

Bancorp, Inc.  
Form 10-Q  
August 08, 2017

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended: June 30, 2017

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OF 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from: \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number: 51018

THE BANCORP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

23-3016517  
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

409 Silverside Road, Wilmington, DE 19809  
(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)

(302) 385-5000  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer", "smaller reporting company" and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer       Accelerated filer       Non-accelerated filer   
Smaller reporting company       Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

As of August 3, 2017, there were 55,857,645 outstanding shares of common stock, \$1.00 par value.



THE BANCORP, INC

Form 10-Q Index

	Page
Part I Financial Information	
Item 1 <u>Financial Statements:</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets – June 30, 2017 (unaudited) and December 31, 2016</u>	3
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations – Three and six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016</u>	4
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income – Six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016</u>	4
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders’ Equity – Six months ended June 30, 2017</u>	7
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016</u>	8
<u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	9
Item 2. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	37
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	57
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	58
Part II Other Information	
Item 1. <u>Legal proceedings</u>	59
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	60
<u>Signatures</u>	60

## PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements

## THE BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	June 30, 2017 (unaudited) (in thousands)	December 31, 2016
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 6,458	\$ 4,127
Interest earning deposits at Federal Reserve Bank	475,387	955,733
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	65,076	39,199
Total cash and cash equivalents	546,921	999,059
Investment securities, available-for-sale, at fair value	1,149,116	1,248,614
Investment securities, held-to-maturity (fair value \$92,161 and \$91,799, respectively)	93,419	93,467
Commercial loans held for sale	542,819	663,140
Loans, net of deferred loan fees and costs	1,370,263	1,222,911
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(7,353)	(6,332)
Loans, net	1,362,910	1,216,579
Federal Home Loan Bank and Atlantic Central Bankers Bank stock	6,211	1,613
Premises and equipment, net	22,004	24,125
Accrued interest receivable	10,880	10,589
Intangible assets, net	5,515	6,906
Other real estate owned	-	104
Deferred tax asset, net	53,226	55,666
Investment in unconsolidated entity, at fair value	120,862	126,930
Assets held for sale from discontinued operations	336,246	360,711
Other assets	53,888	50,611
Total assets	\$ 4,304,017	\$ 4,858,114
<b>LIABILITIES</b>		
Deposits		
Demand and interest checking	\$ 3,437,482	\$ 3,816,524
Savings and money market	438,602	421,780
Total deposits	3,876,084	4,238,304

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	273	274
Subordinated debentures	13,401	13,401
Long-term borrowings	42,680	263,099
Other liabilities	40,560	44,073
Total liabilities	3,972,998	4,559,151
<b>SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Common stock - authorized, 75,000,000 shares of \$1.00 par value; 55,857,645 and 55,419,204 shares issued at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively	55,858	55,419
Treasury stock, at cost (100,000 shares)	(866)	(866)
Additional paid-in capital	361,478	360,564
Accumulated deficit	(85,114)	(111,941)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(337)	(4,213)
Total shareholders' equity	331,019	298,963
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 4,304,017	\$ 4,858,114

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

## THE BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	For the three months ended June 30,		For the six months ended June 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
	(in thousands, except per share data)			
Interest income				
Loans, including fees	\$ 20,017	\$ 15,362	\$ 37,646	\$ 31,231
Interest on investment securities:				
Taxable interest	9,138	7,900	18,143	14,432
Tax-exempt interest	70	176	142	497
Federal funds sold/securities purchased under agreements to resell	333	128	560	155
Interest earning deposits	1,255	378	2,771	1,280
	30,813	23,944	59,262	47,595
Interest expense				
Deposits	3,432	2,815	6,866	5,786
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	-	1	-	1
Short-term borrowings	22	110	22	110
Subordinated debenture	144	128	282	252
	3,598	3,054	7,170	6,149
Net interest income	27,215	20,890	52,092	41,446
Provision for loan and lease losses	350	1,060	1,350	1,060
Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses	26,865	19,830	50,742	40,386
Non-interest income				
Service fees on deposit accounts	1,520	978	3,195	1,825
Card payment and ACH processing fees	1,504	1,457	3,032	2,724
Prepaid card fees	13,234	13,510	26,781	27,084
Gain (loss) on sale of loans	758	1,339	6,141	(94)
Gain on sale of investment securities	586	124	1,089	2,150
Change in value of investment in unconsolidated entity	3	(13,936)	(16)	(13,124)
Leasing income	832	464	1,383	868
Affinity fees	149	1,322	1,170	2,416

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Gain on sale of health savings accounts	2,538	-	2,538	-
Loss from sale of European prepaid operations	(3,437)	-	(3,437)	-
Other	486	4,282	516	4,379
Total non-interest income	18,173	9,540	42,392	28,228
Non-interest expense				
Salaries and employee benefits	18,108	21,308	36,114	40,892
Depreciation and amortization	1,119	1,271	2,325	2,510
Rent and related occupancy cost	1,398	1,371	2,859	2,830
Data processing expense	2,641	3,730	6,121	7,690
Printing and supplies	333	826	838	1,369
Audit expense	456	245	877	500
Legal expense	1,427	2,223	3,165	2,972
Amortization of intangible assets	377	344	756	638
Losses on sale and write downs on other real estate owned	19	-	19	-
FDIC insurance	3,458	2,332	5,523	4,682
Software	3,012	2,818	6,240	4,986
Insurance	542	554	1,220	1,064
Telecom and IT network communications	425	587	1,017	965
Securitization and servicing expense	105	178	100	747
Consulting	706	836	1,240	2,513
Bank Secrecy Act and lookback consulting expenses	-	13,421	-	27,736
Other	3,237	5,092	6,732	10,180
Total non-interest expense	37,363	57,136	75,146	112,274



Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	7,675	(27,766)	17,988	(43,660)
Income tax benefit	(9,923)	(10,004)	(5,912)	(15,276)
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 17,598	\$ (17,762)	\$ 23,900	\$ (28,384)
Discontinued operations				
Income (loss) from discontinued operations before income taxes	1,992	(16,214)	4,659	(16,583)
Income tax expense (benefit)	726	(2,616)	1,732	(2,695)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	1,266	(13,598)	2,927	(13,888)
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$ 18,864	\$ (31,360)	\$ 26,827	\$ (42,272)
Net income (loss) per share from continuing operations - basic	\$ 0.32	\$ (0.47)	\$ 0.43	\$ (0.75)
Net income (loss) per share from discontinued operations - basic	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.36)	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.37)
Net income (loss) per share - basic	\$ 0.34	\$ (0.83)	\$ 0.48	\$ (1.12)
Net income (loss) per share from continuing operations - diluted	\$ 0.32	\$ (0.47)	\$ 0.43	\$ (0.75)
Net income (loss) per share from discontinued operations - diluted	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.36)	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.37)
Net income (loss) per share - diluted	\$ 0.34	\$ (0.83)	\$ 0.48	\$ (1.12)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

## THE BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	For the six months ended June 30,	
	2017	2016
	(in thousands)	
Net income (loss)	\$ 26,827	\$ (42,272)
Other comprehensive income (loss) net of reclassifications into net income:		
Other comprehensive income (loss)		
Change in net unrealized gain during the period	7,172	20,472
Reclassification adjustments for losses included in income	(1,089)	(2,150)
Reclassification adjustments for foreign currency translation gains	216	335
Amortization of losses previously held as available-for-sale	17	17
Net unrealized gain	6,316	18,674
Deferred tax expense		
Securities available-for-sale:		
Change in net unrealized gain during the period	2,869	8,189
Reclassification adjustments for losses included in income	(436)	(860)
Amortization of losses previously held as available-for-sale	7	7
Income tax expense related to items of other comprehensive income	2,440	7,336
Other comprehensive income net of tax and reclassifications into net income	3,876	11,338
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 30,703	\$ (30,934)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.



## THE BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

For the six months ended June 30, 2017

(in thousands, except share data)

	Common stock shares	Common stock	Treasury stock	Additional paid-in capital	Retained earnings/ (accumulated deficit)	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	Total
Balance at January 1, 2017	55,419,204	\$ 55,419	\$ (866)	\$ 360,564	\$ (111,941)	\$ (4,213)	\$ 298,963
Net income	-	-	-	-	26,827	-	26,827
Common stock issuance expense	-	-	-	(200)	-	-	(200)
Common stock issued from restricted shares, net of tax benefits	438,441	439	-	(439)	-	-	-
Stock-based compensation	-	-	-	1,553	-	-	1,553
Other comprehensive income net of reclassification adjustments and tax	-	-	-	-	-	3,876	3,876
Balance at June 30, 2017	55,857,645	\$ 55,858	\$ (866)	\$ 361,478	\$ (85,114)	\$ (337)	\$ 331,019

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this consolidated statement.



## THE BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the six months ended June 30,	
	2017	2016
	(in thousands)	
Operating activities		
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 23,900	\$ (28,384)
Net income (loss) from discontinued operations	2,927	(13,888)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities		
Depreciation and amortization	3,081	3,148
Provision for loan and lease losses	1,350	1,060
Net amortization of investment securities discounts/premiums	3,867	4,033
Stock-based compensation expense	1,553	1,215
Loans originated for sale	(246,172)	(263,473)
Sale of loans originated for resale	126,388	311,912
Loss (gain) on sales of loans originated for resale	3,047	(94)
Loss on sale of fixed assets	28	44
Loss on sale of other real estate owned	19	-
Fair value adjustment on investment in unconsolidated entity	(16)	14,932
Gain on sales of investment securities	(1,089)	(2,150)
Increase in accrued interest receivable	(291)	(800)
Increase in other assets	(7,025)	(25,248)
Decrease (increase) in discontinued assets held for sale	5,723	(1,145)
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities	(29,531)	23,082
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(112,241)	24,244
Investing activities		
Purchase of investment securities available-for-sale	(106,677)	(422,166)
Proceeds from sale of investment securities available-for-sale	60,115	84,245
Proceeds from redemptions and prepayments of securities held-to-maturity	-	28
Proceeds from redemptions and prepayments of securities available-for-sale	192,088	93,081
Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned	85	-
Net increase in loans	(147,894)	(104,091)
Net decrease in discontinued loans held for sale	18,742	97,680
Proceeds from sale of fixed assets	366	210

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Purchases of premises and equipment	(385)	(3,562)
Investment in unconsolidated entity	6,084	1,313
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	22,524	(253,262)
Financing activities		
Net decrease in deposits	(362,220)	(354,077)
Net decrease in securities sold under agreements to repurchase	(1)	(607)
Common stock issuance expense	(200)	-
Net cash used in financing activities	(362,421)	(354,684)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(452,138)	(583,702)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	999,059	1,155,162
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 546,921	\$ 571,460
Supplemental disclosure:		
Interest paid	\$ 7,163	\$ 6,290
Taxes paid	\$ 44	\$ 585

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

THE BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Structure of Company

The Bancorp, Inc. (the Company) is a Delaware corporation and a registered financial holding company. Its primary subsidiary is The Bancorp Bank (the Bank) which is wholly owned by the Company. The Bank is a Delaware chartered commercial bank located in Wilmington, Delaware and is a Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insured institution. In its continuing operations, the Bank has four primary lines of specialty lending: securities-backed lines of credit (SBLOC), leasing, Small Business Administration (SBA) loans and loans generated for sale into capital markets primarily through commercial loan securitizations (CMBS). Through the Bank, the Company also provides banking services nationally, which include prepaid cards, private label banking, institutional banking, card payment and other payment processing.

The Company and the Bank are subject to regulation by certain state and federal agencies and, accordingly, they are examined periodically by those regulatory authorities. As a consequence of the extensive regulation of commercial banking activities, the Company's and the Bank's businesses may be affected by state and federal legislation and regulations.

Note 2. Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The financial statements of the Company, as of June 30, 2017 and for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, are unaudited. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) have been condensed or omitted in this Form 10-Q pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. However, in the opinion of management, these interim financial statements include all necessary adjustments to fairly present the results of the interim periods presented. The unaudited interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 (2016 Form 10-K Report). The results of operations for the six month period ended June 30, 2017 may not necessarily be indicative of the results of operations for the full year ending December 31, 2017.

Note 3. Share-based Compensation

The Company recognizes compensation expense for stock options in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 718, "Stock Based Compensation". The expense of the option is generally measured at fair value at the grant date with compensation expense recognized over the service period, which is typically the vesting period. For grants subject to a service condition, the Company utilizes the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to estimate the fair value of each option on the date of grant. The Black-Scholes model takes into consideration the exercise price and expected life of the options, the current price of the underlying stock and its expected volatility, the expected dividends on the stock and the current risk-free interest rate for the expected life of the option. The Company's estimate of the fair value of a stock option is based on expectations derived from historical



experience and may not necessarily equate to its market value when fully vested. In accordance with ASC 718, the Company estimates the number of options for which the requisite service is expected to be rendered. At June 30, 2017, the Company had two active stock-based compensation plans, which are more fully described in its 2016 Form 10-K Report.

The Company did not grant stock options during the six month period ended June 30, 2017. The Company granted 300,000 stock options with a vesting period of four years in the first six months of 2016. There were no common stock options exercised in the six month periods ended June 30, 2017 or June 30, 2016.

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

A summary of the status of the Company's equity compensation plans is presented below.

	Shares	Weighted average exercise price	Weighted average remaining contractual term (years)	Aggregate intrinsic value
Outstanding at January 1, 2017	2,021,625	\$ 8.32	5.24	\$ -
Granted	-	-	-	-
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Expired	-	-	-	-
Forfeited	(2,500)	10.45	-	-
Outstanding at June 30, 2017	2,019,125	\$ 8.32	4.74	\$ -
Exercisable at June 30, 2017	1,696,625	\$ 8.58	4.18	\$ -

The Company granted 807,482 restricted stock units (RSUs) in the first six months of 2017 of which 672,482 have a vesting period of three years and 135,000 have a vesting period of one year. Of the RSUs granted in the first half of 2017, 799,599 had a fair value of \$5.06 and 7,923 RSUs had a fair value of \$6.31 at issuance. In the first six months of 2016, the Company granted 789,000 restricted stock units (RSUs) of which 620,000 had a vesting period of three years and 169,000 had a vesting period of one year. Of the RSUs granted in the first half of 2016, 489,000 had a fair value of \$4.50 and 300,000 RSUs had a fair value of \$6.75 at issuance. The total fair value of RSUs vested for the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 was \$2.6 million and \$830,000, respectively.

A summary of the status of the Company's RSUs is presented below.

	Shares	Weighted average grant date fair value	Average remaining contractual term (years)
Outstanding at January 1, 2017	831,775	\$ 5.77	1.62
Granted	807,482	5.07	
Vested	(438,441)	5.89	
Forfeited	(46,192)	4.98	
Outstanding at June 30, 2017	1,154,624	\$ 5.27	2.08

As of June 30, 2017, there was a total of \$5.8 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested awards under share-based plans. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 2.2

years. Related compensation expense for the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 was \$1.6 million and \$1.2 million, respectively.

Note 4. Earnings Per Share

The Company calculates earnings per share under ASC 260, "Earnings Per Share". Basic earnings per share exclude dilution and are computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted average common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share takes into account the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised and converted into common stock.

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The following tables show the Company's earnings per share for the periods presented:

	For the three months ended June 30, 2017		
	Income (numerator)	Shares (denominator)	Per share amount
	(dollars in thousands except share and per share data)		
Basic earnings per share from continuing operations			
Net earnings available to common shareholders	\$ 17,598	55,689,439	\$ 0.32
Effect of dilutive securities			
Common stock options	-	340,596	-
Diluted earnings per share			
Net earnings available to common shareholders	\$ 17,598	56,030,035	\$ 0.32

	For the three months ended June 30, 2017		
	Income (numerator)	Shares (denominator)	Per share amount
	(dollars in thousands except share and per share data)		
Basic earnings per share from discontinued operations			
Net earnings available to common shareholders	\$ 1,266	55,689,439	\$ 0.02
Effect of dilutive securities			
Common stock options	-	340,596	-
Diluted earnings per share			
Net earnings available to common shareholders	\$ 1,266	56,030,035	\$ 0.02

	For the three months ended June 30, 2017		
	Income (numerator)	Shares (denominator)	Per share amount
	(dollars in thousands except share and per share data)		
Basic earnings per share			

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net earnings available to common shareholders	\$	18,864	55,689,439	\$	0.34
Effect of dilutive securities					
Common stock options	-		340,596	-	
Diluted earnings per share					
Net earnings available to common shareholders	\$	18,864	56,030,035	\$	0.34

Stock options for 2,019,125 shares, exercisable at prices between \$6.75 and \$25.43 per share, were outstanding at June 30, 2017, but were not included in the dilutive shares because the exercise price per share was greater than the average market price.

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

	For the six months ended June 30, 2017		
	Income (numerator)	Shares (denominator)	Per share amount
	(dollars in thousands except share and per share data)		
Basic earnings per share from continuing operations			
Net earnings available to common shareholders	\$ 23,900	55,612,288	\$ 0.43
Effect of dilutive securities			
Common stock options	-	277,697	-
Diluted earnings per share			
Net earnings available to common shareholders	\$ 23,900	55,889,985	\$ 0.43

	For the six months ended June 30, 2017		
	Income (numerator)	Shares (denominator)	Per share amount
	(dollars in thousands except share and per share data)		
Basic earnings per share from discontinued operations			
Net earnings available to common shareholders	\$ 2,927	55,612,288	\$ 0.05
Effect of dilutive securities			
Common stock options	-	277,697	-
Diluted earnings per share			
Net earnings available to common shareholders	\$ 2,927	55,889,985	\$ 0.05

	For the six months ended June 30, 2017		
	Income (numerator)	Shares (denominator)	Per share amount
	(dollars in thousands except share and per share data)		
Basic earnings per share			
Net earnings available to common shareholders	\$ 26,827	55,612,288	\$ 0.48
Effect of dilutive securities			
Common stock options	-	277,697	-
Diluted earnings per share			
Net earnings available to common shareholders	\$ 26,827	55,612,288	\$ 0.48

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Stock options for 2,019,125 shares, exercisable at prices between \$6.75 and \$25.43 per share, were outstanding at June 30, 2017, but were not included in the dilutive shares because the exercise price per share was greater than the average market price.

	For the three months ended June 30, 2016		
	Income (numerator)	Shares (denominator)	Per share amount
	(dollars in thousands except share and per share data)		
Basic loss per share from continuing operations			
Net loss available to common shareholders	\$ (17,762)	37,845,250	\$ (0.47)
Effect of dilutive securities			
Common stock options	-	-	-
Diluted loss per share			
Net loss available to common shareholders	\$ (17,762)	37,845,250	\$ (0.47)

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

For the three months ended  
June 30, 2016

Income (numerator)	Shares (denominator)	Per share amount
-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------

(dollars in thousands except share and per share data)

Basic loss per share from discontinued operations			
Net loss available to common shareholders	\$ (13,598)	37,845,250	\$ (0.36)
Effect of dilutive securities			
Common stock options	-	-	-
Diluted loss per share			
Net loss available to common shareholders	\$ (13,598)	37,845,250	\$ (0.36)

For the three months ended  
June 30, 2016

Income (numerator)	Shares (denominator)	Per share amount
-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------

(dollars in thousands except share and per share data)

Basic loss per share			
Net loss available to common shareholders	\$ (31,360)	37,845,250	\$ (0.83)
Effect of dilutive securities			
Common stock options	-	-	-
Diluted loss per share			
Net loss available to common shareholders	\$ (31,360)	37,845,250	\$ (0.83)

Stock options for 2,276,500 shares, exercisable at prices between \$6.75 and \$25.43 per share, were outstanding at June 30, 2016 but were not included in dilutive shares because the Company had a net loss available to common shareholders.

