

General Moly, Inc
Form 10-Q
May 01, 2009

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x **QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2009

o **TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 001-32986

General Moly, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

91-0232000
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

**1726 Cole Blvd., Suite 115
Lakewood, CO 80401
Telephone: (303) 928-8599**

(Address and telephone number of principal executive offices)

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for at least the past 90 days. **YES x NO o**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). **YES o NO o**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	<input type="radio"/>	Accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="radio"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input type="radio"/>

(Do not check if smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). **YES o NO x**

The number of shares outstanding of registrant's common stock as of April 28, 2009 was 71,972,875.

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION**ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

GENERAL MOLY, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited - Dollars in thousands except per share amounts)

	March 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 77,295	\$ 78,462
Restricted cash Eureka Moly, LLC	3,139	13,915
Deposits, prepaid expenses and other current assets	462	326
Total Current Assets	80,896	92,703
Mining properties, land and water rights	87,487	79,292
Deposits on project property, plant and equipment	32,209	31,499
Restricted cash held for electricity transmission	12,286	12,545
Restricted cash held for reclamation bonds	1,133	1,133
Non-mining property and equipment, net	712	763
Other assets	2,994	2,994
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 217,717	\$ 220,929
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 6,302	\$ 6,692
Provision for post closure reclamation and remediation costs	90	90
Current portion of long term debt	128	128
Total Current Liabilities	6,520	6,910
Provision for post closure reclamation and remediation costs, net of current portion	641	641
Long term debt, net of current portion	298	330
Total Liabilities	7,459	7,881
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES NOTE 9		
EQUITY		
General Moly, Inc. stockholders' equity		
Preferred stock, Series A, \$0.001 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 200,000,000 shares authorized, 71,977,875 and 71,852,646 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	72	72
Additional paid-in capital	185,492	185,179
Accumulated deficit before exploration stage	(213)	(213)
Accumulated deficit during exploration and development stage	(74,990)	(71,990)
Total General Moly, Inc. stockholders' equity	110,361	113,048
Noncontrolling interest	99,897	100,000

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

Total Equity (NOTE 7)		210,258		213,048
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	\$	217,717	\$	220,929

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

GENERAL MOLY, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited - In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended		January 1, 2002 (Inception of Exploration Stage) to March 31, 2009
	March 31, 2009	March 31, 2008	
REVENUES	\$	\$	\$
OPERATING EXPENSES:			
Exploration and evaluation	198	2,525	36,902
General and administrative expense	2,913	3,225	42,196
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	3,111	5,750	79,098
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	(3,111)	(5,750)	(79,098)
OTHER INCOME			
Interest and dividend income	8	530	3,940
Other income			65
TOTAL OTHER INCOME	8	530	4,005
LOSS BEFORE TAXES	(3,103)	(5,220)	(75,093)
INCOME TAXES			
CONSOLIDATED NET LOSS	(3,103)	(5,220)	(75,093)
LESS: NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING INTEREST	103		103
NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO GENERAL MOLY, INC.	\$ (3,000)	\$ (5,220)	\$ (74,990)
BASIC AND DILUTED NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO GENERAL MOLY PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.08)	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING BASIC AND DILUTED	71,964	66,652	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

GENERAL MOLY, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited In thousands)

	Three Months Ended		January 1, 2002
	March 31, 2009	March 31, 2008	(Inception of Exploration Stage) to March 31, 2009
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Consolidated Net loss	\$ (3,103)	\$ (5,220)	\$ (75,093)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used by operating activities:			
Services and expenses paid with common stock			1,990
Depreciation and amortization	85	72	638
Equity compensation for employees and directors	284	871	12,268
(Increase) decrease in deposits, prepaid expenses and other	(136)	175	(370)
Decrease (increase) in restricted cash held for electricity transmission	259		(12,286)
(Decrease) increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(390)	(2,430)	6,280
Increase in post closure reclamation and remediation costs		88	522
Net cash used by operating activities	(3,001)	(6,444)	(66,051)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Payments for the purchase of equipment		(155)	(1,324)
Purchase of securities			(137)
Purchase and development of mining properties, land and water rights	(8,200)	(7,201)	(81,954)
Deposits on property, plant and equipment	(710)	(7,353)	(32,209)
(Increase) in restricted cash held for reclamation bonds		(110)	(642)
Cash provided by sale of marketable securities			246
Net cash (used by) investing activities	(8,910)	(14,819)	(116,020)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Proceeds from issuance of stock, net of issuance costs		11,644	165,105
Cash proceeds from POS-Minerals Corporation		50,000	100,000
Cash paid to POS-Minerals Corporation for purchase price adjustment			(2,994)
Decrease (increase) decrease in restricted cash Eureka Moly, LLC	10,776	(39,459)	(3,139)
Net increase in debt	(32)	19	348
Net cash provided by financing activities	10,744	22,204	259,320
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(1,167)	941	77,249
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	78,462	78,371	46
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 77,295	\$ 79,312	\$ 77,295
NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Equity compensation capitalized as development	\$ 29	\$ 412	\$ 4,158
Restricted cash held for reclamation bond acquired in an acquisition			491
Post closure reclamation and remediation costs and accounts payable assumed in an acquisition			263

Common stock and warrants issued for property and equipment

1,586

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

GENERAL MOLY, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

General Moly, Inc. (we , us , our , the Company , or General Moly) is a Delaware corporation originally incorporated as General Mines Corporation on November 23, 1925. In 1966, the Company amended its articles of incorporation to change its name to Idaho General Petroleum and Mines Corporation, and amended its articles again in 1967 changing its name to Idaho General Mines, Inc. On October 5, 2007, we reincorporated in the State of Delaware (the Reincorporation) through a merger involving Idaho General Mines, Inc. and General Moly, Inc., a Delaware corporation that was a wholly owned subsidiary of Idaho General Mines, Inc. The Reincorporation was effected by merging Idaho General Mines, Inc. with and into General Moly, with General Moly being the surviving entity. For purposes of the Company's reporting status with the Securities and Exchange Commission, General Moly is deemed a successor to Idaho General Mines, Inc.

We were in the exploration stage until October 4, 2007 when our Board of Directors (the Board) approved the development of the Mt. Hope molybdenum property (the Mt. Hope Project) in Eureka County, Nevada. The Company is now in the development stage and is currently proceeding with the development of the Mt. Hope Project. We are also conducting exploration and evaluation activities on our Liberty molybdenum property (the Liberty Property) in Nye County, Nevada. In addition, we have certain other, non-core, mineral interests in the Western United States that we are currently evaluating for potential development or sale.

The Mt. Hope Joint Venture. From October 2005 to January 2008, we owned the rights to 100% of the Mt. Hope Project. Effective as of January 1, 2008, we contributed all of our interest in the assets related to the Mt. Hope Project, including our lease of the Mt. Hope Project into a newly formed entity, Eureka Moly, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (Eureka Moly), and in February 2008 (the Closing Date) entered into a joint venture for the development and operation of the Mt. Hope Project (the Joint Venture) with POS-Minerals Corporation (POS-Minerals) an affiliate of POSCO, a large Korean steel company. Under the joint venture, POS-Minerals owns a 20% interest in Eureka Moly and General Moly, through a wholly-owned subsidiary, owns an 80% interest. These ownership interests and/or required contributions under the Joint Venture can change as discussed below.

Pursuant to the terms of the Joint Venture, POS-Minerals has made its first and second cash contributions to the Joint Venture totaling \$100.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2008 (the Initial Contributions). Additional amounts will be due from POS-Minerals within 15 days after the date (the ROD Contribution Date) that certain specified conditions (the ROD Contribution Conditions) are met, including the receipt of major operating permits, the Record of Decision (ROD) from the United States Bureau of Land Management for the project has become effective, and any administrative or judicial appeals with respect thereto are final. We are currently targeting the effectiveness of the ROD and the satisfaction of the ROD Contribution Conditions to occur in mid-year 2010, but circumstances beyond our control could cause the effectiveness of the ROD and / or the satisfaction of the ROD Contribution Conditions to be delayed.

Our current forecast of the ROD Contribution Date occurring after December 31, 2009 allows POS-Minerals to elect to either retain its 20% share by contributing an additional \$56.0 million plus its 20% share of all Joint Venture costs incurred from the Closing Date to the ROD Contribution Date or reduce its ownership interest to 13% and fund its remaining 13% share of all Joint Venture costs incurred from the Closing Date to the ROD Contribution Date. Such election is required to be made prior to January 31, 2010.

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

In addition, if commercial production at the Mt. Hope Project is not achieved by December 31, 2011 for reasons other than a force majeure event, the Joint Venture with POS-Minerals requires Eureka Moly to return to POS-Minerals a portion of the contributions made. If required, the return of contributions to POS-Minerals will be \$36.0 million, with no corresponding reduction in POS-Minerals ownership interest in Eureka Moly, if POS-Minerals has elected to retain its 20% interest and has contributed the additional \$56.0 million required by the agreement. If required, the return of contributions to POS-Minerals will be \$33.0 million if POS-Minerals has elected to reduce its ownership interest to 13%. Based on our current plan and expected timetable it is unlikely the Mt. Hope Project will

achieve commercial production by December 31, 2011. Such return of contributions are required to be made on or prior to January 27, 2012.

The Initial Contributions of \$100.0 million that were made by POS-Minerals during 2008 are available to fund the Mt. Hope Project Joint Venture costs incurred subsequent to the Closing Date. We are required, pursuant to the terms of the Joint Venture, to advance funds required to pay costs for the development of the Mt. Hope Project that exceed the Initial Contributions until the ROD Contribution Date, at which point the contributions described above to be made by POS-Minerals will be applied to reimburse us for POS-Minerals' share of such development costs. All costs incurred after the ROD Contribution Date will be allocated and funded pro rata based on each party's ownership interest. In the event Eureka Moly is required to return a portion of the POS-Minerals contributions due to a delay in production beyond December 31, 2011, as discussed above, such amount will be funded by the Company only.

NOTE 2 LIQUIDITY, CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS AND RESTRUCTURING

Our cash balance at March 31, 2009 was \$77.3 million compared to \$78.5 million at December 31, 2008. Additionally we have \$3.1 million of restricted cash that represents the unspent amount of the Initial Contributions from POS-Minerals that is available for the continuing development of the Mt. Hope Project.

The cash needs for the development of the Mt. Hope Project require that we or Eureka Moly obtain project financing or other significant financing in addition to the capital contributions anticipated to be received from POS-Minerals. The aggregate amount of additional financing required for the development of the Mt. Hope Project, minus the amounts anticipated to be received from POS-Minerals on the ROD Contribution Date is anticipated to range between \$715 million and \$850 million depending on the election made by POS-Minerals to either retain its current 20% interest or reduce its interest in the Joint Venture to 13%. During 2008, we engaged Barclays Capital and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC to assist in our efforts to pursue project financing for the Mt. Hope Project. Our ability to obtain such project financing depends on the effectiveness and the timing of the ROD, the anticipated price of molybdenum, our projected fixed and variable operating costs and the overall state of the economy and the credit markets in general.

Recent disruptions in national and international credit markets have led to very illiquid and volatile conditions. There is a scarcity of credit and lenders are imposing tighter lending standards and higher interest costs for loans. Our ability to obtain the necessary funding for the Mt. Hope Project as well as the terms for such funding may be adversely affected by these disruptions in the credit markets. We may not be able to obtain the necessary financing for the Mt. Hope Project in a timely manner, on customary or favorable terms, or at all.

Given the continued uncertainty in the project finance market, current low molybdenum prices, and a longer than expected timeframe to receive the federal permits to begin construction at the Mt. Hope project, on March 26, 2009 we implemented a cash conservation plan to reduce expenditures and conserve cash for 2009 and 2010 in order to maximize financial flexibility. With our March 31, 2009 consolidated cash balance of \$80.4 million, we have the capacity to continue our current level of permitting efforts, maintain efforts to secure project financing, and secure the most critical long lead equipment for the ultimate construction of the Mt. Hope project.

The Company has purchase orders for two types of equipment - milling process equipment and mining equipment. Most equipment orders for the custom-built grinding and other milling process equipment will be completed by the manufacturers and stored. The grinding and milling process equipment require the longest lead times and maintaining these orders is critical to the Company's ability to re-start the development of Mt. Hope rapidly. With respect to the remaining milling process equipment, the manufacturers have agreed to suspend fabrication of the equipment. The Company is negotiating with the manufacturers regarding costs incurred to date with respect to equipment fabrication, storage costs, the timing of restarting fabrication and future payment terms. Based on our current plan, expected timetable, and results of such

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

negotiations, we expect to make additional payments of approximately \$46 million under milling process equipment orders through the end of 2010, and \$16 million in 2011.

Orders for mining equipment will be cancelled or suspended. The lead times and costs associated with many of these items have declined in recent months. Once financing is secured, the Company anticipates placing orders for

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

this mining equipment again. The Company will continue to evaluate all options to decrease Mt. Hope's initial capital requirement and facilitate a timelier re-start of the project development.

The cash conservation plan will also reduce our total cash utilization to approximately \$1 million per month by the fourth quarter of this year for all expenditures other than the key equipment purchases discussed above. Based on our current cash on hand and our cash conservation plan, the Company expects it will have adequate liquidity for operations, as modified, through the end of 2010. Engineering efforts, currently approximately 60% complete, have been suspended pending the completion of financing.

The cash conservation plan has also impacted General Moly employees and contractor support. The Company has initiated plans to reduce administrative expenses by \$5 million per year through a combination of compensation restructuring and position eliminations. In March 2009 we incurred costs related to one-time employee termination benefits totaling \$0.8 million. All of the affected employees were notified in March 2009. The majority of the affected employees are not required to render service beyond 60 days, and accordingly, costs associated with these one-time termination benefits were recognized in March, 2009. Additional costs of \$0.1 million will be recognized during subsequent quarters, for those few affected employees who will render service beyond 60 days.

We also expect to incur approximately \$3 million in equipment contract cancellation and suspension costs during the second quarter of 2009. Such costs will be a combination of deposits forfeited and cash costs to cancel or suspend contracts. At March 31, 2009, none of the contracts had been cancelled or suspended and, accordingly, no cancellation or suspension costs have been recognized. We expect substantially all of the cancellation and suspension of contracts will occur during the three months ended June 30, 2009.

While we do not expect to achieve full project financing until credit markets and the outlook for molybdenum prices improve, we are continuing to evaluate a number of potential sources for capital, including the possibility of a sale of another minority interest in the Mt. Hope Project, additional support from current strategic partners, and debt from private investment groups, as well as the capital markets.

Once the ROD is effective, additional capital will be required through the commencement of commercial production at the Mt. Hope Project. Our ability to develop the project is dependent on, among other things, our ability to raise the necessary capital to fund the Mt. Hope Project both in sufficient amount and in a timely manner. Additionally, if the currently estimated costs of the Mt. Hope Project are exceeded we will need to raise additional capital to fund such overruns. The Company is currently, and will on an ongoing basis be, pursuing the most efficient sources of funding for the project including, but not limited to, the equity markets, the bank project finance markets and the high yield capital debt markets.

We also require additional capital to maintain our mining claims and other rights related to the Liberty Property, as well as continue payment of ongoing general and administrative costs associated with supporting our planned operations.

NOTE 3 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company are unaudited. In the opinion of management, all adjustments and disclosures necessary for a fair presentation of these interim statements have been included. All such adjustments are, in the opinion of management, of a normal recurring nature except for the adoption of the new accounting standards discussed below. The results reported in

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

these interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be reported for the entire year. These interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

This summary of significant accounting policies is presented to assist in understanding the financial statements. The financial statements and notes are representations of the Company's management, which is responsible for their integrity and objectivity. These accounting policies conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and have been consistently applied in the preparation of the financial statements.

Change in Accounting Principle

Our financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. At December 31, 2007, all of our subsidiaries were wholly owned. In February 2008, we entered into the Joint Venture which establishes our ownership interest in Eureka Moly at 80%. At March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the consolidated financial statements include all of our wholly owned subsidiaries and our majority owned Joint Venture Eureka Moly. On January 1, 2009, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160 - Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of ARB No. 51 (SFAS No. 160), the provisions of which, among others, requires that minority interests be renamed noncontrolling interests and that a company present such noncontrolling interests as equity for all periods presented. The POS-Minerals contributions attributable to their 20% interest are shown as noncontrolling interest in the condensed consolidated financial statements. The prior periods presented have also been reclassified to conform to the current classification required by SFAS No. 160.

Estimates

The process of preparing consolidated financial statements requires the use of estimates and assumptions regarding certain types of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses. Such estimates primarily relate to unsettled transactions and events as of the date of the financial statements. Accordingly, upon settlement, actual results may differ from estimated amounts.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The restricted cash of Eureka Moly represents the unspent amount of the POS-Minerals contributions, which are available for the continuing development of the Mt. Hope Project.

Exploration and Development Stage Activities

We were in the exploration stage from January 2002 until October 4, 2007. On October 4, 2007, our Board of Directors approved the development of the Mt. Hope Project as contemplated in the Bankable Feasibility Study and we then entered into the Development Stage. We have not realized any revenue from operations. We will be primarily engaged in development of the Mt. Hope Project and exploration and evaluation of the Liberty Property until we enter the production stage.

Basic and Diluted Net Loss Per Share

Net loss per share was computed by dividing the net loss attributable to General Moly, Inc. by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. The weighted average number of shares was calculated by taking the number of shares outstanding and weighting them by the amount of time that they were outstanding. Outstanding warrants to purchase 7,445,434 and 9,204,481 shares of common stock, options to purchase 3,490,490 and 4,162,500 shares of common stock and awards totaling 185,000 and 255,000 at March 31, 2009 and 2008,

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 because to do so would have been antidilutive. Therefore, basic loss per share is the same as diluted loss per share.

Mineral Exploration and Development Costs

All exploration expenditures are expensed as incurred. Significant property acquisition payments for active exploration properties are capitalized. If no economic ore body is discovered, previously capitalized costs are expensed in the period the property is abandoned. Expenditures to develop new mines, to define further mineralization in existing ore bodies, and to expand the capacity of operating mines, are capitalized and amortized on a units-of-production basis over proven and probable reserves.

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

Should a property be abandoned, its capitalized costs are charged to operations. The Company charges to the consolidated statement of operations the allocable portion of capitalized costs attributable to properties sold. Capitalized costs are allocated to properties sold based on the proportion of claims sold to the claims remaining within the project area.

Mining Properties, Land and Water Rights

Costs of acquiring and developing mining properties, land and water rights are capitalized as appropriate by project area. Exploration and related costs and costs to maintain mining properties, land and water rights are expensed as incurred while the property is in the exploration and evaluation stage. Development and related costs and costs to maintain mining properties, land and water rights are capitalized as incurred while the property is in the development stage. When a property reaches the production stage, the related capitalized costs are amortized using the units-of-production basis over proven and probable reserves. Mining properties, land and water rights are periodically assessed for impairment of value, and any subsequent losses are charged to operations at the time of impairment. If a property is abandoned or sold, a gain or loss is recognized and included in the consolidated statement of operations.

Depreciation and Amortization

Property and equipment are recorded at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Property and equipment are depreciated using the following estimated useful lives: field equipment five years; office furniture, fixtures, and equipment five years; vehicles three to five years; leasehold improvements three years; residential trailers ten to twenty years; and buildings and improvements ten years.

Provision for Taxes

Income taxes are provided based upon the liability method of accounting pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes (hereinafter SFAS 109). Under this approach, deferred income taxes are recorded to reflect the tax consequences in future years of differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts at each year-end. A valuation allowance is recorded against the deferred tax asset if management does not believe the Company has met the more likely than not standard imposed by SFAS 109 to allow recognition of such an asset.

Reclamation and Remediation

Expenditures for ongoing compliance with environmental regulations that relate to current operations are expensed or capitalized as appropriate. Expenditures resulting from the remediation of existing conditions caused by past operations that do not contribute to future revenue generations are expensed. Liabilities are recognized when environmental assessments indicate that remediation efforts are probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated.

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

Estimates of such liabilities are based upon currently available facts, existing technology and presently enacted laws and regulations taking into consideration the likely effects of inflation and other societal and economic factors, and include estimates of associated legal costs. These amounts also reflect prior experience in remediating contaminated sites, other companies' clean-up experience and data released by The Environmental Protection Agency or other organizations. Such estimates are by their nature imprecise and can be expected to be revised over time because of changes in government regulations, operations, technology and inflation. Recoveries are evaluated separately from the liability and, when recovery is assured, the Company records and reports an asset separately from the associated liability.

Stock-based Compensation

Stock-based compensation represents the fair value related to stock-based awards granted to members of the board of directors, officers and employees. The Company uses the Black-Scholes (BS) model to determine the

fair value of stock-based awards under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*. For stock-based compensation that is earned upon the satisfaction of a service condition, the cost is recognized on a straight-line basis (net of estimated forfeitures) over the requisite vesting period (up to three years). Awards expire five years from the date of vesting.

Further information regarding stock-based compensation can be found in Note 6 Equity Incentives.

NOTE 4 MINING PROPERTIES, LAND AND WATER RIGHTS

We currently have interests in two mining properties that are the primary focus of our operations. The Mt. Hope Project is currently in the development stage and the Liberty Property is in the exploration and evaluation stage. The following is a summary of mining properties, land and water rights at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 (in thousands):

Mining Properties, Land and Water Rights

	At March 31, 2009		At December 31, 2008
Mt. Hope Project:			
Development costs	\$ 62,921	\$	54,722
Mineral, land and water rights	10,253		10,253
Advance Royalties	3,650		3,650
Total Mt. Hope Project	76,824		68,625
Total Liberty Property	9,774		9,778
Other Properties	889		889
Total	\$ 87,487	\$	79,292

NOTE 5 COMMON STOCK AND COMMON STOCK WARRANTS

During the three months ended March 31, 2009 we issued 128,562 shares of common stock pursuant to stock awards.

At March 31, 2009, we had warrants outstanding totaling 7,455,434 of which 6,455,434 are exercisable at \$3.75 per warrant and expire February 2011 and 1,000,000 are exercisable at \$10.00 per share once General Moly has received financing necessary for the commencement of commercial production at the Mt. Hope Project and will expire one year afterwards.

NOTE 6 EQUITY INCENTIVES

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

In 2006, the Board and shareholders of the Company approved the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2006 Plan) which replaced the 2003 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2003 Plan). In 2007, the shareholders amended the 2006 Plan to increase the number of shares that may be issued under the 2006 Plan. The 2006 Plan authorizes the Board, or a committee of the Board, to issue or transfer up to an aggregate of 5,460,000 shares of common stock, including the unissued shares available under the 2003 Plan, of which 767,833 remain available for issuance. Awards under the Plan may include incentive stock options, non-statutory stock options, restricted stock units, restricted stock awards, and stock appreciation rights. Stock appreciation rights are settled with cash. The Company settles the exercise of other stock-based compensation with newly issued common shares.

Stock-based compensation cost is estimated at the grant date based on the award's fair value as calculated by the Black-Scholes option pricing model and is recognized as compensation ratably on a straight-line basis over the requisite vesting/service period. As of March 31, 2009, there was \$2.3 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to share-based compensation arrangements, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.5 years.

Stock Options and Stock Appreciation Rights

All stock options and stock appreciation rights (SARS) are approved prior to or on the date of grant. Stock options and SARS are granted at an exercise price equal to or greater than the company's stock price on the date of grant. Awards vest over a period of zero to three years with a contractual term of five years after vesting. The Company estimates the fair value of stock options and SARS using the Black-Scholes valuation model, consistent with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R). Key inputs and assumptions used to estimate the fair value of stock options and SARS include the grant price of the award, expected option term, volatility of the Company's stock, the risk-free rate and the Company's dividend yield. The following table presents the weighted-average assumptions used in the valuation and the resulting weighted-average fair value per option or SAR award granted:

Stock Option Valuation Assumptions

Expected Life *	3.5 to 5.5 years
Interest Rate	1.13% to 5.05%
Volatility **	67% to 94%
Dividend Yields	
Weighted Average Fair Value of Stock Appreciation Rights Granted During the Quarter	
	\$0.84

* The expected life is the number of years that the Company estimates, based upon history, that options will be outstanding prior to exercise or forfeiture.

** The Company's estimates of expected volatility are principally based on the historic volatility of the Company's common stock over the most recent period commensurate with the estimated expected life of the Company's stock options and other relevant factors.

The following table summarizes option activity under the Plans for the three months ended March 31, 2009:

Stock Option Activity	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares Under Option
Balance at January 1, 2009	\$ 5.27	3,855,490
Options Granted	\$ 0.96	1,166
Options Exercised		
Options Forfeited	7.93	(236,166)
Options Expired	2.80	(130,000)
Balance at March 31, 2009	\$ 5.16	3,490,490
Exercisable at March 31, 2009		2,662,163

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

For the three months ended March 31, 2009, there were 450,000 SARS granted at a weighted exercise average price of \$0.83 per right, and 61,260 were forfeited. No SARS were exercised, and none expired during the three months ended March 31, 2009. At March 31, 2009, the aggregate intrinsic value of exercisable (fully vested) options was \$0.1 million and had a weighted-average remaining contractual term of 3 years.

Stock Awards

Grants of stock awards have been made to Board members, officers, and employees. Stock awards have been granted as performance based, earned over a required service period or to Board members for services. Incentive based grants generally vest and stock is received without restriction to the extent of one-third of the granted stock for each year following the date of grant. Performance based grants are recognized as compensation based on the probable outcome of achieving the performance condition. Compensation for stock awards issued to

members of the Board of Directors that are earned based on a required service period is recognized over the vesting period of one to two years. Stock awards issued to Board members that have no required service period are recognized as compensation upon grant of the award.

The compensation expense recognized by the Company for stock awards is based on the closing market price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. For the three months ended March 31, 2009 a total of 128,562 shares were granted to Board Members and the Secretary of the Company which had a weighted average grant date fair value of \$1.16 per share.

Summary of compensation recognized under equity incentives

The following is a summary of compensation recognized under equity incentives for the three months ended March 31, 2009 (in thousands):

Stock-Based Compensation Summary

Stock Options	\$	545
SARS		44
Forfeitures related to the restructuring		(567)
Stock Awards:		
Vesting over time		44
Board of Directors and Secretary		320
Total	\$	386
The compensation recognized under equity incentives for the three months ended March 31, 2009 is recorded as follows:		
Capitalized as Development	\$	29
Expensed		357
	\$	386

Taxes

A portion of the Company's granted options qualify as incentive stock options (ISO) for income tax purposes. As such, a tax benefit is not recorded at the time the compensation cost related to the options is recorded for book purposes due to the fact that an ISO does not ordinarily result in a tax benefit unless there is a disqualifying disposition. Stock option grants of non-qualified options result in the creation of a deferred tax asset, which is a temporary difference, until the time that the option is exercised.

NOTE 7 CHANGES IN EQUITY

Changes in Equity	Activity for Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2009	March 31, 2008
Total Equity December 31, 2008 & 2007, respectively	\$ 213,048	\$ 102,105
Common stock:		
At beginning of period	72	66
Exercised Warrants		3
At end of period	72	69
Additional paid-in capital:		
At beginning of period	185,179	159,828
Exercised Warrants		11,645
Stock based compensation	313	1,279
At end of period	185,492	172,752
Accumulated deficit:		
At beginning of period	(72,203)	(57,789)
Net loss	(3,000)	(5,220)
At end of period	(75,203)	(63,009)
Noncontrolling interest:		
At beginning of period	100,000	50,000
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	(103)	
At end of period	99,897	50,000
Total Equity March 31, 2009 & 2008, respectively	\$ 210,258	\$ 159,812

NOTE 8 INCOME TAXES

At March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had deferred tax assets principally arising from the net operating loss carry forwards for income tax purposes multiplied by an expected rate of 35%. As our management cannot determine that it is more likely than not that we will realize the benefit of the deferred tax assets, a valuation allowance equal to the deferred tax asset has been established at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008. The significant components of the deferred tax asset at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were as follows (in thousands):

Deferred Tax Asset	March 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
Operating loss carry forward	\$ 104,152	\$ 94,004
Unamortized exploration expense	7,641	8,126
Deductible stock-based compensation	2,779	2,779
Deductible temporary difference	114,572	104,909
Taxable temporary difference development costs	(45,234)	(38,674)
Net deductible temporary difference	69,338	66,235
Deferred tax asset	\$ 24,268	\$ 23,182
Deferred tax asset valuation allowance	\$ (24,268)	\$ (23,182)
Net deferred tax asset	\$	\$

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

At March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$104.2 million and \$94.0 million, respectively, which expire in the years 2022 through 2029.

NOTE 9 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**Mt. Hope Project**

The Mt. Hope Lease may be terminated upon the expiration of its 30-year term, earlier at the election of Eureka Moly, or upon our material breach and failure to cure such breach. If Eureka Moly terminates the lease, termination is effective 30 days after receipt by Mount Hope Mines, Inc. (MHMI) of written notice to terminate the Mt. Hope Lease and no further payments would be due to MHMI. In order to maintain the lease, Eureka Moly must pay certain deferral fees and advance royalties as discussed below.

The Mt. Hope Lease Agreement requires a royalty advance (the Construction Royalty Advance) of 3% of the construction capital cost estimate upon the earliest of Eureka Moly securing project financing in sufficient amounts to develop and put into operation the Mt. Hope property at a production level of at least 10 million pounds of annual production or October 19, 2008.

Eureka Moly has the right to defer the Construction Royalty Advance for one or two years by payment of a deferral fee (the Deferral Fee) in the amount of \$0.4 million on or before October 19, 2008 and October 19, 2009 in the event project financing for the project has not been secured by each of the dates. On October 17, 2008 Eureka Moly paid the \$0.4 million Deferral Fee of October 19, 2008 discussed above to MHMI thereby deferring the Construction Royalty Advance. Through March 31, 2009 we have paid \$3.3 million of the total Construction Royalty Advance. Any remaining unpaid Construction Royalty Advance amounts on October 19, 2011 must be paid 50% on October 19, 2011 with the other 50% due on October 19, 2012.

Once the Construction Royalty Advance has been paid in full, Eureka Moly is obligated to pay an advance royalty (the Annual Advance Royalty) each October 19 thereafter in the amount of \$0.5 million per year. The Construction Royalty Advance and the Annual Advance Royalty are collectively referred to as the Advance Royalties . All Advance Royalties are credited against the Production Royalties once the mine has achieved commercial production. The Deferral Fees are not recoverable against Production Royalties.

Eureka Moly is obligated to pay the Construction Royalty Advance each time capital is raised for the Mt. Hope Project. Based on the current estimate of raising capital and developing and operating the mine, we believe Eureka Moly's contractual obligations under the Mt. Hope Lease Agreement will be as shown in the following table (in thousands). This estimate is based on our current estimates of the timing of securing project financing and construction capital costs.

Year	Deferral Fees	Advance Royalties	Total
2009	350		350
2010		22,150(1)	22,150
2011		500	500
2012 (2)		500	500
Total	\$ 350	\$ 23,150	\$ 23,500

(1) Assumes project financing is completed by the Company in 2010.

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

(2) After the mine begins production, Eureka Moly estimates that the Production Royalties will be in excess of the Annual Advance Royalties for the life of the project and, further, the Construction Royalty Advance will be fully recovered (credited against MHMI Production Royalties) by the end of 2014.

Deposits on project property, plant and equipment (including subsequent events)

As a continuing part of the development of the Mt. Hope Project, Eureka Moly has placed orders for mining and milling equipment that must be built to specification and requires a long lead time for engineering and

manufacturing. Contractual commitments for long lead items require progress payments during the engineering and fabrication of the equipment and have cancellation penalties in the event Eureka Moly cancels the contract.

At March 31, 2009, we have contracts to purchase mining equipment comprised of two electric shovels, three blast hole drills, 24 haul trucks and two front end loaders and milling process equipment comprised of two 230kV primary transformers, a primary crusher, a semi-autogenous mill, two ball mills, various motors for the mills, two multi-hearth molybdenum roasters, 16 flotation cells and other milling process equipment (collectively, the Purchase Contracts).

We plan to maintain equipment orders for custom-built grinding and other milling process equipment pending on-going negotiations with vendors related to construction schedule, delivery timelines, storage and payment terms. We plan to cancel or suspend mining equipment purchase contracts. We expect to complete the renegotiations and cancellation or suspension for certain other contracts by mid-2009. The following table sets forth Eureka Moly's cash commitments under Purchase Contracts at March 31, 2009 (in thousands), prior to such renegotiations.

Cash Commitments Under Purchase Contracts

Year	As of March 31, 2009
2009 Remainder	\$ 71,369
2010	100,244
2011	17,798
Total	\$ 189,411

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

References made in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to we, our, us, or the Company, refer to General Moly, Inc.

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations constitutes management's review of the factors that affected our financial and operating performance for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained elsewhere in this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, which was filed on February 27, 2009.

Overview

We are a development stage company and began the development of the Mt. Hope Project on October 4, 2007. During the year ended December 31, 2008 we also completed work on a pre-feasibility study of our Liberty Property. We continue to prudently advance the Liberty Property but do not expect to spend appreciable amounts of capital on the Liberty Property until market conditions warrant the development of

this property.

On October 4, 2007, our Board of Directors approved the development of the Mt. Hope Project as contemplated in our Bankable Feasibility Study. The Company completed basic engineering of the Mt. Hope Project during the year ended December 31, 2008 and updated its capital cost estimate related to the Mt. Hope Project based upon having completed 30% of the detailed engineering.

The development of the Mt. Hope Project has an estimated total capital requirement of \$1,154.0 million including development costs of approximately \$1,039.3 million (in 2008 dollars) and \$114.7 million in cash bonding requirements and pre-payments. These amounts do not include financing costs or amounts necessary to fund operating working capital. Through the three months ended March 31, 2009 we have spent approximately \$122.7 million and have \$80.4 million remaining cash on hand for use in the development of the Mt. Hope Project and other cash requirements.

Given the continued uncertainty in the project finance market, current low molybdenum prices, and a longer than expected timeframe to receive the federal permits to begin construction at the Mt. Hope project, on March 26, 2009 we implemented a cash conservation plan to reduce expenditures and conserve cash for 2009 and 2010 in order to maximize financial flexibility and conserve our cash. In addition to conserving cash, the plans implemented will retain the ability to start construction at the Mt. Hope project pending improvements in the molybdenum and capital markets. With our March 31, 2009 consolidated cash balance of \$80.4 million, we have the capacity to continue our current level of permitting efforts, maintain efforts to secure project financing, and secure the most critical long lead equipment for the ultimate construction of the Mt. Hope project.

Once financing is obtained and we have received the major operating permits and the ROD from the BLM, it is expected that Mt. Hope can be constructed and in production within 20 months. In the interim, our permitting efforts will continue full-time. The Company will maintain its orders for grinding, milling, and other specialty long lead equipment, although other engineering, administrative and third-party work will be slowed or suspended. We will continue to work with Credit Suisse and Barclays to seek project financing. Additionally, we will continue evaluating all sources of potential interim capital, including equity partners at the asset level and vendor financing. Given our strong off-take agreements and other preparatory work completed, we believe the Company is well positioned to execute a project finance facility once the molybdenum price and credit conditions improve.

Restructuring and Suspension of Project Development

As discussed above, we have implemented a cash conservation plan whereby total cash utilization other than equipment purchases is anticipated to decline to approximately \$1 million per month by the fourth quarter of this year. Based on our current cash on hand and our cash conservation plan, the Company expects it will have adequate liquidity for operations, as modified, through the end of 2010. Engineering efforts, currently approximately 60% complete, have been suspended pending the completion of financing.

The Company has purchase orders for two types of equipment – milling process equipment and mining equipment. Most equipment orders for the custom-built grinding and other milling process equipment will be completed by the manufacturers and stored. The grinding and milling process equipment require the longest lead times and maintaining these orders is critical to the Company's ability to re-start the development of Mt. Hope rapidly. With respect to the remaining milling process equipment, the manufacturers have agreed to suspend fabrication of the equipment. The Company is negotiating with the manufacturers regarding costs incurred to date with respect to equipment fabricated, storage costs, the timing of restarting fabrication and future payment terms. Based on our current plan and expected timetable, we expect to make additional payments of approximately \$46 million under milling process equipment orders through the end of 2010, and \$16 million in 2011.

Orders for mining equipment will be cancelled or suspended. The lead times and costs associated with many of these items have declined in recent months. Once financing is secured, the Company anticipates placing orders for this mining equipment again. The Company will continue to evaluate all options to decrease Mt. Hope's initial capital requirement and facilitate a timelier re-start of the project development.

The cash conservation plan has also impacted General Moly employees and contractor support. The Company has initiated plans to reduce administrative expenses by \$5 million per year through a combination of compensation restructuring and position eliminations.

Permitting and Water Rights Update

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

Following recent discussions with the BLM related to the Company's hydrologic studies of both pit lake geochemistry and regional hydrology, the Company determined that additional analysis and data acquisition will be conducted to improve the technical adequacy of the studies. We believe this further work does not indicate a concern related to ultimate permit receipt; however, this work is the primary reason for the delay in the expected receipt of the ROD from the fourth quarter of 2009 to mid-year 2010. We expect to receive the ROD approximately mid-year 2010. State-issued permits related to Air Quality, Tailings Dam Safety, and Water Pollution Control are anticipated to be received well before the federal permit (ROD) is received.

On March 26, 2009, the Nevada State Engineer approved the Company's previously filed water applications that requested mining and milling use of 11,300 acre feet annually of water to be drawn from a well field near the Mt. Hope project in Kobeh Valley. This ruling, combined with actual pump tests conducted in late 2008 that confirmed well pumping rates in Kobeh Valley, is anticipated to meet the Mt. Hope project's life of mine water requirements of approximately 7,000 gallons per minute. Subsequent to that ruling and the Company's announcement thereof, two appeals have been filed with a Nevada District Court to challenge the State Engineer's decision. Although the Company and its attorneys believe these appeals to be without merit and unlikely to succeed, a successful appeal could have a material negative impact on the Mt. Hope project. District Court dates associated with the appeals have not been set nor has a timeframe been determined for resolution of these appeals; however, the Company anticipates the Court proceedings will likely occur in the fourth quarter of 2009. Although the water application approvals are under appeal, the Company maintains legal authority to continue further testing and development of the well field at Mt. Hope. In addition, we expect the State Engineer to issue the final water permits in the second quarter of 2009, which allows the use of all the granted water applications for mining pending the final outcome of the appeal.

The Mt. Hope Joint Venture

Effective as of January 1, 2008, we contributed all of our interest in the assets related to the Mt. Hope Project, including the Mt. Hope Lease, to Eureka Moly, and in February 2008 entered into the Mt. Hope Project Joint Venture with POS-Minerals. Under the terms of the Joint Venture, POS-Minerals owns a 20% interest in Eureka Moly, and General Moly, through a subsidiary, owns an 80% interest. These ownership interests and/or the required contributions under the Joint Venture can change as discussed below.

Pursuant to the terms of the Joint Venture, POS-Minerals made its Initial Contributions to the Joint Venture totaling \$100.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2008. Additionally, in the third quarter of 2008, we paid to POS-Minerals \$3.0 million as a final purchase price adjustment based on the terms of the Joint Venture Agreement related to the difference in the budgeted versus actual expenditures of the Mt. Hope Project prior to the Closing Date. Once the ROD Contribution Conditions, including the receipt of major operating permits and that the ROD is effective, are met and any administrative or judicial appeals with respect thereto are final, additional amounts will be due from POS-Minerals within 15 days after the ROD Contribution Date.

Our current forecast of the ROD Contribution Date occurring after December 31, 2009 allows POS-Minerals to elect to either retain its 20% share by contributing an additional \$56.0 million plus its 20% share of all Joint Venture costs incurred from the Closing Date to the ROD Contribution Date or reduce its ownership interest to 13% and fund its remaining 13% share of all Joint Venture costs incurred from the Closing Date to the ROD Contribution Date. Such election is required to be made prior to January 31, 2010.

In addition, if commercial production at the Mt. Hope Project is not achieved by December 31, 2011 for reasons other than a force majeure event, the Joint Venture with POS-Minerals requires Eureka Moly to return to POS-Minerals a portion of the contributions made. If required, the return of contributions to POS-Minerals will be \$36.0 million, with no corresponding reduction in POS-Minerals' ownership interest in Eureka Moly, if POS-Minerals has elected to retain its 20% interest and has contributed the additional \$56.0 million required by the agreement. If required, the return of contributions to POS-Minerals will be \$33.0 million if POS-Minerals has elected to reduce its ownership interest to 13%. Based on our current plan and expected timetable it is unlikely the Mt. Hope Project will achieve commercial production by December 31, 2011. Such return of contributions is required to be made on or prior to January 27, 2012.

The Initial Contributions of \$100 million that were made by POS-Minerals during 2008 are available to fund the Mt. Hope Project Joint Venture costs incurred subsequent to the Closing Date. We are required, pursuant to the terms of the Joint Venture, to advance funds required to pay costs for the development of the Mt. Hope Project that exceed the Initial Contributions until the ROD Contribution Date, at which point the contributions described above to be made by POS-Minerals will be applied to reimburse us for POS Minerals' share of such development costs. All costs incurred after the ROD Contribution Date will be allocated and funded pro rata based on each party's ownership interest. In the event

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

Eureka Moly is required to return a portion of the POS-Minerals contributions due to a delay in production beyond December 31, 2011, discussed above, such amount will be funded by the Company only.

Liquidity, Capital Resources and Capital Requirements

For the period from December 31, 2008 to March 31, 2009

Our cash balance at March 31, 2009 was \$77.3 million compared to \$78.5 million at December 31, 2008. Additionally, at March 31, 2009 we have \$3.1 million of restricted cash that represents the unspent amount of the Initial Contributions from POS-Minerals that is available for the continuing development of the Mt. Hope Project compared to \$13.9 million at December 31, 2008. Our total consolidated cash balance at March 31, 2009 was \$80.4 million compared to \$92.4 million at December 31, 2008. The decrease in our cash balances for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was due primarily to development costs incurred of \$8.2 million, deposits on property plant and equipment totaling \$0.7 million, general and administrative costs of \$2.8 million and expense items of \$0.2 million incurred for continuing exploration and evaluation of our Liberty Property. Deposits on property, plant and equipment relate primarily to scheduled payments for long lead time equipment for the Mt. Hope Project. See Contractual Obligations below.

Total assets as of March 31, 2009 decreased to \$217.7 million compared to \$220.9 million as of December 31, 2008 primarily as a result of the changes discussed in the preceding paragraph.

As discussed above under Permitting and Water Rights Update , we currently anticipate the effectiveness of the ROD and the satisfaction of the ROD Contribution Conditions to occur in mid-year 2010, but circumstances beyond our control could cause the effectiveness of the ROD and / or the satisfaction of the ROD Contribution Conditions to be delayed. We estimate that this will delay the achievement of the ROD Contribution Date to the second half of 2010 and allow POS-Minerals to elect to pay \$56.0 million plus its 20% share of Joint Venture costs incurred from the Closing Date to the ROD Contribution Date to retain its 20% share of the Joint Venture or alternatively, to reduce its interest from 20% to 13%, in which case we would only receive reimbursement for Joint Venture costs for the resulting 13% ownership retained by POS-Minerals.

As discussed above under Restructuring and Suspension of Project Development , we have suspended engineering efforts and mining and most milling process equipment purchases for the Mt. Hope Project until such time as we can obtain additional financing. While we do not expect to achieve full project financing until credit markets and the outlook for molybdenum prices improve, we are continuing to evaluate a number of potential sources for capital, including the possibility of a sale of another minority interest in the Mt. Hope Project, additional support from current strategic partners, and debt from private investment groups, as well as the capital markets.

With our cash conservation plan, our cash requirements are expected to decline to approximately \$1 million per month by the fourth quarter of this year, other than for key equipment purchases. Based on our current plan, expected timetable, and results of ongoing negotiations with manufacturers, our equipment purchases for milling process equipment are expected to total approximately \$46 million through December 2010, with an additional \$16 million expected in 2011. All orders for mining equipment will be cancelled or suspended. Accordingly, based on our current cash on hand and our cash conservation plan, the Company expects it will have adequate liquidity for operations, as modified, through the year ended December 31, 2010.

Once the ROD is effective, additional capital will be required through the commencement of commercial production at the Mt. Hope Project. Our ability to develop the project is dependent on, among other things, our ability to raise the necessary capital to fund the Mt. Hope Project both in sufficient amount and in a timely manner. Additionally, if the currently estimated costs of the Mt. Hope Project are exceeded we will need to raise additional capital to fund such overruns. The Company is currently, and will on an ongoing basis be, pursuing the most efficient sources of funding for the project including, but not limited to, the equity markets, the bank project finance markets and the high yield capital debt markets.

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

The cash needs for the development of the Mt. Hope Project require that we or Eureka Moly obtain project financing or other significant financing in addition to the capital contributions anticipated to be received from POS-Minerals. The aggregate amount of additional financing required for the development of the Mt. Hope Project, minus the amounts anticipated to be received from POS-Minerals on the ROD Contribution Date is anticipated to range between \$715 million and \$850 million depending on the election made by POS-Minerals to either retain its current 20% interest or reduce its interest in the Joint Venture to 13%. During 2008, we engaged Barclays Capital

and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC to assist in our efforts to pursue project financing for the Mt. Hope Project. Our ability to obtain such project financing depends on the effectiveness and the timing of the ROD, the anticipated price of molybdenum, our projected fixed and variable operating costs and the overall state of the economy and the credit markets in general.

Recent disruptions in national and international credit markets have led to very illiquid and volatile conditions. There is a scarcity of credit and lenders are imposing tighter lending standards and higher interest costs for loans. Our ability to obtain the necessary funding for the Mt. Hope Project as well as the terms for such funding may be adversely affected by these disruptions in the credit markets. We may not be able to obtain the necessary financing for the Mt. Hope Project in a timely manner, on customary or favorable terms, or at all.

We also require additional capital to maintain our mining claims and other rights related to the Liberty Property, as well as continue payment of ongoing general and administrative costs associated with supporting our planned operations.

Results of Operations

Three months ended March 31, 2009 compared to three months ended March 31, 2008

For the three months ended March 31, 2009 we had a consolidated net loss of \$3.1 million compared with a net loss of \$5.2 million in the same period for 2008.

For the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, exploration and evaluation expenses were \$0.2 million and \$2.5 million, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2008 we incurred exploration and evaluation costs on the Liberty Property as we completed a pre-feasibility study on the Liberty Property in April 2008. For the three months ended March 31, 2009 the Liberty Property has been on a care and maintenance status, and, accordingly, the resulting exploration and evaluation expenses are considerably lower for the period.

For the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, general and administrative expenses were \$2.9 million and \$3.2 million, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2009 we implemented a restructuring of our operations as a part of a cash conservation plan that are included in general and administrative expenses. In March 2009 we incurred costs related to one-time employee termination benefits totaling \$0.8 million. The majority of the affected employees are not required to render service beyond 60 days, and accordingly, costs associated with these one-time termination benefits were recognized in March, 2009. Additional costs of \$0.1 million will be recognized during subsequent quarters, for those few affected employees who will render service beyond 60 days.

We also expect to incur approximately \$3 million in contract cancellation and suspension costs during the second quarter of 2009. Such costs will be a combination of deposits forfeited and cash costs to cancel or suspend. At March 31, 2009, none of the contracts had been cancelled or suspended and, accordingly, no cancellation or suspension costs have been recognized. We expect substantially all of the suspension and cancellation of contracts will occur in the three months ended June 30, 2009 (see below under Contractual Obligations).

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

Interest income was nil for the three months ended March 31, 2009 compared with \$0.5 million in 2008 as a result of substantially lower interest rates, and lower cash balances in 2009 compared with the corresponding periods in 2008.

Contractual Obligations

As a continuing part of the development of the Mt. Hope Project, Eureka Moly has placed orders for mining and milling equipment that must be built to specification and require long lead times for engineering and manufacturing. Contractual commitments for long lead items require progress payments during the engineering and fabrication of the equipment and have cancellation penalties in the event Eureka Moly cancels the contract.

At March 31, 2009, we have contracts to purchase mining equipment comprised of two electric shovels, three blast hole drills, 24 haul trucks and two front end loaders and milling process equipment comprised of two 230kV

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

primary transformers, a primary crusher, a semi-autogenous mill, two ball mills, various motors for the mills, two multi-hearth molybdenum roasters, 16 flotation cells and other milling process equipment (collectively, the Purchase Contracts).

As described above in Restructuring and Suspension of Project Development, we plan to maintain equipment orders for custom-built grinding and other milling process equipment pending on-going negotiations with vendors related to construction schedule, delivery timelines, storage and payment terms. We plan to cancel or suspend all mining equipment purchase contracts.

We expect to complete the renegotiations and cancellation or suspension for affected contracts by mid-2009. The following table sets forth Eureka Moly's cash commitments under Purchase Contracts at March 31, 2009 (in millions), prior to such renegotiations.

Cash Commitments Under Purchase Contracts

Period	As of March 31, 2009
2nd Quarter 2009	33.4
3rd Quarter 2009	15.5
4th Quarter 2009	22.5
Total 2009	71.4
2010	100.2
2011	17.8
Total	\$ 189.4

As Eureka Moly renegotiates or cancels Purchase Contracts, it could be subject to claims for breach of contract. As we cancel or suspend contracts, we will take all appropriate action to minimize any losses, but could be subject to claims or penalties under the contracts or applicable law. The cancellation or suspension of certain contracts could cause a delay in the commencement of operations, have ramifications under the Joint Venture with POS-Minerals and could add to the cost to develop our interest in the Mt. Hope Project.

We expect to incur approximately \$3 million in equipment contract cancellation and suspension costs during the second quarter of 2009. Such costs will be a combination of deposits forfeited and cash costs to cancel or suspend contracts. At March 31, 2009, none of the contracts had been cancelled or suspended and, accordingly, no cancellation or suspension costs have been recognized. We expect substantially all of the cancellation and suspension of contracts will occur during the three months ended June 30, 2009.

We also estimate that we will be required to pay approximately \$4 million in additional handling, storage and insurance costs. We estimate that the total remaining commitments will be approximately \$46 million through the end of 2010, and \$16 million in 2011.

Changes in Accounting Policies

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

On January 1, 2009, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of ARB No. 51, the provisions of which, among others, requires that minority interests be renamed noncontrolling interests and that a company present such noncontrolling interests as equity for all periods presented. The POS-Minerals contributions attributable to their 20% interest are shown as noncontrolling interest in the financial statements.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Commodity Price Risk

We are a development stage company in the business of the exploration, development and mining of properties primarily containing molybdenum. As a result, upon commencement of production, our financial performance could be materially affected by fluctuations in the market price of molybdenum and other metals we may mine. The market prices of metals can fluctuate widely due to a number of factors. These factors include fluctuations with respect to the rate of inflation, the exchange rates of the U.S. dollar and other currencies, interest rates, global or regional political and economic conditions, banking environment, global and regional demand, production costs, and investor sentiment.

In order to better manage commodity price risk and to seek to reduce the negative impact of fluctuations in prices, we will seek to enter into long term supply contracts. On December 28, 2007, we entered into a molybdenum supply agreement with ArcelorMittal that provides for ArcelorMittal to purchase 6.5 million pounds of molybdenum per year, plus or minus 10%, once the Mt. Hope Project commences commercial operations at minimum specified levels. The supply agreement provides for a floor price along with a discount for spot prices above the floor price and expires five years after the commencement of commercial production at the Mt. Hope Project. Both the floor and threshold levels at which the percentage discounts change are indexed to a producer price index.

Additionally, on May 14, 2008, we entered into a molybdenum supply agreement with SeAH Besteel Corporation (SeAH Besteel), Korea's largest manufacturer of specialty steels, which provides for SeAH Besteel to purchase 4.0 million pounds of molybdenum per year, plus or minus 10%, once the Mt. Hope Project commences commercial operations at minimum specified levels. Like the ArcelorMittal supply agreement, the supply agreement with SeAH Besteel provides for a floor price along with staged discounts for spot prices above the floor price and expires five years from the date of first supply under the agreement. Both the floor and threshold levels at which the percentage discounts change are indexed to a producer price index.

On August 8, 2008, the Company entered into a molybdenum supply agreement (the Sojitz Agreement) with Sojitz Corporation. The Sojitz Agreement provides for the supply of five million pounds per year of molybdenum for five years, beginning once the Mt. Hope Project reaches certain minimum commercial production levels. One million annual pounds sold under the Sojitz Agreement will be subject to a per-pound molybdenum floor price and is offset by a flat discount to spot moly prices above the floor. The remaining four million annual pounds sold under the Sojitz Agreement will be sold with reference to spot moly prices without regard to a floor price.

While we have not used derivative financial instruments in the past, we may elect to enter into derivative financial instruments to manage commodity price risk. We have not entered into any market risk sensitive instruments for trading or speculative purposes and do not expect to enter into derivative or other financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

Interest Rate Risk

As of March 31, 2009, we had a balance of cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash of \$80.4 million. If and to the extent that these funds were invested in interest bearing instruments during the entire three month period ended March 31, 2009, a hypothetical 1% point decrease in the

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

rate of interest earned on these funds would affect our income for the three month period ended March 31, 2009 by approximately \$0.2 million.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of

the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on the foregoing, our management concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms and such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

During January 2009, the Company completed a conversion to an SAP ERP system. This represents a material change in internal control over financial reporting since management's last assessment of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, which was completed as of December 31, 2008.

Subsequent to the implementation, various controls were modified due to the new systems, and additional compensating controls over financial reporting were established to ensure the accuracy and integrity of our financial statements during the post-implementation phase. We believe the new accounting software contains automated internal control features that will permit the Company to migrate away from the manual internal control processes previously required, and the system and process changes will enhance internal control over financial reporting in future periods. Management evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2009. Based upon that evaluation, management concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Additionally, during the first quarter, the Company initiated changes in its planned operations for 2009 and 2010. Such changes included reduction of corporate and operational personnel, including personnel who were involved in the execution and monitoring of internal controls. Management has undertaken certain actions to ensure impacted controls have been reassigned to other personnel and does not believe the reduction has had a material impact on the control environment.

There were no other changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended March 31, 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are involved from time to time in litigation incidental to our business. We believe that the outcome of current litigation is not expected to have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, including the discussion under the heading "Risk Factors" therein, and this report describe risks that may materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and this report are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operations.

Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements in this report may constitute forward-looking statements, which involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, which may cause actual results, performance or achievements of our Company, the Mt. Hope Project, Liberty Property and our other projects, or industry results, to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. We use the words "may," "will," "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "future," "plan," "estimate," "potential" expressions to identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to a

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

number of risks, uncertainties and assumptions that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward looking statements. Such risks, uncertainties and assumptions are described in the Risk Factors section included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 and this report, and include, among other things:

- our dependence on the success of the Mt. Hope Project;
- the ability to obtain all required permits and approvals for the Mt. Hope Project and the Liberty Property;
- issues related to the management of the Mt. Hope Project pursuant to the Mt. Hope Joint Venture;
- risks related to the failure of POS-Minerals to make contributions pursuant to the Mt. Hope Joint Venture;
- fluctuations in the market price of, and demand for, molybdenum and other metals;
- the estimation and realization of mineral reserves, if any;
- the timing of exploration, development and production activities and estimated future production, if any;
- estimates related to costs of production, capital, operating and exploration expenditures;
- requirements for additional capital and the possible sources of such capital;
- government regulation of mining operations, environmental conditions and risks, reclamation and rehabilitation expenses;
- title disputes or claims; and
- limitations of insurance coverage.

You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report. These forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, including those set forth above. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, our actual results could differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements, and any events anticipated in the forward-looking statements may not actually occur. Except as required by law, we undertake no duty to update any forward-looking statements after the date of this report to conform those statements to actual results or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. We qualify all forward-looking statements contained in this report by the foregoing cautionary statements.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
10.39	Second Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated effective as of February 27, 2009, between the Company and Bruce D. Hansen (Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 5, 2009)
10.40	Second Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated effective as of February 27, 2009, between the Company and David A. Chaput (Filed as Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 5, 2009)
10.41	Form of Stock Appreciation Right Grant Notice and Agreement under 2006 Equity Incentive Plan of the Company (Filed as Exhibit 10.3 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 5, 2009)
10.42	Change of Control Severance Agreement, dated effective as of April 23, 2009, between the Company and Robert Pennington

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
10.43	Change of Control Severance Agreement, dated as of March 9, 2009, between the Company and Gregory E. McClain
10.44	<i>Change of Control Severance Agreement, dated as of March 6, 2009, between the Company and Daniel G. Zang</i>
10.45	<i>Waiver and Release dated as of August 1, 2008, between the Company and Andrew J. Russell</i>
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Previously filed as indicated and incorporated herein by reference.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: May 1, 2009

GENERAL MOLY, INC.

By: /s/ David A. Chaput
David A. Chaput
Chief Financial Officer and
Duly Authorized Officer