COHEN & STEERS REIT & PREFERRED INCOME FUND INC Form N-O

November 23, 2016

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-Q

QUARTERLY SCHEDULE OF PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY

Investment Company Act file number 811-21326

Cohen & Steers REIT and Preferred Income Fund, Inc. (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

280 Park Avenue

New York, NY (Address of principal executive offices)

10017 (Zip code)

Tina M. Payne

280 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10017 (Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (212) 832-3232

Date of fiscal year December 31

end:

Date of reporting period: September 30, 2016

Item 1 Schedule of Investments						
	Itom	1	Cahada	ala af	Invocto	annto

COHEN & STEERS REIT AND PREFERRED INCOME FUND, INC.

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

September 30, 2016 (Unaudited)

	Number of Shares	Value
COMMON STOCK REAL ESTATE 60.5%		
DIVERSIFIED 3.0%		
American Assets Trust(a)	214,357 \$	9,298,807
Vornado Realty Trust(a),(b)	236,186	23,904,385
		33,203,192
HEALTH CARE 7.5%		
HCP(a),(b)	660,379	25,061,383
Healthcare Trust of America, Class A(a),(b)	549,593	17,927,724
Omega Healthcare Investors(a),(b)	371,113	13,155,956
Physicians Realty Trust(a),(b)	740,460	15,949,508
Ventas	134,004	9,464,703
		81,559,274
HOTEL 2.7%		
Host Hotels & Resorts(a),(b)	803,427	12,509,358
Pebblebrook Hotel Trust	219,895	5,849,207
Sunstone Hotel Investors(a),(b)	856,730	10,957,577
		29,316,142
INDUSTRIALS 3.4%		
Prologis(a),(b)	685,497	36,701,509
NICT LEAGE A 20		
NET LEASE 4.3%	214.456	4 574 246
Four Corners Property Trust Gaming and Leisure Properties	214,456 264.452	4,574,346
National Retail Properties(a),(b)	182,790	8,845,919 9,294,872
Spirit Realty Capital(a),(b)	1,299,599	, ,
STORE Capital Corp.	1,299,399 244,923	17,323,655 7,217,881
STORE Capital Corp.	244,923	47,256,673
OFFICE 5.8%		47,230,073
Alexandria Real Estate Equities	140,068	15,235,196
Douglas Emmett(a),(b)	308,082	11,285,044
Hudson Pacific Properties	238,800	7,849,356
Kilroy Realty Corp.(a),(b)	269,034	18,657,508
SL Green Realty Corp.(a),(b)	96,176	10,396,626
52 Green ready cosp.(a),(c)	70,170	63,423,730
RESIDENTIAL 11.7%		22,2, .00
APARTMENT 10.2%		
American Campus Communities	220,061	11,194,503
Apartment Investment & Management Co.(a),(b)	312,095	14,328,281
1	,	-,,1

	Number of Shares		Value
Colony Starwood Homes(a),(b)	361.547	\$	10,376,399
Equity Residential(a),(b)	263,580	Ψ	16,956,101
Essex Property Trust(a),(b)	84,473		18,812,137
Mid-America Apartment Communities(a),(b)	167,228		15,717,760
UDR(a),(b)	673,132		24,226,021
(-),(-)	0,0,000		111,611,202
			,
MANUFACTURED HOME 1.5%			
Sun Communities(a)	213,633		16,765,918
TOTAL RESIDENTIAL			128,377,120
SELF STORAGE 4.2%			
CubeSmart(a)	540,924		14,745,588
Extra Space Storage(a),(b)	337,991		26,839,865
Life Storage(a),(b)	43,843		3,899,397
			45,484,850
SHOPPING CENTERS 12.3%			
COMMUNITY CENTER 4.1%			
Brixmor Property Group	737,404		20,492,457
Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust(a),(b)	557,383		10,445,358
Regency Centers Corp.(a),(b)	141,529		10,967,082
Tanger Factory Outlet Centers	74,445		2,900,377
			44,805,274
REGIONAL MALL 8.2%			
General Growth Properties(a),(b)	616,183		17,006,651
Simon Property Group(a),(b)	352,551		72,981,582
			89,988,233
TOTAL SHOPPING CENTERS			134,793,507
SPECIALTY 5.6%			
CyrusOne(a)	163,314		7,768,847
DuPont Fabros Technology(a),(b)	251,756		10,384,935
Equinix(a),(b)	75,518		27,205,359
QTS Realty Trust, Class A(a),(b)	305,320		16,136,162
mamus, governous amo que			61,495,303
TOTAL COMMON STOCK			((1 (11 000
(Identified cost \$447,600,731)			661,611,300

	Number		
PREFERRED SECURITIES \$25 PAR VALUE 24.6%	of Shares		Value
BANKS 6.7%			
Bank of America Corp., 6.20%, Series CC	134,575	\$	3,547,397
Bank of America Corp., 6.20%, Series EE	280,000	Ψ	7,352,800
Bank of America Corp., 6.50%, Series Y(a)	261,463		7,056,886
BB&T Corp., 5.625%	65,175		1,737,565
Citigroup, 6.875%, Series K(a)	83,974		2,419,291
Citigroup, 6.30%, Series S	139,006		3,722,581
Farm Credit Bank of Texas, 6.75%, 144A(a),(c)	63,000		6,774,472
Fifth Third Bancorp, 6.625%, Series I(a)	71,998		2,198,819
First Republic Bank, 5.50%, Series G(a)	50,991		1,372,168
GMAC Capital Trust I, 6.602%, due 2/15/40, Series 2 (TruPS) (FRN)(a)	124,847		3,172,362
Huntington Bancshares, 6.25%, Series D(a)	235,000		6,471,900
JPMorgan Chase & Co., 6.10%, Series AA	43,750		1,186,063
JPMorgan Chase & Co., 6.15%, Series BB(a)	78,600		2,134,776
JPMorgan Chase & Co., 6.125%, Series Y	90,000		2,438,100
Regions Financial Corp., 6.375%, Series B	209,686		6,070,410
Wells Fargo & Co., 5.85%(a)	220,206		5,890,510
Wells Fargo & Co., 6.625%	46,774		1,392,930
Wells Fargo & Co., 5.70%, Series W	117,835		3,054,283
Wells Fargo & Co., 5.50%, Series X	140,000		3,610,600
Zions Bancorp, 7.90%, Series F(a)	84,067		2,216,006
			73,819,919
BANKS FOREIGN 1.2%			
Barclays Bank PLC, 8.125%, Series 5 (United Kingdom)(a)	276,655		7,201,330
National Westminster Bank PLC, 7.763%, Series C (United Kingdom)(a),(b)	219,392		5,644,956
			12,846,286
ELECTRIC 1.5%			
INTEGRATED ELECTRIC 0.7%			
DTE Energy Co., 5.375%, due 6/1/76, Series B	116,388		3,000,483
Integrys Holdings, 6.00%, due 8/1/73	162,977		4,467,607
			7,468,090
REGULATED ELECTRIC 0.8%			
Southern Co./The, 6.25%, due 10/15/75	330,000		9,183,900
TOTAL ELECTRIC			16,651,990

	Number of Shares	Value
FINANCIAL 3.3%	of Shares	value
DIVERSIFIED FINANCIAL SERVICES 1.0%		
KKR & Co. LP, 6.75%, Series A	140,000 \$	3,791,200
State Street Corp., 5.35%, Series G	146,525	3,928,335
Stifel Financial Corp., 6.25%, Series A	124.750	3,474,288
	,	11,193,823
INVESTMENT ADVISORY SERVICES 0.3%		
Ares Management LP, 7.00%, Series A	136,000	3,536,000
INVESTMENT BANKER/BROKER 2.0%		
Charles Schwab Corp./The, 5.95%, Series D	172,400	4,692,728
Morgan Stanley, 6.875%(a),(b)	316,012	9,300,233
Morgan Stanley, 6.375%, Series I	254,338	7,088,400
		21,081,361
TOTAL FINANCIAL		35,811,184
INDUSTRIALS CHEMICALS 1.1%		
CHS, 6.75%(a)	130,453	3,734,869
CHS, 7.50%, Series 4	74,495	2,199,837
CHS, 7.10%, Series II(a)	193,453	5,795,852
		11,730,558
INSURANCE 3.3%		
LIFE/HEALTH INSURANCE FOREIGN 0.1%		
Aegon NV, 6.50% (Netherlands)	41,743	1,078,222
MULTI-LINE 1.4%	00.000	
American Financial Group, 6.00%, due 11/15/55	99,000	2,690,820
American Financial Group, 6.25%, due 9/30/54	139,041	3,756,888
Hanover Insurance Group/The, 6.35%, due 3/30/53	78,400	2,024,288
WR Berkley Corp., 5.75%, due 6/1/56	139,375	3,607,025
WR Berkley Corp., 5.90%, due 3/1/56	112,600	2,974,892
MULTI-LINE FOREIGN 0.2%		15,053,913
PartnerRe Ltd., 6.50%, Series G (Bermuda)	74,903	2,138,481
PartnerRe Ltd., 7.25%, Series H (Bermuda)	5,109	153,474
Partiferre Ltd., 7.23%, Series fi (Berniuda)	3,109	· ·
REINSURANCE 0.4%		2,291,955
Reinsurance Group of America, 5.75%, due 6/15/56(a)	138,000	4,014,420
remourance Group or America, 5.15 /0, due 0/13/30(a)	136,000	4,014,420

	Number of Shares	Value
REINSURANCE FOREIGN 1.2%		
Arch Capital Group Ltd., 5.25%, Series E (Bermuda)	140,700	\$ 3,513,110
Aspen Insurance Holdings Ltd., 5.95% (Bermuda)	114,309	3,332,107
Aspen Insurance Holdings Ltd., 7.25% (Bermuda)	53,391	1,381,225
Endurance Speciality Holdings Ltd., 6.35%, Series C (Bermuda)	177,000	4,956,000
		13,182,442
TOTAL INSURANCE		35,620,952
INTEGRATED TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES 0.5%		
Qwest Corp., 6.50%, due 9/1/56	171,900	4,443,615
Qwest Corp., 7.00%, due 4/1/52	55,429	1,419,537
		5,863,152
REAL ESTATE 5.1%		
DIVERSIFIED 1.7%		
Coresite Realty Corp., 7.25%, Series A	79,200	2,048,904
Lexington Realty Trust, 6.50%, Series C (\$50 Par Value)(a)	76,536	4,037,274
NorthStar Realty Finance Corp., 8.50%, Series D	90,426	2,327,565
VEREIT, 6.70%, Series F(a)	273,710	7,291,635
Wells Fargo Real Estate Investment Corp., 6.375%, Series A	102,536	2,718,229
		18,423,607
HOTEL 0.5%		
Hersha Hospitality Trust, 6.875%, Series C(a)	134,345	3,519,839
Sunstone Hotel Investors, 6.95%, Series E	65,000	1,760,200
		5,280,039
INDUSTRIALS 0.8%		
Gramercy Property Trust, 7.125%, Series A	151,270	4,105,468
Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corp., 7.875%, Series B	87,500	2,283,750
STAG Industrial, 6.875%, Series C	96,000	2,584,320
		8,973,538
OFFICE 0.4%		
Corporate Office Properties Trust, 7.375%, Series L(a)	100,000	2,590,000
Government Properties Income Trust, 5.875%, due 5/1/46	70,000	1,810,200
		4,400,200
RESIDENTIAL 0.3%		
APARTMENT 0.2%		
American Homes 4 Rent, 5.00%, Series A	79,685	2,255,882

	Number of Shares	Value
MANUFACTURED HOME 0.1%		
Equity Lifestyle Properties, 6.75%, Series C	60,843	\$ 1,584,960
TOTAL RESIDENTIAL		3,840,842
SHOPPING CENTERS COMMUNITY CENTER 0.9%		
Cedar Realty Trust, 7.25%, Series B(a)	219,000	5,628,300
DDR Corp., 6.50%, Series J(a)	60,000	1,534,200
Saul Centers, 6.875%, Series C	84,140	2,213,303
		9,375,803
SPECIALTY 0.5%	60.000	1 (10 100
Digital Realty Trust, 7.375%, Series H	60,000	1,649,400
Digital Realty Trust, 6.35%, Series I	140,000	3,735,200
MOTELL DELL FORLER		5,384,600
TOTAL REAL ESTATE		55,678,629
TERRINAL ACT. CAPTULA DE A 40		
TECHNOLOGY SOFTWARE 0.4%	152 000	4 605 220
eBay, 6.00%, due 2/1/56	173,000	4,695,220
LIDII IDIE 1 Ed		
UTILITIES 1.5%	115 000	2.027.000
Dominion Resources, 5.25%, due 7/30/76, Series A	115,000	2,927,900
Entergy New Orleans, 5.50%, due 4/1/66	60,000	1,641,600
NextEra Energy Capital Holdings, 5.25%, due 6/1/76, Series K	116,605	2,995,582
SCE Trust IV, 5.375%, Series J	125,870	3,651,489
SCE Trust V, 5.45%, Series K(a)	188,469	5,595,645
TOTAL PREFERRED SECURITIES \$25 PAR VALUE		16,812,216
		260 520 106
(Identified cost \$245,657,155)		269,530,106
PREFERRED SECURITIES CAPITAL SECURITIES 41.9%		
BANKS 10.9%		
AgriBank FCB, 6.875%(a)	38,000	4,096,875
Bank of America Corp., 6.30%, Series DD(a),(b)	7,900,000	8,601,125
Bank of America Corp., 7.25%, Series L (Convertible)	2,200	2,685,848
Bank of America Corp., 6.50%, Series Z(a)	10,113,000	10,966,284
Bank of New York Mellon Corp./The, 4.625%, Series F	2,360,000	2,330,500
Citigroup, 6.125%, Series R	2,806,000	2,921,748
Citigroup, 6.25%, Series T	6,925,000	7,461,687
Citigroup Capital III, 7.625%, due 12/1/36(a)	4,700,000	5,983,669
CoBank ACB, 6.25%, 144A(a),(c)	33,000	3,489,750
CoBank ACB, 6.125%, Series G(a)	46,500	4,737,188
CoBank ACB, 6.25%, Series I	4,334,000	4,746,159
	.,== .,= 00	.,,-0>

	Number	
E G I's D 1 CE 10000 G ' 1/)	of Shares	Value
Farm Credit Bank of Texas, 10.00%, Series I(a)	6,000	\$ 7,125,000
Goldman Sachs Capital I, 6.345%, due 2/15/34(a)	939,000	1,146,683
Huntington Bancshares, 8.50%, Series A (Convertible)(a)	3,212	4,657,336
JPMorgan Chase & Co., 7.90%, Series I(a)	11,000,000	11,316,250
JPMorgan Chase & Co., 6.75%, Series S(a)	8,650,000	9,558,250
KeyCorp, 5.00%, Series D	3,400,000	3,357,500
PNC Financial Services Group, 6.75%	4,275,000	4,788,000
Wells Fargo & Co., 7.98%, Series K(a)	11,475,000	12,006,866
Wells Fargo & Co., 7.50%, Series L (Convertible)	1,300	1,701,180
Wells Fargo & Co., 5.875%, Series U	5,270,000	5,724,538
		119,402,436
BANKS FOREIGN 11.8%		
Allied Irish Banks PLC, 7.375%, Series EMTN (EUR) (Ireland)	1,200,000	1,222,653
Australia & New Zealand Banking Group Ltd./United Kingdom, 6.75%, 144A (Australia)(c)	5,100,000	5,614,672
Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria SA, 8.875% (EUR) (Spain)	5,200,000	6,151,018
Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria SA, 9.00% (Spain)	2,600,000	2,671,674
Banco Mercantil del Norte SA, 5.75%, due 10/4/31, 144A (Mexico)(c)	4,000,000	3,920,800
Bank of Ireland, 7.375% (EUR) (Ireland)	1,600,000	1,718,410
Barclays PLC, 8.25% (United Kingdom)(a)	5,320,000	5,333,300
Barclays PLC, 7.875% (United Kingdom)	3,400,000	3,352,176
BNP Paribas, 7.195%, 144A (France)(a),(c)	3,300,000	3,720,750
BNP Paribas SA, 7.625%, 144A (France)(c)	6,600,000	6,809,761
Credit Agricole SA, 8.125%, 144A (France)(a),(c)	7,300,000	7,763,360
Credit Suisse Group AG, 7.50%, 144A (Switzerland)(a),(c)	3,605,000	3,676,649
Dresdner Funding Trust I, 8.151%, due 6/30/31, 144A (Germany)(a),(c)	5,235,906	6,243,818
HSBC Capital Funding LP, 10.176%, 144A (United Kingdom)(c)	8,442,000	12,817,657
HSBC Holdings PLC, 6.875% (United Kingdom)	4,800,000	5,004,000
Lloyds Banking Group PLC, 7.50% (United Kingdom)(a)	7,766,000	8,039,363
Nationwide Building Society, 10.25% (GBP) (United Kingdom)	4,790,000	7,962,473
Rabobank Nederland, 11.00%, 144A (Netherlands)(a),(c)	5,800,000	7,047,000
Royal Bank of Scotland Group PLC, 7.648% (United Kingdom)(a)	3,591,000	4,318,178
Royal Bank of Scotland Group PLC, 8.625% (United Kingdom)	7,600,000	7,457,500
Societe Generale SA, 7.375%, 144A (France)(c)	3,800,000	3,733,500
22 2	2,000,000	2,.22,300

	Number		¥7.1
Standard Chartened DLC 7500/ 144A (United Vinadam)(a)	of Shares 3,000,000	\$	Value 3,000,000
Standard Chartered PLC, 7.50%, 144A (United Kingdom)(c)	2,000,000	Ф	1,978,550
UBS Group AG, 6.875% (Switzerland)	, ,		
UBS Group AG, 7.00% (Switzerland)	1,600,000		1,692,307
UBS Group AG, 7.125% (Switzerland)	3,000,000		3,047,850
UBS Group AG, 7.125% (Switzerland)	5,000,000		5,147,600 129,445,019
FINANCIAL DIVERSIFIED FINANCIAL SERVICES 0.6%			129,113,019
National Rural Utilities Cooperative Finance Corp., 5.25%, due 4/20/46	2,640,000		2,855,448
State Street Corp., 5.25%, Series F	3,005,000		3,177,787
	, ,		6,033,235
FOOD 1.2%			, ,
Dairy Farmers of America, 7.875%, 144A(c),(d)	40,100		4,283,181
Dairy Farmers of America, 7.875%, 144A (\$100 Par Value)(c)	82,000		8,674,067
TOTAL FOOD (Identified cost \$12,327,375)			12,957,248
INDUSTRIALS DIVERSIFIED MANUFACTURING 1.8%			
General Electric Co., 5.00%, Series D(a)	18,486,000		19,682,044
INSURANCE 10.8%			
LIFE/HEALTH INSURANCE 2.9%			
MetLife, 5.25%, Series C	4,266,000		4,297,995
MetLife Capital Trust IV, 7.875%, due 12/15/37, 144A(c)	2,000,000		2,519,196
MetLife Capital Trust X, 9.25%, due 4/8/68, 144A(a),(c)	8,065,000		11,623,278
Prudential Financial, 5.625%, due 6/15/43(a)	9,464,000		10,221,593
Voya Financial, 5.65%, due 5/15/53	3,300,000		3,304,125
			31,966,187
LIFE/HEALTH INSURANCE FOREIGN 4.4%			
Cloverie PLC for Zurich Insurance Co., Ltd., 5.625%, due 6/24/46 (Ireland)	1,000,000		1,081,700
Dai-ichi Life Insurance Co. Ltd., 4.00%, 144A (Japan)(c)	7,600,000		7,657,000
Dai-ichi Life Insurance Co. Ltd., 5.10%, 144A (Japan)(c)	3,400,000		3,740,340
Demeter BV (Swiss Re Ltd.), 5.625%, due 8/15/52 (Netherlands)	3,200,000		3,319,334
Demeter BV (Swiss Re Ltd.), 5.75%, due 8/15/50 (Netherlands)	3,200,000		3,368,096
La Mondiale Vie, 7.625% (France)	4,500,000		4,826,250
Meiji Yasuda Life Insurance Co., 5.20%, due 10/20/45, 144A (Japan)(a),(b),(c)	7,350,000		8,277,938

	Number of Shares	Value
Nippon Life Insurance Co., 4.70%, due 1/20/46, 144A (Japan)(c)	10,000,000	\$ 10,849,820
Sumitomo Life Insurance Co., 6.50%, due 9/20/73, 144A (Japan)(a),(c)	3,800,000	4,545,750
		47,666,228
MULTI-LINE 0.5%		
MetLife, 10.75%, due 8/1/69(a)	3,442,000	5,524,754
MULTI-LINE FOREIGN 0.6%		
AXA SA, 8.60%, due 12/15/30 (France)(a)	2,400,000	3,396,000
AXA SA, 6.463%, 144A (France)(a),(c)	3,250,000	3,398,915
		6,794,915
PROPERTY CASUALTY 0.9%		
Liberty Mutual Group, 7.80%, due 3/7/87, 144A(a),(c)	8,650,000	10,142,125
PROPERTY CASUALTY FOREIGN 0.9%		
QBE Insurance Group Ltd., 6.75%, due 12/2/44 (Australia)	6,003,000	6,663,330
QBE Insurance Group Ltd., 5.875%, due 6/17/46, Series EMTN (Australia)	2,800,000	2,969,574
DEPUNIT AND TOP FOR THE AND A CO		9,632,904
REINSURANCE FOREIGN 0.6%	(000 000	C 451 500
Aquarius + Investments PLC, 8.25% (Switzerland)	6,000,000	6,451,500
TOTAL INSURANCE		118,178,613
DIMEGRA AMERICAN DALINICA MICANO DE CERTA CEGA A 201		
INTEGRATED TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES 0.3%	2.254	2.044.004
Centaur Funding Corp., 9.08%, due 4/21/20, 144A (Cayman Islands)(a),(c)	3,254	3,844,804
MATERIAL METALS & MINING 1.1%		
BHP Billiton Finance USA Ltd., 6.75%, due 10/19/75, 144A (Australia)(a),(c)	10,200,000	11 577 000
DHP Dilliton Finance USA Ltd., 0.75%, due 10/19/75, 144A (Australia)(a),(c)	10,200,000	11,577,000
PIPELINES 0.7%		
Transcanada Trust, 5.875%, due 8/15/76, Series 16-A (Canada)	7,002,000	7,425,621
Transcanada Trust, 5.875 %, due 6/15/70, Series 10-A (Canada)	7,002,000	7,423,021
REAL ESTATE DIVERSIFIED 0.3%		
QCP SNF West/Central/East/AL REIT LLC, 8.125%, due 11/1/23, 144A(c)	3,700,000	3,767,063
QCI SIN West conduit Edit I Ede, 0.125 %, ddc 11/1/25, 11/1/(c)	3,700,000	3,707,003
UTILITIES 2.4%		
ELECTRIC UTILITIES 0.1%		
NextEra Energy Capital Holdings, 7.30%, due 9/1/67, Series D(a)	1,038,000	1,033,152
December 31, 2017	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, -, -
2000111001 21, 2017		

Amortized Cost

Gross Unrealized Gains

Gross Unrealized Losses

Estimated

Fair

Value

Residential mortgage-backed securities

\$

10,297

\$ 648 \$ —

\$ 10,945

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of available-for-sale debt securities are presented below by contractual maturity (in thousands, except percentage data):

	June 30	0, 2018			
	Less T	h A nfter One	After Five	After Ten	
	One	Through	Through	Years	Total
	Year	Five Years	Ten Years	rears	
Residential mortgage-backed securities:(1)					
Amortized cost	50	1,895	_	6,359	8,304
Estimated fair value	52	2,038	_	6,695	8,785
Weighted average yield(2)	5.24%	5.55 %	<u>-%</u>	3.75 %	4.17 %

Table of Contents

Weighted average yield(2)

		After One After One Through ar Five Years	After Five Through Ten Years	After Ten Years	Total
Residential mortgage-backed securities:(1)					
Amortized cost	\$409	\$ 819	\$1,502	\$7,567	\$10,297
Estimated fair value	418	916	1,636	7,975	10,945

4.59 % 6.02

Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

% 5.32

% 3.45 % 3.97

(2) Yields are calculated based on amortized cost.

As of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, we did not have any available-for-sale debt securities in an unrealized loss position.

At June 30, 2018, available-for-sale debt securities with carrying values of \$2.0 million and \$5.8 million were pledged to secure certain deposits and repurchase agreements, respectively.

Equity Securities

Equity securities consist of Community Reinvestment Act funds and investments related to our non-qualified deferred compensation plan. At June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, we had \$15.6 million and \$12.6 million, respectively, in equity securities recorded at fair value. Prior to January 1, 2018, equity securities were stated at fair value with unrealized gains and losses reported as a separate component of AOCI, net of tax. At December 31, 2017, net unrealized gains of \$10,000 had been recognized in AOCI. On January 1, 2018, these unrealized gains and losses were reclassified out of AOCI and into retained earnings with subsequent changes in fair value being recognized in other non-interest income. The following is a summary of unrealized and realized gains and losses recognized in net income on equity securities during the three and six months ended June 30, 2018 (in thousands):

	Three	Six
	months	months
	ended	ended
	June 30,	June 30,
	2018	2018
Net gains and (losses) recognized during the period on equity securities	\$ 108	\$(104)
Less: Net gains and (losses) recognized during the period on equity securities sold during the period	162	162
Unrealized gains and (losses) recognized during the reporting period on equity securities still held at the reporting date	\$ (54)	\$(266)
the reporting date		

Table of Contents

(4) LOANS HELD FOR INVESTMENT AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

At June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, loans held for investment were as follows (in thousands):

	June 30,	December 31,
	2018	2017
Commercial	\$10,189,832	\$9,189,811
Mortgage finance	5,923,058	5,308,160
Construction	2,226,590	2,166,208
Real estate	3,868,411	3,794,577
Consumer	46,652	48,684
Leases	314,575	264,903
Gross loans held for investment	22,569,118	20,772,343
Deferred income (net of direct origination costs)	(109,339)	(97,931)
Allowance for loan losses	(179,096)	(184,655)
Total loans held for investment, net	\$22,280,683	\$20,489,757

Commercial Loans and Leases. Our commercial loan portfolio is comprised of lines of credit for working capital and term loans and leases to finance equipment and other business assets. Our energy production loans are generally collateralized with proven reserves based on appropriate valuation standards and take into account the risk of oil and gas price volatility. Our commercial loans and leases are underwritten after carefully evaluating and understanding the borrower's ability to operate profitably. Our underwriting standards are designed to promote relationship banking rather than to make loans on a transaction basis. Our lines of credit typically are limited to a percentage of the value of the assets securing the line. Lines of credit and term loans typically are reviewed annually, or more frequently, as needed, and are supported by accounts receivable, inventory, equipment and other assets of our clients' businesses. Mortgage Finance Loans. Our mortgage finance loans consist of ownership interests purchased in single-family residential mortgages funded through our mortgage finance group. We have agreements with mortgage lenders and purchase interests in individual loans they originate. The ownership interests collateralizing our mortgage finance loans are typically held on our balance sheet for 10 to 20 days, and substantially all loans are conforming loans. Substantially all mortgage loans are underwritten consistently with established programs for permanent financing with financially sound investors. Balances as of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 are stated net of \$242.7 million and \$171.2 million of participations sold, respectively.

Construction Loans. Our construction loan portfolio consists primarily of single- and multi-family residential properties and commercial projects used in manufacturing, warehousing, service or retail businesses. Our construction loans generally have terms of one to three years. We typically make construction loans to developers, builders and contractors that have an established record of successful project completion and loan repayment and have a substantial equity investment in the borrowers. Loan amounts are derived primarily from the Bank's evaluation of expected cash flows available to service debt from stabilized projects under hypothetically stressed conditions. Construction loans are also based in part upon estimates of costs and value associated with the completed project. Sources of repayment for these types of loans may be permanent loans from other lenders, sales of developed property, or an interim loan commitment from us until permanent financing is obtained. The nature of these loans makes ultimate repayment sensitive to overall economic conditions. Borrowers may not be able to correct conditions of loan defaults, increasing risk of exposure to classification, non-performing status, reserve allocation and actual credit loss and foreclosure. These loans typically have floating rates and require commitment fees.

Real Estate Loans. A portion of our real estate loan portfolio is comprised of loans secured by properties other than market risk or investment-type real estate. Market risk loans are real estate loans where the primary source of repayment is expected to come from the sale, permanent financing or lease of the real property collateral. We generally provide temporary financing for commercial and residential property. These loans are viewed primarily as cash flow loans and secondarily as loans secured by real estate. Our real estate loans generally have maximum terms of five to seven years, and we provide loans with both floating and fixed rates. Real estate loans may be more adversely affected by conditions in the real estate markets or in the general economy. Appraised values may be highly

variable due to market conditions and the impact of the inability of potential purchasers and lessees to obtain financing and a lack of transactions at comparable values.

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, we had a blanket floating lien on certain real estate-secured loans, mortgage finance loans and certain investment securities used as collateral for Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings. Summary of Loan Loss Experience

The allowance for loan losses is comprised of general reserves, specific reserves for impaired loans and an additional qualitative reserve based on our estimate of losses inherent in the portfolio at the balance sheet date, but not yet identified with specified loans. We consider the allowance at June 30, 2018 to be appropriate, given management's assessment of losses inherent in the portfolio as of the evaluation date, the significant growth in the loan and lease portfolio, current economic conditions in our market areas and other factors.

The following tables summarize the credit risk profile of our loan portfolio by internally assigned grades and non-accrual status as of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

	J	une	3(),	20	1	8
--	---	-----	----	----	----	---	---

	Commercial	Mortgage Finance	Construction	Real Estate	Consumer	Leases	Total
Grade:							
Pass	\$9,945,020	\$5,923,058	\$2,211,981	\$3,778,808	\$ 46,493	\$299,825	\$22,205,185
Special mention	87,297	_	14,609	56,003	_	_	157,909
Substandard-accruing	77,929			31,057	93	13,650	122,729
Non-accrual	79,586		_	2,543	66	1,100	83,295
Total loans held for investmen	t\$10,189,832	\$5,923,058	\$2,226,590	\$3,868,411	\$ 46,652	\$314,575	\$22,569,118
December 31, 2017	Commercial	Mortgage Finance	Construction	Real Estate	Consumer	Leases	Total
Grade:							
Pass	\$8,967,471	\$5,308,160	\$2,152,654	\$3,706,541	\$ 48,591	\$249,865	\$20,433,282
Special mention	19,958		13,554	53,652	_	495	87,659
Substandard-accruing	102,651		_	32,671	93	14,543	149,958
Non-accrual	99,731	_	_	1,713	_	_	101,444
Total loans held for investmen	t\$9,189,811	\$5,308,160	\$2,166,208	\$3,794,577	\$ 48,684	\$264,903	\$20,772,343

Table of Contents

The following table details activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017. Allocation of a portion of the allowance to one category of loans does not preclude its availability to absorb losses in other categories.

June 30, 2018

(in thousands)	Commercia	ll Fina	tgage Construction nce	Real n Estate	Consume	erLeases	Additional Qualitative Reserve	
Beginning balance	\$ 118,806	\$	- \$ 19,273	\$34,287	\$ 357	\$3,542	\$ 8,390	\$184,655
Provision for loan losses	38,920	_	621	928	(205)	860	(3,511)	37,613
Charge-offs	43,972	_	_					43,972
Recoveries	680	_	_	32	68	20		800
Net charge-offs (recoveries)	43,292	_	_	(32)	(68)	(20)		43,172
Ending balance	\$ 114,434	\$	\$ 19,894 \	\$35,247	\$ 220	\$4,422	\$ 4,879	\$179,096
Period end amount allocated to:								
Loans individually evaluated for	¢ 11 770	¢	_\$	¢72	¢ 11	¢ 405	¢	¢ 10 251
impairment	\$ 11,772	\$		\$73	\$ 11	\$495	\$ <i>—</i>	\$12,351
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	102,662		19,894	35,174	209	3,927	4,879	166,745
Ending balance	\$ 114,434	\$	-\$ 19,894	\$35,247	\$ 220	\$4,422	\$ 4,879	\$179,096
June 30, 2017								
(in thousands)	Commercia	Mort Fina	tgage Construction	Real n Estate	Consume	erLeases	Additional Qualitative	
(in thousands)		ll Fina	nce Construction	n Estate			Qualitative Reserve	e Total
(in thousands) Beginning balance	\$ 128,768	u	-\$ 13,144	nEstate \$19,149	\$ 241	\$1,124	Qualitative Reserve \$ 5,700	*Total \$168,126
(in thousands) Beginning balance Provision for loan losses	\$ 128,768 19,384	ll Fina \$	nce Construction	n Estate	\$ 241 287		Qualitative Reserve	*Total \$168,126 24,217
(in thousands) Beginning balance	\$ 128,768 19,384 21,543	HFina \$ —	-\$ 13,144	Estate \$19,149 3,436	\$ 241	\$1,124	Qualitative Reserve \$ 5,700	\$168,126 24,217 21,763
(in thousands) Beginning balance Provision for loan losses Charge-offs Recoveries	\$ 128,768 19,384 21,543 3,442	HFina \$ — —	-\$ 13,144 680 - 101	ⁿ Estate \$19,149 3,436 40 53	\$ 241 287 180	\$1,124 2,989 — 8	Qualitative Reserve \$ 5,700	*168,126 24,217 21,763 3,645
(in thousands) Beginning balance Provision for loan losses Charge-offs Recoveries Net charge-offs (recoveries)	\$ 128,768 19,384 21,543 3,442 18,101	Fina: \$ — —	-\$ 13,144 680 - 101 (101)	ⁿ Estate \$19,149 3,436 40 53	\$ 241 287 180 41	\$1,124 2,989 — 8 (8)	Qualitative Reserve \$ 5,700 (2,559) — —	\$168,126 24,217 21,763 3,645 18,118
(in thousands) Beginning balance Provision for loan losses Charge-offs Recoveries	\$ 128,768 19,384 21,543 3,442	Fina: \$ — — — —	-\$ 13,144 680 - 101	ⁿ Estate \$19,149 3,436 40 53 (13)	\$ 241 287 180 41 139	\$1,124 2,989 — 8	Qualitative Reserve \$ 5,700 (2,559) — —	*168,126 24,217 21,763 3,645
(in thousands) Beginning balance Provision for loan losses Charge-offs Recoveries Net charge-offs (recoveries) Ending balance Period end amount allocated to: Loans individually evaluated for	\$ 128,768 19,384 21,543 3,442 18,101	Fina: \$ — — — —	-\$ 13,144 680 - 101 (101)	ⁿ Estate \$19,149 3,436 40 53 (13)	\$ 241 287 180 41 139	\$1,124 2,989 — 8 (8)	Qualitative Reserve \$ 5,700 (2,559) — —	\$168,126 24,217 21,763 3,645 18,118
(in thousands) Beginning balance Provision for loan losses Charge-offs Recoveries Net charge-offs (recoveries) Ending balance Period end amount allocated to: Loans individually evaluated for impairment Loans collectively evaluated for	\$ 128,768 19,384 21,543 3,442 18,101 \$ 130,051	* Fina:	-\$ 13,144 680 101 (101) -\$ 13,925	Estate \$19,149 3,436 40 53 (13) \$22,598	\$ 241 287 180 41 139 \$ 389	\$1,124 2,989 — 8 (8) \$4,121	Qualitative Reserve \$ 5,700 (2,559) — — — \$ 3,141	*168,126 24,217 21,763 3,645 18,118 \$174,225
(in thousands) Beginning balance Provision for loan losses Charge-offs Recoveries Net charge-offs (recoveries) Ending balance Period end amount allocated to: Loans individually evaluated for impairment Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 128,768 19,384 21,543 3,442 18,101 \$ 130,051 \$ 28,382 101,669	* Fina:	-\$ 13,144 680 101 (101) -\$ 13,925	nEstate \$19,149 3,436 40 53 (13) \$22,598 \$180 22,418	\$ 241 287 180 41 139 \$ 389 \$ —	\$1,124 2,989 — 8 (8) \$4,121 \$— 4,121	Qualitative Reserve \$ 5,700 (2,559) — — — — \$ 3,141 \$ — — 3,141	\$168,126 24,217 21,763 3,645 18,118 \$174,225 \$28,562
(in thousands) Beginning balance Provision for loan losses Charge-offs Recoveries Net charge-offs (recoveries) Ending balance Period end amount allocated to: Loans individually evaluated for impairment Loans collectively evaluated for	\$ 128,768 19,384 21,543 3,442 18,101 \$ 130,051 \$ 28,382 101,669 \$ 130,051	\$ —	-\$ 13,144 680 101 (101) -\$ 13,925 -\$ - 13,925	nEstate \$19,149 3,436 40 53 (13) \$22,598 \$180 22,418 \$22,598	\$ 241 287 180 41 139 \$ 389 \$ — 389 \$ 389	\$1,124 2,989 — 8 (8) \$4,121 \$— 4,121 \$4,121	Qualitative Reserve \$ 5,700 (2,559) — — — \$ 3,141 \$ — 3,141	*Total \$168,126 24,217 21,763 3,645 18,118 \$174,225 \$28,562 145,663 \$174,225

The table below presents the activity in the allowance for off-balance sheet credit losses related to unfunded commitments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 (in thousands). This allowance is recorded in other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet.

Three me	onths	Six months ended		
ended Ju	ne 30,	June 30,		
2018	2017	2018	2017	
\$9,623	\$10,847	\$9,071	\$11,422	
835	(1,642)	1,387	(2,217)	
\$10,458	\$9,205	\$10,458	\$9,205	
	ended Ju 2018 \$9,623 835	2018 2017 \$9,623 \$10,847 835 (1,642)	ended June 30, June 30, 2018 2017 2018 \$9,623 \$10,847 \$9,071	

Table of Contents

We have traditionally maintained an additional qualitative reserve component to compensate for the uncertainty and complexity in estimating loan and lease losses including factors and conditions that may not be fully reflected in the determination and application of the allowance allocation percentages. The decrease in the additional qualitative reserve at June 30, 2018 as compared to December 31, 2017 was primarily related to the resolution of remaining uncertainty regarding the impact to our loan portfolio from Hurricanes Harvey and Irma. We believe the level of additional qualitative reserves at June 30, 2018 is warranted due to uncertainties and unpredictable factors that have produced losses, including those resulting from borrowers' misstatement of financial information or inaccurate certification of collateral values. Such losses are not necessarily correlated with historical loss trends or general economic conditions. Our methodology used to calculate the allowance considers historical losses; however, the historical loss rates for specific product types or credit risk grades may not fully incorporate the effects of uncertainties or unpredictable events.

Our recorded investment in loans as of June 30, 2018, December 31, 2017 and June 30, 2017 related to each balance in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment and disaggregated on the basis of our impairment methodology was as follows (in thousands):

June 30, 2018

	Commercial	Mortgage Finance	Construction	Real Estate	Consumer	Leases	Total
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$80,171	\$—	\$—	\$2,543	\$ 66	\$1,100	\$83,880
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	10,109,661	5,923,058	2,226,590	3,865,868	46,586	313,475	22,485,238
Total	\$10,189,832	\$5,923,058	\$2,226,590	\$3,868,411	\$ 46,652	\$314,575	\$22,569,118
December 31, 2017							
	Commercial	Mortgage Finance	Construction	Real Estate	Consumer	Leases	Total
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$100,676	\$—	\$—	\$2,008	\$ <i>—</i>	\$—	\$102,684
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	9,089,135	5,308,160	2,166,208	3,792,569	48,684	264,903	20,669,659
Total	\$9,189,811	\$5,308,160	\$2,166,208	\$3,794,577	\$48,684	\$264,903	\$20,772,343
June 30, 2017							
	Commercial	Mortgage Finance	Construction	Real Estate	Consumer	Leases	Total
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$120,770	\$—	\$—	\$4,514	\$—	\$—	\$125,284
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	8,130,182	5,183,600	2,242,562	3,565,273	39,122	274,863	19,435,602
Total	\$8,250,952	\$5,183,600	\$2,242,562	\$3,569,787	\$ 39,122	\$274,863	\$19,560,886

We place loans on non-accrual when there is a clear indication that the borrower's cash flow may not be sufficient to meet payments as they become due, generally when a loan is 90 days past due. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, all previously accrued and unpaid interest is reversed. Interest income is subsequently recognized on a cash basis as long as the remaining unpaid principal amount of the loan is deemed to be fully collectible. If collectability is questionable, then cash payments are applied to principal. As of both June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, none of our non-accrual loans were earning on a cash basis. A loan is placed back on accrual status when both principal and interest are current and it is probable that we will be able to collect all amounts due (both principal and interest) according to the terms of the loan agreement.

Table of Contents

A loan held for investment is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due (both principal and interest) according to the terms of the original loan agreement. In accordance with ASC 310, Receivables, we have also included all restructured and formerly restructured loans in our impaired loan totals. The following tables detail our impaired loans, by portfolio class, as of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

June 30, 2018

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
With no related allowance recorded:					-
Commercial					
Business loans	\$ 27,521	\$52,966	\$ —	\$ 12,449	\$ 133
Energy	16,373	17,549		20,393	_
Construction					
Market risk		_	_	_	
Real estate					
Market risk	_	_			_
Commercial	1,042	1,042		1,074	_
Secured by 1-4 family	1,263	1,263		211	_
Consumer	_	_			_
Leases		_	_	_	
Total impaired loans with no allowance recorded	\$ 46,199	\$72,820	\$ —	\$ 34,127	\$ 133
With an allowance recorded:					
Commercial					
Business loans	\$ 19,038	\$19,038	\$ 5,597	\$43,537	\$ —
Energy	17,239	18,500	6,175	32,145	_
Construction					
Market risk					
Real estate					
Market risk	_	_		98	_
Commercial				166	
Secured by 1-4 family	238	238	73	138	
Consumer	66	71	11	47	
Leases	1,100	1,100	495	183	
Total impaired loans with an allowance recorded	\$ 37,681	\$38,947	\$ 12,351	\$76,314	\$ —
Combined:					
Commercial					
Business loans	\$ 46,559	\$72,004	\$ 5,597	\$55,986	\$ 133
Energy	33,612	36,049	6,175	52,538	
Construction					
Market risk	_	_			_
Real estate					
Market risk	_	_		98	_
Commercial	1,042	1,042	_	1,240	
Secured by 1-4 family	1,501	1,501	73	349	_
Consumer	66	71	11	47	_
Leases	1,100	1,100	495	183	_
Total impaired loans	\$ 83,880	\$111,767	\$ 12,351	\$ 110,441	\$ 133

Table of Contents

December 31, 2017

December 31, 2017						
	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Incor Reco	ne
With no related allowance recorded:						
Commercial						
Business loans	\$ 16,835	\$18,257	\$ —	\$ 22,964	\$	_
Energy	21,426	22,602	_	36,579	_	
Construction						
Market risk		_	_		_	
Real estate						
Market risk						
Commercial	1,096	1,096		2,166	_	
Secured by 1-4 family		_			_	
Consumer		_			_	
Leases		_			_	
Total impaired loans with no allowance recorded	\$ 39,357	\$41,955	\$ <i>-</i>	\$61,709	\$	
With an allowance recorded:						
Commercial						
Business loans	\$ 18,645	\$19,020	\$ 2,544	\$ 16,960	\$	
Energy	43,770	55,875	21,772	50,867	6	
Construction						
Market risk			_	27	_	
Real estate						
Market risk	295	295	6	485	_	
Commercial	499	499	75	166	_	
Secured by 1-4 family	118	118	20	516		
Consumer		_		33	_	
Leases		_		14	_	
Total impaired loans with an allowance recorded	\$63,327	\$75,807	\$ 24,417	\$69,068	\$	6
Combined:						
Commercial						
Business loans	\$ 35,480	\$37,277	\$ 2,544	\$39,924	\$	
Energy	65,196	78,477	21,772	87,446	6	
Construction						
Market risk			_	27	_	
Real estate						
Market risk	295	295	6	485		
Commercial	1,595	1,595	75	2,332		
Secured by 1-4 family	118	118	20	516		
Consumer	_	_	_	33		
Leases	_	_	_	14		
Total impaired loans	\$ 102,684	\$117,762	\$ 24,417	\$ 130,777	\$	6
•	,	*	•	,		

Table of Contents

Average impaired loans outstanding during the six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 totaled \$110.4 million and \$149.5 million, respectively.

The table below provides an age analysis of our loans held for investment as of June 30, 2018 (in thousands):

			Greater				
	30-59 Days	s 60-89 Days	Than 90	Total Past	Non-accrua	l Current	Total
	Past Due	Past Due	Days and	Due	Non-acciua	Current	Total
			Accruing(1))			
Commercial							
Business loans	\$ 14,114	\$ 17,188	\$ 6,203	\$ 37,505	\$ 45,974	\$8,750,741	\$8,834,220
Energy	24,900			24,900	33,612	1,297,100	1,355,612
Mortgage finance loans		_				5,923,058	5,923,058
Construction							
Market risk	489			489		2,151,838	2,152,327
Commercial		_		_		41,991	41,991
Secured by 1-4 family		1,610		1,610		30,662	32,272
Real estate							
Market risk	1,337		661	1,998		2,728,753	2,730,751
Commercial	639	_		639	1,042	814,188	815,869
Secured by 1-4 family	2,745	152	493	3,390	1,501	316,900	321,791
Consumer	2	_		2	66	46,584	46,652
Leases		_		_	1,100	313,475	314,575
Total loans held for	\$ 44,226	\$ 18,950	\$ 7,357	\$ 70,533	\$ 83,295	\$22.415.200	\$22,569,118
investment	φ 44 ,220	φ 10,930	φ 1,551	\$ 10,333	Ф 05,295	φ 44,413,490	\$22,309,110

Loans past due 90 days and still accruing includes premium finance loans of \$6.0 million. These loans are (1) generally secured by obligations of insurance carriers to refund premiums on canceled insurance policies. The refund of premiums from the insurance carriers can take 180 days or longer from the cancellation date. Restructured loans are loans on which, due to the borrower's financial difficulties, we have granted a concession that we would not otherwise consider for borrowers of similar credit quality. This may include a transfer of real estate or other assets from the borrower, a modification of loan terms, or a combination of the two. Modifications of terms that could potentially qualify as a restructuring include reduction of the contractual interest rate, extension of the maturity date at a contractual interest rate lower than the current rate for new debt with similar risk, a reduction of the face amount of debt or forgiveness of either principal or accrued interest. At June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, we did not have any loans considered restructured that were not on non-accrual. Of the non-accrual loans at June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, \$9.0 million and \$18.8 million, respectively, met the criteria for restructured. These loans had no unfunded commitments at their respective balance sheet dates. A loan continues to qualify as restructured until a consistent payment history or change in borrower's financial condition has been evidenced, generally over no less than twelve months. Assuming that the restructuring agreement specifies an interest rate at the time of the restructuring that is greater than or equal to the rate that we are willing to accept for a new extension of credit with comparable risk, then the loan no longer has to be considered a restructuring if it is in compliance with the modified terms in calendar years after the year of the restructure.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the loans that were restructured during the six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 (in thousands):

As of and for the six months ended June 30, 2018

	Number of	Balance at	Balance at
	Restructured Loans	Restructure	Period-End
Energy loans	1	\$ 1,370	\$ 1,370
Total new restructured loans	1	\$ 1,370	\$ 1,370

As of and for the six months ended June 30, 2017

	Number of	Balance at	Balance at
	Restructured Loans	Restructure	Period-End
Energy loans	1	\$ 1,070	\$ 700
Commercial business loans	1	599	672
Total new restructured loans	2	\$ 1,669	\$ 1,372

The restructured loans generally include terms to temporarily place loans on interest only, extend the payment terms or reduce the interest rate. We did not forgive any principal on the above loans. The restructuring of the loans did not have a significant impact on our allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2018 or 2017.

The following table provides information on how loans were modified as restructured during the six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 (in thousands):

Six months ended June 30, 2018 2017

Extended maturity \$— \$1,372

Adjusted payment schedule 1,370 —

Total \$1,370 \$1,372

As of June 30, 2018 and 2017, we did not have any loans that were restructured within the last 12 months that subsequently defaulted.

(5) OREO AND VALUATION ALLOWANCE FOR LOSSES ON OREO

The table below presents a summary of the activity related to OREO (in thousands):

	Three me	onths	Six months ended			
	ended Ju	ne 30,	June 30,			
	2018	2017	2018	2017		
Beginning balance	\$9,558	\$18,833	\$11,742	\$18,961		
Additions	_	_	_	_		
Sales	(32)	(144)	(216)	(272)		
Valuation allowance for OREO	_	_	(2,000)	_		
Ending balance	\$9,526	\$18,689	\$9,526	\$18,689		

When foreclosure occurs, the acquired asset is recorded at fair value less selling costs, generally based on appraised value, which may result in partial charge-off of the loan. Subsequent write-downs required for declines in value are recorded through a valuation allowance or taken directly against the asset and charged to other non-interest expense.

(6) CERTAIN TRANSFERS OF FINANCIAL ASSETS

Through our MCA business, we commit to purchase residential mortgage loans from independent correspondent lenders and deliver those loans into the secondary market via whole loan sales to independent third parties or in securitization transactions to Ginnie Mae and government sponsored entities ("GSEs") such as Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. We have elected to carry these loans at fair value based on sales commitments, market quotes or pricing models. Gains and losses on the sale of mortgage loans held for sale and changes in the fair value of the loans held for sale and related derivatives are included in other non-interest income on the consolidated statements of income and other comprehensive income. For the six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, losses totaled \$7.4 million and \$93,000, respectively.

Residential mortgage loans held for sale are subject to both credit and interest rate risk. Credit risk is managed through underwriting policies and procedures, including collateral requirements, which are generally accepted by the secondary loan markets. Exposure to interest rate fluctuations is partially mitigated through forward sales contracts, which set the price for loans that will be delivered in the next 60 to 90 days.

The table below presents the unpaid principal balance of loans held for sale and related fair values at June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

June 30, December 31, 2018 2017

Outstanding balance(1) \$1,273,889 \$1,009,271

Fair value(1) 1,275,466 1,007,695

Fair value over/(under) outstanding balance \$1,577 \$(1,576)

Does not include \$1.3 million and \$3.3 million of Small Business Administration ("SBA") loans held for sale carried at lower of cost or market as of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017.

No loans held for sale were on non-accrual as of June 30, 2018 or December 31, 2017. At June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, we had \$27.9 million and \$19.7 million, respectively, in outstanding balances of loans held for sale that were 90 days or more past due. The \$27.9 million loans held for sale that were 90 days or more past due at June 30, 2018 included \$24.1 million in loans guaranteed by U.S. government agencies that were repurchased out of Ginnie Mae securities and recorded as loans held for sale, at fair value, on the balance sheet. Interest on these past due loans accrues at the debenture rate guaranteed by the U.S. government. Also included in the \$27.9 million were \$3.1 million in loans that, pursuant to Ginnie Mae servicing guidelines, we have the unilateral right, but not the obligation, to repurchase if defined delinquent loan criteria are met, and therefore must record as loans held for sale on our balance sheet regardless of whether the repurchase option has been exercised. The comparable balances at December 31, 2017 were no loans repurchased and \$19.0 million in loans for which we have the unilateral right but not the obligation to repurchase.

The table below presents a reconciliation of the changes in loans held for sale for the six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 (in thousands):

Six months ended June 30. 2018 2017 Beginning balance(1) \$1,011,004 \$968,929 Loans purchased 3,205,483 2,843,690 Payments and loans sold(1) (2,942,872) (2,976,523) Change in fair value 9,921 3,153 Ending balance \$1,276,768 \$846,017

⁽¹⁾ Includes \$1.3 million and \$3.3 million of SBA loans held for sale carried at lower of cost or market at June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017.

We generally retain the right to service the loans sold, creating MSRs which are recorded as assets on our balance sheet. A summary of MSR activity for the six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 is as follows (in thousands):

	Six months ended		
	June 30,		
	2018	2017	
MSRs:			
Balance, beginning of year	\$88,150	\$28,536	
Capitalized servicing rights	26,656	37,343	
Amortization	(5,288)	(2,856)	
Sales	(26,742)		
Balance, end of period	\$82,776	\$63,023	
Valuation allowance:			
Balance, beginning of year	\$2,823	\$ —	
Increase (decrease) in valuation allowance	(2,823)	_	
Balance, end of period	\$ —	\$ —	
MSRs, net(1)	\$82,776	\$63,023	
MSRs, fair value	\$90,179	\$64,889	

MSRs are reported on the consolidated balance sheets at amortized cost, less a valuation allowance if the fair value (1) of identified strata, determined by interest rates, within the MSR portfolio are determined to have a fair value that is less than amortized cost.

We completed a sale of Ginnie Mae MSRs in the first quarter of 2018. In anticipation of this sale, the fair value of the MSRs at December 31, 2017 was adjusted, resulting in a \$2.8 million impairment charge taken in the fourth quarter of 2017.

At June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, our servicing portfolio of residential mortgage loans had an outstanding principal balance of \$7.1 billion and \$7.0 billion, respectively. In connection with the servicing of these loans, we hold deposits in the names of the investors who own the loans representing escrow funds for taxes and insurance, as well as collections in transit to the investors. These escrow funds are segregated and held in separate non-interest-bearing accounts at the Bank. These deposits, included in total non-interest-bearing deposits on the consolidated balance sheets, were \$84.5 million at June 30, 2018 and \$73.4 million at December 31, 2017.

The estimated fair value of the MSR assets is obtained from an independent third party and reviewed by management on a quarterly basis. MSRs typically do not trade in an active, open market with readily observable prices; as such, the fair value of MSRs is determined using a discounted cash flow model to calculate the present value of the estimated future net servicing income. The assumptions utilized in the discounted cash flow model are based on market data for comparable assets, where available. Each quarter, management and the independent third party discuss the key assumptions used in the discounted cash flow model and make adjustments as necessary to estimate the fair value of the MSRs. As of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, management used the following assumptions to determine the fair value of MSRs:

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{June} \\ 30, \\ 2018 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{December}} \\ \text{Average discount rates} \qquad 9.61\,\%\,9.90 \ \% \\ \text{Expected prepayment speeds} \qquad 9.04\,\%\,9.99 \ \% \\ \text{Weighted average life, in years} \qquad 7.5 \qquad 7.0 \end{array}$

A sensitivity analysis of changes in the fair value of our MSR portfolio resulting from certain key assumptions is presented in the following table (in thousands):

June 30, December 2018 31, 2017
50 bp adverse change in prepayment speed \$(12,184) \$(11,896) 100 bp adverse change in prepayment speed (24,683) (28,226)

These sensitivities are hypothetical and actual results may differ materially due to a number of factors. The effect on fair value of a 10% variation in assumptions generally cannot be determined with confidence because the relationship of the change in assumptions to the fair value may not be linear. Additionally, the impact of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair

value is calculated while holding other assumptions constant. In reality, changes in one factor may be correlated with changes in other factors, which could impact the sensitivity analysis as presented.

In conjunction with the sale and securitization of loans held for sale, we may be exposed to liability resulting from recourse agreements and repurchase agreements. If it is determined subsequent to our sale of a loan that the loan sold is in breach of the representations or warranties made in the applicable sale agreement, we may have an obligation to either (a) repurchase the loan for the unpaid principal balance, accrued interest and related advances, (b) indemnify the purchaser against any loss it suffers or (c) make the purchaser whole for the economic benefits of the loan. Our repurchase, indemnification and make whole obligations vary based upon the terms of the applicable agreements,

Our repurchase, indemnification and make whole obligations vary based upon the terms of the applicable agreements, the nature of the asserted breach and the status of the mortgage loan at the time a claim is made. We establish reserves for estimated losses of this nature inherent in the origination of mortgage loans by estimating the losses inherent in the population of all loans sold based on trends in claims and actual loss severities experienced. The reserve includes accruals for probable contingent losses in addition to those identified in the pipeline of claims received. The estimation process is designed to include amounts based on any actual losses experienced from actual repurchase activity.

Our estimated exposure related to loans previously sold and currently held for sale was \$1.5 million at June 30, 2018 and \$1.3 million at December 31, 2017 and is recorded in other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. We had \$148,000 in losses due to repurchase, indemnification and make-whole obligations during the six months ended June 30, 2018 and \$7,000 in losses during the six months ended June 30, 2017.

(7) FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS WITH OFF-BALANCE SHEET RISK

The Bank is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit that involve varying degrees of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The Bank's exposure to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of these instruments. The Bank uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary, is based on management's credit evaluation of the borrower.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Bank evaluates each customer's credit-worthiness on a case-by-case basis.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers.

The table below summarizes our off-balance sheet financial instruments whose contract amounts represented credit risk (in thousands):

June 30, December 2018 31, 2017

Commitments to extend credit \$7,254,475 \$6,957,847 Standby letters of credit 244,962 230,958

At June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, we had \$10.5 million and \$9.1 million, respectively, in allowance for off-balance sheet credit losses related to these off-balance sheet commitments recorded in other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.

(8) REGULATORY MATTERS

The Company and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory (and possibly additional discretionary) actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material adverse effect on the Company's

and the Bank's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Company's and the Bank's assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company's and the Bank's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Table of Contents

The Basel III regulatory capital framework (the "Basel III Capital Rules") adopted by U.S. federal regulatory authorities, among other things, (i) establishes the capital measure called "Common Equity Tier 1" ("CET1"), (ii) specifies that Tier 1 capital consist of CET1 and "Additional Tier 1 Capital" instruments meeting stated requirements, (iii) requires that most deductions/adjustments to regulatory capital measures be made to CET1 and not to other components of capital and (iv) defines the scope of the deductions/adjustments to the capital measures. The Basel III Capital Rules became effective for us on January 1, 2015 with certain transition provisions fully phasing in over a period ending on January 1, 2019.

Additionally, the Basel III Capital Rules require that we maintain a capital conservation buffer with respect to each of CET1, Tier 1 and total capital to risk-weighted assets, which provides for capital levels that exceed the minimum risk-based capital adequacy requirements. The capital conservation buffer is subject to a three year phase-in period that began on January 1, 2016 and will be fully phased in on January 1, 2019 at 2.5%. The required phase-in capital conservation buffer during 2018 is 1.875% and was 1.25% during 2017. A financial institution with a conservation buffer of less than the required amount is subject to limitations on capital distributions, including dividend payments and stock repurchases, and certain discretionary bonus payments to executive officers.

Quantitative measures established by these regulations to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of CET1, Tier 1 and total capital to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier 1 capital to average assets, each as defined in the regulations. Management believes, as of June 30, 2018, that the Company and the Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

Financial institutions are categorized as well capitalized or adequately capitalized, based on minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based, CET1 and Tier 1 leverage ratios. As shown in the table below, the Company's capital ratios exceeded the regulatory definition of adequately capitalized as of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017. Based upon the information in its most recently filed call report, the Bank met the capital ratios necessary to be well capitalized. The regulatory authorities can apply changes in classification of assets and such changes may retroactively subject the Company to changes in capital ratios. Any such changes could result in reducing one or more capital ratios below well-capitalized status. In addition, a change may result in imposition of additional assessments by the FDIC or could result in regulatory actions that could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Because our Bank had less than \$15.0 billion in total consolidated assets as of December 31, 2009, we are allowed to continue to classify our trust preferred securities, all of which were issued prior to May 19, 2010, as Tier 1 capital.

Minimum Capital

Table of Contents

The table below summarizes our actual and required capital ratios under the Basel III Capital Rules:

	Actual Required III Phase		Required - III Phase-I	Basel	Minimum capital Required - Basel III Fully Phased-In		Required to be Considered Well Capitalized	
	Capital Amount	Ratio	Capital Amount	Ratio	Capital Amount	Ratio	Capital Amount	Ratio
As of June 30, 2018:								
CET1								
Company	\$2,173,815	8.32 %	\$1,665,009	96.38%	\$1,828,245	57.00 %	N/A	N/A
Bank	2,178,607	8.35 %	1,662,918	6.38%	1,825,950	7.00 %	1,695,525	56.50 %
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)								
Company	2,902,789	11.11%	2,579,132	9.88%	2,742,368	10.50%	N/A	N/A
Bank	2,749,018	10.54%	2,575,893	9.88%	2,738,925	10.50%	2,608,500	010.00%
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)								
Company	2,431,650	9.31 %	2,056,776	7.88%	2,220,012	8.50 %	N/A	N/A
Bank	2,336,442	8.96 %	2,054,193	7.88%	2,217,225	8.50 %	2,086,800	08.00 %
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)(1)								
Company	2,431,650	9.89 %	983,476	4.00%	983,476	4.00 %	N/A	N/A
Bank	2,336,442	9.51 %	982,989	4.00%	982,989	4.00 %	1,228,736	55.00 %
As of December 31, 2017:								
CET1								
Company	\$2,033,830	08.45 %	\$1,384,448	35.75%	\$1,685,464	17.00 %	N/A	N/A
Bank	1,992,152	8.28 %	1,383,475	5.75%	1,684,231	7.00 %	1,563,929	96.50 %
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)								
Company	2,768,153	11.50%	2,227,221	9.25%	2,528,196	10.50%	N/A	N/A
Bank	2,567,961	10.67%	2,225,591	9.25%	2,526,347	10.50%	2,406,044	410.00%
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)								
Company	2,293,016	9.52 %	1,745,659	7.25%	2,046,635	8.50 %	N/A	N/A
Bank	2,151,338	8.94 %	1,744,382	7.25%	2,045,138	8.50 %	1,924,835	58.00 %
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)(1)								
Company	2,293,016	9.15 %	1,002,494	4.00%	1,002,494	4.00 %	N/A	N/A
Bank	2,151,338	8.59 %	1,002,144	4.00%	1,002,144	4.00 %	1,252,680	05.00 %
The Tier 1 capital ratio (to average assets) is not impacted by the Basel III Capital Rules; however, it should								

The Tier 1 capital ratio (to average assets) is not impacted by the Basel III Capital Rules; however, it should be noted that the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC may require the Company and the Bank, respectively, to maintain a Tier 1 capital ratio (to average assets) above the required minimum.

Our mortgage finance loan volumes can increase significantly at month end, causing a meaningful difference between ending balance and average balance for any period. At June 30, 2018, our total mortgage finance loans were \$5.9 billion compared to the average for the three months ended June 30, 2018 of \$4.9 billion. As CET1, Tier 1 and total capital ratios are calculated using quarter-end risk-weighted assets and our mortgage finance loans are 100% risk-weighted (excluding MCA mortgage loans held for sale, which receive lower risk weights), the quarter-end fluctuation in these balances can significantly impact our reported ratios. Due to the actual risk profile and liquidity of this asset class, we manage capital allocated to mortgage finance loans based on changing trends in average balances and do not believe that the quarter-end balance is representative of risk characteristics that would justify higher allocations. However, we continue to monitor our capital allocation to confirm that all capital levels remain above well-capitalized levels.

Dividends that may be paid by subsidiary banks are routinely restricted by various regulatory authorities. The amount that can be paid in any calendar year without prior approval of the Bank's regulatory agencies cannot exceed the lesser of the net profits (as defined) for that year plus the net profits for the preceding two calendar years, or retained

Table of Contents

Rules further limit the amount of dividends that may be paid by our Bank. No dividends were declared or paid on our common stock during the six months ended June 30, 2018 or 2017.

(9) STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

We have long-term incentive plans under which stock-based compensation awards are granted to employees and directors by the board of directors, or its designated committee. Grants are subject to vesting requirements and may include, among other things, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights ("SARs"), restricted stock units ("RSUs"), restricted stock and performance units, or any combination thereof. There are 2,550,000 total shares authorized for grant under the plans.

The table below summarizes our stock-based compensation expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 (in thousands):

	Three months		Six months	
	ended June 30,		ended June 30,	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Stock-settled awards:				
SARs	\$43	\$74	\$93	\$146
RSUs	2,078	1,714	3,974	3,307
Restricted stock	13	4	24	8
Cash-settled performance units	3,092	2,603	7,106	5,493
Total	\$5,226	\$4,395	\$11,197	\$8,954

(in thousands)	
Weighted average period over which expense is expected to be recognized, in years	2.9
(10) INCOME TAXES	

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the "Tax Act") enacted in December 2017 reduced the federal corporate income tax rate from 35% to 21% effective January 1, 2018. As a result of the Tax Act, we re-measured certain deferred tax assets and liabilities based on the rates at which they are expected to reverse in the future, which is generally 21%. However, we are still analyzing certain aspects of the Tax Act and refining our calculations, which could potentially affect the measurement of these balances or potentially give rise to new deferred tax amounts. The provisional amount recorded in 2017 related to the re-measurement of our deferred tax asset was \$17.6 million, and no further adjustments were made during the six months ended June 30, 2018.

The reconciliation of our total effective income tax rate to the U.S. federal statutory tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 is as follows:

	Six months		
	ended June		
	30,		
	2018 2017		
U.S. statutory rate	21 % 35 %		
State taxes	1 % 1 %		
Non-deductible expenses	s1 % — %		
Non-taxable income	(1)% (1)%		
Other	(1)% (1)%		
Effective tax rate	21 % 34 %		
(11) FAIR VALUE DISC	CHARLISO I		

(11) FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES

We determine the fair market values of our assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis using the fair value hierarchy as prescribed in ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value as provided below.

Table of Contents

Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. This category includes the assets and Level 1 liabilities related to our non-qualified deferred compensation plan where values are based on quoted market prices for identical equity securities in an active market.

Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets include agency

Level 2 mortgage-backed debt securities and Community Reinvestment Act funds. This category also includes loans held for sale and derivative assets and liabilities where values are obtained from independent pricing services using observable market data.

Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar techniques, as well as Level 3 instruments for which the determination of fair values requires significant management judgment or

Fair Value

estimation. This category includes certain loans held for sale for which fair values are determined using third party pricing models. This category also includes impaired loans and OREO where collateral values have been based on third party appraisals; however, comparative sales data typically used in appraisals may be unavailable or more subjective in certain markets due to lack of market activity.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value at June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 are as follows (in thousands):

	rair value	
	Measurement	S
	Using	
June 30, 2018	Levelvel 2	Level 3
A		3
Available-for-sale debt securities:(1)	A A O T O T	.
Residential mortgage-backed securities	\$ -\$ 8,785	\$ —
Equity securities(1)(2)	8,7 6 ,912	
Loans held for sale (3)	1,247,537	27,929
Loans held for investment(4)(6)		22,165
OREO(5)(6)		9,526
Derivative assets(7)	-21,740	
Derivative liabilities(7)	-25,516	_
Non-qualified deferred compensation plan liabilities (8)	9,1 92	_
December 31, 2017		
Available-for-sale debt securities:(1)		
Residential mortgage-backed securities	\$-\$10,945	\$ —
Equity securities(1)(2)	5,476,006	_
Loans held for sale(3)	1,007,695	_
Loans held for investment(4)(6)		21,216
OREO(5)(6)		11,742
Derivative assets(7)	— 16,719	_
Derivative liabilities(7)	— 17,377	_
Non-qualified deferred compensation plan liabilities (8)	5,5 87	

⁽¹⁾ Securities are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, generally monthly.

(3)

⁽²⁾ Equity securities consist of Community Reinvestment Act funds and investments related to our non-qualified deferred compensation plan.

Loans held for sale, excluding SBA loans which are carried at lower of cost or market, are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, generally monthly.

- (4) Includes impaired loans that have been measured for impairment at the fair value of the loan's collateral.
- (5) OREO is transferred from loans to OREO at fair value less selling costs.

Table of Contents

- (6) Loans held for investment and OREO are measured on a nonrecurring basis, generally annually or more often as warranted by market and economic conditions.
- (7) Derivative assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, generally quarterly.

 Non-qualified deferred compensation plan liabilities represent the fair value of the obligation to the employee,
- (8) which corresponds to the fair value of the invested assets, and are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, generally monthly.

Level 3 Valuations

Financial instruments are considered Level 3 when their values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar techniques and at least one significant model assumption or input is unobservable. Level 3 financial instruments include those for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation. Currently, we measure the fair value of certain loans held for sale using third party pricing models on a recurring basis, and measure certain collateral dependent impaired loans and OREO on a nonrecurring basis as described below.

Loans held for sale

The following table presents a reconciliation for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018 of loans held for sale measured at fair value on a recurring basis using Level 3 inputs (in thousands):

Net Realized/Unrealized Gains (Losses) Recorded in Earnings(1)

	Balance at Beginning of Period		Sales / Reductions	Realized	ι	Jnrealized	Balance at End of Period
Three months ended June 30, 2018 Loans held for sale	\$ 34,251	\$ 1,437	\$ (7,988)	\$ 161	\$	68	\$27,929
Six months ended June 30, 2018 Loans held for sale	\$ —	\$ 37,529	\$ (7,988)	\$ (1,680	\$	68	\$27,929

⁽¹⁾ Recorded in other non-interest income.

The fair value of loans held for sale using Level 3, or unobservable inputs, include loans that cannot be sold through normal sale channels and thus require significant management judgment or estimation when determining the fair value. The fair value of such loans is generally based upon quoted prices of comparable loans with a liquidity discount applied. At June 30, 2018, the fair value of these loans was calculated using a weighted-average discounted price of 94.3%.

Loans held for investment

At June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, certain impaired loans held for investment were reported at fair value through a specific allocation of the allowance for loan losses based upon the fair value of the underlying collateral. The \$22.2 million fair value of loans held for investment at June 30, 2018 reported above includes impaired loans held for investment with a carrying value of \$30.1 million that were reduced by specific allowance allocations totaling \$7.9 million based on collateral valuations utilizing Level 3 valuation inputs. The \$21.2 million fair value of loans held for investment at December 31, 2017 reported above includes impaired loans with a carrying value of \$32.2 million that were reduced by specific valuation allowance allocations totaling \$11.0 million based on collateral valuations utilizing Level 3 valuation inputs. Fair values were based on third party appraisals, which are Level 3 valuation inputs. OREO

Certain foreclosed assets, upon initial recognition, are recorded at fair value less estimated selling costs. At June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, OREO had a carrying value of \$11.5 million and \$11.7 million, respectively, net of a \$2.0 million specific valuation allowance at June 30, 2018 and no valuation allowance at December 31, 2017. The fair value of OREO was computed based on third party appraisals, which are Level 3 valuation inputs.

Table of Contents

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

GAAP requires disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized on the balance sheet, for which it is practical to estimate that value. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. This disclosure does not and is not intended to represent the fair value of the Company.

A summary of the carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments is as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 20	18	December 31, 2017		
	Carrying	Estimated	Carrying	Estimated	
	Amount	Fair Value	Amount	Fair Value	
Financial assets:					
Level 1 inputs:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$3,462,794	\$3,462,794	\$2,905,591	\$2,905,591	
Investment securities	8,711	8,711	5,460	5,460	
Level 2 inputs:					
Investment securities	15,697	15,697	18,051	18,051	
Loans held for sale	1,248,839	1,248,839	1,011,004	1,011,004	
Derivative assets	21,740	21,740	16,719	16,719	
Level 3 inputs:					
Loans held for sale	27,929	27,929			
Loans held for investment, net	22,280,683	22,258,008	20,489,757	20,480,802	
Financial liabilities:					
Level 2 inputs:					
Federal funds purchased	510,221	510,221	359,338	359,338	
Customer repurchase agreements	10,628	10,628	5,702	5,702	
Other borrowings	4,000,000	4,000,000	2,800,000	2,800,000	
Subordinated notes	281,586	283,268	281,406	285,485	
Derivative liabilities	25,516	25,516	17,377	17,377	
Level 3 inputs:					
Deposits	20,334,871	21,258,150	19,123,180	19,124,121	
Trust preferred subordinated debentures	113,406	113,406	113,406	113,406	

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating its fair value disclosures for financial instruments:

Investment Securities

Within the investment securities portfolio, we hold equity securities related to our non-qualified deferred compensation plan which are valued using quoted market prices for identical equity securities in an active market. These financial instruments are classified as Level 1 assets in the fair value hierarchy. The fair value of the remaining investment portfolio is based on prices obtained from independent pricing services which are based on quoted market prices for the same or similar securities, and these financial instruments are characterized as Level 2 assets in the fair value hierarchy. We have obtained documentation from our primary pricing service regarding their processes and controls applicable to pricing investment securities. In addition, on a quarterly basis we independently verify the prices that we receive from the service provider using two additional independent pricing sources. Any significant differences are investigated and resolved.

Loans Held for Sale

Fair value for loans held for sale is derived from quoted market prices for similar loans, in which case they are characterized as Level 2 assets in the fair value hierarchy, or is derived from third party pricing models, in which case they are characterized as Level 3 assets in the fair value hierarchy.

Table of Contents

Derivatives

The estimated fair value of interest rate swaps and caps is obtained from independent pricing services based on quoted market prices for similar derivative contracts and these financial instruments are characterized as Level 2 assets and liabilities in the fair value hierarchy. On a quarterly basis, we independently verify the fair value using an additional independent pricing source. Any significant differences are investigated and resolved. Foreign currency forward contracts are valued based upon quoted market prices obtained from independent pricing services for similar derivative contracts. As such, these financial instruments are characterized as Level 2 assets and liabilities in the fair value hierarchy. The derivative instruments related to the loans held for sale portfolio include loan purchase commitments and forward sales commitments. Loan purchase commitments are valued based upon the fair value of the underlying mortgage loans to be purchased, which is based on observable market data for similar loans. Forward sales commitments are valued based upon quoted market prices from brokers. As such, these loan purchase commitments and forward sales commitments are characterized as Level 2 assets or liabilities in the fair value hierarchy.

(12) DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The fair value of derivative positions outstanding is included in accrued interest receivable and other assets and other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets on a net basis when a right of offset exists, based on transactions with a single counterparty that are subject to a legally enforceable master netting agreement. We enter into interest rate derivative positions that are not designated as hedging instruments. These derivative positions relate to transactions in which we enter into an interest rate swap, cap and/or floor with a customer while at the same time entering into an offsetting interest rate swap, cap and/or floor with another financial institution. In connection with each swap transaction, we agree to pay interest to the customer on a notional amount at a variable interest rate and receive interest from the customer on a similar notional amount at a fixed interest rate. At the same time, we agree to pay another financial institution the same fixed interest rate on the same notional amount and receive the same variable interest rate on the same notional amount. The transaction allows our customer to effectively convert a variable rate loan to a fixed rate. Because we act as an intermediary for our customer, changes in the fair value of the underlying derivative contracts substantially offset each other and do not have a material impact on our results of operations.

We also enter into foreign currency forward contracts that are not designated as hedging instruments. These derivative instruments relate to transactions in which we enter into a contract with a customer to buy or sell a foreign currency at a future date for a specified price while at the same time entering into an offsetting contract with a financial institution to buy or sell the same currency at the same future date for a specified price. These transactions allow our customers to manage their exposure to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. Because we act as an intermediary for our customer, changes in the fair value of the underlying derivative instruments substantially offset each other and do not have a material impact on our results of operations.

We also enter into loan purchase commitment contracts with mortgage originators to purchase residential mortgage loans at a future date, as well as forward sales commitment contracts to sell residential mortgage loans at a future date as part of our MCA program. The objective of these transactions is to mitigate our exposure to interest rate risk associated with the purchase of mortgage loans held for sale. Any changes in fair value are recorded in other non-interest expense in the consolidated statements of income and other comprehensive income.

Table of Contents

The notional amounts and estimated fair values of interest rate derivative positions outstanding at June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 are presented in the following tables (in thousands):

				December 31, 2017 Estimated Fair Value			
	Notional	Asset	Liability	Notional	Asset	Liability	
	Amount	Derivative	Derivative	Amount	Derivative	Derivative	
Non-hedging interest rate derivatives:							
Financial institution counterparties:							
Commercial loan/lease interest rate swaps	\$1,514,867	\$ 17,079	\$6,239	\$1,393,764	\$4,736	\$ 15,482	
Commercial loan/lease interest rate caps	245,667	992	18	242,700	421	7	
Foreign currency forward contracts	53,871	1,794	58	2,466	4	69	
Customer counterparties:							
Commercial loan/lease interest rate swaps	1,514,867	6,239	17,079	1,393,764	15,482	4,736	
Commercial loan/lease interest rate caps	245,667	18	992	242,700	7	421	
Foreign currency forward contracts	53,871	58	1,794	2,466	69	4	
Economic hedging interest rate derivatives:							
Loan purchase commitments	204,057	1,089	21	253,815	635	190	
Forward sales commitments	1,361,500	_	4,844	1,086,224	_	1,103	
Gross derivatives		27,269	31,045		21,354	22,012	
Offsetting derivative assets/liabilities		(5,529)	(5,529)		(4,635)	(4,635)	
Net derivatives included in the consolidated balance sheets		\$21,740	\$ 25,516		\$ 16,719	\$ 17,377	

The weighted average received and paid interest rates for interest rate swaps outstanding at June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 were as follows:

June 30, 2018
Weighted
Average
Interest Rate
ReceiveHaid

December 31,
2017
Weighted
Average
Interest Rate
ReceiveHaid

Non-hedging interest rate swaps 3.85%~4.17%~3.59%~4.34%

The weighted average strike rate for outstanding interest rate caps was 2.38% at June 30, 2018 and 2.40% at December 31, 2017.

Our credit exposure on derivative instruments is limited to the net favorable value and interest payments by each counterparty. In some cases collateral may be required from the counterparties involved if the net value of the derivative instruments exceeds a nominal amount. Our credit exposure associated with these instruments, net of any collateral pledged, was approximately \$12.9 million at June 30, 2018 and approximately \$16.7 million at December 31, 2017. Collateral levels are monitored and adjusted on a regular basis for changes in interest rate swap and cap values, as well as for changes in the value of forward sales commitments. At June 30, 2018, we had pledged to counterparties \$6.7 million in cash collateral for these derivatives, of which \$2.8 million was included in interest-bearing deposits in other banks and \$3.9 million was included in accrued interest receivable and other assets, and counterparties had pledged to us \$11.1 million in cash collateral included in interest-bearing deposit liabilities. At December 31, 2017, we had pledged to counterparties \$15.2 million in cash collateral for these derivatives, of which \$14.0 million was included in interest-bearing deposits in other banks and \$1.2 million was included in accrued interest receivable and other assets.

We also enter into credit risk participation agreements with financial institution counterparties for interest rate swaps related to loans in which we are either a participant or a lead bank. The risk participation agreements entered into by

us as a participant bank provide credit protection to the financial institution counterparty should the borrower fail to perform on its interest rate derivative contract with that financial institution. We are party to 14 risk participation agreements where we are a participant

Table of Contents

bank having a notional amount of \$130.2 million at June 30, 2018, compared to 15 risk participation agreements having a notional amount of \$157.1 million at December 31, 2017. The maximum estimated exposure to these agreements, assuming 100% default by all obligors, was approximately \$157,000 at June 30, 2018 and \$221,000 at December 31, 2017. The fair value of these exposures was insignificant to the consolidated financial statements at both June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017. Risk participation agreements entered into by us as the lead bank provide credit protection to us should the borrower fail to perform on its interest rate derivative contract with us. We are party to 11 risk participation agreements where we are the lead bank having a notional amount of \$126.5 million at June 30, 2018, compared to 10 agreements having a notional amount of \$86.3 million at December 31, 2017.

(13) NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

ASIL 2016-13 "Financial Instruments - Credit Losses

ASU 2016-13 "Financial Instruments - Credit Losses (Topic 326)" ("ASU 2016-13") requires an entity to utilize a new impairment model known as the current expected credit loss ("CECL") model to estimate its lifetime "expected credit loss" and record an allowance that, when deducted from the amortized cost basis of the financial asset, presents the net amount expected to be collected on the financial asset. The CECL model is expected to result in more timely recognition of credit losses. ASU 2016-13 also requires new disclosures for financial assets measured at amortized cost, loans and available-for-sale debt securities. Entities will apply the standard's provisions as a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the first reporting period in which the guidance is adopted. ASU 2016-13 will be effective for us on January 1, 2020. We are evaluating the impact adoption of ASU 2016-13 will have on our consolidated financial statements and disclosures. While we are currently unable to reasonably estimate the impact of adopting ASU 2016-13, we expect that the impact of adoption could be significantly influenced by the composition, characteristics and quality of our loan portfolio as well as the prevailing economic conditions and forecasts as of the adoption date. As part of our evaluation process, we have established a steering committee and working group that includes individuals from various functional areas to assess processes, portfolio segmentation, systems requirements and needed resources to implement this new accounting standard.

ASU 2016-02 "Leases (Topic 842)" ("ASU 2016-02") requires that lessees and lessors recognize lease assets and lease liabilities on the balance sheet and disclose key information about leasing arrangements. ASU 2016-02 will be effective for us on January 1, 2019. ASU 2016-02 provides for a modified retrospective transition approach requiring lessees to recognize and measure leases on the balance sheet at the beginning of the earliest period presented with the option to elect certain practical expedients. We are currently implementing a third party software solution to assist with the accounting under the new standard. Our operating leases relate primarily to office space and bank branches. We expect recorded assets and liabilities to increase upon adoption of the standard as it relates to operating leases in which we are the lessee.

Table of Contents

QUARTERLY FINANCIAL SUMMARIES – UNAUDITED Consolidated Daily Average Balances, Average Yields and Rates (In thousands)

	For the three months ended June 30, 2018			For the three months ended June 30, 2017			
	Average	Revenue/		•	Revenue/		
	Balance	Expense	Rate	Balance	Expense	Rate	
Assets	004.744			A 6 7 0 4 0		. == ~	
Investment securities – taxable	\$24,514	\$193	3.15%	\$65,049	\$287	1.77%	
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under	166,613	745	1.79%	174,264	434	1.00%	
resale agreements	•						
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	1,498,474	6,467		2,250,330	5,824	1.04%	
Loans held for sale	1,516,047	17,026		845,623	8,235	3.91%	
Loans held for investment, mortgage finance	4,898,411	47,056		3,805,831	33,399	3.52%	
Loans held for investment(1)(2)	15,883,317	216,755		13,718,739	161,369	4.72%	
Less reserve for loan losses	189,238		_	170,957	_	_	
Loans held for investment, net	20,592,490	263,811		17,353,613	194,768	4.50%	
Total earning assets	23,798,138	288,242	4.86%	20,688,879	209,548	4.06%	
Cash and other assets	808,099			632,097			
Total assets	\$24,606,237			\$21,320,976			
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity							
Transaction deposits	\$2,889,834	\$10,295	1.43%	\$2,008,872	\$2,893	0.58%	
Savings deposits	7,784,937	25,454	1.31%	6,952,317	12,940	0.75%	
Time deposits	979,735	3,858	1.58%	455,542	700	0.62%	
Total interest-bearing deposits	11,654,506	39,607	1.36%	9,416,731	16,533	0.70%	
Other borrowings	2,113,391	10,149	1.93%	1,456,737	3,627	1.00%	
Subordinated notes	281,527	4,191	5.97%	281,167	4,191	5.98%	
Trust preferred subordinated debentures	113,406	1,193	4.22%	113,406	881	3.12%	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	14,162,830	55,140	1.56%	11,268,041	25,232	0.90%	
Demand deposits	8,017,578			7,863,402			
Other liabilities	100,074			102,653			
Stockholders' equity	2,325,755			2,086,880			
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$24,606,237			\$21,320,976			
Net interest income(2)		\$233,102			\$184,316		
Net interest margin			3.93%			3.57%	
Net interest spread			3.30%			3.16%	
Loan spread(3)			4.18%			4.04%	

⁽¹⁾ The loan averages include non-accrual loans and are stated net of unearned income.

⁽²⁾ Taxable equivalent rates used where applicable.

⁽³⁾ Yield on loans, net of reserves, less funding cost including all deposits and borrowed funds.

Table of Contents

	For the six months ended June 30, 2018			ne For the six months ended 3 30, 2017			
	Average	Revenue/	Yield/	Average	Revenue/	Yield/	
	Balance	Expense	Rate	Balance	Expense	Rate	
Assets							
Investment securities – taxable	\$24,186	\$399	3.32%	\$48,569	\$511	2.12%	
Investment securities – non-taxable(2)		_	_ %	111	3	4.85%	
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under	213,865	1,790	1 600%	225,303	964	0.86%	
resale agreements	213,803	1,790	1.09 %	223,303	904	0.80%	
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	1,898,484	15,221	1.62%	2,778,360	12,391	0.90%	
Loans held for sale	1,352,728	29,561	4.41%	954,368	17,770	3.75%	
Loans held for investment, mortgage finance	4,500,414	84,418	3.78%	3,284,594	56,504	3.47%	
Loans held for investment(1)(2)	15,655,585	412,088	5.31%	13,351,681	306,387	4.63%	
Less reserve for loan losses	186,752	_		170,143		_	
Loans held for investment, net	19,969,247	496,506	5.01%	16,466,132	362,891	4.44%	
Total earning assets	23,458,510	543,477	4.67%	20,472,843	394,530	3.89%	
Cash and other assets	802,831			619,500			
Total assets	\$24,261,341			\$21,092,343			
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity							
Transaction deposits	\$2,841,662	\$18,946	1.34%	\$2,008,638	\$5,086	0.51%	
Savings deposits	7,883,051	47,412	1.21%	6,970,929	23,423	0.68%	
Time deposits	744,363	4,951	1.34%	441,733	1,317	0.60%	
Total interest-bearing deposits	11,469,076	71,309	1.25%	9,421,300	29,826	0.64%	
Other borrowings	1,918,734	16,798	1.77%	1,395,551	5,900	0.85%	
Subordinated notes	281,482	8,382	6.00%	281,122	8,382	6.01%	
Trust preferred subordinated debentures	113,406	2,220	3.95%	113,406	1,711	3.04%	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	13,782,698	98,709	1.44%	11,211,379	45,819	0.82%	
Demand deposits	8,082,290			7,706,243			
Other liabilities	105,356			110,222			
Stockholders' equity	2,290,997			2,064,499			
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$24,261,341			\$21,092,343			
Net interest income(2)		\$444,768			\$348,711		
Net interest margin			3.82%			3.43%	
Net interest spread			3.23%			3.07%	
Loan spread(3)			4.15%			4.02%	

⁽¹⁾ The loan averages include non-accrual loans and are stated net of unearned income.

⁽²⁾ Taxable equivalent rates used where applicable.

⁽³⁾ Yield on loans, net of reserves, less funding cost including all deposits and borrowed funds.

Table of Contents

ITEM MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements and financial analysis contained in this report that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of federal securities laws. Forward-looking statements may also be contained in our future filings with SEC, in press releases and in oral and written statements made by us or with our approval that are not statements of historical fact. These forward-looking statements are based on our beliefs, assumptions and expectations of our future performance taking into account all information currently available to us.

Words such as "believes," "expects," "estimates," "anticipates," "plans," "goals," "objectives," "expects," "intends," "seeks," "targeted," "continue," "remain," "will," "should," "may" and other similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements but are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements.

Forward-looking statements may include, among other things, statements about the credit quality of our loan portfolio, economic conditions, including the continued impact on our customers from declines and volatility in oil and gas prices, expectations regarding rates of default or loan losses, volatility in the mortgage industry, our business strategies and our expectations about future financial performance, future growth and earnings, the appropriateness of our allowance for loan losses and provision for credit losses, the impact of increased regulatory requirements on our business, increased competition, interest rate risk, new lines of business, new product or service offerings and new technologies.

Forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties, which change over time, are based on management's expectations and assumptions at the time the statements are made and are not guarantees of future results. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the following:

Deterioration of the credit quality of our loan portfolio or declines in the value of collateral related to external factors such as commodity prices, real estate values or interest rates, increased default rates and loan losses or adverse changes in the industry concentrations of our loan portfolio.

Changing economic conditions or other developments adversely affecting our commercial, entrepreneurial and professional customers.

Changes in the value of commercial and residential real estate securing our loans or in the demand for credit to support the purchase and ownership of such assets.

Adverse economic conditions and other factors affecting our middle market customers and their ability to continue to meet their loan obligations.

Unanticipated effects from the Tax Act may limit its benefits or adversely impact our business, which could include decreased demand for borrowing by our middle market customers or increased price competition that offsets the benefits of decreased federal income tax expense.

The failure to correctly assess and model the assumptions supporting our allowance for loan losses, causing it to become inadequate in the event of deteriorations in loan quality and increases in charge-offs.

Changes in the U.S. economy in general or the Texas economy specifically resulting in deterioration of credit quality, increases in non-performing assets or charge-offs or reduced demand for credit or other financial services we offer, including the effects from declines in the level of drilling and production related to the continued volatility in oil and gas prices.

Adverse changes in economic or market conditions, in Texas, the United States or internationally, that could affect the credit quality of our loan portfolio or our operating performance.

Unexpected market conditions or regulatory changes that could cause access to capital market transactions and other sources of funding to become more difficult to obtain on terms and conditions that are acceptable to us.

The inadequacy of our available funds to meet our deposit, debt and other obligations as they become due, or our failure to maintain our capital ratios as a result of adverse changes in our operating performance or financial condition, or changes in applicable regulations or regulator interpretation of regulations impacting our business or the characterization or risk weight of our assets.

The failure to effectively balance our funding sources with cash demands by depositors and borrowers.

The failure to manage information systems risk or to prevent cyber-attacks against us, our customers or our third party vendors, or to manage risks from disruptions or security breaches affecting us, our customers or our third party vendors.

Table of Contents

The failure to effectively manage our interest rate risk resulting from unexpectedly large or sudden changes in interest rates or rate or maturity imbalances in our assets and liabilities, and potential adverse effects to our borrowers including their inability to repay loans with increased interest rates.

Legislative and regulatory changes imposing further restrictions and costs on our business, a failure to remain well capitalized or well managed status or regulatory enforcement actions against us, and uncertainty related to future implementation and enforcement of regulatory requirements resulting from the current political environment. The failure to successfully execute our business strategy, which may include expanding into new markets, developing and launching new lines of business or new products and services within the expected timeframes and budgets or to successfully manage the risks related to the development and implementation of these new businesses, products or services.

The failure to attract and retain key personnel or the loss of key individuals or groups of employees.

Increased or more effective competition from banks and other financial service providers in our markets.

Structural changes in the markets for origination, sale and servicing of residential mortgages.

Uncertainty in the pricing of mortgage loans that we purchase, and later sell or securitize, as well as competition for the MSRs related to these loans and related interest rate risk or price risk resulting from retaining MSRs, and the potential effects of higher interest rates on our MCA loan volumes.

• Material failures of our accounting estimates and risk management processes based on management judgment, or the supporting analytical and forecasting models.

Failure of our risk management strategies and procedures, including failure or circumvention of our controls.

Credit risk resulting from our exposure to counterparties.

An increase in the incidence or severity of fraud, illegal payments, security breaches and other illegal acts impacting our Bank and our customers.

The failure to maintain adequate regulatory capital to support our business.

Unavailability of funds obtained from borrowing or capital transactions or from our Bank to fund our obligations. Incurrence of material costs and liabilities associated with legal and regulatory proceedings and related matters with respect to the financial services industry, including those directly involving us or our Bank.

Environmental liability associated with properties related to our lending activities.

Severe weather, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism and other external events.

Actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what is expressed in our forward-looking statements and from our historical financial results due to the factors discussed elsewhere in this report or disclosed in our other SEC filings. Forward-looking statements included herein speak only as of the date hereof and should not be relied upon as representing our expectations or beliefs as of any date subsequent to the date of this report. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to revise any forward-looking statements contained in this report, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. The factors discussed herein are not intended to be a complete summary of all risks and uncertainties that may affect our businesses. For a more detailed discussion of these and other factors that may affect our business, see "Risk Factors" in the 2017 Form 10-K and other filings we have made with the SEC. Though we strive to monitor and mitigate risk, we cannot anticipate all potential economic, operational and financial developments that may adversely impact our operations and our financial results. Forward-looking statements should not be viewed as predictions and should not be the primary basis upon which investors evaluate an investment in our securities.

Overview of Our Business Operations

We commenced our banking operations in December 1998. An important aspect of our growth strategy has been our ability to service and manage effectively a large number of loans and deposit accounts in multiple markets in Texas, as well as several lines of business serving a regional or national clientele of commercial borrowers. Accordingly, we have created an operations infrastructure sufficient to support our lending and banking operations that we continue to build out as needed to serve a larger customer base and specialized industries.

The following discussion and analysis presents the significant factors affecting our financial condition as of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 and results of operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2018 and 2017. This

discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes to the financial statements appearing in Part I, Item 1 of this report.

Results of Operations

Summary of Performance

We reported net income of \$71.4 million and net income available to common stockholders of \$69.0 million, or \$1.38 per diluted common share, for the second guarter of 2018 compared to net income of \$51.1 million and net income available to common stockholders of \$48.7 million, or \$0.97 per diluted common share, for the second quarter of 2017. Return on average common equity ("ROE") was 12.72% and return on average assets ("ROA") was 1.16% for the second quarter of 2018, compared to 10.08% and 0.96%, respectively, for the second quarter of 2017. The increase in ROE and ROA for the quarter resulted primarily from an increase in net interest income and decrease in income tax expense caused by a decrease in income tax rates as a result of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the "Tax Act") which became effective on January 1, 2018, offset by increases in the provision for credit losses and non-interest expense. Net income and net income available to common stockholders for the six months ended June 30, 2018 totaled \$143.4 million and \$138.5 million, respectively, or \$2.76 per diluted common share, compared to net income and net income available to common stockholders of \$93.6 million and \$88.8 million, respectively, or \$1.77 per diluted common share, for the same period in 2017. ROE was 13.05% and ROA was 1.19% for the six months ended June 30, 2018 compared to 9.35% and 0.90%, respectively, for the same period in 2017. The increase in ROE and ROA for the first half of 2018 resulted primarily from an increase in net interest income and a decrease in income tax expense caused by a decline in income tax rates as a result of the Tax Act, offset by increases in the provision for credit losses and non-interest expense.

Net income increased \$20.3 million, or 40%, for the three months ended June 30, 2018, as compared to the same period in 2017. The increase was primarily the result of a \$48.8 million increase in net interest income and a \$7.4 million decrease in income tax expense, offset by a \$14.0 million increase in the provision for credit losses, and a \$20.3 million increase in non-interest expense. Net income increased \$49.7 million, or 53% for the six months ended June 30, 2018, as compared to the same period in 2017. The increase was primarily the result of a \$95.7 million increase in net interest income and a \$10.9 million decrease in income tax expense, offset by a \$17.0 million increase in the provision for credit losses and a \$41.2 million increase in non-interest expense.

Details of the changes in the various components of net income are discussed below.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income was \$231.7 million for the second quarter of 2018, compared to \$183.0 million for the second quarter of 2017. The increase was due to an increase in average earning assets of \$3.1 billion as compared to the second quarter of 2017, as well as the effect of increases in interest rates on loan yields. The increase in average earning assets included a \$670.4 million increase in average loans held for sale and a \$3.2 billion increase in average net loans held for investment, offset by a \$40.5 million decrease in average investment securities and a \$759.5 million decrease in average liquidity assets. For the quarter ended June 30, 2018, average net loans held for investment, liquidity assets and loans held for sale represented approximately 87%, 7% and 6%, respectively, of average earning assets compared to approximately 85%, 11% and 4% for the same quarter of 2017.

Average interest-bearing liabilities for the quarter ended June 30, 2018 increased \$2.9 billion from the second quarter of 2017, which included a \$2.2 billion increase in average interest-bearing deposits and a \$656.7 million increase in other borrowings. Average demand deposits were \$8.0 billion for the quarter ended June 30, 2018, compared to \$7.9 billion for the same period of 2017. The average cost of total deposits and borrowed funds increased to 0.92% for the second quarter of 2018 compared to 0.43% for the same period of 2017. The cost of interest-bearing liabilities increased from 0.90% for the quarter ended June 30, 2017 to 1.56% for the same period of 2018.

Table of Contents

Net interest income was \$442.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2018, compared to \$346.3 million for the same period of 2017. The increase was due to an increase in average earning assets of \$3.0 billion as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2017, as well as the effect of increases in interest rates on loan yields. The increase in average earning assets included a \$398.4 million increase in average loans held for sale and a \$3.5 billion increase in average net loans held for investment, offset by a \$24.5 million decrease in average securities and a \$891.3 million decrease in average liquidity assets. For the six months ended June 30, 2018, average net loans held for investment, liquidity assets and loans held for sale represented approximately 85%, 9% and 6%, respectively, of average earning assets compared to approximately 80%, 15% and 5% for the same period of 2017.

Average interest-bearing liabilities for the six months ended June 30, 2018 increased \$2.6 billion from the same period of 2017, which included a \$2.0 billion increase in average interest-bearing deposits and a \$523.2 million increase in other borrowings. Average demand deposits increased from \$7.7 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2017 to \$8.1 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2018. The average cost of total deposits and borrowed funds increased to 0.83% for the six months ended June 30, 2018 compared to 0.39% for the same period of 2017. The cost of interest-bearing liabilities increased from 0.82% for the six months ended June 30, 2017 to 1.44% for the same period of 2018.

The following table (in thousands) presents changes in taxable-equivalent net interest income between the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 and identifies the changes due to differences in the average volume of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and changes due to differences in the average interest rate on those assets and liabilities.

				Six months ended			
	June 30, 2	2018/2017		June 30, 2018/2017			
	Net	Change I	Oue To(1)	Net	Change I	Oue To(1)	
	Change	Volume	Yield/Rate(2)Change	Volume	Yield/Rate(2)	
Interest income:							
Investment securities	\$(94)	\$(179)	\$ 85	\$(115)	\$(237)	\$ 122	
Loans held for sale	8,791	6,535	2,256	11,791	7,638	4,153	
Loans held for investment, mortgage finance loans	13,657	9,588	4,069	27,914	20,826	7,088	
Loans held for investment	55,386	25,472	29,914	105,701	52,780	52,921	
Federal funds sold and securities purchased unde resale agreements	^r 311	(19)	330	826	(48)	874	
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	643	(1,949)	2,592	2,830	(3,940)	6,770	
Total	78,694	39,448	39,246	148,947	77,019	71,928	
Interest expense:							
Transaction deposits	7,402	1,274	6,128	13,860	2,125	11,735	
Savings deposits	12,514	1,557	10,957	23,989	3,050	20,939	
Time deposits	3,158	810	2,348	3,634	924	2,710	
Other borrowings	6,522	1,637	4,885	10,898	2,298	8,600	
Long-term debt	312	5	307	509	10	499	
Total	29,908	5,283	24,625	52,890	8,407	44,483	
Net interest income	\$48,786	\$34,165	\$ 14,621	\$96,057	\$68,612	\$ 27,445	

⁽¹⁾ Yield/rate and volume variances are allocated to yield/rate.

Net interest margin, which is defined as the ratio of net interest income to average earning assets, was 3.93% for the second quarter of 2018 compared to 3.57% for the second quarter of 2017. The year-over-year increase was due primarily to the increase in total loans held for investment, improved asset composition and the effect of increases in interest rates on loan yields attributable to our asset-sensitive balance sheet. The yield on total loans held for investment increased to 5.14% for the second quarter of 2018 compared to 4.50% for the second quarter of 2017 and

⁽²⁾ Taxable equivalent rates are used where applicable.

the yield on earning assets increased to 4.86% for the second quarter of 2018 compared to 4.06% for the second quarter of 2017. Funding costs, including demand deposits and borrowed funds, increased to 0.92% for the second quarter of 2018 compared to 0.43% for the second quarter of 2017. The spread on total earning assets, net of the cost of deposits and borrowed funds, was 3.94% for the second quarter of 2018

Table of Contents

compared to 3.63% for the second quarter of 2017. The increase resulted primarily from increases in interest rates and increases in the higher yielding loan components of earning assets. Total funding costs, including all deposits, long-term debt and stockholders' equity, increased to 0.90% for the second quarter of 2018 compared to 0.48% for the second quarter of 2017.

Non-interest Income

The components of non-interest income were as follows (in thousands):

Three mo	nths	Six mon	ths ended
ended Jur	ne 30,	June 30,	
2018	2017	2018	2017
\$3,005	\$3,067	\$6,142	\$6,112
2,007	1,402	3,931	2,759
657	481	1,316	947
5,815	5,809	10,983	11,487
4,967	3,700	10,459	5,901
1,352	954	2,914	2,757
(524)	3,356	1,481	5,916
\$17,279	\$18,769	\$37,226	\$35,879
	ended Jur 2018 \$3,005 2,007 657 5,815 4,967 1,352 (524)	\$3,005 \$3,067 2,007 1,402 657 481 5,815 5,809 4,967 3,700 1,352 954 (524) 3,356	ended June 30, June 30, 2018 2017 2018 \$3,005 \$3,067 \$6,142 2,007 1,402 3,931 657 481 1,316 5,815 5,809 10,983 4,967 3,700 10,459 1,352 954 2,914 (524) 3,356 1,481

Non-interest income decreased \$1.5 million during the three months ended June 30, 2018 compared to the same period of 2017. This decrease was primarily due to a \$3.9 million decrease in other non-interest income attributable to a decrease in gain on sale of MCA loans, offset by a \$1.3 million increase in servicing income attributable to an increase in MSRs.

Non-interest income increased \$1.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2018 compared to the same period of 2017. This increase was primarily due to a \$4.6 million increase in servicing income attributable to an increase in MSRs as well as a \$1.2 million increase in wealth management and trust fee income due to an increase in assets under management. These increases were offset by a \$4.4 million decrease in other non-interest income compared to the same period of 2017 primarily attributable to a decrease in gain on sale of MCA loans.

While management expects continued growth in certain components of non-interest income, the future rate of growth could be affected by increased competition from nationwide and regional financial institutions among other factors. In order to achieve growth in non-interest income, management from time to time evaluates new products, new lines of business and the expansion of existing lines of business. Any new product introduction or new market entry could place additional demands on capital and managerial resources.

Non-interest Expense

The components of non-interest expense were as follows (in thousands):

Three months ended Six months ended				
June 30,		June 30,		
2018	2017	2018	2017	
\$72,404	\$63,154	\$144,941	\$126,157	
7,356	6,515	14,590	12,626	
10,236	6,157	18,913	11,107	
11,654	7,127	19,184	14,580	
7,143	11,906	13,776	18,412	
6,257	4,603	12,360	10,597	
4,367	2,682	8,172	4,432	
176	71	2,331	210	
12,538	9,599	24,824	19,787	
\$132,131	\$111,814	\$259,091	\$217,908	
	June 30, 2018 \$72,404 7,356 10,236 11,654 7,143 6,257 4,367 176 12,538	June 30, 2018 2017 \$72,404 \$63,154 7,356 6,515 10,236 6,157 11,654 7,127 7,143 11,906 6,257 4,603 4,367 2,682 176 71 12,538 9,599	June 30, June 30, 2018 2017 2018 \$72,404 \$63,154 \$144,941 7,356 6,515 14,590 10,236 6,157 18,913 11,654 7,127 19,184 7,143 11,906 13,776 6,257 4,603 12,360 4,367 2,682 8,172 176 71 2,331	

Other expense includes such items as courier expenses, regulatory assessments other than FDIC insurance, due from bank charges and other general operating expenses, none of which account for 1% or more of total interest income and non-interest income.

Table of Contents

Non-interest expense for the second quarter of 2018 increased \$20.3 million, or 18%, to \$132.1 million from \$111.8 million in the second quarter of 2017. The increase is primarily due to increases in salaries and employee benefits, marketing, legal and professional, FDIC insurance assessment and other non-interest expenses, all of which were attributable to general business growth. Servicing related expenses for the second quarter of 2018 increased \$1.7 million compared to the second quarter of 2017 primarily due to an increase in MSRs, which are being amortized. Offsetting these increases was a \$4.8 million decrease in communications and technology expense primarily related to a technology write-off taken in the second quarter of 2017.

Non-interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2018 increased \$41.2 million, or 19%, to \$259.1 million from \$217.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017. The increase is primarily due to increases in salaries and employee benefits, net occupancy expense, marketing, legal and professional, FDIC insurance assessment and other non-interest expenses, all of which were attributable to general business growth. Servicing related expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2018 increased \$3.7 million compared to the six months ended June 30, 2017 primarily due to an increase in MSRs, which are being amortized. We also recorded a \$2.0 million OREO valuation allowance during the six months ended June 30, 2018. Offsetting these increases was a \$4.6 million decrease in communications and technology expense primarily related to a technology write-off taken in the second quarter of 2017. Analysis of Financial Condition

Loans Held for Investment

Loans were as follows as of the dates indicated (in thousands):

	June 30,	December 31,
	2018	2017
Commercial	\$10,189,832	\$9,189,811
Mortgage finance	5,923,058	5,308,160
Construction	2,226,590	2,166,208
Real estate	3,868,411	3,794,577
Consumer	46,652	48,684
Leases	314,575	264,903
Gross loans held for investment	22,569,118	20,772,343
Deferred income (net of direct origination costs)	(109,339)	(97,931)
Allowance for loan losses	(179,096)	(184,655)
Total loans held for investment, net	\$22,280,683	\$20,489,757

Our business plan focuses primarily on lending to middle market businesses and successful professionals and entrepreneurs, and as such, commercial, real estate and construction loans have comprised a majority of our loan portfolio. Consumer loans generally have represented 1% or less of the portfolio. Mortgage finance loans relate to our mortgage warehouse lending operations in which we purchase mortgage loan ownership interests that are typically sold within 10 to 20 days. Volumes fluctuate based on the level of market demand for the product and the number of days between purchase and sale of the loans, as well as overall market interest rates and tend to peak at the end of each month.

We originate a substantial majority of all loans held for investment, excluding mortgage finance loans. We also participate in syndicated loan relationships, both as a participant and as an agent. As of June 30, 2018, we had \$3.0 billion in syndicated loans, \$1.1 billion of which we administer as agent. All syndicated loans, whether we act as agent or participant, are underwritten to the same standards as all other loans we originate. As of June 30, 2018, \$36.0 million of our syndicated loans were on non-accrual.

Portfolio Geographic Concentration

More than 50% of our loan exposure is outside of Texas and more than 50% of our deposits are sourced outside of Texas, However, as of June 30, 2018, a majority of our loans held for investment, excluding our mortgage finance loans and other national lines of business, were to businesses with headquarters and operations in Texas. This geographic concentration subjects the loan portfolio to the general economic conditions within this area. We also make loans to these customers that are secured by assets located outside of Texas. The risks created by this concentration have been considered by management in the determination of the appropriateness of the allowance for loan losses.

Table of Contents

Summary of Loan Loss Experience

The provision for credit losses, which includes a provision for losses on unfunded commitments, is a charge to earnings to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level consistent with management's assessment of the collectability of the loan portfolio in light of current economic conditions and market trends. We recorded a provision for credit losses of \$27.0 million during the second quarter of 2018 compared to \$13.0 million in the second quarter of 2017 and \$12.0 million in the first quarter of 2018. The increase in provision recorded during the second quarter of 2018 compared to the same period in 2017 was primarily related to loan growth, as well as credit deterioration in four loans, all of which were identified as non-accrual loans as of March 31, 2018.

The allowance for credit losses, including the allowance for losses on unfunded commitments reported on the consolidated balance sheet in other liabilities, totaled \$189.6 million at June 30, 2018, \$193.7 million at December 31, 2017 and \$183.4 million at June 30, 2017. The combined allowance as a percentage of loans held for investment excluding mortgage finance loans decreased to 1.15% at June 30, 2018 from 1.26% at December 31, 2017 and 1.28% at June 30, 2017 as a result of strong loan growth and overall positive trends in the credit quality of our portfolio. The allowance for credit losses results from consistent application of our loan loss reserve methodology. At June 30, 2018, we believe the allowance is appropriate and has been derived from consistent application of our methodology. Should any of the factors considered by management in evaluating the appropriateness of the allowance for loan losses change, our estimate of inherent losses in the portfolio could also change, which would affect the level of future provisions for loan losses.

Table of Contents

Activity in the allowance for loan losses is presented in the following table (in thousands, except percentage and multiple data):

	Six mont ended June 30, 2018		Year ender December 2017		Six montended June 30, 2017	
Allowance for loan losses:						
Beginning balance	\$184,655	5	\$ 168,126		\$168,120	6
Loans charged-off:						
Commercial	43,972		34,145		21,543	
Construction			59			
Real estate			290		40	
Consumer			180		180	
Leases						
Total charge-offs	43,972		34,674		21,763	
Recoveries:						
Commercial	680		4,593		3,442	
Construction			104		101	
Real estate	32		75		53	
Consumer	68		70		41	
Leases	20		10		8	
Total recoveries	800		4,852		3,645	
Net charge-offs	43,172		29,822		18,118	
Provision for loan losses	37,613		46,351		24,217	
Ending balance	\$179,096	5	\$ 184,655		\$174,225	5
Allowance for off-balance sheet credit losses:			•			
Beginning balance	\$9,071		\$11,422		\$11,422	
Provision for off-balance sheet credit losses	1,387		(2,351)	(0.04-)
Ending balance	\$10,458		\$9,071		\$9,205	
Total allowance for credit losses	\$189,554	ŀ	\$ 193,726		\$183,430	0
Total provision for credit losses	\$39,000		\$44,000		\$22,000	
Allowance for loan losses to LHI	0.80	%	0.89	%	0.90	%
Allowance for loan losses to LHI excluding mortgage finance loans	1.08	%	1.20	%	1.22	%
Net charge-offs to average LHI(1)	0.43	%	0.16	%	0.22	%
Net charge-offs to average LHI excluding mortgage finance loans(1)	0.56	%	0.21	%	0.27	%
Total provision for credit losses to average LHI(1)	0.39	%	0.24	%	0.27	%
Total provision for credit losses to average LHI excluding mortgage finance	0.50	~	0.21	~	0.22	~
loans(1)	0.50	%	0.31	%	0.33	%
Recoveries to total charge-offs	1.82	%	13.99	%	16.83	%
Allowance for off-balance sheet credit losses to off-balance sheet credit						
commitments	0.14	%	0.13	%	0.14	%
Total allowance for credit losses to LHI	0.84	%	0.94	%	0.94	%
Total allowance for credit losses to LHI excluding mortgage finance loans	1.15		1.26		1.28	%
Allowance for loan losses to non-accrual loans	2.2x		1.8x		1.4x	

⁽¹⁾ Interim period ratios are annualized.

Table of Contents

Non-performing Assets

Non-performing assets include non-accrual loans and leases and repossessed assets. The table below summarizes our non-accrual loans by type and by type of property securing the credit and OREO (in thousands):

	-	December 31,	June 30,
	2018	2017	2017
Non-accrual loans:(1)			
Commercial			
Oil and gas properties		\$ 64,192	\$78,824
Assets of the borrowers	18,973	7,571	15,305
Inventory	24,829	24,399	23,297
Other	2,172	3,569	2,142
Total commercial	79,586	99,731	119,568
Real estate			
Commercial property	1,042	1,096	2,591
Unimproved land and/or developed residential lots	_		_
Single family residences	1,263	_	1,294
Other	238	617	277
Total real estate	2,543	1,713	4,162
Consumer	66		_
Leases	1,100		_
Total non-accrual loans	83,295	101,444	123,730
Repossessed assets:			
OREO(2)	9,526	11,742	18,689
Other repossessed assets		_	
Total non-performing assets	\$92,821	\$ 113,186	\$142,419
Loans held for investment past due 90 days and accruing(3)	\$7,357	\$ 8,429	\$11,077
•			
Loans held for sale past due 90 days and accruing(4)	\$27,858	\$ 19,737	\$

- (1) As of June 30, 2018, December 31, 2017 and June 30, 2017, non-accrual loans included \$9.0 million, \$18.8 million and \$16.7 million, respectively, in loans that met the criteria for restructured.
- (2) At June 30, 2018, there was a \$2.0 million valuation allowance recorded against the OREO balance, compared to none at December 31, 2017 and June 30, 2017.
- (3) At June 30, 2018, December 31, 2017 and June 30, 2017, loans past due 90 days and still accruing include premium finance loans of \$6.0 million, \$5.5 million and \$6.3 million, respectively.

 Includes loans guaranteed by U.S. government agencies that were repurchased out of Ginnie Mae securities. Loans are recorded as loans held for sale and carried at fair value on the balance sheet. Interest on these past due loans
- (4) accrues at the debenture rate guaranteed by the U.S. government. Also includes loans that, pursuant to Ginnie Mae servicing guidelines, we have the unilateral right, but not the obligation, to repurchase if defined delinquent loan criteria are met and therefore must record as loans held for sale on our balance sheet regardless of whether the repurchase option has been exercised.

Total non-performing loans at June 30, 2018 decreased \$40.4 million from June 30, 2017 and decreased \$18.1 million from December 31, 2017, primarily related to the charge-off of four loans in the second quarter of 2018. One of the loans is energy-related, two are leveraged health care and one is general commercial and industrial.

Potential problem loans consist of loans that are performing in accordance with contractual terms but for which we have concerns about the borrower's ability to comply with repayment terms because of the borrower's potential financial difficulties. We monitor these loans closely and review their performance on a regular basis. At June 30, 2018, we had \$27.4 million in loans of this type, compared to \$49.1 million at December 31, 2017, which were not

included in either non-accrual or 90 days past due categories.

Table of Contents

Loans Held for Sale

Through our MCA program, we commit to purchase residential mortgage loans from independent correspondent lenders and deliver those loans into the secondary market via whole loan sales to independent third parties or in securitization transactions to Ginnie Mae and GSEs such as Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. For additional information on our loans held for sale portfolio, see Note 6 - Certain Transfers of Financial Assets in the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

In general terms, liquidity is a measurement of our ability to meet our cash needs. Our objective in managing our liquidity is to maintain our ability to meet loan commitments, purchase investment securities or repay deposits and other liabilities in accordance with their terms, without an adverse impact on our current or future earnings. Our liquidity strategy is guided by policies, formulated and monitored by our senior management and our Balance Sheet Management Committee ("BSMC"), which take into account the demonstrated marketability of assets, the sources and stability of our funding and the level of unfunded commitments. We regularly evaluate all of our various funding sources with an emphasis on accessibility, stability, reliability and cost effectiveness. For the year ended December 31, 2017 and for the six months ended June 30, 2018 our principal source of funding has been our customer deposits, supplemented by our short-term and long-term borrowings, primarily from Federal funds purchased and Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") borrowings, which are generally used to fund mortgage finance assets. Liquidity assets were \$3.3 billion at June 30, 2018, and continue to be significant as a result of deposit growth and increases in borrowing capacity related to our mortgage finance loans. The following table summarizes the composition of liquidity assets (in thousands):

	June 30,	December 31,	June 30,	
	2018	2017	2017	
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	\$39,000	\$30,000	\$25,000	
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	3,249,107	2,697,581	2,117,658	
Total liquidity assets	\$3,288,107	\$2,727,581	,727,581 \$2,142,658	
Total liquidity assets as a percent of:				
Total loans held for investment, excluding mortgage finance loans	19.9 %	17.8 %	15.0	%
Total loans held for investment	14.6 %	13.2 %	11.0	%
Total earning assets	12.2 %	11.2 %	9.6	%
Total deposits	16.2 %	14.3 %	12.4	%

Our liquidity needs to support growth in loans held for investment have been fulfilled primarily through growth in our core customer deposits. Our goal is to obtain as much of our funding for loans held for investment and other earning assets as possible from deposits of these core customers. These deposits are generated principally through development of long-term relationships with customers, with a significant focus on treasury management products. In addition to deposits from our core customers, we also have access to deposits through brokered customer relationships. For regulatory purposes, these relationship brokered deposits are categorized as brokered deposits; however, since these deposits arise from a customer relationship, which involves extensive treasury services, we consider these deposits to be core deposits for our reporting purposes.

Table of Contents

We also have access to incremental deposits through brokered retail certificates of deposit, or CDs. These traditional brokered deposits are generally of short maturities, 30 to 90 days, and are used to fund temporary differences in the growth in loan balances, including growth in loans held for sale or other specific categories of loans as compared to customer deposits. The following table summarizes our period-end and average year-to-date core customer deposits, relationship brokered deposits and traditional brokered deposits (in millions):

	June 30,		December 31,		June 30,	
	2018		2017		2017	
Deposits from core customers	\$17,404.4	ļ	\$17,100.8		\$15,766.	1
Deposits from core customers as a percent of total deposits	85.6	%	89.4	%	91.2	%
Relationship brokered deposits	\$1,902.3		\$2,022.4		\$1,526.1	
Relationship brokered deposits as a percent of total deposits	9.3	%	10.6	%	8.8	%
Traditional brokered deposits	\$1,028.2		\$ —		\$ —	
Traditional brokered deposits as a percent of total deposits	5.1	%	_	%		%
Average deposits from core customers(1)	\$17,406.2	2	\$16,806.9		\$15,700.8	8
Average deposits from core customers as a percent of total quarterly average deposits(1)	89.0	%	91.1	%	91.7	%
Average relationship brokered deposits(1)	\$1,891.6		\$1,647.0		\$1,426.8	
Average relationship brokered deposits as a percent of total quarterly average deposits(1)	9.7	%	8.9	%	8.3	%
Average traditional brokered deposits(1)	\$253.6		\$ —		\$ —	
Average traditional brokered deposits as a percent of total quarterly average deposits(1)	1.3	%		%	_	%

⁽¹⁾ Annual averages presented for December 31, 2017.

We have access to sources of traditional brokered deposits that we estimate to be \$3.0 billion. Based on our internal guidelines, we have chosen to limit our use of these sources to a lesser amount. Customer deposits (total deposits, including relationship brokered deposits, minus brokered CDs) at June 30, 2018 increased by \$183.5 million from December 31, 2017 and increased \$2.0 billion from June 30, 2017.

We have short-term borrowing sources available to supplement deposits and meet our funding needs. Such borrowings are generally used to fund our mortgage finance assets, due to their liquidity, short duration and interest spreads available. These borrowing sources include Federal funds purchased from our downstream correspondent bank relationships (which consist of banks that are smaller than our bank) and from our upstream correspondent bank relationships (which consist of banks that are larger than our bank), customer repurchase agreements, and advances from the FHLB and the Federal Reserve. The following table summarizes our short-term borrowings as of June 30, 2018 (in thousands):

Federal funds purchased	\$510,221
Repurchase agreements	10,628
FHLB borrowings	4,000,000
Line of credit	_
Total short-term borrowings	\$4,520,849
Maximum short-term borrowings outstanding at any month-end during 2018	\$4,520,849

The following table summarizes our other borrowing capacities in excess of balances outstanding at June 30, 2018 (in thousands):

FHLB borrowing capacity relating to loans	\$4,433,623
FHLB borrowing capacity relating to investment securities	938
Total FHLB borrowing capacity	\$4,434,561
Unused Federal funds lines available from commercial banks	\$700

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our long-term borrowings as of June 30, 2018 (in thousands):

Subordinated notes \$281,586 Trust preferred subordinated debentures 113,406 Total long-term borrowings \$394,992

Our unsecured, revolving, non-amortizing line of credit has maximum availability of \$130.0 million, matured on December 19, 2017, and was renewed on December 19, 2017 with a maturity date of December 18, 2018. The loan proceeds may be used for general corporate purposes including funding regulatory capital infusions into the Bank. The loan agreement contains customary financial covenants and restrictions. As of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, there were no borrowings outstanding.

Our equity capital, including \$150 million in preferred stock, averaged \$2.3 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2018, as compared to \$2.1 billion for the same period in 2017. We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock since we commenced operations and have no plans to do so in the foreseeable future.

As of June 30, 2018, our capital ratios were above the levels required to be well capitalized. We believe that our earnings, periodic capital raising transactions and the addition of loan and deposit relationships will allow us to continue to grow organically.

Table of Contents

Commitments and Contractual Obligations

The following table presents significant fixed and determinable contractual payment obligations to third parties by payment date. Payments for borrowings do not include interest. Payments related to leases are based on actual payments specified in the underlying contracts. As of June 30, 2018, our significant fixed and determinable contractual obligations to third parties, excluding interest, were as follows (in thousands):

	Within One	After One bu	t After Three b		
	Year	Within Three Years	Within Five Years	Five Years	Total
Deposits without a stated maturity	\$18,853,162	\$ —	\$ —	\$—	\$18,853,162
Time deposits	1,458,047	22,841	321	500	1,481,709
Federal funds purchased and customer repurchase agreements	520,849	_	_	_	520,849
FHLB borrowings	4,000,000	_	_		4,000,000
Operating lease obligations(1)	16,813	30,908	25,117	12,691	85,529
Subordinated notes				281,586	281,586
Trust preferred subordinated debentures				113,406	113,406
Total contractual obligations	\$24,848,871	\$ 53,749	\$ 25,438	\$408,183	\$25,336,241

(1) Non-balance sheet item.

Critical Accounting Policies

SEC guidance requires disclosure of "critical accounting policies." The SEC defines "critical accounting policies" as those that are most important to the presentation of a company's financial condition and results, and require management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain.

We follow financial accounting and reporting policies that are in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The more significant of these policies are summarized in Note 1 - Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements included in the 2017 Form 10-K. Not all significant accounting policies require management to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments. However, the policy noted below could be deemed to meet the SEC's definition of a critical accounting policy.

Allowance for Loan Losses

Management considers the policies related to the allowance for loan losses as the most critical to the financial statement presentation. The total allowance for loan losses includes activity related to allowances calculated in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 310, Receivables, and ASC 450, Contingencies. The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for credit losses charged to current earnings. The amount maintained in the allowance reflects management's continuing evaluation of the loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses is comprised of specific reserves assigned to certain classified loans and general reserves. Factors contributing to the determination of specific reserves include the creditworthiness of the borrower, and more specifically, changes in the expected future receipt of principal and interest payments and/or in the value of pledged collateral. A reserve is recorded when the carrying amount of the loan exceeds the discounted estimated cash flows using the loan's initial effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral for certain collateral-dependent loans. For purposes of determining the general allowance, the portfolio is segregated by product types in order to recognize differing risk profiles among categories, and then further segregated by credit grades. See "Summary of Loan Loss Experience" above and Note 4 – Loans Held for Investment and Allowance for Loan Losses in the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for further discussion of the risk factors considered by management in establishing the allowance for loan losses.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk is a broad term for the risk of economic loss due to adverse changes in the fair value of a financial instrument. These changes may be the result of various factors, including interest rates, foreign exchange rates, commodity prices, or equity prices. Additionally, the financial instruments subject to market risk can be classified either as held for trading purposes or held for other than trading.

We are subject to market risk primarily through the effect of changes in interest rates on our portfolio of assets held for purposes other than trading. Additionally, we have some market risk relative to commodity prices through our energy lending activities. Petroleum and natural gas commodity prices were suppressed throughout 2015 and 2016, but stabilized amidst continuing market uncertainty during 2017 and continuing into 2018. Declines in commodity prices negatively impacted our energy clients' ability to perform on their loan obligations, and further uncertainty and volatility could have a negative impact on our customers and our loan portfolio. Management does not currently expect the current decline in commodity prices to have a material adverse effect on our financial position. Foreign exchange rates, commodity prices and/or equity prices do not pose significant market risk to us.

The responsibility for managing market risk rests with the BSMC, which operates under policy guidelines established by our board of directors. The negative acceptable variation in net interest revenue due to a 200 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates is generally limited by these guidelines to plus or minus 10-15%. These guidelines also establish maximum levels for short-term borrowings, short-term assets and public and brokered deposits. They also establish minimum levels for liquidity, among other things. Oversight of our compliance with these guidelines is the ongoing responsibility of the BSMC, with exceptions reported to the Risk Management Committee, and to our board of directors if deemed necessary, on a quarterly basis. Additionally, the Credit Policy Committee ("CPC") specifically manages risk relative to commodity price market risks. The CPC establishes maximum portfolio concentration levels for energy loans as well as maximum advance rates for energy collateral.

Interest Rate Risk Management

Our interest rate sensitivity is illustrated in the following table. The table reflects rate-sensitive positions as of June 30, 2018, and is not necessarily indicative of positions on other dates. The balances of interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities are presented in the periods in which they next reprice to market rates or mature and are aggregated to show the interest rate sensitivity gap. The mismatch between repricings or maturities within a time period is commonly referred to as the "gap" for that period. A positive gap (asset sensitive), where interest-rate sensitive assets exceed interest rate sensitive liabilities, generally will result in the net interest margin increasing in a rising rate environment and decreasing in a falling rate environment. A negative gap (liability sensitive) will generally have the opposite results on the net interest margin. To reflect anticipated prepayments, certain asset and liability categories are shown in the table using estimated cash flows rather than contractual cash flows. The Company employs interest rate floors in certain variable rate loans to enhance the yield on those loans at times when market interest rates are extraordinarily low. The degree of asset sensitivity, spreads on loans and net interest margin may be reduced until rates increase by an amount sufficient to eliminate the effects of floors. The adverse effect of floors as market rates increase may also be offset by the positive gap, the extent to which rates on deposits and other funding sources lag increasing market rates and changes in composition of funding.

Table of Contents

Interest Rate Sensitivity Gap Analysis June 30, 2018 (In thousands)

	0-3 mo Balance	4-12 mo Balance	1-3 yr Balance	3+ yr Balance	Total Balance
Assets:					
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks, federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	\$3,288,107	\$ —	_	\$—	\$3,288,107
Investment securities(1)	7,982	13,626	2,744	56	24,408
Total variable loans	19,763,373	69,949	343	1,596	19,835,261
Total fixed loans	588,636	1,681,084	420,788	1,320,117	4,010,625
Total loans(2)	20,352,009	1,751,033	421,131	1,321,713	23,845,886
Total interest sensitive assets	\$23,648,098	\$1,764,659	\$423,875	\$1,321,769	\$27,158,401
Liabilities:					
Interest-bearing customer deposits	\$10,176,841	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$10,176,841
CDs & IRAs	204,488	1,253,559	22,841	821	1,481,709
Traditional brokered deposits	1,028,196				1,028,196
Total interest-bearing deposits	11,409,525	1,253,559	22,841	821	12,686,746
Repurchase agreements, Federal funds					
purchased, FHLB borrowings, line	4,520,849				4,520,849
of credit					
Subordinated notes	_			281,586	281,586
Trust preferred subordinated debentures				113,406	113,406
Total borrowings	4,520,849			394,992	4,915,841
Total interest sensitive liabilities	\$15,930,374	\$1,253,559	\$22,841	\$395,813	\$17,602,587
Gap	\$7,717,724	\$511,100	\$401,034	\$925,956	\$
Cumulative Gap	7,717,724	8,228,824	8,629,858	9,555,814	9,555,814
Demand deposits Stockholders' equity Total					\$7,648,125 2,343,530 \$9,991,655

⁽¹⁾ Investment securities based on fair market value.

The table above sets forth the balances as of June 30, 2018 for interest-bearing assets, interest-bearing liabilities and the total of non-interest-bearing deposits and stockholders' equity. While a gap interest table is useful in analyzing interest rate sensitivity, an interest rate sensitivity simulation provides a better illustration of the sensitivity of earnings to changes in interest rates. Earnings are also affected by changing interest rates on the value of funding derived from demand deposits and stockholders' equity. We perform a sensitivity analysis to identify interest rate risk exposure on net interest income. We quantify and measure interest rate risk exposure using a model to dynamically simulate the effect of changes in net interest income relative to changes in interest rates and loan and deposit account balances over the next twelve months based on three interest rate scenarios. These are a "most likely" rate scenario and two "shock test" scenarios.

The "most likely" rate scenario is based on the consensus forecast of future interest rates published by independent sources. These forecasts incorporate future spot rates and relevant spreads of instruments that are actively traded in the open market. The Federal Reserve's Federal funds target affects short-term borrowing rates; the prime lending rate and LIBOR are the basis for most of our variable-rate loan pricing. The 10-year mortgage rate is also monitored because of its effect on prepayment speeds for mortgage-backed securities. We believe these are our primary interest rate

⁽²⁾ Loans are stated at gross.

exposures. We are not currently using derivatives to manage our interest rate exposure.

Table of Contents

For modeling purposes, as of June 30, 2018, the "shock test" scenarios assume a sustained parallel 100 and 200 basis point increase in interest rates, as well as a 100 basis point decrease in rates. As of June 30, 2017, the scenarios assumed a sustained parallel 100 and 200 basis point increase in interest rates. As short-term rates remained low through 2017, we did not believe that analysis of an assumed decrease in interest rates would provide meaningful results.

Our interest rate risk exposure model incorporates assumptions regarding the level of interest rate or balance changes on indeterminable maturity deposits (demand deposits, interest-bearing transaction accounts and savings accounts) for a given level of market rate changes. Given the current environment of increasing short-term rates, deposit pricing can vary by product and customer. These assumptions have been developed through a combination of historical analysis and future expected pricing behavior. Changes in prepayment behavior of mortgage-backed securities, residential and commercial mortgage loans in each rate environment are captured using industry estimates of prepayment speeds for various coupon segments of the portfolio. The impact of planned growth and new business activities is factored into the simulation model. This modeling indicated interest rate sensitivity as follows (in thousands):

	Anticipated I	Impact Over the	e Next Twelve N	/Iont As nticipated	l Impact Ove	r the Next Twelve Month	S
	as Compared to Most Likely Scenario			as Compared to Most Likely Scenario			
	100 bp	200 bp	100 bp	100 hm Imanaga	2000	200 hn Ingrassa	
	Increase	Increase	Decrease	100 bp Increase		200 bp Increase	
	June 30, 2018			June 30, 20	17		
Change in net interest income	\$ 111,535	\$ 223,577	\$ (121,095) \$ 119,929	\$	5 241,922	

The simulations used to manage market risk are based on numerous assumptions regarding the effect of changes in interest rates on the timing and extent of repricing characteristics, future cash flows and customer behavior. These assumptions are inherently uncertain and, as a result, the model cannot precisely estimate net interest income or precisely predict the impact of higher or lower interest rates on net interest income. Actual results may differ from simulated results due to timing, magnitude and frequency of interest rate changes as well as changes in market conditions and management strategies, among other factors.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the supervision and participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, we have concluded that, as of the end of such period, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in recording, processing, summarizing and reporting, on a timely basis, information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act and were effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are subject to various claims and legal actions related to operating activities that arise in the ordinary course of business. Management does not currently expect the ultimate disposition of these matters to have a material adverse impact on our financial statements.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes in the risk factors previously disclosed in the 2017 Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

(a) Exhibits

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed herewith.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed herewith.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) of the Exchange Act and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, furnished herewith.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) of the Exchange Act and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, furnished herewith.

 The following materials from Texas Capital Bancshares, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter
- ended June 30, 2018, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Statements of Income, (ii) Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (iv) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES, INC.

Date: July 19, 2018 /s/ Julie Anderson Julie Anderson Chief Financial Officer

(Duly authorized officer and principal financial officer)