

THORATEC CORP
Form 10-Q
August 02, 2012
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark one)

Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

Or

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from to

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 000-49798

THORATEC CORPORATION

Edgar Filing: THORATEC CORP - Form 10-Q

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

California

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation
or organization)

94-2340464

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

6035 Stoneridge Drive, Pleasanton, California

(Address of principal executive offices)

94588

(Zip Code)

(925) 847-8600

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act): Yes No

As of July 27, 2012, the registrant had 58,822,750 shares of common stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

THORATEC CORPORATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>Part I. Financial Information</u> | 2 |
| <u>Item 1. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 2 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011</u> | 2 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and July 2, 2011</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and July 2, 2011</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and July 2, 2011</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 6 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 23 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure of Market Risk</u> | 30 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 30 |
| <u>Part II. Other Information</u> | 31 |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 31 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | 31 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 32 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 33 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 34 |
| Exhibits | |
| <u>EX-10.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.2</u> | |
| <u>EX-32.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-32.2</u> | |
| EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT | |
| EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT | |
| EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT | |
| EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT | |
| EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT | |

Thoratec, the Thoratec logo, Thoralon, HeartMate, HeartMate II, and GoGear are registered trademarks of Thoratec Corporation, and IVAD is a trademark of Thoratec Corporation.

CentriMag and PediMag are registered trademarks of Thoratec LLC and PediVAS is a registered trademark of Thoratec Switzerland GmbH.

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

THORATEC CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(unaudited)

(in thousands)

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|---------------|-------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 135,939 | \$ 42,661 |
| Short-term available-for-sale investments | 132,886 | 150,753 |
| Receivables, net of allowances of \$1,964 and \$2,153, respectively | 67,193 | 59,292 |
| Inventories | 46,040 | 55,691 |
| Deferred tax assets | 10,125 | 10,116 |
| Income tax receivable | 7,248 | 12,112 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 11,042 | 6,640 |
| Total current assets | 410,473 | 337,265 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 40,839 | 38,928 |
| Goodwill | 189,873 | 191,193 |
| Purchased intangible assets, net | 86,631 | 92,279 |
| Long-term available-for-sale investments | 10,983 | 16,090 |
| Other long-term assets | 5,118 | 5,233 |
| Total Assets | \$ 743,917 | \$ 680,988 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 16,130 | \$ 12,559 |
| Accrued compensation | 15,947 | 15,739 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 20,720 | 14,936 |
| Total current liabilities | 52,797 | 43,234 |
| Long-term deferred tax liability | 18,802 | 20,429 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 11,223 | 10,823 |
| Contingent liabilities (Note 2) | 17,115 | 22,052 |
| Total Liabilities | 99,937 | 96,538 |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Common shares: no par, authorized 100,000; issued and outstanding 58,771 and 58,368 as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively | | |
| Additional paid-in capital | 594,349 | 578,293 |
| Retained earnings | 66,874 | 24,190 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss: | | |

Edgar Filing: THORATEC CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----|----------|----|----------|
| Unrealized loss on investments | | (1,039) | | (1,664) |
| Cumulative translation adjustments | | (16,204) | | (16,369) |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive loss | | (17,243) | | (18,033) |
| Total Shareholders' Equity | | 643,980 | | 584,450 |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | \$ | 743,917 | \$ | 680,988 |

See notes to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

THORATEC CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(unaudited)

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 |
| Product sales | \$ 118,659 | \$ 111,221 | \$ 245,428 | \$ 210,751 |
| Cost of product sales | 36,022 | 34,342 | 74,909 | 66,114 |
| Gross profit | 82,637 | 76,879 | 170,519 | 144,637 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 32,013 | 26,824 | 63,214 | 51,743 |
| Research and development | 19,808 | 15,799 | 39,504 | 31,553 |
| Total operating expenses | 51,821 | 42,623 | 102,718 | 83,296 |
| Income from operations | 30,816 | 34,256 | 67,801 | 61,341 |
| Other income and (expense): | | | | |
| Interest expense and other | | (1,767) | (3) | (4,647) |
| Interest income and other | 88 | 488 | 822 | 1,243 |
| Income before income taxes | 30,904 | 32,977 | 68,620 | 57,937 |
| Income tax expense | (10,096) | (11,195) | (22,326) | (19,696) |
| Net income | \$ 20,808 | \$ 21,782 | \$ 46,294 | \$ 38,241 |
| Net Income per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.79 | \$ 0.66 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.78 | \$ 0.63 |
| Shares used to compute income per share: | | | | |
| Basic | 58,737 | 58,186 | 58,587 | 58,060 |
| Diluted | 59,518 | 63,213 | 59,513 | 64,590 |

See notes to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**THORATEC CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME****(unaudited)****(in thousands)**

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 |
| Net income | \$ 20,808 | \$ 21,782 | \$ 46,294 | \$ 38,241 |
| Unrealized gains on investments (net of taxes of \$405 and \$42 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and July 2, 2011, respectively, and \$420 and \$137 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and July 2, 2011, respectively) | 598 | 54 | 625 | 195 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | (1,466) | 341 | 165 | 1,190 |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | (868) | 395 | 790 | 1,385 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 19,940 | \$ 22,177 | \$ 47,084 | \$ 39,626 |

See notes to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

THORATEC CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(unaudited)

(in thousands)

| | Six Months Ended | |
|---|------------------|-----------------|
| | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 |
| Cash flows from continuing operating activities: | | |
| Net Income | \$ 46,294 | \$ 38,241 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 9,738 | 8,196 |
| Investment premium amortization, net | 1,049 | 2,271 |
| Non-cash interest income and other | 351 | 913 |
| Non-cash interest expense | | 2,815 |
| Tax benefit related to stock options | 1,624 | 902 |
| Share-based compensation expense | 10,467 | 7,803 |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation | (1,679) | (910) |
| Loss on disposal of assets | 42 | 135 |
| Change in net deferred taxes | (1,672) | (2,888) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Receivables | (8,233) | (2,406) |
| Inventories | 7,858 | (3,210) |
| Other current and non-current assets | 214 | 160 |
| Accounts payable | 3,004 | (2,831) |
| Income taxes, net | 3,303 | 3,156 |
| Other current and non-current liabilities | 2,206 | (8,178) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 74,566 | 44,169 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchases of available-for-sale investments | (87,595) | (196,448) |
| Sales and maturities of available-for-sale investments | 110,160 | 404,855 |
| Purchases of property, plant and equipment, net | (3,937) | (4,461) |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 18,628 | 203,946 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Payment of contingent consideration | (1,518) | |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation | 1,679 | 910 |
| Proceeds from stock option exercises | 3,671 | 6,949 |
| Proceeds from stock issued under the employee stock purchase plan | 1,896 | 1,886 |
| Repurchase and retirement of common shares | (5,352) | (53,654) |
| Extinguishment of senior subordinated convertible notes | | (164,429) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 376 | (208,338) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (292) | (500) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 93,278 | 39,277 |
| Net cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 42,661 | 56,887 |
| Net cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 135,939 | \$ 96,164 |

Edgar Filing: THORATEC CORP - Form 10-Q

Supplemental disclosure of consolidated cash flow information:

| | | | | |
|------------------------|----|--------|----|--------|
| Cash paid for taxes | \$ | 18,947 | \$ | 18,518 |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ | 3 | \$ | 1,679 |

Supplemental disclosure of consolidated non-cash investing and financing activities:

| | | | | |
|---|----|-------|----|--------|
| Transfers of equipment from inventory | \$ | 1,686 | \$ | 1,392 |
| Purchases of property, plant and equipment through accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ | 916 | \$ | 7 |
| Issuance of shares for extinguishment of senior subordinated convertible notes | \$ | | \$ | 82,711 |

See notes to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

THORATEC CORPORATION

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Operations and Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The interim unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Thoratec Corporation (we, our, us, or the Company) have been prepared and presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), without audit, and reflect all adjustments necessary (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) to present fairly our financial position, results of operations and cash flows as of and for the periods presented. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in our annual financial statements, prepared in accordance with GAAP, have been condensed or omitted. The accompanying financial statements should be read in conjunction with our fiscal 2011 consolidated financial statements, and the accompanying notes thereto, filed with the SEC in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K (the 2011 Annual Report). The operating results for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any future period.

The preparation of our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements necessarily requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities on the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet dates and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses for the periods presented. The actual amounts could differ from those estimated amounts.

Financial Statement Presentation Matters

Subsequent to the issuance of our condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended July 2, 2011, management determined that amortization of core technology and developed technology should have been presented within cost of product sales. In addition, amortization of patents and trademarks for the same period has been reclassified to selling, general and administrative expenses to conform to current period presentation. Previously, amortization of these purchased intangible assets was reported as a separate line item within operating expenses.

The impact of the correction and reclassification on specific line items in our condensed consolidated statements of operations is presented below:

| Three Months Ended July 2, 2011 | | Six Months Ended July 2, 2011 | |
|------------------------------------|----|----------------------------------|----|
| As previously reported | As | As previously reported | As |

Edgar Filing: THORATEC CORP - Form 10-Q

| | reported | | reported | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|----|---------|----|---------|
| | (in thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Cost of product sales | \$ | 32,410 | \$ | 34,342 | \$ | 62,145 | \$ | 66,114 |
| Gross profit | | 78,811 | | 76,879 | | 148,606 | | 144,637 |
| Selling, general, and administrative expenses | | 26,559 | | 26,824 | | 51,213 | | 51,743 |
| Amortization of purchased intangible assets | | 2,197 | | | | 4,499 | | |
| Total operating expenses | | 44,555 | | 42,623 | | 87,265 | | 83,296 |

This had no impact on previously reported product sales, income before taxes, net income, earnings per share, or any consolidated balance sheet or statement of cash flow categories.

New Accounting Standards Adopted

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) amended existing rules covering fair value measurement and disclosure to clarify guidance and minimize differences between U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). The new guidance requires entities to provide information about valuation techniques and unobservable inputs used in Level 3 fair value measurements and a narrative description of the sensitivity of Level 3 measurements to changes in unobservable inputs. We adopted this standard in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 and it did not have an impact on our financial statements but did expand our disclosures about our Level 3 fair value measurements. Refer to Note 3 for additional information.

In September 2011, the FASB modified existing rules to allow entities to use a qualitative approach to test goodwill for impairment. The revised guidance permits an entity to perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair

Table of Contents

value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. If impairment were deemed more likely than not, management would perform the currently prescribed two-step goodwill impairment test. Otherwise, the two-step goodwill impairment test is not required. We became subject to this standard starting in fiscal year 2012 and we will consider whether to implement these qualitative factors during our annual goodwill assessment in the fourth quarter of each year. Adoption of this amended standard is not expected to have an impact on our results of operations or financial position.

Note 2. Levitronix Medical

On August 3, 2011, we acquired 100 percent of the medical business of Levitronix LLC (Levitronix Medical) for an upfront cash payment of \$110 million, plus additional cash earn-out amounts (not to exceed \$40 million in aggregate) payable annually over the next four years contingent upon achievement of certain product revenue targets. The earn out is calculated based on 36 percent of sales from Levitronix Medical in excess of sales of approximately \$24 million per year over the four-year period commencing from the date of acquisition. The fair value of the contingent consideration is calculated using the discounted cash flow approach, utilizing various revenue assumptions and applying a probability to each outcome. Under our various revenue assumptions, the estimated undiscounted range of outcomes was from \$9.7 million to \$37.4 million. The fair value of the contingent consideration as of the acquisition date was estimated and recorded at \$23.6 million. The fair value of the contingent consideration is remeasured at the estimated fair value at each reporting period with the change in fair value recorded within operating expense within our condensed consolidated statements of operations. Actual amounts paid may differ from the obligations recorded. During the first quarter of 2012, we paid out \$1.5 million of the contingent consideration related to sales in 2011. As of June 30, 2012, the fair value of the contingent consideration was approximately \$22.0 million, of which \$4.9 million is included in Other current liabilities and \$17.1 million is reported in Contingent liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Prior to the acquisition, we distributed and provided clinical support for the CentriMag in the U.S. under an agreement that would have expired at the end of 2011. We had also collaborated with Levitronix Medical on the development of the fully magnetically levitated motor technology employed in the HeartMate III left ventricular assist system, which is currently in preclinical testing. This acquisition allowed us to acquire the CentriMag Acute Circulatory System (CentriMag) and PediMag/PediVAS Acute Circulatory System (PediMad/PediVAS) product lines and completely secures the fully magnetically levitated patented technology related to the HeartMate III.

In accordance with accounting standards for business combinations, we accounted for the acquisition of Levitronix Medical under the acquisition method. Under the acquisition method, the assets and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition are recorded in the consolidated financial statements at their respective fair values at the acquisition date. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the acquired net assets has been recorded as goodwill in the amount of \$113.0 million. Levitronix Medical's results of operations are included in the consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition.

The determination of the estimated fair value of the acquired assets and liabilities requires management to make significant estimates and assumptions. We determined the fair value by applying established valuation techniques, based on information that management believed to be relevant to this determination. We also hired independent third parties to assist in the valuation of purchased intangible assets, goodwill and contingent consideration.

The purchase price consideration of cash and the fair value of the contingent earn-out consideration were as follows:

Edgar Filing: THORATEC CORP - Form 10-Q

| | (in thousands) | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Cash | \$ | 110,000 |
| Contingent consideration earn-out | | 23,570 |
| Total fair value consideration | \$ | 133,570 |

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the purchase price allocation of the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition:

| | (in thousands) | Amortization Period |
|--|----------------|------------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Short-term: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 26 | |
| Accounts receivable | 2,300 | |
| Inventory | 6,179 | |
| Other current assets | 11 | |
| Long-term: | | |
| Property, plant and equipment | 185 | |
| Identifiable purchased intangible assets | | |
| Developed technology | 6,270 | 3 to 10 years |
| Patents and trademarks | 2,700 | 10 years |
| Pre-existing license agreements | 2,300 | 7 years |
| Customer based relationships and other | 4,270 | 3 to 6 years |
| Goodwill | 113,034 | |
| Deferred tax asset | 1,144 | |
| Total Assets | 138,419 | |
| Liabilities | | |
| Short-term: | | |
| Accrued liabilities | 1,419 | |
| Warranty accrual | 161 | |
| Contingent liabilities | 580 | |
| Long-term: | | |
| Deferred tax liability | 3,269 | |
| Contingent liabilities | 22,990 | |
| Net Assets Purchased | \$ 110,000 | |

All straight-line methods of amortization above are based on the expected pattern of future benefits related to those respective intangible assets.

We expensed \$3.6 million for all legal, consulting and other costs directly related to the acquisition and have recorded these costs as a component of selling, general and administrative expenses in 2011.

Goodwill of approximately \$113.0 million represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the underlying net tangible and intangible assets and represents the future economic benefits of maintaining the access to the U.S. CentriMag market and expected synergies. The majority of goodwill is deductible for U.S. tax purposes, but non-deductible for foreign tax purposes.

The following table includes unaudited pro forma financial information for the six months ended July 2, 2011 as if the acquisition of Levitronix Medical had occurred as of the beginning of the 2010 period. The pro forma financial information is provided for comparative purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of what actual results would have been had the acquisition occurred as of the beginning of 2010 period, nor does it give effect to synergies, cost savings, fair market value adjustments, profit in inventory, immaterial depreciation expense and other changes expected to result from the acquisition. Accordingly, the pro forma financial results do not purport to be indicative of consolidated results of operations as of the date hereof, for any period ended on the date hereof, or for any other future date or period.

Edgar Filing: THORATEC CORP - Form 10-Q

Six Months
Ended
July 2, 2011
(in thousands)

| | | |
|---------------------|----|---------|
| Product sales | \$ | 217,476 |
| Income before taxes | | 69,258 |
| Net Income | | 43,467 |

Table of Contents

The consolidated pro forma results include the following non-recurring pro forma adjustments that were directly attributable to the acquisition:

- Amortization expense related to the acquired intangible assets of \$1.3 million for the six months ended July 2, 2011.
- Actual acquisition-related transaction costs incurred of \$1.4 million during the six months ended July 2, 2011 were excluded from the pro forma consolidated results of operations for the six months ended July 2, 2011.
- Fair value adjustment related to inventory was excluded from the pro forma results above for the six months ended July 2, 2011, as the fair value adjustment was recorded in 2010 as if the inventory as of the acquisition date was sold in the 2010 period.
- Intercompany revenues were excluded from the pro forma consolidated results of operations as if Levitronix Medical operations had been consolidated at the beginning of fiscal 2010.

Pro forma adjustments were tax-effected using our effective tax rate for the six months ended July 2, 2011.

Note 3. Fair Value Measurements

Our financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value are primarily comprised of investments in money market funds, municipal and corporate bonds, variable demand notes, auction rate securities, derivative contracts, certain investments held as assets under the deferred compensation plan, and the contingent consideration in connection with the Levitronix Medical acquisition. The fair value accounting guidance requires that assets and liabilities be carried at fair value and classified in one of the following three categories:

Level 1: Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that we have the ability to access

Level 2: Observable market based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data such as quoted prices, interest rates and yield curves

Level 3: Inputs that are unobservable data points that are not corroborated by market data

Edgar Filing: THORATEC CORP - Form 10-Q

We review the fair value hierarchy classification on a quarterly basis. Changes in the ability to observe valuation inputs may result in a reclassification of levels of certain securities within the fair value hierarchy. We recognize transfers into and out of levels within the fair value hierarchy in which the actual event or change in circumstances that caused the transfer occurs. There were no transfers among Level 1, Level 2, and Level 3 during either the six months ended June 30, 2012 or the six months ended July 2, 2011.

Table of Contents

The following table represents the fair value hierarchy for our financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

| | Total Fair Value | Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
|---|------------------|---|---|---|
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| As of June 30, 2012: | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Cash equivalents: | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 97,300 | \$ 97,300 | \$ | \$ |
| Municipal bonds | 19,544 | | 19,544 | |
| Short-term investments: | | | | |
| Municipal bonds | 94,107 | | 94,107 | |
| Variable demand notes | 21,195 | | 21,195 | |
| Corporate bonds | 17,584 | | 17,584 | |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets: | | | | |
| Mark-to-market on foreign exchange contracts | 5,394 | | 5,394 | |
| Long-term investments: | | | | |
| Auction rate securities | 10,983 | | | 10,983 |
| Other long-term assets: | | | | |
| Investments included in our deferred compensation plan | 2,315 | | 2,315 | |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Other accrued liabilities: | | | | |
| Mark-to-market on foreign exchange contracts | \$ 58 | \$ | \$ 58 | \$ |
| Contingent consideration (\$4.9 million included in Other accrued liabilities ; \$17.1 million included in Contingent liabilities) | 22,052 | | | 22,052 |

| | Total Fair Value | Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
|--|------------------|---|---|---|
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| As of December 31, 2011: | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Cash equivalents: | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 37,986 | \$ 37,986 | \$ | \$ |
| Short-term investments: | | | | |
| Municipal bonds | 97,560 | | 97,560 | |
| Variable demand notes | 48,800 | | 48,800 | |
| Corporate bonds | 4,393 | | 4,393 | |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets: | | | | |
| Mark to market on foreign exchange contracts | 674 | | 674 | |
| Long-term investments: | | | | |
| Auction rate securities | 16,090 | | | 16,090 |
| Other long-term assets: | | | | |

Edgar Filing: THORATEC CORP - Form 10-Q

Investments included in our deferred
compensation plan

2,171

2,171

Liabilities:

Contingent consideration (\$1.5 million
included in Other accrued liabilities ;
\$22.1 million included in Contingent
liabilities)

\$ 23,570 \$

\$ 23,570

Table of Contents

Financial assets and liabilities are considered Level 2 when their fair values are determined using inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data such as pricing for similar securities, recently executed transactions, cash flow models with yield curves, and benchmark securities. Our Level 2 financial assets and liabilities include short-term investments, foreign exchange instruments and certain of our deferred compensation plan securities. In addition, Level 2 financial instruments are valued using comparisons to like-kind financial instruments and models that use readily observable market data as their basis.

