

DOLLAR GENERAL CORP  
Form 10-Q  
June 04, 2012

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

**QUARTERLY REPORT**

**PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)**

**OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended May 4, 2012**

**Commission File Number: 001-11421**

**DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION**

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

**TENNESSEE**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**61-0502302**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**100 MISSION RIDGE**  
**GOODLETTSVILLE, TN 37072**  
(Address of principal executive offices, zip code)

Edgar Filing: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(615) 855-4000**

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The registrant had 332,326,972 shares of common stock outstanding on May 31, 2012.

## PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

## DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

*(In thousands)*

	May 4, 2012 (Unaudited)	February 3, 2012 (see Note 1)
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 132,530	\$ 126,126
Merchandise inventories	2,000,864	2,009,206
Income taxes receivable	5,210	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	135,131	139,742
Total current assets	2,273,735	2,275,074
Net property and equipment	1,878,172	1,794,960
Goodwill	4,338,589	4,338,589
Other intangible assets, net	1,231,866	1,235,954
Other assets, net	47,846	43,943
Total assets	\$ 9,770,208	\$ 9,688,520
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term obligations	\$ 459	\$ 590
Accounts payable	985,924	1,064,087
Accrued expenses and other	360,349	397,075
Income taxes payable	50,355	44,428
Deferred income taxes	14,166	3,722
Total current liabilities	1,411,253	1,509,902
Long-term obligations	2,880,920	2,617,891
Deferred income taxes	649,532	656,996
Other liabilities	231,427	229,149
Commitments and contingencies		
Redeemable common stock	5,644	6,087
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock		
Common stock	290,782	295,828
Additional paid-in capital	2,967,014	2,960,940
Retained earnings	1,336,298	1,416,918
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(2,662)	(5,191)
Total shareholders' equity	4,591,432	4,668,495
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 9,770,208	\$ 9,688,520

*See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.*

**DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

(Unaudited)

*(In thousands, except per share amounts)*

	For the 13 weeks ended	
	May 4, 2012	April 29, 2011
Net sales	\$ 3,901,205	\$ 3,451,697
Cost of goods sold	2,672,949	2,364,300
Gross profit	1,228,256	1,087,397
Selling, general and administrative expenses	843,932	765,779
Operating profit	384,324	321,618
Interest expense	37,074	65,572
Other (income) expense	1,671	2,272
Income before income taxes	345,579	253,774
Income tax expense	132,164	96,805
Net income	\$ 213,415	\$ 156,969
Earnings per share:		
Basic	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.46
Diluted	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.45
Weighted average shares:		
Basic	336,080	341,522
Diluted	339,490	345,393

*See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.*

**DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)

	For the 13 weeks ended	
	May 4, 2012	April 29, 2011
Comprehensive income	\$ 215,944	\$ 161,669

*See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.*

**DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(Unaudited)

*(In thousands)*

	For the 13 weeks ended	
	May 4, 2012	April 29, 2011
<i>Cash flows from operating activities:</i>		
Net income	\$ 213,415	\$ 156,969
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	72,271	67,486
Deferred income taxes	(1,119)	7,393
Tax benefit of stock options	(18,589)	(434)
Loss on debt retirement, net	1,629	2,167
Noncash share-based compensation	4,759	3,519
Other noncash gains and losses	2,828	4,574
Change in operating assets and liabilities:		
Merchandise inventories	6,499	(5,275)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	5,370	(32,369)
Accounts payable	(82,227)	(25,922)
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(30,218)	38,810
Income taxes	19,306	6,671
Other	(1,285)	(17)
Net cash provided by operating activities	192,639	223,572
<i>Cash flows from investing activities:</i>		
Purchases of property and equipment	(145,857)	(91,958)
Proceeds from sales of property and equipment	119	367
Net cash used in investing activities	(145,738)	(91,591)
<i>Cash flows from financing activities:</i>		
Repayments of long-term obligations	(202)	(27,151)
Borrowings under revolving credit facility	584,900	
Repayments of borrowings under revolving credit facility	(321,800)	
Debt issue costs	(7,663)	
Repurchase of common stock from principal shareholder	(300,000)	
Equity transactions with employees, net of taxes paid	(14,321)	(247)
Tax benefit of stock options	18,589	434
Net cash used in financing activities	(40,497)	(26,964)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	6,404	105,017
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	126,126	497,446
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 132,530	\$ 602,463
<i>Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:</i>		
Purchases of property and equipment awaiting processing for payment, included in Accounts payable	\$ 39,726	\$ 35,649

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.





**DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements**

**(Unaudited)**

**1. Basis of presentation**

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Dollar General Corporation and its subsidiaries (the Company) have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( U.S. GAAP ) for interim financial information and are presented in accordance with the requirements of Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Such financial statements consequently do not include all of the disclosures normally required by U.S. GAAP or those normally made in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of February 3, 2012 which has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements at that date. Accordingly, readers of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should refer to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 3, 2012 for additional information.

The Company's fiscal year ends on the Friday closest to January 31. Unless the context requires otherwise, references to years contained herein pertain to the Company's fiscal year. The Company's 2012 fiscal year will be a 52-week accounting period ending on February 1, 2013 and the 2011 fiscal year was a 53-week accounting period that ended on February 3, 2012.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the Company's customary accounting practices. In management's opinion, all adjustments (which are of a normal recurring nature) necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial position as of May 4, 2012 and results of operations for the 13-week accounting periods ended May 4, 2012 and April 29, 2011 have been made.

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The Company uses the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method of valuing inventory. An actual valuation of inventory under the LIFO method is made at the end of each year based on the inventory levels and costs at that time. Accordingly, interim LIFO calculations are based on management's estimates of expected year-end inventory levels, sales for the year and the expected rate of inflation/deflation for the year. The interim LIFO calculations are subject to adjustment in the final year-end LIFO inventory valuation. The Company recorded LIFO provisions of \$1.6 million and \$3.6 million in the 13-week periods ended May 4, 2012 and April 29, 2011, respectively. In addition, ongoing estimates of inventory shrinkage and initial markups and markdowns are included in the interim cost of goods sold calculation. Because the Company's business is moderately seasonal, the results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year.

Certain financial statement amounts relating to prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

**2. Common stock transactions**

On November 30, 2011, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a \$500 million common stock repurchase program. Under the program, shares of the Company's common stock may be repurchased from time to time in open market transactions or in privately negotiated purchases, which could include repurchases from Buck Holdings, L.P. (which is controlled by affiliates of Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co., L.P. ( KKR ) and Goldman Sachs & Co.) or other related parties if appropriate. The timing and actual number of shares purchased will depend on a variety of factors, such as price, market conditions and other factors. Repurchases under the program may be funded from available cash or borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility. The repurchase authorization has no expiration date. In connection with the repurchase program, on April 2, 2012, the Company repurchased 6,817,311 shares from Buck Holdings, L.P. for \$300 million. As of May 4, 2012, the remaining authorization under the repurchase program is \$15 million.

**3. Earnings per share**

Earnings per share is computed as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

	13 Weeks Ended May 4, 2012			13 Weeks Ended April 29, 2011		
	Net Income	Shares	Per Share Amount	Net Income	Shares	Per Share Amount
Basic earnings per share	\$ 213,415	336,080	\$ 0.64	\$ 156,969	341,522	\$ 0.46
Effect of dilutive share-based awards		3,410			3,871	
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 213,415	339,490	\$ 0.63	\$ 156,969	345,393	\$ 0.45

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is determined based on the dilutive effect of stock options using the treasury stock method.

Options to purchase shares of common stock that were outstanding at the end of the respective periods, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effect of exercising such options would be antidilutive, were 0.5 million and 0.4 million in the 2012 and 2011 periods, respectively.

**4. Income taxes**

Under the accounting standards for income taxes, the asset and liability method is used for computing the future income tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the Company's consolidated financial statements or income tax returns.

Income tax reserves are determined using the methodology established by accounting standards for income taxes which require companies to assess each income tax position taken

using a two-step approach. A determination is first made as to whether it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained, based upon the technical merits, upon examination by the taxing authorities. If the tax position is expected to meet the more likely than not criteria, the benefit recorded for the tax position equals the largest amount that is greater than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement of the respective tax position.

The Internal Revenue Service ( IRS ) is examining the Company's federal income tax returns for fiscal years 2006, 2007, and 2008. The 2005 and earlier years are not open for examination. The 2009, 2010, and 2011 fiscal years, while not currently under examination, are subject to examination at the discretion of the IRS. The Company has various state income tax examinations that are currently in progress. Generally, the Company's tax years ended in 2007 and later remain open for examination by the various state taxing authorities.

As of May 4, 2012, the total reserves for uncertain tax benefits, interest expense related to income taxes and potential income tax penalties were \$37.9 million, \$1.5 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, for a total of \$39.7 million. Of this amount, \$0.3 million and \$38.1 million are reflected in current liabilities as Accrued expenses and other and in noncurrent Other liabilities, respectively, in the condensed consolidated balance sheet with the remaining \$1.3 million reducing deferred tax assets related to net operating loss carry forwards.

The Company believes it is reasonably possible that the reserve for uncertain tax positions may be reduced by approximately \$26.2 million in the coming twelve months principally as a result of the settlement of currently ongoing income tax examinations. As of May 4, 2012, approximately \$37.9 million of the reserve for uncertain tax positions would impact the Company's effective income tax rate if the Company were to recognize the tax benefit for these positions.

The effective income tax rate for the 13-week period ended May 4, 2012 was 38.2% compared to a rate of 38.1% for the 13-week period ended April 29, 2011. Increases in the effective tax rate associated with the expiration of various federal jobs credits for workers hired after December 31, 2011 (primarily the Work Opportunity Tax Credit) as well as the expiration of the Hire Act's Retention Credit were offset by decreases associated with the adjustment of accruals related to the IRS examination of the Company's federal income tax returns for fiscal years 2006 through 2008 and the reversal of state income tax reserves due to an audit settlement.

## **5. Current and long-term obligations**

On March 15, 2012, the Company's senior secured asset based revolving credit facility was amended and restated (the ABL Facility ). The maturity date was extended to July 6, 2014 and the total commitment was increased to \$1.2 billion (of which up to \$350.0 million is available for letters of credit), subject to borrowing base availability. At May 4, 2012, the applicable margin for borrowings under the ABL Facility was 1.50% for LIBOR borrowings and 0.50% for base-rate borrowings, and the commitment fee for any unutilized commitments was 0.375%. The applicable margins for borrowings and the

commitment fees under the ABL Facility are subject to adjustment each quarter, based on average daily excess availability under the ABL Facility. The Company also must pay customary letter of credit fees. The Company capitalized \$2.6 million of debt issue costs, and incurred a pretax loss of \$1.6 million for the write off of a portion of existing debt issue costs associated with the amendment, which is reflected in Other (income) expense in the Company's condensed consolidated statement of income for the 13-week period ended May 4, 2012.

On March 30, 2012, the Company's \$1.964 billion senior secured term loan facility was amended and restated (the Term Loan Facility). Pursuant to the amendment, the maturity date for \$879.7 million of the Term Loan Facility was extended from July 6, 2014 to July 6, 2017. The applicable margin for borrowings under the Term Loan Facility remains unchanged. The Company capitalized \$5.2 million of debt issue costs associated with the amendment.

On April 29, 2011, the Company repurchased in the open market \$25.0 million aggregate principal amount of Senior Notes at a price of 107.0% plus accrued and unpaid interest, funded with cash on hand. The pretax loss on this transaction of \$2.2 million is reflected in Other (income) expense in the Company's condensed consolidated statement of income for the 13-week period ended April 29, 2011.

#### **6. Assets and liabilities measured at fair value**

Fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement. Therefore, a fair value measurement should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, fair value accounting standards establish a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs that are classified within Levels 1 and 2 of the hierarchy) and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy).

In connection with accounting standards for fair value measurement, the Company has made an accounting policy election to measure the credit risk of its derivative financial instruments that are subject to master netting agreements on a net basis by counterparty portfolio. The Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivative financial instruments using the income approach fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. However, the credit valuation adjustments associated with the Company's derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. As of May 4, 2012, the Company has assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of its derivatives. As a result, the Company has classified its derivative valuations, as discussed in detail in Note 7, in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. The Company's long-term obligations classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy are valued at cost. The Company does not have any fair value measurements categorized within Level 3 as of May 4, 2012.

(in thousands)	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets and Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Balance at May 4, 2012
<b>Assets:</b>				
Trading securities (a)	\$ 6,675	\$	\$	\$ 6,675
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Long-term obligations (b)	2,904,606	19,382		2,923,988
Derivative financial instruments (c)		6,764		6,764
Deferred compensation (d)	20,919			20,919

(a) Reflected at fair value in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as Prepaid expenses and other current assets of \$1,637 and Other assets, net of \$5,038.

(b) Reflected at book value in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as Current portion of long-term obligations of \$459 and Long-term obligations of \$2,880,920.

(c) Reflected in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as Accrued expenses and other current liabilities.

(d) Reflected at fair value in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as Accrued expenses and other current liabilities of \$1,679 and non-current Other liabilities of \$19,240.

## 7. Derivatives and hedging activities

The Company records all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether the Company has elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and apply hedge accounting and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Derivatives may also be designated as hedges of the foreign currency exposure of a net investment in a foreign operation. Hedge accounting generally provides for the matching of the timing of gain or loss recognition on the hedging instrument with the recognition of the changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability that are attributable to the hedged risk in a fair value hedge or the earnings effect of the hedged forecasted transactions in a cash flow hedge. The Company may enter into derivative contracts that are intended to economically hedge a certain portion of its risk, even though hedge accounting does not apply or the Company elects not to apply the hedge accounting standards.

### Risk management objective of using derivatives

The Company is exposed to certain risks arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Company principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The Company manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk, primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its debt funding and the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, the Company enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. The Company s



derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the Company's known or expected cash receipts and its known or expected cash payments principally related to the Company's borrowings.

The Company is exposed to certain risks arising from uncertainties of future market values caused by the fluctuation in the prices of commodities. From time to time the Company may enter into derivative financial instruments to protect against future price changes related to these commodity prices.

#### **Cash flow hedges of interest rate risk**

The Company's objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, the Company primarily uses interest rate swaps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the Company making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualify as cash flow hedges is recorded in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (also referred to as OCI) and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. During the 13-week periods ended May 4, 2012 and April 29, 2011, such derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with existing variable-rate debt. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings.

As of May 4, 2012, the Company had three interest rate swaps with a combined notional value of \$506.7 million that were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk. Amounts reported in Accumulated other comprehensive loss related to derivatives will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the Company's variable-rate debt. During the next 52-week period, the Company estimates that an additional \$4.4 million will be reclassified as an increase to interest expense for all of its interest rate swaps.

#### **Non-designated hedges of commodity risk**

Derivatives not designated as hedges are not speculative and are used to manage the Company's exposure to commodity price risk but do not meet strict hedge accounting requirements. Changes in the fair value of derivatives not designated in hedging relationships are recorded directly in earnings. As of May 4, 2012, and April 29, 2011, the Company had no such non-designated hedges.



## Edgar Filing: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP - Form 10-Q

The tables below present the fair value of the Company's derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the condensed consolidated balance sheets as of May 4, 2012 and February 3, 2012:

(in thousands)	May 4, 2012	February 3, 2012
<b>Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments</b>		
Interest rate swaps classified in current liabilities as Accrued expenses and other	\$ 6,764	\$ 10,820

The tables below present the pre-tax effect of the Company's derivative financial instruments on the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the 13-week periods ended May 4, 2012 and April 29, 2011:

(in thousands)	May 4, 2012	13 Weeks Ended April 29, 2011
<b>Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships</b>		
Loss related to effective portion of derivative recognized in OCI	\$ 36	\$ 1,603
Loss related to effective portion of derivative reclassified from Accumulated OCI to Interest expense	\$ 4,185	\$ 9,319
Loss related to ineffective portion of derivative recognized in Other (income) expense	\$ 42	\$ 106

### Credit-risk-related contingent features

The Company has agreements with all of its interest rate swap counterparties that contain a provision providing that the Company could be declared in default on its derivative obligations if repayment of the underlying indebtedness is accelerated by the lender due to the Company's default on such indebtedness.

As of May 4, 2012, the fair value of interest rate swaps in a net liability position, which includes accrued interest but excludes any adjustment for nonperformance risk related to these agreements, was \$7.0 million. If the Company had breached any of these provisions at May 4, 2012, it could have been required to post full collateral or settle its obligations under the agreements at an estimated termination value of \$7.0 million. As of May 4, 2012, the Company had not breached any of these provisions or posted any collateral related to these agreements.

## 8. Commitments and contingencies

### Legal proceedings

On August 7, 2006, a lawsuit entitled *Cynthia Richter, et al. v. Dolgenercorp, Inc., et al.* was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama (Case No. 7:06-cv-01537-LSC) ( *Richter* ) in which the plaintiff alleges that she and other current and former Dollar General store managers were improperly classified as exempt executive employees under the Fair Labor Standards Act ( *FLSA* ) and seeks to recover overtime pay, liquidated damages, and attorneys' fees and costs. On August 15, 2006, the *Richter* plaintiff filed a motion in which she

asked the court to certify a nationwide class of current and former store

managers. The Company opposed the plaintiff's motion. On March 23, 2007, the court conditionally certified a nationwide class. On December 2, 2009, notice was mailed to over 28,000 current or former Dollar General store managers. Approximately 3,950 individuals have opted into the lawsuit, approximately 800 of whom have been dismissed for various reasons, including failure to cooperate in discovery.

Except as to certain limited fact discovery, the discovery period has closed. On April 2, 2012, the Company filed its decertification motion. Plaintiff's response to that motion was filed on May 9, 2012. No deadline currently exists for potentially dispositive motions, and the Court has not set a trial date.

The Company believes that its store managers are and have been properly classified as exempt employees under the FLSA and that the *Richter* action is not appropriate for collective action treatment. The Company has obtained summary judgment in some, although not all, of its pending individual or single-plaintiff store manager exemption cases in which it has filed such a motion.

The Company is vigorously defending the *Richter* matter. However, at this time, it is not possible to predict whether *Richter* ultimately will be permitted to proceed collectively, and no assurances can be given that the Company will be successful in its defense of the action on the merits or otherwise. Similarly, at this time the Company cannot estimate either the size of any potential class or the value of the claims asserted in *Richter*. For these reasons, the Company is unable to estimate any potential loss or range of loss in the matter; however, if the Company is not successful in its defense efforts, the resolution of *Richter* could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements as a whole.

On March 7, 2006, a complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama (*Janet Calvert v. Dolgencorp, Inc.*, Case No. 2:06-cv-00465-VEH ( Calvert )), in which the plaintiff, a former store manager, alleged that she was paid less than male store managers because of her sex, in violation of the Equal Pay Act and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended ( Title VII ) (now captioned, *Wanda Womack, et al. v. Dolgencorp, Inc.*, Case No. 2:06-cv-00465-VEH). The complaint subsequently was amended to include additional plaintiffs, who also allege to have been paid less than males because of their sex, and to add allegations that the Company's compensation practices disparately impact females. Under the amended complaint, plaintiffs seek to proceed collectively under the Equal Pay Act and as a class under Title VII, and request back wages, injunctive and declaratory relief, liquidated damages, punitive damages and attorneys fees and costs.

On July 9, 2007, the plaintiffs filed a motion in which they asked the court to approve the issuance of notice to a class of current and former female store managers under the Equal Pay Act. The Company opposed plaintiffs' motion. On November 30, 2007, the court conditionally certified a nationwide class of females under the Equal Pay Act who worked for Dollar General as store managers between November 30, 2004 and November 30, 2007. The notice was issued on January 11, 2008, and persons to whom the notice was sent were required to opt into the suit by March 11, 2008. Approximately 2,100 individuals opted into the lawsuit.

On April 19, 2010, the plaintiffs moved for class certification relating to their Title VII claims. The Company filed its response to the certification motion in June 2010. Briefing has closed, and the motion remains pending. The Company's motion to decertify the Equal Pay Act class was denied as premature. If the case proceeds, the Company expects to file a similar motion in due course.

The parties agreed to mediate this action, and the court stayed the action pending the results of the mediation. The mediation occurred in March and April, 2011, at which time the Company reached an agreement in principle to settle the matter on behalf of the entire putative class. The proposed settlement, which has received preliminary approval from the court, provides for both monetary and equitable relief. Under the preliminarily approved terms, \$15.5 million will be paid into a fund for the class members that will be apportioned and paid out to individual members (less any additional attorneys' fees or litigation costs approved by the court), upon submission of a valid claim. An additional \$3.25 million will be paid for plaintiffs' legal fees and costs. Of the total \$18.75 million, the Company's Employment Practices Liability Insurance (EPLI) carrier paid approximately \$15.9 million in the first quarter of 2012 to a third party claims administrator to disburse the funds, per the settlement terms, to claimants and counsel pending final approval from the court, which represented the balance remaining of the \$20 million EPLI policy covering the claims. The Company paid approximately \$2.8 million to the third party claims administrator. In addition, the Company agreed to make, and, effective April 1, 2012, has made, certain adjustments to its pay setting policies and procedures for new store managers. A hearing regarding final approval of the settlement is scheduled for July 23, 2012. Because it deemed settlement probable and estimable, the Company accrued for the net settlement as well as for certain additional anticipated fees related thereto during the first quarter of 2011, and concurrently recorded a receivable of approximately \$15.9 million from its EPLI carrier. Due to the payments described above, the accrual and receivable were each relieved during the first quarter of 2012.

At this time, although probable it is not certain that the court will grant final approval to the settlement. If it does not, and the case proceeds, it is not possible at this time to predict whether the court ultimately will permit the action to proceed collectively under the Equal Pay Act or as a class under Title VII. Although the Company intends to vigorously defend the action, no assurances can be given that it would be successful in the defense on the merits or otherwise. At this stage in the proceedings, the Company cannot estimate either the size of any potential class or the value of the claims raised in this action if it proceeds. For these reasons, the Company is unable to estimate any potential loss or range of loss in such a scenario; however, if the Company is not successful in defending this action, its resolution could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements as a whole.

On April 9, 2012, the Company was served with a lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia entitled *Jonathan Marcum v. Dolgener Corp. Inc.* (Civil Action No. 3:12-cv-00108-JRS) in which the plaintiff, whose conditional offer of employment was rescinded, alleges defamation and that certain of the Company's background check procedures violate the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA). According to the complaint, the plaintiff seeks to represent a putative class of applicants in connection with his FCRA claims. The Company's response to the complaint is due to be filed on June 15, 2012.

## Edgar Filing: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP - Form 10-Q

At this time, it is not possible to predict whether the court ultimately will permit the action to proceed as a class under the FCRA. Although the Company intends to vigorously defend the action, no assurances can be given that it will be successful in the defense on the merits or otherwise. At this stage in the proceedings, the Company cannot estimate either the size of any potential class or the value of the claims raised by the plaintiff. For these reasons, the Company is unable to estimate any potential loss or range of loss in such a scenario; however, if the Company is not successful in defending this action, its resolution could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements as a whole.

In September 2011, the Chicago Regional Office of the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC or Commission) notified the Company of a cause finding related to the Company's criminal background check policy. The cause finding alleges that Dollar General's criminal background check policy, which excludes from employment individuals with certain criminal convictions for specified periods, has a disparate impact on African-American candidates and employees in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended.

The Company and the EEOC have been engaged in the statutorily required conciliation process. Although the Company will continue to conciliate in good faith, it believes that its criminal background check process is both lawful and necessary to a safe environment for its employees and customers and the protection of its assets and shareholders' investments.

Based on the Commission's recent conciliation demands, the Company is not optimistic regarding the likelihood that the conciliation process will be successful. If it is not, litigation may ensue. The Company does not believe that this matter would be amenable to class or similar treatment; however, because at this time the Company cannot estimate or determine the form that any ultimate litigation would take, the size of any putative class or the damages or other recoveries that would be sought, it cannot estimate the potential exposure. If the matter were to proceed successfully as a class or similar action, it could have a material impact on the Company's financial statements as a whole.

On May 20, 2011, a lawsuit entitled *Winn-Dixie Stores, Inc., et al. v. Dolgencorp, LLC* was filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida (Case No. 9:11-cv-80601-DMM) (Winn-Dixie) in which the plaintiffs allege that the sale of food and other items in approximately 55 of the Company's stores, each of which allegedly is or was at some time co-located in a shopping center with one of plaintiffs stores, violates restrictive covenants that plaintiffs contend are binding on the occupants of the shopping centers. Plaintiffs seek damages and an injunction limiting the sale of food and other items in those stores. Although plaintiffs have not made a demand for any specific amount of damages at this point in the proceeding, documents prepared and produced by plaintiffs during discovery suggest that plaintiffs seek as much as \$47 million although the court limited their ability to prove such damages. The Company has vigorously defended the *Winn-Dixie* matter and views that sum as wholly without basis and unsupported by the law and the facts. The various leases involved in the matter are unique in their terms and/or the factual circumstances surrounding them, and, in some cases, the stores named by plaintiffs are not now and have never been co-located with plaintiffs' stores. The court granted the Company's motion challenging the admissibility of plaintiffs' damages expert, precluding the expert from testifying. The case was consolidated with similar cases against Big Lots and Dollar Tree, and a non-jury trial commenced on May 14, 2012 and presentation of evidence concluded on May 22, 2012. To date, no judgment has been issued. At this time, no assurances can be given that the Company will be successful in its defense of the action on the merits or otherwise. If the Company is not successful in defending the *Winn-Dixie* matter, the outcome could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements as a whole.

In 2008, the Company terminated an interest rate swap as a result of the counterparty's declaration of bankruptcy and made a cash payment of \$7.6 million to settle the swap. On May 14, 2010, the Company received a demand from the counterparty for an additional payment of approximately \$19 million plus interest. In April 2011, the Company reached a settlement with the counterparty under which the Company paid an additional \$9.85 million in exchange for a full release. The Company accrued the settlement amount along with additional expected fees and costs related thereto in the first quarter of 2011. The settlement was finalized and the payment was made in May 2011.

## Edgar Filing: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP - Form 10-Q

From time to time, the Company is a party to various other legal actions involving claims incidental to the conduct of its business, including actions by employees, consumers, suppliers, government agencies, or others through private actions, class actions, administrative proceedings, regulatory actions or other litigation, including without limitation under federal and state employment laws and wage and hour laws. The Company believes, based upon information currently available, that such other litigation and claims, both individually and in the aggregate,

will be resolved without a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements as a whole. However, litigation involves an element of uncertainty. Future developments could cause these actions or claims to have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations, cash flows, or financial position. In addition, certain of these lawsuits, if decided adversely to the Company or settled by the Company, may result in liability material to the Company's financial position or may negatively affect operating results if changes to the Company's business operation are required.

## **9. Related party transactions**

Affiliates of KKR and Goldman, Sachs & Co. indirectly own a substantial portion of the Company's common stock. Two of KKR's members and a Managing Director of Goldman, Sachs & Co. serve on the Company's Board of Directors.

Affiliates of KKR and Goldman, Sachs & Co. (among other entities) are or may be lenders under the Company's Term Loan Facility and ABL Facility discussed in further detail in Note 5. The Company made interest payments of approximately \$16.1 million and \$21.9 million on the Term Loan Facility and \$1.0 million and zero on the ABL Facility during the 13-week periods ended May 4, 2012 and April 29, 2011, respectively.

Goldman, Sachs & Co. is a counterparty to an amortizing interest rate swap with a \$103.3 million notional amount as of May 4, 2012, entered into in connection with the Term Loan Facility. The Company paid Goldman, Sachs & Co. approximately \$1.3 million and \$7.3 million in the 13-week periods ended May 4, 2012 and April 29, 2011, respectively, pursuant to this swap.

Affiliates of KKR and Goldman, Sachs & Co. served as underwriters in connection with the secondary offering of the Company's common stock held by certain existing shareholders that was completed in April 2012. The Company did not sell shares of common stock, receive proceeds from such shareholders' sales of shares of common stock or pay any underwriting fees in connection with the secondary offering. Certain members of the Company's management exercised registration rights in connection with such offering.

The Company repurchased common stock held by Buck Holdings, L.P. (which is controlled by affiliates of KKR and Goldman Sachs & Co) during the first quarter of 2012 as further discussed in Note 2.

**10. Segment reporting**

The Company manages its business on the basis of one reportable segment. As of May 4, 2012, all of the Company's operations were located within the United States, with the exception of a Hong Kong subsidiary and a liaison office in India, the collective assets and revenues of which are not material. Net sales grouped by classes of similar products are presented below.

(in thousands)	13 Weeks Ended	
	May 4, 2012	April 29, 2011
Classes of similar products:		
Consumables	\$ 2,877,282	\$ 2,529,070
Seasonal	524,493	457,057
Home products	258,998	234,208
Apparel	240,432	231,362
Net sales	\$ 3,901,205	\$ 3,451,697

**11. Subsequent event**

In May 2012, the Company entered into interest rate swaps with a total notional amount of \$875.0 million in order to mitigate a portion of the variable rate interest exposure under the Term Loan Facility. These swaps have an effective date of May 31, 2012 and are scheduled to mature on May 29, 2015. The terms of the agreements resulted in the swap of one month LIBOR rates for a fixed interest rate, which is expected to result in the payment of an all-in fixed rate of 3.34% on the notional amount through the date of maturity.

**12. Guarantor subsidiaries**

Certain of the Company's subsidiaries (the Guarantors) have fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis the Company's obligations under certain outstanding debt obligations. Each of the Guarantors is a direct or indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. The following consolidating schedules present condensed financial information on a combined basis, in thousands.



Edgar Filing: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP - Form 10-Q

May 4, 2012

	DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION	GUARANTOR SUBSIDIARIES	OTHER SUBSIDIARIES	ELIMINATIONS	CONSOLIDATED TOTAL
<b>BALANCE SHEET:</b>					
<b>ASSETS</b>					
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,156	\$ 108,118	\$ 23,256	\$	\$ 132,530
Merchandise inventories		2,000,864			2,000,864
Income taxes receivable		5,210			5,210
Deferred income taxes	415		21,848	(22,263)	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	579,120	4,881,080	10,058	(5,335,127)	135,131
Total current assets	580,691	6,995,272	55,162	(5,357,390)	2,273,735
Net property and equipment	113,770	1,764,235	167		1,878,172
Goodwill	4,338,589				4,338,589
Other intangible assets, net	1,199,700	32,166			1,231,866
Deferred income taxes			50,136	(50,136)	
Other assets, net	6,904,676	13,656	324,593	(7,195,079)	47,846
Total assets	\$ 13,137,426	\$ 8,805,329	\$ 430,058	\$ (12,602,605)	\$ 9,770,208
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY</b>					
Current liabilities:					
Current portion of long-term obligations	\$	\$ 459	\$	\$	\$ 459
Accounts payable	4,831,858	1,423,354	51,904	(5,321,192)	985,924
Accrued expenses and other	56,163	255,641	62,480	(13,935)	360,349
Income taxes payable	21,425		28,930		50,355
Deferred income taxes		36,429		(22,263)	14,166
Total current liabilities	4,909,446	1,715,883	143,314	(5,357,390)	1,411,253
Long-term obligations	3,142,575	3,431,603		(3,693,258)	2,880,920
Deferred income taxes	435,666	264,002		(50,136)	649,532
Other liabilities	52,663	35,310	143,454		231,427
Redeemable common stock	5,644				5,644
Shareholders equity:					
Preferred stock					
Common stock	290,782	23,855	100	(23,955)	290,782
Additional paid-in capital	2,967,014	431,253	19,900	(451,153)	2,967,014
Retained earnings	1,336,298	2,903,423	123,290	(3,026,713)	1,336,298
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(2,662)				(2,662)
Total shareholders equity	4,591,432	3,358,531	143,290	(3,501,821)	4,591,432
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 13,137,426	\$ 8,805,329	\$ 430,058	\$ (12,602,605)	\$ 9,770,208



Edgar Filing: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP - Form 10-Q

February 3, 2012

	DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION	GUARANTOR SUBSIDIARIES	OTHER SUBSIDIARIES	ELIMINATIONS	CONSOLIDATED TOTAL
<b>BALANCE SHEET:</b>					
<b>ASSETS</b>					
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,844	\$ 102,627	\$ 21,655	\$	\$ 126,126
Merchandise inventories		2,009,206			2,009,206
Deferred income taxes	10,078		21,729	(31,807)	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	551,457	4,685,263	5,768	(5,102,746)	139,742
Total current assets	563,379	6,797,096	49,152	(5,134,553)	2,275,074
Net property and equipment	113,661	1,681,072	227		1,794,960
Goodwill	4,338,589				4,338,589
Other intangible assets, net	1,199,200	36,754			1,235,954
Deferred income taxes			49,531	(49,531)	
Other assets, net	6,575,574	13,260	323,736	(6,868,627)	43,943
Total assets	\$ 12,790,403	\$ 8,528,182	\$ 422,646	\$ (12,052,711)	\$ 9,688,520
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY</b>					
Current liabilities:					
Current portion of long-term obligations	\$	\$ 590	\$	\$	\$ 590
Accounts payable	4,654,237	1,451,277	52,362	(5,093,789)	1,064,087
Accrued expenses and other	79,010	264,575	62,447	(8,957)	397,075
Income taxes payable	12,972	5,013	26,443		44,428
Deferred income taxes		35,529		(31,807)	3,722
Total current liabilities	4,746,219	1,756,984	141,252	(5,134,553)	1,509,902
Long-term obligations	2,879,475	3,340,075		(3,601,659)	2,617,891
Deferred income taxes	435,791	270,736		(49,531)	656,996
Other liabilities	54,336	33,156	141,657		229,149
Redeemable common stock	6,087				6,087
Shareholders equity:					
Preferred stock					
Common stock	295,828	23,855	100	(23,955)	295,828
Additional paid-in capital	2,960,940	431,253	19,900	(451,153)	2,960,940
Retained earnings	1,416,918	2,672,123	119,737	(2,791,860)	1,416,918
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(5,191)				(5,191)
Total shareholders equity	4,668,495	3,127,231	139,737	(3,266,968)	4,668,495
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 12,790,403	\$ 8,528,182	\$ 422,646	\$ (12,052,711)	\$ 9,688,520

Edgar Filing: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP - Form 10-Q

For the 13-weeks ended May 4, 2012

	DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION	GUARANTOR SUBSIDIARIES	OTHER SUBSIDIARIES	ELIMINATIONS	CONSOLIDATED TOTAL
<b>STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME:</b>					
Net sales	\$ 83,250	\$ 3,901,205	\$ 23,331	\$ (106,581)	\$ 3,901,205
Cost of goods sold		2,672,949			2,672,949
Gross profit	83,250	1,228,256	23,331	(106,581)	1,228,256
Selling, general and administrative expenses	75,682	851,948	22,883	(106,581)	843,932
Operating profit	7,568	376,308	448		384,324
Interest income	(9,951)	(8,576)	(5,002)	23,529	
Interest expense	51,106	9,489	8	(23,529)	37,074
Other (income) expense	1,671				1,671
Income (loss) before income taxes	(35,258)	375,395	5,442		345,579
Income tax expense (benefit)	(13,820)	144,095	1,889		132,164
Equity in subsidiaries earnings, net of taxes	234,853			(234,853)	
Net income	\$ 213,415	\$ 231,300	\$ 3,553	\$ (234,853)	\$ 213,415
Comprehensive income	\$ 215,944	\$ 231,300	\$ 3,553	\$ (234,853)	\$ 215,944

For the 13-weeks ended April 29, 2011

	DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION	GUARANTOR SUBSIDIARIES	OTHER SUBSIDIARIES	ELIMINATIONS	CONSOLIDATED TOTAL
<b>STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME:</b>					
Net sales	\$ 87,517	\$ 3,451,697	\$ 18,814	\$ (106,331)	\$ 3,451,697
Cost of goods sold		2,364,300			2,364,300
Gross profit	87,517	1,087,397	18,814	(106,331)	1,087,397
Selling, general and administrative expenses	79,561	774,568	17,981	(106,331)	765,779
Operating profit	7,956	312,829	833		321,618
Interest income	(12,422)	(3,981)	(5,228)	21,631	
Interest expense	74,746	12,451	6	(21,631)	65,572
Other (income) expense	2,272				2,272
Income (loss) before income taxes	(56,640)	304,359	6,055		253,774
Income tax expense (benefit)	(20,444)	115,046	2,203		96,805
Equity in subsidiaries earnings, net of taxes	193,165			(193,165)	
Net income	\$ 156,969	\$ 189,313	\$ 3,852	\$ (193,165)	\$ 156,969
Comprehensive income	\$ 161,669	\$ 189,313	\$ 3,852	\$ (193,165)	\$ 161,669

Edgar Filing: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP - Form 10-Q

For the 13 weeks ended May 4, 2012

	DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION	GUARANTOR SUBSIDIARIES	OTHER SUBSIDIARIES	ELIMINATIONS	CONSOLIDATED TOTAL
<b>STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS:</b>					
<i>Cash flows from operating activities:</i>					
Net income	\$ 213,415	\$ 231,300	\$ 3,553	\$ (234,853)	\$ 213,415
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization	7,520	64,685	66		72,271
Deferred income taxes	5,439	(5,834)	(724)		(1,119)
Tax benefit of stock options	(18,589)				(18,589)
Loss on debt retirement, net	1,629				1,629
Noncash share-based compensation	4,759				4,759
Other noncash gains and losses	109	2,719			2,828
Equity in subsidiaries earnings, net	(234,853)			234,853	
Change in operating assets and liabilities:					
Merchandise inventories		6,499			6,499
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	17,405	(13,025)	990		5,370
Accounts payable	(6,888)	(75,139)	(200)		(82,227)
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(25,442)	(6,606)	1,830		(30,218)
Income taxes	27,042	(10,223)	2,487		19,306
Other	(645)	(569)	(71)		(1,285)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(9,099)	193,807	7,931		192,639
<i>Cash flows from investing activities:</i>					
Purchases of property and equipment	(3,831)	(142,020)	(6)		(145,857)
Proceeds from sales of property and equipment	12	107			119
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,819)	(141,913)	(6)		(145,738)
<i>Cash flows from financing activities:</i>					
Repayments of long-term obligations		(202)			(202)
Borrowings under revolving credit facility	584,900				584,900
Repayments of borrowings under revolving credit facility	(321,800)				(321,800)
Debt issue costs	(7,663)				(7,663)
Repurchase of common stock from principal shareholder	(300,000)				(300,000)
Equity transactions with employees, net of taxes paid	(14,321)				(14,321)
Tax benefit of stock options	18,589				18,589
Changes in intercompany note balances, net	52,525	(46,201)	(6,324)		
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	12,230	(46,403)	(6,324)		(40,497)

Edgar Filing: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(688)	5,491	1,601	6,404
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	1,844	102,627	21,655	126,126
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 1,156	\$ 108,118	\$ 23,256	\$ 132,530

Edgar Filing: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP - Form 10-Q

For the 13 weeks ended April 29, 2011

	DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION	GUARANTOR SUBSIDIARIES	OTHER SUBSIDIARIES	ELIMINATIONS	CONSOLIDATED TOTAL
<b>STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS:</b>					
<i>Cash flows from operating activities:</i>					
Net income	\$ 156,969	\$ 189,313	\$ 3,852	\$ (193,165)	\$ 156,969
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization	8,162	59,289	35		67,486
Deferred income taxes	3,719	7,910	(4,236)		7,393
Tax benefit of stock options	(434)				(434)
Loss on debt retirement, net	2,167				2,167
Noncash share-based compensation	3,519				3,519
Other noncash gains and losses	251	4,323			4,574
Equity in subsidiaries earnings, net	(193,165)			193,165	
Change in operating assets and liabilities:					
Merchandise inventories		(5,275)			(5,275)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(16,331)	(16,741)	703		(32,369)
Accounts payable	14,019	(39,326)	(615)		(25,922)
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	31,836	5,680	1,294		38,810
Income taxes	12,960	(12,470)	6,181		6,671
Other	(328)	308	3		(17)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	23,344	193,011	7,217		223,572
<i>Cash flows from investing activities:</i>					
Purchases of property and equipment	(9,973)	(81,966)	(19)		(91,958)
Proceeds from sales of property and equipment		367			367
Net cash used in investing activities	(9,973)	(81,599)	(19)		(91,591)
<i>Cash flows from financing activities:</i>					
Repayments of long-term obligations	(26,750)	(401)			(27,151)
Equity transactions with employees, net of taxes paid	(247)				(247)
Tax benefit of stock options	434				434
Changes in intercompany note balances, net	29,981	(29,832)	(149)		
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	3,418	(30,233)	(149)		(26,964)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	16,789	81,179	7,049		105,017
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	111,545	364,404	21,497		497,446
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 128,334	\$ 445,583	\$ 28,546	\$	\$ 602,463





**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of

Dollar General Corporation:

We have reviewed the condensed consolidated balance sheet of Dollar General Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) as of May 4, 2012, and the related condensed consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income for the thirteen-week periods ended May 4, 2012 and April 29, 2011, and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the thirteen-week periods ended May 4, 2012 and April 29, 2011. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Dollar General Corporation as of February 3, 2012 and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders equity, and cash flows for the fiscal year then ended (not presented herein) and in our report dated March 22, 2012, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of February 3, 2012, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

June 4, 2012  
Nashville, Tennessee

**ITEM 2.  
OF OPERATIONS.**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS**

**General**

This discussion and analysis is based on, should be read with, and is qualified in its entirety by, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes, as well as our consolidated financial statements and the related Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations as contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended February 3, 2012. It also should be read in conjunction with the disclosure under "Cautionary Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" in this report.

**Executive Overview**

We are the largest discount retailer in the United States by number of stores, with 10,052 stores located in 40 states as of May 4, 2012, primarily in the southern, southwestern, midwestern and eastern United States. We offer a broad selection of merchandise, including consumable products such as food, paper and cleaning products, health and beauty products and pet supplies, and non-consumable products such as seasonal merchandise, home decor and domestics, and apparel. Our merchandise includes high quality national brands from leading manufacturers, as well as comparable quality private brand selections with prices at substantial discounts to national brands. We offer our customers these national brand and private brand products at everyday low prices (typically \$10 or less) in our convenient small-box (small store) locations.

The customers we serve are value-conscious, and Dollar General has always been intensely focused on helping our customers make the most of their spending dollars. We believe our convenient store format and broad selection of high quality products at compelling values have driven our substantial growth and financial success over the years. Like other companies, we have been operating in an environment with heightened economic challenges and uncertainties in recent years. Consumers are facing low rates of employment, fluctuating food, gasoline and energy costs, rising medical costs, and continued weakness in housing and consumer credit markets, and the timetable and strength of any economic recovery remains uncertain. Nonetheless, as a result of our long-term mission of serving the value-conscious customer, coupled with a vigorous focus on improving our operating and financial performance, our financial results have been strong, and we are optimistic with regard to executing our operating priorities in 2012.

At the beginning of 2008, we defined four operating priorities, which we remain keenly focused on executing. These priorities are: 1) drive productive sales growth, 2) increase our gross margins, 3) leverage process improvements and information technology to reduce costs, and 4) strengthen and expand Dollar General's culture of serving others.

Our first priority is driving productive sales growth by increasing shopper frequency and transaction amount and maximizing sales per square foot. In addition to our ongoing category management processes which help us determine the most productive merchandise offerings for

our customers, sales growth initiatives for 2012 include: improvement in merchandise in-stock levels; further emphasis on the \$1.00 price point; expansion of the number of coolers in approximately 1,200 existing stores; and the initial implementation of a merchandise allocation strategy based on store demographics. In addition, we expect our remodeled and relocated stores to enhance same-store sales growth. New store expansion is an important element of our overall growth strategy and currently includes expansion in several new markets, including portions of California, and the testing of larger store formats with expanded perishable foods. We opened a total of 625 new stores in 2011 and plan to open an additional 625 stores in 2012, of which 128 were opened in the 2012 first quarter.

Our second priority is to increase gross profit through effective category management, the expansion of private brand offerings, increased foreign sourcing, shrink reduction, distribution efficiencies and improvements to our pricing and markdown model, while remaining committed to our everyday low price strategy. Within our consumables category, we strive to offer the optimal balance of the most popular nationally advertised brands and our own private brands, which generally have higher gross profit rates than national brands. In recent years, sales growth in consumables, which generally have lower gross profit rates than non-consumables, has outpaced the growth in non-consumables, due to economic challenges faced by our customers which have impacted discretionary spending as well as our focus on expanding the consumables offerings in our stores. To some extent, the increased commodities costs we experienced in 2011 moderated in the 2012 first quarter, although we continued to see elevated costs of diesel fuel through the first quarter and we expect higher costs to remain. We opened two new distribution centers in the 2012 first quarter to help reduce the number of miles driven in connection with delivering merchandise to our stores.

Our third priority is leveraging process improvements and information technology to reduce costs. We are committed as an organization to extract costs that do not affect the customer experience. In 2012, we have additional opportunities to utilize the capabilities of our workforce management system, implemented in 2011, which assists us in improving our store standards and overall customer experience by utilizing store workforce hours more effectively. Also in 2011, we installed faster data transmission technology in our stores which we expect to create greater efficiencies in our retail store operations in 2012. In addition, we are in the early stages of implementing a comprehensive supply chain solution which we believe will help us improve our allocation of merchandise and reduce our overall costs of purchasing and delivering merchandise to our stores. This is a multi-year project which impacts the entire supply chain.

Our fourth priority is to strengthen and expand Dollar General's culture of serving others. For customers this means helping them Save time. Save money. Every day! by providing clean, well-stocked stores with quality products at low prices. For employees, this means creating an environment that attracts and retains key employees throughout the organization. For the public, this means giving back to our store communities through our charitable and other efforts. For shareholders, this means meeting their expectations of an efficiently and profitably run organization that operates with compassion and integrity.

Focus on these priorities has resulted in improved performance in the first quarter of 2012 over the comparable 2011 period in many of our key financial metrics. Basis points amounts referred to below are equal to 0.01% as a percentage of sales.

## Edgar Filing: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP - Form 10-Q

- Total sales increased 13.0% to \$3.90 billion. Sales in same-stores increased 6.7% driven by increases in customer traffic and average transaction amount. Average sales per square foot for all stores over the 53-week period ended May 4, 2012 were \$216, up from \$203 for the 52-week period ended April 29, 2011.
- Gross profit, as a percentage of sales, was 31.5% in both the 2012 and 2011 periods. The positive factors affecting the 2012 gross profit rate were effectively offset by several negative factors. The most significant factors positively affecting the gross profit rate were higher inventory markups, distribution and transportation efficiencies, inventory shrink reduction and a lower LIFO charge. The most significant factors negatively affecting the gross profit rate included increased apparel and other markdowns and a heavier consumables weighting within the sales mix.
- Selling, general and administrative expenses, or SG&A, as a percentage of sales, was 21.6% compared to 22.2% in the 2011 quarter, a decrease of 56 basis points. The 2011 quarter included expenses of \$13.1 million, or 38 basis points, for the expected settlement of two legal matters. The remaining improvement in SG&A, as a percentage of sales, is primarily due to our increased sales, which combined with the impact of our new workforce management system, resulted in improved effectiveness of our store labor costs.
- Interest expense decreased by \$28.5 million to \$37.1 million in the 2012 first quarter. Total long-term obligations as of May 4, 2012 were \$2.88 billion, a reduction of \$382 million from the prior year.
- Net income was \$213.4 million, or \$0.63 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$157.0 million, or \$0.45 per diluted share, in the 2011 quarter. Diluted shares outstanding decreased by 5.9 million shares, reflecting the impact of repurchases of 11.7 million shares during the most recent two quarters.
- Cash generated from operating activities was \$192.6 million. At May 4, 2012, we had a cash balance of \$132.5 million.
- Inventory turnover was 5.3 times on a rolling four-quarter basis. Inventories increased 7% on a per store basis over the 2011 first quarter. Improving our in-stock levels, while improving our inventory turns, remains a high priority.
- During the 2012 first quarter, we opened 128 new stores, remodeled or relocated 224 stores, and closed 13 stores, resulting in a store count of 10,052 as of May 4, 2012.

The above discussion is a summary only. Readers should refer to the detailed discussion of our operating results below for the full analysis of our financial performance in the current year period as compared with the prior year period.



**Results of Operations**

*Accounting Periods.* We follow the concept of a 52-53 week fiscal year that ends on the Friday nearest to January 31. The following text contains references to years 2012 and 2011, which represent the 52-week fiscal year ending February 1, 2013 and the 53-week fiscal year ended February 3, 2012, respectively. References to the first quarter accounting periods for 2012 and 2011 contained herein refer to the 13-week accounting periods ended May 4, 2012 and April 29, 2011, respectively.

*Seasonality.* The nature of our business is seasonal to a certain extent. Primarily because of sales of holiday-related merchandise, our sales and gross profit rate in the fourth quarter have historically been higher than those achieved in each of the first three quarters of the fiscal year. Expenses and, to a greater extent, operating income, vary by quarter. Results of a period shorter than a full year may not be indicative of results expected for the entire year. Furthermore, the seasonal nature of our business may affect comparisons between periods.

The following table contains results of operations data for the first 13 weeks of each of 2012 and 2011, and the dollar and percentage variances among those periods:

(dollars in millions, except per share amounts)	13 Weeks Ended		2012 vs. 2011	
	May 4, 2012	April 29, 2011	Amount change	% change
Net sales by category:				
Consumables	\$ 2,877.3	\$ 2,529.1	\$ 348.2	13.8%
% of net sales	73.75%	73.27%		
Seasonal	524.5	457.1	67.4	14.8
% of net sales	13.44%	13.24%		
Home products	259.0	234.2	24.8	10.6
% of net sales	6.64%	6.79%		
Apparel	240.4	231.4	9.1	3.9
% of net sales	6.16%	6.70%		
Net sales	3,901.2	3,451.7	449.5	13.0
Cost of goods sold	2,672.9	2,364.3	308.6	13.1
% of net sales	68.52%	68.50%		
Gross profit	1,228.3	1,087.4	140.9	13.0
% of net sales	31.48%	31.50%		
Selling, general and administrative expenses	843.9	765.8	78.2	10.2
% of net sales	21.63%	22.19%		
Operating profit	384.3	321.6	62.7	19.5
% of net sales	9.85%	9.32%		
Interest expense	37.1	65.6	(28.5)	(43.5)
% of net sales	0.95%	1.90%		
Other (income) expense	1.7	2.3	(0.6)	(26.5)
% of net sales	0.04%	0.07%		
Income before income taxes	345.6	253.8	91.8	36.2
% of net sales	8.86%	7.35%		
Income tax expense	132.2	96.8	35.4	36.5
% of net sales	3.39%	2.80%		
Net income	\$ 213.4	\$ 157.0	\$ 56.4	36.0%
% of net sales	5.47%	4.55%		
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.18	40.0%



**13 WEEKS ENDED MAY 4, 2012 AND APRIL 29, 2011**

*Net Sales.* The net sales increase in the 2012 first quarter reflects a same-store sales increase of 6.7% compared to the 2011 quarter. Same-stores include stores that have been open for at least 13 months and remain open at the end of the reporting period. For the 2012 quarter, there were 9,346 same-stores which accounted for sales of \$3.67 billion. Increases in customer traffic and average transaction amount contributed to the increase in same-store sales. The remainder of the sales increase was attributable to new stores, partially offset by sales from closed stores.

We believe that the increase in sales reflects the impact of various operating and merchandising initiatives discussed in the Executive Overview, including the impact of improved store standards, the expansion of our merchandise offerings, improved utilization of store square footage and enhanced marketing efforts.

*Gross Profit.* The gross profit rate as a percentage of sales was 31.5% in the first quarter of both 2012 and 2011. Factors positively affecting our gross profit include higher inventory markups, improved transportation and distribution efficiencies and lower inventory shrinkage, as a percentage of sales. The primary factor negatively affecting gross profit in the 2012 period was higher markdowns for apparel and other products. In addition, consumables, which generally have lower markups than non-consumables, represented a greater percentage of sales in the 2012 quarter than in the 2011 quarter. We recorded a \$1.6 million LIFO provision in the 2012 quarter compared to a \$3.6 million provision in the 2011 quarter.

*Selling, General and Administrative ( SG&A ) Expense.* SG&A expense was 21.6% as a percentage of sales in the 2012 period compared to 22.2% in the 2011 period, an improvement of 56 basis points reflecting the favorable impact of the 13.0% increase in sales as well as the effect of \$13.1 million of expenses in the 2011 period related to the settlement of two legal matters which did not recur in the 2012 period. In addition, retail labor expense increased at a rate lower than our increase in sales, partially due to ongoing benefits of our workforce management system. Various cost reduction efforts affecting store rental and other expenses also contributed to the overall decrease in SG&A as a percentage of sales. Costs that increased at a rate higher than our increase in sales include fees associated with the increased use of debit cards, costs associated with the opening of our new distribution centers in Alabama and California, workers' compensation and general liability expenses, and advertising costs.

*Interest Expense.* The decrease in interest expense in the 2012 period from the 2011 period is due to lower outstanding borrowings resulting from repurchases of indebtedness in 2011 and lower all-in interest rates.

*Other (Income) Expense.* In the 2012 period, we recorded pretax losses of \$1.6 million resulting from the amendment of our senior secured revolving credit facility. In the 2011 period, we recorded pretax losses of \$2.2 million resulting from the repurchase in the open market of \$25.0 million aggregate principal amount of our Senior Notes described below.



*Income Taxes.* The effective income tax rate for the 2012 period was 38.2% compared to a rate of 38.1% for the 2011 period which represents a net increase of 0.1%. Increases in the effective tax rate associated with the expiration of various federal jobs credits for workers hired after December 31, 2011 (primarily the Work Opportunity Tax Credit) as well as the expiration of the Hire Act's Retention Credit were offset by decreases associated with the adjustment of accruals related to the IRS examination of the Company's federal income tax returns for fiscal years 2006 through 2008 and the reversal of state income tax reserves due to an audit settlement.

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

### *Credit Facilities*

We have two senior secured credit facilities (the Credit Facilities) which provide financing of up to \$3.16 billion as of May 4, 2012. The Credit Facilities consist of a \$1.964 billion senior secured term loan facility (the Term Loan Facility) and a senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility (the ABL Facility). Total commitments under the ABL Facility are equal to \$1.2 billion (of which up to \$350.0 million is available for letters of credit), subject to borrowing base availability. The ABL Facility also includes borrowing capacity available for short-term borrowings referred to as swingline loans.

Borrowings under the Credit Facilities bear interest at a rate equal to an applicable margin plus, at our option, either (a) LIBOR or (b) a base rate (which is usually equal to the prime rate). As of May 4, 2012, the applicable margin for borrowings under the Term Loan Facility is 2.75% for LIBOR borrowings and 1.75% for base-rate borrowings, and the applicable margin for borrowings under the ABL Facility is 1.50% for LIBOR borrowings and 0.50% for base-rate borrowings. We are also required to pay a commitment fee to the lenders under the ABL Facility for any unutilized commitments, at a rate of 0.375% per annum as of May 4, 2012. The applicable margins for borrowings and the commitment fees under the ABL Facility are subject to adjustment each quarter based on average daily excess availability under the ABL Facility. We also must pay customary letter of credit fees.

Under the Term Loan Facility we would be required to prepay outstanding term loans, subject to certain exceptions, with: up to 50% of our annual excess cash flow (as defined in the credit agreement) which would be reduced to 25% and 0% if we were to achieve and maintain a total net leverage ratio of 6.0 to 1.0 and 5.0 to 1.0, respectively; the net cash proceeds of certain non-ordinary course asset sales or other dispositions of property; and the net cash proceeds of any incurrence of debt other than proceeds from debt permitted under the senior secured credit agreement. Through May 4, 2012, no prepayments have been required under the prepayment provisions listed above. The Term Loan Facility can be prepaid in whole or in part at any time.

We amended the Term Loan Facility in March 2012 which resulted in the extension of the maturity on \$879.7 million of the Term Loan Facility to July 6, 2017. The remaining \$1.08 billion of the Term Loan Facility will mature on July 6, 2014. The applicable margin for borrowings under the Term Loan Facility remains unchanged.

We also amended the ABL Facility in March 2012. The primary effects of the amendment were to extend the maturity of the ABL Facility to July 6, 2014, and to increase the



## Edgar Filing: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP - Form 10-Q

total commitment from \$1.031 billion to \$1.2 billion. The amendment resulted in the write-off of a portion (\$1.6 million) of existing debt issue costs related to the ABL Facility. There is no amortization under the ABL Facility.

In addition, we are required to prepay the ABL Facility, subject to certain exceptions, with the net cash proceeds of all non-ordinary course asset sales or other dispositions of revolving facility collateral (as defined in the senior secured credit agreement); and to the extent such extensions of credit exceed the then current borrowing base. Through May 4, 2012, no prepayments have been required under any prepayment provisions.

We may voluntarily repay outstanding loans under the Term Loan Facility or the ABL Facility at any time without premium or penalty, other than customary breakage costs with respect to LIBOR loans.

All obligations under the Credit Facilities are unconditionally guaranteed by substantially all of our existing and future domestic subsidiaries (excluding certain immaterial subsidiaries and certain subsidiaries designated by us under our senior secured credit agreements as unrestricted subsidiaries), referred to, collectively, as U.S. Guarantors.

All obligations and related guarantees under the Term Loan Facility are secured by:

- a second-priority security interest in all existing and after-acquired inventory, accounts receivable, and other assets arising from such inventory and accounts receivable, of our company and each U.S. Guarantor (the Revolving Facility Collateral), subject to certain exceptions;
- a first-priority security interest in, and mortgages on, substantially all of our and each U.S. Guarantor's tangible and intangible assets (other than the Revolving Facility Collateral); and
- a first-priority pledge of 100% of the capital stock held by us, or any of our domestic subsidiaries that are directly owned by us or one of the U.S. Guarantors and 65% of the voting capital stock of each of our existing and future foreign subsidiaries that are directly owned by us or one of the U.S. Guarantors.

