DYNAMIC MATERIALS CORP Form 10-Q August 02, 2011 Table of Contents

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q
(Mark One)
x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011
OR
o TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1934
FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO .
Commission file number 001-14775

# DYNAMIC MATERIALS CORPORATION

(Exact name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware	
(State of Incorporation or Organization)	

84-0608431 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

5405 Spine Road, Boulder, Colorado 80301

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(303) 665-5700

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o

Accelerated filer x

Non-accelerated filer o
(Do not check if smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 under the Act). Yes o No x

The number of shares of Common Stock outstanding was 13,353,639 as of August 2, 2011.

#### Table of Contents

#### CAUTIONARY NOTE ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. In particular, we direct your attention to Part I, Item 1- Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements; Item 2 - Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations; Item 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk; and Part II, Item 1A Risk Factors. We intend the forward-looking statements throughout this quarterly report on Form 10-O and the information incorporated by reference herein to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements. Statements contained in this report which are not historical facts are forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from projected results. All projections, guidance and other statements regarding our expected financial position and operating results, our business strategy, our financing plans and the outcome of any contingencies are forward-looking statements. These statements can sometimes be identified by our use of forward-looking words such as may, intend, and other phrases of similar meaning. The forward-looking information is based on information available a estimate, expect, of the date of this quarterly report and on numerous assumptions and developments that are not within our control. Although we believe that our expectations as expressed in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot assure you that our expectations will turn out to be correct. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially include, but are not limited to, the following: changes in global economic conditions; the ability to obtain new contracts at attractive prices; the size and timing of customer orders and shipment; our ability to realize sales from our backlog; fluctuations in customer demand; fluctuations in foreign currencies; competitive factors; the timely completion of contracts; the timing and size of expenditures; the timely receipt of government approvals and permits; the price and availability of metal and other raw material; the adequacy of local labor supplies at our facilities; current or future limits on manufacturing capacity at our various operations; our ability to successfully integrate acquired businesses; the availability and cost of funds; and general economic conditions, both domestic and foreign, impacting our business and the business of the end-market users we serve. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect management s analysis only as of the date hereof. We undertake no obligation to publicly release the results of any revision to these forward-looking statements that may be made to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

## Table of Contents

## **INDEX**

## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1 Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	4
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited) Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2011 (unaudited) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	4 6 7 8 10
Item 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	21
Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk	34
Item 4 Controls and Procedures	34
PART II - OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1 Legal Proceedings	35
Item 1A Risk Factors	35
Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	35
Item 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities	35
Item 4 [Removed and Reserved]	35
Item 5 Other Information	35
<u>Item 6 Exhibi</u> ts	35
Signatures	37
3	

## Part I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

## **DYNAMIC MATERIALS CORPORATION & SUBSIDIARIES**

#### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in Thousands)

	June 30, 2011 (unaudited)	:	December 31, 2010
<u>ASSETS</u>			
CURRENT ASSETS:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,613	\$	4,572
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$566 and \$378, respectively	34,798		27,567
Inventories	48,903		35,880
Prepaid expenses and other	4,752		3,659
Current deferred tax assets	1,401		1,057
Total current assets	97,467		72,735
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT	70,277		66,734
Less - accumulated depreciation	(29,445)		(26,928)
Property, plant and equipment, net	40,832		39,806
GOODWILL, net	42,020		39,173
PURCHASED INTANGIBLE ASSETS, net	49,260		48,490
DEFERRED TAX ASSETS	517		248
OTHER ASSETS, net	768		941
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 230,864	\$	201,393

## **DYNAMIC MATERIALS CORPORATION & SUBSIDIARIES**

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Data)

	(	June 30, 2011 unaudited)	December 31, 2010
<u>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUIT</u> Y			
CURRENT LIABILITIES:			
Accounts payable	\$	22,020	\$ 16,109
Accrued expenses		4,163	3,529
Dividend payable		533	529
Accrued income taxes		1,536	477
Accrued employee compensation and benefits		3,969	3,711
Customer advances		3,038	1,531
Lines of credit		8,724	2,621
Current maturities on long-term debt		9,216	9,596
Current portion of capital lease obligations		182	272
Current deferred tax liabilities		1	17
Total current liabilities		53,382	38,392
LONG-TERM DEBT		14,610	14,579
CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS		112	155
DEFERRED TAX LIABILITIES		11,848	12,083
OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES		1,243	1,100
Total liabilities		81,195	66,309
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES			
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:			
Preferred stock, \$0.05 par value; 4,000,000 shares authorized; no issued and outstanding			
shares			
Common stock, \$0.05 par value; 25,000,000 shares authorized; 13,353,639 and 13,224,696			
shares issued and outstanding, respectively		667	661
Additional paid-in capital		54,098	52,451
Retained earnings		91,762	88,210
Other cumulative comprehensive income (loss)		3,032	(6,398)
Total Dynamic Materials Corporation s stockholders equity		149,559	134,924
Noncontrolling interest		110	160
Total stockholders equity		149,669	135,084
• •			
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$	230,864	\$ 201,393

## **DYNAMIC MATERIALS CORPORATION & SUBSIDIARIES**

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

## FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

## (Dollars in Thousands, Except Share Data)

## (unaudited)

	Three mo	nths en	ded	Six mont June		ed
	2011	. 50,	2010	2011	. 50,	2010
NET SALES	\$ 54,165	\$	38,258	\$ 99,740	\$	68,615
COST OF PRODUCTS SOLD	38,692		29,000	73,964		52,373
Gross profit	15,473		9,258	25,776		16,242
COSTS AND EXPENSES:						
General and administrative expenses	4,194		3,358	7,869		6,503
Selling and distribution expenses	3,911		2,550	7,638		4,871
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	1,471		1,264	2,876		2,537
Total costs and expenses	9,576		7,172	18,383		13,911
INCOME FROM OPERATIONS	5,897		2,086	7,393		2,331
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):						
Gain on step acquisition of joint ventures			2,117			2,117
Other income (expense), net	(136)		(110)	(339)		31
Interest expense	(486)		(662)	(896)		(1,806)
Interest income			29	3		65
Equity in earnings of joint ventures			86			255
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	5,275		3,546	6,161		2,993
INCOME TAX PROVISION	1,418		505	1,565		351
NET INCOME	3,857		3,041	4,596		2,642
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling	440		_	(22)		
interest	(11)		5	(23)		17
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO DYNAMIC						
MATERIALS CORPORATION	\$ 3,868	\$	3,036	\$ 4,619	\$	2,625
INCOME PER SHARE:						
Basic	\$ 0.29	\$	0.23	\$ 0.35	\$	0.20
Diluted	\$ 0.29	\$	0.23	\$ 0.35	\$	0.20
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING:						
Basic	13,060,456		12,774,316	13,059,782		12,742,589
Diluted	13,070,536		12,786,976	13,069,834		12,755,565

	0.04	\$	0.04	\$	0.08	\$	0.08
6							
	6	0.04	0.04 \$	0.04 \$ 0.04	0.04 \$ 0.04 \$ 6	0.04 \$ 0.04 \$ 0.08 6	0.04 \$ 0.04 \$ 0.08 \$ 6

## **DYNAMIC MATERIALS CORPORATION & SUBSIDIARIES**

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

## FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

## (Amounts in Thousands)

## **Dynamic Materials Corporation Stockholders**

				A	dditional		(	Other Cumulative	Non-	•	
	Comm Shares	on Stoc A	ck mount		Paid-In Capital	letained arnings		mprehensive come/(Loss)	Control Intere	_	Total
Balances, December 31,					-						
2010	13,225	\$	661	\$	52,451	\$ 88,210	\$	(6,398)	\$	160	\$ 135,084
Comprehensive income:											
Net income						4,619				(23)	4,596
Change in cumulative											
foreign currency											
translation adjustment								9,430		(69)	9,361
Comprehensive income										(92)	13,957
Shares issued in											
connection with stock											
compensation plans	129		6		93						99
Tax impact of stock-based											
compensation					(109)						(109)
Stock-based compensation					1,663						1,663
Dividends						(1,067)					(1,067)
Contribution from											
noncontrolling stockholder										42	42
Balances, June 30, 2011	13,354	\$	667	\$	54,098	\$ 91,762	\$	3,032	\$	110	\$ 149,669

## **DYNAMIC MATERIALS CORPORATION & SUBSIDIARIES**

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

## (Dollars in Thousands)

## (unaudited)

	2011	2010
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income including noncontrolling interest	\$ 4,596	\$ 2,642
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation (including capital lease amortization)	2,628	2,404
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	2,876	2,537
Amortization of capitalized debt issuance costs	157	383
Stock-based compensation	1,663	1,702
Deferred income tax benefit	(1,367)	(961)
Equity in earnings of joint ventures		(255)
Gain on step acquisition of joint ventures		(2,117)
Loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment	101	
Change in:		
Accounts receivable, net	(6,763)	8,142
Inventories	(11,114)	(1,841)
Prepaid expenses and other	(870)	(880)
Accounts payable	5,759	(1,494)
Customer advances	1,396	1,565
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	1,693	(2,132)
Net cash provided by operating activities	755	9,695
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Acquisition of Austin Explosives Company		(3,544)
Step acquisition of joint ventures, net of cash acquired		(2,065)
Acquisition of property, plant and equipment	(2,286)	(1,445)
Change in other non-current assets	36	(125)
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,250)	(7,179)

## **DYNAMIC MATERIALS CORPORATION & SUBSIDIARIES**

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

## (Dollars in Thousands)

#### (unaudited)

	2011	2010
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Payment on syndicated term loans		(15,374)
Payment on Nord LB term loans	(421)	(399)
Borrowings on bank lines of credit, net	5,818	1,998
Payment on capital lease obligations	(156)	(146)
Payment of dividends	(1,062)	(1,033)
Contribution from noncontrolling stockholder	42	
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock to employees and directors	99	70
Tax impact of stock-based compensation	(109)	2
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	4,211	(14,882)
EFFECTS OF EXCHANGE RATES ON CASH	325	(251)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	3,041	(12,617)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of the period	4,572	22,411
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of the period	\$ 7,613	\$ 9,794

#### DYNAMIC MATERIALS CORPORATION & SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Currency Amounts in Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Data)

(unaudited)

#### 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The information included in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements is unaudited but includes all normal and recurring adjustments which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the interim periods presented. These Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements that are included in our Annual Report filed on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

## 2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Principles of Consolidation

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Dynamic Materials Corporation ( DMC ) and its controlled subsidiaries. Only subsidiaries in which controlling interests are maintained are consolidated. The equity method is used to account for our ownership in subsidiaries where we do not have a controlling interest. Currently, we have controlling interests in all of our subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts, profits, and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

#### Foreign Operations and Foreign Exchange Rate Risk

The functional currency for our foreign operations is the applicable local currency for each affiliate company. Assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries for which the functional currency is the local currency are translated at exchange rates in effect at period-end, and the statements of operations are translated at the average exchange rates during the period. Exchange rate fluctuations on translating foreign currency financial statements into U.S. dollars that result in unrealized gains or losses are referred to as translation adjustments. Cumulative translation adjustments are recorded as a separate component of stockholders—equity and are included in other cumulative comprehensive income (loss). Transactions denominated in currencies other than the local currency are recorded based on exchange rates at the time such transactions arise. Subsequent

changes in exchange rates result in transaction gains and losses, which are reflected in income as unrealized (based on period-end translations) or realized upon settlement of the transactions. Cash flows from our operations in foreign countries are translated at actual exchange rates when known, or at the average rate for the period. As a result, amounts related to assets and liabilities reported in the consolidated statements of cash flows will not agree to changes in the corresponding balances in the consolidated balance sheets. The effects of exchange rate changes on cash balances held in foreign currencies are reported as a separate line item below cash flows from financing activities.

In September 2010, our German subsidiary, DYNAenergetics, entered into a currency swap agreement with its bank to economically hedge the currency risk associated with a large U.S. dollar order (\$2,700) that was awarded to it. Under the agreement, DYNAenergetics exchanged \$2,700 for Euros at an exchange rate of 1.269 U.S. dollars per Euros between January 18, 2011 and April 30, 2011. We did not designate this derivative as a cash flow hedge for accounting purposes and as such, gains and losses related to changes in its valuation were recorded in the

#### **Table of Contents**

statement of operations. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, we recorded a gain on this currency swap agreement of \$37 and \$87, respectively. The gain is classified as other income (expense), net in our statement of operations.

#### Revenue Recognition

Sales of clad metal products and welding services are generally based upon customer specifications set forth in customer purchase orders and require us to provide certifications relative to metals used, services performed, and the results of any non-destructive testing that the customer has requested be performed. All issues of conformity of the product to specifications are resolved before the product is shipped and billed. Products related to the oilfield products segment, which include detonating cords, detonators, bi-directional boosters, and shaped charges, as well as, seismic related explosives and accessories, are standard in nature. In all cases, revenue is recognized only when all four of the following criteria have been satisfied: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; the price is fixed or determinable; delivery has occurred; and collection is reasonably assured. For contracts that require multiple shipments, revenue is recorded only for the units included in each individual shipment. If, as a contract proceeds toward completion, projected total cost on an individual contract indicates a probable loss, we will account for such anticipated loss.

#### Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, trade accounts receivable and payable, and accrued expenses are considered to approximate fair value due to the short-term nature of these instruments. We estimate that a hypothetical 100 basis point decrease in our LIBOR/EURIBOR basis borrowing spread would increase the fair value of our long-term debt at June 30, 2011 by less than 2%. The majority of our debt was incurred in connection with the 2007 acquisition of DYNAenergetics.

Additionally, we had a foreign currency hedge agreement that we entered in September 2010 (see above), which was recorded at fair value. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. We are required to use an established hierarchy for fair value measurements based upon the inputs to the valuation and the degree to which they are observable or not observable in the market. The three levels in the hierarchy are as follows:

- Level 1 Inputs to the valuation based upon quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that are accessible as of the measurement date.
- Level 2 Inputs to the valuation include quoted prices in either markets that are not active, or in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable, and inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.
- Level 3 Inputs to the valuation that are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.

The highest priority is assigned to Level 1 inputs and the lowest priority to Level 3 inputs.

Our foreign currency hedge agreement, which expired on April 30, 2011, was not exchange listed and was therefore valued with models that use Level 2 inputs. At December 31, 2010, the fair value of the foreign currency hedge was \$118 and was recorded in prepaid expenses and other on the balance sheet.

11

#### Table of Contents

#### **Related Party Transactions**

Prior to acquiring the remaining outstanding interests in our unconsolidated joint ventures on April 30, 2010 (See Note 3), we had related party transactions with these joint ventures. A summary of related party transactions for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 is summarized below:

			nths Ended 0, 2010			ths Ended 30, 2010	I
	Sale	es to	Inter income		Sales to		Interest ome from
DYNAenergetics RUS	\$	161	\$	\$	663	\$	
Perfoline				3	19		13
Total	\$	161	\$	3 \$	682	\$	13

#### Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for each of the periods reported differs from the U.S. statutory rate due primarily to favorable foreign permanent differences and differences between the U.S. and foreign tax rates (which range from 19% to 33%) on earnings that have been permanently reinvested.

## Earnings Per Share

Unvested awards of share-based payments with rights to receive dividends or dividend equivalents, such as our restricted stock awards (RSAs), are considered participating securities for purposes of calculating earnings per share (EPS) and require the use of the two class method for calculating EPS. Under this method, a portion of net income is allocated to these participating securities and therefore is excluded from the calculation of EPS allocated to common stock, as shown in the table below.

## Table of Contents

Computation and reconciliation of earnings per common share are as follows:

		For th	e Three Months E	nded			For th	e Three Months E	nded	
		Income	June 30, 2011 Shares		EPS		Income	June 30, 2010 Shares		EPS
Basic earnings per share:			51111 05		22.0			51111 05		
Net income attributable to										
DMC	\$	3,868				\$	3,036			
Less income allocated to RSAs		(83)					(61)			
Net income allocated to										
common stock for EPS										
calculation	\$	3,785	13,060,456	\$	0.29	\$	2,975	12,774,316	\$	0.23
Adjust shares for dilutives:										
Stock-based compensation			10,080					12 660		
plans			10,080					12,660		
Diluted earnings per share:										
Net income attributable to										
DMC	\$	3,868				\$	3,036			
Less income allocated to RSAs		(83)					(61)			
Not in come all costs day										
Net income allocated to common stock for EPS										
calculation	\$	3,785	13,070,536	\$	0.29	\$	2,975	12,786,976	\$	0.23
carcaration	Ψ	3,703	13,070,330	Ψ	0.27	Ψ	2,773	12,700,570	Ψ	0.23
		For t	the Six Months End	ded			For t	the Six Months End	ded	
			June 30, 2011	ded	FPS			June 30, 2010	ded	FPS
Basic earnings per share:		For t		ded	EPS		For t		ded	EPS
Basic earnings per share: Net income attributable to			June 30, 2011	ded	EPS			June 30, 2010	ded	EPS
Net income attributable to DMC	\$	<b>Income</b> 4,619	June 30, 2011	ded	EPS	\$	<b>Income</b> 2,625	June 30, 2010	ded	EPS
Net income attributable to	\$	Income	June 30, 2011	ded	EPS	\$	Income	June 30, 2010	ded	EPS
Net income attributable to DMC Less income allocated to RSAs	\$	<b>Income</b> 4,619	June 30, 2011	ded	EPS	\$	<b>Income</b> 2,625	June 30, 2010	ded	EPS
Net income attributable to DMC Less income allocated to RSAs	\$	<b>Income</b> 4,619	June 30, 2011	ded	EPS	\$	<b>Income</b> 2,625	June 30, 2010	ded	EPS
Net income attributable to DMC Less income allocated to RSAs  Net income allocated to common stock for EPS		4,619 (100)	June 30, 2011 Shares				2,625 (54)	June 30, 2010 Shares		
Net income attributable to DMC Less income allocated to RSAs	\$	<b>Income</b> 4,619	June 30, 2011	ded \$	EPS 0.35		<b>Income</b> 2,625	June 30, 2010	ded \$	EPS 0.20
Net income attributable to DMC Less income allocated to RSAs Net income allocated to common stock for EPS calculation Adjust shares for dilutives:		4,619 (100)	June 30, 2011 Shares				2,625 (54)	June 30, 2010 Shares		
Net income attributable to DMC Less income allocated to RSAs Net income allocated to common stock for EPS calculation Adjust shares for dilutives: Stock-based compensation		4,619 (100)	June 30, 2011 Shares				2,625 (54)	June 30, 2010 Shares		
Net income attributable to DMC Less income allocated to RSAs Net income allocated to common stock for EPS calculation Adjust shares for dilutives:		4,619 (100)	June 30, 2011 Shares				2,625 (54)	June 30, 2010 Shares		
Net income attributable to DMC Less income allocated to RSAs Net income allocated to common stock for EPS calculation Adjust shares for dilutives: Stock-based compensation plans		4,619 (100)	June 30, 2011 Shares				2,625 (54)	June 30, 2010 Shares		
Net income attributable to DMC Less income allocated to RSAs Net income allocated to common stock for EPS calculation Adjust shares for dilutives: Stock-based compensation plans Diluted earnings per share:		4,619 (100)	June 30, 2011 Shares				2,625 (54)	June 30, 2010 Shares		
Net income attributable to DMC Less income allocated to RSAs Net income allocated to common stock for EPS calculation Adjust shares for dilutives: Stock-based compensation plans		4,619 (100)	June 30, 2011 Shares				2,625 (54)	June 30, 2010 Shares		
Net income attributable to DMC Less income allocated to RSAs  Net income allocated to common stock for EPS calculation  Adjust shares for dilutives: Stock-based compensation plans  Diluted earnings per share: Net income attributable to	\$	4,619 (100) 4,519	June 30, 2011 Shares			\$	2,625 (54) 2,571	June 30, 2010 Shares		
Net income attributable to DMC Less income allocated to RSAs  Net income allocated to common stock for EPS calculation  Adjust shares for dilutives: Stock-based compensation plans  Diluted earnings per share: Net income attributable to DMC Less income allocated to RSAs	\$	4,619 (100) 4,519	June 30, 2011 Shares			\$	2,625 (54) 2,571	June 30, 2010 Shares		
Net income attributable to DMC Less income allocated to RSAs  Net income allocated to common stock for EPS calculation  Adjust shares for dilutives: Stock-based compensation plans  Diluted earnings per share: Net income attributable to DMC Less income allocated to RSAs  Net income allocated to	\$	4,619 (100) 4,519	June 30, 2011 Shares			\$	2,625 (54) 2,571	June 30, 2010 Shares		
Net income attributable to DMC Less income allocated to RSAs  Net income allocated to common stock for EPS calculation  Adjust shares for dilutives: Stock-based compensation plans  Diluted earnings per share: Net income attributable to DMC Less income allocated to RSAs	\$	4,619 (100) 4,519	June 30, 2011 Shares			\$	2,625 (54) 2,571	June 30, 2010 Shares		

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2011-5, *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*, which requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The amendment should be applied retrospectively and is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. We do not anticipate a material impact from this update.

13

#### 3. ACQUISITIONS

#### **Austin Explosives**

On June 4, 2010, we completed our acquisition of Austin Explosives Company ( AECO ), which is now operating under the name DYNAenergetics US, Inc. This business is part of our Oilfield Products business segment. AECO had been a long-time distributor of DYNAenergetics shaped charges. This acquisition, along with the acquisition of the outstanding interests in our Russian joint ventures (discussed below), further expands our Oilfield Products business, and positions the segment to capitalize on the long-term demand from the oil and gas industry.

The acquisition was structured as an asset purchase valued at \$6,921 which was financed by (i) the payment of \$3,620 (of which \$3,544 was paid during the six months ended June 30, 2010) in cash and (ii) the issuance of 222,445 shares of DMC common stock (valued at \$3,301).

The purchase price of the acquisition was allocated to tangible and identifiable intangible assets based on their fair values as determined by appraisals performed as of the acquisition date. The allocation of the purchase price to the assets of AECO was as follows:

Current assets	\$ 5,792
Property, plant and equipment	368
Intangible assets	4,773
Deferred tax assets	7
Other assets	81
Total assets acquired	11,021
Current liabilities	4,100
Total liabilities assumed	4,100
Net assets acquired	\$ 6,921
-	

We acquired identifiable finite-lived intangible assets as a result of the acquisition of AECO. The finite-lived intangible assets acquired were classified as customer relationships, were valued at \$4,773, and are being amortized over 11 years. These amounts are included in Purchased Intangible Assets and are further discussed in Note 7.

## Russian Joint Ventures

On April 30, 2010, we purchased the outstanding non-controlling interests in our two Russian joint ventures that were previously majority-owned by our Oilfield Products business segment. These joint ventures include DYNAenergetics RUS, which is a Russian trading

company that sells our oilfield products, and Perfoline, which is a Russian manufacturer of perforating gun systems. We paid a combined \$2,065 for the respective 45% and 34.81% outstanding stakes in DYNAenergetics RUS and Perfoline. Prior to the acquisition date, we accounted for our 55% and 65.19% interest in DYNAenergetics RUS and Perfoline, respectively, as equity-method investments (see Note 4).

#### Table of Contents

Appraisals performed as of the acquisition date resulted in a new fair value of the combined entities of \$5,598 which was allocated to our tangible and identifiable intangible assets as follows:

Current assets	\$ 5,243
Property, plant and equipment	411
Intangible assets	3,669
Deferred tax assets	12
Other assets	56
Total assets acquired	9,391
Line of credit	36
Other current liabilities	2,547
Deferred tax liabilities	813
Other long term liabilities	397
Total liabilities assumed	3,793
Net assets acquired	\$ 5,598

We acquired identifiable finite-lived intangible assets as a result of acquiring the remaining interests of DYNAenergetics RUS and Perfoline. The finite-lived intangible assets acquired were classified as customer relationships, were valued at \$3,669, and are being amortized over 11 years. These amounts are included in Purchased Intangible Assets and are further discussed in Note 7.

## Pro Forma Statement of Operations

The following table presents the pro-forma combined results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 assuming (i) the acquisitions of AECO and the Russian joint ventures had occurred on January 1, 2010; (ii) pro-forma amortization expense of the purchased intangible assets; (iii) pro-forma depreciation expense of the fair value of purchased property, plant and equipment; (iv) elimination of intercompany sales; and (v) increase in interest expense for borrowing 1,500 Euros (\$2,155 based on the January 1, 2010 exchange rate) to fund the acquisition of the Russian joint ventures:

	e Months Inded June 30	), 2010	Six Months Ended
Net sales	\$ 41,713	\$	75,904
Income from operations	\$ 2,357	\$	2,678
Net income attributable to DMC	\$ 2,947	\$	2,527
Net income per share:			
Basic	\$ 0.22	\$	0.19
Diluted	\$ 0.22	\$	0.19

The pro-forma results above are not necessarily indicative of the operating results that would have actually occurred if the acquisitions had been in effect on the dates indicated, nor are they necessarily indicative of future results of the combined companies.

#### 4. INVESTMENT IN JOINT VENTURES

As discussed in Note 3, on April 30, 2010 we acquired the remaining non-controlling interests in two joint ventures that were previously majority-owned by our Oilfield Products business segment. Prior to the April 30, 2010 step acquisition, these investments, which include DYNAenergetics RUS and Perfoline, were accounted for under the equity method due to certain non-controlling interest veto rights that allowed the non-controlling interest shareholders to participate in the ordinary course of business decisions. Operating results from January 1, 2010 through April 30, 2010 include our proportionate share of income from these unconsolidated joint ventures and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 our results include equity in earnings of joint ventures of \$86 and \$255, respectively. As a result of the step acquisition, we now consolidate the financial statements of these entities.

Summarized unaudited financial information for the joint ventures accounted for under the equity method for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010 is as follows:

	Three M End			Six Months Ended		
		June 30, 2010 (a)				
Net sales	\$	841	\$	2,575		
Gross Profit	\$	240	\$	656		
Operating income	\$	132	\$	302		
Net income	\$	158	\$	468		

<sup>(</sup>a) Represents operating results through April 30, 2010

## 5. INVENTORY

The components of inventory are as follows at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Raw materials	\$ 13,877	\$ 12,001
Work-in-process	16,514	11,387
Finished goods	17,458	11,870
Supplies	1,054	622
	\$ 48,903	\$ 35,880

16

## 6. GOODWILL

The changes to the carrying amount of goodwill during the period are summarized below:

	N	Explosive Metalworking Group	Oilfield Products	Total
Goodwill balance at December 31, 2010	\$	22,458	\$ 16,715	\$ 39,173
Adjustment due to recognition of tax benefit of tax amortization of				
certain goodwill		(176)	(254)	(430)
Adjustment due to exchange rate differences		1,849	1,428	3,277
Goodwill balance at June 30, 2011	\$	24,131	\$ 17,889	\$ 42,020

## 7. PURCHASED INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following table presents details of our purchased intangible assets, other than goodwill, as of June 30, 2011:

	Gross	Accumulated Amortization	Net	
Core technology	\$ 24,469	\$ (4,410) \$		20,059
Customer relationships	41,952	(14,068)		27,884
Trademarks / Trade names	2,623	(1,306)		1,317
Total intangible assets	\$ 69,044	\$ (19,784) \$		49,260

The following table presents details of our purchased intangible assets, other than goodwill, as of December 31, 2010:

	Gross	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Core technology	\$ 22,557	\$ (3,497) \$	19,060
Customer relationships	39,052	(10,930)	28,122
Trademarks / Trade names	2,416	(1,108)	1,308
Total intangible assets	\$ 64,025	\$ (15,535) \$	48,490

The change in the gross value of our purchased intangible assets from December 31, 2010 to June 30, 2011 is due solely to the impact of foreign currency translation adjustments.

## 8. CUSTOMER ADVANCES

On occasion, we require customers to make advance payments prior to the shipment of their orders in order to help finance our inventory investment on large orders or to keep customers—credit limits at acceptable levels. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, customer advances totaled \$3,038 and \$1,531 respectively, and originated from several customers.

#### 9. DEBT

Lines of credit consisted of the following at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Syndicated credit agreement revolving loan	\$ 6,234	\$ 1,060
Commerzbank line of credit	1,072	
Nord LB line of credit	1,418	1,561
	\$ 8,724	\$ 2,621

Long-term debt consisted of the following at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	J	une 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Syndicated credit agreement term loan	\$	22,247 \$	22,247
Nord LB 3,000 Euro term loan		216	596
Loans with former owners of LRI		1,363	1,332
		23,826	24,175
Less current maturities		(9,216)	(9,596)
Long-term debt	\$	14,610 \$	14,579

#### Loan Covenants and Restrictions

Our existing loan agreements include various covenants and restrictions, certain of which relate to the incurrence of additional indebtedness; mortgaging, pledging or disposition of major assets; limits on capital expenditures; and maintenance of specified financial ratios. On February 2, 2011, our credit facility was amended, retroactive to December 31, 2010, to revise the leverage ratios and fixed charge coverage ratios that we are required to satisfy on a quarterly basis throughout the remaining term of the credit facility. These revised ratios will ease our ability to comply with certain covenants of the credit agreement. As of June 30, 2011, we were in compliance with all financial covenants and other provisions of our debt agreements.

#### 10. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

Our business is organized in the following three segments: Explosive Metalworking, Oilfield Products, and AMK Welding. The Explosive Metalworking segment uses explosives to perform metal cladding and shock synthesis of industrial diamonds. The most significant product of this group is clad metal which is used in the fabrication of pressure vessels, heat exchangers, and transition joints for various industries,

including upstream oil and gas, oil refinery, petrochemicals, hydrometallurgy, aluminum production, shipbuilding, power generation, industrial refrigeration, and similar industries. The Oilfield Products segment manufactures, markets and sells oilfield perforating equipment and explosives, including detonating cords, detonators, bi-directional boosters and shaped charges, and seismic related explosives and accessories. AMK Welding utilizes a number of welding technologies to weld components for manufacturers of jet engine and ground-based turbines.

The accounting policies of all the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies included herein and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Our reportable segments are separately managed strategic

18

## Table of Contents

business units that offer different products and services. Each segment s products are marketed to different customer types and require different manufacturing processes and technologies.

Beginning in 2011, we changed our methodology of allocating corporate overhead to our business segments. In connection with this change we no longer allocate certain corporate expenses that do not directly benefit our business segments. The business segment disclosure for the three months and six ended June 30, 2010 presented below reflects our new allocation methodology.

Segment information is presented for the three months and six ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 as follows:

	Explosive Metalworking Group		Oilfield Products		AMK Welding		Total
For the three months ended June 30, 2011:		·					
Net sales	\$	35,751	\$ 15,717	\$	2,697	\$	54,165
Depreciation and amortization	\$	1,495	\$ 1,129	\$	119	\$	2,743
Income from operations	\$	5,605	\$ 1,159	\$	702	\$	7,466
Unallocated amounts:							
Corporate expenses							(698)
Stock-based compensation							(871)
Other expense							(136)
Interest expense							(486)
Consolidated income before income taxes						\$	5,275

	Explosive Metalworking Group		Oilfield Products		AMK Welding		Total
For the three months ended June 30, 2010:							
Net sales	\$	26,690	\$	8,654	\$	2,914	\$ 38,258
Depreciation and amortization	\$	1,365	\$	1,034	\$	115	\$ 2,514
Income from operations	\$	2,486	\$	134	\$	865	\$ 3,485
Equity in earnings of joint ventures	\$		\$	86	\$		86
Unallocated amounts:							
Corporate expenses							(489)
Stock-based compensation							(910)
Other income							2,007
Interest expense							(662)
Interest income							29
Consolidated income before income taxes							\$ 3,546

	Explosive Metalworking Group		Oilfield Products		AMK Welding		Total	
For the six months ended June 30, 2011:	_							
Net sales	\$ 61,826	\$	32,773	\$	5,141	\$	99,740	
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 2,954	\$	2,309	\$	241	\$	5,504	

Income from operations	\$ 7,159 \$	2,083 \$	1,170 \$	10,412
Unallocated amounts:				
Corporate expenses				(1,356)
Stock-based compensation				(1,663)
Other expense				(339)
Interest expense				(896)
Interest income				3
Consolidated income before income taxes			\$	6,161
	19			

## Table of Contents

	Explosive Metalworking Group		Oilfield Products		AMK Welding		Total	
For the six months ended June 30, 2010:								
Net sales	\$	47,996	\$ 15,660	\$	4,959	\$	68,615	
Depreciation and amortization	\$	2,732	\$ 1,979	\$	230	\$	4,941	
Income (loss) from operations	\$	4,324	\$ (326)	\$	1,125	\$	5,123	
Equity in earnings of joint ventures	\$		\$ 255	\$			255	
Unallocated amounts:								
Corporate expenses							(1,090)	
Stock-based compensation							(1,702)	
Other income							2,148	
Interest expense							(1,806)	
Interest income							65	
Consolidated income before income taxes						\$	2,993	

During the three months ended June 30, 2011, sales to one customer represented \$12,354 (22.8%) of total net sales. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, sales to one customer represented \$13,585 (13.6%) of total net sales. During the three months ended June 30, 2010, sales to one customer represented \$7,813 (20.4%) of total net sales and sales to another customer represented \$3,901 (10.2%) of total net sales. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, sales to one customer represented \$8,024 (11.7%) of total net sales.

## 11. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

Our comprehensive income (loss) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
		2011		2010	2011		2010	
Net income including noncontrolling interest	\$	3,857	\$	3,041	\$ 4,596	\$	2,642	
Derivative valuation, net of tax				137			242	
Change in cumulative foreign currency								
translation adjustment		2,269		(9,950)	9,361		(15,602)	
•								
Total comprehensive income (loss)		6,126		(6,772)	13,957		(12,718)	
Comprehensive loss attributable to								
noncontrolling interest		(9)		(12)	(92)		(12)	
Ţ								
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to								
DMC	\$	6,135	\$	(6,760)	\$ 14,049	\$	(12,706)	

Other cumulative comprehensive income (loss) as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 consisted entirely of currency translation adjustment.

#### Table of Contents

#### ITEM 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our historical consolidated financial statements and notes, as well as the selected historical consolidated financial data that are included in our Annual Report filed on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Unless stated otherwise, all currency amounts in this discussion are presented in thousands (000 s).

#### **Executive Overview**

Our business is organized into three segments: Explosive Metalworking (which we also refer to as DMC Clad), Oilfield Products and AMK Welding. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, Explosive Metalworking accounted for 62% of our net sales and 69% of our income from operations before consideration of unallocated corporate expenses and stock-based compensation expense, which is not allocated to our business segments. Our Oilfield Products and AMK Welding segments accounted for 33% and 5%, respectively, of our first half 2011 net sales, and 20% and 11%, respectively, of our income from operations before unallocated corporate expenses and stock-based compensation expense.

Our net sales for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased by \$31,125, or 45.4%, compared to the same period of 2010. The year-to-year consolidated net sales increase reflects a sales increase of \$13,830 (28.8%) for our Explosive Metalworking segment and sales increases of \$17,113 (109.3%) for our Oilfield Products segment and \$182 (3.7%) for AMK Welding. Excluding incremental sales of \$5,743 from the acquisition of Austin Explosives on June 4, 2010 and the step acquisition of two Russian joint ventures that was completed on April 30, 2010, our Oilfield Products segment reported an increase of \$11,370 or 72.6% from its first half 2010 net sales. Our consolidated income from operations increased to \$7,393 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 from \$2,331 in the same period of 2010. This \$5,062 increase reflects increases of \$2,835, \$2,409 and \$45 in the operating income reported by our Explosive Metalworking, Oilfield Products and AMK Welding segments, respectively, and a decrease in stock-based compensation of \$39 which were partially offset by an increase in unallocated corporate expenses of \$266. Reported consolidated operating income for the six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 includes amortization expense of \$2,876 and \$2,537, respectively, relating to purchased intangible assets associated with our acquisitions of DYNAenergetics, DYNAenergetics Canada, DYNAenergetics US, and the Russian joint ventures. We reported net income of \$4,619 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$2,625 for the same period of 2010.

Impact of Current Economic Situation on the Company

We were only minimally impacted in 2008 by the global economic slowdown. However, during 2009 and 2010, we experienced a significant slowdown in Explosive Metalworking sales to some of the markets we serve. The explosion-welded clad plate market is dependent upon sales of products for use by customers in a number of heavy industries, including oil and gas, alternative energy, chemicals and petrochemicals, hydrometallurgy, aluminum production, shipbuilding, power generation, and industrial refrigeration. These industries tend to be cyclical in nature and the current worldwide economic downturn has affected many of these markets. Despite the slowdown we have seen in certain sectors, including chemical, petrochemical and hydrometallurgy, quoting activity in other end markets remains healthy, and we continue to track an extensive list of projects. While timing of new order inflow remains difficult to predict, we believe that our Explosive Metalworking segment is well-positioned to benefit as global economic conditions improve.

#### Table of Contents

Our Explosive Metalworking backlog, which totaled \$97,247 at the end of 2008 before this business segment began to see a significant decline in booking activity, decreased to \$41,154 at September 30, 2010 before rebounding to \$56,539 at December 31, 2010 on strong fourth quarter 2010 booking activity and then decreasing slightly to \$54,026 at June 30, 2011. Based upon our June 30, 2011 Explosive Metalworking backlog and expected year over year increases in 2011 sales for both our Oilfield and AMK Welding business segments, we believe that our 2011 consolidated net sales could increase by 28% to 30% from the \$154,739 in consolidated net sales that we reported in 2010.

Net sales

Explosive Metalworking s revenues are generated principally from sales of clad metal plates and sales of transition joints, which are made from clad plates, to customers that fabricate industrial equipment for various industries, including oil and gas, petrochemicals, alternative energy, hydrometallurgy, aluminum production, shipbuilding, power generation, industrial refrigeration, and similar industries. While a large portion of the demand for our clad metal products is driven by new plant construction and large plant expansion projects, maintenance and retrofit projects at existing chemical processing, petrochemical processing, oil refining, and aluminum smelting facilities also account for a significant portion of total demand.

Oilfield Products revenues are generated principally from sales of shaped charges, detonators and detonating cord, and bidirectional boosters and perforating guns to customers who perform the perforation of oil and gas wells and from sales of seismic products to customers involved in oil and gas exploration activities.

AMK Welding s revenues are generated from welding, heat treatment, and inspection services that are provided with respect to customer-supplied parts for customers primarily involved in the power generation industry and aircraft engine markets.

A significant portion of our revenue is derived from a relatively small number of customers; therefore, the failure to complete existing contracts on a timely basis, to receive payment for such services in a timely manner, or to enter into future contracts at projected volumes and profitability levels could adversely affect our ability to meet cash requirements exclusively through operating activities. We attempt to minimize the risk of losing customers or specific contracts by continually improving product quality, delivering product on time and competing aggressively on the basis of price.

Gross profit and cost of products sold

Cost of products sold for Explosive Metalworking includes the cost of metals and alloys used to manufacture clad metal plates, the cost of explosives, employee compensation and benefits, freight, outside processing costs, depreciation of manufacturing facilities and equipment, manufacturing supplies and other manufacturing overhead expenses.

Cost of products sold for Oilfield Products includes the cost of metals, explosives and other raw materials used to manufacture shaped charges, detonating products and perforating guns as well as employee compensation and benefits, depreciation of manufacturing facilities and equipment, manufacturing supplies and other manufacturing overhead expenses.

AMK Welding s cost of products sold consists principally of employee compensation and benefits, welding supplies (wire and gas), depreciation of manufacturing facilities and equipment, outside services and other manufacturing overhead expenses.

Table	of	Contents

Income taxes

Our effective income tax rate increased to 25.4% for the first half of 2011 from 11.7% for first half of 2010 and 17.7% for the full year 2010. Income tax provisions on the earnings of Nobelclad, Nitro Metall, Dynaplat, DYNAenergetics, DYNAenergetics Canada, DYNAenergetics RUS, Perfoline, and our German and Luxembourg holding companies have been provided based upon the respective French, Swedish, German, Canadian, Russian and Luxembourg statutory tax rates for the applicable years. Going forward, based upon existing tax regulations and current federal, state and foreign statutory tax rates, we expect our blended effective tax rate on our projected consolidated pre-tax income to range between 26% and 28% in 2011 before returning to normalized level of 28% to 30% in subsequent years.

Backlog

We use backlog as a primary means of measuring the immediate outlook for our Explosive Metalworking business. We define backlog at any given point in time as consisting of all firm, unfulfilled purchase orders and commitments at that time. Generally speaking, we expect to fill most backlog orders within the following 12 months. From experience, most firm purchase orders and commitments are realized.

Our backlog with respect to the Explosive Metalworking segment decreased to \$54,026 at June 30, 2011 from \$56,539 at December 31, 2010 and \$58,530 at March 31, 2011. This backlog decrease reflects an increase in Explosive Metalworking sales to \$35,751 in the second quarter of 2011 from \$26,074 in this year s first quarter.

Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 Compared to the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010

Net sales

Three Months Ended June 30,									
		2011		2010		Change	Change		
Net sales	\$	54,165	\$	38,258	\$	15,907	41.6%		
		Six Mont June 2011		2010		Change	Percentage Change		
Net sales	\$	99,740	\$	68,615	\$	31,125	45.4%		

Net sales for the second quarter of 2011 increased 41.6% to \$54,165 from \$38,258 for the second quarter of 2010. Explosive Metalworking sales increased 33.9% to \$35,751 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 (66% of total sales) from \$26,690 for the same period of 2010 (70% of total sales). This \$9,061 sales increase follows an increase in the Explosive Metalworking backlog from \$51,417 at March 31, 2010 to \$58,530 at March 31, 2011 and reflects more than \$11 million in second quarter export shipments from our U.S. facility on two large orders that were booked in December of 2010. The decrease in Explosive Metalworking s proportionate contribution to consolidated net sales is principally

attributable to incremental 2011 sales for our Oilfield Products segment that resulted from 2010 acquisitions as further discussed below.

Oilfield Products contributed \$15,717 to second quarter 2011 sales (29% of total sales), which represents an 81.6% increase from sales of \$8,654 for the second quarter of 2010 (23% of total sales). Excluding incremental sales of \$2,234 from our acquisition of Austin Explosives and step acquisition of two Russian joint ventures, the second quarter 2011 sales increase for Oilfield

23

#### Table of Contents

Products was \$4,829 or 55.8%. This significant increase in sales reflects a substantial increase in global oil and gas drilling activities, particularly in North America.

AMK Welding contributed \$2,697 to second quarter 2011 sales (5% of total sales), compared to sales of \$2,914 for the second quarter of 2010 (7% of total sales), a decrease of 7.4%.

Our consolidated net sales for the first half of 2011 increased 45.4% to \$99,740 from \$68,615 in the first half of 2010. Explosive Metalworking sales increased 28.8% to \$61,826 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 (62% of total sales) from \$47,996 for the same period of 2010 (70% of total sales). This sales increase reflects a modest strengthening of demand in our Explosive Metalworking business and more than \$11 million in second quarter shipments on two large orders as discussed above.

Oilfield Products contributed \$32,773 to first half 2011 sales (33% of total sales), which represents a 109.3% increase from sales of \$15,660 for the first half of 2010 (23% of total sales). Excluding incremental sales of \$5,743 from our acquisition of Austin Explosives and step acquisition of two Russian joint ventures, the first half 2011 sales increase for Oilfield Products was \$11,370 or 72.6%. As discussed above, this significant sales increase reflects a substantial increase in global oil and gas drilling activities, particularly in North America.

AMK Welding contributed \$5,141 to first half 2011 sales (5% of total sales), which represents a 3.7% increase from sales of \$4,959 in the first half of 2010 (7% of total sales).

Gross profit

Three Months Ended June 30,										
_	_	2011	_	2010	_	Change	Change			
Gross profit	\$	15,473	\$	9,258	\$	6,215	67.1%			
Consolidated gross profit margin rate		28.6% Six Month June 2011		24.2% ed 2010	,	Change	Percentage Change			
Gross profit	\$	25,776	\$	16,242	\$	9,534	58.7%			
Consolidated gross profit margin rate		25.8%		23.7%	)					

Gross profit increased by 67.1% to \$15,473 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 from \$9,258 for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Our second quarter 2011 consolidated gross profit margin rate increased to 28.6% from the 24.2% gross margin that we reported for the second quarter of 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, gross profit increased by 58.7% to \$25,776 from \$16,242 for the same period of 2010. Our year to date consolidated gross profit margin rate increased to 25.8% from 23.7% for the first six months of 2010.

The gross profit margin for Explosive Metalworking increased from 19.7% in the second quarter of 2010 to 25.2% in the second quarter of 2011. The increase in the second quarter 2011 gross profit margin rate for our Explosive Metalworking segment relates principally to favorable changes in product mix as compared to the second quarter of 2010 and a somewhat improved pricing environment compared to the extremely competitive pricing environment that existed throughout 2010. Our gross profit margin for the Explosive Metalworking segment increased to 21.8% for the first six months of 2011 from 20.7% for the same period in 2010. As has been the case historically, we expect to see continued fluctuations in Explosive Metalworking s quarterly gross margin rates in the future that result from fluctuations in quarterly sales volume and change in product mix.

#### Table of Contents

Oilfield Products reported a gross profit margin of 35.7% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to a gross profit margin of 34.7% in the second quarter of 2010. Oilfield Products reported a gross profit margin of 32.8% for the first half of 2011 compared to a gross profit margin of 31.5% for the first half of 2010. The increase in Oilfield Products gross margin for the second quarter and first six months of 2011 relates principally to the significant sales increase discussed above and the additional margin resulting from sales of former distributors in the U.S. and Russia that were acquired during the second quarter of 2010 as these acquired entities sold products through to the end customers.

The gross profit margin for AMK Welding decreased to 34.4% in the second quarter of 2011 from 37.4% in the second quarter of 2010. The gross profit margin for AMK Welding increased to 32.2% in the first six months of 2011 from 31.3% in the first six months of 2010. The second quarter decrease and year-to-date increase in AMK Welding s reported gross margins relates principally to differences in the rate at which AMK Welding absorbed its fixed manufacturing overhead costs based on the sales fluctuations discussed above.

Based upon the expected contribution to 2011 consolidated net sales by each of our three business segments, we expect our consolidated full year 2011 gross margin to be in a range of 26% to 28%.

#### General and administrative expenses

Three Months Ended June 30,									
		2011			2010			Change	Change
General and administrative expenses	\$		4,194	\$		3,358	\$	836	24.9%
Percentage of net sales		2011	7.7% Six Month June		2010	8.8%		Change	Percentage Change
General and administrative expenses	\$		7,869	\$		6,503	\$	1,366	21.0%
Percentage of net sales			7.9%			9.5%			

General and administrative expenses increased by \$836, or 24.9%, to \$4,194 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 from \$3,358 for the same period of 2010. Excluding incremental general and administrative expenses of \$175 that resulted from the acquisition of Austin Explosives and the Russian joint ventures, our general and administrative expenses increased by \$661 or 19.7%. This increase includes increases of \$247 and \$119 in salaries and accrued incentive compensation, respectively, an increase in IT consulting fees of \$110 associated with major ERP system initiatives, an increase of \$124 in certain corporate governance and public-company expenses (board of director fees, directors and officers insurance, costs associated with proxy statement/annual report preparation and other investor relations expenses), and a net increase of \$61 in all other expense categories. As a percentage of net sales, general and administrative expenses decreased to 7.7% in the second quarter of 2011 from 8.8% in the second quarter of 2010.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$1,366, or 21.0%, to \$7,869 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 from \$6,503 for the same period of 2010. Excluding incremental general and administrative expenses of \$547 that resulted from the acquisition of Austin Explosives and the Russian joint ventures, our general and administrative expenses increased by \$818 or 12.6%. This increase includes increases of \$314 and \$157 in salaries and accrued incentive compensation, respectively, an increase in IT consulting fees of \$98, an increase of \$97 in certain corporate governance and public-company expenses as more fully described above, and

#### **Table of Contents**

a net increase of \$152 in all other expense categories. As a percentage of net sales, general and administrative expenses decreased to 7.9% in the first half of 2011 from 9.5% in the half of 2010.

Selling and distribution expenses

Three Months Ended									
		June		Percentage					
		2011		Change	Change				
Selling and distribution expenses	\$	3,911	\$	2,550	\$ 1,361	53.4%			
Percentage of net sales		7.2%		6.7%					

			Percentage			
		2011	2010		Change	Change
Selling and distribution expenses	\$	7,638	\$ 4,871	\$	2,767	56.8%
Percentage of net sales		7.7%	7.1%	,		

Selling and distribution expenses, which include sales commissions of \$528 in the second quarter of 2011 and \$314 in the second quarter of 2010, increased by 53.4% to \$3,911 in the second quarter of 2011 from \$2,550 in the second quarter of 2010. Excluding incremental selling and distribution expenses of \$554 that resulted from the acquisitions of Austin Explosives and the Russian joint ventures, our selling and distribution expenses increased by \$807 or 31.6%. This increase in our selling and distribution expenses includes increased selling and distribution expenses of \$313 at our U.S. divisions and \$494 at our foreign divisions.

The \$313 increase in our U.S. selling and distribution expenses reflects increases of \$148 and \$91 for accrued incentive compensation and commissions, respectively, an increase of \$82 for bad debt expense and a net decrease of \$8 in other spending categories. The \$494 increase in our foreign divisions selling and distribution expenses reflects increases of \$78 and \$124 for salary expense and sales commissions, respectively, and a net increase of \$292 in other spending categories. As a percentage of net sales, selling and distribution expenses increased to 7.2% in the second quarter of 2011 from 6.7% in the second quarter of 2010.

Selling and distribution expenses, which include sales commissions of \$1,121 in the first half of 2011 and \$612 in the first half of 2010, increased by 56.8% to \$7,638 in the first half of 2011 from \$4,871 in the first half of 2010. Excluding incremental selling and distribution expenses of \$1,517 that resulted from the acquisitions of Austin Explosives and the Russian joint ventures, our selling and distribution expenses increased by \$1,250 or 25.7%. This increase in our selling and distribution expenses includes increased selling and distribution expenses of \$489 at our U.S. divisions and \$761 at our foreign divisions.

The \$489 increase in our U.S. selling and distribution expenses reflects increases of \$173 and \$223 for accrued incentive compensation and commissions, respectively, an increase of \$170 for bad debt expense and a net decrease of \$77 in other spending categories. The \$761 increase in our foreign divisions—selling and distribution expenses reflects increases of \$259 and \$286 for salary expense and sales commissions, respectively, and a net increase of \$216 in other spending categories. As a percentage of net sales, selling and distribution expenses increased to 7.7% in the first half of 2011 from 7.1% in the first half of 2010.

The increase in selling and distribution expenses as a percentage of sales relates largely to our Oilfield Products business and the need, particularly in North America, to maintain a number of strategically located distribution centers that are in close proximity to areas which contain a large concentration of oilfields and enjoy a high volume of related oil and gas drilling activities.

#### Table of Contents

Amortization expenses

	Percentage	e						
		2011		2010		Change	Change	
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	\$	1,471	\$	1,2	64 \$		207 1	16.4%
Percentage of net sales		-	nths Ende		3.3%	Change	Percentage Change	
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	\$	2,876	\$	2,5	37 \$	Change		13.4%
1 0	Ф	,	•	,	•		337 I	3.4%
Percentage of net sales		2.99	$^{\prime}\!o$	3	3.7%			

Amortization expense relates to the amortization of values assigned to intangible assets in connection with our November 15, 2007 acquisition of DYNAenergetics, our October 1, 2009 acquisition of LRI, our April 30, 2010 acquisition of the two Russian joint ventures and our June 4, 2010 acquisition of Austin Explosives. Amortization expense for the three months ended June 30, 2011 includes \$1,112, \$307, and \$52 relating to values assigned to customer relationships, core technology, and trademarks/trade names, respectively. Amortization expense for the three months ended June 30, 2010 includes \$904, \$273 and \$87 relating to values assigned to customer relationships, core technology, and trademarks/trade names, respectively.

Amortization expense for the six months ended June 30, 2011 includes \$2,175, \$600, and \$101 relating to values assigned to customer relationships, core technology, and trademarks/trade names, respectively. Amortization expense for the six months ended June 30, 2010 includes \$1,787, \$569 and \$181 relating to values assigned to customer relationships, core technology, and trademarks/trade names, respectively. Amortization expense (as measured in Euros) associated with the DYNAenergetics acquisition is expected to approximate 3,490 in 2011, and 2011 amortization expense (as measured in Euros) associated with the acquisition of the two Russian joint ventures is expected to approximate 235 in 2011, and 2011 amortization expense associated with the Austin Explosives acquisition is expected to approximate \$435.

Operating income

Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 2010 Change									
Operating income	\$	5	,897	\$		2,086	\$	3,811	182.7%
		Si 2011	ix Months June 3		2010			Change	Percentage Change
Operating income	\$	7.	,393	\$		2,331	\$	5,062	217.2%

Income from operations (operating income) increased by 182.7% to \$5,897 in the second quarter of 2011 from \$2,086 in the second quarter of 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, operating income increased by 217.2% to \$7,393 from \$2,331 for the same period of 2010.

#### Table of Contents

Explosive Metalworking reported operating income of \$5,605 in the second quarter of 2011 as compared to \$2,486 in the second quarter of 2010. This 125.5% increase is largely attributable to the 33.9% sales increase and 28.1% increase in the gross margin rate as discussed above. Operating results of Explosive Metalworking for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 include \$574 and \$551, respectively, of amortization expense of purchased intangible assets. Explosive Metalworking reported operating income of \$7,159 in the first half of 2011 as compared to \$4,324 in the first half of 2010. This 65.6% increase is largely attributable to the 28.8% sales increase and 5.7% increase in the gross margin rate as discussed above. Operating results of Explosive Metalworking for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 include \$1,120 and \$1,149, respectively, of amortization expense of purchased intangible assets.

Oilfield Products reported operating income of \$1,159 in the second quarter of 2011 as compared to \$134 in the second quarter of 2010. For the first half of 2011, Oilfield Products reported operating income of \$2,083 compared to an operating loss of \$326 for the first half of 2010. The significant improvement in operating results for our Oilfield Products segment is attributable to the significant increases in sales and gross profit as discussed above that reflect the incremental sales and gross profit from the acquisitions of Austin Explosives and the Russian joint ventures as well as an increase in global oil and gas drilling activities, particularly in North America. Operating results of Oilfield Products for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 include \$897 and \$713, respectively, of amortization expense of purchased intangible assets. Operating results of Oilfield Products for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 include \$1,756 and \$1,388, respectively, of amortization expense of purchased intangible assets.

AMK Welding reported operating income of \$702 in the second quarter of 2011, a decrease of 18.8% from the \$865 that it reported in the second quarter of 2010. AMK Welding reported operating income of \$1,170 for the first six months of 2011 compared to \$1,125 for the first six months of 2010.

Our consolidated operating income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 includes \$698 and \$489, respectively, of unallocated corporate expenses and \$871 and \$910, respectively, of stock-based compensation expense. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, consolidated operating income includes \$1,356 and \$1,090, respectively, of unallocated corporate expenses and \$1,663 and \$1,702, respectively, of stock-based compensation expense. These expenses are not allocated to our business segments and thus are not included in the above second quarter and first half operating income or loss totals for our Explosive Metalworking, Oilfield Products, and AMK Welding business segments.

Gain on step acquisition of joint ventures

			Percentage					
		Change	Change					
Gain on step acquisition of joint ventures	\$		\$		2,117	\$	(2,117)	N/A
		Six 2011	Months Ended June 30,	2010			Change	Percentage Change
Gain on step acquisition of joint ventures	\$		\$		2,117	\$	(2,117)	N/A

During the second quarter of 2010, we acquired the remaining non-controlling interests in two Russian joint ventures that were previously majority-owned. Prior to the acquisition date, we accounted for the joint ventures as equity investments. As a result of the acquisition of the

#### Table of Contents

remaining non-controlling interests, we now consolidate these entities. In accordance with accounting standards applicable to transactions of this nature, we determined the fair value of our interests in these joint ventures immediately prior to the purchase and recognized a resultant gain of \$2,117.

Other income (expense), net

		Percentage					
	2011		2010			Change	Change
Other income (expense), net	\$ (136	(i) \$		(110)	\$	(26)	23.6%
	·-	onths Ender une 30,	d 2010			Change	Percentage Change
Other income (expense), net	\$ (339	) \$		31	\$	(370)	(1193.5)%

We reported net other expense of \$136 in the second quarter of 2011 compared to net other expense of \$110 in the second quarter of 2010. Our second quarter 2011 net other expense of \$136 includes net realized and unrealized foreign exchange losses of \$154 and net other expense items aggregating \$19, which were offset by a gain of \$37 on our currency swap agreement. Our second quarter 2010 net other expense of \$110 relates principally to net realized and unrealized foreign exchange losses recorded by our Swedish, German and Canadian subsidiaries.

We reported net other expense of \$339 in the first half of 2011 compared to net other income of \$31 in the half of 2010. Our first half 2011 net other expense of \$339 includes net realized and unrealized foreign exchange losses of \$465, offset by a gain of \$87 on our currency swap agreement and net other income items aggregating \$39. Our first half 2010 net other income of \$31 relates principally to net realized and unrealized foreign exchange gains recorded by our Swedish, German and Canadian subsidiaries.

Interest income (expense), net

	Percentage									
	Change									
Interest income (expense), net	\$	(486)	\$	(63	3) \$	147	(23.2)%			
	Six Months Ended June 30,									
		2011		2010		Change	Change			
Interest income (expense), net	\$	(893)	\$	(1.74	1) \$	848	(48.7)%			

We recorded net interest expense of \$486 in the second quarter of 2011 compared to net interest expense of \$633 in the second quarter of 2010. We recorded net interest expense of \$893 in the first half of 2011 compared to net interest expense of \$1,741 in the first half of 2010. Interest

expense for the first half of 2010 included a non-recurring, non-cash charge of \$251 related to the write-off of unamortized debt issuance costs associated with the March prepayment, in the amount of \$12,498 (9,020 Euros), of the remaining balance of the Euro term loan that was outstanding under our bank syndicate credit facility. This Euro term loan was scheduled to mature on November 16, 2012. The decrease in our second quarter and first half 2011 interest expense is attributable to a significant reduction in average outstanding borrowings that resulted from scheduled term loan payments and the March 2010 prepayment of the Euro term loan.

#### Table of Contents

Income tax provision

			Percentage				
	:	2011	2010			Change	Change
Income tax provision	\$	1,418	\$	505	\$	913	180.8%
Effective tax rate		26.9%		14.2%			

		Six Month	s Ended				
	June 30,					Percentage	
	2	011		2010		Change	Change
Income tax provision	\$	1,565	\$	35	1 \$	1,214	345.9%
Effective tax rate		25.4%		11.	7%		

We recorded an income tax provision of \$1,418 in the second quarter of 2011 compared to \$505 in the second quarter of 2010. The effective tax rate increased to 26.9% in the second quarter of 2011 from 14.2% in the second quarter of 2010 and 17.7% for the full year 2010. Our consolidated income tax provision for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 included \$1,815 and \$463, respectively, related to U.S. taxes, with the remainder relating to net foreign tax benefit of \$397 in 2011 and net foreign tax provision of \$42 in 2010 associated with our foreign operations and holding companies.

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, we recorded an income tax provision of \$1,565 compared to \$351 in the same period of 2010. The effective tax rate increased to 25.4% in the first half of 2011 from 11.7% in the first half of 2010. Our consolidated income tax provision for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 included \$2,291 and \$849, respectively, related to U.S. taxes, with the remainder relating to a net foreign tax benefits of \$726 and \$498, respectively, associated with our foreign operations and holding companies.

Our statutory income tax rates range from 19% to 33% for our various U.S. and foreign operating subsidiaries and holding companies. The fluctuations in our consolidated effective tax rate for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 relate principally to the different tax rates in our U.S. and foreign tax jurisdictions and the variation in contribution to consolidated pre-tax income from each jurisdiction for the respective periods and also reflect the impact of favorable permanent differences in our foreign taxes. We expect our blended effective tax rate for 2011 to range from 26% to 28% based on projected full year 2011 pre-tax income.

Adjusted EBITDA

	2011		nths Ende e 30,	d 2010		Change	Percentage Change
Adjusted EBITDA	\$	9,522	\$		5,505	\$ 4,017	73.0%
	2011		ths Ended e 30,	2010		Change	Percentage Change

Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 14.583	\$ 8,957	\$ 5.626	62.8%

Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP measure that we believe provides an important indicator of our ongoing operating performance. Our aggregate non-cash depreciation, amortization of purchased intangible assets and stock-based compensation expense for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$3,614 and \$3,424, respectively, and for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$7,167 and \$6,643, respectively. These aggregate non-cash charges

#### Table of Contents

represent a significant percentage of the consolidated operating income that we reported for these periods. We use non-GAAP EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA in our operational and financial decision-making and believe that these non-GAAP measures are a reliable indicator of our ability to generate cash flow from operations and facilitate a more meaningful comparison of the operating performance of our three business segments than do certain GAAP measures. Research analysts, investment bankers and lenders also use EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA to assess operating performance. The following is a reconciliation of the most directly comparable GAAP measure to Adjusted EBIDTA.

	Three Mon June	 ded	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2011	2010	2011	2010		
Net income attributable to DMC	\$ 3,868	\$ 3,036 \$	4,619	\$ 2,625		
Interest expense	486	662	896	1,806		
Interest income		(29)	(3)	(65)		
Provision for income taxes	1,418	505	1,565	351		
Depreciation	1,272	1,250	2,628	2,404		
Amortization of purchased intangible						
assets	1,471	1,264	2,876	2,537		
EBITDA	8,515	6,688	12,581	9,658		
Stock-based compensation	871	910	1,663	1,702		
Other (income) expense, net	136	(2,007)	339	(2,148)		
Equity in earnings of joint ventures		(86)		(255)		
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 9,522	\$ 5,505 \$	14,583	\$ 8,957		

Adjusted EBITDA increased 73.0% to \$9,522 in the second quarter of 2011 from \$5,505 in the second quarter of 2010 primarily due principally to the \$3,811 increase in second quarter 2011 operating income. Adjusted EBITDA increased 62.8% to \$14,583 in the first half of 2011 from \$8,957 in the first half of 2010 due principally to the \$5,062 increase in 2011 first operating income.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We have historically financed our operations from a combination of internally generated cash flow, revolving credit borrowings, various long-term debt arrangements, and the issuance of common stock. We believe that cash flow from operations and funds available under our current credit facilities and any future replacement thereof will be sufficient to fund the working capital, debt service, and capital expenditure requirements of our current business operations for the foreseeable future. Nevertheless, our ability to generate sufficient cash flows from operations will depend upon our success in executing our strategies. If we are unable to (i) realize sales from our backlog; (ii) secure new customer orders at attractive prices; and (iii) continue to implement cost-effective internal processes, our ability to meet cash requirements through operating activities could be impacted. Furthermore, any restriction on the availability of borrowings under our credit facilities could negatively affect our ability to meet future cash requirements.

#### Debt facilities

In connection with the acquisition of DYNAenergetics in 2007, we entered into a five-year syndicated credit agreement. The credit agreement, which provided term loans of \$45,000 and 14,000 Euros and revolving credit loan availability of \$25,000 and 7,000 Euros, is through a

syndicate of seven banks.

#### Table of Contents

There are two significant financial covenants under our credit facility, the leverage ratio and fixed charge coverage ratio requirements. As a result of the slowdown in our business during 2009 which continued into the early part of 2010, we were concerned about our ability to comply with these financial covenants as of March 31, 2010 and subsequent quarters of 2010. In an effort to alleviate this concern and remain in compliance with financial covenants as of March 31, 2010 and in future periods, we made a March prepayment of the remaining principal balance due under our bank syndicated Euro term loan in the amount of \$12,498 (9,020 Euros) and, on April 19, 2010, amended the credit agreement to exclude scheduled principal payments under the Euro term loan from fixed charge coverage ratio computations for March 31, 2010 and forward. As we reached the end of 2010 and completed our 2011 budget, we were once again concerned about our ability to remain in compliance with financial covenants as of December 31, 2010 and in future quarterly periods of 2011. To address this concern, on February 2, 2011, we amended the credit agreement to reduce the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio requirement to 0.9 to 1.0 for the trailing four quarter period ended December 31, 2010 and 1.0 to 1.0 for any trailing four quarter period thereafter. The February 2, 2011 amendment also increased the maximum leverage ratio for any trailing four quarter period ending after September 30, 2011 to 1.25 to 1.0 from 1.0 to 1.0, and increased maximum annual capital expenditure limits for 2011 and 2012 from \$8 million for both years to \$10 million for 2011 and \$14 million for 2012.

The leverage ratio is defined in the credit facility as Consolidated Funded Indebtedness at the balance sheet date as compared to Consolidated EBITDA, which is defined as earnings before provisions for income taxes, interest expense, depreciation and amortization, extraordinary, non-recurring charges and other non-cash charges, for the previous twelve months. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2010, Consolidated EBITDA approximated the Adjusted EBITDA that we reported for the respective periods. As of June 30, 2011, the maximum leverage ratio permitted by our credit facility was 1.5 to 1.0. The actual leverage ratio as of June 30, 2011 was 1.24 to 1.0. The maximum leverage ratio permitted as of September 30 and December 31, 2011 is 1.5 to 1.0 and 1.25 to 1.0, respectively.

The fixed charge ratio, as defined in the credit facility, means, for any period, the ratio of Earnings Available for Fixed Charges to Fixed Charges. Earnings Available for Fixed Charges equals Consolidated EBITDA plus lease expenses minus cash income taxes and maintenance capital expenditures. Fixed Charges equals the sum of cash interest expense, lease expense, scheduled principal payments and cash dividends. As of June 30, 2011, the minimum fixed charge ratio permitted by our credit facility was 1.0 to 1.0. The actual fixed charge ratio as of June 30, 2011 was 1.51 to 1.0. The minimum fixed charge coverage ratio permitted for the twelve month periods ending September 30 and December 31, 2011 is 1.0 to 1.0.

As of June 30, 2011, a U.S. dollar term loan of \$22,247 was outstanding under our credit facility, \$216 was outstanding under term loan obligations of DYNAenergetics and \$1,363 was outstanding under loan agreements with the former owners of LRI. We had \$6,234 outstanding on our revolving credit borrowings under our syndicated credit agreement and \$2,490 outstanding under our separate DYNAenergetics line of credit agreements. While we had approximately \$34,983 of unutilized revolving credit loan capacity as of June 30, 2011 under our various credit facilities, future borrowings are subject to compliance with financial covenants that could significantly limit availability.

Debt and other contractual obligations and commitments

Our existing loan agreements include various covenants and restrictions, certain of which relate to the payment of dividends or other distributions to stockholders, redemption of capital stock, incurrence of additional indebtedness, mortgaging, pledging or disposition of major assets, and maintenance of specified financial ratios. As of June 30, 2011, we were in compliance with all financial covenants and other provisions of our debt agreements.

Tabl	e of	Contents
1 au	U OI	Contents

Our principal cash flows related to debt obligations and other contractual obligations and commitments have not materially changed since December 31, 2010.

Cash flows from operating activities

Net cash flows provided by operating activities for the first half of 2011 totaled \$755. Our first half 2011 operating cash flow reflects net income of \$4,596 and the benefit of certain non-cash charges, including depreciation and amortization expense of \$5,661 and stock-based compensation of \$1,663. Operating cash flow in the first half of 2011 was reduced by a deferred income tax benefit of \$1,367 and net negative changes in working capital of \$9,899. Negative cash flows from changes in working capital included increases in accounts receivable, inventories and prepaid expenses of \$6,763, \$11,114, and \$870, respectively. These were partly offset by increases in accounts payable, customer advances and accrued expenses and other liabilities of \$5,759, \$1,396 and \$1,693, respectively. The sizeable increase in accounts receivables relates principally to a large increase in second quarter Explosively Metalworking shipments compared to the fourth quarter of 2010, with particularly strong shipping activity in June. The significant increase in inventories is split somewhat evenly between our Explosive Metalworking and Oilfield Products segments, with the Explosive Metalworking increase relating to an increase in work-in-process inventories to meet third quarter shipment requirements on larger orders included in our June 30, 2011 backlog and the Oilfield Products increase relating to an increase in finished goods inventories in our Oilfield Products segment required by the increase in business activity this segment has experienced in recent months. The increase in accounts payable follows the increase in inventory levels.

Net cash flows provided by operating activities for the first half of 2010 totaled \$9,695. Significant sources of operating cash flow included net income of \$2,642, non-cash depreciation and amortization expense of \$5,324, stock-based compensation of \$1,702 and net positive changes in various components of working capital in the amount of \$3,360. These sources of operating cash flow were partially offset by a \$2,117 gain on step acquisition of joint ventures and a deferred income tax benefit of \$961. Net positive changes in working capital included a decrease in accounts receivable of \$8,142 and an increase in customer advances of \$1,565. These positive changes in working capital were partially offset by increases in inventory and prepaid expenses of \$1,841 and \$880, respectively, and decreases in accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$1,494 and \$2,132.

Cash flows from investing activities

Net cash flows used by investing activities for the first half of 2011 totaled \$2,250 and consisted almost entirely of capital expenditures.

Net cash flows used in investing activities for the first half of 2010 totaled \$7,179 which included investments in acquisitions of \$5,609 and capital expenditures of \$1,445.

Cash flows from financing activities

Net cash flows provided by financing activities for the first half of 2011 totaled \$4,211, which included net borrowings on bank lines of credit of \$5,818, a contribution of \$42 from our noncontrolling stockholder and \$99 in net proceeds from the issuance of common stock relating to the

exercise of stock options. These sources of cash flow were partially offset by uses of cash flows in financing activities which included payment of quarterly dividends of \$1,062, \$421 in principal payments on our Nord LB term loan, \$109 for the negative tax impact of stock-based compensation and payment on capital lease obligations of \$156.

#### Table of Contents

Net cash flows used in financing activities for the first half of 2010 were \$14,882, which included \$2,876 in required prepayments of term loans under our syndicated credit agreement from excess cash flow that we generated in fiscal year 2009, \$12,498 to prepay the remaining principal balance of our Euro term loan under our syndicated credit agreement, \$399 in principal payments on Nord LB term loans, payment of quarterly dividends of \$1,033 and payment on capital lease obligations of \$146. These uses of cash flows were partially offset by net borrowings on bank lines of credit of \$1,998 and \$70 in net proceeds from the issuance of common stock relating to the exercise of stock options.

Payment of Dividends

On May 26, 2011, our board of directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$.04 per share which was paid on July 15, 2011. The dividend totaled \$534 and was payable to shareholders of record as of June 30, 2011. On March 9, 2011, our board of directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$.04 per share which was paid on April 15, 2011. The dividend totaled \$533 and was payable to shareholders of record as of March 31, 2011. We paid a quarterly cash dividend of \$.04 per share in the first and second quarters of 2010.

We may continue to pay quarterly dividends in the future subject to capital availability and periodic determinations that cash dividends are in compliance with our debt covenants and are in the best interests of our stockholders, but we cannot assure you that such payments will continue. Future dividends may be affected by, among other items, our views on potential future capital requirements, future business prospects, debt covenant compliance, changes in federal income tax laws, or any other factors that our board of directors deems relevant. Any decision to pay cash dividends is and will continue to be at the discretion of board of directors.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies**

Our critical accounting policies have not changed from those reported in our Annual Report filed on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

#### ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk

There have been no events that materially affect our quantitative and qualitative disclosure about market risk from that reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

#### ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is accurately recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as

appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily applied its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As of June 30, 2011, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)). Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive

Table of Contents

Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level. There have been no changes in our internal controls during the quarter ended June 30, 2011 or in other factors that could materially affect our internal controls over financial reporting.
Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls or our internal controls will prevent all errors and all fraud. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. As a result of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within our company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple errors or mistakes. As a result of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. Accordingly, our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the disclosure controls and procedures are met.
Part II - OTHER INFORMATION
Item 1. Legal Proceedings
None.
Item 1A. Risk Factors
There have been no significant changes in the risk factors identified as being attendant to our business in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
None.
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities
None.

Item 4. []	Removed and Reserved]
Item 5. C	Other Information
None.	
Item 6.	
	Exhibits
31.1	Certification of the President and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 17 CFR 240.13a-14(a) or 17 CFR 240.15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of the Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 17 CFR 240.13a-14(a) or 17 CFR 240.15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
	35

### Table of Contents

- 32.1 Certification of the President and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of the Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- The following materials from the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Dynamic Materials Corporation. for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text.\*]

36

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

Tabl	le of	Contents

### **SIGNATURES**

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

#### DYNAMIC MATERIALS CORPORATION

(Registrant)

Date: August 2, 2011

/s/ Richard A. Santa Richard A. Santa, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Duly Authorized Officer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

37