For the six months ended  
June 30, 2016

Income (numerator)	Shares (denominator)	Per share amount
-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------

(dollars in thousands except share and per share data)

Basic loss per share from continuing operations			
Net loss available to common shareholders	\$ (28,384)	37,824,996	\$ (0.75)
Effect of dilutive securities			



Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Common stock options	-	-	-
Diluted loss per share			
Net loss available to common shareholders	\$	(28,384)	37,824,996
			\$ (0.75)

For the six months ended  
June 30, 2016

Income	Shares	Per share
(numerator)	(denominator)	amount

(dollars in thousands except share and per share data)

Basic loss per share from discontinued operations			
Net loss available to common shareholders	\$	(13,888)	37,824,996
Effect of dilutive securities			
Common stock options	-	-	-
Diluted loss per share			
Net loss available to common shareholders	\$	(13,888)	37,824,996
			\$ (0.37)

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

For the six months ended  
June 30, 2016  
Income                      Shares                      Per share  
(numerator)                      (denominator)                      amount

(dollars in thousands except share and per share data)

Basic loss per share				
Net loss available to common shareholders	\$	(42,272)	37,824,996	\$ (1.12)
Effect of dilutive securities				
Common stock options	-		-	-
Diluted loss per share				
Net loss available to common shareholders	\$	(42,272)	37,824,996	\$ (1.12)

Stock options for 2,276,500 shares exercisable at prices between \$6.75 and \$25.43 per share, were outstanding at June 30, 2016 but were not included in dilutive shares because the Company had a net loss available to common shareholders.

Note 5. Investment Securities

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and fair values of the Company's investment securities classified as available-for-sale and held-to-maturity at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

Available-for-sale	June 30, 2017			
	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value
U.S. Government agency securities	\$ 24,672	\$ 69	\$ (1)	\$ 24,740
Asset-backed securities *	255,792	872	(562)	256,102
Tax-exempt obligations of states and political subdivisions	13,309	182	(21)	13,470
Taxable obligations of states and political subdivisions	76,959	1,960	(173)	78,746
Residential mortgage-backed securities	354,000	923	(3,764)	351,159
Collateralized mortgage obligation securities	136,410	491	(911)	135,990
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	131,013	472	(63)	131,422
Foreign debt securities	56,220	364	(91)	56,493
Corporate debt securities	100,304	847	(157)	100,994

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

\$ 1,148,679 \$ 6,180 \$ (5,743) \$ 1,149,116

	June 30, 2017			
	Amortized	Gross unrealized	Gross unrealized	Fair
* Asset-backed securities as shown above	cost	gains	losses	value
Federally insured student loan securities	\$ 98,541	\$ 118	\$ (558)	\$ 98,101
Collateralized loan obligation securities	139,576	641	-	140,217
Other	17,675	113	(4)	17,784
	\$ 255,792	\$ 872	\$ (562)	\$ 256,102

Held-to-maturity	June 30, 2017			
	Amortized	Gross unrealized	Gross unrealized	Fair
	cost	gains	losses	value
Other debt securities - single issuers	\$ 18,005	\$ 223	\$ (2,867)	\$ 15,361
Other debt securities - pooled	75,414	1,386	-	76,800
	\$ 93,419	\$ 1,609	\$ (2,867)	\$ 92,161

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Available-for-sale	December 31, 2016					
	Amortized cost		Gross unrealized gains		Gross unrealized losses	Fair value
U.S. Government agency securities	\$ 27,771		\$ 23		\$ (92)	\$ 27,702
Asset-backed securities *	355,622		1,811		(2,037)	355,396
Tax-exempt obligations of states and political subdivisions	15,492		129		(137)	15,484
Taxable obligations of states and political subdivisions	78,143		1,539		(633)	79,049
Residential mortgage-backed securities	347,120		598		(5,149)	342,569
Collateralized mortgage obligation securities	160,649		619		(1,445)	159,823
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	117,844		250		(1,008)	117,086
Foreign debt securities	56,603		168		(274)	56,497
Corporate debt securities	95,005		421		(418)	95,008
	\$ 1,254,249		\$ 5,558		\$ (11,193)	\$ 1,248,614

* Asset-backed securities as shown above	December 31, 2016					
	Amortized cost		Gross unrealized gains		Gross unrealized losses	Fair value
Federally insured student loan securities	\$ 122,579		\$ 346		\$ (2,000)	\$ 120,925
Collateralized loan obligation securities	215,117		1,294		(14)	216,397
Other	17,926		171		(23)	18,074
	\$ 355,622		\$ 1,811		\$ (2,037)	\$ 355,396

Held-to-maturity	December 31, 2016					
	Amortized cost		Gross unrealized gains		Gross unrealized losses	Fair value
Other debt securities - single issuers	\$ 17,983		\$ 179		\$ (3,026)	\$ 15,136
Other debt securities - pooled	75,484		1,179		-	76,663
	\$ 93,467		\$ 1,358		\$ (3,026)	\$ 91,799

Investments in Federal Home Loan and Atlantic Central Bankers Bank stock are recorded at cost and amounted to \$6.2 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

The amortized cost and fair value of the Company's investment securities at June 30, 2017, by contractual maturity, are shown below (in thousands). Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	Available-for-sale		Held-to-maturity	
	Amortized cost	Fair value	Amortized cost	Fair value
Due before one year	\$ 4,508	\$ 4,511	\$ -	\$ -
Due after one year through five years	157,935	158,902	7,002	7,114
Due after five years through ten years	364,091	364,609	-	-
Due after ten years	622,145	621,094	86,417	85,047
	\$ 1,148,679	\$ 1,149,116	\$ 93,419	\$ 92,161

At June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, there were no investment securities pledged to secure securities sold under repurchase agreements as required or permitted by law. The balance of pledged securities was reduced to \$0 as balances requiring pledging were not expected to increase from minimal levels exceeded by deposit insurance. At June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, investment securities with a fair value of approximately \$600.0 million and \$607.2 million, respectively, were pledged to secure a line of credit with the FHLB and a letter of credit with that institution.

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Fair value of available-for-sale securities are based on the fair market value supplied by a third-party market data provider, while the fair value of held-to-maturity securities are based on the present value of cash flows, which discounts expected cash flows from principal and interest using yield to maturity at the measurement date. The Company periodically reviews its investment portfolio to determine whether unrealized losses are other than temporary, based on an evaluation of the creditworthiness of the issuers/guarantors as well as the underlying collateral, if applicable, in addition to the continuing performance of the securities. The amount of the credit impairment is calculated by estimating the discounted cash flows for those securities. The Company did not recognize any other-than-temporary impairment charges in the first six months of 2017.

The table below indicates the length of time individual securities had been in a continuous unrealized loss position at June 30, 2017 (dollars in thousands):

Available-for-sale Description of Securities	Number of securities	Less than 12 months		12 months or longer		Total
		Fair Value	Unrealized losses	Fair Value	Unrealized losses	Fair Value
U.S. Government agency securities	1	\$ 841	\$ (1)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 841
Asset-backed securities	16	406	(1)	73,048	(561)	73,454
Tax-exempt obligations of states and political subdivisions	5	4,777	(21)	-	-	4,777
Taxable obligations of states and political subdivisions	15	19,418	(173)	-	-	19,418
Residential mortgage-backed securities	81	209,559	(3,286)	40,056	(478)	249,615
Collateralized mortgage obligation securities	25	46,078	(503)	31,154	(408)	77,232
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	9	18,043	(62)	1,192	(1)	19,235
	17	13,443	(91)	-	-	13,443

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Foreign debt securities									
Corporate debt securities	25	22,615	(157)	-	-			22,615	
Total temporarily impaired investment securities	194	\$ 335,180	\$ (4,295)	\$ 145,450	\$ (1,448)			\$ 480,630	

Held-to-maturity Description of Securities	Number of securities	Less than 12 months		12 months or longer		Total	
		Fair Value	Unrealized losses	Fair Value	Unrealized losses	Fair Value	Fair Value
Corporate and other debt securities: Single issuers	1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,224	\$ (2,867)	\$ 6,224	\$ 6,224
Total temporarily impaired investment securities	1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,224	\$ (2,867)	\$ 6,224	\$ 6,224

The table below indicates the length of time individual securities had been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

Available-for-sale Description of Securities	Number of securities	Less than 12 months		12 months or longer		Total	
		Fair Value	Unrealized losses	Fair Value	Unrealized losses	Fair Value	Fair Value
U.S. Government agency securities	5	\$ 7,414	\$ (36)	\$ 7,824	\$ (56)	\$ 7,824	\$ 7,824
Asset-backed securities	23	10,186	(49)	93,375	(1,988)	103,561	103,561
Tax-exempt obligations of							

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

states and political subdivisions	8	6,056	(118)	3,301	(19)	9,357
Taxable obligations of states and political subdivisions	27	42,963	(633)	-	-	42,963
Residential mortgage-backed securities	68	180,357	(4,833)	54,254	(316)	234,611
Collateralized mortgage obligation securities	28	88,936	(1,004)	30,386	(441)	119,322
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	28	79,345	(963)	4,547	(45)	83,892
Foreign debt securities	34	26,696	(274)	700	-	27,396
Corporate debt securities	39	30,418	(414)	645	(4)	31,063
Total temporarily impaired investment securities	260	\$ 472,371	\$ (8,324)	\$ 195,032	\$ (2,869)	\$ 667,000



Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Held-to-maturity	Number of securities	Less than 12 months		12 months or longer		Total	
		Fair Value	Unrealized losses	Fair Value	Unrealized losses	Fair Value	Fair Value
Description of Securities							
Corporate and other debt securities:							
Single issuers	1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,039	\$ (3,026)	\$ 6,039	\$ 6,039
Total temporarily impaired investment securities	1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,039	\$ (3,026)	\$ 6,039	\$ 6,039

Other securities included in the held-to-maturity classification at June 30, 2017 consisted of three securities secured by diversified portfolios of corporate securities, one bank senior note and two single-issuer trust preferred securities.

A total of \$18.0 million of other debt securities - single issuers is comprised of the following: (i) amortized cost of the two single-issuer trust preferred securities of \$11.0 million, of which one security for \$1.9 million was issued by a bank and one security for \$9.1 million was issued by an insurance company; and (ii) the book value of a bank senior note of \$7.0 million.

A total of \$75.4 million of other debt securities – pooled is comprised of three securities consisting of diversified portfolios of corporate securities.

The following table provides additional information related to the Company’s single issuer trust preferred securities as of June 30, 2017 (in thousands):

Single issuer	Book value	Fair value	Unrealized gain/(loss)	Credit rating
Security A	\$ 1,913	\$ 2,024	\$ 111	Not rated
Security B	9,091	6,224	(2,867)	Not rated

Class: All of the above are trust preferred securities.

The Company has evaluated the securities in the above tables and has concluded that none of these securities has impairment that is other-than-temporary. The Company evaluates whether a credit impairment exists by considering primarily the following factors: (a) the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than the amortized cost of the security, (b) changes in the financial condition, credit rating and near-term prospects of the issuer, (c) whether the issuer is current on contractually obligated interest and principal payments, (d) changes in the financial condition of the security's underlying collateral and (e) the payment structure of the security. The Company's best estimate of expected future cash flows, which is used to determine the credit loss amount, is a quantitative and qualitative process that incorporates information received from third-party sources along with internal assumptions and judgments regarding the future performance of the security. The Company concluded that most of the securities that are in an unrealized loss position are in a loss position because of changes in market interest rates after the securities were purchased. Securities that have been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or longer include other securities whose market values are sensitive to market interest rates and changes in credit quality. The Company's unrealized loss for other of the debt securities, which include three single issuer trust preferred securities and one pooled trust preferred security, is primarily related to general market conditions, including a lack of liquidity in the market. The severity of the temporary impairments in relation to the carrying amounts of the individual investments is consistent with market developments. The Company's analysis of each investment is performed at the security level. As a result of its review, the Company concluded that other-than-temporary impairment did not exist due to the Company's ability and intention to hold these securities to recover their amortized cost basis.

#### Note 6. Loans

The Company has several lending lines of business including SBA loans, direct lease financing, SBLOC and other specialty and consumer lending. The Company also originates loans for sale into commercial mortgage backed securitizations or to secondary government guaranteed loan markets. These sales are accounted for as true sales and there is no continuing involvement in these loans. Servicing rights on these loans are not retained. The Company has elected fair value treatment for these loans to better reflect the economics of the transactions. At June 30, 2017, the fair value of the loans held for sale was \$542.8 million and their book value was \$537.9 million. Included in the gain on sale of loans in the Statements of Operations were gains recognized from changes in fair value of \$2.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017. There were no changes in fair value related to credit risk. Interest earned on loans held for sale during the period held are recorded in Interest Income-Loans, including fees, on the Statements of Operations.

In the second quarter of 2016, the Company purchased approximately \$60 million in fleet vehicle leases which resulted in a customer list intangible of \$3.4 million. The balance of the \$8.0 million purchase price was allocated to premium which is being amortized over

the estimated average lives of the leases.

The Company analyzes credit risk prior to making loans on an individual loan basis. The Company considers relevant aspects of the borrowers' financial position and cash flow, past borrower performance, management's knowledge of market conditions, collateral and the ratio of loan amounts to estimated collateral value in making its credit determinations.

Major classifications of loans, excluding loans held for sale, are as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2017		December 31, 2016
SBA non real estate	\$ 74,511	\$	74,644
SBA commercial mortgage	126,224		126,159
SBA construction	11,057		8,826
SBA loans *	211,792		209,629
Direct lease financing	371,002		346,645
SBLOC	718,707		630,400
Other specialty lending	44,389		11,073
Other consumer loans	15,858		17,374
	1,361,748		1,215,121
Unamortized loan fees and costs	8,515		7,790
Total loans, net of deferred loan costs	\$ 1,370,263	\$	1,222,911

Included in the table above are demand deposit overdrafts reclassified as loan balances totaling \$2.2 million and \$2.4 million at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. Overdraft charge-offs and recoveries are reflected in the allowance for loan and lease losses.

\* The following table shows SBA loans and SBA loans held for sale at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	June 30, 2017		December 31, 2016
SBA loans, including deferred fees and costs	\$ 218,253	\$	215,786

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

SBA loans included in held for sale	158,389	154,016
Total SBA loans	\$ 376,642	\$ 369,802

The following table provides information about impaired loans at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 (in thousands):

	Recorded investment	Unpaid principal balance	Related allowance	Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized
June 30, 2017					
Without an allowance recorded					
SBA non real estate	\$ 367	\$ 367	\$ -	\$ 247	\$ -
SBA commercial mortgage	-	-	-	-	-
Direct lease financing	-	-	-	-	-
Consumer - other	-	-	-	-	-
Consumer - home equity	1,714	1,714	-	1,721	-
With an allowance recorded					
SBA non real estate	2,677	2,677	1,425	2,616	-
SBA commercial mortgage	908	908	141	606	-
Direct lease financing	606	606	143	675	-
Consumer - other	74	74	74	24	-
Consumer - home equity	-	-	-	-	-



Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Total					
SBA non real estate	3,044	3,044	1,425	2,863	-
SBA commercial mortgage	908	908	141	606	-
Direct lease financing	606	606	143	675	-
Consumer - other	74	74	74	24	-
Consumer - home equity	1,714	1,714	-	1,721	-
	6,346	6,346	1,783	5,889	-

December 31, 2016

Without an allowance recorded

SBA non real estate	\$	191	\$	191	\$	-	\$	336	\$	-
Direct lease financing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Consumer - other	-	-	-	-	-	259	-	-	-	-
Consumer - home equity	1,730	-	1,730	-	-	1,187	-	-	-	-

With an allowance recorded

SBA non real estate	2,183	-	2,183	938	1,277	-
Direct lease financing	734	-	734	216	147	-
Consumer - other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Consumer - home equity	-	-	-	-	549	-
Total						
SBA non real estate	2,374	-	2,374	938	1,613	-
Direct lease financing	734	-	734	216	147	-
Consumer - other	-	-	-	-	259	-
Consumer - home equity	1,730	-	1,730	-	1,736	-
	4,838	-	4,838	1,154	3,755	-

The following tables summarize the Company's non-accrual loans, loans past due 90 days and still accruing and other real estate owned for the periods indicated (the Company had no non-accrual leases at June 30, 2017 or December 31, 2016) (in thousands):

		June 30, 2017		December 31, 2016
Non-accrual loans				
SBA non real estate	\$	2,704	\$	1,530
SBA commercial mortgage	908	-	-	-

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Consumer	1,503	1,442		
Total non-accrual loans	5,115	2,972		
Loans past due 90 days or more	494	661		
Total non-performing loans	5,609	3,633		
Other real estate owned	-	104		
Total non-performing assets	\$	5,609	\$	3,737

19

---

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The Company's loans that were modified as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 and considered troubled debt restructurings are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	June 30, 2017			December 31, 2016		
	Number	Pre-modification recorded investment	Post-modification recorded investment	Number	Pre-modification recorded investment	Post-modification recorded investment
SBA non real estate	4	\$ 1,088	\$ 1,088	2	\$ 844	\$ 844
Direct lease financing	1	606	606	1	734	734
Consumer	1	285	285	1	288	288
Total	6	\$ 1,979	\$ 1,979	4	\$ 1,866	\$ 1,866

The balances below provide information as to how the loans were modified as troubled debt restructurings loans as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2017			December 31, 2016		
	Adjusted interest rate	Extended maturity	Combined rate and maturity	Adjusted interest rate	Extended maturity	Combined rate and maturity
SBA non real estate	\$ -	\$ 144	\$ 944	\$ -	\$ 144	\$ 700
Direct lease financing	-	-	606	-	-	734
Consumer	-	-	285	-	-	288
Total	\$ -	\$ 144	\$ 1,835	\$ -	\$ 144	\$ 1,722

The following table summarizes, as of June 30, 2017, loans that had been restructured within the last 12 months that have subsequently defaulted.

	Number	Pre-modification recorded investment
SBA non real estate	2	\$ 750
Total	2	\$ 750



Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

As of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the Company had no commitments to lend additional funds to loan customers whose loan terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings.

A detail of the changes in the allowance for loan and lease losses by loan category is as follows (in thousands):

	SBA non real estate	SBA commercial mortgage	SBA construction	Direct lease financing	SBLOC	Other specialty lending	Other c loans
June 30, 2017							
Beginning balance	\$ 1,976	\$ 737	\$ 76	\$ 1,994	\$ 315	\$ 32	\$
Charge-offs	(136)	-	-	(201)	-	-	(17)
Recoveries	2	-	-	-	-	-	23
Provision (credit)	1,180	292	(6)	(291)	44	112	64
Ending balance	\$ 3,022	\$ 1,029	\$ 70	\$ 1,502	\$ 359	\$ 144	\$
Ending balance: Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,425	\$ 141	\$ -	\$ 143	\$ -	\$ -	\$
Ending balance: Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,597	\$ 888	\$ 70	\$ 1,359	\$ 359	\$ 144	\$
Loans: Ending balance	\$ 74,511	\$ 126,224	\$ 11,057	\$ 371,002	\$ 718,707	\$ 44,389	\$

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Ending  
balance:  
Individually  
evaluated  
for  
impairment \$ 3,044 \$ 908 \$ - \$ 607 \$ - \$ - \$

Ending  
balance:  
Collectively  
evaluated  
for  
impairment \$ 71,467 \$ 125,316 \$ 11,057 \$ 370,395 \$ 718,707 \$ 44,389 \$

December  
31, 2016  
Beginning  
balance \$ 844 \$ 408 \$ 48 \$ 1,022 \$ 762 \$ 199 \$  
Charge-offs (128) - - (119) - - (1,211)  
Recoveries 1 - - 17 12  
Provision  
(credit) 1,259 329 28 1,074 (447) (167) 1,238  
Ending  
balance \$ 1,976 \$ 737 \$ 76 \$ 1,994 \$ 315 \$ 32 \$

Ending  
balance:  
Individually  
evaluated  
for  
impairment \$ 938 \$ - \$ - \$ 216 \$ - \$ - \$

Ending  
balance:  
Collectively  
evaluated  
for  
impairment \$ 1,038 \$ 737 \$ 76 \$ 1,778 \$ 315 \$ 32 \$

Loans:  
Ending  
balance \$ 74,644 \$ 126,159 \$ 8,826 \$ 346,645 \$ 630,400 \$ 11,073 \$

Ending  
balance:  
Individually

\$ 2,374 \$ - \$ - \$ 734 \$ - \$ - \$

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

evaluated  
for  
impairment

Ending  
balance:  
Collectively  
evaluated  
for  
impairment

\$	72,270	\$	126,159	\$	8,826	\$	345,911	\$	630,400	\$	11,073	\$
----	--------	----	---------	----	-------	----	---------	----	---------	----	--------	----

June 30,  
2016

Beginning  
balance

\$	844	\$	408	\$	48	\$	1,022	\$	762	\$	199	\$
----	-----	----	-----	----	----	----	-------	----	-----	----	-----	----

Charge-offs

-	-	-	-	(50)	-	-	-	-	-	(28)
---	---	---	---	------	---	---	---	---	---	------

Recoveries

1	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	5
---	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---

Provision

(credit)

374	211	(22)	735	(330)	(89)	244
-----	-----	------	-----	-------	------	-----

Ending  
balance

\$	1,219	\$	619	\$	26	\$	1,717	\$	432	\$	110	\$
----	-------	----	-----	----	----	----	-------	----	-----	----	-----	----

Ending  
balance:  
Individually  
evaluated  
for  
impairment

\$	121	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$
----	-----	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----

Ending  
balance:  
Collectively  
evaluated  
for  
impairment

\$	1,098	\$	619	\$	26	\$	1,717	\$	432	\$	110	\$
----	-------	----	-----	----	----	----	-------	----	-----	----	-----	----

Loans:

Ending  
balance

\$	71,596	\$	116,617	\$	3,751	\$	315,639	\$	607,017	\$	40,543	\$
----	--------	----	---------	----	-------	----	---------	----	---------	----	--------	----

Ending  
balance:  
Individually  
evaluated  
for  
impairment

\$	808	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$
----	-----	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----

Ending  
balance:  
Collectively  
evaluated  
for  
impairment \$ 70,788 \$ 116,617 \$ 3,751 \$ 315,639 \$ 607,017 \$ 40,543 \$

The Company did not have loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality at either June 30, 2017 or December 31, 2016.

21

---

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

A detail of the Company's delinquent loans by loan category is as follows (in thousands):

	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	Greater than		Total			
June 30,	past due	past due	90 days	Non-accrual	past due	Current		loa
2017								
SBA non	\$ 770	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,704	\$ 3,474	\$ 71,037	\$	
real estate								
SBA								
commercial								
mortgage	-	-	-	908	908	125,316		120
SBA								
construction	-	-	-	-	-	11,057		11,
Direct lease								
financing	2,626	1,087	494	-	4,207	366,795		37
SBLOC	-	-	-	-	-	718,707		718
Other								
specialty								
lending	-	-	-	-	-	44,389		44,
Consumer -								
other	-	-	-	73	73	4,878		4,9
Consumer -								
home equity	331	150	-	1,430	1,911	8,996		10,
Unamortized								
loan fees and								
costs	-	-	-	-	-	8,515		8,5
	\$ 3,727	\$ 1,237	\$ 494	\$ 5,115	\$ 10,573	\$ 1,359,690	\$	

  

	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	Greater than		Total			
December	past due	past due	90 days	Non-accrual	past due	Current		loa
31, 2016								
SBA non	\$ 559	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,530	\$ 2,089	\$ 72,555	\$	
real estate								
SBA								
commercial								
mortgage	-	-	-	-	-	126,159		120
SBA								
construction	-	-	-	-	-	8,826		8,8
Direct lease								
financing	11,856	1,998	661	-	14,515	332,130		340
SBLOC	-	-	-	-	-	630,400		630
Other								
specialty								
lending	-	-	-	-	-	11,073		11,
	-	-	-	-	-	5,403		5,4

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Consumer - other													
Consumer - home equity	155	-	-	1,442	1,597	10,374	11,						
Unamortized loan fees and costs	-	-	-	-	-	7,790	7,7						
	\$	12,570	\$	1,998	\$	661	\$	2,972	\$	18,201	\$	1,204,710	\$

22

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The Company evaluates its loans under an internal loan risk rating system as a means of identifying problem loans. The following table provides information by credit risk rating indicator for each segment of the loan portfolio, excluding loans held for sale, at the dates indicated (in thousands):

June 30, 2017	Pass	Special mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Unrated subject to review *	Unrated not subject to review *
SBA non real estate	\$ 47,725	\$ 3,120	\$ 4,982	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 18,600
SBA commercial mortgage	105,558	281	908	-	-	-	19,477
SBA construction	11,057	-	-	-	-	-	-
Direct lease financing	181,000	-	4,104	-	-	15,486	170,412
SBLOC	354,608	-	-	-	-	-	364,099
Other specialty lending	44,389	-	-	-	-	-	-
Consumer	8,742	285	2,252	-	-	-	4,579
Unamortized loan fees and costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,515
	\$ 753,079	\$ 3,686	\$ 12,246	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,486	\$ 585,700
December 31, 2016							
SBA non real estate	\$ 51,437	\$ 2,723	\$ 3,628	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 16,800
SBA commercial mortgage	92,485	-	-	-	-	15,164	18,510
SBA construction	8,060	-	-	-	-	-	766
Direct lease financing	122,571	-	3,736	-	-	30,881	189,457
SBLOC	277,489	-	-	-	-	-	352,911
Other specialty lending	11,073	-	-	-	-	-	-
Consumer	9,837	288	2,312	-	-	-	4,937
Unamortized loan fees and costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,790
	\$ 572,952	\$ 3,011	\$ 9,676	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 46,045	\$ 591,200

\* For information on targeted loan review thresholds see “Allowance for Loan Losses”

#### Note 7. Transactions with Affiliates

The Bank maintains deposits for various affiliated companies totaling approximately \$5.0 million and \$5.5 million as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

The Bank has entered into lending transactions in the ordinary course of business with directors, executive officers, principal stockholders and affiliates of such persons. All loans were made on substantially the same terms, including interest rate and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable loans with persons not related to the Bank. At June 30, 2017, these loans were current as to principal and interest payments and did not involve more than normal risk of collectability. Loans to these related parties included in Loans, net of deferred loan fees and costs, amounted to \$1.2 million at June 30, 2017 and \$649,000 at December 31, 2016.

The Bank periodically purchases securities under agreements to resell and engages in other securities transactions as follows. The Company executed transactions through J.V.B. Financial Group, LLC, (JVB), a broker dealer in which the Company’s Chairman has a minority interest. The Company’s Chairman also serves as Vice Chairman of Institutional Financial Markets Inc., the parent company of JVB. The Company purchased securities under agreements to resell through JVB primarily consisting of G.N.M.A. certificates which are full faith and credit obligations of the United States government issued at competitive rates. JVB was in compliance with all of the terms of the agreements at June 30, 2017 and had complied with all terms for all prior repurchase agreements. There were \$65.1 million and \$39.2 million of repurchase agreements outstanding at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

Mr. Hersh Kozlov, a director of the Company, is a partner at Duane Morris LLP, an international law firm. The Company paid Duane Morris LLP \$1.8 million and \$1.3 million for legal services for the six months ended June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2016, respectively.

#### Note 8. Fair Value Measurements

ASC 825, “Financial Instruments Available for Sale”, requires disclosure of the estimated fair value of an entity’s assets and liabilities considered to be financial instruments. For the Company, as for most financial institutions, the majority of its assets and liabilities are considered to be financial instruments. However, many of such instruments lack an available trading market as characterized by a willing buyer and willing seller engaging in an exchange transaction. Accordingly, estimated fair values are determined by the Company using the best available data and an estimation methodology it believes to be suitable for each category of financial instruments. Also, it is the Company’s general practice and intent to hold its financial instruments to maturity whether or not categorized as “available-for-sale” and not to engage in trading or sales activities, except for the sale of commercial loans to secondary markets. For fair value



disclosure

23

---

purposes, the Company utilized certain value measurement criteria required under the ASC 820, “Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures”, and discussed below.

Estimated fair values have been determined by the Company using the best available data and an estimation methodology it believes to be suitable for each category of financial instruments. Changes in the assumptions or methodologies used to estimate fair values may materially affect the estimated amounts. Also, there may not be reasonable comparability between institutions due to the wide range of permitted assumptions and methodologies in the absence of active markets. This lack of uniformity gives rise to a high degree of subjectivity in estimating financial instrument fair values

Cash and cash equivalents, which are comprised of cash and due from banks, the Company’s balance at the Federal Reserve Bank and securities purchased under agreements to resell, had recorded values of \$546.9 million and \$999.1 billion as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, which approximated fair values.

The estimated fair values of investment securities are based on quoted market prices, if available, or estimated using a methodology based on management’s inputs. The fair values of the Company’s investment securities held-to-maturity and loans held for sale are based on using “unobservable inputs” that are the best information available in the circumstances. Level 3 investment securities fair values are based on the present value of cash flows, which discounts expected cash flows from principal and interest using yield to maturity at the measurement date.

FHLB and Atlantic Central Bankers Bank stock is held as required by those respective institutions and is carried at cost. Federal law requires a member institution of the FHLB to hold stock according to predetermined formulas. Atlantic Central Bankers Bank requires its correspondent banking institutions to hold stock as a condition of membership.

Commercial loans held for sale have estimated fair values based upon market indications of the sales price of such loans from recent sales transactions.

The net loan portfolio at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 has been valued using the present value of discounted cash flow where market prices were not available. The discount rate used in these calculations is the estimated current market rate adjusted for credit risk. Accrued interest receivable has a carrying value that approximates fair value

On December 30, 2014, the Bank entered into an agreement for, and closed on, the sale of a portion of its discontinued commercial loan portfolio. The purchaser of the loan portfolio was a newly formed entity, 2014-1 LLC (Walnut Street). The price paid to the Bank for the loan portfolio which had a face value of approximately \$267.6 million, was approximately \$209.6 million, of which approximately \$193.6 million was in the form of two notes issued by Walnut Street to the Bank; a senior note in the principal amount of approximately \$178.2 million bearing interest at

1.5% per year and maturing in December 2024 and a subordinate note in the principal amount of approximately \$15.4 million, bearing interest at 10.0% per year and maturing in December 2024. The balance of these notes comprises the balance of the investment in unconsolidated entity. The fair value was established by the sales price and subsequently subjected to cash flow analysis. The change in value of investment in unconsolidated entity in the income statement includes interest paid and changes in estimated fair value.

Discontinued assets held for sale as of June 30, 2017 are held at the lower of cost basis or market value. For loans, market value was determined using the income approach which converts expected cash flows from the loan portfolio by unit of measurement to a present value estimate. Unit of measurement was determined by loan type and for significant loans on an individual loan basis. The fair values of the Company's loans classified as assets held for sale are based on "unobservable inputs" that are the best information available in the circumstances. Level 3 fair values are based on the present value of cash flows by unit of measurement. For commercial loans, a market adjusted rate to discount expected cash flows from outstanding principal and interest to expected maturity at the measurement date, was utilized. For other real estate owned, market value was based upon appraisals of the underlying collateral by third party appraisers, reduced by 7% to 10% for estimated selling costs.

The estimated fair values of demand deposits (comprising interest and non-interest bearing checking accounts, savings, and certain types of money market accounts) are equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (generally, their carrying amounts). The fair values of securities sold under agreements to repurchase and short term borrowings are equal to their carrying amounts as they are overnight borrowings.

Time deposits and subordinated debentures have a fair value estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies current interest rates to discount expected cash flows. Based upon time deposit maturities at June 30, 2017, the carrying values approximate their fair values. The carrying amount of accrued interest payable approximates its fair value.

The fair values of interest rate swaps are determined using models that use readily observable market inputs and a market standard methodology applied to the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity and interest rate indices.

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The fair value of commitments to extend credit is estimated based on the amount of unamortized deferred loan commitment fees. The fair value of letters of credit is based on the amount of unearned fees plus the estimated cost to terminate the letters of credit. Fair values of unrecognized financial instruments, including commitments to extend credit, and the fair value of letters of credit are considered immaterial.

The following tables provide information regarding carrying amounts and estimated fair values (in thousands):

	June 30, 2017		Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
	Carrying amount (in thousands)	Estimated fair value			
Investment securities available-for-sale	\$ 1,149,116	\$ 1,149,116	\$ -	\$ 1,149,116	\$ -
Investment securities held-to-maturity	93,419	92,161	-	85,937	6,224
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	65,076	65,076	65,076	-	-
Federal Home Loan and Atlantic Central Bankers Bank stock	6,211	6,211	-	-	6,211
Commercial loans held for sale	542,819	542,819	-	-	542,819
Loans, net of deferred loan fees and costs	1,370,263	1,369,677	-	-	1,369,677
Investment in unconsolidated entity, senior note	115,575	115,575	-	-	115,575
Investment in unconsolidated entity, subordinated note	5,287	5,287	-	-	5,287
Assets held for sale	336,246	336,246	-	-	336,246

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Demand and interest checking	3,437,482	3,437,482	3,437,482	-	-
Savings and money market	438,602	438,602	438,602	-	-
Subordinated debentures	13,401	9,558	-	-	9,558
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	273	273	273	-	-
Interest rate swaps, asset	702	702	-	702	-

25

---

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

	December 31, 2016		Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
	Carrying amount (in thousands)	Estimated fair value			
Investment securities available-for-sale	\$ 1,248,614	\$ 1,248,614	\$ -	\$ 1,248,614	\$ -
Investment securities held-to-maturity	93,467	91,799	-	85,760	6,039
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	39,199	39,199	39,199	-	-
Federal Home Loan and Atlantic Central Bankers Bank stock	1,613	1,613	-	-	1,613
Commercial loans held for sale	663,140	663,140	-	-	663,140
Loans, net of deferred loan fees and costs	1,222,911	1,219,625	-	-	1,219,625
Investment in unconsolidated entity, senior note	118,389	118,389	-	-	118,389
Investment in unconsolidated entity, subordinated note	8,541	8,541	-	-	8,541
Assets held for sale	360,711	360,711	-	-	360,711
Demand and interest checking	3,816,524	3,816,524	3,816,524	-	-
Savings and money market	421,780	421,780	421,780	-	-
Subordinated debentures	13,401	9,290	-	-	9,290
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	274	274	274	-	-
Interest rate swaps, asset	3,207	3,207	-	3,207	-

The assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, segregated by fair value hierarchy, are summarized below (in thousands):

	Fair value June 30, 2017	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
		Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Investment securities available for sale				
U.S. Government agency securities	\$ 24,740	\$ -	\$ 24,740	\$ -
Asset-backed securities	256,102	-	256,102	-
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	92,216	-	92,216	-
Residential mortgage-backed securities	351,159	-	351,159	-
Collateralized mortgage obligation securities	135,990	-	135,990	-
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	131,422	-	131,422	-
Foreign debt securities	56,493	-	56,493	-
Corporate debt securities	100,994	-	100,994	-
Total investment securities available for sale	1,149,116	-	1,149,116	-
Loans held for sale	542,819	-	-	542,819
Investment in unconsolidated entity, senior note	115,575	-	-	115,575
Investment in unconsolidated entity, subordinated note	5,287	-	-	5,287
Interest rate swaps, asset	702	-	702	-

\$ 1,813,499 \$ - \$ 1,149,818 \$

60

26

---



Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

	Fair value December 31, 2016	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
		Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Investment securities available for sale				
U.S. Government agency securities	\$ 27,702	\$ -	\$ 27,702	\$ -
Asset-backed securities	355,396	-	355,396	-
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	94,533	-	94,533	-
Residential mortgage-backed securities	342,569	-	342,569	-
Collateralized mortgage obligation securities	159,823	-	159,823	-
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	117,086	-	117,086	-
Foreign debt securities	56,497	-	56,497	-
Corporate debt securities	95,008	-	95,008	-
Total investment securities available for sale	1,248,614	-	1,248,614	-
Loans held for sale	663,140	-	-	663,140
Investment in unconsolidated entity, senior note	118,389	-	-	118,389
Investment in unconsolidated entity, subordinated note	8,541	-	-	8,541
Interest rate swaps, asset	3,207	-	3,207	-
	\$ 2,041,891	\$ -	\$ 1,251,821	\$ 790,070

In addition, ASC 820, “Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures”, establishes a common definition for fair value to be applied to assets and liabilities. It clarifies that fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. It also establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures concerning fair value measurements. ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). Level 1 valuation is based on quoted market prices for identical assets or liabilities to which the Company has access at the measurement date. Level 2 valuation is based on other observable inputs for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. This includes quoted prices for similar assets in active or inactive markets, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability such as yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, credit risks, default rates, or inputs that are derived principally from, or corroborated through, observable market data by market-corroborated reports. Level 3 valuation is based on “unobservable inputs” which the Company believes is the best information available in the circumstances. A financial instrument’s level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The changes in the Company’s Level 3 assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis, segregated by fair value hierarchy level, are summarized below (in thousands):

27

---

Fair Value Measurements Using  
Significant Unobservable Inputs  
(Level 3)

	Commercial loans held for sale	
	June 30, 2017	December 31, 2016
Beginning balance	\$ 663,140	\$ 489,938
Transfers into level 3	-	-
Transfers out of level 3	-	-
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized)		
Included in earnings	2,015	(3,078)
Included in other comprehensive income	-	-
Purchases, issuances, and settlements		
Purchases		
Issuances	246,172	528,584
Sales	(368,508)	(352,304)
Settlements	-	-
Ending balance	\$ 542,819	\$ 663,140

The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at the reporting date.

\$ 529	\$ (2,674)
--------	------------

Fair Value Measurements Using  
Significant Unobservable Inputs  
(Level 3)

	Investment in unconsolidated entity		Assets held for sale	
	June 30, 2017	December 31, 2016	June 30, 2017	December 31, 2016
Beginning balance	\$ 126,930	\$ 178,520	\$ 360,711	\$ 583,909
Transfers into level 3	-	-	-	-
Transfers out of level 3	-	-	-	-
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized)				
Included in earnings	(16)	(39,816)	-	(48,836)
Included in other comprehensive income	-	-	-	-
Purchases, issuances, and settlements				
Purchases	-	-	-	-

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Issuances	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sales	-	-	-	-	(63,712)	-
Settlements	(6,052)	(11,774)	(19,639)	(110,650)	-	-
Charge-offs	-	-	(4,826)	-	-	-
Ending balance	\$	120,862	\$	126,930	\$	336,246
						\$
						360,711

The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at the reporting date.

\$	(16)	\$	(39,816)	\$	-	\$	(48,836)
----	------	----	----------	----	---	----	----------

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, segregated by fair value hierarchy, during the periods shown are summarized below (in thousands):

Description (1)	Fair value June 30, 2017	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
		Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Impaired loans - collateral dependent	\$ 6,346	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,346
Other real estate owned	-	-	-	-
Intangible assets	5,515	-	-	5,515
	\$ 11,861	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,861

Description (1)	Fair value December 31, 2016	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
		Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Impaired loans - collateral dependent	\$ 4,838	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,838
Other real estate owned	104	-	-	104
Intangible assets	6,906	-	-	6,906
	\$ 11,848	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,848

(1) The method of valuation approach for the impaired loans was the market value approach based upon appraisals of the underlying collateral by external appraisers, reduced by 7 to 10% for estimated selling costs. Intangible assets are valued based upon internal analyses.

At June 30, 2017, impaired loans and troubled debt restructurings that are measured based on the value of underlying collateral have been presented at their fair value, less costs to sell, of \$6.3 million through specific reserves and other write downs of \$1.8 million or by recording charge-offs when the carrying value exceeds the fair value. Included in the impaired balance at June 30, 2017 were six troubled debt restructured loans with a balance of \$2.0 million which had specific reserves of \$705,000. Valuation techniques consistent with the market and/or cost approach were used to measure fair value and primarily included observable inputs for the individual impaired loans being evaluated such as recent sales of similar assets or observable market data for operational or carrying costs. In cases where such inputs were unobservable, the loan balance is reflected within the Level 3 hierarchy. The fair value of other real estate owned is based on an appraisal of the property using the market approach for valuation.

#### Note 9. Derivatives

The Company utilizes derivative instruments to assist in the management of interest rate sensitivity by modifying the repricing, maturity and option characteristics on commercial real estate loans held for sale. These instruments are not accounted for as hedges. As of June 30, 2017, the Company had entered into eleven interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$59.7 million. These swap agreements provide for the Company to receive an adjustable rate of interest based upon the three-month London Interbank Offering Rate (LIBOR). The Company recorded a loss of \$2.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017 to recognize the fair value of the derivative instruments which is reported in gain (loss) on sale of loans. The amount payable by the Company under these swap agreements was \$702,000 at June 30, 2017 which is reported in other liabilities. The Company had minimum collateral posting thresholds with certain of its derivative counterparties and had posted cash collateral of \$755,000 as of June 30, 2017.

