CUMMINS INC Form 10-Q July 30, 2010 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 27, 2010

Commission File Number 1-4949

CUMMINS INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Indiana 35-0257090

(State of Incorporation)

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

500 Jackson Street Box 3005 Columbus, Indiana 47202-3005

(Address of principal executive offices)

Telephone (812) 377-5000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o

Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

As of June 27, 2010, there were 198,786,534 shares of common stock outstanding with a par value of \$2.50 per share.

Website Access to Company s Reports

Cummins maintains an internet website at www.cummins.com. Investors can obtain copies of our filings from this website free of charge as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with, or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

CUMMINS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

| | | Page |
|----------------|--|------------|
| | PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| ITEM 1. | Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) | 3 |
| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended June 27, 2010, and June 28, 2009 | 3 |
| | Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 27, 2010, and December 31, 2009 | 4 |
| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 27, 2010, and June 28, 2009 | 5 |
| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the six months ended June 27, 2010, and June 28, 2009 | ϵ |
| | Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | 7 |
| <u>ITEM 2.</u> | Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 24 |
| ITEM 3. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 43 |
| ITEM 4. | Controls and Procedures | 43 |
| | PART II. OTHER INFORMATION | |
| ITEM 1. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 44 |
| ITEM 1A. | Risk Factors | 44 |
| ITEM 2. | Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 45 |
| ITEM 4. | Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders | 45 |
| <u>ITEM 6.</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> | 46 |
| | <u>Signatures</u> | 47 |
| | 2 | |

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

CUMMINS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Unaudited)

| | | Three moi | nths en | ded | | Six months ended | | | | |
|---|------|-----------|---------|---------------|----|------------------|---------------|-------|--|--|
| In millions, except per share amounts | June | 27, 2010 | | June 28, 2009 | Ju | ne 27, 2010 | June 28, 2009 | | | |
| NET SALES (a) | \$ | 3,208 | \$ | 2,431 | \$ | 5,686 | \$ | 4,870 | | |
| Cost of sales | | 2,455 | | 1,983 | | 4,332 | | 3,977 | | |
| GROSS MARGIN | | 753 | | 448 | | 1,354 | | 893 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| OPERATING EXPENSES AND INCOME | | | | | | | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | | 354 | | 287 | | 689 | | 587 | | |
| Research, development and engineering expenses | | 96 | | 79 | | 188 | | 164 | | |
| Equity, royalty and interest income from | | | | | | | | | | |
| investees (Note 4) | | 97 | | 57 | | 173 | | 90 | | |
| Restructuring charges (Note 13) | | | | 7 | | | | 73 | | |
| Other operating (expense) income, net | | (4) | | (11) | | (8) | | (9) | | |
| OPERATING INCOME | | 396 | | 121 | | 642 | | 150 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest income | | 5 | | 1 | | 8 | | 3 | | |
| Interest expense | | 9 | | 10 | | 18 | | 17 | | |
| Other (expense) income, net | | | | (13) | | 17 | | (16) | | |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | | 392 | | 99 | | 649 | | 120 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Income tax expense | | 122 | | 29 | | 209 | | 36 | | |
| CONSOLIDATED NET INCOME | | 270 | | 70 | | 440 | | 84 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling | | | | | | | | | | |
| interests | | 24 | | 14 | | 45 | | 21 | | |
| NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO | | | | | | | | | | |
| CUMMINS INC. | \$ | 246 | \$ | 56 | \$ | 395 | \$ | 63 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE | | | | | | | | | | |
| ATTRIBUTABLE TO CUMMINS INC. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ | 1.25 | \$ | 0.28 | \$ | 2.00 | \$ | 0.32 | | |
| Diluted | \$ | 1.25 | \$ | 0.28 | \$ | 2.00 | \$ | 0.32 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES | | | | | | | | | | |
| OUTSTANDING | | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | | 196.9 | | 197.1 | | 197.6 | | 197.0 | | |
| Dilutive effect of stock compensation awards | | 0.4 | | 0.3 | | 0.3 | | 0.2 | | |
| Diluted | | 197.3 | | 197.4 | | 197.9 | | 197.2 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| CASH DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------|------|------------|
| COMMON SHARE | \$ 0.175 | \$ 0.175 \$ | 0.35 | \$ 0.35 |

(a) Includes sales to nonconsolidated equity investees of \$516 million and \$944 million and \$422 million and \$851 million for the three and six months ended June 27, 2010 and June 28, 2009, respectively.

 $\label{thm:companying} \textit{The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements}.$

CUMMINS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

| In millions, except par value | | June 27, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--|----|------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | | |
| Current assets | _ | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 924 | \$ 930 |
| Marketable securities | | 269 | 190 |
| Accounts and notes receivable, net | | | |
| Trade and other | | 1,783 | 1,730 |
| Nonconsolidated equity investees | | 269 | 274 |
| Inventories (Note 6) | | 1,652 | 1,341 |
| Deferred income taxes | | 312 | 295 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | | 236 | 243 |
| Total current assets | | 5,445 | 5,003 |
| Long-term assets | | | |
| Property, plant and equipment | | 4,705 | 4,765 |
| Accumulated depreciation | | (2,867) | (2,879) |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | | 1,838 | 1,886 |
| Investments and advances related to equity method investees | | 622 | 574 |
| Goodwill | | 363 | 364 |
| Other intangible assets, net | | 225 | 228 |
| Deferred income taxes | | 377 | 436 |
| Other assets | | 340 | 325 |
| Total assets | \$ | 9,210 | \$ 8,816 |
| LIABILITIES | | | |
| Current liabilities | | | |
| Loans payable | \$ | 87 | \$ 37 |
| Accounts payable (principally trade) | | 1,213 | 957 |
| Current portion of accrued product warranty (Note 7) | | 407 | 426 |
| Accrued compensation, benefits and retirement costs | | 346 | 366 |
| Deferred revenue | | 142 | 128 |
| Other accrued expenses | | 612 | 518 |
| Total current liabilities | | 2,807 | 2,432 |
| Long-term liabilities | | | |
| Long-term debt | | 669 | 637 |
| Pensions | | 370 | 514 |
| Postretirement benefits other than pensions | | 461 | 453 |
| Other liabilities and deferred revenue | | 743 | 760 |
| Total liabilities | | 5,050 | 4,796 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 8) | | | |
| EQUITY | | | |
| Cummins Inc. shareholders equity | | | |
| Common stock, \$2.50 par value, 500 shares authorized, 221.9 and 222.0 shares issued | | 1,868 | 1,860 |
| Retained earnings | | 3,900 | 3,575 |
| Treasury stock, at cost, 23.1 and 20.7 shares | | (890) | (731) |
| Common stock held by employee benefits trust, at cost, 2.9 and 3.0 shares | | (35) | (36) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | | | |
| Defined benefit postretirement plans | | (786) | (788) |
| Other | | (174) | (107) |

| Total accumulated other comprehensive loss | | (960) | (895) |
|--|----|---------|-------|
| Total Cummins Inc. shareholders equity | 3 | ,883 | 3,773 |
| Noncontrolling interests | | 277 | 247 |
| Total equity | 4 | ,160 | 4,020 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ | ,210 \$ | 8,816 |

 $\label{thm:companying} \textit{The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements}.$

CUMMINS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

| | | Six months end | nded | | |
|--|----|----------------|----------|--|--|
| | J | June 27, | June 28, | | |
| In millions | | 2010 | 2009 | | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | ф | 440 Ф | 0.4 | | |
| Consolidated net income | \$ | 440 \$ | 84 | | |
| Adjustments to reconcile consolidated net income to net cash provided by operating | | | | | |
| activities: | | | 20 | | |
| Restructuring charges, net of cash payments | | 4 24 | 20 | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 161 | 154 | | |
| Gain on fair value adjustment for consolidated investee (Note 14) | | (12) | 20 | | |
| Deferred income taxes | | 43 | 20 | | |
| Equity in income of investees, net of dividends | | (49) | 60 | | |
| Pension expense, net of pension contributions | | (116) | (15) | | |
| Other post-retirement benefits expense, net of cash payments | | (7) | (16) | | |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | 11 | 12 | | |
| Translation and hedging activities | | 3 | 51 | | |
| Changes in current assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions and divestitures: | | (==) | 0.6 | | |
| Accounts and notes receivable | | (57) | 86 | | |
| Inventories | | (301) | 282 | | |
| Other current assets | | 1 | 22 | | |
| Accounts payable | | 239 | (253) | | |
| Accrued expenses | | (14) | (242) | | |
| Changes in long-term liabilities | | 66 | 73 | | |
| Other, net | | 19 | (17) | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | | 427 | 321 | | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | (01) | (120) | | |
| Capital expenditures | | (91) | (139) | | |
| Investments in internal use software | | (22) | (19) | | |
| Proceeds from disposals of property, plant and equipment | | 42 | 7 | | |
| Investments in and advances (to) from equity investees | | (1) | 1 | | |
| Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired (Note 14) | | (71) | (2) | | |
| Investments in marketable securities acquisitions | | (358) | (69) | | |
| Investments in marketable securities liquidations | | 278 | 133 | | |
| Cash flows from derivatives not designated as hedges | | (18) | (21) | | |
| Other, net | | (2) | (100) | | |
| Net cash used in investing activities CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | (243) | (109) | | |
| | | 85 | 10 | | |
| Proceeds from borrowings Payments on borrowings and capital lease obligations | | (37) | | | |
| | | ` ' | (44) | | |
| Net borrowings under short-term credit agreements | | (1) | (5) | | |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | | (4) | (10) | | |
| Dividend payments on common stock | | (70) | (71) | | |
| Repurchases of common stock | | (162) 16 | 1 | | |
| Other, net | | | _ | | |
| Net cash used in financing activities EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH AND CASH | | (173) | (119) | | |
| | | (17) | 15 | | |
| EQUIVALENTS Note (decrease) in page and each equivalents | | (17) | 15 | | |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | | (6) | 108 | | |

| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 930 | 426 |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD | \$ 924 | \$ 534 |

 $\label{thm:companying} \textit{The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements}.$

CUMMINS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

(Unaudited)

| | Cor | nmon | | ditional aid-in | | etained | | cumulated Other prehensive | Tr | easury | St | nmon cock | Unearned | To Cum In Shareh | mins c. | Noncontrolli | ng | Total |
|---|-----|-------|----|--------------------|----|---------|----|----------------------------------|------|--------|------|--------------|------------|---------------------------|------------|--------------|-------|-------------|
| In millions | | ock | • | apital | | rnings | | Loss | | Stock | | | mpensatior | | | Interests | _ | Equity |
| BALANCE AT | | | | - | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | _ ` |
| DECEMBER 31, 2008 | \$ | 554 | \$ | 1,239 | \$ | 3,288 | \$ | (1,066) | \$ | (715) | \$ | (61)\$ | (5) | \$ | 3,234 | \$ 246 | \$ | 3,480 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | | | 63 | | | | | | | | | 63 | 21 | | 84 |
| Other comprehensive | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| income (loss) (Note 11) | | | | | | | | 135 | | | | | | | 135 | ϵ | Ó | 141 |
| Total comprehensive | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| income | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 198 | 27 | 7 | 225 |
| Issuance of shares | | 1 | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | | | 3 |
| Cash dividends on common | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| stock | | | | | | (71) |) | | | | | | | | (71) | | | (71) |
| Distribution to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| noncontrolling interests | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (15 | 5) | (15) |
| Stock option exercises | | | | (1) |) | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Conversion to capital lease | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (35 | 5) | (35) |
| Other shareholder | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| transactions | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | 3 | 4 | | 8 | | | 8 |
| BALANCE AT JUNE 28, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2009 | \$ | 555 | \$ | 1,241 | \$ | 3,280 | \$ | (931) | \$ | (714) | \$ | (58) \$ | (1) | \$ | 3,372 | \$ 223 | \$ \$ | 3,595 |
| DATANCE AF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BALANCE AT | Ф | ~ ~ ~ | Ф | 1.206 | Ф | 2.575 | Φ | (005) | Ф | (721) | ф | (26) # | (1) | Ф | 2.772 | Φ 245 | , A | 4.020 |
| DECEMBER 31, 2009 | \$ | 555 | \$ | 1,306 | \$ | 3,575 | \$ | (895) | \$ | (731) |) \$ | (36) \$ | (1) | \$ | 3,773 | \$ 247 | \$ | 4,020 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | 205 | | | | | | | | | 205 | 45 | | 440 |
| Net income | | | | | | 395 | | | | | | | | | 395 | 45 | , | 440 |
| Other comprehensive | | | | | | | | (65) | | | | | | | (65) | | | (65) |
| income (loss) (Note 11) Total comprehensive | | | | | | | | (65) | | | | | | | (65) | | | (65) |
| income | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 330 | 45 | • | 375 |
| Issuance of shares | | | | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | 330 | 7. | , | 3/3 |
| Employee benefits trust | | | | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | | | 3 |
| activity | | | | 9 | | | | | | | | 1 | | | 10 | | | 10 |
| Acquisition of shares | | | | , | | | | | | (162) | ١ | | | | (162) | | | (162) |
| Cash dividends on common | | | | | | | | | | (102) | | | | | (102) | | | (102) |
| stock | | | | | | (70) |) | | | | | | | | (70) | 1 | | (70) |
| Distribution to | | | | | | (70) | , | | | | | | | | (70) | | | (70) |
| noncontrolling interests | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (4 | n (| (4) |
| Stock option exercises | | | | | | | | | | 3 | | | | | 3 | (| • / | 3 |
| Deconsolidation of variable | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Ĭ | | | |
| interest entity (Note 3) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (11 |) | (11) |
| Other shareholder | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (2.2 | / | () |
| transactions | | | | (5) |) | | | | | | | | 1 | | (4) | 1 | | (4) |
| BALANCE AT JUNE 27, | | | | (-) | | | | | | | | | _ | | (-/ | | | |
| 2010 | \$ | 555 | \$ | 1,313 | \$ | 3,900 | \$ | (960)(| 1)\$ | (890) | \$ | (35) \$ | | \$ | 3,883 | \$ 277 | \$ | 4,160 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

(1) Comprised of defined benefit postretirement plans of \$(786) million, foreign currency translation adjustments of \$(178) million, unrealized gain on marketable securities of \$3 million and unrealized gain on derivatives of \$1 million.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

CUMMINS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

NOTE 1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS

Cummins Inc. (Cummins, the Company, we, our, or us) is a leading global power provider that designs, manufactures, distributes and service diesel and natural gas engines, electric power generation systems and engine-related component products, including filtration and emissions solutions, fuel systems, controls and air handling systems. We were founded in 1919 as one of the first manufacturers of diesel engines and are headquartered in Columbus, Indiana. We sell our products to Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEMs), distributors and other customers worldwide. We serve our customers through a network of more than 500 company-owned and independent distributor locations and approximately 5,200 dealer locations in more than 190 countries and territories.

NOTE 2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The unaudited *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements* reflect all adjustments which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair statement of the results of operations, financial position and cash flows. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. The *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements* have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) for interim financial information. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted as permitted by such rules and regulations. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period amounts to conform to the presentation of the current period condensed financial statements.

Our reporting period ends on the Sunday closest to the last day of the quarterly calendar period. The second quarters of 2010 and 2009 ended on June 27, and June 28, respectively. The interim periods for both 2010 and 2009 contain 13 weeks. Our fiscal year ends on December 31, regardless of the day of the week on which December 31 falls.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts in the *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*. Significant estimates and assumptions in these *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements* require the exercise of judgment and are used for, but not limited to, allowance for doubtful accounts, estimates of future cash flows and other assumptions associated with goodwill and long-lived asset impairment tests, useful lives for depreciation and amortization, warranty programs, determination of discount and other rate assumptions for pension and other postretirement benefit expenses, restructuring costs, income taxes and deferred tax valuation allowances, lease classifications and contingencies. Due to the inherent uncertainty involved in making estimates, actual results reported in future periods may be different from these estimates.

In preparing our *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*, we evaluated subsequent events through the date our quarterly report was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The weighted-average diluted common shares outstanding exclude the anti-dilutive effect of certain stock options since such options had an exercise price in excess of the monthly average market value of our common stock. The options excluded from diluted earnings per share for the three and six month periods ended June 27, 2010, and June 28, 2009, were as follows:

| | Three | months ended | Six n | nonths ended |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | June 27, 2010 | June 28, 2009 | June 27, 2010 | June 28, 2009 |
| Options excluded | 6,685 | 99,167 | 13,527 | 75,671 |

You should read these interim condensed financial statements in conjunction with the *Consolidated Financial Statements* included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. Our interim period financial results for the three and six month interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for any other interim period or for the entire year. The year-end *Consolidated Balance Sheet* data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP.

NOTE 3. RECENTLY ADOPTED AND RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Accounting Pronouncements Recently Adopted

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) amended its standards related to fair value measurements and disclosures, which are effective for interim and annual fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for disclosures about certain Level 3 activity which will not become effective until interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The new standard requires us to disclose transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers as well as activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. The new standard also requires a more detailed level of disaggregation of the assets and liabilities being measured as well as increased disclosures regarding inputs and valuation techniques of the fair value measurements. Our disclosures related to the new standard are included in Note 9.

In June 2009, the FASB amended its standards for accounting for transfers of financial assets, which was effective for interim and annual fiscal periods beginning after November 15, 2009. The new standard removes the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity from GAAP. The new standard modifies the financial components approach used in previous standards and limits the circumstances in which a financial asset, or portion of a financial asset, should be derecognized. The new standard also requires enhanced disclosure regarding transfers of financial interests and a transferor s continuing involvement with transferred assets. The new standard requires us to report any activity under our receivable sales program as secured borrowings. As of June 27, 2010, there were no outstanding amounts under our receivable sales program and there was no significant activity during the quarter.

In June 2009, the FASB amended its existing standards related to the consolidation of variable interest entities, which was effective for interim and annual fiscal periods beginning after November 15, 2009. The new standard requires entities to analyze whether their variable interests give it a controlling financial interest of a variable interest entity (VIE) and outlines what defines a primary beneficiary. The new standard amends GAAP by: (a) changing certain rules for determining whether an entity is a VIE; (b) replacing the quantitative approach previously required for determining the primary beneficiary with a more qualitative approach; and (c) requiring entities to continuously analyze whether they are the primary beneficiary of a VIE among other amendments. The new standard also requires enhanced disclosures regarding an entity s involvement in a VIE. The only significant impact of the adoption of this standard was to deconsolidate Cummins Komatsu Engine Corporation (CKEC) as of January 1, 2010 and to account for CKEC under GAAP accounting for equity method investees. The impact of the deconsolidation on our *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income* was minimal as all sales were eliminated in consolidation in the past. The most significant impacts on our *Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets* were to decrease current assets by \$9 million, decrease long-term assets by \$10 million, increase investments and advances related to equity method investees by \$11 million and decrease noncontrolling interest by \$11 million.

Accounting Pronouncements Issued But Not Yet Effective

In October 2009, the FASB amended its rules regarding the accounting for multiple element revenue arrangements. The objective of the amendment is to allow vendors to account for revenue for different deliverables separately as opposed to part of a combined unit when those deliverables are provided at different times. Specifically, this amendment addresses how to separate deliverables and simplifies the process of allocating revenue to the different deliverables when more than one deliverable exists. The new rules are effective for us beginning January 1, 2011. We are in the process of evaluating the impact that this amendment will have on our *Consolidated Financial Statements*.

NOTE 4. EQUITY, ROYALTY AND INTEREST INCOME FROM INVESTEES

Equity, royalty and interest income from investees included in our *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income* for the interim reporting periods was as follows:

| | | Three mon | ths en | ded | Six months ended | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|---------------|--------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----|--|
| In millions | _ | ne 27, 010 | J | une 28, 2009 | | June 27, 2010 | June 28, 2009 | | |
| Distribution Entities | | | | | | | | | |
| North American distributors | \$ | 23 | \$ | 23 | \$ | 46 | \$ | 49 | |
| All other distributors | | 4 | | 4 | | 8 | | 7 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Manufacturing Entities | | | | | | | | | |
| Dongfeng Cummins Engine | | | | | | | | | |
| Company, Ltd. | | 34 | | 7 | | 52 | | 7 | |
| Chongqing Cummins Engine | | | | | | | | | |
| Company, Ltd. | | 13 | | 12 | | 23 | | 20 | |
| All other manufacturers | | 15 | | 7 | | 29 | | | |
| Cummins share of net income | | 89 | | 53 | | 158 | | 83 | |
| Royalty and interest income | | 8 | | 4 | | 15 | | 7 | |
| Equity, royalty and interest income | | | | | | | | | |
| from investees | \$ | 97 | \$ | 57 | \$ | 173 | \$ | 90 | |

NOTE 5. PENSION AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

We sponsor funded and unfunded domestic and foreign defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans. Cash contributions to these plans were as follows:

| | | Three mon | ths en | ded | Six months ended | | | | |
|---|----|-----------|--------|---------|------------------|----------|------|--|--|
| | Ju | ne 27, | J | une 28, | June 27, | June 28, | | | |
| In millions | 2 | 2010 | | 2009 | 2010 | | 2009 | | |
| Defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans: | | | | | | | | | |
| Voluntary pension | \$ | 35 | \$ | 45 \$ | 95 | \$ | 45 | | |
| Mandatory pension | | 5 | | 7 | 56 | | 14 | | |
| Defined benefit pension contributions | | 40 | | 52 | 151 | | 59 | | |
| Other postretirement plans | | 11 | | 13 | 17 | | 27 | | |
| Total defined benefit plans | \$ | 51 | \$ | 65 | 168 | \$ | 86 | | |
| Defined contribution pension plans | \$ | 12 | \$ | 7 \$ | § 23 | \$ | 23 | | |

We presently anticipate contributing approximately \$175 million to our defined benefit pension plans in 2010 and paying approximately \$53 million in claims and premiums for other postretirement benefits. The \$175 million of contributions for the full year include voluntary contributions of approximately \$108 million. These contributions and payments may be made from trusts or company funds either to increase pension assets or to make direct benefit payments to plan participants.

The components of net periodic pension and other postretirement benefit cost under our plans consisted of the following:

| | | | Pens | sion | | | | | Oth | ıer | |
|---------------------------|----------------|-------|-----------------|------|----------------------|----|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|
| | U.S. 1 | Plans | | | Non-U.S Three mon | | | Postretirement Benefits | | | |
| In millions | ne 27, 2010 | _ | ine 28, 2009 | _ | ine 27, 2010 | J | une 28, 2009 | | ine 27, 2010 | | me 28, 2009 |
| Service cost | \$ 12 | \$ | 12 | \$ | 4 | \$ | 4 | \$ | | \$ | |
| Interest cost | 27 | | 28 | | 14 | | 14 | | 7 | | 8 |
| Expected return on plan | | | | | | | | | | | |
| assets | (37) | | (35) | | (17) | | (14) | | | | |
| Amortization of prior | | | | | | | | | | | |
| service (credit) cost | | | (1) | | | | 1 | | (2) | | (2) |
| Recognized net actuarial | | | | | | | | | | | |
| loss | 9 | | 7 | | 5 | | 5 | | | | |
| Other | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 11 | \$ | 12 | \$ | 6 | \$ | 10 | \$ | 5 | \$ | 6 |

| | | | | Pens | sion | | | | | Oth | er | | |
|---------------------------|------------|-----------------|------------------|------|------|---------------------|----|-----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|----|----------------|--|
| | U.S. Plans | | | | | Non-U.S Six mont | | | Postretirement Benefits | | | | |
| In millions | - | ine 27, 2010 | June 28, 2009 | | J | une 27, 2010 | J | une 28, 2009 | J | une 27, 2010 | - | ne 28, 2009 | |
| Service cost | \$ | 23 | \$ | 23 | \$ | 9 | \$ | 8 | \$ | | \$ | | |
| Interest cost | | 55 | | 57 | | 29 | | 27 | | 14 | | 15 | |
| Expected return on plan | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| assets | | (74) | | (70) | | (35) | | (28) | | | | | |
| Amortization of prior | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| service (credit) cost | | | | (1) | | 1 | | 2 | | (4) | | (4) | |
| Recognized net actuarial | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| loss | | 18 | | 15 | | 9 | | 10 | | | | | |
| Other | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ | 22 | \$ | 25 | \$ | 13 | \$ | 19 | \$ | 10 | \$ | 11 | |

NOTE 6. INVENTORIES

Inventories included the following:

| In millions | June 27, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Finished products | \$ 863 | \$ 785 |
| Work-in-process and raw materials | 876 | 638 |
| Inventories at FIFO cost | 1,739 | 1,423 |
| Excess of FIFO over LIFO | (87) | (82) |
| Total inventories | \$ 1,652 | \$ 1,341 |

NOTE 7. PRODUCT WARRANTY LIABILITY

We charge the estimated costs of warranty programs, other than product recalls, to income at the time products are shipped to customers. We use historical claims experience to develop the estimated liability. We review product recall programs on a quarterly basis and, if necessary, record a liability when we commit to an action. We also sell extended warranty coverage on several engines. The following is a tabular reconciliation of the product warranty liability, including the deferred revenue related to our extended warranty coverage:

| | | Six month | s ended | l |
|---|----|-----------------|---------|------------------|
| In millions | J | une 27, 2010 | | June 28, 2009 |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | 989 | \$ | 962 |
| Provision for warranties issued | | 157 | | 157 |
| Deferred revenue on extended warranty contracts sold | | 51 | | 53 |
| Payments | | (206) | | (242) |
| Amortization of deferred revenue on extended warranty | | | | |
| contracts | | (42) | | (36) |
| Changes in estimates for pre-existing warranties | | (20) | | 53 |
| Foreign currency translation | | (6) | | 11 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ | 923 | \$ | 958 |

Warranty related deferred revenue, supplier recovery receivables and the long-term portion of the warranty liability on our June 27, 2010, balance sheet were as follows:

| In millions | June 27, 2010 | Balance Sheet Location |
|---|---------------|--|
| Deferred revenue related to extended coverage | | |
| programs: | | |
| Current portion | \$ 84 | Deferred revenue |
| Long-term portion | 186 | Other liabilities and deferred revenue |
| Total | \$ 270 | |
| | | |
| Receivables related to estimated supplier recoveries: | | |
| Current portion | \$ 6 | Trade and other receivables |
| Long-term portion | 5 | Other assets |
| Total | \$ 11 | |
| | | |
| Long-term portion of warranty liability | \$ 246 | Other liabilities and deferred revenue |

NOTE 8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

We are subject to numerous lawsuits and claims arising out of the ordinary course of our business, including actions related to product liability; personal injury; the use and performance of our products; warranty matters; patent, trademark or other intellectual property infringement; contractual liability; the conduct of our business; tax reporting in foreign jurisdictions; distributor termination; workplace safety; and environmental matters. We also have been identified as a potentially responsible party at multiple waste disposal sites under U.S. federal and related state environmental statutes and regulations and may have joint and several liability for any investigation and remediation costs incurred with respect to such sites. We have denied liability with respect to many of these lawsuits, claims and proceedings and are vigorously defending such lawsuits, claims and proceedings. We carry various forms of commercial, property and casualty, product liability and other forms of insurance; however, such insurance may not be applicable or adequate to cover the costs associated with a judgment against us with respect to these lawsuits, claims and proceedings. We do not believe that these lawsuits are material individually or in the aggregate. While we believe we have also established adequate accruals for our expected future liability with respect to pending lawsuits, claims and proceedings, where the nature and extent of any such liability can be reasonably estimated based upon then presently available information, there can be no assurance that the final resolution of any existing or future lawsuits, claims or proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

In June 2008, four of our sites in Southern Indiana, including our Technical Center, experienced extensive flood damage. We have submitted a claim for \$227 million to our insurance carriers, which includes a claim for business interruption. As of June 27, 2010, we have received \$92 million in recoveries from the insurance carriers. Our insurance carriers have disputed certain aspects of our claim and the parties have filed suit against each other. Although we believe that we are insured against the full amount of our claim, there is no assurance that we will be successful recovering the amounts we believe are due under the policies.

11

U.S. Distributor Commitments

Our distribution agreements with independent and partially-owned distributors generally have a three-year term and are restricted to specified territories. Our distributors develop and maintain a network of dealers with which we have no direct relationship. The distributors are permitted to sell other, noncompetitive products only with our consent. We license all of our distributors to use our name and logo in connection with the sale and service of our products, with no right to assign or sublicense the trademarks, except to authorized dealers, without our consent. Products are sold to the distributors at standard domestic or international distributor net prices, as applicable. Net prices are wholesale prices we establish to permit our distributors an adequate margin on their sales. Subject to local laws, we can generally refuse to renew these agreements upon expiration or terminate them upon written notice for inadequate sales, change in principal ownership and certain other reasons. Distributors also have the right to terminate the agreements upon 60-day notice without cause, or 30-day notice for cause. Upon termination or failure to renew, we are required to purchase the distributor s current inventory, signage and special tools, and may, at our option purchase other assets of the distributor, but are under no obligation to do so.

Residual Value Guarantees

We have various residual value guarantees on equipment leased under operating leases. The total amount of these residual value guarantees at June 27, 2010, was \$8 million.

Other Guarantees and Commitments

In addition to the guarantees discussed above, from time to time we enter into other guarantee arrangements, including guarantees of non-U.S. distributor financing and other miscellaneous guarantees of third-party obligations. As of June 27, 2010, the maximum potential loss related to these other guarantees is \$92 million (\$59 million of which relates to the Beijing Foton guarantee discussed below and \$32 million relates to the Cummins Olayan Energy Limited guarantee discussed below).

We have arrangements with certain suppliers that require us to purchase minimum volumes or be subject to monetary penalties. The penalty amounts are less than our purchase commitments and essentially allow the supplier to recover their tooling costs in most instances. As of June 27, 2010, if we were to stop purchasing from each of these suppliers, the amount of the penalty would be approximately \$69 million, of which \$62 million relates to a contract with an engine parts supplier that extends to 2013. This arrangement enables us to secure critical components. We do not currently anticipate paying any penalties under these contracts.

In July 2008, Beijing Foton Cummins Engine Company, a 50 percent owned entity accounted for under the equity method, entered into a line of credit agreement with a borrowing capacity of up to \$176 million (at current exchange rates). The line will be used primarily to fund equipment purchases for a new manufacturing plant. As a part of this transaction, we guaranteed 50 percent of any outstanding borrowings up to a maximum guarantee of \$88 million (at current exchange rates). As of June 27, 2010, outstanding borrowings under this agreement were \$118 million and our guarantee was \$59 million (at current exchange rates). We recorded a liability for the fair value of this guarantee. The amount of the liability was less than \$1 million. The offset to this liability was an increase in our investment in the joint venture.

In February 2010, Cummins Olayan Energy Limited, a 49 percent owned entity accounted for under the equity method, executed a four-year \$101 million (at current exchange rates) debt financing arrangement to acquire certain rental equipment assets. As a part of this transaction, we guaranteed 49 percent of the total outstanding loan amount or \$49 million (at current exchange rates). As of June 27, 2010, outstanding borrowings under this agreement were \$65 million and our guarantee was \$32 million (at current exchange rates). We recorded a liability for the fair value of this guarantee. The amount of the liability was less than \$1 million. The offset to this liability was an increase in our investment in the joint venture.

We have guarantees with certain customers that require us to satisfactorily honor contractual or regulatory obligations, or compensate for monetary losses related to nonperformance. These performance bonds and other performance-related guarantees at June 27, 2010, were \$74 million.

| Table of Contents |
|---|
| Indemnifications |
| Periodically, we enter into various contractual arrangements where we agree to indemnify a third-party against certain types of losses. Commo types of indemnifications include: |
| • product liability and license, patent or trademark indemnifications, |
| • asset sale agreements where we agree to indemnify the purchaser against future environmental exposures related to the asset sold and |
| • any contractual agreement where we agree to indemnify the counter-party for losses suffered as a result of a misrepresentation in the contract. |
| We regularly evaluate the probability of having to incur costs associated with these indemnifications and accrue for expected losses that are probable. Because the indemnifications are not related to specified known liabilities and due to their uncertain nature, we are unable to estimate the maximum amount of the potential loss associated with these indemnifications. |
| Joint Venture Commitments |
| As of June 27, 2010, we have committed to invest an additional \$2 million into existing joint ventures. It is expected that the additional \$2 million will be funded in 2010. |
| NOTE 9. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS |

13

first six months of 2010.

The majority of the assets and liabilities we carry at fair value are available-for-sale (AFS) securities and derivatives. AFS securities are derived from level 1 or level 2 inputs. Derivative assets and liabilities are derived from level 2 inputs. The predominance of market inputs are actively quoted and can be validated through external sources, including brokers, market transactions and third-party pricing services. When material, we adjust the values of our derivative contracts for counter-party or our credit risk. There were no transfers into or out of Levels 2 or 3 in the

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our financial instruments recorded at fair value in our *Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets* at June 27, 2010:

| | active n identi | l prices in narkets for cal assets | Sign obser | Fair Value Meas ificant other ryable inputs | Significant unobservable inputs | | |
|--|--------------------|--|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|----|-------|
| In millions | (Le | evel 1) | (| (Level 2) | (Level 3) | | Total |
| Available-for-sale debt securities: | _ | | _ | | | _ | |
| Debt mutual funds | \$ | 153 | \$ | 21 | \$ | \$ | 174 |
| Bank debentures | | | | 45 | | | 45 |
| Certificates of deposit | | | | 37 | | | 37 |
| Government debt securities-non-U.S. | | | | 3 | | | 3 |
| Corporate debt securities | | | | 2 | | | 2 |
| Total available-for-sale debt securities | | 153 | | 108 | | | 261 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale equity securities: | | | | | | | |
| Financial services industry | | 8 | | | | | 8 |
| Total available-for-sale equity securities | | 8 | | | | | 8 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Derivative assets: | | | | | | | |
| Commodity swap contracts | | | | 8 | | | 8 |
| Interest rate contracts | | | | 47 | | | 47 |
| Total derivative assets | | | | 55 | | | 55 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Derivative liabilities: | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | | | | (5) | | | (5) |
| Total derivative liabilities | | | | (5) | | | (5) |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ | 161 | \$ | 158 | \$ | \$ | 319 |

The substantial majority of our assets were valued utilizing a market approach. A description of the valuation techniques and inputs used for our level 2 fair value measures are as follows:

Debt mutual funds Assets in level 2 consist of exchange traded mutual funds that lack sufficient trading volume to be classified at level 1. The fair value measure for these investments is the daily net asset value published on a regulated governmental website. Daily quoted prices are available from the issuing brokerage and are used on a test basis to corroborate this level 2 input.

