

SMITHFIELD FOODS INC  
 Form PRE 14A  
 July 23, 2012

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**SCHEDULE 14A**

**Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities**

**Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. )**

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

<b>Check the appropriate box:</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Preliminary Proxy Statement
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONFIDENTIAL, FOR USE OF THE COMMISSION ONLY (AS PERMITTED BY RULE 14a-6(e)(2))
<input type="checkbox"/>	Definitive Proxy Statement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Definitive Additional Materials
<input type="checkbox"/>	Soliciting Material Pursuant to §.240.14a-12

**SMITHFIELD FOODS, INC.**

*(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)*

*(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)*

<b>Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No fee required.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.</b>
	(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
	(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
	(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
	(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
	(5) Total fee paid:
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous</b>

<b>filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.</b>
(1) Amount Previously Paid:
(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
(3) Filing Party:
(4) Date Filed:

---

[Back to Contents](#)

Dear Fellow Shareholder:

It is my pleasure to invite you to attend our 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders on September 19, 2012 at 2:00 p.m., Eastern Time. The meeting will be held at the Williamsburg Lodge in Williamsburg, Virginia.

This meeting is a special moment in the life of your Company, following a fiscal 2012 in which Smithfield Foods had record sales and the second highest net income in its history. It is an opportunity for you to find out more about the exciting developments at Smithfield where we are focused on top and bottom line growth in our business. More importantly, the meeting is an opportunity to ask questions and express your opinions concerning Smithfield, regardless of the number of shares you own. I encourage you to read the enclosed materials and vote your shares either by proxy or in person at the Annual Meeting.

We have made several changes in this year's proxy statement in order to make the matters to be addressed at our Annual Meeting more understandable. You will note several enhancements in how we present information about our Board of Director nominees and corporate governance and executive compensation practices. We have also added a summary of the proxy statement that begins on page 6 to highlight important information discussed throughout the proxy statement. Further, you will see that we have continued to enhance our Compensation Discussion and Analysis that begins on page 28 in order to show you how our executives' compensation is linked to performance.

Among the proposals to be acted upon at the Annual Meeting is an amendment to our Articles of Incorporation to declassify the Board of Directors. At last year's Annual Meeting, our shareholders expressed their strong support for a non-binding shareholder proposal requesting that the Board of Directors take the necessary steps to declassify the Board of Directors. In response to this clear message from our shareholders, our Board of Directors has unanimously proposed, and recommends that our shareholders approve, this amendment to our Articles of Incorporation.

On behalf of your Board of Directors and your Smithfield management team, we hope you can attend the Annual Meeting. Thank you for your continued confidence in Smithfield.

August 9, 2012

**C. Larry Pope**

*President and Chief Executive Officer*

---

[Back to Contents](#)

**SMITHFIELD FOODS, INC.**

**200 COMMERCE STREET**

**SMITHFIELD, VIRGINIA 23430**

**Corporate Internet Site: *www.smithfieldfoods.com***

Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders  
September 19, 2012

2:00 p.m., Eastern Time

Williamsburg Lodge, 310 South England Street, Williamsburg, Virginia

As a shareholder of SMITHFIELD FOODS, INC., a Virginia corporation (the “Company”), you are cordially invited to be present, either in person or by proxy, at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Company to be held at the Williamsburg Lodge, 310 South England Street, Williamsburg, Virginia, at 2:00 p.m., Eastern Time, on September 19, 2012, for the following purposes:

1.

To elect as directors four nominees named in the attached proxy statement to serve a three-year term on the Company’s Board of Directors;

2.

To consider and act on a proposal to approve an amendment to the Company’s Articles of Incorporation to declassify the Board of Directors;

3.

To ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditors of the Company for the fiscal year ending April 28, 2013;

4.

To consider and act on an advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation; and

5.

To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any continuation or adjournment thereof.

Only shareholders of record at the close of business on July 13, 2012 will be entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting and any adjournment thereof. The transfer books will not be closed.

We hope you can attend the Annual Meeting in person. However, even if you plan to attend, please vote your proxy as soon as possible, so that we may be assured of a quorum to transact business. If you receive more than one proxy because you own shares registered in different names or addresses, each proxy should be voted. Your proxy is

revocable and will not affect your right to vote in person in the event you are able to attend the meeting.

Your attention is directed to the attached proxy statement.

Smithfield, Virginia

August 9, 2012

By order of the Board of Directors,

**Michael H. Cole**

*Secretary*

SMITHFIELD FOODS, INC. – 2012 Proxy Statement – 3

---

[Back to Contents](#)

Table of Contents

<b><u>PROXY STATEMENT SUMMARY</u></b>	<u>6</u>
<b><u>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING</u></b>	<u>8</u>
<b><u>PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u></b>	<u>12</u>
<u>Election Process</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Director Nominations</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Director Qualifications</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>2012 Nominees For Election to Three-Year Terms</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>Continuing Directors For Term Ending Upon 2013 Annual Meeting</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Continuing Directors For Term Ending Upon 2014 Annual Meeting</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>Committee Membership</u>	<u>17</u>
<b><u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u></b>	<u>17</u>
<b><u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u></b>	<u>19</u>
<u>Composition and Independence of the Board of Directors</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>Directors Emeritus</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Board Meetings and Committees</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Governance Guidelines</u>	<u>21</u>
<u>Code of Business Conduct and Ethics</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>Succession Planning</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>Director Retirement</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>Board Leadership Structure and Board's Role in Risk Oversight</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>Interested Party Communications with the Board of Directors</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>Executive Sessions of Non-Management Directors</u>	<u>25</u>
<b><u>PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS</u></b>	<u>25</u>
<b><u>COMMON STOCK OWNERSHIP OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS</u></b>	<u>26</u>
<b><u>SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE</u></b>	<u>27</u>

[Back to Contents](#)

<b><u>EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION</u></b>	<u>27</u>
<b><u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT</u></b>	<u>27</u>
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	<u>28</u>
<b><u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u></b>	<u>28</u>
<b><u>FISCAL 2012 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u></b>	<u>39</u>
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Discussion for Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>Pension Benefits</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation</u>	<u>47</u>
<u>Potential Payments Upon Change in Control</u>	<u>48</u>
<b><u>RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS</u></b>	<u>50</u>
<b><u>AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT</u></b>	<u>53</u>
<b><u>PROPOSAL 2 AMENDMENT TO THE ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION</u></b>	<u>55</u>
<b><u>PROPOSAL 3 RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS</u></b>	<u>56</u>
<b><u>PROPOSAL 4 ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION (SAY-ON-PAY)</u></b>	<u>57</u>
<b><u>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS REGARDING SHAREHOLDER COMMUNICATIONS, SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS AND COMPANY DOCUMENTS</u></b>	<u>58</u>
<b><u>EXHIBIT A AMENDMENT TO ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION</u></b>	<u>60</u>

[Back to Contents](#)

## PROXY STATEMENT SUMMARY

*This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this proxy statement. This summary does not contain all of the information you should consider, and you should read the entire proxy statement carefully before voting. For more complete information regarding Smithfield's fiscal 2012 performance, please review our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended April 29, 2012.*

### 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders

<b>Time and Date</b>	September 19, 2012 at 2 p.m., Eastern Time Williamsburg Lodge, 310 South England Street
<b>Place</b>	Williamsburg, Virginia
<b>Record Date</b>	July 13, 2012
<b>Voting</b>	Shareholders of record as of the record date are entitled to vote. Each share of Smithfield common stock is entitled to one vote on each matter properly brought before the 2012 Annual Meeting.

### Fiscal 2012 Business Highlights

In fiscal 2012, Smithfield delivered strong operating results. Business highlights of fiscal 2012 included:

- Net income of \$361.3 million, the second highest in Smithfield's history;
- Sales of \$13.1 billion, a company record and up 7% over fiscal 2011;
- Packaged meats sales increased 5% and operating profit increased 16%;
- Interest expense reduced by nearly \$70 million, or 28%; and
- \$189 million of our common stock repurchased.

Executive Compensation Highlights (page 28)



Our executive compensation programs are designed to motivate our executives to improve operating performance and, ultimately, increase our Company's share price. Therefore, our annual and equity-based long-term incentives are variable and constitute a significant portion of our executives' potential compensation.

This emphasis on incentive compensation reflects Smithfield's commitment to paying for performance – the incentives pay out when performance is strong and do not pay out when performance is disappointing. The nearby chart illustrates the close relationship between company performance, measured by consolidated pre-tax profits, and the compensation of our CEO over the past three fiscal years.

[Back to Contents](#)

The Company regularly assesses the compensation program to ensure that it properly aligns the interests of our shareholders and senior management. While the Company has reported record earnings during the last two fiscal years and achieved important strategic objectives for debt and cost reduction, our stock price has yet to reflect the impact of these achievements. Therefore, we have implemented a number of key changes in the compensation program over the last two years in order to more closely align executive compensation to shareholder interests. The following are changes that were made to our CEO's compensation for fiscal 2012 and 2013:

•

We redesigned our CEO's annual cash incentive award beginning in fiscal 2012 to reduce the amount paid at higher profit levels and to cap the total payout. These changes reduced our CEO's 2012 cash incentive by \$2.2 million compared to what would have been paid under the formula previously in effect.

•

We amended the formula for calculating benefits under our supplemental executive retirement plan, resulting in a \$5.9 million reduction in the value of the CEO's pension benefits.

•

We redesigned the performance share unit awards in fiscal 2013 to link vesting to Smithfield's total shareholder return over a three-year period compared to the average total shareholder return of a peer group of 18 companies, thus more closely aligning executive compensation to shareholder return.

•

We eliminated stock options as a component of our CEO's compensation beginning in fiscal 2013.

Voting Matters and Board Recommendation

•

**Election of Directors** (page 12)

***FOR each Director Nominee***

•

**Amendment of Articles of Incorporation** (page 55)

***FOR***

•

**Ratification of Auditors** (page 56)

***FOR***

•

**Advisory Vote to Approve Named Executive Officer Compensation**  
(page 57)

***FOR***

Board Nominees (page 12)

<b>Name</b>	<b>Age</b>	<b>Director Since</b>	<b>Principal Occupation</b>	<b>Committees</b>
Joseph W. Luter, III	73	1975	Chairman of the Board of Directors; Former Chief Executive Officer of Smithfield	E
C. Larry Pope	57	2006	President and Chief Executive Officer of Smithfield	E
Wendell H. Murphy, Sr.	73	2000	Private Investor; Former CEO Murphy Farms, Inc.	E, SCPA
Hon. Paul S. Tribble, Jr. *	65	2007	President of Christopher Newport University	NG, SCPA

\* = Independent Director, E=Executive Committee, NG=Nominating and Governance, SCPA=Sustainability, Community and Public Affairs Committee

Amendment to Articles of Incorporation (page 55)

Our Articles of Incorporation currently provide that the Board of Directors be divided into three classes with each class elected to serve a three-year term. The proposed amendment would phase out the classification of the Board of Directors such that directors elected at or after the 2013 Annual Meeting would be elected for a term of one year, as well as modify or delete certain related provisions of the Articles of Incorporation.

SMITHFIELD FOODS, INC. – 2012 Proxy Statement – 7

---

[Back to Contents](#)

## WELCOME TO THE SMITHFIELD FOODS ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

### QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING

#### 1. What is a proxy statement and what is a proxy?

A proxy statement is a document that SEC regulations require us to give you when we ask you to sign a proxy designating individuals to vote on your behalf. A proxy is your legal designation of another person to vote the shares you own. That other person is called a proxy. If you designate someone as your proxy in a written document, that document is also called a proxy or a proxy card. We have designated two of our officers as proxies for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the “Annual Meeting”), C. Larry Pope and Michael H. Cole.

#### 2. Why did I receive these proxy materials?

We are providing this meeting notice, proxy statement and proxy card (the “Proxy Materials”) in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors of Smithfield Foods, Inc., a Virginia corporation (“Smithfield,” the “Company,” “we,” “us,” and “our”), of proxies to be voted at our Annual Meeting. The proxies also may be voted at any continuations, adjournments or postponements of the meeting. This proxy statement contains information you may use when deciding how to vote in connection with the Annual Meeting. We are first sending the Proxy Materials to shareholders on or about August 9, 2012.

#### 3. Why did I receive a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials instead of printed proxy materials?

Pursuant to rules adopted by the SEC, we have elected to provide access to our Proxy Materials over the Internet. Accordingly, we are sending a Shareholder Meeting Notice & Admission Ticket (the “Notice”) to our shareholders. All shareholders will have the ability to access the Proxy Materials on the website referred to in the Notice or request to receive a printed set of the Proxy Materials. Instructions on how to access the Proxy Materials over the Internet or to request a printed copy may be found in the Notice. We encourage you to take advantage of the availability of the Proxy Materials on the Internet in order to help reduce the costs and environmental impact of the Annual Meeting.

#### 4. When and where is the Annual Meeting, and who may attend?

The Annual Meeting will be held on September 19, 2012 at 2:00 p.m., Eastern time, at the Williamsburg Lodge, 310 South England Street, Williamsburg, Virginia. The meeting room will open at 1:30 p.m. and registration will begin at that time. Shareholders who are entitled to vote may attend the meeting, as well as our invited guests. Each shareholder is permitted to bring one guest.

#### 5. What is the difference between holding shares as a Shareholder of Record and as a Beneficial Owner?

If your shares are registered in your name on the books and records of our transfer agent, you are a “Shareholder of Record.” Smithfield sent the Notice directly to you.

If your shares are held for you in the name of your broker or bank, your shares are held in “street name” and you are considered the “Beneficial Owner.” The Notice has been forwarded to you by your broker, bank or other holder of record, who is considered, with respect to those shares, the Shareholder of Record. As the Beneficial Owner, you have the right to direct your broker, bank or other Shareholder of Record on how to vote your shares by using the voting instruction card included in the mailing.

[Back to Contents](#)

6. How do I attend the Annual Meeting? What do I need to bring?

**Shareholders of Record.** If you are a Shareholder of Record and plan to attend the meeting, please bring the Notice and photo identification. Shareholders of Record who do not present Notices at the meeting will be admitted only upon verification of ownership at the admission counter.

**Beneficial Owners.** If you are a Beneficial Owner and plan to attend the meeting, you must present proof of your ownership of Smithfield shares as of July 13, 2012, such as a bank or brokerage account statement, and photo identification. If you wish to vote at the meeting, you must also bring a legal proxy as described in the answer to Question 12.

7. What are my voting choices for each of the proposals to be voted on at the Annual Meeting?

**Proposal**

**Voting Choices and Board Recommendation**

•

Vote in favor of all nominees;

•

Vote in favor of specific nominees;

•

Vote against all nominees;

•

**Item 1: Election of Four Director Nominees**

Vote against specific nominees;

•

Abstain from voting with respect to all nominees; or

•

Abstain from voting with respect to specific nominees.

**The Board recommends a vote **FOR** each of the nominees.**

**Item 2: Approval of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation to Declassify the Board of Directors**

•

Vote in favor of the amendment;

•

Vote against the amendment; or

•

Abstain from voting on the amendment.

**The Board recommends a vote **FOR** the amendment.**

•

Vote in favor of the ratification;

•

**Item 3: Ratification of the Appointment of Ernst & Young as Independent Auditors**

Vote against the ratification; or

•

Abstain from voting on the ratification.

**The Board recommends a vote **FOR** the ratification.**

•

Vote in favor of the advisory proposal;

•

**Item 4: Advisory Vote to Approve Named Executive Officer Compensation**

Vote against the advisory proposal; or

•

Abstain from voting on the advisory proposal.

**The Board recommends a vote **FOR** the advisory proposal to approve named executive officer compensation.**

8. Are there any other matters to be acted upon at the Annual Meeting?

We do not know of any other matter to be presented or acted upon at the meeting. If any matters not set forth in the meeting notice included in the Proxy Materials are properly brought before the meeting, the persons named in the enclosed proxy will vote on them in accordance with their best judgment.