Financial assets and liabilities are considered Level 3 when their fair values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, and at least one significant model assumption or input is unobservable. Level 3 financial assets include the auction rate securities for which there is limited market activity such that the determination of fair value requires significant judgment or estimation. The auction rate securities were valued using a discounted cash-flow model over a five-year period based on estimated interest rates, the present value of future principal payments, and interest payments discounted at rates considered to reflect the current market conditions and the credit quality of auction rate securities. In addition, Level 3 financial liabilities include the contingent consideration related to the acquisition of Levitronix Medical because the fair value includes significant management judgment or estimation. The contingent consideration was valued using discounted cash flow models for five revenue scenarios that include a base case (the most likely scenario), two scenarios that incorporate the likelihood of achieving lower revenues than the estimated base case, and two scenarios that incorporate the likelihood of achieving higher revenues than the estimated base case. To calculate the fair value of the contingent considerations, the probability of the fair value of each scenario was weighted.

Available-for-sale investments are carried at fair value and are included in the tables above under short- and long-term investments. The aggregate market value, amortized cost basis and gross unrealized gains and losses of available-for-sale investments by major security type are as follows:

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| (in thousands) | | | | |
| As of June 30, 2012: | | | | |
| Short-term investments: | | | | |
| Municipal bonds | \$ 94,007 | \$ 126 | \$ (26) | \$ 94,107 |
| Variable demand notes | 21,195 | | | 21,195 |
| Corporate bonds | 17,580 | 11 | (7) | 17,584 |
| Total short-term investments | \$ 132,782 | \$ 137 | \$ (33) | \$ 132,886 |
| Long-term investments: | | | | |
| Auction rate securities | \$ 12,700 | | \$ (1,717) | \$ 10,983 |
| As of December 31, 2011: | | | | |
| Short-term investments: | | | | |
| Municipal bonds | \$ 97,406 | \$ 160 | \$ (6) | \$ 97,560 |
| Variable demand notes | 48,800 | | | 48,800 |
| Corporate bonds | 4,398 | 2 | (7) | 4,393 |
| Total short-term investments | \$ 150,604 | \$ 162 | \$ (13) | \$ 150,753 |
| Long-term investments: | | | | |
| Auction rate securities | \$ 18,900 | | \$ (2,810) | \$ 16,090 |

As of June 30, 2012, we owned approximately \$12.7 million face amount of auction rate securities classified as long-term. The assets underlying these investments are student loans backed by the U.S. government under the Federal Family Education Loan Program or by private insurers and are rated between AAA and BB. Historically, these securities have provided liquidity through a Dutch auction process that resets the applicable interest rate periodically every seven to thirty-five days. Beginning in February of 2008, these auctions began to fail. The principal amount of these auction rate securities will not be accessible until future auctions for these securities are successful, a secondary market is established,

these securities are called for redemption, or they are paid at maturity.

As of June 30, 2012, we had recorded an estimated cumulative unrealized loss of \$1.7 million (\$1.0 million, net of tax) related to the temporary impairment of the auction rate securities, which was included in accumulated other comprehensive income within the consolidated shareholders equity. In addition, our management reviews impairments and credit loss associated with our investments,

Table of Contents

including auction rate securities, to determine the classification of the impairment as temporary or other-than-temporary and to bifurcate the credit and non-credit component of an other-than-temporary impairment event. We (i) do not intend to sell any of the auction rate securities prior to maturity at an amount below the original purchase value; (ii) intend to hold the investment to recovery and, based on a more-likely-than-not probability assessment, will not be required to sell the security before recovery; and (iii) deem that it is not probable that we will receive less than 100% of the principal and accrued interest from the issuer. Therefore, 100% of the impairment was charged to other comprehensive income (loss). Our auction rate securities are primarily classified as long-term and are valued at \$11.0 million using significant unobservable inputs. Further, we continue to liquidate investments in auction rate securities as opportunities arise. Approximately \$6.2 million of our auction rate securities were settled at par during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

If the issuers of the auction rate securities are unable to successfully complete future auctions and their credit ratings deteriorate, we may in the future be required to record an impairment charge to earnings on these investments. It could conceivably take until the final maturity of the underlying notes (up to 30 years) to realize the investments' fair value.

Our deferred compensation plan includes our corporate owned life insurance policies and mutual fund investments. The underlying mutual fund investments are deemed trading securities. The mutual fund investments' fair value and the cash surrender value of our corporate-owned life insurance policies are classified in the condensed consolidated balance sheets in Other long-term assets. The aggregate value of our deferred compensation plan assets was as follows:

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|----------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| | (in thousands) | |
| Deferred compensation plan | \$ 3,980 | \$ 3,763 |

The unrealized gain before tax from the change in the value of the deferred compensation plan was \$0.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and July 2, 2011.

The amortized cost and fair value of available-for-sale debt investments, by contractual maturity, were as follows as of June 30, 2012:

| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|---|-------------------|---------------|
| | (in thousands) | |
| Maturing within 1 year | \$ 89,474 | \$ 89,536 |
| Maturing after 1 year through 5 years | 43,308 | 43,350 |
| Short-term available-for-sale investments | 132,782 | 132,886 |
| Maturing after 5 years | 12,700 | 10,983 |
| | \$ 145,482 | \$ 143,869 |

The following table provides a rollforward of the fair value, as determined by Level 3 inputs, of the auction rate securities during the six months ended June 30, 2012:

| | Auction Rate Securities (in thousands) |
|--|---|
| Balance as of December 31, 2011 | \$ 16,090 |
| Settlements at par | (6,200) |
| Unrealized holding gain on auction rate securities, included in other comprehensive income | 1,093 |
| Balance as of June 30, 2012 | \$ 10,983 |

We continue to monitor the market for auction rate securities and consider its impact (if any) on the fair value of our investments. If the current market conditions deteriorate further, or the anticipated recovery in fair values does not occur, we may be required to record additional unrealized losses in other comprehensive income or other-than-temporary impairment charges to the condensed consolidated statements of operations in future periods.

Table of Contents

The following table provides a rollforward of the fair value, as determined by Level 3 inputs, of contingent consideration during the six months ended June 30, 2012:

| | Contingent Consideration (in thousands) |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Balance as of December 31, 2011 | \$ 23,570 |
| Payments | (1,518) |
| Change in fair value | |
| Balance as of June 30, 2012 | \$ 22,052 |

The following table presents quantitative information about the inputs and valuation methodologies used for our fair value measurements classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy as of June 30, 2012:

| | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Auction rate securities | \$ 11.0 | Discounted cash flow | Discount rate | 0.72% (0.72%) |
| | | | Liquidity factor | 0.57% (0.57%) |
| Contingent considerations | \$ 22.0 | Multiple outcome discounted cash flow | Revenue | \$ 39.5 million \$(25.5 million to \$46.7 million) |
| | | | Probability of occurrence | 20% (10% to 50%) |

Auction rate securities

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the auction rate securities are the weighted average discount rate, market credit spread and liquidity factor. A significant increase (decrease) in the discount rate in isolation could result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement, whereas a significant increase (decrease) in the market credit spread and liquidity factor in isolation could result in significant lower (higher) fair value measurement. Although the discount rate and the market credit spread and liquidity factor are not directly interrelated, they will generally move in opposite directions.

The fair value of auction rate securities is calculated on a quarterly basis by senior management based on a collaborative effort of the our corporate treasury and accounting groups. To assess the reasonableness of the fair value measurement, management compares its fair value measurement to the values calculated by independent third parties.

Contingent consideration

Edgar Filing: THORATEC CORP - Form 10-Q

The estimated fair value of the liability for contingent consideration represents revenue targets related to the Levitronix Medical acquisition. The fair value of the liability is determined using a discounted cash flow technique with significant inputs that include projected revenue, discount rate and percent probability of occurrence. A significant increase (decrease) in the projected revenue in isolation could result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement; a significant increase (decrease) in the discount rate in isolation could result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement; and the changes in the probability of occurrence between the outcomes in isolation could result in a significantly lower or higher fair value measurement.

The fair value of the contingent considerations is calculated on a quarterly basis by management based on a collaborative effort of our operation, finance and accounting groups. Potential valuation adjustments are made as additional information becomes available, including the progress toward achieving revenue targets as compared to initial projections, the impact of market competition, and changes in actual and projected product mix and average selling price, with the impact of such adjustments being recorded in the condensed consolidated statement of operations. No adjustments were made for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Assets and Liabilities That Are Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

Non-financial assets such as goodwill, intangible assets, and property, plant, and equipment are evaluated for impairment and adjusted to fair value using Level 3 inputs, only when an impairment is recognized. Fair values are considered Level 3 when management makes significant assumptions in developing a discounted cash flow model based upon a number of considerations including projections of revenues, earnings and a discount rate. In addition, in evaluating the fair value of goodwill impairment, further

Table of Contents

corroboration is obtained using our market capitalization. No impairment was recorded in either the six months ended June 30, 2012 or the six months ended July 2, 2011.

Note 4. Foreign Exchange Instruments

We utilize foreign currency forward exchange contracts and options with recognized financial institutions to manage our exposure to the impact of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates on certain intercompany balances and foreign currency denominated sales and purchase transactions. We do not use derivative financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes. These forward contracts are not designated as hedging instruments for accounting purposes. Principal hedged currencies include the Euro, British Pound Sterling, U.S. Dollar and Swiss Franc. The periods of these forward contracts range from 30 days to 9 months, and the notional amounts are intended to be consistent with changes in the underlying exposures. We intend to exchange foreign currencies for U.S. Dollars at maturity.

