

STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC.
Form 10-K
February 27, 2013

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file number 001-34436**

Starwood Property Trust, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

27-0247747
(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

**591 West Putnam Avenue
Greenwich, Connecticut**
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

06830
(Zip Code)

Registrant's phone number, including area code **(203) 422-8100**

Securities registered pursuant to 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|--|---|
| Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share | New York Stock Exchange |

Securities registered pursuant to 12(g) of the Act: **None**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer, large accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a
smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

As of June 30, 2012, the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates was \$2,437,849,786 based on the reported last sale price of our common stock on June 30, 2012. Shares of our common stock held by each officer and director and by each person who owns 5% or more of the outstanding common stock have been excluded from this calculation in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. This calculation does not reflect a determination that persons are affiliates for any other purposes.

The number of shares of the issuer's common stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding as of February 26, 2013 was 135,499,506.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement to be filed on or about April 1, 2013 (the "Proxy Statement") are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------------|
| <u>Part I</u> | <u>1</u> |
| <u>Item 1. Business</u> | <u>1</u> |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | <u>13</u> |
| <u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | <u>52</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Properties</u> | <u>52</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>52</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>52</u> |
| <u>Part II</u> | <u>53</u> |
| <u>Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | <u>53</u> |
| <u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u> | <u>55</u> |
| <u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>56</u> |
| <u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | <u>77</u> |
| <u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | <u>83</u> |
| <u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | <u>146</u> |
| <u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>146</u> |
| <u>Item 9B. Other Information</u> | <u>147</u> |
| <u>Part III</u> | <u>148</u> |
| <u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | <u>148</u> |
| <u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u> | <u>148</u> |
| <u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | <u>148</u> |
| <u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | <u>149</u> |
| <u>Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | <u>149</u> |
| <u>Part IV</u> | <u>150</u> |
| <u>Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | <u>150</u> |
| <u>Signatures</u> | <u>152</u> |

Table of Contents

Special Note Regarding Forward Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains certain forward-looking statements, including without limitation, statements concerning our operations, economic performance and financial condition. These forward-looking statements are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are developed by combining currently available information with our beliefs and assumptions and are generally identified by the words "believe," "expect," "anticipate" and other similar expressions. Forward-looking statements do not guarantee future performance, which may be materially different from that expressed in, or implied by, any such statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of their dates.

These forward-looking statements are based largely on our current beliefs, assumptions and expectations of our future performance taking into account all information currently available to us. These beliefs, assumptions and expectations can change as a result of many possible events or factors, not all of which are known to us or within our control, and which could materially affect actual results, performance or achievements. Factors that may cause actual results to vary from our forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

factors described in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including those set forth under the captions "Risk Factors" and "Business";

defaults by borrowers in paying debt service on outstanding items;

impairment in the value of real estate property securing our loans;

availability of mortgage origination and acquisition opportunities acceptable to us;

the Company's ability to complete its pending acquisition of LNR Property LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("LNR"), as described below and the performance of LNR subsequent to the acquisition;

the Company's ability to integrate LNR into its business and achieve the benefits that the Company anticipates from its acquisition of LNR;

potential mismatches in the timing of asset repayments and the maturity of the associated financing agreements;

national and local economic and business conditions;

general and local commercial real estate property conditions;

changes in federal government policies;

changes in federal, state and local governmental laws and regulations;

increased competition from entities engaged in mortgage lending;

changes in interest rates;

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

changes in the exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and the respective currencies for the Company's non-U.S. dollar denominated investments; and

the availability of and costs associated with sources of liquidity.

In light of these risks and uncertainties, there can be no assurances that the results referred to in the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K will in fact occur. Except to the extent required by applicable law or regulation, we undertake no obligation to, and expressly disclaim any such obligation to, update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect changed assumptions, the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events, changes to future results over time or otherwise.

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business.

The following description of our business should be read in conjunction with the information included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. This description contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results could differ significantly from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements due to the factors set forth in "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. References in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to "we," "our," "us," or the "Company," refer to Starwood Property Trust, Inc. All amounts are in thousands (000's) except share and per share data.

General

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. is a Maryland corporation that commenced operations on August 17, 2009, upon the completion of our initial public offering. We are focused on originating, investing in, financing and managing commercial mortgage loans and other commercial real estate debt investments, commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS"), and other commercial real estate-related debt investments. We collectively refer to commercial mortgage loans, other commercial real estate debt investments, CMBS, and other commercial real estate-related debt investments as our target assets. We also invest in residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS") and residential REO and non-performing loans, and may invest in commercial properties subject to net leases. As market conditions change over time, we may adjust our strategy to take advantage of changes in interest rates and credit spreads as well as economic and credit conditions. Our objective is to provide attractive risk-adjusted total returns to our investors over the long term, primarily through dividends and secondarily through capital appreciation. In order to achieve these objectives, we focus on asset selection and the relative value of various sectors within the debt market to construct a diversified investment portfolio designed to produce attractive returns across a variety of market conditions and economic cycles. We employ leverage, to the extent available, to fund the acquisition of our target assets, increase potential returns to our stockholders and meet our return objectives. Leverage can either be direct by utilizing private third-party financing, or indirect through originating, acquiring, or retaining subordinated mortgages, B-Notes, subordinated loan participations or mezzanine loans. Under our current repurchase agreements and bank credit facility, our total leverage may not exceed 75%, excluding the impact of bona-fide loan sales that must be accounted for as financings and consolidating any variable interest entities pursuant to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). We are organized as a holding company and conduct our business primarily through our various subsidiaries.

We are externally managed and advised by SPT Management, LLC (our "Manager") pursuant to the terms of a management agreement. Our Manager is controlled by Barry Sternlicht, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Our Manager is an affiliate of Starwood Capital Group, a privately-held private equity firm founded and controlled by Mr. Sternlicht. Since its inception in 1991, Starwood Capital Group (including Starwood Capital-named affiliates controlled by Mr. Sternlicht) has sponsored numerous opportunistic funds, including dedicated debt funds, dedicated hotel funds and standalone and co-investment partnerships.

On January 23, 2013, we entered into a Unit Purchase Agreement with LNR Property, LLC ("LNR"), Aozora Investments LLC, CBR I LLC, iStar Marlin LLC, Opps VIIb LProp, L.P., and VNO LNR Holdco LLC, pursuant to which we agreed to acquire all the outstanding equity interests of LNR. LNR is a diversified real estate investment, management, finance and development company whose principal line of business is serving as a special servicer for CMBS transactions. The acquisition of LNR has not been completed, and accordingly this Annual Report on Form 10-K and the consolidated financial statements included herein do not reflect the results of LNR's business.

We have elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") for U.S. federal income tax purposes commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 2009. We generally will not be subject

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

to U.S. federal income taxes on our taxable income to the extent that we meet certain asset, income, and stock ownership tests to qualify as a REIT, and annually distribute all of our taxable income to stockholders. We also operate our business in a manner that permits us to maintain our exemption from registration under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act").

Our corporate headquarters office is located at 591 West Putnam Avenue, Greenwich, Connecticut, and our telephone number is (203) 422-8100.

Investment Strategy

We seek to attain attractive risk adjusted returns for our investors over the long term by sourcing and managing a diversified portfolio of target assets, financed in a manner that is designed to deliver attractive returns across a variety of market conditions and economic cycles. Our investment strategy focuses on a few fundamental themes:

origination and acquisition of real estate debt assets with an implied basis sufficiently low to weather significant declines in asset values;

focus on real estate markets and assets classes with strong supply and demand fundamentals and/or barriers to entry;

structuring and financing each transaction in a manner that reflects the risk of the underlying asset's cash flow stream and credit risk profile, and efficiently manages and maintains the transaction's interest rate and currency exposures at levels consistent with management's risk objectives;

seeking situations where our size, scale, speed, and sophistication allow us to position ourselves as a "one-stop" lending solution for real estate owner/operators;

utilizing the skills, expertise, and contacts developed by our M over the past twenty plus years as one of the premier global real estate investment managers to correctly anticipate trends and identify attractive risk adjusted investment opportunities in U.S. and European real estate debt capital markets; and

utilizing the skills, expertise, and infrastructure we anticipate acquiring through our recently announced planned acquisition of LNR (see detail provided below), a market leading diversified real estate investment management and loan servicing company, to expand and diversify our presence in various segments of real estate lending and debt securities, including:

origination of small and medium sized loan transactions (\$10 to \$50mm) for both investment and securitization/gain-on-sale;

investment in commercial mortgage backed securities; and

special servicing of commercial real estate loans in commercial real estate securitization transactions.

In order to capitalize on the changing sets of investment opportunities that may be present in the various points of an economic cycle, we may expand or refocus our investment strategy by emphasizing investments in different parts of the capital structure and different sectors of real estate. Our investment strategy may be amended from time to time, if recommended by our Manager and approved by our board of directors, without the approval of our stockholders. In addition to our Manager making direct investments on our behalf, we may enter into joint venture, management or other agreements with persons that have special expertise or sourcing capabilities.

On January 23, 2013, we entered into a Unit Purchase Agreement with LNR, Aozora Investments LLC, CBR I LLC, iStar Marlin LLC, Opps VIIb LProp, L.P., and VNO LNR Holdco LLC, pursuant to which we agreed to acquire all the outstanding equity interests of LNR. LNR is

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

a diversified real estate investment, management, finance and development company whose principal line of business is serving as a special servicer for CMBS transactions. Under the terms of the transaction, we will acquire the following LNR business segments for a total cash purchase price of

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

\$843 million. The remainder of the LNR businesses, totaling approximately \$206 million, will be acquired by an investment fund controlled by an affiliate of our Manager:

U.S. Special Servicer A U.S. special servicer of commercial loans with approximately \$133.6 billion in loans under management and real estate owned as of December 31, 2012;

U.S. Investment Securities Portfolio a portfolio of whole loans, CMBS and collateralized debt obligation ("CDO") investments;

Archetype Mortgage Capital a commercial real estate conduit loan origination platform;

Archetype Financial Institution Services an acquirer, manager, and servicer of portfolios of small balance commercial loans;

LNR Europe consists of Hatfield Philips, a wholly-owned subsidiary that is an independent primary and special servicer in Europe, and a non-controlling interest in LNR European Investment Fund, a European CRE debt fund; and

Auction.com 50 percent of LNR's interest in a real estate exchange selling residential and commercial real estate via auction.

Financing Strategy

Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes and our exemption from registering under the 1940 Act, we may finance the acquisition of our target assets, to the extent available to us, through the following methods:

sources of private financing, including long and short-term repurchase agreements and warehouse and bank credit facilities;

loan sales, syndications, and/or securitizations; and

public offerings of our equity and/or debt securities.

We may also utilize other sources of financing to the extent available to us.

Our Target Assets

We invest in target assets secured primarily by U.S. collateral. We focus primarily on originating or opportunistically acquiring commercial mortgage whole loans, B-notes, mezzanine loans, preferred equity and mortgage-backed securities. We may invest in performing and non-performing mortgage loans and other real estate-related loans and debt investments. We may acquire target assets through portfolio or other acquisitions. Our Manager targets markets where it has a view on the expected cyclical recovery as well as expertise in the real estate collateral underlying the assets being acquired. Our target assets include the following types of loans and other investments with respect to commercial real estate:

whole mortgage loans: loans secured by a first mortgage lien on a commercial property that provide long-term mortgage financing to commercial property developers or owners generally having maturity dates ranging from three to ten years;

bridge loans: whole mortgage loans secured by a first mortgage lien on a commercial property that provide interim or bridge financing to borrowers seeking short-term capital typically for the acquisition of real estate;

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

B-Notes: typically a privately negotiated loan that is secured by a first mortgage on a single large commercial property or group of related properties and subordinated to an A Note secured by the same first mortgage on the same property or group;

mezzanine loans: loans made to commercial property owners that are secured by pledges of the borrower's ownership interests in the property and/or the property owner, subordinate to whole mortgage loans secured by first or second mortgage liens on the property and senior to the borrower's equity in the property;

Table of Contents

construction or rehabilitation loans: mortgage loans and mezzanine loans to finance the cost of construction or rehabilitation of a commercial property;

CMBS: securities that are collateralized by commercial mortgage loans, including:

senior and subordinated investment grade CMBS,

below investment grade CMBS, and

unrated CMBS;

corporate bank debt: term loans and revolving credit facilities of commercial real estate operating or finance companies, each of which are generally secured by such companies' assets;

corporate bonds: debt securities issued by commercial real estate operating or finance companies that may or may not be secured by such companies' assets, including:

investment grade corporate bonds,

below investment grade corporate bonds, and

unrated corporate bonds.

We have also invested in the following types of loans and other debt investments relating to residential real estate:

Non-Agency RMBS: securities collateralized by residential mortgage loans that are not guaranteed by any U.S. Government agency or federally chartered corporation;

Real estate owned (REO): residential real estate that is primarily comprised of single family homes; and

Residential mortgage loans: loans secured by a first mortgage lien on residential property;

In addition, we may invest in the following real estate related investments:

net leases: commercial properties subject to net leases, which leases typically have longer terms than gross leases, require tenants to pay substantially all of the operating costs associated with the properties and often have contractually specified rent increases throughout their terms; and

Agency RMBS: RMBS for which a U.S. Government agency or a federally chartered corporation guarantees payments of principal and interest on the securities.

Table of Contents**Our Portfolio****Investment Activities**

The following table sets forth the amount of each category of investments we owned across various property types (1) as of December 31, 2012 (amounts in thousands):

| Investment | Carrying Value | Face Amount | % Owned | Financing | Net Investment | Weighted Average Rating | Vintage |
|--|----------------|--------------|---------|--------------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| First mortgages | | | | | | | |
| Loan acquisitions | \$ 520,219 | \$ 551,912 | 100% | \$ 321,976 | \$ 198,243 | N/A | 1989 2012 |
| Loan originations | 1,027,349 | 1,036,808 | 100% | 405,628 | 621,721 | N/A | 2009 2012 |
| Total first mortgages | 1,547,568 | 1,588,720 | | 727,604 | 819,964 | | |
| Subordinated mortgage loans and mezzanine loans | | | | | | | |
| Loan acquisitions | 620,700 | 673,421 | 100% | 209,975 | 410,725 | N/A | 1999 2012 |
| Loan originations | 834,128 | 836,919 | 100% | 2,000 | 832,128 | N/A | 2009 2013 |
| Total subordinated debt | 1,454,828 | 1,510,340 | | 211,975 | 1,242,853 | | |
| Loan loss allowance | (2,061) | | | | (2,061) | N/A | N/A |
| CMBS AFS(2) | 529,434 | 519,575 | 100% | 291,004 | 238,430 | BB+(3) | 2010 2012 |
| RMBS AFS(2) | 333,153 | 489,220 | 100% | 163,122 | 170,031 | B- | 2003 2007 |
| Other Investments | 221,983 | 221,983 | 100% | | 221,983 | N/A | N/A |
| | \$ 4,084,905 | \$ 4,329,838 | | \$ 1,393,705 | \$ 2,691,200 | | |

-
- (1) Refer to Schedule IV for details of property type.
- (2) Commercial and residential mortgage-backed, available-for-sale ("AFS") securities.
- (3) This rating, which was provided by Standard & Poor's Ratings Services, Inc. ("S&P"), relates to one position that represents 20.4% of the CMBS carrying value. The remaining 79.6% were securities where the obligors are certain special purpose entities that were formed to hold substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties; the securities are not rated but the loan-to-value ratio was estimated to be in the range of 39%-44% at December 31, 2012.

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2012, the Company's total investment portfolio, excluding other investments, had the following characteristics based on carrying values:

| Collateral Property Type | Geographic Location |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Hospitality | 45.3% West 23.9% |
| Office | 17.6% North East 22.8% |
| Retail | 15.7% South East 16.5% |
| Residential | 8.6% Mid Atlantic 12.7% |
| Industrial | 2.5% Midwest 9.2% |
| Mixed Use | 3.5% International 9.2% |
| Multi-family | 2.1% South West 5.7% |
| Other | 4.7% |
| | 100.0% 100.0% |

The following table sets forth the amount of each category of investments we owned across various property types as of December 31, 2011 (amounts in thousands):

| Investment | Carrying Value | Face Amount | % Owned | Financing | Net Investment | Weighted Average Rating | Vintage |
|---|----------------|--------------|---------|--------------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| First mortgages | | | | | | | |
| Loan acquisitions | \$ 652,349 | \$ 694,600 | 100% | \$ 332,191 | \$ 320,158 | N/A | 1989 2011 |
| Loan originations | 729,171 | 727,414 | 100% | 451,092 | 278,079 | N/A | 2009 2011 |
| Total first mortgages(1) | 1,381,520 | 1,422,014 | | 783,283 | 598,237 | | |
| Subordinated loans and mezzanine loans | | | | | | | |
| Loan acquisitions | 649,864 | 713,679 | 100% | 167,854 | 482,010 | N/A | 1999 2011 |
| Loan originations | 416,124 | 416,327 | 100% | | 416,124 | N/A | 2009 2011 |
| Total subordinated debt | 1,065,988 | 1,130,006 | | 167,854 | 898,134 | | |
| Loan loss allowance | | | | | | N/A | N/A |
| CMBS AFS(2) | 176,786 | 195,842 | 100% | 119,004 | 57,782 | NR(4) | 2010 |
| RMBS AFS(2) | 164,948 | 263,754 | 100% | 86,575 | 78,373 | B- | 2003 2007 |
| Other investments | 44,379 | 44,379 | 100% | | 44,379 | N/A | N/A |
| | \$ 2,833,621 | \$ 3,055,955 | | \$ 1,156,716 | \$ 1,676,905 | | |

(1) Includes loans held-for-sale at fair value.

(2) Commercial and residential mortgage-backed AFS securities.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company's total investment portfolio had the following characteristics based on carrying values:

| Collateral Property Type | Geographic Location |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Hospitality | 39.9% West 23.5% |
| Office | 20.1% North East 12.5% |
| Retail | 21.0% South East 19.0% |
| Residential | 5.9% Mid Atlantic 19.7% |
| Industrial | 3.8% Midwest 12.9% |

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--------------|--------|---------------|--------|
| Mixed Use | 5.6% | International | 7.6% |
| Multi-family | 3.3% | South West | 4.8% |
| Other | 0.4% | | |
| | 100.0% | | 100.0% |

Table of Contents

Our investment process includes sourcing and screening of investment opportunities, assessing investment suitability, conducting interest rate and prepayment analysis, evaluating cash flow and collateral performance, reviewing legal structure and servicer and originator information and investment structuring, as appropriate, to seek an attractive return commensurate with the risk we are bearing. Upon identification of an investment opportunity, the investment will be screened and monitored by us to determine its impact on maintaining our REIT qualification and our exemption from registration under the 1940 Act. We will seek to make investments in sectors where we have strong core competencies and believe market risk and expected performance can be reasonably quantified.

We evaluate each one of our investment opportunities based on its expected risk-adjusted return relative to the returns available from other, comparable investments. In addition, we evaluate new opportunities based on their relative expected returns compared to comparable positions held in our portfolio. The terms of any leverage available to us for use in funding an investment purchase are also taken into consideration, as are any risks posed by illiquidity or correlations with other securities in the portfolio. We also develop a macro outlook with respect to each target asset class by examining factors in the broader economy such as gross domestic product, interest rates, unemployment rates and availability of credit, among other things. We also analyze fundamental trends in the relevant target asset class sector to adjust/maintain our outlook for that particular target asset class.

Loans

Our primary focus has been to build a portfolio of commercial mortgage and mezzanine loans at attractive risk adjusted returns by focusing on the underlying real estate fundamentals and credit analysis of the borrowers. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we originated 22 loans and acquired 4 loans, as summarized below (amounts in thousands):

| Investment | Equity Funded | Principal Balance | Weighted Average Coupon at Closing |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| First mortgages held for investment | \$ 855,260 | \$ 551,757 | 4.25% |
| Subordinated mortgages held for investment | 84,342 | 83,342 | 10.73% |
| Mezzanine loans held for investment | 702,735 | 705,135 | 7.37% |
| Total loans originated or acquired in current year | \$ 1,642,337 | \$ 1,340,234 | |

We continually monitor borrower performance and complete a detailed, loan-by-loan formal credit review on a quarterly basis. The results of this review are incorporated into our quarterly assessment of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. As of December 31, 2012, none of our loans was delinquent.

Mortgage-Backed Securities

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we invested \$372.3 million in various CMBS. As of March 31, 2012, we had a debt investment secured by substantially all of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties this investment was comprised of \$115.1 million of loans and \$387.6 million in securities. On April 16, 2012 the remaining \$115.1 million of loans were converted to securities. The \$421.8 million floating rate CMBS pay a spread of 2.3% over the index, which is the one month London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"). In the event of full extension, the spread over the index will increase from the current 2.3% to 3.8%. As of December 31, 2012, the weighted-average coupon of all CMBS is 4.3%. The \$97.8 million fixed rate CMBS pay a coupon of 11.6%.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we invested \$96.8 million in RMBS, net of sales and principal payments received. As of December 31, 2012, we held 78 RMBS positions.

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The table below represents the summary of our investments in mortgage backed securities ("MBS") as of December 31, 2012 (amounts in thousands):

| December 31, 2012 | Purchase Amortized Cost | Credit OTTI | Unrealized Gains or (Losses) Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income | | | | Net Fair Value Adjustment | Fair Value |
|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | Recorded Amortized Cost | Non-Credit OTTI | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | | |
| CMBS | \$ 498,064 | \$ | \$ 498,064 | \$ | \$ 31,370 | \$ | \$ 31,370 | \$ 529,434 |
| RMBS | 293,321 | (10,194) | 283,127 | | 50,717 | (691) | 50,026 | 333,153 |
| Total | \$ 791,385 | \$ (10,194) | \$ 781,191 | \$ | \$ 82,087 | \$ (691) | \$ 81,396 | \$ 862,587 |

| December 31, 2012 | Weighted-Average Coupon(1) | Weighted-Average Rating | Weighted-Average Life ("WAL") (Years)(3) |
|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| CMBS | 4.3% | BB+(2) | 3.4 |
| RMBS | 1.1% | CCC+ | 5.4 |

(1) Generally calculated using the December 31, 2012 one-month LIBOR rate of 0.2087%.

(2) This rating, which was provided by S&P, relates to one position that represents 20.4% of the CMBS carrying value. The remaining 79.6% were securities where the obligors are certain special purpose entities that were formed to hold substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties; the securities are not rated but the loan-to-value ratio was estimated to be in the range of 39%-44% at December 31, 2012.

(3) Represents the WAL of each respective group of MBS. The WAL of each individual security is calculated as a fraction, the numerator of which is the sum of the timing (in years) of each expected future principal payment multiplied by the balance of the respective payment, and with a denominator equal to the sum of the expected principal payments. This calculation was made as of December 31, 2012. Assumptions for the calculation of the WAL are adjusted as necessary for changes in projected principal repayments and/or maturity dates of the MBS

The table below represents the summary of our MBS as of December 31, 2011 (amounts in thousands):

| December 31, 2011 | Purchase Amortized Cost | Credit OTTI | Unrealized Gains or (Losses) Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | | | | Net Fair Value Adjustment | Fair Value |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | Recorded Amortized Cost | Non-Credit OTTI | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | | |
| CMBS | \$ 177,353 | \$ | \$ 177,353 | \$ | \$ | \$ (567) | \$ (567) | \$ 176,786 |
| RMBS | 170,424 | (6,001) | 164,423 | (1,310) | 3,367 | (1,532) | 525 | 164,948 |
| Total | \$ 347,777 | \$ (6,001) | \$ 341,776 | \$ (1,310) | \$ 3,367 | \$ (2,099) | \$ (42) | \$ 341,734 |

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| December 31, 2011 | Weighted-Average Coupon(1) | Weighted-Average Rating | Weighted-Average Life ("WAL") (Years)(3) |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| CMBS | 2.1% | NR(2) | 3.5 |
| RMBS | 1.0% | B- | 4.8 |

- (1) Generally calculated using the December 31, 2011 one-month LIBOR rate of 0.2953%.
- (2) Represents securities where the obligors are certain special purpose entities that were formed to hold substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties; the securities are not rated but the loan-to-value ratio was estimated to be in the range of 39%-44% at December 31, 2011.
- (3) Represents the WAL of each respective group of MBS. The WAL of each individual security is calculated as a fraction, the numerator of which is the sum of the timing (in years) of each expected future principal payment multiplied by the balance of the respective payment, and with a denominator equal to the sum of the expected principal payments. This calculation was made as of December 31, 2011. Assumptions for the calculation of the WAL are adjusted as necessary for changes in projected principal repayments and/or maturity dates of the MBS.

Summary of Interest Characteristics

As described in Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," and Item 7A "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk," we utilize certain interest rate risk management techniques, including both asset/liability matching and certain other hedging transactions, in order to mitigate our exposure to interest rate risk.

As of December 31, 2012, 30.1% of our investments were comprised of fixed rate loans and securities with a weighted-average coupon of 9.4% and weighted-average life of 3.9 years, whereas 64.8% of our investments were comprised of variable rate loans and securities with a LIBOR based index with a weighted-average spread of 4.8% and weighted-average life of 4.1 years and 5.1% of our investments represented other investments.

As of December 31, 2011, 46.1% of our investments were comprised of fixed rate loans and securities with a weighted-average coupon of 8.9% and weighted-average life of 4.4 years, whereas 52.4% of our investments were comprised of variable rate loans and securities with a LIBOR based index with a weighted-average spread of 3.52% and weighted-average life of 3.1 years and 1.5% of our investments represented other investments.

Summary of Maturities

As of December 31, 2012, our loan and CMBS portfolios had a weighted-average maturity of 3.75 years, based on management's judgment of extension options being exercised. The table below shows the carrying value expected to mature annually over the next ten years for our investments in loans and CMBS (amounts in thousands, except number of investments maturing).

| Year of Maturity | Number of Investments Maturing(1) | Carrying Value | % of Total |
|---------------------|---|-------------------|---------------|
| 2013 | 9 | \$ 148,472 | 4.2% |
| 2014 | 15 | 353,721 | 10.0% |
| 2015 | 16 | 796,533 | 22.6% |
| 2016 | 31 | 758,764 | 21.5% |
| 2017 | 44 | 1,063,124 | 30.1% |
| 2018 | 14 | 250,662 | 7.0% |
| 2019 | 2 | 28,351 | 0.8% |
| 2020 | 2 | 48,961 | 1.4% |
| 2021 | 2 | 5,949 | 0.2% |
| 2022 and thereafter | 12 | 77,293 | 2.2% |

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|-------|-----|----|-----------|--------|
| Total | 147 | \$ | 3,531,830 | 100.0% |
|-------|-----|----|-----------|--------|

(1) Excludes other investments and RMBS.

Table of Contents

Regulation

Our operations are subject, in certain instances, to supervision and regulation by state and federal governmental authorities and may be subject to various laws and judicial and administrative decisions imposing various requirements and restrictions, which, among other things: (1) regulate credit granting activities; (2) establish maximum interest rates, finance charges and other charges; (3) require disclosures to customers; (4) govern secured transactions; and (5) set collection, foreclosure, repossession and claims handling procedures and other trade practices. Although most states do not regulate commercial finance, certain states impose limitations on interest rates and other charges and on certain collection practices and creditor remedies, and require licensing of lenders and financiers and adequate disclosure of certain contract terms. We are also required to comply with certain provisions of the Equal Credit Opportunity Act that are applicable to commercial loans. We intend to conduct our business so that neither we nor any of our subsidiaries are required to register as an investment company under the 1940 Act.

In the judgment of management, existing statutes and regulations have not had a material adverse effect on our business. In the wake of the recent financial crisis, legislators in the U.S. and in other countries have said that greater regulation of financial services firms is needed, particularly in areas such as risk management, leverage and disclosure. While we expect that new regulations in these areas will be adopted in the future, it is not possible at this time to forecast the exact nature of any future legislation, regulations, judicial decisions, orders or interpretations, nor their impact upon our future business, financial condition or results of operations or prospects.

Competition

We are engaged in a competitive business. In our investment activities, we compete for opportunities with numerous public and private investment vehicles, including financial institutions, specialty finance companies, mortgage banks, pension funds, opportunity funds, hedge funds, insurance companies, REITs and other institutional investors, as well as individuals. Many competitors are significantly larger than us, have well established operating histories and may have greater access to capital, more resources and other advantages over us. These competitors may be willing to accept lower returns on their investments or to compromise underwriting standards and, as a result, our origination volume and profit margins could be adversely affected.

The Manager

We are externally managed and advised by our Manager and benefit from the personnel, relationships and experience of our Manager's executive team and other personnel of Starwood Capital Group. Pursuant to the terms of a management agreement between our Manager and us, our Manager provides us with our management team and appropriate support personnel. Pursuant to an investment advisory agreement between our Manager and Starwood Capital Group Management, LLC, our Manager has access to the personnel and resources of Starwood Capital Group necessary for the implementation and execution of our business strategy.

Our Manager is an affiliate of Starwood Capital Group, a privately-held private equity firm founded and controlled by Mr. Sternlicht. Starwood Capital Group has invested in most major classes of real estate, directly and indirectly, through operating companies, portfolios of properties and single assets, including multifamily, office, retail, hotel, residential entitled land and communities, senior housing, mixed-use and golf courses. Starwood Capital Group invests at different levels of the capital structure, including equity, preferred equity, mezzanine debt and senior debt, depending on the asset risk profile and return expectation.

Our Manager draws upon the experience and expertise of Starwood Capital Group's team of professionals and support personnel operating in eleven cities across six countries. Our Manager also

Table of Contents

benefits from Starwood Capital Group's dedicated asset management group operating in offices located in the U.S. and abroad. We also benefit from Starwood Capital Group's portfolio management, finance and administration functions, which address legal, compliance, investor relations and operational matters, asset valuation, risk management and information technologies in connection with the performance of our Manager's duties.

Taxation of the Company

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), for federal income tax purposes. We generally must distribute annually at least 90% of our taxable income, subject to certain adjustments and excluding any net capital gain, in order for federal corporate income tax not to apply to our earnings that we distribute. To the extent that we satisfy this distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our taxable income, we will be subject to federal corporate income tax on our undistributed taxable income. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax if the actual amount that we pay out to our stockholders in a calendar year is less than a minimum amount specified under federal tax laws. Our qualification as a REIT also depends on our ability to meet various other requirements imposed by the Code, which relate to organizational structure, diversity of stock ownership and certain restrictions with regard to owned assets and categories of income. If we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we will generally not be subject to U.S. federal corporate income tax on our taxable income that is currently distributed to stockholders.

Even if we qualify as a REIT, we may be subject to certain federal excise taxes and state and local taxes on our income and property. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal income taxes at regular corporate rates (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) and will not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent taxable years. REITs are subject to a number of organizational and operational requirements under the Code.

We formed several taxable REIT subsidiaries ("TRS") since 2010 to reduce the impact of the prohibited transaction tax and to avoid penalty for the holding of assets not qualifying as real estate assets for purposes of the REIT asset tests. Any income associated with a TRS is fully taxable because a TRS is subject to federal and state income taxes as a domestic C corporation based upon its net income.

See Item 1A "Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Taxation as a REIT" for additional tax status information.

Leverage Policies

We employ leverage, to the extent available, to fund the acquisition of our target assets, increase potential returns to our stockholders and meet our return objectives. Although we are not required to maintain any particular minimum leverage ratio, the amount of leverage we deploy for particular investments in our target assets depends upon our Manager's assessment of a variety of factors, which may include the anticipated liquidity and price volatility of the assets in our investment portfolio, the potential for losses and extension risk in our portfolio, the gap between the duration of our assets and liabilities, including hedges, the availability and cost of financing the assets, our opinion of the creditworthiness of our financing counterparties, the health of the U.S. economy and commercial and residential mortgage markets, our outlook for the level, slope, and volatility of interest rates, the credit quality of our assets, the collateral underlying our assets, and our outlook for asset spreads relative to the LIBOR curve. Under our current repurchase agreements and bank credit facility, our total leverage may not exceed 75%, excluding the impact of bona-fide loan sales that must be accounted for as financings and consolidating any variable interest entities pursuant to GAAP. As of December 31, 2012, our ratio of total debt to loans and MBS investments was 34.6%.

Table of Contents

Investment Guidelines

Our board of directors has adopted the following investment guidelines:

our investments will be in our target assets unless otherwise approved by the board of directors;

no investment shall be made that would cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes;

no investment shall be made that would cause us or any of our subsidiaries to be required to be registered as an investment company under the 1940 Act;

not more than 25% of our equity will be invested in any individual asset without the consent of a majority of our independent directors; and

any investment of up to \$25 million requires the approval of our Chief Executive Officer; any investment from \$25 million to \$75 million requires the approval of our Manager's Investment Committee; any investment from \$75 million to \$150 million requires the approval of the Investment Committee of our board of directors and our Manager's Investment Committee; and any investment in excess of \$150 million requires the approval of our board of directors.

These investment guidelines may be changed from time to time by our board of directors without the approval of our stockholders. In addition, both our Manager and our board of directors must approve any change in our investment guidelines that would modify or expand the types of assets in which we invest.

Available Information

Our website address is www.starwoodpropertytrust.com. We make available free of charge through our website our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, all amendments to those reports and other filings as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), and also make available on our website the charters for the Audit, Compensation, Nominating and Corporate Governance and Investment Committees of the board of directors and our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and Code of Conduct for Principal Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers, as well as our corporate governance guidelines. Copies in print of these documents are available upon request to our Corporate Secretary at the address indicated on the cover of this report. The information on our website is not a part of, nor is it incorporated by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We intend to post on our website any amendment to, or waiver of, a provision of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics or Code of Conduct for Principal Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers that applies to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Controller or persons performing similar functions and that relates to any element of the code of ethics definition set forth in Item 406 of Regulation S-K of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

To communicate with the board of directors electronically, we have established an e-mail address, BoardofDirectors@stwdreit.com, to which stockholders may send correspondence to the board of directors or any such individual directors or group or committee of directors.

We have included as exhibits to this report the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 Section 302 certifications of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer regarding the quality of our public disclosure.

Information regarding our revenue, profit and losses and assets is set forth under Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Risks Related to Our Relationship with Our Manager

We are dependent on Starwood Capital Group, including our Manager, and their key personnel, who provide services to us through the management agreement, and we may not find a suitable replacement for our Manager and Starwood Capital Group if the management agreement is terminated, or for these key personnel if they leave Starwood Capital Group or otherwise become unavailable to us.

We have no separate facilities and are completely reliant on our Manager. Our Manager has significant discretion as to the implementation of our investment and operating policies and strategies. Accordingly, we believe that our success depends to a significant extent upon the efforts, experience, diligence, skill and network of business contacts of the officers and key personnel of our Manager. The officers and key personnel of our manager evaluate, negotiate, close and monitor our investments; therefore, our success depends on their continued service. The departure of any of the officers or key personnel of our Manager could have a material adverse effect on our performance.

We offer no assurance that our Manager will remain our investment manager or that we will continue to have access to our Manager's officers and key personnel. The initial term of our management agreement with our Manager, and the initial term of the investment advisory agreement between our Manager and Starwood Capital Group Management, LLC expired on August 17, 2012, with automatic one-year renewals thereafter. If the management agreement and the investment advisory agreement are terminated and no suitable replacement is found to manage us, we may not be able to execute our business plan.

There are various conflicts of interest in our relationship with Starwood Capital Group, including our Manager, which could result in decisions that are not in the best interests of our stockholders.

We are subject to conflicts of interest arising out of our relationship with Starwood Capital Group, including our Manager. Specifically, Mr. Sternlicht, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Jeffrey G. Dishner, one of our directors, and certain of our executive officers are executives of Starwood Capital Group. Our Manager and executive officers may have conflicts between their duties to us and their duties to, and interests in, Starwood Capital Group and its other investment funds. Currently, Starwood Global Opportunity Fund VIII, Starwood Global Opportunity Fund IX and Starwood Capital Hospitality Fund II Global, (collectively, the "Starwood Private Real Estate Funds"), collectively have the right to invest 25% of the equity capital proposed to be invested by any investment vehicle managed by an entity controlled by Starwood Capital Group in debt interests relating to real estate. Our co-investment rights are subject to, among other things, (i) the determination by our Manager that the proposed investment is suitable for us, and (ii) our manager's sole discretion as to whether or not to exclude from our investment portfolio at any time any "medium-term loan to own" investment, which our Manager considers to be mortgage loans or other real estate-related loan or debt investments where the proposed originator or acquirer of any such investment has the intent and/or expectation of foreclosing on, or otherwise acquiring the real property securing the loan or investment at any time between 18 and 48 months of its origination or acquisition of the loan or investment. In addition, in the case of opportunities to invest in a portfolio of assets including both equity and debt real estate related investments, we would not have the co-investment rights described above if our Manager determines that less than 50% of the aggregate anticipated investment returns from the portfolio is expected to come from our target assets. Since we are subject to the judgment of our Manager in the application of our co-investment rights, we may not always be allocated 75% of each co-investment opportunity in our target asset classes. Our independent directors periodically review our Manager's and Starwood Capital Group's compliance with the co-investment provisions described above, but they do not approve each co-investment by the Starwood Private Real Estate Funds and us unless the amount of capital we invest in the proposed co-investment otherwise requires the review and

Table of Contents

approval of our independent directors pursuant to our investment guidelines. Pursuant to the exclusivity provisions of the Starwood Private Real Estate Funds, our investment strategy may not include either (i) equity interests in real estate or (ii) "near-term loan to own" investments, in each case (of both (i) and (ii)) if such investments are expected, at the time such investment is made, to produce an internal rate of return (IRR) in excess of 14%. Therefore, our board of directors does not have the flexibility to expand our investment strategy to include equity interests in real estate or "near-term loan to own" investments with such an IRR expectation. Our Manager, Starwood Capital Group and their respective affiliates may sponsor or manage a U.S. publicly traded investment vehicle that invests generally in real estate assets but not primarily in our target assets, or a potential competing vehicle. Our Manager and Starwood Capital Group have also agreed that for so long as the management agreement is in effect and our Manager and Starwood Capital Group are under common control, no entity controlled by Starwood Capital Group will sponsor or manage a potential competing vehicle or private or foreign competing vehicle, unless Starwood Capital Group adopts a policy that either (i) provides for the fair and equitable allocation of investment opportunities among all such vehicles and us, or (ii) provides us the right to co-invest with such vehicles, in each case subject to the suitability of each investment opportunity for the particular vehicle and us and each such vehicle's and our availability of cash for investment. To the extent that we have co-investment rights with these vehicles in the future, there can be no assurance that these future rights will entitle us to a similar percentage allocation as we currently have with respect to the Starwood Private Real Estate Funds. To the extent that our manager and Starwood Capital Group adopt an investment allocation policy in the future, we may nonetheless compete with these vehicles for investment opportunities sourced by our Manager and Starwood Capital Group. As a result, we may either not be presented with the opportunity or may have to compete with these vehicles to acquire these investments. Some or all of our executive officers, the members of the investment committee of our Manager and other key personnel of our Manager would likely be responsible for selecting investments for these vehicles and they may choose to allocate favorable investments to one or more of these vehicles instead of to us.

Our board of directors has adopted a policy with respect to any proposed investments by the covered persons in any of our target asset classes. This policy provides that any proposed investment by a covered person for his or her own account in any of our target asset classes will be permitted if the capital required for the investment does not exceed the personal investment limit. To the extent that a proposed investment exceeds the personal investment limit, we expect that our board of directors will only permit the covered person to make the investment (i) upon the approval of the disinterested directors, or (ii) if the proposed investment otherwise complies with terms of any other related party transaction policy our board of directors has adopted. Subject to compliance with all applicable laws, these individuals may make investments for their own account in our target assets which may present certain conflicts of interest not addressed by our current policies.

We pay our Manager substantial base management fees regardless of the performance of our portfolio. Our Manager's entitlement to a base management fee, which is not based upon performance metrics or goals, might reduce its incentive to devote its time and effort to seeking investments that provide attractive risk-adjusted returns for our portfolio. This in turn could hurt both our ability to make distributions to our stockholders and the market price of our common stock.

We do not have any employees except for Andrew Sossen, our Chief Operating Officer, Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer, and Perry Stewart Ward, our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, who Starwood Capital Group has seconded to us exclusively. Mr. Sossen and Mr. Ward are also employees of other entities affiliated with our Manager and, as a result, are subject to potential conflicts of interest in service as our employees and as employees of such entities.

Table of Contents

The management agreement with our Manager was not negotiated on an arm's-length basis and may not be as favorable to us as if it had been negotiated with an unaffiliated third party and may be costly and difficult to terminate.

Certain of our executive officers and three of our seven directors are executives of Starwood Capital Group. Our management agreement with our Manager was negotiated between related parties and its terms, including fees payable, may not be as favorable to us as if it had been negotiated with an unaffiliated third party.

Termination of the management agreement with our Manager without cause is difficult and costly. Our independent directors will review our Manager's performance and the management fees annually and the management agreement may be terminated annually upon the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of our independent directors based upon: (i) our Manager's unsatisfactory performance that is materially detrimental to us, or (ii) a determination that the management fees payable to our Manager are not fair, subject to our Manager's right to prevent termination based on unfair fees by accepting a reduction of management fees agreed to by at least two-thirds of our independent directors. Our Manager will be provided 180 days prior notice of any such a termination. Additionally, upon such a termination, the management agreement provides that we will pay our manager a termination fee equal to three times the sum of the average annual base management fee and incentive fee received by our Manager during the prior 24-month period before such termination, calculated as of the end of the most recently completed fiscal quarter. These provisions may increase the cost to us of terminating the management agreement and adversely affect our ability to terminate our Manager without cause.

The initial term of our management agreement with our Manager, and the initial term of the investment advisory agreement between our Manager and Starwood Capital Group Management, LLC expired on August 17, 2012, with automatic one-year renewals thereafter; provided, however, that our Manager may terminate the management agreement annually upon 180 days prior notice. If the management agreement is terminated and no suitable replacement is found to manage us, we may not be able to execute our business plan.

Pursuant to the management agreement, our Manager does not assume any responsibility other than to render the services called for thereunder and is not responsible for any action of our board of directors in following or declining to follow its advice or recommendations. Our Manager maintains a contractual as opposed to a fiduciary relationship with us. Under the terms of the management agreement, our Manager, its officers, members, personnel, any person controlling or controlled by our Manager and any person providing sub-advisory services to our Manager will not be liable to us, any subsidiary of ours, our directors, our stockholders or any subsidiary's stockholders or partners for acts or omissions performed in accordance with and pursuant to the management agreement, except because of acts constituting bad faith, willful misconduct, gross negligence, or reckless disregard of their duties under the management agreement. In addition, we have agreed to indemnify our Manager, its officers, stockholders, members, managers, directors, personnel, any person controlling or controlled by our Manager and any person providing sub-advisory services to our manager with respect to all expenses, losses, damages, liabilities, demands, charges and claims arising from acts or omissions of our Manager not constituting bad faith, willful misconduct, gross negligence, or reckless disregard of duties, performed in good faith in accordance with and pursuant to the management agreement.

The incentive fee payable to our Manager under the management agreement is payable quarterly and is based on our core earnings and therefore, may cause our manager to select investments in more risky assets to increase its incentive compensation.

Our Manager is entitled to receive incentive compensation based upon our achievement of targeted levels of core earnings. In evaluating investments and other management strategies, the

Table of Contents

opportunity to earn incentive compensation based on core earnings may lead our manager to place undue emphasis on the maximization of core earnings at the expense of other criteria, such as preservation of capital, in order to achieve higher incentive compensation. Investments with higher yield potential are generally riskier or more speculative. This could result in increased risk to the value of our investment portfolio.

Core earnings is a non-GAAP measure and is defined as GAAP net income (loss) excluding non-cash equity compensation expense, the incentive fee, depreciation and amortization of real estate (to the extent that we own properties), any unrealized gains, losses or other non-cash items recorded in net income for the period, regardless of whether such items are included in other comprehensive income or loss, or in net income. The amount will be adjusted to exclude one-time events pursuant to changes in GAAP and certain other non-cash charges after discussions between our Manager and our independent directors and after approval by a majority of our independent directors.

Our conflicts of interest policy may not adequately address all of the conflicts of interest that may arise with respect to our investment activities and also may limit the allocation of investments to us.

In order to avoid any actual or perceived conflicts of interest with our Manager, Starwood Capital Group or any of the Starwood parties, we have adopted a conflicts of interest policy to specifically address some of the conflicts relating to our investment opportunities. Although under this policy the approval of a majority of our independent directors is required to approve (i) any purchase of our assets by any of the Starwood parties and (ii) any purchase by us of any assets of any of the Starwood parties, there is no assurance that this policy will be adequate to address all of the conflicts that may arise or will address such conflicts in a manner that results in the allocation of a particular investment opportunity to us or is otherwise favorable to us. In addition, the Starwood Private Real Estate Funds currently, and additional competing vehicles may in the future, participate in some of our investments, possibly at a more senior level in the capital structure of the underlying borrower and related real estate than our investment. Our interests in such investments may also conflict with the interests of these funds in the event of a default or restructuring of the investment. Participating investments will not be the result of arm's length negotiations and will involve potential conflicts between our interests and those of the other participating funds in obtaining favorable terms. Since certain of our executives are also executives of Starwood Capital Group, the same personnel may determine the price and terms for the investments for both us and these funds and there can be no assurance that any procedural protections, such as obtaining market prices or other reliable indicators of fair value, will prevent the consideration we pay for these investments from exceeding their fair value or ensure that we receive terms for a particular investment opportunity that are as favorable as those available from an independent third party.

Our board of directors has approved very broad investment guidelines for our Manager and does not approve each investment and financing decision made by our Manager unless required by our investment guidelines.

Our Manager is authorized to follow very broad investment guidelines. Our board of directors will periodically review our investment guidelines and our investment portfolio but will not, and will not be required to, review all of our proposed investments, except if the investment requires us to commit either at least \$150 million of capital or 25% of our equity in any individual asset. In addition, in conducting periodic reviews, our board of directors may rely primarily on information provided to them by our Manager. Furthermore, our Manager may use complex strategies, and transactions entered into by our Manager may be costly, difficult or impossible to unwind by the time they are reviewed by our board of directors. Our Manager has great latitude within the broad parameters of our investment guidelines in determining the types and amounts of target assets it decides are attractive investments for us, which could result in investment returns that are substantially below expectations or that result in losses, which would materially and adversely affect our business operations and results. Further,

Table of Contents

decisions made and investments and financing arrangements entered into by our Manager may not fully reflect the best interests of our stockholders.

Risks Related to Our Company

Our board of directors may change any of our investment strategy or guidelines, financing strategy or leverage policies without stockholder consent.

Our board of directors may change any of our investment strategy or guidelines, financing strategy or leverage policies with respect to investments, acquisitions, growth, operations, indebtedness, capitalization and distributions at any time without the consent of our stockholders, which could result in an investment portfolio with a different risk profile. A change in our investment strategy may increase our exposure to interest rate risk, default risk and real estate market fluctuations. These changes could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common stock and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

We are highly dependent on information systems and systems failures could significantly disrupt our business, which may, in turn, negatively affect the market price of our common stock and our ability to pay dividends.

Our business is highly dependent on communications and information systems of Starwood Capital Group. Any failure or interruption of Starwood Capital Group's systems could cause delays or other problems, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and negatively affect the market price of our common stock and our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders.

Terrorist attacks and other acts of violence or war may affect the real estate industry and our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 disrupted the U.S. financial markets, including the real estate capital markets, and negatively impacted the U.S. economy in general. Any future terrorist attacks, the anticipation of any such attacks, the consequences of any military or other response by the U.S. and its allies, and other armed conflicts could cause consumer confidence and spending to decrease or result in increased volatility in the U.S. and worldwide financial markets and economy. The economic impact of these events could also adversely affect the credit quality of some of our loans and investments and the properties underlying our interests.

We may suffer losses as a result of the adverse impact of any future attacks and these losses may adversely impact our performance and may cause the market value of our common stock to decline or be more volatile. A prolonged economic slowdown, a recession or declining real estate values could impair the performance of our investments and harm our financial condition and results of operations, increase our funding costs, limit our access to the capital markets or result in a decision by lenders not to extend credit to us. We cannot predict the severity of the effect that potential future terrorist attacks would have on us. Losses resulting from these types of events may not be fully insurable.

In addition, the events of September 11, 2001 created significant uncertainty regarding the ability of real estate owners of high profile assets to obtain insurance coverage protecting against terrorist attacks at commercially reasonable rates, if at all. With the enactment of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 (the "TRIA") and the subsequent enactment of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007, which extended the TRIA through the end of 2014, insurers must make terrorism insurance available under their property and casualty insurance policies, but this legislation does not regulate the pricing of such insurance. The absence of affordable insurance coverage may adversely affect the general real estate lending market, lending volume and the market's overall liquidity and may reduce the number of suitable investment opportunities available to us and the pace at which we are able to make investments. If the properties underlying our interests are unable to

Table of Contents

obtain affordable insurance coverage, the value of our interests could decline, and in the event of an uninsured loss, we could lose all or a portion of our investment.

We have not established a minimum distribution payment level and no assurance can be given that we will be able to make distributions to our stockholders in the future at current levels or at all.

We are generally required to distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our taxable income each year for us to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, which requirement we currently intend to satisfy through quarterly distributions of all or substantially all of our REIT taxable income in such year, subject to certain adjustments. We have not established a minimum distribution payment level, and our ability to pay distributions may be adversely affected by a number of factors, including the risk factors contained in or incorporated by reference into this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus. Although we have made, and anticipate continuing to make, quarterly distributions to our stockholders, our board of directors has the sole discretion to determine the timing, form and amount of any future distributions to our stockholders, and such determination will depend on our earnings, our financial condition, debt covenants, maintenance of our REIT qualification and other factors as our board of directors may deem relevant from time to time. We believe that a change in any one of the following factors could adversely affect our results of operations and impair our ability to continue to pay distributions to our stockholders:

the profitability of the investment of the net proceeds from our equity offerings;

our ability to make profitable investments;

margin calls or other expenses that reduce our cash flow;

defaults in our asset portfolio or decreases in the value of our portfolio; and

the fact that anticipated operating expense levels may not prove accurate, as actual results may vary from estimates.

As a result, no assurance can be given that we will be able to continue to make distributions to our stockholders in the future or that the level of any future distributions we do make to our stockholders will achieve a market yield or increase or even be maintained over time, any of which could materially and adversely affect us.

In addition, distributions that we make to our stockholders are generally taxable to our stockholders as ordinary income. However, a portion of our distributions may be designated by us as long-term capital gains to the extent that they are attributable to capital gain income recognized by us or may constitute a return of capital to the extent that they exceed our earnings and profits as determined for tax purposes. A return of capital is not taxable, but has the effect of reducing the basis of a stockholder's investment in our common stock.

Risks Related to Sources of Financing

Our access to sources of financing may be limited and thus our ability to maximize our returns may be adversely affected.

Our financing sources currently include our credit agreement and our master repurchase agreements, which may include additional borrowings in the form of bank credit facilities (including term loans and revolving facilities), repurchase agreements, warehouse facilities, structured financing arrangements, public and private equity and debt issuances and derivative instruments, in addition to transaction or asset specific funding arrangements.

Table of Contents

Our access to additional sources of financing will depend upon a number of factors, over which we have little or no control, including:

general market conditions;

the market's view of the quality of our assets;

the market's perception of our growth potential;

our current and potential future earnings and cash distributions; and

the market price of the shares of our common stock.

The current dislocation and weakness in the capital and credit markets could adversely affect one or more private lenders and could cause one or more of our private lenders to be unwilling or unable to provide us with financing or to increase the costs of that financing. In addition, if regulatory capital requirements imposed on our private lenders change, they may be required to limit, or increase the cost of, financing they provide to us. In general, this could potentially increase our financing costs and reduce our liquidity or require us to sell assets at an inopportune time or price.

To the extent structured financing arrangements are unavailable, we may have to rely more heavily on additional equity issuances, which may be dilutive to our stockholders, or on less efficient forms of debt financing that require a larger portion of our cash flow from operations, thereby reducing funds available for our operations, future business opportunities, cash distributions to our stockholders and other purposes. We cannot assure you that we will have access to such equity or debt capital on favorable terms (including, without limitation, cost and term) at the desired times, or at all, which may cause us to curtail our asset acquisition activities and/or dispose of assets, which could negatively affect our results of operations.

We may incur significant debt, which will subject us to increased risk of loss and may reduce cash available for distributions to our stockholders.

Our outstanding indebtedness currently includes our credit agreement, our repurchase agreements, and our convertible notes. Subject to market conditions and availability, we may incur additional debt through bank credit facilities (including term loans and revolving facilities), repurchase agreements, warehouse facilities and structured financing arrangements, public and private debt issuances (refer to Note 16 of the 2012 consolidated financial statements for disclosure of our debt offering subsequent to December 31, 2012) and derivative instruments, in addition to transaction or asset specific funding arrangements. The percentage of leverage we employ will vary depending on our available capital, our ability to obtain and access financing arrangements with lenders and the lenders' and rating agencies' estimate of the stability of our investment portfolio's cash flow. Our governing documents contain no limitation on the amount of debt we may incur. We may significantly increase the amount of leverage we utilize at any time without approval of our board of directors. However, under our current repurchase agreements and bank credit facility, our total leverage may not exceed 75% of total assets (as defined therein), as adjusted to remove the impact of bona-fide loan sales that are accounted for as financings and the consolidation of variable interest entities pursuant to GAAP. In addition, we may leverage individual assets at substantially higher levels. Incurring substantial debt could subject us to many risks that, if realized, would materially and adversely affect us, including the risk that:

our cash flow from operations may be insufficient to make required payments of principal of and interest on the debt or we may fail to comply with all of the other covenants contained in the debt, which is likely to result in (i) acceleration of such debt (and any other debt containing a cross-default or cross-acceleration provision) that we may be unable to repay from internal funds or to refinance on favorable terms, or at all, (ii) our inability to borrow unused amounts

Table of Contents

under our financing arrangements, even if we are current in payments on borrowings under those arrangements and/or (iii) the loss of some or all of our assets to foreclosure or sale;

our debt may increase our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions with no assurance that investment yields will increase with higher financing costs;

we may be required to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our debt, thereby reducing funds available for operations, future business opportunities, stockholder distributions or other purposes; and

we may not be able to refinance debt that matures prior to the investment it was used to finance on favorable terms, or at all.

We are subject to margin calls from our lenders under our financing facilities.

Subject to certain conditions, our credit facility lenders retain the sole discretion over the market value of loans and/or securities that serve as collateral for the borrowings under our financing facilities for purposes of determining whether we are required to pay margin to such lenders.

Interest rate fluctuations could significantly decrease our results of operations and cash flows and the market value of our investments.

Our primary interest rate exposures relate to the following:

changes in interest rates may affect the yield on our investments and the financing cost of our debt, as well as the performance of our interest rate swaps that we utilize for hedging purposes, which could result in operating losses for us should interest expense exceed interest income;

declines in interest rates may reduce the yield on existing floating rate assets and/or the yield on prospective investments;

changes in the level of interest rates may affect our ability to source investments;

increases in the level of interest rates may negatively impact the value of our investments and our ability to realize gains from the disposition of assets;

increases in the level of interest rates may increase the credit risk of our assets by negatively impacting the ability of our borrowers to pay debt service on our floating rate loan assets, refinance our assets upon maturity, and can negatively impact the value of the real estate collateral supporting our investments through the impact increases in interest rates can have on property valuation capitalization rates; and

changes in interest rates and/or the differential between U.S. dollar interest rates and those of non-dollar currencies in which we invest can adversely affect the value of our non-dollar assets and/or associated currency hedging transactions.

Any warehouse facilities that we obtain may limit our ability to acquire assets, and we may incur losses if the collateral is liquidated.

We may utilize, if available, warehouse facilities pursuant to which we would accumulate mortgage loans in anticipation of a securitization financing, which assets would be pledged as collateral for such facilities until the securitization transaction is consummated. In order to borrow funds to acquire assets under any future warehouse facilities, we expect that our lenders thereunder would have the right to review the potential assets for which we are seeking financing. We may be unable to obtain the consent of a lender to acquire assets that we believe would be beneficial to us and we may be unable to obtain alternate financing for such assets. In addition, no assurance can be given that a securitization structure

Table of Contents

would be consummated with respect to the assets being warehoused. If the securitization is not consummated, the lender could liquidate the warehoused collateral and we would then have to pay any amount by which the original purchase price of the collateral assets exceeds its sale price, subject to negotiated caps, if any, on our exposure. In addition, regardless of whether the securitization is consummated, if any of the warehoused collateral is sold before the consummation, we would have to bear any resulting loss on the sale. Currently, we have no warehouse facilities in place, and no assurance can be given that we will be able to obtain one or more warehouse facilities on favorable terms, or at all.

The utilization of any of our repurchase facilities is subject to the pre-approval of the lender.

We utilize repurchase agreements to finance the purchase of certain investments. In order to borrow funds under a repurchase agreement, the lender has the right to review the potential assets for which we are seeking financing and approve such asset in its sole discretion. Accordingly, we may be unable to obtain the consent of a lender to finance an investment and alternate sources of financing for such asset may not exist.

A failure to comply with restrictive covenants in our repurchase agreements and financing facilities would have a material adverse effect on us, and any future financings may require us to provide additional collateral or pay down debt.

We are subject to various restrictive covenants contained in our existing financing arrangements and may become subject to additional covenants in connection with future financings. Our credit agreement contains covenants that restrict our ability to incur additional debt or liens, make certain investments or acquisitions, merge, consolidate or transfer or dispose of substantially all assets or otherwise dispose of property and assets, pay dividends and make certain other restricted payments, change the nature of our business, and enter into transactions with affiliates. The credit agreement, as well as our master repurchase agreements, each requires us to maintain compliance with various financial covenants, including a minimum tangible net worth and cash liquidity, and specified financial ratios, such as total debt to total assets and EBITDA to fixed charges. These covenants may limit our flexibility to pursue certain investments or incur additional debt. If we fail to meet or satisfy any of these covenants, we would be in default under these agreements, and our lenders could elect to declare outstanding amounts due and payable, terminate their commitments, require the posting of additional collateral and enforce their interests against existing collateral. We may also be subject to cross-default and acceleration rights and, with respect to collateralized debt, the posting of additional collateral and foreclosure rights upon default. Further, this could also make it difficult for us to satisfy the distribution requirements necessary to maintain our status as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

These types of financing arrangements also involve the risk that the market value of the loans pledged or sold by us to the repurchase agreement counterparty or provider of the bank credit facility may decline in value, in which case the lender may require us to provide additional collateral or to repay all or a portion of the funds advanced. We may not have the funds available to repay our debt at that time, which would likely result in defaults unless we are able to raise the funds from alternative sources, which we may not be able to achieve on favorable terms or at all. Posting additional collateral would reduce our liquidity and limit our ability to leverage our assets. If we cannot meet these requirements, the lender could accelerate our indebtedness, increase the interest rate on advanced funds and terminate our ability to borrow funds from them, which could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and ability to implement our business plan. In addition, in the event that the lender files for bankruptcy or becomes insolvent, our loans may become subject to bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings, thus depriving us, at least temporarily, of the benefit of these assets. Such an event could restrict our access to bank credit facilities and increase our cost of capital.

Table of Contents

If one or more of our manager's executive officers are no longer employed by our Manager, financial institutions providing any financing arrangements we may have may not provide future financing to us, which could materially and adversely affect us.

If financial institutions with whom we seek to finance our investments require that one or more of our Manager's executives continue to serve in such capacity and if one or more of our Manager's executives are no longer employed by our Manager, it may constitute an event of default and the financial institution providing the arrangement may have acceleration rights with respect to outstanding borrowings and termination rights with respect to our ability to finance our future investments with that institution. If we are unable to obtain financing for our accelerated borrowings and for our future investments under such circumstances, we could be materially and adversely affected.

We directly or indirectly utilize non-recourse securitizations, and such structures expose us to risks that could result in losses to us.

We utilize non-recourse securitizations of our investments in mortgage loans to the extent consistent with the maintenance of our REIT qualification and exemption from the Investment Company Act, in order to generate cash for funding new investments and/or to leverage existing assets. In most instances, this involves us transferring our loans to a special purpose securitization entity in exchange for cash. In some sale transactions, we also retain a subordinated interest in the loans sold. The securitization of our portfolio investments might magnify our exposure to losses on those portfolio investments because the subordinated interest we retain in the loans sold would be subordinate to the senior interest in the loans sold, and we would, therefore, absorb all of the losses sustained with respect to a loan sold before the owners of the senior interest experience any losses. Moreover, we cannot be assured that we will be able to access the securitization market in the future, or be able to do so at favorable rates. The inability to consummate securitizations of our portfolio to finance our investments on a long-term basis could require us to seek other forms of potentially less attractive financing or to liquidate assets at an inopportune time or price, which could adversely affect our performance and our ability to grow our business.

Risks Related to Hedging

We enter into hedging transactions that could expose us to contingent liabilities in the future.

Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT, part of our investment strategy involves entering into hedging transactions that require us to fund cash payments in certain circumstances (such as the early termination of the hedging instrument caused by an event of default or other early termination event, or the decision by a counterparty to request margin securities it is contractually owed under the terms of the hedging instrument). The amount due would be equal to the unrealized loss of the open swap positions with the respective counterparty and could also include other fees and charges. These economic losses will be reflected in our results of operations, and our ability to fund these obligations will depend on the liquidity of our assets and access to capital at the time, and the need to fund these obligations could adversely impact our financial condition.

Hedging may adversely affect our earnings, which could reduce our cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT, we pursue various hedging strategies to seek to reduce our exposure to adverse changes in interest rates. Our hedging activity varies in scope based on the level and volatility of interest rates, exchange rates, the type of assets held and other changing

Table of Contents

market conditions. Hedging may fail to protect or could adversely affect us because, among other things:

interest rate, currency and/or credit hedging can be expensive and may result in us receiving less interest income;

available interest rate hedges may not correspond directly with the interest rate risk for which protection is sought;

due to a credit loss, prepayment or asset sale, the duration of the hedge may not match the duration of the related asset or liability;

the amount of income that a REIT may earn from hedging transactions (other than hedging transactions that satisfy certain requirements of the Code or that are done through a TRS) to offset losses is limited by U.S. federal tax provisions governing REITs;

the credit quality of the hedging counterparty owing money on the hedge may be downgraded to such an extent that it impairs our ability to sell or assign our side of the hedging transaction; and

the hedging counterparty owing money in the hedging transaction may default on its obligation to pay.

In addition, we may fail to recalculate, readjust and execute hedges in an efficient manner.

Any hedging activity in which we engage may materially and adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows. Therefore, while we may enter into such transactions seeking to reduce risks, unanticipated changes in interest rates, credit spreads or currencies may result in poorer overall investment performance than if we had not engaged in any such hedging transactions. In addition, the degree of correlation between price movements of the instruments used in a hedging strategy and price movements in the portfolio positions or liabilities being hedged may vary materially. Moreover, for a variety of reasons, we may not seek to establish a perfect correlation between such hedging instruments and the portfolio positions or liabilities being hedged. Any such imperfect correlation may prevent us from achieving the intended hedge and expose us to risk of loss.

Hedging instruments often are not traded on regulated exchanges, guaranteed by an exchange or its clearing house, or regulated by any U.S. or foreign governmental authorities and involve risks and costs that could result in material losses.

The cost of using hedging instruments increases as the period covered by the instrument increases and during periods of rising and volatile interest rates. In addition, some hedging instruments involve risk because they often are not traded on regulated exchanges, guaranteed by an exchange or its clearing house, or regulated by any U.S. or foreign governmental authorities. Consequently, in many cases, there are no requirements with respect to record keeping, financial responsibility or segregation of customer funds and positions. Furthermore, the enforceability of agreements underlying hedging transactions may depend on compliance with applicable securities, commodity and other regulatory requirements and, depending on the identity of the counterparty, applicable international requirements. The business failure of a hedging counterparty with whom we enter into a hedging transaction that is not cleared on a regulated centralized clearing house will most likely result in its default. Default by a party with whom we enter into a hedging transaction may result in the loss of unrealized profits and force us to cover our commitments, if any, at the then current market price. Although generally we will seek to reserve the right to terminate our hedging positions, it may not always be possible to dispose of or close out a hedging position without the consent of the hedging counterparty and we may not be able to enter into an offsetting contract in order to cover our risk. We cannot assure you that a liquid secondary market will exist for hedging instruments purchased or sold, and we may be required to maintain a position until exercise or expiration, which could result in significant losses.

Table of Contents

We may fail to qualify for, or choose not to elect, hedge accounting treatment.

We record derivative and hedging transactions in accordance with GAAP. Under these standards, we may fail to qualify for, or choose not to elect, hedge accounting treatment for a number of reasons, including if we use instruments that do not meet the definition of a derivative (such as short sales), we fail to satisfy hedge documentation and hedge effectiveness assessment requirements or our instruments are not highly effective. If we fail to qualify for, or chose not to elect, hedge accounting treatment, our operating results may be volatile because changes in the fair value of the derivatives that we enter into may not be offset by a change in the fair value of the related hedged transaction or item.

We enter into derivative contracts that could expose us to contingent liabilities in the future.

Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT, we enter into derivative contracts that could require us to fund cash payments in the future under certain circumstances (e.g., the early termination of the derivative agreement caused by an event of default or other early termination event, or the decision by a counterparty to request margin securities it is contractually owed under the terms of the derivative contract). The amount due would be equal to the unrealized loss of the open swap positions with the respective counterparty and could also include other fees and charges. These economic losses may materially and adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows.

Risks Related to Our Investments

We may not be able to identify assets that meet our investment objective.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to identify additional assets that meet our investment objective, that we will be successful in consummating any investment opportunities we identify or that one or more investments we may make will yield attractive risk-adjusted returns. Our inability to do any of the foregoing likely would materially and adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

The lack of liquidity in our investments may adversely affect our business.

The lack of liquidity of our investments in real estate loans and investments other than certain of our investments in mortgage-backed securities, or MBS, may make it difficult for us to sell such investments if the need or desire arises. Many of the securities we purchase are not registered under the relevant securities laws, resulting in a prohibition against their transfer, sale, pledge or their disposition except in a transaction that is exempt from the registration requirements of, or otherwise in accordance with, those laws. In addition, certain investments such as B-Notes, mezzanine loans and bridge and other loans are also particularly illiquid investments due to their short life, their potential unsuitability for securitization and the greater difficulty of recovery in the event of a borrower's default. As a result, many of our current investments are, and our future investments will be, illiquid and if we are required to liquidate all or a portion of our portfolio quickly, we may realize significantly less than the value at which we have previously recorded our investments. Further, we may face other restrictions on our ability to liquidate an investment in a business entity to the extent that we or our manager has or could be attributed with material, non-public information regarding such business entity. As a result, our ability to vary our portfolio in response to changes in economic and other conditions may be relatively limited, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Our investments may be concentrated and are subject to risk of default.

While we seek to diversify our portfolio of investments, we are not required to observe specific diversification criteria, except as may be set forth in the investment guidelines adopted by our board of directors. Therefore, our investments in our target assets may at times be concentrated in certain property types that are subject to higher risk of foreclosure, or secured by properties concentrated in a limited number of geographic locations. To the extent that our portfolio is concentrated in any one

Table of Contents

region or type of asset, downturns relating generally to such region or type of asset may result in defaults on a number of our investments within a short time period, which may reduce our net income and the value of our common stock and accordingly reduce our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders.

Difficult conditions in the mortgage, commercial and residential real estate markets may cause us to experience market losses related to our holdings, and we do not expect these conditions to improve in the near future.

Our results of operations are materially affected by conditions in the real estate markets, the financial markets and the economy generally. Continuing concerns about the declining real estate market, as well as inflation, energy costs, geopolitical issues and the availability and cost of credit, have contributed to increased volatility and diminished expectations for the economy and markets going forward. The mortgage market has been severely affected by changes in the lending landscape and there is no assurance that these conditions have stabilized or that they will not worsen. The disruption in the mortgage market has an impact on new demand for homes, which will compress the home ownership rates and weigh heavily on future home price performance. There is a strong correlation between home price growth rates and mortgage loan delinquencies. The further deterioration of the real estate market may cause us to experience losses related to our assets and to sell assets at a loss. Declines in the market values of our investments may adversely affect our results of operations and credit availability, which may reduce earnings and, in turn, cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Construction loans involve an increased risk of loss.

We invest in construction loans. If we fail to fund our entire commitment on a construction loan or if a borrower otherwise fails to complete the construction of a project, there could be adverse consequences associated with the loan, including: a loss of the value of the property securing the loan, especially if the borrower is unable to raise funds to complete it from other sources; a borrower claim against us for failure to perform under the loan documents; increased costs to the borrower that the borrower is unable to pay; a bankruptcy filing by the borrower; and abandonment by the borrower of the collateral for the loan.

We operate in a highly competitive market for investment opportunities and competition may limit our ability to acquire desirable investments in our target assets and could also affect the pricing of these securities.

We operate in a highly competitive market for investment opportunities. Our profitability depends, in large part, on our ability to acquire our target assets at attractive prices. In acquiring our target assets, we compete with a variety of institutional investors, including other REITs, commercial and investment banks, specialty finance companies, public and private funds (including other funds managed by Starwood Capital Group), commercial finance and insurance companies and other financial institutions. Many of our competitors are substantially larger and have considerably greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources than we do. Several other REITs have recently raised significant amounts of capital, and may have investment objectives that overlap with ours, which may create additional competition for investment opportunities. Some competitors may have a lower cost of funds and access to funding sources that may not be available to us, such as funding from the U.S. government, if we are not eligible to participate in programs established by the U.S. government. Many of our competitors are not subject to the operating constraints associated with REIT tax compliance or maintenance of an exemption from the Investment Company Act. In addition, some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments, which could allow them to consider a wider variety of investments and establish more relationships than us. Furthermore, competition for investments in our target assets may lead to the price of such assets increasing, which may further limit our ability to generate desired returns. We cannot assure you that the competitive pressures we face

Table of Contents

will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Also, as a result of this competition, desirable investments in our target assets may be limited in the future and we may not be able to continue to take advantage of attractive investment opportunities from time to time, as we can provide no assurance that we will be able to identify and make investments that are consistent with our investment objectives.

The commercial mortgage loans we acquire and the mortgage loans underlying our CMBS investments are subject to the ability of the commercial property owner to generate net income from operating the property as well as the risks of delinquency and foreclosure.

Commercial mortgage loans are secured by multifamily or commercial property and are subject to risks of delinquency and foreclosure, and risks of loss that may be greater than similar risks associated with loans made on the security of single-family residential property. The ability of a borrower to repay a loan secured by an income-producing property typically is dependent primarily upon the successful operation of such property rather than upon the existence of independent income or assets of the borrower. If the net operating income of the property is reduced, the borrower's ability to repay the loan may be impaired. Net operating income of an income-producing property can be adversely affected by, among other things,

tenant mix;

success of tenant businesses;

property management decisions;

property location, condition and design;

competition from comparable types of properties;

changes in laws that increase operating expenses or limit rents that may be charged;

changes in national, regional or local economic conditions and/or specific industry segments, including the credit and securitization markets;

declines in regional or local real estate values;

declines in regional or local rental or occupancy rates;

increases in interest rates, real estate tax rates and other operating expenses;

costs of remediation and liabilities associated with environmental conditions;

the potential for uninsured or underinsured property losses;

changes in governmental laws and regulations, including fiscal policies, zoning ordinances and environmental legislation and the related costs of compliance; and

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

acts of God, terrorist attacks, social unrest and civil disturbances.

In the event of any default under a mortgage loan held directly by us, we will bear a risk of loss of principal to the extent of any deficiency between the value of the collateral and the principal and accrued interest of the mortgage loan, which could have a material adverse effect on our cash flow from operations and limit amounts available for distribution to our stockholders. In the event of the bankruptcy of a mortgage loan borrower, the mortgage loan to such borrower will be deemed to be secured only to the extent of the value of the underlying collateral at the time of bankruptcy (as determined by the bankruptcy court), and the lien securing the mortgage loan will be subject to the avoidance powers of the bankruptcy trustee or debtor-in-possession to the extent the lien is unenforceable under state law. Foreclosure of a mortgage loan can be an expensive and lengthy process, which could have a substantial negative effect on our anticipated return on the foreclosed mortgage loan.

Table of Contents

Our investments in CMBS are generally subject to losses.

Our investments in CMBS are subject to losses. In general, losses on a mortgaged property securing a mortgage loan included in a securitization will be borne first by the equity holder of the property, then by a cash reserve fund or letter of credit, if any, then by the holder of a mezzanine loan or B-Note, if any, then by the "first loss" subordinated security holder (generally, the "B-Piece" buyer) and then by the holder of a higher-rated security. In the event of default and the exhaustion of any equity support, reserve fund, letter of credit, mezzanine loans or B-Notes, and any classes of securities junior to those in which we invest, we will not be able to recover all of our investment in the securities we purchase. In addition, if the underlying mortgage portfolio has been overvalued by the originator, or if the values subsequently decline and, as a result, less collateral is available to satisfy interest and principal payments due on the related MBS, there would be an increased risk of loss. The prices of lower credit quality securities are generally less sensitive to interest rate changes than more highly rated investments, but more sensitive to adverse economic downturns or individual issuer developments.

If our Manager overestimates the yields or incorrectly prices the risks of our investments, we may experience losses.

Our Manager values our potential investments based on yields and risks, taking into account estimated future losses on the mortgage loans and the underlying collateral included in the securitization's pools, and the estimated impact of these losses on expected future cash flows and returns. Our Manager's loss estimates may not prove accurate, as actual results may vary from estimates. In the event that our Manager underestimates the asset level losses relative to the price we pay for a particular investment, we may experience losses with respect to such investment.

Real estate valuation is inherently subjective and uncertain

The valuation of real estate and therefore the valuation of any underlying security relating to loans made by us is inherently subjective due to, among other factors, the individual nature of each property, its location, the expected future rental revenues from that particular property and the valuation methodology adopted. In addition, where we invest in construction loans, initial valuations will assume completion of the project. As a result, the valuations of the real estate assets against which we will make loans are subject to a degree of uncertainty and are made on the basis of assumptions and methodologies that may not prove to be accurate, particularly in periods of volatility, low transaction flow or restricted debt availability in the commercial or residential real estate markets.

Our investments in corporate bank debt and debt securities of commercial real estate operating or finance companies are subject to the specific risks relating to the particular company and to the general risks of investing in real estate-related loans and securities, which may result in significant losses.

We invest in corporate bank debt and may invest in debt securities of commercial real estate operating or finance companies. These investments involve special risks relating to the particular company, including its financial condition, liquidity, results of operations, business and prospects. In particular, the debt securities are often non-collateralized and may also be subordinated to its other obligations. We also invest in debt securities of companies that are not rated or are rated non-investment grade by one or more rating agencies. Investments that are not rated or are rated non-investment grade have a higher risk of default than investment grade rated assets and therefore may result in losses to us. We have not adopted any limit on such investments.

These investments also subject us to the risks inherent with real estate-related investments, including:

risks of delinquency and foreclosure, and risks of loss in the event thereof;

the dependence upon the successful operation of, and net income from, real property;

Table of Contents

risks generally incident to interests in real property; and

risks specific to the type and use of a particular property.

These risks may adversely affect the value of our investments in commercial real estate operating and finance companies and the ability of the issuers thereof to make principal and interest payments in a timely manner, or at all, and could result in significant losses.

Investments in non-conforming and non-investment grade rated loans or securities involve increased risk of loss.

Many of our investments do not conform to conventional loan standards applied by traditional lenders and either are not rated or rated as non-investment grade by the rating agencies. The non-investment grade ratings for these assets typically result from the overall leverage of the loans, the lack of a strong operating history for the properties underlying the loans, the borrowers' credit history, the properties' underlying cash flow or other factors. As a result, these investments have a higher risk of default and loss than investment grade rated assets. Any loss we incur may be significant and may reduce distributions to our stockholders and adversely affect the market value of our common stock. There are no limits on the percentage of unrated or non-investment grade rated assets we may hold in our investment portfolio.

Any credit ratings assigned to our investments are subject to ongoing evaluations and revisions and we cannot assure you that those ratings will not be downgraded.

Some of our investments are rated by Moody's Investors Service, Fitch Ratings, Standard & Poor's, DBRS, Inc. or Realpoint LLC. Any credit ratings on our investments are subject to ongoing evaluation by credit rating agencies, and we cannot assure you that any such ratings will not be changed or withdrawn by a rating agency in the future if, in its judgment, circumstances warrant. If rating agencies assign a lower-than-expected rating or reduce or withdraw, or indicate that they may reduce or withdraw, their ratings of our investments in the future, the value of these investments could significantly decline, which would adversely affect the value of our investment portfolio and could result in losses upon disposition or the failure of borrowers to satisfy their debt service obligations to us.

The B-Notes that we acquire may be subject to additional risks related to the privately negotiated structure and terms of the transaction, which may result in losses to us.

We may invest in B-Notes. B-Notes are mortgage loans typically (i) secured by a first mortgage on a single large commercial property or group of related properties and (ii) subordinated to an A-Note secured by the same first mortgage on the same collateral. As a result, if a borrower defaults, there may not be sufficient funds remaining for B-Note holders after payment to the A-Note holders. However, because each transaction is privately negotiated, B-Notes can vary in their structural characteristics and risks. For example, the rights of holders of B-Notes to control the process following a borrower default may vary from transaction to transaction. Further, B-Notes typically are secured by a single property and so reflect the risks associated with significant concentration. Significant losses related to our B-Notes would result in operating losses for us and may limit our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Our mezzanine loan assets involve greater risks of loss than senior loans secured by income-producing properties.

We invest in mezzanine loans, which take the form of subordinated loans secured by second mortgages on the underlying property or loans secured by a pledge of the ownership interests of either the entity owning the property or a pledge of the ownership interests of the entity that owns the interest in the entity owning the property. These types of assets involve a higher degree of risk than long-term senior mortgage lending secured by income-producing real property, because the loan may

Table of Contents

become unsecured as a result of foreclosure by the senior lender. In the event of a bankruptcy of the entity providing the pledge of its ownership interests as security, we may not have full recourse to the assets of such entity, or the assets of the entity may not be sufficient to satisfy our mezzanine loan. If a borrower defaults on our mezzanine loan or debt senior to our loan, or in the event of a borrower bankruptcy, our mezzanine loan will be satisfied only after the senior debt. As a result, we may not recover some or all of our initial expenditure. In addition, mezzanine loans may have higher loan-to-value ratios than conventional mortgage loans, resulting in less equity in the property and increasing the risk of loss of principal. Significant losses related to our mezzanine loans would result in operating losses for us and may limit our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Bridge loans involve a greater risk of loss than traditional investment-grade mortgage loans with fully insured borrowers.

We may acquire bridge loans secured by first lien mortgages on a property to borrowers who are typically seeking short-term capital to be used in an acquisition, construction or rehabilitation of a property, or other short-term liquidity needs. The typical borrower under a bridge loan has usually identified an undervalued asset that has been under-managed and/or is located in a recovering market. If the market in which the asset is located fails to recover according to the borrower's projections, or if the borrower fails to improve the quality of the asset's management and/or the value of the asset, the borrower may not receive a sufficient return on the asset to satisfy the bridge loan, and we bear the risk that we may not recover some or all of our initial expenditure.

In addition, borrowers usually use the proceeds of a conventional mortgage to repay a bridge loan. Bridge loans therefore are subject to risks of a borrower's inability to obtain permanent financing to repay the bridge loan. Bridge loans are also subject to risks of borrower defaults, bankruptcies, fraud, losses and special hazard losses that are not covered by standard hazard insurance. In the event of any default under bridge loans held by us, we bear the risk of loss of principal and non-payment of interest and fees to the extent of any deficiency between the value of the mortgage collateral and the principal amount and unpaid interest of the bridge loan. To the extent we suffer such losses with respect to our bridge loans, the value of our company and the price of our shares of common stock may be adversely affected.

We purchase securities backed by subprime or alternative documentation residential mortgage loans, which are subject to increased risks.

We own non-agency RMBS backed by collateral pools of mortgage loans that have been originated using underwriting standards that are less restrictive than those used in underwriting "prime mortgage loans." These lower standards include mortgage loans made to borrowers having imperfect or impaired credit histories, mortgage loans where the amount of the loan at origination is 80% or more of the value of the mortgage property, mortgage loans made to borrowers with low credit scores, mortgage loans made to borrowers who have other debt that represents a large portion of their income and mortgage loans made to borrowers whose income is not required to be disclosed or verified. Due to economic conditions, including increased interest rates and lower home prices, as well as aggressive lending practices, subprime mortgage loans have in recent periods experienced increased rates of delinquency, foreclosure, bankruptcy and loss, and they are likely to continue to experience delinquency, foreclosure, bankruptcy and loss rates that are higher, and that may be substantially higher, than those experienced by mortgage loans underwritten in a more traditional manner. Thus, because of the higher delinquency rates and losses associated with subprime mortgage loans and alternative documentation, or Alt A, mortgage loans, the performance of non-agency RMBS backed by subprime mortgage loans and Alt A mortgage loans that we may acquire could be correspondingly adversely affected, which could adversely impact our results of operations, financial condition and business.

Table of Contents

The residential mortgage loans that we acquire, and that underlie the RMBS we acquire, are subject to risks particular to investments secured by mortgage loans on residential real estate property. These risks are heightened because we purchase non-performing loans.

Residential mortgage loans are secured by single family residential property and are subject to risks of delinquency and foreclosure and risks of loss. The ability of a borrower to repay a loan secured by a residential property typically is dependent upon the income or assets of the borrower. A number of factors may impair borrowers' abilities to repay their loans, including:

changes in the borrower's income or assets;

acts of God, which may result in uninsured losses;

acts of war or terrorism, including the consequences of events;

adverse changes in national and local economic and market conditions;

changes in governmental laws and regulations, including fiscal policies, zoning ordinances and environmental legislation and the related costs of compliance;

costs of remediation and liabilities associated with environmental conditions; and

the potential for uninsured or under-insured property losses.

In the event of any default under a mortgage loan held directly by us, we will bear a risk of loss of principal to the extent of any deficiency between the value of the collateral and the price we paid for the loan and any accrued interest of the mortgage loan plus advances made, which could have a material adverse effect on our cash flow from operations. In the event of the bankruptcy of a mortgage loan borrower, the mortgage loan to such borrower will be deemed to be secured only to the extent of the value of the underlying collateral at the time of bankruptcy (as determined by the bankruptcy court), and the lien securing the mortgage loan will be subject to the avoidance powers of the bankruptcy trustee or debtor-in-possession to the extent the lien is unenforceable under state law. Additionally, foreclosure on a mortgage loan could subject us to greater concentration of the risks of the residential real estate markets and risks related to the ownership and management of real property.

We may acquire non-agency RMBS, which are backed by residential real estate property but, in contrast to agency RMBS, their principal and interest are not guaranteed by federally chartered entities such as the Federal National Mortgage Association, or Fannie Mae, and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation and, in the case of the Government National Mortgage Association, the U.S. government. Our investments in RMBS are subject to the risks of defaults, foreclosure timeline extension, fraud, home price depreciation and unfavorable modification of loan principal amount, interest rate and amortization of principal, accompanying the underlying residential mortgage loans. To the extent that assets underlying our investments are concentrated geographically, by property type or in certain other respects, we may be subject to certain of the foregoing risks to a greater extent. In the event of defaults on the residential mortgage loans that underlie our investments in agency RMBS and the exhaustion of any underlying or any additional credit support, we may not realize our anticipated return on our investments and we may incur a loss on these investments.

Our inability to promptly foreclose upon defaulted mortgage loans could increase our cost of doing business and/or diminish our expected return on investments.

Our ability to promptly foreclose upon defaulted mortgage loans and liquidate the underlying real property plays a critical role in our valuation of the assets in which we invest and our expected return on those investments. There are a variety of factors that may inhibit our ability to foreclose upon a mortgage loan and liquidate the real property within the time frames we model as part of our valuation process. These factors include, without limitation: federal, state or local legislative action or initiatives designed to provide homeowners with assistance in avoiding residential mortgage loan foreclosures and

Table of Contents

that serve to delay the foreclosure process; Home Affordable Modification Program and other programs that require specific procedures to be followed to explore the refinancing of a mortgage loan prior to the commencement of a foreclosure proceeding; and continued declines in real estate values and sustained high levels of unemployment that increase the number of foreclosures and place additional pressure on the already overburdened judicial and administrative systems.

Prepayment rates may adversely affect the value of our investment portfolio.

The value of our investment portfolio is affected by prepayment rates on our mortgage assets. In many cases, borrowers are not prohibited from making prepayments on their mortgage loans. Prepayment rates are influenced by changes in interest rates and a variety of economic, geographic and other factors beyond our control, including, without limitation, housing and financial markets and relative interest rates on fixed rate mortgage loans, and adjustable rate mortgage loans, or ARMs, and consequently prepayment rates cannot be predicted.

We generally receive payments from principal payments that are made on our mortgage assets, including residential mortgage loans underlying the agency RMBS or the non-agency RMBS that we acquire. When borrowers prepay their residential mortgage loans faster than expected, it results in prepayments that are faster than expected on the RMBS. Faster than expected prepayments could adversely affect our profitability and our ability to recoup our cost of certain investments purchased at a premium over par value, including in the following ways:

We may purchase RMBS that have a higher interest rate than the market interest rate at the time. In exchange for this higher interest rate, we may pay a premium over the par value to acquire our mortgage asset. In accordance with GAAP, we may amortize this premium over the estimated term of our mortgage asset. If our mortgage asset is prepaid in whole or in part prior to its maturity date, however, we may be required to expense the premium that was prepaid at the time of the prepayment.

Prepayment rates generally increase when interest rates fall and decrease when interest rates rise, making it unlikely that we would be able to reinvest the proceeds of any prepayment in mortgage assets of similar quality and terms (including yield). If we are unable to invest in similar mortgage assets, we would be adversely affected.

While we seek to minimize prepayment risk to the extent practical, in selecting investments we must balance prepayment risk against other risks and the potential returns of each investment. No strategy can completely insulate us from prepayment risk.

Interest rate mismatches between our agency RMBS backed by ARMs and our borrowings used to fund our purchases of these assets may reduce our net interest income and cause us to suffer a loss during periods of rising interest rates.

To the extent that we invest in agency RMBS backed by ARMs, we may finance these investments with borrowings that have interest rates that adjust more frequently than the interest rate indices and repricing terms of agency RMBS backed by ARMs. Accordingly, if short-term interest rates increase, our borrowing costs may increase faster than the interest rates on agency RMBS backed by ARMs adjust. As a result, in a period of rising interest rates, we could experience a decrease in net income or a net loss. In most cases, the interest rate indices and repricing terms of agency RMBS backed by ARMs and our borrowings will not be identical, thereby potentially creating an interest rate mismatch between our investments and our borrowings. While the historical spread between relevant short-term interest rate indices has been relatively stable, there have been periods when the spread between these indices was volatile. During periods of changing interest rates, these interest rate index mismatches could reduce our net income or produce a net loss, and adversely affect our ability to make distributions and the market price of our common stock.

Table of Contents

In addition, agency RMBS backed by ARMs are typically subject to lifetime interest rate caps which limit the amount an interest rate can increase through the maturity of the agency RMBS. However, our borrowings under repurchase agreements typically are not subject to similar restrictions. Accordingly, in a period of rapidly increasing interest rates, the interest rates paid on our borrowings could increase without limitation while caps could limit the interest rates on these types of agency RMBS. This problem is magnified for agency RMBS backed by ARMs that are not fully indexed. Further, some agency RMBS backed by ARMs may be subject to periodic payment caps that result in a portion of the interest being deferred and added to the principal outstanding. As a result, we may receive less cash income on these types of agency RMBS than we need to pay interest on our related borrowings. These factors could reduce our net interest income and cause us to suffer a loss during periods of rising interest rates.

Risks of cost overruns and noncompletion of renovation of the properties underlying rehabilitation loans may result in significant losses.

The renovation, refurbishment or expansion by a borrower under a mortgaged property involves risks of cost overruns and noncompletion. Estimates of the costs of improvements to bring an acquired property up to standards established for the market position intended for that property may prove inaccurate. Other risks may include rehabilitation costs exceeding original estimates, possibly making a project uneconomical, environmental risks and rehabilitation and subsequent leasing of the property not being completed on schedule. If such renovation is not completed in a timely manner, or if it costs more than expected, the borrower may experience a prolonged impairment of net operating income and may not be able to make payments on our investment, which could result in significant losses.

Interest rate fluctuations could reduce our ability to generate income on our investments and may cause losses.

Changes in interest rates affect our net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income we earn on our interest-earning investments and the interest expense we incur in financing these investments. Changes in the level of interest rates also may affect our ability to originate and acquire assets, the value of our assets and our ability to realize gains from the disposition of assets. Changes in interest rates may also affect borrower default rates. In a period of rising interest rates, our interest expense could increase, while the interest we earn on our fixed-rate debt investments would not change, adversely affecting our profitability. Our operating results depend in large part on differences between the income from our assets, net of credit losses, and our financing costs. We anticipate that for any period during which our assets are not match-funded, the income from such assets will respond more slowly to interest rate fluctuations than the cost of our borrowings. Consequently, changes in interest rates may significantly influence our net income. Increases in these rates tend to decrease our net income and the market value of our fixed rate assets. Interest rate fluctuations resulting in our interest expense exceeding interest income would result in operating losses for us.

We may experience a decline in the fair value of our assets.

A decline in the fair value of our assets may require us to recognize an "other-than-temporary" impairment against such assets under GAAP if we were to determine that, with respect to any assets in unrealized loss positions, we do not have the ability and intent to hold such assets to maturity or for a period of time sufficient to allow for recovery to the amortized cost of such assets. If such a determination were to be made, we would recognize unrealized losses through earnings and write down the amortized cost of such assets to a new cost basis, based on the fair value of such assets on the date they are considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired. Such impairment charges reflect non-cash losses at the time of recognition; subsequent disposition or sale of such assets could further affect our

Table of Contents

future losses or gains, as they are based on the difference between the sale price received and adjusted amortized cost of such assets at the time of sale.

Some of our portfolio investments are recorded at fair value and, as a result, there is uncertainty as to the value of these investments.

Some of our portfolio investments are in the form of positions or securities that are not publicly traded. The fair value of securities and other investments that are not publicly traded may not be readily determinable. We value these investments quarterly at fair value, as determined in accordance with GAAP, which include consideration of unobservable inputs. Because such valuations are subjective, the fair value of certain of our assets may fluctuate over short periods of time and our determinations of fair value may differ materially from the values that would have been used if a ready market for these securities existed. The value of our common stock could be adversely affected if our determinations regarding the fair value of these investments were materially higher than the values that we ultimately realize upon their disposal.

Liability relating to environmental matters may impact the value of properties that we may acquire upon foreclosure of the properties underlying our investments.

To the extent we foreclose on properties with respect to which we have extended mortgage loans, we may be subject to environmental liabilities arising from such foreclosed properties. Under various U.S. federal, state and local laws, an owner or operator of real property may become liable for the costs of removal of certain hazardous substances released on its property. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the release of such hazardous substances.

The presence of hazardous substances may adversely affect an owner's ability to sell real estate or borrow using real estate as collateral. To the extent that an owner of a property underlying one of our debt investments becomes liable for removal costs, the ability of the owner to make payments to us may be reduced, which in turn may adversely affect the value of the relevant mortgage asset held by us and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

If we foreclose on any properties underlying our investments, the presence of hazardous substances on a property may adversely affect our ability to sell the property and we may incur substantial remediation costs, thus harming our financial condition. The discovery of material environmental liabilities attached to such properties could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Table of Contents

We may invest in triple net leases. Negative market conditions or adverse events affecting tenants, or the industries in which they operate, could have an adverse impact on any triple net lease in which we invest.

We may enter into triple net leases. If we enter into triple net leases, cash flow from operations depends in part on the ability to lease space to tenants on economically favorable terms. If we enter into triple net leases, we could be adversely affected by various facts and events over which we have limited or no control, such as:

lack of demand in areas where our properties are located;

inability to retain existing tenants and attract new tenants;

oversupply of space and changes in market rental rates;

our tenants' creditworthiness and ability to pay rent, which may be affected by their operations, the current economic situation and competition within their industries from other operators;

defaults by and bankruptcies of tenants, failure of tenants to pay rent on a timely basis, or failure of tenants to comply with their contractual obligations; and

economic or physical decline of the areas where the properties are located.

At any time, any tenant may experience a downturn in its business that may weaken its operating results or overall financial condition. As a result, a tenant may delay lease commencement, fail to make rental payments when due, decline to extend a lease upon its expiration, become insolvent or declare bankruptcy. Any tenant bankruptcy or insolvency, leasing delay or failure to make rental payments when due could result in the termination of the tenant's lease and material losses to us.

If tenants do not renew their leases as they expire, we may not be able to rent or sell the properties. Furthermore, leases that are renewed, and some new leases for properties that are re-leased, may have terms that are less economically favorable than expiring lease terms, or may require us to incur significant costs, such as renovations, tenant improvements or lease transaction costs. Negative market conditions may cause us to sell vacant properties for less than their carrying value, which could result in impairments. Any of these events could adversely affect cash flow from operations and our ability to make distributions to stockholders and service indebtedness. A significant portion of the costs of owning property, such as real estate taxes, insurance and maintenance, are not necessarily reduced when circumstances cause a decrease in rental revenue from the properties. In a weakened financial condition, tenants may not be able to pay these costs of ownership and we may be unable to recover these operating expenses from them.

Further, the occurrence of a tenant bankruptcy or insolvency could diminish the income we receive from the tenant's lease or leases. In addition, a bankruptcy court might authorize the tenant to terminate its leases with us. If that happens, our claim against the bankrupt tenant for unpaid future rent would be subject to statutory limitations that most likely would be substantially less than the remaining rent we are owed under the leases. In addition, any claim we have for unpaid past rent, if any, may not be paid in full. As a result, tenant bankruptcies may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Past or future actions of the U.S. government for the purpose of reforming and/or stabilizing the financial markets may adversely affect our business.

In the aftermath of the financial crisis, the U.S. government, through the Federal Reserve, the U.S. Treasury, the SEC, the Federal Housing Administration, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and other governmental and regulatory bodies have taken or are considering taking various actions to

Table of Contents

address the financial crisis. Many aspects of these actions are subject to rulemaking and will take effect over several years, making it difficult to anticipate the overall financial impact on us and, more generally, the financial services and mortgage industries. Additionally, we cannot predict whether there will be additional proposed laws or reforms that would affect us, whether or when such changes may be adopted, how such changes may be interpreted and enforced or how such changes may affect us. However, the costs of complying with any additional laws or regulations could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Investments outside the U.S. that are denominated in foreign currencies subject us to foreign currency risks, which may adversely affect our distributions and our REIT status.

Our investments outside the U.S. denominated in foreign currencies subject us to foreign currency risk due to potential fluctuations in exchange rates between foreign currencies and the U.S. dollar. As a result, changes in exchange rates of any such foreign currency to U.S. dollars may affect our income and distributions and may also affect the book value of our assets and the amount of stockholders' equity.

Changes in foreign currency exchange rates used to value a REIT's foreign assets may be considered changes in the value of the REIT's assets. These changes may adversely affect our status as a REIT. Further, bank accounts in foreign currency which are not considered cash or cash equivalents may adversely affect our status as a REIT.

The ongoing Eurozone crisis may have an adverse effect on investments in Europe and the break up of the Eurozone, or the exit of any member state, would create uncertainty and could affect our investments directly.

A portion of our investments consists of target assets secured by European collateral. The ongoing situation relating to the sovereign debt of several countries, including Greece, Ireland, Italy, Spain and Portugal, together with the risk of contagion to other, more financially stable countries, has exacerbated the difficult global financial situation. The situation has also raised a number of uncertainties regarding the stability and overall standing of the European Monetary Union. Any further deterioration in the global or Eurozone economy could have a significant adverse effect on our activities and the value of our European collateral.

In addition, if we hold any assets that are denominated in Euros (including loans secured on such assets), such as assets in continental Europe, further deterioration in the Eurozone economy could have a material adverse effect on the value of our investment in such assets and amplify the currency risks faced by us.

If any country were to leave the Eurozone, or if the Eurozone were to break up entirely, the treatment of debt obligations previously denominated in Euros is uncertain. A number of issues would be raised, such as whether obligations which are expressed to be payable in Euros would be re-denominated into a new currency. The answer to this and other questions is uncertain and would depend on the way in which the break-up occurred and also on the nature of the transaction: the law governing it; which courts have jurisdiction in relation to it; the place of payment; and the place of incorporation of the payor. If we held any investments in Euros at the time of any Eurozone exits or break-up, this uncertainty and potential re-denomination could have a material adverse effect on the value of our investments and the income from them.

Table of Contents

We have not previously invested in residential REO and our property management costs, capital expenditures, financing charges, property taxes and other fees and expenses could exceed our rental income from any such investments.

Our board of directors has approved the expansion of our investment guidelines to include residential REO. Sales of pools of single to four family residential properties is a relatively recent occurrence and the process for bidding on these assets is not yet well established. Our Manager will seek to perform extensive due diligence on these assets prior to purchase, but market and competitive forces may make it difficult to do so. In addition, the preparation of detailed cost and revenue projections prior to acquiring these assets will require us to make a number of estimates and assumptions, including assumptions about occupancy levels, capital expenditures, costs associated with carrying and operating our portfolio, tenant defaults, trends in rental rates and other factors. Our assumptions may prove, in hindsight, to be incorrect.

Our ability to generate positive cash flows from operations from residential REO will also be influenced by a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control, including, without limitation, the following:

overall conditions in the single to four family housing and rental markets, including:

macroeconomic shifts in demand for residential rental properties;

inability to lease or re-lease properties to tenants timely and on attractive terms or at all;

failure of tenants to pay rent when due or otherwise perform their lease obligations;

unanticipated repairs, capital expenditures or other costs;

uninsured damages; and

increases in property taxes and insurance costs;

pace of residential foreclosures;

level of competition for suitable target assets;

terms and conditions of purchase contracts;

availability of new government programs to reduce foreclosure rates or facilitate a recovery in the housing markets;

the impact of any "lease to own" or similar programs that we may employ with regard to residential REO;

changes in laws that increase operating expenses or limit rents that may be charged;

limitations imposed upon us by government-related or other sellers on our ability to sell residential REO during a certain time period;

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

the short-term nature of most residential leases and the costs and potential delays in re-leasing;

the amount and cost of debt financing we employ to leverage our returns;

the geographic mix of our assets; and

the cost, quality and condition of assets we are able to purchase.

Table of Contents

The lack of liquidity of our residential REO investments may adversely affect our long-term profitability on such investments and increases the risk that we could suffer losses on such investments if our rental strategy does not generate sufficient cash flows to sustain our portfolio until market conditions improve.

If we find it necessary to liquidate residential REO assets for any reason prior to a significant improvement in the residential market, we may experience losses upon the sale of these investments. The illiquidity of residential REO assets means that our ability to vary our asset base in response to changes in economic and other conditions may be severely constrained, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. In the event prices of residential assets increase, there may be a limited number of potential purchasers of portfolios of such assets which may reduce our efficiency and profits upon sales. We cannot predict whether we will be able to sell properties for the prices or on the terms set by us, or whether any price or other terms offered by a prospective purchaser would be acceptable to us. We cannot predict the length of time needed to find willing purchasers and to close the sale of properties. If we are unable to sell properties when we determine to do so, or if we are prohibited from doing so, it could have a material adverse effect on our cash flow and results of operations from such investments.

We may rely on local, third-party service providers in connection with REO investments.

In connection with any REO investments, we may rely on local, third-party vendors and services providers for certain services for our properties. For example, we may rely on third-party house improvement professionals, leasing agents and property management companies. We do not have exclusive or long-term contractual relationships with any of these third-parties. Our cash flows from REO properties may be adversely affected if third-party vendors and service providers with whom we do business fail to provide quality services.

Risks Related to Our Organization and Structure

Certain provisions of Maryland law could inhibit changes in control.

Certain provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law, or the MGCL, may have the effect of deterring a third party from making a proposal to acquire us or of impeding a change in control under circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of our common stock with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price of our common stock. We are subject to the "business combination" provisions of the MGCL that, subject to limitations, prohibit certain business combinations (including a merger, consolidation, share exchange, or, in circumstances specified in the statute, an asset transfer or issuance or reclassification of equity securities) between us and an "interested stockholder" (defined generally as any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of our then outstanding voting capital stock or an affiliate or associate of ours who, at any time within the two-year period prior to the date in question, was the beneficial owner of 10% or more of our then outstanding voting capital stock) or an affiliate thereof for five years after the most recent date on which the stockholder becomes an interested stockholder. After the five-year prohibition, any business combination between us and an interested stockholder generally must be recommended by our board of directors and approved by the affirmative vote of at least (i) 80% of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of outstanding shares of our voting capital stock and (ii) two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of voting capital stock of the corporation other than shares held by the interested stockholder with whom or with whose affiliate the business combination is to be effected or held by an affiliate or associate of the interested stockholder. These super-majority vote requirements do not apply if our common stockholders receive a minimum price, as defined under Maryland law, for their shares in the form of cash or other consideration in the same form as previously paid by the interested stockholder for its shares. These provisions of the MGCL do not apply, however, to business combinations that are approved or exempted by a board of directors prior to the time that the

Table of Contents

interested stockholder becomes an interested stockholder. Pursuant to the statute, our board of directors has by resolution exempted business combinations between us and any other person, provided that such business combination is first approved by our board of directors (including a majority of our directors who are not affiliates or associates of such person).

The "control share" provisions of the MGCL provide that "control shares" of a Maryland corporation (defined as shares which, when aggregated with other shares controlled by the stockholder (except solely by virtue of a revocable proxy), entitle the stockholder to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in electing directors) acquired in a "control share acquisition" (defined as the direct or indirect acquisition of ownership or control of "control shares") have no voting rights except to the extent approved by our stockholders by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding votes entitled to be cast by the acquirer of control shares, our officers and our personnel who are also our directors. Our bylaws contain a provision exempting from the control share acquisition statute any and all acquisitions by any person of shares of our stock. There can be no assurance that this provision will not be amended or eliminated at any time in the future.

The "unsolicited takeover" provisions of the MGCL permit our board of directors, without stockholder approval and regardless of what is currently provided in our charter or bylaws, to implement takeover defenses, some of which (for example, a classified board) we do not yet have. These provisions may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making an acquisition proposal for us or of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of us under the circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of shares of common stock with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then current market price.

Our authorized but unissued shares of common and preferred stock may prevent a change in control.

Our charter authorizes us to issue additional authorized but unissued shares of common or preferred stock. In addition, our board of directors may, without stockholder approval, amend our charter to increase the aggregate number of our shares of stock or the number of shares of stock of any class or series that we have authority to issue and classify or reclassify any unissued shares of common or preferred stock and set the preferences, rights and other terms of the classified or reclassified shares. As a result, our board of directors may establish a series of shares of common or preferred stock that could delay or prevent a transaction or a change in control that might involve a premium price for our shares of common stock or otherwise be in the best interest of our stockholders.

Maintenance of our exemption from registration under the Investment Company Act imposes significant limits on our operations.

We intend to conduct our operations so that neither we nor any of our subsidiaries are required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act. Because we are a holding company that conducts our businesses primarily through wholly-owned subsidiaries, the securities issued by these subsidiaries that are excepted from the definition of "investment company" under Section 3(c)(1) or Section 3(c)(7) of the Investment Company Act, together with any other investment securities we own, may not have a combined value in excess of 40% of the value of our adjusted total assets on an unconsolidated basis. This requirement limits the types of businesses in which we may engage through our subsidiaries. In addition, the assets we and our subsidiaries may acquire are limited by the provisions of the Investment Company Act and the rules and regulations promulgated under the Investment Company Act, which may adversely affect our performance.

If the value of securities issued by our subsidiaries that are excepted from the definition of "investment company" by Section 3(c)(1) or 3(c)(7) of the Investment Company Act, together with any

Table of Contents

other investment securities we own, exceeds 40% of our adjusted total assets on an unconsolidated basis, or if one or more of such subsidiaries fail to maintain an exception or exemption from the Investment Company Act, we could, among other things, be required either (i) to substantially change the manner in which we conduct our operations to avoid being required to register as an investment company or (ii) to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act, either of which could have an adverse effect on us and the market price of our securities. If we were required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act, we would become subject to substantial regulation with respect to our capital structure (including our ability to use leverage), management, operations, transactions with affiliated persons (as defined in the Investment Company Act), portfolio composition, including restrictions with respect to diversification and industry concentration, and other matters.

The SEC recently solicited public comment on a wide range of issues relating to Section 3(c)(5)(C) of the Investment Company Act, including the nature of the assets that qualify for purposes of the exemption and whether mortgage REITs should be regulated in a manner similar to investment companies. There can be no assurance that the laws and regulations governing the Investment Company Act status of REITs, including the Division of Investment Management of the SEC providing more specific or different guidance regarding these exemptions, will not change in a manner that adversely affects our operations. If we or our subsidiaries fail to maintain an exception of exemption from the Investment Company Act, we could, among other things, be required to (i) change the manner in which we conduct our operations to avoid being required to register as an investment company, (ii) effect sales of our assets in a manner that, or at a time when, we would not otherwise choose to do so, or (iii) register as an investment company (which, among other things, would require us to comply with the leverage constraints applicable to investment companies), any of which could negatively affect the value of our common stock, the sustainability of our business model, and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders, which could, in turn, materially and adversely affect us and the market price of our common stock.

Rapid changes in the values of our other real estate-related investments may make it more difficult for us to maintain our qualification as a REIT or exemption from the Investment Company Act.

If the market value or income potential of real estate-related investments declines as a result of increased interest rates, prepayment rates or other factors, we may need to increase our real estate investments and income and/or liquidate our non-qualifying assets in order to maintain our REIT qualification or exemption from the Investment Company Act. If the decline in real estate asset values and/or income occurs quickly, this may be especially difficult to accomplish. This difficulty may be exacerbated by the illiquid nature of any non-qualifying assets that we may own. We may have to make investment decisions that we otherwise would not make absent the REIT and Investment Company Act considerations.

Our rights and the rights of our stockholders to take action against our directors and officers are limited, which could limit your recourse in the event of actions not in your best interests.

Under Maryland law generally, a director's actions will be upheld if he or she performs his or her duties in good faith, in a manner he or she reasonably believes to be in our best interests and with the care that an ordinarily prudent person in a like position would use under similar circumstances. In

Table of Contents

addition, our charter limits the liability of our directors and officers to us and our stockholders for money damages, except for liability resulting from:

actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services; or

active and deliberate dishonesty by the director or officer that was established by a final judgment as being material to the cause of action adjudicated.

Our charter authorizes us to indemnify our directors and officers for actions taken by them in those capacities to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. Our bylaws require us to indemnify each director or officer, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law, in the defense of any proceeding to which he or she is made, or threatened to be made, a party by reason of his or her service to us. In addition, we may be obligated to fund the defense costs incurred by our directors and officers. As a result, we and our stockholders may have more limited rights against our directors and officers than might otherwise exist absent the current provisions in our charter and bylaws or that might exist with other companies.

Our charter contains provisions that make removal of our directors difficult, which could make it difficult for our stockholders to effect changes to our management.

Our charter provides that a director may only be removed for cause upon the affirmative vote of holders of two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast in the election of directors. Vacancies may be filled only by a majority of the remaining directors in office, even if less than a quorum. These requirements make it more difficult to change our management by removing and replacing directors and may prevent a change in control of our company that is in the best interests of our stockholders.

Ownership limitations may restrict change of control or business combination opportunities in which our stockholders might receive a premium for their shares.

In order for us to qualify as a REIT, no more than 50% in value of our outstanding capital stock may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals during the last half of any calendar year. "Individuals" for this purpose include natural persons, private foundations, some employee benefit plans and trusts, and some charitable trusts. To preserve our REIT qualification, our charter generally prohibits any person from directly or indirectly owning more than 9.8% in value or in number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of the outstanding shares of our capital stock or more than 9.8% in value or in number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of the outstanding shares of our common stock. This ownership limitation could have the effect of discouraging a takeover or other transaction in which holders of our common stock might receive a premium for their shares over the then prevailing market price or which holders might believe to be otherwise in their best interests.

Risks Related to Our Taxation as a REIT

If we do not qualify as a REIT or fail to remain qualified as a REIT, we will be subject to tax as a regular corporation and could face a substantial tax liability, which would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

We intend to continue to operate in a manner that will allow us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. We have not requested nor obtained a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service, or the IRS, as to our REIT qualification. Our qualification as a REIT depends on our satisfaction of certain asset, income, organizational, distribution, stockholder ownership and other requirements on a continuing basis. Our ability to satisfy the asset tests depends upon our analysis of the characterization and fair values of our assets, some of which are not susceptible to a precise determination, and for which we will not obtain independent appraisals. Our compliance with the REIT income and quarterly

Table of Contents

asset requirements also depends upon our ability to successfully manage the composition of our income and assets on an ongoing basis. Moreover, the proper classification of an instrument as debt or equity for federal income tax purposes may be uncertain in some circumstances, which could affect the application of the REIT qualification requirements as described below. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the IRS will not contend that our interests in subsidiaries or in securities of other issuers will not cause a violation of the REIT requirements.

If we were to fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we would be subject to federal income tax, including any applicable alternative minimum tax, on our taxable income at regular corporate rates, and dividends paid to our stockholders would not be deductible by us in computing our taxable income. Any resulting corporate tax liability could be substantial and would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to our stockholders, which in turn could have an adverse impact on the value of our common stock. Unless we were entitled to relief under certain Code provisions, we also would be disqualified from taxation as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year in which we failed to qualify as a REIT.

Dividends payable by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates available for some dividends.

The maximum tax rate applicable to income from "qualified dividends" payable to domestic stockholders that are individuals, trusts and estates is currently 20%. Dividends payable by REITs, however, generally are not eligible for the reduced rates. Although this legislation does not adversely affect the taxation of REITs or dividends payable by REITs, the more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate qualified dividends could cause investors who are individuals, trusts and estates to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the stock of REITs, including our common stock.

REIT distribution requirements could adversely affect our ability to continue to execute our business plan.

We generally must distribute annually at least 90% of our taxable income, subject to certain adjustments and excluding any net capital gain, in order for federal corporate income tax not to apply to earnings that we distribute. To the extent that we satisfy this distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our taxable income, we will be subject to federal corporate income tax on our undistributed taxable income. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax if the actual amount that we pay out to our stockholders in a calendar year is less than a minimum amount specified under federal tax laws. We intend to continue to make distributions to our stockholders to comply with the REIT requirements of the Code.

From time to time, we may generate taxable income greater than our income for financial reporting purposes prepared in accordance with GAAP, or differences in timing between the recognition of taxable income and the actual receipt of cash may occur. For example, we may be required to accrue income from mortgage loans, MBS, and other types of debt securities or interests in debt securities before we receive any payments of interest or principal on such assets. We may also acquire distressed debt investments that are subsequently modified by agreement with the borrower. If the amendments to the outstanding debt are "significant modifications" under the applicable U.S. Treasury regulations, the modified debt may be considered to have been reissued to us at a gain in a debt-for-debt exchange with the borrower, with gain recognized by us to the extent that the principal amount of the modified debt exceeds our cost of purchasing it prior to modification.

We may also be required under the terms of indebtedness that we incur to use cash received from interest payments to make principal payments on that indebtedness, with the effect of recognizing income but not having a corresponding amount of cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Table of Contents

As a result, we may find it difficult or impossible to meet distribution requirements from our ordinary operations in certain circumstances. In particular, where we experience differences in timing between the recognition of taxable income and the actual receipt of cash, the requirement to distribute a substantial portion of our taxable income could cause us to: (i) sell assets in adverse market conditions, (ii) borrow on unfavorable terms, (iii) distribute amounts that would otherwise be invested in future acquisitions, capital expenditures or repayment of debt or (iv) make a taxable distribution of our shares, as part of a distribution in which stockholders may elect to receive shares (subject to a limit measured as a percentage of the total distribution), in order to comply with REIT requirements. These alternatives could increase our costs or reduce our equity. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our ability to grow, which could adversely affect the value of our common stock.

We may choose to pay dividends in our own stock possibly requiring our stockholders to pay taxes in excess of the cash dividends they receive.

Although we have no current intention to do so, we may in the future distribute taxable dividends payable either in cash or shares of our stock at the election of each stockholder, but subject to a limitation on the amount of cash that may be distributed. Taxable stockholders receiving such dividends will be required to include the full amount of the dividend, whether received as cash or shares of our common stock, as ordinary income to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits for U.S. federal income tax purposes. As a result, stockholders may be required to pay income taxes with respect to such dividends in excess of the cash dividends received.

The stock ownership limit imposed by the Code for REITs and our charter may restrict our business combination opportunities.

In order for us to maintain our qualification as a REIT under the Code, not more than 50% in value of our outstanding stock may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Code to include certain entities) at any time during the last half of each taxable year following our first year. Our charter, with certain exceptions, authorizes our board of directors to take the actions that are necessary and desirable to preserve our qualification as a REIT. Unless exempted by our board of directors, no person may own more than 9.8% of the aggregate value of our outstanding capital stock. Our board may grant an exemption in its sole discretion, subject to such conditions, representations and undertakings as it may determine. The ownership limits imposed by the tax law are based upon direct or indirect ownership by "individuals," but only during the last half of a tax year. The ownership limits contained in our charter key off of the ownership at any time by any "person," which term includes entities. These ownership limitations in our charter are common in REIT charters and are intended to provide added assurance of compliance with the tax law requirements, and to minimize administrative burdens. However, these ownership limits might also delay or prevent a transaction or a change in our control that might involve a premium price for our common stock or otherwise be in the best interest of our stockholders.

Even if we remain qualified as a REIT, we may face other tax liabilities that reduce our cash flow.

Even if we remain qualified for taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and assets, including taxes on any undistributed income, tax on income from some activities conducted as a result of a foreclosure, and state or local income, property and transfer taxes, such as mortgage recording taxes. In addition, in order to continue to meet the REIT qualification requirements, prevent the recognition of certain types of non-cash income, or to avert the imposition of a 100% tax that applies to certain gains derived by a REIT from dealer property or inventory, we may hold some of our assets through our TRS or other subsidiary corporations that will

Table of Contents

be subject to corporate-level income tax at regular rates. In addition, if we lend money to a TRS, the TRS may be unable to deduct all or a portion of the interest paid to us, which could result in an even higher corporate-level tax liability. Any of these taxes would decrease cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Complying with REIT requirements may cause us to forgo otherwise attractive opportunities.

To qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we must satisfy ongoing tests concerning, among other things, the sources of our income, the nature and diversification of our assets, the amounts that we distribute to our stockholders and the ownership of our stock. We may be required to make distributions to stockholders at disadvantageous times or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution, and may be unable to pursue investments that would be otherwise advantageous to us in order to satisfy the source-of-income or asset-diversification requirements for qualifying as a REIT. In addition, in certain cases, the modification of a debt instrument could result in the conversion of the instrument from a qualifying real estate asset to a wholly or partially non-qualifying asset that must be contributed to a TRS or disposed of in order for us to maintain our REIT status. Compliance with the source-of-income requirements may also limit our ability to acquire debt instruments at a discount from their face amount. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our ability to make and, in certain cases, to maintain ownership of, certain attractive investments.

Complying with REIT requirements may force us to liquidate otherwise attractive investments.

To qualify as a REIT, we must ensure that at the end of each calendar quarter, at least 75% of the value of our assets consists of cash, cash items, government securities and qualified REIT real estate assets, including certain mortgage loans and certain kinds of MBS. The remainder of our investment in securities (other than government securities and qualified real estate assets) generally cannot include more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of any one issuer or more than 10% of the total value of the outstanding securities of any one issuer. In addition, in general, no more than 5% of the value of our assets (other than government securities and qualified real estate assets) can consist of the securities of any one issuer, and no more than 25% of the value of our total securities can be represented by securities of one or more TRSs. If we fail to comply with these requirements at the end of any calendar quarter, we must correct the failure within 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter or qualify for certain statutory relief provisions to avoid losing our REIT qualification and suffering adverse tax consequences. As a result, we may be required to liquidate from our portfolio otherwise attractive investments. These actions could have the effect of reducing our income and amounts available for distribution to our stockholders.

The failure of assets subject to repurchase agreements to qualify as real estate assets could adversely affect our ability to qualify as a REIT.

We have entered into financing arrangements that are structured as sale and repurchase agreements pursuant to which we would nominally sell certain of our assets to a counterparty and simultaneously enter into an agreement to repurchase these assets at a later date in exchange for a purchase price. Economically, these agreements are financings which are secured by the assets sold pursuant thereto. We believe that we would be treated for REIT asset and income test purposes as the owner of the assets that are the subject of any such sale and repurchase agreement notwithstanding that such agreement may transfer record ownership of the assets to the counterparty during the term of the agreement. It is possible, however, that the IRS could assert that we did not own the assets during the term of the sale and repurchase agreement, in which case we could fail to qualify as a REIT.

Table of Contents

We may be required to report taxable income for certain investments in excess of the economic income we ultimately realize from them.

We may acquire debt instruments in the secondary market for less than their face amount. The discount at which such debt instruments are acquired may reflect doubts about their ultimate collectability rather than current market interest rates. The amount of such discount will nevertheless generally be treated as "market discount" for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Accrued market discount is reported as income when, and to the extent that, any payment of principal of the debt instrument is made. Payments on residential mortgage loans are ordinarily made monthly, and consequently accrued market discount may have to be included in income each month as if the debt instrument were assured of ultimately being collected in full. If we collect less on the debt instrument than our purchase price plus the market discount we had previously reported as income, we may not be able to benefit from any offsetting loss deductions. In addition, we may acquire distressed debt investments that are subsequently modified by agreement with the borrower. If the amendments to the outstanding debt are "significant modifications" under applicable U.S. Treasury regulations, the modified debt may be considered to have been reissued to us at a gain in a debt-for-debt exchange with the borrower. In that event, we may be required to recognize taxable gain to the extent the principal amount of the modified debt exceeds our adjusted tax basis in the unmodified debt, even if the value of the debt or the payment expectations have not changed.

Moreover, some of the MBS that we acquire may have been issued with original issue discount. We will be required to report such original issue discount based on a constant yield method and will be taxed based on the assumption that all future projected payments due on such MBS will be made. If such MBS turns out not to be fully collectible, an offsetting loss deduction will become available only in the later year that uncollectibility is provable.

Finally, in the event that any debt instruments or MBS acquired by us are delinquent as to mandatory principal and interest payments, or in the event payments with respect to a particular debt instrument are not made when due, we may nonetheless be required to continue to recognize the unpaid interest as taxable income as it accrues, despite doubt as to its ultimate collectability. Similarly, we may be required to accrue interest income with respect to subordinate MBS at its stated rate regardless of whether corresponding cash payments are received or are ultimately collectible. In each case, while we would in general ultimately have an offsetting loss deduction available to us when such interest was determined to be uncollectible, the utility of that deduction could depend on our having taxable income in that later year or thereafter.

Table of Contents

The "taxable mortgage pool" rules may increase the taxes that we or our stockholders may incur, and may limit the manner in which we effect future securitizations.

Securitizations could result in the creation of taxable mortgage pools for federal income tax purposes. As a REIT, so long as we own 100% of the equity interests in a taxable mortgage pool, we generally would not be adversely affected by the characterization of the securitization as a taxable mortgage pool. Certain categories of stockholders, however, such as foreign stockholders eligible for treaty or other benefits, stockholders with net operating losses, and certain tax-exempt stockholders that are subject to unrelated business income tax, could be subject to increased taxes on a portion of their dividend income from us that is attributable to the taxable mortgage pool. In addition, to the extent that our stock is owned by tax-exempt "disqualified organizations," such as certain government-related entities and charitable remainder trusts that are not subject to tax on unrelated business income, we may incur a corporate level tax on a portion of our income from the taxable mortgage pool. In that case, we may reduce the amount of our distributions to any disqualified organization whose stock ownership gave rise to the tax. Moreover, we would be precluded from selling equity interests in these securitizations to outside investors, or selling any debt securities issued in connection with these securitizations that might be considered to be equity interests for tax purposes. These limitations may prevent us from using certain techniques to maximize our returns from securitization transactions.

The tax on prohibited transactions will limit our ability to engage in transactions, including certain methods of securitizing mortgage loans, which would be treated as sales for federal income tax purposes.

A REIT's net income from prohibited transactions is subject to a 100% tax. In general, prohibited transactions are sales or other dispositions of property, other than foreclosure property, but including mortgage loans, held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. We might be subject to this tax if we were to dispose of or securitize loans in a manner that was treated as a sale of the loans for federal income tax purposes. Therefore, in order to avoid the prohibited transactions tax, we may choose not to engage in certain sales of loans at the REIT level, and may limit the structures we utilize for our securitization transactions, even though the sales or structures might otherwise be beneficial to us.

Our investments in construction loans will require us to make estimates about the fair value of land improvements that may be challenged by the IRS.

We may invest in construction loans, the interest from which will be qualifying income for purposes of the REIT income tests, provided that the loan value of the real property securing the construction loan is equal to or greater than the highest outstanding principal amount of the construction loan during any taxable year. For purposes of construction loans, the loan value of the real property is the fair value of the land plus the reasonably estimated cost of the improvements or developments (other than personal property) that will secure the loan and that are to be constructed from the proceeds of the loan. There can be no assurance that the IRS would not challenge our estimate of the loan value of the real property.

The failure of a mezzanine loan to qualify as a real estate asset could adversely affect our ability to qualify as a REIT.

We invest in mezzanine loans, for which the IRS has provided a safe harbor but not rules of substantive law. Pursuant to the safe harbor, if a mezzanine loan meets certain requirements, it will be treated by the IRS as a real estate asset for purposes of the REIT asset tests, and interest derived from the mezzanine loan will be treated as qualifying mortgage interest for purposes of the REIT 75% income test. We may acquire mezzanine loans that do not meet all of the requirements of this safe harbor. In the event we own a mezzanine loan that does not meet the safe harbor, the IRS could

Table of Contents

challenge such loan's treatment as a real estate asset for purposes of the REIT asset and income tests and, if such a challenge were sustained, we could fail to qualify as a REIT.

Liquidation of assets may jeopardize our REIT qualification.

To qualify as a REIT, we must comply with requirements regarding our assets and our sources of income. If we are compelled to liquidate our investments to repay obligations to our lenders, we may be unable to comply with these requirements, ultimately jeopardizing our qualification as a REIT, or we may be subject to a 100% tax on any resultant gain if we sell assets that are treated as dealer property or inventory.

Complying with REIT requirements may limit our ability to hedge effectively and may cause us to incur tax liabilities.

The REIT provisions of the Code substantially limit our ability to hedge our liabilities. Any income from a hedging transaction we enter into to manage risk of interest rate changes with respect to borrowings made or to be made to acquire or carry real estate assets does not constitute "gross income" for purposes of the 75% or 95% gross income tests. To the extent that we enter into other types of hedging transactions, the income from those transactions is likely to be treated as non-qualifying income for purposes of both of the gross income tests. As a result of these rules, we intend to limit our use of advantageous hedging techniques or implement those hedges through a domestic TRS. This could increase the cost of our hedging activities because our TRS would be subject to tax on gains or expose us to greater risks associated with changes in interest rates than we would otherwise want to bear. In addition, losses in our TRS will generally not provide any tax benefit, except for being carried forward against future taxable income in the TRS.

Qualifying as a REIT involves highly technical and complex provisions of the Code.

Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Code provisions for which only limited judicial and administrative authorities exist. Even a technical or inadvertent violation could jeopardize our REIT qualification. Our qualification as a REIT will depend on our satisfaction of certain asset, income, organizational, distribution, stockholder ownership and other requirements on a continuing basis. In addition, our ability to satisfy the requirements to qualify as a REIT depends in part on the actions of third parties over which we have no control or only limited influence, including in cases where we own an equity interest in an entity that is classified as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Risks Related to LNR's Business and the Company's Pending Acquisition of LNR

The acquisition of LNR, and particularly its special servicing business, potentially exposes us to risks that we do not face in our current business.

LNR derives a substantial portion of its cash flows from the special servicing of pools of commercial mortgage loans. As special servicer, LNR typically receives fees based upon the outstanding balance of the loans which are being specially serviced by LNR. We anticipate that the balance of loans in special servicing where LNR acts as special servicer will decline significantly over the next several years and that LNR's servicing fees will likewise decline materially. The special servicing industry is highly competitive, and LNR's inability to compete successfully with other firms to maintain its existing servicing portfolio and obtain future servicing opportunities could have a material and adverse impact on LNR's future cash flows and results of operations, which, in turn, could adversely affect our results of operations if the special servicing portfolio declines more than we projected in our underwriting of the acquisition. Because the right to appoint the special servicer for securitized mortgage loans generally resides with the holder of the "controlling class" position in the relevant trust and may

Table of Contents

migrate to holders of different classes of securities as additional losses are realized, LNR's ability to maintain its existing servicing rights and obtain future servicing opportunities may require, in many cases, the acquisition of additional CMBS securities. Accordingly, LNR's ability to compete effectively may depend, in part, on the availability of additional debt or equity capital to fund these purchases. Additionally, LNR's existing servicing portfolio is subject to "run off," meaning that mortgage loans serviced by it may be prepaid prior to maturity, refinanced with a mortgage not serviced by LNR or liquidated through foreclosure, deed-in-lieu of foreclosure or other liquidation processes or repaid through standard amortization of principal, resulting in lower servicing fees and/or lower returns on the subordinated securities owned by LNR. Improving economic conditions and property prices, and declines in interest rates and greater availability of mortgage financing, can reduce the incidence of assets going into special servicing and reduce LNR's revenues from special servicing, including as a result of lower fees under new arrangements. The fair value of LNR's servicing rights may decrease under the foregoing circumstances, resulting in losses to us.

LNR's conduit operations are subject to volatile market conditions and significant competition. In addition, the conduit business may suffer losses as a result of ineffective or inadequate hedges and credit issues.

LNR's businesses outside of the United States subject it to currency risks. Most of LNR Europe's investments and liabilities are denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars. LNR generally does not hedge currency risk. As a result, unfavorable changes in exchange rates could result in losses independent of the performance of the underlying business.

Upon the consummation of the LNR acquisition, the Company will be operating a special servicer business which has certain unique risks.

In connection with the servicing of specially serviced mortgage loans, a special servicer may, at the direction of the directing certificateholder, generally take actions with respect to the specially serviced mortgage loans that could adversely affect the holders of some or all of the more senior classes of CMBS. We may hold subordinated CMBS and we may or may not be the directing holder in any CMBS transaction in which LNR also acts as special servicer. We may have conflicts of interest in exercising LNR's rights as holder of subordinated classes of CMBS and in owning the entity that also acts as the special servicer for such transactions. It is possible that LNR, acting as the directing certificateholder for a CMBS transaction, may direct special servicer actions that conflict with the interests of certain other classes of the CMBS issued in that transaction. The special servicer is not permitted to take actions that are prohibited by law or that violate the servicing standard or the terms of the applicable CMBS documentation or the applicable mortgage loan documentation and LNR is subject to the risk of claims asserted by mortgage loan borrowers and the holders of other classes of CMBS that it has violated applicable law or, if applicable, the servicing standard and its other obligations under such CMBS documentation or mortgage loan documentation as a result of actions it may take.

We may not realize all of the anticipated benefits of the LNR acquisition or such benefits may take longer to realize than expected.

The success of the LNR acquisition will depend, in part, on our ability to realize the anticipated benefits from successfully integrating LNR's business with ours. The combination of two independent companies is a complex, costly and time-consuming process. As a result, we will be required to devote significant management attention and resources to integrating the business practices and operations of LNR. The integration process may disrupt our business and, if implemented ineffectively, could preclude us from realizing all of the potential benefits we expect to realize with respect to the acquisition. Our failure to meet the challenges involved in integrating successfully our operations and LNR's operations or otherwise to realize the anticipated benefits of the transaction could cause an

Table of Contents

interruption of, or a loss of momentum in, our business and could seriously harm our results of operations. In addition, the overall integration of the two companies may result in material unanticipated problems, expenses, liabilities, competitive responses, loss of business relationships and diversion of management's attention, and may cause our stock price to decline.

In addition, even if our operations and LNR's are integrated successfully, we may not realize the full benefits of the acquisition within the anticipated time frame, or at all.

LNR's business is subject to an evolving regulatory environment that may affect certain aspects of its current business.

The pools of commercial loans in which LNR acquires subordinated securities and for which it acts as special servicer are structures commonly referred to as securitizations. As a result of the dislocation of the credit markets, the securitization industry has crafted and continues to craft proposed changes to securitization practices, including proposed new standard representations and warranties, underwriting guidelines and disclosure guidelines. In addition, the securitization industry is becoming far more regulated. For example, pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ("the Dodd-Frank Act") various federal agencies are in the process of promulgating regulations with respect to various issues that affect securitizations, including (1) a requirement under the Dodd-Frank Act that issuers in securitizations retain 5% of the risk associated with the securities, (2) requirements for additional disclosure, (3) requirements for additional review and reporting and (4) a possible requirement that a portion of potential profit that would be realized on the securitization must be deposited in a reserve account and used as additional credit support for the related commercial mortgage backed securities until the loans are repaid. The regulations ultimately adopted will take effect over the next few years, with the risk-retention regulations primarily taking effect in 2013. Certain proposed regulations, if adopted, could alter the structure of securitizations in the future and could pose additional risks to our participation in future securitizations or could reduce or eliminate the economic incentives of participating in future securitizations.

If financing for the acquisition of LNR becomes unavailable, we may be forced to liquidate other assets to pay for the acquisition or may be unable to close the acquisition.

We intend to finance a portion of the purchase price for the LNR acquisition with equity or debt financing. In connection with entering into the purchase agreement, we entered into a debt commitment letter with Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Credit Suisse AG and Citigroup Global Markets Inc. providing for a senior secured bridge facility in an aggregate amount of up to \$300 million. There are a number of conditions in the debt commitment letter that must be satisfied or waived in order for closing of the debt financing to occur, and there is a risk these conditions will not be satisfied. In the event that the financing contemplated by the debt commitment letter or other suitable equity or debt financing is not available to us, we may be required to obtain alternative financing on terms that are less favorable to us than those in the debt commitment letter. If other financing becomes necessary and we are unable to secure such other financing on acceptable terms, we may be forced to liquidate other assets in order to pay the purchase price of the acquisition, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition, or we may be unable to close the acquisition at all.

Table of Contents

Failure to complete the acquisition of LNR could negatively impact our stock price and future business and financial results.

If the acquisition of LNR is not completed, we may be adversely affected and we will be subject to several risks and consequences, including the following:

following the execution of the purchase agreement, we paid a \$50 million deposit and, if the closing has not occurred by April 1, 2013, we will be required to pay an additional \$25 million deposit and an interest charge will accrue on the amount of the purchase price that we pay for the acquisition. To the extent the transaction is not completed due to an uncured breach of the purchase agreement by us, we will not recover any deposits or interest paid with respect to the transaction;

we will be required to pay certain costs relating to the acquisition, whether or not the acquisition is completed, such as legal, accounting and financial advisor fees; and

matters relating to the acquisition may require substantial commitments of time and resources by our management team, which could otherwise have been devoted to other opportunities that may have been beneficial to us.

In addition, if the acquisition is not completed, we may experience negative reactions from the financial markets and from our employees. We also could be subject in some circumstances to stockholder or other litigation relating to the failure to complete the acquisition, as well as proceedings by the sellers to seek specific performance of our obligations under the purchase agreement or to recover damages for any breach by us of the purchase agreement.

We anticipate that we will acquire "taxable mortgage pools" in the acquisition of LNR, which may increase the taxes that we or our stockholders incur.

We anticipate that we will acquire the equity interests in certain taxable mortgage pools in the acquisition of LNR. Certain categories of stockholders, such as foreign stockholders eligible for treaty or other benefits, stockholders with net operating losses, and certain tax-exempt stockholders that are subject to unrelated business income tax, could be subject to increased taxes on a portion of their dividend income from us that is attributable to such taxable mortgage pools. In addition, to the extent that our stock is owned by tax-exempt "disqualified organizations," such as certain government-related entities and charitable remainder trusts that are not subject to tax on unrelated business income, we may incur a corporate level tax on a portion of our income from such taxable mortgage pools. In that case, we may reduce the amount of our distributions to any disqualified organization whose stock ownership gave rise to the tax.

We anticipate that many of the assets that we acquire in the acquisition of LNR will be acquired by, or will be ownership interests in, entities subject to entity level or foreign taxes, which cannot be passed through to, or used by, our stockholders to reduce taxes they owe.

We anticipate that most of the assets that we acquire in the acquisition of LNR will be held through a taxable REIT subsidiary, or a TRS, which will be subject to entity level taxes on income that it earns. We anticipate such taxes to materially increase the taxes paid by our TRSs. In addition, we anticipate that certain of the assets that we acquire in the acquisition of LNR will include entities organized or assets located in foreign jurisdictions. Taxes that we or such entities pay in foreign jurisdictions may not be passed through to, or used by, our stockholders as a foreign tax credit or otherwise.

Table of Contents

We may bear the costs of certain pre-closing taxes.

The acquisition of LNR will involve the purchase of the LNR companies, a significant portion of which were historically C corporations for federal income tax purposes, some of which are currently under audit by the Internal Revenue Service. While the sellers of LNR have generally agreed to pay (or indemnify us) for any pre-closing tax liabilities, such indemnity obligations are generally limited to the amount of the purchase price for LNR and, in certain situations, limited to certain maximum amounts with respect to certain LNR entities, as agreed upon by the sellers and us. Furthermore, because any such pre-existing tax liabilities may not be assessed by the federal or state taxing authorities, or may not be settled with such taxing authorities, prior to the release of the escrowed funds to the sellers, there can be no assurance that we will be able to enforce payment or indemnification by the sellers of or with respect to any such pre-closing tax liabilities. While the sponsors of the sellers are providing a limited guarantee on certain pre-closing tax liabilities, such guarantee is limited to certain specified entities and certain specified amounts, as agreed to between us, the sellers and such sponsors. Accordingly, such LNR companies may become liable for pre-closing taxes, which pre-closing taxes may, in the event of an inability to enforce the indemnity or in the event of a tax liability in excess of the agreed upon caps on such liabilities, be borne by us.

Our financial statement presentation will change materially as a result of our acquisition of LNR as we will consolidate the assets and liabilities of CMBS pools in which LNR owns the controlling class of subordinated securities and is considered the "primary beneficiary."

Upon our acquisition of LNR, we will be required to consolidate the assets and liabilities of certain CMBS pools in which LNR owns the controlling class of subordinated securities into our financial statements, even though the value of the subordinated securities may represent a small interest relative to the size of the pool. Under GAAP, companies are required to consolidate variable interest entities ("VIEs") in which they are determined to be the primary beneficiary. A VIE must be consolidated only by its primary beneficiary, which is defined as the party who, along with its affiliates and agents, has a potentially significant interest in the entity and controls the entity's significant decisions. As a result of the foregoing, our financial statements may be more complex and more difficult to understand than if we did not consolidate the CMBS pools.

Recent dislocations, illiquidity and volatility in the market for commercial real estate as well as the broader financial markets have and may continue to adversely affect the performance and value of commercial mortgage loans, the demand for CMBS and the value of CMBS investments.

In recent years, the real estate and securitization markets, as well as global financial markets and the economy generally, have experienced significant dislocations, illiquidity and volatility. While the United States economy may technically be out of the recession, any recovery could be fragile and may not be sustainable for any specific period of time. In particular, the pace of progress, or the lack of progress, of federal deficit reduction talks in the United States may cause continued volatility. Furthermore, many state and local governments in the United States are experiencing, and are expected to continue to experience, severe budgetary constraints. Recently enacted financial reform legislation in the United States could also adversely affect the availability of credit for commercial real estate. Further, the global financial markets have recently experienced increased volatility due to uncertainty surrounding the level and sustainability of the sovereign debt of various countries. We cannot assure you that dislocations in the commercial mortgage loan market will not continue to occur or become more severe.

The downturn in the general economy has affected the financial strength of many commercial, multi-family and other tenants and has resulted in increased rent delinquencies and decreased occupancy. Any continued downturn may lead to decreased occupancy, decreased rents or other

Table of Contents

declines in income from, or the value of, commercial, multi-family and manufactured housing community real estate.

Declining commercial real estate values caused by the economic downturn, coupled with diminished availability of leverage and/or refinancing opportunities for commercial mortgage loans and the tightening by commercial real estate lenders of underwriting standards, have prevented many commercial borrowers from refinancing their mortgages, which has resulted in increased delinquencies and defaults on commercial, multi-family and other mortgage loans. Declines in commercial real estate values have also resulted in reduced borrower equity, further hindering borrowers' ability to refinance in an environment of increasingly restrictive lending standards and giving them less incentive to cure delinquencies and avoid foreclosure. The lack of refinancing opportunities has impacted and is expected to continue to impact, in particular, mortgage loans that do not fully amortize and on which there is a substantial balloon payment due at maturity, because borrowers generally expect to refinance these types of loans on or prior to their maturity date. There is a substantial amount of U.S. mortgage loans with balloon payment obligations in excess of their respective current property values that are maturing over the coming three years. Finally, declining commercial real estate values and the associated increases in loan-to-value ratios result in lower recoveries on foreclosure and an increase in losses above those that would have been realized had commercial property values remained the same or continued to increase. Continuing defaults, delinquencies and losses will further decrease property values, thereby resulting in additional defaults by commercial mortgage borrowers, further credit constraints and further declines in property values.

In addition to credit factors directly affecting CMBS, the continuing fallout from a downturn in the RMBS market and markets for other asset-backed and structured products has also affected the CMBS market by contributing to a decline in the market value and liquidity of securitized investments such as CMBS, even if such CMBS are performing as expected. All of these factors may impact the demand for CMBS and the value of CMBS investments, especially subordinated classes of CMBS.

LNR's business includes investment in subordinated CMBS. The risks of investment in CMBS are magnified in LNR's case, where the principal payments received by the CMBS trust are made in priority to the higher rated securities.

CMBS are subject to the various risks which relate to the pool of underlying commercial mortgage loans and any other assets in which the CMBS represents an interest. In addition, CMBS are subject to additional risks arising from the geographic, property type and other types of concentrations in the pool of underlying commercial mortgage loans which magnify the risks associated with a particular geographic region, property type or other loan characteristic. In the event of defaults on the mortgages in the CMBS trusts, LNR will bear a risk of loss on its related CMBS to the extent of deficiencies between the value of the collateral and the principal, accrued interest and unpaid fees and expenses on the mortgage loans, which may be offset to some extent by the special servicing fees received by LNR on those mortgage loans. The yield to maturity on the CMBS will depend largely upon the price paid for the CMBS, which are generally sold at a discount at issuance and trade at even steeper discounts in the secondary markets. Further, the yield to maturity on CMBS will depend, in significant part, upon the rate and timing of principal payments on the underlying mortgage loans, including both voluntary prepayments, if permitted, and involuntary prepayments, such as prepayments resulting from casualty or condemnation, defaults and liquidations or repurchases upon breaches of representations and warranties or document defects. Any changes in the weighted average lives of CMBS may adversely affect yield on the CMBS. Prepayments resulting in a shortening of weighted average lives of CMBS may be made at a time of low interest rates when we may be unable to reinvest the resulting payment of principal on the CMBS at a rate comparable to that being earned on the CMBS, while delays and extensions resulting in a lengthening of those weighted average lives may occur at a time of high interest rates when we may have been able to reinvest scheduled principal payments at higher rates.

Table of Contents

The exercise of remedies and successful realization of liquidation proceeds relating to commercial mortgage loans underlying CMBS may be highly dependent on the performance of LNR as special servicer. LNR attempts to underwrite investments on a "loss-adjusted" basis, which projects a certain level of performance. However, there can be no assurance that this underwriting will accurately predict the timing or magnitude of such losses. To the extent that this underwriting has incorrectly anticipated the timing or magnitude of losses, our business may be adversely affected. Some of the mortgage loans underlying the CMBS are already in default and additional loans may default in the future. In the case of such defaults, cash flows of CMBS investments held by LNR may be adversely affected as any reduction in the mortgage payments or principal losses on liquidation of any mortgage loan may be applied to the class of CMBS securities relating to such defaulted loans that LNR holds.

The market value of CMBS could fluctuate materially as a result of various risks that are out of our control and may result in significant losses.

The market value of CMBS investments could fluctuate materially over time as the result of changes in mortgage spreads, treasury bond interest rates, capital market supply and demand factors, and many other factors that affect high-yield fixed income products. These factors are out of our control and could impair LNR's ability to obtain short-term financing on the CMBS. CMBS investments, especially subordinated classes of CMBS, may have no, or only a limited, trading market. The financial markets in the past have experienced and could in the future experience a period of volatility and reduced liquidity which may reoccur or continue and reduce the market value of CMBS. Some or all of the CMBS, especially subordinated classes of CMBS, may be subject to restrictions on transfer and may be considered illiquid.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties.

The Company's headquarters are located in Greenwich, Connecticut at 591 West Putnam Avenue in office space leased by our Manager.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

Currently, no legal proceedings are pending, threatened or, to our knowledge, contemplated against us.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.****Market Information and Dividends**

The Company's common stock has been listed on the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE") and is traded under the symbol "STWD" since its initial public offering in August 2009. The following table below sets forth the quarterly high and low prices for our common stock as reported by the NYSE, and dividends made by the Company to holders of the Company's common stock for each quarter for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

| 2012 | High | Low | Dividend |
|----------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|
| First quarter | \$ 21.79 | \$ 18.46 | \$ 0.44(1) |
| Second quarter | \$ 21.42 | \$ 19.40 | \$ 0.44(2) |
| Third quarter | \$ 24.56 | \$ 21.09 | \$ 0.44(3) |
| Fourth quarter | \$ 23.96 | \$ 21.09 | \$ 0.54(4) |

| 2011 | High | Low | Dividend |
|----------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|
| First quarter | \$ 23.67 | \$ 21.12 | \$ 0.42(5) |
| Second quarter | \$ 22.95 | \$ 19.82 | \$ 0.44(6) |
| Third quarter | \$ 21.25 | \$ 16.58 | \$ 0.44(7) |
| Fourth quarter | \$ 19.32 | \$ 15.89 | \$ 0.44(8) |

- (1) Our board of directors declared a dividend to stockholders of record on March 30, 2012 which was paid on April 13, 2012.
- (2) Our board of directors declared a dividend to stockholders of record on June 29, 2012, which was paid on July 13, 2012.
- (3) Our board of directors declared a dividend to stockholders of record on September 28, 2012, which was paid on October 15, 2012.
- (4) Our board of directors declared a dividend to stockholders of record on December 31, 2012, which was paid on January 15, 2013. This includes the extraordinary dividend of \$0.10 per share of common stock declared on December 13, 2012.
- (5) Our board of directors declared a dividend to stockholders of record on March 31, 2011 which was paid on April 15, 2011.
- (6) Our board of directors declared a dividend to stockholders of record on June 30, 2011, which was paid on July 15, 2011.
- (7) Our board of directors declared a dividend to stockholders of record on September 30, 2011, which was paid on October 14, 2011.
- (8) Our board of directors declared a dividend to stockholders of record on December 31, 2011, which was paid on January 13, 2012.

On February 27, 2013, our board of directors declared a dividend of \$0.44 per share for the period ended March 31, 2013, which dividend is payable on April 15, 2013 to common stockholders of record as of March 29, 2013.

On February 25, 2013, the closing sale price of the common stock, as reported by the NYSE was \$25.98 per share.

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

We intend to make regular quarterly distributions to holders of our common stock and distribution equivalents to holders of restricted stock units which are settled in shares of common stock. U.S. federal income tax law generally requires that a REIT distribute annually at least 90% of its REIT taxable income, without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding net capital gains, and that it pay tax at regular corporate rates to the extent that it annually distributes less than 100% of its net taxable income. We generally intend over time to pay quarterly distributions in an amount equal to our taxable income.

Table of Contents

Holders

As of February 26, 2013, there were eighteen holders of record of the Company's 136,125,356 shares of common stock issued and 135,499,506 shares of common stock outstanding. The fourteen holders of record include Cede & Co., which holds shares as nominee for The Depository Trust Company which itself holds shares on behalf of the beneficial owners of our common stock.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The information required by this item is set forth under Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and is incorporated herein by reference.

Stock Performance Graph

CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN
Based upon initial investment of \$100 on August 11, 2009(1)

| | Starwood Property Trust | S&P © 500 | Bloomberg REIT Mortgage Index |
|----------|--|----------------------|--|
| 8/11/09 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| 9/30/09 | 101.30 | 106.31 | 107.60 |
| 12/31/09 | 95.00 | 112.14 | 101.07 |
| 3/31/10 | 98.17 | 117.61 | 102.23 |
| 6/30/10 | 87.49 | 103.66 | 99.94 |
| 9/31/10 | 104.26 | 114.77 | 103.09 |
| 12/31/10 | 114.80 | 126.48 | 110.27 |
| 3/31/11 | 121.43 | 133.34 | 109.82 |
| 6/30/11 | 114.07 | 132.81 | 108.58 |
| 9/30/11 | 97.88 | 113.78 | 94.75 |
| 12/31/11 | 108.80 | 126.47 | 94.39 |
| 3/31/12 | 125.29 | 141.65 | 99.88 |
| 6/30/12 | 129.64 | 136.99 | 104.36 |
| 9/30/12 | 144.24 | 144.89 | 109.91 |

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|----------|--------|--------|-------|
| 12/31/12 | 145.66 | 143.43 | 98.52 |
|----------|--------|--------|-------|

(1)

Dividend reinvestment is assumed at quarter end.

Table of Contents**Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

| | Total number of shares purchased | Average price paid per share | Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs | Expired portion of program (\$000's omitted) |
|--|--|---------------------------------|---|--|
| October 1, 2011 to October 31, 2011 | 283,850 | \$ 16.42 | 283,850 | \$ 89,358(1) |
| November 1, 2011 to November 30, 2011 | | | | 89,358(1) |
| December 1, 2011 to December 31, 2011 | | | | 89,358(1) |
| Total | 283,850 | \$ 16.42 | 283,850 | \$ 89,358(1) |

(1)

Pursuant to the \$100 million stock repurchase program authorized and announced by our board of directors in August 2011, the Company repurchased a total of 342,000 shares for a total of \$6.0 million in August 2011. The share repurchase program expired in August 2012.

A \$50 million stock repurchase program authorized and announced by our board of directors in June 2010 for a period of one year expired in June 2011. No stock was repurchased under this program prior to expiration. There were no unregistered sales of securities during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The following selected financial data should be read in conjunction with Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," and our consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto, included elsewhere herein. All amounts are in thousands, except share and per share data.

| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2012 | For the Year Ended December 31, 2011 | For the Year Ended December 31, 2010 | For the Period from Inception through December 31, 2009 |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| Operating Data: | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 306,980 | \$ 204,973 | \$ 93,524 | \$ 6,927 |
| Interest expense | (47,125) | (28,782) | (15,788) | (1,904) |
| Net interest margin | 259,855 | 176,191 | 77,736 | 5,023 |
| Total operating expenses | (75,393) | (50,920) | (30,052) | (9,286) |
| Net income | 203,682 | 120,608 | 58,842 | (2,580) |
| Net income attributable to Starwood Property Trust, Inc. | 201,195 | 119,377 | 57,046 | (3,017) |
| Net income per share of common stock: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.76 | \$ 1.38 | \$ 1.16 | (0.06) |
| Diluted | \$ 1.76 | \$ 1.38 | \$ 1.14 | (0.06) |
| Dividends declared per share of common stock | \$ 1.86 | \$ 1.74 | \$ 1.20 | 0.11 |
| Weighted-average shares of common stock outstanding: | | | | |

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Basic | 113,721,070 | 84,974,604 | 49,138,720 | 47,575,634 |
| Diluted | 114,663,183 | 86,409,327 | 50,021,824 | 47,575,634 |
| Balance Sheet Data: | | | | |
| Investments in securities | \$ 862,587 | \$ 341,734 | \$ 397,680 | \$ 245,896 |
| Investments in loans | 3,000,335 | 2,447,508 | 1,425,243 | 214,521 |
| Total assets | 4,324,373 | 2,997,447 | 2,101,405 | 1,108,786 |
| Total financing arrangements | 1,393,705 | 1,156,716 | 633,745 | 171,394 |
| Total liabilities | 1,527,168 | 1,232,300 | 764,176 | 212,751 |
| Total Starwood Property Trust, Inc. Stockholders' Equity | 2,719,346 | 1,759,488 | 1,327,560 | 887,967 |
| Total Equity | 2,797,205 | 1,765,147 | 1,337,229 | 896,035 |
| | 55 | | | |

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Overview

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. (together with its subsidiaries, "we" or the "Company") is a Maryland corporation that commenced operations on August 17, 2009 ("Inception") upon the completion of its initial public offering ("IPO"). We are focused on originating, investing in, financing and managing commercial mortgage loans and other commercial real estate debt investments, commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS"), and other commercial real estate-related debt investments. We collectively refer to commercial mortgage loans, other commercial real estate debt investments, CMBS, and other commercial real estate-related debt investments as our target assets. We also invest in residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS") and residential REO, and may invest in distressed or non-performing loans, commercial properties subject to net leases and residential mortgage loans. As market conditions change over time, we may adjust our strategy to take advantage of changes in interest rates and credit spreads as well as economic and credit conditions.

Our objective is to provide attractive risk-adjusted global returns to our investors over the long term, primarily through dividends and secondarily through capital appreciation. We employ leverage, to the extent available, to fund the acquisition of our target assets and to increase potential returns to our stockholders. In order to achieve these objectives, we are focusing on asset selection and the relative value of various sectors within the debt market to construct a diversified investment portfolio designed to produce attractive returns across a variety of market conditions and economic cycles. We are organized as a holding company that conducts its business primarily through its various subsidiaries.

Since the closing of our initial public offering in August 2009, we have focused primarily on opportunities that exist in the U.S. commercial mortgage loan, commercial real estate debt, CMBS and RMBS markets, and residential REO. As market conditions change over time, we may adjust our strategy to take advantage of changes in interest rates and credit spreads as well as economic and credit conditions. We believe that the diversification of our portfolio of assets, our expertise among the target asset classes, and the flexibility of our strategy will position us to generate attractive risk-adjusted returns for our stockholders in a variety of assets and market conditions.

On January 23, 2013, we entered into a Unit Purchase Agreement with LNR, Aozora Investments LLC, CBR I LLC, iStar Marlin LLC, Opps VIIb LProp, L.P., and VNO LNR Holdco LLC, pursuant to which the Company agreed to acquire all the outstanding equity interests of LNR. LNR is a diversified real estate investment, management, finance and development company whose principal line of business is serving as a special servicer for CMBS transactions. The acquisition of LNR has not yet closed, and accordingly this Annual Report on Form 10-K and the consolidated financial statements included herein do not reflect the results of LNR's business.

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes, commencing with our initial taxable year ended December 31, 2009. We also operate our business in a manner that will permit us to maintain our exemption from registration under the 1940 Act.

Recent Developments

Three months ended March 31, 2012

Sold 50% of our Euro-denominated loan to a strategic partner, which resulted in proceeds of \$28.8 million and a realized loss of \$2.1 million. However, the transactions were earnings neutral after considering the realized gains on currency hedges of \$2.1 million that were terminated in connection with the sale.

Acquired \$95.4 million of GBP-denominated B-Notes secured by four resorts in the United Kingdom. The newly issued B-Notes are part of an overall corporate refinancing in which we had a \$143.9 million pre-existing GBP-denominated investment. The pre-existing investment was

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

originally purchased at a discount and, due to the early prepayment; we recognized additional income of approximately \$12.2 million during the first quarter of 2012, which is considered to be a non-recurring event.

Acquired \$222.8 million of CMBS at a discounted price of \$206.4 million, where the obligors are certain special purpose entities that were formed to hold substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties. The acquisition was financed using a new \$155.4 million facility provided by the seller.

Originated a \$40.0 million mezzanine loan secured by a 10-property portfolio of full-service and extended-stay hotels located in eight different states.

Our subsidiary extended the maturity date of its \$100 million master repurchase and securities contract with an affiliate of Wells Fargo Securities, LLC used to finance the acquisition and ownership of RMBS, from March 16, 2012 to March 15, 2013. Advances under the facility accrue interest at a per annum interest rate equal to the sum of (i) 30-day LIBOR plus (ii) a margin of 2.10%. We have guaranteed the obligations of our subsidiary under the facility. The facility and related guarantee contain various affirmative and negative covenants applicable to us that are similar in nature to covenants contained in our other financing agreements.

Acquired a \$125.0 million participation in a senior loan secured by all the material assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties for a discounted purchase price of approximately \$115.7 million. The acquisition was financed with an \$81.0 million increase in a financing facility previously provided by the seller.

We, through certain of our subsidiaries, entered into a new \$125.0 million financing facility with an affiliate of Citigroup Global Markets Inc., to finance commercial mortgage loans and senior interests in commercial mortgage loans originated or acquired by us and including loans and interests intended to be included in commercial mortgage loan securitizations as well as those not intended to be securitized. Advances under the facility accrue interest at a per annum interest rate equal to the sum of (i) 30-day LIBOR plus (ii) a margin of between 1.75% and 3.75% depending on (A) asset type, (B) the amount advanced and (C) the debt yield and loan-to-value ratios of the purchased mortgage loan. The facility has an initial maturity date of March 29, 2014, subject to three one-year extension options, which may be exercised by us upon the satisfaction of certain conditions. We have guaranteed the obligations of our subsidiaries under the facility up to a maximum liability of 25% of the then-currently outstanding repurchase price of assets financed there under. The facility and related guarantee contain various affirmative and negative covenants applicable to us that are similar in nature to covenants contained in our other financing agreements.

On February 29, 2012, our board of directors declared a dividend of \$0.44 per share for the first quarter of 2012, which was payable on April 13, 2012 to shareholders of record on March 30, 2012.

Funded a \$59.0 million mortgage loan secured by an office campus located in Northern California. The terms of the loan provide for up to \$4.0 million of future advances upon the satisfaction of specified conditions.

Sold the remainder of our held-for-sale first mortgage loans targeted for securitization. As of December 31, 2011, our net equity investment in these six loans was \$36.5 million and the loans had a carrying value of \$128.6 million. We realized an aggregate profit of approximately \$1.0 million on the held-for-sale loans and associated interest rate hedges.

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Three months ended June 30, 2012

Acquired \$75.6 million of CMBS at a discounted price of \$70.7 million, where the obligors are certain special purpose entities that were formed to hold substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties. The acquisition was partially financed using a \$49.3 million increase in a financing facility previously provided by the seller.

Sold 20,000,000 shares of common stock at a net price of \$19.88 per share, resulting in gross proceeds of \$397.7 million. On April 30, 2012, the underwriters exercised their option to purchase 3,000,000 additional shares of common stock at \$19.88 per share, resulting in additional gross proceeds of \$59.6 million.

Originated a \$73.0 million junior mezzanine loan, of which \$45.0 million was initially funded, collateralized by a portfolio of six office buildings located in Rosslyn, Virginia. The loan provides for up to \$28.0 million in future funding for projected capital improvements and leasing costs. Our junior mezzanine loan was co-originated with a \$125.0 million first mortgage loan and a \$40.0 million senior mezzanine loan, which were separately funded by third party lenders at closing.

Originated a \$170.0 million first mortgage loan on two Class B office buildings located in the SoHo district of Midtown Manhattan. Collectively known as One SoHo Square, the two properties located at 161 Avenue of the Americas and 233 Spring Street comprise over 600,000 square feet of office and retail space, which is currently 96% occupied. The first mortgage loan had an initial funding of \$135.0 million, with \$35.0 million available for future advances to pay for tenant improvements, leasing commissions and redevelopment costs.

Originated an \$11.6 million first mortgage loan collateralized by a collection of office, retail and parking properties in downtown San Diego, California.

On May 8, 2012, our board of directors declared a dividend of \$0.44 per share for the second quarter of 2012, which was payable on July 13, 2012 to common stockholders of record as of June 30, 2012.

On May 24 and June 28, 2012 we acquired 226 and 26 residential real estate owned ("REO") properties from a major bank at a cost of \$24.5 million and \$2.8 million, respectively. Most of the properties were vacant at acquisition, and we are actively preparing the properties to be either rented or sold, as applicable. From the date of acquisition through June 30, 2012, we incurred approximately \$0.3 million in costs of getting the properties ready for their intended use, and such costs were added to our investment basis.

Originated a \$30.0 million mezzanine loan collateralized by an office building in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

During the second quarter 2012 we acquired \$173.0 million of RMBS (face value) at a \$65.2 million discount.

Three months ended September 30, 2012:

On July 3, 2012, we entered into a Purchase and Repurchase Agreement and Securities Contract ("Onewest Repurchase Agreement") with Onewest Bank, FSB ("Onewest"). At closing, we transferred loan investments to Onewest in exchange for a \$78.3 million advance. Borrowings under the Onewest Repurchase Agreement accrue interest at a pricing rate of one-month LIBOR plus a margin of 3.0%. The initial maturity date of the facility is July 3, 2015 with two one-year extension options, subject to certain conditions.

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

On July 6, 2012, we originated a \$51.5 million first mortgage collateralized by three hotels located in North Carolina, New Jersey, and Virginia. The initial term for the loan is two years, with three one-year extension options.

On July 20, 2012, we purchased a 50% undivided participation interest (the "Le Meridien Participation Interest") in a EUR-denominated mezzanine loan for \$68.4 million ("Le Méridien Loan") from an independent third party. The borrower is Starman Luxembourg Holdings S.À R.L. ("Holdings"), an entity that indirectly owns and operates a portfolio of hotels in France and Germany. Holdings is owned 50% by an independent third party and 50% by several private investment funds that were previously sponsored by Starwood Capital Group Global I, L.L.C., an affiliate of our Manager. The Le Méridien Loan has an initial term of two years with an option to extend for an additional year, subject to certain conditions, an interest rate of 12.5%, an upfront fee of 2.0% and a prepayment fee of 1.0%. We acquired the Le Meridien Participation Interest from an independent third party and own the Le Meridien Participation Interest subject to a participation agreement between us and the independent third party (the "Le Meridien Participation Agreement"). The Le Meridien Participation Agreement provides for the payment to us, on a pro rata basis with an independent third party, of customary payments in respect of the Le Meridien Participation Interest and affords us customary voting, approval and consent rights.

On August 3, 2012, Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-10, LLC ("SPM Sub-10") and Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-10A ("SPM Sub 10-A"), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries, jointly entered into a \$250.0 million Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility arranged by Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated ("MLPFS"). Lender participants in the facility include Bank of America, Citibank, Barclays Bank PLC, Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, Goldman Sachs Bank USA, and Stifel Bank & Trust. The facility matures 364 days from closing, and may be extended from time to time, provided the aggregate tenure shall not exceed four years. Outstanding borrowings under the facility will be priced at LIBOR + 325 bps, with an unused fee of 30 to 35 bps per annum depending upon the usage of the facility. The facility will be used primarily to finance our purchase or origination of commercial mortgage loans for the time period between transaction closing and the time in which a financing of the loan can be closed with one of our existing secured warehouse facilities or the loan is sold/syndicated in whole or in part. The term of financing provided under the facility for any individual loan is limited in most instances to the lesser of six months or the maturity of the facility. The facility will be secured by each loan for which financing has been provided as well as at least \$500,000,000 in market value of additional preapproved unencumbered senior, subordinate, and mezzanine loan assets. The facility is full recourse to us.

On August 2, 2012, our board of directors declared a dividend of \$0.44 per share for the third quarter of 2012, which was payable on October 15, 2012 to common stockholders of record on September 28, 2012.

On August 17, 2012, we originated a \$46.0 million first mortgage collateralized by a 315-room Hilton hotel in Rockville, Maryland. The term of the loan is three years, with two one-year extension options.

On August 21, 2012, we acquired a \$250.0 million participation in a mezzanine loan that is secured primarily by indirect equity interests in subsidiaries that own substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts, and timeshare properties. We acquired this investment at a discounted price of \$233.75 million, with \$158.75 million being financed by the seller. The maturity date of the mezzanine loan is November 12, 2012, with three one-year extensions remaining, subject to a 0.5% fee for the second and third remaining extensions. Coincident with this purchase, we sold \$165 million in face value of the securitized first

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

mortgage loan component of the same financing transaction, which resulted in a gain of \$8.2 million. This sale was undertaken to manage our overall credit exposure to the borrower.

On September 18, 2012, we originated a \$61.0 million first mortgage collateralized by two Class B+/A- office buildings located in Glendale, California. The term of the loan is three years, with two one-year extension options.

Three months ended December 31, 2012:

On October 3, 2012, we sold the \$94.5 million A-Note component of a \$135.0 million first mortgage loan on two Class B office buildings located in the SoHo district of Midtown Manhattan. We retained the \$40.5 million B-Note. We originated the first mortgage loan during the second quarter of 2012.

On October 10, 2012, we completed an underwritten public offering of 18,400,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$22.74 for total estimated gross proceeds of approximately \$418.4 million. In addition, on October 10, 2012 we granted 875,000 restricted stock units with a fair value of approximately \$19.9 million at the grant date to our Manager under the Manager Equity Plan. This award will vest ratably in quarterly installments over a three-year period beginning on December 31, 2012, subject to the Manager's continued service as our manager.

On October 9, 2012, we purchased a \$25.0 million participation in a mezzanine loan secured by 517 owned and ground leased hotel properties comprising approximately 59,423 keys.

On October 16, 2012, through a newly-formed venture with Starwood Distressed Opportunity Fund IX ("Fund IX"), an affiliate of our Manager, we co-originated a \$475 million first mortgage and mezzanine financing for the acquisition and redevelopment of a 10-story retail building located at 701 Seventh Avenue in the Times Square area of Manhattan. Of the total loan amount, \$375 million was funded at closing, \$281.2 million of which was funded by us and \$93.8 million that was funded by Fund IX. In addition, \$100 million will be funded upon reaching certain milestones during the transformation of the property. On October 22, 2012, the venture sold a 25 percent participation in both the first mortgage and mezzanine loan to Vornado Realty Trust ("Vornado"). Upon settling this sale, the Company, Starwood Distressed Opportunity Fund IX, and Vornado had funded \$210.9 million, \$70.3 million and \$93.8 million, respectively, and each party will fund their pro rata share of any future fundings. Following the sale to Vornado, the Starwood entities retained the controlling position in both the first mortgage and mezzanine loans.

On October 26, 2012, we originated a \$126 million first mortgage secured by a 25 story Class A office tower located at 100 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA. The loan has an initial funding of \$115.5 million with a future funding obligation of \$10.5 million for tenant improvements and leasing commissions. The loan bears interest at one-month LIBOR plus 3.95%, subject to a 0.25% LIBOR floor. The loan has a three-year term with two one-year extensions, subject to certain conditions.

On November 5, 2012, we purchased the senior participation in a whole loan secured by an office building located in Washington DC for \$45.6 million. The loan has a three-year term with two one-year extensions.

On November 6, 2012 our board of directors declared a dividend of \$0.44 per share for the fourth quarter of 2012, which is payable on January 15, 2013 to common stockholders of record on December 31, 2012.

On December 13th, 2012, our board of directors declared an extraordinary dividend of \$0.10 per share, which is payable on January 15, 2013 to common stockholders of record on December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

On November 8, 2012, we purchased \$67.0 million worth of non-performing residential loans.

On November 13, 2012, we originated a \$51.0 million floating rate first mortgage collateralized by an office complex located in Dallas, TX. The loan has a three-year term with two one-year extensions.

On December 5, 2012, we originated a \$25.5 first mortgage secured by the fee interests in an office building located in Austin, Texas. The loan has a three-year term with two one-year extensions.

On December 6, 2012, we originated a \$40.3 million first mortgage loan on a partial fee simple interest and leasehold interest in a Class B+ office building in San Diego, CA. The loan has a three-year term with two one-year extensions.

On December 7, 2012, we originated a \$34.5 first mortgage on a life style retail center on 83 acres in Albuquerque, NM. The loan has a three-year term with two one-year extensions.

On December 10, 2012, originated a \$20.0 first mortgage on a 22-story mixed-use hotel and condo project in downtown Charlotte, NC. The loan has a three-year term with two one-year extensions.

On December 14, 2012, we purchased a \$25.0 million participation in a mezzanine loan secured by a portfolio of 680 lodging facilities.

On December 28, 2012, we originated a \$36.6 million floating rate first mortgage for the refinancing of a data center located in Orlando, FL. The loan has a three-year term with two one-year extensions.

On December 28, 2012, we co-originated a \$48.4 million junior mezzanine loan secured by a portfolio of luxury hotels in Europe.

Refer to Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements for disclosure regarding significant transactions that occurred subsequent to December 31, 2012.

Business Objectives and Outlook

Our objective is to provide attractive risk adjusted returns to our investors over the long-term, primarily through dividends and secondarily through capital appreciation. We intend to achieve this objective by originating and acquiring target assets to create a diversified investment portfolio that is financed in a manner that is designed to deliver attractive returns across a variety of market conditions and economic cycles. We are focused on our three core competencies: transaction access, asset analysis and selection, and identification of attractive relative values within the real estate debt and equity markets.

In the initial 18 months following our initial public offering in August 2009, we capitalized on the dislocation in the credit markets and depressed levels of available capital by acquiring real estate debt assets from distressed sellers at historically high risk-adjusted returns, and to a lesser extent by originating new loans in a marketplace with lower levels of competition. As the real estate and capital markets have recovered over the past two years, we have evolved from a company focused on opportunistic acquisitions to that of a full-service commercial real estate finance platform that is primarily focused on the origination of real estate debt investments across the capital structure, in both the U.S. and Europe. With the STWD brand, market presence, and lending/asset management platform that we have developed, along with the capabilities, business lines, and additional infrastructure that will be provided through consummation of our pending acquisition of LNR, we intend to focus primarily on the following opportunities:

- 1) Expand our investment activities in subordinate CMBS and revenues from special servicing through our LNR acquisition;

Table of Contents

- 2) Expand our presence in the medium-sized commercial real estate lending market (loans in the \$10 million to \$40 million range) by leveraging LNR's sourcing and credit underwriting capabilities. This will significantly expand our overall footprint in the commercial real estate debt markets;
- 3) Continue to expand our market presence as a leading provider of acquisition, refinance, development and expansion capital to large real estate projects (greater than \$75 million) in infill locations, and other attractive market niches where our size and scale give us an advantage to provide a "one-stop" lending solution for real estate developers, owners and operators;
- 4) Continue to expand our opportunistic acquisition of residential real estate and non-performing residential loans, with the objective of providing attractive risk-adjusted returns from net rental operating income, short-term gains from select loan and property sales, and long-term asset appreciation; and
- 5) Continue to expand our capabilities in syndication and securitization, which serve as a source of attractively priced, matched-term financing.

There can be no assurance that the recovery will continue or that we will be able to find appropriate investment opportunities.

Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP, which requires the use of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We believe that all of the decisions and assessments upon which our financial statements are based were reasonable at the time made, based upon information available to us at that time. In accordance with SEC guidance, the following discussion describes the accounting policies that apply to our operations that we believe to be most critical to an investor's understanding of our financial results and condition and require complex management judgment and the use of estimates. This summary should be read in conjunction with a more complete discussion of our accounting policies included in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in this report.

Classification and Impairment Evaluation of Investment Securities

Our MBS investments consist primarily of commercial real estate debt instruments, CMBS, and RMBS that we classify as available-for-sale. Investments classified as available-for-sale are carried at their fair value, with changes in fair value recorded through accumulated other comprehensive income, a component of stockholders' equity, rather than through earnings. We do not hold any of our investment securities for trading purposes.

When the estimated fair value of a security is less than amortized cost, we consider whether there is an other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") in the value of the security. An impairment is deemed an OTTI if (i) we intend to sell the security, (ii) it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovering our cost basis, or (iii) we do not expect to recover our cost basis even if we do not intend to sell the security or believe it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovering our cost basis. If the impairment is deemed to be an OTTI, the resulting accounting treatment depends on the factors causing the OTTI. If the OTTI has resulted from (i) our intention to sell the security or (ii) our judgment that it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovering our cost basis, an impairment loss is recognized in current earnings equal to the difference between our amortized cost basis and fair value. Whereas, if the OTTI has resulted from our conclusion that we will not recover our cost basis even if we do not intend to sell the security or believe it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell

Table of Contents

the security before recovering our cost basis, the credit loss portion of the impairment is recorded in current earnings and the portion of the loss related to other factors, such as changes in interest rates, continues to be recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income. Determining whether there is an OTTI may require management to exercise significant judgment and make significant assumptions, including, but not limited to, estimated cash flows, estimated prepayments, loss assumptions, and assumptions regarding changes in interest rates. As a result, actual impairment losses could differ from reported amounts. Such judgments and assumptions are based upon a number of factors, including (i) credit of the issuer or the borrower, (ii) credit rating of the security, (iii) key terms of the security, (iv) performance of the loan or underlying loans, including debt service coverage and loan-to-value ratios, (v) the value of the collateral for the loan or underlying loans, (vi) the effect of local, industry, and broader economic factors, and (vii) the historical and anticipated trends in defaults and loss severities for similar securities.

Loan Impairment

We evaluate each loan classified as held-for-investment for impairment at least quarterly. Impairment occurs when it is deemed probable that we will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan. If a loan is considered to be impaired, we record an allowance to reduce the carrying value of the loan to the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's contractual effective rate or the fair value of the collateral, if repayment is expected solely from the collateral.

Our loans are typically collateralized by real estate. As a result, we regularly evaluate the extent and impact of any credit deterioration associated with the performance and/or value of the underlying collateral property as well as the financial and operating capability of the borrower/sponsor on a loan by loan basis. Specifically, a property's operating results and any cash reserves are analyzed and used to assess (i) whether cash from operations are sufficient to cover the debt service requirements currently and into the future, (ii) the ability of the borrower to refinance the loan, and/or (iii) the property's liquidation value. The Company also evaluates the financial wherewithal of any loan guarantors as well as the borrower's competency in managing and operating the properties. In addition, the Company considers the overall economic environment, real estate sector, and geographic sub-market in which the borrower operates. Such impairment analyses are completed and reviewed by asset management and finance personnel, who utilize various data sources, including (i) periodic financial data such as property occupancy, tenant profile, rental rates, operating expenses, the borrower's exit plan, and capitalization and discount rates, (ii) site inspections, and (iii) current credit spreads and discussions with market participants.

Significant judgment is required when evaluating loans for impairment, therefore, actual results over time could be materially different.

Valuation of Financial Instruments

GAAP establishes a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on the observability of inputs utilized in measuring financial instruments at fair values. GAAP establishes market based or observable inputs as the preferred source of values, followed by valuation models using management assumptions in the absence of market inputs. The three levels of the hierarchy under GAAP are described below:

Level I Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Table of Contents

Level II Prices are determined using other significant observable inputs. Observable inputs are inputs that other market participants would use in pricing a security. These may include quoted prices for similar securities, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk and others.

Level III Prices are determined using significant unobservable inputs. In situations where quoted prices or observable inputs are unavailable (for example, when there is little or no market activity for an investment at the end of the period) unobservable inputs may be used.

Unobservable inputs reflect our own assumptions about the factors that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability, and would be based on the best information available.

Any changes to the valuation methodology are reviewed by management to ensure the changes are appropriate. The methods we use may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, while we anticipate that our valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies, or assumptions, to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date. We use inputs that are current as of the measurement date, which may include periods of market dislocation, during which price transparency may be reduced.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

As required by GAAP, the Company records all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether the Company has elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and apply hedge accounting and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Derivatives may also be designated as hedges of the foreign currency exposure of a net investment in a foreign operation. Hedge accounting generally provides for the matching of the timing of gain or loss recognition on the hedging instrument with the recognition of the changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability that are attributable to the hedged risk in a fair value hedge or the earnings effect of the hedged forecasted transactions in a cash flow hedge. We may enter into derivative contracts that are intended to economically hedge certain of our risks, even though hedge accounting does not apply or we do not elect to apply hedge accounting. The designation of derivative contracts as hedges, the measurement of their effectiveness, and the estimate of the fair value of the contracts all may involve significant judgments by our management, and changes to those judgments could significantly impact our reported results of operations.

Income Taxes

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code for federal income tax purposes. We generally must distribute annually at least 90% of our taxable income, subject to certain adjustments and excluding any net capital gain, in order for federal corporate income tax not to apply to our earnings that we distribute. To the extent that we satisfy this distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our taxable income, we will be subject to federal corporate income tax on our undistributed taxable income. Our qualification as a REIT also depends on our ability to meet various other requirements imposed by the Code, which relate to organizational structure, diversity of stock ownership and certain restrictions with regard to owned assets and categories of income. Many of the REIT requirements are highly technical and complex, and if we fail to qualify as a REIT we would be subject to United States federal corporate income tax on our taxable income.

Table of Contents

The Company formed several TRSs since 2010 to reduce the impact of the prohibited transaction tax and to avoid penalty for the holding of assets not qualifying as real estate assets for purposes of the REIT asset tests. Any income associated with a TRS is fully taxable because a TRS is subject to federal and state income taxes as a domestic C corporation based upon its taxable income.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2011, the FASB issued amended guidance which will enhance disclosures required by U.S. GAAP by requiring improved information about financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either (1) offset or (2) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement, irrespective of whether they are offset. This information will enable users of an entity's financial statements to evaluate the effect or potential effect of netting arrangements on an entity's financial position, including the effect or potential effect of rights of setoff associated with certain financial instruments and derivative instruments. An entity is required to apply the amendments for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. An entity should provide the disclosures required by those amendments retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. We are in the process of evaluating the impact that this guidance will have on the consolidated financial statement disclosures.

Results of Operations

Net income attributable to Starwood Property Trust, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2012 was approximately \$201.2 million or \$1.76 per weighted-average share of basic common stock (\$1.76 diluted), compared to the year ended December 31, 2011 when net income was \$119.4 million or \$1.38 per weighted-average share of basic common stock (\$1.38 diluted). For the year ended December 31, 2012, net interest margin increased \$83.7 million from the prior year, resulting from increases in interest income of \$102.0 million and interest expense of \$18.3 million. The increase in net interest margin is primarily due to increased investment activity. From December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012, the carrying value of our investments in loans increased a net \$552.8 million, other investments increased a net \$177.6 million and MBS securities increased by \$520.9 million. The increase in interest expense resulted primarily from the five new financing facilities entered into since December 31, 2011, with a resulting increase in the total secured financing balance outstanding of \$202.3 million. As of December 31, 2012, we expect our target portfolio of investments to generate a weighted-average levered return of between 11.7% and 12.2% (annually compounded). The weighted-average cost of the secured financings was 3.6%, including the impact of interest rate hedges.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, non-investment expenses increased by \$22.4 million from the prior year. The year over year increases were primarily due to increases in the base management fee of \$9.1 million, management incentive fee of \$6.7 million, acquisition and investment pursuit costs of \$1.7 million and general and administrative costs of \$2.7 million. The increase in the base management fee was primarily due to our supplemental equity raises in April 2012 and October 2012 with net proceeds of \$873.3 million. In connection with the October 2012 supplemental equity raise, our Manager was granted an additional 875,000 restricted stock units, resulting in higher stock compensation expense in the subsequent periods. The increase in acquisition and investment pursuit costs and general and administrative expenses are primarily attributed to the Company's increased size, as well as the volume of transactions and the increase in professional fees such as legal, audit and consulting, resulting from the growing investment portfolio.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, we had realized gains from the sale of investments of \$25.5 million, of which \$17.3 million related to the sale of MBS securities and other investments and \$8.2 million related to the sale of loans. For the year ended December 31, 2011, we had realized gains from the sale of investments of \$21.0 million, of which \$10.7 million related to the sale of MBS and other investments and \$10.3 million related to the sale of loans. In 2012, we sold nine loans and in 2011, sold seven loans into two separate securitization vehicles, and sold two loans in private sale,

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

respectively. We have historically used the securitization markets as a source of advantageously priced, non-recourse, matched term financing for many of the fixed rate first mortgage loans we originate. Our business model is to originate the whole loan and either securitize or sell a senior portion of the loan, leaving us with a higher yielding subordinated loan component. Refer to Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements in this Annual Report for more information on loan securitization and sale activities. For the year ended December 31, 2012, we had net losses on currency hedges of \$15.2 million and unrealized foreign currency remeasurement gain of \$6.5 million, compared to net gains on currency hedges of \$4.5 million and unrealized foreign currency remeasurement loss of \$6.5 million from the prior year. For the year ended December 31, 2012, we had net gains on interest rate hedges of \$1.0 million. The losses on interest rate hedges stem from declines in the value of our held-for-sale conduit loans and related derivatives that resulted from the extreme disruption experienced by the CMBS market since late June 2011 and have suspended our conduit platform as a result. As of December 31, 2012, we sold all remaining loans originated on the conduit platform. OTTI charges related to our RMBS securities were \$4.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 and other income was \$3.6 million.

The diluted per share amounts of our interest margin and expenses for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 were as follows (amounts in thousands except per share data):

| | For the Year Ended | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | Per Diluted Share | 2011 | Per Diluted Share | 2010 | Per Diluted Share |
| Net interest margin: | | | | | | |
| Cash coupon received from loans | \$ 206,908 | \$ 1.80 | \$ 151,009 | \$ 1.75 | \$ 65,204 | \$ 1.30 |
| Constant yield adjustments on loans(1) | 44,653 | 0.40 | 28,346 | 0.33 | 6,339 | 0.13 |
| Cash coupon received from mortgage-backed securities | 21,455 | 0.19 | 8,955 | 0.10 | 14,725 | 0.29 |
| Constant yield adjustments on mortgage-backed securities(2) | 33,964 | 0.30 | 16,663 | 0.19 | 7,256 | 0.15 |
| Cash interest expense | (39,112) | (0.34) | (25,002) | (0.29) | (15,142) | (0.30) |
| Amortization of debt issuance costs | (8,013) | (0.07) | (3,780) | (0.04) | (646) | (0.01) |
| Net interest margin | 259,855 | 2.28 | 176,191 | 2.04 | 77,736 | 1.56 |
| Expenses: | | | | | | |
| Management fees | 56,906 | 0.50 | 38,899 | 0.45 | 22,775 | 0.46 |
| Acquisition and investment pursuit costs | 4,310 | 0.04 | 2,571 | 0.03 | 378 | 0.01 |
| General and administrative | 12,116 | 0.11 | 9,450 | 0.11 | 6,899 | 0.14 |
| Loan loss allowance | 2,061 | 0.02 | | | | |
| Total expenses | 75,393 | 0.67 | 50,920 | 0.59 | 30,052 | 0.61 |
| Income before other income (expenses) and taxes | \$ 184,462 | \$ 1.61 | \$ 125,271 | \$ 1.45 | \$ 47,684 | \$ 0.95 |

(1) Represents the aggregate adjustments necessary to recognize income from loans on a constant yield basis, which is comprised primarily of discount accretion, but also includes the amortization of loan fees and costs.

(2) Represents the aggregate adjustments necessary to recognize income from MBS on a constant yield basis, which is comprised primarily of discount accretion.

Table of Contents

The overall increase in net interest margin for over the three year period is due to the more complete deployment of our capital during each year. Acquisition and investment pursuit costs per diluted share have increased during the three periods due to the increased size/volume of transactions being pursued. General and administrative expenses per diluted share have not increased over the three year period as a result of our efforts to control costs.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is a measure of our ability to meet our cash requirements, including ongoing commitments to repay borrowings, fund and maintain our assets and operations, make new investments where appropriate, make distributions to our stockholders, and other general business needs. We use cash to purchase or originate investments, repay principal and interest on our borrowings, make distributions to our stockholders and fund our operations. We closely monitor our liquidity position and believe that we have sufficient liquidity to and access to liquidity to meet our financial obligations for at least the next 12 months. Our primary sources of liquidity are as follows:

Cash Generated from Operating the Business, Including Repayments

Cash from operations is generally comprised of interest income from our investments, net of any associated financing expense, principal repayments from our investments, net of associated financing repayments, proceeds from the sale of investments, and changes in working capital balances.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

As of December 31, 2012, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$177.7 million.

Cash Flows

For the year ended December 31, 2012

Cash and cash equivalents increased by \$63.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase resulted from cash used in investing activities of \$1.2 billion, offset by cash provided from operating activities of \$265.6 million and cash provided from financing activities of \$986.7 million.

Net cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2012 totaled \$1.2 billion and related primarily to the acquisition and origination of new loans held-for-investment of \$1.8 billion, new MBS of \$626.3 million, acquisition and improvement of real estate of \$172.3 million, other investments of \$14.8 million, offset by proceeds received from the sale of MBS of \$261.3 million, principal repayments on loans and MBS of \$55.2 million and \$89.1 million, respectively, loan maturities of \$615.2 million, proceeds from the sale of loans held for investment of \$344.4 million, and proceeds from the sale of other investments of \$8.3 million.

Net cash provided from operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2012 of approximately \$265.6 million, includes \$132.0 million in proceeds from the sale of loans held for sale. The net income for the period was approximately \$203.7 million. The adjustments for non-cash charges, including stock-based compensation, accretion of deferred loan fees and discounts, amortization of deferred financing costs, accretion of net discount on MBS and premium from collateralized debt obligations decreased cash by \$54.2 million. The net change in operating assets and liabilities increased cash flows from operating activities by approximately \$5.6 million. This amount is comprised of a \$6.5 million decrease in cash attributable to related party payable, and an increase of nearly \$12.2 million from accrued interest receivable, other assets, accounts payable and accrued expenses and other liabilities. The net change in unrealized losses on loans held-for-sale at fair value of \$5.8 million and unrealized losses on currency hedges of \$17.5 million was offset by a net unrealized gain on interest hedges of \$10.2 million and unrealized foreign currency remeasurement gains of \$6.6 million. Additionally, we recognized realized gains of \$16.5 million from the sale of available-for-sale securities.

Table of Contents

Lastly, for the year ended December 31, 2012, we had OTTI charges on our RMBS securities of \$4.4 million.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2012 related primarily to \$1.8 billion of borrowings from our secured financing facilities, gross proceeds from our common stock offering of \$875.7 million and contributions from non-controlling interests of \$94.3 million, offset by dividend payments to our stockholders of \$186.1 million, repayments on borrowings of \$1.6 billion, payment of underwriting costs of \$2.0 million, distributions to non-controlling interests of \$24.5 million, and the payment of deferred financing costs of \$8.6 million.

For the year ended December 31, 2011

Cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$112.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease resulted from cash used in investing activities of \$1.0 billion, offset by cash provided from operating activities of \$79.4 million and cash provided from financing activities of \$809.8 million.

Net cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2011 totaled \$1.0 billion and related primarily to the acquisition and origination of new loans held-for-investment of \$1.6 billion, new MBS of \$208.4 million, other investments of \$37.1 million, purchase of derivative contracts of \$7.6 million and purchased interest of approximately \$2.1 million offset by proceeds received from the sale of MBS of \$287.4 million, principal repayments on loans and MBS of \$26.9 million and \$113.9 million, respectively, MBS maturities of \$27.1 million, loan maturities of \$305.3 million, proceeds from the sale of loans held for investment of \$47.5 million, proceeds from the sale of other investments of \$2.8 million, proceeds from other investment repayments of \$0.7 million and net proceeds from the execution of treasury shorts of \$0.1 million.

Net cash provided from operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2011 of approximately \$79.4 million, includes \$270.1 million used for the origination of loans held-for-sale and \$294.1 million in proceeds from the sale of loans held for sale. The net income for the period was approximately \$120.6 million. The adjustments for non-cash charges, including stock-based compensation, incentive fee stock compensation, accretion of deferred loan fees and discounts, amortization of deferred financing costs, accretion of net discount on MBS and accretion of premium from collateralized debt obligations decreased cash by \$27.2 million. The net change in operating assets and liabilities decreased cash flows from operating activities by approximately \$29.4 million. This amount is comprised of a \$3.3 million increase in cash attributable to related party payable, and a decrease of nearly \$32.7 million from accrued interest receivable, other assets, accounts payable and accrued expenses and other liabilities. The net change in unrealized gains on loans held-for-sale at fair value of \$5.8 million and unrealized gains on currency hedges of \$5.8 million was offset by a net unrealized loss on interest hedges of \$11.3 million and unrealized foreign currency remeasurement losses of \$6.5 million. Additionally, we recognized realized gains of \$10.7 million from the sale of available-for-sale securities and \$10.3 million from the sale of loans held for sale. Lastly, for the year ended December 31, 2011, we had OTTI charges on our RMBS securities of \$6.0 million.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2011 related primarily to \$1.6 billion of borrowings from our secured financing facilities, gross proceeds from our common stock offering of \$476.7 million and contributions from non-controlling interests of \$5.2 million, offset by dividend payments to our stockholders of \$142.9 million, repayments on borrowings of \$1.1 billion, payment of underwriting costs of \$28.3 million, distributions to non-controlling interests of \$9.3 million, treasury stock purchases of \$10.6 million and the payment of deferred financing costs of \$4.9 million.

Potential Liquidation of Certain RMBS and CMBS Positions

We regularly make certain investments in RMBS. We have restricted these RMBS investments to an amount that at all times is no greater than 10% of our total assets. Expected durations are generally 5 years or less and we have engaged a third party manager who specializes in RMBS to assist us in

Table of Contents

managing this portfolio. As of December 31, 2012, our investments in RMBS and CMBS are classified as available-for-sale and had a fair value of \$333.2 million and \$529.4 million, respectively.

Borrowings under Various Financing Arrangements

We utilize a variety of financing arrangements to finance certain assets. We generally utilize three types of financing arrangements:

- 1) *Repurchase Agreements:* Repurchase agreements effectively allow us to borrow against loans and securities that we own. Under these agreements, we sell our loans and securities to a counterparty and agree to repurchase the same loans and securities from the counterparty at a price equal to the original sales price plus an interest factor. The counterparty retains the sole discretion over both whether to purchase the loan and security from us and, subject to certain conditions, the market value of such loan or security for purposes of determining whether we are required to pay margin to the counterparty. Generally, if the lender determines (subject to certain conditions) that the market value of the collateral in a repurchase transaction has decreased by more than a defined minimum amount, we would be required to repay any amounts borrowed in excess of the product of (i) the revised market value multiplied by (ii) the applicable advance rate. During the term of a repurchase agreement, we receive the principal and interest on the related loans and securities and pay interest to the counterparty. As of December 31, 2012, we have various repurchase agreements, with details referenced in the table provided below.
- 2) *Bank Credit Facilities:* We use bank credit facilities (including term loans and revolving facilities) to finance our assets. These financings may be collateralized or non-collateralized and may involve one or more lenders. Credit facilities typically have maturities ranging from two to five years and may accrue interest at either fixed or floating rates. The initial term of our bank facility, as stated in the table below, is subject to further extension based upon the satisfaction of certain conditions at or prior to the time of such extension. Bank of America retains the sole discretion, subject to certain conditions, over the market value of such note for purposes of determining whether we are required to pay margin to the Bank of America.
- 3) *Loan Sales/Syndications/Securitizations:* We seek non-recourse long-term financing from loan sales, syndications and/or securitizations of our investments in mortgage loans. The sales/syndications/securitizations generally involve a senior portion of our loan, but may involve the entire loan. Loan sales and syndications generally involve the sale of a senior note component or participation interest to a third party lender. Securitization generally involves transferring notes to a special purpose vehicle (or the issuing entity), which then issues one or more classes of non-recourse notes pursuant to the terms of an indenture. The notes are secured by the pool of assets. In exchange for the transfer of assets to the issuing entity, we receive cash proceeds from the sale of non-recourse notes. Sales/syndications/securitizations of our portfolio investments might magnify our exposure to losses on those portfolio investments because the retained subordinate interest in any particular overall loan would be subordinate to the loan components sold and we would, therefore, absorb all losses sustained with respect to the overall loan before the owners of the senior notes experience any losses with respect to the loan in question.

On February 15, 2013, we issued \$600.0 million of 4.55% Convertible Senior Notes due 2018. The notes were sold to the underwriters at a discount of 2.05%, resulting in net proceeds to us of \$587.7 million.

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Summary of Financing Facilities as of December 31, 2012 (dollar amounts in thousands):

| | Wells Fargo I | Wells Fargo II | Bank of America | Wells Fargo III | Wells Fargo IV | Goldman Sachs II | Citibank | Borrowing Base | Goldman III | Onewest Bank |
|---|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Facility Type | | | Bank Credit Facility | | | | | Bank Credit Facility | | |
| Revolver | Repurchase No | Repurchase Yes | Repurchase No | Repurchase Yes | Repurchase No | Repurchase No | Repurchase Yes | Repurchase Yes | Repurchase No | Repurchase No |
| Eligible Assets | | | Single Borrower Secured Note | Identified RMBS | Identified Loans | Single Borrower Secured Note | Identified Loans | Identified Loans | Single Borrower Secured Note | Identified Loans |
| Initial Maturity | May-13 | Aug-13 | Nov-14 | Mar-13 | Dec-14 | Aug-15 | Mar-14 | Aug-13 | Sep-15 | Jul-15 |
| Extended Maturity(a) | N/A | Aug-15 | Nov-15 | N/A | Dec-16 | N/A | Mar-17 | Aug-16 | N/A | Jul-17 |
| Pricing | LIBOR + 3% | LIBOR + 1.75% to 6% | LIBOR + 2.0% to 2.15% | LIBOR + 2.10% | LIBOR + 2.75% | LIBOR + 2.90% | LIBOR + 3.75% | LIBOR + 3.25%(i) | LIBOR + 3.70% | LIBOR + 3.00% |
| Minimum Loss to Trigger a Margin Call | (b) | (b) | \$0 | \$250 | (g) | \$2,000 | (h) | N/A | \$4,000 | (j) |
| Maximum Advance Rate on Collateral Pledged Asset | (c) | 75% | (c) | (d) | (d) | (c) | 75% | 100%(l) | 67.9% | 75% |
| Carrying Value | \$75,928 | \$692,827 | \$207,276 | \$293,956 | \$243,001 | \$213,899 | \$71,360 | \$697,428 | \$236,605 | \$94,802 |
| Maximum Facility Size | \$49,225 | \$550,000 | \$141,559 | \$175,000 | \$181,243 | \$149,445 | \$125,000 | \$250,000 | \$158,750 | \$65,638 |
| Outstanding Balance | \$49,225 | \$347,785 | \$141,559 | \$163,122 | \$181,243 | \$149,445 | \$49,045 | \$0 | \$158,750 | \$65,638 |
| Approved but Undrawn Capacity(e) | \$0 | \$98,859 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Unallocated Financing Amount(f) | \$0 | \$103,356 | \$0 | \$11,878 | \$0 | \$0 | \$75,955 | \$250,000 | \$0 | \$0 |

- (a) Subject to certain conditions as defined in facility agreement.
- (b) 35 bps of aggregate outstanding principal amount.
- (c) Effectively not applicable as of December 31, 2012 as there was no longer any borrowing capacity available.
- (d) There is no defined maximum advance rate under this facility. The advance rates are determined separately for each repurchase transaction.
- (e) Approved but undrawn capacity represents the total draw amount that has been approved by the lender related to the assets that have been pledged as collateral, less the actual amount that has been drawn.
- (f) Unallocated financing amount represents the maximum facility size less the total draw capacity that has been approved by the lender.
- (g) Lesser of \$1.0 million or 35 bps of aggregate outstanding principal amount.
- (h) Margin may be called if the market value of all purchased assets is less than 99.5% of the sum of each asset's initial purchase price divided by its approved advance rate.
- (i) Subject to borrower's option to choose alternative benchmark based rates pursuant to the terms of the credit agreement.

- (j) 3% of the aggregate repurchase price
- (k) Although 100% is the maximum advance rate, due to valuation mechanisms within the credit agreement most borrowings will be at a lower advance rate.

Table of Contents**Summary of Total Financing Facility Ending Balances as of December 31, 2012 (amounts in thousands):**

| | |
|---|----------------|
| Aggregate Pledged Asset Carrying Value | \$ 2,827,082 |
| Aggregate Maximum Facility Size | \$ 1,845,860 |
| Less: Aggregate Outstanding Balance | \$ (1,305,812) |
| Aggregate Undrawn Capacity | \$ 441,189 |
| Aggregate Approved but Undrawn Capacity | \$ 98,859 |

Variance between Average and Quarter-End Credit Facility Borrowings Outstanding

The following table compares the average amount of repurchase transactions outstanding during the quarter and the amount of repurchase transactions outstanding as of the end of each quarter, together with an explanation of significant variances:

| Quarter Ended | Quarter-End Balance (in 000's) | Weighted-Average Balance During Quarter (in 000's) | Variance (in 000's) | Explanations for Significant Variances |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---|------------------------|--|
| March 31, 2012 | \$ 1,308,860 | \$ 1,270,300 | \$ 38,560 | (a) |
| June 30, 2012 | \$ 1,065,388 | \$ 1,074,612 | \$ (9,224) | (b) |
| September 30, 2012 | \$ 1,309,450 | \$ 1,280,953 | \$ 28,497 | (c) |
| December 31, 2012 | \$ 1,305,812 | \$ 1,215,948 | \$ 89,863 | (d) |

- (a) Variance is primarily due to the following transactions: (i) paydown of \$92.1 million under the Goldman Repurchase Agreement on March 29, 2012 using proceeds from the sale of 6 conduit loans; (ii) \$81.0 million draw under the BAML Credit Agreement in mid-March 2012 in conjunction with the closing of a \$125.0 million participation in a senior loan; (iii) A draw of \$112.0 million was made under the Second Deutsche Repurchase Agreement was made on December 27, 2011 to provide liquidity for the acquisition of a separate portfolio of loans for \$333.0 million, which closed on December 30, 2011. \$70.0 million was repaid under the Second Deutsche Repurchase Agreement in March 2012 after the loans were approved for financing under the Fourth Wells Repurchase Agreement in Q1 2012; (iv) \$88.0 million additional draw on the Fourth Wells Repurchase Agreement to leverage a \$333.0 million portfolio of loans that closed on December 30, 2011 where the majority of loans were approved for financing in Q1 2012; and (v) \$155.4 million draw under the Second Goldman Repurchase Agreement in the beginning of February in conjunction with the acquisition of \$222.8 million of CMBS.
- (b) Variance is primarily due to the following transactions: (i) paydown of \$38.5 million under the Wells Repurchase Agreement in early June 2012 using proceeds from the prepayment of 4 loans in the TIAA portfolio; (ii) various draws and repayments during the quarter under the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement in anticipation of multiple loan closings; (iii) additional draw of \$55.1 million under the Third Wells Repurchase Agreement to lever the RMBS acquired during the quarter; (iv) paydown of \$92.0 million in under the Second Deutsche Repurchase Agreement using proceeds from the equity offering in April 2012, subsequent draw of \$45.0 million in early May 2012 in anticipation of the REO pool acquisition, and repayment of \$50.3 million in conjunction with the payoff of the loan pledged under the facility in early May 2012; and (v) paydown of \$24.5 million under the Fourth Wells Repurchase Agreement in conjunction with the prepayment of 3 loans during May and June of 2012.
- (c) Variance is primarily due to the following transactions: (i) paydown of \$98.7 million under the Bank of America Merrill Lynch Hilton line in late August 2012 using the proceeds from the sale of

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

three securities; (ii) various draws and repayments during the quarter, including a draw of \$132.3 million, under the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement in anticipation of multiple loan closings; (iii) additional draw of \$30 million under the Third Wells Repurchase Agreement to lever the RMBS acquired during the quarter; (iv) a draw of \$32.2 million under the Citi Repurchase Agreement in late September 2012; and (v) an initial draw of \$158.8 million on a newly created financing line.

(d)

Variance is primarily due to the following transactions: (i) paydown of \$19.5 million on the Wells Repo I Line in early October using proceeds from the maturity of one loan, (ii) various draws in December totaling \$148.0 million from additional assets pledged to the Wells Repo II Line, offset by a prepayment of \$51.6 million in mid-December, and (iii) draws totaling \$158.9 million on various dates throughout the quarter on the Wells III RMBS Line.

| Quarter Ended | Quarter-End Balance (in 000's) | Weighted-Average Balance During Quarter (in 000's) | Variance (in 000's) | Explanations for Significant Variances |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---|------------------------|--|
| March 31, 2011 | \$ 804,558 | \$ 679,616 | \$ 124,942 | (e) |
| June 30, 2011 | \$ 697,668 | \$ 733,743 | \$ (36,075) | (f) |
| September 30, 2011 | \$ 604,308 | \$ 711,823 | \$ (107,515) | (g) |
| December 31, 2011 | \$ 1,103,517 | \$ 774,897 | \$ 328,620 | (h) |

(e)

Variance is primarily due to the following transactions: (i) approximately \$207.6 million drawn under the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement in March 2011 related to five loans that were pledged, (ii) pay-down of \$12.3 million in late March 2011 using proceeds from sales of TALF securities; and (iii) \$78.4 million initially drawn under the Third Wells Repurchase Agreement in mid-March 2011, of which \$40.0 million was repaid at the end of March 2011 using excess proceeds from third party asset sales.

(f)

Variance is primarily due to the following transactions: (i) repayment of \$158.9 million in debt concurrent with CMBS sales under the TALF financing in late June 2011; (ii) repayment of \$70 million on the facility upon maturity of 10 loans under the Wells Repurchase Agreement in early April 2011; and (iii) draws of \$83.9 million on the Goldman line related to six conduit loans in mid-late June of 2011.

(g)

Variance is primarily due to the following transactions: (i) repayment of \$62.1 million in debt concurrent with the maturity of a loan under the Wells Repurchase Agreement in early September 2011 and (ii) a pay-down of \$68.2 million in debt concurrent with two loans sold into securitization under the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement in late August 2011.

(h)

Variance is primarily due to the following transactions: (i) \$117 million drawn on 4 newly pledged loans under the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement with the majority drawn during the latter part of the quarter, (ii) an additional \$42 million drawn on existing assets under the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement in December 2011, and (iii) a draw under the Second Deutsche Repurchase Agreement in the amount of \$112 million on December 27, 2011. These draws were made to provide liquidity for the acquisition of a separate portfolio of loans in the amount of \$333 million, which closed on December 30, 2011. Draws under the Second Deutsche Repurchase Agreement were subsequently repaid in Q1 2012 when loans from the December 30, 2011 portfolio acquisition were approved for financing under the Fourth Wells Repurchase Agreement in Q1 2012.

Table of Contents*Scheduled Principal Repayments on Investments and Overhang on Financing Facilities*

The following scheduled and/or projected principal repayments on our investments were based upon the amounts outstanding and contractual terms of the financing facilities in effect as of December 31, 2012 (amounts in thousands):

| | Scheduled Principal Repayments on Loans | Scheduled/Projected Principal Repayments on RMBS and CMBS | Projected Required Repayments of Financing | Total Scheduled Principal Repayments, net of financing |
|--------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| First Quarter 2013(1) | \$ 165,520 | \$ 23,140 | \$ (226,522) | \$ (37,862) |
| Second Quarter 2013 | 21,892 | 20,784 | (5,780) | 36,896 |
| Third Quarter 2013(2) | 7,744 | 19,023 | (359,211) | (332,444) |
| Fourth Quarter 2013 | 41,344 | 5,012 | (41,634) | 4,722 |
| Total | \$ 236,500 | \$ 67,959 | \$ (633,147) | \$ (328,688) |

(1) We expect to extend the Third Wells Repurchase Agreement, which is scheduled to mature in March 2013. This represents \$163.1 million of the projected required repayments of financing in the first quarter of 2013.

(2) We expect to extend the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement, which is scheduled to mature in August 2013. We have two one-year extension options, subject to certain conditions. This represents \$347.0 million of the projected required repayments of financing in third quarter 2013.

Other Potential Sources of Financing

In the future, we may also use other sources of financing to fund the acquisition of our target assets, including other secured as well as unsecured forms of borrowing. We may also seek to raise further equity capital or issue debt securities in order to fund our future investments.

Leverage Policies

We employ leverage, to the extent available, to fund the acquisition of our target assets, increase potential returns to our stockholders, or provide temporary liquidity. Leverage can be either direct by utilizing private third party financing, or indirect through originating, acquiring, or retaining subordinated mortgages, B-notes, subordinated loan participations or mezzanine loans. Although the type of leverage we deploy is dependent on the underlying asset that is being financed, we intend, when possible, to utilize leverage whose maturity is equal to or greater than the maturity of the underlying asset and minimize to the greatest extent possible exposure to the company of credit losses associated with any individual asset. In addition, we intend to mitigate the impact of potential future interest rate increases on our borrowings through utilization of hedging instruments, primarily interest rate swap agreements.

The amount of leverage we deploy for particular investments in our target assets depends upon our Manager's assessment of a variety of factors, which may include the anticipated liquidity and price volatility of the assets in our investment portfolio, the potential for losses and extension risk in our portfolio, the gap between the duration of our assets and liabilities, including hedges, the availability and cost of financing the assets, our opinion of the creditworthiness of our financing counterparties, the health of the U.S. economy and commercial and residential mortgage markets, our outlook for the level, slope, and volatility of interest rates, the credit quality of our assets, the collateral underlying our assets, and our outlook for asset spreads relative to the LIBOR curve. Under our current repurchase agreements and bank credit facility, our total leverage may not exceed 75% of total assets (as defined), as adjusted to remove the impact of bona-fide loan sales that are accounted for as financings and the

Table of Contents

consolidation of variable interest entities pursuant to GAAP. As of December 31, 2012, our total debt to loan and MBS investments was 34.6%.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

Contractual obligations as of December 31, 2012 are as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1 to 3 years | 3 to 5 years | More than 5 years |
|---|--------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Secured financings, including interest payable(a) | \$ 1,366,251 | \$ 664,976 | \$ 701,275 | \$ | \$ |
| Liabilities of securitization trust | 98,660 | 4,699 | 58,061 | 35,900 | |
| Loan funding obligations | 218,345 | 63,136 | 145,126 | 10,083 | |
| Total | \$ 1,683,256 | \$ 732,811 | \$ 904,462 | \$ 45,983 | \$ |

(a)

For borrowings with variable interest rates, we used the rates in effect as of December 31, 2012 to determine the future interest payment obligations. Included in the less than 1 year category is \$510.1 million in financing related to the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement and the Third Wells Repurchase Agreement which are expected to be refinanced or extended.

The table above does not include amounts due under our management agreement or derivative agreements as those contracts do not have fixed and determinable payments. In addition, the table above does not give effect to the subsequent events described in Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements in this report.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2012, we did not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured investment vehicles, or special purpose or variable interest entities, established to facilitate off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. Further, as of December 31, 2012, we had not guaranteed any obligations of unconsolidated entities or entered into any commitment or intent to provide additional funding to any such entities.

Dividends

We intend to continue to make regular quarterly distributions to holders of our common stock. U.S. federal income tax law generally requires that a REIT distribute annually at least 90% of its REIT taxable income, without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding net capital gains, and that it pay tax at regular corporate rates to the extent that it annually distributes less than 100% of its net taxable income. We intend to continue to pay regular quarterly dividends to our stockholders in an amount approximating our net taxable income, if and to the extent authorized by our board of directors. Before we pay any dividend, whether for U.S. federal income tax purposes or otherwise, we must first meet both our operating requirements and debt service on our debt. If our cash available for distribution is less than our net taxable income, we could be required to sell assets or borrow funds to make cash distributions or we may make a portion of the required distribution in the form of a taxable stock distribution or distribution of debt securities.

Our board of directors declared a dividend of \$0.44 per share of common stock for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 on February 29, 2012. The dividend was paid on April 13, 2012 to common stockholders of record as of March 30, 2012. The board also declared a dividend of \$0.44 per share of common stock for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. The dividend was paid on July 13, 2012 to

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

common stockholders of record as of June 29, 2012. The board further declared a dividend of \$0.44 per share of common stock for the quarter ended September 30, 2012. The dividend was paid on October 15, 2012 to common stockholders of record as of September 28, 2012. On November 6, 2012, the board declared a dividend of \$0.44 per share and on December 13th declared an additional extraordinary dividend of \$0.10 per share of common stock for the quarter ended December 31, 2012. The dividend was paid on January 15, 2013 to common stockholders of record as of December 31, 2012.

The tax treatment for our aggregate distributions per share of common stock paid with respect to 2012 is as follows:

| Record Date | Payment Date | Total 2012 Distribution Per Share | Ordinary Taxable Dividends | Taxable Qualified Dividends(1) | Capital Gain Distribution | Unrecaptured 1250 Gain(2) | Nondividend Distributions(3) |
|-------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| 12/31/2011 | 1/17/2012 | \$ 0.4400 | \$ 0.3759 | \$ | \$ 0.0641 | \$ | \$ |
| 3/31/2012 | 4/15/2012 | 0.4400 | 0.3759 | | 0.0641 | | |
| 6/30/2012 | 7/15/2012 | 0.4400 | 0.3759 | | 0.0641 | | |
| 9/30/2012 | 10/15/2012 | 0.4400 | 0.3759 | | 0.0641 | | |
| 12/31/2012 | 1/15/2013 | 0.3298 | 0.2818 | | 0.0480 | | |
| | | \$ 2.0898 | \$ 1.7854 | \$ | \$ 0.3044 | \$ | \$ |

-
- (1) Amounts in 1b are included in 1a.
- (2) Amounts in 2b are included in 2a.
- (3) Amounts in 3 are also known as Return of Capital.

As the Company's aggregate distributions exceeded its earnings and profits, the January 2013 distribution declared in the fourth quarter of 2012 and payable to shareholders of record as of December 31, 2012 will be treated as a 2013 distribution for federal tax purposes.

On February 27, 2013, our board of directors declared a dividend of \$0.44 per share for the first quarter of 2013, which is payable on April 15, 2013 to common stockholders of record as of March 29, 2013.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Core Earnings is a non-GAAP financial measure. We calculate Core Earnings as GAAP net income (loss) excluding non-cash equity compensation expense, the incentive fee due under our management agreement, depreciation and amortization of real estate (to the extent that we own properties), any unrealized gains, losses or other non-cash items recorded in net income for the period, regardless of whether such items are included in other comprehensive income or loss, or in net income. The amount is adjusted to exclude one-time events pursuant to changes in GAAP and certain other non-cash charges as determined by our Manager and approved by a majority of our independent directors.

We believe that Core Earnings provides an additional measure of our core operating performance by eliminating the impact of certain non-cash expenses and facilitating a comparison of our financial results to those of other comparable REITs with fewer or no non-cash charges and comparison of our own operating results from period to period. Our management uses Core Earnings in this way, and also uses Core Earnings to compute the incentive fee due under our management agreement. The Company believes that its investors also use Core Earnings or a comparable supplemental performance measure to evaluate and compare the performance of the Company and its peers, and as such, the Company believes that the disclosure of Core Earnings is useful to (and expected by) its investors.

Table of Contents

However, the Company cautions that Core Earnings does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to net income (determined in accordance with GAAP), or an indication of our cash flow from operating activities (determined in accordance with GAAP), a measure of our liquidity, or an indication of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to make cash distributions. In addition, our methodology for calculating Core Earnings may differ from the methodologies employed by other REITs to calculate the same or similar supplemental performance measures, and accordingly, our reported Core Earnings may not be comparable to the Core Earnings reported by other REITs.

Our Core Earnings for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and, 2010 were approximately \$228.3, \$146.6 million and \$67.2 million respectively, or \$1.99, \$1.70, and \$1.34 per weighted-average share, diluted and per weighted-average share, basic. The table below provides a reconciliation of net income to Core Earnings for these periods:

Reconciliation of Net Income to Core Earnings:

| (Amounts in thousands, except per share data) | Year Ended December 31, 2012 | Per Diluted Share | Year Ended December 31, 2011 | Per Diluted Share | Period Ended December 31, 2010 | Per Diluted Share |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Net income attributable to Starwood Property Trust, Inc | \$ 201,195 | \$ 1.75 | \$ 119,377 | \$ 1.38 | \$ 57,046 | \$ 1.14 |
| Unrealized loss (gain) on loans held-for-sale at fair value | 5,760 | 0.05 | (5,760) | (0.07) | | 0.00 |
| Unrealized (gain) loss on interest rate hedges | (10,243) | (0.09) | 11,287 | 0.13 | 55 | 0.00 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment | 4,402 | 0.04 | 6,001 | 0.08 | | 0.00 |
| Unrealized foreign currency (gain) loss | (6,549) | (0.06) | 6,518 | 0.08 | (6,050) | (0.12) |
| Unrealized loss (gain) loss on currency hedges | 17,463 | 0.15 | (5,755) | (0.07) | 7,383 | 0.15 |
| Unrealized gain on securities | (295) | (0.00) | | 0.00 | | 0.00 |
| Management incentive fee | 7,870 | 0.07 | 1,178 | 0.01 | 1,235 | 0.02 |
| Non-cash stock-based compensation | 16,163 | 0.14 | 13,743 | 0.16 | 7,522 | 0.15 |
| Realized other-than-temporary impairment for sold securities | (137) | 0.00 | | 0.00 | | 0.00 |
| Depreciation | 213 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | | 0.00 |
| Loan loss allowance | 2,061 | 0.02 | | 0.00 | | 0.00 |
| Loss from effective hedge termination | (9,597) | (0.08) | | 0.00 | | 0.00 |
| Core Earnings | \$ 228,306 | \$ 1.99 | \$ 146,589 | \$ 1.70 | \$ 67,191 | \$ 1.34 |

Effective for the first quarter 2012, we have slightly modified the definition of Core Earnings to allow for management to make adjustments, subject in each case to the approval of a majority of the independent directors, to Core Earnings that should be made in non-standard situations if and when they arise in order for us to calculate such earnings in a manner consistent with the objective of the measure. During the first quarter 2012, we had such a situation. In February 2012, our GBP-denominated loan prepaid. At the time the loan was originally closed, we had hedged our exposure to fluctuations in the GBP/USD exchange rate through a series of foreign exchange forward contracts. Under these derivative contracts, we sold GBP to our counterparty in exchange for USD (at a fixed exchange rate) on scheduled dates and at specified notional amounts that corresponded to the dates on which we expected to receive GBP-denominated interest and principal payments on our loan investment. As a result of the loan being prepaid in February 2012, the foreign exchange forward

Table of Contents

contracts were no longer necessary hedges. At that time, the hedge contracts were in a loss position to us of approximately \$10 million. In the process of negotiating the termination of the contracts, management was able to lock-in the amount of the loss by entering into new derivative contracts with a separate counterparty that had the same maturity dates and notional amounts, but wherein we would sell USD in exchange for GBP (offsetting positions). We executed this structure as opposed to liquidating the original contracts as it was more cost effective. However, because the original contracts remained in place, the loss had not been "realized" as that term is defined in GAAP. As a result, while we had effectively locked-in the loss, it would not have been deducted in Core Earnings as previously defined. Therefore, we modified the definition of Core Earnings to allow for adjustments in non-standard situations such as this, provided that we obtain the approval for any such adjustments from the majority of our independent directors. Adjustments subsequent to the first quarter 2012 are being made such that the appropriate amount of Core Earnings is reported as these offsetting contracts mature.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

We seek to manage our risks related to the credit quality of our assets, interest rates, liquidity, prepayment speeds and market value while, at the same time, seeking to provide an opportunity to stockholders to realize attractive risk-adjusted returns through ownership of our capital stock. While we do not seek to avoid risk completely, we believe the risk can be quantified from historical experience and seek to actively manage that risk, to earn sufficient compensation to justify taking those risks and to maintain capital levels consistent with the risks we undertake.

Credit Risk

We are subject to varying degrees of credit risk in connection with our investments. While we do not expect to encounter significant credit risk in our Agency RMBS assets, we have exposure to credit risk on the mortgage assets and underlying mortgage loans in our non-Agency RMBS and CMBS portfolios as well as other assets. Our Manager seeks to manage credit risk by performing deep credit fundamental analysis of potential assets. Credit risk is also addressed through our Manager's on-going surveillance, and investments are monitored for variance from expected prepayments, defaults, severities, losses and cash flow on a monthly basis.

Our investment guidelines do not limit the amount of our equity that may be invested in any type of our target assets; however, not more than 25% of our equity may be invested in any individual asset, without the consent of a majority of our independent directors. Our investment decisions depend on prevailing market conditions and may change over time in response to opportunities available in different interest rate, economic and credit environments. As a result, we cannot predict the percentage of our equity that will be invested in any of our target assets at any given time.

As of December 31, 2012, 20.4% of the CMBS securities are rated BB+. The remaining 79.6% are securities where the obligors are certain special purpose entities that were formed to hold substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties; the securities are not rated but the loan-to-value ratio was estimated to be in the range of 39% 44% at December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2012, the S&P ratings of our RMBS portfolio were as follows (amounts in thousands):

| S&P Rating | Carrying Value | Percentage |
|------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| A+ | \$ 28 | 0.0% |
| BBB+ | 103 | 0.0% |
| BB+ | 16,071 | 4.8% |
| BB | 1,549 | 0.5% |
| BB- | 5,862 | 1.8% |
| B+ | 9,338 | 2.8% |
| B | 3 | 0.0% |
| B- | 29,597 | 8.9% |
| CCC | 234,429 | 70.4% |
| CC | 5,235 | 1.6% |
| D | 23,280 | 6.9% |
| NR | 7,658 | 2.3% |
| Total MBS | \$ 333,153 | 100.0% |

At December 31, 2011, the S&P ratings of our MBS portfolio were as follows (amounts in thousands):

| S&P Rating | Carrying Value | Percentage |
|------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| AA+ | \$ 86 | 0.0% |
| AA | 1,223 | 0.4% |
| AA- | 5,396 | 1.6% |
| A | 4,835 | 1.4% |
| A- | 3,376 | 1.0% |
| BBB+ | 5,558 | 1.6% |
| BBB | 121 | 0.0% |
| BB+ | 4,513 | 1.3% |
| BB | 3,987 | 1.2% |
| BB- | 4,400 | 1.3% |
| B+ | 5,293 | 1.5% |
| B | 5,405 | 1.6% |
| B- | 9,110 | 2.7% |
| CCC | 99,446 | 29.1% |
| CC | 6,344 | 1.9% |
| D | 3,583 | 1.0% |
| NR | 179,058 | 52.4% |
| Total MBS | \$ 341,734 | 100.0% |

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including fiscal and monetary policies and domestic and international economic and political considerations, as well as other factors beyond our control. We are subject to interest rate risk in connection with our investments and the related financing obligations. In general, we finance the acquisition and/or origination of our target assets through financings in the form of warehouse facilities, bank credit facilities (including term loans and revolving facilities), securitizations and repurchase agreements. We mitigate interest rate risk through

Table of Contents

utilization of hedging instruments, primarily interest rate swap agreements. Interest rate swap agreements are utilized to hedge against future interest rate increases on our borrowings and potential adverse changes in the value of certain assets that result from interest rate changes.

Interest Rate Effect on Net Interest Margin

Our operating results depend in large part on differences between the income earned on our investments and our cost of borrowing and hedging activities. The cost of our borrowings is generally based on prevailing market interest rates. During a period of rising interest rates, our borrowing costs generally may increase (1) while the yields earned on our leveraged fixed-rate mortgage assets remain static and (2) at a faster pace than the yields earned on our leveraged floating rate mortgage assets, which could result in a decline in our net interest margin. The severity of any such decline would depend on our asset/liability composition at the time as well as the magnitude and duration of the interest rate increase. Further, an increase in short-term interest rates could also have a negative impact on the market value of our target assets. If any of these events was to occur, we could experience a decrease in net income or incur a net loss during these periods, which could adversely affect our liquidity and results of operations. Hedging techniques are partly based on assumed levels of prepayments of our investments. If prepayments are slower or faster than assumed, the life of the investment would be longer or shorter, which would reduce the effectiveness of any hedging strategies we may use and may cause losses on such transactions.

Interest Rate Mismatch Risk

We have funded a portion of our acquisition of mortgage loans and MBS with borrowings that are based on LIBOR, while the interest rates on these assets may be indexed to LIBOR or another index rate, such as the one-year Constant Maturity Treasury ("CMT") index, the Monthly Treasury Average ("MTA") index or the 11th District Cost of Funds Index ("COFI"). Accordingly, any increase in LIBOR relative to one-year CMT rates, MTA or COFI may result in an increase in our borrowing costs that may not be matched by a corresponding increase in the interest earnings on these assets. Any such interest rate index mismatch could adversely affect our profitability, which may negatively impact distributions to our stockholders. To mitigate interest rate mismatches, we may utilize the hedging strategies discussed above.

Our analysis of risks is based on our Manager's experience, estimates, models and assumptions. These analyses rely on models which utilize estimates of fair value and interest rate sensitivity. Actual economic conditions or implementation of decisions by the Manager may produce results that differ significantly from the estimates and assumptions used in our models and the projected results.

Prepayment Risk

Prepayment risk is the risk that principal will be repaid at a different rate than anticipated, causing the return on certain investments to be less than expected. As we receive prepayments of principal on our assets, any premiums paid on such assets are amortized against interest income. In general, an increase in prepayment rates accelerates the amortization of purchase premiums, thereby reducing the interest income earned on the assets. Conversely, discounts on such assets are accreted into interest income. In general, an increase in prepayment rates accelerates the accretion of purchase discounts, thereby increasing the interest income earned on the assets.

Extension Risk

Our Manager computes the projected weighted-average life of our assets based on assumptions regarding the rate at which the borrowers will prepay the mortgages or extend. If prepayment rates decrease in a rising interest rate environment or extension options are exercised, the life of the

Table of Contents

fixed-rate assets could extend beyond the term of the secured debt agreements. This could have a negative impact on our results of operations. In some situations, we may be forced to sell assets to maintain adequate liquidity, which could cause us to incur losses.

Fair Value Risk

The estimated fair value of our investments fluctuates primarily due to changes in interest rates and other factors. Generally, in a rising interest rate environment, the estimated fair value of the fixed-rate investments would be expected to decrease; conversely, in a decreasing interest rate environment, the estimated fair value of the fixed-rate investments would be expected to increase. As market volatility increases or liquidity decreases, the fair value of our assets may be adversely impacted. If we are unable to readily obtain independent pricing to validate our estimated fair value of the securities in our portfolio, the fair value gains or losses recorded and/or disclosed may be adversely affected.

Foreign Currency Risk

We intend to hedge our currency exposures in a prudent manner. However, our currency hedging strategies may not eliminate all of our currency risk due to, among other things, uncertainties in the timing and/or amount of payments received on the related investments, and/or unequal, inaccurate, or unavailability of hedges to perfectly offset changes in future exchange rates. Additionally, we may be required under certain circumstances to collateralize our currency hedges for the benefit of the hedge counterparty, which could adversely affect our liquidity.

During 2010, we entered into a series of forward contracts whereby we agreed to sell an amount of GBP for an agreed-upon amount of USD at various dates through October 2013. These forward contracts were executed to fix the USD amount of GBP denominated cash flows we expect to receive from our GBP-denominated loan. During the first quarter of 2012, the GBP-denominated loan was prepaid. As a result of the loan being prepaid in February 2012, the foreign exchange forward contracts were no longer necessary hedges. At that time, the hedge contracts were in a loss position to us of approximately \$10.0 million. In the process of negotiating the termination of the contracts, management was able to lock-in the amount of the loss by entering into new derivative contracts with a separate counterparty that had the same maturity dates and notional amounts, but wherein we would sell USD in exchange for GBP (offsetting positions). We executed this structure as opposed to liquidating the original contracts as it was more cost effective. As of December 31, 2012, the GBP hedging strategies above resulted in 4 foreign exchange forward sales contracts with a total notional value of \$106.8 million and 4 such foreign exchange forward purchase contracts with a total notional value of \$106.8 million (using the December 31, 2012 spot rate of 1.6255).

As of December 31, 2012, we had a \$108.3 million GBP-denominated CMBS investment (using the December 31, 2012 spot rate of 1.6255). During the first three months of 2012, we entered into a series of forward contracts whereby we agreed to sell an amount of GBP for an agreed-upon amount of USD at various dates through March 2016. These forward contracts were executed to fix the USD amount of GBP-denominated cash flows we expect to receive from our GBP-denominated CMBS investment. As of December 31, 2012, the GBP hedging strategies above resulted in 7 foreign exchange forward sales contracts with a total notional value of \$137.2 million (using the December 31, 2012 spot rate of 1.6255).

As of December 31, 2012, we had a \$48.4 million GBP-denominated loan investment (using the December 31, 2012 spot rate of 1.6255). Our historical cost related to this investment was at a spot rate of approximately 1.613. During 2012, we entered into a series of forward contracts whereby we agree to sell an amount of GBP for an agreed-upon amount of USD at various dates through January 2016. These forward contracts were executed to fix the USD amount of GBP-denominated cash flows

Table of Contents

expected to be received by the Company related to the Company's GBP-denominated loan. As of December 31, 2012, we had 12 such foreign exchange forward sales contracts with a total notional value of \$67.0 million (using December 31, 2012 spot rate of 1.6255).

As of December 31, 2012, we had a \$29.5 million of EUR-denominated loan investment (using the December 31, 2012 spot rate of 1.3193). Our historical cost related to this investment was at a spot rate of approximately 1.426. During 2012, we entered into a series of forward contracts whereby we agreed to sell an amount of EUR for an agreed upon amount of USD at various dates through January 2014. These forward contracts were executed to economically fix the USD amount of EUR-denominated cash flows expected to be received by us related to our mezzanine loan in Germany. As of December 31, 2012, we had 7 such foreign exchange forward contracts with a total notional value of \$35.7 million (using the December 31, 2012 spot rate of 1.3193).

As of December 31, 2012, we had a \$73.0 million EUR-denominated loan investment (using the December 31, 2012 spot rate of 1.3193). Our historical cost related to this investment was at a spot rate of approximately 1.222. During 2012, we entered into a series of forward contracts whereby we agree to sell an amount of EUR for an agreed upon amount of USD at various dates through June 2014. These forward contracts were executed to economically fix the USD amount of EUR-denominated cash flows expected to be received by us related to our loan in Luxembourg. As of December 31, 2012, we had 5 such foreign exchange spot rate contracts with a total notional value of \$86.0 million (using the December 31, 2012 spot rate of 1.3193).

Real Estate

Commercial and residential mortgage assets are subject to volatility and may be affected adversely by a number of factors, including, but not limited to, national, regional and local economic conditions (which may be adversely affected by industry slowdowns and other factors); local real estate conditions; changes or continued weakness in specific industry segments; construction quality, age and design; demographic factors; and retroactive changes to building or similar codes. In addition, decreases in property values reduce the value of the collateral and the potential proceeds available to a borrower to repay the underlying loans, which could also cause us to suffer losses.

Inflation Risk

Virtually all of our assets and liabilities are interest rate sensitive in nature. As a result, interest rates and other factors influence our performance significantly more than inflation does. Changes in interest rates may correlate with inflation rates and/or changes in inflation rates. Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP and our distributions are determined by our board of directors consistent with our obligation to distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income on an annual basis in order to maintain our REIT qualification; in each case, our activities and balance sheet are measured with reference to historical cost and/or fair value without considering inflation.

Risk Management

To the extent consistent with maintaining our REIT qualification, we seek to manage risk exposure to protect our portfolio of financial assets against the effects of major interest rate changes. We generally seek to manage this risk by:

attempting to structure our financing agreements to have a range of different maturities, terms, amortizations and interest rate adjustment periods;

Table of Contents

using hedging instruments, primarily interest rate swap agreements but also financial futures, options, interest rate cap agreements, floors and forward sales to adjust the interest rate sensitivity of our investment portfolio and our borrowings; and

using loan sales, syndications, and securitization financing to better match the maturity of our financing with the duration of our assets.

The following table summarizes the net loan investment income for a 12 month period and the change in fair value of our investments and indebtedness assuming an increase or decrease of 100 basis points in the LIBOR interest rate, both adjusted for the effects of our interest rate hedging activities (amounts in thousands):

| Income (Expense) Subject to Interest Rate Sensitivity | Variable-rate investments and indebtedness | 100 Basis Point Increase | 100 Basis Point Decrease |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Investment income from variable-rate investments(1) | \$ 1,918,182 | \$ 11,717 | \$ (1,009) |
| Investment expense from variable-rate indebtedness(1) | (1,393,600) | (19,250) | 6,148 |
| Net investment income from variable rate instruments | \$ 524,582 | \$ (7,533) | \$ 5,139 |

| Assets (Liabilities) Subject to Interest Rate Sensitivity (Par Amount) | Fixed-Rate investments and indebtedness | 100 Basis Point Increase | 100 Basis Point Decrease |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Fair value of fixed-rate investments | \$ 1,142,644 | \$ (24,612) | \$ 39,120 |
| Net fair value of fixed-rate instruments | \$ 1,142,644 | \$ (24,612) | \$ 39,120 |

(1) Assumes LIBOR rate decrease does not go below 0%.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Index to Financial Statements and Schedule

| | |
|--|------------|
| Financial Statements | |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | <u>81</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011</u> | <u>83</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010</u> | <u>84</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010</u> | <u>85</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010</u> | <u>86</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010</u> | <u>87</u> |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>89</u> |
| <u>Schedule III Residential Real Estate as of December 31, 2012</u> | <u>138</u> |
| <u>Schedule IV Mortgage Loans on Real Estate as of December 31, 2012</u> | <u>139</u> |

All other schedules are omitted because they are not required or the required information is shown in the financial statements or the notes thereto.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Starwood Property Trust, Inc.
Greenwich, CT

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules listed in the Index at Item 8. We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and the financial statement schedules, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedules and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Table of Contents

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

New York, NY
February 27, 2013

Table of Contents**Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(Amounts in thousands, except share data)

| | As of December 31, 2012 | As of December 31, 2011 |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 177,671 | \$ 114,027 |
| Restricted cash | 3,429 | |
| Loans held for investment, net | 2,914,434 | 2,268,599 |
| Loans held for sale at fair value | | 128,593 |
| Loans transferred as secured borrowings | 85,901 | 50,316 |
| Mortgage backed securities, available-for-sale, at fair value | 862,587 | 341,734 |
| Other investments | 221,983 | 44,379 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 24,120 | 15,176 |
| Derivative assets | 9,227 | 12,816 |
| Other assets | 25,021 | 21,807 |
| Total Assets | \$ 4,324,373 | \$ 2,997,447 |
| Liabilities and Equity | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ 8,890 | \$ 5,051 |
| Related-party payable | 1,803 | 8,348 |
| Dividends payable | 73,796 | 41,431 |
| Derivative liabilities | 27,770 | 19,652 |
| Secured financing agreements, net | 1,305,812 | 1,103,517 |
| Loan transfer secured borrowings | 87,893 | 53,199 |
| Other liabilities | 21,204 | 1,102 |
| Total Liabilities | 1,527,168 | 1,232,300 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 14) | | |
| Equity: | | |
| Starwood Property Trust, Inc. Stockholders' Equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 per share, 500,000,000 shares authorized, 136,125,356 issued and 135,499,506 outstanding as of December 31, 2012, and 93,811,351 issued and 93,185,501 outstanding as of December 31, 2011 | 1,361 | 938 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 2,721,353 | 1,828,319 |
| Treasury stock (625,850 shares as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011) | (10,642) | (10,642) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | 79,675 | (3,998) |
| Accumulated deficit | (72,401) | (55,129) |
| Total Starwood Property Trust, Inc. Stockholders' Equity | 2,719,346 | 1,759,488 |
| Non-controlling interests in consolidated subsidiaries | 77,859 | 5,659 |
| Total Equity | 2,797,205 | 1,765,147 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$ 4,324,373 | \$ 2,997,447 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2012 | For the Year Ended December 31, 2011 | For the Year Ended December 31, 2010 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Net interest margin: | | | |
| Interest income from mortgage-backed securities | \$ 55,419 | \$ 25,618 | \$ 21,981 |
| Interest income from loans | 251,561 | 179,355 | 71,543 |
| Interest expense | (47,125) | (28,782) | (15,788) |
| Net interest margin | 259,855 | 176,191 | 77,736 |
| Expenses: | | | |
| Management fees (including \$15,714, \$13,493 and \$7,420 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 of non-cash stock-based compensation, respectively) | 56,906 | 38,899 | 22,775 |
| Acquisition and investment pursuit costs | 4,310 | 2,571 | 378 |
| General and administrative (including \$448, \$250 and \$102 for the years ending December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 of non-cash stock-based compensation, respectively) | 12,116 | 9,450 | 6,899 |
| Loan loss allowance | 2,061 | | |
| Total operating expenses | 75,393 | 50,920 | 30,052 |
| Income before other income (expense) and income taxes | 184,462 | 125,271 | 47,684 |
| Other income | 3,615 | 3,075 | 1,403 |
| Other-than temporary impairment ("OTTI"), net of \$2,854 and \$1,310, recognized in other comprehensive income in 2012 and 2011 | (4,402) | (6,001) | |
| Net gains on sales of investments | 25,532 | 20,994 | 11,629 |
| Net realized foreign currency gains (losses) | 8,571 | (902) | 57 |
| Net gains (losses) on currency hedges | (15,180) | 4,491 | (7,500) |
| Net gains (losses) on interest rate hedges | 1,023 | (27,130) | (55) |
| Net gains on credit spread hedges | | 2,358 | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on loans held-for-sale at fair value | (5,760) | 5,760 | |
| Unrealized gains on securities | 295 | | |
| Unrealized foreign currency remeasurement gains (losses) | 6,549 | (6,518) | 6,050 |
| Income before income taxes | 204,705 | 121,398 | 59,268 |
| Income tax provision | (1,023) | (790) | (426) |
| Net Income | 203,682 | 120,608 | 58,842 |
| Net income attributable to non-controlling interests | (2,487) | (1,231) | (1,796) |
| Net income attributable to Starwood Property Trust, Inc. | \$ 201,195 | \$ 119,377 | \$ 57,046 |
| Net income per share of common stock: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.76 | \$ 1.38 | \$ 1.16 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.76 | \$ 1.38 | \$ 1.14 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income**

(Amounts in thousands)

| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2012 | For the Year Ended December 31, 2011 | For the Year Ended December 31, 2010 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Net income | \$ 203,682 | \$ 120,608 | \$ 58,842 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | |
| Change in fair value of cash flow hedges | (1,152) | 205 | (1,625) |
| Unrealized gain (loss) in fair value of available-for-sale securities | 83,377 | (11,248) | 13,342 |
| Reclassification adjustment for net realized gains on sale of securities | (2,954) | (8,298) | (2,375) |
| Reclassification for OTTI | 4,402 | 6,001 | |
| Comprehensive income | 287,355 | 107,268 | 68,184 |
| Less: Comprehensive income attributable to non-controlling interests | (2,487) | (92) | (2,935) |
| Comprehensive income attributable to Starwood Property Trust, Inc. | \$ 284,868 | \$ 107,176 | \$ 65,249 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Equity

(Amounts in thousands, except share data)

| | Common Stock | | Additional | Treasury | | Accumulated | Other | Total | Non- | Total |
|---|--------------|--------|------------|----------|----------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| | Shares | Par | Paid-In | Stock | Amount | Deficit | Comprehensive | Property | controlling | Equity |
| | | | Capital | Shares | | | Income | Trust, Inc. | Interests | Equity |
| Balance at January 1, 2010 | 47,583,800 | \$ 476 | \$ 895,857 | | \$ | \$ (8,366) | \$ | \$ 887,967 | \$ 8,068 | \$ 896,035 |
| Proceeds from public offering of common stock | 23,000,000 | 230 | 453,560 | | | | | 453,790 | | 453,790 |
| Underwriting and offering costs | | | (18,986) | | | | | (18,986) | | (18,986) |
| Stock-based compensation | 437,542 | | 7,522 | | | | | 7,522 | | 7,522 |
| Net income | | | | | | 57,046 | | 57,046 | 1,796 | 58,842 |
| Dividends declared | | | | | | (67,982) | | (67,982) | | (67,982) |
| Other comprehensive income, net | | | | | | | 8,203 | 8,203 | 1,139 | 9,342 |
| Contribution from non-controlling interests | | | | | | | | | 3,002 | 3,002 |
| Distribution to non-controlling interests | | | | | | | | | (4,336) | (4,336) |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 71,021,342 | 706 | 1,337,953 | | | (19,302) | 8,203 | 1,327,560 | 9,669 | 1,337,229 |
| Proceeds from public offering of common stock | 22,000,000 | 220 | 476,508 | | | | | 476,728 | | 476,728 |
| Underwriting and offering costs | | | (1,091) | | | | | (1,091) | | (1,091) |
| Stock-based compensation | 726,754 | 11 | 13,743 | | | | | 13,754 | | 13,754 |
| Manager incentive fee paid in stock | 63,255 | 1 | 1,206 | | | | | 1,207 | | 1,207 |
| Treasury stock purchased | | | | 625,850 | (10,642) | | | (10,642) | | (10,642) |
| Net income | | | | | | 119,377 | | 119,377 | 1,231 | 120,608 |
| Dividends declared | | | | | | (155,204) | | (155,204) | | (155,204) |
| Other comprehensive loss, net | | | | | | | (12,201) | (12,201) | (1,139) | (13,340) |
| Contribution from non-controlling interests | | | | | | | | | 5,239 | 5,239 |
| Distribution to non-controlling interests | | | | | | | | | (9,341) | (9,341) |
| | 93,811,351 | 938 | 1,828,319 | 625,850 | (10,642) | (55,129) | (3,998) | 1,759,488 | 5,659 | 1,765,147 |

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Balance at | | | | | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2011 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Proceeds from public offering of common stock | 41,400,000 | 414 | 875,323 | | | | 875,737 | | | 875,737 |
| Underwriting and offering costs | | | (2,034) | | | | (2,034) | | | (2,034) |
| Stock-based compensation | 746,929 | 7 | 16,156 | | | | 16,163 | | | 16,163 |
| Manager incentive fee paid in stock | 167,076 | 2 | 3,589 | | | | 3,591 | | | 3,591 |
| Net income | | | | 201,195 | | | 201,195 | 2,487 | | 203,682 |
| Dividends declared | | | | (218,467) | | | (218,467) | | | (218,467) |
| Other comprehensive loss, net | | | | | | 83,673 | 83,673 | | | 83,673 |
| Contribution from non-controlling interests | | | | | | | | 94,250 | | 94,250 |
| Distribution to non-controlling interests | | | | | | | | (24,537) | | (24,537) |
| Balance at | | | | | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 136,125,356 | \$ 1,361 | \$ 2,721,353 | 625,850 | \$ (10,642) | \$ (72,401) | \$ 79,675 | \$ 2,719,346 | \$ 77,859 | \$ 2,797,205 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Amounts in thousands)

| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2012 | For the Year Ended December 31, 2011 | For the Year Ended December 31, 2010 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 203,682 | \$ 120,608 | \$ 58,842 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | | |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 5,669 | 3,780 | 646 |
| Accretion of net discount on mortgage backed securities (MBS) | (33,964) | (18,071) | (7,256) |
| Accretion of net deferred loan fees and discounts | (44,653) | (26,966) | (6,339) |
| Accretion of premium from loan transfer secured borrowings | (1,044) | (887) | |
| Stock-based compensation | 16,163 | 13,743 | 7,522 |
| Incentive-fee compensation | 3,592 | 1,206 | |
| Gain on sale of available-for-sale securities | (16,532) | (10,653) | (2,118) |
| Gain on sale of loans | (8,154) | (10,314) | (9,253) |
| Gain on foreign currency remeasurement | (8,809) | | |
| Gain on sale of real estate | (586) | | |
| Gain on sale of other investments | | (27) | (257) |
| Unrealized gains on securities | (295) | | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on loans held for sale at fair value, net | 5,760 | (5,760) | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on interest rate hedges | (10,244) | 11,287 | 55 |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on currency hedges | 17,463 | (5,755) | 7,383 |
| Unrealized foreign currency remeasurement gains (losses) | (6,550) | 6,518 | (6,050) |
| OTTI | 4,402 | 6,001 | |
| Loan loss allowance | 2,061 | | |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Related-party payable | (6,545) | 3,298 | 1,504 |
| Accrued interest receivable, less purchased interest | (11,393) | (10,982) | (7,712) |
| Other assets | (394) | (15,308) | (459) |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 3,839 | (476) | 4,493 |
| Other liabilities | 20,102 | (5,898) | 2,767 |
| Origination of held for sale loans | | (270,066) | (143,439) |
| Proceeds from sale of held for sale loans | 132,012 | 294,126 | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 265,582 | 79,404 | (99,671) |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities: | | | |
| Purchase of mortgage-backed securities | (626,287) | (208,382) | (204,508) |
| Proceeds from sale of mortgage-backed securities | 261,291 | 287,356 | 58,313 |
| Proceeds from mortgage-backed securities maturities | | 27,126 | |
| Mortgage-backed securities principal paydowns | 89,134 | 113,915 | 38,838 |
| Origination and purchase of loans held for investment | (1,753,363) | (1,558,690) | (1,208,814) |
| Loan maturities | 615,227 | 305,316 | 114,717 |

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands)

| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2012 | For the Year Ended December 31, 2011 | For the Year Ended December 31, 2010 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Proceeds from sale of loans and participations | 344,431 | 47,500 | 38,164 |
| Loan investment repayments | 55,223 | 26,933 | 13,642 |
| Purchased interest on investments | (1,025) | (2,111) | (3,022) |
| Investments in other investments | (14,824) | (37,088) | (15,029) |
| Acquisition and improvement of real estate | (172,326) | | |
| Proceeds from sale of real estate | 4,714 | | |
| Return of investment from other investments | 892 | 655 | |
| Proceeds from sale of other investments | 8,341 | 2,844 | 1,987 |
| Return of investment basis in purchased derivative asset | 3,336 | | |
| Restricted cash | (3,429) | | |
| Purchase of treasury securities | | (112,619) | |
| Proceeds from sale of treasury securities | | 112,741 | |
| Cash deposited as collateral under treasury securities loan agreement | | (112,741) | |
| Return of collateral under treasury securities loan agreement | | 112,741 | |
| Purchase of derivative contracts | | (7,554) | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (1,188,665) | (1,002,058) | (1,165,712) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities: | | | |
| Borrowings under secured financing agreements | 1,777,480 | 1,604,029 | 477,705 |
| Principal repayments on borrowings under secured financing arrangements | (1,575,185) | (1,080,171) | (69,440) |
| Proceeds from secured borrowings | 35,738 | | 54,086 |
| Payment of deferred financing costs | (8,620) | (4,887) | (4,463) |
| Proceeds from common stock offering | 875,737 | 476,740 | 453,790 |
| Payment of underwriting fees and offering costs | (2,034) | (28,286) | (18,986) |
| Purchase of treasury stock | | (10,642) | |
| Payment of dividends | (186,102) | (142,854) | (44,250) |
| Contributions from non-controlling interest owners | 94,250 | 5,239 | 3,002 |
| Distributions to non-controlling interest owners | (24,537) | (9,341) | (4,336) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 986,727 | 809,827 | 847,108 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | 63,644 | (112,827) | (418,275) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 114,027 | 226,854 | 645,129 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ 177,671 | \$ 114,027 | \$ 226,854 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ 42,272 | \$ 25,193 | \$ 14,664 |
| Income taxes paid | \$ 1,036 | \$ 1,074 | \$ 633 |
| Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities: | | | |
| Dividends declared, but not yet paid | \$ 73,796 | \$ 41,431 | \$ 29,081 |
| Unsettled securities trade receivable | \$ 2,752 | | \$ 22,214 |
| Unsettled securities trade payable | | | \$ 47,178 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

As of December 31, 2012

1. Business and Organization

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. ("the Trust" together with its subsidiaries, "we" or the "Company") is a Maryland corporation that commenced operations on August 17, 2009 ("Inception") upon the completion of its initial public offering ("IPO"). We are focused on originating, investing in, financing and managing commercial mortgage loans and other commercial real estate debt investments, commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS"), and other commercial real estate-related debt investments. We collectively refer to commercial mortgage loans, other commercial real estate debt investments, CMBS, and other commercial real estate-related debt investments as our target assets. We also invest in residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS") and residential real estate owned ("REO"), and may invest in distressed or non-performing loans, commercial properties subject to net leases and residential mortgage loans. As market conditions change over time, we may adjust our strategy to take advantage of changes in interest rates and credit spreads as well as economic and credit conditions.

We are organized and conduct our operations to qualify as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). As such, we will generally not be subject to U.S. federal corporate income tax on that portion of our net income that is distributed to stockholders if we distribute at least 90% of our taxable income to our stockholders by prescribed dates and comply with various other requirements.

We are organized as a holding company and conduct our business primarily through our various wholly-owned subsidiaries. We are externally managed and advised by SPT Management, LLC (our "Manager") pursuant to the terms of a management agreement. Our Manager is controlled by Barry Sternlicht, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Our Manager is an affiliate of Starwood Capital Group, a privately-held private equity firm founded and controlled by Mr. Sternlicht.

As of December 31, 2012, investments with collateral in the hospitality, office, and retail property sectors represented 45.3%, 17.6%, and 15.7% of our investment portfolio, respectively. Such allocations could materially change in the future.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Accounting and Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include our accounts and those of our consolidated subsidiaries. Intercompany amounts have been eliminated. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The most significant and subjective estimate that we make is projecting the cash flows to be received on our investments, which has a significant impact on the amounts of interest income, credit losses (if any), and fair values that we record and/or disclose. In addition, the fair value of financial instruments that are estimated using a discounted cash flows method are significantly impacted by the rates at which we estimate market participants would discount the expected cash flows.

A non-controlling interest in a consolidated subsidiary is defined as "the portion of the equity (net assets) in a subsidiary not attributable, directly or indirectly, to a parent". Non-controlling interests are

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

presented as a separate component of equity in the consolidated balance sheets. In addition, the presentation of net income attributes earnings to controlling and non-controlling interests.

Segment Reporting

We are focused on originating and acquiring real estate related investments and currently operate in one reportable segment.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash in banks and short-term investments. Short-term investments are comprised of highly liquid instruments with original maturities of three months or less. The Company maintains its cash and cash equivalents in multiple financial institutions and at times these balances exceed federally insurable limits.

Debt Securities

GAAP requires that at the time of purchase, we designate debt securities as held-to-maturity, available-for-sale, or trading depending on our investment strategy and ability to hold such securities to maturity. Held-to-maturity securities are stated at cost plus any premiums or discounts, which are amortized or accreted through the consolidated statements of operations using the effective interest method. Securities we (i) do not hold for the purpose of selling in the near-term, or (ii) may dispose of prior to maturity, are classified as available-for-sale and are carried at fair value in the accompanying financial statements. Unrealized gains or losses on available-for-sale securities are reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in stockholders' equity. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, our CMBS and RMBS securities were classified as available-for-sale. The classification of each investment involves management's judgment, which is subject to change.

When the estimated fair value of a security is less than its amortized cost, we consider whether there is an other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") in the value of the security. An impairment is deemed an OTTI if (i) we intend to sell the security, (ii) it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovering our cost basis, or (iii) we do not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security even if we do not intend to sell the security or believe it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovering our cost basis. If the impairment is deemed to be an OTTI, the resulting accounting treatment depends on the factors causing the OTTI. If the OTTI has resulted from (i) our intention to sell the security, or (ii) our judgment that it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovering our cost basis, an impairment loss is recognized in current earnings equal to the entire difference between our amortized cost basis and fair value. Whereas, if the OTTI has resulted from our conclusion that we will not recover our cost basis even if we do not intend to sell the security or believe it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovering our cost basis, only the credit loss portion of the impairment is recorded in current earnings, and the portion of the loss related to other factors, such as changes in interest rates, continues to be recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Following the recognition of an OTTI through earnings, a new cost basis is established for the security. Determining whether there is an OTTI may require us to exercise significant judgment and make significant assumptions, including, but not limited to, estimated cash flows, estimated prepayments, loss assumptions, and assumptions regarding changes

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

in interest rates. As a result, actual OTTI losses could differ from reported amounts. Such judgments and assumptions are based upon a number of factors, including (i) credit of the issuer or the underlying borrowers, (ii) credit rating of the security, (iii) key terms of the security, (iv) performance of the underlying loans, including debt service coverage and loan-to-value ratios, (v) the value of the collateral for the underlying loans, (vi) the effect of local, industry, and broader economic factors, and (vii) the historical and anticipated trends in defaults and loss severities for similar securities.

Loans Held for Investment

Loans that are held for investment are carried at cost, net of unamortized acquisition premiums or discounts, loan fees, and origination and acquisition costs as applicable, unless the loans are deemed impaired. We evaluate each loan classified as held for investment for impairment at least quarterly. Impairment occurs when it is deemed probable that we will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan. If a loan is considered to be impaired, we record an allowance to reduce the carrying value of the loan to the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's contractual effective rate or the fair value of the collateral, if repayment is expected solely from the collateral.

Our loans are typically collateralized by real estate. As a result, we regularly evaluate the extent and impact of any credit deterioration associated with the performance and/or value of the underlying collateral property, as well as the financial and operating capability of the borrower. Specifically, a property's operating results and any cash reserves are analyzed and used to assess (i) whether cash from operations are sufficient to cover the debt service requirements currently and into the future, (ii) the ability of the borrower to refinance the loan, and/or (iii) the property's liquidation value. We also evaluate the financial wherewithal of any loan guarantors as well as the borrower's competency in managing and operating the properties. In addition, we consider the overall economic environment, real estate sector, and geographic sub-market in which the borrower operates. Such impairment analyses are completed and reviewed by asset management and finance personnel, who utilize various data sources, including (i) periodic financial data such as property occupancy, tenant profile, rental rates, operating expenses, the borrower's exit plan, and capitalization and discount rates, (ii) site inspections, and (iii) current credit spreads and discussions with market participants.

Loans Held for Sale

Loans that we intend to sell or liquidate in the short-term are classified as held-for-sale and are carried at the lower of amortized cost or fair value, unless we have elected to record the loans at fair value at the time they were acquired under Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") ASC 825, *Financial Instruments*. Refer to Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements for further disclosure regarding loans held-for-sale.

Residential Real Estate

We account for real estate at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. We compute depreciation using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. We depreciate rental real estate over periods up to 30 years. Real estate in development is related to the development of property (including land) and assets that have not yet been placed in service for our intended use. Depreciation for furniture and fixtures commences once it is placed in service and depreciation for

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

buildings and leasehold improvements commences once they are ready for our intended use. We depreciate furniture and fixtures over periods up to 5 years. We depreciate lease hold improvements over the greater of 15 year or the remaining depreciable life of the asset it improved. Land is not depreciated.

U.S. Treasury Securities Sold Short

In February 2011, in order to hedge the impact of interest rate increases on the fair value of our RMBS portfolio, we took short positions on U.S. Treasury securities with durations similar to those expected within our RMBS portfolio. To execute our hedging strategy, we sold to a third party \$112.7 million in U.S. Treasury securities that were simultaneously borrowed from our prime broker. The entire cash sale proceeds from the third party were then immediately deposited with our prime broker as collateral for the U.S. Treasury securities borrowing. On March 31, 2011, we purchased from a third party the same series of U.S. Treasury securities that had been borrowed. The securities were then immediately delivered to the prime broker in repayment of the securities borrowing, thereby settling the short position. We realized a gain from this strategy of approximately \$122 thousand, which is comprised of the \$194 thousand favorable movement in the prices of U.S. Treasury securities (from our short position), offset by \$72 thousand of interest that accrued on the securities during the term of the borrowing and transaction costs. For the year ended December 31, 2012 we had no short positions on U.S. Treasury securities.

Revenue Recognition

Interest income is accrued based on the outstanding principal amount and contractual terms of our loans and securities. Discounts or premiums associated with the purchase of loans and investment securities are amortized or accreted into interest income as a yield adjustment on the effective interest method, based on expected cash flows through the expected maturity date of the investment. On at least a quarterly basis, we review and, if appropriate, make adjustments to our cash flow projections. We have historically collected, and expect to continue to collect, all contractual amounts due on our loans and CMBS, and non-credit deteriorated RMBS. As a result, we do not adjust the projected cash flows to reflect anticipated credit losses for these types of investments. Conversely, the majority of our RMBS have been purchased at a discount to par value, and we did not expect to collect all amounts contractually due at the time we acquired the securities. Accordingly, we expect that a portion of the purchase discount will not be recognized as interest income, and is instead viewed as a non-accretable yield. The amount considered as non-accretable yield may change over time based on the actual performance of these securities, their underlying collateral, actual and projected cash flow from such collateral, economic conditions and other factors. If the performance of a credit deteriorated security is more favorable than forecasted, we will generally accrete more credit discount into interest income than initially or previously expected. These adjustments are made prospectively beginning in the period subsequent to the determination that a favorable change in performance is projected. Conversely, if the performance of a credit deteriorated security is less favorable than forecasted, an other-than-temporary impairment may be taken, and the amount of discount accreted into income will generally be less than previously expected.

For loans that we have not elected to record at fair value under FASB ASC 825, origination fees and direct loan origination costs are also recognized in interest income over the loan term as a yield

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

adjustment using the effective interest method. When we elect to record a loan at fair value, origination fees and direct loan costs are recorded directly in income and are not deferred.

Upon the sale of loans or securities, the excess (or deficiency) of net proceeds over the net carrying value of such loans or securities is recognized as a realized gain (or loss).

Rental income attributable to residential leases is recorded when due from tenants, which approximates the amount that would result from straight-lining rents over the lease term. The initial term of our residential leases is generally one year, with renewals upon consent of both parties on an annual or monthly basis.

Investments in Unconsolidated Entities

We own non-controlling equity interests in various privately-held partnerships and limited liability companies. We use the cost method to account for investments when we (i) own five percent or less of and (ii) do not have significant influence over the underlying investees. We use the equity method to account for all other non-controlling interests in partnerships and limited liability companies. Cost method investments are initially recorded at cost and income is generally recorded when distributions are received. Equity method investments are initially recorded at cost and subsequently adjusted for our share of income or loss, as well as contributions made or distributions received.

Investments in unconsolidated entities are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is measured based on the excess of the carrying amount of an investment over its estimated fair value. Impairment analyses are based on current plans, intended holding periods and available information at the time the analyses are prepared.

We also own publicly-traded equity securities of certain companies in the real estate industry. For publicly-traded companies where we have virtually no influence over the activities of these companies and minimal ownership percentages the investments are classified as available-for-sale and reported at fair value in the balance sheet, with unrealized gains and losses reported as a component of other comprehensive income (loss). For publicly-traded securities where we have the ability to exercise significant influence, but not control, over underlying investees, we have elected the fair value reporting option and assets are reported at fair value on the balance sheet with unrealized gains and losses reported in earnings. Dividends on our available-for-sale equity securities are recorded in the statement of operations on the record date.

Securitization/Sale and Financing Arrangements

We periodically sell our financial assets, such as commercial mortgage loans, CMBS and other assets. In connection with these transactions, we may retain or acquire senior or subordinated interests in the related assets. Gains and losses on such transactions are recognized using the guidance in ASC 860-*Transfers and Servicing*, which is based on a financial components approach that focuses on control. Under this approach, after a transfer of financial assets that meets the criteria for treatment as a sale legal isolation, ability of transferee to pledge or exchange the transferred assets without constraint, and transferred control an entity recognizes the financial assets it retains and any liabilities it has incurred, derecognizes the financial assets it has sold, and derecognizes liabilities when extinguished. We determine the gain or loss on sale of mortgage loans by allocating the carrying value of the underlying

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

mortgage between securities or loans sold and the interests retained based on their fair values, as applicable. The gain or loss on sale is the difference between the cash proceeds from the sale and the amount allocated to the securities or loans sold.

Acquisition and Investment Pursuit Costs

Net costs incurred in connection with acquiring investments, as well as in pursuing unsuccessful investment acquisitions and loan originations, are charged to current earnings and not deferred.

Foreign Currency Transactions

Our assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollars using foreign currency exchange rates at the end of the reporting period. Income and expenses are translated at the weighted-average exchange rates for each reporting period. As of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the U.S. dollar was the functional currency of all investments denominated in foreign currencies. The effects of translating the assets and any liabilities of our foreign investments are included in unrealized foreign currency remeasurement gain (loss) in the statements of operations.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash investments, CMBS, RMBS, loan investments and interest receivable. We may place cash investments in excess of insured amounts with high quality financial institutions. We perform an ongoing analysis of credit risk concentrations in its investment portfolio by evaluating exposure to various counterparties markets, underlying property types, contract terms, tenant mix and other credit metrics.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

GAAP provides the disclosure requirements for derivatives and hedging activities with the intent to provide users of financial statements with an enhanced understanding of (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how the entity accounts for derivative instruments and related hedged items, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. Further, we must provide qualitative disclosures that explain our objectives and strategies for using derivatives, as well as quantitative disclosures about the fair value of and gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative instruments.

We record all derivatives in the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether we have elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and have satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting under GAAP. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Hedge accounting generally provides for the matching of the timing of gain or loss recognition on the hedging instrument with the recognition of the changes in the fair value

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

of the hedged asset or liability that are attributable to the hedged risk in a fair value hedge or the earnings effect of the hedged forecasted transactions in a cash flow hedge. We regularly enter into derivative contracts that are intended to economically hedge certain of our risks, even though the transactions may not qualify for, or we may not elect to pursue, hedge accounting. In such cases, changes in the fair value of the derivatives are recorded in earnings.

Deferred Financing Costs

Costs incurred in connection with obtaining secured financing arrangements are capitalized and amortized over the respective loan terms of the respective facilities as a component of interest expense. As of December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, we had approximately \$7.8 million, \$5.0 million, and \$4.1 million, respectively, of capitalized financing costs, net of amortization. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, approximately \$5.7 million, \$3.8 million, and \$0.6 million, respectively, of amortization was included in interest expense on the consolidated statements of operations.

Earnings Per Share

We calculate basic earnings per share by dividing net income attributable to the Company for the period by the weighted-average of shares of common stock outstanding for that period after consideration of the earnings allocated to our restricted stock and restricted stock units, which are participating securities as defined in GAAP. Diluted earnings per share takes into effect any dilutive instruments, such as restricted stock and restricted stock units, except when doing so would be anti-dilutive.

Share-based Payments

We recognize the cost of share-based compensation and payment transactions using the same expense category as would be charged for payments in cash. The fair value of the restricted stock or restricted stock units granted is recorded to expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period for the award, with an offsetting increase in stockholders' equity. For grants to employees and directors, the fair value is determined based upon the stock price on the grant date. For non-employee grants, the fair value is based on the stock price when the shares vest, which requires the amount to be adjusted in each subsequent reporting period based on the fair value of the award at the end of the reporting period until the award has vested.

Income Taxes

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT and intend to comply with the Code with respect thereto. Accordingly, we will not be subject to federal income tax as long as certain asset, income, dividend distribution and stock ownership tests are met. Many of these requirements are technical and complex and if we fail to meet these requirements we may be subject to federal, state, and local income tax and penalties. A REIT's net income from prohibited transactions is subject to a 100% penalty tax. We have seven taxable REIT subsidiaries ("the TRSs") where certain investments may be made and activities conducted that (i) may have otherwise been subject to the prohibited transactions tax and (ii) may not be favorably treated for purposes of complying with the various requirements for REIT qualification. The income, if any, within the TRSs is subject to federal and state income taxes as a domestic C

Table of Contents**Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

As of December 31, 2012

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

corporation based upon the TRSs' net income. During 2012, we recorded a provision for income taxes of \$1.0 million related to the activities in our TRSs. These provisions were determined using a Federal income tax rate of 34% and state income tax rate of 7.5%. During 2011, we recorded a provision for income taxes of \$0.8 million related to the activities in our TRSs. These provisions were determined using a Federal income tax rate of 34% and state income tax rate of 7.5%. During 2010, we recorded a provision for income taxes of \$0.4 million related to the activities in our TRSs, based on a Federal income tax rate of 33% and state income tax rate of 7.5%.

Underwriting Commissions and Offering Costs

Underwriting and offering costs related to our equity offering activities, which consist primarily of our equity offerings in April and early October 2012 as well as our at-the-market offering program (refer to disclosure in Note 10), aggregated \$2.0 million for 2012, totaled approximately \$1.1 million in connection with our supplemental equity offering in May 2011, and approximately \$19.0 million in connection with the supplemental equity offering in December 2010. Underwriting and offering costs are reflected as a reduction in additional paid-in capital in the Consolidated Statements of Equity.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2011, the FASB issued amended guidance which will enhance disclosures required by U.S. GAAP by requiring improved information about financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either (1) offset or (2) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement, irrespective of whether they are offset. This information will enable users of an entity's financial statements to evaluate the effect or potential effect of netting arrangements on an entity's financial position, including the effect or potential effect of rights of setoff associated with certain financial instruments and derivative instruments. The company will be required to apply the amendments beginning on January 1, 2013. We are in the process of evaluating the impact that this guidance will have on the consolidated financial statement disclosures.

3. Debt Securities

We classified all CMBS and RMBS investments as available-for-sale as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The CMBS and RMBS classified as available-for-sale are reported at fair value in the balance sheets with changes in fair value recorded in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income. The tables below summarize various attributes of our investments in mortgage backed securities ("MBS") available-for-sale as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (amounts in thousands):

| December 31, 2012 | Purchase Amortized Cost | Credit OTTI | Recorded Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains or (Losses) Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income | | | Net Fair Value Adjustment | Fair Value |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | | Non-Credit OTTI | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | | |
| CMBS | \$ 498,064 | \$ | \$ 498,064 | \$ | \$ 31,370 | \$ | \$ 31,370 | \$ 529,434 |
| RMBS | 293,321 | (10,194) | 283,127 | | 50,717 | (691) | 50,026 | 333,153 |
| Total | \$ 791,385 | \$ (10,194) | \$ 781,191 | \$ | \$ 82,087 | \$ (691) | \$ 81,396 | \$ 862,587 |

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

3. Debt Securities (Continued)

| December 31, 2012 | Weighted Average Coupon(1) | Weighted Average Rating | Weighted Average Life ("WAL") (Years)(3) |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| CMBS | 4.3% | BB+(2) | 3.3 |
| RMBS | 1.1% | CCC+ | 5.4 |

(1) Calculated using the December 31, 2012 one-month LIBOR rate of 0.2087% for floating rate securities.

(2) Approximately 20.4% of the CMBS securities are rated BB+. The remaining 79.6% are securities where the obligors are certain special purpose entities that were formed to hold substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties; the securities are not rated but the loan-to-value ratio was estimated to be in the range of 39%-44% at December 31, 2012.

(3) Represents the WAL of each respective group of MBS. The WAL of each individual security or loan is calculated as a fraction, the numerator of which is the sum of the timing (in years) of each expected future principal payment multiplied by the balance of the respective payment, and with a denominator equal to the sum of the expected principal payments. This calculation was made as of December 31, 2012. Assumptions for the calculation of the WAL are adjusted as necessary for changes in projected principal repayments and/or maturity dates of the security.

| December 31, 2011 | Purchase Amortized Cost | Credit OTTI | Recorded Amortized Cost | Non-Credit OTTI | Unrealized Gains or (Losses) Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income | | | Net Fair Value Adjustment | Fair Value |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| | | | | | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | | | |
| CMBS | \$ 177,353 | \$ | \$ 177,353 | \$ | \$ | \$ (567) | \$ (567) | \$ 176,786 | |
| RMBS | 170,424 | (6,001) | 164,423 | (1,310) | 3,367 | (1,532) | 525 | 164,948 | |
| Total | \$ 347,777 | \$ (6,001) | \$ 341,776 | \$ (1,310) | \$ 3,367 | \$ (2,099) | \$ (42) | \$ 341,734 | |

| December 31, 2011 | Weighted Average Coupon(1) | Weighted Average Rating | Weighted Average Life ("WAL") (Years)(3) |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| CMBS | 2.1% | NR(2) | 3.5 |
| RMBS | 1.0% | B- | 4.8 |

(1)

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

Calculated using the December 31, 2011 one-month LIBOR rate of 0.2953% for floating rate securities.

(2)

Represents securities where the obligors are certain special purpose entities that were formed to hold substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties; the securities are not rated but the loan-to-value ratio was estimated to be in the range of 39%-44% at December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents**Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****As of December 31, 2012****3. Debt Securities (Continued)**

(3)

Represents the WAL of each respective group of MBS. The WAL of each individual security or loan is calculated as a fraction, the numerator of which is the sum of the timing (in years) of each expected future principal payment multiplied by the balance of the respective payment, and with a denominator equal to the sum of the expected principal payments. This calculation was made as of December 31, 2011. Assumptions for the calculation of the WAL are adjusted as necessary for changes in projected principal repayments and/or maturity dates of the security.

The following table contains a reconciliation of aggregate principal balance to amortized cost for our CMBS and RMBS as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 (amounts in thousands):

| | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | CMBS | RMBS | CMBS | RMBS |
| Principal balance | \$ 519,575 | \$ 489,218 | \$ 195,842 | \$ 263,923 |
| Accretable yield | (21,511) | (108,486) | (18,489) | (44,604) |
| Non-accretable difference | | (97,605) | | (54,896) |
| Total discount | (21,511) | (206,091) | (18,489) | (99,500) |
| Amortized cost | \$ 498,064 | \$ 283,127 | \$ 177,353 | \$ 164,423 |

The principal balance of credit deteriorated RMBS was \$438.0 million and \$213.3 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Accretable yield related to these securities totaled \$93.6 million and \$32.8 million, as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The following table discloses the changes to accretable yield and non-accretable difference for our CMBS and RMBS during the year ended December 31, 2012:

| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2012 | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| | Accretable Yield | | Non-Accretable Difference | |
| | CMBS | RMBS | CMBS | RMBS |
| Balance as of December 31, 2011 | \$ 18,489 | \$ 44,604 | \$ 54,896 | \$ 54,896 |
| Accretion of discount | (15,884) | (18,080) | | |
| Principal write-downs | | | | (2,040) |
| Purchases and transfers | 30,374 | 75,918 | | 80,926 |
| Sales | (11,468) | (27,048) | | (7,487) |
| Net OTTI | | 4,402 | | |
| Transfer to/from non-accretable difference | | 28,690 | | (28,690) |
| Balance as of December 31, 2012 | \$ 21,511 | \$ 108,486 | \$ 97,605 | \$ 97,605 |

Table of Contents**Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****As of December 31, 2012****3. Debt Securities (Continued)**

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the purchases and sales trades executed, as well as the principal payments received, were as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | RMBS | CMBS |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Purchases | \$ 254,035 | \$ 372,252 |
| Sales/Maturities | 87,957 | 173,334 |
| Principal payments received | 69,298 | 19,836 |

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the purchases and sales trades executed, as well as the principal payments received, were as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | RMBS | CMBS |
|------------------------------------|------------|---------|
| Purchases | \$ 161,204 | \$ |
| Sales/Maturities | 53,529 | 238,739 |
| Principal payments received | 69,466 | 44,449 |

During the year ended December 31, 2010, the purchases and sales trades executed, as well as the principal payments received, were as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | RMBS | CMBS |
|------------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Purchases | \$ 185,962 | \$ 18,547 |
| Sales/Maturities | 41,690 | |
| Principal payments received | 38,472 | 366 |

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we sold a CMBS position with aggregate gross proceeds of \$173.3 million (\$74.6 million after repaying related financing), which generated gains of approximately \$8.3 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, we sold various CMBS positions with aggregate gross proceeds of \$211.6 million (\$74.0 million after repaying related financing), which generated gains of approximately \$10.0 million. Additionally, \$27.1 million of our CMBS portfolio matured and was paid off during the year ended December 31, 2011.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, we sold various MBS positions with aggregate gross proceeds of \$41.7 million, which generated gains of approximately \$2.1 million.

Within the hospitality sector, as of December 31, 2012 we had an aggregate investment of \$421.2 million in senior debt secured by substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties. As of March 31, 2012, the debt investment was comprised of \$115.1 million in loans and \$387.6 million in securities. On April 16, 2012 the remaining \$115.1 million of loans were converted to securities. On August 23, 2012 we sold \$165.0 million of these CMBS resulting in a gain of \$8.2 million. As of December 31, 2012, the aggregate face value of \$421.8 million represented 5.8% of the total face value of this operator's senior debt outstanding, and the aggregate carrying value of our investment represented 9.7% of our total assets.

In June 2011, we exercised a pre-existing right to convert one of our loans into a CMBS in order to maximize the investment's liquidity. As a result, we reclassified the loan, which had a carrying

Table of Contents**Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

As of December 31, 2012

3. Debt Securities (Continued)

amount of \$176.6 million, from loans held for investment to MBS, available-for-sale, and recognized an unrealized gain in connection with this reclassification of \$7.9 million.

Through the first two quarters of 2010, a portion of our CMBS portfolio was designated as held-to-maturity. However, during the third quarter of 2010 our investment strategy with respect to these securities changed, and we no longer intended to hold them to maturity. As a result, we reclassified the securities to available-for-sale and recorded an unrealized gain in connection with this reclassification of approximately \$10.3 million.

As of December 31, 2012, 79.6% of the CMBS are variable rate and pay interest at LIBOR plus a weighted-average spread of 2.30%. As of December 31, 2011, 100% of the CMBS were variable rate and paid interest at LIBOR plus a weighted-average spread of 1.75%.

Subject to certain limitations on durations, we have allocated an amount to invest in RMBS that cannot exceed 10% of our total assets. We have engaged a third party manager who specializes in RMBS to execute the trading of RMBS. The cost of this service was \$1.9 million, \$0.7 million, and \$0.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively, and which has been recorded as an offset to interest income in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. As of December 31, 2012, approximately \$281.2 million, or 84.4%, of the RMBS are variable rate and pay interest at LIBOR plus a weighted-average spread of 0.38%. As of December 31, 2011, approximately \$154.7 million, or 93.8%, of the RMBS were variable rate and pay interest at LIBOR plus a weighted-average spread of 0.43%. We purchased all of the RMBS at a discount, a portion of which will be accreted into income over the expected remaining life of the security. The majority of the income from this strategy is earned from the accretion of these discounts.

The following table presents the gross unrealized losses and estimated fair value of our securities that are in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2012 for which OTTI's (full or partial) have not been recognized in earnings (amounts in thousands):

| | Estimated Fair Value | | Unrealized Losses | |
|-------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | Securities with a loss less than 12 months | Securities with a loss greater than 12 months | Securities with a loss less than 12 months | Securities with a loss greater than 12 months |
| | | | | |
| As of December 31, 2012 | | | | |
| CMBS | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| RMBS | 4,096 | 599 | (654) | (37) |
| Total | \$ 4,096 | \$ 599 | \$ (654) | \$ (37) |

As of December 31, 2012 there were seven securities with unrealized losses. After evaluating each security we determined that the impairments on 3 of these securities, totaling \$1.6 million, were other-than-temporary. Credit losses represented \$1.6 million of this total, which we calculated by comparing (i) the estimated future cash flows of each security discounted at the yield determined as of the initial acquisition date or, if since revised, as of the last date previously revised, to (ii) our amortized cost basis. As of September 30, 2012, the last measurement date for these securities, other than temporary impairments totaled \$2.8 million, bring the total other than temporary impairments for the year ended December 31, 2012, (as reported in the statement of operations) to \$4.4 million. We further determined that none of the four remaining securities were other-than-temporarily impaired.

Table of Contents**Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****As of December 31, 2012****3. Debt Securities (Continued)**

We considered a number of factors in reaching this conclusion, including that we did not intend to sell any individual security, it was not considered more likely than not that we would be forced to sell any individual security prior to recovering our amortized cost, and there were no material credit events that would have caused us to otherwise conclude that we would not recover our cost. Significant judgment is required is used in projecting cash flows for our non-agency RMBS. As a result, actual income and/or impairments could be materially different from what is currently projected and/or reported.

The following table presents the gross unrealized losses and estimated fair value of our securities that were in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2011 for which OTTI's (full or partial) have not been recognized in earnings (amounts in thousands):

| As of December 31, 2011 | Estimated Fair Value | | Unrealized Losses | |
|-------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| | Securities with a loss less than 12 months | Securities with a loss greater than 12 months | Securities with a loss less than 12 months | Securities with a loss greater than 12 months |
| CMBS | \$ 176,786 | \$ | \$ (567) | \$ |
| RMBS | 70,103 | 2,684 | (2,444) | (399) |
| Total | \$ 246,889 | \$ 2,684 | \$ (3,011) | \$ (399) |

As of December 31, 2011 there were 42 securities with unrealized losses. After evaluating each security we determined that the impairments on 25 of these securities, all of which are non-agency and whose impairments totaled \$4.7 million, were other-than-temporary. Credit losses represented \$3.4 million of this total, which we calculated by comparing (i) the estimated future cash flows of each security discounted at the yield determined as of the initial acquisition date or, if since revised, as of the last date previously revised, to (ii) our amortized cost basis. For the year ended December 31, 2011, our aggregate MBS credit losses (as reported in the statement of operations) were \$6.0 million. We further determined that none of the 17 remaining securities were other-than-temporarily impaired. We considered a number of factors in reaching this conclusion, including that we did not intend to sell any individual security, it was not considered more likely than not that we would be forced to sell any individual security prior to recovering our amortized cost, and there were no material credit events that would have caused us to otherwise conclude that we would not recover our cost. Significant judgment is required is used in projecting cash flows for our non-agency RMBS. As a result, actual income and/or impairments could be materially different from what is currently projected and/or reported.

4. Loans

Our loans held-for-investment are accounted for at amortized cost and the loans held-for-sale are accounted for at the lower of cost or fair value, unless we elect to record such loans at fair value. The

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

4. Loans (Continued)

following table summarizes our investments in loans by subordination class as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (amounts in thousands):

| December 31, 2012 | Carrying Value | Face Amount | Weighted Average Coupon | WAL (years)(2) |
|------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| First mortgages | \$ 1,461,666 | \$ 1,502,382 | 6.2% | 3.8 |
| Subordinated mortgages(1) | 397,159 | 430,444 | 9.8% | 4.0 |
| Mezzanine loans | 1,057,670 | 1,079,897 | 10.3% | 3.6 |
| Total loans held for investment | 2,916,495 | 3,012,723 | | |
| Loans held in securitization trust | 85,901 | 86,337 | 4.7% | 3.2 |
| Total gross loans | 3,002,396 | 3,099,060 | | |
| Loan loss allowance | (2,061) | | | |
| Total net loans | \$ 3,000,335 | \$ 3,099,060 | | |

| December 31, 2011 | Carrying Value | Face Amount | Weighted Average Coupon | WAL (years)(2) |
|---|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| First mortgages | \$ 1,202,611 | \$ 1,248,549 | 6.6% | 3.2 |
| Subordinated mortgages(1) | 437,163 | 487,175 | 7.4% | 4.1 |
| Mezzanine loans | 628,825 | 642,831 | 8.4% | 3.0 |
| Total loans held for investment | 2,268,599 | 2,378,555 | | |
| First mortgages held for sale at fair value | 128,593 | 122,833 | 5.9% | 8.9 |
| Loans held in securitization trust | 50,316 | 50,632 | 5.0% | 3.7 |
| Total gross loans | \$ 2,447,508 | \$ 2,552,020 | | |
| Loan loss allowance | | | | |
| Total net loans | \$ 2,447,508 | \$ 2,552,020 | | |

(1) Subordinated mortgages include (i) subordinated mortgages that we retain after having sold first mortgage positions related to the same collateral, (ii) B-Notes, and (iii) subordinated loan participations.

(2) Represents the WAL of each respective group of loans. The WAL of each individual loan is calculated as a fraction, the numerator of which is the sum of the timing (in years) of each expected future principal payment multiplied by the balance of the respective payment, and with a denominator equal to the sum of the expected principal payments. This calculation was made as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Assumptions for the calculation of the WAL are adjusted as necessary for changes in projected principal repayments and/or maturity dates of the loan.

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

As of December 31, 2012, approximately \$1.9 billion, or 63.9% of the loans were variable rate and pay interest at LIBOR plus a weighted-average spread of 6.06%. Of the floating rate loans, \$674.3 million pay interest using one-month LIBOR (0.2087%), \$93.2 million pay interest using one-month Citibank LIBOR (0.1900%), \$7.2 million pay interest using three-month Citibank LIBOR

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

4. Loans (Continued)

(0.3000%) and \$1.1 billion pay interest using a LIBOR floor (0.5%-2.0%). As of December 31, 2011, approximately \$1.1 billion, or 45.2% of the loans were variable rate and pay interest at LIBOR plus a weighted-average spread of 4.33%. Of the floating rate loans, \$264.0 million pay interest using one-month LIBOR (0.2953%) and \$143.4 million pay interest using three-month LIBOR (0.5810%).

As described in Note 2, we evaluate each of our loans for impairment at least quarterly. Our loans are typically collateralized by real estate. As a result, we regularly evaluate the extent and impact of any credit deterioration associated with the performance and/or value of the underlying collateral property, as well as the financial and operating capability of the borrower. Specifically, a property's operating results and any cash reserves are analyzed and used to assess (i) whether cash flow from operations is sufficient to cover the debt service requirements currently and into the future, (ii) the ability of the borrower to refinance the loan at maturity, and/or (iii) the property's liquidation value. We also evaluate the financial wherewithal of any loan guarantors as well as the borrower's competency in managing and operating the properties. In addition, we consider the overall economic environment, real estate sector, and geographic sub-market in which the borrower operates. Such impairment analyses are completed and reviewed by asset management and finance personnel who utilize various data sources, including (i) periodic financial data such as property operating statements, occupancy, tenant profile, rental rates, operating expenses, the borrower's exit plan, and capitalization and discount rates, (ii) site inspections, and (iii) current credit spreads and discussions with market participants.

Our evaluation process as described above produces an internal risk rating between 1 and 5, which is a weighted-average of the numerical ratings in the following categories: (i) sponsor capability and financial condition, (ii) loan and collateral performance relative to underwriting, (iii) quality and stability of collateral cash flows, and (iv) loan structure. We utilize the overall risk ratings as a concise means to monitor any credit migration on a loan as well as on the whole portfolio. While the overall risk rating is generally not the sole factor we use in determining whether a loan is impaired, a loan with a higher overall risk rating would tend to have more adverse indicators of impairment, and therefore would be more likely to experience a credit loss.

The rating categories generally include the characteristics described below, but these are utilized as guidelines and therefore not every loan will have all of the characteristics described in each category:

| Rating | Characteristics |
|---------------|--|
| 1 | <p>Sponsor capability and financial condition Sponsor is highly rated or investment grade or, if private, the equivalent thereof with significant management experience.</p> <p>Loan collateral and performance relative to underwriting The collateral has surpassed underwritten expectations.</p> <p>Quality and stability of collateral cash flows Occupancy is stabilized, the property has had a history of consistently high occupancy, and the property has a diverse and high quality tenant mix.</p> <p>Loan structure Loan-to-collateral value ratio ("LTV") does not exceed 65%. The loan has structural features that enhance the credit profile.</p> |
| 2 | <p>Sponsor capability and financial condition Strong sponsorship with experienced management team and a responsibly leveraged portfolio.</p> |

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

4. Loans (Continued)

Rating

Characteristics

Loan collateral and performance relative to underwriting Collateral performance equals or exceeds underwritten expectations and covenants and performance criteria are being met or exceeded.

Quality and stability of collateral cash flows Occupancy is stabilized with a diverse tenant mix.

Loan structure LTV does not exceed 70% and unique property risks are mitigated by structural features.

3

Sponsor capability and financial condition Sponsor has historically met its credit obligations, routinely pays off loans at maturity, and has a capable management team.

Loan collateral and performance relative to underwriting Property performance is consistent with underwritten expectations.

Quality and stability of collateral cash flows Occupancy is stabilized, near stabilized, or is on track with underwriting.

Loan structure LTV does not exceed 80%.

4

Sponsor capability and financial condition Sponsor credit history includes missed payments, past due payment, and maturity extensions. Management team is capable but thin.

Loan collateral and performance relative to underwriting Property performance lags behind underwritten expectations. Performance criteria and loan covenants have required occasional waivers. A sale of the property may be necessary in order for the borrower to pay off the loan at maturity.

Quality and stability of collateral cash flows Occupancy is not stabilized and the property has a large amount of rollover.

Loan structure LTV is 80% to 90%.

5

Sponsor capability and financial condition Credit history includes defaults, deeds-in-lieu, foreclosures, and/or bankruptcies.

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

Loan collateral and performance relative to underwriting Property performance is significantly worse than underwritten expectations. The loan is not in compliance with loan covenants and performance criteria and may be in default. Sale proceeds would not be sufficient to pay off the loan at maturity.

Quality and stability of collateral cash flows The property has material vacancy and significant rollover of remaining tenants.

Loan structure LTV exceeds 90%.

Table of Contents**Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

As of December 31, 2012

4. Loans (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012, the risk ratings by class of loan were as follows (amounts in thousands):

Balance Sheet Classification

| Risk Rating Category | Loans Held for Investment | | | Loans Held for Sale | Loans held in Securitization Trust | Total |
|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---|--------------|
| | First Mortgages | Subordinated Mortgages | Mezzanine Loans | First Mortgages | | |
| 1 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 2 | 39,734 | 2,434 | 370,671 | | 13,113 | 425,952 |
| 3 | 1,350,455 | 363,275 | 679,371 | | 72,788 | 2,465,889 |
| 4 | 59,970 | 31,450 | 7,628 | | | 99,048 |
| 5 | 11,507 | | | | | 11,507 |
| | \$ 1,461,666 | \$ 397,159 | \$ 1,057,670 | \$ | \$ 85,901 | \$ 3,002,396 |

After completing the evaluation of each loan as described above, we concluded that no loans were individually impaired as of December 31, 2012. In addition, we considered whether any loans shared specific characteristics with other loans such that it was probable, as a group, such loans had incurred an impairment loss as of December 31, 2012 as a result of their common characteristics. After completing this analysis, we recorded an allowance for loan losses equal to (i) 1.5% of the aggregate carrying amount of loans rated as a "4," plus (ii) 5% of the aggregate carrying amount of loans rated as a "5." These groups accounted for 3.7% and 4.5% of our loan portfolio as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2012 |
|---|---|
| Reserve for loan losses at beginning of year | \$ |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,061 |
| Charge-offs | |
| Recoveries | |
| Reserve for loan losses at end of year | \$ 2,061 |
| Recorded investment in loans related to the allowance for loan loss | \$ 110,555 |

As of December 31, 2011, the risk ratings by class of loan were as follows (amounts in thousands):

Balance Sheet Classification

| Risk Rating Category | Loans Held for Investment | | | Loans Held for Sale | Loans held in Securitization Trust | Total |
|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---|---------|
| | First Mortgages | Subordinated Mortgages | Mezzanine Loans | First Mortgages | | |
| 1 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 2 | 108,900 | 131,281 | 139,167 | 89,760 | 13,193 | 482,301 |

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| 3 | 1,054,717 | 251,788 | 481,982 | 38,833 | 37,123 | 1,864,443 |
| 4 | 38,994 | 54,094 | 7,676 | | | 100,764 |
| 5 | | | | | | |
| | \$ 1,202,611 | \$ 437,163 | \$ 628,825 | \$ 128,593 | \$ 50,316 | \$ 2,447,508 |

Table of Contents**Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****As of December 31, 2012****4. Loans (Continued)**

After completing the evaluation of each loan as described above, we concluded that no loans were individually impaired as of December 31, 2011. In addition, we considered whether any loans shared specific characteristics with other loans such that it was probable, as a group, such loans had incurred an impairment loss as of December 31, 2011 as a result of their common characteristic. After completing this analysis, we determined that no allowance for loan losses was necessary as of December 31, 2011.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the activity in our loan portfolio (including loans transferred as secured borrowings) was as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | |
|--|---------------------|
| Balance January 1, 2012 | \$ 2,447,508 |
| Acquisitions/originations | 1,739,944 |
| Additional funding | 13,419 |
| Capitalized interest(1) | 3,594 |
| Basis of loans sold | (468,079) |
| Loan maturities | (615,227) |
| Transfer out loan converted to a security | (115,100) |
| Principal repayments | (55,223) |
| Discount accretion/premium amortization | 44,653 |
| Unrealized foreign currency remeasurement gain | 12,667 |
| Unrealized losses on loans held for sale at fair value | (5,760) |
| Loan loss allowance | (2,061) |
| Balance December 31, 2012 | \$ 3,000,335 |

(1) Represents accrued interest income on loans whose terms do not require current payment of interest.

As disclosed above, we acquired or originated \$1.7 billion in loans for the year ended December 31, 2012, which included: (i) a \$125.0 million participation in a senior loan, converted to a CMBS in the second quarter, secured by all the material assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts, and timeshare properties for a discounted purchase price of \$115.7 million; (ii) an origination of a \$63.0 million first mortgage, of which \$59.0 million was funded at closing, collateralized by 10 office buildings located in California; (iii) an origination of a \$40.0 million mezzanine loan secured by a 10-property portfolio of full-service and extended-stay hotels located in eight different states; (iv) an origination of a \$73.0 million junior mezzanine loan, of which \$45.0 million was initially funded, collateralized by six office buildings in Virginia; (v) an origination of a \$170.0 million first mortgage loan, of which \$135.0 million was initially funded, collateralized by two office buildings in midtown Manhattan; (vi) an origination of a \$30.0 million mezzanine loan collateralized by an office building in Pennsylvania; (vii) an origination of a \$51.5 million first mortgage collateralized by three hotels in North Carolina, New Jersey, and Virginia; (viii) a purchase of a 50% undivided participation interest in a EUR-denominated mezzanine loan for \$68.4 million, collateralized by three hotels in France and Germany; (ix) an acquisition of a \$250.0 million participation in a mezzanine loan at a discounted price of \$233.75 million, secured by indirect equity interests in subsidiaries that own substantially all the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties; (x) an origination of a \$46.0 million first mortgage collateralized by a 351 key hotel in Maryland; (xi) an origination of a

Table of Contents**Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****As of December 31, 2012****4. Loans (Continued)**

\$61.0 million first mortgage, of which \$48.5 million was funded at closing, collateralized by two office buildings in California; (xii) an origination of a \$475.0 million first mortgage and mezzanine loan, of which \$375.0 million was initially funded, for the acquisition and redevelopment of a ten story retail building in Manhattan (refer to Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements for disclosure regarding related-party nature and accounting treatment); and (xiii) an origination of a \$115.5 million first mortgage secured by the fee interests in a 25 story Class A office tower located in San Francisco, CA.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the activity in our loan portfolio (including loans held-for-sale) was as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Balance January 1, 2011 | \$ 1,425,243 |
| Acquisitions/originations | 1,782,964 |
| Additional funding | 45,792 |
| Capitalized interest(1) | 7,485 |
| Basis of loans sold | (331,312) |
| Loan maturities | (305,316) |
| Transfer out loan converted to a security | (176,635) |
| Principal repayments | (26,933) |
| Discount accretion/premium amortization | 26,966 |
| Unrealized foreign currency remeasurement loss | (6,506) |
| Unrealized gains on loans held for sale at fair value | 5,760 |
| | |
| Balance December 31, 2011 | \$ 2,447,508 |

(1) Represents accrued interest income on loans whose terms do not require current payment of interest.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the activity in our loan portfolio was as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Balance January 1, 2010 | \$ 214,521 |
| Acquisitions/originations | 1,352,253 |
| Capitalized interest(1) | 3,323 |
| Basis of loans sold | (28,911) |
| Loan maturities | (114,717) |
| Principal repayments | (13,642) |
| Discount accretion/premium amortization | 6,339 |
| Unrealized foreign currency remeasurement loss | 6,077 |
| | |
| Balance December 31, 2010, | \$ 1,425,243 |

(1) Represents accrued interest income on loans whose terms do not require current payment of interest.

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

5. Other Investments

Other investments are comprised of the following assets (amounts in thousands):

| | December 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Residential real estate | \$ 99,115 | \$ |
| Non-performing residential loans | 68,883 | |
| Investment in marketable securities | 21,667 | 11,269 |
| Investment in LLC | 32,318 | 33,110 |
| Total other investments | \$ 221,983 | \$ 44,379 |

Residential real estate

During 2012, we began to purchase single family residential homes and non-performing residential loans. At acquisition, a significant portion of the properties were either vacant or had occupants that were not subject to a lease and/or were not paying rent to the previous owner. Upon acquisition, we began actively preparing the properties to be either rented or sold, as applicable. From the date of acquisition through December 31, 2012, we incurred approximately \$5.4 million in costs of preparing these properties for their intended use, and such costs were added to our investment basis.

| Type | Depreciable Life | Original Cost | Capitalized Cost | Accumulated Depreciation | Net Book Value |
|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| Building | 30 years | \$ 20,955 | \$ 3,036 | \$ (203) | \$ 23,788 |
| Land | | 20,457 | | | 20,457 |
| Furniture & Fixtures | 5 years | 191 | 72 | (10) | 253 |
| Development Assets | | 52,275 | 2,342 | | 54,617 |
| | | \$ 93,878 | \$ 5,450 | \$ (213) | \$ 99,115 |

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the operating results of the properties and the location of the item in the consolidated statements of operations were as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Rental income | \$ 443 | Other income (expense) |
| Operating expenses | 933 | Other income (expense) |
| Net operating income | (490) | |
| Depreciation | (213) | Other income (expense) |
| Acquisition & startup costs | (758) | Various(1) |
| Gain on real estate sales | 586 | Realized gain on sale of investments |
| Other expense | (84) | Various |
| Income tax expense | (152) | Income tax provision |
| | \$ (1,111) | |

(1)

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

Acquisition and start-up costs includes \$198 thousand reflected in acquisition and investment pursuit costs and \$560 thousand reflected in other income (expense) on the December 31, 2012 income statement.

Table of Contents**Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****As of December 31, 2012****5. Other Investments (Continued)*****Non-performing loan pool***

In November 2012, we acquired 485 non-performing residential loans at an aggregate cost of \$69.0 million. For the year ended December 31, 2012 the operating results and the location of the item in the consolidated statements of operations were as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | | |
|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------------------|
| Interest income | \$ | Other income (expense) |
| Operating expenses | 246 | Various |
| Net operating income | (246) | |
| Acquisition & startup costs | (758) | Various(1) |
| Gain on loan sales | 110 | Realized gain on sale of investments |
| | \$ | (894) |

- (1) Acquisition and start-up costs include \$690 thousand reflected in acquisition and investment pursuit costs and \$68 thousand reflected in other income (expense) on the December 31, 2012 income statement.

Investment in marketable securities

In December 2012, we acquired 9,140,000 ordinary shares in Starwood European Real Estate Finance Limited ("SEREF"), a debt fund that is externally managed by an affiliate of our Manager and is listed on the London Stock Exchange, for approximately \$14.7 million. As a result, we own approximately 4% of SEREF. We have elected to report this investment at fair value because the shares are listed on an exchange, which allows us to determine the fair value using a quoted price from an active market, and also due to potential lags in reporting resulting from differences in the respective regulatory requirements. We have not received any distributions from SEREF, and the fair value of the investment remeasured into USD was \$15.2 million at December 31, 2012.

As of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had an aggregate cost basis of \$5.7 million and \$13.8 million invested in the publicly traded equity securities of certain REITs that were classified as available-for-sale and carried at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in other comprehensive income (loss). As of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the aggregate fair value of such securities was \$6.5 million and \$11.3 million, respectively, resulting in a net unrealized gain of \$850 thousand and a net unrealized loss of \$2.5 million, respectively. The aggregate fair value of marketable securities including the SEREF investment, totaled \$21.7 million at December 31, 2012. For the year ended December 31, 2012 we recognized dividend income related to these investments of \$0.8 million that is included as a component of other income in the consolidated statement of operations and we sold securities with an aggregate cost basis of \$8.1 million resulting in a realized gain of \$0.2 million. For the year ended December 31, 2011, we purchased securities with an aggregate cost basis of \$9.3 million.

Investments in LLC

In June 2011, we acquired a non-controlling 49% interest in a privately-held limited liability company ("LLC") for \$25.5 million, which is accounted for under the equity method. In December 2011 we sold 20% of this investment for an amount that approximated our carrying amount. The LLC owns a mezzanine loan participation, and our share of earnings for the years ended December 31, 2012

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

5. Other Investments (Continued)

and December 31, 2011 was \$2.1 million and \$1.1 million, which is included in other income on the consolidated statements of operations. For the year ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the cost basis was \$24.3 million and \$25.1 million, respectively.

Prior to 2011, we had committed \$9.7 million to acquire at least a 5% interest in a privately-held limited liability company formed to acquire assets of a commercial real estate debt management and servicing business primarily for the opportunity to participate in debt opportunities arising from the venture's special servicing business (the "Participation Right"). As of December 31, 2012, we had funded \$8.0 million of our commitment. For the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 we recognized a gain of \$1.7 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, related to this investment, which is included in other income on the consolidated statements of operations and the cost basis was \$8.0 million and \$8.0 million, respectively.

6. Secured Financing Agreements

On March 31, 2010, Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-1, L.L.C. ("SPM Sub-1"), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, entered into a Master Repurchase and Securities Contract (the "Wells Repurchase Agreement") with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association ("Wells Fargo"). The Wells Repurchase Agreement is secured by approximately \$75.9 million of the diversified loan portfolio purchased from Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America on February 26, 2010 ("the TIAA Portfolio"). Advances under the Wells Repurchase Agreement accrue interest at a per annum pricing rate equal to the sum of one-month LIBOR plus the pricing margin of 3.0%. If an event of default (as such term is defined in the Wells Repurchase Agreement) occurs and is continuing, amounts borrowed may become due and payable and interest accrues at the default rate, which is equal to the pricing rate plus 4.0%. The maturity date of the Wells Repurchase Agreement is May 31, 2013. The Wells Repurchase Agreement allowed for advances through May 31, 2010. As of December 31, 2012, \$49.2 million was outstanding under the Wells Repurchase Agreement and the carrying value of the pledged collateral was \$75.9 million. The Company guarantees certain of the obligations of SPM Sub-1 under the Wells Repurchase Agreement up to maximum liability of 25% of the then currently outstanding repurchase price of all purchased assets.

On August 6, 2010, Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-2, L.L.C. ("SPM Sub-2"), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, entered into a second Master Repurchase and Securities Contract with Wells Fargo, which second repurchase facility was amended and restated by SPM Sub-2 and Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-2-A, L.L.C. ("SPM Sub-2-A"), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, on February 28, 2011, pursuant to an Amended and Restated Master Repurchase and Securities Contract (the "Second Wells Repurchase Agreement"). The Second Wells Repurchase Agreement was amended on May 24, 2011 and November 3, 2011 ("Amendment No. 2"), and is being used by SPM Sub-2 and SPM Sub-2-A to finance the acquisition or origination of commercial mortgage loans (and participations therein) and mezzanine loans. In connection with Amendment No. 2, available borrowings under the facility increased by \$200 million to \$550 million. Advances under the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement accrue interest at a per annum pricing rate equal to the sum of one-month LIBOR plus a margin of between 1.75% and 6.0% depending on the type of asset being financed. If an event of default (as such term is defined in the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement) occurs and is continuing, amounts borrowed may become due and payable immediately and interest accrues at the default rate, which is equal to the pricing rate plus 4.0%. The initial maturity date of the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement is August 5, 2013, subject to two one-year extension options, each

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

6. Secured Financing Agreements (Continued)

of which may be exercised by us upon the satisfaction of certain conditions and the payment of an extension fee. The Company guarantees certain of the obligations of SPM Sub-2 and SPM Sub-2-A under the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement up to a maximum liability of either 25% or 100% of the then-currently outstanding repurchase price of purchased assets, depending upon the type of asset being financed. As of December 31, 2012, \$347.8 million was outstanding under the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement and the carrying value of the pledged collateral was \$692.8 million.

On December 2, 2010, Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-3, L.L.C. ("SPM Sub-3"), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, entered into a Master Repurchase Agreement with Goldman Sachs Mortgage Company, which repurchase facility was amended and restated by SPM Sub-3 and Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-3-A, L.L.C. ("SPM Sub-3-A"), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, on February 28, 2011, pursuant to an Amended and Restated Master Repurchase Agreement (the "Goldman Repurchase Agreement"). The Goldman Repurchase Agreement will be used to finance the acquisition or origination by SPM Sub-3 and SPM Sub-3-A of commercial mortgage loans that are eligible for CMBS securitization. The Goldman Repurchase Agreement provides for asset purchases of up to \$150 million. The Company guarantees certain of the obligations of SPM Sub-3 and SPM Sub-3-A under the Goldman Repurchase Agreement up to a maximum liability of 25% of the then-currently outstanding repurchase price of all purchased loans. Advances under the Goldman Repurchase Agreement accrue interest at a per annum pricing rate equal to the sum of one-month LIBOR plus a margin of between 1.95% and 2.25% depending on the loan-to-value ratio of the purchased mortgage loan. If an event of default (as such term is defined in the Goldman Repurchase Agreement) occurs and is continuing, amounts borrowed may become due and payable immediately and interest accrues at the default rate, which is equal to the pricing rate plus 2.0%. The facility expired as scheduled in December 2012.

On March 18, 2011, Starwood Property Mortgage, L.L.C. ("SPM"), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, entered into a third Master Repurchase and Securities Contract with Wells Fargo ("the Third Wells Repurchase Agreement"). The Third Wells Repurchase Agreement is being used by SPM to finance the acquisition and ownership of RMBS and provides for asset purchases up to \$175 million. Advances under the Third Wells Repurchase Agreement generally accrue interest at a per annum pricing rate equal to one-month LIBOR plus a margin of 2.10%. If an event of default (as such term is defined in the Third Wells Repurchase Agreement) occurs and is continuing, amounts borrowed may become due and payable immediately and interest accrues at the default rate, which is equal to the pricing rate plus 4.0%. The facility was scheduled to terminate on March 16, 2012. We extended the facility for an additional year and the new facility termination date is March 16, 2013. The Company has guaranteed certain of the obligations of SPM under the Third Wells Repurchase Agreement. As of December 31, 2012, \$163.1 million was outstanding and the carrying value of the pledged collateral was \$294.0 million.

On December 30, 2011, Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-5, L.L.C. ("SPM Sub-5") and Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-5-A, L.L.C. ("SPM Sub-5-A"), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries, entered into a fourth Master Repurchase and Securities Contract with Wells Fargo (the "Fourth Wells Repurchase Agreement"). The Fourth Wells Repurchase Agreement provides for advances up to \$189.9 million and is secured by a loan portfolio of 21 separate commercial mortgage loans. As of December 31, 2012, advances under the Fourth Wells Repurchase Agreement accrued interest at one-month LIBOR plus a pricing margin of 2.75%. The availability of additional advances, as well as the pricing margin on all outstanding borrowings at any given time, is determined by the current

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

6. Secured Financing Agreements (Continued)

operating cash flows and fair values of the underlying collateral, both in relation to the existing collateral loan receivable balances outstanding, and all as approved by Wells Fargo. The overall term of the Fourth Wells Repurchase Agreement is three years, with two one-year conditional extensions. As of December 31, 2012, SPM Sub-5-A had borrowed \$181.2 million under this facility and the carrying value of the pledged collateral was \$243.0 million. At closing, we paid a 0.50% commitment fee based upon the total committed proceeds. If the overall facility is extended beginning in December 2014, we would pay a 0.25% extension fee for each year. The Company guarantees 60% of the currently outstanding repurchase price for all purchased assets; however, the Company guarantees 100% of the outstanding balance of any individual repurchase transaction involving a collateral property with operating cash flows that at any time is less than 15% of the related collateral loan receivable balance.

On March 6, 2012, Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-7, LLC ("SPM Sub-7"), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, entered into a Master Repurchase Agreement with Goldman Sachs International (the "Second Goldman Repurchase Agreement"). At closing, we borrowed \$155.4 million under the Second Goldman Repurchase Agreement to finance the acquisition of \$222.8 million in senior debt securities that are expected to mature on November 15, 2015. The senior debt securities were issued by certain special purpose entities that were formed to hold substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties. Advances under the Second Goldman Repurchase Agreement accrue interest at a per annum rate of one-month LIBOR plus a spread of 2.90%. The maturity date of the Second Goldman Repurchase Agreement is August 15, 2015. The carrying value of the collateral senior debt securities was \$213.9 million and the amount outstanding under the facility was \$149.4 million at December 31, 2012.

On March 26, 2012, Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-6, LLC ("SPM Sub-6") and Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-6-A ("SPM Sub-6-A"), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries, entered into a Master Repurchase Agreement with Citibank, N.A. (the "Citi Repurchase Agreement"). The Citi Repurchase Agreement provides for asset purchases of up to \$125.0 million to finance commercial mortgage loans and senior interests in commercial mortgage loans originated or acquired by us and including loans and interests intended to be included in commercial mortgage loan securitizations as well as those not intended to be securitized. Advances under the Citi Repurchase Agreement accrue interest at a per annum interest rate equal to the sum of (i) 30-day LIBOR plus (ii) a margin of between 1.75% and 3.75% depending on (A) asset type, (B) the amount advanced and (C) the debt yield and loan-to-value ratios of the purchased mortgage loan, provided that the aggregate weighted average interest rate shall not at any time be less than the sum of one-month LIBOR plus 2.25%. The facility has an initial maturity date of March 29, 2014, subject to three one-year extension options, which may be exercised by us upon the satisfaction of certain conditions. We have guaranteed the obligations of our subsidiaries under the facility up to a maximum liability of 25% of the then-currently outstanding repurchase price of assets financed. As of December 31, 2012, SPM Sub-6-A had borrowed \$49.0 million under this facility and the carrying value of the pledged collateral was \$71.4 million.

Under the Wells Repurchase Agreement, the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement, the Goldman Repurchase Agreement, the Third Wells Repurchase Agreement, the Fourth Wells Repurchase Agreement, the Second Goldman Repurchase Agreement, and the Citi Repurchase Agreement, the counterparty retains the sole discretion over both whether to purchase the loan or security from us and, subject to certain conditions, the market value of such loan or security for purposes of determining whether we are required to pay margin to the counterparty.

Table of Contents**Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****As of December 31, 2012****6. Secured Financing Agreements (Continued)**

On December 3, 2010, SPT Real Estate Sub II, LLC ("SPT II"), our wholly-owned subsidiary, entered into a term loan credit agreement (the "BAML Credit Agreement") with Bank of America, N.A. ("Bank of America") as administrative agent and as lender, and us and certain of our subsidiaries as guarantors. The BAML Credit Agreement, amended and restated on March 9, 2012 ("Amended BAML Credit Agreement"), provides for loans of up to \$141.6 million as of December 31, 2012. The initial draw under the BAML Credit Agreement in December 2010 was used, in part, to finance the acquisition of a \$205.0 million participation (the "Participation") in a senior secured loan due November 15, 2015 from Bank of America. The Participation was converted into a security in June 2011 and is due from certain special purpose entities that were formed to hold substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties. In connection with the March 9, 2012 amendment, we borrowed an additional \$81.0 million to partially finance the \$125.0 million acquisition of additional participation interest in the senior secured loan.

Advances under the Amended BAML Credit Agreement accrue interest at a per annum rate based on LIBOR or a base rate, at the election of SPT II. The margin can vary between 2.35% and 2.50% over LIBOR, and between 1.35% and 1.50% over base rate, based on the performance of the underlying hospitality collateral. The initial maturity date of the Amended BAML Credit Agreement is November 30, 2014, subject to a 12 month extension option, exercisable by SPT II upon satisfaction of certain conditions set forth in the Amended BAML Credit Agreement. Bank of America retains the sole discretion, subject to certain conditions, over the market value of collateral assets for purposes of determining whether we are required to pay margin to Bank of America. As of December 31, 2012, \$141.6 million was outstanding under the BAML Credit Agreement. The carrying value of the CMBS pledged as collateral under the Credit agreement was \$207.3 million as of December 31, 2012. If an event of default (as such term is defined in the Amended BAML Credit Agreement) occurs and is continuing, amounts borrowed may become due and payable immediately and interest would accrue at an additional 2% per annum over the applicable rate.

On July 3, 2012, Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-9, L.L.C. ("SPM Sub-9") and Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-9-A, L.L.C. ("SPM Sub-9-A"), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries, entered into a Purchase and Repurchase Agreement and Securities Contract ("OneWest Repurchase Agreement") with OneWest Bank, FSB ("OneWest"). At closing, SPM Sub-9 transferred loan investments to OneWest in exchange for a \$78.3 million advance. Borrowings under the OneWest Repurchase Agreement accrue interest at a pricing rate of one-month LIBOR plus a margin of 3.0%. If an event of default (as such term is defined in the OneWest Repurchase Agreement) occurs and is continuing, amounts borrowed may become due and payable immediately and interest accrues at the default rate, which is equal to the pricing rate plus 5.0%. The initial maturity date of the facility is July 3, 2015 with two one-year extension options, subject to certain conditions. As of December 31, 2012, \$65.6 million was outstanding under the OneWest Bank Repurchase Agreement and the carrying value of the pledged collateral was \$94.8 million.

On August 3, 2012, Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-10, LLC ("SPM Sub-10") and Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-10A ("SPM Sub 10-A"), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries, jointly entered into a \$250.0 million Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility ("Borrowing Base") arranged by Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated ("MLPFS"). Lender participants in the facility include Bank of America, Citibank, Barclays Bank PLC, Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, Goldman Sachs Bank USA, and Stifel Bank & Trust. The facility matures 364 days from closing, may be extended from time to time, provided the aggregate tenor shall not exceed four years. Outstanding borrowings

Table of Contents**Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****As of December 31, 2012****6. Secured Financing Agreements (Continued)**

under the facility will be priced at LIBOR + 325 bps, with an unused fee of 30 to 35 bps per annum depending upon the usage of the facility. The facility will be used primarily to finance our purchase or origination of commercial mortgage loans for the time period between transaction closing and the time in which a financing of the loan can be closed with one of our existing secured warehouse facilities or the loan is sold/syndicated in whole or in part. The term of financing provided under the facility for any individual loan is limited in most instances to the lesser of six months or the maturity of the facility. The facility will be secured by each loan for which financing has been provided as well as a no less than \$500.0 million in market value of additional preapproved unencumbered senior, subordinate, and mezzanine loan assets. The facility is full recourse to us. As of December 31, 2012, there were no borrowings under the Borrowing Base and the carrying value of the pledged collateral but not drawn upon is \$697.4 million.

On August 17, 2012, Starwood Property Trust, Inc. ("SPT"), entered into a Master Repurchase Agreement with Goldman Sachs Lending Partners, LLC (the "Third Goldman Repurchase Agreement"). At closing, we borrowed \$158.8 million under the Third Goldman Repurchase Agreement to finance the acquisition of \$250.0 million participation interest in a mezzanine note that is expected to mature on November 15, 2015. The mezzanine note was issued by certain special purpose entities that were formed to hold substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties. Advances under the Third Goldman Repurchase Agreement accrue interest at a per annum rate of one-month LIBOR plus a spread of 3.70%. The maturity date of the Third Goldman Repurchase Agreement is September 15, 2015. The carrying value of the mezzanine note was \$236.6 million and the amount outstanding under the facility was \$158.8 million at December 31, 2012.

The following table sets forth our five-year principal repayments schedule for the secured financings, assuming no defaults or expected extensions and excluding the loan transfer secured borrowings (amounts in thousands). Our credit facilities generally require principal to be paid down prior to the facilities' respective maturities if and when we receive principal payments on, or sell, the investment collateral that we have pledged. The amount for 2013 generally represents the principal repayments that are scheduled or otherwise expected to be received on our loan and MBS investments:

| | | |
|---------------------|----|-----------|
| 2013 | \$ | 633,148 |
| 2014 | | 301,828 |
| 2015 | | 370,836 |
| 2016 | | |
| 2017 and thereafter | | |
| Total | \$ | 1,305,812 |

Secured financing maturities for 2013 primarily relate to \$347.8 million of financings on the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement, \$163.1 million on the Third Wells Repurchase Agreement, \$49.2 on the Wells Repurchase Agreement, \$35.3 million on the One West Bank Repurchase Agreement, and \$23.0 million on the Fourth Wells Repurchase Agreement. We expect to extend the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement beyond initial maturity. Since we have extension options available to us by meeting certain criteria. Additionally, we expect to extend the Third Wells Repurchase Agreement with the lender.

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

7. Loan Securitization/Sale Activities

During 2010, the Company participated in a commercial mortgage securitization which generated non-recourse match funded financing with an effective cost of funds of approximately 3.5%. The Company separated five mortgage loans with an aggregate face value of \$178 million into senior and junior loans. It contributed the five senior loans, or A Notes (the "Contributed Loans"), with a face value of approximately \$84 million to the securitization trust and received approximately \$92 million in proceeds, while retaining \$94 million of junior interests. The Contributed Loans are secured by office, retail and industrial properties and have remaining maturities between four and seven years.

Each of the five Contributed Loans was either originated or acquired by the Company as part of a first mortgage loan. In connection with the securitization, two of the first mortgage loans were each split by the Company into an A Note and a B Note and three of the first mortgage loans had each been previously split into A Notes, B Notes and C Notes.

The secured financing liability relates to two of the Contributed Loans that we securitized but did not qualify for sale treatment under GAAP. As of December 31, 2012, the balance of the loans pledged to the securitization trust was \$50.3 million and the related liability of the securitization trust was \$52.3 million. As of December 31, 2011, the balance of the loans pledged to the securitization trust was \$50.3 million and the related liability of the securitization trust was \$53.2 million.

During the first quarter of 2011, we contributed three loans to a securitization trust for approximately \$56 million in gross proceeds. Control of the loans was surrendered in the loan transfer and it was therefore treated as a sale under GAAP, resulting in a gain of \$1.9 million. We effectively realized a net gain of \$1.8 million on this transaction after considering the realized losses on the interest rate hedges of \$0.1 million that was terminated in connection with the sale.

During the second quarter of 2011, we sold a loan to an independent third party for gross proceeds of \$78.4 million. Control of the loan was surrendered in the transaction and it was therefore treated as sale under GAAP, resulting in a gain of \$3.4 million. We effectively realized a net gain of \$2.9 million on this transaction after considering the realized loss on the interest rate hedge of \$0.5 million that was terminated in connection with the sale.

During the third quarter of 2011, we sold loans with a carrying value of \$154.4 million into a securitization resulting in proceeds, net of financing repayments, of \$69.7 million. Control of the loans was surrendered in the loan transfer and it was therefore treated as a sale under GAAP, resulting in a realized gain of \$5.1 million. However, we effectively broke even on this transaction after considering the realized gains on the credit hedges of \$2.2 million and realized losses on the interest rate hedges of \$7.4 million that were terminated in connection with the sale.

During the fourth quarter of 2011, we sold a loan with a carrying value of \$42.5 million at par. Control of the loan was surrendered in the loan transfer and it was therefore treated as a sale under GAAP, resulting in no realized gain or loss.

During the first quarter of 2012, we sold six loans with a carrying value of \$122.7 million to an independent third party resulting in proceeds, net of financing repayments, of \$40.6 million. Control of the loans was surrendered in the loan transfer and it was therefore treated as a sale under GAAP, resulting in a realized gain of \$9.4 million. The net economic gain of this transaction, including a realized loss of \$8.4 million on the termination of the corresponding interest rate hedge, was \$1.0 million. Additionally, we sold 50% of our Euro denominated loan to a strategic partner resulting in proceeds of \$28.8 million and a realized loss of \$2.1 million; however, this transaction was earnings

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

7. Loan Securitization/Sale Activities (Continued)

neutral after considering the realized gains on the related currency hedges of \$2.1 million that were terminated in connection with the sale. We have no continuing involvement in the loans.

During the third quarter of 2012, we sold the \$36.1 million A-Note of a \$51.5 million first mortgage loan that we had closed in July 2012, and retained the \$15.4 million B-Note. The loan is collateralized by a portfolio of three hotels. The cash proceeds received from the sale approximated our carrying value in the A-Note. We retained the \$15.4 million B-Note. The A-Note and B-Note bear interest at one-month LIBOR plus 3.5% and 11.83%, respectively. The buyer has an option to require us to repurchase the A-Note unless and until a default condition is cured with respect to one of the collateral properties, at which time the guarantee would terminate. In exchange for providing this guarantee, we receive 0.5% of the interest otherwise due to the buyer under the A-Note unless and until the guarantee terminates. The buyer has not exercised its option to date. While we fully expect the default condition will be cured as it was caused by a short-term construction project at the property that has since been completed, our participation in the interest accruing under the A-Note represents an element of continuing involvement that requires us to account for the sale as a secured borrowing. The carrying amount of the A-Note and secured borrowing were \$35.6 million at December 31, 2012, and are classified in loans transferred as secured borrowings and loan transfer secured borrowings, respectively.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, we sold five loans with an aggregate carrying value of \$314.5 million to independent third parties in five separate transactions resulting in proceeds of \$315.7 million. Control of the loans was surrendered in the loan transfers and they were therefore treated as sales under GAAP.

8. Derivatives and Hedging Activity

Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives

We are exposed to certain risks arising from both our business operations and economic conditions. We principally manage our exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of our core business activities. We manage economic risks, including interest rate, foreign exchange, liquidity, and credit risk primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of our debt funding and the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, we enter into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates, credit spreads, and foreign exchange rates. Our derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the known or expected cash receipts and known or expected cash payments principally related to our investments, anticipated level of loan sales, and borrowings.

Cash Flow Hedges of Forecasted Interest Payments

Our objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage our exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, we primarily use interest rate swaps as part of our interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable amounts from a counterparty in exchange for us making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount.

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

8. Derivatives and Hedging Activity (Continued)

In connection with our repurchase agreements, we have entered into nine interest rate swaps that have been designated as cash flow hedges of the interest rate risk associated with forecasted variable interest payments. As of December 31, 2012, the aggregate notional amount of our interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges of forecasted variable interest payments totaled \$254.8 million. Under these agreements, we will pay fixed monthly coupons at fixed rates ranging from 0.557% to 2.228% of the notional amount to the counterparty and receive floating rate LIBOR. Our interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk have maturities ranging from February 2013 to May 2021.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualify as cash flow hedges is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded no hedge ineffectiveness in earnings. During the years ended December 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, we recorded \$45 thousand and \$46 thousand, respectively as hedge ineffectiveness in earnings, which is included in interest expense on the consolidated statements of operations.

Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) related to derivatives will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the associated variable-rate debt. Over the next twelve months, we estimate that an additional \$1.5 million will be reclassified as an increase to interest expense. We are hedging our exposure to the variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions over a maximum period of 102 months.

Non-designated Hedges

Derivatives not designated as hedges are derivatives that do not meet the criteria for hedge accounting under GAAP or for which we have not elected to designate as hedges. We do not use these derivatives for speculative purposes but instead they are used to manage our exposure to foreign exchange rates, interest rate changes, and certain credit spreads. Changes in the fair value of derivatives not designated in hedging relationships are recorded directly in net (losses) gains on interest rate, currency or credit hedges in the consolidated statements of operations.

During 2010, we entered into a series of forward contracts whereby we agree to sell an amount of GBP for agreed upon amounts of USD at various dates through October 2013. These forward contracts were executed to economically fix the USD amounts of GBP-denominated cash flows expected to be received by us related to a GBP-denominated loan investment.

During 2011, we entered into a series of forward contracts whereby we agreed to sell an amount of EUR for an agreed upon amount of USD at various dates through June of 2014. These forward contracts were executed to economically fix the USD amount of EUR-denominated cash flows expected to be received by us related to a mezzanine loan investment in Germany. During 2012, we terminated a portion of our contracts to sell EUR. The purpose of the terminations was to reduce the amount of EUR we were to sell at future dates as a result of the refinancing of our EUR-denominated loan investment.

During 2012, we entered into positions to buy GBP for an agreed upon amount of USD at various dates through October 2013 to fix the future value of our losses on pre-existing GBP forward positions.

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

8. Derivatives and Hedging Activity (Continued)

We also entered into a new series of forward contracts whereby we agreed to sell GBP for an agreed upon amount of USD at various dates through March 2016. We also entered into a series of forward contracts whereby we agreed to sell an amount of EUR for an agreed upon amount of USD at various dates through January of 2014. These forward contracts were executed to economically fix the USD amount of EUR-denominated cash flows expected to be received by us related to a second EUR denominated mezzanine loan. During the three months ended December 31, 2012, we entered into a series of forward contracts whereby we agree to sell an amount of GBP for agreed upon amounts of USD at various dates through January 2016. These forward contracts were executed to economically fix the USD amounts of GBP-denominated cash flows expected to be received by us related to a GBP-denominated loan investment.

As of December, 2012, we had 24 foreign exchange forward derivatives to sell GBP with a total notional amount of GBP 200.4 million, 4 foreign exchange forward derivatives to buy GBP with a total notional amount of GBP 65.7 million and 12 foreign exchange forward derivatives to sell EUR with a total notional of EUR 92.2 million.

During 2011, we entered into several interest rate swaps that were not designated as hedges. Under these agreements, we pay fixed coupons at fixed rates ranging from 0.716% to 2.505% of the notional amount to the counterparty and receive floating rate LIBOR. These interest rate swaps are used to limit the price exposure of certain assets due to changes in benchmark USD-LIBOR swap rates from which the pricing of these assets is derived. As of December 31, 2012, the aggregate notional amount of these interest rate swaps totaled \$165.0 million. Changes in the fair value of these interest rate swaps are recorded directly in earnings.

In connection with our acquisition of a loan portfolio during the fourth quarter of 2011, we entered into nine interest rate swaps whereby we receive fixed coupons ranging from 2.86% to 5.75% of the notional amount and pay floating rate LIBOR. We acquired these swaps at a cost of \$7.5 million. The premium paid reflects the fact that these swaps had above market rates which we receive. These swaps effectively convert certain floating rate loans we acquired to fixed rate loans. As of December 31, 2012, the aggregate notional amount of these swaps totaled \$76.1 million. Changes in the fair value of these interest rate swaps are recorded directly in earnings.

During 2011, we entered into a series of derivatives that are intended to hedge against increases in market credit spreads of CMBS. Such movements would have a negative impact on the proceeds we expect to receive from contributing loans into commercial mortgage loan securitizations. The aggregate notional amount of the derivative was \$25.0 million and it matured in December 2011. Under the terms of the contract, a market credit spread index was defined at the contract's inception by reference to a portfolio of specific independent CMBS. To the extent the referenced credit spread index increases, our counterparty pays us. To the extent the referenced credit spread index decreases, we pay our counterparty. We pay/receive approximately every 30 days based upon the movement in the referenced index during such period. As of December 31, 2011, all contracts had matured and we realized net gains of \$2.4 million. There were no credit hedges in place during the year ended December 31, 2012.

[Table of Contents](#)

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

8. Derivatives and Hedging Activity (Continued)

The table below presents the fair value of the Company's derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the balance sheet as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (amounts in thousands).

Tabular Disclosure of Fair Values of Derivative Instruments

| | Derivatives in an Asset Position | | | | Derivatives in a Liability Position | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | As of December 31, 2012 | | As of December 31, 2011 | | As of December 31, 2012 | | As of December 31, 2011 | |
| | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | N/A | \$ | N/A | \$ | Derivative Liabilities | \$ 2,571 | Derivative Liabilities | \$ 1,420 |
| Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ 2,571 | | \$ 1,420 |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | Derivative Assets | \$ 4,892 | Derivative Assets | \$ 7,555 | Derivative Liabilities | \$ 1,772 | Derivative Liabilities | \$ 11,342 |
| Foreign exchange contracts | Derivative Assets | 4,335 | Derivative Assets | 5,261 | Derivative Liabilities | 23,427 | Derivative Liabilities | 6,890 |
| Total derivatives <i>not</i> designated as hedging instruments | | \$ 9,227 | | \$ 12,816 | | \$ 25,199 | | \$ 18,232 |

The tables below present the effect of the Company's derivative financial instruments on the statements of operations and of comprehensive income (loss) for the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Cash flow hedges' impact for the year ended December 31, 2012 (amounts in thousands):

| Derivative type for cash flow hedge | Amount of loss recognized in OCI on derivative (effective portion) | Location of loss reclassified from accumulated OCI into income (effective portion) | Amount of loss reclassified from accumulated OCI into income (effective portion) | Location of gain recognized in income on derivative (ineffective portion) | Amount of gain recognized in income on derivative (ineffective portion) |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|
| Interest Rate Swaps | \$ 3,609 | Interest Expense | \$ 2,458 | Interest Expense | \$ |

Cash flow hedges' impact for the year ended December 31, 2011 (amounts in thousands):

| Derivative type for cash flow hedge | Amount of loss recognized in OCI on | Location of loss reclassified from accumulated | Amount of loss reclassified from | Location of gain recognized in income on derivative | Amount of gain recognized in income on |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---|--|
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---|--|

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

| | derivative (effective portion) | OCI into income (effective portion) | accumulated OCI into income (effective portion) | (ineffective portion) | derivative (ineffective portion) |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------|--|
| | | Interest | | Interest | |
| Interest Rate Swaps | \$ 1,951 | Expense | \$ 2,113 | Expense | \$ 45 |

Cash flow hedges' impact for the year ended December 31, 2010 (amounts in thousands):

| Derivative type for cash flow hedge | Amount of loss recognized in OCI on derivative (effective portion) | Location of loss reclassified from accumulated OCI into income (effective portion) | Amount of loss reclassified from accumulated OCI into income (effective portion) | Location of gain recognized in income on derivative (ineffective portion) | Amount of gain recognized in income on derivative (ineffective portion) |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| | | Interest | | Interest | |
| Interest Rate Swaps | \$ 3,367 | Expense | \$ 1,742 | Expense | \$ 46 |

122

Table of Contents**Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

As of December 31, 2012

8. Derivatives and Hedging Activity (Continued)

Non-designated derivatives' impact for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 (amounts in thousands):

| Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | Location of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative | Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------|-------------|------------|
| | | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Interest Rate Swaps | Realized gains (losses) | Gains (losses) on interest rate hedges | \$ (9,220) | \$ (15,843) | \$ (1,630) |
| Interest Rate Swaps | Net change in unrealized losses | Gains (losses) on interest rate hedges | \$ 10,243 | \$ (11,287) | \$ (55) |
| Foreign Exchange | Realized losses | Gains (losses) on currency hedges | \$ 2,283 | \$ (1,264) | \$ (117) |
| Foreign Exchange | Net change in unrealized gains | Gains (losses) on currency hedges | \$ (17,463) | \$ 5,755 | \$ (7,383) |
| Credit Spread Derivative | Realized gains | Gains (losses) on credit spread hedges | \$ | \$ 2,358 | \$ |

Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

We have entered into agreements with certain of our derivative counterparties that contain provisions where if we were to default on any of our indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, we may also be declared in default on our derivative obligations. We also have certain agreements that contain provisions where if our ratio of principal amount of indebtedness to total assets at any time exceeds 75%, then we could be declared in default of our derivative obligations.

As of December 31, 2012, for those counterparties where of our derivatives are in a net liability position, which includes accrued interest but excludes any adjustment for nonperformance risk related to these agreements, the fair value was \$25.3 million. As of December 31, 2012, we had received posted collateral of \$3.3 million related to the agreements in an asset position. If we had breached any of these provisions at December 31, 2012, we could have been required to settle our obligations under the agreements at their termination liability value of \$25.3 million.

9. Related-Party Transactions

We entered into a management agreement with our Manager upon closing of our initial public offering ("IPO"), which provides for an initial term of three years with automatic one-year extensions thereafter unless terminated as described below. Under the management agreement, our Manager, subject to the oversight of our board of directors, is required to manage our day-to-day activities, for which our Manager receives a base management fee and is eligible for an incentive fee and stock awards. Our Manager is also entitled to charge us for certain expenses incurred on our behalf, as described below.

Base Management Fee. The base management fee is 1.5% of our stockholders' equity per annum and calculated and payable quarterly in arrears in cash. For purposes of calculating the management fee, our stockholders' equity means: (a) the sum of (1) the net proceeds from all issuances of our equity securities since inception (allocated on a pro rata daily basis for such issuances during the fiscal quarter of any such issuance), plus (2) our retained earnings at the end of the most recently completed calendar quarter (without taking into account any non-cash equity compensation expense incurred in current or prior periods), less (b) any amount that we pay to repurchase our common stock since

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

9. Related-Party Transactions (Continued)

inception. It also excludes (1) any unrealized gains and losses and other non-cash items that have impacted stockholders' equity as reported in our financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP, and (2) one-time events pursuant to changes in GAAP, and certain non-cash items not otherwise described above, in each case after discussions between our Manager and our independent directors and approval by a majority of our independent directors. As a result, our stockholders' equity, for purposes of calculating the management fee, could be greater or less than the amount of stockholders' equity shown in our consolidated financial statements.

For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, approximately \$33.3 million, \$24.2 million and \$14.1 million, respectively, was incurred for base management fees, of which \$0 and \$6.7 million was payable as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Incentive Fee. From August 17, 2009 (the effective date of the Management Agreement), our Manager is entitled to be paid the incentive fee described below with respect to each calendar quarter (or part thereof that the management agreement is in effect) if (1) our Core Earnings for the previous 12-month period (or part thereof that the management agreement is in effect) exceeds an 8% threshold, and (2) our Core Earnings for the 12 most recently completed calendar quarters (or part thereof that the management agreement is in effect) is greater than zero.

The incentive fee will be an amount, not less than zero, equal to the difference between (1) the product of (x) 20% and (y) the difference between (i) our Core Earnings (as defined below) for the previous 12-month period (or part thereof that the management agreement is in effect), and (ii) the product of (A) the weighted-average of the issue price per share of our common stock of all of our public offerings multiplied by the weighted-average number of all shares of common stock outstanding (including any restricted stock units, any restricted shares of common stock and other shares of common stock underlying awards granted under our equity incentive plans) in such previous 12-month period (or part thereof that the management agreement is in effect), and (B) 8%, and (2) the sum of any incentive fee paid to our Manager with respect to the first three calendar quarters of such previous 12-month period (or part thereof that the management agreement is in effect). One half of each quarterly installment of the incentive fee is payable in shares of our common stock so long as the ownership of such additional number of shares by our Manager would not violate the 9.8% stock ownership limit set forth in our articles of incorporation, after giving effect to any waiver from such limit that our board of directors may grant in the future. The remainder of the incentive fee is payable in cash. The number of shares to be issued to our Manager is equal to the dollar amount of the portion of the quarterly installment of the incentive fee payable in shares divided by the average of the closing prices of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange for the five trading days prior to the date on which such quarterly installment is paid.

Core Earnings is a non-GAAP financial measure. We calculate Core Earnings as GAAP net income (loss) excluding non-cash equity compensation expense, the incentive fee, depreciation and amortization of real estate (to the extent that we own properties), any unrealized gains, losses or other non-cash items recorded in net income for the period, regardless of whether such items are included in other comprehensive income (loss), or in net income. The amount is adjusted to exclude one-time events pursuant to changes in GAAP and certain other non-cash charges as determined by our Manager and approved by a majority of our independent directors.

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

9. Related-Party Transactions (Continued)

For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, approximately \$7.9 million, \$1.2 million, and \$1.2 million, respectively, was incurred for the incentive fee, of which \$0.7 million and \$0 was payable as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Expense Reimbursement. We are required to reimburse our Manager for operating expenses incurred by our Manager on our behalf. In addition, pursuant to the terms of the management agreement, we are required to reimburse our Manager for the cost of legal, tax, consulting, auditing and other similar services rendered for us by our Manager's personnel provided that such costs are no greater than those that would be payable if the services were provided by an independent third party. The expense reimbursement is not subject to any dollar limitations but is subject to review by our independent directors. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, approximately \$5.8 million, \$4.0 million and \$1.6 million was incurred, respectively, for executive compensation and other reimbursable expenses of which approximately \$1.1 million and \$1.7 million was payable as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. In addition, see disclosure in Note 10 regarding a contingent reimbursement to our Manager in connection with our IPO that was extinguished during 2011.

Termination Fee. After the initial three-year term, we can terminate the management agreement without cause, as defined in the management agreement, with an affirmative two-thirds vote by our independent directors and 180 days written notice to our Manager. Upon termination without cause, our Manager is due a termination fee equal to three times the sum of the average annual base management fee and incentive fee earned by our Manager over the preceding eight calendar quarters. No termination fee is payable if our Manager is terminated for cause, as defined in the management agreement, which can be done at any time with 30 days written notice from our board of directors.

Loan Investments

In April 2011, we purchased a \$35 million *pari passu* participation interest (the "Mammoth Participation Interest") in a \$75 million subordinate loan (the "Mammoth Loan") from an independent third party and a syndicate of financial institutions and other entities acting as subordinate lenders to Mammoth Mountain Ski Area, LLC ("Mammoth"). Mammoth is a single-purpose, bankruptcy remote entity that is owned and controlled by Starwood Global Opportunity Fund VII-A, L.P., Starwood Global Opportunity Fund VII-B, L.P., Starwood U.S. Opportunity Fund VII-D, L.P. and Starwood U.S. Opportunity Fund VII-D-2, L.P. (collectively, the "Sponsors"). Each of the Sponsors is indirectly wholly-owned by Starwood Capital Group Global I, L.L.C., and an affiliate of our Chief Executive Officer. The Mammoth Loan was approved by our independent directors in accordance with our related party transaction policy. The Mammoth Loan has a term of up to six years and an interest rate of 14.0% through April 2014 and 13.25% thereafter. We acquired the Mammoth Participation Interest in the Mammoth Loan from an independent third party and own such Mammoth Participation Interest subject to a participation agreement between us and the independent third party (the "Mammoth Participation Agreement"). The Mammoth Participation Agreement provides for the payment to us, on a pro rata basis with an independent third party, of customary payments in respect of the Mammoth Participation Interest and affords us customary voting, approval and consent rights so long as no event of default is continuing under the Mammoth Loan.

On July 20, 2012, we purchased a 50% undivided participation interest (the "Le Meridien Participation Interest") in a EUR-denominated mezzanine loan for \$68.4 million ("Le Méridien Loan")

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

9. Related-Party Transactions (Continued)

from an independent third party. The borrower is Starman Luxembourg Holdings S.À R.L. ("Holdings"), an entity that indirectly owns and operates a portfolio of hotels in France and Germany. Holdings is owned 50% by an independent third party and 50% by several private investment funds previously sponsored by Starwood Capital Group Global I, L.L.C., an affiliate of our Manager. The Le Méridien Loan has an initial term of two years with an option to extend for an additional year, subject to certain conditions, an interest rate of 12.5%, an upfront fee of 2.0% and a prepayment fee of 1.0%. We acquired the Le Meridien Participation Interest from an independent third party and own the Le Meridien Participation Interest subject to a participation agreement between us and the independent third party (the "Le Meridien Participation Agreement"). The Le Meridien Participation Agreement provides for the payment to us, on a pro rata basis with an independent third party, of customary payments in respect of our Le Meridien Participation Interest and affords us customary voting, approval and consent rights.

On October 16, 2012, through a newly-formed venture with Starwood Distressed Opportunity Fund IX ("Fund IX"), an affiliate of our Manager, we co-originated a \$475.0 million first mortgage and mezzanine financing for the acquisition and redevelopment of a 10-story retail building located at 701 Seventh Avenue in the Times Square area of Manhattan. Of the total loan amount, \$375.0 million was funded at closing, \$281.2 million of which was funded by the Company and \$93.8 million was funded by Fund IX. The remaining \$100.0 million will be funded upon reaching certain milestones during the transformation of the property. On October 22, 2012, the venture sold a 25 percent participation in both the first mortgage and mezzanine loan to Vornado Realty Trust ("Vornado"). Upon settling this sale, the Company, Fund IX, and Vornado had funded \$210.9 million, \$70.3 million and \$93.8 million, respectively, and each party will fund their pro rata share of any future fundings. Following the sale to Vornado, the Starwood entities retained the controlling position in both the first mortgage and mezzanine loans. Fund IX's interest is recorded under non-controlling interest on the consolidated balance sheet.

On December 14, 2012, we acquired 9,140,000 ordinary shares in SEREF, a debt fund that is externally managed by an affiliate of our Manager and is listed on the London Stock Exchange, for approximately \$14.7 million. As a result, we own approximately 4% of SEREF. Refer to Note 5 for additional details.

On December 22, 2012, we co-originated a junior mezzanine loan with SEREF, which is secured primarily by the ownership interest in entities that own a portfolio of three luxury hotels located in London, England. The total loan amount was GBP 98 million, of which we and SEREF own a 50% *pari passu* interest. The loan bears interest at one-month LIBOR plus a margin of 11.65%. The loan matures in January 2018.

10. Stockholders' Equity

The Company's authorized capital stock consists of 100,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share, and 500,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value per share.

On August 17, 2009, we sold 47,575,000 shares of our common stock (including 1,000,000 shares sold to an entity controlled by Starwood Capital Group pursuant to a simultaneous private placement) in our IPO at an offering price of \$20 per share.

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

10. Stockholders' Equity (Continued)

In December 2010, we completed a follow-on offering of 23,000,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$19.73 per share.

In May 2011, we completed another follow-on offering of 22,000,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$21.67 per share.

In April 2012, we completed another follow-on offering of 23,000,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$19.88 per share.

In June 2012, we entered into an ATM Equity Offering Sales Agreement with Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, (the "Agent"), relating to our shares of common stock. In accordance with the terms of the agreement, we may offer and sell shares of our common stock having an aggregate gross sales price of up to \$250 million from time to time through the agent, as our sales Agent. Sales of the shares, if any, will be made by means of ordinary brokers' transactions or otherwise at market prices prevailing at the time of sale, at prices related to prevailing market prices or at negotiated prices.

In October 2012, we completed another follow-on offering of 18,400,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$22.74 per share.

At the time of our IPO in 2009, the underwriters for the IPO agreed to defer and condition the receipt of a portion of their underwriting fees on our future achievement of certain minimum investment returns. Similarly, at the time of the IPO our Manager agreed to pay to the underwriters a separate portion of the underwriting fees on our behalf, with our reimbursement of our Manager of those amounts conditioned upon our achievement of the same investment returns. In the absence of the achievement of such investment returns, we would not pay the underwriters the deferred portion of the underwriting fees nor would our Manager be reimbursed for the portion of the underwriting fees that it paid on our behalf. Specifically, pursuant to the IPO underwriting agreement among the underwriters, our Manager and us, we were required to pay to the underwriters \$18.1 million of underwriting fees if during any full four calendar quarter period during the 24 full calendar quarters after the consummation of the IPO our Core Earnings for any such four-quarter period exceeded the product of (x) the weighted-average of the issue price per share of all public offerings of our common stock, multiplied by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding (including any restricted stock units, any restricted shares of common stock and any other shares of common stock underlying awards granted under our equity incentive plans) in such four-quarter period and (y) 8%. Additionally, because at the time of our IPO our Manager paid \$9.1 million of underwriting fees on our behalf, pursuant to our management agreement with our Manager, we agreed to reimburse our Manager for such payments to the extent the same 8% performance threshold was exceeded. For the four calendar quarter periods ended March 31, 2011 we exceeded the threshold and therefore paid \$27.2 million related to these contingent arrangements during the second quarter of 2011. Prior to 2012, we had recorded a deferred liability and an offsetting reduction to additional paid-in-capital for the full \$27.2 million based upon actual and forecasted operating results at the time. Refer to Note 9 for disclosure of the expenses incurred for the year ended December 31, 2012.

In August 2011, our board of directors authorized us to repurchase up to \$100.0 million of our outstanding common stock over a one-year period. Purchases made pursuant to the program are to be made in either the open market or in privately negotiated transactions from time to time as permitted by federal securities laws and other legal requirements. The timing, manner, price and amount of any

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

10. Stockholders' Equity (Continued)

repurchases are determined by us and are subject to economic and market conditions, stock price, applicable legal requirements and other factors. The program may be suspended or discontinued at any time. Through December 31, 2011, we purchased 625,850 shares of common stock on the open market at an aggregate cost of approximately \$10.6 million, resulting in a weighted-average share cost of \$17.00.

The Company's board of directors declared a dividend of \$0.44 per share of common stock for the quarter ended March 31, 2012. The dividend was paid on April 13, 2012 to common stockholders of record as of March 30, 2012. The board also declared a dividend of \$0.44 per share of common stock for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. The dividend was paid on July 13, 2012 to common stockholders of record as of June 29, 2012. The board further declared a dividend of \$0.44 per share of common stock for the quarter ended September 30, 2012. The dividend was paid on October 15, 2012 to common stockholders of record as of September 28, 2012. On November 6, 2012, the board declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.44 per share of common stock as well as declaring a special dividend of \$0.10 per share of common stock on December 13th for the quarter ended December 31, 2012. The dividend was paid on January 15, 2013 to common stockholders of record as of December 31, 2012.

Equity Incentive Plans

We have reserved an aggregate of 3,112,500 shares of common stock for issuance under the Starwood Property Trust, Inc. Equity Plan and Starwood Property Trust, Inc. Manager Equity Plan and an additional 100,000 shares of common stock for issuance under the Starwood Property Trust, Inc. Non-Executive Director Stock Plan. The Equity Plan and Manager Equity Plan provide for the issuance of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, and other equity-based awards. The Non-Executive Director Stock Plan provide for the issuance of restricted stock, restricted stock units and other equity-based awards. To date, we have only granted restricted stock and restricted stock units. The holders of awards of restricted stock or restricted stock units are entitled to receive dividends or "distribution equivalents," which will be payable at such time dividends are paid on our outstanding shares of common stock.

We granted each of our four independent directors 2,200 shares of restricted stock concurrently with our IPO, with a total fair value of approximately \$175,000. The grants vest ratably in three annual installments on each of the first, second, and third anniversaries of the grant date, respectively, subject to the director's continued service. Effective August 19, 2010, we granted each of our four independent directors an additional 1,000 shares of restricted stock, with a total fair value of approximately \$75,000. The grants vested in one annual installment on the first anniversary of the grant. Effective August 19, 2011, we granted each of our four independent directors an additional 2,877 shares of restricted stock, with a total fair value of approximately \$200,000. The grant will vest in one annual installment on the first anniversary of the grant, subject to the director's continued service. Effective August 19, 2012, we granted each of our four independent directors an additional 2,201 shares of restricted stock, with a total fair value of approximately \$200,000. The grant will vest in one annual installment on the first anniversary of the grant, subject to the director's continued service. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, approximately \$242 thousand, \$179 thousand, and \$86 thousand were included in general and administrative expense, respectively, related to the grants.

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

10. Stockholders' Equity (Continued)

In August 2009, we granted 1,037,500 restricted stock units with a fair value of approximately \$20.8 million at the grant date to our Manager under the Manager Equity Plan. The grants vest ratably in quarterly installments over three years beginning on October 1, 2009, with 86,458 shares vesting each quarter, respectively. In connection with the supplemental equity offering in December 2010, we granted 1,075,000 restricted stock units with a fair value of approximately \$21.8 million at the grant date to our Manager under the Manager Equity Plan. The grants vest ratably in quarterly installments over three years beginning on March 31, 2011, with 89,583 shares vesting each quarter. In May 2012, we granted 30,000 restricted common shares to the Manager under the Manager Equity Plan. In connection with the supplemental equity offering in October 2012, we granted 875,000 restricted stock units with a fair value of approximately \$19.9 million at the grant date to our Manager under the Manager Equity Plan. The grants vest ratably in quarterly installments over three years beginning on December 31, 2012, with 72,917 shares vesting each quarter. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, approximately 720,625, 704,164, and 432,292 shares have vested, respectively, and approximately \$15.7 million, \$13.5 million, and \$7.4 million have been included in management fees related to these grants, respectively.

In May 2011, we issued 9,021 shares of common stock to our Manager at a price of \$22.08 per share. The shares were issued to our Manager as part of the incentive compensation due to our Manager under the management agreement.

In August 2011, we issued 54,234 shares of common stock to our Manager at a price of \$18.58 per share. The shares were issued to our Manager as part of the incentive compensation due to our Manager under the management agreement.

In May 2012, we issued 70,220 shares of common stock to our Manager at a price of \$19.76 per share. The shares were issued to our Manager as a part of the incentive compensation due to our Manager under the management agreement.

In August 2012, we issued 50,203 shares of common stock to our Manager at a price of \$22.61 per share. The shares were issued to our Manager as a part of the incentive compensation due to our Manager under the management agreement.

In November 2012, we issued 46,653 shares of common stock to our Manager at a price of \$22.91 per share. The shares were issued to our Manager as a part of the incentive compensation due to our Manager under the management agreement.

We granted 5,000 restricted stock units with a fair value of \$100 thousand to an employee under the Starwood Property Trust, Inc. Equity Plan in August 2009. The award was scheduled to vest ratably in quarterly installments over three years beginning on October 1, 2009. Upon the departure of this employee in July 2010, we issued 1,250 shares of our common stock relating to the vested portion of the award, while the remaining 3,750 unvested units were forfeited. In February 2011, we granted 11,082 restricted stock units with a fair value of \$250 thousand to an employee under the Starwood Property Trust, Inc. Equity Plan. The award vests ratably in quarterly installments over three years beginning on March 31, 2011. In March 2012, we granted 17,500 restricted common shares with a fair value of \$368 thousand to employees under the Starwood Property Trust, Inc. Equity Plan. Of the total award, 12,500 restricted shares vest in quarterly installments over three years beginning on March 31, 2012 and 5,000 shares vest in annual installments over three years beginning on December 31, 2012. For the years ended December 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, 9,527, 3,694, and

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

10. Stockholders' Equity (Continued)

1,250 shares have vested, respectively, and approximately \$206 thousand, \$71 thousand, and \$16 thousand, respectively, was included in general and administrative expense related to the grants.

Schedule of Non-Vested Share and Share Equivalents

| | Restricted Stock Grants to Independent Directors | Restricted Stock Grants to Employees | Restricted Stock Unit and Restricted Stock Grants to Manager | Total |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|-----------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2010 | 10,601 | | 1,680,208 | 1,690,809 |
| Granted | 11,508 | 11,082 | | 22,590 |
| Vested | (6,934) | (3,697) | (704,164) | (714,795) |
| Forfeited | | | | |
| Balance as of December 31, 2011 | 15,175 | 7,385 | 976,044 | 998,604 |
| Granted | 8,804 | 17,500 | 905,000 | 931,304 |
| Vested | (14,441) | (9,524) | (720,625) | (744,590) |
| Forfeited | | | | |
| Balance as of December 31, 2012 | 9,538 | 15,361 | 1,160,419 | 1,185,318 |

Vesting Schedule

| | Restricted Stock Grants to Independent Directors | Restricted Stock Grants to Employees | Restricted Stock Unit and Restricted Stock Grants to Manager | Total |
|-------|---|--|--|-----------|
| 2013 | 9,538 | 9,527 | 650,004 | 669,069 |
| 2014 | | 5,834 | 291,667 | 297,501 |
| 2015 | | | 218,748 | 218,748 |
| Total | 9,538 | 15,361 | 1,160,419 | 1,185,318 |

11. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) is comprised of the following, net of non-controlling interests in consolidated subsidiaries (amounts in thousands):

| | December 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Cumulative unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities | \$ 82,246 | \$ (2,578) | \$ 9,828 |
| Effective portion of cumulative gain (loss) on cash flow hedges | (2,571) | (1,420) | (1,625) |
| Total | \$ 79,675 | \$ (3,998) | \$ 8,203 |

Table of Contents**Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****As of December 31, 2012****12. Net Income per Share**

The following table provides a reconciliation of both net income and the number of common shares used in the computation of basic and diluted income per share. We use the two-class method in calculating both basic and diluted earnings per share as our unvested restricted stock units (refer to Note 10) are participating securities as defined in GAAP (amounts in thousands except share and per share amounts):

| | Year ended December 31, 2012 | Year ended December 31, 2011 | Year ended December 31, 2010 |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Net income attributable to Starwood Property Trust, Inc. | \$ 201,195 | \$ 119,377 | \$ 57,046 |
| Net income allocated to participating securities | (1,605) | (2,226) | (1,388) |
| Numerator for basic and diluted net income per share | \$ 199,590 | \$ 117,151 | \$ 55,658 |
| Basic weighted average shares outstanding | 113,721,070 | 84,974,604 | 49,138,720 |
| Weighted average number of diluted shares outstanding(1) | 114,633,183 | 86,409,327 | 50,021,824 |
| Basic income per share | \$ 1.76 | \$ 1.38 | \$ 1.16 |
| Diluted income per share | \$ 1.76 | \$ 1.38 | \$ 1.14 |

(1)

The weighted average number of diluted shares outstanding includes the impact of unvested restricted stock units totaling 1,185,318, 998,604, and 1,690,810 as of December 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, and December 31 2010, respectively.

