

COCA COLA BOTTLING CO CONSOLIDATED /DE/

Form 10-Q

November 12, 2010

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q

☒ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended October 3, 2010

Commission File Number 0-9286

COCA-COLA BOTTLING CO. CONSOLIDATED

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

56-0950585

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

4100 Coca-Cola Plaza, Charlotte, North Carolina 28211

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(704) 557-4400

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☐ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☒

Non-accelerated filer ☐

Smaller reporting
company ☐

(Do not check if a smaller
reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at October 29, 2010
Common Stock, \$1.00 Par Value	7,141,447
Class B Common Stock, \$1.00 Par Value	2,044,202

**COCA-COLA BOTTLING CO. CONSOLIDATED
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 3, 2010
INDEX**

	Page
<u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity</u>	6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	7
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	8
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	35
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	58
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	59
<u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	60
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	61
<u>Signatures</u>	62
<u>EX-10.1</u>	
<u>EX-10.2</u>	
<u>EX-10.3</u>	
<u>EX-10.4</u>	
<u>EX-10.5</u>	
<u>EX-10.6</u>	
<u>EX-12</u>	
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-32</u>	

Table of Contents

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

In Thousands (Except Per Share Data)

	Third Quarter		First Nine Months	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net sales	\$ 395,364	\$ 374,556	\$ 1,160,223	\$ 1,088,566
Cost of sales	222,247	217,236	672,395	623,990
Gross margin	173,117	157,320	487,828	464,576
Selling, delivery and administrative expenses	139,455	131,024	406,689	386,461
Income from operations	33,662	26,296	81,139	78,115
Interest expense, net	8,841	8,866	26,453	28,059
Income before income taxes	24,821	17,430	54,686	50,056
Income tax expense	7,610	1,043	18,936	11,928
Net income	17,211	16,387	35,750	38,128
Less: Net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest	1,678	959	3,514	1,982
Net income attributable to Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated	\$ 15,533	\$ 15,428	\$ 32,236	\$ 36,146
Basic net income per share based on net income attributable to Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated:				
Common Stock	\$ 1.69	\$ 1.68	\$ 3.51	\$ 3.94
Weighted average number of Common Stock shares outstanding	7,141	7,141	7,141	7,047
Class B Common Stock	\$ 1.69	\$ 1.68	\$ 3.51	\$ 3.94
Weighted average number of Class B Common Stock shares outstanding	2,044	2,022	2,039	2,117
Diluted net income per share based on net income attributable to Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated:				
Common Stock	\$ 1.68	\$ 1.68	\$ 3.50	\$ 3.93
Weighted average number of Common Stock shares outstanding assuming dilution	9,225	9,203	9,220	9,194

Edgar Filing: COCA COLA BOTTLING CO CONSOLIDATED /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Class B Common Stock	\$ 1.68	\$ 1.67	\$ 3.48	\$ 3.92
Weighted average number of Class B Common Stock shares outstanding assuming dilution	2,084	2,062	2,079	2,147
Cash dividends per share:				
Common Stock	\$.25	\$.25	\$.75	\$.75
Class B Common Stock	\$.25	\$.25	\$.75	\$.75

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

3

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
In Thousands (Except Share Data)

	Unaudited Oct. 3, 2010	Jan. 3, 2010	Unaudited Sept. 27, 2009
<u>ASSETS</u>			
<u>Current Assets:</u>			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 30,424	\$ 17,770	\$ 25,062
Restricted cash	3,500	4,500	4,512
Accounts receivable, trade, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,261, \$2,187 and \$1,971, respectively	115,554	92,727	96,263
Accounts receivable from The Coca-Cola Company	20,165	4,109	17,460
Accounts receivable, other	23,382	17,005	17,015
Inventories	62,686	59,122	67,762
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	31,817	35,016	25,398
Total current assets	287,528	230,249	253,472
 Property, plant and equipment, net	 312,759	 326,701	 319,456
Leased property under capital leases, net	48,029	51,548	52,727
Other assets	40,645	46,508	46,001
Franchise rights	520,672	520,672	520,672
Goodwill	102,049	102,049	102,049
Other identifiable intangible assets, net	4,983	5,350	5,489
 Total	 \$ 1,316,665	 \$ 1,283,077	 \$ 1,299,866

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
 In Thousands (Except Share Data)

	Unaudited Oct. 3, 2010	Jan. 3, 2010	Unaudited Sept. 27, 2009
<u>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</u>			
<u>Current Liabilities:</u>			
Current portion of debt	\$	\$	\$
Current portion of obligations under capital leases	3,861	3,846	3,759
Accounts payable, trade	38,377	36,794	32,597
Accounts payable to The Coca-Cola Company	43,394	27,880	43,601
Other accrued liabilities	65,119	61,978	64,208
Accrued compensation	26,385	25,963	23,195
Accrued interest payable	10,056	5,521	12,487
Total current liabilities	187,192	161,982	179,847
Deferred income taxes	158,359	158,548	142,239
Pension and postretirement benefit obligations	81,021	89,306	99,066
Other liabilities	108,417	106,968	103,788
Obligations under capital leases	56,386	59,261	60,247
Long-term debt	523,025	537,917	552,882
Total liabilities	1,114,400	1,113,982	1,138,069
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 14)			
<u>Equity:</u>			
Common Stock, \$1.00 par value:			
Authorized 30,000,000 shares;			
Issued 10,203,821 shares	10,204	10,204	10,204
Class B Common Stock, \$1.00 par value:			
Authorized 10,000,000 shares;			
Issued 2,672,316, 2,649,996 and 2,649,996 shares, respectively	2,671	2,649	2,649
Capital in excess of par value	104,758	103,464	103,562
Retained earnings	133,347	107,995	108,295
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(43,779)	(46,767)	(54,038)
	207,201	177,545	170,672
Less-Treasury stock, at cost:			
Common 3,062,374 shares	60,845	60,845	60,845
Class B Common 628,114 shares	409	409	409
Total equity of Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated	145,947	116,291	109,418
Noncontrolling interest	56,318	52,804	52,379

Total equity	202,265	169,095	161,797
Total	\$ 1,316,665	\$ 1,283,077	\$ 1,299,866

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

5

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY (UNAUDITED)

In Thousands

	Class		Capital	Accumulated			Total		
	Common	Class B Common	in Excess of Par Value	Retained Earnings	Other Comprehensive Loss	Treasury Stock	Equity of CCBCC	Noncontrolling Interest	Total Equity
Balance on Dec. 28, 2008	\$ 9,706	\$ 3,127	\$ 103,582	\$ 79,021	\$ (57,873)	\$ (61,254)	\$ 76,309	\$ 50,397	\$ 126,706
Comprehensive income:									
Net income				36,146			36,146	1,982	38,128
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax					1		1		1
Pension and postretirement benefit adjustments, net of tax					3,834		3,834		3,834
Total comprehensive income							39,981	1,982	41,963
Cash dividends paid									
Common (\$.75 per share)				(5,232)			(5,232)		(5,232)
Class B Common (\$.75 per share)				(1,640)			(1,640)		(1,640)
Issuance of 20,000 shares of Class B Common Stock		20	(20)						
Conversion of Class B Common Stock into Common Stock	498	(498)							
Balance on Sept. 27, 2009	\$ 10,204	\$ 2,649	\$ 103,562	\$ 108,295	\$ (54,038)	\$ (61,254)	\$ 109,418	\$ 52,379	\$ 161,797

Balance on Jan. 3, 2010	\$ 10,204	\$ 2,649	\$ 103,464	\$ 107,995	\$ (46,767)	\$ (61,254)	\$ 116,291	\$ 52,804	\$ 169,095
Comprehensive income:									
Net income				32,236			32,236	3,514	35,750
Ownership share of Southeastern OCI					39		39		39
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax					(7)		(7)		(7)
Pension and postretirement benefit adjustments, net of tax					2,956		2,956		2,956
Total comprehensive income							35,224	3,514	38,738
Cash dividends paid Common (\$.75 per share) Class B Common (\$.75 per share) Issuance of 22,320 shares of Class B Common Stock				(5,356)		(5,356)			(5,356)
				(1,528)		(1,528)			(1,528)
		22	1,294				1,316		1,316
Balance on Oct. 3, 2010	\$ 10,204	\$ 2,671	\$ 104,758	\$ 133,347	\$ (43,779)	\$ (61,254)	\$ 145,947	\$ 56,318	\$ 202,265

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)
In Thousands

	First Nine Months	
	2010	2009
<u>Cash Flows from Operating Activities</u>		
Net income	\$ 35,750	\$ 38,128
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation expense	44,163	45,526
Amortization of intangibles	367	421
Deferred income taxes	2,188	6,470
Loss on sale of property, plant and equipment	1,211	767
Impairment of property, plant and equipment	425	
Net gain on property, plant and equipment damaged in flood	(881)	
Amortization of debt costs	1,760	1,811
Amortization of deferred gain related to terminated interest rate agreements	(907)	(1,770)
Stock compensation expense	1,588	1,464
Insurance proceeds received for flood damage	1,450	
Increase in current assets less current liabilities	(22,043)	(13,063)
(Increase) decrease in other noncurrent assets	4,434	(12,606)
Decrease in other noncurrent liabilities	(5,368)	(8,813)
Other	(13)	1
Total adjustments	28,374	20,208
Net cash provided by operating activities	64,124	58,336
<u>Cash Flows from Investing Activities</u>		
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(29,011)	(29,776)
Proceeds from the sale of property, plant and equipment	1,373	4,942
(Increase) decrease in restricted cash	1,000	(4,512)
Net cash used in investing activities	(26,638)	(29,346)
<u>Cash Flows from Financing Activities</u>		
Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt, net		108,062
Borrowings (repayments) under revolving credit facility	(15,000)	30,000
Repayment current portion of long-term debt		(176,693)
Cash dividends paid	(6,884)	(6,872)
Payments for the termination of interest rate lock agreements		(340)
Principal payments on capital lease obligations	(2,860)	(2,364)
Debt issuance costs paid		(1,042)
Other	(88)	(86)
Net cash used in financing activities	(24,832)	(49,335)

Net increase (decrease) in cash	12,654	(20,345)
Cash at beginning of period	17,770	45,407
Cash at end of period	\$ 30,424	\$ 25,062

Significant non-cash investing and financing activities:

Issuance of Class B Common Stock in connection with stock award	\$ 1,316	\$ 1,130
Capital lease obligations incurred		660

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

7

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

1. Significant Accounting Policies

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated and its majority owned subsidiaries (the Company). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. The consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented. All such adjustments are of a normal, recurring nature. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial reporting and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all information and footnotes required by GAAP. The preparation of consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to current classifications.

The accounting policies followed in the presentation of interim financial results are consistent with those followed on an annual basis. These policies are presented in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 3, 2010 filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

2. Seasonality of Business

Historically, operating results for the third quarter and the first nine months of the fiscal year have not been representative of results for the entire fiscal year. Business seasonality results primarily from higher unit sales of the Company's products in the second and third quarters versus the first and fourth quarters of the fiscal year. Fixed costs, such as depreciation expense, are not significantly impacted by business seasonality.

3. Piedmont Coca-Cola Bottling Partnership

On July 2, 1993, the Company and The Coca-Cola Company formed Piedmont Coca-Cola Bottling Partnership (Piedmont) to distribute and market nonalcoholic beverages primarily in portions of North Carolina and South Carolina. The Company provides a portion of the nonalcoholic beverage products to Piedmont at cost and receives a fee for managing the operations of Piedmont pursuant to a management agreement. These intercompany transactions are eliminated in the consolidated financial statements.

Noncontrolling interest as of October 3, 2010, January 3, 2010 and September 27, 2009 represents the portion of Piedmont owned by The Coca-Cola Company. The Coca-Cola Company's interest in Piedmont was 22.7% for all periods presented.

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

4. Inventories

Inventories were summarized as follows:

In Thousands	Oct. 3, 2010	Jan. 3, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009
Finished products	\$36,149	\$33,686	\$40,576
Manufacturing materials	8,284	8,275	7,968
Plastic shells, plastic pallets and other inventories	18,253	17,161	19,218
Total inventories	\$62,686	\$59,122	\$67,762

5. Property, Plant and Equipment

The principal categories and estimated useful lives of property, plant and equipment were as follows:

In Thousands	Oct. 3, 2010	Jan. 3, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009	Estimated Useful Lives
Land	\$ 12,966	\$ 12,671	\$ 12,167	
Buildings	117,131	111,314	110,059	10-50 years
Machinery and equipment	132,088	127,068	124,410	5-20 years
Transportation equipment	151,215	156,692	165,867	4-17 years
Furniture and fixtures	35,613	36,573	37,363	4-10 years
Cold drink dispensing equipment	314,352	312,079	309,727	6-15 years
Leasehold and land improvements	67,152	64,390	61,937	5-20 years
Software for internal use	68,449	65,290	65,022	3-10 years
Construction in progress	2,944	7,907	2,426	
Total property, plant and equipment, at cost	901,910	893,984	888,978	
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	589,151	567,283	569,522	
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$312,759	\$326,701	\$319,456	

Depreciation and amortization expense was \$14.9 million and \$15.1 million in the third quarter of 2010 (Q3 2010) and the third quarter of 2009 (Q3 2009), respectively. Depreciation and amortization expense was \$44.2 million and \$45.5 million in the first nine months of 2010 (YTD 2010) and the first nine months of 2009 (YTD 2009), respectively. These amounts included amortization expense for leased property under capital leases.

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

6. Leased Property Under Capital Leases

Leased property under capital leases was summarized as follows:

	Oct. 3,	Jan. 3,	Sept. 27,	Estimated
In Thousands	2010	2010	2009	Useful Lives
Leased property under capital leases	\$76,877	\$76,877	\$76,877	3-20 years
Less: Accumulated amortization	28,848	25,329	24,150	
Leased property under capital leases, net	\$48,029	\$51,548	\$52,727	

As of October 3, 2010, real estate represented \$47.7 million of the leased property under capital leases and \$46.2 million of this real estate is leased from related parties as described in Note 19 to the consolidated financial statements.

The Company modified a related party lease and terminated a second lease in the first quarter of 2009 (Q1 2009). See Note 19 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information on the lease modification.

The Company's outstanding lease obligations for these capital leases were \$60.2 million, \$63.1 million and \$64.0 million as of October 3, 2010, January 3, 2010 and September 27, 2009, respectively.

7. Franchise Rights and Goodwill

There was no change in the carrying amounts of franchise rights and goodwill in the periods presented. The Company performs its annual impairment test of franchise rights and goodwill as of the first day of the fourth quarter. During YTD 2010, the Company did not experience any triggering events or changes in circumstances that indicated the carrying amounts of the Company's franchise rights or goodwill exceeded fair values. As such, the Company has not recognized any impairments of franchise rights or goodwill.

8. Other Identifiable Intangible Assets

Other identifiable intangible assets were summarized as follows:

	Oct. 3,	Jan. 3,	Sept. 27,	Estimated
In Thousands	2010	2010	2009	Useful Lives
Other identifiable intangible assets	\$8,665	\$8,665	\$8,665	1-20 years
Less: Accumulated amortization	3,682	3,315	3,176	
Other identifiable intangible assets, net	\$4,983	\$5,350	\$5,489	

Other identifiable intangible assets primarily represent customer relationships and distribution rights.

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

9. Other Accrued Liabilities

Other accrued liabilities were summarized as follows:

In Thousands	Oct. 3, 2010	Jan. 3, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009
Accrued marketing costs	\$15,809	\$ 9,738	\$ 9,987
Accrued insurance costs	18,012	18,086	17,940
Accrued taxes (other than income taxes)	2,830	408	2,480
Accrued income taxes			3,000
Employee benefit plan accruals	10,985	12,015	12,126
Checks and transfers yet to be presented for payment from zero balance cash accounts	9,795	11,862	11,950
All other accrued liabilities	7,688	9,869	6,725
Total other accrued liabilities	\$65,119	\$61,978	\$64,208

10. Debt

Debt was summarized as follows:

In Thousands	Maturity	Interest Rate	Interest Paid	Oct. 3, 2010	Jan. 3, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009
Revolving Credit Facility	2012		Varies	\$	\$ 15,000	\$ 30,000
Senior Notes	2012	5.00%	Semi-annually	150,000	150,000	150,000
Senior Notes	2015	5.30%	Semi-annually	100,000	100,000	100,000
Senior Notes	2016	5.00%	Semi-annually	164,757	164,757	164,757
Senior Notes	2019	7.00%	Semi-annually	110,000	110,000	110,000
Unamortized discount on Senior Notes	2019			(1,732)	(1,840)	(1,875)
				523,025	537,917	552,882
Less: Current portion of debt						
Long-term debt				\$523,025	\$537,917	\$552,882

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

10. Debt

On March 8, 2007, the Company entered into a \$200 million revolving credit facility (\$200 million facility), replacing its \$100 million revolving credit facility. The \$200 million facility matures in March 2012 and includes an option to extend the term for an additional year at the discretion of the participating banks. The \$200 million facility bears interest at a floating base rate or a floating rate of LIBOR plus an interest rate spread of .35%, dependent on the length of the term of the interest period. In addition, the Company must pay an annual facility fee of .10% of the lenders aggregate commitments under the facility. Both the interest rate spread and the facility fee are determined from a commonly-used pricing grid based on the Company s long-term senior unsecured debt rating. The \$200 million facility contains two financial covenants: a fixed charges coverage ratio and a debt to operating cash flow ratio, each as defined in the credit agreement. The fixed charges coverage ratio requires the Company to maintain a consolidated cash flow to fixed charges ratio of 1.5 to 1 or higher. The operating cash flow ratio requires the Company to maintain a debt to cash flow ratio of 6.0 to 1 or lower. The Company is currently in compliance with these covenants. These covenants do not currently, and the Company does not anticipate they will, restrict its liquidity or capital resources. On July 1, 2009, the Company borrowed \$55.0 million under the \$200 million facility and used the proceeds, along with \$2.4 million of cash on hand, to repay at maturity the Company s \$57.4 million outstanding 7.20% Debentures due July 2009. On October 3, 2010, the Company had no outstanding borrowings on the \$200 million facility. The Company had \$15 million and \$30 million of outstanding borrowings on the \$200 million facility as of January 3, 2010 and September 27, 2009, respectively.

In April 2009, the Company issued \$110 million of unsecured 7% Senior Notes due 2019. The proceeds plus cash on hand were used to repay the \$119.3 million debt maturity on May 1, 2009.

On February 10, 2010, the Company entered into an agreement for an uncommitted line of credit. Under this agreement, the Company may borrow up to a total of \$20 million for periods of 7 days, 30 days, 60 days or 90 days. On October 3, 2010, the Company had no outstanding borrowings under the uncommitted line of credit.

The Company had a weighted average interest rate of 5.8%, 5.6% and 5.5% for its debt and capital lease obligations as of October 3, 2010, January 3, 2010 and September 27, 2009, respectively. The Company s overall weighted average interest rate on its debt and capital lease obligations was 5.9% for YTD 2010 compared to 5.7% for YTD 2009. As of October 3, 2010, approximately 4.8% of the Company s debt and capital lease obligations of \$583.3 million was subject to changes in short-term interest rates.

The Company s public debt is not subject to financial covenants but does limit the incurrence of certain liens and encumbrances as well as the incurrence of indebtedness by the Company s subsidiaries in excess of certain amounts. All of the outstanding long-term debt has been issued by the Company with none being issued by any of the Company s subsidiaries. There are no guarantees of the Company s debt.

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

11. Derivative Financial Instruments

Interest

The Company periodically uses interest rate hedging products to modify risk from interest rate fluctuations. The Company has historically altered its fixed/floating rate mix based upon anticipated cash flows from operations relative to the Company's debt level and the potential impact of changes in interest rates on the Company's overall financial condition. Sensitivity analyses are performed to review the impact on the Company's financial position and coverage of various interest rate movements. The Company does not use derivative financial instruments for trading purposes nor does it use leveraged financial instruments.

On September 18, 2008, the Company terminated six outstanding interest rate swap agreements with a notional amount of \$225 million receiving \$6.2 million in cash proceeds including \$1.1 million for previously accrued interest receivable. After accounting for the previously accrued interest receivable, the Company is amortizing the gain of \$5.1 million over the remaining term of the underlying debt. During YTD 2010 and YTD 2009, \$0.7 million and \$1.0 million of the gain, respectively, was amortized. The remaining amount to be amortized is \$2.7 million. All of the Company's interest rate swap agreements were LIBOR-based.

The Company had no interest rate swap agreements outstanding at October 3, 2010, January 3, 2010 and September 27, 2009.

Commodities

The Company is subject to the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in commodity prices. In the normal course of business, the Company manages these risks through a variety of strategies, including the use of derivative instruments. The Company does not use derivative instruments for trading or speculative purposes. All derivative instruments are recorded at fair value as either assets or liabilities in the Company's consolidated balance sheets. These derivative instruments are not designated as hedging instruments under GAAP and are used as economic hedges to manage commodity price risk. Currently the Company has derivative instruments to hedge some or all of its projected diesel fuel and aluminum purchase requirements. These derivative instruments are marked to market on a monthly basis and recognized in earnings consistent with the expense classification of the underlying hedged item. Settlements of derivative agreements are included in cash flows from operating activities on the Company's consolidated statements of cash flows.

The Company uses several different financial institutions for commodity derivative instruments to minimize the concentration of credit risk. While the Company is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by these counterparties, the Company does not anticipate nonperformance by these parties. The Company has master agreements with the counterparties to its derivative financial agreements that provide for net settlement of derivative transactions.

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

11. Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company used derivative instruments to hedge substantially all of the diesel fuel purchases for 2009 and is using derivative instruments to hedge substantially all of the diesel fuel purchases for 2010. These derivative instruments relate to diesel fuel used by the Company's delivery fleet. During the first quarter of 2009, the Company began using derivative instruments to hedge approximately 75% of the projected 2010 aluminum purchase requirements. During the second quarter of 2009, the Company entered into derivative agreements to hedge approximately 75% of the projected 2011 aluminum purchase requirements.

The following summarizes Q3 2010 and Q3 2009 net gains and losses on the Company's fuel and aluminum derivative financial instruments and the classification, either as cost of sales or selling, delivery and administrative (S,D&A) expenses, of such net gains and losses in the consolidated statements of operations:

In Thousands	Classification of Gain (Loss)	Third Quarter	
		2010	2009
Fuel hedges contract premium and contract settlement	S,D&A expenses	\$ (213)	\$ (138)
Fuel hedges mark-to-market adjustment	S,D&A expenses	82	(497)
Aluminum hedges contract premium and contract settlement	Cost of sales	98	
Aluminum hedges mark-to-market adjustment	Cost of sales	3,003	1,440
Total Net Gain (Loss)		\$2,970	\$ 805

The following summarizes YTD 2010 and YTD 2009 net gains and losses on the Company's fuel and aluminum derivative financial instruments and the classification of such net gains and losses in the consolidated statements of operations:

In Thousands	Classification of Gain (Loss)	First Nine Months	
		2010	2009
Fuel hedges contract premium and contract settlement	S,D&A expenses	\$ (243)	\$ (947)
Fuel hedges mark-to-market adjustment	S,D&A expenses	(1,274)	2,921
Aluminum hedges contract premium and contract settlement	Cost of sales	609	
Aluminum hedges mark-to-market adjustment	Cost of sales	(3,210)	5,326
Total Net Gain (Loss)		\$(4,118)	\$7,300

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

11. Derivative Financial Instruments

The following summarizes the fair values and classification in the consolidated balance sheets of derivative instruments held by the Company as of October 3, 2010, January 3, 2010 and September 27, 2009:

In Thousands	Balance Sheet Classification	Oct. 3, 2010	Jan. 3, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009
Assets				
Fuel hedges at fair market value	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$ 343	\$1,617	\$ 584
Unamortized cost of fuel hedging agreements	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	246	863	859
Aluminum hedges at fair market value	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	5,660	3,303	968
Unamortized cost of aluminum hedging agreements	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,284	967	716
Total		\$8,533	\$6,750	\$3,127
Liabilities				
Fuel hedges at fair market value	Other assets	\$	\$	\$ 353
Unamortized cost of fuel hedging agreements	Other assets			246
Aluminum hedges at fair market value	Other assets	1,582	7,149	4,358
Unamortized cost of aluminum hedging agreements	Other assets	651	2,453	2,935
Total		\$2,233	\$9,602	\$7,892

The following table summarizes the Company's outstanding derivative agreements as of October 3, 2010:

In Thousands	Notional Amount	Latest Maturity
Fuel hedging agreements	\$ 2,621	December 2010
Aluminum hedging agreements	36,258	December 2011

12. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating the fair values of its financial instruments:

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Restricted Cash, Accounts Receivable and Accounts Payable

The fair values of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate carrying values due to the short maturity of these items.

Public Debt Securities

The fair values of the Company's public debt securities are based on estimated current market prices.

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

12. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Non-Public Variable Rate Debt

The carrying amounts of the Company's variable rate borrowings approximate their fair values.

Deferred Compensation Plan Assets/Liabilities

The fair values of deferred compensation plan assets and liabilities, which are held in mutual funds, are based upon the quoted market value of the securities held within the mutual funds.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The fair values for the Company's fuel hedging and aluminum hedging agreements are based on current settlement values. The fair values of the fuel hedging and aluminum hedging agreements at each balance sheet date represent the estimated amounts the Company would have received or paid upon termination of these agreements. Credit risk related to the derivative financial instruments is managed by requiring high standards for its counterparties and periodic settlements. The Company considers nonperformance risk in determining the fair value of derivative financial instruments.

The carrying amounts and fair values of the Company's debt, deferred compensation plan assets and liabilities, and derivative financial instruments were as follows:

In Thousands	Oct. 3, 2010		Jan. 3, 2010		Sept. 27, 2009	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Public debt securities	\$(523,025)	\$(580,380)	\$(522,917)	\$(557,758)	\$(522,882)	\$(559,627)
Non-public variable rate debt			(15,000)	(15,000)	(30,000)	(30,000)
Deferred compensation plan assets	9,040	9,040	8,471	8,471	7,996	7,996
Deferred compensation plan liabilities	(9,040)	(9,040)	(8,471)	(8,471)	(7,996)	(7,996)
Fuel hedging agreements	343	343	1,617	1,617	937	937
Aluminum hedging agreements	7,242	7,242	10,452	10,452	5,326	5,326

The fair values of the fuel hedging and aluminum hedging agreements at October 3, 2010, January 3, 2010 and September 27, 2009 represented the estimated amount the Company would have received upon termination of these agreements.

GAAP requires that assets and liabilities carried at fair value be classified and disclosed in one of the following categories:

Level 1: Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Observable market based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data.

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

12. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following table summarizes, by assets and liabilities, the valuation of the Company's deferred compensation plan, aluminum hedging agreements and fuel hedging agreements:

In Thousands	Oct. 3, 2010		Jan. 3, 2010		Sept. 27, 2009	
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 1	Level 2	Level 1	Level 2
Assets						
Deferred compensation plan assets	\$9,040		\$8,471		\$7,996	
Fuel hedging agreements		\$ 343		\$ 1,617		\$ 937
Aluminum hedging agreements		7,242		10,452		5,326

Liabilities

Deferred compensation plan liabilities	9,040		8,471		7,996	
--	-------	--	-------	--	-------	--

The Company maintains a non-qualified deferred compensation plan for certain executives and other highly compensated employees. The investment assets are held in mutual funds. The fair value of the mutual funds is based on the quoted market value of the securities held within the funds (Level 1). The related deferred compensation liability represents the fair value of the investment assets.

The Company's fuel hedging agreements are based upon NYMEX rates that are observable and quoted periodically over the full term of the agreement and are considered Level 2 items.

The Company's aluminum hedging agreements are based upon LME rates that are observable and quoted periodically over the full term of the agreement and are considered Level 2 items.

The Company does not have Level 3 assets or liabilities. Also, there were no transfers of assets or liabilities between Level 1 and Level 2 for any of the periods presented.

13. Other Liabilities

Other liabilities were summarized as follows:

In Thousands	Oct. 3, 2010	Jan. 3, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009
Accruals for executive benefit plans	\$ 89,322	\$ 85,382	\$ 83,825
Other	19,095	21,586	19,963
Total other liabilities	\$108,417	\$106,968	\$103,788

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

14. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is a member of South Atlantic Canners, Inc. (SAC), a manufacturing cooperative from which it is obligated to purchase 17.5 million cases of finished product on an annual basis through May 2014. The Company is also a member of Southeastern Container (Southeastern), a plastic bottle manufacturing cooperative from which it is obligated to purchase at least 80% of its requirements of plastic bottles for certain designated territories. See Note 19 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information concerning SAC and Southeastern.

The Company guarantees a portion of SAC's and Southeastern's debt and lease obligations. The amounts guaranteed were \$35.7 million, \$30.5 million and \$38.4 million as of October 3, 2010, January 3, 2010 and September 27, 2009, respectively. The Company has not recorded any liability associated with these guarantees and holds no assets as collateral against these guarantees. The guarantees relate to the debt and lease obligations of SAC and Southeastern, which resulted primarily from the purchase of production equipment and facilities. These guarantees expire at various dates through 2021. The members of both cooperatives consist solely of Coca-Cola bottlers. The Company does not anticipate either of these cooperatives will fail to fulfill its commitments. The Company further believes each of these cooperatives has sufficient assets, including production equipment, facilities and working capital, and the ability to adjust selling prices of their products which adequately mitigate the risk of material loss from the Company's guarantees. In the event either of these cooperatives fails to fulfill its commitments under the related debt and lease obligations, the Company would be responsible for payments to the lenders up to the level of the guarantees. If these cooperatives had borrowed up to their borrowing capacity, the Company's maximum exposure under these guarantees on October 3, 2010 would have been \$25.2 million for SAC and \$25.2 million for Southeastern and the Company's maximum total exposure, including its equity investment, would have been \$30.8 million for SAC and \$40.9 million for Southeastern.

The Company has been purchasing plastic bottles from Southeastern and finished products from SAC for more than ten years and has never had to pay against these guarantees.

The Company has an equity ownership in each of the entities in addition to the guarantees of certain indebtedness and records its investment in each under the equity method. As of October 3, 2010, SAC had total assets of approximately \$43.9 million and total debt of approximately \$17.8 million. SAC had total revenues for YTD 2010 of approximately \$132.6 million. As of October 3, 2010, Southeastern had total assets of approximately \$405 million and total debt of approximately \$212 million. Southeastern had total revenue for YTD 2010 of approximately \$446 million.

The Company has standby letters of credit, primarily related to its property and casualty insurance programs. On October 3, 2010, these letters of credit totaled \$23.1 million. The Company was required to maintain \$4.5 million of restricted cash for letters of credit beginning in the second quarter of 2009 which was reduced to \$3.5 million in the second quarter of 2010.

The Company participates in long-term marketing contractual arrangements with certain prestige properties, athletic venues and other locations. The future payments related to these contractual arrangements as of October 3, 2010 amounted to \$18.7 million and expire at various dates through 2018.

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

14. Commitments and Contingencies

During May 2010, Nashville, Tennessee experienced a severe rain storm which caused extensive flood damage in the area. The Company has a production/sales distribution facility located in the flooded area. Due to damage incurred during this flood, the Company recorded a loss of approximately \$.2 million on uninsured cold drink equipment. This loss was offset by gains of approximately \$1.1 million for the excess of insurance proceeds received as compared to the net book value of production equipment damaged as a result of the flood. In YTD 2010, the Company recorded a receivable of \$7.1 million for insured losses of which \$1.5 million has already been collected as of the end of Q3 2010. The Company does not expect to incur any significant expenses related to the Nashville area flood for the remainder of 2010.

The Company is involved in various claims and legal proceedings which have arisen in the ordinary course of its business. Although it is difficult to predict the ultimate outcome of these claims and legal proceedings, management believes the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, cash flows or results of operations of the Company. No material amount of loss in excess of recorded amounts is believed to be reasonably possible as a result of these claims and legal proceedings.

The Company is subject to audit by tax authorities in jurisdictions where it conducts business. These audits may result in assessments that are subsequently resolved with the tax authorities or potentially through the courts. Management believes the Company has adequately provided for any assessments that are likely to result from these audits; however, final assessments, if any, could be different than the amounts recorded in the consolidated financial statements.

15. Income Taxes

The Company's effective tax rate, as calculated by dividing income tax expense by income before income taxes, for YTD 2010 and YTD 2009 was 34.6% and 23.8%, respectively. The Company's effective tax rate, as calculated by dividing income tax expense by the difference of income before income taxes minus net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest, for YTD 2010 and YTD 2009 was 37.0% and 24.8%, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate for YTD 2010 was due to a larger adjustment to the reserve for uncertain tax positions in 2009 as compared to 2010 and the elimination of the tax deduction associated with Medicare Part D subsidy as required by the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA) enacted on March 23, 2010 and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (Reconciliation Act) enacted on March 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

15. Income Taxes

The following table provides a reconciliation of the income tax expense at the statutory federal rate to actual income tax expense.

In Thousands	First Nine Months	
	2010	2009
Statutory expense	\$17,910	\$16,826
State income taxes, net of federal effect	2,165	2,094
Manufacturing deduction benefit	(1,791)	(1,197)
Meals and entertainment	774	754
Adjustment for uncertain tax positions	(1,080)	(7,070)
Tax law change related to Medicare Part D subsidy	464	
Other, net	494	521
Income tax expense	\$18,936	\$11,928

The Company had \$4.5 million of uncertain tax positions as of October 3, 2010, including accrued interest, of which \$2.4 million would affect the Company's effective tax rate if recognized. The Company had \$5.6 million of uncertain tax positions as of January 3, 2010, including accrued interest, of which \$3.5 million would affect the Company's effective tax rate if recognized. The Company had \$3.0 million of uncertain tax positions as of September 27, 2009, including accrued interest, all of which would affect the Company's effective tax rate if recognized. While it is expected that the amount of uncertain tax positions may change in the next 12 months, the Company does not expect the change to have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

The Company recognizes potential interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. As of October 3, 2010, the Company had approximately \$.4 million of accrued interest related to uncertain tax positions. As of January 3, 2010, the Company had approximately \$.9 million of accrued interest related to uncertain tax positions. As of September 27, 2009, the Company had approximately \$.8 million of accrued interest related to uncertain tax positions. Income tax expense included an interest credit of approximately \$.5 million in YTD 2010 and an interest credit of approximately \$1.7 million in YTD 2009.

The PPACA and the Reconciliation Act include provisions that will reduce the tax benefits available to employers that receive Medicare Part D subsidies. As a result, during the first quarter of 2010, the Company recorded tax expense totaling \$.5 million related to changes made to the tax deductibility of Medicare Part D subsidies.

In Q1 2009, the Company reached an agreement with a taxing authority to settle prior tax positions for which the Company had previously provided reserves due to uncertainty of resolution. As a result, the Company reduced the liability for uncertain tax positions by \$1.7 million. The net effect of the adjustment was a decrease to income tax expense in YTD 2009 of approximately \$1.7 million.

In Q3 2009, the Company reduced its liability for uncertain tax positions by \$5.4 million. The net effect of the adjustment was a decrease to income tax expense of approximately \$5.4 million. The reduction of the liability for uncertain tax positions was due mainly to the lapse of applicable statute of limitations.

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

15. Income Taxes

In Q3 2010, the Company reduced its liability for uncertain tax positions by \$1.7 million. The net effect of the adjustment was a decrease to income tax expense by approximately \$1.7 million. The reduction of the liability for uncertain tax positions was due mainly to the lapse of the applicable statute of limitations.

Various tax years from 1992 remain open to examination by taxing jurisdictions to which the Company is subject due to loss carryforwards.

16. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Accumulated other comprehensive loss is comprised of adjustments relative to the Company's pension and postretirement medical benefit plans, foreign currency translation adjustments required for a subsidiary of the Company that performs data analysis and provides consulting services outside the United States and the Company's share of Southeastern's other comprehensive loss.

A summary of accumulated other comprehensive loss for Q3 2010 and Q3 2009 is as follows:

In Thousands	July 4, 2010	Pre-tax Activity	Tax Effect	Oct. 3, 2010
Net pension activity:				
Actuarial loss	\$(38,809)	\$1,365	\$(535)	\$(37,979)
Prior service costs	(32)	4	(2)	(30)
Net postretirement benefits activity:				
Actuarial loss	(12,592)	410	(161)	(12,343)
Prior service costs	6,834	(446)	175	6,563
Transition asset	18	(6)	2	14
Ownership share of Southeastern OCI	(19)	16	(7)	(10)
Foreign currency translation adjustment	5		1	6
Total	\$(44,595)	\$1,343	\$(527)	\$(43,779)

In Thousands	June 28, 2009	Pre-tax Activity	Tax Effect	Sept. 27, 2009
Net pension activity:				
Actuarial loss	\$(53,880)	\$2,339	\$(921)	\$(52,462)
Prior service costs	(40)	4	(2)	(38)
Net postretirement benefits activity:				
Actuarial loss	(9,361)	218	(86)	(9,229)
Prior service costs	7,917	(446)	176	7,647
Transition asset	33	(6)	2	29
Foreign currency translation adjustment	12	4	(1)	15
Total	\$(55,319)	\$2,113	\$(832)	\$(54,038)

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

16. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

A summary of accumulated other comprehensive loss for YTD 2010 and YTD 2009 follows:

In Thousands	Jan. 3, 2010	Pre-tax Activity	Tax Effect	Oct. 3, 2010
Net pension activity:				
Actuarial loss	\$(40,626)	\$ 4,355	\$(1,708)	\$(37,979)
Prior service costs	(37)	12	(5)	(30)
Net postretirement benefits activity:				
Actuarial loss	(13,470)	1,092	35	(12,343)
Prior service costs	7,376	(1,338)	525	6,563
Transition asset	26	(19)	7	14
Ownership share of Southeastern OCI	(49)	65	(26)	(10)
Foreign currency translation adjustment	13	(13)	6	6
Total	\$(46,767)	\$ 4,154	\$(1,166)	\$(43,779)

In Thousands	Dec. 28, 2008	Pre-tax Activity	Tax Effect	Sept. 27, 2009
Net pension activity:				
Actuarial loss	\$(56,717)	\$ 7,017	\$(2,762)	\$(52,462)
Prior service costs	(45)	12	(5)	(38)
Net postretirement benefits activity:				
Actuarial loss	(9,625)	653	(257)	(9,229)
Prior service costs	8,459	(1,339)	527	7,647
Transition asset	41	(19)	7	29
Foreign currency translation adjustment	14	1		15
Total	\$(57,873)	\$ 6,325	\$(2,490)	\$(54,038)

17. Capital Transactions

The Company has two classes of common stock outstanding, Common Stock and Class B Common Stock. The Common Stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Marketsm under the symbol COKE. There is no established public trading market for the Class B Common Stock. Shares of the Class B Common Stock are convertible on a share-for-share basis into shares of Common Stock at any time at the option of the holders of Class B Common Stock. No cash dividend or dividend of property or stock other than stock of the Company, as specifically described in the Company's certificate of incorporation, may be declared and paid on the Class B Common Stock unless an equal or greater dividend is declared and paid on the Common Stock. During YTD 2010 and YTD 2009, dividends of \$.75 per share were declared and paid on both Common Stock and Class B Common Stock.

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

17. Capital Transactions

Each share of Common Stock is entitled to one vote per share and each share of Class B Common Stock is entitled to 20 votes per share at all meetings of stockholders. Except as otherwise required by law, holders of the Common Stock and Class B Common Stock vote together as a single class on all matters brought before the Company's stockholders. In the event of liquidation, there is no preference between the two classes of common stock.

On May 12, 1999, the stockholders of the Company approved a restricted stock award program for J. Frank Harrison, III, the Company's Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer, consisting of 200,000 shares of the Company's Class B Common Stock. Under the award, shares of restricted stock were granted at a rate of 20,000 shares per year over a ten-year period. The vesting of each annual installment was contingent upon the Company achieving at least 80% of the overall goal achievement factor in the Company's Annual Bonus Plan. The restricted stock award did not entitle Mr. Harrison, III to participate in dividend or voting rights until each installment had vested and the shares were issued. The restricted stock award expired at the end of fiscal year 2008. On March 4, 2009, the Compensation Committee determined an additional 20,000 shares of restricted Class B Common Stock vested and such shares were issued to Mr. Harrison, III for the fiscal year ended December 28, 2008.

On April 29, 2008, the stockholders of the Company approved a Performance Unit Award Agreement for Mr. Harrison, III consisting of 400,000 performance units (Units). Each Unit represents the right to receive one share of the Company's Class B Common Stock, subject to certain terms and conditions. The Units vest in annual increments over a ten-year period starting in fiscal year 2009. The number of Units that vest each year will equal the product of 40,000 multiplied by the overall goal achievement factor (not to exceed 100%) under the Company's Annual Bonus Plan. The Performance Unit Award Agreement replaced the restricted stock award program.

Each annual 40,000 Unit tranche has an independent performance requirement as it is not established until the Company's Annual Bonus Plan targets are approved each year by the Company's Board of Directors. As a result, each 40,000 Unit tranche is considered to have its own service inception date, grant-date and requisite service period. The Company's Annual Bonus Plan targets, which establish the performance requirements for the Performance Unit Award Agreement, are approved by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors in the first quarter of each year. The Performance Unit Award Agreement does not entitle Mr. Harrison, III to participate in dividends or voting rights until each installment has vested and the shares are issued. Mr. Harrison, III may satisfy tax withholding requirements in whole or in part by requiring the Company to settle in cash such number of Units otherwise payable in Class B Common Stock to meet the maximum statutory tax withholding requirements.

On March 9, 2010, the Compensation Committee determined that 40,000 Units vested for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2010. Of such Units, 22,320 were settled for 22,320 shares of Class B Common Stock and 17,680 were settled in cash to satisfy tax withholding obligations in connection with the vesting of the Units.

Compensation expense for the Performance Unit Award Agreement recognized in YTD 2010 was \$1.6 million, which was based upon a share price of \$52.94 on October 1, 2010. Compensation expense recognized in YTD 2009 was \$1.5 million, which was based upon a share price of \$48.80 on September 25, 2009.

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

17. Capital Transactions

On February 19, 2009, The Coca-Cola Company converted 497,670 shares of the Company's Class B Common Stock into an equivalent number of shares of the Company's Common Stock.

The increase in the total number of shares outstanding in YTD 2010 was due to the issuance of the 22,320 shares of Class B Common Stock related to the Performance Unit Award Agreement. The increase in the total number of shares outstanding in YTD 2009 was due to the issuance of 20,000 shares of Class B Common Stock related to the restricted stock award.

18. Benefit Plans*Pension Plans*

Retirement benefits under the two Company-sponsored pension plans are based on the employee's length of service, average compensation over the five consecutive years that give the highest average compensation and average Social Security taxable wage base during the 35-year period before reaching Social Security retirement age. Contributions to the plans are based on the projected unit credit actuarial funding method and are limited to the amounts currently deductible for income tax purposes. On February 22, 2006, the Board of Directors of the Company approved an amendment to the principal Company-sponsored pension plan to cease further benefit accruals under the plan effective June 30, 2006.

The components of net periodic pension cost were as follows:

In Thousands	Third Quarter		First Nine Months	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Service cost	\$ 20	\$ 23	\$ 58	\$ 68
Interest cost	2,864	2,788	8,578	8,365
Expected return on plan assets	(2,894)	(2,270)	(8,630)	(6,810)
Amortization of prior service cost	4	4	12	12
Recognized net actuarial loss	1,365	2,339	4,355	7,017
Net periodic pension cost	\$ 1,359	\$ 2,884	\$ 4,373	\$ 8,652

The Company contributed \$8.7 million to its Company-sponsored pension plans during YTD 2010. The Company has made additional payments of \$.9 million subsequent to the end of Q3 2010. These contributions represent all required payments for 2010.

Postretirement Benefits

The Company provides postretirement benefits for a portion of its current employees. The Company recognizes the cost of postretirement benefits, which consist principally of medical benefits, during employees' periods of active service. The Company does not pre-fund these benefits and has the right to modify or terminate certain of these benefits in the future.

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

18. Benefit Plans

The components of net periodic postretirement benefit cost were as follows:

In Thousands	Third Quarter		First Nine Months	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Service cost	\$ 182	\$ 158	\$ 572	\$ 473
Interest cost	634	557	1,886	1,672
Amortization of unrecognized transitional assets	(7)	(6)	(19)	(19)
Recognized net actuarial loss	410	218	1,092	653
Amortization of prior service cost	(446)	(446)	(1,338)	(1,339)
Net periodic postretirement benefit cost	\$ 773	\$ 481	\$ 2,193	\$ 1,440

401(k) Savings Plan

The Company provides a 401(k) Savings Plan for substantially all of its employees who are not part of collective bargaining agreements. The Company suspended matching contributions to its 401(k) Savings Plan effective April 1, 2009. The Company maintained the option to match its employees' 401(k) Savings Plan contributions based on the financial results for 2009. The Company subsequently decided to match the first 5% of its employees' contributions (consistent with Q1 2009 matching contribution percentage) for the entire year of 2009.

The Company will match the first 3% of its employees' contributions for 2010. The Company maintains the option to increase the matching contributions an additional 2%, for a total of 5%, for the Company's employees based on the financial results for 2010. Based on the financial results of the first quarter of 2010, the Company decided to increase the matching contributions an additional 2% for that quarter, which was approved and paid in the second quarter of 2010. Based on the financial results of the second quarter of 2010, the Company decided to increase the matching contributions an additional 2% for that quarter which was approved and paid in the third quarter of 2010. The total cost for this benefit in YTD 2010 and YTD 2009 was \$6.8 million and \$6.7 million, respectively.

Multi-Employer Benefits

The Company entered into a new agreement in the third quarter of 2008 after one of its collective bargaining contracts expired in July 2008. The new agreement allowed the Company to freeze its liability to Central States Southeast and Southwest Areas Pension Plan (Central States), a multi-employer defined benefit pension fund, while preserving the pension benefits previously earned by the employees. As a result of freezing the Company's liability to Central States, the Company recorded a charge of \$13.6 million in the second half of 2008. The Company paid \$3.0 million in the fourth quarter of 2008 to the Southern States Savings and Retirement Plan under the agreement to freeze the Central States liability. The remaining \$10.6 million was the present value amount, using a discount rate of 7% that will be paid to Central States over the next 20 years and was recorded in other liabilities. The Company paid approximately \$1 million in 2009 and will pay approximately \$1 million annually over the next 19 years.

