VORNADO REALTY TRUST Form DEF 14A April 06, 2018

Use these links to rapidly review the document TABLE OF CONTENT

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

)

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.

Filed by the Registrant ý

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- ý Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

VORNADO REALTY TRUST

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- ý No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - (5) Total fee paid:
- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

0		box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee aid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
	(1)	Amount Previously Paid:
	(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
	(3)	Filing Party:
	(4)	Date Filed:

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

888 Seventh Avenue New York, New York 10019

Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders to Be Held on May 17, 2018

To our Shareholders:

The 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Vornado Realty Trust, a Maryland real estate investment trust ("Vornado" or the "Company"), will be held at the Saddle Brook Marriott, Interstate 80 and the Garden State Parkway, Saddle Brook, New Jersey 07663, on Thursday, May 17, 2018, beginning at 11:30 A.M., New York City time, for the following purposes:

- (1) To consider and vote upon the election of seven persons to the Board of Trustees of the Company, each to serve for a one-year term expiring at the 2019 annual meeting of shareholders of the Company and until his or her successor is duly elected and qualified.
- (2) To consider and vote upon the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the current fiscal year.
- (3) To consider and vote upon an amendment (the "Amendment") to our Company's Declaration of Trust (the "Declaration") to permit shareholders to vote on amendments to our Bylaws to the extent provided in the Bylaws and confirm the power of shareholders to vote on certain additional matters.
 - (4) To consider and vote upon the approval of a non-binding, advisory resolution on executive compensation.
 - (5) To transact any other business as may properly come before the meeting or any postponement or adjournment of the meeting.

The Board of Trustees of the Company has fixed the close of business on March 19, 2018 as the record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the meeting.

Please review the accompanying proxy statement and proxy card or voting instruction form. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, it is important that your shares be represented and voted. You may authorize your proxy through the Internet or by touch-tone telephone as described on the proxy card or voting instruction form. Alternatively, you may sign the proxy card or voting instruction form and return it in accordance with the instructions included with the proxy card or voting instruction form. You may revoke your proxy by (1) timely executing and submitting a later-dated proxy card or voting instruction form, (2) subsequently authorizing a proxy through the Internet or by telephone, (3) timely sending a written revocation of proxy to our Secretary at our principal executive office located at 888 Seventh Avenue, New York, New York 10019, or (4) attending the meeting and voting in person. To be effective, later-dated proxy cards, voting instruction forms, proxies authorized via the Internet or telephone or written revocations of proxies must be received by us by 11:59 P.M., New York City time, on Wednesday, May 16, 2018.

By Order of the Board of Trustees, Alan J. Rice Secretary April 6, 2018

Table of Contents

2018 PROXY STATEMENT SUMMARY

Overview of Voting Items

This summary highlights certain information that is covered elsewhere in this Proxy Statement. You are encouraged to read our complete Proxy Statement before voting.

Proposal 1: Election of seven Trustees For
Proposal 2: Ratification of appointment of Independent Accounting Firm For
Proposal 3: Approval of amendment to the Company's Declaration of Trust to permit shareholders to vote on amendments to the Company's Bylaws to the extent provided in the Bylaws and confirm the power of shareholders to vote on certain additional matters

Proposal 4: Advisory approval of executive compensation

For

We made significant progress in furthering our simplification and focus strategy by completing the spin-off of our Washington, D.C. segment and combining it with the management business and certain Washington, D.C. assets of The JBG Companies, a Washington D.C. real estate company. The combined company, JBG SMITH Properties (NYSE: JBGS), is the largest, market-leading, best-in-class, pure-play Washington, D.C. real estate company. Over the past several years, including the spin-off of Urban Edge Properties and the JBG SMITH Properties transaction, we have distributed \$9.7 billion of assets to shareholders and exited \$6.2 billion of non-core assets.

Net Operating Income ("NOI") at share increased by \$37.3 million in 2017 over 2016. NOI and NOI at share are non-GAAP measures defined on page 22 of this Proxy Statement. "GAAP" means Generally Accepted Accounting Principles applicable in the United States.

We achieved a 2.7% increase in same store NOI at share in 2017 over 2016 for our New York Office and Retail portfolio, the MART and our 555 California Street complex.

FFO, as adjusted, increased \$30.4 million (or \$0.14 per diluted share) in 2017 over 2016. FFO and FFO, as adjusted are non-GAAP measures defined on page 22 of this Proxy Statement.

In 2017, we completed \$4.8 billion of financings in 10 transactions.

Strong leasing performance in 2017. In our New York portfolio, we leased approximately 1,900,000 square feet of office space with strong mark-to-markets of 12.8% GAAP and 9.9% cash and 126,000 square feet of retail space with positive mark-to-markets of 26.5% GAAP and

25.4% cash.

In 2017, we made a \$230 million upfront contribution for the acquisition of a 99-year leasehold of the Farley Post Office (a 50.1% interest). Please also see our Chairman's Letter that can be found on our website at www.vno.com/chairmansletter.

Shareholder Engagement and Recent Governance Changes

During the last four years, our Board of Trustees has adopted, or is proposing, a number of significant governance changes. These changes follow extensive engagement with our shareholders to better understand their views on our corporate governance practices. The Chair of our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee was an active participant in these meetings with investors and she and members of our

Table of Contents

management team reported and discussed the feedback received with the full Board. As part of this engagement, we held in-person or telephonic meetings with shareholders representing approximately 50% of our outstanding common shares of beneficial interest in each of the last four years. In addition to the changes outlined below, we have also significantly enhanced the corporate governance, sustainability and executive compensation disclosures in our proxy statement.

Changes made since the date of our last proxy statement

Subject to shareholder approval at this meeting, taking action to permit our shareholders to amend our Bylaws.

Appointing Ms. Mandakini Puri, an additional "audit committee financial expert" to our Audit Committee so that each of the three current members qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert."

Changes made between 2016 and 2017 proxy statements

Adding Ms. Mandakini Puri as a new independent Trustee.

Adopting proxy access.

Further enhancing the role and responsibilities of the Lead Trustee.

Other changes made since 2015

Amending our Corporate Governance Guidelines to provide that, in an uncontested election, if a nominee for Trustee does not receive majority support for election to the Board (more "for" votes than "withhold" votes) that Trustee must offer to resign from the Board. The Board would then determine whether to accept or reject the resignation and disclose its rationale for its decision.

Amending our Declaration of Trust to provide for the phased-in annual election of our Board of Trustees, with the Board fully declassified in 2019.

Appointing Ms. Candace K. Beinecke as our new Lead Trustee.

Amending our Corporate Governance Guidelines to provide for increased clarity and emphasis on diversity as a criteria for the selection of new Trustees.

Increasing the power and authority of our Lead Trustee to reflect best practices.

Increasing Trustee equity ownership requirements to five times (from four times) their annual cash retainer.

Designating a second member of the Audit Committee to be an "audit committee financial expert."

Adopting an anti-hedging policy.

Adopting a claw-back policy.

Corporate Governance Highlights

- ü Highly independent Board actively engaged in strategic, risk and management oversight
- ü Resignation policy for any Trustee who does not receive majority support in an uncontested election
- ü A highly experienced Board of Trustees with diverse experiences and expertise applicable to our strategic and business needs
- ü Robust role for Lead Independent Trustee who is elected annually by the independent Trustees
- ü Continued and enhanced focus on Board composition, refreshment and rotation

Table of Contents

- ü Annual evaluations of our Board, our Trustees and our Board committees
- ü Open communication and strong working relationships among Trustees with regular access to management
- ü High Trustee share ownership
- ü Strong succession planning oversight
- ü Proactive shareholder engagement program and responsive to shareholder feedback
- ü Proxy access (3%/3 years/20 aggregation)
- ü Commencing in 2019, a single class of Trustees
- ü Assuming shareholder approval of the Amendment, permitting shareholders to amend the Bylaws

Executive Compensation Highlights

ü Significant portion of compensation is variable and performance-based

Formula-driven annual bonus plan

Equity grants tied to share performance goals which require a return to shareholders prior to their having any value

- ü Significant share ownership and retention requirements (6x salary for CEO, 5x annual cash retainer for Trustees, 3x salary for other NEOs)
- ü Double trigger equity acceleration upon a change of control
- ü Policy against hedging or pledging
- ü Clawback policy
- ü No tax gross-ups
- ü No excess perks and limited retirement benefits
- ü No golden parachute or contractual severance arrangement for our CEO

Executive Compensation Program Objectives:

Retain a highly-experienced, "best-in-class" team of executives who have worked together as a team for a long period of time and who make major contributions to our success.

Attract other highly-qualified executives to strengthen that team as needed.

Motivate our executives to contribute to the achievement of company-wide and business-unit goals as well as to pursue individual goals.

Emphasize equity-based incentives with long-term performance measurement periods and vesting conditions.

Align the interests of executives with shareholders by linking payouts under annual incentives to performance measures that promote the creation of long-term shareholder value.

Achieve an appropriate balance between risk and reward in our compensation programs that does not encourage excessive or inappropriate risk-taking.

Table of Contents	Ü		

Proxy Statement Table of Contents

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING	1
How do you vote?	1
Who is entitled to vote?	$\frac{1}{2}$
How do you attend the meeting in person?	1 2 2 2 2 2
What is the quorum necessary for the meeting?	$\frac{\blacksquare}{2}$
How will your votes be counted?	2
	-
PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF TRUSTEES	<u>3</u>
Trustees Standing for Election	3
Biographies of our Trustees	<u>3</u> <u>4</u>
Relationships Among our Trustees	<u>6</u>
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	<u>6</u>
	-
Our Corporate Governance Framework	<u>6</u>
Board Independence	<u>7</u>
Board Participation	<u>7</u>
Shareholder Engagement and Governance Changes	<u>7</u>
<u>Sustainability</u>	<u>8</u>
Social Engagement	7 7 7 8 9 9
Corporate Governance at a Glance	9
Developing an Effective Board	
Board Leadership Structure	<u>12</u>
Lead Trustee Role	<u>13</u>
Board Refreshment	<u>14</u>
Committees of the Board of Trustees	<u>14</u>
The Board's Role in Risk Oversight	<u>16</u>
PRINCIPAL SECURITY HOLDERS	<u>17</u>
Principal Security Holders Table	<u>17</u>
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	<u>19</u>
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	<u>20</u>
Executive Summary	<u>20</u>
Approach of this Compensation Discussion and Analysis Section	<u>26</u>
How Pay Aligns with Performance	<u>27</u>
How We Determine Executive Compensation	<u>27</u> 29
Elements of Our Compensation Program	<u>32</u>
Equity Ownership Guidelines	37

Comparison of 2015-2017 Total Direct Compensation	<u>38</u>
Direct Compensation Table	<u>39</u>
Current Year Compensation Decisions	<u>39</u>
Other Compensation Policies and Practices	<u>41</u>
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT	<u>43</u>

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	<u>44</u>
Summary Compensation Table All Other Compensation Table Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2017 Outstanding Equity Awards at Year-End Aggregate Option Exercises in 2017 and Units Vested Employee Retirement Plan Deferred Compensation Employment Contracts Severance and Change of Control Arrangements Pay Ratio Disclosure	44 45 46 47 49 49 50 53 55
COMPENSATION OF TRUSTEES	<u>56</u>
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION	<u>57</u>
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	<u>57</u>
REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE	<u>60</u>
PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED ACCOUNTING FIRM	<u>61</u>
Audit Fees Audit-Related Fees Tax Fees All Other Fees Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures	61 61 62 62
PROPOSAL 3: APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO THE DECLARATION OF TRUST TO PERMIT SHAREHOLDERS TO PROPOSE BINDING AMENDMENTS TO THE COMPANY'S BYLAWS AND TO VOTE ON AMENDMENTS TO THE	
COMPANY'S BYLAWS	<u>63</u>
PROPOSAL 4: NON-BINDING, ADVISORY RESOLUTION ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	<u>65</u>
INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE	<u>66</u>
ADDITIONAL MATTERS TO COME BEFORE THE MEETING	<u>66</u>
PROXY AUTHORIZATION VIA THE INTERNET OR BY TELEPHONE	<u>66</u>
	_
ADVANCE NOTICE FOR SHAREHOLDER NOMINATIONS AND SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS	<u>66</u>

ANNEX A CORPORATE GOVERNANCE GUIDELINES	<u>68</u>
ANNEX B ARTICLES OF AMENDMENT	<u>76</u>
ANNEX C PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO BYLAWS	<u>77</u>
ANNEX D RECONCILIATION OF NON-GAAP METRICS	<u>78</u>

2018 PROXY STATEMENT VORNADO REALTY TRUST

Table of Contents

888 Seventh Avenue New York, New York 10019

PROXY STATEMENT

Annual Meeting of Shareholders to Be Held on May 17, 2018

The accompanying proxy is being solicited by the Board of Trustees (the "Board of Trustees" or the "Board") of Vornado Realty Trust, a Maryland real estate investment trust ("we," "us," "our" or the "Company"), for exercise at our 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the "Annual Meeting") to be held on Thursday, May 17, 2018, beginning at 11:30 A.M., New York City time, at the Saddle Brook Marriott, Interstate 80 and the Garden State Parkway, Saddle Brook, New Jersey 07663. Our principal executive office is located at 888 Seventh Avenue, New York, New York 10019. Our proxy materials, including this proxy statement, the Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders, the proxy card or voting instruction form and our 2017 Annual Report are being distributed and made available on or about April 6, 2018.

In accordance with rules and regulations adopted by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), we have elected to provide our shareholders access to our proxy materials on the Internet. Accordingly, a notice of Internet availability of proxy materials will be mailed on or about April 6, 2018 to our shareholders of record as of the close of business on March 19, 2018. Shareholders may (1) access the proxy materials on the website referred to in the notice or (2) request that a printed set of the proxy materials be sent, at no cost to them, by following the instructions in the notice. You will need your 12-digit control number that is included with the notice mailed on or about April 6, 2018, to authorize your proxy for your Shares (as defined below) through the Internet. If you have not received a copy of this notice of internet availability, please contact our investor relations department at 201-587-1000 or send an e-mail to ircontact@vno.com. If you wish to receive a printed version of these materials, you may request them at www.proxyvote.com or by dialing 1-800-579-1639 and following the instructions at that website or phone number.

How do you vote?

If you hold your Shares of record in your own name, you may vote in person at the Annual Meeting or you may authorize your proxy over the Internet (at www.proxyvote.com), by telephone (at 1-800-690-6903) or by executing and returning a proxy card or voting instruction form. Once you authorize a proxy, you may revoke that proxy by (1) timely executing and submitting a later-dated proxy card or voting instruction form, (2) subsequently authorizing a proxy through the Internet or by telephone, (3) timely sending a written revocation of your proxy to our Secretary at our principal executive office or (4) attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. Attending the Annual Meeting without submitting a new proxy or voting in person will not automatically revoke your prior authorization of your proxy.

If you hold your Shares in "street name" (that is, as beneficial owner through a bank, broker or other nominee), your nominee will not vote your shares (other than with respect to the ratification of the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm) unless you provide instructions to your nominee on how to vote your Shares. If you hold Shares in "street name," you will receive instructions from your nominee

1

that you must follow in order to have your proxy authorized, or you may contact your nominee directly to request these voting instructions. You should instruct your nominee how to vote your Shares by following the directions provided by your nominee.

To be effective, later-dated proxy cards, voting instruction forms, proxies authorized via the Internet or telephone or written revocations of proxies must be received by us by 11:59 P.M., New York City time, on Wednesday, May 16, 2018.

2 VORNADO REALTY TRUST 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

We will pay the cost of soliciting proxies. We have hired MacKenzie Partners, Inc. to solicit proxies for a fee not to exceed \$5,500. In addition to solicitation by mail, by telephone and by e-mail or the Internet, arrangements may be made with brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries to send proxies and proxy materials to their principals and we may reimburse them for their expenses in so doing. Trustees or members of management of the Company may also solicit votes.

Who is entitled to vote?

Only holders of record of our common shares of beneficial interest, par value \$0.04 per share (the "Shares"), as of the close of business on March 19, 2018 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. We refer to this date as the "record date." On that date, 190,167,582 of our Shares were outstanding. Holders of Shares as of the record date are entitled to one vote per Share on each matter properly presented at the Annual Meeting.

How do you attend the meeting in person?

If you hold your Shares in your own name, you will need only to present satisfactory evidence of your identity. If you hold your Shares in "street name" and would like to attend the Annual Meeting in person, you will need to bring an account statement or other evidence acceptable to us of ownership of your Shares as of the close of business on the record date. If you hold Shares in "street name" and wish to vote in person at the Annual Meeting, you will need to contact your bank, broker or other nominee and obtain a "legal proxy" from your nominee and bring it to the Annual Meeting. Obtaining a legal proxy may take several days. Directions to attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person are available upon request to the Secretary of the Company at its offices.