All obligations and related guarantees under the ABL Facility are secured by the Revolving Facility Collateral, subject to certain exceptions.

The senior secured credit agreements contain a number of covenants that, among other things, restrict, subject to certain exceptions, our ability to: incur additional indebtedness; sell assets; pay dividends and distributions or repurchase our capital stock; make investments or acquisitions; repay or repurchase subordinated indebtedness, including the Senior Subordinated Notes discussed below; amend material agreements governing our subordinated indebtedness including the Senior Subordinated Notes discussed below; or change our lines of business. The senior secured credit agreements also contain certain customary affirmative covenants and events of default.



## Edgar Filing: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP - Form 10-Q

At May 4, 2012, we had borrowings of \$447.8 million, commercial letters of credit of \$21.7 million, and standby letters of credit of \$23.7 million outstanding under the ABL Facility. We anticipate potential borrowings under the ABL Facility in fiscal 2012 up to a maximum of approximately \$500 million outstanding at any one time.

### *Senior Subordinated Toggle Notes due 2017*

As of May 4, 2012, we have \$450.7 million aggregate principal amount of 11.875%/12.625% senior subordinated toggle notes due 2017 (the Senior Subordinated Notes or Notes) outstanding, which mature on July 15, 2017, pursuant to an indenture dated as of July 6, 2007 (the senior subordinated indenture or indenture).

Interest on the Senior Subordinated Notes is payable on January 15 and July 15 of each year. Cash interest on the Senior Subordinated Notes accrues at a rate of 11.875% per annum. An option to pay interest by increasing the principal amount of the Senior Subordinated Notes or issuing new Senior Subordinated Notes (PIK interest) instead of paying cash interest expired in 2011. As a result, all interest on the Senior Subordinated Notes has been paid or will be payable in cash.

We intend to redeem or otherwise repurchase all of the Senior Subordinated Notes on or following the first scheduled call date in July 2012 at the redemption price set forth in the senior subordinated indenture. We also may seek, from time to time, to retire some or all of the Notes through cash purchases on the open market, in privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such redemptions and repurchases, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors. The amounts involved may be material.

Upon the occurrence of a change of control, which is defined in the indenture, each holder of the Notes has the right to require us to repurchase some or all of such holder's Notes at a purchase price in cash equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the repurchase date.

The indenture contains covenants limiting, among other things, our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to (subject to certain exceptions): incur additional debt, issue disqualified stock or issue certain preferred stock; pay dividends on or make certain distributions and other restricted payments; create certain liens or encumbrances; sell assets; enter into transactions with affiliates; make payments to us; consolidate, merge, sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of our assets; or designate our subsidiaries as unrestricted subsidiaries.

The indenture also provides for events of default which, if any of them occurs, would permit or require the principal of and accrued interest on the Notes to become or to be declared due and payable.

### *Senior Notes due 2015*

Edgar Filing: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP - Form 10-Q

On April 29, 2011, we repurchased in the open market \$25.0 million outstanding aggregate principal amount of our 10.625% senior notes due 2015 (the Senior Notes ), resulting

in a pretax loss of \$2.2 million. In July 2011, we redeemed the remaining \$839.3 million outstanding balance of Senior Notes utilizing cash on hand and borrowings under the ABL Facility.

*Adjusted EBITDA*

Under the agreements governing the Credit Facilities and the senior subordinated indenture, certain limitations and restrictions could arise if we are not able to satisfy and remain in compliance with specified financial ratios. Management believes the most significant of such ratios is the senior secured incurrence test under the Credit Facilities. This test measures the ratio of the senior secured debt to Adjusted EBITDA. This ratio would need to be no greater than 4.25 to 1 to avoid such limitations and restrictions. As of May 4, 2012, this ratio was 1.2 to 1. Senior secured debt is defined as our total debt secured by liens or similar encumbrances less cash and cash equivalents. EBITDA is defined as income (loss) from continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting principles plus interest and other financing costs, net, provision for income taxes, and depreciation and amortization. Adjusted EBITDA is defined as EBITDA, further adjusted to give effect to adjustments required in calculating this covenant ratio under our Credit Facilities. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are not presentations made in accordance with U.S. GAAP, are not measures of financial performance or condition, liquidity or profitability, and should not be considered as an alternative to (1) net income, operating income or any other performance measures determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP or (2) operating cash flows determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Additionally, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are not intended to be measures of free cash flow for management's discretionary use, as they do not consider certain cash requirements such as interest payments, tax payments and debt service requirements and replacements of fixed assets.

Our presentation of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool, and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under U.S. GAAP. Because not all companies use identical calculations, these presentations of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies. We believe that the presentation of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA is appropriate to provide additional information about the calculation of this financial ratio in the Credit Facilities. Adjusted EBITDA is a material component of this ratio. Specifically, non-compliance with the senior secured indebtedness ratio contained in our Credit Facilities could prohibit us from making investments, incurring liens, making certain restricted payments and incurring additional secured indebtedness (other than the additional funding provided for under the senior secured credit agreement and pursuant to specified exceptions).

## Edgar Filing: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP - Form 10-Q

The calculation of Adjusted EBITDA under the Credit Facilities is as follows:

(in millions)	13-weeks ended		52-weeks ended	
	May 4, 2012	April 29, 2011	May 4, 2012	February 3, 2012
Net income	\$ 213.4	\$ 157.0	\$ 823.1	\$ 766.7
Add (subtract):				
Interest income	0.0	(0.0)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Interest expense	37.1	65.6	176.5	205.0
Depreciation and amortization	69.9	64.3	269.7	264.1
Income taxes	132.2	96.8	494.0	458.6
EBITDA	452.6	383.7	1,763.2	1,694.3
Adjustments:				
Loss on debt retirements	1.6	2.2	59.7	60.3
Loss on hedging instruments		0.1	0.3	0.4
Non-cash expense for share-based awards	4.8	3.5	16.6	15.3
Litigation settlement and related costs, net		13.1		13.1
Indirect costs related to merger and stock offering	0.4		1.3	0.9
Other non-cash charges (including LIFO)	3.2	5.5	51.0	53.3
Other	0.6		0.6	
Total Adjustments	10.6	24.4	129.5	143.3
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 463.2	\$ 408.1	\$ 1,892.7	\$ 1,837.6

### *Contractual Obligations*

The amendments to the Credit Facilities discussed above resulted in changes to the contractual obligations reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 3, 2012. The following table summarizes our significant contractual obligations for long-term debt obligations and related interest as of May 4, 2012 (in thousands):

Contractual obligations	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	5+ years
Long-term debt obligations	\$ 2,876,492	\$ 132,373	\$ 1,531,600	\$ 305	\$ 1,344,587
Interest (a)	527,143	132,373	215,919	161,683	17,168

(a) Represents obligations for interest payments on long-term debt and capital lease obligations, and includes projected interest on variable rate long-term debt, using rates as of May 4, 2012. Variable rate long-term debt includes the balance of the senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility of \$447.8 million, the balance of our tax increment financing of \$14.5 million, and the senior secured term loan facility of \$1.964 billion (net of the effect of interest rate swaps).

### *Current Financial Condition / Recent Developments*



## Edgar Filing: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP - Form 10-Q

At May 4, 2012, we had total outstanding debt (including the current portion of long-term obligations) of approximately \$2.88 billion. We had \$706.9 million available for borrowing under our ABL Facility at that date. Our liquidity needs are significant, primarily due to our debt

service and other obligations. However, we believe our cash flow from operations and existing cash balances, combined with availability under the Credit Facilities, will provide sufficient liquidity to fund our current obligations, projected working capital requirements and capital spending for a period that includes the next twelve months as well as the next several years.

Our inventory balance represented approximately 48% of our total assets exclusive of goodwill and other intangible assets as of May 4, 2012. Our proficiency in managing our inventory balances can have a significant impact on our cash flows from operations during a given fiscal year. Inventory purchases are often somewhat seasonal in nature, such as the purchase of warm-weather or Christmas-related merchandise. Efficient management of our inventory has been and continues to be an area of focus for us.

As described in Note 8 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, we are involved in a number of legal actions and claims, some of which could potentially result in material cash payments. Adverse developments in those actions could materially and adversely affect our liquidity. We also have certain income tax-related contingencies as more fully described below under Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates and in Note 4 to the condensed consolidated financial statements. Future negative developments could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity.

In April 2012, Standard & Poor's upgraded our corporate rating to BBB- from BB+ with a stable outlook, and Moody's upgraded our corporate rating to Ba1 from Ba2 with a positive outlook. Our current credit ratings, as well as future rating agency actions, could (i) impact our ability to obtain financings to finance our operations on satisfactory terms; (ii) affect our financing costs; and (iii) affect our insurance premiums and collateral requirements necessary for our self-insured programs. There can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain or improve our current credit ratings.

*Cash flows from operating activities.* Cash flows from operating activities were \$192.6 million in the 2012 period, a decline of \$30.9 million compared to the 2011 period. Significant components of the decrease in cash flows from operating activities in the 2012 period as compared to the 2011 period were higher payments for income taxes and the timing of sales tax payments. Our 53-week fiscal year in 2011 has caused our accounting periods in 2012 to end approximately one week later in the calendar year than in 2011, affecting certain accounts. Accounts payable was affected by the timing and mix of merchandise purchases. We also completed a secondary stock offering in the 2012 period, causing the reclassification of the tax benefit of stock options to cash flows from financing activities to be higher than in the 2011 period. Reduced bonus and interest payments in the 2012 period compared to the 2011 period partially offset the items noted above. Additionally, we had higher net income as described in more detail above under Results of Operations.

On an ongoing basis, we closely monitor and manage our inventory balances, and they may fluctuate from period to period based on new store openings, the timing of purchases, and other factors. Merchandise inventories were virtually unchanged during the first quarters of both 2012 and 2011. Inventory levels in our four inventory categories in the 2012 period compared to the respective 2011 period were as follows: the consumables category increased 3% compared to a 4% increase; the seasonal category declined by 2% compared to a 6% decline; the home products category declined by 1% compared to a 12% decline; and apparel declined by 10%

compared to a 3% increase.

*Cash flows from investing activities.* Significant components of property and equipment purchases in the 2012 period included the following approximate amounts: \$41 million for improvements, upgrades, remodels and relocations of existing stores; \$36 million for stores purchased or built by us; \$33 million related to new leased stores, primarily for leasehold improvements, fixtures and equipment; \$31 million for distribution and transportation-related capital expenditures; and \$4 million for information systems upgrades and technology-related projects. The timing of new, remodeled and relocated store openings along with other factors may affect the relationship between such openings and the related property and equipment purchases in any given period. During the 2012 period, we opened 128 new stores and remodeled or relocated 224 stores.

Significant components of property and equipment purchases in the 2011 period included the following approximate amounts: \$40 million for improvements, upgrades, remodels and relocations of existing stores; \$22 million related to new leased stores, primarily for leasehold improvements, fixtures and equipment; \$17 million for stores purchased or built by us; \$7 million for distribution and transportation-related capital expenditures; and \$6 million for information systems upgrades and technology-related projects. During the 2011 period, we opened 139 new stores and remodeled or relocated 184 stores.