Total gross notional amounts for outstanding derivatives instruments were as follows:

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Forward contracts: | | |
| Euro (sell) | 12.1 million | 9.6 million |
| British Pound Sterling (sell) | £ 1.4 million | £ 0.8 million |
| U.S. Dollar (sell) | \$ 5.8 million | \$ 3.6 million |
| U.S. Dollar (buy) | \$ 77.6 million | \$ 76.2 million |
| U.S. Dollar (buy) | \$ 7.6 million | \$ 9.1 million |

The following table shows the derivative instruments measured at gross fair value reported on the condensed consolidated balance sheets:

| | June 30, 2012 | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| | Prepaid expenses and other assets | Other accrued liabilities |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments (forward contracts) | \$ 5,394 | \$ 58 |

| | December 31, 2011 | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| | Prepaid expenses and other assets | Other accrued liabilities |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments (forward contracts) | \$ 674 | \$ |

The following table shows the effect of derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments and foreign currency transactions gains and losses which were included in Interest income and other in the condensed consolidated statements of operations:

Edgar Filing: THORATEC CORP - Form 10-Q

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Foreign currency exchange gain on foreign contracts | \$ 5,256 | \$ 491 | \$ 2,331 | \$ 126 |
| Foreign currency transactions gain (loss) | (5,423) | (709) | (2,309) | (846) |

Table of Contents**Note 5. Balance Sheet Information**

The following tables provide details of selected condensed consolidated balance sheets items as of the end of each period:

Inventories consisted of the following:

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| | (in thousands) | |
| Finished goods | \$ 16,537 | \$ 20,911 |
| Work in process | 9,655 | 11,296 |
| Raw materials | 19,848 | 23,484 |
| Total | \$ 46,040 | \$ 55,691 |

Property, plant and equipment, net consisted of the following:

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| | (in thousands) | |
| Land, building and improvements | \$ 20,151 | \$ 20,116 |
| Equipment and capitalized software | 41,889 | 38,829 |
| Furniture and leasehold improvements | 24,672 | 23,406 |
| Total | 86,712 | 82,351 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (45,873) | (43,423) |
| Total | \$ 40,839 | \$ 38,928 |

Depreciation expense was \$2.1 million and \$4.2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, and \$1.9 million and \$3.7 million for the three and six months ended July 2, 2011, respectively.

Warranty provision, included in Other accrued liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheets, and the changes in the balances were as follows for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and July 2, 2011:

| | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| | (in thousands) | |
| Balance, beginning of the period | \$ 2,452 | \$ 3,057 |
| Additions | 989 | 1,455 |
| Settlements | (769) | (1,519) |
| Balance, end of the period | \$ 2,672 | \$ 2,993 |

Note 6. Goodwill and Intangible Assets, net

The carrying amount of goodwill and the changes in the balances for the six months ended June 30, 2012 are as follows:

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|---------|
| Balance, beginning of the period | \$ | 191,193 |
| Foreign currency translation impact | | (1,320) |
| Balance, end of the period | \$ | 189,873 |

In February 2001, we merged with Thermo Cardiosystems, Inc. The components of identifiable intangible assets related to the merger included patents and trademarks, core technology (Thoralon, our proprietary bio-material), and developed technology (patented technology, other than core technology, acquired in the merger).

As a result of the our acquisition of Levitronix Medical in August 2011, we recorded patents and trademarks of \$2.7 million, developed technology of \$6.3 million, pre-existing license agreements of \$2.3 million, and customer based relationships and other of \$4.3 million.

Table of Contents

Purchased Intangible Assets, Net consisted of the following:

| | Gross Amount | As of June 30, 2012 Accumulated Amortization (in thousands) | Net Amount |
|---|-----------------|--|---------------|
| Patents and trademarks | \$ 43,564 | \$ (32,908) | \$ 10,656 |
| Core technology | 37,180 | (20,417) | 16,763 |
| Developed technology | 128,146 | (73,420) | 54,726 |
| Pre-existing license agreement | 2,300 | (301) | 1,999 |
| Customer based relationships and other | 4,302 | (1,678) | 2,624 |
| | 215,492 | (128,724) | 86,768 |
| Foreign currency translation impact | (137) | | (137) |
| Total purchased intangible assets | \$ 215,355 | \$ (128,724) | \$ 86,631 |

| | Gross Amount | As of December 31, 2011 Accumulated Amortization (in thousands) | Net Amount |
|---|-----------------|--|---------------|
| Patents and trademarks | \$ 43,531 | \$ (31,836) | \$ 11,695 |
| Core technology | 37,180 | (19,445) | 17,735 |
| Developed technology | 128,072 | (69,262) | 58,810 |
| Pre-existing license agreement | 2,300 | (145) | 2,155 |
| Customer based relationships and other | 4,270 | (493) | 3,777 |
| | 215,353 | (121,181) | 94,172 |
| Foreign currency translation impact | (1,893) | | (1,893) |
| Total purchased intangible assets | \$ 213,460 | \$ (121,181) | \$ 92,279 |

Amortization of intangible assets above is based on a straight-line method, which represents the expected pattern of future benefits related to those respective intangible assets. Amortization expense related to identifiable intangible assets was \$2.7 million and \$5.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, and \$2.2 million and \$4.5 million for the three and six months ended July 2, 2011, respectively.

Estimated amortization expenses for the next five fiscal years and all years thereafter are as follows:

| | (in thousands) |
|---------------------|----------------|
| Fiscal year: | |
| Remainder of 2012 | \$ 5,620 |
| 2013 | 11,241 |
| 2014 | 10,246 |
| 2015 | 10,048 |

| | | |
|------------|----|--------|
| 2016 | | 10,001 |
| Thereafter | | 39,475 |
| Total | \$ | 86,631 |

Note 7. Debt and Other Financing Arrangements

Senior Subordinated Convertible Notes

In 2004, we completed the sale of \$143.8 million of initial principal amount of senior subordinated convertible notes due in 2034. The convertible notes were sold to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to the exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, provided by Rule 144A thereunder.

The senior subordinated convertible notes were issued at an issue price of \$580.98 per note, which is 58.098% of the principal amount at maturity of the notes. The senior subordinated convertible notes bore interest at a rate of 1.3798% per year on the principal amount at maturity, payable semi-annually in arrears in cash on May 16 and November 16 of each year, from November 16, 2004 until May 16, 2011.

Holders of the senior subordinated convertible notes were able to convert their convertible notes into shares of our common stock at a conversion rate of 29.4652 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of senior subordinated convertible notes, which represents a conversion price of \$19.72 per share, subject to adjustments upon the occurrence of certain events as set forth in the indenture. If holders elected

Table of Contents

conversion, we could elect, at our option, to deliver shares of common stock, pay a holder in cash, or deliver a combination of shares and cash, as determined pursuant to the terms of the notes.

Holders could require us to repurchase all or a portion of their senior subordinated convertible notes on each of May 16, 2011, 2014, 2019, 2024 and 2029 at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the issue price, plus accrued original issue discount, if any. On March 31, 2011, pursuant to our rights under the terms of the Notes we gave notice of our intention to redeem all of our outstanding senior subordinated convertible notes on May 17, 2011. During the second quarter of 2011, prior to or on May 16, 2011, noteholders converted 243,367 notes, and we elected to pay \$164.4 million in cash and issue 2,397,535 shares with an estimated fair value at conversion of \$82.7 million. In addition, on May 17, 2011, we redeemed the remaining outstanding 15 notes for cash. We accounted for the extinguishment in accordance with accounting standards, and there was no gain or loss reported for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. The difference of \$105.7 million between the fair value of the aggregate consideration paid of \$247.1 million and the face value of the senior subordinated convertible notes of \$141.4 million was recorded to additional paid-in capital.

In accordance with accounting standards for certain convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash or other assets, or partially in cash, upon conversion, we recorded the long-term debt and equity components on the senior subordinated convertible notes separately. This accounting pronouncement increased interest expense associated with our senior subordinated convertible notes by adding a non-cash component to amortize a debt discount calculated based on the difference between the cash coupon rate (2.375% per year) of the senior subordinated convertible notes and the effective interest rate on debt borrowing (9% per year). The discount, which represents the non-cash interest expense, classified as interest expense on the consolidated statements of operations, was being amortized to interest expense over a seven-year period ending May 16, 2011 (the expected life of the liability component) using the effective interest method. Additionally, we allocated transaction costs based on the same percentage as the liability and equity components, such that a portion of the deferred debt issuance costs was allocated to the liability component to be amortized using the effective interest method until May 16, 2011 and the equity component to be included in additional paid-in capital.

Interest expense primarily includes interest and amortization of discount related to senior subordinated convertible notes, in which the cash and non-cash component were \$0.4 million and \$1.2 million for the three and six months ended July 2, 2011, respectively, and \$1.3 million and \$3.1 million for the three and six months ended July 2, 2011, respectively. There was no interest expense cash or non-cash component for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.

Credit Facility

On December 19, 2011, we signed an unsecured revolving credit facility agreement that provides for up to \$50 million revolving credit that will expire on December 19, 2016. The interest rate charged on the amounts borrowed is LIBOR plus a margin (ranging from 0.75% to 1.25%). The credit agreement contains financial covenants. We were in compliance with all such covenants as of June 30, 2012. The credit agreement permits us to use the facility for working capital and general corporate purposes. As of June 30, 2012, there were no borrowings under this credit facility.

Note 8. Share-Based Compensation

Edgar Filing: THORATEC CORP - Form 10-Q

Our Board of Directors authorized the 2006 Incentive Stock Plan (the 2006 Plan). The 2006 Plan was last amended on May 23, 2012. Participation in the 2006 Plan is limited to employees, directors, and consultants. Shares reserved for future issuance under the 2006 Plan may be used for grants of stock options (options), restricted stock units (RSUs), and other types of awards. Options granted under the 2006 Plan are either incentive or nonqualified stock options and generally become exercisable in increments over a period of four years from the date of grant and expire generally ten years from the grant date. RSUs generally vest over a four-year period.

The Board of Directors authorizes the granting of options, RSUs and other type of awards, and determines the employees and consultants to whom options, RSUs, or other awards are to be granted, the number of shares, term, vesting schedule and other terms and conditions of the options, RSUs or other stock awards. The exercise prices of the options shall not be less than the fair market value of common stock on the date of grant. The fair value of RSUs granted is determined based on the number of RSUs granted and the quoted price of our common stock on the date of grant. As of June 30, 2012, approximately 5.1 million shares remained available for grant under the 2006 Plan.

Additionally, we sponsor the Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the ESPP) in which eligible employees may contribute up to 15% of their base compensation to purchase shares of common stock at a price equal to 85% of the lower of the market value of the stock at the beginning or end of each six-month offer period. As of June 30, 2012, approximately 477,911 shares remained available for issuance under this plan.

Table of Contents

Share-based compensation consists of the following:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Cost of product sales | \$ 550 | \$ 373 | \$ 1,115 | \$ 703 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 3,409 | 2,509 | 6,388 | 5,038 |
| Research and development | 1,586 | 958 | 3,102 | 2,061 |
| Total share-based compensation expense before taxes (A) | 5,545 | 3,840 | 10,605 | 7,802 |
| Less: Tax benefit for share-based compensation expense | 2,069 | 856 | 3,959 | 2,179 |
| Total share-based compensation (net of taxes) | \$ 3,476 | \$ 2,984 | \$ 6,646 | \$ 5,623 |

(A) Share-based compensation costs of \$0.5 million and \$0.4 million were capitalized to inventory as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Stock Options

The fair value of each option is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 |
| Risk free interest rate (weighted average) | 1.22% | 2.26% | 1.42% | 2.81% |
| Expected volatility | 43% | 43% | 43% | 44% |
| Expected option term (years) | 4.83 to 5.86 | 4.80 | 4.81 to 5.83 | 4.80 to 5.81 |
| Dividends | None | None | None | None |

Determining Fair Value of Options

- Valuation and amortization method** We estimate the fair value of stock options granted using the Black-Scholes-option pricing formula. This fair value is then amortized over the requisite service periods of the awards, which is generally the vesting period.

- Expected Term** The expected term of options represents the period of time that options are expected to be outstanding. We use separate assumptions for groups of employees (for example, officers) that have similar historical exercise behavior, giving consideration to the contractual terms of the share-based awards, vesting schedules and expectations of future employee behavior as influenced by changes to the terms of our share-based awards. The range above reflects the expected option impact of these separate groups.

- *Expected Volatility* Our expected volatility was based on a combination of historical volatility trends and market-based implied volatility because we determined that this combination of historical volatility trends and market-based implied trends is reflective of market conditions. The decision to incorporate implied volatility was based on our assessment that implied volatility of publicly traded options in our common stock is reflective of market conditions and is generally reflective of both historical volatility and expectations of how future volatility will differ from historical volatility. In determining the extent of use of implied volatility, we considered: (i) the volume of market activity of traded options; (ii) the ability to reasonably match the input variables of traded options to those of stock options granted by us, including the date of grant; (iii) the similarity of the exercise prices; and (iv) the length of term of traded options.
- *Risk-Free Interest Rate* The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.
- *Expected Dividend* The expected dividend assumption is based on our current expectations about our anticipated dividend policy.

Table of Contents

Stock option activity is summarized as follows:

| | Number of Options (in thousands) | Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share | Weighted Average Remaining Contract Life (years) |
|--|--|---|--|
| Outstanding options at December 31, 2011 | 2,538 | \$ 22.46 | 5.96 |
| Granted | 564 | 33.81 | |
| Exercised | (197) | 18.60 | |
| Forfeited or expired | (69) | 31.03 | |
| Outstanding options at June 30, 2012 | 2,836 | \$ 24.78 | 6.39 |
| Outstanding options exercisable at June 30, 2012 | 1,619 | \$ 20.29 | 4.46 |
| Outstanding options vested at June 30, 2012 and expected to vest | 2,754 | \$ 24.58 | 6.30 |

As of June 30, 2012, there was \$9.9 million of unrecognized compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, related to stock options, which expense we expect to recognize over a weighted average period of 1.8 years.

Restricted Stock Units

Restricted stock unit activity is summarized as follows:

| | Number of Units (in thousands) | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Weighted Average Remaining Contract Life (in years) |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Outstanding units at December 31, 2011 | 1,151 | \$ 28.88 | 1.50 |
| Granted | 568 | 33.63 | |
| Released | (299) | 28.13 | |
| Forfeited or expired | (68) | 30.60 | |
| Outstanding units at June 30, 2012 | 1,352 | \$ 30.97 | 1.76 |

As of June 30, 2012, we had \$34.5 million of unrecognized compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, related to restricted stock units, which amount we expect to recognize over 2.84 years.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The estimated subscription date fair value of the offering under the ESPP for each of the six months ended June 30, 2012 and July 2, 2011 was approximately \$0.5 million using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and the following assumptions:

Edgar Filing: THORATEC CORP - Form 10-Q

| | Six Months Ended | |
|-------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 |
| Risk-free-interest rate | 0.15% | 0.11% |
| Expected volatility | 40% | 48% |
| Expected option life | 0.50 years | 0.50 years |
| Dividends | None | None |

As of June 30, 2012, there was approximately \$0.4 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to ESPP subscriptions that began on May 1, 2012, which amount we expect to recognize through October 31, 2012.

Note 9. Common and Preferred Stock

During the first quarter of fiscal 2011, under a \$100 million repurchase program publicly announced on February 14, 2011 (February 2011 program), we paid an aggregate of \$50 million to repurchase 1,783,267 shares of our common stock. On November 7, 2011 we announced publicly that our Board of Directors authorized a new program for the repurchase of up to \$50 million worth of shares of our common stock. Additionally, the Board of Directors extended the expiration date for the \$50 million remaining under the February 2011 program to November 4, 2012. During the second quarter of 2012, we paid \$0.5 million to repurchase 15,311 shares of our common stock under these publicly announced programs.

We are incorporated in California, and as California law does not recognize treasury stock, the shares repurchased decreased the common shares outstanding. We recorded the \$0.5 million of shares repurchased during the second quarter of 2012 by reducing the additional-paid-in capital balance by the average value per share reflected in the account prior to the repurchase and the excess was allocated to retained earnings. Based on this allocation, additional-paid-in capital decreased by \$0.2 million and retained earnings decreased by \$0.3 million in the consolidated statement of shareholders' equity.

Table of Contents

Shares of our common stock purchased that were not part of our publicly announced repurchase programs represent the surrender value of shares of restricted stock awards and units withheld in order to satisfy tax withholding obligations upon vesting. The shares purchased do not reduce the dollar value that may yet be purchased under our publicly announced repurchase programs. The aggregate value of shares purchased during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was approximately \$0.1 million and \$4.9 million, respectively. The aggregate value of shares purchased during the three and six months ended July 2, 2011 was approximately \$0.1 million and \$3.7 million, respectively.

Note 10. Income Taxes

Our effective income tax rates for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and July 2, 2011 were 32.7% and 33.9%, respectively. Our effective income tax rates for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and July 2, 2011 were 32.5% and 34.0%, respectively. The decrease is primarily attributable to a greater percentage of earnings generated in lower-tax jurisdictions, a function of the acquisition of Levitronix Medical. This rate benefit was partially offset by the lack of federal R&D credits in the absence of enacted legislation.

During the next 12 months, it is reasonably possible that audit resolutions and the expiration of statutes of limitations could potentially reduce our unrecognized tax benefits by up to \$2.0 million. However, this amount may change because we continue to have ongoing negotiations with various taxing authorities throughout the year.

Note 11. Segment and Geographic Information

The accounting standard for segment reporting establishes standards for reporting information about operating segments in annual financial statements and requires selected information for those segments to be presented in interim financial reports of public business enterprises. It also establishes standards for related disclosures about products and services, geographic areas and major customers. As a result of this evaluation, in which we have also considered the Levitronix Medical acquisition, we determined that we have one operating segment, Cardiovascular group. This segment is organized and operates to develop and manufacture mechanical circulatory products to support the cardiovascular systems of humans.

Product sales attributed to a country or region includes product sales to hospitals, physicians and distributors and is based on final destination where the products are sold. No individual customer and no individual country outside of the U.S. accounted for more than 10% of product sales during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 or July 2, 2011.

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Product sales by geographic location: | | | | |
| Domestic | \$ 97,131 | \$ 92,970 | \$ 200,992 | \$ 175,437 |
| International | 21,528 | 18,251 | 44,436 | 35,314 |
| Total | \$ 118,659 | \$ 111,221 | \$ 245,428 | \$ 210,751 |

Edgar Filing: THORATEC CORP - Form 10-Q

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 |
| (in thousands) | | | | |
| Product sales by product line: | | | | |
| HeartMate | \$ 106,243 | \$ 97,621 | \$ 217,933 | \$ 184,884 |
| Thoratec | 3,776 | 7,597 | 9,564 | 14,892 |
| CentriMag | 8,049 | 5,326 | 16,703 | 9,778 |
| Other | 591 | 677 | 1,228 | 1,197 |
| Total | \$ 118,659 | \$ 111,221 | \$ 245,428 | \$ 210,751 |

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 |
| (in thousands) | | | | |
| Product sales by category: | | | | |
| Pump | \$ 85,774 | \$ 77,789 | \$ 178,293 | \$ 148,634 |
| Non-Pump | 32,294 | 32,755 | 65,907 | 60,920 |
| Other | 591 | 677 | 1,228 | 1,197 |
| Total | \$ 118,659 | \$ 111,221 | \$ 245,428 | \$ 210,751 |

Table of Contents**12. Net Income Per Share**

Restricted Stock Awards (RSA) previously granted under the 2006 Plan are subject to repurchase and have non-forfeitable rights to receive dividends as common stock and therefore are considered participating securities. Under the two-class method, basic and diluted net income per common share are determined by calculating net income per share for common stock and participating securities based on participation rights in undistributed earnings. Dilutive net income per common share also considers the dilutive effect of in-the-money stock options and restricted stock units, calculated using the treasury stock method and the dilutive effect of the senior subordinated convertible notes, calculated using the if-converted method. Under the treasury stock method, the amount of assumed proceeds from unexercised stock options and restricted stock units includes the amount of unrecognized compensation cost attributable to future services, assumed proceeds from the exercise of the options, and the incremental income tax benefit or liability that would be recorded in additional-paid-in capital when the award becomes deductible. In addition, under the if-converted method, cash and non-cash interest expense from the senior subordinated convertible notes are added back to net income and the weighted average number of common shares that the notes convert into are included in the number of shares used to calculate diluted net income (loss) per share.

