American Water Works Company, Inc. Form DEF 14A
March 28, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of

the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed	l by the Registrant x				
Filed	d by a Party other than the Registrant "				
Chec	Check the appropriate box:				
	Preliminary Proxy Statement				
	Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e) (2))				
x	Definitive Proxy Statement				
	Definitive Additional Materials				
	Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12 AMERICAN WATER WORKS COMPANY, INC.				

 $(Name\ of\ Person(s)\ Filing\ Proxy\ Statement\ if\ other\ than\ the\ Registrant)$

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

x	No f	ee required.
	Fee	computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
	(1)	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
	(2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
	(3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
	(4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
	(5)	Total fee paid:
•	Fee	paid previously with preliminary materials.
		ck box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
	(1)	Amount Previously Paid:
	(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

AMERICAN WATER WORKS COMPANY, INC.

March 28, 2013

Dear American Water Stockholder:

I am pleased to invite you to attend American Water s 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders on Monday, May 13, 2013. The meeting will be held at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, at The Mansion, 3000 Main Street, Voorhees, New Jersey 08043. For your convenience, we are providing a live webcast (audio only) of the meeting at www.amwater.com. Instructions on accessing the webcast are explained in detail on page 2.

The materials accompanying this letter include a formal notice of the meeting and the proxy statement. The proxy statement provides information on, among other things, American Water's corporate governance, the Company's executive compensation program, and the matters to be voted on at the meeting. We believe that matters addressed in the proxy statement reflect American Water's commitment to strong governance processes, including independent and active board oversight, accountability to stockholders, transparent disclosure and compliance with regulatory responsibilities.

The American Water board of directors commitment to sound corporate governance is illustrated by a number of practices adopted in recent years:

Our Chairman of the Board is an independent director;

We have instituted a majority vote standard for election of directors;

We have a policy mandating independence for the Compensation Committee s compensation consultant;

We have an annual advisory vote to approve our executive compensation; and

Stockholders have direct and confidential access to the board of directors through our email address: contacttheboard@amwater.com.

We believe that these steps, and other effective governance practices described in this proxy statement, as well as American Water's largely performance-based executive compensation program, exhibit our proactive approach to governance. We encourage you to learn more about our governance practices by reading the proxy statement and visiting the Investor Relations page on our website at www.amwater.com.

It is important that your shares be represented and voted at the Annual Meeting regardless of the size of your holdings. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we encourage you to vote your shares in advance of the Annual Meeting by using one of the methods described in the accompanying proxy materials.

We will set aside time at the meeting for discussion of each item of business, and American Water's management will report on the Company's performance during the last fiscal year and respond to questions from stockholders. If you will need special assistance at the meeting because of a disability, please contact Kellye L. Walker, Chief Administrative Officer, General Counsel and Secretary, American Water Works Company, Inc., 1025 Laurel Oak Road, Voorhees, New Jersey 08043, or Investor Relations via email at *aw.investorrelations@amwater.com* or by telephone at 877-310-7174.

Thank you for your support and continued interest in American Water.

Sincerely,

George MacKenzie Chairman of the Board

American Water Works Company, Inc.

1025 Laurel Oak Road

Voorhees, New Jersey 08043

NOTICE OF

2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TO BE HELD ON MAY 13, 2013

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of American Water Works Company, Inc. (the Company) will be held at The Mansion, 3000 Main Street, Voorhees, New Jersey 08043, on Monday, May 13, 2013, at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time to consider and take action on the following:

- election to the board of directors of the nine nominees named in the proxy statement to serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders or until their successors are elected and qualified;
- 2. ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013;
- 3. an advisory vote to approve our executive compensation; and
- 4. such other business, if any, as may properly be brought before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the meeting. Holders of record of our outstanding common stock as of the close of business on March 18, 2013, are entitled to vote at the meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Kellye L. Walker Chief Administrative Officer, General Counsel and Secretary

March 28, 2013

Your vote is very important to us. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we encourage you to read this proxy statement and submit your proxy or voting instructions as soon as possible. It is important that your shares of common stock be represented on the important issues presented at the meeting and we encourage you to vote in the method that best suits you. If you are unable to join us in Voorhees on May 13, 2013, you will have the ability to participate and cast your vote electronically on the Internet during the meeting at www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/awk2013. Investors will also be able to hear a live audio-only webcast of the meeting by visiting our Investor Relations website at www.amwater.com. For specific instructions on how to vote your shares, please refer to the instructions on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials you received in the mail (or, if you received printed proxy materials, on the enclosed proxy card), and the section entitled Questions and Answers about the Annual Meeting and Voting beginning on page 1 of this proxy statement.

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING TO BE HELD ON MAY 13, 2013: The proxy statement and 2012 Annual Report (which includes our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012) are available at the Investor Relations section of the Company s website at *www.amwater.com*.

AMERICAN WATER WORKS COMPANY, INC

PROXY STATEMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING	Page
PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS Nominees for Election as Directors	7 7
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	13
Corporate Governance Guidelines and Other Corporate Governance Documents	13
Determination of Independence of Directors	14
Executive Sessions of Independent Directors	14
Board Leadership Structure	15
Board Role in Risk Oversight	15
Code of Ethics	15
Assessment of Board and Committee Performance	15
Stockholder Communications to the Board	15
Board and Board Committee Meetings	16
Committees of the Board of Directors	16
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION	20
Director Compensation	21
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT	22
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN STOCKHOLDERS	23
SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	23
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	24
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT	42
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	43
Summary Compensation Table	43
Grants of Plan-Based Awards	47
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End	48
Pension Benefits	50
Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	51
Potential Payments on Termination or Change in Control	52
RELATED PERSON TRANSACTION PROCEDURES	58
AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT	58
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FEES AND SERVICES	59
PROPOSAL 2 RATIFY THE APPOINTMENT OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	60
PROPOSAL 3 ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE OUR EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	60
OTHER MATTERS	61

AMERICAN WATER WORKS COMPANY, INC.

1025 Laurel Oak Road

Voorhees, New Jersey 08043

PROXY STATEMENT

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING

Our board of directors is soliciting your proxy to vote at our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (or any adjournment or postponement of the Annual Meeting). This proxy statement contains important information for you to consider when deciding how to vote on the matters brought before the Annual Meeting. Please read it carefully.

In accordance with rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, which we refer to as the SEC, we are providing to most of our stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, which we refer to as the Notice, rather than mailing a printed copy of our proxy materials. Our proxy materials consist of this proxy statement, a proxy card and our annual report to stockholders, which includes our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, and a letter from our President and CEO. We are making these materials available to our stockholders beginning on or about March 28, 2013. More information is provided in the following set of questions and answers, including information on how to receive by mail, free of charge, paper copies of the proxy materials.

When and where will the Annual Meeting be held?

The date, time and place of our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are set forth below:

DATE: Monday, May 13, 2013

TIME: 10:00 a.m. (Eastern Daylight Time)

PLACE: The Mansion

3000 Main Street

Voorhees, New Jersey 08043

What is the purpose of the Annual Meeting?

At the Annual Meeting, stockholders will consider and take action upon the matters outlined in the Notice of Meeting:

election to the board of directors of the nine nominees named in this proxy statement to serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders or until their successors are elected and qualified;

ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013;

an advisory vote to approve our executive compensation; and

such other business, if any, as may properly come before the meeting and any adjournment or postponement of the meeting. American Water s management will also report on the Company s performance during the last fiscal year and respond to questions from stockholders.

1

Who is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?

Stockholders of record who owned American Water common stock at the close of business on March 18, 2013, the record date, are entitled to vote. As of the record date, there were 177,616,279 shares of American Water common stock outstanding.

What is required to attend the Annual Meeting?

You will need an admission card and picture identification to enter the Annual Meeting. To obtain an admission card, which will be mailed to you prior to the meeting, please follow the advance registration instructions on the back inside cover of this proxy statement. If your shares are not registered in your own name, you must provide, at the entrance to the Annual Meeting, evidence of your stock ownership as of March 18, 2013. You can obtain this evidence from your bank or brokerage firm, typically in the form of your most recent monthly statement.

To attend the Annual Meeting on the Internet, visit www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/awk2013. To enter the meeting and vote, you must use your 12-digit Control Number printed on your proxy voting card. Stockholders of record will be able to vote their shares electronically and submit questions during the meeting. Questions submitted online, but not answered during the meeting, will be addressed within the next business day following the meeting.

If you have a disability, we can provide reasonable assistance to help you participate in the meeting. If you plan to attend the meeting and require assistance, please contact Kellye L. Walker, Chief Administrative Officer, General Counsel and Secretary, American Water Works Company, Inc., 1025 Laurel Oak Road, Voorhees, New Jersey, 08043, or Investor Relations by email at *aw.investorrelations@amwater.com* or by telephone at 877-310-7174, at least one week prior to our meeting.

Will there be a public webcast of the Annual Meeting?

Yes. The Annual Meeting will be webcast live to the public via the Internet on Monday, May 13, 2013, beginning at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time. Accessing the webcast will enable you to hear the speakers on a live basis.

The webcast may be accessed on our website at www.amwater.com. Click on Investors Relations at the top of the page, and follow the Annual Meeting webcast link under Upcoming Events. Minimum requirements to listen to this broadcast online are: Windows Media Player software, downloadable at www.microsoft.com/windows/windowsmedia/download/default.asp, and at least a 28K connection to the Internet.

To listen to the live webcast, please go to the website at least 30 minutes early to download and install any necessary software. If you plan to listen online, we suggest that you test your computer s access to Windows Media Player by visiting the above URL one week prior to the meeting date.

If you are unable to listen online during the meeting, the event will be archived on the Company s website at the same website address through June 11, 2013.

What are the board of directors recommendations regarding the matters to be acted on at the Annual Meeting?

The board of directors recommends a vote:

FOR the election of all director nominees named in this proxy statement;

FOR the ratification of the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013; and

FOR the approval, on an advisory basis, of our executive compensation.

2

What is e-proxy, and why is American Water using it?

E-proxy is the informal name for a process permitted by SEC rules. Under this process, a company can make its proxy materials available to some or all of its stockholders over the Internet, instead of mailing paper copies of the proxy materials to every stockholder. We are using e-proxy to distribute proxy materials to some of our stockholders because it will reduce our printing and mailing costs and reduce the consumption of paper and other resources.

How do I access the proxy materials on the Internet?

We have mailed to some of our stockholders a Notice. If you hold your shares through a bank or broker, that bank or broker will arrange to have a Notice provided to you. The Notice has instructions on how to access our proxy materials on the Internet.

I received the Notice, but I prefer to read my proxy materials on paper can I get paper copies?

Yes. The Notice has instructions on how to request paper copies by telephone, e-mail or on the Internet. We will send, free of charge, printed materials by first class mail within three business days of receiving your request, either directly or through your bank or broker. If you so indicate in your request, you also will receive the materials in paper form with respect to future stockholder meetings.

I previously consented to the electronic delivery of proxy materials will I continue to receive them via e-mail?

Yes. If you have already elected to receive proxy materials electronically, you will continue to receive them that way.

How many votes do I have?

Each outstanding share of American Water common stock is entitled to one vote. The number of shares you own is reflected on your proxy card.

Is there a difference between holding shares of record and holding shares in street name?

Yes. If your shares are registered directly in your name, you are considered to be the stockholder of record with respect to those shares, and either the Notice or paper copies of the proxy materials are being sent directly to you by American Water. If your shares are held in the name of a bank or broker, then you are considered to hold those shares in street name. In that case, the Notice is being sent to you or paper copies of the proxy materials are being forwarded to you by or on behalf of your bank or broker.

How many votes must be present to hold the Annual Meeting?

A quorum of shares outstanding is necessary to hold a valid meeting. The presence at the meeting, in person or by proxy, of the holders of record of a majority of the shares entitled to vote constitutes a quorum.

How can I vote my shares?

If you are a holder of record, you may vote in person at the Annual Meeting or you may designate another person your proxy to vote your stock. The written document used to designate someone as your proxy also is called a proxy or proxy card. We urge you to vote your shares by proxy even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting. You can change your vote at the meeting. If you are a stockholder of record, you can vote by proxy over the Internet or by telephone by following the instructions in the Notice, or, if you received printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, you can also vote by submitting your proxy by mail or telephone.

If your shares are held in street name, then you may give voting instructions in the manner provided by your bank or broker. Please note that New York Stock Exchange rules prohibit your broker from voting on the election of directors and the advisory vote on executive compensation. Without your voting instructions to your bank or broker, your shares will not be represented.

If I want to vote my shares in person at the Annual Meeting, what must I do?

If you attend the Annual Meeting, hold your shares directly in your own name, and wish to vote in person rather than by proxy, we will give you a ballot when you arrive. However, if you hold your shares in street name, you must obtain a proxy from your broker or bank assigning to you the right to vote your shares. You must submit your proxy with your ballot to vote your shares in person.

If I want to vote my shares electronically during the Annual Meeting, what must I do?

If you attend the Annual Meeting online, you will need to provide your 12-Digit Control Number, located on your proxy voting card, which identifies you as a stockholder of record. Stockholders voting via the Internet will need to follow the instructions at www.proxyvote.com or www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/awk2013 in order to vote or submit questions at the Meeting. Voting in person or via the Internet by a stockholder will replace any previous votes submitted by proxy.

We encourage you to take advantage of the option to vote your shares electronically through the Internet or telephone. By furnishing proxy materials to stockholders via the Internet, we are able to provide our stockholders with the information they need, while lowering the costs of delivery and reducing the environmental impact of the Annual Meeting.

What are my choices when voting?

You may specify whether your shares should be voted for or against, or whether you abstain from voting with respect to each of the director nominees specified in this proxy statement for election as directors. You also may specify whether your shares should be voted for or against, or whether you abstain from voting with respect to each of the other proposals. If you sign and return a proxy card, one of the individuals named on the proxy card will vote your shares as you have directed.

