

LHC Group, Inc
Form 10-Q
August 09, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

þ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

OR

· **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 001-33989

LHC GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

71-0918189
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

420 West Pinhook Rd, Suite A

Lafayette, LA 70503

(Address of principal executive offices including zip code)

(337) 233-1307

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter periods that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Number of shares of common stock, par value \$0.01, outstanding as of August 4, 2011: 18,789,453 shares.

Table of Contents

LHC GROUP, INC.

INDEX

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| <u>Part I. Financial Information</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets – June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income – Three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity – Six months ended June 30, 2011</u> | 5 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u> | 6 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 7 |
| Item 2. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 21 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 35 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 36 |
| <u>Part II. Other Information</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 37 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 38 |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 38 |
| Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 38 |
| Item 4. <u>Removed and Reserved</u> | 38 |
| Item 5. <u>Other Information</u> | 38 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 39 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 40 |

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.****LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS***(Amounts in thousands, except share data)**(Unaudited)*

| | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash | \$ 9,212 | \$ 288 |
| Receivables: | | |
| Patient accounts receivable, less allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$9,532 and \$9,769, respectively | 80,422 | 80,055 |
| Other receivables | 2,203 | 5,094 |
| Amounts due from governmental entities | 315 | 429 |
| Total receivables, net | 82,940 | 85,578 |
| Deferred income taxes | 6,516 | 5,941 |
| Prepaid income taxes | 9,225 | 5,326 |
| Prepaid expenses | 6,416 | 6,573 |
| Other current assets | 3,961 | 3,442 |
| Total current assets | 118,270 | 107,148 |
| Property, building and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$18,651 and \$15,329, respectively | 29,190 | 26,862 |
| Goodwill | 164,755 | 157,338 |
| Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$1,933 and \$1,499, respectively | 59,781 | 54,051 |
| Advance payment on acquisitions | | 6,947 |
| Other assets | 5,730 | 4,959 |
| Total assets | \$ 377,726 | \$ 357,305 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities | \$ 17,266 | \$ 21,017 |
| Salaries, wages, and benefits payable | 29,254 | 27,289 |
| Amounts due to governmental entities | 3,203 | 3,159 |
| Total current liabilities | 49,723 | 51,465 |
| Deferred income taxes | 20,767 | 16,817 |
| Total liabilities | 70,490 | 68,282 |
| Noncontrolling interest - redeemable | 12,574 | 13,535 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| LHC Group, Inc. stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock - \$0.01 par value; 40,000,000 shares authorized; 21,329,969 and 21,180,286 shares issued and 18,261,010 and 18,172,022 shares outstanding, respectively | 183 | 181 |
| Treasury stock - 3,068,959 and 3,008,264 shares at cost, respectively | (6,104) | (4,453) |

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Additional paid-in capital | 93,085 | 91,017 |
| Retained earnings | 204,478 | 186,996 |
| Total LHC Group, Inc. stockholders' equity | 291,642 | 273,741 |
| Noncontrolling interest - non-redeemable | 3,020 | 1,747 |
| Total equity | 294,662 | 275,488 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 377,726 | \$ 357,305 |

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME***(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)**(Unaudited)*

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net service revenue | \$ 161,015 | \$ 153,642 | \$ 322,798 | \$ 298,803 |
| Cost of service revenue | 86,216 | 78,737 | 175,172 | 152,725 |
| Gross margin | 74,799 | 74,905 | 147,626 | 146,078 |
| Provision for bad debts | 3,143 | 1,542 | 5,704 | 3,600 |
| General and administrative expenses | 52,154 | 49,686 | 107,195 | 95,453 |
| Operating income | 19,502 | 23,677 | 34,727 | 47,025 |
| Interest expense | (195) | (25) | (290) | (50) |
| Non-operating income | 4 | 593 | 177 | 622 |
| Income before income taxes and noncontrolling interest | 19,311 | 24,245 | 34,614 | 47,597 |
| Income tax expense | 6,549 | 7,979 | 11,710 | 15,489 |
| Net income | 12,762 | 16,266 | 22,904 | 32,108 |
| Less net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | 2,974 | 3,873 | 5,422 | 8,092 |
| Net income attributable to LHC Group, Inc. | 9,788 | 12,393 | 17,482 | 24,016 |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interest | | | | 41 |
| Net income available to LHC Group, Inc.'s common stockholders | \$ 9,788 | \$ 12,393 | \$ 17,482 | \$ 24,057 |
| Earnings per share - basic: | | | | |
| Net income attributable to LHC Group, Inc. | 0.54 | 0.68 | 0.96 | 1.33 |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interest | | | | |
| Net income available to LHC Group, Inc.'s common stockholders | \$ 0.54 | \$ 0.68 | \$ 0.96 | \$ 1.33 |
| Earnings per share - diluted: | | | | |
| Net income attributable to LHC Group, Inc. | 0.53 | 0.68 | 0.95 | 1.32 |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interest | | | | |
| Net income available to LHC Group, Inc.'s common stockholders | \$ 0.53 | \$ 0.68 | \$ 0.95 | \$ 1.32 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | 18,278,479 | 18,118,197 | 18,247,238 | 18,080,077 |
| Diluted | 18,346,441 | 18,236,380 | 18,338,605 | 18,200,066 |

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY***(Amounts in thousands except share data)**(Unaudited)*

| | Common Stock | | Treasury | | Additional Paid-In Capital | Retained Earnings | Non-controlling | Total |
|---|--------------|------------|------------|-------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Issued | | | | | | Interest | |
| | Amount | Shares | Amount | Shares | | | Non Redeemable | Equity |
| Balances at December 31, 2010 | \$ 181 | 21,180,286 | \$ (4,453) | (3,008,264) | \$ 91,017 | \$ 186,996 | \$ 1,747 | \$ 275,488 |
| Net income | | | | | | 17,482 | 525 | 18,007(1) |
| Acquired noncontrolling interest | | | | | | | 1,372 | 1,372 |
| Transfer of noncontrolling interest | | | | | 206 | | 163 | 369 |
| Purchase of subsidiary shares from noncontrolling interest | | | | | (816) | | | (816) |
| Noncontrolling interest distributions | | | | | | | (787) | (787) |
| Nonvested stock compensation | | | | | 1,960 | | | 1,960 |
| Issuance of vested restricted stock | | 134,804 | | | | | | |
| Treasury shares redeemed to pay income tax | | | (1,074) | (36,536) | | | | (1,074) |
| Repurchase of common stock | | | (577) | (24,159) | | | | (577) |
| Excess tax benefits vesting nonvested stock | | | | | 294 | | | 294 |
| Issuance of common stock under Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 2 | 14,879 | | | 424 | | | 426 |
| Balances at June 30, 2011 | \$ 183 | 21,329,969 | \$ (6,104) | (3,068,959) | \$ 93,085 | \$ 204,478 | \$ 3,020 | \$ 294,662 |

- (1) Net income excludes net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest-redeemable of \$4.9 million during the six months ending June 30, 2011. Noncontrolling interest-redeemable is reflected outside of permanent equity on the consolidated balance sheets. See Note 7 of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS***(Amounts in thousands)**(Unaudited)*

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 22,904 | \$ 32,108 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 3,939 | 3,377 |
| Provision for bad debts | 5,704 | 3,601 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 1,960 | 1,859 |
| Deferred income taxes | 3,375 | 190 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions: | | |
| Receivables | (4,254) | (5,206) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 5,984 | 2,650 |
| Prepaid income taxes | (3,899) | (1,398) |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | (1,950) | 4,265 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 33,763 | 41,446 |
| Investing activities | | |
| Purchases of property, building and equipment | (5,761) | (5,713) |
| Cash paid for acquisitions, primarily goodwill, intangible assets and advance payments on acquisition | (11,770) | (20,215) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (17,531) | (25,928) |
| Financing activities | | |
| Proceeds from line of credit | 49,187 | 9,023 |
| Payments on line of credit | (49,187) | (14,745) |
| Principal payments on debt | | (171) |
| Payments on capital leases | (14) | (14) |
| Excess tax benefits from vesting of restricted stock | 318 | 632 |
| Proceeds from employee stock purchase plan | 426 | 374 |
| Noncontrolling interest distributions | (6,645) | (7,823) |
| Payments on repurchase of common stock | (577) | |
| Purchase of additional controlling interest | (816) | (1,914) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (7,308) | (14,638) |
| Change in cash | 8,924 | 880 |
| Cash at beginning of period | 288 | 394 |
| Cash at end of period | \$ 9,212 | \$ 1,274 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information | | |
| Interest paid | \$ 290 | \$ 50 |
| Income taxes paid | \$ 11,956 | \$ 16,137 |

Supplemental disclosure of non-cash transactions:

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-Q

Consideration for one of the Company's acquisitions during the six months ended June 30, 2011 was a transfer of a 26.32% ownership interest in one of the Company's wholly owned home health agencies. The transfer of the noncontrolling interest in the Company's existing home health agencies was accounted for as an equity transaction, resulting in the Company recognizing additional paid in capital of \$206,000 and additional noncontrolling interest of \$294,000. Additionally, the Company acquired a majority ownership in three entities and recorded \$1.2 million of noncontrolling interest related to the acquisitions.

In conjunction with the vesting of the non-vested shares of stock, recipients incur personal income tax obligations. The Company allows the recipients to turn in shares of common stock to satisfy those personal tax obligations. During the three months ended June 30, 2011, the Company obtained \$1.1 million of treasury shares for tax payments on stock vestings.

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. Organization

LHC Group, Inc. (the Company) is a health care provider specializing in the post-acute continuum of care primarily for Medicare beneficiaries. The Company provides home-based services, primarily through home nursing agencies and hospices, and facility-based services, primarily through long-term acute care hospitals (LTACHs). As of June 30, 2011, the Company, through its wholly and majority-owned subsidiaries, equity joint ventures and controlled affiliates, operated in Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Florida, Idaho, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, Washington and West Virginia.

Unaudited Interim Financial Information

The condensed consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and the related condensed consolidated statements of income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, condensed consolidated statement of changes in equity for the six months ended June 30, 2011, condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 and related notes (collectively, these statements are referred to herein as the interim financial information) have been prepared by the Company. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2011.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP have been condensed or omitted from the interim financial information presented. This report should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements and related notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) on March 10, 2011, which includes information and disclosures not included herein.

2. Significant Accounting Policies

Reclassifications

A reclassification has been made to the June 30, 2010 Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income to conform to the 2011 presentation. Net service revenue and cost of services have been decreased by \$816,000 and \$1,538,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, related to fees the Company collects and subsequently pays to nursing homes primarily for room and board services provided to the Company's hospice patients.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's most critical accounting policies relate to the principles of consolidation, revenue recognition and accounts receivable and allowances for uncollectible accounts.

Table of Contents

Principles of Consolidation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include all subsidiaries and entities controlled by the Company. Control is defined by the Company as ownership of a majority of the voting interest of an entity. The condensed consolidated financial statements include entities in which the Company receives a majority of the entities' expected residual returns, absorbs a majority of the entities' expected losses, or both, as a result of ownership, contractual or other financial interests in the entity. Third party equity interests in the consolidated joint ventures are reflected as noncontrolling interests in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

The following table summarizes the percentage of net service revenue earned by type of ownership or relationship the Company had with the operating entity:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Equity joint ventures | 49.8% | 47.5% | 50.3% | 49.2% |
| Wholly-owned subsidiaries | 47.4% | 48.5% | 46.5% | 46.8% |
| License leasing arrangements | 2.3% | 2.6% | 2.3% | 2.5% |
| Management services | 0.5% | 1.4% | 0.9% | 1.5% |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in the Company's accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements. Business combinations accounted for under the acquisition method have been included in the condensed consolidated financial statements from the respective dates of acquisition.

The following describes the Company's consolidation policy with respect to its various ventures excluding wholly-owned subsidiaries.

Equity Joint Ventures

The Company's joint ventures are structured as limited liability companies in which the Company typically owns a majority equity interest ranging from 51% to 90%. The members of the Company's equity joint ventures participate in profits and losses in proportion to their equity interests. The Company consolidates these entities as the Company has the obligation to absorb losses of the entities and the right to receive benefits from the entities and generally has voting control over the entities.

License Leasing Arrangements

The Company, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, leases home health licenses necessary to operate certain of its home nursing agencies. As with its wholly-owned subsidiaries, the Company owns 100% of the equity of these entities and consolidates them based on such ownership, as well as the Company's obligation to absorb losses of the entities and the right to receive benefits from the entities.

Management Services

The Company has various management services agreements under which the Company manages certain operations of agencies and facilities. The Company does not consolidate these agencies or facilities because the Company does not have an ownership interest and does not have an obligation to absorb losses of the entities or the right to receive the benefits from the entities.

Table of Contents**Revenue Recognition**

The Company reports net service revenue at the estimated net realizable amount due from Medicare, Medicaid, commercial insurance, managed care payors, patients and others for services rendered. All payors contribute to both the home-based services and facility-based services.

The following table sets forth the percentage of net service revenue earned by category of payor for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|----------|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Payor: | | | | |
| Medicare | 79.8% | 80.4% | 79.7% | 80.8% |
| Medicaid | 2.4% | 3.1% | 2.4% | 3.3% |
| Other | 17.8% | 16.5% | 17.9% | 15.9% |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

The percentage of net service revenue contributed from each reporting segment for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Home-based services | 88.2% | 88.3% | 87.9% | 88.3% |
| Facility-based services | 11.8% | 11.7% | 12.1% | 11.7% |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Medicare**Home-Based Services**

Home Nursing Services. The Company's home nursing Medicare patients are classified into one of 153 home health resource groups prior to receiving services. Based on this home health resource group, the Company is entitled to receive a standard prospective Medicare payment for delivering care over a 60-day period referred to as an episode. The Company recognizes revenue based on the number of days elapsed during an episode of care within the reporting period.

Final payments from Medicare may reflect one of four retroactive adjustments to ensure the adequacy and effectiveness of the total reimbursement: (a) an outlier payment if the patient's care was unusually costly; (b) a low utilization adjustment if the number of visits was fewer than five; (c) a partial payment if the patient transferred to another provider before completing the episode; or (d) a payment adjustment based upon the level of therapy services required in the population base. Management estimates the impact of these payment adjustments based on historical experience and records this estimate during the period the services are rendered. The Company's payment is also adjusted for differences in local prices using the hospital wage index. In calculating the Company's reported net service revenue from home nursing services, the Company adjusts the prospective Medicare payments by an estimate of the adjustments. The adjustments are calculated using a historical average of prior adjustments.

Hospice Services. The Company is paid by Medicare under a per diem payment system. The Company receives one of four predetermined daily or hourly rates based upon the level of care the Company furnished. The Company records net service revenue from hospice services based on the daily or hourly rate and recognizes revenue as hospice services are provided.

Table of Contents

Hospice payments are also subject to an inpatient cap and an overall payment cap. Inpatient cap relates to individual programs receiving more than 20% of its total Medicare reimbursement from inpatient care services and the overall payment cap relates to individual programs receiving reimbursements in excess of a cap amount, calculated by multiplying the number of beneficiaries during the period by a statutory amount that is indexed for inflation. The determination for each cap is made annually based on the 12-month period ending on October 31 of each year. The Company monitors our limits on a program-by-program basis. The Company has not received notification that any of our hospices have exceeded the cap on inpatient care services or overall payments during 2010 or 2011 to date.

Facility-Based Services

Long-Term Acute Care Services. The Company is reimbursed by Medicare for services provided under the LTACH prospective payment system, which was implemented on October 1, 2002. Each patient is assigned a long-term care diagnosis-related group. The Company is paid a predetermined fixed amount intended to reflect the average cost of treating a Medicare patient classified in that particular long-term care diagnosis-related group. For selected patients, the amount may be further adjusted based on length of stay and facility-specific costs, as well as in instances where a patient is discharged and subsequently re-admitted, among other factors. The Company calculates the adjustment based on a historical average of these types of adjustments for claims paid. Similar to other Medicare prospective payment systems, the rate is also adjusted for geographic wage differences. Revenue is recognized for the Company's LTACHs as services are provided.

Medicaid, managed care and other payors

The Company's Medicaid reimbursement is based on a predetermined fee schedule applied to each service provided. Therefore, revenue is recognized for Medicaid services as services are provided based on this fee schedule. The Company's managed care payors reimburse the Company in a manner similar to either Medicare or Medicaid. Accordingly, the Company recognizes revenue from managed care payors in the same manner as the Company recognizes revenue from Medicare or Medicaid.

Management Services

The Company records management services revenue as services are provided in accordance with the various management services agreements to which the Company is a party. As described in the agreements, the Company provides billing, management and other consulting services suited to and designed for the efficient operation of the applicable home nursing agency. The Company is responsible for the costs associated with the locations and personnel required for the provision of services. The Company is compensated based on a percentage of cash collections, a flat fee or is reimbursed for operating expenses and compensated based on a percentage of operating net income.

Accounts Receivable and Allowances for Uncollectible Accounts

The Company reports accounts receivable net of estimated allowances for uncollectible accounts and adjustments. Accounts receivable are uncollateralized and primarily consist of amounts due from Medicare, other third-party payors, and patients. To provide for accounts receivable that could become uncollectible in the future, the Company establishes an allowance for uncollectible accounts to reduce the carrying amount of such receivables to their estimated net realizable value. The credit risk for other concentrations of receivables is limited due to the significance of Medicare as the primary payor. We believe the credit risk associated with our Medicare accounts, which represent 63.6% and 65.8% of our patient accounts receivable at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, is limited due to (i) the historical collection rate from Medicare and (ii) the fact that Medicare is a U.S. government payor. The Company does not believe that there are any other concentrations of receivables from any particular payor that would subject it to any significant credit risk in the collection of accounts receivable.

Table of Contents

The amount of the provision for bad debts is based upon the Company's assessment of historical and expected net collections, business and economic conditions and trends in government reimbursement. Uncollectible accounts are written off when the Company has determined the account will not be collected.

A portion of the estimated Medicare prospective payment system reimbursement from each submitted home nursing episode is received in the form of a request for anticipated payment (RAP). The Company submits a RAP for 60% of the estimated reimbursement for the initial episode at the start of care. The full amount of the episode is billed after the episode has been completed. The RAP received for that particular episode is deducted from the final payment. If a final bill is not submitted within the greater of 120 days from the start of the episode, or 60 days from the date the RAP was paid, any RAPs received for that episode will be recouped by Medicare from any other Medicare claims in process for that particular provider. The RAP and final claim must then be resubmitted. For subsequent episodes of care contiguous with the first episode for a particular patient, the Company submits a RAP for 50% instead of 60% of the estimated reimbursement.

Our Medicare population is paid at a prospectively set amount that can be determined at the time services are rendered. Our Medicaid reimbursement is based on a predetermined fee schedule applied to each individual service we provide. Our managed care contracts are structured similar to either the Medicare or Medicaid payment methodologies. Because of our payor mix, we are able to calculate our actual amount due at the patient level and adjust the gross charges down to the actual amount at the time of billing. This negates the need to record an estimated contractual allowance when reporting net service revenue for each reporting period.

Other Significant Accounting Policies**Earnings Per Share**

Basic per share information is computed by dividing the relevant amounts from the condensed consolidated statements of income by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the period, under the treasury stock method. Diluted per share information is also computed using the treasury stock method, by dividing the relevant amounts from the condensed consolidated statements of income by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding plus dilutive potential shares.

The following table sets forth shares used in the computation of basic and diluted per share information:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding for basic per share calculation | 18,278,479 | 18,118,197 | 18,247,238 | 18,080,077 |
| Effect of dilutive potential shares: | | | | |
| Options | 4,960 | 5,716 | 5,097 | 6,124 |
| Nonvested stock | 63,002 | 112,467 | 86,270 | 113,865 |
| Adjusted weighted average shares for diluted per share calculation | 18,346,441 | 18,236,380 | 18,338,605 | 18,200,066 |
| Anti-dilutive shares | 229,190 | 9,390 | 147,355 | 148,289 |

Adoption of New Accounting Standards

In August 2010, the FASB issued new accounting guidance which changes the presentation of insurance claims and related insurance recoveries. The guidance clarifies that insurance recoveries on medical malpractice claims and other similar contingent liabilities should not be presented net of the related claim liability. The new guidance was effective for the Company on January 1, 2011 and is applied on a prospective basis. Included in Other current assets at June 30, 2011 is \$1.0 million for expected insurance recoveries.

Table of Contents**Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements**

In July 2011, the FASB issued new accounting guidance that requires certain health care entities to change the presentation of their statement of operations by reclassifying the provision for bad debts associated with patient service revenue from an operating expense to a separate line as a deduction from patient service revenue. The guidance also requires enhanced disclosure about the Company's policies for recognizing revenue and assessing bad debts. The guidance further requires qualitative and quantitative disclosures about changes in the allowance for doubtful accounts. The Company will adopt the new guidance prospectively in the first quarter of 2012. While the adoption is prospective, disclosure requirements will be applied retrospectively for the periods presented in the Company's filings subsequent to adoption. The Company does not expect the adoption of the new guidance to have a material effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

3. Acquisitions and Disposals

Pursuant to the Company's strategy for becoming the leading provider of post-acute health care services in the United States, the Company acquired five home health entities and eight hospices during the six months ended June 30, 2011. As a result of the acquisitions, the Company maintains an ownership interest in the entities set forth below.

| Acquired Entity | Ownership Percentage | State of Operations | Acquisition Date |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| LHCG XX, III | 75% | KY | 01/01/2011 |
| LHCG XXII, LLC | 100% | AL | 01/01/2011 |
| Vital Hospice, Inc. | 100% | LA | 01/01/2011 |
| LHCG XIX, LLC | 75% | FL | 02/01/2011 |
| Texas Health Care Group of Texarkana, LLC | 73.68% | TX | 03/01/2011 |
| LHCG XXV, LLC | 100% | MO | 04/01/2011 |
| LHCG XXIX, LLC | 67% | AL | 04/05/2011 |

Each of the acquisitions was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting, and accordingly, the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the results of operations of each acquired entity from the date of acquisition.

The total purchase price for the Company's acquisitions was \$12.3 million, which was paid primarily in cash. The purchase prices are determined based on the Company's analysis of comparable acquisitions and the target market's potential future cash flows. Consideration for one of the Company's acquisitions was a transfer of a 26.32% ownership interest in one of the Company's wholly owned home health agencies. The transfer of the noncontrolling interest in the Company's existing home health agency was accounted for as an equity transaction, resulting in the Company recognizing additional paid in capital of \$206,000.

Table of Contents

The Company's home-based segment recognized goodwill of \$7.4 million, including \$658,000 of noncontrolling goodwill. Goodwill generated from the acquisitions was recognized based on the expected contributions of each acquisition to the overall corporate strategy. The Company expects its portion of goodwill to be fully tax deductible. The following table summarizes the consideration paid for the acquisitions and the amounts of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition dates, as well as the fair value at the acquisition dates of the noncontrolling interest acquired (all amounts are in thousands).

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Consideration | |
| Cash | \$ 11,770 |
| Equity instruments (the Company exchanged a noncontrolling interest in one of its entities) | 369 |
| Working capital | 142 |
| Fair value of total consideration transferred | \$ 12,281 |
| Acquisition-related costs (included in general and administrative expenses) | \$ 403 |
| Recognized amounts of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed | |
| Trade name | \$ 4,471 |
| Certificate of need/license | 1,354 |
| Other identifiable intangible assets | 398 |
| Other assets | 13 |
| Total identifiable assets | \$ 6,236 |
| Noncontrolling interest | \$ 1,372 |
| Goodwill, including noncontrolling interest of \$658,000 | \$ 7,417 |

Trade names, certificates of need and licenses are indefinite-lived assets and, therefore, not subject to amortization. The other identifiable assets include non-compete agreements that are amortized over the life of the agreements ranging from two to five years. Noncontrolling interest is valued at fair value by applying a discount to the value of the acquired entity for lack of control. The fair value of the acquired intangible assets is preliminary pending the final valuations of those assets.

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company purchased additional ownership interests in two of its joint ventures. The total purchase price for the additional ownership was \$816,000 and was accounted for as an equity transaction, resulting in the Company reducing additional paid in capital by \$816,000.

4. Goodwill and Intangibles

The changes in recorded goodwill by segment for the six months ended June 30, 2011 were as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 |
|---|---|
| Home-based services segment: | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 145,747 |
| Goodwill from acquisitions | 6,759 |
| Goodwill related to noncontrolling interest | 658 |
| Balance at June 30, 2011 | \$ 153,164 |
| Facility-based services segment: | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 11,591 |

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|----|---------|
| Balance at June 30, 2011 | \$ | 11,591 |
| Consolidated balance at June 30, 2011 | \$ | 164,755 |

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the changes in intangible assets during the six months ended June 30, 2011 (amounts in thousands):

| | Trade Names | Certificate of Need/ License | Other Intangibles | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ 45,369 | \$ 7,207 | \$ 1,475 | \$ 54,051 |
| Additions | 4,471 | 1,354 | 398 | 6,223 |
| Write off | | (59) | | (59) |
| Amortization | | | (434) | (434) |
| Balance at June 30, 2011 | \$ 49,840 | \$ 8,502 | \$ 1,439 | \$ 59,781 |

Other intangible assets of \$57.9 million, net of accumulated amortization, related to the home-based services segment and \$1.8 million related to the facility-based services segment as of June 30, 2011.

5. Stockholders' Equity*Equity Based Awards*

At the 2010 Annual Meeting, the stockholders of the Company approved the Company's 2010 Long Term Incentive Plan (the 2010 Incentive Plan). The 2010 Incentive Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors. The Company has 1,500,000 shares of the Company's common stock reserved and available for issuance pursuant to awards granted under the 2010 Incentive Plan. A variety of discretionary awards for employees, officers, directors and consultants are authorized under the 2010 Incentive Plan, including incentive or non-qualified statutory stock options and nonvested stock. All awards must be evidenced by a written award certificate which will include the provisions specified by the compensation committee of the board of directors. The Compensation Committee will determine the exercise price for non-statutory stock options. The exercise price for any option cannot be less than the fair market value of our common stock as of the date of grant.

Share Based Compensation*Nonvested Stock*

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, 15,200 nonvested shares of stock were granted to our independent directors under the 2005 Director Compensation Plan. The shares issued under our 2005 Director Compensation Plan were drawn from the 1,500,000 shares reserved and available for issuance under our 2010 Incentive Plan. The shares vest 100% on the one year anniversary date. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, 132,470 nonvested shares were granted to employees pursuant to the 2010 Incentive Plan. The shares generally vest over a five year period, conditioned on continued employment for the full incentive period. The fair value of nonvested shares is determined based on the closing trading price of the Company's shares on the grant date. The weighted average grant date fair value of nonvested shares granted during the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$27.21.

The following table represents the nonvested stock activity for the six months ended June 30, 2011:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted average grant date fair value |
|---|---------------------|--|
| Nonvested shares outstanding at December 31, 2010 | 502,304 | \$ 23.79 |
| Granted | 147,670 | 27.21 |
| Vested | (134,804) | \$ 23.77 |
| Nonvested shares outstanding at June 30, 2011 | 515,170 | |

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2011, there was \$10.6 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested shares granted. That cost is expected to be recognized over the weighted average period of 3.4 years. The total fair value of shares vested during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$3.2 million and \$2.3 million, respectively. The Company records compensation expense related to nonvested share awards at the grant date for shares that are awarded fully vested, and over the vesting term on a straight line basis for shares that vest over time. The Company recorded \$2.0 million and \$1.9 million of compensation expense related to nonvested stock grants in the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The Company has a plan whereby eligible employees may purchase the Company's common stock at 95% of the market price on the last day of the calendar quarter. There were 250,000 shares reserved for the plan. The table below details the shares issued during 2011.

| | Number of Shares | Per share price |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Shares available as of January 1, 2010 | 149,723 | |
| Shares issued during three months ended March 31, 2011 | 8,043 | \$ 28.50 |
| Shares issued during three months ended June 30, 2011 | 6,836 | \$ 28.50 |
| Shares available as of June 30, 2011 | 134,844 | |

Stock Options

As of June 30, 2011 15,000 options were issued and exercisable. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, no options were exercised or forfeited and no options were granted.

Treasury Stock

In conjunction with the vesting of the non-vested shares of stock, recipients incur personal income tax obligations. The Company allows the recipients to turn in shares of common stock to satisfy those personal tax obligations. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company redeemed 36,536 shares of common stock valued at \$1.1 million, related to these tax obligations.

Stock Repurchase Program

In October 2010, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program to repurchase shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, from time to time, in an amount not to exceed \$50.0 million ("Stock Repurchase Program"). The Company anticipates that it will finance the Stock Repurchase Program with cash from general corporate funds, or draws under the Company's Credit Facility. The Company may repurchase shares of common stock in open market purchases or in privately negotiated transactions in accordance with applicable securities laws, rules and regulations. The timing and extent to which the Company repurchases its shares will depend upon market conditions and other corporate considerations.

The Company uses the cost method to account for the repurchase of common stock and the average cost method to account for reissuance of treasury shares. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company repurchased 24,159 shares of common stock at an aggregate cost of \$577,000, including commissions, or an average cost per share of \$23.93. The remaining dollar value of shares authorized to be purchased under the share repurchase program is \$49.4 million at June 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

6. Commitments and Contingencies

Contingencies

The Company is involved in various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. Although the results of litigation cannot be predicted with certainty, management believes the outcome of pending litigation will not have a material adverse effect, after considering the effect of the Company's insurance coverage, on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

On May 12, 2010, the Company received a letter from the United States Senate Finance Committee in response to an April 26, 2010 article in *The Wall Street Journal* entitled "Home Care Yields Medicare Bounty." The letter from the Senate Finance Committee asked the Company to provide documents and data related to the issues referenced in *The Wall Street Journal* article. On June 25, 2010, the Company completed its response to the Senate Finance Committee's letter and intends to fully cooperate with their inquiry. At this time, the Company is unable to predict the timing and outcome of this matter.

On July 16, 2010, the Company received a subpoena from the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) that included a request for documents related to the Company's participation in the Medicare Home Health Prospective Payment System, as well as the documents and information produced in response to the Senate Finance Committee's investigation set forth above. The Company produced the documents requested by the initial subpoena, produced additional documents requested by the SEC as part of its review, and continues to cooperate with the SEC's review. The Company cannot predict the outcome or effect of this investigation, if any, on the Company's business.

On July 13, 2009, the Company reported an administrative subpoena from the Inspector General of the Office of Personnel Management (OPM). OPM is an administrative agency responsible for overseeing the Federal Employees Health Benefit Program (FEHBP). Although the subpoena was issued by OPM, the Company learned on July 9, 2009 that the scope of the review is not limited to the FEHBP, but also extends to services provided to Medicare beneficiaries and is accordingly under the jurisdiction of the Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General (OIG). At this time, the Company understands the basis of this investigation is the result of a *qui tam* complaint under the federal false claims act, which is currently under seal, filed with the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana. The investigation is being performed by the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) and centers on the results of quality reviews performed by a sub contracted third party between 2005 and 2008 and the Company's response to the results of those quality reviews. During the three month period ended June 30, 2011, the Company engaged consultants to assist the Company in responding to the DOJ's investigation. Although the Company and its consultants are continuing to review the medical records at issue in the investigation, the issues raised by the government are complex and there can be no assurance that it will agree with our analysis. Although, the Company cannot predict the outcome of this investigation, it is reasonably possible the outcome could be material to the Company's operations and results. No range of potential loss can be reasonably estimated at this time and no reserve has been recorded. The Company will continue to cooperate with the government and provide responsive information related to this investigation and when appropriate, the Company will continue to engage experts to assist in the Company's response to the investigation.

On April 14, 2009, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K regarding a *qui tam* lawsuit filed in Tennessee captioned *United States of America ex rel Sally Christine Summers v. LHC Group, Inc.* which alleged violation of the False Claims Act at a single agency. On June 11, 2009, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K, reporting the district court's order dismissing the case. As previously reported, the plaintiff appealed the district court's dismissal, and the appeal was argued in June 2010. On October 4, 2010, the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit issued an opinion upholding the district court's dismissal of the case.

Table of Contents

On December 21, 2010, the plaintiff filed a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari with the United States Supreme Court. On February 28, 2011, the United States Supreme Court invited the Solicitor General of the United States the opportunity to submit a brief in this case. On June 27, 2011, the United States Supreme Court denied the plaintiff's petition for a Writ of Certiorari.

Except as discussed above, the Company is not aware of any pending or threatened investigations involving allegations of potential wrongdoing.

Joint Venture Buy/Sell Provisions

Several of the Company's joint ventures include a buy/sell option that grants to the Company and its joint venture partners the right to require the other joint venture party to either purchase all of the exercising member's membership interests or sell to the exercising member all of the non-exercising member's membership interest, at the non-exercising member's option, within 30 days of the receipt of notice of the exercise of the buy/sell option. In some instances, the purchase price is based on a multiple of the historical or future earnings before income taxes and depreciation and amortization of the equity joint venture at the time the buy/sell option is exercised. In other instances, the buy/sell purchase price will be negotiated by the partners and subject to a fair market valuation process. The Company has not received notice from any joint venture partners of their intent to exercise the terms of the buy/sell agreement nor has the Company notified any joint venture partners of its intent to exercise the terms of the buy/sell agreement.

Compliance

The laws and regulations governing the Company's operations, along with the terms of participation in various government programs, regulate how the Company does business, the services offered and its interactions with patients and the public. These laws and regulations and their interpretations, are subject to frequent change. Changes in existing laws or regulations, or their interpretations, or the enactment of new laws or regulations could materially and adversely affect the Company's operations and financial condition.

The Company is subject to various routine and non-routine governmental reviews, audits and investigations. In recent years, federal and state civil and criminal enforcement agencies have heightened and coordinated their oversight efforts related to the health care industry, including referral practices, cost reporting, billing practices, joint ventures and other financial relationships among health care providers. Violation of the laws governing the Company's operations, or changes in the interpretation of those laws, could result in the imposition of fines, civil or criminal penalties, and/or termination of the Company's rights to participate in federal and state-sponsored programs and suspension or revocation of the Company's licenses. The Company believes that it is in material compliance with all applicable laws and regulations.

7. Noncontrolling interest

Noncontrolling Interest-Redeemable

A majority of the Company's joint venture agreements include a provision that requires the Company to purchase the noncontrolling partner's interest upon the occurrence of certain triggering events, such as death or bankruptcy of the partner or the partner's exclusion from the Medicare or Medicaid programs. These triggering events and the related repurchase provisions are specific to each individual joint venture; if the repurchase provision is triggered in any one joint venture, the remaining joint ventures would not be impacted. Upon the occurrence of a triggering event, the Company would be required to purchase the noncontrolling partner's interest at either the fair value or the book value at the time of purchase as stated in the agreement. Historically, no triggering event has occurred, and the Company believes the likelihood of a triggering event occurring is remote. The Company has never been required to purchase the noncontrolling interest of any of its joint venture partners. According to authoritative guidance, redeemable noncontrolling interests must be reported outside of permanent equity on the consolidated balance sheet in instances where there is a repurchase provision with a triggering event that is outside the control of the Company.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the activity of noncontrolling interest-redeemable for the six months ended June 30, 2011 (amounts in thousands):

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2010 | \$ 13,535 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest-redeemable | 4,897 |
| Noncontrolling interest-redeemable distributions | (5,858) |
| Balance at June 30, 2011 | 12,574 |

8. Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts

The following table summarizes the activity and ending balances in the allowance for uncollectible accounts:

| | Beginning of Year Balance | Additions and Expenses | Deductions | End of Period Balance |
|------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| At June 30, 2011 | \$ 9,769 | \$ 5,704 | \$ 5,941 | \$ 9,532 |

9. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of the Company's cash, receivables, accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate their fair values because of their short maturity.

10. Segment Information

The Company's segments consist of home-based services and facility-based services. Home-based services include home nursing services and hospice services. Facility-based services include long-term acute care services. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies.

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|------------|
| | Home- Based Services | Facility- Based Services (in thousands) | Total |
| Net service revenue | \$ 141,984 | \$ 19,031 | \$ 161,015 |
| Cost of service revenue | 74,733 | 11,483 | 86,216 |
| Provision for bad debts | 2,998 | 145 | 3,143 |
| General and administrative expenses | 47,456 | 4,698 | 52,154 |
| Operating income | 16,797 | 2,705 | 19,502 |
| Interest expense | (175) | (20) | (195) |
| Non-operating income (loss) | (9) | 13 | 4 |
| Income before income taxes and noncontrolling interest | 16,613 | 2,698 | 19,311 |
| Income tax expense | 5,917 | 632 | 6,549 |
| Net Income | 10,696 | 2,066 | 12,762 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 2,687 | 287 | 2,974 |
| Net Income attributable to LHC Group, Inc. | 8,009 | 1,779 | 9,788 |

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|--------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Total assets | \$ 342,608 | \$ 35,118 | \$ 377,726 |
|--------------|------------|-----------|------------|

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | |
|--|---|--|---------------|
| | Home- Based Services | Facility- Based Services (in thousands) | Total |
| Net service revenue | \$ 135,738 | \$ 17,904 | \$ 153,642 |
| Cost of service revenue | 68,685 | 10,052 | 78,737 |
| Provision for bad debts | 1,337 | 205 | 1,542 |
| General and administrative expenses | 45,341 | 4,345 | 49,686 |
| Operating income | 20,375 | 3,302 | 23,677 |
| Interest expense | (23) | (2) | (25) |
| Non-operating income | 584 | 9 | 593 |
| Income before income taxes and noncontrolling interest | 20,936 | 3,309 | 24,245 |
| Income tax expense | 7,221 | 758 | 7,979 |
| Net Income | 13,715 | 2,551 | 16,266 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 3,417 | 456 | 3,873 |
| Net Income attributable to LHC Group, Inc. | \$ 10,298 | \$ 2,095 | \$ 12,393 |
| Total assets | \$ 296,462 | \$ 34,736 | \$ 331,198 |

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|---------------|
| | Home- Based Services | Facility- Based Services (in thousands) | Total |
| Net service revenue | \$ 283,785 | \$ 39,013 | \$ 322,798 |
| Cost of service revenue | 151,823 | 23,349 | 175,172 |
| Provision for bad debts | 5,406 | 298 | 5,704 |
| General and administrative expenses | 97,520 | 9,675 | 107,195 |
| Operating income | 29,036 | 5,691 | 34,747 |
| Interest expense | (261) | (29) | (290) |
| Non-operating income | 142 | 35 | 177 |
| Income before income taxes and noncontrolling interest | 28,917 | 5,697 | 34,614 |
| Income tax expense | 10,594 | 1,116 | 11,710 |
| Net Income | 18,323 | 4,581 | 22,904 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 4,782 | 640 | 5,422 |
| Net Income attributable to LHC Group, Inc.'s common stockholders | 13,541 | 3,941 | 17,482 |
| Total assets | \$ 342,608 | \$ 35,118 | \$ 377,726 |

Table of Contents

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|---------------|
| | Home- Based Services | Facility- Based Services (in thousands) | Total |
| Net service revenue | \$ 263,694 | \$ 35,109 | \$ 298,803 |
| Cost of service revenue | 132,586 | 20,139 | 152,725 |
| Provision for bad debts | 3,291 | 309 | 3,600 |
| General and administrative expenses | 86,834 | 8,619 | 95,453 |
| Operating income | 40,983 | 6,042 | 47,025 |
| Interest expense | (45) | (5) | (50) |
| Non-operating income (loss) | 625 | (3) | 622 |
| Income before income taxes and noncontrolling interest | 41,563 | 6,034 | 47,597 |
| Income tax expense | 13,980 | 1,509 | 15,489 |
| Net Income | 27,583 | 4,525 | 32,108 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 7,184 | 908 | 8,092 |
| Net Income attributable to LHC Group, Inc.'s common stockholders | \$ 20,399 | \$ 3,617 | \$ 24,016 |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interest | | 41 | 41 |
| Net income available to LHC Group, Inc.'s common stockholders | \$ 20,399 | \$ 3,658 | \$ 24,057 |
| Total assets | \$ 296,462 | \$ 34,736 | \$ 331,198 |

Table of Contents

**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.
CAUTIONARY NOTICE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains certain statements and information that may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1993, as amended and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Forward-looking statements relate to future plans and strategies, anticipated events or trends, future financial performance and expectations and beliefs concerning matters that are not historical facts or that necessarily depend upon future events. The words may, will, should, could, would, expect, plan, intend, anticipate, believe, estimate, potential or other similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Specifically, this report contains, among others, forward-looking statements about:

our expectations regarding financial condition or results of operations for periods after June 30, 2011;

our critical accounting policies;

our participation in the Medicare and Medicaid programs;

the impact of healthcare reform;

the reimbursement levels of Medicare and other third-party payors;

the prompt receipt of payments from Medicare and other third-party payors;

the outcomes of various routine and non-routine governmental reviews, audits and investigations;

the impact of legal proceedings;

our compliance with health care laws and regulations;

our compliance with Securities and Exchange Commission laws and regulations and Sarbanes-Oxley requirements;

the impact of federal and state government regulation on our business; and

the impact of changes in our future interpretations of fraud, anti-kickback or other laws.

The forward-looking statements contained in this report reflect our current views about future events and are based on assumptions and are subject to known and unknown risks and uncertainties. Many important factors could cause actual results or achievements to differ materially from any future results or achievements expressed in or implied by our forward-looking statements. Many of the factors that will determine future events or achievements are beyond our ability to control or predict. Important factors that could cause actual results or achievements to differ materially from the results or achievements reflected in our forward-looking statements include, among other things, the factors discussed in the Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors, included in this report and in other of our filings with the SEC, including our annual report on Form 10-K

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-Q

for the year ended December 31, 2010. This report should be read in conjunction with that annual report on Form 10-K, and all our other filings, including quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K made with the SEC through the date of this report.

You should read this report, the information incorporated by reference into this report and the documents filed as exhibits to this report completely and with the understanding that our actual future results or achievements may be materially different from what we expect or anticipate.

The forward-looking statements contained in this report reflect our views and assumptions only as of the date this report is signed. Except as required by law, we assume no responsibility for updating any forward-looking statements.

Table of Contents

We qualify all of our forward-looking statements by these cautionary statements. In addition, with respect to all of our forward-looking statements, we claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Unless the context otherwise requires, we, us, our, and the Company refer to LHC Group, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

OVERVIEW

We provide post-acute health care services by providing quality cost-effective health care services to our patients. As of June 30, 2011, we had 315 service providers in 19 states: Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Florida, Idaho, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, Washington and West Virginia. Our services are classified into two segments: (1) home-based services offered through our home nursing agencies and hospices; and (2) facility-based services offered through our long-term acute care hospitals (LTACHs).

Through our home-based services segment we offer a wide range of services, including skilled nursing, private duty nursing, medically-oriented social services, hospice care and physical, occupational and speech therapy. As of June 30, 2011, the home-based services segment was comprised of the following:

| Type of Service | Locations |
|----------------------|-----------|
| Home Health | 260 |
| Hospice | 32 |
| Private Duty | 4 |
| Specialty Services | 3 |
| Management Companies | 4 |
| | 303 |

Of our 303 home-based services locations, 161 are wholly-owned by us, 130 are majority-owned by us through joint ventures, eight are license lease arrangements and we manage the operations of the remaining four locations. We intend to increase the number of home nursing agencies and hospice locations that we operate through continued acquisitions and development.

We provide facility-based services through our LTACHs. As of June 30, 2011, we owned and operated nine LTACH locations, of which all but one are located within host hospitals. We also owned and operated one medical equipment location, a health club and a pharmacy. Of these 12 facility-based services locations, seven are wholly-owned by us and five are majority-owned through joint ventures. In March 2011, we terminated our management agreement with our inpatient rehabilitation facility.

The percentage of net service revenue contributed from each reporting segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Home-based services | 88.2% | 88.3% | 87.9% | 88.3% |
| Facility-based services | 11.8% | 11.7% | 12.1% | 11.7% |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Table of Contents

Recent Developments

Home-Based Services

Home Nursing. In March 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act was enacted and was amended shortly afterwards by the Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act of 2010 (collectively referred to as the Affordable Care Act). The Affordable Care Act makes a number of changes to Medicare payment rates, including the reinstatement of the 3% home health rural add-on, which began on April 1, 2010 (expiring January 1, 2016). Other changes from the Affordable Care Act that began on or after January 1, 2011 are:

a reduction in the market basket adjustment to be determined by The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) for the calendar years 2011, 2012 and 2013 by 1%;

a full productivity adjustment beginning in 2015; and

rebasings of the base payment rate for Medicare beginning in 2014 and phasing in over a four year period the amount of the rebasing is uncertain at this time.

On November 2, 2010, CMS issued the final rule covering payment rates for home health services in calendar year (CY) 2011. CMS set the base payment rate for Medicare home nursing at \$2,192.07 per 60-day episode for CY 2011, a decrease of 5.2% from the CY 2010 base payment rate of \$2,312.94. The decrease for CY 2011 includes the following adjustments to the base rate, as compared to the CY 2010 base rate, in accordance with the Affordable Care Act: (1) a reduction of 1% to the 2.1% inflation update increase to the market basket; (2) a 3.79% case-mix weight adjustment decrease; and (3) a shift of the outlier payment allowance beginning in 2011 that will result in a one-time 2.5% reduction to the base payment rate. These changes are effective for all episodes completed during 2011. Accordingly, all episodes in progress at December 31, 2010 were impacted.

The CMS final rule also finalized two provisions of the Affordable Care Act: (1) a face-to-face encounter requirement for home health and hospice; and (2) changes in the therapy assessment schedule. As a condition for Medicare payment, the Affordable Care Act mandates that prior to certifying a patient's eligibility for home health services, the certifying physician must document that he or she, or a non-physician practitioner that meets the requirements of the rule, has had a face-to-face encounter with the patient that relates to the condition for which the patient receives home health services. The encounter must occur within 90 days prior to the start of care or 30 days after the start of care. Documentation regarding these encounters must be present on certifications. The face-to-face encounter requirement for home health providers was to become effective January 1, 2011. However, due to concerns that some providers may need additional time to establish operational protocols necessary to comply with these requirements, CMS delayed full enforcement of the requirements until April 1, 2011. Beginning on April 1, CMS expected home health agencies to have fully established such internal processes and have appropriate documentation of the required face-to-face encounters. See below for a description of the hospice face-to-face encounter requirements.

In addition to the face-to-face encounter requirements, the CMS final rule made important changes to therapy assessment requirements. A professional qualified therapist assessment must take place at least once every 30 days during a therapy patient's course of treatment. For those eligible patients needing 13 or 19 therapy visits, a qualified therapist must perform the therapy service required, assess the patient, and measure and document effectiveness of the 13th visit and the 19th visit for all therapy disciplines caring for the patient. As with the face-to-face requirements, CMS delayed the effective date for all therapy provisions until April 1, 2011 to allow time for home health agencies to take necessary steps to comply.

On July 6, 2011, CMS issued the Medicare home health reimbursement proposal for FY 2012, which would reduce the national standardized episodic payment rate to \$2,112.37 from the current \$2,192.07, a 3.56% reduction. The reduction includes a 1.5% market basket increase that became effective subsequent to the 1.0% reduction that was mandated by the Affordable Care Act and a 5.06% coding creep reduction. CMS is proposing

Table of Contents

additional flexibility to the face-to-face requirements, allowing physicians who attended the patient in acute or post-acute settings to inform the certifying physician of their encounter with the patient. CMS also proposes, through a budget neutral process, to remove two hypertension codes from the case-mix system and also to lower payments for high therapy episodes, but would recalibrate all the case-mix weights to ensure that the total aggregate payments remain the same. The proposed rule is subject to the standard 60-day open comment period with a final rule expected to be published in late October or early November, 2011.

Hospice. The following table shows the hospice Medicare payment rates for Fiscal Year (FY) 2011, which began on October 1, 2010 and ends September 30, 2011:

| Description | Rate per patient day |
|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Routine Home Care | \$ 146.63 |
| Continuous Home Care | \$ 855.79 |
| Full Rate = 24 hours of care | |
| \$35.66 = hourly rate | |
| Inpatient Respite Care | \$ 151.67 |
| General Inpatient Care | \$ 652.27 |

As mentioned above, the CMS final rule, published on November 2, 2010, also finalized a face-to-face encounter requirement applicable to hospice. This requirement mandated that a physician or nurse practitioner must have a face-to-face encounter with the patient no later than the 30 day period prior to the 180th-day recertification (third benefit period) and each subsequent recertification in order to gather clinical findings that support continued hospice care, and that the certifying hospice physician must attest that such a visit took place. As with the home health face-to-face encounter requirement, CMS delayed full enforcement of the hospice face-to-face requirements until April 1, 2011.

On July 29, 2011, CMS issued its final rule for hospice for FY 2012 which increases Medicare reimbursement payments by 2.5%. The 2.5% increase consists of a 3.0% inflationary market basket update offset by a 0.5% reduction for the third year of CMS seven-year phase-out of its wage index budget neutrality adjustment factor. The final rule also will:

Change the way CMS counts hospice patients for the 2012 cap accounting year and beyond. The final policy for counting the number of Medicare hospice beneficiaries in care for a given cap year calculates the cap based on the number of days of care the patient received in that cap year for each hospice. This rule also finalized that the new counting method be applied to past cap years in certain instances.

Allow hospice providers who do not want a change in their patient counting method to elect to continue using the current method.

Allow any hospice physician to perform the face-to-face encounter regardless of whether that same physician recertifies the patient's terminal illness and composes the recertification narrative.

Implement a hospice quality reporting program, which includes a timeframe for reporting, as required by section 3004 of the Affordable Care Act. The measures that are being adopted in this final rule for the FY 2014 program are one measure endorsed by the National Quality Forum related to pain management and one structural measure that assesses whether a hospice administers a Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement (QAPI) program that contains at least three indicators related to patient care.

The final rule will take effect on October 1, 2011.

Facility-Based Services

LTACHs. On July 30, 2010, CMS issued a final rule establishing FY 2011 policies and payment rates for inpatient services furnished to Medicare beneficiaries by acute care and long term care hospitals (LTACHs). The

Table of Contents

federal standard rate for 2011 LTACH-PPS rate year (RY), which began October 1, 2010 and ends September 30, 2011, is \$39,600 per Medicare discharge and the high cost outlier threshold is \$18,785. This is a decrease of 0.8% from the RY 2010 standard rate of \$39,896 and an increase of 1.9% from the RY 2010 high cost outlier threshold of \$18,425. Pursuant to the final rule, CMS also updated LTACH rates by increasing the market basket by 2.5%, but reducing the inflation update by 0.5% as required by the Affordable Care Act. Further, CMS applied an adjustment of negative 2.5% to the LTACH standard payment rate to account for the estimated increase in spending in FYs 2008 and 2009 due to documentation and coding that did not reflect increases in patients' severity of illness. CMS estimated that aggregate payments to LTACHs would increase by approximately 0.5%, taking into account all provisions in the final rule that would affect spending.

On August 1, 2011, CMS released its rule for LTACH Medicare reimbursement for FY 2012, which spans from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012. In aggregate, payments for FY 2012 will increase 2.5% from FY 2011. Included in the final regulations is (1) a 2.9% market basket increase to the standard payment rate; (2) an aggregate reduction in the standard payment rate of 1.1% mandated by the Affordable Care Act; and (3) a reduction in the high cost outlier threshold per discharge from \$18,785 in FY 2011 to \$17,931 in FY 2012. The final rule would result in a 1.8% increase in average Medicare payments to LTACHs. Some of the other changes in the final rule include:

Three quality measures to begin reporting October 1, 2012 and will affect payment in FY 2014.

Clarification that the 25-day ALOS calculation includes both traditional Medicare Fee-For-Service and Medicare Advantage stays but this calculation will begin January 1, 2012.

The final rule will take effect on October 1, 2011.

Results of Operations**Consolidated**

The following table summarizes our consolidated results of operations (amounts in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | | 2011 | 2010 | | | |
| Net service revenue | \$ 161,015 | | \$ 153,642 | | \$ 322,798 | | \$ 298,803 | |
| Cost of services | 86,216 | 53.5%(1) | 78,737 | 51.2%(1) | 175,172 | 54.3%(1) | 152,725 | 51.1%(1) |
| General and administrative expenses | 52,154 | 32.4%(1) | 49,686 | 32.3%(1) | 107,195 | 33.2%(1) | 95,453 | 31.9%(1) |
| Provision for bad debt | 3,143 | 2.0%(1) | 1,542 | 1.0%(1) | 5,704 | 1.8%(1) | 3,600 | 1.2%(1) |
| Income tax expense | 6,549 | 40.1%(2) | 7,979 | 39.2%(2) | 11,710 | 40.1%(2) | 15,489 | 39.2%(2) |
| Noncontrolling interest | 2,974 | | 3,873 | | 5,422 | | 8,092 | |
| Total non-operating income (expense) | (191) | | 568 | | (113) | | 572 | |
| Net income attributable to LHC Group, Inc. | \$ 9,788 | | \$ 12,393 | | \$ 17,482 | | \$ 24,016 | |

(1) Percentage of consolidated net service revenue

(2) Percentage of income from continuing operations attributable to LHC Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Net Service Revenue

Consolidated net service revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was \$161.0 million, an increase of \$7.4 million, or 4.8%, from \$153.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010.

Home-Based Services. Net service revenue for home-based services for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was \$142.0 million, an increase of \$6.3 million, or 4.6%, from \$135.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Total admissions increased 11.8% to 25,980 during the current period, compared to 23,233 for the same period in 2010. Average home-based patient census for the three months ended June 30, 2011 increased 9.2% to 34,867 patients as compared to 31,941 patients for the three months ended June 30, 2010.

Net service revenue for home-based services for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$283.8 million, an increase of \$20.1 million, or 7.6%, from \$263.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Total admissions increased 16.2% to 53,093 during the current period, versus 45,703 for the same period in 2010. Average home-based patient census for the six months ended June 30, 2011, increased 10.7% to 35,002 patients as compared to 31,611 patients for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Organic growth for total admissions was 5.1% compared to 2.3% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Organic growth is generated by population growth in areas covered by mature agencies, agencies five years old or older, and by increased market share in acquired and developing agencies. Historically, acquired agencies have the highest growth in admissions and average census in the first 24 months after acquisition, and have the highest contribution to organic growth, measured as a percentage, in the second full year of operation after the acquisition.

The primary strategies to increase organic growth include differentiating ourselves from our competitors through our care services and quality outcomes, focusing our sales efforts on our agencies, in particular agencies acquired in the last three years, which have not fully developed their coverage in secondary markets and developing Greenfield opportunities. Greenfield opportunities exist in secondary markets with three service delivery alternatives:

1. Traditional branch or denovo locations;
2. Drop site or virtual office; or
3. Utilizing Point of Care technology.

These strategies align with our goal of being the leading provider of home health services in all licensed coverage areas.

As detailed in the tables below, total organic home-based revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2011, decreased 0.8% compared to the three months ended June 30, 2010, organic Medicare revenue decreased 3.0%. The primary cause for the decrease in organic revenue in the home-based segment was the CMS rule for 2011, which reduced Home Health Medicare rates by 5.2%. Patient acuity also decreased by 3% during the three months ended June 30, 2011. This reduction was offset by growth in home health commercial revenue and in Hospice net service revenue.

Table of Contents**Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 (in thousands except census and episode data)**

| | Same Store(1) | De Novo(2) | Organic(3) | Organic Growth % | Acquired(4) | Total | Total Growth % |
|-------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------------|-------------|------------|----------------|
| Revenue | \$ 134,050 | \$ 539 | \$ 134,589 | (0.8)% | \$ 7,395 | \$ 141,984 | 4.6% |
| Revenue Medicare | \$ 108,194 | \$ 455 | \$ 108,649 | (3.0)% | \$ 6,110 | \$ 114,759 | 2.5% |
| New Admissions | 24,273 | 135 | 24,408 | 5.1% | 1,572 | 25,980 | 11.8% |
| New Medicare Admissions | 17,186 | 104 | 17,290 | 1.7% | 1,093 | 18,383 | 8.1% |
| Average Census | 33,293 | 202 | 33,495 | 4.9% | 1,372 | 34,867 | 9.2% |
| Average Medicare Census | 25,815 | 173 | 25,988 | 2.0% | 1,058 | 27,046 | 6.1% |
| Episodes | 42,840 | 184 | 43,024 | 6.2% | 1,517 | 44,541 | 10.0% |

- (1) Same store location that has been in service with the Company for greater than 12 months.
(2) De Novo internally developed location that has been in service with the Company for 12 months or less.
(3) Organic combination of same store and de novo.
(4) Acquired purchased location that has been in service with the Company for 12 months or less.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 (in thousands except census and episode data)

| | Same Store(1) | De Novo(2) | Organic(3) | Organic Growth % | Acquired(4) | Total | Total Growth % |
|-------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------------|-------------|------------|----------------|
| Revenue | \$ 269,261 | \$ 1,097 | \$ 270,358 | 2.5% | \$ 13,427 | \$ 283,785 | 7.6% |
| Revenue Medicare | \$ 217,133 | \$ 938 | \$ 218,071 | 0% | \$ 10,945 | \$ 229,016 | 5.1% |
| New Admissions | 49,831 | 277 | 50,108 | 9.6% | 2,985 | 53,093 | 16.2% |
| New Medicare Admissions | 35,530 | 205 | 35,735 | 5.8% | 2,079 | 37,814 | 12.0% |
| Average Census | 33,498 | 187 | 33,685 | 6.6% | 1,317 | 35,002 | 10.7% |
| Average Medicare Census | 25,981 | 158 | 26,139 | 3.2% | 990 | 27,129 | 7.1% |
| Episodes | 83,525 | 474 | 83,999 | 6.0% | 2,608 | 86,607 | 9.3% |

- (1) Same store location that has been in service with the Company for greater than 12 months.
(2) De Novo internally developed location that has been in service with the Company for 12 months or less.
(3) Organic combination of same store and de novo.
(4) Acquired purchased location that has been in service with the Company for 12 months or less.

Facility-Based Services. Net service revenue for facility-based services for the three months ended June 30, 2011, was \$19.0 million, an increase of \$1.1 million, or 6.1%, from \$17.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010.

Net service revenue for the facility-based services for the six months ended June 30, 2011, was \$39.0 million, an increase of \$3.9 million, or 11.1%, from \$35.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, the facility based services experienced a decrease in occupancy and a decrease in patient acuity resulting in a decrease in net service revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease in net service revenue, however, was offset by \$6.0 million of additional revenue from an LTACH acquired in June of 2010.

Cost of Service Revenue

Cost of service revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was \$86.2 million, an increase of \$7.5 million, or 9.5%, from \$78.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Cost of service revenue represented approximately 53.5% and 51.2% of net service revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Cost of service revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$175.2, an increase of \$22.5 million, or 14.7%, from \$152.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Cost of service revenue represented approximately 54.3% and 51.1% of net service revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

Because net service revenue in the home-based services segment was reduced by the 5.2% CMS rate cut during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, cost of service revenue as a percentage of net service revenue increased.

Home-Based Services. Cost of home-based service revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was \$74.7 million, an increase of \$6.0 million, or 8.7%, from \$68.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Cost of home-based service revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$151.8 million, an increase of \$19.2 million, or 14.5%, from \$132.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

The following table summarizes Home-Based Services cost of service revenue (amounts in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | Six months Ended June 30, | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|------------------------------|----------|------------|----------|
| | 2011 | | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2010 | |
| Salaries, wages and benefits | \$ 64,395 | 45.4%(1) | \$ 59,427 | 43.8%(1) | \$ 131,425 | 46.3%(1) | \$ 115,068 | 43.6%(1) |
| Transportation | 6,013 | 4.2% | 4,845 | 3.6% | 11,493 | 4.0% | 9,316 | 3.5% |
| Supplies and services | 4,325 | 3.0% | 4,413 | 3.3% | 8,905 | 3.1% | 8,202 | 3.1% |
| | 74,733 | 52.5% | \$ 68,685 | 50.6% | \$ 151,823 | 53.5% | \$ 132,586 | 50.3% |

(1) Percentage of home-based net service revenue

Facility-Based Services. Cost of facility-based service revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was \$11.5 million, an increase of \$1.4 million, or 13.9%, from \$10.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Cost of service revenue as a percentage of facility-based services revenue was 60.3% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 56.1% for the three months ended June 30, 2010.

Cost of facility-based service revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$23.3 million, an increase of \$3.2 million, or 15.9%, from \$20.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Cost of service revenue as a percentage of facility-based services revenue was 59.8% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 57.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

The increase in cost of service revenue as a percentage of net service revenue for the periods ending June 30, 2011 compared to the same periods ending June 30, 2010 relates to an increase in pharmaceutical cost and usage at our LTACH locations.

The following table summarizes Facility-Based Services cost of service revenue (amounts in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | Six months Ended June 30, | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | 2011 | | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2010 | |
| Salaries, wages and benefits | \$ 6,572 | 34.5%(1) | \$ 6,149 | 34.3%(1) | \$ 13,354 | 34.2%(1) | \$ 12,290 | 35.0%(1) |
| Transportation | 53 | 0.3% | 35 | 0.2% | 90 | 0.2% | 67 | 0.2% |
| Supplies and services | 4,858 | 25.5% | 3,868 | 21.6% | 9,905 | 25.4% | 7,782 | 22.2% |
| | \$ 11,483 | 60.3% | \$ 10,052 | 56.1% | \$ 23,349 | 59.8% | \$ 20,139 | 57.4% |

(1) Percentage of facility-based net service revenue

Provision for Bad Debts

Provision for bad debts for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was \$3.1 million, an increase of \$1.6 million, from \$1.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. For the three months ended June 30, 2011, the provision for bad debts as a percentage of net service revenue was

2.0% compared to 1.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

Provision for bad debts for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$5.7 million, an increase of \$2.1 million, from \$3.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the provision for bad debts as a percentage of net service revenue was 1.8% compared to 1.2% for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

The increase in provision for bad debt as a percentage of net service revenue was caused by the increase in commercial receivables both in dollars and as a percentage of total receivables. Commercial claims are not collected as efficiently as Medicare or Medicaid claims, and as the Company's commercial payor revenue increases, these claims will continue to increase in significance in the aging of accounts receivables.

General and Administrative Expenses

Our general and administrative expenses consist primarily of the following expenses incurred by our home office and administrative field personnel:

Home office and field administration:

salaries and related benefits;

insurance;

costs associated with advertising and other marketing activities; and

rent and utilities;

Supplies and services:

accounting, legal and other professional services; and

office supplies;

Depreciation; and

Other:

advertising and marketing expenses;

recruitment;

operating locations rent; and

taxes.

General and administrative expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2011 were \$52.2 million, an increase of \$2.5 million or 5.0%, compared to \$49.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. General and administrative expenses as a percent of net service revenue were 32.4% and 32.3% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

General and administrative expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2011 were \$107.2, an increase of \$11.7 million or 12.3%, compared to \$95.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. General and administrative expenses as a percent of net service revenue were 33.2% and 31.9% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Home-Based Services. General and administrative expenses in the home-based services for the three months ended June 30, 2011 were \$47.5 million, an increase of \$2.2 million or 4.9% from \$45.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Included in General and administrative expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2011 were \$930,000 in legal and consulting costs associated with our ongoing investigations. See Part I Item 1. Condensed Financial Statements, Footnote 6 *Commitments and Contingencies* for additional information regarding these investigations. General and administrative expenses as a percent of net service revenue remained consistent between the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 at 33.4%.

Table of Contents

General and administrative expenses in the home-based services for the six months ended June 30, 2011 were \$97.5 million, an increase of \$10.7 million or 12.3% from \$86.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Included in General and administrative expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2011 were \$1.1 million in legal and consulting costs associated with our ongoing investigations. See Part I Item 1. Condensed Financial Statements, Footnote 6 *Commitments and Contingencies* for additional information regarding these investigations. General and administrative expenses in the home-based segment represented approximately 34.4% and 32.9% of net service revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

During the first quarter of 2011 we incurred expenses related to training clinicians on the new face-to-face requirements of the CMS regulations, billing system conversion costs and severance costs which increased G&A costs in that quarter and in the six month period ended June 30, 2011 compared to the prior year.

Facility-Based Services. General and administrative expenses in the facility-based services for the three months ended June 30, 2011 were \$4.7 million, an increase of \$400,000 or 9.3% from \$4.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. General and administrative expenses in the facility-based services segment as a percentage of net service revenue were 24.7% and 24.3% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

General and administrative expenses in the facility-based services for the six months ended June 30, 2011 were \$9.7 million, an increase of \$1.1 million or 12.8% from \$8.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. General and administrative expenses in the facility-based services segment as a percentage of net service revenue were 24.8% and 24.5% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Income Tax Expense

The effective tax rates for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were 40.1% and 39.2% of income from continuing operations attributable to LHC Group, Inc., respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate relates to the change in the mix of taxable income by state between the periods.

Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests was \$3.0 million and \$3.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Noncontrolling interests represented 15.4% and 16.0% of income before income taxes and noncontrolling interest for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Beginning in 2009, a majority of our joint venture transactions resulted in minority owners holding a 25% ownership interest in the venture. Prior to that, nearly all joint venture transactions resulted in minority owners holding a 33% ownership interest in the joint venture. These, along with the operating results of the joint ventures themselves, have resulted in a decrease in noncontrolling interest as a percentage of income before income taxes and noncontrolling interest.

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests was \$5.4 million and \$8.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Noncontrolling interests represented 15.7% and 17.0% of income before income taxes and noncontrolling interest for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity

The Company's principal source of liquidity for operating activities is the collection of its patient accounts receivable, most of which are collected from governmental and third party commercial payors. The Company also has the ability to obtain additional liquidity, if necessary, through its revolving credit facility, which provides for aggregate borrowings up to \$75.0 million.

Table of Contents

Our reported cash flows from operating activities are affected by various external and internal factors, including the following:

Operating Results Our net income has a significant effect on our operating cash flows. Any significant increase or decrease in our net income could have a material effect on our operating cash flows.

Timing of Acquisitions We use our operating cash flows for acquisitions. When the acquisitions occur at or near the end of a period, our cash outflows significantly increase.

Timing of Payroll Our employees are paid bi-weekly on Fridays; therefore, operating cash flows decline in reporting periods that end on a Friday.

Medical Insurance Plan Funding We are self-funded for medical insurance purposes. Any significant changes in the amount of insurance claims submitted could have a direct effect on our operating cash flows.

Medical Supplies A significant expense associated with our business is the cost of medical supplies. Any increase in the cost of medical supplies, or in the use of medical supplies by our patients, could have a material effect on our operating cash flows.

The following table summarizes changes in cash (amounts in thousands):

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Cash provided by operating activities | \$ 33,763 | \$ 41,446 |
| Cash used in investing activities | (17,531) | (25,928) |
| Cash used in financing activities | (7,308) | (14,638) |
| Change in cash | 8,924 | 880 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 288 | 394 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 9,212 | \$ 1,274 |

Cash provided by operating activities decreased \$7.7 million during the six months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to lower net income in the period.

Investing cash outflows decreased \$8.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to lower acquisition volume in the period.

Financing cash outflows decreased \$7.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease was the result of paying off amounts outstanding on our line of credit in 2010 and lower noncontrolling interest distributions in 2011.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts

At June 30, 2011, the Company's allowance for uncollectible accounts, as a percentage of patient accounts receivable, was approximately 10.6%, or \$9.5 million, compared to 10.9% or \$9.8 million at December 31, 2010. Days sales outstanding as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was 45 days and 44 days, respectively. Our calculation of days sales outstanding is derived by dividing our ending net patient accounts receivable (i.e., net of estimated revenue adjustments and allowance for doubtful accounts) at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 by our average daily net patient revenues for the three months period ended June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth as of June 30, 2011, the aging of accounts receivable (based on the end of episode date) and the total allowance for uncollectible accounts expressed as a percentage of the related aged accounts receivable (amounts in thousands):

| | 0-90 | 91-180 | 181-365 | Over 365 | Total |
|--------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Payor | | | | | |
| Medicare | \$ 44,573 | \$ 7,594 | \$ 3,657 | \$ 1,403 | \$ 57,227 |
| Medicaid | 2,454 | 727 | 343 | 101 | 3,625 |
| Other | 16,602 | 6,519 | 4,394 | 1,587 | 29,102 |
| Total | \$ 63,629 | \$ 14,840 | \$ 8,394 | \$ 3,091 | \$ 89,954 |

Allowance as a percentage of receivables 3.8% 10.8% 33.6% 87.1% 10.6%

For home-based services, we calculate the allowance for uncollectible accounts as a percentage of total patient receivables. The percentage changes depending on the payor and increases as the patient receivables age. For facility-based services, we calculate the allowance for uncollectible accounts based on a claim by claim review. As a result, the allowance percentages presented in the table above vary between the aging categories because of the mix of claims in each category.

The following table sets forth as of December 31, 2010, the aging of accounts receivable (based on the end of episode date) and the total allowance for uncollectible accounts expressed as a percentage of the related aged accounts receivable (amounts in thousands):

| | 0-90 | 91-180 | 181-365 | Over 365 | Total |
|--------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Payor | | | | | |
| Medicare | \$ 47,864 | \$ 6,247 | \$ 3,174 | \$ 1,853 | \$ 59,138 |
| Medicaid | 2,615 | 714 | 811 | 1,358 | 5,498 |
| Other | 14,712 | 5,220 | 3,724 | 1,532 | 25,188 |
| Total | \$ 65,191 | \$ 12,181 | \$ 7,709 | \$ 4,743 | \$ 89,824 |

Allowance as a percentage of receivables 3.4% 10.7% 27.2% 87.0% 10.9%

Indebtedness

As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company issued a letter of credit valued at \$3.4 and \$2.9 million, respectively. The Company had no other debt outstanding as of June 30, 2011 and had \$75.0 million available under its line of credit.

The Company's Credit Facility with Capital One, National Association provides for a maximum aggregate principal borrowing of \$75.0 million. The Credit Facility, which is scheduled to expire on October 12, 2013, is unsecured and has a letter of credit sublimit of \$5.0 million. The commitment fee is 0.50% of the total availability. An additional fee of 0.375% is charged for any unused amounts. The interest rate for the borrowings under the Credit Agreement, at the election of the Company, shall be either at the Base Rate (as defined in the Credit Agreement) as a function of the prime rate or the Eurodollar Rate (as defined in the Credit Agreement). Borrowings accruing interest under the Credit Agreement at either the Base Rate or the Eurodollar Rate are subject to the applicable margins set forth below:

| Leverage Ratio | Eurodollar Margin | Base Rate Margin |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| <1.00:1.00 | 2.25% | 1.00% |
| ≥1.00:1.00<1.50:1.00 | 2.50% | 1.25% |
| ≥1.50:1.00<2.00:1.00 | 2.75% | 1.50% |

Table of Contents

The Company's Credit Facility contains customary affirmative, negative and financial covenants. For example, the Company is restricted in incurring additional debt, disposing of assets, making investments, allowing fundamental changes to the Company's business or organization, and making certain payments in respect of stock or other ownership interests, such as dividends and stock repurchases, up to 2.0 million shares. Under the Credit Facility, the Company is also required to meet certain financial covenants with respect to minimum fixed charge coverage, consolidated net worth and leverage ratios. At June 30, 2011, the Company believes it was in compliance with all covenants.

The Company's Credit Facility also contains customary events of default. These include bankruptcy and other insolvency events, cross-defaults to other debt agreements, a change in control involving the Company or any subsidiary guarantor, and the failure to comply with certain covenants.

Contingencies

For a discussion of contingencies, see Item 1, Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Note 6 Commitments and Contingencies of this Form 10-Q.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not currently have any off-balance sheet arrangements with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. In addition, we do not engage in trading activities involving non-exchange traded contracts. As such, we are not materially exposed to any financing, liquidity, market or credit risk that could arise if we had engaged in these relationships.

Critical Accounting Policies

For a discussion of critical accounting policies, see Item 1, Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Note 2 Significant Accounting Policies of this Form 10-Q.

Revenue Recognition

We report net service revenue at the estimated net realizable amount due from Medicare, Medicaid, commercial insurance, managed care payors, patients and others for services rendered.

Medicare

Home-Based Services

Home Nursing Services. We are reimbursed by Medicare for delivering care over a 60-day period referred to as an episode. We recognize revenue based on the number of days elapsed during an episode of care within the appropriate reporting period.

A portion of the estimated Medicare prospective payment system reimbursement from each submitted home nursing episode is received in the form of a request for anticipated payment (RAP). The Company submits a RAP for 60% of the estimated reimbursement for the initial episode at the start of care. The full amount of the episode is billed after the episode has been completed. Final payments from Medicare may reflect one of four retroactive adjustments to ensure the adequacy and effectiveness of the total reimbursement: (a) an outlier payment if the patient's care was unusually costly; (b) a low utilization adjustment if the number of visits was fewer than five; (c) a partial payment if the patient transferred to another provider before completing the episode; or (d) a payment adjustment based upon the level of therapy services required in the population base. We

Table of Contents

estimate all potential adjustments to an episode based on the best information available as the services are provided and prior to recognizing revenue or presenting the final bill. Therefore, historically, we have recorded little or no adjustments at the time payment is received. Although our estimates are based on historical experience using the best information available at the time we provide service, final payments could differ from our estimates.

Hospice Services. We are paid by Medicare under a per diem payment system. We receive one of four predetermined daily or hourly rates based upon the level of care we furnished. We record net service revenue from hospice services based on the daily or hourly rate and recognize revenue as these hospice services are provided.

Hospice payments are also subject to an inpatient cap and an overall payment cap. The inpatient cap relates to individual programs receiving more than 20% of its total Medicare reimbursement from inpatient care services. The overall payment cap relates to individual programs receiving reimbursements in excess of a cap amount, which is calculated by multiplying the number of beneficiaries receiving services during the period by a statutory amount that is indexed for inflation. The determination for each cap is made annually based on the 12-month period ending on October 31 of each year. We monitor our limits on a provider-by-provider basis. While historically we have not exceeding these caps, our revenue could be affected if we exceed the cap limits in the future.

Facility-Based Services

Long-Term Acute Care Services. The Company is reimbursed by Medicare for services provided at our LTACHs based on a predetermined fixed amount intended to reflect the average cost of treating a Medicare patient. The actual amount reimbursed can be adjusted based on length of stay and facility-specific costs, as well as in instances where a patient is discharged and subsequently re-admitted. Similar to the home health Medicare reimbursement, we estimate the adjustment based on a historical average and record revenue considering such adjustment. Similar to other Medicare prospective payment systems, the rate is also adjusted for geographic wage differences. Revenue is recognized for the Company's LTACHs as services are provided. Although our estimates are based on historical experience using the best information available at the time we provide service, final payments could differ from our estimates.

Medicaid, managed care and other payors

Medicaid reimbursement is based on a predetermined fee schedule applied to each service provided. Therefore, revenue is recognized for Medicaid services as the services are provided based on this fee schedule. Managed care payors reimburse us in a manner similar to either Medicare or Medicaid. Accordingly, we recognize revenue from managed care payors in the same manner as we recognize revenue from Medicare or Medicaid.

Accounts Receivable and Allowances for Uncollectible Accounts

We report accounts receivable net of estimated allowances for uncollectible accounts and adjustments. Accounts receivable are uncollateralized and primarily consist of amounts due from Medicare, other third-party payors, and patients. To provide for accounts receivable that could become uncollectible in the future, we establish an allowance for uncollectible accounts to reduce the carrying amount of such receivables to their estimated net realizable value.

The collection of outstanding receivables is our primary source of cash collections and is critical to our operating performance. Because Medicare is our primary payor, the credit risk associated with receivables from other payors is limited. We believe the credit risk associated with our Medicare accounts, which represent 63.6% and 65.8% of our patient accounts receivable at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, is limited.

Table of Contents

due to (i) the historical collections from Medicare and (ii) the fact that Medicare is a U.S. government payor. We do not believe that there are any other significant concentrations of receivables from any particular payor that would subject it to any significant credit risk in the collection of accounts receivable.

The amount of the provision for bad debts is based upon our assessment of historical and expected net collections, business and economic conditions and trends in government reimbursement. Quarterly, we perform a detailed review of historical writeoffs and recoveries as well as recent collection trends. Uncollectible accounts are written off when the Company has exhausted collection efforts and concluded the account will not be collected.

Although our estimated reserves for uncollectible accounts are based on historical experience and the most current collection trends, this process requires significant judgment and interpretation of the observed trends and the actual collections could differ from our estimates.

Insurance

We retain significant exposure for our employee health insurance, workers compensation, employment practices and professional liability insurance programs. Our insurance programs require us to estimate potential payments on filed claims and/or claims incurred but not reported. Our estimates are based on information provided by the third-party plan administrators, historical claim experience, expected costs of claims incurred but not paid and expected costs associated with settling claims. Each month we review the insurance-related recoveries and liabilities to determine if any adjustments are required.

Our employee health insurance program is self funded, with stop-loss coverage on claims that exceed \$150,000 for any individual covered employee or employee family member. We are responsible for workers compensation claims up to \$250,000 per individual incident.

Malpractice, employment practices and general patient liability claims for incidents which may give rise to litigation have been asserted against us by various claimants. The claims are in various stages of processing and some may ultimately be brought to trial. We are aware of incidents that have occurred through December 31, 2010 that may result in the assertion of additional claims. We currently carry professional, general liability and employment practices insurance coverage (on a claims made basis) for this exposure. We also carry D&O coverage (also on a claims made basis) for potential claims against our directors and officers, including securities actions, with a deductible of \$500,000 per claim.

We estimate our liabilities related to these programs using the most current information available, but as claims develop, we may need to change the recorded liabilities and change our estimates. These changes and adjustments could be material to our financial statements, results of operations and financial condition.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

As of June 30, 2011, we had cash of \$9.2 million. The FDIC reinstated coverage on all non interest bearing checking accounts through December 31, 2012. All non interest bearing accounts are fully insured, regardless of the balance of the account.

Our exposure to market risk relates to changes in interest rates for borrowings under the Company's Credit Facility. The Credit Facility is a revolving credit facility and, as such, the Company borrows, repays and re-borrows amounts as needed, changing the average daily balance outstanding under the facility. A hypothetical 100 basis point increase in interest rates on the average daily amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility would have increased interest expense \$8,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act) that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports filed under the Exchange Act, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Such information is also accumulated and communicated to management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management of the Company, under the supervision and with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report.

The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company maintained effective disclosure controls and procedures at the reasonable assurance level as of June 30, 2011.

Changes in Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act, during the period ending June 30, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

On May 12, 2010, the Company received a letter from the United States Senate Finance Committee in response to an April 26, 2010 article in *The Wall Street Journal* entitled "Home Care Yields Medicare Bounty." The letter from the Senate Finance Committee asked the Company to provide documents and data related to the issues referenced in *The Wall Street Journal* article. On June 25, 2010, the Company completed its response to the Senate Finance Committee's letter and intends to fully cooperate with their inquiry. At this time, the Company is unable to predict the timing and outcome of this matter.

On July 16, 2010, the Company received a subpoena from the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) that included a request for documents related to the Company's participation in the Medicare Home Health Prospective Payment System as well as the documents and information produced in response to the Senate Finance Committee's investigation set forth above. The Company has produced the documents requested by the initial subpoena, produced additional documents requested by the SEC as part of its review, and continues to cooperate with the SEC's review. The Company cannot predict its outcome or effect of this investigation, if any, on the Company's business.

On July 13, 2009, the Company reported an administrative subpoena from the Inspector General of the Office of Personnel Management (OPM). OPM is an administrative agency responsible for overseeing the Federal Employees Health Benefit Program (FEHBP). Although the subpoena was issued by OPM, the Company learned on July 9, 2009 that the scope of the review is not limited to the FEHBP, but also extends to services provided to Medicare beneficiaries and is accordingly under the jurisdiction of the Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General (OIG). At this time, the Company understands the basis of this investigation is the result of a *qui tam* complaint under the federal false claims act, which is currently under seal, filed with the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana. The investigation is being performed by the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) and centers on the results of quality reviews performed by a sub contracted third party between 2005 and 2008 and the Company's response to the results of those quality reviews. During the three month period ended June 30, 2011, the Company engaged consultants to assist the Company in responding to the DOJ's investigation. Although the Company and its consultants are continuing to review the medical records at issue in the investigation, the issues raised by the government are complex and there can be no assurance that it will agree with our analysis. Although, the Company cannot predict the outcome of this investigation, it is reasonably possible the outcome could be material to the Company's operations and results. No range of potential loss can be reasonably estimated at this time and no reserve has been recorded. The Company will continue to cooperate with the government and provide responsive information related to this investigation and when appropriate, the Company will continue to engage experts to assist in the Company's response to the investigation.

On April 14, 2009, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K regarding a *qui tam* lawsuit filed in Tennessee captioned *United States of America ex rel Sally Christine Summers v. LHC Group, Inc.* which alleged violation of the False Claims Act at a single agency. On June 11, 2009, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K, reporting the district court's order dismissing the case. As previously reported, the plaintiff appealed the district court's dismissal, and the appeal was argued in June 2010. On October 4, 2010, the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit issued an opinion upholding the district court's dismissal of the case. On December 21, 2010, the plaintiff filed a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari with the United States Supreme Court. On February 28, 2011, the United States Supreme Court invited the Solicitor General of the United States the opportunity to submit a brief in this case. On June 27, 2011, the United States Supreme Court denied the plaintiff's petition for a Writ of Certiorari.

Table of Contents**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.**

None.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

In October 2010, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program to repurchase shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, from time to time, in an amount not to exceed \$50.0 million (Stock Repurchase Program). The Company anticipates that it will finance the Stock Repurchase Program with cash from general corporate funds, or draws under the Company's Credit Facility. The Company may repurchase shares of common stock in open market purchases or in privately negotiated transactions in accordance with applicable securities laws, rules and regulations. The timing and extent to which the Company repurchases its shares will depend upon market conditions and other corporate considerations.

The Company accounts for the repurchase of its common stock under the cost method. The Company uses the average cost method upon the subsequent reissuance of treasury shares. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company repurchased 24,159 shares of common stock at an aggregate cost of \$577,000, including commissions, or an average cost per share of \$23.93. The remaining dollar value of shares authorized to be purchased under the share repurchase program is \$49.4 million at June 30, 2011.

The following table summarizes the Company's repurchase activity during the three months ended June 30, 2011:

| Period | (a) Total number of shares (or Units Purchased) | (b) Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit) | (c) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | (d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|----------------------|--|---|---|--|
| April 1 - April 30 | | | | \$ 50,000,000 |
| May 1 - May 31 | | | | \$ 50,000,000 |
| June 1 - June 30 | 24,159 | \$ 23.93 | 24,159 | \$ 49,423,000 |
| Total second quarter | 24,159 | \$ 23.93 | 24,159 | \$ 49,423,000 |

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

None

ITEM 4. REMOVED AND RESERVED.**ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.**

None

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation of LHC Group, Inc. (previously filed as an exhibit to the Form S-1/A (File No. 333-120792) on February 14, 2005).
- 3.2 Bylaws of LHC Group, Inc. as amended on December 31, 2007 (previously filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Form 8-K on January 4, 2008).
- 4.1 Specimen Stock Certificate of LHC's Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share (previously filed as an exhibit to the Form S-1/A (File No. 333-120792) on February 14, 2005).
- 4.2 Reference is made to Exhibits 3.1 and 3.2 (previously filed as an exhibit to the Form S-1/A (File No. 333-120792) on February 14, 2005 and May 9, 2005 and to the form 8-K on January 4, 2008, respectively).
- 31.1 Certification of Keith G. Myers, Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Peter J. Roman, Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1* Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of LHC Group, Inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Schema Document
- 101.CAL XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF XBRL Definition Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB XBRL Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document

Attached as Exhibit 101 to this report are documents formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language). Users of this data are advised pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T that the interactive data file is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise not subject to liability under these sections. The financial information contained in the XBRL-related documents is unaudited or unreviewed.

* This exhibit is furnished to the SEC as an accompanying document and is not deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that Section, and the document will not be deemed incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

LHC GROUP, INC.

Date: August 9, 2011

/s/ Peter J. Roman

Peter J. Roman
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal financial officer)

40