THOR INDUSTRIES INC Form 10-K September 26, 2013 Table of Contents

#### UNITED STATES

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20549

#### FORM 10-K

(Mark one)

p ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended July 31, 2013, Commission File Number 1-9235

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

to

Commission file number 1-9235

#### THOR INDUSTRIES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of

93-0768752 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification Number)

601 East Beardsley Ave., Elkhart, IN (Address of principal executive offices)

46514-3305 (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (574) 970-7460

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

Title of each class: Common Stock (par value \$.10 per share) Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: None Name of each exchange on which registered: New York Stock Exchange

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes b No "

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes " No b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports); and (2) has been subject to the filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes b No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. Yes "No b"

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions, of large accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated Filer b

Accelerated Filer "

Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company "
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 126-2 of the Exchange Act.)

Yes " No b

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of January 31, 2013 was \$1,597,873,332 based on the closing price of the registrant s common shares on January 31, 2013, the last business day of the registrant s most recently completed second fiscal quarter. Solely for the purpose of this calculation and for no other purpose, the non-affiliates of the registrant are assumed to be all shareholders of the registrant other than (i) directors of the registrant (ii) current executive officers of the registrant who are identified as named executive officers pursuant to Item 11 of the registrant s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2012 and (iii) any shareholder that beneficially owns 10% or more of the registrant s common stock. Such exclusion is not intended, nor shall it be deemed, to be an admission that such persons are affiliates of the registrant. The number of common shares of registrant s stock outstanding as of September 13, 2013 was 53,186,093.

Documents incorporated by reference:

Portions of the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on December 10, 2013 are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I			
	ITEM 1.	BUSINESS	1
	ITEM 1A.	RISK FACTORS	$\epsilon$
	ITEM 1B.	UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	10
	ITEM 2.	PROPERTIES	11
	ITEM 3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	12
	ITEM 4.	MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES	12
PART II			
	ITEM 5.	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER	
		MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	13
	ITEM 6.	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	14
	ITEM 7.	MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND	
		RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	15
	ITEM 7A.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	32
	ITEM 8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA SEE ITEM 15	33
	ITEM 9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING	
		AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	34
	ITEM 9A.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	34
	ITEM 9B.	OTHER INFORMATION	35
PART III			
	ITEM 10.	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	36
	ITEM 11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	36
	ITEM 12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	
		AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS	36
	ITEM 13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR	
		<u>INDEPENDENCE</u>	37
	ITEM 14.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	37
PART IV			
	ITEM 15.	EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	38
SIGNATURES			41
EX-21.1			
EX-23.1			
EX-31.1			
EX-31.2			
EX-32.1			
EX-32.2			

ii

#### PART I

Unless otherwise indicated, all dollar amounts are presented in thousands except per share data.

#### ITEM 1. BUSINESS

The following discussion of our business solely relates to ongoing operations.

#### **General Development of Business**

Our company was founded in 1980 and manufactures and sells a wide range of recreational vehicles in the United States and Canada. We are incorporated in Delaware and are the successor to a corporation of the same name which was incorporated in Nevada on July 29, 1980. Our principal executive office is located at 601 East Beardsley Avenue, Elkhart, Indiana 46514 and our telephone number is (574) 970-7460. Our Internet address is <a href="https://www.thorindustries.com">www.thorindustries.com</a>. We maintain copies of our recent filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), available free of charge, on our web site. Unless the context otherwise requires or indicates, all references to Thor , the Company , we , our and refer to Thor Industries. Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Our principal recreational vehicle operating subsidiaries are Airstream, Inc. (*Airstream*), CrossRoads RV (*CrossRoads*), Dutchmen Manufacturing, Inc. (*Dutchmen*), Thor Motor Coach, Inc. (*Thor Motor Coach*), Keystone RV Company (*Keystone*) and Heartland Recreational Vehicles, LLC (*Heartland*).

On September 16, 2010, we acquired 100% of Towable Holdings, Inc., the parent company of Heartland Recreational Vehicles, LLC (Heartland) pursuant to a stock purchase agreement for \$99,732 in cash and 4,300,000 shares of our common stock. Heartland is located in Elkhart, Indiana and is a major manufacturer of towable recreational vehicles. Heartland is included in our Towables reportable segment.

On June 3, 2013, Thor Wakarusa, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Thor, purchased a recreational vehicle production campus in Wakarusa, Indiana for \$5,819. The purchase included land and production facilities, comprised of approximately one million square feet of total production space on more than 150 acres, along with certain related equipment, including more than 35 paint booths specifically designed for painting recreational vehicles. The Company plans to use the facilities for motorized recreational vehicle production to better meet current and expected demand, and to vertically integrate certain paint operations through one of its towable recreational vehicle subsidiaries.

Subsequent to our year end, on August 30, 2013, the Company acquired the assets of recreational vehicle manufacturer Livin Lite, located in Wakarusa, Indiana, through a wholly-owned subsidiary for cash consideration of approximately \$18,000, subject to working capital adjustments. The Company purchased the assets to expand its recreational vehicle market share and complement its existing brands with Livin Lite s advanced lightweight product offerings. Under our ownership, Livin Lite will continue as an independent operation in the same manner as our existing recreational vehicle subsidiaries.

## **Discontinued Operations**

On July 31, 2013, we entered a definitive Stock Purchase Agreement to sell our bus business to Allied Specialty Vehicles, Inc. (ASV) for \$100 million in cash, subject to closing adjustments including working capital changes from April 30, 2013 until closing. The sale is subject to customary closing conditions and is expected to be completed by November 1, 2013. Thor s bus business includes Champion Bus, Inc., General Coach America, Inc., Goshen Coach, Inc., El Dorado National California, Inc., and El Dorado National Kansas, Inc. As a result of our plan to divest the bus business, the assets and liabilities of the bus business are reported as assets or liabilities of discontinued operations in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of July 31, 2013 and the results of operations as income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes on the Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the years ended July 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011. Discontinued operations also reflect the results of the ambulance product line, through the date of its sale on April 30, 2013. See Note 3, Discontinued Operations, in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

## **Recreational Vehicles**

Thor is one of the largest unit and revenue manufacturers of recreational vehicles ( RVs ) in North America based on retail statistics published by Statistical Surveys, Inc. and other reported data.

Airstream

Our Airstream subsidiary manufactures and sells premium quality travel trailers and motorhomes. Airstream vehicles are distinguished by their rounded shape and bright aluminum finish and, in our opinion, constitute the most recognized product in the recreational vehicle industry. Airstream manufactures and sells travel trailers under the trade names Airstream International, Classic Limited, Sport, Flying Cloud, Land Yacht and Eddie Bauer. Airstream also sells the Interstate Class B motorhome.

1

#### CrossRoads

Our CrossRoads subsidiary manufactures and sells conventional travel trailers and fifth wheels under the trade names *Cruiser*, *Rushmore*, *Zinger*, *Elevation*, and *Sunset Trail* and luxury fifth wheels under the trade name *Redwood*.

#### Dutchmen

Our Dutchmen subsidiary manufactures and sells conventional travel trailers, fifth wheels and park models primarily under the trade names *Dutchmen*, *Aerolite*, *Kodiak*, *Denali*, *Komfort*, *Voltage*, *Aspen Trail*, *Coleman* and *Infinity*.

#### Thor Motor Coach

Thor Motor Coach manufactures and sells gasoline and diesel Class A and Class C motorhomes. Its products are sold under trade names such as Four Winds, Hurricane, Windsport, Chateau, Daybreak, Challenger, Tuscany, Outlaw, Palazzo and A.C.E.

#### Keystone

Our Keystone subsidiary manufactures and sells conventional travel trailers and fifth wheels under trade names such as *Montana*, *Springdale*, *Hornet*, *Sprinter*, *Outback*, *Laredo*, *Alpine*, *Bullet*, *Fuzion*, *Raptor*, *Passport* and *Cougar*.

#### Heartland

Our Heartland subsidiary manufactures and sells conventional travel trailers and fifth wheels under trade names such as *Landmark, Bighorn, Sundance, Elk Ridge, Trail Runner, Cyclone, Prowler* and *Wilderness.* 

#### **Product Line Sales and Segment Information**

We have two reportable segments: 1) towable recreational vehicles and 2) motorized recreational vehicles. The towable recreational vehicle reportable segment consists of product lines from the following operating segments that have been aggregated: Airstream, CrossRoads, Dutchmen, Heartland (since its acquisition on September 16, 2010) and Keystone. The motorized recreational vehicle reportable segment consists of product lines from the following operating segments that have been aggregated: Airstream and Thor Motor Coach.

The table below sets forth the contribution of each of the Company s segments to net sales in each of the last three fiscal years:

	2013			2012		2011	
	Amount	%	I	Amount	%	Amount	%
Recreational Vehicles:							
Towables	\$ 2,650,253	82	\$	2,285,863	87	\$ 1,977,416	84
Motorized	591,542	18		353,935	13	363,026	16
Total Net Sales	\$ 3,241,795	100	\$	2,639,798	100	\$ 2,340,442	100

#### **Recreational Vehicles**

# Overview

We manufacture and sell a wide variety of recreational vehicles throughout the United States and Canada, as well as related parts and accessories. Recreational vehicle classifications are based upon standards established by the Recreation Vehicle Industry Association (RVIA) and park model classifications are based upon standards established by the Recreation Park Trailer Industry Association (RPTIA). The principal types of recreational vehicles that we produce include conventional travel trailers, fifth wheels, park models, and Class A, Class C and Class B motorhomes.

Travel trailers are non-motorized vehicles which are designed to be towed by passenger automobiles, pickup trucks, SUVs or vans. Travel trailers provide comfortable, self-contained living facilities for camping and vacationing purposes. We produce conventional and fifth wheel travel trailers. Conventional trailers are towed by means of a frame hitch attached to the towing vehicle. Fifth wheel trailers, designed to be towed by pickup trucks, are constructed with a raised forward section that is attached to a receiver in the bed area of the pickup truck.

Park models are recreational dwellings towed to a permanent site such as a lake, woods or park. The maximum size of park models in the United States is 400 square feet. They provide comfortable self-contained living and are second homes for their owners, according to RPTIA.

2

A motorhome is a self-powered vehicle built on a motor vehicle chassis. Motorhomes are self-contained with their own lighting, heating, cooking, refrigeration, sewage holding and water storage facilities, so that they can be lived in without being attached to utilities.

Class A motorhomes, constructed on medium-duty truck chassis, are supplied complete with engine and drivetrain components by motor vehicle manufacturers such as Ford and Freightliner. We design, manufacture and install the living area and driver s compartment of Class A motorhomes. Class C and Class B motorhomes are built on a Ford, General Motors or Mercedes Benz small truck or van chassis which includes an engine, drivetrain components and a finished cab section. We construct a living area which has access to the driver s compartment and attaches to the cab section. Although they are not designed for permanent or semi-permanent living, motorhomes can provide comfortable living facilities for camping and vacationing purposes.

#### Production

In order to minimize finished inventory, our recreational vehicles generally are produced to dealer order. Our facilities are designed to provide efficient assembly line manufacturing of products. Capacity increases can be achieved at relatively low cost, largely by increasing the number of production employees or by acquiring or leasing additional facilities and equipment.

We purchase in finished form many of the components used in the production of our recreational vehicles. The principal raw materials used in the manufacturing processes for motorhomes and travel trailers are aluminum, lumber, plywood, plastic, fiberglass and steel purchased from numerous suppliers. We believe that, except for chassis and key towable RV components sourced from a major supplier, Drew Industries, Inc. ( Drew ), substitute sources for raw materials and components are available with no material impact on our operations.

Our relationship with our chassis suppliers is similar to our other vendor relationships in that no long-term contractual commitments are engaged in by either party. Historically, Ford and General Motors resort to an industry-wide allocation system during periods when chassis supply is restricted. These allocations are based on the volume of chassis previously purchased. Sales of motorhomes rely on these chassis and are affected accordingly. Recent limitations in the availability of certain motorhome chassis have hindered our ability to increase production levels and are anticipated to continue at least through early calendar year 2014. To date, we have not experienced any unusual cost increases from our chassis suppliers.

Generally, all of our operating subsidiaries introduce new or improved lines or models of recreational vehicles each year. Changes typically include new sizes and floor plans, different decors or design features and engineering improvements.

#### Seasonality

Since recreational vehicles are used primarily by vacationers and campers, our recreational vehicle sales are seasonal and, in most geographical areas, tend to be significantly lower during the winter months than in other periods. As a result, recreational vehicle sales are historically lowest during our second fiscal quarter, which ends on January 31 of each year.

#### Marketing and Distribution

We market our recreational vehicles through independent dealers located throughout the United States and Canada. Each of our recreational vehicle operating subsidiaries maintains its own dealer organization, with some dealers carrying more than one of our product lines. As of July 31, 2013, there were approximately 1,900 dealerships carrying our products in the U.S. and Canada. We believe that close working relationships between our management and sales personnel and the many independent dealers we work with provide us with valuable information on customer preferences and the quality and marketability of our products. Additionally, by maintaining substantially separate dealer networks for each of our subsidiaries, our products are targeted to be competing against competitors products in similar price ranges rather than against our other products. Park models are typically sold by park model dealers as well as by some travel trailer dealers.

Each of our recreational vehicle operating subsidiaries has an independent sales force to call on their dealers. Our most important sales promotions occur at the major recreational vehicle shows which take place throughout the year at different locations across the country. We benefit from the recreational vehicle awareness advertising and major marketing programs sponsored by the RVIA in national print media and television. We engage in a limited amount of consumer-oriented advertising for our recreational vehicles, primarily through industry magazines, product brochures, direct mail advertising campaigns and the internet.

In our selection of individual dealers, we emphasize the dealer s ability to maintain a sufficient inventory of our products, as well as their financial stability, credit worthiness, reputation, experience and ability to provide service. Many of our dealers carry the recreational vehicle lines of one or more of our competitors. Generally, each of our operating subsidiaries has sales agreements with their dealers and these

agreements are subject to annual review.

3

During fiscal 2013, 2012 and 2011, one of our dealers, FreedomRoads, LLC, accounted for 17%, 14% and 14% of our continuing consolidated net sales, respectively. This dealer also accounted for 24% of the Company s continuing consolidated trade accounts receivable at July 31, 2013 and 23% at July 31, 2012.

We generally do not finance dealer purchases. Most dealers are financed on a floor plan basis by an unrelated bank or financing company which lends the dealer all or substantially all of the wholesale purchase price and retains a security interest in the vehicles purchased. As is customary in the recreational vehicle industry, we will execute a repurchase agreement with a lending institution financing a dealer s purchase of our products upon the lending institution s request. Repurchase agreements provide that, for a period of up to 18 months after a unit is financed, and in the event of default by the dealer and notification from the lending institution of the dealer default, we will repurchase all the dealer units repossessed by the lending institution for the amount then due, which is often less than 100% of the dealer s cost. The risk of loss under repurchase agreements is spread over numerous dealers and is further reduced by the resale value of the units which we would be required to repurchase. We believe that any future losses under these agreements would not have a material adverse effect on our Company. The losses incurred due to repurchase were \$906, \$360 and \$853 in fiscal 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

#### Backlog

As of July 31, 2013, the backlog for towable and motorized recreational vehicle orders was \$228,416 and \$213,116, respectively, compared to \$224,603 and \$110,757, respectively, at July 31, 2012. Backlog represents unfilled dealer orders on a particular day which can and do fluctuate on a seasonal basis. In the recreational vehicle business our manufacturing time is relatively short. The existing backlog of towable and motorized recreational vehicles is expected to be filled in fiscal 2014.

Historically, the amount of our current backlog compared to our backlog in previous periods reflects general economic and industry conditions and, together with other relevant factors such as continued acceptance of our products by the consumer, may be an indicator of our revenues in the near term.

#### **Product Warranties**

We generally provide retail purchasers of our recreational vehicles with a one-year limited warranty against defects in materials and workmanship and a standard two-year limited warranty on certain major components separately warranted by the suppliers of these components. The chassis and engines of our motorhomes are warranted for three years or 36,000 miles by their manufacturers.

#### Regulation

We are subject to the provisions of the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act ( NTMVSA ) and the safety standards for recreational vehicles and recreational vehicle components which have been promulgated thereunder by the U.S. Department of Transportation. Because of our sales in Canada, we are also governed by similar laws and regulations issued by the Canadian government.

We are a member of the RVIA, a voluntary association of recreational vehicle manufacturers which promulgates recreational vehicle safety standards. We place an RVIA seal on each of our recreational vehicles to certify that the RVIA standards have been met.

Both federal and state authorities have various environmental control standards relating to air, water and noise pollution which affect our business and operations. For example, these standards, which are generally applicable to all companies, control our choice of paints, discharge of air compressor, waste water and noise emitted by our factories. We rely upon certifications obtained by chassis manufacturers with respect to compliance by our vehicles with all applicable emission control standards.

We are also subject to the regulations promulgated by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). Our plants are periodically inspected by federal agencies concerned with health and safety in the work place, and by the RVIA, to ensure that our plants and products comply with applicable governmental and industry standards.

We believe that our products and facilities comply in all material respects with applicable vehicle safety, environmental, RVIA and OSHA regulations.

We do not believe that ongoing compliance with the regulations discussed above will have a material effect on our capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position.

4

#### Competition

The recreational vehicle industry is generally characterized by ease of entry, although the codes, standards and safety requirements introduced in recent years are a deterrent to new competitors. The need to develop an effective dealer network also acts as a barrier to entry. The recreational vehicle market is intensely competitive with a number of other manufacturers selling products which compete directly with our products. Competition in the recreational vehicle industry is based upon price, design, value, quality and service. We believe that the quality, design and price of our products and the warranty coverage and service that we provide allow us to compete favorably for retail purchasers of recreational vehicles. There are approximately 70 RV manufacturers in the U.S. and Canada.

Our primary competitors within the towables segment include Forest River, Inc. and Jayco, Inc. while our primary competitors within the motorized segment are Winnebago Industries, Inc. and Forest River, Inc. We estimate that we are one of the largest recreational vehicle manufacturers in terms of units produced and revenue. According to Statistical Surveys, Inc., for the six months ended June 30, 2013 our U.S. market share for travel trailers and fifth wheels is approximately 37% and our U.S. market share for motorhomes is approximately 25%.

#### **Trademarks and Patents**

We have registered United States trademarks, Canadian trademarks, certain international trademarks and licenses carrying the principal trade names and model lines under which our products are marketed. We hold and protect certain patents related to our business. We are not dependent upon any patents or technology licenses of others for the conduct of our business.

#### **Employee Relations**

At July 31, 2013, our continuing operations employed approximately 8,300 full-time employees in the United States, of which approximately 940 were salaried. None of our employees are represented by certified labor organizations. We believe that we maintain a good working relationship with our employees.

## Information about Foreign and Domestic Operations and Export Sales

Export sales, primarily to Canada, from our continuing U.S. operations were \$537,374, \$456,073 and \$428,907 in fiscal 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

## **Forward Looking Statements**

This Annual Report on Form 10-K includes certain statements that are—forward looking—statements within the meaning of the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These forward looking statements are made based on management—s current expectations and beliefs regarding future and anticipated developments and their effects upon Thor Industries, Inc., and inherently involve uncertainties and risks. These forward looking statements are not a guarantee of future performance. There can be no assurance that actual results will not differ from our expectations. Factors which could cause materially different results include, among others, price fluctuations, material or chassis supply restrictions, legislative and regulatory developments, the costs of compliance with increased governmental regulation, legal issues, the potential impact of increased tax burdens on our dealers and retail consumers, lower consumer confidence and the level of discretionary consumer spending, interest rate fluctuations, restrictive lending practices, recent management changes, the success of new product introductions, the pace of acquisitions, the impact of the divestiture of the Company—s bus businesses, asset impairment charges, cost structure improvements, competition and general economic, market and political conditions and the other risks and uncertainties discussed more fully in ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS below. We disclaim any obligation or undertaking to disseminate any updates or revisions to any forward looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K or to reflect any change in our expectations after the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any statement is based, except as required by law.

#### **Available Information**

Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and all amendments to those reports and the Proxy Statement for our Annual Meeting of Stockholders are made available, free of charge, on our website, <u>www.thorindustries.com</u>, as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports have been filed with or furnished to the SEC. You may also read and copy any materials that we file with the SEC at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, the SEC maintains a website that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information that is filed electronically with the SEC. The website can be accessed at

www.sec.gov.

5

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The following risk factors, which relate to our continuing operations, should be considered carefully in addition to the other information contained in this filing.

The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face and represent some of the risks that our management believes are material to our Company and our business. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be harmed.

#### **Risks Relating To Our Business**

The industry in which we operate is highly competitive.

The industry that we are engaged in is highly competitive and we have numerous existing and potential competitors. The recreational vehicle industry is generally characterized by ease of entry, although the codes, standards and safety requirements introduced in recent years are a deterrent to new competitors. The need to develop an effective dealer network also acts as a barrier to entry. Competition is based upon price, design, value, quality and service. Competitive pressures have, from time to time, resulted in a reduction of our profit margins. Sustained increases in these competitive pressures could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. There can be no assurance that existing or new competitors will not develop products that are superior to ours or that achieve better consumer acceptance, thereby adversely affecting our market share, sales volume and profit margins.

Our business is cyclical and this can lead to fluctuations in our operating results.

The recreational vehicle industry in which we operate is cyclical and there can be substantial fluctuations in our production levels, shipments and operating results. Consequently, the results for any prior period may not be indicative of results for any future period.

Our business is seasonal and this leads to fluctuations in sales, production and net income.

We have experienced, and expect to continue to experience, significant variability in sales, production and net income as a result of seasonality in our business. Since recreational vehicles are used primarily by vacationers and campers, demand in the recreational vehicle industry generally declines during the winter season, while sales and profits are generally highest during the spring and summer months. In addition, unusually severe weather conditions in some markets may delay the timing of shipments from one quarter to another.

Our business may be affected by certain external factors beyond our control.

Companies within the recreational vehicle industry are subject to volatility in operating results due to external factors such as general economic conditions, including credit availability, consumer confidence, employment rates, prevailing interest rates, inflation, other economic conditions affecting consumer attitudes and disposable consumer income, demographic changes and political changes. Specific factors affecting our business include:

overall consumer confidence and the level of discretionary consumer spending; inventory levels, including the level of retail sales by our dealers; general economic, market and political conditions;

demographics, such as the retirement of baby boomers;

interest rates and the availability of credit;
employment trends;
industry demand; and
increases in raw material costs.

The loss of our largest dealer could have a significant effect on our business.

FreedomRoads, LLC, accounted for 17% of our consolidated net sales for fiscal 2013. The loss of this dealer could have a significant adverse effect on our business. In addition, deterioration in the liquidity or credit worthiness of FreedomRoads, LLC could negatively impact our sales and accounts receivable and could trigger repurchase obligations under our repurchase agreements.

6

Certain of our notes receivable may have collectability risk.

In January 2009, we entered into two credit agreements, for \$10,000 each, with Stephen Adams, in his individual capacity, and Stephen Adams and his successors, as trustee under the Stephen Adams Living Trust (the Trust and, together with each of the foregoing persons, the January 2009 Loan Borrowers), pursuant to which \$4,000 of original principal is outstanding as of July 31, 2013 and due on January 15, 2014.

In addition, in December 2009, we entered into a \$10,000 credit agreement with Marcus Lemonis, Stephen Adams, in his individual capacity, and Stephen Adams and his successors, as trustee under the Trust (collectively, the December 2009 Loan Borrowers), and later modified in December 2012, pursuant to which \$8,500 of original principal is outstanding as of July 31, 2013 with the final payment due on August 30, 2015.

The January 2009 Loan Borrowers and the December 2009 Loan Borrowers own, directly or indirectly, a controlling interest in FreedomRoads Holding Company, LLC, the parent company of FreedomRoads, LLC, our largest dealer.

While we believe that the notes receivable from the January 2009 and December 2009 Loan Borrowers are collectable, deterioration in the liquidity or credit worthiness of the January 2009 Loan Borrowers or the December 2009 Loan Borrowers could impact the collectability of the notes receivable.

Fuel shortages, or high prices for fuel, could have a negative effect on sales of our recreational vehicles.

Gasoline or diesel fuel is required for the operation of our vehicles. There can be no assurance that the supply of these petroleum products will continue uninterrupted, that rationing will not be imposed or that the price of or tax on these petroleum products will not significantly increase in the future. Shortages of gasoline and diesel fuel and substantial increases in the price of fuel have had a material adverse effect on the recreational vehicle industry as a whole in the past and could have a material adverse effect on our business in the future.

Our business is affected by the availability and terms of financing to dealers and retail purchasers.

Our business is affected by the availability and terms of financing to dealers and retail purchasers. Generally, recreational vehicle dealers finance their purchases of inventory with financing provided by lending institutions. A decrease in the availability of this type of wholesale financing can prevent dealers from carrying adequate levels of inventory, which limits product offerings and could lead to reduced demand. In addition, two of the major financial flooring institutions held approximately 86% of our portion of our dealers total floored dollars outstanding at July 31, 2013. Substantial increases in interest rates and decreases in the general availability of credit have also had an adverse impact upon our business and results of operations in the past and may do so in the future. In particular, credit availability may have a significant impact on our business. Further, a decrease in availability of consumer credit resulting from unfavorable economic conditions may cause consumers to reduce discretionary spending which could, in turn, reduce demand for our products and negatively affect our profitability.

Changes in consumer preferences for our products or our failure to gauge those preferences could lead to reduced sales and additional costs.

We cannot be certain that historical consumer preferences for our products in general, and recreational vehicles in particular, will remain unchanged. We believe that the introduction of new features, designs and models will be critical to the future success of our recreational vehicle operations. Delays in the introduction or market acceptance of new models, designs or product features could have a material adverse effect on our business. Products may not be accepted for a number of reasons, including changes in consumer preferences or our failure to properly gauge consumer preferences. Further, we cannot be certain that new product introductions will not reduce revenues from existing models and adversely affect our results of operations. In addition, there can be no assurance that any of these new models or products will be introduced to the market on time or that they will be successful when introduced.

If the frequency and size of product liability and other claims against us rise, our business, results of operations and financial condition may be harmed.

We are subject, in the ordinary course of business, to litigation involving product liability and other claims against us, including wrongful death, personal injury and warranties. We generally self-insure our product liability and other claims and also purchase product liability and other insurance in the commercial insurance market. We cannot be certain that our insurance coverage will be sufficient to cover all future claims against us. We have a self-insured retention (SIR) for products liability and personal injury matters of \$5,000 per occurrence. Beginning April 1, 2012, this SIR for bus related matters is \$7,500 per occurrence. In accordance with the Stock Purchase Agreement with ASV, dated July 31, 2013, we retain the SIR for any bus related occurrence prior to the closing date.

Amounts above the SIR, up to a certain dollar amount, are covered by our excess insurance policy. Currently, we maintain excess liability insurance aggregating \$50,000 with outside insurance carriers to minimize our risks related to catastrophic claims in excess of our self-insured positions for products liability and personal injury matters. Any material change in the aforementioned factors could have an adverse impact on our operating results. Any increase in the frequency and size of these claims, as compared to our experience in prior years, may cause the premium that we are required to pay for insurance to rise significantly. It may also increase the amounts we pay in punitive damages, not all of which are covered by our insurance.

7

When we introduce new products into the marketplace we may incur expenses that we did not anticipate, which, in turn, can result in reduced earnings.

The introduction of new models is critical to our future success. We may incur unexpected expenses, however, when we introduce new models. For example, we may experience unexpected engineering or design flaws that will force a recall of a new product. The costs resulting from these types of problems could be substantial and could have a significant adverse effect on our earnings.

Our repurchase agreements with floor plan lenders could result in increased costs.

In accordance with customary practice in the recreational vehicle industry, upon the request of a lending institution financing a dealer s purchase of our products, we will execute a repurchase agreement with the lending institution. Repurchase agreements provide that, for a period of up to 18 months after a recreational vehicle is financed and in the event of default by the dealer, we will repurchase the recreational vehicle repossessed by the lending institution for the amount then due, which is usually less than 100% of the dealer s cost. In addition to the guarantee under these repurchase agreements, we may also be required to repurchase inventory relative to dealer terminations in certain states in accordance with state laws or regulatory requirements. The difference between the gross repurchase price and the price at which the repurchased product can then be resold, which is typically at a discount to the original sale price, is an expense to us. Thus, if we were obligated to repurchase a substantially greater number of recreational vehicles, or incurred substantially greater discounting to resell these units in the future, this would increase our costs. In difficult economic times this amount could increase significantly compared to recent years.

For some of our components, we depend on a small group of suppliers and the loss of any of these suppliers could affect our ability to obtain components at competitive prices which would decrease our margins.

Most components are readily available from a variety of sources. However, a few components are currently produced by only a small group of quality suppliers that have the capacity to supply large quantities on a national basis. Primarily, this occurs in the case of 1) motorized chassis, where Ford Motor Company and General Motors are dominant suppliers, and 2) windows and doors, chassis and slide-out mechanisms, axles and upholstered furniture for our recreational vehicles, where Drew Industries is a major supplier for these items within the RV industry.

The recreational vehicle industry as a whole has, from time to time, experienced shortages of chassis due to the concentration or allocation of available resources by suppliers of chassis to the manufacturers of vehicles other than recreational vehicles or for other causes. Historically, in the event of an industry-wide restriction of supply, Ford Motor Company and General Motors have allocated chassis among us and our competitors based on the volume of chassis previously purchased. If Ford Motor Company or General Motors were to discontinue the manufacturing of motorhome chassis, or if, as a group, all of our chassis suppliers significantly reduced the availability of chassis to the industry; our business could be adversely affected. Similarly, shortages at, or production delays or work stoppages by the employees of Ford Motor Company, General Motors or other chassis suppliers, could have a material adverse effect on our sales. If the condition of the U.S. auto industry were to significantly deteriorate, this could result in supply interruptions and a decrease in our sales and earnings while we obtain replacement chassis from other sources. Recent limitations in the availability of certain motorhome chassis have hindered our ability to increase production levels and are anticipated to continue through early calendar year 2014.

Drew Industries is a major supplier of a number of key components of our recreational vehicles such as windows and doors, towable chassis and slide-out mechanisms, axles and upholstered furniture. We have not experienced any significant shortages or delays in delivery related to these items; however, if industry demand were to increase faster than Drew Industries can respond, or other factors impact their ability to continue to supply our needs for these key components, our business could be adversely affected.

Finally, as is standard in the industry, arrangements with chassis and other suppliers such as Ford Motor Company, General Motors and Drew Industries are terminable at any time by either our Company or the supplier. If we cannot obtain an adequate supply of chassis or key components, this could result in a decrease in our sales and earnings.

Our business is subject to numerous federal, state and local regulations.

We are subject to numerous federal, state and local regulations governing the manufacture and sale of our products, including the provisions of the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act ( NTMVSA ) and the safety standards for vehicles and components which have been promulgated under the NTMVSA by the Department of Transportation. The NTMVSA authorizes the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration to require a manufacturer to recall and repair vehicles which contain certain hazards or defects. Any recalls of our vehicles, voluntary or involuntary, could have a material adverse effect on our Company.

We are also subject to federal and numerous state consumer protection and unfair trade practice laws and regulations relating to the sale, transportation and marketing of motor vehicles, including so-called lemon laws. Federal and state laws and regulations also impose upon vehicle operators various restrictions on the weight, length and width of motor vehicles that may be operated in certain jurisdictions or on certain roadways. Certain jurisdictions also prohibit the sale of vehicles exceeding length restrictions. Federal and state authorities also have various environmental control standards relating to air, water, noise pollution and hazardous waste generation and disposal which affect our business and operations.

As a publicly-traded company, we are subject to the regulations promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission and the rules of the New York Stock Exchange.

Failure to comply with any of the foregoing laws or regulations could have an adverse impact on our business. Additionally, amendments to these regulations and the implementation of new regulations could increase the cost of manufacturing, purchasing, operating or selling our products and therefore could have an adverse impact on our business.

Compliance with conflict mineral disclosure requirements will create additional compliance cost and may create reputational challenges.

Recently, the SEC adopted new rules pursuant to Section 1502 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act setting forth new disclosure requirements concerning the use or potential use of certain minerals, deemed conflict minerals (tantalum, tin, gold and tungsten), that are mined from the Democratic Republic of Congo and adjoining countries. These new requirements will necessitate due diligence efforts by the Company to assess whether such minerals are used in our products in order to make the relevant required disclosures beginning in May 2014. There will be costs associated with complying with these new disclosure requirements, including for diligence to determine the sources of those minerals that may be used or necessary to the production of our products. We may face reputational challenges that could impact future sales if we determine that certain of our products contain minerals not determined to be conflict free or if we are unable to sufficiently verify the origins for all conflict minerals used in our products.

Our risk management policies and procedures may not be fully effective in achieving their purposes.

Our policies, procedures, controls and oversight to monitor and manage our enterprise risks may not be fully effective in achieving their purpose and may leave exposure to identified or unidentified risks. Past or future misconduct by our employees or vendors could result in violations of law by us, regulatory sanctions and/or serious reputational harm or financial harm. The Company monitors its policies, procedures and controls; however, there can be no assurance that our policies, procedures and controls will be sufficient to prevent all forms of misconduct. We review our compensation policies and practices as part of our overall enterprise risk management program, but it is possible that our compensation policies could incentivize inappropriate risk taking or misconduct. If such inappropriate risks or misconduct occurs, it is possible that it could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and/or our financial condition.

Interruption of information service or misappropriation or breach of our cyber systems could cause disruption and damage to our reputation.

Our business relies on information systems and other technology ( information systems ) to support our business operations, including but not limited to procurement, supply chain, manufacturing, distribution, invoicing and collection of payments. We use information systems to report and audit our operational results. Additionally, we rely upon information systems in our marketing and communication efforts. Due to our reliance on our information systems, we have established backup and disaster recovery procedures. Our business processes and operations may, however, be negatively impacted in the event of substantial disruption of service. Further, misuse, leakage or falsification of information could result in a violation of privacy laws and damage to our reputation which could, in turn, have a negative impact on our results.

We may not be able to protect our intellectual property and may be subject to infringement claims.

We rely on certain trademarks and patents, including contractual rights with third parties. We endeavor to protect our rights; however, third parties may infringe upon our intellectual property rights. We may be forced to take steps to protect our rights, including through litigation. This could result in a diversion of resources. The inability to protect our intellectual property rights could have a material adverse effect on our business. We may also be subject to claims by third parties, seeking to enforce their claimed intellectual property rights.

We could incur asset impairment charges for goodwill, intangible assets or other long-lived assets.

We have a significant amount of goodwill, intangible assets and other long-lived assets. At least annually, we review goodwill for impairment. Long-lived assets, identifiable intangible assets and goodwill are also reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable from future cash flows. These events or circumstances could include a significant change in the business climate, legal factors, operating performance indicators, competition, sale or disposition of a significant portion of the business or other factors. If the carrying value of a long-lived asset is considered impaired, an impairment charge is recorded for the amount by which the carrying value of the long-lived asset exceeds its fair value. Our determination of future cash flows, future recoverability and fair value of our long-lived assets includes significant estimates and assumptions. Changes in those estimates or assumptions or lower than anticipated future financial performance may result in the identification of an impaired asset and a non-cash impairment charge, which could be material. Any such charge could adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

#### **Table of Contents**

Our operations are dependent upon the services of key individuals, the loss of whom could materially harm us.

We rely upon the knowledge, experience and skills of our employees to compete effectively in our business and manage our operations. In addition, our future success will depend on, among other factors, our ability to attract and retain executive management, key employees and other qualified personnel. Upon the departure of key employees, our success may depend upon the existence of adequate succession plans. The loss of key employees or the failure to attract or retain employees could have a material adverse effect on us in the event that our succession plans prove inadequate. If we are unable to attract and retain qualified employees, our operations could be materially adversely affected.

The sale of our bus business may not close in the anticipated timeframe, or at all, or we may encounter unanticipated closing adjustments.

On July 31, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement to sell our bus business to ASV for \$100 million in cash, subject to closing adjustments including working capital changes until closing. The transaction is expected to close on or before November 1, 2013. Unanticipated closing or post-closing adjustments could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and liquidity. The failure of the transaction to close or close in the expected timeframe could also have a material adverse effect on our results.

Planned re-configuration, relocation or expansion of certain production operations may incur unanticipated costs or delays that could adversely affect operating results.

The development and expansion of certain products and models require the re-configuration, relocation or expansion of certain production operations. Such activities may be delayed or incur unanticipated costs which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

#### **Risks Relating To Our Company**

Provisions in our charter documents and of Delaware law may make it difficult for a third party to acquire our Company and could depress the price of our common stock.

Our Restated Certificate of Incorporation contains certain supermajority voting provisions that could delay, defer or prevent a change in control of our Company. These provisions could also make it more difficult for shareholders to elect directors, amend our Restated Certificate of Incorporation and take other corporate actions.

We are also subject to certain provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law that could delay, deter or prevent us from entering into an acquisition, including provisions which prohibit a Delaware corporation from engaging in a business combination with an interested shareholder unless specific conditions are met. The existence of these provisions could limit the price that investors are willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock and may deprive investors of an opportunity to sell shares at a premium over prevailing prices.

## ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

10

#### **ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

As of July 31, 2013, we own or lease approximately 7,318,000 square feet of manufacturing plant and office space. We believe that our present facilities, consisting primarily of steel clad, steel or wood frame and masonry construction, and the machinery and equipment contained in these facilities, are well maintained and in good condition. We believe that these facilities are adequate for our current and foreseeable purposes and that we would be able to obtain replacements for our leased premises at acceptable costs should our leases not be renewed.

The following table describes the location, number and size of our principal manufacturing plants and other materially important physical properties as of July 31, 2013:

		No. of	Approximate Building Area
Locations	Owned or Leased	Buildings	Square Feet
RVs:	U	g.	~ 4
Jackson Center, OH (Airstream) (A)(B)	Owned	9	299,000
Middlebury, IN (Dutchmen) (A)	Owned	1	90,000
Burley, ID (Dutchmen) (A)	Owned	5	162,000
Goshen, IN (Dutchmen) (A)	Owned	7	387,000
Bristol, IN (Dutchmen) (A)(C)	Owned	1	54,000
Syracuse, IN (Dutchmen) (A)	Owned	1	50,000
Clackamas, OR (Dutchmen) (A)	Owned	1	107,000
Nappanee, IN (Dutchmen) (A)	Owned	2	144,000
Elkhart, IN (Thor Motor Coach) (B)	Owned	13	711,000
Elkhart, IN (Thor Motor Coach) (B)	Leased	1	23,000
Topeka, IN (CrossRoads) (A)	Owned	7	386,000
Syracuse, IN (CrossRoads) (A)	Owned	3	134,000
Elkhart, IN (Heartland) (A)	Owned	8	587,000
Elkhart, IN (Heartland) (D)	Owned	2	68,000
Elkhart, IN (Heartland) (A)	Leased	4	234,000
Goshen, IN (Keystone) (A)	Owned	17	1,468,000
Pendleton, OR (Keystone) (A)	Owned	4	399,000
RV Subtotal		86	5,303,000
Corporate:			
Elkhart, IN	Owned	2	24,000
Wakarusa, IN (to be utilized by Keystone, Thor Motor Coach)	Owned	19	1,162,000
Corporate Subtotal		21	1,186,000
Buses (Discontinued Operations):			
Salina, KS (ElDorado Kansas)	Owned	2	255,000
Riverside, CA (ElDorado California)	Owned	1	227,000
Imlay City, MI (Champion Bus)	Owned	3	186,000
Elkhart, IN (Goshen Coach)	Owned	3	161,000
Buses Subtotal		9	829,000
Total		<u>116</u>	7,318,000

(A) Included in the towable recreational vehicles reportable segment.

(B) Included in the motorized recreational vehicles reportable segment.

(C) These locations are vacant and have been placed on the market.

(D) These locations are vacant and being held for future use.

#### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In addition to the matter described below, the Company is involved in certain litigation arising out of its operations in the normal course of its business, most of which is based upon state—lemon laws—, warranty claims and vehicle accidents (for which the Company carries insurance above a specified self-insured retention or deductible amount). The outcomes of legal proceedings and claims brought against the Company are subject to significant uncertainty. There is significant judgment required in assessing both the probability of an adverse outcome and the determination as to whether an exposure can be reasonably estimated. In management—s opinion, the ultimate disposition of any current legal proceedings or claims against the Company will not have a material effect on the Company—s financial condition, operating results or cash flows, except that an adverse outcome in a significant litigation matter could have a material effect on the operating results of a particular reporting period.

#### **FEMA Trailer Formaldehyde Litigation**

Beginning in 2006, a number of lawsuits were filed against numerous trailer and manufactured housing manufacturers, including complaints against the Company. The complaints were filed in various state and federal courts throughout Louisiana, Alabama, Texas and Mississippi on behalf of Gulf Coast residents who lived in travel trailers, park model trailers and manufactured homes provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) following Hurricanes Katrina and Rita in 2005. The complaints generally alleged that residents who occupied FEMA supplied emergency housing units, such as travel trailers, were exposed to formaldehyde emitted from the trailers. The plaintiffs allege various injuries from exposure, including health issues and emotional distress. Most of the initial cases were filed as class action suits. The Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (the MDL panel) transferred the actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana (the MDL Court). After denying class certification, the MDL Court commenced hearing both bellwether jury trials and bellwether summary jury trials.

In January and February of 2012, the Company s RV subsidiaries involved in the MDL proceedings participated in mediation and reached agreements in principle to resolve the litigation. On March 27, 2012, Heartland and its insurance carriers entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) memorializing a settlement. On March 30, 2012, Thor Industries, Inc., for itself and on behalf of its other RV subsidiaries involved in the MDL proceeding, and its insurance carriers, entered into an MOU memorializing a settlement reached in February 2012.

The Company and its RV subsidiaries involved in the MDL proceeding, their respective insurance carriers, several unaffiliated manufacturers of RVs and their insurers, and legal representatives of the plaintiffs each executed a Stipulation of Settlement in April 2012 (the Stipulation of Settlement ).

On June 1, 2012, the Company paid \$4,700 into the Registry of the United States District of Louisiana. This payment represents final payment of the Company and its subsidiaries obligation under the Stipulation of Settlement.

On September 27, 2012, after counsel for the plaintiffs produced the list of members of the class who requested exclusion from the proposed settlement, the MDL Court conducted a Fairness Hearing during which final approval of the proposed settlement was evaluated. On that same date, the Court approved the settlement and entered a final, appealable order dismissing all of the claims pending in the MDL litigation. Because no plaintiffs with claims against the Company or any of its subsidiaries opted out of the settlement, this order, in the absence of any filed appeal, effectively ends the litigation against the Company and its subsidiaries.

After no appeal was taken in relation to the claims against the Company or its subsidiaries, the MDL Court appointed a Special Master to allocate all pending settlements. On March 29, 2013, the MDL Court approved a methodology pertaining to the allocation of the settlements. On April 2, 2013, the Special Master filed a motion before the MDL Court seeking to establish an allocation and objection procedure. As mentioned above, the Company and all of its subsidiaries involved in this litigation have fully funded the settlements by depositing the agreed upon amounts into the Registry of the United States District of Louisiana.

## ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

12

#### PART II

# ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

#### **Market Information**

The Company s Common Stock, par value \$0.10 per share (the Common Stock), is traded on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE). Set forth below is the range of high and low prices for the Common Stock for each quarter during the Company s two most recent fiscal years, as quoted in the NYSE Monthly Market Statistics and Trading Reports:

	Fisca	Fiscal 2013		al 2012
	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 38.93	\$ 26.93	\$ 29.08	\$ 17.62
Second Quarter	45.75	35.77	31.82	22.25
Third Quarter	42.67	34.51	34.56	29.81
Fourth Quarter	55.77	36.40	34.70	26.27

As of September 13, 2013, the number of holders of record of the Common Stock was 109.

#### **Dividends**

In fiscal 2013, we paid a \$0.18 per share dividend in each quarter and a \$1.50 special dividend in the second quarter. In fiscal 2012, we paid a \$0.15 per share dividend in each quarter.

The Company s Board currently intends to continue quarterly cash dividend payments in the future. The declaration of future dividends and the establishment of the per share amounts, record dates and payment dates for any such future dividends are subject to the determination of the Board, and will be dependent upon future earnings, cash flows and other factors.

There are no limitations on the Company s ability to pay dividends pursuant to any credit facility.

**Equity Compensation Plan Information** see ITEM 12

#### ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

	Fiscal Years Ended July 31,									
	2	2013(1)		2012	201	1(2)(3)(4)	20	10(4)(5)	2	009(6)
Income statement data:										
Net sales	\$	3,241,795	\$	2,639,798	\$	2,340,442	\$	1,848,549	\$	1,115,006
Net income from continuing operations		151,676		111,435		91,647		91,224		2,452
Net income		152,862		121,739		106,273		110,064		17,143
Earnings per common share from										
continuing operations:										
Basic		2.86		2.07		1.66		1.72		0.04
Diluted		2.86		2.07		1.66		1.72		0.04
Earnings per common share:										
Basic		2.88		2.26		1.92		2.08		0.31
Diluted		2.88		2.26		1.92		2.07		0.31
Dividends declared and paid per common										
share		2.22		0.60		0.40		0.78		0.28
Balance sheet data:										
Total assets	\$	1,328,268	\$	1,243,054	\$	1,198,070	\$	964,073	\$	951,124

- (1) Includes non-cash goodwill and intangible asset impairments of \$6,810 and \$4,715, respectively, associated with a subsidiary in our discontinued bus business, and a non-cash long-lived asset impairment of \$2,000 associated with a subsidiary in our towables segment.
- (2) Includes non-cash trademark impairments of \$2,036 and \$1,430 for trademarks associated with subsidiaries in our motorized segment and discontinued bus business, respectively.
- (3) Includes expenses of \$6,333 attributable to legal and professional fees in connection with the Heartland acquisition and costs associated with the resolution of an SEC matter.
- (4) Includes gains on the involuntary conversion of assets of \$9,417 and \$7,593 in 2011 and 2010, respectively, related to the fiscal 2010 fire at a subsidiary in our discontinued bus business.
- (5) Includes a non-cash trademark impairment of \$500 for a trademark associated with a subsidiary in our towables segment.
- (6) Includes non-cash goodwill and trademark impairments of \$9,717 and \$564, respectively, for goodwill and trademarks associated with subsidiaries in our motorized segment.

#### ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) should be read in conjunction with the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in ITEM 8 of this Report.

Our MD&A discussion focuses on our ongoing operations. Discontinued operations are excluded from our MD&A discussion except as indicated otherwise.

#### Executive Overview

We were founded in 1980 and have grown to be one of the largest manufacturers of RVs in North America. Our U.S. market share in the travel trailer and fifth wheel portion of the towable segment is approximately 37% for the calendar year to date period ended June 30, 2013. In the motorized segment of the RV industry, we have a U.S. market share of approximately 25% for the calendar year to date period ended June 30, 2013.

Our business model includes decentralized operating units and we compensate operating management primarily with cash, based upon the profitability of the business unit which they manage. Our corporate staff provides financial management, insurance, legal, human resource, risk management and internal audit functions. Senior corporate management interacts regularly with operating management to assure that corporate objectives are understood and are monitored appropriately.

Our RV products are sold to dealers who, in turn, retail those products. We generally do not finance dealers directly, but do provide repurchase agreements to the dealers floor plan lenders.

Our growth has been internal and by acquisition. Our strategy has been to increase our profitability in North America in the RV industry through product innovation, service to our customers, manufacturing quality products, improving our facilities and processes and acquisitions. We have not entered unrelated businesses and have no plans to do so in the future.

We generally rely on internally generated cash flows from continuing operations to finance our growth. Capital acquisitions of \$24,190 in fiscal 2013 were made primarily for RV plant and office additions and to replace machinery and equipment used in the ordinary course of business.

#### Discontinued Operations

On July 31, 2013, we entered a definitive Stock Purchase Agreement to sell our bus business to Allied Specialty Vehicles, Inc. ( ASV ) for \$100 million in cash, subject to closing adjustments, including working capital changes from April 30, 2013 until closing. The sale is subject to customary closing conditions and is expected to be completed by November 1, 2013. Thor s bus business includes Champion Bus, Inc., General Coach America, Inc., Goshen Coach, Inc., El Dorado National California, Inc., and El Dorado National Kansas, Inc. As a result of our plan to divest the bus business, the assets and liabilities of the bus business are reported as assets or liabilities of discontinued operations in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of July 31, 2013 and the results of operations as income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes on the Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the years ended July 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011. Discontinued operations also reflect the results of the ambulance product line, through the date of its sale on April 30, 2013. See Note 3, Discontinued Operations, in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information. The following table summarizes the results of discontinued operations:

	2013	2012	2011
Discontinued Operations:			
Net sales	\$ 448,385	\$ 444,862	\$ 415,066
Operating income of discontinued operations	\$ 12,080	\$ 15,303	\$ 12,303
Gain on involuntary conversion			9,417
Impairment charges	11,525		1,430
Income tax benefit (expense)	 631	 (4,999)	 (5,664)
Income from discontinued operations, net of taxes	\$ 1,186	\$ 10,304	\$ 14,626
Other Significant Events			

During the year ended July 31, 2012, we purchased a combined total of 3,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock and held them as treasury stock at a total cost of \$77,000. Of the 3,000,000 shares, 2,000,000 were repurchased from the Estate of Wade F.B. Thompson (the Estate) in two separate private transactions at a total cost of \$48,500. Both of these transactions were evaluated and approved by members of our board of directors who are not affiliated with the Estate. In a third separate private transaction, we repurchased 1,000,000 shares from Catterton Partners VI, L.P., Catterton Partners VI Offshore, L.P., CP6 Interest Holdings, L.L.C., and CPVI Coinvest, L.L.C. at a total cost of \$28,500. We used available cash to purchase all of these shares, which collectively represented 5.4% of our issued and outstanding common stock prior to the repurchases. Each of these transactions is more fully discussed in Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### **Table of Contents**

On September 16, 2010, we acquired 100% of Towable Holdings, Inc., the parent company of Heartland Recreational Vehicles, LLC (Heartland), pursuant to a stock purchase agreement. Heartland is located in Elkhart, Indiana and is a major manufacturer of towable recreational vehicles. Under our ownership, Heartland continues as an independent operation, in the same manner as our existing recreational vehicle companies, and its operations are included in our Towables reportable segment.

Industry Outlook

The Company monitors the industry conditions in the RV market through the use of monthly wholesale shipment data as reported by the Recreation Vehicle Industry Association (RVIA), which is typically issued on a one month lag and represents the manufacturers RV production and delivery to dealers. In addition, the Company also monitors monthly retail sales trends as reported by Statistical Surveys, Inc., whose data is typically issued on a month and a half lag. The Company believes that monthly RV retail sales data is important as consumer purchases impact future dealer orders and ultimately our production.

We believe our dealer inventory is at appropriate levels for seasonal consumer demand, with dealers remaining optimistic yet cautious in advance of the RV Open House in September 2013. RV dealer inventory of Thor products as of July 31, 2013 increased 16.9% to 57,473 units from 49,166 units as of July 31, 2012. Thor s RV backlog as of July 31, 2013 increased 32% to \$441,532 from \$335,360 as of July 31, 2012.

## Industry Wholesale Statistics Calendar YTD

Key wholesale statistics for the RV industry, as reported by RVIA, are as follows:

	U.S. and Canada Wholesale Shipments					
		Calendar Year through June 30,				
	2013	2012	Increase	Change		
Towables - Units (1)	146,680	131,497	15,183	11.5%		
Motorized - Units	19,472	14,576	4,896	33.6%		
Total	166 152	146 073	20.079	13.7%		

<sup>(1)</sup> Excluding camping trailers and truck campers, which we did not manufacture in fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012.

According to the RVIA, calendar year 2013 wholesale shipments for all RV categories are forecast to total 319,300 units, an 11.7% increase over calendar year 2012, with most of the 2013 unit growth expected in travel trailers and fifth wheels. Calendar year 2013 motorized unit shipments are forecasted to increase 31.6% over calendar year 2012. Travel trailers and fifth wheels are expected to account for 84% of all RV shipments in 2013. The outlook for calendar 2013 growth in RV sales is based on rising consumer confidence, rising home and stock values, improved credit availability and continued slow gains in job and income prospects. RVIA has also forecast that 2014 calendar year shipments will total 334,300 units, a 4.7% increase from the expected 2013 wholesale shipments.

## Industry Retail Statistics Calendar YTD

We believe that retail demand is the key to continued improvement in the RV industry. With appropriate levels of dealer inventory currently, we believe that RV industry wholesale shipments will generally be on a one-to-one replenishment ratio with retail sales going forward.

Key retail statistics for the RV industry, as reported by Statistical Surveys, Inc., are as follows:

## U.S. and Canada Retail Registrations

	Calendar Year t	Calendar Year through June 30,			
	2013	2012	Increase	Change	
Towables - Units (1)	136,037	124,359	11,678	9.4%	
Motorized - Units	17,624	13,912	3,712	26.7%	
Total	153,661	138,271	15,390	11.1%	

<sup>(1)</sup> Excluding camping trailers, which we did not manufacture in fiscal 2013 and 2012.

Note: Data reported by Statistical Surveys, Inc. is based on official state records. This information is subject to adjustment and is continuously updated.

#### Company Wholesale Statistics Calendar YTD

The Company s wholesale RV shipments, for the calendar year periods through June 30, 2013 and 2012, (using data to correspond to the industry periods denoted above) were as follows:

#### U.S. and Canada Wholesale Shipments

	Calendar Year th	Calendar Year through June 30,			
	2013	2012	Increase	Change	
Towables - Units	55,033	50,706	4,327	8.5%	
Motorized - Units	4,487	3,153	1,334	42.3%	
Total	59,520	53,859	5,661	10.5%	

## Company Retail Statistics Calendar YTD

Retail shipments of the Company s RV products, as reported by Statistical Surveys, Inc. were as follows for the calendar year periods through June 30, 2013 and 2012 (to correspond to the industry periods denoted above):

#### U.S. and Canada Retail Registrations

	Calendar Year thro	Calendar Year through June 30,			
	2013	2012	Increase	Change	
Towables - Units	50,426	46,716	3,710	7.9%	
Motorized - Units	4,344	2,894	1,450	50.1%	
Total	54,770	49,610	5,160	10.4%	

Note: Data reported by Statistical Surveys, Inc. is based on official state records. This information is subject to adjustment and is continuously updated.

#### Company Wholesale Statistics Fiscal Year

For the fiscal years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company s wholesale RV shipments were as follows:

# U.S. and Canada Wholesale Shipments

	Fiscal Year End	Fiscal Year Ended July 31,		
	2013	2012	Increase	Change
Towables - units	99,202	87,872	11,330	12.9%
Motorized - units	7,420	4,720	2,700	57.2%
Total	106.622	92,592	14.030	15.2%

Our outlook for future growth in retail sales is dependent upon various economic conditions faced by consumers such as the rate of unemployment, the level of consumer confidence, the growth in disposable income, changes in interest rates, credit availability, the pace of recovery in the housing market, the impact of rising taxes and fuel prices. With continued improvement in consumer confidence, availability of retail and wholesale credit, low interest rates and the absence of negative economic factors, we would expect to see incremental improvements in RV sales and expect to benefit from our ability to increase production to meet increasing demand. In recent years, the industry has benefited from growing retail sales to younger consumers with new product offerings targeted to younger, more active families. In addition, a positive longer-term outlook for the RV segment is supported by favorable demographics as more people reach the age brackets that historically have accounted for the bulk of retail RV sales. The number of consumers between the ages of 55 and 70 will total 56 million by 2020, 27% higher than in 2010 according to the RVIA.

Economic or industry-wide factors affecting our RV business include the costs of commodities used in the manufacture of our products. Material cost is the primary factor determining our cost of products sold. We have recently incurred modest increased costs in certain raw materials and components (wood and lumber products) and any future increases in raw material costs would impact our profit margins negatively if we were unable to raise prices for our products by corresponding amounts. Historically, we have been able to pass along those cost increases to customers.

To date, we have not experienced any unusual cost increases from our chassis suppliers. The recreational vehicle industry has, from time to time, experienced shortages of chassis due to various causes such as component shortages, production delays or work stoppages at the chassis manufacturers which has impacted our sales and earnings. Recent limitations in the availability of certain motorized RV chassis have hindered our ability to increase production levels and are anticipated to continue through early calendar year 2014.

18

# FISCAL 2013 VS. FISCAL 2012

								Change	
	F	iscal 2013		F	iscal 2012			Amount	<u>%</u>
NET SALES		15041 2010							<u>,,,</u>
Recreational Vehicles									
Towables	\$	2,650,253		\$	2,285,863		\$	364,390	15.9
Motorized		591,542			353,935			237,607	67.1
		,			,			,	
Total	\$	3,241,795		\$	2,639,798		\$	601,997	22.8
# OF UNITS									
Recreational Vehicles									
Towables		99,202			87,872			11,330	12.9
Motorized		7,420			4,720			2,700	57.2
Motorized		7,120			1,720			2,700	37.2
Total		106,622			92,592			14,030	15.2
			% of			% of			
			Segment			Segment		Change	
	F	iscal 2013	Net Sales	F	iscal 2012	Net Sales		Amount	<u>%</u>
GROSS PROFIT									
Recreational Vehicles									
Towables	\$	351,276	13.3	\$	283,039	12.4	\$	68,237	24.1
Motorized	-	73,263	12.4	-	36,491	10.3	-	36,772	100.8
		,=			,.,-			,	
Total	\$	424,539	13.1	\$	319,530	12.1	\$	105,009	32.9
Total	\$	424,539	13.1	\$	319,530	12.1	\$	105,009	32.9
Total  SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES	\$	424,539	13.1	\$	319,530	12.1	\$	105,009	32.9
SELLING, GENERAL AND	\$	424,539	13.1	\$	319,530	12.1	\$	105,009	32.9
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES	\$	424,539	5.0	\$	319,530	12.1	\$	19,505	32.9
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles									
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables		133,585	5.0		114,080	5.0		19,505	17.1
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized		133,585 29,354	5.0 5.0		114,080 18,016	5.0 5.1		19,505 11,338	17.1 62.9
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized  Total Recreational Vehicles		133,585 29,354 162,939	5.0		114,080 18,016 132,096	5.0		19,505 11,338 30,843	17.1 62.9 23.3
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized		133,585 29,354	5.0 5.0		114,080 18,016	5.0 5.1		19,505 11,338	17.1 62.9
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized  Total Recreational Vehicles Corporate	\$	133,585 29,354 162,939 31,711	5.0 5.0 5.0	\$	114,080 18,016 132,096 16,164	5.0 5.1 5.0	\$	19,505 11,338 30,843 15,547	17.1 62.9 23.3 96.2
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized  Total Recreational Vehicles		133,585 29,354 162,939	5.0 5.0		114,080 18,016 132,096	5.0 5.1		19,505 11,338 30,843	17.1 62.9 23.3
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized  Total Recreational Vehicles Corporate	\$	133,585 29,354 162,939 31,711	5.0 5.0 5.0	\$	114,080 18,016 132,096 16,164	5.0 5.1 5.0	\$	19,505 11,338 30,843 15,547	17.1 62.9 23.3 96.2
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized  Total Recreational Vehicles Corporate  Total  INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES	\$	133,585 29,354 162,939 31,711	5.0 5.0 5.0	\$	114,080 18,016 132,096 16,164	5.0 5.1 5.0	\$	19,505 11,338 30,843 15,547	17.1 62.9 23.3 96.2
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized  Total Recreational Vehicles Corporate  Total  INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES Recreational Vehicles	\$	133,585 29,354 162,939 31,711 194,650	5.0 5.0 5.0	\$	114,080 18,016 132,096 16,164 148,260	5.0 5.1 5.0 5.6	\$	19,505 11,338 30,843 15,547 46,390	17.1 62.9 23.3 96.2 31.3
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized  Total Recreational Vehicles Corporate  Total  INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES Recreational Vehicles Towables	\$	133,585 29,354 162,939 31,711 194,650	5.0 5.0 5.0 6.0	\$	114,080 18,016 132,096 16,164 148,260	5.0 5.1 5.0 5.6	\$	19,505 11,338 30,843 15,547 46,390	17.1 62.9 23.3 96.2 31.3
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized  Total Recreational Vehicles Corporate  Total  INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES Recreational Vehicles	\$	133,585 29,354 162,939 31,711 194,650	5.0 5.0 5.0	\$	114,080 18,016 132,096 16,164 148,260	5.0 5.1 5.0 5.6	\$	19,505 11,338 30,843 15,547 46,390	17.1 62.9 23.3 96.2 31.3
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized  Total Recreational Vehicles Corporate  Total  INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized	\$	133,585 29,354 162,939 31,711 194,650 205,724 43,907	5.0 5.0 5.0 6.0	\$	114,080 18,016 132,096 16,164 148,260 158,973 18,469	5.0 5.1 5.0 5.6	\$	19,505 11,338 30,843 15,547 46,390 46,751 25,438	17.1 62.9 23.3 96.2 31.3
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized  Total Recreational Vehicles Corporate  Total  INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES Recreational Vehicles Towables	\$	133,585 29,354 162,939 31,711 194,650	5.0 5.0 5.0 6.0	\$	114,080 18,016 132,096 16,164 148,260	5.0 5.1 5.0 5.6	\$	19,505 11,338 30,843 15,547 46,390	17.1 62.9 23.3 96.2 31.3

6.8 \$

165,388

56,584

6.3 \$

34.2

221,972

\$

Total

	As of	As of	Change	
ORDER BACKLOG	July 31, 2013	July 31, 2012	<b>Amount</b>	<u>%</u>
Recreational Vehicles				
Towables	\$ 228,416	\$ 224,603	\$ 3,813	1.7
Motorized	213,116	110,757	102,359	92.4
Total	\$ 441,532	\$ 335,360	\$ 106,172	31.7

#### CONSOLIDATED

Consolidated net sales for fiscal 2013 increased \$601,997, or 22.8%, compared to fiscal 2012. Consolidated gross profit for fiscal 2013 increased \$105,009, or 32.9%, compared to fiscal 2012. Consolidated gross profit was 13.1% of consolidated net sales for fiscal 2013 compared to 12.1% of consolidated net sales for fiscal 2012. Selling, general and administrative expenses for fiscal 2013 increased 31.3% compared to fiscal 2012. Income before income taxes for fiscal 2013 was \$221,972 as compared to \$165,388 in fiscal 2012, an increase of 34.2%. The specifics on changes in net sales, gross profit, selling, general and administrative expenses and income before income taxes are addressed in the segment reporting below.

Corporate costs in selling, general and administrative expenses were \$31,711 for fiscal 2013 compared to \$16,164 for fiscal 2012. The increase of \$15,547 is primarily attributable to increased compensation related costs of \$7,671, which included an increase of \$4,177 in bonus expenses due to increased consolidated income before income taxes and certain management changes. Other compensation and stock-based compensation also increased \$1,573 and \$1,921 respectively, which included one-time separation costs of \$850 and \$256, respectively.

Corporate product liability insurance costs also increased \$3,232, largely due to favorable adjustments in the prior year to the Company s actuarially determined product liability reserve resulting from favorable historical claims experience and allocations of \$750 to the towables segment and \$1,500 to the discontinued bus business for claims activity previously reserved at Corporate. Employee related workers compensation and group insurance costs also increased \$2,622. In addition, costs related to the Corporate repurchase reserve required for vehicle repurchase commitments increased \$850 primarily due to increased standby repurchase obligations in correlation with increased sales and dealer inventory levels.

Corporate interest income and other income and expense was \$4,052 of income in fiscal 2013 compared to \$4,110 of income for fiscal 2012. The \$58 decrease in income is primarily due to a decrease in overall interest income of \$1,070, primarily due to reduced interest income on our notes receivable due to lower note balances. This decrease was partially offset by an increase of \$1,012 in other income, principally due to market value appreciation on the Company s deferred compensation plan assets of \$1,355 in fiscal 2013 as compared with \$311 in fiscal 2012, a favorable increase of \$1,044.

The overall annual effective tax rate for fiscal 2013 was 31.7% on \$221,972 of income before income taxes, compared to 32.6% on \$165,388 of income before income taxes for fiscal 2012. The primary reason for the decrease in the overall effective income tax rate was the larger favorable settlements of certain uncertain tax positions that occurred in the fiscal year ended July 31, 2013 compared to the fiscal year ended July 31, 2012. The Company also recorded a tax benefit in fiscal 2013 from the retroactive reinstatement of the federal research and development credit and other credits that were enacted on January 2, 2013.

The changes in costs and price within our business due to inflation were not significantly different from inflation in the United States economy as a whole. Levels of capital investment, pricing and inventory investment in our business were not materially affected by changes caused by inflation

20

#### SEGMENT REPORTING

#### **Towable Recreational Vehicles**

Analysis of Change in Net Sales for Fiscal 2013 vs. Fiscal 2012

	Fi	iscal 2013	% of Segment Net Sales	F	iscal 2012	% of Segment Net Sales	Change Amount	% Change
NET SALES:								
Towables								
Travel Trailers	\$	1,286,000	48.5	\$	1,068,350	46.7	\$ 217,650	20.4
Fifth Wheels		1,343,492	50.7		1,195,235	52.3	148,257	12.4
Other		20,761	0.8		22,278	1.0	(1,517)	(6.8)
Total Towables	\$	2,650,253	100.0	\$	2,285,863	100.0	\$ 364,390	15.9

	Fiscal 2013	% of Segment Shipments	Fiscal 2012	% of Segment Shipments	Change Amount	% Change
# OF UNITS:						
Towables						
Travel Trailers	65,153	65.7	55,518	63.2	9,635	17.4
Fifth Wheels	33,455	33.7	31,653	36.0	1,802	5.7
Other	594	0.6	701	0.8	(107)	(15.3)
Total Towables	99,202	100.0	87,872	100.0	11,330	12.9

#### IMPACT OF CHANGE IN MIX AND PRICE ON NET SALES:

	<b>%</b>
	Increase
Towables	
Travel Trailers	3.0
Fifth Wheels	6.7
Other	8.5
Total Towables	3.0

The increase in total towables net sales of 15.9% compared to the prior year period resulted from a 12.9% increase in unit shipments and a 3.0% increase in the impact of the change in the overall net price per unit.

The increase in the overall net price per unit within the travel trailer product lines of 3.0% is primarily due to selective net price increases and changes in product mix. The increase in the overall net price per unit within the fifth wheel product lines of 6.7% is due to customer preference toward units with additional features and upgrades compared to a year ago. Average fifth wheel selling prices have also increased due to the higher concentration of sales of luxury product lines and certain upscale toy hauler lines compared to the prior year. Selective net price increases were also implemented since the comparable prior year period. The other category relates to sales in the park model industry.

The overall industry increase in travel trailer and fifth wheel wholesale unit shipments for the twelve month period ended July 31, 2013 was 13.9% compared to the same period last year according to statistics published by RVIA.

Cost of products sold increased \$296,153 to \$2,298,977, or 86.7% of towable net sales, for fiscal 2013 compared to \$2,002,824, or 87.6% of towable net sales, for fiscal 2012. The change in material, labor, freight-out and warranty comprised \$279,878 of the \$296,153 increase in cost of products sold and was due to increased sales volume. Material, labor, freight-out and warranty as a combined percentage of towable net sales decreased to 81.2% in fiscal 2013 from 81.9% in fiscal 2012. This 0.7% decrease as a percentage of towable net sales is primarily due to the

favorable impact of selective net price increases in fiscal 2013. Total manufacturing overhead increased \$16,275 to \$146,665 in fiscal 2013 compared to \$130,390 in fiscal 2012 as a result of the increase in sales volume.

Variable costs in manufacturing overhead increased \$16,147 to \$136,251 or 5.1% of towable net sales for fiscal 2013 compared to \$120,104 or 5.3% of towable net sales for fiscal 2012 due to increased production. Fixed costs in manufacturing overhead, which consists primarily of facility costs, property taxes and depreciation, increased \$128 to \$10,414 in fiscal 2013 from \$10,286 in fiscal 2012.

21

Towable gross profit increased \$68,237 to \$351,276, or 13.3% of towable net sales, for fiscal 2013 compared to \$283,039, or 12.4% of towable net sales, for fiscal 2012. The increase in gross profit and gross profit percentage was due primarily to the 15.9% increase in net sales.

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$133,585, or 5.0% of towable net sales, for fiscal 2013 compared to \$114,080, or 5.0% of towable net sales, for fiscal 2012. The primary reason for the \$19,505 increase in selling, general and administrative expenses was increased towable net sales and towable income before income taxes, which caused related commissions, bonuses and other compensation to increase by \$15,202. Sales related travel, advertising and promotion costs also increased \$1,081 in correlation with the increase in sales. Legal and professional fees and related settlement costs increased \$1,657 in total.

Towable income before income taxes increased to 7.8% of towable net sales for fiscal 2013 from 7.0% of towable net sales for fiscal 2012. The primary factor for this increase in percentage was the impact of the 15.9% increase in net sales as noted above.

#### **Motorized Recreational Vehicles**

## Analysis of Change in Net Sales for Fiscal 2013 vs. Fiscal 2012

	Fis	scal 2013	% of Segment Net Sales	Fis	scal 2012	% of Segment Net Sales	Change Amount	% Change
NET SALES:								
Motorized								
Class A	\$	355,639	60.1	\$	214,713	60.7	\$ 140,926	65.6
Class C		188,261	31.8		108,849	30.8	79,412	73.0
Class B		47,642	8.1		30,373	8.5	17,269	56.9
Total Motorized	\$	591,542	100.0	\$	353,935	100.0	\$ 237,607	67.1

		% of Segment	Fiscal	% of Segment	Change	%
	Fiscal 2013	Shipments	2012	Shipments	Amount	Change
# OF UNITS:						
Motorized						
Class A	3,559	48.0	2,354	49.9	1,205	51.2
Class C	3,414	46.0	2,064	43.7	1,350	65.4
Class B	447	6.0	302	6.4	145	48.0
Total Motorized	7,420	100.0	4,720	100.0	2,700	57.2

## IMPACT OF CHANGE IN MIX AND PRICE ON NET SALES:

	%
	Increase
Motorized	
Class A	14.4
Class C	7.6
Class B	8.9
Total Motorized	9.9

The increase in total motorized net sales of 67.1% compared to the prior year period resulted from a 57.2% increase in unit shipments and a 9.9% overall increase in the impact of the change in the net price per unit resulting primarily from mix of product.

The overall market increase in unit shipments of motorhomes was 36.2% for the twelve month period ended July 31, 2013 compared to the same period last year according to statistics published by RVIA.

The increase in the overall net price per unit within the Class A product line of 14.4% is primarily due to increased sales of the generally larger and more expensive diesel units rather than the more moderately priced gas units compared to a year ago. The increase in the overall net price per unit within the Class C product line of 7.6% is primarily due to changes in product mix. Within the Class B product line, the increase in the overall net price per unit of 8.9% is due to a greater concentration of sales of higher priced models in the current year.

## **Table of Contents**

Cost of products sold increased \$200,835 to \$518,279, or 87.6% of motorized net sales, for fiscal 2013 compared to \$317,444, or 89.7% of motorized net sales, for fiscal 2012. The change in material, labor, freight-out and warranty comprised \$196,366 of the \$200,835 increase in cost of products sold and was due to increased sales volume. Material, labor, freight-out and warranty as a combined percentage of motorized net sales decreased to 83.7% in fiscal 2013 from 84.4% in fiscal 2012. This decrease in percentage is primarily due to a decrease in the material cost percentage to net sales. Total manufacturing overhead costs increased \$4,469 to \$23,368 in fiscal 2013 compared to \$18,899 in fiscal 2012 as a result of the increase in sales volume. Variable costs in manufacturing overhead increased \$4,751 to \$21,708, or 3.7% of motorized net sales, for fiscal 2013 compared to \$16,957, or 4.8% of motorized net sales, for fiscal 2012. Fixed costs in manufacturing overhead, which consists primarily of facility costs, property taxes and depreciation, decreased \$282 to \$1,660 in fiscal 2013 from \$1,942 in fiscal 2012, reflecting property tax reductions in fiscal 2013.

Motorized gross profit increased \$36,772 to \$73,263, or 12.4% of motorized net sales, for fiscal 2013 compared to \$36,491, or 10.3% of motorized net sales, for fiscal 2012. The increases in gross profit and gross profit percentage were due primarily to the impact of the 67.1% increase in net sales as noted above.

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$29,354, or 5.0% of motorized net sales, for fiscal 2013 compared to \$18,016, or 5.1% of motorized net sales, for fiscal 2012. The primary reason for the \$11,338 increase was increased motorized net sales and motorized income before income taxes, which caused related commissions, bonuses and other compensation to increase by \$9,915. Product liability and settlement related costs increased \$687, and sales related travel, advertising and promotion costs increased \$707 in correlation with the increase in sales.

Motorized income before income taxes was 7.4% of motorized net sales for fiscal 2013 and 5.2% of motorized net sales for fiscal 2012. This increase in percentage is primarily attributable to the favorable impact of the 67.1% increase in net sales noted above.

23

## FISCAL 2012 VS. FISCAL 2011

								Change	
	<u>Fi</u> :	scal 2012		<u>F</u>	iscal 2011			Amount	<u>%</u>
NET SALES									
Recreational Vehicles	Φ.			φ.	10== 115		_	200 44=	
Towables	\$	2,285,863		\$	1,977,416		\$	308,447	15.6
Motorized		353,935			363,026			(9,091)	(2.5)
m . 1	Φ.	2 (20 500		Φ.	2 2 4 0 4 4 2		Φ.	200.256	12.0
Total	\$	2,639,798		\$	2,340,442		\$	299,356	12.8
# OF UNITS									
Recreational Vehicles									
Towables		87,872			81,234			6,638	8.2
Motorized		4,720			4,975			(255)	(5.1)
Total		92,592			86,209			6,383	7.4
			% of			% of			
			Segment			Segment		Change	
			Ü			Ü		J	
	Fi	scal 2012	Net Sales	F	<u>iscal 2011</u>	Net Sales		Amount	<u>%</u>
GROSS PROFIT									
Recreational Vehicles									
Towables	\$	283,039	12.4	\$	264,698	13.4	\$	18,341	6.9
M - 4 J									
Motorized		36,491	10.3		34,238	9.4	·	2,253	6.6
Motorized			10.3			9.4		2,253	6.6
Total	\$	36,491 319,530	10.3 12.1	\$	34,238 298,936	9.4 12.8	\$	2,253 20,594	
	\$			\$					6.6
Total	\$			\$					6.6
Total SELLING, GENERAL AND	\$			\$					6.6
Total  SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES	\$			\$					6.6
Total  SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles		319,530	12.1		298,936	12.8	\$	20,594	6.6
Total  SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES	\$			\$					6.6
Total  SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables		319,530	12.1		298,936	12.8	\$	20,594	6.6
Total  SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables		319,530 114,080 18,016	5.0 5.1		298,936 109,005 19,421	5.5 5.3	\$	5,075 (1,405)	6.6 6.9 4.7 (7.2)
Total  SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized  Total Recreational Vehicles		319,530 114,080 18,016 132,096	12.1		298,936 109,005 19,421 128,426	12.8	\$	5,075 (1,405) 3,670	6.6 6.9 4.7 (7.2) 2.9
Total  SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized		319,530 114,080 18,016	5.0 5.1		298,936 109,005 19,421	5.5 5.3	\$	5,075 (1,405)	6.6 6.9 4.7 (7.2)
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized  Total Recreational Vehicles Corporate	\$	319,530 114,080 18,016 132,096 16,164	5.0 5.1 5.0	\$	298,936 109,005 19,421 128,426 32,106	5.5 5.3 5.5	\$	5,075 (1,405) 3,670 (15,942)	6.6 6.9 4.7 (7.2) 2.9 (49.7)
Total  SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized  Total Recreational Vehicles		319,530 114,080 18,016 132,096	5.0 5.1		298,936 109,005 19,421 128,426	5.5 5.3	\$	5,075 (1,405) 3,670	6.6 6.9 4.7 (7.2) 2.9
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized  Total Recreational Vehicles Corporate  Total	\$	319,530 114,080 18,016 132,096 16,164	5.0 5.1 5.0	\$	298,936 109,005 19,421 128,426 32,106	5.5 5.3 5.5	\$	5,075 (1,405) 3,670 (15,942)	6.6 6.9 4.7 (7.2) 2.9 (49.7)
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized  Total Recreational Vehicles Corporate  Total  INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME	\$	319,530 114,080 18,016 132,096 16,164	5.0 5.1 5.0	\$	298,936 109,005 19,421 128,426 32,106	5.5 5.3 5.5	\$	5,075 (1,405) 3,670 (15,942)	6.6 6.9 4.7 (7.2) 2.9 (49.7)
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized  Total Recreational Vehicles Corporate  Total  INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES	\$	319,530 114,080 18,016 132,096 16,164	5.0 5.1 5.0	\$	298,936 109,005 19,421 128,426 32,106	5.5 5.3 5.5	\$	5,075 (1,405) 3,670 (15,942)	6.6 6.9 4.7 (7.2) 2.9 (49.7)
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized  Total Recreational Vehicles Corporate  Total  INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES Recreational Vehicles	\$	319,530 114,080 18,016 132,096 16,164 148,260	5.0 5.1 5.0 5.6	\$	298,936 109,005 19,421 128,426 32,106 160,532	5.5 5.3 5.5 6.9	\$	5,075 (1,405) 3,670 (15,942) (12,272)	6.6 6.9 4.7 (7.2) 2.9 (49.7) (7.6)
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized  Total Recreational Vehicles Corporate  Total  INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES Recreational Vehicles Towables	\$	319,530 114,080 18,016 132,096 16,164 148,260	5.0 5.1 5.0 5.6	\$	298,936 109,005 19,421 128,426 32,106 160,532	5.5 5.3 5.5 6.9	\$	5,075 (1,405) 3,670 (15,942) (12,272)	6.6 6.9 4.7 (7.2) 2.9 (49.7) (7.6)
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized  Total Recreational Vehicles Corporate  Total  INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES Recreational Vehicles	\$	319,530 114,080 18,016 132,096 16,164 148,260	5.0 5.1 5.0 5.6	\$	298,936 109,005 19,421 128,426 32,106 160,532	5.5 5.3 5.5 6.9	\$	5,075 (1,405) 3,670 (15,942) (12,272)	6.6 6.9 4.7 (7.2) 2.9 (49.7) (7.6)
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized  Total Recreational Vehicles Corporate  Total  INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized	\$	319,530 114,080 18,016 132,096 16,164 148,260 158,973 18,469	5.0 5.1 5.0 5.6	\$	298,936 109,005 19,421 128,426 32,106 160,532 146,361 12,777	5.5 5.3 5.5 6.9	\$	5,075 (1,405) 3,670 (15,942) (12,272) 12,612 5,692	6.6 6.9 4.7 (7.2) 2.9 (49.7) (7.6)
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Recreational Vehicles Towables Motorized  Total Recreational Vehicles Corporate  Total  INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES Recreational Vehicles Towables	\$	319,530 114,080 18,016 132,096 16,164 148,260	5.0 5.1 5.0 5.6	\$	298,936 109,005 19,421 128,426 32,106 160,532	5.5 5.3 5.5 6.9	\$	5,075 (1,405) 3,670 (15,942) (12,272)	6.6 6.9 4.7 (7.2) 2.9 (49.7) (7.6)

Total	\$ 165,388	6.3	\$ 132,337	5.7	\$ 33,051	25.0

	As of		As of	Change	
ORDER BACKLOG	July 31, 20	<u>12</u>	uly 31, 2011	Amount	<u>%</u>
Recreational Vehicles					
Towables	\$ 224,6	03 \$	187,946	\$ 36,657	19.5
Motorized	110,7	57	39,427	71,330	180.9
Total	\$ 335,3	60 \$	227,373	\$ 107,987	47.5

#### CONSOLIDATED

Consolidated net sales and consolidated gross profit for fiscal 2012 increased 12.8% and 6.9%, respectively, compared to fiscal 2011. Heartland, acquired in fiscal 2011, accounted for \$83,485 of the \$299,356 increase in consolidated net sales, as Heartland s results include twelve months in the 2012 total as compared with ten and a half months in 2011 from the date of its acquisition by the Company. Consolidated gross profit for fiscal 2012 increased \$20,594, or 6.9%, compared to fiscal 2011. Consolidated gross profit was 12.1% of consolidated net sales for fiscal 2012 compared to 12.8% of consolidated net sales for fiscal 2011. The 0.7% decrease in gross profit percentage was driven primarily by increased discounting and dealer incentive programs within the RV towable segment in the current year, as dealer and competitor pressures necessitated greater discounting and incentives to secure sales. Selling, general and administrative expenses for fiscal 2012 decreased 7.6% compared to fiscal 2011. Income before income taxes for fiscal 2012 was \$165,388 as compared to \$132,337 in fiscal 2011, an increase of 25.0%. The specifics on changes in net sales, gross profit, selling, general and administrative expenses and income before income taxes are addressed in the segment reporting below.

Corporate costs in selling, general and administrative expenses were \$16,164 for fiscal 2012 compared to \$32,106 for fiscal 2011. This decrease of \$15,942 is attributable to one-time legal and professional fees of \$1,826 incurred in fiscal 2011 in connection with the Heartland acquisition and \$4,249 in additional fees in fiscal 2011 related to the now completed SEC review. Product liability costs also decreased \$2,805 primarily as a result of \$750 being allocated to the towables segment and \$1,500 being allocated to the discontinued bus business segment for claims activity previously reserved for at the Corporate level as part of the Company s actuarially determined product liability reserve in fiscal 2012. In addition, there were favorable historical claims experience adjustments to our actuarially determined product liability reserve. Ongoing legal and other professional fees have also decreased \$1,946. In addition, deferred compensation plan liability expense decreased \$889 in tandem with the related decrease in deferred compensation plan asset value included in other income as discussed below. Stock option expense also decreased \$2,019 due to management changes in the RV segments.

Corporate interest and other income was \$4,110 in fiscal 2012 compared to \$5,305 for fiscal 2011. The \$1,195 decrease is primarily due to a reduction of \$889 in other income, principally due to the market value appreciation on the Company s deferred compensation plan assets being \$311 in fiscal 2012 as compared to the greater appreciation of \$1,200 in fiscal 2011. Interest income on our notes receivable decreased \$315 due to lower note balances in fiscal 2012 as compared to fiscal 2011.

The overall annual effective tax rate for fiscal 2012 was 32.6% on \$165,388 of income before income taxes, compared to 30.7% on \$132,337 of income before income taxes for fiscal 2011. The primary reason for the increase in the overall effective income tax rate was the larger favorable settlement of certain uncertain tax positions that occurred in the fiscal year ended July 31, 2011 compared to the fiscal year ended July 31, 2012, as well as the expiration of certain tax credits in fiscal 2012 and the fiscal 2011 impact of the retroactive reinstatement of the federal research and development tax credit on December 17, 2010.

The changes in costs and price within our businesses due to inflation were not significantly different from inflation in the United States economy as a whole. Levels of capital investment, pricing and inventory investment in our business were not materially affected by changes caused by inflation.

25

#### SEGMENT REPORTING

## **Towable Recreational Vehicles**

Analysis of Change in Net Sales for Fiscal 2012 vs. Fiscal 2011

	Fi	iscal 2012	% of Segment Net Sales	Fi	scal 2011	% of Segment Net Sales	Change Amount	% Change
NET SALES:								
Towables								
Travel Trailers	\$	1,068,350	46.7	\$	925,784	46.8	\$ 142,566	15.4
Fifth Wheels		1,195,235	52.3		1,030,722	52.1	164,513	16.0
Other		22,278	1.0		20,910	1.1	1,368	6.5
Total Towables	\$	2,285,863	100.0	\$	1,977,416	100.0	\$ 308,447	15.6

# OF UNITS:	Fiscal 2012	% of Segment Shipments	Fiscal 2011	% of Segment Shipments	Change Amount	% Change
Towables						
Travel Trailers	55,518	63.2	50,111	61.7	5,407	10.8
Fifth Wheels	31,653	36.0	30,445	37.5	1,208	4.0
Other	701	0.8	678	0.8	23	3.4
Total Towables	87,872	100.0	81,234	100.0	6,638	8.2

#### IMPACT OF CHANGE IN MIX AND PRICE ON NET SALES:

	%
	Increase
Towables	
Travel Trailers	4.6
Fifth Wheels	12.0
Other	3.1
Total Towables	7.4

The increase in total towables net sales of 15.6% compared to the prior year period resulted from an 8.2% increase in unit shipments and a 7.4% increase in the impact of the change in the net price per unit. Heartland accounted for \$83,485 of the total \$308,447 increase in towables net sales and for 1,695 of the 6,638 increase in total towable unit sales, as Heartland s results include twelve months in fiscal 2012 as compared with the ten and a half months of operations in fiscal 2011 from the date of its acquisition.

The increase in the net price per unit within the travel trailer and fifth wheel product lines is due to customer preferences toward higher priced units with additional features and upgrades compared to fiscal 2011. In addition, average fifth wheel selling prices also increased due to the introductions of the Redwood and other luxury product lines and certain upscale toy hauler lines since the spring of 2011. Selling price increases were implemented for many models within both the travel trailer and fifth wheel product lines since the spring of 2011. These increases were partially offset by increased discounting and dealer sales incentive programs, including interest reimbursement programs, as compared to the prior year period, which effectively reduces the net sales price per unit. The other category relates primarily to sales in the park model industry.

The overall industry increase in travel trailer and fifth wheel wholesale unit shipments for the twelve month period ended July 31, 2012 was 10.9% compared to the same period in fiscal 2011 according to statistics published by RVIA.

Cost of products sold increased \$290,106 to \$2,002,824, or 87.6% of towable net sales, for fiscal 2012 compared to \$1,712,718, or 86.6% of towable net sales, for fiscal 2011. The change in material, labor, freight-out and warranty comprised \$277,602 of the \$290,106 increase in cost of products sold and was due to increased sales volume. Material, labor, freight-out and warranty as a combined percentage of towable net sales increased to 81.9% in fiscal 2012 from 80.7% in fiscal 2011. This 1.2% increase as a percentage of towable net sales is partially due to an increase in discounting in fiscal 2012, which effectively decreases the net sales price per unit and therefore increases the unit material cost percentage to net sales.

26

Product mix, material cost increases and higher warranty costs due to increasing product complexities also contributed to this percentage increase. Total manufacturing overhead increased \$12,504 to \$130,390 in fiscal 2012 compared to \$117,886 in fiscal 2011. Variable costs in manufacturing overhead increased \$14,041 to \$120,104 or 5.3% of towable net sales for fiscal 2012 compared to \$106,063 or 5.4% of towable net sales for fiscal 2011 due to increased production. Fixed costs in manufacturing overhead, which consists primarily of facility costs, property taxes and depreciation, decreased \$1,537 to \$10,286 in fiscal 2012 from \$11,823 in fiscal 2011 reflecting property tax assessment reductions in fiscal 2012.

Towable gross profit increased \$18,341 to \$283,039, or 12.4% of towable net sales, for fiscal 2012 compared to \$264,698, or 13.4% of towable net sales, for fiscal 2011. The increase in gross profit was due primarily to the 8.2% increase in unit sales volume, whereas the decrease in gross profit percentage was primarily due to the increased discounting in fiscal 2012 and the increase in cost of products as a percentage of net sales noted above.

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$114,080, or 5.0% of towable net sales, for fiscal 2012 compared to \$109,005, or 5.5% of towable net sales, for fiscal 2011. The primary reason for the \$5,075 increase in selling, general and administrative expenses was increased towable net sales, which caused related commissions and other compensation to increase by \$8,383. Sales related travel, advertising and promotion costs also increased \$2,214 in correlation with the increase in sales. These cost increases were partially offset by the effects of management changes within the towables segment, which caused related bonus expense to decrease by \$2,023. Litigation and settlement related costs also decreased \$3,646, primarily related to the FEMA Trailer Formaldehyde Litigation costs included in fiscal 2011.

Towable income before income taxes decreased to 7.0% of towable net sales for fiscal 2012 from 7.4% of towable net sales for fiscal 2011. The primary factors for this decrease in percentage were the increases in the unit discounting percentage and cost of products sold as a percentage of net sales noted above.

## **Motorized Recreational Vehicles**

## Analysis of Change in Net Sales for Fiscal 2012 vs. Fiscal 2011

			% of Segment			% of Segment	(	Change	%
	Fis	scal 2012	Net Sales	Fis	scal 2011	Net Sales	A	Amount	Change
NET SALES:									
Motorized									
Class A	\$	214,713	60.7	\$	219,345	60.4	\$	(4,632)	(2.1)
Class C		108,849	30.8		121,640	33.5		(12,791)	(10.5)
Class B		30,373	8.5		22,041	6.1		8,332	37.8
Total Motorized	\$	353,935	100.0	\$	363,026	100.0	\$	(9,091)	(2.5)

		% of Segment		% of Segment	Change	%
	Fiscal 2012	Shipments	Fiscal 2011	Shipments	Amount	Change
# OF UNITS:						
Motorized						
Class A	2,354	49.9	2,417	48.6	(63)	(2.6)
Class C	2,064	43.7	2,313	46.5	(249)	(10.8)
Class B	302	6.4	245	4.9	57	23.3
Total Motorized	4,720	100.0	4,975	100.0	(255)	(5.1)

## IMPACT OF CHANGE IN MIX AND PRICE ON NET SALES:

% Increase

Motorized	
Class A	0.5
Class A Class C	0.3
Class B	14.5
Total Motorized	2.6

The decrease in total motorized net sales of 2.5% compared to the prior year period resulted from a 5.1% decrease in unit shipments and a 2.6% overall increase in the impact of the change in the net price per unit resulting primarily from mix of product.

The overall market decrease in unit shipments of motorhomes was 12.2% for the twelve month period ended July 31, 2012 compared to the same period in fiscal 2011 according to statistics published by RVIA.

The overall minimal increase in the net price per unit within the Class A product line is primarily due to the fiscal 2012 sales mix of the more moderately priced gas units as compared to the generally larger and more expensive diesel units remaining consistent with the prior year. Overall, Class A gas unit prices increased slightly while overall Class A diesel prices decreased slightly. The slight increase in the net price per unit within the Class C product line is due to the impact of a smaller concentration of lower priced rental units being sold in fiscal 2012. Within the Class B product line, the increase in the net price per unit is due to a greater concentration of higher priced models in fiscal 2012.

Cost of products sold decreased \$11,344 to \$317,444, or 89.7% of motorized net sales, for fiscal 2012 compared to \$328,788, or 90.6% of motorized net sales, for fiscal 2011. The change in material, labor, freight-out and warranty comprised \$9,937 of the \$11,344 decrease in cost of products sold and was due to decreased sales volume. Material, labor, freight-out and warranty as a combined percentage of motorized net sales decreased to 84.4% in fiscal 2012 from 85.0% in fiscal 2011. Total manufacturing overhead costs decreased \$1,407 to \$18,899 in fiscal 2012 compared to \$20,306 in fiscal 2011. Variable costs in manufacturing overhead decreased \$895 to \$16,957, or 4.8% of motorized net sales, for fiscal 2012 compared to \$17,852, or 4.9% of motorized net sales, for fiscal 2011. Fixed costs in manufacturing overhead, which consists primarily of facility costs, property taxes and depreciation, decreased \$512 to \$1,942 in fiscal 2012 from \$2,454 in fiscal 2011.

Motorized gross profit increased \$2,253 to \$36,491, or 10.3% of motorized net sales, for fiscal 2012 compared to \$34,238, or 9.4% of motorized net sales, for fiscal 2011. The increases in gross profit and gross profit percentage were due primarily to the cost of products sold reductions as a percentage of motorized sales noted above.

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$18,016, or 5.1% of motorized net sales, for fiscal 2012 compared to \$19,421, or 5.3% of motorized net sales, for fiscal 2011. The decrease of \$1,405 is primarily due to a decrease of \$883 in bonus expense and \$748 in office salaries due to management changes within the motorized segment.

Motorized income before income taxes was 5.2% of motorized net sales for fiscal 2012 and 3.5% of motorized net sales for fiscal 2011. This increase in percentage reflects the favorable impact of the increase in gross profit percentage in fiscal 2012 and the negative impact of the fiscal 2011 trademark impairment charge of \$2,036.

## Financial Condition and Liquidity

As of July 31, 2013, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$236,601 compared to \$218,642 on July 31, 2012. The components of the \$17,959 increase are described in more detail below, but the increase is primarily due to the \$145,066 provided by operations in fiscal 2013, while \$24,305 was used for capital expenditures and \$117,687 was used for the payment of cash dividends to our stockholders, which included regular quarterly dividends totaling \$38,162 and a special dividend of \$79,525.

Working capital at July 31, 2013 was \$469,032 compared to \$373,796 at July 31, 2012. Capital acquisitions of \$24,190 (includes \$420 for discontinued operations) for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2013 were made primarily to purchase land and buildings to expand our RV operations and replace machinery and equipment used in the ordinary course of business.

In fiscal year 2014, we anticipate the sale of our bus business, which is expected to close on or before November 1, 2013, to result in a one-time cash inflow of approximately \$100 million, subject to closing adjustments including working capital changes until closing. We believe our on hand cash and cash equivalents, and funds generated from continuing operations and the sale of the bus business, will be sufficient to fund expected future operational requirements. We have relied on internally generated cash flows from operations to finance substantially all our growth. We may, however, consider debt to make an acquisition.

Our three main priorities for the use of current and future available cash include growing our core RV business, both organically and through acquisitions, maintaining and growing our regular dividends over time, and strategic share repurchases or special dividends as determined by the Company s Board.

In regard to growing our business, we anticipate capital expenditures in fiscal 2014 of approximately \$24,000, primarily for expanding our recreational vehicle facilities and replacing and upgrading machinery, equipment and other assets to be used in the ordinary course of business. In addition, subsequent to our 2013 fiscal year end we spent approximately \$18,000, subject to working capital adjustments, for the asset

acquisition of recreational vehicle manufacturer Livin Lite as disclosed in Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. We will consider additional strategic growth acquisitions that complement or expand our ongoing RV operations.

Relative to regular dividends, the Company s Board currently intends to continue quarterly cash dividend payments in the future. The declaration of future dividends and the establishment of the per share amounts, record dates and payment dates for any such future dividends are subject to the determination of the Board, and will be dependent upon future earnings, cash flows and other factors. There are no limitations on the Company s ability to pay dividends pursuant to any credit facility.

Future purchases of the Company s common stock or special cash dividends may occur as determined by the Board based upon market and business conditions, and excess cash availability, subject to applicable legal limitations.

#### Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities for fiscal 2013 was \$145,066 compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$118,841 for fiscal 2012. The combination of net income and non-cash items (primarily depreciation, amortization, impairments, stock-based compensation and deferred income taxes) provided \$184,239 of operating cash for fiscal 2013 compared to \$143,754 in the prior year period.

## Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities for fiscal 2013 was \$13,996, primarily for capital expenditures of \$24,305 and \$10,718 for the acquisitions of the Krystal and Federal Coach bus businesses, partially offset by proceeds from notes receivable of \$7,000 and \$12,051 in net proceeds from the disposition of the ambulance product line. The capital expenditures of \$24,305 included approximately \$18,700 for land, building and office additions and improvements at continuing operations with the remainder primarily to replace machinery and equipment used in the ordinary course of business. Net cash used in investing activities for fiscal 2012 was \$7,854, primarily for capital expenditures of \$10,063. The capital expenditures of \$10,063 included approximately \$4,600 and \$2,800, respectively, for plant expansions and machinery and equipment upgrades in our towable operations.

#### Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities of \$113,111 for fiscal 2013 was primarily for cash dividend payments. The Company paid a regular quarterly \$0.18 per share dividend in each of the four quarters of fiscal 2013 and a special \$1.50 per share dividend in December 2012, the combination of which totaled \$117,687. The Company increased its previous regular quarterly dividend of \$0.15 per share to \$0.18 per share in October 2012. In October 2011, the Company increased its previous regular quarterly dividend of \$0.10 per share to \$0.15 per share.

Net cash used in financing activities of \$107,780 for fiscal 2012 was primarily related to the repurchase of a total of 3,000,000 shares of common stock of the Company for \$77,000 and cash dividend payments of \$32,322. The Company repurchased the shares at a discount to the then current market price and did not incur brokerage fees.

The Company considered the special \$1.50 per share dividend and the repurchases of shares to be prudent uses of its cash and does not believe future liquidity will be negatively impacted. See Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this report for a description of the share repurchase transactions.

## **Critical Accounting Principles**

Our Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires the use of estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the periods presented. We believe that of our accounting policies, the following may involve a higher degree of judgment, estimates and complexity.

Impairment of Goodwill, Intangible and Long-Lived Assets

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, Testing Goodwill for Impairment , to simplify how entities test goodwill for impairment. This guidance permits an entity to assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not (defined as more than fifty percent) that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the current two-step goodwill impairment test. The two-step goodwill impairment test that begins with estimating the fair value of the reporting unit will only be required if the entity determines that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. The adoption of this guidance in fiscal year 2013 did not have a significant impact on the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements.

We review our long-lived assets (individually or in a related group as appropriate) for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable from future cash flows attributable to the assets. Additionally, we review our goodwill for impairment at least annually on April 30 of each year. Accordingly, we continually assess whether events or changes in circumstances represent a triggering event that would require us to complete an impairment assessment. Factors that we consider in determining whether a triggering event has occurred include, among other things, whether there has been a significant adverse change in legal factors, business climate or competition related to the operation of the asset, whether there has been a significant decrease in actual or expected operating results related to the asset and whether there are current plans to sell or dispose of the asset. The determination of whether a triggering event has occurred is subject to significant management judgment, including at which point or fiscal quarter a triggering event has occurred when the relevant adverse factors persist over extended periods.

Should a triggering event be deemed to occur, and for each of the annual goodwill impairment assessments, management is required to estimate the expected net cash flows to be realized over the life of the asset and/or the asset s fair value. Fair values are often determined by a discounted cash flow model, although we also use a market approach in determining fair values when appropriate. These estimates are also subject to significant management judgment including the determination of many factors such as sales growth rates, gross margin patterns, cost growth rates, terminal value assumptions, discount rates and comparable companies. Changes in these estimates can have a significant impact on the determination of cash flows and fair value and could potentially result in future material impairments. Management engages an independent valuation firm in many cases to assist in its impairment assessments.

See Note 3 and Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for discussion of certain fiscal 2013 goodwill, intangible and long-lived asset impairment charges.

As of July 31, 2013, the Company has four continuing individual reporting units that carry goodwill. One reporting unit carries 50% of our consolidated goodwill of \$238,103 and a second reporting unit carries another 40% of our consolidated goodwill. For these two reporting units, our estimate of their fair values exceeded their respective carrying values by 293% and 87%, respectively, as of our April 30, 2013 assessment. The other two reporting units fair values exceeded their respective carrying values by 224% and 195%, respectively.

#### Insurance Reserves

Generally, we are self-insured for workers—compensation, products liability and group medical insurance. Under these plans, liabilities are recognized for claims incurred, including those incurred but not reported. The liability for workers—compensation claims is determined by the Company with the assistance of a third party administrator and actuary using various state statutes and historical claims experience. Group medical reserves are estimated using historical claims experience. We have a self-insured retention (SIR) for products liability and personal injury matters of \$5,000 per occurrence. Beginning April 1, 2012, this SIR for bus related matters is \$7,500 per occurrence. We have established a liability on our balance sheet for such occurrences based on historical data, known cases and actuarial information. Amounts above the SIR, up to a certain dollar amount, are covered by our excess insurance policy. Currently, we maintain excess liability insurance aggregating \$50,000 with outside insurance carriers to minimize our risks related to catastrophic claims in excess of our self-insured positions for products liability and personal injury matters. Any material change in the aforementioned factors could have an adverse impact on our operating results.

## Product Warranty

We generally provide retail customers of our products with a one-year warranty covering defects in material or workmanship, with longer warranties on certain structural components. We record a liability based on our best estimate of the amounts necessary to settle future and existing claims on products sold as of the balance sheet date. Factors we use in estimating the warranty liability include a history of units sold, existing dealer inventory, average cost incurred and a profile of the distribution of warranty expenditures over the warranty period. A significant increase in dealer shop rates, the cost of parts or the frequency of claims could have a material adverse impact on our operating results for the period or periods in which such claims or additional costs materialize. Management believes that the warranty liability is adequate; however, actual claims incurred could differ from estimates, requiring adjustments to the reserves. Warranty liabilities are reviewed and adjusted as necessary on at least a quarterly basis.

## Income Taxes

The objectives of accounting for income taxes are to recognize the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our financial statements or tax returns. Judgment is required in assessing the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our financial statements or tax returns. Fluctuations in the actual outcome of these tax consequences could materially impact our financial position or results of operations.

We recognize liabilities for uncertain tax positions based on a two-step process. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. The second step requires us to estimate and measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement. It is inherently difficult and subjective to estimate such amounts, as we have to determine the probability of various possible outcomes. We reevaluate these uncertain tax positions on a quarterly basis. This evaluation is based on factors including, but not limited to, changes in facts or circumstances, changes in tax law, effectively settled issues under audit and new audit activity. Such a change in recognition or measurement would result in the recognition of a tax benefit or an additional charge to the tax provision.

Significant judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes, our deferred tax assets and liabilities and valuation allowance recorded against our deferred tax assets, if any. Valuation allowances must be considered due to the uncertainty of realizing deferred tax assets. Companies must assess whether valuation allowances should be established against their deferred tax assets on a tax jurisdictional basis based on the consideration of all available evidence, using a more likely than not standard. We have evaluated the sustainability of our deferred tax assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheets which includes the assessment of the cumulative income over recent prior periods.

## Revenue Recognition

Revenues from the sale of recreational vehicles are recorded primarily when all of the following conditions have been met:

- 1) An order for a product has been received from a dealer;
- 2) Written or oral approval for payment has been received from the dealer s flooring institution, if applicable;
- 3) A common carrier signs the delivery ticket accepting responsibility for the product as agent for the dealer; and
- 4) The product is removed from our property for delivery to the dealer who placed the order.

These conditions are generally met when title passes, which is when vehicles are shipped to dealers in accordance with shipping terms, which are primarily FOB shipping point. Most sales are made to dealers financing their purchases under flooring arrangements with banks or finance companies. Certain shipments are sold to customers on credit or cash on delivery ( COD ) terms. We recognize revenue on credit sales upon shipment and COD sales upon payment and delivery.

Products are not sold on consignment, dealers do not have the right to return products and dealers are typically responsible for interest costs to floor plan lenders.

## Repurchase Commitments

We are contingently liable under terms of repurchase agreements with financial institutions providing inventory financing for certain dealers of certain of our products. These arrangements, which are customary in the industry, provide for the repurchase of products sold to dealers in the event of default by the dealer. In addition to the guarantee under these repurchase agreements, we may also be required to repurchase inventory relative to dealer terminations in certain states in accordance with state laws or regulatory requirements. The repurchase price is generally determined by the original sales price of the product and pre-defined curtailment arrangements and we typically resell the repurchased product at a discount from its repurchase price. We account for the guarantee under our repurchase agreements of our dealers—financing by estimating and deferring a portion of the related product sale that represents the estimated fair value of the repurchase obligation. The estimated fair value takes into account our estimate of the loss we will incur upon resale of any repurchases. This estimate is based on recent historical experience supplemented by management—s assessment of current economic and other conditions affecting our dealers. This deferred amount is included in our repurchase and guarantee reserve.

Our risk of loss under these repurchase agreements is reduced because (a) we sell our products to a large number of dealers under these arrangements, (b) the repurchase price we are obligated to pay declines over the period of the agreements (generally up to eighteen months) while the value of the related product may not decline ratably and (c) we have historically been able to readily resell any repurchased product. We believe that any future losses under these agreements will not have a significant effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

31

## **Principal Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments**

Our principal contractual obligations and commercial commitments at July 31, 2013 are summarized in the following charts. We have no other material off balance sheet commitments:

		Payments Due By Period								
<b>Contractual Obligations</b>	<u>Total</u>	Fiscal 2014	Fiscal 2015-2016	Fiscal 2017-2018	After 5 Years					
Operating leases	\$ 2,630	\$ 877	\$ 1,148	\$ 605	\$					
Chassis consigned inventory (1)	12,650	12,650								
Unrecognized tax benefits (2)	2,745	2,745								
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 18.025	\$ 16.272	\$ 1.148	\$ 605	\$					

<sup>(1)</sup> All relates to discontinued operations.

(2) We have included in unrecognized tax benefits \$2,745 for payments expected to be made in fiscal 2014. Unrecognized tax benefits in the amount of \$41,219 have been excluded from the table because we are unable to determine a reasonably reliable estimate of the timing of future payment.

		Total Amounts Amounts Less Than		nt of C	Commitmen	t Expiration Per	Period	
Other Commercial Commitments	Con	mitted	One '	Year (1)	_1.	-3 Years	4-5 Years	Over 5 Years
Guarantees	\$	375	\$	375	\$		\$	\$
Standby repurchase obligations (1)	1,0	)27 <u>,567</u>	:	545,926		481,641		
Total commercial commitments	\$ 1,0	27,942	\$ :	546,301	\$	481,641	\$	\$

<sup>(1)</sup> The standby repurchase obligations generally extend up to eighteen months from the date of sales of the related product to the dealer. In estimating the expiration of the standby repurchase obligations, we used inventory reports as of July 31, 2013 from our dealers lending institutions and made an assumption for obligations for inventory aged 0-12 months that it was financed evenly over the twelve month period.

## **Accounting Pronouncements**

Reference is made to Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this report for a summary of our recently adopted accounting pronouncements, which summary is hereby incorporated by reference.

## ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

None.

High

Low

## ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA SEE ITEM 15

## **Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)**

	Oc	ctober 31	Ja	nuary 31	Ar	oril 30 (2)	Ju	ly 31 (3)
Fiscal 2013								
Net sales	\$	761,424	\$	636,605	\$	929,765	\$	914,001
Gross profit from continuing operations		92,303		67,518		124,559		140,159
Net income from continuing operations		28,749		19,019		48,713		55,195
Net income		30,988		19,896		43,757		58,221
Earnings per common share from continuing operations: (1)								
Basic		0.54		0.36		0.92		1.04
Diluted		0.54		0.36		0.92		1.04
Earnings per common share: (1)								
Basic		0.59		0.38		0.83		1.10
Diluted		0.58		0.37		0.82		1.09
Dividends declared and paid per common share		0.18		1.68		0.18		0.18
Market prices per common share								
High	\$	38.93	\$	45.75	\$	42.67	\$	55.77
Low	\$	26.93	\$	35.77	\$	34.51	\$	36.40
	Oc	tober 31	Ja	nuary 31		April 30		July 31
Fiscal 2012								
Net sales	\$	561,660	\$	500,994	\$	807,196	\$	769,948
Gross profit from continuing operations		64,796		52,664		99,728		102,342
Net income from continuing operations		19,052		12,130		39,368		40,885
Net income		22,358		13,680		41,341		44,360
Earnings per common share from continuing operations: (1)								
Basic		0.35		0.22		0.74		0.77
Diluted		0.35		0.22		0.74		0.77
Earnings per common share: (1)								
Basic		0.41		0.25		0.78		0.84
Diluted		0.41		0.25		0.78		0.84
Dividends declared and paid per common share		0.15		0.15		0.15		0.15
Market prices per common share								

\$

\$

29.08

17.62

\$

31.82

22.25

\$

\$

34.56

29.81

\$

\$

34.70

26.27

33

<sup>(1)</sup> Earnings per common share are computed independently for each of the quarters presented. The summation of quarterly amounts does not necessarily equal the total earnings per common share reported for the year. This is due to changes in the weighted average shares outstanding during the year.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes non-cash goodwill and intangible asset impairments of \$6,810 and \$4,715, respectively, associated with a subsidiary in our discontinued bus business.

<sup>(3)</sup> Includes a non-cash long-lived asset impairment of \$2,000 associated with a subsidiary in our towables segment.

#### ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

#### ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

## Part A Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures , as such term is defined under Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, the Company s management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives and the Company s management necessarily is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. The Company has carried out an evaluation, as of the end of the period covered by this report, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC s rules and forms and accumulated and communicated to the Company s management as appropriate to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

## Part B Management s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Internal control over financial reporting refers to a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer and effected by our Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and includes those policies and procedures that: (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and dispositions of our assets; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and members of our Board of Directors and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are also subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with established policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The Company s management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of July 31, 2013 using the criteria set forth in *Internal Control* Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on its assessment, management believes that as of July 31, 2013, the Company s internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP, has issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting. The report appears in Part D of this Item 9A.

## Part C Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2013, there have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Part D Attestation Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Thor Industries, Inc.

Elkhart, Indiana

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Thor Industries, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of July 31, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control* Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company s board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of July 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements of the Company as of and for the year ended July 31, 2013 and our report dated September 26, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Chicago, Illinois

September 26, 2013

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

35

#### PART III

## ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The Company has adopted a written code of ethics, the Thor Industries, Inc. Business Ethics Policy , which is applicable to all directors, officers and employees of the Company, including the Company s principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller and other executive officers identified pursuant to this Item 10 who perform similar functions (collectively, the Selected Officers). In accordance with the rules and regulations of the SEC, a copy of the code has been posted on the Company s website and is also available in print to any person, without charge, upon request. The Company intends to disclose any changes in or waivers from its code of ethics applicable to any Selected Officer on its website at <a href="https://www.thorindustries.com">www.thorindustries.com</a> or by filing a Form 8-K.

The other information in response to this Item is included under the captions OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS; EXECUTIVE OFFICERS WHO ARE NOT DIRECTORS; BOARD OF DIRECTORS: STRUCTURE, COMMITTEES AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE and SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE, in the Company s definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A, which portions of said Proxy Statement are hereby incorporated by reference.

## ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required in response to this Item is contained under the captions EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION and DIRECTOR COMPENSATION in the Company s definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A, which portions of said Proxy Statement are hereby incorporated by reference.

## **Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation**

No member of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors is or was formerly an officer or employee of the Company or any of its subsidiaries. During fiscal 2013, no executive officer of the Company or any of its subsidiaries served on the compensation committee (or equivalent), or the Board of Directors, of another entity whose executive officer(s) served on our Compensation Committee or Board of Directors.

# ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS

#### **Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The following table provides information as of July 31, 2013 about the Company s Common Stock that is authorized for issuance under the Company s equity compensation plans, including the Thor Industries, Inc. 2010 Equity and Incentive Plan (the 2010 Plan), the Thor Industries, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2006 Plan) and the Thor Industries, Inc. 1999 Stock Option Plan (the 1999 Plan).

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights  (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
<u> </u>	(a)	(b)	(C)
Equity compensation plans approved by security			
holders	106,313 (1)	\$ 31.48	1,880,461 (2)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	106,313	\$ 31.48	1,880,461

- (1) Represents shares underlying stock options granted pursuant to the 2010 Plan, the 2006 Plan and the 1999 Plan. The 1999 Plan was frozen in 2006 upon the adoption of the 2006 Plan.
- (2) Represents shares remaining available for future issuance pursuant to the 2010 Plan and the 2006 Plan.

  The other information required in response to this Item is contained under the captions OWNERSHIP OF COMMON STOCK and SUMMARIES OF EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS in the Company s definitive Proxy Statement, to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A, which portions of said Proxy Statement are hereby incorporated by reference.

36

## ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required in response to this Item is contained under the captions CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND TRANSACTIONS WITH MANAGEMENT and BOARD OF DIRECTORS: STRUCTURE, COMMITTEES AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE in the Company s definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A, which portions of said Proxy Statement are hereby incorporated by reference.

## ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required in response to this Item is contained under the caption INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FEES in the Company s definitive Proxy Statement, to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A, which portion of said Proxy Statement is hereby incorporated by reference.

37

## PART IV

## ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

## (a) (1) Financial Statements

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheets, July 31, 2013 and 2012	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended July 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity for the Years Ended July 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended July 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011	F-6
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the Years Ended July 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011	F-7
(b) Exhibits	

Exhibit	Description
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(a) of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2001)
3.2	Certificate of Amendment to Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2004)
3.3	By-laws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(b) of the Company s Registration Statement No. 33-13827)
3.4	First Amendment to the By-laws of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(ii) of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 11, 2010)
4.1	Form of Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 1987)
10.1	Thor Industries, Inc. 1999 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 dated November 5, 1999)
10.2	Thor Industries, Inc. Restricted Stock Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 dated December 3, 1997)
10.3	Thor Industries, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2007)
10.4	Thor Industries, Inc. Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 15, 2008)
10.5	Thor Industries, Inc. 2008 Annual Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 15, 2008)
10.6	Thor Industries, Inc. Form of Indemnification Agreement for executive officers and directors of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended January 31, 2011)
10.7	Thor Industries, Inc. Form of Stock Option Agreement for grants under the Thor Industries, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 6, 2008)
10.8	Thor Industries, Inc. Form of Restricted Stock Award Certificate and Restricted Stock Award Agreement for grants to directors for grants under the Thor Industries, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 6, 2008)
10.9	Thor Industries, Inc. Form of Restricted Stock Award Certificate and Restricted Stock Award Agreement for grants to employees and consultants for grants under the Thor Industries, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 6, 2008)
10.10	Credit Agreement between the Company and Stephen Adams, in his individual capacity, and Stephen Adams and his successors, as trustee under the Stephen Adams Living Trust, dated January 15, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 22, 2009)
10.11	Credit Agreement between the Company and Stephen Adams, in his individual capacity, and Stephen Adams and his successors, as trustee under the Stephen Adams Living Trust, dated January 30, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 3, 2009)

10.12

Repurchase Agreement, dated as of December 17, 2009, between the Company and the Estate of Wade F.B. Thompson (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 17, 2009)

38

- 10.13 Credit Agreement between the Company and Marcus Lemonis, Stephen Adams, in his individual capacity, and Stephen Adams and his successors, as trustee under the Stephen Adams Living Trust, dated as of December 22, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 22, 2009)
- Amended and Restated Dealer Exclusivity Agreement, dated as of January 30, 2009, by and among Thor Industries, Inc., FreedomRoads Holding Company, LLC, and certain subsidiaries of FreedomRoads, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended April 30, 2011)
- Amendment to Exclusivity Agreement between the Company, FreedomRoads Holding Company, LLC, FreedomRoads, LLC and certain subsidiaries of FreedomRoads, LLC, dated as of December 22, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 22, 2009)
- First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated January 15, 2009, between the Company and Stephen Adams, in his individual capacity, and Stephen Adams and his successors, as trustee under the Stephen Adams Living Trust, dated December 22, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 22, 2009)
- First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated January 30, 2009, between the Company and Stephen Adams, in his individual capacity, and Stephen Adams and his successors, as trustee under the Stephen Adams Living Trust, dated December 22, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 22, 2009)
- 10.18 Stock Option Agreement between the Company and Ronald Fenech, dated April 28, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 30, 2010)
- Thor Industries, Inc. 2010 Equity and Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix D to the Company s Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on November 2, 2010)
- Form of Stock Option Agreement for grants under the Thor Industries, Inc. 2010 Equity and Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended April 30, 2011)
- Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of September 16, 2010, by and among Thor Industries, Inc., Heartland RV Holdings, L.P.,
  Towable Holdings, Inc. and Heartland Recreational Vehicles, LLC and certain other persons named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 22, 2010)
- 10.22 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of September 16, 2010, by and among Thor Industries, Inc. and certain holders of shares of capital stock of Thor Industries, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 22, 2010)
- 10.23 Letter Agreement, dated July 8, 2011, by and among Thor Industries, Inc., Catterton Partners VI, L.P., Catterton Partners VI Offshore, L.P., CP6 Interest Holdings, LLC, and CPVI Coinvest, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 13, 2011)
- Repurchase Agreement, dated as of August 12, 2011, between the Company and the Estate of Wade F.B. Thompson (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 12, 2011)
- Repurchase Agreement, dated as of January 18, 2012, between the Company and the Estate of Wade F.B. Thompson (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 18, 2012)
- Repurchase Agreement, dated as of January 18, 2012, between the Company and Catterton Partners VI, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 18, 2012)
- Repurchase Agreement, dated as of January 18, 2012, between the Company and Catterton Partners VI Offshore, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 18, 2012)
- Repurchase Agreement, dated as of January 18, 2012, between the Company and CP6 Interest Holdings, L.L.C. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 18, 2012)
- Repurchase Agreement, dated as of January 18, 2012, between the Company and CPVI Coinvest, L.L.C. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 18, 2012)
- Employment offer letter, dated January 26, 2012, from the Company to Bob Martin (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 31, 2012)
- Stipulation of Settlement, executed on April 13, 2012 by the Company, in the case of In Re: FEMA Trailer Formaldehyde Product Liability Litigation, MDL No. 1873, before the United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 13, 2012)
- Form of Restricted Stock Award Certificate and Restricted Stock Award Agreement of Robert W. Martin (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 7, 2012)
- Letter of Understanding, dated August 17, 2012 and acknowledged August 20, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 21, 2012)
- Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement for Grants to Employees of the Company under the Thor Industries, Inc. 2010 Equity and Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 12, 2012)

## **Table of Contents**

10.35	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement for Grants to Non-Employee Directors of the Company under the Thor
	Industries, Inc. 2010 Equity and Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of the Company s Current Report on
	Form 8-K dated October 12, 2012)
10.36	Separation and Release Agreement, dated October 25, 2012, by and between the Company and Christian G. Farman
	(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 29, 2012)
10.37	Agreement, dated December 12, 2012 between the Company and Marcus Lemonis, Stephen Adams, in his individual capacity,
	and Stephen Adams and his successor, as trustee under the Stephen Adams Living Trust (incorporated by reference to Exhibit
	10.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 14, 2012)
10.38	Employment offer letter, dated January 11, 2013 from the Company to Dominic A. Romeo (incorporated by reference to
	Exhibit 10.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 16, 2013)
10.39	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated July 31, 2013, between Thor Industries, Inc. and Allied Specialty Vehicles, Inc. (incorporated
	by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 1, 2013)
14.1	Thor Industries, Inc. Business Ethics Policy (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14.1 of the Company s Annual Report on
	Form 10-K for the year ended July 31, 2010)
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Company*
23.1	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP, dated September 26, 2013*
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer filed pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer filed pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer furnished pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer furnished pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document**
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document**
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document**
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document**
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document**
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document**
Attached as E	xhibits 101 to this report are the following financial statements from the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year

Attached as Exhibits 101 to this report are the following financial statements from the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended July 31, 2013 formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (v) related notes to these financial statements.

The XBRL related information in Exhibits 101 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K shall not be deemed filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and is not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to the liabilities of those sections.

40

<sup>\*</sup> Filed herewith

<sup>\*\*</sup> Furnished herewith

## **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on September 26, 2013 on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

## THOR INDUSTRIES, INC.

(Signed) /s/ Peter B. Orthwein

Peter B. Orthwein

Executive Chairman of the Board (Principal executive officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed on September 26, 2013 by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated.

(Signed)	/s/ Peter B. Orthwein Peter B. Orthwein Executive Chairman of the Board	(Signed)	/s/ Robert W. Martin Robert W. Martin Director, Chief Executive Officer and President
(Signed)	/s/ Dominic A. Romeo Dominic A. Romeo Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal financial officer)	(Signed)	/s/ Colleen Zuhl Colleen Zuhl Vice President and Corporate Controller (Principal accounting officer)
(Signed)	/s/ Andrew E. Graves Andrew E. Graves Director	(Signed)	/s/ James L. Ziemer James L. Ziemer Director
(Signed)	/s/ Geoffrey A. Thompson Geoffrey A. Thompson Director	(Signed)	/s/ Jan H. Suwinski Jan H. Suwinski Director
(Signed)	/s/ J. Allen Kosowsky J. Allen Kosowsky Director	(Signed)	/s/ Alan Siegel Alan Siegel Director

41

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Thor Industries, Inc.

Elkhart, Indiana

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Thor Industries, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of July 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income, stockholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended July 31, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Thor Industries, Inc. and subsidiaries at July 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended July 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of July 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated September 26, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Chicago, Illinois

September 26, 2013

F-1

Thor Industries, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated Balance Sheets, July 31, 2013 and 2012

(amounts in thousands except share and per share data)

	2013	2012
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 236,601	\$ 218,642
Accounts receivable:		
Trade, less allowance for doubtful accounts \$157 in 2013 and \$527 in 2012	230,852	221,655
Other	15,527	10,430
Inventories	153,036	186,083
Notes receivable	6,426	1,000
Prepaid expenses and other	5,238	6,179
Deferred income taxes	46,518	40,897
Assets of discontinued operations	136,506	
Total current assets	830,704	684,886
Property, plant and equipment:		
Land	20,885	23,704
Buildings and improvements	150,628	166,868
Machinery and equipment	73,478	84,863
Total cost	244,991	275,435
Less accumulated depreciation	101,182	111,041
Net property, plant and equipment	143,809	164,394
Other assets:	229 102	245 200
Goodwill	238,103	245,209
Amortizable intangible assets	97,753 9,766	114,227 22,160
Long-term notes receivable Other	8,133	
Other	8,133	12,178
Total other assets	353,755	393,774
Total Assets	\$ 1,328,268	\$ 1,243,054

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Thor Industries, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated Balance Sheets, July 31, 2013 and 2012

(amounts in thousands except share and per share data)

	2013	2012
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 135,040	\$ 143,139
Accrued liabilities:	·	·
Compensation and related items	47,496	41,295
Product warranties	84,250	73,280
Income and other taxes	21,350	16,129
Promotions and rebates	12,580	11,053
Product/property liability and related liabilities	10,642	11,044
Other	15,207	15,150
Liabilities of discontinued operations	35,107	
•		
Total current liabilities	361,672	311,090
Total current incontres	201,072	311,070
Unrecognized income tax benefits	41,219	44,516
Deferred income taxes, net	18,560	20,934
Other liabilities	14,203	15,687
Total long-term liabilities	73,982	81,137
Total long term internites	73,702	01,137
Contingent liabilities and commitments		
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock authorized 1,000,000 shares; none outstanding		
Common stock par value of \$.10 a share; authorized, 250,000,000 shares; issued 62,045,264 shares in		
2013 and 61,777,849 shares in 2012	6,205	6,178
Additional paid-in capital	198,838	192,248
Retained earnings	953,740	918,565
Accumulated other comprehensive loss unrealized loss on available-for-sale investments	(22)	(60)
Less treasury shares of 8,858,280 in 2013 and 8,857,339 in 2012, at cost	(266,147)	(266,104)
	(===,==,7)	(===,===,)
Total stockholders equity	892,614	850,827
Total Stockholders County	0,2,014	030,027
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$ 1,328,268	\$ 1,243,054

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

### Thor Industries, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended July 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

(amounts in thousands, except per share data)

		2013		2012		2011
Net sales	\$	3,241,795	\$	2,639,798	\$	2,340,442
Cost of products sold	Ψ	2,817,256	Ψ	2,320,268	Ψ	2,041,506
Gross profit		424,539		319,530		298,936
Selling, general and administrative expenses		194,650		148,260		160,532
Impairment charges		2,000				2,036
Amortization of intangible assets		10,460		10,651		9,595
Interest income		2,628		3,744		3,880
Interest expense		6		47		1
Other income, net		1,921		1,072		1,685
Income from continuing operations before income taxes		221,972		165,388		132,337
Income taxes		70,296		53,953		40,690
		,		/		2,22
Net income from continuing operations		151,676		111,435		91,647
Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes		1,186		10,304		14,626
neome nom discontinued operations, net of income taxes		1,100		10,501		11,020
Net income	\$	152,862	\$	121,739	\$	106,273
Earnings per common share from continuing operations:						
Basic	\$	2.86	\$	2.07	\$	1.66
Diluted	\$	2.86	\$	2.07	\$	1.66
Earnings per common share from discontinued operations:						
Basic	\$	0.02	\$	0.19	\$	0.26
Diluted	\$	0.02	\$	0.19	\$	0.26
Earnings per common share:						
Basic	\$	2.88	\$	2.26	\$	1.92
Diluted	\$	2.88	\$	2.26	\$	1.92
Net income	\$	152,862	\$	121,739	\$	106,273
Unrealized appreciation on investments, net of tax effects of \$23, \$6 and \$158		38		7		257
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
Comprehensive income	\$	152,900	\$	121,746	\$	106,530

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

### Thor Industries, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity for the Years Ended July 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Treasu Shares	Treasury Stock Shares Amount		Common Stock Shares Amount				Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
July 31, 2010	5,857,339	\$ (189,104)	57,318,849	\$ 5,732	\$ 95,770	\$ 745,204	\$ (324)		
Net income						106,273			
Stock option activity			78,500	8	1,549				
Cash dividends - \$.40 per common share						(22,329)			
Unrealized appreciation on investments, net of tax							257		
Heartland acquisition			4,300,000	430	90,101		251		
Stock compensation expense			.,,,,,,,,,,,		2,707				
Programme Progra					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
July 31, 2011	5,857,339	(189,104)	61,697,349	6,170	190,127	829,148	(67)		
Net income						121,739			
Shares purchased	3,000,000	(77,000)				,,,,,,			
Stock option and restricted stock activity		` ' '	80,500	8	1,433				
Cash dividends - \$.60 per common share			,		,	(32,322)			
Unrealized appreciation on						, , ,	7		
investments, net of tax Stock compensation expense					688		1		
Stock compensation expense					000				
July 31, 2012	8,857,339	(266,104)	61,777,849	6,178	192,248	918,565	(60)		
Net income						152,862			
Stock option and restricted stock									
activity	941	(43)	203,951	21	5,783				
Special dividend - \$1.50 per common share						(79,525)			
Cash dividends - \$0.72 per common share						(38,162)			
Cashless exercises of stock options			63,464	6	(2,009)				
Unrealized appreciation on investments, net of tax							38		
Stock compensation expense					2,816				
July 31, 2013	8,858,280	\$ (266,147)	62,045,264	\$ 6,205	\$ 198,838	\$ 953,740	\$ (22)		

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Thor Industries, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended July 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

(amounts in thousands)

	2013	2013 2012	
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 152,862	\$ 121,739	\$ 106,273
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating			
activities:			
Depreciation	13,950	13,843	13,747
Amortization of intangibles	11,037	11,135	10,262
Impairment charges	13,525		3,466
Deferred income tax benefit	(9,904)	(3,442)	(2,839)
(Gain)/Loss on disposition of property, plant & equipment	(47)	(209)	71
Stock-based compensation	2,816	688	2,707
Excess tax benefits from stock-based awards	(740)	(101)	(516)
Gain on involuntary conversion of discontinued operations assets			(2,190)
Changes in assets and liabilities (excluding acquisitions and disposition):			
Accounts receivable	(46,615)	(62,592)	14,648
Inventories	(37,037)	(1,585)	(17,448)
Notes receivable	(= 1,11 1)	7,062	2,424
Prepaid expenses and other	(1,127)	(1,181)	(3,118)
Accounts payable	15,449	23,435	(15,403)
Accrued liabilities	32,318	8,185	(3,275)
Other liabilities	(1,421)	1,864	5,993
Other Internities	(1,121)	1,001	3,773
Net cash provided by operating activities	145,066	118,841	114,802
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchases of property, plant & equipment	(24,305)	(10,063)	(33,749)
Proceeds from dispositions of property, plant & equipment	361	629	690
Proceeds from dispositions of investments	800	650	3,700
Proceeds from notes receivable	7,000	500	
Insurance proceeds from involuntary conversion of discontinued operations			
assets			2,569
Acquisitions	(10,718)	(170)	(99,562)
Net proceeds from disposition of ambulance net assets	12,051	, , ,	
Other	815	600	
Net cash used in investing activities	(13,996)	(7,854)	(126,352)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Cash dividends	(38,162)	(32,322)	(22,329)
Special cash dividend	(79,525)		
Purchase of treasury stock		(77,000)	
Shares repurchased related to cashless exercise of stock options	(2,009)	` , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Excess tax benefits from stock-based awards	740	101	516
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	5,845	1,441	1,047
	-,	, -	,
Net cash used in financing activities	(113,111)	(107,780)	(20,766)

Edgar Filing: THOR INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-K

N-4:(d):	17.050	2 207	(22.216)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	17,959	3,207	(32,316)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	218,642	215,435	247,751
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 236,601	\$ 218.642	\$ 215,435
Supplemental cash flow information:			
Income taxes paid	\$ 75,561	\$ 61,549	\$ 57,789
Interest paid	\$ 411	\$ 560	\$ 212
Non-cash transactions:			
Capital expenditures in accounts payable	\$ 736	\$ 851	\$ 472
Common stock issued in business acquisition	\$	\$	\$ 90,531

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the Years Ended July 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

(All dollar amounts presented in thousands except per share data)

#### 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Operations Thor Industries, Inc. was founded in 1980 and, together with its subsidiaries (the Company), manufactures a wide range of recreational vehicles at various manufacturing facilities primarily in Indiana and Ohio. These products are sold to independent dealers primarily throughout the United States and Canada. Unless the context otherwise requires or indicates, all references to Thor, the Company, we, our and refer to Thor Industries, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

The Company s core ongoing business activities are comprised of two distinct operations, which include the design, manufacture and sale of motorized recreational vehicles and towable recreational vehicles. Accordingly, the Company has presented segmented financial information for these two segments in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. See Note 3, Discontinued Operations, in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of the Company s bus operations which, as of July 31, 2013, are subject to an agreement to be sold. Accordingly, the accompanying financial statements (including footnote disclosures unless otherwise indicated) reflect these operations as discontinued operations apart from the Company s continuing recreational vehicle operations.

*Principles of Consolidation* The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Thor Industries, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions are eliminated upon consolidation.

Estimates The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Key estimates include reserves for inventory, incurred but not reported medical claims, warranty claims, recalls, workers compensation claims, vehicle repurchases, uncertain tax positions, product and non-product litigation and assumptions made in asset impairment assessments. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. The Company believes that such estimates are made using consistent and appropriate methods. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents Interest-bearing deposits and other investments with maturities of three months or less when purchased are considered cash equivalents. At July 31, 2013 and 2012, cash and cash equivalents of \$217,965 and \$183,615, respectively, were held by one financial institution. The remaining \$18,636 and \$35,027 at July 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, were held at various other financial institutions.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments The carrying amount of cash equivalents, investments, accounts receivable, notes receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value because of the relatively short maturity of these financial instruments.

Inventories Substantially all inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, determined on the last-in, first-out (LIFO) basis. Manufacturing costs include materials, labor, freight-in and manufacturing overhead. Unallocated overhead and abnormal costs are expensed as incurred.

Depreciation Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

Buildings and improvements 10 to 39 years

Machinery and equipment 3 to 10 years

Depreciation expense is recorded in cost of products sold except for \$2,783, \$2,713 and \$2,658 in fiscal 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, which relates primarily to office buildings and equipment and is recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Intangible Assets Intangible assets consist of goodwill, trademarks, dealer networks, design technology assets and non-compete agreements. Trademarks are being amortized on a straight-line basis over 20 to 25 years. Dealer networks are amortized on an accelerated cash flow basis over 12 years, and design technology assets and non-compete agreements are amortized using the straight-line method over 5 to 15 years. Goodwill is not amortized but is tested at least annually for impairment. Goodwill is reviewed for impairment by applying a fair-value based test

on an annual basis at April 30, or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate a potential impairment.

Long-lived Assets Property, plant and equipment and identifiable intangibles that are amortized are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable from future cash flows. If the carrying value of a long-lived asset is impaired, an impairment charge is recorded for the amount by which the carrying value of the long-lived asset exceeds its fair value.

*Product Warranties* Estimated warranty costs are provided at the time of sale of the warranted products. Warranty reserves are reviewed and adjusted as necessary on a quarterly basis.

F-7

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts The allowance for doubtful accounts represents management s estimate of probable credit losses in existing accounts receivable, as determined from a review of past due balances and other specific account information.

A summary of allowance for doubtful accounts activity is as follows:

	<u>2013</u>	2012	2011
Beginning balance	\$ 527	\$ 549	\$ 422
Net charged to expense	(47)	32	3
Write-offs net of recoveries/payments	(130)	(54)	(116)
Heartland acquisition			240
Discontinued operations reclassification	(193)		
Ending balance	\$ 157	\$ 527	\$ 549

Insurance Reserves Generally, the Company is self-insured for workers compensation, products liability and group medical insurance. Under these plans, liabilities are recognized for claims incurred, including those incurred but not reported. The liability for workers compensation claims is determined by the Company with the assistance of a third party administrator and actuary using various state statutes and historical claims experience. Group medical reserves are estimated using historical claims experience. The Company has a self-insured retention (SIR) for products liability and personal injury matters of \$5,000 per occurrence. Beginning April 1, 2012, this SIR for bus related matters is \$7,500 per occurrence. The Company has established a liability on our balance sheet for product liability and personal injury occurrences based on historical data, known cases and actuarial information. Currently, the Company maintains excess liability insurance aggregating \$50,000 with outside insurance carriers to minimize our risks related to catastrophic claims in excess of all our self-insured positions for products liability and personal injury matters.

Revenue Recognition Revenues from the sale of recreational vehicles are primarily recorded when all of the following conditions have been met:

- 1) An order for a product has been received from a dealer;
- 2) Written or oral approval for payment has been received from the dealer s flooring institution, if applicable;
- 3) A common carrier signs the delivery ticket accepting responsibility for the product as agent for the dealer; and
- 4) The product is removed from the Company s property for delivery to the dealer who placed the order.

These conditions are generally met when title passes, which is when vehicles are shipped to dealers in accordance with shipping terms, which are primarily FOB shipping point. Most sales are made to dealers financing their purchases under flooring arrangements with banks or finance companies. Certain shipments are sold to customers on credit or cash on delivery ( COD ) terms. The Company recognizes revenue on credit sales upon shipment and COD sales upon payment and delivery. Products are not sold on consignment, dealers do not have the right to return products and dealers are typically responsible for interest costs to floor plan lenders.

Amounts billed to dealers for delivery of product are recognized as revenue with the corresponding delivery expense charged to costs of products sold.

Dealer Volume Rebates, Sales Incentives and Advertising Costs Estimated costs related to dealer volume rebates and sales incentives are accrued as a reduction of revenue at the later of the time products are sold or the date the rebate or incentive is offered. Advertising costs, which consist primarily of tradeshows and are expensed as incurred, were \$8,794, \$6,989 and \$6,168 in fiscal 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Repurchase Agreements The Company is contingently liable under terms of repurchase agreements with financial institutions providing inventory financing for certain dealers of certain of its products. These arrangements, which are customary in the industry, provide for the repurchase of products sold to dealers in the event of default by the dealer. The risk of loss from these agreements is spread over numerous dealers. In addition to the guarantee under these repurchase agreements, we may also be required to repurchase inventory relative to dealer terminations in certain states in accordance with state laws or regulatory requirements. The repurchase price is generally determined by the original sales price of the product and pre-defined curtailment arrangements and the Company typically resells the repurchased product at a discount from its repurchase price. The Company accounts for the guarantee under its repurchase agreements of its dealers—financing by estimating and deferring a portion of the related product sale that represents the estimated fair value of the repurchase obligation. The estimated

fair value takes into account our estimate of the loss we will incur upon resale of any repurchases. This estimate is based on recent historical experience supplemented by management s assessment of current economic and other conditions affecting our dealers. This deferred amount is included in our repurchase and guarantee reserve which is included in other current liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Income Taxes The objectives of accounting for income taxes are to recognize the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our financial statements or tax returns. Judgment is required in assessing the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our financial statements or tax returns. Fluctuations in the actual outcome of these tax consequences could materially impact our financial position or results of operations.

The Company recognizes liabilities for uncertain tax positions based on a two-step process. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. The second step requires the Company to estimate and measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement. It is inherently difficult and subjective to estimate such amounts, as the Company has to determine the probability of various possible outcomes. The Company reevaluates these uncertain tax positions on a quarterly basis. This evaluation is based on factors including, but not limited to, changes in facts or circumstances, changes in tax law, effectively settled issues under audit, and new audit activity. Such a change in recognition or measurement would result in the recognition of a tax benefit or an additional charge to the tax provision.

Significant judgment is required in determining the Company s provision for income taxes, the Company s deferred tax assets and liabilities and any valuation allowance recorded against the Company s deferred tax assets, if any. Valuation allowances must be considered due to the uncertainty of realizing deferred tax assets. Companies must assess whether valuation allowances should be established against their deferred tax assets on a tax jurisdictional basis based on the consideration of all available evidence, using a more likely than not standard. The Company has evaluated the sustainability of our deferred tax assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheets which includes the assessment of the cumulative income over recent prior periods.

Stock Options The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the grant date fair value of its option grants. The fair value and related compensation costs are recognized over the option vesting period which is 3 to 5 years.

Earnings Per Share Basic earnings per common share (EPS) is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding assuming dilution. The difference between basic EPS and diluted EPS is the result of outstanding stock options and unvested restricted stock and restricted stock units.

	2013	2012	2011
Weighted average shares outstanding for basic earnings per share	53,005,576	53,845,697	55,271,340
Stock options and unvested restricted stock and restricted stock units	109,972	54,151	102,301
Weighted average shares outstanding assuming dilution	53,115,548	53,899,848	55,373,641

The Company excludes stock options and unvested restricted stock and restricted stock units that have an antidilutive effect from its calculation of weighted average shares outstanding assuming dilution. At July 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company had stock options and unvested restricted stock and restricted units outstanding of 0, 412,000, and 729,826, respectively, that were excluded from this calculation as their effect would be antidilutive.

#### **Accounting Pronouncements**

In September 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-08, Testing Goodwill for Impairment, to simplify how entities test goodwill for impairment. This guidance permits an entity to assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not (defined as more than fifty percent) that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the current two-step goodwill impairment test. The two-step goodwill impairment test that begins with estimating the fair value of the reporting unit will only be required if the entity determines that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### 2. ACQUISITIONS

On September 16, 2010, the Company purchased all of the outstanding capital stock of Towable Holdings, Inc., which owned all of the outstanding equity interests of Heartland Recreational Vehicles, LLC (Heartland). Heartland is engaged in the business of manufacturing and marketing recreational vehicles, consisting of travel trailers and fifth wheel vehicles. Heartland operates as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company and is managed as a stand-alone operating unit that is aggregated into the Company s towable recreational vehicle reportable segment. The assets acquired as a result of the acquisition include equipment and other tangible and intangible property. The assets of Heartland are used in connection with the operation of Heartland s business of manufacturing and marketing towable recreational vehicles.

Pursuant to the purchase agreement entered into in connection with the acquisition, the Company paid \$99,732 in cash (includes \$99,562 paid on the acquisition date and \$170 in subsequent working capital true-up adjustments) and issued 4,300,000 shares of the Company s unregistered common stock ( Thor Shares ) valued at an aggregate of \$90,531. The value of the Thor Shares was based on an independent appraisal. The cash portion of the consideration was funded entirely from the Company s cash on hand. The final purchase price allocation resulted in \$94,308 of goodwill and \$118,320 of intangible assets.

On September 17, 2012, the Company entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement with Krystal Infinity, LLC dba Krystal Enterprises (Krystal) for the acquisition of Krystal s bus operation assets for cash consideration of \$3,914. The acquisition closed on October 3, 2012. The fair value of the net assets acquired included inventory of \$915, property and equipment of \$331, goodwill of \$768 and amortizable intangible assets consisting of trademarks of \$1,000 and dealer network of \$900. The Krystal bus operation assets are utilized at the ElDorado Kansas facility to produce buses under the Krystal name. The related assets and liabilities as of July 31, 2013, and the results of operations since acquisition, are included in discontinued operations as discussed in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

On December 20, 2012, the Company acquired the Federal Coach (Federal Coach) bus operation assets from Forest River, Inc. for cash consideration of \$6,804. The fair value of the net assets acquired included inventory of \$804, property and equipment of \$630, certain liabilities of \$225, goodwill of \$4,495, and amortizable intangible assets consisting of trademarks of \$670, dealer network of \$410 and backlog of \$20. The Federal Coach bus operation assets are utilized at the Champion Bus facility to produce buses under the Federal Coach name. The related assets and liabilities as of July 31, 2013, and the results of operations since acquisition, are included in discontinued operations as discussed in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### 3. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

On July 31, 2013, the Company entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement to sell its bus business, which manufactures and sells transit and shuttle buses, to Allied Specialty Vehicles, Inc. (ASV) for \$100 million in cash, subject to closing adjustments including working capital changes from April 30, 2013 until closing. The Company s bus business includes the operations of Champion Bus Inc., General Coach America, Inc., Goshen Coach, Inc., ElDorado National Kansas, Inc. and ElDorado National California, Inc. The sale is subject to customary closing conditions and is expected to be completed by November 1, 2013. The Company does not anticipate recording a loss as a result of this sale. The divestiture will allow the Company to focus on the strategic development and growth of its core recreational vehicle business. The results of operations for the bus business, including the results of the divested ambulance product line noted below, have been reported as discontinued operations in the Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for all periods presented. The following table summarizes the results of discontinued operations:

	2013		2012		2011
Discontinued Operations:					
Net sales	\$ 448,385	\$	444,862	\$	415,066
Operating income of discontinued operations	\$ 12,080	\$	15,303	\$	12,303
Gain on involuntary conversion					9,417
Impairment charges	11,525				1,430
Income tax benefit (expense)	631		(4,999)		(5,664)
Income from discontinued operations, net of taxes	\$ 1,186	\$	10,304	\$	14,626

On February 14, 2010, a fire occurred at one of the principal manufacturing plants of the discontinued Champion Bus business. The Company maintains a property and business interruption insurance policy that provided substantial coverage for the losses arising from this incident, which included property, inventory and equipment losses, less the first \$5,000 representing the Company s deductible per the policy. For fiscal 2011, the Company recognized insurance recoveries of \$10,437 and clean up and other costs of \$1,020 for a net gain on involuntary conversion of \$9,417.

In the third quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company s annual impairment assessment resulted in a non-cash trademark impairment of \$1,430 associated with an operating subsidiary in the Company s bus business. This impairment resulted from lower anticipated future sales than previously expected.

In the third quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company determined that it was more likely than not that certain long-lived assets associated with the Company s ambulance product line would be sold before the end of their previously estimated useful life. This was determined to be a triggering event and an impairment assessment relative to those assets was performed. Based on the assessment, the Company determined that the carrying amount of the assets would not be recoverable from future cash flows and as a result, a non-cash impairment charge of \$4,715 related to certain amortizable intangible assets was recorded.

F-10

In the third quarter of fiscal 2013, prior to our annual impairment assessment, the Company also performed an interim goodwill impairment assessment relative to the goodwill associated with the reporting unit that included the ambulance product line. Based on the assessment, the Company determined that the fair value of this reporting unit was less than the carrying value and therefore performed the second step of the goodwill impairment assessment, which requires estimating the fair values of the reporting unit s net identifiable assets and calculating the implied fair value of goodwill. The fair value of this reporting unit was determined by a discounted cash flow model and market approach, consistent with its last annual impairment assessment. The implied fair value of goodwill was determined to be zero and, therefore, recorded goodwill was impaired and a non-cash impairment charge of \$6,810 was recognized in the third quarter of fiscal year 2013. The goodwill impairment was primarily a result of lower forecasted margins and increased working capital requirements within this reporting unit. These non-cash impairment charges for amortizable intangible assets and goodwill totaled \$11,525.

The asset fair values utilized in the impairment assessments discussed above were determined using Level 3 inputs as defined by ASC 820.

On April 30, 2013, the Company sold the assets held and used in the conduct of its ambulance product line (excluding the plant utilized in ambulance production and certain other excluded assets) for a final price of \$12,051. There was no gain or loss recognized on the sale. Discontinued operations include the results of the ambulance product line through the date of its sale on April 30, 2013.

The following is a summary of the assets and liabilities of discontinued operations, excluding cash, which are held for sale as of July 31, 2013:

Accounts and other receivable, net	\$ 29,894
Inventories, net of LIFO reserve of \$9,683	61,800
Property, plant and equipment, cost	50,985
Accumulated depreciation, property, plant and equipment	(21,422)
Goodwill	5,559
Other intangibles, net	3,743
Deferred income taxes and other assets	2,540
Deferred compensation plan assets	3,407
Assets of discontinued operations	\$ 136,506
Accounts payable	\$ 23,427
Accrued compensation and related items	3,130
Product warranties	3,891
Deferred income taxes and other liabilities	1,252
Deferred compensation plan liabilities	3,407
	,
Liabilities of discontinued operations	\$ 35,107

In accordance with the Stock Purchase Agreement with ASV, the Company will retain the costs and liabilities associated with product liability, worker s compensation and group medical insurance for any occurrence prior to the closing date of the sale. Therefore, these insurance reserves are not included in the liabilities of discontinued operations on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of July 31, 2013.

#### 4. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

The Company has two continuing reportable segments: 1) towable recreational vehicles and 2) motorized recreational vehicles. The towable recreational vehicle reportable segment consists of product lines from the following operating segments that have been aggregated: Airstream, CrossRoads, Dutchmen, Keystone and Heartland. The motorized recreational vehicle reportable segment consists of product lines from the following operating segments that have been aggregated: Airstream and Thor Motor Coach.

F-11

All manufacturing is conducted in the United States. Identifiable assets are those assets used in the operation of each reportable segment. Corporate assets primarily consist of cash and cash equivalents and deferred income tax assets.

		2013		2012		2011
Net sales:						
Recreational vehicles						
Towables	\$	2,650,253	\$	2,285,863	\$	1,977,416
Motorized		591,542		353,935		363,026
Total	\$	3,241,795	\$	2,639,798	\$	2,340,442
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes:						
Recreational vehicles						
Towables	\$	205,724	\$	158,973	\$	146,361
Motorized		43,907		18,469		12,777
Total recreational vehicles		249,631		177,442		159,138
Corporate		(27,659)		(12,054)		(26,801)
Total	\$	221,972	\$	165,388	\$	132,337
Identifiable assets:						
Recreational vehicles						
Towables	\$	759,658	\$	734,439	\$	696,059
Motorized		126,123		82,904		93,586
Total recreational vehicles		885,781		817,343		789,645
Corporate		305,981		282,387		282,201
Bus				143,324		126,224
Assets of discontinued operations		136,506				
Total	\$	1,328,268	\$	1,243,054	\$	1,198,070
Depreciation and amortization expense:						
Recreational vehicles						
Towables	\$	19,888	\$	20,010	\$	18,506
Motorized		2,040		2,122		2,474
Total recreational vehicles		21,928		22,132		20,980
Corporate		322		309		313
Discontinued operations		2,737		2,537		2,716
Total	\$	24,987	\$	24,978	\$	24,009
Capital acquisitions:						
Recreational vehicles Toyobles	¢	12.054	ď	0 020	Ф	22 025
Towables Motorized	\$	13,954	\$	8,830 798	\$	22,835
IVIOLOTIZEU		1,673		/98		1,393

Edgar Filing: THOR INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-K

Total recreational vehicles	15,627	9,628	24,228
Corporate	8,143	43	53
Discontinued operations	420	771	9,417
Total	\$ 24,190	\$ 10,442	\$ 33,698

Export sales, primarily to Canada, from the Company s continuing U.S. operations were \$537,374, \$456,073 and \$428,907 in fiscal 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

#### 5. INVENTORIES

Major classifications of inventories are:

		July 31,			
	201	3	2012		
Finished products	\$	9,888 \$	16,570		
Work in process	30	5,188	59,803		
Raw materials	99	9,154	104,446		
Chassis	34	4,108	39,044		
Subtotal	179	9,338	219,863		
Excess of FIFO costs over LIFO costs	(20	5,302)	(33,780)		
Total inventories	\$ 153	3,036 \$	186,083		

Of the \$179,338 and \$219,863 of inventory at July 31, 2013 and 2012, all but \$15,335 and \$36,887, respectively, at certain subsidiaries were valued on a last-in, first-out basis. The \$15,335 and \$36,887 of inventory were valued on a first-in, first-out method.

The Company s reserves for inventory obsolescence were \$2,393 at July 31, 2013 and \$1,866 at July 31, 2012.

### 6. INTANGIBLE ASSETS, GOODWILL AND LONG-LIVED ASSETS

The components of amortizable intangible assets are as follows:

			July 31,		July 31	1, 2012			
	Weighted Average	Accumulated			Accumulated				mulated
	Years Remaining Life	Cost		Amortization		C	ost	Amo	rtization
Dealer networks	9	\$	67,000	\$	19,121	\$	72,230	\$	13,343
Non-compete agreements	2		4,130		2,375		6,321		3,678
Trademarks	22		35,042		3,843		36,775		2,522
Design technology and other									
intangibles	11		21,300		4,380		21,300		2,856
Total amortizable intangible assets		\$	127,472	\$	29,719	\$	136,626	\$	22,399

Aggregate amortization expense for amortizable intangibles for all operations for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were \$11,037, \$11,135 and \$10,262, respectively, including \$10,460, \$10,651 and \$9,595, respectively, for continuing operations. The dealer networks are primarily being amortized on an accelerated cash flow basis. Non-compete agreements, trademarks and other intangibles are amortized on a straight-line basis.

Estimated Amortization Expense:

For the fiscal year ending July 31, 2014	\$ 10,192
For the fiscal year ending July 31, 2015	9,844
For the fiscal year ending July 31, 2016	8,802
For the fiscal year ending July 31, 2017	8,595
For the fiscal year ending July 31, 2018	8,157
For the fiscal year ending July 31, 2019 and thereafter	52,163

\$ 97,753

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2011, management decided to combine its Damon and Four Winds motorized operations to form Thor Motor Coach to optimize operations and garner cost efficiencies. As a result, related intangible assets were reviewed at that time for a potential impairment, trademarks associated with one of the former operating companies were discontinued and the related trademark values of \$2,036 were written off.

See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for discussion of goodwill and other intangibles asset impairment charges recognized related to discontinued operations.

F-13

For the annual impairment test at April 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, management engaged an independent valuation firm to assist in its impairment assessment reviews. The fair value of the Company s reporting units for purposes of goodwill testing, based on Level 3 inputs as defined by ASC 820, was determined by employing a discounted cash flow methodology and a market approach, when appropriate. As a result of the April 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 annual impairment assessments, no impairment of goodwill was identified.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company determined it was more likely than not that certain RV facilities will be sold before the end of their previously estimated useful life and therefore, performed impairment assessments over these facilities to determine whether an impairment exists. As a result, a non-cash impairment charge of \$2,000 was recognized in the quarter ended July 31, 2013.

Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill by reportable segment as of July 31, 2013 and 2012 are summarized as follows:

	2013							
	T	owables	$\mathbf{M}$	otorized		Buses		Total
Balance as of beginning of fiscal year:								
Goodwill	\$	238,103	\$	17,252	\$	7,106	\$	262,461
Accumulated impairment charges				(17,252)				(17,252)
Net balance at beginning of fiscal year		238,103				7,106		245,209
Fiscal year activity:								
Goodwill acquired Bus						5,263		5,263
Impairment charges discontinued operations						(6,810)		(6,810)
Discontinued operations reclassification						(5,559)		(5,559)
Net balance as of July 31, 2013	\$	238,103	\$		\$		\$	238,103
Components of balance at end of fiscal year:								
Goodwill	\$	238,103	\$	17,252	\$	12,369	\$	267,724
Accumulated impairment charges				(17,252)		(6,810)		(24,062)
Discontinued operations reclassification						(5,559)		(5,559)
Net balance as of July 31, 2013	\$	238,103	\$		\$		\$	238,103
				201	2			
	T	owables	M	otorized		Buses		Total
Balance as of beginning of fiscal year:		22-21-				= 404		261 = 01
Goodwill	\$	237,346	\$	17,252	\$	7,106	\$	261,704
Accumulated impairment charges				(17,252)				(17,252)
						= 404		
Net balance at beginning of fiscal year		237,346				7,106		244,452
Fiscal year activity:		757						757
Goodwill acquired Heartland		757						757
N. 1. 1. C. 1. 21. 2012	ф	220 102	Φ.		Φ.	7.106	Φ.	245.200
Net balance as of July 31, 2012	\$	238,103	\$		\$	7,106	\$	245,209
Components of balance at end of fiscal year:								
Goodwill	\$	238,103	\$	17,252	\$	7,106	\$	262,461
Accumulated impairment charges				(17,252)				(17,252)

F-14

#### 7. CONCENTRATION OF RISK

One dealer, FreedomRoads, LLC, accounted for 17%, 14% and 14% of the Company s continuing consolidated net sales for fiscal 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. This dealer also accounted for 24% of the Company s continuing consolidated trade accounts receivable at July 31, 2013 and 23% at July 31, 2012. The loss of this dealer could have a significant effect on the Company s business.

#### 8. LOAN TRANSACTIONS AND RELATED NOTES RECEIVABLE

In January 2009, we entered into two credit agreements, for \$10,000 each, with Stephen Adams, in his individual capacity, and Stephen Adams and his successors, as trustee under the Stephen Adams Living Trust (the Trust and, together with each of the foregoing persons, the January 2009 Loan Borrowers), pursuant to which \$4,000 of original principal on the first agreement is outstanding as of July 31, 2013 and due on January 15, 2014.

Under the terms of the second agreement, the January 2009 Loan Borrowers agreed to use the loan proceeds to make an equity contribution to FreedomRoads Holding to be used to purchase the Company s products. As a result, principal payments received under this agreement are classified as operating activities in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. The final principal and interest payments on this agreement were received in fiscal 2012.

In December 2009, we entered into a \$10,000 credit agreement with Marcus Lemonis, Stephen Adams, in his individual capacity, and Stephen Adams and his successors, as trustee under the Trust (collectively, the December 2009 Loan Borrowers), and later modified in December 2012, pursuant to which \$8,500 of original principal is outstanding as of July 31, 2013 with the final payment due on August 30, 2015.

The January 2009 and December 2009 Loan Borrowers own, directly or indirectly, a controlling interest in FreedomRoads Holding Company, LLC, the parent company of FreedomRoads, LLC, the Company s largest dealer.

The credit agreements each contain customary representations and warranties, affirmative and negative covenants, events of default and acceleration provisions for loans of this type. All payments of principal and interest due to date on the outstanding agreements have been paid in full. Based on payment history and assessment of the credit quality of the January 2009 and December 2009 Loan Borrowers, the Company does not consider the receivables impaired or requiring an allowance for credit losses.

In connection with the January 2009 Loan, the borrowers caused FreedomRoads Holding and its subsidiaries (collectively, the FR Dealers ), to enter into an agreement pursuant to which the FR Dealers agreed to purchase additional recreational vehicles from the Company s subsidiaries. The term of this agreement, as subsequently amended, continues until December 22, 2029 unless earlier terminated in accordance with its terms.

#### 9. INVESTMENTS AND FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (i.e., an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The standard describes a fair value hierarchy based on three levels of inputs, of which the first two are considered observable and the last unobservable, that may be used to measure fair value which are the following:

- Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

The only Company assets or liabilities carried at fair value in the financial statements are its investments in auction rate securities (ARS) - measured with Level 3 inputs, and in other securities (primarily in mutual funds) held for the benefit of certain employees of the Company as part of a deferred compensation plan - measured with Level 1 inputs. ARS balances of \$666 and \$1,405 and deferred compensation plan asset balances of \$7,000 (excluding \$3,407 related to discontinued operations) and \$8,970 were recorded as of July 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, as components of other long-term assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. An equal and offsetting accrued long-term liability was also

recorded in regards to the deferred compensation plan. Changes in the fair value of the plan assets and the related deferred liability are both reflected in income.

The ARS underlying assets are primarily student loans which are substantially backed by the federal government. While the ARS s are subject to periodic settlements via open auctions, the Company may need to wait until the final maturity of the underlying loans to realize the full value of the ARS.

F-15

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for the assets measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 financial assets):

	2	2013	2012
Balances at beginning of year	\$	1,405	\$ 2,042
Net change in other comprehensive income		61	13
Sales/Maturities		(800)	(650)
Balances at end of year	\$	666	\$ 1,405

#### 10. PRODUCT WARRANTY

The Company generally provides retail customers of its products with a one-year warranty covering defects in material or workmanship, with longer warranties of up to five years on certain structural components. The Company records a liability based on its best estimate of the amounts necessary to settle future and existing claims on products sold as of the balance sheet date. Factors used in estimating the warranty liability include a history of units sold, existing dealer inventory, average cost incurred and a profile of the distribution of warranty expenditures over the warranty period. Management believes that the warranty reserves are adequate. However, actual claims incurred could differ from estimates, requiring adjustments to the reserves. Warranty reserves are reviewed and adjusted as necessary on at least a quarterly basis.

	2013		2012		2011
Beginning balance	\$ 73,280	\$	66,054	\$	51,467
Provision	93,374		74,491		61,922
Payments	(78,513)		(67,265)		(57,514)
Heartland acquisition					10,179
Discontinued operations reclassification	(3,891)				
Ending balance	\$ 84,250	\$	73,280	\$	66,054

#### 11. INCOME TAXES

The components of the provision (benefit) for income taxes from continuing operations are as follows:

Income Taxes:	July 31, 2013	July 31, 2012	July 31, 2011
Federal	\$ 74,610	\$ 52,665	\$ 43,787
State and local	4,187	4,250	(151)
Total current expense (benefit)	78,797	56,915	43,636
Federal	(7,712)	(2,888)	(3,049)
State and local	(789)	(74)	103
Total deferred expense (benefit)	(8,501)	(2,962)	(2,946)
Total income tax expense	\$ 70,296	\$ 53,953	\$ 40,690

The differences between income taxes at the federal statutory rate and the actual income taxes are as follows:

	July 31, 2013		uly 31, 2012	uly 31, 2011
Provision at federal statutory rate	\$	77,691	\$ 57,886	\$ 46,318
State and local income taxes, net of federal benefit		2,815	846	590
Federal income tax credits and incentives		(2,468)	(831)	(1,697)
Domestic production activities deduction		(7,303)	(5,145)	(4,574)
Change in uncertain tax positions		(718)	1,879	(1,107)
Executive compensation limitation			38	
Reduction of excess current tax payable and deferred tax liabilities		13	(1,018)	(48)
Other permanent items		266	298	1,208
Total income tax expense	\$	70,296	\$ 53,953	\$ 40,690

Table of Contents			
A summary of deferred income taxes is as follows:	July 31, 2013		uly 31, 2012
Current deferred tax asset (liability):			
Inventory basis	\$ (314)	\$	(589)
Employee benefits	2,215		2,026
Self-insurance reserves	8,333		8,556
Accrued product warranties	30,802		26,690
Accrued incentives	2,672		2,369
Sales returns and allowances	1,381		1,145
Accrued expenses	2,145		1,399
Unrecognized tax benefits	984		963
Other	(1,700)		(1,662)
Total current net deferred tax asset	\$ 46,518	\$	40,897
Long-term deferred tax asset (liability):			
Property basis	(2,007)		(4,482)
Investments	(121)		(433)
Deferred compensation	3,349		5,069
Tax credit carryforwards	547		
Intangibles	(33,464)		(35,524)
Unrecognized tax benefits	13,136		14,436
Total net long-term deferred tax asset (liability)	(18,560)		(20,934)
Net deferred tax asset	\$ 27,958	\$	19,963

As of July 31, 2013, the Company has a \$69 capital loss carryover that expires in 2014 and \$1,282 of state tax credit carryforwards that expires from 2022-2023 both of which the Company expects to realize prior to expiration. In addition, the Company has approximately \$66,000 of gross state tax Net Operating Loss ( NOL ) carryforwards that expire from 2014-2033 that the Company does not expect to realize and therefore has been fully reserved. The deferred tax asset of \$1,375 associated with the state tax NOL carryforwards and the related equal and offsetting valuation allowance are not reflected in the table above.

#### **Unrecognized Tax Benefits:**

The benefits of tax positions reflected on income tax returns but whose outcome remains uncertain are only recognized for financial accounting purposes if they meet minimum recognition thresholds. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would have impacted the Company s effective tax rate were \$21,765 for 2013, \$22,454 for 2012 and \$21,453 for 2011.

Changes in the unrecognized tax benefit during fiscal year 2013, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

	2013		2013		2013		2013		2013		2	012	2	011
	Unrecognized Tax Benefit		U			cognized Benefit		cognized Benefit						
Beginning balance	\$	33,900	\$	32,174	\$	31,039								
Tax positions related to prior years:														
Additions		436		562		1,817								
Reductions		(113)		(284)		(1,062)								
Tax positions related to current year:														
Additions		5,348		3,995		3,713								

Edgar Filing: THOR INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-K

Settlements Lapses in statute of limitations	(5,593) (1,245)	(1,364) (1,183)	(2,642) (691)
Ending balance	\$ 32,733	\$ 33,900	\$ 32,174

It is the Company s policy to recognize interest and penalties accrued relative to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. Interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits are not included in the schedule above. The total amount of liabilities accrued for interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as of July 31, 2013, July 31, 2012, and July 31, 2011 were \$11,671, \$13,265, and \$12,533 respectively. The total amount of interest and penalties expense (benefit) recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2013, July 31, 2012, and July 31, 2011 were \$(932), \$503 and \$(995), respectively.

The total unrecognized tax benefits above, along with the related accrued interest and penalties, are reported within the liability section of the Consolidated Balance Sheets. A portion of the unrecognized tax benefits is classified as short-term and is included in the Income and other taxes line of the Consolidated Balance Sheets, while the remainder is classified as a long-term liability.

The components of total unrecognized tax benefits are summarized as follows:

	2013		2012		2	011
Unrecognized tax benefits	\$	32,733	\$	33,900	\$	32,174
Reduction to unrecognized tax benefits for tax credit carry forward  Accrued interest and penalties		(440) 11,671		13,265		12,533
Total unrecognized tax benefits	\$	43,964	\$	47,165	\$	44,707
Short term, included in Income and other taxes	\$	2,745	\$	2,649	\$	1,683
Long term		41,219		44,516		43,024
Total unrecognized tax benefits	\$	43,964	\$	47,165	\$	44,707

The Company anticipates a decrease of approximately \$4,000 in unrecognized tax benefits, \$1,000 in interest and \$60 in penalties during fiscal 2014 from expected settlements or payments of uncertain tax positions and lapses of the applicable statutes of limitations. In addition, the Company is currently in the process of pursuing a variety of settlement alternatives with taxing authorities. It is reasonably possible that some of these settlements could be finalized in the next 12 months. If these settlements are finalized within the next 12 months, the gross unrecognized tax benefits may decrease between \$2,600 and \$9,700 and related accrued interest and penalties may decrease between \$1,300 and \$3,800. It is reasonably possible that some of these settlements will result in cash payments by the Company. Actual results may differ materially from these estimates.

Generally, fiscal years 2010, 2011 and 2012 remain open for federal, state and foreign income tax purposes. The Company and its subsidiaries file a consolidated U.S. federal income tax return and multiple state income tax returns. The federal returns are subject to examination by taxing authorities for all years after fiscal 2009. The Company is currently under IRS audit for fiscal year 2011. The Company is also being audited by the state of California for tax years ended July 31, 2007 and July 31, 2008 and by the state of Indiana for tax years ended July 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010. The Company believes it has fully reserved for its exposure to additional payments for uncertain tax positions related to its federal, California and Indiana income tax returns in its liability for unrecognized tax benefits. In addition, the Company paid approximately \$70 in tax and interest during fiscal year 2013 to finalize the state of Idaho tax audit for the tax years ended July 31, 2009 through 2011.

### 12. CONTINGENT LIABILITIES AND COMMITMENTS

The Company is contingently liable under terms of repurchase agreements with financial institutions providing inventory financing for certain dealers of certain of its products. These arrangements, which are customary in the industry, provide for the repurchase of products sold to dealers in the event of default by the dealer on the agreement to pay the financial institution. The repurchase price is generally determined by the original sales price of the product and pre-defined curtailment arrangements. The Company typically resells the repurchased product at a discount from its repurchase price. The risk of loss from these agreements is spread over numerous dealers. In addition to the guarantee under these repurchase agreements, we may also be required to repurchase inventory relative to dealer terminations in certain states in accordance with state laws or regulatory requirements. The additional repurchase exposure under these circumstances is insignificant in relation to our repurchase obligation with financial institutions. The Company also provides limited guarantees to certain of its dealers, certain of which expired in fiscal 2013.

F-18

Our principal commercial commitments under repurchase agreements and dealer inventory financing guarantees at July 31, 2013 and 2012 are summarized in the following chart:

		<b>Total Amount</b>	Term of		
	J	uly 31, 2013	July	y 31, 2012	Commitments
Commitment					
Guarantee on dealer financing	\$	375	\$	900	Various
Standby repurchase obligation on dealer financing	\$	1,027,567	\$	861,738	Up to eighteen months

We account for the guarantee under our repurchase agreements of our dealers financing by deferring a portion of the related product sale that represents the estimated fair value of the guarantee. The estimated fair value takes into account our estimate of the losses we will incur upon resale of any repurchases. This estimate is based on recent historical experience supplemented by management s assessment of current economic and other conditions affecting our dealers.

This deferred amount is included in our repurchase and guarantee reserve balances of \$3,778 and \$3,150 as of July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2012, respectively, which are included in other current liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. These reserves do not include any amounts for dealer inventory financing guarantees as the Company does not currently expect any losses from such guarantees and believes the fair value of these guarantees is immaterial.

The table below reflects losses incurred under repurchase agreements for the past three fiscal years. Management believes that any future losses under these agreements will not have a significant effect on the Company s consolidated financial position or results of operations.

	Fiscal 2013	Fiscal 2012	Fiscal 2011
Cost of units repurchased	\$ 6,926	\$ 2,881	\$ 5,876
Realization of units resold	6,020	2,521	5,023
Losses due to repurchase	\$ 906	\$ 360	\$ 853

The Company obtains certain vehicle chassis from automobile manufacturers under converter pool agreements. These agreements generally provide that the manufacturer will supply chassis at the Company s various production facilities under the terms and conditions set forth in the agreement. The manufacturer does not transfer the certificate of origin to the Company and, accordingly, the Company accounts for the chassis as consigned, unrecorded inventory. Upon being put into production, the Company becomes obligated to pay the manufacturer for the chassis. Chassis are typically converted and delivered to customers within 90 days of delivery. If the chassis are not converted within 90 days of delivery to the Company, the Company generally purchases the chassis and records the inventory. At July 31, 2013, chassis on hand accounted for as consigned, unrecorded inventory was approximately \$12,650, all of which related to discontinued operations. In addition to this consigned inventory, at July 31, 2013, an additional \$9,469 of chassis provided by customers were located at the Company s production facilities pending further manufacturing, of which \$9,322 related to discontinued operations. The Company never purchases these chassis and does not include their cost in its billings to the customer for the completed unit.

#### **Legal Matters**

In addition to the matter described below, the Company is involved in certain litigation arising out of its operations in the normal course of its business, most of which is based upon state—lemon laws—, warranty claims and vehicle accidents (for which the Company carries insurance above a specified self-insured retention or deductible amount). The outcomes of legal proceedings and claims brought against the Company are subject to significant uncertainty. There is significant judgment required in assessing both the probability of an adverse outcome and the determination as to whether an exposure can be reasonably estimated. In management—s opinion, the ultimate disposition of any current legal proceedings or claims against the Company will not have a material effect on the Company—s financial condition, operating results or cash flows, except that an adverse outcome in a significant litigation matter could have a material effect on the operating results of a particular reporting period.

### FEMA Trailer Formaldehyde Litigation

Beginning in 2006, a number of lawsuits were filed against numerous trailer and manufactured housing manufacturers, including complaints against the Company. The complaints were filed in various state and federal courts throughout Louisiana, Alabama, Texas and Mississippi on behalf of Gulf Coast residents who lived in travel trailers, park model trailers and manufactured homes provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) following Hurricanes Katrina and Rita in 2005. The complaints generally alleged that residents who occupied

FEMA supplied emergency housing units, such as travel trailers, were exposed to formaldehyde emitted from the trailers. The plaintiffs allege various injuries from exposure, including health issues and emotional distress. Most of the initial cases were filed as class action suits. The Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (the MDL panel ) transferred the actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana (the MDL Court ). After denying class certification, the MDL Court commenced hearing both bellwether jury trials and bellwether summary jury trials.

F-19

In January and February of 2012, the Company s RV subsidiaries involved in the MDL proceedings participated in mediation and reached agreements in principle to resolve the litigation. On March 27, 2012, Heartland and its insurance carriers entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) memorializing a settlement. On March 30, 2012, Thor Industries, Inc., for itself and on behalf of its other RV subsidiaries involved in the MDL proceeding, and its insurance carriers, entered into an MOU memorializing a settlement reached in February 2012.

The Company and its RV subsidiaries involved in the MDL proceeding, their respective insurance carriers, several unaffiliated manufacturers of RVs and their insurers, and legal representatives of the plaintiffs each executed a Stipulation of Settlement in April 2012 (the Stipulation of Settlement ).

On June 1, 2012, the Company paid \$4,700 into the Registry of the United States District of Louisiana. This payment represents final payment of the Company and its subsidiaries obligation under the Stipulation of Settlement.

On September 27, 2012, after counsel for the plaintiffs produced the list of members of the class who requested exclusion from the proposed settlement, the MDL Court conducted a Fairness Hearing during which final approval of the proposed settlement was evaluated. On that same date, the Court approved the settlement and entered a final, appealable order dismissing all of the claims pending in the MDL litigation. Because no plaintiffs with claims against the Company or any of its subsidiaries opted out of the settlement, this order, in the absence of any filed appeal, effectively ends the litigation against the Company and its subsidiaries.

After no appeal was taken in relation to the claims against the Company or its subsidiaries, the MDL Court appointed a Special Master to allocate all pending settlements. On March 29, 2013, the MDL Court approved a methodology pertaining to the allocation of the settlements. On April 2, 2013, the Special Master filed a motion before the MDL Court seeking to establish an allocation and objection procedure. As mentioned above, the Company and all of its subsidiaries involved in this litigation have fully funded the settlements by depositing the agreed upon amounts into the Registry of the United States District of Louisiana.

#### 13. LEASES

The Company has operating leases principally for land, buildings and equipment. Future minimum rental payments required under these operating leases as of July 31, 2013 are \$2,630 which includes the following amounts due in each of the next five fiscal years: \$877 in fiscal 2014; \$654 in fiscal 2015; \$494 in fiscal 2016; \$369 in fiscal 2017 and \$236 in fiscal 2018. Rent expense was \$1,572 in fiscal 2013, \$1,659 in fiscal 2012 and \$2,015 in fiscal 2011.

#### 14. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Substantially all non-highly compensated employees are eligible to participate in a 401(k) plan. The Company may make discretionary contributions to the 401(k) plan according to a matching formula determined by each operating subsidiary. Total expense for the plan was \$316 in fiscal 2013, \$174 in fiscal 2012 and \$209 in fiscal 2011.

The Company has established a deferred compensation plan for executives who do not participate in a 401(k) plan. This plan allows executives to defer a portion of their compensation and to direct the Company to invest the funds in mutual fund investments held by the Company. Participant benefits are limited to the value of the investments held on their behalf. Investments held by the Company are accounted for at fair value and reported as other long-term assets. The equal and offsetting obligation to the participants is reported as other long-term liabilities. No net income or loss is recorded through the Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income. The Company does not make contributions to the plan. The balance of investments held in this plan, and the offsetting liability to the executives, was \$7,000 (excluding \$3,407 related to discontinued operations) at July 31, 2013 and \$8,970 at July 31, 2012.

## 15. STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

#### **Treasury Stock**

The Company entered into a repurchase agreement, dated as of August 12, 2011 (the August 2011 Repurchase Agreement ), to purchase shares of its common stock from the Estate of Wade F.B. Thompson (the Estate ) in a private transaction. Pursuant to the terms of the August 2011 Repurchase Agreement, on August 15, 2011, the Company purchased from the Estate 1,000,000 shares of its common stock at a price of \$20.00 per share, and held them as treasury stock, representing an aggregate purchase price of \$20,000. The closing price of Thor common stock on August 12, 2011 was \$20.62. The Estate held shares of common stock of the Company previously owned by the late Wade F. B. Thompson, the Company s co-founder and former Chief Executive Officer. At the time of the repurchase transaction, Alan Siegel, a member of the board of directors of the Company (the Board ), served as a co-executor of the Estate. The repurchase transaction was evaluated and approved by

members of the Board who are not affiliated with the Estate. The Company used available cash to purchase the shares. The number of shares repurchased by the Company represented 1.8% of the Company s issued and outstanding common stock prior to the repurchase.

F-20

The Company entered into a repurchase agreement, dated as of January 18, 2012 (the January 2012 Repurchase Agreement ), to purchase shares of its common stock from the Estate in a private transaction. Pursuant to the terms of the January 2012 Repurchase Agreement, on January 20, 2012, the Company purchased from the Estate 1,000,000 shares of its common stock at a price of \$28.50 per share, and held them as treasury stock, representing an aggregate purchase price of \$28,500. The closing price of Thor common stock on January 18, 2012 was \$29.34. The repurchase transaction was evaluated and approved by members of the Board who are not affiliated with the Estate. The Company used available cash to purchase the shares. The number of shares repurchased by the Company represented 1.8% of the Company s issued and outstanding common stock prior to the repurchase.

The Company also entered into separate repurchase agreements (collectively, the Catterton Repurchase Agreements ) with each of Catterton Partners VI, L.P., Catterton Partners VI Offshore, L.P., CP6 Interest Holdings, L.L.C., and CPVI Coinvest, L.L.C. (collectively, Catterton), each dated as of January 18, 2012, to purchase shares of its common stock from Catterton in a private transaction. Pursuant to the terms of the Catterton Repurchase Agreements, on January 20, 2012, the Company purchased from Catterton an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of its common stock at a price of \$28,500 per share, and held them as treasury stock, representing an aggregate purchase price of \$28,500. The closing price of Thor common stock on January 18, 2012 was \$29.34. The Company used available cash to purchase the shares. The number of shares repurchased by the Company represented 1.8% of the Company s issued and outstanding common stock prior to the repurchase.

#### **Stock-Based Compensation**

The Board approved the Thor Industries, Inc. 2010 Equity and Incentive Plan (the 2010 Equity and Incentive Plan ) on October 25, 2010 and the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan ) on October 16, 2006. These plans were subsequently approved by shareholders at the 2010 and 2006 annual meetings, respectively. These plans are designed, among other things, to replace the Company s 1999 Stock Option Plan (the 1999 Plan ) and the Company s 1997 Restricted Stock Plan (the 1997 Plan ). Upon approval of the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, the 1999 Plan and the 1997 Plan were frozen. As a result, there will be no further grants pursuant to either the 1999 Plan or the 1997 Plan. However, outstanding grants under the 1999 Plan remain outstanding, subject to the respective terms and conditions of the Plan. The maximum number of shares issuable under the 2010 Equity and Incentive Plan is 2,000,000 and under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan is 1,100,000. Remaining shares available to be granted under the 2010 Equity and Incentive Plan are 1,850,461 and under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan are 30,000 as of July 31, 2013. Awards may be in the form of options (incentive stock options and non-statutory stock options), restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance compensation awards and stock appreciation rights. Options typically expire 10 years from the date of grant and are vested evenly over 3 to 5 years from the date of grant.

Stock Options A summary of option activity under the 1999 Plan, the 2010 Equity and Incentive Plan and the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan is as follows:

	2 Shares	A	eighted- verage ccise Price	Shares	2012 Weighted- Average Exercise Price Sha		2 Shares	2011 Weight Avera Shares Exercise	
Outstanding at beginning of year	732,725	\$	28.89	1,433,225	\$	30.90	1,381,725	\$	30.20
Exercised	(498,412)		28.62	(80,500)		17.74	(78,500)		13.33
Forfeited	(120,000)		27.84	(600,000)		35.18			
Expired	(8,000)		26.91	(20,000)		29.25			
Granted							130,000		27.72
Outstanding at end of year	106,313	\$	31.48	732,725	\$	28.89	1,433,225	\$	30.90
Vested and expected to vest at end of year	106,313	\$	31.48	712,725	\$	28.82	833,225	\$	27.82
Exercisable at end of year	106,313	\$	31.48	566,059	\$	29.24	609,891	\$	27.84

The weighted average remaining contractual life for outstanding options and exercisable options at July 31, 2013 is 4.78 years.

The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and exercisable as of July 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

	2013	2	012	2	011
Aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and expected to vest	\$ 2,399	\$	966	\$	647
Aggregate intrinsic value of options exercisable	\$ 2,399	\$	738	\$	647

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Assumptions utilized in the model are evaluated when awards are granted. Forfeiture assumptions are revised as necessary to reflect actual experience. The fair value of the stock options is based upon the market price of the underlying common stock as of the date of the grant, reduced by the present value of estimated future dividends and risk-free interest rates. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. treasury security rate estimated for the expected life of the options at the date of grant. Expected volatilities are based on the historical volatility of the Company s stock. The expected term of the options represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding and is estimated using the Company s historical exercise and termination behavior.

The weighted average fair value of options granted in fiscal 2011 was \$10.80, as calculated by the Black-Scholes method. There were no option grants during fiscal 2013 or fiscal 2012. The assumptions used in determining the fair value of the options granted during fiscal 2011 are as follows:

Grant Date	July 19, 2011	March 14, 2011
Expected volatility	46%	46%
Expected life of grant	6 years	6 years
Risk-free interest rate	1.8%	2.3%
Expected dividend rate	1.8%	1.2%

In fiscal years 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company recorded expenses of \$393, \$645 and \$2,707, respectively, for stock option awards. As of July 31, 2013, there will be no future compensation costs related to existing stock options.

Cash received from stock option exercises for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$5,845, \$1,428 and \$1,047, respectively. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised in fiscal years 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$7,502, \$931 and \$1,551, respectively.

The Company recognized a tax benefit related to stock based compensation expense of \$144, \$224 and \$1,172 in fiscal 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

During fiscal 2013, stock options of 498,412 were exercised at an aggregate exercise price of \$14,267. Of the 498,412 options exercised during fiscal 2013, 314,000 were done so on a cashless basis under which 63,464 shares were issued. The shares withheld as a result of the cashless exercise included the number of shares necessary to cover the exercise price as well as the employee withholding tax related to the exercise, which was then paid by the Company on the employees behalf in the aggregate amount of \$2,009. Exercises of options are satisfied with the issuance of new shares from authorized shares.

Stock Awards There was no restricted stock activity under the 2010 Equity and Incentive Plan in fiscal 2011, and a summary of restricted stock award activity under this Plan for fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012 is as follows:

		2013 Weighted- Average Grant		
	Shares	Date Fair Value	Shares	Date Fair Value
Nonvested, beginning of year	10,041	\$ 29.46		\$
Granted	9,498	32.36	10,041	29.46
Vested	(2,009)	29.46		
Forfeited				
Nonvested, end of year	17,530	\$ 31.03	10,041	\$ 29.46

In fiscal 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company recorded expense for restricted stock awards under this plan of \$133, \$43 and \$0, respectively. At July 31, 2013, there was \$405 of total unrecognized compensation costs related to restricted stock awards that is expected to be recognized over

a weighted average period of 3.95 years. This restricted stock vests evenly over 5 years from the date of grant.

During 2013, the Compensation and Development Committee of the Board ( the Committee ) approved a program to award restricted stock units to certain employees at the operating subsidiary and corporate levels. The first awards under this program were granted in the first quarter of fiscal 2013 based on fiscal year 2012 performance. Additionally, the Committee granted restricted stock units during fiscal 2013 in conjunction with an offer of employment. The Committee also approved certain awards related to fiscal year 2013 performance that will be granted in fiscal 2014. The employee restricted stock units will vest, and shares of common stock will be issued, in equal installments on the first, second and third anniversaries of the date of grant. The Nominating and Governance Committee of the Board awarded restricted stock units to Board members that will vest, and shares of common stock will be issued, on the first anniversary of the date of the grant. Total expense recognized in fiscal 2013 for restricted stock unit awards was \$2,290.

F-22

A summary of restricted stock unit activity during fiscal 2013 is included below:

	Restricted Stock Units	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value
Nonvested, beginning of year		\$
Granted	143,069	38.01
Vested		
Forfeited	(3,794)	36.32
Nonvested, end of year	139,275	\$ 38.06

Total non-cash compensation expense recognized for stock option awards, restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards in fiscal 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$2,816, \$688 and \$2,707, respectively.

#### 16. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On August 30, 2013, the Company acquired the assets of recreational vehicle manufacturer Livin Lite, located in Wakarusa, Indiana, through a wholly-owned subsidiary for cash consideration of approximately \$18,000, subject to working capital adjustments. The Company purchased the assets to expand its recreational vehicle market share and complement its existing brands with Livin Lite s advanced lightweight product offerings. Under the Company s ownership, Livin Lite will continue as an independent operation in the same manner as our existing recreational vehicle subsidiaries.

\*\*\*\*\*

F-23