,401,192	15	%	1,080,607	12	%
Total operating expenses	2,304,552	24	%	1,829,511	20	%
Income from Operations	556,758	6	%	1,634,576	18	%
Other Expense	(139,515 )	(1	)%	(219,853 )	(2	)%
Income Before Income Tax Expense	417,243	5	%	1,414,723	16	%
Income Tax Expense	139,356	1	%	529,635	6	%
Net Income	\$277,887	4	%	\$885,088	10	%
EBITDA:						
Net Income	\$277,887			\$885,088		
Add:						
Interest Expense	209,741			180,311		
Provision for income taxes	139,356			529,635		
Depreciation and amortization	1,401,192			1,080,607		
EBITDA	2,028,176			2,675,641		
Add (Deduct):						
Stock-based compensation	44,636			49,681		
Loss on disposal of equipment	-			44,286		
Gain on sale of investments	(11,762 )			-		
Other income	(58,464 )			(4,744 )		
Adjusted EBITDA*	\$2,002,586			\$2,764,864		
Income Per Common Share:						
Basic	\$0.01			\$0.04		
Diluted	\$0.01			\$0.04		

Weighted average number of common shares outstanding  
(used to calculate basic and diluted income per share)

Basic	21,778,866	21,778,866
Diluted	23,019,613	22,397,806

Although Enservco does not have segmented business operations, which would require segment reporting within the notes of its financial statements per general accounting standards, we believe that revenue by service offering may be useful to readers of our financials. The following tables set forth revenue information for the Company's three service offerings during the three month periods ending March 31, 2012 and 2011:

	For the Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2012	2011
BY SERVICE OFFERING:	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)
Fluid Management <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 2,111,226	\$ 2,369,036
Well Enhancement Services <sup>(2)</sup>	7,333,854	6,657,366
Well Site Construction and Roustabout Services	92,914	235,119
Total Revenues	\$ 9,537,994	\$ 9,261,521

Enservco has also determined that an understanding of the diversity of its operations by geography is important to an understanding of its business operations. Enservco only does business in the United States, in what it believes are three geographically diverse regions. The following table sets forth revenue information for the Company's three geographic regions during the three month periods ending March 31, 2012 and 2011:

	For the Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2012	2011
BY GEOGRAPHY:	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)
Eastern USA Region <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 1,298,110	\$ 4,686,710
Rocky Mountain Region <sup>(4)</sup>	5,525,073	1,768,544
Central USA Region <sup>(5)</sup>	2,714,811	2,806,267
Total Revenues	\$ 9,537,994	\$ 9,261,521

Notes to tables:

(1) Water hauling/disposal and frac tank rental.

(2) Services such as frac heating, acidizing, hot oil services, and pressure testing.

Edgar Filing: Enservco Corp - Form 10-Q

- Consists of operations and services performed in the southern region of the Marcellus Shale formation
- (3)(southwestern Pennsylvania and northern West Virginia). Heat Waves is the only Company subsidiary operating in this region.
- (4) Consists of western Colorado, northeastern Utah, southeastern Wyoming, western North Dakota, and eastern Montana. Heat Waves is the only Company subsidiary operating in this region.
- (5) Consists of southwestern Kansas, northwestern Oklahoma, and northern New Mexico. Both Dillco and Heat Waves engage in business operations in this region.

## Revenues

Due to the two new operation centers opened during September 2011 and anticipated organic growth in our Rocky Mountain and Central USA regions, the Company expected a significant increase in revenues during the first quarter of 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011. However, due to the higher-than-average temperatures and moderate weather within these regions (regions in which the Company performs Well Enhancement services, primarily as it relates to our frac heating and hot oiling services), the Company realized only a slight increase (increase of \$275,000 or 3%) in revenues during the first quarter of 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011. If the weather within these regions would have followed historic cold weather trends for the first quarter of 2012, as it has in prior years, we believe there would have been a greater increase in revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2012. We believe that the continuing oil and gas exploration and development activities in our Central and Rocky Mountain regions and our new operations in the Niobrara and Bakken basins will continue to positively impact revenues in future periods.

The approximately \$275,000 or 3% increase in revenues in the first three months of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 was a result of several factors. Please see below for a discussion of these factors:

Factors that increased revenues during the three months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to 2011:

(1) Opening two new operation centers during September 2011 in a) Cheyenne, Wyoming (to expand service coverage within the D-J Basin and Niobrara formation), and b) Killdeer, North Dakota (to provide new service coverage within the Bakken formation of western North Dakota and eastern Montana). These two new operation centers (located within our Rocky Mountain region) accounted for the majority of the approximate \$5.5 million of revenues generated in our Rocky Mountain region during the three months ended March 31, 2012, an approximate \$3.8 million increase over revenues generated in the Rocky Mountain region during the same period 2011;

(2) Increased Well Enhancement services within our Rocky Mountain and Central USA regions (made up of multiple operation centers covering western Colorado, northeastern Utah, southeastern Wyoming; and southwestern Kansas, northwestern Oklahoma, eastern Colorado, northern New Mexico; respectively) due to increased demand for services from existing and new customers due primarily to the growth in the development of unconventional oil and gas wells; and

(3) Increased Fluid Management services within our Central USA region as the Company was able to acquire new water hauling service contracts through our Dillco operations center.

Factors that decreased revenues during the three months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to 2011:

Revenues in the Eastern USA region (the southern Marcellus Shale formation covering southwestern Pennsylvania (1) and northern West Virginia) decreased by approximately \$3.4 million (approximately \$3.0 million in Well Enhancement services and \$400,000 in Fluid Management services) from the same period in 2011.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Eastern USA region accounted for approximately \$4.7 million of revenues (approximately \$4.2 million of Well Enhancement services and \$500,000 of Fluid Management services). However, due to higher-than-average temperatures and moderate weather during the 2011 - 2012 winter season (what has been called one of the warmest winters on record), starting late in the fourth quarter of 2011 and continuing through first quarter of 2012, we redeployed a majority of the assets from our operation center in the Eastern USA region in order to meet demands in our Rocky Mountain and Central USA regions (due to new operating centers and growth in those regions, as discussed above). As a result, revenues in this region for the three months ended March 31, 2012 were approximately \$1.3 million (approximately \$1.2 million of Well Enhancement services and \$100,000 of Fluid Management services), a decrease of approximately \$3.4 million as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011.

*Historical Seasonality of Revenues.* Because of the seasonality of our frac heating and hot oiling business, the second and third quarters are historically our lowest revenue generating periods of our fiscal year. In addition, the revenue mix of our service offerings also changes as our Well Enhancement services (which includes frac heating and hot oiling) decrease as a percentage of total revenues and Fluid Management services and other services increase. The first and fourth quarters of our fiscal year, covering the months during what is known as our “heating season”, have historically made up approximately 60% or more of our total fiscal year revenues, with the remaining 40% historically split evenly between the second and third quarters. As noted above, our first quarter of 2012 experienced higher-than-average temperatures and moderate weather and we were unable to realize the expected revenues within the quarter. However, revenue for the first quarter of 2012 (as it pertains to the percentage of annual revenues generated in each quarter) remained consistent with prior fiscal years.

### Costs of Revenues and Gross Profit

Although revenues increased (by approximately \$275,000 or 3%) during the three months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to the same period of 2011, cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues increased by approximately 7%. This resulted in a decreased gross profit margin of approximately \$600,000 or 17% for the first quarter in 2012 when compared to the same period in 2011. This increase in the cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues and resulting declining profitability rate for the period ending March 31, 2012 is primarily due to the following factors:

As discussed throughout this report, the Company relies heavily on the ability to generate the majority of its revenues and gross profit during the heating season (during the first and fourth quarters of our fiscal year), when temperatures are colder through its frac heating and hot oiling services. During the start of the 2011-2012 heating season, the Company fully staffed its operational centers with drivers and operators in order to meet the expected demand during the heating season. However, due to higher-than-expected temperatures in all company locations, (1) the expected demand for our heating services (frac heating and hot oiling) did not begin to materialize until midway through the first quarter of 2012, which still did not meet our projections for first quarter 2012. As such, the Company experienced significant operation costs during the first quarter of 2012 without achieving the expected revenues, resulting in increased cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues, thereby decreasing gross margins;

An increase in labor costs (salary and wages, benefits, etc.) and site overhead during the first quarter of 2012 due to the opening in September 2011 of two new operation centers in Cheyenne, WY and Killdeer, ND. We hired and (2) trained employees at these and each of our locations to meet expected demand in anticipation of a normal heating season. When, as discussed above, revenues were adversely affected by warm weather, we experienced an increase in cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues and decreased gross margins;

(3) An overall increase in the price of fuel and other transportation costs during the period; and

(4)



An increase in costs for repairs and general maintenance during the period due to the increased truck and equipment fleet (over \$6.7 million of truck and equipment purchases within the last five quarters).

### General and Administrative Expenses

Although general and administrative expenses remained relatively consistent as a percentage of revenues during the three months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to the same period 2011, only increasing by 1%, the amount spent on our general and administrative expenses increased during the first quarter in 2012 by approximately \$155,000 or 21%, as compared to the same period in 2011.

In general, this increase reflects increased payroll costs in order to employ and retain experienced personnel to meet corporate management and staff needs, which included increased salary, benefits, and bonus expenses during the period. The increase is also due to non-cash expenses for the issuance of stock options to employees; issued in current and future periods (these expenses are recognized over the expected life of the options, subject to vesting terms). This non-cash increase in expense was offset by releasing the cumulative expense in the current period for stock options which were forfeited by former Company employees; releasing the total amount for current and past periods within the current period.

We anticipate that our general and administrative expenses will continue to increase as our operations increase, although we expect to be able to maintain our general and administrative expenses as a reasonable and consistent percentage of revenues.

### Depreciation and Amortization

Our depreciation and amortization expenses increased as a percentage of revenues in the three months ended March 31, 2012, as compared to 2011, by approximately 3%. This resulted in an increase in our depreciation and amortization expense of approximately \$320,000 or 30% for the first quarter of 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011. This is due mainly to property and equipment purchases during fiscal year 2011 of approximately \$5.3 million and another \$1.4 million in purchases during the first quarter of 2012. We anticipate that our depreciation and amortization expenses will continue to increase as we add more equipment to the extent that financing is available to do so, of which there can be no assurance.

### Results of Operations

Although revenues increased (by approximately \$275,000 or 3%) during the three months ended March 31, 2012, our income from operations as a percentage of revenues decreased by approximately 12%, a decrease in income from operations of approximately \$1.1 million or 66%, as compared to the same period in 2011. As discussed within the

*Cost of Revenues and Gross Profit, General and Administrative Expenses, and Depreciation and Amortization* sections above, this decrease in our income from operations during the first quarter of 2012 was primarily a result of the \$600,000 or 17% decrease in our gross profit margins (as a result of a record warm winter) and our \$155,000 or 21% increase in our general and administrative expenses, as compared to the same period in 2011.

Notwithstanding the \$1.1 million decrease in our income from operations during the first quarter in 2012, the Company experienced positive cash flow from operations for the period of approximately \$580,000. As cash flow from operations continues to improve, we are hopeful that our income from operations and net income will also continue to improve. While we cannot provide any assurance that the improvements in cash flow from operations will continue as recognized during our first quarter of 2012, we intend to continue to monitor all of the components and work to achieve operational and cash flow efficiencies.

Management believes that this improvement in operations reflects the beneficial effect of our increased operations, focus on obtaining profitability, and the benefit of the colder weather in the first and last quarters of the year. We believe that as long as we are able to maintain our costs under control and increase our revenues as a result of our expanding geographical regions and service areas, our financial performance will continue to improve over the long run, although during the short term and thereafter on a quarter-to-quarter basis, there may still be periods of loss.

Income Taxes

The decrease in the income tax expense for the three months ending March 31, 2012 as compared to the same period during 2011 was due to a decrease in the Company's net income before taxes of approximately \$1.0 million; from approximately \$1.4 million during the first quarter of 2011 to approximately \$400,000 for the same period during 2012.

Adjusted EBITDA\*

The following table presents a reconciliation of our net income to our Adjusted EBITDA on a historical basis for each of the periods indicated:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012 (Unaudited)	2011 (Unaudited)
Net Income	\$ 277,887	\$ 885,088
Add:		
Interest Expense	209,741	180,311
Provision for income taxes	139,356	529,635
Depreciation and amortization	1,401,192	1,080,607
EBITDA	2,028,176	2,675,641
Add (Deduct):		
Stock-based compensation	44,636	49,681
Loss on disposal of equipment	-	44,286
Gain on sale of investments	(11,762 )	-
Other income	(58,464 )	(4,744 )
Adjusted EBITDA*	\$ 2,002,586	\$ 2,764,864

\*Note: See below for discussion of the use of non-GAAP financial measurements.

*Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures:* Non-GAAP results are presented only as a supplement to the financial statements and for use within management's discussion and analysis based on U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The non-GAAP financial information is provided to enhance the reader's understanding of the Company's financial performance, but no non-GAAP measure should be considered in isolation or as a substitute for financial measures calculated in accordance with GAAP. Reconciliations of the most directly comparable GAAP measures to non-GAAP measures are provided herein.

EBITDA is defined as net income plus or minus net interest plus taxes, depreciation and amortization. Adjusted EBITDA excludes from EBITDA stock-based compensation and, when appropriate, other items that management does not utilize in assessing the Company's operating performance (see list of these items to follow below). None of these non-GAAP financial measures are recognized terms under GAAP and do not purport to be an alternative to net income as an indicator of operating performance or any other GAAP measure. Management uses these non-GAAP measures in its operational and financial decision-making, believing that it is useful to eliminate certain items in order to focus on what it deems to be a more reliable indicator of ongoing operating performance and the Company's ability to generate cash flow from operations. Management also believes that investors may find non-GAAP financial measures useful for the same reasons, although investors are cautioned that non-GAAP financial measures are not a substitute for GAAP disclosures.

All of the items included in the reconciliation from Net Income to EBITDA and from EBITDA to Adjusted EBITDA are either (i) non-cash items (e.g., depreciation, amortization of purchased intangibles, stock-based compensation, etc.) or (ii) items that management does not consider to be useful in assessing the Company's operating performance (e.g., income taxes, gain on sale of investments, loss on disposal of assets, etc.). In the case of the non-cash items, management believes that investors can better assess the Company's operating performance if the measures are presented without such items because, unlike cash expenses, these adjustments do not affect the Company's ability to generate free cash flow or invest in its business.

Because not all companies use identical calculations, the Company's presentation of non-GAAP financial measures may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies. However, these measures can still be useful in evaluating the Company's performance against its peer companies because management believes the measures provide users with valuable insight into key components of GAAP financial disclosures.

Adjusted EBITDA decreased by approximately \$760,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011. The major components causing the negative change to Adjusted EBITDA were 1) an increase in the Cost of Revenues (decrease in the gross profit margins) during the first quarter of 2012 due to an increase in labor and site costs in order to fully staff each operation center for the heating season, which resulted in lower-than-expected capacity due to higher-than-average temperatures and moderate weather, and increased labor and site costs for our two new operation centers in North Dakota and Wyoming, as discussed in the *Costs of Revenues & Gross Profit* section above, and 2) an increase in General and Administrative costs due to increased payroll costs in order to employ and retain experienced personnel to meet corporate management and staff needs and the impact of non-cash stock-based compensation expense, as discussed in the *General and Administrative Expenses* section above.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

The following table summarizes our statements of cash flows for the periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 and (combined with working capital from the above table and discussion below) are important for understanding our liquidity:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012 (Unaudited)	2011 (Unaudited)
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 583,291	\$ 2,340,846
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,311,450 )	(699,404 )
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	1,230,547	(1,322,752 )
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	502,388	318,690
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period	417,005	1,637,807
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period	\$ 919,393	\$ 1,956,497

The following table sets forth a summary of certain aspects of our balance sheet at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

Edgar Filing: Enservco Corp - Form 10-Q

	March 31, 2012 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2011
Current Assets	\$8,548,677	\$ 6,402,945
Total Assets	24,362,989	22,120,672
Current Liabilities	10,518,660	9,085,572
Total Liabilities	20,934,307	18,993,298
Working Capital (Current Assets net of Current Liabilities)	(1,969,983 )	(2,682,627 )
Stockholders' equity	3,428,682	3,127,374

We have relied on cash generated from operations, borrowings under our credit facility and the cash that became available to us as a result of the Merger Transaction to satisfy our liquidity needs. Our ability to fund operating cash flow shortfalls, fund planned capital expenditures and make acquisitions will depend upon our future operating performance, and more broadly, on the availability of equity and debt financing, of which there can be no assurance and which will be affected by prevailing economic conditions in our industry and financial, business and other factors, some of which are beyond our control.

At December 31, 2011, we had approximately \$740,000 available under our asset based, revolving credit facility. During the three months ending March 31, 2012, we borrowed the entire available balance and had no remaining balance available through our revolving credit facility at March 31, 2012. Our ability to fund our current operations and planned 2012 capital expenditures will primarily depend on our future operating performance, our ability to borrow from our primary lender or our ability to obtain credit facilities through an alternative lender, and our ability to raise outside capital.

Based on our existing operating performance we believe we will have adequate funds to meet operational and capital expenditure needs for fiscal year 2012. In addition, we are currently investigating opportunities with lending institutions to refinance the debt facilities with our primary lender. If our estimates about our future operating performance turn out to be inaccurate, or if we are unable to raise additional capital, the Company will adjust its capital expenditures accordingly.

The credit agreements evidencing our debt facilities contain standard covenants regarding leverage, minimum net worth, debt service coverage, additional debt limitations and loan to value ratios. At December 31, 2011, the Company did not meet some of the financial covenants imposed by the loan agreements which resulted in an event of default under the loan agreements with Great Western Bank (our primary lender). Great Western Bank waived this event of default and modified the debt covenants of the loan agreements for future periods for those covenants which were in default at December 31, 2011. Per the amended agreements, the Company is not required to report the modified debt covenants until June 30, 2012. As such, no measurement of these financial covenants was required for the period ending March 31, 2012. The Company believes that it is in line to meet the covenants required on June 30, 2012 and all other future debt covenant requirements.

As of March 31, 2012 we had a working capital deficit of approximately \$2.0 million, an increase in working capital of approximately \$700,000 as compared to our 2011 fiscal year end. There were various components contributing to the first quarter 2012 increase in the working capital:

Factors that increased our working capital –

1. An increase in cash by approximately \$500,000 due to the collection of several large past due balances during the period and due to the timing of cash receipts for work performed during the beginning of the heating season (work performed during fourth quarter 2011);
2. An increase in accounts receivable of \$1.6 million due to the timing of frac heating and hot oiling services which finally increased midway through the first quarter of 2012 as the temperatures in our main operating centers finally cooled to more winter-like conditions, allowing the end of our heating season (second half of first quarter 2012) to rebound from a below-average and lower-than-expected start of our heating season due to higher-than-average



temperatures and moderate weather; and

3. An increase of \$220,000 in prepaid expenses and other current assets resulting primarily due to the Heat Waves and Dillco General, Property, Auto, Equipment, and Umbrella insurance renewals during the period.

Factors that had a negative effect on our working capital –

1. An increase in the outstanding balance on the revolving line of credit facility of approximately \$725,000;

An increase in accounts payable and accrued expense of approximately \$750,000. Accounts payable increased by approximately \$300,000 during the period due to the timing of operating expenses incurred during the beginning of the heating season (e.g. propane, diesel, travel, and other cost of goods in late 2011 and early 2012) and due to the additional operating expenses incurred by our two new operating centers in North Dakota and Wyoming. Accrued expenses increased by approximately \$450,000 during the period due to the renewal of our General, Property, Auto, Equipment, and Umbrella insurance programs, and due to accrued bonuses for our operation managers and employees and accrued salary and vacation expenses due to the timing of the final period-end payroll run; and

3. A decrease in marketable securities of approximately \$80,000 due to the sale of securities at approximately \$110,000, offset by the increase in the fair value of securities by approximately \$30,000.

### Investing and Financing Activities

Our capital expenditures for the first quarter of 2012 were approximately \$1.4 million as compared to approximately \$740,000 during the same period in 2011. In order to fund some of our capital expenditures we sold some of our marketable securities during the three months ended March 31, 2012 resulting in proceeds of approximately \$110,000, and also disposed of obsolete or retired trucks and equipment through several transactions during the three months ended March 31, 2011 resulting in proceeds of approximately \$40,000. These items combined explain the significant increase of approximately \$610,000 in the cash used in investing activities during the first quarter of 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011.

As of December 31, 2011 we had executed commitments for additional expenditures of approximately \$500,000. As expected, we purchased most of this equipment in the first quarter of 2012. At this time we have no executed commitments for additional expenditures for the remaining quarters in 2012.

The increase in cash provided in financing activities of approximately \$2.5 million from the \$1.3 million cash used in financing activities for three months ended March 31, 2011 to the \$1.2 million cash provided from financing activities for three months ended March 31, 2012 is primarily the result of the issuance of new equipment credit facilities and truck loans (of approximately \$1.4 million) as well as the increased outstanding balance on the revolving line of credit (of approximately \$740,000) during the first quarter of 2012, offset by the repayment of long-term debt (approximately \$850,000 in repayments during first quarter 2012 and \$270,000 in repayments during the same period 2011) and repayments on the revolving line of credit (of approximately \$1.1 million) during first quarter 2011.

### Capital Commitments and Obligations

The Company's capital commitments and obligations as of March 31, 2012 consisted of the Term Loan, the Line of Credit, the Equipment Loans, the Real Estate Loan received to fund the new operation center in North Dakota, as well as certain capital and operating leases, and related party subordinated debt. General terms and conditions for, and amounts due under, these commitments and obligations are summarized in the notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Although these obligations are not obligations of Enservco itself, as of the date of this report they are obligations and commitments of the Company on a consolidated basis and may affect the Company's liquidity and financial obligations going forward.

Going forward, and subject to the availability of adequate financing, the Company hopes to expand its business operations, by expanding its operations into new regions of the country, acquiring additional equipment, increasing the volume of services we currently offer, expanding the services it offers to its customers, and engaging in strategic transactions with companies that offer services that are similar or complementary to those that the Company offers.

Management has taken various preliminary steps to explore geographical and service offering expansion. To fully implement certain of these activities the Company likely will need to raise additional capital or borrow funds from its existing lender(s) or from other third parties. The Company believes that it can utilize cash flows, its existing line of credit, and remaining equipment and other loan balances to finance its current plans. However, should the Company desire to engage in certain strategic transactions or other significant expansions of its business operations it will likely have to obtain outside financing. There can be no assurance that financing will be available to the Company on reasonable terms, if at all.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U. S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make a variety of estimates and assumptions that affect (i) the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements, and (ii) the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods covered by the financial statements.

Our management routinely makes judgments and estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. As the number of variables and assumptions affecting the future resolution of the uncertainties increase, these judgments become even more subjective and complex. Although we believe that our estimates and assumptions are reasonable, actual results may differ significantly from these estimates. Changes in estimates and assumptions based upon actual results may have a material impact on our results of operation and/or financial condition. Our significant accounting policies are disclosed in Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Form 10-Q.

While all of the significant accounting policies are important to the Company's financial statements, the following accounting policies and the estimates derived there from have been identified as being critical.

