

Enertopia Corp.
Form 10-K
December 06, 2013

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended **August 31, 2013**

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from [] to []

Commission file number 000-51866

ENERTOPIA CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

20-1970188

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**#950-1130 WEST PENDER STREET,
VANCOUVER, BRITISH
COLUMBIA, CANADA**

(Address of principal executive offices)

V6E 4A4

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

604-602-1675

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class
N/A

Name of Each Exchange On Which Registered
N/A

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

N/A

(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 the Securities Act.

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K

Yes []

No [X]

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act

Yes []

No [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the last 90 days.

Yes [X]

No []

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes

No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes

No

State the aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and ask price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter.

The aggregate market value of Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant on February 28, 2013 was \$1,081,884 based on a \$0.06 closing price for the Common Stock on February 28, 2013. For purposes of this computation, all executive officers and directors have been deemed to be affiliates. Such determination should not be deemed to be an admission that such executive officers and directors are, in fact, affiliates of the Registrant.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date.

44,084,415 common shares as of December 4, 2013

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item 1.	Business	4
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	13
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	17
Item 2.	Properties	17
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	29
Item 4.	(Removed and Reserved)	29
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	29
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	33
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	33
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	37
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	37
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	61
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	61
Item 9B.	Other Information	62
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	62
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	66
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	72
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	73
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	74
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	75

PART I

Item 1. Business

This annual report contains forward-looking statements. These statements relate to future events or our future financial performance. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as *may*, *should*, *expects*, *plans*, *anticipates*, *believes*, *estimates*, *predicts*, *potential* or *continue* or the negative or other comparable terminology. These statements are only predictions and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, including the risks in the section entitled *Risk Factors* that may cause our or our industry's actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Except as required by applicable law, including the securities laws of the United States, we do not intend to update any of the forward-looking statements to conform these statements to actual results.

Our financial statements are stated in United States Dollars (US\$) and are prepared in accordance with United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

In this annual report, unless otherwise specified, all dollar amounts are expressed in United States dollars and all references to *common shares* refer to the common shares in our capital stock.

As used in this annual report and unless otherwise indicated, the terms "we", "us", "our" and "Enertopia" mean Enertopia Corp.

General Overview

Enertopia Corp. was formed on November 24, 2004 under the laws of the State of Nevada and commenced operations on November 24, 2004.

From inception until April 2008, we were primarily engaged in the acquisition and exploration of natural resource properties. Beginning in April 2008, we began our entry into the clean energy sector by purchasing an interest in a solar thermal design and installation company. In late summer 2013, we began our entry into medicinal marijuana business.

The Company is diverse in its pursuit of business opportunities in several sectors, including: Medicinal Marijuana, Oil and Gas, Solar PV (Photovoltaic), Solar Thermal (Hot Water), Energy Retrofits and Recovery, and Solar powered Filtered Drinking Water.

The address of our principal executive office is Suite 950, 1130 West Pender Street, Vancouver, British Columbia V6E 4A4. Our telephone number is (604) 602-1675. In addition, we have a second office located in Kelowna, British Columbia. Our current locations provide adequate office space for our purposes at this stage of our development.

On September 28, 2012, the Company closed an offering memorandum placement of 995,000 units at a price of CAD\$0.05 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$49,750 or US\$49,750. Each Unit consisted of one common share of the Issuer and one common share purchase warrant. One warrant will be exercisable into one further common share at a price of US\$0.15 per warrant share for a period of twelve months following closing; or at a price of US\$0.20 per warrant for the period that is twelve months plus one day to twenty-four months following closing. The Company issued 79,500 shares, 79,500 warrants and 79,500 broker warrants in connection with the private placement.

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K

On October 24, 2012, the Company issued 100,000 common shares in connection with Altar Resources, Mildred Peak property for an amount of \$6,000 at a price of \$0.06.

On November 15, 2012, the Company closed an offering memorandum placement of 1,013,000 units at a price of CAD\$0.05 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$50,650 or US\$50,650. Each Unit consisted of one common share of the Issuer and one common share purchase warrant. One warrant will be exercisable into one further common share at a price of US\$0.10 per warrant share for a period of twelve months following closing; or at a price of US\$0.20 per warrant for the period that is twelve months plus one day to twenty-four months following closing. The Company issued 38,000 common shares, 101,300 units, and 101,300 broker warrants in connection with the private placement.

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K

On March 1, 2013, the Company settled the debt incurred of \$16,000 from September 1, 2011 to February 28, 2013 for consulting fees with Mr. Mark Snyder by issuing 160,000 restricted common shares of the Company at a price of \$0.10 per share.

On May 30, 2013, the Company terminated its Option Agreement with Altar Resources with respect to the Mildred Peak property.

On June 26, 2013, the Company terminated its Option Agreement with Wildhorse Copper Inc. with respect to the Copper Hills property.

Subsequent to year end, on September 17, 2013 the Company entered into an AMI Participation Agreement with Downhole Energy LLC to participate in 100% gross interest and 75% net revenue interest for drilling, completion and production of up to 100 oil wells on certain oil and gas leases covering 2,924 in the historic field located in Forest and Venango counties, Pennsylvania. On execution of this agreement the Company issued 100,000 of its common shares to Downhole Energy LLC.

Subsequent to year end, on October 4, 2013 the Company entered into a consulting agreement with Olibri Acquisitions and issued 750,000 common shares of the Company.

Subsequent to year end, the Company has entered into a Letter of Intent Agreement (“LOI”) on November 1, 2013 with 0786521 BC Ltd. (the “Vendor”) where the Company wishes to buy and the Vendor wishes to sell 51% of the issued and outstanding capital stock of the Vendor. The Vendor is the owner, operator of a Medical Marihuana operation located at 33420 Cardinal Street, Mission, British Columbia, Canada. Until such time as the Vendor and the Company enter into a Definitive Agreement, the Parties agree that all terms of this LOI are and shall serve only as an expression of interest between the Vendor and the Company. This LOI is not comprehensive and no business relationship is created between the Vendor and the Company unless and until such time as negotiations between the Parties result in the consummation of a Definitive Agreement and such Definitive Agreement is ratified by their respective authorized representatives. On the execution of the LOI, the Company issued 10,000,000 of its common shares to the Vendor.

Subsequent to year end, on November 18, 2013, the Company entered into an investor relations contract with Coal Harbour Communications Inc. The initial term of this agreement shall begin on the date of execution of this Agreement and continue for two months. Thereafter the agreement will continue on a month-by-month basis pending cancellation by written notification with 30 days notice. In consideration for the services the Company will pay the Provider a one-time payment of two hundred thousand shares (200,000) of restricted common stock in Enertopia Corporation.

Subsequent to year end, on November 26, 2013, the Company closed the first tranche of a private placement of 2,720,000 units at a price of CAD\$0.05 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$136,000 (US\$136,000). Each warrant will be exercisable into one further share at a price of US\$0.10 per warrant share for a period of thirty six month following the close.

Subsequent to year end, on December 2, 2013, the Company sold its investment in Pro Eco Energy Ltd. from its original purchase price of US\$45,000 which gave the Company 900,000 shares or 8.25% interest in the Pro Eco on April 21, 2008 to Western Standard Energy Corp. for US\$40,000. The terms of the purchase are as follows: a) \$10,000 on the Closing date which is December 02, 2013; b) \$10,000 on or before December 31, 2013; c) \$10,000 on or before January 31, 2014; d) \$10,000 on or before February 28, 2014.

Subsequent to year end, on November 29, 2013, its wholly-owned subsidiary, Target Energy, Inc was closed and dissolved.

Overview of Business over the Last Five Years

We were initially engaged in the acquisition and exploration of natural resource properties. We commenced operations in November 2004 and discontinued such operations in April 2010. As a result, the foregoing discussion describes the Company's operations while it was involved in the acquisition and exploration of natural resource properties.

On April 6, 2005 we entered into an Exploration Agreement with Options for Joint Venture with Miranda U.S.A., Inc. We had the option of acquiring an undivided 60% interest in Miranda's lease in sixty-four mineral claims situated in Eureka County, Nevada. During the fiscal year ended August 31, 2007, we abandoned our option to acquire the 60% interest in the Eureka County mineral lease claims.

Management realized that the mineral exploration business did not present the best opportunity for our company to realize value for our shareholders at that time, and therefore investigated opportunities in the business of being a natural gas and oil exploration company. Accordingly, we abandoned our previous business plan and focused on the exploration and development of natural gas and oil properties.

On April 16, 2007, we acquired a 25% (net 15%) before payout (12.5% (net 7.5%)) after payout interest in Queensdale, Saskatchewan Project (known as the Queensdale Property) from 0743608 B.C. Ltd., a company controlled by a Director/CEO of our company, for a total cost of CAD\$250,000 and 250,000 shares (post consolidation) of our common stock.

On November 30, 2007, we completed the acquisition of all the issued and outstanding common stock of Target Energy pursuant to a share exchange agreement dated October 15, 2007 among our company, as purchaser, and all of the shareholders of Target Energy, as vendors. In exchange for all of the issued and outstanding shares of Target Energy, we issued to the shareholders of Target Energy an aggregate of 6,905,000 shares (post consolidation) of our common stock. Through our acquisition of Target Energy we acquired an 8% gross interest before payout in the Queensdale, Queensdale West HZ 4A9-25/3A15-25-6-2 W2 well (known as the Queensdale West property). We also acquired a 3.75% net interest in two wells located in Wordsworth, Saskatchewan (known as the Wordsworth property), which had one well at the time of acquisition and eventually would see a second well drilled..

On April 21, 2008, we acquired a passive minority interest in Pro Eco Energy USA Ltd., a private corporation focused on the installation and integration of alternative energy – mainly solar thermal – systems in Western Canada.

On May 14, 2008, we acquired one land parcel of 160 acres in the Glen Park area of central Alberta, Canada. We subsequently entered into a 50/50 Joint Venture with Vanguard Exploration to explore and develop the joint lands on Alberta Petroleum and Natural Gas Lease No. 0408050364. The joint venture owns the Petroleum and Natural Gas rights below the base of the Mannville GRP to basement.

On June 11, 2008, we acquired two land parcels of 160 acres each in the Glen Park area of central Alberta, Canada. These 320 acres were believed to be prospective for reef development and the potential accumulation of oil deposits. We own the Petroleum and Natural Gas rights below the base of the Mannville GRP to basement as to 100%.

In November 2008, the Wordsworth property that had the second well was drilled and completed as a successful oil well in December 2008.

On December 8, 2008 Enertopia and its partner were successful in acquiring 800 acres of land in the Coteau Lake project area and our company owned a 50% gross and net interest in a total of 2,080 acres of land in this area.

On July 31, 2009, we sold all of our interests in the Queensdale, West Queensdale, and the Wordsworth properties for an aggregate amount of CAD\$453,116.

Effective September 1, 2009, we entered into an assignment agreement with Cheetah Oil & Gas Ltd. The assignment agreement dated August 28, 2009, provides for the purchase by our company of a revenue interest of 40.432% of an 8% share of Cheetah's net revenue after field operating expenses from the Belmont Lake PP F-12-4 horizontal well, located in Belmont Lake Field, Wilkinson County, Mississippi. As consideration, we agreed to pay to Cheetah 57.76% of Cheetah's costs currently budgeted at \$77,905.36, subject to revision and 57.76% of Cheetah's 8% share of PP F-12-4 well costs from time to time for infrastructure, pipes, tanks, compressors, trucking, etc. On May 31, 2010, this

assigned interest was converted into common shares and warrants of Cheetah Oil & Gas Ltd, leaving our company with no direct interest in this well. As a result, we have 375,000 restricted common shares in the capital of Cheetah and 375,000 share purchase warrants which entitled our company to acquire 375,000 restricted common shares in the capital of Cheetah at a purchase price of US\$0.20 per share for a period of two years.

Effective September 1, 2009, we entered into an assignment agreement with Lexaria Corp. The assignment agreement dated August 28, 2009, provides for the purchase by our company of a revenue interest of 13.475% of a 32% share of Lexaria's net revenue after field operating expenses from the Belmont Lake PP F-12-4 horizontal well, located in Belmont Lake Field, Wilkinson County, Mississippi. As consideration, we agreed to pay to Lexaria 19.25% of Lexaria's costs currently budgeted at \$311,621.44, subject to revision and 19.25% of Lexaria's 32% share of PP F-12-4 well costs from time to time for infrastructure, pipes, tanks, compressors, trucking, etc. On May 31, 2010, this assigned interest was converted into common shares and warrants of Lexaria Corp, leaving our company with no direct interest in this well. As a result, we have 499,893 restricted common shares in the capital of Lexaria and 499,983 share purchase warrants which entitle our company to acquire 499,983 restricted common shares in the capital of Lexaria at a purchase price of US\$0.20 per share for a period of two years.

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K

Effective September 25, 2009, we effected a one (1) for two (2) share consolidation of our authorized and issued and outstanding common stock. As a result, our authorized capital decreased from 75,000,000 shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.001 to 37,500,000 shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.001 and our issued and outstanding shares decreased from 29,305,480 shares of common stock to 14,652,740 shares of common stock. The consolidation became effective with the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board at the opening for trading on September 25, 2009 under the new stock symbol "**GLCP**". Our CUSIP number was changed to **38079Q207**.

On October 9, 2009, we appointed Bal Bhullar as our chief financial officer. Concurrent with the appointment of Ms. Bhullar, we entered into an initial six-month management agreement, thereafter month to month, with BKB Management Ltd., a consulting company controlled by Bal Bhullar.

On October 9, 2009, we entered into a month to month management agreement with Mark Snyder, whereby Mark Snyder agreed to act as the Chief Technical Officer of the Company.

On January 31, 2010, we entered into an Independent Sales and Marketing Representative Agreement with Global Solar Water Power Systems Inc., a private company beneficially owned by Mark Snyder, the Company's Chief Technical Officer.

On February 8, 2010, we changed our name from Golden Aria Corp. to Enertopia Corp. Our CUSIP number is **29277Q1047**.

On February 22, 2010, we increased our authorized share capital to 200,000,000 common shares.

On February 28, 2010, we entered into an Asset and Share Purchase Agreement with Mr. Mark Snyder to acquire up to 20% ownership interest of Global Solar Water Power Systems Inc.

Effective March 26, 2010, our stock quotation under the symbol "GLCP" was deleted from the OTC Bulletin Board. The symbol was deleted for factors beyond our company's control due to various market makers electing to shift their orders from the OTCBB to the Pink OTC Markets Inc. As a result of these market makers not providing a quote on the OTCBB for four consecutive days our company was deemed to be deficient in maintaining a listing standard at the OTCBB pursuant to Rule 15c2-11. That determination was made entirely without our company's knowledge.

On April 7, 2010, FINRA confirmed the name change from Golden Aria Corp. to Enertopia Corp., and approved our new symbol "ENRT".

On May 31, 2010, we closed a private placement financing of 557,500 units at a price of \$0.15 per unit for gross proceeds of \$83,625. Each unit consisted of one share of common stock in the capital of our company and one non-transferable share purchase warrant, each full warrant entitling the holder to purchase one additional share of common stock in the capital of our company until May 31, 2012, at a purchase price of \$0.30 per share.

On August 12, 2010, we received approval for listing on the Canadian National Stock Exchange. Trading date commenced on August 13, 2010 under the symbol "**TOP**".

During the year ended August 31, 2010, our oil and gas properties became available for sale as the result of our company shifting its focus from non-renewable energy operations to a renewable energy operation. Pursuant to Accounting Standards Codification 360 "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of long-Lived Assets", we reclassified the remaining oil and gas properties to be sold as assets held for sale and recorded at their recoverable amount on August 31, 2010. In the year ended August 31, 2011, we received a cash payment of \$100,000 from the sale.

On January 31, 2011, the Company entered into a letter of intent and paid US\$7,500 deposit to Wildhorse Copper Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary Wildhorse Copper (AZ) Inc. (collectively, the "Optionors"). On April 11, 2011, the Company signed a Mineral Purchase Option Agreement ("Option Agreement") with the Optionors respecting an option to earn a 100% interest, subject to a 1% NSR capped to a maximum of \$2,000,000 in a property known as the Copper Hills property. The Copper Hills property is comprised of 56 located mining claims covering a total of 1,150 acres located in New Mexico, USA. The Optionors hold the Copper Hills property directly and indirectly through property purchase agreements between the Optionors and third parties (collectively, the "Indirect Agreements"). Pursuant to the Option Agreement the Optionors have assigned the Indirect Agreements to the Company. In order to earn the interest in the Copper Hills property, the Company is required to make aggregate cash payments of \$591,650 over an eight year period and issue an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of its common stock over a three year period. As at August 31, 2012, the Company issued 500,000 shares at price of \$0.15 per share and 150,000 shares at price of \$0.10 per share to the Optionors and made aggregate cash payment of \$106,863 (August 31, 2011-\$72,045); the Company has expensed the exploration costs of \$143,680 (August 31, 2011-\$14,094).

On March 3, 2011, we closed a private placement of 8,729,000 units at a price of CAD\$0.10 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$872,900, US\$893,993. Each unit consisted of one common share in the capital of our company and one non-transferable share purchase warrant, each full warrant entitling the holder to purchase one additional common share in the capital of our company until March 3, 2013, subject to accelerated expiry as set out in the warrant certificate, at a purchase price of CAD\$0.20. As per the terms of the Subscription Agreement, our company granted to the Subscribers a participation right to participate in future offerings of our securities as to their pro rata shares for a period of 12 months from the closing of the Private. We paid broker commissions of \$48,930 in cash and issued 489,300 brokers warrants. Each full warrant entitled the holder to purchase one additional common share in the capital of our company until March 3, 2013, subject to accelerated expiry as set out in the warrant certificate, at a purchase price of CAD\$0.20.

On March 16, 2011, we entered into a debt settlement agreement with an officer of our company, whereby we issued 78,125 shares of common stock in connection with the settlement of \$12,500 debt at a deemed price of \$0.16 per share pursuant to a consulting agreement. We recorded \$12,422 in additional paid in capital for the gain on the settlement of the debt.

On April 27, 2011, we entered into a debt settlement agreement with the President of our Company regarding a related party in the amount of \$46,000, whereby \$25,000 was settled by issuing common shares of 100,000, and \$21,000 was forgiven for Nil consideration. In connection with the debt settlement, we recorded \$100 in share capital and \$45,900 in additional paid in capital for the gain on the settlement of the debt.

On May 31, 2011, the Company settled the amount due to related parties into two promissory notes of \$80,320 (CAD\$84,655) and \$90,000. Both promissory notes were unsecured, non-interest bearing and due on May 31, 2012 at an imputed interest rate of 12% per annum upon the settlement. On April 27, 2011, we entered into a debt settlement agreement with one of the holders, a company controlled by the Chairman/CEO of the Company, whereby the Company issued 360,000 common shares to the holder, and the holder agreed to accept the shares as full and final payment of the promissory note of \$90,000. On the same day, we entered into a debt settlement agreement with a company controlled by the Chairman/CEO of our Company, whereby the holder agreed to forgive the repayment of debt for Nil consideration. In connection with the settlements and forgiveness of the above promissory notes, the Company recorded \$79,997 and \$77,415 in additional paid in capital for the gain on settlement of debt, respectively.

On June 22, 2011, Change Lee LLP (“Chang Lee”) resigned as our independent registered public accounting firm because Chang Lee was merged with another company: MNP LLP (“MNP”). Most of the professional staff of Chang Lee continued with MNP either as employees or partners of MNP and will continue their practice with MNP. On June 22, 2011, we engaged MNP as our independent registered public accounting firm.

On July 19, 2011, the Company entered into a letter of intent and paid US\$15,000 deposit to Altar Resources. Subsequent to August 31, 2011, on October 11, 2011, the Company signed a Mineral Purchase Option Agreement with Altar Resources with respect to an option to earn 100% interest, subject to a 2.5% NSR in a property known as Mildred Peak. The mining claims are in Arizona covering approximately 6,220 acres from Altar Resources which holds the mining claims directly and indirectly through federal mining claims and state mineral exploration leases; or, represented that it would hold such claims in good standing at the time of closing a definitive agreement. The Company is required to make aggregate cash payments of \$881,000 over a five year period and issue an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of its common stock over a four year period. As at August 31, 2012, Enertopia made aggregate cash payments of \$84,980 and issued 100,000 shares at price of \$0.10 per share to Altar Resources; the Company has expensed the exploration costs of \$31,423.

On January 6, 2012, the Company entered into a share purchase agreement (the “Agreement”) with a third party (“Purchaser”). The Company has agreed to sell to Purchaser, and Purchaser has agreed to purchase from the Company, 250,000 units of Lexaria Corp. at a purchase price of US\$0.15 per unit, for a total of US\$37,500, by the effective closing date of January 6, 2012. In addition, pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, Purchaser will have an option, at

his sole discretion, to pay US\$0.25 per unit or approximately US\$62,500 to purchase the remaining 249,893 units on or before March 2, 2012. The Purchaser did not exercise the option to purchase the remaining 249,893 units.

On February 9, 2012, the Company signed a Loan Agreement with Robert McAllister, director of the Company to borrow \$50,000 (CAD\$50,000). The loan is unsecured, was due on May 9, 2012 at an interest rate of 10% per annum and is now on a month to month term.

On March 19, 2012, the Company's Board has appointed Dr. John Thomas as Director and Mr. Tony Gilman and Dr. Stefan Kruse as Advisors of the Company. The Company has granted additional 450,000 stock options to Directors and Advisors of the Company. The exercise price of the stock options is \$0.15, of which are 225,000 options vested immediately and 225,000 options vested on August 15, 2012. The options expire March 19, 2017.

