

MAGELLAN GOLD Corp
Form 10-K
March 18, 2016

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 333-174287

MAGELLAN GOLD CORPORATION

(Name of Registrant in its Charter)

Nevada
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

27-3566922
I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number

2010A Harbison Drive # 312, Vacaville, CA 95687
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (707) 884-3766

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act: None

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act

Yes [] No []

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes [] No []

Note – Checking the box above will not relieve any registrant required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act from their obligations under those Sections.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of

Edgar Filing: MAGELLAN GOLD Corp - Form 10-K

Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

The aggregate market value of the 3,169,448 shares of voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the Company calculated by taking the last sales price of the Company's common stock of \$0.042 on June 30, 2015 was \$133,116.81.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, as of March 14, 2016 is 48,869,091.

List hereunder the following documents if incorporated by reference and the Part of the Form 10-K (*e.g.*, Part I, Part II, etc.) into which the document is incorporated: (1) Any annual report to security holders; (2) Any proxy or information statement; and (3) Any prospectus filed pursuant to Rule 424(b) or (c) under the Securities Act of 1933. The listed documents should be clearly described for identification purposes:

None.

Forward-looking Statements

In General

This report contains statements that plan for or anticipate the future. In this report, forward-looking statements are generally identified by the words "anticipate," "plan," "believe," "expect," "estimate," and the like.

With respect to our mineral exploration business, these forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements regarding the following:

- * the risk factors set forth below under "Risk Factors";
- * risks and hazards inherent in the mining business (including environmental hazards, industrial accidents, weather or geologically related conditions);
- * uncertainties inherent in our exploratory and developmental activities, including risks relating to permitting and regulatory delays;
- * our future business plans and strategies;
- * our ability to commercially develop our mining interests.;
- * changes that could result from our future acquisition of new mining properties or businesses;
- * expectations regarding competition from other companies;
- * effects of environmental and other governmental regulations;
- * the worldwide economic downturn and difficult conditions in the global capital and credit markets; and
- * our ability to raise additional financing necessary to conduct our business.

Forward looking statements may include estimated mineral reserves and resources which could differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. The factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements include:

- * the risk factors set forth below under "Risk Factors";
- * changes in the market prices of precious minerals, including gold; and

* uncertainties inherent in the estimation of ore reserves.

Readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on forward-looking statements. We disclaim any intent or obligation to update publicly these forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking statements made in this Report, the inclusion of this information should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that our objectives and plans will be achieved.

PART I

ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

INTRODUCTION

About Our Company

Magellan Gold Corporation (“Magellan”, “the Company”, “our” or “we”) was formed and organized effective September 28, 2010, under the laws of the State of Nevada. We are an exploration stage company and our principal business is the acquisition and exploration of mineral resources in Arizona, California and Nevada. We have not presently determined whether the properties to which we have mining rights contain mineral deposits that are economically recoverable.

We were formed and organized by Athena Silver Corporation (“Athena”), a Delaware corporation, and by John C. Power and John D. Gibbs, two of the control persons and principal shareholders of Athena. Effective September 2010, we issued an aggregate of 33 million shares of common stock to our founders in consideration of \$.0025 per share: 30 million shares were issued to Messrs. Power and Gibbs and 3 million shares were issued to Athena. During 2011, the majority of the shares issued to Athena were distributed, in the nature of a spin-off dividend of such shares, to the shareholders of Athena, as of a Record Date of December 31, 2010, pro rata.

Our initial focus was on two mining leases secured in 2010 to explore 70 unpatented lode claims known as the “Secret Claims” in Washoe County, Nevada and 10 unpatented lode claims known as the “Randall Claims” in Churchill County, Nevada. We did not renew these leases after the Silver District option was signed in August 2012 and it became our flagship project.

In August 2012, we entered into an Option Agreement with Columbus Silver (US) Corporation (“Columbus”) to purchase “The Silver District Claims” consisting of 85 unpatented lode mining claims, 4 patented lode claims, an Arizona State Exploration Permit of 154.66 acres and 23 unpatented mill site claims, totaling over 2,000 acres in La Paz County, Arizona. The underlying claims are subject to third party lease and or purchase obligations and net smelter royalties of varying percentages. In June and July 2013, Magellan staked 9 additional unpatented lode mining claims in the Silver District adjacent to the land package under option from Columbus; the Company currently retains 2 of these original 9 claims.

Effective September 29, 2014, we entered into a Purchase Agreement with Columbus Silver (US) Corporation, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Columbus Exploration Corporation (TSXV:CLX) to purchase the patented and unpatented mining claims that had been covered by the Option Agreement. The Purchase Agreement superseded the Option Agreement and conveyed the Silver District Claims to the Company. In consideration of the Silver District Claims, we made a one-time payment to Columbus in the amount of \$100,000. Following our purchase of the Silver District Claims, we formed a new wholly-owned subsidiary “Gulf + Western Industries, Inc.” (“Gulf + Western”) and transferred our interest in the Silver District Claims to Gulf + Western.

In November 2015 we were granted a new Arizona State Exploration Permit that effectively increases the size of our exploration permit in the Silver District from 154.66 acres to 334.85 acres.

In October 2012 Magellan staked fifty (50) unpatented lode mining claims known as the “Sacramento Mountains Project” totaling approximately 1,000 acres on Federal (BLM) land. In 2015, we renewed 14 of these claims and let the balance of the claims lapse. The Project is located in the northwest corner of the

Sacramento Mountains approximately 10 miles WNW of Needles, California. On February 12, 2016, the White House announced President Obama had designated three national monuments in southern California covering 1.8 million acres of federal lands. We believe our Sacramento claims are within borders of one of these new monuments. We are in the process of determining what effect this new designation may have on our Sacramento Mountains Project.

Our primary focus during the next twelve months will be to further explore, and, if warranted and feasible, conduct exploration drilling to further develop our Silver District and Sacramento Mountain Projects, subject to available funding.

We have only had limited operations to date and rely upon the sale of our securities and borrowings from significant investors to fund our operations, as we have not generated any revenue.

Our principal executive offices are located at 2010A Harbison Drive # 312, Vacaville, CA 95687. Our telephone number is (707) 884-3766, and our Internet website is www.magellangoldcorp.com.

Conflicts of Interests

Athena Silver Corporation is a company under common control. Mr. Power is our President and director and is also a director and CEO of Athena. Mr. Power and Mr. Gibbs are significant investors in both Magellan and Athena.

Silver Saddle Resources, LLC (“Silver Saddle”) is a private company under common control. Mr. Power and Mr. Gibbs are significant investors and managing members of Silver Saddle.

Magellan, Athena and Silver Saddle are exploration stage companies and each is involved in the business of acquisition and exploration of mineral resources.

The existence of common ownership and common management could result in significantly different operating results or financial position from those that could have resulted had Magellan, Athena and Silver Saddle been autonomous.

In addition, the common ownership could result in significant conflicts of interest both in terms of the allocation of working capital as well as under the doctrine of corporate opportunity, inasmuch as all three entities are engaged in mineral exploration in the United States. Messrs. Power and Gibbs have not adopted any policy or guidelines to mitigate the potential adverse effects of their conflicting interests between and among, Magellan, Athena and Silver Saddle.

Investors in Magellan should be cognizant that the interests of Magellan may, in the future, be in conflict with the other activities of Magellan’s control persons.

Our Properties

Our primary focus during the next twelve months, and depending on available resources, will be to acquire, explore, and if warranted and feasible, permit and develop our mineral properties.

We have two material mineral properties that we currently intend to engage in exploration activities and, if commercially recoverable deposits are found, mineral development activities. To date, we have only begun preliminary exploration work.

The following map illustrates the location of our significant properties in the States of Arizona and California:

SILVER DISTRICT, LA PAZ COUNTY, ARIZONA

Effective August 28, 2012, Magellan entered into an Option Agreement with Columbus Silver (US) Corporation, a Nevada corporation (“Columbus”), which Option Agreement granted the Company the right to acquire all of Columbus’ interest in its Silver District properties located in La Paz County, Arizona. Magellan paid Columbus an initial \$63,200 on signing the Option and an additional \$50,000 before December 31, 2012. An amendment was signed in August 2013 extending the payments to exercise the option.

During February 2014 and January 2013, we paid the final two payments of \$80,000 and \$30,000, respectively, towards the purchase of the James Blaine-patented claim purchase obligation entered into between Columbus and a third party. We also paid all of the costs to maintain all of the claims and leases in 2013, 2014 and 2015.

Effective September 29, 2014, we entered into a Purchase Agreement with Columbus to purchase the patented and unpatented mining claims that had been covered by the Option Agreement. The Purchase Agreement superseded the Option Agreement and conveyed the Silver District Claims to the Company. In consideration of the Silver District Claims, we made a one-time payment to Columbus in the amount of \$100,000. Following our purchase of the Silver District Claims, we formed a new wholly-owned subsidiary “Gulf + Western Industries, Inc.” (“Gulf + Western”) and transferred our interest in the Silver District Claims to Gulf + Western.

The Silver District project area consists of 87 unpatented lode mining claims, 6 patented lode claims, an Arizona State Exploration Permit of 334.85 acres and 23 unpatented mill site claims, totaling over 2,000 acres. The project is located approximately 80 kilometers (50 miles) north of Yuma in southwest Arizona.

2014 Drilling Program

In May 2014, we completed the drilling of three holes at our Silver District Project. The three holes were the initial holes of a permitted 12-hole exploratory program on Magellan’s unpatented claims near the Papago and Red Cloud Mines. The drilling program was permitted and bonded with the BLM and State of Arizona. Following the drilling program, our bond with the BLM in the amount of \$21,457 was refunded.

Two of the three holes drilled (core holes PA-01 / 336 total depth & PA-02 / 380 total depth) were designed to test the Papago target, and one hole (RC-01/ 244 total depth) was directed at the Red Cloud target. Our consulting geologist selected 52 samples that were delivered to ALS Labs in Reno, NV for analysis.

The highlights of the assay results include the following:

.

Excellent comparison of our core hole PA-01 with historic RC hole S242P. Magellan PA-01 intercept of 90 feet grading 6.05 OPT Ag, (including 10 feet of 17.06 OPT Ag), compared very favorably with the historic result of 90 feet grading 5.78 OPT Ag (including 10 feet averaging 14.60 OPT Ag).

.

Previously unreported significant zinc and lead assays from the mineralization in PA-01 4.71% Zn and 1.56% Pb over 90 feet, including 10 feet averaging 8.35% Zn and 4.02% Pb.

.

PA-01 intercepted a previously unknown vein structure, about 15 feet wide and approximately 50 feet below the known mineralized structure, that includes 3 feet grading 3.64% Zn, 0.62% Pb and 0.15 OPT Ag. The significance of

this occurrence relative to the Papago resource area is unknown.

PA-02 was drilled 250 feet east of PA-01 to test for the down plunge extension of that intercept, but did not encounter any mineralization due to offset by a late fault.

RC-01 was drilled just north of the Red Cloud open pit to intersect the extension of the Red Cloud vein beneath the Red Cloud Fault. Although the vein was known to be partly cut off by that fault, the hole intersected over 10 feet of the footwall of the vein, which has never been mined, including five feet grading 3.2% Pb, 7.47% Zn, 0.6 OPT Ag and Trace Au. The granodiorite in the footwall of the vein was extensively altered with stockwork veins for over 50 feet, containing anomalous levels of Pb, Zn, Ag and Au.

The 2014 drill results will be incorporated into the existing historic drill database for use in planning additional drilling. Geologic evaluation of the entire district continues as Magellan develops additional drill targets in and around the multiple satellite deposits in the Silver District land package.

2015 Sampling Program

In 2015 the Company carried out a program of rock chip surface sampling. The samples were collected across seven of fourteen known deposits. Results were successful in validating the occurrence of silver values up to 13.0 ounces per ton and fluorspar values up to 25.7% over significant widths. Silver District deposits are localized along three major vein systems having a collective strike length of eight miles. Previous shallow drilling that partially tested these vein systems identified mineralized material containing silver and fluorite, with additional barite and lead-zinc mineralization.

The sample results are consistent with historical drilling results. In addition, with respect to any future mining development, ICP 33-element analysis returned low values for environmentally undesirable elements such as mercury, arsenic and uranium.

Following are highlights of sample results:

Clip (15 ft rock chip across vein):

13.0 opt Ag; 5.2% Fluorspar (CaF₂);

6.9% Barite (BaSO₄)

Geronimo (12 ft rock chip across vein):

10.5 opt Ag; 5.7% Fluorspar; 1.5% Pb

MP (20 ft rock chip across vein):

5.3 opt Ag

Red Cloud (30 ft rock chip across vein):

4.1 opt Ag; 25.7% Fluorspar; 2.1% Zn

Pacific (20 ft rock chip across vein):

1.0 opt Ag; 20.9% Fluorspar; 2.2% Pb;

3.8%Zn

For locations of the deposits from which the samples were collected, refer to Magellan's management presentation available on the Company's website, www.magellangoldcorp.com.

Geochemical analyses were performed by ALS Minerals in Reno, NV and Vancouver, B.C. Silver analysis was by four acid digestion, HCl leach and atomic absorption finish. Fluorine analysis was by Na₂O₂ fusion, citric acid leach and ion selective electrode. Barium analysis was by fusion XRF. Lead and zinc analyses were by four acid digestion with ICP-AES finish. All samples were analyzed as part of a 33 element package by four acid digestion and ICP-AES finish. Gold analysis was by fire assay with atomic absorption finish.

SILVER DISTRICT PATENTED MINING CLAIMS

RED CLOUD Patented Mining Claim – MS 749; Parcel #301-34-003 La Paz Co. Assessor

(Subject to lease agreement)

JAMES G. BLAINE Patented Mining Claim – MS 1258-A Parcel #301-31-001 La Paz Co. Assessor

BLACK ROCK Patented Mining Claim – MS 291 Parcel #301-34-002 La Paz Co. Assessor

PACIFIC Patented Mining Claim – MS 292 Parcel #301-34-002 La Paz Co. Assessor

SILVER GLANCE Patented Mining Claim – MS 246 Parcel #301-34-001 La Paz Co. Assessor

(Subject to lease agreement; title to be perfected)

MENDIVIL Patented Mining Claim – MS 279 Parcel #301-33-002 La Paz Co. Assessor

(Subject to lease agreement; title to be perfected)

ARIZONA STATE EXPLORATION PERMIT

ARIZONA STATE EXPLORATION PERMIT #08-118475 - GRANTED December 2, 2015; 334.85 ACRES+/-

SILVER DISTRICT UNPATENTED MINING CLAIMS

Plata No. 1(3 rd am.)	AMC# 44189 (subject to lease agreement)
Plata No. 2(2 nd am.)	AMC# 44190 (subject to lease agreement)
POP #1 (2dAm.)	AMC# 43990
POP #2 (2d Am.)	AMC# 43991
POP #3 (2d Am)	AMC# 43992
POP #4 (2d Am)	AMC# 43993
POP #5 (2d Am)	AMC# 43994
POP #6 (2d Am)	AMC# 43995
POP #7 (2d Am)	AMC# 43996
POP #8 (2d Am)	AMC# 43997
POP #9 (2d Am)	AMC# 43998
POP #10 (2d Am)	AMC# 43999
POP #11 (2d Am)	AMC# 44000
POP #13 (2dAm)	AMC# 44002
POP #14 (2dAm)	AMC# 44003
POP #15 (2dAm)	AMC# 44004
POP #16 (2dAm)	AMC# 44005
POP #17 (Am)	AMC# 44006
POP #19 (Am)	AMC# 44008
POP #21 (Am)	AMC# 44010
POP #22 (Am)	AMC# 44011
POP #24 (2d Am)	AMC# 44013
POP #25 (2d Am)	AMC# 44014
POP #26 (2d Am)	AMC# 44015
POP #27 (2d Am)	AMC# 44016
POP #28 (2d Am)	AMC# 44017
POP #29 (2d Am)	AMC# 44018
POP #30 (Am)	AMC# 44019
POP #31 (Am)	AMC# 44020
POP #32 (Am)	AMC# 44021
POP #37 (2d Am)	AMC# 44026

Edgar Filing: MAGELLAN GOLD Corp - Form 10-K

POP #38 (2d Am)	AMC# 44027
POP #43 (Am)	AMC# 44032
POP #50 – POP #51	AMC# 207723-207724
POP #53 – POP #57	AMC# 207725-207729
POP #62	AMC# 207734
RUF #1	AMC # 129269
RUF #2	AMC # 129270
RUF #5	AMC # 129273
RUF #9	AMC # 129277
RUF #10	AMC# 129278
RUF #12	AMC# 129280
RUF #13	AMC# 129281
RUF #14	AMC# 129282
RUF #15	AMC# 129283
RUF #17	AMC# 129285
RUF #18	AMC# 129286
RUF #22	AMC# 129290
RUF #23	AMC# 129291
RUF #24	AMC# 129292
MIL #1	AMC # 129261
MIL #2	AMC# 129262
MIL #3	AMC# 129263
MIL #4	AMC# 129264
MIL #5	AMC# 129265
MIL #6	AMC# 129266
G + W #2	AMC # 129255
G + W #3	AMC # 129256
G + W #4	AMC # 129257
PL-1 – PL-2	AMC # 366944-366945
Arch	AMC # 366937
RU 1 – RU 3	AMC # 366947-366949
CH-1 – CH-6	AMC # 366938-366943
POP 39	AMC # 366946
A-1	AMC # 369924
RIHO	AMC # 369925
MAX 13-26	AMC # 386562-386575
Ruth #1 Amended	AMC # 42216
Ruth #3 Amended	AMC# 44218
Ruth #5 Amended	AMC# 44220
Ruth #7 Amended	AMC# 44222
Plata No. 3 Amended	AMC# 44191
Plata No. 5 Amended	AMC# 44193
Plata No. 6 Amended	AMC# 44194
Plata No.10 Amended	AMC# 44195
Plata No.11 Amended	AMC# 44196
Plata No.12 Amended	AMC# 44197
Plata No.14	AMC# 44199
Plata No.15 Amended	AMC# 44200
Chuck No.5	AMC# 44208
Chuck No.7	AMC# 44210
Chuck No.9	AMC# 44212

STAKED BY MAGELLAN

SD 30

AMC424398

SD 37

AMC424404

Certain of the Silver District Claims are subject to third party lease and/or net smelter royalties of varying percentages.

SACRAMENTO MOUNTAINS PROJECT

The Sacramento Mountains Project is located approximately 10 miles west-northwest of Needles, California in the northwest corner of the Sacramento Mountains. In October 2012 Magellan staked fifty unpatented lode mining claims (SMF 1-50) on Federal (BLM) land.

In August 2015 we renewed fourteen core claims with the BLM and let the remaining claims lapse. The claims we retained are listed as follows:

BLM Claim Nos.

Claim Names

CAMC 305872 – CAMC – 305881

SMF 2 – 11

SMF 18 – 21

CAMC 305888 – CAMC – 305891

This property is without known reserves and our proposed program is exploratory in nature.

In 2013 Magellan permitted an exploratory drill program of up to six drill holes with the BLM, and submitted a bond in the amount of \$8,639. The permit was good for two years from February 2013 but has been extended until February 2017.

On February 12, 2016, the White House announced President Obama had designated three national monuments in southern California covering 1.8 million acres of federal lands. We believe our Sacramento claims are within the borders of one of these new monuments. We are in the process of determining what effect this new designation may have on our Sacramento Mountains Project.

Discontinued Mineral Interests

Pony Express Claims

On November 18, 2010, we staked two unpatented lode mining claims (the “Pony Express Claims”) giving us the right to explore, develop and conduct mining operations on these claims located in Churchill County, Nevada. We did not renew these claims in 2014.

BLM Claim No.

Claim Name

BLM# NMC1036374

Pony Express #1

BLM# NMC1036375

Pony Express #10

Unpatented Mining Claims: The Mining Law of 1872

Except for the Arizona State Mineral Lease and patented claims held within the Silver District Claims, our mineral rights consist of leases covering "unpatented" mining claims created and maintained in accordance with the U.S. General Mining Law of 1872, or the "General Mining Law." Unpatented mining claims are unique U.S. property interests, and are generally considered to be subject to greater title risk than other real property interests because the validity of unpatented mining claims is often uncertain. The validity of an unpatented mining claim, in terms of both its location and its maintenance, is dependent on strict compliance with a complex body of federal and state statutory and decisional law that supplement the General Mining Law. Also, unpatented mining claims and related rights, including rights to use the surface, are subject to possible challenges by third parties or contests by the federal government. In addition, there are few public records that definitively control the issues of validity and ownership of unpatented mining claims. We have not filed a patent application for any of our unpatented mining claims that are located on federal public lands in the United States and, under possible future legislation to change the General Mining Law, patents may be difficult to obtain.

Our exploration, development and mining rights relate to patented and unpatented mining claims covering federal and State lands in Arizona and California. Most of our patented and unpatented claims are located in the Silver District in Arizona.

Location of mining claims under the General Mining Law, is a self-initiation system under which a person physically stakes an unpatented mining claim on public land that is open to location, posts a location notice and monuments the boundaries of the claim in compliance with federal laws and regulations and with state location laws, and files notice of that location in the county records and with the Bureau of Land Management (“BLM”). Mining claims can be located on land as to which the surface was patented into private ownership under the Stockraising Homestead Act of 1916, 43 U.S.C. §299, but the mining claimant cannot injure, damage or destroy the surface owner's permanent improvements and must pay for damage to crops caused by prospecting. Discovery of a valuable mineral deposit, as defined under federal law, is essential to the validity of an unpatented mining claim and is required on each mining claim individually. The location is made as a lode claim for mineral deposits found as veins or rock in place, or as a placer claim for other deposits. While the maximum size and shape of lode claims and placer claims are established by statute, there are no limits on the number of claims one person may locate or own. The General Mining Law also contains provision for acquiring five-acre claims of non-mineral land for mill site purposes. A mining operation typically is comprised of many mining claims.

The holder of a valid unpatented mining claim has possessory title to the land covered thereby, which gives the claimant exclusive possession of the surface for mining purposes and the right to mine and remove minerals from the claim. Legal title to land encompassed by an unpatented mining claim remains in the United States, and the government can contest the validity of a mining claim. The General Mining Law requires the performance of annual assessment work for each claim, and subsequent to enactment of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. §1201 et seq., mining claims are invalidated if evidence of assessment work is not timely filed with BLM. However, in 1993 Congress enacted a provision requiring payment of \$140 per year (now \$155 per year) claim maintenance fee in lieu of performing assessment work, subject to an exception for small miners having less than 10 claims. No royalty is paid to the United States with respect to minerals mined and sold from a mining claim. In addition, in Nevada, holders of unpatented mining claims are required to pay the county recorder of the county in which the claim is situated an annual fee of \$10.50 per claim.

The General Mining Law provides a procedure for a qualified claimant to obtain a mineral patent (*i.e.*, fee simple title to the mining claim) under certain conditions. It has become much more difficult in recent years to obtain a patent. Beginning in 1994, Congress imposed a funding moratorium on the processing of mineral patent applications which had not reached a designated stage in the patent process at the time the moratorium went into effect. Additionally, Congress has considered several bills in recent years to repeal the General Mining Law or to amend it to provide for the payment of royalties to the United States and to eliminate or substantially limit the patent provisions of the law.

Mining claims are conveyed by deed, or leased by the claimant to the party seeking to develop the property. Such a deed or lease (or memorandum of it) needs to be recorded in the real property records of the county where the property is located, and evidence of such transfer needs to be filed with BLM. It is not unusual for the grantor or lessor to reserve a royalty, which as to precious metals often is expressed as a percentage of net smelter returns.

Patented Mining Claims

Patented mining claims, such as the ones located in our Silver District Project, are mining claims on federal lands that are held in fee simple by the owner. No maintenance fees or royalties are payable to the BLM; however lease payments and royalties with third parties are applicable on some of these claims.

LOCATION, HISTORY AND GEOLOGY OF OUR PROPERTIES

SILVER DISTRICT

The property covers the heart of the historic Silver District in La Paz County, approximately 80 kilometers (50 miles) north of Yuma in southwest Arizona.

This property is currently without known reserves and our proposed program is exploratory in nature.

Location, Access and Composition

The Silver District is located approximately 50 miles by road north of Yuma, Arizona on the southeast flank of the Trigo Mountains. Access to the property via a 4WD vehicle from Yuma is seasonally good, with 34 miles of paved or well-maintained gravel road and another 14 miles of seasonally maintained unimproved roads to the Red Cloud Mine, in the southwestern corner of the district.

The Silver District Project consists of 87 unpatented lode mining claims, 6 patented lode claims, an Arizona State Exploration Permit of 334.85 acres and 23 unpatented mill site claims, totaling over 2,000 acres in La Paz County, Arizona.

Certain of the underlying claims are subject to third party lease and or purchase obligations and net smelter royalties of varying percentages.

History

The Silver District was discovered in 1862 and supported small but significant silver-lead production, largely from underground operations at the Red Cloud and Clip (Blaine patented claim) mines, during the ten year period from 1883 to 1893. Recorded production is estimated at 1.56 million ounces silver and 2.33 million pounds lead. There have been occasional small scale development activities since that time and in recent years the area has been a site for collection of high value, specimen wulfenite crystals.

Modern exploration, principally shallow drilling, metallurgical test work and a number of scoping studies to evaluate development of the silver and fluorspar deposits, was carried out intermittently from 1973 through 1992, initially by Gulf + Western Industries (no relation to our recently-formed subsidiary) through its New Jersey Zinc subsidiary, and followed by Orbex Resources and its successor companies, Silver Glimmer Resources and Silverspar Minerals. A total of 465 holes for an aggregate length of 62,866 feet were drilled during this period. The project has been largely inactive since the early 1990's.

Columbus Silver (US) Corporation acquired the project in 2004 and focused its efforts on re-consolidation of the property position, organization and compilation of technical records and limited field mapping and sampling.

Power and Water

There are no modern mine developments or equipment on the property. The Red Cloud Mine patented mining claim has a covered shop and full time watchman with living facilities. It also has a water well and a small diesel generator. There is no commercial water or power available at the site and these would have to be developed with any mining

development.

Geology

The Silver District deposits consist of variable silver and lead-zinc mineralization in massive quartz-calcite-fluorspar-barite veins and breccia zones that occur within three major north-northwest trending vein systems having a collective strike length of about eight miles. The veins cut Tertiary volcanic and volcanoclastic rock formations, which overly an older, possibly Pre-Cambrian crystalline to metamorphic basement complex. Potential ore-grade silver (lead-zinc), fluorspar and barite deposits occur as pod-like bodies within all three vein systems.

Various historic resource estimates, all pre-dating NI 43-101 reporting standards, have been carried out by past operators in the District.

EXPLORATION PLANS

Subject to available funding, the following outlines our exploration plans for the Silver District.

Past explorers identified a number of outcropping ore bodies (some of which saw production in the late 19th and early 20th centuries) and with shallow drilling defined new and larger deposits to open-pit depths. These known occurrences are the exposed portions of three long, through-going district wide fault trends. Potential for the discovery of additional mineralization is excellent at depth below known ore bodies and along the fault trends between known ore bodies. The best method for making new discoveries is by drilling at depth below known ore bodies. Geology and geophysics could prove useful in defining blind targets in non-outcropping areas. We chose the known mineralization at the historic Red Cloud and Papago mines as our initial exploration targets in the exploration drilling carried out in 2014.

Geological mapping, with rock sampling and assaying, will help guide drilling and geophysical surveying over the next twelve months. Geophysical test surveys to detect sulfide mineralization below known resources at Red Cloud and Papago, if successful, will be used to delineate drill targets under other historic resources and along the unexplored sections of the major mineralized structures.

Subject to securing the necessary funding, we have budgeted \$500,000 for exploration work over the next 12 to 24 months, comprising \$100,000 for geology, geochemistry and computer modeling, \$50,000 for geophysical orientation surveys, and \$350,000 for diamond drilling and assaying of approximately 6,000 feet of core.

We anticipate the exploration program will be supervised by Douglas R Bowden, a consulting geologist based in Sparks, Nevada. Mr. Bowden has over 35 years of experience in mining exploration in the United States, Canada and Mexico and is a licensed geologist in the State of Utah.

SACRAMENTO MOUNTAINS PROJECT

This property is currently without known reserves and our proposed program is exploratory in nature.

Location, Access and Composition

The Sacramento Mountains Project is located in the northwest corner of the Sacramento Mountains, approximately 10 miles west-northwest of Needles, San Bernardino County, California.. The northern end of the Project is near I-40, and existing utility service roads and 4WD roads provide access to the project area.

Magellan controls 100% unencumbered interest in fourteen unpatented lode mining claims on federal land, totaling approximately 280 acres. Originally, in 2012 we staked fifty claims but in August 2015 renewed only fourteen core claims with the BLM while allowing the remainder of the claims to lapse. The claims were properly recorded in San Bernardino County and with the Bureau of Land Management. The claims will remain in good standing until September 1, 2016, at which time annual renewal maintenance fees of \$155 per claim (total \$2,170) become due.

On February 12, 2016, the White House announced President Obama had designated three national monuments in southern California covering 1.8 million acres of federal lands. We believe our Sacramento claims are within borders of one of these new monuments. We are in the process of determining what effect this new designation may have on our Sacramento Mountains Project.

Power and Water

Commercial power is available along I- 40. The availability of water is unknown.

Geology

The Project area is underlain by a sequence of Mid-Tertiary volcanic and sedimentary rocks series that unconformably overlie a much older, possibly Pre-Cambrian metamorphic and crystalline complex that also forms the central core of the Sacramento Mountains. The entire sequence has been eroded and much of the area on the flanks of the mountains is covered by a gravel pediment or active gravel washes. A number of epithermal veins of quartz-calcite-barite composition cut the volcanic and sedimentary rocks and have historically been explored for copper, silver and gold.

The veins occur as swarms within zones up to tens of feet wide and several hundred feet long. The veins dip moderately to steeply and strike in varying directions. There is some evidence in other parts of the Sacramento Mountains that the unconformity between the Tertiary and basement rocks may be mineralized, but the unconformity is not exposed on the Property and lies at an unknown depth below surface outcroppings of Tertiary rocks. Exploration targets include precious metal deposits in mineralized vein structures that continue to depth, and disseminated gold deposits associated with detachment faults along the projected underlying unconformity.

History

There are a number of historic pits, trenches, shafts and adits on outcroppings within the claim block that probably date to the 1890s, and some that may have been reopened in the 1920s. Modern exploration began in the 1980s by such mining companies as Duval, AMAX, Goldfields (London) and Fischer-Watt Mining. Further work was completed by Fischer-Watt in the 1990's. Exploration activities by these mining companies included surface mapping, geochemical sampling and limited programs of localized drilling near historic workings. Only limited anecdotal records of these activities exist in the Public Domain.

Current State of Exploration

All activities proposed are entirely exploratory in nature.

The claim group comprises an early stage exploration opportunity. Our exploration activity to date has been limited to land acquisition and preliminary field examination of old workings shown on USGS Quadrangle maps. AMAX reportedly drilled one RC hole near one of the historic workings within our claim block that is reported to have encountered anomalous gold values. We intend to examine all outcroppings within the claim block and sample those that may be associated with mineralization. Our work may also include sampling and geochemical analysis of stream sediments, soils and vegetation. The workings that AMAX targeted with past drilling will be examined closely to

determine whether core drilling would be warranted by the Company. The property has no known reserves.

Silver-gold mineralization is contained in quartz-calcite veins that cut Tertiary volcanic and volcanoclastic rocks in the Sacramento Mountains. This epithermal system comprises numerous vein outcroppings throughout our claim block.

The veins contain numerous historic prospects and small workings. The RC hole drilled by AMAX was in the northern part of the claim block and reportedly encountered anomalous gold values adjacent to exposed veining in outcrop. The vein has been intermittently traced for over 3000 feet along strike. Exploration of the exposed vein system and extensions under cover will initially entail core drilling to test down dip from mineralization exposed in the largest adit and to twin the AMAX RC hole. A geophysical survey of the immediate drill area may be useful in tracing the vein to depth and in

testing a possible second target for mineralization at the intersection of the vein with the regional unconformity that exists between the Tertiary section and the underlying metamorphic basement.

Subject to available funding, we have budgeted \$130,000 for exploration work over the next 12 months, comprising \$25,000 for geologic mapping and sampling, \$15,000 for a geophysical orientation survey, and \$90,000 for initial diamond drilling of two holes and assaying of 1,500 feet of core.

We anticipate the exploration program will be supervised by Douglas R Bowden, a consulting geologist based in Sparks, Nevada. Mr. Bowden has over 35 years of experience in mining exploration in the United States, Canada and Mexico and is a licensed geologist in the State of Utah.

OUR EXPLORATION PROCESS

Our exploration program is designed to acquire, explore and evaluate exploration properties in an economically efficient manner. We have not at this time identified or delineated any mineral reserves on any of our properties.

Our current focus is primarily on the exploration of our Silver District (Arizona) and Sacramento Mountains Project. We plan to develop a formal sample collection and analysis process in due course; this process will include appropriate quality assurance and quality control procedures.

Subject to our ability to raise the necessary funds, we may acquire additional exploration properties near our existing properties or elsewhere and implement exploration programs that may cover these future properties.

We expect our exploration work on a given property to proceed generally in three phases. Decisions about proceeding to each successive phase will take into consideration the completion of the previous phases and our analysis of the results of those phases.

The first phase is intended to determine whether a prospect warrants further exploration and involves:

.

researching the available geologic literature;

.

interviewing geologists, mining engineers and others familiar with the prospect sites;

.

conducting geologic mapping, geophysical testing and geochemical testing;

.

examining any existing workings, such as trenches, prospect pits, shafts or tunnels;

.

digging trenches that allow for an examination of surface vein structures as well as for efficient reclamation, re-contouring and re-seeding of disturbed areas; and,

.

analyzing samples for minerals that are known to have occurred in the test area.

Subject to obtaining the necessary permits in a timely manner, the first phase can typically be completed on an individual property in several months at a cost of less than \$200,000.

The second phase is intended to identify any mineral deposits of potential economic importance and would involve:

.

examining underground characteristics of mineralization that were previously identified;

.

conducting more detailed geologic mapping;

.

conducting more advanced geochemical and geophysical surveys;

.

conducting more extensive trenching; and

.

conducting exploratory drilling.

Subject to obtaining the necessary permits in a timely manner, the second phase can typically be completed on an individual property in nine to twelve months at a cost of less than \$1 million. Our Silver District Project has reached the second phase.

The third phase is intended to precisely define depth, width, length, tonnage and value per ton of any deposit that has been identified and would involve:

.

drilling to develop the mining site;

.

conducting metallurgical testing; and

.

obtaining other pertinent technical information required to define an ore reserve and complete a feasibility study.

Depending upon the nature of the particular deposit, the third phase on any one property could take one to five years or more and cost well in excess of \$1 million. None of our properties has reached the third phase.

We intend to explore and develop our properties ourselves, although our plans could change depending on the terms and availability of financing and the terms or merits of any joint venture proposals.

PLAN OF EXPLORATION

We intend to conduct further exploration on our Silver District (Arizona) and Sacramento Mountains Project (California) during 2016 in the manner previously described. Our 2016 plan of exploration is contingent upon securing additional loans or equity funding.

GOLD AND SILVER PRICES

Our operating results are substantially dependent upon the world market prices of gold and silver. We have no control over gold or silver prices, which can fluctuate widely. The volatility of such prices is illustrated by the following

graphs, which respectively set forth the prices of gold and silver per ounce (as reported by www.kitco.com) during the periods indicated:

These historical prices are not indicative of future gold or silver prices.

MARKETING

All of our mining operations, if successful, will produce precious metals in doré form or a concentrate that contains precious metals.

We plan to refine and market our precious metals doré and concentrates using a geographically diverse group of third party smelters and refiners. The loss of any one smelter or refiner may have a material adverse effect if alternate smelters and refiners are not available. We believe there is sufficient global capacity available to address the loss of any one smelter or refiner.

HEDGING ACTIVITIES

Our strategy is to provide shareholders with leverage to changes in gold and silver prices by selling precious metals production at market prices. We may sell precious metals from our future mines, if any, both pursuant to forward contracts and at spot prices prevailing at the time of sale. We may also enter into derivative contracts to protect the selling price for certain anticipated gold and silver production and to manage risks associated with commodities and foreign currencies.

GOVERNMENT REGULATION

General

Our activities are and will be subject to extensive federal, state and local laws governing the protection of the environment, prospecting, mine development, production, taxes, labor standards, occupational health, mine safety, toxic substances and other matters. The costs associated with compliance with such regulatory requirements are substantial and possible future legislation and regulations could cause additional expense, capital expenditures, restrictions and delays in the development and continued operation of our properties, the extent of which cannot be predicted. In the context of environmental permitting, including the approval of reclamation plans, we must comply with known standards and regulations which may entail significant costs and delays. Although we are committed to environmental responsibility and believe we are in substantial compliance with applicable laws and regulations, amendments to current laws and regulations, more stringent implementation of these laws and regulations through judicial review or administrative action or the adoption of new laws could have a materially adverse effect upon our results of operations.

Federal Environmental Laws

Certain mining wastes from extraction and beneficiation of ores are currently exempt from the extensive set of Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) regulations governing hazardous waste, although such wastes may be subject to regulation under state law as a solid or hazardous waste. The EPA has worked on a program to regulate these mining wastes pursuant to its solid waste management authority under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (“RCRA”). Certain ore processing and other wastes are currently regulated as hazardous wastes by the EPA under RCRA. If our future mine wastes, if any, were treated as hazardous waste or such wastes resulted in operations being designated as a “Superfund” site under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (“CERCLA” or “Superfund”) for cleanup, material expenditures would be required for the construction of additional waste disposal facilities or for other remediation expenditures. Under CERCLA, any present owner or operator of a Superfund site or an owner or operator at the time of its contamination generally may be held liable and may be forced to undertake remedial cleanup action or to pay for the government’s cleanup efforts. Such owner or operator may also be liable to governmental entities for the cost of damages to natural resources, which may be substantial. Additional regulations or requirements may also be imposed upon our future tailings and waste disposal, if any, in Nevada under the Federal Clean Water Act (“CWA”) and state law counterparts. We have reviewed and considered current federal legislation relating to climate change and we do not believe it to have a material effect on our operations. Additional regulation or requirements under any of these laws and regulations could have a materially adverse effect upon our results of operations.

EMPLOYEES AND CONSULTANTS

We have only one part-time employee, Mr. Power, who devotes approximately 25% of his time and attention to our business. We have agreed to pay Mr. Power \$2,500 per month for his services.

We rely heavily on the services of our consulting geologist and other technical consultants.

ITEM 1A – RISK FACTORS.

An investment in our securities is speculative and involves a high degree of risk. Please carefully consider the following risk factors, as well as the possibility of the loss of your entire investment, before deciding to invest in our securities.

Risks Related to our Business

Due to our history of operating losses our auditors are uncertain that we will be able to continue as a going concern.

Our financial statements have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern. Due to our continuing operating losses and negative cash flows from our operations, the reports of our auditors issued in connection with our financial statements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, contain explanatory paragraphs indicating that the foregoing matters raised substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. We cannot provide any assurance that we will be able to continue as a going concern.

We have no history of or experience in mineral production.

We have no history of or experience in producing gold or other metals. In addition, our management lacks technical training and experience with exploring for, starting and/or operating a mine. With no direct training or experience in these areas, our management may not be fully aware of many of the specific requirements related to working within this industry. Their decisions and choices may not take into account standard engineering or managerial approaches mineral exploration companies commonly use. Our operations, earnings and ultimate financial success could suffer due to our management's lack of experience in this industry. As a result, we would be subject to all of the risks associated with establishing a new mining operation and business enterprise. We may never successfully establish mining operations, and any such operations may not achieve profitability.

Our principal shareholders and control persons are also principal shareholders and control persons of Athena and Silver Saddle, which could result in conflicts with the interests of minority stockholders.

Messrs. Gibbs and Power are control persons and principal shareholders of Magellan, Athena and Silver Saddle. Magellan, Athena and Silver Saddle are engaged in mineral exploration activities, although in different geographical regions. While the geographical focus of the companies is different, numerous conflicts could arise in the future. For example, Messrs. Gibbs and Power have provided the majority of working capital for all three companies to date, and in the likely event that these companies require additional capital in the future their resources may be inadequate to finance the activities of all. In addition, if new prospects become available, a conflict may exist with respect to which

company to offer those opportunities. Messrs. Gibbs and Power have not developed a conflict of interest policy to mitigate the potential adverse effects of these conflicts and as a result these conflicts represent a significant risk to the shareholders of the Company. Conflicts for access to limited resources and opportunities cannot be eliminated completely, and investors should be aware of their potential.

Our principal executive officer intends to devote only a limited amount of his time and attention to our business.

Mr. Power is the principal executive officer of both Magellan and Athena. He anticipates that he will only devote approximately 25% of his time and attention to our business. This limited focus could result in significant delays in our exploration activities and ability to generate revenues and profits, if any, in the future.

We have no proven or probable reserves.

We are currently in the exploration stage and have no proven or probable reserves, as those terms are defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) on any of our properties.

In order to demonstrate the existence of proven or probable reserves under SEC guidelines, it would be necessary for us to advance the exploration of our Properties by significant additional delineation drilling to demonstrate the existence of sufficient mineralized material with satisfactory continuity which would provide the basis for a feasibility study which would demonstrate with reasonable certainty that the mineralized material can be economically extracted and produced. We do not have sufficient data to support a feasibility study with regard to the Properties, and in order to perform the drill work to support such feasibility study, we must obtain the necessary permits and funds to continue our exploration efforts. It is possible that, even after we have obtained sufficient geologic data to support a feasibility study on the Properties, such study will conclude that none of the identified mineral deposits can be economically and legally extracted or produced. If we cannot adequately confirm or discover any mineral reserves of precious metals on the Properties, we may not be able to generate any revenues. Even if we discover mineral reserves on the Properties in the future that can be economically developed, the initial capital costs associated with development and production of any reserves found is such that we might not be profitable for a significant time after the initiation of any development or production. The commercial viability of a mineral deposit once discovered is dependent on a number of factors beyond our control, including particular attributes of the deposit such as size, grade and proximity to infrastructure, as well as metal prices. In addition, development of a project as significant as the ones we might be planning will likely require significant debt financing, the terms of which could contribute to a delay of profitability.

The exploration of mineral properties is highly speculative in nature, involves substantial expenditures and is frequently non-productive.

Mineral exploration is highly speculative in nature and is frequently non-productive. Substantial expenditures are required to:

- establish ore reserves through drilling and metallurgical and other testing techniques;
- determine metal content and metallurgical recovery processes to extract metal from the ore; and,

- design mining and processing facilities.

If we discover ore at the Properties, we expect that it would be several additional years from the initial phases of exploration until production is possible. During this time, the economic feasibility of production could change. As a result of these uncertainties, there can be no assurance that our exploration programs will result in proven and probable reserves in sufficient quantities to justify commercial operations.

Even if our exploration efforts at the Properties are successful, we may not be able to raise the funds necessary to develop the Properties.

If our exploration efforts at the Properties are successful, our current estimates indicate that we may be required to raise \$50 million or more in external financing to develop and construct the mines. Sources of external financing could include bank borrowings and debt and equity offerings, but financing has become significantly more difficult to obtain in the current market environment. The failure to obtain financing would have a material adverse effect on our growth strategy and our results of operations and financial condition. We currently have no specific plan to obtain the necessary funding and there exist no agreements, commitments or arrangements to provide us with the financing that we may need. There can be no assurance that we will commence production at any of our Properties or generate sufficient revenues to meet our obligations as they become due or obtain necessary financing on acceptable terms, if at all, and we may not be able to secure the financing necessary to begin or sustain production at the Properties. Our failure to raise needed funding could also result in our inability to meet our future royalty and work commitments under our mineral leases, which could result in a forfeiture of our mineral interest altogether and a default under other financial commitments. In addition, should we incur significant losses in future periods, we may be unable to continue as a going concern, and we may not be able to realize our assets and settle our liabilities in the normal course of business at amounts reflected in our financial statements included or incorporated herein by reference.

We may not be able to obtain permits required for development of the Properties.

In the ordinary course of business, mining companies are required to seek governmental permits for expansion of existing operations or for the commencement of new operations. We will be required to obtain numerous permits for our Properties. Obtaining the necessary governmental permits is a complex and time-consuming process involving numerous jurisdictions and often involving public hearings and costly undertakings. Our efforts to develop the Properties may also be opposed by environmental groups. In addition, mining projects require the evaluation of environmental impacts for air, water, vegetation, wildlife, cultural, historical, geological, geotechnical, geochemical, soil and socioeconomic conditions. An Environmental Impact Statement would be required before we could commence mine development or mining activities. Baseline environmental conditions are the basis on which direct and indirect impacts of the Properties are evaluated and based on which potential mitigation measures would be proposed. If the Properties were found to significantly adversely impact the baseline conditions, we could incur significant additional costs to avoid or mitigate the adverse impact, and delays in the development of Properties could result.

Permits would also be required for, among other things, storm-water discharge; air quality; wetland disturbance; dam safety (for water storage and/or tailing storage); septic and sewage; and water rights appropriation. In addition, compliance must be demonstrated with the Endangered Species Act and the National Historical Preservation Act.

The mining industry is intensely competitive.

The mining industry is intensely competitive. We may be at a competitive disadvantage because we must compete with other individuals and companies, many of which have greater financial resources, operational experience and technical capabilities than we do. Increased competition could adversely affect our ability to attract necessary capital funding or acquire suitable producing properties or prospects for mineral exploration in the future. We may also encounter increasing competition from other mining companies in our efforts to locate acquisition targets, hire experienced mining professionals and acquire exploration resources.

Our future success is subject to risks inherent in the mining industry.

Our future mining operations, if any, would be subject to all of the hazards and risks normally incident to developing and operating mining properties. These risks include:

- insufficient ore reserves;
- fluctuations in metal prices and increase in production costs that may make mining of reserves uneconomic;
- significant environmental and other regulatory restrictions;
- labor disputes; geological problems;
- failure of underground stopes and/or surface dams;
- force majeure events; and
- the risk of injury to persons, property or the environment.

Our future profitability will be affected by changes in the prices of metals.

If we establish reserves, and complete development of a mine, our profitability and long-term viability will depend, in large part, on the market price of gold. The market prices for metals are volatile and are affected by numerous factors beyond our control, including:

- global or regional consumption patterns;
- supply of, and demand for, gold and other metals;
- speculative activities;
- expectations for inflation; and,
- political and economic conditions.

The aggregate effect of these factors on metals prices is impossible for us to predict. Decreases in metals prices could adversely affect our ability to finance the exploration and development of our properties, which would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations and cash flows. There can be no assurance that metals prices will not decline.

The price of gold may decline in the future. If the price of gold and silver is depressed for a sustained period, we may be forced to suspend operations until the prices increase, and to record asset impairment write-downs. Any continued or increased net losses or asset impairments would adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to significant governmental regulations.

Our operations and exploration and development activities are subject to extensive federal, state, and local laws and regulations governing various matters, including:

- environmental protection;
- management and use of toxic substances and explosives;
- management of natural resources;
- exploration and development of mines, production and post-closure reclamation;
- taxation;
- labor standards and occupational health and safety, including mine safety; and
- historic and cultural preservation.

Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations may result in civil or criminal fines or penalties or enforcement actions, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities enjoining or curtailing

operations or requiring corrective measures, installation of additional equipment or remedial actions, any of which could result in us incurring significant expenditures. We may also be required to compensate private parties suffering loss or damage by reason of a breach of such laws, regulations or permitting requirements. It is also possible that future laws and regulations, or a more stringent enforcement of current laws and regulations by governmental authorities, could cause additional expense, capital expenditures, restrictions on or suspensions of any future operations and delays in the exploration of our properties.

Changes in mining or environmental laws could increase costs and impair our ability to develop our properties.

From time to time the U.S. Congress, or the Executive Branch by decree, may determine to revise U.S. mining and environmental laws. It remains unclear to what extent new legislation or regulations may affect existing mining claims or operations. The effect of any such revisions on our operations cannot be determined conclusively until such revision is enacted; however, such legislation could materially increase costs on properties located on federal lands, such as ours, and such revision could also impair our ability to develop the Properties and to explore and develop other mineral projects.

Mineral exploration and development inherently involves significant and irreducible financial risks. We may suffer from the failure to find and develop profitable mineral deposits.

The exploration for and development of mineral deposits involves significant financial risks, which even a combination of careful evaluation, experience and knowledge may not eliminate. Unprofitable efforts may result from the failure to discover mineral deposits. Even if mineral deposits are found, such deposits may be insufficient in quantity and quality to return a profit from production, or it may take a number of years until production is possible, during which time the economic viability of the project may change. Few properties which are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. Mining companies rely on consultants and others for exploration, development, construction and operating expertise.

Substantial expenditures are required to establish ore reserves, extract metals from ores and, in the case of new properties, to construct mining and processing facilities. The economic feasibility of any development project is based upon, among other things, estimates of the size and grade of ore reserves, proximity to infrastructures and other resources (such as water and power), metallurgical recoveries, production rates and capital and operating costs of such development projects, and metals prices. Development projects are also subject to the completion of favorable feasibility studies, issuance and maintenance of necessary permits and receipt of adequate financing.

Once a mineral deposit is developed, whether it will be commercially viable depends on a number of factors, including: the particular attributes of the deposit, such as size, grade and proximity to infrastructure; government regulations including taxes, royalties and land tenure; land use, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection; and mineral prices. Factors that affect adequacy of infrastructure include: reliability of roads, bridges, power sources and water supply; unusual or infrequent weather phenomena; sabotage; and government

or other interference in the maintenance or provision of such infrastructure. All of these factors are highly cyclical. The exact effect of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but the combination may result in not receiving an adequate return on invested capital.

Significant investment risks and operational costs are associated with our exploration activities. These risks and costs may result in lower economic returns and may adversely affect our business.

Mineral exploration, particularly for gold, involves many risks and is frequently unproductive. If mineralization is discovered, it may take a number of years until production is possible, during which time the economic viability of the project may change.

Development projects may have no operating history upon which to base estimates of future operating costs and capital requirements. Development project items such as estimates of reserves, metal recoveries and cash operating costs are to a large extent based upon the interpretation of geologic data, obtained from a limited number of drill holes and other sampling techniques, and feasibility studies. Estimates of cash operating costs are then derived based upon anticipated tonnage and grades of ore to be mined and processed, the configuration of the ore body, expected recovery rates of metals from the ore, comparable facility and equipment costs, anticipated climate conditions and other factors. As a result, actual cash operating costs and economic returns of any and all development projects may materially differ from the costs and returns estimated, and accordingly, our financial condition and results of operations may be negatively affected.

Our failure to satisfy the financial commitments under the agreements controlling our rights to explore on our current prospects could result in our loss of those potential opportunities.

We hold all of our mineral interests under agreements and commitments that require ongoing financial obligations, including work commitments. Our failure to satisfy those obligations could result in a loss of those interests. In such an event, we would be required to recognize an impairment of the assets currently reported in our financial statements.

We are required to obtain government permits to begin new operations. The acquisition of such permits can be materially impacted by third party litigation seeking to prevent the issuance of such permits. The costs and delays associated with such approvals could affect our operations, reduce our revenues, and negatively affect our business as a whole.

Mining companies are required to seek governmental permits for the commencement of new operations. Obtaining the necessary governmental permits is a complex and time-consuming process involving numerous jurisdictions and often involving public hearings and costly undertakings. The duration and success of permitting efforts are contingent on many factors that are out of our control. The governmental approval process may increase costs and cause delays depending on the nature of the activity to be permitted, and could cause us to not proceed with the development of a mine. Accordingly, this approval process could harm our results of operations.

Any of our future acquisitions may result in significant risks, which may adversely affect our business.

An important element of our business strategy is the opportunistic acquisition of operating mines, properties and businesses or interests therein within our geographical area of interest. While it is our practice to engage independent mining consultants to assist in evaluating and making acquisitions, any mining properties or interests therein we may acquire may not be developed profitably or, if profitable when acquired, that profitability might not be sustained. In connection with any future acquisitions, we may incur indebtedness or issue equity securities, resulting in increased interest expense, or dilution of the percentage ownership of existing shareholders. We cannot predict the impact of future acquisitions on the price of our business or our common stock. Unprofitable acquisitions, or additional indebtedness or

issuances of securities in connection with such acquisitions, may impact the price of our common stock and negatively affect our results of operations.

Our ability to find and acquire new mineral properties is uncertain. Accordingly, our prospects are uncertain for the future growth of our business.

Because mines have limited lives based on proven and probable ore reserves, we may seek to replace and expand our future ore reserves, if any. Identifying promising mining properties is difficult and speculative. Furthermore, we encounter strong competition from other mining companies in connection with the acquisition of properties producing or capable of producing gold. Many of these companies have greater financial resources than we do. Consequently, we may be unable to replace and expand future ore reserves through the acquisition of new mining properties or interests therein on terms we consider acceptable. As a result, our future revenues from the sale of gold or other precious metals, if any, may decline, resulting in lower income and reduced growth.

Changes in the corporate and securities laws and regulations are likely to increase our costs.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (“SOX”), which became law in July 2002, has required changes that affect our corporate governance, securities disclosure and compliance practices. In response to the requirements of SOX, the SEC and major stock exchanges have promulgated new rules and listing standards covering a variety of subjects. Compliance with these new rules and listing standards are likely to increase our general and administrative costs, and we expect these to continue to increase in the future. In particular, we are required to include the management report on internal control as part of this and future annual reports pursuant to Section 404 of SOX. We have evaluated our internal control systems in order (i) to allow management to report on our internal controls, as required by these laws, rules and regulations, (ii) to provide reasonable assurance that our public disclosure will be accurate and complete, and (iii) to comply with the other provisions of Section 404 of SOX. We cannot be certain as to the timing of the completion of our evaluation, testing and remediation actions or the impact these may have on our operations.

Furthermore, there is no precedent available by which to measure compliance adequacy. If we are not able to implement the requirements relating to internal controls and all other provisions of Section 404 in a timely fashion or achieve adequate compliance with these requirements or other requirements of SOX, we might become subject to sanctions or investigation by regulatory authorities such as the SEC or FINRA. Any such action may materially adversely affect our reputation, financial condition and the value of our securities, including our common stock. We expect that SOX and these other laws, rules and regulations will increase legal and financial compliance costs and will make our corporate governance activities more difficult, time-consuming and costly. We also expect that these new requirements will make it more difficult and expensive for us to obtain director and officer liability insurance.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal controls, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results or prevent fraud. As a result, current and potential shareholders could lose confidence in our financial reporting, this would harm our business and the trading price of our stock.

Effective internal controls are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and effectively prevent fraud. If we cannot provide financial reports or prevent fraud, our business reputation and operating results could be harmed. Inferior internal controls could also cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, which could have a negative effect on the trading price of our stock.

Nevada law and our by-laws protect our directors from certain types of lawsuits.

Nevada law provides that our directors will not be liable to us or our stockholders for monetary damages for all but certain types of conduct as directors. Our by-laws require us to indemnify our directors and officers against all damages incurred in connection with our business to the fullest extent provided or allowed by law. The exculpation provisions may have the effect of preventing shareholders from recovering damages against our directors caused by their negligence, poor judgment or other circumstances. The indemnification provisions may require us to use our assets to defend our directors and officers against claims, including claims arising out of their negligence, poor judgment, or other circumstances.

Risks Related to Our Stock

Future issuances of our common stock could dilute current shareholders and adversely affect the market if it develops.

We have the authority to issue up to 100 million shares of common stock and 25 million shares of preferred stock and to issue options and warrants to purchase shares of our common stock, without shareholder approval. Future share issuances are likely due to our need to raise additional working capital in the future. Those future issuances will likely result in dilution to our shareholders. In addition, we could issue large blocks of our common stock to fend off unwanted tender offers or hostile takeovers without further shareholder approval, which would not only result in further dilution to investors in this offering but could also depress the market value of our common stock, if a public trading market develops.

We may issue preferred stock that would have rights that are preferential to the rights of our common stock that could discourage potentially beneficial transactions to our common shareholders.

An issuance of shares of preferred stock could result in a class of outstanding securities that would have preferences with respect to voting rights and dividends and in liquidation over our common stock and could, upon conversion or otherwise, have all of the rights of our common stock. Our Board of Directors' authority to issue preferred stock could discourage potential takeover attempts or could delay or prevent a change in control through merger, tender offer, proxy contest or otherwise by making these attempts more difficult or costly to achieve. The issuance of preferred stock could impair the voting, dividend and liquidation rights of common stockholders without their approval.

There is currently an illiquid market for our common shares, and shareholders may be unable to sell their shares for an indefinite period of time.

There is presently an illiquid market for our common shares. There is no assurance that a liquid market for our common shares will ever develop in the United States or elsewhere, or that if such a market does develop that it will continue.

Over-the-counter stocks are subject to risks of high volatility and price fluctuation.

We have not applied to have our shares listed on any stock exchange or on the NASDAQ Capital Market, and we do not plan to do so in the foreseeable future. The OTC market for securities has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations during certain periods. These broad market fluctuations and other factors, such as commodity prices and the investment markets generally, as well as economic conditions and quarterly variations in our results of operations, may adversely affect the market price of our common stock and make it more difficult for investors to sell their shares.

Trading in our securities is on an electronic bulletin board established for securities that do not meet NASDAQ listing requirements. As a result, investors will find it substantially more difficult to dispose of our securities. Investors may also find it difficult to obtain accurate information and quotations as to the price of, our common stock.

Our stock price may be volatile and as a result, shareholders could lose all or part of their investment. The value of our shares could decline due to the impact of any of the following factors upon the market price of our common stock:

- .
- failure to meet operating budget;
- .
- decline in demand for our common stock;
- .
- operating results failing to meet the expectations of securities analysts or investors in any quarter;
- .
- downward revisions in securities analysts' estimates or changes in general market conditions;
- .
- investor perception of the mining industry or our prospects; and
- .
- general economic trends.

In addition, stock markets have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations and the market prices of securities have been highly volatile. These fluctuations are often unrelated to operating performance and may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Outstanding shares that are eligible for future sale could adversely impact a public trading market for our common stock

All of the shares of common stock that were distributed under the Athena spin-off dividend are free-trading shares. In addition, in the future, we may offer and sell shares without registration under the Securities Act. All of such shares will be "restricted securities" as defined by Rule 144 ("Rule 144") under the Securities Act and cannot be resold without registration except in reliance on Rule 144 or another applicable exemption from registration. Under Rule 144, our non-affiliates can sell restricted shares held for at least six months, subject only to the restriction that we

made available public information as required by Rule 144. Our affiliates can sell restricted securities every ninety-days , subject to compliance with manner of sale, Form 144 filing and current public information requirements.

No prediction can be made as to the effect, if any, that future sales of restricted shares of common stock, or the availability of such common stock for sale, will have on the market price of the common stock prevailing from time to time. Sales of substantial amounts of such common stock in the public market, or the perception that such sales may occur, could adversely affect the then prevailing market price of the common stock.

Owners of our common stock are subject to the “penny stock” rules.

Since our shares are not listed on a national stock exchange or quoted on the Nasdaq Market within the United States, trading in our shares on the OTC market is subject, to the extent the market price for our shares is less than \$5.00 per share, to a number of regulations known as the "penny stock rules". The penny stock rules require a broker-dealer to deliver a standardized risk disclosure document prepared by the SEC, to provide the customer with additional information including current bid and offer quotations for the penny stock, the compensation of the broker-dealer and its salesperson in the transaction, monthly account statements showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer's account, and to make a special written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the investor and receive the investor's written agreement to the transaction. To the extent these requirements may be applicable they will reduce the level of trading activity in the secondary market for our shares and may

severely and adversely affect the ability of broker-dealers to sell our shares, if a publicly traded market develops.

We do not expect to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Any return on investment may be limited to the value of our stock.

We have never paid any cash dividends on any shares of our capital stock, and we do not anticipate that we will pay any dividends in the foreseeable future. Our current business plan is to retain any future earnings to finance the expansion of our business. Any future determination to pay cash dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors, and will be dependent upon our financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements and other factors as our board of directors may deem relevant at that time. If we do not pay cash dividends, our stock may be less valuable because a return on your investment will only occur if our stock price appreciates.

Nevada law and our by-laws protect our directors from certain types of lawsuits.

Nevada law provides that our directors will not be liable to us or our stockholders for monetary damages for all but certain types of conduct as directors. Our by-laws require us to indemnify our directors and officers against all damages incurred in connection with our business to the fullest extent provided or allowed by law. The exculpation provisions may have the effect of preventing stockholders from recovering damages against our directors caused by their negligence, poor judgment or other circumstances. The indemnification provisions may require us to use our assets to defend our directors and officers against claims, including claims arising out of their negligence, poor judgment, or other circumstances.

ITEM 1B. – UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Mining Properties

Descriptions of our mining properties are contained in the Business discussion in this Report.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None

ITEM 4.

REMOVED AND RESERVED

PART II**ITEM 5.****MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

Effective May 2012, our common stock was approved for quotation on the OTC Bulletin Board under the ticker symbol "MAGE." The following sets forth the high and low trading prices for the periods shown:

	2015			
	High		Low	
First quarter ended March 31	\$ 0.05	\$	0.03	
Second quarter ended June 30	\$ 0.09	\$	0.03	
Third quarter ended September 30	\$ 0.08	\$	0.04	
Fourth quarter ended December 31	\$ 0.08	\$	0.04	

The bid and ask prices of the Company's common stock as of December 31, 2015 were \$0.036 and \$0.050 respectively, as reported on the OTCBB. The OTCBB prices are bid and ask prices which represent prices between broker-dealers and do not include retail mark-ups and mark-downs or any commissions to the broker-dealer. The prices do not reflect prices in actual transactions. As of March 14, 2016 there were approximately 51 record owners of the Company's common stock.

The OTC Bulletin Board is a registered quotation service that displays real-time quotes, last sale prices and volume information in over-the-counter (OTC) securities. An OTC equity security generally is any equity that is not listed or traded on NASDAQ or a national securities exchange. The OTCBB is not an issuer listing service, market or exchange. Although the OTCBB does not have any listing requirements, per se, to be eligible for quotation on the OTCBB, issuers must remain current in their filings with the SEC or applicable regulatory authority.

Our Board of Directors may declare and pay dividends on outstanding shares of common stock out of funds legally available therefore in its sole discretion; however, to date, no dividends have been paid on common stock and we do not anticipate the payment of dividends in the foreseeable future.

Trading in our common stock is subject to rules adopted by the SEC regulating broker dealer practices in connection with transactions in "penny stocks." Those disclosure rules applicable to penny stocks require a broker dealer, prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from the rules, to deliver a standardized risk disclosure document prepared by the SEC. That disclosure document advises an investor that investment in penny stocks can be very risky and that the investor's salesperson or broker is not an impartial advisor but rather paid to sell the shares. The disclosure contains further warnings for the investor to exercise caution in connection with an investment in penny

stocks, to independently investigate the security, as well as the salesperson with whom the investor is working and to understand the risky nature of an investment in this security. The broker dealer must also provide the customer with certain other information and must make a special written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written agreement to the transaction. Further, the rules require that, following the proposed transaction, the broker provide the customer with monthly account statements containing market information about the prices of the securities.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None, except as previously reported.

Edgar Filing: MAGELLAN GOLD Corp - Form 10-K
EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

We have not adopted any equity compensation or stock option plans.

ITEM 6.

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

We are a smaller reporting company as defined by the Exchange Act and are not required to provide the information required under this item.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

We use the terms "Magellan," the "Company," "we," "our," and "us" to refer to Magellan Gold Corporation.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto, appearing elsewhere in this Report. The discussion of results, causes and trends should not be construed to imply any conclusion that these results or trends will necessarily continue into the future.

Forward-Looking Statements

Some of the information presented in this Form 10-K constitutes "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements that include terms such as "may," "will," "intend," "anticipate," "estimate," "expect," "continue," "believe," "plan," "likely," as well as all statements that are not historical facts. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations. Although we believe our expectations are based on reasonable assumptions within the bounds of our knowledge of our business and operations, there can be no assurance that actual results will not differ materially from expectations.

All forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which they are made. We undertake no obligation to update such statements to reflect events that occur or circumstances that exist after the date on which they are made.

Overview

We were incorporated on September 28, 2010, in Nevada. Our principal business is the acquisition and exploration of mineral resources. We have not presently determined whether the properties to which we have mineral rights contain mineral reserves that are economically recoverable.

We have only had limited operations to date and we rely upon the sale of our securities and borrowings from significant investors to fund our operations, as we have not generated any revenue.

In August 2012, we entered into an option agreement and subsequently purchased the "Silver District" project consisting of 85 unpatented lode mining claims, 4 patented lode claims, a Arizona State Exploration Permit of 154.66 acres and 23 unpatented mill site claims, totaling over 2,000 acres in La Paz County, Arizona. Since our acquisition, we have increased our land position in the Silver District by staking two unpatented lode mining claims, leased two additional patented claims and have increased our Arizona State Exploration Permit to 334.85 acres.

On September 30, 2014, we formed and organized a new wholly-owned subsidiary, Gulf + Western Industries, Inc., a Nevada corporation ("Gulf+Western" or "G+W"), to own and operate our Silver District mining interests. On October 1, 2014 we completed the transfer of those assets from Magellan to G+W. At the time of the transfer, Magellan owned all the outstanding common stock of G+W. Effective December 31, 2014, Magellan pledged all its ownership interest in G+W to Mr. John D. Gibbs, a significant shareholder in the Company, as security for outstanding amounts under a line of credit agreement between Magellan and Mr. Gibbs. As of December 31, 2015, the total amount owed under the credit agreement was \$989,711, which includes \$887,500 of principal and \$102,211 of accrued interest.

On June 1, 2015, we transferred 15% of our ownership interest in G+W to Dr. Pierce Carson in exchange for one year of service as President, Chief Executive Officer and Director of G+W. As a result of the transaction, Magellan's ownership interest in G+W was reduced to 85%. The transaction was valued at \$50,000 representing deferred compensation for the one-year period June 2015, through May 2016.

Magellan staked fifty (50) unpatented lode mining claims known as the "Sacramento Mountains Project" totaling approximately 1,000 acres, in which it had a 100% unencumbered interest, on Federal (BLM) land in October 2012 and filed the claims with the BLM in January 2013. The project is located in the northwest corner of the Sacramento Mountains approximately 10 miles WNW of Needles, California. In August 2015, we renewed 14 of these claims with the Bureau of Land Management, and these claims will remain in good standing through August 31, 2016.

Our primary focus during the next twelve months, and depending on available resources, will be to further explore our mineral properties.

Results of Operations

Results of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2015 and 2014

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Operating expenses:		
Exploration costs	\$ 19,351	\$ 134,697
General and administrative expenses	173,864	92,234
Total operating expenses	193,215	226,931
Operating loss	(193,215)	(226,931)
Other income (expense):		
Interest expense	(56,142)	(68,118)
Loss on change in derivative	(35,420)	(580)
Net loss	\$ (284,777)	\$ (295,629)
<i>Operating expenses</i>		

During the year ended December 31, 2015, our total operating expenses were \$193,215 as compared to \$226,931 during the year ended December 31, 2014.

During the year ended December 31, 2015 we incurred \$19,351 of exploration costs as compared to \$134,697 in 2014. Exploration costs for the year ended December 31, 2015 are primarily comprised of \$13,000 of advance royalty payments associated with our Silver District claims, and geologist fees associated with oversight of our holdings and review of potential opportunities.

Exploration costs for the year ended December 31, 2014 are primarily comprised of drilling expenses, geologist fees and other related costs totaling approximately \$93,000 associated with our exploratory drilling program on our Silver District project during April 2014. Other exploration costs totaling approximately \$41,000 primarily consist of various geologic, geochemical, lease maintenance and other exploration related costs associated with other projects.

General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2015 totaling \$173,864 were comprised of professional fees including accounting and audit fees of \$42,907, legal fees totaling \$25,447, management fees to Mr. Power totaling \$30,000, other professional fees of \$11,464, and other expenses totaling \$34,879 mainly comprised of travel expenses, claim renewal and other fees paid to the BLM (\$17,050 Silver District and \$2,170 Sacramento Project), licenses and other administrative related expenses.

In addition, on June 1, 2015, we transferred 15% of our ownership interest in G+W to Dr. Pierce Carson in exchange for one year of service as President, Chief Executive Officer and Director of G+W. As a result of the transaction, Magellan's ownership interest in G+W was reduced to 85%. The transaction was valued at \$50,000 representing deferred compensation for the one-year period June 2015, through May 2016. General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2015 includes \$29,167 of compensation expense representing the amortization of deferred compensation since June 2015.

General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2014 totaling \$92,234 were comprised of professional fees including accounting and audit fees of \$27,503, legal fees totaling \$20,532, management fees to Mr. Power totaling \$30,000, other professional fees of \$4,443, and other expenses totaling \$9,756 mainly comprised of travel expenses, fees, licenses and other office related expenses.

Interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 totaled \$56,142 and \$68,118, respectively, and is primarily attributable to our related party line of credit, which accrues interest at the rate of 6.0% per year, as well as our related party notes payable which accrue interest at a weighted average interest rate of 6.58%.

In addition, in October 2014 we converted certain amounts payable to a legal services provider into a Convertible Note Payable. Interest accrues quarterly on the outstanding principal and interest balances of the Note at 6% per annum.

The Note contains certain anti-dilution provisions that would reduce the conversion price should the Company issue common stock equivalents at a price less than the Note conversion price. Accordingly, the conversion features of the Note are considered a discount to the Note. However, since the Note is payable upon demand by the Note holder, the value of the discount was considered interest expense at the time of its inception. The Note is evaluated quarterly, and upon any quarterly valuations in which the value of the discount changes, we recognize a gain or loss due to a decrease or increase, respectively, in the fair value of the derivative liability. For the year ended December 31, 2015, we recorded a loss on the change in the derivative liability of \$35,420.

We estimated the fair value of the derivative at December 31, 2015 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, which includes assumptions for expected dividends, expected share price volatility, risk-free interest rate, and expected life of the Note. Our expected volatility assumption is based on our historical weekly closing price of our stock over a period equivalent to the expected remaining life of the Note.

The following table summarizes the assumptions used to value the derivative Note discount at December 31, 2015:

	December 31,
Fair value assumptions – derivative:	2015
Risk free interest rate	0.65%
Expected term (years)	1.0
Expected volatility	155%
Expected dividends	0%

The following table summarizes the assumptions used to value the derivative Note discount at inception on October 1, 2014:

	Inception: October
Fair value assumptions – derivative:	31, 2014
Risk free interest rate	0.11%
Expected term (years)	1.0
Expected volatility	146%
Expected dividends	0%

The following table summarizes the assumptions used to value the derivative Note discount at December 31, 2014:

Fair value assumptions – derivative:	December 31, 2014
Risk free interest rate	0.25%
Expected term (years)	1.0
Expected volatility	162%
Expected dividends	0%

Liquidity and Capital Resources:

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which assumes that we will be able to meet our obligations and continue our operations during the next fiscal year. Asset realization values may be significantly different from carrying values as shown in our consolidated financial statements and do not give effect to adjustments that would be necessary to the carrying values of assets and liabilities should we be unable to continue as a going concern. At December 31, 2015, we had not yet generated any revenues or achieved profitable operations and we have accumulated losses of \$1,369,103. We expect to incur further losses in the development of our business, all of which casts substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our ability to continue as a going concern depends on our ability to generate future profits and/or to obtain the necessary financing to meet our obligations arising from normal business operations when they come due.

We intend to meet our cash requirements for the next 12 months primarily through the utilization of our line of credit, as well as the private placement of debt or equity instruments. We currently do not have any arrangements in place to complete private placement financings and there is no assurance that we will be successful in completing any such financings on terms that will be acceptable to us.

On December 31, 2012, we entered into a line of credit arrangement with John D. Gibbs, a significant investor, to facilitate timely cash flows for the Company's operations. The line of credit initially provided for a maximum balance of \$250,000, and accrues interest at 6%, which is payable from time to time and due at maturity. On December 31, 2013 we amended our credit agreement with Mr. Gibbs to increase the borrowing limit under the line of credit to \$750,000.

On December 31, 2014 the agreement was again amended to increase the borrowing limit under the line of credit to \$900,000 and extend the maturity date to December 31, 2015. As part of the 2014 amendment and the subsequent appointment of Dr. Pierce Carson as the President, CEO and Director of G+W effective June 1, 2015, we have pledged all of our 85% equity interest in G+W, which owns the Silver District properties, as security for all amounts outstanding under the credit agreement.

And finally, on December 31, 2015 the agreement was again amended to increase the borrowing limit under the line of credit to \$1,000,000 and extend the maturity date to December 31, 2016.

Our primary priority is to retain our reporting status with the SEC, which means that we will first ensure that we have sufficient capital to cover our legal and accounting expenses. Once these costs are accounted for, in accordance with how much financing we are able to secure, we will focus on further exploration and development of our mineral properties. We will likely not expend funds on the remainder of our planned activities unless we have the required capital.

Cash Flows

A summary of our cash provided by and used in operating, investing and financing activities is as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Net cash used in operating activities	\$ (154,273)	\$ (199,884)
Net cash used in investing activities	-	(180,000)
Net cash provided by financing activities	155,046	377,850
Net increase decrease in cash	773	(2,034)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	94	2,128
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 867	\$ 94

At December 31, 2015, we had cash of \$867 and a \$1,193,037 working capital deficit. This compares to cash of \$94 and a working capital deficit of \$958,260 at December 31, 2014.

Net cash used in operating activities during the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$154,273 and was mainly comprised of our \$(284,777) net loss during the period, which was partially offset with the change in our derivative liability and the amortization of deferred compensation, both as discussed above in Results of Operations. We also had an increase in prepaid expenses of \$559 as well as increases in accounts payable and accrued expenses totaling \$17,584, and increases in accrued interest totaling \$48,892 representing accrued interest on our related party line of credit and other notes payable.

Net cash used in operating activities during the year ended December 31, 2014 was \$199,884 and was mainly comprised of our \$(295,629) net loss during the period, which was partially offset with interest expense and the change in our derivative liability as discussed above in Results of Operations, and a decrease in prepaid expenses and other assets totaling \$21,457 representing a refund of a deposit we paid in November 2013 to the Bureau of Land Management for potential reclamation of proposed drilling sites should we decide to drill exploratory holes on our Silver District project. In April 2014 we completed an exploratory drilling program, and upon its completion requested the return of the deposited funds from the BLM, and in July 2014 those funds were received. We also had increases in accounts payable and accrued expenses totaling \$5,590, as well as increases in accrued interest totaling \$38,178 representing accrued interest on our related party line of credit and notes payable.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, we had no investing activity transactions.

During the year ended December 31, 2014 we used \$180,000 of cash in investing activities, of which \$80,000 represented a payment to Columbus Exploration under our option agreement to make the final installment on the purchase of a patented claim included in the Silver District land package. In addition, effective September 29, 2014, we entered into a purchase agreement with Columbus Silver Corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiary of Columbus Exploration Corporation. Under the terms of the agreement, we purchased certain patented and unpatented mining claims, an Arizona State Exploration Permit and a mining lease with a third-party in La Paz County, Arizona known as the Silver District which were the subject of the Option Agreement-Silver District dated August 28, 2012 between the Company and Columbus. The consideration for the Silver District Claims was a one-time payment of \$100,000. The Agreement supersedes the Option Agreement and conveys the Silver District Claims to the Company.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, net cash provided by financing activities was \$155,046, which primarily reflects additional borrowings totaling \$157,896 under our credit agreement with Mr. Gibbs. In addition, we received \$6,545 and subsequently repaid \$9,395 in outstanding advances made by Mr. Power.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, cash provided by financing activities was \$377,850. During 2014, we drew an additional \$330,000 on this credit line. In addition, we received an additional \$50,000 in funding from Mr. Power in the form of a demand note, which accrues interest at 6.75%. During the second quarter of 2014, the Company paid \$5,000 representing a reduction of principal on Mr. Power's \$20,000 demand note, which accrues interest at 6%. Also, during 2014, Mr. Power advanced the Company \$42,350, of which \$39,500 had been repaid, and in 2015 the residual balance was repaid.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have and have never had any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements:

In February 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued ASU No. 2016-02, "Leases: Topic 842 (ASU 2016-02)", to supersede nearly all existing lease guidance under GAAP. The guidance would require lessees to recognize most leases on their balance sheets as lease liabilities with corresponding right-of-use assets. ASU 2016-02 is effective for the Company in the first quarter of our fiscal year ending December 31, 2019 using a modified retrospective approach with the option to elect certain practical expedients. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of its pending adoption of ASU 2016-02 on its consolidated financial statements.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires us to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the amounts reported in our financial statements. The accounting positions described below are significantly affected by critical accounting estimates.

We believe that the significant estimates, assumptions and judgments used when accounting for items and matters such as capitalized mineral rights, asset valuations, recoverability of assets, asset impairments, taxes, and other provisions were reasonable, based upon information available at the time they were made. Actual results could differ from these estimates, making it possible that a change in these estimates could occur in the near term.

Mineral Rights

We have determined that our mining rights meet the definition of mineral rights, as defined by accounting standards, and are tangible assets. As a result, our direct costs to acquire or lease mineral rights are initially capitalized as tangible assets. Direct costs include costs associated with: leasing or acquiring patented and unpatented mining claims; leasing mining rights including lease signature bonuses, lease rental payments and advance minimum royalty payments; and options to purchase or lease mineral properties. The Company expenses all costs related to the exploration of mineral claims in which it had secured exploration rights prior to establishment of proven and probable reserves.

If we establish proven and probable reserves for a mineral property and establish that the mineral property can be economically developed, mineral rights will be amortized over the estimated useful life of the property following the commencement of commercial production or expensed if it is determined that the mineral property has no future economic value or if the property is sold or abandoned. For mineral rights in which proven and probable reserves have not yet been established, we assess the carrying values for impairment at the end of each reporting period and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable.

The net carrying value of our mineral rights represents the fair value at the time the mineral rights were acquired less accumulated depletion and any impairment losses. Proven and probable reserves have not been established for mineral rights as of December 31, 2015. No impairment loss was recognized during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets

We continually monitor events and changes in circumstances that could indicate that our carrying amounts of long-lived assets, including mineral rights, may not be recoverable. When such events or changes in circumstances occur, we assess the recoverability of long-lived assets by determining whether the carrying value of such assets will be recovered through their undiscounted expected future cash flows. If the future undiscounted cash flows are less than the carrying amount of these assets, we recognize an impairment loss based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets.

Exploration Costs

Mineral exploration costs are expensed as incurred. When it has been determined that it is economically feasible to extract minerals and the permitting process has been initiated, exploration costs incurred to further delineate and develop the property are considered pre-commercial production costs and will be capitalized and included as mine development costs in our consolidated balance sheets.

Share-based Payments

We measure and recognize compensation expense or professional services expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees, directors and non-employee consultants based on estimated fair values. We estimate the fair value of stock options on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model, which includes assumptions for expected dividends, expected share price volatility, risk-free interest rate, and expected life of the options. Our expected volatility assumption is based on our historical weekly closing price of our stock over a period equivalent to the expected life of the options.

We expense share-based compensation, adjusted for estimated forfeitures, using the straight-line method over the vesting term of the award for our employees and directors and over the expected service term for our non-employee consultants. We estimate forfeitures at the time of grant and revise those estimates in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from our estimates. Our excess tax benefits, if any, cannot be credited to stockholders' equity until the deduction reduces cash taxes payable; accordingly, we realized no excess tax benefits during any of the periods presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes through the use of the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis, and for income tax carry-forwards. A valuation allowance is recorded to the extent that we cannot conclude that realization of deferred tax assets is more likely than not. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in operations in the period that includes the enactment date.

We follow a two-step approach to recognizing and measuring tax benefits associated with uncertain tax positions taken, or expected to be taken in a tax return. The first step is to determine if, based on the technical merits, it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained upon examination by a taxing authority, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes. The second step is to measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement with a taxing authority. We recognize interest and penalties, if any, related to uncertain tax positions in our provision for income taxes in the consolidated statements of operations. To date, we have not recognized any tax benefits from uncertain tax positions.

ITEM 8.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The financial statements required by this item are located in Item 15 beginning on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and are incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 9.

CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A.

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The SEC, as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, adopted rules requiring every company that files reports with the SEC to include a management report on the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures in its periodic reports and an annual assessment of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting in its annual report.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time period specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. Our management necessarily applied its judgment in assessing the costs and benefits of such controls and procedures, which, by their nature, can provide only reasonable assurance regarding management's control objectives.

Our management, with the participation of our CEO, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Report. Based upon this evaluation, our CEO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective because of the identification of a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting which is described below.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Rule 13a-15(f). Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and board of directors regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP and our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention of overriding controls. Accordingly, even effective internal control over financial reporting can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015. In making this assessment, it used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of December 31, 2015. Our CEO concluded we have a material weakness due to lack of segregation of duties and a limited corporate governance structure. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of control deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of our annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

Our size has prevented us from being able to employ sufficient resources to enable us to have an adequate level of supervision and segregation of duties within our system of internal control. Therefore while there are some compensating controls in place, it is difficult to ensure effective segregation of accounting and financial reporting duties. Management reported a material weakness resulting from the combination of the following significant deficiencies:

·
Lack of segregation of duties in certain accounting and financial reporting processes including the initiation, processing, recording and approval of disbursements;

·
Lack of a formal review process that includes multiple levels of review.

·
Lack of independent directors.

While we strive to segregate duties as much as practicable, there is an insufficient volume of transactions at this point in time to justify additional full time staff. We believe that this is typical in many exploration stage companies. We may not be able to fully remediate the material weakness until we commence mining operations at which time we would expect to hire more staff. We will continue to monitor and assess the costs and benefits of additional staffing.

This Annual Report does not include an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our registered public accounting firm pursuant to the SEC rules that permit us to provide only management's report in this Annual Report.

Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures:

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including John C. Power, our President who is also our Principal Accounting Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management necessarily applied its judgment in assessing the costs and benefits of such controls and procedures, which, by their nature, can provide only reasonable assurance regarding management's control objectives.

Our management, including Mr. Power, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, Mr. Power concluded that the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of such date to provide assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding disclosures.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2015, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B.

OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10.

DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Directors and Executive Officers

Our current executive officers and directors are:

Name	Age	Position
John C. Power	53	President, Secretary and Director
W. Pierce Carson	73	President, CEO and Director of Gulf + Western Industries, Inc.

John C. Power has served as President, Secretary and director since our inception in September 2010.

Mr. Power also serves as a director of Athena Silver Corporation since its inception in December 2003 and has served as Athena's President from December 2005 to December 2007 and from January 2009 to the present and has served as Athena's Secretary since January 2007.

Mr. Power is also a co-managing member since 2011 of Silver Saddle Resources, LLC a private company that owns mining claims in Nevada.

From March 2010 to present, Mr. Power has served as co-Managing Member of Ryan Air Exposition, LLC, a private California holding company that invests in antique airplanes. Mr. Power served as President and director of Alta California Broadcasting, Inc., which operated radio stations, from December 1993 to March 2007; and President and director of Four Rivers Broadcasting, Inc., also a radio broadcaster, from May 1997 to March 2005 and Vice President from March 2005 until December 2013. Mr. Power also has served as Co-Managing Member of Wyoming Resorts, LLC, which owns and operates an historic hotel in Thermopolis, Wyoming, since June 1997; and Mr. Power has served as President of Power Curve, Inc., a private investment company, since 1986. Mr. Power has also been the managing member of Best of Sea Ranch, LLC since December 2004 which operated through a joint venture a vacation home rental business in The Sea Ranch California. Mr. Power has been a general partner of Power Vacaville, LP a real estate investment firm since January 2008. Mr. Power also has served as the vice-president and director of The Tide Community Broadcasting, Inc. since July 2012.

From September 2008 to March 2012, Mr. Power served as an officer and director of Hungry Hunter, Inc., a private California-based restaurant enterprise. From March 2008 until February 2010, Mr. Power served as a director of Reserve Energy Corporation, a small private oil and gas exploration and production company; and was Managing Member of Montana Resorts, LLC, which is a holding company for Yellowstone Gateway Resorts, LLC, from May 2002 until May 2008; and was Managing Member of Yellowstone Gateway Resorts, LLC, which owned and operated the Gallatin Gateway Inn, from May 2002 until May 2008. On November 16, 2004, Yellowstone Gateway Resorts, LLC filed a voluntary petition in bankruptcy under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in response to an adverse arbitration award in favor of a former employee. Yellowstone Gateway Resorts, LLC was successfully reorganized under Chapter 11.

Mr. Power attended, but did not receive a degree from, Occidental College and University of California at Davis.

W. Pierce Carson. Mr. Carson has over 40 years of experience in the mining industry and has managed the discovery, financing, development and operation of precious metals, base metals and industrial minerals properties in the United States, Australia, Africa and Papua New Guinea. He has been responsible for or closely involved with a number of mineral deposits that have been developed into mines. Mr. Carson held the positions of Senior Geologist, Overseas Mineral Evaluation, and Exploration Manager, Australia for Exxon Minerals Company; Manager of Precious Metals Exploration, North America for Kennecott Copper Corporation; President and Director of Mining & Exploration Operations in Australia, Papua New Guinea, USA, Canada and Mexico for Nord Pacific Ltd.; President and Vice-President of Exploration for Nord Resources Corporation; and Chief Executive Officer for Santa Fe Gold Corporation. Mr. Carson holds a PhD in Economic and Structural Geology and an MS in Ore Deposits from Stanford University, and a Bachelor's Degree in Geology from Princeton University.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

During the last 10 years, except as disclosed herein, none of our directors or officers has:

a.

had any bankruptcy petition filed by or against any business of which such person was a general partner or executive officer either at the time of the bankruptcy or within two years prior to that time;

b.

been convicted in a criminal proceeding or subject to a pending criminal proceeding;

c.

been subject to any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring, suspending or otherwise limiting his involvement in any type of business, securities or banking activities; or

d.

been found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action, the Commission or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law, and the judgment has not been reversed, suspended, or vacated.

On June 1, 1998, the SEC issued an Order instituting proceedings alleging, among other things, that Mr. Power violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10(b)(5) promulgated thereunder by participating in a manipulation through his personal account of the public trading market for the stock of Premier Concepts, Inc., from approximately June 1994 through December 1994. On November 15, 2005, the US Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit issued an Opinion and Order dismissing the matter.

Our executive officers are elected at the annual meeting of our Board of Directors held after each annual meeting of our shareholders. Our directors are elected at the annual meeting of our shareholders. Each director and executive officer holds office until his successor is duly elected and qualified, until his resignation or until he is removed in the manner provided by our by-laws.

Family Relationships

No family relationships exist among our directors. Additionally, there do not exist any arrangements or understandings between any director and any other person pursuant to which any director was elected as such.

Conflicts of Interest

Athena Silver Corporation is a company under common control. Mr. Power is our President and director and is also a director and CEO of Athena. Mr. Power and Mr. Gibbs are significant investors in both Magellan and Athena.

Silver Saddle Resources, LLC (“Silver Saddle”) is a private company under common control. Mr. Power and Mr. Gibbs are significant investors and managing members of Silver Saddle.

Magellan, Athena and Silver Saddle are exploration stage companies and each is involved in the business of acquisition and exploration of mineral resources.

The existence of common ownership and common management could result in significantly different operating results or financial position from those that could have resulted had Magellan, Athena and Silver Saddle been autonomous. In addition, the common ownership could result in significant conflicts of interest both in terms of the allocation of working capital as well as under the doctrine of corporate opportunity, inasmuch as all three entities are engaged in mineral exploration in the United States. Messr. Power and Gibbs have not adopted any policy or guidelines to mitigate the potential adverse effects of their conflicting interests between and among, Magellan, Athena and Silver Saddle.

While the foregoing may mitigate the conflicts of interest inherent in the interlocking interests, it will not eliminate all potential future conflicts. Investors in Magellan should be cognizant that the interests of Magellan may, in the future, be in conflict with the other activities of Magellan's control persons.

Director Independence

Our common stock is listed on the OTC Bulletin Board's inter-dealer quotation systems, which does not have director independence requirements. Nevertheless, for purposes of determining director independence, we have applied the definition set forth in NASDAQ Rule 4200(a)(15). John C. Power, our sole director would not be considered "independent" under the NASDAQ rule due to the fact that John C. Power is an officer and sole director.

Board Meetings

During the year ended December 31, 2015, our Board held no meetings and has taken numerous actions by unanimous written consent.

Committees of the Board of Directors

We currently do not have standing audit, compensation or nominating committees of the Board of Directors. We plan to form audit, compensation and nominating committees when it is necessary to do so to comply with federal securities laws or to meet listing requirements of a stock exchange or the Nasdaq Capital Market.

Compliance with Section 16(a), Beneficial Ownership

Under the Securities Laws of the United States, our directors, executive (and certain other) officers, and any persons holding more than ten percent (10%) of our common stock during any part of our most recent fiscal year are required to report their ownership of common stock and any changes in that ownership to the SEC. Specific due dates for these reports have been established and we are required to report in this Report any failure to file by these dates. During the year ended December 31, 2015, all of these filing requirements were satisfied by our officers, directors, and ten-percent holders except that Mr. Gibbs failed to file three (3) reports covering 7 transactions in a timely fashion and Mr. Power failed to file two (2) reports covering 2 transactions in a timely fashion. In making these statements, we have relied on the written representation of our directors and officers or copies of the reports that they have filed with the Commission.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to, among other persons, our company's principal executive officer, as well as persons performing similar functions. As adopted, our Code of Ethics sets forth written guidelines to promote:

.
honest and ethical conduct, including the ethical handling of actual or apparent conflicts of interest between personal and professional relationships;

.
full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in all reports and documents that we file with, or submit to, the SEC and in other public communications made by us that are within the executive officer's area of responsibility;

.
compliance with applicable governmental laws, rules and regulations;

.
the prompt internal reporting of violations of the Code; and

.
accountability for adherence to the Code.

Our Code of Ethics is on file with the SEC. We will provide a copy of the Code of Ethics to any person without charge, upon request. Requests can be sent to: Magellan Gold Corporation, 2010A Harbison Drive # 312, Vacaville,

CA 95687.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**Director Compensation**

Our sole director, Mr. Power, receives no compensation for his services as director.

Executive Compensation

The following table sets forth all compensation paid to Mr. Power for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. :

		SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE								
		Nonqualified								
Name		Deferred			Non equity			Compensation		Total
and		Stock		Options Incentive Plan			Earnings		All Other	Total
Principal	Salary	Awards		Awards Compensation			Earnings		Compensation	Total
Position	Year	(\$)	Bonus (\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
John C. Power,	2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30,000	30,000
President	2014	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30,000	30,000

Mr. Power is our only executive officer. We entered into a consulting agreement with Mr. Power at the rate of \$30,000 per year for his part-time service as our President. Mr. Power devotes approximately 25% of his time and attention to our business.

We did not have outstanding equity awards at December 31, 2015 and 2014.

Employment Agreements

We do not have any written employment agreements other than the above-referenced consulting agreement with any of our executive officers; nor do we have or maintain key man life insurance on Mr. Power.

Gulf + Western has entered into an employment agreement with W. Pierce Carson to serve as President and CEO for a period of one year ending May 31, 2016. Mr. Carson's compensation was in the form of a transfer of a 15% equity interest in Gulf + Western.

Equity Incentive Plan

We have not adopted any stock option, equity or other incentive equity plan and have no immediate plans to do so.

Indemnification of Directors and Officers

Nevada Revised Statutes provide that a corporation may indemnify any person who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any threatened, pending or completed action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative, except an action by or in the right of the corporation, by reason of the fact that he is or was a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation, or is or was serving at the request of the corporation as a director, officer, employee or agent of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise, against expenses, including attorneys' fees, judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred by him in connection with the action, suit or proceeding if he acted in good faith and in a manner which he reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the corporation, and, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe his conduct was unlawful.

Nevada Revised Statutes also provide that to the extent that a director, officer, employee or agent of a corporation has been successful on the merits or otherwise in defense of any action, suit or proceeding, or in defense of any claim, issue or matter therein, the corporation shall indemnify him against expenses, including attorneys' fees, actually and reasonably incurred by him in connection with the defense.

Our Articles of Incorporation authorize us to indemnify our directors and officers to the fullest extent permitted under Nevada Revised Statutes. Our bylaws set forth the procedures that must be followed in order for directors and officers to receive indemnity payments from us.

ITEM 12.**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

The following table sets forth information with respect to beneficial ownership of our common stock by:

- * each person who beneficially owns more than 5% of our common stock;
- * each of our executive officers named in the Management section;
- * each of our directors; and
- * all executive officers and directors as a group.

The following table shows the number of shares owned and the percentage of outstanding common stock owned as of March 14, 2016. Each person has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares shown, except as noted.

Name and Address of <u>Beneficial Owner</u> ⁽¹⁾	Amount and Nature of Beneficial <u>Ownership</u> ⁽²⁾	Ownership as a Percentage of Outstanding <u>Common Shares</u> ⁽³⁾
John Gibbs 807 Wood N Creek Ardmore, OK 73041	36,793,623 (4)	75.29%
John C. Power	8,546,020	17.49%
All officers and directors as a group (one person)	8,546,020	17.49%

- (1) Unless otherwise stated, address is 2010A Harbison Drive # 312, Vacaville, CA 95687.
- (2) Under SEC Rules, we include in the number of shares owned by each person the number of shares issuable under outstanding options or warrants if those options or warrants are exercisable within 60 days of the date of this Annual Report. In calculating percentage ownership, we calculate the ownership of each person who owns exercisable options by adding (i) the number of exercisable options for that person only to (ii) the number of total shares outstanding and dividing that result into (iii) the total number of shares and exercisable options owned by that person.
- (3) Shares and percentages beneficially owned are based upon 48,869,091 shares outstanding on March 14, 2016.
- (4) Includes 516,500 shares owned by TriPower Resources, Inc., of which John D. Gibbs is President and controlling shareholder.

ITEM 13.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Except as disclosed herein, there have been no transactions or proposed transactions in which the amount involved exceeds the lesser of \$120,000 or one percent of the average of our total assets at year-end for the last two completed fiscal years in which any of our directors, executive officers or beneficial holders of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of our common stock, or any of their respective relatives, spouses, associates or affiliates, has had or will have any direct or material indirect interest.

Related Parties

Athena Silver Corporation is a company under common control. Mr. Power is also a director and CEO of Athena. Mr. Gibbs is a significant investor in both Magellan and Athena. Magellan and Athena are both exploration stage companies involved in the business of acquisition and exploration of mineral resources.

Silver Saddle Resources, LLC is a private company under common control. Mr. Power and Mr. Gibbs are significant investors and managing members of Silver Saddle. Magellan and Silver Saddle are both exploration stage companies involved in the business of acquisition and exploration of mineral resources.

The existence of common ownership and common management could result in significantly different operating results or financial position from those that could have resulted had Magellan, Athena and Silver Saddle been autonomous.

Management Fees

On January 1, 2011, we entered into a month-to-month management agreement with Mr. Power requiring a monthly payment, in advance, of \$2,500 as consideration for the day-to-day management of Magellan. During the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, we incurred \$30,000 of management fees to Mr. Power and these costs are included in general and administrative expenses in our statements of operations. At December 31, 2015, \$2,500 of the fees had not been paid and is included in Accrued liabilities on the accompanying balance sheet.

Due from Related Parties

During the year ended December 31, 2015 no non-interest bearing advances to related parties were made

Line of Credit – Related Parties

Effective December 31, 2012, we entered into an unsecured credit agreement with Mr. Gibbs with a maximum line balance of \$250,000. The promissory notes bear interest at 6% per annum and the principal plus all accrued interest was due December 31, 2014. On December 31, 2015 we amended our credit agreement with Mr. Gibbs to increase the borrowing limit under the line of credit to \$1,000,000. The current outstanding line balance is evidenced by \$887,500 in credit notes. All other terms of the credit agreement, including the interest rate and maturity date remained unchanged.

Accrued Interest - Related Parties

Accrued interest due to related parties is included in our consolidated balance sheets as follows:

	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Accrued interest payable - Mr. Power	\$ 1,775	\$ 4,750
Accrued interest payable - Mr. Gibbs	102,211	53,627
	\$ 103,986	\$ 58,377

During the year ended December 31 2015, we paid a total of \$7,250 to Mr. Power representing unpaid accrued interest.

Advances Payable – Related Parties

We borrowed and repaid non-interest bearing advances from/to related parties as follows:

	Year Ended December 31, 2015	
	Advances	Repayments
Mr. Power	\$ 6,545	\$ 9,395

	Year Ended December, 2014	
	Advances	Repayments
Mr. Power	\$ 42,350	\$ 39,500

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, \$0 and \$2,850, respectively, of advances from related parties were outstanding.

The Company also utilizes a credit card owned by Mr. Power to pay travel and other obligations when the availability of cash is limited or the timing of the payments is considered critical. A total of \$650 of Company charges were outstanding on this credit card at December 31, 2014, and is included in Accounts payable on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. No amounts were outstanding at December 31, 2015.

Deferred Compensation

On June 1, 2015, the Company appointed W. Pierce Carson to the positions of President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director of G+W. In connection with his appointment, the Company assigned to Mr. Carson restricted shares of G+W common stock representing 15% of the total issued and outstanding shares of G+W in return for one year of his services. The Company determined the value of the transaction at \$50,000, which was recorded as deferred compensation to be amortized monthly over the initial one-year term of the employment agreement. As such, we have recognized \$29,167 of compensation expense through December 31, 2015 in connection with this transaction.

Subsequent Events

Subsequent to December 31, 2015, additional advances totaling \$35,000 were made on the Company's credit agreement with Mr. Gibbs.

On February 12, 2016, the White House announced President Obama had designated three national monuments in southern California covering 1.8 million acres of federal lands. We believe our Sacramento claims are within the borders of one of these new monuments. We are in the process of determining what effect this new designation may have on its Sacramento Mountains Project.

Director Independence

Our common stock is not listed on a national securities exchange or inter-dealer quotation system. Under NASDAQ Rule 5605(a)(2) and Item 407(a) of Regulation S-K, a director is not considered to be independent if he or she is also an executive officer of the corporation. Our director is considered an executive officer under Rule 3b-7 of the Exchange Act. Therefore, our director is not independent.

As a result of our limited operating history and minimal resources, we believe that we will have difficulty in attracting independent directors. In addition, we would likely be required to obtain directors' and officers' insurance coverage in order to attract and retain independent directors. We believe that the costs associated with maintaining such insurance is prohibitive at this time.

ITEM 14.

PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANTING FEES AND SERVICES

We understand the need for our principal accountants to maintain objectivity and independence in their audit of our financial statements. To minimize relationships that could appear to impair the objectivity of our principal accountants, our Board of Directors has restricted the non-audit services that our principal accountants may provide to us primarily to tax services and audit-related services. We are only to obtain non-audit services from our principal accountants when the services offered by our principal accountants are more effective or economical than services available from other service providers, and, to the extent possible, only after competitive bidding. These determinations are among the key practices adopted by the Board of Directors. Our Board has adopted policies and procedures for pre-approving work performed by our principal accountants.

The aggregate fees billed for the fiscal years 2015 and 2014 for professional services rendered by our principal accountants for the audit of our annual financial statements and review of the financial statements included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and services that are normally provided by our accountants in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for these fiscal periods were as follows:

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Audit fees - audit of annual financial statements and review of financial statements included in our quarterly reports, services normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings	\$21,200	\$ 17,700
Audit-related fees - related to the performance of audit or review of financial statements not reported under "audit fees"	0	0
Tax fees - tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning	0	0
All other fees - services provided by our principal accountants other than those identified above	0	0
Total fees	\$21,200	\$ 14,200

After careful consideration, the Board of Directors has determined that payment of the audit fees is in conformance with the independent status of our principal independent accountants.

PART IV

ITEM 15 – EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

	Ex. No.	Title
(1)	3.1	Certificate of Incorporation filed September 28, 2010
(1)	3.2	Bylaws
(4)	3.3	Amended and Restated Bylaws
(6)	3.4	Second Amended and Restated Bylaws
(1)	4.1	Specimen Common Stock Certificate

Edgar Filing: MAGELLAN GOLD Corp - Form 10-K

(1)	10.1	Cowles' Option and Mining Lease
(1)	10.2	Mining Lease – Randall Claims
(1)	10.3	Assignment of Randall Mining Lease Agreement
(1)	10.4	Mining Lease – Secret Claims
(1)	10.5	Consulting Agreement
(2)	10.6	Promissory Note Dated August 23, 2011, in favor of John C. Power
(2)	10.7	Promissory Note Dated August 23, 2011, in favor of John D. Gibbs
(3)	10.8	First Amendment to Mining Lease – Secret Claims
(3)	10.9	Second Amendment to Mining Lease – Randall Claims
(5)	10.10	Promissory Note Dated February 28, 2012, in favor of John D. Gibbs
(7)	10.11	Third Amendment to Mining Lease – Randall Claims
(8)	10.12	Option Agreement – Columbus Silver
(9)	10.13	Amendment No. 1 to Promissory Note in favor of John C. Power
(10)	10.14	Credit Agreement dated December 31, 2012 in favor of John D. Gibbs
(11)	10.15	Amendment No. 1 to Silver District Option Agreement
(12)	10.16	Allonge and Modification Agreement with John D. Gibbs
(13)	10.17	Promissory Note in favor of John Power
(14)	10.18	Silver District / Columbus Silver Purchase Agreement
(14)	10.19	Promissory Note in favor of Clifford Neuman
(15)	10.20	Second Allonge and Modification Agreement with John D. Gibbs
(16)	10.21	Employment Agreement - W. Pierce Carson
(7)	14.1	Code of Ethics
*	31	Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*	32	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

(1)

Incorporated by reference as an Exhibit to Form S-1 as filed with the Commission on May 18, 2011.

(2)

Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Commission on August 23, 2011.

(3)

Incorporated by reference as an Exhibit to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as filed with the Commission on November 14, 2011.

(4)

Incorporated by reference as an Exhibit to Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on February 7, 2012.

(5)

Edgar Filing: MAGELLAN GOLD Corp - Form 10-K

Incorporated by reference as an Exhibit to Current Report on Form 8-K/A-1 as filed with the Commission on March 29, 2012.

(6)

Incorporated by reference as an Exhibit to Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on March 30, 2012.

(7)

Incorporated by reference as an Exhibit to Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the Commission on March 30, 2012.

(8)

Incorporated by reference as an Exhibit to Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on August 30, 2012.

(9)

Incorporated by reference as an Exhibit to Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on February 4, 2013.

(10)

Incorporated by reference as an Exhibit to Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on February 4, 2013.

(11)

Incorporated by reference as an Exhibit to Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on August 23, 2013.

(12)

Incorporated by reference as an Exhibit to Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on January 2, 2014.

(13)

Incorporated by reference as an Exhibit to Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on April 29, 2014.

(14)

Incorporated by reference as an Exhibit to Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on October 2, 2014.

(15)

Edgar Filing: MAGELLAN GOLD Corp - Form 10-K

Incorporated by reference as an Exhibit to Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on February 3, 2015.

(16)

Incorporated by reference as an Exhibit to Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on June 11, 2015.

*

Filed herewith

**

Furnished, not filed.

MAGELLAN GOLD CORPORATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>1</u>
Consolidated <u>Balance Sheets</u>	<u>2</u>
Consolidated <u>Statements of Operations</u>	<u>3</u>
Consolidated <u>Statements of Shareholders' Deficit</u>	<u>4</u>
Consolidated <u>Statements of Cash Flows</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>6</u>

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Magellan Gold Corporation

Vacaville, California

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Magellan Gold Corporation and its subsidiary (collectively the “Company”) as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders’ deficit and cash flows for each of the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform an audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Magellan Gold Corporation and its subsidiary as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, the Company has not generated any revenue and further losses are anticipated. These conditions raise significant doubt about the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern. Management’s plans in this regard are described in Note 1. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ MaloneBailey, LLP

www.malonebailey.com

Houston, Texas

March 18, 2016

MAGELLAN GOLD CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 867	\$ 94
Prepaid expenses	559	-
Deferred compensation	20,833	-
Total current assets	22,259	94
Mineral rights, net of impairment	323,200	323,200
Deposits with BLM	8,639	8,639
Total assets	\$ 354,098	\$ 331,933
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' DEFICIT		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 34,425	\$ 19,700
Accrued liabilities	2,859	-
Line of credit - related party	887,500	729,604
Accrued interest - related parties	103,986	58,377
Accrued interest	4,054	771
Advances payable - related party	-	2,850
Notes payable - related parties	65,000	65,000
Convertible note payable	51,532	51,532
Derivative liability	65,940	30,520
Total current liabilities	1,215,296	958,354
Shareholders' deficit:		
Preferred shares, \$.001 par value, 25,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding	-	-

Common shares - \$0.001 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized, 48,869,091 shares issued and outstanding	48,869	48,869
Additional paid-in capital	424,292	419,831
Accumulated deficit	(1,369,103)	(1,095,121)
Shareholders' deficit	(895,942)	(626,421)
Noncontrolling interest in subsidiary	34,744	-
Total shareholders' deficit	(861,198)	-
Total liabilities and shareholders' deficit	\$ 354,098	\$ 331,933

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

MAGELLAN GOLD CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Operating expenses:		
Exploration costs	\$ 19,351	\$ 134,697
General and administrative expenses	173,864	92,234
Total operating expenses	193,215	226,931
Operating loss	(193,215)	(226,931)
Other income (expense):		
Interest expense	(56,142)	(68,118)
Loss on change in derivative	(35,420)	(580)
Net loss	(284,777)	(295,629)
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	\$ (10,795)	\$ -
Net loss attributable to common shareholders	\$ (273,982)	\$ (295,629)

Basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.01)
Basic and diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding	48,869,091	48,869,091

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

MAGELLAN GOLD CORPORATION
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Deficit
For the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Additional	Accumulated Deficit	Noncontrolling Interest	Total
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Par Value	Paid-in Capital			
Balance, December 31, 2013	-	\$ -	48,869,091	\$ 48,869	\$ 419,831	\$ (799,492)	-	\$ (330,792)
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(295,629)	-	(295,629)
Balance, December 31, 2014	-	-	48,869,091	48,869	419,831	(1,095,121)	-	(626,421)
Transfer of subsidiary shares to noncontrolling interest	-	-	-	-	4,461	-	45,539	50,000
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(273,982)	(10,795)	(284,777)
Balance, December 31, 2015	-	\$ -	48,869,091	\$ 48,869	\$ 424,292	\$ (1,369,103)	\$ 34,744	\$ (861,198)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

MAGELLAN GOLD CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (284,777)	\$ (295,629)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Amortization of deferred compensation	29,167	-
Amortization of debt discount	-	29,940
Loss on change in derivative liability	35,420	580
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(559)	21,457
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	17,584	5,590
Accrued interest	48,892	38,178
Net cash used in operating activities	(154,273)	(199,884)
Investing activities:		
Acquisition of mineral rights	-	(180,000)
Net cash used in investing activities	-	(180,000)
Financing activities:		
Proceeds from line of credit - related party	157,896	330,000
Proceeds from advances from related parties	6,545	42,350

Edgar Filing: MAGELLAN GOLD Corp - Form 10-K

Payments on advances from related parties	(9,395)	(39,500)
Proceeds from notes payable - related parties	-	50,000
Payments on notes payable - related parties	-	(5,000)
Net cash provided by financing activities	155,046	377,850
Net increase (decrease) in cash	773	(2,034)
Cash at beginning of period	94	2,128
Cash at end of period	\$ 867	\$ 94
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 7,250	\$ -
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ -	\$ -
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Common stock of subsidiary issued in exchange for executive services	\$ 50,000	\$ -
Conversion of accounts payable to convertible note payable	\$ -	\$ 51,532
Debt discount due to derivative liability	\$ -	\$ 29,940

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

MAGELLAN GOLD CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 – Nature and Continuance of Operations

Nature of Operations

Magellan Gold Corporation (“we” “our”, “us” or Magellan”) was incorporated on September 28, 2010, under the laws of the State of Nevada. Our principal business is the acquisition and exploration of mineral resources. We have not presently determined whether the properties to which we have mining rights contain mineral reserves that are economically recoverable.

On September 30, 2014, we formed and organized a new wholly-owned subsidiary, Gulf+Western Industries, Inc., a Nevada corporation (“Gulf+Western” or “G+W”), to own and operate our Silver District mining interests. On October 1, 2014 we completed the transfer of those assets from Magellan to G+W. Effective December 31, 2014 Magellan pledged all its ownership interest in G+W to Mr. John D. Gibbs, a significant shareholder in the Company, as security for outstanding amounts under a line of credit agreement between Magellan and Mr. Gibbs.

On June 1, 2015, we transferred 15% of our ownership interest in G+W to Dr. Pierce Carson in exchange for one year of service as President, Chief Executive Officer and Director of G+W. As a result of the transaction, Magellan’s ownership interest in G+W was reduced to 85%. The transaction was valued at \$50,000 representing deferred compensation for the one-year period from June 2015 through May 2016.

Our primary focus is to continue evaluation of our properties, and possibly to acquire additional mineral rights and conduct additional exploration, development and permitting activities. Our mineral lease payments, permitting applications and exploration and development efforts will require additional capital. We rely upon the sale of our securities as well as advances and loans from our President and significant shareholders to fund our operations as we have not generated any revenue.

Liquidity and Going Concern

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which assumes that we will be able to meet our obligations and continue our operations during the next fiscal year. Asset realization values may be significantly different from carrying values as shown in our consolidated financial statements and do not give effect to adjustments that would be necessary to the carrying values of assets and liabilities should we be unable to continue as a going concern. At December 31, 2015, we had not yet generated any revenues or achieved profitable operations and we have accumulated losses of \$1,369,103. We expect to incur further losses in the development of our business, all of which casts substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our ability to continue as a going

concern depends on our ability to generate future profits and/or to obtain the necessary financing to meet our obligations arising from normal business operations when they come due. The accompanying financial statements do not include any adjustments relative to the recoverability and classification of asset carrying amounts or the amount and classification of liabilities that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

On December 31, 2014 we amended our credit agreement with Mr. John Gibbs, a related party, to increase the borrowing limit to \$900,000. As part of the 2014 amendment and the subsequent appointment of Dr. Pierce Carson as the President, CEO and Director of G+W effective June 1, 2015, we have pledged all of our 85% equity interest in G+W, which owns the Silver District properties, as

F-7

security for all amounts outstanding under the credit agreement. We anticipate that additional funding will be in the form of additional loans from officers, directors or significant shareholders, or equity financing from the sale of our common stock.

On December 31, 2015 we again amended the credit agreement to increase the borrowing limit to \$1,000,000, which provides the Company an additional \$112,500 available under the credit line at December 31, 2015. The amendment also extends the maturity date to December 31, 2016.

Note 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation

Our consolidated financial statements include our accounts and the accounts of our 85% owned subsidiary, Gulf + Western Industries, Inc. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated. Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”).

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires us to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of expenses during the period presented.

We make our estimate of the ultimate outcome for these items based on historical trends and other information available when the financial statements are prepared. Changes in estimates are recognized in accordance with the accounting rules for the estimate, which is typically in the period when new information becomes available. We believe that our significant estimates, assumptions and judgments are reasonable, based upon information available at the time they were made. Actual results could differ from these estimates, making it possible that a change in these estimates could occur in the near term.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

We value our financial assets and liabilities using fair value measurements. Our financial instruments primarily consist of cash and cash equivalents, accounts payable, accrued liabilities, amounts due to related parties and notes payable to related parties. Fair value is based on the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents, accounts payable, accrued liabilities, notes payable to related parties and other amounts due to related parties approximates fair value because of the short-term nature of these financial instruments.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Our financial instruments which potentially subject us to credit risk are our cash and cash equivalents. We maintain our cash and cash equivalents at reputable financial institutions and currently, we are not exposed to significant credit risk.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all amounts on deposit with financial institutions and highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Mineral Rights

We have determined that our mineral rights meet the definition of mineral rights, as defined by accounting standards, and are tangible assets. As a result, our direct costs to acquire or lease mineral rights are initially capitalized as tangible assets. Mineral rights include costs associated with: leasing or acquiring patented and unpatented mining claims; leasing mining rights including lease signature bonuses, lease rental payments and advance minimum royalty payments; and options to purchase or lease mineral properties.

If we establish proven and probable reserves for a mineral property and establish that the mineral property can be economically developed, mineral rights will be amortized over the estimated useful life of the property following the commencement of commercial production or expensed if it is determined that the mineral property has no future economic value or if the property is sold or abandoned. For mineral rights in which proven and probable reserves have not yet been established, we assess the carrying values for impairment at the end of each reporting period and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable.

The net carrying value of our mineral rights represents the fair value at the time the mineral rights were acquired less accumulated depletion and any abandonment or impairment losses. Proven and probable reserves have not been established for mineral rights as of December 31, 2015. At December 31, 2015 mineral rights totaling \$323,200 were net of \$117,857 of impairment and abandonment charges.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets and Mining Rights

We continually monitor events and changes in circumstances that could indicate that our carrying amounts of long-lived assets, including mineral rights, may not be recoverable. When such events or changes in circumstances occur, we assess the recoverability of long-lived assets by determining whether the carrying value of such assets will be recovered through their undiscounted expected future cash flow. If the future undiscounted cash flow is less than the carrying amount of these assets, we recognize an impairment loss based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets.

Notes Payable – Related Parties

Notes payable to related parties are classified as current liabilities as the note holders have the ability to control the repayment dates of the notes.

Exploration Costs

Mineral exploration costs are expensed as incurred. When it has been determined that it is economically feasible to extract minerals and the permitting process has been initiated, exploration costs incurred to further delineate and develop the property are considered pre-commercial production costs and will be capitalized and included as mine development costs in our balance sheets.

Income Taxes

We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and the amounts at which they are carried in the financial statements and the effect of net operating losses based upon the enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. As at December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company had no uncertain tax positions.

Net Loss per Common Share

We compute basic net loss per common share by dividing our net loss attributable to common shareholders by our weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Computation of diluted net loss per common share adds the weighted-average number of potential common shares outstanding to the weighted-average common shares outstanding, as calculated for basic net loss per share, except for instances in which there is a net loss. For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2015, potential common shares associated with convertible notes payable have been omitted from the net loss per common share computation as they are anti-dilutive due to the net loss for these years.

Stock-based Compensation

The Company determines the fair value of stock option awards granted to employees in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 – 10 and to non-employees in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 505 – 50. Compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the value of the award and is recognized over the service period, which is usually the vesting period.

New Accounting Standards

From time to time, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) or other standards setting bodies issue new accounting pronouncements. Updates to the FASB Accounting Standards Codification are communicated through issuance of an Accounting Standards Update. Unless otherwise discussed, we believe that the impact of recently issued guidance, whether adopted or to be adopted in the future, is not expected to have a material impact on our financial statements upon adoption.

In February 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued ASU No. 2016-02, "Leases: Topic 842 (ASU 2016-02)", to supersede nearly all existing lease guidance under GAAP. The guidance would require lessees to

recognize most leases on their balance sheets as lease liabilities with corresponding right-of-use assets. ASU 2016-02 is effective for the Company in the first quarter of our fiscal year ending December 31, 2019 using a modified retrospective approach with the option to elect certain practical expedients. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of its pending adoption of ASU 2016-02 on its consolidated financial statements.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company elected to early adopt Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-10, Development Stage Entities (Topic 915): Elimination of Certain Financial Reporting Requirements. The adoption of this ASU allowed the Company to remove the inception to date information and all references to development stage.

Note 3 – Mineral Rights and Properties:

As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, our mineral rights and properties consist of the following:

	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Silver District Claims	\$ 323,200	\$ 323,200
Sacramento Mountains Project	-	-
	\$ 323,200	\$ 323,200

Silver District Claims

In August 2012, we entered into an option agreement with Columbus Exploration f/k/a Columbus Silver Corporation, which granted us the right to acquire all of Columbus' interest in its Silver District properties located in La Paz County, Arizona. We paid Columbus an initial \$63,200 on signing of the option and a further \$50,000 in December 2012.

During February 2014 and January 2013, we paid the final two payments of \$80,000 and \$30,000, respectively, towards the purchase of the James Blaine-patented claim purchase obligation entered into between Columbus and a third party. We also paid all of the costs to maintain all of the claims and leases in 2013, 2014 and 2015. On September 30, 2014, we paid an additional \$100,000 to Columbus Exploration to acquire all of Columbus' interest in its Silver District properties located in La Paz County, Arizona.

The Silver District property consists of 110 unpatented lode and mill site mining claims, six patented lode claims, and an Arizona State Exploration Permit, all of which are held directly or under lease agreements, totaling over 2,000 acres. Certain of the claims are subject to third party net smelter royalties and/or net profits of varying percentages.

In August 2015, we renewed the BLM lode and mill site claims with the Bureau of Land Management and in December 2015 recorded a notice of intent to hold mining claims with La Paz County, Arizona and these claims will remain in good standing through August 31, 2016. In August 2015, we made an advance minimum royalty payment of \$10,000 to a third party landowner on the Red Cloud lease which includes the Red Cloud Patented claim and two BLM lode claims, and in September 2015 obtained approval to assume and expand the Arizona State Exploration Permit to approximately 320 acres on the Arizona State section that comprises part of our Silver District land package.

On July 9, 2015, G+W entered into two Lease and Purchase Agreements ("Agreements") with an individual that grant the Company certain exploration and mining rights for two patented lode claims located in the Silver District, La Paz County, Arizona. The Agreements provide for scheduled variable annual advance minimum royalty payments to the lessor. In addition, the Agreements have an initial term of 20 years, and provide for the purchase of the properties for \$125,000 each during the term of the lease, net of any advance royalty payments made up to the date of the purchase. The Company paid the initial advance royalty payments totaling \$3,000, which was due upon the signing of the Agreements. Due to an uncertainty associated with the clarification of the legal title for these two patented lode claims, these payments have not been capitalized as mining rights, and therefore are included in exploration costs for the year ended December 31, 2015. The Company intends to resolve this uncertainty prior to making further investments in these claims.

Sacramento Mountains Project

The Sacramento Mountains Project is located in the northwest corner of the Sacramento Mountains approximately 10 miles WNW of Needles, California. In October 2012, Magellan staked fifty (50) unpatented lode mining claims totaling approximately 1,000 acres, in which we have a 100% unencumbered interest, on Federal Bureau of Land Management (“BLM”) land, and in January 2013 filed the claims with the BLM. In August 2015, we renewed 14 of these claims with the BLM, and these claims will remain in good standing through August 31, 2016.

A plan of operation for a limited exploration drill program was submitted and approved by the BLM in 2013. As of the date of this report, we have not decided to proceed with a drilling program. Our permit expires in February 2017.

Due to the decline in precious metals prices and the absence of currently available funds to explore this early stage project, we recorded an impairment charge equal to the amount of our capitalized mineral rights at December 31, 2013 in the amount of \$10,350.

During 2013, we paid \$8,639 to the BLM representing a deposit for potential reclamation of proposed drilling sites should we decide to carry out exploratory drilling on our Sacramento Mountains project. The deposit is included in other non-current assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2015 and 2014 as deposits with BLM.

We intend to leave our deposit in place for the Sacramento Mountains project with the BLM and maintain our claims so that the project is available for further exploration should capital formation conditions improve.

On February 12, 2016, the White House announced President Obama had designated three national monuments in southern California covering 1.8 million acres of federal lands. The Company is in the process of determining what effect this new designation may have on its Sacramento Mountains Project.

Note 4 - Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value in our condensed consolidated balance sheets are categorized based upon a fair value hierarchy established by GAAP, which prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value into the following levels:

Level 1— Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.

Level 2— Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable and can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3— Inputs reflecting management’s best estimates and assumptions of what market participants would use in pricing assets or liabilities at the measurement date. The inputs are unobservable in the market and significant to the valuation of the instruments.

A financial instrument's categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below:

	Fair Value at December 31, 2015	Fair Value Measurement at December 31, 2015		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Derivative conversion option liability	\$ 65,940	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 65,940

	Carrying Value at December 31, 2014	Fair Value Measurement at December 31, 2014		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Derivative warrant liability	\$ 30,520	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 30,520

A summary of the activity of the derivative liability is shown below:

Balance, Inception - October 1, 2014	\$
	29,940
Mark to market adjustment at December 31, 2014	580
Balance, December 31, 2014	30,520
Mark to market adjustment at December 31, 2015	35,420
Balance, December 31, 2015	\$
	65,940

The carrying values for cash and cash equivalents, prepaid assets, deferred compensation, accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate their fair value due to their short maturities.

Note 5 – Line of Credit – Related Parties:

Effective December 31, 2012, we entered into a line of credit arrangement with John D. Gibbs, a significant investor, to facilitate timely cash flows for the Company's operations. The line of credit originally provided for a maximum balance of \$250,000, accrued interest at 6% annually, and matured on December 31, 2014.

On December 31, 2013 we amended our credit agreement with Mr. Gibbs to increase the borrowing limit under the line of credit to \$750,000. All other terms of the credit agreement, including the interest rate and maturity date remained unchanged.

On December 31, 2014, we again amended the credit agreement to increase the borrowing limit to \$900,000 and extend the maturity date to December 31, 2015. As part of the 2014 amendment and the subsequent appointment of Dr. Pierce Carson as the President, CEO and Director of G+W effective June 1, 2015, we have pledged all of our 85% equity interest in G+W, which owns the Silver District properties, as security for all amounts outstanding under the credit agreement.

On December 31, 2015 we again amended the credit agreement to increase the borrowing limit to \$1,000,000, which provides the Company an additional \$112,500 available under the credit line at December 31, 2015. The amendment also extends the maturity date to December 31, 2016. The line of credit amendment does not have an accounting impact.

During the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, draws totaling \$157,896 and \$330,000, respectively, were made and were primarily used to fund working capital and certain obligations due to maintain our mining rights and properties. At December 31, 2015 a total of \$887,500 was outstanding under this line of credit. In addition, a total of \$102,211 of interest has been accrued on this obligation and is included in Interest payable - related parties on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2015.

Note 6 – Notes Payable – Related Parties:

In August 2011, we entered into an unsecured loan from John Power, the Company's sole executive officer, evidenced by a \$20,000 promissory note. The promissory note bears interest at 6% per annum and is payable on demand with thirty days' notice from the lender. During the second quarter of 2014, the Company made payments totaling \$5,000 to pay down the principal balance of the note. At December 31, 2015 the Note balance was \$15,000.

In January 2014, we entered into an unsecured loan from John Power, the Company's sole executive officer, evidenced by a \$50,000 promissory note. The promissory note bears interest at 6.75% per annum and is payable on demand with thirty days' notice from the lender.

In April 2015, we paid a total of \$4,750 to Mr. Power representing interest accrued on the Notes. And in September 2015 we paid an additional \$2,500 also representing accrued interest on the Notes. A total of \$1,775 of unpaid interest has accrued on these two promissory notes and is included in Accrued interest - related parties on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2015.

Note 7 – Convertible Notes Payable:

On October 1, 2014, we issued a Convertible Promissory Note ("Note") to a provider of legal services in the original principal amount of approximately \$51,532. The Note was issued to evidence the Company's indebtedness for legal services previously rendered. Interest accrues quarterly on the outstanding principal and interest balance of the Note at 6% per annum. The principal plus accrued and unpaid interest is due upon five days' written demand of the Note holder. The Note is unsecured.

The Note is convertible at any time into shares of common stock at a conversion price of \$0.039, which represented the closing bid price of the common stock on the OTC Bulletin Board on the date of issuance.

The Note contains certain anti-dilution provisions that would reduce the conversion price should the Company issue common stock equivalents at a price less than the Note conversion price. Accordingly, the conversion features of the Note are considered a discount to the Note. However, since the Note is payable upon demand by the note holder, the value of the discount is considered interest expense at the time of its inception. The Note shall be evaluated quarterly, and upon any quarterly valuations in which the value of the discount increases, we recognize a loss due to an increase in the fair value of the derivative liability. Therefore, we recorded a derivative liability at the Note inception, and adjusted the liability at December 31, 2014. As a result of these valuations, \$29,940 of interest expense was incurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2014 and \$580 was recorded as a loss due to change in fair value of derivative. On December 31, 2015 the fair value of

the derivative liability was determined to be \$65,940, resulting in a loss on change of derivative liability charge of \$35,420 for the year ended December 31, 2015.

We estimate the fair value of this derivative at each balance sheet date until such time the Note is paid or converted.

We estimated the fair value of the derivative at December 31, 2015 and 2014 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, which includes assumptions for expected dividends, expected share price volatility, risk-free interest rate, and expected life of the Note. Our expected volatility assumption is based on our historical weekly closing price of our stock over a period equivalent to the expected remaining life of the Note.

The following table summarizes the assumptions used to value the derivative Note discount at December 31, 2015:

	December 31,
Fair value assumptions – derivative:	2015
Risk free interest rate	0.65%
Expected term (years)	1.0
Expected volatility	155%
Expected dividends	0%

The following table summarizes the assumptions used to value the derivative Note discount at December 31, 2014:

Fair value assumptions – derivative:	December 31, 2014
Risk free interest rate	0.25%
Expected term (years)	1.0
Expected volatility	162%

Expected dividends

0%

A total of \$4,054 and \$771 of interest has accrued on the Note at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and is included in Accrued interest on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Note 8 - Commitments and Contingencies:

As part of our acquisition of the Silver District properties from Columbus Exploration, we assumed the Red Cloud lease whose initial term expires in August 2026. The lease requires annual advance minimum royalty payments of \$10,000 through the term of the lease due on the annual anniversary of the agreement. The lease is also subject to a 2% net production royalty to be paid to the lessor from the sale of precious metals extracted from the leased property.

In order to maintain the BLM lode and mill site claims, annual payments are required before the end of August of each year, and we remain current on these payments. Payments are also due annually on two patented claims we leased in July 2015 and on our Arizona State Minerals Exploration Permit.

Note 9 – Related Party Transactions:

Conflicts of Interests

Athena Silver Corporation (“Athena”) is a company under common control. Mr. Power is also a director and CEO of Athena. Mr. Gibbs is a significant investor in both Magellan and Athena. Magellan and Athena are both exploration stage companies involved in the business of acquisition and exploration of mineral resources.

Silver Saddle Resources, LLC is also a company under common control. Mr. Power and Mr. Gibbs are significant investors and managing members of Silver Saddle. Magellan and Silver Saddle are both exploration stage companies involved in the business of acquisition and exploration of mineral resources.

The existence of common ownership and common management could result in significantly different operating results or financial position from those that could have resulted had Magellan, Athena and Silver Saddle been autonomous.

Management Fees

The Company maintains a month-to-month management agreement with Mr. Power requiring a monthly payment, in advance, of \$2,500 as consideration for the day-to-day management of Magellan.

Management fees to Mr. Power totaling \$30,000 for both the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 are included in general and administrative expenses in our statement of operations. At December 31, 2015, \$2,500 of the fees had not been paid and is included in Accrued liabilities on the accompanying balance sheet.

Accrued Interest - Related Parties

Accrued interest due to related parties is included in our consolidated balance sheets as follows:

	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Accrued interest payable - Mr. Power	\$ 1,775	\$ 4,750
Accrued interest payable - Mr. Gibbs	102,211	53,627
	\$ 103,986	\$ 58,377

During the year ended December 31 2015, we paid a total of \$7,250 to Mr. Power representing unpaid accrued interest.

Advances Payable – Related Parties

We borrowed and repaid non-interest bearing advances from/to related parties as follows:

	Year Ended December 31, 2015	
	Advances	Repayments
Mr. Power	\$ 6,545	\$ 9,395

	Year Ended December, 2014	
	Advances	Repayments
Mr. Power	\$ 42,350	\$ 39,500

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, \$0 and \$2,850, respectively, of advances from related parties were outstanding.

The Company also utilizes a credit card owned by Mr. Power to pay travel and other obligations when the availability of cash is limited or the timing of the payments is considered critical. A total of \$650 of Company charges were outstanding on this credit card at December 31, 2014, and is included in Accounts payable on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. No amounts were outstanding at December 31, 2015.

Deferred Compensation

On June 1, 2015, the Company appointed W. Pierce Carson to the positions of President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director of G+W. In connection with his appointment, the Company assigned to Mr. Carson restricted shares of G+W common stock representing 15% of the total issued and outstanding shares of G+W in return for one year of his services. The Company determined the value of the transaction at \$50,000, which was recorded as deferred compensation to be amortized monthly over the initial one-year term of the employment agreement. As such, we have recognized \$29,167 of compensation expense through December 31, 2015 in connection with this transaction.

Note 10 - Income Taxes

Our net operating loss carry forward as of December 31, 2015 is \$1,379,895, which may be used to offset future income taxes through 2036. Our reconciliation between the expected federal income tax benefit computed by applying the federal statutory rate to our net loss and the actual benefit for taxes on net loss for 2015 and 2014 is as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,			
	2015		2014	
Expected federal income tax benefit at statutory rate	\$	96,824	\$	100,513
State taxes		9,967		10,347
Change in valuation allowance		(106,791)		(110,860)
Income tax benefit	\$	—	\$	—

Our deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 were as follows:

	December 31,			
	2015		2014	
Net operating loss	\$	517,461	\$	410,689
Valuation allowance		(517,461)		(410,689)
Deferred tax assets, net of allowance	\$	—	\$	—

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. We have provided a valuation allowance of 100% of our net deferred tax asset due to the uncertainty of generating future profits that would allow us to realize our deferred tax assets.

Note 11 – Subsequent Events

Subsequent to December 31, 2015, additional advances totaling \$35,000 were made on the Company's credit agreement with Mr. Gibbs.

On February 12, 2016, the White House announced President Obama had designated three national monuments in southern California covering 1.8 million acres of federal lands. We believe our Sacramento claims are within the borders of one of these new monuments. We are in the process of determining what effect this new designation may have on our Sacramento Mountains Project.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this annual report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MAGELLAN GOLD CORPORATION

Date: March 18, 2016

By: /s/ John C. Power

John C. Power, President, Principal Executive Officer,
Principal Accounting Officer, Secretary & Director

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

SIGNATURE	TITLE	DATE
<u>/s/ John C. Power</u>	President, Principal Executive Officer, Principal Accounting Officer, Secretary & Director	March 18, 2016
John C. Power		