

LIME ENERGY CO.
Form DEF 14A
April 27, 2012
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A
(RULE 14a-101)

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant X

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant O

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

LIME ENERGY CO.
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - (5) Total fee paid:
- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - (3) Filing Party:

(4)

Date Filed:

Table of Contents

16810 Kenton Drive, Suite 240

Huntersville, NC 28078

April 27, 2012

Dear Fellow Stockholder:

On behalf of the Board of Directors, I cordially invite you to attend the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held at 9:00 a.m., local time, on Tuesday, June 12, 2012 at the Huntersville Town Hall, 101 Huntersville Concord Road, Huntersville, NC 28078. The formal notice of the Annual Meeting appears on the following page.

The attached Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement contain detailed information about the matters that we expect to act upon at the Annual Meeting.

Please sign, date and specify your choices on the enclosed proxy card and promptly return it in the enclosed business reply envelope. This will help insure that your shares are represented at the Annual Meeting, whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting. If you attend the meeting, you may revoke your proxy and personally cast your vote.

We look forward to seeing you at the Annual Meeting and urge you to return your proxy card as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

/s/ John O Rourke
Lime Energy Co.
John O Rourke

President and Chief Executive Officer

Table of Contents

LIME ENERGY CO.

16810 Kenton Drive, Suite 240

Huntersville, NC 28078

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To be held June 12, 2012

To the Stockholders of

LIME ENERGY CO.:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Lime Energy Co. will be held at the Huntersville Town Hall, 101 Huntersville Concord Road, Huntersville, NC 28078 at 9:00 a.m. local time, on Tuesday, June 12, 2012, for the following purposes:

1. To elect seven directors to our Board of Directors; and
2. To ratify the appointment of BDO USA, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year 2012.

Stockholders will also transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment thereof. As of the date of this notice, our Board of Directors knows of no other proposals or matters to be presented.

The foregoing items of business are more fully described in the proxy statement accompanying this notice. This proxy statement is accompanied by a copy of the annual report to stockholders. The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on April 13, 2012 as the record date for determining stockholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting or any adjournment thereof.

The Board of Directors encourages you to complete, sign and date the enclosed proxy card and promptly return it in the enclosed postage prepaid envelope, regardless of whether you plan to attend the Annual Meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

/s/ David R. Asplund
David R. Asplund
Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors

Huntersville, North Carolina

April 27, 2012

Table of Contents

PROXY STATEMENT

Table of Contents

<u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u>	1
<u>SOLICITATION</u>	1
<u>RECORD DATE AND OUTSTANDING SHARES</u>	1
<u>REQUIRED VOTE</u>	2
<u>QUORUM; ABSTENTIONS AND BROKER NON-VOTES</u>	2
<u>VOTING OF PROXIES; REVOCABILITY OF PROXIES</u>	2
<u>DISSENTER'S RIGHT OF APPRAISAL</u>	2
<u>ANNUAL REPORT TO STOCKHOLDERS</u>	2
<u>MULTIPLE STOCKHOLDERS SHARING THE SAME ADDRESS</u>	3
<u>PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	4
<u>NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR</u>	4
<u>LEADERSHIP STRUCTURE AND ROLE IN RISK OVERSIGHT</u>	6
<u>DIVERSITY</u>	7
<u>FAMILY RELATIONSHIPS</u>	7
<u>DIRECTOR ATTENDANCE</u>	7
<u>INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS</u>	7
<u>COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS</u>	8
<u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION PROGRAM</u>	8
<u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE</u>	9
<u>COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS</u>	9
<u>SELECTION OF BOARD NOMINEES</u>	11
<u>CODES OF CONDUCT AND BUSINESS ETHICS</u>	12
<u>EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u>	12
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS AND MANAGEMENT</u>	12
<u>BENEFICIAL OWNERS OF GREATER THAN 5% OF OUR COMMON STOCK</u>	13
<u>DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u>	13
<u>CHANGES IN CONTROL</u>	13
<u>SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE</u>	13
<u>TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PERSONS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS</u>	14
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	14
<u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u>	14
<u>2011 SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE</u>	18
<u>EMPLOYMENT CONTRACTS, TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT AND CHANGE-IN-CONTROL ARRANGEMENTS</u>	19
<u>POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL</u>	21
<u>GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS FOR 2011</u>	22
<u>OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END 2011</u>	23

STOCK OPTIONS AND INCENTIVE COMPENSATION
OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED DURING 2011
OPTION RE-PRICING

24
25
25

Table of Contents

<u>PROPOSAL 2 RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	26
<u>AUDIT COMMITTEE DISCLOSURE</u>	27
<u>GENERAL</u>	27
<u>INDEPENDENT AUDITORS FEES</u>	27
<u>PROCEDURES FOR AUDIT COMMITTEE PRE-APPROVAL OF AUDIT AND PERMISSIBLE NON-AUDIT SERVICES OF INDEPENDENT AUDITOR</u>	28
<u>REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE</u>	28
<u>MISCELLANEOUS AND OTHER MATTERS</u>	30
<u>STOCKHOLDER COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS</u>	30
<u>PROPOSALS OF STOCKHOLDERS FOR NEXT YEAR S MEETING</u>	30
<u>STOCKHOLDER LIST</u>	30
<u>OTHER BUSINESS</u>	30
<u>INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE</u>	31
<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</u>	31

Table of Contents

LIME ENERGY CO.

16810 Kenton Drive, Suite 240

Huntersville, NC 28078

PROXY STATEMENT

FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To be held Tuesday, June 12, 2012

GENERAL INFORMATION

This proxy statement and the enclosed proxy card are being furnished to our stockholders in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Lime Energy Co., a Delaware corporation, for use at our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held at the Huntersville Town Hall, 101 Huntersville Concord Road, Huntersville, NC 28078 at 9:00 a.m. local time, on Tuesday, June 12, 2012, and any adjournments thereof. This proxy statement and the accompanying form of proxy are first being mailed to stockholders on or about April 27, 2012.

A copy of our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 has been mailed to you. Our proxy statement for the Meeting and the 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K can be viewed on our website at <https://materials.proxyvote.com/53261U>.

We use the terms Lime Energy, the Company, we, our and us in this proxy statement to refer to Lime Energy Co. and its consolidated subsidiaries, unless the context otherwise requires.

Solicitation

The cost of this proxy solicitation will be borne by Lime Energy. We may request banks, brokers, fiduciaries, custodians, nominees and certain other record holders to send proxies, proxy statements and other materials to their principals at our expense. Those banks, brokers, fiduciaries, custodians, nominees and other record holders will be reimbursed by us for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses of solicitation. We do not anticipate that costs and expenses incurred in connection with this proxy solicitation will exceed an amount normally expended for a proxy solicitation for an election of directors in the absence of a contest. In addition to soliciting proxies by mail, we and our directors, officers and regular employees may also solicit proxies personally, by telephone or by other appropriate means. No additional compensation will be paid to directors, officers or other regular employees for such services.

Record Date and Outstanding Shares

Our Board of Directors fixed the close of business on April 13, 2012 as the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting or any adjournments thereof. As of the close of business on the record date, we had 24,150,651 shares of common stock with voting rights as to certain matters outstanding. Each outstanding share of common stock on such date is entitled to one vote on each matter to be voted on at the Annual Meeting.

Table of Contents

Required Vote

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of common stock voted in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting is required to elect the nominees to the Board of Directors and to ratify the appointment of our independent auditors. Stockholders do not have any rights to cumulate their votes in the election of directors.

Quorum; Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes

The required quorum for transaction of business at the Annual Meeting will be a majority of the total votes of the shares of common stock issued and outstanding as of the record date. Votes cast by proxy or in person at the Annual Meeting will be tabulated by the election inspector appointed for the meeting and will be taken into account in determining whether or not a quorum is present. Abstentions and broker non-votes, which occur when a broker has not received customer instructions and indicates that it does not have the discretionary authority to vote on a particular matter on the proxy card, will be included in determining the presence of a quorum at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions will have the effect if a vote against the proposal. Broker non-votes will not be counted, and therefore will have no effect on the outcome on any of the proposals.

Unless you provide voting instructions to any broker holding shares on your behalf, your broker may not use discretionary authority to vote your shares on any of the matters to be considered at the annual meeting other than the ratification of our independent registered public accounting firm. Please vote your proxy so your vote can be counted.

Voting of Proxies; Revocability of Proxies

Our Board of Directors selected Jeffrey R. Mistarz and Anne Berg, the persons named as proxies on the proxy card accompanying this proxy statement, to serve as proxies. Mr. Mistarz is our executive vice president, chief financial officer, treasurer and corporate secretary, and Ms. Berg is our general counsel and assistant secretary. The shares of common stock represented by each executed and returned proxy will be voted in accordance with the directions indicated thereon, or if no direction is indicated, the proxy will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Directors contained in this proxy statement.

All stockholders may vote in person at the Annual Meeting. You may also be represented by another person at the Annual Meeting by executing a proper proxy designating that person. If you are a beneficial owner of shares, you must obtain a legal proxy from your broker, bank or other holder of record and present it to the inspectors of election with your ballot to be able to vote at the Annual Meeting.

You can revoke a proxy you have given at any time before the shares it represents are voted by giving our secretary either (1) an instrument revoking the proxy or (2) a duly executed proxy bearing a later date. Additionally, you may change or revoke a previously executed proxy by voting in person at the Annual Meeting. However, your attendance at the Annual Meeting will not, by itself, revoke your proxy.

Dissenter s Right of Appraisal

There is no proposal or matter that will be acted upon in the meeting that would grant dissenting stockholders the right of appraisal.

Annual Report to Stockholders

We are simultaneously furnishing to you with this proxy statement our Annual Report to

Table of Contents

Stockholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, which contains financial and other information pertaining to us.

Multiple Stockholders Sharing the Same Address

Owners of common stock who hold their shares in a brokerage account may receive a notice from their broker stating that only one proxy statement will be delivered to multiple security holders sharing an address. This practice, known as householding, is designed to reduce printing and postage costs. However, if any stockholder residing at such an address wishes to receive a separate proxy statement, he or she may contact our Corporate Secretary at Lime Energy Co., 16810 Kenton Drive, Suite 240, Huntersville, NC 28078 or by telephone at (704) 892-4442.

Table of Contents**PROPOSAL 1****ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

At the Annual Meeting, seven nominees to the Board of Directors will be elected to hold office for a one year term ending at our 2013 Annual Meeting of stockholders or until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified. All nominees listed below are currently members of our Board of Directors and have consented to being named in this proxy statement and to serve as directors, if elected. If, at the time of the Annual Meeting, any nominee becomes unavailable or declines to serve as a director for any reason, the persons named in the proxy will vote for the substitute nominee(s) as the Board of Directors recommends, or vote to allow the vacancy created by the nominee who is unable or declines to serve to remain open until filled by the Board of Directors, as the Board of Directors recommends. The Board of Directors has no reason to believe that any nominee will be unable or decline to serve if elected to office. The Board has set the size of the Board of Directors at ten members. There currently three vacancies, which the Board is seeking to fill. To date it has not identified qualified candidates to fill these. Under our by-laws, the Board may appoint directors to fill these vacancies until the next annual meeting of stockholders or set the size of the Board at a number of directors ranging from three to twelve.

Nominees for Director

The following table presents the names of the director nominees as well as certain information about them. Proxies cannot be voted for a greater number of persons than the number of nominees named.

Name	Age	Position Held with the Company	Served as Director Since
David R. Asplund	54	Executive Chairman of the Board	2002
Gregory T. Barnum	56	Director (1)(2)	2006
Christopher W. Capps	28	Director (1)	2009
Joseph F. Desmond	47	Director (1)(3)	2007
Stephen Glick	64	Director (2)(3)	2009
Richard P. Kiphart	69	Lead Independent Director (2)(3)	2006
John O. Rourke	51	Director; President and Chief Executive Officer	2011

-
- (1) Member of our Audit Committee.
- (2) Member of our Compensation Committee.
- (3) Member of our Governance and Nominating Committee.

Below, we provide the following information for each director and Board of Directors nominee:

Edgar Filing: LIME ENERGY CO. - Form DEF 14A

- principal occupations for at least the past five years
- the names of any other public companies where the nominee or director currently serves as a director or has served during the past five years
- the particular experience, qualifications, attributes or skills that led the Board to conclude that the person should serve as a director for the company

David R. Asplund was our chief executive officer from January 2006 to May 2011 and has continued to serve as an executive officer as our executive chairman since May 2011. Prior to becoming our chief executive officer, Mr. Asplund was President of Delano Group Securities, LLC, an investment banking firm in Chicago, Illinois, which he founded in 1999. Prior to founding Delano, Mr. Asplund was a senior managing director of Bear Stearns and Branch Manager of their Chicago office. Prior to joining Bear

Table of Contents

Stearns, he held positions with Morgan Stanley and Lehman Brothers. Mr. Asplund is currently on the Rush University Medical Center Cancer Institute Leadership Committee, was previously a director of AgeNet, Inc., a private corporation operating a national eldercare network, and chairman of the Securities Industry Association, Midwest District and a former managing member of Augustine Capital Management, LLC, an advisor to a private equity fund. Mr. Asplund holds a Bachelor of Science Degree in Mechanical Engineering from the University of Minnesota and graduate of the Management Leadership Institute Program of the Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania. Mr. Asplund's investment banking and engineering background and prior experience leading the Company qualify him for his position on the Lime Energy board.

Gregory T. Barnum is currently the vice president of finance, chief financial officer and corporate secretary of Datalink Corporation, a provider of data center infrastructure services. Prior to joining Datalink in March 2006, Mr. Barnum was the vice president of finance, chief financial officer and corporate secretary of Computer Network Technology Corporation since July 1997. From September 1992 to July 1997, Mr. Barnum served as senior vice president of finance and administration, chief financial officer and corporate secretary at Tricord Systems, Inc., a manufacturer of enterprise servers. From May 1988 to September 1992, Mr. Barnum served as the executive vice president, finance, chief financial officer, treasurer and corporate secretary for Cray Computer Corporation, a development stage company engaged in the design of supercomputers. Prior to that time, Mr. Barnum served in various accounting and financial management capacities for Cray Research, Inc. Mr. Barnum is a Certified Public Accountant and a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Mr. Barnum also serves on the Board of Wireless Ronin Technologies, Inc. Mr. Barnum's 20+ years of accounting experience and experience as a chief financial officer of publicly traded companies qualify him to serve on our board of directors and act as a financial expert.

Christopher W. Capps served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Advanced Biotherapy, Inc. from August 2006 until we acquired Advanced Biotherapy, Inc., on March 3, 2010. Since September 2005, Mr. Capps has also served as President and CEO of KGC Partners, a private equity firm. Mr. Capps's experience working with small and mid-sized companies and knowledge of current corporate finance techniques and market activities qualifies him to serve on our board.

Joseph F. Desmond has served as Senior Vice President of Government Affairs and Communications at BrightSource Energy, Inc. since August 2011. Prior to joining BrightSource, Mr. Desmond served as Executive Vice President and Chief Marketing & Business Development Officer of Ice Energy, Inc., a provider of intelligent energy storage solutions to the utility industry, from August 2010 to August 2011. From November 2006 to May 2010, Mr. Desmond served as the Senior Vice President, External Affairs for NorthernStar Natural Gas, a developer of liquefied natural gas import terminals. From May 2005 to November 2006, Mr. Desmond served as Chairman of the California Energy Commission. From May 2006 to November 2006, Mr. Desmond also served as the Under Secretary for Energy Affairs in the California Resources Agency. Prior to his public service for the State of California, Mr. Desmond served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Infotility, Inc., an energy consulting and software development firm based in Boulder, Colorado. From 1997 to 2000, Mr. Desmond was President and Chief Executive Officer of Electronic Lighting, Inc., a manufacturer of controllable lighting systems, and from 1991 to 1997, a Vice President of Parke Industries, Inc., an energy efficient lighting systems company. Mr. Desmond serves on the Board of Directors for the American Council On Renewable Energy (ACORE), the Board of Directors for the California Foundation for Energy and the Environment (CFEE). Mr. Desmond's extensive energy background and leadership skills, both in private industry and state government, make him qualified to serve on our board.

Table of Contents

Stephen Glick has been one of our directors since July 2009. Mr. Glick was the President of Applied Energy Management, Inc., a company he founded in 1984, until we acquired the company in June 2008. Mr. Glick's 20+ years of experience running an energy efficiency business serving some of the nation's largest ESCOs makes him qualified to serve on our board.

Richard P. Kiphart is currently the head of the Private Client Advisors group and a principal of William Blair & Company for over 43 years. In addition, Mr. Kiphart is currently chairman of Ranair Inc. and the former chairman of Nature Vision and The Merit Music School, and is currently the president and chief executive officer of the Lyric Opera of Chicago, the chairman of the Erikson Institute and serves on the board of Children's Memorial Hospital and Columbia College. Mr. Kiphart's leadership skills and extensive investment banking experience, as well as his experience serving on numerous boards makes him qualified to serve on our board.

John O. Rourke has served as our president and chief executive officer since May 2011. From February 2010 to May 2011 he served as our chief operating officer. Mr. O. Rourke was previously president and chief executive officer of our subsidiary, Lime Energy Services Co. (fka Applied Energy Management, Inc.), which we acquired in June 2008. Prior to joining Applied Energy Management, Mr. O. Rourke was the president of Landmark Service Company, LLC from July 2003 until September 2004, when the company was acquired by Applied Energy Management. Prior to working at Landmark, he was vice president of engineering and operations at Duke Solutions, a Duke Energy subsidiary. Mr. O. Rourke currently serves on the Board of the National Association of Energy Services Companies (NAESCO). Mr. O. Rourke's industry experience and responsibility for executing the Company's strategic plan qualify him for his position on the Lime Energy board.

The Board of Directors recommends that the stockholders vote

FOR

the election of all of the director nominees.

Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight

The Company's board leadership structure separates the roles of board chair and principal executive officer roles. When the board chair is not an independent director, an independent director is designated as the lead director. At present, David Asplund, an executive officer of the company, is the executive chairman of the board, Richard Kiphart is the lead director and John O. Rourke is the chief executive officer. The Company determines the leadership structure it deems appropriate based on factors such as the experience and availability of the applicable individuals, the current business environment of the Company or other relevant factors. After considering these factors, the Company believes that separating the positions of chairman of the board, lead director and chief executive officer is the appropriate board leadership structure at this time, allowing our chief executive officer to focus on the business strategy and operations of the Company, while our executive chairman provides leadership to the Board necessary for the Board to fulfill its responsibilities and the lead director provides independent perspective on the Board's activities. In the future, the Company may determine that combining some of these positions may be the best structure for operating the Company, based on the factors at that time or, if the board chair is an independent director, that there is no need to separately designate a lead director.

Table of Contents

The Board of Directors is responsible for oversight of the Company's risk management practices while management is responsible for the day-to-day risk management processes. In the Board's opinion, this division of responsibilities is the most effective approach for addressing the risks facing the Company, and the Company's board leadership structure supports this approach. The Board receives periodic reports from management regarding the most significant risks facing the Company. In addition, the Audit Committee assists the Board in its oversight role by receiving periodic reports regarding the Company's risk and control environment.

Diversity

The Board does not have a formal policy with respect to Board nominee diversity. In recommending proposed nominees to the full Board, the Nominating Committee is charged with building and maintaining a board that has an ideal mix of talent and experience to achieve our business objectives in the current environment. In particular, the Nominating Committee is focused on relevant subject matter expertise, depth of knowledge in key areas that are important to us, and diversity of thought, background, perspective and experience so as to facilitate robust debate and broad thinking on strategies and tactics pursued by us.

Family Relationships

The only family relationship between any of our directors and officers is that Mr. Kiphart is the father-in-law of Mr. Capps.

Director Attendance

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, the Board of Directors held five formal meetings. In addition, there were four meetings of the Audit Committee and two meetings of the Compensation Committee. During 2011, all members of the Board of Directors attended at least 75% of the total of all board meetings and applicable committee meetings. We encourage our Board members to attend our Annual Meeting, but we do not have a formal policy requiring attendance. All of our Board members, except Mr. Desmond, attended last year's Annual Meeting.

Independent Directors

Of the seven directors currently serving on the Board, all of whom are director nominees at the next Annual Meeting, the Board has determined that each of Messrs. Barnum, Capps, Desmond, Glick and Kiphart are independent as defined in NASDAQ Rule 5605(a)(2). Messrs. Asplund and O'Rourke are not considered independent because they also serve as our executive officers.

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS****Director Compensation Program**

Effective April 1, 2000, we adopted a stock option plan for all non-employee directors that was separate and distinct from our employee incentive plans. The plan was amended on July 11, 2006 to provide that eligible directors receive an initial option grant upon being appointed to our Board of Directors to purchase 14,286 shares of our common stock, and a grant of options to purchase an additional 7,143 shares on the first day of January beginning on the second January following the date the director became an eligible director. These options had an exercise price equal to the closing price of our common stock on the grant date and a term of ten years. The initial options vested on first day of January following the initial grant date or six months following the initial grant date, whichever is later, if the individual is still a director on the vesting date.

The Directors Plan was replaced in June 2010 by the 2010 Non-Employee Directors Stock Plan. The 2010 Directors Plan provides for the granting of stock to Non-Employee directors to compensate them for their services to the Company. The use of the shares available under the 2010 Directors Plan is administered by the Company's Board of Directors, which has delegated its powers to the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee has determined under the 2010 Directors Plan to grant non-employee directors restricted shares of Company stock with the following market values on the date of grant:

	Market Value of Grant	
For Board Service:		
Each director upon initial election:	\$	40,000
Annual grant to each director:	\$	20,000
For Committee Service:		
<u>Audit Committee:</u>		
Chairman	\$	15,000
Members	\$	10,000
<u>Compensation Committee:</u>		
Chairman	\$	10,000
Members	\$	5,000
<u>Nominating Committee:</u>		
Chairman	\$	5,000
Members	\$	2,500

Half of the shares received pursuant to this plan vest immediately and the remaining shares vest on the one year anniversary of the initial grant, or in the case of grants for committee service, on the date that the term of the service ends, typically the date of our annual meeting of stockholders. Shares for Board service are granted on the first business day of the year and shares for committee service are granted upon appointment to the committee following the annual meeting of stockholders. Newly appointed directors receive their initial grant on a prorated basis on their date of appointment.

Table of Contents

During 2011, we granted 31,684 shares of restricted stock to directors for their service to the Board and 14,769 shares for service on Board committees. Of these shares, 39,069 shares vested during 2011 and 7,384 will vest in June 2012, if the holder is still a member of the committee on the vesting date.

Directors who are also our employees receive no additional compensation for their services as directors.

Director Compensation Table

The following table provides compensation information for the year ended December 31, 2011 for each of our non-executive directors.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$ (1))	Option Awards (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Gregory T. Barnum		39,996			39,996
Christopher Capps		29,995			29,995
William R. Carey, Jr. (2)		29,995			29,995
Joseph F. Desmond		32,494			32,494
Stephen Glick		19,998			19,998
Pradeep Kapadia (3)		8,928			8,928
Richard P. Kiphart		32,494			32,494

(1) Represents the grant date market value of shares granted during 2011.

(2) Mr. Carey resigned from the Board on January 5, 2012.

(3) Mr. Kapadia resigned from the Board on January 3, 2012.

Committees of the Board of Directors

Edgar Filing: LIME ENERGY CO. - Form DEF 14A

The Board of Directors has an Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and a Governance and Nominating Committee.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee, which is composed entirely of non-employee, independent directors, held four meetings during 2011. Each of the members of the Audit Committee attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Committee held in 2011. The Audit Committee meets periodically and separately in executive sessions with management and the independent auditors to review the activities of each. The Audit Committee possesses and may exercise the powers of the Board of Directors relating to our accounting, auditing, and financial reporting matters, except when such powers are by statute, the Certificate of Incorporation or Bylaws reserved to the full Board or delegated to another committee of the Board. The Audit Committee reports regularly to the full Board on these matters. The Audit Committee is

Table of Contents

directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, and oversight of our independent auditors. Among other duties, the Audit Committee:

- recommends the independent auditors to the Board;
- pre-approves all audit and non-audit services provided to us by the independent auditors;
- monitors the independence of the independent auditors;
- reviews and approves:
 - the scope and timing of work to be performed by the independent auditors
 - compensation to be paid to the independent auditors
 - financial accounting and reporting principles used by the Company
 - results of the audit and the report of the independent auditors
 - transactions involving the Company and our officers, directors, affiliates and significant stockholders
 - discusses our annual audited financial statements and quarterly financial statements with management and the independent auditors;
 - considers allegations made, if any, of possible financial fraud or other financial improprieties;

Edgar Filing: LIME ENERGY CO. - Form DEF 14A

- prepares an Audit Committee report as required by the SEC to be in this proxy statement; and
- reviews and reassesses the adequacy of the Audit Committee charter at least annually.

The Audit Committee's current members are directors Greg Barnum (Committee Chairman), Christopher Capps and Joseph Desmond. Our Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Barnum qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as defined in Item 407(d)(5) of SEC Regulation S-K. The Board also believes that Messrs. Barnum, Desmond and Capps are independent as defined by NASDAQ Rule 5605(a)(2). The Board of Directors adopted an Audit Committee Charter effective April 19, 2000, which was amended effective January 31, 2001 to combine the Conflicts Committee with the Audit Committee. A copy of the Audit Committee's charter is available on our website (www.lime-energy.com) under the heading Investors.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee, which is composed of three independent directors Richard Kiphart (Committee Chairman), Greg Barnum and Stephen Glick, was formed in 2001 upon the Board of Directors' adoption of a Compensation Committee charter. The Compensation Committee held two meetings during 2011, each of which was attended by all members. A copy of the Compensation Committee's charter is available on our website (www.lime-energy.com) under the heading Investors. The Compensation Committee's responsibilities are to:

- review and recommend to the Board of Directors the annual salary, bonus, stock options and other benefits of our senior executives;
- review executive compensation programs and the administration thereof;
- plan for executive development and succession;
- review expense accounts and fringe benefits of executive management;
- administer our stock option and stock incentive programs; and

Table of Contents

- review and recommend to the Board of Directors the compensation of members of the Board of Directors.

Governance & Nominating Committee

The Governance and Nominating Committee, which is composed of three independent directors Stephen Glick (Committee Chairman), Joseph Desmond and Richard Kiphart, was formed in 2004 upon the Board of Directors' adoption of a Governance and Nominating Committee Charter. A copy of the Governance and Nominating Committee's charter is available on our website (www.lime-energy.com) under the heading Investors. The Board believes that Messrs. Glick, Desmond and Kiphart are independent directors as defined by NASDAQ Rule 5605(a)(2). Prior to the establishment of the Governance and Nominating Committee, the recruitment and selection of candidates for Board of Directors was handled by the Compensation Committee. The Governance and Nominating Committee did not meet during 2011. The Governance and Nominating Committee's responsibilities are to:

- develop and recommend to the Board of Directors policies and processes designed to provide for effective and efficient governance;
- plan Board education activities, including new member orientation;
- evaluate the size and composition of the Board of Directors, develop criteria for membership on the Board of Directors, and evaluate the independence of existing and prospective directors, and make recommendations to the Board concerning such matters;
- seek and evaluate qualified individuals to become directors;
- evaluate the nature, structure and composition of other committees of the Board of Directors and make recommendations to the Board concerning such matters; and
- assess the performance of the Board of Directors.

Selection of Board Nominees

Our Governance and Nominating Committee is responsible for identifying and evaluating Board candidates using one or more informal processes deemed appropriate for the circumstances. All of our directors and executive officers play a significant role in bringing potential

Edgar Filing: LIME ENERGY CO. - Form DEF 14A

candidates to the attention of the Committee. A determination of whether to pursue discussions with a particular individual will be made after discussion by the Committee and may be preceded by formal or informal discussions involving one or all of the other Board members. Information considered by the Committee may include information provided by the candidate, the chief executive officer and one or more Committee or Board members. The Committee seeks candidates whose qualifications, experience and independence complement those of existing Board members. Board candidates are expected to possess high personal and professional ethics, integrity and values, and relevant business experience and to be committed to representing the long-term interests of all stockholders. They are also expected to have an inquisitive and objective perspective, practical wisdom and good judgment.

Once appropriate candidates have been identified, the Committee will recommend nominations to our Board. Our Governance and Nominating Committee has not adopted a policy or procedure for the consideration of director candidates recommended by stockholders. Our Board does not recall an instance in which a stockholder (other than a stockholder serving as an officer or director) has recommended a director candidate; however, the Governance and Nominations Committee will consider all timely stockholder recommendations. For the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, nominations may be submitted to the Corporate Secretary, Lime Energy Co., 16810 Kenton Drive, Suite 240, Huntersville, NC

Table of Contents

28078, which will forward them to the Chairman of the Governance and Nominating Committee. Recommendations must be in writing, must specify the candidate's qualifications for serving as a director and must be received by us not later than March 14, 2013, in order for nominees to be considered for election at our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Codes of Conduct and Business Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics as part of our compliance program. This code of ethics applies to our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer. In addition, we have a Code of Conduct and Business Ethics that applies to all of our officers, directors and employees. These codes of ethics are available on our website (www.lime-energy.com) under the heading "Investors." We intend to post amendments to or waivers from the Code of Ethics which are applicable to our directors, principal executive officer and principal financial officer at this location on our website.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The table below identifies our executive officers who are not identified in the table under "Nominees for Director."

Name	Age	Position Held with the Company
Jeffrey R. Mistarz	53	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Corporate Secretary

Jeffrey R. Mistarz has been our chief financial officer since January 2000, our treasurer since October 2000, an executive vice president since November 2002, our assistant secretary since February 2003 and our secretary since June 2006. From January 1994 until joining us, Mr. Mistarz served as chief financial officer for Nucon Corporation, a privately held manufacturer of material handling products and systems, where he was responsible for all areas of finance and accounting, managing capital and stockholder relations. Prior to joining Nucon, Mr. Mistarz was with First Chicago Corporation (now JPMorgan Chase & Co.) for 12 years where he held several positions in corporate lending, investment banking and credit strategy.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following tables set forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of our securities as of April 13, 2012 by:

- each person known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of our voting securities;

Edgar Filing: LIME ENERGY CO. - Form DEF 14A

- each of our directors and named executive officers, and
- all of our directors and executive officers as a group (ten persons).

Each stockholder's beneficial ownership is based on 24,150,651 shares of Lime common stock outstanding as of April 13, 2012. Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC. Except as otherwise noted, the persons or entities named have sole voting and investment power

Table of Contents

with respect to all shares shown as beneficially owned by them, and the address of each person listed in the following table is c/o Lime Energy Co., 16810 Kenton Drive, Suite 240, Huntersville, NC 28078.

Beneficial Owners of Greater Than 5% of Our Common Stock:

Name	Common Shares Directly Held	Common Shares Issuable Upon Exercise of Warrants (1)	Common Shares Issuable Upon Exercise of Options (1)	Total	%
Richard P. Kiphart	9,637,170	75,000	43,765	9,755,935	40.490

Directors and Executive Officers:

Name	Common Shares Directly Held	Common Shares Issuable Upon Exercise of Warrants (1)	Common Shares Issuable Upon Exercise of Options (1)	Total	%
Directors and Executive Officers					
David R. Asplund	345,468		889,019	1,234,487	4.965
Gregory T. Barnum	29,402		39,356	68,758	*
Christopher W. Capps	22,716		110,448	133,164	*
Joseph F. Desmond	17,456		28,572	46,028	*
Stephen Glick	627,826		14,286	642,112	2.677
Richard P. Kiphart	9,637,170	75,000	43,765	9,755,935	40.490
Jeffrey R. Mizarz	59,121		276,555	335,676	1.384
John O Rourke	211,226		83,928	295,154	1.227
Daniel W. Parke **	543,000		575,317	1,118,317	4.555

All directors and executive officers as a group (10 persons)***	10,950,385	75,000	1,485,929	12,511,314	48.994
---	------------	--------	-----------	------------	--------

* Denotes beneficial ownership of less than 1%.

** Resigned June 2, 2011, effective May 31, 2011

*** Excludes Daniel W. Parke

(1) Represents warrants and options to purchase our common stock exercisable within 60 days of April 13, 2012.

Changes in Control

We are not aware of any arrangements, including any pledge by any person of our stock, the operation of which may at a subsequent date result in a change of control of the Company.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our directors and officers (as defined in Section 16) and persons who beneficially own greater than 10% of a registered class of

Table of Contents

our equity securities to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The required reports consist of initial statements on Form 3, statements of changes on Form 4 and annual statements on Form 5. Directors, officers and greater than 10% stockholders are required by Securities and Exchange Commission rules to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) reports filed. Based solely on our review of the reports we have received and on written representations from our officers who are reporting persons, we believe that during 2011 all Section 16 filing requirements applicable to our directors, officers and 10% beneficial owners were complied with by these persons.

TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PERSONS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS

On July 11, 2008, we entered into an agreement with Mr. Kiphart, whereby Mr. Kiphart agreed to cause the issuance of certain letters of credit in an amount not to exceed \$10 million, to support the issuance of surety bonds required under certain customer contracts. The obligation to continue to provide support for new letters of credit expired when we completed the follow-on public offering of our common stock in September 2009. We have agreed to pay Mr. Kiphart a fee equal to 3-5/8% per annum on the average outstanding balance on letters of credit. In addition, we agreed to indemnify Mr. Kiphart for any claims under the letters of credit. The final letter of credit supported by Mr. Kiphart was terminated on January 31, 2011 at which time his obligation to provide further support ceased.

One of our subsidiary companies, Parke Industries, Incorporated, leases space in a building in Glendora, California that is owned by a company controlled by Dan Parke, our former president and director. Total rent expense for this facility amounted to \$163,845 and \$159,000 for 2011 and 2010, respectively. We believe that the rates charged by Mr. Parke are reasonable in that they are equivalent to rates charged to other unaffiliated third parties in the building.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

We compensate our executives by using a balanced approach, which combines fixed and performance-based compensation, annual and long-term compensation, and cash and equity compensation. We determine this mix by reviewing the mix offered by other companies of our size and in our industry. We do not have a specific policy for the allocation of compensation between fixed and performance-based compensation, annual and long-term compensation, and cash and equity compensation.

We manage our business with the long-term goal of creating and maximizing shareholder value, and, accordingly, a significant percentage of our executive compensation is at risk and weighted towards company performance, long-term incentives and stock price appreciation. We think this is a key to our long-term success. The following table illustrates the allocation of the principal compensation components for our current named executive officers for 2011. The percentages reflect the amounts of 2011 salary and targeted annual cash incentive compensation and the aggregate grant date fair values of stock options and shares of restricted stock granted in 2011. For 2011, 60.2% of these principal compensation components for our current named executive officers in the aggregate were variable, including 53.2% which was tied to performance of our stock price.

Table of Contents

(1) Includes severance paid to Mr. Parke

Current Executive Officers

We currently have three executive officers: David Asplund, our Executive Chairman, John O Rourke our President and Chief Operating Officer, and Jeffrey Mistarz our Chief Financial Officer.

Overview of Executive Compensation Program

Prior to 2009, we did not have a formalized program for determining executive compensation. Two of the three current executive officers (Messrs. Asplund and O Rourke) receive the majority of their compensation pursuant to written employment agreements that were negotiated in connection with their becoming our employees. In each of these instances, the Board of Directors approved the employment agreement and the terms were negotiated at the time in light of specific circumstances. Notwithstanding the absence of a formalized compensation program, our executive officers have generally received compensation consisting of three components:

- a cash component, consisting of salary meant to be competitive with salaries such individuals could obtain from other employers;

Edgar Filing: LIME ENERGY CO. - Form DEF 14A

- eligibility for annual cash bonuses determined by the Compensation Committee based on our performance and the executive's achievement of individual objectives; and
- stock options intended to reward achievement of long-term goals and align the interests of our executive officers with those of our stockholders.

In certain cases, we have provided automobile allowances or Company paid leased vehicles to executives who are expected to use their cars for Company business. Executive officers participate in group health and disability insurance on the same basis as other full-time employees and certain executives were offered individual life and disability insurance policies as part of their hiring agreements.

Except as noted above with respect to the current employment agreements with Messrs. Asplund, and O'Rourke, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors makes all compensation decisions for our executive officers. Generally, compensation decisions for executive officers other than our chief

Table of Contents

executive officer have been made by the Compensation Committee pursuant to recommendations made by our chief executive officer.

In 2009 we retained the consulting firm of Towers Perrin (which has since changed its name to Towers Watson) to assist us in formalizing our executive compensation program and to help ensure that our compensation program is consistent with current market practices. After consultation with Towers Perrin, on August 4, 2009, our Compensation Committee recommended to our board, and our board adopted, our 2009 Management Incentive Compensation Plan (the 2009 Plan). Under the terms of the 2009 Plan, our executive officers are the initial group of participants eligible for cash awards and, in lieu of cash awards, equity-based awards (subject to the availability of shares of common stock and the other terms our 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan) based upon specified criteria to be determined and approved of by our Compensation Committee, or as otherwise provided in the 2009 Plan.

Performance goals for 2009 Plan participants were set in various goal categories, including, but not necessarily limited to: (a) Company performance objectives, comprising revenue and earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization and stock-based compensation targets, and (b) individual performance objectives. The relative weight among the performance goal categories vary based on the participant's position within the Company. The weighting will be reviewed annually and may be adjusted by our Compensation Committee.

Each participant is informed at the beginning of, or soon after the beginning of, each fiscal year, of his or her 2009 Plan base salary, which will be the basis for determining the award opportunity for that participant, and which amount will be allocated among the participant's performance goal categories. In addition, the 2009 Plan provides that the Compensation Committee will set three performance levels, Threshold, Target and Maximum levels set as a percentage for each performance goal category. Award objectives for 2011 were set based in part upon the 2011 budget which was approved by the Board prior to the end of 2010.

Objectives of Compensation Program

Compensation of our executive officers is intended to reward improved overall financial performance of the Company, and to reward performance achievements and increases in stockholder value over the long term.

- Annual salaries for executive officers have been established with the goal of attracting and retaining qualified individuals for the positions. These salaries have been determined on a case-by-case basis.
- Short-term incentive compensation awards are intended to reward our Executives for the achievement of annual performance criteria and are flexible and change based on the needs of our business. These awards are generally determined pursuant to our 2009 Plan, although the 2009 Plan does not prohibit discretionary bonuses in addition to those under the plan. Short-term compensation has historically taken the form of cash bonuses and stock awards.
- Restricted stock grants and stock options awards are intended to reward achievement leading to increases in our profitability and stockholder value over the longer term. The amounts awarded are determined as prescribed in the 2009 Plan.

To motivate executive officers to achieve the longer-term goal of increasing our profitability and stockholder value, and to reward them for achieving such long-term goals, stock awards and stock options have been included as part of the compensation structure for our executive officers. These awards provide an increased opportunity for equity ownership by our executive officers, thereby further aligning

Table of Contents

their interest with those of our stockholders. These grants are generally made in a manner consistent with the 2009 Plan, though the Compensation Committee has latitude to determine the amount of short-term incentive compensation to be paid in the form of cash versus stock grants. All stock grants have been in the form of restricted stock, which vests ratably over a three-year period dependent on the executive's continued employment by us. A typical stock option grant has been structured to have a ten-year exercise period, to vest over a three period of years, with vesting also depending upon the executive remaining employed by us, and to have an exercise price equal to the market price on the grant date. In certain cases, options have been granted at an exercise price higher than the market price. We have not granted options with an exercise price that is less than the market price on the grant date. As further incentive to achieve certain long-term objectives, during 2010 we granted nine employees, including the named executive officers, options that will only vest if certain specific financial and stock performance objectives are achieved within a five-year period. These options are further described below.

We do not have a formula for allocating between cash and non-cash compensation. The number of shares of restricted stock and stock options awarded to an executive officer has been decided on a case-by-case basis taking into consideration other components of compensation, not pursuant to any specific guidelines or program.

A copy of the 2009 Management Incentive Compensation Plan was filed as an exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 4, 2009 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 7, 2009.

On April 16, 2010, the Compensation Committee approved the grant of options (the Cliff Options) to purchase 720,000 shares of stock to a group of nine senior employees of the Company, including the three named executive officers. These options were granted to provide additional incentive to these senior managers to achieve certain objectives within a set period of time which the Board believes will greatly benefit stockholders. The significant terms of these options are as follows:

- Exercise price of \$4.50 per share;

- The options will vest at any time prior to December 31, 2015 if:
 - the closing market price for the our common stock has exceeds \$20 per share on any trading day,
 - we have publicly reported annual revenue for any fiscal year in excess of \$242 million, and
 - the our publicly reported adjusted EBITDA for any fiscal year in excess of \$24 million;

- The options will immediately vest on a Change of Control in which more than 50% of the shares of the our common stock are acquired by any individual, entity or group for a price in excess of \$15 per share, excluding, subject to certain exceptions, acquisitions by the Company, acquisitions from the Company and acquisitions by employee benefit plans; and

Edgar Filing: LIME ENERGY CO. - Form DEF 14A

- The options will terminate 10 years from the grant date or three months following the termination of the holder's employment with the Company unless such termination is involuntary and not for Due Cause.

Each of the named executive officers received Cliff Options to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock under these terms.

A copy of the Form of these Cliff Options was filed as an exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 16, 2010 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 22, 2010.

Table of Contents*Clawback Provisions*

The Company does not currently have a policy requiring a fixed course of action with respect to compensation adjustments following later restatements of financial results beyond what is required under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Under those circumstances, the Compensation Committee would evaluate whether compensation adjustments are appropriate based upon the facts and circumstances surrounding the restatement. Under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank), the Securities and Exchange Commission is required to promulgate new regulations to require companies to adopt a policy to recover certain compensation in the event of a material accounting restatement. The SEC has not yet issued these regulations, but we will adopt a policy after the regulations are finalized and their requirements are known.

Accounting and Tax Considerations

Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 (formerly known as SFAS No. 123(R)), requires a charge to compensation expense for the fair value of equity compensation awards. Grants of options and restricted stock are accounted for under ASC 718. The Compensation Committee considers the accounting implications of significant compensation decisions, particularly in connection with decisions that relate to the Company's long-term incentive awards.

2011 Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth the compensation earned, awarded or paid for services rendered to us for the year ended December 31, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2010 by our principal executive officer (PEO), our principal financial officer (PFO), our executive chairman of the board and our former president. These persons are referred to, collectively, as the named executive officers.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) (4)	Option Awards (\$) (5)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
David R. Asplund	2011	296,160	90,000	109,500	184,639	48,757(6)	729,056
<i>Executive Chairman (1)</i>	2010	300,000	49,500	105,000	340,502	48,498(7)	843,500
Jeffrey R. Mistarz	2011	222,117	67,500	70,875	108,375	4,612(8)	473,479
<i>Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer (PFO)</i>	2010	225,000	37,125	67,100	278,216	4,743(8)	612,184
John O Rourke	2011	268,226	85,500	86,250	1,177,075	7,763(9)	1,624,814
<i>President & Chief Executive Officer(PEO)(2)</i>	2010	250,000	91,250	83,100	304,442	7,239(10)	736,031
Daniel W. Parke	2011	114,586		109,500	184,639	416,743(11)	825,468
<i>President(3)</i>	2010	275,000	49,500	105,000	340,502	10,182(12)	780,191

- (1) Mr. Asplund was our Chief Executive Officer until May 20, 2011.

- (2) Mr. O Rourke was named President and Chief Executive Officer on May 20, 2011, prior to this he served as Chief Operating Officer.

- (3) Mr. Parke resigned on June 2, 2011, effective May 31, 2011.

- (4) Represents the value of restricted shares based on the market price of the shares on the date of grant.

Table of Contents

(5) Amounts for 2011 and 2010 represent the aggregate grant date fair value of option awards granted during the periods, calculated using a trinomial lattice option pricing model. The value weighted-average significant assumptions used to determine the grant date fair values are as follows:

Significant Assumption (value weighted-average)	2011	2010
Risk-free rate	0.08%	0.12%
Dividend yield	0%	0%
Expected volatility	81.9%	81.4%
Expected life (years)	6.0	6.9
Turn-over rate	4.8%	10.0%
Exercise multiple	2.2	2.2

(6) Includes \$38,977 for the cost of life and long-term disability insurance, \$6,600 of auto allowance and the \$3,180 cost of membership to a business club provided to Mr. Asplund.

(7) Includes \$38,718 for the cost of life and long-term disability insurance, \$6,600 of auto allowance and the \$3,180 cost of membership to a business club provided to Mr. Asplund.

(8) Represents the cost of life insurance and long-term disability insurance provided to Mr. Mistarz.

(9) Includes \$7,200 for the cost of a leased vehicle provided to Mr. O Rourke and \$563 cost of group life and disability insurance provided to Mr. O Rourke.

(10) Includes \$6,656 for the cost of a leased vehicle provided to Mr. O Rourke and \$583 cost of group life and disability insurance provided to Mr. O Rourke.

(11) Includes \$394,344 severance payment, \$18,156 of accrued vacation, \$4,000 of auto allowance and \$243 for the cost of group life and long-term disability insurance provided Mr. Parke.

(12) Includes \$9,600 of auto allowance and \$589 for the cost of group life and long-term disability insurance provided Mr. Parke.

Employment Contracts, Termination of Employment and Change-in-Control Arrangements

Messrs. Asplund, Mistarz and O Rourke

We have employment agreements with each of our current named executive officers: David R. Asplund, Jeffery Mistarz and John O Rourke. These agreements fix each of the officer's minimum base compensation, and the current annual salary for 2012 for each is as follows: Mr. Asplund \$250,000, Mr. Mistarz \$225,000 and Mr. O Rourke \$285,000. Each of these employment agreements terminates on December 31, 2012 and provides for the automatic renewal of each contract for an additional two year period if the Company does not provide the Executive with a notice of non-renewal before July 1st of the year in which the contract is scheduled to expire. In addition to their base salaries, Messrs. Asplund, and O Rourke are also entitled to monthly automobile allowances of \$550 and \$600, respectively.

Under their employment agreements, each of the current named executive officers are entitled to certain benefits if their employment terminates for certain reasons. If he should die during the term of his contract, most, if not all, of his unvested stock options would immediately vest. In addition, all such stock options and any previously vested stock options would be exercisable for a period of one year following the date of death.

If any of the current named executive officers should become permanently disabled such that he could not perform his duties for 180 consecutive days or for 180 days in any period of 12 consecutive months, we would have the right to terminate his employment, then any stock options which were then already vested would be exercisable for a period of between 90 and 180 days following such termination.

Table of Contents

If any of the current named executive officers should terminate his employment during the term of the contract for reasons other than death, disability or uncured default by us under the agreement, then any vested stock options as of the date of termination shall be exercisable for 90 days following the date of termination.

If we should terminate any of the current named executive officers prior to the scheduled expiration of his respective contract, for any reason other than death, disability or Due Cause, as defined in the employment agreement, or if any of the current named executive officers should choose to terminate his employment because we defaulted in our obligations under the agreement and failed to cure such default after notice, then all of his unvested stock options will immediately vest and would be exercisable for a period of three months following the date of termination. Additionally, we will pay the terminated current named executive officer, as severance compensation, (i) six months salary at his then current rate, in installments in accordance with our regular payroll, plus (ii) any bonus earned as of the termination date, in accordance with the terms of such bonus, plus (iii) any accrued unused vacation, which will be paid on the next regular payroll date.

Due Cause is defined as any of (i) a material breach by the respective current named executive officer of his agreement not cured within 15 calendar days following written notice thereof, (ii) commission of a felony, or theft or embezzlement of our property, (iii) actions which result in material injury to our businesses, properties or reputation, (iv) refusal to perform or substantial neglect of the duties assigned to the respective officer not remedied within 15 calendar days following written notice thereof, or (v) any material violation of any statutory or common law duty of loyalty to us.

In addition to the foregoing, upon occurrence of a change of control, the all the stock options granted to the current named executive officers shall immediately vest and become exercisable, except for an option to purchase 25,000 shares granted to Mr. O Rourke as part of his initial hiring agreement. In addition, the Cliff Options will only vest if the change of control occurs at a stock price in excess of \$15 per share. In general, a Change of Control is deemed to have occurred when (i) we are merged or consolidated with another entity that is not then controlled by us and an unrelated entity acquires the ability to elect a majority of our Board of Directors or holds a majority of our common stock, or (ii) a majority of our assets are sold or otherwise transferred to another entity that is not then controlled by or affiliated with us.

Each of the employment agreements of Messrs. Asplund, Mistarz and O Rourke imposes non-competition, non-solicitation and confidentiality obligations, which are not separately compensated. The non-competition obligation covers the employment period and extends for two years after termination, except for Mr. O Rourke s, in which case the non-competition, non-solicitation and confidentiality obligations covers the employment period and extends for six months after termination.

Table of Contents**Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change In Control**

The following table show potential payments to the current named executive officers under existing contracts, agreements, plans or arrangements, whether written or unwritten, for various scenarios involving a change-in-control or termination of employment assuming a December 31, 2011 termination date and, where applicable, using the closing price of our common stock of \$3.18 per share on that date.

Name	Voluntary Termination (1)	Involuntary Termination - Not For Cause (2)	Involuntary Termination - For Cause (3)	Change in Control (4)	Death (5)	Disability (5)
David R. Asplund	\$ 7,977	\$ 132,977	\$ 7,977	\$ 0	\$ 7,977	\$ 7,977
Jeffrey R. Mistarz	\$ 4,327	\$ 116,827	\$ 4,327	\$ 0	\$ 4,327	\$ 4,327
John O Rourke	\$ 7,449	\$ 149,949	\$ 7,449	\$ 0	\$ 7,449	\$ 7,449

(1) None of the listed persons are entitled to more than accrued but unpaid salary and vacation upon a voluntary termination of their employment.

(2) Under the terms of their employment contracts, Messrs. Asplund, Mistarz and O Rourke are entitled to any accrued but unpaid salary and vacation as well as six months severance pay for an involuntary termination of their employment without cause.

(3) None of the listed persons are entitled to more than accrued but unpaid salary and vacation upon an involuntary termination for cause.

(4) None of the listed persons would be entitled to any payments upon a change of control unless they were involuntarily terminated without cause, but upon a change of control certain unvested options held by Messrs. Asplund, Mistarz and O Rourke would immediately vest. As of December 31, 2011 the intrinsic value of executives' options were as follows:

	Value*
David Asplund	\$ 0
Jeffrey Mistarz	0
John O Rourke	0

* Calculated as the difference between the market value on December 31, 2011 of \$3.18 per share and the option strike price

(5) None of the listed persons are entitled to more than accrued but unpaid salary and vacation upon their death or permanent disability, but upon a upon such an event certain unvested options held by Messrs. Asplund and Mistarz would immediately vest.

Table of Contents**Grants of Plan-Based Awards for 2011**

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to options granted during or for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 to each named executive officer. There are no estimated future payouts under non-equity or equity incentive plan awards.

Name	Grant Date	Committee Action Date	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#) (1)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#) (2)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Award (\$/sh) (3)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$) (4)
Dave R. Asplund	01/03/2011	12/29/2010	27,104	82,439	\$ 4.04	\$ 294,139
Jeffrey R. Mistarz	01/03/2011	12/29/2010	17,543	48,388	\$ 4.04	\$ 179,249
John O Rourke	01/03/2011 06/03/2011	12/29/2010 06/03/2011	21,349	62,725 450,000	\$ 4.04 \$ 4.23	\$ 226,736 \$ 1,036,589
Daniel W. Parke	01/03/2011	12/29/2010	27,104	82,439	\$ 4.04	\$ 294,139

-
- (1) Represents restricted stock which vests 1/3 on each of December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013.
- (2) The amounts represent 10 year option grants. In general 1/3 of each option grant vests one year after the grant date, 1/3 after two years, and 1/3 after three years. Any unexercised options expire after ten years. If a grantee dies any unvested options would terminate immediately, any vested options would be exercisable for a period of 12 months from the date of death. Upon a change of control in the Company, all options immediately vest and become exercisable. In most other instances of employment termination, including retirement and disability, all unvested option terminate upon termination of employment and vested options are exercisable for a period of three months following termination of employment.
- (3) The exercise price was not lower than the market price of our common stock on the grant date for any of the options listed.
- (4) The amounts shown represent the market value of stock awards on the date of grant and/or the full grant date value of each equity-based option award shown in the table for each Named Executive Officer computed under Accountings Standard Codification topic 718.

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2011**

The following table includes certain information with respect to the value of all unexercised options previously awarded to the named executive officers at December 31, 2011:

	Awards:							
	Gross Realized Gains	Gross Unrealized (Losses)	Estimated Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized (Losses)	Estimated Fair Value	
Held-to-Maturity								
U.S. Government agencies	\$ 455,827	\$ 961	\$ (5,403)	\$ 451,385	\$ 395,198	\$ 50	\$ (10,535)	\$ 384,713
Mortgage-backed securities	30,954	9	(602)	30,361	34,425	17	(442)	34,000
State and political subdivisions	335,329	8,762	(872)	341,080	315,445	2,165	(5,498)	312,112
Other securities	620	-	-	620	620	-	-	620
Total HTM	\$ 822,730	\$ 9,732	\$ (6,877)	\$ 823,446	\$ 745,688	\$ 2,232	\$ (16,475)	\$ 731,445
Available-for-Sale								
U.S. Treasury	\$ 4,000	\$ -	\$ (10)	\$ 3,991	\$ 4,001	\$ -	\$ (16)	\$ 3,985
U.S. Government agencies	283,620	17	(3,316)	280,321	183,781	8	(5,572)	178,217
Mortgage-backed securities	52	1	(21)	32	1,735	156	-	1,891
State and political subdivisions	8,892	12	(2)	8,903	7,860	4	(3)	7,861
Other securities	23,839	395	(9)	24,226	19,840	484	(1)	20,323
Total AFS	\$ 320,405	\$ 425	\$ (3,358)	\$ 317,473	\$ 217,217	\$ 652	\$ (5,592)	\$ 212,277

Certain investment securities are valued at less than their historical cost. These declines primarily resulted from the rate for these investments yielding less than current market rates. Based on evaluation of available evidence, management believes the declines in fair value for these securities are temporary. Management does not have the intent to sell these securities and management believes it is more likely than not the Company will not have to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis less any current period credit losses. Should the impairment of any of these securities become other than temporary, the cost basis of the investment will be reduced and the resulting loss recognized in net income in the period the other-than-temporary impairment is identified.

As of September 30, 2014, securities with unrealized losses, segregated by length of impairment, were as follows:

(In thousands)	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Estimated Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
Held-to-Maturity						
U.S. Government agencies	\$ 316,956	\$ (4,059)	\$ 72,610	\$ (1,343)	\$ 389,566	\$ (5,403)
Mortgage-backed securities	27,239	(602)	-	-	27,239	(602)
State and political subdivisions	42,626	(425)	24,636	(447)	67,263	(872)
Total HTM	\$ 386,821	\$ (5,086)	\$ 97,246	\$ (1,790)	\$ 484,068	\$ (6,877)
Available-for-Sale						
U.S. Treasury	\$ 3,991	\$ (10)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,991	\$ (10)
U.S. Government agencies	211,696	(2,092)	59,080	(1,224)	270,776	(3,316)
Mortgage-backed securities	1,570	(21)	-	-	1,570	(21)
State and political subdivisions	699	(2)	-	-	699	(2)
Other securities	1,681	(9)	-	-	1,681	(9)
Total AFS	\$ 219,637	\$ (2,134)	\$ 59,080	\$ (1,224)	\$ 278,717	\$ (3,358)

Declines in the fair value of held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities below their cost that are deemed to be other than temporary are reflected in earnings as realized losses. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment losses, management considers, among other things, (i) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (ii) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and (iii) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

Management has the ability and intent to hold the securities classified as held to maturity until they mature, at which time the Company expects to receive full value for the securities. Furthermore, as of September 30, 2014, management also had the ability and intent to hold the securities classified as available-for-sale for a period of time sufficient for a recovery of cost. The unrealized losses are largely due to increases in market interest rates over the yields available at the time the underlying securities were purchased. The fair value is expected to recover as the bonds approach their maturity date or repricing date or if market yields for such investments decline. Management does not believe any of the securities are impaired due to reasons of credit quality. Accordingly, as of September 30, 2014, management believes the impairments detailed in the table above are temporary.

The carrying value, which approximates the fair value, of securities pledged as collateral, to secure public deposits and for other purposes, amounted to \$532.9 million at September 30, 2014, and \$587.9 million at December 31, 2013.

The book value of securities sold under agreements to repurchase equaled \$86.2 million and \$102.8 million for September 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Income earned on securities for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, is as follows:

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2013
Taxable:				
Held-to-maturity	\$ 1,440	\$ 818	\$ 4,167	\$ 2,281

Edgar Filing: LIME ENERGY CO. - Form DEF 14A

Available-for-sale	603	540	1,882	1,605
Non-taxable:				
Held-to-maturity	2,647	2,066	7,900	5,450
Available-for-sale	27	4	83	13
Total	\$ 4,717	\$ 3,428	\$ 14,032	\$ 9,349

15

Maturities of investment securities at September 30, 2014, are as follows:

(In thousands)	Held-to-Maturity		Available-for-Sale	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
One year or less	\$ 19,171	\$ 19,201	\$ 4,972	\$ 4,981
After one through five years	378,700	376,377	179,804	179,154
After five through ten years	214,805	213,800	25,236	24,813
After ten years	210,054	214,068	87,891	85,630
Other securities	-	-	22,502	22,895
Total	\$ 822,730	\$ 823,446	\$ 320,405	\$ 317,473

There were \$153,000 of realized gains and \$171,000 of realized losses on investment securities for the three months ended September 30, 2014. There were \$191,000 of realized gains and \$171,000 of realized losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. There were no realized gains and losses on investment securities for the three months ended September 30, 2013. There were no realized gains and realized losses of \$193,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

The state and political subdivision debt obligations are primarily non-rated bonds and represent small, Arkansas and Texas issues, which are evaluated on an ongoing basis.

NOTE 4: LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

At September 30, 2014, the Company's loan portfolio was \$2.76 billion, compared to \$2.40 billion at December 31, 2013. The various categories of loans are summarized as follows:

(In thousands)	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Consumer:		
Credit cards	\$ 175,822	\$ 184,935
Student loans	-	25,906
Other consumer	105,508	98,851
Total consumer	281,330	309,692
Real Estate:		
Construction	163,364	146,458
Single family residential	436,925	392,285
Other commercial	681,848	626,333
Total real estate	1,282,137	1,165,076
Commercial:		
Commercial	249,186	164,329
Agricultural	145,157	98,886
Total commercial	394,343	263,215
Other	5,568	4,655
Loans	1,963,378	1,742,638
Loans acquired, not covered by FDIC loss share (net of discount)	676,056	515,644
Loans acquired, covered by FDIC loss share (net of discount)	118,158	146,653
Total loans before allowance for loan losses	\$ 2,757,592	\$ 2,404,935

Loan Origination/Risk Management – The Company seeks to manage its credit risk by diversifying its loan portfolio, determining that borrowers have adequate sources of cash flow for loan repayment without liquidation of collateral; obtaining and monitoring collateral; providing an adequate allowance for loans losses by regularly reviewing loans through the internal loan review process. The loan portfolio is diversified by borrower, purpose and industry. The Company seeks to use diversification within the loan portfolio to reduce its credit risk, thereby minimizing the adverse impact on the portfolio, if weaknesses develop in either the economy or a particular segment of borrowers. Collateral, when required, is based on credit assessments of borrowers and may be used to recover the debt in case of default. Furthermore, factors that influenced the Company's judgment regarding the allowance for loan losses consists of a three-year historical loss average segregated by each primary loan sector. On an annual basis, historical loss rates are calculated for each sector.

Consumer – The consumer loan portfolio consists of credit card loans, student loans and other consumer loans. The Company no longer originates student loans, and the current portfolio is guaranteed by the Department of Education at 97% of principal and interest. Credit card loans are diversified by geographic region to reduce credit risk and minimize any adverse impact on the portfolio. Although they are regularly reviewed to facilitate the identification and monitoring of creditworthiness, credit card loans are unsecured loans, making them more susceptible to the impact of economic downturns which produce increased unemployment. Other consumer loans include direct and indirect installment loans and overdrafts. Loans in this portfolio segment are sensitive to unemployment and other key consumer economic measures.

Real estate – The real estate loan portfolio consists of construction loans, single family residential loans and commercial loans. Construction and development loans (“C&D”) and commercial real estate loans (“CRE”) can be

particularly sensitive to valuation of real estate. Commercial real estate cycles are inevitable. The long planning and production process for new properties and rapid shifts in business conditions and employment create an inherent tension between supply and demand for commercial properties. While general economic trends often move individual markets in the same direction over time, the timing and magnitude of changes are determined by other forces unique to each market. CRE cycles tend to be local in nature and longer than other credit cycles. Factors influencing the CRE market are traditionally different from those affecting residential real estate markets; thereby making predictions for one market based on the other difficult. Additionally, submarkets within commercial real estate – such as office, industrial, apartment, retail and hotel – also experience different cycles, providing an opportunity to lower the overall risk through diversification across types of CRE loans. Management realizes that local demand and supply conditions will also mean that different geographic areas will experience cycles of different amplitude and length. The Company monitors these loans closely and has no significant concentrations in its real estate loan portfolio.

Commercial – The commercial loan portfolio includes commercial and agricultural loans, representing loans to commercial customers and farmers for use in normal business or farming operations to finance working capital needs, equipment purchase or other expansion projects. Collection risk in this portfolio is driven by the creditworthiness of the underlying borrowers, particularly cash flow from customers’ business or farming operations. The Company continues its efforts to keep loan terms short, reducing the potential negative impact of upward movement in interest rates. Term loans are generally set up with a one or three year balloon. It is standard practice to require personal guaranties on all commercial loans, particularly as they relate to closely-held or limited liability entities.

Nonaccrual and Past Due Loans – Loans are considered past due if the required principal and interest payments have not been received as of 30 days from the date such payments were due. Loans are placed on nonaccrual status when, in management’s opinion, the borrower may be unable to meet payment obligations as they become due, as well as when required by regulatory provisions. Loans may be placed on nonaccrual status regardless of whether or not such loans are considered past due. When interest accrual is discontinued, all unpaid accrued interest is reversed. Interest income is subsequently recognized only to the extent cash payments are received in excess of principal due. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

Nonaccrual loans, excluding loans acquired, segregated by class of loans, are as follows:

(In thousands)	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Consumer:		
Credit cards	\$ 249	\$ 290
Other consumer	663	677
Total consumer	912	967
Real estate:		
Construction	1,924	116
Single family residential	4,328	2,957
Other commercial	2,872	1,726
Total real estate	9,124	4,799
Commercial:		
Commercial	623	378
Agricultural	553	117
Total commercial	1,176	495
Total	\$ 11,212	\$ 6,261

Edgar Filing: LIME ENERGY CO. - Form DEF 14A

An age analysis of past due loans, excluding loans acquired, segregated by class of loans, is as follows:

(In thousands)	Gross 30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or More Past Due	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans	90 Days Past Due & Accruing
September 30, 2014						
Consumer:						
Credit cards	\$ 575	\$ 267	\$ 842	\$ 174,980	\$ 175,822	\$ 18
Other consumer	1,125	449	1,574	103,934	105,508	130
Total consumer	1,700	716	2,416	278,914	281,330	148
Real estate:						
Construction	275	194	469	162,895	163,364	103
Single family residential	2,662	1,649	4,311	432,614	436,925	212
Other commercial	1,134	2,064	3,198	678,650	681,848	-
Total real estate	4,071	3,907	7,978	1,274,159	1,282,137	315
Commercial:						
Commercial	686	474	1,160	248,026	249,186	1
Agricultural	28	134	162	144,995	145,157	-
Total commercial	714	608	1,322	393,021	394,343	1
Other	-	-	-	5,568	5,568	-
Total	\$ 6,485	\$ 5,231	\$ 11,716	\$ 1,951,662	\$ 1,963,378	\$ 464
December 31, 2013						
Consumer:						
Credit cards	\$ 712	\$ 520	\$ 1,232	\$ 183,703	\$ 184,935	\$ 230
Student loans	627	2,264	2,891	23,015	25,906	2,264
Other consumer	911	458	1,369	97,482	98,851	185
Total consumer	2,250	3,242	5,492	304,200	309,692	2,679
Real estate:						
Construction	583	30	613	145,845	146,458	-
Single family residential	2,793	1,114	3,907	388,378	392,285	94
Other commercial	1,019	1,533	2,552	623,781	626,333	82
Total real estate	4,395	2,677	7,072	1,158,004	1,165,076	176
Commercial:						
Commercial	357	376	733	163,596	164,329	96
Agricultural	42	37	79	98,807	98,886	-
Total commercial	399	413	812	262,403	263,215	96
Other	-	-	-	4,655	4,655	-
Total	\$ 7,044	\$ 6,332	\$ 13,376	\$ 1,729,262	\$ 1,742,638	\$ 2,951

Impaired Loans – A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that the Company will not receive all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loans, including scheduled principal and interest payments. This includes loans that are delinquent 90 days or more, nonaccrual loans and certain other loans identified by management. Certain other loans identified by management consist of performing loans with specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses. Impaired loans are carried at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing rate, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

Impairment is evaluated in total for smaller-balance loans of a similar nature and on an individual loan basis for other loans. Impaired loans, or portions thereof, are charged-off when deemed uncollectible.

Edgar Filing: LIME ENERGY CO. - Form DEF 14A

Impaired loans, net of government guarantees and excluding loans acquired, segregated by class of loans, are as follows:

(In thousands)	Unpaid Contractual Principal Balance	Recorded Investment With Allowance	Recorded Investment With Allowance	Total Recorded Investment	Related Allowance	Average Investment	Interest	Average Investment	Interest
						in Impaired Loans	Income Recognized	in Impaired Loans	Income Recognized
						Three Months Ended	Three Months Ended	Nine Months Ended	Nine Months Ended
						September 30, 2014	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2014
September 30, 2014									
Consumer:									
Credit cards	\$ 517	\$ 517	\$ -	\$ 517	\$ -	\$ 471	\$ -	\$ 482	\$ 9
Other consumer	832	780	35	815	28	781	14	821	30
Total consumer	1,349	1,297	35	1,332	-	1,252	14	1,303	39
Real estate:									
Construction	4,496	2,028	3,733	5,761	-	4,323	49	3,662	114
Single family residential									
Other commercial	4,953	4,291	379	4,670	180	4,583	52	4,282	133
Total real estate	3,288	2,830	1,320	4,150	298	6,663	75	8,115	252
Total real estate	12,737	9,149	5,432	14,581	478	15,569	176	16,059	499
Commercial:									
Commercial	791	592	-	592	-	654	7	646	20
Agricultural	460	436	-	436	-	274	3	178	6
Total commercial	1,251	1,028	-	1,028	-	928	10	824	26
Total	\$ 15,337	\$ 11,474	\$ 5,467	\$ 16,941	\$ 506	\$ 17,749	\$ 200	\$ 18,186	\$ 564
December 31, 2013									
Consumer:									
Credit cards	\$ 520	\$ 520	\$ -	\$ 520	\$ 16	\$ 514	\$ 3	\$ 517	\$ 11
Other consumer	925	878	32	910	171	946	9	1,014	30
Total consumer	1,445	1,398	32	1,430	187	1,460	12	1,531	41
Real estate:									
Construction	3,251	2,036	1,171	3,207	371	3,212	32	3,814	114
Single family residential									
Other commercial	4,497	2,306	1,645	3,951	745	3,231	32	3,705	111
Total real estate	10,328	6,868	2,319	9,187	564	7,932	78	12,609	377
Total real estate	18,076	11,210	5,135	16,345	1,680	14,375	142	20,128	602
Commercial:									
Commercial	547	383	78	461	80	603	6	651	19
Agricultural	117	80	-	80	13	82	1	86	3
Total commercial	664	463	78	541	93	685	7	737	22
Total	\$ 20,185	\$ 13,071	\$ 5,245	\$ 18,316	\$ 1,960	\$ 16,520	\$ 161	\$ 22,396	\$ 665

At September 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, impaired loans, net of government guarantees and excluding loans acquired, totaled \$16.9million and \$18.3 million, respectively. Allocations of the allowance for loan losses relative to

impaired loans were \$0.5 million at September 30, 2014, and \$2.0 million at December 31, 2013. Approximately \$200,000 and \$564,000 of interest income was recognized on average impaired loans of \$17.7 million and \$18.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. Interest income recognized on impaired loans on a cash basis during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 was not material.

Included in certain impaired loan categories are troubled debt restructurings (“TDRs”). When the Company restructures a loan to a borrower that is experiencing financial difficulty and grants a concession that it would not otherwise consider, a “troubled debt restructuring” results and the Company classifies the loan as a TDR. The Company grants various types of concessions, primarily interest rate reduction and/or payment modifications or extensions, with an occasional forgiveness of principal.

Under ASC Topic 310-10-35 – Subsequent Measurement, a TDR is considered to be impaired, and an impairment analysis must be performed. The Company assesses the exposure for each modification, either by collateral discounting or by calculation of the present value of future cash flows, and determines if a specific allocation to the allowance for loan losses is required.

Once an obligation has been restructured because of such credit problems, it continues to be considered a TDR until paid in full; or, if an obligation yields a market interest rate and no longer has any concession regarding payment amount or amortization, then it is not considered a TDR at the beginning of the calendar year after the year in which the improvement takes place. The Company returns TDRs to accrual status only if (1) all contractual amounts due can reasonably be expected to be repaid within a prudent period, and (2) repayment has been in accordance with the contract for a sustained period, typically at least six months.

The following table presents a summary of troubled debt restructurings, excluding loans acquired, segregated by class of loans.

(Dollars in thousands)	Accruing TDR Loans		Nonaccrual TDR Loans		Total TDR Loans	
	Number	Balance	Number	Balance	Number	Balance
September 30, 2014						
Real estate:						
Construction	-	\$ -	1	\$ 433	1	\$ 433
Single-family residential	2	395	1	3	3	398
Other commercial	3	1,839	1	623	4	2,462
Total real estate	5	2,234	3	1,059	8	3,293
Total	5	\$ 2,234	3	\$ 1,059	8	\$ 3,293
December 31, 2013						
Real estate:						
Construction	1	\$ 988	-	\$ -	1	\$ 988
Single-family residential	4	862	-	-	4	862
Other commercial	9	6,974	1	608	10	7,582
Total real estate	14	8,824	1	608	15	9,432
Commercial:						
Commercial	1	39	1	60	2	99
Agricultural	1	635	-	-	1	635
Total commercial	2	674	1	60	3	734
Total	16	\$ 9,498	2	\$ 668	18	\$ 10,166

The following table presents loans that were restructured as TDRs during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013, excluding loans acquired, segregated by class of loans.

(Dollars in thousands)	Number of Loans	Balance Prior to TDR	Balance at September 30	Modification Type		Financial Impact on Date of Restructure
				Change in Maturity Date	Change in Rate	
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014						
Real estate:						
Other commercial	1	\$ 1,031	\$ 1,031	\$ -	\$ 1,031	\$ -
Total real estate	1	1,031	1,031	-	1,031	-
Commercial:						
Commercial	1	599	-	-	-	-
Total commercial	1	599	-	-	-	-
Total	2	\$ 1,630	\$ 1,031	\$ -	\$ 1,031	\$ -
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013						
Real estate:						
Single-family residential	1	\$ 321	\$ 311	\$ -	\$ 311	\$ -
Total real estate	1	321	311	-	311	-
Total	1	\$ 321	\$ 311	\$ -	\$ 311	\$ -

During the three months ended September 30, 2014, the Company did not modify any loans which were deemed troubled debt restructurings. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company modified two loans with a total recorded investment of \$1,630,000 prior to modification which were deemed troubled debt restructuring. The restructured loans were modified by various terms, including changing the maturity date and deferring amortized principal payments. Based on the fair value of the collateral, no specific reserve was determined necessary for these loans. Also, there was no immediate financial impact from the restructuring of these loans, as it was not considered necessary to charge-off interest or principal on the date of restructure. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, one of the restructured loans with a prior balance of \$599,000 was paid off.

During the three months ended September 30, 2013, the Company did not modify any loans which were deemed troubled debt restructurings. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company modified one loan with a recorded investment of \$321,000 prior to modification which was deemed troubled debt restructuring. The restructured loan was modified by lowering of the interest rate. Based on the fair value of the collateral, no specific reserve was determined necessary for this loan. Also, there was no immediate financial impact from the restructuring of this loan, as it was not considered necessary to charge-off interest or principal on the date of restructure.

There were no loans for which a payment default occurred during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, and that had been modified as a TDR within 12 months or less of the payment default, excluding loans acquired. We define a payment default as a payment received more than 90 days after its due date.

Credit Quality Indicators – As part of the on-going monitoring of the credit quality of the Company's loan portfolio, management tracks certain credit quality indicators including trends related to (i) the weighted-average risk rating of commercial and real estate loans, (ii) the level of classified commercial and real estate loans, (iii) net charge-offs, (iv) non-performing loans (see details above) and (v) the general economic conditions in the States of Arkansas, Kansas and Missouri.

The Company utilizes a risk rating matrix to assign a risk rate to each of its commercial and real estate loans. Loans are rated on a scale of 1 to 8. A description of the general characteristics of the 8 risk ratings is as follows:

- Risk Rate 1 – Pass (Excellent) – This category includes loans which are virtually free of credit risk. Borrowers in this category represent the highest credit quality and greatest financial strength.
- Risk Rate 2 – Pass (Good) - Loans under this category possess a nominal risk of default. This category includes borrowers with strong financial strength and superior financial ratios and trends. These loans are generally fully secured by cash or equivalents (other than those rated "excellent").
- Risk Rate 3 – Pass (Acceptable – Average) - Loans in this category are considered to possess a normal level of risk. Borrowers in this category have satisfactory financial strength and adequate cash flow coverage to service debt requirements. If secured, the perfected collateral should be of acceptable quality and within established borrowing parameters.
- Risk Rate 4 – Pass (Monitor) - Loans in the Watch (Monitor) category exhibit an overall acceptable level of risk, but that risk may be increased by certain conditions, which represent "red flags". These "red flags" require a higher level of supervision or monitoring than the normal "Pass" rated credit. The borrower may be experiencing these conditions for the first time, or it may be recovering from weakness, which at one time justified a harsher rating. These conditions may include: weaknesses in financial trends; marginal cash flow; one-time negative operating results; non-compliance with policy or borrowing agreements; poor diversity in operations; lack of adequate monitoring information or lender supervision; questionable management ability/stability.
- Risk Rate 5 – Special Mention - A loan in this category has potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the asset or in the institution's credit position at some future date. Special Mention loans are not adversely classified (although they are "criticized") and do not expose an institution to sufficient risk to warrant adverse classification. Borrowers may be experiencing adverse operating trends, or an ill-proportioned balance sheet. Non-financial characteristics of a Special Mention rating may include management problems, pending litigation, a non-existent, or ineffective loan agreement or other material structural weakness, and/or other significant deviation from prudent lending practices.
- Risk Rate 6 – Substandard - A Substandard loan is inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the borrower or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified must have a well-defined weakness, or weaknesses, that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. The loans are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. This does not imply ultimate loss of the principal, but may involve burdensome administrative expenses and the accompanying cost to carry the loan.
- Risk Rate 7 – Doubtful – A loan classified Doubtful has all the weaknesses inherent in a substandard loan except that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full (on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values) highly questionable and improbable. Doubtful borrowers are usually in default, lack adequate liquidity, or capital, and lack the resources necessary to remain an operating entity. The possibility of loss is extremely high, but because of specific pending events that may strengthen the asset, its classification as loss is deferred. Pending factors include: proposed merger or acquisition; liquidation procedures; capital injection; perfection of liens on additional

collateral; and refinancing plans. Loans classified as Doubtful are placed on nonaccrual status.

- Risk Rate 8 – Loss - Loans classified Loss are considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuance as bankable assets is not warranted. This classification does not mean that the loans has absolutely no recovery or salvage value, but rather it is not practical or desirable to defer writing off this basically worthless loan, even though partial recovery may be affected in the future. Borrowers in the Loss category are often in bankruptcy, have formally suspended debt repayments, or have otherwise ceased normal business operations. Loans should be classified as Loss and charged-off in the period in which they become uncollectible.

Loans acquired, including loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, are evaluated using this internal grading system. Loans acquired through FDIC-assisted transactions are accounted for in pools, and all of the loan pools were considered satisfactory at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Loans acquired in the Metropolitan and Delta Trust acquisitions are evaluated individually and include purchased credit impaired loans of \$27.4 million that are classified as substandard at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. Of the remaining loans acquired in the Metropolitan and Delta Trust transactions, \$29.3 million and \$31.2 million were classified at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Loans acquired, covered by loss share agreements, have additional protection provided by the FDIC. See Note 5, Loans Acquired, for further discussion of the acquired loans, loan pools and loss sharing agreements.

Purchased credit impaired loans are loans that showed evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination and for which it is probable, at acquisition, that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts contractually owed. Their fair value was initially based on the estimate of cash flows, both principal and interest, expected to be collected or estimated collateral values if cash flows are not estimable, discounted at prevailing market rates of interest. The difference between the undiscounted cash flows expected at acquisition and the fair value at acquisition is recognized as interest income on a level-yield method over the life of the loan. Contractually required payments for interest and principal that exceed the undiscounted cash flows expected at acquisition are not recognized as a yield adjustment. Increases in expected cash flows subsequent to the initial investment are recognized prospectively through adjustment of the yield on the loan over its remaining life. Decreases in expected cash flows are recognized as impairment.

Classified loans for the Company include loans in Risk Ratings 6, 7 and 8. Loans may be classified, but not considered impaired, due to one of the following reasons: (1) The Company has established minimum dollar amount thresholds for loan impairment testing. Loans rated 6 – 8 that fall under the threshold amount are not tested for impairment and therefore are not included in impaired loans. (2) Of the loans that are above the threshold amount and tested for impairment, after testing, some are considered to not be impaired and are not included in impaired loans. Total classified loans, excluding covered and non-covered loans acquired in FDIC-assisted transactions, were \$91.4 million and \$94.5 million, as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Edgar Filing: LIME ENERGY CO. - Form DEF 14A

The following table presents a summary of loans by credit risk rating as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, segregated by class of loans.

(In thousands)	Risk Rate 1-4	Risk Rate 5	Risk Rate 6	Risk Rate 7	Risk Rate 8	Total
September 30, 2014						
Consumer:						
Credit cards	\$ 175,305	\$ -	\$ 517	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 175,822
Student loans	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other consumer	104,408	-	1,055	45	-	105,508
Total consumer	279,713	-	1,572	45	-	281,330
Real estate:						
Construction	157,714	41	5,609	-	-	163,364
Single family residential	426,747	1,891	8,204	83	-	436,925
Other commercial	668,403	2,845	10,600	-	-	681,848
Total real estate	1,252,864	4,777	24,413	83	-	1,282,137
Commercial:						
Commercial	239,308	2,550	7,318	10	-	249,186
Agricultural	143,853	-	1,304	-	-	145,157
Total commercial	383,161	2,550	8,622	10	-	394,343
Other	5,568	-	-	-	-	5,568
Loans acquired, not covered by FDIC loss share	610,740	8,643	54,794	1,873	6	676,056
Loans acquired, covered by FDIC loss share	118,158	-	-	-	-	118,158
Total	\$ 2,650,204	\$ 15,970	\$ 89,401	\$ 2,011	\$ 6	\$ 2,757,592

(In thousands)	Risk Rate 1-4	Risk Rate 5	Risk Rate 6	Risk Rate 7	Risk Rate 8	Total
December 31, 2013						
Consumer:						
Credit cards	\$ 184,415	\$ -	\$ 520	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 184,935
Student loans	23,642	-	2,264	-	-	25,906
Other consumer	97,655	2	1,121	56	17	98,851
Total consumer	305,712	2	3,905	56	17	309,692
Real estate:						
Construction	142,213	71	4,174	-	-	146,458
Single family residential	383,934	1,412	6,939	-	-	392,285
Other commercial	600,045	7,597	18,691	-	-	626,333
Total real estate	1,126,192	9,080	29,804	-	-	1,165,076
Commercial:						
Commercial	162,118	200	2,001	10	-	164,329
Agricultural	98,761	-	125	-	-	98,886
Total commercial	260,879	200	2,126	10	-	263,215
Other	4,655	-	-	-	-	4,655
	457,097	-	58,547	-	-	515,644

Loans acquired, not covered by FDIC loss share								
Loans acquired, covered by FDIC loss share	146,653	-	-	-	-	-	-	146,653
Total	\$ 2,301,188	\$ 9,282	\$ 94,382	\$ 66	\$ 17	\$ 2,404,935		

Net (charge-offs)/recoveries for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, excluding loans acquired, segregated by class of loans, were as follows:

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Consumer:				
Credit cards	\$ (598)	\$ (535)	\$ (1,653)	\$ (1,747)
Student loans	(9)	(8)	(38)	(38)
Other consumer	(517)	(327)	(806)	(670)
Total consumer	(1,124)	(870)	(2,497)	(2,455)
Real estate:				
Construction	30	-	(424)	(119)
Single-family residential	(31)	(100)	(389)	(189)
Other commercial	(154)	4	(161)	(551)
Total real estate	(155)	(96)	(974)	(859)
Commercial:				
Commercial	(308)	(5)	(520)	(62)
Agriculture	5	25	(13)	(7)
Total commercial	(303)	20	(533)	(69)
Total	\$ (1,582)	\$ (946)	\$ (4,004)	\$ (3,383)

Allowance for Loan Losses – The allowance for loan losses is a reserve established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense, which represents management’s best estimate of probable losses that have been incurred within the existing portfolio of loans. The allowance, in the judgment of management, is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The Company’s allowance for loan loss methodology includes allowance allocations calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 310-10, Receivables, and allowance allocations calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 450-20, Loss Contingencies. Accordingly, the methodology is based on the Company’s internal grading system, specific impairment analysis, qualitative and quantitative factors.

As mentioned above, allocations to the allowance for loan losses are categorized as either specific allocations or general allocations.

A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that the Company will not receive all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan, including scheduled principal and interest payments. For a collateral dependent loan, the Company’s evaluation process includes a valuation by appraisal or other collateral analysis. This valuation is compared to the remaining outstanding principal balance of the loan. If a loss is determined to be probable, the loss is included in the allowance for loan losses as a specific allocation. If the loan is not collateral dependent, the measurement of loss is based on the fair value of the difference between the expected and contractual future cash flows of the loan.

The general allocation is calculated monthly based on management’s assessment of several factors such as (1) historical loss experience based on loan volumes and types, (2) volume and trends in delinquencies and nonaccruals, (3) lending policies and procedures including those for loan losses, collections and recoveries, (4) national, state and local economic trends and conditions, (5) concentrations of credit within the loan portfolio, (6) the experience, ability and depth of lending management and staff and (7) other factors and trends that will affect specific loans and categories of loans. The Company establishes general allocations for each major loan category. This category also includes allocations to loans which are collectively evaluated for loss such as credit cards, one-to-four family owner occupied residential real estate loans and other consumer loans.

The following table details activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. Allocation of a portion of the allowance to one category of loans does not preclude its availability to absorb losses in other categories.

(In thousands)	Commercial	Real Estate	Credit Card	Other Consumer and Other	Total
Three Months Ended September 30, 2014					
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 3,951	\$ 16,169	\$ 5,510	\$ 1,900	\$ 27,530
Provision for loan losses	994	(419)	576	(23)	1,128
Charge-offs	(474)	(534)	(788)	(648)	(2,444)
Recoveries	171	379	190	122	862
Net charge-offs	(303)	(155)	(598)	(526)	(1,582)
Balance, September 30, 2014	\$ 4,642	\$ 15,595	\$ 5,488	\$ 1,351	\$ 27,076
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014					
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 3,205	\$ 16,885	\$ 5,430	\$ 1,922	\$ 27,442
Provision for loan losses	1,970	(316)	1,711	273	3,638
Charge-offs	(734)	(2,484)	(2,329)	(1,220)	(6,767)
Recoveries	201	1,510	676	376	2,763
Net charge-offs	(533)	(974)	(1,653)	(844)	(4,004)
Balance, September 30, 2014	\$ 4,642	\$ 15,595	\$ 5,488	\$ 1,351	\$ 27,076
Period-end amount allocated to:					
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ -	\$ 478	\$ -	\$ 28	\$ 506
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	4,642	15,117	5,488	1,323	26,570
Balance, September 30, 2014	\$ 4,462	\$ 15,595	\$ 5,488	\$ 1,351	\$ 27,076

Edgar Filing: LIME ENERGY CO. - Form DEF 14A

Activity in the allowance for loan losses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 was as follows:

(In thousands)	Commercial	Real Estate	Credit Card	Other Consumer and Other	Total
Three Months Ended September 30, 2013					
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 3,719	\$ 15,475	\$ 6,876	\$ 1,328	\$ 27,398
Provision for loan losses	(15)	308	369	419	1,081
Charge-offs	(20)	(247)	(770)	(449)	(1,486)
Recoveries	40	151	235	114	540
Net charge-offs	20	(96)	(535)	(335)	(946)
Balance, September 30, 2013	\$ 3,724	\$ 15,687	\$ 6,710	\$ 1,412	\$ 27,533
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013					
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 3,446	\$ 15,453	\$ 7,211	\$ 1,772	\$ 27,882
Provision for loan losses	347	1,093	1,246	348	3,034
Charge-offs	(249)	(1,373)	(2,422)	(1,133)	(5,177)
Recoveries	180	514	675	425	1,794
Net charge-offs	(69)	(859)	(1,747)	(708)	(3,383)
Balance, September 30, 2013	\$ 3,724	\$ 15,687	\$ 6,710	\$ 1,412	\$ 27,533
Period-end amount allocated to:					
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 118	\$ 1,461	\$ 77	\$ 158	\$ 1,814
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	3,606	14,226	6,633	1,254	25,719
Balance, September 30, 2013	\$ 3,724	\$ 15,687	\$ 6,710	\$ 1,412	\$ 27,533
Period-end amount allocated to:					
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 93	\$ 1,680	\$ 16	\$ 171	\$ 1,960
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	3,112	15,205	5,414	1,751	25,482
Balance, December 31, 2013	\$ 3,205	\$ 16,885	\$ 5,430	\$ 1,922	\$ 27,442

The Company's recorded investment in loans, excluding loans acquired, related to each balance in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment on the basis of the Company's impairment methodology was as follows:

(In thousands)	Commercial	Real Estate	Credit Card	Other Consumer and Other	Total
September 30, 2014					
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,028	\$ 14,581	\$ 517	\$ 815	\$ 16,941
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	393,315	1,267,556	175,305	110,261	1,946,437
Balance, end of period	\$ 394,343	\$ 1,282,137	\$ 175,822	\$ 111,076	\$ 1,963,378
December 31, 2013					
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 541	\$ 16,345	\$ 520	\$ 910	\$ 18,316
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	262,674	1,148,731	184,415	128,502	1,724,322
Balance, end of period	\$ 263,215	\$ 1,165,076	\$ 184,935	\$ 129,412	\$ 1,742,638

NOTE 5:

LOANS ACQUIRED

During the third quarter of 2014, the Company evaluated \$308.3 million of net loans (\$316.2 million gross loans less \$7.9 million discount) purchased in conjunction with the acquisition of Delta Trust, described in Note 2, Acquisitions, in accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 310-20, Nonrefundable Fees and Other Costs. The fair value discount is being accreted into interest income over the weighted average life of the loans using a constant yield method. These loans are not considered to be impaired loans. The Company evaluated the remaining \$3.4 million of net loans (\$10.6 million gross loans less \$7.5 million discount) purchased in conjunction with the acquisition of Delta Trust for impairment in accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 310-30, Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality. Purchased loans are considered impaired if there is evidence of credit deterioration since origination and if it is probable that not all contractually required payments will be collected.

During the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company evaluated \$429.0 million of net loans (\$442.0 million gross loans less \$13.0 million discount) purchased in conjunction with the acquisition of Metropolitan, described in Note 2, Acquisitions, in accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 310-20, Nonrefundable Fees and Other Costs. The fair value discount is being accreted into interest income over the weighted average life of the loans using a constant yield method. These loans are not considered to be impaired loans. The Company evaluated the remaining \$28.4 million of net loans (\$52.8 million gross loans less \$24.5 million discount) purchased in conjunction with the acquisition of Metropolitan for impairment in accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 310-30, Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality. Purchased loans are considered impaired if there is evidence of credit deterioration since origination and if it is probable that not all contractually required payments will be collected.

The Company evaluated all of the loans purchased in conjunction with its previous FDIC-assisted transactions in accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 310-30. All loans acquired in the FDIC transactions, both covered and not covered, were deemed to be impaired loans. All loans acquired, whether or not covered by FDIC loss share agreements, are considered impaired if there is evidence of credit deterioration since origination and if it is probable that not all contractually required payments will be collected. These loans were not classified as nonperforming assets at September 30, 2014 or December 31, 2013, as the loans are accounted for on a pooled basis and the pools are considered to be performing. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for further discussion of loans acquired

The following table reflects the carrying value of all acquired loans as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

(in thousands)	Loans Acquired	
	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Consumer:		
Credit Cards	\$ 4,704	\$ 8,116
Other consumer	7,638	15,242
Total consumer	12,342	23,358
Real estate:		
Construction	40,560	58,954
Single family residential	123,163	169,599
Other commercial	282,640	338,529
Total real estate	446,363	567,082
Commercial:		
Commercial	335,509	71,857
Total commercial	335,509	71,857
Total loans acquired (1)	\$ 794,214	\$ 662,297

-
- (1) Included in loans acquired were \$118.2 million and \$146.7 million of loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Loans acquired as a part of the Metropolitan and Delta Trust transactions were individually evaluated and recorded at estimated fair value, including estimated credit losses, at the time of acquisition. The loans acquired in FDIC assisted transactions were grouped into pools based on common risk characteristics and the pools were recorded at their estimated fair values, which incorporated estimated credit losses at the acquisition date. These loans and loan pools are systematically reviewed by the Company to determine the risk of losses that may exceed those identified at the time of the acquisition. Techniques used in determining risk of loss are similar to the Company's legacy loan portfolio, with most focus being placed on those loans which include the larger loan relationships and those loans which exhibit higher risk characteristics.

The amount of the estimated cash flows expected to be received from the acquired loan pools and purchased credit impaired loans in excess of the fair values recorded for the loan pools and the purchased credit impaired loans is referred to as the accretable yield. The accretable yield is recognized as interest income over the estimated lives of the loans. Each quarter, the Company estimates the cash flows expected to be collected from the acquired loan pools and purchased credit impaired loans, and adjustments may or may not be required. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2011, the cash flows estimate has increased on the loans acquired in 2010 based on payment histories and reduced loss expectations of the loan pools. This has resulted in increased interest income that is spread on a level-yield basis over the remaining expected lives of the loan pools. Because these particular loan pools are covered by FDIC loss share, the increases in expected cash flows also reduce the amount of expected reimbursements under the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, which are recorded as indemnification assets. The estimated adjustments to the indemnification assets are amortized on a level-yield basis over the remainder of the loss sharing agreements or the remaining expected lives of the loan pools, whichever is shorter.

The impact of the adjustments on the Company's financial results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 is shown below:

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2014	2013	September 30, 2014	2013
Impact on net interest income	\$4,974	\$ 4,005	\$18,216	\$ 10,102
Non-interest income	3,724	(3,844)	17,570	(9,734)
Net impact to pre-tax income	1,250	161	646	368
Net impact, net of taxes	\$760	\$ 98	\$393	\$ 224

Because these adjustments will be recognized over the remaining lives of the loan pools and the remainder of the loss sharing agreements, respectively, they will impact future periods as well. The current estimate of the remaining accretable yield adjustment that will positively impact interest income is \$19.3 million and the remaining adjustment to the indemnification assets that will reduce non-interest income is \$10.5 million. Of the remaining adjustments, the Company expects to recognize \$3.6 million of interest income and a \$2.9 million reduction of non-interest income, for a net reduction to pre-tax income of approximately \$0.7 million during the remainder of 2014. The accretable yield adjustments recorded in future periods will change as the Company continues to evaluate expected cash flows from the acquired loan pools.

Changes in the carrying amount of the accretible yield for all purchased impaired loans were as follows for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014	
	Accretible Yield	Carrying Amount of Loans	Accretible Yield	Carrying Amount of Loans
Beginning balance	\$ 31,290	\$ 192,093	\$ 41,385	\$ 234,785
Additions	234	3,404	234	3,404
Accretible yield adjustments	(877)	-	4,534	-
Accretion	(6,063)	6,063	(21,569)	21,569
Payments and other reductions, net	-	(12,960)	-	(71,158)
Balance, ending	\$ 24,584	\$ 188,600	\$ 24,584	\$ 188,600

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	
	Accretible Yield	Carrying Amount of Loans	Accretible Yield	Carrying Amount of Loans
Beginning balance	\$ 47,918	\$ 227,236	\$ 58,066	\$ 293,606
Additions	-	9,047	-	9,047
Accretible yield adjustments	17,380	-	23,763	-
Accretion	(8,384)	8,384	(24,915)	24,915
Payments and other reductions, net	-	(27,650)	-	(110,551)
Balance, ending	\$ 56,914	\$ 217,017	\$ 56,914	\$ 217,017

Purchased impaired loans on the FDIC-assisted transactions are evaluated in pools with similar characteristics. No pools evaluated by the Company were determined to have experienced impairment in the estimated credit quality or cash flows. For Metropolitan and Delta Trust, purchased impaired loans are evaluated on an individual borrower basis. No loans evaluated by the Company were determined to have experienced further impairment. Therefore, there were no allowances for loan losses related to the purchased impaired loans at September 30, 2014 or December 31, 2013.

The purchase and assumption agreements for the FDIC-assisted acquisitions allow for the FDIC to recover a portion of the funds previously paid out under the indemnification agreement in the event losses fail to reach the expected loss level under a claw back provision (“true-up provision”). The amount of the true-up provision for each acquisition is measured and recorded at Day 1 fair values. It is calculated as the difference between management’s estimated losses on covered loans and covered foreclosed assets and the loss threshold contained in each loss share agreement, multiplied by the applicable clawback provisions contained in each loss share agreement. This true-up amount, which is payable to the FDIC upon termination of the applicable loss share agreement, is then discounted back to net present value. To the extent that actual losses on covered loans and covered foreclosed assets are less than estimated losses, the applicable true-up provision payable to the FDIC upon termination of the loss share agreements will increase. To the extent that actual losses on covered loans and covered foreclosed assets are more than estimated losses, the applicable true-up provision payable to the FDIC upon termination of the loss share agreements will decrease.

The following table presents a summary of the changes in the FDIC true-up provision for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2013
Beginning balance	\$ 7,768	\$ 5,577	\$ 6,768	\$ 4,854
FDIC true-up provision recorded on new acquisitions	-	-	-	-
Amortization expense	42	40	126	121
Adjustments related to changes in expected losses	278	350	1,194	992
Balance, ending	\$ 8,088	\$ 5,967	\$ 8,088	\$ 5,967

NOTE 6: GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill is tested annually, or more than annually, if circumstances warrant, for impairment. If the implied fair value of goodwill is lower than its carrying amount, goodwill impairment is indicated and goodwill is written down to its implied fair value. Subsequent increases in goodwill value are not recognized in the financial statements. Goodwill totaled \$108.2 million at September 30, 2014 and \$78.5 million at December 31, 2013. The Company recorded \$29.1 million of goodwill during the third quarter as a result of its Delta Trust acquisition.

Core deposit premiums are amortized over a ten year period and are periodically evaluated, at least annually, as to the recoverability of their carrying value. Core deposit premiums of \$4.3 million were recorded in the third quarter as part of the Delta Trust acquisition. These core deposits premiums will be amortized over a ten year period.

The Delta Trust acquisition included some significant lines of business related to investments, trust and insurance. The Company recorded \$5.0 million of intangible assets related to those acquired lines of business during the third quarter. These intangible assets will be amortized over various periods ranging from 10 to 15 years.

On September 30, 2013, the Company acquired a credit card portfolio and recorded Purchased Credit Card Relationships (“PCCR’s”) of \$2.1 million. This intangible asset is being amortized over a five year period.

The Company’s goodwill and other intangibles (carrying basis and accumulated amortization) at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, were as follows:

(In thousands)	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Goodwill	\$ 108,158	\$ 78,529
Core deposit premiums:		
Gross carrying amount	18,318	15,245
Accumulated amortization	(2,039)	(2,237)
Core deposit premiums, net	16,279	13,008
Purchased credit card relationships:		
Gross carrying amount	2,068	2,068
Accumulated amortization	(414)	(104)
Purchased credit card relationships, net	1,654	1,964
Books of business intangible:		
Gross carrying amount	5,041	-
Accumulated amortization	-	-
Books of business intangible, net	5,041	-
Other misc. intangibles, net	14	-
Other intangible assets, net	22,988	14,972
Total goodwill and other intangible assets	\$ 131,146	\$ 93,501

Core deposit premium amortization expense recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 was \$344,000 and \$1,043,000, respectively. Core deposit premium amortization expense recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$135,000 and \$408,000, respectively. The Company's estimated remaining amortization expense on core deposit premiums as of September 30, 2014, is as follows:

(In thousands)	Year	Amortization Expense
	Remaining in 2014	\$ 495
	2015	1,819
	2016	1,817
	2017	1,817
	2018	1,817
	Thereafter	8,514
	Total	\$ 16,279

PCCR amortization expense recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 was \$103,000 and \$310,000, respectively. There was no PCCR amortization expense recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. The Company's estimated remaining amortization expense on PCCR's as of September 30, 2014, is as follows:

(In thousands)	Year	Amortization Expense
	Remaining in 2014	\$ 103
	2015	414
	2016	414
	2017	413
	2018	310
	Total	\$ 1,654

Because the Delta Trust acquisition closed late in the third quarter, the Company will begin recording amortization expense on its acquired books of business beginning October 2014. Therefore, there was no book of business amortization expense recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. There was no book of business amortization expense recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. The Company's estimated remaining amortization expense on the books of business as of September 30, 2014, is as follows:

(In thousands)	Year	Amortization Expense
	Remaining in 2014	\$ 126
	2015	504
	2016	504
	2017	504
	2018	504
	Thereafter	2,899
	Total	\$ 5,041

NOTE 7:

TIME DEPOSITS

Time deposits include approximately \$474,472,000 and \$504,782,000 of certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or more at September 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, respectively.

NOTE 8:

INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes is comprised of the following components:

(In thousands)	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2013
Income taxes currently payable	\$ 13,389	\$ 10,781
Deferred income taxes	(4,456)	(2,274)
Provision for income taxes	\$ 8,933	\$ 8,507

The tax effects of temporary differences related to deferred taxes shown on the balance sheets were:

(In thousands)	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Deferred tax assets		
Loans acquired	\$ 19,741	\$ 21,853
FDIC true-up liability	2,606	2,369
Allowance for loan losses	10,756	10,660
Valuation of foreclosed assets	8,073	7,468
Tax NOLS from acquisition	11,819	11,819
Deferred compensation payable	1,789	1,808
FHLB advances	230	283
Vacation compensation	1,296	1,148
Accumulated depreciation	5,559	4,916
Loan interest	767	767
Unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities	1,221	1,938
Other	10,242	5,885
Total deferred tax assets	74,099	70,914
Deferred tax liabilities		
Deferred loan fee income and expenses, net	(4,930)	(2,697)
FHLB stock dividends	(1,116)	(1,110)
Goodwill and other intangible amortization	(20,779)	(16,506)
FDIC indemnification asset	(11,967)	(19,138)
Other	(1,379)	(1,231)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(40,171)	(40,682)
Net deferred tax assets included in other assets on balance sheets	\$ 33,928	\$ 30,232

A reconciliation of income tax expense at the statutory rate to the Company's actual income tax expense is shown below:

(In thousands)	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2013
Computed at the statutory rate (35%)	\$ 11,194	\$ 9,783
Increase (decrease) in taxes resulting from:		
State income taxes, net of federal tax benefit	783	777
Tax exempt interest income	(2,808)	(1,932)

Tax exempt earnings on BOLI	(384)	(341)
Other differences, net	148	220
Actual tax provision	\$ 8,933	\$ 8,507

The Company follows ASC Topic 740, Income Taxes, which prescribes a recognition threshold and a measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Benefits from tax positions should be recognized in the financial statements only when it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authority that would have full knowledge of all relevant information. A tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than fifty percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Tax positions that previously failed to meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold should be recognized in the first subsequent financial reporting period in which that threshold is met. Previously recognized tax positions that no longer meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold should be derecognized in the first subsequent financial reporting period in which that threshold is no longer met. ASC Topic 740 also provides guidance on the accounting for and disclosure of unrecognized tax benefits, interest and penalties.

The amount of unrecognized tax benefits may increase or decrease in the future for various reasons including adding amounts for current tax year positions, expiration of open income tax returns due to the statutes of limitation, changes in management's judgment about the level of uncertainty, status of examinations, litigation and legislative activity and the addition or elimination of uncertain tax positions.

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction. The Company's U.S. federal income tax returns are open and subject to examinations from the 2011 tax year and forward. The Company's various state income tax returns are generally open from the 2008 and later tax return years based on individual state statute of limitations.

NOTE 9: OTHER BORROWINGS AND SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES

Debt at September 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, consisted of the following components:

(In thousands)	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Other Borrowings		
FHLB advances, due 2014 to 2033, 0.35% to 8.41% secured by real estate loans	\$ 77,396	\$ 71,090
Notes payable, due 12/31/2014 to 12/31/2016, 3.25%, floating rate, unsecured	46,000	46,000
	123,396	117,090
Subordinated Debentures		
Trust preferred securities, due 12/30/2033, floating rate of 2.80% above the three month LIBOR rate, reset quarterly, callable without penalty	20,620	20,620
Total other borrowings and subordinated debentures	\$ 144,016	\$ 137,710

During the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company borrowed \$46.0 million from correspondent banks to partially fund the acquisition of Metropolitan. This debt is unsecured and is scheduled to be repaid in three years or less, by December 31, 2016.

At September 30, 2014, the Company had no Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") advances with original maturities of one year or less.

The Company had total FHLB advances of \$77.4 million at September 30, 2014, with approximately \$564.0 million of additional advances available from the FHLB. The FHLB advances are secured by mortgage loans and investment securities totaling approximately \$658.2 million at September 30, 2014.

The trust preferred securities are tax-advantaged issues that qualify for Tier 1 capital treatment. Distributions on these securities are included in interest expense on long-term debt. Each of the trusts is a statutory business trust organized for the sole purpose of issuing trust securities and investing the proceeds thereof in junior subordinated debentures of the Company, the sole asset of each trust. The preferred securities of each trust represent preferred beneficial interests in the assets of the respective trusts and are subject to mandatory redemption upon payment of the junior subordinated debentures held by the trust. The common securities of each trust are wholly-owned by the Company. Each trust's ability to pay amounts due on the trust preferred securities is solely dependent upon the Company making payment on the related junior subordinated debentures. The Company's obligations under the junior subordinated securities and other relevant trust agreements, in aggregate, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by the Company of each respective trust's obligations under the trust securities issued by each respective trust.

Aggregate annual maturities of long-term debt at September 30, 2014, are:

(In thousands)	Year	Annual Maturities
	2014	\$ 5,309
	2015	15,335
	2016	47,745
	2017	22,051
	2018	6,651
	Thereafter	46,925
	Total	\$ 144,016

NOTE 10: CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

The Company and/or its subsidiaries have various unrelated legal proceedings, most of which involve loan foreclosure activity pending, which, in the aggregate, are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the financial position of the Company and its subsidiaries.

NOTE 11: CAPITAL STOCK

During 2012, the Company announced the substantial completion of its existing stock repurchase program and the adoption by the Board of Directors of a new stock repurchase program. The new program authorizes the repurchase of up to 850,000 additional shares of Class A common stock, or approximately 5% of the shares outstanding. The shares are to be purchased from time to time at prevailing market prices, through open market or unsolicited negotiated transactions, depending upon market conditions. Under the repurchase program, there is no time limit for the stock repurchases, nor is there a minimum number of shares that the Company intends to repurchase. The Company intends to use the repurchased shares to satisfy stock option exercises, payment of future stock awards and dividends and general corporate purposes.

As a result of its announced acquisition of Metropolitan National Bank, the Company suspended its stock repurchases in August of 2013. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for additional information on the Metropolitan acquisition. Under the current stock repurchase plan, the Company can repurchase an additional 154,136 shares.

On March 4, 2014 the Company filed a shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Subsequently, on June 18, 2014 the Company filed Amendment No. 1 to the shelf registration statement. After becoming effective, the shelf registration statement allows the Company to raise capital from time to time, up to an aggregate of \$300 million, through the sale of common stock, preferred stock, stock warrants, stock rights or a combination thereof, subject to market conditions. Specific terms and prices are determined at the time of any offering under a separate prospectus supplement that the Company is required to file with the SEC at the time of the specific offering.

NOTE 12: UNDIVIDED PROFITS

Simmons Bank is subject to a legal limitation on dividends that can be paid to the parent company without prior approval of the applicable regulatory agencies. The approval of the Comptroller of the Currency is required, if the total of all dividends declared by a national bank in any calendar year exceeds the total of its net profits, as defined, for that year combined with its retained net profits of the preceding two years. At September 30, 2014, Simmons Bank had approximately \$6.0 million available for payment of dividends to the Company, without prior regulatory approval.

The risk-based capital guidelines of the Federal Reserve Board and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency include the definitions for (1) a well-capitalized institution, (2) an adequately-capitalized institution, and (3) an undercapitalized institution. The criteria for a well-capitalized institution are: a 5% "Tier 1 leverage capital" ratio, a 6% "Tier 1 risk-based capital" ratio, and a 10% "total risk-based capital" ratio. As of September 30, 2014, Simmons Bank met the capital standards for a well-capitalized institution. The Company's "total risk-based capital" ratio was 13.84% at September 30, 2014.

NOTE 13:

STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

The Company's Board of Directors has adopted various stock compensation plans. The plans provide for the grant of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, and bonus stock awards. Pursuant to the plans, shares are reserved for future issuance by the Company upon the exercise of stock options or awarding of bonus shares granted to directors, officers and other key employees.

The table below summarizes the transactions under the Company's active stock compensation plans for the nine months ended September 30, 2014:

	Stock Options Outstanding		Non-Vested Stock Awards Outstanding	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair-Value
Balance, January 1, 2014	184,410	\$ 27.04	145,635	\$ 26.00
Granted	-	-	92,630	36.55
Stock Options Exercised	(45,160)	25.07	-	-
Stock Awards Vested	-	-	(48,325)	30.46
Forfeited/Expired	-	-	(1,558)	25.64
Balance, September 30, 2014	139,250	\$ 27.71	188,382	\$ 30.21
Exercisable, September 30, 2014	139,250	\$ 27.71		

The following table summarizes information about stock options under the plans outstanding at September 30, 2014:

Range of Exercise Prices	Number of Shares	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
		Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	
\$24.50 - \$24.50	22,800	0.6	\$ 24.50	22,800	\$ 24.50	
26.19 - 27.67	38,700	1.6	26.21	38,700	26.21	
28.42 - 28.42	37,500	2.7	28.42	37,500	28.42	
30.31 - 30.31	40,250	3.7	30.31	40,250	30.31	

Total stock-based compensation expense was \$962,000 and \$1,039,000 during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Stock-based compensation expense is recognized ratably over the requisite service period for all stock-based awards. There was no unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to stock options at September 30, 2014. Unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to non-vested stock awards was \$4.7 million at September 30, 2014. At such date, the weighted-average period over which this unrecognized expense is expected to be recognized was 2.56 years.

The intrinsic value of stock options outstanding and stock options exercisable at September 30, 2014 was \$1.5 million. Intrinsic value represents the difference between the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the period, which was \$38.52 as of September 30, 2014, and the exercise price multiplied by the number of options

outstanding and exercisable at a price below that closing price. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013, was \$607,000 and \$44,000, respectively.

NOTE 14: ADDITIONAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

The following is a summary of the Company's additional cash flow information during the nine months ended:

(In thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013
Interest paid	\$ 10,178	\$ 9,136
Income taxes paid	14,642	11,243
Transfers of loans to foreclosed assets	3,892	5,794
Transfers of loans acquired, covered by FDIC loss share, to foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share	5,480	7,324
Unsettled purchase of credit card portfolio	-	10,999

In connection with the Delta Trust acquisition, accounted for by using the purchase method, the Company acquired assets and assumed liabilities as follows:

(In thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013
Assets acquired (including goodwill)	\$ 446,408	\$ -
Liabilities assumed	378,967	-
Purchase price	67,441	-
Paid in cash	2,394	-
Common stock issued	\$ 65,047	\$ -

NOTE 15: OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES

Other operating expenses consist of the following:

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Professional services	\$ 2,201	\$ 913	\$ 5,447	\$ 3,160
Postage	853	597	2,603	1,838
Telephone	774	542	2,179	1,751
Debit and credit card expense	2,231	1,706	6,553	5,038
Operating supplies	507	341	1,473	1,136
Amortization of intangibles	454	135	1,374	408
Branch right sizing expense	151	533	4,329	533
Other expense	4,355	3,167	11,536	9,665
Total other operating expenses	\$ 11,526	\$ 7,934	\$ 35,492	\$ 23,529

NOTE 16: CERTAIN TRANSACTIONS

From time to time the Company and its subsidiaries have made loans and other extensions of credit to directors, officers, their associates and members of their immediate families. From time to time directors, officers and their

associates and members of their immediate families have placed deposits with the Company's subsidiary, Simmons Bank. Such loans, other extensions of credit and deposits were made in the ordinary course of business, on substantially the same terms (including interest rates and collateral) as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other persons not related to the lender and did not involve more than normal risk of collectability or present other unfavorable features.

NOTE 17:

COMMITMENTS AND CREDIT RISK

The Company grants agri-business, commercial and residential loans to customers throughout Arkansas, Kansas and Missouri, along with credit card loans to customers throughout the United States. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since a portion of the commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Each customer's creditworthiness is evaluated on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary, is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral held varies, but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment, commercial real estate and residential real estate.

At September 30, 2014, the Company had outstanding commitments to extend credit aggregating approximately \$484,594,000 and \$449,423,000 for credit card commitments and other loan commitments. At December 31, 2013, the Company had outstanding commitments to extend credit aggregating approximately \$464,108,000 and \$408,388,000 for credit card commitments and other loan commitments.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company, to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including commercial paper, bond financing, and similar transactions. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers. The Company had total outstanding letters of credit amounting to \$9,721,000 and \$10,349,000 at September 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, respectively, with terms ranging from 7 months to 5 years. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company's deferred revenue under standby letter of credit agreements was approximately \$20,000 and \$10,000, respectively.

NOTE 18:

FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements.

ASC Topic 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The guidance also establishes a fair value hierarchy that requires the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. Topic 820 describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

- Level 1 Inputs – Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 Inputs – Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.
- Level 3 Inputs – Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

In general, fair value is based upon quoted market prices, where available. If such quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based upon internally developed models that primarily use, as inputs, observable market-based parameters. Valuation adjustments may be made to ensure that financial instruments are recorded at fair value. These adjustments may include amounts to reflect counterparty credit quality and the Company's creditworthiness, among other things, as well as unobservable parameters. Any such valuation adjustments are applied consistently over time. The Company's valuation methodologies may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. While management believes the Company's valuation methodologies are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date. Furthermore, the reported fair value amounts have not been comprehensively revalued since the presentation dates, and therefore, estimates of fair value after the balance sheet date may differ significantly from the amounts presented herein. A more detailed description of the valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy, is set forth below.

Following is a description of the inputs and valuation methodologies used for assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis and recognized in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, as well as the general classification of such assets pursuant to the valuation hierarchy. There have been no significant changes in valuation techniques during the periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Available-for-sale securities – Where quoted market prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 1 securities would include highly liquid government bonds, mortgage

products and exchange traded equities. Other securities classified as available-for-sale are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs. For these securities, the Company obtains fair value measurements from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the security's terms and conditions, among other things. In order to ensure the fair values are consistent with ASC Topic 820, we periodically check the fair values by comparing them to another pricing source, such as Bloomberg. The availability of pricing confirms Level 2 classification in the fair value hierarchy. The third-party pricing service is subject to an annual review of internal controls (SSAE 16), which is made available to us for our review. In certain cases where Level 1 or Level 2 inputs are not available, securities are classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy. The Company's investment in a government money market mutual fund (the "AIM Fund") is reported at fair value utilizing Level 1 inputs. The remainder of the Company's available-for-sale securities are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs.

Assets held in trading accounts – The Company’s trading account investment in the AIM Fund is reported at fair value utilizing Level 1 inputs. The remainder of the Company’s assets held in trading accounts are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs.

The following table sets forth the Company’s financial assets by level within the fair value hierarchy that were measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

(In thousands)	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
September 30, 2014				
ASSETS				
Available-for-sale securities:				
U.S. Treasury	\$ 3,991	\$ -	\$ 3,991	\$ -
U.S. Government agencies	280,321	-	280,321	-
Mortgage-backed securities	32	-	32	-
State and political subdivisions	8,903	-	8,903	-
Other securities	24,226	168	24,058	-
Assets held in trading accounts	6,819	3,220	3,599	-
December 31, 2013				
ASSETS				
Available-for-sale securities:				
U.S. Treasury	\$ 3,985	\$ -	\$ 3,985	\$ -
U.S. Government agencies	178,217	-	178,217	-
Mortgage-backed securities	1,891	-	1,891	-
State and political subdivisions	7,861	-	7,861	-
Other securities	20,323	1,504	18,819	-
Assets held in trading accounts	8,978	1,520	7,458	-

Certain financial assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis; that is, the instruments are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (for example, when there is evidence of impairment). Financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis include the following:

Impaired loans (collateral dependent) – Loan impairment is reported when full payment under the loan terms is not expected. Allowable methods for determining the amount of impairment include estimating fair value using the fair value of the collateral for collateral-dependent loans. If the impaired loan is identified as collateral dependent, then the fair value method of measuring the amount of impairment is utilized. This method requires obtaining a current independent appraisal of the collateral and applying a discount factor to the value. A portion of the allowance for loan losses is allocated to impaired loans if the value of such loans is deemed to be less than the unpaid balance. If these allocations cause the allowance for loan losses to require an increase, such increase is reported as a component of the

provision for loan losses. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectability of a loan is confirmed. Impaired loans that are collateral dependent are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy when impairment is determined using the fair value method.

Appraisals are updated at renewal, if not more frequently, for all collateral dependent loans that are deemed impaired by way of impairment testing. Impairment testing for selected loans rated Special Mention or worse begins at \$500,000, with testing on all loans over \$1.5 million rated Special Mention or worse. All collateral dependent impaired loans meeting these thresholds have had updated appraisals or internally prepared evaluations within the last one to two years and these updated valuations are considered in the quarterly review and discussion of the corporate Special Asset Committee. On targeted CRE loans, appraisals/internally prepared valuations may be updated before the typical 1-3 year balloon/maturity period. If an updated valuation results in decreased value, a specific (ASC 310) impairment is placed against the loan, or a partial charge-down is initiated, depending on the circumstances and anticipation of the loan's ability to remain a going concern, possibility of foreclosure, certain market factors, etc.

Foreclosed assets held for sale – Foreclosed assets held for sale are reported at fair value, less estimated costs to sell. At foreclosure, if the fair value, less estimated costs to sell, of the real estate acquired is less than the Company's recorded investment in the related loan, a write-down is recognized through a charge to the allowance for loan losses. Additionally, valuations are periodically performed by management and any subsequent reduction in value is recognized by a charge to income. The fair value of foreclosed assets held for sale is estimated using Level 3 inputs based on observable market data. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the fair value of foreclosed assets held for sale, excluding those covered by FDIC loss share agreements, less estimated costs to sell, was \$50.8 million and \$64.8 million, respectively.

The significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) used in the fair value measurement of collateral for collateral-dependent impaired loans and foreclosed assets primarily relate to the specialized discounting criteria applied to the borrower's reported amount of collateral. The amount of the collateral discount depends upon the condition and marketability of the collateral, as well as other factors which may affect the collectability of the loan. Management's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the asset. It is reasonably possible that a change in the estimated fair value for instruments measured using Level 3 inputs could occur in the future. As the Company's primary objective in the event of default would be to liquidate the collateral to settle the outstanding balance of the loan, collateral that is less marketable would receive a larger discount. During the reported periods, collateral discounts ranged from 10% to 40% for commercial and residential real estate collateral.

Mortgage loans held for sale – Mortgage loans held for sale are reported at fair value if, on an aggregate basis, the fair value of the loans is less than cost. In determining whether the fair value of loans held for sale is less than cost when quoted market prices are not available, the Company may consider outstanding investor commitments, discounted cash flow analyses with market assumptions or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. Such loans are classified within either Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Where assumptions are made using significant unobservable inputs, such loans held for sale are classified as Level 3. At September 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, the aggregate fair value of mortgage loans held for sale exceeded their cost. Accordingly, no mortgage loans held for sale were marked down and reported at fair value.

The following table sets forth the Company's financial assets by level within the fair value hierarchy that were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as of September 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013.

(In thousands)	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
September 30, 2014				
ASSETS				
Impaired loans (1) (2) (collateral dependent)	\$9,207	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,207
Foreclosed assets held for sale (1)	1,519	-	-	1,519
December 31, 2013				
ASSETS				

Impaired loans (1) (2) (collateral dependent)	\$ 2,768	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,768
Foreclosed assets held for sale (1)	642	-	-	642

(1) These amounts represent the resulting carrying amounts on the Consolidated Balance Sheets for impaired collateral dependent loans and foreclosed assets held for sale for which fair value re-measurements took place during the period.

(2) Specific allocations of \$550,000 and \$249,000 were related to the impaired collateral dependent loans for which fair value re-measurements took place during the periods ended September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

ASC Topic 825, Financial Instruments, requires disclosure in annual and interim financial statements of the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities, including those financial assets and financial liabilities that are not measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis or nonrecurring basis. The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments.

Cash and cash equivalents – The carrying amount for cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value (Level 1).

Held-to-maturity securities – Fair values for held-to-maturity securities equal quoted market prices, if available, such as for highly liquid government bonds (Level 1). If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are estimated based on quoted market prices of similar securities. For these securities, the Company obtains fair value measurements from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the security's terms and conditions, among other things (Level 2). In certain cases where Level 1 or Level 2 inputs are not available, securities are classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy.

Loans – The fair value of loans, excluding loans acquired, is estimated by discounting the future cash flows, using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities. Loans with similar characteristics were aggregated for purposes of the calculations (Level 3).

Loans acquired – Fair values of loans acquired are based on a discounted cash flow methodology that considers factors including the type of loan and related collateral, variable or fixed rate, classification status, remaining term, interest rate, historical delinquencies, loan to value ratios, current market rates and remaining loan balance. The loans were grouped together according to similar characteristics and were treated in the aggregate when applying various valuation techniques. The discount rates used for loans were based on current market rates for new originations of similar loans. Estimated credit losses were also factored into the projected cash flows of the loans (Level 3).

FDIC indemnification asset – Fair value of the FDIC indemnification asset is based on the net present value of future cash proceeds expected to be received from the FDIC under the provisions of the loss share agreements using a discount rate that is based on current market rates (Level 3).

Deposits – The fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts and money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amount) (Level 2). The fair value of fixed-maturity time deposits is estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities (Level 3).

Federal Funds purchased, securities sold under agreement to repurchase – The carrying amount for Federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreement to repurchase and short-term debt are a reasonable estimate of fair value (Level 2).

Other borrowings – For short-term instruments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value. For long-term debt, rates currently available to the Company for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities are used to estimate the fair value (Level 2).

Subordinated debentures – The fair value of subordinated debentures is estimated using the rates that would be charged for subordinated debentures of similar remaining maturities (Level 2).

Accrued interest receivable/payable – The carrying amounts of accrued interest approximated fair value (Level 2).

Commitments to extend credit, letters of credit and lines of credit – The fair value of commitments is estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties. For fixed rate loan commitments, fair value also considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and the committed rates. The fair values of letters of credit and lines of credit are based on fees currently charged for similar agreements or on the estimated cost to terminate or otherwise settle the obligations with the counterparties at the reporting date.

The fair value of a financial instrument is the current amount that would be exchanged between willing parties, other than in a forced liquidation. Fair value is best determined based upon quoted market prices. However, in many instances, there are no quoted market prices for the Company's various financial instruments. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. Accordingly, the fair value estimates may not be realized in an immediate settlement of the instrument.

The estimated fair values, and related carrying amounts, of the Company's financial instruments are as follows:

(In thousands)	Carrying Amount	Fair Value Measurements			Total
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
September 30, 2014					
Financial assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 294,296	\$ 294,296	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 294,296
Held-to-maturity securities	822,730	-	825,585	-	825,585
Mortgage loans held for sale	22,003	-	-	22,003	22,003
Interest receivable	18,006	-	18,006	-	18,006
Legacy loans, net of allowance	1,936,302	-	-	1,935,292	1,935,292
Loans acquired, not covered by FDIC loss share	676,056	-	-	656,758	656,758
Loans acquired, covered by FDIC loss share	118,158	-	-	116,860	116,860
FDIC indemnification asset	25,694	-	-	25,694	25,694
Financial liabilities:					
Non-interest bearing transaction accounts	884,064	-	884,064	-	884,064
Interest bearing transaction accounts and savings deposits	1,984,422	-	1,984,422	-	1,984,422
Time deposits	1,040,429	-	-	1,043,464	1,043,464
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase	112,977	-	112,997	-	112,997
Other borrowings	123,396	-	124,925	-	124,925
Subordinated debentures	20,620	-	16,400	-	16,400
Interest payable	1,675	-	1,675	-	1,675
December 31, 2013					
Financial assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 539,380	\$ 539,380	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 539,380
Held-to-maturity securities	745,688	-	731,445	-	731,445
Mortgage loans held for sale	9,494	-	-	9,494	9,494
Interest receivable	15,654	-	15,654	-	15,654
Legacy loans	1,715,196	-	-	1,694,748	1,694,748
Loans acquired, not covered by FDIC loss share	515,644	-	-	513,676	513,676
Loans acquired, covered by FDIC loss share	146,653	-	-	143,814	143,814
FDIC indemnification asset	48,791	-	-	48,791	48,791
Financial liabilities:					
Non-interest bearing transaction accounts	718,438	-	718,438	-	718,438
Interest bearing transaction accounts and savings deposits	1,862,618	-	1,862,618	-	1,862,618

Edgar Filing: LIME ENERGY CO. - Form DEF 14A

Time deposits	1,116,511	-	-	1,120,035	1,120,035
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase	107,887	-	107,887	-	107,887
Other borrowings	117,090	-	117,160	-	117,160
Subordinated debentures	20,620	-	12,991	-	12,991
Interest payable	1,450	-	1,450	-	1,450

The fair value of commitments to extend credit, letters of credit and lines of credit is not presented since management believes the fair value to be insignificant.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Audit Committee, Board of Directors and Stockholders
Simmons First National Corporation
Pine Bluff, Arkansas

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of SIMMONS FIRST NATIONAL CORPORATION as of September 30, 2014, and the related condensed consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income for the three month and nine month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 and stockholders' equity and cash flows for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures to financial data and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2013, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated March 11, 2014, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2013, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

BKD, LLP

/s/ BKD, LLP

Pine Bluff, Arkansas
November 10, 2014

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

OVERVIEW

Our net income for the three months ended September 30, 2014, was \$8.8 million and diluted earnings per share were \$0.52, compared to net income of \$6.9 million and \$0.43 diluted earnings per share for the same period of 2013. Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, was \$23.0 million and diluted earnings per share were \$1.39, compared to net income of \$19.4 million and \$1.19 diluted earnings per share for the same period of 2013.

Net income for the each quarter in both 2014 and 2013 included significant nonrecurring items that impacted net income. The majority of these items, which we will discuss later in this section, were related to our acquisitions. Excluding all nonrecurring items, core earnings for the three months ended September 30, 2014 were \$10.7 million, or \$0.63 diluted core earnings per share, compared to \$7.4 million, or \$0.45 diluted core earnings per share for the same period in 2013. Diluted core earnings per share increased by \$0.18, or 40.0%. Core earnings for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 were \$27.3 million, or \$1.65 diluted core earnings per share, compared to \$19.9 million, or \$1.21 diluted core earnings per share for the same period in 2013. Diluted core earnings per share increased by \$0.44, or 36.4%. See Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Measures and Table 13 – Reconciliation of Core Earnings (non-GAAP) for additional discussion of non-GAAP measures.

On November 25, 2013, we closed the transaction to acquire Metropolitan National Bank (“Metropolitan” or “MNB”), headquartered in Little Rock, Arkansas. During the first quarter of 2014 we completed the system integration and branch consolidation associated with the Metropolitan acquisition. We also entered into a definitive agreement and plan of merger with Delta Trust & Banking Corporation (“Delta Trust”), also headquartered in Little Rock, including its wholly-owned bank subsidiary Delta Trust & Bank, with plans to complete the transaction in the third quarter of 2014.

During the second quarter of 2014, we entered into a definitive agreement and plan of merger with Community First Bancshares, Inc. (“Community First”), headquartered in Union City, Tennessee, including its wholly-owned bank subsidiary First State Bank (“First State”). During the second quarter we also entered into a definitive agreement and plan of merger with Liberty Bancshares, Inc. (“Liberty”), headquartered in Springfield, Missouri, including its wholly-owned bank subsidiary Liberty Bank. We plan to complete both of these transactions in the fourth quarter of 2014 or early in the first quarter of 2015, pending stockholder and regulatory approval.

The third quarter of 2014 was another significant quarter for Simmons. We finalized our acquisition of Delta Trust on August 31, 2014, and completed the systems conversion on October 24, 2014. We added approximately \$417 million in assets from Delta Trust and recognized \$2.2 million in after-tax merger related expenses during the quarter. We again reported record core earnings and record core earnings per share for the quarter. As a result of acquisitions and efficiency initiatives in recent reporting periods, we have and will continue to recognize one-time revenue and expense items which may skew our short-term core business results but provide long-term performance benefits. Our focus continues to be improvement in core operating income.

We are also pleased with the positive trends in our balance sheet, as reflected in our organic loan growth as well as in our growth from acquisitions, which enabled us to produce a net interest margin of 4.36% for the quarter.

Stockholders' equity as of September 30, 2014 was \$484.0 million, book value per share was \$26.90 and tangible book value per share was \$19.61. Our ratio of stockholders' equity to total assets was 10.3% and the ratio of tangible stockholders' equity to tangible assets was 7.7% at September 30, 2014. The Company's Tier I leverage ratio of 9.1%, as well as our other regulatory capital ratios, remain significantly above the “well capitalized” levels (see Table 12 in the Capital section of this Item).

Total assets were \$4.69 billion at September 30, 2014, compared to \$4.38 billion at December 31, 2013 and \$3.44 billion at September 30, 2013. Total loans, including loans acquired, were \$2.76 billion at September 30, 2014, compared to \$2.40 billion at December 31, 2013 and \$1.96 billion at September 30, 2013. We continue to have good asset quality.

Simmons First National Corporation is a \$4.7 billion Arkansas based financial holding company conducting financial operations throughout Arkansas, Kansas and Missouri. Including the pending acquisitions, we project pro forma assets of approximately \$8.0 billion with an expansion of our operations within Arkansas, Missouri, and into Tennessee.

Subsidiary Bank Consolidation

We have completed the consolidation of our subsidiary banks into Simmons First National Bank (“Simmons Bank”), headquartered in Pine Bluff, Arkansas. We announced in March our plans to consolidate our seven subsidiary banks into a single banking organization, Simmons Bank. We completed the first phase by consolidating three subsidiary banks into Simmons Bank in May, and completed the final phase by consolidating the remaining three subsidiary banks into Simmons Bank in August. The elimination of the separate bank charters will increase the Company's efficiency and assist us in more effectively meeting the increased regulatory burden currently facing banking institutions. There are many operational functions that we previously performed separately for each of our seven banks; with the consolidation, these tasks will only need to be performed once.

We believe our customers will experience a positive impact from this change. All of our banking and financial services will continue to be available in the same locations as before the consolidation. Our local management and Community Boards of Directors are committed to maintaining our nearby and neighborly service and this change will allow them more opportunity to meet the needs of our customers and the communities we serve.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Overview

We follow accounting and reporting policies that conform, in all material respects, to generally accepted accounting principles and to general practices within the financial services industry. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. While we base estimates on historical experience, current information and other factors deemed to be relevant, actual results could differ from those estimates.

We consider accounting estimates to be critical to reported financial results if (i) the accounting estimate requires management to make assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain and (ii) different estimates that management reasonably could have used for the accounting estimate in the current period, or changes in the accounting estimate that are reasonably likely to occur from period to period, could have a material impact on our financial statements.

The accounting policies that we view as critical to us are those relating to estimates and judgments regarding (a) the determination of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, (b) acquisition accounting and valuation of covered loans and related indemnification asset, (c) the valuation of goodwill and the useful lives applied to intangible assets, (d) the valuation of employee benefit plans and (e) income taxes.

Allowance for Loan Losses on Loans Not Acquired

The allowance for loan losses is management's estimate of probable losses in the loan portfolio. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectability of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

The allowance for loan losses is calculated monthly based on management's assessment of several factors such as (1) historical loss experience based on volumes and types, (2) volume and trends in delinquencies and nonaccruals, (3) lending policies and procedures including those for loan losses, collections and recoveries, (4) national, state and local economic trends and conditions, (5) concentrations of credit within the loan portfolio, (6) the experience, ability and depth of lending management and staff and (7) other factors and trends that will affect specific loans and categories of loans. We establish general allocations for each major loan category. This category also includes allocations to loans which are collectively evaluated for loss such as credit cards, one-to-four family owner occupied residential real estate loans and other consumer loans. General reserves have been established, based upon the aforementioned factors and allocated to the individual loan categories. Allowances are accrued for probable losses on specific loans evaluated for impairment for which the basis of each loan, including accrued interest, exceeds the discounted amount of expected future collections of interest and principal or, alternatively, the fair value of loan collateral.

Our evaluation of the allowance for loan losses is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates. The actual amounts of loan losses realized in the near term could differ from the amounts estimated in arriving at the allowance for loan losses reported in the financial statements.

Acquisition Accounting, Acquired Loans

We account for our acquisitions under ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations, which requires the use of the purchase method of accounting. All identifiable assets acquired, including loans, are recorded at fair value. No allowance for loan losses related to the acquired loans is recorded on the acquisition date as the fair value of the loans acquired incorporates assumptions regarding credit risk. Loans acquired are recorded at fair value in accordance with the fair value methodology prescribed in ASC Topic 820, exclusive of the shared-loss agreements with the FDIC. The fair value estimates associated with the loans include estimates related to expected prepayments and the amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal, interest and other cash flows.

We evaluate loans acquired in accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 310-20, Nonrefundable Fees and Other Costs. The fair value discount on these loans is accreted into interest income over the weighted average life of the loans using a constant yield method. These loans are not considered to be impaired loans. We evaluate purchased impaired loans accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 310-30, Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality. Purchased loans are considered impaired if there is evidence of credit deterioration since origination and if it is probable that not all contractually required payments will be collected.

We evaluate all of the loans acquired in conjunction with its FDIC-assisted transactions in accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 310-30. All loans acquired in the FDIC transactions, both covered and not covered, were deemed to be impaired loans. All loans acquired, whether or not covered by FDIC loss share agreements, are considered impaired if there is evidence of credit deterioration since origination and if it is probable that not all contractually required payments will be collected.

For impaired loans accounted for under ASC Topic 310-30, we continue to estimate cash flows expected to be collected on pools of loans sharing common risk characteristics, which are treated in the aggregate when applying various valuation techniques, and on purchased credit impaired loans. We evaluate at each balance sheet date whether the present value of our pools of loans and purchased credit impaired loans determined using the effective interest rates has decreased significantly and if so, recognize a provision for loan loss in our consolidated statement of income. For any significant increases in cash flows expected to be collected, we adjust the amount of accretable yield recognized on a prospective basis over the pool's remaining life or over the remaining life of the purchased credit impaired loans.

Covered Loans and Related Indemnification Asset

Because the FDIC will reimburse us for losses incurred on certain acquired loans, an indemnification asset is recorded at fair value at the acquisition date. The indemnification asset is recognized at the same time as the indemnified loans, and measured on the same basis, subject to collectability or contractual limitations. The shared-loss agreements on the acquisition date reflect the reimbursements expected to be received from the FDIC, using an appropriate discount rate, which reflects counterparty credit risk and other uncertainties.

The shared-loss agreements continue to be measured on the same basis as the related indemnified loans, as prescribed by ASC Topic 805. Deterioration in the credit quality of the loans (immediately recorded as an adjustment to the allowance for loan losses) would immediately increase the basis of the shared-loss agreements, with the offset recorded through the consolidated statement of income. Increases in the credit quality or cash flows of loans (reflected as an adjustment to yield and accreted into income over the remaining life of the loans) decrease the basis of the shared-loss agreements, with such decrease being accreted into income over (1) the same period or (2) the life of the shared-loss agreements, whichever is shorter. Loss assumptions used in the basis of the indemnified loans are consistent with the loss assumptions used to measure the indemnification asset. Fair value accounting incorporates into the fair value of the indemnification asset an element of the time value of money, which is accreted back into income over the life of the shared-loss agreements.

Upon the determination of an incurred loss the indemnification asset will be reduced by the amount owed by the FDIC. A corresponding, claim receivable is recorded until cash is received from the FDIC. For further discussion of our acquisition and loan accounting, see Note 5, Loans Acquired, in the accompanying Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of an acquisition over the fair value of the net assets acquired. Other intangible assets represent purchased assets that also lack physical substance but can be separately distinguished from

goodwill because of contractual or other legal rights or because the asset is capable of being sold or exchanged either on its own or in combination with a related contract, asset or liability. We perform an annual goodwill impairment test, and more than annually if circumstances warrant, in accordance with ASC Topic 350, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other, as amended by ASU 2011-08 – Testing Goodwill for Impairment. ASC Topic 350 requires that goodwill and intangible assets that have indefinite lives be reviewed for impairment annually, or more frequently if certain conditions occur. Impairment losses, if any, will be recorded as operating expenses.

Employee Benefit Plans

We have adopted various stock-based compensation plans. The plans provide for the grant of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights and bonus stock awards. Pursuant to the plans, shares are reserved for future issuance by the Company upon exercise of stock options or awarding of bonus shares granted to directors, officers and other key employees.

In accordance with ASC Topic 718, Compensation – Stock Compensation, the fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model that uses various assumptions. This model requires the input of highly subjective assumptions, changes to which can materially affect the fair value estimate. For additional information, see Note 13, Stock Based Compensation, in the accompanying Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

Income Taxes

We are subject to the federal income tax laws of the United States, and the tax laws of the states and other jurisdictions where we conduct business. Due to the complexity of these laws, taxpayers and the taxing authorities may subject these laws to different interpretations. Management must make conclusions and estimates about the application of these innately intricate laws, related regulations, and case law. When preparing the Company's income tax returns, management attempts to make reasonable interpretations of the tax laws. Taxing authorities have the ability to challenge management's analysis of the tax law or any reinterpretation management makes in its ongoing assessment of facts and the developing case law. Management assesses the reasonableness of its effective tax rate quarterly based on its current estimate of net income and the applicable taxes expected for the full year. On a quarterly basis, management also reviews circumstances and developments in tax law affecting the reasonableness of deferred tax assets and liabilities and reserves for contingent tax liabilities.

NET INTEREST INCOME

Overview

Net interest income, our principal source of earnings, is the difference between the interest income generated by earning assets and the total interest cost of the deposits and borrowings obtained to fund those assets. Factors that determine the level of net interest income include the volume of earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, yields earned and rates paid, the level of non-performing loans and the amount of non-interest bearing liabilities supporting earning assets. Net interest income is analyzed in the discussion and tables below on a fully taxable equivalent basis. The adjustment to convert certain income to a fully taxable equivalent basis consists of dividing tax-exempt income by one minus the combined federal and state income tax rate of 39.225%.

Our practice is to limit exposure to interest rate movements by maintaining a significant portion of earning assets and interest bearing liabilities in short-term repricing. Historically, approximately 70% of our loan portfolio and approximately 80% of our time deposits have repriced in one year or less. These historical percentages are fairly consistent with our current interest rate sensitivity.

Net Interest Income Quarter-to-Date Analysis

For the three month period ended September 30, 2014, net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis was \$43.5 million, an increase of \$10.6 million, or 32.2%, over the same period in 2013. The increase in net interest income was the result of a \$11.2 million increase in interest income and a \$0.6 million increase in interest expense.

The increase in interest income primarily resulted from a \$9.5 million increase in interest income on loans and a \$1.7 million increase in interest income on investment securities. The increase in interest income on investment securities was primarily due to volume increases resulting from the Metropolitan acquisition in late 2013. The increase in interest income from loans consisted of a \$9.1 million increase in interest income on loans acquired and a \$0.4 million increase in interest income on legacy loans. Although the increase in legacy loan volume generated \$1.9 million of additional interest income, a 34 basis point decline in yield resulted in a \$1.5 million decrease in interest income, netting the small \$0.4 million increase from legacy loans.

The \$9.1 million increase in interest income from acquired loans resulted from two sources. First, the average balance of acquired loans increased by \$444.6 million from September 30, 2013 to September 30, 2014 because of the Metropolitan and Delta Trust acquisitions. Also, we recognized additional yield accretion in conjunction with the fair value of the loan pools acquired in the 2010 and 2012 FDIC-assisted transactions as discussed in Note 5, Loans Acquired, in the accompanying Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report. Each quarter, we estimate the cash flows expected to be collected from the acquired loan pools. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2011, the cash flows estimate has increased on the loans acquired in 2010 based on payment histories and reduced loss expectations of the loan pools. Beginning in the third quarter of 2013, the cash flows estimate has also increased on the loans acquired in 2012. This resulted in increased interest income that is spread on a level-yield basis over the remaining expected lives of the loan pools. The increases in expected cash flows also reduce the amount of expected reimbursements under the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, which are recorded as indemnification assets. The estimated adjustments to the indemnification assets are amortized on a level-yield basis over the remainder of the loss sharing agreements or the remaining expected life of the loan pools, whichever is shorter, and are recorded in non-interest expense.

For the three months ended September 30, 2014, the adjustments increased interest income by an additional \$1.0 million and increased non-interest income by an additional \$0.1 million compared to the same period in 2013. The net increase to 2014 third quarter pre-tax income was \$1.1 million from 2013. Because these adjustments will be recognized over the estimated remaining lives of the loan pools and the remainder of the loss sharing agreements, respectively, they will impact future periods as well. The current estimate of the remaining accretable yield adjustment that will positively impact interest income is \$19.3 million and the remaining adjustment to the indemnification assets that will reduce non-interest income is \$10.5 million. Of the remaining adjustments, we expect to recognize \$3.6 million of interest income and a \$2.9 million reduction of non-interest income for a net reduction to pre-tax income of approximately \$0.7 million during the remainder of 2014. The accretable yield adjustments recorded in future periods will change as we continue to evaluate expected cash flows from the acquired loan pools.

The \$0.6 million increase in interest expense is primarily the result of \$46.0 million in 3.25% floating rate notes payable issued as partial funding for our Metropolitan acquisition. The decrease in interest expense from lower interest rates on our deposit accounts offset most of the increase in interest expense from the growth in deposits, primarily from Metropolitan.

Net Interest Income Year-to-Date Analysis

For the nine month period ended September 30, 2014, net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis was \$128.8 million, an increase of \$34.1 million, or 36.0%, over the same period in 2013. The increase in net interest income was the result of a \$35.5 million increase in interest income and a \$1.4 million increase in interest expense.

The increase in interest income resulted from a \$29.3 million increase in interest income on loans and a \$6.3 million increase in interest income on investment securities. The increase in interest income on investment securities was primarily due to volume increases resulting from the Metropolitan acquisition in late 2013. The increase in interest income from loans consisted of a \$30.9 million increase in interest income on loans acquired and a \$1.6 million decrease in interest income on legacy loans. Although the increase in legacy loan volume generated \$4.4 million of additional interest income, a 45 basis point decline in yield resulted in a \$6.0 million decrease in interest income, netting the \$1.7 million decrease from legacy loans.

The \$30.9 million increase in interest income from acquired loans resulted from two sources. First, the average balance of acquired loans increased by \$422.4 million because of the Metropolitan and Delta Trust acquisitions. Also, we recognized additional yield accretion from the accretable yield adjustments related to the loan pools acquired in the FDIC-assisted transactions. For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the adjustments increased interest income by an additional \$8.1 million and decreased non-interest income by an additional \$7.7 million compared to the

same period in 2013. The net increase to 2014 year-to-date pre-tax income was \$0.4 million from 2013.

The \$1.4 million increase in interest expense is primarily the result of the \$46.0 million in 3.25% floating rate notes payable issued as partial funding for our Metropolitan acquisition. The decrease in interest expense from lower interest rates on our deposit accounts offset most of the increase in interest expense from the growth in deposits, primarily from Metropolitan.

Net Interest Margin

Our net interest margin increased 9 basis points to 4.36% for the three month period ended September 30, 2014, when compared to 4.27% for the same period in 2013. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2014, net interest margin increased 33 basis points to 4.41% when compared to 4.08% for the same period in 2013. The margin has been strengthened from the impact of the accretable yield adjustments discussed above. Also, the acquisition of loans, along with our ability to stabilize the size of our legacy loan portfolio, has allowed us to increase our level of higher yielding assets. Conversely, while keeping us prepared to benefit from rising interest rates, our high levels of liquidity continue to compress our margin.

Although interest income from our accretable yield adjustments has increased from 2013, the total accretable yield is declining as our FDIC-assisted acquired loan portfolios begin to mature. This reduction in total accretable yield also acts to compress our margin.

Net Interest Income Tables

Tables 1 and 2 reflect an analysis of net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis for the three month and nine month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, as well as changes in fully taxable equivalent net interest margin for the three month and nine month periods ended September 30, 2014, versus September 30, 2013.

Table 1: Analysis of Net Interest Margin
(FTE =Fully Taxable Equivalent)

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Interest income	\$ 45,215	\$ 34,411	\$ 134,092	\$ 100,213
FTE adjustment	1,702	1,324	5,089	3,492
Interest income – FTE	46,917	35,735	139,181	103,705
Interest expense	3,443	2,847	10,403	8,994
Net interest income – FTE	\$ 43,474	\$ 32,888	\$ 128,778	\$ 94,711
Yield on earning assets – FTE	4.71%	4.63%	4.77%	4.46%
Cost of interest bearing liabilities	0.44%	0.47%	0.44%	0.49%
Net interest spread – FTE	4.27%	4.16%	4.33%	3.97%
Net interest margin – FTE	4.36%	4.27%	4.41%	4.08%

Table 2: Changes in Fully Taxable Equivalent Net Interest Margin

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 vs. 2013	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 vs. 2013
	Increase due to change in earning assets	\$ 16,775
Decrease due to change in earning asset yields	(5,593)	(11,653)
Decrease due to change in interest bearing liabilities	(850)	(2,479)
Increase due to change in interest rates paid on interest bearing liabilities	254	1,070

Increase in net interest income	\$	10,586	\$	34,067
---------------------------------	----	--------	----	--------

50

Table 3 shows, for each major category of earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, the average (computed on a daily basis) amount outstanding, the interest earned or expensed on such amount and the average rate earned or expensed for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. The table also shows the average rate earned on all earning assets, the average rate expensed on all interest bearing liabilities, the net interest spread and the net interest margin for the same periods. The analysis is presented on a fully taxable equivalent basis. Nonaccrual loans were included in average loans for the purpose of calculating the rate earned on total loans.

Table 3: Average Balance Sheets and Net Interest Income Analysis

(\$ in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,					
	Average Balance	2014 Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate(%)	Average Balance	2013 Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate(%)
ASSETS						
Earning assets:						
Interest bearing balances due						
from banks	\$ 288,258	\$ 132	0.18	\$ 365,504	\$ 234	0.25
Federal funds sold	6,794	12	0.70	3,719	6	0.64
Investment securities - taxable	789,252	2,043	1.03	492,063	1,357	1.09
Investment securities - non-taxable	321,760	4,369	5.39	253,867	3,384	5.29
Mortgage loans held for sale	24,942	269	4.28	12,171	122	3.98
Assets held in trading accounts	6,841	3	0.17	8,731	6	0.27
Legacy loans	1,917,155	23,848	4.94	1,766,576	23,494	5.28
Loans acquired	601,030	16,241	10.72	156,392	7,132	18.09
Total interest earning assets		46,917	4.71	3,059,023	35,735	4.63
Non-earning assets	482,775			364,397		
Total assets	\$ 4,438,807			\$ 3,423,420		
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY						
Liabilities:						
Interest bearing liabilities						
Interest bearing transaction and savings accounts	\$ 1,869,095	\$ 771	0.16	\$ 1,444,058	\$ 601	0.17
Time deposits	1,013,326	1,461	0.57	819,408	1,392	0.67
Total interest bearing deposits	2,882,421	2,232	0.31	2,263,466	1,993	0.35
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreement to repurchase	108,357	55	0.20	67,924	46	0.27
Other borrowings	117,664	996	3.36	75,704	646	3.39
Subordinated debentures	20,620	160	3.08	20,620	162	3.12
Total interest bearing liabilities	3,129,062	3,443	0.44	2,427,714	2,847	0.47
Non-interest bearing liabilities:						
Non-interest bearing deposits	828,340			559,461		
Other liabilities	38,950			31,867		
Total liabilities	3,996,352			3,019,042		
Stockholders' equity	442,455			404,378		
	\$ 4,438,807			\$ 3,423,420		

Total liabilities and stockholders'
equity

Net interest spread		4.27		4.16
Net interest margin	\$ 43,474	4.36	\$ 32,888	4.27

51

Nine Months Ended September 30,

(\$ in thousands)	2014			2013		
	Average Balance	Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate(%)	Average Balance	Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate(%)
ASSETS						
Earning assets:						
Interest bearing balances due						
from banks	\$ 417,709	\$ 691	0.22	\$ 484,684	\$ 875	0.24
Federal funds sold	2,721	16	0.79	4,709	14	0.40
Investment securities - taxable	725,088	6,050	1.12	486,810	3,886	1.07
Investment securities - non-taxable	318,724	13,046	5.47	222,622	8,921	5.36
Mortgage loans held for sale	15,637	506	4.33	15,256	395	3.46
Assets held in trading accounts	6,968	13	0.25	8,516	23	.36
Legacy loans	1,819,069	68,149	5.01	1,708,110	69,815	5.46
Loans acquired	597,374	50,710	11.35	174,999	19,776	15.11
Total interest earning assets	3,903,290	139,181	4.77	3,105,706	103,705	4.46
Non-earning assets	499,947			374,810		
Total assets	\$ 4,403,237			\$ 3,480,516		
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY						
Liabilities:						
Interest bearing liabilities						
Interest bearing transaction and savings accounts						
	\$ 1,844,679	\$ 2,185	0.16	\$ 1,447,851	\$ 1,814	0.17
Time deposits	1,053,271	4,552	0.58	837,561	4,460	0.71
Total interest bearing deposits	2,897,950	6,737	0.31	2,285,412	6,274	0.37
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreement to repurchase						
	108,303	194	0.24	91,979	165	0.24
Other borrowings	117,111	2,995	3.42	79,888	2,072	3.47
Subordinated debentures	20,620	477	3.09	20,620	483	3.13
Total interest bearing liabilities	3,143,984	10,403	0.44	2,477,899	8,994	0.49
Non-interest bearing liabilities:						
Non-interest bearing deposits	795,665			562,617		
Other liabilities	41,649			32,833		
Total liabilities	3,981,298			3,073,349		
Stockholders' equity	421,939			407,167		
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 4,403,237			\$ 3,480,516		
Net interest spread			4.33			3.97
Net interest margin		\$ 128,778	4.41		\$ 94,711	4.08

Table 4 shows changes in interest income and interest expense resulting from changes in volume and changes in interest rates for the three month and nine month periods ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the same period of the prior year. The changes in interest rate and volume have been allocated to changes in average volume and changes in average rates in proportion to the relationship of absolute dollar amounts of the changes in rates and volume.

Table 4: Volume/Rate Analysis

(In thousands, on a fully taxable equivalent basis)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 over 2013			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 over 2013		
	Volume	Yield/ Rate	Total	Volume	Yield/ Rate	Total
Increase (decrease) in:						
Interest income:						
Interest bearing balances due from banks	\$ (43)	\$ (59)	\$ (102)	\$ (115)	\$ (69)	\$ (184)
Federal funds sold	5	1	6	(8)	10	2
Investment securities - taxable	775	(89)	686	1,981	183	2,164
Investment securities - non-taxable	921	64	985	3,930	195	4,125
Mortgage loans held for sale	137	10	147	10	101	111
Assets held in trading accounts	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(6)	(10)
Legacy loans	1,930	(1,576)	354	4,370	(6,036)	(1,666)
Loans acquired	13,051	(3,942)	9,109	36,965	(6,031)	30,934
Total	16,775	(5,593)	11,182	47,129	(11,653)	35,476
Interest expense:						
Interest bearing transaction and savings accounts	175	(5)	170	474	(103)	371
Time deposits	298	(229)	69	1,024	(932)	92
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase	22	(13)	9	29	-	29
Other borrowings	355	(5)	350	952	(29)	923
Subordinated debentures	-	(2)	(2)	-	(6)	(6)
Total	850	(254)	596	2,479	(1,070)	1,409
(Decrease) increase in net interest income	\$ 15,925	\$ (5,339)	\$ 10,586	\$ 44,650	\$ (10,583)	\$ 34,067

PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES

The provision for loan losses represents management's determination of the amount necessary to be charged against the current period's earnings in order to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level considered appropriate in relation to the estimated risk inherent in the loan portfolio. The level of provision to the allowance is based on management's judgment, with consideration given to the composition, maturity and other qualitative characteristics of the portfolio, historical loan loss experience, assessment of current economic conditions, past due and non-performing loans and net loan loss experience. It is management's practice to review the allowance on a monthly basis, and, after considering the factors previously noted, to determine the level of provision made to the allowance.

The provision for loan losses for the three month period ended September 30, 2014, was \$1.1 million, compared to \$1.0 million for the three month period ended September 30, 2013, an increase of \$0.1 million. The provision for loan

losses for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014, was \$3.6 million, compared to \$3.0 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2013, an increase of \$0.6 million. See Allowance for Loan Losses section for additional information.

NON-INTEREST INCOME

Total non-interest income was \$16.0 million for the three month period ended September 30, 2014, an increase of \$5.7 million, or 55.5%, compared to \$10.3 million for the same period in 2013. Total non-interest income was \$40.7 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014, an increase of \$7.8 million, or 23.7%, compared to \$32.9 million for the same period in 2013.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 we recognized \$0.9 million and \$3.2 million, respectively, in net gains from the sale of nine former branch locations. These branches were closed in March as part of our initial branch right sizing strategy related to the November 2013 acquisition of Metropolitan. We are very pleased with the market demand for our former branches, allowing us to negotiate quick sales on several of these non-earning assets. We expect to liquidate the majority of the remaining former branch locations by the end of the year.

We also recorded a \$1.0 million gain from the sale of our merchant services business during the second quarter. The sale of this service business became necessary as the chip technology in debit and credit cards comes to fruition. The new contract we have with our vendor serves to eliminate most of our risk while providing our customers with service and support from experts in their field. While our revenue from these services will decline, so will our support expenses. We believe that by selling our merchant services and entering into a third-party contract we have mitigated our risk with a neutral financial impact.

Non-interest income is principally derived from recurring fee income, which includes service charges, trust fees and debit and credit card fees. Non-interest income also includes mortgage lending income, investment banking income, income from the increase in cash surrender values of bank owned life insurance, gains (losses) from sales of securities and gains (losses) related to FDIC-assisted transactions and covered assets.

Table 5 shows non-interest income for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, as well as changes in 2014 from 2013.

Table 5: Non-Interest Income

(In thousands)	Three Months		2014		Nine Months		2014	
	Ended September 30 2014	2013	Change from 2013		Ended September 30 2014	2013	Change from 2013	
Trust income	\$ 1,838	\$ 1,448	\$ 390	26.93%	\$ 4,929	\$ 4,234	\$ 695	16.41%
Service charges on deposit accounts	6,238	4,603	1,635	35.52	19,098	13,318	5,780	43.40
Other service charges and fees	808	728	80	10.99	2,490	2,294	196	8.54
Mortgage lending income	1,812	1,122	690	61.50	3,885	3,677	208	5.66
Investment banking income	284	240	44	18.33	620	1,390	(770)	-55.40
Debit and credit card fees	5,769	4,400	1,369	31.11	17,213	12,779	4,434	34.70
Bank owned life insurance income	411	328	83	25.30	1,117	974	143	14.68
Gain (loss) on sale of securities	(18)	-	(18)	-	20	(193)	213	-110.36
Net gain (loss) on assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements	(3,744)	(3,443)	(301)	8.74	(17,303)	(8,200)	(9,103)	111.01
Net gain on sale of premises held for sale	856	-	856	-	3,167	-	3,167	-
Other income	1,781	887	894	100.79	5,452	2,626	2,826	107.62

Total non-interest income	\$ 16,035	\$ 10,313	\$ 5,722	55.48%	\$ 40,688	\$ 32,899	\$ 7,789	23.68%
---------------------------	-----------	-----------	----------	--------	-----------	-----------	----------	--------

Recurring fee income (service charges, trust fees and debit and credit card fees) for the three month period ended September 30, 2014, was \$14.7 million, an increase of \$3.5 million, or 31.13%, from the three month period ended September 30, 2013. Service charges on deposit accounts increased by \$1.6 million or 35.5%. Debit and credit card fees increased by \$1.4million, or 31.1%. While some of the service charge income increase was due to paper statement fees implemented during 2013, the majority of these increases were due to the additions of accounts from the Metropolitan and Delta Trust acquisitions. Trust income increased by \$390,000, or 26.9%, due primarily to growth in our personal trust and investor management client base.

Recurring fee income for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014, was \$43.7 million, an increase of \$11.1 million, or 34.0%, from the nine month period ended September 30, 2013. Service charges on deposit accounts increased by \$5.8 million, or 43.4%. Debit and credit card fees increased by \$4.4 million, or 34.7%. While some of the service charge income increase was due to paper statement fees implemented during 2013, the majority of these increases were due to the additions of accounts from the Metropolitan and Delta Trust acquisitions. Trust income increased by \$695,000, or 16.4%, due primarily to growth in our personal trust and investor management client base.

Mortgage lending income increased by \$690,000 and \$208,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to last year. The mortgage market is improving again, as indicated by our 61.5% increase in mortgage lending income during the third quarter, following significant decreases in the previous quarters of 2014, when compared to 2013.. Investment banking income decreased by \$770,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, due primarily to an industry-wide decline in dealer bank activities.

We recognized \$18,000 in net losses from the sale of securities during the three months ended September 30, 2014, and \$20,000 in net gains during the nine months ended September 30, 2014. We recorded a nonrecurring \$193,000 loss from the sale of securities during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, as we liquidated the investment portfolios remaining from our 2012 FDIC-assisted acquisitions. There were no realized gains or losses during the three months ended September 30, 2013, and no realized gains during the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Net loss on assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements increased by \$301,000 and \$9.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to last year. As previously described, due to the increase in cash flows expected to be collected from the FDIC-covered loan portfolios, an additional \$7.8 million of amortization, a reduction of non-interest income, was recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to 2013, related to reductions of expected reimbursements under the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, which are recorded as indemnification assets. A reduction of income from the normal accretion of the FDIC indemnification assets, net of amortization of the FDIC true-up liability, was the primary cause of the remainder of the increase in net loss. For the three months ended September 30, 2014, the amortization expense recorded was \$0.1 million less than the same period of 2013. This decrease results from smaller indemnification assets to be amortized as we approach the end of loss share coverage on some of our agreements with the FDIC.

Other income increased by \$0.9 million and \$2.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, due primarily to miscellaneous items, including a \$0.8 million gain from the recovery of Metropolitan loans that were charged-off prior to acquisition. The increase for the nine months includes the \$1.0 million gain from the sale of our merchant services business.

NON-INTEREST EXPENSE

Non-interest expense consists of salaries and employee benefits, occupancy, equipment, foreclosure losses and other expenses necessary for the operation of the Company. Management remains committed to controlling the level of non-interest expense, through the continued use of expense control measures that have been installed. We utilize an extensive profit planning and reporting system involving all subsidiaries. Based on a needs assessment of the business plan for the upcoming year, monthly and annual profit plans are developed, including manpower and capital expenditure budgets. These profit plans are subject to extensive initial reviews and monitored by management on a monthly basis. Variances from the plan are reviewed monthly and, when required, management takes corrective action intended to ensure financial goals are met. We also regularly monitor staffing levels at Simmons Bank to ensure productivity and overhead are in line with existing workload requirements.

Non-interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2014, was \$44.4 million, an increase of \$13.5 million, or 43.5%, from the same period in 2013. This increase includes \$3.6 million in third quarter 2014 merger related costs associated with our recently announced acquisitions. During the same quarter of 2013 we incurred \$0.2 million of merger related costs.. As a result, total merger related expenses increased by \$3.4 million from the third quarter last year.

During August we completed our charter consolidation by consolidating our three remaining subsidiary banks into Simmons Bank and incurred \$0.2 million of charter consolidation costs, mostly related to systems conversions. We also recorded \$0.2 million in branch rightsizing costs related to the maintenance of our closed branches. Normalizing for the nonrecurring merger related costs, branch right sizing expenses and charter consolidation costs, non-interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2014 increased \$10.8 million, or 36.5%, from the same period in 2013, primarily due to the incremental operating expenses of the acquired Metropolitan and Delta Trust locations.

Non-interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was \$128.8 million, an increase of \$35.6 million, or 38.3%, from the same period in 2013. This increase includes expenses of \$4.3 million associated with the closure and maintenance of eleven legacy Simmons branches. These branches, along with sixteen former Metropolitan

branches, were closed in March as part of our initial branch right sizing strategy related to the November 2013 acquisition of Metropolitan. The costs of closing the former Metropolitan locations were included as merger related costs in the fourth quarter of 2013. Also included in non-interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 were an additional \$6.3 million of merger related expenses associated with Metropolitan and Delta Trust. We consolidated our six subsidiary banks into Simmons Bank in May and August, 2014, and recorded \$0.6 million of charter consolidation costs.

Normalizing for the nonrecurring merger related costs, branch right sizing expenses and charter consolidation costs, non-interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 increased \$24.9 million, or 26.9 %, from the same period in 2013, primarily due to the incremental operating expenses of the acquired Metropolitan and Delta Trust locations.

Salaries and employee benefits increased by \$3.2 million and \$10.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. Occupancy expense increased by \$719,000 and \$2.8 million for the same periods, while furniture and equipment expense increased by \$750,000 and \$1.2 million for the same periods. These increases, along with the increases in several other operating expense categories, were a result of the Metropolitan and Delta acquisitions.

Increases in other real estate and foreclosure expense were primarily the result of the write-down of OREO properties, based on updated appraisals, and from property taxes on acquired OREO. Included in professional services were \$0.3 million in legal fees related to acquired assets and \$0.3 million in consulting fees for efficiency analysis, peer benchmarking and compensation and incentive plan reviews.

Table 6 below shows non-interest expense for the three month and nine month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, as well as changes in 2014 from 2013.

Table 6: Non-Interest Expense

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30		2014 Change from 2013		Nine Months Ended September 30		2014 Change from 2013	
	2014	2013			2014	2013		
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 20,892	\$ 17,701	\$ 3,191	18.03%	\$ 64,338	\$ 54,146	\$ 10,192	18.82%
Occupancy expense, net	3,204	2,485	719	28.93	10,338	7,490	2,848	38.02
Furniture and equipment expense	2,363	1,613	750	46.50	6,592	5,367	1,225	22.82
Other real estate and foreclosure expense	1,864	385	1,479	384.16	3,112	775	2,337	301.55
Deposit insurance	877	595	282	47.39	2,630	1,862	768	41.25
Merger related costs	3,628	190	3,438	1809.47	6,255	(37)	6,290	-
Other operating expenses:								
Professional services	2,201	913	1,288	141.07	5,447	3,160	2,287	72.37
Postage	853	597	256	42.88	2,603	1,838	765	41.62
Telephone	774	542	232	42.80	2,179	1,751	428	24.44
Credit card expenses	2,231	1,706	525	30.77	6,553	5,038	1,515	30.07
Operating supplies	507	341	166	48.68	1,473	1,136	337	29.67
Amortization of intangibles	454	135	319	236.30	1,374	408	966	236.76
Branch right sizing expense	151	533	(382)	-71.67	4,329	533	3,796	712.20
Other expense	4,355	3,167	1,188	37.51	11,534	9,665	1,872	19.35
Total non-interest expense	\$ 44,354	\$ 30,903	\$ 13,451	43.53%	\$ 128,757	\$ 93,132	\$ 35,625	38.25%

LOAN PORTFOLIO

Our legacy loan portfolio, excluding loans acquired, averaged \$1.819 billion and \$1.708 billion during the first nine months of 2014 and 2013, respectively. As of September 30, 2014, total loans, excluding loans acquired, were \$1.963 billion, an increase of \$221 million from December 31, 2013. The most significant components of the loan portfolio were loans to businesses (commercial loans, commercial real estate loans and agricultural loans) and individuals (consumer loans, credit card loans and single-family residential real estate loans).

When we make a credit decision on an acquired loan not covered by FDIC loss share as a result of the loan maturing or renewing, the outstanding balance of that loan migrates from loans acquired to legacy loans. Our legacy loan growth from December 31, 2013 to September 30, 2014 included \$54.4 million in balances that migrated from acquired loans during the period. These migrated loan balances are included in the legacy loan balances as of September 30, 2014. Excluding the migrated balances from the growth calculation, our legacy loans have grown at a 12.8% annualized rate during 2014.

We seek to manage our credit risk by diversifying our loan portfolio, determining that borrowers have adequate sources of cash flow for loan repayment without liquidation of collateral, obtaining and monitoring collateral, providing an adequate allowance for loan losses and regularly reviewing loans through the internal loan review process. The loan portfolio is diversified by borrower, purpose and industry and, in the case of credit card loans, which are unsecured, by geographic region. We seek to use diversification within the loan portfolio to reduce credit risk, thereby minimizing the adverse impact on the portfolio, if weaknesses develop in either the economy or a particular segment of borrowers. Collateral requirements are based on credit assessments of borrowers and may be used to recover the debt in case of default. We use the allowance for loan losses as a method to value the loan portfolio at its estimated collectible amount. Loans are regularly reviewed to facilitate the identification and monitoring of deteriorating credits.

The balances of loans outstanding, excluding loans acquired, at the indicated dates are reflected in Table 7, according to type of loan.

Table 7: Loan Portfolio

(In thousands)	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Consumer:		
Credit cards	\$ 175,822	\$ 184,935
Student loans	-	25,906
Other consumer	105,508	98,851
Total consumer	281,330	309,692
Real estate:		
Construction	163,364	146,458
Single family residential	436,925	392,285
Other commercial	681,848	626,333
Total real estate	1,282,137	1,165,076
Commercial:		
Commercial	249,186	164,329
Agricultural	145,157	98,886
Total commercial	394,343	263,215
Other	5,568	4,655
Total loans, excluding loans acquired, before allowance for loan losses	\$ 1,963,378	\$ 1,742,638

Consumer loans consist of credit card loans, student loans and other consumer loans. Consumer loans were \$281.3 million at September 30, 2014, or 14.3% of total loans, compared to \$309.7 million, or 17.8% of total loans at December 31, 2013. The decrease in consumer loans was primarily in student loans, a valuable business line eliminated from the private sector by Government legislation after the 2009 – 2010 school year. Since that time we continued to service our remaining student loans internally until the loans paid off, while searching for a suitable buyer. During the second quarter of 2014 we sold substantially our entire student loan portfolio at par, and are now completely out of the student loan business. As expected, credit card loans decreased \$9.1 million due to seasonality, but were offset by a \$6.7 million increase in other consumer loans.

Real estate loans consist of construction loans, single-family residential loans and commercial real estate loans. Real estate loans were \$1.282 billion at September 30, 2014, or 65.3% of total loans, compared to the \$1.165 billion, or 66.9%, of total loans at December 31, 2013, an increase of \$117.1 million.

Commercial loans consist of non-agricultural commercial loans and agricultural loans. Commercial loans were \$394.3 million at September 30, 2014, or 20.1% of total loans, compared to \$263.2 million, or 15.1% of total loans at December 31, 2013, an increase of \$131.1 million. This increase was primarily due to an increase in non-agricultural commercial loans to \$249.2 million, an \$84.9 million, or 51.7%, growth from December 31, 2013. Agricultural loans increased to \$145.2 million, a \$46.3 million, or 46.8%, growth primarily due to seasonality of the portfolio, which normally peaks in the third quarter and is at its lowest point at the end of the first quarter.

LOANS ACQUIRED

On August 31, 2014, we completed the acquisition of Delta Trust, and issued 1,629,424 shares of the Company's common stock valued at approximately \$65.0 million as of August 29, 2014, plus \$2.4 million in cash in exchange for all outstanding shares of Delta Trust common stock. Included in the acquisition were loans with a fair value of \$311.7

million and foreclosed assets with a fair value of \$1.8 million.

On November 25, 2013, we completed the acquisition of Metropolitan, in which the Company purchased all the stock of Metropolitan for \$53.6 million in cash. The acquisition was conducted in accordance with the provisions of Section 363 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. Included in the acquisition were loans with a fair value of \$457.4 million and foreclosed assets with a fair value of \$42.9 million.

On September 30, 2013 we acquired a \$9.8 million credit card portfolio for a premium of \$1.3 million.

On September 14, 2012, the Company acquired certain assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of Truman Bank of St. Louis, Missouri, in an FDIC-assisted transaction. On October 19, 2012, we acquired certain assets and assumed certain deposits and other liabilities of Excel Bank of Sedalia, Missouri, in an FDIC-assisted transaction. In 2010, we acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of two other failed banks in FDIC-assisted transactions. Loans comprise the majority of the assets acquired in the FDIC-assisted transactions. The majority of the loans acquired, along with the majority of the foreclosed assets acquired, are subject to loss share agreements with the FDIC whereby SFNB is indemnified against 80% of losses. These loans and foreclosed assets, as well as the related indemnification asset from the FDIC, are presented as covered assets in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

A summary of the covered assets, along with the acquired loans and foreclosed assets held for sale that are not covered under FDIC loss share agreements, are reflected in Table 8 below as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Table 8: Assets Acquired

(In thousands)	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Loans acquired, covered by FDIC loss share (net of discount)	\$ 118,158	\$ 146,653
Foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share	15,212	20,585
FDIC indemnification asset	25,694	48,791
Total covered assets	\$ 159,064	\$ 216,029
Loans acquired, not covered by FDIC loss share (net of discount)	\$ 676,506	\$ 515,644
Foreclosed assets acquired, not covered by FDIC loss share	37,603	45,459
Total assets acquired, not covered by FDIC loss share	\$ 714,109	\$ 561,103

Approximately \$730.0 million of the loans acquired in the Metropolitan and Delta Trust acquisitions were evaluated and are being accounted for in accordance with ASC Topic 310-20, Nonrefundable Fees and Other Costs. The fair value discount is being accreted into interest income over the weighted average life of the loans using a constant yield method. These loans are not considered to be impaired loans. We evaluated the remaining loans purchased in conjunction with the acquisitions of Metropolitan and Delta Trust for impairment in accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 310-30, Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality. Purchased loans are considered impaired if there is evidence of credit deterioration since origination and if it is probable that not all contractually required payments will be collected.

We evaluated all of the loans purchased in conjunction with the acquisition of Truman, Excel and our previous FDIC-assisted transactions in accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 310-30. All loans acquired in the FDIC transactions, both covered and not covered, were deemed to be impaired loans. These loans were not classified as nonperforming assets at September 30, 2014, or December 31, 2013, as the loans are accounted for on a pooled basis and the pools are considered to be performing. For further discussion of loans acquired, see Note 5, Loans Acquired, in the accompanying Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

ASSET QUALITY

A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that we will not receive all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loans. Impaired loans include non-performing loans (loans past due 90 days or more and nonaccrual loans) and certain other loans identified by management that are still performing.

Non-performing loans are comprised of (a) nonaccrual loans, (b) loans that are contractually past due 90 days and (c) other loans for which terms have been restructured to provide a reduction or deferral of interest or principal, because of deterioration in the financial position of the borrower. Simmons Bank recognizes income principally on the accrual basis of accounting. When loans are classified as nonaccrual, generally, the accrued interest is charged off and no further interest is accrued. Loans, excluding credit card loans, are placed on a nonaccrual basis either: (1) when there are serious doubts regarding the collectability of principal or interest, or (2) when payment of interest or principal is 90 days or more past due and either (i) not fully secured or (ii) not in the process of collection. If a loan is determined by management to be uncollectible, the portion of the loan determined to be uncollectible is then charged to the allowance for loan losses.

Credit card loans are classified as impaired when payment of interest or principal is 90 days past due. Litigation accounts are placed on nonaccrual until such time as deemed uncollectible. Credit card loans are generally charged off when payment of interest or principal exceeds 180 days past due, but are turned over to the credit card recovery department, to be pursued until such time as they are determined, on a case-by-case basis, to be uncollectible.

Total non-performing assets, excluding all loans acquired and foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements, decreased by \$11.3 million from December 31, 2013 to September 30, 2014. Foreclosed assets held for sale (legacy and acquired, not covered) decreased by \$14.1 million, as we were able to rid ourselves of several significant non-performing assets through liquidation. Nonaccrual loans increased by \$5.0 million during the period, primarily CRE loans. Non-performing assets, including trouble debt restructurings (“TDRs”) and acquired non-covered foreclosed assets, as a percent of total assets were 1.39% at September 30, 2014, compared to 1.91% at December 31, 2013.

From time to time, certain borrowers of all types are experiencing declines in income and cash flow. As a result, many borrowers are seeking to reduce contractual cash outlays, the most prominent being debt payments. In an effort to preserve our net interest margin and earning assets, we are open to working with existing customers in order to maximize the collectability of the debt.

When we restructure a loan to a borrower that is experiencing financial difficulty and grant a concession that we would not otherwise consider, a “troubled debt restructuring” results and the Company classifies the loan as a TDR. The Company grants various types of concessions, primarily interest rate reduction and/or payment modifications or extensions, with an occasional forgiveness of principal.

Under ASC Topic 310-10-35 – Subsequent Measurement, a TDR is considered to be impaired, and an impairment analysis must be performed. We assess the exposure for each modification, either by collateral discounting or by calculation of the present value of future cash flows, and determine if a specific allocation to the allowance for loan losses is needed.

Once an obligation has been restructured because of such credit problems, it continues to be considered a TDR until paid in full; or, if an obligation yields a market interest rate and no longer has any concession regarding payment amount or amortization, then it is not considered a TDR at the beginning of the calendar year after the year in which the improvement takes place. During 2013, we had several large TDRs yielding a market interest rate that no longer had any concession regarding payment amount or amortization. Because those loans are no longer considered TDRs, our TDR balance declined to \$3.3 million at September 30, 2014, compared to \$10.2 million at December 31, 2013. The majority of our TDRs remain in the CRE portfolio.

We return TDRs to accrual status only if (1) all contractual amounts due can reasonably be expected to be repaid within a prudent period, and (2) repayment has been in accordance with the contract for a sustained period, typically at least six months.

We continue to maintain good asset quality, compared to the industry. The allowance for loan losses as a percent of total loans was 1.38% as of September 30, 2014. Non-performing loans equaled 0.61% of total loans. Non-performing assets were 1.34% of total assets, a 35 basis point improvement from December 31, 2013. The allowance for loan losses was 227% of non-performing loans. Our annualized net charge-offs to total loans for the first nine months of 2014 was 0.29%. Excluding credit cards, the annualized net charge-offs to total loans for the same period was 0.19%. Year-to-date annualized net credit card charge-offs to total credit card loans were 1.22%, compared to 1.33% during the full year 2013, and more than 200 basis points better than the most recently published industry average charge-off ratio as reported by the Federal Reserve for all banks.

Table 9 presents information concerning non-performing assets, including nonaccrual loans and foreclosed assets held for sale (excluding all loans acquired and excluding foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share).

Table 9: Non-performing Assets

(\$ in thousands)	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Nonaccrual loans (1)	\$ 11,212	\$ 6,261
Loans past due 90 days or more (principal or interest payments):		
Government guaranteed student loans (2)	-	2,264
Other loans	713	687
Total loans past due 90 days or more	713	2,951
Total non-performing loans	11,925	9,212
Other non-performing assets:		
Foreclosed assets held for sale	13,167	19,361
Acquired foreclosed assets held for sale, not covered by loss share	37,603	45,459
Other non-performing assets	72	75
Total other non-performing assets	50,842	64,895
Total non-performing assets	\$ 62,767	\$ 74,107
Performing TDRs	\$ 2,234	\$ 9,497
Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans	227%	298%
Non-performing loans to total loans	0.61%	0.53%
Non-performing loans to total loans (excluding Government guaranteed student loans) (2)	0.61%	0.40%
Non-performing assets to total assets (3)	1.34%	1.69%
Non-performing assets to total assets (excluding Government guaranteed student loans) (2) (3)	1.34%	1.64%

(1) Includes nonaccrual TDRs of approximately \$1.1 million at September 30, 2014 and \$0.7 million at December 31, 2013.

(2) Student loans past due 90 days or more are included in non-performing loans. Student loans are Government guaranteed and will be purchased at 97% of principal and accrued interest when they exceed 270 days past due; therefore, non-performing ratios have been calculated excluding these loans.

(3) Excludes all loans acquired and excludes foreclosed assets acquired, covered by FDIC loss share agreements, except for their inclusion in total assets.

There was no interest income on nonaccrual loans recorded for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

At September 30, 2014, impaired loans, net of government guarantees and loans acquired, were \$16.9 million compared to \$18.3 million at December 31, 2013. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates the underlying collateral on all impaired loans and allocates specific reserves, where appropriate, in order to absorb potential losses if the collateral were ultimately foreclosed.

ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

Overview

The allowance for loan losses is a reserve established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense, which represents management's best estimate of probable losses that have been incurred within the existing portfolio of loans. The allowance, in the judgment of management, is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The Company's allowance for loan loss methodology includes allowance allocations calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 310-10, Receivables, and allowance allocations calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 450-20, Loss Contingencies. Accordingly, the methodology is based on our internal grading system, specific impairment analysis, qualitative and quantitative factors.

As mentioned above, allocations to the allowance for loan losses are categorized as either specific allocations or general allocations.

Specific Allocations

A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that we will not receive all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan, including scheduled principal and interest payments. For a collateral dependent loan, our evaluation process includes a valuation by appraisal or other collateral analysis. This valuation is compared to the remaining outstanding principal balance of the loan. If a loss is determined to be probable, the loss is included in the allowance for loan losses as a specific allocation. If the loan is not collateral dependent, the measurement of loss is based on the difference between the expected and contractual future cash flows of the loan.

General Allocations

The general allocation is calculated monthly based on management's assessment of several factors such as (1) historical loss experience based on volumes and types, (2) volume and trends in delinquencies and nonaccruals, (3) lending policies and procedures including those for loan losses, collections and recoveries, (4) national, state and local economic trends and conditions, (5) concentrations of credit within the loan portfolio, (6) the experience, ability and depth of lending management and staff and (7) other factors and trends that will affect specific loans and categories of loans. We established general allocations for each major loan category. This category also includes allocations to loans which are collectively evaluated for loss such as credit cards, one-to-four family owner occupied residential real estate loans and other consumer loans.

Reserve for Unfunded Commitments

In addition to the allowance for loan losses, we have established a reserve for unfunded commitments, classified in other liabilities. This reserve is maintained at a level sufficient to absorb losses arising from unfunded loan commitments. The adequacy of the reserve for unfunded commitments is determined monthly based on methodology similar to our methodology for determining the allowance for loan losses. Net adjustments to the reserve for unfunded commitments are included in other non-interest expense.

An analysis of the allowance for loan losses is shown in Table 10.

Table 10: Allowance for Loan Losses

(In thousands)	2014	2013
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 27,442	\$ 27,882
Loans charged off:		
Credit card	2,329	2,422
Other consumer	1,220	1,133
Real estate	2,484	1,373
Commercial	734	249
Total loans charged off	6,767	5,177
Recoveries of loans previously charged off:		
Credit card	676	675
Other consumer	376	425
Real estate	1,510	514
Commercial	201	180
Total recoveries	2,763	1,794
Net loans charged off	4,004	3,383
Provision for loan losses	3,638	3,034
Balance, September 30	\$ 27,076	27,533
Loans charged off:		
Credit card		841
Other consumer		428
Real estate		255
Commercial		133
Total loans charged off		1,657
Recoveries of loans previously charged off:		
Credit card		226
Other consumer		166
Real estate		78
Commercial		12
Total recoveries		482
Net loans charged off		1,175
Provision for loan losses		1,084
Balance, end of year		\$ 27,442

Provision for Loan Losses

The amount of provision to the allowance during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, and for the year ended December 31, 2013, was based on management's judgment, with consideration given to the composition of the portfolio, historical loan loss experience, assessment of current economic conditions, past due and non-performing loans and net loss experience. It is management's practice to review the allowance on a monthly basis, and after considering the factors previously noted, to determine the level of provision made to the allowance.

Allowance for Loan Losses Allocation

As of September 30, 2014, the allowance for loan losses reflects a decrease of \$366,000 from December 31, 2013, while total loans, excluding loans acquired, increased by \$220.7 million over the same nine month period. The allocation in each category within the allowance generally reflects the overall changes in the loan portfolio mix.

The following table sets forth the sum of the amounts of the allowance for loan losses attributable to individual loans within each category, or loan categories in general. The table also reflects the percentage of loans in each category to the total loan portfolio, excluding loans acquired, for each of the periods indicated. These allowance amounts have been computed using the Company's internal grading system, specific impairment analysis, qualitative and quantitative factor allocations. The amounts shown are not necessarily indicative of the actual future losses that may occur within individual categories. We had no allocation of our allowance to loans acquired for any of the periods presented.

Table 11: Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses

(\$ in thousands)	September 30, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	Allowance Amount	% of Loans (1)	Allowance Amount	% of Loans (1)
Credit cards	\$ 5,488	9.0%	\$ 5,430	10.6%
Other consumer	1,296	5.4	1,758	7.2
Real estate	15,595	65.3	16,885	66.9
Commercial	4,642	20.1	3,205	15.1
Other	55	0.2	164	0.2
Total	\$ 27,076	100.0%	\$ 27,442	100.0%

(1) Percentage of loans in each category to total loans, excluding loans acquired.

DEPOSITS

Deposits are our primary source of funding for earning assets and are primarily developed through our network of over 100 financial centers. We offer a variety of products designed to attract and retain customers with a continuing focus on developing core deposits. Our core deposits consist of all deposits excluding time deposits of \$100,000 or more and brokered deposits. As of September 30, 2014, core deposits comprised 87.6% of our total deposits.

We continually monitor the funding requirements along with competitive interest rates in the markets we serve. Because of our community banking philosophy, our executives in the local markets establish the interest rates offered on both core and non-core deposits. This approach ensures that the interest rates being paid are competitively priced for each particular deposit product and structured to meet the funding requirements. We believe we are paying a competitive rate when compared with pricing in those markets.

We manage our interest expense through deposit pricing and do not anticipate a significant change in total deposits. We believe that additional funds can be attracted and deposit growth can be accelerated through deposit pricing if we experience increased loan demand or other liquidity needs. We can also utilize brokered deposits as an additional source of funding to meet liquidity needs.

Our total deposits as of September 30, 2014, were \$3.909 billion, an increase of \$211.4 million from December 31, 2013. We have continued our strategy to move more volatile time deposits to less expensive, revenue enhancing transaction accounts. Non-interest bearing transaction accounts, interest bearing transaction accounts and savings accounts totaled \$2.868 billion at September 30, 2014, compared to \$2.581 billion at December 31, 2013, a \$287.4

million increase. Total time deposits decreased \$76.1 million to \$1.040 billion at September 30, 2014, from \$1.117 billion at December 31, 2013. In an attempt to utilize some of our excess liquidity, we have priced deposits in a manner to encourage a reduction in non-relationship time deposits. We had \$9.5 million and \$16.8 million of brokered deposits at September 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, respectively.

OTHER BORROWINGS AND SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES

Our total debt was \$144.0 million and \$137.7 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The outstanding long-term debt balance for September 30, 2014 includes \$77.4 million in FHLB long-term advances, \$46.0 million in notes payable and \$20.6 million of trust preferred securities. The outstanding balance for December 31, 2013 included \$71.1 million in FHLB long-term advances, \$46.0 million in notes payable and \$20.6 million of trust preferred securities.

The \$46.0 million notes payable is unsecured debt from correspondent banks used as partial funding for our Metropolitan acquisition in 2013. These notes carry a 3.25% floating rate to be repaid in three years or less. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, we increased total debt by \$6.3 million from December 31, 2013 primarily due to adding \$11.0 million in FHLB borrowings as part of the Delta Trust acquisition, partially offset by early payoffs and other scheduled payoffs of FHLB advances during the period.

CAPITAL

Overview

At September 30, 2014, total capital was \$484.0 million. Capital represents shareholder ownership in the Company – the book value of assets in excess of liabilities. At September 30, 2014, our equity to asset ratio was 10.3%, up 108 basis points from year-end 2013.

Capital Stock

On February 27, 2009, at a special meeting, our shareholders approved an amendment to the Articles of Incorporation to establish 40,040,000 authorized shares of preferred stock, \$0.01 par value. The aggregate liquidation preference of all shares of preferred stock cannot exceed \$80,000,000. As of September 30, 2014, no preferred stock has been issued.

Stock Repurchase

During 2012, the Company announced the substantial completion of the existing stock repurchase program and the adoption by our Board of Directors of a new stock repurchase program. The new program authorizes the repurchase of up to 850,000 additional shares of Class A common stock, or approximately 5% of the shares outstanding. The shares are to be purchased from time to time at prevailing market prices, through open market or unsolicited negotiated transactions, depending upon market conditions. Under the repurchase program, there is no time limit for the stock repurchases, nor is there a minimum number of shares that we intend to repurchase. We intend to use the repurchased shares to satisfy stock option exercises, payment of future stock awards and dividends and general corporate purposes.

As a result of our announced acquisition of Metropolitan, we suspended the stock repurchases in August of 2013. Under the current repurchase plan, we can repurchase an additional 154,136 shares.

On March 4, 2014 the Company filed a shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). Subsequently, on June 18, 2014 the Company filed Amendment No. 1 to the shelf registration statement. After becoming effective, the shelf registration statement allows us to raise capital from time to time, up to an aggregate of \$300 million, through the sale of common stock, preferred stock, stock warrants, stock rights or a combination thereof, subject to market conditions. Specific terms and prices are determined at the time of any offering under a separate prospectus supplement that we are required to file with the SEC at the time of the specific offering.

Cash Dividends

We declared cash dividends on our common stock of \$0.66 per share for the first nine months of 2014 compared to \$0.63 per share for the first nine months of 2013, an increase of \$0.03, or 4.8%. The timing and amount of future dividends are at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend upon our consolidated earnings, financial condition, liquidity and capital requirements, the amount of cash dividends paid to us by our subsidiaries, applicable government regulations and policies and other factors considered relevant by our Board of Directors. Our Board of Directors anticipates that we will continue to pay quarterly dividends in amounts determined based on the factors discussed above. However, there can be no assurance that we will continue to pay dividends on our common stock at the current levels or at all.

Parent Company Liquidity

The primary liquidity needs of the Parent Company are the payment of dividends to shareholders, the funding of debt obligations and the share repurchase plan. The primary sources for meeting these liquidity needs are the current cash on hand at the parent company and the future dividends received from Simmons Bank. Payment of dividends by the subsidiary bank is subject to various regulatory limitations. See the Liquidity and Market Risk Management discussions of Item 3 – Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk for additional information regarding the parent company's liquidity.

Risk Based Capital

Our subsidiaries are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on our financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, we must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of our assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Our capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require us to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined) and of Tier 1 capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined). Management believes that, as of September 30, 2014, we meet all capital adequacy requirements to which we are subject.

As of the most recent notification from regulatory agencies, the subsidiaries were well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, the Company and subsidiaries must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the table. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the institutions' categories.

Our risk-based capital ratios at September 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, are presented in Table 12 below:

Table 12: Risk-Based Capital

(\$ in thousands)	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Tier 1 capital:		
Stockholders' equity	\$ 484,005	\$ 403,832
Trust preferred securities	20,000	20,000
Goodwill and core deposit premiums	(111,061)	(75,501)
Unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities, net of income taxes	1,952	3,002
Total Tier 1 capital	394,896	351,333
Tier 2 capital:		
Qualifying unrealized gain on available-for-sale equity securities	-	45
Qualifying allowance for loan losses	29,167	28,967
Total Tier 2 capital	29,167	29,012
Total risk-based capital	\$ 424,063	\$ 380,345
Risk weighted assets	\$ 3,063,801	\$ 2,697,630
Assets for leverage ratio	\$ 4,331,488	\$ 3,811,793
Ratios at end of period:		
Tier 1 leverage ratio	9.12%	9.22%
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	12.89%	13.02%
Total risk-based capital ratio	13.84%	14.10%
Minimum guidelines:		
Tier 1 leverage ratio	4.00%	4.00%
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	4.00%	4.00%
Total risk-based capital ratio	8.00%	8.00%
Well capitalized guidelines:		
Tier 1 leverage ratio	5.00%	5.00%
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	6.00%	6.00%
Total risk-based capital ratio	10.00%	10.00%

Regulatory Capital Changes

In July 2013, the Company's primary federal regulator, the Federal Reserve, published final rules (the "Basel III Capital Rules") establishing a new comprehensive capital framework for U.S. banks. The rules implement the Basel Committee's December 2010 framework known as "Basel III" for strengthening international capital standards. The Basel III Capital Rules substantially revise the risk-based capital requirements applicable to bank holding companies and depository institutions compared to the current U.S. risk-based capital rules.

The Basel III Capital Rules define the components of capital and address other issues affecting the numerator in banking institutions' regulatory capital ratios. The rules also address risk weights and other issues affecting the denominator in banking institutions' regulatory capital ratios and replace the existing risk-weighting approach with a more risk-sensitive approach.

The Basel III Capital Rules expand the risk-weighting categories from the current four Basel I-derived categories (0%, 20%, 50% and 100%) to a much larger and more risk-sensitive number of categories, depending on the nature of the assets, generally ranging from 0% for U.S. government and agency securities, to 600% for certain equity exposures,

and resulting in higher risk weights for a variety of asset categories, including many residential mortgages and certain commercial real estate.

The final rules include a new common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of 4.5% and a common equity Tier 1 capital conservation buffer of 2.5% of risk-weighted assets. The rules also raise the minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets from 4.0% to 6.0% and require a minimum leverage ratio of 4.0%. The Basel III Capital Rules are effective for the Company and Simmons Bank on January 1, 2015, with full compliance with all of the final rule's requirements phased in over a multi-year schedule. Management believes that, as of September 30, 2014, the Company and Simmons Bank would meet all capital adequacy requirements under the Basel III Capital Rules on a fully phased-in basis if such requirements were currently effective.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

See the section titled Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements in Note 1, Basis of Presentation, in the accompanying Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report for details of recently issued accounting pronouncements and their expected impact on the Company's ongoing financial position and results of operation.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements contained in this quarterly report may not be based on historical facts and are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These forward-looking statements may be identified by reference to a future period(s) or by the use of forward-looking terminology, such as "anticipate," "estimate," "expect," "foresee," "believe," "may," "might," "will," "would," "could" or "intend," future or conditional verb tenses, and variations or negatives of such terms. These forward-looking statements include, without limitation, those relating to the Company's future growth, revenue, assets, asset quality, profitability and customer service, critical accounting policies, net interest margin, non-interest revenue, market conditions related to the Company's stock repurchase program, allowance for loan losses, the effect of certain new accounting standards on the Company's financial statements, income tax deductions, credit quality, the level of credit losses from lending commitments, net interest revenue, interest rate sensitivity, loan loss experience, liquidity, capital resources, market risk, earnings, effect of pending litigation, acquisition strategy, efficiency initiatives, legal and regulatory limitations and compliance and competition.

These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, and may not be realized due to a variety of factors, including, without limitation: the effects of future economic conditions, governmental monetary and fiscal policies, as well as legislative and regulatory changes; the risks of changes in interest rates and their effects on the level and composition of deposits, loan demand and the values of loan collateral, securities and interest sensitive assets and liabilities; the costs of evaluating possible acquisitions and the risks inherent in integrating acquisitions; the effects of competition from other commercial banks, thrifts, mortgage banking firms, consumer finance companies, credit unions, securities brokerage firms, insurance companies, money market and other mutual funds and other financial institutions operating in our market area and elsewhere, including institutions operating regionally, nationally and internationally, together with such competitors offering banking products and services by mail, telephone, computer and the Internet; the failure of assumptions underlying the establishment of reserves for possible loan losses; and those factors set forth under Item 1A. Risk-Factors of this report and other cautionary statements set forth elsewhere in this report. Many of these factors are beyond our ability to predict or control. In addition, as a result of these and other factors, our past financial performance should not be relied upon as an indication of future performance.

We believe the expectations reflected in our forward-looking statements are reasonable, based on information available to us on the date hereof. However, given the described uncertainties and risks, we cannot guarantee our future performance or results of operations and you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, and all written or oral forward-looking statements attributable to us are expressly qualified in their entirety by this section.

RECONCILIATION OF NON-GAAP MEASURES

The table below presents computations of core earnings (net income excluding nonrecurring items {gain on sale of merchant services, merger related costs, loss on sale of securities related to FDIC-assisted acquisitions, branch right sizing gains and costs and charter consolidation costs}) and diluted core earnings per share (non-GAAP). Nonrecurring items are included in financial results presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”).

The Company believes the exclusion of these nonrecurring items in expressing earnings and certain other financial measures, including “core earnings”, provides a meaningful base for period-to-period and company-to-company comparisons, which management believes will assist investors and analysts in analyzing the core financial measures of the Company and predicting future performance. This non-GAAP financial measure is also used by management to assess the performance of the Company’s business, because management does not consider these nonrecurring items to be relevant to ongoing financial performance. Management and the Board of Directors utilize “core earnings” (non-GAAP) for the following purposes:

- Preparation of the Company’s operating budgets
- Monthly financial performance reporting
- Monthly “flash” reporting of consolidated results (management only)
- Investor presentations of Company performance

The Company believes the presentation of “core earnings” on a diluted per share basis, “diluted core earnings per share” (non-GAAP), provides a meaningful base for period-to-period and company-to-company comparisons, which management believes will assist investors and analysts in analyzing the core financial measures of the Company and predicting future performance. This non-GAAP financial measure is also used by management to assess the performance of the Company’s business, because management does not consider these nonrecurring items to be relevant to ongoing financial performance on a per share basis. Management and the Board of Directors utilize “diluted core earnings per share” (non-GAAP) for the following purposes:

- Calculation of annual performance-based incentives for certain executives
- Calculation of long-term performance-based incentives for certain executives
- Investor presentations of Company performance

The Company believes that presenting these non-GAAP financial measures will permit investors and analysts to assess the performance of the Company on the same basis as that applied by management and the Board of Directors.

“Core earnings” and “diluted core earnings per share” (non-GAAP) have inherent limitations, are not required to be uniformly applied and are not audited. To mitigate these limitations, the Company has procedures in place to identify and approve each item that qualifies as nonrecurring to ensure that the Company’s “core” results are properly reflected for period-to-period comparisons. Although these non-GAAP financial measures are frequently used by stakeholders in the evaluation of a Company, they have limitations as analytical tools, and should not be considered in isolation, or as a substitute for analyses of results as reported under GAAP. In particular, a measure of earnings that excludes nonrecurring items does not represent the amount that effectively accrues directly to stockholders (i.e., nonrecurring items are included in earnings and stockholders’ equity).

See Table 13 below for the reconciliation of non-GAAP financial measures, which exclude nonrecurring items for the periods presented.

Table 13: Reconciliation of Core Earnings (non-GAAP)

(\$ in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net Income	\$ 8,788	\$ 6,932	\$ 23,049	\$ 19,445
Nonrecurring items:				
Gain on sale of merchant services	-	-	(1,000)	-
Merger related costs	3,628	190	6,255	(37)
Loss on sale of securities related to FDIC-assisted acquisitions	-	-	-	193
Branch right sizing (1)	(705)	533	1,162	533
Charter consolidation costs	196	-	610	-
Tax effect (2)	(1,223)	(284)	(2,746)	(271)
Net nonrecurring items	1,896	439	4,281	418
Core earnings (non-GAAP)	\$ 10,684	\$ 7,371	\$ 27,330	\$ 19,863
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.43	\$ 1.39	\$ 1.19
Nonrecurring items:				
Gain on sale of merchant services	-	-	(0.06)	-
Merger related costs	0.21	0.01	0.37	(0.01)
Loss on sale of securities related to FDIC-assisted acquisitions	-	-	-	0.01
Branch right sizing	(0.04)	0.03	0.08	0.03
Charter consolidation costs	0.01	-	0.04	-
Tax effect (2)	(0.07)	(0.02)	(0.17)	(0.01)
Net nonrecurring items	0.11	0.02	0.26	0.02
Diluted core earnings per share (non-GAAP)	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.45	\$ 1.65	\$ 1.21

(1) Includes \$856 and \$3,167 gains on sale of previously closed branches, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014.

(2) Effective tax rate of 39%.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

Parent Company

The Company has leveraged its investment in Simmons Bank and depends upon the dividends paid to it, as the sole shareholder of the subsidiary bank, as a principal source of funds for dividends to shareholders, stock repurchase and debt service requirements. At September 30, 2014, undivided profits of Simmons Bank were approximately \$194.6 million, of which approximately \$6.0 million was available for the payment of dividends to the Company without regulatory approval. In addition to dividends, other sources of liquidity for the Company are the sale of equity securities and the borrowing of funds.

Subsidiary Banks

Generally speaking, our subsidiary banks, Simmons Bank and Delta Trust, rely upon net inflows of cash from financing activities, supplemented by net inflows of cash from operating activities, to provide cash used in investing activities. Typical of most banking companies, significant financing activities include: deposit gathering; use of short-term borrowing facilities, such as federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements; and the issuance of long-term debt. The bank's primary investing activities include loan originations and purchases of investment securities, offset by loan payoffs and investment maturities.

Liquidity represents an institution's ability to provide funds to satisfy demands from depositors and borrowers, by either converting assets into cash or accessing new or existing sources of incremental funds. A major responsibility of management is to maximize net interest income within prudent liquidity constraints. Internal corporate guidelines have been established to constantly measure liquid assets, as well as relevant ratios concerning earning asset levels and purchased funds. The management and board of directors of Simmons Bank monitor these same indicators and make adjustments as needed.

In response to tightening credit markets in 2007 and anticipating potential liquidity pressures in 2008, the Company's management strategically planned to enhance the liquidity of each of its subsidiary banks during 2008 and 2009. We grew core deposits through various initiatives, and built additional liquidity in each of our subsidiary banks by securing additional long-term funding from FHLB borrowings. After collapsing our charters into one, at September 30, 2014, Simmons Bank was within established guidelines and total corporate liquidity remains very strong. At September 30, 2014, cash and cash equivalents, trading and available-for-sale securities and mortgage loans held for sale were 16.7% of total assets, as compared to 17.6% at December 31, 2013.

Liquidity Management

The objective of our liquidity management is to access adequate sources of funding to ensure that cash flow requirements of depositors and borrowers are met in an orderly and timely manner. Sources of liquidity are managed so that reliance on any one funding source is kept to a minimum. Our liquidity sources are prioritized for both availability and time to activation.

Our liquidity is a primary consideration in determining funding needs and is an integral part of asset/liability management. Pricing of the liability side is a major component of interest margin and spread management. Adequate liquidity is a necessity in addressing this critical task. There are five primary and secondary sources of liquidity available to the Company. The particular liquidity need and timeframe determine the use of these sources.

The first source of liquidity available to the Company is Federal funds. Federal funds, primarily from downstream correspondent banks, are available on a daily basis and are used to meet the normal fluctuations of a dynamic balance sheet. In addition, the Company and Simmons Bank have approximately \$81 million in Federal funds lines of credit from upstream correspondent banks that can be accessed, when needed. Historical monitoring of these funds has made it possible for us to project seasonal fluctuations and structure our funding requirements on a month-to-month basis.

A second source of liquidity is the retail deposits available through our subsidiary banks throughout Arkansas, Kansas and Missouri. Although this method can be a more expensive alternative to supplying liquidity, this source can be used to meet intermediate term liquidity needs.

Third, Simmons Bank has lines of credits available with the Federal Home Loan Bank. While we use portions of those lines to match off longer-term mortgage loans, we also use those lines to meet liquidity needs. Approximately \$564 million of these lines of credit are currently available, if needed.

Fourth, we use a laddered investment portfolio that ensures there is a steady source of intermediate term liquidity. These funds can be used to meet seasonal loan patterns and other intermediate term balance sheet fluctuations. Approximately 28% of the investment portfolio is classified as available-for-sale. We also use securities held in the securities portfolio to pledge when obtaining public funds.

Finally, we have the ability to access large deposits from both the public and private sector to fund short-term liquidity needs.

We believe the various sources available are ample liquidity for short-term, intermediate-term and long-term liquidity.

Market Risk Management

Market risk arises from changes in interest rates. We have risk management policies to monitor and limit exposure to market risk. In asset and liability management activities, policies designed to minimize structural interest rate risk are in place. The measurement of market risk associated with financial instruments is meaningful only when all related

and offsetting on- and off-balance-sheet transactions are aggregated, and the resulting net positions are identified.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

Interest rate risk represents the potential impact of interest rate changes on net income and capital resulting from mismatches in repricing opportunities of assets and liabilities over a period of time. A number of tools are used to monitor and manage interest rate risk, including simulation models and interest sensitivity gap analysis. Management uses simulation models to estimate the effects of changing interest rates and various balance sheet strategies on the level of the Company's net income and capital. As a means of limiting interest rate risk to an acceptable level, management may alter the mix of floating and fixed-rate assets and liabilities, change pricing schedules and manage investment maturities during future security purchases.

The simulation model incorporates management's assumptions regarding the level of interest rates or balance changes for indeterminate maturity deposits for a given level of market rate changes. These assumptions have been developed through anticipated pricing behavior. Key assumptions in the simulation models include the relative timing of prepayments, cash flows and maturities. These assumptions are inherently uncertain and, as a result, the model cannot precisely estimate net interest income or precisely predict the impact of a change in interest rates on net income or capital. Actual results will differ from simulated results due to the timing, magnitude and frequency of interest rate changes and changes in market conditions and management strategies, among other factors.

As of September 30, 2014, the model simulations projected that 100 and 200 basis point increases in interest rates would result in a negative variance in net interest income and a positive variance in net interest income of -.02% and 0.42%, respectively, relative to the base case over the next 12 months, while decreases in interest rates of 100 basis points would result in a negative variance in net interest income of -9.49% relative to the base case over the next 12 months. The likelihood of a decrease in interest rates in excess of 50 basis points as of September 30, 2014 is considered remote given current interest rate levels. These are good faith estimates and assume that the composition of our interest sensitive assets and liabilities existing at each year-end will remain constant over the relevant twelve month measurement period and that changes in market interest rates are instantaneous and sustained across the yield curve regardless of duration of pricing characteristics of specific assets or liabilities. Also, this analysis does not contemplate any actions that we might undertake in response to changes in market interest rates. We believe these estimates are not necessarily indicative of what actually could occur in the event of immediate interest rate increases or decreases of this magnitude. As interest-bearing assets and liabilities reprice in different time frames and proportions to market interest rate movements, various assumptions must be made based on historical relationships of these variables in reaching any conclusion. Since these correlations are based on competitive and market conditions, we anticipate that our future results will likely be different from the foregoing estimates, and such differences could be material.

The table below presents our sensitivity to net interest income at September 30, 2014:

Table 14: Net Interest Income Sensitivity

Interest Rate Scenario	% Change from Base	
Up 200 basis points	0.42	%
Up 100 basis points	-0.02	%
Down 100 basis points	-9.49	%

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have reviewed and evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or Rule 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's current disclosure controls and procedures were effective for the period.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2014, which materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Part II: Other Information

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Management is not aware of any material changes to the risk factors discussed in Part 1, Item 1A of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the risk factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A of our Form 10-K, which could materially and adversely affect the Company's business, ongoing financial condition and results of operations. The risks described are not the only risks facing the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to management or that management currently believes to be immaterial may also adversely affect our business, ongoing financial condition or results of operations.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities. The Company made no purchases of its common stock during the three months ended September 30, 2014.

Item 6.

Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
2.1	Purchase and Assumption Agreement, dated as of May 14, 2010, among Federal Insurance Deposit Corporation, Receiver of Southwest Community Bank, Springfield, Missouri, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and Simmons First National Bank (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Simmons First National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, as amended, for May 19, 2010 (File No. 000-06253)).
2.2	Purchase and Assumption Agreement, dated as of October 15, 2010, among Federal Insurance Deposit Corporation, Receiver of Security Savings Bank F.S.B., Olathe, Kansas, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and Simmons First National Bank (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Simmons First National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, as amended, for October 21, 2010 (File No. 000-06253)).
2.3	Purchase and Assumption Agreement Whole Bank All Deposits, among Federal Insurance Deposit Corporation, Receiver of Truman Bank, St. Louis, Missouri, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and Simmons First National Bank, Pine Bluff, Arkansas, dated as of September 14, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Simmons First National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, as amended, for September 20, 2012 (File No. 000-06253)).
2.4	Loan Sale Agreement, by and between Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, as Receiver for Truman Bank, St. Louis, Missouri, and Simmons First National Bank, Pine Bluff, Arkansas, dated as of September 14, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to Simmons First National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, as amended, for September 20, 2012 (File No. 000-06253)).
2.5	Purchase and Assumption Agreement Whole Bank All Deposits, among Federal Insurance Deposit Corporation, Receiver of Excel Bank, Sedalia, Missouri, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and Simmons First National Bank, Pine Bluff, Arkansas, dated as of October 19, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Simmons First National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, as amended, for October 25, 2012 (File No. 000-06253)).
2.6	Stock Purchase Agreement by and between Simmons First National Corporation and Rogers Bancshares, Inc., dated as of September 10, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Simmons First National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K for September 12, 2013 (File No. 000-06253)).
2.7	Agreement and Plan of Merger by and between Simmons First National Corporation and Delta Trust & Banking Corporation, dated March 24, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Simmons First National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K for March 28, 2014 (File No. 000-06253)).
2.8	Agreement and Plan of Merger by and between Simmons First National Corporation and Community First Bancshares, Inc., dated May 6, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Simmons First National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K for May 9, 2014 (File No. 000-06253)).
2.9	Agreement and Plan of Merger by and between Simmons First National Corporation and Liberty Bancshares, Inc., dated May 27, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Simmons First National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K for June 2, 2014 (File No. 000-06253)).

- 3.1 Restated Articles of Incorporation of Simmons First National Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Simmons First National Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended March 31, 2009 (File No. 000-06253)).

- 3.2 Amended By-Laws of Simmons First National Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Simmons First National Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended September 30, 2013 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.1 Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, dated as of December 16, 2003, among the Company, Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, Deutsche Bank Trust Company Delaware and each of J. Thomas May, Barry L. Crow and Bob Fehlman as administrative trustees, with respect to Simmons First Capital Trust II (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Simmons First National Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year ended December 31, 2003 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.2 Guarantee Agreement, dated as of December 16, 2003, between the Company and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as guarantee trustee, with respect to Simmons First Capital Trust II (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Simmons First National Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year ended December 31, 2003 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.3 Junior Subordinated Indenture, dated as of December 16, 2003, among the Company and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as trustee, with respect to the junior subordinated note held by Simmons First Capital Trust II (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Simmons First National Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year ended December 31, 2003 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.4 Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, dated as of December 16, 2003, among the Company, Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, Deutsche Bank Trust Company Delaware and each of J. Thomas May, Barry L. Crow and Bob Fehlman as administrative trustees, with respect to Simmons First Capital Trust III (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Simmons First National Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year ended December 31, 2003 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.5 Guarantee Agreement, dated as of December 16, 2003, between the Company and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as guarantee trustee, with respect to Simmons First Capital Trust III (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Simmons First National Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year ended December 31, 2003 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.6 Junior Subordinated Indenture, dated as of December 16, 2003, among the Company and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as trustee, with respect to the junior subordinated note held by Simmons First Capital Trust III (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Simmons First National Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year ended December 31, 2003 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.7 Notice of discretionary bonuses to J. Thomas May, David L. Bartlett, Robert A. Fehlman, Marty D. Casteel and Robert C. Dill (incorporated by reference to Simmons First National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K for January 25, 2010 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.8 Deferred Compensation Agreements, adopted January 25, 2010, between Simmons First National Corporation and Robert A. Fehlman and Marty D. Casteel (incorporated by reference to Exhibits 10.2 and 10.3 to Simmons First National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K for January 25, 2010 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.9 Simmons First National Corporation Executive Retention Program, adopted January 25, 2010, and notice of retention bonuses to David Bartlett, Robert A. Fehlman and Marty D. Casteel (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Simmons First National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K for January 25, 2010 (File No. 000-06253)).

- 10.10 Simmons First National Corporation Executive Stock Incentive Plan – 2010, adopted January 25, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Simmons First National Corporation’s Current Report on Form 8-K for January 25, 2010 (File No. 000-06253)).

- 10.11 Deferred Compensation Agreement for Marty D. Casteel (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Simmons First National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K for January 25, 2010 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.12 Simmons First National Corporation Executive Retention Program (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Simmons First National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K for January 25, 2010 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.13 Simmons First National Corporation Executive Stock Incentive Plan - 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Simmons First National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K for January 25, 2010 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.14 Change in Control Agreement for Robert A. Fehlman (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Simmons First National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 29, 2010 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.15 Change in Control Agreement for David Bartlett (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Simmons First National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 2, 2006 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.16 Change in Control Agreement for Marty D. Casteel (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Simmons First National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 29, 2010 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.17 Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Agreement for J. Thomas May (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to Simmons First National Corporation's Amendment to the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the Year ended December 31, 2009 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.18 First Amendment to the Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Agreement for J. Thomas May (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to Simmons First National Corporation's Amendment to the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the Year ended December 31, 2009 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.19 Second Amendment to the Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Agreement for J. Thomas May (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to Simmons First National Corporation's Amendment to the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the Year ended December 31, 2009 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.20 Executive Salary Continuation Agreement for David L. Bartlett (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to Simmons First National Corporation's Amendment to the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the Year ended December 31, 2009 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.21 409A Amendment to the Simmons First Bank of Hot Springs Executive Salary Continuation Agreement for David Bartlett (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to Simmons First National Corporation's Amendment to the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the Year ended December 31, 2009 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.22 Simmons First National Corporation Incentive and Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Simmons First National Corporation's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed May 19, 2006 (File No. 333-134276)).
- 10.23 Simmons First National Corporation Executive Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Simmons First National Corporation's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed May 19, 2006 (File No. 333-134301)).

- 10.24 Simmons First National Corporation Executive Stock Incentive Plan – 2001 (incorporated by reference to Definitive Additional Materials to Simmons First National Corporation’s Definitive Proxy Materials on Schedule 14A filed April 2, 2001 (File No. 000-06253)).

75

- 10.25 Simmons First National Corporation Executive Stock Incentive Plan – 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.2 to Simmons First National Corporation’s Definitive Proxy Materials on Schedule 14A filed March 10, 2006 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.26 First Amendment to Simmons First National Corporation Executive Stock Incentive Plan – 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Simmons First National Corporation’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 4, 2007 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.27 Simmons First National Corporation Outside Director's Stock Incentive Plan - 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.3 to Simmons First National Corporation’s Definitive Proxy Materials on Schedule 14A filed March 10, 2006 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.28 Amended and Restated Simmons First National Corporation Outside Director's Stock Incentive Plan - 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to Simmons First National Corporation’s Definitive Proxy Materials on Schedule 14A filed March 10, 2008 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.29 Simmons First National Corporation Dividend Reinvestment Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Simmons First National Corporation’s Registration Statement on Form S-3D filed May 20, 1998 (File No. 333-53119)).
- 10.30 Simmons First National Corporation Amended and Restated Dividend Reinvestment Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Simmons First National Corporation’s Registration Statement on Form S-3D filed July 14, 2004 (File No. 333-117350)).
- 10.31 Form of Lock-Up Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Simmons First National Corporation’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 12, 2009 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 10.32 Simmons First National Corporation Executive Stock Incentive Plan - 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Simmons First National Corporation’s Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed January 28, 2013 (File No. 333-186254)).
- 10.33 Simmons First National Corporation Chief Executive Officer Long Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Simmons First National Corporation’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 28, 2014 (File No. 000-6253)).
- 10.34 Simmons First National Corporation Outside Director Stock Incentive Plan - 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Simmons First National Corporation’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 28, 2014 (File No. 000-6253)).
- 12.1 Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges.*
- 14 Code of Ethics, dated December 2003, for CEO, CFO, controller and other accounting officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14 to Simmons First National Corporation’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year ended December 31, 2003 (File No. 000-06253)).
- 15.1 Awareness Letter of BKD, LLP.*
- 31.1 Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) Certification – George A. Makris, Jr., Chairman and Chief Executive Officer.*

- 31.2 Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) Certification – Robert A. Fehlman, Senior Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer.*
- 31.3 Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) Certification – David W. Garner, Executive Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer.*
- 32.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sections 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 – George A. Makris, Jr., Chairman and Chief Executive Officer.*
- 32.2 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sections 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 – Robert A. Fehlman, Senior Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer.*
- 32.3 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sections 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 – David W. Garner, Executive Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer.*

101.INS XBRL Instance Document.**

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema.**

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase.**

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase.**

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase. **

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase.**

* Filed herewith

** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SIMMONS FIRST NATIONAL CORPORATION
(Registrant)

Date: November 10, 2014

/s/ George A. Makris, Jr.
George A. Makris, Jr.
Chairman and Chief Executive
Officer

Date: November 10, 2014

/s/ Robert A. Fehlman
Robert A. Fehlman
Senior Executive Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer and
Treasurer

Date: November 10, 2014

/s/ David W. Garner
David W. Garner
Executive Vice President,
Controller
and Chief Accounting Officer