Capital expenditures during 2012 are projected to be in the range of \$600-\$650 million. We anticipate funding 2012 capital requirements with cash flows from operations, and if necessary, we also have significant availability under our ABL Facility. Approximately 65 percent of projected capital spending is for investment in store growth and development for approximately 625 new stores and for approximately 550 stores to be remodeled or relocated. Capital expenditures are anticipated for the construction of new stores; costs related to new leased stores such as leasehold improvements, fixtures and equipment; the purchase of existing stores; and continued investment in our existing store base. Approximately 15 percent of projected capital spending is for transportation, distribution and special projects; and the remaining 20 percent is for routine and ongoing capital requirements.

*Cash flows from financing activities.* Net borrowings under the ABL Facility were \$263.1 million during the 2012 period. In April 2012, we repurchased 6.8 million outstanding shares of our common stock from our principal shareholder at a total cost of \$300.0 million. During the 2011 period, we repurchased \$25.0 million outstanding principal amount of our outstanding Senior Notes in the open market at a total cost of \$26.8 million including associated premiums. We had no borrowings or repayments under the ABL Facility in the 2011 period.

#### *Share Repurchase Program*

At May 4, 2012, we had \$15 million remaining on a \$500 million share repurchase authorization for our common stock which was approved by our Board of Directors on November 30, 2011. Under the authorization, purchases may be made in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions from time to time subject to market conditions. This repurchase

authorization has no expiration date. As part of this repurchase program, pursuant to a Share Repurchase Agreement between us and Buck Holdings L.P., dated March 25, 2012, concurrent with the closing of a secondary offering in April 2012, we purchased 6,817,311 shares of common stock from Buck Holdings, L.P. for an aggregate purchase price of \$300 million.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts and related disclosures. In addition to the estimates presented below, there are other items within our financial statements that require estimation, but are not deemed critical as defined below. We believe these estimates are reasonable and appropriate. However, if actual experience differs from the assumptions and other considerations used, the resulting changes could have a material effect on the financial statements taken as a whole.

Management believes the following policies and estimates are critical because they involve significant judgments, assumptions, and estimates. Management has discussed the development and selection of the critical accounting estimates with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors, and the Audit Committee has reviewed the disclosures presented below relating to those policies and estimates.

*Merchandise Inventories.* Merchandise inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market with cost determined using the retail last-in, first-out ( LIFO ) method. Under our retail inventory method ( RIM ), the calculation of gross profit and the resulting valuation of inventories at cost are computed by applying a calculated cost-to-retail inventory ratio to the retail value of sales at a department level. The RIM is an averaging method that has been widely used in the retail industry due to its practicality. Also, it is recognized that the use of the RIM will result in valuing inventories at the lower of cost or market ( LCM ) if markdowns are currently taken as a reduction of the retail value of inventories.

Inherent in the RIM calculation are certain significant management judgments and estimates including, among others, initial markups, markdowns, and shrinkage, which significantly impact the gross profit calculation as well as the ending inventory valuation at cost. These significant estimates, coupled with the fact that the RIM is an averaging process, can, under certain circumstances, produce distorted cost figures. Factors that can lead to distortion in the calculation of the inventory balance include:

- applying the RIM to a group of products that is not fairly uniform in terms of its cost and selling price relationship and turnover;
  
- applying the RIM to transactions over a period of time that include different rates of gross profit, such as those relating to seasonal merchandise;
  
- inaccurate estimates of inventory shrinkage between the date of the last physical inventory at a store and the financial statement date; and
  
- inaccurate estimates of LCM and/or LIFO reserves.



Factors that reduce potential distortion include the use of historical experience in estimating the shrink provision (see discussion below) and an annual LIFO analysis whereby all SKUs are considered in the index formulation. An actual valuation of inventory under the LIFO method is made at the end of each year based on the inventory levels and costs at that time. Accordingly, interim LIFO calculations are based on management's estimates of expected year-end inventory levels, sales for the year and the expected rate of inflation/deflation for the year and are thus subject to adjustment in the final year-end LIFO inventory valuation. We also perform interim inventory analysis for determining obsolete inventory. Our policy is to write down inventory to an LCM value based on various management assumptions including estimated markdowns and sales required to liquidate such inventory in future periods. Inventory is reviewed on a quarterly basis and adjusted to reflect write-downs as appropriate.

Factors such as slower inventory turnover due to changes in competitors' practices, consumer preferences, consumer spending and unseasonable weather patterns, among other factors, could cause excess inventory requiring greater than estimated markdowns to entice consumer purchases, resulting in an unfavorable impact on our consolidated financial statements. Sales shortfalls due to the above factors could cause reduced purchases from vendors and associated vendor allowances that would also result in an unfavorable impact on our consolidated financial statements.

We calculate our shrink provision based on actual physical inventory results during the fiscal period and an accrual for estimated shrink occurring subsequent to a physical inventory through the end of the fiscal reporting period. This accrual is calculated as a percentage of sales at each retail store, at a department level, and is determined by dividing the book-to-physical inventory adjustments recorded during the previous twelve months by the related sales for the same period for each store. To the extent that subsequent physical inventories yield different results than this estimated accrual, our effective shrink rate for a given reporting period will include the impact of adjusting the estimated results to the actual results. Although we perform physical inventories in virtually all of our stores on an annual basis, the same stores do not necessarily get counted in the same reporting periods from year to year, which could impact comparability in a given reporting period.

We believe our estimates and assumptions related to merchandise inventories have generally been accurate in recent years and we do not currently anticipate material changes in these estimates and assumptions.

*Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.* We amortize intangible assets over their estimated useful lives unless such lives are deemed indefinite. If impairment indicators are noted, amortizable intangible assets are tested for impairment based on projected undiscounted cash flows, and, if impaired, written down to fair value based on either discounted projected cash flows or appraised values. Future cash flow projections are based on management's projections. Significant judgments required in this testing process may include projecting future cash flows, determining appropriate discount rates and other assumptions. Projections are based on management's best estimates given recent financial performance, market trends, strategic plans and other available information which in recent years have been materially accurate. Although not currently anticipated, changes in these estimates and assumptions could materially affect the

determination of fair value or impairment. Future indicators of impairment could result in an asset impairment charge.

Under accounting standards for goodwill and other intangible assets, we are required to test such assets with indefinite lives for impairment annually, or more frequently if impairment indicators occur. The goodwill impairment test is a two-step process that requires management to make judgments in determining what assumptions to use in the calculation. The first step of the process consists of estimating the fair value of our reporting unit based on valuation techniques (including a discounted cash flow model using revenue and profit forecasts) and comparing that estimated fair value with the recorded carrying value, which includes goodwill. If the estimated fair value is less than the carrying value, a second step is performed to compute the amount of the impairment, if any, by determining an implied fair value of goodwill. The determination of the implied fair value of goodwill would require us to allocate the estimated fair value of our reporting unit to its assets and liabilities. Any unallocated fair value represents the implied fair value of goodwill, which would be compared to its corresponding carrying value.

The impairment test for indefinite-lived intangible assets consists of a comparison of the fair value of the intangible asset with its carrying amount. If the carrying amount of an indefinite-lived intangible asset exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess.

Our most recent testing of our goodwill and indefinite lived trade name intangible assets was completed during the third quarter of 2011. No indicators of impairment were evident and no adjustment to these assets was required. We are not currently projecting a decline in cash flows that could be expected to have an adverse effect such as a violation of debt covenants or future impairment charges.

*Property and Equipment.* Property and equipment are recorded at cost. We group our assets into relatively homogeneous classes and generally provide for depreciation on a straight-line basis over the estimated average useful life of each asset class, except for leasehold improvements, which are amortized over the lesser of the applicable lease term or the estimated useful life of the asset. Certain store and warehouse fixtures, when fully depreciated, are removed from the cost and related accumulated depreciation and amortization accounts. The valuation and classification of these assets and the assignment of depreciable lives involves significant judgments and the use of estimates, which we believe have been materially accurate in recent years.

*Impairment of Long-lived Assets.* We review the carrying value of long-lived assets for impairment at least annually, and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. In accordance with accounting standards for impairment or disposal of long-lived assets, we review for impairment stores open for approximately two years or more for which recent cash flows from operations are negative. Impairment results when the carrying value of the assets exceeds the estimated undiscounted future cash flows over the life of the lease. Our estimate of undiscounted future cash flows over the lease term is based upon historical operations of the stores and estimates of future store profitability which encompasses many factors that are subject to variability and are difficult to predict. If a long-lived asset is found to be impaired, the amount recognized for impairment is

equal to the difference between the carrying value and the asset's estimated fair value. The fair value is estimated based primarily upon projected future cash flows (discounted at our credit adjusted risk-free rate) or other reasonable estimates of fair market value in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

*Insurance Liabilities.* We retain a significant portion of the risk for our workers' compensation, employee health, property loss, automobile and general liability. These represent significant costs primarily due to the large employee base and number of stores. Provisions are made to these liabilities on an undiscounted basis based on actual claim data and estimates of incurred but not reported claims developed using actuarial methodologies based on historical claim trends, which have been and are anticipated to continue to be materially accurate. If future claim trends deviate from recent historical patterns, we may be required to record additional expenses or expense reductions, which could be material to our future financial results.

*Contingent Liabilities - Income Taxes.* Income tax reserves are determined using the methodology established by accounting standards relating to uncertainty in income taxes. These standards require companies to assess each income tax position taken using a two step process. A determination is first made as to whether it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained, based upon the technical merits, upon examination by the taxing authorities. If the tax position is expected to meet the more likely than not criteria, the benefit recorded for the tax position equals the largest amount that is greater than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement of the respective tax position. Uncertain tax positions require determinations and estimated liabilities to be made based on provisions of the tax law which may be subject to change or varying interpretation. If our determinations and estimates prove to be inaccurate, the resulting adjustments could be material to our future financial results.

*Contingent Liabilities - Legal Matters.* We are subject to legal, regulatory and other proceedings and claims. We establish liabilities as appropriate for these claims and proceedings based upon the probability and estimability of losses and to fairly present, in conjunction with the disclosures of these matters in our financial statements and SEC filings, management's view of our exposure. We review outstanding claims and proceedings with external counsel to assess probability and estimates of loss. We re-evaluate these assessments on a quarterly basis or as new and significant information becomes available to determine whether a liability should be established or if any existing liability should be adjusted. The actual cost of resolving a claim or proceeding ultimately may be substantially different than the amount of the recorded liability. In addition, because it is not permissible under U.S. GAAP to establish a litigation liability until the loss is both probable and estimable, in some cases there may be insufficient time to establish a liability prior to the actual incurrence of the loss (upon verdict and judgment at trial, for example, or in the case of a quickly negotiated settlement).

*Lease Accounting and Excess Facilities.* Many of our stores are subject to build-to-suit arrangements with landlords, which typically carry a primary lease term of 10-15 years with multiple renewal options. We also have stores subject to shorter-term leases and many of these leases have renewal options. As of February 3, 2012, approximately 26% of our stores had provisions for contingent rentals based upon a percentage of defined sales volume. We recognize contingent rental expense when the achievement of specified sales targets is considered probable. We recognize rent expense over the term of the lease. We record minimum rental expense on a



straight-line basis over the base, non-cancelable lease term commencing on the date that we take physical possession of the property from the landlord, which normally includes a period prior to store opening to make necessary leasehold improvements and install store fixtures. When a lease contains a predetermined fixed escalation of the minimum rent, we recognize the related rent expense on a straight-line basis and record the difference between the recognized rental expense and the amounts payable under the lease as deferred rent. Tenant allowances, to the extent received, are recorded as deferred incentive rent and amortized as a reduction to rent expense over the term of the lease. We reflect as a liability any difference between the calculated expense and the amounts actually paid. Improvements of leased properties are amortized over the shorter of the life of the applicable lease term or the estimated useful life of the asset.