Basic and diluted net income per common share attributable to common shareholders under the two-class method were calculated as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 |
| <i>(in thousands, except per share data)</i> | | | | |
| <i>Basic net income per common share calculation</i> | | | | |
| Net Income | \$ 20,808 | \$ 21,782 | \$ 46,294 | \$ 38,241 |
| Net Income allocated to participating securities | | (34) | (19) | (85) |
| Net Income attributable to common shareholders | \$ 20,808 | \$ 21,748 | \$ 46,275 | \$ 38,156 |
| <i>Weighted average number of common shares used to compute basic net income per common share attributable to common shareholders</i> | | | | |
| | 58,737 | 58,186 | 58,587 | 58,060 |
| Basic net income per common share attributable to common shareholders | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.79 | \$ 0.66 |

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 |
| <i>(in thousands, except per share data)</i> | | | | |
| <i>Diluted net income per common share calculation</i> | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 20,808 | \$ 21,782 | \$ 46,294 | \$ 38,241 |
| Interest expense on senior subordinated convertible notes (net of tax) | | 1,037 | | 2,718 |
| Net income for diluted share calculation | 20,808 | 22,819 | 46,294 | 40,959 |
| Net income allocated to participating securities | | (31) | (19) | (77) |
| Net income attributable to common shareholders | \$ 20,808 | \$ 22,788 | \$ 46,275 | \$ 40,882 |
| | 58,737 | 58,186 | 58,587 | 58,060 |

Edgar Filing: THORATEC CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| Weighted average number of common shares used to compute basic net income per common share attributable to common shares | | | | | | |
| Dilutive effect of stock-based compensation plans | 781 | 828 | 926 | 853 | | |
| Dilutive effect on conversion of senior subordinated convertible notes | | 4,199 | | 5,677 | | |
| Weighted average number of common shares used to compute diluted net income per common share attributable to common shareholders | 59,518 | 63,213 | 59,513 | 64,590 | | |
| Diluted net income per common share attributable to common shareholders | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.78 | \$ 0.63 | | |

Table of Contents

Potential common share equivalents excluded where the inclusion would be anti-dilutive are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Options to purchase shares not included in the computation of diluted net income per share because their inclusion would be anti-dilutive | 627 | 650 | 443 | 789 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These statements can be identified by the words expects, projects, hopes, believes, intends, should, estimate, will, would, may, anticipates, plans, could and other similar words. Actual results, events or performance could differ materially from these forward-looking statements based on a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control. Therefore, readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on these statements. Factors that could cause actual results or conditions to differ from those anticipated by these and other forward-looking statements include those more fully described in the Risk Factors section of our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K (the 2011 Annual Report) and in other documents we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date hereof. We are not under any obligation, and we expressly disclaim any obligation, to publicly release any revisions or updates to these forward-looking statements that may be made to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof, or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

The following presentation of management's discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read together with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

OVERVIEW

Continuing Operations Cardiovascular Business

Thoratec Corporation (we, our, us or the Company) is the world leader in mechanical circulatory support with a product portfolio to treat the full range of clinical needs for advanced heart failure patients. We develop, manufacture and market proprietary medical devices used for circulatory support.

For the treatment of heart failure (HF) patients, we develop, manufacture and market proprietary medical devices used for mechanical circulatory support (MCS). For advanced HF, our primary product lines are our ventricular assist devices (VADs): the Thoratec Paracorporeal Ventricular Assist Device (PVAD), the Thoratec Implantable Ventricular Assist Device (IVAD), and the HeartMate II Left Ventricular Assist System (HeartMate II). We refer to the PVAD and the IVAD collectively as the Thoratec product line and we refer to the HeartMate II as the HeartMate product line. For acute HF, our product lines are the CentriMag Acute Circulatory System (CentriMag) and, for pediatric patients, the PediMag/PediVAS Acute Circulatory System (PediMag/PediVAS). The PVAD, IVAD, HeartMate II, CentriMag and PediMag/PediVAS are approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and Conformité Européenne (CE) Mark approved in Europe.

MCS devices supplement the pumping function of the heart in patients with HF. In most cases, a cannula connects the left ventricle of the heart to a blood pump. Blood flows from the left ventricle to the pump chamber via the cannula, powered by an electric or air driven mechanism that drives the blood through another cannula into the aorta. From the aorta, the blood then circulates throughout the body. Mechanical or tissue

Edgar Filing: THORATEC CORP - Form 10-Q

valves enable unidirectional flow in some devices. Currently, the power source remains outside the body for all FDA-approved MCS devices.

Certain MCS devices are implanted internally, while others are placed outside the body. Some external devices are placed immediately adjacent to the body (paracorporeal), while other external MCS devices are positioned at a distance from the body (extracorporeal).

On August 3, 2011, we announced that we acquired the medical business of Levitronix LLC (Levitronix Medical) for an upfront cash payment of \$110 million, as well as potential future cash earn-out payments of up to \$40 million. This acquisition follows a successful strategic relationship between the two companies. Prior to the acquisition, we provided distribution and clinical support to Levitronix Medical in the U.S. for the CentriMag under an agreement that would have expired at the end of 2011. We have also collaborated on the development of the fully magnetically levitated motor technology employed in the HeartMate III left ventricular assist system, which is currently in preclinical testing.

Table of Contents

Our product portfolio of implantable and external MCS devices is described below.

The HeartMate II

The HeartMate II is an implantable, electrically powered, continuous flow, left ventricular assist device consisting of a rotary blood pump designed to provide intermediate and long-term MCS. The HeartMate II is designed to improve survival and quality of life for a broad range of advanced HF patients. Significantly smaller than previous ventricular assist devices and with only one moving part, the HeartMate II is simpler and designed to operate more quietly than pulsatile devices.

HeartMate II received FDA approval in April 2008 for bridge-to-transplantation (BTT) and received FDA approval for use in HF patients who are not eligible for heart transplantation (Destination Therapy or DT) in January 2010. In November 2005, the HeartMate II received CE Mark approval, allowing for its commercial sale in Europe. In May 2009, the HeartMate II was approved in Canada.

The Paracorporeal Ventricular Assist Device

The PVAD is an external, pulsatile, ventricular assist device, FDA approved for BTT, including home discharge, and post-cardiotomy myocardial recovery that provides left, right and biventricular MCS. The PVAD is a paracorporeal device that is less invasive than implantable VADs as only the cannula is implanted. The paracorporeal nature of the PVAD has several benefits including shorter implantation times (approximately two hours) and the ability to use the device in smaller patients.

A pneumatic power source drives the PVAD. It is designed for short-to-intermediate duration use of a few weeks to several months, although this device has supported certain patients for up to eighteen months. Offering left, right or biventricular support, the PVAD and the IVAD, described below, are the only biventricular support systems approved for use for BTT. This characteristic is significant because approximately 65% of BTT patients treated with the PVAD and the IVAD require right- as well as left-sided ventricular assistance. The PVAD and the IVAD are also the only devices approved for both BTT and recovery following cardiac surgery. The PVAD incorporates our proprietary biomaterial, Thoralon, which has excellent tissue and blood compatibility and is resistant to blood clots.

The PVAD received FDA approval for BTT in December 1995 and for recovery (post-cardiotomy) in May 1998. In June 1998, the PVAD received CE Mark approval, allowing for its commercial sale in Europe. In November 1994, the PVAD was approved in Canada.

The Implantable Ventricular Assist Device

The IVAD is an implantable, pulsatile, ventricular assist device FDA approved for BTT, including home discharge, and post-cardiotomy myocardial recovery that provides left, right or biventricular MCS. The IVAD maintains the same blood flow path, valves and blood pumping

mechanism as the PVAD, but has an outer housing made of a titanium alloy that makes it suitable for implantation.

The IVAD received FDA approval for BTT and recovery (post-cardiotomy) in August 2004. In June 2003, the IVAD received CE Mark approval, allowing for its commercial sale in Europe. In November 2004, the IVAD was approved in Canada.

The CentriMag

The CentriMag is an extracorporeal full-flow acute surgical support platform incorporating a polycarbonate pump, based on magnetically levitated bearingless motor technology. The CentriMag is 510(k) cleared by the FDA for use up to six hours in patients requiring short-term extracorporeal circulatory support during cardiac surgery. Additionally, CentriMag is approved under an FDA humanitarian device exemption to be used as a right ventricular assist device for periods of support up to 30 days in patients in cardiogenic shock due to acute right ventricular failure. In May 2008, Levitronix received approval to commence a U.S. pivotal trial to demonstrate safety and effectiveness of the CentriMag for periods of support up to 30 days. The CentriMag has CE Mark approval in Europe to provide support for up to 30 days for both cardiac and respiratory failure. In Canada, the CentriMag is approved for short-term cardiopulmonary support.

The PediMag/PediVAS

The PediMag and PediVAS are identical, extracorporeal full-flow acute surgical support platforms incorporating a polycarbonate pump, based on magnetically levitated bearingless motor technology, designed to provide acute surgical support to pediatric patients. The brand names differ according to indication for use, duration of support, and regulatory approval. The PediMag is 510(k) cleared

Table of Contents

by the FDA for use, in conjunction with the CentriMag console and motor, for support periods of up to six hours. An Investigational Device Exemption (IDE) has been submitted to the FDA in order to begin a U.S. clinical trial examining the safety and probable benefit of the device for use up to 30 days to support pediatric patients. Outside the U.S., the device is branded as PediVAS and has CE Mark approval for support durations of up to 30 days for both cardiac and respiratory failure. In Canada, PediVAS is approved for short cardiopulmonary support or extracorporeal life support.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Preparation of these statements requires management to make judgments and estimates. Some accounting policies have a significant impact on amounts reported in these financial statements. A summary of significant accounting policies and a description of accounting policies that are considered critical may be found in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Note 1) and the Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates section in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. There have been no changes in these significant accounting policies during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth selected unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations data for the periods indicated and as a percentage of total product sales:

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Six Months Ended | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------|--------------|--------|------------------|--------|--------------|--------|
| | June 30, 2012 | | July 2, 2011 | | June 30, 2012 | | July 2, 2011 | |
| | (in thousands, except for percentage data) | | | | | | | |
| Product sales | \$ 118,659 | 100.0% | \$ 111,221 | 100.0% | \$ 245,428 | 100.0% | \$ 210,751 | 100.0% |
| Cost of product sales | 36,022 | 30.4 | 34,342 | 30.9 | 74,909 | 30.5 | 66,114 | 31.4 |
| Gross profit | 82,637 | 69.6 | 76,879 | 69.1 | 170,519 | 69.5 | 144,637 | 68.6 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 32,013 | 27.0 | 26,824 | 24.0 | 63,214 | 25.8 | 51,743 | 24.6 |
| Research and development | 19,808 | 16.6 | 15,799 | 14.2 | 39,504 | 16.0 | 31,553 | 15.0 |
| Total operating expenses | 51,821 | 43.6 | 42,623 | 38.2 | 102,718 | 41.8 | 83,296 | 39.6 |
| Income from operations | 30,816 | 26.0 | 34,256 | 30.9 | 67,801 | 27.7 | 61,341 | 29.0 |
| Other income and (expense): | | | | | | | | |
| Interest expense and other | | 0.0 | (1,767) | (1.6) | (3) | 0.0 | (4,647) | (2.2) |
| Interest income and other | 88 | 0.1 | 488 | 0.4 | 822 | 0.3 | 1,243 | 0.6 |
| Income before income taxes | 30,904 | 26.1 | 32,977 | 29.7 | 68,620 | 28.0 | 57,937 | 27.4 |
| Income tax expense | (10,096) | (8.5) | (11,195) | (10.1) | (22,326) | (9.1) | (19,696) | (9.3) |

Edgar Filing: THORATEC CORP - Form 10-Q

Net income \$ 20,808 17.6 \$ 21,782 19.6 \$ 46,294 18.9 \$ 38,241 18.1

Three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and July 2, 2011

Product Sales

Product sales consisted of the following:

| | Three Months Ended | | | Six Months Ended | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|
| | June 30, 2012 (in thousands) | July 2, 2011 (in thousands) | % Change | June 30, 2012 (in thousands) | July 2, 2011 (in thousands) | % Change |
| Total product sales | \$ 118,659 | \$ 111,221 | 6.7% | \$ 245,428 | \$ 210,751 | 16.5% |

During the three months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the three months ended July 2, 2011, product sales increased by \$7.4 million or 6.7% driven by strong sales volume of our HeartMate II and CentriMag products. HeartMate II units grew 13% contributing approximately \$8.6 million to the increase, while the CentriMag and PediMag product line contributed approximately \$2.7 million to the increase, partially attributable to the Levitronix Medical acquisition which added \$2.5 million of revenue during the second quarter of 2012. The increase was partially offset by a decline of approximately \$3.8 million in sales of the Thoratec product line. From a regional perspective, the U.S. sales contributed approximately \$4.1 million to the increase, while international sales contributed approximately \$3.3 million.

Table of Contents

During the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the six months ended July 2, 2011, product sales increased by \$34.7 million or 16.5% driven by strong sales volume of our HeartMate II and CentriMag products. HeartMate II units grew 22% contributing approximately \$33.0 million to the increase, while the CentriMag and PediMag product line contributed approximately \$6.9 million to the increase, partially attributable to the Levitronix Medical acquisition which added \$5.2 million of revenue during the first half of 2012. The increase was partially offset by a decline of approximately \$5.3 million in sales of the Thoratec product line. From a regional perspective, the U.S. sales contributed approximately \$25.6 million to the increase, while international sales contributed approximately \$9.1 million.

In the U.S., four HeartMate II centers were added during the second quarter of 2012, bringing the total to 158 centers. Outside of the U.S. we added six centers during the second quarter of 2012, bringing the total to 151 centers.

Sales originating outside of the U.S. and U.S. export sales accounted for approximately 18% and 16% of our total product sales for each of the three months ended June 30, 2012 and July 2, 2011, respectively, and approximately 18% and 17% of our total product sales for each of the six months ended June 30, 2012 and July 2, 2011, respectively.

Gross Profit

Gross profit and gross margin were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 |
| | (in thousands, except percentages) | | | |
| Total gross profit (A) | \$ 82,637 | \$ 76,879 | \$ 170,519 | \$ 144,637 |
| Total gross margin | 69.6% | 69.1% | 69.5% | 68.6% |

(A) Includes the effect of adjustments to cost of product sales for intangible amortization expense of \$1.9 million and \$4.0 million for the three and six months ended July 2, 2011, respectively, previously presented within operating expense. Refer to Note 1 in the condensed consolidated financial statements for details.

During the three months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the three months ended July 2, 2011, gross margin percentage increased by 0.5%, while during the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the six months ended July 2, 2011, gross margin percentage increased by 0.9%. This increase was primarily due to volume based efficiencies and the contribution from the acquisition of Levitronix Medical, partially offset by unfavorable foreign currency exchange movements.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Edgar Filing: THORATEC CORP - Form 10-Q

Selling, general and administrative expenses were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | | | Six Months Ended | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|
| | June 30, 2012 (in thousands) | July 2, 2011 (in thousands) | % Change | June 30, 2012 (in thousands) | July 2, 2011 (in thousands) | % Change |
| Total selling, general and administrative expenses (B) | \$ 32,013 | \$ 26,824 | 19.3% | \$ 63,214 | \$ 51,743 | 22.2% |

(B) Includes intangible amortization expense related to patents and trademarks of \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million reclassified to selling, general and administrative expense for the three and six months ended July 2, 2011, respectively.

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, as compared to the three months ended July 2, 2011, selling, general, and administrative costs increased by \$5.2 million primarily due to costs related to market development initiatives, and incremental expenses from the Levitronix Medical acquisition, including a one-time charge incurred during the second quarter of 2012.

Table of Contents

During the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the three months ended July 2, 2011, selling, general, and administrative costs increased by \$11.5 million primarily due to costs related to market development initiatives, and incremental expenses from the Levitronix Medical acquisition in August 2011, including a one-time charge incurred during the second quarter of 2012.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | | | Six Months Ended | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|
| | June 30, 2012 (in thousands) | July 2, 2011 (in thousands) | % Change | June 30, 2012 (in thousands) | July 2, 2011 (in thousands) | % Change |
| Total research and development | \$ 19,808 | \$ 15,799 | 25.4% | \$ 39,504 | \$ 31,553 | 25.2% |

Research and development costs are largely project-driven and fluctuate based on the level of project activity planned and subsequently approved and conducted.

During the three months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the three months ended July 2, 2011, research and development costs increased by \$4.0 million, while during the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the six months ended July 2, 2011, research and development costs increased by \$8.0 million. The increases were due to incremental research and development headcount, costs associated with the development of HeartMate III, HeartMate PHP, and HeartMate II peripheral enhancements. This includes incremental research and developmental personnel included with the acquisition of Levitronix Medical.

Interest Expense and Other

Interest expense primarily relates to interest on the senior subordinated convertible notes as follows:

| | | | | | |
|--|----|------------|---------|------------|----------|
| Interest expense | \$ | \$ (1,717) | %\$ (3) | \$ (4,495) | (100.0)% |
| Amortization of debt issuance costs related to senior subordinated convertible notes | | (50) | | (152) | |
| Total interest expense and other | \$ | \$ (1,767) | \$ (3) | \$ (4,647) | |

Interest expense in 2011 pertained primarily to the senior subordinated convertible notes that were extinguished in May 2011.

Interest Income and Other

Interest income and other consisted of the following:

| | Three Months Ended | | | Six Months Ended | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|
| | June 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 | % Change | July 30, 2012 | July 2, 2011 | % Change |
| | (in thousands) | | | (in thousands) | | |
| Interest income | \$ 331 | \$ 700 | (52.7)% | \$ 622 | \$ 1,815 | (65.7)% |
| Foreign currency, net | (167) | (218) | (23.4)% | 22 | (720) | (103.1)% |
| Other | (76) | 6 | (1,367.7)% | 178 | 148 | 20.3% |
| Total interest income and other | \$ 88 | \$ 488 | | \$ 822 | \$ 1,243 | |

Interest income during the three months ended June 30, 2012 decreased by \$0.4 million compared to the three months ended July 2, 2011, primarily due to the decline in interest rates and lower short-term investment balances as a result of the extinguishment of the senior subordinated convertible notes and shares repurchased in the first quarter of 2011 and cash utilized for the Levitronix Medical acquisition.

Interest income during the six months ended June 30, 2012 decreased by \$1.2 million compared to the six months ended July 2, 2011, primarily due to the decline in interest rates and lower short-term investment balances as a result of the extinguishment of the senior

Table of Contents

subordinated convertible notes and shares repurchased in the first quarter of 2011. Foreign currency losses increased by \$0.7 million due to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates.

Income Taxes

Our effective income tax rates for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and July 2, 2011, were 32.7% and 33.9%, respectively. Our effective income tax rates for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and July 2, 2011, were 32.5% and 34.0%, respectively. The decrease is primarily attributable to a greater percentage of earnings generated in lower-tax jurisdictions, a function of the acquisition of Levitronix Medical. This rate benefit was partially offset by the lack of federal R&D credits in the absence of enacted legislation.

Our effective tax rate is calculated based on the statutory tax rates imposed on projected annual pre-tax income or loss in various jurisdictions. Because changes in our forecasted profitability for 2012 can significantly affect our projected annual effective tax rate, our quarterly tax rate could fluctuate significantly depending on our profitability.

Liquidity and Capital Resources***Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments***

Cash and cash equivalents include highly liquid financial instruments that are readily convertible to cash and have maturities of 90 days or less from the date of purchase.

Investments classified as short-term consist of various financial instruments such as municipal bonds, corporate bonds and variable demand notes. Bonds with high credit quality with maturities of greater than 90 days when purchased are classified as short-term available-for-sale investments. Investments classified as long-term consist of our investments in auction rate securities.

Following is a summary of our cash, cash equivalents and investments:

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| | (in thousands) | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 135,939 | \$ 42,661 |
| Short-term investments | 132,886 | 150,753 |
| Long-term investments | 10,983 | 16,090 |
| Total cash, cash equivalents and investments | \$ 279,808 | \$ 209,504 |

We believe that cash and cash equivalents, short-term available-for-sale investments on hand and expected cash flows from operations will be sufficient to fund our operations, capital requirements, and share repurchase programs for at least the next 12 months.

Cash Flow Activities

| | June 30, 2012 | (in thousands) | July 2, 2011 | % Change |
|--|------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 74,566 | | \$ 44,169 | 68.8% |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 18,628 | | 203,946 | (90.9)% |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 376 | | (208,338) | 100.2% |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (292) | | (500) | 41.6% |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 93,278 | | 39,277 | |

Cash Provided by Operating Activities

Cash provided by operating activities in the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$74.6 million and consisted of net income of \$46.3 million, adjustments for non-cash items of \$19.9 million, and cash provided by working capital of \$8.4 million. Adjustments for non-cash items primarily consisted of \$10.5 million of stock-based compensation expense, \$9.7 million of depreciation and amortization expense, offset by \$1.7 million related to deferred income taxes and \$1.7 million for excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation. The increase in cash from changes in working capital activities primarily consisted of a decrease in inventory of \$7.9 million resulting from lower inventory levels, offset by an increase in accounts receivable of \$8.2 million from higher sales in the first half of 2012. Increases to accounts payable and other liabilities totaling \$8.5 million also contributed to cash in operating activities.

Table of Contents

Cash provided by operating activities in the six months ended July 2, 2011 was \$44.2 million and consisted of net income of \$38.2 million, adjustments for non-cash items of \$19.3 million, and cash used in working capital of \$13.3 million. Adjustments for non-cash items primarily consisted of \$7.8 million of stock-based compensation expense, \$8.2 million of depreciation and amortization expense, offset by \$2.9 million related to deferred income taxes and \$0.9 million for excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation. The decrease in cash from changes in working capital activities primarily consisted of increases in inventory of \$3.2 and accounts receivable of \$2.4 million. Decreases to accounts payable and other liabilities totaling \$7.9 million also contributed to the use of cash in operating activities.

Cash Provided by Investing Activities

Cash provided by investing activities in the six months ended June 30, 2012 of \$18.6 million was primarily attributable to the maturities and sales of available for sale investments of \$110.1 million, offset by the purchases of available for sale investments of \$87.6 million and capital expenditures of \$3.9 million to support our manufacturing facilities and administration growth.

Cash provided by investing activities in the six months ended July 2, 2011 of \$203.9 million was primarily attributable to the maturities and sales of available for sale investments of \$404.9 million, offset by the purchases of available for sale investments of \$196.5 million and capital expenditures of \$4.5 million to support our manufacturing facilities and administration growth.

Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities

Cash provided by financing activities in the six months ended June 30, 2012 of \$0.4 million was primarily comprised of proceeds of \$3.7 million related to stock option exercises, \$1.9 million of proceeds from stock issued under the employee stock purchase plan, and \$1.7 million from excess tax benefits for share-based compensation. This was offset by \$0.5 million used for repurchases of shares of our common stock under the stock repurchase program authorized, \$4.9 million used to repurchase vested restricted stock units and awards for settlement of income tax withholding liabilities, and the payment of contingent consideration of \$1.5 million.

Cash used in financing activities in the six months ended July 2, 2011 of \$208.3 million was primarily comprised of \$164.4 million used to extinguish the senior subordinated convertible notes, \$50.0 million used for repurchases of shares of our common stock under the stock repurchase program authorized, and \$3.6 million used to repurchase vested restricted stock units and awards for settlement of income tax withholding liabilities. This was partially offset by proceeds of \$6.9 million related to stock option exercises, \$1.9 million proceeds from stock issued under the employee stock purchase plan, and \$0.9 million from excess tax benefits for share-based compensation.

Stock Repurchase Program

During the first quarter of fiscal 2011, under a \$100 million repurchase program publicly announced on February 14, 2011 (February 2011 program), we paid an aggregate of \$50 million to repurchase 1,783,267 shares of our common stock. On November 7, 2011 we announced publicly that our Board of Directors authorized a new program for the repurchase of up to \$50 million worth of shares of our common stock. Additionally, the Board of Directors extended the expiration date for the \$50 million remaining under the February 2011 program to

Edgar Filing: THORATEC CORP - Form 10-Q

November 4, 2012. During the second quarter of 2012, we paid \$0.5 million to repurchase 15,311 shares of our common stock under these publicly announced programs.

Shares of our common stock purchased that were not part of our publicly announced repurchase programs represent the surrender value of shares of restricted stock awards and units withheld in order to satisfy tax withholding obligations upon vesting. The shares purchased do not reduce the dollar value that may yet be purchased under our publicly announced repurchase programs. The aggregate value of shares purchased during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was approximately \$0.1 million and \$4.9 million, respectively. The aggregate value of shares purchased during the three and six months ended July 2, 2011 was approximately \$0.1 million and \$3.7 million, respectively.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We maintain an Irrevocable Standby Letter of Credit as part of our workers' compensation insurance program. The Letter of Credit is not collateralized and automatically renews on June 30 of each year, unless terminated by one of the parties. As of June 30, 2012, our Letter of Credit balance was approximately \$0.8 million.

Credit Facility

On December 19, 2011, we obtained an unsecured revolving credit facility that provides for up to \$50.0 million in revolving credit that will expire on December 19, 2016. The interest rate charged on the amounts borrowed is LIBOR plus a margin (ranging from

Table of Contents

0.75% to 1.25%). The agreement contains financial covenants. We were in compliance with all such covenants as of June 30, 2012. The credit agreement permits us to use the facility for working capital and general corporate purposes. As of June 30, 2012, there were no borrowings under this credit facility.

Contractual Obligations

As of June 30, 2012, the liability for uncertain tax positions was \$11.7 million, including interest and penalties. Due to the high degree of uncertainty regarding the timing of potential future cash flows associated with these liabilities, we are unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate of the amount and period in which these liabilities might be paid.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012 there were no material changes to our contractual obligations reported in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K outside our normal course of business.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE OF MARKET RISK

Interest Rate Risk

A 50 basis point reduction in interest rates on our investment portfolio and cash equivalents that bear variable interest would have an immaterial impact to interest income on the consolidated statements of operations. In addition, if interest rates were to rise, the market value of our investment portfolio would decline, which could result in a loss if we were to choose or be forced to sell an investment before its scheduled maturity. If interest rates were to rise or fall from current levels by 100 basis points, the change in our net unrealized loss on our short and long-term investments would be \$1.5 million. We do not utilize derivative financial instruments to manage interest rate risks.

Foreign Currency Rate Fluctuations

The fair value of our forward currency-exchange contracts is sensitive to changes in currency exchange rates and is estimated based on the amount that we would pay or receive upon termination of the contract, taking into account the change in currency exchange rates. A 10% directional change in the non-functional currency exchange rates as of June 30, 2012 related to our contracts would result in an increase in the unrealized gain or loss on forward currency-exchange contracts of \$9.7 million. The unrealized gains or losses on forward currency-exchange contracts resulting from changes in currency exchange rates are expected to approximately offset losses or gains on the currency exposures resulting from our operations.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Attached as exhibits to this Form 10-Q are certifications of our Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer, which are required in accordance with Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). This Controls and Procedures section includes information concerning the controls and controls evaluation referred to in the certifications.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act, as of June 30, 2012. The evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures included a review of our processes and implementation and the effect on the information generated for use in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. In the course of this evaluation, we sought to identify any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in our disclosure controls and procedures to determine whether we had identified any acts of fraud involving personnel who have a significant role in our disclosure controls and procedures, and to confirm that necessary corrective action, including process improvements, was taken. This type of evaluation is done quarterly so that our conclusions concerning the effectiveness of these controls can be reported in our periodic reports filed with the SEC. The overall goals of these evaluation activities are to monitor our disclosure controls and procedures and to make modifications as necessary. We intend to maintain these disclosure controls and procedures, modifying them as circumstances warrant.

Based on that evaluation, our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer, concluded that as of June 30, 2012, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act, were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and to provide reasonable assurance that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Table of Contents

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the three months ended June 30, 2012 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

Inherent Limitations on Controls and Procedures

Our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Interim Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures and our internal controls will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can only provide reasonable assurances that the objectives of the control system are met. The design of a control system reflects resource constraints; the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because there are inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been or will be detected. As these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, these risks. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events. While our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives, there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

We intend to review and evaluate the design and effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures on an ongoing basis and to improve our controls and procedures over time and to correct any deficiencies that we may discover in the future. While our Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of June 30, 2012, the design of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act, was effective, future events affecting our business may cause us to significantly modify our disclosure controls and procedures.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time we are involved in litigation arising out of claims in the normal course of business. Based on the information presently available, management believes that there are no claims or actions pending or threatened against us, the ultimate resolution of which will have a material effect on our financial position, liquidity or results of operations, although the results of litigation are inherently uncertain.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALE OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

There were no unregistered sales of our equity securities during the three months ended June 30, 2012.

The following table sets forth certain information about our common stock repurchased during the three months ended June 30, 2012:

| | Total number of shares purchased(1) | Average price paid per share | Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs(2) | Approximate dollar value (in \$000) of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs(2) |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| April 1, 2012 through April 30, 2012 | 253 | \$ 32.31 | | \$ 50,031 |
| May 1, 2012 through May 31, 2012 | 675 | \$ 31.60 | | \$ 50,031 |
| June 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012 | 3,067 | \$ 31.90 | 15,311 | \$ 49,542 |
| Total | 3,995 | \$ 31.87 | 15,311 | \$ 49,542 |

During the first quarter of fiscal 2011, under a \$100 million repurchase program announced on February 14, 2011 (February 2011 program), we paid an aggregate of \$50 million to repurchase 1,783,267 shares of our common stock. On November 7, 2011 we announced publicly that our Board of Directors authorized a new program for the repurchase of up to \$50 million worth of shares of our common stock. Additionally, the Board of Directors extended the expiration date for the \$50 million remaining under the February 2011 program to November 4, 2012.

(1) Shares purchased that were not part of our publicly announced repurchase program represent the surrender value of shares of restricted stock awards and units withheld in order to satisfy tax withholding obligations upon vesting and do not reduce the dollar value that may yet be purchased under our publicly announced repurchase program.

(2) Cumulative amounts through each respective month ending in 2012.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

- 10.1 Thoratec Corporation Amended and Restated 2006 Incentive Stock Plan.
- 31.1 Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
- 31.2 Section 302 Certification of Interim Chief Financial Officer.
- 32.1 Section 906 Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
- 32.2 Section 906 Certification of Interim Chief Financial Officer.
- 101*** The following materials from Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the six months ended June 30, 2012, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL) includes: (i) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, (ii) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and July 2, 2011, (iii) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and July 2, 2011, and (iv) Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Security Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

THORATEC CORPORATION

Date: August 2, 2012

/s/ Gerhard F. Burbach
Gerhard F. Burbach
Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 2, 2012

/s/ Roxanne Oulman
Roxanne Oulman
Interim Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer