

ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORP
Form 10KSB
March 15, 2007

U. S. Securities and Exchange Commission

Washington, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-KSB

ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE

ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE

ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. 0-32873

ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

(Name of Small Business Issuer in its Charter)

Utah
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

87-0420774
(I.R.S. Employer I.D. No.)

4685 Highland Drive, Suite 202

Salt Lake City, Utah 84117

Edgar Filing: ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10KSB

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Issuer's Telephone Number: (801) 278-9424

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered: None

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Act:

\$0.001 par value common stock

Title of Class

Check whether the Issuer is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

Check whether the Issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Issuer was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

(1) Yes No (2) Yes No

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of Issuer's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

Indicate by check mark whether the Issuer is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Edgar Filing: ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10KSB

State Issuer's revenues for its most recent fiscal year: December 31, 2006- \$0.

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common stock was sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common stock, as of a specified date within the past 60 days: March 7, 2006 - \$172.75. There are approximately 172,750 shares of common voting stock of the Issuer not held by affiliates. Because there has been no established public market for the Issuer's common stock during the past five years, the Issuer has arbitrarily valued these shares at par value of \$0.001 per share.

(ISSUERS INVOLVED IN BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS DURING THE PAST FIVE YEARS)

None; not applicable.

Check whether the Issuer has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Section 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act after the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. Yes No

Not applicable.

(APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS)

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the Issuer's classes of common equity, as of the latest practicable date:

March 14, 2006: Common - 3,647,421

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

A description of Documents Incorporated by Reference is contained in Part III, Item 13 of this Annual Report.

Transitional Small Business Issuer Format Yes No

PART I

Item 1. Description of Business

Business Development

Organization and Charter Amendments

Energrouop Technologies Corporation, (our Company, we, us, our and words of similar import), was incorporated under the laws of the State of Utah on March 21, 1985, under the name of Great Lakes Funding, Inc.

The Company's initial authorized capital was \$50,000.00, consisting of 50,000,000 shares of one mill (\$0.001) par value common voting stock.

On January 9, 1986, the Articles of Incorporation were amended to change the name from Great Lakes Funding, Inc., to Energrouop Technologies Corporation.

On October 1, 1999, the Articles of Incorporation were amended to reflect a 20 to 1 reverse split of the Company's issued and outstanding common stock, while retaining the current authorized capital and par value, with appropriate adjustments in the stated capital accounts and capital surplus accounts; provided, however, that no stockholder, computed on a per stock certificate or record basis on the effective date hereof, currently owning 100 or more shares was reduced to less than 100 shares as a result of the reverse split and that no stockholder owning less than 100 shares, on the per stock certificate or record basis on the effective date hereof, was affected by the reverse split.

Business

Our Company was engaged in the manufacturing of interfacing devices used in microprocessors-based control systems for heating, ventilation and air conditioning systems. These operations proved unsuccessful, and we ceased such operations over ten years ago.

Our Company is not currently engaged in any substantive business activity, and we have no plans to engage in any such activity in the foreseeable future. In our present form, we may be deemed to be a vehicle to acquire or merge with a business or company. Regardless, the commencement of any business opportunity will be preceded by the consideration and adoption of a business plan by our Board of Directors. We do not intend to restrict our search for business opportunities to any particular business or industry, and the areas in which we will seek out business opportunities or acquisitions, reorganizations or mergers may include, but will not be limited to, the fields of high technology, manufacturing, natural resources, service, research and development, communications, transportation, insurance, brokerage, finance and all medically related fields, among others. We recognize that the number of suitable potential business ventures that may be available to us may be extremely limited, and may be restricted to entities who desire to avoid what such entities may deem to be the adverse factors related to an initial public offering (IPO). The most prevalent of these factors include substantial time requirements, legal and accounting costs, the inability to obtain an underwriter who is willing to publicly offer and sell shares, the lack of or the inability to obtain the required financial statements for such an undertaking, limitations on the amount of dilution to public investors in comparison to the stockholders of any such entities, along with other conditions or requirements imposed by various federal and state securities laws, rules and regulations and federal and state agencies that implement such laws, rules and regulations. Any of these types of transactions, regardless of the particular prospect, would require us to issue a substantial number of shares of our common stock, that could amount to as much as 95% of our outstanding securities following the completion of any such transaction; accordingly, investments in any such private enterprise, if available, would be much more favorable than any investment in our Company.

Management intends to consider a number of factors prior to making any decision as to whether to participate in any specific business endeavor, none of which may be determinative or provide any assurance of success. These may include, but will not be limited to, as applicable, an analysis of the quality of the particular entity's management personnel; the anticipated acceptability of any new products or marketing concepts that it may have; the merit of its technological changes; its present financial condition, projected growth potential and available technical, financial and managerial resources; its working capital, history of operations and future prospects; the nature of its present and expected competition; the quality and experience of its management services and the depth of its management; its potential for further research, development or exploration; risk factors specifically related to its business operations; its potential for growth, expansion and profit; the perceived public recognition or acceptance of its products, services, trademarks and name identification; and numerous other factors which are difficult, if not impossible, to properly or accurately analyze, let alone describe or identify, without referring to specific objective criteria.

Regardless, the results of operations of any specific entity may not necessarily be indicative of what may occur in the future, by reason of changing market strategies, plant or product expansion, changes in product emphasis, future management personnel and changes in innumerable other factors. Further, in the case of a new business venture or one that is in a research and development mode, the risks will be substantial, and there will be no objective criteria to examine the effectiveness or the abilities of its management or its business objectives. Also, a firm market for its products or services may yet need to be established, and with no past track record, the profitability of any such entity will be unproven and cannot be predicted with any certainty.

Management will attempt to meet personally with management and key personnel of the entity providing any potential business opportunity afforded to our Company, visit and inspect material facilities, obtain independent analysis or verification of information provided and gathered, check references of management and key personnel and conduct other reasonably prudent measures calculated to ensure a reasonably thorough review of any particular business opportunity; however, due to time constraints of management, these activities may be limited. See the heading Business Experience, Part III, Item 9.

Edgar Filing: ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10KSB

We are unable to predict the time as to when and if we may actually participate in any specific business endeavor. Our Company anticipates that proposed business ventures will be made available to us through personal contacts of directors, executive officers and principal stockholders, professional advisors, broker dealers in securities, venture capital personnel, members of the financial community and others who may present unsolicited proposals. In certain cases, we may agree to pay a finder's fee or to otherwise compensate the persons who submit a potential business endeavor in which our Company eventually participates. Such persons may include our directors, executive officers and beneficial owners of our securities or their affiliates. In this event, such fees may become a factor in negotiations regarding any potential venture and, accordingly, may present a conflict of interest for such individuals. Management does not presently intend to acquire or merge with any business enterprise in which any member has a prior ownership interest.

Our Company's directors and executive officers have not used any particular consultants, advisors or finders on a regular basis.

Although we currently have no plans to do so, depending on the nature and extent of services rendered, we may compensate members of management in the future for services that they may perform for our Company. Because we currently have extremely limited resources, and we are unlikely to have any significant resources until we have determined a business or enterprise to engage in or have completed a merger or acquisition, management expects that any such compensation would take the form of an issuance of our Company's common stock to these persons; this would have the effect of further diluting the holdings of our other stockholders. There are presently no preliminary agreements or understandings between us and members of management respecting such compensation.

Substantial fees are often paid in connection with the completion of all types of acquisitions, reorganizations or mergers, ranging from a small amount to as much as \$400,000. These fees are usually divided among promoters or founders, after deduction of legal, accounting and other related expenses, and it is not unusual for a portion of these fees to be paid to members of management or to principal stockholders as consideration for their agreement to retire a portion of the shares of common stock owned by them. Management may actively negotiate or otherwise consent to the purchase of all or any portion of their common stock as a condition to, or in connection with, a proposed reorganization, merger or acquisition. It is not anticipated that any such opportunity will be afforded to other stockholders or that such other stockholders will be afforded the opportunity to approve or consent to any particular stock buy-out transaction. In the event that any such fees are paid, they may become a factor in negotiations regarding any potential acquisition or merger by our Company and, accordingly, may also present a conflict of interest for such individuals. We have no present arrangements or understandings respecting any of these types of fees or opportunities.

None of our directors, executive officers, founders or their affiliates or associates are currently negotiating with any representatives of the owners of any business or company regarding the possibility of an acquisition, reorganization, merger or other business opportunity for our Company; nor are there any similar arrangements with us.

Risk Factors

In any business venture, there are substantial risks specific to the particular enterprise which cannot be ascertained until a potential acquisition, reorganization or merger candidate has been identified; however, at a minimum, the Company's present and proposed business operations will be highly speculative and be subject to the same types of risks inherent in any new or unproven venture, and will include those types of risk factors outlined below.

Extremely Limited Assets; No Source of Revenue

Edgar Filing: ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10KSB

Our Company has virtually no assets and has had no revenue for over the past ten years or to the date hereof. Nor will we receive any revenues until we complete an acquisition, reorganization or merger, at the earliest. We can provide no assurance that any acquired business will produce any material revenues for our Company or its stockholders or that any such business will operate on a profitable basis. Although management intends to apply any proceeds it may receive through the issuance of stock or debt to a suitable acquisition, subject to the criteria identified above, such proceeds will not otherwise be designated for any more specific purpose. The Company can provide no assurance that any use or allocation of such proceeds will allow it to achieve its business objectives.

Our Company May Be Deemed to Be a Blank Check Company Until It Adopts a Business Plan

The limited business operations of the Company, as now contemplated, involve those of a blank check company. The only activities to be conducted by the Company are to manage current limited assets and to seek out and investigate the commencement or the acquisition of any viable business opportunity by purchase and exchange for securities of the Company or pursuant to a reorganization or merger through which securities of the Company will be issued or exchanged.

Discretionary Use of Proceeds; Blank Check Company

Because our Company is not currently engaged in any substantive business activities, as well as management's broad discretion with respect to selecting a business or industry for commencement of operations or completing an acquisition of assets, property or business, the Company may be deemed to be a blank check company. Although management intends to apply any proceeds the Company may receive through the issuance of stock or debt to a suitable business enterprise, subject to the criteria identified above, such proceeds will not otherwise be designated for any more specific purpose. The Company can provide no assurance that any use or allocation of such proceeds will allow the Company to achieve its business objectives.

Our Company Will Seek Out Business Opportunities

Management will seek out and investigate business opportunities through every reasonably available fashion, including personal contacts, professionals, securities broker dealers, venture capital personnel, members of the financial community and others who may present unsolicited proposals; we may also advertise its availability as a vehicle to bring a company to the public market through a reverse reorganization or merger.

Absence of Substantive Disclosure Relating to Prospective Acquisitions

Because our Company has not yet identified any assets, property or business that it may acquire, potential investors in the Company will have virtually no substantive information upon which to base a decision whether to invest in our Company. Potential investors would have access to significantly more information if we had already identified a potential acquisition or if the acquisition target had made an offering of its securities directly to the public. We can provide no assurance that any investment in our Company will not ultimately prove to be less favorable than such a direct investment.

Unspecified Industry and Acquired Business; Unascertainable Risks

To date, we have not identified any particular industry or business in which to concentrate its acquisition efforts. Accordingly, prospective investors currently have no basis to evaluate the comparative risks and merits of investing in the industry or business in which the Company may acquire. To the extent that we may acquire a business in a high risk industry, we will become subject to those risks. Similarly, if our Company acquires a financially unstable business or a business that is in the early stages of development, we will become subject to the numerous risks to which such businesses are subject. Although management intends to consider the risks inherent in any industry and business in which it may become involved, there can be no assurance that it will correctly assess such risks.

Uncertain Structure of Acquisition

Management has had no preliminary contact or discussions regarding, and there are no present plans, proposals or arrangements to acquire any specific assets, property or business. Accordingly, it is unclear whether such an acquisition would take the form of an exchange of capital stock, a merger or an asset acquisition.

Auditor s Going Concern Opinion

The Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm issued in connection with the audited financial statements of the Company for the calendar years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, 2004, 2003, and 2002, expresses substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern, due to the Company s status as a start up and its lack of profitable operations. See Part II, Item 7, of this Annual Report.

Losses Associated With Startup

The Company has not had a profitable operating history. The Company cannot guarantee that the Company will become profitable.

Federal and State Restrictions on Blank Check Companies

Federal Restrictions

Recent amendments to Form 8-K by the Securities and Exchange Commission regarding shell companies and transactions with shell companies require the filing of all information about an acquired company that would have been required to have been filed had any such company filed a Form 10 or 10-SB Registration Statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission, along with required audited, interim and proforma financial statements, within four business days of the closing of any such transaction. These new regulations also deny the use of Form S-8 for the registration of securities of a shell company, and limit the use of this Form to a reorganized shell company until the expiration of 60 days from when any such entity is no longer considered to be a shell company. This prohibition could further restrict opportunities for us to acquire companies that may already have stock option plans in place that cover numerous employees. In such an instance, there may be no exemption from registration for the issuance of securities in any business combination to these employees, thereby necessitating the filing of a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission to complete any such reorganization, and incurring the time and expense costs normally avoided by reverse reorganizations.

The Wulff Letter, as discussed below under Part II, Item 5, under the heading **Restrictions on Sales of Certain Restricted Securities**, can restrict the free tradeability of certain shares issued to the Company's promoters or founders or affiliates in any transaction with us to resales pursuant to an effective registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We would expect the definition of these applicable persons to be liberally construed to promote the findings set out in the Wulff Letter. The shares common stock in the Company held by Jenson Services, James Doolin a former director and Alycia Anthony a former director, are subject to resale under a Registration Agreement that is discussed below under this heading.

If the Company publicly offers any securities as a condition to the closing of any acquisition, merger or reorganization while the Company is a blank check or shell company, the Company will have to fully comply with Rule 419 of the Securities and Exchange Commission and deposit all funds in escrow pending advice about the proposed transaction to the stockholder fully disclosing all information required by Regulation 14 of the Securities and Exchange Commission and seeking the vote and agreement of investment of those stockholders to whom such securities were offered; if no response is received from these stockholders within 45 days thereafter or if any elect not to invest following advice about the proposed transaction, all funds held in escrow must be promptly returned to any such stockholder. All securities issued in any such offering will likewise be deposited in escrow, pending satisfaction of the foregoing conditions. The foregoing is only a brief summary of Rule 419. The Company does not anticipate making any public offerings of its securities that would come within the context of an offering described in Rule 419.

Edgar Filing: ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10KSB

All of these laws, rules and regulations could severely restrict the Company from completing the acquisition of any business or any merger or reorganization for the following reasons, among others:

- * The time and expense in complying with any of the foregoing could be prohibitive and eliminate the reasons for a reverse reorganization.
- * Management or others who own or are to receive shares that may be covered by the Wulff Letter may demand registration rights for these shares, and the acquisition candidate may refuse to grant them by reason of the time, cost and expense; or because the filing any such registration statement may be integrated with planned financing options that could prohibit or interfere with such options or such registration statement.
- * Demands for cash in lieu of securities could be too high a cost of dilution to the acquisition candidate, especially when taking into account the dilution that results from the shareholdings that are retained by the Company's shareholders.
- * These costs and expenses, if agreed upon, would no doubt further dilute the shareholders, as any acquisition candidate may not be willing to leave as many shares with the shareholders in any such transaction.
- * An acquisition candidate may demand that outstanding Wulff Letter shares be cancelled, and the holders of these shares could refuse to do so without just compensation, including the current sole director.
- * Finder's and parties who may introduce acquisition candidates would no doubt be unwilling to introduce any such candidates to the Company if shares issued to them came within the Wulff Letter interpretations and no registration rights were granted, which would substantially restrict the Company's ability to attract such potential candidates.

State Restrictions

A total of 36 states prohibit or substantially restrict the registration and sale of "blank check" companies within their borders. Additionally, 36 states use "merit review powers" to exclude securities offerings from their borders in an effort to screen out offerings of highly dubious quality. See paragraph 8221, NASAA Reports, CCH Topical Law Reports, 1990. The Company intends to comply fully with all state securities laws, and plans to take the steps necessary to ensure that any future offering of the Company securities is limited to those states in which such offerings are allowed. However, while the Company has no substantive business operations and is deemed to a "blank check" Company, these legal restrictions may have a material adverse impact on the Company's ability to raise capital because potential purchasers of the Company's securities must be residents of states that permit the purchase of such securities. These restrictions may also limit or prohibit stockholders from reselling shares of the Company's common stock within the borders of regulating states.

By regulation or policy statement, eight states (Idaho, Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, New Mexico, Pennsylvania, Utah and Washington), some of which are included in the group of 36 states mentioned above, place various restrictions on the sale or resale of equity securities of "blank check" or "blind pool" companies. These restrictions include, but are not limited to, heightened disclosure requirements, exclusion from "manual listing" registration exemptions for secondary trading privileges and outright prohibition of public offerings of such companies. Because the Company does not intend to make any offering of its securities in the foreseeable future, management does not believe that any state restriction on "blank check" offerings will have any effect on the Company.

Edgar Filing: ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10KSB

In most jurisdictions, blank check and blind pool companies are not eligible for participation in the Small Corporate Offering Registration (SCOR) program, which permits an issuer to notify the Securities and Exchange Commission of certain offerings registered in such states by filing a Form D under Regulation D of the Securities and Exchange Commission. All states (with the exception of Alabama, Delaware, Florida, Hawaii, Minnesota, Nebraska and New York) have adopted some form of SCOR. States participating in the SCOR program also allow applications for registration of securities by qualification by filing a Form U-7 with the states securities commissions. Nevertheless, the Company does not anticipate making any SCOR offering or other public offering in the foreseeable future, even in any jurisdiction where it may be eligible for participation in SCOR, despite the Company s status as a blank check or blind pool company.

The net effect of the above-referenced laws, rules and regulations will be to place significant restrictions on the Company s ability to register, offer and sell and/or to develop a secondary market for shares of the Company s common stock in virtually every jurisdiction in the United States. These restrictions should cease once and if the Company acquires a venture by purchase, reorganization or merger, so long as the business operations succeeded to involve sufficient activities of a specific nature.

Management to Devote Insignificant Time to Activities of the Company

Members of the Company s management are not required to devote their full time to the affairs of our Company. Because of their time commitments, as well as the fact that the Company has no business operations, the members of management currently devote one hour a week to the activities of our Company, until such time as we have identified a suitable acquisition target.

No Established Market for Common Stock; No Market for Shares

On or about March 17, 2005, the Company s common stock was approved for trading on the OTC Bulletin Board of the NASD and is currently listed under the symbol EGRT. There is currently no established trading market for such shares; there can be no assurance that such a market will ever develop or be maintained. Any market price for shares of common stock of the Company is likely to be very volatile, and numerous factors beyond the control of the Company may have a significant effect. In addition, the stock markets generally have experienced, and continue to experience, extreme price and volume fluctuations which have affected the market price of many small capital companies and which have often been unrelated to the operating performance of these companies. These broad market fluctuations, as well as general economic and political conditions, may adversely affect the market price of the Company s common stock in any market that may develop. Sales of restricted securities under Rule 144 may also have an adverse effect on any market that may develop. See Part II, Item 5.

Risks of Penny Stock

The Company s common stock may be deemed to be penny stock as that term is defined in Reg. Section 240.3a51-1 of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Penny stocks are stocks (i) with a price of less than five dollars per share; (ii) that are not traded on a recognized national exchange; (iii) whose prices are not quoted on the NASDAQ automated quotation system (NASDAQ-listed stocks must still meet requirement (i) above); or (iv) in issuers with net tangible assets less than \$2,000,000 (if the issuer has been in continuous operation for at least three years) or \$5,000,000 (if in continuous operation for less than three years), or with average revenues of less than \$6,000,000 for the last three years.

Section 15(g) of the Exchange Act and Rule 15g-2 of the Securities and Exchange Commission require broker-dealers dealing in penny stocks to provide potential investors with a document disclosing the risks of penny stocks and to obtain a manually signed and dated written receipt of the document before effecting any transaction in a penny stock for the investor s account. Potential investors in our Company s common stock are urged to obtain and read such disclosure carefully before purchasing any shares that are deemed to be penny stock.

Edgar Filing: ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10KSB

Moreover, Rule 15g-9 of the Securities and Exchange Commission requires broker-dealers in penny stocks to approve the account of any investor for transactions in such stocks before selling any penny stock to that investor. This procedure requires the broker-dealer to (i) obtain from the investor information concerning his or her financial situation, investment experience and investment objectives; (ii) reasonably determine, based on that information, that transactions in penny stocks are suitable for the investor and that the investor has sufficient knowledge and experience as to be reasonably capable of evaluating the risks of penny stock transactions; (iii) provide the investor with a written statement setting forth the basis on which the broker-dealer made the determination in (ii) above; and (iv) receive a signed and dated copy of such statement from the investor, confirming that it accurately reflects the investor's financial situation, investment experience and investment objectives. Compliance with these requirements may make it more difficult for investors in our Company's common stock to resell their shares to third parties or to otherwise dispose of them.

There has been no established public market for the Company's common stock during the last five years or since we were listed on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol EGRT on March 17, 2005. At such time as the Company completes a merger or acquisition transaction, if at all, it may attempt to qualify for quotation on either NASDAQ or a national securities exchange. However, at least initially, any trading in our common stock will most likely be conducted on the OTC Bulletin Board of the NASD under the symbol EGRT.

Principal Products or Services and their Markets

None; not applicable.

Distribution Methods of the Products or Services

None; not applicable.

Status of any Publicly Announced New Product or Service

None; not applicable.

Competitive Business Conditions and the Small Business Issuer's Competitive Position in the Industry and Methods of Competition

Management believes that there are literally thousands of "blank check" companies engaged in endeavors similar to those engaged in by our Company; many of these companies have substantial current assets and cash reserves. Competitors also include thousands of other publicly-held companies whose business operations have proven unsuccessful, and whose only viable business opportunity is that of providing a publicly-held vehicle through which a private entity may have access to the public capital markets. There is no reasonable way to predict the competitive position of our Company or any other entity in the strata of these endeavors; however, the Company, having limited assets and cash reserves, will no doubt be at a competitive disadvantage in competing with entities which have recently completed IPO's, have significant cash resources and have recent operating histories when compared with the complete lack of any substantive operations by the Company for the past several years.

Sources and Availability of Raw Materials and Names of Principal Suppliers

None; not applicable.

Dependence on One or a Few Major Customers

None; not applicable.

9

Edgar Filing: ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10KSB

Patents, Trademarks, Licenses, Franchises, Concessions, Royalty Agreements of Labor Contracts, including Duration

None; not applicable.

Need for any Governmental Approval of Principal Products of Services

Because the Company currently produces no products or services, the Company is not presently subject to any governmental regulation in this regard. However, in the event that the Company engages in a merger or acquisition transaction with an entity that engages in such activities, the Company will become subject to all governmental approval requirements to which the merged or acquired entity is subject.

Effect of Existing or Probable Governmental Regulations on Business

The integrated disclosure system for small business issuers adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission in Release No. 34-30968 and effective as of August 13, 1992, substantially modified the information and financial requirements of a Small Business Issuer, defined to be an issuer that has revenues of less than \$25 million; is a U.S. or Canadian issuer, is not an investment company, and if a majority-owned subsidiary, the parent is also a small business issuer, provided, however, an entity is not a small business issuer if it has a public float (the aggregate market value of the issuer's outstanding securities held by non-affiliates) of \$25 million or more.

The Securities and Exchange Commission, state securities commissions and the North American Securities Administrators Association, Inc. (NASAA) have expressed an interest in adopting policies that will streamline the registration process and make it easier for a small business issuer to have access to the public capital markets. The present laws, rules and regulations designed to promote availability to the small business issuer of these capital markets and similar laws, rules and regulations that may be adopted in the future will substantially limit the demand for blank check companies like our Company, and may make the use of these companies obsolete.

We are also subject to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. This Act creates a strong and independent accounting oversight board to oversee the conduct of auditors of public companies and strengthens auditor independence. It also requires steps to enhance the direct responsibility of senior members of management for financial reporting and for the quality of financial disclosures made by public companies; establishes clear statutory rules to limit, and to expose to public view, possible conflicts of interest affecting securities analysts; creates guidelines for audit committee members' appointment, compensation and oversight of the work of public companies' auditors; prohibits certain insider trading during pension fund blackout periods; and establishes a federal crime of securities fraud, among other provisions.

Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act requires all companies with securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act to comply with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission regarding proxy solicitations, as outlined in Regulation 14A. Matters submitted to stockholders of our Company at a special or annual meeting thereof or pursuant to a written consent will require our Company to provide our stockholders with the information outlined in Schedules 14A or 14C of Regulation 14; preliminary copies of this information must be submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission at least 10 days prior to the date that definitive copies of this information are forwarded to our stockholders.

We are also required to file annual reports on Form 10-KSB and quarterly reports on Form 10-QSB with the Securities Exchange Commission on a regular basis, and will be required to timely disclose certain material events (e.g., changes in corporate control; acquisitions or dispositions

Edgar Filing: ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10KSB

of a significant amount of assets other than in the ordinary course of business; and bankruptcy) in a Current Report on Form 8-K12G3.

Edgar Filing: ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10KSB

If we are acquired by a non-reporting issuer under the Exchange Act, we will be subject to the back-door registration requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission that will require us to file a Current Report on Form 8-K12G3 that will include all information about such non-reporting issuer as would have been required to be filed by that entity had it filed a Form 10 or Form 10SB Registration Statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Securities and Exchange Commission proposed on April 13, 2004, that any acquisition that will result in our Company no longer being a blank check or blind pool company will require us to include all information about the acquired company as would have been required to be filed by that entity had it filed a Form 10 or Form 10SB Registration Statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Research and Development Costs During the Last Two Fiscal Years

None; not applicable.

Cost and Effects of Compliance with Environmental Laws

None; not applicable. However, environmental laws, rules and regulations may have an adverse effect on any business venture viewed by the Company as an attractive acquisition, reorganization or merger candidate, and these factors may further limit the number of potential candidates available to the Company for acquisition, reorganization or merger.

Number of Total Employees and Number of Full Time Employees

None; not applicable.

Reports to Security Holders

You may read and copy any materials that we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission at its Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may also find all of the reports that we have filed electronically with the Securities and Exchange Commission at their internet site www.sec.gov.

Item 2. Description of Property

Our Company has no assets, property or business; its principal executive office address and telephone number are the business office address and telephone number of its majority shareholder, Duane S. Jenson, and are currently provided at no cost. Because we have had no business, our activities have been limited to keeping ourselves in good standing in the State of Utah. These activities have consumed an insignificant amount of management's time; accordingly, the costs to Mr. Jenson of providing the use of his office and telephone have been minimal.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Our Company is not a party to any pending legal proceeding. To the knowledge of management, no federal, state or local governmental agency is presently contemplating any proceeding against the Company. No director, executive officer or affiliate of our Company or owner of record or beneficially of more than five percent of the Company's common stock is a party adverse to the Company or has a material interest adverse to the Company in any proceeding.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Except as set forth below, no matter was submitted to a vote of our Company's security holders during the fourth quarter of the period covered by this Annual Report or during the previous two fiscal years.

During the year ended December 31, 2006, no matter was submitted to a vote of the Company's securities holders, whether through the solicitation of proxies or otherwise.

PART II**Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Small Business Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities****Market Information**

The Company's common stock was listed on the OTC Bulletin Board of the National Association of Securities Dealers (NASD) on March 17, 2005, and is currently listed under the symbol EGRT . There is currently no established public market for shares of common stock of the Company. Management does not expect any public market to develop unless and until the Company completes an acquisition or merger. In any event, no assurance can be given that any market for the Company's common stock will develop or be maintained.

For any market that develops for our Company's common stock, the sale of restricted securities (common stock) pursuant to Rule 144 of the Securities and Exchange Commission by members of management or any other person to whom any such securities may be issued in the future may have a substantial adverse impact on any such public market. Present members of management have already satisfied the one year holding period of Rule 144 for public sales of their respective holdings in our Company in accordance with Rule 144. See the caption Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities, of this Item, below. Also see the caption Restrictions on Sales of Certain Restricted Securities for a discussion on shares subject to a registration agreement.

A minimum holding period of one year is required for resales under Rule 144, along with other pertinent provisions, including publicly available information concerning our Company; limitations on the volume of restricted securities which can be sold in any ninety (90) day period; the requirement of unsolicited broker's transactions; and the filing of a Notice of Sale on Form 144.

The bid and offer price for the shares of common stock of our Company for the quarterly periods from January 3, 2006 through December 31, 2006 are as follows:

2006	Closing Bid		Closing Ask	
	High	Low	High	Low
January 3 - March 31	1.01	.30	NONE	NONE
April 3 - June 30	1.01	.30	NONE	NONE
July 3 - September 29	.30	.30	NONE	NONE
October 2 - December 29	.30	.30	1.01	1.01

These prices were obtained from the National Quotation Bureau, Inc. (NQB) and do not necessarily reflect actual transactions, retail markups, mark downs or commissions.

 Holders

The number of record holders of the Company's common stock as of the date of this Annual Report is approximately 164, not including an indeterminate number who may hold shares in street name.

Dividends

The Company has not declared any cash dividends with respect to its common stock and does not intend to declare dividends in the foreseeable future. The future dividend policy of the Company cannot be ascertained with any certainty, and if and until the Company completes any acquisition, reorganization or merger, no such policy will be formulated. There are no material restrictions limiting, or that are likely to limit, the Company's ability to pay dividends on its securities.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

Our Company does not have any Equity Compensation Plans.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

On or about March 12, 2007, the Company authorized the issuance of 5,462 shares of common stock in reconciliation of transfer records. The Company received a General Release in conjunction with the issuance. The Company completed the issuance because it believes the acquirer may be defined as a Protected Purchaser under Section 70A-8-303 of the Utah Code Annotated and Article 8 of the Uniform Commercial Code.

Aside from what has been stated above, we have had no recent sales of restricted securities.

Sales of Restricted Securities

There have been no sales of the Company's common stock that are restricted securities in the past five years or since November 1999.

On September 24, 1999, the Company issued 1,698,000 unregistered and restricted common shares to Jenson Services, Inc., in consideration of payment of \$1,698 of expenses incurred on behalf of the Company. These shares were issued at par value, one mill (\$0.001).

On September 24, 1999, the Company issued 500,000 unregistered and restricted common shares to James Doolin, President and Director. These shares were in consideration of services rendered and issued at par value, one mill (\$0.001).

On September 24, 1999, the Company issued 500,000 unregistered and restricted common shares to Alycia Anthony, Secretary and Director. These shares were in consideration of services rendered and issued at par value, one mill (\$0.001).

On November 1, 1999, the Company issued 782,500 unregistered and restricted common shares to Jenson Services, Inc., in consideration of payment of \$782.50 of expenses incurred on behalf of the Company. These shares were issued at par value, one mill (\$0.001).

Restrictions on Sales of Certain Restricted Securities

Generally, restricted securities can be resold under Rule 144 once they have been held for at least one year (subparagraph (d) thereof), provided that the issuer of the securities satisfies the current public information requirements (subparagraph (c)) of the Rule; no more than 1% of the outstanding securities of the issuer are sold in any three month period (subparagraph (e)); the seller does not arrange or solicit the solicitation of buyers for the securities in anticipation of or in connection with the sale transactions or does not make any payment to anyone in connection with the sales transactions except the broker dealer who executes the trade or trades in these securities (subparagraph (f)); the shares are sold in broker's transactions only (subparagraph (g)); the seller files a Notice on Form 144 with the Securities and Exchange Commission at or prior to the sales transactions (subparagraph (h)); and the seller has a bona fide intent to sell the securities within a reasonable time of the filing. Once two years have lapsed, assuming the holder of the securities is not an affiliate of the issuer, unlimited sales can be made without further compliance with the terms and provisions of Rule 144. All restricted securities of the Company have been held for in excess of one year.

Edgar Filing: ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10KSB

In January, 2000, Richard K. Wulff, the Chief of the Securities and Exchange Commission's Office of Small Business, wrote a letter to Ken Worm, the Assistant Director of the OTC Compliance Unit of NASD Regulation, Inc. Many members of the securities community have come to refer to that letter as the Wulff letter.

The Wulff letter was written in response to a request for guidance from Mr. Worm. In his request, Mr. Worm had referred to several situations in which non-affiliate stockholders of blank check issuers had sought to treat their shares as free-trading or unrestricted securities. As defined in the Wulff letter, a blank check company is a development stage company that has no specific business plan or purpose or has indicated its business plan is to engage in a merger or acquisition with an unidentified company or companies, or other entity or person.

Edgar Filing: ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10KSB

Citing the concerns of the United States Congress and the Securities and Exchange Commission over potential fraud and market manipulations involving blank check companies, the Wulff letter stated that affiliates of blank check issuers, as well as transferees of their securities, are underwriters with respect to such securities. Accordingly, transactions in these companies' securities by promoters, affiliates or their transferees do not fall within the scope of the Rule 144 safe harbor resales for securities that have been beneficially owned for at least one year and that satisfy informational and certain other requirements of the Rule, or the Section 4(1) exemption from registration for resales under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), that exempts sales by persons other than an issuer, underwriter or a dealer. As a result, it is the position of the Securities and Exchange Commission that these securities may be resold by these persons only pursuant to registration under the Securities Act. According to the Wulff letter, this restriction would continue to apply even after the blank check company completes a merger or acquisition transaction with an operating entity.

The securities of the Company that are owned by Jenson Services, James Doolin, and Alycia Anthony, collectively amounting to 3,455,500 shares or approximately 94.8% of our outstanding voting securities, these persons have agreed not to publicly resell these securities (in any private sale, the purchaser would be required to acknowledge the following restrictions) without registration under the Securities Act; or the receipt of a no action letter from the Securities and Exchange Commission indicating that registration is not required and that there is an available exemption from registration under the Securities Act for the resale of these securities; or there is a declaratory judgment by a federal or state court indicating that registration is not required for resale of these securities and that there is an available exemption from registration under the Securities Act for the resale of these securities.

Use of Proceeds of Registered Securities

There were no proceeds received during the calendar year ended December 31, 2006, from the sale of registered securities.

Purchases of Equity Securities by Us and Affiliated Purchasers

There were no purchases of our equity securities by us or any affiliated purchasers during the calendar year ended December 31, 2006.

Item 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation

Plan of Operation

Our Company's plan of operation for the next 12 months is to: (i) consider guidelines of industries in which our Company may have an interest; (ii) adopt a business plan regarding engaging in the business of any selected industry; and (iii) to commence such operations through funding and/or the acquisition of a going concern engaged in any industry selected.

During the next 12 months, the Company's only foreseeable cash requirements will relate to maintaining the Company in good standing or the payment of expenses associated with legal fees, accounting fees and reviewing or investigating any potential business venture, which may be advanced by management or principal stockholders as loans to our Company. Because the Company has not determined any business or industry in which our operations will be commenced, and we have not identified any prospective venture as of the date of this Annual Report, it is impossible to predict the amount of any such loan. Any such loan will be on terms no less favorable to the Company than would be available from a commercial lender in an arm's length transaction. No advance or loan from any affiliate will be required to be repaid as a condition to any

agreement with future acquisition partners.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Other than maintaining its good corporate standing in the State of Utah, compromising and settling its debts and seeking the acquisition of assets, properties or businesses that may benefit the Company and its stockholders, our Company has had no material business operations in the two most recent calendar years.

At December 31, 2006, the Company had no assets. See the Index to Financial Statements, Item 7 of this Report.

During the period ended December 31, 2006, the Company had a net loss of \$5,818, as compared to a net loss of \$3,790 for the same period ended December 31, 2005. The increase in net loss was a result of accounting expenses. See the Index to Financial Statements, Item 7 of this Report.

Our Company has received no revenues in either of its two most recent calendar years. See the Index to Financial Statements, Item 7 of this Annual Report.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We had no Off-Balance Sheet arrangements for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Forward-looking Statements

Statements made in this Annual Report which are not purely historical are forward-looking statements with respect to the goals, plan objectives, intentions, expectations, financial condition, results of operations, future performance and business of the Company, including, without limitation, (i) its ability to raise capital, and (ii) statements preceded by, followed by or that include the words *may*, *would*, *could*, *should*, *expects*, *projects*, *anticipates*, *believes*, *estimates*, *plans*, *intends*, *targets* or similar expressions.

Forward-looking statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties, and important factors (many of which are beyond the Company's control) that could cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking statements, including the following, general economic or industry conditions, nationally and/or in the communities in which the Company conducts business, changes in the interest rate environment, legislation or regulatory requirements, conditions of the securities markets, its ability to raise capital, changes in accounting principles, policies or guidelines, financial or political instability, acts of war or terrorism, other economic, competitive, governmental, regulatory and technical factors affecting the Company's operations, products, services and prices.

Accordingly, results actually achieved may differ materially from expected results in these statements. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made. The Company does not undertake, and specifically disclaims, any obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of such statements.

Liquidity

The Company has no cash or cash equivalents on hand. If additional funds are required, such funds may be advanced by management or stockholders as loans to the Company. During calendar 2006, expenses were paid by a principal stockholder in the amount of \$5,818; and during calendar 2005, additional expenses by a principal stockholder totaled \$3,790. The aggregate amount of \$22,678 outstanding as of December 31, 2006, is unsecured and is due on demand.

Item 7. Financial Statements

ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

(A Development Stage Company)

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	17
<u>Balance Sheet</u>	18
<u>Statements of Operations</u>	19
<u>Statement of Stockholders Deficit</u>	20
<u>Statements of Cash Flows</u>	22
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	23

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Energrouop Technologies Corporation [a development stage company]

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of Energrouop Technologies Corporation [a development stage company] as of December 31, 2006, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' deficit, and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, and for the period from Reactivation [December 14, 1998] through December 31, 2006. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company has determined that it is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Energrouop Technologies Corporation [a development stage company] as of December 31, 2006, and the results of its operations and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 and for the period from Reactivation through December 31, 2006, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the Company has accumulated losses from operations, no assets, and a net working capital deficiency that raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 2. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Mantyla McReynolds

Salt Lake City, Utah

March 9, 2007

ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

(A Development Stage Company)

BALANCE SHEET

December 31, 2006

ASSETS

Assets	\$
Total Assets	\$

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIT

Current Liabilities:	
Loans from stockholders	\$ 22,678
Accounts Payable	100
Total Current Liabilities	22,778
Total Liabilities	22,778
Stockholders Deficit:	
Common Stock, \$.001 par value; authorized 50,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding, 3,641,959 shares	3,642
Paid-in Capital	318,571
Accumulated Deficit	(318,732)
Accumulated Deficit during development stage	(26,259)
Total Stockholders Deficit	(22,778)
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Deficit	\$

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

(A Development Stage Company)

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

For the Years Ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 and

for the Period from Reactivation (December 14, 1998) through December 31, 2006

	For the Years Ended December 31, 2006		2005	From the Beginning of Development Stage on Dec. 4, 1998 through December 31, 2006
REVENUE				
Income	\$		\$	\$
NET REVENUE				
Operating Expenses				
General and Administrative	5,718		3,690	24,430
Total Operating Expenses	5,718		3,690	24,430
Net Income Before Taxes	(5,718)	(3,690) (24,430
Income/Franchise taxes	100		100	1,829
Net loss	\$(5,818)	\$(3,790) \$(26,259
Loss Per Share - Basic & Diluted	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01) \$(0.01
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding	3,641,959		3,641,959	3,290,274

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

(A Development Stage Company)

STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIT

For the Years Ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 and

for the Period from Reactivation (December 14, 1998) through December 31, 2006

	Common Shares	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Net Stockholders Deficit
Balance, December 14, 1998, (Reactivation Date)	3,051,425	\$ 3,051	\$ 315,681	\$ (318,732)	\$ 0
Net loss for the Period Ended December 31, 1998				0	0
Balance, December 31, 1998	3,051,425	3,051	315,681	(318,732)	0
Reverse split, one for twenty, September 30, 1999	(2,889,966)	(2,890)	2,890		0
Issued stock to shareholder for debt at par, September 30, 1999	1,698,000	1,698	0		1,698
Issued stock to Directors for services at par, September 30, 1999	1,000,000	1,000	0		1,000
Issued stock to shareholder for debt at par, October 31, 1999	782,500	783	0		783
Net loss for the Year Ended December 31, 1999				(3,807)	(3,807)
Balance, December 31, 1999	3,641,959	3,642	318,571	(322,539)	(326)
Net loss for the Year Ended December 31, 2000				(2,492)	(2,492)
Balance, December 31, 2000	3,641,959	3,642	318,571	(325,031)	(2,818)
Net loss for the Year Ended December 31, 2001				(1,654)	(1,654)
Balance, December 31, 2001	3,641,959	3,642	318,571	(326,685)	(4,472)
Net loss for the Year Ended December 31, 2002				(2,627)	(2,627)
Balance, December 31, 2002	3,641,959	3,642	318,571	(329,312)	(7,099)
Net loss for the Year Ended December 31, 2003				(2,605)	(2,605)
December 31, 2003	3,641,959	3,642	318,571	(331,917)	(9,704)
Net loss for the Year Ended					

Edgar Filing: ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10KSB

December 31, 2004				(3,466)	(3,466)
December 31, 2004	3,641,959	3,642	318,571	(335,383)	(13,170)
Net loss for the Year Ended December 31, 2005				(3,790)	(3,790)
December 31, 2005	3,641,959	3,642	318,571	(339,173)	(16,960)

Edgar Filing: ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10KSB

Net loss for the Year Ended December 31, 2006				(5,818)	(5,818)
December 31, 2006	3,641,959	\$ 3,642	\$ 318,571	\$ (344,991)	\$ (22,778)

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

(A Development Stage Company)

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the Years Ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 and

for the Period from Reactivation (December 14, 1998) through December 31, 2006

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2006	For the Year Ended December 31, 2005	From the Beginning of Development Stage on Dec. 4, 1998 through December 31, 2006
Cash Flows Used For Operating Activities			
Net Loss	\$ (5,818)	\$ (3,790)	\$ (26,259)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Shares issued for services			3,481
Increase/(Decrease) in accounts payable			100
Increase/(Decrease) in Shareholder Loans	5,818	3,790	22,678
Net Cash Used For Operating Activities			
Net Increase In Cash			
Beginning Cash Balance			
Ending Cash Balance	\$	\$	\$
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:			
Cash Paid for Income Taxes	100	100	200

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

(A Development Stage Company)

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(a) Organization

Energrou Technologies Corporation was formed in August of 1983 as Facility Maintenance Management, Inc. In August 1985, the Company began to develop, manufacture and sell sensory and output products used in energy management control systems. The Company discontinued its efforts in late 1987 but began reactivation activities on December 14, 1998. The Company is now in the development stage and is seeking new business opportunities.

The financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The following summarizes the more significant of such policies:

(b) Income Taxes

The Company applies the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109 [the Statement], Accounting for Income Taxes. The Statement requires an asset and liability approach for financial accounting and reporting for income taxes, and the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and tax basis of the Company's assets and liabilities at enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when such amounts are realized or settled.

(c) Net Loss Per Common Share

Loss per common share is based on the weighted-average number of shares outstanding.

(d) Statement of Cash Flows

For purposes of the statements of cash flows, the Company considers cash on deposit in the bank to be cash. The Company had \$0 cash at December 31, 2006.

(e) Use of Estimates in Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U. S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

(f) Impact of New Accounting Standards

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 155, "Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments," which amends SFAS 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" and SFAS 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities." SFAS 155 simplifies the accounting for certain derivatives embedded in other financial instruments by allowing them to be accounted for as a whole if the holder elects to account for the whole instrument on a fair value basis. SFA 155 also clarifies and amends certain other provisions of SFAS 133 and SFAS 140. SFAS 155 is effective for all financial instruments acquired, issued or subject to a remeasurement event occurring in fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006 and is therefore required to be adopted by the Company as of October 1, 2006. The Company does not anticipate the adoption of SFAS 155 will have any impact on its financial statements.

Edgar Filing: ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10KSB

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 156, "Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets," an amendment of SFAS 140. This clarifies when to separately account for servicing rights, requires servicing rights to be separately recognized initially at fair value, and provides the option of subsequent accounting for servicing rights at either fair value or under the amortization method. The standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006 but can be adopted early. The Company does not anticipate the adoption of SFAS 156 will have a material impact of its financial statements.

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48 (FIN No. 48), "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes." This interpretation requires recognition and measurement of uncertain income tax positions using a "more-likely-than-not" approach. FIN No. 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. Management is still evaluating what effect this will have on the Company's financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 157, "Fair Value Measurements." This standard defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. Early adoption is encouraged. The adoption of SFAS 157 is not expected to have a material impact on the financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements 87, 88, 106 and 132(R)" ("SFAS 158"). SFAS 158 requires an employer to recognize the over-funded or under-funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan (other than a multiemployer plan) as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position and to recognize changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income. SFAS 158 also requires the measurement of defined benefit plan assets and obligations as of the date of the employer's fiscal year-end statement of financial position (with limited exceptions). Management does not expect adoption of SFAS 158 to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In September 2006, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") issued SAB 108, "Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements." This SAB provides guidance on the consideration of the effects of prior year misstatements in quantifying current year misstatements for the purpose of a materiality assessment. SAB 108 establishes an approach that requires quantification of financial statement errors based on the effects of each of the company's financial statements and the related financial statement disclosures. SAB 108 permits existing public companies to record the cumulative effect of initially applying this approach in the first year ending after November 15, 2006 by recording the necessary correcting adjustments to the carrying values of assets and liabilities as of the beginning of that year with the offsetting adjustment recorded to the opening balance of retained earnings. Additionally, the use of the cumulative effect transition method requires detailed disclosure of the nature and amount of each individual error being corrected through the cumulative adjustment and how and when it arose. The Company does not anticipate that SAB 108 will have a material impact on its financial statements.

NOTE 2 LIQUIDITY/GOING CONCERN

The Company has accumulated losses since Reactivation through December 31, 2006 amounting to \$26,259, has no assets, and has a net working capital deficiency at December 31, 2006. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

Financing for the Company's limited activities to date has been provided primarily by the issuance of stock and by advances from a stockholder (see NOTE 4). The Company's ability to achieve a level of profitable operations and/or additional financing impacts the Company's ability to continue as it is presently organized. Management continues to develop its planned principal operations or may find a well-capitalized merger candidate to commence its operations. Should management be unsuccessful in its operating activities, the Company may experience material adverse effects. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

NOTE 3 INCOME TAXES

Below is a summary of deferred tax asset calculations on net operating loss carry forward amounts. Loss carry forward amounts expire at various times through 2026. A valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized.

Description	NOL Balance	Tax	Rate
Federal Income Tax	\$ 25,130	\$ 3,770	15 %
State Income Tax	17,806	890	5 %
Valuation Allowance		(4,660)	
Deferred tax asset 12/31/06		\$ 0	

The allowance has increased \$1,159 from \$3,501 as of December 31, 2005. The amount shown on the balance sheet for income taxes payable represents the annual minimum franchise tax amount due to the State of Utah.

NOTE 4 COMMON STOCK/RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

On September 24, 1999, the Company's Board of Directors effected a reverse split of the outstanding common stock on the basis of one for twenty, effective September 30, 1999, while retaining the current authorized capital and par value. No stockholder received less than 100 post split shares; appropriate adjustments were made to the stated capital accounts and capital surplus accounts.

Additional post split shares have been issued in the following manner:

Description	Number of Shares
Issues to consultant for services at par	2,480,500
Issued to directors for services at par	1,000,000
Total post-split shares issued	3,480,500

A shareholder has paid general and administrative expenses on behalf of the Company, during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, of \$5,818 and \$3,790, respectively. The Company has recorded a liability to the shareholder of \$22,678, as of December 31, 2006. The balance is payable on demand and is non-interest bearing.

NOTE 5 SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On or about March 12, 2007, the Company authorized the issuance of 5,462 shares of common stock in reconciliation of transfer records. The Company received a General Release in conjunction with the issuance. The Company completed the issuance because it believes the acquirer may be defined as a "Protected Purchaser" under Section 70A-8-303 of the Utah Code Annotated and Article 8 of the Uniform Commercial Code.

Item 8. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None; not applicable.

Item 8(a)T. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our President and Secretary/Treasurer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on this evaluation, our President and Chief Financial Officer concluded that information required to be disclosed is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the specified periods and is accumulated and communicated to management, including our President and Secretary, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure of material information required to be included in our periodic Securities and Exchange Commission reports. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and our President and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to a reasonable assurance level of achieving such objectives. However, it should be noted that the design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote. In addition, we reviewed our internal controls, and there have been no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors in the last fiscal quarter that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

Changes in internal control over financial reporting

There have been no changes in internal control over financial reporting.

Item 8(b). Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 9. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

Identification of Directors and Executive Officers

The following table sets forth the names of all current directors and executive officers of the Company. These persons will serve until the next annual meeting of the stockholders or until their successors are elected or appointed and qualified, or their prior resignation or termination.

Name	Positions Held	Date of Election or Designation	Date of Termination or Resignation
Stephen R. Fry	President & Director	01/03 01/03	* *
Barry Richmond	Vice President & Director	02/86 03/86	* *
Thomas J. Howells	Secretary & Director	04/01 04/01	* *

* These persons presently serve in the capacities indicated.

Business Experience.

Stephen R. Fry, President and a director is 34 years of age. Mr. Fry received bachelor degrees from the University of Utah in Communications and Spanish in June 1995. Mr. Fry has owned Diamond Executive Detail, a Utah LLC since 1995.

Barry Richmond, Vice President and a director is 54 years of age. Mr. Richmond is currently a Colonel for the United States Army.

Thomas J. Howells, Secretary and a director is 34 years of age. Mr. Howells graduated from Westminster College of Salt Lake City, Utah, with a bachelor's degree in Business in 1995 and Master of Business Administration in 2004. Mr. Howells has been an employee of Jenson Services, Inc., a Utah Corporation since 1995.

Significant Employees

The Company has no employees who are not executive officers, but who are expected to make a significant contribution to the Company's business.

Family Relationships

None; not Applicable.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

Except as stated above, during the past five years, no director, person nominated to become a director, executive officer, promoter or control person of the Company:

(1) was a general partner or executive officer of any business against which any bankruptcy petition was filed, either at the time of the bankruptcy or two years prior to that time;

(2) was convicted in a criminal proceeding or named subject to a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offenses);

(3) was subject to any order, judgment or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring, suspending or otherwise limiting his involvement in any type of business, securities or banking

activities; or

(4) was found by a court of competent jurisdiction (in a civil action), the Securities and Exchange Commission or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law, and the judgment has not been reversed, suspended or vacated.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

Form 3, Statement of Beneficial Ownership, have been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission; there have been no changes in their beneficial ownership of shares of common stock of the Company since the filing of their Form 3 on February 18, 2000.

Code of Ethics

The Company has not adopted a Code of Ethics for its executive officers as of the date of this Annual Report. We expect that upon the successful acquisition of operation we anticipate that the new management will adopt a code of ethics appropriate to the new endeavor.

Corporate Governance

Director Independence

The Company does not have any directors that are independent. All of the directors also serve as officers.

Board Meetings and Committees; Annual Meeting Attendance

There were no meetings of the Board of Directors held during the year ended December 31, 2006.

Nominating Committee

There are no established committees because there are currently no material operations.

Audit Committee

There are no established committees because there are currently no material operations.

Audit Committee Financial Expert

The Company does not currently have a financial expert serving on an audit committee as one does not currently exist because there are currently no material operations.

Item 10. Executive Compensation

The following table sets forth the aggregate compensation paid by the Company for services rendered during the periods indicated:

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and Principle Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	Other Compensation (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)
						Incentive Plan Compensation Earnings (\$)			
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
Stephen R. Fry	12/31/06	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
President,	12/31/05	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Director	12/31/04	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Barry Richmond	12/31/06	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vice President,	12/31/05	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Director	12/31/04	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Thomas J. Howells	12/31/06	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Secretary,	12/31/05	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Director	12/31/04	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

No cash compensation, deferred compensation or long-term incentive plan awards were issued or granted to the Company's management during the year ended December 31, 2006. Furthermore, no member of our Company's management has been granted any option or stock appreciation rights; accordingly, no tables relating to such items have been included within this Item.

Outstanding Equity Awards

None, not applicable.

Compensation of Directors

Edgar Filing: ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10KSB

There are no standard arrangements pursuant to which the Company's directors are compensated for any services provided as director. No additional amounts are payable to the Company's directors for committee participation or special assignments.

Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management**Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners**

The following table sets forth the shareholdings of those persons who beneficially own more than five percent of the Company's common stock as of December 31, 2006, and to the date hereof.:

Ownership of Principal Shareholders

Title of Class	Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Percent of	
		Beneficial Owner	Class
Common	Jenson Services, Inc.* 4685 S. Highland Drive, #202 Salt Lake City, UT 84117	2,480,500	68.0
Common	James Doolin 4904 Royal Lake Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89131	475,000	13.0
Common	Alycia Anthony 941 E. 3665 S. Salt Lake City, UT 84106	500,000	14.0

*Duane Jenson is the owner of Jenson Services, Inc., and may be deemed the beneficial owner of Jenson Services, Inc.

Security Ownership of Management

The following table sets forth the shareholdings of the Company's directors and executive officers as of December 31, 2006, and to the date hereof:

Ownership of Management

Title of Class	Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Percent of	
		Beneficial Owner	Class
Common	Steve Fry 808 East 1300 South Salt Lake City, UT 84105	0	0
Common	Thomas J. Howells* 4685 S. Highland Drive, #202 Salt Lake City, UT 84117	0	0
Common	Barry Richmond	13,709	0

Edgar Filing: ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10KSB

PO Box 62
Ninevah, IN 46131

* Mr. Howells is employed by Jenson Services, Inc., the Company's majority shareholder, however he is not deemed a beneficial owner of the Jenson Services shares. See the caption "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Shares" above.

30

Changes in Control

To the knowledge of the Company's management, there are no present arrangements or pledges of the Company's securities which may result in a change in control of the Company.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

None; not applicable.

Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Transactions with Related Persons

There were no material transactions, or series of similar transactions, during our Company's last four fiscal years, or any currently proposed transactions, or series of similar transactions, to which our Company or any of our subsidiaries was or is to be a party, in which the amount involved exceeded the lesser of \$120,000 or one percent of the average of the small business issuer's total assets at year-end for the last three completed fiscal years and in which any director, executive officer or any security holder who is known to us to own of record or beneficially more than five percent of any class of our common stock, or any member of the immediate family of any of the foregoing persons, had an interest.

Parents of the Issuer

There are no parents of the Issuer.

Transactions with Promoters and Control Persons

There were no material transactions, or series of similar transactions, during our Company's last five fiscal years, or any currently proposed transactions, or series of similar transactions, to which we or any of our subsidiaries was or is to be a party, in which the amount involved exceeded \$60,000 and in which any promoter or founder of ours or any member of the immediate family of any of the foregoing persons, had an interest.

Item 13. Exhibits

Exhibits

EX 31.1 Certification of Steve Fry, the Company's President, pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

EX 31.2 Certification of Thomas J. Howells, the Company's Secretary, pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

EX 32 Certification of Steve Fry and Thomas Howells pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The Following is a summary of the fees billed to the Company by its principal accountants during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005:

Fee Category	2006	2005
Audit Fees	\$ 4,878	\$ 1,533
Audit-related Fees	\$ 0	\$ 1,650
Tax Fees	\$ 225	\$ 0
All Other Fees	\$ 0	\$ 0
Total Fees	\$ 5,103	\$ 3,183

Audit Fees - Consists of fees for professional services rendered by the Company's principal accountants for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements and review of the financial statements included in the Company's Forms 10-KSB or services that are normally provided by the Company's principal accountants in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

Audit-related fees - Consists of fees for assurance and related services by the Company's principal accountants that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements and are not reported under Audit fees.

Tax fees - Consists of fees for professional services rendered by the Company's principal accountants for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.

All other fees - Consists of fees for products and services provided by the Company's principal accountants, other than the services reported under Audit fees, Audit-related fees, and Tax fees above.

Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Auditors

The Company has not adopted an Audit Committee; therefore, there is no Audit Committee policy in this regard. However, the Company does not require approval in advance of the performance of professional services to be provided to the Company by its principal accountant. Additionally, all services rendered by our principal accountant are performed pursuant to a written engagement letter between us and the principal accountant.

The Company has not adopted an Audit Committee, therefore, there is no Audit Committee policy in this regard. However, the Company does not require approval in advance of the performance of professional services to be provided to the Company by its principal accountant. Additionally, all services rendered by the Company's principal accountant are performed pursuant to a written engagement letter between the Company and the principal accountant.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act, the Company caused this Annual Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

Date: 03/14/07

*By: /s/Stephen R. Fry
Stephen R. Fry, President and Director*

In accordance with the Securities Exchange Act, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Company and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

ENERGROUP TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

Date: 03/14/07

*By: /s/Stephen R. Fry/
Stephan R. Fry, President and Director*

Date: 03/14/07

*By: /s/Thomas J. Howells
Thomas J. Howells, Secretary and Director*