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

19. Related Party Transactions

The Company's business consists primarily of the production, marketing and distribution of nonalcoholic beverages of The Coca-Cola Company, which is the sole owner of the secret formulas under which the primary components (either concentrate or syrup) of its beverage products are manufactured. As of October 3, 2010, The Coca-Cola Company had a 27.0% interest in the Company's total outstanding Common Stock and Class B Common Stock on a combined basis, representing 5.2% of the total votes of the Company's Common Stock and Class B Common Stock voting together as a single class.

The following table summarizes the significant transactions between the Company and The Coca-Cola Company:

In Millions	First Nine Months	
	2010	2009
Payments by the Company for concentrate, syrup, sweetener and other purchases	\$ 301.6	\$ 277.4
Marketing funding support payments to the Company	33.8	35.1
Payments by the Company net of marketing funding support	\$ 267.8	\$ 242.3
Payments by the Company for customer marketing programs	\$ 38.6	\$ 39.4
Payments by the Company for cold drink equipment parts	6.4	5.3
Fountain delivery and equipment repair fees paid to the Company	7.7	8.5
Presence marketing funding support provided by The Coca-Cola Company on the Company's behalf	3.3	3.0
Payments to the Company to facilitate the distribution of certain brands and packages to other Coca-Cola bottlers	2.2	.6
Sales of finished products to The Coca-Cola Company		1.1

The Company had a production arrangement with Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc. (CCE) to buy and sell finished products at cost. Sales to CCE under this arrangement were \$37.6 million and \$38.2 million in YTD 2010 and YTD 2009, respectively. Purchases from CCE under this arrangement were \$19.4 million and \$16.3 million in YTD 2010 and YTD 2009, respectively. In addition, CCE began distributing one of the Company's own brands (Tum-E Yummies) in the first quarter of 2010. Total sales to CCE for this brand were \$10.5 million in YTD 2010.

The Coca-Cola Company has significant equity interest in the Company and acquired the North American operations of CCE on October 2, 2010.

Along with all other Coca-Cola bottlers in the United States, the Company is a member in Coca-Cola Bottlers Sales and Services Company, LLC (CCBSS), which was formed in 2003 for the purposes of facilitating various procurement functions and distributing certain specified beverage products of The Coca-Cola Company with the intention of enhancing the efficiency and competitiveness of the Coca-Cola bottling system in the United States. CCBSS negotiates the procurement for the majority of the Company's raw materials (excluding concentrate). The Company pays an administrative fee to CCBSS for its services. Administrative fees to CCBSS for its services were \$.6 million and \$.5 million in YTD 2010 and YTD 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

19. Related Party Transactions

The Company is a member of SAC, a manufacturing cooperative. SAC sells finished products to the Company and Piedmont at cost. Purchases from SAC by the Company and Piedmont for finished products were \$100.6 million and \$100.2 million in YTD 2010 and YTD 2009, respectively. The Company also manages the operations of SAC pursuant to a management agreement. Management fees earned from SAC were \$1.1 million in YTD 2010 and \$.9 million in YTD 2009. The Company has also guaranteed a portion of debt for SAC. Such guarantee amounted to \$18.0 million as of October 3, 2010. The Company has not recorded any liability associated with this guarantee and holds no assets as collateral against this guarantee. The Company's equity investment in SAC was \$5.6 million as of October 3, 2010, January 3, 2010 and September 27, 2009.

The Company is a shareholder in two entities from which it purchases substantially all its requirements for plastic bottles. Net purchases from these entities were \$55.5 million in YTD 2010 and \$51.3 million in YTD 2009. In connection with its participation in Southeastern, the Company has guaranteed a portion of the entity's debt. Such guarantee amounted to \$17.7 million as of October 3, 2010. The Company has not recorded any liability associated with this guarantee and holds no assets as collateral against this guarantee. The Company's equity investment in one of these entities, Southeastern, was \$15.7 million, \$13.2 million and \$13.3 million as of October 3, 2010, January 3, 2010 and September 27, 2009, respectively.

The Company monitors its investments in cooperatives and would be required to write down its investment if an impairment is identified and the Company determined it to be other-than temporary. No impairment of the Company's investments in cooperatives has been identified as of October 3, 2010 nor was there any impairment in 2009 or 2008.

The Company leases from Harrison Limited Partnership One (HLP) the Snyder Production Center (SPC) and an adjacent sales facility, which are located in Charlotte, North Carolina. HLP is directly and indirectly owned by trusts of which J. Frank Harrison, III, Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and Deborah H. Everhart, a director of the Company, are trustees and beneficiaries. The current lease was to expire on December 31, 2010. On March 23, 2009, the Company modified the lease agreement (new terms to begin January 1, 2011) with HLP related to the SPC lease. The modified lease would not have changed the classification of the existing lease had it been in effect in the first quarter of 2002, when the capital lease was recorded, as the Company received a renewal option to extend the term of the lease, which it expected to exercise. The modified lease did not extend the term of the existing lease (remaining lease term was reduced from approximately 22 years to approximately 12 years). Accordingly, the present value of the leased property under capital leases and capital lease obligations was adjusted by an amount equal to the difference between the future minimum lease payments under the modified lease agreement and the present value of the existing obligation on the modification date. The capital lease obligations and leased property under capital leases were both decreased by \$7.5 million in March 2009. The annual base rent the Company is obligated to pay under the modified lease is subject to an adjustment for an inflation factor. The prior lease annual base rent was subject to adjustment for an inflation factor and for increases or decreases in interest rates, using LIBOR as the measurement device. The principal balance outstanding under this capital lease as of October 3, 2010 was \$27.7 million. Rental payments related to this lease were \$2.4 million and \$2.6 million in YTD 2010 and YTD 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

19. Related Party Transactions

The Company leases from Beacon Investment Corporation (Beacon) the Company's headquarters office facility and an adjacent office facility. The lease expires on December 31, 2021. Beacon's sole shareholder is J. Frank Harrison, III. The principal balance outstanding under this capital lease as of October 3, 2010 was \$29.6 million. Rental payments related to the lease were \$2.9 million and \$2.8 million YTD 2010 and YTD 2009, respectively.

20. Net Sales by Product Category

Net sales by product category were as follows:

In Thousands	Third Quarter		First Nine Months	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Bottle/can sales:				
Sparkling beverages (including energy products)	\$ 259,824	\$ 257,289	\$ 783,531	\$ 749,488
Still beverages	66,109	58,253	172,917	162,703
Total bottle/can sales	325,933	315,542	956,448	912,191
Other sales:				
Sales to other Coca-Cola bottlers	36,589	31,822	107,273	98,433
Post-mix and other	32,842	27,192	96,502	77,942
Total other sales	69,431	59,014	203,775	176,375
Total net sales	\$ 395,364	\$ 374,556	\$ 1,160,223	\$ 1,088,566

Sparkling beverages are carbonated beverages while still beverages are noncarbonated beverages.

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

21. Net Income Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic net income per share and diluted net income per share under the two-class method:

In Thousands (Except Per Share Data)	Third Quarter		First Nine Months	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Numerator for basic and diluted net income per Common Stock and Class B Common Stock share:				
Net income attributable to Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated	\$ 15,533	\$ 15,428	\$ 32,236	\$ 36,146
Less dividends:				
Common Stock	1,785	1,785	5,356	5,285
Class B Common Stock	512	505	1,528	1,587
Total undistributed earnings	\$ 13,236	\$ 13,138	\$ 25,352	\$ 29,274
Common Stock undistributed earnings basic	\$ 10,291	\$ 10,239	\$ 19,721	\$ 22,511
Class B Common Stock undistributed earnings basic	2,945	2,899	5,631	6,763
Total undistributed earnings basic	\$ 13,236	\$ 13,138	\$ 25,352	\$ 29,274
Common Stock undistributed earnings diluted	\$ 10,246	\$ 10,194	\$ 19,635	\$ 22,438
Class B Common Stock undistributed earnings diluted	2,990	2,944	5,717	6,836
Total undistributed earnings diluted	\$ 13,236	\$ 13,138	\$ 25,352	\$ 29,274
Numerator for basic net income per Common Stock share:				
Dividends on Common Stock	\$ 1,785	\$ 1,785	\$ 5,356	\$ 5,285
Common Stock undistributed earnings basic	10,291	10,239	19,721	22,511
Numerator for basic net income per Common Stock share	\$ 12,076	\$ 12,024	\$ 25,077	\$ 27,796
Numerator for basic net income per Class B Common Stock share:				
Dividends on Class B Common Stock	\$ 512	\$ 505	\$ 1,528	\$ 1,587
Class B Common Stock undistributed earnings basic	2,945	2,899	5,631	6,763
Numerator for basic net income per Class B Common Stock share	\$ 3,457	\$ 3,404	\$ 7,159	\$ 8,350

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
21. Net Income Per Share

In Thousands (Except Per Share Data)	Third Quarter		First Nine Months	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Numerator for diluted net income per				
Common Stock share:				
Dividends on Common Stock	\$ 1,785	\$ 1,785	\$ 5,356	\$ 5,285
Dividends on Class B Common Stock assumed converted to Common Stock	512	505	1,528	1,587
Common Stock undistributed earnings diluted	13,236	13,138	25,352	29,274
Numerator for diluted net income per Common Stock share	\$ 15,533	\$ 15,428	\$ 32,236	\$ 36,146
Numerator for diluted net income per Class B				
Common Stock share:				
Dividends on Class B Common Stock	\$ 512	\$ 505	\$ 1,528	\$ 1,587
Class B Common Stock undistributed earnings diluted	2,990	2,944	5,717	6,836
Numerator for diluted net income per Class B Common Stock share	\$ 3,502	\$ 3,449	\$ 7,245	\$ 8,423

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
21. Net Income Per Share

In Thousands (Except Per Share Data)	Third Quarter		First Nine Months	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Denominator for basic net income per				
Common Stock and Class B Common Stock share:				
Common Stock weighted average shares outstanding basic	7,141	7,141	7,141	7,047
Class B Common Stock weighted average shares outstanding basic	2,044	2,022	2,039	2,117
Denominator for diluted net income per				
Common Stock and Class B Common Stock share:				
Common Stock weighted average shares outstanding diluted (assumes conversion of Class B Common Stock to Common Stock)	9,225	9,203	9,220	9,194
Class B Common Stock weighted average shares outstanding diluted	2,084	2,062	2,079	2,147
Basic net income per share:				
Common Stock	\$ 1.69	\$ 1.68	\$ 3.51	\$ 3.94
Class B Common Stock	\$ 1.69	\$ 1.68	\$ 3.51	\$ 3.94
Diluted net income per share:				
Common Stock	\$ 1.68	\$ 1.68	\$ 3.50	\$ 3.93
Class B Common Stock	\$ 1.68	\$ 1.67	\$ 3.48	\$ 3.92

NOTES TO TABLE

- (1) For purposes of the diluted net income per share computation for Common Stock, all shares of Class B Common Stock are assumed to be converted; therefore, 100% of undistributed earnings is allocated to Common Stock.
- (2) For purposes of the diluted net income per share computation for Class B Common Stock, weighted average shares of Class B Common Stock are assumed to be outstanding for the entire period and not converted.
- (3) Denominator for diluted net income per share for Common Stock and Class B Common Stock includes the dilutive effect of shares relative to the Performance Unit Award.

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

22. Risks and Uncertainties

Approximately 88% of the Company's YTD 2010 bottle/can volume to retail customers are products of The Coca-Cola Company, which is the sole supplier of these products or of the concentrates or syrups required to manufacture these products. The remaining 12% of the Company's YTD 2010 bottle/can volume to retail customers are products of other beverage companies and the Company. The Company has beverage agreements under which it has various requirements to meet. Failure to meet the requirements of these beverage agreements could result in the loss of distribution rights for the respective product.

The Coca-Cola Company recently acquired the North American operations of CCE, and the Company's primary competitors were recently acquired by their franchisor. These transactions may cause uncertainty within the Coca-Cola bottler system or adversely impact the Company and its business. At this time, it is unknown whether the transactions will have a material impact on the Company's business and financial results.

The Company's products are sold and distributed directly by its employees to retail stores and other outlets. During YTD 2010, approximately 69% of the Company's bottle/can volume to retail customers was sold for future consumption, while the remaining bottle/can volume to retail customers of approximately 31% was sold for immediate consumption. During YTD 2009, approximately 68% of the Company's bottle/can volume to retail customers was sold for future consumption, while the remaining bottle/can volume to retail customers of approximately 32% was sold for immediate consumption. The Company's largest customers, Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and Food Lion, LLC, accounted for approximately 25% and 10%, respectively, of the Company's total bottle/can volume to retail customers in YTD 2010. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and Food Lion, LLC accounted for approximately 19% and 11%, respectively, of the Company's total bottle/can volume to retail customers in YTD 2009. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. accounted for 17% of the Company's total net sales during YTD 2010. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. accounted for 15% of the Company's total net sales during YTD 2009.

The Company obtains all of its aluminum cans from two domestic suppliers. The Company currently obtains all of its plastic bottles from two domestic entities. See Note 14 and Note 19 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information.

The Company is exposed to price risk on such commodities as aluminum, corn and resin which affects the cost of raw materials used in the production of finished products. The Company both produces and procures these finished products. Examples of the raw materials affected are aluminum cans and plastic bottles used for packaging and high fructose corn syrup used as a product ingredient. Further, the Company is exposed to commodity price risk on crude oil which impacts the Company's cost of fuel used in the movement and delivery of the Company's products. The Company participates in commodity hedging and risk mitigation programs administered both by CCBSS and by the Company. In addition, there is no limit on the price The Coca-Cola Company and other beverage companies can charge for concentrate.

Certain liabilities of the Company are subject to risk due to changes in both long-term and short-term interest rates. These liabilities include floating rate debt, leases, retirement benefit obligations and the Company's pension liability.

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

22. Risks and Uncertainties

Approximately 7% of the Company's labor force is covered by collective bargaining agreements. One collective bargaining contract covering approximately .5% of the Company's employees will expire during the fourth quarter of 2010. One collective bargaining contract covering approximately .5% of the Company's employees expired in the first quarter of 2010 and the Company entered into a new agreement during the first quarter of 2010.

23. Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information

Changes in current assets and current liabilities affecting cash flows were as follows:

In Thousands	First Nine Months	
	2010	2009
Accounts receivable, trade, net	\$(22,827)	\$ 3,586
Accounts receivable from The Coca-Cola Company	(16,056)	(14,006)
Accounts receivable, other	(4,972)	(4,025)
Inventories	(5,014)	(2,265)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	3,128	(4,355)
Accounts payable, trade	359	(9,786)
Accounts payable to The Coca-Cola Company	15,514	8,290
Other accrued liabilities	3,141	6,704
Accrued compensation	149	(1,554)
Accrued interest payable	4,535	4,348
Increase in current assets less current liabilities	\$(22,043)	\$(13,063)

Non-cash activity

Additions to property, plant and equipment of \$1.2 million have been accrued but not paid and are recorded in accounts payable, trade as of October 3, 2010. Of that amount, \$.2 million was related to the Nashville flood damage. Additions to property, plant and equipment included \$1.5 million for a trade-in allowance on manufacturing equipment in YTD 2010.

24. New Accounting Pronouncements*Recently Adopted Pronouncements*

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued new guidance which replaces the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which enterprise, if any, has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity (VIE) with an approach focused on identifying which enterprise

Table of Contents

Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

24. New Accounting Pronouncements

has the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impacts the entity's economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from the entity. The new guidance was effective for annual reporting periods that begin after November 15, 2009. The Company's adoption of this new guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued new guidance which eliminates the exceptions for qualifying special-purpose entities from consolidation guidance and the exception that permitted sale accounting for certain mortgage securitization when a transferor has not surrendered control over the transferred financial assets. The new guidance was effective for annual reporting periods that begin after November 15, 2009. The Company's adoption of this new guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued new guidance that clarifies the decrease-in-ownership of subsidiaries provisions of GAAP. The new guidance clarifies to which subsidiaries the decrease-in-ownership provision of Accounting Standards Codification 810-10 apply. The new guidance was effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2010. The Company's adoption of this new guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued new guidance related to the disclosures about transfers into and out of Levels 1 and 2 fair value classifications and separate disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements relating to the Level 3 fair value classification. The new guidance also clarifies existing fair value disclosures about the level of disaggregation and about inputs and valuation techniques used to measure the fair value. The new guidance was effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2010 except for the requirement to provide the Level 3 activity of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements on a gross basis, which is effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2011. The Company's adoption of this new guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company also does not expect the Level 3 requirements of the new guidance effective in the first quarter of 2011 to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (M,D&A) should be read in conjunction with Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated's (the Company) consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements. M,D&A includes the following sections:

Our Business and the Nonalcoholic Beverage Industry a general description of the Company's business and the nonalcoholic beverage industry.

Areas of Emphasis a summary of the Company's key priorities.

Overview of Operations and Financial Condition a summary of key information and trends concerning the financial results for the third quarter of 2010 (Q3 2010) and the first nine months of 2010 (YTD 2010) and changes from the third quarter of 2009 (Q3 2009) and the first nine months of 2009 (YTD 2009).

Discussion of Critical Accounting Policies, Estimates and New Accounting Pronouncements a discussion of accounting policies that are most important to the portrayal of the Company's financial condition and results of operations and that require critical judgments and estimates and the expected impact of new accounting pronouncements.

Results of Operations an analysis of the Company's results of operations for Q3 2010 and YTD 2010 compared to Q3 2009 and YTD 2009.

Financial Condition an analysis of the Company's financial condition as of the end of Q3 2010 compared to year-end 2009 and the end of Q3 2009 as presented in the consolidated financial statements.

Liquidity and Capital Resources an analysis of capital resources, cash sources and uses, investing activities, financing activities, off-balance sheet arrangements, aggregate contractual obligations and hedging activities.

Cautionary Information Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.

The consolidated financial statements include the consolidated operations of the Company and its majority-owned subsidiaries including Piedmont Coca-Cola Bottling Partnership (Piedmont). The noncontrolling interest consists of The Coca-Cola Company's interest in Piedmont, which was 22.7% for all periods presented.

During May 2010, Nashville, Tennessee experienced a severe rain storm which caused extensive flood damage in the area. The Company has a production/sales distribution facility located in the flooded area. Due to damage incurred during this flood, the Company recorded a loss of \$.2 million on uninsured cold drink equipment. This loss was offset by gains of \$1.1 million for the excess of insurance proceeds received as compared to the net book value of production equipment damaged as a result of the flood. In YTD 2010, the Company recorded a receivable of \$7.1 million for insured losses of which \$1.5 million has already been collected as of YTD 2010. The Company does not expect to incur any significant expenses related to the Nashville area flood for the remainder of 2010.

Our Business and the Nonalcoholic Beverage Industry

The Company produces, markets and distributes nonalcoholic beverages, primarily products of The Coca-Cola Company, which include some of the most recognized and popular beverage brands in the world. The Company is the largest independent bottler of products of The Coca-Cola Company in the United States, distributing these products in eleven states primarily in the Southeast. The Company also distributes several other beverage brands. These product offerings include both sparkling and still beverages. Sparkling beverages are carbonated beverages including energy products. Still beverages are noncarbonated beverages such as bottled water, tea, ready to drink

Table of Contents

coffee, enhanced water, juices and sports drinks. The Company had net sales of approximately \$1.4 billion in 2009. The nonalcoholic beverage market is highly competitive. The Company's competitors include bottlers and distributors of nationally and regionally advertised and marketed products and private label products. In each region in which the Company operates, between 85% and 95% of sparkling beverage sales in bottles, cans and other containers are accounted for by the Company and its principal competitors, which in each region includes the local bottler of Pepsi-Cola and, in some regions, the local bottler of Dr Pepper, Royal Crown and/or 7-Up products. During the last several years, industry sales of sugar sparkling beverages, other than energy products, have declined. The decline in sugar sparkling beverages has generally been offset by volume growth in other nonalcoholic product categories. The sparkling beverage category (including energy products) represents 82% of the Company's YTD 2010 bottle/can net sales.

The principal methods of competition in the nonalcoholic beverage industry are point-of-sale merchandising, new product introductions, new vending and dispensing equipment, packaging changes, pricing, price promotions, product quality, retail space management, customer service, frequency of distribution and advertising. The Company believes it is competitive in its territories with respect to each of these methods.

Historically, operating results for the third quarter and the first nine months of the fiscal year have not been representative of results for the entire fiscal year. Business seasonality results primarily from higher unit sales of the Company's products in the second and third quarters versus the first and fourth quarters of the fiscal year. Fixed costs, such as depreciation expense, are not significantly impacted by business seasonality.

The Company performs its annual impairment test of franchise rights and goodwill as of the first day of the fourth quarter. During YTD 2010, the Company did not experience any triggering events or changes in circumstances that indicated the carrying amounts of the Company's franchise rights or goodwill exceeded fair values. As such, the Company has not recognized any impairments of franchise rights or goodwill.

The Coca-Cola Company recently acquired the North American operations of Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc. (CCE), and the Company's primary competitors were recently acquired by their franchisor. These transactions may cause uncertainty within the Coca-Cola bottler system or adversely impact the Company and its business. At this time, it is unknown whether the transactions will have a material impact on the Company's business and financial results.

Net sales by product category were as follows:

In Thousands	Third Quarter		First Nine Months	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Bottle/can sales:				
Sparkling beverages (including energy products)	\$ 259,824	\$ 257,289	\$ 783,531	\$ 749,488
Still beverages	66,109	58,253	172,917	162,703
Total bottle/can sales	325,933	315,542	956,448	912,191
Other sales:				
Sales to other Coca-Cola bottlers	36,589	31,822	107,273	98,433
Post-mix and other	32,842	27,192	96,502	77,942
Total other sales	69,431	59,014	203,775	176,375
Total net sales	\$ 395,364	\$ 374,556	\$ 1,160,223	\$ 1,088,566

Table of Contents

Areas of Emphasis

Key priorities for the Company include revenue management, product innovation and beverage portfolio expansion, distribution cost management and productivity.

Revenue Management

Revenue management requires a strategy which reflects consideration for pricing of brands and packages within product categories and channels, highly effective working relationships with customers and disciplined fact-based decision-making. Revenue management has been and continues to be a key performance driver which has significant impact on the Company's results of operations.

Product Innovation and Beverage Portfolio Expansion

Sparkling beverage volume, other than energy products, has declined over the past several years. Innovation of both new brands and packages has been and will continue to be critical to the Company's overall revenue. New packaging introductions included the 2-liter contour bottle during 2009.

The Company has invested in its own brand portfolio with products such as Tum-E Yummies, a vitamin C enhanced flavored drink, Country Breeze tea and diet Country Breeze tea. These brands enable the Company to participate in strong growth categories and capitalize on distribution channels that may include the Company's traditional Coca-Cola franchise territory as well as third party distributors outside the Company's traditional Coca-Cola franchise territory. While the growth prospects of Company-owned or exclusively licensed brands appear promising, the cost of developing, marketing and distributing these brands is anticipated to be significant as well.

Distribution Cost Management

Distribution costs represent the costs of transporting finished goods from Company locations to customer outlets. Total distribution costs amounted to \$140.3 million and \$138.7 million in YTD 2010 and YTD 2009, respectively. Over the past several years, the Company has focused on converting its distribution system from a conventional routing system to a predictive system. This conversion to a predictive system has allowed the Company to more efficiently handle increasing numbers of products. In addition, the Company has closed a number of smaller sales distribution centers over the past several years reducing its fixed warehouse-related costs.

The Company has three primary delivery systems for its current business:

bulk delivery for large supermarkets, mass merchandisers and club stores;

advanced sales delivery for convenience stores, drug stores, small supermarkets and certain on-premise accounts; and

full service delivery for its full service vending customers.

Distribution cost management will continue to be a key area of emphasis for the Company.

Productivity

A key driver in the Company's selling, delivery and administrative (S,D&A) expense management relates to ongoing improvements in labor productivity and asset productivity.

Table of Contents

Overview of Operations and Financial Condition

The following items affect the comparability of the financial results presented below:

Q3 2010 and YTD 2010

a \$.1 million pre-tax favorable mark-to-market adjustment and a \$1.3 million pre-tax unfavorable mark-to-market adjustment to S,D&A expenses related to the Company's 2010 fuel hedging program in Q3 2010 and YTD 2010, respectively;

a \$3.0 million pre-tax favorable mark-to-market adjustment and a \$3.2 million pre-tax unfavorable mark-to-market adjustment to cost of sales related to the Company's 2010 and 2011 aluminum hedging program in Q3 2010 and YTD 2010, respectively;

a \$.1 million and a \$.9 million pre-tax favorable adjustment to cost of sales related to the gain on the replacement of flood damaged production equipment in Q3 2010 and YTD 2010, respectively;

a \$.2 million pre-tax unfavorable adjustment to S,D&A expenses related to the loss recorded on the disposal of uninsured vending equipment from the Nashville area flood in YTD 2010;

a \$.1 million pre-tax favorable adjustment to S,D&A expenses related to the gain on replacement of flood damaged building fixtures in Q3 2010;

a \$.5 million unfavorable adjustment to income tax expense related to the elimination of the deduction related to Medicare Part D subsidy in the first quarter of 2010; and

a \$1.7 million credit to income tax expense related to the reduction of the liability for uncertain tax positions in Q3 2010 due mainly to the lapse of applicable statutes of limitations.

Q3 2009 and YTD 2009

a \$1.4 million and \$5.3 million pre-tax favorable mark-to-market adjustment to cost of sales related to the Company's 2010 and 2011 aluminum hedging programs in Q3 2009 and YTD 2009, respectively;

a \$1.7 million credit to income tax expense related to the agreement with a state tax authority to settle certain prior tax positions in the first quarter of 2009 (Q1 2009);

a \$5.4 million credit to income tax expense related to the reduction of the liability for uncertain tax positions in Q3 2009 due mainly to the lapse of applicable statutes of limitations; and

a \$.5 million pre-tax unfavorable mark-to-market adjustment and \$2.9 million pre-tax favorable mark-to-market adjustment to S,D&A expenses related to the Company's 2009 and 2010 fuel hedging programs in Q3 2009 and YTD 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following overview provides a summary of key information concerning the Company's financial results for Q3 2010 and YTD 2010 compared to Q3 2009 and YTD 2009.

In Thousands (Except Per Share Data)	Third Quarter		Change	% Change
	2010	2009		
Net sales	\$395,364	\$374,556	\$20,808	5.6
Gross margin	173,117	157,320	15,797	10.0
S,D&A expenses	139,455	131,024	8,431	6.4
Income from operations	33,662	26,296	7,366	28.0
Interest expense, net	8,841	8,866	(25)	(0.3)
Income before income taxes	24,821	17,430	7,391	42.4
Income tax expense	7,610	1,043	6,567	NM*
Net income	17,211	16,387	824	5.0
Net income attributable to the Company	15,533	15,428	105	0.7
Basic net income per share:				
Common Stock	\$ 1.69	\$ 1.68	\$ 0.01	0.6
Class B Common Stock	\$ 1.69	\$ 1.68	\$ 0.01	0.6
Diluted net income per share:				
Common Stock	\$ 1.68	\$ 1.68		
Class B Common Stock	\$ 1.68	\$ 1.67	\$ 0.01	0.6

* Not Meaningful

In Thousands (Except Per Share Data)	First Nine Months		Change	% Change
	2010	2009		
Net sales	\$1,160,223	\$1,088,566	\$71,657	6.6
Gross margin	487,828	464,576	23,252	5.0
S,D&A expenses	406,689	386,461	20,228	5.2
Income from operations	81,139	78,115	3,024	3.9
Interest expense, net	26,453	28,059	(1,606)	(5.7)
Income before income taxes	54,686	50,056	4,630	9.2
Income tax expense	18,936	11,928	7,008	58.8
Net income	35,750	38,128	(2,378)	(6.2)
Net income attributable to the Company	32,236	36,146	(3,910)	(10.8)
Basic net income per share:				
Common Stock	\$ 3.51	\$ 3.94	\$ (0.43)	(10.9)
Class B Common Stock	\$ 3.51	\$ 3.94	\$ (0.43)	(10.9)
Diluted net income per share:				
Common Stock	\$ 3.50	\$ 3.93	\$ (0.43)	(10.9)
Class B Common Stock	\$ 3.48	\$ 3.92	\$ (0.44)	(11.2)

The Company's net sales increased 5.6% in Q3 2010 compared to Q3 2009. The increase in net sales was primarily due to a 2.1% increase in bottle/can sales price per unit and a \$4.4 million increase in sales of the Company's own brand portfolio (primarily Tum-E Yummies). The 2.1% increase in bottle/can sales price per unit was primarily due to higher per unit prices in sparkling beverages except energy products and a change in product mix primarily due to decreased sales of future consumption 12-ounce cans which have a lower sales price per unit compared to immediate consumption prices partially offset by lower sales price per unit for still beverages. The Company's net sales increased 6.6% in YTD 2010 compared to YTD 2009. The increase in net sales was primarily due to a 6.8% increase in bottle/can volume and a \$15.2 million increase in sales of the Company's own brand portfolio (primarily Tum-E

Yummies) partially offset by a 1.8% decrease in average sales

39

Table of Contents

price per bottle/can unit. The increase in bottle/can volume was primarily due to an increase in volume in all product categories. The decrease in average sales price per bottle/can unit was primarily due to decreased sales prices in all product categories and a change in product mix primarily due to increased sales of future consumption 12-ounce cans which have a lower sales price per unit compared to immediate consumption products. The increases in sales of the Company's own brand portfolio were primarily due to CCE's distribution of the Company's Tum-E Yummies products beginning in the first quarter of 2010.

Gross margin dollars increased 10.0% in Q3 2010 compared to Q3 2009. The Company's gross margin percentage increased to 43.8% for Q3 2010 from 42.0% for Q3 2009. The increase in gross margin percentage was primarily due to higher sales price per bottle/can unit. Gross margin dollars increased 5.0% in YTD 2010 compared to YTD 2009. The Company's gross margin percentage decreased to 42.0% for YTD 2010 from 42.7% in YTD 2009. The decrease in gross margin percentage was primarily due to lower sales prices per bottle/can unit and increased costs due to the Company's aluminum hedging program.

S,D&A expenses increased 6.4% in Q3 2010 from Q3 2009 and increased 5.2% in YTD 2010 compared to YTD 2009. The increase in S,D&A expenses in Q3 2010 from Q3 2009 was primarily attributable to an increase in employee costs related to an auto allowance program, an increase in employee salaries (including bonus and incentive expense) and an increase in professional fees due to consulting project support offset partially by a decrease in fuel costs and a decrease in property and casualty insurance expense. The increase in S,D&A expenses in YTD 2010 from YTD 2009 was primarily attributable to an increase in employee costs related to the auto allowance program, an increase in employee salaries (including bonus and incentive expense), an increase in professional fees and an increase in fuel costs offset partially by a decrease in bad debt expense, a decrease in depreciation expense, a decrease in employee benefit costs and a decrease in property and casualty insurance expense.

Net interest expense was unchanged in Q3 2010 compared to Q3 2009 and decreased 5.7% in YTD 2010 compared to YTD 2009. The decrease in YTD 2010 compared to YTD 2009 was due to lower debt borrowing levels. The Company's overall weighted average interest rate increased to 5.9% during YTD 2010 from 5.7% during YTD 2009. Net debt and capital lease obligations were summarized as follows:

In Thousands	Oct. 3, 2010	Jan. 3, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009
Debt	\$523,025	\$537,917	\$552,882
Capital lease obligations	60,247	63,107	64,006
Total debt and capital lease obligations	583,272	601,024	616,888
Less: Cash and cash equivalents	33,924	22,270	29,574
Total net debt and capital lease obligations ⁽¹⁾	\$549,348	\$578,754	\$587,314

⁽¹⁾ The non-GAAP measure Total net debt and capital lease obligations is used to provide investors with additional information which management believes is helpful in the evaluation of the Company's capital structure and financial leverage.

Table of Contents

Discussion of Critical Accounting Policies, Estimates and New Accounting Pronouncements

Critical Accounting Policies

In the ordinary course of business, the Company has made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of results of operations and financial position in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates under different assumptions and conditions. The Company included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 3, 2010 a discussion of the Company's most critical accounting policies, which are those most important to the portrayal of the Company's financial condition and results of operations and require management's most difficult, subjective and complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain.

The Company did not make changes in any critical accounting policies during YTD 2010. Any changes in critical accounting policies and estimates are discussed with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company during the quarter in which a change is made.

New Accounting Pronouncements

Recently Adopted Pronouncements

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued new guidance which replaced the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which enterprise, if any, has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity (VIE) with an approach focused on identifying which enterprise has the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impacts the entity's economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from the entity. The new guidance was effective for annual reporting periods that begin after November 15, 2009. The Company's adoption of this new guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued new guidance which eliminates the exceptions for qualifying special-purpose entities from consolidation guidance and the exception that permitted sale accounting for certain mortgage securitization when a transferor has not surrendered control over the transferred financial assets. The new guidance was effective for annual reporting periods that begin after November 15, 2009. The Company's adoption of this new guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued new guidance that clarifies the decrease-in-ownership of subsidiaries provisions of generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The new guidance clarifies to which subsidiaries the decrease-in-ownership provision of Accounting Standards Codification 810-10 apply. The new guidance was effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2010. The Company's adoption of this new guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued new guidance related to the disclosures about transfers into and out of Levels 1 and 2 fair value classifications and separate disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements relating to the Level 3 fair value classification. The new guidance also clarifies existing fair value disclosures about the level of disaggregation and about inputs and valuation techniques used to measure the fair value. The new guidance was effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2010 except for the requirement to provide the Level 3 activity of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements on a gross basis, which is effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2011. The Company's adoption of this new

Table of Contents

guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company also does not expect the Level 3 requirements of the new guidance effective in the first quarter of 2011 to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Results of Operations***Q3 2010 Compared to Q3 2009 and YTD 2010 Compared to YTD 2009*****Net Sales**

Net sales increased \$20.8 million, or 5.6%, to \$395.4 million in Q3 2010 compared to \$374.6 million in Q3 2009. Net sales increased \$71.7 million, or 6.6% to \$1,160.2 million in YTD 2010 compared to \$1,088.6 million in YTD 2009. The increase in net sales for Q3 2010 compared to Q3 2009 was the result of the following:

Q3 2010 (In Millions)	Attributable to:
\$ 6.8	2.1% increase in bottle/can sales price per unit primarily due to higher per unit prices in sparkling beverages except energy products and a change in product mix primarily due to a lower percentage of future consumption 12-ounce can sales which have a lower sales price per unit than immediate consumption products partially offset by lower per unit prices for still beverages
4.4	Increase in sales of the Company's own brand portfolio (primarily Tum-E Yummies)
3.6	1.2% increase in bottle/can volume primarily due to a volume increase in still beverages and energy products partially offset by a volume decrease in sparkling beverages excluding energy products
3.0	8.9% increase in sales price per unit for sales to other Coca-Cola bottlers
1.8	5.6% increase in sales volume to other Coca-Cola bottlers primarily due to an increase in still beverages
1.2	Other
\$ 20.8	Total increase in net sales

The increase in net sales for YTD 2010 compared to YTD 2009 was the result of the following:

YTD 2010 (In Millions)	Attributable to:
\$ 61.9	6.8% increase in bottle/can volume primarily due to a volume increase in all beverages
(17.7)	1.8% decrease in bottle/can sales price per unit primarily due to lower per unit prices in all product categories and a change in product mix primarily due to a higher percentage of future consumption 12-ounce can sales which have a lower sales price per unit than immediate consumption products
15.2	Increase in sales of the Company's own brand portfolio (primarily Tum-E Yummies)
4.5	4.6% increase in sales volume to other Coca-Cola bottlers primarily due to an increase in still beverages
4.3	4.2% increase in sales price per unit for sales to other Coca-Cola bottlers
3.5	Other
\$ 71.7	Total increase in net sales

Table of Contents

Bottle/can volume in Q3 2010 was negatively impacted by the second quarter of 2010 including the July 4th holiday weekend while the second quarter of 2009 ended on June 28, 2009.

In YTD 2010, the Company's bottle/can sales to retail customers accounted for 82% of the Company's total net sales compared to 84% in YTD 2009. Bottle/can net pricing is based on the invoice price charged to customers reduced by promotional allowances. Bottle/can net pricing per unit is impacted by the price charged per package, the volume generated in each package and the channels in which those packages are sold. The increase in the Company's bottle/can net pricing per unit in Q3 2010 compared to Q3 2009 was primarily due to sales price increases in sparkling beverages except energy products and a change in product mix primarily due to decreased sales of future consumption 12-ounce cans which have a lower sales price per unit compared to immediate consumption prices partially offset by lower sales price per unit for still beverages. The decrease in the Company's bottle/can net pricing per unit in YTD 2010 compared to YTD 2009 was primarily due to sales price decreases in all product categories and a change in product mix primarily due to increased sales of future consumption 12-ounce cans which have a lower sales price per unit compared to immediate consumption products.

The increase in sales of the Company's own brand portfolio in Q3 2010 and YTD 2010 compared to Q3 2009 and YTD 2009 was primarily due to CCE beginning distribution of Tum-E Yummies in the first quarter of 2010.

Product category sales volume in Q3 2010 and Q3 2009 and YTD 2010 and YTD 2009 as a percentage of total bottle/can sales volume and the percentage change by product category was as follows:

Product Category	Bottle/Can Sales Volume		Bottle/Can Sales Volume % Increase (Decrease)
	Q3 2010	Q3 2009	
Sparkling beverages (including energy products)	81.7%	85.0%	(2.8)
Still beverages	18.3%	15.0%	23.6
Total bottle/can sales volume	100.0%	100.0%	1.2

Product Category	Bottle/Can Sales Volume		Bottle/Can Sales Volume % Increase
	YTD 2010	YTD 2009	
Sparkling beverages (including energy products)	84.2%	85.5%	5.2
Still beverages	15.8%	14.5%	15.9
Total bottle/can sales volume	100.0%	100.0%	6.8

The Company's products are sold and distributed through various channels. These channels include selling directly to retail stores and other outlets such as food markets, institutional accounts and vending machine outlets. During both YTD 2010 and YTD 2009, approximately 69% of the Company's bottle/can volume was sold for future consumption, while the remaining bottle/can volume of approximately 31% was sold for immediate consumption. The Company's largest customer, Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., accounted for approximately 25% of the Company's total bottle/can volume during YTD 2010. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. accounted for approximately 19% of the Company's total bottle/can volume during YTD 2009. The Company's second largest customer, Food Lion, LLC, accounted for approximately 10% of the Company's total bottle/can volume during YTD 2010. Food Lion, LLC accounted for approximately 11% of the Company's total bottle/can volume during YTD 2009. All of the Company's beverage sales are to customers in the United States.

The Company recorded delivery fees in net sales of \$5.7 million and \$5.9 million in YTD 2010 and YTD 2009, respectively. These fees are used to offset a portion of the Company's delivery and handling costs.

Table of Contents**Cost of Sales**

Cost of sales includes the following: raw material costs, manufacturing labor, manufacturing overhead including depreciation expense, manufacturing warehousing costs and shipping and handling costs related to the movement of finished goods from manufacturing locations to sales distribution centers.

Cost of sales increased 2.3%, or \$5.0 million, to \$222.2 million in Q3 2010 compared to \$217.2 million in Q3 2009.

Cost of sales increased 7.8%, or \$48.4 million, to \$672.4 million in YTD 2010 compared to \$624.0 million in YTD 2009.

The increase in cost of sales for Q3 2010 compared to Q3 2009 was principally attributable to the following:

Q3 2010 (In Millions)	Attributable to:
\$ (2.9)	Decrease in raw material costs such as concentrate, aluminum and high fructose corn syrup
2.3	Increase in sales of the Company's own brand portfolio (primarily Tum-E Yummies)
2.2	1.2% increase in bottle/can volume primarily due to a volume increase in still beverages and energy products partially offset by a volume decrease in sparkling beverages excluding energy products
1.7	5.6% increase in sales volume to other Coca-Cola bottlers primarily due to an increase in still beverages
(1.7)	Decrease in cost due to the Company's aluminum hedging program
0.5	Decrease in marketing funding support received primarily from The Coca-Cola Company
(0.1)	Gain on the replacement of flood damaged production equipment
3.0	Other
\$ 5.0	Total increase in cost of sales

The increase in cost of sales for YTD 2010 compared to YTD 2009 was principally attributable to the following:

YTD 2010 (In Millions)	Attributable to:
\$ 36.4	6.8% increase in bottle/can volume primarily due to a volume increase in all beverages
(16.1)	Decrease in raw material costs such as concentrate, aluminum and high fructose corn syrup
9.9	Increase in sales of the Company's own brand portfolio (primarily Tum-E Yummies)
7.9	Increase in cost due to the Company's aluminum hedging program
4.4	4.6% increase in sales volume to other Coca-Cola bottlers primarily due to an increase in still beverages
(0.9)	Gain on the replacement of flood damaged production equipment
0.8	Decrease in marketing funding support received primarily from The Coca-Cola Company
6.0	Other
\$ 48.4	Total increase in cost of sales

The Company relies extensively on advertising and sales promotion in the marketing of its products. The Coca-Cola Company and other beverage companies that supply concentrates, syrups and finished products to the Company make substantial marketing and advertising expenditures to promote sales in the local territories served by the Company. The Company also benefits from national advertising programs conducted by The Coca-Cola Company and other beverage companies. Certain of the marketing expenditures by The Coca-Cola Company and other beverage companies are made pursuant to annual arrangements. Although The Coca-Cola Company has advised the Company that it intends to continue to provide marketing funding support, it is not obligated to do so under the Company's

Beverage Agreements. Significant decreases in

44

Table of Contents

marketing funding support from The Coca-Cola Company or other beverage companies could adversely impact operating results of the Company in the future.

The Company's production facility located in Nashville, Tennessee was damaged by a flood in May 2010. The Company recorded a gain of \$.9 million from the replacement of production equipment damaged by the flood in YTD 2010. The gain was based on replacement value insurance coverage that exceeded the net book value of the damaged production equipment.

Total marketing funding support from The Coca-Cola Company and other beverage companies, which includes direct payments to the Company and payments to customers for marketing programs, was \$13.8 million for Q3 2010 compared to \$14.3 million for Q3 2009. Total marketing funding support from The Coca-Cola Company and other beverage companies, which includes direct payments to the Company and payments to customers for marketing programs, was \$40.3 million for YTD 2010 compared to \$41.1 million for YTD 2009.

Gross Margin

Gross margin dollars increased 10.0%, or \$15.8 million, to \$173.1 million in Q3 2010 compared to \$157.3 million in Q3 2009. Gross margin as a percentage of net sales increased to 43.8% for Q3 2010 from 42.0% for Q3 2009. Gross margin dollars increased 5.0% or \$23.3 million, to \$487.8 million in YTD 2010 compared to \$464.6 million in YTD 2009. Gross margin as a percentage of net sales decreased to 42.0% for YTD 2010 from 42.7% in YTD 2009.

The increase in gross margin dollars for Q3 2010 compared to Q3 2009 was primarily the result of the following:

Q3 2010 (In Millions)	Attributable to:
\$ 6.8	2.1% increase in bottle/can sales price per unit primarily due to higher per unit prices in sparkling beverages except energy products and a change in product mix primarily due to a lower percentage of future consumption 12-ounce can sales which have a lower sales price per unit than immediate consumption products partially offset by lower per unit prices for still beverages
3.0	8.9% increase in sales price per unit for sales to other Coca-Cola bottlers
2.9	Decrease in raw material costs such as concentrate, aluminum and high fructose corn syrup
2.1	Increase in sales of the Company's own brand portfolio (primarily Tum-E Yummies)
1.7	Decrease in cost due to the Company's aluminum hedging program
1.4	1.2% increase in bottle/can volume primarily due to a volume increase in still beverages and energy products partially offset by a volume decrease in sparkling beverages excluding energy products
(0.5)	Decrease in marketing funding support received primarily from The Coca-Cola Company
0.1	5.6% increase in sales volume to other Coca-Cola bottlers primarily due to an increase in still beverages
0.1	Gain on the replacement of flood damaged production equipment
(1.8)	Other
\$ 15.8	Total increase in gross margin

Table of Contents

The increase in gross margin dollars for YTD 2010 compared to YTD 2009 was primarily the result of the following:

YTD 2010 (In Millions)	<u>Attributable to:</u>
\$ 25.5	6.8% increase in bottle/can volume primarily due to a volume increase in all beverages
(17.7)	1.8% decrease in bottle/can sales price per unit primarily due to lower per unit prices in all product categories and a change in product mix primarily due to a higher percentage of future consumption 12-ounce can sales which have a lower sales price per unit than immediate consumption products
16.1	Decrease in raw material costs such as concentrate, aluminum and high fructose corn syrup
(7.9)	Increase in cost due to the Company's aluminum hedging program
5.3	Increase in sales of the Company's own brand portfolio (primarily Tum-E Yummies)
4.3	4.2% increase in sales price per unit for sales to other Coca-Cola bottlers
0.9	Gain on the replacement of flood damaged production equipment
(0.8)	Decrease in marketing funding support received primarily from The Coca-Cola Company
0.1	4.6% increase in sales volume to other Coca-Cola bottlers primarily due to an increase in still beverages
(2.5)	Other
\$ 23.3	Total increase in gross margin

The increase in gross margin percentage in Q3 2010 compared to Q3 2009 was primarily due to higher sales prices per bottle/can unit. The decrease in gross margin percentage in YTD 2010 compared to YTD 2009 was primarily due to lower sales price per bottle/can unit and increased costs due to the Company's aluminum hedging program.

The Company's gross margins may not be comparable to other companies, since some entities include all costs related to their distribution network in cost of sales. The Company includes a portion of these costs in S,D&A expenses.

S,D&A Expenses

S,D&A expenses include the following: sales management labor costs, distribution costs from sales distribution centers to customer locations, sales distribution center warehouse costs, depreciation expense related to sales centers, delivery vehicles and cold drink equipment, point-of-sale expenses, advertising expenses, cold drink equipment repair costs, amortization of intangibles and administrative support labor and operating costs such as treasury, legal, information services, accounting, internal control services, human resources and executive management costs.

S,D&A expenses increased by \$8.4 million, or 6.4%, to \$139.5 million in Q3 2010 from \$131.0 million in Q3 2009.

S,D&A expenses as a percentage of net sales increased from 35.0% in Q3 2009 to 35.3% in Q3 2010. S,D&A

expenses increased by \$20.2 million, or 5.2%, to \$406.7 million in YTD 2010 from \$386.5 million in YTD 2009.

S,D&A expenses as a percentage of net sales decreased from 35.5% in YTD 2009 to 35.1% in YTD 2010.

Table of Contents

The increase in S,D&A expenses for Q3 2010 compared to Q3 2009 was primarily due to the following:

Q3 2010 (In Millions)	<u>Attributable to:</u>
\$ 2.7	Increase in employee salaries including bonus and incentive expense
2.1	Increase in professional fees primarily due to consulting project support
1.7	Payments to employees participating in the Company's auto allowance program (program implemented in phases beginning in the second quarter of 2009)
0.9	Increase in marketing expenses
(0.7)	Decrease in property and casualty insurance expense
(0.5)	Decrease fuel costs primarily due to mark-to-market adjustment on fuel hedging (\$.5 million loss in Q3 2009 as compared to \$.1 million gain in Q3 2010)
2.2	Other
\$ 8.4	Total increase in S,D&A expenses

The increase in S,D&A expenses for YTD 2010 compared to YTD 2009 was primarily due to the following:

YTD 2010 (In Millions)	<u>Attributable to:</u>
\$ 7.0	Payments to employees participating in the Company's auto allowance program (program implemented in phases beginning in the second quarter of 2009)
7.0	Increase in employee salaries including bonus and incentive expense
4.0	Increase in fuel costs primarily due to the mark-to-market adjustment on fuel hedging (\$2.9 million gain in YTD 2009 as compared to \$1.3 million loss in YTD 2010)
3.6	Increase in professional fees primarily due to consulting project support
1.2	Increase in marketing expenses
(2.4)	Decrease in bad debt expense due to improvement in customer trade receivable portfolio performance
(2.4)	Decrease in employee benefit costs primarily due to decreased pension expense
(2.3)	Decrease in property and casualty insurance expense
(1.9)	Decrease in depreciation expense primarily due to new auto allowance program
6.4	Other
\$ 20.2	Total increase in S,D&A expenses

Shipping and handling costs related to the movement of finished goods from manufacturing locations to sales distribution centers are included in cost of sales. Shipping and handling costs related to the movement of finished goods from sales distribution centers to customer locations are included in S,D&A expenses and totaled \$140.3 million and \$138.7 million in YTD 2010 and YTD 2009, respectively.

The net impact of the Company's fuel hedging program was to increase fuel costs by \$1.5 million in YTD 2010 and decrease fuel costs by \$2.0 million in YTD 2009.

Primarily due to the performance of the Company's pension plan investments during 2009, the Company's expense recorded in S,D&A expenses related to the two Company-sponsored pension plans decreased by \$1.2 million from \$2.5 million in Q3 2009 to \$1.3 million in Q3 2010 and by \$3.5 million from \$7.4 million in YTD 2009 to \$3.9 million in YTD 2010.

The Company suspended matching contributions to its 401(k) Savings Plan effective April 1, 2009. The Company maintained the option to match its employees' 401(k) Savings Plan contributions based on the financial results for

2009. The Company subsequently decided to match the first 5% of its employees' contributions (consistent with the first quarter of 2009 matching contribution percentage) for the entire year of 2009. The Company will match the first 3% of its employees' contributions for 2010. The Company

Table of Contents

maintains the option to increase the matching contributions an additional 2%, for a total of 5%, for the Company's employees based on the financial results for 2010. Based on the financial results of the first quarter of 2010, the Company decided to increase the matching contributions an additional 2% for that quarter, which was approved and paid in the second quarter of 2010. Based on the financial results of the second quarter of 2010, the Company decided to increase the matching contributions an additional 2% for that quarter, which was approved and paid in Q3 2010. The total costs for this benefit recorded in S,D&A expenses for YTD 2010 and YTD 2009 was \$6.0 million and \$5.9 million, respectively.

On March 23, 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA) was signed into law. On March 30, 2010, a companion bill, the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (Reconciliation Act), was also signed into law. The PPACA and the Reconciliation Act, when taken together, represent comprehensive healthcare reform legislation that will likely affect the cost associated with providing employer-sponsored medical plans. At this point, the Company is in the process of determining the impact this legislation will have on the Company's employer-sponsored medical plans.

Interest Expense

Net interest expense was unchanged in Q3 2010 compared to Q3 2009 and decreased 5.7%, or \$1.6 million, in YTD 2010 compared to YTD 2009. The decrease in interest expense in YTD 2010 compared to YTD 2009 was due to lower debt borrowing levels. The Company's overall weighted average interest rate increased to 5.9% during YTD 2010 from 5.7% during YTD 2009. See the Liquidity and Capital Resources Hedging Activities Interest Rate Hedging section of M,D&A for additional information.

Income Taxes

The Company's effective tax rate, as calculated by dividing income tax expense by income before income taxes, for YTD 2010 and YTD 2009 was 34.6% and 23.8%, respectively. The Company's effective tax rate, as calculated by dividing income tax expense by the difference of income before income taxes minus net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest, for YTD 2010 and YTD 2009 was 37.0% and 24.8%, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate for YTD 2010 resulted primarily from lower reductions in the reserve for uncertain tax positions in 2010 as compared to 2009 and the elimination of the tax deduction associated with Medicare Part D subsidy as required by the PPACA enacted on March 23, 2010 and the Reconciliation Act enacted on March 30, 2010. During YTD 2010, the Company recorded tax expense totaling \$.5 million related to changes made to the tax deductibility of Medicare Part D subsidies.

In the first quarter of 2009, the Company reached an agreement with a taxing authority to settle prior tax positions for which the Company had previously provided reserves due to uncertainty of resolution. As a result, the Company reduced the liability for uncertain tax positions by \$1.7 million. The net effect of the adjustment was a decrease to income tax expense in YTD 2009 of approximately \$1.7 million. In Q3 2009, the Company reduced its liability for uncertain tax positions by \$5.4 million. The net effect of the adjustment was a decrease to income tax expense of approximately \$5.4 million. The reduction of the liability for uncertain tax positions was due mainly to the lapse of applicable statute of limitations. In Q3 2010, the Company reduced its liability for uncertain tax positions by \$1.7 million. The net effect of the adjustment was a decrease to income tax expense of approximately \$1.7 million. The reduction of the liability for uncertain tax positions was due mainly to the lapse of the applicable statute of limitations. See Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information. The Company's income tax rate for the remainder of 2010 is dependent upon the results of operations and may change if the results in 2010 are different from current expectations.

Table of Contents

Noncontrolling Interest

The Company recorded net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest of \$3.5 million in YTD 2010 compared to \$2.0 million in YTD 2009 related to the portion of Piedmont owned by The Coca-Cola Company. The increased amount in YTD 2010 was due to higher income at Piedmont.

Financial Condition

Total assets of \$1.3 billion at October 3, 2010 increased from January 3, 2010 primarily due to increases in cash and cash equivalents and accounts receivable offset by a decrease in property, plant and equipment, net. Property, plant and equipment, net decreased primarily due to the reduction of transportation equipment that resulted from the implementation of a new auto allowance program during fiscal year 2009.

Net working capital, defined as current assets less current liabilities, increased by \$32.1 million to \$100.3 million at October 3, 2010 from January 3, 2010 and increased by \$26.7 million at October 3, 2010 from September 27, 2009.

Significant changes in net working capital from January 3, 2010 were as follows:

An increase in cash and cash equivalents of \$12.7 million primarily due to cash flow from operations.

An increase in accounts receivable, trade of \$22.8 million primarily due to increased sales.

An increase in inventories of \$3.6 million due primarily to normal seasonal increase.

An increase in accounts receivable from and an increase in accounts payable to The Coca-Cola Company of \$16.1 million and \$15.5 million, respectively, primarily due to the timing of payments.

An increase in accrued interest payable of \$4.5 million due to the timing of payments.

Significant changes in net working capital from September 27, 2009 were as follows:

An increase in cash and cash equivalents of \$5.4 million primarily due to cash flow from operations.

An increase in accounts receivable, trade of \$19.3 million primarily due to increased sales.

A decrease in inventories of \$5.1 million due primarily to the decreased demand for future consumption products during Q3 2010.

An increase in accounts receivable from and a decrease in accounts payable to The Coca-Cola Company of \$2.7 million and \$.2 million, respectively, primarily due to the timing of payments.

An increase in accounts payable, trade of \$5.8 million primarily due to the timing of payments.

An increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets of \$6.4 million primarily due to hedging activities.

Debt and capital lease obligations were \$583.3 million as of October 3, 2010 compared to \$601.0 million as of January 3, 2010 and \$616.9 million as of September 27, 2009. Debt and capital lease obligations as of October 3, 2010 included \$60.2 million of capital lease obligations related primarily to Company facilities.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Capital Resources

The Company's sources of capital include cash flows from operations, available credit facilities and the issuance of debt and equity securities. Management believes the Company has sufficient resources available to finance its business plan, meet its working capital requirements and maintain an appropriate level of capital spending. The amount and frequency of future dividends will be determined by the Company's Board of Directors in light of the earnings and financial condition of the Company at such time, and no assurance can be given that dividends will be declared in the future.

As of October 3, 2010, the Company had all \$200 million available under the \$200 million revolving credit facility (\$200 million facility) to meet its cash requirements. The \$200 million facility contains two financial covenants: a fixed charges coverage ratio and a debt to operating cash flow ratio, each as defined in the credit agreement. The fixed charges coverage ratio requires the Company to maintain a consolidated cash flow to fixed charges ratio of 1.5 to 1 or higher. The operating cash flow ratio requires the Company to maintain a debt to cash flow ratio of 6.0 to 1 or lower. The Company is currently in compliance with these covenants and has been throughout 2010.

In April 2009, the Company issued \$110 million of unsecured 7% Senior Notes due 2019.

The Company had debt maturities of \$119.3 million in May 2009 and \$57.4 million in July 2009. On May 1, 2009, the Company used the proceeds from the \$110 million 7% Senior Notes due 2019 plus cash on hand to repay the debt maturity of \$119.3 million. The Company used cash flow generated from operations and \$55.0 million in borrowings under its \$200 million facility to repay the \$57.4 million debt maturity on July 1, 2009. The Company currently believes that all of the banks participating in the Company's \$200 million facility have the ability to and will meet any funding requests from the Company.

The Company has obtained the majority of its long-term financing, other than capital leases, from public markets. As of October 3, 2010, \$523.0 million of the Company's total outstanding balance of debt and capital lease obligations of \$583.3 million was financed through publicly offered debt. The Company had capital lease obligations of \$60.2 million as of October 3, 2010. There were no amounts outstanding on either the \$200 million facility or on the uncommitted line of credit as of October 3, 2010.

Cash Sources and Uses

The primary sources of cash for the Company have been cash provided by operating activities, investing activities and financing activities. The primary uses of cash have been for capital expenditures, the payment of debt and capital lease obligations, dividend payments, income tax payments and pension payments.

Table of Contents

A summary of activity for YTD 2010 and YTD 2009 follows:

In Millions	First Nine Months	
	2010	2009
<u>Cash Sources</u>		
Cash provided by operating activities (excluding income tax and pension payments)	\$86.9	\$ 82.2
Proceeds from reduction of restricted cash	1.0	
Proceeds from lines of credit, net		30.0
Proceeds from issuance of debt		108.1
Proceeds from the sale of property, plant and equipment	1.4	4.9
Total cash sources	\$89.3	\$225.2
<u>Cash Uses</u>		
Capital expenditures	\$29.0	\$ 29.8
Payment of debt and capital lease obligations	17.9	179.1
Investment in restricted cash		4.5
Debt issuance costs		1.0
Dividends	6.9	6.9
Income tax payments	14.1	13.8
Pension payments	8.7	10.1
Other		.3
Total cash uses	\$76.6	\$245.5
Increase (decrease) in cash	\$12.7	\$ (20.3)

Investing Activities

Additions to property, plant and equipment during YTD 2010 were \$31.7 million of which \$1.2 million were accrued in accounts payable, trade as unpaid including \$.2 million related to the Nashville flood damage and \$1.5 million was a trade-in allowance on manufacturing equipment. This compared to \$29.8 million in total additions to property, plant and equipment during YTD 2009. Capital expenditures during YTD 2010 were funded with cash flows from operations. The Company anticipates total additions to property, plant and equipment in fiscal year 2010 will be in the range of \$55 million to \$60 million. Additions to property, plant and equipment during 2009 were \$55.0 million of which \$11.6 million were accrued in accounts payable, trade as unpaid. Leasing is used for certain capital additions when considered cost effective relative to other sources of capital. The Company currently leases its corporate headquarters, two production facilities and several sales distribution facilities and administrative facilities.

Financing Activities

On March 8, 2007, the Company entered into the \$200 million facility replacing its \$100 million revolving credit facility. The \$200 million facility matures in March 2012 and includes an option to extend the term for an additional year at the discretion of the participating banks. The \$200 million facility bears interest at a floating base rate or a floating rate of LIBOR plus an interest rate spread of .35%, dependent on the length of the term of the interest period. In addition, the Company must pay an annual facility fee of .10% of the lenders' aggregate commitments under the facility. Both the interest rate spread and the facility fee are determined from a commonly-used pricing grid based on the Company's long-term senior unsecured debt rating. The \$200 million facility contains two financial covenants: a fixed charges coverage ratio and a debt to operating cash flow ratio, each as defined in the credit agreement. The fixed

charges coverage ratio requires the Company to maintain a consolidated cash flow to fixed charges ratio of 1.5 to 1 or higher. The operating cash flow ratio requires the

Table of Contents

Company to maintain a debt to operating cash flow ratio of 6.0 to 1 or lower. The Company is currently in compliance with these covenants. These covenants do not currently, and the Company does not anticipate they will, restrict its liquidity or capital resources. On July 1, 2009, the Company borrowed \$55 million under the \$200 million facility and used the proceeds, along with \$2.4 million of cash on hand, to repay at maturity the Company's \$57.4 million outstanding 7.2% Debentures due 2009. On October 3, 2010, the Company had no outstanding borrowings on the \$200 million facility. On January 3, 2010 and September 27, 2009, the Company had \$15.0 million and \$30.0 million outstanding under the \$200 million facility, respectively.

In April 2009, the Company issued \$110 million of 7% Senior Notes due 2019. The proceeds plus cash on hand were used on May 1, 2009 to repay at maturity the \$119.3 million outstanding 6.375% Debentures due 2009.

On February 10, 2010, the Company entered into an agreement for an uncommitted line of credit. Under this agreement, the Company may borrow up to a total of \$20 million for periods of 7 days, 30 days, 60 days or 90 days.

On October 3, 2010, the Company had no amount outstanding under the uncommitted line of credit.

All of the outstanding debt has been issued by the Company with none having been issued by any of the Company's subsidiaries. There are no guarantees of the Company's debt. The Company or its subsidiaries have entered into four capital leases.

At October 3, 2010, the Company's credit ratings were as follows:

	Long-Term
	Debt
Standard & Poor's	BBB
Moody's	Baa2

The Company's credit ratings are reviewed periodically by the respective rating agencies. Changes in the Company's operating results or financial position could result in changes in the Company's credit ratings. Lower credit ratings could result in higher borrowing costs for the Company or reduced access to capital markets, which could have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations. There were no changes in these credit ratings from the prior year and the credit ratings are currently stable.

The Company's public debt is not subject to financial covenants but does limit the incurrence of certain liens and encumbrances as well as indebtedness by the Company's subsidiaries in excess of certain amounts.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company is a member of two manufacturing cooperatives and has guaranteed \$35.7 million of debt and related lease obligations for these entities as of October 3, 2010. In addition, the Company has an equity ownership in each of the entities. The members of both cooperatives consist solely of Coca-Cola bottlers. The Company does not anticipate either of these cooperatives will fail to fulfill their commitments. The Company further believes each of these cooperatives has sufficient assets, including production equipment, facilities and working capital, and the ability to adjust selling prices of their products to adequately mitigate the risk of material loss from the Company's guarantees. As of October 3, 2010, the Company's maximum exposure, if the entities borrowed up to their borrowing capacity, would have been \$71.7 million including the Company's equity interests. See Note 14 and Note 19 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information about these entities.

Table of Contents**Aggregate Contractual Obligations**

The following table summarizes the Company's contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of October 3, 2010:

In Thousands	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		Oct. 2010- Sept. 2011	Oct. 2011- Sept. 2013	Oct. 2013- Sept. 2015	After Sept. 2015
Contractual obligations:					
Total debt, net of interest	\$ 523,025	\$	\$150,000	\$100,000	\$273,025
Capital lease obligations, net of interest	60,247	3,861	8,358	9,875	38,153
Estimated interest on long-term debt and capital lease obligations ⁽¹⁾	179,869	32,959	58,354	46,167	42,389
Purchase obligations ⁽²⁾	326,865	89,145	178,290	59,430	
Other long-term liabilities ⁽³⁾	111,251	9,938	17,842	12,763	70,708
Operating leases	18,596	3,810	4,624	3,131	7,031
Long-term contractual arrangements ⁽⁴⁾	18,706	6,531	9,686	2,294	195
Postretirement obligations	45,449	2,898	5,445	5,871	31,235
Purchase orders ⁽⁵⁾	35,774	35,774			
Total contractual obligations	\$1,319,782	\$184,916	\$432,599	\$239,531	\$462,736

(1) Includes interest payments based on contractual terms and current interest rates for variable rate debt.

(2) Represents an estimate of the Company's obligation to purchase 17.5 million cases of finished product on an annual basis through May 2014 from South Atlantic Cannery, a manufacturing cooperative.

(3) Includes obligations under executive benefit plans, the liability to exit from a multi-employer pension plan and other long-term liabilities.

(4) Includes contractual arrangements with certain prestige properties, athletics venues and other locations, and other long-term marketing commitments.

(5) Purchase orders include commitments in which a written purchase order has been issued to a vendor, but the goods have not been received or the services have not been performed.

The Company has \$4.5 million of uncertain tax positions including accrued interest as of October 3, 2010 (excluded from other long-term liabilities in the table above because the Company is uncertain as to if or when such amounts will be recognized) of which \$2.4 million would affect the Company's effective tax rate if recognized. While it is expected that the amount of uncertain tax positions may change in the next 12 months, the Company does not expect the change to have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements. See Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information.

The Company is a member of Southeastern Container, a plastic bottle manufacturing cooperative, from which the Company is obligated to purchase at least 80% of its requirements of plastic bottles for certain designated territories. This obligation is not included in the Company's table of contractual obligations and commercial commitments since there are no minimum purchase requirements.

As of October 3, 2010, the Company has \$23.1 million of standby letters of credit, primarily related to its property and casualty insurance programs. See Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information related to commercial commitments, guarantees, legal and tax matters.

The Company has made contributions to the Company-sponsored pension plans of \$8.7 million in YTD 2010. The Company made additional payments of \$.9 million subsequent to the end of Q3 2010. These

Table of Contents

contributions represent all required contributions for 2010. The Company continues to monitor and review the funding position of the Company-sponsored pension plans and may make additional contributions during 2010. Postretirement medical care payments are expected to be approximately \$2.5 million in 2010. See Note 18 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information related to pension and postretirement obligations.

Hedging Activities

Interest Rate Hedging

The Company periodically uses interest rate hedging products to mitigate risk from interest rate fluctuations. The Company has historically altered its fixed/floating rate mix based upon anticipated cash flows from operations relative to the Company's debt level and the potential impact of changes in interest rates on the Company's overall financial condition. Sensitivity analyses are performed to review the impact on the Company's financial position and coverage of various interest rate movements. The Company does not use derivative financial instruments for trading purposes nor does it use leveraged financial instruments.

The Company has not had any interest rate swap agreements outstanding since September 2008.

Interest expense was reduced due to the amortization of deferred gains on previously terminated interest rate swap agreements and forward interest rate agreements by \$.9 million and \$1.8 million during YTD 2010 and in YTD 2009, respectively.

The weighted average interest rate of the Company's debt and capital lease obligations was 5.8% as of October 3, 2010 compared to 5.6% as of January 3, 2010, and 5.5% as of September 27, 2009. The Company's overall weighted average interest rate on its debt and capital lease obligations increased to 5.9% in YTD 2010 from 5.7% in YTD 2009. Approximately 4.8% of the Company's debt and capital lease obligations of \$583.3 million as of October 3, 2010 was maintained on a floating rate basis and was subject to changes in short-term interest rates.

Fuel Hedging

The Company used derivative instruments to hedge substantially all of the projected diesel fuel purchases for 2010 and 2009. These derivative instruments relate to diesel fuel used by the Company's delivery fleet. The Company pays a fee for these instruments which is amortized over the corresponding period of the instrument. The Company accounts for its fuel hedges on a mark-to-market basis with any expense or income being reflected as an adjustment of fuel costs.

The Company uses several different financial institutions for commodity derivative instruments to minimize the concentration of credit risk. The Company has master agreements with the counterparties to its derivative financial agreements that provide for net settlement of derivative transactions.

In October 2008, the Company entered into derivative contracts to hedge substantially all of its projected diesel fuel purchases for 2009 establishing an upper and lower limit on the Company's price of diesel fuel.

In February 2009, the Company entered into derivative contracts to hedge substantially all of its projected diesel purchases for 2010 establishing an upper limit on the Company's price of diesel fuel.

The net impact of the Company's fuel hedging program was to increase fuel costs by \$1.5 million in YTD 2010 and decrease fuel costs by \$2.0 million in YTD 2009.

Table of Contents

Aluminum Hedging

At the end of the first quarter of 2009, the Company began using derivative instruments to hedge approximately 75% of the projected 2010 aluminum purchase requirements. The Company pays a fee for these instruments which is amortized over the corresponding period of the instruments. The Company accounts for its aluminum hedges on a mark-to-market basis with any expense or income being reflected as an adjustment to cost of sales.

During the second quarter of 2009, the Company entered into derivative contracts to hedge approximately 75% of the projected 2011 aluminum purchase requirements.

The net impact of the Company's aluminum hedging program was to increase cost of sales by \$2.6 million in YTD 2010 and decrease cost of sales by \$5.3 million in YTD 2009.

Table of Contents

Cautionary Information Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as well as information included in future filings by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission and information contained in written material, press releases and oral statements issued by or on behalf of the Company, contains, or may contain, forward-looking management comments and other statements that reflect management's current outlook for future periods. These statements include, among others, statements relating to:

the Company's belief that the covenants on its \$200 million facility will not restrict its liquidity or capital resources;

the Company's belief that other parties to certain contractual arrangements will perform their obligations;

potential marketing funding support from The Coca-Cola Company and other beverage companies;

the Company's belief that disposition of certain claims and legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, cash flows or results of operations and that no material amount of loss in excess of recorded amounts is reasonably possible;

management's belief that the Company has adequately provided for any ultimate amounts that are likely to result from tax audits;

management's belief that the Company has sufficient resources available to finance its business plan, meet its working capital requirements and maintain an appropriate level of capital spending;

the Company's belief that the cooperatives whose debt and lease obligations the Company guarantees have sufficient assets and the ability to adjust selling prices of their products to adequately mitigate the risk of material loss and that the cooperatives will perform their obligations under their debt and lease agreements;

the Company's key priorities which are revenue management, product innovation and beverage portfolio expansion, distribution cost management and productivity;

the Company's hypothetical calculation of the impact of a 1% increase in interest rates on outstanding floating rate debt and capital lease obligations for the next twelve months as of October 3, 2010;

the Company's belief that cash contributions in 2010 to its two Company-sponsored pension plans will be approximately \$9.6 million;

the Company's belief that postretirement medical care payments are expected to be approximately \$2.5 million in 2010;

the Company's expectation that additions to property, plant and equipment in 2010 will be in the range of \$55 million to \$60 million;

the Company's beliefs and estimates regarding the impact of the adoption of certain new accounting pronouncements;

the Company's beliefs that the growth prospects of Company-owned or exclusive licensed brands appear promising and the cost of developing, marketing and distributing these brands may be significant;

the Company's belief that all of the banks participating in the Company's \$200 million facility have the ability to and will meet any funding requests from the Company;

the Company's belief that it is competitive in its territories with respect to the principal methods of competition in the nonalcoholic beverage industry;

the Company's estimate that a 10% increase in the market price of certain commodities over the current market prices would cumulatively increase costs during the next 12 months by approximately \$27 million assuming no change in volume;

the Company's belief that innovation of new brands and packages will continue to be critical to the Company's overall revenue;

Table of Contents

the Company's expectation that it will not incur any significant expenses related to the Nashville area flood for the remainder of 2010; and

the Company's expectation that uncertain tax positions may change over the next 12 months as a result of tax audits but will not have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

These statements and expectations are based on currently available competitive, financial and economic data along with the Company's operating plans, and are subject to future events and uncertainties that could cause anticipated events not to occur or actual results to differ materially from historical or anticipated results. Factors that could impact those statements and expectations or adversely affect future periods include, but are not limited to, the factors set forth in Part II, Item 1A. of this Form 10-Q and in Item 1A. Risk Factors of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 3, 2010.

Caution should be taken not to place undue reliance on the Company's forward-looking statements, which reflect the expectations of management of the Company only as of the time such statements are made. Except as required by law, the Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

The Company is exposed to certain market risks that arise in the ordinary course of business. The Company may enter into derivative financial instrument transactions to manage or reduce market risk. The Company does not enter into derivative financial instrument transactions for trading purposes. A discussion of the Company's primary market risk exposure and interest rate risk is presented below.

Debt and Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company is subject to interest rate risk on its fixed and floating rate debt. The Company periodically uses interest rate hedging products to modify risk from interest rate fluctuations. The Company has historically altered its fixed/floating rate mix based upon anticipated cash flows from operations relative to the Company's overall financial condition. Sensitivity analyses are performed to review the impact on the Company's financial position and coverage of various interest rate movements. The counterparties to these interest rate hedging arrangements were major financial institutions with which the Company also had other financial relationships. The Company did not have any interest rate hedging products as of October 3, 2010. The Company has historically maintained between 40% and 60% of total borrowings at variable interest rates after taking into account all of the interest rate hedging activities. While this has been the target range for the percentage of total borrowings at variable interest rates, the financial position of the Company and market conditions may result in strategies outside of this range at certain points in time. Approximately 4.8% of the Company's debt and capital lease obligations of \$583.3 million as of October 3, 2010 was subject to changes in short-term interest rates.

As it relates to the Company's variable rate debt and variable rate leases, assuming no changes in the Company's financial structure, if market interest rates average 1% more over the next twelve months than the interest rates as of October 3, 2010, interest expense for the following twelve months would increase by approximately \$.1 million. This amount was determined by calculating the effect of the hypothetical interest rate on the Company's variable rate debt and variable rate leases. This calculated, hypothetical increase in interest expense for the following twelve months may be different from the actual increase in interest expense from a 1% increase in interest rates due to varying interest rate reset dates on the Company's floating rate debt.

Raw Material and Commodity Price Risk

The Company is also subject to commodity price risk arising from price movements for certain other commodities included as part of its raw materials. The Company manages this commodity price risk in some cases by entering into contracts with adjustable prices. The Company has not historically used derivative commodity instruments in the management of this risk. The Company estimates that a 10% increase in the market prices of these commodities over the current market prices would cumulatively increase costs during the next 12 months by approximately \$27 million assuming no change in volume.

The Company entered into derivative instruments to hedge essentially all of the projected diesel fuel purchases for 2010 and 2009. These derivative instruments relate to diesel fuel used by the Company's delivery fleet. The Company pays a fee for these instruments which is amortized over the corresponding period of the instrument. The Company currently accounts for its fuel hedges on a mark-to-market basis with any expense or income being reflected as an adjustment of fuel costs.

At the end of the first quarter of 2009, the Company began using derivative instruments to hedge approximately 75% of the projected 2010 aluminum purchase requirements. During the second quarter of 2009, the Company entered into derivative contracts to hedge approximately 75% of the projected 2011 aluminum purchase requirements. The Company pays a fee for these instruments which is amortized over the corresponding period

Table of Contents

of the instruments. The Company accounts for its aluminum hedges on a mark-to-market basis with any expense or income being reflected as an adjustment to cost of sales.

Effects of Changing Prices

The principal effect of inflation on the Company's operating results is to increase costs. The Company may raise selling prices to offset these cost increases; however, the resulting impact on retail prices may reduce the volume of product purchased by consumers.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act")), pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) of the Exchange Act. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective for the purpose of providing reasonable assurance the information required to be disclosed in the reports the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended October 3, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Except for the risk factor set forth below, there have been no material changes to the factors disclosed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 3, 2010.

Increases in the cost of employee benefits, including current employees' medical benefits and postretirement benefits, could impact the Company's financial results and cash flow.

On March 23, 2010 the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act ("PPACA") was signed into law. On March 30, 2010, a companion bill, the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 ("Reconciliation Act"), was also signed into law. The PPACA and the Reconciliation Act, when taken together, represent comprehensive healthcare reform legislation that will likely affect the cost associated with providing employer-sponsored medical plans. At this point, the Company is in the process of determining the impact this legislation will have on the Company's employer-sponsored medical plans. Additionally, the PPACA and the Reconciliation Act include provisions that will reduce the tax benefits available to employers that receive Medicare Part D subsidies.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits.

Exhibit Number	Description
4.1	The registrant, by signing this report, agrees to furnish the Securities and Exchange Commission, upon its request, a copy of any instrument which defines the rights of holders of long-term debt of the registrant and its consolidated subsidiaries which authorizes a total amount of securities not in excess of 10 percent of the total assets of the registrant and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis.
10.1	Form of Master Bottle Contract (Cola Beverage Agreement), made and entered into, effective January 27, 1989, between The Coca-Cola Company and Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated (the Company), together with Form of Home Market Amendment to Master Bottle Contract, effective as of October 29, 1999 (filed herewith).
10.2	Form of Allied Bottle Contract (Allied Beverage Agreement), made and entered into effective January 11, 1990, between The Coca-Cola Company and the Company (as successor to Coca-Cola Bottling Company of Anderson, S.C.) (filed herewith).
10.3	Letter Agreement, dated January 27, 1989, between The Coca-Cola Company and the Company, modifying the Cola Beverage Agreements and Allied Beverage Agreements (filed herewith).
10.4	Form of Marketing and Distribution Agreement (Still Beverage Agreement), made and entered into effective October 1, 2000, between The Coca-Cola Company and the Company (as successor to Metrolina Bottling Company), with respect to Dasani (filed herewith).
10.5	Form of Letter Agreement, dated December 10, 2001, between The Coca-Cola Company and the Company, together with Letter Agreement dated December 14, 1994, modifying the Still Beverage Agreements (filed herewith).
10.6*	Incident Pricing Letter Agreement, dated March 16, 2009, between The Coca-Cola Company and the Company (filed herewith).
12	Ratio of earnings to fixed charges (filed herewith).
31.1	Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
31.2	Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
32	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
*	Certain portions of the exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Confidential treatment has been requested for such portions of the exhibit.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

COCA-COLA BOTTLING CO. CONSOLIDATED
(REGISTRANT)

Date: November 12, 2010

By: /s/ James E. Harris
James E. Harris
Principal Financial Officer of the Registrant
and
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Date: November 12, 2010

By: /s/ William J. Billiard
William J. Billiard
Principal Accounting Officer of the Registrant
and
Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting
Officer