

Edgar Filing: SEMELE GROUP INC - Form DEFA14A

SEMELE GROUP INC
Form DEFA14A
June 22, 2004

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION
Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.11(c) or Section 240.14a-12

SEMELE GROUP, INC.

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - 1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies: N/A
 - 2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies: N/A
 - 3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11:
 - 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - 5) Total fee paid:
- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - 1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - 2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - 3) Filing Party:
 - 4) Date Filed:

SEMELE GROUP, INC.
200 NYALA FARMS
WESTPORT, CONNECTICUT 06880

Supplement, Dated June 22, 2004, to Proxy Statement, Dated June 4, 2004

On June 9, 2004, Robert Lewis, as plaintiff, filed a class and derivative action, captioned *Robert Lewis v. Gary D. Engle, James A. Coyne, AFG ASIT Corporation, Equis II Corporation, Semele Group Inc., PLM MILPI Holdings LLC, and AFG Investment Trust C, C.A. No. 497-N*, in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware, on behalf of a proposed class of investors holding units of beneficial interest in AFG Investment Trust C, against a number of its affiliates, including AFG ASIT Corporation, its managing trustee; Semele Group, Inc., the indirect corporate parent of AFG ASIT Corporation; Gary D. Engle and James A. Coyne, as officers of Semele Group, Inc.; all as defendants, and the Trust as a nominal defendant, requesting the court to, among other things, preliminarily and permanently enjoin the liquidation of the Trust.

The lawsuit is **not related** to the proposed going private transaction and the subsequent tender offer described in our Proxy Statement mailed to our stockholders on or about June 4, 2004 in respect of a meeting of stockholders scheduled to be held on June 29, 2004.

The plaintiff has alleged, among other things, claims against the defendants on behalf of the Trust for breaches of fiduciary duty and a duty to disclose, as well as breach of the trust agreement that governs the Trust. These allegations relate to a consent solicitation statement mailed by the Trust to its unitholders on or about June 2, 2004, and the transactions described therein. Specifically, plaintiff has asserted that the transactions described in the consent solicitation statement are not entirely fair to minority interest holders in the Trust and represent conflicts of interest with respect to certain defendants. The plaintiff also has alleged that the consent solicitation statement failed to disclose certain information about the MILPI sale transaction and the amendments to the trust agreement, each as described therein, and is materially misleading. In addition, the plaintiff alleges that the managing trustee has breached the trust agreement by acting to dissolve the Trust prior to the occurrence of certain events described in the trust agreement as conditions precedent to the liquidation of the Trust.

The plaintiff has requested that the court preliminarily and permanently enjoin the liquidation of the Trust, the consent solicitation and the MILPI sale transaction and the amendments; certify the lawsuit as a class action and the plaintiff as representative of the class; order corrective supplemental disclosures; award unspecified damages; and such other relief as the court may grant. The defendants deny all of the plaintiff's allegations and intend to vigorously defend against the lawsuit.

Semele Group Inc.