For store closures (excluding those associated with a business combination) where a lease obligation still exists, we record the estimated future liability associated with the rental obligation on the date the store is closed in accordance with accounting standards for costs associated with exit or disposal activities. Based on an overall analysis of store performance and expected trends, management periodically evaluates the need to close underperforming stores. Liabilities are established at the point of closure for the present value of any remaining operating lease obligations, net of estimated sublease income, and at the communication date for severance and other exit costs. Key assumptions in calculating the liability include the timeframe expected to terminate lease agreements, estimates related to the sublease potential of closed locations, and estimation of other related exit costs. Historically, these estimates have not been materially inaccurate; however, if actual timing and potential termination costs or realization of sublease income differ from our estimates, the resulting liabilities could vary from recorded amounts. These liabilities are reviewed periodically and adjusted when necessary.

*Share-Based Payments.* Our share-based stock option awards are valued on an individual grant basis using the Black-Scholes-Merton closed form option pricing model. We believe that this model fairly estimates the value of our share-based awards. The application of this valuation model involves assumptions that are judgmental and highly sensitive in the valuation of stock options, which affects compensation expense related to these options. These assumptions include the term that the options are expected to be outstanding, the historical volatility of our stock price, applicable interest rates and the dividend yield of our stock. Other factors involving judgments that affect the expensing of share-based payments include estimated forfeiture rates of share-based awards. Historically, these estimates have not been materially inaccurate; however, if our estimates differ materially from actual experience, we may be required to record additional expense or reductions of expense, which could be material to our future financial results.

*Fair Value Measurements.* We measure fair value of assets and liabilities in accordance with applicable accounting standards, which require that fair values be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. These standards establish a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs that are classified within Levels 1 and 2 of the hierarchy) and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy). Therefore, Level 3 inputs are typically based on an entity's own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity, and thus require the use of significant judgment and

estimates. Currently, we have no assets or liabilities that are valued based solely on Level 3 inputs.

Our fair value measurements are primarily associated with our derivative financial instruments, intangible assets, property and equipment, and to a lesser degree our investments. The values of our derivative financial instruments are determined using widely accepted valuation techniques, including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves. The fair values of interest rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash payments (or receipts) and the discounted expected variable cash receipts (or payments). The variable cash receipts (or payments) are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves. In recent years, these methodologies have produced materially accurate valuations.

*Derivative Financial Instruments.* We account for our derivative instruments in accordance with accounting standards for derivative instruments (including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts) and hedging activities, as amended and interpreted, which establish accounting and reporting requirements for such instruments and activities. These standards require that every derivative instrument be recorded in the balance sheet as either an asset or liability measured at its fair value, and that changes in the derivative's fair value be recognized currently in earnings unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met. See Fair Value Measurements above for a discussion of derivative valuations. Special accounting for qualifying hedges allows a derivative's gains and losses to either offset related results on the hedged item in the statement of operations or be accumulated in other comprehensive income, and requires that a company formally document, designate, and assess the effectiveness of transactions that receive hedge accounting. We use derivative instruments to manage our exposure to changing interest rates, primarily with interest rate swaps.

In addition to making valuation estimates, we also bear the risk that certain derivative instruments that have been designated as hedges and currently meet the strict hedge accounting requirements may not qualify in the future as highly effective, as defined, as well as the risk that hedged transactions in cash flow hedging relationships may no longer be considered probable to occur. Further, new interpretations and guidance related to these instruments may be issued in the future, and we cannot predict the possible impact that such guidance may have on our use of derivative instruments going forward.

**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.**

On May 9, 2012, we entered into interest rate swaps effective May 31, 2012, in order to mitigate an additional portion of the variable rate interest exposure under the Credit Facilities. These swaps are scheduled to mature on May 29, 2015. Under the terms of these agreements, we swapped one month LIBOR rates for fixed interest rates, resulting in the payment of an all-in fixed rate of 3.34% on a notional amount of \$875.0 million through the maturity date.

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.**

(a) *Disclosure Controls and Procedures.* Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ). Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

(b) *Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.* There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f)) during the quarter ended May 4, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.**

The information contained in Note 8 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements under the heading Legal proceedings contained in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q is incorporated herein by this reference.

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.**

There have been no material changes to the disclosures relating to this item from those set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 3, 2012.

**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.**

The following table contains information regarding purchases of our common stock made during the quarter ended May 4, 2012 by or on behalf of Dollar General or any affiliated purchaser, as defined by Rule 10b-18(a)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934:

<b>Period</b>	<b>Total Number of Shares Purchased</b>	<b>Average Price Paid per Share</b>	<b>Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs(a)</b>	<b>Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs(a)</b>
02/04/12-02/29/12		\$		\$ 315,000,000
03/01/12-03/31/12		\$		\$ 315,000,000
04/01/12-05/04/12	6,817,311	\$ 44.01	6,817,311	\$ 15,000,000
Total	6,817,311	\$ 44.01	6,817,311	\$ 15,000,000

---

(a) On November 30, 2011 our Board of Directors approved a share repurchase program of up to \$500 million of outstanding shares of our common stock. Under the authorization, purchases may be made in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions from time to time subject to market conditions. This repurchase authorization has no expiration date.

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.**

See the Exhibit Index immediately following the signature page hereto, which Exhibit Index is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

**CAUTIONARY DISCLOSURE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

We include forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws throughout this report, particularly under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 8. Commitments and Contingencies. You can identify these statements because they are not limited to historical fact or they use words such as may, will, should, expect, believe, anticipate, project, estimate, objective, intend, or could, and similar expressions that concern our strategy, plans, intentions or beliefs about future occurrences or results. For example, statements relating to estimated and projected expenditures, cash flows, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity; plans and objectives for future operations, growth or initiatives; and the expected outcome or effect of pending or threatened litigation or audits are forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that may change at any time, so our actual results may differ materially from those that we expected. We derive many of these statements from our operating budgets and forecasts, which are based on many detailed assumptions that we believe are reasonable. However, it is very difficult to predict the effect of known factors, and we cannot anticipate all factors that could affect our actual results. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the expectations expressed in our forward-looking statements include, without limitation:

- failure to successfully execute our growth strategy, including delays in store growth, difficulties executing sales and operating profit margin initiatives and inventory shrinkage reduction;
- the failure of our new store base to achieve sales and operating levels consistent with our expectations;
- risks and challenges in connection with sourcing merchandise from domestic and foreign vendors, as well as trade restrictions;
- our level of success in gaining and maintaining broad market acceptance of our private brands and in achieving our other initiatives;
- unfavorable publicity or consumer perception of our products;
- our debt levels and restrictions in our debt agreements;
- economic conditions, including their effect on the financial and capital markets, our suppliers and business partners, employment levels, consumer demand, disposable income, credit availability and spending patterns, inflation, and the cost of goods;

Edgar Filing: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP - Form 10-Q

- increases in commodity prices (including, without limitation, cotton, wheat, corn, sugar, oil, paper, nuts and resin);
- levels of inventory shrinkage;
- seasonality of our business;
- increases in costs of fuel or other energy, transportation or utilities costs and in the costs of labor, employment and health care;

## Edgar Filing: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP - Form 10-Q

- the impact of changes in or noncompliance with governmental laws and regulations (including, but not limited to, product safety, healthcare and unionization) and developments in or outcomes of legal proceedings, investigations or audits;
- disruptions, unanticipated expenses or operational failures in our supply chain including, without limitation, a decrease in transportation capacity for overseas shipments or work stoppages or other labor disruptions that could impede the receipt of merchandise;
- delays or unanticipated expenses in constructing or opening new distribution centers;
- damage or interruption to our information systems;
- changes in our competitive environment and the markets where we operate;
- natural disasters, unusual weather conditions, pandemic outbreaks, boycotts, war and geo-political events;
- incurrence of material uninsured losses, excessive insurance costs, or accident costs;
- our failure to protect our brand name;
- our loss of key personnel or our inability to hire additional qualified personnel;
- interest rate and currency exchange fluctuations;
- a data security breach;
- our failure to maintain effective internal controls;

## Edgar Filing: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP - Form 10-Q

- changes to income tax expense due to changes in or interpretation of tax laws or as a result of federal or state income tax examinations;
- changes to or new accounting guidance, such as changes to lease accounting guidance or a requirement to convert to international financial reporting standards;
- factors disclosed under **Risk Factors** in Part I, Item 1A of our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 3, 2012; and
- factors disclosed elsewhere in this document (including, without limitation, in conjunction with the forward-looking statements themselves and under the heading **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates** ) and other factors.

All forward-looking statements are qualified in their entirety by these and other cautionary statements that we make from time to time in our other SEC filings and public communications. You should evaluate forward-looking statements in the context of these risks and uncertainties. These factors may not contain all of the material factors that are important to you. We cannot assure you that we will realize the results or developments we anticipate or, even if substantially realized, that they will result in the consequences or affect us or our operations in the way we expect. The forward-looking statements in this report are made only as of the date hereof. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as otherwise required by law.



**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized, both on behalf of the Registrant and in his capacity as principal financial and accounting officer of the Registrant.

DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION

Date: June 4, 2012

By: /s/ David M. Tehle  
David M. Tehle  
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial  
Officer

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

- 4.1 Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of March 30, 2012, among Dollar General Corporation, as Borrower, CitiCorp North America, N.A. as Administrative Agent, and the other financial institutions from time to time party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 27, 2012 and filed with the SEC on April 2, 2012 (file no. 001-11421))
- 4.2 Amended and Restated ABL Credit Agreement, dated as of March 15, 2012, among Dollar General Corporation, as Parent Borrower, certain domestic subsidiaries of Dollar General Corporation, as Subsidiary Borrowers, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as ABL Administrative Agent, and the other lending institutions from time to time party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 15, 2012 and filed with the SEC on March 19, 2012 (file no. 001-11421))
- 10.1 Dollar General Corporation 2012 Teamshare Bonus Program
- 10.2 Employment Agreement effective April 1, 2012, by and between Dollar General Corporation and David M. Tehle (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 16, 2012 and filed with the SEC on April 19, 2012 (file no. 001-11421))
- 10.3 Employment Agreement effective April 1, 2012, by and between Dollar General Corporation and Susan S. Lanigan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 16, 2012 and filed with the SEC on April 19, 2012 (file no. 001-11421))
- 10.4 Employment Agreement effective March 19, 2012, by and between Dollar General Corporation and Greg Sparks
- 10.5 Share Repurchase Agreement, dated as of March 25, 2012, by and among Buck Holdings L.P. and Dollar General Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 25, 2012 and filed with the SEC on March 26, 2012 (file no. 001-11421))
- 10.6 Form of Stock Option Award Agreement in connection with grants made to certain employees of Dollar General Corporation pursuant to the Amended and Restated 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (approved March 20, 2012) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 20, 2012 and filed with the SEC on March 26, 2012 (file no. 001-11421))

## Edgar Filing: DOLLAR GENERAL CORP - Form 10-Q

- 10.7 Form of Performance Share Unit Award Agreement in connection with grants made to certain employees of Dollar General Corporation pursuant to the Amended and Restated 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (approved March 20, 2012) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 20, 2012 and filed with the SEC on March 26, 2012 (file no. 001-11421))
- 10.8 Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement in connection with grants made to certain employees of Dollar General Corporation pursuant to the Amended and Restated 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (approved March 20, 2012) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 20, 2012 and filed with the SEC on March 26, 2012 (file no. 001-11421))
- 10.9 Restricted Stock Award Agreement, dated March 20, 2012, between Dollar General Corporation and Richard Dreiling (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 20, 2012 and filed with the SEC on March 26, 2012 (file no. 001-11421))
- 10.10 Summary of Non-Employee Director Compensation effective February 4, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 22, 2012 (file no. 001-11421))
- 15 Letter re unaudited interim financial information
- 31 Certifications of CEO and CFO under Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)
- 32 Certifications of CEO and CFO under 18 U.S.C. 1350
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document