AVENTINE RENEWABLE ENERGY HOLDINGS INC Form 10-Q/A October 04, 2012 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q/A

Amendment No. 1

x Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012

OR

o Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

for the transition period from to

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 001-32922

AVENTINE RENEWABLE ENERGY HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State of Incorporation)

05-0569368

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

One Lincoln Centre
5400 LBJ Freeway, Suite 450
Dallas, TX
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

75240 (Zip Code)

(214) 451-6750

(Registrant s Telephone Number, including Area Code)

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES o NO x

(Note: As a voluntary filer, not subject to the filing requirements of the Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has filed all reports under Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act of 1934 for the preceding 12 months)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files.) YES x NO o

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, a accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company x

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES o NO x

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Sections 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by the court. YES x NO o

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each class of Common Stock, as of the latest practicable date

Class
Common Stock, \$0.001 Par Value

Outstanding as of April 20, 2012 8,346,271 Shares

Table of Contents

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PART I	Page No.
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) Three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited) Three months ended	F-1
	March 31, 2012 and 2011	F-2
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity (Unaudited) Three months ended March 31, 2012	F-3
	and 2011	F-4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) - Three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011	F-5
	Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	F-6
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	1
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	11
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	14
	PART II	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	15
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	15
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	16
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	16
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	16
Item 5.	Other Information	16
Item 6.	Exhibits	16

Table of Contents

EXPLANATORY NOTE

Aventine Renewable Energy Holdings, Inc. (the Company) is filing this amendment (the Form 10-Q/A) to its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012 (the Form 10-Q), filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) on May 9, 2012, to correct a calculation error in the amount disclosed for both the basic and diluted weighted-average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding as well as both the basic and diluted loss per common share amounts for the three month period ended March 31, 2012. These errors occurred in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations as well as in Note 3 of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. The calculation error resulted in the amount disclosed for both the basic and diluted weighted-average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding to be overstated by approximately 1.1 million shares, thus causing both the basic and diluted loss per common share amounts to be understated by approximately (\$0.29) for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations and Note 3 of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements disclosed in this Form 10-Q/A are presented with the correct amounts.

This error was also reflected in the Company s earnings release that was issued on May 9, 2012 and furnished to the SEC on that date. The calculation error had no impact on the Company s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows or any disclosures included in the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (other than Note 3) and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

In addition to the adjustments to the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations and Note 3 of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company restated Item 4 - Controls and Procedures to reflect a material weakness identified in financial reporting controls related to these adjustments. The Company also added an additional disclosure in the first table in Note 3 of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements related to the number of warrants that were outstanding in the respective periods that could potentially dilute basic loss per share in the future but are currently antidilutive.

This Form 10-Q/A should be read in conjunction with the original Form 10-Q, which continues to speak as of the date of the Form 10-Q. Except as specifically noted above, this Form 10-Q/A does not modify or update disclosures in the original Form 10-Q. Accordingly, this Form 10-Q/A does not reflect events occurring after the filing of the Form 10-Q or modify or update any related or other disclosures.

Table of Contents

Aventine Renewable Energy Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Unaudited)

		Three Months Ended		Three Months Ended
		March 31, 2012 (In thousands, excep	t per sh	March 31, 2011 are amounts)
Net sales	\$	200,515	\$	198,104
Cost of goods sold		(209,472)		(192,736)
Gross profit (loss)		(8,957)		5,368
Selling, general and administrative expenses		(6,687)		(9,417)
Other expenses		(700)		(1,196)
Operating loss		(16,344)		(5,245)
Interest income		8		21
Interest expense		(6,428)		(5,322)
Gain (loss) on derivative transactions, net		(89)		890
Loss on available-for-sale securities		(85)		
Loss on early retirement of debt				(9,399)
Other non-operating income (expense)		153		(18)
Loss before income taxes		(22,785)		(19,073)
Income tax benefit (expense)		286		(188)
Net loss	\$	(22,499)	\$	(19,261)
Loss per common share basic, restated see Note 3	\$	(2.57)	\$	(2.24)
Basic weighted-average number of shares, restated see Note 3		8,764		8,593
Loss per common share diluted, restated see Note 3	\$	(2.57)	\$	(2.24)
Diluted weighted-average number of common and common equivalent shares, restated	see			
Note 3		8,764		8,593

Table of Contents

Aventine Renewable Energy Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(Unaudited)

	Т	hree Months Ended	Three Months Ended		
		March 31, 2012		March 31, 2011	
		(In thou	isands)		
Net loss	\$	(22,499)	\$	(19,261)	
Other comprehensive income, net of tax					
Pension and postretirement liability adjustment		56			
Total comprehensive loss, net of tax	\$	(22,443)	\$	(19,261)	

Table of Contents

Aventine Renewable Energy Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Unaudited)

	March 31, 2012 (In thousands, exc			December 31, 2011 are data)
Assets			·	
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	20,214	\$	36,105
Short-term investments		106		191
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$119 in 2012 and \$174 in				
2011		15,799		16,578
Inventories		35,885		43,297
Income taxes receivable		245		4
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		7,098		7,732
Total current assets		79,347		103,907
Property, plant and equipment, net		291,577		295,599
Other assets		13,982		14,732
Total assets	\$	384,906	\$	414,238
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity				
Current liabilities:				
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$	2,284	\$	2,283
Current obligations under capital leases		307		348
Accounts payable		11,563		14,266
Accrued liabilities		4,066		3,621
Other current liabilities		8,752		12,817
Total current liabilities		26,972		33,335
Long-term debt		213,823		214,051
Deferred tax liabilities		2,078		2,078
Other long-term liabilities		6,046		6,093
Total liabilities		248,919		255,557
Stockholders equity:				
Common stock, par value \$0.001 per share (15,000,000 shares authorized; 8,346,271				
shares outstanding, net of 74,841 shares held in treasury at both March 31, 2012 and		0		0
December 31, 2011)		8		8
Preferred stock (5,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued or outstanding)		221 402		221 744
Additional paid-in capital		231,493		231,744
Accumulated deficit		(91,353)		(68,854)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(4,161)		(4,217)
Total stockholders equity	¢	135,987	¢	158,681
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$	384,906	\$	414,238

Table of Contents

Aventine Renewable Energy Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity

(Unaudited)

	Treasury Shares	Common Shares		nmon ock	A	Additional Paid-In Capital	ccumulated Deficit	Co	Other omprehensive Loss	St	Total ockholders Equity
D-1 I 2011	7.701	7 456 707	φ	0	φ		nds, except per			ø	201 (50
Balance at January 1, 2011	7,791	7,456,707	\$	8	\$	227,360	\$ (25,464)	\$	(246)	\$	201,658
Repurchases of common	20.000					(5.55)					(5.55)
stock	20,980					(557)					(557)
Warrants exercised		130				5					5
Stock-based compensation		32,536				1,702					1,702
Comprehensive loss:											
Net loss							(19,261)				(19,261)
Total comprehensive loss											(19,261)
Balance at March 31, 2011	28,771	7,489,373	\$	8	\$	228,510	\$ (44,725)	\$	(246)	\$	183,547
							, , ,		· ·		
Balance at December 31,											
2011	74,841	8,421,112	\$	8	\$	231,744	\$ (68,854)	\$	(4,217)	\$	158,681
Stock-based compensation	,	-,,	_			(251)	 (00,000)		(1,=1,)		(251)
Comprehensive loss:											
Net loss							(22,499)				(22,499)
Pension and postretirement											
liability adjustment, net of tax									56		56
Total comprehensive loss											(22,443)
Balance at March 31, 2012	74,841	8,421,112	\$	8	\$	231,493	\$ (91,353)	\$	(4,161)	\$	135,987

Table of Contents

Aventine Renewable Energy Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

	Months Ended Iarch 31, 2012	T	hree Months Ended March 31, 2011
	(In thou	sands)	
Operating Activities			
Net loss	\$ (22,499)	\$	(19,261)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Loss on early retirement of debt			9,399
Depreciation and amortization	7,141		5,809
Stock-based compensation expense	(251)		1,702
Unrealized/realized loss on available-for-sale securities	85		
Loss on renewable identification numbers	22		
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable, net	779		(5,920)
Income tax receivable	(241)		42
Inventories	7,413		(7,020)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	634		3,596
Other assets			(947)
Accounts payable	(2,703)		(6,022)
Other liabilities	(3,638)		869
Net cash used in operating activities	(13,258)		(17,753)
Investing Activities			
Additions to property, plant and equipment, net	(1,948)		(7,892)
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,948)		(7,892)
Financing Activities			
Repayment of senior secured notes			(155,000)
Repayment of term loan	(563)		(500)
Restricted cash			165,750
Penalty on early retirement of debt			(7,750)
Payments on capital lease obligations	(70)		(554)
Payments on mortgage note	(8)		(8)
Debt issuance costs	(44)		
Proceeds from warrants exercised			5
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(685)		1,943
Net decrease in cash and equivalents	(15,891)		(23,702)
Cash and equivalents at beginning of the period	36,105		34,533
Cash and equivalents at end of the period	\$ 20,214	\$	10,831

Table of Contents

Aventine Renewable Energy Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Aventine Renewable Energy Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries, which are collectively referred to as Aventine or the Company, unless the context otherwise requires. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The Company has prepared the condensed consolidated financial statements included herein pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) have been omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations, although the Company believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. As of March 31, 2012, the Company s Summary of Critical Accounting Policies for the year ended December 31, 2011, which are detailed in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K, have not changed.

The preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Areas involving significant judgments and estimates include depreciation, income taxes, and fair value measurements. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements presented herewith reflect all adjustments (consisting of only normal and recurring adjustments unless otherwise disclosed) which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for an entire year.

2. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2011-104 (ASU) 2011-104 (IFRS) (ASU 2011-04), which changes certain fair value measurement and disclosure requirements, clarifies the application of existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements are described in the same way. ASU 2011-04 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company adopted this ASU on January 1, 2012 and it did not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, *Presentation of Comprehensive Income* (ASU 2011-05) which changes the presentation requirements of comprehensive income to improve the comparability, consistency, and transparency of financial reporting and to increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income. ASU 2011-05 requires that all non-owner changes in stockholders equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. ASU 2011-05 is effective for interim and annual periods

Table of Contents

beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company adopted this ASU on January 1, 2012 and it did not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

3. NET LOSS PER SHARE

Basic loss per share excludes any dilution and is computed by dividing net loss attributable to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted loss per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock that then shared in the earnings of the entity. The dilution from each of these instruments is calculated using the treasury stock method. Outstanding equity instruments that could potentially dilute basic loss per share in the future but were not included in the computation of diluted loss per share because they were antidilutive are as follows:

	Three Months	Three Months
	Ended	Ended
	March 31,	March 31,
•	2012	2011
	(In thous	sands)
Stock options	261	73
Restricted stock units	238	126
Warrants	450	450
Totals	949	649

The Company used the two-class method to compute basic and diluted loss per share for all periods presented. The reconciliation of the net loss, net loss attributable to common shareholders and the weighted average number of share and share equivalents used in the computations of basic and diluted loss per share for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	Three Months Ended			Three Months Ended	
		March 31, 2012		March 31, 2011	
		(In thousands, excep	ept per share data)		
Net loss attributable to common shareholders	\$	(22,499)	\$	(19,261)	
Weighted average shares and share equivalents outstanding:					
Basic and diluted shares		8,764		8,593	
Loss per common share basic and diluted	\$	(2.57)	\$	(2.24)	

Subsequent to the Company s filing of its Form 10-Q with the SEC on May 9, 2012, management determined that the weighted average shares and share equivalents disclosed in its quarterly and annual reports from June 30, 2011 through March 31, 2012 had been improperly calculated, resulting in the amount of shares previously disclosed being overstated and causing the Company s basic and diluted loss per share to be understated. The only period that was materially impacted by the improper calculations was the three month period ended March 31, 2012. In the Company s Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on May 9, 2012, the Company disclosed weighted average shares and share equivalents outstanding of approximately 9.89 million shares and basic and diluted loss per share of \$2.28. The Company has restated its Consolidated Statement of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012 to correct the error. The corrected weighted average shares and share equivalents outstanding was approximately 8.76 million shares and basic and diluted loss per share is \$2.57.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, 0.4 million shares and 1.1 million shares, respectively, contemplated by the First Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization (as modified, the Plan) under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code (the Bankruptcy Code) of Aventine and all of its direct and indirect subsidiaries (collectively, the Debtors), filed on January 13, 2010, confirmed by order

F-7

Table of Contents

entered by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the Bankruptcy Court) on February 24, 2010, and effective on March 15, 2010 (the Effective Date), to be distributed to holders of allowed general, unsecured claims are included in the calculation of basic loss per share.

4. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

In accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, the Company categorizes its investments and certain other assets and liabilities recorded at fair value into a three-level fair value hierarchy as follows:

- Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities:
- Level 2: Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs which are observable, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability; and
- Level 3: Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e., supported by little or no market activity).

Fair Value Hierarchy on a Recurring Basis

The following tables summarize the valuation of the Company s financial instruments which are carried at fair value at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

	Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2012								
		Fair Value	A	uoted Prices in ctive Markets Ising Identical Assets (Level 1)	•	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	2	
				(In thousa	ands)				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	20,214	\$	20,214	\$		\$		
Derivative contracts	\$	160	\$	160	\$		\$		
Available for sale securities	\$	106	\$	106	\$		\$		

	Fair Value Measurements	at December 31, 2011	
	Active Markets	Other	Significant
	Using Identical	Observable	Unobservable
	Assets	Inputs	Inputs
Fair Value	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)

		(In thousa	nds)	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 36,105	\$ 36,105	\$	\$
Derivative contracts	\$ 239	\$ 239	\$	\$
Available for sale securities	\$ 191	\$ 191	\$	\$
Renewable identification				
numbers	\$ 22	\$ 22	\$	\$

The Company did not hold any financial assets requiring the use of Level 2 or Level 3 inputs at March 31, 2012 or December 31, 2011.

Realized and unrealized gains (losses)

The Company recorded a net loss of \$89 thousand and a net gain of \$890 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, related to the change in the fair value of its derivative transactions. Such gains were recorded in Gain (loss) on derivative transactions, net on the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

The Company s available for sale securities at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 consists of shares of Imperial Petroleum, Inc. (Imperial) stock received in a legal settlement. The Company records the fair value of its Imperial stock using unadjusted quoted market prices, and accordingly, discloses these investments in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

At December 31, 2011, the Company had short-term investments in Renewable Identification Numbers (RINs), which were held for investment purposes. In order to monitor the mandated increase in renewable energy production, the Environmental Protection Agency (the EPA) requires each gallon of renewable fuel produced to have a unique serial number, a RIN, attached to it. Petroleum refiners then turn these RINs in to the EPA each year to prove that the petroleum refiners have blended the required amount of renewable fuel into their gasoline. For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company recorded net unrealized losses on RINs held for trading purposes of \$22 thousand and \$27 thousand, respectively, which were recorded in Other non-operating income (expense) on the Company's condensed consolidated statement of operations.

Financial Instruments Not Reported at Fair Value

The carrying value of other financial instruments, including accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate fair value due to their short maturities or variable-rate nature of the respective balances. The following table presents the other financial instruments that are not carried at fair value, but which require fair value disclosure at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

		March 3	31, 201	2		December	31, 20	11				
	Carr	ying Value		Fair Value	Ca	rrying Value		Fair Value				
		(In thousands)										
Term loan	\$	(222,250)	\$	(222,250)	\$	(222,813)	\$	(222,813)				

The term loan is at a variable rate and therefore the carrying value approximates the fair value.

5. INVENTORY

Inventories primarily consist of agricultural and energy-related commodities, including corn, ethanol, and coal, and were as follows at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	M	arch 31, 2012	D	ecember 31, 2011
		(In thou	ısands)	
Finished products	\$	22,130	\$	27,946
Work-in-process		5,826		6,542
Raw materials		7,929		8,809
Total	\$	35,885	\$	43,297

6. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING

The Company s operations and cash flows are subject to fluctuations due to changes in commodity prices. As such, the Company has historically used various derivative financial instruments to minimize the effects of the volatility of commodity price changes primarily related to corn, natural gas, and ethanol. The Company monitors and manages its exposure as part of its overall risk management policy. As such, the Company seeks to reduce the potentially adverse effects that the volatility of these markets may have on its operating results. The Company may take derivative positions in these commodities as one way to mitigate risk.

The Company is subject to market risk with respect to the price and availability of corn, the principal

Table of Contents

raw material it uses to produce ethanol and ethanol by-products. In general, rising corn prices result in lower profit margins and, therefore, represent unfavorable market conditions. This is especially true when market conditions do not allow the Company to pass along increased corn costs to its customers. The availability and price of corn is subject to wide fluctuations due to unpredictable factors such as weather conditions, farmer planting decisions, governmental policies with respect to agriculture and international trade and global demand and supply. From time to time, the Company may have firm-price purchase commitments with some of its corn suppliers under which the Company agrees to buy corn at a price set in advance of the actual delivery of that corn. Under these arrangements, the Company assumes the risk of a price decrease in the market price of corn between the time this price is fixed and the time the corn is delivered. The Company accounts for these transactions as normal purchases under ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging* (ASC 815), and accordingly, it does not mark these transactions to market.

The Company is also subject to market risk with respect to ethanol pricing. The Company s ethanol sales are priced using contracts that can either be fixed, based upon the price of wholesale gasoline plus or minus a fixed amount or based upon a market price at the time of shipment. The Company sometimes fixes the price at which it sells ethanol using fixed price physical delivery contracts. The Company has elected to account for these transactions as normal sales transactions under ASC 815, and accordingly, it has not marked these transactions to market.

Derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815 at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were as follows:

Туре	Balance Sheet Classification	March 31, 2012		December 31, 2011
		(In thou	isands)	
Corn future positions	Other current assets	\$ 3.9	\$	8.2
Ethanol future positions	Other current assets	\$ (10.0)	\$	911.3

The realized and unrealized effect on the Company s condensed consolidated statement of operations for derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815 for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

			ee Months Ended	Th	ree Months Ended
Future Positions	Classifications	M	arch 31, 2012 (In thou		March 31, 2011
Corn	Gain (loss) on derivative transactions	\$	(103.3)	\$	969.9
Ethanol	Gain (loss) on derivative transactions	\$	14.0	\$	(79.8)
Gain (loss) on derivative transactions, net		\$	(89.3)	\$	890.1

7. SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility with PNC Bank

Pursuant to the Plan, on the Effective Date, the Company and its subsidiaries, as borrowers, entered into a Revolving Credit and Security Agreement (the Revolving Credit Agreement) with PNC Bank, National Association, as lender and as agent (PNC), providing for a \$20 million revolving credit facility (the Revolving Facility). On February 28, 2011, the Company amended the Revolving Facility which increased the maximum loan amount to \$30 million.

On July 20, 2011, the Revolving Credit Agreement with PNC was terminated and replaced with a revolving credit facility (the New Revolving Facility) with Wells Fargo Capital Finance, LLC (Wells Fargo). As a result, the Company paid a \$0.6 million termination fee.

F-10

Table of Contents

New Revolving Facility with Wells Fargo

On July 20, 2011, the Company and each of its subsidiaries, as co-borrowers (collectively, the Borrowers), entered into the New Revolving Facility with the lenders party thereto (the Lenders), and Wells Fargo as Lender and as agent for the Lenders (as amended, and as may be amended, supplemented or otherwise modified from time to time, the New Revolving Facility Agreement), with a \$50 million commitment (the Commitments). The New Revolving Facility has a borrowing base that is principally supported by accounts receivable and inventory of the Borrowers. The Company capitalized \$2.9 million in debt issuance costs for the year ended December 31, 2011, related to the New Revolving Facility Agreement. These costs will be amortized using the straight-line method over the term of the New Revolving Facility Agreement. The Company recognized \$0.2 million of expense for the amortization of debt issuance costs related to the New Revolving Facility Agreement during the three months ended March 31, 2012.

The loans under the New Revolving Facility will mature on the earlier of (a) July 20, 2015, and (b) the date that is six (6) months before the maturity date of the indebtedness under the Term Loan Agreement (as defined below) (or if the indebtedness under the Term Loan Agreement is fully refinanced or replaced, the maturity date of such refinanced or replaced indebtedness). The New Revolving Facility has a borrowing base principally supported by the inventory and accounts receivable of the Borrowers.

The rights and obligations of the Lenders under the Revolving Credit Agreement have been assigned from PNC to Wells Fargo under the New Revolving Facility Agreement. The New Revolving Facility Agreement includes a grant of liens on substantially all of the assets of the Borrowers. The security interests granted under the New Revolving Facility in the assets (including inventory and accounts receivable) other than substantially all of Borrowers fixed assets will be first priority in nature, subject to customary exceptions, and the security interests in the collateral constituting substantially all of the Borrowers fixed assets will be second priority in nature, and subject to customary liens and the first priority lien on such assets under Aventine s senior secured term loan credit agreement dated as of December 22, 2010 by and among Aventine, as borrower, Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent and collateral agent (in such capacity, the Term Loan Agent), the lenders party thereto and certain other persons (as amended, and as may be amended, supplemented or otherwise modified from time to time, the Term Loan Agreement).

Borrowings under the New Revolving Facility Agreement will bear interest at (i) the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 3.0% to 3.5% or (ii) the alternate base rate plus 2.0% to 2.5%. The applicable margin on loans under the New Revolving Facility will be re-determined on the first day of each fiscal quarter of Aventine by calculating the average amount that the Borrowers are entitled to borrow under the New Revolving Facility (after giving effect to any outstanding borrowings thereunder) as a proportion of the Commitments (as decreased by the amount of reductions in the Commitments pursuant to the New Revolving Facility). The alternate base rate will be calculated based on the greater of (A) the Federal funds rate plus 1/2 of 1.0%, (B) the LIBOR rate (calculated based upon an interest period of three months and determined on a daily basis) plus 1.0%, and (C) the rate of interest announced, from time to time, by Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as its prime rate .

The New Revolving Facility Agreement requires mandatory prepayment of the obligations thereunder in the event that the amount of (i) outstanding revolving loans under the New Revolving Facility *plus* (ii) the aggregate undrawn amount of all outstanding letters of credit issued by certain Lenders exceeds the Borrowing Base (as defined in the New Revolving Facility Agreement).

The New Revolving Facility Agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants concerning the conduct of Aventine s business operations, such as limitations on the incurrence of indebtedness, the granting of liens, maintenance of operations, mergers, consolidations and dispositions of assets, restricted payments and the payment of dividends, investments and transactions with affiliates.

Table of Contents

The New Revolving Facility Agreement contains a financial covenant that will require Aventine to maintain minimum liquidity levels of \$25 million in 2013 and thereafter. The New Revolving Facility Agreement also includes customary events of default, including, but not limited to, failure to pay principal or interest, failure to pay or default under other material debt, misrepresentation or breach of warranty, violation of certain covenants, a change of control, the commencement of a bankruptcy proceeding, any of the Borrowers insolvency and the rendering of a judgment or judgments against any of the Borrowers in excess of a specified amount individually or in the aggregate. Upon the occurrence of an event of default, Aventine s obligations under the New Revolving Facility Agreement may be accelerated and all indebtedness thereunder would become immediately due and payable. The Company was in compliance with all of the covenants related to the New Revolving Facility Agreement at March 31, 2012.

At March 31, 2012, the Company had no short-term borrowings and \$9.2 million in letters of credit outstanding under the New Revolving Facility.

8. LONG-TERM DEBT

The following table summarizes the Company s outstanding long-term debt:

	March 31, 2012 (In thous	ands)	December 31, 2011
Senior secured term loan credit agreement due December 2015 (net of discount of			
\$8,000)	\$ 215,919	\$	216,138
Other	188		196
Total debt	\$ 216,107	\$	216,334
Less: current maturities of long-term debt	(2,284)		(2,283)
Total long-term debt, net	\$ 213,823	\$	214,051

Senior Secured Term Loan Credit Agreement

On December 22, 2010, the Company entered into the Term Loan Agreement with Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent and as collateral agent, the lenders party thereto, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Jefferies Finance LLC, as joint lead arrangers and joint book-runners, and Citibank, N.A. and Jefferies Finance LLC, as co-syndication agents. Under the Term Loan Agreement, the lenders provided to the Company an aggregate principal amount \$200 million term loan facility (the Term Loan Facility). The Term Loan Facility was issued net of original issue discount of \$8 million.

Also on December 22, 2010, the Company gave notice of redemption pursuant to the indenture dated as of the Effective Date among the Company, each of the Company s direct and indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries, as guarantors, and Wilmington Trust FSB, as trustee and collateral agent, providing that it would redeem all \$155 million aggregate principal amount of its 13% senior secured notes due 2015 (the Notes) at a redemption price of 105% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but not including, the redemption date. Concurrently with the closing of the Term Loan Agreement, the Company irrevocably deposited in trust with the trustee for the Notes, \$164.8 million of the proceeds from the Term Loan Facility, funds sufficient to pay the redemption price for all \$155 million aggregate principal

amount of the Notes. The Company redeemed such Notes on January 21, 2011. In connection with the redemption, the Company paid \$164.8 million, of which \$155 million related to the principal amount of the Notes, \$7.8 million related to a prepayment penalty on the Notes and \$2 million related to interest on the Notes.

On April 7, 2011, the Company entered into an incremental amendment (the $\,$ Incremental Amendment $\,$) with Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent for the lenders under the Term Loan Agreement, and Macquarie Bank Limited, as lender (Macquarie $\,$), to the Company $\,$ s Term Loan Agreement. Pursuant to the Incremental Amendment, Macquarie loaned an aggregate principal amount

F-12

Table of Contents

equal to \$25 million, net of \$1.3 million in fees, to the Company. The loan under the Incremental Amendment has substantially the same terms as the existing loans under the Term Loan Agreement, including seniority, ranking in right of payment and of security, maturity date, applicable margin and interest rate floor. The Company continues to be subject to all other terms and restrictions contained in the original Term Loan Agreement.

Borrowings under the Term Loan Agreement bear interest at (i) LIBOR plus 8.5% per annum or (ii) the alternate base rate plus 7.5% per annum. The LIBOR rate is subject to a 2% floor. The alternate base rate will be calculated based on the greater of (i) 3% per annum and (ii) the highest of (A) the Federal funds rate plus 1/2 of 1%, (B) the LIBOR rate for an interest period of one month plus 1%, (C) the three-month certificate of deposit rate plus 1/2 of 1%, or (D) Citibank, N.A. s prime rate.

On July 20, 2011, the Company entered into an amendment (Citi Amendment) to the Term Loan Agreement with the lenders party thereto and the Term Loan Agent. Under the terms of the Citi Amendment, the amount of indebtedness that the Company is permitted to incur under the New Revolving Facility Agreement (including bank products and hedging obligations) is capped at \$58 million. The Citi Amendment reduces the Company s minimum liquidity covenant for 2012 from \$25 million to \$15 million. The Citi Amendment also includes certain technical amendments to permit the New Revolving Facility Agreement.

Liquidity

The Company s ability to maintain adequate liquidity depends in part upon industry conditions and general economic, financial, competitive, regulatory, and other factors beyond its control. The Company expects its earnings and cash flow to vary significantly from year to year due to the cyclical nature of its industry. As a result, the amount of debt the Company can manage in some periods may not be appropriate for the Company in other periods. Additionally, the Company s future cash flow may be insufficient to meet its debt obligations and commitments. Any insufficiency could negatively impact the Company s business.

Under the Company s Term Loan Agreement, the Company is required to maintain a minimum liquidity position comprised of available cash and borrowing capacity under its New Revolving Facility Agreement of \$15 million in 2012 and \$25 million in 2013 and thereafter. The New Revolving Facility requires the same minimum liquidity position as the Term Loan Agreement. If the Company does not generate enough cash flow from operations to satisfy its principal uses of liquidity, it may have to undertake alternative financing plans, such as refinancing or restructuring its debt, selling assets, reducing or delaying capital investments or raising additional capital. In addition, under the Term Loan Agreement, the Company is required to maintain a debt to total capitalization ratio of no greater than 0.65 to 1.0. The debt to total capitalization ratio is measured as of the most recently completed four fiscal quarters of the Company. As of March 31, 2012, the Company was in compliance with this ratio; however, if the Company continues to incur significant operating losses during the year, there is a risk that the Company may be in default under the Term Loan Agreement and/or the New Revolving Facility for failure to maintain this debt to total capitalization ratio as measured during one or more of the remaining fiscal quarters in 2012. If there is an event of default that occurs and is continuing under the Term Loan Agreement or the New Revolving Facility, amounts outstanding under these facilities may be declared immediately due and payable. If the Company s qualifying inventory and accounts receivable decline such that its borrowing base is limited, the Company may not have sufficient funds available to repay such borrowings, and the Company may be unable to obtain additional financing to fund its operations.

In the event that the Company defaults on any of its outstanding debt agreements during 2012, there are additional avenues that the Company may pursue to remedy the default. These avenues include, but are not limited to, refinancing or restructuring the respective debt agreement(s), obtaining a waiver of default by the lenders and/or raising additional capital. However, there is no assurance that these avenues or the

Table of Contents

alternative financing plans referenced above will be available or could be consummated on terms acceptable to the Company, or at all, and there is no assurance that undertaking any alternative financing plans, if necessary, would allow the Company to meet its debt obligations.

9. INTEREST EXPENSE

The following table summarizes interest expense:

	Thi	ree Months Ended	1	Three Months Ended
	N	1arch 31, 2012		March 31, 2011
		(In thou	sands)	
Term Loan Facility	\$	5,913	\$	5,250
Notes				1,119
Amortization of original issue discount and deferred debt issuance costs		937		596
Other		146		280
Capitalized interest		(568)		(1,923)
Interest expense, net	\$	6,428	\$	5,322

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company recorded \$0.6 million of capitalized interest related to its capital improvement projects. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company recorded \$1.9 million of capitalized interest related to its capacity expansion projects.

10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Environmental Remediation and Contingencies

The Company is subject to extensive federal, state and local environmental laws, regulations and permit conditions (and interpretations thereof), including those relating to the discharge of materials into the air, water and ground, the generation, storage, handling, use, transportation and disposal of hazardous materials, and the health and safety of the Company's employees. These laws, regulations, and permits require the Company to incur significant capital and other costs, including costs to obtain and maintain expensive pollution control equipment. They may also require the Company to make operational changes to limit actual or potential impacts to the environment. A violation of these laws, regulations or permit conditions can result in substantial fines, natural resource damages, criminal sanctions, permit revocations and/or facility shutdowns. In addition, environmental laws and regulations (and interpretations thereof) change over time, and any such changes, more vigorous enforcement policies or the discovery of currently unknown conditions may require substantial additional environmental expenditures. As such, the Company has not accrued any amounts for environmental matters at March 31, 2012.

Federal and state environmental authorities have been investigating alleged excess volatile organic compounds emissions and other air emissions from many U.S. ethanol plants, including the Company s Illinois facilities. The investigation relating to the Illinois wet mill facility is still pending, and the Company could be required to install additional air pollution control equipment or take other measures to control air pollutant emissions at that facility. If authorities require such controls to be installed, the Company anticipates that costs would be considerably higher than the approximate \$3.4 million incurred in connection with a similar matter at the Nebraska facility due to the larger size of the Illinois wet mill facility. The Company has not yet established reserves for possible costs it may incur in connection with the Illinois facility investigation. In addition, if the authorities determine the Company s emissions were in violation of applicable law, it would likely be required to pay fines that could be material.

The Company has made, and expects to continue making, significant capital expenditures on an ongoing basis to comply with increasingly stringent environmental laws, regulations and permits, including

Table of Contents

compliance with the EPA s National Emissions Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for industrial, commercial and institutional boilers and process heaters (also known as Boiler MACT). This NESHAP was issued but subsequently vacated in 2007. The vacated version of the rule required the Company to implement maximum achievable control technology at its Illinois wet mill facility to reduce hazardous air pollutant emissions from its boilers. The EPA issued a new Boiler MACT rule on March 21, 2011, but on May 18, 2011 it published a notice delaying the effective date of the new rule to allow the agency to reconsider its effect. On December 2, 2011, the EPA released proposed amendments to the new Boiler MACT rule, and the public comment period closed on February 21, 2012. The proposed rule is more stringent than the vacated version depending on boiler sizes, whether the source is new or existing, and it sets work practice standards for various emissions. On January 9, 2012, the District Court for the District of Columbia vacated the May 2011 action by the EPA, which delayed the implementation of the new Boiler MACT. The effect of the vacatur is that the revisions to the Boiler MACT became immediately effective. Notwithstanding the vacatur, the EPA issued a No Action Assurance Letter to establish that it will exercise its enforcement discretion to not pursue enforcement action for violations of certain notification deadlines in the final Major Source Boiler MACT rule. The EPA intends to issue the final reconsideration rule prior to any of the compliance dates for existing sources. In the absence of a final EPA NESHAP for industrial, commercial and institutional boilers and process heaters, the Company is working with state authorities to determine what technology will be required at its Illinois wet mill facility and when such technology must be installed. The Company currently cannot estimate the amount that will be needed to comply with federal or possible state technology requirement regarding air emiss

Litigation Matters

On November 6, 2008, the Company commenced an action against JP Morgan Securities, Inc. and JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. (hereinafter collectively referred to as JP Morgan) in the Tenth Judicial Circuit in Tazewell County, Illinois. The Company's complaint relates to losses incurred of approximately \$31.6 million as a result of investments in Student Loan Auction Rate Securities purchased through JP Morgan. This state court litigation is currently under a stay by the Circuit Court, which has prevented further prosecution of this dispute in that forum, and the Company is evaluating how to proceed with its claims. At this time, the Company is unable to determine the impact such litigation will have on our business, operating results, financial condition and cash flows.

On April 7, 2009, Aventine and the Debtors filed voluntary petitions with the Bankruptcy Court to reorganize under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Plan was confirmed by order entered by the Bankruptcy Court on February 24, 2010 and became effective on March 15, 2010, the date on which the Company emerged from protection under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Since March 15, 2010, certain of the Debtors cases have been closed by order of the Bankruptcy Court, effective December 20, 2010; however, the cases of Aventine Renewable Energy, Inc. and Nebraska Energy, L.L.C. remain open, wherein certain creditor claims remain subject to dispute and further adjudication, as do certain claims and potential claims by the Debtors against various third parties. At this time, the Company is unable to determine the impact such litigation will have on its business, operating results, financial condition and cash flows.

On April 19, 2011, the Company was notified of the EPA s intent to file an administrative complaint against Aventine Renewable Energy, Inc. for a release which occurred in March 2008. The EPA noted that they would be seeking a penalty of approximately \$193 thousand. The Company has responded stating that its position is that such claims are barred by the bankruptcy proceedings. At this time, the Company is unable to determine the impact such litigation will have on its business, operating results, financial condition and cash flows.

The Company initiated a civil action against E-Biofuels, LLC (E-Biofuels) in 2009 related to breach of agreement, and asked for not less than \$3 million in compensation. This suit was later transferred

Table of Contents

to the Bankruptcy Court and subsequently settled in the Company s favor on July 6, 2011. Under the terms of the settlement, the Company received \$0.2 million in cash and 425,000 shares of Imperial (E-Biofuels parent company) stock. The stock was valued at \$0.7 million on the date of receipt of July 6, 2011 and \$0.1 million at March 31, 2012. The Company also had previously recorded a liability related to tax credits of \$0.7 million which was relieved by the settlement. The stock was booked as available for sale securities which are classified as short-term investments on the condensed consolidated balance sheet. For the quarter ended March 31, 2012, the Company recorded a loss on available for sale securities of \$0.1 million related to the decrease in the current trading price of the stock, which is included in other non-operating income (expense) on the condensed consolidated statement of operations, as the amount is considered an other than temporary impairment.

On September 3, 2009, Union Tank Car Company (Union Tank) filed notice of a claim against the Company with the Bankruptcy Court for a general unsecured claim in the amount of \$82.6 million for certain estimated end charges including railcar cleaning cost and unpaid rental payments for leased railcars. Union Tank also filed an administrative claim against the Company in the amount of \$0.1 million for the alleged use of railcars after the effective date of the rejection of the leases for such railcars. The Company disputed both of these claims. On September 30, 2011, the Court ruled that the claim shall be allowed in the amount of \$27.6 million. Partial distribution of shares on account was made October 31, 2011, in accordance with the terms of the Plan and at such time as the distribution was made to other holders of claims in classes five and six that were allowed as of September 30, 2011. As a result of the settlement on September 30, 2011, the Company reversed the related reserve for this matter of \$0.1 million through other non-operating income (expense) on the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

From time to time, the Company is involved in various legal proceedings, including legal proceedings relating to the extensive environmental laws and regulations that apply to the Company s facilities and operations. The Company is not involved in any legal proceedings, other than those described herein, that it believes could have a material adverse effect upon the Company s business, operating results, financial condition or cash flows.

11. RETIREMENT AND PENSION PLANS

The Company has a defined benefit pension plan (the Retirement Plan) that is noncontributory and covers unionized employees at its Pekin, Illinois facility who fulfill minimum age and service requirements. Benefits are based on a prescribed formula based upon the employee s years of service. On October 29, 2010, the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industry and Service Workers International Union, Local 7-662 (the Union) ratified a new collective bargaining agreement with the Company for its hourly production workers in Pekin, Illinois. This new agreement was effective November 1, 2010. The agreement states that, among other things, employees hired after November 1, 2010 will not be eligible to participate in the Retirement Plan. The Company uses a December 31 measurement date for its Retirement Plan.

A summary of the components of net periodic pension cost for the Retirement Plan is as follows:

	Three Mor Ended		7	Three Months Ended
	March 3 2012	1,		March 31, 2011
		(In tho	usands)	
Service cost	\$	120	\$	95
Interest cost		143		138

Expected return on plan assets	(207)	(202)
Amortization of net actuarial loss	42	
Net periodic pension cost	\$ 98	\$ 31

F-16

Table of Contents

12. POSTRETIREMENT BENEFIT OBLIGATION

The Company sponsors a health care plan and life insurance plan (Postretirement Plan) that provides postretirement medical benefits and life insurance to certain grandfathered unionized employees. Employees hired after December 31, 2000 are not eligible to participate in the Postretirement Plan. The plan is contributory, with contributions required at the same rate as active employees. Benefit eligibility under the plan reduces at age 65 from a defined benefit to a defined dollar cap based upon years of service.

A summary of the components of net periodic postretirement cost for the Postretirement Plan is as follows:

	Three Mon Ended	Three Months Ended		Three Months Ended
	March 31 2012	,		March 31, 2011
		(In tho	usands)	
Service cost	\$	30	\$	18
Interest cost		32		30
Amortization of net actuarial loss		12		
Net periodic postretirement cost	\$	74	\$	48

13. INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 consists of the following:

		Three Months Ended		ree Months Ended
	March 31, 2012		N	March 31, 2011
	(In thousands)			
Current expense (benefit)	\$	(286)	\$	188
Deferred expense (benefit)				
Interest income (expense)				
Total income tax expense (benefit)	\$	(286)	\$	188

The difference between the effective tax rate accrued and the statutory rate is principally due to the impact of state taxes (net of federal benefit), increases in valuation allowances, and other permanent differences between book and tax.

The Company records a valuation allowance on its deferred tax assets to reduce the deferred tax assets to the amount that management believes is more likely than not to be realized. In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. Management considered the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities

and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Due to the Company s history of losses, allowances have been established for all deferred benefits except for deferred benefits available to offset certain deferred tax liabilities that will reverse over time.

In connection with the consummation of the Plan in 2010, the Company generated an ownership change as defined in Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, which limits the Company s ability to utilize certain carryover tax attributes. The Company s net unrealized built in losses may be limited by Section 382 which could potentially result in the significant acceleration of tax payments. The Company s state net operating loss carryforwards are also subject to similar, but varying, restrictions on their future use.

At March 31, 2012, the Company had \$0.1 million of uncertain tax benefits. All of the Company s unrecognized tax benefits, if recognized in future periods, would impact the Company s effective tax rate.

Table of Contents

At March 31, 2012, the Company s liability for unrecognized tax benefits is included in other long-term liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheet. There is a reasonable possibility that these unrecognized tax benefits could reverse within the next 12 months.

14. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

Pre-tax stock-based compensation expense for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was approximately \$(0.3) million and \$1.7 million, respectively, which was charged to selling, general and administrative expense in the condensed consolidated statement of operations.

Equity Awards

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company awarded 45,156 stock options to certain employees. These awards have a graded vesting schedule in which one-third of the awards will vest each year on each of the first 3 anniversaries of the grant date. The determination of the fair value of the stock option awards, using the Black Scholes Option Pricing Model, is based on the assumptions in the following table. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant over the expected term. Expected volatility is calculated by considering the expected volatilities of public companies engaged in similar industries. The Company does not have sufficient historical exercise data to provide a reasonable basis upon which to estimate the expected term of the options. As a result, the expected option term is calculated using the simplified method permitted by Staff Accounting Bulletin 110. Assumptions for options granted during the three months ended March 31, 2012 are as follows:

	Three M End	
	Marc 20	,
Expected stock price volatility		101.8%
Expected term (in years)		6.0
Risk-free interest rate		1.1%
Expected dividend yield		0.0%
Weighted average fair value	\$	2.82

Certain stock options held by our Chief Executive Officer were modified in February 2012. Of the 100,000 stock options that were granted to the executive in 2010, 77,519 were modified to reduce the exercise price of the options from \$43.75 to \$3.55. The Company recognized an incremental fair value amount of \$0.1 million in pre-tax stock-based compensation expense related to the modification for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

On March 19, 2012, the Company s Senior Vice President, Commodity Risk Management resigned. Pursuant to the separation agreement, the executive forfeited certain unvested equity awards. The Company recognized a reduction in pre-tax stock-based compensation expense of \$0.6 million related to these forfeitures during the three months ended March 31, 2012.

15. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On April 10, 2012, Aventine executed an agreement with Green Plains Renewable Energy, Inc. and its subsidiaries (GPRE) as settlement of a pending litigation between the companies. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, the parties agreed to the settlement and release of all claims and a dismissal of the litigation. Under the terms of the settlement agreement, GPRE agreed to make a cash payment of approximately \$3.0 million to Aventine, which is expected to be made during the second quarter of 2012. In addition, GPRE will also purchase a minimum of 5 million gallons of ethanol from the Company per month from May to August 2012 at prices set forth in the settlement agreement.

F-18

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

In this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the terms the Company, we, our, and us refer to Aventine Renewable Energy Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis, unless the context otherwise requires.

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements include all statements that do not relate solely to current or historical fact, but address events or developments that we anticipate will occur in the future. Forward-looking statements include statements regarding our goals, beliefs, plans or current expectations, taking into account the information currently available to our management. When we use words such as anticipate, intend, expect, believe, plan, may, should or would or other words that convey uncertainty of future events or outcome, we are making forward-looking statements. Statements relating to future sales, earnings, operating performance, restructuring strategies, plant expansions, capital expenditures and sources and uses of cash, for example, are forward-looking statements.

These forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results to differ materially from those stated or implied by such forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly release any revision of any forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect events and circumstances occurring after the date hereof, or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. Information concerning risk factors is contained under Part I, Item 1A of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. You should carefully consider all of the risks and all other information contained in or incorporated by reference in this report and in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). These risks are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us, or which we currently consider immaterial, also may adversely affect us. If any of these risks actually occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Business Summary

We are a producer and marketer of ethanol. Through our production facilities, we market and distribute ethanol to many of the leading energy companies in the United States (the U.S. Qur revenues are principally derived from the sale of ethanol and from the sale of co-products and bio-products that we produce as by-products during the production of ethanol at our plants.

Recent Developments

In mid-February 2012, we temporarily brought down the Mt. Vernon facility in order to perform needed maintenance. Margins at Mt. Vernon have been under pressure due to strong corn basis in that region which could not be passed on to our customers. When margins return, we will be prepared to bring this plant back on line and continue its progress to full rate.

On March 19, 2012, we entered into a separation agreement (the Separation Agreement) with Ben Borgen (Mr. Borgen), Senior Vice President, Commodity Risk Management, pursuant to which Mr. Borgen resigned from all positions held with us and our affiliates. Under the terms of the Separation Agreement, Mr. Borgen received, among other things, (i) a termination payment of \$700,000, which was paid to Mr. Borgen within

thirty (30) days following his departure and (ii) 22,596 shares of our common stock, which were delivered to Mr. Borgen within thirty (30) days following his departure. In addition, Mr. Borgen will receive 26,666 shares of our common stock in respect of his previously-vested restricted stock units, which will be settled six (6) months following his departure. Mr. Borgen has previously vested in 42,750 stock options, which remained exercisable for thirty (30) days following his departure. Mr. Borgen s unvested stock options and all hybrid equity units, whether vested or unvested, have terminated.

1

Table of Contents
Business Environment
The following discussion includes trends and factors that may affect future operating results.
Commodity Pricing
Our operations are highly dependent on commodity prices, especially prices for ethanol, corn and natural gas.
Ethanol. During the first quarter of 2012, ethanol prices averaged approximately \$2.23 per gallon compared to an average price of \$2.43 per gallon during the first quarter of 2011. At March 31, 2012, we had contracts for delivery of ethanol totaling 38.8 million gallons through December 2012, of which 2 million gallons were based on fixed-price contracts and 36.8 million were at spot prices using Platts and Oil Price Information Service (OPIS) indices.
<i>Corn.</i> During the first quarter of 2012, corn prices averaged approximately \$6.41 per bushel compared to an average price of \$6.70 per bushel during the first quarter of 2011, a decrease of approximately 4%.
We continuously purchase corn for physical delivery from suppliers using forward purchase contracts in order to assure supply. As we do this, we have in the past often shorted a like amount of Chicago Board of Trade (CBOT) corn futures with similar dates to lock in the basis differential. We have also occasionally used CBOT futures contracts to lock in the price of corn by taking long positions in CBOT contracts in order to reduce our risk of price increases. Exchange traded forward contracts for commodities are marked to market each period. Our forward physical purchases of corn are not marked to market. At March 31, 2012, we had fixed the price of 1.5 million bushels of corn through April 30, 2012 and we had future contracts to purchase 50 thousand bushels of corn.
Natural Gas. Natural gas is an important input in our ethanol and co-product production process. We use natural gas primarily to dry distillers grains for storage and transportation over longer distances. This allows us to market distillers grains to broader livestock markets in the U.S. The price fluctuation in natural gas prices over the first three months of 2012, based on the New York Mercantile Exchange daily futures data, has ranged from a high of \$3.10 per MMBtu at the beginning of January to a low of \$2.13 per MMBtu at the end of March. Our current natural gas usage is approximately 400,000 MMBtus per month. The price fluctuation in natural gas prices over the first three months of 2011, based on the New York Mercantile Exchange daily futures data, ranged from a high of \$4.74 per MMBtu at the end of January to a low of \$3.78 per MMBtu at the beginning of March.
Financial Statement Overview

The following general factors should be considered in analyzing our results of operations:

Results of Operations

The following discussion summarizes the significant factors affecting our consolidated operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements contained herein and the consolidated financial statements and related notes for the year ended December 31, 2011 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Overview

For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, we incurred net losses of \$22.5 million and \$19.3 million, respectively. The loss in the three months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to the loss for the three months ended March 31, 2011 is due primarily to a decreased spread between ethanol prices and corn costs. CBOT spreads between ethanol and corn were positive for the three months ended March 31, 2011 at an average of approximately \$0.03 per gallon compared to an average negative spread of approximately (\$0.09) per gallon for the three months ended March 31, 2011. In addition, the three months ended March 31, 2011 included a \$9.4 million loss incurred on the early extinguishment of the Notes. See Note 8 in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

Total gallons of ethanol marketed and distributed were as follows:

	Three Months Ended	Three Months Ended
	March 31,	March 31,
	2012 (In millions of g	2011 allons)
Equity production	58.2	61.8
Purchase/resale	6.9	0.7
Decrease in inventory	3.2	1.1
Total gallons	68.3	63.6

The decrease in equity production of 3.6 million gallons for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 is primarily the result of the shutdown of the Mt. Vernon facility in early 2012. The majority of the production decline due to the Mt. Vernon facility shutdown was offset by increased production at our Pekin, Illinois and Nebraska facilities. The increase in gallons marketed and distributed of 4.7 million is primarily attributable to the additional gallons purchased of 6.2 million and the additional decrease in inventory of 2.1 million gallons, partially offset by the decreased production due to the shutdown of our Mt. Vernon facility. We purchased 6.9 million gallons for resale in the first quarter of 2012 in order to fulfill sales contracts during the shutdown of our Mt. Vernon facility.

The Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 Compared With the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Three Months Ended March 31,	
		2012	2011	
		(In millions)		
Net sales	\$	200.5 \$	198.1	
Cost of goods sold		(209.5)	(192.7)	
Gross profit		(9.0)	5.4	
Selling, general and administrative expenses		(6.6)	(9.4)	
Other operating expense		(0.7)	(1.2)	
Operating income (loss)		(16.3)	(5.2)	
Other income (expense):				
Interest expense		(6.4)	(5.3)	
Loss on available for sale securities		(0.1)		

Loss on early retirement of debt		(9.4)
Gain (loss) on derivative transactions	(0.1)	0.9
Other non-operating income (expense)	0.1	(0.1)
Income tax benefit (expense)	0.3	(0.2)
Net loss	\$ (22.5)	\$ (19.3)

Table of Contents

Net sales were generated from the following products:

	nths Ended ch 31, 12	7	Fhree Months Ended March 31, 2011
	(In mil	lions)	
Ethanol	\$ 150.6	\$	151.1
By-Products	49.9		47.0
Total	\$ 200.5	\$	198.1

Ethanol net sales remained relatively flat during the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011 as an increase in gallons marketed and sold was predominantly offset by a decrease in average price per gallon sold. During the first quarter of 2012, we produced 58.2 million gallons of ethanol compared to 61.8 million gallons produced during the quarter ended March 31, 2011. We marketed and sold 68.3 million gallons of ethanol during the three months ended March 31, 2012 for an average sales price of \$2.20 per gallon compared to 63.6 million gallons for an average sales price of \$2.38 per gallon during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

By-product revenues increased primarily as a result of increases in the price per ton and in the volume sold. We sold 297 thousand tons during the three months ended March 31, 2012 for an average price of \$167.72 per ton compared to 290 thousand tons during the three months ended March 31, 2011 for an average price of \$161.92 per ton. By-product revenues, as a percentage of corn costs, rose to 34.6% during the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to 30.9% during the three months ended March 31, 2011. Co-products produced by the dry mill process have less value historically than those produced by the wet mill process. As a result of the shutdown of the Mt. Vernon dry mill, our overall product mix between wet and dry co-products produced changed from 45% higher-value wet mill products and 55% lower-value dry mill products during the first quarter of 2011, to approximately 47% higher-value wet mill products and 53% lower-value dry mill products during the first quarter of 2012.

Cost of goods sold consists of corn costs, conversion costs (the cost to produce ethanol at our own facilities), the cost of purchased ethanol, the cost changes in our inventory, freight and logistics to ship ethanol and co-products and depreciation and amortization expense. Cost of goods sold was approximately \$209.5 million and \$192.7 million during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increase in cost of goods sold from the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2012 is principally the result of purchased ethanol and changes in inventory, partially offset by the reduction in corn costs.

Corn costs for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 were \$144.2 million and \$151.9 million, respectively. The decrease in corn costs is primarily due to a decrease in the number of bushels used in production. This reduction is partially offset by an increase in the price per bushel. We used 21.9 million bushels of corn in production during the first quarter of 2012 compared to 23.4 million bushels during the quarter ended March 31, 2011. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, corn used in production was approximately \$6.59 per bushel compared to \$6.48 per bushel for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

Conversion costs for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,		7	Three Months Ended March 31,	
		2012		2011	
		(In mill	ions)		
Utilities	\$	11.7	\$	14.5	
Salary and benefits		6.3		5.9	
Materials and supplies		6.9		6.9	
Denaturant		2.8		3.2	
Outside services		2.3		2.1	
Other				0.2	
	\$	30.0	\$	32.8	

Conversion costs per gallon were \$0.52 for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$0.53 for the three months ended March 31, 2011. Conversion costs for the three months ended March 31, 2012 included excess costs related to carrying our Mt. Vernon facility during its shutdown. Excluding these excess costs would reduce our conversion costs to an average of approximately \$0.49.

Purchased ethanol is included in our cost of goods sold. For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, we purchased 6.9 million gallons and 725 thousand gallons, respectively. Purchased ethanol totaled \$13.2 million and \$1.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The cost per gallon purchased was \$1.91 during the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$2.44 during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, changes in inventory resulted in an increase of \$6.7 million to cost of goods sold compared to a decrease in cost of goods sold of \$7.2 million during the three months ended March 31, 2011. The increase in cost of goods sold for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was primarily the result of a change in both the volume and price of finished goods. The average inventory cost of ethanol was \$2.19 per gallon at the end of the first quarter of 2012 compared to \$2.34 per gallon at December 31, 2011.

Freight and logistics costs for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 were \$8.6 million and \$8.3 million, respectively. During the first quarter of 2012, we marketed and distributed approximately 68.3 million gallons of ethanol compared to 63.6 million gallons during the three months ended March 31, 2011. On a per gallon basis, freight and logistics costs were \$0.13 per gallon for both the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011.

Depreciation and amortization expense for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$6.2 million and \$5.1 million, respectively. The increase in depreciation expense is primarily related to our Mt. Vernon facility. We placed Mt. Vernon assets in-service for depreciation purposes in February 2011.

The average ethanol sales price for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was \$2.20 compared to \$2.38 for the three months ended March 31, 2011. This represents a 7.6% decrease in sales price per gallon. The average cost of corn bushels used was \$6.59 for the three months ended March 31, 2012, compared to \$6.48 for the three months ended March 31, 2011. This represents a 1.7% increase in cost per bushel used.

2012	
2012	2011
2.20 \$	2.38
6.59 \$	6.48
34.6%	30.9%
	6.59 \$

Table of Contents

SG&A expenses decreased to \$6.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to \$9.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. This represents a \$2.8 million decrease. The decrease is primarily related to a reduction in stock compensation expense, partially offset by a separation payment to a departing senior executive. Changes in stock compensation expense were the result of several factors, including certain award tranches becoming fully vested and expensed by March 31, 2011. In addition, some employees forfeited awards due to their departures after March 31, 2011.

Interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$6.4 million and \$5.3 million, respectively. Interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2012 includes \$5.9 million related to the Term Loan Facility, \$0.2 million of other interest expense, and \$0.9 million of amortization of deferred financing fees, reduced by capitalized interest of \$0.6 million. Interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2011 includes \$5.3 million related to the Term Loan Facility, \$1.1 million related to the Notes, \$0.2 million of other interest expense, and \$0.6 million of amortization of deferred financing fees, reduced by capitalized interest of \$1.9 million.

On January 21, 2011, we redeemed our \$155 million Notes at a redemption price of 105% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest. In connection with the redemption, we recognized a \$9.4 million loss on the early extinguishment of debt.

Gain (loss) on derivative transactions, net for the three months ended March 31, 2012 includes \$89 thousand of net realized and unrealized losses on corn and ethanol derivative contracts versus net realized and unrealized gains in the three months ended March 31, 2011 of \$890 thousand. We do not mark to market forward physical contracts to purchase corn or sell ethanol as we account for these transactions as normal purchases and sales under ASC 815.

Our tax expense rate for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was a benefit of 1.2% of pre-tax loss compared to a tax expense rate for the three months ended March 31, 2011 of 1.0%, respectively, of pre-tax loss.

Facility Operating Data

The following table provides selected key operating statistics for our operating facilities.

	Three Months Ended March 31,	Three Months Ended March 31,
	2012	2011
	(In millions)
Pekin, Illinois:		
Ethanol gallons produced	37.3	35.7
Corn consumed (bushels)	14.4	13.5
Nebraska Energy:		
Ethanol gallons produced	11.6	7.5
Corn consumed (bushels)	4.2	3.0
Ethanol gallons produced Corn consumed (bushels) Nebraska Energy: Ethanol gallons produced	14.4	13

Mt. Vernon:		
Ethanol gallons produced	9.2	18.6
Corn consumed (bushels)	3.3	7.0

In mid-February 2012, we temporarily brought down the Mt. Vernon facility in order to perform needed maintenance. Margins at Mt. Vernon have been under pressure due to strong corn basis in that region which could not be passed on to our customers. When margins return, we will be prepared to bring this plant back on line and continue its progress to full rate. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, production at our Nebraska facility increased 55% over the same quarter last year. This increase was primarily the product of the fermentation improvements made during the operational shutdown in

Table of Contents

mid-2011. These improvements in fermentation have led to increased yields and additional throughput.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The following table sets forth selected information concerning our financial condition:

	N	Iarch 31, 2012 (In millions, exce	cember 31, 2011 ratio)
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	20.2	\$ 36.1
Net working capital	\$	52.4	\$ 70.6
Availability under revolver	\$	16.4	\$ 20.1
Total debt	\$	216.1	\$ 216.3
Current ratio		2.94	3.12

Financing

At emergence from bankruptcy on March 15, 2010, we obtained approximately \$98 million of proceeds through the issuance of \$105 million principal amount of the Notes and 1,710,000 shares of common stock. In addition, on August 19, 2010, we issued and sold an additional \$50 million in aggregate principal amount of Notes, resulting in gross proceeds of approximately \$51 million (excluding accrued interest on the Notes through the issue date). Such Notes were redeemed on January 21, 2011 at a redemption price of 105% of the principal amount of \$155 million, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

On December 22, 2010, we entered into the Term Loan Agreement, under which the lenders provided the aggregate principal amount of \$200 million. Also on December 22, 2010, we gave notice of redemption pursuant to the indenture dated as of March 15, 2010 among ourselves, each of our direct and indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries, as guarantors, and Wilmington Trust FSB, as trustee and collateral agent, providing that we would redeem all \$155 million aggregate principal amount of Notes at a redemption price of 105% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but not including, the redemption date. Concurrently with the closing of the Term Loan Agreement, we irrevocably deposited in trust with the trustee for the Notes, \$164.8 million of the proceeds from the Term Loan Facility, funds sufficient to pay the redemption price for all \$155 million aggregate principal amount of the Notes. We redeemed such Notes on January 21, 2011. In connection with the redemption, we paid \$164.8 million, of which \$155 million related to the principal amount of the Notes, \$7.8 million related to a prepayment penalty on the Notes and \$2 million related to interest on the Notes.

On April 7, 2011, we entered into the Incremental Agreement with Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent for the lenders under the Term Loan Agreement, and Macquarie, as lender, to our Term Loan Agreement. Pursuant to the Incremental Amendment, Macquarie loaned us an aggregate principal amount equal to \$25 million, net of \$1.3 million in fees. The loan under the Incremental Amendment has substantially the same terms as the existing loans under the Term Loan Agreement, including seniority, ranking in right of payment and of security, maturity date, applicable margin and interest rate floor. We continue to be subject to all other terms and restrictions contained in the original Term Loan Agreement.

On July 20, 2011, we entered into the New Revolving Facility with the Lenders and Wells Fargo. In connection with the New Revolving Facility, the rights and obligations of the lenders under the Revolving Facility were assigned from PNC to Wells Fargo and the facility was terminated. Future borrowings under the New Revolving Facility will be used for general corporate purposes. We terminated the Revolving Credit Agreement with PNC and paid a \$0.6 million early termination fee. We capitalized \$2.9 million in debt issuance costs for the year ended December 31, 2011 related to the New Revolving Facility Agreement. These costs will be amortized using the straight-line method over the term of the New Revolving Facility Agreement. We recognized \$0.2 million of expense for the amortization of debt issuance costs related to the New Revolving Facility Agreement during the three months ended March 31,

7

Table of Contents

2012. We were in compliance with all of the covenants related to the New Revolving Facility Agreement at March 31, 2012.

On July 20, 2011, we entered into the Citi Amendment to the Term Loan Agreement with the lenders party thereto and the Term Loan Agent. Under the terms of the Citi Amendment, the amount of indebtedness that we are permitted to incur under the New Revolving Facility (including bank products and hedging obligations) is capped at \$58 million. The Citi Amendment reduces our minimum liquidity covenant for 2012 from \$25 million to \$15 million. The Citi Amendment also includes certain technical amendments to permit the New Revolving Facility.

On March 15, 2010, we entered into the Revolving Facility, which was increased to \$30 million in February 2011. The amendment required us to provide cash as security for all outstanding and undrawn letters of credit but allowed us to utilize the existing \$5 million pledged to PNC as part of the cash required to secure the letters of credit. The Revolving Facility was terminated on July 20, 2011.

As of March 31, 2012, approximately 6.4 million of the 6.8 million new common equity shares reserved for distribution to general, unsecured claimholders under the Plan have been distributed. However, because our ability to distribute shares held in reserve depends on resolving outstanding claims currently in dispute, it is difficult to predict the amount of each quarterly distribution, if any, or when all the remaining shares will be distributed.

Total liquidity at March 31, 2012 was \$36.6 million, comprised of \$20.2 million in cash and cash equivalents and \$16.4 million availability under the New Revolving Facility. As of March 31, 2012, there were no borrowings outstanding under the New Revolving Facility, and there were \$9.2 million of outstanding letters of credit issued against the New Revolving Facility.

Sources of Liquidity

Our principal sources of liquidity are cash and cash equivalents, cash provided by our borrowing facility, and cash provided by operations. If our future cash flow is insufficient to meet our debt obligations and commitments, we may be required to undertake alternative financing plans, such as: (i) refinancing or restructuring our debt, (ii) selling assets, (iii) reducing or delaying capital investments, or (iv) seeking to raise additional capital. There can be no assurance, however, that undertaking alternative financing plans would allow us to meet our debt obligations. Our inability to meet our debt obligations and commitments could lead to an event of default under the Term Loan Agreement or the New Revolving Facility Agreement. If an event of default occurs under the Term Loan Agreement, then the Term Loan Agreement or foreclose on the collateral granted to them. If an event of default occurs under the New Revolving Facility Agreement, then the lenders may terminate their commitments, accelerate repayment of the obligations, or foreclose on the collateral granted to them. In addition, an event of default under either of the Term Loan Agreement or the New Revolving Facility Agreement may lead to an event of default under the Term Loan Agreement or the New Revolving Facility Agreement, as the case may be, under certain circumstances.

In addition, our ability to execute on our growth strategy will be determined, in large part, by the availability of debt and equity capital, and we continuously evaluate our financing opportunities. Any decision regarding a financing transaction, and our ability to complete such a transaction, will depend on prevailing market conditions and other factors. Our ability to meet our liquidity requirements and execute on our growth strategy can be impacted by economic conditions outside of our control, such as the disruption in the capital and credit markets that occurred in 2008 and 2009, as well as commodity price volatility. We may be required to seek sources of capital earlier than anticipated, although the restrictions in

our New Revolving Facility Agreement and Term Loan Agreement may impair our ability to access other sources of capital, and access to additional capital may not be available on terms acceptable to us or at all.

Table of Contents

Cash and cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$15.9 million during the three months ended March 31, 2012. Cash and cash equivalents at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were \$20.2 million and \$36.1 million, respectively.

Cash provided by operations. Net cash used in operations was \$13.3 million and \$17.8 million, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011. Cash used in operations during 2012 was primarily due to operating losses as a result of the depressed margin environment. Cash used in operations during 2011 was primarily due to the start-up of our Mt. Vernon facility as well as operating issues at our Nebraska facility that were resolved at the summer shutdown.

Uses of Liquidity

Our principal uses of liquidity are payments related to our outstanding debt and liquidity facility, working capital, funding of operations and capital expenditures.

Payments related to our debt and liquidity facility. During the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, we used \$0.6 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, of cash to make required repayments of borrowings on our Term Loan Facility.

Working capital. Our net working capital position decreased by \$18.2 million to \$52.4 million at March 31, 2012 from \$70.6 million at December 31, 2011. Current assets decreased by \$24.6 million to \$79.3 million at March 31, 2012 from \$103.9 million at December 31, 2011 primarily related to a decrease in cash due to operating losses. Current liabilities decreased by \$6.3 million to \$27.0 million at March 31, 2012 from \$33.3 million at December 31, 2011 primarily related to a decrease in deferred revenue and accounts payable.

Capital expenditures. During the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, we spent approximately \$1.9 million and \$7.9 million, respectively, on capital projects. Of the \$1.9 million spent during the first quarter of 2012, \$1.2 million was spent in Canton, Illinois and \$0.4 million was spent on improvements in Pekin, Illinois. Of the \$7.9 million spent during the first quarter of 2011, \$4 million was spent on our capacity expansion project in Aurora, Nebraska and \$2.7 million was spent on our capacity expansion project in Mt. Vernon, Indiana.

We expect the Aurora West facility to become operational on or before July 1, 2012 and the Canton facility to become operational in late 2012, subject to weather conditions, commodity prices, and the availability of working capital. See Liquidity Outlook below.

Liquidity Outlook

Our ability to maintain adequate liquidity depends in part upon industry conditions and general economic, financial, competitive, regulatory, and other factors beyond our control. We expect our earnings and cash flow to vary significantly from year to year due to the cyclical nature of our

industry. As a result, the amount of debt we can manage in some periods may not be appropriate for us in other periods. Additionally, our future cash flow may be insufficient to meet our debt obligations and commitments. Any insufficiency could negatively impact our business. A range of economic, competitive, business and industry factors will affect our future financial performance, and, as a result, our ability to generate cash flow from operations, and to pay our debt. Many of these factors, such as ethanol prices, corn prices, economic and financial conditions in our industry and the global economy or competitive initiatives of our competitors are beyond our control.

At March 31, 2012, we had \$20.2 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$52.4 million in net working capital. Additionally, at March 31, 2012, we had availability under the New Revolving Facility of approximately \$16.4 million. We depend on the New Revolving Facility Agreement for future working

Table of Contents

capital needs. If there is an event of default by us under the New Revolving Facility Agreement that continues beyond any applicable cure period, resulting in amounts outstanding becoming immediately due and payable, or if our qualifying inventory and accounts receivable decline such that our borrowing base is limited, we may not have sufficient funds available to repay such borrowings or we may be unable to borrow a sufficient amount to fund our operations. In the event that cash flows and borrowings under the New Revolving Facility Agreement are not sufficient to meet our cash requirements, we may be required to seek additional financing.

Our liquidity position is significantly influenced by our operating results, which in turn are substantially dependent on commodity prices, especially prices for corn, ethanol, natural gas, and unleaded gasoline. As a result, adverse commodity price movements adversely impact our liquidity. Often, movements in commodity prices are well correlated such that increases or decreases in commodities movements provide a predictable change in our liquidity. However, in the last three years, there have been periods of time in which other economic factors cause a significant deterioration in commodity price correlations such that our ability to predict our liquidity level may be significantly diminished. Accordingly, we can provide no assurance that the amounts of cash available from operations, together with the New Revolving Facility, will be sufficient to fund our operations.

Under our Term Loan Agreement, we are required to maintain a minimum liquidity position, comprised of available cash and borrowing capacity under our New Revolving Facility Agreement, which will increase from \$15 million to \$25 million beginning in 2013. Based on current commodity prices and market conditions, our liquidity forecast may indicate a need to defer start-up of our Canton facility beyond 2012. If we do not generate enough cash flow from operations to satisfy our principal uses of liquidity, we may have to undertake alternative financing plans, such as refinancing or restructuring our debt, selling assets, reducing or delaying capital investments or raising additional capital. In addition, under our Term Loan Agreement, we are required to maintain a debt to total capitalization ratio of no greater than 0.65 to 1.0. If we continue to incur significant operating losses, there is a risk that we may be in default of maintaining this debt capitalization ratio during 2012.

Despite the risks identified associated with our liquidity and our forecasted operating cash flows, we believe that we have sufficient liquidity through our cash and cash equivalents, cash from operations and borrowing capacity under our New Revolving Facility Agreement to meet our short-term and long-term normal recurring operating needs, debt service obligations, contingencies, and capital expenditures. We also believe that the additional avenues available to preserve liquidity in the event of an industry or economic downturn are adequate to allow us to continue operations. However, there is no assurance that undertaking alternative financing plans, if necessary, would allow us to meet our debt obligations.

Environmental Matters

We are subject to extensive federal, state and local environmental, health and safety laws, regulations and permit conditions (and interpretations thereof), including, among other things, those relating to the discharge of hazardous and other waste materials into the air, water and ground, the generation, storage, handling, use, transportation and/or disposal of hazardous materials, and the health and safety of our employees. We are also subject to potential liability for the investigation and cleanup of environmental contamination at each of the properties that we own or operate and at off-site locations where we arranged for the disposal of hazardous wastes. We may also be subject to related claims by private parties alleging property damage or personal injury due to exposure to hazardous or other materials at or from such properties or other impacts of our operations. We may be adversely affected by environmental, health, and safety laws, regulations, and liabilities.

For more information about our environmental compliance and actual and potential environmental liabilities, see Business Environmental and Regulatory Matters in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to various market risks, including changes in commodity prices and changes in interest rates.

Commodity Price Risks

In the ordinary course of business, we may enter into various types of transactions involving financial instruments to manage and reduce the impact of changes in commodity prices, including price risk on anticipated purchases of corn, natural gas and the sale of ethanol. We do not enter into derivatives or other financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

We are subject to market risk with respect to the price and availability of corn, the principal raw material we use to produce ethanol and ethanol by-products. In general, rising corn prices result in lower profit margins and, therefore, represent unfavorable market conditions. This is especially true when market conditions do not allow us to pass along increased corn costs to our customers. The availability and price of corn is subject to wide fluctuations due to unpredictable factors such as weather conditions, farmer planting decisions, governmental policies with respect to agriculture and international trade, and global demand and supply. Our weighted-average gross corn cost for the first quarter of 2012 was approximately 1.7% higher than the first quarter of 2011.

We have firm-price purchase commitments with some of our corn suppliers under which we agree to buy corn at a price set in advance of the actual delivery of that corn to us. Under these arrangements, we assume the risk of a decrease in the market price of corn between the time this price is fixed and the time the corn is delivered. At March 31, 2012, we had firm-price purchase commitments to purchase approximately 1.5 million bushels of corn at an average fixed price of \$6.67 per bushel for delivery through April 2012, and future contracts to purchase 50 thousand bushels of corn. At December 31, 2011, we had firm-price purchase commitments to purchase approximately 1.2 million bushels of corn for delivery through February 2012. We also had future contracts to sell 45 thousand bushels of corn. We have elected to account for these transactions as normal purchases under ASC 815, and accordingly, did not mark these transactions to market.

From time to time, we enter into commodity futures contracts in connection with the purchase of corn to reduce our risk of future price increases. We account for these transactions under ASC 815. These futures contracts are not designated as hedges and, therefore, are marked to market each period, with corresponding gains and losses recorded in Gain (loss) on derivative transactions, net on the condensed consolidated statements of operations. The fair value of these derivative contracts is recognized in other current assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheet, net of any cash received from the brokers. At March 31, 2012, we had 10 long May 2012 corn futures contracts (50,000 bushels) at an average price of \$6.36 per bushel. At December 31, 2011, we had 9 short March 2012 corn futures contracts (45,000 bushels) at an average price of \$6.34 per bushel.

We are also subject to market risk with respect to ethanol pricing. Our ethanol sales are priced using contracts that can either be based upon a fixed price, based upon the price of wholesale gasoline plus or minus a fixed amount, or based upon a market price at the time of shipment. We sometimes fix the price at which we sell ethanol using fixed price physical delivery contracts. At March 31, 2012, we had fixed-priced contracts to sell 2 million gallons of ethanol at an average price of \$2.16 per gallon. At December 31, 2011, we had fixed-priced contracts to sell 3.9 million gallons of ethanol. These sale transactions would not be marked to market as they qualify for the normal sales exemption under ASC

815.

From time to time, we also sell forward ethanol using contracts where the price is determined at a point in the future based upon an index plus or minus a fixed amount. At March 31, 2012, we had sold 36.8 million gallons of ethanol at index prices using Platt and OPIS indices. At December 31, 2011, we had sold

11

Table of Contents

58.1 million gallons of ethanol at index prices using Platt and OPIS indices. When we have these arrangements, we assume the risk of a price decrease in the market price of gasoline. In order to reduce our market exposure to price decreases, at the time we enter into a firm sales commitment, we may also enter into commodity forward contracts to sell a like amount of gasoline at the then-current price for delivery to the counterparty at a later date. We account for these transactions under ASC 815. These forward contracts are not designated as hedges and, therefore, are marked to market each period, with corresponding gains and losses recorded in Gain (loss) on derivative transactions, net on the condensed consolidated statements of operations. The fair value of these derivative liabilities is recognized in other current assets or current liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheets, net of any cash paid to brokers.

From time to time, we enter into commodity futures contracts in connection with the sale of ethanol. We account for these transactions under ASC 815. These futures contracts are not designated as hedges and, therefore, are marked to market each period, with corresponding gains and losses recorded in Gain (loss) on derivative transactions, net on the condensed consolidated statements of operations. The fair value of these derivative contracts is recognized in other current assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheet, net of any cash received from the brokers. At March 31, 2012, we had no open ethanol futures contracts. At March 31, 2012, there were 210 thousand gallons of Platts swaps that were expired but were still subject to settlement. At December 31, 2011, we were long 210 thousand gallons of Platts swaps and there were 3.1 gallons of Platts swaps that were expired but were expired but were still subject to settlement.

We may also be subject to market risk with respect to our supply of natural gas which is consumed during the production of ethanol and its co-products and has historically been subject to volatile market conditions. Natural gas prices and availability are affected by weather conditions, overall economic conditions and foreign and domestic governmental regulation. At March 31, 2012, we had purchased forward 259,500 MMBtus of natural gas at an average fixed price of \$2.05 per MMBtu through April 2012. At December 31, 2011, we had purchased forward 527,000 MMBtus of natural gas at an average fixed price of \$3.28 per MMBtu through January 2012. We have elected to account for these transactions as normal purchases under ASC 815 and, accordingly, have not marked these transactions to market.

We prepared a sensitivity analysis to estimate our exposure to market risk of our daily net commodity position. Our daily net commodity position consists of merchandisable agricultural commodity inventories, related purchase and sale contracts, and exchange-traded futures and exchange-traded and over-the-counter option contracts, including those contracts used to hedge portions of production requirements. The fair value of such daily net commodity position is a summation of the fair values calculated for each commodity by valuing each net position at quoted futures prices. Market risk is estimated as the potential loss in fair value resulting from a hypothetical 10% adverse change in such prices. Based on the analysis performed, our highest position at March 31, 2012 had a fair value of \$8.4 million, which would result in a \$0.8 million market risk. Our lowest position at March 31, 2012 had a fair value of \$65 thousand, which would result in a \$65 thousand market risk. Our average position at March 31, 2012 was approximately \$3.2 million, for an average market risk of \$0.32 million.

Interest Rate Risk

The primary market risk associated with the Term Loan Agreement is sensitivity to changes in the interest rate. Borrowings under the Term Loan Agreement will bear interest at (i) LIBOR (2% floor) plus 8.5% per annum or (ii) the alternate base rate plus 7.5% per annum. See Note 8 in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for more details. The risk management strategies that we employ use various risk sensitivity metrics to measure such risks and to examine behavior under significant adverse market conditions. We performed a sensitivity analysis that measures the change in interest expense on our variable rate debt arising from a hypothetical 100 basis point adverse movement in interest rates. Based on our outstanding variable rate debt as of March 31, 2012, a hypothetical 100 basis point change in interest rates would not impact our interest expense because the adjusted LIBOR rate as of March 31, 2012 was 0.47% compared to our minimum Term Loan Facility adjusted LIBOR rate of 2.00%

Table of Contents
per annum according to the Term Loan Agreement.
Material Limitations
The disclosures with respect to the above noted risks do not take into account the underlying commitments or anticipated transactions. If the underlying items were included in the analysis, the gains or losses on the futures contracts may be offset. Actual results will be determined by a number of factors that are not generally under our control and could vary significantly from those results disclosed.
We are exposed to credit losses in the event of nonperformance by counterparties on the above instruments, as well as credit or performance risk

with respect to our hedged commitments. Although nonperformance is possible, we do not anticipate nonperformance by any of these parties.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision of and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer, John W. Castle, and our Chief Financial Officer, Calvin Stewart, the Company carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. These disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in such reports is accumulated and communicated to our management, including Messrs. Castle and Stewart, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding the required disclosure. The design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events. There can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goal under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote.

On September 24, 2012, it was determined by the Company that the Company's unaudited interim consolidated financial statements contained in the Company's Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the first quarter of fiscal 2012, requires restatement to reflect a correction of an error in the basic and diluted weighted-average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding and the basic and diluted losses per common share amounts. Consequently, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of March 31, 2012, the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective. This was due to deficiencies that existed in the design or operation of our internal controls over financial reporting that adversely affected our internal controls and that were considered to be a material weakness.

Management s Remediation Initiatives

In an effort to remediate the identified material weakness and enhance our internal controls, we have added an additional internal control in 2012 to specifically review the basic and diluted earnings per share calculations each quarter to ensure the calculations are performed correctly. Management believes that adding the additional internal control will remediate the error that caused the material weakness.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no other additional change in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report, other than those noted above, which has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are from time to time involved in various legal proceedings, including legal proceedings relating to the extensive environmental laws and regulations that apply to our facilities and operations. We are not involved in any legal proceedings, other than those described herein, that we believe could have a material adverse effect upon our business, operating results, financial condition or cash flows. See Note 10 in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for a discussion of material legal proceedings.

There have been no significant developments in the legal proceedings described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 other than those disclosed in Note 10 in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the risk factors under Part I, Item 1A. of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 other than noted below.

If we continue to incur significant operating losses, there is a risk that we may not be able to comply with our financial covenants.

Under our Term Loan Agreement, we are required to maintain a minimum liquidity position, comprised of available cash and borrowing capacity under our New Revolving Facility Agreement, which will increase from \$15 million to \$25 million beginning in 2013. Based on current commodity prices and market conditions, our liquidity forecast may indicate a need to defer start-up of our Canton facility beyond 2012. If we do not generate enough cash flow from operations to satisfy our principal uses of liquidity, we may have to undertake alternative financing plans, such as refinancing or restructuring our debt, selling assets, reducing or delaying capital investments or raising additional capital. In addition, under our Term Loan Agreement, we are required to maintain a debt to total capitalization ratio of no greater than 0.65 to 1.0. If we continue to incur significant operating losses, there is a risk that we may be in default of maintaining this debt capitalization ratio during 2012.

We are parties to a contract governing the development of the Aurora West Facility. In the event we do not meet our obligations with respect to the construction and completion of the plant pursuant to the terms of the contract, the Aurora Co-operative may have the right to acquire the real estate which could have material adverse effect on our business and operations.

On or about March 23, 2010, in accordance with the terms of a stipulation filed with the Bankruptcy Court, we paid approximately \$2.1 million to the Aurora Co-operative, representing penalties of (i) approximately \$0.8 million, arising under a Master Development Agreement with the Aurora Co-operative for failure to complete construction of the Aurora West facility, for the period of July 1, 2009 through and including

December 31, 2009, and (ii) approximately \$1.3 million for failing to complete the Aurora West facility by September 30, 2010 for each month starting from January 1, 2010 through and including September 30, 2010. Beginning on October 1, 2010, we have been subjected to additional penalties of \$138,889 per month, and will remain subject to these penalties until the plant (although constructed) is operational or total penalties equaling \$5.0 million have been paid by us, whichever comes first. The Aurora West facility was substantially completed by the end of the fourth quarter of 2010. We are using commercially reasonable efforts to cause the Aurora West facility to become operational and begin operations on or before July 1, 2012.

Under the terms of an amendment relating to the Master Development Agreement and the related agreement for the Purchase and Sale of Aurora Co-operative Real Estate, if we fail to diligently pursue

Table of Contents

construction of the Aurora West plant to completion by July 1, 2012, the Aurora Co-operative has the option to repurchase the property at \$16,500 per acre (subject to adjustment). Based upon conversations with representatives of the Aurora Co-operative, we understand that the Aurora Co-operative will seek to exercise the option for the real estate and the plant if the Aurora West facility has not produced ethanol at a certain rate for thirty consecutive business days by July 31, 2012. It is anticipated that the Aurora West facility will not have produced ethanol for thirty consecutive business days by such date. We dispute that it is necessary under the terms of the option that the Aurora West facility is required to have produced ethanol for thirty consecutive business days by July 31, 2012 in order to prevent the Aurora Co-operative from exercising the repurchase option. In addition, we dispute that the scope of the option includes any assets other than certain portions of the real estate. Although we have had discussions with representatives of the Aurora Co-operative to resolve this matter, there is no assurance that we will be able to achieve a resolution of this dispute. Moreover, the undertaking of litigation or entering into a settlement agreement may have a material and adverse effect on our liquidity position and results of operations.

Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
None.	
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities
None.	
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures
Not Applicable.	
Item 5.	Other Information
None.	
Item 6.	Exhibits
Exhibit Number 10.1	Description

Separation Agreement between Ben Borgen and Aventine Renewable Energy Holdings, Inc., dated March 19, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Aventine s Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 20, 2012).

- 31.1 Certificate of Chief Executive Officer of Aventine Renewable Energy Holdings, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 31.2 Certificate of Chief Financial Officer of Aventine Renewable Energy Holdings, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13(a)-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereto duly authorized.

AVENTINE RENEWABLE ENERGY HOLDINGS, INC.

Dated: October 4, 2012 By: /s/ Calvin Stewart
Name: Calvin Stewart

Name: Calvin Stewart
Title: Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

17