The maturity dates, notional amounts, interest rates paid and received and fair value of the Company's remaining interest rate swap agreements as of June 30, 2017 are summarized below (dollars in thousands):

Maturity date	June 30, 2017			
	Notional amount	Interest rate paid	Interest rate received	Fair value
August 4, 2021	\$ 10,300	1.12%	1.17%	\$ 305
August 17, 2025	2,500	2.27%	1.18%	(18)
August 17, 2025	2,500	2.27%	1.18%	(18)
December 11, 2025	2,400	2.14%	1.23%	11
December 23, 2025	6,800	2.16%	1.29%	23
December 24, 2025	8,200	2.17%	1.30%	16
January 28, 2026	3,000	1.87%	1.17%	79
July 20, 2026	6,300	1.44%	1.16%	412
December 12, 2026	3,200	2.26%	1.23%	(3)
January 4, 2027	10,100	2.35%	1.30%	(85)
April 27, 2027	4,400	2.32%	1.17%	(20)
Total	\$ 59,700			\$ 702

#### Note 10. Other Identifiable Intangible Assets

On November 29, 2012, the Company acquired certain software rights for approximately \$1.8 million for use in managing prepaid cards in connection with an acquisition. The software is being amortized over eight years. Amortization expense is \$217,000 per year (\$664,000 over the remainder of the amortization period). The gross carrying amount of the software is \$1.8 million, and as of June 30, 2017, the accumulated amortization was \$1.2 million.

The Company accounts for its prepaid card customer list in accordance with ASC 350, "Intangibles-Goodwill and Other". The acquisition of the Stored Value Solutions division of Marshall Bank First in 2007 resulted in a customer list intangible of \$12.0 million which is being amortized over a 12 year period. Amortization expense is \$1.0 million per year (\$2.5 million over the remainder of the amortization period). The gross carrying amount of the customer list intangible is \$12.0 million, and as of June 30, 2017, the accumulated amortization was \$9.5 million. For both 2017 and 2016, amortization expense for the first six months was \$500,000.

In May 2016, the Company purchased approximately \$60 million of lease receivables which resulted in a customer list intangible of \$3.4 million which is being amortized over a 10 year period. For 2017, amortization expense for the first six months was \$164,000. Over the next five years, amortization will be approximately \$1.7 million. The gross

carrying amount of the customer list intangible is \$3.4 million, and as of June 30, 2017, the accumulated amortization was \$392,000.

#### Note 11. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers". This ASU establishes a comprehensive revenue recognition standard for virtually all industries utilizing U.S. GAAP, including those that previously followed industry-specific guidance such as the real estate and construction industries. The revenue standard's core principle is built on the contract between a vendor and a customer for the provision of goods and services. It attempts to depict the exchange of rights and obligations between the parties in the pattern of revenue recognition based on the consideration to which the vendor is entitled. To accomplish this, the standard requires five basic steps: (i) identify the contract with the customer, (ii) identify the performance obligations in the contract, (iii) identify the transaction price, (iv) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract, (v) recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies the performance obligation. Three basic transition methods are available - full retrospective, retrospective with certain practical expedients, and a cumulative effect approach. We plan to adopt the standard using the cumulative effect approach. Under the cumulative effect alternative, an entity would apply the new revenue standard only to contracts that are incomplete under legacy U.S. GAAP at the date of initial application and recognize the cumulative effect of the new standard as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings. The guidance in this ASU is effective for annual periods and interim reporting periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2017. Since the standard does not apply to revenue from loans, securities and other financial instruments, based on our evaluation to date, we do not expect the adoption of this standard to have a significant impact on our consolidated results of operations or our consolidated financial position. We are still evaluating the presentation of certain in-scope revenue on the income statement related to our payments business. We have a commenced contract review for those in-scope revenue streams.



In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-14, “Receivables - Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40): Classification of Certain Government-Guaranteed Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure”. The guidance in this ASU affects creditors that hold government-guaranteed mortgage loans, including those guaranteed by the Federal Home Administration (FHA) and the Veterans Administration (VA). It requires that a mortgage loan be derecognized and a separate other receivable be recognized upon foreclosure if the following conditions are met:

1. The loan has a government guarantee that is not separable from the loan before foreclosure.
2. At the time of foreclosure, the creditor has the intent to convey the real estate property to the guarantor and make a claim on the guarantee, and the creditor has the ability to recover under the claim.
3. At the time of foreclosure, any amount of the claim that is determined on the basis of the fair value of the real estate is fixed.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-11, “Financial Instruments-Overall (Subtopic 825-10) Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities”. This ASU revises an entity’s accounting related to the classification and measurement of investments in equity securities and the presentation of certain fair value changes for financial liabilities measured at fair value. It also amends certain disclosure requirements associated with the fair value of financial instruments. For public business entities, the amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently assessing the impact that the adoption of this standard will have on the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, “Leases”. The FASB issued this ASU to increase transparency and comparability among organizations by recognizing lease assets and lease liabilities on the balance sheet by lessees for those leases classified as operating leases under current U.S. GAAP and disclosing key information about leasing arrangements. The amendments in this ASU are effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2018. Early application of this ASU is permitted for all entities. The Company is currently assessing the impact that the adoption of this standard will have on the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, “Compensation-Stock Compensation: Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting”. This ASU simplifies several areas of accounting for share based payment award transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and the classification on the statement of cash flows. For public companies, this is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and the interim periods within those annual periods. The Company adopted the guidance in the first quarter of 2017, and the adoption did not have a material impact on first quarter results.

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13, “Financial Instruments – Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments-Update”. The Update changes the accounting for credit losses on loans and debt securities. For loans and held-to-maturity debt securities, the Update requires an expected credit loss model to determine the allowance for credit losses. The expected credit loss model estimates losses for the estimated life of the

financial asset. Expected credit losses reflect losses over the remaining contractual life of an asset, considering the effect of voluntary prepayments and considering available information about the collectability of cash flows, including information about past events, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable forecasts. The resulting allowance for credit losses reflects the portion of the amortized cost basis that the entity does not expect to collect. Additional quantitative and qualitative disclosures are required upon adoption. In addition, the Update modifies the other-than-temporary impairment model for available-for-sale debt securities to require an allowance for credit impairment instead of a direct write-down, which allows for reversal of credit impairments in future periods. The guidance is effective in the first quarter of 2020 with a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the year of adoption. Early adoption is permitted beginning in the first quarter of 2019. The Company is evaluating the impact the Update will have on the consolidated financial statements.

#### Note 12. Regulatory Matters

It is the policy of the Federal Reserve that financial holding companies should pay cash dividends on common stock only out of income available over the past year and only if prospective earnings retention is consistent with the organization's expected future needs and financial condition. The policy provides that a financial holding company should not maintain a level of cash dividends that undermines the financial holding company's ability to serve as a source of strength to its banking subsidiaries.

Various federal and state statutory provisions limit the amount of dividends that subsidiary banks can pay to their holding companies without regulatory approval. Under Delaware banking law, the Bank's directors may declare dividends on common or preferred stock of so much of its net profits as they judge expedient, but the Bank must, before the declaration of a dividend on common stock from net profits, carry 50% of its net profits from the preceding period for which the dividend is paid to its surplus fund until its surplus fund

amounts to 50% of its capital stock and thereafter must carry 25% of its net profits for the preceding period for which the dividend is paid to its surplus fund until its surplus fund amounts to 100% of its capital stock.

In addition to these explicit limitations, federal and state regulatory agencies are authorized to prohibit a banking subsidiary or financial holding company from engaging in an unsafe or unsound practice. Depending upon the circumstances, the agencies could take the position that paying a dividend would constitute an unsafe or unsound banking practice. In August 2015, the Bank entered into an Amendment to a 2014 Consent Order with the FDIC pursuant to which the Bank may not pay dividends without prior FDIC approval. On May 11, 2015, the Company had received a Supervisory Letter pursuant to which the Company may not pay dividends without prior Federal Reserve approval. The Federal Reserve approved the payment of the interest on the Company's trust preferred securities due June 15, 2017. Future payments are subject to future approval by the Federal Reserve.

The Company and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possible additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of their assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classification of the Company and the Bank are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

#### Note 13. Legal

On July 17, 2014, a class action securities complaint captioned *Fletcher v. The Bancorp Inc., et al.*, was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. A consolidated version of that class action complaint was filed before the same court on January 23, 2015 on behalf of Lead Plaintiffs Arkansas Public Employees Retirement System and Arkansas Teacher Retirement System under the caption of *In Re The Bancorp, Inc. Securities Litigation*, Case No. 14-cv-0952 (SLR). On October 26, 2015, Lead Plaintiffs filed an amended consolidated complaint against Bancorp, Betsy Z. Cohen, Paul Frenkiel, Frank M. Mastrangelo and Jeremy Kuiper, which alleges that during a class period beginning January 26, 2011 through June 26, 2015, the defendants made materially false and/or misleading statements and/or failed to disclose that (i) Bancorp had wrongfully extended and modified problem loans and under-reserved for loan losses due to adverse loans, (ii) Bancorp's operations and credit practices were in violation of the Bank Secrecy Act (BSA), and (iii) as a result, Bancorp's financial statements, press releases and public statements were materially false and misleading during the relevant period. The amended consolidated complaint further alleged that, as a result, the price of Bancorp's common stock was artificially inflated and fell once the defendants' misstatements and omissions were revealed, causing damage to the plaintiffs and the other members of the class. The complaint asked for an unspecified amount of damages, prejudgment and post-judgment interest and attorneys' fees. On July 27, 2016, we and all other individually-named defendants entered into a Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement (Settlement Agreement) with respect to the consolidated class action. Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, we agreed to pay \$17.5 million to the plaintiffs as full and complete settlement of the litigation. All amounts paid by us were fully funded by the Company's insurance carriers. All terms of the Settlement Agreement were approved by the Court on December 15, 2016.

The Company received a subpoena from the SEC, dated March 22, 2016, relating to an investigation by the SEC of the Company's restatement of its financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2013 and the interim periods ended March 31, 2014, June 30, 2014 and September 30, 2014, which restatement was filed with the SEC on September 28, 2015, and the facts and circumstances underlying the restatement. The Company is cooperating fully with the SEC's investigation. The costs to respond to the subpoena and cooperate with the SEC's investigation have been material, and we expect such costs to continue to be material at least through the completion of the SEC's investigation.

On June 30, 2016, the Company received written notice from the Internal Revenue Service that it will be conducting an audit of the Company's tax returns for the tax years 2012, 2013 and 2014. The audit is in process.

The Company received a letter, dated August 1, 2016, demanding inspection of its books and records pursuant to Section 220 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, or DCGL, from legal counsel representing a shareholder (the "Demand Letter"). The Company, through outside legal counsel, responded to the Demand Letter by permitting the shareholder to inspect certain of the Company's books and records and by objecting to other requests. On January 30, 2017, the shareholder filed a complaint in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware seeking an order from the court, pursuant to Section 220 of the DGCL, compelling the Company to permit the shareholder to inspect additional books and records of the Company. The Company believes that its original response to the Demand Letter was appropriate in all respects and continues to defend against the complaint. On July 27, 2017, the Court of Chancery ruled in favor of the Company and granted an Order of Final Judgment Denying Plaintiff's Demand To Inspect The Books And Records of Defendant. The court's Order is subject to appeal rights. Both the Demand Letter and the complaint threaten the commencement of a shareholder's derivative suit against certain officers and directors of the Company seeking damages and other remedies on behalf of the Company. We have been advised by our counsel in the matter that reasonably possible losses cannot be estimated, but we continue to believe the claim is without merit.

In addition, we are a party to various routine legal proceedings arising out of the ordinary course of our business. Management believes that none of these actions, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or operations.

#### Note 14. Segment Financials

The Company performed a strategic evaluation of its businesses in the third quarter of 2014. As a result of the evaluation, the Company decided to discontinue its commercial lending operations, as described in Note 15, Discontinued Operations. The shift from a traditional bank balance sheet led the Company to evaluate its continuing operations. Based on the continuing operations of the Company, it was determined that there would be four segments of the business: specialty finance, payments, corporate and discontinued operations. Specialty finance includes commercial loan sales, SBA loans, leasing and SBLOCs and any deposits generated by those business lines. Payments include prepaid cards, merchant payments and healthcare accounts. Corporate includes the investment portfolio, corporate overhead and other non-allocated expenses. Investment income is allocated to the payments segment. These operating segments reflect the way the Company views its current operations.

	For the three months ended June 30, 2017					
	Specialty finance	Payments	Corporate	Discontinued operations	Total	
	(in thousands)					
Interest income	\$ 20,297	\$ -	\$ 10,516	\$ -	\$ 30,813	
Interest allocation	-	10,516	(10,516)	-	-	
Interest expense	814	2,494	290	-	3,598	
Net interest income	19,483	8,022	(290)	-	27,215	
Provision for loan and lease losses	350	-	-	-	350	
Non-interest income	3,232	14,352	589	-	18,173	
Non-interest expense	13,696	18,714	4,953	-	37,363	
Income (loss) from continuing operations before taxes	8,669	3,660	(4,654)	-	7,675	
Income tax benefit	-	-	(9,923)	-	(9,923)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations	8,669	3,660	5,269	-	17,598	
Income from discontinued operations	-	-	-	1,266	1,266	
Net income (loss)	\$ 8,669	\$ 3,660	\$ 5,269	\$ 1,266	\$ 18,864	

For the three months ended June 30, 2016

	Specialty finance (in thousands)	Payments		Corporate	Discontinued operations	Total
Interest income	\$ 15,347	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8,597	\$ -	\$ 23,944
Interest allocation	-	8,597	(8,597)	-	-	-
Interest expense	716	1,932	406	-	-	3,054
Net interest income	14,631	6,665	(406)	-	-	20,890
Provision for loan and lease losses	1,060	-	-	-	-	1,060
Non-interest income	(11,287)	* 16,716	4,111	-	-	9,540
Non-interest expense	16,821	36,394	3,921	-	-	57,136
Income (loss) from continuing operations before taxes	(14,537)	(13,013)	(216)	-	-	(27,766)
Income tax benefit	-	-	(10,004)	-	-	(10,004)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(14,537)	(13,013)	9,788	-	-	(17,762)
Income from discontinued operations	-	-	-	-	(13,598)	(13,598)
Net income (loss)	\$ (14,537)	\$ (13,013)	\$ 9,788	\$ (13,598)	\$ (13,598)	\$ (31,360)

\* Reflects writedown  
of investment in  
unconsolidated entity

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

For the six months ended June 30, 2017

	Specialty finance (in thousands)	Payments		Corporate	Discontinued operations	Total
Interest income	\$ 38,230	\$ -		\$ 21,032	\$ -	\$ 59,262
Interest allocation	-	21,032		(21,032)	-	-
Interest expense	1,780	4,858		532	-	7,170
Net interest income	36,450	16,174		(532)	-	52,092
Provision	1,350	-		-	-	1,350
Non-interest income	10,672	30,987		733	-	42,392
Non-interest expense	27,407	38,445		9,294	-	75,146
Income (loss) from continuing operations before taxes	18,365	8,716		(9,093)	-	17,988
Income tax benefit	-	-		(5,912)	-	(5,912)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	18,365	8,716		(3,181)	-	23,900
Income from discontinued operations	-	-		-	2,927	2,927
Net income (loss)	\$ 18,365	\$ 8,716		\$ (3,181)	\$ 2,927	\$ 26,827

For the six months ended June 30, 2016

	Specialty finance (in thousands)	Payments		Corporate	Discontinued operations	Total
Interest income	\$ 31,198	\$ 1		\$ 16,396	\$ -	\$ 47,595
Interest allocation	-	16,396		(16,396)	-	-
Interest expense	1,400	3,804		945	-	6,149
Net interest income	29,798	12,593		(945)	-	41,446
Provision	1,060	-		-	-	1,060
Non-interest income	(11,005)	* 33,066		6,167	-	28,228
Non-interest expense	31,476	72,295		8,503	-	112,274
Income (loss) from continuing operations before taxes	(13,743)	(26,636)		(3,281)	-	(43,660)
Income tax benefit	-	-		(15,276)	-	(15,276)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(13,743)	(26,636)		11,995	-	(28,384)
Income from discontinued operations	-	-		-	(13,888)	(13,888)

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net income (loss)	\$	(13,743)	\$	(26,636)	\$	11,995	\$	(13,888)	\$	(42,272)
* Reflects writedown of investment in unconsolidated entity										

	June 30, 2017					
	Specialty finance (in thousands)	Payments	Corporate	Discontinued operations	Total	
Total assets	\$ 1,866,805	\$ 36,019	\$ 2,064,947	\$ 336,246	\$ 4,304,017	
Total liabilities	\$ 642,629	\$ 3,010,002	\$ 320,367	\$ -	\$ 3,972,998	



	December 31, 2016					
	Specialty finance (in thousands)	Payments	Corporate	Discontinued operations		Total
Total assets	\$ 2,019,180	\$ 27,935	\$ 2,450,288	\$ 360,711		\$ 4,858,114
Total liabilities	\$ 596,574	\$ 3,401,142	\$ 561,435	\$ -		\$ 4,559,151

Note 15. Discontinued Operations

The Company performed a strategic evaluation of its businesses in the third quarter of 2014 and decided to discontinue its commercial lending operations to focus on its specialty finance lending. The loans which constitute the commercial loan portfolio are in the process of disposition. As such, financial results of the commercial lending operations are presented as separate from continuing operations on the consolidated statements of operations and assets of the commercial lending operations to be disposed are presented as assets held for sale on the consolidated balance sheets.

The following table presents financial results of the commercial lending business included in net income (loss) from discontinued operations for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016.

	For the three months ended June 30, 2017		For the three months ended June 30, 2016		For the six months ended June 30, 2017		For the six months ended June 30, 2016	
	(in thousands)							
Interest income	\$	3,135	\$	5,327	\$	6,496	\$	11,146
Interest expense	-		-		-		-	
Provision for loan and lease losses	-		-		-		-	
Net interest income after provision	3,135		5,327		6,496		11,146	
	346		51		452		103	

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Non interest income					
Non interest expense	1,489	21,592	2,289	27,832	
Income (loss) before taxes	1,992	(16,214)	4,659	(16,583)	
Income tax (benefit) provision	726	(2,616)	1,732	(2,695)	
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,266	\$ (13,598)	\$ 2,927	\$ (13,888)	

	June 30, 2017 (in thousands)	December 31, 2016
Loans, net	\$ 303,237	\$ 340,396
Other real estate owned	33,009	20,315
Total assets	\$ 336,246	\$ 360,711

The Company utilizes lower of cost or market valuations for discontinued operations loans which are updated based on internal loan officers' information, third party consultant information, internal loan review analysis and third party review of impairments. Based on that review, weighted average fair values were applied to the loans not specifically reviewed. The results of discontinued operations do not include any future severance payments. Of the approximately \$1.1 billion in book value of loans in that portfolio as of the September 30, 2014 date of discontinuance of operations, \$336.2 million of loans and other real estate owned remain in assets held for sale on the balance sheet as a result of loan sales, principal paydowns and fair value charges. The Company is attempting to sell those remaining loans. Additionally, the balance sheet reflects \$120.9 million in investment in unconsolidated entity, which is comprised of notes owned by the Company as a result of the sale of certain discontinued loans to Walnut Street, see Note 8, Fair Value Measurements.

Various elements of the lower of cost or market valuation are as follows:

Measured on a recurring basis	Valuation techniques	Significant unobservable inputs	Range
Large balance commercial loans	Discounted cash flows	Discount rate	4.22% - 9.57%
Small balance commercial loans	Discounted cash flows	Discount rate	4.15% - 8.57%

#### Note 16. Subsequent Events

The Company evaluated its June 30, 2017 financial statements for subsequent events through the date the financial statements were issued. The Company is not aware of any subsequent events which would require recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, not otherwise disclosed herein.

Part I - Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

When used in this Form 10-Q, the words "believes", "anticipates", "expects" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties more particularly described in Item 1A, under the caption "Risk Factors," in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 and in other of our public filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. These risks and uncertainties could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in this Form 10-Q. We caution readers not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. We undertake no obligation to publicly revise or update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report except as required by applicable law.

In the following discussion we provide information about our results of operations, financial condition, liquidity and asset quality. We intend that this information facilitate your understanding and assessment of significant changes and trends related to our financial condition and results of operations. You should read this section in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Overview

We are a Delaware financial holding company and our primary subsidiary, wholly owned, is The Bancorp Bank, which we refer to as the Bank. The vast majority of our revenue and income is currently generated through the Bank. In our continuing lending operations, we have four primary lines of specialty lending: securities-backed lines of credit, or SBLOC, automobile fleet and other equipment leasing, Small Business Administration, or SBA, loans and loans generated for sale into capital markets primarily through commercial loan securitizations, or CMBS. SBLOCs are loans which are generated through institutional banking affinity groups and are collateralized by marketable securities. SBLOCs are typically offered in conjunction with brokerage accounts and are offered nationally. Automobile fleet and other equipment leases are generated in a number of Atlantic Coast and other states. SBA loans and loans generated for sale into CMBS capital markets are made nationally.

In our banking operations, we focus on providing our services on a national basis to organizations with a pre-existing customer base who can use one or more selected banking services tailored to support or complement the services provided by these organizations to their customers. These services include private label banking; credit and debit card processing for merchants affiliated with independent service organizations; and prepaid cards, also known as stored value cards, for insurers, incentive plans, large retail chains and consumer service organizations. We typically provide these services under the name and through the facilities of each organization with whom we develop a relationship. We refer to this, generally, as affinity group banking. Our private label banking, merchant processing and prepaid card programs are a source of fee income and low-cost deposits.

In the third quarter of 2014, we decided to discontinue our Philadelphia-based commercial lending operations. The loans which constitute that portfolio are in the process of disposition. This represents a strategic shift to a focus on our national specialty lending programs including small fleet leasing, SBLOC, CMBS origination and SBA lending. We anticipate using the proceeds from disposition to acquire investment securities and to provide liquidity to fund growth in our continuing specialty lending lines. Yields we obtain from reinvestment of the proceeds will be subject to economic and other conditions at the time of reinvestment, including market interest rates, many of which will be beyond our control. We cannot predict whether income resulting from the reinvestment of loans we hold for sale resulting from discontinued operations will match or exceed the amount from the sold loans. Of the approximate \$1.1 billion in book value of loans in that commercial and residential portfolio as of the September 30, 2014 date of discontinuance of operations, \$336.1 million of loans and other real estate owned remain in assets held for sale on the balance sheet, which reflects the impact of related sales, paydowns and fair value charges. Additionally, the balance sheet reflects \$120.9 million in investment in unconsolidated entity, which is comprised of notes owned by the Company as a result of the sale of certain discontinued loans.

The results of the first six months of 2017 reflected a return to profitability, consistent with our business plan and budget. Net income for that period was \$26.8 million which reflected an approximate \$12 million tax benefit, consisting primarily of deferred tax valuation reversals. Continuing growth in net interest income resulted from loan growth including leasing balances which grew 18% year over year. Yields continue to exceed 6% on that portfolio and that growth also supports a higher net interest margin. In addition to the impact of loan growth, Federal Reserve rate increases also resulted in higher interest income, while interest expense increased to a lesser extent. The Bank's largest funding source, prepaid card deposits, contractually adjust to only a fraction of increases in market rates. Expense reductions also contributed to the first six months of 2017 earnings, and non-interest expense was \$9.4 million less than the first six months of 2016, excluding Bank Secrecy Act lookback expenses. Additional expense reductions are in progress and may impact the remainder of 2017; however, timing of such expense reductions is difficult to project. Prepaid card fees are the largest driver of non-interest income and were comparable to the first six months of 2016. The slight decrease reflected the exit of a client which changed ownership and the termination of several programs whose sponsors decided to exit prepaid cards. Those volumes were partially offset by organic growth in other programs.

## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our accounting and reporting policies conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and general practices within the financial services industry. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. We believe that the determination of our allowance for loan and lease losses, our determination of the fair value of financial instruments and the level in which an instrument is placed within the valuation hierarchy, our determination of other than temporary impairment, and income taxes involve a higher degree of judgment and complexity than our other significant accounting policies.

We determine our allowance for loan and lease losses with the objective of maintaining a reserve level we believe to be sufficient to absorb our estimated probable credit losses. We base our determination of the adequacy of the allowance on periodic evaluations of our loan portfolio and other relevant factors. However, this evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates, including, among others, expected default probabilities, the amount of loss we may incur on a defaulted loan, expected commitment usage, the amounts and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, value of collateral, estimated losses on consumer loans and residential mortgages, and general amounts for historical loss experience. We also evaluate economic conditions and uncertainties in estimating losses and inherent risks in our loan portfolio. To the extent actual outcomes differ from our estimates, we may need additional provisions for loan losses. Any such additional provisions for loan losses will be a direct charge to our earnings. See "Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses".

The fair value of a financial instrument is defined as the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. We estimate the fair value of a financial instrument using a variety of valuation methods. Where financial instruments are actively traded and have quoted market prices, quoted market prices are used for fair value. When the financial instruments are not actively traded, other observable market inputs, such as quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, may be used, if available, to determine fair value. When observable market prices do not exist, we estimate fair value. Our valuation methods and inputs consider factors such as types of underlying assets or liabilities, rates of estimated credit losses, interest rate or discount rate and collateral. Our best estimate of fair value involves assumptions including, but not limited to, various performance indicators, such as historical and projected default and recovery rates, credit ratings, current delinquency rates, loan-to-value ratios and the possibility of obligor refinancing.

At the end of each quarter, we assess the valuation hierarchy for each asset or liability measured. From time to time, assets or liabilities may be transferred within hierarchy levels due to changes in availability of observable market inputs to measure fair value at the measurement date. Transfers into or out of hierarchy levels are based upon the fair value at the beginning of the reporting period.

We periodically review our investment portfolio to determine whether unrealized losses on securities are temporary, based on evaluations of the creditworthiness of the issuers or guarantors, and underlying collateral, as applicable. In addition, we consider the continuing performance of the securities. We recognize credit losses through the income statement. If management believes market value losses are temporary and that we have the ability and intention to hold those securities to maturity, we recognize the reduction in other comprehensive income, through equity.

We account for income taxes under the liability method whereby we determine deferred tax assets and liabilities based on the difference between the carrying values on our financial statements and the tax basis of assets and liabilities as measured by the enacted tax rates which will be in effect when these differences reverse. Deferred tax expense (benefit) is the result of changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities. We currently use the tax expenses as calculated on year-to-date numbers, since small changes in annual estimates would have a significant change in the annual effective rate.

#### Financial Statement Restatement; Regulatory Actions

We have adjusted our financial statement presentation for items related to discontinued operations. Separately, we have restated our financial statements for periods from 2010 through September 30, 2014, the last date through which financial statements previously had been filed prior to our 2015 filing of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014. The restatement reflected the recognition of provisions for loan losses and loan charge-offs for discontinued operations in periods earlier than those in which those charges were initially recognized. The majority of these loan charges were originally recognized in 2014, primarily in the third quarter, when commercial lending operations were discontinued. An additional \$28.5 million of discontinued operations losses that were not previously reported were included within these periods. Also, \$12.7 million of losses incurred in 2015 related to loans that were resolved before the issuance date of our financial statements and were reflected in our 2014 financial statements. Substantially all of the losses and corresponding restatement adjustments resulted from the discontinued commercial loan operations.

The Bank has entered into a Stipulation and Consent to the Issuance of a Consent Order, or the 2014 Consent Order, with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or FDIC, which became effective on June 5, 2014. The Bank took this action without admitting or

denying any charges of unsafe or unsound banking practices or violations of law or regulation relating to the Bank's BSA compliance program.

The 2014 Consent Order requires the Bank to take certain affirmative actions to comply with its BSA obligations, among them: appoint a qualified BSA/OFAC (Office of Foreign Assets Control) officer; revise the written BSA Compliance Program; develop and implement additional policies and procedures for suspicious activity monitoring and reporting; review and enhance customer due diligence and risk assessment processes; review past account activity to determine whether suspicious activity was properly identified and reported; strengthen internal controls, including augmenting oversight by the Bank's Board of Directors of BSA activities; establish an independent testing program; and develop policies and procedures to govern staffing and training for BSA compliance.

To date, the Bank has implemented multiple upgrades that address the requirements of the 2014 Consent Order, such as appointing a qualified BSA/OFAC officer, increasing oversight and staffing of the BSA compliance function, improving practices and procedures to monitor and report transactions, and increasing training, as well as adopting an independent testing program to ensure adherence to more effective BSA standards.

Until the Bank submits to the FDIC (and the FDIC approves) a BSA report summarizing the completion of its corrective actions, the 2014 Consent Order places some restrictions on certain activities: the Bank is restricted from signing and boarding new independent sales organizations, issuing new non-benefit related reloadable prepaid card programs, establishing new distribution channels for existing non-benefit reloadable prepaid card programs and originating Automated Clearing House transactions for new merchant-related payments. Until we receive the FDIC's approval, restrictions in these specific areas may potentially impact their growth. We do not believe that these restrictions will have a material impact on current revenue levels. The Bank utilized one primary consultant related to its BSA-AML (Anti-Money Laundering) program refinement and one primary consultant related to conducting a lookback review of historical transactions to confirm that suspicious activity was properly identified and reported in accordance with applicable law. The consultant assisting with BSA-AML program refinement completed its work in 2014. The consultant performing the BSA lookback completed its work in July 2016 and no additional related fees are expected to be incurred. Suspicious activity reports resulting from the lookback have been filed.

On August 27, 2015, the Bank entered into an Amendment to Consent Order, or the Amendment, with the FDIC, amending the 2014 Consent Order. The Bank took this action without admitting or denying any additional charges of unsafe or unsound banking practices or violations of law or regulation relating to continued weaknesses in the Bank's BSA compliance program. The Amendment provides that the Bank may not declare or pay any dividend without the prior written consent of the FDIC and for certain assurances regarding management.

On May 11, 2015, the Federal Reserve issued a letter, or the Supervisory Letter, to the Bank as a result of the 2014 Consent Order and the Amendment, (which, at the time of the Supervisory Letter, was in proposed form), which provides that we may not pay any dividends on our common stock, make any distributions to our European entities or make any interest payments on our trust preferred securities, without the prior written approval of the Federal Reserve. It further provides that we may not incur any debt (excluding payables in the ordinary course of business) or redeem any shares of our stock, without the prior written approval of the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve



approved the payment of the interest on our trust preferred securities which was due June 15, 2016. Future payments are subject to future approval by the Federal Reserve.

On December 23, 2015, the Bank entered into a Stipulation and Consent to the Issuance of an Amended Consent Order, Order for Restitution, and Order to Pay Civil Money Penalty with the FDIC, which we refer to as the 2015 Consent Order. The Bank took this action without admitting or denying any charges of violations of law or regulation. The 2015 Consent Order supercedes in its entirety the terms of a previous consent order entered into in 2012.

The 2015 Consent Order was based on FDIC allegations regarding electronic fund transfer, or EFT, error resolution practices, account termination practices and fee practices of various third parties with whom the Bank had previously provided, or currently provides, deposit-related products which we refer to as Third Parties. The specific operational practices of the third parties identified by the FDIC were the following: practices related to the termination of a third-party rewards program tied to deposit accounts, including the timing of the notice of termination, and the disclosure of the effects of such termination on the consumer's ability to obtain unredeemed rewards; practices performed by third parties related to the time frames within which we must respond to a consumer's notice of error related to electronic transactions related to various types of deposit accounts; and, practices related to the timing and frequency of disclosed account fees and the manner by which the accountholder is notified of these fees in periodic statements which are generated by third parties. The 2015 Consent Order continues the Bank's obligations originally set forth in the 2012 Consent Order, including its obligations to increase board oversight of the Bank's compliance management system, or CMS, improve the Bank's CMS, enhance its internal audit program, increase its management and oversight of Third Parties, and correct any apparent violations of law. The 2015 Consent Order also directs the Bank's Board to establish a Complaint and Error Claim Oversight and Review Committee, which we refer to as the Complaint and Error Claim Committee, to review and oversee the Bank's processes and practices for handling, monitoring and resolving consumer complaints and EFT error claims (whether received directly or through Third Parties) and to review management's plans for correcting any weaknesses that may be found in such processes and practices; and implement a corrective action

plan regarding those prepaid cardholders who asserted or attempted to assert EFT error claims and to provide restitution to cardholders harmed by EFT error resolution practices. The Bank's Board of Directors appointed the required Complaint and Error Claim Committee on January 29, 2016. The Bank corrective action plan is in process.

We received a subpoena from the SEC, dated March 22, 2016, relating to an investigation by the SEC of the restatement of our financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2013 and the interim periods ended March 31, 2014, June 30, 2014 and September 30, 2014, which restatement was filed with the SEC on September 28, 2015, and the facts and circumstances underlying the restatement. We are cooperating fully with the SEC's investigation. The costs to respond to the subpoena and cooperate with the SEC's investigation could be material.

On October 5, 2016, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) released its final Prepaid Card Rule (Final Prepaid Rule), which it first proposed by publication on December 23, 2014. The general effective date of the Final Prepaid Rule was October 1, 2017, but applicability of certain requirements of the Final Prepaid Rule are delayed until October 1, 2018. However, on April 20, 2017 the CFPB released a final rule delaying the general effective date of the Final Prepaid Rule until April 1, 2018. The Final Prepaid Rule regulates certain prepaid products, including physical cards as well as codes and other access devices. The Final Prepaid Rule did not materially deviate from the terms of the proposed rule that we have disclosed in previous filing. The Final Prepaid Rule among other things, causes prepaid products to be fully-covered by Regulation E, which implements the Electronic Fund Transfer Act, and to be covered by Regulation Z, which implements the Truth in Lending Act, to the extent the prepaid product accesses a "credit" feature

The Final Prepaid Rule and related commentary is over 1,600 pages in length and provides significant discussion, materials and commentary that we are currently assessing. The Final Prepaid Rule includes a significant number of changes to the regulatory framework for prepaid products, some of which include: (a) establishing a definition of "prepaid account" within Regulation E that includes reloadable and non-reloadable physical cards, as well as codes or other devices, and focuses on how the product is issued and used; (b) modifying Regulation E to require that short form and long form disclosures be provided to a consumer prior to a consumer agreeing to acquire a prepaid account with certain exceptions and with specified forms that, if used, would provide a safe harbor for financial institutions; (c) extending to prepaid accounts the periodic transaction history and statement requirements of Regulation E, with certain specified permissible alternatives to the provision of periodic statements; (d) extending the error resolution and limited liability provisions of Regulation E to prepaid cards, with some modifications specific to prepaid cards; (e) requiring financial institutions to provide prepaid account agreements to the CFPB and to either post them to the issuer's website or provide them upon request of the consumer in specified manner and timeframes; (f) extending Regulation Z's credit card rules and disclosure requirements to prepaid accounts that provide overdraft protection and other credit features and incorporating into Regulation Z a new definition of "hybrid prepaid-credit card"; (g) requiring an issuer to obtain a prepaid account holder's consent prior to adding overdraft services or other credit features and prohibiting the issuer from adding overdraft services or other credit features for at least 30 calendar days after a consumer registers the prepaid account; and (h) prohibiting the application of different terms and conditions, such as charging different fees, to a prepaid account depending on whether the consumer elects to link the prepaid account to overdraft services or other credit features.

The Final Prepaid Rule represents a material change in the rules and regulations governing prepaid cards. We rely on prepaid cards as the largest single component of our deposits and the largest single component of our non-interest income. We are continuing to evaluate the Prepaid Card Rule and the impact it may have on our business and our results of operations. We are in the process of evaluating and building implementation plans for the Prepaid Card Rule and, as such, we cannot reasonably quantify the financial impact, if any, that implementation of the Prepaid Card Rule may have on the Bank's business, financial condition, or results of operations.

On July 10, 2017 the CFPB issued a final rule to regulate arbitration agreements in contracts for specified consumer financial product and services (Arbitration Rule). The Arbitration Rule rule prohibits covered providers of certain consumer financial products and services from using an agreement with a consumer that provides for arbitration of any future dispute between the parties to bar the consumer from filing or participating in a class action concerning the covered consumer financial product or service. The Arbitration Rule also requires covered providers that are involved in an arbitration pursuant to a pre-dispute arbitration agreement to submit specified arbitral records to the CFPB together with specified court records. The Arbitration Rule becomes effective on March 19, 2018. We are in the process of evaluating the impact of the Arbitration Rule on our consumer agreements and our policies and procedures. As such, we cannot reasonably quantify the financial impact, if any, that the Arbitration Rule may have on the Bank's business, financial condition, or results of operations.

## Results of Operations

## Second quarter 2017 to second quarter 2016

**Net Income:** Net income from continuing operations for the second quarter of 2017 was \$17.6 million, or \$0.32 per diluted share, compared to net loss of \$17.8 million, or \$0.47 per diluted share for the second quarter of 2016. After discontinued operations, net income for the second quarter of 2017 was \$18.9 million compared to a net loss of \$31.4 million for the second quarter of 2016. Net interest income for the second quarter of 2017 compared to the second quarter of 2016 increased to \$27.2 million from \$20.9 million primarily as a result of higher loan balances and higher yields, reflecting the Federal Reserve's rate increases. The provision for loan and lease losses decreased \$710,000 to \$350,000 in the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$1.1 million in the second quarter of 2016. Non-interest income (excluding security gains and losses) increased \$8.2 million, which reflected a \$13.9 million decrease in the value of investment in unconsolidated entity in the second quarter of 2016. In second quarter 2017, a \$2.5 million gain on the sale of our health savings accounts was more than offset by a loss of \$3.4 million on the sale of our European prepaid operations. A \$3.8 million decrease in other income resulted primarily from a gain on the sale of Visa Europe to Visa U.S.A., in which members of Visa Europe shared in the sales proceeds, which occurred in the second quarter of 2016. Non-interest expense in the second quarter of 2017 compared to second quarter 2016, reflected a \$13.4 million decrease in BSA lookback consulting expense and a decrease of \$6.3 million of other non-interest expense. The \$6.3 million decrease in other non-interest expenses (excluding BSA lookback) was primarily driven by a \$3.2 million decrease in salaries and employee benefits and a \$1.1 million decrease in data processing expense. At the end of the third quarter of 2016, we eliminated approximately 20% of the Bank's staff positions which resulted in the reduced salary expenses. Lower data processing reflected the impact of a renegotiated data processing contract and the phase out of an affinity program. In the second quarter of 2017, we recognized approximately \$12 million of tax benefits primarily resulting from the reversal of deferred tax valuation allowances. Diluted earnings per share was \$0.34 in the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$0.83 loss per share in the second quarter of 2016 primarily reflecting the factors noted above.

**Net Interest Income:** Our net interest income for the second quarter of 2017 increased to \$27.2 million, an increase of \$6.3 million, or 30.3%, from \$20.9 million in the second quarter of 2016. Our interest income for the second quarter of 2017 increased to \$30.8 million, an increase of \$6.9 million, or 28.7%, from \$23.9 million for the second quarter of 2016. The increase in interest income resulted primarily from higher loan balances and higher yields. Our average loans and leases increased to \$1.79 billion for the second quarter of 2017 from \$1.48 billion for the second quarter of 2016, an increase of \$312.2 million. Related interest income increased \$4.6 million on a tax equivalent basis. The increase in average loans reflected organic growth in leasing, SBA and SBLOC lending, as well as increases in average CMBS loans held for sale. Our average investment securities decreased to \$1.26 billion for the second quarter of 2017 from \$1.37 billion for the second quarter of 2016. Notwithstanding the decrease in average balances, related tax equivalent interest income increased \$1.1 million on a tax equivalent basis as a result of higher yields. Yields on both loans and investment securities increased as a result of the impact of the Federal Reserve's rate increases on variable rate loans and securities. Rates paid on deposits and resulting interest expense adjusted only partially to the Federal Reserve's rate increases.

Our net interest margin (calculated by dividing net interest income by average interest earning assets) for the second quarter of 2017 increased to 3.10% from 2.73% in the second quarter of 2016, an increase of 37 basis points. The increase in the net interest margin reflected higher yields on loans and investment securities reflecting the impact of the aforementioned Federal Reserve rate increases on variable rate loans and securities. In the second quarter of 2017, the average yield on our loans increased to 4.46% from 4.13% for the second quarter of 2016, an increase of 33 basis points. Yields on taxable investment securities in the second quarter of 2017 increased to 2.92% compared to 2.40%

for the second quarter of 2016, an increase of 52 basis points. The increase in loan and securities yields reflected the impact of the aforementioned Federal Reserve rate increases. Average interest earning deposits at the Federal Reserve Bank increased \$132.3 million, or 38.0%, to \$480.4 million in the second quarter of 2017 from \$348.2 million in the second quarter of 2016, as a result of deposit growth, primarily in prepaid card and other payments related deposits.

The interest cost of total deposits and interest bearing liabilities was relatively stable at 0.37% for the second quarter of 2017 compared to 0.32% in the second quarter of 2016.

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Average Daily Balances. The following table presents the average daily balances of assets, liabilities and stockholders' equity and the respective interest earned or paid on interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, as well as average annualized rates, for the periods indicated:

	Three months ended June 30, 2017			2016				
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Interest	Average Rate	
	(dollars in thousands)							
<b>Assets:</b>								
<b>Interest earning assets:</b>								
Loans net of unearned fees and costs **	\$ 1,770,226	\$ 19,748	4.46%	\$ 1,458,980	\$ 15,080		4.46%	
Leases - bank qualified*	21,539	415	7.71%	20,603	435		8.41%	
Investment securities-taxable	1,249,890	9,138	2.92%	1,317,902	7,900		2.42%	
Investment securities-nontaxable*	14,632	107	2.93%	55,271	270		1.92%	
Interest earning deposits at Federal Reserve Bank	480,417	1,255	1.04%	348,150	378		0.42%	
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreement to resell	65,355	333	2.04%	35,297	128		1.41%	
Net interest earning assets	3,602,059	30,996	3.44%	3,236,203	24,191		2.92%	
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(7,190)			(4,313)				
Assets held for sale from discontinued operations	348,452	3,135	3.60%	537,252	5,327		3.91%	
Other assets	274,335			326,407				
	\$ 4,217,656			\$ 4,095,549				
<b>Liabilities and shareholders' equity:</b>								
<b>Deposits:</b>								
Demand and interest checking	\$ 3,437,845	\$ 2,912	0.34%	\$ 3,264,909	\$ 2,397		0.30%	
Savings and money market	434,792	520	0.48%	390,889	379		0.30%	
Time	-	-	0.00%	27,842	39		0.30%	
Total deposits	3,872,637	3,432	0.35%	3,683,640	2,815		0.30%	

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Short-term borrowings	6,516	22	1.35%	71,440	110	0.0%
Repurchase agreements	273	-	0.00%	1,210	1	0.0%
Subordinated debt	13,401	144	4.30%	13,401	128	3.0%
Total deposits and interest bearing liabilities	3,892,827	3,598	0.37%	3,769,691	3,054	0.0%
Other liabilities	4,434			22,922		
Total liabilities	3,897,261			3,792,613		
Shareholders' equity	320,395			302,936		
	\$ 4,217,656			\$ 4,095,549		
Net interest income on tax equivalent basis *		\$ 30,533			\$ 26,464	
Tax equivalent adjustment		183			247	
Net interest income		\$ 30,350			\$ 26,217	
Net interest margin *			3.10%			2.7%

\* Full taxable equivalent basis, using a 35% statutory tax rate.

\*\* Includes loans held for sale.

For the second quarter of 2017, average interest earning assets increased to \$3.60 billion, an increase of \$365.9 million, or 11.3% from \$3.24 billion in the second quarter of 2016. The increase reflected increases in average balances of loans and leases of \$312.2 million, or 21.1%, and \$132.3 million, or 38.0%, of average interest earning deposits at the Federal Reserve Bank, net of decreases in average

balances of investment securities of \$108.7 million, or 7.9%. Average demand and interest checking deposits increased \$172.9 million, or 5.3%, which resulted primarily from growth in prepaid card and other payments related deposits.

**Provision for Loan and Lease Losses.** Our provision for loan and lease losses was \$350,000 for the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$1.1 million for the second quarter of 2016. The allowance for loan losses increased to \$7.4 million, or 0.54%, of total loans at June 30, 2017, from \$6.3 million, or 0.52%, of total loans at December 31, 2016. We believe that our allowance is adequate to cover expected losses. For more information about our provision and allowance for loan and lease losses and our loss experience, see “Financial Condition-Allowance for loan and lease losses”, “-Net charge-offs,” and “-Non-performing loans, loans 90 days delinquent and still accruing, and troubled debt restructurings,” below and Note 6 to the financial statements.

**Non-Interest Income.** Non-interest income was \$17.6 million in the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$9.4 million in the second quarter of 2016 before gains on sale of investment securities of \$586,000 in the second quarter of 2017 and \$124,000 in the second quarter of 2016. The \$8.2 million, or 86.8%, increase between those respective periods reflected a \$13.9 million decrease in the value of investment in unconsolidated entity in the second quarter of 2016.

In the second quarter of 2017, a \$2.5 million gain on the sale of our health savings accounts was more than offset by a loss of \$3.4 million on the sale of our European prepaid operations. Prepaid card fees decreased by \$276,000, or 2.0%, to \$13.2 million for the second quarter of 2017. The decrease reflected decreased volumes resulting from a client whose ownership changed and clients who decided to terminate card programs, which was mostly offset by growth in other programs. Service fees on deposit accounts increased \$542,000, or 55.4%, to \$1.5 million for the second quarter of 2017 from \$978,000 for the second quarter of 2016, reflecting increases in service charges on safe harbor individual retirement accounts. Leasing income increased \$368,000 or 79.3% to \$832,000 million for the second quarter of 2017 from \$464,000 for the second quarter of 2016, which reflected higher gains on disposition of leased vehicles in 2017 due to higher volume. Affinity fees decreased by \$1.2 million, or 88.7%, to \$149,000 for the second quarter of 2017 from \$1.3 million for the second quarter of 2016. The decrease resulted from the discontinuance of one affinity relationship. Other non-interest income decreased \$3.8 million, or 88.7%, to \$486,000 for the second quarter of 2017 from \$4.3 million in the second quarter of 2016. The decrease resulted primarily from a gain on the sale of Visa Europe to Visa U.S.A., in which members of Visa Europe shared in the sales proceeds, which occurred in the second quarter of 2016.

**Non-Interest Expense.** Total non-interest expense was \$37.4 million for the second quarter of 2017, a decrease of \$19.8 million, or 34.6% compared to \$57.1 million for the second quarter of 2016. The decrease included a decline of \$13.4 million for BSA lookback consulting expenses which were concluded in the third quarter of 2016. Salaries and employee benefits also decreased to \$18.1 million, a decrease of \$3.2 million, or 15.0% from \$21.3 million for the second quarter of 2016. The decrease in salaries and employee benefits reflected bankwide staff reductions in the third quarter of 2016 which reduced staff by approximately 20%. Depreciation and amortization decreased \$152,000, or 12.0%, to \$1.1 million in the second quarter of 2017 from \$1.3 million in the second quarter of 2016. The decrease reflected reduced spending on fixed assets and equipment. Rent and occupancy increased \$27,000, or 2.0%, to \$1.4 million in the second quarter of 2017 from \$1.4 million in the second quarter of 2016. Data processing decreased by \$1.1 million, or 29.2%, to \$2.6 million in the second quarter of 2017 from \$3.7 million in the second quarter of 2016.

The decrease reflected the impact of a renegotiated data processing contract and lower account and transaction volume as a result of the phase out an affinity program. It also reflected the impact of the consolidation of our call centers as an efficiency and cost cutting measure. Printing and supplies decreased \$493,000, or 59.7%, to \$333,000 in the second quarter of 2017 from \$826,000 in the second quarter of 2016, which reflected elevated expense in 2016



due to service charge and other communications in that year. Audit expense increased \$211,000, or 86.1%, to \$456,000 in the second quarter of 2017 from \$245,000 in the second quarter of 2016 which reflected increased regulatory compliance audit fees. Legal expense decreased \$796,000, or 35.8%, to \$1.4 million in the second quarter of 2017 from \$2.2 million in the second quarter of 2016, reflecting recognition of insurance coverage for certain fees associated with an SEC subpoena related to the restatement of the financial statements (see “Financial Statements; Regulatory Actions”). Amortization of intangible assets increased \$33,000, or 9.6%, to \$377,000 for the second quarter of 2017 from \$344,000 for the second quarter of 2016 reflecting amortization of an intangible resulting from the 2016 purchase of \$60 million of lease receivables. FDIC insurance expense increased \$1.1 million, or 48.3%, to \$3.5 million for the second quarter of 2017 from \$2.3 million in the second quarter of 2016 due primarily to an increase in the FDIC assessment rate. Software expense increased \$194,000, or 6.9%, to \$3.0 million in the second quarter of 2017 from \$2.8 million in the second quarter of 2016 as a result of additional information technology infrastructure to improve efficiency and scalability, including BSA software required to satisfy regulatory requirements. Insurance expense decreased \$12,000, or 2.2%, to \$542,000 in the second quarter 2017 compared to \$554,000 in the second quarter of 2016. Telecom and IT network communications decreased \$162,000, or 27.6%, to \$425,000 in the second quarter of 2017 from \$587,000 in the second quarter of 2016. Securitization and servicing expense decreased \$73,000, or 41.0%, to \$105,000 for the second quarter of 2017 from \$178,000 for the second quarter of 2016, which reflected a decreased volume of sales in 2017. Consulting decreased \$130,000, or 15.6%, to \$706,000 in the second quarter of 2017 from \$836,000 in the second quarter of 2016 reflecting reduced regulatory related consulting expense. Other non-interest expense decreased \$1.9 million, or 36.4%, to \$3.2 million in the second quarter of 2017 from \$5.1 million in the second quarter of 2016. The decrease reflected decreases of \$878,000 for travel and entertainment expenses, \$437,000 of customer identification expense and \$103,000 of operating taxes. The decrease in travel and entertainment expenses reflected the impact of staff reductions and other cost cutting measures. The decrease in customer identification expense primarily reflected the exit of one affinity group and reduced health savings volume due to the sale of that business.

**Income Taxes.** Income tax benefit for continuing operations was \$9.9 million for the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$10.0 million in the second quarter of 2016. The tax benefit in 2017 reflected the impact of approximately \$12 million of deferred tax valuation reversals, partially offset by the application of statutory rates against \$7.7 million of pre tax income. The 36% effective tax benefit rate in 2016 primarily reflected the statutory 34% rate and the impact of state income taxes.

#### First six months 2017 to first six months 2016

**Net Income:** Net income from continuing operations for the first six months of 2017 was \$23.9 million, or \$.43 per diluted share, compared to net loss of \$28.4 million, or \$.75 per diluted share for the first six months of 2016. After discontinued operations, net income for the first six months of 2017 was \$26.8 million compared to net loss of \$42.3 million for the first six months of 2016. Net interest income increased \$10.6 million to \$52.1 million for the first six months of 2017 compared to \$41.4 million for the first six months of 2016 primarily as a result of higher loan balances and yields, reflecting the Federal Reserve's rate increases. The provision for loan and lease losses increased \$290,000 to \$1.4 million in the first six months of 2017 compared to \$1.1 million in the first six months of 2016. The higher provision in 2017 resulted primarily from the unguaranteed portion of non real estate secured SBA loans.

Non-interest income increased \$15.2 million (excluding security gains and losses), from \$26.1 million for the first six months of 2016, to \$41.3 million, which reflected a \$13.1 million decrease in the value of investment in unconsolidated entity in 2016. The 2017 increase also reflected a \$5.0 million gain on sale of loans into a securitization. In 2017 a \$2.5 million gain on the sale of our health savings accounts was more than offset by a loss of \$3.4 million on the sale of our European prepaid operations. A \$3.9 million decrease in other income, from \$4.4 million in 2016 to \$516,000 in 2017, resulted primarily from a second quarter 2016 gain on the sale of Visa Europe to Visa U.S.A., in which members of Visa Europe shared in the sales proceeds. Non-interest expense reflected a \$27.7 million decrease in BSA lookback-related consulting expenses and a \$9.4 million decrease in other non interest expenses, which reflected a \$4.8 million decrease in salaries and employee benefits. Diluted income per share was \$0.48 for the first six months of 2017 compared to diluted loss per share of \$1.12 for the first six months of 2016.

**Net Interest Income:** Our net interest income for the first six months of 2017 increased to \$52.1 million, an increase of \$10.6 million, or 25.7%, from \$41.4 million in the first six months of 2016. Our interest income for the first six months of 2017 increased to \$59.3 million, an increase of \$11.7 million, or 24.5%, from \$47.6 million for the first six months of 2016. The increase in interest income resulted primarily from higher balances of loans and higher yields. Our average loans and leases increased \$230.1 million to \$1.72 billion for the first six months of 2017 from \$1.49 billion for the first six months of 2016, while related interest income increased \$6.4 million on a tax equivalent basis. Our average investment securities remained at \$1.30 billion for the first six months of 2017 from \$1.30 billion for the first six months of 2016. Notwithstanding relatively constant balances, related interest income increased \$3.2 million on a tax equivalent basis as a result of higher yields. Yields on both loans and investment securities increased as a result of the impact of the Federal Reserve's rate increases on variable rate loans and securities. Deposit rates and resulting interest expense adjusted only partially to the Federal Reserve's rate increases.

Our net interest margin (calculated by dividing net interest income by average interest earning assets) for the first six months of 2017 increased to 2.90% from 2.56% in the first six months of 2016, an increase of 34 basis points. The increase in the net interest margin reflected higher yields on loans and investment securities, reflecting the aforementioned Federal Reserve increases. In the first six months of 2017, the average yield on our loans increased to

4.37% from 4.16% for the first six months of 2016, an increase of 21 basis points. Yields on taxable investment securities were higher at 2.82% compared to 2.34% an increase of 48 basis points. Increased loan and securities yields reflected the impact of the aforementioned Federal Reserve rate increases. Average interest earning deposits at the Federal Reserve Bank increased \$42.8 million, or 7.5%, to \$616.3 million in the first six months of 2017 from \$573.6 million in the first six months of 2016, as a result of deposit growth, primarily in prepaid card and other payments related deposits. The interest cost of total deposits and interest bearing liabilities was relatively stable at 0.36% for the first six months of 2017 compared to 0.31% in the first six months of 2016.

Average Daily Balances. The following table presents the average daily balances of assets, liabilities and stockholders' equity and the respective interest earned or paid on interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, as well as average annualized rates, for the periods indicated:

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

	Six months ended June 30,				2016			
	2017		Average	Average	2016		Interest	Rate
	Average	Interest	Rate	Average	Interest	Rate		
	Balance			Balance				
	(dollars in thousands)							
Assets:								
Interest earning assets:								
Loans net of unearned fees and costs **	\$ 1,700,508	\$ 37,119	4.37%	\$ 1,471,327	\$ 30,636	4.37%		
Leases - bank qualified*	21,361	811	7.59%	20,422	916	8.9%		
Investment securities-taxable	1,287,360	18,143	2.82%	1,233,639	14,432	2.3%		
Investment securities-nontaxable*	15,025	218	2.90%	65,558	765	2.3%		
Interest earning deposits at Federal Reserve Bank	616,345	2,771	0.90%	573,595	1,280	0.4%		
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreement to resell	57,635	560	1.94%	21,360	155	1.4%		
Net interest earning assets	3,698,234	59,622	3.22%	3,385,901	48,184	2.9%		
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(6,708)			(4,356)				
Assets held for sale from discontinued operations	340,900	6,496	3.81%	562,860	11,146	3.9%		
Other assets	285,428			312,405				
	\$ 4,317,854			\$ 4,256,810				
Liabilities and shareholders' Equity:								
Deposits:								
Demand and interest checking	\$ 3,547,820	\$ 5,699	0.32%	\$ 3,373,084	\$ 4,839	0.3%		
Savings and money market	432,267	1,167	0.54%	389,270	604	0.3%		
Time	-	-	0.00%	117,117	343	0.3%		
Total deposits	3,980,087	6,866	0.35%	3,879,471	5,786	0.3%		
Short-term borrowings	3,276	22	1.34%	35,720	110	0.6%		
Repurchase agreements	274	-	0.00%	1,033	1	0.1%		
Subordinated debt	13,401	282	4.21%	13,401	252	3.7%		
Total deposits and interest bearing	3,997,038	7,170	0.36%	3,929,625	6,149	0.3%		

## liabilities

Other liabilities	5,824		22,043		
Total liabilities	4,002,862		3,951,668		
Shareholders' equity	314,992		305,142		
	\$	4,317,854	\$	4,256,810	
Net interest income on tax equivalent basis *		\$	58,948	\$	53,181
Tax equivalent adjustment		360		589	
Net interest income		\$	58,588	\$	52,592
Net interest margin *			2.90%		2.5%

\* Full taxable equivalent basis, using a 35% statutory tax rate.

\*\* Includes loans held for sale.

For the first six months of 2017, average interest earning assets increased to \$3.70 billion, an increase of \$312.3 million, or 9.2%, from \$3.39 billion in the first six months of 2016. The increase reflected increased average balances of interest earning deposits at the Federal Reserve Bank of \$42.8 million, or 7.5%, increased average balances of investment securities of \$3.2 million, or 0.2%, and increased average balances of loans and leases of \$230.1 million, or 15.4%. Average demand and interest checking deposits increased \$174.7 million, or 5.2%, which resulted primarily from growth in prepaid card and other payment related deposits.

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses. Our provision for loan and lease losses increased \$290,000, to \$1.4 million for the first six months of 2017 compared to \$1.1 million for the first six months of 2016. The higher provision in 2017 resulted primarily from the unguaranteed

portion of non real estate secured SBA loans. The increase in the provision is based on our evaluation of the adequacy of our allowance for loan and lease losses, particularly in light of current economic conditions. At June 30, 2017, our allowance for loan and lease losses amounted to \$7.4 million, or 0.54%, of total loans compared to \$6.3 million, or 0.52%, of total loans at December 31, 2016. For more information about our provision and allowance for loan and lease losses and our loss experience, see “Financial Condition-Allowance for loan and lease losses”, “-Net charge-offs,” and “-Non-performing loans, loans 90 days delinquent and still accruing, and troubled debt restructurings,” below and Note 6 to the financial statements.

**Non-Interest Income.** Non-interest income was \$41.3 million in the first six months of 2017 compared to \$26.1 million in the first six months of 2016, before gains on securities of \$1.1 million in the first six months of 2017 and \$2.2 million in the first six months of 2016. The \$15.2 million, or 58.4%, increase between those respective periods reflected a \$13.1 million decrease in value of investment in an unconsolidated entity in 2016. In the second quarter of 2017 we had a \$2.5 million gain on the sale of a portion of our health savings portfolio which was more than offset by a \$3.4 million loss on the sale of our European prepaid card operations. Gain (loss) on sale of loans increased to \$6.1 million for the first six months of 2017 from a loss of \$94,000 in the first six months of 2016 reflecting a \$5.0 million gain on sales of loans into a securitization in 2017. Service fees on deposit accounts increased \$1.4 million, or 75.1%, to \$3.2 million for the first six months of 2017 from \$1.8 million for the first six months of 2016 reflecting increases in service charges on safe harbor individual retirement accounts. Prepaid card fees decreased \$303,000, or 1.1% to \$26.8 million for the first six months of 2017 from \$27.1 million for the first six months of 2016 which reflected decreased volumes from a client as a result of its change in ownership and several programs whose sponsors decided to exit prepaid cards. Leasing income increased \$515,000 or 59.3% to \$1.4 million for the first six months of 2017 from \$868,000 for the first six months of 2016, which reflected higher gains on disposition of leased vehicles in 2017 due to higher volume. Affinity fees decreased \$1.2 million, or 51.6%, to \$1.2 million for the first six months of 2017 from \$2.4 million for the first six months of 2016. The decrease resulted primarily from the discontinuance of one affinity relationship. Other non-interest income decreased \$3.9 million, or 88.2% to \$516,000 for the first six months of 2017 from \$4.4 million in the first six months of 2016. The decrease resulted primarily from a gain on the sale of Visa Europe to Visa U.S.A., in which members of Visa Europe shared in the sales proceeds, which occurred in the second quarter of 2016.

**Non-Interest Expense.** Total non-interest expense was \$75.1 million for the first six months of 2017, a decrease of \$37.1 million, or 33.1% from \$112.3 million for the first six months of 2016. The decrease reflected a decrease of \$27.7 million in Bank Secrecy Act lookback expense. Salaries and employee benefits expense also decreased to \$36.1 million, a decrease of \$4.8 million, or 11.7% from \$40.9 million for the first six months of 2016. The decrease in salaries and employee benefits reflected bankwide staff reductions in the third quarter of 2016 which reduced total staff by approximately 20%. Depreciation and amortization decreased \$185,000, or 7.4%, to \$2.3 million in the first six months of 2017 from \$2.5 million in the first six months of 2016 which reflected reduced spending on fixed assets and equipment. Rent and occupancy increased \$29,000, or 1.0%, to \$2.9 million in the first six months of 2017 from \$2.8 million in the first six months of 2016. Data processing expense decreased \$1.6 million, or 20.4%, to \$6.1 million in the first six months of 2017 from \$7.7 million in the first six months of 2016. The decrease reflected the impact of a renegotiated data processing contract and lower account and transaction volume as a result of the phase out of an affinity program. It also reflected the impact of the consolidation of our call centers as an efficiency and cost cutting measure. Printing and supplies decreased \$531,000, or 38.8%, to \$838,000 in the first six months of 2017 from \$1.4 million in the first six months of 2016, which reflected elevated expense in 2016 due to service charge and other communications in 2016. Audit expense increased \$377,000, or 75.4%, to \$877,000 in the first six months of 2017 from \$500,000 in the first six months of 2016 which reflected increased regulatory compliance audit fees. Legal expense increased \$193,000, or 6.5%, to \$3.2 million for the first six months of 2017 from \$3.0 million in the first six months of 2016 which reflected higher regulatory legal costs including fees associated with an SEC subpoena related

to the restatement of the financial statements (see “Financial Statements; Regulatory Actions”). The year to date increase was net of insurance coverage recognized in the second quarter of 2017. Amortization of intangible assets increased \$118,000, or 18.5%, to \$756,000 for the first six months of 2017 from \$638,000 for the six months of 2016. The increase resulted primarily from the amortization of the intangible asset resulting from the 2016 purchase of the \$60 million of lease receivables. FDIC insurance expense increased \$841,000, or 18.0%, to \$5.5 million for the first six months of 2017 from \$4.7 million in the first six months of 2016, which reflected the impact of a increase in the FDIC assessment rate. Software expense increased \$1.3 million, or 25.2%, to \$6.2 million in the first six months of 2017 from \$5.0 million in the first six months of 2016 which reflected additional information technology infrastructure to improve efficiency and scalability, including BSA software required to satisfy regulatory requirements. Insurance expense increased \$156,000, or 14.7%, to \$1.2 million in the first six months of 2017 from \$1.1 million in the first six months of 2016. The increase reflected higher cyber and director and officer coverages. Telecom and IT network communications expense increased \$52,000, or 5.4%, to \$1.0 million in the first six months of 2017 from \$965,000 in the first six months of 2016. Securitization and servicing expense decreased \$647,000, or 86.6%, to \$100,000 in the first six months of 2017 from \$747,000 in the first six months of 2016 which reflected a decreased volume of sales in 2017. Consulting expense decreased \$1.3 million, or 50.7% to \$1.2 million in the first six months of 2017 from \$2.5 million in the first six months of 2016. The decrease reflected reduced regulatory related and investor relations consulting. Other non-interest expense decreased \$3.4 million, or 33.9%, to \$6.7 million in the first six months of 2017 from \$10.2 million in the first six months of 2016. The \$3.4 million decrease reflected decreases of \$1.4 million in travel and entertainment expenses, \$445,000 in customer identification expense, \$251,000 in postage expense, \$198,000 in expenses related to SBA loans and \$167,000 in other leasing expense. The decrease in customer identification expense primarily reflected the exit of one affinity group and reduced health savings volume due to the sale of that business. The decrease in postage expense reflected the impact of the sale of the health savings business and also reflected elevated expense in 2016 due to service charge and other communications mailings in 2016.

Income Taxes. Income tax benefit for continuing operations was \$5.9 million for the first six months of 2017 compared to \$15.3 million in the first six months of 2016. The tax benefit in 2017 reflected the impact of approximately \$12 million of deferred tax valuation reversals, partially offset by the application of statutory rates against \$18.0 million of pre tax income. The 35% effective tax benefit rate in 2016 reflected the statutory 34% rate and the impact of state income taxes.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity defines our ability to generate funds to support asset growth, meet deposit withdrawals, satisfy borrowing needs and otherwise operate on an ongoing basis. We invest the funds we do not need for daily operations primarily in overnight federal funds or in our interest-bearing account at the Federal Reserve.

Our primary source of funding has been deposits. We have been exiting deposit relationships to reduce excess balances at the Federal Reserve which earn relatively low rates of interest. While such exits continued in the second quarter of 2017, they were offset by growth in prepaid card and other payments deposits. Accordingly, overnight balances at the Federal Reserve Bank averaged \$480.4 million for the second quarter of 2017, which was higher than the prior year second quarter average of \$348.2 million. Investment securities available-for-sale also provide a significant source of liquidity. Loan repayments, also a source of funds, were exceeded by new loan disbursements during the first six months of 2017. While we do not have a traditional branch system, we believe that our core deposits, which include our demand, interest checking, savings and money market accounts, have similar characteristics to those of a bank with a branch system. We believe that the rate on our deposits is at or below competitors' rates. However, the focus of our business model is to identify affinity groups that control significant deposits as part of their business. A key component to the model is that the affinity group deposits are both stable and "sticky," in the sense that they do not react to fluctuations in the market. Nonetheless, certain components of the deposits do experience seasonality, creating greater excess liquidity at certain times during the year, especially the first quarter as a result of tax refund prepaid card balances.

Historically, we have also used sources outside of our deposit products to fund our loan growth, including Federal Home Loan Bank advances, repurchase agreements, and institutional (brokered) certificates of deposit. In the first six months of 2017, the vast majority of our funding was derived from prepaid cards and transaction accounts. While the FDIC now classifies prepaid and most of our other deposits obtained with the cooperation of third parties as brokered, they continue to acknowledge that such deposits are stable and low cost. We maintain secured borrowing lines with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh, or FHLB, and the Federal Reserve Bank. As of June 30, 2017, we had approximately \$366.7 million available on a line of credit with the Federal Home Loan Bank and \$179.8 million line of credit with the Federal Reserve Bank. In August, 2017, the availability on the FHLB borrowing line was increased to approximately \$566.7 million. These lines may be collateralized by specified types of loans or securities, and we expect to continue to maintain these facilities. We actively monitor our positions and contingent funding sources on a daily basis. As of June 30, 2017, we did not have any borrowings outstanding on our lines of credit.



As a holding company conducting substantially all of our business through our subsidiaries, our need for liquidity consists principally of cash needed to make required interest payments on our trust preferred securities. As of June 30, 2017, we had approximate cash reserves of \$14.0 million at the holding company. Current quarterly interest payments on the \$13.4 million of trust preferred securities are approximately \$150,000 based on a floating rate of 3.25% over LIBOR. We expect that when the conditions under which the amendment to the 2014 Consent Order was issued will have been remediated, the FDIC will permit the Bank to resume paying dividends to us to fund holding company operations. There can, however, be no assurance that the FDIC will, in fact, allow the resumption of Bank dividends to us at the end of that period or at all and, accordingly, there is risk that we will need to obtain alternate sources of funding. There can be no assurance that such sources would be available to us on acceptable terms or at all.

Included in our cash and cash-equivalents at June 30, 2017 were \$475.4 million of interest earning deposits which primarily consisted of deposits with the Federal Reserve and included deposits for reserve requirements. Traditionally, we sell our excess funds overnight to other financial institutions, with which we have correspondent relationships, to obtain better returns. As the federal funds rates decreased to the same levels offered by the Federal Reserve, we have adjusted our strategy to retain our excess funds at the Federal Reserve, which also offers the full guarantee of the federal government.

Funding was directed primarily at cash outflows required for net loan growth of \$147.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017, and \$104.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016. Net redemptions of investment securities for the six months ended June 30, 2017, were \$145.5 million compared to net purchases of \$244.8 million for the prior year. We had outstanding commitments to fund loans, including unused lines of credit, of \$1.22 billion and \$1.09 billion as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. The majority of our commitments originate with security backed lines of credit. Such commitments are normally based on the full amount of collateral in a customer's investment account. However, such commitments have historically been drawn at only a fraction of the total commitment. The funding requirements for such commitments occur on a measured basis over time and would be funded by normal deposit growth.

## Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

We must comply with capital adequacy guidelines issued by the FDIC. A bank must, in general, have a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 5.0%, a ratio of Tier I capital to risk-weighted assets of 8.0%, a ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets of 10.0% and a ratio of common equity tier 1 to risk weighted assets of 6.5% to be considered “well capitalized.” The Tier I leverage ratio is the ratio of Tier 1 capital to average assets for the period. “Tier I capital” includes common shareholders’ equity, certain qualifying perpetual preferred stock and minority interests in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, less intangibles. At June 30, 2017, we were “well capitalized” under banking regulations.

The following table sets forth our regulatory capital amounts and ratios for the periods indicated:

	Tier 1 capital to average assets ratio	Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets ratio	Total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio	Common equity tier 1 to risk weighted assets
As of June 30, 2017				
The Bancorp, Inc.	7.77%	15.38%	15.72%	15.38%
The Bancorp Bank	7.62%	15.04%	15.38%	15.04%
"Well capitalized" institution (under FDIC regulations-Basel III)	5.00%	8.00%	10.00%	6.50%
As of December 31, 2016				
The Bancorp, Inc.	6.90%	13.34%	13.63%	13.34%
The Bancorp Bank	6.84%	13.24%	13.53%	13.24%
"Well capitalized" institution (under FDIC regulations)	5.00%	8.00%	10.00%	6.50%

### Asset and Liability Management

The management of rate sensitive assets and liabilities is essential to controlling interest rate risk and optimizing interest margins. An interest rate sensitive asset or liability is one that, within a defined time period, either matures or experiences an interest rate change in line with general market rates. Interest rate sensitivity measures the relative volatility of an institution’s interest margin resulting from changes in market interest rates.

We monitor, manage and control interest rate risk through a variety of techniques, including use of traditional interest rate sensitivity analysis (also known as “gap analysis”) and an interest rate risk management model. With the interest rate risk management model, we project future net interest income and then estimate the effect of various changes in interest rates and balance sheet growth rates on that projected net interest income. We also use the interest rate risk management model to calculate the change in net portfolio value over a range of interest rate change scenarios. Traditional gap analysis involves arranging our interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities by repricing periods and then computing the difference (or “interest rate sensitivity gap”) between the assets and liabilities that we estimate will reprice during each time period and cumulatively through the end of each time period.

Both interest rate sensitivity modeling and gap analysis are done at a specific point in time and involve a variety of significant estimates and assumptions. Interest rate sensitivity modeling requires, among other things, estimates of how much and when yields and costs on individual categories of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities will respond to general changes in market rates, future cash flows and discount rates. Gap analysis requires estimates as to when individual categories of interest-sensitive assets and liabilities will reprice, and assumes that assets and liabilities assigned to the same repricing period will reprice at the same time and in the same amount. Gap analysis does not account for the fact that repricing of assets and liabilities is discretionary and subject to competitive and other pressures. A gap is considered positive when the amount of interest rate sensitive assets exceeds the amount of interest rate sensitive liabilities. A gap is considered negative when the amount of interest rate sensitive liabilities exceeds interest rate sensitive assets. During a period of falling interest rates, a positive gap would tend to adversely affect net interest income, while a negative gap would tend to result in an increase in net interest income. During a period of rising interest rates, a positive gap would tend to result in an increase in net interest income while a negative gap would tend to affect net interest income adversely.

The following table sets forth the estimated maturity or repricing structure of our interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities at June 30, 2017. We estimate the repricing characteristics of deposits based on historical performance, past experience at other institutions and other deposit behavior assumptions. However, we may choose not to reprice liabilities proportionally to changes in market interest rates for competitive or other reasons. The table does not assume any prepayment of fixed-rate loans and mortgage-backed securities, which are scheduled based on their anticipated cash flow, including prepayments based on historical data and current market trends. The table does not necessarily indicate the impact of general interest rate movements on our net interest income because the repricing of certain categories of assets and liabilities is beyond our control as, for example, prepayments of loans and withdrawal of deposits. As a result, certain assets and liabilities indicated as repricing within a stated period may in fact reprice at different times and at different rate levels.

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

	1-90 Days (dollars in thousands)	91-364 Days	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	Over 5 Years
Interest earning assets:					
Commercial loans held for sale	\$ 329,782	\$ 11,357	\$ 50,489	\$ 31,561	\$ 119,630
Loans net of deferred loan costs	912,726	33,147	234,268	181,297	8,825
Investment securities	351,116	169,398	180,849	141,398	399,774
Interest earning deposits	475,387	-	-	-	-
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	65,076	-	-	-	-
Total interest earning assets	2,134,087	213,902	465,606	354,256	528,229
Interest bearing liabilities:					
Demand and interest checking	2,223,150	71,232	71,232	-	-
Savings and money market	109,650	219,302	109,650	-	-
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	273	-	-	-	-
Subordinated debenture	13,401	-	-	-	-
Total interest bearing liabilities	2,346,474	290,534	180,882	-	-

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Gap	\$	(212,387)	\$	(76,632)	\$	284,724	\$	354,256	\$	528,229
Cumulative gap	\$	(212,387)	\$	(289,019)	\$	(4,295)	\$	349,961	\$	878,190
Gap to assets ratio	-5%		-2%		7%		8%		12%	
Cumulative gap to assets ratio	-5%		-7%		*		8%		20%	

\* While demand deposits are non-interest bearing, related fees paid to affinity groups may reprice according to specified indices.

The methods used to analyze interest rate sensitivity in this table have a number of limitations. Certain assets and liabilities may react differently to changes in interest rates even though they reprice or mature in the same or similar time periods. The interest rates on certain assets and liabilities may change at different times than changes in market interest rates, with some changing in advance of changes in market rates and some lagging behind changes in market rates. Additionally, the actual prepayments and withdrawals we experience when interest rates change may deviate significantly from those assumed in calculating the data shown in the table. Accordingly actual results can and often do differ from projections.

#### Financial Condition

General. Our total assets at June 30, 2017 were \$4.30 billion, of which our total loans were \$1.37 billion. At December 31, 2016, our total assets were \$4.86 billion, of which our total loans were \$1.22 billion.

Interest earning deposits and federal funds sold. At June 30, 2017, we had a total of \$475.4 million of interest earning deposits compared to \$955.7 million at December 31, 2016, a decrease of \$480.3 million or 50.3%. These deposits were comprised primarily of balances at the Federal Reserve, which pays interest on such balances. Reductions in such balances reflected deployment of such funds into higher yielding loans and securities and the exit of less profitable deposit relationships.

Investment portfolio. For detailed information on the composition and maturity distribution of our investment portfolio, see Note 5 to the Financial Statements. Total investment securities decreased to \$1.24 billion at June 30, 2017, a decrease of \$99.5 million, or 7.4%, from year-end 2016. The decrease in investment securities was primarily a result of prepayments on collateralized loan obligation securities. Other securities, included in the held-to-maturity classification at June 30, 2017, consisted of three securities secured by diversified portfolios of corporate securities, one bank senior note and two single-issuer trust preferred securities.

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

A total of \$18.0 million of other debt securities - single issuers is comprised of the following: (i) amortized cost of two single-issuer trust preferred securities of \$11.0 million, of which one security for \$1.9 million was issued by a bank and one security for \$9.1 million was issued by an insurance company; and (ii) the book value of a bank senior note of \$7.0 million.

A total of \$75.4 million of other debt securities – pooled is comprised of three securities consisting of diversified portfolios of corporate securities.

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The following table provides additional information related to our single issuer trust preferred securities as of June 30, 2017 (in thousands):

Single issuer	Book value	Fair value	Unrealized gain/(loss)	Credit rating
Security A	\$ 1,913	\$ 2,024	\$ 111	Not rated
Security B	9,091	6,224	(2,867)	Not rated

Class: All of the above are trust preferred securities.

Under the accounting guidance related to the recognition of other-than-temporary impairment charges on debt securities, an impairment on a debt security is deemed to be other-than-temporary if it meets the following conditions: (i) we intend to sell or it is more likely than not we will be required to sell the security before a recovery in value, or (ii) we do not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. If we intend to sell or it is more likely than not we will be required to sell the security before a recovery in value, a charge is recorded in net realized capital losses equal to the difference between the fair value and amortized cost basis of the security. For those other-than-temporarily impaired debt securities which do not meet the first condition and for which we do not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis, the difference between the security's amortized cost basis and the fair value is separated into the portion representing a credit impairment, which is recorded in net realized capital losses, and the remaining impairment, which is recorded in other comprehensive income. Generally, a security's credit impairment is the difference between its amortized cost basis and the best estimate of its expected future cash flows discounted at the security's effective yield prior to impairment. The previous amortized cost basis less the impairment recognized in net realized capital losses becomes the security's new cost basis. For the six months ended June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2016, we recognized no other-than-temporary impairment charges related to trust preferred securities classified in our held-to-maturity portfolio.

Investments in Federal Home Loan and Atlantic Central Bankers Bank stock are recorded at cost and amounted to \$6.2 million at June 30, 2017, compared to \$1.6 million at December 31, 2016. The increase resulted from additional Federal Home Loan Bank stock required for larger daily advances to enhance liquidity management.

Investment securities with a carrying value of \$600.0 million at June 30, 2017 and \$607.2 million at December 31, 2016, were pledged as collateral for Federal Home Loan Bank advances and letters of credit as required or permitted by law.

Loans held for sale. Loans held for sale are comprised of commercial mortgage loans and SBA loans originated for sale or securitization in the secondary market. The fair value of commercial mortgage loans and the SBA loans originated for sale is based on purchase commitments, quoted prices for the same or similar loans or fair market valuations based on other market information. Commercial loans held for sale decreased to \$542.8 million at June 30, 2017 from \$663.1 million at December 31, 2016.

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Loan portfolio. Total loans increased to \$1.37 billion at June 30, 2017 from \$1.22 billion at December 31, 2016.

The following table summarizes our loan portfolio, not including loans held for sale, by loan category for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	June 30, 2017	December 31, 2016
SBA non real estate	\$ 74,511	\$ 74,644
SBA commercial mortgage	126,224	126,159
SBA construction	11,057	8,826
SBA loans *	211,792	209,629
Direct lease financing	371,002	346,645
SBLOC	718,707	630,400
Other specialty lending	44,389	11,073
Other consumer loans	15,858	17,374
	1,361,748	1,215,121
Unamortized loan fees and costs	8,515	7,790
Total loans, net of deferred loan costs	\$ 1,370,263	\$ 1,222,911

\*The following table shows SBA loans and SBA loans held for sale at the dates indicated (in thousands):

50

---



	June 30, 2017	December 31, 2016
SBA loans, including deferred fees and costs	\$ 218,253	\$ 215,786
SBA loans included in held for sale	158,389	154,016
Total SBA loans	\$ 376,642	\$ 369,802

Allowance for loan and lease losses. We review the adequacy of our allowance for loan and lease losses on at least a quarterly basis to determine that the provision for loan losses is made in an amount necessary to maintain our allowance at a level that is appropriate, based on management's estimate of inherent losses. Our estimates of loan and lease losses are intended to, and, in management's opinion, do, meet the criteria for accrual of loss contingencies in accordance with ASC 450, "Contingencies", and ASC 310, "Receivables". The process of evaluating this adequacy has two basic elements: first, the identification of problem loans or leases based on current financial information and the fair value of the underlying collateral; and second, a methodology for estimating general loss reserves. For loans or leases classified as "special mention," "substandard" or "doubtful," we reserve all losses inherent in the portfolio at the time we classify the loan or lease. This "specific" portion of the allowance is the total of potential, although unconfirmed, losses for individually classified loans. In this process, we establish specific reserves based on an analysis of the most probable sources of repayment and liquidation of collateral. While each impaired loan is individually evaluated, not every loan requires a reserve when the collateral value and estimated cash flows exceed the current balance.

The second phase of our analysis represents an allocation of the allowance. This methodology analyzes pools of loans that have similar characteristics and applies historical loss experience and other factors for each pool including management's experience with similar loan and lease portfolios at other institutions, the historic loss experience of our peers and a review of statistical information from various industry reports to determine the allocable portion of the allowance. This estimate is intended to represent the potential unconfirmed and inherent losses within the portfolio. Individual loan pools are created for major loan categories: SBLOCs, SBA loans, direct lease financing and other specialty lending and consumer loans. We augment historical experience for each loan pool by accounting for such items as current economic conditions, current loan portfolio performance, loan policy or management changes, loan concentrations, increases in our lending limit, average loan size and other factors as appropriate. Our Chief Credit Officer oversees the loan review department processes and measures the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses independently of loan production officers. A description of loan review coverage targets is set forth below.

At June 30, 2017, in excess of 50% of the total continuing loan portfolio had been reviewed as a result of the coverage of each loan portfolio type. The targeted coverages and scope of the reviews are risk-based and vary according to each portfolio. These thresholds are maintained as follows:

Securities Backed Lines of Credit – The targeted review threshold for 2017 is 40%, with the largest 25% of SBLOCs by commitment to be reviewed annually. A random sampling of a minimum of 20 of the remaining loans will be

reviewed each quarter. At June 30, 2017, approximately 49% of the SBLOC portfolio had been reviewed.

**SBA Loans** – The targeted review threshold for 2017 is 100%, less guaranteed portions of any purchased loans and loans funded within 90 days of quarter end. The 100% coverage includes loan review work performed by designated SBA department personnel. Although loans are not typically purchased, loans for Community Reinvestment Act, or CRA, purposes are periodically purchased. At June 30, 2017, approximately 100% of the government guaranteed loan portfolio had been reviewed. The review threshold for the independent loan review department is \$1,000,000.

**Leasing** – The targeted review threshold for 2017 is 35%. At June 30, 2017, approximately 49% of the leasing portfolio had been reviewed. The review threshold is \$1,000,000.

**CMBS (Floating Rate)** – The targeted review threshold for 2017 is 100%. Floating rate loans will be reviewed initially within 90 days of funding and will be monitored on an ongoing basis as to payment status. Subsequent reviews will be performed based on a sampling each quarter. Each floating rate loan will be reviewed if any available extension options are exercised. At June 30, 2017, approximately 53% of the CMBS floating rate portfolio had been reviewed.

**CMBS (Fixed Rate)** – 100% of fixed rate loans that are unable to be readily sold on the secondary market and remain on the Bank's books after nine months will be reviewed at least annually. At June 30, 2017, 82% of the CMBS fixed rate portfolio had been reviewed.

**Specialty Lending** – Specialty Lending, defined as commercial loans unique in nature that do not fit into other established categories, will have a review coverage threshold of 100% for non CRA loans. At June 30, 2017, approximately 100% of the non CRA loans had been reviewed.

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Home Equity Lines of Credit (HELOC) – The targeted review threshold for 2017 is 50%. The largest 25% of HELOCs by commitment will be reviewed annually. A random sampling of a minimum of ten of the remaining loans will be reviewed each quarter. At June 30, 2017, approximately 83% of the HELOC portfolio had been reviewed.

The following table presents delinquencies by type of loan as follows (in thousands):

June 30, 2017	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	Greater than	Total		Total
	past due	past due	90 days	Non-accrual	past due	
SBA non real estate	\$ 770	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,704	\$ 3,474	\$ 71,037
SBA commercial mortgage	-	-	-	908	908	125,316
SBA construction	-	-	-	-	-	11,057
Direct lease financing	2,626	1,087	494	-	4,207	366,795
SBLOC	-	-	-	-	-	718,707
Other specialty lending	-	-	-	-	-	44,389
Consumer - other	-	-	-	73	73	4,878
Consumer - home equity	331	150	-	1,430	1,911	8,996
Unamortized loan fees and costs	-	-	-	-	-	8,515
	\$ 3,727	\$ 1,237	\$ 494	\$ 5,115	\$ 10,573	\$ 1,359,690

December 31, 2016	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	Greater than	Total		Total
	past due	past due	90 days	Non-accrual	past due	
SBA non real estate	\$ 559	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,530	\$ 2,089	\$ 72,555
	-	-	-	-	-	126,159

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

SBA commercial mortgage										
SBA construction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,826	8,826	8,826
Direct lease financing	11,856	1,998	661	-	-	14,515	-	332,130	346,549	346,549
SBLOC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	630,400	630,400	630,400
Other specialty lending	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11,073	11,073	11,073
Consumer - other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,403	5,403	5,403
Consumer - home equity	155	-	-	-	1,442	-	1,597	10,374	11,518	11,518
Unamortized loan fees and costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,790	7,790	7,790
	\$ 12,570	\$ 1,998	\$ 661	\$ 2,972	\$ 18,201	\$ 1,204,710	\$			

Although we consider our allowance for loan and lease losses to be adequate based on information currently available, future additions to the allowance may be necessary due to changes in economic conditions, our ongoing loss experience and that of our peers, changes in management's assumptions as to future delinquencies, recoveries and losses, deterioration of specific credits and management's intent with regard to the disposition of loans and leases.

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes select asset quality ratios for each of the periods indicated:

	As of or for the six months ended June 30,	
	2017	2016
Ratio of the allowance for loan losses to total loans	0.54%	0.46%
Ratio of the allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans *	131.09%	85.42%
Ratio of nonperforming assets to total assets *	0.13%	0.14%
Ratio of net charge-offs to average loans	0.02%	0.00%
Ratio of net charge-offs to average loans annualized	0.04%	0.01%

\* Includes loans 90 days past due still accruing interest.

The ratio of the allowance for loan and lease losses to total loans was 0.54% at June 30, 2017, compared to 0.46% at June 30, 2016. The higher current period ratio reflected an increase in the allowance which exceeded proportional loan growth during the period. The ratio of the allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans increased to 131.09% at June 30, 2017, from 85.42% at June 30, 2016, primarily as a result of a decrease in non-performing loans and an increase in the allowance. The ratio of non-performing assets to total assets remained relatively stable. Net charge-offs to average loans increased to 0.02% for the six months ended June 30, 2017, from 0.00% for the six months ended June 30, 2016, primarily as a result of higher net charge offs.

Net charge-offs. Net charge-offs were \$329,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2017, an increase of \$267,000 from net charge-offs of \$62,000 the same period of 2016. The majority of the charge-offs in the first six months of 2017 were associated with SBA commercial mortgage and leasing relationships. The majority of the charge-offs in the first six months of 2016 were associated with leasing relationships.

Non-performing loans, loans 90 days delinquent and still accruing, and troubled debt restructurings. Loans are considered to be non-performing if they are on a non-accrual basis or they are past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest. A loan which is past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest remains on accrual status only when it is both adequately secured as to principal and interest, and is in the process of collection. Troubled debt restructurings are loans with terms that have been renegotiated to provide a reduction or deferral of interest or principal because of a weakening in the financial positions of the borrowers. The following tables summarize our non-performing loans, other real estate owned and loans past due 90 days or more still accruing interest (in thousands).

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

	June 30, 2017	December 31, 2016
Non-accrual loans		
SBA non real estate	\$ 2,704	\$ 1,530
SBA commercial mortgage	908	-
Consumer	1,503	1,442
Total non-accrual loans	5,115	2,972
Loans past due 90 days or more	494	661
Total non-performing loans	5,609	3,633
Other real estate owned	-	104
Total non-performing assets	\$ 5,609	\$ 3,737

53

---

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Loans that were modified as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 and considered troubled debt restructurings are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	June 30, 2017			December 31, 2016		
	Number	Pre-modification recorded investment	Post-modification recorded investment	Number	Pre-modification recorded investment	Post-modification recorded investment
SBA non real estate	4	\$ 1,088	\$ 1,088	2	\$ 844	\$ 844
Direct lease financing	1	606	606	1	734	734
Consumer	1	285	285	1	288	288
Total	6	\$ 1,979	\$ 1,979	4	\$ 1,866	\$ 1,866

The balances below provide information as to how the loans were modified as troubled debt restructurings loans at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 (in thousands).

	June 30, 2017			December 31, 2016		
	Adjusted interest rate	Extended maturity	Combined rate and maturity	Adjusted interest rate	Extended maturity	Combined rate and maturity
SBA non real estate	\$ -	\$ 144	\$ 944	\$ -	\$ 144	\$ 700
Direct lease financing	-	-	606	-	-	734
Consumer	-	-	285	-	-	288
Total	\$ -	\$ 144	\$ 1,835	\$ -	\$ 144	\$ 1,722

The following table summarizes, as of June 30, 2017, loans that had been restructured within the last 12 months that have subsequently defaulted.

Number Pre-modification recorded investment

SBA non real estate	2	\$	750
Total	2	\$	750

As of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, we had no commitments to lend additional funds to loan customers whose terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings.



Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The following table provides information about impaired loans at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016:

	Recorded investment	Unpaid principal balance	Related allowance	Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized
June 30, 2017					
Without an allowance recorded					
SBA non real estate	\$ 367	\$ 367	\$ -	\$ 247	\$ -
SBA commercial mortgage	-	-	-	-	-
Direct lease financing	-	-	-	-	-
Consumer - other	-	-	-	-	-
Consumer - home equity	1,714	1,714	-	1,721	-
With an allowance recorded					
SBA non real estate	2,677	2,677	1,425	2,616	-
SBA commercial mortgage	908	908	141	606	-
Direct lease financing	606	606	143	675	-
Consumer - other	74	74	74	24	-
Consumer - home equity	-	-	-	-	-
Total					
SBA non real estate	3,044	3,044	1,425	2,863	-
SBA commercial mortgage	908	908	141	606	-
Direct lease financing	606	606	143	675	-
Consumer - other	74	74	74	24	-
Consumer - home equity	1,714	1,714	-	1,721	-

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

6,346                      6,346                      1,783                      5,889                      -

December 31, 2016

Without an allowance  
recorded

SBA non real estate	\$	191	\$	191	\$	-	\$	336	\$	-
Direct lease financing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Consumer - other	-	-	-	-	-	259	-	-	-	-
Consumer - home equity	1,730	1,730	-	-	1,187	-	-	-	-	-
With an allowance recorded										
SBA non real estate	2,183	2,183	938	938	1,277	-	-	-	-	-
Direct lease financing	734	734	216	216	147	-	-	-	-	-
Consumer - other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Consumer - home equity	-	-	-	-	549	-	-	-	-	-
Total										
SBA non real estate	2,374	2,374	938	938	1,613	-	-	-	-	-
Direct lease financing	734	734	216	216	147	-	-	-	-	-
Consumer - other	-	-	-	-	259	-	-	-	-	-
Consumer - home equity	1,730	1,730	-	-	1,736	-	-	-	-	-
	4,838	4,838	1,154	1,154	3,755	-	-	-	-	-

We had \$5.1 million of non-accrual loans at June 30, 2017 compared to \$3.0 million of non-accrual loans at December 31, 2016. The \$2.1 million increase in non-accrual loans was primarily due to \$2.8 million of loans placed on non-accrual status partially offset by \$334,000 of loan payments and \$307,000 of charge-offs. Loans past due 90 days or more still accruing interest amounted to \$494,000 at June 30, 2017 and \$661,000 at December 31, 2016. The \$167,000 decrease reflected \$1.5 million of additions partially offset by \$1.0 million of loan payments, \$94,000 of charge-offs and \$526,000 of loans moved to repossessed assets.

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

We had no other real estate owned at June 30, 2017 and \$104,000 at December 31, 2016 with no additional activity during the intervening period.

The following table classifies our loans (not including loans held for sale) by categories which are used throughout the industry as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016:

June 30, 2017	Pass	Special mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Unrated subject to review *	Unrated not subject to review *
SBA non real estate	\$ 47,725	\$ 3,120	\$ 4,982	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 18,600
SBA commercial mortgage	105,558	281	908	-	-	-	19,477
SBA construction	11,057	-	-	-	-	-	-
Direct lease financing	181,000	-	4,104	-	-	15,486	170,412
SBLOC	354,608	-	-	-	-	-	364,099
Other specialty lending	44,389	-	-	-	-	-	-
Consumer	8,742	285	2,252	-	-	-	4,579
Unamortized loan fees and costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,515
	\$ 753,079	\$ 3,686	\$ 12,246	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,486	\$ 585,700
December 31, 2016							
SBA non real estate	\$ 51,437	\$ 2,723	\$ 3,628	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 16,800
SBA commercial mortgage	92,485	-	-	-	-	15,164	18,510
SBA construction	8,060	-	-	-	-	-	766
Direct lease financing	122,571	-	3,736	-	-	30,881	189,457
SBLOC	277,489	-	-	-	-	-	352,911
	11,073	-	-	-	-	-	-

Other specialty lending												
Consumer	9,837	288	2,312	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,937	
Unamortized loan fees and costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,790	
	\$ 572,952	\$ 3,011	\$ 9,676	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	46,045	\$ 591,2

\* For information on targeted loan review thresholds see "Allowance for Loan Losses".

Premises and equipment, net. Premises and equipment amounted to \$22.0 million at June 30, 2017 compared to \$24.1 million at December 31, 2016. The decrease reflected depreciation and reduced purchases compared to prior periods.

Investment in Unconsolidated Entity. On December 30, 2014, the Bank entered into an agreement for, and closed on, the sale of a portion of its commercial loan portfolio. The purchaser of the loan portfolio was a newly formed entity, Walnut Street 2014-1 Issuer, LLC ("Walnut Street"). The price paid to the Bank for the loan portfolio, which had a face value of approximately \$267.6 million, was approximately \$209.6 million, of which approximately \$193.6 million was in the form of two notes issued by Walnut Street to the Bank; a senior note in the principal amount of approximately \$178.2 million bearing interest at 1.5% per year and maturing in December 2024 and a subordinate note in the principal amount of approximately \$15.4 million, bearing interest at 10.0% per year and maturing in December 2024. The balance of these notes comprise the \$120.9 million investment in unconsolidated entity at June 30, 2017.

Assets held for sale. Assets held for sale as a result of discontinued operations, primarily commercial, commercial mortgage and construction loans, amounted to \$336.2 million at June 30, 2017 compared to \$360.7 million at December 31, 2016. The decrease resulted primarily from loan repayments.

Deposits. Our primary source of funding is deposit acquisition. We offer a variety of deposit accounts with a range of interest rates and terms, including demand, checking and money market accounts. However, the majority of our deposits are generated through prepaid deposits. One strategic focus is growing these accounts through affinity groups. At June 30, 2017, we had total deposits of \$3.88 billion compared to \$4.24 billion at December 31, 2016, a decrease of \$362.2 million or 8.5%. The decrease reflected the planned exit of higher cost deposit relationships which did not have adequate income components. The following table presents the average balance and rates paid on deposits for the periods indicated (in thousands):



Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

	For the six months ended June 30, 2017		For the year ended December 31, 2016	
	Average balance	Average rate	Average balance	Average rate
Demand and interest checking *	\$ 3,547,820	0.32%	\$ 3,347,191	0.28%
Savings and money market	432,267	0.54%	394,434	0.39%
Time	-	0.00%	77,576	0.58%
Total deposits	\$ 3,980,087	0.35%	\$ 3,819,201	0.30%

\* Non-interest bearing demand accounts are not paid interest. The amount shown as interest reflects the fees paid to affinity groups, which are based upon a rate index, and therefore classified as interest expense.

**Borrowings.** We had no outstanding advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016. Long-term borrowings of \$263.1 million at December 31, 2016 reflected the proceeds of loans sold into a securitization which, at that date, was accounted for as a secured borrowing. In the first quarter of 2017, the documentation required for true sale accounting was completed, and the sale was recorded in that quarter. We do not have any policy prohibiting us from incurring debt.

**Other liabilities.** Other liabilities amounted to \$40.6 million at June 30, 2017 compared to \$44.1 million at December 31, 2016, representing a decrease of \$3.5 million. Other liabilities consist primarily of investment payables and accrued expenses.

**Off balance sheet arrangements.** There were no off-balance sheet arrangements during the six months ended June 30, 2017 that have or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that is material to our interests.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Except as discussed in Item 2, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” there has been no material change in our assessment of our sensitivity to market risk since our presentation in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016.

### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Members of our operational management and internal audit meet regularly to provide an established structure to report any weaknesses or other issues with controls, or any matter that has not been reported previously, to our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, and, in turn to the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

Under the supervision of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we have carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective at the reasonable assurance level.

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2017 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

For a discussion of certain regulatory proceedings involving the FDIC and FRB, see Part I, Item 2 “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Financial Statement Restatement; Regulatory Actions”.

On July 17, 2014, a class action securities complaint captioned Fletcher v. The Bancorp Inc., et al., was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. A consolidated version of that class action complaint was filed before the same court on January 23, 2015 on behalf of Lead Plaintiffs Arkansas Public Employees Retirement System and Arkansas Teacher Retirement System under the caption of In Re The Bancorp, Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. 14-cv-0952 (SLR). On October 26, 2015, Lead Plaintiffs filed an amended consolidated complaint against Bancorp, Betsy Z. Cohen, Paul Frenkiel, Frank M. Mastrangelo and Jeremy Kuiper, which alleges that during a class period beginning January 26, 2011 through June 26, 2015, the defendants made materially false and/or misleading statements and/or failed to disclose that (i) Bancorp had wrongfully extended and modified problem loans and under-reserved for loan losses due to adverse loans, (ii) Bancorp’s operations and credit practices were in violation of the Bank Secrecy Act (BSA), and (iii) as a result, Bancorp’s financial statements, press releases and public statements were materially false and misleading during the relevant period. The amended consolidated complaint further alleged that, as a result, the price of Bancorp’s common stock was artificially inflated and fell once the defendants’ misstatements and omissions were revealed, causing damage to the plaintiffs and the other members of the class. The complaint asked for an unspecified amount of damages, prejudgment and post-judgment interest and attorneys’ fees. On July 27, 2016, we and all other individually-named defendants entered into a Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement (Settlement Agreement) with respect to the consolidated class action. Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, we agreed to pay \$17.5 million to the plaintiffs as full and complete settlement of the litigation. All amounts paid by us were fully funded by the Company’s insurance carriers. All terms of the Settlement Agreement were approved by the Court on December 15, 2016.

The Company received a subpoena from the SEC, dated March 22, 2016, relating to an investigation by the SEC of the Company’s restatement of its financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2013 and the interim periods ended March 31, 2014, June 30, 2014 and September 30, 2014, which restatement was filed with the SEC on September 28, 2015, and the facts and circumstances underlying the restatement. The Company is cooperating fully with the SEC’s investigation. The costs to respond to the subpoena and cooperate with the SEC’s investigation have been material, and we expect such costs to continue to be material at least through the completion of the SEC’s investigation.

On June 30, 2016, the Company received written notice from the Internal Revenue Service that it will be conducting an audit of the Company’s tax returns for the tax years 2012, 2013 and 2014. The audit is in process.



The Company received a letter, dated August 1, 2016, demanding inspection of its books and records pursuant to Section 220 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, or DGCL, from legal counsel representing a shareholder (the "Demand Letter"). The Company, through outside legal counsel, responded to the Demand Letter by permitting the shareholder to inspect certain of the Company's books and records and by objecting to other requests. On January 30, 2017, the shareholder filed a complaint in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware seeking an order from the court, pursuant to Section 220 of the DGCL, compelling the Company to permit the shareholder to inspect additional books and records of the Company. The Company believes that its original response to the Demand Letter was appropriate in all respects and continues to defend against the complaint. On July 27, 2017, the Court of Chancery ruled in favor of the Company and granted an Order of Final Judgment Denying Plaintiff's Demand To Inspect The Books And Records of Defendant. The court's Order is subject to appeal rights. Both the Demand Letter and the complaint threaten the commencement of a shareholder's derivative suit against certain officers and directors of the Company seeking damages and other remedies on behalf of the Company. We have been advised by our counsel in the matter that reasonably possible losses cannot be estimated, but we continue to believe the claim is without merit.

In addition, we are a party to various routine legal proceedings arising out of the ordinary course of our business. Management believes that none of these actions, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or operations.

Item 6. Exhibits

The Exhibits furnished as part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are identified in the Exhibit Index immediately following the signature page of this Report. Such Exhibit Index is incorporated herein by reference.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

THE BANCORP INC  
(Registrant)

August 8, 2017 /s/ DAMIAN KOZLOWSKI  
Date Damian Kozlowski  
President/Chief Executive Officer

August 8, 2017 /s/ PAUL FRENKIEL  
Date Paul Frenkiel  
  
Executive Vice President of Strategy,  
Chief Financial Officer and Secretary

Exhibit No.	Description
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications *
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications *
32.1	Section 1350 Certifications *
32.2	Section 1350 Certifications *
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document

Edgar Filing: Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document  
101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document  
101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document  
101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document  
\* Filed herewith