

SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC
Form 10-Q/A
April 30, 2012

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q/A
Amendment No. 1

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 0-12247

SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

TEXAS
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

75-1848732
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

1201 S. Beckham, Tyler, Texas
(Address of principal executive offices)

75701
(Zip Code)

903-531-7111
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required

to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares of the issuer's common stock, par value \$1.25, outstanding as of October 31, 2011 was 16,465,690 shares.

Explanatory Note

This Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-Q/A amends the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2011, which was originally filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) on November 8, 2011 (the “Original Filing”). This amendment is being filed to reflect the restatement of i) the quarterly results of Southside Bancshares, Inc. (the “Company”), as discussed in Note 2 to the unaudited consolidated financial statements contained herein, and ii) other information related to such restated financial information. Except for Items 1, 2 and 4 of Part I and Item 6 of Part II, no other information included in the Original Filing is amended by this Form 10-Q/A.

During the preparation of the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 (the “2011 Form 10-K”), the Company determined that in periods prior to December 31, 2011, it incorrectly accounted for securities acquired with a significant purchase premium that included an embedded derivative. These securities were mainly acquired in 2010 and 2011. Pursuant to GAAP, the Company is required to bifurcate and account for the embedded derivative separately or to account for the securities including the embedded derivative at fair value through income, if the bifurcation was impractical. The Company determined that valuing the embedded derivative separately was not readily identifiable and measurable and as such, cannot be bifurcated. Therefore, the Company determined that all securities meeting the above criteria should be reflected at fair value with the change in fair value reflected through income.

In addition, the Company determined that during the first three quarters of 2011, it incorrectly priced securities acquired with a significant premium and did not account for the impairment of FHLB advance option fees that became impaired during the third quarter of 2011.

The Company evaluated the effect of these three errors and concluded that they were immaterial to any of the previously issued consolidated financial statements except for the unaudited consolidated financial statements included in the Company’s Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the periods ended March 31, June 30, and September 30, 2011. Accordingly, on March 8, 2012, the Company filed a Form 8-K reporting that the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company determined based on the recommendation of management, that the Company should restate its unaudited consolidated financial statements in each of these Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. In addition, the Company revised its 2010 consolidated financial statements in the 2011 Form 10-K to correct for these errors.

See Note 2 – Restatement to Previously Issued Financial Statements contained in the Notes to Financial Statements included in this Form 10-Q/A which further describes the effect of this restatement.

Pursuant to Rule 12b-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this Form 10-Q/A includes new certifications by our principal executive officer and principal financial officer under Sections 302 and 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Except for the items noted above, no other information included in the Original Filing is being amended by this Form 10-Q/A. This Form 10-Q/A continues to speak as of the date of the Original Filing and we have not updated the filing to reflect events occurring subsequent to the date of the Original Filing other than those associated with the restatement of the Company’s financial statements. Accordingly, this Form 10-Q/A should be read in conjunction with the Company’s filings with the SEC subsequent to the Original Filing, including any amendments to those filings.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
<u>ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	2
<u>ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	38
<u>ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	56
<u>ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	57
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	58
<u>ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	58
<u>ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS</u>	58
<u>ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS</u>	58
<u>ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES</u>	58
<u>ITEM 4. (REMOVED AND RESERVED)</u>	58
<u>ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	58
<u>ITEM 6. EXHIBITS</u>	58
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	60
<u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u>	61
EXHIBIT 23.1 - CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	
EXHIBIT 31.1 – CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302	
EXHIBIT 31.2 – CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302	
EXHIBIT 32 – CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 906	

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except share amounts)

ASSETS	September 30, 2011 (Restated)	December 31, 2010
Cash and due from banks	\$ 42,527	\$ 56,188
Interest earning deposits	4,604	22,885
Total cash and cash equivalents	47,131	79,073
Investment securities:		
Available for sale, at estimated fair value	304,994	299,344
Held to maturity, at amortized cost	1,496	1,495
Mortgage-backed and related securities:		
Available for sale, at estimated fair value	715,192	886,574
Securities carried at fair value through income	567,639	72,176
Held to maturity, at amortized cost	379,336	405,367
FHLB stock, at cost	29,057	34,712
Other investments, at cost	2,064	2,064
Loans held for sale	5,491	6,583
Loans:		
Loans	1,040,471	1,077,920
Less: allowance for loan losses	(18,189)	(20,711)
Net Loans	1,022,282	1,057,209
Premises and equipment, net	50,481	50,144
Goodwill	22,034	22,034
Other intangible assets, net	580	777
Interest receivable	15,957	18,033
Deferred tax asset	-	6,603
Other assets	48,186	57,571
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 3,211,920	\$ 2,999,759
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Deposits:		
Noninterest bearing	\$ 477,128	\$ 423,304
Interest bearing	1,816,632	1,711,124
Total Deposits	2,293,760	2,134,428
Short-term obligations:		
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	2,997	3,844
FHLB advances	287,204	189,094
Other obligations	2,226	2,651
Total Short-term obligations	292,427	195,589
Long-term obligations:		
FHLB advances	275,458	373,479

Edgar Filing: SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q/A

Long-term debt	60,311	60,311
Total Long-term obligations	335,769	433,790
Deferred tax liability	7,604	–
Other liabilities	23,150	20,378
TOTAL LIABILITIES	2,952,710	2,784,185

Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements, Commitments and Contingencies (Note 11)

Shareholders' equity:

Common stock - \$1.25 par, 40,000,000 shares authorized, 18,489,528 shares issued in 2011 and 17,660,312 shares issued in 2010	23,112	22,075
Paid-in capital	176,086	162,877
Retained earnings	69,340	64,179
Treasury stock (2,023,838 shares at cost)	(28,377)	(28,377)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	19,049	(6,293)
TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	259,210	214,461
Noncontrolling interest	–	1,113
TOTAL EQUITY	259,210	215,574
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	\$ 3,211,920	\$ 2,999,759

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsSOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months		Nine Months	
	Ended September 30, 2011 (Restated)	2010	Ended September 30, 2011 (Restated)	2010
Interest income				
Loans	\$ 16,229	\$ 16,926	\$ 50,630	\$ 52,128
Investment securities – taxable	11	20	49	72
Investment securities – tax-exempt	3,069	2,366	9,507	8,209
Mortgage-backed and related securities	13,292	13,378	37,899	37,937
FHLB stock and other investments	50	59	182	200
Other interest earning assets	2	4	15	19
Total interest income	32,653	32,753	98,282	98,565
Interest expense				
Deposits	3,879	4,874	11,966	14,612
Short-term obligations	1,643	2,086	5,077	5,633
Long-term obligations	3,115	4,504	10,397	14,585
Total interest expense	8,637	11,464	27,440	34,830
Net interest income	24,016	21,289	70,842	63,735
Provision for loan losses	1,454	3,201	5,452	9,328
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	22,562	18,088	65,390	54,407
Noninterest income				
Deposit services	4,098	4,280	12,005	12,744
Gain on sale of securities available for sale	3,609	8,008	9,080	23,024
Gain on sale of securities carried at fair value through income	254	–	592	–
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses	–	–	–	(39)
Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income (before taxes)	–	–	–	(36)
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	–	–	–	(75)
Fair value gain (loss) – securities	3,274	–	7,357	–
FHLB advance option impairment charges	(7,819)	–	(7,819)	–
Gain on sale of loans	402	517	967	1,197
Trust income	672	645	1,968	1,736
Bank owned life insurance income	288	297	835	867
Other	957	931	3,021	2,728
Total noninterest income	5,735	14,678	28,006	42,221
Noninterest expense				
Salaries and employee benefits	11,280	10,891	34,593	33,048
Occupancy expense	1,866	1,720	5,365	5,025
Equipment expense	540	532	1,558	1,441
Advertising, travel & entertainment	591	616	1,694	1,697
ATM and debit card expense	235	223	716	602
Director fees	193	197	584	590
Supplies	186	189	571	665
Professional fees	571	418	1,583	1,363

Edgar Filing: SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q/A

Postage	178	195	543	612
Telephone and communications	285	349	967	1,068
FDIC Insurance	212	804	1,710	2,172
Other	1,559	1,521	4,660	4,803
Total noninterest expense	17,696	17,655	54,544	53,086
Income before income tax expense	10,601	15,111	38,852	43,542
Provision for income tax expense	2,038	3,811	7,924	10,296
Net income	8,563	11,300	30,928	33,246
Less: Net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest	–	(252)	(1,358)	(1,301)
Net income attributable to Southside Bancshares, Inc.	\$8,563	\$11,048	\$29,570	\$31,945
Earnings per common share – basic	\$0.52	\$0.67	\$1.80	\$1.93
Earnings per common share – diluted	\$0.52	\$0.67	\$1.80	\$1.93
Dividends paid per common share	\$0.18	\$0.17	\$0.52	\$0.51

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY
(UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except share amounts)

	Common Stock	Paid In Capital	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock	Accu- mulated- Other Compre- hensive Income (Loss)	Noncon- trolling Interest	Total Equity
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$20,928	\$146,357	\$53,812	\$(23,545)	\$4,229	\$468	\$202,249
Comprehensive income:							
Net Income			31,945			1,301	33,246
Net unrealized gains on available for sale securities, net of tax					13,669		13,669
Reclassification adjustment for gains on sales of available for sale securities included in net income, net of tax					(14,966)		(14,966)
Noncredit portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses on available for sale securities, net of tax					23		23
Reclassification of other-than-temporary impairment charges on available for sale securities included in net income, net of tax					49		49
Adjustment to net periodic benefit cost, net of tax					611		611
Total comprehensive income							32,632
Issuance of common stock (136,419 shares)	171	1,080					1,251
Purchase of common stock (255,377 shares)				(4,716)			(4,716)
Tax benefit of incentive stock options		328					328

Edgar Filing: SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q/A

Capital distribution to noncontrolling interest shareholders					(310)		(310)
Dividends paid on common stock (\$0.51 per share)			(7,916)				(7,916)
Stock dividend declared	943	14,570	(15,513)				–
Balance at September 30, 2010	\$22,042	\$162,335	\$62,328	\$(28,261)	\$3,615	\$1,459	\$223,518
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$22,075	\$162,877	\$64,179	\$(28,377)	\$(6,293)	\$1,113	\$215,574
Comprehensive income:							
Net Income			29,570			1,358	30,928
Net unrealized gains on available for sale securities, net of tax					30,551		30,551
Reclassification adjustment for gains on sales of available for sale securities included in net income, net of tax					(5,902)		(5,902)
Adjustment to net periodic benefit cost, net of tax					693		693
Total comprehensive income							56,270
Issuance of common stock (44,352 shares)	56	804					860
Stock compensation expense		143					143
Tax benefit of incentive stock options		2					2
Capital distribution to noncontrolling interest shareholders					(475)		(475)
Purchase of noncontrolling interest		(2,754)			(1,996)		(4,750)
Dividends paid on common stock (\$0.52 per share)			(8,414)				(8,414)
Stock dividend declared	981	15,014	(15,995)				–
Balance at September 30, 2011 (Restated)	\$23,112	\$176,086	\$69,340	\$(28,377)	\$19,049	\$–	\$259,210

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOW
(UNAUDITED)
(in thousands)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
	(Restated)	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$ 30,928	\$ 33,246
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operations:		
Depreciation	2,515	2,397
Amortization of premium	26,175	25,982
Accretion of discount and loan fees	(3,386)	(3,497)
Provision for loan losses	5,452	9,328
Stock compensation expense	143	–
Deferred tax expense	561	998
Gain on sale of securities carried at fair value through income	(592)	–
Gain on sale of securities available for sale	(9,080)	(23,024)
Fair value (gain) loss – securities	(7,357)	–
FHLB advance option impairment charges	7,819	–
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses	–	75
Loss (gain) on sale of assets	3	(7)
Loss on retirement of assets	90	–
Impairment on other real estate owned	184	20
Gain on sale of other real estate owned	(242)	(26)
Net change in:		
Interest receivable	2,076	3,417
Other assets	(2,413)	(4,726)
Interest payable	(861)	(696)
Other liabilities	3,598	3,504
Loans held for sale	1,092	(3,241)
Net cash provided by operating activities	56,705	43,750
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Securities held to maturity:		
Purchases	(11,875)	(258,935)
Maturities, calls and principal repayments	34,097	55,656
Securities available for sale:		
Purchases	(512,910)	(1,102,150)
Sales	516,571	1,060,449
Maturities, calls and principal repayments	195,097	280,606
Securities carried at fair value through income:		
Purchases	(707,222)	–
Sales	180,723	–
Maturities, calls and principal repayments	32,132	–
Proceeds from redemption of FHLB stock	16,461	2,638
Purchases of FHLB stock and other investments	(10,806)	(139)

Edgar Filing: SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q/A

Net decrease (increase) in loans	27,344	(15,193)
Purchases of premises and equipment	(2,951)	(5,582)
Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment	6	38
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned	676	948
Proceeds from sales of repossessed assets	3,933	3,713
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(238,724)	22,049

(continued)

Table of Contents

SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOW
(UNAUDITED) (continued)
(in thousands)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
	(Restated)	
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Net increase in demand and savings accounts	133,513	117,405
Net increase in certificates of deposit	30,099	49,927
Net decrease in federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	(847)	(9,514)
Proceeds from FHLB advances	8,005,080	6,119,530
Repayment of FHLB advances	(8,004,991)	(6,304,778)
Net capital distributions to noncontrolling interest in consolidated entities	(475)	(310)
Purchase of noncontrolling interest	(4,750)	–
Tax benefit of incentive stock options	2	328
Purchase of common stock	–	(4,716)
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock	860	1,251
Dividends paid	(8,414)	(7,916)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	150,077	(38,793)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(31,942)	27,006
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	79,073	52,166
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$47,131	\$79,172

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES FOR CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

Interest paid	\$28,301	\$35,525
Income taxes paid	\$6,500	\$9,550

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

Acquisition of other repossessed assets and real estate through foreclosure	\$4,790	\$5,416
Adjustment to pension liability	\$(1,066)	\$(939)
5% stock dividend	\$15,995	\$15,513
Unsettled trades to purchase securities	\$–	\$(18,160)
Unsettled trades to sell securities	\$–	\$18,723

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Basis of Presentation

In this report, the words “the Company,” “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to the combined entities of Southside Bancshares, Inc. and its subsidiaries. The words “Southside” and “Southside Bancshares” refer to Southside Bancshares, Inc. The words “Southside Bank” and “the Bank” refer to Southside Bank (which, subsequent to the internal merger of Fort Worth National Bank (“FWNB”) with and into Southside Bank, includes FWNB). “FWBS” refers to Fort Worth Bancshares, Inc., a bank holding company acquired by Southside of which FWNB was a wholly-owned subsidiary. “SFG” refers to Southside Financial Group, LLC, of which Southside Bank owns a 100% interest as of September 30, 2011. On July 15, 2011, Southside Bank acquired the remaining 50% interest in SFG increasing our ownership to 100%. The purchase price was \$4.8 million and resulted in a decrease to shareholders’ equity of approximately \$2.8 million and the elimination of the noncontrolling interest. SFG is consolidated in our financial statements and this purchase will not limit or change our ability to allocate capital.

The consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, equity and cash flows and notes to the financial statements for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 are unaudited; in the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of such financial statements have been included. Such adjustments consisted only of normal recurring items. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated in consolidation. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) requires the use of management’s estimates. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve matters of judgment. Actual amounts could differ from these estimates.

Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. All share data has been adjusted to give retroactive recognition to stock splits and stock dividends.

Summary of Significant Accounting and Reporting Policies

Securities Carried at Fair Value through Income. Debt securities purchased at significant premiums that contain an embedded derivative where the embedded derivative is not readily identifiable and measurable and as such cannot be bifurcated, are classified as securities carried at fair value through income. Fair value is determined using quoted market prices. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices for similar securities or estimates from independent pricing services. Changes in fair value are reported through the income statement as fair value gain (loss) – securities.

FHLB Advance Option Fees. Option fees paid to the FHLB giving us the option to enter into long-term advance commitments at specified interest rates in the future are capitalized and reviewed for impairment. Once the option is exercised, the FHLB advance option fee is amortized over the term of the advance as interest expense.

For a description of our other significant accounting and reporting policies, refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Accounting Standards

ASU No. 2011-01, “Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20 (Topic 310)”, was issued January 2011 deferring the new disclosure requirements (paragraphs 310-10-50-31 through 50-34 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification) about troubled debt restructurings to be concurrent with the effective date of the guidance for determining what constitutes a troubled debt restructuring, as presented in proposed Accounting Standards Update, Receivables (Topic 310): Clarifications to Accounting for Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors. As a result of the issuance of Update 2011-02, the provisions of Update 2011-01 became effective for us on September 30, 2011, and was applied retrospectively to the beginning of the annual period of adoption. The adoption of the Update did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

ASU No. 2011-02, “Receivables (Topic 310) - A Creditor’s Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring.” ASU 2011-02 clarifies which loan modifications constitute troubled debt restructurings and is intended to assist creditors in determining whether a modification of the terms of a receivable meets the criteria to be considered a troubled debt restructuring, both for purposes of recording an impairment loss and for disclosure of troubled debt restructurings. In evaluating whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring, a creditor must separately conclude, under the guidance clarified by ASU 2011-02, that both of the following exist: (a) the restructuring constitutes a concession; and (b) the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. ASU 2011-02 became effective for us on July 1, 2011, and was applied retrospectively to restructurings occurring on or after January 1, 2011. The adoption of ASU 2011-02 did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ASU No. 2011-03, “Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860) - Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements.” ASU 2011-03 is intended to improve financial reporting of repurchase agreements and other agreements that both entitle and obligate a transferor to repurchase or redeem financial assets before their maturity. ASU 2011-03 removes from the assessment of effective control (i) the criterion requiring the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee, and (ii) the collateral maintenance guidance related to that criterion. ASU 2011-03 will be effective for us on January 1, 2012 and is not expected to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

ASU 2011-04, “Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820) - Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs.” ASU 2011-04 amends Topic 820, “Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures,” to converge the fair value measurement guidance in U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and International Financial Reporting Standards. ASU 2011-04 clarifies the application of existing fair value measurement requirements, changes certain principles in Topic 820 and requires additional fair value disclosures. ASU 2011-04 is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011, and is not expected to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

ASU 2011-05, “Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) - Presentation of Comprehensive Income.” ASU 2011-05 amends Topic 220, “Comprehensive Income,” to require that all nonowner changes in stockholders’ equity be presented in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. Additionally, ASU 2011-05 requires entities to present, on the face of the financial statements, reclassification adjustments for items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income in the statement or statements where the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income are presented. The option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders’ equity was eliminated. ASU 2011-05 is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011, and is not expected to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

ASU 2011-08, “Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) - Testing Goodwill for Impairment.” ASU 2011-08 amends Topic 350, “Intangibles – Goodwill and Other,” to give entities the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, an entity determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary. However, if an entity concludes otherwise, then it is required to perform the first step of the two-step impairment test by calculating the fair value of the reporting unit and comparing the fair value with the carrying amount of the reporting unit. ASU 2011-08 is effective for annual and interim impairment tests beginning after December 15, 2011, and is not expected to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

2. Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements

During the preparation of the 2011 Form 10-K, we determined that in periods prior to December 31, 2011, we incorrectly accounted for securities acquired with a significant purchase premium that included an embedded derivative. These securities were mainly acquired in 2010 and 2011. Pursuant to GAAP, we are required to bifurcate and account for the embedded derivative separately or to account for the securities including the embedded derivative at fair value through income, if the bifurcation was impractical. We determined that valuing the embedded derivative separately was not readily identifiable and measurable and as such, cannot be bifurcated. Therefore, we determined that all securities meeting the above criteria should be reflected at fair value with the change in fair value reflected through income.

In addition to the error related to the accounting for securities with an embedded derivative mentioned above, we determined that during the first three quarters of 2011, we incorrectly priced securities acquired with a significant premium and that we did not account for the impairment of FHLB advance option fees that became impaired during the third quarter of 2011.

We evaluated the effect of these errors and concluded that they were immaterial to any of the previously issued consolidated financial statements except for the unaudited consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the periods ended March 31, June 30, and September 30, 2011. Accordingly, on March 8, 2012, we filed a Form 8-K reporting that our Audit Committee of the Board of Directors determined based on the recommendation of management, that we should restate our unaudited consolidated financial statements in each of these Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. In addition, we revised our 2010 consolidated financial statements in the 2011 Form 10-K to correct for these errors.

The aggregate income resulting from the changes in the fair value of certain securities for the first three quarters of 2011 was approximately \$7.4 million, which should have been recorded between the first three quarterly periods of 2011. The impairment charge for the FHLB advance option fees was approximately \$7.8 million, all of which should have been recorded in the third quarter of 2011.

The correction of the errors resulted in a decrease in net income of \$3.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 resulting in net income of \$8.6 million for that period, and a decrease in net income of approximately \$300,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, resulting in net income attributable to Southside Bancshares, Inc. of \$29.6 million for that period.

Table of Contents

A summary of the adjustments made and their effect on the financial statements is presented below (dollars in thousands):

Consolidated Balance Sheet	As of September 30, 2011		
	As Originally Reported	Corrections	As Restated
Mortgage-backed and related securities:			
Available for sale, at estimated fair value (1)	\$1,263,528	\$(548,336)	\$715,192
Securities carried at fair value through income (1)	–	567,639	567,639
Held to maturity, at amortized cost (1)	389,178	(9,842)	379,336
Other assets (2)	56,006	(7,820)	48,186
Total assets	3,210,279	1,641	3,211,920
Deferred tax liability (3)	7,030	574	7,604
Total liabilities	2,952,136	574	2,952,710
Retained earnings (4)	70,028	(688)	69,340
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (5)	17,294	1,755	19,049
Total shareholders' equity	258,143	1,067	259,210
Total equity	258,143	1,067	259,210
Total liabilities and equity	3,210,279	1,641	3,211,920

“As Originally Reported” reflects balances reported in the September 30, 2011 Form 10-Q filed on November 8, 2011.

“As Restated” reflects the final restated balances.

“Corrections” reflect changes to the originally reported balances and are described below.

Balance Sheet Corrections:

- (1) The decrease in mortgage-backed securities available for sale and held to maturity for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 reflects the reclassification of securities with an embedded derivative and purchased at a significant premium, which we have defined as greater than 111.111%, to securities carried at fair value through income.
- (2) Reflects the impairment charge for FHLB advance option fees at September 30, 2011 of approximately \$7.8 million, all of which has been recorded in the third quarter of 2011.
- (3) The correction to the deferred tax liability occurred as a result of recording the fair value on the securities through income rather than accumulated other comprehensive income. In addition, the deferred tax liability changed as a result of the deferral of tax deductibility on the impairment charges on the FHLB advance option fees that was partially offset by the fair value gain on securities carried at fair value through income.
- (4) Retained earnings decreased due to the impairment of the FHLB advance option fees that were partially offset by the increase in fair value gains on securities carried at fair value through income for the nine months ended

September 30, 2011.

- (5) Accumulated other comprehensive income increased as a result of reversing the incorrect fair values on the securities previously classified as available for sale at September 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

Consolidated Statement of Income	For the nine months ended September 30, 2011		
	As		
	Originally Reported	Corrections	As Restated
Gain on sale of securities available for sale (1)	\$9,672	\$(592)	\$9,080
Gain on sale of securities carried at fair value through income (1)	–	592	592
Fair value gain (loss) – securities (2)	–	7,357	7,357
FHLB advance option impairment charges (3)	–	(7,819)	(7,819)
Total noninterest income	28,468	(462)	28,006
Income before income tax expense	39,314	(462)	38,852
Provision for income tax expense (4)	8,086	(162)	7,924
Net income	31,228	(300)	30,928
Net income attributable to Southside Bancshares, Inc.	29,870	(300)	29,570
Earnings per common share – basic	1.82	(0.02)	1.80
Earnings per common share – diluted	1.82	(0.02)	1.80

Consolidated Statement of Income	For the three months ended September 30, 2011		
	As		
	Originally Reported	Corrections	As Restated
Gain on sale of securities available for sale (1)	\$3,863	\$(254)	\$3,609
Gain on sale of securities carried at fair value through income (1)	–	254	254
Fair value gain (loss) – securities (2)	–	3,274	3,274
FHLB advance option impairment charges (3)	–	(7,819)	(7,819)
Total noninterest income	10,280	(4,545)	5,735
Income before income tax expense	15,146	(4,545)	10,601
Provision for income tax expense (4)	3,629	(1,591)	2,038
Net income	11,517	(2,954)	8,563
Net income attributable to Southside Bancshares, Inc.	11,517	(2,954)	8,563
Earnings per common share – basic	0.70	(0.18)	0.52
Earnings per common share – diluted	0.70	(0.18)	0.52

“As Originally Reported” reflects balances reported in the September 30, 2011 Form 10-Q filed on November 8, 2011.

“As Restated” reflects the final restated balances.

“Corrections” reflect changes to the originally reported balances and are described below.

Income Statement Corrections:

- (1) The change in gains on securities available for sale is a result of reclassifying gains on sales of securities carried at fair value through income separately in the statement of income.

- (2) The correction to fair value gain (loss) – securities is a result of recording the changes in fair value on securities carried at fair value through the income statement rather than accumulated other comprehensive income.
- (3) The correction to FHLB advance option impairment charges is the result of the write-down in value of the FHLB advance option fees carried on the balance sheet in other assets.
- (4) The change in provision (benefit) for income tax expense is a direct result of the changes in income.

Table of ContentsAs of and for the nine months ended
September 30, 2011As
Originally
Reported Corrections As Restated

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity

Retained earnings:			
Balance, beginning of period	\$64,567	\$(388)	\$64,179
Net income attributable to Southside Bancshares, Inc.	29,870	(300)	29,570
Balance, end of period	70,028	(688)	69,340
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):			
Balance, beginning of period	(6,819)	526	(6,293)
Net unrealized gains on available for sale securities, net of tax	29,707	844	30,551
Reclassification adjustment for gains on sales of available for sale securities included in net income, net of tax	(6,287)	385	(5,902)
Net change in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	24,113	1,229	25,342
Balance, end of period	17,294	1,755	19,049
Total shareholders' equity	258,143	1,067	259,210
Total equity	258,143	1,067	259,210
Comprehensive income:			
Net income	31,228	(300)	30,928
Net change in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	24,113	1,229	25,342
Comprehensive income	55,341	929	56,270
Comprehensive income attributable to Southside Bancshares, Inc.	53,983	929	54,912

Consolidated Statement of Cash Flow

Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$31,228	\$(300)	\$30,928
Deferred tax expense (benefit)	723	(162)	561
Gain on sale of securities carried at fair value through income	–	(592)	(592)
Gain on sale of securities available for sale	(9,672)	592	(9,080)
Fair value gain (loss) – securities	–	(7,357)	(7,357)
FHLB advance option impairment charges	–	7,819	7,819
Net cash provided by operating activities	56,705	–	56,705
Investing Activities:			
Securities held to maturity:			
Maturities, calls and principal repayments	35,876	(1,779)	34,097
Securities available for sale:			
Purchases	(1,220,132)	707,222	(512,910)
Sales	697,294	(180,723)	516,571
Maturities, calls and principal repayments	225,450	(30,353)	195,097
Securities carried at fair value through income:			

Edgar Filing: SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q/A

Purchases	–	(707,222)	(707,222)
Sales	–	180,723	180,723
Maturities, calls and principal repayments	–	32,132	32,132
Net cash used in investing activities	(238,724)	–	(238,724)

“As Originally Reported” reflects balances reported in the September 30, 2011 Form 10-Q filed November 8, 2011.

“As Restated” reflects the final restated balances.

“Corrections” reflect changes to the originally reported balances.

Table of Contents

3. Earnings Per Share - (2011 Restated)

Earnings per share attributable to Southside Bancshares, Inc. on a basic and diluted basis have been adjusted to give retroactive recognition to stock splits and stock dividends and is calculated as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Basic and Diluted Earnings:				
Net income – Southside Bancshares, Inc.	\$8,563	\$11,048	\$29,570	\$31,945
Basic weighted-average shares outstanding	16,454	16,543	16,439	16,563
Add: Stock options	7	10	7	35
Diluted weighted-average shares outstanding	16,461	16,553	16,446	16,598
Basic Earnings Per Share:				
Net Income - Southside Bancshares, Inc.	\$0.52	\$0.67	\$1.80	\$1.93
Diluted Earnings Per Share:				
Net Income - Southside Bancshares, Inc.	\$0.52	\$0.67	\$1.80	\$1.93

On March 31, 2011, our board of directors declared a 5% stock dividend to common stock shareholders of record as of April 20, 2011, and payable on May 11, 2011.

During the second quarter, our board of directors approved equity grants in the form of stock options and restricted stock units. These equity grants were made pursuant to the shareholder-approved Southside Bancshares, Inc. 2009 Incentive Plan.

For the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011, there were approximately 28,000 and 9,000 antidilutive options, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, there were no antidilutive options.

Table of Contents

4. Comprehensive Income (Loss) - (2011 Restated)

The components of other comprehensive income (loss) are as follows (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011		
	Before-Tax Amount	Tax Expense	Net-of-Tax Amount
Unrealized gains on securities:			
Unrealized holding gains arising during period	\$47,002	\$(16,451)	\$30,551
Less: reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income	9,080	(3,178)	5,902
Net unrealized gains on securities	37,922	(13,273)	24,649
Change in pension plans	1,066	(373)	693
Other comprehensive income	\$38,988	\$(13,646)	\$25,342

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2011		
	Before-Tax Amount	Tax Expense	Net-of-Tax Amount
Unrealized gains on securities:			
Unrealized holding gains arising during period	\$20,722	\$(7,253)	\$13,469
Less: reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income	3,609	(1,263)	2,346
Net unrealized gains on securities	17,113	(5,990)	11,123
Change in pension plans	355	(124)	231
Other comprehensive income	\$17,468	\$(6,114)	\$11,354

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010		
	Before-Tax Amount	Tax (Expense) Benefit	Net-of-Tax Amount
Unrealized losses on securities:			
Unrealized holding gains arising during period	\$21,029	\$(7,360)	\$13,669
Noncredit portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses on the AFS securities	36	(13)	23
Less: reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income	23,024	(8,058)	14,966
Less: reclassification of other-than-temporary impairment charges on AFS securities included in net income	(75)	26	(49)
Net unrealized losses on securities	(1,884)	659	(1,225)
Change in pension plans	939	(328)	611
Other comprehensive loss	\$(945)	\$331	\$(614)

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2010		
	Before-Tax Amount	Tax (Expense) Benefit	Net-of-Tax Amount
Unrealized losses on securities:			
Unrealized holding gains arising during period	\$7,170	\$(2,509)	\$4,661
Noncredit portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses on the AFS securities	—	—	—
Less: reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income	8,008	(2,802)	5,206
Less: reclassification of other-than-temporary impairment charges on AFS securities included in net income	—	—	—
Net unrealized losses on securities	(838)	293	(545)

Edgar Filing: SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q/A

Change in pension plans	313	(109)	204	
Other comprehensive loss	\$(525)	\$ 184	\$(341)

14

Table of Contents

5. Securities - (2011 Restated)

The amortized cost and estimated market value of investment and mortgage-backed securities as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, are reflected in the tables below (in thousands):

AVAILABLE FOR SALE:	September 30, 2011				Estimated Fair Value
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Noncredit OTTI	Losses Other	
Investment Securities:					
State and Political Subdivisions	\$275,170	\$28,906	\$-	\$29	\$304,047
Other Stocks and Bonds	2,925	-	1,978	-	947
Mortgage-backed Securities:					
U.S. Government Agencies	115,394	6,996	-	98	122,292
Government-Sponsored Enterprises	574,232	19,551	-	883	592,900
Total	\$967,721	\$55,453	\$1,978	\$1,010	\$1,020,186

HELD TO MATURITY:	September 30, 2011				Estimated Fair Value
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Noncredit OTTI	Losses Other	
Investment Securities:					
State and Political Subdivisions	\$1,011	\$188	\$-	\$-	\$1,199
Other Stocks and Bonds	485	18	-	-	503
Mortgage-backed Securities:					
U.S. Government Agencies	23,556	1,124	-	75	24,605
Government-Sponsored Enterprises	355,780	13,546	-	10	369,316
Total	\$380,832	\$14,876	\$-	\$85	\$395,623

AVAILABLE FOR SALE:	December 31, 2010				Estimated Fair Value
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Noncredit OTTI	Losses Other	
Investment Securities:					
U.S. Treasury	\$4,700	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$4,700
State and Political Subdivisions	296,357	4,445	-	6,540	294,262
Other Stocks and Bonds	3,117	1	2,736	-	382
Mortgage-backed Securities:					
U.S. Government Agencies	145,136	5,296	-	159	150,273
Government-Sponsored Enterprises	721,908	16,035	-	1,642	736,301
Total	\$1,171,218	\$25,777	\$2,736	\$8,341	\$1,185,918

HELD TO MATURITY:	December 31, 2010				Estimated Fair Value
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Noncredit OTTI	Losses Other	
Investment Securities:					
State and Political Subdivisions	\$1,012	\$44	\$-	\$-	\$1,056
Other Stocks and Bonds	483	14	-	-	497

Edgar Filing: SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q/A

Mortgage-backed Securities:			–		
U.S. Government Agencies	20,821	566	–	55	21,332
Government-Sponsored Enterprises	384,546	8,576	–	589	392,533
Total	\$406,862	\$9,200	\$–	\$644	\$415,418

15

Table of Contents

Securities carried at fair value through income were as follows (in thousands):

	At September 30, 2011	At December 31, 2010
Mortgage-backed Securities:		
U.S. Government Agencies	\$ 31,441	\$ 5,392
Government-Sponsored Enterprises	536,198	66,784
Total	\$ 567,639	\$ 72,176

Net gains and losses on securities carried at fair value through income were as follows (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011	2010
Net gain on sales transactions	\$592	\$ –
Net mark-to-market gains (losses)	7,357	–
Net gain on securities carried at fair value through income	\$7,949	\$ –
	Three Months Ended September 30, 2011	2010
Net gain on sales transactions	\$254	\$ –
Net mark-to-market gains (losses)	3,274	–
Net gain on securities carried at fair value through income	\$3,528	\$ –

The following table represents the unrealized loss on securities for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and year ended December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	Less Than 12 Months Unrealized		More Than 12 Months Unrealized		Total Unrealized	
	Fair Value	Loss	Fair Value	Loss	Fair Value	Loss
As of September 30, 2011:						
Available for Sale						
State and Political Subdivisions	\$925	\$12	\$293	\$17	\$1,218	\$29
Other Stocks and Bonds	–	–	947	1,978	947	1,978
Mortgage-Backed Securities	117,436	921	4,613	60	122,049	981
Total	\$118,361	\$933	\$5,853	\$2,055	\$124,214	\$2,988
Held to Maturity						
Mortgage-Backed Securities	\$8,661	\$85	\$–	\$–	\$8,661	\$85
Total	\$8,661	\$85	\$–	\$–	\$8,661	\$85
As of December 31, 2010:						
Available for Sale						
State and Political Subdivisions	\$136,671	\$6,501	\$270	\$39	\$136,941	\$6,540
Other Stocks and Bonds	–	–	189	2,736	189	2,736

Edgar Filing: SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q/A

Mortgage-Backed Securities	267,014	1,712	12,184	89	279,198	1,801
Total	\$403,685	\$8,213	\$12,643	\$2,864	\$416,328	\$11,077
Held to Maturity						
Mortgage-Backed Securities	\$52,676	\$644	\$-	\$-	\$52,676	\$644
Total	\$52,676	\$644	\$-	\$-	\$52,676	\$644

When it is determined that a decline in fair value of Held to Maturity (“HTM”) and Available for Sale (“AFS”) securities is other-than-temporary, the carrying value of the security is reduced to its estimated fair value, with a corresponding charge to earnings for the credit portion and the noncredit portion to other comprehensive income. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment losses, management considers, among other things, the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer. Additionally, we do not currently intend to sell the securities and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before the anticipated recovery of its amortized cost basis.

Table of Contents

The turmoil in the capital markets had a significant impact on our estimate of fair value for certain of our securities. We believe the market values are reflective of illiquidity and credit impairment. At September 30, 2011, we have in AFS Other Stocks and Bonds, \$2.9 million amortized cost basis in pooled trust preferred securities (“TRUPs”). Those securities are structured products with cash flows dependent upon securities issued by U.S. financial institutions, including banks and insurance companies. Our estimate of fair value at September 30, 2011 for the TRUPs is approximately \$947,000 and reflects the market illiquidity. With the exception of the TRUPs, to the best of management’s knowledge and based on our consideration of the qualitative factors associated with each security, there were no securities in our investment and mortgage-backed securities portfolio at September 30, 2011 with an other-than-temporary impairment.

Given the facts and circumstances associated with the TRUPs we performed detailed cash flow modeling for each TRUP using an industry-accepted cash flow model. Prior to loading the required assumptions into the model we reviewed the financial condition of each of the underlying issuing banks within the TRUP collateral pool that had not deferred or defaulted as of September 30, 2011. Management’s best estimate of a deferral assumption was assigned to each issuing bank based on the category in which it fell. Our analysis of the underlying cash flows contemplated various default, deferral and recovery scenarios to arrive at our best estimate of cash flows. Based on that detailed analysis, we have concluded that the other-than-temporary impairment, which captures the credit component in compliance with FASB ASC Topic 320, “Investments – Debt and Equity Securities,” was estimated at \$3.1 million at both September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The noncredit charge to other comprehensive income was estimated at \$2.0 million and \$2.7 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The carrying amount of the TRUPs was written down with \$75,000 and \$3.0 million recognized in earnings for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and for the year ended December 31, 2009, respectively. There was no additional write-down of the TRUPs recognized in earnings for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The cash flow model assumptions represent management’s best estimate and consider a variety of qualitative factors, which include, among others, the credit rating downgrades, the severity and duration of the mark-to-market loss, and the structural nuances of each TRUP. Management believes that the detailed review of the collateral and cash flow modeling support the conclusion that the TRUPs had an other-than-temporary impairment at September 30, 2011. We will continue to update our assumptions and the resulting analysis each reporting period to reflect changing market conditions. Additionally, we do not currently intend to sell the TRUPs and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the TRUPs before the anticipated recovery of their amortized cost basis.

The table below provides more detail on the TRUPs at September 30, 2011 (in thousands).

TRUP	Par	Credit Loss	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Tranche	Credit Rating
1	\$ 2,000	\$ 1,075	\$ 925	\$ 300	C1	Ca
2	2,000	550	1,450	348	B1	C
3	2,000	1,450	550	299	B2	C
	\$ 6,000	\$ 3,075	\$ 2,925	\$ 947		

The following table presents the impairment activity related to credit loss, which is recognized in earnings, and the impairment activity related to all other factors, which are recognized in other comprehensive income (in thousands).

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011		
Impairment Related to Credit Loss	Impairment Related to All Other Factors	Total Impairment

Edgar Filing: SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q/A

Balance, beginning of the period	\$3,075	\$ 2,694	\$5,769
Charges on securities for which other-than-temporary impairment charges were not previously recognized	–	–	–
Additional charges on securities for which other-than-temporary impairment charges were previously recognized	–	–	–
Balance, end of the period	\$3,075	\$ 2,694	\$5,769

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2011		
	Impairment Related to Credit Loss	Impairment Related to All Other Factors	Total Impairment
Balance, beginning of the period	\$3,075	\$ 2,694	\$5,769
Charges on securities for which other-than-temporary impairment charges were not previously recognized	–	–	–
Additional charges on securities for which other-than-temporary impairment charges were previously recognized	–	–	–
Balance, end of the period	\$3,075	\$ 2,694	\$5,769

Table of Contents

Interest income recognized on securities for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010
U.S. Treasury	\$ 6	\$ 7
State and Political Subdivisions	9,525	8,239
Other Stocks and Bonds	25	35
Mortgage-backed Securities	37,899	37,937
Total interest income on securities	\$ 47,455	\$ 46,218

	Three Months Ended	
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010
U.S. Treasury	\$-	\$ 3
State and Political Subdivisions	3,073	2,375
Other Stocks and Bonds	7	8
Mortgage-backed Securities	13,292	13,378
Total interest income on securities	\$16,372	\$ 15,764

There were no securities transferred from AFS to HTM during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 or 2010. There were no sales from the HTM portfolio during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 or 2010. There were \$380.8 million of securities classified as HTM at September 30, 2011 compared to \$406.9 million of securities classified as HTM at December 31, 2010.

Of the \$9.1 million in net securities gains from the AFS portfolio for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, there were \$9.2 million in realized gains and \$121,000 in realized losses. Of the \$23.0 million in net securities gains from the AFS portfolio for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, there were \$25.5 million in realized gains and \$2.5 million in realized losses.

The amortized cost and fair value of securities at September 30, 2011 are presented below by contractual maturity. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations. Mortgage-backed securities are presented in total by category due to the fact that mortgage-backed securities typically are issued with stated principal amounts, and the securities are backed by pools of mortgages that have loans with varying maturities. The characteristics of the underlying pool of mortgages, such as fixed-rate or adjustable-rate, as well as prepayment risk, are passed on to the certificate holder. The term of a mortgage-backed pass-through security thus approximates the term of the underlying mortgages and can vary significantly due to prepayments.

Available for sale securities:	September 30, 2011	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value (in thousands)
Investment Securities		
Due in one year or less	\$1,968	\$1,989
Due after one year through five years	6,929	7,196
Due after five years through ten years	27,988	30,455

Edgar Filing: SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q/A

Due after ten years	241,210	265,354
	278,095	304,994
Mortgage-backed securities	689,626	715,192
Total	\$967,721	\$1,020,186

18

Table of Contents

	September 30, 2011	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Held to maturity securities:		(in thousands)
Investment Securities		
Due in one year or less	\$-	\$-
Due after one year through five years	-	-
Due after five years through ten years	485	503
Due after ten years	1,011	1,199
	1,496	1,702
Mortgage-backed securities	379,336	393,921
Total	\$380,832	\$395,623

Investment and mortgage-backed securities with book values of \$1.00 billion at September 30, 2011 and \$977.4 million at December 31, 2010 were pledged to collateralize Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") advances, repurchase agreements, public funds and trust deposits or for other purposes as required by law.

Securities with limited marketability, such as FHLB stock and other investments, are carried at cost, which approximates its fair value and assessed for other-than-temporary impairment. These securities have no maturity date.

6. Loans and Allowance for Probable Loan Losses

The following table sets forth loan totals for the periods presented (in thousands):

	At September 30, 2011	At December 31, 2010
Real Estate Loans:		
Construction	\$ 103,859	\$ 115,094
1-4 Family residential	228,248	219,031
Other	202,595	200,723
Commercial loans	140,115	148,761
Municipal loans	199,122	196,594
Loans to individuals	166,532	197,717
Total loans	\$ 1,040,471	\$ 1,077,920

Table of Contents

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is based on the most current review of the loan portfolio and is validated by multiple processes. First, the bank utilizes historical data to establish general reserve amounts for each class of loans. While we track several years of data, we primarily review one year data because we found during the 1980's that longer periods would not respond quickly enough to market conditions. Second, our lenders have the primary responsibility for identifying problem loans and estimating necessary reserves based on customer financial stress and underlying collateral. These recommendations are reviewed by the Senior lender, the Special Assets department, and the Loan Review department and are signed off on by the President. Third, the Loan Review department does independent reviews of the portfolio on an annual basis. The Loan Review department follows a board-approved annual loan review scope. The loan review scope encompasses a number of metrics that takes into consideration the size of the loan, the type of credit extended, the seasoning of the loan and the performance of the loan. The loan review scope as it relates to size, focuses more on larger dollar loan relationships, typically, for example, aggregate debt of \$500,000 or greater. The Loan Review officer also tracks specific reserves for loans by type compared to general reserves to determine trends in comparative reserves as well as losses not reserved for prior to charge off to determine the efficiency of the specific reserve process.

At each review, a subjective analysis methodology is used to grade the respective loan. Categories of grading vary in severity from loans that do not appear to have a significant probability of loss at the time of review to loans that indicate a probability that the entire balance of the loan will be uncollectible. If full collection of the loan balance appears unlikely at the time of review, estimates of future expected cash flows or appraisals of the collateral securing the debt are used to allocate the necessary allowances. The internal loan review department maintains a list of all loans or loan relationships that are graded as having more than the normal degree of risk associated with them. In addition, a list of specifically reserved loans or loan relationships of \$50,000 or more is updated on a quarterly basis in order to properly allocate necessary allowances and keep management informed on the status of attempts to correct the deficiencies noted with respect to the loan.

For loans to individuals, the methodology associated with determining the appropriate allowance for losses on loans primarily consists of an evaluation of individual payment histories, remaining term to maturity and underlying collateral support.

Industry experience indicates that a portion of our loans will become delinquent and a portion of the loans will require partial or entire charge-off. Regardless of the underwriting criteria utilized, losses may be experienced as a result of various factors beyond our control, including, among other things, changes in market conditions affecting the value of properties used as collateral for loans and problems affecting the credit of the borrower and the ability of the borrower to make payments on the loan. Our determination of the adequacy of allowance for loan losses is based on various considerations, including an analysis of the risk characteristics of various classifications of loans, previous loan loss experience, specific loans which would have loan loss potential, delinquency trends, estimated fair value of the underlying collateral, current economic conditions, the views of the bank regulators (who have the authority to require additional allowances), and geographic and industry loan concentration.

Consumer loans at SFG are reserved for based on general estimates of loss at the time of purchase for current loans. SFG loans experiencing past due status or extension of maturity characteristics are reserved for at significantly higher levels based on the circumstances associated with each specific loan. In general the reserves for SFG are calculated based on the past due status of the loan. For reserve purposes, the portfolio has been segregated by past due status and by the remaining term variance from the original contract. During repayment, loans that pay late will take longer to pay out than the original contract. Additionally, some loans may be granted extensions for extenuating payment circumstances. The remaining term extensions increase the risk of collateral deterioration and accordingly, reserves are increased to recognize this risk.

For SFG automobile loan pools purchased after August 1, 2010, additional reserve methods have been added. New pools purchased are reserved at their estimated annual loss. Thereafter, the reserve is adjusted based on the actual performance versus projected performance. Additionally, during the fourth quarter of 2010, data mining measures were further enhanced to track migration within risk tranches. Reserves are adjusted quarterly to match the migration metrics.

Credit Quality Indicators

We categorize loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. We use the following definitions for risk ratings:

- Satisfactory (Rating 1 – 4) – This rating is assigned to all satisfactory loans. This category, by definition, should consist of completely acceptable credit. Credit and collateral exceptions should not be present, although their presence would not necessarily prohibit a loan from being rated Satisfactory, if deficiencies are in process of correction. These loans will not be included in the Watch List.

Table of Contents

- Satisfactory (Rating 5) – Special Treatment Required – (Pass Watch) – These loans require some degree of special treatment, but not due to credit quality. This category does not include loans specially mentioned or adversely classified by the Loan Review Officer or regulatory authorities; however, particular attention must be accorded such credits due to characteristics such as:
 - A lack of, or abnormally extended payment program;
 - A heavy degree of concentration of collateral without sufficient margin;
 - A vulnerability to competition through lesser or extensive financial leverage;
- A dependence on a single, or few customers, or sources of supply and materials without suitable substitutes or alternatives.
- Special Mention (Rating 6) – A Special Mention asset has potential weaknesses that deserve management’s close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the asset or in the institution’s credit position at some future date. Special Mention assets are not adversely classified and do not expose an institution to sufficient risk to warrant adverse classification.
- Substandard (Rating 7) – Substandard loans are inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified must have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.
- Doubtful (Rating 8) – Loans classified Doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified Substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation, in full, on the basis of currently known facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable.
- Loss (Rating 9) – Loans classified Loss are considered uncollectible or of such little value that their continuance as bankable assets is not warranted. This classification does not mean that the loan has absolutely no recovery or salvage value but rather it is not practical or desirable to defer writing off this basically worthless asset even though partial recovery may be affected in the future.

Loans not meeting risk ratings six through nine are reserved for as a group of similar type pass rated credits and included in the general portion of the allowance for loan losses.

The general portion of the loan loss allowance is reflective of historical charge-off levels for similar loans adjusted for changes in current conditions and other relevant factors. These factors are likely to cause estimated losses to differ from historical loss experience and include:

- Changes in lending policies or procedures, including underwriting, collection, charge-off, and recovery procedures;
 - Changes in local, regional and national economic and business conditions including entry into new markets;
 - Changes in the volume or type of credit extended;
 - Changes in the experience, ability, and depth of lending management;
- Changes in the volume and severity of past due, nonaccrual, restructured, or classified loans;
 - Changes in loan review or Board oversight; and,
 - Changes in the level of concentrations of credit.

Table of Contents

The following table details activity in the Allowance for Loan Losses by portfolio segment for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011							Total
	Construction	Real Estate 1-4 Family Residential	Other	Commercial Loans	Municipal Loans	Loans to Individuals	Unallocated	
Balance at beginning of period	\$2,585	\$ 1,988	\$3,354	\$ 3,746	\$ 607	\$ 7,978	\$ 453	\$20,711
Provision (reversal) for loan losses	(25)	397	(318)	(65)	(9)	4,628	844	5,452
Loans charged off	(9)	(654)	(271)	(1,231)	–	(8,085)	–	(10,250)
Recoveries of loans charged off	38	96	274	397	–	1,471	–	2,276
Balance at end of period	\$2,589	\$ 1,827	\$3,039	\$ 2,847	\$ 598	\$ 5,992	\$ 1,297	\$18,189

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2011							Total
	Construction	Real Estate 1-4 Family Residential	Other	Commercial Loans	Municipal Loans	Loans to Individuals	Unallocated	
Balance at beginning of period	\$2,800	\$ 1,879	\$3,023	\$ 2,916	\$ 610	\$ 6,657	\$ 1,524	\$19,409
Provision (reversal) for loan losses	(235)	216	206	166	(12)	1,340	(227)	1,454
Loans charged off	–	(271)	(191)	(304)	–	(2,413)	–	(3,179)
Recoveries of loans charged off	24	3	1	69	–	408	–	505
Balance at end of period	\$2,589	\$ 1,827	\$3,039	\$ 2,847	\$ 598	\$ 5,992	\$ 1,297	\$18,189

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010							Total
	Construction	Real Estate 1-4 Family Residential	Other	Commercial Loans	Municipal Loans	Loans to Individuals	Unallocated	
Balance at beginning of period	\$3,080	\$ 1,460	\$3,175	\$ 3,184	\$ 400	\$ 7,321	\$ 1,276	\$19,896
Provision (reversal) for loan losses	36	585	640	2,233	151	6,483	(800)	9,328
Loans charged off	(873)	(236)	(519)	(2,023)	–	(9,165)	–	(12,816)
Recoveries of loans charged off	165	12	–	202	–	1,944	–	2,323
	\$2,408	\$ 1,821	\$3,296	\$ 3,596	\$ 551	\$ 6,583	\$ 476	\$18,731

Balance at end of
period

Three Months Ended September 30, 2010

	Construction	Real Estate 1-4 Family Residential	Other	Commercial Loans	Municipal Loans	Loans to Individuals	Unallocated	Total
Balance at beginning of period	\$2,180	\$ 1,820	\$3,334	\$ 3,367	\$ 514	\$ 6,804	\$ 1,264	\$19,283
Provision (reversal) for loan losses	228	114	281	1,242	37	2,087	(788)	3,201
Loans charged off	–	(120)	(319)	(1,058)	–	(2,844)	–	(4,341)
Recoveries of loans charged off	–	7	–	45	–	536	–	588
Balance at end of period	\$2,408	\$ 1,821	\$3,296	\$ 3,596	\$ 551	\$ 6,583	\$ 476	\$18,731

Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011							Total
	Construction	Real Estate 1-4 Family Residential	Other	Commercial Loans	Municipal Loans	Loans to Individuals	Unallocated	
Ending balance – individually evaluated for impairment	\$1,015	\$746	\$527	\$1,129	\$110	\$396	\$–	\$3,923
Ending balance – collectively evaluated for impairment	1,574	1,081	2,512	1,718	488	5,596	1,297	14,266
Balance at end of period	\$2,589	\$1,827	\$3,039	\$2,847	\$598	\$5,992	\$1,297	\$18,189

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010							Total
	Construction	Real Estate 1-4 Family Residential	Other	Commercial Loans	Municipal Loans	Loans to Individuals	Unallocated	
Ending balance – individually evaluated for impairment	\$1,088	\$673	\$836	\$1,752	\$125	\$580	\$–	\$5,054
Ending balance – collectively evaluated for impairment	1,320	1,148	2,460	1,844	426	6,003	476	13,677
Balance at end of period	\$2,408	\$1,821	\$3,296	\$3,596	\$551	\$6,583	\$476	\$18,731

The following table details activity of the Reserve for Unfunded Loan Commitments for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
Reserve For Unfunded Loan Commitments:		
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 30	\$ 5
Provision (reversal) for losses on unfunded loan commitments	(8)	15
Balance at end of period	\$ 22	\$ 20

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
Reserve For Unfunded Loan Commitments:		
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 22	\$ 40
Provision (reversal) for losses on unfunded loan commitments	–	(20)

Balance at end of period	\$	22	\$	20
--------------------------	----	----	----	----

23

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the balance in the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment based on impairment method as described in the allowance for loan losses methodology discussion for the periods presented (in thousands):

September 30, 2011	Construction	Real Estate 1-4 Family Residential	Other	Commercial Loans	Municipal Loans	Loans to Individuals	Total
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$7,869	\$11,059	\$7,271	\$6,245	\$651	\$1,317	\$34,412
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	95,990	217,189	195,324	133,870	198,471	165,215	1,006,059
Total ending loans balance	\$103,859	\$228,248	\$202,595	\$140,115	\$199,122	\$166,532	\$1,040,471

December 31, 2010	Construction	Real Estate 1-4 Family Residential	Other	Commercial Loans	Municipal Loans	Loans to Individuals	Total
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$10,355	\$8,331	\$10,688	\$12,144	\$738	\$1,625	\$43,881
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	104,739	210,700	190,035	136,617	195,856	196,092	1,034,039
Total ending loans balance	\$115,094	\$219,031	\$200,723	\$148,761	\$196,594	\$197,717	\$1,077,920

The following table sets forth loans by credit quality indicator for the periods presented (in thousands):

September 30, 2011	Pass	Pass Watch	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Total
Real Estate Loans:							
Construction	\$95,990	\$1,237	\$449	\$6,041	\$142	\$-	\$103,859
1-4 Family residential	217,188	640	5,242	4,313	865	-	228,248
Other	195,324	94	3,270	3,869	38	-	202,595
Commercial loans	133,871	904	246	5,015	68	11	140,115
Municipal loans	198,471	231	-	420	-	-	199,122
Loans to individuals	155,590	7,306	-	2,564	1,040	32	166,532
Total	\$996,434	\$10,412	\$9,207	\$22,222	\$2,153	\$43	\$1,040,471

Edgar Filing: SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q/A

December 31, 2010	Pass	Pass Watch	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Total
Real Estate							
Loans:							
Construction	\$104,739	\$761	\$1,420	\$8,174	\$-	\$-	\$115,094
1-4 Family residential	210,699	812	1,379	5,332	809	-	219,031
Other	190,036	102	4,784	5,418	298	85	200,723
Commercial loans	136,617	2,273	1,224	8,403	199	45	148,761
Municipal loans	195,856	258	-	480	-	-	196,594
Loans to individuals	182,174	8,766	27	4,564	2,175	11	197,717
Total	\$1,020,121	\$12,972	\$8,834	\$32,371	\$3,481	\$141	\$1,077,920

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth nonperforming assets for the periods presented:

	At September 30, 2011	At December 31, 2010
	(in thousands)	
Nonaccrual loans	\$10,634	\$ 14,524
Accruing loans past due more than 90 days	21	7
Restructured loans	1,486	2,320
Other real estate owned	831	220
Reposessed assets	188	638
Total Nonperforming Assets	\$13,160	\$ 17,709

Nonaccrual and Past Due Loans

Nonaccrual loans are those loans which are 90 days or more delinquent and collection in full of both the principal and interest is in doubt. Additionally, some loans that are not delinquent may be placed on nonaccrual status due to doubts about full collection of principal or interest. When a loan is categorized as nonaccrual, the accrual of interest is discontinued and the accrued balance is reversed for financial statement purposes. Payments of contractual interest are recognized as income only to the extent that full recovery of the principal balance of the loan is reasonably certain. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured. Other factors, such as the value of collateral securing the loan and the financial condition of the borrower must be considered in judgments as to potential loan loss.

Loans are considered impaired if, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal and interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The measurement of impaired loans is generally based on the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the historical effective interest rate stipulated in the loan agreement, except that all collateral-dependent loans are measured for impairment based on the fair value of the collateral. In measuring the fair value of the collateral, in addition to relying on third party appraisals, we use assumptions such as discount rates, and methodologies, such as comparison to the recent selling price of similar assets, consistent with those that would be utilized by unrelated third parties performing a valuation.

Nonaccrual loans and accruing loans past due more than 90 days include both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans.

The following table sets forth the recorded investment in nonaccrual and accruing loans past due more than 90 days by class of loans for the periods presented (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Nonaccrual	Accruing Loans Past Due More Than 90 Days	Nonaccrual	Accruing Loans Past Due More Than 90 Days
Real Estate Loans:				
Construction	\$3,838	\$ -	\$4,730	\$ -

Edgar Filing: SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q/A

1-4 Family residential	1,863	–	2,353	–
Other	1,291	–	1,428	–
Commercial loans	1,495	–	1,799	–
Loans to individuals	2,147	21	4,214	7
Total	\$10,634	\$ 21	\$14,524	\$ 7

25

Table of Contents

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of September 30, 2011 by class of loans (in thousands):

	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	Greater than 90 Days Past Due	Total Past Due	Loans Not Past Due	Total
Real Estate Loans:						
Construction	\$448	\$370	\$ 3,838	\$4,656	\$99,203	\$ 103,859
1-4 Family residential	3,720	723	1,863	6,306	221,942	228,248
Other	364	31	1,291	1,686	200,909	202,595
Commercial loans	1,097	343	1,495	2,935	137,180	140,115
Municipal loans	—	—	—	—	199,122	199,122
Loans to individuals	2,705	713	2,168	5,586	160,946	166,532
Total	\$8,334	\$2,180	\$ 10,655	\$21,169	\$1,019,302	\$ 1,040,471

Impaired loans, primarily nonaccrual loans, were as follows (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Loans with no allocated allowance for loan losses	\$ 5	\$ 69
Loans with allocated allowance for loan losses	12,080	16,699
Total	\$ 12,085	\$ 16,768
Amount of the allowance for loan losses allocated	\$ 2,512	\$ 3,864

At any time a potential loss is recognized in the collection of principal, proper reserves should be allocated. Loans are charged off when deemed uncollectible. Loans are charged down as soon as collection by liquidation is evident to the liquidation value of the collateral net of liquidation costs, if any, and placed in nonaccrual status.

Interest income recognized on nonaccrual and restructured loans by class of loans for the period presented (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	
	Interest Income Recognized	Accruing Interest at Original Contracted Rate
Real Estate Loans:		
Construction	\$9	\$ 209
1-4 Family residential	60	89
Other	19	99
Commercial loans	4	54
Municipal loans	—	—
Loans to individuals	363	578
Total	\$455	\$ 1,029

The following table sets forth impaired loans by class of loans for the periods presented (in thousands). Average recorded investment is reported on a year-to-date basis.

September 30, 2011	Unpaid Contractual Principal Balance	Recorded Investment With No Allowance	Recorded Investment With Allowance	Total Recorded Investment	Loan Losses Allocated	Average Recorded Investment
Real Estate Loans:						
Construction	\$4,750	\$-	\$3,838	\$3,838	\$ 633	\$4,083
1-4 Family residential	1,927	-	1,863	1,863	277	2,386
Other	1,890	-	1,290	1,290	125	1,830
Commercial loans	1,564	-	1,495	1,495	477	1,832
Municipal loans	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loans to individuals	3,886	5	3,594	3,599	1,000	4,888
Total	\$14,017	\$5	\$12,080	\$12,085	\$ 2,512	\$15,019

Table of Contents

December 31, 2010	Unpaid Contractual Principal Balance	Recorded Investment With No Allowance	Recorded Investment With Allowance	Total Recorded Investment	Loan Losses Allocated	Average Recorded Investment
Real Estate Loans:						
Construction	\$6,045	\$–	\$4,730	\$4,730	\$ 562	\$6,013
1-4 Family residential	2,453	–	2,354	2,354	426	1,250
Other	1,807	–	1,428	1,428	179	1,445
Commercial loans	1,826	–	1,799	1,799	719	1,950
Municipal loans	–	–	–	–	–	–
Loans to individuals	6,854	69	6,388	6,457	1,978	7,904
Total	\$18,985	\$69	\$16,699	\$16,768	\$ 3,864	\$18,562

Troubled Debt Restructurings

The restructuring of a loan is considered a “troubled debt restructuring” if both (i) the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties and (ii) the creditor has granted a concession. Concessions may include interest rate reductions or below market interest rates, principal forgiveness, restructuring amortization schedules and other actions intended to minimize potential losses. Effective July 1, 2011, we adopted the provisions of Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2011-02, “Receivables (Topic 310) – A Creditor’s Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring.” We reassessed all loan modifications occurring since January 1, 2011 for identification as troubled debt restructurings.

The following table sets forth troubled debt restructurings for the periods presented:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2011	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011
Real Estate Loans:		
Construction	\$ –	\$ 54
1-4 Family residential	–	284
Other	–	–
Commercial loans	–	–
Loans to individuals	57	78
Total	\$ 57	\$ 416

The loans identified as troubled debt restructurings were previously reported as impaired loans prior to restructuring. The modifications related to extending the amortization periods, lowering interest rates and principal forgiveness of the loans. Of the loans restructured during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, \$406,000 were on nonaccrual status as of September 30, 2011. Because the loans were classified and on nonaccrual status both before and after restructuring, the modifications did not impact our determination of the allowance for loan losses. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, there have been no defaults on any loans that were modified as troubled debt restructurings during the preceding twelve months.

Table of Contents

7. Long-term Obligations - (Restated)

Long-term obligations are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
FHLB Advances (1)	\$ 275,458	\$ 373,479
Long-term Debt (2)		
Southside Statutory Trust III Due 2033 (3)	20,619	20,619
Southside Statutory Trust IV Due 2037 (4)	23,196	23,196
Southside Statutory Trust V Due 2037 (5)	12,887	12,887
Magnolia Trust Company I Due 2035 (6)	3,609	3,609
Total Long-term Debt	60,311	60,311
Total Long-term Obligations	\$ 335,769	\$ 433,790

(1) At September 30, 2011, the weighted average cost of these advances was 3.27%. FHLB Advances have maturities ranging from October 2012 through July 2028.

(2) This long-term debt consists of trust preferred securities that qualify under the risk-based capital guidelines as Tier 1 capital, subject to certain limitations.

(3) This debt carries an adjustable rate of 3.30856% through December 30, 2011 and adjusts quarterly at a rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 294 basis points.

(4) This debt carries a fixed rate of 6.518% through October 30, 2012 and thereafter, adjusts quarterly at a rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 130 basis points.

(5) This debt carries a fixed rate of 7.48% through December 15, 2012 and thereafter, adjusts quarterly at a rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 225 basis points.

(6) This debt carries an adjustable rate of 2.103% through November 22, 2011 and thereafter, adjusts quarterly at a rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 180 basis points.

Beginning in September 2010 and continuing into the first quarter of 2011, we entered into the option to fund between one and a half and two years forward from the advance commitment date \$200 million par in long-term advance commitments from the FHLB at the rates on the date the option was purchased. We paid the FHLB a fee to purchase the option associated with these advance commitments of \$11.0 million. During the third quarter of 2011, we recorded impairment charges of \$7.8 million in our income statement. At September 30, 2011, we had \$3.1 million in fair value of the FHLB advance option fees on our balance sheet. The remaining fee, included in other assets in our consolidated balance sheet, will be amortized over the term of the advance when we exercise the advance commitments. Should we determine the advance commitments will not be exercised or they are further impaired, the remaining fee will be expensed in the period determination is made.

Below is a table detailing the optional advance commitment terms (dollars in thousands):

Advance Commitment	Option Expiration Date	Advance Commitment Term at Exercise Date	Advance Commitment Rate	Option Fee Paid	Impairment 2011	Fair Value Option Fee
\$25,000	09/20/12	36 months	1.325 %	\$ 1,105	\$ 806	\$ 299

Edgar Filing: SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q/A

25,000	09/20/12	48 months	1.674	%	1,410	1,009	401
20,000	10/09/12	36 months	1.153	%	789	466	323
20,000	10/09/12	48 months	1.466	%	1,042	602	440
20,000	10/09/12	60 months	1.807	%	1,216	698	518
20,000	05/17/12	48 months	1.710	%	917	748	169
20,000	05/17/12	60 months	2.085	%	1,102	904	198
20,000	03/18/13	60 months	2.510	%	1,528	1,175	353
15,000	03/18/13	36 months	1.622	%	828	630	198
15,000	03/18/13	48 months	2.086	%	1,017	781	236
\$200,000					\$ 10,954	\$ 7,819	\$ 3,135

28

Table of Contents

8. Employee Benefit Plans

The components of net periodic benefit cost are as follows (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	Defined Benefit Pension Plan		Restoration Plan	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Service cost	\$ 1,152	\$ 1,022	\$ 130	\$ 115
Interest cost	2,235	2,124	297	288
Expected return on assets	(2,912)	(2,739)	–	–
Net loss recognition	872	754	227	218
Prior service credit amortization	(31)	(31)	(2)	(2)
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 1,316	\$ 1,130	\$ 652	\$ 619

	Three Months Ended September 30,			
	Defined Benefit Pension Plan		Restoration Plan	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Service cost	\$ 384	\$ 341	\$ 43	\$ 38
Interest cost	745	708	99	96
Expected return on assets	(971)	(913)	–	–
Net loss recognition	291	251	76	73
Prior service credit amortization	(10)	(10)	(1)	(1)
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 439	\$ 377	\$ 217	\$ 206

Employer Contributions. We previously disclosed in our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010, that we expected to contribute \$2.0 million to our defined benefit pension plan and \$80,000 to our restoration plan in 2011. As of September 30, 2011, we had contributed \$2.0 million to our defined benefit plan, and contributions of \$60,000 had been made to our restoration plan.

Table of Contents

9. Share-based Incentive Plans

2009 Incentive Plan (the "2009 Incentive Plan")

On April 16, 2009, our shareholders approved the Southside Bancshares, Inc. 2009 Incentive Plan (the "2009 Incentive Plan"), which is a stock-based incentive compensation plan. A total of 1,157,625 shares of our common stock were reserved and available for issuance pursuant to awards granted under the 2009 Incentive Plan. Under the 2009 Incentive Plan, we were authorized to grant nonqualified stock options ("NQSOs"), restricted stock units ("RSUs") or any combination thereof to certain officers. During the three months ended June 30, 2011, we granted RSUs and NQSOs pursuant to the 2009 Incentive Plan.

As of September 30, 2011, there were 204,202 nonvested awards outstanding. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, there was \$117,000 and \$143,000, respectively, share-based compensation expense related to the 2009 Incentive Plan. As of September 30, 2010, there were no awards granted or nonvested. There was no share-based compensation expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

As of September 30, 2011, there was \$1.5 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to the 2009 Incentive Plan for the nonvested awards granted in June 2011. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.24 years.

The fair value of each NQSO granted is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes method of option pricing with the following weighted-average assumptions for grants in 2011: dividend yield of 3.54%; risk-free interest rate of 2.30%; expected life of 6.5 and 7.0 years for the three-year and four-year vesting schedule, respectively; and expected volatility of 42.07% and 41.50% for the three-year and four-year vesting schedule, respectively.

The NQSOs which have contractual terms of 10 years and vest in equal annual installments over either a three- or four-year period.

The fair value of each RSU is the ending stock price on the date of grant. The RSUs vest in equal annual installments over either a three- or four-year period.

Each award is evidenced by an award agreement that specifies the option price, if applicable, the duration of the award, the number of shares to which the award pertains, and such other provisions as the Board determines.

1993 Incentive Stock Option Plan ("the ISO Plan")

In April 1993, we adopted the Southside Bancshares, Inc. 1993 Incentive Stock Option Plan ("the ISO Plan"), a stock-based incentive compensation plan. The ISO Plan expired March 31, 2003.

As of September 30, 2011 and 2010, there were no nonvested shares for the ISO Plan. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, there was no stock-based compensation expense related to the ISO Plan.

As of September 30, 2011 and 2010, there was no unrecognized compensation cost related to the ISO Plan for nonvested options granted in March 2003.

The fair value of each stock option granted is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes method of option pricing with the following weighted-average assumptions for grants in 2003: dividend yield of 1.93%; risk-free interest rate of 4.93%; expected life of six years; and expected volatility of 28.90%.

Under the ISO Plan, we were authorized to issue shares of common stock pursuant to "Awards" granted in the form of incentive stock options (intended to qualify under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended). Before the ISO Plan expired, awards were granted to selected employees and directors. No stock options have been available for grant under the ISO Plan since its expiration in March 2003.

The ISO Plan provided that the exercise price of any stock option not be less than the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant. The outstanding stock options have contractual terms of 10 years. All options vest on a graded schedule, 20% per year for five years, beginning on the first anniversary date of the grant date.

Table of Contents

A combined summary of activity in our share-based plans as of September 30, 2011 is presented below:

	Shares Available for Grant	Restricted Stock Units Outstanding Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value	Stock Options Outstanding Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Balance, January 1, 2011	1,157,625	–	\$–	10,825	\$10.38
Granted	(204,202)	33,392	19.19	170,810	19.19
Stock options exercised	–	–	–	(838)	10.38
Stock awards vested	–	–	–	–	–
Forfeited	–	–	–	–	–
Canceled/expired	–	–	–	–	–
Balance, September 30, 2011	953,423	33,392	\$19.19	180,797	\$18.70

Other information regarding options outstanding and exercisable as of September 30, 2011 is as follows:

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable		
	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life in Years	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	
\$10.38 – 10.38	9,987	\$ 10.38	1.50	9,987	\$ 10.38	
19.19 – 19.19	170,810	19.19	9.69	–	–	–
Total	180,797	\$ 18.70	9.24	9,987	\$ 10.38	

The total intrinsic value (i.e., the amount by which the fair value of the underlying common stock exceeds the exercise price of a stock option on exercise date) of outstanding stock options and exercisable stock options was zero and \$76,000 at September 30, 2011, respectively.

The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$7,000 and \$1.5 million, respectively.

Cash received from stock option exercises for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$9,000 and \$419,000, respectively. The tax benefit realized for the deductions related to the stock option exercises was \$2,000 and \$328,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

10. Fair Value Measurement - (2011 Restated)

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. A fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell the asset or transfer the liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability. The price in the principal (or most advantageous) market used to measure the fair value of the asset or liability shall not be adjusted for transaction costs. An orderly transaction is a transaction that assumes exposure to the market for a period prior to the measurement date to allow for marketing activities that are usual and customary for transactions involving such assets and liabilities; it is not a forced transaction. Market participants are buyers and sellers in the principal market that are (i) independent, (ii)

knowledgeable, (iii) able to transact and (iv) willing to transact.

Valuation techniques including the market approach, the income approach and/or the cost approach are utilized to determine fair value. Inputs to valuation techniques refer to the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. An entity must consider all aspects of nonperforming risk, including the entity's own credit standing when measuring fair value of a liability. Inputs may be observable, meaning those that reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from independent sources, or unobservable, meaning those that reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. A fair value hierarchy for valuation inputs gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

Table of Contents

Level 1 Inputs - Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 Inputs - Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These might include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (such as interest rates, volatilities, prepayment speeds, credit risks, etc.) or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by market data by correlation or other means.

Level 3 Inputs - Unobservable inputs for determining the fair values of assets or liabilities that reflect an entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the assets or liabilities.

A description of the valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy, is set forth below.

Securities Available for Sale – U.S. Treasury securities are reported at fair value utilizing Level 1 inputs. Other securities classified as available for sale are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs. For these securities, we obtain fair value measurements from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions, among other things.

Securities Carried at Fair Value through Income – U.S. Treasury securities are reported at fair value utilizing Level 1 inputs. Other securities classified as available for sale are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs. For these securities, we obtain fair value measurements from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions, among other things.

We review the prices supplied by the independent pricing service, as well as their underlying pricing methodologies and their Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements - Reporting on Controls of a Service Organization ("SSAE 16"), for reasonableness and to ensure such prices are aligned with traditional pricing matrices. We validate prices supplied by the independent pricing service by comparison to prices obtained from, in most cases, three additional third party sources. For securities where prices are outside a reasonable range, we further review those securities to determine what a reasonable price estimate is for that security, given available data.

Certain financial assets are measured at fair value in accordance with GAAP. Adjustments to the fair value of these assets usually result from the application of fair value accounting or write-downs of individual assets. Transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy are recognized on the actual date of the event or circumstances that caused the transfer, which generally coincides with our monthly and/or quarterly valuation process. There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Loans Held for Sale - These loans are reported at the lower of cost or fair value. Fair value is determined based on expected proceeds, which are based on sales contracts and commitments and are considered Level 2 inputs. At September 30, 2011, based on our estimates of fair value, no valuation allowance was recognized.

Impaired Loans – Certain impaired loans may be reported at the fair value of the underlying collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Collateral values are estimated using Level 3 inputs based on customized discounting criteria or appraisals. At September 30, 2011, the impact of loans with specific reserves based on the fair

value of the collateral was reflected in our allowance for loans losses.

The following table summarizes impaired loans measured at fair value through a specific valuation allowance allocation of the allowance for possible loan losses based upon fair value of the underlying collateral utilizing Level 3 valuation inputs as follows (in thousands):

	At September 30, 2011	At December 31, 2010	At September 30, 2010
Carrying Value	\$ 12,085	\$ 16,768	\$ 17,110
Valuation Allowance	2,512	3,864	3,405
Total Reported Fair Value	\$ 9,573	\$ 12,904	\$ 13,705

Table of Contents

Certain nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis include reporting units measured at fair value in the first step of a goodwill impairment test. Certain nonfinancial assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis include nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities measured at fair value in the second step of a goodwill impairment test, as well as intangible assets and other nonfinancial long-lived assets (such as real estate owned) that are measured at fair value in the event of an impairment.

The following tables summarize financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, segregated by the level of the valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy utilized to measure fair value (in thousands):

Securities Available For Sale	As of September 30, 2011			
	Level 1 Input	Level 2 Input	Level 3 Input	Total Fair Value
Investment Securities:				
State and Political Subdivisions	\$-	\$304,047	\$-	\$304,047
Other Stocks and Bonds	-	-	947	947
Mortgage-backed Securities:				
U.S. Government Agencies	-	122,292	-	122,292
Government-Sponsored Enterprise	-	592,900	-	592,900
Total	\$-	\$1,019,239	\$947	\$1,020,186

Securities Carried at Fair Value through Income	As of September 30, 2011			
	Level 1 Input	Level 2 Input	Level 3 Input	Total Fair Value
Mortgage-backed Securities:				
U.S. Government Agencies	\$-	\$31,441	\$-	\$31,441
Government-Sponsored Enterprise	-	536,198	-	536,198
Total	\$-	\$567,639	\$-	\$567,639

Securities Available For Sale	As of December 31, 2010			
	Level 1 Input	Level 2 Input	Level 3 Input	Total Fair Value
Investment Securities:				
U.S. Treasury	\$4,700	\$-	\$-	\$4,700
State and Political Subdivisions	-	294,262	-	294,262
Other Stocks and Bonds	193	-	189	382
Mortgage-backed Securities:				
U.S. Government Agencies	-	150,273	-	150,273
Government-Sponsored Enterprise	-	736,301	-	736,301
Total	\$4,893	\$1,180,836	\$189	\$1,185,918

Securities Carried at Fair Value through Income	As of December 31, 2010			
	Level 1 Input	Level 2 Input	Level 3 Input	Total Fair Value
Mortgage-backed Securities:				

Edgar Filing: SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q/A

U.S. Government Agencies	\$-	\$5,392	\$-	\$5,392
Government-Sponsored Enterprise	-	66,784	-	66,784
Total	\$-	\$72,176	\$-	\$72,176

33

Table of Contents

The following tables present additional information about financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and for which we have utilized Level 3 inputs to determine fair value (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
Other Stocks and Bonds		
Balance at Beginning of Period	\$189	\$270
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):		
Included in earnings (or changes in net assets)	–	(75)
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	758	(7)
Purchases	–	–
Issuances	–	–
Settlements	–	–
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	–	–
Balance at End of Period	\$947	\$188

The amount of total gains or losses for the periods included in earnings (or changes in net assets) attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at reporting date	\$–	\$(75)
--	-----	---------

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
Other Stocks and Bonds		

Balance at Beginning of Period	\$957	\$187
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):		
Included in earnings (or changes in net assets)	–	–
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	(10)	1
Purchases	–	–
Issuances	–	–
Settlements	–	–
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	–	–
Balance at End of Period	\$947	\$188

The amount of total gains or losses for the periods included in earnings (or changes in net assets) attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at reporting date	\$–	\$–
--	-----	-----

We reported at fair value through income certain of our mortgage-backed securities with embedded derivatives and purchased at a significant premium, which we defined as greater than 111.111% as opposed to bifurcating the embedded derivative and valuing it on a stand alone basis as these embedded derivatives are not readily identifiable and measurable and as such cannot be bifurcated. At September 30, 2011, we had \$567.6 million classified as securities carried at fair value through income. The changes in fair value recorded in income was an increase of \$3.3 million and \$7.4 million, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. At September 30,

2010, we had no securities classified as securities carried at fair value through income. We did not have any changes in fair value recorded in income during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

Assets and liabilities accounted for at fair value through income are initially measured at fair value with subsequent changes in fair value recognized in earnings. Such changes in the fair value of assets for which we reported at fair value through income are included in current period earnings with classification in the income statement line item reflected in the following table (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
Changes in fair value included in net income:		
Mortgage-backed Securities:		
U.S. Government Agencies	\$ 409	\$ -
Government-Sponsored Enterprises	6,948	-
Total	\$ 7,357	\$ -
	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
Changes in fair value included in net income:		
Mortgage-backed Securities:		
U.S. Government Agencies	\$ 359	\$ -
Government-Sponsored Enterprises	2,915	-
Total	\$ 3,274	\$ -

Disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the balance sheet is required, for which it is practicable to estimate that value. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other estimation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. Such techniques and assumptions, as they apply to individual categories of our financial instruments, are as follows:

Cash and cash equivalents - The carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents is a reasonable estimate of those assets' fair value.

Investment and mortgage-backed and related securities - Fair values for these securities are based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices for similar securities or estimates from independent pricing services.

FHLB stock and other investments - The carrying amount of FHLB stock is a reasonable estimate of those assets' fair value.

Loans receivable - For adjustable rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, the carrying amounts are a reasonable estimate of those assets' fair value. The fair value of fixed rate loans is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities. Nonperforming loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses or the underlying value of the collateral where applicable.

Deposit liabilities - The fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts, and certain money market deposits is the amount on demand at the reporting date, that is, the carrying value. Fair values for fixed rate certificates of deposits are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements - Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements generally have an original term to maturity of one day and thus are considered short-term borrowings. Consequently, their carrying value is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

FHLB advances - The fair value of these advances is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using rates at which advances would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.

Long-term debt - The carrying amount for the long-term debt is estimated by discounting future cash flows using estimated rates at which long-term debt would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the remaining maturities. This type of debt is issued much less frequently since the economic crisis beginning in 2007. Therefore, the discount rate is a best estimate.

Table of Contents

The following table presents our financial assets, financial liabilities, and unrecognized financial instruments at both their respective carrying amounts and fair value:

	At September 30, 2011		At December 31, 2010	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
(in thousands)				
Financial assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$47,131	\$47,131	\$79,073	\$79,073
Investment securities:				
Available for sale, at estimated fair value	304,994	304,994	299,344	299,344
Held to maturity, at amortized cost	1,496	1,702	1,495	1,553
Mortgage-backed and related securities:				
Available for sale, at estimated fair value	715,192	715,192	886,574	886,574
Securities carried at fair value through income	567,639	567,639	72,176	72,176
Held to maturity, at amortized cost	379,336	393,921	405,367	413,865
FHLB stock and other investments, at cost	31,121	31,121	36,776	36,776
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses	1,022,282	1,032,092	1,057,209	1,066,125
Loans held for sale	5,491	5,491	6,583	6,583
Financial liabilities:				
Retail deposits	\$2,293,760	\$2,298,118	\$2,134,428	\$2,138,587
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	2,997	2,997	3,844	3,844
FHLB advances	562,662	578,417	562,573	578,561
Long-term debt	60,311	45,620	60,311	50,673

As discussed earlier, the fair value estimate of financial instruments for which quoted market prices are unavailable is dependent upon the assumptions used. Consequently, those estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and, in many cases, could not be realized in immediate settlement of the instruments. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented in the above fair value table do not necessarily represent their underlying value.

The estimated fair value of our commitments to extend credit, credit card arrangements and letters of credit, was not material at September 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

11. Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements, Commitments and Contingencies

Financial Instruments with Off-Balance-Sheet-Risk. In the normal course of business, we are a party to certain financial instruments, with off-balance-sheet risk, to meet the financing needs of our customers. These off-balance-sheet instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount reflected in the financial statements. The contract or notional amounts of these instruments reflect the extent of involvement and exposure to credit loss that we have in these particular classes of financial instruments.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer provided that the terms established in the contract are met. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates and may require payment of fees. Since some commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan commitments to customers.

We had outstanding unused commitments to extend credit of \$128.3 million and \$113.3 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Each commitment has a maturity date and the commitment expires on that date with the exception of credit card and ready reserve commitments, which have no stated maturity date. Unused commitments for credit card and ready reserve at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were \$11.9 million and \$11.5 million, respectively, and are reflected in the due after one year category. We had outstanding standby letters of credit of \$5.9 million and \$5.0 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

The scheduled maturities of unused commitments as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were as follows (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Unused commitments:		
Due in one year or less	\$ 96,379	\$ 64,984
Due after one year	31,944	48,267
Total	\$ 128,323	\$ 113,251

We apply the same credit policies in making commitments and standby letters of credit as we do for on-balance-sheet instruments. We evaluate each customer's credit worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary, upon extension of credit is based on management's credit evaluation of the borrower. Collateral held varies but may include cash or cash equivalents, negotiable instruments, real estate, accounts receivable, inventory, oil, gas and mineral interests, property, plant and equipment.

Lease Commitments. We lease certain branch facilities and office equipment under operating leases. It is expected that certain leases will be renewed, or equipment replaced with new leased equipment, as these leases expire.

Securities. In the normal course of business we buy and sell securities. There were no unsettled trades to purchase or sell securities at September 30, 2011. There were no unsettled trades to sell securities at December 31, 2010. There were \$145,000 of unsettled trades to purchase securities at December 31, 2010.

Deposits. There were no unsettled issuances of brokered CDs at September 30, 2011. There were \$5.0 million of unsettled issuances of brokered CDs at December 31, 2010.

Litigation. We are involved with various litigation in the normal course of business. Management, after consulting with our legal counsel, believes that any liability resulting from litigation will not have a material effect on the financial position and results of operations and our liquidity.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2.MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following is a discussion of the consolidated financial condition, changes in financial condition, and results of our operations, and should be read and reviewed in conjunction with the financial statements, and the notes thereto, in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

We reported a decrease in net income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010. Net income attributable to Southside Bancshares, Inc. for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$8.6 million and \$29.6 million, respectively, compared to \$11.0 million and \$31.9 million, respectively, for the same periods in 2010.

As more fully described in Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements, certain financial statement components for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 have been restated to reflect securities carried at fair value through income and an impairment charge for FHLB advance option fees. Throughout this discussion we will footnote tables that have been restated for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 to reflect the impact of this restatement and we have updated our discussion to discuss changes between periods when comparing the restated amounts.

Forward Looking Statements

Certain statements of other than historical fact that are contained in this document and in written material, press releases and oral statements issued by or on behalf of Southside Bancshares, Inc., a bank holding company, may be considered to be "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of and subject to the protections of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance, nor should they be relied upon as representing management's views as of any subsequent date. These statements may include words such as "expect," "estimate," "project," "anticipate," "appear," "believe," "could," "should," "may," "intend," "probability," "risk," "target," "objective," "plans," "potential," and similar expressions. Forward-looking statements are statements with respect to our beliefs, plans, expectations, objectives, goals, anticipations, assumptions, estimates, intentions and future performance, and are subject to significant known and unknown risks and uncertainties, which could cause our actual results to differ materially from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. For example, discussions of the effect of our expansion, trends in asset quality and earnings from growth, and certain market risk disclosures are based upon information presently available to management and are dependent on choices about key model characteristics and assumptions and are subject to various limitations. By their nature, certain of the market risk disclosures are only estimates and could be materially different from what actually occurs in the future. As a result, actual income gains and losses could materially differ from those that have been estimated. Other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the following:

- general economic conditions, either globally, nationally, in the State of Texas, or in the specific markets in which we operate, including, without limitation, the deterioration of the commercial real estate, residential real estate, construction and development, credit and liquidity markets, which could cause an adverse change in our net interest margin, or a decline in the value of our assets, which could result in realized losses;
- legislation, regulatory changes or changes in monetary or fiscal policy that adversely affect the businesses in which we are engaged, including the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act, the Federal Reserve's actions with respect to interest rates and other regulatory responses to current economic conditions;
- adverse changes in the status or financial stability or credit rating of the U.S. Treasury-issued securities impacting the U.S. Treasury's guarantees or ability to pay or issue debt;

•

adverse changes in the status or financial condition of the Government-Sponsored Enterprises (the “GSEs”) impacting the GSEs’ guarantees or ability to pay or issue debt;

- adverse changes in GSE mortgage-backed securities or the prepayments received on these securities as a result of government changes to refinancing and modification programs that would allow individuals previously unable to refinance or modify their loan;
- adverse changes in the credit portfolio of other U.S. financial institutions relative to the performance of certain of our investment securities;
 - economic or other disruptions caused by acts of terrorism in the United States, Europe or other areas;
- changes in the interest rate yield curve such as flat, inverted or steep yield curves, or changes in the interest rate environment that impact interest margins and may impact prepayments on the mortgage-backed securities portfolio;
 - increases in our nonperforming assets;
 - our ability to maintain adequate liquidity to fund operations and growth;
- the failure of our assumptions underlying allowance for loan losses and other estimates;
- unexpected outcomes of, and the costs associated with, existing or new litigation involving us;
 - changes impacting our balance sheet and leverage strategy;
 - our ability to monitor interest rate risk;

Table of Contents

- significant increases in competition in the banking and financial services industry;
 - changes in consumer spending, borrowing and saving habits;
 - technological changes;
 - our ability to increase market share and control expenses;
 - the effect of changes in federal or state tax laws;
 - the effect of compliance with legislation or regulatory changes;
 - the effect of changes in accounting policies and practices;
- risks of mergers and acquisitions including the related time and cost of implementing transactions and the potential failure to achieve expected gains, revenue growth or expense savings;
 - credit risks of borrowers, including any increase in those risks due to changing economic conditions; and
- risks related to loans secured by real estate, including the risk that the value and marketability of collateral could decline.

All written or oral forward-looking statements made by us or attributable to us are expressly qualified by this cautionary notice. We disclaim any obligation to update any factors or to announce publicly the result of revisions to any of the forward-looking statements included herein to reflect future events or developments.

Impact of Dodd-Frank Act

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law. The Dodd-Frank Act represents a significant overhaul of many aspects of the regulation of the financial services industry, although some of its provisions apply to companies that are significantly larger than us. The Dodd-Frank Act directs applicable regulatory authorities to promulgate regulations implementing its provisions, and its effect on us and on the financial services industry as a whole will be clarified as those regulations are issued. Major elements of the Dodd-Frank Act include:

- A permanent increase in deposit insurance coverage to \$250,000 per account, unlimited deposit insurance on noninterest bearing transaction accounts beginning December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2012, and an increase in the minimum Deposit Insurance Fund reserve requirement from 1.15% to 1.35%, with assessments to be based on assets as opposed to deposits.
- New disclosure and other requirements relating to executive compensation and corporate governance.
- Amendments to the Truth in Lending Act aimed at improving consumer protections with respect to mortgage originations, including originator compensation, minimum repayment standards, and prepayment considerations.
- The establishment of the Financial Stability Oversight Council, which will be responsible for identifying and monitoring systemic risks posed by financial firms, activities, and practices.
 - The development of regulations to limit debit card interchange fees.
 - The future elimination of newly issued trust preferred securities as a permitted element of Tier 1 capital.
- The creation of a special regime to allow for the orderly liquidation of systemically important financial companies, including the establishment of an orderly liquidation fund.
- The development of regulations to address derivatives markets, including clearing and exchange trading requirements and a framework for regulating derivatives-market participants.
 - Enhanced supervision of credit rating agencies through the Office of Credit Ratings within the SEC.
- Increased regulation of asset-backed securities, including a requirement that issuers of asset-backed securities retain at least 5% of the risk of the asset-backed securities.
- The establishment of a Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, within the Federal Reserve, to serve as a dedicated consumer-protection regulatory body.

We continue to evaluate the potential impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on our business, financial condition and results of operations and expect that some provisions may have adverse effects on us, such as the cost of complying with the numerous new regulations and reporting requirements mandated by the Dodd-Frank Act.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Our accounting and reporting estimates conform with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) and general practices within the financial services industry. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. We consider our critical accounting policies to include the following:

Allowance for Losses on Loans. The allowance for losses on loans represents our best estimate of probable losses inherent in the existing loan portfolio. The allowance for losses on loans is increased by the provision for losses on loans charged to expense and reduced by loans charged-off, net of recoveries. The provision for losses on loans is determined based on our assessment of several factors: reviews and evaluations of specific loans, changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions and the related impact on specific borrowers and industry groups, historical loan loss experience, the level of classified and nonperforming loans and the results of regulatory examinations.

Table of Contents

The loan loss allowance is based on the most current review of the loan portfolio and is validated by multiple processes. The servicing officer has the primary responsibility for updating significant changes in a customer's financial position. Each officer prepares status updates on any credit deemed to be experiencing repayment difficulties which, in the officer's opinion, would place the collection of principal or interest in doubt. Our internal loan review department is responsible for an ongoing review of our loan portfolio with specific goals set for the loans to be reviewed on an annual basis.

At each review, a subjective analysis methodology is used to grade the respective loan. Categories of grading vary in severity from loans that do not appear to have a significant probability of loss at the time of review to loans that indicate a probability that the entire balance of the loan will be uncollectible. If full collection of the loan balance appears unlikely at the time of review, estimates of future expected cash flows or appraisals of the collateral securing the debt are used to allocate the necessary allowances. The internal loan review department maintains a list of all loans or loan relationships that are graded as having more than the normal degree of risk associated with them. In addition, a list of specifically reserved loans or loan relationships of \$50,000 or more is updated on a quarterly basis in order to properly allocate necessary allowance and keep management informed on the status of attempts to correct the deficiencies noted with respect to the loan.

Loans are considered impaired if, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The measurement of impaired loans is generally based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the historical effective interest rate stipulated in the loan agreement, except that all collateral-dependent loans are measured for impairment based on the fair value of the collateral. In measuring the fair value of the collateral, in addition to relying on third party appraisals, we use assumptions such as discount rates, and methodologies, such as comparison to the recent selling price of similar assets, consistent with those that would be utilized by unrelated third parties performing a valuation.

Changes in the financial condition of individual borrowers, economic conditions, historical loss experience and the conditions of the various markets in which collateral may be sold all may affect the required level of the allowance for losses on loans and the associated provision for loan losses.

As of September 30, 2011, our review of the loan portfolio indicated that a loan loss allowance of \$18.2 million was adequate to cover probable losses in the portfolio.

Refer to "Part II - Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Loan Loss Experience and Allowance for Loan Losses" and "Note 6 - Loans and Allowance for Probable Loan Losses" of the Notes to Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for a detailed description of our estimation process and methodology related to the allowance for loan losses.

Estimation of Fair Value. The estimation of fair value is significant to a number of our assets and liabilities. In addition, GAAP requires disclosure of the fair value of financial instruments as a part of the notes to the consolidated financial statements. Fair values for securities are volatile and may be influenced by a number of factors, including market interest rates, prepayment speeds, discount rates and the shape of yield curves. Fair values for most investment and mortgage-backed securities are based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on the quoted prices of similar instruments or estimates from independent pricing services. Where there are price variances outside certain ranges from different pricing services for specific securities, those pricing variances are reviewed with other market data to determine which of the price estimates is appropriate for that period. For securities carried at fair value through income, the change in fair value from the prior period is recorded on our income statement as fair value gain (loss) - securities.

At September 30, 2008 and continuing at September 30, 2011, the valuation inputs for our available for sale (“AFS”) trust preferred securities (“TRUPs”) became unobservable as a result of the significant market dislocation and illiquidity in the marketplace. Although we continue to rely on nonbinding prices compiled by third party vendors, the visibility of the observable market data (Level 2) to determine the values of these securities has become less clear. Fair values of financial assets are determined in an orderly transaction and not a forced liquidation or distressed sale at the measurement date. While we feel the financial market conditions at September 30, 2011 reflect the market illiquidity from forced liquidation or distressed sales for these TRUPs, we determined that the fair value provided by our pricing service continues to be an appropriate fair value for financial statement measurement and therefore, as we verified the reasonableness of that fair value, we have not otherwise adjusted the fair value provided by our vendor. However, the severe decline in estimated fair value is caused by the significant illiquidity in this market which contrasts sharply with our assessment of the fundamental performance of these securities. Therefore, we believe the estimate of fair value is still not clearly based on observable market data and will be based on a range of fair value data points from the market place as a result of the illiquid market specific to this type of security. Accordingly, we determined that the TRUPs security valuation is based on Level 3 inputs.

Table of Contents

Impairment of Investment Securities and Mortgage-backed Securities. Investment and mortgage-backed securities classified as AFS are carried at fair value and the impact of changes in fair value are recorded on our consolidated balance sheet as an unrealized gain or loss in “Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss),” a separate component of shareholders’ equity. Securities classified as AFS or HTM are subject to our review to identify when a decline in value is other-than-temporary. Factors considered in determining whether a decline in value is other-than-temporary include: whether the decline is substantial; the duration of the decline; the reasons for the decline in value; whether the decline is related to a credit event, a change in interest rate or a change in the market discount rate; and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer. Additionally, we do not currently intend to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before the anticipated recovery of its amortized cost basis. When it is determined that a decline in value is other-than-temporary, the carrying value of the security is reduced to its estimated fair value, with a corresponding charge to earnings for the credit portion and the noncredit portion to other comprehensive income. For certain assets we consider expected cash flows of the investment in determining if impairment exists.

The turmoil in the capital markets had a significant impact on our estimate of fair value for certain of our securities. We believe the fair values are reflective of a combination of illiquidity and credit impairment. At September 30, 2011 we have in AFS Other Stocks and Bonds \$2.9 million amortized cost basis in pooled TRUPs. Those securities are structured products with cash flows dependent upon securities issued by U.S. financial institutions, including banks and insurance companies. Our estimate of fair value at September 30, 2011 for the TRUPs is approximately \$947,000 and reflects the market illiquidity. With the exception of the TRUPs, to the best of management’s knowledge and based on our consideration of the qualitative factors associated with each security, there were no securities in our investment and mortgage-backed securities portfolio at September 30, 2011 with an other-than-temporary impairment. Given the facts and circumstances associated with the TRUPs, we performed detailed cash flow modeling for each TRUP using an industry accepted model. Prior to loading the required assumptions into the model, we reviewed the financial condition of the underlying issuing banks within the TRUP collateral pool that had not deferred or defaulted as of September 30, 2011.

Management’s best estimate of a default assumption, based on a third party method, was assigned to each issuing bank based on the category in which it fell. Our analysis of the underlying cash flows contemplated various default, deferral and recovery scenarios to arrive at our best estimate of cash flows. Based on that detailed analysis, we have concluded that the other-than-temporary impairment which captures the credit component in compliance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 320, “Investments – Debt and Equity Securities,” was estimated at \$3.1 million at both September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The noncredit charge to other comprehensive income was estimated at \$2.0 million and \$2.7 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The carrying amount of the TRUPs was written down with \$75,000 and \$3.0 million recognized in earnings for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and for the year ended December 31, 2009, respectively. There was no additional write-down of the TRUPs recognized in earnings for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The cash flow model assumptions represent management’s best estimate and consider a variety of qualitative factors, which include, among others, the credit rating downgrades, severity and duration of the mark-to-market loss, and structural nuances of each TRUP. Management believes the detailed review of the collateral and cash flow modeling support the conclusion that the TRUPs had an other-than-temporary impairment at September 30, 2011. We will continue to update our assumptions and the resulting analysis each reporting period to reflect changing market conditions. Additionally, we do not currently intend to sell the TRUPs and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the TRUPs before the anticipated recovery of their amortized cost basis.

Defined Benefit Pension Plan. The plan obligations and related assets of our defined benefit pension plan (the “Plan”) are presented in “Note 12 – Employee Benefits” of the Notes to Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Entry into the Plan by new employees was frozen effective December 31, 2005. Plan assets, which consist primarily of marketable equity and debt instruments, are valued using observable

market quotations. Plan obligations and the annual pension expense are determined by independent actuaries and through the use of a number of assumptions that are reviewed by management. Key assumptions in measuring the plan obligations include the discount rate, the rate of salary increases and the estimated future return on plan assets. In determining the discount rate, we utilized a cash flow matching analysis to determine a range of appropriate discount rates for our defined benefit pension and restoration plans. In developing the cash flow matching analysis, we constructed a portfolio of high quality noncallable bonds (rated AA- or better) to match as close as possible the timing of future benefit payments of the plans at December 31, 2010. Based on this cash flow matching analysis, we were able to determine an appropriate discount rate.

Salary increase assumptions are based upon historical experience and our anticipated future actions. The expected long-term rate of return assumption reflects the average return expected based on the investment strategies and asset allocation on the assets invested to provide for the Plan's liabilities. We considered broad equity and bond indices, long-term return projections, and actual long-term historical Plan performance when evaluating the expected long-term rate of return assumption. At September 30, 2011, the weighted-average actuarial assumptions of the Plan were: a discount rate of 5.63%; a long-term rate of return on Plan assets of 7.25%; and assumed salary increases of 4.50%. Material changes in pension benefit costs may occur in the future due to changes in these assumptions. Future annual amounts could be impacted by changes in the number of Plan participants, changes in the level of benefits provided, changes in the discount rates, changes in the expected long-term rate of return, changes in the level of contributions to the Plan and other factors.

Table of Contents

Long-term Advance Commitments. During 2011, we entered into the option to purchase, two years forward from the advance commitment date, \$50 million par in long-term advance commitments from FHLB at the FHLB rates on the date the option was purchased. This increased to \$200 million the total amount of options of this type we have purchased. A table detailing the optional advance commitment terms is presented in “Note 7 – Long-Term Obligations” to our consolidated financial statements included in this report. In order to obtain these commitments from the FHLB we paid fees of \$11.0 million, which at September 30, 2011 had been impaired and the carrying value on the balance sheet was \$3.1 million. The remaining fee, included in other assets in our consolidated balance sheet, will be amortized over the term of the advance upon exercise of the advance commitments. If any of the options are impaired, then the amount of the impairment on that option will be charged against income during the period it occurs. In determining if it is still probable that we will exercise the advance commitments quarterly, we compare all the costs of the advance commitment with the current advance rate available from the FHLB. If the current advance rate is reasonably close to or greater than the advance commitment rate then it is probable we will exercise our option. If the current rate is less, then we review the slope of the yield curve to determine if the forward yield curve supports our assumption that it is probable we will exercise the advance commitments. If the current rate is less and the forward yield curve does not support our assumption that it is probable we will exercise the advance commitments, then we value the option to determine if it is impaired and if so record the impairment in that period.

Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements, Commitments and Contingencies

Details of our off-balance-sheet arrangements, commitments and contingencies as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, are included in “Note 10 – Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements, Commitments and Contingencies” in the accompanying Notes to Financial Statements included in this report.

Balance Sheet Strategy

We utilize wholesale funding and securities to enhance our profitability and balance sheet composition by determining acceptable levels of credit, interest rate and liquidity risk consistent with prudent capital management. This balance sheet strategy consists of borrowing a combination of long and short-term funds from the FHLB and, when determined appropriate, issuing brokered certificates of deposit (“CDs”). These funds are invested primarily in U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities, and to a lesser extent, long-term municipal securities. Although U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities often carry lower yields than traditional mortgage loans and other types of loans we make, these securities generally (i) increase the overall quality of our assets because of either the implicit or explicit guarantees of the U.S. government, (ii) are more liquid than individual loans and (iii) may be used to collateralize our borrowings or other obligations. While the strategy of investing a substantial portion of our assets in U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities and to a lesser extent municipal securities has historically resulted in lower interest rate spreads and margins, we believe that the lower operating expenses and reduced credit risk combined with the managed interest rate risk of this strategy have enhanced our overall profitability over the last several years. At this time, we utilize this balance sheet strategy with the goal of enhancing overall profitability by maximizing the use of our capital.

Risks associated with the asset structure we maintain include a lower net interest rate spread and margin when compared to our peers, changes in the slope of the yield curve, which can reduce our net interest rate spread and margin, increased interest rate risk, the length of interest rate cycles, changes in volatility spreads associated with the mortgage-backed securities and municipal securities, the unpredictable nature of mortgage-backed securities prepayments and credit risks associated with the municipal securities. See “Part I - Item 1A. Risk Factors – Risks Related to Our Business” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for a discussion of risks related to interest rates. Our asset structure, net interest spread and net interest margin require us to closely monitor our interest rate risk. An additional risk is the change in market value of the AFS securities portfolio as a result of changes in interest rates. Significant increases in interest rates, especially long-term interest rates, could adversely impact the market value of the AFS securities portfolio, which could also significantly impact our equity

capital. Significant increases in interest rates could also adversely impact the fair value of our securities carried at fair value through income, which could significantly impact our net income. Due to the unpredictable nature of mortgage-backed securities prepayments, the length of interest rate cycles, and the slope of the interest rate yield curve, net interest income could fluctuate more than simulated under the scenarios modeled by our Asset/Liability Committee (“ALCO”) and described under “Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk” in this report.

Determining the appropriate size of the balance sheet is one of the critical decisions any bank makes. Our balance sheet is not merely the result of a series of micro-decisions, but rather the size is controlled based on the economics of assets compared to the economics of funding. For several quarters up to and ending June 30, 2007, the size of our balance sheet was in a period of no growth or actual shrinkage due to the flat to inverted yield curve and tight volatility spreads during that time period. Beginning with the third quarter of 2007 we began deliberately increasing the size of our balance sheet taking advantage of the increasingly attractive economics of financial intermediation, due to the extraordinary volatility in the capital markets. While volatility in the capital markets has moderated since its high in 2008 and 2009, it increased some during the second and third quarters of 2011 due to concerns about the European debt crisis and the U.S. budget deficit. During the third quarter the Federal Reserve made two important announcements. They announced, first, that they believe shorter-term interest rates will remain low until mid 2013 and, second, that they would begin selling short-term treasury securities and begin purchasing long-term treasury securities in an effort to lower long-term interest rates. As a result, the current investment and economic landscape has changed, which resulted in a decision to grow our assets in the third quarter and which could result in future asset growth.

Table of Contents

The management of our securities portfolio as a percentage of earning assets is guided by changes in our overall loan and deposit levels, combined with changes in our wholesale funding levels. If adequate quality loan growth is not available to achieve our goal of enhancing profitability by maximizing the use of capital, as described above, then we could purchase additional securities, if appropriate, which could cause securities as a percentage of earning assets to increase. Should we determine that increasing the securities portfolio or replacing the current securities maturities and principal payments is not an efficient use of capital, we could decrease the level of securities through proceeds from maturities, principal payments on mortgage-backed securities or sales. Our balance sheet strategy is designed such that our securities portfolio should help mitigate financial performance associated with slower loan growth and higher credit costs.

The quarter ended September 30, 2011 was marked by proactive management of the investment portfolio which included continued restructuring of a portion of our investment portfolio. This restructuring resulted in a gain on the sale of AFS securities of \$3.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2011. During the quarter ended September 30, 2011, as interest rates decreased, we continued to sell primarily lower yielding, longer duration municipal securities and lower coupon or more prepayment volatile mortgage-backed securities and replaced them with primarily shorter duration municipal securities and higher coupon and less prepayment volatile mortgage-backed securities that might perform better in both the current and potentially higher interest rate environment in the future. During the quarter ended September 30, 2011, we increased the size of the securities portfolio as long-term U.S. Treasury yields decreased due to increased global economic concerns and U.S. deficit concerns, the Federal Reserve signaling an extended period of low short-term interest rates, loans increasing only slightly and overall deposit growth. The net result was an increase of \$128.7 million in our investment and U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities from \$1.84 billion at June 30, 2011, to \$1.97 billion at September 30, 2011. The average coupon of the mortgage-backed securities portfolio increased from 5.90% at December 31, 2010 to 6.14% at September 30, 2011. At September 30, 2011, securities as a percentage of assets increased to 61.3%, when compared to 59.0% at June 30, 2011, 58.9% at March 31, 2011 and 55.5% at December 31, 2010. Our balance sheet management strategy is dynamic and will be continually reevaluated as market conditions warrant. As interest rates, yield curves, mortgage-backed securities prepayments, funding costs, security spreads and loan and deposit portfolios change, our determination of the proper types and maturities of securities to own, proper amount of securities to own and funding needs and funding sources will continue to be reevaluated. Should the economics of asset accumulation decrease, we might allow the balance sheet to shrink through run-off or asset sales. However, should the economics become more attractive, we will strategically increase the balance sheet.

With respect to liabilities, we will continue to utilize a combination of FHLB advances and deposits to achieve our strategy of minimizing cost while achieving overall interest rate risk objectives as well as the liability management objectives of the ALCO. FHLB funding and brokered CDs represent wholesale funding sources we are currently utilizing. Our FHLB borrowings at September 30, 2011 increased 5.6%, or \$29.9 million, to \$562.7 million from \$532.8 million at June 30, 2011. During 2011, we entered into the option to purchase, two years forward from the advance commitment date, \$50 million par in long-term advance commitments from FHLB at the FHLB rates on the date the option was purchased. This increased to \$200 million the total amount of options of this type we have purchased. As of September 30, 2011 we had \$166.3 million in long-term brokered CDs. All of the long-term brokered CDs have short-term calls that we control. We utilized long-term callable brokered CDs because the brokered CDs better matched overall ALCO objectives at the time of issuance by protecting us with fixed rates should interest rates increase, while providing us options to call the funding should interest rates decrease. Our wholesale funding policy currently allows maximum brokered CDs of \$180 million; however, this amount could be increased to match changes in ALCO objectives. We have been actively calling and reissuing our brokered CDs which has allowed us to both extend the maturities and lower the funding costs. The potential higher interest expense and lack of customer loyalty are risks associated with the use of brokered CDs. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, overall growth in our deposits, offset with a slight increase in FHLB borrowings, resulted in a decrease in our total wholesale funding as a percentage of deposits, not including brokered CDs, to 34.3% at September 30, 2011,

from 45.0% at September 30, 2010 and 36.7% at December 31, 2010.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is one of the principal sources of a financial institution's earnings stream and represents the difference or spread between interest and fee income generated from interest earning assets and the interest expense paid on deposits and borrowed funds. Fluctuations in interest rates or interest rate yield curves, as well as repricing characteristics and volume and changes in the mix of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, materially impact net interest income.

Table of Contents

Net interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$70.8 million, an increase of \$7.1 million, or 11.2%, compared to the same period in 2010. The overall increase in net interest income was the result of the decrease in interest expense.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, total interest income decreased \$283,000, or 0.3%, to \$98.3 million compared to \$98.6 million for the same period in 2010. The decrease in total interest income was the result of a decrease in the average yield on average interest earning assets from 5.07% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 to 4.93% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 which more than offset the increase in average interest earning assets of \$98.7 million, or 3.6%, from \$2.77 billion to \$2.87 billion. Total interest expense decreased \$7.4 million, or 21.2%, to \$27.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to \$34.8 million during the same period in 2010. The decrease was attributable to a decrease in the average yield on interest bearing liabilities for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, to 1.56% from 2.01% for the same period in 2010, which was partially offset by an increase in average interest bearing liabilities of \$38.0 million, or 1.6%, from \$2.32 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 to \$2.36 billion for the same period in 2011.

Net interest income increased during the three months ended September 30, 2011 when compared to the same period in 2010 primarily as a result of a decrease in interest expense. Our average interest earning assets increased \$158.7 million, or 5.7%. The decrease in the average yield on interest bearing liabilities of 55 basis points is a result of an overall decrease in interest rates compared to the same period in 2010. For the three months ended September 30, 2011, our net interest spread and net interest margin increased to 3.34% and 3.60%, respectively, from 3.02% and 3.35% when compared to the same period in 2010.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, average loans increased \$27.9 million, or 2.7%, compared to the same period in 2010. Municipal loans represent a large part of this increase. The average yield on loans decreased from 7.13% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 to 6.81% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The decrease in interest income on loans of \$1.5 million, or 2.9%, to \$50.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, when compared to \$52.1 million for the same period in 2010 was the result of a decrease in the average yield which more than offset the increase in the average balance. The decrease in the yield on loans was due to overall lower interest rates. For the three months ended September 30, 2011, average loans increased \$7.3 million, or 0.7%, to \$1.03 billion, when compared to \$1.02 billion for the same period in 2010. The average yield on loans decreased from 6.87% for the three months ended September 30, 2010 to 6.60% for the three months ended September 30, 2011. Due to the competitive loan pricing environment, we anticipate that we may be required to continue to offer lower interest rate loans that compete with those offered by other financial institutions in order to retain quality loan relationships. Offering lower interest rate loans could impact the overall loans yield and, therefore, profitability.

Average investment and mortgage-backed securities increased \$86.6 million, or 5.1%, from \$1.69 billion to \$1.78 billion, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 when compared to the same period in 2010. This increase was the result of securities purchased due primarily to buying opportunities available throughout early 2011 and increased volatility during the second and third quarters. At September 30, 2011, virtually all of our mortgage-backed securities were fixed rate securities with less than one percent variable rate mortgage-backed securities. The overall yield on average investment and mortgage-backed securities decreased to 3.92% during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, from 3.97% during the same period in 2010. The decrease in the average yield primarily reflects the purchase of lower yielding securities when compared to both those securities paying off and securities in the current securities portfolio due to the lower interest rate environment during 2011 when compared to 2010. During the first six months of 2010, prepayments on our mortgage-backed securities increased significantly due to a one time prepayment event caused by a Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac announcement that they had not repurchased delinquent mortgage loans from mortgage pools for several months and would be repurchasing those loans primarily during the first six months of 2010. This caused a significant one time prepayment event that increased our amortization expense significantly.

during the first six months of 2010. Interest income on investment and mortgage-backed securities increased \$1.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, or 2.7%, compared to the same period in 2010 due to an increase in the average balance which more than offset the decrease in the yield. For the three months ended September 30, 2011, average investment and mortgage-backed securities increased \$175.0 million, or 10.4%, to \$1.85 billion, when compared to \$1.68 billion for the same period in 2010. The overall yield on average investment and mortgage-backed securities decreased to 3.84% during the three months ended September 30, 2011, from 4.01% during the same period in 2010. Interest income from investment and mortgage-backed securities increased \$608,000, or 3.9%, to \$16.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$15.8 million for the same period in 2010. The decrease in the average yield primarily reflects the purchase of lower yielding securities when compared to both those securities paying off and securities in the current securities portfolio due to the overall lower interest rate environment in 2011 when compared to 2010. The increase in interest income for the three months is due to the increase in the average balance which more than offset the decrease in the average yield.

Table of Contents

Average FHLB stock and other investments decreased \$8.3 million, or 21.6%, to \$30.1 million, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, when compared to \$38.5 million for the same period in 2010 due to the decrease in FHLB advances during 2011 and the corresponding requirement to hold stock associated with those advances. Interest income from our FHLB stock and other investments decreased \$18,000, or 9.0%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, when compared to the same period in 2010 due to the decrease in the average balance which more than offset the increase in the average yield from 0.70% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to 0.81% for the same period in 2011. For the three months ended September 30, 2011, average FHLB stock and other investments decreased \$8.5 million, or 22.3%, to \$29.7 million, when compared to \$38.2 million for the same period in 2010. The FHLB stock is a variable instrument with the rate typically tied to the federal funds rate. We are required as a member of FHLB to own a specific amount of stock that changes as the level of our FHLB advances and asset size change. For the three months ended September 30, 2011, interest income from FHLB stock and other investments decreased \$9,000, or 15.3%, to \$50,000, when compared to \$59,000 for the same period in 2010 as a result of the decrease in the average balance which more than offset the increase in the average yield from 0.61% in 2010 to 0.67% in 2011.

Average interest earning deposits decreased \$6.3 million, or 40.9%, to \$9.2 million, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, when compared to \$15.5 million for the same period in 2010. Interest income from interest earning deposits decreased \$4,000, or 21.1%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, when compared to the same period in 2010, as a result of the decrease in the average balance which more than offset the increase in the average yield from 0.16% in 2010 to 0.22% in 2011. Average interest earning deposits decreased \$13.1 million, or 70.6%, to \$5.4 million, for the three months ended September 30, 2011, when compared to \$18.5 million for the same period in 2010. Interest income from interest earning deposits decreased \$2,000, or 50.0%, for the three months ended September 30, 2011, when compared to the same period in 2010, as a result of the decrease in the average balance while offset by an increase in the average yield from 0.09% in 2010 to 0.15% in 2011.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, our average securities increased more than our average loans compared to the same period in 2010. The mix of our average interest earning assets reflected an increase in average total securities as a percentage of total average interest earning assets compared to the prior period as securities averaged 63.0% during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to 62.4% during the same period in 2010. Average loans decreased to 36.7% of average total interest earning assets and other interest earning asset categories averaged 0.3% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. During 2010, the comparable mix was 37.0% in loans and 0.6% in the other interest earning asset categories.

Total interest expense decreased \$7.4 million, or 21.2%, to \$27.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to \$34.8 million during the same period in 2010. The decrease was primarily attributable to decreased funding costs as the average yield on interest bearing liabilities decreased from 2.01% for 2010 to 1.56% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, which more than offset an increase in average interest bearing liabilities. The increase in average interest bearing liabilities of \$38.0 million, or 1.6% included an increase in deposits of \$224.1 million, or 14.9% that was offset by a decrease in FHLB advances and other short-term obligations of \$186.1 million, or 24.7%. For the three months ended September 30, 2011, total interest expense decreased \$2.8 million, or 24.7%, to \$8.6 million, compared to \$11.5 million for the same period in 2010 as a result of a decrease in the average yield while partially offset by an increase in the average balance on interest bearing liabilities. Average interest bearing liabilities increased \$92.9 million, or 4.0%, while the average yield decreased from 1.98% for the three months ended September 30, 2010 to 1.43% for the three months ended September 30, 2011.

Average interest bearing deposits increased \$224.1 million, or 14.9%, from \$1.51 billion to \$1.73 billion, while the average rate paid decreased from 1.30% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 to 0.92% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. For the three months ended September 30, 2011, average interest bearing deposits increased \$231.3 million, or 15.3%, to \$1.75 billion, when compared to \$1.51 billion for the same period in 2010

while the average rate paid decreased from 1.28% for the three month period ended September 30, 2010 to 0.88% for the three month period ended September 30, 2011. Average time deposits increased \$140.3 million, or 19.6%, from \$715.7 million to \$856.1 million while the average rate paid decreased to 1.34% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to 1.95% for the same period in 2010. Average interest bearing demand deposits increased \$72.5 million, or 10.1%, while the average rate paid decreased to 0.55% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to 0.73% for the same period in 2010. Average savings deposits increased \$11.2 million, or 15.2%, while the average rate paid decreased to 0.26% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to 0.46% for the same period in 2010. Interest expense for interest bearing deposits for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, decreased \$2.6 million, or 18.1%, when compared to the same period in 2010 due to the decrease in the average yield which more than offset the increase in the average balance. Average noninterest bearing demand deposits increased \$46.8 million, or 11.5%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The latter three categories, which are considered the lowest cost deposits, comprised 60.8% of total average deposits during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to 62.6% during the same period in 2010. The increase in our average total deposits is primarily the result of an increase in deposits from municipalities and, to a lesser extent, deposit growth due to branch expansion, continued market penetration, and an increase in brokered CDs issued.

Table of Contents

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we issued \$112.6 million of long-term brokered CDs. All of the long-term brokered CDs have short-term calls that we control. We utilize long-term callable brokered CDs because the brokered CDs better match overall ALCO objectives at the time of issuance by protecting us with fixed rates should interest rates increase, while providing us options to call the funding should interest rates decrease. At September 30, 2011, we had \$166.3 million in brokered CDs that represented 7.2% of deposits compared to \$161.3 million, or 7.6% of deposits, at December 31, 2010. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, all of the brokered CDs had maturities of less than six years. Our wholesale funding policy currently allows maximum brokered CDs of \$180 million; however, this amount could be increased to match changes in ALCO objectives. We have been actively calling and reissuing our brokered CDs which has allowed us to both extend the maturities and lower the funding costs. This was the primary reason for the issuance of \$112.6 million of brokered CDs during 2011. The potential higher interest cost and lack of customer loyalty are risks associated with the use of brokered CDs.

Average short-term interest bearing liabilities, consisting primarily of FHLB advances, federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements, were \$266.7 million, a decrease of \$38.1 million, or 12.5%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 when compared to the same period in 2010. Interest expense associated with short-term interest bearing liabilities decreased \$556,000, or 9.9%, while the average rate paid increased seven basis points to 2.54% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, when compared to 2.47% for the same period in 2010. The increase in the average rate paid was due to the higher rate long-term FHLB advances rolling into the short-term FHLB advances category. For the three months ended September 30, 2011, average short-term interest bearing liabilities increased \$8.8 million, or 2.8%, when compared to the same period in 2010. Interest expense associated with short-term interest bearing liabilities decreased \$443,000, or 21.2%, and the average rate paid decreased to 2.03% for the three month period ended September 30, 2011 when compared to 2.65% for the same period in 2010. The decrease in the average rate paid reflects the use of more low cost short-term FHLB advances in 2011 when compared to 2010. The decrease in the interest expense was due to a decrease in the average rate paid while offset by an increase in the average balance.

Average long-term interest bearing liabilities consisting of FHLB advances decreased \$148.0 million, or 33.0%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 to \$300.2 million as compared to \$448.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Interest expense associated with long-term FHLB advances decreased \$4.2 million, or 34.4%, and the average rate paid decreased eight basis points for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 when compared to the same period in 2010. For the three months ended September 30, 2011, long-term interest bearing liabilities decreased \$147.2 million, or 35.7%, when compared to the same period in 2010. Interest expense associated with long-term FHLB advances decreased \$1.4 million, or 37.4%, and the average rate paid decreased to 3.43% for the three months ended September 30, 2011 when compared to 3.53% for the same period in 2010. The decrease in the average long-term FHLB advances is due primarily to advances classified as long-term at December 31, 2010 rolling into the short-term category, an increase in the issuance of long-term callable brokered CDs, the use of more short-term FHLB advances during the period and the decision to enter into \$200 million par in long-term advance commitments from the FHLB. During the second half of 2010, we entered into the option to fund between one and a half years and two years forward from the advance commitment date, \$150 million par in long-term advance commitments from the FHLB at the FHLB rates on the date the option was purchased. During the first quarter of 2011 we entered into an additional \$50 million of these options to fund two years forward from the advance commitment date, for a total of \$200 million. In order to obtain these commitments from the FHLB, we paid fees, which at September 30, 2011, were \$11.0 million. During the third quarter of 2011, the value of the FHLB advance option fees became impaired. This resulted in an impairment charge of \$7.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The remaining FHLB advance option fee, included in other assets in our consolidated balance sheet, will be amortized over the term of the advance when we exercise the advance commitments. Should we determine the advance commitments will not be exercised or they are further impaired, the remaining fee will be expensed in the period determination is made. FHLB advances are collateralized by FHLB stock, securities and

nonspecific real estate loans.

Average long-term debt, consisting of our junior subordinated debentures issued in 2003 and August 2007 and junior subordinated debentures acquired in the purchase of FWBS, was \$60.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. During the third quarter ended September 30, 2007, we issued \$36.1 million of junior subordinated debentures in connection with the issuance of trust preferred securities by our subsidiaries Southside Statutory Trusts IV and V. The \$36.1 million in debentures were issued to fund the purchase of FWBS, which occurred on October 10, 2007. Interest expense associated with long-term debt decreased \$13,000, or 0.5% to \$2.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, when compared to the same period in 2010, as a result of a decrease in the average yield of three basis points during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 when compared to the same period in 2010. Interest expense was \$820,000 for the three month periods ended September 30, 2011, a decrease of \$16,000, or 1.9%, when compared to the same period in 2010, as a result of a decrease in the average yield of 11 basis points. The interest rate on the \$20.6 million of long-term debentures issued to Southside Statutory Trust III adjusts quarterly at a rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 294 basis points. The \$23.2 million of long-term debentures issued to Southside Statutory Trust IV and the \$12.9 million of long-term debentures issued to Southside Statutory Trust V have fixed rates of 6.518% through October 30, 2012 and 7.48% through December 15, 2012, respectively, and thereafter, adjust quarterly. The interest rate on the \$3.6 million of long-term debentures issued to Magnolia Trust Company I, assumed in the purchase of FWBS, adjusts quarterly at a rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 180 basis points.

Table of Contents

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The analysis below shows average interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities together with the average yield on the interest earning assets and the average cost of the interest bearing liabilities.

(7) AVERAGE BALANCES AND YIELDS

(dollars in thousands)

(unaudited)

	September 30, 2011 (7)		Nine Months Ended		September 30, 2010			
	AVG BALANCE	INTEREST	AVG YIELD		AVG BALANCE	INTEREST	AVG YIELD	
ASSETS								
INTEREST EARNING ASSETS:								
Loans (1) (2)	\$ 1,049,918	\$ 53,443	6.81	%	\$ 1,022,003	\$ 54,521	7.13	%
Loans Held For Sale	3,414	100	3.92	%	4,509	125	3.71	%
Securities:								
Investment Securities (Taxable)(4)	6,040	49	1.08	%	9,271	72	1.04	%
Investment Securities (Tax-Exempt)(3)(4)	296,752	14,198	6.40	%	240,434	12,276	6.83	%
Mortgage-backed and Related Securities (4)(7)	1,476,950	37,899	3.43	%	1,443,459	37,937	3.51	%
Total Securities	1,779,742	52,146	3.92	%	1,693,164	50,285	3.97	%
FHLB stock and other investments, at cost	30,146	182	0.81	%	38,471	200	0.70	%
Interest Earning Deposits	9,164	15	0.22	%	15,502	19	0.16	%
Total Interest Earning Assets	2,872,384	105,886	4.93	%	2,773,649	105,150	5.07	%
NONINTEREST EARNING ASSETS:								
Cash and Due From Banks	42,069				43,723			
Bank Premises and Equipment	50,570				48,233			
Other Assets (7)	137,582				124,201			
Less: Allowance for Loan Loss	(19,258)				(19,079)			
Total Assets	\$ 3,083,347				\$ 2,970,727			
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY								
INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES:								
Savings Deposits	\$ 84,899	168	0.26	%	\$ 73,725	251	0.46	%
Time Deposits	856,059	8,554	1.34	%	715,716	10,462	1.95	%
Interest Bearing Demand Deposits	790,608	3,244	0.55	%	718,067	3,899	0.73	%
Total Interest Bearing Deposits	1,731,566	11,966	0.92	%	1,507,508	14,612	1.30	%
Short-term Interest Bearing Liabilities	266,730	5,077	2.54	%	304,811	5,633	2.47	%
	300,184	7,958	3.54	%	448,156	12,133	3.62	%

Long-term Interest Bearing Liabilities – FHLB Dallas								
Long-term Debt (5)	60,311	2,439	5.41	%	60,311	2,452	5.44	%
Total Interest Bearing Liabilities								
	2,358,791	27,440	1.56	%	2,320,786	34,830	2.01	%
NONINTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES:								
Demand Deposits	454,454				407,659			
Other Liabilities (7)	34,299				25,775			
Total Liabilities	2,847,544				2,754,220			
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY								
(6)(7)	235,803				216,507			
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$3,083,347				\$2,970,727			
NET INTEREST INCOME		\$78,446				\$70,320		
NET INTEREST MARGIN ON AVERAGE EARNING ASSETS								
			3.65	%			3.39	%
NET INTEREST SPREAD			3.37	%			3.06	%

- (1) Interest on loans includes fees on loans that are not material in amount.
- (2) Interest income includes taxable-equivalent adjustments of \$2,913 and \$2,518 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
- (3) Interest income includes taxable-equivalent adjustments of \$4,691 and \$4,067 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
- (4) For the purpose of calculating the average yield, the average balance of securities is presented at historical cost.
- (5) Represents junior subordinated debentures issued by us to Southside Statutory Trust III, IV, and V in connection with the issuance by Southside Statutory Trust III of \$20 million of trust preferred securities, Southside Statutory Trust IV of \$22.5 million of trust preferred securities, Southside Statutory Trust V of \$12.5 million of trust preferred securities and junior subordinated debentures issued by FWBS to Magnolia Trust Company I in connection with the issuance by Magnolia Trust Company I of \$3.5 million of trust preferred securities.
- (6) Includes average equity of noncontrolling interest of \$1,487 and \$1,195 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
- (7) Information has been restated for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 to reflect the securities carried at fair value through income, an impairment charge for FHLB advance option fees and the corresponding deferred tax component.

Note: As of September 30, 2011 and 2010, loans totaling \$10,634 and \$14,631, respectively, were on nonaccrual status. Our policy is to reverse previously accrued but unpaid interest on nonaccrual loans; thereafter, interest income is recorded to the extent received when appropriate.

Table of Contents

(7) AVERAGE BALANCES AND YIELDS

(dollars in thousands)
(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended						AVG YIELD	
	September 30, 2011 (7)			September 30, 2010				
	AVG BALANCE	INTEREST	AVG YIELD		AVG BALANCE	INTEREST	AVG YIELD	
ASSETS								
INTEREST EARNING ASSETS:								
Loans (1) (2)	\$ 1,031,435	\$ 17,162	6.60	%	\$ 1,024,157	\$ 17,742	6.87	%
Loans Held For Sale	4,019	32	3.16	%	6,032	54	3.55	%
Securities:								
Investment Securities (Taxable)(4)	4,037	11	1.08	%	9,070	20	0.87	%
Investment Securities (Tax-Exempt)(3)(4)	285,598	4,634	6.44	%	209,727	3,574	6.76	%
Mortgage-backed and Related Securities (4)(7)	1,563,263	13,292	3.37	%	1,459,132	13,378	3.64	%
Total Securities	1,852,898	17,937	3.84	%	1,677,929	16,972	4.01	%
FHLB stock and other investments, at cost	29,665	50	0.67	%	38,161	59	0.61	%
Interest Earning Deposits	5,440	2	0.15	%	18,503	4	0.09	%
Total Interest Earning Assets	2,923,457	35,183	4.77	%	2,764,782	34,831	5.00	%
NONINTEREST EARNING ASSETS:								
Cash and Due From Banks	37,269				41,202			
Bank Premises and Equipment	50,681				49,267			
Other Assets (7)	173,892				130,860			
Less: Allowance for Loan Loss	(18,474)				(18,789)			
Total Assets	\$ 3,166,825				\$ 2,967,322			
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY								
INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES:								
Savings Deposits	\$ 87,960	50	0.23	%	\$ 74,620	84	0.45	%
Time Deposits	854,485	2,810	1.30	%	720,737	3,508	1.93	%
Interest Bearing Demand Deposits	803,159	1,019	0.50	%	718,910	1,282	0.71	%
Total Interest Bearing Deposits	1,745,604	3,879	0.88	%	1,514,267	4,874	1.28	%
Short-term Interest Bearing Liabilities	320,934	1,643	2.03	%	312,182	2,086	2.65	%
Long-term Interest Bearing Liabilities – FHLB Dallas	265,162	2,295	3.43	%	412,356	3,668	3.53	%
Long-term Debt (5)	60,311	820	5.39	%	60,311	836	5.50	%
Total Interest Bearing Liabilities	2,392,011	8,637	1.43	%	2,299,116	11,464	1.98	%

NONINTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES:					
Demand Deposits	467,008		418,344		
Other Liabilities (7)	54,862		23,924		
Total Liabilities	2,913,881		2,741,384		
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY					
(6)(7)	252,944		225,938		
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$3,166,825		\$2,967,322		
NET INTEREST INCOME		\$26,546		\$23,367	
NET INTEREST MARGIN ON AVERAGE EARNING ASSETS					
		3.60	%	3.35	%
NET INTEREST SPREAD		3.34	%	3.02	%

- (1) Interest on loans includes fees on loans that are not material in amount.
- (2) Interest income includes taxable-equivalent adjustments of \$965 and \$870 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
- (3) Interest income includes taxable-equivalent adjustments of \$1,565 and \$1,208 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
- (4) For the purpose of calculating the average yield, the average balance of securities is presented at historical cost.
- (5) Represents junior subordinated debentures issued by us to Southside Statutory Trust III, IV, and V in connection with the issuance by Southside Statutory Trust III of \$20 million of trust preferred securities, Southside Statutory Trust IV of \$22.5 million of trust preferred securities, Southside Statutory Trust V of \$12.5 million of trust preferred securities and junior subordinated debentures issued by FWBS to Magnolia Trust Company I in connection with the issuance by Magnolia Trust Company I of \$3.5 million of trust preferred securities.
- (6) Includes average equity of noncontrolling interest of \$405 and \$1,495 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
- (7) Information has been restated for the three months ended September 30, 2011 to reflect the securities carried at fair value through income, an impairment charge for FHLB advance option fees and the corresponding deferred tax component.

Note: As of September 30, 2011 and 2010, loans totaling \$10,634 and \$14,631, respectively, were on nonaccrual status. Our policy is to reverse previously accrued but unpaid interest on nonaccrual loans; thereafter, interest income is recorded to the extent received when appropriate.

Table of Contents

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income consists of revenue generated from a broad range of financial services and activities including deposit related fee based services such as ATM, overdraft, and check processing fees. In addition, we earn income from the sale of loans and securities, trust services, bank owned life insurance (“BOLI”), brokerage services, and other fee generating programs that we either provide or in which we participate.

Noninterest income was \$5.7 million and \$28.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to \$14.7 million and \$42.2 million for the same periods in 2010, a decrease of \$8.9 million, or 60.9%, and \$14.2 million, or 33.7%, respectively. The primary reason for the decrease in noninterest income was due to the decrease in gains on the sales of AFS securities during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 when compared to the same periods in 2010. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we had gains on sale of AFS securities of \$9.1 million compared to gains of \$23.0 million for the same period in 2010. Gains on AFS securities for the three months ended September 30, 2011 were \$3.6 million compared to \$8.0 million for the same period in 2010. The fair value of the AFS securities portfolio at September 30, 2011 was \$1.02 billion with a net unrealized gain on that date of \$52.5 million. The net unrealized gain is comprised of \$55.5 million in unrealized gains and \$3.0 million in unrealized losses. The fair value of the HTM securities portfolio at September 30, 2011 was \$395.6 million with a net unrealized gain on that date of \$14.8 million. The net unrealized gain is comprised of \$14.9 million in unrealized gains and \$85,000 in unrealized losses. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, our gains on the sales of securities carried at fair value through income were \$254,000 and \$592,000, respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we proactively managed the investment portfolio which included restructuring a portion of our investment portfolio. This restructuring resulted in a gain on the sale of AFS securities of \$3.6 million and a gain of \$254,000 on the sale of securities carried at fair value through income during the three months ended September 30, 2011. As interest rates decreased during the third quarter of 2011, we continued to sell selected primarily low coupon or long duration municipal securities and low coupon or potentially more prepay volatile mortgage-backed securities. The securities sold were replaced with primarily shorter duration municipal securities and higher coupon and less prepayment volatile mortgage-backed securities that might perform better in both the current environment and in a potentially higher future interest rate environment. There can be no assurance that the level of security gains reported during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, will continue in future periods.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the fair value of our securities carried at fair value through income increased \$3.3 million and \$7.4 million, respectively, and is recorded in noninterest income. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the value of the FHLB advance option fees became impaired resulting in a \$7.8 million impairment charge. At September 30, 2011, the carrying value of the FHLB advance option fees on the balance sheet was \$3.1 million.

Deposit services income decreased \$182,000, or 4.3%, and \$739,000, or 5.8%, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2010 primarily due to a decrease in overdraft income.

Gain on sale of loans decreased \$115,000, or 22.2%, and \$230,000, or 19.2%, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2010 due primarily to a decrease in the dollar amount of loans sold and the related servicing release and secondary market fees.

Trust income increased \$27,000, or 4.2%, and \$232,000, or 13.4%, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2010 due to growth experienced in our trust department.

Other income increased \$26,000, or 2.8%, and \$293,000, or 10.7%, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2010 as a result of increases in other fee income, Southside Select fee income, MasterCard income and credit card fee income.

Noninterest Expense

We incur numerous types of noninterest expenses associated with the operation of our various business activities, the largest of which are salaries and employee benefits. In addition, we incur numerous other expenses, the largest of which are detailed in the consolidated statements of income.

Noninterest expense was \$17.7 million and \$54.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to \$17.7 million and \$53.1 million for the same periods in 2010, respectively, representing an increase of \$41,000, or 0.2%, and \$1.5 million, or 2.7%, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively.

Salaries and employee benefits expense increased \$389,000, or 3.6%, and \$1.5 million, or 4.7%, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2010. The increase for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, was primarily the result of increases in personnel associated with our overall growth and expansion, an increase in retirement expense and normal salary increases for existing personnel. Direct salary expense and payroll taxes increased \$468,000, or 5.2%, and \$1.7 million, or 6.0%, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 when compared to the same periods in 2010.

Table of Contents

Retirement expense, included in salary and benefits, increased \$9,000, or 1.0%, and \$185,000, or 7.7%, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2010. The increase was primarily related to the increase in the expense of the defined benefit and restoration plans that were partially offset by a decrease in the post retirement expense and split dollar expense for 2011 when compared to 2010.

Health and life insurance expense, included in salary and benefits, decreased \$88,000, or 8.6%, and \$304,000, or 10.0%, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 when compared to the same periods in 2010, respectively, due to decreased health claims expense and plan administrative cost for the comparable periods of time. We have a self-insured health plan which is supplemented with stop loss insurance policies. Health insurance costs are rising nationwide and these costs may increase during the remainder of 2011.

ATM and debit card expense increased \$12,000, or 5.4%, and \$114,000, or 18.9%, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2010 due to an increase in processing expenses.

Supplies decreased \$3,000, or 1.6%, and \$94,000, or 14.1%, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2010 due primarily to the printing of our 50th anniversary logo on supplies purchased for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

Professional fees increased \$153,000, or 36.6%, and \$220,000, or 16.1%, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the same periods in 2010 due to legal fees and consulting fees associated with the acquisition of SFG.

Postage expense decreased \$17,000, or 8.7%, and \$69,000, or 11.3%, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2010 due to a new contract with United States Postal Service and growing electronic delivery of our monthly bank statements.

Telephone and communications decreased \$64,000, or 18.3%, and \$101,000, or 9.5%, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the same periods in 2010 due to decreases in communications expense.

FDIC insurance decreased \$592,000, or 73.6%, and \$462,000, or 21.3%, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2010 due to a change in the FDIC assessment calculation effective for the second quarter of 2011.

Income Taxes

Pre-tax income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$10.6 million and \$38.9 million, respectively, compared to \$15.1 million and \$43.5 million, respectively, for the same periods in 2010. Income tax expense was \$2.0 million and \$7.9 million, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$3.8 million and \$10.3 million, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. The effective tax rate as a percentage of pre-tax income was 19.2% and 20.4% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to 25.2% and 23.6% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. The decrease in the effective tax rate and income tax expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was due to an increase in tax-exempt income as a percentage of taxable income as compared to the same period in 2010.

Capital Resources

Our total shareholders' equity at September 30, 2011, was \$259.2 million, representing an increase of 20.9%, or \$44.7 million from December 31, 2010 and represented 8.1% of total assets at September 30, 2011 compared to 7.1% of total assets at December 31, 2010.

Increases to our shareholders' equity consisted of net income of \$29.6 million, the issuance of \$860,000 in common stock (44,352 shares) through our incentive stock option and dividend reinvestment plans, an increase in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$25.3 million, which was partially offset by \$8.4 million in dividends paid and the decrease of approximately \$2.8 million associated with the purchase of the remaining 50% interest in SFG, increasing our ownership to 100%.

On March 31, 2011, our board of directors declared a 5% stock dividend to common stock shareholders of record as of April 20, 2011, and payable on May 11, 2011.

Table of Contents

Under the Federal Reserve Board's risk-based capital guidelines for bank holding companies, the minimum ratio of total capital to risk-adjusted assets (including certain off-balance sheet items, such as standby letters of credit) is currently 8%. The minimum Tier 1 capital to risk-adjusted assets is 4%. Our \$20 million, \$22.5 million, \$12.5 million and \$3.5 million of trust preferred securities issued by our subsidiaries, Southside Statutory Trust III, IV, V and Magnolia Trust Company I, respectively, are considered Tier 1 capital by the Federal Reserve Board and will continue to be under the Dodd-Frank Act. Any trust preferred securities that are issued by our subsidiaries in the future will be considered Tier 2 capital. The Federal Reserve Board also requires bank holding companies to comply with the minimum leverage ratio guidelines. The leverage ratio is the ratio of bank holding company's Tier 1 capital to its total consolidated quarterly average assets, less goodwill and certain other intangible assets. The guidelines require a minimum leverage ratio of 4% for bank holding companies that meet certain specified criteria. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on our financial statements. Management believes that, as of September 30, 2011, we met all capital adequacy requirements to which we were subject.

The Federal Deposit Insurance Act requires bank regulatory agencies to take "prompt corrective action" with respect to FDIC-insured depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements. A depository institution's treatment for purposes of the prompt corrective action provisions will depend on how its capital levels compare to various capital measures and certain other factors, as established by regulation. Prompt corrective action and other discretionary actions could have a direct material effect on our financial statements.

It is management's intention to maintain our capital at a level acceptable to all regulatory authorities and future dividend payments will be determined accordingly. Regulatory authorities require that any dividend payments made by either us or the Bank, not exceed earnings for that year. Shareholders should not anticipate a continuation of the cash dividend simply because of the existence of a dividend reinvestment program. The payment of dividends will depend upon future earnings, our financial condition, and other related factors including the discretion of the board of directors.

To be categorized as well capitalized, we must maintain minimum Total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based, and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the following table:

	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes		To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Actions Provisions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
As of September 30, 2011 (2):						
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)						
Consolidated	\$ 292,150	22.65%	\$ 103,209	8.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank Only	\$ 283,304	21.96%	\$ 103,203	8.00%	\$ 129,004	10.00%
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)						
Consolidated	\$ 275,945	21.39%	\$ 51,604	4.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank Only	\$ 267,099	20.70%	\$ 51,602	4.00%	\$ 77,402	6.00%
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets) (1)						
Consolidated	\$ 275,945	8.89%	\$ 124,156	4.00%	N/A	N/A

Edgar Filing: SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q/A

Bank Only	\$ 267,099	8.61%	\$ 124,055	4.00%	\$ 155,068	5.00%
-----------	------------	-------	------------	-------	------------	-------

As of December 31, 2010:

Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)

Consolidated	\$ 273,787	21.07%	\$ 103,978	8.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank Only	\$ 262,798	20.24%	\$ 103,879	8.00%	\$ 129,848	10.00%

Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)

Consolidated	\$ 257,449	19.81%	\$ 51,989	4.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank Only	\$ 246,460	18.98%	\$ 51,939	4.00%	\$ 77,909	6.00%

Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets) (1)

Consolidated	\$ 257,449	8.44%	\$ 122,026	4.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank Only	\$ 246,460	8.09%	\$ 121,893	4.00%	\$ 152,367	5.00%

(1) Refers to quarterly average assets as calculated by bank regulatory agencies.

(2) The table as of September 30, 2011 has been restated to reflect the impact on our capital of securities carried at fair value through income and the impairment charge for FHLB advance option fees.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Interest Rate Sensitivity

Liquidity management involves our ability to convert assets to cash with a minimum of loss to enable us to meet our obligations to our customers at any time. This means addressing (1) the immediate cash withdrawal requirements of depositors and other funds providers; (2) the funding requirements of all lines and letters of credit; and (3) the short-term credit needs of customers. Liquidity is provided by short-term investments that can be readily liquidated with a minimum risk of loss. Cash, interest earning deposits, federal funds sold and short-term investments with maturities or repricing characteristics of one year or less continue to be a substantial percentage of total assets. At September 30, 2011, these investments were 18.9% of total assets as compared to 16.8% at December 31, 2010 and 18.6% at September 30, 2010. The increase to 18.9% at September 30, 2011 is primarily reflective of changes in the investment portfolio. Liquidity is further provided through the matching, by time period, of rate sensitive interest earning assets with rate sensitive interest bearing liabilities. Southside Bank has four lines of credit for the purchase of overnight federal funds at prevailing rates. Three \$15.0 million and one \$10.0 million unsecured lines of credit have been established with Bank of America, Frost Bank, Sterling Bank and TIB - The Independent Bankers Bank, respectively. There were no federal funds purchased at September 30, 2011. At September 30, 2011, the amount of additional funding Southside Bank could obtain from FHLB using unpledged securities at FHLB was approximately \$606.3 million, net of FHLB stock purchases required. Southside Bank obtained \$10.0 million letters of credit from FHLB as collateral for a portion of its public fund deposits.

Interest rate sensitivity management seeks to avoid fluctuating net interest margins and to enhance consistent growth of net interest income through periods of changing interest rates. The ALCO closely monitors various liquidity ratios, interest rate spreads and margins. The ALCO performs interest rate simulation tests that apply various interest rate scenarios including immediate shocks and market value of portfolio equity ("MVPE") with interest rates immediately shocked plus and minus 200 basis points to assist in determining our overall interest rate risk and adequacy of the liquidity position. In addition, the ALCO utilizes a simulation model to determine the impact on net interest income of several different interest rate scenarios. By utilizing this technology, we can determine changes that need to be made to the asset and liability mixes to minimize the change in net interest income under these various interest rate scenarios.

Composition of Loans

One of our main objectives is to seek attractive lending opportunities in Texas, primarily in the counties in which we operate. Substantially all of our loan originations are made to borrowers who live in and conduct business in the counties in Texas in which we operate, with the exception of municipal loans which are made almost entirely in Texas, and purchases of automobile loan portfolios throughout the United States. Municipal loans are made to municipalities, counties, school districts and colleges primarily throughout the state of Texas. Through SFG, we purchase portfolios of automobile loans from a variety of lenders throughout the United States. These high yield loans represent existing subprime automobile loans with payment histories that are collateralized by new and used automobiles. At September 30, 2011, the SFG loans totaled approximately \$61.1 million. We look forward to the possibility that our loan growth will accelerate in the future when the economy in the markets we serve improve and as we work to identify and develop additional markets and strategies that will allow us to expand our lending territory. Total loans decreased \$37.4 million, or 3.5%, to \$1.04 billion for the nine month period ended September 30, 2011 from \$1.08 billion at December 31, 2010, and increased \$3.3 million, or 0.3%, from \$1.04 billion at September 30, 2010. Average loans increased \$27.9 million, or 2.7%, when compared to the same period in 2010.

Our market areas to date have not experienced the level of downturn in the economy and real estate prices that some of the harder hit areas of the country have experienced. However, we have experienced weakening conditions associated with the real estate led downturn and have strengthened our underwriting standards, especially related to all aspects of real estate lending. Our real estate loan portfolio does not have Alt-A or subprime mortgage exposure.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth loan totals for the periods presented:

	At September 30, 2011	At December 31, 2010	At September 30, 2010
	(in thousands)		
Real Estate Loans:			
Construction	\$ 103,859	\$ 115,094	\$ 111,121
1-4 Family Residential	228,248	219,031	216,972
Other	202,595	200,723	202,497
Commercial Loans	140,115	148,761	156,635
Municipal Loans	199,122	196,594	173,314
Loans to Individuals	166,532	197,717	176,669
Total Loans	\$ 1,040,471	\$ 1,077,920	\$ 1,037,208

Our 1-4 family residential mortgage loans increased \$9.2 million, or 4.2%, to \$228.2 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2011 from \$219.0 million at December 31, 2010, and \$11.3 million, or 5.2%, from \$217.0 million at September 30, 2010.

Municipal loans increased \$2.5 million, or 1.3%, to \$199.1 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2011 from \$196.6 million at December 31, 2010, and \$25.8 million, or 14.9%, from \$173.3 million at September 30, 2010. The increase in municipal loans is due to overall market volatility related to credit markets, including municipal credits. This provided additional opportunities for us to lend to municipalities.

Construction loans decreased \$11.2 million, or 9.8%, to \$103.9 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2011 from \$115.1 million at December 31, 2010, and \$7.3 million, or 6.5%, from \$111.1 million at September 30, 2010.

Other real estate loans, which are comprised primarily of commercial real estate loans, increased \$1.9 million, or 0.9%, to \$202.6 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2011 from \$200.7 million at December 31, 2010, and \$98,000 from \$202.5 million at September 30, 2010.

Commercial loans decreased \$8.6 million, or 5.8%, to \$140.1 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2011 from \$148.8 million at December 31, 2010, and \$16.5 million, or 10.5%, from \$156.6 million at September 30, 2010. The decrease in commercial loans is reflective of decreased loan demand for this type of loan in our market area.

Loans to individuals, which includes SFG loans, decreased \$31.2 million, or 15.8%, to \$166.5 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2011 from \$197.7 million at December 31, 2010, and \$10.1 million, or 5.7%, from \$176.7 million at September 30, 2010. Most of the decrease is due to a decrease of SFG loans due to normal paydowns, the inability to find a sufficient supply of automobile loan pools to purchase and a sale of \$6.2 million of SFG loans due to the implementation of Dodd Frank.

Loan Loss Experience and Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is based on the most current review of the loan portfolio and is validated by multiple processes. First, the bank utilizes historical data to establish general reserve amounts for each class of loans. While we track several years of data, we primarily review one year data because we found during the 1980's that longer

periods would not respond quickly enough to market conditions. Second, our lenders have the primary responsibility for identifying problem loans and estimating necessary reserves based on customer financial stress and underlying collateral. These recommendations are reviewed by the Senior lender, the Special Assets department, and the Loan Review department and are signed off on by the President. Third, the Loan Review department does independent reviews of the portfolio on an annual basis. The Loan Review department follows a board-approved annual loan review scope. The loan review scope encompasses a number of metrics that takes into consideration the size of the loan, the type of credit extended, the seasoning of the loan and the performance of the loan. The loan review scope as it relates to size, focuses more on larger dollar loan relationships, typically, for example, aggregate debt of \$500,000 or greater. The Loan Review officer also tracks specific reserves for loans by type compared to general reserves to determine trends in comparative reserves as well as losses not reserved for prior to charge off to determine the efficiency of the specific reserve process.

At each review, a subjective analysis methodology is used to grade the respective loan. Categories of grading vary in severity from loans that do not appear to have a significant probability of loss at the time of review to loans that indicate a probability that the entire balance of the loan will be uncollectible. If full collection of the loan balance appears unlikely at the time of review, estimates of future expected cash flows or appraisals of the collateral securing the debt are used to allocate the necessary allowances. The internal loan review department maintains a list of all loans or loan relationships that are graded as having more than the normal degree of risk associated with them. In addition, a list of specifically reserved loans or loan relationships of \$50,000 or more is updated on a quarterly basis in order to properly allocate necessary allowances and keep management informed on the status of attempts to correct the deficiencies noted with respect to the loan.

Table of Contents

For loans to individuals the methodology associated with determining the appropriate allowance for losses on loans primarily consists of an evaluation of individual payment histories, remaining term to maturity and underlying collateral support.

Industry experience indicates that a portion of our loans will become delinquent and a portion of the loans will require partial or entire charge-off. Regardless of the underwriting criteria utilized, losses may be experienced as a result of various factors beyond our control, including, among other things, changes in market conditions affecting the value of properties used as collateral for loans and problems affecting the credit of the borrower and the ability of the borrower to make payments on the loan. Our determination of the adequacy of allowance for loan losses is based on various considerations, including an analysis of the risk characteristics of various classifications of loans, previous loan loss experience, specific loans which would have loan loss potential, delinquency trends, estimated fair value of the underlying collateral, current economic conditions, the views of the bank regulators (who have the authority to require additional allowances), and geographic and industry loan concentration.

Consumer loans at SFG are reserved for based on general estimates of loss at the time of purchase for current loans. SFG loans experiencing past due status or extension of maturity characteristics are reserved for at significantly higher levels based on the circumstances associated with each specific loan. In general the reserves for SFG are calculated based on the past due status of the loan. For reserve purposes, the portfolio has been segregated by past due status and by the remaining term variance from the original contract. During repayment, loans that pay late will take longer to pay out than the original contract. Additionally, some loans may be granted extensions for extenuating payment circumstances. The remaining term extensions increase the risk of collateral deterioration and accordingly, reserves are increased to recognize this risk.

For SFG automobile loan pools purchased after August 1, 2010, additional reserve methods have been added. New pools purchased are reserved at their estimated annual loss. Thereafter, the reserve is adjusted based on the actual performance versus projected performance. Additionally, during the fourth quarter of 2010, data mining measures were further enhanced to track migration within risk tranches. Reserves are adjusted quarterly to match the migration metrics.

After all of the data in the loan portfolio is accumulated, the reserve allocations are separated into various loan classes. At September 30, 2011, the unallocated portion of the allowance for loan loss increased to \$1.3 million or 0.1% of loans.

As of September 30, 2011, our review of the loan portfolio indicated that a loan loss allowance of \$18.2 million was adequate to cover probable losses in the portfolio. Changes in economic and other conditions may require future adjustments to the allowance for loan losses.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, loan charge-offs were \$3.2 million and \$10.3 million and recoveries were \$505,000 and \$2.3 million, resulting in net charge-offs of \$2.7 million and \$8.0 million, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, loan charge-offs were \$4.3 million and \$12.8 million and recoveries were \$588,000 and \$2.3 million, resulting in net charge-offs of \$3.8 million and \$10.5 million, respectively. The decrease in net charge-offs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily related to economic conditions requiring the write-down of nonperforming loans in the previous year. The necessary provision expense was estimated at \$1.5 million and \$5.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$3.2 million and \$9.3 million for the comparable periods in 2010, respectively. The decrease in provision expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010 was primarily a result of the decrease in nonperforming loans.

Nonperforming Assets

Nonperforming assets consist of delinquent loans 90 days or more past due, nonaccrual loans, other real estate owned (“OREO”), repossessed assets and restructured loans. Nonaccrual loans are those loans which are 90 days or more delinquent and collection in full of both the principal and interest is in doubt. Additionally, some loans that are not delinquent may be placed on nonaccrual status due to doubts about full collection of principal or interest. When a loan is categorized as nonaccrual, the accrual of interest is discontinued and the accrued balance is reversed for financial statement purposes. Restructured loans represent loans that have been renegotiated to provide a reduction or deferral of interest or principal because of deterioration in the financial position of the borrowers. Categorization of a loan as nonperforming is not in itself a reliable indicator of potential loan loss. Other factors, such as the value of collateral securing the loan and the financial condition of the borrower must be considered in judgments as to potential loan loss. OREO represents real estate taken in full or partial satisfaction of debts previously contracted. The dollar amount of OREO is based on a current evaluation of the OREO at the time it is recorded on our books, net of estimated selling costs. Updated valuations are obtained as needed and any additional impairments are recognized.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth nonperforming assets for the periods presented:

	At September 30, 2011 (in thousands)	At December 31, 2010	At September 30, 2010
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 10,634	\$ 14,524	\$ 14,631
Accruing loans past due more than 90 days	21	7	7
Restructured loans	1,486	2,320	2,516
Other real estate owned	831	220	1,100
Reposessed assets	188	638	445
Total Nonperforming Assets	\$ 13,160	\$ 17,709	\$ 18,699

	At September 30, 2011 (unaudited)	At December 31, 2010	At September 30, 2010
--	--	-------------------------------	--------------------------------

Asset Quality Ratios:

Nonaccruing loans to total loans	1.02%	1.35%	1.41%
Allowance for loan losses to nonaccruing loans	171.05	142.60	128.02
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming assets	138.21	116.95	100.17
Allowance for loan losses to total loans	1.75	1.92	1.81
Nonperforming assets to total assets	0.41	0.59	0.62
Net charge-offs to average loans	1.02	1.25	1.37

Total nonperforming assets at September 30, 2011 were \$13.2 million, a decrease of \$4.5 million, or 25.7%, from \$17.7 million at December 31, 2010 and \$5.5 million, or 29.6%, from \$18.7 million at September 30, 2010. In general, the decreasing trend in nonperforming assets is reflective of recoveries in our current economic market.

From December 31, 2010 to September 30, 2011, nonaccrual loans decreased \$3.9 million, or 26.8%, to \$10.6 million and from September 30, 2010, decreased \$4.0 million, or 27.3%. Of the total nonaccrual loans at September 30, 2011, 17.5% are residential real estate loans, 12.1% are commercial real estate loans, 14.1% are commercial loans, 20.2% are loans to individuals, primarily SFG automobile loans, and 36.1% are construction loans. Accruing loans past due more than 90 days increased \$14,000, or 200.0%, to \$21,000 at September 30, 2011 from \$7,000 at December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010. Restructured loans decreased \$834,000, or 35.9%, to \$1.5 million at September 30, 2011 from \$2.3 million at December 31, 2010 and \$1.0 million, or 40.9%, from \$2.5 million at September 30, 2010. The decrease in restructured loans was attributable to SFG automobile loan pools. OREO increased \$611,000, or 277.7%, to \$831,000 at September 30, 2011 from \$220,000 at December 31, 2010 and decreased \$269,000, or 24.5%, from \$1.1 million at September 30, 2010. The OREO at September 30, 2011, consisted of residential and commercial real estate property. We are actively marketing all properties and none are being held for investment purposes. Reposessed assets decreased \$450,000, or 70.5%, to \$188,000 at September 30, 2011 from \$638,000 at December 31, 2010 and \$257,000, or 57.8%, from \$445,000 at September 30, 2010.

Expansion

On July 15, 2011, we purchased the remaining 50% interest in Southside Financial Group (“SFG”) increasing our ownership to 100%. This was a direct result of new regulations adopted as part of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (“Dodd Frank”). Dodd Frank changed the manner in which we can do business through a nonbank entity. The purchase price was \$4.8 million and resulted in a decrease to shareholders’ equity of approximately \$2.8 million and the elimination of the noncontrolling interest. SFG was already consolidated in our financial statements and this purchase will not limit or change our ability to allocate capital.

Accounting Pronouncements

See “Note 1 – Basis of Presentation” in our financial statements included in this report.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The disclosures set forth in this item are qualified by the section captioned “Forward-Looking Statements” included in “Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” of this report and other cautionary statements set forth elsewhere in this report.

Refer to the discussion of market risks included in “Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risks” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. There have been no significant changes in the types of market risks we face since December 31, 2010.

In the banking industry, a major risk exposure is changing interest rates. The primary objective of monitoring our interest rate sensitivity, or risk, is to provide management the tools necessary to manage the balance sheet to minimize adverse changes in net interest income as a result of changes in the direction and level of interest rates. Federal Reserve Board monetary control efforts, the effects of deregulation, the current economic downturn and legislative changes have been significant factors affecting the task of managing interest rate sensitivity positions in recent years.

In an attempt to manage our exposure to changes in interest rates, management closely monitors our exposure to interest rate risk through our ALCO. Our ALCO meets regularly and reviews our interest rate risk position and makes recommendations to our board for adjusting this position. In addition, our board reviews our asset/liability position on a monthly basis. We primarily use two methods for measuring and analyzing interest rate risk: net income simulation analysis and MVPE modeling. We utilize the net income simulation model as the primary quantitative tool in measuring the amount of interest rate risk associated with changing market rates. This model quantifies the effects of various interest rate scenarios on projected net interest income and net income over the next 12 months. The model was used to measure the impact on net interest income relative to a base case scenario of rates increasing 100 and 200 basis points or decreasing 100 and 200 basis points over the next 12 months. These simulations incorporate assumptions regarding balance sheet growth and mix, pricing and the repricing and maturity characteristics of the existing and projected balance sheet. The impact of interest rate-related risks such as prepayment, basis and option risk are also considered. As of September 30, 2011, the model simulations projected that 100 and 200 basis point immediate increases in interest rates would result in positive variances on net interest income of 1.18% and 0.44%, respectively, relative to the base case over the next 12 months, while an immediate decrease in interest rates of 100 and 200 basis points would result in a positive variance in net interest income of 2.85% and 3.69%, respectively, relative to the base case over the next 12 months. As of September 30, 2010, the model simulations projected that a 100 basis point immediate increase in interest rates would result in a positive variance on net interest income of 4.27% and an immediate increase in interest rates of 200 basis points would result in a positive variance in net interest income of 3.76%, relative to the base case over the next 12 months, while an immediate decrease in interest rates of 100 and 200 basis points would result in negative variances on net interest income of 1.07% and 1.79%, respectively, relative to the base case over the next 12 months. As part of the overall assumptions, certain assets and liabilities have been given reasonable floors. This type of simulation analysis requires numerous assumptions including but not limited to changes in balance sheet mix, prepayment rates on mortgage-related assets and fixed rate loans, cash flows and repricings of all financial instruments, changes in volumes and pricing, future shapes of the yield curve, relationship of market interest rates to each other (basis risk), credit spread and deposit sensitivity. Assumptions are based on management’s best estimates but may not accurately reflect actual results under certain changes in interest rates.

The ALCO monitors various liquidity ratios to ensure a satisfactory liquidity position for us. Management continually evaluates the condition of the economy, the pattern of market interest rates and other economic data to determine the types of investments that should be made and at what maturities. Using this analysis, management from time to time assumes calculated interest sensitivity gap positions to maximize net interest income based upon anticipated movements in the general level of interest rates. Regulatory authorities also monitor our gap position along with other

liquidity ratios. In addition, as described above, we utilize a simulation model to determine the impact of net interest income under several different interest rate scenarios. By utilizing this technology, we can determine changes that need to be made to the asset and liability mixes to mitigate the change in net interest income under these various interest rate scenarios.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4.CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

In our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011, originally filed November 8, 2011, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) and our Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”), undertook an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the “Exchange Act”)) as of September 30, 2011 and, based on that evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of that time, in recording, processing, summarizing and reporting in a timely manner the information that the Company is required to disclose in its reports under the Exchange Act and in accumulating and communicating to the Company’s management, including the Company’s CEO and CFO, such information as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Subsequent to that evaluation and in connection with the restatement and filing of this amendment, our management, including our CEO and CFO, re-evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2011 and based upon that evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of that date because of the material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting described below.

Material Weaknesses in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

Specifically, management has identified the following material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2011:

- We did not adequately design security price verification controls. Specifically, we did not perform adequate price verification procedures to determine that security prices obtained from the third party pricing service which are utilized to record securities at fair value were accurate. The control deficiency resulted in certain pricing errors, including for certain securities which were acquired with a significant premium that required specific accounting in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The errors resulted in the restatement of the Company's quarterly financial information as of and for the quarters and year to date periods ended March 31, June 30, and September 30, 2011, and revisions to the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010. Additionally, this control deficiency could result in future material misstatements of the available for sale or held to maturity securities and related disclosures that would result in a material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Management has concluded that the identified control deficiency constitutes a material weakness.
- Controls designed to evaluate the impairment of FHLB advance option fees did not operate effectively. Specifically, we failed to execute the control to assess the probability of exercise for the FHLB advance option fees. This control deficiency resulted in accounting errors for the FHLB options. The errors resulted in the restatement of the Company's quarterly financial information as of and for the quarter and year to date period ended September 30, 2011. Additionally, this control deficiency could result in future material misstatements of other assets and impairment charges and related disclosures that would result in a material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Management has concluded that the identified control deficiency constitutes a material weakness.

Remediation Plan for Material Weaknesses

Our management has dedicated significant resources to correcting the accounting errors and to ensuring that we take proper steps to improve our internal control over financial reporting in the areas of price valuation and FHLB advance option fees and remedy our material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures.

Subsequent to December 31, 2011, we enhanced our price verification controls. We will utilize multiple pricing services to assist with our price verification procedures. In addition, procedures will be designed to review security prices with variances outside predetermined ranges. We have also enhanced our controls to evaluate impairment of the FHLB advance option fees. This includes the design of more effective controls to assess the probability of the exercise for the FHLB advance option fees including, but not limited to, the review of current FHLB interest rates, forward yield curves and the results of valuation models.

We will continue to take action to remediate the material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting. Our management is committed to implementing additional control policies and procedures, and we will continually update our audit committee as to the progress and status of our remediation efforts to ensure that they are adequately implemented. We believe our remediation efforts, when completed, will be sufficient to remediate the material weaknesses.

Table of Contents

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Since December 31, 2011, we have begun the implementation of the remedial actions described above. However, there were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1.LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are party to legal proceedings arising in the normal conduct of business. Management believes that at September 30, 2011 such litigation is not material to our financial position or results of operations.

ITEM 1A.RISK FACTORS

Additional information regarding risk factors appears in “Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Forward Looking Statements” of this Form 10-Q/A and in Part I — “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 2.UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not Applicable.

ITEM 3.DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not Applicable.

ITEM 4.(REMOVED AND RESERVED)

ITEM 5.OTHER INFORMATION

Not Applicable.

ITEM 6.EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.

3 (a) – Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Southside Bancshares, Inc. effective April 17, 2009 (filed as Exhibit 3(a) to the Registrant's Form 8-K, filed April 20, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).

Table of Contents

3 (b)(i) – Amended and Restated Bylaws of Southside Bancshares, Inc. effective February 28, 2008 (filed as Exhibit 3(b) to the Registrant’s Form 8-K, filed March 5, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).

3(b)(ii) – Amendment No. 1 to the Amended and Restated Bylaws of Southside Bancshares, Inc. effective August 27, 2009 (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant’s Form 8-K/A, filed September 10, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).

3(b)(iii) – Amendment No. 2 to the Amended and Restated Bylaws of Southside Bancshares, Inc. effective September 2, 2010 (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant’s Form 8-K, filed September 2, 2010, and incorporated herein by reference).

*23.1 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

*31.1 – Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

*31.2 – Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

*32 – Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

**101.INS – XBRL Instance Document.

**101.SCH – XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.

**101.CAL – XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.

**101.LAB – XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.

**101.PRE – XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

**101.DEF – XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.

*Filed herewith.

**Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES, INC.

By: /s/ SAM DAWSON
Sam Dawson, Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

DATE: April 30, 2012

By: /s/ LEE R. GIBSON
Lee R. Gibson, CPA, Senior Executive Vice
President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal
Financial Officer)

DATE: April 30, 2012

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

Exhibit Number	Description
<u>23.1</u>	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
<u>31.1</u>	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
<u>31.2</u>	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
<u>*32</u>	Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
**101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
**101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
**101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
**101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
**101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.
**101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.

*The certifications attached as Exhibit 32 accompany this quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A and are “furnished” to the Commission pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and shall not be deemed “filed” by us for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

**Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.