What if I do not specify how I want my shares voted?

If you are a stockholder of record and return a signed proxy card, or if you give your proxy by telephone or over the Internet, but do not provide voting instructions, one of the individuals named on your proxy card will vote your shares in accordance with the board's recommendations described above. Please see the discussion below under What vote is required to elect directors? and What vote is required to approve the other proposals? for further information on the voting of shares.

How can I revoke my proxy or substitute a new proxy or change my vote?

If you are a record holder, you can revoke your proxy as follows:

For a proxy submitted by internet or telephone

Submitting in a timely manner a later-dated proxy in person at the meeting or through the Internet or by telephone; or

Voting in person at the Annual Meeting.

4

For a proxy submitted by mail

Subsequently executing and mailing another proxy card bearing a later date; or

Giving written notice of revocation to American Water s Secretary at 1025 Laurel Oak Road, Voorhees, NJ 08043 that is received by the Secretary prior to the Annual Meeting;

Voting in person at the Annual Meeting; or

Casting your vote at the Virtual Stockholder Meeting during the live meeting before the polls are closed. See What is required to attend the Annual Meeting for information on voting at the Virtual Stockholder Meeting.

If your shares are held in street name, contact your bank or broker.

What vote is required to elect directors?

Directors will be elected by the vote of the majority of votes cast. For this purpose, a majority of the votes cast means that the number of shares voted for a director must exceed the number of shares voted against the director.

What vote is required to approve other proposals?

Approval of other proposals requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the meeting. Abstentions are counted as votes against a proposal, and broker non-votes are not counted as votes for or against the proposals. A broker non-vote occurs when a broker holding shares for the account of a beneficial owner is not permitted to vote on a matter because the broker has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner. Under New York Stock Exchange rules, brokers are not permitted to vote on the election of directors and the advisory vote on executive compensation; brokers may vote on these matters only if you provide voting instructions.

Who counts the votes?

A representative from Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc., an investor communications service, will serve as our inspector of election. In that capacity, Broadridge will tabulate the votes and certify the results.

How do I obtain the voting results?

Preliminary voting results will be announced at the Annual Meeting, and a webcast of our meeting will be archived on the Events and Presentation page which can be accessed through our Investor Relations page at www.ir.amwater.com through June 11, 2013. Preliminary or, if then available, final voting results will be published in a Current Report on Form 8-K that we will file with the SEC within four business days after the meeting ends. If only preliminary voting results are available within four business days following the meeting date, we will file an amendment to the Form 8-K to provide final voting results within four business days following the receipt of those results. A copy of the Form 8-K and any amendment that we file will be available on the SEC Filings page of our web site after it is filed with the SEC, or can be obtained by calling Investor Relations at 877-310-7174 and requesting a copy. To access the SEC Filings page, click on the Financial Reports link on our Investor Relations page and then click on the link that says SEC filings.

Can American Water deliver only one set of Annual Meeting materials to multiple stockholders who share the same address?

Yes. The SEC s rules regarding the delivery to stockholders of Notices and annual reports permit us to deliver a single copy of these documents to an address shared by two or more of our stockholders. This method

5

of delivery is called householding, and can significantly reduce our printing and mailing costs. It also reduces the volume of mail you receive. This year, we may deliver only one Notice, or if applicable, one proxy statement and Annual Report to Stockholders to multiple stockholders sharing a single address, unless we receive instructions to the contrary from one or more of the stockholders. If you would like to receive more than one copy of the Notice, or if applicable, the proxy statement and our Annual Report to Stockholders, we will promptly send you additional copies upon written or oral request to Kellye L. Walker, Chief Administrative Officer, General Counsel and Secretary, American Water Works Company, Inc., 1025 Laurel Oak Road, Voorhees, New Jersey, 08043, telephone: 856-346-8200. The same phone number and address may be used to notify us that you wish to receive a separate Notice, or if applicable, annual report or proxy statement in the future, or to request delivery of a single copy of the Notice, or if applicable, annual report or proxy statement in guarantee to receive.

Who will pay the costs for proxy solicitation?

We will pay the costs of the solicitation of proxies. We will also pay the fees of brokerage firms and other nominees of beneficial owners associated with their provision of the Notice, forwarding of proxy materials to beneficial owners and obtaining beneficial owners voting instructions.

In addition to soliciting by e-proxy and by mail, our directors, officers and employees also may solicit by telephone, telecopy or in person. We will pay for the cost of these solicitations, but these individuals will receive no additional compensation for their solicitations.

6

(Proposal 1)

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our board currently consists of nine directors. All of our current directors have been nominated for election this year to hold office until the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or until their successors are elected and qualified. The board of directors believes that these nominees will be able to serve as directors if elected. If a nominee is not able to serve, proxies will be voted for another person nominated by the board of directors, unless the board of directors reduces the number of directors.

Our bylaws require that in order to be elected, a director nominee must receive a majority of the votes cast with respect to the nominee in uncontested elections (for this purpose, a majority of the votes cast means that the number of shares voted for a director nominee must exceed the number of votes cast against that nominee). Each of our director nominees is currently serving on the board of directors. If a nominee who is currently serving as a director is not re-elected, Delaware law provides that the director would continue to serve on the board of directors as a holdover director. Under our bylaws and corporate governance guidelines, each incumbent director nominee submits, prior to an annual meeting of stockholders, a contingent resignation that the board of directors may accept if stockholders do not re-elect the director. In that situation, our nominating/corporate governance committee would make a recommendation to the board of directors on whether to accept or reject the resignation, or whether to take other action. The board of directors would act on the resignation, taking into account the nominating/corporate governance committee s recommendation, and publicly disclose its decision and the rationale behind it within 90 days from the date that the election results were certified.

Nominees for Election as Directors

Stephen P. Adik, 69, Director since 2009

Mr. Adik has been a member of our board of directors since June 2009. Mr. Adik most recently served as the Vice Chairman, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of NiSource, Inc., an electric, natural gas and pipeline company operating in 16 states, until his retirement in December 2003. Mr. Adik previously held leadership positions with the American Natural Resources Company, Lehigh Valley Railroad, Amtrak, Chicago & North Western Railroad and Chesapeake & Ohio Railroad. Mr. Adik is a member of the board of directors and Chair of the audit committee of Northwestern Energy. Mr. Adik also serves on the board of directors of Dearborn Midwest Conveyor Company, Chicago, SouthShore and South Bend Railroad, and the Regional Bus Authority of Northwest Indiana. In addition, Mr. Adik served on the board of directors of Beacon Power Corporation, where he served on the audit committee, until resigning in November 2010. Mr. Adik received a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering from the Stevens Institute of Technology, and an MBA degree in Finance from Northwestern University.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Adik s long executive experience with an energy-related company and additional extensive experience in leadership positions with regulated entities enable him to assist the full board in assessing government regulatory considerations. In addition, his experience as a financial executive enables him to provide knowledgeable perspectives on financial matters.

Table of Contents 17

7

Martha Clark Goss, 63, Director since 2003

Ms. Clark Goss has been a member of our board of directors since October 2003, and she has served as Chair of the audit committee since December 2005. She also serves on the finance committee. Ms. Clark Goss has served on the board of trustees of the Neuberger Berman Mutual Funds since 2007, where she has served as vice chair of the audit committee and the governance and nominating committee since 2010. Ms. Clark Goss has served as Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Amwell Holdings/Hopewell Holdings LLC, a holding company and investment vehicle for investments in healthcare related companies, since 2003. From March 2008 until October 2010 she served on the board of Parsons Brinckerhoff, Inc. where she also served as chair of the finance committee and served on the audit and governance and nominating committees. From July 2006 to March 2009, she served as the non-executive Chair of Channel Reinsurance Ltd. From February 2005 until May 2007 she served on the board of Claire s Stores Inc. where she served as chair of the compensation committee and as a member of the audit and governance and nominating committees. From July 2005 until May 2010 she served as a director with Ocwen Financial, where she also served on the audit and governance and nominating committees. Previously, Ms. Clark Goss served as the Chief Financial Officer of The Capital Markets Company from 1999 to 2001, the Chief Financial Officer of Booz-Allen & Hamilton from 1995 to 1999 and in various senior executive positions at Prudential Insurance Company from 1981 until 1995 including President and Founder of Prudential Power Funding Associates, the investment arm of Prudential, responsible for its portfolio of assets in electric and gas utilities and alternative energy projects. Ms. Clark Goss received a Bachelor of Arts degree from Brown University and an MBA degree from The Harvard Business School. She served as a Trustee and Treasurer of Brown University from 1987 to 1998, and remains a Trustee Emerita. She is also a member of the Committee of 200, a women s professional organization.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Ms. Clark Goss extensive financial, investment, and governance experience provides valuable insights to both our audit committee and our board. In addition, her experience as President of an investment subsidiary of Prudential, responsible for substantial investments in electric and gas public utilities and alternative energy projects, enables her to share with the board her considerable knowledge regarding public utilities.

8

Julie A. Dobson, 56, Director since 2009

Ms. Dobson has been a member of our board of directors since June 2009. She most recently served as Chief Operating Officer and one of the founding principals of TeleCorp PCS, a wireless/mobile phone company serving more than a million customers when sold to AT&T Wireless in 2002. Prior to her tenure at TeleCorp PCS, Ms. Dobson served in a variety of leadership positions during an almost 20 year career in what became Verizon Communications, Inc., including President of the New York Region of Bell Atlantic Mobile, vice president of Bell Atlantic Enterprises Corporation, and President and Chief Executive Officer of Bell Atlantic Business Systems International. Ms. Dobson currently serves on the board of directors of PNM Resources, Inc. where she serves as lead director and serves on the audit and compensation and human resources committees. She also serves on the board of directors of Safeguard Scientifics, Inc., where she chairs the compensation committee and serves on the nominating and governance committee, and the board of directors of RadioShack Corporation, where she serves on the management development and compensation committee. In addition, until January 2010, Ms. Dobson served on the board of directors of LCC International, Inc., where she was non-executive Chairman of the Board and served on the audit, finance, compensation and nominating and governance committees at various times during her tenure. Ms. Dobson earned her MBA from the University of Pittsburgh and a Bachelor of Science degree from the College of William and Mary.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Ms. Dobson s executive experience with both regulated and unregulated subsidiaries of a major telecommunications company provides her with a substantive understanding of a variety of issues confronting our business, which includes both regulated and unregulated operations. Specifically, her experience includes management over several initiatives to expand deregulated lines of business, which enables her to assess similar expansion efforts relating to our market-based businesses. Her involvement in strategic planning and mergers and acquisitions at Bell Atlantic also enables her to provide insights with respect to our acquisition strategy.

9

Paul J. Evanson, 71, Director since 2013

Mr. Evanson has been a member of our board of directors since March 2013. Mr. Evanson served as Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of Allegheny Energy, Inc., a company engaged in the operation of three electric public utility companies and in merchant power generation operations, from 2003 until the company s merger with FirstEnergy Corp. in February 2011. Following the merger, he served as Executive Vice Chair of FirstEnergy until his retirement in May 2011. Mr. Evanson previously served as President of Florida Power & Light Company, and president of Lynch Corporation. He also held leadership positions with Moore McCormack Resources and Arthur Anderson & Co. He also served as Chairman of the Board of the Florida Reliability Coordinating Council, a non-profit company whose purpose is to ensure and enhance the reliability and adequacy of bulk electricity in Florida. In addition, he served on the board of directors of Edison Electric Institute, an association of shareholder-owned electric companies, and North American Electricity Reliability Council, a group that was formed in 1968 by electric companies to promote the reliability and adequacy of power supplies.

Mr. Evanson received a Bachelor of Business Administration degree from St. John s University, a Juris Doctor degree from Columbia Law School, and Master of Laws degree from New York University School of Law.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Evanson s extensive executive experience in the electric industry, including his leadership of a company with both significant regulated and unregulated operations, enables him to provide important insights regarding various aspects of our business, which includes both regulated and unregulated operations. In addition, his success in addressing difficult financial conditions upon assuming leadership at Allegheny Energy underscores his ability to provide valuable perspectives with respect to strategic planning, finance and risk management matters.

10

Richard R. Grigg, 64, Director since 2008

Mr. Grigg has been a member of our board of directors since August 2008. Mr. Grigg most recently served as Executive Vice President of FirstEnergy Corp. and President of FirstEnergy Utilities Group, a diversified energy company headquartered in Akron, Ohio, until his retirement in March 2010. The business unit he led included FirstEnergy s Energy Delivery Group, which includes seven electric utility operating companies in Ohio, Pennsylvania and New Jersey, along with Customer Service and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Compliance. He first joined FirstEnergy in 2004 as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer. Prior to joining FirstEnergy, Mr. Grigg had a 34-year career at Wisconsin Energy Corporation, a public holding company, which we refer to as WEC, retiring as President and Chief Executive Officer of its subsidiary, WE Generation. He served in a variety of management positions at other WEC subsidiaries, including Wisconsin Electric Power Company and Wisconsin Gas Company, where he was President and Chief Operating Officer. Mr.Grigg also served as a director of WEC from 1995 to 2003. Mr. Grigg is currently Chairman of the Board of Trustees of the Akron Children s Hospital and he also serves on the board of directors of Tangent Energy Solutions, Inc. Mr. Grigg is a former member of the board of directors of the Northeast Ohio Council on Higher Education, where he served as an associate member of The President s Council, Cleveland, Ohio. Mr. Grigg was President and on the board of the Association of Edison Illuminating Companies and is a member of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers. Mr. Grigg held professional engineer licenses in Ohio and Wisconsin.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Grigg s long career as a public utility executive, including experience as a senior executive at two large public utilities, and his engineering and technical expertise, enables him to provide valuable insights to the board on regulated utility financial structures and regulatory considerations in several states, including Pennsylvania and New Jersey, where we have significant regulated operations.