Since distributions were greater than earnings during the years ended December 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, the diluted earnings per share calculation would result in anti-dilution and therefore diluted EPS has been computed in the same manner as basic earnings per share.

13. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

GAAP establishes a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on the observability of inputs utilized in measuring financial instruments at fair values. GAAP establishes market-based or observable inputs as the preferred source of values, followed by valuation models using management assumptions in the absence of market inputs. The three levels of the hierarchy are described below:

Level I Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level II Prices are determined using other significant observable inputs. Observable inputs are inputs that other market participants would use in pricing a security. These may include quoted prices for similar securities, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk and others.

Level III Prices are determined using significant unobservable inputs. In situations where quoted prices or observable inputs are unavailable (for example, when there is little or no market activity for an investment) unobservable inputs may be used. Unobservable inputs reflect our own assumptions about the factors that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability, and would be based on the best information available.

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

13. Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)

Financial Instruments and Fair Value Valuation Process.

Our Asset Management Group ("AMG") is responsible for the Company's fair value valuation policies, processes and procedures. Asset management reports to the Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), who has final authority over the valuation of the Company's financial instruments. AMG implements valuation control processes to validate the fair value of the Company's financial instruments measured at fair value including those derived from pricing models. These control processes are designed to assure that the values used for financial reporting are based on observable inputs wherever possible. In the event that observable inputs are not available, the control processes are designed to assure that the valuation approach utilized is appropriate and consistently applied and the assumptions are reasonable.

Pricing Verification AMG uses recently executed transactions, other observable market data such as exchange data, broker/dealer quotes, third-party pricing vendors and aggregation services for validating the fair values of financial instruments generated using valuation models. Pricing data provided by approved external sources is evaluated using a number of approaches; for example, by corroborating the external sources' prices to executed trades, analyzing the methodology and assumptions used by the external source to generate a price and/or by evaluating how active the third-party pricing source (or originating sources used by the third-party pricing source) is in the market.

Unobservable Inputs Where inputs are not observable, AMG reviews the appropriateness of the proposed valuation methodology to ensure it is consistent with how a market participant would arrive at the unobservable input. The valuation methodologies utilized in the absence of observable inputs may include extrapolation techniques and the use of comparable observable inputs.

We determine the fair value of our financial instruments as follows:

Available-for-sale debt securities

Available-for-sale debt securities valued utilizing observable and unobservable market inputs. The observable market inputs for RMBS include recent transactions, broker quotes and vendor prices ("market data"). However, given the implied price dispersion amongst the market data, the fair value determination for RMBS has also significantly utilized unobservable inputs in discounted cash flow models including prepayments, default and severity estimates based on the recent performance of the collateral, the underlying collateral characteristics, industry trends, as well as expectations of macro-economic events (e.g. housing price curves, interest rate curves, etc.). At each measurement date, we consider both the observable and unobservable valuation inputs in the determination of fair value. However, given the significance of the unobservable inputs these securities have been classified within Level III.

The observable market inputs for CMBS include market data. Due to an increase in the observable, relevant market activity for the CMBS investment position that we owned as of January 1, 2012, we transferred this investment from Level III to Level II in the first quarter of 2012.

Available-for-sale equity securities

The available-for-sale equity securities are publicly registered and traded in the United States and their prices are listed on the New York Stock Exchange. These securities have been classified within Level I.

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

13. Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)

Derivatives

The valuation of derivative contracts are determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves, spot and market forward points. The fair values of interest rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash payments and the discounted expected variable cash receipts. The variable cash receipts are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves. The fair value of the foreign currency forward contracts is based on interest differentials between the currencies being traded, spot and market forward points.

We incorporate credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both our own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, we have considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts, and guarantees.

Although we have determined that the majority of the inputs used to value our derivatives fall within Level II of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with our derivatives utilize Level III inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. However, as of December 31, 2012, we have assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of our derivative positions and have determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of our derivatives. As a result, we have determined that our derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level II of the fair value hierarchy.

Loans

We estimate the fair values of our loans by discounting their expected cash flows at a rate we estimate would be demanded by the market participants that are most likely to buy our loans. The expected cash flows used are generally the same as those used to calculate our level yield income in the financial statements.

Any changes to the valuation methodology will be reviewed by our management to ensure the changes are appropriate. The methods used may produce a fair value calculation that is not indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, while we anticipate that our valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies, or assumptions, to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date. We use inputs that are current as of the measurement date, which may fall within periods of market dislocation, during which price transparency may be reduced.

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

13. Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)

The following table presents our financial instruments carried at fair value on a recurring basis in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012 (amounts in thousands):

| | Fair Value at Reporting Date Using Inputs: | | | |
|--|--|-----------|------------|------------|
| | Total | Level I | Level II | Level III |
| Available-for-sale debt securities: | | | | |
| RMBS | \$ 333,153 | \$ | \$ | \$ 333,153 |
| CMBS | 529,434 | | 529,434 | |
| Total available-for-sale debt securities | 862,587 | | 529,434 | 333,153 |
| Available-for-sale equity securities: | | | | |
| Real estate industry | 21,667 | 21,667 | | |
| Total available-for-sale equity securities: | 21,667 | 21,667 | | |
| Total investments: | 884,254 | 21,667 | 529,434 | 333,153 |
| Derivative assets: | | | | |
| Foreign exchange contracts | 4,335 | | 4,335 | |
| Interest rate contracts | 4,892 | | 4,892 | |
| Derivative liabilities: | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | (4,343) | | (4,343) | |
| Foreign exchange contracts | (23,427) | | (23,427) | |
| Total Derivatives: | (18,543) | | (18,543) | |
| Total: | \$ 865,711 | \$ 21,667 | \$ 510,891 | \$ 333,153 |

The changes in investments classified as Level III are as follows for the year ended December 31, 2012 (amounts in thousands):

**Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs
(Level III)**

| | Loans held-for-sale, at fair value | MBS available- for-sale, at fair value | Total |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|------------|
| Beginning balance, January 1, 2012 | \$ 128,593 | \$ 341,734 | \$ 470,327 |
| Purchases | | 254,034 | 254,034 |
| Originations | | | |
| Transfer out | | (176,786) | (176,786) |
| Sales | (132,128) | (87,956) | (220,084) |
| Maturities | | | |
| Principal repayments | (122) | (69,298) | (69,420) |
| Net increase (decrease) in assets | (132,250) | (80,006) | (212,256) |

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Gain (loss) amounts from Level III investments: | | | |
| Unrealized (loss) gain on assets | (5,760) | 49,502 | 43,742 |
| Realized gain on assets | 9,417 | 8,245 | 17,662 |
| Accretion of discount | | 18,079 | 18,079 |
| OTTI | | (4,401) | (4,401) |
| Net gain on assets | 3,657 | 71,425 | 75,082 |
| Ending balance, as of December 31, 2012 | \$ | \$ | 333,153 \$ 333,153 |

Table of Contents**Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****As of December 31, 2012****13. Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)**

Due to an increase in the observable, relevant market activity for the CMBS investment position that we owned as of January 1, 2012, we transferred a \$176,786 MBS investment from Level III to Level II during the three months ended March 31, 2012.

The following table presents our financial instruments carried at fair value on a recurring basis in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 (amounts in thousands):

| | Fair Value at Reporting Date Using Inputs: | | | |
|---|--|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Total | Level I | Level II | Level III |
| Loans held-for-sale at fair value | \$ 128,593 | | | \$ 128,593 |
| Available-for-sale debt securities: | | | | |
| RMBS | 164,948 | | | 164,948 |
| CMBS | 176,786 | | | 176,786 |
| Total available-for-sale debt securities | 341,734 | | | 341,734 |
| Available-for-sale equity securities: | | | | |
| Real estate industry | 11,269 | \$ 11,269 | | |
| Total available-for-sale equity securities: | 11,269 | 11,269 | | |
| Total investments: | 481,596 | 11,269 | | 470,327 |
| Derivative assets: | | | | |
| Foreign exchange contracts | 5,261 | | \$ 5,261 | |
| Interest rate contracts | 7,555 | | 7,555 | |
| Derivative liabilities: | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | (12,762) | | (12,762) | |
| Foreign exchange contracts | (6,890) | | (6,890) | |
| Total Derivatives: | (6,836) | | (6,836) | |
| Total: | \$ 474,760 | \$ 11,269 | \$ (6,836) | \$ 470,327 |

Table of Contents**Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

As of December 31, 2012

13. Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)

The changes in investments classified as Level III are as follows for the year ended December 31, 2011 (amounts in thousands):

**Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs
(Level III)**

| | Loans held-for-sale, at fair value | MBS available- for-sale, at fair value | Total |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|------------|
| Beginning balance, January 1, 2011 | \$ 144,163 | \$ | \$ 144,163 |
| Purchases | | 115,795 | 115,795 |
| Originations | 270,066 | | 270,066 |
| Transfer out/in | (7,000) | 282,763 | 275,763 |
| Sales | (294,126) | (3,600) | (297,726) |
| Maturities | | (15,408) | (15,408) |
| Principal repayments | (252) | (36,562) | (36,814) |
| Net increase on assets | (31,312) | 342,988 | 311,676 |
| Gain (loss) on loans held-for-sale, at fair value: | | | |
| Unrealized gain on assets | 5,760 | (7,961) | (2,201) |
| Realized gain on assets | 10,314 | 249 | 10,563 |
| Accretion of discount | | 10,730 | 10,730 |
| OTTI | | (4,272) | (4,272) |
| Other | (332) | | (332) |
| Net gain on assets | 15,742 | (1,254) | 14,488 |
| Ending balance, as of December 31, 2011 | \$ 128,593 | \$ 341,734 | \$ 470,327 |

During the year ended December 31, 2011, we originated various loans that we intend to sell in the short-term. At the time of the origination, we elected to account for these loans at fair value. The associated interest rate and credit spread derivatives were not designated as hedging instruments for accounting purposes. As a result, changes in the fair value of these derivatives are reported in current earnings. It is expected that changes in the fair value of the held-for-sale loans, which will also be recorded through earnings as a result of our fair value election, will materially offset the changes in the fair value of the interest rate and credit spread derivatives. There was no unpaid principal balance on the loans as of December 31, 2012.

GAAP requires disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the financial statements, for which it is practical to estimate the value. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based upon the estimation of discount rates to estimated future cash flows using market yields or other valuation methodologies. Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and develop estimated fair value. Accordingly, fair values are not necessarily indicative of the amount we could realize on disposition of the financial instruments. The use of different market assumptions or estimation methodologies could have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

Table of Contents**Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****As of December 31, 2012****13. Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)**

The fair value of cash and cash equivalents, and cash collateral under treasury securities loan agreement accrued interest and accounts payable approximate to their carrying values due to their short-term nature.

The following table presents the fair value of our financial instruments, including loans transferred as secured borrowings, not carried at fair value on the consolidated balance sheet (amounts in thousands):

| | Carrying Value as of December 31, 2012 | Fair Value as of December 31, 2012 | Carrying Value as of December 31, 2011 | Fair Value as of December 31, 2011 |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| Financial Instruments not carried at Fair Value: | | | | |
| Loans, net | \$ 3,000,335 | \$ 3,097,089 | \$ 2,318,915 | \$ 2,359,258 |
| Other Investments | \$ 101,201 | \$ 101,201 | \$ 33,110 | \$ 33,110 |
| Financial Liabilities: | | | | |
| Secured financing agreements and loan transfer secured borrowings | \$ 1,393,705 | \$ 1,397,128 | \$ 1,156,716 | \$ 1,157,811 |

137

Table of Contents**Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****As of December 31, 2012****13. Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)**

The following is quantitative information about significant unobservable inputs in our Level III Measurements (dollar amounts in thousands):

Quantitative Information about Level III Fair Value Measurements

| | Fair Value at December 31, 2012 | Valuation Technique(s) | Significant Unobservable Inputs/ Sensitivity of the Fair Value to Changes in the Unobservable Inputs | Range(1) |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|-----------------|
| RMBS | \$ 333,153 | Discounted cash flow | Constant prepayment rate(a) | (0.6)% 11.5% |
| | | | Constant default rate(b) | 2.0% 16.0% |
| | | | Loss severity(b) | 18% 102%(f) |
| | | | Delinquency Rate(c) | 5% 60% |
| | | | Servicer Advances(a) | 10% 100% |
| | | | Annual Coupon Deterioration(b) | 0% 1.4% |
| | | | Putback Amount per Projected Total | 0% 9% |
| | | | Collateral Loss(a) | |
| | | | Putback Recovery Date(d) | 11/2013 12/2015 |
| Loans held for investment | \$ 3,011,757 | Discounted cash flow | Projected cash flows(a) (e) | |
| | | | Discount rates(b) | 3.9% 14.8% |
| Loans transferred as secured borrowings | \$ 85,332 | Discounted cash flow | Projected cash flows(a) (e) | |
| | | | Discount rates(b) | 4.6% 5.2% |
| Other investments(2) | \$ 101,201(3) | (g) | (g) | |
| | | | | (g) |
| Secured financing agreements | \$ 1,308,194 | Discounted cash flow | Projected cash flows(b) | |
| | | | Discount rates(a) | 2.0% 5.4% |
| Loan transfer secured borrowings | \$ 88,934 | Discounted cash flow | Projected cash flows(b) | |
| | | | Discount rates(a) | 3.5% 3.8% |

(1) The ranges of significant unobservable inputs are represented in percentages and dates.

(2) Includes investments in LLC and non-performing loan pools.

(3) Principally represents our investments in non-performing loan pool holdings.

Sensitivity of the Fair Value to Changes in the Unobservable Inputs

(a) Significant increase (decrease) in the unobservable input in isolation would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement.

(b) Significant increase (decrease) in the unobservable input in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

(c) Significant increase (decrease) in the unobservable input in isolation would result in either a significantly lower or higher (lower or higher) fair value measurement depending on the structural features of the security in question.

(d)

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

Any delay in the Putback Recovery Date leads to a decrease in fair value, for the majority of securities in our RMBS portfolio.

- (e) As of December 31, 2012, management expects to collect all amounts contractually due.
- (f) 90% of the portfolio falls within a range of 35-82%.
- (g) The non-performing loan pool was acquired in November 2012, as such, fair value approximates cost basis.

Table of Contents**Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****As of December 31, 2012****14. Commitments and Contingencies**

As of December 31, 2012, we had future funding commitments on 20 loans totaling \$218.3 million. The funding commitments relate primarily to leasing commissions and tenant improvements to the extent new leases on the underlying collateral are signed.

Management is not aware of any other contractual obligations, legal proceedings, or any other contingent obligations incurred in the normal course of business that would have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

15. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following table summarizes our quarterly financial data which, in the opinion of management, reflects all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of our results of operations (amounts in thousands, except per share data):

| | For the Three-Month Periods Ended | | | |
|---|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | March 31 | June 30 | September 30 | December 31 |
| 2012: | | | | |
| Net interest margin | \$ 65,900 | \$ 58,421 | \$ 60,816 | \$ 74,718 |
| Net income | 50,288 | 44,619 | 50,342 | 58,432 |
| Net income allocable to Starwood Property Trust | 50,159 | 44,490 | 50,212 | 56,333 |
| Net income per share Basic(a) | \$ 0.53 | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.42 |
| Net income per share Diluted(a) | \$ 0.53 | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.42 |
| 2011: | | | | |
| Net interest margin | \$ 31,433 | \$ 41,127 | \$ 50,753 | \$ 52,095 |
| Net income | 31,725 | 33,312 | 14,503(b) | 41,068 |
| Net income allocable to Starwood Property Trust | 31,447 | 32,424 | 14,478 | 41,028 |
| Net income per share Basic(a) | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.39 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.44 |
| Net income per share Diluted(a) | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.39 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.44 |
| 2010: | | | | |
| Net interest margin | \$ 12,398 | \$ 17,978 | \$ 22,822 | \$ 24,538 |
| Net income | 6,260 | 11,410 | 23,283 | 17,889 |
| Net income allocable to Starwood Property Trust | 5,941 | 10,849 | 22,683 | 17,573 |
| Net income per share Basic(a) | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.47 | \$ 0.33 |
| Net income per share Diluted(a) | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.22 | \$ 0.47 | \$ 0.33 |

(a) Amounts for the individual quarters when aggregated may not agree to the earnings per share for the full year due to rounding.

(b) Includes the effects of a decrease in value of approximately \$19.0 million on derivative instruments and held for sale loans.

16. Subsequent Events

On January 23, 2013, we entered into a Unit Purchase Agreement with LNR, Aozora Investments LLC, CBR I LLC, iStar Marlin LLC, Opps VIIb LProp, L.P., and VNO LNR Holdco LLC, pursuant to which the Company agreed to acquire all the outstanding equity interests of LNR. LNR is a diversified real estate investment, management, finance and development company whose principal line of business is serving as a special servicer for CMBS transactions. Under the terms

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012

16. Subsequent Events (Continued)

of the transaction, we will acquire the following LNR business segments for a total cash purchase price of \$843 million. The remainder of the LNR businesses, totaling approximately \$206 million, will be acquired by an investment fund controlled by an affiliate of STWD's external manager:

U.S. Special Servicer A U.S. special servicer of commercial loans with approximately \$133.6 billion in loans under management and real estate owned as of December 31, 2012;

U.S. Investment Securities Portfolio a portfolio of whole loans, CMBS and collateralized debt obligation ("CDO") investments;

Archetype Mortgage Capital a commercial real estate conduit loan origination platform;

Archetype Financial Institution Services an acquirer, manager, and servicer of portfolios of small balance commercial loans;

LNR Europe consists of Hatfield Philips, a wholly-owned subsidiary that is an independent primary and special servicer in Europe, and a non-controlling interest in LNR European Investment Fund, a European CRE debt fund; and

Auction.com 50 percent of LNR's interest in a real estate exchange selling residential and commercial real estate via auction.

If the acquisition of LNR is not completed, we may be adversely affected and we will be subject to several risks and consequences, including the following:

following the execution of the purchase agreement, we paid a \$50 million deposit and, if the closing has not occurred by April 1, 2013, we will be required to pay an additional \$25 million deposit and an interest charge will accrue on the amount of the purchase price that we pay for the acquisition. To the extent the transaction is not completed due to an uncured breach of the purchase agreement by us, we will not recover any deposits or interest paid with respect to the transaction;

we will be required to pay certain costs relating to the acquisition, whether or not the acquisition is completed, such as legal, accounting and financial advisor fees; and

matters relating to the acquisition may require substantial commitments of time and resources by our management team, which could otherwise have been devoted to other opportunities that may have been beneficial to us.

The acquisition of LNR has not yet been completed, and accordingly this Annual Report on Form 10-K and the consolidated financial statements included herein do not reflect the results of LNR's business.

On January 17, 2013, we originated an \$86.0 million first mortgage construction financing for the development of a proposed 31-story tower containing 30 luxury condominium residences and a ground floor retail space.

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

On February 15, 2013, we issued \$600.0 million of 4.55% Convertible Senior Notes due 2018. The notes were sold to the underwriters at a discount of 2.05%, resulting in net proceeds to us of \$587.7 million.

On February 27, 2013, our board of directors declared a dividend of \$0.44 per share for the quarter ending March 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Schedule III Residential Real Estate

December 31, 2012

(Amounts in thousands)

| MSA | Location | Number of Properties | Initial Cost to Company | | Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | Gross Amounts Carried at Close of Period 12/31/12 | | Accumulated Total Depreciation |
|--|----------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | | Land | Depreciable Property | Land | Depreciable Property | Land | Depreciable Property | |
| Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Pompano Beach, FL | | 288 | \$ 8,882 | \$ 20,924 | \$ 1,185 | \$ 8,882 | \$ 22,109 | \$ 30,991 | \$ 13 |
| Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown, TX | | 167 | 3,030 | 17,493 | 810 | 3,030 | 18,303 | 21,333 | 37 |
| Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington, TX | | 146 | 2,971 | 12,815 | 717 | 2,971 | 13,532 | 16,503 | 34 |
| Vallejo-Fairfield, CA | | 40 | 1,497 | 4,493 | 576 | 1,497 | 5,069 | 6,566 | 12 |
| Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta, GA | | 25 | 865 | 3,084 | 333 | 865 | 3,417 | 4,282 | 24 |
| Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL | | 21 | 250 | 2,187 | 104 | 250 | 2,291 | 2,541 | 2 |
| Chicago-Naperville-Joliet, IL-IN-WI | | 19 | 320 | 1,638 | 263 | 320 | 1,901 | 2,221 | 13 |
| San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont, CA | | 11 | 545 | 1,375 | 206 | 545 | 1,581 | 2,126 | 5 |
| Cape Coral-Fort Myers, FL | | 21 | 70 | 1,837 | 13 | 70 | 1,850 | 1,920 | 1 |
| Austin-Round Rock-San Marcos, TX | | 9 | 232 | 926 | 93 | 232 | 1,019 | 1,251 | 10 |
| Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, CA | | 8 | 298 | 762 | 47 | 298 | 809 | 1,107 | 4 |
| Orlando-Kissimmee-Sanford, FL | | 11 | 98 | 888 | 100 | 98 | 988 | 1,086 | 5 |
| Oxnard-Thousand Oaks-Ventura, CA | | 3 | 425 | 377 | 37 | 425 | 414 | 839 | 5 |
| Phoenix-Mesa-Glendale, AZ | | 4 | 139 | 555 | 37 | 139 | 592 | 731 | 7 |
| El Paso, TX | | 8 | 104 | 517 | 69 | 104 | 586 | 690 | 8 |
| All Other | | 43 | 731 | 3,371 | 826 | 731 | 4,197 | 4,928 | 33 |
| Total | | 824 | \$ 20,457 | \$ 73,242 | \$ 5,416 | \$ 20,457 | \$ 78,658 | \$ 99,115 | \$ 213 |

As most of the properties were recently acquired, the carrying amount above approximates the income tax basis. In addition, the operating information for 2012 is not meaningful.

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Schedule IV Mortgage Loans on Real Estate

December 31, 2012

(Amounts in thousands)

| Description/ Location | Prior Liens(1) | Face Amount | Carrying Amount | Interest Rate(3) | Payment Terms(3) | Maturity Date(7) |
|---------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| First Mortgages: | | | | | | |
| Retail, Chandler, AZ | \$ | \$ 1,000 | \$ 801 | CL + 1.25% | I/O | 10/31/2015 |
| Multi-family, Anaheim, CA | | 25,600 | 21,853 | CL + 1.00% | I/O | 10/12/2017 |
| Retail, Escondido, CA | | 8,828 | 8,101 | CL + 1.30% | P&I | 5/12/2015 |
| Hospitality, Laguna Beach, CA | | 18,000 | 18,083 | 9.00% | I/O | 12/1/2013 |
| Industrial, Orange, CA | | 1,103 | 1,106 | 9.75% | P&I | 6/20/2017 |
| Multi-family, Redding, CA | | 4,400 | 4,219 | 3.85% | I/O | 8/16/2015 |
| Office, Sacramento, CA 1 | | 44,750 | 43,014 | CL + 3.50% | P&I | 9/24/2014 |
| Office, Sacramento, CA 2 | | 3,480 | 3,480 | CL + 3.50% | I/O | 9/24/2014 |
| Retail, San Bernardino, CA | | 1,171 | 1,177 | 10.13% | P&I | 5/1/2021 |
| Office, San Diego, CA | | 32,750 | 32,328 | L + 5.05%(5) | I/O | 12/8/2015 |
| Mixed Use, San Diego, CA | | 11,600 | 11,507 | L + 7.00% | I/O | 6/9/2015 |
| Office, Sunnyvale, CA | | 59,000 | 58,475 | L + 5.50%(6) | I/O* | 4/9/2016 |
| Mixed Use, Aspen, CO | | 8,000 | 6,038 | CL + 1.00% | I/O | 7/19/2017 |
| Multi-family, Washington DC, DC | | 34,520 | 34,316 | L + 5.50%(6) | I/O | 11/10/2014 |
| Office, Washington, D.C., DC | | 46,000 | 45,378 | L + 5.50% | I/O | 10/9/2015 |
| Retail, Fort Meyers, FL | | 5,553 | 5,607 | 5.93% | P&I | 10/16/2016 |
| Industrial, Jacksonville, FL 1 | | 4,168 | 3,724 | 7.80% | P&I | 8/31/2017 |
| Industrial, Jacksonville, FL 2 | | 9,905 | 7,438 | 8.18% | I/O* | 8/31/2024 |
| Industrial, Jacksonville, FL 3 | | 5,420 | 3,047 | 8.18% | I/O | 8/31/2024 |
| Industrial, Ocala, FL | | 10,173 | 10,171 | 9.83% | P&I | 2/5/2017 |
| Office, Orlando, FL 1 | | 14,700 | 14,510 | L + 8.50%(8) | P&I | 1/11/2016 |
| Office, Orlando, FL 2 | | 14,700 | 14,510 | L + 8.50%(8) | P&I | 1/11/2016 |
| Industrial, Orlando, FL 1 | | 4,224 | 3,774 | 7.80% | P&I | 8/31/2017 |
| Industrial, Orlando, FL 2 | | 10,039 | 7,539 | 8.18% | I/O* | 8/31/2024 |
| Industrial, Orlando, FL 3 | | 5,494 | 3,088 | 8.18% | I/O | 8/31/2024 |
| Retail, Spring Hill, FL | | 3,355 | 3,351 | 9.75% | P&I | 9/1/2019 |
| Hospitality, Tallahassee, FL 1 | | 7,841 | 7,774 | 4.14% | P&I | 8/9/2017 |
| Hospitality, Tallahassee, FL 2 | | 3,361 | 3,331 | 12.69% | P&I | 8/9/2017 |
| Office, Tampa, FL | | 17,000 | 16,976 | L + 5.00%(9) | I/O | 7/6/2014 |
| Hospitality, New Orleans, LA 1 | | 846 | 860 | 11.00% | P&I | 2/11/2013 |
| Hospitality, New Orleans, LA 2 | | 66,912 | 63,665 | 11.00% | I/O | 7/10/2016 |
| Mixed Use, Baltimore, MD | | 53,396 | 52,110 | 5.25% | P&I | 11/29/2017 |
| Multi-family, Bethesda, MD | | 15,000 | 15,241 | L + 4.75%(5) | I/O | 10/11/2015 |
| Hospitality, Rockville, MD 1 | | 32,200 | 31,906 | L + 3.25%(5) | I/O | 9/6/2015 |
| Hospitality, Rockville, MD 2 | | 13,800 | 13,668 | L + 9.42%(5) | I/O | 9/6/2015 |
| Retail, Greenville, MI | | 1,283 | 1,281 | 10.25% | P&I | 4/1/2017 |
| Retail, Ionia, MI | | 1,635 | 1,633 | 10.00% | P&I | 10/1/2017 |
| Multi-family, Robbinsdale, MN | | 1,466 | 1,468 | 9.50% | P&I | 10/10/2014 |
| Office, St. Louis Park, MN | | 1,262 | 1,265 | 10.50% | P&I | 5/1/2014 |

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|--------------|------|-----------|
| Mixed Use, Charlotte, NC | 11,000 | 10,780 | L + 9.75%(5) | I/O | 1/9/2016 |
| Showroom, High Point, NC | 172,550 | 171,504 | L + 6.00%(8) | P&I | 7/6/2016 |
| Retail, Devils Lake, ND | 1,348 | 1,347 | 10.00% | P&I | 1/1/2017 |
| Retail, Chester, NJ | 15,300 | 15,237 | 7.75% | I/O* | 10/5/2015 |
| Hospitality, Newark, NJ | 10,851 | 10,856 | 7.13% | P&I | 7/6/2014 |

142

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Schedule IV Mortgage Loans on Real Estate (Continued)

December 31, 2012

(Amounts in thousands)

| Description/ Location | Prior Liens(1) | Face Amount | Carrying Amount | Interest Rate(3) | Payment Terms(3) | Maturity Date(7) |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Retail, Albuquerque, NM | | 25,110 | 24,746 | L + 5.75%(5) | I/O* | 12/9/2015 |
| Multi-family, Reno, NV | | 4,500 | 3,882 | CL + 1.15% | I/O | 12/31/2016 |
| Retail, East Hampton, NY 1 | | 4,652 | 4,656 | 6.37% | P&I | 3/1/2016 |
| Retail, East Hampton, NY 2 | 4,652 | 8,520 | 8,508 | 4.91% | P&I | 3/1/2013 |
| Retail, Garden City, NY | | 2,798 | 2,777 | 5.74% | P&I | 11/30/2017 |
| Retail, New York City, NY | | 178,126 | 176,536 | L + 3.00%(8) | I/O | 10/9/2015 |
| Office, New York City, NY 1 | | 30,236 | 26,319 | 5.24% | P&I | 12/31/2014 |
| Office, New York City, NY 2 | | 25,986 | 22,642 | 5.10% | P&I | 12/31/2014 |
| Hospitality, New York City, NY | | 23,000 | 22,967 | L + 5.75%(5) | I/O | 7/6/2015 |
| Mixed Use, New York City, NY 1 | | 7,485 | 7,217 | 3CL + 1.55% | P&I | 9/16/2013 |
| Mixed Use, New York City, NY 2 | | 5,200 | 5,161 | 6.40% | I/O | 11/21/2013 |
| Hospitality, New York City, NY | | 26,500 | 26,422 | 8.15% | I/O | 11/1/2013 |
| Retail, Baden, PA | | 4,000 | 3,956 | 10.00% | I/O | 7/6/2013 |
| Retail, Pleasant Hills, PA | | 56,500 | 56,898 | L + 4.50%(5) | I/O | 7/9/2014 |
| Retail, Mitchell, SD | | 1,384 | 1,383 | 10.00% | P&I | 1/1/2017 |
| Retail, Oak Ridge, TN 1 | | 1,385 | 1,387 | 10.00% | P&I | 4/15/2017 |
| Retail, Oak Ridge, TN 2 | | 268 | 268 | 9.25% | P&I | 10/15/2013 |
| Office, Austin, TX | | 25,501 | 25,246 | L + 5.40%(5) | I/O* | 12/8/2015 |
| Office, Dallas, TX 1 | | 33,750 | 33,348 | L + 5.50% | I/O* | 12/9/2015 |
| Office, Dallas, TX 2 | | 11,250 | 11,137 | L + 5.50% | I/O* | 12/9/2015 |
| Retail, Grapevine, TX | | 6,070 | 6,026 | CL + 1.25% | P&I | 2/28/2013 |
| Retail, Various, USA 1 | | 1,344 | 1,253 | 6.64% | P&I | 10/21/2018 |
| Retail, Various, USA 2 | | 5,908 | 5,511 | 6.03% | P&I | 10/21/2018 |
| Retail, Various, USA 3 | | 1,748 | 1,631 | 5.82% | P&I | 10/21/2018 |
| Hospitality, Various, USA | | 173,320 | 171,730 | L + 5.38%(8) | I/O* | 9/1/2013 |
| Hospitality, Roanoke, VA | | 4,744 | 4,495 | 5.63% | P&I | 5/5/2015 |
| Retail, Poulsbo, WA | | 3,310 | 3,362 | 6.10% | I/O | 9/13/2017 |
| Office, Brookfield, WI | | 14,804 | 14,672 | L + 5.50%(6) | I/O* | 10/6/2015 |
| Subordinated Debt and Mezzanine: | | | | | | |
| Assisted Living, Mobile, AL | 15,500 | 4,750 | 4,771 | 12.02% | I/O* | 7/6/2021 |
| Industrial, Montgomery, AL 1 | 7,596 | 18,050 | 14,253 | 8.18% | I/O* | 8/31/2024 |
| Industrial, Montgomery, AL 2 | | 4,939 | 3,079 | 8.18% | I/O | 8/31/2024 |
| Office, Century City, CA | 109,342 | 75,874 | 75,928 | 7.30% | P&I | 2/11/2013 |
| Office, Glendale, CA | 33,950 | 14,550 | 14,373 | L + 10.42%(5) | I/O | 10/11/2015 |
| Resort, Mammoth Lakes, CA | 69,528 | 35,000 | 34,855 | 14.00% | I/O | 4/13/2017 |
| Hospitality, San Diego, CA | 17,332 | 6,437 | 6,437 | 12.66% | I/O* | 3/6/2016 |
| Office, San Francisco, CA | 80,850 | 34,650 | 34,257 | L + 8.50%(5) | I/O | 11/11/2015 |
| Mixed Use, San Francisco, CA 1 | 42,500 | 6,500 | 6,430 | L + 2.75% | I/O | 7/9/2014 |
| | | 13,500 | 13,341 | L + 16.64% | I/O | 7/9/2014 |

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------------|------|-----------|
| Mixed Use, San Francisco, CA 2 | | | | | | |
| Mixed Use, San Francisco, CA 3 | 81,009 | 27,191 | 26,909 | L + 10.96%(5) | P&I | 8/9/2015 |
| Hospitality, Estes Park, CO 1 | | 7,643 | 7,628 | 14.00% | I/O* | 1/6/2016 |
| Hospitality, Estes Park, CO 2 | 10,838 | 4,237 | 4,227 | 17.45% | P&I | 1/6/2016 |
| Industrial, Opa Locka, FL 1 | | 5,324 | 3,285 | 8.18% | I/O | 8/31/2024 |
| Industrial, Opa Locka, FL 2 | 7,303 | 17,354 | 13,703 | 8.18% | I/O* | 8/31/2024 |

143

Table of Contents

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Schedule IV Mortgage Loans on Real Estate (Continued)

December 31, 2012

(Amounts in thousands)

| Description/ Location | Prior Liens(1) | Face Amount | Carrying Amount | Interest Rate(3) | Payment Terms(3) | Maturity Date(7) |
|------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Industrial, Fitzgerald, GA | | 1,685 | 947 | 8.18% | I/O | 8/31/2024 |
| Office, Chicago, IL 1 | 51,226 | 16,727 | 16,696 | L + 9.00%(8) | I/O | 6/9/2014 |
| Office, Chicago, IL 2 | | 37,340 | 37,205 | 5.00% | I/O* | 6/1/2015 |
| Office, Chicago, IL 3 | 37,340 | 26,660 | 26,564 | 14.59% | I/O* | 6/1/2015 |
| Retail, Orland Park, IL 1 | | 13,181 | 13,113 | 5.00% | P&I | 12/31/2014 |
| Retail, Orland Park, IL 2 | 13,181 | 2,447 | 2,434 | 17.02% | P&I | 12/31/2014 |
| Hospitality, Europe, International | 223,423 | 48,765 | 48,403 | L + 11.65% | I/O | 1/23/2018 |
| Retail, Europe, International | 300,361 | 29,679 | 29,450 | 12.00% | I/O | 6/21/2017 |
| Hospitality, Europe, International | 81,632 | 73,881 | 72,956 | 12.50% | I/O | 7/20/2014 |
| Industrial, West Hammond, LA 1 | 9,164 | 21,778 | 17,196 | 8.18% | I/O* | 8/31/2024 |
| Industrial, West Hammond, LA 2 | | 5,959 | 3,715 | 8.18% | I/O | 8/31/2024 |
| Retail, New York City, NY | | 103,125 | 102,205 | L + 22.64%(8) | I/O | 10/9/2015 |
| Office, New York City, NY 1 | 118,350 | 11,146 | 9,992 | 7.19% | P&I | 7/11/2016 |
| Office, New York City, NY 2 | 94,500 | 40,500 | 40,164 | L + 10.15%(6) | I/O | 5/11/2015 |
| Retail, Columbus, OH | 320,000 | 85,000 | 72,954 | 6.97% | I/O | 8/8/2017 |
| Office, Philadelphia, PA | 80,000 | 15,000 | 15,025 | L + 10.75% | I/O | 6/9/2014 |
| Office, Nashville, TN | 14,689 | 2,733 | 2,733 | 12.00% | P&I | 5/6/2016 |
| Hospitality, Various, USA 1 | 98,393 | 90,754 | 92,265 | 11.26% | I/O* | 1/6/2016 |
| Hospitality, Various, USA 2 | 335,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 11.50% | I/O | 12/1/2019 |
| Hospitality, Various, USA 3 | 889,811 | 126,311 | 119,040 | L + 7.00%(8) | I/O | 3/9/2014 |
| Hospitality, Various, USA 4 | 90,000 | 40,000 | 39,592 | L + 11.50% | I/O | 3/9/2015 |
| Hospitality, Various, USA 5 | 146,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 10.00% | I/O | 10/1/2017 |
| Hospitality, Various, USA 6 | 75,000 | 70,000 | 70,870 | 11.87% | I/O | 5/6/2016 |
| Retail, Various, USA | 25,901 | 8,083 | 8,056 | 11.81% | I/O* | 1/6/2016 |
| Hospitality, Various, USA 1 | 1,432,136 | 250,000 | 236,605 | L + 2.20% | I/O | 11/12/2013 |
| Hospitality, Various, USA 2 | | 35,816 | 35,583 | L + 3.50%(6) | P&I | 7/9/2014 |
| Hospitality, Various, USA 3 | 35,816 | 15,350 | 15,135 | L + 11.83%(6) | P&I | 7/8/2014 |
| Retail, Various, USA | 100,000 | 42,200 | 42,129 | 14.28% | I/O* | 11/1/2015 |
| Office, Rosslyn, VA 1 | | 1,258 | 1,258 | L + 10.50%(7) | I/O | 5/8/2017 |
| Office, Rosslyn, VA 2 | 165,000 | 45,000 | 44,548 | L + 10.21%(7) | I/O | 5/8/2017 |
| Hospitality, Seattle, WA | 31,208 | 10,300 | 10,414 | 11.00% | I/O | 7/6/2016 |
| Loan Loss Allowance | | | (2,061) | | | |
| | \$ 5,248,531 | \$ 3,099,060 | \$ 3,000,335 | | | |

Notes to Schedule IV:

(1)

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

Represents third-party priority liens. Third party portions of pari-passu participations are not considered prior liens. Additionally, excludes the outstanding debt on third party joint ventures of underlying borrowers.

- (2) L = one month LIBOR rate, 3L = three month LIBOR rate, CL = one month Citibank LIBOR rate, 3CL = three month Citibank LIBOR rate, 6CL = six month Citibank LIBOR rate.
- (3) P&I = principal and interest; I/O = interest only, I/O* = principal payments begin later in loan period
- (4) Based on management's judgment of extension options being exercised.

Table of Contents**Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Schedule IV Mortgage Loans on Real Estate (Continued)****December 31, 2012****(Amounts in thousands)**

- (5) Subject to a 0.25% LIBOR floor.
- (6) Subject to a 0.5% LIBOR floor.
- (7) Subject to a 0.75% LIBOR floor.
- (8) Subject to a 1.0% LIBOR floor.
- (9) Subject to a 2.0% LIBOR floor.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, activity related to our loan portfolio was as follows:

| | |
|---|---------------------|
| Balance December 31, 2011 | \$ 2,447,508 |
| Acquisitions/Origination | 1,739,944 |
| Additional funding | 13,419 |
| Capitalized Interest(1) | 3,594 |
| Loans sold | (468,079) |
| Loan maturities | (615,227) |
| Transfer out loan converted to a security | (115,100) |
| Principal repayments | (55,223) |
| Discount/premium amortization | 44,653 |
| Unrealized foreign currency remeasurement loss | 12,667 |
| Unrealized gains on loans held for sale at fair value | (5,760) |
| Loan loss allowance | (2,061) |
| Balance December 31, 2012 | \$ 3,000,335 |

- (1) Represents accrued interest income on loans whose terms do not require current payment of interest.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, activity related to our loan portfolio was as follows:

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Balance December 31, 2010 | \$ 1,425,243 |
| Acquisitions/Origination | 1,782,964 |
| Additional funding | 45,792 |
| Capitalized Interest(1) | 7,485 |
| Loans sold | (331,312) |
| Loan maturities | (305,316) |
| Transfer out loan converted to a security | (176,635) |
| Principal repayments | (26,933) |
| Discount/premium amortization | 26,966 |

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Unrealized foreign currency remeasurement loss | (6,506) |
| Unrealized gains on loans held for sale at fair value | 5,760 |
| Balance December 31, 2011 | \$ 2,447,508 |

- (1) Represents accrued interest income on loans whose terms do not require current payment of interest.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures. We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we conducted an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Management Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of our principal executive and principal financial officers to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of our financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As of December 31, 2012, our management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework*, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this assessment, our management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012 is effective.

Our internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect transactions and dispositions of assets; provide reasonable assurances that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012 has been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report appearing on pages 53 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which expresses an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012.

Changes to Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. No change in internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information.

Additional Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations

The following is a summary of additional material U.S. federal income tax considerations with respect to the ownership of our stock. This summary supplements and should be read together with the discussion under "U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations" in the prospectus dated February 11, 2013 and filed as part of a Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-186561).

Recent Legislation

Pursuant to recently enacted legislation, as of January 1, 2013, (1) the maximum tax rate on "qualified dividend income" received by U.S. shareholders taxed at individual rates is 20%, (2) the maximum tax rate on long-term capital gain applicable to U.S. shareholders taxed at individual rates is 20%, and (3) the highest marginal individual income tax rate is 39.6%. Pursuant to such legislation, the backup withholding rate remains at 28%. We urge you to consult your tax advisors regarding the impact of this legislation on the purchase, ownership and sale of our stock.

Taxation of Taxable U.S. Shareholders

For payments after December 31, 2013, a U.S. withholding tax at a 30% rate will be imposed on dividends paid on our stock received by U.S. shareholders who own their stock through foreign accounts or foreign intermediaries if certain disclosure requirements related to U.S. accounts or ownership are not satisfied. In addition, if those disclosure requirements are not satisfied, a U.S. withholding tax at a 30% rate will be imposed on proceeds from the sale of our stock received after December 31, 2016 by U.S. shareholders who own their stock through foreign accounts or foreign intermediaries. We will not pay any additional amounts in respect of any amounts withheld.

Taxation of Non-U.S. Shareholders

For payments after December 31, 2013, a U.S. withholding tax at a 30% rate will be imposed on dividends paid on our stock received by certain non-U.S. shareholders if they held our stock through foreign entities that fail to meet certain disclosure requirements related to U.S. persons that either have accounts with such entities or own equity interests in such entities. In addition, if those disclosure requirements are not satisfied, a U.S. withholding tax at a 30% rate will be imposed on proceeds from the sale of our stock received after December 31, 2016 by certain non-U.S. shareholders. If payment of withholding taxes is required, non-U.S. shareholders that are otherwise eligible for an exemption from, or reduction of, U.S. withholding taxes with respect of such dividends and proceeds will be required to seek a refund from the Internal Revenue Service to obtain the benefit of such exemption or reduction. We will not pay any additional amounts in respect of any amounts withheld.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

Information required by this Item with respect to members of our Board of Directors and with respect to our audit committee will be contained in the Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders ("2013 Proxy Statement") under the captions "Election of Directors" and "Board and Committee Meetings Audit Committee" and in the chart disclosing Audit Committee membership and is incorporated herein by this reference. Information required by this Item with respect to our executive officers will be contained in the 2013 Proxy Statement under the caption "Executive Officers," and is incorporated herein by this reference. Information required by this Item with respect to compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 will be contained in the 2013 Proxy Statement under the caption "Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934," and is incorporated herein by this reference.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for all directors, officers and employees of the Company which is available on our website at www.starwoodpropertytrust.com. In addition, stockholders may request a free copy of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics from:

Starwood Property Trust, Inc.
Attention: Investor Relations
591 West Putnam Avenue
Greenwich, CT 06830
(202) 422-7700

We have also adopted a Code of Ethics for Principal Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers setting forth a code of ethics applicable to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer, which is available on our website at www.starwoodpropertytrust.com. Stockholders may request a free copy of the Code of Ethics for Principal Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers from the address and phone number set forth above.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

We have also adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines, which are available on our website at www.starwoodpropertytrust.com. Stockholders may request a free copy of the Corporate Governance Guidelines from the address and phone number set forth above.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

Information required by this Item will be contained in the 2013 Proxy Statement under the captions "Executive Compensation" and "Compensation of Directors" and is incorporated herein by this reference, provided that the Compensation Committee Report shall not be deemed to be "filed" with this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

Information required by this Item will be contained in the 2013 Proxy Statement under the captions "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners, Directors and Management" and "Equity Compensation Plan Information" and is incorporated herein by this reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationship and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

Information required by this Item will be contained in the 2013 Proxy Statement under the captions "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" and "Corporate Governance Determination of Director Independence" and is incorporated herein by this reference.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

Information required by this Item will be contained in the 2013 Proxy Statement under the captions "Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" and "Pre-Approval Policies for Services of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" and is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

- (a) Documents filed as part of this report:
- (1) Financial Statements:
See Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data", filed herewith, for a list of financial statements.
 - (2) Financial Statement Schedules:
Schedule IV Mortgage Loans on Real Estate.
 - (3) Exhibits Files:

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|--------------------|--|
| 3.1 | Articles of Amendment and Restatement of Starwood Property Trust, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed November 16, 2009) |
| 3.2 | Bylaws of Starwood Property Trust, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed November 16, 2009) |
| 10.1 | Private Placement Purchase Agreement, dated August 11, 2009, between Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and SPT Investment, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed November 16, 2009) |
| 10.2 | Registration Rights Agreement, dated August 17, 2009, among Starwood Property Trust, Inc., SPT Investment, LLC and SPT Management, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed November 16, 2009) |
| 10.3 | Management Agreement, dated August 17, 2009, among SPT Management, LLC and Starwood Property Trust, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed November 16, 2009) |
| 10.4 | Co-Investment and Allocation Agreement, dated August 17, 2009, among Starwood Property Trust, Inc., SPT Management, LLC and Starwood Capital Group Global, L.P. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed November 16, 2009) |
| 10.5 | Starwood Property Trust, Inc. Non-Executive Director Stock Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed November 16, 2009) |
| 10.6 | Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement for Independent Directors (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed November 16, 2009) |
| 10.7 | Starwood Property Trust, Inc. Manager Equity Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed November 16, 2009) |
| 10.8 | Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement, dated August 17, 2009, between Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and SPT Management, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed November 16, 2009) |

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

10.9 Starwood Property Trust, Inc. Equity Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed November 16, 2009)

150

Edgar Filing: STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|--------------------|--|
| 10.10 | Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement, dated August 17, 2009, between Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Barbara J. Anderson (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed November 16, 2009) |
| 10.11 | Underwriting Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2009, among Starwood Property Trust, Inc., SPT Management, LLC and the underwriters named therein (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed November 16, 2009) |
| 10.12 | Loan Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated February 16, 2010, among Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-1, L.L.C., Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America and Chicago Title Insurance Company, as escrow agent (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed May 10, 2010) |
| 10.13 | Master Repurchase and Securities Contract, dated March 31, 2010, between Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-1, L.L.C. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed May 10, 2010) |
| 10.14 | Master Repurchase and Securities Contract, dated August 6, 2010, between Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-2, L.L.C. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 12, 2010) |
| 10.15 | Master Repurchase Agreement, dated December 2, 2010, between Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-3, L.L.C. and Goldman Sachs Mortgage Company (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 6, 2010) |
| 10.16 | Credit Agreement, dated December 3, 2010, among SPT Real Estate Sub II, LLC, Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and certain subsidiaries of Starwood Property Trust, Inc., as guarantors, and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 6, 2010) |
| 10.17 | Amendment No. 2, dated November 3, 2011, to Amended and Restated Master Repurchase and Securities Contract, Amended and Restated Guarantee and Security Agreement and Amended and Restated Fee and Pricing Letter between and among Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-2, L.L.C., Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-2A, L.L.C., Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed February 29, 2011) |
| 21.1 | Subsidiaries of the Company |
| 23.1 | Consent of Deloitte & Touche, LLP |
| 31.1 | Certification pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Certification pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1 | Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.2 | Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |

