

TOMPKINS FINANCIAL CORP  
Form 10-Q  
November 09, 2010  
United States  
Securities and Exchange Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 1-12709

**Tompkins Financial Corporation**  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New York  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or  
organization)

16-1482357

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

The Commons, P.O. Box 460, Ithaca, NY  
(Address of principal executive offices)

14851  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (607) 273-3210

Former name, former address, former fiscal year, if changed since last report: NA

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). \* Yes  No . \*The registrant has not yet been phased into the interactive data requirements.

Edgar Filing: TOMPKINS FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer”, “accelerated filer”, and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer

Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.)

Yes  No .

Indicate the number of shares of the Registrant’s Common Stock outstanding as of the latest practicable date:

Class	Outstanding as of November 09, 2010
Common Stock, \$0.10 par value	10,882,620 shares

TOMPKINS FINANCIAL CORPORATION

FORM 10-Q

INDEX

	PAGE
<u>PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1 -</u>	<u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Condition as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009</u>
	3
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009</u>
	4
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009</u>
	5
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009</u>
	6
	<u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>
	7-24
<u>Item 2 -</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>
	24-41
<u>Item 3 -</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>
	41
<u>Item 4 -</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>
	42
<u>PART II - OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1 -</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>
	42
<u>Item 1A -</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>
	42
<u>Item 2 -</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>
	42
<u>Item 3 -</u>	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>
	43
<u>Item 4 -</u>	<u>(Removed and Reserved)</u>
	43
<u>Item 5 -</u>	<u>Other Information</u>
	43

<u>Item 6 -</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	43
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		44
<u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u>		45

## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements

TOMPKINS FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CONDITION

(In thousands, except share and per share data) (Unaudited)	As of 09/30/2010	As of 12/31/2009
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and noninterest bearing balances due from banks	\$ 59,859	\$ 43,686
Interest bearing balances due from banks	21,138	1,676
Federal funds sold	27,000	0
Money market funds	100	100
Cash and Cash Equivalents	108,097	45,462
Trading securities, at fair value	24,377	31,718
Available-for-sale securities, at fair value	985,569	928,770
Held-to-maturity securities, fair value of \$44,696 at September 30, 2010, and \$46,340 at December 31, 2009	43,092	44,825
Loans and leases, net of unearned income and deferred costs and fees	1,914,064	1,914,818
Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses	28,684	24,350
Net Loans and Leases	1,885,380	1,890,468
FHLB and FRB stock	19,330	20,041
Bank premises and equipment, net	46,261	46,650
Corporate owned life insurance	37,041	35,953
Goodwill	41,589	41,589
Other intangible assets, net	4,316	4,864
Accrued interest and other assets	52,059	62,920
Total Assets	\$ 3,247,111	\$ 3,153,260
<b>LIABILITIES</b>		
Deposits:		
Interest bearing:		
Checking, savings and money market	1,256,812	1,183,145
Time	771,038	794,738
Noninterest bearing	500,678	461,981
Total Deposits	2,528,528	2,439,864
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase, including certain amounts at fair value of \$0 at September 30, 2010, and \$5,500 at December 31, 2009	191,596	192,784
Other borrowings, including certain amounts at fair value of \$12,129 at September 30, 2010 and \$11,335 at December 31, 2009	182,779	208,965
Trust preferred debentures	25,059	25,056
Other liabilities	42,654	41,583

Edgar Filing: TOMPKINS FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Total Liabilities	\$	2,970,616	\$	2,908,252
<b>EQUITY</b>				
Tompkins Financial Corporation shareholders' equity:				
Common Stock - par value \$.10 per share: Authorized 25,000,000 shares; Issued and outstanding: 10,914,723 at September 30, 2010; and 9,785,265 at December 31, 2009				
		1,091		978
Additional paid-in capital		196,898		155,589
Retained earnings		71,254		92,402
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		8,075		(3,087 )
Treasury stock, at cost – 90,419 shares at September 30, 2010, and 81,723 shares at December 31, 2009		(2,373 )		(2,326 )
Total Tompkins Financial Corporation Shareholders' Equity		274,945		243,556
Noncontrolling interests		1,550		1,452
Total Equity	\$	276,495	\$	245,008
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$	3,247,111	\$	3,153,260

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

TOMPKINS FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(In thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	09/30/2010	09/30/2009	09/30/2010	09/30/2009
<b>INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME</b>				
Loans	\$ 26,675	\$ 26,916	\$ 80,044	\$ 80,092
Due from banks	5	3	27	15
Federal funds sold	5	2	14	10
Money market funds	0	7	0	35
Trading securities	255	342	843	1,049
Available-for-sale securities	8,215	8,849	26,009	26,683
Held-to-maturity securities	359	411	1,160	1,397
FHLB and FRB stock	230	28	731	86
Total Interest and Dividend Income	35,744	36,558	108,828	109,367
<b>INTEREST EXPENSE</b>				
Time certificates of deposits of \$100,000 or more	1,035	1,352	3,360	4,157
Other deposits	3,178	4,468	10,506	14,427
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	1,336	1,560	4,069	4,690
Trust preferred securities	407	347	1,210	725
Other borrowings	1,924	2,051	5,770	6,229
Total Interest Expense	7,880	9,778	24,915	30,228
Net Interest Income	27,864	26,780	83,913	79,139
Less: Provision for loan/lease losses	3,483	2,127	7,074	6,530
Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan/Lease Losses	24,381	24,653	76,839	72,609
<b>NONINTEREST INCOME</b>				
Investment services income	3,423	3,287	10,764	9,826
Insurance commissions and fees	3,365	3,198	9,722	9,438
Service charges on deposit accounts	2,115	2,371	6,602	6,861
Card services income	1,105	960	3,147	2,684
Mark-to-market gain on trading securities	177	256	558	354
Mark-to-market (loss) gain on liabilities held at fair value	(323 )	73	(940 )	761
Other income	1,401	1,497	3,887	4,163
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses <sup>1</sup>	(34 )	(146 )	(34 )	(146 )
Net (loss) gain on security transactions	(2 )	104	173	130
Total Noninterest Income	11,227	11,600	33,879	34,071
<b>NONINTEREST EXPENSES</b>				

Edgar Filing: TOMPKINS FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Salaries and wages	10,611	10,265	31,618	29,862
Pension and other employee benefits	3,616	3,340	10,970	10,086
Net occupancy expense of premises	1,820	1,680	5,427	5,467
Furniture and fixture expense	986	1,117	3,312	3,361
FDIC insurance	978	810	2,747	3,328
Amortization of intangible assets	186	218	586	702
Other operating expense	6,655	6,293	19,202	18,881
<b>Total Noninterest Expenses</b>	<b>24,852</b>	<b>23,723</b>	<b>73,862</b>	<b>71,687</b>
<b>Income Before Income Tax Expense</b>	<b>10,756</b>	<b>12,530</b>	<b>36,856</b>	<b>34,993</b>
<b>Income Tax Expense</b>	<b>3,233</b>	<b>4,037</b>	<b>11,818</b>	<b>11,279</b>
<b>Net Income attributable to Noncontrolling Interests and Tompkins Financial Corporation</b>	<b>7,523</b>	<b>8,493</b>	<b>25,038</b>	<b>23,714</b>
<b>Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>98</b>
<b>Net Income Attributable to Tompkins Financial Corporation</b>	<b>\$ 7,490</b>	<b>\$ 8,460</b>	<b>\$ 24,940</b>	<b>\$ 23,616</b>
<b>Basic Earnings Per Share</b>	<b>\$ 0.69</b>	<b>\$ 0.79</b>	<b>\$ 2.31</b>	<b>\$ 2.21</b>
<b>Diluted Earnings Per Share</b>	<b>\$ 0.69</b>	<b>\$ 0.79</b>	<b>\$ 2.30</b>	<b>\$ 2.19</b>

Per share data has been retroactively adjusted to reflect 10% stock dividend paid on February 15, 2010

(1) During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, net other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) on securities available-for-sale totaling \$385,000 in unrealized gains, and \$872,000 in unrealized gains, respectively, were recognized, which included \$351,000 and \$838,000, respectively, in unrealized gains, recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (“AOCI”), net of tax and \$34,000 of OTTI losses recognized in earnings. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, \$2.0 million of gross OTTI losses on available-for-sale securities were recognized, of which \$1.9 million were recognized in AOCI, net of tax, and \$146,000 of OTTI losses were recognized in earnings.

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.



CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(In thousands) (Unaudited)

	09/30/2010	09/30/2009
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net income attributable to Tompkins Financial Corporation	\$ 24,940	\$ 23,616
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for loan and lease losses	7,074	6,530
Depreciation and amortization of premises, equipment, and software	3,484	3,576
Amortization of intangible assets	586	702
Earnings from corporate owned life insurance	(1,025 )	(774 )
Net amortization on securities	2,946	1,281
Other-than-temporary impairment loss	34	146
Mark-to-market gain on trading securities	(558 )	(354 )
Mark-to-market loss (gain) on liabilities held at fair value	940	(761 )
Net gain on securities transactions	(173 )	(130 )
Net gain on sale of loans	(685 )	(1,155 )
Proceeds from sale of loans	31,501	81,144
Loans originated for sale	(30,376 )	(80,521 )
Net (gain) loss on sale of bank premises and equipment	(39 )	2
Stock-based compensation expense	850	628
Decrease in accrued interest receivable	940	856
Decrease in accrued interest payable	(608 )	(897 )
Payments/maturities from trading securities	7,767	4,885
Other, net	7,242	(1,748 )
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	54,840	37,026
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Proceeds from maturities of available-for-sale securities	273,384	215,807
Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities	13,959	12,491
Proceeds from maturities of held-to-maturity securities	15,496	19,964
Proceeds from sale of held-to-maturity securities	382	0
Purchases of available-for-sale securities	(329,699 )	(311,966 )
Purchases of held-to-maturity securities	(14,169 )	(8,359 )
Net increase in loans	(2,426 )	(66,660 )
Net decrease in FHLB and FRB stock	711	3,544
Proceeds from sale of bank premises and equipment	48	38
Purchases of bank premises and equipment	(2,652 )	(3,369 )
Other, net	(2,026 )	(1,132 )
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	(46,992 )	(139,642 )
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net increase in demand, money market, and savings deposits	112,364	169,317
Net (decrease) increase in time deposits	(23,700 )	94,107
Net decrease in securities sold under agreements to repurchase and Federal funds purchased	(1,334 )	(3,961 )
Proceeds received from other borrowings	0	5,000
Repayment of other borrowings	(26,980 )	(84,479 )
Proceeds from issuance of trust preferred debentures, net of issuance costs	0	19,031

Edgar Filing: TOMPKINS FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Cash dividends	(10,682 )	(9,902 )
Cash paid in lieu of fractional shares - 10% stock dividend	(7 )	0
Shares issued for dividend reinvestment plan	2,088	0
Shares issued for employee stock ownership plan	1,278	0
Common stock repurchased and returned to unissued status	0	(178 )
Net proceeds from exercise of stock options	1,548	931
Tax benefit from stock option exercises	212	150
Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities	54,787	190,016
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	62,635	87,400
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	45,462	52,349
Total Cash & Cash Equivalents at End of Period	108,097	139,749
Supplemental Information:		
Cash paid during the year for - Interest	\$ 25,523	\$ 31,125
Cash paid during the year for - Taxes	15,078	13,231
Transfer of loans to other real estate owned	1,845	440

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  
(In thousands, except share data) (Unaudited)

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Treasury Stock	Non- controlling Interests	Total
Balances at January 1, 2009	\$ 973	\$ 152,842	\$ 73,779	\$ (7,602 )	\$ (2,083 )	\$ 1,452	\$ 219,361
Comprehensive Income:							
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests and Tompkins Financial Corporation			23,616			98	23,714
Other comprehensive income				6,943			6,943
Total Comprehensive Income							30,657
Cash dividends (\$0.93 per share)			(9,902 )				(9,902 )
Exercise of stock options and related tax benefit (30,062 shares, net)	4	1,077					1,081
Common stock repurchased and returned to unissued status (5,000 shares)	(1 )	(177 )					(178 )
Directors deferred compensation plan (2,429 shares, net)		142			(142 )		—
Stock-based compensation expense		628					628
Balances at September 30, 2009	\$ 976	\$ 154,512	\$ 87,493	\$ (659 )	\$ (2,225 )	\$ 1,550	\$ 241,647
Balances at January 1, 2010	\$ 978	\$ 155,589	\$ 92,402	\$ (3,087 )	\$ (2,326 )	\$ 1,452	\$ 245,008
Comprehensive Income:							
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests and Tompkins Financial			24,940			98	25,038

Corporation							
Other comprehensive income				11,162			11,162
Total Comprehensive Income							36,200
Cash dividends (\$0.99 per share)				(10,682 )			(10,682 )
Effect of 10% stock dividend (988,664 shares) <sup>1</sup>	98	35,301		(35,399 )			0
Cash paid in lieu of fractional shares				(7 )			(7 )
Exercise of stock options and related tax benefit (62,638 shares, net)	6	1,754					1,760
Directors deferred compensation plan (812 shares, net)		47		(47 )			0
Shares issued for dividend reinvestment plan (51,734 shares)	5	2,083					2,088
Shares issued for employee stock ownership plan (34,436 shares)	4	1,274					1,278
Forfeiture of restricted stock ((330) shares)							
Net shares issued related to restricted stock awards (200)							
Stock-based compensation expense		850					850
Balances at September 30, 2010	\$ 1,091	\$ 196,898	\$ 71,254	\$ 8,075	\$ (2,373 )	\$ 1,550	\$ 276,495

<sup>1</sup>Cash dividends per share have been retroactively adjusted to reflect 10% stock dividend paid on February 15, 2010. Included in the shares issued for the 10% stock dividend in 2010 were treasury shares of 3,264, and director deferred compensation plan shares of 4,620.

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

## NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### 1. Business

Tompkins Financial Corporation (“Tompkins” or the “Company”) is headquartered in Ithaca, New York, and is registered as a financial holding company with the Federal Reserve Board under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. The Company conducts its business through its (i) three wholly-owned banking subsidiaries, Tompkins Trust Company, The Bank of Castile and The Mahopac National Bank, (ii) wholly-owned insurance subsidiary, Tompkins Insurance Agencies, Inc., and (iii) wholly-owned investment services subsidiary, AM&M Financial Services, Inc. (“AM&M”). AM&M has three operating companies: (1) AM&M Planners, Inc., which provides fee based financial planning and wealth management services for corporate executives, small business owners, and high net worth individuals; (2) Ensemble Financial Services, Inc., an independent broker-dealer and outsourcing company for financial planners and investment advisors; and (3) Ensemble Risk Solutions, Inc., which creates customized risk management plans using life, disability and long-term care insurance products. Unless the context otherwise requires, the term “Company” refers to Tompkins Financial Corporation and its subsidiaries. The Company’s principal offices are located at The Commons, Ithaca, New York 14851, and its telephone number is (607) 273-3210. The Company’s common stock is traded on the NYSE-Amex under the symbol “TMP.”

### 2. Basis of Presentation

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this quarterly report have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and the instructions for Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. In the application of certain accounting policies management is required to make assumptions regarding the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of certain assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses in the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. Different amounts could be reported under different conditions, or if different assumptions were used in the application of these accounting policies. The accounting policies that management considers critical in this respect are the determination of the allowance for loan and lease losses, the expenses and liabilities associated with the Company’s pension and post-retirement benefits, and the review of its securities portfolio for other than temporary impairment.

In management’s opinion, the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments of a normal recurring nature. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for the full year ended December 31, 2010. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. There have been no significant changes to the Company’s accounting policies from those presented in the 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Refer to Note 3- “Accounting Standards Updates” of this Report for a discussion of recently issued accounting guidelines.

Cash and cash equivalents in the consolidated statements of cash flow include cash and noninterest bearing balances due from banks, interest-bearing balances due from banks, Federal funds sold, and money market funds. Management regularly evaluates the credit risk associated with the counterparties to these transactions and believes that the Company is not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents.

The Company has evaluated subsequent events for potential recognition and/or disclosure and determined that no further disclosures were required.

The consolidated financial information included herein combines the results of operations, the assets, liabilities, and shareholders' equity of the Company and its subsidiaries. Amounts in the prior periods' unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements are reclassified when necessary to conform to the current periods' presentation. All significant intercompany balances and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

### 3. Accounting Standards Updates

Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2009-16, "Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860) - Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets." ASU 2009-16 amends prior accounting guidance to enhance reporting about transfers of financial assets, including securitizations, and where companies have continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. ASU 2009-16 eliminates the concept of a "qualifying special-purpose entity" and changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets. ASU 2009-16 also requires additional disclosures about all continuing involvements with transferred financial assets including information about gains and losses resulting from transfers during the period. The provisions of ASU 2009-16 became effective on January 1, 2010 and did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

ASU No. 2009-17, "Consolidations (Topic 810) - Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities." ASU 2009-17 amends prior guidance to change how a company determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. The determination of whether a company is required to consolidate an entity is based on, among other things, an entity's purpose and design and a company's ability to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. ASU 2009-17 requires additional disclosures about the reporting entity's involvement with variable-interest entities and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement as well as its affect on the entity's financial statements. As further discussed below, ASU No. 2010-10, "Consolidations (Topic 810)," deferred the effective date of ASU 2009-17 for a reporting entity's interests in investment companies. The provisions of ASU 2009-17 became effective on January 1, 2010 and did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

ASU No. 2010-06, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820) - Improving Disclosures About Fair Value Measurements." ASU 2010-06 requires expanded disclosures related to fair value measurements including (i) the amounts of significant transfers of assets or liabilities between Levels 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy and the reasons for the transfers, (ii) the reasons for transfers of assets or liabilities in or out of Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, with significant transfers disclosed separately, (iii) the policy for determining when transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy are recognized and (iv) for recurring fair value measurements of assets and liabilities in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, a gross presentation of information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements. ASU 2010-06 further clarifies that (i) fair value measurement disclosures should be provided for each class of assets and liabilities (rather than major category), which would generally be a subset of assets or liabilities within a line item in the statement of financial position and (ii) companies should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements for each class of assets and liabilities included in Levels 2 and 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The disclosures related to the gross presentation of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements of assets and liabilities included in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy will be required for the Company beginning January 1, 2011. The remaining disclosure requirements and clarifications made by ASU 2010-06 became effective for the Company on January 1, 2010. See Note 11 – Fair Value.

ASU No. 2010-10, "Consolidations (Topic 810) - Amendments for Certain Investment Funds." ASU 2010-10 defers the effective date of the amendments to the consolidation requirements made by ASU 2009-17 to a company's interest in an entity (i) that has all of the attributes of an investment company, as specified under ASC Topic 946, "Financial Services - Investment Companies," or (ii) for which it is industry practice to apply measurement principles of financial reporting that are consistent with those in ASC Topic 946. As a result of the deferral, a company will not be required to apply the ASU 2009-17 amendments to the Subtopic 810-10 consolidation requirements to its interest in an entity that meets the criteria to qualify for the deferral. ASU 2010-10 also clarifies that any interest held by a related party should be treated as though it is an entity's own interest when evaluating the criteria for determining whether such interest represents a variable interest. In addition, ASU 2010-10 also clarifies that a quantitative calculation should not be the sole basis for evaluating whether a decision maker's or service provider's fee is a variable interest. The provisions of ASU 2010-10 became effective for the Company as of January 1, 2010 and did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

ASU No. 2010-11, "Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815) - Scope Exception Related to Embedded Credit Derivatives." ASU 2010-11 clarifies that the only form of an embedded credit derivative that is exempt from embedded derivative bifurcation requirements are those that relate to the subordination of one financial instrument to another. As a result, entities that have contracts containing an embedded credit derivative feature in a form other than such subordination may need to separately account for the embedded credit derivative feature. The provisions of ASU 2010-11 were effective for the Company on July 1, 2010 and did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

ASU No. 2010-20, "Receivables (Topic 310) - Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses." ASU 2010-20 requires entities to provide disclosures designed to facilitate financial statement users' evaluation of (i) the nature of credit risk inherent in the entity's portfolio of financing receivables, (ii) how that risk is analyzed and assessed in arriving at the allowance for credit losses and (iii) the changes and reasons for those changes in the allowance for credit losses. Disclosures must be disaggregated by portfolio segment, the level at which an entity develops and documents a systematic method for determining its allowance for credit losses, and class of financing receivable, which is generally a disaggregation of portfolio segment. The required disclosures include, among other things, a rollforward of the allowance for credit losses as well as information about modified, impaired, non-accrual and past due loans and credit quality indicators. ASU 2010-20 will be effective for the Company's financial statements as of December 31, 2010, as it relates to disclosures required as of the end of a reporting period. Disclosures that relate to activity during a reporting period will be required for the Company's financial statements that include periods beginning on or after January 1, 2011.



## 4. Securities

## Available-for-Sale Securities

The following table summarizes available-for-sale securities held by the Company at September 30, 2010:

September 30, 2010 (in thousands)	Amortized Cost <sup>1</sup>	Available-for-Sale Securities		Fair Value
		Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 1,973	\$ 107	\$ 0	\$ 2,080
Obligations of U.S. Government sponsored entities	355,421	12,714	0	368,135
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	62,623	3,471	2	66,092
Mortgage-backed securities – residential, issued by				
U.S. Government agencies	146,527	4,630	51	151,106
U.S. Government sponsored entities	365,350	16,815	60	382,105
Non-U.S. Government agencies or sponsored entities	10,474	10	844	9,640
U.S. corporate debt securities	5,026	221	0	5,247
Total debt securities	947,394	37,968	957	984,405
Equity securities	1,164	0	0	1,164
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 948,558	\$ 37,968	\$ 957	\$ 985,569

<sup>1</sup> Net of other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings.

The following table summarizes available-for-sale securities held by the Company at December 31, 2009:

December 31, 2009 (in thousands)	Amortized Cost <sup>1</sup>	Available-for-Sale Securities		Fair Value
		Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 1,991	\$ 88	\$ 0	\$ 2,079
Obligations of U.S. Government sponsored entities	377,920	3,369	2,274	379,015
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	61,176	2,537	18	63,695
Mortgage-backed securities – residential, issued by				
U.S. Government agencies	75,714	2,380	39	78,055
U.S. Government sponsored entities	373,307	15,831	278	388,860
Non-U.S. Government agencies or sponsored entities	12,656	0	1,890	10,766
U.S. corporate debt securities	5,032	104	0	5,136

Edgar Filing: TOMPKINS FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Total debt securities	907,796	24,309	4,499	927,606
Equity securities	1,164	0	0	1,164
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 908,960	\$ 24,309	\$ 4,499	\$ 928,770

1 Net of other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings.

Held-to-Maturity  
Securities

The following table summarizes held-to-maturity securities held by the Company at September 30, 2010:

September 30, 2010  (in thousands)	Held-to-Maturity Securities			Fair Value
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	\$43,092	\$1,607	\$3	\$44,696
Total held-to-maturity debt securities	\$43,092	\$1,607	\$3	\$44,696

The following table summarizes held-to-maturity securities held by the Company at December 31, 2009:

December 31, 2009  (in thousands)	Held-to-Maturity Securities			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	\$ 44,825	\$ 1,570	\$ 55	\$ 46,340
Total held-to-maturity debt securities	\$ 44,825	\$ 1,570	\$ 55	\$ 46,340

Realized gains on available-for-sale securities were \$1,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2010, and \$104,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2009; realized losses on available-for-sale securities were \$1,000 in the third quarter of 2010 and \$0 in the third quarter of 2009.

Realized gains on available-for-sale securities were \$176,000 in the first nine months of 2010, and \$130,000 in the first nine months of 2009; realized losses on available-for-sale securities were \$1,000 in the first nine months of 2010 and \$0 in the first nine months of 2009.

Realized losses on held-to-maturity securities were \$2,000 in the third quarter of 2010 and the first nine months of 2010. The Company sold \$382,000 of municipal securities that were downgraded by a rating agency. There were no sales of held-to-maturity securities in 2009.

The following table summarizes available-for-sale securities that had unrealized losses at September 30, 2010:

(in thousands)	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or Longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	306	2	0	0	306	2
Mortgage-backed securities – residential, issued by U.S. Government agencies	8,016	51	0	0	8,016	51
U.S. Government sponsored entities	25,853	54	3,282	6	29,135	60
Non-U.S. Government agencies or sponsored entities	1,397	0	8,417	844	9,814	844
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 35,572	\$ 107	\$ 11,699	\$ 850	\$ 47,271	\$ 957

The following table summarizes held-to-maturity securities that had unrealized losses at September 30, 2010:

(in thousands)	Less than 12 Months Fair Value	12 Months or Longer Fair Value	Total Fair Value
----------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	---------------------

Edgar Filing: TOMPKINS FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

		Unrealized Losses		Unrealized Losses		Unrealized Losses
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	\$ 142	\$ 3	\$ 15	\$ 0	\$ 157	\$ 3
Total held-to-maturity securities	\$ 142	\$ 3	\$ 15	\$ 0	\$ 157	\$ 3

10

---

The following table summarizes available-for-sale securities that had unrealized losses at December 31, 2009:

(in thousands)	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or Longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Obligations of U.S. Government sponsored entities	\$ 188,529	\$ 2,274	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 188,529	\$ 2,274
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	1,679	18	0	0	1,679	18
Mortgage-backed securities – residential, issued by U.S. Government agencies	11,696	39	0	0	11,696	39
U.S. Government sponsored entities	21,593	235	8,126	43	29,719	278
Non-U.S. Government agencies or sponsored entities	2,690	338	8,076	1,552	10,766	1,890
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 226,187	\$ 2,904	\$ 16,202	\$ 1,595	\$ 242,389	\$ 4,499

The following table summarizes held-to-maturity securities that had unrealized losses at December 31, 2009:

(in thousands)	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or Longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	\$ 1,099	\$ 45	\$ 320	\$ 10	\$ 1,419	\$ 55
Total held-to-maturity securities	\$ 1,099	\$ 45	\$ 320	\$ 10	\$ 1,419	\$ 55

The gross unrealized losses reported for mortgage-backed securities-residential relate to investment securities issued by U.S. government sponsored entities such as Federal National Mortgage Association and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, and U.S. government agencies such as Government National Mortgage Association, and non-agencies. Total gross unrealized losses were primarily attributable to changes in interest rates and levels of market liquidity, relative to when the investment securities were purchased, and not due to the credit quality of the investment securities.

The Company does not intend to sell the securities that are in an unrealized loss position and it is not more-likely-than-not that the Company will be required to sell these available for sale investment securities, before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be at maturity. Accordingly, as of September 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, management believes the unrealized losses detailed in the tables above are not other-than-temporary.

Ongoing Assessment of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment

On a quarterly basis, the Company performs an assessment to determine whether there have been any events or economic circumstances indicating that a security with an unrealized loss has suffered other-than-temporary impairment. A debt security is considered impaired if the fair value is less than its amortized cost basis at the reporting date. If impaired, the Company then assesses whether the unrealized loss is other-than-temporary. An unrealized loss on a debt security is generally deemed to be other-than-temporary and a credit loss is deemed to exist if the present value, discounted at the security's effective rate, of the expected future cash flows is less than the amortized cost basis of the debt security. As a result, the credit loss component of an other-than-temporary impairment write-down for debt securities is recorded in earnings while the remaining portion of the impairment loss is recognized, net of tax, in other comprehensive income provided that the Company does not intend to sell the underlying debt security and it is more-likely-than not that the Company would not have to sell the debt security prior to recovery of the unrealized loss, which may be to maturity. If the Company intended to sell any securities with an unrealized loss or it is more-likely-than not that the Company would be required to sell the investment securities, before recovery of their amortized cost basis, then the entire unrealized loss would be recorded in earnings.

The Company considers the following factors in determining whether a credit loss exists and the period over which the debt security is expected to recover.

- The length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than the amortized cost basis;
- The level of credit enhancement provided by the structure which includes, but is not limited to, credit subordination positions, excess spreads, overcollateralization, and protective triggers;
- Changes in the near term prospects of the issuer or underlying collateral of a security, such as changes in default rates, loss severities given default and significant changes in prepayment assumptions;
- The level of excess cash flow generated from the underlying collateral supporting the principal and interest payments of the debt securities; and
- Any adverse change to the credit conditions of the issuer or the security such as credit downgrades by the rating agencies.

As of September 30, 2010, the Company held five mortgage backed securities, with a fair value of \$9.7 million, that were not issued by U.S. Government agencies or U.S. Government sponsored entities. During the third quarter of 2009, the Company determined that three of these non-U.S. Government mortgage backed securities were other-than-temporarily impaired based on an analysis of the above factors for these three securities. As a result, the Company recorded other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$2.0 million in the third quarter of 2009 on these investments. The credit loss component of \$146,000 was recorded as other-than-temporary impairment losses in the consolidated statement of income, while the remaining non-credit portion of the impairment loss was recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) in the consolidated statements of condition and changes in shareholders' equity. The Company reviewed these five securities in the third quarter of 2010 and determined that an additional credit loss component of other-than-temporary charge of \$34,000 related to the three non-U.S. Government mortgage backed securities was necessary. As of September 30, 2010, the amount by which the carrying value of the three securities exceeded their fair value was \$795,000. A continuation or worsening of current economic conditions may result in additional credit loss component of other-than-temporary impairment losses related to these investments.

The following table summarizes the roll-forward of credit losses on debt securities held by the Company for which a portion of an other-than-temporary impairment is recognized in other comprehensive income:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
Credit losses at beginning of the period	\$ 146	\$ 0	146	\$ 0
Credit losses related to securities for which an other-than-temporary impairment was not previously recognized	—	146	—	146
Credit losses related to securities for which an other-than-temporary impairment was previously recognized	34	0	34	0
Ending balance of credit losses on debt securities held for which a	\$ 180	\$ 146	180	\$ 146

portion of an other-than-temporary  
impairment was recognized in other  
comprehensive income

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of debt securities by contractual maturity are shown in the following table. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Mortgage-backed securities are shown separately since they are not due at a single maturity date.

12

---



September 30, 2010

(in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Available-for-sale securities:		
Due in one year or less	\$ 7,073	\$ 7,171
Due after one year through five years	212,023	218,561
Due after five years through ten years	196,186	205,576
Due after ten years	9,761	10,246
Total	425,043	441,554
Mortgage-backed securities	522,351	542,851
Total available-for-sale debt securities	\$ 947,394	\$ 984,405

December 31, 2009

(in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Available-for-sale securities:		
Due in one year or less	\$ 11,084	\$ 11,231
Due after one year through five years	128,493	130,008
Due after five years through ten years	296,734	298,694
Due after ten years	9,808	9,992
Total	446,119	449,925
Mortgage-backed securities	461,677	477,681
Total available-for-sale debt securities	\$ 907,796	\$ 927,606

September 30, 2010

(in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Held-to-maturity securities:		
Due in one year or less	\$ 21,626	\$ 21,772
Due after one year through five years	15,568	16,521
Due after five years through ten years	4,648	5,077
Due after ten years	1,250	1,326
Total held-to-maturity debt securities	\$ 43,092	\$ 44,696

December 31, 2009

(in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Held-to-maturity securities:		
Due in one year or less	\$ 17,017	\$ 17,153
Due after one year through five years	19,200	20,185
Due after five years through ten years	7,131	7,511
Due after ten years	1,477	1,491
Total held-to-maturity debt securities	\$ 44,825	\$ 46,340

## Trading Securities

The following summarizes trading securities, at estimated fair value, as of:

(in thousands)	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Obligations of U.S. Government sponsored entities	\$ 13,641	\$ 17,986
Mortgage-backed securities – residential		

Edgar Filing: TOMPKINS FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

U.S. Government sponsored entities	10,736	13,732
Total	\$ 24,377	\$ 31,718

13

---

The net gain on trading account securities, which reflects mark-to-market adjustments, totaled \$177,000 and \$558,000 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, and \$256,000 and \$354,000 during the three and nine months ended September 30 2009.

The Company also holds non-marketable Federal Home Loan Bank New York (“FHLBNY”) stock and non-marketable Federal Reserve Bank (“FRB”) stock, both of which are required to be held for regulatory purposes and for borrowing availability. The required investment in FHLBNY stock is tied to the Company’s borrowing levels with the FHLBNY. Holdings of FHLBNY stock and FRB stock totaled \$17.2 million and \$2.1 million at September 30, 2010, respectively, and \$18.1 million and \$1.9 million at December 31, 2009, respectively. The FHLBNY continues to pay dividends and repurchase its stock. As such, the Company has not recognized any impairment on its holdings of FHLBNY stock.

## 5. Earnings Per Share

The Company follows the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 260, Earnings Per Share (“EPS”). A computation of Basic EPS and Diluted EPS for the three and nine months ending September 30, 2010, and 2009 is presented in the table below.

### Three months ended September 30, 2010

(in thousands except share and per share data)	Net Income (Numerator)	Weighted Average Shares (Denominator)	Per Share Amount
<b>Basic EPS:</b>			
Net income attributable to Tompkins Financial Corporation	\$7,490	10,845,106	\$0.69
Effect of potentially dilutive common shares:		48,536	
<b>Diluted EPS:</b>			
Net income attributable to Tompkins Financial Corporation plus assumed conversions	\$7,490	10,893,642	\$0.69

The effect of dilutive securities calculation for the three-month period ended September 30, 2010, excludes stock options, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock awards covering an aggregate of 690,249 shares of common stock because they are anti-dilutive.

### Three months ended September 30, 2009

(in thousands except share and per share data)	Net Income (Numerator)	Weighted Average Shares (Denominator)	Per Share Amount
<b>Basic EPS:</b>			
Net income attributable to Tompkins Financial Corporation	\$ 8,460	10,693,698	\$ 0.79
Effect of potentially dilutive common shares:		69,676	
<b>Diluted EPS:</b>			

Edgar Filing: TOMPKINS FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Net income attributable to Tompkins Financial Corporation plus assumed conversions	\$ 8,460	10,763,374	\$ 0.79
--	----------	------------	---------

The effect of dilutive securities calculation for the three-month period ended September 30, 2009, excludes stock options, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock awards covering an aggregate of 816,023 shares of common stock because they are anti-dilutive.

Nine months ended September 30, 2010

(in thousands except share and per share data)	Net Income (Numerator)	Weighted Average Shares (Denominator)	Per Share Amount
<b>Basic EPS:</b>			
Net income attributable to Tompkins Financial Corporation	\$24,940	10,791,714	\$2.31
Effect of potentially dilutive common shares:		53,166	
<b>Diluted EPS:</b>			
Net income attributable to Tompkins Financial Corporation plus assumed conversions	\$24,940	10,844,880	\$2.30

The effect of dilutive securities calculation for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010, excludes stock options, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock awards covering an aggregate of 706,348 shares of common stock because they are anti-dilutive.

Nine months ended September 30, 2009

(in thousands except share and per share data)	Net Income (Numerator)	Weighted Average Shares (Denominator)	Per Share Amount
<b>Basic EPS:</b>			
Net income attributable to Tompkins Financial Corporation	\$ 23,616	10,681,784	\$ 2.21
Effect of potentially dilutive common shares:		79,654	
<b>Diluted EPS:</b>			
Net income attributable to Tompkins Financial Corporation plus assumed conversions	\$ 23,616	10,761,438	\$ 2.19

The effect of dilutive securities calculation for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, excludes stock options, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock awards covering an aggregate of 595,682 shares of common stock because they are anti-dilutive.

## 6. Comprehensive Income

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	09/30/2010	09/30/2009	09/30/2010	09/30/2009
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests and Tompkins Financial Corporation	\$ 7,523	\$ 8,493	\$ 25,038	\$ 23,714
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:				
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities:				
Net unrealized holding gain on available-for-sale securities arising during the period.	1,618	7,791	9,923	7,391
Memo: Pre-tax net unrealized holding gain	2,697	12,985	16,536	12,318
Reclassification adjustment for net realized (gain) loss on sale included in of available-for-sale securities	1	(62 )	(104 )	(78 )
Memo: Pre-tax net realized (gain) loss	2	(104 )	(173 )	(130 )
Other-than-temporary impairment on available-for-sale securities 1	211	(1,122 )	503	(1,122 )
Memo: Pre-tax unrealized loss	351	(1,870 )	838	(1,870 )
Employee benefit plans:				
Amortization of actuarial losses, prior service cost, and transition obligation	280	251	840	752
Memo: Pre-tax amounts	467	418	1,400	1,253
Other comprehensive income	2,110	6,858	11,162	6,943
Subtotal comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests and Tompkins Financial Corporation	9,633	15,351	36,200	30,657
Less: Other comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(33 )	(33 )	(98 )	(98 )
Total comprehensive income attributable to Tompkins Financial Corporation	\$ 9,600	\$ 15,318	\$ 36,102	\$ 30,559

(1) During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, net other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) on securities available-for-sale totaling \$385,000 in unrealized gains, and \$872,000 in unrealized gains, respectively, were recognized, which included \$351,000 and \$838,000, respectively, in unrealized gains, recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (“AOCI”), net of tax and \$34,000 of OTTI losses recognized in earnings. During the three

and nine months ended September 30, 2009, \$2.0 million of gross OTTI losses on available-for-sale securities were recognized, of which \$1.9 million were recognized in AOCI, net of tax, and \$146,000 of OTTI losses were recognized in earnings.

#### 7. Employee Benefit Plans

The following table sets forth the amount of the net periodic benefit cost recognized by the Company for the Company's pension plan, post-retirement plan (Life and Health), and supplemental employee retirement plans ("SERP") including the following components: service cost; interest cost; expected return on plan assets for the period; amortization of the unrecognized transitional obligation or transition asset; and the amounts of recognized gains and losses, prior service cost recognized, and gain or loss recognized due to settlement or curtailment.

## Components of Net Period Benefit Cost

(in thousands)	Pension Benefits		Life and Health		SERP Benefits	
	Three Months Ended		Three Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	09/30/2010	09/30/2009	09/30/2010	09/30/2009	09/30/2010	09/30/2009
Service cost	\$ 538	\$ 544	\$ 23	\$ 24	\$ 46	\$ 41
Interest cost	646	603	96	93	147	140
Expected return on plan assets for the period	(675 )	(659 )	0	0	0	0
Amortization of transition liability	0	0	17	17	0	0
Amortization of prior service cost	(29 )	(26 )	4	4	25	25
Amortization of net loss	463	375	0	0	26	22
FAS 88 curtailment gain	(39 )	0	0	0	0	0
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 904	\$ 837	\$ 140	\$ 138	\$ 244	\$ 228

(in thousands)	Pension Benefits		Life and Health		SERP Benefits	
	Nine Months Ended		Nine Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	09/30/2010	09/30/2009	09/30/2010	09/30/2009	09/30/2010	09/30/2009
Service cost	\$ 1,614	\$ 1,633	\$ 70	\$ 73	\$ 139	\$ 123
Interest cost	1,938	1,808	288	279	441	420
Expected return on plan assets for the period	(2,025 )	(1,978 )	0	0	0	0
Amortization of transition liability	0	0	50	50	0	0
Amortization of prior service cost	(88 )	(78 )	12	12	76	75
Amortization of net loss	1,389	1,126	0	0	77	68
FAS 88 curtailment gain	(116 )	0	0	0	0	0
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 2,712	\$ 2,511	\$ 420	\$ 414	\$ 733	\$ 686

The Company realized approximately \$840,000, net of tax, as amortization of amounts previously recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

As discussed in its 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Company is not required to contribute to the pension plan in 2010, but it may make voluntary contributions. The Company did not contribute to the pension plan in the first nine months of 2010.

In the first quarter of 2010, the Company stopped admitting new employees to its noncontributory defined-benefit retirement and pension plan. Employees hired after January 1, 2010 participate in a new defined contribution plan.



## 8. Other Income and Operating Expense

Other income and operating expense totals are presented in the table below. Components of these totals exceeding 1% of the aggregate of total noninterest income and total noninterest expenses for any of the years presented below are stated separately.

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	09/30/2010	09/30/2009	09/30/2010	09/30/2009
<b>Noninterest Income</b>				
Other service charges	\$ 546	\$ 605	\$ 1,627	\$ 1,398
Increase in cash surrender value of corporate owned life insurance	314	348	1,025	774
Net gain on sale of loans	346	188	685	1,155
Other income	195	356	550	836
<b>Total other income</b>	<b>\$ 1,401</b>	<b>\$ 1,497</b>	<b>\$ 3,887</b>	<b>\$ 4,163</b>
<b>Noninterest Expenses</b>				
Marketing expense	\$ 936	\$ 952	\$ 2,955	\$ 2,774
Professional fees	835	800	2,628	2,402
Software licensing and maintenance	875	753	2,693	2,299
Cardholder expense	472	382	1,345	1,122
Other miscellaneous expenses	3,537	3,406	9,581	10,284
<b>Total other operating expense</b>	<b>\$ 6,655</b>	<b>\$ 6,293</b>	<b>\$ 19,202</b>	<b>\$ 18,881</b>

## 9. Financial Guarantees

The Company currently does not issue any guarantees that would require liability recognition or disclosure, other than standby letters of credit. The Company extends standby letters of credit to its customers in the normal course of business. The standby letters of credit are generally short-term. As of September 30, 2010, the Company's maximum potential obligation under standby letters of credit was \$61.8 million compared to \$50.5 million at December 31, 2009. Management uses the same credit policies to extend standby letters of credit that it uses for on-balance sheet lending decisions and may require collateral to support standby letters of credit based upon its evaluation of the counterparty. Management does not anticipate any significant losses as a result of these transactions, and has determined that the fair value of standby letters of credit is not significant.

## 10. Segment and Related Information

The Company manages its operations through two business segments: banking and financial services. Financial services activities consist of the results of the Company's trust, financial planning and wealth management, broker-dealer services and risk management operations. All other activities, including holding company activities, are considered banking. The Company accounts for intercompany fees and services at an estimated fair value according to regulatory requirements for the services provided. Intercompany items relate primarily to the use of human resources, information systems, accounting and marketing services provided by any of the Banks and the holding company. All other accounting policies are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies in the 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Summarized financial information concerning the Company's reportable segments and the reconciliation to the Company's consolidated results is shown in the following table. Investment in subsidiaries is netted out of the presentations below. The "Intercompany" column identifies the intercompany activities of revenues, expenses and other assets between the banking and financial services segments.

As of and for the three months ended September 30, 2010

(in thousands)	Banking	Financial Services	Intercompany	Consolidated
Interest income	\$ 35,662	\$ 81	\$ 1	\$ 35,744
Interest expense	7,879	0	1	7,880
Net interest income	27,783	81	0	27,864
Provision for loan and lease losses	3,483	0	0	3,483
Noninterest income	4,458	6,774	(5 )	11,227
Noninterest expense	19,471	5,386	(5 )	24,852
Income before income tax expense	9,287	1,469	0	10,756
Income tax expense	2,699	534	0	3,233
Net Income attributable to noncontrolling interests and Tompkins Financial Corporation	6,588	935	0	7,523
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	33	0	0	33
	\$ 6,555	\$ 935	\$ 0	\$ 7,490

Net Income attributable to  
Tompkins Financial  
Corporation

Depreciation and amortization	\$ 1,063	\$ 68	\$ 0	\$ 1,131
Assets	3,223,266	28,239	(4,394 )	3,247,111
Goodwill	23,600	17,989	0	41,589
Other intangibles, net	2,939	1,377	0	4,316
Net loans and leases	1,885,380	0	0	1,885,380
Deposits	2,532,526	0	(3,998 )	2,528,528
Total equity	254,750	21,745	0	276,495

Edgar Filing: TOMPKINS FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

As of and for the three months ended September 30, 2009

(in thousands)	Banking	Financial Services	Intercompany	Consolidated
Interest income	\$ 36,504	\$ 62	\$ (8 )	\$ 36,558
Interest expense	9,785	1	(8 )	9,778
Net interest income	26,719	61	0	26,780
Provision for loan and lease losses	2,127	0	0	2,127
Noninterest income	5,334	6,474	(208 )	11,600
Noninterest expense	18,818	5,113	(208 )	23,723
Income before income tax expense	11,108	1,422	0	12,530
Income tax expense	3,508	529	0	4,037
Net Income attributable to noncontrolling interests and Tompkins Financial Corporation	7,600	893	0	8,493
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	33	0	0	33
Net Income attributable to Tompkins Financial Corporation	\$ 7,567	\$ 893	\$ 0	\$ 8,460
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 1,027	\$ 138	\$ 0	\$ 1,165
Assets	3,063,338	29,579	(4,878 )	3,088,039
Goodwill	23,600	17,929	0	41,529
Other intangibles, net	3,385	1,678	0	5,063
Net loans and leases	1,859,521	0	0	1,859,521
Deposits	2,401,910	0	(4,479 )	2,397,431
Total equity	217,996	23,651	0	241,647

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010

(in thousands)	Banking	Financial Services	Intercompany	Consolidated
Interest income	\$ 108,596	\$ 241	\$ (9 )	\$ 108,828
Interest expense	24,922	2	(9 )	24,915
Net interest income	83,674	239	0	83,913
Provision for loan and lease losses	7,074	0	0	7,074
Noninterest income	13,924	20,439	(484 )	33,879
Noninterest expense	57,881	16,465	(484 )	73,862
Income before income tax expense	32,643	4,213	0	36,856
Income tax expense	10,264	1,554	0	11,818
Net Income attributable to noncontrolling interests and Tompkins Financial Corporation	22,379	2,659	0	25,038
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	98	0	0	98
Net Income attributable to Tompkins Financial Corporation	\$ 22,281	\$ 2,659	\$ 0	\$ 24,940
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 3,277	\$ 207	\$ 0	\$ 3,484



For the nine months ended September 30, 2009

(in thousands)	Banking	Financial Services	Intercompany	Consolidated
Interest income	\$ 109,200	\$ 189	\$ (22 )	\$ 109,367
Interest expense	30,247	3	(22 )	30,228
Net interest income	78,953	186	0	79,139
Provision for loan and lease losses	6,530	0	0	6,530
Noninterest income	15,332	19,235	(496 )	34,071
Noninterest expense	56,873	15,310	(496 )	71,687
Income before income tax expense	30,882	4,111	0	34,993
Income tax expense	9,795	1,484	0	11,279
Net Income attributable to noncontrolling interests and Tompkins Financial Corporation	21,087	2,627	0	23,714
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	98	0	0	98
Net Income attributable to Tompkins Financial Corporation	\$ 20,989	\$ 2,627	\$ 0	\$ 23,616
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 3,180	\$ 396	\$ 0	\$ 3,576

## 11. Fair Value

FASB ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. FASB ASC Topic 820 also establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). Transfers between leveling categories, when determined to be appropriate, are recognized at the end of each reporting period.

The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under FASB ASC Topic 820 are:

Level 1 – Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities;

Level 2 – Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, or inputs that are observable, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability;

Level 3 – Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e., supported by little or no market activity).

The following table summarizes financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2010, segregated by the level of valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy used to measure fair value.

## Recurring Fair Value Measurements

September 30, 2010

(in thousands)	Fair Value 09/30/2010	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
Trading securities				
Obligations of U.S. Government sponsored entities	\$ 13,641	\$ 13,641	\$ 0	\$ 0
Mortgage-backed securities – residential				
U.S. Government sponsored entities	10,736	10,736	0	0
Available-for-sale securities				
U.S. Treasury securities	2,080	2,080	0	0
Obligations of U.S. Government sponsored entities	368,135	0	368,135	0
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	66,092	0	66,092	0
Mortgage-backed securities – residential, issued by:				
U.S. Government agencies	151,106	0	151,106	0
U.S. Government sponsored entities	382,105	0	382,105	0
Non-U.S. Government agencies or sponsored entities	9,640	0	9,640	0
U.S. corporate debt securities	5,247	0	5,247	0
Equity securities	1,164	0	0	1,164
Borrowings				
Other borrowings	12,129	0	12,129	0

## Recurring Fair Value Measurements

December 31, 2009

(in thousands)	Fair Value 12/31/2009	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
Trading securities				
Obligations of U.S. Government sponsored entities	\$ 17,986	\$ 17,986	\$ 0	\$ 0
Mortgage-backed securities – residential				
U.S. Government sponsored entities	13,732	13,732	0	0
Available-for-sale securities				
U.S. Treasury securities	2,079	2,079	0	0
Obligations of U.S. Government sponsored entities	379,015	0	379,015	0
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	63,695	0	63,695	0
Mortgage-backed securities – residential, issued by:				
U.S. Government agencies	78,055	0	78,055	0
U.S. Government sponsored entities	388,860	0	388,860	0
Non-U.S. Government agencies or sponsored entities	10,766	0	10,766	0
U.S. corporate debt securities	5,136	0	5,136	0

Edgar Filing: TOMPKINS FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Equity securities	1,164	0	0	1,164
Borrowings				
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase	5,500	0	5,500	0
Other borrowings	11,335	0	11,335	0

21

---



There were no significant transfers between Levels 1 and 2 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

There was no change in the fair value of the \$1.2 million of available-for-sale securities valued using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), between January 1, 2010 and September 30, 2010.

The Company determines fair value for its trading securities using independently quoted market prices. The Company determines fair value for its available-for-sale securities using an independent bond pricing service for identical assets or very similar securities. The pricing service uses a variety of techniques to determine fair value, including market maker bids, quotes and pricing models. Inputs to the model include recent trades, benchmark interest rates, spreads, and actual and projected cash flows. Based on the inputs used by our independent pricing services, we identify the appropriate level within the fair value hierarchy to report these fair values.

Fair values of borrowings are estimated using Level 2 inputs based upon observable market data. The Company determines fair value for its borrowings using a discounted cash flow technique based upon expected cash flows and current spreads on FHLB NY advances with the same structure and terms. The Company also receives pricing information from third parties, including the FHLB NY. The pricing obtained is considered representative of the transfer price if the liabilities were assumed by a third party. The Company's potential credit risk did not have a material impact on the quoted settlement prices used in measuring the fair value of the FHLB NY borrowings at September 30, 2010.

Certain assets are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. For the Company, these include loans held for sale, collateral dependent impaired loans, and other real estate owned. During the third quarter of 2010, certain collateral dependent impaired loans and other real estate owned were remeasured and reported at fair value through a specific valuation allowance for loan and lease losses based upon the fair value of the underlying collateral. Collateral values are estimated using Level 2 inputs based upon observable market data.

#### Non-Recurring Fair Value Measurements September 30, 2010

(In thousands)	Fair Value			
	09/30/2010	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
Collateral dependent impaired loans	\$17,798	\$0	\$17,798	\$0
Other real estate owned	1,059	0	1,059	0

#### Non-Recurring Fair Value Measurements December 31, 2009

(in thousands)	Fair Value			
	12/31/2009	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
Collateral dependent impaired loans	\$13,123	\$0	\$13,123	\$0
Other real estate owned	299	0	299	0

The following table presents the carrying amounts and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. The carrying amounts shown in the table are included in the Consolidated Statements of Condition under the indicated captions.

The fair value estimates, methods and assumptions set forth below for the Company's financial instruments, including those financial instruments carried at cost, are made solely to comply with disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States and does not always incorporate the exit-price concept of fair value prescribed by ASC Topic 820-10 and should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes included in this Report.



## Estimated Fair Value of Financial Instruments

(in thousands)	September 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
<b>Financial Assets:</b>				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$108,097	\$108,097	\$45,462	\$45,462
Securities – trading	24,377	24,377	31,718	31,718
Securities – available-for-sale	985,569	985,569	928,770	928,770
Securities – held-to-maturity	43,092	44,696	44,825	46,340
Loans and leases, net 1	1,885,380	1,924,918	1,890,468	1,904,400
FHLB and FRB stock	19,330	19,330	20,041	20,041
Accrued interest receivable	12,534	12,534	13,474	13,474
<b>Financial Liabilities:</b>				
Time deposits	\$771,038	\$776,963	\$794,738	\$799,830
Other deposits	1,757,490	1,757,490	1,645,126	1,645,126
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	191,596	204,408	187,284	198,781
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (valued at fair value)	0	0	5,500	5,500
Other borrowings	170,650	187,589	197,630	208,118
Other borrowings (valued at fair value)	12,129	12,129	11,335	11,335
Trust preferred debentures	25,059	26,107	25,056	25,777
Accrued interest payable	1,853	1,853	2,461	2,461

1 Lease receivables, although excluded from the scope of ASC Topic 825, are included in the estimated fair value amounts at their carrying value.

The following methods and assumptions were used in estimating fair value disclosures for financial instruments.

**CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS:** The carrying amounts reported in the Consolidated Statements of Condition for cash, noninterest-bearing deposits, money market funds, and Federal funds sold approximate the fair value of those assets.

**SECURITIES:** Fair values for U.S. Treasury securities are based on quoted market prices. Fair values for obligations of U.S. government sponsored entities, mortgage-backed securities-residential, obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions, and U.S. corporate debt securities are based on quoted market prices, where available, as provided by third party pricing vendors. If quoted market prices were not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments in active markets and/or based upon matrix pricing methodology, which uses comprehensive interest rate tables to determine market price, movement and yield relationships. These securities are reviewed periodically to determine if there are any events or changes in circumstances that would adversely affect their value.

**LOANS AND LEASES:** The fair values of residential loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, based upon available market benchmarks for rates and prepayment assumptions. The fair values of commercial and consumer loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, based upon interest rates currently offered for

loans and leases with similar terms and credit quality. The fair value of loans held for sale are determined based upon contractual prices for loans with similar characteristics.

**FHLB AND FRB STOCK:** The carrying amount of FHLB and FRB stock approximates fair value. If the stock is redeemed, the Company will receive an amount equal to the par value of the stock. For miscellaneous equity securities, carrying value is cost.

**ACCRUED INTEREST RECEIVABLE AND ACCRUED INTEREST PAYABLE:** The carrying amount of these short term instruments approximate fair value.

**DEPOSITS:** The fair values disclosed for noninterest bearing accounts and accounts with no stated maturities are equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of time deposits is based upon discounted cash flow analyses using rates offered for FHLB advances, which is the Company's primary alternative source of funds.

**SECURITIES SOLD UNDER AGREEMENTS TO REPURCHASE:** The carrying amounts of repurchase agreements and other short-term borrowings approximate their fair values. Fair values of long-term borrowings are estimated using a

discounted cash flow approach, based on current market rates for similar borrowings. For securities sold under agreements to repurchase where the Company has elected the fair value option, the Company also receives pricing information from third parties, including the FHLB.

**OTHER BORROWINGS:** The fair values of other borrowings are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, discounted at the Company's current incremental borrowing rate for similar borrowing arrangements. For other borrowings where the Company has elected the fair value option, the Company also receives pricing information from third parties, including the FHLB.

**TRUST PREFERRED DEBENTURES:** The fair value of the trust preferred debentures has been estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis which uses a discount factor of a market spread over current interest rates for similar instruments.

## Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

### BUSINESS

Tompkins Financial Corporation ("Tompkins" or the "Company") is a registered financial holding company incorporated in 1995 under the laws of the State of New York and its common stock is listed on the NYSE-Amex (Symbol: TMP). Tompkins is headquartered at The Commons, Ithaca, New York. Tompkins is the corporate parent of three community banks: Tompkins Trust Company ("Trust Company"), The Bank of Castile and The Mahopac National Bank; an insurance agency, Tompkins Insurance Agencies, Inc. ("Tompkins Insurance"); and a fee-based financial planning and wealth management firm, AM&M Financial Services, Inc. ("AM&M"). Unless the context otherwise requires, the term "Company" refers collectively to Tompkins Financial Corporation and its subsidiaries.

The Company operates in two business segments, banking and financial services. Financial services activities include the results of the Company's trust, financial planning, wealth management and broker-dealer services, risk management, and insurance agency operations. All other activities are considered banking. Information about the Company's business segments is included in Note 10 "Segment and Related Information," in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Banking services consist primarily of attracting deposits from the areas served by the Company's 45 banking offices and using those deposits to originate a variety of commercial loans, consumer loans, real estate loans (including commercial loans collateralized by real estate), and leases. The Company's lending function is managed within the guidelines of a comprehensive Board-approved lending policy. Reporting systems are in place to provide management with ongoing information related to loan production, loan quality, and concentrations of credit, loan delinquencies, and nonperforming and potential problem loans.

The Company may sell residential real estate loans in the secondary market based on interest rate considerations. These residential real estate loans are generally sold without recourse and in accordance with standard secondary market loan sale agreements. The Company primarily sells loans to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation. These residential real estate loans are subject to normal representations and warranties, including representations and warranties related to gross fraud and incompetence. The Company has not had to repurchase any loans as a result of these representations and warranties. The Company reviews the risks in residential real estate lending related to representations and warranties, title issues, and servicing. The Company determined that these risks are immaterial and do not require any reserves on the Company's statement of condition.

The Company's principal expenses are interest on deposits, interest on borrowings, and operating and general administrative expenses, as well as provisions for loan and lease losses. Funding sources, other than deposits, include

borrowings, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and cash flow from lending and investing activities.

The Company provides trust and investment services through Tompkins Investment Services (“TIS”), a division of Trust Company, and investment services through AM&M. TIS, with office locations at all three of the Company’s subsidiary banks, provides a full range of money management services, including: investment management accounts, custody accounts, trusts, retirement plans and rollovers, estate settlement, and financial planning; and retail brokerage services. AM&M provides fee-based financial planning for small business owners, professionals and corporate executives and other individuals with complex financial needs. AM&M also provides wealth management services and operates a broker-dealer subsidiary, which is an outsourcing company for financial planners and investment advisors.

The Company provides property and casualty insurance services and employee benefit consulting through Tompkins Insurance and life, long-term care and disability insurance through AM&M. Tompkins Insurance is headquartered in Batavia, New York, and offers property and casualty insurance to individuals and businesses primarily in Western New York. Over the past several years, Tompkins Insurance has acquired smaller insurance agencies in the market areas serviced by the Company's banking subsidiaries. Tompkins Insurance offers services to customers of the Company's banking subsidiaries by sharing offices with The Bank of Castile and Trust Company. In addition to these shared offices, Tompkins Insurance has five stand-alone offices in Western New York, and two stand-alone offices in Tompkins County, New York.

AM&M is headquartered in Pittsford, New York and offers fee-based financial planning services through three operating companies: (1) AM&M Planners, Inc., which provides fee based financial planning and wealth management services for corporate executives, small business owners and high net worth individuals; (2) Ensemble Financial Services, Inc., an independent broker-dealer and a leading outsourcing company for financial planners and investment advisors; and (3) Ensemble Risk Solutions, Inc., which creates customized risk management plans using life, disability and long-term care insurance products.

Competition for commercial banking and other financial services is strong in the Company's market area. Competition includes other commercial banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions, finance companies, Internet-based financial services companies, mutual funds, insurance companies, brokerage and investment companies, and other financial intermediaries. The Company differentiates itself from its competitors through its full complement of banking and related financial services, and through its community commitment and involvement in its primary market areas, as well as its commitment to quality and personalized banking services.

Banking and financial services are highly regulated. As a financial holding company with three community banks, the Company and its subsidiaries are subject to examination and regulation by the Federal Reserve Board ("FRB"), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"), the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the New York State Banking Department. Additionally, the Company is subject to examination and regulation from the New York State Insurance Department, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority.

Other external factors affecting the Company's operating results are market rates of interest, the condition of financial markets, and both national and regional economic conditions. Weak economic conditions over the past several years have contributed to increases in the Company's past due loans and leases, nonperforming assets, and net loan and lease losses, as well as decreases in certain fee-based products and services. While Tompkins operates in markets that have been impacted to a lesser extent than many areas around the country, there is no assurance that these conditions may not adversely affect the credit quality of the Company's loans and leases, results of operations, and financial condition going forward. Refer to the section captioned "Financial Condition- Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Nonperforming Assets" below for further details on asset quality.

The following discussion is intended to provide an understanding of the consolidated financial condition and results of operations of the Company for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. It should be read in conjunction with the Company's Audited Consolidated Financial Statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, and the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

#### Forward-Looking Statements

The Company is making this statement in order to satisfy the "Safe Harbor" provision contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that are not statements of historical fact may include forward-looking statements that involve a number of risks and uncertainties.

Such forward-looking statements are made based on management's expectations and beliefs concerning future events impacting the Company and are subject to certain uncertainties and factors relating to the Company's operations and economic environment, all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond the control of the Company, that could cause actual results of the Company to differ materially from those matters expressed and/or implied by such forward-looking statements. The following factors are among those that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements: changes in general economic, market and regulatory conditions; the development of an interest rate environment that may adversely affect the Company's interest rate spread, other income or cash flow anticipated from the Company's operations, investment and/or lending activities; changes in laws and regulations affecting banks, such as the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, discussed in greater detail below, insurance companies, bank holding companies and/or financial holding companies; technological developments and changes; the ability to continue to introduce competitive new products and services on a timely, cost-effective basis; governmental and public policy changes, including environmental regulation; protection and validity of intellectual property rights; reliance on large customers; financial resources in the amounts, at the times and on the terms required to support the Company's future businesses, and other factors discussed elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on form 10-Q and in other reports we file with the SEC, in



particular the “Risk Factors” discussed in Item 1A of the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. In addition, such forward-looking statements could be affected by general industry and market conditions and growth rates, general economic and political conditions, including interest rate and currency exchange rate fluctuations, and other factors.

#### Critical Accounting Policies

The accounting and reporting policies followed by the Company conform, in all material respects, to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and to general practices within the financial services industry. In the course of normal business activity, management must select and apply many accounting policies and methodologies and make estimates and assumptions that lead to the financial results presented in the Company’s consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. There are uncertainties inherent in making these estimates and assumptions, which could materially affect the Company’s results of operations and financial position.

Management considers accounting estimates to be critical to reported financial results if (i) the accounting estimates require management to make assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain, and (ii) different estimates that management reasonably could have used for the accounting estimate in the current period, or changes in the accounting estimate that are reasonably likely to occur from period to period, could have a material impact on the Company’s financial statements. Management considers the accounting policies relating to the allowance for loan and lease losses (“allowance”), pension and postretirement benefits and the review of the securities portfolio for other-than-temporary impairment to be critical accounting policies because of the uncertainty and subjectivity involved in these policies and the material effect that estimates related to these areas can have on the Company’s results of operations.

For additional information on critical accounting policies and to gain a greater understanding of how the Company’s financial performance is reported, refer to Note 1 – “Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, and the section captioned “Critical Accounting Policies” in Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contained in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. There have been no significant changes in the Company’s application of critical accounting policies since December 31, 2009. Refer to Note 3 – “Accounting Standards Updates” in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a discussion of recent accounting guidelines.

In this Report there are comparisons of the Company’s performance to that of a peer group. Unless otherwise stated, this peer group is comprised of the group of 92 domestic bank holding companies with \$3 billion to \$10 billion in total consolidated assets as identified in the FRB’s “Bank Holding Company Performance Report” for December 31, 2009 (the most recent report available).

#### Recent Legislation Impacting the Financial Services Industry

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Act”) was signed into law on July 21, 2010. The Act contains numerous and wide-ranging reforms to the structure and operation of the U.S. financial system. Among the Act’s significant regulatory changes are (i) the imposition of more stringent capital requirements on bank holding companies by, among other things, imposing leverage ratios and prohibiting new trust preferred issuances from counting as Tier 1 capital; (ii) making permanent the temporary increase in FDIC deposit insurance coverage from \$100,000 to \$250,000 and providing for unlimited deposit insurance on noninterest-bearing transaction accounts, together with an increase in the minimum Deposit Insurance Fund reserve requirement and a change in the assessment base from deposits to net assets; (iii) the creation of the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, a new financial consumer protection agency, which is empowered to promulgate new consumer protection regulations and revise existing regulations in many areas of consumer compliance; (iv) provisions permitting states to adopt stricter consumer protection laws and permitting state attorneys general to enforce rules issued by the Bureau of Consumer

Financial Protection; (v) increased regulation of derivatives and hedging transactions and restrictions on the Company's ability to engage in certain proprietary trading and investing activities; (vi) limitations on debit card interchange fees; (vii) the imposition of new disclosure and other requirements related to corporate governance and executive compensation; and (viii) the creation of the Financial Stability Oversight Council, with responsibility for identifying and monitoring systemic risks posed by financial firms, activities and practices.

The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of the Act on its business, financial condition and results of operations. Management expects that some provisions of the Act may have adverse effects on the Company, such as the cost of complying with numerous new regulations and disclosure and reporting requirements mandated by the Act. Portions of the Act become effective at different times, and many of the Act's provisions consist of general statements directing various regulators to issue more detailed rules. Consequently, the full scope of the Act's impact on the financial system in general and the Company in particular cannot be predicted at this time.

## OVERVIEW

Net income for the third quarter of 2010 was \$7.5 million, a decrease of 11.5% compared to \$8.5 million reported in the third quarter of 2009. Diluted earnings per share for the third quarter of 2010 were \$0.69, down 12.7% from \$0.79 for the third quarter of 2009. Third quarter earnings for 2010 were impacted by an increase in provision expense to address deterioration in a few larger credits. For the year to date period, net income was \$24.9 million or \$2.30 per diluted share in 2010, up from \$23.6 million or \$2.19 per diluted share in 2009. Diluted per share results for the first nine months of 2010 reflect an increase of 5.0% over the same period in 2009. For the year-to-date period, the growth rates over the prior period were impacted by special events in the second quarter of 2009, which included a \$1.4 million expense (\$0.09 per diluted share) related to the FDIC's special deposit insurance assessment.

Return on average assets ("ROA") for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 was 0.94% compared to 1.12% for the quarter ended September 30, 2009. Return on average shareholders' equity ("ROE") for the third quarter of 2010 was 10.86%, compared to 14.37% for the same period in 2009. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2010, ROA was 1.05% compared 1.06% for the same period in 2009. ROE for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, was 12.77%, compared to 13.79% for the same period in 2009. As of June 30, 2010 (the most recent date for which peer data is available), the Company ranked in the 82nd percentile for ROA, and the 93rd percentile for ROE of its Federal Reserve peer group.

Total revenues, consisting of net interest income and noninterest income, were \$39.1 million in the third quarter of 2010 and \$117.8 million for the first nine months of 2010, up 1.9% and 4.0% over the comparable periods in 2009. Both periods benefited from growth in net interest income. Net interest income for the third quarter and year to date 2010, was up 4.0% and 6.0%, respectively, over the same prior year periods. Higher levels of interest earning assets and lower funding costs have benefitted the Company's net interest income over prior periods. Noninterest income for the three months ended September 30, 2010 decreased by 3.2% when compared to the same period in 2009, mainly a result of net mark-to-market losses on liabilities held at fair value, and was in line with the nine months ended September 30, 2010 when compared to the same period in 2009.

The provision for loan and lease losses totaled \$3.5 million and \$7.1 million, respectively, in the third quarter and year to date period of 2010, compared to \$2.1 million and \$6.5 million for the same periods in 2009. The higher provision for loan and lease losses in 2010 over 2009 was mainly related to the increase in nonperforming loans, higher charge-offs and weak economic conditions.

Noninterest expenses were up 4.8% for the third quarter of 2010 and 3.0% for the first nine months of 2010, over the same periods in 2009. Salaries and benefit related expenses, and other operating expenses were up over third quarter 2009 and prior year-to-date period.

### Segment Reporting

The Company operates in two business segments, banking and financial services. Financial services activities consist of the results of the Company's trust, financial planning and wealth management, broker-dealer services, and risk management operations. All other activities are considered banking.

### Banking Segment

The banking segment reported net income of \$6.6 million for the third quarter of 2010, down \$1.0 million or 13.4% from net income of \$7.6 million in 2009. The decrease in net income in the quarter was the result of higher provision for loan and lease losses, lower noninterest income due to a decline in service charges on deposits, mark-to-market adjustments on trading liabilities held at fair value and an increase in noninterest expense lead by higher salaries and employee benefits costs. For the year to date period, net income was \$22.3 million, an increase of \$1.3 million, or 6.2% over the same period in 2009. The improvement in the year to date 2010 performance over the same period in

the prior year was mainly the result of an increase in net interest income due to growth in average earning assets and lower funding costs. In addition, noninterest expense in 2009 included the FDIC special deposit insurance assessment of \$1.4 million (pre-tax).

Net interest income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, was up \$1.1 million or 4.0% and \$4.7 million or 6.0%, respectively, over the same periods in 2009, driven by growth in average earning assets and decrease in funding costs.

The provision for loan and lease losses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, was \$3.5 million and \$7.1 million, compared to \$2.1 million and \$6.5 million for the same periods in 2009. The higher provision for loan and lease losses in 2010 over 2009 was mainly related to the increase in nonperforming loans, higher charge-offs and weak economic conditions.

Noninterest income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, was down \$876,000 or 16.4% and \$1.4 million, or 9.2%, respectively, over the same periods in 2009. The decrease in 2010 from 2009 was mainly due to net mark-to-market losses on liabilities held at fair value, which were \$323,000 for the third quarter of 2010 and \$940,000, for the first nine months of 2010, compared to net mark-to-market gains of \$73,000 and \$761,000, respectively, for the same periods in 2009. In addition, service charges on deposit accounts were down \$256,000, for the quarter due to the new Regulation E overdraft plan opt-in requirements effective in the quarter and were down \$259,000 for the year to date 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. These factors were partially offset by a reduction in other-than-temporary impairment charges, and increased card service income.

Noninterest expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, were up \$653,000 or 3.5% and up \$1.0 million or 1.8%, respectively, over the same periods in 2009. Increases in salaries and other benefit related accruals, reflecting additional headcount, annual merit increases, and healthcare insurance and pension costs, were partially offset by lower FDIC insurance expense. The second quarter of 2009 included a FDIC special deposit insurance assessment of \$1.4 million (pre-tax).

#### Financial Services Segment

The financial services segment had net income of \$935,000 in the third quarter of 2010, an increase of \$42,000 or 4.7% from net income of \$893,000 in the same quarter of the prior year. For the year to date period, net income was \$2.7 million, which is in line with the same period in 2009. Noninterest income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, was up \$300,000 or 4.6% and \$1.2 million or 6.3%, respectively, over the same periods in 2009. The increase in noninterest income was mainly a result of higher investment services fees. Investment services fees are largely based on the market value of assets within each account. Increased stock market indices to date in 2010 compared to the same period in 2009, account retention and new account generation contributed to an increase in the fair value of assets and related investment fees. Noninterest expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, were up \$273,000 or 5.3% and \$1.2 million or 7.5%, respectively, over the same periods in the prior year. The increases were mainly in salary and wages, reflecting annual merit increases, and other incentive compensation accruals.

Edgar Filing: TOMPKINS FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Average Consolidated Balance Sheet and Net Interest Analysis

(Dollar amounts in thousands)	Quarter Ended September 30, 2010			Year to Date Period Ended September 30, 2010			Year to Date Period Ended September 30, 2009		
	Average Balance (QTD)	Average Interest Yield/Rate		Average Balance (YTD)	Average Interest Yield/Rate		Average Balance (YTD)	Average Interest Yield/Rate	
<b>ASSETS</b>									
Interest-earning assets									
Interest-bearing balances due from banks									
	\$ 16,603	\$ 5	0.12 %	\$ 30,112	\$ 27	0.12 %	\$ 9,730	\$ 15	0.21 %
Money market funds									
	100	—	0.00 %	100	—	0.00 %	19,447	35	0.24 %
Securities (1)									
U.S. Government Securities									
	842,432	7,461	3.51 %	837,883	23,710	3.78 %	700,549	23,605	4.51 %
Trading Securities									
	25,324	255	3.99 %	28,569	843	3.95 %	35,851	1,049	3.91 %
State and municipal (2)									
	105,954	1,471	5.51 %	105,441	4,581	5.81 %	112,657	5,111	6.07 %
Other Securities (2)									
	17,231	211	4.86 %	17,855	654	4.90 %	21,106	721	4.57 %
Total securities									
	990,941	9,398	3.76 %	989,748	29,788	4.02 %	870,163	30,486	4.68 %
Federal Funds Sold									
	10,364	5	0.19 %	10,956	14	0.17 %	7,642	10	0.17 %
FHLB/FRB stock									
	19,549	230	4.67 %	19,526	731	5.01 %	20,364	692	4.54 %
Loans, net of unearned income (3)									
Real Estate Commercial Loans (2)									
	455,770	6,205	5.40 %	462,442	18,749	5.42 %	460,777	18,756	5.44 %
Consumer Loans									
	79,869	1,409	7.00 %	81,818	4,271	6.98 %	87,239	4,525	6.93 %
Direct Lease Financing									
	10,334	155	5.95 %	11,044	498	6.03 %	13,269	602	6.07 %
Total loans, net of unearned income									
	1,900,238	26,792	5.59 %	1,895,336	80,396	5.67 %	1,834,641	80,330	5.85 %
Total interest-earning assets									
	2,937,795	36,430	4.92 %	2,945,778	110,956	5.04 %	2,761,987	111,568	5.40 %
Other assets									
	230,683			228,545			205,370		

Edgar Filing: TOMPKINS FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Total assets	3,168,478			3,174,323			2,967,357		
<b>LIABILITIES &amp; EQUITY</b>									
Deposits									
Interest-bearing deposits									
Interest bearing checking, savings, & money market									
	1,193,990	1,381	0.46 %	1,217,756	4,776	0.52 %	1,106,931	6,632	0.80 %
Time Dep > \$100,000	323,616	1,035	1.27 %	333,814	3,360	1.35 %	295,104	4,156	1.88 %
Time Dep < \$100,000	436,887	1,726	1.57 %	432,415	5,382	1.66 %	419,254	7,151	2.28 %
Brokered Time Dep < \$100,000	19,394	71	1.45 %	27,968	348	1.66 %	42,493	645	2.03 %
Total interest-bearing deposits	1,973,887	4,213	0.85 %	2,011,953	13,866	0.92 %	1,863,782	18,584	1.33 %
Federal funds purchased & securities sold under agreements to repurchase									
	185,525	1,336	2.86 %	183,521	4,069	2.96 %	188,403	4,690	3.33 %
Other borrowings									
	188,159	1,924	4.06 %	192,551	5,770	4.01 %	207,496	6,229	4.01 %
Trust preferred debentures									
	25,059	407	6.44 %	25,057	1,210	6.46 %	15,260	725	6.35 %
Total interest-bearing liabilities	2,372,630	7,880	1.32 %	2,413,082	24,915	1.38 %	2,274,941	30,228	1.78 %
Noninterest bearing deposits									
	479,980			458,931			423,588		
Accrued expenses and other liabilities									
	42,351			41,122			39,919		
Total liabilities	2,894,961			2,913,135			2,738,448		
Tompkins Financial Corporation Shareholders' equity									
	271,983			259,687			227,408		
Noncontrolling interest									
	1,534			1,501			1,501		
Total equity	273,517			261,188			228,909		
Total liabilities and equity	\$3,168,478			\$3,174,323			\$2,967,357		

Interest rate spread		3.60%		3.66%		3.62%
Net interest income/margin on earning assets	28,550	3.85%	86,041	3.91%	81,340	3.94%
Tax Equivalent Adjustment	(686 )		(2,128 )		(2,201 )	
Net interest income per consolidated financial statements	\$27,864		\$83,913		\$79,139	

- 
- (1) Average balances and yields on available-for-sale securities are based on historical amortized cost.
  - (2) Interest income includes the tax effects of taxable-equivalent adjustments using a combined New York State and Federal effective income tax rate of 40% to increase tax exempt interest income to taxable-equivalent basis.
  - (3) Nonaccrual loans are included in the average asset totals presented above. Payments received on nonaccrual loans have been recognized as disclosed in Note 1 of the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements included in Part I of the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.



### Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the Company's largest source of revenue, representing about 71.2% of total revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Net interest income is dependent on the volume and composition of interest earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and the level of market interest rates. The Company's net interest income over the past several years has benefitted from steady growth in average earning assets, as well as the low interest rate environment. Over this period the Company's interest-bearing liabilities repriced at a faster pace than our interest earning assets. With deposit rates currently at low levels, the downward pricing of these liabilities has slowed, while interest earning assets continue to reprice downward at a steady rate. This has contributed to a decrease in net interest margin for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009. The taxable equivalent net interest margin of 3.85% for the third quarter of 2010 is below the second quarter 2010 and third quarter 2009 net interest margin of 3.91%. The year-to-date 2010 net interest margin was 3.91% compared to 3.94% for year-to-date 2009. The decrease in the net interest margin was also partly due to the growth in interest earning assets over prior year being concentrated in lower yielding securities rather than higher yielding loans.

The above table shows average interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, and the corresponding yield or cost associated with each. Taxable-equivalent net interest income for the third quarter of 2010 was \$28.6 million, an increase of \$1.1 million or 3.8%, compared to the same period in 2009. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 taxable equivalent net interest income was \$86.0 million, an increase of \$4.7 million or 5.8% over the same period in 2009. The favorable comparisons to prior year were mainly a result of growth in average earning assets and deposits, partly offset by decreases in net interest margin.

Taxable-equivalent interest income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, was down 2.3% and less than 1%, respectively, from the same periods in 2009. The decrease in taxable-equivalent interest income reflects the overall lower yields on interest earning assets. The lower yields were offset by growth in average earning assets. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the average yield on interest earning assets were 4.92% and 5.04%, respectively, down 38 basis points and 36 basis points from the same periods in 2009. In addition to the lower level of market interest rates, the yield on interest earning assets was also impacted by the composition of interest earning assets. The average volume of securities for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, was up \$126.8 million or 14.7%, and \$119.6 million or 13.7%, respectively, over the comparable periods in the prior year. The average volume of loans for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, was up \$33.5 million or 1.8% and \$60.7 million or 3.3%, respectively, over the comparable periods in the prior year. The average yield on securities was 3.76% and 4.02%, respectively, during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 compared to 4.57% and 4.68% for the same periods in 2009. During 2010, cash flow from securities maturities and prepayments have been reinvested at lower yields as a result of the decrease in market interest rates.

Interest expense for the third quarter was down \$1.9 million or 19.4% compared to the third quarter of 2009, while interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, was down \$5.3 million or 17.6% compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease in interest expense reflects lower average rates paid on deposits and borrowings, partially offset by growth in average deposit balances. The average rate paid on deposits was 0.85% and 0.92% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to 1.23% and 1.33% during the same periods in 2009. The decrease in the average cost of interest bearing deposits was due to a decrease in the rates offered on deposit products and decreases in renewal rates on maturing certificates of deposits. Average interest-bearing deposit balances, in the third quarter of 2010, increased by \$95.0 million or 5.1% compared to the same period in 2009. For the nine months ending September 30, 2010 average interest-bearing deposits increased \$148.2 million or 8.0% compared to the previous year. Average interest checking, savings and money market deposit balances increased \$90.6 million or 8.2% and \$110.8 million or 10.0% compared to the third quarter and year-to-date periods in 2009, respectively. Year-to-date average balances of time deposits of \$100,000 or more were up 13.1% to \$333.8 million over the nine months ended September 30, 2009. Third quarter average noninterest bearing deposit balances of \$480.0 million increased by \$45.6 million, and year-to-date noninterest bearing deposit balances increased \$35.3 million over

the same periods in 2009. Average other borrowings for the year-to-date period ending September 30, 2010, were down \$14.9 million or 7.2% compared to September 30, 2009.

#### Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

The provision for loan and lease losses represents management's estimate of the amount necessary to maintain the allowance for loan and lease losses at an appropriate level to absorb probable losses on existing loans. The provision for loan and lease losses was \$3.5 million for the third quarter of 2010 and \$7.1 million for the nine months ending September 30, 2010, compared to \$2.1 million and \$6.5 million for the respective periods in 2009. The higher provision for loan and lease losses in 2010 over 2009 was mainly related to the increase in nonperforming loans, higher charge-offs and continued weak economic conditions, discussed in greater detail below under "Financial Condition". The allowance for loan and lease losses

as a percentage of period end loans and leases was 1.50% at September 30, 2010, compared to 1.21% at September 30, 2009. The section captioned "Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Nonperforming Assets" contained elsewhere in this report has further details on the allowance for loan and lease losses.

#### Noninterest Income

Noninterest income totaled \$11.2 million and \$33.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared with \$11.6 million and \$34.1 million for the same periods in 2009. Noninterest income represented 28.7% and 28.8% of total revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to 30.2% and 30.1% for the same periods in 2009. The decrease was mainly due to growth in net interest income outpacing growth in noninterest income. Revenues from investments services, insurance and card service were higher in 2010 over the same periods in 2009; however, these were mainly offset by a decrease in service charges on deposit accounts, lower gains on sales of residential mortgage loans and net mark-to-market losses on liabilities held at fair value.

Investment services income was \$3.4 million in the third quarter of 2010, an increase of 4.1% from \$3.3 million in the third quarter of 2009. Investment services income totaled \$10.8 million for the first nine months of 2010, up 9.5% over the same period in 2009. Investment services income includes trust services, financial planning, wealth management services, and brokerage related services. With fees largely based on the market value and the mix of assets managed, the general direction of the stock market can have a considerable impact on fee income. The fair value of assets managed by, or in custody of, the Company was \$2.6 billion at September 30, 2010, up 9.54% from \$2.4 billion at September 30, 2009. These figures include \$780.5 million and \$620.9 million, respectively, of Company-owned securities where TIS is custodian. The increase in fair value of assets reflects successful business development initiatives resulting in customer retention as well as generally higher stock market indices during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the same period in 2009.

Insurance commissions and fees for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, increased by \$167,000 or 5.2% and \$284,000 or 3.0%, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2009. The growth over prior year was mainly in health and benefits related insurance products as well as commercial insurance lines.

Service charges on deposit accounts were \$2.1 million in the third quarter of 2010, down 10.8% compared to \$2.4 million in the third quarter of 2009, and down 3.8% when comparing the nine months ended September 30, 2010 to prior year. The largest component of this category is overdraft fees, which is largely driven by customer activity. Overdraft fees were down in the third quarter compared to the second quarter of 2010 and the same quarter of the prior year, due to regulatory changes which became effective in the third quarter of 2010. Effective July 1, 2010, the Federal Reserve Board now prohibits financial institutions from charging consumers fees for paying overdrafts on automated teller machine and one-time debit card transactions, unless a consumer consents, or opts in, to the overdraft service for those types of transactions. Consumers must be provided a notice that explains the financial institution's overdraft services, including the fees associated with these services, and the consumer's choices. The Company cannot provide any assurance as to the ultimate impact of this rule on the amount of overdraft/insufficient funds charges that the Company may earn in future periods.

Card services income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 was up \$145,000 or 15.1% and \$463,000 or 17.3%, respectively, over the same periods in 2009. The increase was mainly in debit card income and reflects a higher number of cards issued, transaction volume and increased inter-change fees.

Net mark-to-market losses on securities and borrowings held at fair value totaled \$146,000 in the third quarter of 2010, compared to net mark-to-market gains of \$329,000 in the third quarter of 2009. For the nine month period ending September 30, 2010 net mark-to-market losses totaled \$382,000 compared to net market-to-market gains of \$1.1 million in the first nine months of 2009. Mark-to-market losses or gains relate to the change in the fair value of securities and borrowings where the Company has elected the fair value option. These unrealized amounts are

primarily impacted by changes in interest rates.

Other income of \$1.4 million in the third quarter of 2010 was down 6.4% from the third quarter of 2009. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 other income of \$3.9 million was down 6.6% compared to prior year. The primary components of other income are other service charges, increases in cash surrender value of corporate owned life insurance (“COLI”), gains on the sales of residential mortgage loans, and income from miscellaneous equity investments, including the Company’s investment in a Small Business Investment Company.

Other service charge income, included in other income on the consolidated statements of income, of \$546,000 in the third quarter of 2010 was down \$59,000 or 9.7% from the same period in 2009. Loan related fees were down in the third quarter 2010 compared to the third quarter 2009. Other service charges for the nine months ending September 30, 2010 were up \$229,000 or 16.4% compared to the same period in 2009. The increase was mainly in loan related fees, including higher servicing income which benefited from loan sales to U.S. Government agencies.

Increases in the value of COLI net of mortality expenses, included in other income on the consolidated statements of income, were \$314,000 in the third quarter of 2010, down \$34,000 or 9.8% from the third quarter of 2009. For the nine months ended September 30 2010 the value increased \$1.0 million compared to an increase in value of \$774,000 in the same period in 2009. COLI relates to life insurance policies covering certain senior officers of the Company and its subsidiaries. The Company's average investment in COLI was \$36.5 million during the first nine months of 2010, and \$35.2 million during the same period in 2009.

Net gains on sale of loans, included in other income on the consolidated statements of income, of \$346,000 in the third quarter of 2010 were up by \$158,000 or 84.0% compared to the third quarter of 2009. Net gains on sale of loans totaled \$685,000 in the first nine months of 2010 compared to \$1.2 million in the same period 2009. Low market interest rates contributed to the sale of residential mortgage originations/refinancing in 2009 and early 2010. Mortgage interest rates declined in the first half of 2009, as the government responded to weak economic conditions to help spur stimulus into the residential home market. Accordingly, residential originations/refinancings were strong in the first half of 2009. Although mortgage interest rates remain low, residential originations/refinancings are down from 2009 levels. To manage interest rate risk exposures, the Company sells certain fixed rate loan originations that have rates below or maturities greater than the standards set by the Company's Asset/Liability Committee.

For the three months ended September 30, 2010, net losses from securities transactions totaled \$2,000, compared to net gains of \$104,000 for the same period in 2009. Year-to-date net gains from securities transactions totaled \$173,000, compared to \$130,000 year-to-date 2009. Management may periodically sell available-for-sale securities for liquidity purposes, to improve yields, or to adjust the risk profile of the portfolio. During the third quarter of 2010, the Company sold \$382,000 of municipal securities that were in the held-to-maturity portfolio for a loss of \$2,000. These securities had been downgraded by a rating agency.

#### Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense for the third quarter of 2010 was \$24.9 million, up \$1.1 million or 4.8% compared to the third quarter of 2009. For the nine months ending September 30, noninterest expenses totaled \$73.9 million in 2010 and \$71.7 million in 2009, an increase of 3.0%.

Personnel-related expense increased by \$622,000 or 4.6% in the third quarter of 2010 over the same period in 2009. For the first nine months of 2010, personnel-related expenses totaled \$42.6 million, an increase of 6.6% over the same period prior year. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, salaries and wages were up \$346,000 or 3.4%, and \$1.8 million or 5.9%, respectively, over the same periods in 2009, reflecting an increase in average full time equivalents ("FTE"), and annual merit increases. Year-to-date September 30, 2010 average FTEs of 727 were up from year-to-date September 30, 2009 average FTEs of 719. Pension and other employee related benefits were up \$276,000 or 8.3% in the third quarter of 2010 compared to the third quarter of 2009 and up \$884,000 or 8.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 over the same period in 2009. An increase in pension costs and health insurance expense contributed to the increase over prior year.

FDIC deposit insurance expense increased by \$168,000 or 20.7% for the third quarter 2010, compared to the same period in 2009. Contributing to the quarter-over-quarter increase were higher average deposit balances and increased FDIC deposit insurance premiums. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 FDIC deposit insurance expense decreased by \$581,000 or 17.5% compared to 2009 year-to-date. The decrease reflects a special deposit insurance assessment of \$1.4 million (pre-tax) in 2009, partially offset by higher average deposit balances and higher deposit insurance premiums.

Other operating expenses increased by \$362,000 or 5.8%, and by \$321,000 or 1.7% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 over the same prior year periods. Contributing to the increase in the third quarter 2010 over the third quarter 2009 were the following: Software licenses and maintenance (up \$122,000), cardholder expense (up

\$90,000), other losses (up \$198,000), and penalties associated with prepayment of certain FHLBNY borrowings (up \$241,000). For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, increases in software and licenses (up \$394,000), cardholder expenses (up \$223,000), and other losses (up \$149,000) were partially offset by decreases in telephone (down \$182,000) and legal (down \$166,000) expenses.

#### Income Tax Expense

The provision for income taxes provides for Federal and New York State income taxes. The provision for the third quarter of 2010 was \$3.2 million, compared to \$4.0 million for the same period in 2009. For the nine month period ending September 30, the tax provision totaled \$11.8 million in 2010 and \$11.3 million in 2009. The Company's effective tax rate for the third quarter of 2010 was 30.1% compared to 32.2% for the third quarter of 2009. For the nine month period ending September 30, the Company's effective tax rate was 32.1% in 2010 and 32.2% in 2009.

## FINANCIAL CONDITION

Total assets were \$3.2 billion at September 30, 2010, up \$93.9 million or 3.0% over December 31, 2009, and up \$159.1 million or 5.2% over September 30, 2009. Asset growth over year-end 2009 was mainly in cash and equivalents, which were up \$62.6 million and available-for-sale securities, which were up \$56.8 million. Loans totaled \$1.9 billion and were flat compared to year-end 2009 as demand continues to be impacted by weak economic conditions. As such, the Company has invested funds generated by deposit growth in short-term liquid assets and short duration available-for-sale securities to maintain flexibility to redeploy funds when loan demand picks up. Total deposits at September 30, 2010, were up \$88.7 million or 3.6% over December 31, 2009. Growth was primarily in non-maturity deposits, as time deposits declined during the period.

## Securities

As of September 30, 2010 total securities were \$1.05 billion or 32.4% of total assets, compared to \$1.01 billion or 31.9% of total assets at December 31, 2009. The \$47.7 million increase in total securities over year-end 2009 was mainly in mortgage-backed securities issued by Government National Mortgage Association (“GNMA”), a U.S. government agency. GNMA securities are backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government. The following tables detail the composition of securities available-for-sale and securities held-to-maturity.

## Available-for-Sale Securities

	September 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
(in thousands)				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$1,973	\$2,080	\$1,991	\$2,079
Obligations of U.S. Government sponsored entities	355,421	368,135	377,920	379,015
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	62,623	66,092	61,176	63,695
Mortgage-backed securities – residential				
U.S. Government agencies	146,527	151,106	75,714	78,055
U.S. Government sponsored entities	365,350	382,105	373,307	388,860
Non-U.S. Government agencies or sponsored entities	10,474	9,640	12,656	10,766
U.S. corporate debt securities	5,026	5,247	5,032	5,136
Total debt securities	947,394	984,405	907,796	927,606
Equity securities	1,164	1,164	1,164	1,164
Total available-for-sale securities	\$948,558	\$985,569	\$908,960	\$928,770

## Held-to-Maturity Securities

	September 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
(in thousands)				
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	\$43,092	\$44,696	\$44,825	\$46,340
Total held-to-maturity debt securities	\$43,092	\$44,696	\$44,825	\$46,340

Substantially all of the above mortgage-backed securities are residential direct pass through securities or collateralized mortgage obligations issued or backed by Government sponsored entities. The Company has no investments in preferred stock of U.S. government sponsored entities and no investments in pools of Trust Preferred securities.

Quarterly, the Company evaluates all investment securities with a fair value less than amortized cost to identify any other-than-temporary impairment as defined under generally accepted accounting principles. During the third quarter of 2009, the Company determined that three of the five non-U.S. government mortgage backed securities held in the available-for-sale portfolio were other-than-temporarily impaired. As a result, the Company recorded other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$2.0 million in the third quarter of 2009 on these three investments. The credit loss component of \$146,000 was recorded as other-than-temporary impairment losses in the consolidated statement of income, while the remaining non-credit portion of the impairment loss was recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) in the consolidated statements of condition and changes in shareholders' equity. The Company reviewed these five securities in the third quarter of 2010 and determined that an additional credit loss component of other-than-temporary charge of \$34,000 related to the three non-U.S. government mortgage backed securities was necessary. As of September 30, 2010, the amount by which the carrying value of the



securities exceeded their fair value was \$795,000. A continuation or worsening of current economic conditions may result in additional credit loss component of other-than-temporary impairment losses related to these investments.

The Company maintains a trading portfolio valued at a fair value of \$24.4 million as of September 30, 2010, compared to \$31.7 million at December 31, 2009. The decrease in the trading portfolio reflects maturities or payments during 2010. For the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2010, mark-to-market gains related to the securities trading portfolio were \$177,000 and \$558,000, respectively, compared to \$256,000 and \$354,000, respectively, for the same periods in 2009.

#### Loans and Leases

Loans and leases totaled \$1.91 billion or 58.9% of total assets at September 30, 2010, compared to \$1.91 billion or 60.7% of total assets at December 31, 2009. A summary of loans and leases, net of deferred fees and origination costs, by category, is provided in the table below.

Loan and Lease Portfolio Balances (in thousands)	09/30/2010	% of Total		12/31/2009	% of Total	
		Loans			Loans	
Residential real estate	\$624,774	32.7	%	\$623,863	32.6	%
Commercial real estate	676,147	35.3	%	641,737	33.5	%
Real estate construction	57,976	3.0	%	58,125	3.1	%
Commercial	466,714	24.4	%	492,647	25.7	%
Consumer and other	78,483	4.1	%	86,661	4.5	%
Leases	9,970	0.5	%	11,785	0.6	%
Total loans and leases, net of unearned income	\$1,914,064			\$1,914,818		

In general, weak economic conditions have strained some borrowers and softened the demand for lending products. Commercial real estate loans at September 30, 2010 were up \$34.4 million or 5.4% over December 31, 2009. Commercial loans were down \$25.9 million or 5.3% compared to December 31, 2009, reflecting paydowns and some seasonality in agricultural lending. The Company's agricultural related portfolio totaled \$104.5 million at September 30, 2010, compared to \$112.0 million at December 31, 2009, and is reflected above in both commercial and commercial real estates loans. Residential portfolio balances at September 30, 2010, are flat compared with year-end. The Company has continued to sell certain fixed rate residential mortgage loans in the secondary market because of the interest rate risk considerations. The Company originated \$30.4 million of residential mortgage loans for sale during the first nine months of 2010 and sold \$30.8 million during the same period. The consumer and leasing portfolios are down 9.4% and 15.4%, respectively, at September 30, 2010, compared to year-end 2009.

#### Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Nonperforming Assets

Management reviews the appropriateness of the allowance for loan and lease losses ("allowance") on a regular basis. Management considers the accounting policy relating to the allowance to be a critical accounting policy, given the inherent uncertainty in evaluating the levels of the allowance required to cover credit losses in the portfolio and the material effect that assumptions could have on the Company's results of operations. The Company's methodology for determining and allocating the allowance for loan and lease losses focuses on ongoing reviews of larger individual loans and leases, historical net charge-offs, delinquencies in the loan and lease portfolio, the level of impaired and nonperforming loans, values of underlying loan and lease collateral, the overall risk characteristics of the portfolios, changes in character or size of the portfolios, geographic location, current economic conditions, changes in capabilities and experience of lending management and staff, and other relevant factors. The various factors used in the methodologies are reviewed on a regular basis.

The Company has developed a methodology to measure the amount of estimated loan loss exposure inherent in the loan portfolio to assure that an appropriate allowance is maintained. The Company's methodology is based upon guidance provided in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 102, Selected Loan Loss Allowance Methodology and Documentation Issues and allowance allocations are calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 310, Receivables and allowance allocations calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 450, Contingencies. The methodology includes an estimate of exposure for the following: specifically reviewed and graded loans; historical loss experience by product type; past due and nonperforming loans; and other internal and external factors such as local and regional economic conditions, growth trends, and credit policy and underwriting standards.

At least annually, management reviews all commercial and commercial real estate loans exceeding a certain threshold and assigns a risk rating grade. At least quarterly, management reviews all loans and leases over a certain dollar threshold that are internally risk rated below a predetermined grade, giving consideration to payment history, debt service payment capacity, collateral support, strength of guarantors, industry trends, and other factors relevant to the particular borrowing relationship. Through this process, management identifies impaired loans. For loans and leases considered impaired, estimated exposure amounts are based upon collateral values or discounted cash flows.

For commercial loans and commercial mortgage loans not specifically reviewed, and for homogenous loan portfolios such as residential mortgage loans and consumer loans, estimated exposure amounts are assigned based upon historical loss experience and current charge-off trends, past due status, and management's judgment of the effects of current economic conditions on portfolio performance.

In addition to the above components, amounts are maintained based upon management's judgment and assessment of other quantitative and qualitative factors such as regional and local economic conditions, concentrations of credit, industry concerns, adverse market changes in estimated or appraised collateral value, and portfolio growth trends.

Based upon consideration of the above factors, management believes that the allowance is appropriate to provide for the risk of loss inherent in the current loan and lease portfolio as of September 30, 2010. Should any of the factors considered by management in evaluating the appropriateness of the allowance change, the Company's estimate of probable loan losses could also change, which could affect the level of future provisions for loan and lease losses.

Activity in the Company's allowance for loan and lease losses during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, and for the 12 months ended December 31, 2009, is illustrated in the table below.

#### ANALYSIS OF THE ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES

(in thousands)	Nine months ended 09/30/2010	Twelve months ended 12/31/2009	Nine months ended 09/30/2009
Average loans and leases outstanding during the period	\$ 1,895,336	\$ 1,850,453	\$ 1,834,641
Total loans and leases outstanding at end of period	\$ 1,914,064	\$ 1,914,818	\$ 1,882,321
<b>ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES</b>			
Beginning balance	\$ 24,350	\$ 18,672	\$ 18,672
Provision for loan and lease losses	7,074	9,288	6,530
Loans charged off	(3,733 )	(4,234 )	(2,852 )
Loan recoveries	993	624	450
Net charge-offs	(2,740 )	(3,610 )	(2,402 )
Ending balance	\$ 28,684	\$ 24,350	\$ 22,800
Allowance for loan and lease losses to total loans and leases	1.50 %	1.27 %	1.21 %
Annualized net charge-offs to average loans and leases	0.19 %	0.20 %	0.18 %

As of September 30, 2010 the allowance was \$28.7 million or 1.50% of total loans and leases outstanding. This represents an increase of 23 basis points from December 31, 2009, and an increase of 29 basis points from September 30, 2009. The provision for loan and lease losses was \$3.5 million and \$7.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to \$2.1 million and \$6.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase in the provision expense, the allowance and the ratio of allowance to total loans and leases outstanding is primarily due to the increase in nonperforming loans and higher net charge-offs as well as continued overall weakness in the economy. Nonperforming loans at September 30, 2010 increased by \$19.0 million over year-end 2009 and by \$15.3 million over the end of the second quarter of 2010. The majority of the increase over the end of the second quarter was due to the inclusion of a few larger commercial real estate related credits as nonaccrual and impaired. A review of nonperforming loans during the quarter resulted in additional specific allocations related to several larger nonperforming commercial credits. While overall economic conditions remain weak, there have been some improvements noted in the financial conditions of several of the Company's large commercial customers and the Company's agricultural related portfolio has benefited from improving milk prices.

Net charge-offs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, were \$1.3 million and \$2.7 million compared to \$646,000 and \$2.4 million in the comparable year ago periods. Annualized net charge-offs for the first nine months of 2010 represent 0.19% of average loans, up from 0.18% for the first nine months of 2009, and is favorable to our peer group ratio of 1.45% at June 30, 2010. The peer data is from the Federal Reserve Board and represents banks or bank holding companies with assets between \$3 billion and \$10.0 billion. The peer ratio is as of June 30, 2010, the most recent data available from the Federal Reserve Board.

The ratio of the allowance to nonperforming loans (loans past due 90 days and accruing, nonaccrual loans and restructured troubled debt) was 0.53 times at September 30, 2010, compared to 0.70 times at December 31, 2009, and 0.86 times at September 30, 2009. The decline in the ratio is primarily due to an increase in nonaccrual loans, which are mostly made up of collateral dependent impaired loans requiring little to no specific allowance due to the level of collateral available with respect to these loans and/or previous charge-offs. Our Federal Reserve Board peer ratio was 0.74 times at June 30, 2010.

#### NONPERFORMING ASSETS

(in thousands)	09/30/2010	12/31/2009	09/30/2009			
Nonaccrual loans and leases	\$ 48,966	\$ 31,289	\$ 25,837			
Loans past due 90 days and accruing	1,737	369	579			
Troubled debt restructuring not included above	3,264	3,265	0			
Total nonperforming loans	53,967	34,923	26,416			
Other real estate, net of allowances	1,845	299	440			
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 55,812	\$ 35,222	\$ 26,856			
Total nonperforming loans and leases as a percentage of total loans and leases	2.82	%	1.82	%	1.40	%
Total nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets	1.72	%	1.12	%	0.87	%

Nonperforming assets include nonaccrual loans, troubled debt restructurings (“TDR”) and foreclosed real estate. The level of nonperforming assets at September 30, 2010 and 2009, and December 31, 2009 is illustrated in the table above. Nonperforming assets represented 1.72% of total assets at September 30, 2010, compared to 1.12% December 31, 2009, and 0.87% at September 30, 2009. Although higher than at the same time prior year, the Company’s ratio of nonperforming assets to total assets of 1.72% continues to compare favorably to our peer group’s most recent ratio of 3.34% at June 30, 2010.

Nonperforming loans (loans in nonaccrual status, loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest, and loans restructured in a TDR) were \$54.0 million at September 30, 2010, up from \$34.9 million at December 31, 2009, and up from \$26.4 million at September 30, 2009. Nonperforming loans represented 2.82% of total loans at September 30, 2010, compared to 1.82% of total loans at December 31, 2009, and 1.40% of total loans at September 30, 2009. A breakdown of nonperforming loans by portfolio type is shown below. The increase in nonperforming loans over prior period is mainly due to the addition of a few larger commercial real estate related credits during the quarter to nonaccrual status. In general, the increases in nonperforming assets are reflective of weak economic conditions that have persisted over the past few years, which have pressured real estate values in some markets and stressed the financial conditions of various commercial and residential borrowers. Approximately \$5.0 million of nonperforming loans at September 30, 2010, were secured by U.S. government guarantees, while \$7.3 million were secured by one-to-four family residential properties.

In general, the Company places a loan on nonaccrual status if principal or interest payments become 90 days or more past due and/or management deems the collectability of the principal and/or interest to be in question, as well as when required by regulatory requirements. Although in nonaccrual status the Company may continue to receive payments on these loans. These payments are generally recorded as a reduction to principal and interest income is recorded only after principal recovery is reasonably assured. As of September 30, 2010, the Company was regularly receiving payments on approximately 65% of the loans categorized as nonaccrual.

## Nonperforming Loans by Type

(in thousands)	09/30/2010	% of Total Loans		12/31/2009	% of Total Loans	
Residential real estate	\$7,337	0.38	%	\$6,396	0.33	%
Commercial real estate	22,896	1.20	%	19,714	1.03	%
Real estate construction	13,576	0.71	%	964	0.05	%
Commercial	9,659	0.50	%	7,223	0.38	%
Consumer and other	479	0.03	%	598	0.03	%
Leases	20	0.00	%	28	0.00	%
Total loans and leases, net of unearned income	\$53,967	2.82	%	\$34,923	1.82	%

As of September 30, 2010, the Company's recorded investment in loans and leases that are considered impaired totaled \$44.2 million compared to \$30.0 million at December 31, 2009 and \$21.4 million at September 30, 2009. A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans consist of our non-homogenous nonaccrual loans, and loans that are 90 days or more past due and accruing, and all loans restructured in a TDR. Losses on individually identified impaired loans that are not collateral dependent are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the original effective interest rate of each loan. For loans that are collateral dependent, impairment is measured based on the fair value of the collateral less estimated selling costs. At September 30, 2010, \$20.8 million of impaired loans has specific reserve allocations of \$4.8 million, and \$23.5 million had no specific reserve allocation. At September 30, 2009, \$9.7 million of impaired loans had related allowances of \$968,000, and \$11.7 million had no specific reserve allocation. The increase in specific reserve allocations was primarily related to three commercial credits. Impaired loans with no specific reserve are due to sufficiency of collateral and/or charge-offs previously taken.

Despite increases in nonperforming and impaired loans since December 31, 2009, the level of potential problem loans declined during the period from December 31, 2009 to September 30, 2010. Potential problem loans and leases are loans and leases that are currently performing, but where known information about possible credit problems of the related borrowers causes management to have doubt as to the ability of such borrowers to comply with the present loan payment terms and may result in disclosure of such loans and leases as nonperforming at some time in the future. Management considers loans and leases classified as Substandard that continue to accrue interest to be potential problem loans and leases. At September 30, 2010, the Company's internal loan review function had identified 56 commercial relationships, totaling \$63.1 million, which it classified as Substandard, which continue to accrue interest. As of December 31, 2009, the Company's internal loan review function had classified 67 commercial relationships as Substandard totaling \$83.9 million, which continued to accrue interest. Of the 56 commercial relationships at September 30, 2010, there were 16 relationships that equal or exceed \$1.0 million, which in aggregate total \$54.3 million. Over the past few years, the Company has seen an increase in potential problem loans as weak economic conditions have strained borrowers' cash flows and collateral values. The decrease in the dollar volume of potential problem loans since year-end 2009 was due to one large commercial relationship being moved to nonaccrual status and the upgrade of several other commercial credits to a risk grading better than Substandard. In general, potential problem loans remain in a performing status due to a variety of factors, including, but not limited to, payment history, the value of collateral supporting the credits, and personal or government guarantees. These factors, when considered in the aggregate, give management reason to believe that the current risk exposure on these loans is not significant. However, these loans do exhibit certain risk factors, which have the potential to cause them to become nonperforming. Accordingly, management's attention is focused on these loans, which are reviewed at least quarterly. Management cannot predict the extent to which continued weak economic conditions or other factors may further impact its

borrowers.

#### Capital

Regulatory capital ratios for the Company and each of its banking subsidiaries remain above well capitalized levels, as defined by regulatory agencies, and showed improving trends during the most recent quarter and year-to-date periods.

Total equity was \$276.5 million at September 30, 2010, an increase of \$31.5 million or 12.9% from December 31, 2009, mainly a result of net income of \$24.9 million less cash dividends paid of \$10.7 million. The Company also paid a 10% stock dividend in the first quarter of 2010, which resulted in a \$35.4 million decrease in retained earnings and \$35.3 million increase in additional paid-in capital.



Additional paid-in capital increased by \$41.3 million, from \$155.6 million at December 31, 2009, to \$196.9 million at September 30, 2010, reflecting the \$35.3 million related to the 10% stock dividend, \$1.3 million related to shares issued under the Company's employee stock ownership plan, \$2.1 million related to shares issued under the Company's dividend reinvestment plan, \$1.8 million related to stock option exercises and related tax benefits, and \$850,000 related to stock-based compensation. Retained earnings decreased by \$21.1 million from \$92.4 million at December 31, 2009, to \$71.3 million at September 30, 2010, reflecting net income of \$24.9 million less dividends paid of \$10.7 million, and \$35.4 million related to the 10% stock dividend. Accumulated other comprehensive loss increased from a net unrealized loss of \$3.1 million at December 31, 2009, to a net unrealized gain of \$8.1 million at September 31, 2010, reflecting an increase in unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities due to lower market rates, offset by amounts recognized in other comprehensive income related to postretirement benefit plans. Under regulatory requirements, amounts reported as accumulated other comprehensive income/loss related to net unrealized gain or loss on available-for-sale securities and the funded status of the Company's defined benefit post-retirement benefit plans do not increase or reduce regulatory capital and are not included in the calculation of risk-based capital and leverage ratios.

Cash dividends paid in the first nine months of 2010 totaled approximately \$10.7 million, representing 42.8% of year to date 2010 earnings. Cash dividends of \$0.99 per common share paid in the first nine months of 2010 were up 6.5% over cash dividends of \$0.93 per common share paid in the first nine months of 2009. Cash dividends per share were retroactively adjusted to reflect the 10% stock dividend paid on February 15, 2010.

The Company and its banking subsidiaries are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by Federal banking agencies. The table below reflects the Company's capital position at September 30, 2010, compared to the regulatory capital requirements for "well capitalized" institutions.

#### REGULATORY CAPITAL ANALYSIS

September 30, 2010

(dollar amounts in thousands)	Actual		Well Capitalized Requirement			
	Amount	Ratio		Amount	Ratio	
Total Capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$274,751	13.14	%	\$204,898	10.00	%
Tier 1 Capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$248,575	11.89	%	\$122,939	6.00	%
Tier 1 Capital (to average assets)	\$248,575	8.01	%	\$156,089	5.00	%

As illustrated above, the Company's capital ratios on September 30, 2010 remain above the minimum requirements for well capitalized institutions. Total capital as a percent of risk weighted assets increased 100 basis points from 12.1% at December 31, 2009. Tier 1 capital as a percentage of risk weighted assets increased 99 basis points from 10.9% at the end of 2009. Tier 1 capital as a percentage of average assets increased 57 basis points from 7.4% at December 31, 2009. The increase in capital ratios over year-end 2009 reflects earnings growth outpacing asset growth. The risk-based capital ratios also benefited from asset growth in 2010 being in lower risk-weighted assets, such as cash and cash equivalents and available-for-sale securities and in particular GNMA mortgage-backed securities.

During 2009, the Company issued \$20.5 million aggregate liquidation amount of 7.0% cumulative trust preferred securities through a newly-formed subsidiary, Tompkins Capital Trust I, a wholly-owned Delaware statutory trust ("Tompkins Capital Trust I"). In accordance with the applicable accounting standards related to variable interest entities, the accounts of Tompkins Capital Trust I will not be included in the Company's consolidated financial statements. However, the \$20.5 million in Tompkins' Subordinated Debentures issued to Tompkins Capital Trust I is included in the Tier 1 capital of the Company for regulatory capital purposes pursuant to regulatory guidelines. Under the recently enacted "Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act," outstanding trust preferred securities at the effective date of the Act will continue to qualify as Tier 1 capital for bank holding companies with total assets less

than \$15 billion. However, trust preferred securities issued in the future may no longer qualify as Tier 1 capital.

In light of the recent economic downturn, bank regulatory agencies have been requiring many banks to maintain higher minimum capital ratios. This is particularly true in the case of institutions with significant commercial real estate loan portfolios and/or increasing levels of non-performing assets, such as Mahopac National Bank, one of the Company's three banking subsidiaries ("Mahopac"). During the first quarter of 2010, Mahopac's primary regulator, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC"), notified the Company that it was requiring Mahopac to maintain certain minimum capital ratios at levels higher than those otherwise required by applicable regulations. The OCC is requiring Mahopac to maintain a Tier 1 capital to average assets ratio of 8.0%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital to risk-weighted capital ratio of 10.0% and a Total risk based capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of 12.0%. Mahopac exceeded these minimum requirements at the time of the notification and continues to maintain ratios above these minimums. As of September 30, 2010, Mahopac had a Tier 1 capital to average assets ratio of 8.7%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital to risk-weighted capital ratio of 12.1% and a Total risk-based capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of 13.3%.

As of September 30, 2010, the capital ratios for the Company's other two subsidiary banks, Tompkins Trust Company and The Bank of Castile, also exceeded the minimum levels required to be considered well capitalized.

#### Deposits and Other Liabilities

Total deposits of \$2.5 billion at September 30, 2010 increased \$88.7 million or 3.63% from December 31, 2009, due primarily to a \$73.7 million increase in interest checking, savings and money market balances and a \$38.7 million increase in noninterest bearing deposits offset by a \$23.7 million decrease in time deposits. Growth in municipal deposits accounted for a majority of the increase in savings and money market balances from year end 2009. With interest rates on time deposits lower and more in line with money market rates, municipalities are placing tax deposits into interest checking and/or money market accounts. Municipal deposit balances are somewhat seasonal, increasing as tax deposits are collected and decreasing as these monies are used by the municipality. Total deposits were up \$131.1 million or 5.5% over September 30, 2009. The increase was due to a \$109.4 million increase in checking, savings and money market accounts of which \$114.1 million was attributable to growth in municipal deposits and \$47.8 million of growth in non-interest bearing deposits. Conversely, time deposits decreased \$26.2 million from September 30, 2009, mainly attributable to a \$13.1 million decline in municipal time deposits of \$100,000 or more and a \$12.9 million decline in time deposits less than \$100,000.

The Company's primary funding source is core deposits, defined as total deposits less time deposits of \$100,000 or more, brokered time deposits, and municipal money market deposits. Core deposits increased \$190.3 million or 11.0% over December 31, 2009 to \$1.9 billion, and represented 75.8% of total deposits at September 30, 2010 compared to 70.7% of total deposits at December 31, 2009. Core deposits at September 30, 2010 were up \$179.9 million or 10.4% over September 30, 2009, with growth mainly in money market deposits, interest checking deposits and noninterest bearing deposits.

The Company uses both retail and wholesale repurchase agreements. Retail repurchase agreements are arrangements with local customers of the Company, in which the Company agrees to sell securities to the customer with an agreement to repurchase those securities at a specified later date. Retail repurchase agreements totaled \$46.6 million at September 30, 2010, and \$47.3 million at December 31, 2009. Management generally views local repurchase agreements as an alternative to large time deposits. The Company's wholesale repurchase agreements are primarily with the FHLBNY and amounted to \$145.0 million at September 30, 2010, comparable to December 31, 2009. In the quarter ending September 30, 2010, the Company prepaid a \$5.0 million repurchase agreement with the FHLBNY in which the Company elected to adopt the fair value option under FASB ASC Topic 825, Financial Instruments. The Company recognized a net loss of \$18,000 during the third quarter of 2010.

The Company's other borrowings totaled \$182.8 million at September 30, 2010, down \$26.2 million or 12.5% from \$209.0 million at December 31, 2009. Borrowings at September 30, 2010 included \$159.0 million in FHLBNY term

advances, and a \$21.5 million advance from a money center bank. Borrowings at year-end 2009 included \$170.3 million in FHLBNY term advances, \$13.5 million of overnight FHLBNY advances, and a \$25.0 million advance from a money center bank. The decrease in borrowings reflects the pay down of FHLBNY borrowings as a result of deposit growth and soft loan demand. Of the \$159.0 million in FHLBNY term advances at September 30, 2010, \$125.0 million are due over one year. In 2007, the Company elected the fair value option under FASB ASC Topic 825 for a \$10.0 million advance with the FHLBNY. The fair value of this advance increased by \$794,000 (net mark-to-market loss of \$794,000) over the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

## Liquidity

The objective of liquidity management is to ensure the availability of adequate funding sources to satisfy the demand for credit, deposit withdrawals, and business investment opportunities. The Company's large, stable core deposit base and strong capital position are the foundation for the Company's liquidity position. The Company uses a variety of resources to meet its liquidity needs, which include deposits, cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, cash flow from lending and investing activities, repurchase agreements, and borrowings. The Company's Asset/Liability Management Committee monitors asset and liability positions of the Company's subsidiary banks individually and on a combined basis. The Committee reviews periodic reports on liquidity and interest rate sensitivity positions. Comparisons with industry and peer groups are also monitored. The Company's strong reputation in the communities it serves, along with its strong financial condition, provides access to numerous sources of liquidity as described below. Management believes these diverse liquidity sources provide sufficient means to meet all demands on the Company's liquidity that are reasonably likely to occur.

Core deposits, discussed above under "Deposits and Other Liabilities", are a primary and low cost funding source obtained primarily through the Company's branch network. In addition to core deposits, the Company uses non-core funding sources to support asset growth. These non-core funding sources include time deposits of \$100,000 or more, brokered time deposits, municipal money market deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and term advances from the FHLB. Rates and terms are the primary determinants of the mix of these funding sources. Non-core funding sources decreased by \$129.0 million or 11.6% from December 31, 2009 to \$987.3 million at September 30, 2010. Non-core funding sources, as a percentage of total liabilities, were 33.2% at September 30, 2010, compared to 38.4% at December 31, 2009. The decrease in non-core funding sources was mainly due to the decline of brokered time deposits, FHLB advances, and securities sold under agreements to repurchase. With the growth in core deposits and soft loan demand over the past several quarters, the Company has paid down non-core funding sources.

Non-core funding sources may require securities to be pledged against the underlying liability. Securities carried at \$763.3 million and \$772.7 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, were either pledged or sold under agreements to repurchase. Pledged securities represented 75.3% of total securities at September 30, 2010, compared to 83.9% of total securities at December 31, 2009.

Cash and cash equivalents totaled \$108.1 million as of September 30, 2010, up from \$45.5 million at December 31, 2009. Short-term investments, consisting of Federal funds sold, interest-bearing deposit balances and money market funds of \$48.2 million increased by \$46.5 million above December 31, 2009 levels. The Company also has \$24.4 million of securities designated as trading securities.

Cash flow from the loan and investment portfolios provides a significant source of liquidity. These assets may have stated maturities in excess of one year, but have monthly principal reductions. Total mortgage-backed securities, at fair value, were \$542.9 million at September 30, 2010 compared with \$477.7 million at December 31, 2009. Outstanding principal balances of residential mortgage loans, consumer loans, and leases totaled approximately \$713.2 million at September 30, 2010 as compared to \$722.3 million at December 31, 2009. Aggregate amortization from monthly payments on these assets provides significant additional cash flow to the Company.

Liquidity is enhanced by ready access to national and regional wholesale funding sources including Federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements, brokered certificates of deposit, and FHLB advances. Through its subsidiary banks, the Company has borrowing relationships with the FHLB and correspondent banks, which provide secured and unsecured borrowing capacity. At September 30, 2010, the unused borrowing capacity on established lines with the FHLB was \$790.9 million. As members of the FHLB, the Company's subsidiary banks can use certain unencumbered mortgage-related assets to secure additional borrowings from the FHLB. At September 30, 2010, total unencumbered residential mortgage loans of the Company were \$147.7 million. Additional assets may also qualify as collateral for FHLB advances upon approval of the FHLB.

The Company has not identified any trends or circumstances that are reasonably likely to result in material increases or decreases in liquidity in the near term.

Due to the increase in nonperforming assets discussed above under “Financial Condition”, as of September 30, 2010, the Company is in violation of one of the financial covenants contained in the Company’s term loan agreement with JPMorgan Chase Bank, NA (“JPMorgan”). Under the terms of the loan agreement, as a result of the covenant violation, the Lender has a right to declare an event of default. Management is actively engaged in discussions with JPMorgan to remedy the default. Possible remedies to cure the default could include waiver or modification of the covenant; a demand for payment in full of the entire amount of principal and interest outstanding; or renegotiating the terms of the loan and related covenants. Renegotiated terms could include, among other things, changes to the rate of interest paid on the loan, changes to the maturity or amortization schedule for the loan, and/or a requirement for collateral to be pledged to secure the loan. As of September 30, 2010, outstanding debt under the term loan, which is unsecured, totaled \$21.5 million.

Management does not anticipate that any remedy to cure this situation will have a material impact on the financial condition or financial performance of the Company. As of September 30, 2010, the Company's consolidated regulatory capital exceeded the minimum level to be considered well capitalized by approximately \$65.6 million, and cash and unencumbered marketable securities totaled \$397.8 million. Management believes that financial resources are available to the Company to pay off the loan in full if necessary.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Interest rate risk is the primary market risk category associated with the Company's operations. Interest rate risk refers to the volatility of earnings caused by changes in interest rates. The Company manages interest rate risk using income simulation to measure interest rate risk inherent in its on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet financial instruments at a given point in time. The simulation models are used to estimate the potential effect of interest rate shifts on net interest income for future periods. Each quarter, the Company's Asset/Liability Management Committee reviews the simulation results to determine whether the exposure of net interest income to changes in interest rates remains within levels approved by the Company's Board of Directors. The Committee also considers strategies to manage this exposure and incorporates these strategies into the investment and funding decisions of the Company. The Company does not currently use derivatives, such as interest rate swaps, to manage its interest rate risk exposure, but may consider such instruments in the future.

The Company's Board of Directors has set a policy that interest rate risk exposure will remain within a range whereby net interest income will not decline by more than 10% in one year as a result of a 100 basis point parallel change in rates. Based upon the simulation analysis performed as of August 31, 2010 a 200 basis point parallel upward change in interest rates over a one-year time frame would result in a one-year decrease in net interest income from the base case of approximately 0.23%, while a 100 basis point parallel decline in interest rates over a one-year period would result in a decrease in one-year net interest income from the base case of 0.36%. The simulation assumes no balance sheet growth and no management action to address balance sheet mismatches.

The negative exposure in a rising interest rate environment is mainly driven by the repricing assumptions of the Company's core deposit base which exceed increases in asset yields in the short-term. Longer-term, the impact of a rising rate environment is positive as the asset base continues to reset at higher levels, while the repricing of the rate sensitive liabilities moderates. The moderate exposure in the 100 basis point decline scenario results from the Company's assets repricing downward to a greater degree than the rates on the Company's interest-bearing liabilities, mainly deposits. A further declining rate environment prompts accelerated prepayment speeds and triggers call options on bonds. As a result, the asset base shortens and absorbs the falling rate environment more rapidly. Rates on savings and money market accounts are at low levels as a result of the historically low interest rate environment experienced in recent years. In addition, the model assumes that prepayments accelerate in the down interest rate environment resulting in additional pressure on asset yields as proceeds are reinvested at lower rates.

In our most recent simulation, the base case scenario, which assumes interest rates remain unchanged from the date of the simulation, showed a slight decline in net interest margin over the next twelve months. As funding costs begin to stabilize, assets continue to reprice and/or are replaced at lower yields pressuring net interest income downward for the remainder of the simulation.

Although the simulation model is useful in identifying potential exposure to interest rate movements, actual results may differ from those modeled as the repricing, maturity, and prepayment characteristics of financial instruments may change to a different degree than modeled. In addition, the model does not reflect actions that management may employ to manage the Company's interest rate risk exposure. The Company's current liquidity profile, capital position, and growth prospects, offer a level of flexibility for management to take actions that could offset some of the negative effects of unfavorable movements in interest rates. Management believes the current exposure to changes in interest

rates is not significant in relation to the earnings and capital strength of the Company.

In addition to the simulation analysis, management uses an interest rate gap measure. The table below is a Condensed Static Gap Report, which illustrates the anticipated repricing intervals of assets and liabilities as of September 30, 2010. The Company's one-year net interest rate gap was a positive \$30.9 million or 0.95% of total assets at September 30, 2010, compared with a negative \$113.3 million or 3.59% of total assets at December 31, 2009. A positive gap position exists when the amount of interest-bearing assets maturing or repricing exceeds the amount of interest-earning liabilities maturing or repricing within a particular time period. This analysis suggests that the Company's net interest income is slightly more vulnerable to a prolonged declining interest rate environment than an increasing rate environment. An interest rate gap measure could be significantly affected by external factors such as a rise or decline in interest rates, loan or securities prepayments, and deposit withdrawals.



Condensed Static Gap – September 30,  
2010

(in thousands)	Total	Repricing Interval				Cumulative 12 months
		0-3 months	3-6 months	6-12 months		
Interest-earning assets <sup>1</sup>	\$ 2,970,658	\$ 713,639	\$ 216,719	\$ 361,764	\$ 1,292,122	
Interest-bearing liabilities	2,427,284	860,543	207,266	193,378	1,261,187	
Net gap position		(146,904 )	9,453	168,386	30,935	
Net gap position as a percentage of total assets		(4.52 %)	0.29 %	5.19 %	0.95 %	

<sup>1</sup> Balances of available securities are shown at amortized cost

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

##### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of September 30, 2010. Based upon that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this Report on Form 10-Q the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

##### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2010, that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is involved in legal proceedings in the normal course of business, none of which are expected to have a material adverse impact on the financial condition or results of operations of the Company.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in the risk factors previously disclosed under Item 1A. of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

#### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

##### Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Period

Edgar Filing: TOMPKINS FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

	Total Number of Shares Purchased (a)	Average Price Paid Per Share (b)	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (c)	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (d)
July 1, 2010 through July 31, 2010	1,154	\$ 37.70	0	143,500
August 1, 2010 through August 31, 2010	481	38.21	0	143,500
September 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010	0	0	0	143,500
Total	1,635	\$ 37.85	0	143,500

On July 22, 2008, the Company's Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase plan (the "2008 Plan"). The 2008 Plan authorizes the repurchase of up to 150,000 shares of the Company's outstanding common stock over a two-year period. The Company did not purchase any shares under the 2008 Plan during the third quarter of 2010.

Included in the table above are 1,635 shares purchased in the open market during the third quarter of 2010, at an average cost of \$37.85, by the trustee of the rabbi trust established by the Company under the Company's Stock Retainer Plan For Eligible Directors of Tompkins Financial Corporation and Participating Subsidiaries, for delivery as part of the director deferred compensation under that plan. Shares purchased under the rabbi trust are not part of the 2008 Plan.

#### Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None

#### Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

#### Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

#### Item 5. Other Information

None

#### Item 6. Exhibits

31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer as required by Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (filed herewith).

31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer as required by Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (filed herewith).

32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer as required by Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (filed herewith)

32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer as required by Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (filed herewith)

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: November 09, 2010

TOMPKINS FINANCIAL CORPORATION

By: /s/ Stephen S. Romaine  
Stephen S. Romaine  
President and  
Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ Francis M. Fetsko  
Francis M. Fetsko  
Executive Vice President,  
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer  
(Principal Financial Officer)  
(Principal Accounting Officer)

## EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description	Pages
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer as required by Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.	46
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer as required by Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.	47
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer as required by Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350	48
32.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer as required by Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350	49

45

---