On March 27, 2012, the Company granted 250,000 stock options to an Investor Relations company with an exercise price of \$0.15, of which 125,000 vested immediately and 125,000 vested on June 27, 2012, all of which expire on March 27, 2017.

On April 10, 2012, the Company granted 25,000 stock options to a consultant of the Company with an exercise price of \$0.15, which vested immediately and expire on April 10, 2017.

On April 10, 2012, Enertopia Corporation (Enertopia or the Company) held its Annual and Special Meeting of Shareholders for the following purposes:

1. To elect Robert McAllister, Donald Findlay, Greg Dawson and Chris Bunka as directors of the Company for the ensuing year.
2. To ratify MNP LLP, independent public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending August 31, 2012, and to permit directors to set the remuneration.
3. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Meeting.

All proposals were approved by the shareholders. The proposals are described in detail in the Company's definitive proxy statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 13, 2012.

On April 10, 2012, the Company issued 93,750 common shares in connection with the settlement of debt of \$9,375 at a price of \$0.10 per common share pursuant to a consulting agreement (See Note 11(h)).

On April 13, 2012, the Company closed an offering memorandum placement of 2,080,000 units at a price of CAD\$0.10 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$208,000, US\$208,000. Each Unit consisted of one common share of the Issuer and one common share purchase warrant. One warrant will be exercisable into one further common share at a price of US\$0.15 per warrant share for a period of twelve months following closing; or at a price of US\$0.20 per warrant for the period that is twelve months plus one day to twenty-four months following closing. The Company paid broker commissions of \$14,420 in cash and issued 144,200 brokers warrants in connection with the private placement.

On July 27, 2012, the Company closed the first tranche of an offering memorandum placement of 600,000 units at a price of CAD\$0.05 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$30,000 or US\$30,000. Each Warrant will be exercisable into one further share at a price of US\$0.10 per warrant share for a period of twelve months following closing; or at a price of US\$0.20 per warrant share for a period that is twelve months and one day to thirty-six months following closing. The Company's President and CEO participated in the private placement for \$10,000.00 and \$5,000.00 dollars respectively. The Company issued 60,000 brokers warrants in connection with the private placement.

On July 30, 2012, the Company entered into a share purchase agreement (the Agreement) with the President of the Company, Robert McAllister. The Company agreed to sell Mr. Robert McAllister, and Robert McAllister has agreed to purchase from the Company, 249,893 shares of Lexaria Corp. at a purchase price of US\$0.075 per share, for a total of US\$18,741. As at August 31, 2012, the difference of the purchase price of \$0.075 per share and the stock market price of \$0.11 per share, in the amount of \$8,746, has been recorded as stock based compensation.

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K

On August 24, 2012, the Company closed the second tranche of an offering memorandum placement of 160,000 units at a price of CAD\$0.05 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$8,000 or US\$8,000. Each warrant will be exercisable into one further share at a price of US\$0.10 per warrant share for a period of twelve months following closing; or at a price of US\$0.20 per warrant share for a period that is twelve months and one day to thirty-six months following closing. The Company's President participated in the private placement for \$4,000.00 dollars. The Company will issue 16,000 brokers warrants in connection with the private placement for broker commissions.

Our Current Business

The Company is diverse in its pursuit of business opportunities in several sectors, including: Medicinal Marijuana, Oil and Gas, Solar PV (Photovoltaic), Solar Thermal (Hot Water), Energy Retrofits and Recovery, and Solar powered Filtered Drinking Water. The Company no longer has any material natural resources for exploration.

Equity Investment in Pro Eco Energy, Inc.

On April 21, 2008, we announced that we had made an 8.25% equity investment into Pro Eco Energy USA Ltd., a clean tech energy company involved in designing, developing and installing solar energy solutions for commercial and residential customers. We also welcomed the President of Pro Eco Energy, Mr. Roger Huber, as the first member of our Clean Tech Advisory board. Mr. Huber has a long career in optimizing energy solutions and his knowledge and wide industry contacts are expected to help us develop our alternative energy solutions.

Pro Eco Energy USA Ltd. owns 100% of the shares of a wholly-owned subsidiary company in Canada called Pro Eco Energy Ltd. (together, Pro Eco). The Chairman of our company is a director and shareholder of Pro Eco Energy USA Ltd.

Mr. Huber has been active in the fields of clean energy design and installations for many years. Through his private consulting and construction companies, he has helped to design and construct many of the largest solar thermal projects in Western Canada. These include the Best Western Hotel in Kelowna, British Columbia; the North Vancouver Public Library; the Comfort Inn in Red Deer, Alberta, and others. Pro Eco primarily services commercial clients and is both an installation and consulting company.

Pro Eco's range of services includes:

1. Consulting. Pro Eco evaluates customer's current energy needs and helps recommend ways that can optimize savings and energy efficiency; and
2. Design. Pro Eco designs personalized, custom systems to take the greatest advantage of the customer's unique geographical setting, fuel costs and availability, and building construction; and
3. Installation. Pro Eco's experts install and support a wide variety of commercial and residential systems including solar thermal, ground-source heat pumps, and heat recovery.

Pro Eco specializes in both energy retrofits of commercial buildings, and systems for new commercial construction.

As at August 31, 2013, the had a value of \$32,197. Subsequent to year end, the Company sold its 8.25% ownership in Pro Eco Energy for \$40,000 to Western Standard Energy Corp. The Company expects to complete a sale of all of these investment within the next twelve months for amounts that exceed their individual carrying values.

Equity Investment in Global Solar Water Power Systems Inc.

Effective February 28, 2010, we entered into an asset and share purchase agreement with Mr. Mark Snyder to acquire up to 20% ownership of Global Solar Water Power Systems Inc., a private company beneficially owned by Mark Snyder, our company's Chief Technical Officer. Global Solar owns certain technology invented and developed by Mark Snyder for the design and manufacture of certain water filtration equipment. Under the terms of the agreement, we may acquire up to a 20% equitable ownership interest in Global Solar payable as follows:

- (a) for the initial 10% equity interest, by the issuance of 500,000 restricted shares of our common stock at a deemed price of US \$0.20 per share, payable within 10 days of signing the agreement;

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K

- (b) for the initial 10% equity interest, cash payments and/or deferred commissions totaling \$150,000 payable in installments of \$3,500 per month;
- (c) for the additional 10% equity interest, the issuance of 500,000 restricted shares of our common stock at any time up to December 31, 2011; and

- (d) for the additional 10% equity interest, cash payments and/or deferred commissions totaling \$250,000 paid a minimum of \$3,500 per month and beginning not later than December 31, 2011, as further described in the agreement.

Pursuant to the terms of the agreement Global Solar is required to pay our proportionate interest in any after tax profits on a quarterly basis. Our management obtained an independent valuation dated February 5, 2010 in support of the value ascribed to the proposed equity interest in Global Solar. As at August 31, 2012, we have paid \$103,500 and accrued \$42,000 in US dollars and issued 500,000 restricted shares of our common stock, following which we have acquired 9.82% equity interest in Global Solar.

Also on January 31, 2010, we entered into an Independent Sales and Marketing Representative Agreement with Global Solar. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, Global Solar agreed to appoint our company as its independent sales representative to solicit orders for those solar and/or wind turbine powered water filtration products marketed from time to time by Global Solar and/or our company on an exclusive basis in Africa and non-exclusive basis throughout the rest of the world, with the exception of Iraq. In consideration for services to be rendered by our company under the agreement, we will receive a minimum of 5% of the net invoice price from any product orders and not more than 12% of the net invoice price. Our company and Global Solar have the right to jointly determine specific sales cases individually to generate unique commissions by their joint agreement on a case by case basis. The agreement expires on January 31, 2015.

One of Global Solar's business lines is the business of developing and manufacturing a portable solar powered trailer mounted water purification units that can be delivered and operated nearly anywhere in the world and can provide a village, resort, or remote work-camps with all their drinking water and domestic water requirements. The technology was developed in 2009 by Mark Snyder. Over 300 locations in Iraq were benefiting from clean drinking water as a result of the deployment of these systems, which were delivered to Iraq during 2009, prior to our company's involvement.

In November 2012, the Company had a valuation report completed on GSWPS by RWE Growth Partners Inc. As a result, the Company's long-term investment in GSWPS has been written down to \$68,500.

On March 1, 2013, the Company settled the accrued contribution of \$42,000 by reducing the Company's interest in GSWPS to 8.14% from its current 9.82% interest and transferring this 1.68% interest back to GSWPS.

During the year ended August 31, 2013, based on the management's assessment of GSWPS's current operations, the Company decided to write down long-term investment in GSWPS to \$Nil.

Clean Tech Alliance with Snyder Electric.

On June 5, 2008, Mark Snyder, a long time clean energy expert in California, also joined our Clean Tech Advisory board. Mr. Snyder is an expert in alternative energy systems. Mr. Snyder's focus is on complete net zero home solutions homes that generate through alternative energy systems such as solar thermal, solar PV etc, as much energy as they consume.

Copper Hills Project, New Mexico

On January 31, 2011, the Company entered into a letter of intent and paid US\$7,500 deposit to Wildhorse Copper Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary Wildhorse Copper (AZ) Inc. (collectively, the Optionors). On April 11, 2011, the Company signed a Mineral Purchase Option Agreement (Option Agreement) with the Optionors respecting an option to earn a 100% interest, subject to a 1% NSR capped to a maximum of \$2,000,000 in a property known as the Copper Hills property. The Copper Hills property is comprised of 56 located mining claims covering a total of 1,150 acres located in New Mexico, USA. The Optionors hold the Copper Hills property directly and indirectly through property

purchase agreements between the Optionors and third parties (collectively, the Indirect Agreements). Pursuant to the Option Agreement the Optionors have assigned the Indirect Agreements to the Company. In order to earn the interest in the Copper Hills property, the Company is required to make aggregate cash payments of \$591,650 over an eight year period and issue an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of its common stock over a three year period. As at August 31, 2013, the Company has issued 500,000 shares at price of \$0.15 per share and 150,000 shares at price of \$0.10 per share to the Optionors and made aggregate cash payment of \$106,863 (August 31, 2012-\$106,863); the Company has expensed exploration costs of \$143,680 (August 31, 2012-\$143,680). On June 26, 2013, the Company announced the termination of its Option Agreement. To date the Company had made aggregate cash payments of \$106,863 and issued 500,000 shares at price of \$0.15 per share and 150,000 common shares at \$0.10 per share to Wildhorse Copper Inc which has been written off; and had incurred exploration costs totaling of \$157,774.

Mildred Peak Project, Arizona

On July 19, 2011, the Company entered into a letter of intent and paid US\$15,000 deposit to Altar Resources. Subsequent to August 31, 2011, on October 11, 2011, the Company signed a Mineral Purchase Option Agreement with Altar Resources with respect to an option to earn 100% interest, subject to a 2.5% NSR in a property known as Mildred Peak. The mining claims are in Arizona covering approximately 7,148 acres from Altar Resources which holds the mining claims directly and indirectly through federal mining claims and state mineral exploration leases; or, represented that it would hold such claims in good standing at the time of closing a definitive agreement. The Company is required to make aggregate cash payments of \$881,000 over a five year period and issue an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of its common stock over a four year period. As at February 28, 2013, the Company had made aggregate cash payments of \$124,980 (August 31, 2012-\$84,980) and issued 100,000 shares at price of \$0.10 per share and 100,000 common shares at \$0.06 per share to Altar Resources; along with expensed incurred exploration costs of \$13,380. On May 30, 2013, the Company terminated the Option Agreement and has written off \$140,980 of capital costs.

The continuation of our diverse business sectors is dependent upon obtaining further financing, a successful programs of development, and, finally, achieving a profitable level of operations. The issuance of additional equity securities by us could result in a significant dilution in the equity interests of our current stockholders. Obtaining commercial loans, assuming those loans would be available, will increase our liabilities and future cash commitments.

There are no assurances that we will be able to obtain further funds required for our continued operations. As noted herein, we are pursuing various financing alternatives to meet our immediate and long-term financial requirements. There can be no assurance that additional financing will be available to us when needed or, if available, that it can be obtained on commercially reasonable terms. If we are not able to obtain the additional financing on a timely basis, we will be unable to conduct our operations as planned, and we will not be able to meet our other obligations as they become due. In such event, we will be forced to scale down or perhaps even cease our operations. There is significant uncertainty as to whether we can obtain additional financing.

Competition

There is strong competition relating to all aspects of the alternative energy sector. We will actively compete for capital, skilled personnel, energy retrofit and new alternative energy projects, and in all other aspects of its operations with a substantial number of other organizations, many of which have greater technical and financial resources than our company. Some of those organizations not only design and install alternative energy systems but also manufacture alternative energy products on a worldwide basis and as such have greater and more diverse resources on which to draw. We will actively compete for alternative energy projects and opportunities in the fields of Solar PV; Solar Thermal; Wind; Biomass; Energy Optimization and related sectors, and will constantly be facing competition by both smaller and larger companies in all geographical and industrial segments of the market.

Compliance with Government Regulation

The exploration and development of oil and gas properties is subject to various United States federal, state and local and foreign governmental regulations. We may from time to time, be required to obtain licenses and permits from various governmental authorities in regards to the exploration of our property interests.

Employees

Currently our employees are Robert McAllister, our president and director and Mark Snyder, our Advisor. We primarily use the services of sub-contractors and consultants for our intended business operations.

We entered into a consulting agreement with Mr. Robert McAllister on December 1, 2007. During the term of this agreement, Mr. McAllister was to provide corporate administration and consulting services Mr. McAllister was reimbursed at the rate of \$2,000 per month. On December 1, 2008, the consulting fee was increased to \$5,000 per month. We may terminate this agreement without prior notice based on a number of conditions. Mr. McAllister may terminate the agreement at any time by giving 30 days written notice of his intention to do so.

On December 1, 2008, we entered into a consulting agreement with CAB Financial Services, a company controlled by one of the directors of our Company. A fee of \$5,000 per month is accrued. We may terminate this agreement without prior notice based on a number of conditions. CAB Financial Services Ltd. may terminate the agreement at any time by giving 30 days written notice of his intention to do so. This agreement was terminated on July 23, 2013.

On October 9, 2009, we entered into a consulting agreement with BKB Management Ltd, a company controlled by our Chief Financial Officer. A fee of CAD\$4,675 including GST is paid per month. We may terminate this agreement without prior notice based on a number of conditions. BKB Management Ltd. may terminate the agreement at any time by giving 30 days written notice of his intention to do so. Effective April 1, 2011, the fee is CAD\$5,500 plus HST.

On October 9, 2009, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with Mark Snyder as the Chief Technical Officer. A fee of \$1,000 is accrued per month. On March 1, 2013, the Company renewed his agreement to a monthly rate of \$10 per month. This agreement was terminated on June 30, 2013 and remains as an advisor to the Company.

On August 23, 2010, we entered into a consulting agreement with Tom Ihrke, Senior Vice-President of Business Development for \$3125 per month. On November 17, 2010, the Company renewed the agreement into a month to month consulting agreement with the Senior Vice-President, Business Development for \$3,125. On December 1, 2011 the company renewed his agreement to a commission based with a monthly rate of \$10 per month. This agreement was terminated on June 30, 2013.

We do not expect any material changes in the number of employees over the next 12 month period. We do and will continue to outsource contract employment as needed. However, with project advancement and if we successfully initiate any material new clean energy contracts we may retain additional employees.

Research and Development

We have incurred \$Nil in research and development expenditures over the last two fiscal years.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our business operations are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to those set forth below:

Risks Associated with Our Business

We Are A Start-Up Stage Company, There Is No Assurance Of Profitability.

Our renewable energy business operations are in the start-up stage only, and are unproven. We may not be successful in implementing our business plan to become profitable. There may be less demand for our services than we anticipate. There is no assurance that this business will succeed.

Changing Consumer Preferences Towards Environmental Issues May Have an Impact on Our Business Decisions.

The decision of a potential client to undergo an environmental audit or review may be based on ethical or commercial reasons. In some instances, or with certain businesses, there may be no assurance that an environmental review will result in any cost savings or increased revenues. As such, unless the ethical consideration is also a material factor, there may be no incentive for such businesses to undertake an environmental review. Changes in consumer and commercial preferences, or trends, toward or away from environmental issues may impact on businesses' decisions to undergo environmental reviews.

Our Operating Results Will Be Affected By A Wide Variety Of Factors That Could Materially Affect Revenues And Profitability.

Our operating results will be affected by a wide variety of factors that could materially affect revenues and profitability, including the timing and cancellation of customer orders and projects, competitive pressures on pricing, availability of personnel, and market acceptance of our services. As a result, we may experience material fluctuations in future operating results on a quarterly and annual basis which could materially affect our business, financial condition and operating results.

Fixed Price Contracts May Expose Us To Some Significant Risks Which Could Lead To Losses On Contracts.

Fixed price contracts require the service provider to perform all agreed services for a specified lump-sum amount. We anticipate a material percentage of our services will be performed on a fixed price basis. Fixed price contracts expose us to some significant risks, including under-estimation of costs, ambiguities in specifications, unforeseen costs or difficulties, and delays beyond our control. These risks could lead to losses on contracts which may be substantial and which could adversely affect the results of our operations.

The Future Growth And Profitability Of Our Clean Energy Business Sectors Will Be Dependent In Part On The Effectiveness And Efficiency Of Our Advertising And Promotional Expenditures.

The future growth and profitability of our clean energy business sectors will be dependent in part on the effectiveness and efficiency of our advertising and promotional expenditures, including our ability to (i) create greater awareness of our services, (ii) determine the appropriate creative message and media mix for future advertising expenditures, and (iii) effectively manage advertising and promotional costs in order to maintain acceptable operating margins. There can be no assurance that we will experience benefits from advertising and promotional expenditures in the future. In addition, no assurance can be given that our planned advertising and promotional expenditures will result in increased revenues, will generate levels of service and name awareness or that we will be able to manage such advertising and promotional expenditures on a cost-effective basis.

We have a limited operating history with losses and we expect the losses to continue, which raises concerns about our ability to continue as a going concern.

We have generated minimal revenues since our inception and will, in all likelihood, continue to incur operating expenses with minimal revenues until we are able to successfully develop our business. Our business plan will require us to incur further expenses. We may not be able to ever become profitable. These circumstances raise concerns about our ability to continue as a going concern. We have a limited operating history and must be considered in the start-up stage.

We will require additional financing to develop our business plan.

Because we have generated only minimal revenue from our business and cannot anticipate when we will be able to generate meaningful revenue from our business, we will need to raise additional funds to conduct and grow our business. We do not currently have sufficient financial resources to fund the development of our business plan. We anticipate that we will need to raise further financing. We do not currently have any arrangements for financing and we can provide no assurance to investors that we will be able to find such financing if required. The most likely source of future funds presently available to us is through the sale of equity capital. Any sale of share capital will result in dilution to existing security-holders. There is significant uncertainty as to whether we can obtain additional financing.

We may not be able to obtain all of the licenses necessary to operate our business.

Our operations may require licenses and permits from various governmental authorities to build and install alternative energy systems or to conduct energy retrofits. We believe that we will be able to obtain all necessary licenses and permits under applicable laws and regulations for our operations and believe we will be able to comply in all material respects with the terms of such licenses and permits. However, such licenses and permits are subject to change in various circumstances. There can be no guarantee that we will be able to obtain or maintain all necessary licenses and permits.

Changes In Environmental Regulations May Have An Impact On Our Operations

We believe that we currently comply with existing environmental laws and regulations affecting our proposed operations. While there are no currently known proposed changes in these laws or regulations, significant changes have affected the industry in the past and additional changes may occur in the future.

Our operations may be subject to environmental laws, regulations and rules promulgated from time to time by government. In addition, certain types of operations require the submission and approval of environmental impact assessments. Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner that means stricter standards and enforcement. Fines and penalties for non-compliance are more stringent. Environmental assessments of proposed projects carry a heightened degree of responsibility for companies, directors, officers and employees. The cost of compliance with changes in governmental regulations has potential to reduce the profitability of operations. We intend to comply with all environmental regulations in the United States and Canada.

If we are unable to recruit or retain qualified personnel, it could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and stock price.

Our success depends in large part on the continued services of our executive officers and third party relationships. We currently do not have key person insurance on these individuals. The loss of these people, especially without advance notice, could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and our stock price. It is also very important that we be able to attract and retain highly skilled personnel, including technical personnel, to accommodate our exploration plans and to replace personnel who leave. Competition for qualified personnel can be intense, and there are a limited number of people with the requisite knowledge and experience. Under these conditions, we could be unable to recruit, train, and retain employees. If we cannot attract and retain qualified personnel, it could have a material adverse impact on our operating results and stock price.

Risks Associated with Our Common Stock

Trading on the OTCBB may be volatile and sporadic, which could depress the market price of our common stock and make it difficult for our stockholders to resell their shares.

Our common stock is quoted on the OTCBB service of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority. Trading in stock quoted on the OTCBB is often thin and characterized by wide fluctuations in trading prices, due to many factors that may have little to do with our operations or business prospects. This volatility could depress the market price of our common stock for reasons unrelated to operating performance. Moreover, the OTCBB is not a stock exchange, and trading of securities on the OTCBB is often more sporadic than the trading of securities listed on a quotation system like Nasdaq or a stock exchange like Amex. Accordingly, shareholders may have difficulty reselling any of the shares.

Our stock is a penny stock. Trading of our stock may be restricted by the Securities and Exchange Commission's penny stock regulations which may limit a stockholder's ability to buy and sell our stock.

Our stock is a penny stock. The Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted Rule 15c-9 which generally defines "penny stock" to be any equity security that has a market price (as defined) less than \$5.00 per share or an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. Our securities are covered by the penny stock rules, which impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers who sell to persons other than established customers and "accredited investors". The term "accredited investor" refers generally to institutions with assets in excess of \$5,000,000 or individuals with a net worth in excess of \$1,000,000 or annual income exceeding \$200,000 or \$300,000 jointly with their spouse. The penny stock rules require a broker-dealer, prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from the rules, to deliver a standardized risk disclosure document in a form prepared by the Securities and Exchange Commission which provides information about penny stocks and the nature and level of risks in the penny stock market. The broker-dealer also must provide the customer with current bid and offer quotations for the penny stock, the compensation of the broker-dealer and its salesperson in the transaction and monthly account statements showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer's account. The bid and offer quotations, and the broker-dealer and salesperson compensation information, must be given to the customer orally or in writing prior to effecting the transaction and must be given to the customer in writing before or with the customer's confirmation. In addition, the penny stock rules require that prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from these rules, the broker-dealer must make a special written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written agreement to the transaction. These disclosure requirements may have the effect of reducing the level of trading activity in the secondary market for the stock that is subject to these penny stock rules. Consequently, these penny stock rules may affect the ability of broker-dealers to trade our securities. We believe that the penny stock rules discourage investor interest in and limit the marketability of our common stock.

The Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, or FINRA, has adopted sales practice requirements which may also limit a stockholder's ability to buy and sell our stock.

In addition to the "penny stock" rules described above, FINRA has adopted rules that require that in recommending an investment to a customer, a broker-dealer must have reasonable grounds for believing that the investment is suitable for that customer. Prior to recommending speculative low priced securities to their non-institutional customers, broker-dealers must make reasonable efforts to obtain information about the customer's financial status, tax status, investment objectives and other information. Under interpretations of these rules, FINRA believes that there is a high probability that speculative low priced securities will not be suitable for at least some customers. FINRA requirements make it more difficult for broker-dealers to recommend that their customers buy our common stock, which may limit your ability to buy and sell our stock and have an adverse effect on the market for our shares.

Because we do not intend to pay any dividends on our shares, investors seeking dividend income or liquidity should not purchase our shares.

We have not declared or paid any dividends on our shares since inception, and do not anticipate paying any such dividends for the foreseeable future. Investors seeking dividend income or liquidity should not invest in our shares.

Because we can issue additional shares, purchasers of our shares may incur immediate dilution and may experience further dilution.

We are authorized to issue up to 200,000,000 shares. The board of directors of our company has the authority to cause us to issue additional shares, and to determine the rights, preferences and privileges of such shares, without consent of any of our stockholders. Consequently, our stockholders may experience more dilution in their ownership of our company in the future.

Other Risks

Our By-laws contain provisions indemnifying our officers and directors against all costs, charges and expenses incurred by them.

Our By-laws contain provisions with respect to the indemnification of our officers and directors against all costs, charges and expenses, including an amount paid to settle an action or satisfy a judgment, actually and reasonably incurred by him, including an amount paid to settle an action or satisfy a judgment in a civil, criminal or administrative action or proceeding to which he is made a party by reason of his being or having been one of our directors or officers.

Investors' interests in our company will be diluted and investors may suffer dilution in their net book value per share if we issue additional shares or raise funds through the sale of equity securities.

Our constating documents authorize the issuance of 200,000,000 shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.001. In the event that we are required to issue any additional shares or enter into private placements to raise financing through the sale of equity securities, investors' interests in our company will be diluted and investors may suffer dilution in their net book value per share depending on the price at which such securities are sold. If we issue any such additional shares, such issuances also will cause a reduction in the proportionate ownership and voting power of all other shareholders. Further, any such issuance may result in a change in our control.

Our By-laws do not contain anti-takeover provisions, which could result in a change of our management and directors if there is a take-over of our company.

We do not currently have a shareholder rights plan or any anti-takeover provisions in our By-laws. Without any anti-takeover provisions, there is no deterrent for a take-over of our company, which may result in a change in our management and directors.

As a result of a majority of our directors and officers are residents of other countries other than the United States, investors may find it difficult to enforce, within the United States, any judgments obtained against our company or our directors and officers.

Other than our operations offices in Vancouver and Kelowna, British Columbia, we do not currently maintain a permanent place of business within the United States. In addition, a majority of our directors and officers are nationals and/or residents of countries other than the United States, and all or a substantial portion of such persons' assets are located outside the United States. As a result, it may be difficult for investors to enforce within the United States any judgments obtained against our company or our officers or directors, including judgments predicated upon the civil

liability provisions of the securities laws of the United States or any state thereof.

Trends, Risks and Uncertainties

We have sought to identify what we believe to be the most significant risks to our business, but we cannot predict whether, or to what extent, any of such risks may be realized nor can we guarantee that we have identified all possible risks that might arise. Investors should carefully consider all of such risk factors before making an investment decision with respect to our common shares.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

Item 2. Properties

Executive Offices

The address of our principal executive office is Suite 950, 1130 West Pender Street, Vancouver, British Columbia V6E 4A4. This space is leased at \$650 per month. Our main telephone number is (604) 602-1675. We have a second office located in Kelowna, British Columbia. Our current locations provide adequate office space for our purposes at this stage of our development.

Resource Properties

Belmont Lake

Effective September 1, 2009, we entered into an assignment agreement with Cheetah Oil & Gas Ltd. The assignment agreement dated August 28, 2009, provides for the purchase by our company of a revenue interest of 40.432% of an 8% share of Cheetah's net revenue after field operating expenses from the Belmont Lake PP F-12-4 horizontal well, located in Belmont Lake Field, Wilkinson County, Mississippi. As consideration, we agreed to pay to Cheetah 57.76% of Cheetah's costs currently budgeted at \$77,905.36, subject to revision and 57.76% of Cheetah's 8% share of PP F-12-4 well costs from time to time for infrastructure, pipes, tanks, compressors, trucking, etc. On May 31, 2010, this assigned interest was converted into common shares and warrants of Cheetah Oil & Gas Ltd, leaving our company with no direct interest in this well. As a result, we have 375,000 restricted common shares in the capital of Cheetah and 375,000 share purchase warrants which entitled our company to acquire 375,000 restricted common shares in the capital of Cheetah at a purchase price of US\$0.20 per share for a period of two years.

Effective September 1, 2009, we entered into an assignment agreement with Lexaria Corp. The assignment agreement dated August 28, 2009, provides for the purchase by our company of a revenue interest of 13.475% of a 32% share of Lexaria's net revenue after field operating expenses from the Belmont Lake PP F-12-4 horizontal well, located in Belmont Lake Field, Wilkinson County, Mississippi. As consideration, we agreed to pay to Lexaria 19.25% of Lexaria's costs currently budgeted at \$311,621.44, subject to revision and 19.25% of Lexaria's 32% share of PP F-12-4 well costs from time to time for infrastructure, pipes, tanks, compressors, trucking, etc. On May 31, 2010, this assigned interest was converted into common shares and warrants of Lexaria Corp, leaving our company with no direct interest in this well. As a result, we have 499,893 restricted common shares in the capital of Lexaria and 499,983 share purchase warrants which entitle our company to acquire 499,983 restricted common shares in the capital of Lexaria at a purchase price of US\$0.20 per share for a period of two years. On January 6, 2012, the Company entered into a share purchase agreement (the Agreement) with a third party (Purchaser). The Company has agreed to sell to Purchaser, and Purchaser has agreed to purchase from the Company, 250,000 units of Lexaria Corp. at a purchase price of US\$0.15 per unit, for a total of US\$37,500, by the effective closing date of January 6, 2012. In addition, pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, Purchaser will have an option, at his sole discretion, to pay US\$0.25 per unit or approximately US\$62,500 to purchase the remaining 249,893 units on or before March 2, 2012. The Purchaser did not exercise the option to purchase the remaining 249,893 units. On July 30, 2012, the Company entered into a share purchase agreement (the Agreement) with the President of the Company, Robert McAllister. The Company agreed to sell to Mr. Robert McAllister, and Robert McAllister has agreed to purchase from the Company, 249,893 shares of Lexaria Corp. at a purchase price of US\$0.075 per share, for a total of US\$18,741. As a result, as of August 31, 2013 we now own zero shares of Lexaria Corp.

Copper Hills Project, New Mexico

On January 31, 2011, we entered into a letter of intent and paid US\$7,500 deposit to Wildhorse Copper Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary Wildhorse Copper (AZ) Inc. (collectively, the Optionors). On April 11, 2011, we signed a Mineral Purchase Option Agreement with the Optionors with respect to option to earn a 100% interest, subject to a 1% NSR capped to a maximum of \$2,000,000 on one claim, in a property known as the Copper Hills property. The Copper Hills property is comprised of 56 located mining claims covering a total of 1,150 acres located in New Mexico, USA. The Optionors hold the Copper Hills property directly and indirectly through property purchase agreements between the Optionors and third parties (collectively, the Indirect Agreements). Pursuant to the Agreement the Optionors have assigned the Indirect Agreements to Enertopia. In order to earn the interest in the Copper Hills property, we are required to make aggregate cash payments of \$591,650 over an eight year period and issue an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of its common stock over a three year period. On April 11, 2011, we made aggregate cash payments of \$54,150, issued 500,000 shares to the Optionors. As at August 31, 2012, total consideration including cash paid and common stock issued was \$196,863; the Company has expensed the exploration costs of \$143,680. On June 26, 2013, the Company announced the termination of its Option Agreement. To date the Company had made aggregate cash payments of \$106,863 and issued 500,000 shares at price of \$0.15 per share and 150,000 common shares at \$0.10 per share to Wildhorse Copper Inc which has been written off; and had incurred exploration costs totaling of \$157,774.

The Company has entered into an Option Agreement (Agreement) dated April 11, 2011 with Wildhorse Copper Inc, Wildhorse Copper (AZ) Inc., Northern Tiger Resources Inc., and Timber Wolf Minerals Ltd. (the Optionor) respecting the assignment of up to 100% interest in approximately 1,150 acres of 56 located mining claims in New Mexico, USA. One of these located mining claims is subject to a 1% NSR capped at US \$2,000,000 from commercial production from this located mining claim. The Optionor holds the located mining claims (the Claims) directly and indirectly through an option agreement between the Optionor and a third party. The Optionor hereby grants to the Company the sole and exclusive right and option to acquire up to an undivided 100% right, title and interest in and to the Property, free and clear of all charges, encumbrances, claims, liabilities and adverse interests of any nature or kind, except for the Royalty. The Option shall be in good standing and exercisable by the Company by paying the following amounts on or before the dates specified in the following schedule:

- i. paying the Optionor \$7,500 on signing the letter of intent (paid),
- ii. paying the Optionor \$51,150 on or before the execution of the April 11, 2011 Agreement and issuing to the Optionor 500,000 common shares in the capital stock of the Company as soon as practicable following the execution of the Agreement, (paid)
- iii. issuing to the Optionor 150,000 shares in the capital stock of the Company on or before the first anniversary of the Agreement, (paid)
- iv. issuing to the Optionor 150,000 shares in the capital stock of the Company on or before the second anniversary of the Agreement, and
- v. issuing to the Optionor 200,000 shares in the capital stock of the Company on or before the third anniversary of the Agreement.

The Company shall also pay Timber Wolf the following amounts on or before the dates specified in the following schedule, with such amounts and terms as further described in the Timber Wolf Agreement:

- i. paying \$3,000 on signing of the Agreement, (paid)
- ii. paying an additional \$7,500 on or before the first anniversary of the Agreement, (paid)

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K

- iii. paying an additional \$10,000 on or before the second anniversary of the Agreement,
- iv. paying an additional \$12,500 on or before the third anniversary of the Agreement,
- v. paying an additional \$25,000 on or before the fourth anniversary of the Agreement,
- vi. paying an additional \$25,000 on or before the fifth anniversary of the Agreement,
- vii. paying an additional \$50,000 on or before the sixth anniversary of the Agreement,
- viii. paying an additional \$200,000 on or before the seventh anniversary of the Agreement,
- ix. paying an additional \$200,000 on or before the eighth anniversary of the Agreement.

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K

Copper Hills is located on BLM land and as such mineral and surface rights are held by the BLM. Enertopia has acquired an option of 76 located mining claims. These claims are valid for one year and need to be renewed annually.

There are 76 federal located unpatented mining claims.

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT MC MC DATE MC							SOCORRO COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, RECORDATION	
CLAIM NAME	SERIAL NO.	LOCATION	RECEIVED	LOCATION	INSTRUMENT NO.	MAINTENANCE YEAR		
						2012	2011	
COPPER HILLS #1	NMMC169266	9/14/2000	27/10/2000		Book 503 Page 3660			
WILDHORSE 1	NMMC190849	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100729			
WILDHORSE 2	NMMC190850	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100730			
WILDHORSE 3	NMMC190851	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100731			
WILDHORSE 4	NMMC190852	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100732			
WILDHORSE 5	NMMC190853	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100733			
WILDHORSE 6	NMMC190854	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100734			
WILDHORSE 7	NMMC190855	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100735			
WILDHORSE 8	NMMC190856	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100736			
WILDHORSE 9	NMMC190857	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100737			
WILDHORSE 10	NMMC190858	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100738			
WILDHORSE 11	NMMC190859	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100739			
WILDHORSE 12	NMMC190860	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100740			
WILDHORSE 13	NMMC190861	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100741			
WILDHORSE 14	NMMC190862	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100742			
WILDHORSE 15	NMMC190863	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100743			
TIMBERWOLF 16	NMMC190864	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100744			
TIMBERWOLF 17	NMMC190865	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100745			
TIMBERWOLF 18	NMMC190866	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100746			
TIMBERWOLF 19	NMMC190867	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100747			
TIMBERWOLF 20	NMMC190868	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100748			
WILDHORSE 21	NMMC190869	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100749			
WILDHORSE 22	NMMC190870	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100750			
WILDHORSE 23	NMMC190871	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100751			
WILDHORSE 24	NMMC190872	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100752			
TIMBERWOLF 25	NMMC190873	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100753			
TIMBERWOLF 26	NMMC190874	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100754			
TIMBERWOLF 27	NMMC190875	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100755			

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K

TIMBERWOLF						
28	NMMC190876	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011		201100756
TIMBERWOLF						
29	NMMC190877	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011		201100757
WILDHORSE						
30	NMMC190878	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011		201100758
WILDHORSE						
31	NMMC190879	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011		201100759
WILDHORSE						
32	NMMC190880	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011		201100760
WILDHORSE						
33	NMMC190881	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011		201100761
WILDHORSE						
34	NMMC190882	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011		201100762
WILDHORSE						
35	NMMC190883	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011		201100763

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K

WILDHORSE 36	NMMC190884	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100764
WILDHORSE 37	NMMC190885	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100765
WILDHORSE 38	NMMC190886	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100766
WILDHORSE 39	NMMC190887	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100767
WILDHORSE 40	NMMC190888	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100768
WILDHORSE 41	NMMC190889	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100769
WILDHORSE 42	NMMC190890	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100770
WILDHORSE 43	NMMC190891	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100771
WILDHORSE 44	NMMC190892	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100772
WILDHORSE 45	NMMC190893	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100773
WILDHORSE 46	NMMC190894	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100774
WILDHORSE 47	NMMC190895	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100775
WILDHORSE 48	NMMC190896	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100776
WILDHORSE 49	NMMC190897	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100777
WILDHORSE 50	NMMC190898	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100778
WILDHORSE 51	NMMC190899	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100779
WILDHORSE 52	NMMC190900	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100780
WILDHORSE 53	NMMC190901	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100781
WILDHORSE 54	NMMC190902	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100782
WILDHORSE 55	NMMC190903	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100783
WILDHORSE 56	NMMC191754	9/22/2011	06/12/2011	10/21/2011	201102627
WILDHORSE 57	NMMC191755	9/22/2011	06/12/2011	10/21/2011	201102628
WILDHORSE 58	NMMC191756	9/22/2011	06/12/2011	10/21/2011	201102629
WILDHORSE 59	NMMC191757	9/22/2011	06/12/2011	10/21/2011	201102630
WILDHORSE 60	NMMC191758	9/22/2011	06/12/2011	10/21/2011	201102631

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K

WILDHORSE	NMMC191759	9/22/2011	06/12/2011	10/21/2011	201102632
61					
WILDHORSE	NMMC191760	9/22/2011	06/12/2011	10/21/2011	201102633
62					
WILDHORSE	NMMC191761	9/22/2011	06/12/2011	10/21/2011	201102634
63					
WILDHORSE	NMMC191762	9/22/2011	06/12/2011	10/21/2011	201102635
64					
WILDHORSE	NMMC191763	9/22/2011	06/12/2011	10/21/2011	201102636
65					
WILDHORSE	NMMC191764	9/22/2011	06/12/2011	10/21/2011	201102637
66					
WILDHORSE	NMMC191765	9/22/2011	06/12/2011	10/21/2011	201102638
67					
WILDHORSE	NMMC191766	9/22/2011	06/12/2011	10/21/2011	201102639
68					
WILDHORSE	NMMC191767	9/22/2011	06/12/2011	10/21/2011	201102640
69					
WILDHORSE	NMMC191768	9/22/2011	06/12/2011	10/21/2011	201102641
70					
WILDHORSE	NMMC191769	9/22/2011	06/12/2011	10/21/2011	201102642
71					
WILDHORSE	NMMC191770	9/22/2011	06/12/2011	10/21/2011	201102643
72					
WILDHORSE	NMMC191771	9/22/2011	06/12/2011	10/21/2011	201102644
73					
WILDHORSE	NMMC191772	9/22/2011	06/12/2011	10/21/2011	201102645
74					
WILDHORSE	NMMC191773	9/22/2011	06/12/2011	10/21/2011	201102646
75					

Yearly maintenance fee to the BLM at \$140 per claim, due no later than August 31st each year.

Yearly recording fee State of NM approximately \$250 per year.

Copper Hills project is located approximately 8 miles west on HWY 60 from Magdalena, NM. HWY 60 actually crosses the property. Access south of the hwy is by dirt or gravel roads. Access north of the hwy is through locked ranch gate. The property is located within the physiographic province known as the Datil-Mogollon Section, locally characterized by volcanic highlands.

The geology of the project area was described by Wilkinson (1976). A northerly trending fault separates volcanic rocks to the west from younger piedmont gravels, alluvium and basalt to the east. Volcanic rocks are dominantly Oligocene Spears Formation andesitic volcanoclastics. The important Nipple Mountain tuff member is an interbedded lithic and variably welded tuff with deposition controlled by northeast and east-northeast trending, partly fault bounded paleovalleys. The overlying Hells Mesa Formation and the A-L Peak Tuff represents a change to ash flow volcanism related to the Mt. Withington caldera collapse. The caldera margin is situated 7 ½ km south of the Copper Hills prospect.

Structurally the property is situated within a north-northwest trending uplifted block bounded to the east by the Mulligan Gulch graben. Three major structural trends are present at Copper Hills. The west-northwest trending Capitan lineament is a pre-volcanic feature that was reactivated in the Oligocene. The northeast to east-northeast trending Morenci-Magdalena lineament is also a basement feature that in part controlled deposition of the Nipple Mountain tuff. The north to 335° trend reflects the monoclinial eastern edge of the uplifted block and controlled the emplacement of intrusive stocks and later Basin and Range faulting. Convergence of the three structural trends in the vicinity of the Copper Hills prospect resulted in an intense shattering of the rocks.

Mineralization at Copper Hills includes fracture controlled and disseminated copper oxides (plus silver) at the Copper Hills prospect and epithermal gold-silver veins. Wilkinson (1976) describes previous work conducted on the property. Various stakeholders held mining claims in the area almost continuously between 1950 and 2007. During the 1950's minor copper oxide production from the Copper Hills main outcrop took place and five short holes were drilled. In 1968 the Banner Mining Company reportedly drilled a deeper hole to 1,622 ft (494.5 m) and intersected pervasive propylitic alteration with abundant fresh and oxidized pyrite throughout the hole. Samples taken from the last 100 ft reportedly contained small amounts of pyrite plus chalcopyrite, sphalerite and galena. Numerous other prospecting pits and shafts are found on the property and most appear to be related to exploration and minor extraction of minerals associated with epithermal vein type systems. The Banner hole is on the eastern edge of a strong IP-chargeability anomaly defined by the IP survey completed by Wright geophysics in August, 2011.

The most recent exploration work done at Copper Hills was by Coyote Copper in the early part of 2008 which included a ground magnetic geophysical survey, followed by a reconnaissance and field verification mapping and rock chip sampling program and a soil sampling geochemical survey. Enertopia engaged Wright geophysical to manage an IP geophysical survey conducted in August, 2011. Wright also interpreted the results and provided a technical report.

In the Fall of 2011 IP/Res Survey was completed and returned sulphide targets at depth that have been recommended for drilling. The total costs incurred to date and all planned future costs are as follows: Exploration costs incurred by Enertopia to date ~ \$140,000

Future exploration plans involve a two phase drill program described below is now discontinued:

A two phase exploration program has been terminated on June 26, 2013

A two phase exploration program:

Phase 1 would commence with 3 core drill holes to an average depth of 550 meters designed to test the strong IP-chargeability anomalies defined by the geophysical survey completed in August, 2011. In addition, reverse circulation drilling will be undertaken to verify the grade and extent of the copper (+silver) mineralization as

documented by previous operators, within and peripheral to the Copper Hills Prospect. This is will require about 750 m of drilling in 10 reverse circulation holes each about 75 m in depth spaced on a 50 m x 50 m grid. The total Phase 1 program will cost of \$720,000.

Contingent upon Phase 1 providing positive results it is recommended for Phase 2 that additional drilling be undertaken to add to the grade and extent of the copper (+silver) mineralization as documented by previous operators, within and peripheral to the Copper Hills Prospect. This is will require about 1,500 m of drilling in 20 reverse circulation holes each about 75 m in depth designed to extend the a 50 m x 50 m grid.

An additional 2,500 m of core drilling is recommended to offset the two core holes into the IP anomaly. Other facets of a Phase 2 program include conducting additional geological mapping, prospecting and sampling of priority targets based on geophysical and geochemical survey interpretations. The cost of Phase 2 will be about \$1,210,000. The total for both phases is US\$1,930,000.

The property is without known reserves and the program is exploratory in nature.

Results from the ground IP survey show a strong chargeability anomaly to the east of the Tres Montosas fault. The anomaly is about 500 meters wide east-west and about 1,000 meters long north-south. Wright concludes that the chargeability anomaly may be related to pyritic alteration. The IP anomaly is coincident with the presence of oxidized pyrite and copper at the surface along with quartz veining, silicification and strongly anomalous base metal anomalies along north-trending structures. These features are permissive of a porphyry-style hydrothermal system.

The exploration program would be funded through equity financing or a joint venture. The proposed work is dependant whether on the type of financing or joint venture that the company completes.

The required permits include BLM notice level application for an area of disturbance estimated to be less than 5 acres. Location map of drill locations and road or routes for vehicle traffic. The State of New Mexico also requires a minimal impact exploration permit application to be filed at the same time as the BLM notice level application is filed.

Mildred Peak Project, Arizona

On July 19, 2011, the Company entered into a letter of intent and paid US\$15,000 deposit to Altar Resources. On October 11, 2011, the Company signed a Mineral Purchase Option Agreement with Altar Resources with respect to an option to earn 100% interest, subject to a 2.5% NSR in a property known as Mildred Peak. The mining claims are in Arizona covering approximately 7,148 acres from Altar Resources which holds the mining claims directly and indirectly through federal mining claims and state mineral exploration leases; or, represented that it would hold such claims in good standing at the time of closing a definitive agreement. The Company is required to make aggregate cash payments of \$881,000 over a five year period and issue an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of its common stock over a four year period. **On May 30, 2013, the Company has terminated the Option Agreement and has written off \$140,980 from its capital assets.**

Under the Agreement the Optionor hereby grants to the Company the sole and exclusive right and option to acquire up to an undivided 100% right, title and interest in and to the Property, free and clear of all charges, encumbrances, claims, liabilities and adverse interests of any nature or kind, except for the Royalty. The Option shall be in good standing and exercisable by the Company by paying the following amounts on or before the dates specified in the following schedule:

- i. paying the Optionor \$15,000 on signing the letter of intent (paid), and
- ii. paying the Optionor \$56,000 on the execution of this Agreement and issuing to the Optionor 100,000 common shares in the capital stock of the Optionee as soon as practicable following the execution of this Agreement (paid), and
- iii. paying the Optionor \$40,000 (paid) and issuing to the Optionor 100,000 shares in the capital stock of the Optionee on or before the first anniversary of this Agreement, and
- iv. paying the Optionor \$70,000 and issuing to the 200,000 shares in the capital stock of the Optionee on or before the second anniversary of the Agreement, and (terminated)
- v. paying the Optionor \$100,000 and issuing to the Optionor 300,000 shares in the capital stock of the Optionee on or before the third anniversary of the Agreement, and (terminated)
- vi. paying the Optionor \$200,000 and issuing to the Optionor 300,000 shares in the capital stock of the Optionee on or before the fourth anniversary of the Agreement, and (terminated)
- vii. paying the Optionor \$400,000 on or before the fifth anniversary of the Agreement, and (terminated)

Mildred Peak is located on BLM land and State lands as such mineral and surface rights are held by the BLM and Arizona State.

Enertopia had acquired an option of 57 located mining claims, which has now terminated.

There are 57 federal located unpatented mining claims.

BLM Federal Claim #	Claim Name
AMC412236	BAB 1
AMC412237	BAB 2
AMC412238	BAB 3
AMC412239	BAB 4
AMC412240	BAB 5
AMC412241	BAB 6
AMC412242	BAB 7
AMC412243	BAB 8
AMC412244	BAB 9
AMC412245	BAB 10
AMC412246	BAB 11
AMC412247	BAB 12
AMC412248	BAB 13
AMC412248	BAB 14
AMC412250	BAB 16
AMC412251	BAB 17
AMC412252	BAB 18
AMC412253	BAB 19
AMC412254	BAB 20
AMC412255	BAB 21
AMC412256	BAB 22
AMC412257	BAB 23
AMC412258	BAB 24
AMC412259	BAB 25
AMC412260	BAB 26
AMC412261	BAB 27
AMC412262	BAB 28
AMC412263	BAB 29

AMC412264	BAB 30
AMC412265	BAB 31
AMC412266	BAB 32
AMC412267	BAB 33
AMC412268	BAB 34
AMC412272	3P 1
AMC412273	3P 2
AMC412274	3P 3
AMC412275	3P 4
AMC412276	3P 5
AMC412277	3P 6
AMC412278	3P 7
AMC412279	3P 8
AMC412280	3P 9
AMC412281	3P 10
AMC412282	3P 11
AMC412283	3P 12
AMC412284	3P 13
AMC412285	3P 14
AMC412286	3P 15
AMC412287	3P 16
AMC412288	3P 18
AMC412289	3P 19
AMC412290	3P 20
AMC412291	3P 21
AMC412292	3P 22
AMC412293	3P 23
AMC412294	3P 24
AMC412295	3P 25

There are 12 State mineral exploration permits.

Pima County, Arizona
Instrument Number
08-115833
08-115847
08-115848
08-115849
08-115850
08-115851
08-115852
08-115853
08-115854
08-115855
08-115856
08-115846

These mining claims and mining permits are valid for one year and need to be renewed annually at the rate of \$140 per BLM mining claim on or before August 31st and \$500 per Arizona State exploration mining permit on or before the anniversary payment date. The State of Arizona also has a yearly per acre exploration fee of \$10 per acre in years one and two and \$20 per acre in years 3-5.

The Mildred Peak Property is located in the Baboquivari Mountains of southern Arizona, approximately 65 miles southwest of Tucson, AZ and 15 miles (23 km) north of the USA/Mexico border. The Mildred Peak Property comprises approximately 7,148 acres and consists of 12 state mineral exploration permits 6,015 acres and 57 federal mining claims 1,133 acres.

The Company also has a right of first refusal (ROFR) on an additional two state mineral exploration permits and three federal mining claims covering approximately 1,240 acres. As of May 30, 2013, option has been terminated.

The Mildred Peak area was historically the site of two gold mines; the Gold Bullion and Jupiter mines which are situated inside the ROFR lands, as well as the numerous smaller mines, including the Iowana mine. Subsequent drilling, sampling and trenching by numerous companies revealed the potential for the property to host a gold deposit(s). Previous exploration by others resulted in the discovery of up to 67.43 grams gold per tonne (g Au/t) at or near the south zone and 40.65 g Au/t at or near the north zone from surface rock samples.

Mildred Peak is situated in the Baboquivari Mountains region contains sedimentary, volcanic and intrusiverocks that were originally part of a Jurassic continental magmatic arc (Lajack, 1994). These rocks were then subjected to a Late Cretaceous and early Tertiary episode of metamorphism and thrusting (Haxel *et al.*, 1980). The Mildred Peak area, along the most of the Baboquivari Mountains make up the lower plate of the Baboquivari thrust.

The northern and southern portion of the Mildred Peak prospect is underlain by a northeast-striking, altered early Jurassic metaconglomerate unit. Immediately south of the Shaffer Canyon fault, which trends northeast, the Jurassic metaconglomerate is overlain by metasilstone and argillite, which in turn is overlain by Jurassic volcanic rocks (Somerville, 2002). All units were hydrothermally altered and intruded by prominent rhyolite dikes in the mid-Tertiary.

Previous work conducted at or near the Mildred Peak Property indicates that mineralization is dominantly hosted within a thick, shallow dipping Jurassic metaconglomerate unit throughout the property. To a lesser extent, mineralization has also been recognized in mid-Tertiary felsic bodies that intruded the metaconglomerate unit. The style of mineralization reported to date indicates that potential exists for epithermal Bonanza type vein hosted high grade precious metal deposits as well as more disseminated fine grained lower grade Carlin type precious metal deposits (Berger and Bethke, *eds.*, 1985). The presence of lower grade gold occurrences associated within quartz veins in the argillite-greenstone unit near Mildred Peak also indicate that there is also potential for shear hosted mesothermal gold deposits.

The gold mineralization at the Mildred Peak Property is found within two diverse geologic settings. First, around Jupiter Canyon-Weaver Canyon in the northern region and the Arroyo del Puente area in the southern region of the prospect area, extensive silicification and pyritization affected Early Jurassic metaconglomerate and intrusive porphyry (Lajack, 1994). Anomalous amounts of gold are found throughout the silicified area and most significantly within the metaconglomerates. These are the rocks that have produced the majority of gold in the past and have been the subject of exploration more recently. The cross-cutting (approximately E-W striking) mid-Tertiary dikes and quartz veins are associated with the highest concentrations of gold, including the Gold Bullion and Jupiter mines. Mineralization of silicified areas occurred during the mid-Tertiary and may be associated with (1) rhyolite intrusion, (2) remobilization of early Jurassic stratabound epigenetic mineralization, or (3) remobilization of early Jurassic syngenetic, stratabound mineralization (Lajack, 1994). This precious metal mineralization is most likely the results of high level hydrothermal processes.

The relatively limited amount of reconnaissance sampling/exploration demonstrates that numerous bedrock sources for gold mineralization exist within the Mildred Peak Property and verifies the findings of others. Based on the positive results to date, the character of the property is of sufficient merit to justify further exploration.

This exploration has now been terminated as of May 30, 2013.

The required permits include BLM notice level application for an area of disturbance estimated to be less than 5 acres. Location map of drill locations and road or routes for vehicle traffic. The State of Arizona also requires a minimal impact exploration permit application to be filed at the same time as the BLM notice level application is filed.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We know of no material, existing or pending legal proceedings against us, nor are we involved as a plaintiff in any material proceeding or pending litigation. There are no proceedings in which any of our directors, officers or affiliates, or any registered or beneficial shareholder, is an adverse party or has a material interest adverse to our Company.

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved).

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common shares are quoted on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board under the symbol ENRT. The following quotations, obtained from Yahoo Finance, reflect the high and low bids for our common shares based on inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not represent actual transactions.

The high and low bid prices of our common stock for the periods indicated below are as follows:

Quarter Ended⁽¹⁾	High	Low
August 2013	\$0.15	\$0.02
May 2013	\$0.18	\$0.04
February 2013	\$0.04	\$0.04
November 2012	\$0.06	\$0.05
August 2012	\$0.09	\$0.06
May 2012	\$0.28	\$0.15
February 2012	\$0.15	\$0.14
November 2011	\$0.15	\$0.15
August 2011	\$0.25	\$0.11

(1) The quotations above reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not represent actual transactions.

On November 20, 2013, the last closing price for one share of our common stock as reported by the OTC Bulletin Board was \$0.08. This closing price reflects an inter-dealer price, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission, and may not represent an actual transaction.

As of November 20, 2013, there were 146 holders of record of our common stock. As of November 20, 2013, 41,364,415 common shares were issued and outstanding.

Our common shares are issued in registered form. Olympia Trust Company, 1003-750 West Pender Street, Vancouver, BC V6C 2T8 (Telephone: 604-484-8612; Facsimile: 604-484-8638) is the transfer agent for our common shares.

Nevada Agency and Trust Company, is the agent for service in Nevada, 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 880, Reno, Nevada 89501 (Telephone: 775.322.0626; Facsimile: 775.322.5623) is the registrar agent.

Dividend Policy

We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock and have no present intention of paying any dividends on the shares of our common stock. Our current policy is to retain earnings, if any, for use in our operations and in the development of our business. Our future dividend policy will be determined from time to time by our board of directors.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

On September 28, 2012, the Company closed an offering memorandum placement of 995,000 units at a price of CAD\$0.05 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$49,750 or US\$49,750. Each Unit consisted of one common share of the Issuer and one common share purchase warrant. One warrant will be exercisable into one further common share at a price of US\$0.10 per warrant share for a period of twelve months following closing; or at a price of US\$0.20 per warrant for the period that is twelve months plus one day to twenty-four months following closing. The Company issued 79,500 shares, 79,500 warrants and 79,500 broker warrants in connection with the private placement.

On October 24, 2012, the Company issued 100,000 common shares in connection with Altar Resources, Mildred Peak property (See Note 6) for an amount of \$6,000 at a price of \$0.06.

On November 15, 2012, the Company closed an offering memorandum placement of 1,013,000 units at a price of CAD\$0.05 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$50,650 or US\$50,650. Each Unit consisted of one common share of the Issuer and one common share purchase warrant. One warrant will be exercisable into one further common share at a price of US\$0.10 per warrant share for a period of twelve months following closing; or at a price of US\$0.20 per warrant for the period that is twelve months plus one day to twenty-four months following closing. The Company issued 38,000 common shares, 101,300 units, and 101,300 broker warrants in connection with the private placement.

On March 1, 2013, the Company settled the debt incurred of \$16,000 from September 1, 2011 to February 28, 2013 for consulting fees with Mr. Mark Snyder by issuing 160,000 restricted common shares of the Company at a price of \$0.10 per share.

On September 17, 2013 the Company entered into an AMI Participation Agreement with Downhole Energy LLC to participate in 100% gross interest and 75% net revenue interest for drilling, completion and production of up to 100 oil wells on certain oil and gas leases covering 2,924 in the historic field located in Forest and Venango counties, Pennsylvania. On execution of this agreement the company issued 100,000 of its common shares to Downhole Energy LLC.

On October 4, 2013 the Company entered into a consulting agreement with Olibri Acquisitions and issued 750,000 common shares of the Company.

The Company has entered into a Letter of Intent Agreement (LOI) on November 1, 2013 with 0984329 BC Ltd. (the Vendor) where the Company wishes to buy and the Vendor wishes to sell 51% of the issued and outstanding capital stock of the Vendor. The Vendor is the owner, operator of a Medical Marihuana operation located at 33420 Cardinal Street, Mission, British Columbia, Canada. Until such time as the Vendor and the Company enter into a Definitive Agreement, the Parties agree that all terms of this LOI are and shall serve only as an expression of interest between the Vendor and the Company. This LOI is not comprehensive and no business relationship is created between the Vendor and the Company unless and until such time as negotiations between the Parties result in the consummation of a Definitive Agreement and such Definitive Agreement is ratified by their respective authorized representatives. On the execution of the LOI, the Company issued 10,000,000 of its common shares to the Vendor.

On November 18, 2013, the Company entered into an investor relations contract with Coal Harbour Communications Inc. The initial term of this agreement shall begin on the date of execution of this Agreement and continue for **two months**. Thereafter the agreement will continue on a month-by-month basis pending cancelation by written notification with 30 days notice. In consideration for the services the Company will pay the Provider a one-time payment of two hundred thousand shares (200,000) of restricted common stock in Enertopia Corporation.

On November 26, the Company closed the first tranche of a private placement of 2,720,000 units at a price of CAD\$0.05 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$136,000 (US\$136,000). Each warrant will be exercisable into one further share at a price of US\$0.10 per warrant share for a period of thirty six month following the close.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

We have no long-term incentive plans other than the stock option plan described below:

2007 Equity Compensation Plan

On April 25, 2007, our shareholders approved and adopted the 2007 equity incentive plan. The purpose of the Plan is to secure for our company and our shareholders the benefits of incentive inherent in share ownership by the directors and employees of our company and our Affiliates who, in the judgment of our board, will be largely responsible for our company's future growth and success. It is generally recognized that equity incentive plans of the nature provided for herein aid in retaining and encouraging directors and employees of exceptional ability because of the opportunity offered them to acquire a proprietary interest in our company.

The maximum number of Options available under the Plan, are for the issuance of up to 1,000,000 shares of common stock of our company.

On December 14, 2007, we granted 892,500 post share consolidation stock options to directors, officers, and consultants of our company exercisable at a price of \$0.70 per share for a period of 5 years. On October 22, 2009, we

modified the exercise price of these stock options to \$0.20 per share. The vesting dates of the options are as below:

Vesting Dates	Percentage of options granted
December 14, 2007	25%
December 14, 2008	25%
December 14, 2009	25%
December 14, 2010	25%

On October 22, 2009, we granted an additional 500,000 stock options to our directors and consultants. The exercise price of the stock options is \$0.10 per share, which are vested immediately and expire October 22, 2014. This plan was rolled into the 2011 Stock Option Plan as approved by our shareholders on April 14, 2011.

2010 Equity Compensation Plan

On February 5, 2010, our shareholders approved and adopted the 2010 equity incentive plan. The purpose of the 2010 Plan is to enhance the long-term stockholder value of our company by offering opportunities to our directors, officers, employees and eligible consultants to acquire and maintain stock ownership in our company in order to give these persons the opportunity to participate in our growth and success, and to encourage them to remain in our service.

Options that are eligible for grant under the 2010 Plan to Participants include: (a) incentive stock options, whereby we will grant options to purchase shares of our common stock to Participants with the intention that the options qualify as "incentive stock options" as that term is defined in Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code; (b) non-incentive stock options, whereby we will grant options to purchase shares of our common stock to Participants that do not qualify as "incentive stock options" under the Internal Revenue Code; (c) stock appreciation rights; and (d) restricted shares. The 2010 Plan provides that a maximum of Two Million (2,000,000) shares of common stock are available for granting of awards under the 2010 Plan.

This plan was rolled into the 2011 Stock Option Plan as approved by our shareholders on April 14, 2011.

2011 Stock Option Plan

On April 14, 2011, our shareholders approved and adopted at the Annual General Meeting to roll our 2007 Equity compensation plan and our 2010 Equity Compensation Plan into a new 2011 Stock Option Plan. The purpose of this Plan is to advance the interests of our company, through the grant of Options, by providing an incentive mechanism to foster the interest of eligible persons in the success of our company and our affiliates; encouraging eligible persons to remain with our company or our affiliates; and attracting new directors, officers, employees and consultants.

This Plan shall be administered by our board. Subject to the provisions of this Plan, our board shall have the authority: to determine the Eligible Persons to whom Options are granted, to grant such Options, and to determine any terms and conditions, limitations and restrictions in respect of any particular Option grant, including but not limited to the nature and duration of the restrictions, if any, to be imposed upon the acquisition, sale or other disposition of shares of common stock acquired upon exercise of the Option, and the nature of the events and the duration of the period, if any, in which any Participant's rights in respect of an Option or shares of common stock acquired upon exercise of an Option may be forfeited; to interpret the terms of this Plan, to make all such determinations and take all such other actions in connection with the implementation, operation and administration of this Plan, and to adopt, amend and rescind such administrative guidelines and other rules and regulations relating to this Plan, as it shall from time to time deem advisable, including without limitation for the purpose of ensuring compliance with Section legislation hereof. Our board's interpretations, determinations, guidelines, rules and regulations shall be conclusive and binding upon our company, Eligible Persons, Participants and all other persons.

The aggregate number of Common Shares that may be reserved, allotted and issued pursuant to Options shall not exceed 4,720,348 shares of common stock, less the aggregate number of shares of common stock then reserved for issuance pursuant to any other share compensation arrangement. For greater certainty, if an Option is surrendered, terminated or expires without being exercised, the Common Shares reserved for issuance pursuant to such Option shall be available for new Options granted under this Plan.

The Board may amend, subject to the approval of any regulatory authority whose approval is required, suspend or terminate this Plan or any portion thereof. No such amendment, suspension or termination shall alter or impair any outstanding unexercised Options or any rights without the consent of such Participant. If this Plan is suspended or terminated, the provisions of this Plan and any administrative guidelines, rules and regulations relating to this Plan shall continue in effect for the duration of such time as any Option remains outstanding.

As at the date of the annual report, there was nil stock options exercised.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon	Weighted-average	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance
	exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	Nil	Nil	Nil
2011 Stock Option Plan approved by security holders	2,455,000	\$ 0.15	2,265,348
Total	2,455,000	\$ 0.15	2,265,348

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

We did not purchase any of our shares of common stock or other securities during our fiscal year ended August 31, 2013.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes that appear elsewhere in this annual report. The following discussion contains forward-looking statements that reflect our plans, estimates and beliefs. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in the forward looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to; those discussed below and elsewhere in this annual report, particularly in the section entitled "Risk Factors" beginning on page 10 of this annual report.

Our audited consolidated financial statements are stated in United States Dollars and are prepared in accordance with United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

Results of Operations for our Years Ended August 31, 2013 and 2012

Our net loss and comprehensive loss for our year ended August 31, 2013, for our year ended August 31, 2012 and the changes between those periods for the respective items are summarized as follows:

**Change
Between
Year
Ended**

	Year Ended	Year Ended	August 31, 2013 and Year Ended August 31, 2012
	August 31, 2013	August 31, 2012	
	\$	\$	\$
Revenue	\$ Nil	\$ Nil	\$ Nil
Other (income) expenses	388,585	226,857	161,728
General and administrative	342,319	782,568	(440,249)
Interest expense	6,874	5,120	1,754

	Year Ended	Year Ended	Change Between Year Ended August 31, 2013 and Year Ended August 31, 2012
	August 31,	August 31,	
	2013	2012	
	\$	\$	\$
Exploration Costs	13,380	175,103	(161,723)
Impairment of long-term investment	55,931	197,910	(141,979)
Consulting fees	189,089	299,519	(110,430)
Professional Fees	60,327	63,572	(3,245)
Net Income (loss)	(730,904)	(1,009,735)	(278,831)

Other Income

The increase in other income for our year ended August 31, 2013, was from the write down of GSWPS. Additionally, the contracts with Copper Hills and Mildred Peak properties were terminated, therefore all capital costs were written off. In comparison to year ended August 31, 2012, where the loss consisted of impairment of GSWPS investment, the loss on marketable securities held for sale and revaluation of warrants liability.

General and Administrative

The decrease in our general and administrative expenses for our year ended August 31, 2013 was due to decreased consulting fee which was largely due to the Company not incurring any stock based compensation, decreased professional fees, decreased mineral exploration costs, decreased investor relation costs, decreased training costs, decreased filing fees, and decreased travel costs.

Professional Fees

There was a decrease in legal fees for our year ended August 31, 2013 compared to the prior year.

Interest Expense

There was a slight increase in interest expense for our year ended August 31, 2013 compared to prior year.

Exploration Costs

There was a significant decrease in exploration costs for our year ended August 31, 2013 compared to prior year due to the Company being unable to further develop both Copper Hills and Mildred Peak from difficult economic conditions.

Impairment of Long-Term Investment

For the year ended August 31, 2013, the Company wrote down GSWPS. In comparison to year ended August 31, 2012, where the interest in GSWPS was written down by \$55,931.

Consulting Fees

There is a decrease in consulting fees for the year ended August 31, 2013 compared to August 31, 2012 by \$110,430 due to the stock based compensation recorded in 2012 that was \$66,951 compared to \$nil in 2013 and the termination of two three executive contracts.

Liquidity and Financial Condition

<i>Working Capital</i>	At August 31, 2013	At August 31, 2012
Current assets	\$ 54,469	\$ 88,647
Current liabilities	525,918	364,623
Working capital (deficit)	\$ (471,449)	\$ (275,976)

<i>Cash Flows</i>	Year Ended	
	August 31, 2013	August 31 2012
Cash flows (used in) operating activities	\$ (72,751)	(491,904)
Cash flows (used in) investing activities	(40,000)	(48,557)
Cash flows from financing activities	100,400	291,1001
Net increase (decrease) in cash during year	\$ (12,351)	(249,460)

Operating Activities

Net cash used in operating activities was \$72,751 for our year ended August 31, 2013 compared with cash used in operating activities of \$491,904 in 2012. The decrease in net cash used in operating activities is due to our Company increase in accounts payable and write down of oil and gas properties assets compared to August 31, 2012.

Investing Activities

Net cash provided in investing activities was \$40,000 for our year ended August 31, 2013 compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$48,557 in the same period in 2012. The decrease in funds used was for investing activities was for the reduction of the interest investment in GSWPS compared to the year prior where funds were used for the option agreements with Wildhorse Copper Inc. and Altar Resources.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$100,400 for our year ended August 31, 2013 compared to \$291,001 in the same period in 2012. This decrease is primarily attributed to a decrease in the amounts of capital raised via private placements.

Contractual Obligations

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide tabular disclosure obligations.

Going Concern

Our financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States applicable to a going concern, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities and commitments in the normal course of business. We have a net loss of \$730,904 for the year ended August 31, 2013 [2012 net loss of \$1,009,735] and at August 31, 2013 had a deficit accumulated during the exploration stage of \$6,124,658 [2012 \$5,393,754]. We generated revenue of \$nil for the year ended August 31, 2013 [2012 - \$nil]. We have working capital deficit of \$471,449 as at August 31, 2013 [2012 - \$275,976]. We require additional funds to maintain our existing operations and to acquire new business assets. These conditions raise substantial doubt about our Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in this regard are to raise equity and debt financing as required, but there is no certainty that such financing will be available or that it will

be available at acceptable terms. The outcome of these matters cannot be predicted at this time and the financing environment is exceptionally difficult.

These financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classification of liabilities that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

At this time, we cannot provide investors with any assurance that we will be able to raise sufficient funding from the sale of our common stock or through a loan from our directors to meet our obligations over the next twelve months. We do not have any arrangements in place for any future debt or equity financing.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no significant off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that are material to stockholders.

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Preparing financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, and expenses. These estimates and assumptions are affected by management's application of accounting policies. We believe that understanding the basis and nature of the estimates and assumptions involved with the following aspects of our financial statements is critical to an understanding of our financial statements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December, 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11, "Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities", in an effort to improve comparability between US GAAP and IFRS financial statements with regard to the presentation of offsetting assets and liabilities on the statement of financial position arising from financial and derivative instruments, and repurchase agreements. The ASU establishes additional disclosures presenting the gross amounts of recognized assets and liabilities, offsetting amounts, and the net balance reflected in the statement of financial position. Descriptive information regarding the nature and rights of the offset must also be disclosed. This guidance is effective as of the beginning of a fiscal year that begins after January 1, 2013. The adoption of the new guidance is not expected to have an impact on the Company's financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220); Reporting of Amounts Reclassified out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income." This updated guidance improves the reporting of significant items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income and requires an entity to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, separately for each component of comprehensive income, the current period reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income affected by the reclassification. The updated guidance is effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of the new guidance is not expected to have an impact on the Company's financial statements.

In March 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2013-05, "Foreign Currency Matters (Topic 830); Parent's Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity." This guidance applies to the release of the cumulative translation adjustment into net income when a parent either sells a part or all of its investment in a foreign entity or no longer holds a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary or group of assets that is a business (other than a sale of in substance real estate or conveyance of oil and gas mineral rights) within a foreign entity. ASU No. 2013-05 is effective prospectively for fiscal years (and interim reporting periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2013. We will adopt this guidance beginning with our fiscal quarter starting from March 1, 2014. We are currently reviewing the provisions of ASU No. 2013-05 on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-11, Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists. This new guidance provides specific financial statement presentation requirements of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. The guidance states that an unrecognized tax benefit in those circumstances should be presented as a reduction to the deferred tax asset. This guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not believe that the adoption of this guidance will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

Other accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by the FASB or other standards-setting bodies that do not require adoption until a future date are not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements upon adoption.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Enertopia Corp.

(A development stage company)

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of Enertopia Corp. (the Company) (a development stage company, formerly Golden Aria Corp.) as at August 31, 2013 and 2012 and the related consolidated statements of stockholders equity, operations and cash flow for the years then ended and the period from November 24, 2004 (inception) to August 31, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We did not audit the Company's financial statements as of and for the year ended August 31, 2010, and the cumulative data from November 24, 2004 (inception) to August 31, 2010 in the statements of stockholders' equity, operations and cash flows, which were audited by other auditors whose report, dated November 25, 2010 which expressed an unqualified opinion, has been furnished to us. Our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for cumulative data from November 24, 2004 (inception) to August 31, 2010, is based solely on the report of the other auditors.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform an audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as at August 31, 2013 and 2012 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended and the period from November 24, 2004 (inception) to August 31, 2013 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements referred to above have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company incurred losses from operations since inception, has not attained profitable operations and is dependent upon obtaining adequate financing to fulfill its operating activities. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Vancouver, Canada
December 4, 2013

/s/ MNP LLP
Chartered Accountants

Enertopia Corp.
(A Development Stage Company)
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Expressed in U.S. Dollars)

	August 31 2013	August 31 2012
ASSETS		
Current		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,341	\$ 13,692
Owned securities (Note 4)	3,750	7,500
Accounts receivable	10,268	56,724
Prepaid expenses and deposit	6,913	10,731
Assets held for sale (Note 5)	32,197	-
Total current assets	54,469	88,647
Non-Current		
Long term investments - GSWPS (Note 6)	-	100,697
Mineral Property (Note 7)	-	291,843
Total Assets	\$ 54,469	\$ 481,187
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
LIABILITIES		
Current		
Accounts payable	\$ 354,928	\$ 126,770
Short Term Loan- related party (Note 8)	47,380	50,045
Due to related parties (Note 9)	123,610	187,808
Total Current Liabilities	525,918	364,623
Warrants Liability (Note 11)	-	9,789
	525,918	374,412
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Share capital		
Authorized:		
200,000,000 common shares with a par value of \$0.001 per share		
Issued and outstanding:		
30,314,415 common shares at August 31, 2013 and August 31, 2012:	27,827,615	27,828
Additional paid-in capital	5,622,895	5,472,701
Deficit accumulated during the exploration stage	(6,124,658)	(5,393,754)
Total Stockholders' Equity	(471,449)	106,775
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 54,469	\$ 481,187

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

Enertopia Corp.
(A Development Stage Company)
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Expressed in U.S. Dollars)

	Year Ended		CUMULATIVE PERIOD FROM INCEPTION NOVEMBER 24, 2004 TO August 31 2013
	August 31 2013	August 31 2012	
Revenue			
Non-renewal energy - natural gas and oil revenue	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 374,342
Renewable energy - service revenue	-	-	32,119
	-	-	406,461
Cost of revenue			
Non-renewable energy:			
Natural gas and oil operating costs and royalties	-	-	141,197
Depletion	-	-	298,489
Write-down in carrying value of oil and gas property	-	-	293,436
Renewable energy	-	310	48,050
	-	310	781,172
Gross Profit	-	(310)	(374,711)
Expenses			
Accounting and audit	57,829	44,488	387,162
Sales & Marketing	-	-	846
Advertising & Promotions	5,437	12,052	80,494
Bank charges and interest expense	6,874	5,120	66,234
Consulting	189,089	299,519	1,782,859
Mineral exploration costs	13,380	175,103	520,869
Fees and dues	25,831	31,594	151,627
Insurance	20,307	21,543	80,422
Investor relations	-	87,759	123,814
Legal and professional	2,498	19,084	223,487
Office and miscellaneous	(5,551)	17,880	58,984
Rent	12,782	16,047	95,922
Telephone	2,784	5,460	20,364
Training & Conferences	-	5,238	15,486
Travel	11,059	41,681	118,402
Total expenses	342,319	782,568	3,726,972

(Loss) for the period before other items	(342,319)	(782,878)	(4,101,683)
Other income (expense)			
Interest income	-	-	9,433
Impairment of long term investments (Note 6)	(55,931)	(197,910)	(253,841)
Others	-	(2,958)	22,775
Equity interest pick up	(850)	(4,824)	(17,744)
Gain on owned securities	(3,750)	(312,168)	(283,082)
Gain on disposition of oil and gas interests	-	-	522,976
Revaluation of warrants liability	9,789	291,003	896,019
Write down of oil and gas properties (Note 7)	(337,843)	-	(2,919,511)
Net loss and comprehensive loss for the period	\$ (730,904)	\$ (1,009,735)	\$ (6,124,658)
Basic and diluted income (loss) per share	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.04)	
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding - basic and diluted	29,897,481	25,688,882	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

ENERTOPIA CORP.
(A Development Stage Company)
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
NOVEMBER 24, 2004 (inception) TO August 31, 2013
(Expressed in U.S. Dollars)

	COMMON STOCK		ADDITIONAL	STOCK	DEFICIT	TOTAL
	SHARES	AMOUNT	PAID-IN	TO BE	ACCUMULATED	STOCKHOLDERS'
			CAPITAL	ISSUED	DURING	EQUITY
					EXPLORATION	
					STAGE	
Balance November 24, 2004 (Inception)	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Issuance of common stock for cash at \$0.02 per share on March 22, 2005	5,467,500	5,468	103,882	-	-	109,350
Issuance of common stock for cash at \$0.30 per share on April 6, 2005	1,112,500	1,112	332,638	-	-	333,750
Stock to be issued	125,000	-	37,375	125	-	37,500
Comprehensive income (loss): (Loss) for the period	-	-	-	-	(167,683)	(167,683)
Balance, August 31, 2005	6,705,000	6,580	473,895	125	(167,683)	312,917
Stock issued on September 29, 2005	-	125	-	(125)	-	-
Comprehensive income (loss): (Loss) for the year	-	-	-	-	(200,091)	(200,091)
Balance, August 31, 2006	6,705,000	6,705	473,895	-	(367,774)	112,826
Units issued for cash at \$0.50 per unit to related parties on March 6, 2007 (included stock based compensation of \$116,959)	92,740	93	163,236	-	-	163,329
Stock issued for property on April	250,000	250	274,750	-	-	275,000

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K

18, 2007

Units issued for cash at \$0.50 per unit on April 19, 2007	100,000	100	49,900	-	-	50,000
Units issued for cash at \$0.50 per unit on August 31, 2007	600,000	600	299,400	-	-	300,000
Imputed interest from non-interest bearing loan	-	-	3,405	-	-	3,405
Comprehensive income (loss):						
(Loss)						
for the year	-	-	-	-	(607,397)	(607,397)

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K

Balance, August 31, 2007	7,747,740	\$	7,748	\$	1,264,586	\$	-	\$	(975,171)	\$	297,163
Units issued for acquisition at \$0.42 per unit on November 30, 2007	6,905,000		6,905		2,893,195		-		-		2,900,100
Imputed interest from non-interest bearing loan	-		-		7,139		-		-		7,139
Stock-based compensation on 1,785,000 options granted	-		-		104,257		-		-		104,257
Comprehensive income (loss):											
(Loss) for the year	-		-		-		-		(372,535)		(372,535)
Balance, August 31, 2008	14,652,740	\$	14,653	\$	4,269,177	\$	-	\$	(1,347,706)	\$	2,936,124
Imputed interest for non-interest bearing loan	-		-		4,410		-		-		4,410
Stock-based compensation	-		-		35,780		-		-		35,780
Comprehensive income (loss):											
(Loss) for the year	-		-		-		-		84,233		84,233
Balance, August 31, 2009	14,652,740	\$	14,653	\$	4,309,367	\$	-	\$	(1,263,473)	\$	3,060,547
Imputed interest for non-interest bearing loan					2,442						2,442
Stock-based compensation					78,858						78,858
Stock issued for acquisition at \$0.20 per share on February 28, 2010	500,000		500		124,500						125,000
Units issued for cash at \$0.15 per unit on May 31, 2010	557,500		557		83,068						83,625
Gain on settlement of the amount due to related parties					34,542						34,542
Comprehensive income (loss):											
(Loss) for the year	-		-		-		-		(2,955,141)		(2,955,141)
Balance, August 31, 2010	15,710,240		15,710		4,632,777		-		(4,218,614)		429,873
Debt settlement on November 22, 2010	62,500		63		9,313						9,376
Debt settlement on November 19, 2010	100,000		100		14,900						15,000
Stock-based compensation					254,443						254,443
Share Subscriptions on March 3, 2011	8,729,000		8,729		885,264		-				893,993
Share Issuance costs					(96,490)						(96,490)

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K

Warrants issued on March 3, 2011			(848,459)			(848,459)
Common Shares cancelled on January 1, 2011	(1,000,000)	(1,000)	1,000			-
Debt settlement on March 16, 2011	78,125	78	12,422			12,500
Debt settlement on April 27, 2011	360,000	360	157,412			157,772
Debt settlement on April 27, 2011	100,000	100	45,900			46,000
Shares issued Wildhorse on April 11, 2011	500,000	500	74,500			75,000
Share issuance correction on Jun 4, 2011	4,000	4	(4)			-
Comprehensive income (loss):						
(Loss) for the year					(165,405)	(165,405)
Balance, August 31, 2011	24,643,865	\$ 24,644	\$ 5,142,978	\$ -	\$ (4,384,019)	\$ 783,603
Stock-based compensation			66,953			66,953
Shares issued Altar on October 11, 2011	100,000	100	9,900			10,000
Shares issued Wildhorse on March 30, 2012	150,000	150	14,850			15,000
Shares issued Tom Ihrke on April 10, 2012	93,750	94	9,281			9,375
Shares subscription for cash on April 13, 2012	2,080,000	2,080	191,499			193,579
Shares subscription for cash on July 27, 2012	600,000	600	29,400			30,000
Shares subscription for cash on August 24, 2012	160,000	160	7,840			8,000
Comprehensive income (loss):						
(Loss) for the year					(1,009,735)	(1,009,735)
Balance, August 31, 2012	27,827,615	\$ 27,828	\$ 5,472,701	\$ -	\$ (5,393,754)	\$ 106,775
Shares issued for cash September 28, 2012	1,074,500	1,074	48,676			49,750
Shares issued Altar on November 24, 2012	100,000	100	5,900			6,000
Shares issued for cash November 15, 2012	1,152,300	1,152	49,498			50,650
Shares issued to Mark Snyder	160,000	160	15,840			16,000
GSWPS interest			30,280			30,280
Comprehensive income (loss):						
(Loss) for the year					(730,904)	(730,904)
Balance, August 31, 2013	30,314,415	30,314	5,622,895	-	(6,124,658)	(471,449)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

ENERTOPIA CORP.
(A Development Stage Company)
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Expressed in U.S. Dollars)

	Year Ended		CUMULATIVE PERIOD FROM INCEPTION November 24, 2004 TO August 31, 2013
	August 31, 2013	August 31, 2012	
Cash flows used in operating activities			
Net Income (loss)	\$ (730,904)	\$ (1,009,735)	\$ (6,124,658)
Changes to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities			
Consulting - Stock based compensation	-	66,953	657,250
Depletion	-	-	298,489
Write down in carrying value of oil and gas properties	-	-	293,436
Stock issued for mineral resource and oil and gas property	-	-	37,500
Write down of oil and gas properties	337,843	-	2,919,511
Gain on disposition of oil and gas properties	-	-	(522,976)
Fair value of warrants liabilities	(9,789)	(291,003)	(896,019)
Gain on owned securities	3,750	312,168	283,082
Equity pick-up	850	4,824	17,744
Impairment on long term investments	55,931	197,910	253,841
Imputed interest	-	-	17,396
Accrued loan interest	-	-	17,928
Other non-cash activities	-	2,958	30,153
Change in non-cash working capital items:			
Accounts receivable	46,456	(22,439)	(2,519)
Prepaid expenses and deposit	3,818	33,534	17,371
Deferred charges	-	29,038	-
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	228,158	111,888	336,689
Due to related parties	(8,864)	72,000	154,273
Net cash (used in) operating activities	(72,751)	(491,904)	(2,211,509)
Cash flows from (used in) investing activities			
Proceeds from sale of marketable securities	-	56,241	56,241
Oil and gas properties acquisition and divestment	-	-	(345,180)
Proceeds from sale of oil and gas interests	-	-	521,545

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K

Mineral resource properties acquisition	(40,000)	(104,798)	(231,843)
Investment in GSWPS	-	-	(103,500)
Investment in Pro Eco	-	-	(45,000)
Cash provided in connection with business acquisition	-	-	201,028
Net cash from (used in) investing activities	(40,000)	(48,557)	53,291
Cash flows from financing activities			
Promissory notes - related party	-	50,045	50,045
Net proceeds from subscriptions received	100,400	240,956	2,109,514
Net cash from financing activities	100,400	291,001	2,159,559
Increase (Decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(12,351)	(249,460)	1,341
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	13,692	263,152	-
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 1,341	\$ 13,692	\$ 1,341
Supplemental information of cash flows			
Interest paid in cash	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Income taxes paid in cash	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

ENERTOPIA CORP.
(A Development Stage Company)
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
August 31, 2013
(Expressed in U.S. Dollars)

1. ORGANIZATION

The Company was formed on November 24, 2004 under the laws of the State of Nevada and commenced operations on November 24, 2004. The Company was an independent natural gas and oil company engaged in the exploration, development and acquisition of natural gas and oil properties in the United States and Canada. In the fiscal year 2008, the Company shifted its strategic plan from its non-renewal energy operations to its planned renewal energy operations and natural resource acquisition and development and considered as a development stage company. Subsequent to year ended August 31, 2013, the Company began its entry into the medicinal marijuana business. The Company is diverse in its pursuit of business opportunities in several sectors. The Company has offices in Vancouver and Kelowna, B.C., Canada.

2. GOING CONCERN UNCERTAINTY

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities and commitments in the normal course of business for the foreseeable future. The Company had a working capital deficit of \$471,449 for the year ended August 31, 2013 [deficit of \$275,976 for year ended August 31, 2012]. The Company incurred a net loss of \$730,904 for the year ended August 31, 2013 [net loss \$1,009,735 for the year ended August 31, 2012] and as at August 31, 2013 has incurred cumulative losses of \$6,124,658 that raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management has been able, thus far, to finance the operations through equity financing and cash on hand. There is no assurance that the Company will be able to continue to finance the Company on this basis.

In view of these conditions, the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern is in substantial doubt and dependent upon its ability to generate sufficient cash flow to meet its obligations on a timely basis, to obtain additional financing as may be required, to receive the continued support of the Company's shareholders, and ultimately to obtain successful operations. There are no assurances that we will be able to obtain further funds required for our continued operations. As noted herein, we are pursuing various financing alternatives to meet our immediate and long-term financial requirements. There can be no assurance that additional financing will be available to us when needed or, if available, that it can be obtained on commercially reasonable terms. If we are not able to obtain the additional financing on a timely basis, we will be unable to conduct our operations as planned, and we will not be able to meet our other obligations as they become due. In such event, we will be forced to scale down or perhaps even cease our operations. There is significant uncertainty as to whether we can obtain additional financing. These consolidated financial statements do not give effect to any adjustments which would be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern and therefore be required to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities in other than the normal course of business and at amounts different from those reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

3. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

a) Basis of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Target Energy, Inc., and its equity interest of Pro Eco Energy Inc. and Global Solar Water Power Systems Inc. All significant inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated.

b) Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes its renewal energy service revenue when services are performed and payments are received or rights to receive consideration are obtained, evidence of an arrangement exists, and collection of consideration is reasonably assured.

c) Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents comprise certain highly liquid instruments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased. As of August 31, 2013 and 2012, cash and cash equivalents consist of cash only.

d) Investments in Companies Accounted for Using the Equity Method

Investments in equity method investees are accounted for using the equity method based upon the level of ownership and/or the Company's ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of the investee. Investments of this nature are recorded at original cost and adjusted periodically to recognize the Company's proportionate share of the investees' net income or losses after the date of investment. When net losses from an investment accounted for under the equity method exceed its carrying amount, the investment balance is reduced to zero. The Company resumes accounting for the investment under the equity method if the entity subsequently reports net income and the Company's share of that net income exceeds the share of the net losses not recognized during the period the equity method was suspended. Investments are written down only when there is clear evidence that a decline in value that is other than temporary has occurred. When an investment accounted for using the equity method issues its own shares, the subsequent reduction in the Company's proportionate interest in the investee is reflected in income as a deemed dilution gain or loss on disposition. The Company evaluates its investments in companies accounted for the equity or cost method for impairment when there is evidence or indicators that a decrease in value may be other than temporary.

e) Mineral Property Rights Acquisition and Exploration and Development Expenditures

Mineral property acquisition costs are initially capitalized as tangible assets when purchased. The Company assesses the carrying costs for impairment when indicators of impairment exist. If proven and probable reserves are established for a property and it has been determined that a mineral property can be economically developed, costs will be amortized using the units-of-production method over the estimated total recoverable proven and probable reserves.

Mineral property exploration and development costs are expensed as incurred until the establishment of economically viable reserves.

f) Stock-Based Compensation

The Company followed Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 718, *Compensation - Stock Compensation*, to account for its stock options and similar equity instruments issued. Accordingly, compensation costs attributable to stock options or similar equity instruments granted are measured at the fair value at the grant date, and expensed over the expected vesting period. ASC 718 requires excess tax benefits be reported as a financing cash inflow rather than as a reduction of taxes paid.

g) Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates and assumptions.

h) Loss Per Share

Loss per share is computed using the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. The Company has adopted ASC 220 *Earnings Per Share*. Diluted loss per share is equivalent to basic loss per share because the potential exercise of the equity-based financial instruments was anti-dilutive.

i) Foreign Currency Translations

The Company's operations are located in the United States of America and Canada, and it has offices in Canada. The Company maintains its accounting records in U.S. Dollars, as follows:

At the transaction date, each asset, liability, revenue and expense that was acquired or incurred in a foreign currency is translated into U.S. dollars by the using of the exchange rate in effect at that date. At the year end, monetary assets and liabilities are translated at the exchange rate in effect at that date. The resulting foreign exchange gains and losses are included in operations.

j) Financial Instruments

ASC 820 *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy based on the level of independent, objective evidence surrounding the inputs used to measure fair value. A financial instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is

significant to the fair value measurement. ASC 820 prioritizes the inputs into three levels that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 - Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are either directly or indirectly observable; and

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing.

The Company's financial instruments consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, owned securities, accounts payable, short term loan, due to related parties, and warrants liability. With the exception of owned securities, non-current portion of promissory notes and warrants liability, the carrying amounts of these financial instruments approximate their fair values due to their short maturities. The fair values of the non-current portion of promissory notes are estimated using quoted market prices or are based on the discounted value of future cash flows. The fair value of owned securities are measured based on quoted prices in active markets. The fair value of the warrants liability is determined by using the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

The Company's operations are in Canada, which results in exposure to market risks from changes in foreign currency rates. The financial risk is the risk to the Company's operations that arise from fluctuations in foreign exchange rates and the degree of volatility of these rates. Currently, the Company does not use derivative instruments to reduce its exposure to foreign currency risk.

k) Income Taxes

The Company has adopted ASC 740, "*Income Taxes*", which requires the Company to recognize deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the Company's financial statements or tax returns using the liability method. Under this method, deferred tax liabilities and assets are determined based on the temporary differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect in the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the period that includes the enactment date. In addition, a valuation allowance is established to reduce any deferred tax asset for which it is determined that it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized.

l) Long-Lived Assets Impairment

Long-term assets of the Company are reviewed for impairment when circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable in accordance with the guidance established in ASC 360, "*Property, Plant and Equipment*". For assets that are to be held and used, an impairment loss is recognized when the estimated undiscounted cash flows associated with the asset or group of assets is less than their carrying value. If impairment exists, an adjustment is made to write the asset down to its fair value. Fair values are determined based on discounted cash flows or internal and external appraisals, as applicable. Assets to be disposed of are carried at the lower of carrying value or estimated net realizable value.

m) Asset Retirement Obligations

The Company accounts for asset retirement obligations in accordance with the provisions of ASC 410, "*Asset Retirement and Environmental Obligations*". ASC 410 requires the Company to record the fair value of an asset retirement obligation as a liability in the period in which it incurs a legal obligation associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development and/or normal use of the assets. The management of the Company had estimated the asset retirement obligation to be immaterial and therefore was not reflected on the consolidated financial statements as of August 31, 2013 and 2012.

n) Comprehensive Income

The Company has adopted ASC 220, "*Comprehensive Income*", which establishes standards for reporting and display of comprehensive income, its components and accumulated balances. The Company is disclosing this information on its Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity. Comprehensive income comprises equity except those transactions resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners.

o) Concentration of credit risk

The Company places its cash and cash equivalent with high credit quality financial institution. As of August 31, 2013, the Company had \$Nil in a bank beyond insured limit (August 31, 2012: \$Nil).

p) New Accounting Pronouncements

In December, 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11, "Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities", in an effort to improve comparability between US GAAP and IFRS financial statements with regard to the presentation of offsetting assets and liabilities on the statement of financial position arising from financial and derivative instruments, and repurchase agreements. The ASU establishes additional disclosures presenting the gross amounts of recognized assets and liabilities, offsetting amounts, and the net balance reflected in the statement of financial position. Descriptive information regarding the nature and rights of the offset must also be disclosed. This guidance is effective as of the beginning of a fiscal year that begins after January 1, 2013. The adoption of the new guidance is not expected to have an impact on the Company's financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220); Reporting of Amounts Reclassified out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income." This updated guidance improves the reporting of significant items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income and requires an entity to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, separately for each component of comprehensive income, the current period reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income affected by the reclassification. The updated guidance is effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of the new guidance is not expected to have an impact on the Company's financial statements.

In March 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2013-05, "Foreign Currency Matters (Topic 830); Parent's Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity." This guidance applies to the release of the cumulative translation adjustment into net income when a parent either sells a part or all of its investment in a foreign entity or no longer holds a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary or group of assets that is a business (other than a sale of in substance real estate or conveyance of oil and gas mineral rights) within a foreign entity. ASU No. 2013-05 is effective prospectively for fiscal years (and interim reporting periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2013. We will adopt this guidance beginning with our fiscal quarter starting from March 1, 2014. We are currently reviewing the provisions of ASU No. 2013-05 on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-11, Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists. This new guidance provides specific financial statement presentation requirements of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. The guidance states that an unrecognized tax benefit in those circumstances should be presented as a reduction to the deferred tax asset. This guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not believe that the adoption of this guidance will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

Other accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by the FASB or other standards-setting bodies that do not require adoption until a future date are not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements upon adoption.

4. OWNED SECURITIES

As at August 31, 2013 owned securities consist of 375,000 common shares of Cheetah Oil & Gas Ltd. obtained through the disposal of the Company's oil and gas properties in Mississippi in 2010. The Company classified the securities owned as held-for-trade and recorded at fair value.

The fair value of the common shares of Cheetah Oil & Gas Ltd. was \$0.01 per share as at August 31, 2013 (August 31, 2012 - \$0.02).

5. ASSETS HELD FOR SALE

Assets held for sale as August 31, 2012 and 2011 were comprised of the following:

	2013	2012
	\$	\$
Investments in Pro-Eco Energy	32,197	-
	32,197	-

Pro Eco Energy USA Ltd.

On April 21, 2008, the Company purchased 900,000 shares for \$45,000 in Pro Eco Energy USA Ltd. (Pro Eco Energy) which represented 8.25% ownership. The former Chairman of the Company is a Director in Pro Eco Energy which had established the existence of significant influence in Pro Eco Energy and accordingly the equity method of accounting was adopted for the investment.

As at August 31, 2013, the Pro-Eco Energy investment had a value of \$32,197. Subsequent to year end, the Company sold its 8.25% ownership in Pro Eco Energy for \$40,000 to a third party, Western Standard Energy Corp. The Company expects to complete the sale within the next twelve months for amounts that exceed their individual carrying values. Please also see note 16(h).

6. LONG TERM INVESTMENTS

Global Solar Water Power Systems Inc.

On February 28, 2010, the Company entered into an Asset and Share Purchase Agreement with the Company's former chief technical officer - Mr. Mark Snyder to acquire up to 20% ownership interest of GSWPS. As at August 31, 2012, the Company had 9.82% (August 31, 2011 - 8.14%) investment in Global Solar Water Power Systems Inc. (GSWPS). This was made by a cash/accrued contribution of \$145,500 and an issuance of 500,000 shares of the Company at \$0.25 per share for a combined value of \$270,500. The investment in 2012 had been written down to \$68,500.

On March 1, 2013, the Company transferred 1.68% of interest back to GSWPS for settlement the accrued payments of \$42,000 with Mr. Mark Snyder. As result, the Company's interest in GSWPS reduced from 9.82% to 8.14%.The difference between the fair value of the 1.68% GSWPS interest and \$42,000 was recorded under additional paid-in capital.

During the year ended August 31, 2013, based on the management's assessment of GSWPS's current operations, the Company decided to write down long-term investment in GSWPS to \$Nil.

7. MINERAL PROPERTY

- a) On January 31, 2011, the Company entered into a letter of intent and paid \$7,500 deposit to Wildhorse Copper Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary Wildhorse Copper (AZ) Inc. (collectively, the Optionors). On April 11, 2011, the Company signed a Mineral Purchase Option Agreement (Option Agreement) with the Optionors respecting an option to earn a 100% interest, subject to a 1% NSR capped to a maximum of \$2,000,000 in a property known as the Copper Hills property. The Copper Hills property is comprised of 56 located mining claims covering a total of 1,150 acres located in New Mexico, USA. The Optionors hold the Copper Hills property directly and indirectly through property purchase agreements between the Optionors and third parties (collectively, the Indirect Agreements). Pursuant to the Option Agreement the Optionors have assigned the Indirect Agreements to the Company. In order to earn the interest in the Copper Hills property, the Company is required to make aggregate cash payments of \$591,650 over an eight year period and issue an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of its common stock over a three year period. As at May 31, 2013, the Company has issued 500,000 shares at price of \$0.15 per share and 150,000 shares at price of \$0.10 per share to the Optionors and made aggregate cash payment of \$106,863 (August 31, 2012-\$106,863); the Company has expensed exploration costs of \$143,680 (August 31, 2012-\$143,680). On June 26, 2013, the Company announced the termination of its Option Agreement. To date the Company had made aggregate cash payments of \$106,863 and issued 500,000 shares at price of \$0.15 per share and 150,000 common shares at \$0.10 per share to Wildhorse Copper Inc which has been written off and had incurred exploration costs totaling of \$157,774.

On July 19, 2011, the Company entered into a letter of intent and paid US\$15,000 deposit to Altar Resources. Subsequent to August 31, 2011, on October 11, 2011, the Company signed a Mineral Purchase Option Agreement with Altar Resources with respect to an option to earn 100% interest, subject to a 2.5% NSR in a property known as Mildred Peak. The mining claims are in Arizona covering approximately 7,148 acres from Altar Resources which holds the mining claims directly and indirectly through federal mining claims and state mineral exploration leases; or, represented that it would hold such claims in good standing at the time of closing a definitive agreement. The Company is required to make aggregate cash payments of \$881,000 over a five year period and issue an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of its common stock over a four year period. As at February 28, 2013, the Company had made aggregate cash payments of \$124,980 (August 31, 2012-\$84,980) and issued 100,000 shares at price of \$0.10 per share and 100,000 common shares at \$0.06 per share to Altar Resources; along with expensed incurred exploration costs of \$13,380. On May 30, 2013, the Company terminated the Option Agreement and has written off \$140,980 of capitalized costs.

- ◆ Paid /accrued \$60,000 (August 31, 2012: \$60,000) to the President of the Company in consulting fees.
- ◆ Paid/accrued \$55,000 (August 31, 2012: \$60,000) of consulting fees to a company controlled by a former Director/CEO of the Company.
- ◆ Paid/accrued \$65,435 (August 31, 2012: \$65,543) in consulting fees to a company controlled by the CFO of the Company.
- ◆ Paid /accrued \$6,040 (August 31, 2012: \$9,000) in consulting fee former CTO of the Company.
- ◆ Paid / accrued \$110 (August 31, 2012: \$9,375) in consulting fee to former Senior VP, Business Development.
- ◆ Included in accounts payable, \$123,610 was payable to the President and a company controlled by a CFO of the Company.
- ◆ See Notes 5, 7, 9 and 11.

The related party transactions are recorded at the exchange amount established and agreed to between the related parties.

10. COMMON STOCK

On October 11, 2011, the Company issued 100,000 common shares in connection with Altar Resources, Mildred Peak property (See Note 6) for an amount of \$10,000 at a price of \$0.10.

On March 30, 2012, the Company issued 150,000 common shares to Wildhorse Copper Inc. in connection to the Copper Hills property (See Note 6) for an amount of \$15,000 at a price of \$0.10.

On April 10, 2012, the Company issued 93,750 common shares in connection with the settlement of debt of \$9,375 at a price of \$0.10 per common share pursuant to a consulting agreement (See Note 11(h)).

On April 13, 2012, the Company closed an offering memorandum placement of 2,080,000 units at a price of CAD\$0.10 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$208,000 or US\$208,000. Each Unit consisted of one common share of the Issuer and one common share purchase warrant. One warrant will be exercisable into one further common share at a price of US\$0.15 per warrant share for a period of twelve months following closing; or at a price of US\$0.20 per warrant for the period that is twelve months plus one day to twenty- four months following closing. The Company paid broker commissions of \$14,420 in cash and issued 144,200 brokers warrants in connection with the private placement.

On July 27, 2012, the Company closed the first tranche of an offering memorandum placement of 600,000 units at a price of CAD\$0.05 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$30,000 or US\$30,000. Each Warrant will be exercisable into one further share at a price of US\$0.10 per warrant share for a period of twelve months following closing; or at a price of US\$0.20 per warrant share for a period that is twelve months and one day to thirty-six months following closing. The Company's President and CEO participated in the private placement for \$10,000.00 and \$5,000.00 dollars respectively. The Company issued 60,000 brokers warrants in connection with the private placement.

On August 24, 2012, the Company closed the second tranche of an offering memorandum placement of 160,000 units at a price of CAD\$0.05 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$8,000 or US\$8,000. Each warrant will be exercisable into one further share at a price of US\$0.10 per warrant share for a period of twelve months following closing; or at a price of US\$0.20 per warrant share for a period that is twelve months and one day to thirty-six months following closing. The Company's President participated in the private placement for \$4,000 dollars respectively. The Company will issue 16,000 brokers warrants in connection with the private placement for broker commissions.

On September 28, 2012, the Company closed an offering memorandum placement of 995,000 units at a price of CAD\$0.05 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$49,750 or \$49,750. Each Unit consisted of one common share of the Issuer and one common share purchase warrant. One warrant will be exercisable into one further common share at a price of \$0.10 per warrant share for a period of twelve months following closing; or at a price of \$0.20 per warrant for the period that is twelve months plus one day to twenty-four months following closing. The Company issued 79,500 shares, 79,500 warrants and 79,500 broker warrants in connection with the private placement.

On October 24, 2012, the Company issued 100,000 common shares in connection with Altar Resources, Mildred Peak property (See Note 6) for an amount of \$6,000 at a price of \$0.06.

On November 15, 2012, the Company closed an offering memorandum placement of 1,013,000 units at a price of CAD\$0.05 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$50,650 or \$50,650. Each Unit consisted of one common share of the Issuer and one common share purchase warrant. One warrant will be exercisable into one further common share at a price of \$0.10 per warrant share for a period of twelve months following closing; or at a price of \$0.20 per warrant for the period that is twelve months plus one day to twenty-four months following closing. The Company issued 38,000 common shares, 101,300 units, and 101,300 broker warrants in connection with the private placement.

On March 1, 2013, the Company settled the debt incurred of \$16,000 from September 1, 2011 to February 28, 2013 for consulting fees with Mr. Mark Snyder by issuing 160,000 restricted common shares of the Company at a price of \$0.10 per share.

As at August 31, 2013, the Company had 30,314,415 shares issued and outstanding.

11. STOCK OPTIONS AND WARRANTS

On October 1, 2011, the Company granted 200,000 stock options to a consultant with an exercise price of \$0.15, of which 50,000 stock options will be vested as of December 1, 2011 and 50,000 stock options will be vested in each subsequent quarter to be fully vested by September 1, 2012, and the options expire on October 1, 2016.

On November 15, 2011, the Company granted 40,000 stock options to a consultant with an exercise price of \$0.10, which vested immediately and expire on November 15, 2016 (cancelled during the year ended August 31, 2012).

On March 19, 2012, the Company granted 450,000 stock options to a director and advisors to the Company with an exercise price of \$0.15, of which 225,000 vested immediately and 225,000 vesting on August 15, 2012 and expire March 19, 2017 (200,000 of the options were cancelled during year ended August 31, 2013).

On March 27, 2012, the Company granted 250,000 stock options to an Investor Relations company with an exercise price of \$0.15, of which 125,000 vested immediately and 125,000 vesting on June 27, 2012 and expire on March 27, 2017 (cancelled during year ended August 31, 2013).

On April 10, 2012, the Company granted 25,000 stock options to a consultant of the Company with an exercise price of \$0.15, which vested immediately and expire on April 10, 2017 (cancelled during year ended August 31, 2013).

For the year ended August 31, 2013, the Company recorded \$Nil (August 31, 2012 – \$32,581) stock based compensation expenses which has been included in consulting fees.

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K

A summary of the changes in stock options for the years ended August 31, 2013 and 2012 are presented below:

	Number of Shares	Options Outstanding Weighted Average Exercise Price
Balance, August 31, 2011	3,260,000	\$ 0.15
Granted	965,000	\$ 0.15
Cancelled	(40,000)	\$ 0.10
Balance, August 31, 2012	4,185,000	\$ 0.15
Expired	(350,000)	\$ 0.20
Cancelled	(1,380,000)	\$ 0.14
Balance, August 31, 2013	2,455,000	\$ 0.15

The fair value of options granted has been estimated as of the date of the grant by using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

	Year ended August 31, 2012
Expected volatility	134.43%-142.22%
Risk-free interest rate	1.32%-1.46%
Expected life	5.00 years
Dividend yield	0.00%
Weighted average fair value of the options	\$0.06

The Company has the following options outstanding and exercisable.

August 31, 2013		Options outstanding		Options exercisable	
Exercise prices	Number of shares	Remaining contractual life	Exercise Price	Number of shares exercisable	Exercise Price
\$0.10	400,000	1.14 years	\$ 0.10	400,000	\$ 0.10
\$0.10	450,000	1.33 years	\$ 0.10	450,000	\$ 0.10
\$0.15	655,000	2.46 years	\$ 0.15	655,000	\$ 0.15
\$0.15	150,000	2.44 years	\$ 0.15	150,000	\$ 0.15
\$0.15	250,000	3.55 years	\$ 0.15	250,000	\$ 0.15
\$0.18	150,000	1.98 years	\$ 0.18	150,000	\$ 0.18
\$0.20	100,000	1.98 years	\$ 0.20	150,000	\$ 0.20
\$0.25	300,000	2.76 years	\$ 0.25	300,000	\$ 0.25
	2,455,000	2.18 years	\$ 0.15	2,455,000	\$ 0.15

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K

August 31, 2012	Options outstanding			Options exercisable		
Exercise prices	Number of shares	Remaining contractual life	Exercise Price	Number of shares exercisable	Exercise Price	
\$0.10	500,000	2.14 years	\$ 0.10	500,000	\$ 0.10	
\$0.10	650,000	2.33 years	\$ 0.10	650,000	\$ 0.10	
\$0.15	910,000	3.46 years	\$ 0.15	910,000	\$ 0.15	
\$0.15	150,000	3.53 years	\$ 0.15	150,000	\$ 0.15	
\$0.15	200,000	4.09 years	\$ 0.15	100,000	\$ 0.15	
\$0.15	450,000	4.55 years	\$ 0.15	225,000	\$ 0.15	
\$0.15	250,000	4.57 years	\$ 0.15	125,000	\$ 0.15	
\$0.15	25,000	4.61 years	\$ 0.15	25,000	\$ 0.15	
\$0.18	150,000	3.54 years	\$ 0.18	150,000	\$ 0.18	
\$0.20	350,000	0.29 years	\$ 0.20	350,000	\$ 0.20	
\$0.20	150,000	2.98 years	\$ 0.20	150,000	\$ 0.20	
\$0.20	100,000	3.19 years	\$ 0.20	100,000	\$ 0.20	
\$0.25	300,000	3.76 years	\$ 0.15	300,000	\$ 0.15	
	4,185,000	3.10 years	\$ 0.15	3,775,000	\$ 0.15	

Warrants

As at August 31, 2013, the Company has 5,429,800 warrants issued and outstanding. A summary of warrants as at August 31, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

	Number of warrant	Warrant Outstanding Weighted Average Exercise Price
Balance, August 31, 2012 and 2011	12,278,500	\$ 0.18
Expired	(9,218,300)	\$ 0.20
Granted	2,369,600	\$ 0.10
Balance, August 31, 2013	5,429,800	\$ 0.12

Number Outstanding ¹	Exercise Price	Expiry Date
2,224,200	\$0.15; \$0.20 after 12 months	April 13, 2014
660,000	\$0.10; \$0.20 after 12 months	July 27, 2015
176,000	\$0.10; \$0.20 after 12 months	August 24, 2015
1,154,000	\$0.10; \$0.20 after 12 months	September 28, 2015
1,215,600	\$0.10; \$0.20 after 12 months	November 15, 2015
5,429,800		

1. Each warrant entitles a holder to purchase one common share.

8,729,000 Subscribers Warrants and 489,300 Broker s Warrants issued in association with the private placement on March 3, 2011 meet the definition of a derivative. Since the exercise price of these warrants is denominated in Canadian dollars, which is different from the Company s functional currency, the Subscribers Warrants and Broker s Warrants are not considered indexed to the Company s common shares and they cannot be classified within equity. The Subscribers Warrants and the Broker s Warrants, which have expired on March 3, 2013, were previously classified as warrants liability on the Company s consolidated balance sheet.

12. COMMITMENTS OTHER

- (a) The Company has a month-to-month rental arrangement for office space in Kelowna, British Columbia, Canada for CAD\$700 plus HST/GST per month.
- (b) The Company had a consulting agreement with CAB Financial Services Ltd. (CAB), a corporation organized under the laws of the Province of British Columbia. CAB is a consulting company controlled by the former chairman of the board and chief executive officer of the Company. CAB Financial Services Ltd. is to provide management consulting services for \$5,000 per month plus HST/GST on a continuing basis. This contract was cancelled on July 23, 2013.
- (c) The Company has a consulting agreement with the President of the Company for corporate administration and consulting services for \$5,000 per month plus HST/GST on a continuing basis.
- (d) On October 9, 2009, the Company entered into consulting agreement with BKB Management Ltd., a corporation organized under the laws of the Province of British Columbia. BKB Management Ltd. is a consulting company controlled by the chief financial officer of the Company. BKB Management provides management consulting services for CAD\$4,500 per month plus HST/GST. Effective April 1, 2011, the consulting services are CAD\$5,500 per month plus HST/GST.
- (e) On October 9, 2009, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with the former chief technical officer of the Company for \$1,000 per month. On March 1, 2013 the Company renewed the agreement with a monthly rate of \$10 per month. The contract was cancelled on June 30, 2013.
- (f) On August 23, 2010, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with the Senior Vice-President, Business Development for \$3,125 per month. On November 17, 2010, the Company renewed the agreement into a month to month consulting agreement with the Senior Vice-President, Business Development for \$3,125. On December 1, 2011 the company renewed his agreement to a commission based with a monthly rate of \$10 per month. This contract was cancelled on June 30, 2013.
- (g) See Note 6 and 7.

13. INCOME TAXES

The following table reconciles the income tax benefit at the U.S. Federal statutory income tax rates to income tax benefit at the Company s effective tax rates at August 31, 2013 and 2012:

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K

	2013	2012
Income (Loss) Before Taxes	\$ (730,904)	\$ (1,009,735)
Statutory tax rate	38.6%	34%
Expected income tax (recovery)	(282,129)	(343,310)
Non-deductible items	(3,778)	(98,941)
Change in estimates	17,909	(768,796)
Change in enacted tax rate	(219,744)	-
Change in valuation allowance	487,742	1,211,047
Income tax expense (recovery)	\$ -	\$ -

Deferred taxes reflect the tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes. Deferred tax assets (liabilities) at August 31, 2013 and 2012 are comprised of the following:

	2013	2012
Net operating loss carry forwards	\$ 2,117,719	\$ 1,775,838
Marketable Securities	131,301	104,864
Mineral Properties	178,951	59,535
	2,427,971	1,940,237
Valuation Allowance	2,427,971	1,940,237
Deferred Tax Assets (Liabilities)	\$ -	\$ -

The Company has net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$5,528,102 which may be carried forward to apply against future taxable income for US tax purposes, subject to the final determination by the taxation authority, expiring in the following years:

Expiry	Enertopia	Target	Consolidated
2025	87,722	-	87,722
2026	-	-	-
2027	615,341	-	615,341
2028	350,002	-	350,002
2029	109,502	-	109,502
2030	3,073,726	-	3,073,726
2031	-	169,545	169,545
2032	611,284	179,375	790,659
2033	331,164	441	331,605
Total	5,178,741	349,361	5,528,102

14. SEGMENTED INFORMATION

The Company identifies its segments based on the way management organizes the Company to assess performance and make operating decisions regarding the allocation of resources. In accordance with the criteria in FASB ASC 280 "Segment Reporting," the Company has concluded it has two reportable segments: renewable energy, and mining exploration and developments, which are managed separately based on fundamental differences in their operations nature.

Summarized financial information concerning the Company's reportable segments is shown in the following tables:

Year ended August 31, 2013	Renewable energy	Mining exploration and development	Corporate	Consolidated
Revenues	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Net income (loss) from operations	(56,781)	(526,932)	(147,191)	(730,904)
Total assets	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 54,469	\$ 54,469

Year ended August 31, 2012	Renewable energy	Mining exploration and development	Corporate	Consolidated
Revenues	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Net income (loss) from operations	(203,044)	(179,375)	(627,316)	(1,009,735)
Total assets	\$ 100,697	\$ 293,255	\$ 87,235	\$ 481,187

The operations of the Group are located geographically in the United States.

15. COMPARATIVE FIGURES

Certain 2012 comparative figures have been reclassified to conform with the financial statements presentation adopted for 2013.

16. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

- (a) On September 17, 2013 the Company entered into an AMI Participation Agreement with Downhole Energy LLC to participate in 100% gross interest and 75% net revenue interest for drilling, completion and production of up to 100 oil wells on certain oil and gas leases covering 2,924 in the historic field located in Forest and Venango counties, Pennsylvania. On execution of this agreement the company issued 100,000 of its common shares to Downhole Energy LLC.
- (b) On October 4, 2013 the Company entered into a consulting agreement with Olibri Acquisitions and issued 750,000 common shares of the Company for services provided in oil and gas consulting..
- (c) The Company has entered into a Letter of Intent Agreement (LOI) on November 1, 2013 with 0984329 BC Ltd. (the Vendor) where the Company wishes to buy and the Vendor wishes to sell 51% of the issued and outstanding capital stock of the Vendor. The Vendor is the owner, operator of a Medical Marihuana operation located at 33420 Cardinal Street, Mission, British Columbia, Canada. Until such time as the Vendor and the Company enter into a Definitive Agreement, the Parties agree that all terms of this LOI are and shall serve only as an expression of interest between the Vendor and the Company. This LOI is not comprehensive and no business relationship is created between the Vendor and the Company unless and until such time as negotiations between the Parties result in the consummation of a Definitive Agreement and such Definitive Agreement is ratified by their respective authorized representatives. On the execution of the LOI, the Company issued 10,000,000 of its common shares to the Vendor.
- (d) On November 5, 2013 the Company granted 675,000 stock options to directors, officers, and consultant of the Company with an exercise price of \$0.06 vested immediately, expiring November 5, 2018.
- (e) On November 18, 2013, the Company granted 25,000 stock options to consultant of the Company with an exercise price of \$0.09 vested immediately, expiring November 18, 2018.
- (f) On November 18, 2013, the Company entered into an investor relations contract with Coal Harbour Communications Inc. The initial term of this agreement shall begin on the date of execution of this Agreement and continue for **two months**. Thereafter the agreement will continue on a month-by-month basis pending cancelation by written notification with 30 days notice. In consideration for the services the Company will pay the Provider a one-time payment of two hundred thousand shares (200,000) of restricted common stock in Enertopia Corporation. The stock will be issued in the name of Dale Paruk for 100,000 shares and Neil Blake for 100,000 shares. In consideration of the services provided, the Company shall pay Coal Harbour Communications, a monthly fee of \$5,000 payable on the 1st day of each monthly period starting 60 days from the signing of this agreement and \$500 per month to cover expenses incurred on the Company s behalf. Any expenses above \$500 per month must be pre-approved.
- (g) On November 26, the Company closed the first tranche of a private placement of 2,720,000 units at a price of CAD\$0.05 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$136,000 (US\$136,000). Each warrant will be exercisable into one further share at a price of US\$0.10 per warrant share for a period of thirty six month following the close.

- (h) On December 2, 2013, the Company sold its investment in Pro Eco Energy Ltd. from its original purchase price of US\$45,000 which gave the Company 900,000 shares or 8.25% interest in the Pro Eco on April 21, 2008 to Western Standard Energy Corp. for US\$40,000. The terms of the purchase are as follows: a) \$10,000 on the Closing date which is December 02, 2013; b) \$10,000 on or before December 31, 2013; c) \$10,000 on or before January 31, 2014; d) \$10,000 on or before February 28, 2014.
- (i) **On November 29, 2013, its wholly-owned subsidiary, Target Energy, Inc was closed and dissolve.**

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

There were no disagreements related to accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, internal controls or auditing scope or procedure during the two fiscal years and interim periods, including the interim period up through the date the relationship ended.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Management's Report on Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the *Securities Exchange Act of 1934*, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our president and chief executive officer (also our principal executive officer) and our chief financial officer (also our principal financial and accounting officer) to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As of August 31, 2013, the end of our fiscal year covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our president and chief executive officer (also our principal executive officer) and our chief financial officer (also our principal financial and accounting officer), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing, our president and chief executive officer (also our principal executive officer) and our chief financial officer (also our principal financial and accounting officer) concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this annual report.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Responsibility, estimates and judgments by management are required to assess the expected benefits and related costs of control procedures. The objectives of internal control include providing management with reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition, and that transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization and recorded properly to permit the preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of August 31, 2013. In making this assessment, our management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework*. Our management has concluded that, as of August 31, 2013, our internal control over financial reporting is effective in providing reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with US generally accepted accounting principles. Our management reviewed the results of their assessment with our Board of Directors.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit our Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Inherent limitations on effectiveness of controls

Internal control over financial reporting has inherent limitations which include but is not limited to the use of independent professionals for advice and guidance, interpretation of existing and/or changing rules and principles, segregation of management duties, scale of organization, and personnel factors. Internal control over financial reporting is a process which involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and

breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis, however these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process and it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the year ended August 31, 2013 that have materially or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III**Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance**

All directors of our company hold office until the next annual meeting of the security holders or until their successors have been elected and qualified. The officers of our company are appointed by our board of directors and hold office until their death, resignation or removal from office. Our directors and executive officers, their ages, positions held, and duration as such, are as follows:

Name	Position Held with our Company	Age	Date First Elected Or Appointed
Robert McAllister	President and Director	53	November 2007
			April 14, 2008
John Thomas	Director	66	March 19, 2012
Donald Findlay	Director	67	June 2, 2011
Greg Dawson	Director	54	June 2, 2011
Chris Bunka	Chairman, Director and Chief Executive	52	November 2004
	Officer		Resigned July 23, 2013
Bal Bhullar	Chief Financial Officer	44	October 9, 2009
Mark Snyder	Chief Technical Officer	59	October 9, 2009
			Resigned June 30, 2013

Business Experience

The following is a brief account of the education and business experience of each director and executive officer during at least the past five years, indicating each person's principal occupation during the period, and the name and principal business of the organization by which he was employed.

Robert McAllister, President, Director

Mr. McAllister was appointed as president in November 2007 and director in April 2008.

Mr. McAllister has devoted approximately 90% of his professional time to the business and intends to continue to devote this amount of time in the future, or more as required.

Mr. McAllister has been a corporate consultant since 2004. He has also provided and written business and investment articles from 1996 to 2006 in various North American publications. Mr. McAllister is a resource investment entrepreneur with over 20 years experience in resource sector evaluations and commodity cycle analysis.

John Thomas, Director

Dr. John Thomas is a professional engineer, and holds a PhD in chemical engineering. He also received a diploma in accounting and finance from the U.K. Association of Certified Accountants. He has 39 years of experience in the mining industry, including both base metal and precious metal projects in several countries. His experience covers a wide range of activities in the mining industry from process development, management of feasibility studies, engineering and management of construction, and operation of mines.

Donald Findlay, Director

Don Findlay has worked in the resource exploration business since 1980. He has worked in different capacities from consultant to the positions of Senior Exploration Geologist and Exploration Manager. Mr. Findlay completed his MSc in geology in 1978 with his thesis on copper molybdenum porphyry deposits.

Greg Dawson, Director

Greg Dawson has worked in the resource exploration business for over 25 years with Teck Exploration Ltd. and more recently as President of Redtail Metals Corp a TSXV company. From 2004 to 2010 Mr. Dawson was VP of exploration of Copper Ridge Resources, and was also responsible for the evaluation of acquisition opportunities, with emphasis on world class copper gold porphyry deposits and precious metal enriched VMS deposits.

Chris Bunka, Chief Executive Officer, Chairman and Director

Mr. Chris Bunka has served as one of our directors since November 2004. Mr. Bunka has devoted approximately 25% of his professional time to the business and intends to continue to devote this amount of time in the future, or more as required.

Since 1988, Mr. Bunka has been the CEO of CAB Financial Services Ltd., a private holding company located in Kelowna, Canada. He is a venture capitalist, corporate consultant, and former business and investment commentator.

From 1999 to 2002, Mr. Bunka was the President and CEO of Secure Enterprise Solutions (symbol SETP-OTC) (formerly Newsgurus.com, symbol NGUR-OTC). The company subsequently changed its name to Edgetech Services and traded on the OTC with the symbol EDGH. Newsgurus.com was a web-based media company. Secure Enterprise Solutions moved into Internet-based computer security products and services and was subsequently purchased by Edgetech Services. Mr Bunka is also Chairman and CEO of Lexaria Corp, (symbol LXRP-OTC and LXX-CNSX) an oil & gas exploration and production company. Mr. Bunka is a director of Defiance Capital Corp. (symbol DEF-TSXV), a Canadian resource company. Mr. Bunka resigned his positions from Enertopia Corp. on July 23, 2013.

Bal Bhullar, Chief Financial Officer

Ms. Bhullar brings over 21 years of diversified financial and risk management experience in both private and public companies, in the industries of high-tech, film, mining, marine, oil & gas, energy, transport, and spa industries. Among some of the areas of experience, Ms. Bhullar brings expertise in financial & strategic planning, operational & risk management, regulatory compliance reporting, business expansion, startup operations, financial modeling, program development, corporate financing, and corporate governance/internal controls. Previously, Ms. Bhullar has held various positions as President of BC Risk Management Association of BC, and served as Director and CFO of private and public companies. Currently, Ms. Bhullar serves as a Director and CFO for Bare Elegance Medspa, a

former CFO for ISEE3D Inc., and is CFO and a Director of Lexaria Corp.

Ms. Bhullar is a Certified General Accountant and as well holds a CRM designation from Simon Fraser University and a diploma in Financial Management from British Columbia Institute of Technology.

Mark Snyder, Chief Technical Officer

In 1985 Mark Snyder developed one of California's first large scale bio energy conversion projects where a significant client was enabled to produce electric power from agricultural waste and heat 20 acres of greenhouses for agriculture. Mark was selected by the Clinton Administration to serve on a White House Council addressing the needs of our National Electrical Infrastructure in anticipation of the Y2K Conversion.

Co-founded *Clean Air USA* and has partnered with Willie Nelson Biodiesel to bring clean alternative fuels to California. Mark helped champion Solar Rights in California. Using the appeal of the Green Ribbon Home and California's Solar Rights Law, Mark has had success in changing the electric metering in parks to net metering, which has opened up the solar power market to millions of Californians that currently reside in master metered dwellings. Mr. Snyder resigned from his position in Enertopia Corp. on June 30, 2013 and currently is an advisor to the Company.

Family Relationships

There are no family relationships between any of our directors, executive officers and proposed directors or executive officers.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

None of our directors, executive officers, promoters or control persons has been involved in any of the following events during the past five years:

1. A petition under the Federal bankruptcy laws or any state insolvency law was filed by or against, or a receiver, fiscal agent or similar officer was appointed by a court for the business or property of such person, or any partnership in which he was a general partner at or within two years before the time of such filing, or any corporation or business association of which he was an executive officer at or within two years before the time of such filing;
2. Such person was convicted in a criminal proceeding or is a named subject of a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offenses);
3. Such person was the subject of any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining him from, or otherwise limiting, the following activities:
 - i. Acting as a futures commission merchant, introducing broker, commodity trading advisor, commodity pool operator, floor broker, leverage transaction merchant, any other person regulated by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, or an associated person of any of the foregoing, or as an investment adviser, underwriter, broker or dealer in securities, or as an affiliated person, director or employee of any investment company, bank, savings and loan association or insurance company, or engaging in or continuing any conduct or practice in connection with such activity
 - ii. Engaging in any type of business practice; or
 - iii. Engaging in any activity in connection with the purchase or sale of any security or commodity or in connection with any violation of Federal or State securities laws or Federal commodities laws;

4. Such person was the subject of any order, judgment or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any Federal or State authority barring, suspending or otherwise limiting for more than 60 days the right of such person to engage in any activity described in paragraph (f)(3)(i) of this section, or to be associated with persons engaged in any such activity;
5. Such person was found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action or by the Commission to have violated any Federal or State securities law, and the judgment in such civil action or finding by the Commission has not been subsequently reversed, suspended, or vacated;
6. Such person was found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action or by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated any Federal commodities law, and the judgment in such civil action or finding by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission has not been subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated;
7. Such person was the subject of, or a party to, any Federal or State judicial or administrative order, judgment, decree, or finding, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, relating to an alleged violation of:
 - i. Any Federal or State securities or commodities law or regulation; or
 - ii. Any law or regulation respecting financial institutions or insurance companies including, but not limited to, a temporary or permanent injunction, order of disgorgement or restitution, civil money penalty or temporary or permanent cease-and-desist order, or removal or prohibition order; or
 - iii. Any law or regulation prohibiting mail or wire fraud or fraud in connection with any business entity; or
8. Such person was the subject of, or a party to, any sanction or order, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any self-regulatory organization (as defined in Section 3(a)(26) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(26))), any registered entity (as defined in Section 1(a)(29) of the Commodity Exchange Act (7 U.S.C. 1(a)(29))), or any equivalent exchange, association, entity or organization that has disciplinary authority over its members or persons associated with a member.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our executive officers and directors and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission initial statements of beneficial ownership, reports of changes in ownership and annual reports concerning their ownership of our common stock and other equity securities, on Forms 3, 4 and 5 respectively. Executive officers, directors and greater than 10% shareholders are required by the SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) reports that they file.

Based solely on our review of the copies of such forms received by us, or written representations from certain reporting persons, we believe that during fiscal year ended August 31, 2013, all filing requirements applicable to our officers, directors and greater than 10% percent beneficial owners were complied with, with the exception of the following:

Name	Number of Late Reports	Number of Transactions Not Reported on a Timely Basis	Failure to File Requested Forms

Code of Ethics

We adopted a Code of Ethics applicable to our senior financial officers and certain other finance executives, which is a "code of ethics" as defined by applicable rules of the SEC. Our Code of Ethics is attached as an exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed on November 29, 2007. If we make any amendments to our Code of Ethics other than technical, administrative, or other non-substantive amendments, or grant any waivers, including implicit waivers, from a provision of our Code of Ethics to our chief executive officer, chief financial officer, or certain other finance executives, we will disclose the nature of the amendment or waiver, its effective date and to whom it applies in a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC.

Board and Committee Meetings

Our board of directors held no formal meetings during the year ended August 31, 2013. All proceedings of the board of directors were conducted by resolutions consented to in writing by all the directors and filed with the minutes of the proceedings of the directors. Such resolutions consented to in writing by the directors entitled to vote on that resolution at a meeting of the directors are, according to the Nevada General Corporate Law and our Bylaws, as valid and effective as if they had been passed at a meeting of the directors duly called and held.

Nomination Process

As of August 31, 2013, we did not effect any material changes to the procedures by which our shareholders may recommend nominees to our board of directors. Our board of directors does not have a policy with regards to the consideration of any director candidates recommended by our shareholders. Our board of directors has determined that it is in the best position to evaluate our Company's requirements as well as the qualifications of each candidate when the board considers a nominee for a position on our board of directors. If shareholders wish to recommend candidates directly to our board, they may do so by sending communications to the president of our Company at the address on the cover of this annual report.

Audit Committee and Audit Committee Financial Expert

Currently our audit committee consists of our entire board of directors. We currently do not have nominating, compensation committees or committees performing similar functions. There has not been any defined policy or procedure requirements for shareholders to submit recommendations or nomination for directors.

Our board of directors has determined that it does not have a member of its board of directors (audit committee) that qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert" as defined in Item 407(d)(5)(ii) of Regulation S-K, and is "independent" as the term is used in Item 7(d)(3)(iv) of Schedule 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

We believe that the members of our board of directors are collectively capable of analyzing and evaluating our financial statements and understanding internal controls and procedures for financial reporting. We believe that retaining an independent director who would qualify as an "audit committee financial expert" would be overly costly and burdensome and is not warranted in our circumstances given the early stages of our development and the fact that we have not generated any material revenues to date. In addition, we currently do not have nominating, compensation or audit committees or committees performing similar functions nor do we have a written nominating, compensation or audit committee charter. Our board of directors does not believe that it is necessary to have such committees because it believes the functions of such committees can be adequately performed by our board of directors.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The particulars of the compensation paid to the following persons:

(a) our principal executive officer;

- (b) each of our two most highly compensated executive officers who were serving as executive officers at the end of the years ended August 31, 2013 and 2012; and
- (c) up to two additional individuals for whom disclosure would have been provided under (b) but for the fact that the individual was not serving as our executive officer at the end of the years ended August 31, 2013 and 2012,

who we will collectively refer to as the named executive officers of our Company, are set out in the following summary compensation table, except that no disclosure is provided for any named executive officer, other than our principal executive officers, whose total compensation did not exceed \$100,000 for the respective fiscal year:

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock	Option	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings	All Other Compensation	Total (\$)
				Awards (\$)	Awards (#)	tion (\$)	tion (\$)	tion (\$)	
Robert McAllister ⁽¹⁾ <i>President and Director</i>	2013	\$60,000	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	\$60,000
	2012	\$60,000	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	\$60,000
Gerald Carlson ⁽²⁾ <i>Former Director and President</i>	2013	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
	2012	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
John Thomas <i>Director</i>	2013	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
	2012	Nil	Nil	Nil	250,000	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Donald Findlay <i>Director</i>	2013	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
	2012	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Greg Dawson <i>Director</i>	2013	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
	2012	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Chris Bunka ⁽³⁾ <i>Former Chief Executive Officer, Director and Chief Financial Officer</i>	2013	\$55,000 ⁽³⁾	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	\$55,000
	2012	\$60,000 ⁽³⁾	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	\$60,000
Bal Bhullar <i>Chief Financial</i>	2013	\$71,416	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	\$71,416
	2012	\$65,543	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	\$65,543

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K

Officer

Mark Snyder ⁽⁵⁾ <i>Former Chief Technical Officer</i>	2013	\$6,000	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	\$6,000
	2012	\$12,000	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	\$42,000	\$54,000

- (1) On November 30, 2007, Mr. McAllister was appointed as our President and on April 14, 2008 he was appointed as a director. Salary for Mr. McAllister is largely accrued.
- (2) On November 30, 2007, Mr. Carlson resigned as our President but remained a director of our company. Mr. Carlson resigned as director of our company on February 8, 2012.
- (3) On July 23, 2013, Mr. Bunka resigned as CEO and Chairman. Salary compensation for Mr. Bunka is accrued fees.
- (4) On June 30, 2013, Mr. Snyder resigned as CTO of the Company.

Employment/Consulting Agreements

We entered into a consulting agreement with Dr. Gerald G. Carlson's company, KGE Management Ltd. from March 1, 2005 to November 30, 2007. During the term of this agreement, Dr. Carlson, provided geological and corporate administration consulting services to our company, such duties and responsibilities included the provision of geological consulting services, strategic corporate and financial planning, management of the overall business operations of our company, and the supervision of office staff and exploration and mining consultants. Dr. Carlson, through KGE Management Ltd., was reimbursed at the rate of \$2,000 per month. This agreement was terminated on November 30, 2007, but Dr. Carlson resigned as a Director on February 8, 2012.

We entered into a consulting agreement with Mr. Robert McAllister on December 1, 2007. During the term of this agreement, Mr. McAllister is to provide corporate administration and oil & gas exploration and production consulting services, such duties and responsibilities to include provision of oil and gas industry consulting services, strategic corporate and financial planning, management of the overall business operations of our company, and supervising office staff and exploration and oil & gas consultants. Mr. McAllister is reimbursed at the rate of \$2,000 per month. On December 1, 2008, the consulting fee was increased to \$5,000 per month. We may terminate this agreement without prior notice based on a number of conditions. Mr. McAllister may terminate the agreement at any time by giving 30 days written notice of his intention to do so.

On March 2, 2008, we entered into a controller agreement with CAB Financial Services, a corporation organized under the laws of the Province of British Columbia. CAB Financial Services is a consulting company controlled by the chairman of the board and chief executive officer of the Company. Pursuant to the controller agreement, CAB Financial Services will provide corporate accounting and controller services to our company in consideration for the payment of CAD\$3,675 (including \$175 GST) per month. This agreement was terminated on October 9, 2009.

On December 1, 2008, we entered into a consulting agreement with CAB Financial Services, a corporation organized under the laws of the Province of British Columbia. CAB Financial Services is a consulting company controlled by the chairman of the board and the chief executive officer of our company. A fee of \$5,000 per month is accrued. We may terminate this agreement without prior notice based on a number of conditions. CAB Financial Services Ltd. may terminate the agreement at any time by giving 30 days written notice of his intention to do so. The contract was terminated on July 23, 2013.

On October 9, 2009, we entered into a consulting agreement with BKB Management Ltd, a corporation organized under the laws of the Province of British Columbia. BKB Management controlled by the chief financial officer of the Company. A fee of CAD\$4,675 including HST is paid per month. We may terminate this agreement without prior notice based on a number of conditions. BKB Management Ltd. may terminate the agreement at any time by giving 30 days written notice of his intention to do so. Effective April 1, 2011, the consulting services are CAD\$5,500 per month plus HST.

On October 9, 2009, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with the chief technical officer of the Company for \$1,000 per month. On March 1, 2013 the Company renewed the agreement with a monthly rate of \$10 per month. The contract was cancelled on June 30, 2013.

On August 23, 2010, we entered into a consulting agreement with the Senior Vice-President, Business Development for \$3,125 per month. On November 17, 2010, the Company renewed the agreement into a month to month consulting agreement with the Senior Vice-President, Business Development for \$3,125. On December 1, 2011 the company renewed his agreement to a commission based with a monthly rate of \$10 per month. The contract was cancelled on June 30, 2013.

Other than as set out in this annual report on Form 10-K we have not entered into any employment or consulting agreements with any of our current officers, directors or employees.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

On October 22, 2009, we granted 500,000 stock options to directors and officers of our company with the exercise price of \$0.10 per share, expiring over 5 years.

On December 30, 2009, we granted 650,000 stock options to directors and officers of our company with the exercise price of \$0.10 per share, expiring over 5 years. On March 5, 2010, we granted 100,000 stock options to a consultant of our company with the exercise price of \$0.20, which expire on March 5, 2015.

On August 23, 2010, the Company granted options to a consultant of the Company exercisable at \$0.20 per share, were cancelled during year ended August 31, 2013.

On November 9, 2010, the Company granted 100,000 stock options to an advisor of the Company exercisable at \$0.20 per share, which vested immediately and will expire on November 9, 2015.

On November 15, 2010, the Company dismissed a consultant, of which 50,000 vested options were cancelled on November 15, 2010 and another 50,000 vested stock options expired on February 15, 2011 unexercised.

On February 14, 2011 the Company granted 1,010,000 stock options to directors, officers, and consultants of the Company with the exercise price of \$0.15, which vested immediately and expire on February 14, 2016 (355,000 options were cancelled during year ended August 31, 2013).

On March 10, 2011, the Company granted 150,000 stock options to a director of the Company with an exercise price of \$0.15, which vested immediately and expire on March 10, 2016.

On March 16, 2011, the Company granted 150,000 stock options to an advisor of the Company with an exercise price of \$0.18, which vested immediately and expire on March 16, 2016.

On April 14, 2011, the shareholders approved and adopted at the Annual General Meeting to consolidate the Company's 2007 Equity compensation plan and the Company's 2010 Equity Compensation Plan into a new Company 2011 Stock Option Plan. The purpose of this Plan is to advance the interests of the Corporation, through the grant of Options, by providing an incentive mechanism to foster the interest of eligible persons in the success of the Corporation and its affiliates; encouraging eligible persons to remain with the Corporation or its affiliates; and attracting new Directors, Officers, Employees and Consultants.

On June 2, 2011, the Company granted 300,000 stock options to directors of the Company with an exercise price of \$0.15, which vested immediately and expire on June 2, 2016.

On October 1, 2011, the Company granted 200,000 stock options to a consultant with an exercise price of \$0.15, of which 50,000 stock options will be vested as of December 1, 2011 and 50,000 stock options will be vested in each subsequent quarter to be fully vested by September 1, 2012, and the options expire on October 1, 2016.

On November 15, 2011, the Company granted 40,000 stock options to a consultant with an exercise price of \$0.10, which vested immediately and expire on November 15, 2016 (cancelled during the year ended August 31, 2012).

On March 19, 2012, the Company granted 450,000 stock options to a director and advisors to the Company with an exercise price of \$0.15, of which 225,000 vested immediately and 225,000 vesting on August 15, 2012 and expire March 19, 2017 (200,000 of the options were cancelled during year ended August 31, 2013).

On March 27, 2012, the Company granted 250,000 stock options to an Investor Relations company with an exercise price of \$0.15, of which 125,000 vested immediately and 125,000 vesting on June 27, 2012 and expire on March 27, 2017 (cancelled during year ended August 31, 2013).

On April 10, 2012, the Company granted 25,000 stock options to a consultant of the Company with an exercise price of \$0.15, which vested immediately and expire on April 10, 2017 (cancelled during year ended August 31, 2013).

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

The particulars of unexercised options, stock that has not vested and equity incentive plan awards for our named executive officers are set out in the following table:

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END

OPTION AWARDS

STOCK AWARDS

Name	OPTION AWARDS			STOCK AWARDS						
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable (b)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable (c)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) (d)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Awards: (e)	Option Exercise Price (\$) (f)	Option Expiration Date (g)	Number of Shares or Units (h)	Market Value of Shares or Units (i)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Awards: Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (j)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (k)
Robert McAllister	100,000				\$0.10	2014/10/22				
John Thomas	200,000				\$0.10	2014/12/30				
Donald Findlay	255,000				\$0.15	2016/02/14				
Greg Dawson	250,000				\$0.15	2017/03/19				
Bal Bhullar	150,000				\$0.15	2016/03/10				
	50,000				\$0.25	2016/06/02				
	250,000				\$0.25	2016/06/02				
	100,000				\$0.10	2014/10/22				
	100,000				\$0.10	2014/12/30				
	300,000				\$0.15	2016/02/14				

Option Exercises

During our fiscal year ended August 31, 2013 there were no options exercised by our named officers.

Compensation of Directors

Except as otherwise disclosed, we do not have any agreements for compensating our directors for their services in their capacity as directors, although such directors are expected in the future to receive stock options to purchase shares of our common stock as awarded by our board of directors.

Pension, Retirement or Similar Benefit Plans

There are no arrangements or plans in which we provide pension, retirement or similar benefits for directors or executive officers. We have no material bonus or profit sharing plans pursuant to which cash or non-cash compensation is or may be paid to our directors or executive officers, except that stock options may be granted at the discretion of the board of directors or a committee thereof.

Indebtedness of Directors, Senior Officers, Executive Officers and Other Management

None of our directors or executive officers or any associate or affiliate of our Company during the last two fiscal years is or has been indebted to our Company by way of guarantee, support agreement, letter of credit or other similar agreement or understanding currently outstanding.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The following table sets forth, as of November 20, 2013, certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common shares by each shareholder known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our common shares, as well as by each of our current directors and executive officers as a group. Each person has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares of common stock, except as otherwise indicated. Beneficial ownership consists of a direct interest in the shares of common stock, except as otherwise indicated.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percentage of Class
Robert McAllister Kelowna, British Columbia, Canada	4,195,000 ⁽²⁾	8.40%
Bal Bhullar Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada	751,000 ⁽³⁾	1.50%
Donald Findlay Calgary, Alberta, Canada	252,000 ⁽⁵⁾	0.50%
Greg Dawson Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada	300,000 ⁽⁶⁾	0.60%
John Thomas Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada	300,000 ⁽⁷⁾	0.60%
Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (5 people) ⁽⁸⁾	5,798,000	11.60%
0984329 BC Ltd. Mission, BC	10,000,000	20.02%
Chris Bunka Kelowna, British Columbia, Canada	4,007,833 ⁽¹⁾	8.02%
Total	19,805,833	39.65%

(1) Includes 100,000 warrants which are exercisable at \$0.20; 2,334,333 shares in the name of CAB Financial Services and 200,000 shares held in 0743608 BC Ltd for which Chris Bunka is the sole beneficiary.

(2) Includes 280,000 warrants which are exercisable at \$0.20; 300,000 and 255,000 and 250,000 options which are exercisable at \$0.10, and \$0.15 and \$0.06.

(3) Includes 200,000 and 300,000 and 250,000 options which are exercisable at \$0.10 and \$0.15 and \$0.06.

(4) Includes 150,000 and 50,000 and 50,000 options which are exercisable at \$0.15 and \$0.25 and \$0.06.

(5) Includes 250,000 and 50,000 options which are exercisable at \$0.25 and \$0.06.

(6) Includes 250,000 and 50,000 options which are exercisable at \$0.25 and \$0.06.

(7) Under Rule 13d-3, a beneficial owner of a security includes any person who, directly or indirectly, through any contract, arrangement, understanding, relationship, or otherwise has or shares: (i) voting power, which includes the power to vote, or to direct the voting of shares; and (ii) investment power, which includes the power to dispose or direct the disposition of shares. Certain shares may be deemed to be beneficially owned by more than

one person (if, for example, persons share the power to vote or the power to dispose of the shares). In addition, shares are deemed to be beneficially owned by a person if the person has the right to acquire the shares (for example, upon exercise of an option) within 60 days of the date as of which the information is provided. In computing the percentage ownership of any person, the amount of shares outstanding is deemed to include the amount of shares beneficially owned by such person (and only such person) by reason of these acquisition rights. As a result, the percentage of outstanding shares of any person as shown in this table does not necessarily reflect the person's actual ownership or voting power with respect to the number of shares of common stock actually outstanding on November 20, 2013. As of November 20, 2013, there were 41,364,415 shares of our company's common stock issued and outstanding.

Changes in Control

We are unaware of any contract or other arrangement the operation of which may at a subsequent date result in a change in control of our company.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Except as disclosed herein, no director, executive officer, shareholder holding at least 5% of shares of our common stock, or any family member thereof, had any material interest, direct or indirect, in any transaction, or proposed transaction since the year ended August 31, 2013, in which the amount involved in the transaction exceeded or exceeds the lesser of \$120,000 or one percent of the average of our total assets at the year-end for the last two completed fiscal years.

For the year ended August 31, 2013, our company was party to the following related party transactions:

- Paid /accrued \$60,000 (August 31, 2012: \$60,000) to the President of the Company in consulting fees.
- Paid/accrued \$55,000 (August 31, 2012: \$60,000) of consulting fees to a company controlled by a former Director/CEO of the Company.
- Paid/accrued CAD\$64,435 (August 31, 2012: \$65,543) in consulting fees to a company controlled by the CFO of the Company.
- Paid /accrued \$6,040 (August 31, 2012: \$9,000) in consulting fee former CTO of the Company.
- Paid / accrued \$110 (August 31, 2012: \$9,375) in consulting fee to former Senior VP, Business Development.
- Included in accounts payable, \$123,610 was payable to the President and a company controlled by a CFO of the Company.
- On February 9, 2012, the Company signed a Loan Agreement with Robert McAllister, director of the Company to borrow \$50,000 (CAD\$50,000). The loan is unsecured, was due on May 9, 2012 at an interest rate of 10% per annum and is now on a month to month term.

Director Independence

We currently act with four (4) directors, consisting of Robert McAllister, Donald Findlay, John Thomas and Greg Dawson. We have determined that Greg Dawson, Donald Findlay, and Gerald Carlson are an independent director as defined in NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 4200(a)(15).

Currently our audit committee consists of three board of directors. We currently do not have nominating, compensation committees or committees performing similar functions. There has not been any defined policy or procedure requirements for shareholders to submit recommendations or nomination for directors.

Our board of directors has determined that it does not have a member of its audit committee who qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as defined in as defined in Item 407(d)(5)(ii) of Regulation S-K.

From inception to present date, we believe that the members of our audit committee and the board of directors have been and are collectively capable of analyzing and evaluating our financial statements and understanding internal controls and procedures for financial reporting.

We do not have a standing compensation or nominating committee, but our entire board of directors act in such capacity. We believe that our directors are capable of analyzing and evaluating our financial statements and understanding internal controls and procedures for financial reporting. Our directors do not believe that it is necessary to have an audit committee because we believe that the functions of an audit committee can be adequately performed by the board of directors. In addition, we believe that retaining additional independent directors who would qualify as an audit committee financial expert would be overly costly and burdensome and is not warranted in our circumstances given the early stages of our development.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The aggregate fees billed for the most recently completed fiscal year ended August 31, 2013 and for fiscal year ended August 31, 2012 for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for the audit of our annual financial statements and review of the financial statements included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for these fiscal periods were as follows:

	Year Ended	
	August 31, 2013	August 31, 2012
Audit Fees	30,759	24,502
Audit Related Fees	25,651	19,986
Tax Fees	Nil	Nil
All Other Fees	Nil	Nil
Total	56,411	44,488

Audit Fees. Audit fees consist of fees billed for professional services rendered for the audits of our financial statements, reviews of our interim financial statements included in quarterly reports, services performed in connection with filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission and related comfort letters and other services that are normally provided by MNP LLP for fiscal year ended August 31, 2013.

Audit related Fees. There were \$30,759 audit related fees paid to MNP LLP for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2013 and \$24,502 for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2012.

Tax Fees. Tax fees consist of fees billed for professional services for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning. These services include assistance regarding federal, state and local tax compliance and consultation in connection with various transactions and acquisitions. For the fiscal years ended August 31, 2013 and August 31, 2012, we did not use MNP LLP for non-audit professional services or preparation of corporate tax returns.

We do not use MNP LLP, for financial information system design and implementation. These services, which include designing or implementing a system that aggregates source data underlying the financial statements or generates information that is significant to our financial statements, are provided internally or by other service providers. We do not engage MNP LLP to provide compliance outsourcing services.

Effective May 6, 2003, the Securities and Exchange Commission adopted rules that require that before our independent auditors are engaged by us to render any auditing or permitted non-audit related service, the engagement be:

- approved by our audit committee (which consists of our entire board of directors); or
- entered into pursuant to pre-approval policies and procedures established by the board of directors, provided the policies and procedures are detailed as to the particular service, the board of directors is informed of each service, and such policies and procedures do not include delegation of the board of directors' responsibilities to management.

Our board of directors pre-approves all services provided by our independent auditors. All of the above services and fees were reviewed and approved by the board of directors either before or after the respective services were rendered.

Our board of directors has considered the nature and amount of fees billed by our independent auditors and believes that the provision of services for activities unrelated to the audit is compatible with maintaining our independent auditors' independence.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) Financial Statements

- (1) Financial statements for our Company are listed in the index under Item 8 of this document
- (2) All financial statement schedules are omitted because they are not applicable, not material or the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

Exhibit No.	Description
3.1	Articles of Incorporation dated November 24, 2004 (incorporated by reference on our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed January 9, 2006)
3.2	Bylaws (incorporated by reference on our Registration Statement on Form SB-2/A filed March 6, 2006)
10.1	Mining Lease between Nevada North Resources (U.S.A.), Inc. and Miranda U.S.A. Inc. (incorporated by reference on our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed January 9, 2006)
10.2	Exploration Agreement with Options for Joint Venture between our company and Miranda U.S.A. Inc. (incorporated by reference on our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed January 9, 2006)
10.3	Amended Exploration Agreement between our company and Miranda U.S.A. Inc. (incorporated by reference on our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed January 9, 2006)
10.4	Consulting Agreement between our company and KGE Management Ltd. (incorporated by reference on our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed January 9, 2006)
10.5	Assignment Agreement with 0743608 B.C. Ltd. (incorporated by reference on our Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 19, 2007)
10.6	Consulting Agreement with Mr. Robert McAllister dated December 1, 2008
14.1	Code of Ethics (incorporated by reference by from our annual report on Form 10-KSB filed on November 29, 2007).
<u>23.1*</u>	<u>Consent of MNP LLP</u>
<u>31.1*</u>	<u>Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14 and Rule 15d-14(a), promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Chief Executive Officer).</u>
<u>31.2*</u>	

Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14 and Rule 15d-14(a), promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Chief Financial Officer).

32.1* Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Executive Officer).

32.2* Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Financial Officer).

* *Filed herewith.*

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ENERTOPIA CORP.

By: /s/ Robert McAllister
Robert McAllister
President and Director
Principal Executive Officer
Date: December 4, 2013.

By: /s/ Bal Bhullar
Bal Bhullar
Chief Financial Officer
Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer
Date: December 4, 2013.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Robert McAllister
Robert McAllister
President and Director
Principal Executive Officer
Date: December 4, 2013.

By: /s/ Bal Bhullar
Bal Bhullar
Chief Financial Officer
Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer
Date: December 4, 2013.