Bank debentures and Certificates of deposit These investments provide us with a fixed rate of return and generally range in maturity from six months to one year. The counter-parties to these investments are reputable financial institutions with investment grade credit ratings. Since these instruments are not tradable and must be settled directly by Cummins with the respective financial institution, our fair value measure is the financial institutions month-end statement.

Government debt securities non-U.S. and Corporate debt securities The fair value measure for these securities are broker quotes received from reputable firms. These securities are infrequently traded on a national stock exchange and these values are used on a test basis to corroborate our level 2 input measure.

Foreign currency forward contracts The fair value measure for these contracts are determined based on forward foreign exchange rates received from third-party pricing services. These rates are based upon market transactions and are periodically corroborated by comparing to third-party broker quotes.

Commodity swap contracts The fair value measure for these contracts are current spot market data adjusted for the appropriate current forward curves provided by external financial institutions. The current spot price is the most significant component of this valuation and is based upon market transactions. We use third-party pricing services for the spot price component of this valuation which is periodically corroborated by market data from broker quotes.

Interest rate contracts We currently have only one interest rate contract. We utilize the month-end statement from the issuing financial institution as our fair value measure for this investment. We corroborate this valuation through the use of a third-party pricing service for similar assets and liabilities.

Fair Value of Other Financial Instruments

Based on borrowing rates currently available to us for bank loans with similar terms and average maturities, considering our risk premium, the fair value and carrying value of total debt, including current maturities, at June 27, 2010 and December 31, 2009, was as follows:

| In millions | June 27, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Fair value of total debt | \$ 777 | \$ 674 | | | | |
| Carrying value of total debt | 778 | 703 | | | | |

The carrying values of all other receivables and liabilities approximated fair values.

NOTE 10. DERIVATIVES

We are exposed to financial risk resulting from volatility in foreign exchange rates, commodity prices and interest rates. This risk is closely monitored and managed through the use of financial derivative instruments including foreign currency forward contracts, commodity swap contracts and interest rate swaps. As stated in our policies and procedures, financial derivatives are used expressly for hedging purposes, and under no circumstances are they used for speculative purposes. When material, we adjust the value of our derivative contracts for counter-party or our credit risk. The results and status of our hedging transactions are reported to senior management on a monthly and quarterly basis.

Foreign Exchange Rates

As a result of our international business presence, we are exposed to foreign currency exchange risks. We transact business in foreign currencies and, as a result, our income experiences some volatility related to movements in foreign currency exchange rates. To help manage our exposure to exchange rate volatility, we use foreign exchange forward contracts on a regular basis to hedge forecasted intercompany and third-party sales and purchases denominated in non-functional currencies. Our internal policy allows for managing anticipated foreign currency cash flows for up to one year. These foreign currency forward contracts are designated and qualify as foreign currency cash flow hedges under GAAP. The effective portion of the unrealized gain or loss on the forward contract is deferred and reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss (AOCL). When the hedged forecasted transaction (sale or purchase) occurs, the unrealized gain or loss is reclassified into income in the same line item associated with the hedged transaction in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects income. The ineffective portion of the hedge, unrealized gain or loss, if any, is recognized in current income during the period of change. As of June 27, 2010, we expect to reclassify an unrealized net loss of \$3 million from AOCL to income over the next year. For the six month periods ended June 27, 2010 and June 28, 2009, there were no circumstances that would have resulted in the discontinuance of a foreign currency cash flow hedge.

To minimize the income volatility resulting from the remeasurement of net monetary assets and payables denominated in a currency other than the functional currency, we enter into foreign currency forward contracts, which are considered economic hedges. The objective is to offset the gain or loss from remeasurement with the gain or loss from the fair market valuation of the forward contract. These derivative instruments are

not designated as hedges under GAAP.

The table below summarizes our outstanding foreign currency forward contracts. The currencies in this table represent 95 percent of the notional amounts of contracts outstanding for each period as of June 27, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

| | Notional amount in millions | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Currency denomination | June 27, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | | | | | | |
| United States Dollar (USD) | 37 | 107 | | | | | | |
| British Pound Sterling (GBP) | 111 | 70 | | | | | | |
| Euro (EUR) | 40 | 12 | | | | | | |
| Singapore Dollar (SGD) | 14 | 15 | | | | | | |
| Indian Rupee (INR) | 1,603 | 616 | | | | | | |
| Japanese Yen (JPY) | 3,280 | 1,335 | | | | | | |
| Romanian Leu (RON) | | 44 | | | | | | |
| Canadian Dollar (CAD) | 20 | | | | | | | |
| Chinese Renmimbi (CNY) | 124 | 39 | | | | | | |

Commodity Price Risk

We are exposed to fluctuations in commodity prices due to contractual agreements with component suppliers. In order to protect ourselves against future price volatility and, consequently, fluctuations in gross margins, we periodically enter into commodity swap contracts with designated banks to fix the cost of certain raw material purchases with the objective of minimizing changes in inventory cost due to market price fluctuations. The swap contracts are derivative contracts that are designated as cash flow hedges under GAAP. The effective portion of the unrealized gain or loss is deferred and reported as a component of AOCL. When the hedged forecasted transaction (purchase) occurs, the unrealized gain or loss is reclassified into income in the same line item associated with the hedged transaction in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects income. The ineffective portion of the hedge, if any, is recognized in current income in the period in which the ineffectiveness occurs. As of June 27, 2010, we expect to reclassify an unrealized net gain of \$4 million from AOCL to income over the next year. For the six month period ended June 27, 2010, there were no material circumstances that would have resulted in the discontinuance of a cash flow hedge. For the six month period ended June 28, 2009, we discontinued hedge accounting on certain contracts where the forecasted transactions were no longer probable. The amount reclassified to income as a result of this action was a loss of \$2 million dollars for the six months ended June 28, 2009. Our internal policy allows for managing these cash flow hedges for up to three years.

The following table summarizes our outstanding commodity swap contracts that were entered into to hedge the cost of certain raw material purchases:

| | | | Six mont | hs ended | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Dollars in millions | | June 27, | 2010 | December 31, 2009 | | | | | | |
| Commodity | Notion | al Amount | Quantity | Notion | al Amount | Quantity | | | | |
| | | | | | | 11,372 metric | | | | |
| Copper | \$ | 52 | 7,964 metric tons(1) | \$ | 77 | tons(1) | | | | |
| | | | 12,589 troy | | | 15,986 troy | | | | |
| Platinum | | 13 | ounces(2) | | 14 | ounces(2) | | | | |
| | | | 2,280 troy | | | | | | | |
| Palladium | | 1 | ounces(2) | | 1 | 3,161 troy ounces(2) | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ A metric ton is a measurement of mass equal to 1,000 kilograms.

(2) A troy ounce is a measurement of mass equal to approximately 31 grams.

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to market risk from fluctuations in interest rates. We manage our exposure to interest rate fluctuations through the use of interest rate swaps. The objective of the swaps is to more effectively balance our borrowing costs and interest rate risk.

In November 2005, we entered into an interest rate swap to effectively convert our \$250 million debt issue, due in 2028, from a fixed rate of 7.125% to a floating rate based on a LIBOR spread. The terms of the swap mirror those of the debt, with interest paid semi-annually. This swap qualifies as a fair value hedge under GAAP. The gain or loss on this derivative instrument as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in current income as interest expense. The following table summarizes these gains and losses for the three and six month interim reporting periods presented below:

Table of Contents

| | | Three months ended | | | | | | | | Six months ended | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------------|-------------------|------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|----|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|-------------------|------|-------------------|------|--|--|
| | | June 27, 2010 | | | | June 28, 2009 | | | | June 2' | 7, 2010 | | June 28, 2009 | | | | | |
| In millions | Gain/(or | / | Gain/(Loss) on | | Gain/(Loss) on | | Gain/(Loss) on | | Gain/(Loss) on | | Gain/(Loss) on | | Gain/(Loss) on | | Gain/(Loss) on | | | |
| Income Statement Classification | Swa | ıps | Borrowings | | Swaps | | Borrowings | | S | waps | Borre | owings | Swaps | | Borrow | ings | | |
| Interest expense | \$ | 22 | \$ | (22) | \$ | (17) | \$ | 17 | \$ | 22 | \$ | (22) | \$ | (46) | \$ | 46 | | |

Cash Flow Hedging

The following table summarizes the effect on our *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income* for derivative instruments classified as cash flow hedges for the three month interim reporting periods presented below. The table does not include amounts related to ineffectiveness as it was not material for the periods presented.

| | | | | Th | ree mon | ths e | ended | | | Six months ended | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|-----------|------|---------|------------------|-------|---------|---------------------|-----------|-------|--------|--|
| | | Amour | it of (| Gain/(| (Loss) | Amount of Gain/(Loss) | | | | Amount of | Gair | (Loss) | Amount of Gain/(Los | | | | |
| | Location of | Re | Recognized in | | | Reclassified from | | | | Recogn | nized | in | Reclassified from | | | | |
| | Gain/(Loss) | AOC | L on i | Deriv | ative | A | OCL int | o In | come | AOCL on | Deri | vative | A | OCL int | o Inc | ome | |
| In millions | Reclassified into | (Effe | ective | Porti | ion) | (| Effective | Por | tion) | (Effective | e Por | tion) | (1 | Effective | Port | ion) | |
| Derivatives in Cash Flow | Income (Effective | June 2 | 27, | Jur | ne 28, | Ju | ne 27, | Ju | ine 28, | June 27, | J | une 28, | Jui | ne 27, | Ju | ne 28, | |
| Hedging Relationships | Portion) | 2010 |) | 2 | 009 | 2 | 2010 | | 2009 | 2010 | | 2009 | 2 | 010 | 2 | 2009 | |
| Foreign currency forward | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| contracts | Net sales | \$ | 1 | \$ | 10 | \$ | (3) | \$ | 2 | \$ (7) | \$ | 9 | \$ | (4) | \$ | (8) | |
| Commodity swap contracts | Cost of sales | | (6) | | (2) | | 2 | | (10) | (4) | | 29 | | 4 | | (17) | |
| Total | | \$ | (5) | \$ | 8 | \$ | (1) | \$ | (8) | \$ (11) | \$ | 38 | \$ | | \$ | (25) | |

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments

The following table summarizes the effect on our *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income* for derivative instruments that are not classified as hedges for the three and six month interim reporting periods presented below.

| In millions Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | Location of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives | iı | nt of Gain/(l n Income on Three mon 27, 2010 | Derivative | es | Income on Six montl | Loss) Recognized n Derivatives hs ended June 28, 2009 | | |
|---|---|----|---|------------|----|------------------------|--|----|--|
| Foreign currency forward | | | | | | | | | |
| contracts | Cost of sales | \$ | (2) | \$ | | \$ 2 | \$ | | |
| Foreign currency forward | | | | | | | | | |
| contracts | Other income (expense), net | | 6 | | 19 | (6) | | 18 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 17 | | | | | | | |

Fair Value Amount and Location of Derivative Instruments

The following tables summarize the location and fair value of derivative instruments on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets:

| | | June 27, | Fair Value | | Derivative assets December 31, | |
|---|----|------------------|------------|----|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| In millions | | 2010 | | | 2009 | Balance Sheet Location |
| Derivatives Designated as Hedging | | | | | | |
| Instruments | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Prepaid expenses and other current |
| Commodity swap contracts | \$ | | 6 | \$ | 9 | assets |
| Commodity swap contracts | | | 2 | | 8 | Other assets |
| Interest rate contract | | | 47 | | 25 | Other assets |
| Total Derivatives Designated as | | | | | | |
| Hedging Instruments | | | 55 | | 42 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Total derivative assets | \$ | | 55 | \$ | 42 | |
| | | X 25 | Fair Value | | Derivative liabilities | 5 |
| In millions | | June 27, 2010 | | | December 31, 2009 | Balance Sheet Location |
| Derivatives Designated as Hedging | | 2010 | | | 2009 | Dalance Sheet Location |
| Instruments | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | \$ | | (4) | \$ | 1 | Other accrued expenses |
| Total Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments | | | (4) | | 1 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | | | (1) | | | Other accrued expenses |
| Total Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | | | (1) | | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| as freuging first unions | | | (1) | | | |
| Total derivative liabilities | \$ | | (5) | \$ | 1 | |
| Tom william to manimics | Ψ | | | Ψ | 1 | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | 18 | | |

NOTE 11. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The table below represents a reconciliation of our net income to comprehensive income for the three and six month periods ended June 27, 2010 and June 28, 2009.

| | Three months ended | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|---|--|-----------------------|------------------|
| In millions | Attributable to Cummins Inc. | | June 27, 2010 Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | | Total Consolidated | | Attributable to Cummins Inc. | | June 28, 2009 Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | | Total Consolidated | |
| Net income | \$ | 246 | \$ | 24 | \$ | 270 | \$ | 56 | \$ | 14 | \$ | 70 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (loss), net of tax | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unrealized gain on marketable | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| securities | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Unrealized (loss) gain on | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| derivatives | | (2) | | | | (2) | | 15 | | | | 15 |
| Foreign currency translation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| adjustments | | (12) | | (4) | | (16) | | 99 | | 11 | | 110 |
| Change in pensions and other | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| postretirement defined benefit | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| plans | | 8 | | | | 8 | | 7 | | | | 7 |
| Total other comprehensive | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (loss) income | | (5) | | (4) | | (9) | | 121 | | 11 | | 132 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ | 241 | \$ | 20 | \$ | 261 | \$ | 177 | \$ | 25 | \$ | 202 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Cir mont | ha andad | | | | | |
| | | | June 2 | 7. 2010 | | Six mont | hs ended | | June 2 | 28. 2009 | | |
| | | | _ | 7, 2010 Itable to | | Six mont | hs ended | | _ | 28, 2009 utable to | | |
| | Attribu | table to | Attribu | / | Te | Six mont | | ıtable to | Attrib | / | Т | otal |
| In millions | Cumm | ins Inc. | Attribu Noncor Inte | itable to | Consc | otal olidated | Attribu Cumm | itable to | Attrib Nonco Int | utable to ntrolling erests | Cons | otal olidated |
| Net income | | | Attribu Noncor | itable to itrolling | | otal | Attribu | | Attrib Nonco | utable to ntrolling | | |
| Net income Other comprehensive income | Cumm | ins Inc. | Attribu Noncor Inte | itable to itrolling rests | Consc | otal olidated | Attribu Cumm | ins Inc. | Attrib Nonco Int | utable to ntrolling erests | Cons | olidated |
| Net income Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | Cumm | ins Inc. | Attribu Noncor Inte | itable to itrolling rests | Consc | otal olidated | Attribu Cumm | ins Inc. | Attrib Nonco Int | utable to ntrolling erests | Cons | olidated |
| Net income Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax Unrealized gain on marketable | Cumm | ins Inc. 395 | Attribu Noncor Inte | itable to itrolling rests | Consc | otal olidated 440 | Attribu Cumm | ins Inc. | Attrib Nonco Int | utable to ntrolling erests | Cons | olidated |
| Net income Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax Unrealized gain on marketable securities | Cumm | ins Inc. | Attribu Noncor Inte | itable to itrolling rests | Consc | otal olidated | Attribu Cumm | ins Inc. | Attrib Nonco Int | utable to ntrolling erests | Cons | olidated |
| Net income Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax Unrealized gain on marketable securities Unrealized (loss) gain on | Cumm | ins Inc. 395 | Attribu Noncor Inte | itable to itrolling rests | Consc | otal blidated 440 | Attribu Cumm | ins Inc. 63 | Attrib Nonco Int | utable to ntrolling erests | Cons | olidated 84 |
| Net income Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax Unrealized gain on marketable securities Unrealized (loss) gain on derivatives | Cumm | ins Inc. 395 | Attribu Noncor Inte | itable to itrolling rests | Consc | otal olidated 440 | Attribu Cumm | ins Inc. | Attrib Nonco Int | utable to ntrolling erests | Cons | olidated |
| Net income Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax Unrealized gain on marketable securities Unrealized (loss) gain on derivatives Foreign currency translation | Cumm | 1 (7) | Attribu Noncor Inte | itable to itrolling rests | Consc | otal blidated 440 1 (7) | Attribu Cumm | 63 44 | Attrib Nonco Int | utable to ntrolling erests 21 | Cons | 84 84 |
| Net income Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax Unrealized gain on marketable securities Unrealized (loss) gain on derivatives Foreign currency translation adjustments | Cumm | ins Inc. 395 | Attribu Noncor Inte | itable to itrolling rests | Consc | otal blidated 440 | Attribu Cumm | ins Inc. 63 | Attrib Nonco Int | utable to ntrolling erests | Cons | olidated 84 |
| Net income Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax Unrealized gain on marketable securities Unrealized (loss) gain on derivatives Foreign currency translation adjustments Change in pensions and other | Cumm | 1 (7) | Attribu Noncor Inte | itable to itrolling rests | Consc | otal blidated 440 1 (7) | Attribu Cumm | 63 44 | Attrib Nonco Int | utable to ntrolling erests 21 | Cons | 84 84 |
| Net income Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax Unrealized gain on marketable securities Unrealized (loss) gain on derivatives Foreign currency translation adjustments Change in pensions and other postretirement defined benefit | Cumm | 1 (7) (61) | Attribu Noncor Inte | itable to itrolling rests | Consc | otal blidated 440 1 (7) (61) | Attribu Cumm | 44 87 | Attrib Nonco Int | utable to ntrolling erests 21 | Cons | 84 84 84 93 |
| Net income Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax Unrealized gain on marketable securities Unrealized (loss) gain on derivatives Foreign currency translation adjustments Change in pensions and other postretirement defined benefit plans | Cumm | 1 (7) | Attribu Noncor Inte | itable to itrolling rests | Consc | otal blidated 440 1 (7) | Attribu Cumm | 63 44 | Attrib Nonco Int | utable to ntrolling erests 21 | Cons | 84 84 |
| Net income Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax Unrealized gain on marketable securities Unrealized (loss) gain on derivatives Foreign currency translation adjustments Change in pensions and other postretirement defined benefit plans Total other comprehensive | Cumm | 1 (7) (61) | Attribu Noncor Inte | itable to itrolling rests | Consc | tal olidated 440 1 (7) (61) | Attribu Cumm | 44 87 | Attrib Nonco Int | utable to ntrolling erests 21 | Cons | 93 |
| Net income Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax Unrealized gain on marketable securities Unrealized (loss) gain on derivatives Foreign currency translation adjustments Change in pensions and other postretirement defined benefit plans | Cumm | 1 (7) (61) | Attribu Noncor Inte | itable to itrolling rests | Consc | otal blidated 440 1 (7) (61) | Attribu Cumm | 44 87 | Attrib Nonco Int | utable to ntrolling erests 21 | Cons | 84 84 84 93 |

NOTE 12. SALES OF ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

In April 2010, we terminated our existing trade receivables facility and entered into a new 364-day agreement (subject to renewal) with a financial institution to sell trade receivables from time to time to Cummins Trade Receivables, LLC (CTR), a wholly-owned special purpose subsidiary, for the purpose of obtaining credit secured by such receivables from one or more commercial paper conduit and committed institutional lenders. To support outstanding advances under the agreement, we sell new receivables to CTR as they arise. Receivables sold to CTR are included in Receivables, net on our *Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets*. The amount of aggregate advances that can be outstanding under the agreement at any point in time is limited to the lesser of \$250 million or, with certain adjustments, the amount of eligible receivables held by CTR. There are no provisions in the agreement that require us to maintain a minimum investment credit rating; however, the terms of the agreement contain the same financial covenants as our revolving credit facility. In accordance with FASB Standards for transfer of financial assets, any activity under our receivable sales program will be accounted for as secured borrowings. As of June 27, 2010, the amount available under the agreement was \$74 million and no advances were outstanding under the agreement.

CTR is a separate legal entity from Cummins and each of its affiliates and its assets and credit are not available to satisfy the debts and obligations of Cummins or any other entity. CTR s assets are listed separately on its balance sheet on a stand-alone basis. CTR s assets will be available first and foremost to satisfy claims of its creditors.

NOTE 13. RESTRUCTURING CHARGES

In 2009, we executed restructuring actions in response to a reduction in orders in most of our U.S. and foreign markets due to the deterioration in the global economy. We reduced our global workforce by approximately 1,000 professional employees. In addition, we took numerous employee actions at many of our manufacturing locations, including approximately 3,200 hourly employees, significant downsizing at numerous facilities and complete closure of several facilities and branch distributor locations. Employee termination and severance costs were

19

Table of Contents

recorded based on approved plans developed by the businesses and corporate management which specified positions to be eliminated, benefits to be paid under existing severance plans, union contracts or statutory requirements and the expected timetable for completion of the plan. Estimates of restructuring were made based on information available at the time charges were recorded. Due to the inherent uncertainty involved, actual amounts paid for such activities may differ from amounts initially recorded and we may need to revise previous estimates.

At June 27, 2010, of the approximately 4,200 employees affected by this plan, all terminations were substantially complete.

During the three and six month periods ended June 28, 2009, we recorded total pre-tax restructuring charges of \$7 million and \$73 million, respectively in Restructuring charges in the *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income* related to the 2009 actions.

We do not include restructuring charges in our operating segment results. The pretax impact of allocating restructuring charges to the segment results would have been as follows:

| | 2009 Charges | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------------|----|--|--|--|
| In millions | | months June 28 | Six months ended June 28 | | | | |
| Engine | \$ | 2 | \$ | 33 | | | |
| Power Generation | | 3 | | 6 | | | |
| Components | | 2 | | 26 | | | |
| Distribution | | | | 4 | | | |
| Non-segment | | | | 4 | | | |
| Total restructuring charges | \$ | 7 | \$ | 73 | | | |

The following table summarizes the balance of accrued restructuring charges by expense type and the changes in the accrued amounts for the applicable periods. The restructuring related accruals were recorded in Other accrued expenses in our *Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets*.

| In millions | Severance C | Costs | Exit Activities | | To | tal | |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------|------------------------|---|----|-----|----------|
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ | 10 5 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 11 | Ĺ |
| Cash payments for 2009 | | | | | | | |
| actions | | (4) | | | | (4 | (|
| Balance at June 27, 2010 | \$ | 6 5 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 7 | 7 |

NOTE 14. ACQUISITIONS

On January 4, 2010, we acquired the remaining 70 percent interest in Cummins Western Canada (CWC) from our former principal for consideration of approximately \$71 million. We formed a new partnership with a new distributor principal where we own 80 percent of CWC and the new distributor principal owns 20 percent. The acquisition was effective on January 1, 2010. The \$71 million of consideration consisted of:

| In millions | |
|--|----------|
| Borrowings under credit revolver | \$ 44 |
| Capital contributed by Cummins Inc. | 10 |
| Capital contributed by new principal, as described below | 8 |
| Funded from first quarter operations | 9 |
| Total consideration | \$ 71 |

The purchase price was approximately \$97 million as presented below. The intangible assets are primarily customer related and are being amortized over periods ranging from one to three years. The acquisition of CWC was accounted for as a business combination, with the results of the acquired entity and the goodwill included in the Distribution operating segment as of the acquisition date. Distribution segment results also include a \$12 million gain for the three months ended March 28, 2010, as we were required to re-measure our pre-existing 30 percent ownership interest in CWC to fair value in accordance with GAAP. Net sales for CWC were \$206 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009, which represents less than two percent of Cummins Inc. consolidated sales for the same period.

The purchase price was allocated as follows:

| In millions | |
|--|----------|
| Accounts receivable | \$ 31 |
| Inventory | 48 |
| Fixed assets | 45 |
| Intangible assets | 11 |
| Goodwill | 2 |
| Other assets | 2 |
| Current liabilities | (42) |
| Total purchase price | \$ 97 |
| Fair value of pre-existing 30 percent interest | (26) |
| Consideration given | \$ 71 |

We provided a loan to our partner of approximately \$8 million to fund the purchase of his 20 percent interest. The purchase transaction resulted in \$8 million of noncontrolling interest (representing our partner s 20 percent interest) which was completely offset by the \$8 million receivable from our partner, reducing the noncontrolling interest impact to zero as of the acquisition date. The interest-bearing loan is expected to be repaid over a period of 3-5 years. The partner also has periodic options to purchase an additional 10 to 15 percent interest in CWC up to a maximum of an additional 30 percent (total ownership not to exceed 50 percent).

NOTE 15. OPERATING SEGMENTS

Operating segments under GAAP are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision-maker, or decision-making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. Cummins chief operating decision-maker (CODM) is the Chief Executive Officer.

Our reportable operating segments consist of the following: Engine, Power Generation, Components and Distribution. This reporting structure is organized according to the products and markets each segment serves and allows management to focus its efforts on providing enhanced service to a wide range of customers. The Engine segment produces engines and parts for sale to customers in on-highway and various industrial markets. The engines are used in trucks of all sizes, buses and recreational vehicles, as well as various industrial applications including construction, mining, agriculture, marine, oil and gas, rail and military. The Power Generation segment is an integrated provider of power systems which sells engines, generator sets and alternators and rents power equipment for both standby and prime power uses. The Components segment includes sales of filtration products, exhaust and aftertreatment systems, turbochargers and fuel systems. The Distribution segment includes wholly-owned and partially-owned distributorships engaged in wholesaling engines, generator sets, and service parts, as well as performing service and repair activities on our products and maintaining relationships with various original equipment manufacturers.

We use segment EBIT (defined as earnings before interest expense, taxes and noncontrolling interests) as a primary basis for the CODM to evaluate the performance of each of our operating segments. Segment amounts exclude certain expenses not specifically identifiable to segments.

The accounting policies of our operating segments are the same as those applied in the *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*. We prepared the financial results of our operating segments on a basis that is consistent with the manner in which we internally disaggregate financial information to assist in making internal operating decisions. We have allocated certain common costs and expenses, primarily corporate functions, among segments differently than we would for stand-alone financial information prepared in accordance with GAAP. These include certain costs and expenses of shared services, such as information technology, human resources, legal and finance. We also do

not allocate debt-related items, actuarial gains and losses, prior service costs or credits, restructuring and other charges, investment gains or losses, flood damage gains or losses or income taxes to individual segments. Segment EBIT may not be consistent with measures used by other companies. Summarized financial information regarding our reportable operating segments for the three and six month periods is shown in the table below:

Table of Contents

| | Power | | | | | | on-segment | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|--------|----|------------|----|------------|--------------|----|----------|-------|
| In millions | | Engine | | Generation | (| Components | Distribution | | items(1) | Total |
| Three months ended June 27, | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2010 | | | | | | | | | | |
| External sales | \$ | 1,595 | \$ | 518 | \$ | 522 | \$ 573 | \$ | \$ | 3,208 |
| Intersegment sales | | 304 | | 190 | | 207 | 3 | | (704) | |
| Total sales | | 1,899 | | 708 | | 729 | 576 | | (704) | 3,208 |
| Depreciation and amortization(2) | | 42 | | 11 | | 21 | 7 | | | 81 |
| Research, development and | | | | | | | | | | |
| engineering expenses | | 62 | | 8 | | 26 | | | | 96 |
| Equity, royalty and interest | | | | | | | | | | |
| income from investees | | 52 | | 9 | | 6 | 30 | | | 97 |
| Interest income | | 2 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | | 5 |
| Segment EBIT | | 197 | | 76 | | 75 | 69 | | (16) | 401 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Three months ended June 28, | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2009 | | | | | | | | | | |
| External sales | \$ | 1,133 | \$ | 481 | \$ | 355 | \$ 462 | \$ | \$ | 2,431 |
| Intersegment sales | | 173 | | 129 | | 147 | 1 | | (450) | |
| Total sales | | 1,306 | | 610 | | 502 | 463 | | (450) | 2,431 |
| Depreciation and amortization(2) | | 45 | | 11 | | 17 | 4 | | | 77 |
| Research, development and | | | | | | | | | | |
| engineering expenses | | 51 | | 8 | | 20 | | | | 79 |
| Equity, royalty and interest | | | | | | | | | | |
| income from investees | | 17 | | 6 | | 4 | 30 | | | 57 |
| Restructuring charges | | | | | | | | | 7 | 7 |
| Interest income | | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 |
| Segment EBIT | | (4) | | 41 | | (10) | 55 | | 27 | 109 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Six months ended June 27, 2010 | | | | | | | | | | |
| External sales | \$ | 2,768 | \$ | 896 | | 975 | 1,047 | \$ | | 5,686 |
| Intersegment sales | | 554 | | 329 | | 384 | 5 | | (1,272) | |
| Total sales | | 3,322 | | 1,225 | | 1,359 | 1,052 | | (1,272) | 5,686 |
| Depreciation and amortization(2) | | 83 | | 21 | | 41 | 14 | | | 159 |
| Research, development and | | | | | | | | | | |
| engineering expenses | | 122 | | 15 | | 51 | | | | 188 |
| Equity, royalty and interest | | | | | | | | | | |
| income from investees | | 87 | | 15 | | 11 | 60 | | | 173 |
| Interest income | | 4 | | 2 | | 1 | 1 | | | 8 |
| Segment EBIT | | 330 | | 110 | | 132 | 141 | | (46) | 667 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Six months ended June 28, 2009 | | | | | | | | | | |
| External sales | \$ | 2,338 | \$ | 958 | \$ | 701 | \$ 873 | \$ | \$ | 4,870 |
| Intersegment sales | | 460 | | 309 | | 331 | 3 | | (1,103) | |
| Total sales | | 2,798 | | 1,267 | | 1,032 | 876 | | (1,103) | 4,870 |
| Depreciation and amortization(2) | | 86 | | 22 | | 35 | 9 | | | 152 |
| Research, development and | | | | | | | | | | |
| engineering expenses | | 109 | | 16 | | 39 | | | | 164 |
| Equity, royalty and interest | | | | | | | | | | |
| income from investees | | 14 | | 11 | | 5 | 60 | | | 90 |
| Restructuring charges | | | | | | | | | 73 | 73 |
| Interest income | | 1 | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 3 |
| Segment EBIT | | (20) | | 110 | | (9) | 113 | | (57) | 137 |
| | | | | | | | | | () | |

Includes intersegment sales and profit in inventory eliminations and unallocated corporate expenses. There were no significant unallocated corporate expenses for the three and six months ended June 27, 2010. For the three and six months ended June 28, 2009, unallocated corporate expenses included \$7 million and \$73 million of restructuring charges and losses of \$9 million and \$3 million related to flood damages, respectively.

(2) Depreciation and amortization as shown on a segment basis excludes the amortization of debt discount that is included in the *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income* as interest expense.

22

Table of Contents

Total assets for our Distribution segment materially increased due to the acquisition of Cummins Western Canada in the first quarter of 2010. See Note 14 for further information.

A reconciliation of our segment information to the corresponding amounts in the *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income* is shown in the table below:

| | | Three mon | ths e | nded | Six mont | ded | |
|----------------------|----|-----------|-------|----------|-----------|-----|----------|
| | Ju | ıne 27, | | June 28, | June 27, | | June 28, |
| In millions | | 2010 | | 2009 | 2010 | | 2009 |
| Segment EBIT | \$ | 401 | \$ | 109 | \$ 667 | \$ | 137 |
| Less: | | | | | | | |
| Interest expense | | 9 | | 10 | 18 | | 17 |
| Income before income | | | | | | | |
| taxes | \$ | 392 | \$ | 99 | \$ 649 | \$ | 120 |

Note 16: SUBSEQUENT EVENT

Revolving Credit Facility

On July 16, 2010, we entered into a four-year revolving credit agreement with a syndicate of lenders. The credit agreement provides us with a \$1.24 billion senior unsecured revolving credit facility, the proceeds of which are to be used by us for working capital or other general corporate purposes.

The credit facility matures on July 16, 2014. Amounts payable under our revolving credit facility will rank pro rata with all of our unsecured, unsubordinated indebtedness. Up to \$150 million under our credit facility is available for swingline loans denominated in U.S. dollars. Advances under the facility bear interest at (i) a base rate or (ii) a rate equal to the LIBOR Rate plus an applicable margin based on the credit ratings of our outstanding senior unsecured long-term debt. Based on our current long-term debt ratings, the applicable margin on LIBOR Rate loans was 2.25 percent per annum as of July 16, 2010. Advances under the facility may be prepaid without premium or penalty, subject to customary breakage costs.

The credit agreement includes various covenants, including, among others, maintaining a leverage ratio of no more than 3.0 to 1.0 and maintaining an interest coverage ratio of at least 1.5 to 1.0. As of June 27, 2010, we were in compliance with all such covenants.

ITEM 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Cummins Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries are hereinafter sometimes referred to as Cummins, the Company, we, our, or us.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

Certain parts of this quarterly report contain forward-looking statements intended to qualify for the safe harbors from liability established by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements include those that are based on current expectations, estimates and projections about the industries in which we operate and management s beliefs and assumptions. Forward-looking statements are generally accompanied by words such as anticipates, expects, forecasts, intends, plans, believes. seeks. should, or wor estimates. could. meaning. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions, which we refer to as future factors, which are difficult to predict. Therefore, actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what is expressed or forecasted in such forward-looking statements. Some future factors that could cause our results to differ materially from the results discussed in such forward-looking statements are discussed below and shareholders, potential investors and other readers are urged to consider these future factors carefully in evaluating forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. We undertake no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Future factors that could affect the outcome of forward-looking statements include the following:

- price and product competition by foreign and domestic competitors, including new entrants;
- rapid technological developments of diesel engines;
- our ability to continue to introduce competitive new products in a timely, cost-effective manner;
- our sales mix of products;
- our continued achievement of lower costs and expenses;
- domestic and foreign governmental and public policy changes, including environmental regulations;

| • | protection and validity of our patent and other intellectual property rights; |
|----------|--|
| • | our reliance on large customers; |
| • | technological, implementation and cost/financial risks in our increasing use of large, multi-year contracts; |
| • | the cyclical nature of some of our markets; |
| • | the outcome of pending and future litigation and governmental proceedings; |
| • sup | continued availability of financing, financial instruments and financial resources in the amounts, at the times and on the terms required to port our future business; |
| • | the overall stability of global economic markets and conditions; and |
| • | other risk factors described in our Form 10-K, Part 1, Item 1A under the caption Risk Factors. |
| eco | ddition, such statements could be affected by general industry and market conditions and growth rates, general domestic and international nomic conditions, including the price of crude oil (diesel fuel), interest rate and currency exchange rate fluctuations, commodity prices and er future factors. |
| | 24 |
| | |

Table of Contents

ORGANIZATION OF INFORMATION

| The following Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) was prepared to provide the |
|---|
| reader with a view and perspective of our business through the eyes of management and should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated |
| Financial Statements and accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Financial Statements section of our 2009 |
| Form 10-K. Our MD&A is presented in the following sections: |

| • | Executive Summary and Financial Highlights |
|---|--|
| • | Results of Operations |
| • | Restructuring Charges |
| • | Outlook |
| • | Operating Segment Results |
| • | Liquidity and Capital Resources |
| • | Off Balance Sheet Financing |
| • | Application of Critical Accounting Estimates |
| • | Recently Adopted and Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements |
| | |

25

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

We are a global power leader that designs, manufactures, distributes and services diesel and natural gas engines, electric power generation systems and engine-related component products, including filtration, exhaust aftertreatment, fuel systems, controls and air handling systems. We sell our products to original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), distributors and other customers worldwide. We have long-standing relationships with many of the leading manufacturers in the markets we serve, including PACCAR Inc., Daimler Trucks North America, Chrysler Group, LLC, Volvo AB, Ford Motor Company, Komatsu, MAN Nutzfahrzeuge AG and Case New Holland. We serve our customers through a network of more than 500 company-owned and independent distributor locations and approximately 5,200 dealer locations in more than 190 countries and territories.

Our reportable operating segments consist of the following: Engine, Power Generation, Components and Distribution. This reporting structure is organized according to the products and markets each segment serves and allows management to focus its efforts on providing enhanced service to a wide range of customers. The Engine segment produces engines and parts for sale to customers in on-highway and various industrial markets. The engines are used in trucks of all sizes, buses and recreational vehicles, as well as various industrial applications including construction, mining, agriculture, marine, oil and gas, rail and military. The Power Generation segment is an integrated provider of power systems which sells engines, generator sets and alternators. The Components segment sells filtration products, exhaust aftertreatment systems, turbochargers and fuel systems. The Distribution segment includes wholly-owned and partially-owned distributorships engaged in wholesaling engines, generator sets and service parts, as well as performing service and repair activities on our products and maintaining relationships with various OEMs throughout the world.

Our financial performance depends, in large part, on varying conditions in the markets we serve, particularly the on-highway, construction and general industrial markets. Demand in these markets tends to fluctuate in response to overall economic conditions and is particularly sensitive to changes in interest rate levels and our customers access to credit. Our sales may also be impacted by OEM inventory levels and production schedules and stoppages. Economic downturns in markets we serve generally result in reductions in sales and pricing of our products. As a worldwide business, our operations are also affected by political, economic and regulatory matters, including environmental and emissions standards, in the countries we serve. At the same time, our geographic diversity and broad product and service offerings have helped limit the impact from a drop in demand in any one industry or customer and the economy of any single country on our consolidated results.

In the first half of 2010, emerging markets recovered with stronger demand in China, India and Brazil while we started to see modest but uneven signs of recovery in developed markets. As we expected, after the strong demand in the second half of 2009, in advance of the 2010 U.S. emissions change, demand for heavy-duty on-highway products in North America was down approximately 69 percent in the first half of 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. In addition, medium-duty truck shipments in North America were down 47 percent in the first half of 2010 compared to the prior period in 2009. We expect demand to improve throughout 2010 in most markets and we expect demand in emerging markets to remain strong. Overall, order trends are improving and are consistent with our expectations of organic revenue growth in the second half of 2010. In recognition of the global economic challenges, we launched significant restructuring initiatives in late 2008 and 2009 that were designed to reduce structural and overhead costs across all of our businesses. These initiatives are helping to mitigate the adverse volume impacts of certain markets experienced thus far and we anticipate they will better position us for when a more robust economic recovery extends beyond the emerging markets.

Net income attributable to Cummins was \$246 million, or \$1.25 per diluted share, on sales of \$3.2 billion for the three month interim reporting period ended June 27, 2010, versus the comparable prior year period with net income attributable to Cummins of \$56 million, or \$0.28 per diluted share, on sales of \$2.4 billion. We recorded restructuring charges of \$7 million (\$4 million after tax, or \$0.02 per diluted share) in the second quarter of 2009. The increase in income was driven by higher volumes in emerging markets, production cost reductions, increased sales in developed countries, increased equity income and restructuring charges incurred in 2009 that were not repeated in 2010. These were partially

offset by higher income tax expense.

Net income attributable to Cummins was \$395 million, or \$2.00 per diluted share, on sales of \$5.7 billion for the six month interim reporting period ended June 27, 2010, versus the comparable prior year period with net income attributable to Cummins of \$63 million, or \$0.32 per diluted share, on sales of \$4.9 billion. We recorded restructuring charges of \$73 million (\$48 million after tax, or \$0.24 per diluted share) in the first six months of 2009. The increase in income was driven by higher volumes in emerging markets, production cost reductions, increased equity income and restructuring charges incurred in 2009 that were not repeated in 2010. These were partially offset by higher income tax expense.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

| | Ju | Three mor | | ended June 28, | | Favoral (Unfavora | | Six months ended June 27, June 28, | | | Favoral (Unfavora | | | |
|--|----|-----------|----|-------------------|----|----------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|-------|----|----------------------|----|------------|---------------|
| In millions (except per share amounts) | | 2010 | | 2009 | , | Amount | Percent | 20 | 10 | | 2009 | | Amount | Percent |
| Net sales | \$ | 3,208 | \$ | 2,431 | \$ | 777 | 32% | | 5,686 | \$ | 4,870 | \$ | 816 | 17% |
| Cost of sales | Ф | 2,455 | Ф | 1,983 | Ф | (472) | (24)% | Þ | 4,332 | Ф | 3,977 | φ | (355) | (9)% |
| Gross margin | | 753 | | 448 | | 305 | 68% | | 1,354 | | 893 | | 461 | 52% |
| Operating expenses and | | 155 | | 770 | | 303 | 0070 | | 1,554 | | 073 | | 701 | 3270 |
| income | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Selling, general and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| administrative expenses | | 354 | | 287 | | (67) | (23)% | | 689 | | 587 | | (102) | (17)% |
| Research, development and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| engineering expenses | | 96 | | 79 | | (17) | (22)% | | 188 | | 164 | | (24) | (15)% |
| Equity, royalty and interest | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| income from investees | | 97 | | 57 | | 40 | 70% | | 173 | | 90 | | 83 | 92% |
| Restructuring and other | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| charges | | | | 7 | | 7 | 100% | | | | 73 | | 73 | 100% |
| Other operating (expense) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| income, net | | (4) | | (11) | | 7 | 64% | | (8) | | (9) | | 1 | 11% |
| Operating income | | 396 | | 121 | | 275 | NM | | 642 | | 150 | | 492 | NM |
| Interest income | | 5 | | 1 | | 4 | NM | | 8 | | 3 | | 5 | NM |
| Interest expense | | 9 | | 10 | | 1 | 10% | | 18 | | 17 | | (1) | (6)% |
| Other (expense) income, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| net | | | | (13) | | 13 | 100% | | 17 | | (16) | | 33 | NM |
| Income before income | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| taxes | | 392 | | 99 | | 293 | NM | | 649 | | 120 | | 529 | NM |
| Income tax expense | | 122 | | 29 | | (93) | NM | | 209 | | 36 | | (173) | NM |
| Consolidated net income | | 270 | | 70 | | 200 | NM | | 440 | | 84 | | 356 | NM |
| Less: net income | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| attributable to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| noncontrolling interests | | 24 | | 14 | | (10) | (71)% | | 45 | | 21 | | (24) | NM |
| Net income attributable to | | | _ | | _ | | | | | _ | | _ | | |
| Cummins Inc. | \$ | 246 | \$ | 56 | \$ | 190 | NM S | \$ | 395 | \$ | 63 | \$ | 332 | NM |
| Diluted earnings per | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| common share | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| attributable to Cummins | Φ. | | | 0.00 | | 0.05 | | Φ. | • • • | | 0.22 | | 4.60 | |
| Inc. | \$ | 1.25 | \$ | 0.28 | \$ | 0.97 | NM S | \$ | 2.00 | \$ | 0.32 | \$ | 1.68 | NM |
| Percent of sales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross margin | | 23.5% | | 18.4% | b | 5.1 percei | ntage points | | 23.8% | | 18.3% | b | 5.5 percei | ntage points |
| Selling, general and | | | | | | - | - | | | | | | _ | - |
| administrative expenses | | 11.0% | | 11.8% | ó | 0.8 percer | ntage points | | 12.1% | | 12.1% | ó | perc | entage points |
| Research, development and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| engineering expenses | | 3.0% | | 3.2% | ó | 0.2 percei | ntage points | | 3.3% | | 3.4% | b | 0.1 percei | ntage points |

⁽¹⁾ NM - not meaningful information.

Net Sales

Net sales for the three and six month periods ended June 27, 2010, increased in the Engine, Components and Distribution segments versus the comparable periods in 2009, primarily due to increased demand from the recovery of emerging markets and slight improvement in developed markets. Power Generation net sales increased during the second quarter but were down slightly for the six months ended June 27, 2010. The primary drivers for overall increased sales were:

- Engine segment sales increased by 45 percent and 19 percent for the three and six months ended, respectively, due to increased demand in most lines of business, led by international industrial sales, North American light-duty automotive and RV sales and international medium-duty truck and bus sales.
- Components segment sales increased by 45 percent and 32 percent for the three and six months ended, respectively, due to increased demand in all lines of business led by the turbo technologies, emission solutions and filtration businesses.

27

Table of Contents

- Distribution segment sales increased by 24 percent and 20 percent for the three and six months ended, respectively, primarily due to the acquisition of the majority interest in an equity investee and increased sales in all geographic regions.
- Power Generation segment sales increased by 16 percent for the three months ended due to increased sales in most businesses led by commercial products and decreased by three percent for the six months ended due to declines in commercial products and generator technologies.

A more detailed discussion of sales by segment is presented in the OPERATING SEGMENT RESULTS section.

Sales to international markets based on location of customers for the three and six month periods ended June 27, 2010, were 64 percent of total net sales for both periods, compared with 56 percent and 54 percent of total net sales for the comparable periods in 2009.

Gross Margin

Significant drivers of the change in gross margin for the three and six month periods ended June 27, 2010, versus the comparable periods ended June 28, 2009, were as follows:

Increase (Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009

| In millions | Three n | nonths ended | Six months ended |
|------------------|---------|--------------|------------------|
| Volume/Mix | \$ | 165 | \$ 103 |
| Price | | 62 | 97 |
| Warranty expense | | 45 | 111 |
| Material costs | | 22 | 55 |
| Currency | | 20 | 54 |
| Acquisition | | 17 | 29 |
| Production costs | | (26) | 16 |
| Other | | | (4) |
| Total | \$ | 305 | \$ 461 |
| | | | |

Gross margin increased by \$305 million and \$461 million for the three and six month periods ended June 27, 2010, respectively, versus the comparable periods in 2009, and increased as a percentage of sales by 5.1 percentage points and 5.5 percentage points, respectively. The increase for the three months ended June 27, 2010, was led by increases in volume and price, decreases in warranty expense, reduced material costs and favorable foreign currency impacts, which were partially offset by increased production costs. The increase in gross margin for the six months ended June 27, 2010, was led by decreases in warranty expense, increases in volume and price, reduced material costs and favorable foreign currency impacts.

The provision for warranties issued as a percent of sales for the three and six month periods ended were 3.0 percent and 2.8 percent in 2010 compared to 3.3 percent and 3.2 percent in 2009 for the three and six month periods, respectively. The decrease as a percent of sales was primarily due to the engine mix. A more detailed discussion of margin by segment is presented in the OPERATING SEGMENT RESULTS section.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the three and six month periods ended June 27, 2010, increased versus the comparable periods in 2009, primarily due to increased volumes in support of the business and an increase of \$38 million and \$62 million in compensation and related expenses. Compensation and related expenses include salaries, fringe benefits and variable compensation. Variable compensation related to 2010 performance increased \$33 million and \$50 million over variable compensation related to 2009 performance.

Research, Development and Engineering Expenses

Research, development and engineering expenses for the three and six month periods ended June 27, 2010, increased versus the comparable periods in 2009, primarily due to an increase of \$11 million and \$18 million in compensation and related expenses and decreased reimbursements for engineering projects. Compensation and related expenses include salaries, fringe benefits and variable compensation. Variable compensation related to 2010 performance increased \$10 million and \$17 million over variable compensation related to 2009 performance. Research activities continue to focus on development of new products to meet future emission standards around the world and improvements in fuel economy performance.

Equity, Royalty and Interest Income From Investees

Equity, royalty and interest income from investees for the three and six month periods ended June 27, 2010, increased versus the comparable periods in 2009, primarily due to the following:

| In millions Dongfeng Cummins Engine Company, Ltd. (DCEC) Tata Cummins Ltd | | | | |
|---|----------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | | June 27, 2010 vs. | June 28, 20 | 009 |
| In millions | Three mo | onths ended | Six n | nonths ended |
| Dongfeng Cummins Engine Company, Ltd. (DCEC) | \$ | 27 | \$ | 45 |
| Tata Cummins Ltd. | | 1 | | 7 |

These overall increases were primarily due to higher demand as a result of economic recovery in emerging markets.

Other Operating (Expense) Income, net

Other operating (expense) income was as follows:

| | | Three mon | ths end | led | Six months ended | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|-----------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----|-------------|--|--|
| In millions | June : | 27, 2010 | $\mathbf{J}^{_{1}}$ | une 28, 2009 Jun | e 27, 2010 | Ju | ne 28, 2009 | | |
| Other operating (expense) | | | | | | | | | |
| income: | | | | | | | | | |
| Amortization of intangible | | | | | | | | | |
| assets | \$ | (7) | \$ | (2) \$ | (11) | \$ | (4) | | |
| Royalty expense | | | | (2) | (1) | | (5) | | |
| Flood damage gain (loss) | | 1 | | (9) | | | (3) | | |
| Royalty income | | 2 | | 2 | 4 | | 4 | | |
| Other income (expense), net | | | | | | | (1) | | |
| Total other (expense) income, | | | | | | | | | |
| net | \$ | (4) | \$ | (11) \$ | (8) | \$ | (9) | | |

Interest Income

Interest income for the three and six month periods ended June 27, 2010, increased versus the comparable periods in 2009, primarily due to increased investment balances in the first half of 2010 compared to the first half of 2009.

Other (Expense) Income, net

Other (expense) income was as follows:

| | | Three mont | hs e | nded | led | | |
|---|------|------------|------|---------------|---------------|----|---------------|
| In millions | June | 27, 2010 | | June 28, 2009 | June 27, 2010 | | June 28, 2009 |
| Foreign currency gains (losses), net | \$ | 2 | \$ | (10) | \$ 9 | \$ | (18) |
| Gain on acquisition of Cummins Western Canada | | | | | | | |
| (CWC) | | | | | 12 | | |
| Other, net | | (2) | | (3) | (4) | | 2 |
| Total other (expense) income, net | \$ | | \$ | (13) | \$ 17 | \$ | (16) |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | 29 | | | | | |
| | | 27 | | | | | |

Table of Contents

Income Tax Expense

Our effective tax rate for the year is expected to approximate 31 percent, absent any additional discrete period activity. Our tax rate is generally less than the 35 percent U.S. income tax rate primarily due to lower tax rates on foreign income. The tax rates for the three and six month periods ended June 27, 2010, were 31 percent and 32 percent. The tax rate for the six month period includes a discrete tax charge of \$7 million (one percent) related to the enactment of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.

Our effective tax rates for the comparable prior year periods were 29 percent and 30 percent, respectively. These rates were less than the 35 percent U.S. income tax rate primarily due to lower tax rates on foreign income.

Noncontrolling Interests

Noncontrolling interests eliminate the income or loss attributable to non-Cummins ownership interests in our consolidated entities. Noncontrolling interests in income of consolidated subsidiaries for the three and six month periods ended June 27, 2010, increased versus the comparable periods in 2009, primarily due to higher income at Wuxi Cummins Turbo Technologies Co. Ltd. and Cummins India Ltd., a publicly traded company on various exchanges in India, reflecting the economic recovery in emerging markets.

Net income and diluted earnings per share attributable to Cummins Inc.

Net income and diluted earnings per share attributable to Cummins Inc. for the three and six month periods ended June 27, 2010, increased versus the comparable periods in 2009, primarily due to higher volumes in emerging markets, cost reductions, increased sales in developed countries, increased equity income and restructuring charges incurred in 2009 that were not repeated in 2010. These were partially offset by higher income tax expense.

RESTRUCTURING CHARGES

In 2009, we executed restructuring actions in response to a reduction in orders in most of our U.S. and foreign markets due to the deterioration in the global economy. We reduced our global workforce by approximately 1,000 professional employees. In addition, we took numerous employee actions at many of our manufacturing locations, including approximately 3,200 hourly employees, significant downsizing at numerous facilities and complete closure of several facilities and branch distributor locations. Employee termination and severance costs were recorded based on approved plans developed by the businesses and corporate management which specified positions to be eliminated, benefits to be paid under existing severance plans, union contracts or statutory requirements and the expected timetable for completion of the plan. Estimates of restructuring were made based on information available at the time charges were recorded. Due to the inherent uncertainty involved, actual amounts paid for such activities may differ from amounts initially recorded and we may need to revise previous estimates.

At June 27, 2010, of the approximately 4,200 employees affected by this plan, all terminations were substantially complete.

During the three and six month periods ended June 28, 2009, we recorded total pre-tax restructuring charges of \$7 million and \$73 million, respectively in Restructuring charges in the *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income* related to the 2009 actions.

30

Table of Contents

We do not include restructuring charges in our operating segment results. The pretax impact of allocating restructuring charges to the segment results would have been as follows:

| | 2009 Charges | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---|----|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| In millions | Three m ended Ju | | | Six months ded June 28 | | | | |
| Engine | \$ | 2 | \$ | 33 | | | | |
| Power Generation | | 3 | | 6 | | | | |
| Components | | 2 | | 26 | | | | |
| Distribution | | | | 4 | | | | |
| Non-segment | | | | 4 | | | | |
| Total restructuring charges | \$ | 7 | \$ | 73 | | | | |

The following table summarizes the balance of accrued restructuring charges by expense type and the changes in the accrued amounts for the applicable periods. The restructuring related accruals were recorded in other accrued expenses in our *Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets*.

| In millions | Sever | rance Costs | Exit Activities | | Total | |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------------|-----------------|---|-------|-----|
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ | 10 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 11 |
| Cash payments for 2009 actions | | (4) | | | | (4) |
| Balance at June 27, 2010 | \$ | 6 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 7 |

OUTLOOK

Near-Term:

Many of the international markets we serve continue to improve from their low levels in 2009. Emerging markets including China, India and Brazil have recovered and we are beginning to see early signs of recovery in developed markets. We expect these trends to continue throughout 2010. Consistent with prior emissions standards implementations, the North American on-highway markets experienced increased demand in the last half of 2009, prior to the implementation of the EPA s 2010 emissions standards change, leading to reduced demand in the first half of 2010. Based on our prior experience, we expect heavy-duty engine sales to on-highway OEM customers will begin to improve in the second half of the year. In most of our other markets, we expect demand to continue to improve throughout 2010.

Long-Term:

We are starting to see signs of improvement in all of our current markets and we are confident that opportunities for long-term growth and profitability will continue in the future.

OPERATING SEGMENT RESULTS

Our operating segments consist of the following: Engine, Power Generation, Components and Distribution. This reporting structure is organized according to the products and markets each segment serves. We use segment EBIT (defined as earnings or loss before interest expense, income taxes and noncontrolling interests) as the primary basis for the chief operating decision-maker to evaluate the performance of each operating segment.

Following is a discussion of operating results for each of our business segments.

Engine Segment Results

Financial data for the Engine segment was as follows:

| | Three months ended | | | | Favorable/ Six month | | | nths ended | | | Favorable/ | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------|----|--------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|-------|----------|------------|----------|------------|--------------|
| | J | ıne 27, June 28, | | | (Unfavora | able) | | June 27, | | June 28, | | (Unfavor | rable) | |
| In millions | | 2010 | | 2009 | Α | Amount | Percent | | 2010 | | 2009 | Am | ount | Percent |
| External sales | \$ | 1,595 | \$ | 1,133 | \$ | 462 | 41% | \$ | 2,768 | \$ | 2,338 | \$ | 430 | 18% |
| Intersegment sales | | 304 | | 173 | | 131 | 76% | | 554 | | 460 | | 94 | 20% |
| Total sales | | 1,899 | | 1,306 | | 593 | 45% | | 3,322 | | 2,798 | | 524 | 19% |
| Depreciation and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| amortization | | 42 | | 45 | | 3 | 7% | | 83 | | 86 | | 3 | 3% |
| Research, development | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and engineering | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| expenses | | 62 | | 51 | | (11) | (22)% | | 122 | | 109 | | (13) | (12)% |
| Equity, royalty and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| interest income from | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| investees | | 52 | | 17 | | 35 | NM | | 87 | | 14 | | 73 | NM |
| Interest income | | 2 | | | | 2 | NM | | 4 | | 1 | | 3 | NM |
| Segment EBIT | | 197 | | (4) | | 201 | NM | | 330 | | (20) | | 350 | NM |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Segment EBIT as a | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| percentage of total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| sales | | 10.4% | | (0.3)9 | 6 | 10.7 percent | tage points | | 9.9% | | (0.7)% | 6 1 | 0.6 percei | ntage points |

Engine segment net sales by market were as follows:

| | | Three months ended | | | Favorable/ Six month | | | hs en | ded | Favorable/ | | | |
|-----------------------|----|--------------------|----------|-------|----------------------|----------|---------|-------------------|-----|------------|---------------|-------|---------|
| | J | une 27, | June 28, | | | (Unfavor | able) | June 27, June 28, | | | (Unfavorable) | | |
| In millions | | 2010 | | 2009 | An | nount | Percent | 2010 | | 2009 | An | ount | Percent |
| Heavy-duty truck | \$ | 340 | \$ | 395 | \$ | (55) | (14)%\$ | 592 | \$ | 789 | \$ | (197) | (25)% |
| Medium-duty truck | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and bus | | 352 | | 240 | | 112 | 47% | 569 | | 469 | | 100 | 21% |
| Light-duty automotive | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and RV | | 296 | | 94 | | 202 | NM | 503 | | 250 | | 253 | NM |
| Total on-highway | | 988 | | 729 | | 259 | 36% | 1,664 | | 1,508 | | 156 | 10% |
| Industrial | | 656 | | 440 | | 216 | 49% | 1,233 | | 907 | | 326 | 36% |
| Stationary power | | 255 | | 137 | | 118 | 86% | 425 | | 383 | | 42 | 11% |
| Total sales | \$ | 1,899 | \$ | 1,306 | \$ | 593 | 45% \$ | 3,322 | \$ | 2,798 | \$ | 524 | 19% |

Unit shipments by engine classification (including unit shipments to Power Generation) were as follows:

| | Three montl | ns ended | Favor | able/ | Six mont | ths ended | Favorable/ | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|------------|-----------|--|--|
| | June 27, | June 28, | (Unfavo | orable) | June 27, | June 28, | (Unfav | avorable) | | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | Amount | Percent | 2010 | 2009 | Amount | Percent | | |
| Midrange | 90,500 | 49,200 | 41,300 | 84% | 159,600 | 109,800 | 49,800 | 45% | | |
| Heavy-duty | 14,500 | 16,400 | (1,900) | (12)% | 23,200 | 33,000 | (9,800) | (30)% | | |
| High-horsepower | 4,800 | 3,200 | 1,600 | 50% | 8,200 | 7,100 | 1,100 | 15% | | |

Total unit shipments **109,800** 68,800 41,000 60% **191,000** 149,900 41,100 27%

Sales

Engine segment sales for the three month period ended June 27, 2010, increased versus the comparable period in 2009 due to improved sales in most markets, especially the industrial and light-duty truck markets, which were partially offset by decreases in the North American heavy-duty truck market. The following are the primary drivers by market.

- Industrial market sales increased due to our international construction engine shipments more than doubling and a 137 percent improvement in units sold in the international mining markets.
- Light-duty truck sales increased significantly. The increase was the result of Chrysler shutdowns in the second quarter of 2009 as part of its reorganization efforts.
- Stationary power engine sales increased due to higher demand in the power generation markets.
- Medium-duty truck sales increased primarily due to higher demand in the Brazilian truck market.

These increases were partially offset by a decline in heavy-duty truck sales, consistent with prior emissions standards changes, North American (includes the U.S and Canada and excludes Mexico) unit sales declined 58 percent due to increased engine purchases by OEMs in late 2009, ahead of the EPA s 2010 emissions standards change as part of the OEM s transition plan.

Table of Contents

Total on-highway-related sales for the three month period ended June 27, 2010, were 52 percent of total engine segment sales, compared to 56 percent for the comparable period in 2009.

Engine segment sales for the six month period ended June 27, 2010, increased versus the comparable period in 2009, due to improved sales in most markets, especially the industrial and light-duty truck markets, which were partially offset by decreases in the North American heavy-duty truck market. The following are the primary drivers by market.

- Industrial market sales increased due to a 183 percent improvement in international construction engine shipments and a 62 percent improvement in units sold in the international mining markets.
- Light-duty truck sales increased significantly due to a 130 percent increase in units sold to Chrysler. The increase in units sold was the result of Chrysler shutdowns in 2009 as part of its reorganization efforts.
- Medium-duty truck sales increased primarily due to higher demand in the Brazilian truck market.

These increases were partially offset by a decline in heavy-duty truck sales. Consistent with prior emissions standards changes, North American (includes the U.S and Canada and excludes Mexico) unit sales declined 69 percent due to increased engine purchases by OEMs in late 2009, ahead of the EPA s 2010 emissions standards change, as part of the OEM s transition plan.

Total on-highway-related sales for the six month period ended June 27, 2010, were 50 percent of total engine segment sales, compared to 54 percent for the comparable period in 2009.

Segment EBIT

Engine segment EBIT for the three and six month periods ended June 27, 2010, increased significantly versus the comparable periods in 2009, primarily due to higher gross margin and equity, royalty and interest income from investees which were partially offset by increased selling, general and administrative expenses and research, development and engineering expenses. Changes in Engine segment EBIT and EBIT as a percentage of sales were as follows:

Three months ended
June 27, 2010 vs. June 28, 2009
Favorable/(Unfavorable) Change
Amount Percent Percentage point
change as a

Six months ended
June 27, 2010 vs. June 28, 2009
Favorable/(Unfavorable) Change
Amount Percent Percentage point
change as a

In millions

| | | pe | rcent of sales | | | percent of sales |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-------|----------------|--------|-------|------------------|
| Gross margin | \$ 196 | NM | 6.3 | \$ 313 | 88% | 7.4 |
| Equity, royalty and interest (loss) | | | | | | |
| income from investees | 35 | NM | 1.4 | 73 | NM | 2.1 |
| Selling, general and | | | | | | |
| administrative expenses | (29) | (23)% | 1.5 | (39) | (15)% | 0.3 |
| Research, development and | | | | | | |
| engineering expenses | (11) | (22)% | 0.6 | (13) | (12)% | 0.2 |

The increase in gross margin for the three month period ended June 27, 2010, versus the comparable period in 2009, was primarily due to increased volumes and cost structure improvements from actions taken in late 2008 and early 2009. Equity, royalty and interest income from investees increased due to higher demand in emerging markets, especially at DCEC. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses and research development and engineering expenses were primarily due to increased variable compensation.

The increase in gross margin for the six month period ended June 27, 2010, versus the comparable period in 2009, was primarily due to increased volumes, cost structure improvements from actions taken in late 2008 and early 2009, improved price realization, and decreased warranty expense partially offset by an unfavorable mix. Equity, royalty and interest income from investees increased due to higher demand in emerging markets, especially at DCEC and Komatsu-Cummins Engine Company. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses was primarily due to increased variable compensation.

Power Generation Segment Results

Financial data for the Power Generation segment was as follows:

| | Three months ended | | | Favorable/ | | | Six months ended | | Favorable/ | | ble/ | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|--------|----|------------|---------------|------------|------------------|----------|------------|----------|------|------------|--------------|
| | Ju | ne 27, | | June 28, | (Unfavorable) | | able) | June 27, | | June 28, | | (Unfavor | able) |
| In millions | - 2 | 2010 | | 2009 | A | mount | Percent | 2010 | | 2009 | Aı | mount | Percent |
| External sales | \$ | 518 | \$ | 481 | \$ | 37 | 8% \$ | 896 | \$ | 958 | \$ | (62) | (6)% |
| Intersegment sales | | 190 | | 129 | | 61 | 47% | 329 | | 309 | | 20 | 6% |
| Total sales | | 708 | | 610 | | 98 | 16% | 1,225 | | 1,267 | | (42) | (3)% |
| Depreciation and | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| amortization | | 11 | | 11 | | | % | 21 | | 22 | | 1 | 5% |
| Research, development | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and engineering | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| expenses | | 8 | | 8 | | | % | 15 | | 16 | | 1 | 6% |
| Equity, royalty and | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| interest income from | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| investees | | 9 | | 6 | | 3 | 50% | 15 | | 11 | | 4 | 36% |
| Interest income | | 1 | | | | 1 | NM | 2 | | 1 | | 1 | 100% |
| Segment EBIT | | 76 | | 41 | | 35 | 85% | 110 | | 110 | | | % |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Segment EBIT as a | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| percentage of total sales | | 10.7% | | 6.7% | , | 4.0 percen | tage points | 9.09 | % | 8.7% | 'n | 0.3 percen | ntage points |

Sales for our Power Generation segment by business were as follows:

| | | Three mon | nths | ended | Favorabl | le/ | Six months ended | | | | Favorable/ | | | |
|------------------------|----|-----------|------|----------|-----------|---------|-------------------|----|-------|---------------|------------|---------|--|--|
| | Jı | ıne 27, | | June 28, | (Unfavora | ble) | June 27, June 28, | | | (Unfavorable) | | | | |
| In millions | | 2010 | | 2009 | Amount | Percent | 2010 | | 2009 | | Amount | Percent | | |
| Commercial products | \$ | 436 | \$ | 363 | \$ 73 | 20% \$ | 743 | \$ | 782 | \$ | (39) | (5)% | | |
| Generator technologies | | 135 | | 135 | | % | 242 | | 270 | | (28) | (10)% | | |
| Commercial projects | | 57 | | 46 | 11 | 24% | 90 | | 88 | | 2 | 2% | | |
| Consumer | | 49 | | 33 | 16 | 48% | 92 | | 63 | | 29 | 46% | | |
| Power electronics | | 31 | | 33 | (2) | (6)% | 58 | | 64 | | (6) | (9)% | | |
| Total sales | \$ | 708 | \$ | 610 | \$ 98 | 16% \$ | 1,225 | \$ | 1,267 | \$ | (42) | (3)% | | |

Sales

Power Generation segment sales for the three month period ended June 27, 2010, increased in most businesses versus the comparable period in 2009, primarily due to increased volumes. Commercial products business sales increased due to higher demand across most regions, particularly in India, the U.K., Latin America and Mexico.

Power Generation segment sales for the six month period ended June 27, 2010, decreased in most businesses, versus the comparable period in 2009, as our Power Generation segment had strong sales in the first quarter of 2009 before entering the downturn in the second quarter. The following are the primary drivers by business.

| • partially | Commercial products business sales decreased due to lower demand in North America, the Middle East and Africa which was offset by increased demand in India and Mexico. |
|----------------|--|
| • demand. | Generator technologies business sales decreased due to lower demand in Western Europe as a result of the decline in prime power This decrease was partially offset by increased sales in East Asia driven by the commercial power market recovery. |
| The decr | reases were partially offset by increased sales in the consumer business in North America due to signs of recovery in the RV market. |

Segment EBIT

Power Generation segment EBIT for the three month period ended June 27, 2010, increased versus the comparable period in 2009, primarily due to higher gross margins, while segment EBIT for the six month period ended June 27, 2010, was flat with the comparable period in 2009. Changes in Power Generation segment EBIT and EBIT as a percentage of sales were as follows:

34

| | | June 2 Favora | _ | Six month period 27, 2010 vs. June able/(Unfavorable | 28, 2009 | | |
|--|----|------------------|---------|--|----------|---------|---|
| In millions | An | ount | Percent | Percentage point change as a percent of sales | Amount | Percent | Percentage point change as a percent of sales |
| Gross margin | \$ | 36 | 36% | 2.9 | \$ 2 | 1% | 0.8 |
| Selling, general and administrative | | | | | | | |
| expenses | | (7) | (13)% | 0.2 | (11) | (10)% | (1.2) |
| Equity, royalty and interest income from investees | | 3 | 50% | 0.3 | 4 | 36% | 0.3 |

The increase in gross margin for the three month period ended June 27, 2010, was due to higher volumes, and favorable mix which was partially offset by increased warranty and variable compensation expenses. The increase in selling general and administrative expenses was primarily due to increased variable compensation expense.

Components Segment Results

Financial data for the Components segment was as follows:

| | Three months ended | | | Favorable/ | | | Six months ended | | | | Favorable/ | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------|-----|------------|----|-------------|------------------|----|----------|----|-------------|----|-------------|--------------|
| | Ju | ne 27, | Jun | ne 28, | | (Unfavor | able) | | June 27, | | June 28, | | (Unfavor | able) |
| In millions | 2 | 2010 | 20 | 009 | An | nount | Percent | | 2010 | | 2009 | | Amount | Percent |
| External sales | \$ | 522 | \$ | 355 | \$ | 167 | 47% | \$ | 975 | \$ | 701 | \$ | 274 | 39% |
| Intersegment sales | | 207 | | 147 | | 60 | 41% | | 384 | | 331 | | 53 | 16% |
| Total sales | | 729 | | 502 | | 227 | 45% | | 1,359 | | 1,032 | | 327 | 32% |
| Depreciation and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| amortization | | 21 | | 17 | | (4) | (24)% | | 41 | | 35 | | (6) | (17)% |
| Research, development | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and engineering | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| expenses | | 26 | | 20 | | (6) | (30)% | | 51 | | 39 | | (12) | (31)% |
| Equity, royalty and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| interest income from | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| investees | | 6 | | 4 | | 2 | 50% | | 11 | | 5 | | 6 | NM |
| Interest income | | 1 | | | | 1 | NM | | 1 | | | | 1 | NM |
| Segment EBIT | | 75 | | (10) | | 85 | NM | | 132 | | (9) | | 141 | NM |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Segment EBIT as a percentage of total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| sales | | 10.3% | | (2.0)% | 6 | 12.3 percer | ntage points | | 9.7% | | $(0.9)^{6}$ | % | 10.6 percei | ntage points |

Sales for our Components segment by business were as follows:

| | T | hree mo | nths e | ıded | | Favora | ible/ | | Six mont | hs end | ed | | Favora | ble/ |
|-------------|-----|---------|--------|--------|----|---------|---------|----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| | Jun | e 27, | Ju | ne 28, | | (Unfavo | rable) | June 27, | | Ju | ne 28, | (Unfav | | able) |
| In millions | 20 | 010 | 2 | 2009 | Am | ount | Percent | 2 | 2010 | 2 | 2009 | An | ount | Percent |
| Filtration | \$ | 250 | \$ | 198 | \$ | 52 | 26% | \$ | 478 | \$ | 399 | \$ | 79 | 20% |

| Turbo technologies | 226 | 134 | 92 | 69% | 426 | 290 | 136 | 47% |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-------|----------------|-----|-----|
| Emission solutions | 170 | 111 | 59 | 53% | 307 | 216 | 91 | 42% |
| Fuel systems | 83 | 59 | 24 | 41% | 148 | 127 | 21 | 17% |
| Total sales | \$ 729 | \$ 502 | \$ 227 | 45% \$ | 1,359 | \$ 1,032 \$ | 327 | 32% |

Sales

Components segment sales for the three month period ended June 27, 2010, increased in all businesses versus the comparable period in 2009. The following are the primary drivers by business.

- Turbo technologies business sales increased due to improved original equipment demand in all regions especially in Europe, North America, China, and global aftermarket recovery.
- Emission solutions business sales increased due to higher technology content in North America for our new EPA 2010 aftertreatment systems and higher demand in South Africa, which was partially offset by decreased sales of our EPA 2007 aftertreatment systems.
- Filtration business sales increased primarily due to improved global original equipment sales and global aftermarket recovery and favorable currency impacts.
- Fuel systems business sales increased primarily due to improved first fit sales and aftermarket recovery in North America.

Components segment sales for the six month period ended June 27, 2010, increased in all businesses versus the comparable period in 2009. The following are the primary regional drivers by business.

• Turbo technologies business sales increased due to improved original equipment demand in all regions, especially in Europe, China, and global aftermarket recovery.

Table of Contents

- Emission solutions business sales increased due to higher technology content in North America for sales of our new EPA 2010 aftertreatment systems, which was partially offset by decreased sales of our EPA 2007 aftertreatment systems.
- Filtration business sales increased primarily due to global aftermarket recovery, increased global original equipment sales and favorable currency impacts.
- Fuel systems business sales increased, despite a first quarter drop in sales, primarily due to aftermarket recovery in North America.

Segment EBIT

Components segment EBIT for the three and six month periods ended June 27, 2010, increased versus the comparable periods in 2009, primarily due to the improved gross margin which was partially offset by increased selling, general and administrative and research, development and engineering expenses. Changes in Components segment EBIT and EBIT as a percentage of sales were as follows:

| | : | | Three month per e 27, 2010 vs. June rable/(Unfavorable | 28, 2009 e) Change | Six month period June 27, 2010 vs. June 28, 2009 Favorable/(Unfavorable) Change | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|-----|--|---|---|------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| In millions | Amount | | Percent | Percentage point change as a percent of sales | Am | ount | Percent | Percentage point change as a nt percent of sales | | | | |
| Gross margin | \$ | 9 | NM | 10.6 | \$ | 162 | NM | 9.3 | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | (2 | 13) | (30)% | 0.9 | | (18) | (20)% | 0.7 | | | | |
| Research, development and | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| engineering expenses | | (6) | (30)% | 0.4 | | (12) | (31)% | | | | | |
| Equity, royalty and interest income from investees | | 2 | 50% | | | 6 | NM | 0.3 | | | | |

The increase in gross margin for the three month period ended June 27, 2010, was due to higher volumes for all businesses, lower warranty expenses and efficiencies gained from restructuring actions. The increases in selling, general and administrative expenses and research, development and engineering expenses were primarily due to increased variable compensation and new product development program spending.

The increase in gross margin for the six month period ended June 27, 2010, was due to higher volumes for all businesses, lower warranty expenses, efficiencies gained from restructuring actions and favorable foreign currency translation. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses and research, development and engineering expenses were primarily due to increased variable compensation and new product development program spending.

Distribution Segment Results

Financial data for the Distribution segment was as follows:

| | Three months ended | | | | Favoi | able/ | Six mon | ths end | led | Favorable/ | | | |
|---|--------------------|-------|-------|------|----------|--------------------|----------------------|----------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| In millions | June 27, 2010 | | , - , | | (Unfav | orable) Percent | June 27, ent 2010 | | June 28, 2009 | (Unfa Amount | vorable) Percent | | |
| External sales | \$ | 573 | \$ 4 | 162 | \$ 111 | 24% | \$ 1,047 | \$ | 873 | \$ 174 | 20% | | |
| Intersegment sales | | 3 | | 1 | 2 | NM | 5 | | 3 | 2 | 67% | | |
| Total sales | | 576 | 2 | 163 | 113 | 24% | 1,052 | | 876 | 176 | 20% | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 7 | | 4 | (3) | (75)% | 14 | | 9 | (5) | (56)% | | |
| Equity, royalty and interest income from | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| investees | | 30 | | 30 | | % | 60 | | 60 | | % | | |
| Interest income | | 1 | | 1 | | % | 1 | | 1 | | % | | |
| Segment EBIT | | 69 | | 55 | 14 | 25% | 141 | | 113 | 28 | 25% | | |
| Segment EBIT as a percentage of total sales | | 12.0% | 1 | 1.9% | 0.1 perc | entage points | 13.49 | % | 12.9% | 6 0.5 per | centage points | | |

Sales for our Distribution segment by region were as follows:

Table of Contents

| | | Three months ended June 27, June 28, | | | | Favoral (Unfavor | | Six mont June 27, | hs en | ded June 28, | Favorable/ (Unfavorable) | | | |
|---------------------|------|---|------|-----|----|---------------------|--------|----------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----|---------|--|
| In millions | 2010 | | 2009 | | Aı | Amount Percent | | 2010 | 2009 | | Amount | | Percent | |
| Asia Pacific | \$ | 231 | \$ | 199 | \$ | 32 | 16% \$ | 424 | \$ | 362 | \$ | 62 | 17% | |
| Europe, Middle East | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and Africa | | 203 | | 184 | | 19 | 10% | 359 | | 351 | | 8 | 2% | |
| North & Central | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| America | | 122 | | 67 | | 55 | 82% | 233 | | 138 | | 95 | 69% | |
| South America | | 20 | | 13 | | 7 | 54% | 36 | | 25 | | 11 | 44% | |
| Total | \$ | 576 | \$ | 463 | \$ | 113 | 24% \$ | 1,052 | \$ | 876 | \$ | 176 | 20% | |

Selected financial information for our Distribution segment excluding the impact of an acquisition was as follows:

| | Three months ended | | | Favorable/ | | | | Six month | s en | ded | Favorable/ | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------|---------|----|------------|----|-----------|---------|-----------|--------|----------|------------|---------------|--------|---------|
| | Jı | ıne 27, | | June 28, | | (Unfavora | ble) | June 27, | | June 28, | | (Unfavorable) | | able) |
| In millions | | 2010 | | 2009 | A | mount | Percent | | 2010 | | 2009 | A | Amount | Percent |
| Sales excluding impact | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| from acquisition(1) | \$ | 514 | \$ | 463 | \$ | 51 | 11% \$ | | 936 | \$ | 876 | \$ | 60 | 7% |
| EBIT excluding impact | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| from acquisition(1) | | 62(2) | | 55 | | 7 | 13% | | 121(2) | | 113 | | 8 | 7% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ The acquisition represents the purchase of the majority interest in Cummins Western Canada (CWC), an equity investee, in the first quarter of 2010, as explained in Note 14, ACQUISITIONS, to the *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*. The acquisition increased sales by \$62 million and \$116 million and EBIT by \$7 million and 20 million for the three and six months ended June 27, 2010 respectively.

Sales

Distribution segment sales, excluding the acquisition, for the three month period ended June 27, 2010, increased versus the comparable period in 2009 primarily due to favorable foreign currency impacts, increased parts and service revenues and improved industrial engine sales.

Distribution segment sales, excluding the acquisition, for the six month period ended June 27, 2010, increased versus the comparable period in 2009 primarily due to favorable foreign currency impacts, increased parts and service revenues and increased industrial engine sales, which were partially offset by decreased power generation sales.

Segment EBIT

Distribution segment EBIT for the three month period ended June 27, 2010, increased versus the comparable period in 2009, primarily due to increased gross margin which was partially offset by increased selling, general and administrative expenses. Segment EBIT for the six month

⁽²⁾ This amount includes \$2 million and \$4 million of equity earnings which would have been our share of CWC s income for the three and six months ended June 27, 2010, respectively, if we had not consolidated them.

period end June 27, 2010 increased versus the comparable period in 2009, primarily due to increased gross margin, and a one-time gain from an acquisition that occurred in the first quarter, partially offset by increased selling, general and administrative expenses. Changes in Distribution segment EBIT and EBIT as a percentage of sales were as follows:

| | | June 2 | Three month peri 27, 2010 vs. June 2 ble/(Unfavorable | 28, 2009 | Six month period June 27, 2010 vs. June 28, 2009 Favorable/(Unfavorable) Change Percentage p change as | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|--------|---|------------------|--|-------|---------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| In millions | Am | ount | Percent | percent of sales | Amo | ount | Percent | percent of sales | | | | |
| Including acquisition | | | | | | | | _ | | | | |
| Gross margin | \$ | 34 | 37% | 2.0 | \$ | 50 | 27% | 1.2 | | | | |
| Other (expense) income(1) | | (2) | NM | (0.3) | | 11(1) | NM | 1.0 | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| expenses | | (18) | (27)% | (0.3) | | (33) | (25)% | (0.6) | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Excluding acquisition | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross margin | | 17 | 19% | 1.4 | | 21 | 12% | 0.9 | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| expenses | | (14) | (21)% | (1.4) | | (21) | (16)% | (1.3) | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ The primary increase in other income represents the purchase of the majority interest in an equity investee in the first quarter of 2010,

Table of Contents

which resulted in a gain of \$12 million as explained in Note 14, ACQUISITIONS, to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Excluding the acquisition, the increase in gross margin for the three month period ended June 27, 2010, versus the comparable period in 2009, was primarily due to favorable foreign currency impacts and increased sales volumes. Excluding the effects from the acquisition, the increase in selling, general and administrative expenses was mainly due to unfavorable foreign currency impacts, increased salaries and discretionary expenditures.

Excluding the acquisition, the increase in gross margin for the six month period ended June 27, 2010, versus the comparable period in 2009, was primarily due to favorable foreign currency impacts. Excluding the effects from the acquisition, the increase in selling, general and administrative expenses was mainly due to unfavorable foreign currency impacts, increased discretionary expenditures and increased salaries.

Reconciliation of Segment EBIT to Income Before Income Taxes

The table below reconciles the segment information to the corresponding amounts in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income:

| | | Three mon | ths end | ded | | ded | | |
|----------------------------|----|-------------|---------|---------------|----|---------------|----|---------------|
| In millions | Ju | ne 27, 2010 | J | June 28, 2009 | | June 27, 2010 | | June 28, 2009 |
| Total segment EBIT | \$ | 417 | \$ | 82 | \$ | 713 | \$ | 194 |
| Non-segment EBIT (1) | | (16) | | 27 | | (46) | | (57) |
| Total EBIT | \$ | 401 | \$ | 109 | \$ | 667 | \$ | 137 |
| Less: | | | | | | | | |
| Interest expense | | 9 | | 10 | | 18 | | 17 |
| Income before income taxes | \$ | 392 | \$ | 99 | \$ | 649 | \$ | 120 |

⁽¹⁾Includes intersegment profit in inventory eliminations and unallocated corporate expenses. There were no significant unallocated corporate expenses for the three and six month periods ended June 27, 2010. For the three and six months ended June 28, 2009, unallocated corporate expenses included \$7 million and \$73 million of restructuring charges and a \$9 million and \$3 million loss related to flood damages, respectively.

Table of Contents

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Management s Assessment of Liquidity

Our financial condition and liquidity remain strong. Our solid balance sheet and credit ratings enable us to continue to have ready access to credit as we recently terminated our existing three year credit facility a year early and entered into a new four year credit facility.

We assess our liquidity in terms of our ability to generate adequate cash to fund our operating, investing and financing activities. Cash provided by operations is our principal source of liquidity. As of June 27, 2010, other sources of liquidity include:

- cash and cash equivalents of \$924 million, of which approximately 32 percent is located in the United States, and 52 percent is located in the United Kingdom, China, India and Brazil.
- marketable securities of \$269 million, which are located primarily in India and Brazil.
- \$1.07 billion available under our revolving credit facility subsequently replaced as noted below,
- \$246 million available under international and other domestic credit facilities and
- \$74 million, based on eligible receivables, available under our accounts receivable sales program.

We believe our liquidity provides us with the financial flexibility needed to fund working capital, capital expenditures, projected pension obligations, dividend payments, common stock repurchases and debt service obligations.

On July 16, 2010, we entered into a new four year revolving credit agreement with a syndicate of lenders which provides us with a \$1.24 billion unsecured revolving credit facility, the proceeds of which are to be used for the general corporate purposes of Cummins. See Note 16, Subsequent Event to the *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements* for further information. The credit agreement includes two financial covenants, similar to our previous facility: a leverage ratio and an interest coverage ratio. At June 27, 2010, we were in compliance with both financial covenants.

The required leverage ratio, which measures the sum of total debt plus securitization financing to consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) for the four fiscal quarters should not exceed 3.0 to 1. At June 27, 2010, our leverage using this measure was 0.51 to 1. The required interest coverage ratio, which is consolidated EBITDA minus capital expenditures to consolidated interest expense, in each case for the four consecutive fiscal quarters, should not be less than 1.50 to 1. At June 27, 2010, our interest coverage ratio using this measure was 34.43 to 1.

Although economic conditions in many of our markets have started to improve and global credit availability has started to ease, our customers and suppliers could still be negatively impacted by economic challenges. We have considered these impacts in assessing the adequacy of our liquidity and capital resources and are monitoring the impact on our customers and suppliers. Despite these challenges, we expect to generate strong cash flow from operations in 2010. We will continue to diligently monitor our receivables for potential slowing in collections and our customer s access to credit.

We continuously monitor our pension assets and believe that we have limited exposure to the European debt crisis. No sovereign debt instruments of crisis countries are held in the trusts and any equities are held with large well-diversified multinational firms or are deminimus amounts in large index funds. Our pension plans have not experienced any significant impact on liquidity or counterparty exposure due to the volatility in the credit markets.

A significant portion of our cash flows is generated outside the U.S. More than half of our cash and cash equivalents and most of our marketable securities at June 27, 2010, are denominated in foreign currencies. We manage our worldwide cash requirements considering available funds among the many subsidiaries through which we conduct our business and the cost effectiveness with which those funds can be accessed. The repatriation of cash balances from certain subsidiaries could have adverse tax consequences; however, those balances are generally available,

Table of Contents

without legal restrictions, to fund ordinary business operations at the local level. We have and will continue to transfer cash from these subsidiaries to us and to other international subsidiaries when it is cost effective to do so.

Working Capital Summary

We fund our working capital with cash from operations and short-term borrowings when necessary. Various assets and liabilities, including short-term debt, can fluctuate significantly from month to month depending on short-term liquidity needs. As a result, working capital is a prime focus of management attention.

| | | | | | | Change June 27, 2010 | *** |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|----|----------------------|------------------|----|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| In millions | June 27, 2010 | Ι | December 31, 2009 | June 28, 2009 | I | June 27, 2010 December 31, 2009 | June 28, 2009 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 924 | \$ | 930 | \$ 534 | \$ | (6) \$ | 390 |
| Marketable securities | 269 | | 190 | 17 | | 79 | 252 |
| Accounts and notes receivable | 2,052 | | 2,004 | 1,725 | | 48 | 327 |
| Inventories | 1,652 | | 1,341 | 1,535 | | 311 | 117 |
| Other current assets | 548 | | 538 | 562 | | 10 | (14) |
| Current assets | 5,445 | | 5,003 | 4,373 | | 442 | 1,072 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Accounts and loans payable | 1,300 | | 994 | 804 | | 306 | 496 |
| Current portion of accrued warranty | 407 | | 426 | 368 | | (19) | 39 |
| Other accrued expenses | 1,100 | | 1,012 | 942 | | 88 | 158 |
| Current liabilities | 2,807 | | 2,432 | 2,114 | | 375 | 693 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Working capital | \$ 2,638 | \$ | 2,571 | \$ 2,259 | \$ | 67 \$ | 379 |
| Current ratio | 1.94 | | 2.06 | 2.07 | | (0.12) | (0.13) |
| Days sales in receivables | 65 | | 64 | 66 | | 1 | (1) |
| Inventory turnover | 5.6 | | 5.2 | 4.5 | | 0.4 | 1.1 |

Current assets increased compared to December 31, 2009, primarily due to an increase in inventory related to a build-up of raw and work-in-process inventory levels to meet anticipated demand, the consolidation of CWC and higher marketable securities.

Current liabilities increased compared to December 31, 2009, primarily due to an increase in accounts and loans payable primarily due to the acquisition of the majority interest in CWC and increased purchasing to support higher sales volume in the businesses.

Cash Flows

Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$6 million during the six month period ended June 27, 2010, compared to a \$108 million increase in cash and cash equivalents during the comparable period in 2009. The change in cash and cash equivalents was as follows:

Operating Activities

| | Six months ended | | | | | |
|---|------------------|--------------|------|----------|----|--------|
| In millions | \mathbf{J}_{1} | une 27, 2010 | June | 28, 2009 | | Change |
| Consolidated net income | \$ | 440 | \$ | 84 | \$ | 356 |
| Restructuring charges, net of cash payments | | | | 20 | | (20) |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 161 | | 154 | | 7 |
| Gain on fair value adjustment for consolidated investee | | (12) | | | | (12) |
| Equity in income of investees, net of dividends | | (49) | | 60 | | (109) |
| Pension expense, net of pension contributions | | (116) | | (15) | | (101) |
| Translation and hedging activities | | 3 | | 51 | | (48) |
| Changes in: | | | | | | |
| Accounts and notes receivable | | (57) | | 86 | | (143) |
| Inventories | | (301) | | 282 | | (583) |
| Accounts payable | | 239 | | (253) | | 492 |
| Accrued expenses | | (14) | | (242) | | 228 |
| Changes in long-term liabilities | | 66 | | 73 | | (7) |
| Other, net | | 67 | | 21 | | 46 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ | 427 | \$ | 321 | \$ | 106 |

Table of Contents

Net cash provided by operating activities increased for the three months ended June 27, 2010, versus the comparable period in 2009, primarily due to significantly higher consolidated net income. In addition, accounts payable and accrued expenses increased as the result of increased purchasing to support higher sales volumes. These favorable trends were partially offset by higher inventories mostly due to a build-up of raw and work-in-process inventory levels to meet anticipated demand, increased pension contributions and lower dividends from our equity investees.

Pensions

The funded status of our pension plans is dependent upon a variety of variables and assumptions including return on invested assets, market interest rates and levels of voluntary contributions to the plans. In the second quarter of 2010, financial markets retreated from the recovery experienced in the first quarter of 2010. As a result, for the six months ended June 27, 2010, the return for our U.S. plan was less than one percent while our U.K. plan return was near zero. Approximately 94 percent of our pension plan assets are invested in highly liquid investments such as equity and fixed income securities. The remaining six percent of our plan assets are invested in less liquid, but market valued investments, including real estate and private equity. We made \$40 million and \$151 million of pension contributions in the three and six month periods ended June 27, 2010, respectively, and we anticipate making total contributions of approximately \$175 million to our pension plans in 2010. Expected contributions to our defined benefit pension plans in 2010 will meet or exceed the current funding requirements. Claims and premiums for other postretirement benefits are expected to approximate \$53 million in 2010. The \$40 million of pension contributions in the three months ended June 27, 2010, included voluntary contributions of \$35 million. These contributions and payments include payments from our funds either to increase pension plan assets or to make direct payments to participants.

Investing Activities

| | Six months ended | | | | | |
|--|------------------|---------------|----|---------------|--------|-------|
| In millions | | June 27, 2010 | | June 28, 2009 | Change | |
| Capital expenditures | \$ | (91) | \$ | (139) | \$ | 48 |
| Investments in internal use software | | (22) | | (19) | | (3) |
| Proceeds from the disposals of property, plant and | | | | | | |
| equipment | | 42 | | 7 | | 35 |
| Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash acquired | | (71) | | (2) | | (69) |
| Investments in marketable securities, net | | (80) | | 64 | | (144) |
| Cash flows from derivatives not designated as hedges | | (18) | | (21) | | 3 |
| Other, net | | (3) | | 1 | | (4) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | \$ | (243) | \$ | (109) | \$ | (134) |

Net cash used in investing activities increased for the six months ended June 27, 2010, versus the comparable period in 2009, primarily due to higher investments in marketable securities and the acquisition of CWC which were partially offset by decreased capital expenditures and increased proceeds from the disposal of property, plant and equipment.

Capital expenditures for the six month period ended June 27, 2010, were \$91 million compared to \$139 million in the comparable period in 2009. We expect capital expenditures to accelerate in the remainder of 2010. We continue to invest in the development of new products and we plan to spend approximately \$400 million in 2010.

Table of Contents

Financing Activities

| Six months ended | | | | | | |
|---|------|----------|----|---------------|----|--------|
| In millions | June | 27, 2010 | | June 28, 2009 | | Change |
| Proceeds from borrowings | \$ | 85 | \$ | 10 | \$ | 75 |
| Payments on borrowings and capital lease | | | | | | |
| obligations | | (37) | | (44) | | 7 |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | | (4) | | (10) | | 6 |
| Dividend payments on common stock | | (70) | | (71) | | 1 |
| Repurchases of common stock | | (162) | | | | (162) |
| Other, net | | 15 | | (4) | | 19 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | \$ | (173) | \$ | (119) | \$ | (54) |

Net cash used in financing activities increased for the six months ended June 27, 2010, versus the comparable period in 2009, primarily due to increased repurchases of common stock which was partially offset by increased proceeds from borrowings primarily related to the acquisition of CWC.

Our total debt was \$778 million as of June 27, 2010, compared with \$704 million as of December 31, 2009. Total debt as a percent of our total capital, including total long-term debt, was 15.8 percent at June 27, 2010, compared with 14.9 percent at December 31, 2009.

In July 2010, the board of directors approved an increase in the quarterly dividends on our common stock from \$0.175 per share to \$0.2625. The dividend is payable on September 1, 2010, to shareholders of record on August 23, 2010.

In December 2007, the Board of Directors authorized the acquisition of up to \$500 million of Cummins common stock. In 2010 we made purchases under this plan of 0.7 million shares at an average cost of \$60.36 per share and 1.8 million shares at an average cost of \$67.39 per share for the three months ended March 28, 2010 and July 27 2010, respectively.

Credit Ratings

A number of our contractual obligations and financing agreements, such as our revolving credit facility have restrictive covenants and/or pricing modifications that may be triggered in the event of downward revisions to our corporate credit rating. There were no downgrades of our credit ratings in the second quarter of 2010 that have impacted these covenants or pricing modifications. In July 2010, Moody s Investors Service, Inc. raised our senior unsecured debt ratings to Baa2. In May 2010, Standard & Poor s raised our outlook to positive. In March 2010, Fitch affirmed our ratings.

Credit ratings are not recommendations to buy and are subject to change, and each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating. In addition, we undertake no obligation to update disclosures concerning our credit ratings, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Our ratings and outlook from each of the credit rating agencies as of the date of filing are shown in the table below.

| | Senior L-T | S-T Debt | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-----------|----------|
| Credit Rating Agency | Debt Rating | Rating | Outlook |
| Moody s Investors Service, Inc. | Baa2 | Non-Prime | Stable |
| Standard & Poor s | BBB | NR | Positive |
| Fitch | BBB+ | BBB+ | Stable |

OFF BALANCE SHEET FINANCING

A discussion of our off balance sheet financing arrangements may be found in Item 7 of our 2009 Form 10-K. There have been no material changes in this information since the filing of our 2009 Form 10-K.

APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

A summary of our significant accounting policies is included in Note 1, SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, of the *Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements* of our 2009 Form 10-K which discusses accounting policies that we have selected from acceptable alternatives.

Our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles that often require management to make judgments, estimates and assumptions regarding uncertainties that

Table of Contents

affect the reported amounts presented and disclosed in the financial statements. Management reviews these estimates and assumptions based on historical experience, changes in business conditions and other relevant factors they believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. In any given reporting period, our actual results may differ from the estimates and assumptions used in preparing our *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*.

Critical accounting estimates are defined as follows: the estimate requires management to make assumptions about matters that were highly uncertain at the time the estimate was made; different estimates reasonably could have been used; or if changes in the estimate are reasonably likely to occur from period to period and the change would have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations. Our senior management has discussed the development and selection of our accounting policies, related accounting estimates and the disclosures set forth below with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors. We believe our critical accounting estimates include those addressing the estimation of liabilities for recoverability of investment related to new products, warranty programs, accounting for income taxes, pension benefits and annual assessment of recoverability of goodwill.

A discussion of all other critical accounting estimates may be found in the Management's Discussion and Analysis section of our 2009 Form 10-K under the caption APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES. Within the context of these critical accounting estimates, we are not currently aware of any reasonably likely events or circumstances that would result in different policies or estimates being reported in the first six months of 2010.

RECENTLY ADOPTED AND RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

See Note 3, RECENTLY ADOPTED AND RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS, in the *Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

A discussion of quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk may be found in Item 7A of our 2009 Form 10-K. There have been no material changes in this information since the filing of our 2009 Form 10-K. Further information regarding financial instruments and risk management is discussed in Note 10, DERIVATIVES, in the *Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the Company carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is (1) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms, and (2) accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 27, 2010, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

We are subject to numerous lawsuits and claims arising out of the ordinary course of our business, including actions related to product liability; personal injury; the use and performance of our products; warranty matters; patent, trademark or other intellectual property infringement; contractual liability; the conduct of our business; tax reporting in foreign jurisdictions; distributor termination; workplace safety; and environmental matters. We also have been identified as a potentially responsible party at multiple waste disposal sites under U.S. federal and related state environmental statutes and regulations and may have joint and several liability for any investigation and remediation costs incurred with respect to such sites, as more fully described in Item 1 of our 2009 Form 10-K under Environmental Compliance-Other Environmental Statutes and Regulations. We have denied liability with respect to many of these lawsuits, claims and proceedings and are vigorously defending such lawsuits, claims and proceedings. We carry various forms of commercial, property and casualty, product liability and other forms of insurance; however, such insurance may not be applicable or adequate to cover the costs associated with a judgment against us with respect to these lawsuits, claims and proceedings. We do not believe that these lawsuits are material individually or in the aggregate. While we believe we have also established adequate accruals for our expected future liability with respect to pending lawsuits, claims and proceedings, where the nature and extent of any such liability can be reasonably estimated based upon then presently available information, there can be no assurance that the final resolution of any existing or future lawsuits, claims or proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

In June 2008, four of our sites in Southern Indiana, including our Technical Center, experienced extensive flood damage. We have submitted a claim for \$227 million to our insurance carriers, which includes a claim for business interruption. As of June 27, 2010, we have received \$92 million in recoveries from the insurance carriers. Our insurance carriers have disputed certain aspects of our claim and the parties have filed suit against each other. Although we believe that we are insured against the full amount of our claim, there is no assurance that we will be successful recovering the amounts we believe are due under the policies.

The information in Item 1 Other Environmental Statutes and Regulations referred to above should be read in conjunction with this disclosure. See also Note 14, COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES, in the *Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements* included in our 2009 Form 10-K. There has been no material change in this information since the filing of our 2009 Form 10-K.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to other information set forth in this report, you should consider other risk factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K or the CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION in this Quarterly report are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently judge to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or operating results.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following information is provided pursuant to Item 703 of Regulation S-K:

| | | Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| | | | | (c) Total Number of | (d) Maximum | | |
| | (a) Total | | | Shares Purchased | Number of Shares | | |
| | Number of | | (b) Average | as Part of Publicly | that May Yet Be | | |
| | Shares | | Price Paid | Announced | Purchased Under the | | |
| Period | Purchased(1) | | per Share | Plans or Programs | Plans or Programs(2) | | |
| March 29 May 2, 2010 | 10,451 | \$ | 68.43 | | 186,792 | | |
| May 3 May 30, 2010 | 1,828,242 | | 67.44 | 1,813,700 | 189,471 | | |
| May 31 June 27, 2010 | 18,785 | | 69.83 | 6,300 | 180,489 | | |
| Total | 1,857,478 | \$ | 67.47 | 1,820,000 | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Shares purchased represent shares under 2007 Board authorized repurchase program (for up to \$500 million of our common shares) and our Key Employee Stock Investment Plan established in 1969 (there is no maximum repurchase limitation in this plan).

In December 2007, the Board of Directors authorized us to acquire an additional \$500 million worth of Cummins common stock beginning in 2008. During the three month period ended June 27, 2010, we repurchased 1,820,000 shares under this plan. As of June 27, 2010, we have \$190 million available for purchase under this authorization.

During the three month period ended June 27, 2010, we repurchased 37,478 shares from employees in connection with the Key Employee Stock Investment Plan which allows certain employees, other than officers, to purchase shares of common stock on an installment basis up to an established credit limit. Loans are issued for five-year terms at a fixed interest rate established at the date of purchase and may be refinanced after its initial five-year period for an additional five-year period. Participants must hold shares for a minimum of six months from date of purchase and after shares are sold must wait six months before another share purchase may be made. We hold participants—shares as security for the loans and would, in effect repurchase shares if the participant defaulted in repayment of the loan. There is no maximum amount of shares that we may purchase under this plan.

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

The Company held its annual meeting of security holders on May 11, 2010. There were 200,963,878 shares of common stock entitled to vote at the meeting and a total of 178,624,847 shares, or 89 percent, were represented at the meeting. Security holders voted on the following proposals:

⁽²⁾ These values reflect the sum of shares held in loan status of our Key Employee Stock Investment Plan. The \$500 million repurchase program authorized by the Board of Directors in 2007 does not limit the number of shares that may be purchased and was excluded from this column.

Proposal 1: Election of nine directors for the ensuing year.

Results of the voting in connection with the election of directors were as follows:

| | | | | Broker |
|----------------------------|-------------|------------|----------|------------|
| Director | For | Against | Withheld | Non-Vote |
| Robert J. Bernhard | 167,043,992 | 413,217 | 287,648 | 10,879,990 |
| Dr. Franklin R. Chang-Diaz | 166,959,243 | 509,559 | 276,055 | 10,879,990 |
| Robert K. Herdman | 167,008,647 | 456,950 | 279,260 | 10,879,990 |
| Alexis M. Herman | 163,746,703 | 3,821,176 | 176,978 | 10,879,990 |
| N. Thomas Linebarger | 166,480,082 | 1,082,673 | 182,102 | 10,879,990 |
| William I. Miller | 161,191,730 | 6,377,301 | 175,826 | 10,879,990 |
| Georgia R. Nelson | 165,973,738 | 1,513,304 | 257,815 | 10,879,990 |
| Theodore M. Solso | 156,916,479 | 10,677,688 | 150,690 | 10,879,990 |
| Carl Ware | 166,883,099 | 590,291 | 271,467 | 10,879,990 |

Table of Contents

Proposal 2: Proposal to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as auditors for the year 2010.

Results of the voting to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP were as follows:

| | | | Broker |
|-------------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| For | Against | Abstain | Non-Votes |
| 172,675,450 | 5,675,713 | 273,684 | |

ITEM 6. Exhibits

| 12 | Calculation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges. |
|---------|--|
| 31(a) | Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31(b) | Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32 | Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |
| | |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Cummins Inc.

Date: July 30, 2010

By: /s/ PATRICK J. WARD
Patrick J. Ward

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) /s/ MARSHA L. HUNT Marsha L. Hunt Vice President-Corporate Controller

(Principal Accounting Officer)

47

By: