CNA FINANCIAL CORP Form 10-O October 28, 2008

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

þ	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
	EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES o **EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ _ to __

Commission File Number 1-5823

CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

36-6169860

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

333 S. Wabash

Chicago, Illinois

60604

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(312) 822-5000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer b

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o

Smaller reporting company o

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class

Outstanding at October 22, 2008

Common Stock, Par value \$2.50

269,019,408

CNA Financial Corporation Index

Item Number		Page Number
	PART I. Financial Information	
1.	Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited):	
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007	3
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007	5
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007	7
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	8
2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	44
3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	75
4.	Controls and Procedures	76
	PART II. Other Information	
1.	Legal Proceedings	77
1A.	Risk Factors	77
6.	Exhibits	78

CNA Financial Corporation
Part I. Financial Information
Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)

Period ended September 30	September 30 Three months		Nine months		
(In millions, except per share data)	2008	2007	2008	2007	
Revenues					
Net earned premiums	\$ 1,799	\$ 1,882	\$ 5,386	\$ 5,617	
Net investment income	439	580	1,449	1,859	
Realized investment losses, net of participating					
policyholders and minority interests	(651)	(57)	(813)	(217)	
Other revenues	72	79	240	211	
Total revenues	1,659	2,484	6,262	7,470	
Claims, Benefits and Expenses					
Insurance claims and policyholders benefits	1,519	1,575	4,380	4,496	
Amortization of deferred acquisition costs	355	384	1,083	1,137	
Other operating expenses	294	244	724	722	
Interest	33	35	100	104	
Total claims, benefits and expenses	2,201	2,238	6,287	6,459	
Income (loss) before income tax and minority interest	(542)	246	(25)	1,011	
Income tax (expense) benefit	218	(56)	92	(279)	
Minority interest	(16)	(16)	(40)	(37)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income	(340)	174	27	695	
tax (expense) benefit of \$9, \$(1), \$9 and \$0	9		10	(8)	
Net income (loss)	\$ (331)	\$ 174	\$ 37	\$ 687	
Basic and Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Share					
Income (loss) from continuing operations Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ (1.26) 0.03	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.10 0.04	\$ 2.56 (0.03)	
				, ,	
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share available to common stockholders	\$ (1.23)	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.14	\$ 2.53	

Weighted average outstanding common stock and common stock equivalents

Basic	269.0	271.6	269.6	271.5
Diluted	269.1	271.9	269.6	271.8

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

3

CNA Financial Corporation Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)

	September 30,		December 31,	
(In millions, except share data)		2008		2007
Assets				
Investments:				
Fixed maturity securities at fair value (amortized cost of \$32,419 and	¢	20.176	¢	24.057
\$34,388) Equity coordinates at fair value (cost of \$1,100 and \$366)	\$	29,176 961	\$	34,257 568
Equity securities at fair value (cost of \$1,109 and \$366) Limited partnership investments		2,110		2,214
Other invested assets		63		73
Short term investments		4,749		4,677
Short term investments		4,749		4,077
Total investments		37,059		41,789
Cash		115		94
Reinsurance receivables (less allowance for uncollectible receivables of \$387				
and \$461)		7,611		8,228
Insurance receivables (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$283 and				
\$312)		1,877		1,972
Accrued investment income		384		330
Receivables for securities sold and collateral		999		142
Deferred acquisition costs		1,157		1,161
Prepaid reinsurance premiums		276		270
Federal income tax recoverable (includes \$275 and \$0 due from Loews				
Corporation)		274		
Deferred income taxes		2,409		1,198
Property and equipment at cost (less accumulated depreciation of \$634 and		404		270
\$596)		404		378
Goodwill and other intangible assets Other assets		142 571		142 579
		430		379 476
Separate account business		430		4/0
Total assets	\$	53,708	\$	56,759
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity				
Liabilities:				
Insurance reserves:	ф	20.022	Ф	20.500
Claim and claim adjustment expenses	\$	28,023	\$	28,588
Unearned premiums		3,550		3,598
Future policy benefits Policyholders funds		7,442		7,106
Collateral on loaned securities and derivatives		453 6		930 63
Payables for securities purchased		951		353
Participating policyholders funds		931 27		333 45
Short term debt		200		350
Long term debt		1,807		1,807
Long will door		1,007		1,007

Federal income taxes payable (includes \$0 and \$5 due to Loews Corporation)		2
Reinsurance balances payable	367	401
Other liabilities	2,312	2,505
Separate account business	430	476
Total liabilities	45,568	46,224
Commitments and contingencies (Notes D, G, H, and K)		
Minority interest	404	385
Stockholders equity: Common stock (\$2.50 par value; 500,000,000 shares authorized; 273,040,243		
shares issued; and 269,019,408 and 271,662,278 shares outstanding)	683	683
Additional paid-in capital	2,172	2,169
Retained earnings	7,200	7,285
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(2,163)	103
Treasury stock (4,020,835 and 1,377,965 shares), at cost	(109)	(39)
	7,783	10,201
Notes receivable for the issuance of common stock	(47)	(51)
Total stockholders equity	7,736	10,150
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 53,708	\$ 56,759

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

CNA Financial Corporation

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

Nine months ended September 30				
(In millions)	:	2008	2	007
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:				
Net income	\$	37	\$	687
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows provided by operating				
activities:				
(Income) loss from discontinued operations		(10)		8
Loss on disposal of property and equipment		1		1
Minority interest		40		37
Deferred income tax (benefit) provision		6		(26)
Trading securities activity		472		(44)
Realized investment losses, net of participating policyholders and minority interests		813		217
Undistributed losses (earnings) of equity method investees		137		(74)
Net amortization of bond (discount) premium		(217)		(195)
Depreciation		56		46
Changes in:		710		600
Receivables, net		712		609
Accrued investment income		(54)		(26)
Deferred acquisition costs		4		l
Prepaid reinsurance premiums		(6)		21
Federal income taxes recoverable/payable		(276)		(35)
Insurance reserves		(238)		(272)
Reinsurance balances payable		(34)		(56)
Other assets		(6)		31
Other liabilities		(174)		(117)
Other, net		5		(84)
Total adjustments		1,231		42
Net cash flows provided by operating activities-continuing operations	\$	1,268	\$	729
Net cash flows used by operating activities-discontinued operations	\$	(7)	\$	(16)
Net cash flows provided by operating activities-total	\$	1,261	\$	713
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:				
Purchases of fixed maturity securities	\$ ((39,989)	\$ (5	53,496)
Proceeds from fixed maturity securities:				
Sales		36,545	5	53,003
Maturities, calls and redemptions		3,374		3,720
Purchases of equity securities		(170)		(157)
Proceeds from sales of equity securities		177		182
Change in short term investments		(165)		(963)

Change in collateral on loaned securities and derivatives	(57)	(2,768)
Change in other investments	(153)	(95)
Purchases of property and equipment	(90)	(118)
Other, net	3	(17)
Net cash flows used by investing activities-continuing operations	\$ (525)	\$ (709)
Net cash flows provided by investing activities-discontinued operations	\$ 17	\$ 42
Net cash flows used by investing activities-total	\$ (508)	\$ (667)

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

Nine months ended September 30				
(In millions)	2	2008	2	007
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:				
Dividends paid to stockholders		(122)		(54)
Principal payments on debt		(150)		
Return of investment contract account balances		(421)		(59)
Receipts on investment contract account balances		3		2
Stock options exercised		1		17
Purchase of treasury stock		(70)		
Other, net		26		11
Net cash flows used by financing activities-continuing operations	\$	(733)	\$	(83)
Net cash flows provided by financing activities-discontinued operations	\$		\$	
Net cash flows used by financing activities-total	\$	(733)	\$	(83)
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash-continuing operations		(6)		
Net change in cash		14		(37)
Net cash transactions from continuing operations to discontinued operations		17		59
Net cash transactions from discontinued operations to discontinued operations Net cash transactions from discontinued operations to continuing operations		(17)		(59)
Cash, beginning of year		101		124
Cash, end of period	\$	115	\$	87
Cash-continuing operations Cash-discontinued operations	\$	115	\$	80 7
Cash-total	\$	115	\$	87

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

6

CNA Financial Corporation

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity (Unaudited)

Nine months ended September 30 (In millions)	2008	2007
Common Stock Balance, beginning and end of period	\$ 683	\$ 683
Additional Paid-in Capital Balance, beginning of period Stock-based compensation	2,169 3	2,166 2
Balance, end of period	2,172	2,168
Retained Earnings Balance, beginning of period Adjustment to initially apply FASB Staff Position Technical Bulletin No. 85-4-1, Accounting for Life Settlement Contracts by Third-Party Investors, net of tax Adjustment to initially apply FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB No. 109	7,285	6,486 38 5
Adjusted balance, beginning of period Dividends paid to stockholders Net income	7,285 (122) 37	6,529 (54) 687
Balance, end of period	7,200	7,162
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Balance, beginning of period Other comprehensive loss	103 (2,266)	549 (357)
Balance, end of period	(2,163)	192
Treasury Stock Balance, beginning of period Purchase of treasury stock Stock options exercised and other	(39) (70)	(58) 19
Balance, end of period	(109)	(39)

Notes Receivable for the Issuance of Common Stock

Balance, beginning of period Decrease in notes receivable for the issuance of common stock	(51) 4	(58) 7
Balance, end of period	(47)	(51)
Total Stockholders Equity	\$ 7,736	\$ 10,115

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

7

CNA Financial Corporation

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note A. Basis of Presentation

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) include the accounts of CNA Financial Corporation (CNAF) and its controlled subsidiaries. Collectively, CNAF and its subsidiaries are referred to as CNA or the Company. CNA s property and casualty and the remaining life & group insurance operations are primarily conducted by Continental Casualty Company (CCC), The Continental Insurance Company (CIC) and Continental Assurance Company (CAC). Loews Corporation (Loews) owned approximately 90% of the outstanding common stock of CNAF as of September 30, 2008.

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Certain financial information that is normally included in annual financial statements, including certain financial statement notes, prepared in accordance with GAAP, is not required for interim reporting purposes and has been condensed or omitted. These statements should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in CNAF s Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for the year ended December 31, 2007, as amended by Form 10-K/A, which amended Part I, Item 1 of Form 10-K (Form 10-K). The preparation of Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

The interim financial data as of September 30, 2008 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 is unaudited. However, in the opinion of management, the interim data includes all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, necessary for a fair statement of the Company s results for the interim periods. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. All significant intercompany amounts have been eliminated.

Note B. Accounting Pronouncement

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Staff Position (FSP) FAS 157-3, <u>Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset in a Market That Is Not Active</u> (FSP FAS 157-3)

On October 10, 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-3, which clarifies the application of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 157, <u>Fair Value Measurement</u> (SFAS 157) in an inactive market. The FSP addresses application issues such as how management s internal assumptions should be considered when measuring fair value when relevant observable data do not exist; how observable market information in a market that is not active should be considered when measuring fair value and how the use of market quotes should be considered when assessing the relevance of observable and unobservable data available to measure fair value.

FSP FAS 157-3 was effective upon issuance. The Company s adoption of FSP FAS 157-3 had no impact on the financial condition or results of operations as of or for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2008.

Note C. Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Earnings (loss) per share available to common stockholders is based on weighted average outstanding shares. Basic earnings (loss) per share excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. Approximately 1.4 million and 1.1 million shares, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, and approximately 300 thousand shares, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, attributable to exercises under stock-based employee compensation plans, were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because they were antidilutive.

The computation of earnings (loss) per share is as follows.

Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Period ended September 30	Three M	Months	Nine Months		
(In millions, except per share amounts)	2008	2007	2008	2007	
Income (loss) from continuing operations available to common stockholders	\$ (340)	\$ 174	\$ 27	\$ 695	
Weighted average outstanding common stock and common					
stock equivalents	269.0	271.6	269.6	271.5	
Effect of dilutive securities, employee stock options and appreciation rights	0.1	0.3		0.3	
Adjusted weighted average outstanding common stock and common stock equivalents assuming conversions	269.1	271.9	269.6	271.8	
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations available to common stockholders	\$ (1.26)	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.10	\$ 2.56	
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.20	
9					

Note D. Investments

The significant components of net investment income are presented in the following table.

Net Investment Income

Period ended September 30	Three N	Nine Months			
(In millions)	2008	2007	2008	2007	
Fixed maturity securities	\$ 501	\$ 501	\$ 1,495	\$ 1,523	
Short term investments	29	57	94	146	
Limited partnerships	(77)	19	(70)	142	
Equity securities	18	7	62	18	
Income (loss) from trading portfolio (a)	(23)	(2)	(104)	41	
Other	3	9	14	31	
Gross investment income	451	591	1,491	1,901	
Investment expense	(12)	(11)	(42)	(42)	
Net investment income	\$ 439	\$ 580	\$ 1,449	\$ 1,859	

(a) The change in net unrealized losses on trading securities included in net investment

income was \$(6)

million and

\$(21) million

for the three and

nine months

ended

September 30,

2008 and \$(12)

million and \$(9)

million for the

three and nine

months ended

September 30,

2007.

The components of realized investment results for available-for-sale securities are presented in the following table.

Realized Investment Gains (Losses)

Period ended September 30	Three Months				Nine Months			
(In millions)	2008 2		2007	2008		2007		
Fixed maturity securities:								
U.S. Government bonds	\$	34	\$ 131	\$	20	\$	37	

Corporate and other taxable bonds	(289)	(88)	(328)	(113)
Tax-exempt bonds	1	10	51	(43)
Asset-backed bonds	(61)	(81)	(218)	(191)
Redeemable preferred stock		(11)		(12)
Total fixed maturity securities	(315)	(39)	(475)	(322)
Equity securities	(376)	16	(405)	30
Derivative securities	35	(45)	47	62
Short term investments	4	5	11	5
Other	1	6	9	8
Dealized investment leaves not of neuticinating				
Realized investment losses, net of participating policyholders and minority interests	\$ (651)	\$ (57)	\$ (813)	\$ (217)

For the three months ended September 30, 2008, other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses of \$584 million were recorded primarily in the non-redeemable preferred equity securities and corporate and other taxable bonds sectors. This compared to OTTI losses for the three months ended September 30, 2007 of \$188 million recorded primarily in the corporate and other taxable bonds and asset-backed bonds sectors. The OTTI losses for 2008 were primarily driven by credit issues.

For the three months ended September 30, 2008, the Company recorded realized investment losses, including OTTI losses, of \$305 million related to securities issued by Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac), \$100 million related to securities issued by Washington Mutual, \$96 million related to securities issued by Icelandic banks and \$35 million related to securities issued by American International Group.

Realized investment losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 included OTTI losses of \$840 million, recorded primarily in the non-redeemable preferred equity securities, corporate and other taxable bonds and asset-backed bonds sectors. This compared to OTTI losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 of \$451 million recorded primarily in the corporate and other taxable bonds and asset-backed bonds sectors. The OTTI losses for 2008 were primarily driven by credit issues.

The Company s investment policies emphasize high credit quality and diversification by industry, issuer and issue. Assets supporting interest rate sensitive liabilities are segmented within the general account to facilitate asset/liability duration management.

The following tables provide a summary of fixed maturity and equity securities investments. In 2008, the Company re-evaluated its classification of preferred stocks between redeemable and non-redeemable and determined that certain securities that were previously classified as redeemable preferred stock have characteristics similar to equities. These securities are presented as preferred stock securities included in Equity securities in the September 30, 2008 Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Summary of Fixed Maturity and Equity Securities

	C	ost or	Gross			Gross U	zed	Estimate		
September 30, 2008	Amortized		Unrealized		Less than 12		12 Months or		Fair	
(In millions) Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale:	1	Cost	Ga	ains	M	onths	Gr	reater	•	Value
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of government agencies Asset-backed securities States, municipalities and political	\$	1,431 9,982	\$	88 27	\$	1 484	\$	746	\$	1,518 8,779
subdivisions tax-exempt securities Corporate bonds		7,781 9,495		21 73		596 797		240 352		6,966 8,419
Other debt securities Redeemable preferred stock		3,618 72		59 3		193 2		103		3,381 73
Total fixed maturity securities available-for-sale		32,379		271		2,073		1,441		29,136
Total fixed maturity securities trading		40								40
Equity securities available-for-sale: Common stock		213		187		9		2		389
Preferred stock		896		10/		157		2 167		572
Total equity securities available-for-sale		1,109		187		166		169		961

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q	Edgar Filing:	CNA FINANCIA	L CORP - Forn	า 10-Q
--	---------------	---------------------	---------------	--------

Total \$ 33,528 \$ 458 \$ 2,239 \$ 1,610 \$ 30,137

Summary of Fixed Maturity and Equity Securities

	Cost or		Cost or Gross Lo						Es	stimated										
December 31, 2007	Ar	Amortized		Amortized		Unrealized		Unrealized		Unrealized		Unrealized		ized Unrealized		Less than 12		12 onths or		Fair
(In millions)		Cost	G	ains	M	onths	Gı	reater		Value										
Fixed maturity securities																				
available-for-sale:																				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations																				
of government agencies	\$	594	\$	93	\$		\$		\$	687										
Asset-backed securities		11,776		39		223		183		11,409										
States, municipalities and political																				
subdivisions tax-exempt securities		7,615		144		82		2		7,675										
Corporate bonds		8,867		246		149		12		8,952										
Other debt securities		4,143		208		48		4		4,299										
Redeemable preferred stock		1,216		2		160				1,058										
Total fixed maturity securities available-for-sale Total fixed maturity securities trading		34,211 177		732		662		201		34,080 177										
Equity securities available-for-sale: Common stock Preferred stock		246 120		207 7		1 11				452 116										
Total equity securities available-for-sale		366		214		12				568										
Total	\$	34,754	\$	946	\$	674	\$	201	\$	34,825										
			12																	

The following table summarizes, for available-for-sale fixed income securities, preferred stocks and common stocks in an unrealized loss position at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the aggregate fair value and gross unrealized loss by length of time those securities have been continuously in an unrealized loss position.

Unrealized Loss Aging

	September 30, 2008 Gross		Decembe	er 31, 2007 Gross
	Estimated Fair	Unrealized	Estimated Fair	Unrealized
(In millions)	Value	Loss	Value	Loss
Fixed income securities:				
Investment grade:				
0-6 months	\$ 10,068	\$ 698	\$ 4,771	\$ 228
7-12 months	6,269	1,048	1,584	193
13-24 months	2,775	937	690	57
Greater than 24 months	1,880	325	3,869	138
Total investment grade	20,992	3,008	10,914	616
Non-investment grade:				
0-6 months	1,037	122	1,527	73
7-12 months	839	203	125	8
13-24 months	798	168	26	4
Greater than 24 months	16	11	9	2
Total non-investment grade	2,690	504	1,687	87
Total fixed income securities	23,682	3,512	12,601	703
Redeemable and non-redeemable preferred stocks:				
0-6 months	21	3	893	143
7-12 months	371	156	104	28
13-24 months Greater than 24 months	172	167		
Total preferred stocks	564	326	997	171
Common stocks:				
0-6 months	12	9	34	1
7-12 months	1		1	
13-24 months	11	2		
Greater than 24 months	3		3	

Total common stocks	27	11	38	1
Total	\$ 24.273	\$ 3,849	\$ 13,636	\$ 875

At September 30, 2008, the fair value of the general account fixed maturities was \$29,176 million, representing 79% of the total investment portfolio. The unrealized position associated with the fixed maturity portfolio included \$3,514 million in gross unrealized losses, consisting of asset-backed securities which represented 35%, corporate bonds which represented 33%, tax-exempt bonds which represented 24%, and all other fixed maturity securities which represented 8%. The gross unrealized loss for any single issuer was no greater than 0.3% of the carrying value of the total general account fixed maturity portfolio. The total fixed maturity portfolio gross unrealized losses included 2,520 securities which were, in aggregate, approximately 13% below amortized cost.

Given the current facts and circumstances, the Company has determined that the securities presented in the above unrealized gain/loss tables were temporarily impaired when evaluated at September 30, 2008 or December 31, 2007, and therefore no related realized losses were recorded. A discussion of some of the factors reviewed in making that determination as of September 30, 2008 is presented below.

Asset-Backed Securities

The unrealized losses on the Company s investments in asset-backed securities were caused by a combination of factors related to the market disruption caused by credit concerns surrounding the sub-prime issue, but also extended into other asset-backed securities in the Company s portfolio.

The majority of the holdings in this category are collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs) typically collateralized with prime residential mortgages and corporate asset-backed structured securities. The holdings in these sectors include 662 securities in a gross unrealized loss position aggregating \$1,226 million. Of these securities in a gross unrealized loss position, 61% are rated AAA, 17% are rated AA, 16% are rated A, 4% are rated BBB and 2% are non-investment grade (rated BB or lower). The aggregate severity of the unrealized loss was approximately 14% of amortized cost. The contractual cash flows on the asset-backed structured securities are passed through, but may be structured into classes of preference. The securities in this category are modeled in order to evaluate the risks of default on the performance of the underlying collateral. Within this analysis multiple factors are analyzed including probable risk of default, loss severity upon a default, payment delinquency, over collateralization and interest coverage triggers, credit support from lower-rated tranches and rating agency actions amongst others. Securities are modeled against base-case and reasonable stress scenarios of probable default activity, given current market conditions, and then analyzed for potential impact to our particular holdings. The structured securities held are generally secured by over collateralization or default protection provided by subordinated tranches. Within this category, securities subject to Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 99-20, Recognition of Interest Income and Impairment on Purchased and Retained Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets (EITF 99-20), are monitored for significant adverse changes in cash flow projections. If there are adverse changes in cash flows, the amount of accretable yield is prospectively adjusted and an OTTI loss is recognized. As of September 30, 2008, there was no adverse change in estimated cash flows noted for the securities in an unrealized loss position held subject to EITF 99-20, which have a gross unrealized loss of \$299 million. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, there were OTTI losses of \$30 million and \$209 million recorded on asset-backed securities, \$14 million and \$147 million of which related to specific EITF 99-20 securities for which the most recent evaluation did show an adverse change in cash flows.

The remainder of the holdings in this category includes mortgage-backed securities guaranteed by an agency of the U.S. Government. There were 183 agency mortgage-backed pass-through securities and 2 agency CMOs in an unrealized loss position aggregating \$4 million as of September 30, 2008. The cumulative unrealized losses on these securities was approximately 4% of amortized cost. These securities do not tend to be influenced by the credit of the issuer but rather the characteristics and projected cash flows of the underlying collateral.

The Company believes the decline in fair value was primarily attributable to the market disruption caused by sub-prime related issues and other temporary market conditions and is not indicative of the quality of the underlying collateral. Because the Company has the ability and intent to hold these investments until an anticipated recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, the Company considers these investments to be temporarily impaired at September 30, 2008.

States, Municipalities and Political Subdivisions Tax-Exempt Securities

The unrealized losses on the Company s investments in tax-exempt municipal securities were caused primarily by changes in credit spreads, and to a lesser extent, changes in interest rates. Market conditions in the tax-exempt sector of the market were driven by significant selling pressure in the market particularly late in the third quarter. This selling pressure was caused by a combination of factors that resulted in forced liquidations of municipal positions that increased supply while demand was decreasing. These conditions increased the yields of the sector far above historical norms sending prices down and increasing the Company s unrealized losses. The Company invests in tax-exempt municipal securities as an asset class for economic benefits of the returns on the class compared to like after-tax returns on alternative classes. The holdings in this category include 821 securities in a gross unrealized loss position aggregating \$836 million with all of these unrealized losses related to investment grade securities (rated BBB- or higher including the impact of mono-line insurance) where the cash flows are supported by the credit of the issuer. The aggregate severity of the unrealized losses was approximately 12% of amortized cost. Because the Company has the ability and intent to hold these investments until an anticipated recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, the

investments to be temporarily impaired at September 30, 2008. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, there were OTTI losses of \$1 million recorded on tax-exempt municipal securities. *Corporate Bonds*

The holdings in this category include 681 securities in a gross unrealized loss position aggregating \$1,149 million. Of the unrealized losses in this category, 62% relate to securities rated as investment grade. The total holdings in this category are diversified across 11 industry sectors. The aggregate severity of the unrealized losses were approximately 14% of amortized cost. Within corporate bonds, the industry sectors with the largest gross unrealized losses were financial, consumer cyclical, communications, consumer non-cyclical and utilities, which as a percentage of total gross unrealized losses were approximately 32%, 19%, 17%, 8% and 8% at September 30, 2008. The decline in fair value was primarily attributable to deterioration and volatility in the broader credit markets that resulted in widening of credit spreads over risk free rates well beyond historical norms and macro conditions in certain sectors that the market viewed as out of favor. The Company monitors the financial performance of the corporate bond issuers for potential factors that may cause a change in outlook and addresses securities that are deemed to be OTTI promptly. Because these declines were not related to any issuer specific credit events, and because the Company has the ability and intent to hold these investments until an anticipated recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, the Company considers these investments to be temporarily impaired at September 30, 2008. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, there were OTTI losses of \$105 million and \$136 million recorded on corporate bonds. *Preferred Stock*

The unrealized losses on the Company s investments in preferred stock were caused by similar factors as those that affected the Company's corporate bond portfolio. Approximately 96% of the gross unrealized losses in this category come from securities issued by financial institutions, 3% from utilities and less than 1% from communications. The holdings in this category include 39 securities in a gross unrealized loss position aggregating \$326 million. Of these securities in a gross unrealized loss position, 56% are rated A, 40% are rated BBB and 4% are rated lower than BBB. The Company believes the holdings in this category have been adversely impacted by significant credit spread widening brought on by a combination of factors in the capital markets. The majority of the securities in this category are related to the banking and mortgage industries and are experiencing what the Company believes to be temporarily depressed valuations. The Company has recorded other-than-temporary impairment losses on securities of those issuers that have been placed in conservatorship, have been acquired or have shown signs of other-than-temporary credit deterioration. The Company has been monitoring the capital raising efforts of the issuers in this sector, their ability to continue paying dividends and all other relevant news and believes, given current facts and circumstances, the remaining issuers in this sector with unrealized losses are sufficiently capitalized and will recover in value. Because the Company has the ability and intent to hold these investments until an anticipated recovery of fair value, the Company considers these investments to be temporarily impaired at September 30, 2008. This evaluation was made on the basis that these securities possess characteristics similar to debt securities. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, there were OTTI losses of \$255 million and \$263 million recorded on preferred stock, primarily on Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae.

Investment Commitments

As of September 30, 2008, the Company had committed approximately \$331 million to future capital calls from various third-party limited partnership investments in exchange for an ownership interest in the related partnerships. The Company invests in multiple bank loan participations as part of its overall investment strategy and has committed to additional future purchases and sales. The purchase and sale of these investments are recorded on the date that the legal agreements are finalized and cash settlement is made. As of September 30, 2008, the Company had commitments to purchase \$20 million and had no commitments to sell various bank loan participations. When loan participation purchases are settled and recorded they may contain both funded and unfunded amounts. An unfunded loan represents an obligation by the Company to provide additional amounts

under the terms of the loan participation. The funded portions are reflected on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, while any unfunded amounts are not recorded until a draw is made under the loan facility. As of September 30, 2008, the Company had obligations on unfunded bank loan participations in the amount of \$20 million.

Note E. Derivative Financial Instruments

A summary of the recognized gains (losses) related to derivative financial instruments held at September 30, 2008 and 2007 follows.

Recognized Gains (Losses)

Period ended September 30	Three Months		Nine Months					
(In millions)	2008		2007		2008		2007	
General account								
Without hedge designation								
Interest rate swaps	\$	4	\$	(68)	\$	6	\$	30
Credit default swaps purchased protection		53		47		64		65
Credit default swaps sold protection		(4)		(22)		(13)		(30)
Futures purchased				7				7
Futures sold, not yet purchased		(23)		(8)		(12)		(8)
Currency forwards				(1)				(2)
Commitments to purchase government and municipal								
securities (TBAs)		3		(1)		3		
Options embedded in convertible debt securities		1		1		1		1
Equity warrants						(2)		
Trading activities								
Futures purchased		(18)		5		(96)		32
Futures sold, not yet purchased				(1)		1		
Currency forwards				1		1		
Total	\$	16	\$	(40)	\$	(47)	\$	95

A summary of the aggregate contractual or notional amounts and gross estimated fair values related to derivative financial instruments follows. The contractual or notional amounts for derivatives are used to calculate the exchange of contractual payments under the agreements and are not representative of the potential for gain or loss on these instruments.

Derivative Financial Instruments

September 30, 2008		Contractual/ Notional			Estimated Fair Valu			
(In millions)	Amount		Asset		(Liability)			
General account								
Without hedge designation								
Credit default swaps purchased protection	\$	355	\$	55	\$	(2)		
Credit default swaps sold protection		198				(44)		
Currency forwards		12						
Commitments to purchase government and municipal securities								
(TBAs)		95		3				
Equity warrants		4						

Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q
Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Trading activities Futures purchased		203		
Total general account	16	\$ 867	\$ 58	\$ (46)

Derivative Financial Instruments

December 31, 2007		tractual/ otional	Estimated Fair Value					
(In millions)	Amount				(Liability)			
General account					`	• ,		
Without hedge designation								
Interest rate swaps	\$	451	\$		\$	(27)		
Credit default swaps purchased protection		928		61		(4)		
Credit default swaps sold protection		226		1		(31)		
Equity warrants		4		2				
Options embedded in convertible debt securities		3						
Trading activities								
Futures purchased		791				(4)		
Futures sold, not yet purchased		135				. ,		
Currency forwards		44		2		(1)		
Total general account	\$	2,582	\$	66	\$	(67)		

The Company does not offset its derivative positions against the fair value of the collateral provided or collateral received. The fair value of cash collateral provided by the Company was \$39 million and \$64 million at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007. The fair value of cash collateral received from counterparties was \$6 million and \$10 million at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007.

Counterparty credit exposure associated with non-performance by the counterparties to derivative instruments is generally limited to the uncollateralized estimated fair value of assets in the above table. The Company mitigates the risk of non-performance by monitoring the creditworthiness of counterparties and diversifying derivatives across multiple counterparties. For over-the-counter derivative transactions, International Swaps and Derivatives Association (ISDA) Master Agreements are in place that allow for the exchange of collateral based on the amount of exposure and credit ratings of the counterparty.

Options embedded in convertible debt securities are classified as Fixed maturity securities on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, consistent with the host instruments.

Credit Default Swaps

The Company utilizes credit default swaps (CDS), which involve the transfer of credit risk from one party to another in exchange for period payments, to manage credit risk within its overall approach to portfolio management. The Company may purchase CDS protection to mitigate default risk and credit deterioration for fixed income and preferred stock holdings in the investment portfolio. The Company may also sell CDS protection for the purpose of replicating fixed income securities in the cash market where supply is limited in certain issuers or it is more beneficial to transact in the derivative markets. In all cases, the underlying reference obligations for the CDS transactions are single name entities or established indices.

Note F. Fair Value

Fair value is the price that would be received upon sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The following fair value hierarchy is used in selecting inputs, with the highest priority given to Level 1, as these are the most transparent or reliable.

Level 1 Ouoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.

Level 2 Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs are observable in active markets.

Level 3 Valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs are not observable. The Company attempts to establish fair value as an exit price in an orderly transaction consistent with normal settlement market conventions. The Company is responsible for the valuation process and seeks to obtain quoted market prices for all securities. When quoted market prices in active markets are not available, the Company uses a number of methodologies to establish fair value estimates including: discounted cash flow models, prices from recently executed transactions of similar securities, or broker/dealer quotes, utilizing market observable information to the extent possible. In conjunction with modeling activities, the Company may use external data as inputs. The modeled inputs are consistent with observable market information, when available, or with the Company s assumptions as to what market participants would use to value the securities. The Company also uses pricing services as a significant source of data. The Company monitors all the pricing inputs to determine if the markets from which the data is gathered are active. As further validation of the Company s valuation process, the Company samples its past fair value estimates and compares the valuations to actual transactions executed in the market on similar dates. Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below.

September 30, 2008				Assets	Total /(Liabilities)	
(In millions)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	at fair value		
Assets						
Fixed maturity securities	\$ 1,581	\$ 24,613	\$ 2,982	\$	29,176	
Equity securities	632	115	214		961	
Derivative financial instruments, included in Other						
invested assets		3	55		58	
Short term investments	3,496	1,253			4,749	
Life settlement contracts, included in Other assets			121		121	
Discontinued operations investments, included in						
Other assets	77	69	20		166	
Separate account business	44	338	43		425	
Total assets	\$ 5,830	\$ 26,391	\$ 3,435	\$	35,656	
Liabilities Derivative financial instruments, included in Other	¢	¢	\$ (46)	¢	(46)	
liabilities	\$	\$	\$ (46)	\$	(46)	
Total liabilities	\$	\$	\$ (46)	\$	(46)	
	18					

The table below presents a reconciliation for all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), and presents changes in unrealized gains or losses recorded in net income for the three months ended September 30, 2008 for Level 3 assets and liabilities.

Level 3	Balance at July 1, 2008		reinve	epreciation) other issuances transfers a included in (out) in net comprehensive and of September 1.				alance at otember	held at er September 30,					
(In millions) Fixed maturity securities	\$	3,213	\$	(27)	\$	(103)	\$	(152)	\$	51	\$	2,982	\$	(23)
Equity securities	Ψ	261	Ψ	(27)	Ψ	(1)	Ψ	(23)	Ψ	(23)	Ψ	214	Ψ	(23)
Derivative financial instruments, net Short term investments Life settlement		(67)		54				22				9		76
contracts Discontinued operations		118		4				(1)				121		3
investments		23				(2)		(1)				20		
Separate account business		45				(7)		(1)		6		43		
Total	\$	3,593	\$	31	\$	(113)	\$	(156)	\$	34	\$	3,389	\$	56

The table below presents a reconciliation for all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), and presents changes in unrealized gains or losses recorded in net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 for Level 3 assets and liabilities.

	Net	
	realized	Unrealized
Net	investment	gains
realized	gains	(losses)

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

		alance at nuary	g (ld an cha unr appr	estment gains osses) ad net ange in ealized reciation reciation) uded in	ap (de in	change in nrealized preciation preciation) acluded in other	S	chases, ales, nances	tra	Net insfers (out)	Ва	alance at	ind on l as a liab	orded in net come evel 3 ssets and dilities												
Level 3	1,		•		•		•				•		•				comprehensive		and		of		September		September	
(In millions)	2	2008	income*		income		settlements		level 3		30, 2008		30, 2008*													
Fixed maturity																										
	\$	2,684	\$	(150)	\$	(373)	\$	(68)	\$	889	\$	2,982	\$	(158)												
Equity securities		196		(2)		(4)		25		(1)		214		(4)												
Derivative financial																										
instruments, net		2		55				(48)				9		7												
Short term																										
investments		85								(85)																
Life settlement																										
contracts		115		34				(28)				121		8												
Discontinued																										
operations																										
investments		42				(2)		(3)		(17)		20														
Separate account		20				(1.1)		(2)		26		40														
business		30				(11)		(2)		26		43														
Total	\$	3,154	\$	(63)	\$	(390)	\$	(124)	\$	812	\$	3,389	\$	(147)												
						19																				

* Net realized and unrealized gains and losses shown above are reported in net income as follows:

Major Category of Assets and Liabilities

Fixed maturity securities

Consolidated Statement of Operations Line Items Net investment income and Realized investment gains

(losses)

Equity securities Realized investment gains (losses)

Derivative financial instruments (Assets) Realized investment gains (losses)

Life settlement contracts

Other revenues

Derivative financial instruments (Liabilities)

Realized investment gains (losses)

Securities transferred into Level 3 for the three months ended September 30, 2008 relate primarily to securities for which broker quotes based on unobservable market information have become a significant input to the valuation process. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, securities transferred into Level 3 relate primarily to tax-exempt auction rate certificates, included within Fixed maturity securities. These were previously valued using observable prices for similar securities, but due to decreased market activity, fair value is determined by cash flow models using market observable and unobservable inputs.

The following section describes the valuation methodologies used to measure different financial instruments at fair value, including an indication of the level in the fair value hierarchy in which the instrument is generally classified.

Fixed Maturity Securities

Level 1 securities include highly liquid government bonds for which quoted market prices are available. The remaining fixed maturity securities are valued using pricing for similar securities, recently executed transactions, cash flow models with yield curves, broker/dealer quotes and other pricing models utilizing observable inputs. The valuation for most fixed income securities, excluding government bonds, is classified as Level 2. Securities within Level 2 include certain corporate bonds, municipal bonds, asset-backed securities, mortgage-backed pass-through securities and redeemable preferred stock. Securities are generally assigned to Level 3 in cases where broker/dealer quotes are significant inputs to the valuation and there is a lack of transparency as to whether these quotes are based on information that is observable in the marketplace. Level 3 securities include certain corporate bonds, asset-backed securities, municipal bonds and redeemable preferred stock.

Equity Securities

Level 1 securities include publicly traded securities valued using quoted market prices. Level 2 securities are primarily non-redeemable preferred securities and common stocks valued using pricing for similar securities, recently executed transactions, broker/dealer quotes and other pricing models utilizing observable inputs.

Level 3 securities include one equity security, which represents 83% of the total, in an entity which is not publicly traded and is valued based on a discounted cash flow analysis model, which is adjusted for the Company s assumption regarding an inherent lack of liquidity in the security. The remaining non-redeemable preferred stocks and equity securities are primarily valued using inputs including broker/dealer quotes for which there is a lack of transparency as to whether these quotes are based on information that is observable in the marketplace.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Exchange traded derivatives are valued using quoted market prices and are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Level 2 derivatives primarily include currency forwards valued using observable market spot rates. Over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives, principally credit default swaps, currency forwards and options, represent the present value of amounts estimated to be received from or paid to a marketplace participant in settlement of these instruments. OTC derivatives are valued using inputs including broker/dealer quotes and are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy due to a lack of transparency as to whether these quotes are based on information that is observable in the marketplace.

20

Short Term Investments

The valuation of securities that are actively traded or have quoted prices are classified as Level 1. These securities include money market funds and treasury bills. Level 2 includes commercial paper, for which all inputs are observable.

Life Settlement Contracts

The fair values of life settlement contracts are estimated using discounted cash flows based on the Company s own assumptions for mortality, premium expense, and the rate of return that a buyer would require on the contracts, as no comparable market pricing data is available.

Discontinued Operations Investments

Assets relating to CNA s discontinued operations include fixed maturity securities and short term investments. The valuation methodologies for these asset types have been described above.

Separate Account Business

Separate account business includes fixed maturity securities, equities and short term investments. The valuation methodologies for these asset types have been described above.

Note G. Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves

CNA s property and casualty insurance claim and claim adjustment expense reserves represent the estimated amounts necessary to resolve all outstanding claims, including claims that are incurred but not reported (IBNR) as of the reporting date. The Company s reserve projections are based primarily on detailed analysis of the facts in each case, CNA s experience with similar cases and various historical development patterns. Consideration is given to such historical patterns as field reserving trends and claims settlement practices, loss payments, pending levels of unpaid claims and product mix, as well as court decisions, economic conditions and public attitudes. All of these factors can affect the estimation of claim and claim adjustment expense reserves.

Establishing claim and claim adjustment expense reserves, including claim and claim adjustment expense reserves for catastrophic events that have occurred, is an estimation process. Many factors can ultimately affect the final settlement of a claim and, therefore, the necessary reserve. Changes in the law, results of litigation, medical costs, the cost of repair materials and labor rates can all affect ultimate claim costs. In addition, time can be a critical part of reserving determinations since the longer the span between the incidence of a loss and the payment or settlement of the claim, the more variable the ultimate settlement amount can be. Accordingly, short-tail claims, such as property damage claims, tend to be more reasonably estimable than long-tail claims, such as general liability and professional liability claims. Adjustments to prior year reserve estimates, if necessary, are reflected in the results of operations in the period that the need for such adjustments is determined.

Catastrophes are an inherent risk of the property and casualty insurance business and have contributed to material period-to-period fluctuations in the Company s results of operations and/or equity. Catastrophe losses related to events occurring for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, net of reinsurance, were \$248 million and \$348 million. Catastrophe losses in 2008 related primarily to Hurricanes Gustav and Ike. Catastrophe losses related to events occurring for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, net of reinsurance, were \$10 million and \$54 million. There can be no assurance that CNA s ultimate cost for catastrophes will not exceed current estimates.

The following provides discussion of the Company s Asbestos and Environmental Pollution (A&E) reserves. *A&E Reserves*

CNA s property and casualty insurance subsidiaries have actual and potential exposures related to A&E claims. The following table provides data related to CNA s A&E claim and claim adjustment expense reserves.

A&E Reserves

	Septen	September 30, 2008				
(In millions)		Environmental				
	Asbestos	Pollu	tion	Asbestos	Pollution	
Gross reserves	\$ 2,155	\$	309	\$ 2,352	\$	367
Ceded reserves	(940)		(115)	(1,030)		(125)
Net reserves	\$ 1,215	\$	194	\$ 1,322	\$	242

Asbestos

The Company recorded \$18 million and \$6 million of unfavorable asbestos-related net claim and claim adjustment expense reserve development for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007. The Company paid asbestos-related claims, net of reinsurance recoveries, of \$125 million and \$121 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007.

The ultimate cost of reported claims, and in particular A&E claims, is subject to a great many uncertainties, including future developments of various kinds that CNA does not control and that are difficult or impossible to foresee accurately. With respect to the litigation identified below in particular, numerous factual and legal issues remain unresolved. Rulings on those issues by the courts are critical to the evaluation of the ultimate cost to the Company. The outcome of the litigation cannot be predicted with any reliability. Accordingly, the extent of losses beyond any amounts that may be accrued are not readily determinable at this time.

Some asbestos-related defendants have asserted that their insurance policies are not subject to aggregate limits on coverage. CNA has such claims from a number of insureds. Some of these claims involve insureds facing exhaustion of products liability aggregate limits in their policies, who have asserted that their asbestos-related claims fall within so-called non-products liability coverage contained within their policies rather than products liability coverage, and that the claimed non-products coverage is not subject to any aggregate limit. It is difficult to predict the ultimate size of any of the claims for coverage purportedly not subject to aggregate limits or predict to what extent, if any, the attempts to assert non-products claims outside the products liability aggregate will succeed. CNA s policies also contain other limits applicable to these claims and the Company has additional coverage defenses to certain claims. CNA has attempted to manage its asbestos exposure by aggressively seeking to settle claims on acceptable terms. There can be no assurance that any of these settlement efforts will be successful, or that any such claims can be settled on terms acceptable to CNA. Where the Company cannot settle a claim on acceptable terms, CNA aggressively litigates the claim. However, adverse developments with respect to such matters could have a material adverse effect on the Company s results of operations and/or equity.

Certain asbestos claim litigation in which CNA is currently engaged is described below:

On February 13, 2003, CNA announced it had resolved asbestos-related coverage litigation and claims involving A.P. Green Industries, A.P. Green Services and Bigelow-Liptak Corporation. Under the agreement, CNA is required to pay \$70 million, net of reinsurance recoveries, over a ten year period commencing after the final approval of a bankruptcy plan of reorganization. The settlement received initial bankruptcy court approval on August 18, 2003. The debtor s plan of reorganization includes an injunction to protect CNA from any future claims. The bankruptcy court issued an opinion on September 24, 2007 recommending confirmation of that plan. Several insurers have appealed that ruling; that appeal is pending at this time.

CNA is engaged in insurance coverage litigation in New York State Court, filed in 2003, with a defendant class of underlying plaintiffs who have asbestos bodily injury claims against the former Robert A. Keasbey Company (Keasbey) (Continental Casualty Co. v. Employers Ins. of Wausau et al., No. 601037/03 (N.Y. County)). Keasbey, a currently dissolved corporation, was a seller and installer of asbestos-containing insulation products in New York and New Jersey. Thousands of plaintiffs have filed bodily injury claims against Keasbey. However, under New York court rules, asbestos claims are not cognizable unless they meet certain minimum medical impairment standards. Since 2002, when these court rules were adopted, only a small portion of such claims have met medical impairment criteria under New York court rules and as to the remaining claims, Keasbey s involvement at a number of work sites is a highly contested issue.

CNA issued Keasbey primary policies for 1970-1987 and excess policies for 1971-1978. CNA has paid an amount substantially equal to the policies aggregate limits for products and completed operations claims in the confirmed CNA policies. Claimants against Keasbey allege, among other things, that CNA owes coverage under sections of the policies not subject to the aggregate limits, an allegation CNA vigorously contests in the lawsuit. In the litigation, CNA and the claimants seek declaratory relief as to the interpretation of various policy provisions. On May 8, 2007, the Court in the first phase of the trial held that all of CNA s primary policy products aggregates were exhausted and that past products liability claims could not be recharacterized as operations claims. The Court also found that while operations claims would not be subject to products aggregates, such claims could be made only against the policies in effect when the claimants were exposed to asbestos from Keasbey operations. These holdings limit CNA s exposure to those instances where Keasbey used asbestos in operations between 1970 and 1987. Keasbey largely ceased using asbestos in its operations in the early 1970 s. CNA noticed an appeal to the Appellate Division to challenge certain aspects of the Court s ruling. Other insurer parties to the litigation also filed separate notices of appeal to the Court s ruling. The appeal was fully briefed and was argued on December 6, 2007. Numerous legal issues remain to be resolved on appeal with respect to coverage that are critical to the final result, which cannot be predicted with any reliability. Accordingly, the extent of losses beyond any amounts that may be accrued are not readily determinable at this time.

CNA has insurance coverage disputes related to asbestos bodily injury claims against a bankrupt insured, Burns & Roe Enterprises, Inc. (Burns & Roe). These disputes are currently part of coverage litigation (stayed in view of the bankruptcy) and an adversary proceeding in In re: Burns & Roe Enterprises, Inc., pending in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of New Jersey, No. 00-41610. Burns & Roe provided engineering and related services in connection with construction projects. At the time of its bankruptcy filing, on December 4, 2000, Burns & Roe asserted that it faced approximately 11,000 claims alleging bodily injury resulting from exposure to asbestos as a result of construction projects in which Burns & Roe was involved. CNA allegedly provided primary liability coverage to Burns & Roe from 1956-1969 and 1971-1974, along with certain project-specific policies from 1964-1970. In September of 2007, CNA entered into an agreement with Burns & Roe, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors appointed by the Bankruptcy Court and the Future Claims Representative (the Addendum), which provides that claims allegedly covered by CNA policies will be adjudicated in the tort system, with any coverage disputes related to those claims to be decided in coverage litigation. With the approval of the Bankruptcy Court, Burns & Roe included the Addendum as part of its Fourth Amended Plan (the Plan), which was filed on June 9, 2008 and which will be the subject of a later confirmation hearing. With respect to both confirmation of the Plan and coverage issues, numerous factual and legal issues remain to be resolved that are critical to the final result, the outcome of which cannot be predicted with any reliability. These factors include, among others: (a) whether the Company has any further responsibility to compensate claimants against Burns & Roe under its policies and, if so, under which; (b) whether the Company s responsibilities under its policies extend to a particular claimant s entire claim or only to a limited percentage of the claim; (c) whether the Company s responsibilities under its policies are limited by the occurrence limits or other provisions of the policies; (d) whether certain exclusions, including professional liability exclusions, in some of the Company s policies apply to exclude certain claims; (e) the extent to which claimants can establish exposure to asbestos materials as to which Burns & Roe has any responsibility; (f) the legal theories which must be pursued by such claimants to establish the liability of Burns & Roe and whether such theories can, in fact, be established; (g) the diseases and damages alleged by such claimants; (h) the extent that any liability of

Burns & Roe would be shared with other potentially responsible parties; (i) whether the Plan, which includes the Addendum, will be approved by the Bankruptcy Court in its current form; and (j) the impact of bankruptcy

23

proceedings on claims and coverage issue resolution. Accordingly, the extent of losses beyond any amounts that may be accrued are not readily determinable at this time.

Suits have also been initiated directly against the CNA companies and numerous other insurers in two jurisdictions: Texas and Montana. Approximately 80 lawsuits were filed in Texas beginning in 2002, against two CNA companies and numerous other insurers and non-insurer corporate defendants asserting liability for failing to warn of the dangers of asbestos (e.g. Boson v. Union Carbide Corp., (Nueces County, Texas)). During 2003, several of the Texas suits were dismissed and while certain of the Texas courts rulings were appealed, plaintiffs later dismissed their appeals. A different Texas court, however, denied similar motions seeking dismissal. After that court denied a related challenge to jurisdiction, the insurers transferred the case, among others, to a state multi-district litigation court in Harris County charged with handling asbestos cases. In February 2006, the insurers petitioned the appellate court in Houston for an order of mandamus, requiring the multi-district litigation court to dismiss the case on jurisdictional and substantive grounds. On February 29, 2008, the appellate court denied the insurers mandamus petition on procedural grounds, but did not reach a decision on the merits of the petition. Instead, the appellate court allowed to stand the multi-district litigation court s determination that the case remained on its inactive docket and that no further action can be taken unless qualifying reports are filed or the filing of such reports is waived. With respect to the cases that are still pending in Texas, in June 2008, plaintiffs in the only active case dropped the remaining CNA company from that suit, leaving only inactive cases against CNA companies. In those inactive cases, numerous factual and legal issues remain to be resolved that are critical to the final result, the outcome of which cannot be predicted with any reliability. These factors include: (a) the speculative nature and unclear scope of any alleged duties owed to individuals exposed to asbestos and the resulting uncertainty as to the potential pool of potential claimants; (b) the fact that imposing such duties on all insurer and non-insurer corporate defendants would be unprecedented and, therefore, the legal boundaries of recovery are difficult to estimate; (c) the fact that many of the claims brought to date are barred by the Statute of Limitations and it is unclear whether future claims would also be barred; (d) the unclear nature of the required nexus between the acts of the defendants and the right of any particular claimant to recovery; and (e) the existence of hundreds of co-defendants in some of the suits and the applicability of the legal theories pled by the claimants to thousands of potential defendants. Accordingly, the extent of losses beyond any amounts that may be accrued is not readily determinable at this time.

On March 22, 2002, a direct action was filed in Montana (Pennock, et al. v. Maryland Casualty, et al. First Judicial District Court of Lewis & Clark County, Montana) by eight individual plaintiffs (all employees of W.R. Grace & Co. (W.R. Grace)) and their spouses against CNA, Maryland Casualty and the State of Montana. This action alleges that the carriers failed to warn of or otherwise protect W.R. Grace employees from the dangers of asbestos at a W.R. Grace vermiculite mining facility in Libby, Montana. The Montana direct action is currently stayed because of W.R. Grace s pending bankruptcy. On April 7, 2008, W.R. Grace announced a settlement in principle with the asbestos personal injury claimants committee subject to confirmation of a plan of reorganization by the bankruptcy court. While the confirmation hearing has not been scheduled, W.R. Grace expects the hearing to occur in 2009. The settlement in principle with the asbestos claimants has no present impact on the stay currently imposed on the Montana direct action and with respect to such claims, numerous factual and legal issues remain to be resolved that are critical to the final result, the outcome of which cannot be predicted with any reliability. These factors include: (a) the unclear nature and scope of any alleged duties owed to people exposed to asbestos and the resulting uncertainty as to the potential pool of potential claimants; (b) the potential application of Statutes of Limitation to many of the claims which may be made depending on the nature and scope of the alleged duties; (c) the unclear nature of the required nexus between the acts of the defendants and the right of any particular claimant to recovery; (d) the diseases and damages claimed by such claimants; (e) the extent that such liability would be shared with other potentially responsible parties; and (f) the impact of bankruptcy proceedings on claims resolution. Accordingly, the extent of losses beyond any amounts that may be accrued are not readily determinable at this time.

CNA is vigorously defending these and other cases and believes that it has meritorious defenses to the claims asserted. However, there are numerous factual and legal issues to be resolved in connection with these claims, and it is extremely difficult to predict the outcome or ultimate financial exposure represented by these matters. Adverse developments with respect to any of these matters could have a material adverse effect on CNA s business, insurer

financial strength and debt ratings, results of operations and/or equity.

24

Environmental Pollution

The Company recorded \$3 million and \$1 million of unfavorable environmental pollution net claim and claim adjustment expense reserve development for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007. The Company paid environmental pollution-related claims, net of reinsurance recoveries, of \$51 million and \$31 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007.

Net Prior Year Development

The net prior year development presented below includes premium development due to its direct relationship to claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development. The net prior year development presented below excludes the impact of increases or decreases in the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance, but includes the impact of commutations.

Three Month Comparison Net Prior Year Development Three months ended September 30, 2008

F					-	porate &		
(In millions) Pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development: Core (Non-A&E)		ndard ines	Specialty Lines			r Non- ore	Т	otal
Core (Non-A&E) A&E	\$	(4)	\$	(68)	\$	1 13	\$	(71) 13
Pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year development before impact of premium development		(4)		(68)		14		(58)
Pretax unfavorable (favorable) premium development		3		(2)		(3)		(2)
Total pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year development	\$	(1)	\$	(70)	\$	11	\$	(60)
Net Prior Year Development Three months ended September 30, 2007					~			
					-	porate &		
(In millions) Pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development:		idard ines	_	ecialty ines		r Non- ore	Т	otal
Core (Non-A&E) A&E	\$	(67)	\$	3	\$	4 3	\$	(60)

Pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year development before impact of premium development		(67)	3	7	(57)
Pretax unfavorable (favorable) premium development		(5)	(3)	(2)	(10)
Total pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year development	\$ 25	(72)	\$ \$	5	\$ (67)

2008 Net Prior Year Development

Standard Lines

The favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was primarily due to favorable experience in general liability and property coverages offset by unfavorable experience in workers compensation (including excess workers compensation coverages) and large account business.

For general liability excluding construction defect, \$228 million in favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was due to decreased frequency and severity of claims across multiple accident years. The improvement was due to underwriting initiatives and favorable outcomes on individual claims. Favorable development of \$207 million associated with construction defect exposures was due to lower severity resulting from various claim handling initiatives and lower than expected frequency of claims, primarily in accident years 1999 and prior. Claims handling initiatives have resulted in an increase in the number of claims closed without payment and increased recoveries from other parties involved in the claims. The lower construction defect frequency is due to underwriting initiatives designed to limit the exposure to future construction defect claims. For property exposures, \$31 million of favorable development was primarily the result of decreased frequency and severity in recent years. The remaining favorable development was the result of favorable experience across several miscellaneous coverages in Standard Lines.

Unfavorable development of \$248 million for workers—compensation was primarily the result of the impact of claim cost inflation on lifetime medical and home health care claims in accident years 1999 and prior. The changes were driven by increased life expectancy due to advances in medical care and increasing medical inflation. Unfavorable development of \$161 million for large account business was also driven primarily by workers—compensation claim cost inflation primarily in accident years 2001 and prior. Unfavorable development of \$90 million on excess workers compensation was due to claims in accident years 2002 and prior. Increasing medical inflation, increased life expectancy resulting from advances in medical care, and reviews of individual claims have resulted in higher cost estimates of existing claims and a higher estimate of the number of claims expected to reach excess layers. The remaining unfavorable development was driven primarily by commercial auto liability coverages in recent accident years due to an increase in frequency.

Specialty Lines

The favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was primarily due to favorable experience in medical professional liability and surety business, partially offset by unfavorable experience in professional liability coverages.

Favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development of approximately \$52 million for medical professional liability was primarily due to better than expected frequency of large losses in accident years 2005 and 2006 for healthcare facilities and medical technology firms. Favorable development of approximately \$22 million for surety coverages was due to better than expected frequency in accident years 2002 through 2006. The remaining favorable development was due primarily to favorable outcomes on individual claims in accident years 2004 through 2006 for miscellaneous professional and general liability coverages.

Unfavorable development of approximately \$18 million for professional liability coverages was primarily due to an increase in the frequency of large claims in older accident years.

Corporate & Other Non-Core

The unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was primarily related to the commutation of a ceded reinsurance arrangement. The unfavorable development was offset by a release of a previously established allowance for uncollectible reinsurance.

2007 Net Prior Year Development Standard Lines

Approximately \$42 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was due to decreased severity on open claims within the general liability exposures in accident years 2003 and prior, as well as lower frequency in accident years 2004 through 2006.

Approximately \$25 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense development was recorded related to property exposures, primarily due to decreased frequency and severity on claims in accident years 2005 and 2006. The severity change was driven by decreased incurred losses as a result of changes in individual claims reserve estimates.

Nine Month Comparison Net Prior Year Development Nine months ended September 30, 2008

					_	orate &		
(In millions) Pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development: Core (Non-A&E)		ndard ines	_	cialty ines	Othe C	Т	otal	
Core (Non-A&E) A&E	\$	(54)	\$	(50)	\$	9 21	\$	(95) 21
Pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year development before impact of premium development		(54)		(50)		30		(74)
Pretax unfavorable (favorable) premium development		4		(20)		(3)		(19)
Total pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year development	\$	(50)	\$	(70)	\$	27	\$	(93)
Net Prior Year Development Nine months ended September 30, 2007						oorate &		
(In millions) Pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development:		ndard ines	_	cialty ines		r Non- ore	Т	otal
Core (Non-A&E) A&E	\$	(74)	\$	(4)	\$	12 7	\$	(66) 7
		(74)		(4)		19		(59)

F	27	(27)	7	(-1)	7		Ψ	(- -)
Total pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year development	\$	(89)	\$	(17)	\$	14	\$	(92)
Pretax unfavorable (favorable) premium development		(15)		(13)		(5)		(33)
Pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year development before impact of premium development								

2008 Net Prior Year Development Standard Lines

The favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was primarily due to favorable experience in general liability and property coverages including marine exposures, partially offset by unfavorable experience in workers—compensation (including excess workers—compensation coverages) and large account business. For general liability excluding construction defect, \$254 million in favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was due to decreased frequency and severity of claims across multiple accident years. The improvement was due to underwriting initiatives and favorable outcomes on individual claims. Favorable development of \$207 million associated with construction defect exposures was due to lower severity resulting from various claim handling initiatives and lower than expected frequency of claims, primarily in accident years 1999 and prior. Claims handling initiatives have resulted in an increase in the number of claims closed without payment and increased recoveries from other parties involved in the claims. The lower construction defect frequency is due to underwriting initiatives designed to limit the exposure to future construction defect claims. For property coverages including marine exposures, approximately \$95 million of favorable development was primarily the result of decreased frequency and severity in recent years. The \$95 million of favorable property and marine development includes approximately \$29 million due to favorable outcomes on claims relating to catastrophes, primarily in accident year 2005.

Unfavorable development of \$248 million for workers compensation was primarily the result of the impact of claim cost inflation on lifetime medical and home health care claims in accident years 1999 and prior. The changes were driven by increased life expectancy due to advances in medical care and increasing medical inflation. Unfavorable development of \$161 million for large account business was also driven primarily by workers compensation claim cost inflation primarily in accident years 2001 and prior. Unfavorable development of \$114 million on excess workers compensation was due to claims in accident years 2002 and prior. Increasing medical inflation, increased life expectancy resulting from advances in medical care, and reviews of individual claims have resulted in higher cost estimates of existing claims and a higher estimate of the number of claims expected to reach excess layers.

Specialty Lines

The favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was primarily due to favorable experience in medical professional liability, professional liability coverages in recent years, and surety business, partially offset by unfavorable experience in professional liability coverages in older years.

Favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development of approximately \$52 million for medical professional liability was primarily due to better than expected frequency of large losses in accident years 2005 and 2006 for healthcare facilities and medical technology firms. Approximately \$22 million of favorable development was recorded for professional liability coverages due primarily to favorable outcomes on individual claims in accident years 2004 through 2006. Favorable development of approximately \$14 million for surety coverages was due to better than expected frequency in accident years 2002 through 2006.

Unfavorable development of approximately \$33 million for professional liability coverages was primarily due to an increase in the frequency of large claims in older accident years.

The favorable premium development is primarily the result of a change in ultimate premiums within a foreign affiliate s property and financial lines.

Corporate & Other Non-Core

The unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was primarily related to commutations of certain ceded reinsurance arrangements. The unfavorable development was offset by a release of a previously established allowance for uncollectible reinsurance.

2007 Net Prior Year Development

Standard Lines

Approximately \$42 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was due to decreased severity on open claims within the general liability exposures in accident years 2003 and prior, as well as lower frequency in accident years 2004 through 2006. In addition, approximately \$14 million of unfavorable premium development was taken primarily as a result of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development on retrospectively rated large account policies relating to the automobile and general liability lines of business in accident years 2001 and subsequent. This favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was due to lower than anticipated frequency and severity.

Approximately \$58 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was due to decreased frequency and severity on claims related to property exposures, primarily in accident years 2005 and 2006. The change was driven by decreased incurred losses as a result of changes in individual claims reserve estimates.

Approximately \$42 million of favorable premium development was recorded mainly as a result of additional premium resulting from audits on recent policies related to workers—compensation and general liability books of business. This was partially offset by \$27 million of unfavorable claim and claim adjustment expense reserve development related to this premium.

Approximately \$16 million of unfavorable premium development was recorded due to a change in the estimate of the Company s exposure related to its participation in involuntary pools. This unfavorable premium development was partially offset by \$9 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development. Additional unfavorable prior year reserve development was recorded in the workers compensation line of business as a result of continued claim cost inflation in older accident years, driven by increasing medical inflation and advances in medical care. This unfavorable development was offset by favorable development in commercial auto, monoline general liability and umbrella product lines. This favorable development was due to improved severity in recent accident years.

Specialty Lines

Approximately \$9 million of favorable claim and claim adjustment expense reserve development was recorded in the excess and surplus line of business. This favorable development was primarily related to improved frequency and severity on excess general liability claims across several accident years.

Approximately \$9 million of favorable premium development was recorded mainly as a result of additional premium resulting from audits on recent policies related primarily to general liability coverages. Unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was recorded related to those premiums.

Corporate & Other Non-Core

Approximately \$9 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was related to commutation activity, a portion of which was offset by a release of a previously established allowance for uncollectible reinsurance.

Note H. Legal Proceedings and Contingent Liabilities

Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation

On August 1, 2005, CNAF and several of its insurance subsidiaries were joined as defendants, along with other insurers and brokers, in multidistrict litigation pending in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, In re Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation, Civil No. 04-5184 (FSH). The plaintiffs allege bid rigging and improprieties in the payment of contingent commissions in connection with the sale of insurance that violated federal and state antitrust laws, the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations (RICO) Act and state common law. After discovery, the District Court dismissed the federal antitrust claims and the RICO claims, and declined to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims. The plaintiffs have appealed the dismissal of their complaint to the Third Circuit Court of Appeals. The parties have filed their briefs on the appeal. Oral argument, if granted, will be held on April 20, 2009. The Company believes it has meritorious defenses to this action and intends to defend the case vigorously.

The extent of losses beyond any amounts that may be accrued are not readily determinable at this time. However, based on facts and circumstances presently known, in the opinion of management, an unfavorable outcome will not materially affect the equity of the Company, although results of operations may be adversely affected.

Global Crossing Limited Litigation

CCC has been named as a defendant in an action brought by the bankruptcy estate of Global Crossing Limited (Global Crossing) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, Global Crossing Estate

Representative, for itself and as the Liquidating Trustee of the Global Crossing Liquidating Trust v. Gary Winnick, et al., Case No. 04 Civ. 2558 (GEL). In the complaint, plaintiff seeks damages from CCC and the other defendants for alleged fraudulent transfers and alleged breaches of fiduciary duties arising from actions taken by Global Crossing while CCC was a shareholder of Global Crossing. The Court dismissed some of the claims against CCC as a matter of law. Pretrial proceedings are ongoing and no trial date has been set. CCC believes it has meritorious defenses to the claims in this action and continues to defend the case vigorously. However, adverse developments could have a material adverse effect on CNA s business, results of operations and/or equity.

California Long Term Care Litigation

Shaffer v. Continental Casualty Company, et al., U.S. District Court, Central District of California, CV06-2235 RGK, is a class action on behalf of certain California individual long term health care policyholders, alleging that CCC and CNAF knowingly or negligently used unrealistic actuarial assumptions in pricing these policies. On January 8, 2008, CCC, CNAF and the plaintiffs entered into a binding agreement settling the case on a nationwide basis for the policy forms potentially affected by the allegations of the complaint. Following a fairness hearing, the Court entered an order approving the settlement. This order was appealed to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. The appellants brief is due to be filed on December 22, 2008. The Company believes it has meritorious defenses to this appeal and intends to defend the appeal vigorously. The agreement did not have a material impact on the Company s results of operations, however it still remains subject to the favorable resolution of the appeal.

Asbestos and Environmental Pollution (A&E) Reserves

The Company is also a party to litigation and claims related to A&E cases arising in the ordinary course of business. See Note G for further discussion.

Other Litigation

The Company is also a party to other litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. Based on the facts and circumstances currently known, such other litigation will not, in the opinion of management, materially affect the equity or results of operations of the Company.

30

Note I. Reinsurance

CNA cedes insurance to reinsurers to limit its maximum loss, provide greater diversification of risk, minimize exposures on larger risks and to exit certain lines of business. The ceding of insurance does not discharge the primary liability of the Company. Therefore, a credit exposure exists with respect to property and casualty and life reinsurance ceded to the extent that any reinsurer is unable to meet its obligations or to the extent that the reinsurer disputes the liabilities assumed under reinsurance agreements. Property and casualty reinsurance coverages are tailored to the specific risk characteristics of each product line and CNA s retained amount varies by type of coverage. Reinsurance contracts are purchased to protect specific lines of business such as property and workers compensation. Corporate catastrophe reinsurance is also purchased for property and workers compensation exposure. Most reinsurance contracts are purchased on an excess of loss basis. CNA also utilizes facultative reinsurance in certain lines. In addition, CNA assumes reinsurance as a member of various reinsurance pools and associations.

The following table summarizes the amounts receivable from reinsurers at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007.

Components of reinsurance receivables

(In millions)	Septe	December 31, 2007		
Reinsurance receivables related to insurance reserves:		6.460	.	-0.56
Ceded claim and claim adjustment expense	\$	6,468	\$	7,056
Ceded future policy benefits		946		987
Ceded policyholders funds		41		43
Reinsurance receivables related to paid losses		543		603
Reinsurance receivables		7,998		8,689
Allowance for uncollectible reinsurance		(387)		(461)
Reinsurance receivables, net of allowance for uncollectible				
reinsurance	\$	7,611	\$	8,228

The Company has established an allowance for uncollectible reinsurance receivables. During the third quarter of 2008, the Company revised its estimate of the required allowance for uncollectible reinsurance receivables resulting in a release of \$42 million. There were no significant changes in the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. Changes in the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance receivables are presented as a component of Insurance claims and policyholders benefits in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Note J. Benefit Plans

Pension and Postretirement Healthcare and Life Insurance Benefit Plans

CNAF and certain subsidiaries sponsor noncontributory pension plans typically covering full-time employees age 21 or over who have completed at least one year of service. In 2000, the CNA Retirement Plan was closed to new participants; instead, retirement benefits are provided to these employees under the Company s savings plans. While the terms of the pension plans vary, benefits are generally based on years of credited service and the employee s highest 60 consecutive months of compensation. CNA uses December 31 as the measurement date for all of its plans. CNA s funding policy for defined benefit pension plans is to make contributions in accordance with applicable governmental regulatory requirements with consideration of the funded status of the plans. The assets of the plans are invested primarily in U.S. government securities, limited partnerships, equity securities, and short term investments. CNA provides certain healthcare and life insurance benefits to eligible retired employees, their covered dependents and their beneficiaries. The funding for these plans is generally to pay covered expenses as they are incurred. The components of net periodic benefit costs are presented in the following table.

Net Periodic Benefit Costs

Period ended September 30	Three Months						Nine Months				
(In millions)	20	800	2	007	2	2008		2007			
Pension benefits											
Service cost	\$	5	\$	5	\$	15	\$	17			
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation		37		36		110		109			
Expected return on plan assets		(45)		(43)		(134)		(130)			
Prior service cost amortization								1			
Actuarial loss		1		2		3		8			
Net periodic pension (benefit) cost	\$	(2)	\$		\$	(6)	\$	5			
Postretirement benefits											
Service cost	\$		\$		\$	1	\$	1			
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation		2		2		6		7			
Prior service cost amortization		(3)		(4)		(11)		(13)			
Actuarial loss		, ,		1		1		2			
Net periodic postretirement benefit	\$	(1)	\$	(1)	\$	(3)	\$	(3)			

For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, \$65 million of contributions have been made to the pension plans and \$9 million to the postretirement healthcare and life insurance benefit plans. CNA plans to contribute an additional \$1 million to the pension plans and \$2 million to the postretirement healthcare and life insurance benefit plans during the remainder of 2008.

Note K. Operating Leases, Other Commitments and Contingencies, and Guarantees *Operating Leases*

The Company is obligated to make future payments totaling approximately \$229 million for non-cancelable operating leases primarily for office space. Estimated future minimum payments under these contracts are as follows: \$13 million in 2008; \$45 million in 2009; \$41 million in 2010; \$36 million in 2011; \$30 million in 2012; and \$64 million in 2013 and beyond.

The Company holds an investment in a real estate joint venture. In the normal course of business, CNA, on a joint and several basis with other unrelated insurance company shareholders, has committed to continue funding the operating deficits of this joint venture. Additionally, CNA and the other unrelated shareholders, on a joint and several basis, have guaranteed an operating lease for an office building, which expires in 2016. The guarantee of the operating lease is a parallel guarantee to the commitment to fund operating deficits; consequently, the separate guarantee to the lessor is not expected to be triggered as long as the joint venture continues to be funded by its shareholders and continues to make its annual lease payments.

In the event that the other parties to the joint venture are unable to meet their commitments in funding the operations of this joint venture, the Company would be required to assume the obligation for the entire office building operating lease. The maximum potential future lease payments at September 30, 2008 that the Company could be required to pay under this guarantee are approximately \$172 million. If CNA were required to assume the entire lease obligation, the Company would have the right to pursue reimbursement from the other shareholders and would have the right to all sublease revenues.

Other Commitments and Contingencies

In the normal course of business, CNA has provided letters of credit in favor of various unaffiliated insurance companies, regulatory authorities and other entities. At September 30, 2008, there were approximately \$6 million of outstanding letters of credit.

The Company has entered into a limited number of guaranteed payment contracts, primarily relating to software and telecommunication services, amounting to approximately \$11 million as of September 30, 2008. Estimated future minimum payments under these contracts are \$9 million in 2008, \$1 million in 2009 and \$1 million in 2010.

Guarantees

In the course of selling business entities and assets to third parties, the Company has agreed to indemnify purchasers for losses arising out of breaches of representation and warranties with respect to the business entities or assets being sold, including, in certain cases, losses arising from undisclosed liabilities or certain named litigation. Such indemnification provisions generally survive for periods ranging from nine months following the applicable closing date to the expiration of the relevant statutes of limitation. As of September 30, 2008, the aggregate amount of quantifiable indemnification agreements in effect for sales of business entities, assets and third party loans was \$873 million.

In addition, the Company has agreed to provide indemnification to third party purchasers for certain losses associated with sold business entities or assets that are not limited by a contractual monetary amount. As of September 30, 2008, the Company had outstanding unlimited indemnifications in connection with the sales of certain of its business entities or assets that included tax liabilities arising prior to a purchaser s ownership of an entity or asset, defects in title at the time of sale, employee claims arising prior to closing and in some cases losses arising from certain litigation and undisclosed liabilities. These indemnification agreements survive until the applicable statutes of limitation expire, or until the agreed upon contract terms expire. As of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the Company has recorded approximately \$23 million and \$27 million of liabilities related to these indemnification agreements.

In connection with the issuance of preferred securities by CNA Surety Capital Trust I, CNA Surety issued a guarantee of \$80 million to guarantee the payment by CNA Surety Capital Trust I of annual dividends of \$1.9 million over 26 years and redemption of \$30 million of preferred securities.

Note L. Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The components of comprehensive income (loss) are shown below.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Period ended September 30	Three N	Months	Nine Months				
(In millions)	2008	2007	2008	2007			
Net income (loss)	\$ (331)	\$ 174	\$ 37	\$ 687			
Other comprehensive income (loss): Change in unrealized gains (losses) on general account investments:							
Holding gains (losses) arising during the period, net of tax (expense) benefit of \$677, \$10, \$1,240 and \$156 Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses included in	(1,253)	(19)	(2,294)	(291)			
net income, net of tax expense (benefit) of (\$22), \$25, (\$26) and \$58	41	(45)	48	(107)			
Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on general account investments, net of tax (expense) benefit of \$655, \$35, \$1,214 and \$214 Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on discontinued operations and other, net of tax (expense) benefit of \$1, \$0,	(1,212)	(64)	(2,246)	(398)			
\$3 and \$0	(3)	1	(3)				
Net change in foreign currency translation adjustment Net change related to pensions and postretirement benefits,	(44)	24	(53)	29			
net of tax (expense) benefit of (\$2), \$0, \$1 and (\$1) Allocation to participating policyholders and minority	(2)	(2)	(5)	1			
interests	19	(2)	41	11			
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax (expense) benefit of \$654, \$35, \$1,218 and \$213	(1,242)	(43)	(2,266)	(357)			
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (1,573)	\$ 131	\$ (2,229)	\$ 330			
34							

Note M. Business Segments

CNA s core property and casualty commercial insurance operations are reported in two business segments: Standard Lines and Specialty Lines. CNA s non-core operations are managed in two segments: Life & Group Non-Core and Corporate & Other Non-Core.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note A of the Consolidated Financial Statements within CNA s Form 10-K. The Company manages most of its assets on a legal entity basis, while segment operations are conducted across legal entities. As such, only insurance and reinsurance receivables, insurance reserves and deferred acquisition costs are readily identifiable by individual segment. Distinct investment portfolios are not maintained for each segment; accordingly, allocation of assets to each segment is not performed. Therefore, net investment income and realized investment gains or losses are allocated primarily based on each segment s net carried insurance reserves, as adjusted. Income taxes have been allocated on the basis of the taxable income of the segments. In the following tables, certain financial measures are presented to provide information used by management to monitor the Company s operating performance. Management utilizes these financial measures to monitor the Company s insurance operations and investment portfolio. Net operating income, which is derived from certain income statement amounts, is used by management to monitor performance of the Company s insurance operations. The Company s investment portfolio is monitored through analysis of various quantitative and qualitative factors and certain decisions related to the sale or impairment of investments that produce realized gains and losses. Net realized investment gains and losses are comprised of after-tax realized investment gains and losses net of participating policyholders and minority interests.

Net operating income is calculated by excluding from net income the after-tax effects of 1) net realized investment gains or losses, 2) income or loss from discontinued operations and 3) any cumulative effects of changes in accounting principles. In the calculation of net operating income, management excludes after-tax net realized investment gains or losses because net realized investment gains or losses related to the Company s investment portfolio are largely discretionary, except for losses related to other-than-temporary impairments, are generally driven by economic factors that are not necessarily consistent with key drivers of underwriting performance, and are therefore not an indication of trends in insurance operations.

The Company s investment portfolio is monitored by management through analyses of various factors including unrealized gains and losses on securities, portfolio duration and exposure to interest rate, market and credit risk. Based on such analyses, the Company may impair an investment security in accordance with its policy, or sell a security. Such activities will produce realized gains and losses.

The significant components of the Company s continuing operations and selected balance sheet items are presented in the following tables.

Three months ended					•• 0	Cor	porate			
September 30, 2008 (In millions) Revenues:	andard Lines	_	ecialty Lines	(Life & Group on-Core		Other -Core	Elimi	nations	Total
Net earned premiums Net investment income Other revenues	\$ 762 136 13	\$	882 121 59	\$	154 135 (1)	\$	2 47 1	\$	(1)	\$ 1,799 439 72
Total operating revenues	911		1,062		288		50		(1)	2,310
Claims, benefits and expenses: Net incurred claims and										
benefits Policyholders dividends Amortization of deferred	734 (10)		516 2		294 2		(19)			1,525 (6)
acquisition costs Other insurance related	174		177		4					355
expenses Other expenses	87 20		79 52		51 7		6 26		(1)	222 105
Total claims, benefits and expenses	1,005		826		358		13		(1)	2,201
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax										
and minority interest Income tax (expense) benefit	(94)		236		(70)		37			109
on operating income (loss) Minority interest	41		(74) (17)		34		(11)			(10) (16)
Net operating income (loss) from continuing operations	(53)		145		(36)		27			83
Realized investment losses, net of participating policyholders and minority										
interests Income tax benefit on realized investment losses	(178)63		(116)		(298) 104		(59) 20			(651) 228
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (168)	\$	70	\$	(230)	\$	(12)	\$		\$ (340)

Three months ended				Life &	Cor	porate &				
September 30, 2007 (In millions) Revenues:	tandard ines	pecialty ines	No	Group n-Core		Other -Core	Elim	inations	To	otal
Net earned premiums Net investment income Other revenues	\$ 841 209 9	\$ 885 152 50	\$	156 145 16	\$	1 74 4	\$	(1)	\$ 1,	,882 580 79
Total operating revenues	1,059	1,087		317		79		(1)	2.	,541
Claims, benefits and expenses: Net incurred claims and										
benefits Policyholders dividends Amortization of deferred	511 4	556 1		463		40			1,	,570 5
acquisition costs Other insurance related	190	190		4						384
expenses Other expenses	82 12	45 44		48 17		1 31		(1)		175 104
Total claims, benefits and expenses	799	836		532		72		(1)	2.	,238
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax										
and minority interest Income tax (expense) benefit	260	251		(215)		7				303
on operating income (loss) Minority interest	(82)	(82) (16)		84		5				(75) (16)
Net operating income (loss) from continuing operations	178	153		(131)		12				212
Realized investment losses, net of participating policyholders and minority										
interests Income tax benefit on	(29)	(13)		(9)		(6)				(57)
realized investment losses	10	4		3		2				19
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 159	\$ 144	\$	(137)	\$	8	\$		\$	174

Nine months ended				Life &	Co	rporate				
September 30, 2008 (In millions) Revenues:	andard Lines	_	ecialty Lines	Group on-Core		Other on-Core	Elimi	inations	7	Γotal
Net earned premiums Net investment income Other revenues	\$ 2,313 499 42	\$	2,614 408 166	\$ 460 376 20	\$	2 166 12	\$	(3)	\$	5,386 1,449 240
Total operating revenues	2,854		3,188	856		180		(3)		7,075
Claims, benefits and expenses: Net incurred claims and										
benefits Policyholders dividends Amortization of deferred	1,877 (3)		1,641 10	822 5		28				4,368 12
acquisition costs Other insurance related	528		545	10						1,083
expenses Other expenses	193 44		182 144	152 17		8 87		(3)		532 292
Total claims, benefits and expenses	2,639		2,522	1,006		123		(3)		6,287
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax and minority interest Income tax	215		666	(150)		57				788
(expense) benefit on operating income (loss) Minority interest	(49)		(212) (40)	81		(14)				(194) (40)
Net operating income (loss) from continuing operations	166		414	(69)		43				554
Realized investment losses, net of participating policyholders and minority										
interests Income tax benefit on	(254)		(154)	(321)		(84)				(813)
realized investment losses	89		55	112		30				286
	\$ 1	\$	315	\$ (278)	\$	(11)	\$		\$	27

Income (loss) from continuing operations

September 30, 2008 (In millions) Reinsurance receivables	\$ 2,282	\$ 1,541	\$	2,011	\$ 2,164	\$	\$ 7,998
Insurance receivables	\$ 1,341	\$ 785	\$	13	\$ 21	\$	\$ 2,160
Insurance reserves: Claim and claim adjustment expenses Unearned premiums Future policy benefits Policyholders funds	\$ 12,157 1,470 13	\$ 8,364 1,919 7	\$	2,930 159 7,442 433	\$ 4,572	\$ (1)	\$ 28,023 3,550 7,442 453
Deferred acquisition costs	\$ 309	\$ 374	\$ 38	474	\$	\$	\$ 1,157

Nine months ended				,	Life &	Cor	porate				
September 30, 2007 (In millions) Revenues:	andard Lines	_	ecialty Lines	(Group on-Core		Other a-Core	Elimi	nations	Т	otal
Net earned premiums Net investment income Other revenues	\$ 2,546 664 32	\$	2,600 463 139	\$	469 494 34	\$	5 238 6	\$	(3)	\$	5,617 1,859 211
Total operating revenues	3,242		3,202		997		249		(3)		7,687
Claims, benefits and expenses: Net incurred claims and											
benefits Policyholders dividends Amortization of deferred	1,685 3		1,630 5		1,062		111				4,488 8
acquisition costs Other insurance related	575		549		13						1,137
expenses Other expenses	243 36		134 125		143 34		16 98		(3)		533 293
Total claims, benefits and expenses	2,542		2,443		1,252		225		(3)		6,459
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax and minority interest Income tax	700		759		(255)		24				1,228
(expense) benefit on operating income (loss) Minority interest	(222)		(249) (36)		113		4 (1)				(354) (37)
Net operating income (loss) from continuing operations	478		474		(142)		27				837
Realized investment losses, net of participating policyholders and minority											
interests Income tax benefit on	(116)		(62)		(26)		(13)				(217)
realized investment losses	40		21		9		5				75
	\$ 402	\$	433	\$	(159)	\$	19	\$		\$	695

Income (loss) from continuing operations

December 31, 2007 (In millions) Reinsurance receivables	\$ 2,269	\$ 1,819	\$	2,201	\$ 2,400	\$ \$ 8,689
Insurance receivables	\$ 1,664	\$ 605	\$	26	\$ (11)	\$ \$ 2,284
Insurance reserves: Claim and claim adjustment						
expenses	\$ 12,048	\$ 8,403	\$	3,027	\$ 5,110	\$ \$ 28,588
Unearned premiums	1,483	1,948		162	5	3,598
Future policy benefits				7,106		7,106
Policyholders funds	26	1		903		930
Deferred acquisition costs	\$ 311	\$ 365	\$ 39	485	\$	\$ \$ 1,161

The following table provides revenue by line of business for each reportable segment. Revenues are comprised of operating revenues and realized investment gains and losses, net of participating policyholders and minority interests. **Revenue by Line of Business**

Period ended September 30 (In millions) Standard Lines	Three M 2008	Months 2007	Nine Months 2008 2007		
Business Insurance	\$ 140	\$ 162	\$ 450	\$ 472	
Commercial Insurance	593	868	2,150	2,654	
Commercial insurance	393	808	2,130	2,034	
Standard Lines revenue	733	1,030	2,600	3,126	
Specialty Lines					
U.S. Specialty Lines	547	670	1,834	1,990	
Surety	121	125	356	352	
Warranty	70	75	218	219	
CNA Global	208	204	626	579	
Specialty Lines revenue	946	1,074	3,034	3,140	
Life & Group Non-Core					
Life & Annuity	(18)	47	15	226	
Health	11	241	491	693	
Other	(3)	20	29	52	
Life & Group Non-Core revenue	(10)	308	535	971	
Corporate & Other Non-Core					
CNA Re		24	32	96	
Other	(9)	49	64	140	
Corporate & Other Non-Core revenue	(9)	73	96	236	
Eliminations	(1)	(1)	(3)	(3)	
Total revenue	\$ 1,659	\$ 2,484	\$ 6,262	\$ 7,470	

Note N. Statutory Accounting Practices

CNAF s ability to pay dividends and other credit obligations is significantly dependent on receipt of dividends from its subsidiaries. The payment of dividends to CNAF by its insurance subsidiaries without prior approval of the insurance

department of each subsidiary s domiciliary jurisdiction is limited by formula. Dividends in excess of these amounts are subject to prior approval by the respective state insurance departments.

Dividends from CCC are subject to the insurance holding company laws of the State of Illinois, the domiciliary state of CCC. Under these laws, ordinary dividends, or dividends that do not require prior approval of the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation Division of Insurance (the Department), may be paid only from earned surplus, which is calculated by removing unrealized gains from unassigned surplus. As of September 30, 2008, CCC is in a negative earned surplus position. Any future dividend payments made during 2008 would be subject to the Department s prior approval.

CNAF s domestic insurance subsidiaries are subject to risk-based capital requirements. Risk-based capital is a method developed by the NAIC to determine the minimum amount of statutory capital appropriate for an insurance company to support its overall business operations in consideration of its size and risk profile. The formula for determining the amount of risk-based capital specifies various factors, weighted based on the perceived degree of risk, which are applied to certain financial balances and financial activity. The adequacy of a company s actual capital is evaluated by a comparison to the risk-based capital results, as determined by the formula. Companies below minimum risk-based capital requirements are classified within certain levels, each of which requires specified corrective action. As of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, all of CNAF s domestic insurance subsidiaries exceeded the minimum risk-based capital requirements.

40

Combined statutory capital and surplus and net income, determined in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by insurance regulatory authorities for the property and casualty and the life insurance subsidiaries, were as follows.

Preliminary Statutory Information

	-	Capital and rplus	Statutory Net Income (Loss) Three months ended September 30		Statutory Net Income (Loss) Nine months ende September 30	
	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2007	2008	2007	2008	2007
(In millions)						
Property and casualty companies (a)	\$7,967	\$ 8,511	\$ (259)	\$ 164	\$	\$ 570
Life company	514	471	(26)	(3)	5	26

(a) Surplus includes

the property and

casualty

companies

equity

ownership of

the life

company s

capital and

surplus.

In conformity with accounting practices prescribed by insurance regulatory authorities, preliminary statutory capital and surplus as of September 30, 2008, presented above, reflects the impact of a \$1 billion surplus note, which will be issued subsequent to September 30, 2008 but prior to the filing of CCC s third quarter statutory statements. See Note P for further discussion.

Note O. Discontinued Operations

CNA has discontinued operations, which consist of run-off insurance and reinsurance operations acquired in its merger with The Continental Corporation in 1995. As of September 30, 2008, the remaining run-off business is administered by Continental Reinsurance Corporation International, Ltd., a wholly-owned Bermuda subsidiary. The business consists of facultative property and casualty, treaty excess casualty and treaty pro-rata reinsurance with underlying exposure to a diverse, multi-line domestic and international book of business encompassing property, casualty and marine liabilities.

Results of the discontinued operations were as follows.

Discontinued Operations

Period ended September 30	ı	6	Nine Months					
(In millions)	2008		2007		2008		2007	
Revenues:								
Net investment income	\$	2	\$	2 2	\$	6	\$	11
Realized investment gains and other		1		2		3		4
Total revenues		3		4		9		15
Insurance related expenses		3		3		8		23
Income (loss) before income taxes				1		1		(8)
Income tax (expense) benefit		9		(1)		9		
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$	9	\$		\$	10	\$	(8)

In the third quarter of 2008, the Company recognized a change in estimate of the tax benefit related to the 2007 sale of the Company s United Kingdom discontinued operations subsidiary.

Net assets of discontinued operations, included in Other assets on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, were as follows.

Discontinued Operations

(In millions)	September 30, 2008			December 31, 2007	
Assets: Investments Reinsurance receivables Cash Other assets	\$	166 6	\$	185 1 7 4	
Total assets		173		197	
Liabilities: Insurance reserves Other liabilities		168 4		172 2	
Total liabilities		172		174	
Net assets of discontinued operations	\$	1	\$	23	

CNA s accounting and reporting for discontinued operations is in accordance with APB Opinion No. 30, Reporting the Results of Operations—Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions. At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the insurance reserves are net of discount of \$76 million and \$73 million. The net income (loss) from discontinued operations reported above primarily represents the net investment income, realized investment gains and losses, foreign currency gains and losses, effects of the accretion of the loss reserve discount and re-estimation of the ultimate claim and claim adjustment expense reserve of the discontinued operations.

42

Note P. Subsequent Events

CNAF Preferred Issue and CCC Surplus Note

Under an agreement executed effective on October 27, 2008, CNA will issue, and Loews has agreed to purchase, 12,500 shares of CNAF non-voting cumulative senior preferred stock (Preferred Issue) for \$1.25 billion. The terms of the Preferred Issue were approved by a special review committee of independent members of CNAF s Board of Directors. The principal terms of the Preferred Issue are as follows:

The Preferred Issue is perpetual and is senior to CNAF s common stock and any future preferred stock as to the payment of dividends and amounts payable upon any liquidation, dissolution or winding up.

No dividends may be declared on CNAF s common stock or any future preferred stock until the Preferred Issue has been paid in full. As such, the Company has suspended its quarterly dividend payment.

The Preferred Issue is not convertible into any other securities and may only be redeemed upon the mutual agreement of the Company and Loews.

The Preferred Issue accrues cumulative dividends at an initial rate of 10% per year. On the fifth anniversary of the issuance and every five years thereafter, the dividend rate will increase to the higher of 10% or the then current 10-year U.S. Treasury yield plus 700 basis points.

Dividends are payable quarterly and any dividends not paid when due will be compounded quarterly. As a private placement, the Preferred Issue is exempt from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. CNAF will use the proceeds from the Preferred Issue to increase the statutory surplus of its principal insurance subsidiary, CCC, through the purchase of a \$1.0 billion surplus note of CCC. Surplus notes are financial instruments with a stated maturity date and scheduled interest payments, issued by insurance enterprises with the approval of the insurer s domiciliary state. Surplus notes are treated as capital under statutory accounting. All payments of interest and principal on this note are subject to the prior approval of the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation Division of Insurance. The surplus note of CCC will have a term of 20 years and will accrue interest at a rate of 10% per year. In conformity with accounting practices prescribed by insurance regulatory authorities, CCC s preliminary statutory capital and surplus as of September 30, 2008, presented in Note N, reflects the impact of the surplus note, which will be issued subsequent to September 30, 2008 but prior to the filing of CCC s third quarter statutory statements.

Limited Partnership Investments

The Company s limited partnership investments consist of 82 individual partnerships which cover a broad range of investment strategies including fixed income arbitrage, global arbitrage, long/short equity, relative value, multi-strategy and private equity. The investments across partnerships and investment strategies provide for risk diversification within the limited partnership portfolio and the overall investment portfolio. These strategies consist primarily of underlying marketable securities and may include low levels of leverage and the use of derivatives which may potentially introduce more volatility and risk to the partnership returns. The continued disruption and turmoil in the capital markets has had a negative impact on limited partnership returns.

As described in Note A of the Consolidated Financial Statements within CNA s 2007 Form 10-K, the Company s carrying value of investments in limited partnerships typically reflects a reporting lag. Subsequent to September 30, 2008, the Company received preliminary September 2008 results from the general partners of certain limited partnership investments indicating a pretax loss of approximately \$110 million that will be reflected in the Company s fourth quarter results due to the reporting lag.

43

CNA Financial Corporation

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Overview

The following discussion highlights significant factors impacting the consolidated operations and financial condition of CNA Financial Corporation (CNAF) and its subsidiaries (collectively CNA or the Company). References to CNA, the Company, we, our, us or like terms refer to the business of CNA and its subsidiaries. Based on 2007 statutory number of the seventh largest commercial insurance writer and the thirteenth largest property and casualty insurance organization in the United States of America.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part I of this Form 10-Q and Item 1A Risk Factors and Item 7 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which are included in our Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for the year ended December 31, 2007, as amended by Form 10-K/A which amended Part I, Item 1 of Form 10-K (Form 10-K).

Changes in estimates of claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserves and premium accruals, net of reinsurance, for prior years are defined as net prior year development within this MD&A. These changes can be favorable or unfavorable. Net prior year development does not include the impact of related acquisition expenses. Further information on our reserves is provided in Note G of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

CONSOLIDATED OPERATIONS

Results of Operations

The following table includes the consolidated results of our operations. For more detailed components of our business operations and the net operating income financial measure, see the segment discussions within this MD&A.

Period ended September 30	Three I	Months	Nine Months			
(In millions, except per share data)	2008	2007	2008	2007		
Revenues						
Net earned premiums	\$ 1,799	\$ 1,882	\$ 5,386	\$ 5,617		
Net investment income	439	580	1,449	1,859		
Other revenues	72	79	240	211		
Total operating revenues	2,310	2,541	7,075	7,687		
Claims, Benefits and Expenses						
Net incurred claims and benefits	1,525	1,570	4,368	4,488		
Policyholders dividends	(6)	5	12	8		
Amortization of deferred acquisition costs	355	384	1,083	1,137		
Other insurance related expenses	222	175	532	533		
Other expenses	105	104	292	293		
Total claims, benefits and expenses	2,201	2,238	6,287	6,459		
Operating income from continuing operations before income						
tax and minority interest	109	303	788	1,228		
Income tax expense on operating income	(10)	(75)	(194)	(354)		
Minority interest	(16)	(16)	(40)	(37)		
Net operating income from continuing operations	83	212	554	837		
Realized investment losses, net of participating						
policyholders and minority interests	(651)	(57)	(813)	(217)		
Income tax benefit on realized investment losses	228	19	286	75		
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(340)	174	27	695		
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income			4.0	(0)		
tax (expense) benefit of \$9, \$(1), \$9 and \$0	9		10	(8)		
Net income (loss)	\$ (331)	\$ 174	\$ 37	\$ 687		

Basic and Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Income (loss) from continuing operations Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ (1.26) 0.03	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.10 0.04	\$ 2.56 (0.03)
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share available to common stockholders	\$ (1.23)	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.14	\$ 2.53
Weighted average outstanding common stock and common stock equivalents				
Basic	269.0	271.6	269.6	271.5
Diluted	269.1	271.9	269.6	271.8
	45			

Three Month Comparison

Net results decreased \$505 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007. This decrease was primarily due to higher net realized investment losses and decreased net operating income. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of net realized investment results.

Net operating income from continuing operations for the three months ended September 30, 2008 decreased \$129 million as compared with the same period in 2007. This decrease was primarily due to higher catastrophe impacts and lower net investment income. The catastrophe impacts were \$168 million after-tax in the third quarter of 2008, which included a \$7 million after-tax catastrophe-related insurance assessment, as compared to catastrophe losses of \$7 million after-tax in the third quarter of 2007. Partially offsetting these unfavorable impacts was an after-tax loss of \$108 million recognized in the third quarter of 2007 in connection with the settlement of an arbitration proceeding (IGI Contingency). In September 2007, we reached agreement to fully and finally settle all exposures under four excess of loss reinsurance treaties issued by CNA Reinsurance Company Limited, a former CNA subsidiary.

Favorable net prior year development of \$60 million was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2008 related to our Standard Lines, Specialty Lines and Corporate & Other Non-core segments. This amount consisted of \$58 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$2 million of favorable premium development. Favorable net prior year development of \$67 million was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2007 related to our Standard Lines, Specialty Lines and Corporate & Other Non-core segments. This amount consisted of \$57 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$10 million of favorable premium development. Further information on net prior year development for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 is included in Note G of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

Net earned premiums decreased \$83 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007, including a \$79 million decrease related to Standard Lines and a \$3 million decrease related to Specialty Lines. See the Segment Results section of this MD&A for further discussion.

Income from discontinued operations increased \$9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the same period in 2007, primarily driven by the recognition in 2008 of a change in estimate of the tax benefit related to the 2007 sale of our United Kingdom discontinued operations subsidiary.

Nine Month Comparison

Net income decreased \$650 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007. This decrease was primarily due to higher net realized investment losses and decreased net operating income. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of net realized investment results.

Net operating income from continuing operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 decreased \$283 million as compared with the same period in 2007. This decrease was primarily due to the same reasons discussed in the three month comparison above. The catastrophe impacts were \$233 million after-tax for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, as compared to catastrophe losses of \$35 million after-tax for the same period in 2007. Net investment income included a decline in trading portfolio results of \$145 million, which was offset by a corresponding decrease in the policyholders funds reserves supported by the trading portfolio. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of net investment income.

Favorable net prior year development of \$93 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 related to our Standard Lines, Specialty Lines and Corporate & Other Non-core segments. This amount consisted of \$74 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$19 million of favorable premium development. Favorable net prior year development of \$92 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 related to our Standard Lines, Specialty Lines and Corporate & Other Non-core segments. This amount consisted of \$59 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$33 million of favorable premium development. Further

information on net prior year development for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 is included in Note G of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

Net earned premiums decreased \$231 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007, including a \$233 million decrease related to Standard Lines and a \$14 million increase related to Specialty Lines. See the Segment Results section of this MD&A for further discussion.

Results from discontinued operations increased \$18 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the same period in 2007. The 2008 results were primarily driven by the tax-related item discussed in the three month comparison above. Results in 2007 were primarily driven by unfavorable net prior year development.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the amounts of revenues and expenses reported during the period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

Our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes have been prepared in accordance with GAAP applied on a consistent basis. We continually evaluate the accounting policies and estimates used to prepare the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. In general, our estimates are based on historical experience, evaluation of current trends, information from third party professionals and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the known facts and circumstances.

The accounting estimates below are considered by us to be critical to an understanding of our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements as their application places the most significant demands on our judgment.

Insurance Reserves

Reinsurance

Valuation of Investments and Impairment of Securities

Long Term Care Products

Pension and Postretirement Benefit Obligations

Legal Proceedings

Due to the inherent uncertainties involved with these types of judgments, actual results could differ significantly from estimates and may have a material adverse impact on our results of operations or equity. See the Critical Accounting Estimates section of our Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included under Item 7 of our Form 10-K for further information.

SEGMENT RESULTS

The following discusses the results of continuing operations for our operating segments. We utilize the net operating income financial measure to monitor our operations. Net operating income is calculated by excluding from net income the after-tax effects of 1) net realized investment gains or losses, 2) income or loss from discontinued operations and 3) any cumulative effects of changes in accounting principles. See further discussion regarding how we manage our business in Note M of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1. In evaluating the results of our Standard Lines and Specialty Lines segments, we utilize the loss ratio, the expense ratio, the dividend ratio, and the combined ratio. These ratios are calculated using GAAP financial results. The loss ratio is the percentage of net incurred claim and claim adjustment expenses to net earned premiums. The expense ratio is the percentage of insurance underwriting and acquisition expenses, including the amortization of deferred acquisition costs, to net earned premiums. The dividend ratio is the ratio of policyholders dividends incurred to net earned premiums. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss, expense and dividend ratios.

STANDARD LINES

The following table details the results of operations for Standard Lines.

Results of Operations

Period ended September 30	Three M	Nine Months		
(In millions)	2008	2007	2008	2007
Net written premiums	\$ 723	\$ 753	\$ 2,342	\$ 2,524
Net earned premiums	762	841	2,313	2,546
Net investment income	136	209	499	664
Net operating income (loss)	(53)	178	166	478
Net realized investment losses, after-tax	(115)	(19)	(165)	(76)
Net income (loss)	(168)	159	1	402
Ratios				
Loss and loss adjustment expense	96.3%	60.9%	81.1%	66.2%
Expense	34.4	32.3	31.3	32.1
Dividend	(1.4)	0.4	(0.2)	0.1
Combined	129.3%	93.6%	112.2%	98.4%

Three Month Comparison

Net written premiums for Standard Lines decreased \$30 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007, primarily due to decreased production. The competitive market conditions are expected to put ongoing pressure on premium and income levels, and the expense ratio. This unfavorable impact was partially offset by decreased ceded premiums. Net earned premiums decreased \$79 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007, consistent with the decreased net written premiums. Standard Lines averaged rate decreases of 5% for the three months ended September 30, 2008, as compared to decreases of 4% for the three months ended September 30, 2007 for the contracts that renewed during those periods. Retention rates of 80% and 73% were achieved for those contracts that were available for renewal in each period. Net results decreased \$327 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007. This decrease was primarily attributable to decreased net operating results and higher net realized investment losses. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of the net realized investment results and net investment income.

Net operating results decreased \$231 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007. This decrease was primarily driven by higher catastrophe impacts, lower net investment income and less favorable net prior year development. The catastrophe impacts were \$160 million after-tax in the third quarter of 2008, which included a \$7 million after-tax catastrophe-related insurance assessment, as compared to catastrophe losses of \$7 million after-tax in the third quarter of 2007.

The combined ratio increased 35.7 points for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007. The loss ratio increased 35.4 points primarily due to increased catastrophe losses and lower favorable net prior year development, partially offset by lower current accident year loss ratios across most lines of business. Catastrophes losses had an adverse impact of 31.0 points on the loss ratio for the three months ended September 30, 2008.

During the third quarter of 2008, our ongoing actuarial reviews confirmed various trends in the number and size of claims across certain lines of business, and we recorded favorable and unfavorable development for those lines in the third quarter of 2008. See additional discussion in Note G of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1. The trend in recent accident years was generally favorable across several lines of business.

Since our estimates for the current accident year partially rely on the trends and results for recent accident years, the current accident year non-catastrophe losses decreased by \$53 million as referenced in the loss ratio discussion above.

The expense ratio increased 2.1 points for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007. The increase was primarily driven by our estimate of the ultimate assessment from the Texas Windstorm Insurance Association related to catastrophe losses incurred in the third quarter of 2008.

The dividend ratio decreased 1.8 points for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007, due to favorable dividend development.

Favorable net prior year development of \$1 million was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2008, including \$4 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$3 million of unfavorable premium development. Favorable net prior year development of \$72 million, including \$67 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$5 million of favorable premium development, was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2007. Further information on Standard Lines net prior year development for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 is included in Note G of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

Nine Month Comparison

Net written premiums for Standard Lines decreased \$182 million and net earned premiums decreased \$233 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007, due to the reasons discussed above in the three month comparison.

Standard Lines averaged rate decreases of 6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, as compared to decreases of 4% for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 for the contracts that renewed during those periods. Retention rates of 81% and 77% were achieved for those contracts that were available for renewal in each period. Net income decreased \$401 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007. This decrease was primarily attributable to decreased net operating income and higher net realized investment losses. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of the net realized investment results and net investment income.

Net operating income decreased \$312 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007. This decrease was primarily driven by the items discussed in the three month comparison above. The catastrophe impacts were \$224 million after-tax for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, as compared to catastrophe losses of \$34 million after-tax in the same period of 2007.

The combined ratio increased 13.8 points for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007. The loss ratio increased 14.9 points primarily due to increased catastrophe losses and higher current accident year loss ratios across certain lines of business. Catastrophes losses related to 2008 events had an adverse impact of 14.5 points on the loss ratio for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. The expense ratio decreased 0.8 points for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007.

Favorable net prior year development of \$50 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, including \$54 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$4 million of unfavorable premium development. Favorable net prior year development of \$89 million, including \$74 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$15 million of favorable premium development, was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. Further information on Standard Lines net prior year development for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 is included in Note G of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

The following table summarizes the gross and net carried reserves as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 for Standard Lines.

Gross and Net Carried

Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves

(In millions)	Sep	tember 30, 2008	Dec	ember 31, 2007
Gross Case Reserves	\$	6,071	\$	5,988
Gross IBNR Reserves		6,086		6,060
Total Gross Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves	\$	12,157	\$	12,048
Net Case Reserves	\$	4,877	\$	4,750
Net IBNR Reserves	Ψ	5,100	Ψ	5,170
Total Net Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves	\$	9,977	\$	9,920
51				

SPECIALTY LINES

The following table details the results of operations for Specialty Lines.

Results of Operations

Period ended September 30	ended September 30 Three Months			onths
(In millions)	2008	2007	2008	2007
Net written premiums	\$ 875	\$ 886	\$ 2,583	\$ 2,619
Net earned premiums	882	885	2,614	2,600
Net investment income	121	152	408	463
Net operating income	145	153	414	474
Net realized investment losses, after-tax	(75)	(9)	(99)	(41)
Net income	70	144	315	433
Ratios				
Loss and loss adjustment expense	58.5%	62.8%	62.8%	62.7%
Expense	29.0	26.6	27.8	26.3
Dividend	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.2
Combined	87.8%	89.6%	91.0%	89.2%

Three Month Comparison

Net written premiums for Specialty Lines decreased \$11 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007. Premiums written in 2008 were unfavorably impacted by decreased production as compared with the same period in 2007. The competitive market conditions are expected to put ongoing pressure on premium and income levels, and the expense ratio. This unfavorable impact was partially offset by decreased ceded premiums. Net earned premiums decreased \$3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007, consistent with the decrease in net written premiums. Specialty Lines averaged rate decreases of 3% for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to decreases of 4% for the three months ended September 30, 2007 for the contracts that renewed during those periods. Retention rates of 84% and 82% were achieved for those contracts that were available for renewal in each period. Net income decreased \$74 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007. This decrease was primarily attributable to higher net realized investment losses. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of the net realized investment results and net investment income. Net operating income decreased \$8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007. This decrease was primarily driven by lower net investment income, decreased current accident year underwriting results and higher catastrophe losses. These unfavorable results were partially offset by favorable net prior year development. Catastrophe losses were \$8 million after-tax in the third quarter of 2008. There were no catastrophe losses in the three months ended September 30, 2007.

The combined ratio improved 1.8 points for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007. The loss ratio improved 4.3 points, primarily due to favorable net prior year development for the three months ended September 30, 2008. This was partially offset by higher current accident year loss ratios recorded in our errors and omissions (E&O) and directors and officers (D&O) coverages for financial institutions due to the current financial markets credit crisis.

The expense ratio increased 2.4 points for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007. The increase primarily related to changes in estimates for insurance-related assessments and reduced ceding commissions.

Favorable net prior year development of \$70 million, including \$68 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$2 million of favorable premium development, was

recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2008. There was \$3 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$3 million of favorable premium development, resulting in no net prior year development for the three months ended September 30, 2007. Further information on Specialty Lines net prior year development for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 is included in Note G of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

Nine Month Comparison

Net written premiums for Specialty Lines decreased \$36 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007. Premiums written in 2008 were unfavorably impacted by decreased production as compared with the same period in 2007. The competitive market conditions are expected to put ongoing pressure on premium and income levels, and the expense ratio. This unfavorable impact was partially offset by decreased ceded premiums. The U.S. Specialty Lines reinsurance structure was primarily quota share reinsurance through April 2007. We elected not to renew this coverage upon its expiration. With our current diversification in the previously reinsured lines of business and our management of the gross limits on the business written, we did not believe the cost of renewing the program was commensurate with its projected benefit. Net earned premiums increased \$14 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the same period in 2007, which reflects the decreased use of reinsurance.

Specialty Lines averaged rate decreases of 3% for each of the nine month periods ended September 30, 2008 and September 30, 2007 for the contracts that renewed during those periods. Retention rates of 84% and 83% were achieved for those contracts that were available for renewal in each period.

Net income decreased \$118 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007. This decrease was primarily attributable to lower net operating income and higher net realized investment losses. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of the net realized investment results and net investment income.

Net operating income decreased \$60 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007, primarily due to the items discussed in the three month comparison above. Catastrophe losses were \$9 million after-tax for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with \$1 million after-tax in the same period in 2007.

The combined ratio increased 1.8 points for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007. The loss ratio was favorably impacted by net prior year development, and unfavorably impacted by higher current accident year loss ratios as discussed in the three month comparison above and increased catastrophe losses. The expense ratio increased 1.5 points for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the same period in 2007. The increase primarily related to the items discussed in the three month comparison above. Favorable net prior year development of \$70 million, including \$50 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$20 million of favorable premium development, was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. Favorable net prior year development and \$13 million of favorable premium development, was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. Further information on Specialty Lines net prior year development for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 is included in Note G of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

The following table summarizes the gross and net carried reserves as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 for Specialty Lines.

Gross and Net Carried

Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves

(In millions)	-	ember 30, 2008	ember 31, 2007
Gross Case Reserves	\$	2,662	\$ 2,585
Gross IBNR Reserves		5,702	5,818
Total Gross Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves	\$	8,364	\$ 8,403
Net Case Reserves Net IBNR Reserves	\$	2,204 4,681	\$ 2,090 4,527
Total Net Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves	\$	6,885	\$ 6,617
54			

LIFE & GROUP NON-CORE

The following table summarizes the results of operations for Life & Group Non-Core.

Results of Operations

Period ended September 30	Three Months			Months
(In millions)	2008	2007	2008	2007
Net earned premiums	\$ 154	\$ 156	\$ 460	\$ 469
Net investment income	135	145	376	494
Net operating loss	(36)	(131)	(69)	(142)
Net realized investment losses, after-tax	(194)	(6)	(209)	(17)
Net loss	(230)	(137)	(278)	(159)

Three Month Comparison

Net earned premiums for Life & Group Non-Core decreased \$2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007. The net earned premiums relate primarily to the group and individual long term care businesses.

The net loss in 2008 was primarily due to net realized investment losses. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of the net realized investment results. The results in 2008 were also impacted by adverse investment performance on a portion of our pension deposit business. The net loss in 2007 included an after-tax loss of \$108 million related to the settlement of the IGI Contingency.

Nine Month Comparison

Net earned premiums for Life & Group Non-Core decreased \$9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007.

Net loss increased \$119 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007, primarily due to the reasons discussed above in the three month comparison. The decreased net investment income included a decline of trading portfolio results of \$144 million, which was offset by a corresponding decrease in the policyholders fund reserves supported by the trading portfolio. The trading portfolio supports the indexed group annuity portion of our pension deposit business.

During the first quarter of 2008, we decided to exit the indexed group annuity portion of our pension deposit business. This business had net results of \$(10) million and \$(11) million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007. The related assets were \$222 million and related liabilities were \$204 million at September 30, 2008. We expect these liabilities to be settled with the policyholders during the remainder of 2008 with no material impact to results of operations.

CORPORATE & OTHER NON-CORE

The following table summarizes the results of operations for the Corporate & Other Non-Core segment, including Asbestos and Environmental Pollution (A&E) and intrasegment eliminations.

Results of Operations

Period ended September 30	Three I	Nine Months		
(In millions)	2008	2007	2008	2007
Net investment income	\$ 47	\$74	\$166	\$238
Revenues	(10)	72	93	233
Net operating income	27	12	43	27
Net realized investment losses, after-tax	(39)	(4)	(54)	(8)
Net income (loss)	(12)	8	(11)	19

Three Month Comparison

Revenues decreased \$82 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007. Revenues were unfavorably impacted by higher net realized investment losses and lower net investment income. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of net investment income and net realized investment results.

Net results decreased \$20 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007. The decrease was primarily due to decreased revenues as discussed above, partially offset by a release from the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance receivables of \$27 million arising from a change in estimate as further discussed in Note I of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1. In addition, the 2007 results included current accident year losses related to certain mass torts.

Unfavorable net prior year development of \$11 million was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2008, including \$14 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$3 million of favorable premium development. Unfavorable net prior year development of \$5 million was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2007, including \$7 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$2 million of favorable premium development. Further information on Corporate & Other Non-Core net prior year development for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 is included in Note G of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

Nine Month Comparison

Revenues decreased \$140 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007. Revenues were unfavorably impacted by lower net investment income and higher net realized investment losses. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of net investment income and net realized investment results.

Net results decreased \$30 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same period in 2007, primarily due to the reasons discussed above in the three month comparison.

Unfavorable net prior year development of \$27 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, including \$30 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$3 million of favorable premium development. Unfavorable net prior year development of \$14 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, including \$19 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$5 million of favorable premium development. Further information on Corporate & Other Non-Core net prior year development for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 is included in Note G of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

The following table summarizes the gross and net carried reserves as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 for Corporate & Other Non-Core.

Gross and Net Carried

Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves

(In millions)		ember 30, 2008	December 31, 2007		
Gross Case Reserves Gross IBNR Reserves	\$	1,886 2,686	\$	2,159 2,951	
Total Gross Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves	\$	4,572	\$	5,110	
Net Case Reserves Net IBNR Reserves	\$	1,182 1,597	\$	1,328 1,787	
Total Net Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves	\$	2,779	\$	3,115	

A&E Reserves

Our property and casualty insurance subsidiaries have actual and potential exposures related to asbestos and environmental pollution (A&E) claims. Further information on A&E claim and claim adjustment expense reserves and net prior year development is included in Note G of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

Asbestos

We have resolved a number of our large asbestos accounts by negotiating settlement agreements. Structured settlement agreements provide for payments over multiple years as set forth in each individual agreement. In 1985, 47 asbestos producers and their insurers, including The Continental Insurance Company (CIC), executed the Wellington Agreement. The agreement was intended to resolve all issues and litigation related to coverage for asbestos exposures. Under this agreement, signatory insurers committed scheduled policy limits and made the limits available to pay asbestos claims based upon coverage blocks designated by the policyholders in 1985, subject to extension by policyholders. CIC was a signatory insurer to the Wellington Agreement.

We have also used coverage in place agreements to resolve large asbestos exposures. Coverage in place agreements are typically agreements with our policyholders identifying the policies and the terms for payment of asbestos related liabilities. Claim payments are contingent on presentation of documentation supporting the demand for claim payment. Coverage in place agreements may have annual payment caps. Coverage in place agreements are evaluated based on claims filings trends and severities.

We categorize active asbestos accounts as large or small accounts. We define a large account as an active account with more than \$100 thousand of cumulative paid losses. We have made resolving large accounts a significant management priority. Small accounts are defined as active accounts with \$100 thousand or less of cumulative paid losses.

Approximately 81% of our total active asbestos accounts are classified as small accounts at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007.

We also evaluate our asbestos liabilities arising from our assumed reinsurance business and our participation in various pools, including Excess & Casualty Reinsurance Association (ECRA).

IBNR reserves relate to potential development on accounts that have not settled and potential future claims from unidentified policyholders.

The tables below depict our overall pending asbestos accounts and associated reserves at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007.

Pending Asbestos Accounts and Associated Reserves

	Number of	Net Paid Losses in 2008		Net Asbestos Reserves		Losses Asbestos		Percent of Asbestos Net
September 30, 2008	Policyholders	(In million	s)	Reserves				
Policyholders with settlement agreements	16	ф	16	Ф	105	110		
Structured settlements	16 3	\$	l6 1	\$	135 11	11%		
Wellington Coverage in place	36	1	1 16		87	1 7		
Total with settlement agreements Other policyholders with active accounts Large asbestos accounts	55 233		33 55		233 226	19 19		
Small asbestos accounts	994		21		84	7		
Total other policyholders Assumed reinsurance and pools	1,227	8	6		310 127	26 10		
Unassigned IBNR	1.000	.		Φ.	545	45		
Total Pending Asbestos Accounts and Associated Rese	1,282 erves	\$ 12	25	\$	1,215	100%		

December 31, 2007	Number of Policyholders	Net Paid Losses in 2007 (In millions)		Losses Asbestos in 2007 Reserves (In		Percent of Asbestos Net Reserves
Policyholders with settlement agreements	v	`	,		,	
Structured settlements	14	\$	29	\$	151	11%
Wellington	3		1		12	1
Coverage in place	34		38		100	8
Total with settlement agreements	51		68		263	20
Other policyholders with active accounts Large asbestos accounts	233		45		237	18

Unassigned IBNR			596	45
Assumed reinsurance and pools	1,230	8	133	10
Total other policyholders	1,238	60	330	25
Small asbestos accounts	1,005	15	93	7

Some asbestos-related defendants have asserted that their insurance policies are not subject to aggregate limits on coverage. We have such claims from a number of insureds. Some of these claims involve insureds facing exhaustion of products liability aggregate limits in their policies, who have asserted that their asbestos-related claims fall within so-called non-products liability coverage contained within their policies rather than products liability coverage, and that the claimed non-products coverage is not subject to any aggregate limit. It is difficult to predict the ultimate size of any of the claims for coverage purportedly not subject to aggregate limits or predict to what extent, if any, the attempts to assert non-products claims outside the products liability aggregate will succeed. Our policies also contain other limits applicable to these claims and we have additional coverage defenses to certain claims. We have attempted to manage our asbestos exposure by aggressively seeking to settle claims on acceptable terms. There can be no assurance that any of these settlement efforts will

58

be successful, or that any such claims can be settled on terms acceptable to us. Where we cannot settle a claim on acceptable terms, we aggressively litigate the claim. However, adverse developments with respect to such matters could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and/or equity.

We are involved in significant asbestos-related claim litigation, which is described in Note G of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1.

Environmental Pollution

We classify our environmental pollution accounts into several categories, which include structured settlements, coverage in place agreements and active accounts. Structured settlement agreements provide for payments over multiple years as set forth in each individual agreement.

We have also used coverage in place agreements to resolve pollution exposures. Coverage in place agreements are typically agreements with our policyholders identifying the policies and the terms for payment of pollution related liabilities. Claim payments are contingent on presentation of adequate documentation of damages during the policy periods and other documentation supporting the demand for claim payment. Coverage in place agreements may have annual payment caps.

We categorize active accounts as large or small accounts in the pollution area. We define a large account as an active account with more than \$100 thousand cumulative paid losses. We have made closing large accounts a significant management priority. Small accounts are defined as active accounts with \$100 thousand or less of cumulative paid losses. Approximately 74% and 73% of our total active pollution accounts are classified as small accounts as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007.

We also evaluate our environmental pollution exposures arising from our assumed reinsurance and our participation in various pools, including ECRA.

We carry unassigned IBNR reserves for environmental pollution. These reserves relate to potential development on accounts that have not settled and potential future claims from unidentified policyholders.

The tables below depict our overall pending environmental pollution accounts and associated reserves at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007.

Pending Environmental Pollution Accounts and Associated Reserves September 30,2008

		Net Paid	Net Environmental	Percent of
	Number of	Losses Number		Environmental Pollution Net
	Policyholders	(In millions)	(In millions)	Reserve
Policyholders with settlement agreements			,	
Structured settlements	11	\$ 2	\$ 6	3%
Coverage in place	16	2	15	8
Total with settlement agreements	27	4	21	11
Other policyholders with active accounts				
Large pollution accounts	110	34	49	25
Small pollution accounts	320	11	33	17
Total other policyholders	430	45	82	42
Assumed reinsurance and pools		2	29	15

Unassigned IBNR			62	32
Total	457	\$ 51	\$ 194	100%
	59			

Pending Environmental Pollution Accounts and Associated Reserves December 31, 2007

	Number of Policyholders		Net Paid Losses in 2007		Losses in 2007		Losses		Net onmental llution eserves (In llions)	Percent of Environmental Pollution Net Reserve
Policyholders with settlement agreements	1 oneyholders	(111	1111110113)	1111	illolls)	Reserve				
Structured settlements	10	\$	9	\$	6	2%				
Coverage in place	18		8		14	6				
Total with settlement agreements	28		17		20	8				
Other policyholders with active accounts										
Large pollution accounts	112		17		53	22				
Small pollution accounts	298		9		42	17				
Total other policyholders	410		26		95	39				
Assumed reinsurance and pools			1		31	13				
Unassigned IBNR					96	40				
Total	438 60	\$	44	\$	242	100%				
	00									

INVESTMENTS

Net Investment Income

The significant components of net investment income are presented in the following table.

Net Investment Income

Period ended September 30	Three Months Nine Months			Ionths
(In millions)	2008	2008 2007		
Fixed maturity securities	\$ 501	\$ 501	\$ 1,495	\$ 1,523
Short term investments	29	57	94	146
Limited partnerships	(77)	19	(70)	142
Equity securities	18	7	62	18
Income (loss) from trading portfolio (a)	(23)	(2)	(104)	41
Other	3	9	14	31
Gross investment income	451	591	1,491	1,901
Investment expense	(12)	(11)	(42)	(42)
Net investment income	\$ 439	\$ 580	\$ 1,449	\$ 1,859

(a) The change in net unrealized losses on trading securities included in net investment income, was \$(6) million and \$(21) million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and \$(12) million and \$(9)million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007.

Net investment income decreased by \$141 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008 compared with the same period in 2007. This decrease was primarily driven by decreased results from limited partnerships, short term investments and the trading portfolio. The decreased returns from short term investments were caused by an overall decrease in rates and a partial shift to lower risk U.S. Treasury Bills and agency discount notes.

Net investment income decreased by \$410 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared with the same period of 2007. The decrease was primarily driven by decreased results from limited partnerships, the trading

portfolio and short term investments due to decreased interest rates. The decreased results from the trading portfolio were offset by a corresponding decrease in the policyholders—funds reserves supported by the trading portfolio, which is included in Insurance claims and policyholders—benefits on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The continued disruption and turmoil in the capital markets has had a negative impact on limited partnership returns. See additional discussion in Note P of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1. The bond segment of the investment portfolio yielded 5.7% and 5.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007.

61

Net Realized Investment Gains (Losses)

The components of net realized investment results for available-for-sale securities are presented in the following table.

Period ended September 30	Three N	Months	Nine Months		
(In millions)	2008	2007	2008	2007	
Fixed maturity securities:					
U.S. Government bonds	\$ 34	\$ 131	\$ 20	\$ 37	
Corporate and other taxable bonds	(289)	(88)	(328)	(113)	
Tax-exempt bonds	1	10	51	(43)	
Asset-backed bonds	(61)	(81)	(218)	(191)	
Redeemable preferred stock		(11)		(12)	
Total fixed maturity securities	(315)	(39)	(475)	(322)	
Equity securities	(376)	16	(405)	30	
Derivative securities	35	(45)	47	62	
Short term investments	4	5	11	5	
Other	1	6	9	8	
Realized investment losses, net of participating					
policyholders and minority interests	(651)	(57)	(813)	(217)	
Income tax benefit	228	19	286	75	
Net realized investment losses, net of participating policyholders and minority interests	\$ (423)	\$ (38)	\$ (527)	\$ (142)	

Net realized investment losses increased \$385 million for both the three month and nine month periods ended September 30, 2008 as compared with the same periods in 2007.

For the three months ended September 30, 2008, other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses of \$380 million, driven by credit issues, were recorded primarily in the non-redeemable preferred equity securities and corporate and other taxable bonds sectors. For the three months ended September 30, 2007, OTTI losses of \$122 million were recorded primarily in the corporate and other taxable bonds and asset-backed bonds sectors.

For the three months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded net realized investment losses, including OTTI losses, of \$198 million related to securities issued by Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac), \$65 million related to securities issued by Washington Mutual, \$63 million related to securities issued by Icelandic banks and \$23 million related to securities issued by American International Group.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, OTTI losses of \$546 million were recorded primarily in the non-redeemable preferred equity securities, corporate and other taxable bonds and asset-backed bonds sectors. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, OTTI losses of \$293 million were recorded primarily in the corporate and other taxable bonds and asset-backed bonds sectors.

The OTTI losses related to securities for which we did not assert an intent to hold until an anticipated recovery in value. The judgment as to whether an impairment is other-than-temporary incorporates many factors including the likelihood of a security recovering to cost, our intent and ability to hold the security until recovery, general market conditions, specific sector views and significant changes in expected cash flows. Our decision to record an OTTI loss is primarily based on whether the security s fair value is likely to recover to its amortized cost in light of all of the

factors considered over the expected holding period. Current factors and market conditions that contributed to recording impairments in 2008 included the takeover of the government sponsored entities Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae, the failure of several financial institutions, continued significant credit spread widening in fixed income sectors, market volatility and uncertainty in capital markets world-wide and the lingering impact from the sub-prime residential mortgage concerns.

62

A primary objective in the management of the fixed maturity and equity portfolios is to optimize return relative to underlying liabilities and respective liquidity needs. Our views on the current interest rate environment, tax regulations, asset class valuations, specific security issuer and broader industry segment conditions, and the domestic and global economic conditions, are some of the factors that enter into an investment decision. We also continually monitor exposure to issuers of securities held and broader industry sector exposures and may from time to time adjust such exposures based on our views of a specific issuer or industry sector.

A further consideration in the management of the investment portfolio is the characteristics of the underlying liabilities and the ability to align the duration of the portfolio to those liabilities to meet future liquidity needs, minimize interest rate risk and maintain a level of income sufficient to support the underlying insurance liabilities. For portfolios where future liability cash flows are determinable and typically long term in nature, we segregate investments for asset/liability management purposes.

The segregated investments support liabilities primarily in the Life & Group Non-Core segment including annuities, structured benefit settlements and long term care products. The remaining investments are managed to support the Standard Lines, Specialty Lines and Corporate & Other Non-Core segments.

The effective durations of fixed income securities, short term investments, preferred stocks and interest rate derivatives are presented in the table below. Short term investments are net of securities lending collateral and account payable and receivable amounts for securities purchased and sold, but not yet settled.

Effective Durations

	Septem	ber 30, 2008 Effective Duration	Decem	ber 31, 2007 Effective Duration
	Fair		Fair	
(In millions)	Value	(In years)	Value	(In years)
Segregated investments	\$ 8,182	10.2	\$ 9,211	10.7
Other interest sensitive investments	26,339	4.1	29,406	3.3
Total	\$ 34,521	5.6	\$ 38,617	5.1

The investment portfolio is periodically analyzed for changes in duration and related price change risk. Additionally, we periodically review the sensitivity of the portfolio to the level of foreign exchange rates and other factors that contribute to market price changes. A summary of these risks and specific analysis on changes is included in the Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk in Item 7A of our Form 10-K.

We invest in certain derivative financial instruments primarily to reduce our exposure to market risk (principally interest rate, equity price and foreign currency risk) and credit risk (risk of nonperformance of underlying obligor). We also may enter into credit default swaps for the purpose of selling credit protection in order to replicate the risk of fixed income securities. Derivative securities are recorded at fair value at the reporting date. Derivatives are also utilized to mitigate market risk by purchasing Standard & Poor s (S&P) 500 Index futures in a notional amount equal to the contract liability relating to Life & Group Non-Core indexed group annuity contracts. We provided cash collateral to satisfy margin deposits on exchange-traded derivatives totaling \$12 million as of September 30, 2008. For over-the-counter derivative transactions we utilize International Swaps and Derivatives Association Master Agreements that specify certain limits over which collateral is exchanged. As of September 30, 2008, we provided \$27 million of cash collateral for over-the-counter derivative instruments.

We classify our fixed maturity and equity securities as either available-for-sale or trading, and as such, they are carried at fair value. The amortized cost of fixed maturity securities is adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity, which is included in Net investment income. Changes in fair value related to available-for-sale securities are reported as a component of Other comprehensive income (loss). Changes in fair value of trading

securities are reported within Net investment income. As of January 1, 2008,

63

we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 157, <u>Fair Value Measurement</u>. See Note F of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1 for further information.

The following table provides further detail of gross realized investment gains and losses, which include OTTI losses, on available-for-sale fixed maturity and equity securities.

Realized Investment Gains (Losses)

Period ended September 30	Three N	Months	Nine Months			
(In millions) Net realized investment gains (losses) on fixed maturity securities and equity securities: Fixed maturity securities:	2008	2007	2008	2007		
Gross realized gains	\$ 75	\$ 181	\$ 275	\$ 324		
Gross realized losses	(390)	(220)	(750)	(646)		
Net realized investment losses on fixed maturity securities	(315)	(39)	(475)	(322)		
Equity securities:						
Gross realized gains	10	30	21	50		
Gross realized losses	(386)	(14)	(426)	(20)		
Net realized investment gains (losses) on equity securities	(376)	16	(405)	30		
Net realized investment losses on fixed maturity and equity securities	\$ (691)	\$ (23)	\$ (880)	\$ (292)		
64						

The following table provides details of the largest realized investment losses from sales of securities aggregated by issuer including the fair value of the securities at date of sale, the amount of the loss recorded and the period of time that the securities had been in an unrealized loss position prior to sale. The period of time that the securities had been in an unrealized loss position prior to sale can vary due to the timing of individual security purchases. Also included is a narrative providing the industry sector along with the facts and circumstances giving rise to the loss.

Largest Realized Investment Losses from Securities Sold at a Loss

Nine months ended September 30, 2008	Fair Value at Date of	Loss On	Months in Unrealized Loss Prior To Sale
Issuer Description and Discussion	Sale	Sale	(a)
(In millions) Various notes and bonds issued by the United States Treasury. Securities sold due to outlook on interest rates.	\$ 10,309	\$ 105	0-6
Non-redeemable preferred stock of Fannie Mae. The company is now in conservatorship.	2	48	7-12
Fixed Income securities of an investment banking firm that filed bankruptcy causing the market value of the securities to decline rapidly.	13	40	0-12
Non-redeemable preferred stock of Freddie Mac. The company is now in conservatorship.	1	23	0-12
Mortgage backed pass-through securities were sold based on deteriorating performance of the underlying loans and the resulting rapid market price decline.	36	18	0-6
Fixed income securities of a provider of wireless and wire line communication services. Securities were sold to reduce exposure because the company announced a significant shortfall in operating results, causing significant credit deterioration which resulted in a rating downgrade.	37	16	0-6
Total	\$ 10,398	\$ 250	,

(a) Represents the range of consecutive months the various positions were in an unrealized loss prior to

sale.

Valuation and Impairment of Investments

The following table details the carrying value of our general account investments.

Carrying Value of General Account Investments

	Se	ptember 30,		Dec	ember 31,		
(In millions)		2008	%	БСС	2007	%	
Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale:							
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of							
government agencies	\$	1,518	4%	\$	687	2%	
Asset-backed securities		8,779	24		11,409	27	
States, municipalities and political subdivisions							
tax-exempt securities		6,966	19		7,675	18	
Corporate bonds		8,419	23		8,952	22	
Other debt securities		3,381	9		4,299	10	
Redeemable preferred stock		73			1,058	3	
Total fixed maturity securities available-for-sale		29,136	79		34,080	82	
Fixed maturity securities trading: U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of							
government agencies					5		
Asset-backed securities		14			31		
Corporate bonds		24			123		
Other debt securities		2			18		
Total fixed maturity securities trading		40			177		
Equity securities available-for-sale:							
Common stock		389	1		452	1	
Preferred stock		572	1		116		
Total equity securities available-for-sale		961	2		568	1	
Short term investments available-for-sale		4,728	13		4,497	11	
Short term investments trading		21			180	1	
Limited partnerships		2,110	6		2,214	5	
Other investments		63			73		
Total general account investments	\$	37,059	100%	\$	41,789	100%	

A significant judgment in the valuation of investments is the determination of when an OTTI has occurred. We analyze securities on at least a quarterly basis. Part of this analysis is to monitor the length of time and severity of the decline below amortized cost for those securities in an unrealized loss position.

Investments in the general account had a net unrealized loss of \$3,386 million at September 30, 2008 compared with a net unrealized gain of \$74 million at December 31, 2007. The unrealized position at September 30, 2008 was comprised of a net unrealized loss of \$3,243 million for fixed maturity securities, a net unrealized loss of \$148 million for equity securities and a net unrealized gain of \$5 million for short term investments. The unrealized position at December 31, 2007 was comprised of a net unrealized loss of \$131 million for fixed maturity securities, a net unrealized gain of \$202 million for equity securities and a net unrealized gain of \$3 million for short term investments. See Note D of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 1 for further detail on the unrealized position of our general account investment portfolio.

66

The prolonged and severe disruptions in the public debt and equity markets, including among other things, widening of credit spreads, bankruptcies and government intervention in a number of large financial institutions, have resulted in significant realized and unrealized losses in our investment portfolio. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, we incurred substantial realized and unrealized investment losses. Subsequent to September 30, 2008, through the date of this report, conditions in the public debt and equity markets have continued to deteriorate and pricing levels have continued to decline. As a result, depending on market conditions, we could incur substantial additional realized and unrealized losses in future periods, which could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, equity, business and insurer financial strength and debt ratings.

The following table provides the composition of fixed maturity securities available-for-sale in a gross unrealized loss position at September 30, 2008 by maturity profile. Securities not due at a single date are allocated based on weighted average life.

Maturity Profile

	Percent of	Percent of
	Market	Unrealized
	Value	Loss
Due in one year or less	1%	%
Due after one year through five years	10	4
Due after five years through ten years	14	11
Due after ten years	75	85
Total	100%	100%

Our non-investment grade fixed income securities available-for-sale at September 30, 2008 that were in a gross unrealized loss position had a fair value of \$2,690 million. The following tables summarize the fair value and gross unrealized loss of non-investment grade securities categorized by the length of time those securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position and further categorized by the severity of the unrealized loss position in 10% increments as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007.

Unrealized Loss Aging for Non-investment Grade Securities

Santambar 30 2008	-				Fai	r Value as a Amortiz	a Percenta zed Cost	nge of			_	ross
September 30, 2008		imated Fair	imated Fair								Unrealized	
(In millions)	Value		90-99% 80-89%		89%	70-	<70%		Loss			
Fixed income securities:												
0-6 months	\$	1,037	\$	40	\$	40	\$	17	\$	25	\$	122
7-11 months		839		20		46		55		82		203
12-24 months		798		14		70		38		46		168
Greater than 24 months		16						4		7		11
Total non-investment grade	\$	2,690	\$	74	\$	156	\$	114	\$	160	\$	504

Unrealized Loss Aging for Non-investment Grade Securities

Gross

Fair Value as a Percentage of Amortized Cost

December 31, 2007		timated Fair				zeu eus						alized
(In millions)	1	Value	90-	99%	80-	89%	70-7	79%	<7	0%	L	oss
Fixed income securities:												
0-6 months	\$	1,527	\$	56	\$	14	\$	3	\$		\$	73
7-12 months		125		6		2						8
13-24 months		26		1		1		1		1		4
Greater than 24 months		9		1		1						2
Total non-investment grade	\$	1,687	\$	64 67	\$	18	\$	4	\$	1	\$	87

As part of the ongoing OTTI monitoring process, we evaluated the facts and circumstances based on available information for each of the non-investment grade securities and determined that the securities presented in the above tables were temporarily impaired when evaluated at September 30, 2008 or December 31, 2007. This determination was based on a number of factors that we regularly consider including, but not limited to: the issuers—ability to meet current and future interest and principal payments, an evaluation of the issuers—financial condition and near term prospects, our assessment of the sector outlook and estimates of the fair value of any underlying collateral. In all cases where a decline in value is judged to be temporary, we have the intent and ability to hold these securities for a period of time sufficient to recover the amortized cost of our investment through an anticipated recovery in the fair value of such securities or by holding the securities to maturity. In many cases, the securities held are matched to liabilities as part of ongoing asset/liability duration management. As such, we continually assess our ability to hold securities for a time sufficient to recover any temporary loss in value or until maturity. We believe we have sufficient levels of liquidity so as to not impact the asset/liability management process.

Our equity securities classified as available-for-sale as of September 30, 2008 that were in a gross unrealized loss position had a fair value of \$563 million and gross unrealized losses of \$335 million. Under the same process as followed for fixed maturity securities, we monitor the equity securities for other-than-temporary declines in value. In all cases where a decline in value is judged to be temporary, we have the intent and ability to hold these securities for a period of time sufficient to recover the cost of our investment through an anticipated recovery in the fair value of such securities. The majority of the unrealized losses in this category are related to non-redeemable preferred stock holdings of financial institutions. The holdings in this industry sector have been adversely impacted by significant credit spread widening brought on by the volatility in the capital markets in addition to the government sponsored entities Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae being placed in conservatorship for which we have recognized realized investment losses. The remainder of the holdings in this category are being monitored and we believe, given current facts and circumstances, are sufficiently capitalized and will recover in value.

Invested assets are exposed to various risks, such as interest rate and credit risk. Due to the level of risk associated with certain invested assets and the level of uncertainty related to changes in the value of these assets, it is possible that changes in these risks in the near term, including increases in interest rates and further credit spread widening, could have an adverse material impact on our results of operations or equity.

The general account portfolio consists primarily of high quality bonds, 89% of which were rated as investment grade (rated BBB- or higher) at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007. The following table summarizes the ratings of our general account bond portfolio at carrying value.

General Account Bond Ratings

(In millions)	Se	ptember 30, 2008	%	De	31, 2007	%	
U.S. Government and affiliated agency securities	\$	1,515	5%	\$	816	3%	
Other AAA rated		11,477	39		16,728	50	
AA and A rated		7,518	26		6,326	19	
BBB rated		5,481	19		5,713	17	
Non-investment grade		3,112	11		3,616	11	
Total	\$	29,103	100%	\$	33,199	100%	

At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, approximately 97% and 95% of the general account portfolio was issued by U.S. Government and affiliated agencies or was rated by S&P or Moody s Investors Service (Moody s). The remaining bonds were rated by other rating agencies or internally.

Non-investment grade bonds, as presented in the tables above, are primarily high-yield securities rated below BBB-by bond rating agencies, as well as other unrated securities that, according to our analysis, are below investment

grade. High-yield securities generally involve a greater degree of risk than investment grade securities. However, expected returns should compensate for the added risk. This risk is also considered in the interest rate assumptions for the underlying insurance products.

68

The carrying value of securities that are either subject to trading restrictions or trade in illiquid private placement markets at September 30, 2008 was \$392 million, which represents 1.1% of our total investment portfolio. These securities were in a net unrealized gain position of \$164 million at September 30, 2008.

Asset-Backed and Sub-prime Mortgage Exposure

Asset-Backed Distribution

Security Type

September 30, 2008			•	, , ,				Percent of Total Security	Percent of Total
(In millions)	M	BS(a)	CMO(b)	ABS(c)	CI	OO(d)	Total	Type	Investments
U.S. Government									
Agencies	\$	448	\$ 1,061	\$	\$		\$ 1,509	17%	4%
AAA			4,379	1,929		9	6,317	72	17
AA			42	294		25	361	4	1
A			1	107		71	179	2	1
BBB			33	291		10	334	4	1
Non-investment grade									
and equity tranches			27	43		23	93	1	
Total Fair Value	\$	448	\$ 5,543	\$ 2,664	\$	138	\$ 8,793	100%	24%
Total Amortized Cost	\$	454	\$ 6,163	\$ 3,018	\$	361	\$ 9,996		
Percent of total fair value by security type		5%	63%	30%		2%	100%		
Sub-prime (included above)									
Fair Value	\$		\$	\$ 1,371	\$	7	\$ 1,378	16%	4%
Amortized Cost	\$		\$	\$ 1,535	\$	32	\$ 1,567	16%	4%
Alt-A (included above)									
Fair Value	\$		\$ 1,084	\$	\$	4	\$ 1,088	12%	3%
Amortized Cost	\$		\$ 1,268	\$	\$ \$	8	\$ 1,276	13%	3%

- (a) Mortgage-backed securities (MBS)
- (b) Collateralized mortgage obligations (CMO)
- (c) Asset-backed securities (ABS)

(d)

Collateralized debt obligations (CDO)

Included in our fixed maturity securities at September 30, 2008 were \$8,793 million of asset-backed securities, at fair value, which represents 24% of total invested assets. Of the total asset-backed securities, 89% were U.S. Government Agency issued or AAA rated. Of the total invested assets, \$1,378 million or 4% have exposure to sub-prime residential mortgage (sub-prime) collateral, as measured by the original deal structure, while 3% have exposure to Alternative A (Alt-A) collateral. Of the securities with sub-prime exposure, approximately 97% were rated investment grade, while 98% of the Alt-A securities were rated investment grade. We believe that each of these securities would be rated investment grade even without the benefit of any applicable third-party guarantees. In addition to sub-prime exposure in fixed maturity securities, there is exposure of approximately \$41 million through limited partnerships and sold credit default swaps which provide the buyer protection against declines in sub-prime indices. All asset-backed securities in an unrealized loss position are reviewed as part of the ongoing OTTI process, which resulted in OTTI losses of \$19 million and \$136 million after-tax for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008. Included in these OTTI losses were \$17 million and \$110 million after-tax related to securities with sub-prime and Alt-A exposure. Our review of these securities includes an analysis of cash flow modeling under various default scenarios, the seniority of the specific tranche within the deal structure, the composition of the collateral and the actual default experience. Given current market conditions and the specific facts and circumstances related to our individual sub-prime and Alt-A exposures, we believe that all remaining unrealized losses are temporary in nature. Continued deterioration in these markets beyond our current expectations may cause us to reconsider and record additional OTTI losses.

Short Term Investments

The carrying value of the components of the general account short term investment portfolio is presented in the following table.

(In millions)	Se	eptember 30, 2008	D	31, 2007
Short term investments available-for-sale: Commercial paper U.S. Treasury securities Money market funds Other, including collateral held related to securities lending	\$	1,035 2,192 121 1,380	\$	3,040 577 72 808
Total short term investments available-for-sale		4,728		4,497
Short term investments trading: Commercial paper Money market funds Other		21		35 139 6
Total short term investments trading		21		180
Total short term investments	\$	4,749	\$	4,677

Securities Lending Activities

We lend securities to unrelated parties, primarily major brokerage firms through two programs: an internally managed program and an external program managed by our lead custodial bank as agent. The securities lending program is for the purpose of enhancing income. We do not lend securities for operating or financing purposes. Borrowers of these securities must deposit and maintain collateral with us of at least 102% of the fair value of the securities loaned, adjusted to fair value daily, regardless of whether the collateral is cash or securities. Only cash collateral is accepted for our internally managed program and is typically invested in the highest quality commercial paper with maturities of less than 7 days. U.S. Government, agencies or Government National Mortgage Association securities are accepted as non-cash collateral for the external program. We maintain effective control over all loaned securities and, therefore, continue to report such securities as Fixed maturity securities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The lending programs are matched-book programs where the collateral is invested to substantially match the term of the loan which limits risk. In accordance with our lending agreements, securities on loan are returned immediately to us upon notice. The fair value of collateral held related to securities lending, included in other short term investments, was \$53 million at December 31, 2007. There was no collateral held at September 30, 2008. The fair value of non-cash collateral was \$543 million and \$273 million at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Cash Flows

Our principal operating cash flow sources are premiums and investment income from our insurance subsidiaries. Our primary operating cash flow uses are payments for claims, policy benefits and operating expenses.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, net cash provided by operating activities was \$1,261 million as compared with \$713 million for the same period in 2007. Cash provided by operating activities was favorably impacted by increased net sales of trading securities to fund policyholders withdrawals of investment contract products issued by us, decreased loss payments and decreased tax payments. Policyholders fund withdrawals are reflected as financing cash flows. Cash provided by operating activities was unfavorably impacted by decreased premium collections and decreased investment income.

Cash flows from investing activities include the purchase and sale of available-for-sale financial instruments, as well as the purchase and sale of businesses, land, buildings, equipment and other assets not generally held for resale. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, net cash used by investing activities was \$508 million as compared with \$667 million for the same period in 2007. Cash flows used by investing activities related principally to purchases of fixed maturity securities. The cash flow from investing activities is impacted by various factors such as the anticipated payment of claims, financing activity, asset/liability management and individual security buy and sell decisions made in the normal course of portfolio management.

Cash flows from financing activities include proceeds from the issuance of debt and equity securities, outflows for dividends or repayment of debt, outlays to reacquire equity instruments, and deposits and withdrawals related to investment contract products issued by us.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, net cash used by financing activities was \$733 million as compared with \$83 million for the same period in 2007. In January 2008, we repaid our \$150 million 6.45% senior note. We also purchased outstanding shares of our common stock as discussed below. Additionally, the increase in cash used for financing activities is related to increased policyholders—fund withdrawals in 2008 as compared to 2007, which are reflected as a Return of investment contract account balances on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Liquidity

We believe that our present cash flows from operations, investing activities and financing activities are sufficient to fund our working capital and debt obligation needs and we do not expect this to change in the near term due to the following factors:

We do not anticipate changes in our core property and casualty commercial insurance operations which would significantly impact liquidity and we continue to maintain reinsurance contracts which limit the impact of potential catastrophic events.

We have entered into several settlement agreements and assumed reinsurance contracts that require collateralization of future payment obligations and assumed reserves if our ratings or other specific criteria fall below certain thresholds. The ratings triggers are generally more than one level below our current ratings. A downgrade below our current ratings levels would also result in additional collateral requirements for derivative contracts for which we are in a liability position at any given point in time. At September 30, 2008, the total potential collateralization requirements amount to approximately \$90 million.

As of September 30, 2008, the registrant held short term investments of approximately \$710 million. We have an effective shelf registration statement under which we may issue debt or equity securities.

Dividends

On August 20, 2008, we paid a quarterly dividend of \$0.15 per share, to shareholders of record on August 6, 2008. In October 2008, we suspended our quarterly dividend payment. See the CNAF Preferred Issue and CCC Surplus Note section below for further details.

CNAF Preferred Issue and CCC Surplus Note

In October 2008, we agreed to sell \$1.25 billion of CNAF non-voting cumulative preferred stock (Preferred Issue) to Loews. The terms of the Preferred Issue were approved by a special committee of independent members of CNAF s Board of Directors. We intend to use \$1 billion of the proceeds from the Preferred Issue to increase the statutory surplus of our principal insurance subsidiary, Continental Casualty Company. See Note P. of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item I for further details.

Share Repurchases

Our Board of Directors has approved an authorization to purchase, in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions, our outstanding common stock, as our management deems appropriate. In the first quarter of 2008, we repurchased a total of 2,649,621 shares at an average price of \$26.53 (including commission) per share. In accordance with the terms of the Preferred Issue discussed above, common stock repurchases are prohibited. No shares of common stock were purchased during the year ended December 31, 2007.

Accounting Pronouncements

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Staff Position (FSP) FAS 133 and FIN 45-4, <u>Disclosures About Credit Derivatives and Certain Guarantees: An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 and FASB Interpretation No. 45; and Clarification of the Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 161 (FSP FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-4)

In September 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-4, which amends FASB Statement No. 133, <u>Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities</u>, to require disclosures by sellers of credit derivatives regarding the nature, circumstances requiring performance, and current status of performance risk under the derivative. This FSP also requires disclosure of the maximum amount of future payments under the derivatives, the fair value of the derivatives, and the nature of any recourse and collateral under the derivatives. This FSP also amends FASB Interpretation No. 45, <u>Guarantor s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others</u>, to require an additional disclosure about the current status of the payment/performance risk of a guarantee. This FSP is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods ending after November 15, 2008. We are currently evaluating the disclosure requirements of FSP FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-4.</u>

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains a number of forward-looking statements which relate to anticipated future events rather than actual present conditions or historical events. These statements are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and generally include words such as believes, expects, intends anticipates, estimates, and similar expressions. Forward-looking statements in this report include any and all statements regarding expected developments in our insurance business, including losses and loss reserves for asbestos and environmental pollution and other mass tort claims which are more uncertain, and therefore more difficult to estimate than loss reserves respecting traditional property and casualty exposures; the impact of routine ongoing insurance reserve reviews we are conducting; our expectations concerning our revenues, earnings, expenses and investment activities; expected cost savings and other results from our expense reduction and restructuring activities; and our proposed actions in response to trends in our business. Forward-looking statements, by their nature, are subject to a variety of inherent risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results projected in the forward-looking statement. We cannot control many of these risks and uncertainties. Some examples of these risks and uncertainties are:

general economic and business conditions, including inflationary pressures on medical care costs, construction costs and other economic sectors that increase the severity of claims and a decrease in the size and number of existing and potential insurance customers resulting from a recessionary economic climate;

changes in financial markets such as illiquidity, changes in credit spreads, lack of price transparency, fluctuations in interest rates, long term periods of low interest rates, credit conditions and currency, commodity and stock prices, correlations among previously uncorrelated asset sectors including the short and long-term effects of losses produced or threatened in relation to sub-prime residential mortgage-backed securities (sub-prime), including claims under directors and officers and errors and omissions coverages in connection with market disruptions recently experienced in relation to the sub-prime crisis and financial markets credit crisis in the U.S. economy;

the effects of corporate bankruptcies and accounting errors on capital markets, as well as on the markets for directors and officers and errors and omissions coverages, along with the effects of liquidity crises that may impact the types, liquidity and valuation of investments that may be held by insurers and their holding companies;

changes in foreign or domestic political, social and economic conditions;

regulatory initiatives and compliance with governmental regulations, judicial decisions, including interpretation of policy provisions, decisions regarding coverage and theories of liability, trends in litigation and the outcome of any litigation involving us, and rulings and changes in tax laws and regulations;

effects upon insurance markets and upon industry business practices and relationships of current litigation, investigations and regulatory activity by the New York State Attorney General s office and other authorities concerning contingent commission arrangements with brokers and bid solicitation activities;

legal and regulatory activities with respect to certain non-traditional and finite-risk insurance products, and possible resulting changes in accounting and financial reporting in relation to such products, including our restatement of financial results in May of 2005 and our relationship with an affiliate, Accord Re Ltd., as disclosed in connection with that restatement;

regulatory limitations, impositions and restrictions upon us, including the effects of assessments and other surcharges for guaranty funds and second-injury funds, other mandatory pooling arrangements and future assessments levied on insurance companies and other financial industry participants under the Emergency

Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 recoupment provisions;

the impact of competitive products, policies and pricing and the competitive environment in which we operate, including changes in our book of business;

product and policy availability and demand and market responses, including the level of ability to obtain rate increases and decline or non-renew under priced accounts, to achieve premium targets and profitability and to realize growth and retention estimates;

development of claims and the impact on loss reserves, including changes in claim settlement policies;

the effectiveness of current initiatives by claims management to reduce loss and expense ratios through more efficacious claims handling techniques;

the performance of reinsurance companies under reinsurance contracts with us;

results of financing efforts, including a decrease in lenders willing to lend to the financial sector, the availability of bank credit facilities and the dimunition in the number and competition among investment banks and other capital markets providers;

changes in our composition of operating segments;

weather and other natural physical events, including the severity and frequency of storms, hail, snowfall and other winter conditions, natural disasters such as hurricanes and earthquakes, as well as climate change, including effects on weather patterns, greenhouse gases, sea, land and air temperatures, sea levels, rain and snow;

regulatory requirements imposed by coastal state regulators in the wake of hurricanes or other natural disasters, including limitations on the ability to exit markets or to non-renew, cancel or change terms and conditions in policies, as well as mandatory assessments to fund any shortfalls arising from the inability of quasi-governmental insurers to pay claims;

man-made disasters, including the possible occurrence of terrorist attacks and the effect of the absence or insufficiency of applicable terrorism legislation on coverages;

the unpredictability of the nature, targets, severity or frequency of potential terrorist events, as well as the uncertainty as to our ability to contain our terrorism exposure effectively, notwithstanding the extension through December 31, 2014 of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002;

the occurrence of epidemics;

exposure to liabilities due to claims made by insureds and others relating to asbestos remediation and health-based asbestos impairments, as well as exposure to liabilities for environmental pollution, construction defect claims and exposure to liabilities due to claims made by insureds and others relating to lead-based paint and other mass torts;

the sufficiency of our loss reserves and the possibility of future increases in reserves;

regulatory limitations and restrictions, including limitations upon our ability to receive dividends from our insurance subsidiaries imposed by state regulatory agencies and minimum risk-based capital standards established by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners;

the risks and uncertainties associated with our loss reserves as outlined in the Critical Accounting Estimates and the Reserves Estimates and Uncertainties sections of our Annual Report on Form 10-K;

the level of success in integrating acquired businesses and operations, and in consolidating, or selling existing ones;

the possibility of changes in our ratings by ratings agencies, including the inability to access certain markets or distribution channels and the required collateralization of future payment obligations as a result of such changes, and changes in rating agency policies and practices; and

the actual closing of contemplated transactions and agreements.

Our forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which they are made and we do not undertake any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date of the statement, even if our expectations or any related events or circumstances change.

CNA Financial Corporation

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There were no material changes in our market risk components for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. See the Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk included in Item 7A of our Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the year ended December 31, 2007, as amended by Form 10-K/A which amended Part I, Item 1 for further information. Additional information related to portfolio duration and market conditions is discussed in the Investments section of the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in Part I, Item 2 and Risk Factors included in Part II, Item 1A.

CNA Financial Corporation Item 4. Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains a system of disclosure controls and procedures which are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits to the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), including this report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported on a timely basis. These disclosure controls and procedures include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company s management on a timely basis to allow decisions regarding required disclosure. As of September 30, 2008, the Company s management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)). Based on this evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are effective. There has been no change in the Company s internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended September 30, 2008 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

76

CNA Financial Corporation

Part II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Information on our legal proceedings is set forth in Notes G and H of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part I, Item 1.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, as amended by Form 10-K/A which amended Part I, Item 1 (Form 10-K), includes a detailed discussion of certain material risk factors facing us. The information presented below describes updates and additions to such risk factors and should be read in conjunction with the risk factors and information disclosed in our Form 10-K.

Deterioration in the public debt and equity markets could lead to additional investment losses.

The prolonged and severe disruptions in the public debt and equity markets, including among other things, widening of credit spreads, bankruptcies and government intervention in a number of large financial institutions, have resulted in significant realized and unrealized losses in our investment portfolio. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, we incurred substantial realized and unrealized investment losses, as described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations under Part I, Item 2 of this report. Subsequent to September 30, 2008, through the date of this report, conditions in the public debt and equity markets have continued to deteriorate and pricing levels have continued to decline. As a result, depending on market conditions, we could incur substantial additional realized and unrealized losses in future periods, which could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, equity, business and insurer financial strength and debt ratings.

The Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 may impact the fair value determinations of our invested assets and may lead to regulatory limitations, impositions and restrictions upon us.

One of the main features of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (the Act), which took effect October 3, 2008, is the establishment of the Troubled Assets Relief Program (TARP). Although we are eligible to participate in TARP, we have not yet decided whether to tender our eligible securities. Regardless of our participation decision, several provisions of the Act could affect us. Purchase prices under TARP could impact market-place fair values of similar securities, thereby impacting our fair value determinations. Also, the mandatory plan adopted to recoup the net losses of TARP within the next five years may target financial institutions such as us and may lead to regulatory limitations, impositions and future assessments. All of these factors may have an adverse material impact on our results of operations, equity, business and insurer financial strength and debt ratings.

CNA Financial Corporation Part II. Other Information Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits

Description of Exhibit Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated as of August 20, 2008, by and between CNA Financial Corporation and Stephen W. Lilienthal	Exhibit Number 10.1
Employment Agreement, dated as of April 1, 2008, by and between Continental Casualty Company and Jonathan D. Kantor	10.2
Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated as of July 1, 2008, by and between Continental Casualty Company and Larry A. Haefner	10.3
Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated as of July 1, 2008, by and between Continental Casualty Company and D. Craig Mense	10.4
Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 27, 2008, between CNA Financial Corporation and Loews Corporation	10.5
First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated as of October 24, 2008, by and between CNA Financial Corporation and Thomas F. Motamed	10.6
Certification of Chief Executive Officer	31.1
Certification of Chief Financial Officer	31.2
Written Statement of the Chief Executive Officer of CNA Financial Corporation Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (As adopted by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002)	32.1
Written Statement of the Chief Financial Officer of CNA Financial Corporation Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (As adopted by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) 78	32.2

CNA Financial Corporation Part II. Other Information SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CNA Financial Corporation

Dated: October 27, 2008 By /s/ D. Craig Mense

D. Craig Mense Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

79