9. Who is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?

You are entitled to vote if you owned shares of our common stock as of the close of business on the record date, July 13, 2012. Each share of common stock is entitled to one vote and there is no cumulative voting. As of July 13, 2012, we had 152,554,706 shares of common stock outstanding. Both Virginia law and our Bylaws require

our Board to establish a record date in order to determine who is entitled to receive notice of the Annual Meeting and to attend and vote at the Annual Meeting and any continuations, adjournments or postponements of the meeting.

10. How many votes must be present to hold the Annual Meeting?

A majority of the total votes entitled to be cast on matters to be considered at the Annual Meeting, or 76,277,354 shares, must be present in person or by proxy to hold the Annual Meeting. If a share is represented for any matter at the Annual Meeting, it is deemed to be present for quorum purposes and for all other matters as well. Abstentions and shares held of record by a broker or its nominee (“Broker Shares”) that are voted on any matter are included in determining the number of votes present or represented at the Annual Meeting. However, Broker Shares that are not voted on any matter at the Annual Meeting will not be included in determining whether a quorum is present at such meeting.



[Back to Contents](#)

11. How many votes are needed to approve the proposals?

**Proposal 1:** The number of votes cast in favor of electing each nominee for director must be greater than the votes cast against any such nominee. If elected, the four nominees would be appointed to a three-year term. If a nominee does not receive a majority of votes cast for his or her election, he or she will continue to serve on the Board as a “holdover director” and will be required to submit a letter of resignation promptly to the Board of Directors. Abstentions and Broker Shares that are not voted are not considered cast for or against a director nominee and, therefore, will have no effect on the outcome.

**Proposal 2:** The number of votes cast in favor of the amendment to the Company’s Articles of Incorporation must constitute at least two-thirds of the total votes entitled to be cast. Votes that are withheld and Broker Shares that are not voted on this proposal will count as votes against this proposal.

**Proposal 3:** The number of votes cast in favor of the ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditors must be greater than the votes cast against the ratification. Abstentions and Broker Shares that are not voted are not considered cast for or against this proposal and, therefore, will have no effect on the outcome.

**Proposal 4:** The number of votes cast in favor of the proposal on an advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation must be greater than the votes cast against approval. Abstentions and Broker Shares that are not voted are not considered cast for or against this proposal and, therefore, will have no effect on the outcome.

12. How do I vote?

**By Internet or by Telephone:** You may vote your proxy by the Internet or by telephone by following the instructions provided in the Notice. Proxies submitted by the Internet or telephone must be received by 2:00 a.m., Eastern Time, on September 19, 2012. To vote by the Internet, go to <http://www.envisionreports.com/SFD> and follow the steps outlined on the secured website. To vote by telephone, call toll free 1-800-652-VOTE (8683) within the United States, Canada and Puerto Rico any time on a touch tone telephone. There is no charge to you for the call. Please follow the instructions provided by the recorded message. When voting by the Internet or telephone, please have your Notice handy because you will need the validation details that are located on the Notice in order to cast your vote.

**By Mail:** If you request printed copies of the Proxy Materials be sent to you by mail, you may vote by proxy card or voting instruction card. Be sure to complete, sign and date the proxy card or voting instruction card and return it in the prepaid envelope. If you are a Shareholder of Record and you return your signed proxy card but do not indicate your voting preferences, the persons named in the proxy card will vote the shares represented by that proxy as recommended by the Board of Directors. If you are a Beneficial Owner, please also see Question 15 below.

**In Person at the Annual Meeting:** All shareholders may vote in person at the Annual Meeting. If you are a Beneficial Owner, you must obtain a legal proxy from your broker, bank or other Shareholder of Record and present it to the inspectors of election with your ballot to be able to vote at the meeting.

13. What can I do if I change my mind after I vote my shares?

If you are a Shareholder of Record, you can revoke your proxy before it is exercised by:

-

voting again over the Internet or by telephone prior to 2:00 a.m., Eastern Time, on September 19, 2012,

- 

timely sending written notice to our Secretary,

- 

timely delivering a valid, later-dated proxy, or

- 

voting by ballot at the Annual Meeting.

If you are a Beneficial Owner, you may submit new voting instructions by contacting your bank, broker or other Shareholder of Record. You may also vote in person at the Annual Meeting if you obtain a legal proxy as described in the answer to Question 12.

14. What if I do not specify a choice for a matter when returning a proxy?

Proxies that are signed and returned but do not contain voting instructions will be voted:

- 

“FOR” the election of each of the director nominees named in this proxy statement,

- 

“FOR” an amendment to the Company’s Articles of Incorporation to declassify the Board of Directors,

- 

“FOR” the ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent auditors,

[Back to Contents](#)

•

“FOR” approval of the proposal on an advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation, and

•

in accordance with the best judgment of the named proxies on any other matters properly brought before the meeting.

If you are a Beneficial Owner, see Question 15 below.

If necessary, and unless the shares represented by the proxy are voted in a manner contrary to the manner described in the preceding sentence, the persons named in the proxy may also vote in favor of a proposal to recess the Annual Meeting and to reconvene it on a subsequent date or dates, without further notice, in order to solicit and obtain sufficient votes to approve or disapprove any matters being considered at the Annual Meeting.

15. Will my shares be voted if I do not provide my proxy or instruction form?

If you are a Shareholder of Record and do not provide a proxy, you must attend the Annual Meeting in order to vote. If you are a Beneficial Owner and hold shares through an account with a bank or broker, your shares may be voted if you do not provide voting instructions. Brokerage firms have the authority under the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) rules to vote shares for which their customers do not provide voting instructions on routine matters. The ratification of the selection of independent auditors is considered a routine matter. The election of directors, the amendment to the articles of incorporation, and the advisory vote to approve named executive compensation are not considered routine. When a matter is not routine and the brokerage firm has not received voting instructions from the Beneficial Owner, the brokerage firm cannot vote the shares on that matter. This is called a broker non-vote.

16. What does it mean if I receive more than one Notice?

If you received multiple Notices, it means that you hold your shares in different ways (e.g., trust, custodial accounts, joint tenancy) or in multiple accounts. Each Notice you receive should be voted by Internet, telephone or mail.

17. Who will pay for the cost of this proxy solicitation?

We will bear the cost of this proxy solicitation. In addition to solicitation by mail, some of our directors, officers and employees may solicit proxies in person or by telephone for no additional compensation. We will also ask Shareholders of Record who are brokerage firms, custodians and fiduciaries to forward proxy material to the Beneficial Owners of such shares and upon request we will reimburse such Shareholders of Record for the customary costs of forwarding the proxy material. We have retained Okapi Partners LLC to assist in the solicitation of proxies and anticipate that this will cost us \$8,000 plus expenses.

18. May shareholders ask questions at the Annual Meeting?

Yes. The chairman of the meeting will answer questions from shareholders during the designated question and answer period of the meeting. In order to provide an opportunity for everyone who wishes to ask a question, shareholders may be limited to two minutes each to present their question. When speaking, shareholders must direct questions to the

chairman and confine their questions to matters that relate directly to the business of the meeting. Shareholders will not be able to make statements.

19. When will Smithfield announce the voting results?

We will announce the preliminary voting results at the Annual Meeting. Smithfield will report the final results in a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC.

20. Does Smithfield have a policy about Directors' attendance at the Annual Meeting?

It is our policy that directors should attend annual meetings of the shareholders. A regular meeting of the Board of Directors is scheduled in conjunction with the Annual Meeting. All directors attended the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

[Back to Contents](#)

## PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Board is elected by the shareholders to oversee their interest in the long-term health and overall success of Smithfield's business and its financial strength. The Board serves as the ultimate decision-making body for Smithfield, except for those matters reserved to or shared with the shareholders. The Board selects and oversees the members of senior management who are charged by the Board with conducting the business of Smithfield.

### Election Process

Our Board of Directors currently consists of ten directors, who are divided into three classes with staggered terms. Directors are elected by a majority of the votes cast unless the election is contested, in which case directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast. A majority of votes cast means that the number of shares voted for a director exceeds the number of votes cast against the director. If shareholders do not re-elect a nominee who is serving as a director, Virginia law provides that the director would continue to serve on the Board as a "holdover director." Under our Bylaws, if an incumbent director in an uncontested election does not receive a majority of votes cast for his or her election, the director is required to submit a letter of resignation promptly to the Board of Directors. Within 90 days of the certification of the election results, the Board must act on the resignation, taking into consideration any recommendation by the Nominating and Governance Committee and any additional relevant information and factors. The director who tenders his or her resignation does not participate in the decisions of the Nominating and Governance Committee or the Board relating to the resignation.

### Director Nominations

The Nominating and Governance Committee considers candidates for nomination to the Board of Directors from a number of sources, including recommendations by current members of the Board of Directors and members of management. Current members of the Board of Directors are considered for re-election unless they are ineligible for re-election or have notified us that they do not wish to stand for re-election. The Nominating and Governance Committee will also consider director candidates recommended by our shareholders. Please see page 23 for further details on the procedures to follow if a shareholder wishes to nominate a director. The Nominating and Governance Committee does not intend to evaluate candidates recommended by shareholders any differently than other candidates.

### Director Qualifications

In evaluating nominees for director, the Nominating and Governance Committee is guided by, among other things, the principles for Board composition expressed in the Governance Guidelines, which include the following objectives:

- 

The Board should be composed of qualified, dedicated and highly regarded individuals who have experience relevant to our operations and who understand the complexities of our business environment.

- 

The Board should endeavor to maintain a "balanced" membership, with representation of relevant areas of experience, types of expertise and backgrounds.

The Nominating and Governance Committee does not set specific, minimum qualifications that nominees must meet, but rather, in identifying and evaluating candidates for nomination, the Committee considers, in addition to the objectives set out in the Governance Guidelines, whether the candidate:

- demonstrates high ethical standards and accountability,
- has demonstrated substantial achievement and leadership in business, government, academic or other relevant fields,
- is dedicated to exercising independent and informed business judgment,
- is prepared and able to participate fully in Board activities, including service on committees, and

[Back to Contents](#)

•  
is not engaged in any activity adverse to, and does not serve on the board of another company whose interests are adverse to, or in conflict with, our interests.

As expressed in our Governance Guidelines, the Nominating and Governance Committee believes it is desirable that the Board maintain a “balanced” membership. Therefore, in evaluating director candidates, it is the practice of the Nominating and Governance Committee to consider, among other things, the diversity of viewpoints, experience and backgrounds of the candidates, including gender, race and ethnicity. However, the Nominating and Governance Committee has not formalized this practice into a specific policy. The Nominating and Governance Committee may also consider such factors as whether the candidate is independent within the meaning of the listing standards of the NYSE and whether the candidate meets any additional requirements for service on the Audit Committee.

**All Directors Exhibit:**

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| •   | •  |
| outstanding achievement in their professional careers | experience with and understanding of the business environment    |
| •   | •  |
| broad experience                                      | knowledge of corporate governance requirements and practices     |
| •   | •  |
| wisdom  | •  |
| •   | a commitment to sustainability and social issues, and            |
| personal and professional integrity                   | •  |
| •   | willingness and ability to devote adequate time to Board duties. |
| ability to make independent, analytical inquiries     |  |

2012 Nominees For Election to Three-Year Terms

The terms of Joseph W. Luter, III, C. Larry Pope, Wendell H. Murphy, Sr. and Paul S. Tribble, Jr. will expire at the time of the Annual Meeting. Following the recommendation of the Nominating and Governance Committee, the Board recommends the re-election of all four individuals to the Board of Directors for three-year terms.

All the nominees have indicated their willingness to serve if elected. If at the time of the meeting any nominee is unable or unwilling to serve, shares represented by properly executed proxies will be voted at the discretion of the persons named therein for such other person as the Board may designate.

The information set forth below includes, with respect to each nominee for election as director and each director whose term of office does not expire this year, his or her age, principal occupation and employment during the past five years, the year in which he or she first became a director of the Company, and directorships held by each at other

public companies during the past five years.



[Back to Contents](#)

*Joseph W. Luter, III*

Director since 1975

Age 73

Committees served: Executive (chair)

Mr. Luter, III has served as Chairman of the Board since 1975. He previously served as Consultant to the Company from August 2006 to August 2010 and Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company from 1975 to 2006. Mr. Luter, III is the father of Joseph W. Luter, IV, one of our executive officers.

Qualifications, Attributes, Skills and Experience:

Mr. Luter, III is uniquely qualified to serve as a member of the Company's Board of Directors due to his unmatched operational and leadership experience with the Company and deep knowledge in the meat processing and hog production industry from his service as CEO of the Company for over 30 years during which time the Company transformed from a small regional company to a Fortune 250 company with significant international operations.

*C. Larry Pope*

Director since 2006

Age 57

Committees served: Executive

Mr. Pope has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since 2006. He previously served as President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company from 2001 to 2006 and Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from 2000 to 2001.

Qualifications, Attributes, Skills and Experience:

Mr. Pope's over 30 year career at the Company spans a variety of senior management roles and responsibilities which bring an in-depth knowledge of the Company and broad experience in operational, finance, accounting and risk management matters. Further, as the Company's CEO, Mr. Pope has expertise in corporate strategy, organizational leadership and international operations.

*Wendell H. Murphy, Sr.*

Director since 2000

Age 73

Committees served: Sustainability, Community and Public Affairs; Executive

Although now a private investor, Mr. Murphy previously was Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Murphy Farms, Inc. of Rose Hill, North Carolina, a hog producer, prior to the Company's purchase of such business in January 2000. Mr. Murphy also served as director of the Company from 1991 to 1998.

Qualifications, Attributes, Skills and Experience:

Mr. Murphy's qualifications to serve as director include decades of industry and leadership experience in the hog production industry as the founder of Murphy Family Farms which, at the time the Company bought it, was one of the largest hog production businesses in the country. Mr. Murphy's extensive knowledge of our business has been further enhanced in his service as a director for nearly 20 years. Mr. Murphy, as a former member of the North Carolina legislature, also brings public affairs and state government experience to the Board. The Board further benefits from his entrepreneurial experience and spirit.

*Hon. Paul S. Tribble, Jr.*

Director since 2007

Age 65

Committees served: Nominating and Governance (chair); Sustainability, Community and Public Affairs

Mr. Tribble has served as President of Christopher Newport University since 1996. He served as U.S. Senator from 1983 to 1989 and as Member of U.S. House of Representatives from 1977 to 1983.

Qualifications, Attributes, Skills and Experience:

Mr. Tribble has leadership experience as president of a public liberal arts university with an enrollment of 4,800 students for over fifteen years and his service as U.S. Senator and U.S. Congressman. The Board also benefits from Mr. Tribble's public policy expertise and first hand knowledge of the workings of the state and federal government.

[Back to Contents](#)

Continuing Directors For Term Ending Upon 2013 Annual Meeting

*Hon. Carol T. Crawford*

Director since 2000

Age 69

Committees served: Compensation; Sustainability, Community and Public Affairs (chair)

Ms. Crawford is an attorney and an international trade law consultant. She formerly served as Commissioner of the U.S. International Trade Commission from 1991 until 2000 and Assistant Attorney General of the United States from 1989 until 1990. She also served as Associate Director of the White House Office of Management and Budget from 1985 through 1989. She serves on the Board of Trustees of Torray Fund, a publicly-held mutual fund.

Qualifications, Attributes, Skills and Experience:

Ms. Crawford has extensive public policy and governmental affairs experience, including her work on international trade matters. Further, Ms. Crawford's background of governmental service and legal experience are helpful as the Company's operations are subject to various governmental regulations. Ms. Crawford's 12 year directorship at Smithfield also gives her extensive knowledge of our business.

*Richard T. Crowder*

Director since 2011

Age 72

Committees served: Nominating and Governance

Dr. Crowder has served as a Professor of International Trade at the College of Agriculture and Life Sciences at Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University since 2008. From January 2006 until April 2007, he served as chief agriculture negotiator at the Office of the U.S. Trade Representative, responsible for directing all U.S. agricultural trade negotiations worldwide, including multilateral negotiations in the World Trade Organization, as well as regional and bilateral negotiations. Dr. Crowder also served as a senior advisor to the U.S. Trade Representative from May 2007 until April 2008. Dr. Crowder is a director of Neogen Corporation.

