

Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC  
Form 10QSB  
May 13, 2005

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-QSB

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended:  
March 31, 2005

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number  
0-21151

PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

-----  
(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE ----- (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	91-1418002 ----- (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)
--	---

2 Park Avenue, Suite 201 Manhasset, New York ----- (Address of Principal Executive Office)	11030 ----- (Zip Code)
--	------------------------------

516-365-1909  
-----  
(Issuer's telephone number)

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

As of April 30, 2005, the number of shares outstanding of the issuer's common stock, the only class of common equity, were 5,795,705.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (Check one): Yes  No

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Description	Page Number
-------------	-------------

-----

Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Condensed Balance Sheet (Unaudited) -  
 At March 31, 2005.....3

Condensed Statements of Operations (Unaudited) -  
 Three Months Ended and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2005 and 2004.....4

Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) -  
 Nine Months Ended March 31, 2005 and 2004.....5

Notes to Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited).....6

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition  
 and Results of Operations.....11

Item 3. Controls and Procedures.....18

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.....18

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.....18

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.....18

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Shareholders.....19

Item 5. Other Information.....19

Item 6. Exhibits.....19

SIGNATURES.....21

CERTIFICATIONS.....22

PART I -- FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
 Condensed Balance Sheet  
 (unaudited)

March 31,  
 2005

-----

Assets

Current assets:

Cash	\$ 23,677
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	23,961

-----

Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

Total current assets	47,638
Equipment, net of accumulated depreciation	10,073
Deferred financing fees	12,070
Other assets	2,415
	-----
Total assets	\$ 72,196
	=====
Liabilities and Stockholders' Deficit	
Current Liabilities:	
Accounts payable	\$ 268,612
Notes payable to stockholders	1,024,490
Current portion of convertible debt	137,500
Deferred wages	591,442
Accrued professional fees	162,150
Accrued interest	89,719
Other accrued expenses	14,344
	-----
Total current liabilities	2,288,257
Long-term convertible debt, net of unamortized discount of \$265,469 at March 31, 2005	31
Stockholders' deficit:	
Common stock, \$0.001 par value. Authorized 25,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 5,795,705 shares at March 31, 2005	5,796
Additional paid-in capital	9,180,062
Accumulated deficit	(11,401,950)
	-----
Total stockholders' deficit	(2,216,092)
Commitments, contingencies and subsequent events	
	-----
Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit	\$ 72,196
	=====

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements.

PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
Condensed Statements of Operations  
(unaudited)

	For the three months ended, March 31,		For the nine Mar
	2005	2004	2005
	-----	-----	-----
Revenue	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --

Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

Cost of revenues	--	7,667	--
	-----	-----	-----
Gross profit	--	(7,667)	--
	-----	-----	-----
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	207,926	40,679	245,455
General and administrative	169,633	212,958	540,310
	-----	-----	-----
Total operating expenses	377,559	253,637	785,765
	-----	-----	-----
Loss from operations	(377,559)	(261,304)	(785,765)
Interest expense	19,113	13,851	158,062
Other income	--	--	--
	-----	-----	-----
Net loss	\$ (396,672)	\$ (275,155)	\$ (943,827)
	=====	=====	=====
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.17)
Weighted average shares outstanding used to calculate basic and diluted net loss per share	5,795,705	5,461,661	5,657,508

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements.

4

PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
Condensed Statements of Cash Flows  
(unaudited)

		For the n M 2005 -----
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss		\$ (943,827)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization		5,883
Accreted discount on convertible debt		3
Amortization of convertible debt discount included in interest expense		100,523
Amortization of debt issuance costs		4,533
Equity issued for services		252,500
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Contract work-in progress		--
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		(4,683)
Accounts payable		10,423
Deferred wages		208,113
Accrued professional fees		18,000
Accrued interest		31,473
Other accrued expenses		(2,823)
		-----

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

Net cash used in operating activities	(319,86
Cash flows from investing activities:	
Purchases of equipment	--
	-----
Net cash used in investing activities	--
Cash flows from financing activities:	
Allocated proceeds from issuance of convertible debt	56,02
Allocated proceeds from issuance of warrants attached to convertible debt	101,97
Proceeds from issuance of notes payable to stockholders	125,73
	-----
Net cash provided by financing activities	283,73
	-----
Increase (decrease) in cash	(36,13
Cash at beginning of period	59,81
	-----
Cash at end of period	\$ 23,67
	=====
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:	
Debt discount recorded for beneficial conversion feature	\$ 56,02
Cash paid for interest	\$ 16,75
Convertible debt settled by issuing 201,044 shares of common stock during the nine months ended March 31, 2005 (no shares issued during the nine months ended March 31, 2004)	\$ 100,52

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements.

5

PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES, INC  
March 31, 2005

Notes to Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited)

1. Description of Business

Profile Technologies, Inc. (the "Company"), was incorporated in 1986 and commenced operations in fiscal year 1988. The Company is in the business of researching and developing a high speed scanning process, which is nondestructive and noninvasive, to test remotely buried, encased and insulated pipelines for corrosion, utilizing electromagnetic waves.

2. Basis of Presentation

The unaudited interim condensed financial statements and related notes of the Company have been prepared pursuant to the instructions to Form 10-QSB. Accordingly, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been omitted pursuant to such instructions. The preparation of financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses. On an on-going basis, the Company evaluates

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

its estimates, including contract revenue recognition and impairment of long-lived assets. Actual results and outcomes may differ materially from these estimates and assumptions.

The condensed financial statements and related notes should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended June 30, 2004. The information furnished reflects, in the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of only normal recurring items, necessary for fair presentation of the results of the interim periods presented. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

### 3. Significant Accounting Policies

#### Contract Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue from service contracts using the percentage of completion method of accounting. Contract revenues earned are measured using either the percentage of contract costs incurred to date to total estimated contract costs or, when the contract is based on measurable units of completion, revenue is based on the completion of such units. This method is used because management considers total cost or measurable units of completion to be the best available measure of progress on contracts. Because of the inherent uncertainties in estimating costs, it is at least reasonably possible that the estimates used may change in the near term.

Anticipated losses on contracts, if any, are charged to earnings as soon as such losses can be estimated. Changes in estimated profits on contracts are recognized during the period in which the change in estimate is known.

Cost of revenues include contract costs incurred to date as well as any idle time incurred by personnel scheduled to work on customer contracts.

The Company records claims for additional compensation on contracts upon revision of the contract to include the amount to be received for the additional work performed. Contract costs include all direct material and labor costs and those indirect costs related to contract performance, such as indirect labor, supplies, tools and repairs, and depreciation costs. Selling, general, and administrative costs are charged to expense as incurred. Service contracts generally extend no more than six months.

#### Research and Development

Research and development costs are expensed when incurred.

6

#### Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of

The Company reviews long-lived assets, such as equipment and purchased intangibles subject to amortization, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized by the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset.

#### Valuation of Warrants and Options

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

The Company estimates the value of warrants and option grants using a Black-Scholes pricing model based on management assumptions regarding the warrant and option lives, expected volatility, and risk free interest rates.

### 4. Stock Based Compensation

The Company has elected to follow the measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations in accounting for its employee stock options rather than the alternative fair value accounting provided for by Statements of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (SFAS No. 123), Accounting for Stock Based Compensation. Compensation cost for stock options issued to employees is measured as the excess, if any, of the fair market price of the Company's stock at the date of grant over the amount an employee must pay to acquire the stock. The fair value of the stock options were determined based on an option pricing model with the following assumptions: expected option lives of two to five years, risk free interest rates ranging from 3.26% to 3.41%, volatility of 120% to 165%, and a zero dividend yield. Had compensation cost for the Company's option awards been determined consistent with SFAS No. 123, the Company's net loss would have been increased to the proforma amounts indicated below:

	Three months ended March 31,		Nine months March
	2005	2004	2005
Net loss:			
As reported	\$ (396,672)	\$ (275,155)	\$ (943,827)
Plus: stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net loss	--	--	--
Less: stock based compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all employee rewards	(1,255,965)	--	(1,255,965)
Net loss	\$ (1,652,637)	\$ (275,155)	\$ (2,199,792)
Net loss per share			
Basic and diluted - as reported	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.17)
Basic and diluted - pro forma	\$ (0.29)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.39)

### 5. Net Loss Per Share

Basic loss per share is computed by dividing the net loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted loss per share is computed by dividing the net loss by the weighted average number of common and dilutive common equivalent shares outstanding during the period. As the Company had a net loss attributable to common shareholders in each of the periods presented, basic and diluted net loss per share are the same.

Excluded from the computation of diluted loss per share for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2005, because their effect would be antidilutive, are options and warrants to acquire 5,664,818 shares of common stock with a weighted-average exercise price of \$1.44 per share. Also excluded from the computation of diluted loss per share for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2005 are 806,000 shares of common stock that may be issued if investors

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

exercise their conversion right under the Debentures related to the 2003 Offering as discussed in footnote 7 because their effect would be antidilutive.

7

Excluded from the computation of diluted loss per share for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2004, because their effect would be antidilutive, are options and warrants to acquire 3,138,818 shares of common stock with a weighted-average exercise price of \$1.69 per share. Also excluded from the computation of diluted loss per share for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2004 are 275,000 shares of common stock that may be issued if investors exercise their conversion right under the Debentures related to the 2003 Offering as discussed in footnote 7 because their effect would be antidilutive.

For the three and nine months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, additional potential dilutive securities that were excluded from the diluted loss per share computation are the exchange rights discussed in footnote 7 that could result in options to acquire up to 223,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share at March 31, 2005 and 2004.

### 6. Notes Payable - Stockholders

In April 2002, the Company issued a non-interest bearing bridge note payable to an officer of the Company in the amount of \$7,500. The note is payable in full when the Company determines it has sufficient working capital to do so. On September 29, 2002, the officer who was owed the \$7,500 died.

The Company has entered into various loan agreements with Murphy Evans, President, a director and stockholder of the Company. On March 6, 2003, the Company's Board of Directors approved the Loan Amendment and Promissory Note (the "Amended Evans Loan") between the Company and Murphy Evans. The Amended Evans Loan aggregates all previous debt and supercedes and replaces all of the terms of the previous loans with Mr. Evans, including any conversion features. The Amended Evans Loan bears interest on the aggregate principal balance at a rate of 5% per annum, payable on June 30 and December 31 of each year, with the principal balance due and payable in full on December 31, 2003. The Amended Evans Loan is exempt from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

Accrued interest and the outstanding principal balance of the Amended Evans Loan were \$84,751 and \$966,990, respectively as of March 31, 2005. Due to insufficient funds, the Company has not made the interest payments due on the Amended Evans Loan on June 30, 2003, December 31, 2003, June 30, 2004, and December 31, 2004 and did not repay the outstanding principal balance. Corresponding interest expense related to the Amended Evans Loan was \$12,059 and \$33,955 for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2005, respectively. Interest expense related to the Amended Evans Loan was \$9,629 and \$27,321 for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2004, respectively. All advances from Mr. Evans are convertible into any debt or equity offerings made by the Company. Mr. Evans has not made any demand for payment, or exercised any of his remedies, under the Amended Evans Loan.

On May 9, 2002, the Company cancelled 150,000 warrants held by Mr. Evans with exercise prices ranging from \$3.00 per share to \$7.50 per share issued under the terms of a previous loan with Mr. Evans ("Old Warrants"), and issued to Mr. Evans 150,000 five-year warrants with an exercise price of \$1.05 per share, which expire on May 13, 2007.

The cancellation of the Old Warrants is an effective re-pricing and will be accounted for as a "variable plan" until such time as the warrants are exercised, expire or are forfeited. Variable plan accounting will result in intrinsic value associated with the warrants being adjusted to compensation



## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

expense based on each reporting period's ending stock value. As of March 31, 2005, no intrinsic value had been recorded related to these warrants as the stock price was below the exercise price.

In September 2002, the Company entered into two non-interest bearing bridge loans in the respective principal amounts of \$40,000 and \$10,000 (the "Stockholder Loans") payable to two stockholders of the Company. The terms of the Stockholder Loans provide for payment at such time as the Company determines

8

it has sufficient working capital to repay the principal balances of the Stockholder Loans. The Stockholder Loans are convertible into 57,142 and 14,286 equity units, respectively, at any time prior to re-payment. Each equity unit is comprised of one share of the Company's common stock, with a detachable 5-year warrant to purchase one additional share at an exercise price of \$1.05 per share. Neither stockholder has converted either Stockholder Loan into equity units.

On June 19, 2003, the Board of Directors approved a promissory note (the "2003 Gemino Note") in the principal amount of \$34,047 payable to Henry E. Gemino, the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and a director and stockholder of the Company. The 2003 Gemino Note bears interest at the rate of 5% per annum, payable on June 30 and December 31 of each year. During the quarter ended March 31, 2005, the Company repaid the outstanding principal balance and accrued interest to Mr. Gemino. Interest expense related to the 2003 Gemino Note was \$32 and \$892 for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2005, respectively. Interest expense related to the 2003 Gemino Note was \$734 and \$2,068 for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2004, respectively.

The following is a summary of notes payable to stockholders as of March 31, 2005.

Amended Evans Loan	\$ 966,990
2003 Gemino Note	--
Deceased Officer Note	7,500
Stockholder Loans	50,000
	-----
Total	\$1,024,490
	=====

### 7. Liquidity and Subsequent Events

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. The Company incurred cumulative losses of \$11,401,950 through March 31, 2005 and had negative working capital of \$2,240,619 as of March 31, 2005. Additionally, the Company has expended a significant amount of cash in developing its technology and patented processes. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management recognizes that in order to meet the Company's capital requirements, and continue to operate, additional financing, including seeking industry-partner investment through joint ventures or other possible arrangements, will be necessary. The Company is evaluating alternative sources of financing to improve its cash position and is undertaking efforts to raise capital. If the Company is unable to raise additional capital or secure additional revenue contracts and generate positive cash flow, it is unlikely that the Company will be able to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

### Deferred Wages and Accrued Professional Fees

To reduce cash outflows, certain of the Company's employees, officers, consultants, and directors have agreed to defer a portion of their salaries and professional fees until the Company has sufficient resources to pay the amounts owed or to exchange such amounts into options as described below. At March 31, 2005, the Company has accrued \$753,592 related to the deferred payment of salaries and professional fees of which \$591,442 is included under deferred wages and \$162,150 in accrued professional fees. On March 18, 2002, the Board approved a conversion right on all deferred wages and accrued professional fees deferred as of March 18, 2002. Pursuant to this conversion right, employees, officers, consultants, and directors may elect to convert \$1.00 of fees owed to them as of March 18, 2002 for an option to purchase two shares of the Company's common stock, at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share for a term of five years. Deferred salaries and fees as of March 18, 2002 were \$111,500, resulting in the potential issuance of 223,000 options under the terms mentioned above. No conversions have occurred to date. As there was no intrinsic value associated with these exchange rights, no additional compensation cost has been recorded.

9

### Long-Term Convertible Debt

On June 19, 2003, the Board of Directors approved the offering (the "2003 Offering") of \$1,000,000 in convertible debentures (the "Debentures"). The Debentures are convertible into that number of shares of the Company's common stock equal to the amount of the converted indebtedness divided by \$0.50 per share. The Debentures bear interest at a rate of 5% per annum, payable quarterly. Delinquent interest payments bear interest at a rate of 12% per annum. The Company is required to redeem each Debenture on the 5th anniversary of the date of the Debenture. The Company may, in its discretion, redeem any Debenture at any time prior to the mandatory redemption date of the Debenture by providing no less than 60 days' prior written notice to the holder of the Debenture. Certain events of default will result in the Debentures being redeemable by the Company upon demand of the holder.

Upon the purchase of, and for each \$0.50 of the Debenture's principal amount, the Company issued to each investor a warrant (the "Warrant") to purchase one (1) share of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$0.75 per share. The Warrants are exercisable at any time prior to the 5th anniversary date of the redemption of the Debenture.

As of March 31, 2005, the Company had raised \$503,000 from the 2003 Offering.

Warrants issued in connection with the 2003 Offering were recorded based on their relative fair value as compared to the fair value of the debt at issuance. The relative fair value of the warrants were recorded as paid-in capital, estimated at \$287,846. The fair value of the warrants were determined based on an option pricing model with the following assumptions: warrant lives of 10 years, risk free interest rates ranging from 3.74% to 4.72%, volatility of 120%, and a zero dividend yield. The intrinsic value of the Debentures results in a beneficial conversion feature that reduces the book value of the convertible debt to not less than zero. Accordingly, the Company recorded a \$451,023 discount on the convertible debt issued under the 2003 Offering. The Company amortizes the discount using the effective interest method over the five-year life of the Debentures.

As of March 31, 2005, accrued interest on the Debentures was \$4,968. The Company recorded interest expense related to the accretion of the discount on the Debentures of \$17 and \$31 for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2005

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

and \$0 and \$1,278 for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2004. As of March 31, 2005 the carrying value of the long-term debt debenture was \$31, net of unamortized debt discount of \$265,469.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2005, the Board of Directors terminated the 2003 Offering.

### Common Stock

On January 19, 2005, the Board of Directors approved the offering (the "2005 Offering") of 2,000,000 units (the "Units") consisting of shares of common stock and attached warrants. The purchase price of one Unit is \$0.50. Each Unit consists of one share of common stock and a warrant to purchase one share of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.75. The warrants are exercisable at any time prior to the fifth anniversary from the date of grant.

As of March 31, 2005, the Company had not raised any proceeds from the 2005 Offering.

### Stock Options

On February 9, 2005, the Board of Directors approved an increase in the number of shares of common stock that may be issued under the Company's 1999 Stock Plan (the "Plan") from 500,000 to 2.5 million shares. On February 9, 2005, the Board also approved the issuance of options exercisable for 1,850,000 shares of common stock pursuant to the Plan to certain directors, officers, an employee and two consultants of the Company. The Company granted the options on February 16, 2005. Directors, officers, and an employee were granted options exercisable for 1,600,000 shares of common stock and have a ten-year term. Options exercisable for the remaining 250,000 shares of common stock were granted to two of the Company's consultants and have a five-year term. The exercise price of the options is \$1.16, calculated at ten percent over the closing bid price of the Company's common stock as quoted on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board on

10

the grant date, February 16, 2005. During the quarter ended March 31, 2005, the Company recorded \$217,500 in expense for the value of the 250,000 options granted to non-employees of which \$174,000 is included under research and development and \$43,500 in general and administrative in the condensed statements of operations. The options were valued using a Black-Scholes pricing model with the following assumptions: exercise price of \$1.16, expected volatility of 120%, risk free interest rate of 3.78%, expected lives of five years, and a 0% dividend yield.

On May 3, 2005, the Board of Directors approved the issuance of 50,000 restricted shares of common stock to a consultant of the Company as compensation for rendering services related to fund raising strategies and business and financial planning. Based on valuation methods, the Company estimates the value of these restricted shares to be \$35,000.

### 8. NASDAQ Delisting

In June 2001, the Company announced that it received a Nasdaq Staff Determination, indicating that the Company failed to comply with the minimum bid price and net tangible asset/shareholder equity requirements of the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules for continued listing set forth in Marketplace Rule 4310(c)(4), and that its securities were, therefore, subject to delisting from the Nasdaq SmallCap Market. On August 10, 2001, the Nasdaq Stock Market suspended trading in the Company's common stock. Effective August 13, 2001, the Company began trading on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board under the symbol

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

PRTK.OB.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

General

Profile Technologies, Inc. (the "Company"), a Delaware corporation, was incorporated in 1986 and commenced operations in fiscal year 1988. The Company is in the business of researching and developing a high speed scanning process, which is nondestructive and noninvasive, to test remotely buried, encased and insulated pipelines for corrosion, utilizing electromagnetic waves. The Company's electromagnetic wave ("EMW") inspection process is a patented process of analyzing the waveforms of electrical impulses in a way that extracts point-to-point information along a segment of pipeline to illustrate the integrity of the entire pipeline. This process involves sending electromagnetic pulses along the pipe being tested from either one (Single-Pulse) or two (Dual-Pulse) directions. In Dual-Pulse, the intersecting point between the two-pulser locations is moved down the pipe being tested by computerized delays of one pulse. In Dual-Pulse mode, one or more of the modified pulses is analyzed to determine whether an anomaly exists at the intersecting location and whether such anomaly is likely to be identified with corrosion or some other pipeline feature.

The EMW process is designed to detect external corrosion of pipelines which occurs under pipe insulation and on buried pipes, without the need for taking the lines out of service, physically removing the insulation or digging up pipes, and then visually inspecting the outside of the pipe for corrosion. The Company often can inspect the pipelines by using various access points to the pipelines that already exist for other reasons. Where such access is not already available, the Company's technology permits the inspection of pipelines with a minimal amount of disturbance to the coating or insulation on the pipeline. In addition, the Company's technology permits an inspection of the entire pipeline, as opposed to other technologies, which only conduct inspections at points selected for the testing. Such "spot inspections" are not necessarily accurate in indicating the overall condition of a pipe segment.

The most common forms of pipeline corrosion under insulation are localized corrosion of carbon steel and chloride stress corrosion cracking of stainless steel. Refineries, chemical plants, utilities, natural gas transmission companies and the petroleum industry have millions of miles of pipeline, and much of this pipeline is exposed to harsh and severe environments. As a result, there is an on-going effort by these industries to ensure that the quality of the pipe meets standards established by regulatory bodies and the industry to protect operating personnel and the environment.

During the summer of 1998, the Company completed its first commercial contract on the North Slope of Alaska, testing approximately 100 road and caribou crossings on British Petroleum pipelines under a contract with ASCG Inspection, Inc.

During the summer of 1999, the Company followed up its initial Alaska work under a contract with another large multi-national oil company to test approximately 250 below-grade pipes. During the summer of 2000, the Company expanded its Alaska efforts by testing a total of 372 below-grade pipes. In 2001, the Company tested 441 lines in Alaska. In 2002, the Company inspected 364 lines.

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

planned to inspect between 400 and 500 below-grade lines in Alaska during the 2003 calendar year. However, based on the Company's final work scopes, the Company successfully tested 250 below-grade pipes during the 2003 calendar year.

In 2003, the Company's Alaska customers completed a five-year program of inspecting road-crossings and caribou-crossings. This program was not budgeted for during 2004, although it may be restored in future years.

In anticipation of this possibility, the Company designed, fabricated, and has been testing new hardware for the inspection of direct-buried pipe in the lower-48 states. The new hardware has been designed with a view toward improving efficiency, ease of use, portability, accuracy of test data and customer acceptance.

More importantly, the new hardware provides a different pulse waveform specifically tailored to the buried pipe requirement. The improved waveform has increased low frequency energy content, which enables efficient wave propagation through sand, soil, and moist earth.

The new hardware was designed to be much smaller than the previous generation hardware used in Alaska. The entire test hardware package weighs less than 25 pounds including data acquisition digitizer and battery power supply. The new hardware can be hand-carried and operated by a single person and does not require the gasoline powered AC generator, utility trailer, and external computer data acquisition system which were necessary with the previous generation hardware. The portable system is designed to allow testing of both underground and above-grade pipelines with one test set.

The buried pipe inspection hardware is currently being tested at the Company's Ferndale, Washington pipe test facility. The new hardware has demonstrated good results in initial testing. Proper pulse waveforms have been transmitted through several hundred feet of pipe buried in moist earth. The hardware is now being optimized and evaluated for ability to detect various types of anomalies. This work is currently the focus of the research effort at Ferndale. When this work is successfully completed, the improved highly portable system will increase field productivity, reduce operator training time, and significantly reduce the cost of field operations. The new system will also be compatible with production in quantity and operation with minimal training, enabling licensing of the technology to the industry.

Although several important milestones have been achieved in the fabrication and testing of this new hardware, there can be no assurance that the remaining portion of the testing program can be funded or that the new hardware can be successfully tested and deployed on a commercial basis. Failure to do so could have a serious and material effect on the business and financial condition of the Company.

On December 15, 2003, the U.S Department of Transportation ("DOT") issued regulations under the Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002 requiring regulated companies to gather baseline integrity data on pipelines in so-called "high consequence areas" ("HCA's") (e.g., populated areas) initially over a ten-year period and then every seven years thereafter. Based on consultations with industry representatives, the Company believes that its new buried pipe inspection hardware will provide such regulated companies with a superior tool for gathering required baseline integrity data.

Pending the deployment of its new hardware and the receipt of new contracts, and in an effort to reduce its out-of-pocket expenses to the lowest practicable level, the Company has furloughed all of its field crews. If and when commercial contracts are obtained, the Company may re-hire former crew personnel or may hire and train new crews.

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

### Revenues

The Company derives revenue solely from the sale of the EMW inspection technology service. The Company relies upon several employees, including its Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Operating Officer for the Company's sales functions.

12

The Company did not have revenues during the three and nine months ended March 31, 2005 and the three months ended March 31, 2004. During the nine months ended March 31, 2004, all of the Company's revenues were attributable to two customers. These customers individually accounted for 9% and 91% of revenues for the nine months ended March 31, 2004.

### Sales and Marketing

The Company's sales and marketing strategy has been to position the Company's EMW inspection process as the method of choice to detect pipeline corrosion where the pipelines are either inaccessible to other inspection tools or much more costly to inspect with tools other than the Company's EMW technology. Pipelines are commonly found in refinery and chemical plants (such as insulated, overhead pipes), natural gas distribution systems (such as pipes buried in city streets), and natural gas transmission systems (such as road, bridge and stream crossings and concrete-encased pipes).

As described above, the Company has fabricated new buried pipe inspection hardware and is actively seeking industry and other financing sources in order to rigorously and scientifically test that hardware. In order to obtain additional revenue generating contracts, the Company intends to emphasize the reliability of its buried pipeline testing method, the flexibility of the method's application, and its cost effectiveness as compared to other methods. The Company intends to concentrate its calendar year 2005 marketing efforts on the pipeline and utility buried pipe inspection markets in the lower-48 states, particularly in "high consequence areas" as defined in the federal Department of Transportation's regulations ("HCA's"). However, there can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in concentrating its marketing efforts for the EMW technology on natural gas utility and pipeline markets.

### Results of Operations

The Company's operating results depend exclusively on its ability to market its EMW inspection technology services. If the Company is not able to automate completely the EMW inspection process and fully implement its new technology, the Company may not be able to obtain future contracts to sell or license its EMW technology. Since the Company's revenues are derived solely from sales of its EMW technology, any failure to obtain future contracts will have a material adverse effect on the business and financial condition of the Company.

Revenues for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2005 were \$0, as compared to \$0 and \$222,579 for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2004. The Company did not generate any revenues during the three and nine months ended March 31, 2005 or the three months ended March 31, 2004, as the Company was engaged solely in the redevelopment and improvement of its testing hardware and software. During calendar year 2003, the Company's Alaska customers completed a five-year program of inspecting road-crossings and caribou crossings. Upon completion of this initial program, the inspections were not budgeted for. Revenues generated during the nine months ended March 31, 2004 were derived from the work performed on the North Slope of Alaska under the initial program.

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

Cost of revenues for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2005 were \$0, as compared to \$7,667 and \$172,171 for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2004. During the three and nine months ended March 31, 2005 and the three months ended March 31, 2004, the Company did not have any employees working in the field because the Company did not have any revenue generating contracts during these periods. During the quarter ended June 30, 2004, the Company recorded an impairment charge to write-down all of the Company's field equipment to \$0. Depreciation expense related to equipment used in the field was previously reported as cost of revenues. Cost of revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2004 consists solely of depreciation expense and equipment rental expense related to the field equipment. Cost of revenues for the nine months ended March 31, 2004 includes costs of field equipment and salaries related to the employees working in the field prior to the termination of the Alaska contracts.

The Company did not have a gross profit or loss for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2005. The Company had a gross loss of \$7,667 for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and generated a gross profit of \$50,408 for the nine months ended March 31, 2004. The decrease in gross profit is due to the completion of the inspection program on the North Slope of Alaska during calendar year 2003.

13

Research and development expenses for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2005 were \$207,926 and \$245,455 as compared to \$40,679 and \$108,545 for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2004. The increase of \$167,247 for the three months ended March 31, 2005 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2004 is substantially the result of recording \$174,000 for the fair value of 200,000 options granted to a consultant during the quarter ended March 31, 2005. The increase of \$136,910 for the nine months ended March 31, 2005 as compared to the nine months ended March 31, 2004 is the result of recording \$174,000 for the fair value of the 200,000 options granted to a consultant during the quarter ended March 31, 2005, offset by a decrease in salary and other payroll related expenditures. The Company furloughed several key employees during the quarter ended March 31, 2004 who were previously spending time on research and development activities and revenue generating contracts. Payroll expenses related to these employees were previously classified as research and development expenses and cost of revenues.

General and administrative expenses for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2005 were \$169,633 and \$540,310, as compared to \$212,958 and \$657,500 for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2004. The decrease of \$43,325 for the three months ended March 31, 2005 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2004 is the result of a general reduction of operating expenditures as the Company focuses on reducing its overall burn rate, offset by a non-cash expense of \$43,500 for the recording of the fair value of 50,000 options granted to a consultant during the quarter ended March 31, 2005. Compensation and benefits expense decreased by approximately \$42,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2005 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2004 as a result of the Company furloughing certain employees during the quarter ended March 31, 2004. Amortization decreased by approximately \$8,700 for the three months ended March 31, 2005 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2004 as a result of patents being fully amortized during the quarter ended June 30, 2004. Additionally, there were significant decreases in professional fees of approximately \$18,500 and insurance premiums of approximately \$8,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2005 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2004.

The decrease in general and administrative expenses of \$117,190 for the nine months ended March 31, 2005 as compared to the nine months ended March 31,

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

2004 is primarily the result of a general reduction of operating expenditures as the Company focuses on reducing its overall burn rate. Compensation and benefits expense decreased as a result of the furlough of certain employees during the quarter ended March 31, 2004. Compensation and benefits expense decreased by approximately \$110,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2005 as compared to the nine months ended March 31, 2004. Amortization decreased by approximately \$30,000 as a result of patents being fully amortized during the quarter ended June 30, 2004. The decrease in compensation and benefits expense is offset by increases in depreciation expense of approximately \$6,000 and professional fees of approximately \$24,500. During the nine months ended March 31, 2004, depreciation expense was included in research and development and cost of revenues as the equipment was primarily being utilized for these activities. During the quarter ended June 30, 2004, the Company recorded an impairment charge to write-down all of the equipment utilized for field work and research and development activities. Subsequent to the impairment write-down, the remaining depreciable equipment is all utilized for general and administrative functions.

Loss from operations for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2005 was \$377,559 and \$785,765, as compared to \$261,304 and \$715,637 for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2004. In general, loss from operations increased for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2005 as compared to the three and nine months ended March 31, 2004 as a result of the \$217,500 non-cash expense recorded for the fair value of the 250,000 options granted to non-employees during the three months ended March 31, 2005, offset by reductions in compensation and benefit related expenses, depreciation and amortization and other operating expenses as discussed above.

Interest expense for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2005 was \$19,113 and \$158,062, as compared to \$13,851 and \$37,304 for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2004. The increase of \$5,262 for the three months ended March 31, 2005 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2004 is a result of a larger aggregate principal balance outstanding on the Convertible Debentures and the notes payable to stockholders during the three months ended March 31, 2005 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2004.

14

The increase in interest expense of \$120,758 for the nine months ended March 31, 2005 as compared to the nine months ended March 31, 2004 is predominantly the result of five investors who exercised their conversion right under the terms of the Convertible Debentures during the nine months ended March 31, 2005. The convertible debt includes a beneficial conversion feature. As such, the Company recorded interest expense of approximately \$101,500 during the nine months ended March 31, 2005 to expense the unamortized debt discount remaining at the date of conversion. As a result of a larger aggregate principal balance outstanding on the Convertible Debentures during the nine months ended March 31, 2005 as compared to the nine months ended March 31, 2004, the Company recorded approximately \$12,300 more in interest expense related to the Convertible Debentures during the nine months ended March 31, 2005 than during the same period in 2004. The Company also recorded approximately \$5,500 more interest expense on the notes payable to stockholders during the nine months ended March 31, 2005 than during the same period in 2004 as a result of an increase in the outstanding principal balance.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. The Company incurred cumulative losses of \$11,401,950 through March 31, 2005, and had negative working capital of \$2,240,619 as of March 31, 2005. Additionally, the Company has expended a



## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

significant amount of cash in developing its technology and patented processes. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management recognizes that in order to meet the Company's capital requirements, and continue to operate, additional financing, including seeking industry-partner investment through joint ventures or other possible arrangements, will be necessary. The Company is evaluating alternative sources of financing to improve its cash position and is undertaking efforts to raise capital. If the Company is unable to raise additional capital or secure additional revenue contracts and generate positive cash flow, it is unlikely that the Company will be able to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

### Deferred Wages and Accrued Professional Fees

To reduce cash outflows, certain of the Company's employees, officers, consultants, and directors have agreed to defer a portion of their salaries and professional fees until the Company has sufficient resources to pay the amounts owed or to exchange such amounts into options as described below. At March 31, 2005, the Company has accrued approximately \$753,592 related to the deferred payment of salaries and professional fees of which \$591,442 is included under deferred wages and \$162,150 in accrued professional fees. On March 18, 2002, the Board approved a conversion right on all deferred wages and accrued professional fees deferred as of March 18, 2002. Pursuant to this conversion right, employees, officers, consultants, and directors may elect to convert \$1.00 of fees owed to them as of March 18, 2002 for an option to purchase two shares of the Company's common stock, at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share for a term of five years. Deferred salaries and fees as of March 18, 2002 were \$111,500, resulting in the potential issuance of 223,000 options under the terms mentioned above. No conversions have occurred to date. As there was no intrinsic value associated with these exchange rights, no additional compensation cost has been recorded.

### Long-Term Convertible Debt

On June 19, 2003, the Board of Directors approved the offering (the "2003 Offering") of \$1,000,000 in convertible debentures (the "Debentures"). The Debentures are convertible into that number of shares of the Company's common stock equal to the amount of the converted indebtedness divided by \$0.50 per share. The Debentures bear interest at a rate of 5% per annum, payable quarterly. Delinquent interest payments bear interest at a rate of 12% per annum. The Company is required to redeem each Debenture on the 5th anniversary of the date of the Debenture. The Company may, in its discretion, redeem any Debenture at any time prior to the mandatory redemption date of the Debenture by providing no less than 60 days' prior written notice to the holder of the Debenture. Certain events of default will result in the Debentures being redeemable by the Company upon demand of the holder.

Upon the purchase of, and for each \$0.50 of the Debenture's principal amount, the Company will issue to an investor a warrant (the "Warrant") to purchase one (1) share of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$0.75 per share. The Warrants will be exercisable at any time prior to the 5th anniversary date of the redemption of the Debenture.

15

As of March 31, 2005, the Company had raised \$503,000 from the 2003 Offering.

Warrants issued in connection with the 2003 Offering were recorded based on their relative fair value as compared to the fair value of the debt at issuance.

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

The relative fair value of the warrants were recorded as paid-in capital, estimated at \$287,846. The fair value of the warrants were determined based on an option pricing model with the following assumptions: warrant lives of 10 years, risk free interest rates ranging from 3.74% to 4.72%, volatility of 120%, and a zero dividend yield. The intrinsic value of the Debentures results in a beneficial conversion feature that reduces the book value of the convertible debt to not less than zero. Accordingly, the Company recorded a \$451,023 discount on the convertible debt issued under the 2003 Offering. The Company amortizes the discount using the effective interest method over the five-year life of the Debentures.

As of March 31, 2005, accrued interest on the Debentures was \$4,968. The Company recorded interest expense related to the accretion of the discount on the Debentures of \$17 and \$31 for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2005 and \$0 and \$1,278 for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2004. As of March 31, 2005 the carrying value of the long-term debt debenture was \$31, net of unamortized debt discount of \$265,469.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2005, the Board of Directors terminated the 2003 Offering.

### Common Stock

On January 19, 2005, the Board of Directors approved the offering (the "2005 Offering") of 2,000,000 Units (the "Units") consisting of shares of common stock and attached warrants. The purchase price of one Unit is \$0.50. Each Unit consists of one share of common stock and a warrant to purchase one share of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.75. The warrants are exercisable at any time prior to the fifth anniversary from the date of grant.

As of March 31, 2005, the Company had not raised any proceeds from the 2005 Offering.

### Stock Options

On February 9, 2005, the Board of Directors approved an increase in the number of shares of common stock that may be issued under the Company's 1999 Stock Plan (the "Plan") from 500,000 to 2.5 million shares. On February 9, 2005, the Board also approved the issuance of options exercisable for 1,850,000 shares of common stock pursuant to the Plan to certain directors, officers, an employee and two consultants of the Company. The Company granted the options on February 16, 2005. Directors, officers, and an employee were granted options exercisable for 1,600,000 shares of common stock and have a ten-year term. Options exercisable for the remaining 250,000 shares of common stock were granted to two of the Company's consultants and have a five-year term. The exercise price of the options is \$1.16, ten percent over the closing bid price of the Company's common stock as quoted on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board on the grant date, February 16, 2005. During the quarter ended March 31, 2005, The Company recorded \$217,500 in expense for the value of the 250,000 options granted to non-employees of which \$174,000 is included under research and development and \$43,500 in general and administrative in the condensed statements of operations. The options were valued using a Black-Scholes pricing model with the following assumptions: exercise price of \$1.16, expected volatility of 120%, risk free interest rate of 3.78%, expected lives of five years, and a 0% dividend yield.

The Company's other contractual obligations consist of commitments under an operating lease and repayment of loans payable to certain officers, directors and stockholders.

As of to March 31, 2005, the Company had outstanding loans payable to certain officers, directors and stockholders with principal amounts, in the aggregate, equal to \$1,024,490. The terms of the various notes are described

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

above under "Note 6: Notes Payable - Stockholders."

16

As of to March 31, 2005, the Company has future minimum lease payments of approximately \$6,077.91 under its operating lease.

Capital will be expended to support operations until the Company can generate sufficient cash flows from operations. In order for the Company to generate cash flows from operations, the Company must generate additional revenue generating contracts. Management is currently directing the Company's activities towards obtaining additional service contracts, which, if obtained, will necessitate the Company attracting, hiring, training and outfitting qualified technicians. If additional service contracts are obtained, it will also necessitate additional field test equipment purchases in order to provide the services. The Company's intention is to purchase such equipment for its field crews for the foreseeable future, until such time as the scope of operations may require alternate sources of financing equipment. The Company expects that if additional contracts are secured, and revenues increase, working capital requirements will increase. There can be no assurance that the Company's process will gain widespread commercial acceptance within any particular time frame, or at all. The Company will incur additional expenses as it hires and trains field crews and support personnel related to the successful receipt of commercial contracts. Additionally, the Company anticipates that cash will be used to meet capital expenditure requirements necessary to develop infrastructure to support future growth. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to secure additional revenue generating contracts to provide sufficient cash.

Pending the deployment of the Company's new hardware (as discussed in the "General" section) and the receipt of new contracts, and in an effort to reduce its out-of-pocket expenses to the lowest practicable level, the Company has furloughed all of its field crews. If and when revenue-generating contracts are obtained, the Company will re-hire former crew personnel or may hire and train new crews. The Company was not obligated to make any severance payments for salaries, health benefits or accrued vacation and sick time related to the termination of any of its employees.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

None

### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB contains "forward-looking statements." These forward-looking statements can generally be identified as such because the context of the statement will include words such as the Company "believes," "anticipates," "expects" or words of similar import. Similarly, statements that describe the Company's projected future results, future plans, objectives or goals or future conditions or events are also forward looking statements. Actual results are inherently difficult to predict. Any such forward-looking statements are subject to the risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results of operations, financial condition, acquisitions, financing transactions, operations, expenditures, expansion and other events to differ materially from those expressed or implied in such forward-looking statements. Any such forward-looking statements would be subject to a number of assumptions regarding, among other things, future economic, competitive and market conditions generally. Such assumptions would be based on facts and conditions as they exist at the time such statements are made as well as predictions as to future facts and conditions, the accurate prediction of which may be difficult and involve the assessment of events beyond the Company's control.

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

The forward-looking statements contained in this report are based on current expectations that involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Such forward-looking statements are based on assumptions that the Company will obtain or have access to adequate financing to continue its operations, that the Company will market and provide products and services on a timely basis, that there will be no material adverse competitive or technological change with respect to the Company's business, demand for the Company's products and services will significantly increase, that the Company will be able to secure additional fee-for-services or licensing contracts, that the Company's executive officers will remain employed as such by the Company, that the Company's forecast accurately anticipate market demand, and that there will be no material adverse change in the Company's operations, business or governmental regulation affecting the Company or its customers. The foregoing assumptions are based on judgments with respect to, among other things, future economic, competitive and market conditions and future business decisions, all of which are difficult or impossible to predict accurately and many of which are beyond the Company's control. Although the Company believes the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, the Company cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements.

17

### Item 3. Controls and Procedures

#### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

##### (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The term "disclosure controls and procedures" is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). This term refers to the controls and procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the required time periods. Our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. They have concluded that, as of that date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at ensuring that required information will be disclosed on a timely basis in our reports filed under the Exchange Act.

##### (b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II -- OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

None.

### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

On February 9, 2005, the Board approved the issuance of options exercisable for 1,850,000 shares of common stock pursuant to the 1999 Stock Option Plan to

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

certain directors, officers, an employee and two consultants of the Company. The Company granted the options on February 16, 2005. Directors, officers, and an employee were granted options exercisable for 1,600,000 shares of common stock and have a ten-year term. Options exercisable for the remaining 250,000 shares of common stock were granted to two of the Company's consultants and have a five-year term. The exercise price of the options are \$1.16, ten percent over the closing bid price of the Company's common stock as quoted on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board on the grant date, February 16, 2005. These securities were issued in reliance on exemptions from registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On May 3, 2005, the Board of Directors approved the issuance of 50,000 restricted shares of common stock to a consultant of the Company as compensation for rendering services related to fund raising strategies and business and financial planning. These securities were issued in reliance on exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

### Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

As of to March 31, 2005, the outstanding principal balance of the Amended Evans Loan (see "Note 6. Notes Payable - Stockholders" in the "Notes to Condensed Financial Statements") was equal to \$966,990. The Company has not made the interest payments in the amounts of \$13,061, \$17,692, \$20,043, and \$21,896, which were due and payable to Mr. Evans on June 30, 2003, December 31, 2003, June 30, 2004, and December 31, 2004, respectively. As of to March 31, 2005, the Company's total arrearage under the Amended Evans Loan with respect to accrued interest payments was equal to \$84,751. Mr. Evans has not made any demand for payment, or exercised any of his remedies, under the Amended Evans Loan.

18

### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Shareholders.

None.

### Item 5. Other Information.

None.

### Item 6. Exhibits.

The following exhibits are filed with or incorporated by reference into this report as required by Item 601 of Regulation S-B:

Exhibit No. -----	Description of Exhibit -----
Exhibit 3.i	Articles of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed with the Commission on May 10, 1996).
Exhibit 3.ii	Bylaws of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed with the Commission on May 10, 1996).
Exhibit 3.iii	Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the Commission on October 28, 2002).
Exhibit 10.1	Service Agreement dated as of August 16, 2001 between

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

- Profile Technologies, Inc. and BP Exploration (Alaska) Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Commission on September 28, 2001).
- Exhibit 10.2 Loan Agreement dated March 6, 2003, by and between the Company and Murphy Evans (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB filed with the Commission on May 15, 2003).
- Exhibit 10.3 Loan Amendment and Promissory Note dated March 6, 2003, by and between the Company and Murphy Evans (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB filed with the Commission on May 20, 2003).
- Exhibit 10.4 Lease Agreement dated January 26, 2001 by and between the Company and Fatum LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Commission on October 12, 2004).
- Exhibit 10.5 Lease Extension dated February 26, 2003 by and between the Company and Fatum LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Commission on October 12, 2004).
- Exhibit 10.6 Royalty Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed with the Commission on May 10, 1996).
- 19
- Exhibit 10.7 Assignment of Patent Rights (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed with the Commission on May 10, 1996).
- Exhibit 10.8 ConocoPhillips Alaska, Inc., Contract No. AK 990156, Amendment No. 3 dated February 1, 2003, by and between the Company and ConocoPhillips Alaska, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB filed with the Commission on May 20, 2003).
- Exhibit 10.9 1999 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Commission on October 12, 2004).
- Exhibit 14 Code of Ethics (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Commission on October 12, 2004).
- Exhibit 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Henry E. Gemino, as Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company.
- Exhibit 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Philip L. Jones, as Chief Operating Officer and Executive Vice President of the Company.
- Exhibit 32.1 Certification under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley

Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

Act of 2002 by Henry E. Gemino, as Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company.

Exhibit 32.2

Certification under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Philip L. Jones, as Chief Operating Officer and Executive Vice President of the Company.

20

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

-----  
(Registrant)

Date: May 13, 2005

/s/ Henry E. Gemino

-----  
Henry E. Gemino  
Chief Executive Officer and  
Chief Financial Officer

21