#### **Accounts Receivable**

Accounts receivable are stated at the amount billed to customers. The Company provides a reserve for doubtful accounts based on a review of outstanding receivables, historical collection information and existing economic conditions. The provision for uncollectible amounts is continually reviewed and adjusted to maintain the allowance at a level considered adequate to cover future losses. The allowance is management's best estimate of uncollectible amounts and is determined based on historical performance that is tracked by the Company on an ongoing basis. The losses ultimately incurred could differ materially in the near term from the amounts estimated in determining the allowance.

#### **Revenue Recognition**

The Company recognizes revenue when evidence of an arrangement exists, the fee is determinable, services are provided, and collection is reasonably assured.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consists of (1) trucks, trailers and pickups; (2) trucks that are in various stages of fabrication; (3) real property which includes land and buildings used for office and shop facilities and wells used for the disposal of water; and (4) other equipment such as tools used for maintaining and repairing vehicles, office furniture and fixtures, and computer equipment. Property and equipment is stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. The Company charges repairs and maintenance against income when incurred and capitalizes renewals and betterments, which extend the remaining useful life or expand the capacity of the assets. Depreciation is recorded on a straight-line basis over estimated useful lives of 5 to 30 years.

Inventory

Inventory consists primarily of diesel fuel and chemicals that are used in the servicing of oil wells and is carried at the lower of cost or market in accordance with the first in, first out method.

Long-Lived Assets

The Company reviews its long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recovered. The Company looks primarily to the discounted future cash flows in its assessment of whether or not long-lived assets have been impaired.

### Intangible Assets

*Non-Competition Agreements.* The non-competition agreements with the sellers of Heat Waves, Hot Oil Express, and Dillco have finite lives and are being amortized over a five-year period. Amortization expense is expected to be recognized through June 2013.

*Goodwill.* Goodwill represents the excess of the cost over the fair value of net assets acquired, including identified intangible assets, recorded in connection with the acquisitions of Heat Waves. Goodwill is not amortized but is assessed for impairment at least annually.

*Impairment.* The Company assesses goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives for impairment at the reporting unit level on an annual basis and between annual tests if events occur or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value below its carrying amount. Guidance requires that the impairment test be performed through the application of a two-step fair value test. The Company utilizes this two-step method and recognizes a goodwill impairment loss in the event that the fair value of the reporting unit does not exceed its carrying value. During fiscal year ending December 31, 2011, the Company performed the annual impairment test and determined that no impairment existed. For the three month period ended March 31, 2012, the Company did not note any events that occurred, nor did any circumstances change, that would result in an impairment of goodwill or intangible assets with indefinite lives as of the last annual test performed.

### Marketable Securities

The Company determines the appropriate classification of its investments in debt and equity securities at the time of purchase and reevaluates such determinations at each balance sheet date. Debt securities are classified as held to maturity when the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold the securities to maturity. Debt securities for which the Company does not have the intent or ability to hold to maturity are classified as available for sale. Held-to-maturity securities are recorded as either short term or long term on the Balance Sheet, based on contractual maturity date and are stated at amortized cost. Marketable securities that are bought and held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near term are classified as trading securities and are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses recognized in earnings. Debt and marketable equity securities not classified as held to maturity or as trading, are classified as available for sale, and are carried at fair market value, with the unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, included in the determination of comprehensive income and reported in stockholders' equity.

The fair value of substantially all marketable securities is determined in reference to quoted market prices. The estimated fair value of securities for which there are no quoted market prices is based on similar types of securities

that are traded in the market.

### Income Taxes

Enservco LLC (which served as the holding company for the Company's various operating entities until the time of the Merger Transaction in July 2010) and its subsidiaries, with the exception of Dillco (which is a C Corporation subject to federal and state income taxes), are limited liability companies and prior to January 1, 2010 were not subject to federal or state income taxes. On January 1, 2010 Enservco LLC elected to be taxed as a corporation. Therefore, prior to January 1, 2010 no provision or liability for income taxes has been included in the accompanying financial statements, except for income taxes relating to the financial statements of Dillco and Aspen (the current parent (or holding) company for the Company's operations and assets).

The Company recognizes deferred tax liabilities and assets based on the differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the financial statements that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities will be recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

The Company accounts for any uncertainty in income taxes by recognizing the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The Company measures the tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position based on the largest benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate resolution. The application of income tax law is inherently complex. Laws and regulations in this area are voluminous and are often ambiguous. As such, the Company is required to make many subjective assumptions and judgments regarding income tax exposures. Interpretations of and guidance surrounding income tax law and regulations change over time and may result in changes to the Company's subjective assumptions and judgments which can materially affect amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of income. The result of the reassessment of the Company's tax positions did not have an impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Interest and penalties associated with tax positions are recorded in the period assessed as general and administrative expenses. No interest or penalties have been assessed as of December 31, 2011. The Company files tax returns in the United States, in the states of Colorado, Kansas, Pennsylvania and Utah. The tax years 2008 through 2010 remain open to examination in the taxing jurisdictions to which the Company is subject.

#### Fair Value

The Company has adopted the authoritative guidance that applies to all financial assets and liabilities required to be measured and reported on a fair value basis. The Company also applies the guidance to non-financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, including non-competition agreements and goodwill. The guidance defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The guidance establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available.

Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company's assumptions of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability based on the best information available in the circumstances. The Company did not change its valuation techniques nor were there any transfers between hierarchy levels during the three months ended March 31, 2012. The financial and nonfinancial assets and liabilities are classified based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the reliability of the inputs as follows:



Level 1: Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2: Quoted prices in active markets for similar assets and liabilities that are observable for the asset or liability; or

Level 3: Unobservable pricing inputs that are generally less observable from objective sources, such as discounted cash flow models or valuations.

### Stock-based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification 718, “Stock Compensation”, which required companies to recognize compensation expense for the share-based payments based on the estimated fair value of the awards.

### Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

Other than the guarantees made by Enservco (as the parent Company) and by Mr. Herman on various loan agreements, the Company had no significant off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that are material to our stockholders.

### **Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Not applicable.

### **Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

#### **Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

As required by Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "1934 Act"), as of March 31, 2012, we carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. This evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer (our principal executive officer) and our Chief Financial Officer (our principal financial officer). Based upon and as of the date of that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2012.

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the 1934 Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the 1934 Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

#### **Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

There were not any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated by the SEC under the 1934 Act) during the quarter ended March 31, 2012, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## **PART II**

### **Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

There are no material pending legal or regulatory proceedings against the Company, and it is not aware of any that are known to be contemplated.

### **Item 1A. RISK FACTORS**

See the risk factors set forth in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. There have been no material changes to the risk factors set forth in that Form 10-K.

### **Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

During the quarter ended March 31, 2012 the Company did not engage in, or effect, any unregistered sales of equity securities.

### **Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

None.

### **Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES**

None.

### **Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

None.

35

**Item 6. EXHIBITS**

Exhibit No.	Title
2.01	Agreement and Plan of Merger and Reorganization dated June 24, 2010. (1)
3.01	Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation. (2)
3.02	Amended and Restated Bylaws. (3)
11.1	Statement of Computation of per share earnings (contained in Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements).
31.1	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Michael Herman, Principal Executive Officer). Filed herewith.
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Rick D. Kasch, Principal Financial Officer). Filed herewith.
32	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Michael D. Herman, Chief Executive Officer, and Rick D. Kasch, Chief Financial Officer). Filed herewith.

- (1) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 24, 2010 and filed on the same date.
- (2) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 30, 2010, and filed on January 4, 2011.
- (3) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 27, 2010, and filed on July 28, 2010.

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, we have duly caused this report to be signed on our behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ENSERVCO CORPORATION

Date: May 11, 2012 /s/ Michael D. Herman  
Michael D. Herman, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

Date: May 11, 2012 /s/ Rick D. Kasch  
Rick D. Kasch, President and Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)