Qualifications, Attributes, Skills and Experience:

Dr. Crowder has extensive knowledge of international trade, and in particular agricultural trade, which brings valuable insight to the Board on matters relevant to the Company and its industry. Dr. Crowder also has public company board experience and currently serves on the Governance Committee and Stock Option Committee of Neogen Corporation.

*John T. Schwieters*

Director since 2001

Age 72

Committees served: Audit (chair); Nominating and Governance

Mr. Schwieters is Senior Executive and member of the Executive Committee of Perseus L.L.C., a merchant bank and private equity fund management company, since 2012, after serving as Senior Advisor from 2009 to 2012 and Vice Chairman from 2000 to 2009. From 1989 to 2000, Mr. Schwieters served as Managing Partner, Mid-Atlantic Region, Arthur Andersen LLP. He is a director of Danaher Corporation and Choice Hotels International, Inc. and within the past five years has also served as a director of Union Street Acquisition Corp. and Manor Care, Inc.

Qualifications, Attributes, Skills and Experience:

Mr. Schwieters has extensive knowledge and experience in the areas of public accounting, tax accounting and finance, as he led the Mid-Atlantic region of one of the world's largest accounting firms after previously leading that firm's tax practice in the Mid-Atlantic region. He also has extensive public company board experience having chaired the Audit Committees of several public companies, including current service as chair of the Audit Committees of Danaher Corporation and Choice Hotels International, Inc.

[Back to Contents](#)

Continuing Directors For Term Ending Upon 2014 Annual Meeting

*Margaret G. Lewis*

Director since 2011

Age 58

Committees served: Audit

Ms. Lewis is President of HCA's Capital Division, which includes facilities in northern, central and southwestern Virginia, New Hampshire, Indiana and Kentucky. She began her career with HCA in 1978 and held several positions in nursing management and quality management before becoming Chief Nursing Officer of HCA's Richmond Division in 1997. Ms. Lewis became Chief Operating Officer of CJW Medical Center in 1998 and Chief Executive Officer in 2001. She is a registered nurse and a diplomat of the American College of Healthcare Executives.

Qualifications, Attributes, Skills and Experience:

Ms. Lewis brings extensive leadership experience and management skills to the Board. Her variety of senior management roles provides expertise in executive decision-making and strategic planning.

*David C. Nelson*

Director since 2008

Age 53

Committees served: Compensation; Sustainability, Community and Public Affairs

Mr. Nelson has served as Global Strategist, Animal Protein, Grains and Oilseeds for the Food & Agribusiness Research Advisory group at Rabobank International since July 2010. Previously, he was a Portfolio Manager of Altima One World Agriculture Fund, an affiliate of Altima Partners, which is a European hedge fund manager, from 2008 until July 2010. Additionally, Mr. Nelson served as an analyst and Managing Director of Credit Suisse from 1997 to 2007 and as Assistant Director of Research and Managing Director of NatWest Markets from 1990 to 1997. Mr. Nelson has also served on a number of advisory boards, including to the U.S. Census of Agriculture, National Soybean Processors Association, National Pork Board and U.S. Feed Grains Council.

Qualifications, Attributes, Skills and Experience:

Mr. Nelson brings valuable insight to the Board as an agribusiness analyst in the animal protein and grains industry, as well as his expertise regarding the commodities markets. In addition, the Board values Mr. Nelson's knowledge of Wall Street and the capital markets.

*Frank S. Royal, M.D.*

Director since 2002

Age 72

Committees served: Audit; Nominating and Governance; Compensation (chair)

Dr. Royal has been a physician since 1969. He is a director of SunTrust Banks, Inc. and Dominion Resources, Inc. and within the past five years has also served as a director of Chesapeake Corporation and CSX Corporation.

Qualifications, Attributes, Skills and Experience:

Dr. Royal has significant experience and knowledge of the requirements, rules, issues and concerns that a public company faces. He has extensive public company board experience with significant leadership positions on the various boards that he has served, including service on the boards of several Fortune 500 companies, including a decade of service as a director of Smithfield. Dr. Royal currently serves on the Audit Committee and Governance and Nominating Committee of SunTrust Banks, Inc. and is the chairman of the Compensation, Governance and Nominating Committee at Dominion Resources, Inc.

[Back to Contents](#)

## Committee Membership

The following table describes the current members of each of the committees of the Board of Directors and the number of meetings held during fiscal 2012:

Name	Audit	Nominating and Governance	Compensation	Sustainability, Community, and Public Affairs	Executive
Joseph W. Luter, III					<i>Chair</i>
C. Larry Pope					X
Hon. Carol T. Crawford			X	<i>Chair</i>	
Richard T. Crowder		X			
Margaret G. Lewis	X				
Wendell H. Murphy, Sr.				X	X
David C. Nelson			X	X	
Frank S. Royal, M.D.	X	X	<i>Chair</i>		X
John T. Schwieters	<i>Chair</i>	X			
Hon. Paul S. Triple, Jr.		<i>Chair</i>		X	
<b>Number of Meetings</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>

## DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The Nominating and Governance Committee is responsible for recommending director compensation to the Board of Directors. The following compensation arrangements have been recommended by the Nominating and Governance Committee and approved by the Board of Directors.

## Fiscal 2012 Compensation

Non-employee directors are entitled to receive the following cash consideration for their services:

- 

an annual retainer of \$75,000,

- an additional annual retainer of \$25,000 for the lead director,

- an additional annual retainer of \$15,000 for the chair of the Audit Committee,

- an additional annual retainer of \$10,000 for the chair of any other committee, and

- \$2,000 for each Board or committee meeting attended.

In addition, each non-employee director receives an annual award of \$75,000 in deferred stock units pursuant to the current award program under the 2008 Plan. For fiscal 2012, the number of deferred stock units for this annual award was determined based on the closing price of the Company's common stock on the second trading day following the Company's first quarter earnings announcement.

Non-employee directors are also entitled under the 2008 Plan to defer 25%, 50%, 75% or 100% of their director fees and receive deferred stock units in lieu thereof. Each deferred stock unit entitles the director to receive one share of common stock at a time following the director's termination of service, as specified in advance by the director. In the event cash dividends are paid on our common stock, hypothetical cash dividends in the same amount will be credited to the director's account and converted into stock units based on the market price of our common stock on the trading day before the dividend payment date.

The Chairman of the Board receives an annual cash retainer of \$500,000, payable in four quarterly installments. In addition, the Chairman receives an annual award of deferred stock units valued at \$500,000, awarded in four quarterly installments. The number of deferred stock units received quarterly is equal to \$125,000 divided by the closing price of our common stock as reported in the *Wall Street Journal* on the trading day prior to the date on which the quarterly payment is to be paid. Each deferred stock unit entitles the Chairman to receive one share of common stock at a time following the Chairman's termination of service as director, as specified in advance by the Chairman. In the event cash dividends are paid on our common stock, hypothetical cash dividends in the same amount will be credited to his account and converted into stock units based on the market price of our common stock on the trading day before the dividend payment date. In setting the Chairman's compensation, the Board considers Mr. Luter, III's extensive experience in the industry generally and the Company's business in particular as well as the important role he plays in long-term strategic planning and overseeing the implementation of such plans.



[Back to Contents](#)

The following table includes information concerning compensation paid to or earned by the people listed in the table who served as directors during the fiscal year ended April 29, 2012.

Name	Fees earned or paid in cash	Stock awards	Option awards	Non-equity incentive plan compensation	Change in		All Other Compensation	Total
					pension value and nonqualified deferred earnings (\$)	(\$)		
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	
Joseph W. Luter, III	500,000	500,000	—	—	—	—	28,202	1,028,202
C. Larry Pope	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hon. Carol T. Crawford	125,000	75,000	—	—	—	—	—	200,000
Richard T. Crowder	87,000	75,000	—	—	—	—	—	162,000
Margaret G. Lewis	87,000	75,000	—	—	—	—	—	162,000
Wendell H. Murphy, Sr.	87,000	75,000	—	—	—	—	—	162,000
David C. Nelson	115,000	75,000	—	—	—	—	—	195,000
Frank S. Royal, M.D.	158,000	75,000	—	—	—	—	—	233,000
John T. Schwieters	136,000	75,000	—	—	—	—	—	211,000
Hon. Paul S. Triple, Jr.	105,000	75,000	—	—	—	—	—	180,000
Robert L. Burrus, Jr. <sup>(1)</sup>	85,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	85,000
Melvin O. Wright <sup>(2)</sup>	124,500	75,000	—	—	—	—	—	199,500

(1) Mr. Burrus served as a director until the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on September 21, 2011. His director compensation includes \$43,500 for service as Director Emeritus.

(2) Mr. Wright served as a director until February 3, 2012. His director compensation includes \$4,000 for service as Director Emeritus.

Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (Column (b))

Pursuant to the Company's 2008 Incentive Compensation Plan ("2008 Plan"), each non-employee director is entitled to defer all or a part of his or her director fees and receive, in lieu thereof, deferred stock units entitling the director to receive shares of our common stock at a future date or dates. For those directors electing to receive all or a portion of such fees as deferred units, the number of deferred stock units received is equal to the amount of fees deferred divided by the market price of the common stock. Deferred fees, if any, are included in the amounts listed in this column.

Stock Awards (Column (c))

Included in this column is the aggregate grant date fair value of the deferred stock units granted annually to each named director pursuant to the 2008 Plan, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Each director is fully vested in the deferred stock units received pursuant to fee deferrals or the annual grant.

The aggregate number of deferred stock units (whether received pursuant to fee deferrals or the annual grants) held by each current director as of July 13, 2012 was as follows: Mr. Luter, III — 50,962; Ms. Crawford — 36,228; Dr. Crowder — 4,141; Ms. Lewis — 4,660; Mr. Murphy — 41,288; Mr. Nelson — 28,234; Dr. Royal — 16,601; Mr. Schwieters — 22,389; Mr. Tribble — 13,099.

[Back to Contents](#)

All Other Compensation (Column (g))

Consists of \$28,202 in perquisites. The perquisites consist of a company-leased automobile (calculated using 100% of the lease cost, repairs, maintenance and fees of the automobile) and secretarial support.

[CORPORATE GOVERNANCE](#)

Composition and Independence of the Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors currently consists of ten directors. The Board of Directors has determined that seven of our current directors (Ms. Crawford and Lewis, Drs. Crowder and Royal and Messrs. Nelson, Schwieters and Tribble) qualify as independent directors in accordance with the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange (the “NYSE”). In addition, the Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Schwieters, Chair of the Audit Committee, is an “audit committee financial expert” as defined by Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K.

Only two of the directors determined by the Board to be independent have any relationship with us other than as a director. The bases for the Board’s determination regarding the independence of these two directors are explained below:

•

In evaluating the independence of Mr. Nelson, the Board considered that in July 2010, Mr. Nelson was appointed as Global Strategist, Animal Protein, Grains and Oil Seeds for the Food and Agribusiness Research Advisory Group of Rabobank International. From July 2009 to June 2011, Rabobank Nederland, an affiliate of Rabobank International, served as syndication agent and a joint book runner and co-lead arranger and lender under the Company’s \$1.0 billion asset-based revolving credit facility (ABL Credit Facility) and as administrative agent and lender under the Company’s \$200 million term loan (Rabobank Term Loan). Prior to July 2009, Rabobank Nederland served in similar capacities under certain of the Company’s previous credit facilities. In June 2011, the Company refinanced its ABL Credit Facility and Rabobank Nederland serves as administrative agent and a lead arranger and lender under the \$925 million asset-based revolving credit facility. In addition, the Company put in place a \$275 million Credit and Security Agreement for which Rabobank Nederland serves as administrative agent and sole lender. The Rabobank Term Loan was also refinanced at the same time and Rabobank Nederland continues to serve in the same capacity for the new loan. In determining that Mr. Nelson is independent, the Board considered favorably that the Rabobank entity with which Mr. Nelson is employed does not serve in any capacity with respect to the Company’s credit facilities, Mr. Nelson does not hold an equity interest in, nor is he serving as an executive officer of, any Rabobank entity, and the administrative fees and interest paid by the Company to Rabobank Nederland were \$27.4 million or less in each of the past three years and represent only a small fraction of one percent of Rabobank’s revenues.

•

In evaluating the independence of Mr. Tribble, the Board considered that the Smithfield-Luter Foundation, a charitable foundation affiliated and funded by us, is committed to making contributions annually through 2016 supporting educational programs and scholarships at Christopher Newport University, a tax exempt, public liberal arts university where Mr. Tribble is currently employed as President. Frances Luter, the wife of one of our executive officers, Joseph W. Luter, IV, served on the Board of Visitors of the university until June 30, 2012. In determining that Mr. Tribble is independent, the Board favorably considered that the Foundation’s existing and planned annual contributions represent

less than 2% of the university's annual gross revenues and that Mrs. Luter abstained from any actions taken by the university's Board of Visitors with respect to compensation or other employment terms or arrangements involving Mr. Triple.

[Back to Contents](#)

Directors Emeritus

At their respective retirement dates, the Board appointed Ray A. Goldberg and Messrs. Burrus and Wright each to serve as a Director Emeritus in accordance with the Company's Bylaws. As Directors Emeritus, Dr. Goldberg and Messrs. Burrus and Wright may attend Board meetings and participate in the discussion of matters that come before the Board, but they are not entitled to vote upon any such matters. For their service as Directors Emeritus, Dr. Goldberg and Messrs. Burrus and Wright receive the same annual retainer and meeting fees as do the non-employee directors, but they do not receive any equity awards. Dr. Goldberg and Mr. Burrus will conclude their service as Directors Emeritus immediately prior to the 2012 Annual Meeting. Mr. Wright will conclude his service as Director Emeritus immediately prior to the 2013 Annual Meeting.

Board Meetings and Committees

During fiscal 2012, the Board of Directors held five meetings. All directors attended 75% or more of these meetings, including regularly scheduled and special meetings, and the meetings of all committees of the Board on which they served that were held in the past fiscal year during the periods in which they were directors or served on such committees.

The Board of Directors has four standing committees which met during fiscal 2012: the Audit Committee, the Nominating and Governance Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Sustainability, Community and Public Affairs Committee. Each of these committees is composed entirely of directors who have been determined by the Board of Directors to be independent under current NYSE standards, except for Mr. Murphy, who serves on our Sustainability, Community and Public Affairs Committee because of his expertise regarding the hog production industry and related public policy issues. Each committee operates under a charter approved by the Board of Directors setting out the purposes and responsibilities of the committee. The committees and the Board of Directors periodically review and, as appropriate, revise the committees' charters to reflect, among other things, changing regulatory developments and changes in the responsibilities of the committees. All committee charters are available for review on our website at <http://investors.smithfieldfoods.com/documents.cfm>. The Board of Directors has also established an Executive Committee which is authorized to exercise the powers of the Board of Directors between Board meetings but did not meet during the past fiscal year.

The table below summarizes the responsibilities of all of the committees of the Board of Directors.