Julia L. Johnson, 50, Director since 2008

Ms. Johnson has been a member of our board of directors since August 2008. Ms. Johnson is president of NetCommunications, LLC, a strategy consulting firm specializing in the communications, energy, and information technology public policy arenas. Ms. Johnson served on the Florida Public Service Commission from January 1992 until November 1999, serving as chairwoman from January 1997 to January 1999. Ms. Johnson has served on the board of directors of FirstEnergy Corp. since 2011, and the board of directors of Allegheny Energy, Inc. from 2003 until its merger with FirstEnergy Corp. in 2011. In addition, Ms. Johnson also serves on the board of directors of MasTec, Inc., a provider of telecommunications and energy infrastructure construction, and Northwestern Corporation, a provider of electricity and natural gas.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Ms. Johnson's service on a state public service commission with regulatory oversight over Florida's electric, telecommunications and water and wastewater industries, coupled with her current leadership of a firm specializing in regulatory analysis and legal strategy, enables her to provide a valuable perspective on regulatory and public policy matters affecting our operations.

11

George MacKenzie, 64, Director since 2003

Mr. MacKenzie has been a member of our board of directors since August 2003 and Chairman of our board since May 2006. In addition to his role with American Water, Mr. MacKenzie has served on the board of directors of Safeguard Scientifics, Inc. since February 2003, where he is a member of the audit committee, and Tractor Supply Co. since May 2007, where he also is a member of the audit committee. He previously served on the board of directors of C&D Technologies, Inc. from March 1999 until December 2010, Central Vermont Public Service Corp. from May 2001 to May 2006 and traffic.com from December 2005 to March 2007. He also serves on the board of directors of Weston Solutions, Inc., the Board of Trustees of the Medical Center of Delaware and is a member of the Investment Committee at the University of Delaware. Mr. MacKenzie previously served as Vice Chairman of the Board and Chief Financial Officer of Hercules Incorporated, a global manufacturer of chemical specialties, where he was employed from 1979 to 2001. During his 22-year career with Hercules, he served in a variety of senior management roles including President of the Chemical Specialty Division. From September 2001 to June 2002, Mr. MacKenzie was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of P.H. Glatfelter Company, a specialty paper manufacturer.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. MacKenzie s extensive service on public company boards of directors enables him to provide valuable insights into our corporate governance. Moreover, his lengthy experience in operational and financial management enables him to provide useful insights on executive management considerations. His financial executive experience, coupled with his public accounting background, gives him an intimate knowledge of financial matters.

William J. Marrazzo, 63, Director since 2003

Mr. Marrazzo has been a member of our board of directors since October 2003. He has been the Chief Executive Officer and President of WHYY, Inc., a public television and radio company, since 1997. He served as Water Commissioner for the Philadelphia Water Department from 1971 to 1988 and Managing Director for the City of Philadelphia from 1983 to 1984. From 1988 to 1997, Mr. Marrazzo served as Chief Executive Officer of Roy F. Weston, Inc., an environmental and redevelopment firm that was a public company during his tenure. Mr. Marrazzo has been a member of the board of directors of Amerigas Partners, L.P. since April 2000, and currently serves on its audit and compensation committees. In addition, Mr. Marrazzo served on the board of directors of Woodward & Curran from October 2001 until retiring from the board in May 2011.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Marrazzo s distinguished public service career, including his responsibilities as Water Commissioner for the Philadelphia Water Department, one of the nation s largest, which serves the Greater Philadelphia region by providing integrated water, wastewater and stormwater services, coupled with his executive experience at an

environmental firm, enables him to assist the board in addressing water system, environmental and sustainability issues, as well as regulatory and public policy matters. Mr. Marrazzo s experience as an executive of a public television and radio company enables him to assist the board in assessing our marketing and communications strategies. Moreover, his executive experience in both the public and private sector enables him to contribute meaningfully to board consideration of a variety of operational and financial matters.

12

Jeffry E. Sterba, 58, Director since 2010

Mr. Sterba has been our President and Chief Executive Officer since August 2010. Prior to joining American Water, Mr. Sterba served as Chairman and CEO of PNM Resources, Inc., the parent company of Public Service Company of New Mexico (PNM), Texas-New Mexico Power Company (TNMP) and First Choice Power, from 2000 until March 2010. Mr. Sterba previously served as Non-Executive Chairman of PNM Resources until retiring from the PNM Resources board in December 2011. After joining PNM in 1977, he held a succession of positions including Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Senior Vice President Bulk Power Services, Senior Vice President Asset Restructuring, Senior Vice President Retail Electric & Water Services and Vice President Revenue Management. From 1998 to 2000, Mr. Sterba was Executive Vice President of United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC), a global energy company headquartered in Maryland. He has served as the chair of Edison Electric Institute, the national association of shareholder owned utilities, and chair of the Electric Power Research Institute, a global non-profit center for energy and environment research. He serves on the board of directors of the Meridian Institute and previously served on the board of directors of the U.S. Chamber of Commerce.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Sterba s executive oversight of, and intimate knowledge regarding our operations, by virtue of his service as our President and CEO, enables him to provide valuable insights regarding our operations and personnel. In addition, his involvement as chair of the Edison Electric Institute and the Electric Power Research Institute, and service on other energy and environmental research organizations has made him a nationally recognized thought leader on energy policy, climate change legislation, renewable energy and sustainability, and enables him to provide our board of directors with knowledgeable perspectives in these areas. In addition, his long career at PNM Resources enables him to provide important insights on regulated and market-based utility operations.

Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The board of directors unanimously recommends a vote **FOR** the election of all director nominees named in this proxy statement.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Corporate Governance Guidelines and Other Corporate Governance Documents

Our corporate governance guidelines, including guidelines relating to director qualification standards, director responsibilities, the committees of the board, director access to management, employees and independent advisors, director compensation and other matters relating to our corporate governance, are available on the Corporate Governance page of our website, which can be accessed by clicking on the Investor Relations link on our homepage, www.amwater.com. Also available on the Corporate Governance page are other corporate governance documents, including our Code of Ethics and the charters of the compensation committee, audit committee, finance committee and nominating/corporate governance committee.

You may also request a copy of these documents in printed form at no cost by writing to Kellye L. Walker, Chief Administrative Officer, General Counsel and Secretary, American Water Works Company, Inc., 1025 Laurel Oak Road, Voorhees, New Jersey 08043, or by telephoning us at 856-346-8200.

Our website is not part of this proxy statement; references to our website address in this proxy statement are intended to be inactive textual references only.

13

Determination of Independence of Directors

The board of directors is, among other things, responsible for determining whether each of the directors is independent within the meaning of New York Stock Exchange, which we refer to as the NYSE, listing standards. In addition, the board of directors has adopted the following categorical standards to assist it in making independence determinations. Under these standards a director is not independent if:

the director is, or has been within the last three years, an employee of American Water, or an immediate family member of the director is, or has been within the last three years, an executive officer of American Water. (Employment as an interim CEO or other officer will not disqualify a director from being considered independent following that employment.);

the director or an immediate family member of the director is a current partner of a firm that is our internal or external auditor; the director is a current employee of the firm; an immediate family member of the director is a current employee of the firm and personally works on our audit; or the director or an immediate family member of the director is a former partner or employee of such a firm and personally worked on our audit within the last three years;

the director or an immediate family member of the director is, or has been within the last three years, employed as an executive officer of another company where any of our present executive officers at the same time serves or served on that company s compensation committee;

the director or an immediate family member of the director received, during any 12 month period within the last three years, more than \$120,000 in direct compensation from us, other than director and committee fees and pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service (provided such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service). (Compensation received by a director for former service as an interim CEO or other executive officer need not be considered in determining independence under this test. Compensation received by an immediate family member for service as an employee of us (other than an executive officer) need not be considered in determining independence under this standard.);

the director is a current employee or holder of more than 10 percent of the equity of another company, or an immediate family member of the director is a current executive officer or holder of more than 10 percent of the equity of another company, that has made payments to, or received payments from, us in any of the last three fiscal years of the other company, that exceeds the greater of \$1 million or two percent of such other company s consolidated gross revenues; or

the director is a current executive officer of a charitable organization to which we have made charitable contributions in any of the charitable organization s last three fiscal years that exceed the greater of \$1 million or two percent of that charitable organization s consolidated gross revenues.

For purposes of the categorical standards set forth above, (a) a person s immediate family includes a person s spouse, parents, children, siblings, mother- and father-in-law, sons- and daughters-in-law, and brothers- and sisters-in-law and anyone (other than domestic employees) who shares such person s home, and (b) the term executive officer has the same meaning as specified for the term officer in regulations under Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

The Company s board of directors has affirmatively determined that each of Stephen P. Adik, Martha Clark Goss, Julie A. Dobson, Paul J. Evanson, Richard R. Grigg, Julia L. Johnson, George MacKenzie and William J. Marrazzo is independent.

Executive Sessions of Independent Directors

The board meets at regularly scheduled executive sessions without members of management present. Mr. MacKenzie, our board chairman, presides over these sessions.

14

Board Leadership Structure

Our corporate governance guidelines currently provide that the chairman of the board is to be an independent director. We believe that the oversight function of the board of directors is enhanced when an independent director, serving as chairman, is in a position to set the agenda for, and preside over, meetings of our board. We also believe that our leadership structure enhances the active participation of our independent directors.

Board Role in Risk Oversight

The board administers its risk oversight function principally through our finance committee and also through our audit committee and compensation committee. The finance committee oversees our enterprise risk management process. To gain an understanding of the magnitude of risks and to consider approval of risk management policies, the finance committee receives quarterly reports from management regarding our major financial and operational risk exposures and management s activities to monitor and mitigate these exposures. In addition, our audit committee routinely discusses our policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management. To assist the audit committee in addressing these matters, the finance committee reports to the audit committee at least annually regarding finance committee activities relating to enterprise risk management. In this regard, the chairman of the finance committee meets annually with management and the audit committee. The compensation committee also considers risk in the context of our incentive compensation programs and practices.

The board regularly receives reports with regard to the board committee risk assessments described above.

Code of Ethics

We have a Code of Ethics applicable to our directors, officers and employees. Among other things, the Code of Ethics is designed to deter wrongdoing and to promote honest and ethical conduct, including the ethical handling of actual or apparent conflicts of interest between personal and professional relationships; to promote full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosures in periodic reports we are required to file; and to promote compliance with applicable governmental laws, rules and regulations. The Code of Ethics provides for the prompt internal reporting of violations of the Code to an appropriate person identified in the Code and contains provisions regarding accountability for adherence to the Code. The Code of Ethics is available at the website address listed above, or can be requested, free of charge, by writing to the Office of the Secretary, American Water Works Company, Inc., 1025 Laurel Oak Road, Voorhees, New Jersey 08043. We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirements regarding any amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of the Code of Ethics by making disclosures concerning such matters available on the Investor Relations page of our website.

Assessment of Board and Committee Performance

The board conducts annual assessments regarding the performance of the board of directors and board committees.

Stockholder Communications to the Board

Stockholders may communicate directly with the board of directors or individual members of the board of directors by submitting written correspondence to American Water Board of Directors, 1025 Laurel Oak Road, Voorhees, New Jersey 08043 or via email: contacttheboard@amwater.com.

Our whistleblower policy prohibits American Water or any of its employees from retaliating or taking any adverse action against anyone for raising a concern in good faith. If an interested party nonetheless prefers to raise his or her concern to the board in a confidential or anonymous manner, the concern may be directed to our confidential ethics hotline at 877-207-4888. Such matters raised on the hotline are investigated by the Ethics and Compliance Department and reviewed by the chair of the audit committee.

15

Board and Board Committee Meetings

During 2012, our board of directors held nine meetings. In addition, there were six audit committee meetings, eight compensation committee meetings, six nominating/corporate governance committee meetings and four finance committee meetings. Some of these meetings were conducted by telephone conference. All of the incumbent directors attended at least 75 percent of the total number of meetings of the board and board committees of which the director was a member during 2012. Although we do not have a formal policy regarding board member attendance at the annual meeting, we do encourage their attendance, and all of the directors attended last year s annual meeting.

Committees of the Board of Directors

Our board of directors conducts its business through four standing committees: the audit committee, the compensation committee, the finance committee and the nominating/corporate governance committee. In addition, from time to time, special committees may be established under the direction of our board of directors. All of the current committees of the board are comprised of directors who have been determined by our board of directors to be independent under currently applicable listing standards of the NYSE, and in the case of members of the audit committee, to have satisfied additional independence requirements applicable to audit committee members. Each of the board s four standing committees operates in accordance with the terms of a written charter, and each committee has the authority to retain outside advisors, including legal counsel or other experts, as it deems appropriate in its sole discretion and to approve the fees and expenses associated with such advisors.

The table below provides membership information for each board committee:

Director Stephen P. Adik	Audit ü	Compensation	Nominating/ Corporate Governance	Finance ü
Martha Clark Goss	Chair			ü
Julie A. Dobson		ü	ü	
Paul J. Evanson		ü		ü
Richard R. Grigg			ü	Chair
Julia L. Johnson		ü	Chair	
William J. Marrazzo Audit Committee	ü	Chair		

Our audit committee consists of Ms. Clark Goss (Chair) and Messrs. Adik and Marrazzo. Our board of directors has determined that each member of the audit committee is an audit committee financial expert, within the meaning of SEC regulations. The audit committee operates under a written charter, which is available at the website address provided above. The audit committee has responsibility for, among other things:

appointing the independent registered public accounting firm to audit the consolidated financial statements of American Water and its subsidiaries;

reviewing and discussing with management and the independent auditors the results of the audit of the consolidated financial statements:

reviewing any significant deficiency or material weakness in the design or operation of internal accounting controls identified by the independent auditors;

reviewing all alternative accounting treatments of financial information within generally accepted accounting principles that have been discussed with management;

16

reviewing and discussing SEC filings with management and, to the extent that such filings contain financial information, with the independent auditors;

discussing earnings press releases, as well as financial information and earnings guidance, if any, provided to analysts and ratings agencies;

at least annually, discussing with the chair of the finance committee and management, our policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management, our major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control these exposures;

overseeing management s ethics and compliance program and reviewing reports from our chief ethics and compliance officer;

overseeing the internal audit function and reviewing the reports of any internal auditor with respect to any financial safeguard problem that has not resulted in corrective action or otherwise been resolved to the internal auditors—satisfaction; and

reviewing with the General Counsel any legal matter that could have a significant impact on our financial statements. *Compensation Committee*

Our compensation committee consists of Messrs. Marrazzo (Chair) and Evanson and Mses. Dobson and Johnson. The compensation committee operates under a written charter, which is available at the website address provided above. The compensation committee has responsibility for, among other things:

establishing and reviewing our overall compensation philosophy;

reviewing and recommending to the board of directors the corporate goals and objectives relevant to the CEO s compensation, the CEO s performance in light of the goals and objectives approved by the board of directors and the compensation for our CEO, including annual base salary and annual and long-term performance-based compensation opportunities;

approving, after receiving the CEO s recommendations and consulting with the CEO, the annual base salary and annual and long-term performance-based opportunities for our other executive officers, and the actual awards of performance-based compensation for our other executive officers;

annually reviewing other benefit plans and perquisites;

annually reviewing and recommending to the board of directors the form and amount of director and chairman compensation;

reviewing and making recommendations to our board of directors, or approving, all awards of stock or stock options pursuant to our equity-based plans; and

at least annually, reviewing and making recommendations to the board of directors regarding the compensation related risk assessment of our compensation policies and practices.

In addition, the compensation committee annually reviews our short- and long-term incentive plans to ensure that they have the appropriate mix of fixed and at-risk components.

The compensation committee adopted a policy under which it will use only compensation consultants that are independent of American Water. A compensation consultant is deemed independent under the policy if the compensation consultant:

is retained by the compensation committee, and reports solely to the compensation committee in connection with the compensation committee s discharge of its duties and responsibilities; and

does not provide any other services or products to American Water or its management.

17

The compensation committee also will perform an annual assessment of its compensation consultants independence.

In February 2011, the compensation committee retained the Hay Group as its independent compensation consultant, referred to below as Group , to assist the compensation committee with respect to executive and director compensation and, to a lesser extent, enterprise compensation matters in general. The Hay Group did not provide any other services to us or our management.

Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee

Our nominating/corporate governance committee consists of Mses. Johnson (Chair) and Dobson and Mr. Grigg. The nominating/corporate governance committee operates under a written charter, which is available at the website address provided above. The nominating/corporate governance committee has responsibility for, among other things:

establishing criteria for the selection of new directors to serve on our board of directors;

identifying qualified candidates to serve on our board of directors and recommending their election to our board of directors;

making recommendations to our board of directors as to whether members of our board of directors should stand for re-election;

developing and recommending to our board of directors our corporate governance guidelines, assessing those guidelines annually and making recommendations to our board of directors in light of such assessments as may be appropriate; and

reviewing the composition of each committee of the board of directors and recommending appropriate changes to the committees. We believe that the backgrounds and qualifications of our directors, considered as a group, should provide a significant composite mix of experience, knowledge and abilities that will enable the board of directors to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, the nominating/corporate governance committee considers diversity in identifying nominees for directors. In this regard, the nominating committee views diversity in a broad sense, including on the basis of business experience, public service experience, gender and ethnicity. In addition, our corporate governance guidelines provide that members of the board must be persons of good character and thus must possess all of the following personal characteristics:

Integrity: Directors must demonstrate high ethical standards and integrity in their personal and professional dealings.

Accountability: Directors must be willing to be accountable for their decisions as directors.

Judgment: Directors must possess the ability to provide wise and thoughtful counsel on a broad range of issues.

Responsibility: Directors must interact with each other in a manner which encourages responsible, open, challenging and informed discussion.

High Performance Standards: Directors must have a history of achievement which reflects high standards for themselves and others.

Commitment and Enthusiasm: Directors must be committed to, and enthusiastic about, their performance for American Water as directors, both in absolute terms and relative to their peers.

Courage: Directors must possess the courage to express views openly, even in the face of opposition.

18

Our corporate governance guidelines also state that the board of directors should strive to have members with knowledge, experience and skills in the following core competencies: accounting and finance, business judgment, management, crisis response, industry knowledge, utility regulation, leadership and strategy/vision. In this regard, in evaluating a candidate s experience and skills, the nominating/corporate governance committee will consider qualities such as an understanding of the water industry, utilities, marketing, finance, utility and environmental regulation and public policy issues. The nominating/corporate governance committee does not assign specific weights to particular criteria and no particular criterion is necessarily applicable to all prospective nominees.

In addition, the board is committed to being comprised of directors that add tangible value to the Company with a diversity of ideas, approaches and experiences and the interpersonal capacity to foster effective communication within, and operation of, the board and with management. Annually, the nominating/corporate governance committee reviews the profile, engagement and performance of each director to determine whether they should be renominated for board service. They also consider whether, in light of the Company s strategy or trends in our market environment, new skill sets or experience would benefit the Company and our stockholders. The board believes in balancing the value that longevity of director service can bring to the Company with the value of new ideas and insights that can come through new members.

The process followed by the nominating/corporate governance committee to identify and evaluate candidates includes requests to members of the board of directors and others for recommendations, meetings from time to time to evaluate biographical information and background material relating to potential candidates, and interviews of selected candidates by members of the nominating/corporate governance committee and other members of the board of directors. The nominating/corporate governance committee may engage a third party to assist in the search for director candidates or to assist in gathering information regarding a director candidate s background and experience. If the nominating/corporate governance committee engages a third party, the nominating/corporate governance committee approves the fee that American Water pays for these services.

The nominating/corporate governance committee also will consider qualified director candidate recommendations by stockholders. A stockholder s recommendation should be sent to: Office of the Secretary, American Water Works Company, Inc., 1025 Laurel Oak Road, Voorhees, NJ 08043. The recommendation must include the following information:

a resume describing the candidate s qualifications;

other information about the candidate that would be required to be included in a proxy statement under the rules of the SEC;

a description of all arrangements or understandings relating to the nomination between or among the stockholder, the candidate and any other person or persons;

the signed consent of the candidate to serve as a director if elected;

the name, age, business address and residence address of the candidate;

the name and address of the stockholder who is submitting the recommendation; and

evidence of the number of shares of American Water s common stock that the recommending stockholder owns and the length of time the shares have been owned.

The nominating/corporate governance committee may seek additional information regarding the candidate. The committee will consider all potential candidates in the same manner regardless of the source of the recommendation.

19

Finance Committee

Our finance committee consists of Messrs. Grigg (Chair), Evanson and Adik and Ms. Clark Goss. The finance committee operates under a written charter, which is available at the website address provided above. The finance committee is responsible for, among other things, monitoring, reviewing and evaluating:

our financial forecasts, financial condition and our anticipated financing requirements;

our capital structure and proposed short-and long-term changes in our capital structure, including changes resulting from new issuances, purchases or redemptions of debt and equity securities;

our capital expenditure plan and strategies;

our dividend payment policy and any proposed changes;

the investment performance of the assets held under our employee benefits plans, and the investment guidelines of the Retirement/Benefits Plan Committee;

our plans and strategies relating to the divestiture or disposition of assets;

our cash management plans and strategies; and

our growth opportunities, including acquisitions and business development proposals.

In addition, the finance committee oversees our enterprise risk management process and our insurance risk management policies and programs and approves our debt issuances within limits authorized by the board in our business plan.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

In 2012, our non-employee directors received compensation for their services as described below:

Annual Retainer Fees (payable in quarterly installments):				
Chairman of the Board	\$ 115,000			
Chairs of the Audit Committee and the Compensation Committee	\$ 80,000			
Chairs of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee and the Finance				
Committee	\$ 70,000			
Other non-employee directors	\$ 60,000			

Meeting Fees:

No separate fee for attendance at board of directors meetings

Edgar Filing: American Water Works Company, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

\$1,500 for each board committee meeting attended

The non-employee directors are reimbursed for expenses incurred in attending board and committee meetings.

In addition, each non-employee director and the Chairman of the Board receives annual equity compensation of \$60,000 and \$115,000, respectively in stock units. The actual number of stock units granted is based on the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant, as reported on the NYSE Composite Tape. The stock units vest on the date of grant and the shares underlying the stock units typically are distributed to the directors 15 months after the date of grant.

20

We have a stock retention policy for directors under which each director is required to hold shares equaling four times the director s annual cash retainer by the later of February 2015 or the fifth anniversary of the commencement of service as a director.

The Company established the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors under which directors may defer up to 100 percent of their annual retainer and meeting fees on a tax-deferred basis. Directors may elect to receive their deferrals upon (i) separation from service, (ii) a specified date, (iii) the earlier of separation from service or a specified date or, if earlier, a change in control, and may elect to receive their deferrals in the form of a lump sum or annual installments paid over a period of between two and 10 years. Amounts will be paid earlier upon the death of a director. Directors are immediately vested in their contributions to the plan. Accounts of directors are credited with returns in accordance with the deemed investment options elected by the director.

The following table provides information regarding compensation for our non-employee directors. The table does not include amounts paid for reimbursement of travel expenses related to attending board and board committee meetings, and does not include compensation paid to Jeffry E. Sterba, our President and Chief Executive Officer. See Executive Compensation for information relating to Mr. Sterba s compensation.

2012 Director Compensation

				Stock	
	Fees	Earned or	Ur	nit Awards	
Name	Paid	in Cash (\$)		(\$)(7)	Total (\$)
Stephen P. Adik	\$	75,000(1)	\$	60,000	\$ 135,000
Julie A. Dobson	\$	81,000(2)	\$	60,000	\$ 141,000
Martha Clark Goss	\$	99,200(3)	\$	60,000	\$ 159,200
Richard R. Grigg	\$	84,100	\$	60,000	\$ 144,100
Julia L. Johnson	\$	87,401(4)	\$	60,000	\$ 147,401
George MacKenzie	\$	137,350(5)	\$	115,000	\$ 252,350
William J. Marrazzo	\$	102,200(6)	\$	60,000	\$ 162,200

- (1) This amount includes a fee of \$1,500.00 that was earned in 2012, but was paid to Mr. Adik in 2013.
- (2) This amount includes a fee of \$1,500.00 that was earned in 2012, but was paid to Ms. Dobson in 2013.
- (3) This amount includes a fee of \$1,500.00 that was earned in 2012, but was paid to Ms. Goss in 2013.
- (4) Ms. Johnson elected to defer all of this amount under our Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors.
- (5) This amount includes an additional fee of \$5,250.00 paid to Mr. MacKenzie for his services as a member of the board of directors and chair of the audit committee of Pennsylvania American Water Company, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company.
- (6) This amount includes a fee of \$1,500.00 that was earned in 2012, but was paid to Mr. Marrazzo in 2013.
- (7) The amounts shown in this column reflect the grant date fair value of the stock units granted to the directors as part of their annual retainer. The grant date fair value was computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, Compensation-Stock Compensation, which we refer to as FASB ASC Topic 718. See Note 8-Stockholders Equity in the notes to American Water's audited consolidated financial statements included in American

Edgar Filing: American Water Works Company, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Water s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for the assumptions used in determining grant date fair value.

21

(8) The following table shows the aggregate number of stock options and stock units held by each non-employee director as of December 31, 2012:

Name	Stock Options (#)	Stock Units (#)
Mr. Adik	(")	1,748
Ms. Dobson		1,748
Ms. Goss	3,660	1,748
Mr. Grigg	1,981	1,748
Ms. Johnson	2,778	1,748
Mr. MacKenzie		3,350
Mr. Marrazzo	5,660	1,748

We did not grant stock options to non-employee directors in 2012.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT

The following table shows the shares of our common stock beneficially owned, as of March 18, 2013, by our directors and executive officers. Except as noted, all such persons possess sole voting and dispositive powers with respect to the shares listed. Under applicable SEC rules, the definition of beneficial ownership for purposes of this table includes shares over which a person has sole or shared voting or investment power, and options that are vested and exercisable or that will become vested and/or exercisable within 60 days of March 18, 2013. An asterisk in the column listing the percentage of shares outstanding indicates that the person owns less than 1% of the common stock outstanding.

				% of	Other	Total
Name	Number of Shares (1)	Exercisable Options (2)	Total	Shares Outstanding (3)	Stock-Based Items (4)	Stock-Based Ownership
Directors	, ,	• ` ` `		8 \ /	` ,	•
Stephen P. Adik	11,000		11,000	*	7,594	18,594
Martha Clark Goss	13,385	3,660	17,045	*		17,045
Julie A. Dobson	5,213		5,213	*	2,381	7,594
Paul J. Evanson	1,460		1,460	*		1,460
Richard R. Grigg	11,134	1,981	13,115	*		13,115
Julia L. Johnson	5,455	2,778	8,233	*	2,799	11,032
George MacKenzie	16,805		16,805	*		16,805
William J. Marrazzo	5,488	5,660	11,148	*	3,414	14,562
Named Executive Officers						
Jeffry E. Sterba	18,369	188,922	207,291	*		207,291
Ellen C. Wolf	49,968	289,777	339,745	*		339,745
Walter J. Lynch	36,055	175,481	211,536	*		211,536
Kellye L. Walker	15,987	16,901	32,888	*		32,888
John R. Bigelow	13,029	16,443	29,472	*		29,472
	203,348	701,603	904,951	*	16,188	921,139
All Directors and Executive Officers as a Group	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,	,		.,	,
(23 Persons)	305,434	868,659	1,174,093	*	16,188	1,190,281

(1) Represents the number of shares held directly.

(2) Represents shares which may be acquired through the exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 18, 2013.

Edgar Filing: American Water Works Company, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

(3) Based on 177,616,279 shares outstanding on March 18, 2013.

22

(4) Includes indirect interest in shares of our common stock held under our deferred compensation plan. While indirect interest in shares of our common stock under the deferred compensation plan may not be voted or transferred, they have been included in the table above as they represent an economic interest in our common stock that is subject to the same market risk as ownership of actual shares of our common stock.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN STOCKHOLDERS

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners

The table below indicates the persons or entities known to us to be the beneficial holders of more than 5% of our common stock, as of March 18, 2013.

Name and Address		% of
	Number of Shares	Shares
of Beneficial Owner	Beneficially Owned	Outstanding
BlackRock, Inc. (1)	19,052,348	10.73%
40 East 52 nd Street		
New York, NY 10022		
The Vanguard Group 23-1945930 (2)	9,438,720	5.31%
100 Vanguard Boulevard		
Malvern, PA 19355		

- (1) Pursuant to a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on January 11, 2013, BlackRock, Inc. (BlackRock) is the beneficial owner of 19,052,348 shares of the Company s common stock. BlackRock Inc. is a holding company of subsidiaries that hold the shares, including BlackRock Japan Co. Limited, BlackRock Advisors (UK) Limited, BlackRock Institutional Trust Company N.A., BlackRock Fund Advisors, BlackRock Asset Management Canada Limited, BlackRock Asset Management Australia Limited, BlackRock Advisors LLC, BlackRock Capital Management, Inc., BlackRock Investment Management, LLC, BlackRock Investment Management (Australia), Limited, BlackRock (Luxembourg) S.A., BlackRock (Netherlands) B.V., BlackRock Asset Management Ireland Limited, BlackRock Investment Management (UK) Limited, and BlackRock International Limited. BlackRock Inc. holds sole voting and dispositive power with respect to all of the shares listed in the table.
- (2) Pursuant to a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 22, 2013, The Vanguard Group (Vanguard), an investment management company, is the beneficial owner of 9,438,720 shares of the Company s common stock. Of the 9,438,720 shares, Vanguard holds sole power to vote or direct to vote 189,348 shares, sole power to dispose of or to direct the disposition of 9,275,500 shares, and shared power to dispose or to direct disposition of 163,220 shares.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), requests the Company s directors and executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of the Company s equity securities to file with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) a report on Form 3, 4 and 5 concerning their ownership and transactions in the common stock of the Company, generally within two business days of a reportable transaction. As a practical matter, the Company seeks to assist its directors and executives by monitoring transactions and completing and filing these reports on their behalf. Based solely on our review of these reports, we believe that the applicable Section 16(a) reporting requirements were complied with for all transactions which occurred during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, except that, due to an administrative error, Messrs. Baker, Bigelow, Chesla, Rowe, Sterba and Strauss and Mses. Cameron, Duffy, Pape and Wolf, each made one late Form 4 filing covering multiple transactions, including (i) conversion of performance stock units earned, (ii) disposition of performance stock units unearned, (iii) shares withheld to cover taxes, (iv) acquisition of converted performance stock units to shares, (v) the grant of shares of performance stock units, and (vi) the grant of options, all occurring on February 23, 2012.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

In this Compensation Discussion and Analysis, we address the compensation paid or awarded to the five executive officers listed in the 2012 Summary Compensation Table that follows this discussion, whom we refer to as our named executive officers or NEOs.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Our executive compensation program is designed to reward our named executive officers for delivering results and building long term sustainable value for our shareholders. We believe our program s performance measures align the interests of our stockholders and the NEOs by correlating pay to our short-term and long-term performance. Despite the continuing challenging economic environment, we were able to deliver strong performance results in 2012, which was reflected in our share price and in our compensation outcomes.

Compensation Objectives

Our compensation objectives remain largely unchanged in 2012, subject to one important modification. The significance of our business transformation project has caused us to increase our focus on that project in connection with compensatory determinations. Accordingly, we focused on the following objectives in making compensation decisions in 2012:

Promote our success in achieving both superior financial performance and outstanding non-financial performance relating to the business transformation project, environmental compliance, customer service and satisfaction and workplace safety.

Compensate our executive officers at competitive levels that reflect their responsibilities and contributions, with a focus on pay for performance and the compensation environment in our peer group and the utilities industry generally.

Condition a significant portion of a named executive officer s total compensation on a combination of short and long-term performance, with a proportionately greater emphasis on long-term performance-based compensation than on annual incentive compensation.

Align executive officer and stockholder interests as an incentive to increase stockholder value by requiring the maintenance of meaningful equity ownership.

Components of Compensation

For 2012, there were three key elements of compensation we used to carry out our compensation objectives: Base Salaries, Annual Incentive Plan (AIP) and Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP). The following graphic represents the percentage of total compensation for each of these elements (assuming annual and long-term incentive awards are paid at target levels) for our President and CEO and the average percentage of total compensation for each of these elements for the other NEOs as a group in 2012:

In addition, we provide employee retirement and health and welfare benefit plans, as well as an executive severance policy. We designed the plans and policy to provide competitive levels of medical, retirement and income protection, such as deferred compensation programs, life and disability insurance coverage, and severance benefits if an executive officer s employment is terminated under various circumstances other than for cause.

Base Salaries

For 2012, salaries for some of our NEOs were increased as follows:

Mr. Sterba received a \$75,000 increase under his new employment agreement, from \$675,000 to \$750,000

Ms. Walker and Messrs. Lynch and Bigelow received merit increases, ranging from 1.0% to 2% Annual Incentive Plan

The AIP is designed to motivate eligible participants to help us achieve our annual business objectives by providing an opportunity to earn cash award payouts that are tied to corporate and individual performance. During the last four years, each of our NEOs annual incentive compensation has been based upon his or her target award times a percentage amount we call the Corporate Multiplier. The Corporate Multiplier represents the sum of six percentage amounts, each determined based upon our level of achievement against company-wide performance measures. Of the six performance measures, a financial measure, earnings per share, constitutes 55 percent of the target Corporate Multiplier and non-financial measures constituted the remaining 45 percent. At target performance, the Corporate Multiplier equals 100 percent.

We believe that the measures used in computing the amount of the Corporate Multiplier collectively are strong indicators of our overall performance and, therefore, effectively tie pay to performance. The 2012 Corporate Multiplier was determined as follows:

Performance	Percentage Amount at Target for Inclusion in the Corporate			Percentage Amount Included in Calculation of Corporate Multiplier Based on Actual
Measure	Multiplier	Target	Actual Performance	Performance
EPS(1)	55.0%	\$1.92	\$2.09	82.5%
Business Transformation Performance	15.0%	Discretionary Award	N/A	16.5%
Environmental Compliance	7.5%	17 NOVs	7 NOVs	11.3%
Safety Performance(2)	7.5%	3.35 ORIR	2.95 ORIR	0.0%
Service Quality	7.5%	85% of Surveyed	89% of Surveyed	10.5%
		Customers	Customers	
Customer Satisfaction	7.5%	90% of Surveyed Customers	93% of Surveyed Customers	9.8%
			TOTAL	130.6%(3)

- (1) As adjusted to eliminate the impact of (a) the sale of certain subsidiaries and (b) costs associated with organizational streamlining.
- (2) In light of two employee fatalities on the job, the Safety Performance percentage amount was reduced to zero at the recommendation of Mr. Sterba.

Edgar Filing: American Water Works Company, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

(3) The corporate multiplier of 130.6% was adjusted, for purposes of awards to NEOs, to 129.4% due to the allocation of \$200,000 to creation of a special award pool for non-executive officers.

25

For further information, see 2012 Compensation Annual Incentive Plan, below.

Long-Term Incentives

The LTIP is designed to motivate eligible participants to help us achieve our long-term business objectives by providing an opportunity to earn equity awards that are tied to our long-term goals and continued employment with the Company. Our LTIP for 2012 included stock option grants and performance stock units, or PSUs. As described in more detail below, the extent to which shares of our common stock are issued with respect to the PSUs is based on our achievement, over a three-year period, relative to three performance measures. The three performance measures for 2012 were total shareholder return, operational efficiency improvement and compounded EPS growth.

As in the past, we based the target value of LTIP awards on a percentage of the NEOs salary. The compensation committee applied 40 percent of a NEO s LTIP target award to stock options and 60 percent to PSUs. We bifurcated long-term compensation in this manner because we believe it provides an appropriate balance between two related but discrete goals. Stock options are designed to align the executive officer s interests with stockholder interests, since the intrinsic value of stock options is a function of the appreciation of our stock price. As explained in more detail below, the PSUs are designed to encourage long-term performance both from the standpoint of favorable stock performance in relation to the other 69 companies in the Dow Jones U.S. Utilities Index (which we refer to as the Comparator Group), and from the standpoint of specific company-wide performance measures. We chose to provide the larger percentage weighting for PSUs because we believe the greater emphasis should be on out-performing similarly situated companies and on the satisfaction of long-term operational goals.

In 2010, we granted PSUs to our executives and other employees for which the performance period ended in 2012. The number of shares ultimately issued with respect to the PSUs was based on our performance relative to four separate measures: Total Stockholder Return, Operational Efficiency Improvement, Compounded EPS Growth and Increase in Population Served. A number of shares equal to 175% of the PSUs granted with respect to all measures other than Increase in Population Served were performance vested. There was no payout for the Increase in Population Served measure.

For further information, see 2012 Compensation Long-Term Incentive Plan, below.

Stockholder Advisory Vote

At our 2012 annual meeting our stockholders approved, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our NEOs. The stockholder vote in favor of NEO compensation totaled approximately 98.7 percent of the votes cast (including abstentions). As a result, we determined that no specific action need be taken in response to the vote.

Recent Enhancements

During 2012, the compensation committee, and its independent consultant, with the support of management reevaluated our compensation plans, and made some modest adjustments to the weighting of certain targets in our incentive plans to ensure the proper focus is placed on the business transformation project we are undertaking.

COMPENSATION DETERMINATIONS AND PAY COMPETITIVENESS IN 2012

For 2012, the compensation committee reviewed all compensation paid to our executive officers other than cash compensation for Mr. Sterba, our President and Chief Executive Officer, which was approved by the independent members of the board of directors, after consideration of the recommendation of the compensation committee. Mr. Sterba did not participate in the compensation committee s decision-making regarding his own compensation and was excused from those portions of the meetings during which his compensation was determined.

26

The compensation committee considered Mr. Sterba s assessment of the performance of the other executive officers and his compensation recommendations regarding each executive officer s AIP award. The compensation committee, with Mr. Sterba s participation, discussed the performance of each executive officer, and then approved Mr. Sterba s recommendations.

In making executive compensation determinations for 2012, we referenced data provided by Hay Group to gauge the comparability of our compensation to the compensation of executives of other companies with generally corresponding responsibilities. Hay Group has served as an independent consultant to the compensation committee since early 2011, and assists the compensation committee in its review of compensation for executive officers. Hay Group does not perform any other services for us.

The compensation consultant provided data to the compensation committee focused on compensation paid by a peer group of 16 companies that had revenues in the range of approximately 53 to 168 percent of our revenues. We believe there is a strong likelihood that an executive officer s skills will be transferable among these companies, so we would expect to compete with these companies for executive officer talent. The comparator companies were the following:

AGL Resources, Inc.

NV Energy, Inc.

Vectren Corp.

Alliant Energy Corp.

Almos Energy Corp.

Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.

Pinnacle West Capital Corp.

Poly Holdings, Inc.

PNM Resources, Inc.

Wisconsin Energy Corp.

SCANA Corp.

Great Plains Energy, Inc. SCANA Corp.
Northeast Utilities TECO Energy, Inc.

While the compensation committee reviewed and discussed the data with Hay Group for purposes of benchmarking, it was only a part of the information considered by the compensation committee in its determinations regarding our NEOs compensation. For instance, the compensation committee also considered other information provided by Hay Group, such as survey data and data compiled from other U.S. publicly traded water utilities. The compensation committee referenced all this data to obtain an understanding of general industry, utility industry and peer group compensation practices.

Table of Contents 50

27

2012 COMPENSATION

The NEOs listed in the 2012 Summary Compensation Table are:

Jeffry E. Sterba, President and Chief Executive Officer

Ellen C. Wolf, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Walter J. Lynch, President and Chief Operating Officer, Regulated Operations

Kellye L. Walker, Chief Administrative Officer, General Counsel and Secretary

John R. Bigelow, Senior Vice President of Business Services

Base Salaries

For 2012, salaries for some of our NEOs were increased as follows:

Mr. Sterba received a \$75,000 increase under his new employment agreement, from \$675,000 to \$750,000

Ms. Walker and Messrs. Lynch and Bigelow received merit increases, ranging from 1.0% to 2% Annual Incentive Plan

The AIP is designed to motivate eligible participants to help us achieve our annual business objectives by providing an opportunity to earn cash award payouts that are tied to corporate and individual performance. The metrics chosen for 2012 reflected our primary objectives for financial performance, business transformation implementation, environmental stewardship, safety, customer satisfaction and service quality. We maintained earnings per share as our financial performance measure because we continue to believe this measure has a meaningful effect on stockholder value. The 2012 target award opportunities for participants are expressed as a percentage of each participant s base salary, based on the individual s position with American Water. The actual payout may be lower or higher than the target award opportunity depending, in the case of the NEOs, on corporate and individual performance against specific goals. Cash awards under the AIP are distributed to participants in March following the performance year.

As in past years, awards under the AIP were determined in the following manner:

We established a target pool for all payouts under the AIP. Specifically, the compensation committee approved AIP target awards for all participants, based on a percentage of the participating employees—respective salaries. Participating employees in higher pay grades generally have a higher percentage of their salary designated as the target award than participating employees in lower pay grades. The target pool was equal to the sum of all participants—target award opportunities.

The total pool actually available for payouts under the AIP was determined by multiplying the target pool by a percentage we call the Corporate Multiplier. For 2012, the Corporate Multiplier represented the sum of six percentage amounts, each determined based upon our level of achievement against, company-wide performance measures that, with one exception, are objective. Of the six

Edgar Filing: American Water Works Company, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

performance measures, one was a financial measure (55 percent of the target Corporate Multiplier) and five were non-financial measures (45 percent of the target Corporate Multiplier). The Corporate Multiplier could have ranged from 0 percent to 150 percent of the target Corporate Multiplier, depending on how well we performed against the financial and non-financial measures. For 2012, the Corporate Multiplier was 130.6 percent. The actual percentage included in the Corporate Multiplier with respect to each performance measure is set forth in the Corporate Multiplier Table below under the caption, Determination of the Corporate Multiplier.

Once the board determined the actual award pool, the pool was allocated among various organizational groups, including a pool for the executive group (which consisted of all NEOs; however, part of

28

Mr. Lynch s award was funded through the pool for regulated operations, as described under the caption Determination of Individual AIP Awards for NEOs). The executive group pool was equal to the product of the Corporate Multiplier times the sum of all individual AIP target awards in the executive group, which are described immediately below.

Each individual participant is assigned an AIP award, based on the individual s position with American Water and individual performance. The AIP target award is expressed as a percentage of the individual s base salary. The individual s award may range from 0 percent to 200 percent of the AIP target award.

Determination of the Corporate Multiplier

We discuss in this section the performance measures used to determine the Corporate Multiplier. Following the discussion of each performance measure is a table that indicates (i) the minimum performance threshold requirement for the measure, (ii) the performance required for achievement of the target, and (iii) the performance required to achieve the maximum contribution to the Corporate Multiplier for that measure. The table also shows the percentage that would be included in the Corporate Multiplier for such threshold, target and maximum performance. If the minimum performance threshold requirement for a performance measure was not met, no additional percentage was added to the Corporate Multiplier with respect to that measure. No funding of the AIP would have occurred if EPS had been below \$1.73, which is 90 percent of the target EPS (\$1.92).

Financial Performance Measure (55 percent of target Corporate Multiplier)

EPS (55 percent of target Corporate Multiplier)

EPS means diluted earnings per share. For a large, well established public utility such as American Water, EPS is a key metric affecting our stock price. In addition, increasing EPS enhances our capacity to raise the financing necessary to make prudent capital improvements in our water and wastewater systems. Therefore, we view EPS as a very important measure for creating value for our stockholders and for enabling us to enhance our services to our customers. For 2012, EPS was adjusted as described below in footnote 1 to the Corporate Multiplier Table. We increased the weighting of EPS from 50 percent to 55 percent of the target Corporate Multiplier in 2012, as a result of our determination to eliminate the operating cash flow metric previously used (which formerly represented 20 percent of the target Corporate Multiplier) and allocate 15 percent of the target Corporate Multiplier to a new non-financial measure, Business Transformation Performance, which is discussed below. Since our earnings are predominately cash earnings, EPS is also a good indicator of our cash from operations. Thus, we determined to eliminate operating cash flow as a performance measure.

Threshold	Target	Maximum
(13.75% to be	(55.0% to be	(82.5% to be
Included in	Included in	Included in
Corporate	Corporate	Corporate
Multiplier)	Multiplier)	Multiplier)
\$1.81	\$1.92	\$1.98

Non-Financial Performance Measures (45 percent of target Corporate Multiplier)

Business Transformation Performance (15 percent of target Corporate Multiplier)

We have undertaken a business transformation project, which is intended to improve our business processes and upgrade our antiquated core information technology systems. This multi-year, enterprise-wide initiative supports our broader strategic initiatives. The project is intended to optimize workflow throughout our field operations, improve our back-office operations and enhance our customer service capabilities. Although business

29

transformation performance was not a specific performance measure in 2010 or 2011, performance with regard to the business transformation project was included among the individual performance goals for Mr. Sterba and other NEOs. In light of the anticipated start up of enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems associated with Phase I of the project, encompassing such fundamental areas as human resources, finance and supply chain/procurement management activities, we determined that the inclusion of a business transformation performance measure is appropriate. The measure was weighted at 15 percent of the target Corporate Multiplier in order to provide a meaningful incentive for management to focus on efforts to successfully implement a key component of the project. The new business systems associated with Phase I of our business transformation project became operational on August 1, 2012.

Performance with regard to this measure was based on the judgment of the compensation committee as to how successful we were in completing our financial statements using a new ERP in the third quarter of 2012 and other measures of performance in ERP implementation. The percentage allocated to the Corporate Multiplier was determined at the discretion of the compensation committee after a review and discussion of an analysis compiled by an independent third-party quality assurance consultant and a discussion with Mr. Sterba and other senior executives.

Threshold	Target	Maximum
(7.5% to be	(15.0% to be	(22.5% to be
Included in	Included in	Included in
Corporate	Corporate	Corporate
Multiplier)	Multiplier)	Multiplier)
50%	100%	150%

Environmental Compliance (7.5 percent of target Corporate Multiplier)

This measure was based on the number of NOVs that we received or for which we are responsible. An NOV is a formal notification from a governmental agency, local health department or other regulatory agency that a water or wastewater system exceeded an acceptable limit (for example, a drinking water standard or air permit limit), failed to perform required monitoring, failed to record the required documentation or failed to meet another Federal, state, or local requirement. The NOVs for our market-based operations, where we operate but do not own the water or wastewater systems, are considered our responsibility unless it can be shown that (1) the NOV was outside the scope of the contract and (2) we formally notified the owner of the system in writing of the need for changes or improvements in order to maintain compliance, but the owner did not approve the changes or improvements. For 2012, the NOV target was 17, which was established based upon our goal to outperform the U.S. EPA published industry averages and our goal of leading the water industry in environmental compliance. We use this measure because we are committed to protecting the environment and to maintaining our consistent history of materially complying with, and in many cases surpassing, minimum standards required by applicable laws and regulations. We use aggressive NOV targets as part of a comprehensive plan to improve performance in this area.

Threshold	Target	Maximum
(3.75% to be	(7.5% to be	(11.25% to be
Included in	Included in	Included in
Corporate	Corporate	Corporate
Multiplier)	Multiplier)	Multiplier)
21 NOVs	17 NOVs	11 NOVs

Safety Performance (7.5 percent of target Corporate Multiplier)

Safety performance was determined by reference to the total Occupational Safety and Health Administration Recordable Incident Rate or ORIR for American Water. ORIR is a measure of injuries and illnesses requiring treatment beyond first aid for every 200,000 hours worked. For 2012, the threshold and target ORIR were meaningfully reduced from last year s threshold and target of 4.4 and 3.75, respectively, because we want to continue the momentum toward becoming an industry leader with respect to the safety and well-being of our

workforce. The benefits of improved safety are tangible, from the standpoint of the welfare of our employees as well as increased efficiency due to fewer lost workdays. However, at the recommendation of Mr. Sterba, the compensation committee exercised negative discretion to eliminate any award with respect to this measure due to two on-the-job employee fatalities.

Threshold	Target	Maximum
(3.75% to be	(7.5% to be	(11.25% to be
Included in	Included in	Included in
Corporate	Corporate	Corporate
Multiplier)	Multiplier)	Multiplier)
3.75 ORIR	3.35 ORIR	3.15 ORIR

Service Quality (7.5 percent of target Corporate Multiplier)

This measure was designed to address overall service quality provided to our customers through our Service Quality Survey, which is conducted throughout the year for customers whose request for service resulted in completion of a service order by our field service representatives, and contains the following question: Overall, how satisfied were you with the outcome of your service contact? The question contains a five-point response scale based on the following categories: Extremely Satisfied, Very Satisfied, Somewhat Satisfied, Somewhat Dissatisfied, and Very Dissatisfied. The target for 2012 continued to be 85 percent of survey responses in the top two categories. Comparable industry averages are not available for this service level measure; however, advice from our professional market survey firm indicates that responses in the top two categories (Extremely Satisfied and Very Satisfied) are indicative of satisfaction levels associated with brand/product/service loyalty and advocacy, and an 85 percent or higher target would be considered aggressive.

Threshold	Target	Maximum
(3.75% to be	(7.5% to be	(11.25% to be
Included in	Included in	Included in
Corporate	Corporate	Corporate
Multiplier)	Multiplier)	Multiplier)
80% of surveyed customers	85% of surveyed customers	90% of surveyed customers

Customer Satisfaction (7.5 percent of target Corporate Multiplier)

This measure was designed to address overall customer satisfaction through an annual survey, which is conducted throughout the year for customers having had recent contact with one of our customer service or field service representatives, and that contains the following question (modified to identify the applicable American Water subsidiary): Overall, how satisfied have you been with American Water in general during the past twelve months? The question has a five-point response scale based on the following categories: Extremely Satisfied, Very Satisfied, Somewhat Satisfied, Somewhat Dissatisfied, and Very Dissatisfied. Responses in the top three categories are indicative of overall customer satisfaction levels, and the target for 2012 continued to be 90 percent of survey responses in the top three categories. In addition, the quality of our service and issues raised by customers is a principal focus of state public utility commissions in evaluating a rate case.

Threshold	Target	Maximum
(3.75% to be	(7.5% to be	(11.25% to be
Included in	Included in	Included in
Corporate	Corporate	Corporate
Multiplier)	Multiplier)	Multiplier)
85% of surveyed customers	90% of surveyed customers	95% of surveyed customers

Table of Contents 55

31

Corporate Multiplier Table

Based upon our performance with regard to the financial and non-financial performance measures, the board approved the Corporate Multiplier of 130.6 percent. The Corporate Multiplier was determined as follows:

Performance Measure	Percentage Amount at Target for Inclusion in the Corporate Multiplier	Target	Actual Performance	Percentage Amount Included in Calculation of Corporate Multiplier Based on Actual Performance
EPS(1)	55.0%	\$1.92	\$2.09	82.5%
Business Transformation				
Performance	15.0%	Discretionary Award	N/A	16.5%
Environmental Compliance	7.5%	17 NOVs	7 NOVs	11.3%
Safety Performance(2)	7.5%	3.35 ORIR	2.95 ORIR	0.0%
Service Quality	7.5%	85% of Surveyed Customers	89% of Surveyed Customers	10.5%
Customer Satisfaction	7.5%	90% of Surveyed Customers	93% of Surveyed Customers	9.8%
			TOTAL	130.6%(3)

- (1) As adjusted to eliminate the impact of (a) the sale of certain subsidiaries and (b) costs associated with organizational streamlining.
- (2) In light of two employee fatalities on the job, the Safety Performance percentage amount was reduced to zero at the recommendation of Mr. Sterba.
- (3) The Corporate Multiplier of 130.6% was adjusted, for purposes of awards to NEOs, to 129.4% due to the allocation of \$200,000 to creation of a special awards pool for non-executive officers.

Determination of Individual AIP Awards for NEOs

The target amount of individual awards was computed based on a percentage of base salary. Mr. Bigelow s target award as a percentage of salary increased by five percent as a result of his promotion to Senior Vice President of Business Services. All other NEO target awards as a percentage of salary were unchanged from 2011.

The following table indicates the percentage of salary used to determine the target AIP award for each NEO:

Name	Percentage of Salary
Jeffry E. Sterba	100%
Ellen C. Wolf	60%
Walter J. Lynch	70%
Kellye L. Walker	55%
John R. Bigelow	50%

The actual awards paid to each NEO, other than Mr. Sterba, were recommended by Mr. Sterba and, after review, approved by the compensation committee, based on the application of the Corporate Multiplier and on each NEO s achievement against individual performance goals that we established at the beginning of 2012. The compensation committee recommended to the board the actual award to be paid to Mr. Sterba, based on its assessment of his performance with respect to each of his individual performance goals and application of the Corporate Multiplier.

The individual performance goals for each NEO were grouped into several performance categories that aligned to corporate performance expectations. In addition, within each category were a number of individual goals reflective of each NEO s unique areas of responsibility.

Mr. Sterba:

The board evaluated Mr. Sterba s 2012 performance based generally upon corporate performance and achievements compared to the targets contained in the Corporate Multiplier. These expectations focused on advancements in the Company s strategic goals, including customer focus, operational excellence, employer of choice, environmental sustainability and targeted regulatory and public policies.

The board, without assigning a specific score for each or all of the strategic goals, assessed Mr. Sterba s performance as exceeding expectations and awarded him an AIP payment of \$970,500.

Other NEOs:

The other named executive officers also were subject to individual performance goals grouped into several categories. However, in making his recommendations to the board, Mr. Sterba expressed the view that, absent a marked departure from expected performance, the awards to the other NEOs should, generally, reflect the target award times the Corporate Multiplier. Mr. Sterba s recommendation was based on his belief that the NEOs should assume principal responsibility for, and their awards generally should be based on, corporate performance, except with respect to executives who lead a profit center, such as Mr. Lynch (described below). Mr. Sterba advised the compensation committee that there were no factors of a magnitude that would cause him to recommend an adjustment for any of the other NEOs based on his or her individual performance. Therefore, Mr. Sterba recommended that each of the other NEOs receive an award equal to the Corporate Multiplier times the executive s target award. The compensation committee accepted Mr. Sterba s recommendation.