What is the quorum necessary for the meeting?

The holders of a majority of the outstanding Shares as of the close of business on the record date, present in person or by proxy, will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting.

How will your votes be counted?

Any proxy, properly executed and returned, will be voted as directed and, if no direction is given, will be voted as recommended by the Board of Trustees in this proxy statement and in the discretion of the proxy holder as to any other matter that may properly come before the meeting. A broker non-vote and any proxy marked "withhold" or an abstention, as applicable, will count for the purposes of determining a quorum, but will have no effect on the result of the vote on the election of Trustees (Proposal 1), the ratification of the appointment of our registered independent public accounting firm (Proposal 2), or the non-binding, advisory vote on executive compensation (Proposal 4). However, because the vote required to amend our Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust (the "Declaration") to permit shareholders to vote on amendments to our Amended and Restated Bylaws (the "Bylaws") (Proposal 3) is based on votes entitled to be cast at the meeting (rather than votes actually cast at the meeting), abstentions and broker non-votes, if any, will have the effect of votes against the Amendment. A broker non-vote is a vote that is not cast on a non-routine matter because the Shares entitled to cast the vote are held in street name, the broker lacks discretionary authority to vote the Shares on that matter and the broker has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner.

The election of each of our nominees for Trustee (Proposal 1) requires a plurality of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting, however, any nominee for Trustee who does not receive the approval of a majority of the votes cast (more "for" votes than "withhold" votes) will be required, pursuant to our Corporate Governance Guidelines (the "Guidelines"), to tender his or her offer of resignation to the Board of Trustees for its consideration. The ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm (Proposal 2), and the approval of the non-binding, advisory vote on executive compensation (Proposal 4) each requires a majority of the votes cast on such matter at the Annual Meeting. The approval of the amendment to the Declaration to permit shareholders to vote on amendments to the Bylaws (the "Amendment") (Proposal 3) requires the affirmative vote by holders of not less than a majority of our Shares outstanding and entitled to vote thereon.

2018 PROXY STATEMENT VORNADO REALTY TRUST

PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF TRUSTEES

Trustees Standing for Election

Our Board has 10 Trustees. On February 8, 2018, our Board, on the recommendation of our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, nominated each of Messrs. Steven Roth, Michael D. Fascitelli, Michael Lynne and David M. Mandelbaum, Ms. Mandakini Puri and Messrs. Daniel R. Tisch and Russell B. Wight, Jr. for election at our Annual Meeting. Such persons will be elected to serve until the Annual Meeting of Shareholders in 2019 and until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified. Each of these nominees currently serves as a member of our Board. Our organizational documents provide that the Trustees who were elected at the 2016 annual meeting of shareholders will serve until the 2019 annual meeting. Beginning with the 2019 annual meeting of shareholders, all Trustees will be elected as a single class annually, in each case to serve for a term expiring at the next succeeding annual meeting and until their respective successors have been duly elected and qualified.

Unless you direct otherwise in your signed and returned proxy, each of the persons named in the accompanying proxy will vote your Shares for the election of each of the seven nominees for Trustees listed below. If any nominee at the time of election is unavailable to serve, it is intended that each of the persons named in the proxy will vote for an alternate nominee who will be recommended by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee of our Board and nominated by the Board. Alternatively, the Board may reduce the size of the Board and the number of nominees. Proxies may be exercised only for the nominees named or such alternates. We do not currently anticipate that any nominee for Trustee will be unable to serve as a Trustee.

The Board of Trustees recommends that shareholders vote "FOR" the election of each of the nominees listed below to serve as a Trustee until the Annual Meeting of Shareholders in 2019 and until his or her respective successor has been duly elected and qualified.

Under our Bylaws, a plurality of all the votes cast at the Annual Meeting, if a quorum is present, is sufficient to elect a Trustee. However, any Trustee who does not receive the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast for his or her election to the Board (a greater number of "for" votes than "withhold" votes) in an uncontested election (such as this election) will be required, pursuant to our Corporate Governance Guidelines, to tender his or her offer of resignation to the Board for its consideration. A broker non-vote will have no effect on the result of this vote.

3

4 VORNADO REALTY TRUST 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

Table of Contents

The following table lists the nominees and the other present members of the Board who will continue to serve following the 2018 Annual Meeting. For each such person, the table lists the age, principal occupation, position presently held with the Company, if any, and the year in which the person first became a member of our Board or a director of our predecessor, Vornado, Inc.

Nominees for Election	to Serve as Trustees Until the Annual Meeting in 2019		
Steven Roth(1)	76 Chairman of the Board of Trustees of the Company since May 1989; Chief	2019	1979
	Executive Officer of the Company from May 1989 to May 2009 and since April 15,		
	2013; Managing General Partner of Interstate Properties		
Michael D. Fascitelli	61 Owner, MDF Capital LLC since June 2013. From May 2009 to April 15, 2013,	2019	1996
	President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company		
Michael Lynne ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	76 Principal of Unique Features	2019	2005
David M.	82 A member of the law firm of Mandelbaum & Mandelbaum, P.C.; a general partner	2019	1979
Mandelbaum ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	of Interstate Properties		
Mandakini Puri(2)(5)	57 Independent consultant	2019	2016
Daniel R. Tisch(2)(3)(5)	66 Managing Member of TowerView LLC	2019	2012
Russell B. Wight,	78 A general partner of Interstate Properties	2019	1979
Jr. ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾			
Present Trustees Electe	ed to Serve as Trustees Until the Annual Meeting in 2019		
Candace K.	71 Chair of Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP	2019	2007
Beinecke(1)(2)(4)(6)			
Robert P. Kogod(2)	86 President of Charles E. Smith Management LLC	2019	2002
Dr. Richard R.	80 Dean Emeritus, Leonard N. Stern School of Business, New York University	2019	1982
West(2)(3)(5)			

- (1) Member of the Executive Committee of the Board.
- (2)
 Independent pursuant to the rules of the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") as determined by the Board.
- (3) Member of the Compensation Committee of the Board.
- (4) Member of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee of the Board.

- (5)

 Member of the Audit Committee of the Board.
- (6) Lead Trustee.

Biographies of our Trustees

Ms. Beinecke has served as Chair of Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP, a New York law firm, since 1999 and is a practicing partner in Hughes Hubbard's Corporate Department. Ms. Beinecke also serves as Chairperson of the Board of Arnhold & S. Bleichroeder Advisors LLC's First Eagle Funds, Inc. (a U.S. public mutual fund family), and as a board member of ALSTOM (a public French transport and power company).

Mr. Fascitelli has served as a member of our Board of Trustees since December 1996. Since June 2013, Mr. Fascitelli has been the owner and principal of MDF Capital LLC (a private investment firm). Since November 2017, Mr. Fascitelli has served as Co-Founder and Managing Partner of Imperial Companies (a private real estate company). Also since November 2017, Mr. Fascitelli has served as a Founder and Non-Executive Director of Landscape Acquisitions (a special purpose acquisitions company focusing on real estate operating companies and listed on the London Stock Exchange). Since December 2014, Mr. Fascitelli has served as Chair of the Investment Committee, Senior Advisor and Board Member of Quadro Partners Inc. (a private online real estate investment platform). Previously, Mr. Fascitelli served as our President from

2018 PROXY STATEMENT VORNADO REALTY TRUST

December 1996, and as our Chief Executive Officer from May 2009, until his resignation from both positions effective April 15, 2013. Until May 23, 2013, he was also a director of our affiliate, Alexander's, Inc. ("Alexander's") (a real estate investment trust 32.4% of which is owned by the Company), and served as its President until April 15, 2013. From 2004 until 2013, he also served as a director of our affiliate, Toys "R" Us, Inc. (a retailer). Since January 16, 2014, Mr. Fascitelli has served on the Board of Trustees of Invitation Homes Inc. (a publicly-traded residential real estate company) or its predecessors Colony Starwood Homes and Starwood Waypoint Residential Trust. Since 2015, Mr. Fascitelli has also served as a member of the Board of Commissioners of the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey.

Mr. Kogod has served as a member of our Board of Trustees since 2002. Currently, Mr. Kogod is the President of Charles E. Smith Management LLC (a privately-owned investment firm that is not affiliated with the Company). Previously, Mr. Kogod was Co-Chief Executive Officer and Co-Chairman of the Board of Directors of Charles E. Smith Commercial Realty L.P., from October 1997 through December 2001, and was Co-Chief Executive Officer and Co-Chairman of the Board of Directors of Charles E. Smith Residential Realty from June 1994 to October 2001.

Mr. Lynne has been a principal of Unique Features (a media company) since its formation in 2008. Prior to that, he was Co-Chairman and Co-Chief Executive Officer of New Line Cinema Corporation (a subsidiary of Time Warner, Inc. and a motion picture company) since 2001. Prior to 2001, Mr. Lynne served as President and Chief Operating Officer of New Line Cinema, starting in 1990. From 2006 until 2008, Mr. Lynne served on the Board of Directors of Time Warner Cable Inc. (a telecommunications company). Since July 2013, Mr. Lynne has been a member of the Board of Directors of IMAX Corporation (an entertainment technology company).

Mr. Mandelbaum has been a member of the law firm of Mandelbaum & Mandelbaum, P.C. since 1960. Since 1968, he has been a general partner of Interstate Properties (an owner of shopping centers and investor in securities and partnerships, "Interstate"). Mr. Mandelbaum is also a director of Alexander's.

Ms. Puri has been an independent consultant since May 2013. From May 2011 until May 2013, she served as a Managing Director and Co-Head of BlackRock Private Equity, a private equity business affiliated with BlackRock, Inc. From April 2009 until April 2011, Ms. Puri served as a consultant to Bank of America/Merrill Lynch Global Private Equity and prior to that she co-founded and served as Chief Investment Officer of Merrill Lynch Global Private Equity. She is a member of the Board of Validus Holdings Ltd., a public insurance holding company, where she serves as Chair of the Executive and Compensation Committees. She is also a member of the Wharton School Graduate Executive Board.

Ms. Puri has a Bachelor of Commerce degree from Delhi University and an MBA from the Wharton School at the University of Pennsylvania and is a member of the Indian Institute of Chartered Accountants.

Mr. Roth has been the Chairman of our Board of Trustees since May 1989 and Chairman of the Executive Committee of the Board since April 1980. From May 1989 until May 2009, Mr. Roth served as our Chief Executive Officer. Since April 15, 2013, Mr. Roth has again been serving in that position. Since 1968, he has been a general partner of Interstate and he currently serves as its Managing General Partner. He is the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Alexander's. Since January 2015, Mr. Roth has been a member of the Board of Trustees of Urban Edge Properties (a real estate investment trust and former subsidiary of the Company which operates our former shopping center business, "Urban Edge"). Since July 18, 2017, Mr. Roth has been the Chairman of the Board of Trustees of JBG SMITH Properties (a real estate investment trust and the successor to our former Washington D.C. business, "JBG SMITH"). Mr. Roth was a director of J. C. Penney Company, Inc. (a retailer) from 2011 until September 2013. Mr. Roth serves on three other affiliated public company boards: Alexander's; Urban Edge and JBG SMITH. The Company owns 32.4% of Alexander's. Urban Edge is the company that resulted from the spin-off of our

5

retail business outside of New York City. The Company owns 4.5% of Urban Edge. JBG SMITH is the resulting entity of the spin-off of our Washington D.C. segment and its combination with the management business and certain Washington, D.C. assets of The JBG Companies (the "Washington Spin"). Our board believes the presence of Mr. Roth on each of these Boards is beneficial to the Company and/or the broadly overlapping shareholder base of the Company, Urban Edge, and JBG SMITH.

6 VORNADO REALTY TRUST 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

Mr. Tisch has been the Managing Member of TowerView LLC (a private investment partnership) since 2001. Mr. Tisch also serves as a member of the Board of Directors of Tejon Ranch Company (a real estate development and agribusiness company). Mr. Tisch is also a Board member and member of the Finance, Audit and Investment Committees of New York University.

Dr. West is Dean Emeritus of the Leonard N. Stern School of Business at New York University. He was a professor there from September 1984 until September 1995 and Dean from September 1984 until August 1993. Prior thereto, Dr. West was Dean of the Amos Tuck School of Business Administration at Dartmouth College. Dr. West is also a director of Alexander's.

Mr. Wight has been a general partner of Interstate since 1968. Mr. Wight is also a director of Alexander's.

Relationships Among our Trustees

We are not aware of any family relationships among any of our Trustees or executive officers or persons nominated or chosen by us to become Trustees or executive officers.

Messrs. Roth, Wight and Mandelbaum each are general partners of Interstate. Since 1992, Vornado has managed all the operations of Interstate for a fee as described in "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions Involving Interstate Properties."

Messrs. Roth, Wight and Mandelbaum and Dr. West are also directors of Alexander's. As of the record date, the Company, together with Interstate and its general partners, beneficially owns approximately 59% of the outstanding common stock of Alexander's.

For more information concerning Interstate, Alexander's and other relationships involving our Trustees, see "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions."

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The common shares of the Company or its predecessor have been continuously listed on the NYSE since January 1962 and the Company is subject to the NYSE's Corporate Governance Standards.

Our Corporate Governance Framework

Vornado is committed to effective corporate governance and high ethical standards. Our Board believes that these values are conducive to strong performance and creating long-term shareholder value. Our governance framework gives our highly experienced independent Trustees the structure necessary to provide oversight, advice and counsel to the Company. The Board of Trustees has adopted the following documents, which are available on our website (www.yno.com/governance/overview):

Audit Committee Charter

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee Charter

Corporate Governance Guidelines (attached as Annex A)

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

We will post any future changes to these documents to our website and may not otherwise publicly file such changes. Our regular filings with the SEC and our Trustees' and executive officers' filings under Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Securities Exchange Act"), are also available on our website. In addition, copies of these documents are available free of charge from the Company upon your

2018 PROXY STATEMENT VORNADO REALTY TRUST

written request. Requests should be sent to our investor relations department located at our principal executive office.

The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applies to all of our Trustees, executive officers and other employees.

Board Independence

The Board has determined that Mses. Beinecke and Puri and Messrs. Kogod, Lynne, Mandelbaum, Tisch and Wight and Dr. West are independent under the Corporate Governance Standards of the NYSE, with the result that eight of our 10 Trustees are independent. The Board reached this conclusion after considering all applicable relationships between or among such Trustees and the Company or management of the Company. These relationships are described in the sections of this proxy statement entitled "Relationships Among Our Trustees" and "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions." Among other factors considered by the Board in making its determinations regarding independence was the Board's determination that these Trustees met all of the "bright-line" requirements of the NYSE's Corporate Governance Standards as well as the categorical standards adopted by the Board as contained in our Corporate Governance Guidelines.

Board Participation

Our Board is actively involved in strategic, risk and management oversight. Our Board regularly has in-depth discussions concerning the Company's strategies and risks during which the Board actively questions and considers these topics. Furthermore, the Board regularly meets with the most senior executive officers as well as the officers who directly report to the most senior executives. The Board believes a good working knowledge of these multiple levels of management aid it considerably in its important role of management oversight as well as with succession planning.

Shareholder Engagement and Governance Changes

During the past four years we have adopted or are proposing a number of significant governance changes following outreach to our shareholders for their views. During each of the last four years, we met with or spoke to holders of more than 50% of our Shares. Based on that outreach, we believe the combination of actions we have taken present an overall governance structure responsive to their views. The changes implemented include:

Changes made since the date of our last proxy statement

Subject to shareholder approval at this meeting, taking action to permit our shareholders to amend our Bylaws.

Appointing Ms. Mandakini Puri, an additional "audit committee financial expert" to our Audit Committee so that each of the three current members qualify as an "audit committee financial expert."

Changes made between 2016 and 2017 proxy statements

7

Adding Ms. Mandakini Puri as a new independent Trustee.

Adopting proxy access.

Further enhancing the roles and responsibilities of the Lead Trustee.

Other changes made since 2015

Amending our Corporate Governance Guidelines to provide that, in an uncontested election, if a nominee for Trustee does not receive majority support for election to the Board (more "for" votes than "withhold"

8 VORNADO REALTY TRUST 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

votes) that Trustee must offer to resign from the Board. The Board would then determine whether to accept or reject the resignation and disclose its rationale for its decision.

Amending our Declaration of Trust to provide for the phased-in annual election of our Board of Trustees, with the Board fully declassified in 2019.

Appointing Ms. Candace K. Beinecke as our new Lead Trustee.

Amending our Corporate Governance Guidelines to provide for increased clarity and emphasis on diversity as a criteria for the selection of new Trustees.

Increasing the power and authority of our Lead Trustee to reflect best practices.

Increasing Trustee equity ownership requirements to five times (from four times) their annual cash retainer.

Designating a second member of the Audit Committee to be an "audit committee financial expert."

Adopting an anti-hedging policy.

Adopting a claw-back policy.

We have also significantly enhanced the corporate governance, sustainability and executive compensation disclosures in our proxy statement in the last four years.

Sustainability

We believe that our Company has been a leader in promoting sustainability practices. We regularly report to the Board on our sustainability programs and our Board plays an active role in the oversight of Vornado's sustainability practices, recognizing that sustainability and energy efficiency are central to Vornado's business strategy. In connection with our sustainability programs, we focus on:

Sustainable and efficient practices in the way we design, build, retrofit and maintain our portfolio of buildings. We believe that energy efficiency and resource conservation achieve the twofold benefit of controlling our operating expenses and reducing our impact on the environment.

Maintaining healthy indoor environments for our tenants and employees, and incorporating health and wellness into our design principles and operating standards.

Recognizing climate change as a material issue to our business, due to the risks that it may present to our assets. We assess opportunities to fortify our assets against these risks while mitigating our own contribution to climate change through reduction of our carbon footprint. We further our impact on climate change mitigation through membership in business associations in our markets and support for climate change policy and regulation.

Smart infrastructure improvements, investing in sustainable technologies and employing best practices for building operations.

Establishing partnerships with our tenants and communities.

Setting goals around our sustainability policies, and reporting on our progress and achievements in our annual sustainability report available on our website at www.vno.com/sustainability/overview.

We are recognized as an industry leader in sustainability and energy efficiency.

We have received the Energy Star Partner of the Year Award four times, most recently in 2017.

Table of Contents

2018 PROXY STATEMENT VORNADO REALTY TRUST 9

In every year since 2013, we have received the Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB) Green Star Ranking. We were recognized as a sector leader in 2017.

We have received the NAREIT Leader in the Light Award for every year since 2010, recognizing us as a leader in energy efficiency.

We are one of the largest owners of LEED-certified property in the United States.

Social Engagement

Our greatest and most scarce asset is our people. We strongly believe in training and retaining talented employees and having management at many levels engage with our Board.

Furthermore, a good relationship with the communities in which we operate is essential. We foster and encourage community engagement and volunteerism for all employees.

Corporate Governance at a Glance

Board Independence

Eight out of 10 of our Trustees are independent.

Our only non-independent Trustees are our current and former CEOs, who have extensive and valuable experience with our Company.

Our Board members generally have significant personal investments in our Company and engage in robust and open debates concerning all significant matters affecting our Company.

Board Composition

Currently the Board has fixed the number of Trustees at 10.

The Board at least annually assesses its performance through Board and committee self-evaluation as well as an evaluation of each individual member.

Our Trustees are highly experienced in their fields of endeavor and apply valuable and diverse skill sets to address our business and strategic needs.

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee leads the full Board in considering Board competencies and refreshment and actively seeks new candidates to consider as Board members.

Board Committees

We have four committees Audit, Compensation, Corporate Governance and Nominating, and Executive.

With the exception of the Executive Committee (our Chairman serves on this Committee), all other Committees are composed entirely of independent Trustees.

Leadership Structure

Our Chairman is the CEO of our Company. He interacts closely with our independent Lead Trustee, who has powers and duties that reflect corporate governance best practices.

The independent Board members consider our Lead Trustee annually. Our Board re-appointed Ms. Candace K. Beinecke as Lead Trustee on February 8, 2018. Among other duties, our Lead Trustee

Table of Contents

10 VORNADO REALTY TRUST 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

chairs executive sessions of the independent Trustees to discuss certain matters without management present and approves agenda items and materials sent to the Board.

The Board will consider whether an independent chairperson is appropriate at the time of the next CEO transition.

Risk Oversight

Our full Board is responsible for risk oversight, and has designated, and may in the future designate, committees to have particular oversight of certain key risks. Our Board oversees management as management fulfills its responsibilities for the assessment and mitigation of risks and for taking appropriate risks. Our Board regularly has in-depth discussions concerning the Company's strategies and risks where the Board actively questions and considers these topics.

Open Communication and Shareholder Engagement

We encourage open communication and strong working relationships among the Lead Trustee, the Chairs of our Board committees, our Chairman and our other Trustees.

Our Trustees have access to, and regularly meet with, senior management and other employees.

We actively seek input from our shareholders through our shareholder engagement programs; shareholders may also contact our Board, Lead Trustee or management through our website or by regular mail.

We host quarterly earnings conference calls to which all shareholders have access.

Trustee Stock Ownership

Our Trustees are required to own (or acquire within a specified time-frame) Company equity having a value equal to at least five times their annual cash retainer.

Management Succession Planning

Our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee actively monitors our succession planning.

Our Board regularly reviews senior management succession and development plans. Our Board regularly reviews future candidates for the CEO position and other senior leadership roles and potential succession timing for those positions, including under emergency circumstances. Our Board has adopted a formal CEO-succession plan and reviews that plan regularly.

The Board also reviews and discusses career development plans for individuals identified as high-potential candidates for senior leadership positions and the Board members interact with these candidates in formal and informal settings during the year.

Sustainability and Corporate Responsibility

The Board actively monitors our programs and initiatives on sustainability, environmental matters and social responsibility.

Developing an Effective Board

Our Board believes that the Board should be comprised of members who encompass a broad range of skills, expertise, industry knowledge and diversity of opinion and contacts relevant to our business. Our Board is deeply involved in the business and strategy of our Company and the great depth of experience and insight

Table of Contents

2018 PROXY STATEMENT VORNADO REALTY TRUST 11

that our Board members bring to meetings has been invaluable. The Board considers the following characteristics, competencies, and attributes when considering candidates for inclusion on our Board.

Personal Characteristics

Integrity and Accountability: High ethical standards, integrity and strength of character in his or her personal and professional dealings and a willingness to act on and be accountable for his or her decisions.

Informed Judgment: Demonstrate intelligence, wisdom and thoughtfulness in decision-making. Demonstrate a willingness to thoroughly discuss issues, ask questions, express reservations, and voice dissent.

Financial Literacy: An ability to read and understand financial statements, financial ratios and various other indices for evaluating the Company's performance.

Mature Confidence: Assertive, responsible and supportive in dealing with others. Respect for others, openness to others' opinions and the willingness to listen.

High Standards: History of achievements that reflect high standards for himself or herself and others.

Core competencies

Accounting and Finance: Experience in financial accounting and corporate finance, especially with respect to the industry in which our Company operates.

Business Judgment: Record of making good business decisions and evidence that he or she will act in good faith and in a manner that is in the best interests of our Company.

Strategic Insight: Record of insight with respect to our industry and market and other trends and conditions and applying such insight to create value or limit risk.

Management: Experience in corporate management. Understand management trends in general and in the areas in which we conduct our business.

Crisis Response: Ability and time to perform during periods of both short-term and prolonged crisis.

Industry: Specialized experience and skills in areas in which the Company conducts its business, including real estate, investments, capital markets and technology relevant to the Company.

Local Markets: Experience in markets in which our Company operates.

Leadership: Understand and possess skills and have a history of motivating high-performing, talented managers.

Strategy and Vision: Skills and capacity to provide strategic insight and direction by encouraging innovations, conceptualizing key trends, evaluating strategic decisions, and challenging our management to sharpen its vision.

Commitment to our Company

Time and Effort: Able and willing to commit the time and energy necessary to satisfy the requirements of Board and Board committee membership. Expected to attend and participate in all Board meetings and Board committee meetings for which they are a member. Encouraged to attend all annual meetings of shareholders. A willingness to rigorously prepare prior to each meeting and actively participate in the

12 VORNADO REALTY TRUST 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

meeting. Willingness to make himself or herself available to management upon request to provide advice and counsel.

Awareness and Ongoing Education: Possess, or be willing to develop, a broad knowledge of both critical issues affecting our Company (including industry-, technology- and market-specific information), and Trustee's roles and responsibilities (including the general legal principles that guide Board members).

Other Commitments: In light of other existing commitments, ability to perform adequately as a Trustee and a willingness to do so.

Stock Ownership: Complies with the Company's equity ownership requirements.

Team and Company considerations

Balancing the Board: Contributes talent, skills and experience to the Board as a team to supplement existing resources and provide talent for future needs preferably as evidenced by a pattern of dealings with one or more current Board members.

Diversity: Contributes to the Board in a way that can enhance perspective and judgment through diversity in gender, age, background, geographic origin and professional experience (public, private, and non-profit sectors). Nomination of a candidate should not be based solely on these listed factors.

The following chart summarizes the competencies currently represented on our Board; the details of each Trustee's competencies are included in each Trustee's profile.

Operating	X	X	X	X	X	X		X		X
Public company										
experience	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Industry expertise	X		X	X		X		X		X
Financial literacy	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Experience over several										
business cycles	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X

Capital markets										
expertise										
Investment expertise	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Risk/crisis management	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Accounting expertise	X		X				X	X	X	
Government/business										
conduct/legal	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X
Sustainability										
experience	X	X								

Board Leadership Structure

Our Board is deeply focused on our corporate governance practices. We value independent board oversight as an essential component of strong corporate performance to enhance shareholder value. All of our Trustees are independent, except our current and former Chief Executive Officers. In addition, all of the members of our Board's committees, except the Executive Committee, are independent.

Our Board of Trustees is responsible for selecting the Chairman of the Board and the CEO. The Board annually reviews its leadership structure. The Board has determined that the combined role of Chairman and CEO, alongside an active and independent Lead Trustee position, is currently the best structure for Vornado and its shareholders. In its review of our leadership structure, the Board considered the following:

Our current structure promotes clear lines of responsibility and accountability, while maintaining the Board's independence from management.

Table of Contents

2018 PROXY STATEMENT VORNADO REALTY TRUST 13

Mr. Roth, our Chairman and CEO, is a well-seasoned leader with over 35 years of experience in building and leading our Company. He has effectively guided the Company through various real estate cycles and over a long period of increase in shareholder value. After considering the views expressed by our shareholders and other constituents, as well as the particular circumstances affecting the Company, the Board concluded he is the best person to serve as Chairman.

Mr. Roth fulfills his responsibilities in chairing an independent board through close interaction with our Lead Trustee, Ms. Beinecke.

The power and authority of our Lead Trustee role was increased in 2015 and 2017, see "Lead Trustee Role."

The views expressed by shareholders through direct outreach and engagement.

Our governance culture fosters open communication among the Lead Trustee, Chairman and other Trustees, which we believe is essential to developing an understanding of important issues, promoting appropriate oversight and encouraging frank discussion of key topics relevant to a complex and dynamic enterprise.

Lead Trustee Role

A Lead Trustee is elected annually by the independent Trustees. Ms. Beinecke was first elected by our independent Trustees to serve as Lead Trustee for a one-year term on March 16, 2016, and was most-recently reelected on February 8, 2018. When making the selection, the Board considered the attributes desired in a Lead Trustee, including being an effective communicator, having the ability to provide leadership and encourage open dialogue, having a relevant background and the ability to devote sufficient time and attention to the position.

Our Lead Trustee position has clearly defined duties and responsibilities, which are set forth in our Governance Guidelines. They include the following authorities and responsibilities:

preside at all meetings of the Board at which the Chairman is not present;

serve as liaison between the Chairman and the independent Trustees;

approve, in consultation with the Chairman:

	the schedule of Board meetings,
	Board meeting agenda items,
	materials sent in advance of Board meetings, including the quality, quantity, appropriateness and timeliness of such information;
ability to call meet	ings of the independent Trustees as necessary and appropriate;
participate in annua	al self-evaluations of the Board and its committees;
contribute to ongoi	ing management succession and development planning;
participate in share and	cholder outreach, and be available for consultation and direct communication if requested by major shareholders;
communicate share	eholder feedback to the full Board.

14 VORNADO REALTY TRUST 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

As both Lead Trustee and Chair of the Corporate Governance and Nominating committee, Ms. Beinecke has been actively involved in governance-related discussions with our shareholders. As Lead Trustee, Ms. Beinecke has worked closely with our Chairman, Mr. Roth, to develop Board meeting agenda items and ensure sufficient time allocation to these items and has facilitated robust discussions regarding long-term strategy and shareholder value creation and talent retention and development.

The strong working relationships among the Lead Trustee, Chairman and other Trustees are supported by a board governance culture that fosters open communications among the members, both during meetings and in the intervals between meetings. Open communication is important to develop an understanding of issues, promote appropriate oversight, and encourage the frank discussion of matters essential to leading a complex and dynamic enterprise.

Board Refreshment

In December 2016, we welcomed a new member, Ms. Mandakini Puri, to our Board of Trustees. We are committed to ongoing Board refreshment and will continue to actively pursue qualified, diverse candidates for election to our Board.

Committees of the Board of Trustees

The Board has an Executive Committee, an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee and a Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. Other than the Executive Committee, each committee is comprised solely of independent Trustees.

The Board held 10 meetings during 2017. Each Trustee attended at least 75% of the combined total of the meetings of the Board and all committees on which he or she served during 2017.

In addition to full meetings of the Board, our non-management Trustees met seven times in sessions without members of management present. Ms. Beinecke, as Lead Trustee, acted as presiding member during these non-management sessions. We do not have a policy with regard to Trustees' attendance at Annual Meetings of Shareholders. All of our Trustees serving at the time of our 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders were present at the meeting.

Executive Committee

The Executive Committee possesses and may exercise certain powers of the Board in the direction of the management of the business and affairs of the Company. The Executive Committee consists of three members, Mr. Roth, Ms. Beinecke and Mr. Wight. Mr. Roth is the Chairman of the Executive Committee. The Executive Committee did not meet in 2017.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee held five meetings during 2017. The members of the Audit Committee are Dr. West, as Chairman, Ms. Puri and Mr. Tisch. Until May 18, 2017, Mr. Kogod served on our Audit Committee. Ms. Puri joined our Audit Committee on that date.

The Board has adopted a written Audit Committee Charter, which sets forth the membership requirements and responsibilities of the Audit Committee, among other matters. The Audit Committee Charter is available on our website (www.vno.com/governance/committee-charters). The Board has determined that all existing Audit Committee members meet the NYSE and SEC standards for independence and the NYSE standards for financial literacy. Previously our Board had determined that Mr. Kogod had met those standards during his service on the Audit Committee.

The Board has determined that each of Dr. West, Ms. Puri and Mr. Tisch is an "audit committee financial expert," as defined by SEC Regulation S-K (and thus has three such experts serving on its Audit Committee)

2018 PROXY STATEMENT

VORNADO REALTY TRUST

15

and that each of such persons also meets the NYSE standards for financial management expertise. The Board reached this conclusion based on the relevant experience of each of Dr. West, Ms. Puri and Mr. Tisch, including as described above under "Biographies of our Trustees."

The Audit Committee's purposes are to: (i) assist the Board in its oversight of (a) the integrity of our financial statements, (b) our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, (c) the independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence and (d) the performance of the independent registered public accounting firm and the Company's internal audit function; and (ii) prepare an Audit Committee report as required by the SEC for inclusion in our annual proxy statement. The function of the Audit Committee is oversight. The management of the Company is responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of our financial statements and for the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Management is responsible for maintaining appropriate accounting and financial reporting principles and policies and internal controls and procedures that provide for compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. The independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for planning and carrying out a proper audit of our annual financial statements prior to the filing of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, reviewing our quarterly financial statements prior to the filing of each of our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and annually auditing the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting and other procedures. Persons interested in contacting our Audit Committee members with regard to accounting, auditing or financial concerns will find information on how to do so on our website (www.vno.com/governance/confidential-board-contact).

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is responsible for establishing the terms of the compensation of the executive officers and the granting and administration of awards under the Company's omnibus share plans. The committee, which held five meetings during 2017, consists of the following members: Mr. Lynne, as Chairman, Dr. West and Mr. Tisch. All members of the Compensation Committee have been determined by the Board to be independent. The Board has adopted a written Compensation Committee Charter which is available on our website (www.vno.com/governance/committee-charters).

Compensation decisions for our executive officers are made by the Compensation Committee. Decisions regarding compensation of other employees are made by our Chief Executive Officer and are subject to review and approval of the Compensation Committee. Compensation decisions for our Trustees are made by the Compensation Committee and/or the full Board.

The agenda for meetings of the Compensation Committee is determined by its Chairman with the assistance of the Company's Secretary and/or other members of management. Compensation Committee meetings are attended from time to time by members of management at the invitation of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee's Chairman reports the committee's determination of executive compensation to the Board. The Compensation Committee has authority under its charter to elect, retain and approve fees for, and to terminate the engagement of, compensation consultants, special counsel or other experts or consultants as it deems appropriate to assist in the fulfillment of its responsibilities. The Compensation Committee reviews the total fees paid by us to outside consultants to ensure that such consultants maintain their objectivity and independence when rendering advice to the committee. The Compensation Committee may receive advice from compensation consultants, special counsel or other experts or consultants only after consideration of relevant factors related to their fees, services and potential conflicts of interests, as outlined in the Compensation Committee's Charter.