<b>Committee</b>	<b>Summary of the Functions of the Committee</b>
<b>AUDIT</b>	Oversee the financial reporting process <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> <li>Review financial statements and releases</li> <li>•</li> <li>Review significant management judgments affecting financial statements</li> <li>•</li> </ul>

Review quality of accounting principles employed

- 

Review adequacy of internal and disclosure controls and procedures

Oversee independent auditors

- 

Oversee performance and independence

- 

Pre-approve non-audit services

- 

Appoint independent auditors

Review scope, methodology and results of the audit of the independent auditors

Oversee the Company's compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act relative to testing of internal controls

Oversee the internal audit department

Oversee compliance with laws and governmental regulations

- 

Oversee administration of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

- 

Review cases of misconduct

Review, approve and ratify related party transactions

Oversee risk assessment and enterprise risk management

Oversee investments in the Company's pension plans

[Back to Contents](#)

**Committee**

**Summary of the Functions of the Committee**

Guide selection of directors

•

Establish criteria for nominees

•

Review candidate qualifications

•

Recruit desired candidates

Recommend director nominees

**NOMINATING  
AND GOVERNANCE**

Recommend committee membership

Review Governance Guidelines and recommend governance issues to be addressed

Review the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

Evaluate director performance

Review committee structure and operations

Recommend ways to improve effectiveness of the Board of Directors

Recommend director compensation

Oversee senior management succession process

Develop the Company's philosophy on executive compensation

Establish compensation for the CEO based on its review and approval of corporate and personal goals and objectives and its evaluation of the CEO's performance in light of those goals and objectives

**COMPENSATION**

Review and approve compensation for other executive officers and key personnel

Recommend compensation and benefit plans

Administer cash and equity-based incentive plans

**SUSTAINABILITY,  
COMMUNITY**

Oversee responsibilities relating to public policy issues

**AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS** •

Monitor public policy and social trends affecting the Company

•

Monitor issues and practices relating to the Company's social accountability

•

Review activities related to emergency preparedness and business continuity planning

•

Review the Company's positions and strategies on public policy issues, including overseeing the political action committee

•

Review impact of business operations and practices on local communities

•

Evaluate the Company's corporate citizenship programs and activities, including Company charitable contributions

**EXECUTIVE**

Exercise the powers of the Board of Directors between Board meetings to the extent permitted by law

Governance Guidelines

The Board of Directors has adopted a set of Governance Guidelines reflecting a commitment to maintaining high corporate governance standards. The Nominating and Governance Committee is responsible for reviewing periodically the Governance Guidelines and making recommendations on governance issues that should be addressed by the Board of Directors. The Governance Guidelines are available for review on our website at <http://investors.smithfieldfoods.com/documents.cfm>.

***Among other matters, the Governance Guidelines address the following:***

•

A majority of the directors shall be independent within the meaning of the listing standards of the NYSE.

•

The Board of Directors is responsible for providing the best example of our core values of integrity and ethical behavior.

•



The directors meet regularly in executive session without the Chief Executive Officer (or any other directors who are current or former officers of the Company) present. These meetings are chaired by the Lead Director.

- 

Directors have access to our management at all times.

- 

When a director no longer holds the principal position that he or she held when first elected to the Board of Directors, the Nominating and Governance Committee is to make a recommendation to the Board of Directors regarding the director's continued service.

- 

The Board of Directors conducts an annual review of its own performance.

[Back to Contents](#)

### Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

We maintain a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (the “Code”) applicable to all of our employees, officers and directors. The purpose of the Code is to convey our policies and practices for conducting business in accordance with applicable law and the highest ethical standards. Any waiver of the Code for executive officers or directors will be made only by the Board of Directors or its Audit Committee and will be promptly disclosed. In support of the Code, we have provided employees with a number of avenues for the reporting of ethics violations or similar concerns, including an anonymous telephone hotline. A compliance committee chaired by our Chief Legal Officer administers the Code and requires all directors and executive officers to complete an annual certification relating to ethics and compliance with the law, the Code and other Company policies. The chair of the compliance committee reports periodically to the Audit Committee on the administration of the Code and is required to report promptly any violation of the Code by an executive officer or director to the Chair of the Audit Committee. The Code was adopted by the Board of Directors and is reviewed periodically by the Nominating and Governance Committee. The Code is available for review on our website at <http://investors.smithfieldfoods.com/documents.cfm>, and we will post any amendments to, or waivers from, the Code on that website.

### Succession Planning

*Chief Executive Officer and Senior Management Succession.* One of the primary duties of the Board of Directors is to select and oversee our Chief Executive Officer and other senior managers. The Board of Directors must ensure that the Company has senior managers who have the required skills and leadership ability to carry out our long-term and short-term strategic plans. Additionally, advance planning for contingencies such as the departure, death or disability of a senior manager is necessary, so that, in the event of an untimely vacancy, the Company has in place an emergency succession plan to facilitate the transition to both interim and longer-term leadership.

***The key elements of our senior management succession plan include:***

- oversight of the Chief Executive Officer and senior management succession process by the Nominating and Governance Committee;
- periodic evaluations of the qualities and leadership skills that are required to carry out the Board of Director’s strategic plans, and the development of a desired profile for the Chief Executive Officer based on this evaluation;
- periodic performance evaluations of the Chief Executive Officer and other senior managers by the Nominating and Governance Committee;
- regular and open dialogues between the Board of Directors and the Chief Executive Officer regarding succession planning;

- meetings by the Board of Directors with senior managers in order to identify potential internal candidates; and

- when applicable, establishing estimated time frames for planned successions, and if the Board of Directors concludes that no internal candidate is ready as the time approaches, retaining an executive search firm to identify and evaluate external candidates.

*Director Succession.* The Board of Directors also has the responsibility of planning for future board retirements, committee assignments and committee chair nominations. The Board of Directors must evaluate its current skills and experiences in order to identify potential shortfalls that may result from future vacancies, and consider future director recruitment.

***The key elements of our director succession plan include:***

- oversight of the director succession planning process by the Nominating and Governance Committee;

- regular evaluations of the qualities and skill sets that the Board of Directors needs to oversee management's performance and the execution of the Company's long-term and short-term strategic plans;

- regular dialogues among the full Board of Directors and the non-management directors regarding director succession;

- estimating the timing of expected director retirements, and considering the possibility of unexpected departures from the Board; and

- retaining an outside search firm to assist in the identification and evaluation of potential candidates, if necessary.

[Back to Contents](#)

## Policies and Procedures Governing Director Nominations

The Nominating and Governance Committee considers candidates for nomination to the Board of Directors from a number of sources, including recommendations by current members of the Board of Directors and members of management. Current members of the Board of Directors are considered for re-election unless they are ineligible for re-election or have notified us that they do not wish to stand for re-election. The Nominating and Governance Committee will also consider director candidates recommended by our shareholders. Shareholders desiring to submit recommendations for director candidates must follow the following procedures:

- 

The Nominating and Governance Committee will accept recommendations of director candidates throughout the year; however, in order for a recommended candidate to be considered by the Committee for nomination for election at an upcoming annual meeting of shareholders, the recommendation must be received by the Secretary of the Company not less than 120 days prior to the anniversary date of our most recent annual meeting of shareholders.

- 

This recommendation must be in writing and must include the following initial information with respect to the candidate:

—

all information regarding the candidate that would be required to be disclosed in a proxy statement filed with the SEC, and

—

a description of compensation and other monetary arrangements during the past three years and any other material relationships between the shareholder making the recommendation and each candidate.

- 

In addition, the recommendation must include the following initial information with respect to the shareholder and any shareholder associated person:

—

name and address,

—

number of shares beneficially owned,

—

any derivative instrument or similar opportunity to profit from any increase or decrease in the share value of the Company,

—  
any arrangement pursuant to which the person has a right to vote any shares,

—  
any short interest the person has,

—  
any rights to dividends that are separated from the underlying shares,

—  
any proportionate interest in shares held by a general or limited partnership in which the person is a general partner or beneficially owns an interest in a general partner,

—  
any performance-related fees the person is entitled to based on the value of shares or derivative instruments, and

—  
all information regarding the person that would be required to be disclosed in a proxy statement filed with the SEC.

•  
If the recommendation is made prior to the record date, it must include a written agreement by the shareholder to supplement the information as of the record date. Additionally, the recommendation must include a representation that the shareholder is a holder of record, is entitled to vote at the meeting and intends to appear in person or by proxy and a representation as to whether the shareholder or shareholder associated person is or intends to be a part of a group that intends to deliver a proxy statement or otherwise solicit proxies.

•  
Recommendations must be sent by U.S. Mail, courier or expedited delivery service to Michael H. Cole, Secretary, Smithfield Foods, Inc., 200 Commerce Street, Smithfield, Virginia 23430.

#### Director Retirement

It is the Board's policy that no director may be nominated to stand for re-election to the Board if he or she would be 75 or older at the time of the election, with the following exception: the Nominating and Governance Committee has the authority to extend the retirement of an individual Director for a period of up to three years from the time this policy first applies to a director if the Committee, in its discretion, believes that such extension would best serve the interests of the Company.

#### Board Leadership Structure and Board's Role in Risk Oversight

*Board Leadership Structure*

- 

Non-Executive Chairman of the Board

- 

Lead Director

[Back to Contents](#)

Our Chairman of the Board, Joseph W. Luter, III, retired as our Chief Executive Officer in 2006. At that time, he agreed to remain as non-executive Chairman of the Board. As a former CEO of the Company, Mr. Luter, III brings to the chairmanship extensive experience in the industry generally and the Company's business in particular. We believe this background enhances the role of the Chairman of the Board in the development of long-term strategic plans and oversight of senior management in the implementation of such plans. Our Board of Directors recently amended our Governance Guidelines to provide that when our Chairman of the Board is not independent, as is currently the case, a Lead Director shall be selected by the independent directors from among themselves or by a procedure of selection adopted by the independent directors. Dr. Royal has been selected by the independent directors to serve as Lead Director. The duties and responsibilities of the Lead Director include:

- presiding at executive sessions of the non-management directors;
- serving as a liaison between the non-management directors and the Chief Executive Officer;
- serving as an independent point of contact for shareholders wishing to communicate with the independent or non-management directors; and
- having the authority to call meetings of the non-management directors with advance notice of such meetings to be given to the Chairman of the Board.

We believe the Lead Director position provides balance to the Chairman of the Board and facilitates strong independent oversight of the Chief Executive Officer and the rest of our management team. For all the above reasons, we believe the current leadership structure of the Board is appropriate for our Company.

***Oversight of Risk***

- The Board oversees risk management.
- Board committees, which meet regularly and report back to the full Board, play significant roles in carrying out the risk oversight function.
- Company management is charged with managing risk through rigorous internal processes and strong internal controls.

Our Board of Directors believes that full and open communication between management and the Board of Directors is essential for effective risk management and oversight. Our Board meets with our Chief Executive Officer and other senior management at regular Board meetings to discuss strategy and risks facing the Company. Periodically, senior management delivers presentations to our Board or a Board committee regarding strategic matters and matters involving material risk. Our Board also holds strategic planning sessions with senior management to discuss strategies, key challenges, and risks and opportunities for the Company. We believe that our Board's leadership structure enhances its ability to engage in risk oversight because Mr. Luter, III's understanding of the Company's business and industry position him, as Chairman of the Board, to identify and raise key risks to the Board while at the same time Dr. Royal's position as Lead Director fosters full and open communication between the independent directors and the Chief Executive Officer.

While our Board of Directors is ultimately responsible for risk oversight, our Board committees assist the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities in certain areas of risk. The Audit Committee assists our Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to risk management in the areas of internal control over financial reporting, disclosure controls and procedures and legal and regulatory compliance. The Audit Committee discusses with management and the independent auditor guidelines and policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management. The Audit Committee also discusses with management our major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposure. The Compensation Committee assists our Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to the management of risks arising from our compensation policies and programs. The Nominating and Governance Committee assists our Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to the management of risks associated with board organization, membership and structure, corporate governance and succession planning for our directors and senior management. The Sustainability, Community and Public Affairs Committee reviews with management our policies and practices with respect to risk management in the area of sustainability. While Board committees are responsible for assisting the Board in evaluating certain risks and overseeing the management of such risks, our entire Board of Directors is regularly informed through management and committee reports about such risks and steps taken to manage and mitigate them.

#### Interested Party Communications with the Board of Directors

Interested parties, including shareholders, may communicate by mail with all or selected members of the Board of Directors. Correspondence should be addressed to the Board of Directors or any individual director(s) or group or committee of directors either by name or title (for example, "Lead Director," "Chair of the Nominating and Governance Committee" or "All Non-Management Directors"). All correspondence should be sent c/o Michael H. Cole, Secretary, Smithfield Foods, Inc., 200 Commerce Street, Smithfield, Virginia 23430.



[Back to Contents](#)

## Executive Sessions of Non-Management Directors

The non-management members of the Board of Directors meet in executive session at least twice a year without management participation. These meetings are chaired by the Lead Director.

## PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS

The only persons known by us to beneficially own more than five percent of our common stock as of July 13, 2012, are as follows:

Name and address of beneficial owner	Number of shares	
	beneficially owned <sup>(1)</sup>	Percent
Wellington Management Company, LLP <sup>(2)</sup> 280 Congress Street Boston, MA 02210	13,910,303 <sup>(2)</sup>	9.1 %
Continental Grain Company and certain affiliated parties <sup>(3)</sup> 277 Park Avenue New York, NY 10172 BlackRock, Inc.	13,074,034 <sup>(3)</sup>	8.6 %
40 East 52 <sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10022	12,110,138 <sup>(4)</sup>	7.9 %

(1) Pursuant to current regulations of the SEC, securities must be listed as “beneficially owned” by a person who directly or indirectly has or shares the power to vote (“voting power”) or the power to dispose of (“dispositive power”) the securities, whether or not the person has any economic interest in the securities. In addition, a person is deemed a beneficial owner if he or she has the right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days, whether upon the exercise of a stock option or warrant, conversion of a convertible security or otherwise. The information set forth in this table has been included solely in reliance upon, and without independent investigation of, the disclosures contained in the schedules and forms referenced in the footnotes below.

(2) On February 14, 2012, Wellington Management Company, LLP, a registered investment advisor (“Wellington Management”), filed a Schedule 13G with the SEC disclosing that it has shared voting power over 10,888,948 shares and shared dispositive power over 13,910,303 shares, and that all of such shares are held of record by clients of Wellington Management.

(3) On June 16, 2010, Continental Grain Company (“CGC”), Arlon Opportunities Master LP (“Arlon”) and Paul J. Fribourg, a former director of the Company, filed a Schedule 13D/A with the SEC. In the Schedule 13D/A, (i) CGC discloses that it shares voting and dispositive power over 12,801,352 shares,

(ii) Arlon discloses that it shares voting and dispositive power over 278,100 shares, and (iii) Mr. Fribourg discloses he has sole voting and dispositive power over 272,682 shares. CGC may be deemed to share voting and investment power with respect to the shares owned directly by Arlon by virtue of being the managing member of the general partner of Arlon and directly and through one of its subsidiaries holding a majority interest in Arlon. Mr. Fribourg discloses that he may be deemed to share voting and dispositive power with respect to the 12,801,352 shares beneficially owned by CGC by virtue of being its Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President. He may also be deemed to share voting and dispositive power with respect to the 272,682 shares beneficially owned by Arlon by virtue of being the Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of CGC, the managing member of the general partner of Arlon. Of the 272,682 shares that Mr. Fribourg has sole voting and dispositive power, 12,372 are deferred stock units as described in greater detail on page 17 herein. In addition, Mr. Fribourg discloses he is a co-trustee and in one case, a beneficiary, of various trusts established for the benefit of certain members of his family that collectively control a majority interest in CGC. Mr. Fribourg disclaims beneficial ownership over the shares beneficially owned by CGC and Arlon except to the extent of his pecuniary interest.

(4) On February 10, 2012, BlackRock, Inc. filed a Schedule 13G/A with the SEC disclosing that it has sole voting and dispositive power over 12,110,138 shares.

[Back to Contents](#)

## COMMON STOCK OWNERSHIP OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

The following information with respect to beneficial ownership, as of July 13, 2012, of shares of common stock is furnished with respect to (i) each director and nominee for director of the Company, (ii) each executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table appearing on page 39 of this proxy statement, and (iii) all current directors and executive officers as a group:

Name	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership (Number of Shares) <sup>(1)</sup>			Percent of Class
	Direct	Other	Total	
Hon. Carol T. Crawford	1,900	52,928 (2)	54,828 (2)	*
Richard T. Crowder	1,500	4,141 (3)	5,641 (3)	*
Margaret G. Lewis	5,000	4,660 (4)	9,660 (4)	*
Joseph W. Luter, III	632,200	301,912 (5)	934,112 (5)	*
Joseph W. Luter, IV	190,675	463,865 (6)	654,540 (6)	*
Robert W. Manly, IV	279,699	100,000 (7)	379,699 (7)	*
Wendell H. Murphy, Sr.	—	45,288 (8)	45,288 (8)	*
David C. Nelson	30,000	28,234 (9)	58,234 (9)	*
C. Larry Pope	592,594	500,000 (10)	1,092,594 (10)	*
George H. Richter	12,500	156,000 (11)	168,500 (11)	*
Frank Spencer Royal, M.D.	1,000	16,601 (12)	17,601 (12)	*
John T. Schwieters	31,500	22,389 (13)	53,889 (13)	*
Joseph B. Sebring	40,750	40,000 (14)	80,750 (14)	*
Hon. Paul S. Tribble, Jr.	500	13,099 (15)	13,599 (15)	*
*All current directors and executive officers as a group (18 persons)	1,865,216	1,974,507 (16)	3,839,723 (16)	2.5 %

\* Less than 1% of class

(1) Pursuant to current regulations of the SEC, securities must be listed as “beneficially owned” by a person who directly or indirectly has or shares voting power or dispositive power with respect to the securities, whether or not the person has any economic interest in the securities. In addition, a person is deemed a beneficial owner if he or she has the right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days, whether upon the exercise of a stock option or warrant, conversion of a convertible security or otherwise. Shares of our common stock listed under the “Direct” column are those which are owned and held by such person as outstanding shares and over which such person has sole voting power and sole dispositive power. Shares shown under the “Other” column include other forms of “beneficial ownership” pursuant to the SEC regulations, as described in the indicated footnotes.

(2) Includes 7,400 shares held in an IRA of Ms. Crawford’s husband, 8,800 shares held by Ms. Crawford’s husband and 500 shares held by Ms. Crawford as custodian for her grandchildren. Ms. Crawford

disclaims beneficial ownership of these 16,700 shares. Also includes 36,228 shares held by the trustee of the 2008 Plan in Ms. Crawford's deferred stock account over which Ms. Crawford has voting control.

(3) Includes 4,141 shares held by the trustee of the 2008 Plan in Dr. Crowder's deferred stock account over which Dr. Crowder has voting control.

(4) Includes 4,660 shares held by the trustee of the 2008 Plan in Ms. Lewis's deferred stock account over which Ms. Lewis has voting control.

(5) Includes 950 shares held by Mr. Luter, III as custodian for his daughter under the Uniform Transfers to Minors Act. Also includes 250,000 shares held by a trust for the benefit of Mr. Luter, III's daughter for which Mr. Luter, III is trustee. In addition, includes 50,962 shares held by the trustee of the 2008 Plan in Mr. Luter, III's deferred stock account over which Mr. Luter, III has voting control.

(6) Includes 17,132 shares held by Mr. Luter IV as custodian for his children's trusts under the Uniform Transfers to Minors Act and 355,400 shares held by an LLC beneficially owned by Mr. Luter IV and his two minor children. Also includes 91,333 shares that Mr. Luter IV has the right to acquire pursuant to presently exercisable stock options. Mr. Luter IV has pledged 401,534 of his shares as security.

(7) Includes 100,000 shares that Mr. Manly has the right to acquire pursuant to presently exercisable stock options.

(8) Includes 4,000 shares held by Mr. Murphy's wife. Also includes 41,288 shares held by the trustee of the 2008 Plan in Mr. Murphy's deferred stock account over which Mr. Murphy has voting control.

(9) Includes 28,234 shares held by the trustee of the 2008 Plan in Mr. Nelson's deferred stock account over which Mr. Nelson has voting control.

(10) Includes 500,000 shares that Mr. Pope has the right to acquire pursuant to presently exercisable stock options or stock options which will be exercisable within sixty days.

(11) Includes 1,000 shares held by Mr. Richter as custodian for his grandson under the Uniform Transfers to Minors Act. Also includes 155,000 shares that Mr. Richter has the right to acquire pursuant to presently exercisable stock options.

(12) Includes 16,601 shares held by the trustee of the 2008 Plan in Dr. Royal's deferred stock account over which Dr. Royal has voting control.

(13) Includes 22,389 shares held by the trustee of the 2008 Plan in Mr. Schwieters's deferred stock account over which Mr. Schwieters has voting control.

(14) Includes 40,000 shares that Mr. Sebring has the right to acquire pursuant to presently exercisable stock options.

(15) Includes 13,099 shares held by the trustee of the 2008 Plan in Mr. Tribble's deferred stock account over which Mr. Tribble has voting control.

(16) Includes 1,110,333 shares subject to presently exercisable stock options or stock options which will be exercisable within sixty days.



[Back to Contents](#)**SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE**

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), requires our directors, executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our common stock and to provide us copies of these reports. Based solely on a review of the copies of these reports furnished to us and written representations that no other reports were required to be filed, we believe that all filing requirements applicable to our officers, directors and beneficial owners of greater than 10% of our common stock have been complied with during the fiscal year ended April 29, 2012, except that C. Larry Pope was late in filing a Form 4 to report two transactions.

**EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION**

The table below sets forth information with respect to securities issuable, or available for issuance, under our equity compensation plans as of April 29, 2012.

<b>Plan Category</b>	<b>Equity Compensation Plan Information</b>		
	<b>Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights</b>	<b>Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights</b>	<b>Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))</b>
	<b>(a)</b>	<b>(b)</b>	<b>(a)</b>
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	3,728,116 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$21.82 <sup>(2)</sup>	8,850,128
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	0	N/A	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3,728,116 <sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>\$21.82 <sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>8,850,128</b>

(1) In addition to options to purchase common stock, this amount includes 901,500 shares of common stock that may be issued upon the vesting of performance share units granted under the 2008 Plan, which represents the maximum number of shares of common stock that may be issued upon the vesting of these performance share units if all required performance goals are achieved.

(2) Represents the weighted average exercise price of options to purchase 2,826,616 shares of common stock. This weighted average does not take into account shares of common stock that may be issued upon the vesting of performance share units described in footnote (1) above.

## COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

As detailed in our charter, the Compensation Committee oversees our executive compensation program on behalf of the Board. In the performance of this function, the Compensation Committee met seven times during fiscal 2012 and, among other things, reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis set forth below in this proxy statement.

Based upon the review and discussions referred to above, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 29, 2012 and Smithfield's proxy statement for its 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, each of which has or will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

### **Compensation Committee**

Frank S. Royal, M.D., *Chair*

Hon. Carol T. Crawford

David C. Nelson

SMITHFIELD FOODS, INC. – 2012 Proxy Statement – 27

---

[Back to Contents](#)

## Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The Compensation Committee is comprised entirely of the three independent directors listed above under “Corporate Governance – Committees of the Board of Directors and Meetings” and none of our executive officers served on the compensation committee or board of any company that employed any member of the Compensation Committee or Board of Directors as an executive officer.

## COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

### INTRODUCTION

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis (“CD&A”) provides you with detailed information regarding the material elements of compensation paid to our executive officers as well as the considerations and objectives underlying our compensation policies and practices. This information provides context for the compensation disclosures in the tables and related discussions that follow. The Compensation Committee of the Board, which oversees our executive compensation program, is referred to as the “Committee” in this CD&A. The terms “Smithfield,” “we”, “our” and the “Company” refer to Smithfield Foods, Inc. When we refer to the “named executives” we are referring to our Chief Executive Officer (CEO), our Chief Financial Officer (CFO) and our three other most highly compensated officers.

This CD&A discusses the compensation decisions for the named executives shown in the Summary Compensation Table on page 39. They are:

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>
C. Larry Pope	President and Chief Executive Officer
Robert W. Manly, IV	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
George H. Richter	President and Chief Operating Officer, Pork Group
Joseph B. Sebring	President of John Morrell
Joseph W. Luter, IV	Executive Vice President, Sales and Marketing, Pork Group

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Our executive compensation philosophy is to motivate our executive officers continually to improve operating performance and, ultimately, increase our Company’s share price. Therefore, our annual and equity-based long-term incentives are opportunities for compensation – they pay out when performance is strong and do not pay out when performance is disappointing. Consequently, a substantial majority of each named executive’s total potential compensation - and in the case of our CEO, 91% - is variable and is earned only if performance objectives are achieved.

The nearby chart illustrates how performance-based compensation constitutes a substantial part of the pay mix for our CEO:





[Back to Contents](#)

## Fiscal 2012 Business Highlights

In 2012, we delivered strong operating results. Highlights included:

- 

Net income of \$361.3 million, the second highest in Smithfield's history.

- 

Record sales of \$13.1 billion, up 7% over fiscal 2011.

- 

Packaged meats sales up 5% and operating profit up 16%.

- 

Continued debt reduction, resulting in interest expense savings of nearly \$70 million.

- 

Repurchased 9.2 million shares of stock, representing 6% of the shares outstanding.

- 

Consolidated net income before deduction for taxes and incentive payments to key employees (a measure we refer to as consolidated pre-tax profits and often use as a performance measure for incentive awards) was \$569.3 million.

Our solid performance in fiscal 2012 followed a record earnings performance in fiscal 2011 and is a demonstration of the contributions being made under our strategic plans for reducing costs and increasing efficiency and profitability in our operations. The following charts illustrate the progress we have made in these areas in recent years:

## Relationship between Company Performance and CEO Compensation

The nearby chart illustrates the relationship between Company performance, measured by consolidated pre-tax profits, and the direct compensation of our CEO from 2010 to 2012. Pre-tax profits historically have been the key metric used in establishing performance goals for our CEO and other executives whose responsibilities are not limited to particular business segments. Accordingly, the CEO's total direct compensation moves up and down in proportion to the Company's profitability. In fiscal 2012, this linkage resulted in a 56% decline in our CEO's cash incentive compensation compared to the previous year (\$5.7 million in fiscal 2012 versus \$13.0 million in fiscal 2011, calculated prior to Mr. Pope's election to defer a portion of this award). Fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2012 were the two most profitable years in the Company's history. In contrast, in fiscal 2010 when the Company reported a loss, our CEO's annual cash incentive was \$1.5 million (and would have been \$0, but for achieving the goals of the Pork Group Restructuring Award described on page 32).



[Back to Contents](#)

## Recent Compensation Design Changes

The Company regularly assesses the compensation program to ensure that it properly aligns the interests of our shareholders and senior management. While the Company has reported record earnings the last two years and achieved important strategic objectives for debt and cost reduction, our stock price has yet to reflect the impact of these achievements. Therefore, we have implemented a number of key changes in the compensation program over the last two years in order to more closely align executive compensation to shareholder interests. The following are changes that were made to our CEO's compensation for fiscal 2012 and 2013:

<b>Under the New Design</b>	<b>Under the Old Design</b>	<b>Effect of Change on Compensation</b>
<b><i>Fiscal 2012</i></b>		
Annual cash incentive award equal to 1% of consolidated pre-tax profits subject to a cap of \$8 million	Annual cash incentive award equal to 1.5% of pre-tax profits between \$100 million and \$400 million and 2% of pre-tax profits in excess of \$400 million	Reduced the amount paid by \$2.2 million, or 28%, compared to what our CEO would have received under the previous formula.
Amended the formula for calculating benefits under our supplemental executive retirement plan (SERP) to cap at \$5 million the amount of compensation in any year that can be used in determining final average pay	The SERP formula capped final average pay at \$5 million, but imposed no cap on the amount of compensation in any year that can be used in calculating final average pay	Reduced the amount of the increase in the present value of the CEO's pension benefits by \$5.9 million, or 64%, compared to what he would have realized had the SERP formula not been amended.
<b><i>Fiscal 2013</i></b>		
Redesigned the performance conditions for the performance stock unit awards (PSUs) to (1) utilize total shareholder return (TSR) in the performance measure, (2) measure TSR over a three-year period and (3) compare our TSR to the average TSR of a peer group of 18 companies	PSUs awarded in fiscal 2012 are earned if we achieve pre-tax profits of at least \$150 million in either fiscal 2012 or 2013	Creates a direct link between a significant portion of our CEO's compensation and our success in increasing shareholder value relative to our peers.
No stock options awarded	Awarded stock options covering 100,000 shares in fiscal 2012	Eliminated a category of compensation that was valued at \$936,800 in fiscal 2012.
Reduced the value of PSUs awarded to \$4.4 million.	Awarded PSUs in fiscal 2012 valued at \$5.1 million	Reduced the value of the CEO's PSU award by approximately \$700,000, or 14%, compared to his fiscal 2012 award.



[Back to Contents](#)

## Executive Compensation Practices

Below we highlight certain executive compensation practices we employ to align executive compensation with shareholder interests. Also listed below are certain compensation practices we do not employ because we do not believe they would serve our shareholders' long-term interests.

### What We Do

- 

**Pay for Performance.** We tie pay to operating profit and stock price performance. Most of our executives' potential compensation is not guaranteed but is tied to financial goals for corporate and business unit performance.

- 

**Total Shareholder Return Metric.** Beginning in fiscal 2013, a substantial part of our CEO's potential equity compensation is tied to our total shareholder return relative to that of a peer group of companies.

- 

**Management Stock Ownership Guidelines.** In fiscal 2010, we adopted share ownership guidelines for all officers at the Vice President level and above.

- 

**Independent Compensation Consulting Firm.** The Compensation Committee utilizes independent compensation consulting firms which provide no other services to the Company.

- 

**Holding Period on Deferred Stock Awards.** We have a three year holding period on shares purchased through deferrals of the executives' cash incentive awards.

- 

**No Severance Benefits Except Following a Change in Control.** Severance benefits are payable to an executive only if the executive is terminated or resigns for good reason during or following a change in control.

- 

**Clawback Policy.** We can recover incentive compensation wrongly awarded to an executive officer where the officer's fraud or willful misconduct led to a material restatement of financial results.

- 

**Review Tally Sheets.** We review tally sheets for all of our executive officers prior to making annual executive compensation decisions.

What We Don't Do

•

**No Employment Contracts.** We do not have employment contracts with any of our executive officers.

•

**No Repricings.** Our stock incentive plans and listing standards prohibit us from repricing options without shareholder approval.

•

**No Income Tax Gross-ups.** We do not provide income tax gross-ups for personal benefits other than relocation and other broad-based benefits.

•

**No Excise Tax Gross-ups.** We do not provide excise tax gross-ups for change in control benefits.

Incentives Awarded Under the Pay-for-Performance Philosophy

Our executive compensation program is designed and operated to attract and retain top talent, to reward corporate financial and operational performance through annual incentives, and to align our executive's financial interests with those of our shareholders through equity-based compensation awards. During the last three fiscal years, our pay-for-performance philosophy was evidenced through the following new (or continuing) programs:

SMITHFIELD FOODS, INC. – 2012 Proxy Statement – 31

---

[Back to Contents](#)

## Performance Awards Granted

<b>Program</b>	<b>Performance Measured</b>	<b>Performance and Pay Results for Year (or To Date)</b>
<b><i>Annual Cash Incentives</i></b>		
2012 Corporate Annual Cash Incentive Awards	Consolidated pre-tax profits	Incentives earned due to positive pre-tax profits on a consolidated basis
2012 Pork Group Annual Cash Incentive Awards	Pork Group pre-tax profits	Incentives earned due to positive Pork Group pre-tax profits
2011 Corporate Annual Cash Incentive Awards	Consolidated pre-tax profits	Incentives earned due to record pre-tax profits on a consolidated basis
2011 Pork Group Annual Cash Incentive Awards	Pork Group pre-tax profits	Incentives earned due to record pre-tax profits by the Pork Group
2010 Corporate Annual Cash Incentive Awards	Consolidated pre-tax profits	No payout because performance condition not met
2010 Pork Group Annual Cash Incentive Awards	Pork Group pre-tax profits	Paid out because performance condition met; amount reduced by Committee given consolidated losses
2010 Long-Term Pork Group Incentive (President and COO, Pork Group)	Cumulative Pork Group pre-tax profits over a 32-month period	Incentives earned because performance goal exceeded. Incentive reduced by 27% on a discretionary basis
2010 Pork Group Restructuring Award (CEO)	Restructuring of Pork Group and achieving annualized cost savings	Paid out because performance conditions met
2010 Mid-year Cash Incentive Award (two executives)	Consolidated pre-tax profits	No payout because performance condition not met
<b><i>Stock Option Awards</i></b>		
2012 Stock Options (granted June 2011)	Increase in stock price — no value created unless stock price increases from date of grant	Granted with exercise price of \$21.94, vesting ratably over three years. Has no intrinsic value at fiscal year-end with Smithfield stock trading at \$21.22
2011 Stock Options (granted June 2010)	Increase in stock price — no value created unless stock price increases from date of	Granted with exercise price of \$15.43, vesting ratably over three years. Has intrinsic value



	grant	at fiscal year-end with Smithfield stock trading at \$21.22
2010 Stock Options (granted July 2009)	Increase in stock price — no value created unless stock price increases from date of grant	Granted with exercise price of \$13.30, vesting ratably over three years. Has intrinsic value at fiscal year-end with Smithfield stock trading at \$21.22

***Performance Share Unit Awards***

2012 Performance Share Units – Corporate (granted June 2011)	Consolidated profits before tax – no shares earned unless CPBT reaches \$100 million in fiscal 2012 or 2013	Performance condition met in fiscal 2012. Shares vest ratably over two years from date of grant
2012 Performance Share Units – Pork Group (granted June 2011)	No shares earned unless Pork Group achieves specified levels of unit volume growth in fiscal 2012	No shares earned as volume growth condition was not met. Awards have therefore expired without any payout
2011 Performance Share Units – corporate (granted June 2010)	Consolidated profit before tax – no shares earned unless CPBT reaches \$100 million in fiscal 2011 or 2012	Performance condition met in fiscal 2011. Shares vest ratably over two years from date of grant

[Back to Contents](#)

	<b>Performance and Pay Results for Year</b>	
<b>Program</b>	<b>Performance Measured</b>	<b>(or To Date)</b>
2011 Performance Share Units – Pork Group (granted June 2010)	No shares earned unless (1) Pork Group achieves specified levels of unit volume growth in fiscal 2011 and (2) consolidated profit before tax reaches \$100 million in fiscal 2011	No shares earned as volume growth condition was not met, notwithstanding record Pork Group pre-tax profit. Awards have therefore expired without any payout
2010 Performance Share Units (granted July 2009)	Consolidated profit before tax – no shares earned unless CPBT reaches \$100 million in any of fiscal 2010, 2011 or 2012	Performance condition met in fiscal 2011. Shares vest ratably over three years from date of grant
2010 Performance Share Units (granted December 2009 to two executives)	Maintenance of debt to total capitalization ratio and control of capital expenditures	Paid out to remaining executive as performance condition met in fiscal 2012

## 2011 SAY ON PAY VOTE RESULTS

At the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, we held our first advisory vote on executive compensation. We received substantial support for the compensation of our named executives, with approximately 82% of the votes cast on the “say on pay” proposal approving such compensation.

Following the 2011 Annual Meeting, we reviewed the proxy advisory reports issued by Institutional Shareholder Services, Inc. and Glass Lewis & Co. and held one-on-one discussions with one large institutional shareholder regarding our executive compensation policies and practices. Feedback from these sources was then evaluated by the Compensation Committee along with the results of the 2011 say on pay advisory vote. This evaluation resulted in several important changes to executive compensation, including a decision more to directly link the value of our PSU awards to shareholder value by changing the performance metric for such awards from consolidated pre-tax profits to TSR over a three year period as measured against a peer group of companies. This and other changes made to our executive compensation program during fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2013 are designed to reduce the executive’s cash compensation potential in favor of longer-term equity compensation potential, supplement our operating performance metrics with one focused on relative stock price performance and increase the period over which the equity performance goals are measured to three years.

At the 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, we will again hold an advisory vote to approve executive compensation. The Compensation Committee will continue to consider the results of these annual advisory votes in evaluating our executive compensation policies and programs.

## COMPENSATION PHILOSOPHY AND OBJECTIVES

The primary goal of our executive compensation program is the same as our goal for operating the Company — to maximize short-term and long-term corporate performance and thereby create value for our shareholders. To achieve this goal we have designed an executive compensation program based on the following principles:

- *Paying for performance* — A significant portion of our executives' compensation should be subject to corporate, segment and/or business unit performance measures. Performance-based compensation can vary widely from year to year depending on our performance, which is impacted by, among other things, the volatile nature of our agricultural commodity-based industry and governmental food and energy policy. In recent years, average payouts of performance-based compensation (excluding equity awards) ranged from 0% to 90% of our executives' total cash compensation. Because of our record earnings in fiscal 2012, performance-based cash compensation for that year constituted on average 76% of our named executives' total cash compensation. Factoring in equity incentives as well, almost 64% of our named executives' total compensation in fiscal 2012 was subject to the satisfaction of performance conditions.

- *Alignment with the interests of our shareholders* — Equity-based awards can be an effective means of aligning an executive's financial interests with those of our shareholders by providing value to the executive if the market price of our stock increases. In addition, many of our cash and equity incentive awards are tied to key financial performance measures that are expected to correlate with the creation of shareholder value.

- *Attracting and retaining top talent* — The compensation of our executives must be competitive with the organizations with which we compete for talent so that we may attract and retain talented and experienced executives. Our executives have, on average approximately 21 years of experience with Smithfield and its predecessors.

[Back to Contents](#)

Each element of our compensation program is designed to further one or more of these principles. The structure of a particular executive's compensation may vary depending on the scope and level of that executive's responsibilities. For an executive with corporate-level responsibilities, performance-based cash compensation is generally based on Smithfield's consolidated results of operation. For an executive responsible for the Pork Group or an individual business unit within that group, performance-based cash compensation is generally based on the operating results of the Pork Group thus encouraging coordination of efforts among the individual business units in order to maximize the financial performance of the entire Pork Group. Occasionally an executive responsible for an individual business unit may receive performance-based cash compensation based on the operating results or other performance measure of that unit, particularly if that unit operates more or less independently of other units.

#### Determining Executive Compensation

The Committee is responsible for developing and administering the compensation program for executive officers and other key employees. The Committee may delegate some or all of its responsibilities to one or more subcommittees whenever necessary to comply with any statutory or regulatory requirements or otherwise deemed appropriate by the Committee. The Committee has the authority to retain consultants and other advisors to assist the Committee with its duties and has sole authority to approve the fees and other retention terms of such consultants and advisors.

The CEO makes recommendations to the Committee regarding the salaries, cash incentive award arrangements, option grants and other forms of equity incentive awards, if any, for key employees, including all executive officers. For executive officers whose cash incentive awards are based partly on individual performance, the CEO's evaluation of such performance is provided to and reviewed by the Committee. To assist the Committee in carrying out its responsibilities, the Committee utilizes independent compensation consultants. The Committee also annually reviews executive pay tallies for our executive officers detailing the amount of each element of total compensation and accumulated equity holdings. Based on the foregoing, the Committee uses its judgment in making compensation decisions that will best carry out our philosophy and objectives for executive compensation.

#### Elements of Compensation

We have three elements of total direct compensation: salary, annual cash incentives and long-term equity-based incentives (options, PSUs and matching RSUs). As shown in the accompanying chart, over 87% of total direct compensation to the named executives in 2012 was performance-based.

[Back to Contents](#)

## Base Salary

Base salaries are intended to provide a level of compensation sufficient to attract and retain an effective management team when considered in combination with the performance-based and other components of our executive compensation program. The relative levels of base salary for executive officers are designed to reflect each executive officer's scope of responsibility and accountability within the company. Base salaries are reviewed annually to determine if they are equitably aligned within the company and are at sufficient levels to attract and retain top talent. Consistent with our greater emphasis on performance-based pay, base salaries for executives are normally changed infrequently.

The Committee's application of this policy over the last three years is summarized below:

- 

For fiscal 2010, none of our executives received an increase in salary.

- 

For fiscal 2011, none of our named executives received an increase in salary.

- 

In determining salaries for fiscal 2010 and 2011, the Committee also considered the Company's net losses in fiscal 2010 and 2009 and the difficult economic conditions at those times.

- 

For fiscal 2012, the Committee approved an increase of 14% and 3% in Mr. Manly's and Mr. Sebring's salaries, respectively, in recognition of increased managerial responsibilities. None of the other named executives received an increase in salary for fiscal 2012.

## Cash Incentive Awards

We provide performance-based annual cash incentive opportunities to our executives under our shareholder-approved incentive plans. The awards use performance criteria that seek to ensure a direct link between the executives' performance and the amount of incentive compensation earned as well as encourage coordination of efforts among business units within the same operating segment. Awards have generally used a formula based on pre-tax, pre-incentive payment profits, either company-wide or for a particular operating segment depending on the executive's scope of responsibility. Occasionally an executive responsible for an individual business unit may receive a cash incentive award based on the operating results or other performance measure of that unit, particularly if that unit operates more or less independent of other units. At the beginning of each year, the Committee receives management's recommendations on the performance criteria and cash incentive award formulas for the year. In evaluating these recommendations, the Committee considers the performance of the company and the respective segments and business units in recent years.

Historically, the Committee has imposed minimum performance thresholds on annual incentive awards. Given the effect of the record profitability of the company in fiscal 2011 on the size of the cash incentive payouts and a

determination on the part of the committee to rebalance the mix of cash and equity components of the executives' compensation, the annual cash incentive awards for certain executive officers, including the CEO, were modified beginning in fiscal 2012 to reduce the award amounts at higher levels of pre-tax profit, eliminate the thresholds and cap the total potential payouts. For example, the fiscal 2012 cash incentive award for Mr. Pope was equal to 1% of consolidated pre-tax profits subject to a cap of \$8 million.

The following chart shows the impact of the change to our CEO's cash incentive award in fiscal 2012 versus what he would have received under the prior formula. The actual award for Mr. Pope in fiscal 2012 was \$5.7 million, reflecting the Company's favorable business performance which resulted in consolidated pre-tax profits of \$569.3 million. The Committee believes that the performance-based cash incentives paid in fiscal 2012 were reasonable and provided strong motivation to management to maximize Company performance.

The following chart shows for the last three fiscal years the actual cash incentive awards paid to our CEO. The \$1.5 million paid to our CEO in 2010 relates to the one-time 2010 Pork Group Restructuring Award discussed on page 32. Our CEO's annual cash incentive award in 2010 was \$0.

[Back to Contents](#)

Fiscal 2011 and 2012 were the best and second best years in our history in terms of pre-tax profits on a consolidated basis and for the Pork Group, which were the primary metrics used in the performance goals for the named executives' annual incentive awards. This is reflected in the relative sizes of the annual incentive awards for those years as well as for fiscal 2010 in which the Company incurred a consolidated net loss.

#### Equity Incentive Awards

*General.* We provide long-term incentive compensation in the form of stock options and performance share units. These equity awards can serve as an effective motivational tool by aligning the executive's economic interests with those of our shareholders. The ultimate value, if any, of stock options is dependent on increases in the market price of our common stock which encourages longer-term, more strategic decision-making. Performance share unit awards provide similar motivation for our executives and the performance conditions under such awards assure a close correlation between Company performance and the value realized by the executives. Because all of our stock option awards and many of our performance share unit awards are granted with time-based vesting, these programs also promote long-term tenure.

*Committee Considerations.* Our CEO recommends to the Committee the recipients and sizes of stock option and performance share unit awards. In evaluating these recommendations and making its determinations, the Committee considers a number of factors, including:

- whether the executive's responsibilities require strategic decision-making involving a substantial portion of our business, and

- the Committee's subjective evaluation of the executive's potential contribution to our future success.

Equity awards constitute a larger percentage of total compensation for corporate-level executives than for segment and business unit management in recognition of the corporate-level executives' greater responsibility for overall results and indirectly the market price of our common stock.

*2012 PSU Awards.* For executives with company-wide responsibilities, the 2012 PSU awards were subject to performance conditions based on consolidated pre-tax profits. Because of the Company's strong consolidated pre-tax profit performance in fiscal 2012, the performance conditions for these PSU awards were met and the awards will vest ratably over a two-year period. The performance share unit awards for executives in the Pork Group were subject to performance conditions keyed to unit volume growth of the Pork Group's packaged meats business. The Committee believes that the incentives created by this performance condition better align the Pork Group executives' interests with the Company's operational goals for this segment of our business. Because the volume growth condition was not met, none of the performance share unit awards for the Pork Group executives paid out and all such awards have expired, notwithstanding the strong pre-tax profit results for the Pork Group.

*2012 RSU Awards.* Pursuant to the management stock purchase program under the 2008 Plan, executives may voluntarily elect to defer up to 25% of the payouts under their annual cash incentive awards and receive restricted stock units (RSUs) in exchange. The RSUs are generally payable in shares of common stock on a date, which may not be less than three years from the date of deferral, specified by the executive. The Company provides a 100% match of

the executive's deferral in the form of RSUs that vest on the third anniversary of the date of deferral and are payable in shares of common stock. All of the named executives elected to defer a portion of their annual cash incentive awards for fiscal 2012. The Committee and Mr. Pope agreed that he would forgo the matching grant of RSUs for fiscal 2012, resulting in a reduction of \$985,500 in Mr. Pope's fiscal 2012 compensation.

The foregoing awards are described in greater detail beginning on page 44 of this proxy statement.

#### Additional Compensation Elements

*Retirement Plans.* Our executive officers participate in the same retirement plans on the same terms as provided to most of our salaried employees. These plans consist of several company-funded pension plans and an employee-funded 401(k) savings plan (with employer match), all of which are tax-qualified, and a non-tax qualified supplemental pension plan. Under a tax-qualified plan, we are eligible for a tax deduction for our contributions for the year to which the contributions relate, while the benefits are taxable to the participant for the year in which they are ultimately received. Under a plan that is not tax-qualified, we are not eligible for a tax deduction until the year in which the benefits are paid to the participant.



[Back to Contents](#)

Our retirement plans are intended to provide an appropriate level of replacement income upon retirement. All salaried employees participating in one of the qualified pension plans and earning more than \$245,000 are eligible to participate in the non-tax qualified supplemental pension plan. The supplemental pension plan allows us to provide pension benefits comparable to those that would be available under the Smithfield Foods Salaried Pension Plan (one of the tax-qualified plans) if the federal income tax laws did not include limits on covered compensation and benefits. Therefore, the supplemental pension plan allows all participating salaried employees to receive a pension benefit that is approximately the same percentage of their earnings. The supplemental benefit plan uses the same benefit formulas as the Smithfield Foods Salaried Pension Plan and uses the same types of compensation to determine benefit amounts. We do not utilize a more favorable pension benefit formula for management than for other salaried employees. For more information about our pension plans, please refer to the Pension Benefits table and related discussion, which begins on page 46 of this proxy statement.

Participation in the 401(k) savings plan is voluntary. Therefore the amount of compensation deferred and the amount of our match varies among employees, including the executives. However, the same formulas are used to determine benefits for all participants in this plan. Furthermore, the plan does not involve any above-market returns, as returns depend on actual investment results.

*Perquisites and Other Benefits.* We provide a limited number of perquisites, without tax gross-ups, to our executive officers. The Summary Compensation Table beginning on page 39 of this proxy statement contains an itemized disclosure of all perquisites to named executives, regardless of amount. We believe that these perquisites are reasonable and consistent with those paid to other executives in our industry. Providing these perquisites thus helps to keep our base compensation packages competitive. With regard to the personal use of corporate aircraft, the Committee has established an annual usage limitation of \$75,000 for our CEO. Personal use of corporate aircraft by other employees, including other executive officers, is limited and must be approved by our CEO.

We also provide certain benefits to substantially all salaried employees that are not included as perquisites in the Summary Compensation Table for the named executives because they are broadly available. These include health and welfare benefits, disability and life insurance, education and tuition reimbursement and an employee assistance program.

We have established a matching contribution program through the Smithfield-Luter Foundation, a charitable foundation affiliated and funded by us, pursuant to which the foundation will match the contributions by certain of our employees, including all of the executive officers, to qualified, tax-exempt non-profit organizations up to \$2,500 annually per employee. In addition, at the time our CEO was appointed to the office of chief executive officer, the Committee authorized a match for charitable contributions made by him of up to \$100,000 per year, subject to an aggregate limit of \$500,000. Of this amount, the Company provided a match of \$100,000 in fiscal 2012, as disclosed in the note to column (i) to the Summary Compensation Table on page 39. We believe these charitable contributions are an important corporate activity which helps promote a charitable spirit in our employees and furthers our connection with the communities in which we do business.

#### Other Compensation Policies and Practices

*Timing of Awards.* It is the Committee's policy to grant stock options within a prescribed "window period" following our release of year-end financial results. This window period generally runs from the third until the 12<sup>th</sup> business day following the release. Exceptions to this policy may be made in connection with a new hire or a change in an existing officer's title or duties or when the regular window period is closed.

*Prohibition on Repricing.* Our 2008 Stock Incentive Plan (“2008 Plan”) expressly prohibits any action that would (i) lower the exercise price of a stock option, whether by amendment, cancellation or otherwise, after the award is made or (ii) otherwise be treated as a repricing under generally accepted accounting principles without the prior approval of our shareholders. For purposes of NYSE listing standards, our 1998 Stock Incentive Plan (the “1998 Plan”) is deemed to prohibit such repricing practices as well. We are not allowed under the NYSE listing standards to make any change to the plans to permit these practices without shareholder approval.

*Clawback Policy.* In fiscal 2011, the Board of Directors adopted a new policy addressing the potential recovery of incentive compensation in the event of a material restatement of the Company’s financial results. This policy applies to all of the Company’s executive officers, plus its principal accounting officer (“Senior Executives”). Under this policy, the Company may seek to recover incentive compensation previously awarded to a Senior Executive, to the extent that the incentive compensation was based on performance during fiscal periods materially affected by a material restatement of the Company’s financial results. The Board must first determine that the Senior Executive engaged in fraud or willful misconduct that caused or otherwise contributed to the need for the restatement. This policy does not limit the legal remedies the Company may seek against any employee for fraudulent or illegal conduct. The policy was not adopted in response to any particular concerns nor has the Company ever had to restate its financial results.

[Back to Contents](#)

*Management Stock Ownership Guidelines.* The Committee believes it is important for senior management to build and maintain a long-term ownership position in the Company, to further align their financial interests with those of our stockholders and thereby encourage the creation of long-term value. Therefore, in fiscal 2010, the Committee established stock ownership guidelines for all members of management at the level of vice president and above. Covered officers must meet these ownership guidelines by the later of January 1, 2015 or five years after the commencement of employment for a newly-hired officer. Under the guidelines, the target value of shares to be held has been established as a multiple of annual base salary, which varies by officer level, as set forth below:

President and CEO	4 times base salary
Pork Group President and Chief Operating Officer	3 times base salary
Executive Vice Presidents, Senior Vice Presidents and Vice Presidents	2 times base salary
Independent Operating Company Presidents	2 times base salary

In determining compliance with the ownership guidelines, we include shares held directly by the officer, shares held by immediate family members, restricted stock where the restrictions have lapsed, shares held in benefit plans including under the management stock purchase program discussed below and other types of beneficial ownership as approved by the Committee. Compliance with the ownership guidelines is measured as of the end of each fiscal year with the value being based on the average closing price of a share of stock for the previous year. An officer, who was previously in compliance with the ownership guidelines and ceases to be in compliance because of a decline in the value of the stock, will have one additional year to regain compliance. If an officer fails to attain the specified stock ownership level, the Committee may take appropriate actions, including adjustments to the incentive compensation payments to the officer.

*Management Stock Purchase Program.* To assist management in meeting our stock ownership guidelines, the Committee recommended, and the Board of Directors approved, a management stock purchase program under the 2008 Plan pursuant to which officers may voluntarily elect to defer up to 25% of the payouts under their annual cash incentive awards beginning in fiscal 2012 and receive deferred shares of stock and the Company will provide a 100% match of the officer's deferral. Stock purchased with the Company's match is subject to three-year cliff vesting and will be forfeited if the officer voluntarily terminates employment before vesting. Stock purchased with the officers' deferrals generally may not be removed from the plan for at least three years. Interests in the plan consist of restricted stock units that are payable solely in stock.

*Change in Control Severance Plan.* In fiscal 2011, the Board of Directors adopted the Smithfield Foods, Inc. Change in Control Executive Severance Plan (the "Severance Plan"). The Severance Plan provides the executives with certain cash payments and other benefits in the event their employment is terminated, or they resign for good reason, during a potential change in control or within two years following a change in control. The Board of Directors believes that the Severance Plan will help to retain qualified employees, and allow key management to focus on the Company's business during periods of an actual or potential change in control by providing them with a level of economic security in the event of a termination of their employment. A more detailed description of the Severance Plan and the benefits payable under it upon a change in control is provided beginning on page 48 of this proxy statement.

*Deductibility of Compensation.* For fiscal 2012, Section 162(m) of the tax code placed a limit of \$1 million on the amount of compensation that we may deduct in one year with respect to our CEO and each of the next four most highly compensated executives. Certain performance-based compensation approved by shareholders is not subject to this deduction limit. Our incentive plans and the awards made under them have been structured with the intention that the cash incentive payments and equity awards be qualified performance-based compensation not subject to Section 162(m). We view preserving tax deductibility as an important objective, but not the sole objective, in

establishing executive compensation. In specific instances, we may authorize executive compensation arrangements that are not fully tax deductible but which promote other important objectives.

#### Role of Compensation Consultants

The Committee has directly engaged and regularly consults with independent consultants for advice on executive compensation matters. The Committee's primary consultant is Pay Governance, Inc. The Committee also utilizes Towers Watson & Co. from time to time. The consultants provide advice regarding the Company's executive compensation strategy and programs, including the compensation of the CEO and other executive officers; general compensation program design; the impact of regulatory, tax and legislative changes on our compensation program; the compensation practices of competitors and executive compensation trends. From time to time the consultants are engaged to perform specific market comparisons of the Company's executive compensation program, or aspects thereof, to those of comparable companies. Consistent with their roles as independent consultants to the Committee, the consultants provide no other services to the Company. The consultants may work directly with management on behalf of the Committee, but such work is always performed under the control and supervision of the Committee. The Committee regularly meets with the consultants in executive session without management.

[Back to Contents](#)

## FISCAL 2012 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table includes information concerning compensation paid to or earned by our “Named Executive Officers” listed in the table for the fiscal years ended April 29, 2012, May 1, 2011 and May 2, 2010.

## Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position (a)	Year (b)	Salary (\$) (c)	Bonus (\$) (d)	Awards (\$) (e)	Awards (\$) (f)	Change in Pension Value and			Total (\$) (j)
						Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compen- sation (g)	Non-Qualified Deferred Compen- sation (h)	All Other Compen- sation (i)	
<b>C. Larry Pope</b>	2012	1,100,000	—	—	936,000	4,707,032	3,314,395	332,368	16,517,795
	2011	1,100,000	250,000	3,514,000	655,000	13,015,880	1,492,642	200,727	20,228,249
President and CEO	2010	1,100,000	—	—	552,000	1,500,000	4,471,962	142,475	10,958,437
<b>Robert W. Manly, IV</b>	2012	700,000	—	—	468,000	2,353,516	4,242,027	38,318	11,358,611
	2011	600,000	250,000	2,196,250	327,500	4,693,455	440,405	37,482	8,545,092
Executive Vice President and CFO	2010	600,000	500,000	1,898,000	182,160	—	970,824	37,175	4,188,159
<b>George H. Richter</b>	2012	800,000	—	—	374,400	3,342,159	1,519,305	63,866	8,182,842
	2011	800,000	250,000	—	—262,000	3,582,315	902,675	44,711	5,841,701
President and COO, Pork Group	2010	800,000	—	—	—276,000	2,000,000	1,057,707	34,390	4,168,097
	2012	719,519	—	—	234,000	1,121,080	1,993,937	176,361	5,286,453

Edgar Filing: SMITHFIELD FOODS INC - Form PRE 14A

<b>Joseph B. Sebring</b>	2011	700,000	250,000		—163,750	1,791,157	807,822	78,767	3,791,496
	2010	700,000	—		— 82,800	1,000,000	1,812,905	70,832	3,666,537
President of John Morrell									
<b>Joseph W. Luter, IV</b>	2012	700,000		—4,494,742	234,000	1,342,290	1,010,801	155,946	4,937,779
	2011	700,000	350,000		—163,750	972,129	335,877	171,283	2,693,039
EVP, Sales and Marketing, Pork Group									
	2010	700,000	400,000	851,200	182,160	—	1,041,073	50,174	3,224,607

Stock Awards (Column (e)) and Option Awards (Column (f))

Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of the awards made with respect to each fiscal year as computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. These amounts do not correspond to the actual value that may be recognized by each Named Executive Officer. Additional information regarding outstanding awards, including corresponding exercise prices and expiration dates, can be found in the “Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End” table on page 45. The assumptions used in determining the grant date fair values of the stock and option awards are set forth in “Note 13: Equity” to our Consolidated Financial Statements, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 29, 2012. Column (e) includes the value of restricted stock units (RSUs) issued to executives who deferred a portion of their annual cash incentive awards pursuant to the management stock purchase program under the 2008 Plan. For Messrs. Richter, Sebring and Luter, IV, the amount in column (e) for fiscal 2012 includes \$617,100, \$308,550 and \$617,100, respectively, as the grant date fair value for performance share units where the vesting conditions were not met and no shares were received. Similar awards to these three executives made in fiscal 2011 had a grant date fair value of \$0 because it was not “probable” that the performance-based conditions would be achieved. See “Discussion for Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards: Equity Incentive Awards” on page 44 for further information on the fiscal 2012 awards.

[Back to Contents](#)

## Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (Column (g))

Represents cash incentive payouts pursuant to awards made under the performance award component of the 2008 Plan, excluding the portion, if any, the executive elected to defer pursuant to the management stock purchase program under the 2008 Plan. Under the program, executives may elect to defer up to 25% of their annual cash incentive award into restricted stock units. These RSUs entitle the executive to receive an equal number of shares of common stock at the date elected by the executive (generally either the executive's separation from service or a date not less than three years following issuance of the RSUs). The RSUs issued pursuant to any such deferral are included in column (h) of the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table appearing on page 42 of this proxy statement. Mr. Richter's amount also includes \$1,100,000 earned through an additional performance-based cash incentive granted in September 2009 and earned in fiscal 2012. Additional information regarding this award can be found under "Additional Performance-Based Cash Incentives" on page 44.

## Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (Column (h))

Represents the aggregate increase in the actuarial present value of the Named Executive Officer's accumulated benefits under our tax-qualified pension plans and non-tax-qualified supplemental pension plan accrued during fiscal 2012, fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2010. The change in the present value of the accrued pension benefits is impacted by variables such as additional years of service, age, changes in plan provisions, changes in compensation and the discount rate used in the present value calculation. The Company changed its discount rate in valuing pension liabilities from 5.85% in fiscal 2011 to 4.75% in fiscal 2012 based on a similar decrease in corporate bond yields used to set the discount rate each year. The change in the discount rate resulted in an increase in the present value of the pension benefits in fiscal 2012. The increase in the present value of the pension benefits does not reflect any changes in how our executives' retirement benefits are determined under the pension plans. The table below shows the impact of the decrease in the discount rate and other variables on the present value of the Named Executive Officers' pension benefits:

	<b>C. Pope</b>	<b>R. Manly, IV</b>	<b>G. Richter</b>	<b>J. Sebring</b>	<b>J. Luter, IV</b>
<b>2011 Present Value</b>	\$ 13,430,217	\$ 3,065,546	\$ 5,021,861	\$ 6,413,323	\$ 2,359,536
Change due to:					
•					
Plan provision change	\$ (450,005 )	\$ —	\$ (62,804 )	\$ —	\$ —
•					
Final average pay increase	\$ —	\$ 2,612,660	\$ 567,642	\$ 428,992	\$ —
•					
Additional year of service	\$ 1,252,524	\$ 598,411	\$ 486,092	\$ 845,892	\$ 194,206
•					
	\$ 2,511,876	\$ 1,030,956	\$ 528,375	\$ 719,053	\$ 816,595

Discount rate  
change

<b>Total Increase</b>	\$	3,314,395	\$	4,242,027	\$	1,519,305	\$	1,993,937	\$	1,010,801
<b>2012 Present Value</b>	\$	16,744,612	\$	7,307,573	\$	6,541,166	\$	8,407,260	\$	3,370,337

The methodology used in calculating such increases, including the underlying assumptions, is described or referenced in the narrative discussion beginning on page 46 of this proxy statement.



[Back to Contents](#)

## All Other Compensation (Column (i))

Includes for fiscal 2012 Company matches under our 401(k) plan as follows: Mr. Pope — \$5,000; Mr. Manly — \$5,515; Mr. Richter — \$5,000; Mr. Sebring — \$5,237; and Mr. Luter, IV — \$4,900.

Also includes our incremental cost, as shown in the following table, of perquisites provided to the Named Executive Officers during fiscal 2012, consisting of: the personal use of Company aircraft, spousal travel expenses, personal use of a car leased by us, including all operating and maintenance costs, excess life and other insurance benefits, charitable contribution match, personal use of tickets for sporting events, country club and social club memberships and use of a corporate apartment.

Name	Company Aircraft (\$)	Spousal Travel Expenses (\$)	Company- Leased Automobile (\$)	Excess Life	Charitable Contribution Match (\$)	Tickets for	Club Memberships (\$)	Corporate Apartment (\$)
				and Other Insurance Benefits (\$)		Sporting Events (\$)		
C. Larry Pope	75,000	8,035	37,764	2,322	100,000	--	--	--
Robert W. Manly, IV	--	--	30,031	2,322	450	--	--	--
George H. Richter	14,011	5,912	28,495	10,393	--	--	55	--
Joseph B. Sebring	61,098	8,829	34,018	4,578	--	3,000	--	59,601
Joseph W. Luter, IV	10,336	9,751	25,702	810	200	--	--	--

The value of perquisites is based on the estimated incremental cost to us, as follows:

- 

for personal use of Company aircraft, the direct cost per flight hour as calculated from our records for Company-owned aircraft or as billed by third parties for chartered aircraft,

-

for spousal travel expenses, the incremental and direct costs, such as the fare cost for commercial flights,

•

for Company-leased automobiles, 100% of the lease cost, repairs, maintenance and fees,

•

for excess life insurance (i.e., having a face amount of coverage in excess of \$50,000), the amount of premiums paid by us, on behalf of the executive, during the fiscal year for such excess coverage,

•

for personal use of tickets for sporting events, the cost of such tickets,

•

for club memberships, the cost of such memberships, and

•

for a corporate apartment, the amount of rent payments.

Also, includes fees for serving as a director of Campofrío Food Group, a company listed on the Madrid and Barcelona Stock Exchanges (“CFG”), of \$104,247 for each of Mr. Pope and Mr. Luter, IV. The Company currently owns 37% of CFG.

[Back to Contents](#)

## Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table includes grants of plan-based awards to our Named Executive Officers for the fiscal year ended April 29, 2012.

Name	Grant date	Approval date	Estimated possible payouts under non-equity incentive plan awards			Estimated future payouts under equity incentive plan awards			All other awards: number of securities underlying options (#)	Exercise price of option awards (\$/sh)	Grant date of stock award	Grant value of stock award
			Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)				
	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)		
Larry												
Annual Incentive	—	—	N/A	4,707,032	N/A	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Stock Options	6/20/11	6/14/11	—	—	—	—	—	—	100,000	21.94	936,000	
Us	6/14/11	6/14/11	—	—	—	N/A	250,000	N/A	—	—	-5,142,500	
Us - Deferred	6/14/12	6/14/12	—	—	—	N/A	50,357	N/A	—	—	-985,500	
Robert												
Annual Incentive	—	—	N/A	2,353,516	N/A	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Stock Options	6/20/11	6/14/11	—	—	—	—	—	—	50,000	21.94	468,000	
Us	6/14/11	6/14/11	—	—	—	N/A	125,000	N/A	—	—	-2,571,250	
Us - Deferred	6/14/12	6/14/12	—	—	—	N/A	25,178	N/A	—	—	-492,700	
Us - Retention	6/14/12	6/14/12	—	—	—	N/A	25,178	N/A	—	—	-492,700	

George

Michael

Annual  
Incentive

— — N/A 2,242,159 N/A — — — — —

Stock  
Options

6/20/11 6/14/11 — — — — — 40,000 21.94 374,400

Restricted  
Stock

6/14/11 6/14/11 — — — 30,000 40,000 50,000 — — 617,500

Deferred  
Stock

6/14/12 6/14/12 — — — N/A 37,455 N/A — — 733,000

Restricted  
Stock

6/14/12 6/14/12 — — — N/A 37,455 N/A — — 733,000

Joseph

Michael

Annual  
Incentive

— — N/A 1,121,080 N/A — — — — —

Stock  
Options

6/20/11 6/14/11 — — — — — 25,000 21.94 234,000

Restricted  
Stock

6/14/11 6/14/11 — — — 15,000 20,000 25,000 — — 308,500

Deferred  
Stock

6/14/12 6/14/12 — — — N/A 18,727 N/A — — 366,500

Restricted  
Stock

6/14/12 6/14/12 — — — N/A 18,727 N/A — — 366,500

Joseph

Michael,

Annual  
Incentive

— — N/A 1,342,290 N/A — — — — —

Stock  
Options

6/20/11 6/14/11 — — — — — 25,000 21.94 234,000

Restricted  
Stock

6/14/11 6/14/11 — — — 30,000 40,000 50,000 — — 617,500

Deferred  
Stock

6/14/12 6/14/12 — — — N/A 22,423 N/A — — 438,800

Restricted  
Stock

6/14/12 6/14/12 — — — N/A 22,423 N/A — — 438,800

## Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (Columns (d), (e) and (f))

The target amount represents actual cash incentives for fiscal 2012 paid pursuant to awards made under the performance grant component of the 2008 Plan, excluding amounts deferred at the election of the executive officer pursuant to the management stock purchase program under the 2008 Plan. The payout amounts shown above are also included in the "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" column of the Summary Compensation Table. See

“Performance-Based Cash Incentives” below for a more detailed discussion of the performance criteria.

[Back to Contents](#)

Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards (Columns (g), (h), (i) and (l)) (PSUs and RSUs)

All PSUs for fiscal 2012 were granted pursuant to the 2008 Plan. Each performance share unit represents a contingent right to receive one share of common stock upon satisfaction of one or more performance conditions. All performance share units fully vest upon a qualifying change of control (as defined in the 2008 Plan). Subject to satisfaction of the applicable performance conditions, the performance share units granted to Messrs. Richter, Sebring and Luter, IV vest on the first anniversary of the date of grant and those granted to Messrs. Pope and Manly vest ratably over a two-year period from the date of grant. See “Equity Incentive Awards” on page 44 for a detailed discussion of the performance criteria.

The “deferral RSUs” were issued in lieu of a portion of the executive’s annual cash incentive award, which the executive elected to defer pursuant to the management stock purchase program under the 2008 Plan. Under the program, executives may elect to defer up to 25% of their annual cash incentive awards into RSUs. The “match RSUs” were issued as a 100% match of the portion of the annual cash incentive award deferred by the executive under the management stock purchase program. The match RSUs are subject to three-year cliff vesting from the date of grant. The date of grant for the deferral RSUs and the match RSUs is the date on which the amount of deferred cash incentive was converted into RSUs based on the prior day’s closing market price of the Company’s stock.

The grant date fair value of these awards reflects the full accounting expense, as of the grant date, that would be recognized by us over the course of multiple years (assuming the performance conditions are met) and does not necessarily represent the value that will be realized by the Named Executive Officer upon vesting. These grant date values are also included in column (e) of the Summary Compensation Table appearing on page 39 of this proxy statement.

All Other Option Awards (Columns (j), (k) and (l))

All options granted for fiscal 2012 were pursuant to the 2008 Plan, have a seven year term and vest ratably over three years. All options are immediately exercisable in the event of a qualifying change of control (as defined in the 2008 Plan).

The grant date fair value of these option awards reflects the full accounting expense, as of the grant date, that would be recognized by us over the course of multiple years (assuming any performance conditions are met) and does not necessarily represent the value that will be realized by the Named Executive Officer upon vesting or exercise. These grant date values are also included in column (f) of the Summary Compensation Table Appearing on page 39.

Discussion for Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards

Performance-Based Annual Cash Incentives

For each of our executive officers, annual cash incentives may be earned under awards made pursuant to the performance award component of the 2008 Plan. The annual awards utilize formulas set by the Compensation Committee at the beginning of the fiscal year, generally based on pre-tax profits of the Company or a particular segment or business unit, depending upon the scope of the executive’s duties. Pre-tax profits are generally defined as net income before deduction for income taxes. Company pre-tax profits numbers exclude officer’s bonuses and the income tax expense (benefit) of equity method investments. Because these awards are based on objective performance criteria measured over a period of one year, any incentives earned pursuant to the awards and paid in cash appear in

the “non-equity incentive plan” columns of the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table (column (e)) and the Summary Compensation Table (column (g)). Any portion of the incentive that an executive has elected to defer under the management stock purchase program is paid in RSUs which are reflected in the “equity incentive plan awards” column of the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table (column (h)) and the “Stock Awards” column of the Summary Compensation Table (column (e)). For fiscal 2012, the formulas used to calculate the annual performance-based cash incentive awards to the Named Executive Officers were as follows:

<b>Name</b>	<b>Incentive Formula</b>
Mr. Pope	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1% of Company pre-tax profits, subject to a cap of \$8 million.</li> </ul>
Mr. Manly, IV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 0.5% of Company pre-tax profits, subject to a cap of \$3 million.</li> </ul>
Mr. Richter	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 0.5% of the Pork Group pre-tax profits, subject to a cap of \$4 million.</li> </ul>
Mr. Sebring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 0.25% of the Pork Group pre-tax profits, subject to a cap of \$2.8 million.</li> <li>• 0.13% of the Pork Group pre-tax profits, plus</li> </ul>
Mr. Luter, IV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 0.5% of the International Group pre-tax profits, plus</li> <li>• 5% of Smithfield Foods Limited (UK) pre-tax profits in excess of \$1 million, all subject to a cap of \$2.5 million.</li> </ul>

[Back to Contents](#)

For purposes of these awards, pre-tax profits for the Company, the Pork Group, the International Group and Smithfield Foods Limited (UK) for fiscal 2012 were as follows:

		<b>Pre-Tax Profits</b>
Company	\$	569,253,152
Pork Group	\$	595,033,093
International Group	\$	201,017,620
Smithfield Foods Limited (UK)	\$	1,049,604

#### Additional Performance-Based Cash Incentives

In September 2009 (fiscal 2010), the Compensation Committee approved a performance-based award for George H. Richter, pursuant to the 2008 Plan. Under the award, Mr. Richter was eligible to receive a one-time cash payment of \$1.5 million upon the Compensation Committee's certification that Pork Group pre-tax profits for the period beginning August 3, 2009 and ending April 29, 2012 were not less than \$1,412,000,000.

The pre-tax profits of the Pork Group for the performance period of the award were \$1,713,059,000 and thus the performance based condition for this award was satisfied. However, the Compensation Committee reduced the award to \$1.1 million.

#### Equity Incentive Awards

Each of the Named Executive Officers received a grant of options in fiscal 2012 under the 2008 Plan with an exercise price equal to the "fair market value" of our common stock as such term is defined under the 2008 Plan. The options have a term of seven years and will become exercisable ratably over three years or, if earlier, upon the occurrence of certain "qualifying change of control" events (as defined in the 2008 Plan and detailed on page 48 herein).

All of the Named Executive Officers also received an award of performance share units in fiscal 2012 under the 2008 Plan. Each performance share unit represents a contingent right to receive one share of common stock. The performance share units will also vest upon the occurrence of certain "qualifying change of control" events, as defined in the 2008 Plan.

For the PSUs granted to Messrs. Pope and Manly to vest, the Company must achieve pre-tax profits of at least \$150 million in either fiscal 2012 or 2013. The pre-tax profits performance target was met in fiscal 2012. As a result, these performance share units vest ratably over a two-year period from the date of grant.

Under the PSU awards to Messrs. Richter, Sebring and Luter, IV, the actual number of shares earned was to be determined on the basis of the unit volume growth of the Pork Group's packaged meats business in fiscal 2012 as compared to fiscal 2011. If the volume growth was less than 3%, no PSUs would be earned. If volume growth was at least 3% but less than 4%, the number of PSUs earned by Messrs. Richter, Sebring and Luter, IV would be 30,000, 15,000 and 30,000, respectively. If the volume growth was at least 4% but less than 5%, the number of PSUs earned by Messrs. Richter, Sebring and Luter, IV would be 40,000, 20,000 and 40,000, respectively. If volume growth was 5% or greater, the number of PSUs earned by Messrs. Richter, Sebring and Luter, IV would be 50,000, 25,000 and 50,000, respectively. In addition to the volume-based performance condition, none of these PSUs could be earned unless the Company earned at least \$150 million of pre-tax profits in fiscal 2012. If the performance targets had been met, the PSUs would have vested on the first anniversary of the grant date. The Company earned more than \$150 million in pre-tax profits, but the Packaged Meats volume growth in fiscal 2012 was less than 3%. Therefore, these PSUs did not vest.



Pursuant to the management stock purchase program under the 2008 Plan, executives may voluntarily elect to defer up to 25% of the payouts under their annual cash incentive awards and receive RSUs in exchange. The RSUs are generally payable in shares of common stock on a date, which may not be less than three years from the date of deferral, specified by the executive. The Company provides a 100% match of the executive's deferral in the form of RSUs that vest on the third anniversary of the date of deferral and are payable in shares of common stock. All of the named executives elected to defer a portion of their annual cash incentive awards for fiscal 2012. The Committee and Mr. Pope agreed that he would forgo the matching grant of RSUs for fiscal 2012, resulting in a reduction of \$985,500 in Mr. Pope's fiscal 2012 compensation.

#### Components of Total Compensation

In fiscal 2012, cash compensation for the Named Executive Officers (including annual and other cash incentive award payouts earned pursuant to the performance award component of the 2008 Plan) averaged approximately 36% of their total compensation. The principal components of non-cash compensation in fiscal 2012 were the aggregate grant date fair value of the equity incentive awards as computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 and increases in the actuarial present value of the Named Executive Officers' benefits under our pension plans. Consistent with our policy that a substantial portion of a Named Executive Officer's potential cash compensation be based on performance, performance-based cash incentive awards earned by executive officers in recent years have ranged from 0% to 90% of total cash compensation depending on the performance of the Company or the relevant segment or business unit and the individual executive. As a percentage of total cash compensation, performance-based cash incentive awards for all Named Executive Officers averaged approximately 76% in fiscal 2012.

[Back to Contents](#)

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

Name	Option awards <sup>(1)</sup>				Stock awards <sup>(2)</sup>				Equity
	Number of securities underlying unexercised options (#)	Number of securities underlying unexercised options (#)	Equity incentive plan awards: number of securities underlying unexercised options (#)	Option exercise price (\$)	Option expiration date	Number of shares or units of stock that have not vested (#)	Market value of shares or units of stock that have not vested (\$)	Equity incentive awards: number of shares, units or other rights that have not vested (#)	Equity incentive awards: market or payout value of unearned shares or other rights that have not vested (\$)
		100,000	—	21.94	6/20/2018	250,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	5,305,000		
C.	33,333	66,667		15.43	6/21/2017	100,000 <sup>(4)</sup>	2,122,000		
Larry Pope	66,667	33,333		13.30	7/06/2016	100,000 <sup>(5)</sup>	2,122,000		
		20,000		23.75	6/16/2018	—	—	8,000 <sup>(6)</sup>	169,760
	250,000			32.91	8/30/2016				
	50,000			30.00	5/24/2014				
Robert W. Manly, IV		50,000	—	21.94	6/20/2018	125,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	2,652,500		
	16,667	33,333		15.43	6/21/2017	62,500 <sup>(4)</sup>	1,326,250		
	22,000	11,000		13.30	7/06/2016	33,334 <sup>(5)</sup>	707,347		
		10,000		23.75	6/16/2018	—	—	3,000 <sup>(6)</sup>	63,660
		50,000		32.40	6/11/2017	25,178	492,750		
		40,000	—	21.94	6/20/2018	—	—	5,000 <sup>(6)</sup>	106,100

Edgar Filing: SMITHFIELD FOODS INC - Form PRE 14A

<b>George H. Richter</b>	13,333	26,667	15.43	6/21/2017	37,455	733,006		
	33,333	16,667	13.30	7/06/2016				
	15,000		23.75	6/16/2018				
	25,000		31.86	6/02/2015				
	25,000		30.00	5/24/2014				
		25,000	—	21.94	6/20/2018	—	—	2,000 <sup>(6)</sup>
<b>Joseph B. Sebring</b>	8,333	16,667	15.43	6/21/2017	18,727	366,503		
	10,000	5,000	13.30	7/06/2016				
		10,000	23.75	6/16/2018				
		25,000	—	21.94	6/20/2018	26,667 <sup>(5)</sup>	565,874	
<b>Joseph W. Luter, IV</b>	8,333	16,667	15.43	6/21/2017	—	—	3,000 <sup>(6)</sup>	63,660
	22,000	11,000	13.30	7/06/2016	22,423	438,821		
		10,000	23.75	6/16/2018				
	25,000		30.00	5/24/2014				
	20,000		21.00	6/04/2012				

(1) The options that expire on July 6, 2016, June 21, 2017 and June 20, 2018 vest ratably over the course of three years and expire seven years from the date of grant. All other options vest upon the earlier of (i) five years from the date of grant and (ii) the grantee's 55<sup>th</sup> birthday, and expire ten years from the date of grant.

(2) All stock awards in the table are performance share units. Each performance share unit represents a contingent right to receive one share of common stock. All performance share units fully vest upon a qualifying change of control (as defined in the 2008 Plan).

(3) The performance share units granted to Messrs. Pope and Manly on June 14, 2011 were subject to a performance condition that the Company achieve pre-tax profits of at least \$150 million in either fiscal 2012 or 2013. The performance target was met in fiscal 2012 and these performance share units vest ratably over a two-year period from the date of grant.

(4) The performance share units granted to Messrs. Pope and Manly on June 15, 2010 were subject to a performance condition that the Company achieve pre-tax profits of at least \$100 million in either fiscal 2011 or 2012. The performance target was met in fiscal 2011 and these performance share units vest ratably over a two-year period from the date of grant.

(5) The performance share units granted on June 16, 2009 were subject to a performance condition that the Company achieve pre-tax profits of at least \$100 million in any one of fiscal 2010, 2011, or 2012. The performance target was met in fiscal 2011 and these performance share units vest ratably over a three-year period from the date of grant.

(6) The performance share units granted on August 27, 2008 vest in 20% increments once the volume-weighted average of the closing price of our common stock for 15 consecutive trading days equals or exceeds \$26, \$32, \$38, \$44 and \$50. These performance share units have a term of five years. Because the threshold performance measures were not met in fiscal 2010, fiscal 2011 or fiscal 2012, the awards are shown at the threshold amounts (i.e., based on a \$26 market price trigger).

[Back to Contents](#)

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following table includes information concerning option awards that were exercised by or stock awards that vested for our Named Executive Officers for the fiscal year ended April 29, 2012.

Name	Option awards		Stock awards
	Number of shares acquired on exercise (#)	Value realized on exercise (1)(\$)	Number of shares acquired on vesting (#)