Mr. Lynch s award was structured so that 50% was based on achievement of the executive team and 50% was based on performance of the regulated operations profit center. The performance of regulated operations was funded based upon net income, safety, NOVs, customer satisfaction and service quality. The performance of regulated operations resulted in achievement equal to 130.6% of target performance. After applying the same adjustment as was applied to the Corporate Multiplier, as described in footnote 3 to the Corporate Multiplier Table above, the percentage of target performance was reduced to 129.4%.

We have set forth the awards paid to our NEOs under the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the 2012 Summary Compensation Table.

Long-Term Incentive Plan

Our LTIP for 2012 included stock option grants and PSUs. As described in more detail below, the PSUs are awarded contingently, and the extent to which they are earned and the underlying shares of our common stock are distributed will be based on our achievement, over a three-year period, against three performance measures.

We based the target value of LTIP awards on a percentage of the NEOs salary. The percentage of salary on which the LTIP targets are based, and the total dollar values of the target LTIP award for NEOs were as follows:

	LTIP Target Award as Percentage	Value of LTIP
Name	of Salary	Target Award
Jeffry E. Sterba	250%	\$ 1,875,000
Ellen C. Wolf	130%	\$ 624,000
Walter J. Lynch	145%	\$ 710,500
Kellye L. Walker	110%	\$ 412,500
John R. Bigelow	75%	\$ 223,125

Table of Contents 58

33

The compensation committee applied 40 percent of a NEOs LTIP target award to stock options and 60 percent to PSUs. We bifurcated long-term compensation in this manner because we believe it provides an appropriate balance between two related but discrete goals. Stock options are designed to align the executive officer s interests with stockholder interests, since the intrinsic value of stock options is a function of the appreciation of our stock price. As explained in more detail below, the PSUs are designed to encourage long-term performance both from the standpoint of favorable stock performance in relation to the other 69 companies in the Comparator Group, and from the standpoint of specific company-wide performance measures. We chose to provide the larger percentage weighting for PSUs because we believe the greater emphasis should be on out-performing similarly situated companies and on the satisfaction of long-term operational goals.

In determining the number of shares underlying stock option grants, we used the \$6.11 and \$6.14 grant date fair value of the options, calculated in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, which we refer to below as ASC 718. Based on the applicable grant date fair value, the number of shares underlying stock options was calculated as follows:

Name	LTIP Target Award Allocated to Stock Options	Number of Shares Underlying Stock Options
Jeffry E. Sterba	\$ 750,000	122,582
Ellen C. Wolf	\$ 249,600	40,851
Walter J. Lynch	\$ 284,200	46,514
Kellye L. Walker	\$ 165,000	27,005
John R. Bigelow	\$ 89,250	14,607

We based the number of shares underlying the PSUs granted to each of our NEOs on the LTIP Target Award allocated to PSUs, which, for each NEO, is shown in the table below:

Name	TIP Target rd Allocated to PSUs
Jeffry E. Sterba	\$ 1,125,000
Ellen C. Wolf	\$ 374,400
Walter J. Lynch	\$ 426,300
Kellye L. Walker	\$ 247,500
John R. Bigelow	\$ 133,875

We allocated the LTIP target award among the three separate measures shown on the following table, which are discussed below:

Performance Measure	Weighting at Target
Total Stockholder Return	50%
Operational Efficiency Improvement	25%
Compounded EPS growth	25%
Total	100%

34

The actual percentages of total PSUs granted to the NEOs with respect to the three performance measures differed from the percentage allocation of the target award. The grant date fair value of a PSU related to the total stockholder return performance measure was somewhat higher (\$41.96 and \$42.96) than the grant date fair value of a PSU related to the other two performance measures (\$34.12 and \$34.35). Therefore, the percentage of PSUs granted with respect to each performance measure was as follows:

Performance Measure	Percentage of PSUs Granted
Total Stockholder Return	44.8%
Operational Efficiency Improvement	27.6%
Compounded EPS Growth	27.6%
Total	100.0%

The total number of PSUs granted to each of the NEOs is shown in the following table:

	Number of PSUs
Name	Granted
Jeffry E. Sterba	29,773
Ellen C. Wolf	9,948
Walter J. Lynch	11,327
Kellye L. Walker	6,576
John R. Bigelow	3,557

The following is a description of the three performance measures related to the PSUs.

Total Stockholder Return

This measure is based on the comparative American Water total stockholder return, which we refer to as TSR, relative to the total stockholder return performance of the companies in the Comparator Group, in each case subject to a dividend adjustment factor, during the three-year performance period from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2014. Specifically, we will compare (i) the percentage increase in the average daily closing stock price for our common stock for the 20 trading days before the end of the performance period, assuming reinvestment of dividends during the performance period, over the average daily closing stock of our common stock for the 20 days before the beginning of the performance period to (ii) the percentage increase over the same periods in the average closing price of each of the companies in the Comparator Group, in each case assuming reinvestment of dividends during the performance period.

We selected TSR as the principal performance measure to encourage performance that not only increases stockholder value but increases it to an extent that compares favorably relative to the Comparator Group.

The following table shows the actual number of PSUs to be earned, as a percentage of the target PSU award (equivalent to the number of PSUs granted) based upon American Water s TSR relative to the 69 companies in the Comparator Group:

	Percent of Target Award PSUs	
American Water TSR Ranking*	Earned*	
75% or more (maximum)	78.4%	
50% (target)	44.8%	
25% (threshold)	11.2%	
Less than 25%	0%	

^{*} If the TSR ranking is between 25% and 50%, or between 50% and 75%, the percent of target award PSUs earned will be adjusted proportionately.

35

Operational Efficiency Improvement

This measure is calculated based on the ratio of total operation and maintenance expense to total operating revenues for our regulated operations; the ratio is reduced as operational efficiency improves. The calculation of this measure will be based on the average of the ratio for each of 2012, 2013 and 2014. We used this measure because we want to focus management on improving our overall cost structure and improving our return on equity.

The following table shows the actual number of PSUs to be earned, as a percentage of the target award, based on American Water s operational efficiency improvement.

	Percent of Target Award PSUs Earned
39.2% or less (maximum)	48.3%
41.2% (target)	27.6%
43.2% (threshold)	6.9%
More than 43.2%	0%

^{*} If the operational efficiency improvement is between 43.2% and 41.2% or 41.2% and 39.2%, percent of the target award PSUs earned will be proportionately adjusted.

Compounded EPS Growth

This measure is based on the growth of our EPS, as reported in our audited financial statements, adjusted to exclude all items of gain, loss or expense for the fiscal year determined to be extraordinary or unusual in nature or infrequent in occurrence or related to the disposal of a segment of a business or related to a change in accounting principle, all as determined in accordance with financial accounting standards, compounded annually over the three year period from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2014, over the adjusted EPS of \$1.78 for the year ended December 31, 2011. We selected this measure for the same reasons as set forth with respect to EPS under the annual incentive plan description above, although we are using the measure in connection with the LTIP to encourage a long-term focus on earnings growth.

The following table shows the actual number of PSUs to be earned, as a percentage of the target award, based on our compounded EPS growth:

	Percent of Target Award PSUs
Compounded EPS Growth*	Earned
10.0% (maximum)	48.3%
7.0% (target)	27.6%
5.0% (threshold)	6.9%
Less than 5.0%	0%

^{*} If the increase in compounded EPS growth is between 5.0% and 7.0% or 7.0% and 10.0%, the percent of target award PSUs earned will be proportionately adjusted.

Vesting of Options and PSUs

The options granted to our NEOs terminate on December 31, 2018 (if not previously exercised or forfeited), and vest in equal increments on January 1, 2013, 2014 and 2015. Similarly, a NEO s right to PSUs earned at the end of the performance period vests in equal increments on January 1, 2013, 2014 and 2015. We believe that the vesting terms provide our executive officers a meaningful incentive for continued employment.

Performance Vesting of PSUs Granted in 2010

In 2010, we granted PSUs to our executives and other employees for which the performance period ended in 2012. The number of PSUs earned was based on our performance with respect to four separate measures shown on the following table and discussed below:

Performance Measure	Weighting at Target
Total Stockholder Return	63.84%
Operational Efficiency Improvement	18.08%
Compounded EPS growth	9.04%
Increase in Population Served	9.04%
Total	100.00%

Total Stockholder Return. This measure is based on the comparative American Water TSR, relative to the total stockholder return performance of the 74 companies in the Comparator Group during the applicable performance period. The comparative TSR was computed in the same manner as the Comparative TSR is computed with respect to the PSUs granted in 2010, except that the performance period for the PSUs granted in 2012 extended from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2012.

Our TSR during the performance period was greater than 97% of the other companies in the Dow Jones U.S. Utilities Index. As a result, 175% of the PSUs granted with respect to this measure were performance vested, as indicated in the following table:

Name	Number of PSUs Granted	Number of PSUs Performance Vested
Jeffry E. Sterba*	8,081	14,140
Ellen C. Wolf	9,846	17,229
Walter J. Lynch	11,000	19,249
Kellye L. Walker	5,901	10,326
John R. Bigelow	3,432	6.006

* Mr. Sterba joined us in August 2010 and received a prorated portion of the 2010 grants *Operational Efficiency Improvement.* This measure was calculated in the same manner as described above for PSUs granted in 2012, but was calculated with respect to each of 2010, 2011 and 2012.

The average of the ratio of total operation and maintenance expense to total operating revenues for our regulated operations in 2010, 2011 and 2012 was 48.1%. As a result, a number of shares equal to 175% of the PSUs granted with respect to this measure were issuable based upon performance vesting, as indicated in the following table:

			Percentage of		
	Number of PSUs	Number of PSUs	PSUs		
Name	Granted	Performance Vested	Performance Vested		
Jeffry E. Sterba*	2,262	3,958	175%		
Ellen C. Wolf	2,797	4,894	175%		
Walter J. Lynch	3,127	5,472	175%		
Kellye L. Walker	1,677	2,933	175%		
John R. Bigelow	975	1,706	175%		

^{*} Mr. Sterba joined us in August 2010 and received a prorated portion of the 2010 grants *Compounded EPS Growth.* This measure was based on the growth of our EPS, as reported in our audited financial statements, compounded annually over the three year period from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2012, over the adjusted EPS of \$1.25 for the year ended

December 31, 2009.

37

Our Compounded EPS Growth during the performance period was 17.1%. As a result, a number of shares equal to 175% of the PSUs granted with respect to this measure were issuable based upon performance vesting, as indicated in the following table:

Name	Number of PSUs Granted	Number of PSUs Performance Vested
Jeffry E. Sterba*	1,131	1,979
Ellen C. Wolf	1,399	2,448
Walter J. Lynch	1,563	2,735
Kellye L. Walker	838	1,467
John R. Bigelow	488	854

* Mr. Sterba joined us in August 2010 and received a prorated portion of the 2010 grants

Increase in Population Served. This measure was based upon the growth in population served by our regulated and market-based operations during the period from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2012. We initially selected this measure because we believed it to be an important indicator (along with rate increases) of revenues from our regulated and market-based businesses. However, we discontinued the use of this performance measure in 2010 because we subsequently concluded that an increase in population served is not a reliable indicator of revenue increases. There was no payout related to this measure.

The performance vested PSUs were paid out in shares of our stock upon certification by the compensation committee on January 23, 2013.

Perquisites

We provide limited perquisites to our executive officers, principally consisting of executive physicals. Because the efforts of our leadership team are important to our success, we believe it is important to provide an executive physical benefit. In keeping with our wellness culture, we believe providing periodic physicals for executives can help them to detect medical conditions before they become serious.

NEW EMPLOYMENT LETTER AGREEMENT WITH JEFFRY E. STERBA

On March 26, 2012, we entered into a new employment letter agreement with Mr. Sterba, which we refer to below as the 2012 Employment Agreement . See Executive Compensation Employment Agreements Jeffry Sterba and Severance Terms in Jeffry E. Sterba s Employment Agreement for further information.

STOCKHOLDER ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

At our 2012 annual meeting, the stockholders approved, on a non-binding, advisory basis, the compensation paid to our NEOs, as disclosed under the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the compensation discussion and analysis, the compensation tables and any related materials disclosed in the proxy statement for the 2012 annual meeting. The stockholder vote in favor of NEO compensation totaled approximately 98.7 percent of all votes cast, including abstentions. We considered the results of the advisory vote and determined that, in light of the strong favorable vote of our stockholders, no specific action need be taken in response to the vote.

STOCK OPTION GRANT PRACTICES

Our compensation committee makes annual stock option grants to employees, including executive officers, in February of each year. The exercise price per share for options is at least equal to the last reported sale price of our common stock on the date of grant. Moreover, we will not reprice any options without seeking stockholder approval. We believe that our stock option grant practices are appropriate and effectively address any concerns regarding timing of grants in anticipation of material events.

EXECUTIVE STOCK RETENTION REQUIREMENTS

In order to closely align the interests of our executives with those of our stockholders, our board of directors has adopted the Stock Retention Program for Executives, which we refer to below as the Program. Under the Program, our executive officers are required to retain 50 percent of the after-tax value realized on each equity grant until termination of employment or a change in control, whichever occurs earlier. For this purpose, the after-tax value realized is determined at the time the executive recognizes taxable income with respect to the equity grant.

For purposes of determining the after-tax value realized on an equity grant, we assume that all executives will be subject to taxes (federal, state, and local) at a total effective tax rate of 40 percent, irrespective of the executive s actual total effective tax rate and regardless of how any applicable tax liability is satisfied. As a result, our executive officers are required to retain 30% of the gross value realized on each equity grant. Examples of the application of Program requirements to stock options and PSUs are described below.