The Compensation Committee may, in its discretion, delegate all or a portion of its duties and responsibilities to a subcommittee of the committee. In particular, the Compensation Committee may delegate the approval of certain transactions to a subcommittee consisting solely of

members of the committee who are (i) "Non-Employee Directors" for the purposes of SEC Rule 16b-3; and (ii) "outside directors" for the purposes of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Currently, all members of the Compensation Committee meet these criteria.

See "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" below for a discussion of the role of executive officers in determining or recommending compensation for our executive officers. We have also included under

16 VORNADO REALTY TRUST 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

"Compensation Discussion and Analysis" a discussion of the role of compensation consultants in determining or recommending the amount or form of executive or Trustee compensation.

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, which met once during 2017, consists of Ms. Beinecke, as Chair, and Messrs. Mandelbaum and Wight. In addition, during 2017, members of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee led several discussions of governance matters with the full Board. Further, in the past year Ms. Beinecke (and members of management) met in person or telephonically with several significant shareholders to discuss our governance practices. Each of Ms. Beinecke and Messrs. Mandelbaum and Wight has been determined by the Board to be independent. The Board has adopted a written Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee Charter, which is available on our website (www.vno.com/governance/committee-charters). The committee's responsibilities include the selection of potential candidates for the Board and the development and review of our governance principles. It also reviews Trustee compensation and benefits, and oversees annual self-evaluations of the Board and its committees. The committee also makes recommendations to the Board concerning the structure and membership of the other Board committees as well as management succession plans. The committee selects and evaluates candidates for the Board in accordance with the criteria set out in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines and as are set forth below. The committee is then responsible for recommending to the Board a slate of candidates for Trustee positions for the Board's approval. Generally, candidates for a position as a member of the Board are suggested by existing Board members; however, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee will consider shareholder recommendations for candidates for the Board sent to the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, c/o Alan J. Rice, Secretary, Vornado Realty Trust, 888 Seventh Avenue, New York, New York 10019, and will evaluate any such recommendations using the criteria set forth in the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee Charter and our Corporate Governance Guidelines.

The Board's Role in Risk Oversight

While day-to-day risk management is primarily the responsibility of the Company's senior management team, the Board of Trustees is responsible for the overall supervision of the Company's risk management activities. The Board's oversight of the material risks faced by our Company occurs at both the full Board level and at the committee level. The Board's role in the Company's risk oversight process includes receiving reports from members of senior management on areas of material risk to the Company, including operational, financial, legal and regulatory, strategic and reputational risks. The full Board (or the appropriate committee in the case of risks that are under the purview of a particular committee) receives these reports from the appropriate "risk owner" within our organization or in connection with other management-prepared presentations of risk to enable the Board (or committee, as applicable) to understand our risk identification, risk management and risk mitigation strategies. By "risk owner," we mean that person or group of persons who is or are primarily responsible for overseeing a particular risk. As part of its charter, the Audit Committee discusses our policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management and reports to the full Board its conclusions as a partial basis for further discussion by the full Board. This enables the Board and the applicable committees to coordinate the risk oversight role, particularly with respect to risk interrelationships. In addition to the Board's review of risks applicable to the Company generally, the Board conducts regular strategic and personnel reviews.

* * * * *

Persons wishing to contact the independent members of the Board should call (866) 537-4644. A recording of each phone call to this number will be sent to one independent member of the Audit Committee as well as to a member of management who may respond to any such call if the caller provides a return number. This means of contact should not be used for solicitations or communications with us of a general nature. Information on how to contact us generally is available on our website (www.vno.com).

2018 PROXY STATEMENT

VORNADO REALTY TRUST

17

Table of Contents

PRINCIPAL SECURITY HOLDERS

The following table lists the number of Shares and Units beneficially owned, as of March 19, 2018, by (i) each person who holds more than a 5% interest in the Company or our operating partnership, Vornado Realty L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the "Operating Partnership"), (ii) Trustees of the Company, (iii) the executive officers of the Company defined as "Named Executive Officers" in "Executive Compensation" below, and (iv) the Trustees and all current executive officers of the Company as a group. Unless otherwise specified, "Units" are Class A units of limited partnership interest of our Operating Partnership and other classes of units convertible into Class A units. The Company's ownership of Units is not reflected in the table but is described in footnotes (1) and (2).

Name of Beneficial	Address of Beneficial	Number of Shares and Units Beneficially	Percent of All	Percent of All Shares and
Owner	Owner	Owned ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Shares (1)(2)(3)	Units ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾
Named Executive Officers and				
Steven Roth ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾⁽⁸⁾	(9)	9,969,470	5.20%	4.89%
David Mandelbaum ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁸⁾⁽¹⁰⁾	(9)	8,960,622	4.71%	4.42%
Russell B. Wight,	(9)	5,959,379	3.13%	2.94%
Jr. ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁸⁾⁽¹¹⁾				
Michael D.	(9)	2,921,370	1.53%	1.43%
Fascitelli ⁽⁷⁾⁽⁸⁾⁽¹²⁾				
Robert P. Kogod ⁽⁸⁾⁽¹³⁾	(9)	2,062,086	1.08%	1.02%
David R.	(9)	825,123	*	*
Greenbaum ⁽⁷⁾⁽⁸⁾⁽¹⁴⁾				
Joseph Macnow ⁽⁷⁾⁽⁸⁾⁽¹⁵⁾	(9)	316,526	*	*
Michael J. Franco ⁽⁷⁾⁽⁸⁾	(9)	257,708	*	*
Mitchell N. Schear ⁽⁸⁾	(9)	186,441	*	*
Daniel R. Tisch ⁽⁸⁾⁽¹⁶⁾	(9)	62,950	*	*
Richard R. West ⁽⁸⁾⁽¹⁷⁾	(9)	36,733	*	*
Candace K. Beinecke ⁽⁸⁾	(9)	13,520	*	*
Stephen Theriot ⁽⁸⁾	(9)	8,231	*	*
Michael Lynne ⁽⁸⁾	(9)	13,312	*	*
Mandakini Puri ⁽⁸⁾	(9)	0	*	*
All Trustees and executive	(9)	20,586,375	10.56%	10.04%
officers as a group (15				
persons) ⁽⁷⁾⁽⁸⁾				
Other Beneficial Owners				
		30,211,674	15.89%	14.90%

The Vanguard	100 Vanguard			
Group, Inc. (18)	Blvd Malvern, PA			
	19355			
Vanguard Specialized	100 Vanguard	11,481,507	6.04%	5.66%
Funds Vanguard REIT	Blvd Malvern, PA			
Index Fund ⁽¹⁹⁾	19355			
BlackRock, Inc. (20)	55 East	17,002,601	8.94%	8.38%
	52 nd Street New			
	York, NY 10022			
Norges Bank (The Central	Bankplassen 2	12,382,373	6.51%	6.11%
Bank of Norway)(21)	PO Box 1179			
	Sentrum NO 0107			
	Oslo Norway			
State Street Corporation ⁽²²⁾	One Lincoln	9,703,831	5.10%	4.78%
	Street			
	Boston, MA			
	02111			

*

Less than 1%.

18 VORNADO REALTY TRUST 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

- (1)
 Unless otherwise indicated, each person is the direct owner of, and has sole voting power and sole investment power with respect to, such Shares and Units. Numbers and percentages in the table are based on 190,167,582 Shares and 12,655,407 Units (other than Units held by the Company) outstanding as of March 19, 2018.
- In April 1997, the Company transferred substantially all of its assets to the Operating Partnership. As a result, the Company conducts its business through, and substantially all of its interests in properties are held by, the Operating Partnership. The Company is the sole general partner of, and owned approximately 94% of the Units of, the Operating Partnership as of March 19, 2018 (one Unit for each Share outstanding). Generally, any time after one year from the date of issuance (or two years in the case of certain holders), holders of Units (other than the Company) have the right to have their Units redeemed in whole or in part by the Operating Partnership for cash equal to the fair market value, at the time of redemption, of one Share for each Unit redeemed or, at the option of the Company, cash or one Share for each Unit tendered, subject to customary anti-dilution provisions (the "Unit Redemption Right"). Holders of Units may be able to sell publicly Shares received upon the exercise of their Unit Redemption Right pursuant to registration rights agreements with the Company or otherwise pursuant to applicable securities laws and rules. The Company has filed registration statements with the SEC to register the issuance or resale of certain of the Shares issuable upon the exercise of the Unit Redemption Right.
- (3)

 The total number of Shares outstanding used in calculating this percentage assumes that all Shares that each person has the right to acquire within 60 days of the record date (pursuant to the exercise of options or upon the redemption or conversion of other Company or Operating Partnership securities for or into Shares) are deemed to be outstanding, but are not deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the ownership percentage of any other person.
- (4)

 The total number of Shares and Units outstanding used in calculating this percentage assumes that all Shares and Units that each person has the right to acquire within 60 days of the record date (pursuant to the exercise of options or upon the redemption or conversion of Company or Operating Partnership securities for or into Shares or Units) are deemed to be outstanding, but are not deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the ownership percentage of any other person.
- (5)
 Interstate, a partnership of which Messrs. Roth, Wight and Mandelbaum are, directly or indirectly, the three general partners, owns 5,503,548 Shares. These Shares are included in the total Shares and the percentage of class for each of them. Messrs. Roth, Wight and Mandelbaum share voting power and investment power with respect to these Shares.
- (6)
 Includes 3,873 Shares owned by the Daryl and Steven Roth Foundation over which Mr. Roth holds sole voting power and sole investment power. Does not include 37,299 Shares owned by Mr. Roth's spouse, as to which Mr. Roth disclaims any beneficial interest.

(7)

The number of Shares beneficially owned by the following persons includes the number of Shares indicated due to the vesting of options: Steven Roth 887,022; Michael D. Fascitelli 887,022; David R. Greenbaum 249,600; Joseph Macnow 199,144; Michael J. Franco 80,906; and all Trustees and executive officers as a group 2,303,694.

The number of Shares and Units (but not the number of Shares alone) beneficially owned by the following persons also includes the number of vested and redeemable restricted units (as described below) as indicated: Steven Roth 37,964; David Mandelbaum 8,236; Russell B. Wight, Jr. 8,236; Michael D. Fascitelli 3,898; Robert P. Kogod 8,236; David R. Greenbaum 20,991; Joseph Macnow 14,871; Michael J. Franco 18,054; Mitchell N. Schear 27,727; Daniel R. Tisch 7,950; Richard R. West 7,628; Candace K. Beinecke 10,777; Michael Lynne 11,040; Stephen W. Theriot 6,940; Mandakini Puri 0; and all Trustees and executive officers as a group 192,548. The number of Shares or Units beneficially owned by the following persons does not include the number of unvested or unredeemable restricted units as indicated: Steven Roth 186,512; David Mandelbaum 3,928; Russell B. Wight, Jr. 3,928; Michael D. Fascitelli 3,928; Robert P. Kogod 3,928; David R, Greenbaum 112,686; Joseph Macnow 77,144; Michael J. Franco 144,619; Mitchell N. Schear 17,163; Daniel R. Tisch 3,928; Richard R. West 3,928; Candace K. Beinecke 3,928; Michael Lynne 3,928; Stephen W. Theriot 11,952; Mandakini Puri 4,034; and all Trustees and executive officers as a

group 585,534. The number of Shares or Units beneficially owned by

2018 PROXY STATEMENT VORNADO REALTY TRUST 19

the following persons also includes the number of earned and vested Outperformance Plan Units ("OPP Units") as indicated: Steven Roth 72,258; David R. Greenbaum 19,298; Joseph Macnow 14,905; Michael J. Franco 18,437; Mitchell N. Schear 23,030; and all Trustees and executive officers as a group 147,928. The number of Shares or Units beneficially owned by the following persons does not include the number of unearned and unvested OPP Units as indicated: Steven Roth 667,576; David R. Greenbaum 224,204; Joseph Macnow 146,364; Michael J. Franco 208,838; Mitchell N. Schear 36,941; Stephen W. Theriot 29,285; and all Trustees and executive officers as a group 1,313,208.

- (9)
 The address of such person(s) is c/o Vornado Realty Trust, 888 Seventh Avenue, New York, New York 10019.
- (10)

 Of these Shares, 2,909,252 are held in a partnership of which the general partner is Mr. Mandelbaum and the limited partners are Mr. Mandelbaum and trusts for the benefit of Mr. Mandelbaum and his issue. In addition, 122,002 of these Shares are held in trusts for the benefit of Mr. Mandelbaum's grandchildren.
- (11)
 Includes 31,907 Shares owned by the Wight Foundation, over which Mr. Wight holds sole voting power and sole investment power.
 Does not include 20,575 Shares owned by the spouse and children of Mr. Wight as to which Mr. Wight disclaims any beneficial interest.
- (12)

 The number of Shares beneficially owned by Mr. Fascitelli includes 67,537 Shares held by a limited partnership and 105,191 Shares held in a limited liability company and does not include 3,150 Shares owned by his children as to which Mr. Fascitelli disclaims any beneficial interest.
- (13)
 Includes 1,099,796 Units held through corporations (individually or jointly with his spouse). Excludes 188,972 Shares/Units held by his spouse as to which Mr. Kogod disclaims any beneficial interest.
- (14)
 Includes 49,817 Units held by a limited liability company and 71,137 Shares held in grantor trusts and 30,700 Shares held in a family trust. Excludes 53,960 Shares and 3,040 Units held in trusts for the benefit of his children and 12,948 Units held by his spouse as to which Mr. Greenbaum disclaims any beneficial interest.
- (15) Excludes 4,884 Shares held by Mr. Macnow's spouse.
- (16)
 50,000 of these Shares are held through a foundation. Mr. Tisch maintains the right to control the vote and disposition of these Shares, but disclaims any pecuniary interest therein.

(17)

Dr. West and his wife own 3,231 of these Shares jointly. Also included are 1,953 Shares that may be acquired upon conversion of 1,000 Series A preferred shares of beneficial interest owned by Dr. West.

- (18) According to an amendment to Schedule 13G filed on February 9, 2018.
- (19)
 According to an amendment to Schedule 13G filed on February 2, 2018.
- (20)
 According to an amendment to Schedule 13G filed on January 23, 2018.
- (21)
 According to an amendment to Schedule 13 G filed on January 5, 2018.
- (22) According to a Schedule 13G filed on February 13, 2018.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act requires our Trustees and executive officers, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities, to file reports of ownership of, and transactions in, certain classes of our equity securities with the SEC. Such Trustees, executive officers and 10% shareholders are also required to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file.

Based solely on a review of the Forms 3, 4 and 5, and any amendments thereto, furnished to us, and on written representations from certain reporting persons, we believe that the only filing deficiency under Section 16(a) by our trustees, executive officers and 10% shareholders in the year ended December 31, 2017 (or in 2018, prior to the mailing of this proxy statement) was one late filing by Ms. Candace K. Beinecke, a trustee, with regard to one transaction reported on a Form 4.

20 VORNADO REALTY TRUST 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Executive Summary

One of the fundamental objectives of our Compensation Committee is to ensure we provide a comprehensive compensation program that aids us in our efforts to attract, retain and appropriately reward a "best-in-class" executive management team. Such a program is critical to our achieving continued success in the highly-competitive commercial real estate industry. To better align the interests of our executive officers with those of our shareholders in a pay-for-performance setting, a significant portion of each executive's total compensation is variable through a combination of performance-based, short- and long-term incentives, which are described in more detail below.

The objectives of our executive compensation program are to:

Retain a highly-experienced, "best-in-class" team of executives who have worked together as a team for a long period of time and who make major contributions to our success.

Attract other highly-qualified executives to strengthen that team as needed.

Motivate our executives to contribute to the achievement of company-wide and business-unit goals as well as to pursue individual goals.

Emphasize equity-based incentives with long-term performance measurement periods and vesting conditions.

Align the interests of executives with shareholders by linking payouts under annual incentives to performance measures that promote the creation of long-term shareholder value.

Achieve an appropriate balance between risk and reward in our compensation programs that does not encourage excessive or inappropriate risk-taking.

Our executive compensation program is intended to reward the achievement of annual, long-term and strategic goals of both the Company and the individual executive. In order to achieve these intentions, our executive compensation program includes both fixed and variable components, as well as annual and long-term components, as described below. In particular, for our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (or "CEO"), a majority of his compensation has been provided in the form of equity compensation. This equity compensation is awarded in a combination of "future performance" awards and/or time-based vesting provisions. The "future performance" awards made in 2018 (for 2017 performance) to

our CEO and other named executive officers (who are identified in the Summary Compensation Table below, the "Named Executive Officers" or "NEOs") are subject to multi-year TSR performance (OPP Units). In addition to our NEOs, our Executive Vice Presidents also received OPP Units in 2018 (for 2017 performance). "TSR" means our total shareholder return including dividends. The "future performance" requirements and/or time-based vesting provisions are designed to ensure that the value of our CEO's ultimately realized compensation is based on our share price performance, further aligning his interests with those of the Company and our shareholders. "OPP" means our outperformance plans and "OPP Units" are the awards granted under those plans. Awards made to executives other than our CEO and other Named Executive Officers in 2018 (for 2017 performance) were in the form of Appreciation-Only Long-Term Incentive Plan ("AO LTIP") grants. Executive Vice Presidents other than our NEO's received awards of both AO LTIP Units as well as OPP Units. AO LTIPs are awards of units in our Operating Partnership ("AO LTIP Units") that only have value if our share price at the time of conversion has increased from the share price on the date of grant.

2018 PROXY STATEMENT VORNADO REALTY TRUST 21

In addition to the goals and objectives of our compensation program, we are also committed to promoting appropriate governance that serves the interests of both our executive management team and shareholders. The table below highlights key features of our senior executive compensation and governance programs:

- ü Directly align pay with performance as evidenced by our annual bonus program, which is formula-driven and our annual equity awards, which are tied to a material increase in our share price or absolute and relative TSR
- × No excise tax gross-up provisions
- ü Create significant alignment with shareholders and pay the majority of our executive compensation in the form of equity
- × No guaranteed cash incentives, equity compensation or salary increases for Named Executive Officers
- ü Maintain a cap on incentive compensation payments
- × No excessive perquisites or benefits
- ü Include robust hurdles in our Outperformance Plans based on absolute and relative TSR performance over a 3-year period to encourage long-term strategic planning
- × No dividends or distributions on unearned equity awards subject to performance-based vesting (except limited distributions for tax purposes)
- ü Mandatory hold periods. Members of senior management receive OPP Units with a 1-year holding period on earned awards after vesting
- × No excessive retirement benefits

ü Robust equity ownership requirements

× No hedging or pledging of our equity securities

CEO: 6x salary

Other executives: 3x salary

Trustees: 5x cash retainer

- ü "Double trigger" acceleration of the vesting of any unvested equity
- awards in connection with a change of control
- × No golden parachute for our CEO

ü Clawback policy

× No repricing of options

ü Annual compensation risk assessment

ü Annual say-on-pay vote

ü Independent compensation consultant

As an indication of the positive response of our shareholders to our approach, at our 2017 Annual Meeting approximately 97% of the votes cast on our advisory vote on executive compensation were cast FOR our compensation program. Our Compensation Committee considered the results of the 2017 votes and has continued our compensation program design which it believes embodies shareholder-friendly practices.

22 VORNADO REALTY TRUST 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

Business Highlights

During 2017, we continued our simplification and focusing strategy while recording strong financial results. For 2017, the following highlights were among the factors considered in the compensation decision process:

We made significant progress in furthering our simplification and focus strategy by completing the spin-off our Washington, D.C. segment and combining it with the management business and certain Washington, D.C. assets of The JBG Companies, a Washington D.C. real estate company. The combined company, JBG SMITH Properties (NYSE: JBGS), is the largest, market-leading, best-in-class, pure-play Washington, D.C. real estate company. Over the past several years, including the spin-off of Urban Edge Properties and the JBG SMITH Properties transaction, we have distributed \$9.7 billion of assets to shareholders and exited \$6.2 billion of non-core assets.

Net Operating Income ("NOI") at share increased by \$37.3 million in 2017 over 2016. ("NOI" is our total revenues less our operating expenses. "NOI at share" means our NOI less NOI attributable to non-controlling interests in consolidated subsidiaries plus our share of NOI from partially owned entities.) Please refer to page 53 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017 for a reconciliation of NOI at share to the most comparable measure presented in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles applicable in the United States ("GAAP").

We achieved a 2.7% increase in same store NOI at share in 2017 over 2016 for our New York Office and Retail portfolio, the MART and our 555 California Street complex.

FFO, as adjusted, increased \$30.4 million (or \$0.14 per diluted share) in 2017 over 2016. "FFO" means our funds from operations as defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT). "FFO, as adjusted," means our FFO as adjusted to exclude non-comparable gains and losses, impairments and non-real estate-related items. Please refer to Annex D to this Proxy Statement for a reconciliation of FFO, as adjusted, to the most comparable measure presented in accordance with GAAP. Please refer to page 33 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017 for a reconciliation of FFO to the most comparable measure presented in accordance with GAAP.

In 2017, we completed \$4.8 billion of financings in 10 transactions.

Strong leasing performance in 2017. In our New York portfolio, we leased approximately 1,900,000 square feet of office space with strong mark-to-markets of 12.8% GAAP (as defined below) and 9.9% cash and 126,000 square feet of retail space with positive mark-to-markets of 26.5% GAAP and 25.4% cash.

In 2017, we made a \$230 million upfront contribution for the acquisition of a 99-year leasehold of the Farley Post Office (a 50.1% interest). Compensation Components

Our Named Executive Officers' compensation currently has three primary componen	O N 15 " (> cc 1		1 .1	•	
	(hir Named Executive (Itticers' com	nengation currently	I has three	nrimary	components
	Our ranned Executive	Jincois com	pensanon current	y mas uncc	primary	components.

annual base salary;

annual incentive awards, which include cash payments and/or awards of equity; and

long-term equity incentives, which include restricted units and long-term incentive performance awards such as those awarded under our OPP.

The overall levels of compensation and the allocation among these components are determined annually by our Compensation Committee based upon an analysis of the Company's performance during the year and a review of the prevailing competitive market for executive talent in which we operate. Historically, a substantial majority of the total compensation for our CEO has been in the form of long-term equity awards, including performance-based awards subject to material performance thresholds such as those awarded under our OPP. These longer-term awards further the Compensation Committee's desire to directly align management and

2018 PROXY STATEMENT VO

VORNADO REALTY TRUST

23

shareholder interests and to provide incentives for each executive to successfully implement our long-term strategic goals.

The components of our compensation program for our senior management can be described as shown in the chart below. As noted below, each component of compensation is subject to a cap.

Base Salary Cash

Objective: To provide an appropriate level of fixed compensation that will promote executive retention and recruitment

Key Features/Actions:

Fixed Compensation

No executive receives in excess of \$1,000,000 in salary (not including the effect of an "extra" pay period in 2016)

NEO base salaries were unchanged for 2017 from 2016, nor have there been any increases in NEO base salary levels for the past several years

Annual Incentive Awards Short-Term Variable Incentive Cash and Restricted Equity

Objective: To reward the achievement of financial and operating objectives based on the Compensation Committee's quantitative and qualitative assessment of the executive's contributions to that performance.

All or a portion of such award is typically given in restricted equity to further align executive's interests with those of shareholders. **Key Features/Actions:** Variable, short-term cash compensation and time-based equity awards Funded upon the achievement of a threshold level of FFO, as adjusted Aggregate pool capped at 1.25% of FFO, as adjusted Allocated based on objective and subjective Company, business unit and individual performance

24 VORNADO REALTY TRUST 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

Annual Restricted Equity Grants

Long-Term Variable Incentive Equity

Objective: To align executive and shareholder interests, promote executive retention with multi-year vesting and provide stable long-term compensation.

Key Features/Actions:

Vest ratably over a four-year period

Subject to a two-year holding period (regardless of vesting) and a "book-up" event (typically an increase in Share price) to have value

Outperformance Plan

Long-Term Variable Incentive At-Risk Equity

Objective: Designed to enhance the pay-for-performance structure and shareholder alignment, while motivating and rewarding senior management for superior TSR performance based on rigorous absolute and relative hurdles.

Key Features/Actions:

Only provides value to our executives upon the creation of meaningful shareholder value above specified hurdles over a three-year performance period

Subject to a maximum plan value of \$35 million (for grants in 2018)

Under the Absolute TSR component, the Company must achieve a return in excess of 21% (or 7% per annum) for OPP Units to earn any value

Under the Relative TSR Component, the Company must achieve a return above an applicable industry index or indices (the "Index") for OPP Units to earn any value. The 2018 Plan used the SNL Office Index (70%) and the SNL Retail Index (30%).

2018 PROXY STATEMENT

VORNADO REALTY TRUST

25

The Relative TSR Component value is reduced if the Absolute TSR is below 3% per annum with a maximum payout of 50% of the awards if the Absolute TSR is less than 0%

Earned payouts are subject to two years of additional time-based vesting and an additional one year holding period following vesting

AO LTIP

Long-Term Variable Incentive At-Risk Equity

Appreciation-Only Long-Term Incentive Plan Units ("AO LTIP Units") are grants of interests in Vornado Realty L.P. which are intended to replicate the non-tax economic characteristics of option grants. AO LTIP Units are awarded with a strike price never lower than the price of one Share on the date of grant and are convertible into class A common units of Vornado Realty L.P. having a value equal to the excess of the Company's common share price on the date of conversion over the strike price. Awards of AO LTIP Units were made in 2018 (for 2017 performance) to executives other than NEOs. No NEOs have received awards of AO LTIPs.

Objective: Designed to enhance our pay-for-performance structure and shareholder alignment, while motivating and rewarding senior management for superior share price performance Key Features/Actions:

Awards only have value upon conversion to the extent of an increase in the Company's share price
Vest over four years
Convertible for 10 years.
Subject to a two-year holding period (regardless of vesting) and a "book-up" event (typically an increase in Share price) to have value

Table of C	<u>Contents</u>		
26	VORNADO REALTY TRUST	2018 PROXY STATEMENT	

Pay Mix

We believe that the executive management team's compensation should be appropriately at-risk and meaningfully dependent upon the achievement of robust and objective performance requirements. As illustrated below, approximately 91% of the Chief Executive Officer's total direct 2017 compensation and 77% of the other NEOs' total direct 2017 compensation is variable and subject to Company and individual performance results; as a subset of variable compensation, approximately 49% of our Chief Executive Officer's total direct 2017 compensation and 28% of the other NEOs' total direct 2017 compensation reflects pay at-risk based on prospective TSR performance.

Approach of this Compensation Discussion and Analysis Section

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis, or "CD&A," describes our executive compensation program for fiscal year 2017, certain elements of our 2018 program and the executive pay philosophy adhered to by our Compensation Committee in making executive compensation decisions. We use our executive compensation program to attract, retain and appropriately reward the members of our senior executive management team who lead our Company. In particular, this CD&A explains how the Compensation Committee made 2017 compensation decisions for our senior executive management team, including the following named executive officers (the "Named Executive Officers" or "NEOs"):

Steven Roth, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (our "CEO")

Joseph Macnow, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Administrative Officer since February 15, 2017 and, prior to that, our Executive Vice President Finance and Chief Administrative Officer

Stephen W. Theriot, Chief Financial Officer until February 15, 2017 (when he became Chief Financial Officer of JBG SMITH which was a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company in Washington, D.C. until the consummation of the Washington Spin on July 18, 2017)

David R. Greenbaum, President, New York Division

Michael J. Franco, Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer

Mitchell N. Schear, President Vornado/Charles E. Smith Washington, D.C. Division until July 18, 2017 upon the consummation of the Washington Spin

2018 PROXY STATEMENT VORNADO REALTY TRUST

27

Biographical information for our current Named Executive Officers is available in Part III to our Form 10-K for the year ended 2017, as filed with the SEC.

Under the rules and regulations of the SEC, each year the "Summary Compensation Table" must disclose the salary paid and cash bonus earned during that year. This table also requires disclosure of all equity-based awards in the year granted, even if that year is different than the year such compensation was earned. Because the equity we grant in any one year is awarded in recognition of performance in the prior year, the SEC's approach requires that we disclose our equity awards granted in respect of 2016 performance on the 2017 line in the Summary Compensation Table. Although we believe the most appropriate disclosure of our executive compensation would combine the annual cash compensation paid in 2017 (for instance) with the equity-based compensation granted in 2018 for 2017 performance, the rules and regulations do not permit that. In other words, we grant our annual incentives and equity-based compensation and make our compensation decisions retrospectively in the first quarter of a fiscal year for the actual performance of an executive in the just-then-completed prior year. To more accurately present our compensation information in line with how our decisions are actually made (as described in more detail below under " Comparison of 2015-2017 Total Direct Compensation"), the following discussion of compensation is with respect to both the annual incentive paid with respect to a stated year combined with the equity being granted following the close of that applicable year after performance has been assessed.

In addition, Mr. Franco's four-year employment agreement, entered into in 2014, provided that Mr. Franco would be entitled to a grant of \$2,000,000 in face amount of restricted units (vesting in one year) on his three-year anniversary in 2017 (the "Employment Agreement Grant"). In January 2017, the Company granted Mr. Franco that equity and an additional grant of \$3,000,000 in face amount of restricted units (vesting on the fourth anniversary of the date of grant) as consideration for superior service rendered in connection with the formation of JBG SMITH and the Washington Spin (the "Special Service Grant" and, together with the Employment Agreement Grant, the "Contract and Service Grants"). The aggregate Fair Value (as defined below) of these grants was \$4,900,187. We view these grants to not be reflective of historic and on-going compensation levels and, consequently, in certain specified circumstances in this discussion, we have provided information in two formats: one including the impact of the Contract and Service Grants and one excluding that impact.

How Pay Aligns with Performance

2017 Performance Metrics Considered

For 2017 compensation, among the factors considered, both objectively and subjectively, were the changes in the Company's and the applicable division's operating and performance results during the year (NOI at share, FFO, as adjusted, and FFO), our TSR for the year, and the factors mentioned below. Increases or decreases in pay and allocations for 2017, 2016 and 2015 of various compensation elements to our Named Executive Officers were based, in part, upon the results of our review of these factors. "NOI" (or Net Operating Income) means total revenues less operating expenses. "FFO" means funds from operations as defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT). "FFO, as adjusted," means FFO as adjusted to exclude non-comparable gains and losses, impairments and non-real estate-related items. Each of these metrics is provided in our regular annual and quarterly reports as well as reconciliations to the most comparable metric presented in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles applicable in the United States ("GAAP"). Although they are non-GAAP metrics, we use these metrics in making our compensation decisions because they facilitate meaningful comparisons in operating performance between periods and among our peers. TSR means our total shareholder return (including dividends) for a given period. Our NOI at share, FFO, as adjusted, FFO and TSR for 2017, 2016 and 2015 are presented below.

28 VORNADO REALTY TRUST 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

Metrics Considered

	2017	2016	2015
NOI at share	\$1,401 million	\$1,364 million	\$1,342 million
FFO, as adjusted	\$714 million	\$683 million	\$648 million
FFO	\$718 million	\$1,458 million	\$1,039 million
1-year TSR	(4.3%)	7.3%	(3.9%)

Key Year-Over-Year Comparisons

Our TSR for 2017 was a negative 4.3% while that of the Office REIT was 5.3%. For 2017, we have kept flat our CEO's base compensation and annual incentive award (paid for 2017 in restricted units and for 2016 in cash) and the grant date value of restricted equity awards (as reflected in the "Total Direct Compensation Table" in this proxy statement). In addition, the total dollar value (after excluding the Contract and Service Grants made for 2016) of the long-term incentive awards for our CEO (and our other NEOs) was also kept flat for 2017. When making compensation decisions, we use the potentially earnable dollar amount of OPP awards ("Notional Amount") as one of the guideposts for year-over-year changes. We made changes to the terms of the 2018 OPP for 2017 performance and the 2017 OPP for 2016 performance to conform more closely to the standards for such plans adopted by other companies, including our peers. As a result of these changes and an increased volatility in our Share price, the accounting cost for a grant of the same Notional Amount of outperformance award increased for 2017 from 2016 and for 2016 from 2015. Consequently, while the aggregate actual salaries, bonuses, value of restricted equity awards and the Notional Amounts of outperformance awards were unchanged between 2017 and 2016 and between 2016 and 2015, the accounting cost for the aggregate compensation for our CEO and other NEOs increased by 0.8% for 2017 from 2016 and 2.5% for 2016 from 2015 (in each case not including the impact of the Contract and Service Grants). We also exclude from these comparisons the compensation of Messrs. Theriot and Schear as they only worked for the Company a partial year in 2017. Including the impact of the Contract and Service Grants, the accounting cost for the aggregate compensation for our CEO and other NEOs increased by 21.3% for 2016 from 2015.

Key Considerations

We operate in a highly competitive commercial real estate industry where we actively compete for business opportunities and executive talent. In determining annual incentive and long-term equity compensation levels earned for 2017, our Compensation Committee sought to find a balance among (i) appropriately rewarding the significant operational achievements by the Company during the year, as highlighted above, (ii) ensuring annual incentive, long-term equity and total compensation levels were in line with the prevailing competitive market and adequate to address our recruitment and retention needs and (iii) maintaining a balanced compensation program designed to foster alignment of management and shareholder interests in a manner that reflects evolving market "best practices" as well as views of our shareholders. No numerical weight is attributed to any one factor.

Alignment of Pay with Performance

Our Compensation Committee made compensation decisions for 2017 in line with our pay-for-performance philosophy.

For each of our NEOs, base salaries were maintained at 2016 levels (with no change since 2008) to focus on the performance-oriented components of compensation.

For our CEO, approximately 60% of his equity grants (other than grants in lieu of cash bonus which are described in footnote (2) of the Summary Compensation Table) were in the form of performance-based equity.

_	-				\sim			
1	3	h	e	ωf	(`(nn'	ten	tc

2018 PROXY STATEMENT VORNADO REALTY TRUST

For our other NEOs, in the aggregate, approximately 60% of their equity grants (other than grants in lieu of cash bonus which are described in footnote (2) of the Summary Compensation Table) were in the form of performance-based equity.

To demonstrate the alignment of our pay with performance, the following chart illustrates how our CEO's Total Direct Compensation (as defined below under "Comparison of 2015-2017 Total Direct Compensation") compares to our FFO, as adjusted, for the applicable year.

How We Determine Executive Compensation

Our Compensation Committee determines compensation for our Named Executive Officers and is comprised of three independent trustees, Michael Lynne (Chairman), Daniel R. Tisch and Dr. Richard R. West. Our Compensation Committee exercises independent judgment with respect to executive compensation matters and administers our equity incentive programs, including reviewing and approving equity grants to our executives pursuant to our 2010 Omnibus Share Plan (as may be amended, the "2010 Plan"). Our Compensation Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board, a copy of which is available on our website (www.vno.com/governance/committee-charters).

We make our compensation decisions generally in the first quarter of a fiscal year. These decisions cover the prior year and are based on the prior year's performance by the Company and/or division or functional area and that of the applicable executive. In addition, in the first quarter of a fiscal year, we establish that year's performance threshold for our formula-based, short-term annual incentive program.

Our decisions on compensation for our Named Executive Officers are based primarily upon our assessment of each executive's leadership, operational performance and potential to enhance long-term shareholder value. For our CEO, this assessment is made by the Compensation Committee. For our other Named Executive Officers, this assessment is initially made by our CEO subject to the review and approval of the Compensation Committee. Our annual, short-term incentive program provides for a minimum performance threshold for, and a cap on, a bonus

29

pool comprising the aggregate dollar value of annual incentive awards we can make to our senior executive management team. We believe that this method, as opposed to an entirely formulaic method of determining compensation, provides us with the ability to adjust compensation based on a number of performance factors affecting an individual executive within a formulaic cap. It also has the added benefit of reducing the risk to the Company that could potentially be associated with entirely formulaic compensation decisions. Key factors we consider when making annual compensation decisions include: actual performance compared to the financial, operational and strategic goals established for the Company or the executive's operating division at the beginning of the year; the nature, scope and level of responsibilities; the contribution to the Company's financial results, particularly with respect to key metrics such as NOI at share, FFO, FFO, as adjusted, and TSR for the year; and the executive's contribution to the Company's commitment to corporate

30 VORNADO REALTY TRUST 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

responsibility, including success in creating a culture of unyielding integrity and compliance with applicable laws and our ethics policies. These factors may be considered on an absolute and/or relative basis with respect to other companies or indices.

In determining individual pay levels and opportunities, we also consider each executive's current salary and prior-year bonus (or annual incentive award), the value of an executive's equity stake in the Company, and the appropriate balance between incentives for long-term and short-term performance and the compensation paid to the executive's peers within the Company. We also consider competitive market compensation paid by other companies that operate in our business or that compete for the same talent pool, such as other S&P 500 REITs, other real estate companies operating in our core markets and, in some cases, investment banking, hedge fund and private equity firms. However, we do not formulaically tie our compensation decisions to any particular range or level of total compensation paid to executives at these companies.

In addition, we encourage alignment with shareholders' interests through long-term, equity-based compensation. We apportion cash payments and equity incentive awards as we think best in order to provide the appropriate incentives to meet our compensation objectives both individually and in the aggregate for executives and other employees. The factors we consider in evaluating compensation for any particular year may not be applicable to determinations in other years. Typically, our Chairman and CEO receives a higher proportion of his compensation in the form of equity than other Named Executive Officers who, in turn, receive a higher proportion of their compensation in the form of equity than our other employees. This allocation is based on (1) the relative seniority of the applicable executives and (2) a determination that the applicable executives should have a greater proportion of their compensation in a form that further aligns their interests with those of shareholders. We regularly review our compensation program to determine whether we have given the proper incentives to our Named Executive Officers to deliver superior performance on a cost-effective basis and for them to continue their careers with us.

Role of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, the Compensation Committee, and the CEO

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee of our Board is responsible for evaluating potential candidates for Chairman and CEO, and for overseeing the development of executive succession plans. The Compensation Committee of our Board (1) reviews and approves the compensation of our executive officers and other employees whose total cash compensation exceeds \$200,000 per year, (2) oversees the administration and implementation of our incentive compensation and other equity-based awards, and (3) regularly evaluates the effectiveness of our overall executive compensation program.

As part of this responsibility, the Compensation Committee oversees the design, development and implementation of the compensation program for our CEO and our other Named Executive Officers. The Compensation Committee evaluates the performance of our CEO and sets his compensation. Our CEO and the Compensation Committee together assess the performance of our other senior executives and determine their compensation, based on the initial recommendations of our CEO. The other Named Executive Officers do not play a role in determining their own compensation, other than discussing individual performance objectives with our CEO.

In support of these responsibilities, members of our senior executive management team, in conjunction with other senior executives, have the initial responsibility of reviewing the performance of the employees reporting to him or her and recommending compensation actions for such employees.

This process involves multiple meetings among our CEO, our Compensation Committee and our Compensation Committee's compensation consultant. Typically, in the third and fourth quarters of each year, these parties meet to discuss and establish an overall level of compensation

for the year and the base compensation for the following year. For 2017, as has been our historical practice, our CEO obtained individual recommendations from division heads as to compensation levels for those persons reporting to the division heads. These recommendations are discussed among our CEO and the division heads prior to a recommendation being presented to the Compensation Committee. For our senior executive management team, other than our CEO, recommendations are prepared based upon discussions among the Compensation Committee and our CEO. These recommendations are based upon our objectives described above and may

2018 PROXY STATEMENT

VORNADO REALTY TRUST

31

include factors such as information obtained from compensation consultants. Our CEO discusses these recommendations with our other senior executives in one-on-one meetings. After these discussions, certain allocations or other aspects of compensation may be revised to some degree and the revised recommendations are presented to the Compensation Committee for discussion and review and, ultimately, through a continued process, approval. The compensation of our CEO is determined in accordance with a similar process involving direct discussions among the Compensation Committee, our CEO and the Compensation Committee's compensation consultants.

Role of Compensation Consultants

Our Compensation Committee has retained Willis Towers Watson Public Limited Company ("Willis Towers Watson") as its independent compensation consulting firm to provide the Compensation Committee with relevant data concerning the marketplace and our peer group as well as its own independent analysis and recommendations concerning executive compensation. Willis Towers Watson regularly participates in Compensation Committee meetings. Our Compensation Committee has the authority to set Willis Towers Watson's compensation and to replace Willis Towers Watson as its independent outside compensation consultant or hire additional consultants at any time. In addition, prior to the 2016 merger of Towers Watson & Co. with Willis Group, Willis Group had provided us with insurance-related services including services to our captive insurance company. Willis Towers Watson has continued to provide us with such insurance-related services and, in 2017, we paid Willis Towers Watson approximately \$740,500 in fees for such services. In 2015, in light of the then anticipated merger of Towers Watson & Co. and Willis Group, the Compensation Committee assessed the independence of Willis Towers Watson pursuant to SEC rules (including Item 407(e)(3)(iv) of Regulation S-K) and the NYSE listing standards and concluded that no conflict of interest existed that would prevent Willis Towers Watson from independently advising the Compensation Committee. In particular, the Compensation Committee considered a presentation it received from Willis Towers Watson that described Willis Towers Watson's policies and procedures to prevent or mitigate conflicts of interest. The Compensation Committee also reviewed and was satisfied that there were no business or personal relationships between members of the Compensation Committee and the individuals at Willis Towers Watson supporting the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee considered that Willis Towers Watson reports directly to the Chair of the Compensation Committee and that the Compensation Committee has the authority to set Willis Towers Watson's compensation and to replace Willis Towers Watson as its independent outside compensation consultant or hire additional consultants at any time. Finally, the Compensation Committee considered other factors relevant to Willis Towers Watson's independence from management, including all of the factors set forth in the NYSE listing standards. The Compensation Committee regularly assesses the independence of its compensation consultants.

For 2017 compensation decisions, Willis Towers Watson prepared, among other reports, an analysis of compensation levels and performance at the following companies that it identified as peer companies within the context of the executive pay philosophy of the Compensation Committee: American Tower Corporation; Boston Properties, Inc.; CBRE Group, Inc.; Equity Residential; General Growth Properties, Inc.; HCP, Inc.; Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc.; Kimco Realty Corporation; ProLogis; Public Storage; Simon Property Group, Inc.; SL Green Realty Corp.; Welltower, Inc.; and Ventas, Inc. Our Compensation Committee has elected to use the foregoing executive compensation peer group, as the competitive landscape in which we compete for investment capital and executive talent is comprised of other publicly-traded REITs as well as real estate operating companies. Additionally, as many of our competitors in the markets in which we operate, particularly with respect to our New York division, are asset managers not structured as REITs and private entities such as real estate opportunity funds, sovereign wealth funds and pension funds, among others, our Compensation Committee, from time to time has also considered compensation levels and trends among our non-public competitors as obtained from surveys and other proprietary data sources.

Consistent with prior years, the Compensation Committee reviewed and discussed the analyses prepared by Willis Towers Watson, and determined that the analyses were useful in indicating that the compensation opportunities awarded to executive officers are in line with the

prevailing competitive market. Furthermore, realized awards duly reflect the performance of the Company and the shareholder value created.

32 VORNADO REALTY TRUST 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

From time to time, the Company also engages the services of FTI Consulting, Inc., as a compensation consultant, to provide assistance with gathering and presenting third-party data used in determining industry-or market-specific results.

Analysis of Risk Associated with Our Executive Compensation Program

Our Compensation Committee has discussed the concept of risk as it relates to our executive compensation program and the Compensation Committee does not believe our executive compensation program encourages excessive or inappropriate risk-taking for the reasons stated below.

We structure our pay to consist of both fixed and variable compensation. The fixed portion (base salary) of compensation is designed to provide a base level of income regardless of our financial or share price performance.

The variable portions of compensation (annual incentive and equity) are designed to encourage and reward both short- and long-term corporate performance. For short-term performance, annual incentives are awarded based on the formulaic funding of our annual incentive pool and assessments of performance during the prior year. For long-term performance, our options, restricted shares, restricted units, AO LTIPs and awards under our OPP generally vest over three, four or five years. Awards of options, AO LTIPs Units or OPP Units only have value if our Share price increases over time. Awards of restricted shares only increase in value if our Share price increases over time. Awards of AO LTIPs and of restricted units require a two-year holding period (regardless of vesting). Furthermore, with regard to grants of OPP awards made since 2013, we require our Named Executive Officers to hold the equity received with respect to earned and vested awards for one additional year after they have vested. We believe that these variable elements of compensation are a sufficient percentage of total compensation to provide incentives to executives to produce superior short- and long-term corporate results, while the fixed element is also sufficiently high that the executives are not encouraged to take unnecessary or excessive risks in doing so. We and our Compensation Committee also believe that the mix of formulaic criteria and a non-formulaic evaluation of historic performance provides an incentive for our executives to produce superior performance without the distorting effects of providing a pre-determinable compensation award based on the performance of only one division or business unit or upon other results that may not reflect the long- or short-term results of the Company as a whole.

As demonstrated above, our executive compensation program is structured to achieve its objectives by (i) providing incentives to our Named Executive Officers to manage the Company for the creation of long-term shareholder value, (ii) avoiding the type of disproportionately large, short-term incentives that could encourage our Named Executive Officers to take risks that may not be in the Company's long-term interests, (iii) requiring our Named Executive Officers to maintain a significant investment in the Company and (iv) evaluating annually an array of performance criteria in determining executive compensation rather than focusing on a singular metric that may encourage unnecessary risk-taking. We believe this combination of factors encourages our Named Executive Officers to manage the Company prudently.

Elements of Our Compensation Program

Our Named Executive Officers' compensation currently has three primary components:

anniia	l base	sal	arv:

annual incentive awards, which include cash payments and/or awards of equity; and

long-term equity incentives, which may include restricted units, stock options and long-term incentive performance unit awards such as those awarded under our OPP.

Table of Contents

2018 PROXY STATEMENT VOR

VORNADO REALTY TRUST

33

The overall levels of compensation and the allocation among these components are determined annually by our Compensation Committee based upon an analysis of the Company's performance during the year and a review of the prevailing competitive market for executive talent in which we operate. Historically, a substantial majority of the total compensation for our CEO has been in the form of long-term equity awards, including performance-based awards subject to performance thresholds such as those awarded under our OPP. These longer-term awards further the Compensation Committee's desire to directly align management and shareholder interests and to provide incentives for each executive to successfully implement our long-term strategic goals.

Annual Base Salary

Base salaries for our Named Executive Officers are established based on the scope of their responsibilities, taking into account the compensation paid by other companies for similar positions as well as salaries paid to the executives' peers within the Company and any applicable employment agreement. In accordance with our pay-for-performance philosophy, we structure an executive's annual base salary to be a relatively low percentage of total compensation. There were no increases in our Named Executive Officers' base salary levels for 2017 over that of 2016 (not including the impact of an extra pay period in 2016), nor have there been any increases in our Named Executive Officers' base salary levels for the past several years.

Annual Incentive Awards

Our Compensation Committee has established an annual short-term incentive program for the senior executive management team that formulaically ties a maximum award pool to achieving an FFO, as adjusted, performance threshold. The Company views and, we believe our shareholders view, FFO, as adjusted, as one of the key operating metrics within the REIT industry and, we believe, a primary driver of long-term TSR performance. Under our annual compensation program, members of our senior executive management team, including all of our Named Executive Officers, will have the ability to earn annual cash incentive payments and/or equity awards if and only if the Company achieves FFO, as adjusted, of at least 80% or more of the prior year's FFO, as adjusted. In the event that the Company fails to achieve FFO, as adjusted, of 80% or more of the prior year's FFO, as adjusted, no incentive payments would be earned or paid under the program. Moreover, even if the Company does achieve the stipulated FFO, as adjusted, performance requirement under the annual incentive program, the Compensation Committee always retains the right, consistent with best practices, to elect to reduce or make no payments under the program. Our Compensation Committee has elected to use FFO, as adjusted, as the primary metric for our annual incentive award rather than total FFO. FFO, as adjusted, excludes the impact of certain non-recurring items such as income or loss from discontinued operations, the sale or mark-to-market of marketable securities or derivatives and early extinguishment of debt, restructuring costs and non-cash impairment losses, among others, and thus the Compensation Committee believes it provides a better metric than total FFO for assessing management's performance for the year.

Aggregate incentive awards earned under the annual short-term incentive program by our senior executive management team are subject to a cap of 1.25% of FFO, as adjusted, earned by the Company for the year, with individual award allocations under the program determined by the Compensation Committee based on an assessment of individual and overall performance. Performance criteria evaluated by the Compensation Committee when determining individual incentive awards under the annual incentive program, assuming the Company has achieved the required FFO, as adjusted, performance threshold necessary for our senior executive management team to be eligible to earn incentive awards under the program, will include, among others, the following:

TSR, both on an absolute basis and relative to the performance of the peer group and the REIT industry;

Leasing performance and occupancy levels;

Capital markets performance and maintenance of a strong balance sheet;

T 1	1		00	٦.		
Fal	าได	e 0	ıt (or	iter	ıts

34 VORNADO REALTY TRUST 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

Same store NOI at share:

Implementation and achievement of goals, including expense control and adherence to budget; and

Achievement of business unit and/or departmental objectives.

Any awards earned under the annual incentive program are payable in cash and/or equity awards, generally in the first quarter of each year for the prior year's performance.

Long-Term Equity Incentives

Compensation is typically awarded to our Named Executive Officers in the form of long-term equity incentives issued under our 2010 Plan through performance-based equity awards such as those that may be earned under our OPP and future out-performance plans, grants of stock options and restricted units. The granting of equity awards links a Named Executive Officer's compensation directly to the performance of our Share price. We believe this encourages our NEOs to make business decisions with an ownership mentality.

OPP Awards. Our OPP was developed with the guidance and input of FTI Consulting, Inc. (a compensation consultant retained by the Company) and Willis Towers Watson. These performance-based awards are earned over a three-year period, which is then followed by back-end vesting requirements (during years three, four and five) to act as a retention device and provide a strong incentive to the executives to increase shareholder value long after they performed the services for which the equity awards were initially granted. In particular, the awards provide for immediate cancellation if the executive voluntarily leaves or is terminated for cause (and, in either case, such person is no longer providing services to the Company or any of its affiliates as an employee, trustee or otherwise), excluding certain outstanding awards held by retirement eligible executives and employees above the age of 65 (or above the age of 60 with at least 20 years of service to the Company). Furthermore, we require our executive officers (as defined in accordance with SEC rules, "Executives") to hold the equity received with respect to earned and vested awards for one additional year after they have vested.

Our OPP is designed to provide compensation in a "pay-for-performance" structure. Awards under the OPP are a class of units (collectively referred to as "OPP Units") of the Company's Operating Partnership, Vornado Realty L.P., issued under our 2010 Plan. If the specific performance objectives of the OPP are achieved, the earned OPP Units become convertible into Class A common units of the Operating Partnership (and ultimately into Shares) following vesting, and their value fluctuates with changes in the value of our Shares. If the performance objectives are not met, the OPP Units are cancelled. Generally, unvested OPP Units are forfeited if the executive leaves the Company, except that OPP Units vest automatically on death. OPP Units are intended to also provide recipients with better income tax attributes than grants of options. With regard to awards under our OPP, participants have the opportunity to earn compensation payable in the form of equity if and only if we outperform a predetermined TSR and/or outperform the market with respect to relative TSR over a three-year performance period as determined at the end of the third year. Specifically, awards under our OPP may potentially be earned if the Company (i) achieves a TSR above that of an applicable industry index or indices over a three-year performance period (the "Relative Component"), and/or (ii) achieves a TSR level greater than 21% (over the three-year performance period) (the "Absolute Component"). For the 2018 Plan, we used a combination of the SNL Office Index (70%) and the SNL Retail Index (30%). For the 2017 OPP Plan, we used the SNL REIT Index. To the extent awards would be

earned under the Absolute Component but the Company underperforms the Index by more than a specified margin, such awards earned under the Absolute Component would be reduced based on the degree to which the Company underperforms the Index. In certain circumstances, if the Company outperforms the Index, but awards would not otherwise be earned under the Absolute Component, awards may still be earned under the Relative Component. Moreover, to the extent awards would otherwise be earned under the Relative Component but the Company fails to achieve at least a 3% per annum absolute TSR level, such awards earned under the Relative Component would be reduced based on the Company's absolute TSR performance. For the 2018 OPP, if the TSR is less than 0% the maximum payout is 50% of the total award regardless of relative performance. If the designated performance objectives are achieved, OPP Units are also subject to time-based vesting requirements. This creates, in the aggregate, up to a five-year retention period (plus the additional one-year holding period for Executives) with respect to participants in the OPP. Even after achieving the performance thresholds, during the remaining two

n	г.	1_1	١.	αf	0		4-		L
	เล	n	ıe	Ω T		۱n	Te:	n	ΓC

2018 PROXY STATEMENT VORNADO REALTY TRUST

years until full vesting (plus the additional one-year holding period for Executives), holders will continue to bear the same Share price and total return risk as our shareholders and be subject to the same "book-up" requirements as apply to Restricted Units and which are described below. Dividend payments on awards issued accrue during the performance period and are paid to participants if and only if awards are ultimately earned based on the achievement of the designated performance objectives. Furthermore, if the maximum award is earned (through any combination of the Relative and Absolute Components), the number of units actually awarded will be based on the Share price during a 120-day measurement period preceding the end of the three-year performance period which causes the award to be fully earned. On January 10, 2018, our 2015 OPP Plan expired unearned.

The following charts show some of the key components of our awards of OPP Units and, for illustration purposes only (and not as a projection of actual performance), present our most recent awards (made in 2018) as if they had been fully earned at March 15, 2021.

Earning and Vesting of OPP Awards

In addition, senior executive officers, including all our NEOs, are required to hold their earned and vested OPP Units for one year following vesting.

Allocation of Wealth Created

On an absolute total shareholder return basis, our 2018 OPP is designed to award management with equity at the rate of 2% for every dollar of shareholder value created after returning the first 21% of value created to shareholders over a three-year performance period, subject to a \$35 million cap (if the full amount of the authorized OPP pool is actually awarded). While the earning of OPP awards not only requires performance under the Absolute Component, but also the Relative Component, for presentation purposes the table below is simplified to present only the results derived under the Absolute Component. Using this simplified format, the table below illustrates the rate at which OPP unitholders will share in the increases in shareholder value above the OPP initial share price along with shareholders and other unitholders.

Growth in TSR

35

Participation Percentage in Shareholder Value Creation under Terms of

the 2018 OPP for: 0% to 21% 21% to 35% Above 35%

Shareholders and unit holders 100% 98% 100% OPP Unitholders 0% 2% 0%

AO LTIPs. "AO LTIP Units" are limited partnership units in Vornado Realty L.P. that are intended to produce for holders a substantially similar non-tax economic effect as that of options. AO LTIP Units are issued under the Company's Appreciation-Only Long-Term Incentive Plan. This plan was adopted in January 2018. None of our Named Executive Officers has been awarded AO LTIPs. AO LTIP Units are potentially convertible into

36 VORNADO REALTY TRUST 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

Class A Units of Vornado Realty L.P. if certain tax book-up events occur, and then are ultimately redeemable for Company Common Shares or cash at the option of the Company. AO LTIP Units are issued under our 2010 Plan and may be convertible for up to a period of 10 years from the date of grant. Awards of AO LTIP Units granted to date vest ratably over four years from the date of grant. On the date of grant, each AO LTIP Unit is awarded with a specified strike price. That strike price will be equal to or greater than the price for one Share on the date of grant. Each AO LTIP Unit, on exercise, will be converted into such number of Class A Units that have a value equal to the excess of the closing price per Share on the date of conversion on the New York Stock Exchange over the strike price. After a required two-year holding period, those Class A Units, in turn, may be redeemable for Shares. On January 12, 2018, we first granted AO LTIP Units to members of management other than our NEOs in lieu of OPP Units (except in certain instances) which they had received in prior years.

Stock Options. None of our Named Executive Officers was awarded stock options for 2017, 2016, or 2015 performance. The most recent stock option award to such executives was in 2011 for 2010 performance. Executives who do not receive AO LTIP or OPP awards may receive awards of stock options. Stock option awards issued under our 2010 Plan provide our executives the opportunity to purchase Shares at an exercise price determined on the date of grant. Historically, our stock option awards have either been in the form of at-the-money stock options, whereby the option exercise price is equal to the market price of Shares on the date of grant, or in the form of premium stock options, whereby the option exercise price is established at a level above the market price of Shares on the date of grant. In both instances, the market price of Shares must increase to a level above the option exercise price in order for the executives to achieve any value from their stock option awards. Generally, the stock options vest and become exercisable in equal annual installments over a four- or five-year period beginning one year after the date of grant, and remain exercisable for a period of ten years from the date of grant. Our 2010 Plan (i) prohibits the granting of in-the-money stock options and (ii) prohibits, without shareholder approval, the repricing of outstanding stock options that have fallen out of the money. Recipients of stock options do not receive any dividends paid on Shares on their outstanding option awards.

Restricted Shares and Units. "Restricted shares" are grants of Shares issued under our 2010 Plan that generally vest in three or four equal annual installments beginning approximately one year after the grant date. "Restricted units" are grants of limited partnership interests in Vornado Realty L.P., our operating partnership, through which we conduct substantially all of our business. These units also generally vest in three or four equal annual installments beginning approximately one year after the grant date and are exchangeable on a one-for-one basis into Vornado Realty L.P.'s Class A common units in certain circumstances. These circumstances principally include the requirement that Vornado Realty L.P. must have gone through certain tax "book-up" events whereby sufficient profits have been allocated to the restricted units so that they have the same capital account (and value) as Class A common units. In addition, there is a two-year holding requirement. Vornado Realty L.P.'s Class A common units can be redeemed for Shares on a one-for-one basis (or for the equivalent value in cash at the Company's option) with only limited restrictions, such as a 60-day waiting period between the time that a redemption notice is given and the date that Shares may be delivered. Restricted units are intended to also provide recipients with better income tax attributes than restricted shares and unlike option grants, grants of restricted units do not have a term at which they expire. During the restricted period, each restricted share or restricted unit entitles the recipient to receive payments from the Company equal to the dividends on one Share. Restricted equity awards further contribute in aligning management and shareholder interests, and the multi-year vesting requirements ranging from three to five years aid in our efforts to retain our executives and key employees over the long term. Further, our Compensation Committee believes restricted equity awards are a key component of a balanced equity compensation program, because incorporating time-based restricted equity awards into the equity compensation mix, as opposed to an equity compensation program comprised solely of awards subject to performance-based vesting requirements, ensures that a portion of each executive's equity compensation retains value even in a depressed market and provides executives with a baseline of value that lessens the likelihood that executives will take unreasonable risks to keep their market-based performance equity award vehicles "in the money."

Table of Contents

2018 PROXY STATEMENT VORNADO REALTY TRUST

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plans

We maintain two nonqualified deferred compensation plans, the Vornado Realty Trust Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan ("Plan I") and the Vornado Realty Trust Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan II ("Plan II"). Plan I and Plan II are substantially similar, except that Plan II, which applies to deferrals on and after January 1, 2005, is designed to comply with the deferred compensation restrictions of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

Employees having annual compensation of at least \$200,000 are eligible to participate in Plan II, *provided that* they qualify as "accredited investors" under securities laws. Members of our Board of Trustees are also eligible to participate. To participate, an eligible individual must make an irrevocable election to defer at least \$20,000 of his or her compensation (whether cash or equity) per year. Participant deferrals are always fully vested. The Company is permitted to make discretionary credits to the Plans on behalf of participants, but as of yet has not done so. Deferrals are credited with earnings based on the rate of return of specific security investments or various "benchmark funds" selected by the individual, some of which are based on the performance of the Company's securities.

Participants may elect to have their deferrals credited to a "Retirement Account" or a "Fixed Date Account." Retirement Accounts are generally payable following retirement or termination of employment. Fixed Date Accounts are generally payable at a time selected by the participant, which is at least two full calendar years after the year for which deferrals are made. Participants may elect to receive distributions as a lump sum or in the form of annual installments over no more than 10 years. In the event of a change of control of the Company, all accounts become immediately payable in a lump sum. Plan I also permits a participant to withdraw all or a portion of his or her account at any time, subject to a 10% withdrawal penalty.

Retirement and 401(k) Plans

We offer a 401(k) Retirement Plan to all of our employees in which we provide matching contributions (up to 75% of the statutory maximum but not more than 7.5% of cash compensation) that fully vest after five years of employment. We do not have any other retirement plan. Retirement plans are not a factor in our current compensation determinations.

Perquisites and Other Compensation

We provide our Named Executive Officers with certain perquisites that we believe are reasonable and in line with the prevailing competitive market. These perquisites include supplemental life insurance and an allowance for financial counseling and tax preparation services for certain Named Executive Officers. Additionally, due to the location of our corporate offices in New York City and the extensive business-related travel requirements of our Named Executive Officers, we provide certain of our Named Executive Officers with the use of a car and/or driver. Providing a car and driver allows these executive officers to use their travel time efficiently and productively for business purposes, including (i) telephonic meetings and (ii) visiting our properties and meeting with our tenants. Accordingly, we believe providing these benefits serves the best interests of our shareholders as it allows our executives to continue to focus on Company matters while traveling. While providing a car and driver does provide an incidental personal benefit to the executive, the cost of this personal benefit constitutes only a small percentage of the executive's total compensation. Nevertheless, the amounts disclosed in this proxy statement for car and driver costs include the entire value of the benefit, both business purpose and personal.

Equity Ownership Guidelines

37

In order to further foster the strong ownership culture among our senior executive management team and ensure the continued direct alignment of management and shareholder interests, and consistent with emerging corporate governance trends, we have adopted executive equity ownership guidelines requiring that our NEOs and other members of our senior executive management team maintain a minimum ownership level of Shares

38 VORNADO REALTY TRUST 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

or related Company equity. The equity ownership requirements (comprised of Shares and certain securities convertible or redeemable for Shares) for our executives are as follows:

Chairman and CEO 6 times his annual base salary
All Other Executive Officers 3 times their annual base salaries

Executive officers have five years from the date of becoming an executive officer to satisfy the ownership requirement. All of our Named Executive Officers satisfy these guidelines.

We have also adopted equity ownership guidelines for our Board of Trustees. Under the equity ownership guidelines adopted for our Board of Trustees, all non-employee Trustees are required to maintain a minimum ownership level of Shares equal to at least five times their annual cash retainer. Non-employee Trustees have five years to satisfy the guidelines. All non-employee Trustees currently satisfy these guidelines or are expected to satisfy these guidelines within the prescribed period.

Comparison of 2015-2017 Total Direct Compensation

Under the rules and regulations of the SEC, each year the "Summary Compensation Table" must disclose the salary paid during that year, the annual incentive earned for that year and the equity-based, long-term incentive granted during that year, which for us represents the equity-based, long-term incentive award earned for the *prior* year. As discussed above, because the equity-based pay we award in the first quarter of each year (similar to the cash bonus paid in the first quarter of each year) was earned based on performance in the prior year, the SEC's approach prevents us from showing together all the pay salary, annual cash incentive and equity-based pay earned for any one year. In order to provide our shareholders with the aggregate amount of compensation *earned* by each Named Executive Officer for a given calendar year, we are including below a supplemental Total Direct Compensation Table. We believe the Total Direct Compensation Table enables a more meaningful year-over-year compensation comparison than the Summary Compensation Table presented later in this proxy statement. The Total Direct Compensation Table consists of (i) the actual salary paid for the year, (ii) the annual incentives awarded for the year and (iii) the annual grant date fair value of equity grants awarded for service and performance for the year, irrespective of when such amounts ultimately were granted, paid and/or vested. This table illustrates one of the analyses undertaken by our Compensation Committee in determining each element of our Named Executive Officers' compensation for the particular year in light of such executive's performance during the year. We also believe it demonstrates further the ongoing correlation between the executive's pay and overall Company performance.

The principal difference between the Total Direct Compensation Table and the Summary Compensation Table is that the Total Direct Compensation Table achieves "apples to apples" presentation of both cash and equity-based incentives by showing the value of equity awards in the year to which such grants relate, rather than the year in which such grants were made, as reflected in the Summary Compensation Table. Other companies may calculate Total Direct Compensation differently than we do.

2018 PROXY STATEMENT

VORNADO REALTY TRUST

39

Direct Compensation Table

The Total Direct Compensation earned by our Named Executive Officers for the 2015-2017 period was as follows:

				C	Grant Date		Grant Date Fair Value		Grant Date Fair Value		
					Fair Value		of		of		
				,	of		Restricted		At-Risk,		
					Restricted		Unit	-	Multi-Year		
					Unit		Awards asl				
					Awards	1	Long-Term	. •	Based		
			Cash		in Lieu of		Equity		OPP	7	Total Direct
Name	Year	Salary(1)		C			mpensation		Awards(C)on		
rame	Tear	Salary(1)	meentive		asii Dollas.	<i>,</i> 01	препзаноп		11 war as(Cyrr	чР	ciisation(3)
Steven Roth	2017 \$	1,000,000		\$	950,055	\$	3,800,024	\$	5,613,559	\$	11,363,638
	2016 \$	1,038,462 \$	1,005,700			\$	3,800,082	\$	5,307,506 \$	\$	11,151,750
	2015 \$	1,000,000 \$	1,000,000			\$	3,800,033	\$	5,050,847 \$	•	10,850,880
Joseph											
Macnow	2017 \$	1,000,000		\$	1,187,552	\$	831,306	\$	1,227,966 \$	\$	4,246,824
	2016 \$	1,038,462 \$	505,700	\$	712,522	\$	831,308	\$	1,161,017	S	4,249,009
	2015 \$	1,000,000 \$	500,000	\$	712,578	\$	831,282	\$	1,104,873	S	4,148,733
Stephen W.											
Theriot	2017 \$	557,692							9	\$	557,692
	2016 \$	1,038,462 \$	255,700	\$	237,573	\$	285,009	\$	398,063	•	2,214,807
	2015 \$	1,000,000 \$	250,000	\$	237,585	\$	285,013	\$	378,814 \$	•	2,151,412
David R.											
Greenbaum	2017 \$	1,000,000		\$	1,710,033	\$	1,282,525	\$	1,894,576 \$	\$	5,887,134
	2016 \$	1,038,462 \$	805,700	\$	950,095	\$	1,282,539	\$	1,791,283	S	5,868,079
	2015 \$	1,000,000 \$	800,000	\$	950,074	\$	1,282,517	\$	1,704,661	S	5,737,252
Michael J.											
Franco(4)	2017 \$	1,000,000 \$	750,000	\$	712,557	\$	1,187,552	\$	1,754,237 \$	\$	5,404,346
	2016 \$	1,038,462 \$	816,743	\$	712,522	\$	6,087,756	\$	1,658,596	S	10,314,079
	2015 \$	1,000,000 \$	811,043	\$	712,578	\$	1,187,571	\$	1,578,390	\$	5,289,582
Mitchell N.											
Schear	2017 \$	561,538 \$	976,438						\$	\$	1,537,976

2016 \$	1,038,462 \$	1,805,700			\$	2,844,162
2015 \$	1,000,000 \$	800,000 \$	950,074 \$	688,819 \$	915,466 \$	4,354,359

- (1)

 Total base salary in 2016 is 1/26th more than 2017 and 2015 because 2016 had 27 bi-weekly pay periods as opposed to 26 bi-weekly pay periods in 2017 and 2015.
- (2)
 Represents the grant date fair value of each Named Executive Officer's allocation in 2017 under the OPP, which is a performance-based program under which participants may earn equity compensation awards if and only if certain absolute and/or relative TSR objectives are achieved over a three-year performance period.
- Does not include the value of certain perquisites such as financial counseling and tax services, supplemental life insurance or automobile benefits provided to certain of our Named Executive Officers. The total value of these perquisites represents a de minimis component of total compensation.
- (4)
 In 2017 (for 2016 performance), Mr. Franco received two grants of restricted units (referred to elsewhere herein as the Contract and Service Grants) with an aggregate grant date Fair Value of \$4,900,187.

Current Year Compensation Decisions

As explained above, we make our incentive compensation decisions generally in the first quarter of a fiscal year with respect to the prior year. In addition, in the first quarter of 2018, we established the 2018 performance thresholds for our formula-based short-term annual incentive program.

The compensation levels discussed in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis section are not directly comparable to the amounts presented in the Summary Compensation Table later in this proxy statement for the reasons discussed above under "How We Determine Executive Compensation" and "Comparison of 2015-2017 Total Direct Compensation."

40 VORNADO REALTY TRUST 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

Table of Contents

In addition, in the discussion below, when we discuss the "Fair Value" of equity awards, we refer to the "fair value" for such awards determined in accordance with applicable securities and accounting rules (excluding the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions). Fair Value is the method used for presenting values for equity awards in our "Summary Compensation Table" and elsewhere under "Executive Compensation." When we discuss the "Market Value" of equity awards we refer to values based on the market price of our Shares at the date of grant (the values considered by our Compensation Committee in making compensation decisions).

Total Compensation of Our CEO

For 2017, Mr. Roth's total compensation (with equity determined at Fair Value) was \$11,363,638, compared to \$11,151,750 in the prior year or a 1.9% increase. For 2016, Mr. Roth's total compensation (with equity determined at Fair Value) was \$11,151,750, compared to \$10,850,880 in the prior year or a 2.8% increase. However, as discussed earlier, the aggregate value for all of Mr. Roth's compensation other than OPP awards decreased by 1.6% in 2017 from 2016 and increased 0.1% in 2016 from 2015 and the total dollar value of the long-term incentive award potentially earnable (the "Notional Amount") of the OPP awards made to Mr. Roth for 2017 and 2016 was unchanged from 2015. Accordingly, the increase in compensation for Mr. Roth in 2017 from 2016 and in 2016 from 2015 was due primarily to an increase in the accounting cost for OPP awards with the same notional value. For 2015, Mr. Roth's total compensation (with equity determined at Fair Value) was \$10,850,880, compared to \$9,801,951 in the prior year or a 10.7% increase. Our one-year TSR for 2017 was -4.3%, 7.3% for 2016 and -3.9% for 2015.

Mr. Roth's salary, incentives and equity awards were based on an evaluation of those factors previously described and were approved by the Compensation Committee. Among the factors considered, both objective and subjective, were the strategic position of the Company, the changes in the Company's operating and performance metrics over the applicable period (NOI at share, FFO, as adjusted and FFO per Share), our TSR over the applicable period and the other factors previously described. These factors were considered as a whole and no numerical weight was attributed to any particular factor. The majority of Mr. Roth's compensation is in the form of equity to further align his interests with those of our shareholders.

Cash Compensation of Our CEO

Mr. Roth has served as our CEO since April 15, 2013. Mr. Roth's base salary of \$1,000,000 was established in March 2001 and has remained unchanged since then. Mr. Roth's total cash compensation for 2017, 2016, and 2015 was \$1,000,000, \$2,044,162, and \$2,000,000, respectively. The decrease in 2017 as compared to 2016 was due to Mr. Roth receiving for 2017 restricted units in lieu of a cash bonus. The increase in 2016 as compared to 2015 is due to 2016 having 27 bi-weekly pay periods as opposed to 26 bi-weekly pay periods in 2015.

Equity Compensation of Our CEO

Mr. Roth's long-term equity incentive compensation award for 2017 performance (granted in 2018) was 238,257 OPP Units and 73,122 restricted units (collectively having a Market Value of \$10,613,641). The aggregate Fair Value at the date of grant of these OPP and restricted units was \$10,363,638 and represents a 13.8% increase (a 3.4% increase if the restricted units awarded in lieu of cash bonus are not included) in the aggregate value of long-term equity grants compared to the prior year. Mr. Roth's long-term equity incentive compensation award for 2016 performance (granted in 2017) was 151,683 OPP Units and 38,293 restricted units (collectively having a Market Value of \$9,307,593). The aggregate Fair Value at the date of grant of these OPP and restricted units was \$9,107,588 and represents a 2.9% increase in the aggregate value of long-term equity grants of restricted and OPP Units compared to the prior year. The increase in the Fair Value of granted OPP Units for 2017 and 2016 primarily reflects increases in the accounting cost for such units. The Notional Amount of such grants has remained constant since

2014. For 2015 performance, Mr. Roth was granted (in 2016) 165,626 OPP Units and 43,025 restricted units (collectively having a Market Value of \$9,050,881). The aggregate Fair Value at the date of grant of these OPP and restricted units was \$8,850,880 and represents a

2018 PROXY STATEMENT VORNADO REALTY TRUST

41

13.5% increase in the aggregate value of long-term equity grants of restricted and OPP Units compared to 2014.

Basis for Compensation of Other Named Executive Officers

For our other Named Executive Officers (Messrs. Macnow, Greenbaum, Franco, Schear and Theriot), such executive's salary, annual incentive and long-term equity awards were based on an evaluation of those factors previously described and were approved by the Compensation Committee. Among the factors considered, both objectively and subjectively, were the strategic position of the Company, the changes in the Company's operating and performance metrics over the applicable period (NOI at share, FFO, as adjusted, and FFO per Share), our TSR over the applicable period and the other factors previously mentioned. With regard to each of Messrs. Macnow and Theriot, we considered these factors as they apply to our Company as a whole as his responsibilities are company-wide. For Messrs. Greenbaum, Franco and Schear, we also considered these factors as they pertain to the division which such executive heads. Mr. Greenbaum is President New York Division, Mr. Franco is Executive Vice President Chief Investment Officer and Mr. Schear was President Vornado/Charles E. Smith Washington, D.C. Division. In all cases, these factors were considered as a whole and no numerical weight was attributed to any particular factor. In the aggregate, total compensation (with equity determined at Fair Value) awarded to these Named Executive Officers for 2017 decreased by 23.9% as compared to the prior year. In 2017, Mr. Franco received the Contract and Service Grants (two grants of restricted units with an aggregate Fair Value) awarded to these four Named Executive Officers for 2017 increased by 0.1% as compared to the prior year. We exclude from these comparisons the compensation of Messrs. Theriot and Schear as they only worked for the Company a partial year in 2017.

Other Compensation Policies and Practices

Equity Grant Practices

All of our equity-based compensation awards are made under our shareholder-approved 2010 Plan. The 2010 Plan provides that we are able to issue up to 6,000,000 Share equivalents with each award of a Share (or other securities that have the value equivalent to one Share when earned or vested) counting as one Share equivalent and each award of an option to acquire our Shares (or other securities that by their terms require the payment of an exercise price or deduction of a strike price) counting as one-half of a Share equivalent. Under the 2010 Plan, the exercise price of each stock option awarded must be (or must have been) no less than the average of the high and low price of our Shares on the New York Stock Exchange on the date granted by the Compensation Committee. The vast majority of our equity awards are determined and granted in the first quarter of each year at the same time as management and the Compensation Committee conclude their annual evaluation of the performance of our senior executive management team as a group and each executive individually. In addition and from time to time, additional equity awards may be granted in connection with new hires or promotions. We have never repriced options and our 2010 Plan does not permit repricing of options without shareholder approval.

Employment, Severance and Change of Control Agreements

We do, from time to time, enter into employment agreements with some members of our senior executive management team. Otherwise, our senior executive management team and other employees serve "at will." Except as may be provided in these employment agreements or pursuant to our compensation plans generally, we have not entered into any separate severance or change of control agreements. For those of our senior executive management team who have employment agreements, these agreements generally provide for a severance payment (for termination by

us without cause or by the executive with good reason (each as defined in the applicable employment agreement and further described below under "Employment Contracts")) and change of control payment (if employment is terminated following a change of control) in the range of one to three times the applicable executive's annual salary and incentive. Since 2012, the agreements evidencing awards under the 2010 Plan have provided for the satisfaction of a "double trigger" as a condition to the acceleration of the vesting of any unvested equity awards. These change of control arrangements are

_	_				\sim			
1	l`a	h	e.	οf	Cia	าท	ter	ıts

42 VORNADO REALTY TRUST 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

designed to compensate management in the event of a termination following a fundamental change in the Company, their employer, and to provide an incentive to these executives to continue with the Company at least through such time. Severance and change of control arrangements do not generally affect other compensation arrangements for a particular period. A more complete description of employment agreements, severance and change of control arrangements pertaining to the Named Executive Officers is set forth under "Employment Contracts" and "Severance and Change of Control Arrangements."

Tax Deductibility of Compensation

The tax efficiency of compensation is one of many factors that enter into the design of our compensation programs. We look at a combination of the rates at which our executives will be taxed and the value of any deduction that we may be entitled to when developing our approach to compensation. We believe that the limitations of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (which limits the corporate tax deduction for certain executive officer compensation that exceeds \$1 million a year) does not apply to most of the compensation we paid to our Named Executive Officers for 2017 and only a small portion of their compensation may not be deductible due to that limitation.

2018 PROXY STATEMENT

VORNADO REALTY TRUST

43

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Trustees of Vornado Realty Trust, a Maryland real estate investment trust (the "Company"), has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K of the Securities and Exchange Commission with management and, based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the proxy statement and incorporated by reference in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017.

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Trustees:

MICHAEL LYNNE DANIEL R. TISCH DR. RICHARD R. WEST

44 VORNADO REALTY TRUST 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth (in accordance with the reporting requirements of the SEC) the compensation of each of the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officers and the three other most highly-compensated executive officers for 2017, 2016 and 2015 (the "Named Executive Officers" or "NEOs").

Summary Compensation Table

Officer

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)(1)	Bonus	Restricted Share/Unit Awards (\$)(3)	-	Incentive	Changes in Pension Value and Non-qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)(5)	Total (\$
Steven Roth	2017	1,000,000		9,107,588				359.929	10,467,51
Chairman and Chief		1,038,462							11,226,578
Executive Officer Principal Executive Officer)	2015	1,000,000	1,000,000	7,800,051		4,626		326,530	10,131,20
oseph Macnow	2017	1 000 000		2 704 947				250 240	4 064 00
Chief Financial Officer		1,000,000 1,038,462		2,704,847 2,648,733		4,857		359,240 372,711	4,064,08° 4,570,46°
Principal Financial Officer) from Gebruary 15, 2017	2015	1,000,000	500,000	2,418,811				358,570	4,277,38
tephen W. Theriot	2017	557 602		020 645				22 525	1 500 96
Chief Financial	2017	557,692 1,038,462		920,645 901,412				22,525 23,873	1,500,862 2,219,44
rinci i manciai	2010	1,050,402	233,700	701,712				23,073	∠,∠ı⊅,⊤⊤

822,573

Principal Financial 2015 1,000,000

017

250,000

Officer) until							ļ
February 15, 2017							ļ
David R.							
Greenbaum	2017	1,000,000		4,023,917	5,405	279,729	5,309,05
resident New York	к 2016	1,038,462	805,700	3,937,252		270,003	6,051,41
Division	2015	1,000,000	800,000	3,582,684		268,508	5,651,192
Aichael J. Franco							
İ	2017	1,000,000	750,000	8,458,874		32,884	10,241,75
Executive Vice	2016	1,038,462	816,743	3,478,539		33,346	5,367,09
President Chief	2015	1,000,000	811,043	3,150,084		32,884	4,994,01
nvestment Officer							
ince May 21,							ľ
015)							
Aitchell N. Schear							
i	2017	561,538	976,438			5,155,772	6,693,74
President Vornado/	2016	1,038,462	1,805,700	2,554,360		79,988	5,478,510
Charles E. Smith	2015	1,000,000	800,000	2,363,857		79,582	4,243,43
Vashington, D.C.							
Division until							
uly 18,							
4 -							,

2,096,44

23,873

⁽¹⁾ Total base salary in 2016 is 1/26th more than 2017 and 2015 because 2016 had 27 bi-weekly pay periods as opposed to 26 bi-weekly pay periods in 2017 and 2015.

The information provided includes cash bonuses for services that are rendered in the year indicated and are awarded in the first quarter of the next succeeding year. None of Messrs. Roth, Macnow or Greenbaum received a cash bonus for 2017. In lieu of a 2017 cash bonus, Mr. Roth, Mr. Macnow and Mr. Greenbaum were awarded, on March 15, 2018, restricted units with a grant date fair value of \$950,055, \$1,187,552, and \$1,710,033, respectively, which, pursuant to SEC rules and regulations, is not reflected in this table. In prior years, all or a portion of such NEO's cash bonus was paid in restricted units. On March 15, 2018, Mr. Franco also received restricted units with a grant date fair value of \$712,557 in lieu of a portion of his 2017 cash bonus. Per his

2018 PROXY STATEMENT VORNADO REALTY TRUST

employment agreement, Mr. Franco received an additional bonus equal to the dividend equivalent with respect to an additional equity award of \$2,000,000 that he received in January 2017. This amount was \$0 in 2017, \$61,043 in 2016, and \$61,043 in 2015.

- Information presented in this table includes the value of grants of Restricted Units in lieu of cash bonuses, Restricted Units and OPP Units made during the applicable period. Information presented in these columns reflects the aggregate grant date fair value of equity awards granted in the applicable fiscal year computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in footnote 13 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K (the "Form 10-K") for the applicable fiscal year as filed with the SEC. Pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC, the amounts shown exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. Dividends or dividend equivalents are paid on both the vested and unvested portion of restricted share and restricted unit awards. In accordance with applicable SEC rules, amounts shown include the impact of bonuses paid in equity in the year actually granted. For the Named Executive Officers, the Restricted Share/Unit Awards set forth above reflect the grant of equity-based bonuses in lieu of cash in 2017, 2016, and 2015, respectively, in the following amounts: Mr. Roth \$0, \$0, and \$0; Mr. Macnow \$712,522, \$712,578, and \$712,546; Mr. Theriot \$237,573, \$237,585, and \$237,555; Mr. Greenbaum \$950,095, \$950,074, and \$950,101; Mr. Franco \$712,522, \$712,578, and \$712,546; and Mr. Schear \$0, \$950,074, and \$950,101.
- (4) Represents awards for long-term service with the Company.
- (5)
 See the All Other Compensation table for additional information.

All Other Compensation Table

The following table describes each component of the All Other Compensation column in the Summary Compensation Table.

		Use of Car and Driver	Supplemental Life Insurance Premiums	Reimbursement For Medical/ Dental Not Covered	Severance	Tax and Financial Planning Assistance Per Employment Contract	Matching 401(k) Contribution	Total
Name	Year	(\$)(1)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Steven								
Roth	2017	297,319	44,610				18,000	359,929
	2016	272,290	41,246				18,000	331,536
	2015	261,724	46,806				18,000	326,530

45

Joseph

Macnow 2017 181,302 144,938 15,000 18,000 359,240

2016