Stock Options

Assume an executive was granted a stock option to purchase 2,000 shares at \$30.00 per share on February 15, 2012, and on February 15, 2013, the date all shares underlying the option vested, the market price per share of our common stock is \$40.00 per share. If the executive exercises all of the options on that date, the value realized by the executive will be \$20,000 (computed by subtracting the \$30.00 per share exercise price from the \$40.00 per share market price, and multiplying the \$10.00 per share remainder by 2,000, the number of shares underlying the option). After assuming the 40 percent tax rate, the executive s after-tax value realized would be \$12,000. Accordingly, the executive would be required to retain shares having a value of \$6,000 (50 percent of \$12,000), or 150 shares.

PSUs

Assume an executive was awarded 600 PSUs on February 15, 2011, and on December 31, 2013, the date as of which the number of PSUs is adjusted to reflect the applicable three-year performance, the number of shares underlying the executive s PSUs was increased to 700. If the stock price is \$40.00 per share on December 31, 2013, the value realized by the executive would be \$28,000. After assuming the 40 percent tax rate, the executive s after-tax value realized would be \$16,800. Accordingly, the executive would be required to retain shares having a value of \$8,400 (50 percent of \$16,800), or 420 shares.

ONGOING AND POST-EMPLOYMENT ARRANGEMENTS AND PLAN PROVISIONS

We have several plans and arrangements that enable our NEOs to accrue retirement benefits as they continue to work for us, provide severance benefits upon certain types of termination of employment, or provide other forms of deferred compensation. Most of these plans and agreements have been adopted within the past few years, although some plans, particularly our defined benefit plans that are no longer available to new employees, were adopted some time ago. Not all plans apply to each NEO, as indicated in the discussion below.

Savings Plan for Employees of American Water Works, Inc. and Designated Subsidiaries the Savings Plan

This is a tax qualified defined contribution plan available to employees of American Water, including our NEOs, and certain subsidiaries. Each of our currently employed NEOs participates in the Savings Plan. Under the Savings Plan, an employee may contribute, subject to Internal Revenue Code limitations, 50 percent of his or her base salary up to a maximum contribution of \$17,000, plus, for eligible participants, \$5,500 for catch-up contributions. For any NEO participant hired before January 1, 2006, who continues to be employed by us (Messrs. Lynch and Bigelow), the matching contribution formula is: 50 percent of a participant s base salary

39

contributions for the year, up to a maximum of 5 percent of the participant s base salary. For NEO participants hired after January 1, 2006, the matching contribution formula is: (a) 100 percent for every dollar contributed up to the first 3 percent of the participant s base salary, and (b) 50 percent on the next 2 percent of the participant s base salary. In addition, for NEO participants hired after January 1, 2006, we make additional annual contributions equal to the sum of 5.25 percent of the participant s base salary, subject to Internal Revenue Code limitations. We provide more generous contributions to participants hired after January 1, 2006, because they are ineligible to participate in the defined benefit pension plans described below.

Amounts credited to an employee s account may be invested among a number of funds, and the value of a participant s account will be increased or decreased to reflect the performance of selected investments.

American Water Works Company, Inc. Pension Plan the AWWPP; American Water Works Company, Inc. Executive Retirement Plan the ERP

The AWWPP is a tax-qualified defined benefit pension plan available to eligible employees who commenced employment with us prior to January 1, 2006. The AWWPP provides an annual retirement benefit based on an employee s earnings and years of service. For executives hired prior to July 1, 2001, a grandfathered benefit is provided. Messrs. Lynch and Bigelow participate in the AWWPP. Ms. Wolf, who we employed from 1999 to 2003 and re-employed beginning in 2006, has an accrued benefit under the AWWPP based on her earlier term of service, but will not accrue additional benefits under the AWWPP.

The ERP is a nonqualified defined benefit pension plan that provides pension benefits under the same formula as the AWWPP, but without the pay and benefit limitations that are applicable to the AWWPP under the Internal Revenue Code. Messrs. Lynch and Bigelow participate in the ERP. We closed the AWWPP and the ERP to new employees on December 31, 2005 and replaced those plans with defined contribution plans. This action was taken for a number of reasons, including to enable us to predict fixed costs for retirement benefits on an ongoing basis. In contrast, we are subject to variable costs in connection with our defined benefit plans based on the performance of the plans investment portfolios.

See Executive Compensation 2012 Pension Benefits for further information regarding the AWWPP and the ERP.

Nonqualified Savings and Deferred Compensation Plan for Employees of American Water Works Company, Inc. and its Designated Subsidiaries the Deferred Compensation Plan

This plan is a nonqualified deferred compensation plan that enables participants to defer salary and annual incentive plan awards and provides benefits to executive officers and other highly paid employees in excess of the maximum benefits that may be provided under the Savings Plan as a result of limits imposed by the Internal Revenue Code. We refer to compensation in excess of those limits as excess compensation. All of the currently employed NEOs participate in the Deferred Compensation Plan.

Generally, under the Deferred Compensation Plan, an executive may elect to defer up to 20 percent of salary and up to 100 percent of the award paid under the AIP. We provide matching contributions that differ depending on whether the executive was hired or rehired by us on or after January 1, 2006. For NEOs hired or rehired after January 1, 2006 (Mr. Sterba, Ms. Wolf and Ms. Walker), we provide the matching contribution we would have made for the executive under the Savings Plan with respect to the executive s excess compensation if the excess compensation had been taken into account under the Savings Plan. In addition, we make a defined contribution for the account of each of these executives generally equal to 5.25 percent of the sum of base salary that constitutes excess compensation and the award payable under the AIP for the relevant plan year. For executives hired prior to January 1, 2006, and continuously employed by us (Messrs. Lynch and Bigelow), our matching contribution is equal to 50 percent of salary deferrals up to a maximum of five percent of salary; the matching contributions are more limited for these executives due to their eligibility under our defined benefit pension plan.

Each participant may allocate amounts credited to his or her account among several notional investments, and the value of the account will be increased or decreased to reflect deemed returns under the selected notional investments. The participant may elect to receive payment of deferred amounts in a lump sum or in annual installments, on or beginning at separation from service or a specified distribution date. See Executive Compensation 2012 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation for additional information.

Executive Severance Policy

Under our executive severance policy, adopted in 2008, we provide severance benefits to our NEOs. Our policy is designed to provide a clear statement of the rights of our executive officers if they are involuntarily terminated without cause. Among other things, the policy calls for 12 months of salary continuation for the NEOs, and a pro rata AIP award for the year in which the termination date occurs to the extent such payment is provided for under the terms of the applicable AIP. Mr. Sterba s 2012 Employment Agreement provides that he will be entitled to 18 months of salary continuation. See Executive Compensation Potential Payments on Termination or Change in Control for further information.

American Water Works Company, Inc. Nonqualified Employee Stock Purchase Plan the ESPP

Under the ESPP, eligible employees, including our NEOs, are provided an opportunity to purchase our common stock at a discount of ten percent from the lower of the prevailing market price on the first day and last day of each three-month purchase period. Purchases generally are limited to \$25,000 per year. We believe that, in addition to the benefit employees realize from the discount, our stockholders will benefit because the ESPP helps to more closely align the interests of our employees and our stockholders.

Change in Control Provisions in Equity Plans

Most of our compensation plans and policies do not contain change in control provisions affecting compensation of our NEOs. However, our equity awards generally vest upon a change in control of American Water. In addition, certain of our contributions to the Deferred Compensation Plan will vest upon a change in control. See Executive Compensation Potential Payments on Termination or Change in Control for further information.

Recovery of Incentive Compensation

In 2010, we instituted a policy governing the recovery of incentive compensation in the event of a material restatement of our financial results under specified circumstances. As a result of the enactment of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, which imposes an executive compensation clawback requirement on public companies, and the related NYSE listing standards expected to be adopted in 2013, we expect to amend the policy to comply with these executive compensation recovery requirements.

TAX CONSIDERATIONS

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code limits to \$1 million the deductibility for federal income tax purposes of annual compensation paid by a publicly held corporation to its chief executive officer and other NEOs, unless certain conditions are met. Because we wish to maintain flexibility in structuring our compensation to address our corporate goals, the board of directors has not adopted a policy regarding the deductibility of compensation. However, the board will review from time to time the advisability of structuring our compensation programs to satisfy the deductibility requirements of Section 162(m).

41

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The compensation committee has reviewed and discussed the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based on this review and discussion, the compensation committee has recommended to the full board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

Respectfully submitted,

William J. Marrazzo (Chair)

Julie A. Dobson

Paul J. Evanson

Julia L. Johnson

Risk Considerations Related to Compensation Policies and Practices

The board and compensation committee have each assessed potential risks related to our compensation policies and practices and have concluded that they are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on American Water. In considering risks related to our compensation policies and practices, the board and compensation committee noted that less than 30 percent of our employees participate in any form of variable compensation and 82 percent of the participating employees have a target award that is not greater than 15 percent of base pay. Therefore, the analysis focused on our executive compensation, because a significant portion of compensation for our senior managers is performance-based. The board and compensation committee considered the following mitigating factors with respect to executive compensation:

Factors mitigating risks relating to short-term incentives Short-term incentives, available through the AIP, comprised only a limited portion of total compensation (in the case of Mr. Sterba, his 2012 AIP target award was approximately 22 percent of direct compensation); company-wide and individual targets were dispersed among a variety of financial and non-financial goals; maximum awards are capped at 200 percent of target; and the compensation committee has broad discretion in determining the amount of AIP awards.

Factors mitigating risks related to long-term incentives. The three year vesting and performance periods applicable to our long-term incentive compensation require a long-term executive focus; annual grants of long-term awards result in overlapping vesting and performance periods, which reduces risks that executives will focus only on one performance or vesting period; executives are discouraged from seeking short-term increases in stock prices in connection with stock option exercises because stock options comprise only a portion of our equity compensation and the rest of our equity compensation is based on long-term performance; and our equity compensation is subject to our Stock Retention Program, described above under Executive Stock Retention Requirements.

42

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

2012 Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth information regarding the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, and each of the persons who were the three other most highly paid executive officers in 2012.

						Change in Pension Value and Non-Equity Nonqualified			
				a		Incentive	Deferred		
		Salary	Bonus	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Plan Compensation	Compensation Earnings	All Other Compensation	
Name and Principal Position	Year	(6)	(\$)(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	Total
Jeffry E. Sterba	2012	\$ 732,695		\$ 1,124,988	\$ 750,002	\$ 970,500	\$	\$ 209,530	\$ 3,787,715
President and Chief	2011	\$ 675,000	\$	\$ 810,019	\$ 539,998	\$ 931,635	\$	\$ 154,486	\$ 3,111,138
Executive Officer(1)	2010	\$ 246,634	\$ 200,000	\$ 308,618	\$ 311,741	\$ 333,124	\$	\$ 12,955	\$ 1,413,072
Walter J. Lynch	2012	\$ 493,846	\$	\$ 426,305	\$ 284,201	\$ 448,371	\$ 369,850	\$ 46,437	\$ 2,069,010
President and Chief	2011	\$ 489,808	\$	\$ 426,286	\$ 284,201	\$ 473,409	\$ 197,108	\$ 16,433	\$ 1,887,245
Operating Officer of Regulated Operations(2)	2010	\$ 473,653	\$ 8,087	\$ 417,601	\$ 278,401	\$ 435,456	\$ 146,941	\$ 12,634	\$ 1,772,773
Ellen C. Wolf	2012	\$ 480,000	\$	\$ 374,400	\$ 249,600	\$ 372,672	\$ 29,978	\$ 92,572	\$ 1,599,222
Senior Vice President and	2011	\$ 480,000	\$	\$ 374,399	\$ 249,598	\$ 397,498	\$ 15,167	\$ 71,805	\$ 1,588,467
	2010	\$ 480,000	\$	\$ 374,398	\$ 249,599	\$ 342,144	\$ 20,452	\$ 69,800	\$ 1,536,393
Chief Financial Officer(3)									
Kellye L. Walker	2012	\$ 380,765	\$	\$ 247,493	\$ 165,001	\$ 272,225	\$	\$ 62,005	\$ 1,127,489
Chief Administrative Officer	2011	\$ 375,000	\$	\$ 247,521	\$ 164,999	\$ 284,666	\$	\$ 46,100	\$ 1,118,286
	2010	\$ 339,597	\$	\$ 224,396	\$ 149,602	\$ 245,663	\$	\$ 24,269	\$ 983,527
and General Counsel(4)									
John R. Bigelow	2012	\$ 301,344	\$	\$ 133,869	\$ 89,249	\$ 195,718	\$ 364,159	\$ 20,450	\$ 1,104,789
Senior Vice President,	2011	\$ 297,500	\$	\$ 133,872	\$ 89,251	\$ 192,793	\$ 289,401	\$ 11,060	\$ 1,013,877
Business Services(5)	2010	\$ 290,000	\$	\$ 130,506	\$ 87,001	\$ 185,310	\$ 311,763	\$ 3,156	\$ 1,007,736

- (1) Mr. Sterba has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer since August 15, 2010.
- (2) Mr. Lynch has served as our President and Chief Operating Officer, Regulated Operations since March 1, 2010 (prior to that date Mr. Lynch was President of Regulated Operations).
- (3) Ms. Wolf has served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since March 1, 2006.
- (4) Ms. Walker has served as our Chief Administrative Officer since September 2010, and Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary since January 2010.
- (5) Mr. Bigelow has served as Senior Vice President, Business Services since September 2011 (prior to that date Mr. Bigelow was President of New Jersey American Water).

Edgar Filing: American Water Works Company, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

(6) The following officers deferred a portion of their salary under our Nonqualified Savings and Deferred Compensation Plan as follows: Mr. Sterba, \$36,635, Mr. Lynch, \$24,692, and Ms. Walker \$19,038.