

Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

CIRTRAN CORP  
Form 10KSB/A  
August 17, 2004

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-KSB/A

- Annual report pursuant to section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, or
- Transition report pursuant to section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange act of 1934 for the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

Commission File No. 33-13674-LA

CIRTRAN CORPORATION  
(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

Nevada  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

68-0121636  
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

4125 South 6000 West, West Valley City, Utah 84128  
(Address of principal executive offices)

(801) 963-5112  
(Issuer's telephone number)

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Act: Common Stock,  
Par Value \$0.001

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by sections 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or such shorter period that the issuer was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

The issuer's revenues for its most recent fiscal year: \$1,215,245

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the average bid and asked price of such common equity as of March 25, 2004, was \$6,263,563.

As of March 25, 2004, the Registrant had outstanding 389,913,214 shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.001.

Documents incorporated by reference: None

The purpose of this amendment to our annual report on Form 10-KSB is to clarify certain matters related to promissory notes and the functioning of our equity line of credit, together with the reclassification of offering costs and interest expense.

# Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM NUMBER AND CAPTION	Page
Part I	
1. Description of Business	3
2. Description of Properties	11
3. Legal Proceedings	11
4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	15
Part II	
5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters	15
6. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation	17
7. Financial Statements	23
8. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	23
8A. Controls and Procedures	23
Part III	
9. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act	23
10. Executive Compensation	25
11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	27
12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	29
13. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	30
14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	32
Signatures	34

## PART I

### ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

CirTran Corporation ("We" or the "Company") is a full-service contract electronics manufacturer servicing original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs") in the following industries: communications, networking, peripherals, gaming, law enforcement, consumer products, telecommunications, automotive, medical, and semi-conductor. We conduct our operations through two main divisions: circuit board manufacturing and assembly, and Ethernet card design and manufacture.

### Industry Background

The contract electronics manufacturing industry specializes in providing the program management, technical and administrative support and manufacturing expertise required to take an electronic product from the early design and prototype stages through volume production and distribution. The goal is to provide a quality product, delivered on time and at the lowest cost, to the OEM. This full range of services gives the OEM an opportunity to avoid large capital investments in plant, inventory, equipment and staffing and to concentrate instead on innovation, design and marketing. By using our contract electronics manufacturing services, our customers have the ability to improve the return on their investment with greater flexibility in responding to market demands and exploiting new market opportunities.

We believe two important trends have developed in the contract electronics manufacturing industry. First, we believe OEMs increasingly require contract manufacturers to provide complete turnkey manufacturing and material handling services, rather than working on a consignment basis where the OEM supplies all materials and the contract manufacturer supplies only labor. Turnkey contracts involve design, manufacturing and engineering support, the procurement of all materials, and sophisticated in-circuit and functional testing and distribution. The manufacturing partnership between OEMs and contract manufacturers involves an increased use of "just-in-time" inventory management techniques that minimize the OEM's investment in component inventories, personnel and related facilities, thereby reducing costs.

We believe a second trend in the industry has been the increasing shift from pin-through-hole, or PTH, to surface mount technology, or SMT, interconnection technologies. Surface mount and pin-through-hole printed circuit board assemblies are printed circuit boards on which various electronic components, such as integrated circuits, capacitors, microprocessors and resistors are mounted. These assemblies are key functional elements of many types of electronic products. PTH technology involves the attachment of electronic components to printed circuit boards with leads or pins that are inserted into pre-drilled holes in the boards. The pins are then soldered to the electronic circuits. The drive for increasingly greater functional density has resulted in the emergence of SMT, which eliminates the need for holes and allows components to be placed on both sides of a printed circuit. SMT requires expensive, highly automated assembly equipment and significantly more operational expertise than PTH technology. We believe the shift to SMT from PTH technology has increased the use of contract manufacturers by OEMs seeking to avoid the significant capital investment required for development and maintenance of SMT expertise.

### Electronics Assembly and Manufacture

Approximately 85% of our revenues are generated by our electronics assembly activities, which consist primarily of the placement and attachment of electronic and mechanical components on printed circuit boards and flexible

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

(i.e., bendable) cables. We also assemble higher-level sub-systems and systems incorporating printed circuit boards and complex electromechanical components that convert electrical energy to mechanical energy, in some cases manufacturing and packaging products for shipment directly to our customers' distributors. In addition, we provide other manufacturing services, including refurbishment and remanufacturing. We manufacture on a turnkey basis, directly procuring any of the components necessary for production where the OEM customer does not supply all of the components that are required for assembly. We also provide design and new product introduction services, just-in-time delivery on low to medium volume turnkey and consignment projects and projects that require more value-added services, and price-sensitive, high-volume production. Our goal is to offer customers significant competitive advantages that can be obtained from manufacturing outsourcing, such as access to advanced manufacturing technologies, shortened product time-to-market, reduced cost of production, more effective asset utilization, improved inventory management and increased purchasing power.

### Ethernet Technology

Through our subsidiary, Racore Technology Corporation ("Racore"), we design, manufacture, and distribute Ethernet cards. These components are used to connect computers through fiber optic networks. In addition, we produce private label, custom designed networking products and technologies on an OEM basis. Our products serve major industrial, financial, and telecommunications companies worldwide. We market our products through an international network of distributors, value added resellers, and systems integrators who sell, install, and support our entire product catalogue.

Additionally, we have established, and continue to seek to establish, key business alliances with major multinational companies in the computing and data communications industries for which we produce private label, custom designed networking products and technologies on an OEM basis. These alliances generally require that Racore either develop custom products or adapt existing Racore products to become part of the OEM customer's product line. Under a typical contract, Racore provides a product with the customer's logo, packaging, documentation, and custom software and drivers to allow the product to appear unique and proprietary to the OEM customer. Contract terms generally provide for a non-recurring engineering charge for the development and customization charges, together with a contractual commitment for a specific quantity of product over a given term.

In June 2001, Racore received a \$225,000 order for specially-designed Ethernet cards for a federal law enforcement agency. In September 2001, Racore submitted a bid for business with the same agency that, if accepted, would have resulted in a contract valued at over \$2.0 million over three years. This bid was ultimately not accepted, but Racore remains committed to actively pursuing government contracts for its Ethernet card technology. These contracts are generally awarded in September of each year, the last month of the government's fiscal year. In February of 2003, Racore received additional orders from GTSI for another government agency in the amount of \$40,000. The final part of this order was shipped the first week in April 2003 and finally payment was made in May 2003. Further, Racore received additional orders through 2003 that totaled over \$44,000 and expects to receive additional orders throughout 2004.

### Market and Business Strategy

Our goal is to benefit from the increased market acceptance of, and reliance upon, the use of manufacturing specialists by many electronics OEMs. We believe

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

the trend towards outsourcing manufacturing will continue. OEMs utilize manufacturing specialists for many reasons including the following:

- o To Reduce Time to Market. Due to intense competitive pressures in the electronics industry, OEMs are faced with increasingly shorter product life-cycles and, therefore, have a growing need to reduce the time required to bring a product to market. We believe OEMs can reduce their time to market by using a manufacturing specialist's manufacturing expertise and infrastructure.
- o To Reduce Investment. The investment required for internal manufacturing has increased significantly as electronic products have become more technologically advanced and are shipped in greater unit volumes. We believe use of manufacturing specialists allows OEMs to gain access to advanced manufacturing capabilities while substantially reducing their overall resource requirements.
- o To Focus Resources. Because the electronics industry is experiencing greater levels of competition and more rapid technological change, many OEMs are focusing their resources on activities and technologies which add the greatest value to their operations. By offering comprehensive electronics assembly and related manufacturing services, we believe manufacturing specialists allow OEMs to focus on their own core competencies such as product development and marketing.
- o To Access Leading Manufacturing Technology. Electronic products and electronics manufacturing technology have become increasingly sophisticated and complex, making it difficult for OEMs to maintain the necessary technological expertise to manufacture products internally. We believe OEMs are motivated to work with a manufacturing specialist to gain access to the specialist's expertise in interconnect, test and process technologies.
- o To Improve Inventory Management and Purchasing Power. Electronics industry OEMs are faced with increasing difficulties in planning, procuring and managing their inventories efficiently due to frequent design changes, short product life-cycles, large required investments in electronic components, component price fluctuations and the need to achieve economies of scale in materials procurement. OEMs can reduce production costs by using a manufacturing specialist's volume procurement capabilities. In addition, a manufacturing specialist's expertise in inventory management can provide better control over inventory levels and increase the OEM's return on assets.

An important element of our strategy is to establish partnerships with major and emerging OEM leaders in diverse segments across the electronics industry. Due to the costs inherent in supporting customer relationships, we focus our efforts on customers with which the opportunity exists to develop long-term business partnerships. Our goal is to provide our customers with total manufacturing solutions for both new and more mature products, as well as across product generations.

Another element of our strategy is to provide a complete range of manufacturing management and value-added services, including materials management, board design, concurrent engineering, assembly of complex printed circuit boards and other electronic assemblies, test engineering, software manufacturing, accessory packaging and post-manufacturing services. We believe that as manufacturing technologies become more complex and as product life cycles shorten, OEMs will increasingly contract for manufacturing on a turnkey basis as they seek to reduce their time to market and capital asset and inventory costs. We believe that the ability to manage and support large turnkey projects is a critical success factor and a significant barrier to entry for the market it serves. In

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

addition, we believe that due to the difficulty and long lead-time required to change manufacturers, turnkey projects generally increase an OEM's dependence on its manufacturing specialist, which can result in a more stable customer base.

5

### Suppliers; Raw Materials

Our sources of components for our electronics assembly business are either manufacturers or distributors of electronic components. These components include passive components, such as resistors, capacitors and diodes, and active components, such as integrated circuits and semi-conductors. Our suppliers include Siemens, Muriata-Erie, Texas Instruments, Fairchild, Harris and Motorola. Distributors from whom we obtain materials include Avnet, Future Electronics, Arrow Electronics, Digi-key and Force Electronics. Although we have experienced shortages of various components used in our assembly and manufacturing processes, we typically hedge against such shortages by using a variety of sources and, to the extent possible, by projecting our customer's needs.

### Research and Development

During 2003 and 2002, CirTran Corporation spent approximately \$52,200 and \$43,272, respectively, on research and development of new products and services. The costs of that research and development were paid for by our customers. In addition, during the same periods, our subsidiary, Racore, spent approximately \$45,244 and \$45,000, respectively. None of Racore's expenses were paid for by its customers. We remain committed, particularly in the case of Racore, to continuing to develop and enhance our product line as part of our overall business strategy.

Beginning 2004, Racore started working more aggressively on marketing existing products by simplifying ordering and sales processing to existing customers. We are also working towards some cost reduced versions of existing product line and adding new sales channels. We are also in the process of expanding the current product line, adding new product categories to existing sales channels, along with products with reduced development costs, quicker time to market, higher profit margins, greatly reduced support costs, less pressure from competitors and shorter sales cycles. We are currently developing one new product that is unique in the market and one new product that will provide us with a more complete product line.

In the coming months Racore will introduce several new products that will include not only cost reduced versions of existing products, but also similar yet unique products that will satisfy market needs which currently have no deliverable or affordable solutions. These products will realize reduced development costs, quicker time to market, higher profit margins, greatly reduced support costs, less pressure from competitors, and shorter sales and delivery cycles. These products will leverage our expertise in the areas of fiber optics, security, and portability.

### Sales and Marketing

We are working aggressively to market existing products through current sales channels. We will also add major new conduits to deliver products and services directly to end users, as well as motivate our distributors, partners, and other third party sales mechanisms. We continue to simplify and improve the sales, order, and delivery process.

Historically, we have had substantial recurring sales from existing customers,

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

though we continue to seek out new customers to generate increased sales. We treat sales and marketing as an integrated process involving direct salespersons and project managers, as well as senior executives. We also use independent sales representatives in certain geographic areas.

6

During the sale process, a customer provides us with specifications for the product it wants, and we develop a bid price for manufacturing a minimum quantity that includes manufacture engineering, parts, labor, testing, and shipping. If the bid is accepted, the customer is required to purchase the minimum quantity and additional product is sold through purchase orders issued under the original contract. Special engineering services are provided at either an hourly rate or at a fixed contract price for a specified task.

In 2003, 96% of our net sales were derived from pre-existing customers, whereas during the year ended December 31, 2002, over 94% of our net sales were derived from customers that were also customers during 2001. Historically, a small number of customers accounted for a significant portion of our net sales. In 2003 our three largest customers accounted for approximately 60% of our total sales compared to 2002 where our three largest customers accounted for approximately 45% of our total sales. However in 2001 no single customer accounted for more than 10% of our total sales.

During 2001 and 2002, we operated without a line of credit and many of our vendors stopped credit sales of components used by us in the manufacturing of products, thus hampering our ability to attract and retain turnkey customer business. In addition, although our sales in 2002 were higher than 2001, financial constraints experienced in 2001 and 2002 mandated a reduction in our general work force, which experienced a 50% reduction in size. These factors, as well as general economic conditions during the second half of 2002, resulted in a significant decrease in sales during 2002. The year 2003 was devoted to getting prepared for 2004 as is demonstrated by our back log. Although our sales were down, we spent the last half of the year aggressively pursuing new businesses, pricing new projects, and approaching new turnkey customers. Funds became available from the equity line of credit as of June of 2003, which put us in a position to be able to service turnkey customers along with our consigned customers.

Backlog consists of contracts or purchase orders with delivery dates scheduled within the next twelve months. At December 31, 2003, our backlog was approximately \$809,000. At December 31, 2002, our backlog was approximately \$450,000. As of March 25, 2004, our backlog has increased to \$1,107,000.

In September and October 2001, we issued several press releases relating to:

- Our "partnership with an offshore Malaysian entity . . . expects to commence bidding for multi-million dollar contracts through this entity in the very near future" in our September 19, 2001 press release;

- InterMotive Products and the "two contracts for new products and the vehicle orders that are "projected to blossom into a million dollar contract manufacturing opportunity" for CirTran in our October 10, 2001 press release; and

- The "implementation of . . . [new] software . . . bring CirTran the potential for multi- million dollar revenue relationships" in our October 16, 2001 press release.

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

We entered into the partnership with the Malaysian entity outlined in the September 19, 2001, press release, to enable us to submit more competitive bids for larger production contracts. The Company also implemented the software referenced in the October 16, 2001, press release to enable us to bid more competitively for larger contracts. Through December 31, 2002, in connection with the relationship with the Malaysian entity, we bid on large-scale contracts ranging from approximately \$2 million to \$4 million. Although we feel that our relationship with the Malaysian entity will enable us to continue to bid competitively for the larger contracts, to date we have been unsuccessful at being selected as a supplier on any of the larger bids we submitted.

7

Nevertheless, management feels that the Company's continued involvement in these relationships enables the Company to continue to bid competitively for these larger bids.

In December 2002, CirTran and SVI, an independent electronic manufacturing service company based in Thailand, announced a manufacturing accord. The two companies will work together to support mutual customers from product design to volume manufacturing. Under the agreement, both parties will work jointly as each other's respective vendor and/or partner on pursuing business contracts in the United States utilizing both parties' resources providing the contract manufacturing of electronics.

With respect to the contracts with Intermotive, Inc. ("Intermotive"), referenced in the October 10, 2001, press release, through December 31, 2002, we had entered into purchase orders with Intermotive ranging from approximately \$4,607 to \$34,077. The Company's relationship with Intermotive remains productive, and management believes that this relationship should continue to produce revenue for the Company, although there can be no guarantee that Intermotive will continue to order from us or that any future orders will be substantial. During 2003, we have done no business with Intermotive.

In the last quarter of 2001 and into 2002, we also took steps to increase our sales volume by adding three new sales representatives, hiring a sales manager, implementing software to access databases containing potential new customers and sales opportunities, and continuing our efforts to improve our competitive position by installing additional surface-mount technology equipment that had previously been at our Colorado location and by seeking ISO (International Organization for Standardization) 9002 certification, which we hoped to obtain by the end of 2003. The certification process is longer than anticipated and we now hope to obtain it by the end of the second quarter of 2004. This certification would allow us to ensure to prospective customers that we comply with internationally-recognized quality production standards.

In February 2003, CirTran received Certification Approval under the Joint Certification Program ("JCP") from the United States/Canada Joint Certification Office, Defense Logistics Information Service. Certification under the JCP establishes the eligibility of a U.S. or Canadian contractor to receive technical data governed, in the U.S. by Department of Defense ("DoD") directive 5230.25 and, in Canada, by the Technical Data Control Regulations ("TDCR"). We feel JCP benefits the U.S. and Canadian defense and high technology industries by facilitating their continued access to unclassified technical data disclosing critical technology in the possession of, or under the control of the U.S. DoD or the Canadian Department of National Defense ("DND"). This is an important recognition for CirTran and is consistent with our efforts to expand our revenue opportunities. Our approved access to technologies in the U.S. Department of Defense and the Canadian Department of National Defense will allow us to support



## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

the commercial activities of the broad range of manufacturers working with the U.S. and Canadian governments.

In January and March 2004, we issued two press releases relating to:

"CirTran Corp., is pleased to announced it has issued a Letter of Intent to purchase all the assets of a leading Contract Electronics Manufacturer (CEM) of printed circuit board assemblies based in Orange County, California. Under the terms of the Letter of Intent, CirTran will complete due diligence over the next two months. Contingent upon the results of that due diligence and the execution of a Definitive Purchase Agreement, the acquisition should close within the next ninety days." January 21, 2004 press release

"CirTran Corp. (OTC BB: CIRT), a full-service contract electronics manufacturer of printed circuit board assemblies, cables and harnesses, today announced it is close to completing its due diligence on its intended acquisition of a leading Southern California based Contract Manufacturer." March 2, 2004 press release

8

On January 22, 2004, CirTran announced that it had entered into a Letter of Intent to purchase all the assets of a leading Contract Electronics Manufacturer of printed circuit board assemblies based in Orange County, California. CirTran's due diligence included the review of the Company's Financial Statements and Records, Audit Facilities and Procedures, Interview Customers and Key Employees. The LOI was expired on March 5th 2004 and no agreement has been reached on a new extension.

In March 2004, we issued two press releases relating to:

"CirTran Corp., a full-service contract electronics manufacturer of printed circuit board assemblies, cables and harnesses, today announced it has signed a Letter of Intent (LOI) to acquire a minority ownership interest in a leading manufacturer in the Digital Fiber Optic Cable Communications firm based in Southern California." March 18, 2004 press release

"CirTran Corp., On behalf of the Board of Directors, Iehab J. Hawatmeh, President and CEO of CirTran Corporation, wishes to alert the investment community to the following significant events taking place." March 26, 2004 press release

The Company expects to finalize both agreements with a manufacture in Southern California, the revenues of which would be a multiple of last year's total revenue. This Strategic Alliance is highly significant is it reflects the Company's current policy of pursuing long-term, multiple streams of revenues in order to assure organic growth and enhance shareholder value. Once signed, both agreements will be announced via press releases.

Under the terms of the agreement, CirTran performs exclusive "Turn-Key" Manufacturing managing all manufacturing operations from Material Procurement to complete finished Box-Build of all of the partner company's products. Based on the company's current backlog and November 7, 2003 Private Placement Memorandum they are projecting 2004 annual revenues of approximately \$10,000,000 with profitability of which 50-70% if that revenue would translate into new business for CirTran.

The year 2003 was a challenging, yet critical year for CirTran Corporation and as we look back on it years from now, we believe it will be viewed as the

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

pivotal year CirTran transitioned itself into a viable and leading technology manufacturing firm. The most significant event for CirTran in 2003 was the execution of our \$5,000,000 Structured Equity Line with our investment banking partner. This new influx of capital has enabled CirTran to invest in our future. We have significantly increased our sales capacity with the addition of new manufacturer / engineering representative firms almost doubling our sales staff, we have invested much of ourselves in establishing and cementing our strategic alliances with customers and we have set into place an aggressive debt restructuring plan which should reduce our working capital deficit.

### Material Contracts and Relationships

We generally use form agreements with standard industry terms as the basis for our contracts with our customers. The form agreements typically specify the general terms of our economic arrangement with the customer (number of units to be manufactured, price per unit and delivery schedule) and contain additional provisions that are generally accepted in the industry regarding payment terms, risk of loss and other matters. We also use a form agreement with our independent marketing representatives that features standard terms typically found in such agreements.

9

### Competition

The electronic manufacturing services industry is large and diverse and is serviced by many companies, including several that have achieved significant market share. Because of our market's size and diversity, we do not typically compete for contracts with a discreet group of competitors. We compete with different companies depending on the type of service or geographic area. Certain of our competitors may have greater manufacturing, financial, research and development and marketing resources. We also face competition from current and prospective customers that evaluate our capabilities against the merits of manufacturing products internally.

We believe that the primary basis of competition in our targeted markets is manufacturing technology, quality, responsiveness, the provision of value-added services and price. To remain competitive, we must continue to provide technologically advanced manufacturing services, maintain quality levels, offer flexible delivery schedules, deliver finished products on a reliable basis and compete favorably on the basis of price.

### Regulation

We are subject to typical federal, state and local regulations and laws governing the operations of manufacturing concerns, including environmental disposal, storage and discharge regulations and laws, employee safety laws and regulations and labor practices laws and regulations. We are not required under current laws and regulations to obtain or maintain any specialized or agency-specific licenses, permits, or authorizations to conduct our manufacturing services. Other than as discussed in "Item 3 - Legal Proceedings" concerning delinquent payroll taxes, we believe we are in substantial compliance with all relevant regulations applicable to our business and operations.

### Employees

We employ 65 persons, 4 in administrative positions, 3 in engineering and design, 56 in clerical and manufacturing, and 2 in sales.

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

### Corporate Background

Our core business was commenced by Circuit Technology, Inc. ("Circuit"), in 1993 by our president, Iehab Hawatmeh. Circuit enjoyed increasing sales and growth in the subsequent five years, going from \$2.0 million in sales in 1994 to \$15.4 million in 1998, leading to the purchase of two additional SMT assembly lines in 1998 and the acquisition of Racore Computer Products, Inc., in 1997. During that period, Circuit hired additional management personnel to assist in managing its growth, and Circuit executed plans to expand its operations by acquiring a second manufacturing facility in Colorado. Circuit subsequently determined in early 1999, however, that certain large contracts that accounted for significant portions of our total revenues provided insufficient profit margins to sustain the growth and resulting increased overhead. Furthermore, internal accounting controls then in place failed to apprise management on a timely basis of our deteriorating financial position. During the last several years, we have experienced significant losses, including \$2,933,084 in 2001, \$2,149,810 in 2002 and \$2,910,978 in 2003.

We were incorporated in Nevada in 1987, under the name Vermillion Ventures, Inc., for the purpose of acquiring other operating corporate entities. We were largely inactive until July 1, 2000, when we issued a total of 10,000,000 shares of our common stock (150,000,000 of our shares as presently constituted) to acquire, through our wholly-owned subsidiary, CirTran Corporation (Utah), substantially all of the assets and certain liabilities of Circuit.

10

In 1987, Vermillion Ventures, Inc. filed an S-18 registration statement with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") but did not at that time become a registrant under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("1934 Act"). From 1989 until 2000, Vermillion did not make any filings with the SEC under the 1934 Act. In July 2000, we commenced filing regular annual, quarterly, and current reports with the SEC on Forms 10-KSB, 10-QSB, and 8-K, respectively, and have made all filings required of a public company since that time. In February 2001, we filed a Form 8-A with the SEC and became a registrant under the 1934 Act. We may be subject to certain liabilities arising from the failure of Vermillion to file reports with the SEC from 1989 to 1990, but we believe these liabilities are minimal because there was no public market for the common shares of Vermillion from 1989 until the third quarter of 1990 (when our shares began to be traded on the Pink Sheets) and it is likely that the statute of limitations has run on whatever public trades in the shares of our common stock may have taken place during the period during which Vermillion failed to file reports.

On August 6, 2001, we effected a 1:15 forward split and stock distribution which increased the number of our issued and outstanding shares of common stock from 10,420,067 to 156,301,005. We also increased our authorized capital from 500,000,000 to 750,000,000 shares.

### ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTIES

We lease approximately 40,000 square feet of office and manufacturing space in West Valley City, Utah, at a monthly lease rate of approximately \$17,000. The lease is renewable in December of 2013 for two additional ten-year periods. This facility serves as our principal offices and manufacturing facility and is leased from PFE Properties, LLC. We believe our lease for the facility is on commercially reasonable terms.

### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

As of December 31, 2003, the Company had accrued liabilities in the amount of \$2,107,930 for delinquent payroll taxes, including interest estimated at \$393,311 and penalties estimated at \$230,927. Of this amount, approximately \$329,739 was due the State of Utah. During the first quarter of 2003, no payments were made to the State of Utah. During the third and fourth quarter of 2003, partial payments were made to the State of Utah. Approximately \$1,767,253 was owed to the Internal Revenue Service as of December 31, 2003. As discussed below, the Company has reached an agreement with the Internal Revenue Service's Appeals Office to allow the Company to file an offer in compromise to resolve the Company's tax liability on a compromise basis. Further, the Utah State Tax Commission has entered into an agreement to allow the Company to pay the liability owing to the State of Utah in equal monthly installments over an extended period of time, yet to be determined.

Approximately \$10,939 was owed to the State of Colorado as of December 31, 2003.

We (as successor to Circuit Technology, Inc.) were a defendant in an action in El Paso County, Colorado District Court, brought by Sunborne XII, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company, for alleged breach of a sublease agreement involving facilities located in Colorado. Our liability in this action was originally estimated to range up to \$2.5 million, and we subsequently filed a counter suit in the same court against Sunborne in an amount exceeding \$500,000 for missing equipment. Effective January 18, 2002, we entered into a settlement agreement with Sunborne with respect to the above-described litigation. The settlement agreement required us to pay Sunborne the sum of \$250,000. Of this amount, \$25,000 was paid upon execution of the agreement, and the balance of \$225,000, together with interest at 8% per annum, was payable by July 18, 2002. As security for payment of the balance, we executed and delivered to Sunborne a

11

Confession of Judgment and also issued to Sunborne 3,000,000 shares of our common stock, which are held in escrow and have been treated as treasury stock recorded at no cost. Because, 75% of the balance owing under the agreement was not paid by May 18, 2002, we were required to prepare and file with the Securities & Exchange Commission, at our expense, a registration statement with respect to the shares that were escrowed. The payment was not made, nor was a registration statement filed with respect to the escrowed shares.

Pursuant to a Termination of Sublease Agreement dated as of May 22, 2002 among the Company, Sunborne and other parties, the sublease agreement that was the subject of our litigation with Sunborne was terminated and a payment of approximately \$109,000 was credited against the amount owed by the Company to Sunborne under the Company's settlement agreement with them. Sunborne has filed a claim that this amount was to be an additional rent expense rather than a payment on the note payable. The Company disputes this claim and intends to vigorously defend the action.

As of May 16, 2003, the Company was in default of its obligations under the settlement agreement with Sunborne, i.e., the total payment due thereunder had not been made, a registration statement with respect to the escrowed shares was not filed, and the Company did not replace the escrowed shares with registered, free-trading shares as per the terms of the agreement. Accordingly, Sunborne has filed the Confession of Judgment and proceeded with execution thereon. The Company is continuing to negotiate with Sunborne in an attempt to settle the remaining obligation.

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

We also assumed certain liabilities of Circuit Technology, Inc. in connection with our transactions with that entity in the year 2000, and as a result we are defendant in a number of legal actions involving nonpayment of vendors for goods and services rendered. We have accrued these payables and have negotiated settlements with respect to some of the liabilities, including those detailed below, and are currently negotiating settlements with other vendors.

Advanced Component Labs adv. Circuit Technology Corporation Civil No. 990912318, Third Judicial District Court, Salt Lake Department, Salt Lake County, State of Utah. Suit was brought against the Company on or about December 8, 1999, under allegations that the Company owed \$44,269.43 for the cost of goods or services provided to the Company for the Company's use and benefit. Claims are asserted for breach of implied contract and unjust enrichment. The Company has answered, admitting that it owed certain sums for conforming goods and services and denying all other claims. Initial discovery is beginning. No trial date has been set.

Arrow Electronics adv. Circuit Technology Corporation, Civil No. 010406732, Third Judicial District Court, Sandy Department, Salt Lake County, State of Utah. Suit was brought against the Company on or about June 28, 2001, under allegations that the Company owes \$41,486.26. Judgment was entered against the Company on January 7, 2002. Subsequent to year end, this claim was purchased by Abacas and recorded as an increase to the amount owed to Abacas under terms of the bridge loan.

Contact East has notified the Company that it believes it has a claim against the Company in the amount of \$32,129.89 for the cost of goods or services provided to the Company for the Company's use and benefit. The Company is reviewing its records in an effort to confirm the validity of the claims and has been involved in settlement negotiations.

C/S Utilities has notified the Company that it believes it has a claim against the Company in the amount of \$32,472 regarding utilities services. The Company is reviewing its records in an effort to confirm the validity of the claims and has been involved in settlement negotiations.

Future Electronics Corp v. Circuit Technology Corporation, Civil No. 000900296, Third Judicial District Court, Salt Lake County, State of

12

Utah. Suit was brought against the Company on or about January 12, 2000, under allegations that the Company owed \$646,283.96 for the cost of goods or services provided to the Company for the Company's use and benefit. Claims were asserted for breach of contract, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, unjust enrichment, account stated and dishonored instruments. The Company answered the complaint, admitting that it owed certain sums for conforming goods and services and denying all other claims. Partial Summary Judgment was entered in the amount of \$646,783.96 as to certain claims against the Company. Negotiations for settlement resulted in an agreement for settlement of all claims of Future against the Company subject to performance by the Company under the agreement. The Company also issued to Future 352,070 shares of its restricted common stock. The Company did not perform its obligations under the settlement agreement, and a Confession of Judgment was entered in January 2002 in the amount of \$519,052.00. The Company disputes the amount of the judgment entered. No collection efforts have been made. The Company is negotiating settlement.

Christine Hindenes v. Racore Network, Inc., and CirTran Corporation, Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara, Civil No. CV811051. Ms. Hindenes brought suit against the Company and Racore for unpaid

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

wages seeking \$40,516.44. The parties reached a settlement agreement under which the Company agreed to pay \$10,000 in monthly installments of \$1,000. The parties also agreed to a confession of judgment in the amount of \$52,961, less payments made, which could be entered if the Company defaulted under its obligations under the settlement agreement. The Company has made the required payments through March, 2004.

John J. La Porta v. Circuit Technology, Inc. et al., Case No. 010900785, Third Judicial District Court, Salt Lake Department, Salt Lake County, State of Utah. Mr. La Porta filed suit on or about January 23, 2001, seeking to recover the principal sum of \$135,941 plus interest on a promissory note given by Racore Technology Corp. Mr. La Porta claims that the Company is a guarantor of the promissory note and the Company should be held liable because of Racore's default on the note. The Company denies liability and will defend the suit. The parties have engaged in settlement negotiations.

Molex has notified the Company that it believes it has a claim against the Company in the amount of \$90,000.00 for the cost of goods or services provided to the Company for the Company's use and benefit. The Company is reviewing its records in an effort to confirm the validity of the claims and has been involved in settlement negotiations.

Signal Transformer Co., Inc., has notified the Company that it believes it has a claim against the Company in the amount of \$38,989 for the cost of goods or services provided to the Company for the Company's use and benefit. Negotiations for settlement of this claim have resulted in an agreement in principal whereby the Company will arrange for a cash payment to this creditor. The parties are presently negotiating the terms of the settlement documents. However, until the settlement documents are executed and delivered, there can be no assurance that the creditor's claims will be settled nor that the terms will be favorable to the Company.

SuhTech Electronics adv. Circuit Technology Corporation, Civil No. 00L14505, Circuit Court of Cook County Department, Law Division, State of Illinois. Suit was brought against the Company on or about December 23, 1999, under allegations that the Company owed \$213,717.70 for the cost of goods or services provided to the Company for the Company's use and benefit. Claims are asserted for breach of contract, unjust enrichment and account stated. The Company has answered, admitting that it owed certain sums for conforming goods and services and denying all other claims. Judgment was subsequently entered against the Company on May 29, 2002. The parties are presently negotiating the terms of settlement documents, pursuant to which the Company will facilitate a payment to this creditor a cash payment and issue a promissory note and shares of its restricted common stock in satisfaction of the creditors' claims.

13

However, until the settlement documents are executed and delivered, there can be no assurance that the creditors claims will be settled nor that the terms will be favorable to the Company.

University of Utah v. CirTran Corporation, Third District Court, Salt Lake County, Civil No. 020900494 . The University of Utah filed a claim against the Company on January 18, 2002, seeking \$37,473.10 in damages. Summary judgment was entered against the Company. The Company entered into a settlement agreement on September 16, 2003, under which the Company is required to make monthly payments of \$5,185.47. The total settlement amount under the agreement is \$62,225.64. The Company is making payments pursuant to the settlement agreement.

Volt Temporary Services has notified the Company that it believes it

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

has a claim against the Company in the amount of \$30,986 for the cost of goods or services provided to the Company for the Company's use and benefit. The Company is reviewing its records in an effort to confirm the validity of the claims and has been involved in settlement negotiations.

Wells Fargo Equipment Finance adv. Circuit Technology Corporation, Civil No. 901207 Third Judicial District Court, Salt Lake County, State of Utah. Suit was brought against the Company on or about February 10, 2000, under allegations that the Company owed \$439,493.56 for a loan provided to the Company for the Company's use and benefit. Claims are asserted for breach of contract, breach of guarantee and replevin. The Company has answered, admitting that it owed certain sums for conforming goods and services and denying all other claims. Initial discovery is beginning. Judgment has been entered against the Company and certain guarantors in the amount of \$427,291.69 plus interest at the rate of 8.61% per annum from June 27, 2000. The parties reached a settlement agreement under which the Company agreed to pay approximately \$12,000 per month beginning in January 2003 to resolve this claim. The parties are presently negotiating a settlement to supercede their prior agreements because the Company did not perform all its obligations under the prior agreements.

Zion's First National Bank has notified the Company that it believes it has a claim against the Company in the amount of \$240,000.00 for loans made to the Company for the Company's use and benefit. The Company has entered into a Fifth Forbearance and Loan Modification Agreement, requiring monthly payments of \$20,000.00. The Company subsequently renegotiated a settlement with Zions Bank under which the Company will pay approximately \$12,000 per month beginning in January 2003.

George M. Madanat, Civil No. KC 035616, Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles, East District. Suit was brought against the company on or about April 2, 2001, under allegations that the company owed \$121,824.90 under the terms of a promissory note. A Stipulation for Settlement and for Entry of Judgment was executed by the parties wherein the Company agreed to arrange for payment of a principal amount of \$145,000 in 48 monthly installments. The Company subsequently defaulted on its obligations under the settlement agreement, and judgment was entered against the Company. As of March 24, 2004, the Company is not aware of any collection efforts.

Internal Revenue Service. The Internal Revenue Service has notified the Company that the Company owes approximately \$1.7 million in payroll taxes. The Company, in response to collection notices, filed a due process appeal with the Internal Revenue Service's Appeals Office. The appeal was resolved by an agreement with the Appeals Office that allowed the Company to file an offer in compromise of all federal tax liabilities owed by the Company based on its ability to pay. The Company filed its offer in compromise with the IRS, which has gone through the initial stages of consideration by the IRS and will now be assigned to an IRS offer specialist for consideration.

Cardio Pulmonary Technologies, Inc., vs. Patrick M. Volz, Peripheral Systems, Inc., and CirTran Corporation, Civil No. 03090501B, Third

Judicial District Court, Salt Lake County, State of Utah. On April 4, 2003, suit was brought against the Company and two other named defendants by plaintiff Cardio Pulmonary Technologies ("CPT"), alleging a breach of contract between CPT and the other two named defendants. Plaintiff's claims against the Company arise out of an alleged breach of an alleged agreement between the Company and Peripheral Systems, Inc. The Company has answered the Complaint, and intends to defend vigorously against these claims. The parties are also attempting to

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

negotiate settlement.

Howard Salamon, dba Salamon Brothers vs. CirTran Corporation, Civil No. 2:03-00787, U.S. District Court, District of Utah. Howard Salamon originally filed suit against the Company in the U.S. District Court, Eastern District of New York, seeking finders fees, consisting of shares of the Company's common stock valued at \$350,000, allegedly owed in connection with Salamon's introducing the Company to Cornell Capital Partners, L.P., the Equity Line Investor. The Company disputes the claims in the complaint. The case was dismissed in New York and refiled in Utah. The Company has filed its answer in the Utah case and the lawsuit is proceeding. The Company is also currently conducting settlement negotiations.

P R Newswire Association, Inc., v. CirTran, Superior Court of New Jersey, DC-000359-04. On March 9, 2004, a judgment was entered against CirTran in the amount of \$5,106.28, with fees of \$171.13. The Parties are presently negotiating settlement of this matter.

### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matter was submitted to a vote of security holders in the fourth quarter of the year ended December 31, 2003.

## PART II

### ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Our common stock traded sporadically on the Pink Sheets under the symbol "CIRT" from July 2000 to July 2002. Effective July 15, 2002, the NASD approved our shares of common stock for quotation on the NASD Over-the-Counter Electronic Bulletin Board. The following table sets forth, for the calendar years ending December 31, 2003 and 2002, the prices of our common stock as reported and summarized on the Pink Sheets. These prices are based on inter-dealer bid and asked prices, without markup, markdown, commissions, or adjustments and may not represent actual transactions.

Calendar Quarter Ended	High Bid	Low Bid
December 31, 2003	\$0.03	\$0.02
September 30, 2003	\$0.03	\$0.01
June 30, 2003	\$0.04	\$0.01
March 31, 2003	\$0.04	\$0.01
December 31, 2002	\$0.12	\$0.03
September 30, 2002	\$0.16	\$0.03
June 30, 2002	\$0.07	\$0.02
March 31, 2002	\$0.08	\$0.02

As of March 25, 2004, we had approximately 540 shareholders of record holding 389,913,214 shares of common stock.

We have not paid, nor declared, any dividends on our common stock since our inception and do not intend to declare any such dividends in the foreseeable future. Our ability to pay dividends is subject to limitations imposed by Nevada law. Under Nevada law, dividends may be paid to the extent the corporation's assets exceed its liabilities and it is able to pay its debts as they become due in the usual course of business.



## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

### Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

Pursuant to the Equity Line of Credit Agreement (discussed more fully below under "Liquidity and Financing Arrangements"), we are entitled to put to the Equity Line Investor, in lieu of repayment of amounts drawn on the Equity Line, shares of the Company's common stock. Although the Company has filed a registration statement to register the resale by the Equity Line Investor of the shares put to it by the Company, the issuances of shares to the Company are made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 as a transaction not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, and the shares have been and will be issued to only one investor which has represented that it is an "accredited investor" as that term is defined in Regulation D promulgated pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933. Through December 31, 2003, we issued 64,253,508 shares of common stock to the Equity Line Investor in connection with draws on the Equity Line. Subsequent to December 31, 2003, and through March 25, 2004, we drew an additional \$650,000 under the Equity Line Agreement, and issued 30,075,515 additional shares of common stock to the Equity Line Investor. The shares were issued without registration under the 1933 Act in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "1933 Act"), and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

In December, 2002, the Company entered into an agreement with Abacas under which the Company issued an aggregate of 30,000,000 shares of common stock in exchange for cancellation of an aggregate amount of \$1,500,000 in senior debt owed to the creditors by the Company. The shares were issued with an exchange price of \$0.05 per share, for the aggregate amount of \$1,500,000. The Company did not grant registration rights to the four creditors. The shares were issued without registration under the 1933 Act in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "1933 Act"), and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

In January, 2002, the Company entered into an agreement with Abacas under which the Company issued an aggregate of 19,987,853 shares of common stock in exchange for cancellation of an aggregate amount of \$1,499,090 in senior debt owed to the creditors by the Company. The shares were issued with an exchange price of \$0.075 per share, for the aggregate amount of \$1,500,000. The Company did not grant registration rights to the four creditors. The shares were issued without registration under the 1933 Act in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "1933 Act"), and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

### Penny Stock Rules

Our shares of common stock are subject to the "penny stock" rules of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and various rules under this Act. In general terms, "penny stock" is defined as any equity security that has a market price less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. The rules provide that any equity security is considered to be a penny stock unless that security is registered and traded on a national securities exchange meeting specified criteria set by the SEC, authorized for quotation from the NASDAQ stock market, issued by a registered investment company, and excluded from the definition on the basis of price (at least \$5.00 per share), or based on the issuer's net tangible assets or revenues. In the last case, the issuer's net tangible assets must exceed \$3,000,000 if in continuous operation for at least three years or

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

\$5,000,000 if in operation for less than three years, or the issuer's average revenues for each of the past three years must exceed \$6,000,000.

Trading in shares of penny stock is subject to additional sales practice requirements for broker-dealers who sell penny stocks to persons other than established customers and accredited investors. Accredited investors, in general, include individuals with assets in excess of \$1,000,000 or annual income exceeding \$200,000 (or \$300,000 together with their spouse), and certain institutional investors. For transactions covered by these rules, broker-dealers must make a special suitability determination for the purchase of the security and must have received the purchaser's written consent to the transaction prior to the purchase. Additionally, for any transaction involving a penny stock, the rules require the delivery, prior to the first transaction, of a risk disclosure document relating to the penny stock. A broker-dealer also must disclose the commissions payable to both the broker-dealer and the registered representative, and current quotations for the security. Finally, monthly statements must be sent disclosing recent price information for the penny stocks. These rules may restrict the ability of broker-dealers to trade or maintain a market in our common stock, to the extent it is penny stock, and may affect the ability of shareholders to sell their shares.

### ITEM 6. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION.

#### Overview

We provide a mixture of high and medium size volume turnkey manufacturing services using surface mount technology, ball-grid array assembly, pin-through-hole and custom injection molded cabling for leading electronics OEMs in the communications, networking, peripherals, gaming, law enforcement, consumer products, telecommunications, automotive, medical, and semiconductor industries. Our services include pre-manufacturing, manufacturing and post-manufacturing services. Through our subsidiary, Racore Technology Corporation, we design and manufacture Ethernet technology products. Our goal is to offer customers the significant competitive advantages that can be obtained from manufacture outsourcing, such as access to advanced manufacturing technologies, shortened product time-to-market, reduced cost of production, more effective asset utilization, improved inventory management, and increased purchasing power.

#### Significant Accounting Policies

Financial Reporting Release No. 60, which was recently released by the Securities and Exchange Commission, requires all companies to include a discussion of critical accounting policies or methods used in the preparation of financial statements. Note 1 of the Notes to the Financial Statements includes a summary of the significant accounting policies and methods used in the preparation of our Financial Statements. The following is a brief discussion of the more significant accounting policies and methods used by us.

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. These principles require us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Estimated amounts may differ under different assumptions or conditions, and actual results could differ from the estimates.

#### Revenue Recognition

Revenue is recognized when products are shipped. Title passes to the customer or independent sales representative at the time of shipment. Returns for defective items are repaired and sent back to the customer. Historically, expenses experienced with such returns have not been significant and have been recognized as incurred.

#### Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of average cost or market value. Costs include labor, material and overhead costs. Overhead costs are based on indirect costs allocated among cost of sales, work-in-process inventory and finished goods inventory. Indirect overhead costs have been charged to cost of sales or capitalized as inventory based on management's estimate of the benefit of indirect manufacturing costs to the manufacturing process.

When there is evidence that the inventory's value is less than original cost, the inventory is reduced to market value. The Company determines market value on current resale amounts and whether technological obsolescence exists. The Company has agreements with most of its customers that require the customer to purchase inventory items related to their contracts in the event that the contracts are cancelled.

The Company typically orders inventory on a customer-by-customer basis. In doing so the Company enters into binding agreements that the customer will purchase any excess inventory after all orders are complete. Almost 80% of the total inventory is secured by these agreements.

#### Checks Written in Excess of Cash in Bank

Historically, banks have temporarily lent funds to us by paying out more funds than were in our accounts under existing lines of credit with those banks. Subsequent to May 2000, when Abacas purchased our line of credit obligation, the Company no longer had lines of credit with banks, and those loans were no longer available or made to us. The Company acquired an equity line of credit effective as of June of 2003.

Under our cash management system, checks issued but not presented to banks frequently result in overdraft balances for accounting purposes. These overdrafts are included as a current liability in the balance sheets.

#### Related Party Transactions

Certain transactions involving Abacas Ventures, Inc., the Saliba Private Annuity Trust and the Saliba Living Trust are regarded as related party transactions under FAS 57. Disclosure concerning these transactions is set out in this Item 6 under "Liquidity and Capital Resources - Liquidity and Financing Arrangements," and in "Item 12 - Certain Relationships and Related Transactions."

#### Results of Operations - Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2003 and 2002

##### Sales and Cost of Sales

Net sales decreased 47.2 % to \$1,215,245 for the year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to \$2,299,668 for the year ended December 31, 2002. Due to a lack of funds we could not pursue turnkey business. As a result our sales decreased because we had to rely on pre-existing customers and more consigned business.

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

For CirTran Corporation, we had two pre-existing customers that have generated approximately 51% of the sales for 2003.

Cost of sales for the year ended December 31, 2003 was \$854,542, as compared to \$1,966,851 during the prior year. Those costs as a percentage of net sales were 70.3% during 2003 as compared to 85.5% during 2002. The improvement in the cost of sales was attributed to the higher margin contracts the company completed and additional consigned business, where the customer supplies all materials needed and our costs are for direct labor only.

Additionally, improvement of inventory management and control has positively affected our gross margins. We traditionally tracked inventory by customer rather than by like-inventory item, and, as a result, we often purchased new inventory to produce products for a new customer, when we likely had the necessary inventory on hand under a different customer name. This prior practice led to a reserve for obsolescence and excess inventory, which for the year 2003 was \$700,207, as compared to \$540,207 in 2002. However, because of the higher margin sales, our cost of sales decreased. We have changed our method of managing and controlling our inventory so that we can identify inventory by a general part number, rather than a customer number, and we have instituted monthly reviews to better update and control our inventory. We believe these improvements have led to better inventory control and will contribute to decreased cost of sales. If we are successful in decreasing our cost of sales further, and if we are able to maintain and increase our levels of sales, we believe we will be successful in generating sufficient gross profit to cover our selling, general and administrative expenses.

The following charts present (i) comparisons of sales, cost of sales and gross profit generated by our two main areas of operations, i.e., electronics assembly and Ethernet technology, during 2002 and 2003; and (ii) comparisons during these two years for each division between sales generated by pre-existing customers and sales generated by new customers.

	Year	Sales	Cost of Sales	Gross Loss/Margin
Electronics Assembly	2003	1,050,090	929,800 (1)	120,290
	2002	1,838,781	1,673,739	165,042
Ethernet Technology	2003	165,155	84,742	80,413
	2002	460,887	293,112	167,775

	Year	Total Sales	Pre-existing Customers	New Customers
Electronics Assembly	2003	1,050,090	1,036,418	13,672

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

	2002	1,838,781	1,817,312	21,469
-----				
Ethernet Technology	2003	165,155	127,040	38,115
-----				
	2002	460,887	338,927	121,960
-----				

(1) Includes the writedown of carrying value of inventories of \$160,000

19

### Inventory

We use just-in-time manufacturing, which is a production technique that minimizes work-in-process inventory and manufacturing cycle time, while enabling us to deliver products to customers in the quantities and time frame required. This manufacturing technique requires us to maintain an inventory of component parts to meet customer orders. Inventory at December 31, 2003 was \$1,247,428, as compared to \$1,550,553 at December 31, 2002. The decrease is due to the increase in the reserve of obsolete and slow moving inventory of \$160,000 and increased efforts to use inventory on hand.

### Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

During the year ended December 31, 2003, selling, general and administrative expenses were \$2,402,968 versus \$2,180,226 for 2002, a 10.2% increase. The increase was due to an increase in the legal fees and financing fees for our equity line of credit, along with our efforts to aggressively market our products during a period of economic downturn.

### Other Income and Expense

Interest expense for 2003 was \$571,044 as compared to \$437,074 for 2002, an increase of 30.7%. This increase is primarily attributable to an increase in interest for the accrued liabilities and in delinquent payroll tax liabilities, the penalties on which were previously recorded as part of interest expense. As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, the amount of our liability for delinquent state and federal payroll taxes and estimated penalties and interest thereon was \$2,107,930 and \$2,029,626, respectively.

As of December 31, 2002 there was a gain on the settlement of the sub-lease in Colorado Springs of \$152,500, which was the majority of the other income of \$159,673 for the year ending December 31, 2002.

As a result of the above factors, our overall net loss increased 35.4% to \$2,910,978 for the year ended December 31, 2003, as compared to \$2,149,810 for the year ended December 31, 2002.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our expenses are currently greater than our revenues. We have had a history of losses and our accumulated deficit was \$18,141,280 at December 31, 2003 and was \$15,230,302 at December 31, 2002. Our net loss for the year ending December 31, 2003 was \$2,910,978, compared to \$2,149,810 for the year ending December 31, 2002. Our current liabilities exceeded our current assets by \$5,529,244 as of December 31, 2003 and \$4,490,623 as of December 31, 2002. The increase in the

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

difference is mostly attributed to an increase in accrued liabilities. For the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, we recorded negative cash flows from operations of \$1,123,818 and \$1,142,148, respectively.

### Cash

We had cash on hand of \$54,135 at December 31, 2003 compared to \$500 at December 31, 2002. The increase in cash on hand is due to a new cash management system that was established during 2003.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$1,123,818 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003. During 2003, net cash used in operations was primarily attributable to \$2,910,978 in net losses from operations, partially offset by increases in accrued liabilities of \$901,718 and in decreases to inventories of \$143,125. The non-cash charge was for depreciation and amortization of \$300,520.

20

Net cash used in investing activities during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, consisted of equipment purchases of \$12,225.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$1,189,678 during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003. Principal sources of cash were proceeds from stockholder notes payable of \$41,500, proceeds of \$1,605,847 from long-term notes payable, proceeds from the exercise of options to purchase common stock of \$301,500 and proceeds from notes payable to related parties of \$350,000. Principal uses of cash during 2003 consisted of \$1,099,786 principal payments of notes payable and notes payable to related parties and stockholders and a decrease to checks written in excess of cash in the bank of \$9,908.

### Accounts Receivable

At December 31, 2003, we had receivables of \$89,187, net of a reserve for doubtful accounts of \$28,876, as compared to \$37,464 at December 31, 2002, net of a reserve of \$37,037. The smaller reserve for doubtful accounts in 2003 is attributable to increased efforts to improve the aging and quality of our current receivables.

### Accounts Payable

Accounts payable were \$1,300,597 at December 31, 2003 as compared to \$1,359,723 at December 31, 2002. This decrease is a very nominal amount.

### Liquidity and Financing Arrangements

We sustained substantial losses from operations in 2003 and 2002. We had an accumulated deficit of \$18,141,280 and a total stockholders' deficit of \$4,915,251 at December 31, 2003. In addition, during 2003 and 2002, we have used, rather than provided, cash in our operations. As of December 31, 2003, our monthly operating costs and interest expenses averaged approximately \$265,000 per month.

Since February 2000, we have operated without a line of credit. Abacas Ventures, Inc., an entity whose shareholders include the Saliba Private Annuity Trust, one of our major shareholders (see "Item 11 - Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management") and a related entity, the Saliba Living Trust, purchased our line of credit of \$2,792,609, and this amount was converted into a note payable to Abacas bearing an interest rate of 10%. As of December 31, 2001, a total of \$2,405,507, plus \$380,927 in accrued interest, was owed to

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Abacas pursuant to this note payable. During 2002, we entered into agreements with the Saliba Private Annuity Trust and the Saliba Living Trust to exchange 19,987,853 shares of our common stock for \$1,499,090 in principal amount of this debt and to issue an additional 6,666,667 shares to these trusts for \$500,000 cash which was used for working capital for the Company. During December 2002, an additional \$1,020,154 of principal and \$479,846 of accrued interest owed to Abacas was converted to 30,000,000 shares of our common stock. We issued no common stock to Abacas during 2003. During 2003 and 2002, the Company received \$350,000 and \$845,000 of cash proceeds under the terms of a bridge loan from Abacas. The Company made principal payments of \$875,000 and 156,268 during 2003 and 2002, respectively, on the bridge loan. At December 31, 2003, the balance owed on the bridge loan was \$163,742. See "Item 12 - Certain Relationships and Related Transactions."

During 2003 and 2002, we converted approximately \$34,049 and \$316,762, respectively of trade payables into notes and stock. During January 2002, in addition to the above-described transactions with the Saliba trusts, we issued 16,666,666 shares of restricted common stock at a price of \$0.075 per share in exchange for the cancellation of \$1,250,000 of notes payable to various stockholders. See "Item 12 - Certain Relationships and Related Transactions." We

21

continue to work with vendors in an effort to convert other trade payables into long-term notes and common stock and to cure defaults with lenders with forbearance agreements that we are able to service.

Despite our efforts to make our debt-load more serviceable, significant amounts of additional cash will be needed to reduce our debt and fund our losses until such time as we are able to become profitable. As at December 31, 2003, we were in default of notes payable whose principal amount, not including the amount owing to Abacas Ventures, Inc., exceeded \$635,000. In addition, the principal amount of notes that either mature in 2003 or are payable on demand exceed \$875,000 which includes \$650,000 of notes to the equity line investor. The total amount per month that we have committed to paying pursuant to various settlements for outstanding debt, litigation and delinquent payroll taxes is currently approximately \$38,000, all of which is against accrued liabilities and notes payable. None of these settlements, however, have resulted in the forgiveness of any amounts owed, but have simply resulted in a restructuring in the terms of the various debts.

Management believes that each of the related party transactions were as fair to the Company as could have been made with unaffiliated third parties.

During 2003, we borrowed a total of \$1,830,000 from Cornell Capital Partners, LP, pursuant to nine unsecured promissory notes. The loans were made and the notes were issued from June 2003 through December 2003. In lieu of interest, we paid fees at closing to an affiliate of the lender, ranging from 5% to 10% of the amount of the loan. These fees have been recorded as interest expense. The fees were negotiated in each instance and agreed upon by us and by the lender and its affiliate. The notes were repayable over periods ranging from 70 days to 131 days. Each of the notes stated that if we did not repay the notes when due, a default interest rate of 24% would apply to the unpaid balance. Through December 31, 2003, we directed the repayment of \$1,180,000 of these notes from proceeds generated under the Equity Line Agreement, discussed below. At December 31, 2003, the balance owing on these notes was \$650,000. All notes were paid when due or before, and at no time did we incur the 24% penalty interest rate.

Subsequent to December 31, 2003, Cornell loaned us an additional \$500,000 pursuant to two additional unsecured promissory notes. The loans were made and

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

the notes were issued in January through March 2004, bringing the total aggregate loans from Cornell to \$2,330,000. As before, in lieu of interest, we paid fees at closing to an affiliate of the lender, ranging from 4% to 5% of the amount of the loan. The fees were negotiated in each instance and agreed upon by us and by the lender and its affiliate. The notes were repayable over periods of 87 days and 88 days. Each of the notes stated that if we did not repay the notes when due, a default interest rate of 24% would apply to the unpaid balance. Subsequent to December 31, 2003, we directed the repayment of \$650,000 on these notes, consisting of the remaining \$650,000 owing on the loans made in 2003, from proceeds generated under the Equity Line Agreement, discussed below.

In conjunction with our efforts to improve our results of operations, discussed above, we are also actively seeking infusions of capital from investors and are seeking to replace our line of credit. It is unlikely that we will be able, in our current financial condition, to obtain additional debt financing; and if we did acquire more debt, we would have to devote additional cash flow to pay the debt and secure the debt with assets. We may therefore have to rely on equity financing to meet our anticipated capital needs. There can be no assurances that we will be successful in obtaining such capital. If we issue additional shares for debt and/or equity, this will serve to dilute the value of our common stock and existing shareholders' positions.

Subsequent to our acquisition of Circuit in July 2000, we took steps to increase the marketability of our shares of common stock and to make an investment in our company by potential investors more attractive. These efforts consisted

22

primarily of seeking to become current in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission and of seeking approval for quotation of our stock on the NASD Over the Counter Electronic Bulletin Board. NASD approval for quotation of our stock was obtained in July 2002.

There can be no assurance that we will be successful in obtaining more debt and/or equity financing in the future or that our results of operations will materially improve in either the short- or the long-term. If we fail to obtain such financing and improve our results of operations, we will be unable to meet our obligations as they become due. That would raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

In conjunction with efforts to improve the results of operations, discussed above, on November 5, 2002, we entered into an Equity Line of Credit Agreement (the "Equity Line Agreement") with Cornell Capital Partners, LP, a private investor ("Cornell"). We subsequently terminated the Equity Line Agreement, and on April 8, 2003, we entered into an amended equity line agreement (the "Amended Equity Line Agreement") with Cornell. Under the Amended Equity Line Agreement, we have the right to draw up to \$5,000,000 from Cornell against an equity line of credit (the "Equity Line"), and to put to Cornell shares of our common stock in lieu of repayment of the draw. The number of shares to be issued is determined by dividing the amount of the draw by the lowest closing bid price of our common stock over the five trading days after the advance notice is tendered. Cornell is required under the Amended Equity Line Agreement to tender the funds requested by us within two trading days after the five-trading-day period used to determine the market price.

During the year ended December 31, 2003, we drew an aggregate amount of \$1,180,000 under the Equity Line Agreement, pursuant to draws on the equity line, net of fees of \$47,200 and prior offering costs of \$44,228, and issued a total of 64,253,508 shares of common stock to Cornell under the Equity Line Agreement. At our direction, Cornell retained the proceeds of the draws under



## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

the Equity Line Agreement and applied them as payments on the notes to Cornell, discussed above.

Pursuant to the Equity Line Agreement, in connection with each draw the Company agreed to pay a fee of 4% of the amount of the draw to Cornell as consideration for its providing the Equity Line. Total fees paid for the year ended December 31, 2003 were \$73,200. Of these fees, \$47,200 was offset against additional paid in capital as shares were issued under the Equity Line Agreement and \$26,000 was classified as deferred offering costs at December 31, 2003. These deferred offering costs were offset against additional paid in capital as shares were issued under the Equity Line Agreement subsequent to December 31, 2003.

Subsequent to December 31, 2003, the Company drew an aggregate of \$650,000 under the Equity Line Agreement, net of deferred offering costs of \$26,000, and issued 30,075,515 shares of common stock to Cornell under the Equity Line Agreement. At our direction, Cornell retained the proceeds of the draws under the Equity Line Agreement and applied them as payments on the notes to Cornell, discussed above.

### Forward-looking statements

All statements made in this prospectus, other than statements of historical fact, which address activities, actions, goals, prospects, or new developments that we expect or anticipate will or may occur in the future, including such things as expansion and growth of operations and other such matters are forward-looking statements. Any one or a combination of factors could materially affect our operations and financial condition. These factors include competitive pressures, success or failure of marketing programs, changes in pricing and availability of parts inventory, creditor actions, and conditions in the capital markets. Forward-looking statements made by us are based on knowledge of our

23

business and the environment in which we currently operate. Because of the factors listed above, as well as other factors beyond our control, actual results may differ from those in the forward-looking statements.

### ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Our financial statements appear at the end of this report beginning with the Index to Financial Statements on page F-1.

### ITEM 8. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

#### ITEM 8A.

#### CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. The Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of the Company's "disclosure controls and procedures" (as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Rules 13a-14(c) and 15-d-14(c)) as of a date (the "Evaluation Date") as of December 31, 2003, has concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

adequate and designed to ensure that material information relating to the Company and its subsidiaries would be made known to them by others within those entities.

(b) Changes in Internal Controls. There were no significant changes in the Company's internal controls, or, to the Company's knowledge, in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the Evaluation Date.

### PART III

#### ITEM 9. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS; COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(A) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

##### Directors and Officers

The following sets forth the names, ages and positions of our directors and officers and the officers of our operating subsidiary, CirTran Corporation (Utah), along with their dates of service in such capacities.

Name	Age	Positions
Iehab J. Hawatmeh	37	President, Chief Financial Officer, Secretary and Director of CirTran Corporation; President of CirTran Corporation (Utah). Served since July 2000.
Raed Hawatmeh	39	Director since June 2001.
Trevor Saliba	29	Director since June 2001. Senior Vice-President, Sales and Marketing since January 2002.

24

Iehab J. Hawatmeh. Mr. Hawatmeh is our President and Secretary and a member of our Board of Directors. Mr. Hawatmeh served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Circuit Technology, Inc. from 1993 until we acquired it in July 2000. In this position, he was responsible for all operational, financial, marketing and sales activities of Circuit Technology. He now performs similar functions for us and our operating subsidiary, CirTran Corporation (Utah). Mr. Hawatmeh is the brother of Shaher Hawatmeh.

Raed Hawatmeh. Raed Hawatmeh, who is not related to Iehab and Shaher Hawatmeh, has served as a director since June 2001. Mr. Hawatmeh has been a self-employed investor and venture capitalist for the past five years, specializing in financing start-up companies in the electronics industry.

Trevor Saliba. Mr. Saliba has served as a director since June 2001 and was appointed Senior Vice-President, Sales and Marketing in January 2002. In 1997, Mr. Saliba founded Saliba Corporation, a San Francisco construction company, and has served as its president from the founding through June 2002. Prior to 1997, Mr. Saliba was employed as a project engineer for Tutor-Saliba Corporation.

At this time, the Company does not have an audit committee. The Company's Board of Directors acts as the Company's audit committee. Similarly, the Company's Board of Directors has determined that the Company does not have

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

an audit committee financial expert as defined under Securities and Exchange Commission rules.

In June 2002 Mr. Saliba filed for personal bankruptcy in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court in Los Angeles, California, which has not yet been discharged. The bankruptcy was unrelated to Mr. Saliba's involvement in CirTran.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act.

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our officers and directors, and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Officers, directors and greater than 10% shareholders are required by regulation of the Securities and Exchange Commission to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms which they file. Based solely on its review of the copies of such forms furnished to us during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, we are aware of the following untimely filings:

In April 2003, Iehab Hawatmeh exchanged a total of 15,333,333 shares of the Company's common stock which he held directly in exchange for forgiveness of personal debt. The transaction should have been reported on a Form 4. It will be reported on a Form 5 to be filed. Additionally, in February, May, and November 2003 and January 2004, Mr. Iehab Hawatmeh exercised options to purchase shares of the Company's stock and sold the shares received upon exercise of the options. The transactions should have been reported on Forms 4. They will be reported on a Form 5 to be filed.

In January, March, June, September, and December 2003, Mr. Saliba exercised options to purchase shares of the Company's stock and sold the shares received upon exercise of the options. The transactions should have been reported on Forms 4. They will be reported on a Form 5 to be filed.

In February 2003 and January 2004, Raed Hawatmeh exercised options to purchase shares of the Company's stock and sold the shares received upon exercise of the options. The transactions should have been reported on Forms 4. They will be reported on a Form 5 to be filed.

25

Code of Ethics. The Company has not yet adopted a code of ethics. The Board of Directors anticipates that it will adopt a code of ethics during the second quarter of 2004, and that we will file the code of ethics as an exhibit to our second quarterly report.

### Indemnification Provisions

Our Bylaws provide, among other things, that our officers or directors are not personally liable to us or to our stockholders for damages for breach of fiduciary duty as an officer or director, except for damages for breach of such duty resulting from (a) acts or omissions which involve intentional misconduct, fraud, or a knowing violation of law, or (b) the unlawful payment of dividends. Our Bylaws also authorize us to indemnify our officers and directors under certain circumstances. We anticipate we will enter into indemnification agreements with each of our executive officers and directors pursuant to which we will agree to indemnify each such person for all expenses and liabilities incurred by such person in connection with any civil or criminal action brought against such person by reason of their being an officer or director of the Company. In order to be entitled to such indemnification, such person must have

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

acted in good faith and in a manner reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the Company and, with respect to criminal actions, such person must have had no reasonable cause to believe that his conduct was unlawful.

### ITEM 10. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the annual and long-term compensation for services to us in all capacities (including Circuit Technologies, Inc.) for the prior fiscal years ended December 31, 2003, 2002, and 2001, of those persons who were either (i) the chief executive officer during the last completed fiscal year or (ii) one of the other four most highly compensated executive officers as of the end of the last completed fiscal year. The individuals named below received no other compensation of any type, other than as set out below, during the fiscal years indicated.

#### Annual Compensation Long-Term Compensation Awards

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Restricted Stock Awards (\$)	Stock Options (#)
Iehab J. Hawatmeh President, Secretary, Treasurer and Director	2003	175,000	-	-	6,500,000
	2002	175,000	-	-	1,850,000
	2001	175,000	-	-	-
	2000	175,000	-	-	-
Trevor M. Saliba Sr. Vice President and Director of CirTran Corporation	2003	127,000	-	-	3,000,000
	2002	118,000	-	-	500,000
	2001	-	-	-	-
	2000	-	-	-	-
Raed S. Hawatmeh Director of CirTran Corporation	2003	-	-	-	3,000,000
	2002	-	-	-	500,000
	2001	-	-	-	-
	2000	-	-	-	-

26

#### Option/SAR Grants in the Year Ended December 31, 2003

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Options/SARs	% of Total Options Granted to Employees in Fiscal Year	Exercise Price (\$/Sh)	or Base	Exp
-----					

Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

	Granted (#)		
Iehab Hawatmeh	6,500,000	15.95%	\$0.02 - \$0.03
Trevor Saliba	3,000,000	7.36%	\$0.02 - \$0.03
Raed Hawatmeh	3,000,000	7.36%	\$0.02 - \$0.03

Aggregated Option/SAR Exercises in the Year Ended  
December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2003 Option/SAR Values

Name	Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized (\$)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options/SARs at FY End (#)	Exercisable/Unexercisable	Val In- Opt FY- Exe Une
Iehab Hawatmeh	6,500,000	\$140,000	-		
Trevor Saliba	3,000,000	\$65,000	-		
Raed Saliba	500,000	\$15,000	1,500,000/0		

Employment Agreements

Iehab Hawatmeh entered into an employment agreement with Circuit in 1993 that was assigned to us as part of the reverse acquisition of Circuit in July 2000. This agreement, which is of indefinite term, provides for a base salary for Mr. Hawatmeh, plus a bonus of 2% of our net profits before taxes, payable quarterly, and any other bonus our board of directors may approve. The agreement also provides that, if Mr. Hawatmeh is terminated without cause, we are obligated to pay him, as a severance payment, an amount equal to five times his then-current annual base compensation, in one lump-sum payment or otherwise, as Mr. Hawatmeh may direct.

Trevor Saliba entered into an agreement with us in January 2002 pursuant to which we retained Mr. Saliba as Senior Vice-President, Sales and Marketing. The agreement provides for remuneration to Mr. Saliba of \$6,000 per month, plus reimbursement for all pre-approved business expenses. In addition, we agreed to pay Mr. Saliba an amount equal to 5.0% of all gross investments made into our company that are generated and arranged by Mr. Saliba. The agreement has an initial term of one year, renewable upon agreement of the parties, but is terminable by either party for any reason upon 90 days written notice to the other party. In addition, we may terminate the agreement upon 30 days written notice if Mr. Saliba fails to comply with the terms of the agreement.

2001 Stock Plan

The 2001 Stock Plan has been fully distributed.

2002 Stock Plan

The 2002 Stock Plan has been fully distributed.

2003 Stock Plan

In November 2003, our board approved and adopted our 2003 Stock Plan, or the 2003 Plan, subject to shareholder approval. An aggregate of 35,000,000 shares of our common stock are subject to the 2003 Plan, which provides for grants to employees, officers, directors and consultants of both non-qualified (or non-statutory) stock options and "incentive stock options" (within the meaning of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended). The 2003 Plan also provides for the grant of certain stock purchase rights, which are subject to a purchase agreement between us and the recipient. The purpose of the 2003 Plan is to enable us to attract and retain the best available personnel for positions of substantial responsibility, to provide additional incentive to such persons, and to promote the success of our business.

The 2003 Plan is administered by our board of directors, which designates from time to time the individuals to whom awards are made under the 2003 Plan, the amount of any such award and the price and other terms and conditions of any such award. The 2003 Plan shall continue in effect until the date which is ten years from the date of its adoption by the board of directors, subject to earlier termination by our board. The board may suspend or terminate the 2003 Plan at any time.

The board determines the persons to whom options are granted, the option price, the number of shares to be covered by each option, the period of each option, the times at which options may be exercised and whether the option is an incentive or non-statutory option. No employee may be granted options or stock purchase rights under the 2003 Plan for more than an aggregate of 15,000,000 shares in any given fiscal year. We do not receive any monetary consideration upon the granting of options. Options are exercisable in accordance with the terms of an option agreement entered into at the time of grant.

The board may also award our shares of common stock under the 2003 Plan as stock purchase rights. The board determines the persons to receive awards, the number of shares to be awarded and the time of the award. Shares received pursuant to a stock purchase right are subject to the terms, conditions and restrictions determined by the board at the time the award is made, as evidenced by a restricted stock purchase agreement. As of March 25, 2004, 26,750,000 stock purchase rights have been granted under the 2003 Plan.

ITEM 11. SECURITY OWNERSHIP  
OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth the number and percentage of the 389,913,214 outstanding shares of our common stock which, according to the information supplied to us, were beneficially owned, as of March 25, 2004, by (i) each person who is currently a director, (ii) each executive officer, (iii) all current directors and executive officers as a group and (iv) each person who, to our knowledge, is the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our outstanding common stock. None of the individuals listed below own any options or warrants to purchase our common stock.

Except as otherwise indicated, the persons named in the table have sole voting and dispositive power with respect to all shares beneficially owned, subject to

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

community property laws where applicable. Beneficial ownership is determined according to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and generally means that person has beneficial ownership of a security if he or she possesses sole or shared voting or investment power over that security. Each director, officer, or 5% or more shareholder, as the case may be, has furnished us information with respect to beneficial ownership. Except as otherwise indicated,

28

we believe that the beneficial owners of the common stock listed below, based on the information each of them has given to us, have sole investment and voting power with respect to their shares, except where community property laws may apply.

Name and Address	Relationship	Common Shares
Saliba Private Annuity Trust (1) 115 S. Valley Street Burbank, CA 91505	5% Shareholder	52,173,990
Roger Kokozyon 4539 Haskell Avenue Encino, CA 91436	5% Shareholder	27,715,620
Iehab J. Hawatmeh 4125 South 6000 West West Valley City, Utah 84128	Director, Officer & 5% Shareholder	60,048,621 (2)
Raed Hawatmeh 10989 Bluffside Drive Studio City, CA 91604	Director & 5% Shareholder	27,790,530
Trevor Saliba (1) 13848 Valleyheart Drive Sherman Oaks, CA 91423	Director	1,750,000
All Officers and Directors as a Group (3 persons)		89,589,151

\* Less than 1%.

(1) Includes 7,164,620 shares held by the Saliba Living Trust. Thomas L. Saliba and Betty R. Saliba are the trustees of The Saliba Living Trust and Thomas L. Saliba is the sole trustee of The Saliba Private Annuity Trust. These persons control the voting and investment decisions of the shares held by the respective trusts. Mr. Thomas L. Saliba is a nephew of the grandfather of Mr. Trevor Saliba, one of our directors and officers. Mr. Trevor Saliba is one of five passive beneficiaries of Saliba Private Annuity Trust and has no control over its operations or management. Mr. Saliba disclaims beneficial control over the shares indicated.

(2) Includes 30,288,465 shares issuable in connection with an agreement between Mr. Hawatmeh and the Company for cancellation of debt owed to Mr. Hawatmeh. As of the date of this report, the shares had not been issued.

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans

The following table sets forth information about the Company's equity compensation plans, including the number of securities to be issued upon the exercise of outstanding options, warrants, and rights; the weighted average exercise price of the outstanding options, warrants, and rights; and the number of securities remaining available for issuance under the specified plan through March 25, 2004.

29

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding warrants, and rights	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants, and rights	Number remaining future equity co
Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders	0	0	
Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders	2001 Plan: 0 options 2002 Plan: 537,500 options 2003 Plan: 3,500,000 options	2001 Plan: 0 options * 2002 Plan: \$0.09/share 2003 Plan: \$0.01/share	2001 Plan 2002 Plan 2003 Plan
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,037,500</b>	<b>\$0.02/share</b>	

\* All options issued under this plan to date have been exercised.

### ITEM 12. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

In January, 2002, the Company entered into an agreement with Abacas under which the Company issued an aggregate of 19,987,853 shares of common stock to four of Abacas's shareholders in exchange for cancellation by Abacas of an aggregate amount of \$1,499,090 in senior debt owed to the creditors by the Company. The shares were issued with an exchange price of \$0.075 per share, for the aggregate amount of \$1,500,000.

In December, 2002, the Company entered into an agreement with Abacas under which the Company issued an aggregate of 30,000,000 shares of common stock to four of Abacas's shareholders in exchange for cancellation by Abacas of an aggregate amount of \$1,500,000 in senior debt owed to the creditors by the Company. The shares were issued with an exchange price of \$0.05 per share, for the aggregate amount of \$1,500,000.

During 2002, the Company entered into a bridge loan agreement with Abacas. This agreement allows the Company to request funds from Abacas to finance the build-up of inventory relating to specific sales. The loan bears interest at 24% and is payable on demand. There are no required monthly payments. During the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, the Company was advanced \$350,000 and \$845,000, respectively, and made cash payments of \$875,000 and \$156,258, respectively, for an outstanding balance on the bridge loan of \$163,742 and \$688,742, respectively.



## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

As of December 31, 2001, Iehab Hawatmeh had loaned us a total of \$1,390,125. The loans were demand loans, bore interest at 10% per annum and were unsecured. Effective January 14, 2002, we entered into four substantially identical agreements with existing shareholders pursuant to which we issued an aggregate of 43,321,186 shares of restricted common stock at a price of \$0.075 per share for \$500,000 in cash and the cancellation of \$2,749,090 principal amount of our debt. Two of these agreements were with the Saliba Private Annuity Trust, one of our principal shareholders, and a related entity, the Saliba Living Trust. The Saliba trusts are also principals of Abacas Ventures, Inc., which entity purchased our line of credit in May 2000. (See "Item 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Liquidity and Financing Arrangements.") Pursuant to the Saliba agreements, the trusts were issued a total of 26,654,520 shares of common stock in exchange for \$500,000 cash and the cancellation of \$1,499,090 of debt.

30

We used the \$500,000 cash from the sale of the shares for working capital. As a result of this transaction, the percentage of our common stock owned by the Saliba Private Annuity Trust and the Saliba Living Trust increased from approximately 6.73% to approximately 17.76%. Mr. Trevor Saliba, one of our directors and officers, is a passive beneficiary of the Saliba Private Annuity Trust. Pursuant to the other two agreements made in January, we issued an aggregate of 16,666,666 shares of restricted common stock at a price of \$0.075 per share in exchange for the cancellation of \$1,250,000 of notes payable by two shareholders, Mr. Iehab Hawatmeh (our president, a director and our principal shareholder) and Mr. Rajai Hawatmeh. Of these shares, 15,333,333 were issued to Iehab Hawatmeh in exchange for the cancellation of \$1,150,000 in debt. As a result of this transaction, the percentage of our common stock owned by Mr. Hawatmeh increased from 19.9% to approximately 22.18%.

In February 2000, prior to its acquisition of Vermillion Ventures, Inc., a public company, Circuit Technology, Inc., while still a private entity, redeemed 680,145 shares (as presently constituted) of common stock held by Raed Hawatmeh, who was a director of Circuit Technology, Inc. at that time, in exchange for \$80,000 of expenses paid on behalf of the director. No other stated or unstated rights, privileges, or agreements existed in conjunction with this redemption. This transaction was consistent with other transactions where shares were offered for cash.

In 1999, Circuit entered into an agreement with Cogent Capital Corp., or "Cogent," a financial consulting firm, whereby Cogent agreed to assist and provide consulting services to Circuit in connection with a possible merger or acquisition. Pursuant to the terms of this agreement, we issued 800,000 (pre-forward split) restricted shares (12,000,000 post-forward split shares) of our common stock to Cogent in July 2000 in connection with our acquisition of the assets and certain liabilities of Circuit. The principal of Cogent was appointed a director of Circuit after entering into the financial consulting agreement and resigned as a director prior to the acquisition of Circuit by Vermillion Ventures, Inc. on July 1, 2000.

Also, as of December 31, 2003 the company owed I&R Properties, LLC, the previous owner of our principal office and manufacturing facility, a total amount of \$374,001 in accrued rent. I&R Properties is a company owned and controlled by individuals who are officers, directors and principal stockholders.

Management believed at the time of each of these transactions and continues to believe that each of these transactions were as fair to the Company as could have been made with unaffiliated third parties.

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

### ITEM 13. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

Copies of the following documents are included as exhibits to this report pursuant to Item 601 of Regulation S-B.

Exhibit No.	Document
3.1	Articles of Incorporation (previously filed as Exhibit No. 2 to our 8-K dated July 1, 2000, Commission File No. 33-13674-LA, and incorporated herein by reference).
3.2	Bylaws (previously filed as Exhibit No. 3 to our 8-K dated July 1, 2000, Commission File No. 33-13674-LA, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.	Material Contracts:

31

- 10.1 Lease Agreement dated 2 November 1996 between I & R Properties, LLC and Circuit Technology, Inc. (previously filed as Exhibit No. 4 to our 8-K dated July 1, 2000, Commission File No. 33-13674-LA, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.2 Financial Advisory Agreement dated 12 May 1999 between Circuit Technology, Inc. and Cogent Capital Corp. (previously filed as Exhibit No. 2 to our Annual Report filed on Form 10-KSB for the year ending 12/31/00, Commission File No. 33-13674-LA, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.3 Form of Product Representative Agreement between CirTran Corporation and a Representative (previously filed as Exhibit No. 3 to our Annual Report filed on Form 10-KSB for the year ending 12/31/00, Commission File No. 33-13674-LA, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.4 Security and Loan Agreement dated April 6, 1998 between Imperial Bank and Circuit Technology, Inc. (previously filed as Exhibit No. 4 to our Annual Report filed on Form 10-KSB for the year ending 12/31/00, Commission File No. 33-13674-LA, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.5 Line of Credit Purchase Agreement dated May 1, 2000 between Imperial Bank and Abacas Ventures, Inc. (previously filed as Exhibit No. 5 to our Annual Report filed on Form 10-KSB for the year ending 12/31/00, Commission File No. 33-13674-LA, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.6 Assignment of Loan dated May 1, 2000 from Imperial Bank to Abacas Ventures, Inc. (previously filed as Exhibit No. 6 to our Annual Report filed on Form 10-KSB for the year ending 12/31/00, Commission File No. 33-13674-LA, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.7 Unsecured Promissory Note for \$73,000.00 dated November 3, 2000 from CirTran Corporation to Future Electronics Corporation (previously filed as Exhibit No. 7 to our Annual Report filed on Form 10-KSB for the year ending 12/31/00, Commission File No. 33-13674-LA, and incorporated herein by reference).

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

- 10.8 Unsecured Promissory Note for \$166,000.00 dated November 3, 2000 from CirTran Corporation to Future Electronics Corporation (previously filed as Exhibit No. 8 to our Annual Report filed on Form 10-KSB for the year ending 12/31/00, Commission File No. 33-13674-LA, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.9 Lock-Up Agreement dated November 3, 2000 between Iehab Hawatmeh and Future Electronics Corporation (previously filed as Exhibit No. 9 to our Annual Report filed on Form 10-KSB for the year ending 12/31/00, Commission File No. 33-13674-LA, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.10 Lock-Up Agreement dated November 3, 2000 between Raed Hawatmeh and Future Electronics Corporation (previously filed as Exhibit No. 10 to our Annual Report filed on Form 10-KSB for the year ending 12/31/00, Commission File No. 33-13674-LA, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.11 Lock-Up Agreement dated November 3, 2000 between Roger Kokozyon and Future Electronics Corporation (previously filed as Exhibit No. 11 to our Annual Report filed on Form 10-KSB for the year ending 12/31/00, Commission File No. 33-13674-LA, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.12 Registration Rights Agreement dated November 3, 2000 between CirTran Corporation and Future Electronics Corporation (previously filed as Exhibit No. 12 to our Annual Report filed on Form 10-KSB for the year ending 12/31/00, Commission File No. 33-13674-LA, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 32
- 10.13 Promissory Note and Confession of Judgment dated September 26, 2000 by Circuit Technology Corp. in favor of Arrow Electronics, Inc. (previously filed as Exhibit No. 13 to our Annual Report filed on Form 10-KSB for the year ending 12/31/00, Commission File No. 33-13674-LA, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.14 Promissory Note and Confession of Judgment dated November 16, 2000 by Circuit Technology Corp. in favor of Sager Electronics (previously filed as Exhibit No. 14 to our Annual Report filed on Form 10-KSB for the year ending 12/31/00, Commission File No. 33-13674-LA, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.15 Confession of Judgment dated November 3, 2000 by CirTran Corporation and Iehab Hawatmeh in favor of Future Electronics Corporation (previously filed as Exhibit No. 15 to our Annual Report filed on Form 10-KSB for the year ending 12/31/00, Commission File No. 33-13674-LA, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.16 Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims dated November 3, 2000 between CirTran Corporation, Iehab Hawatmeh and Future Electronics Corporation (previously filed as Exhibit No. 16 to our Annual Report filed on Form 10-KSB for the year ending 12/31/00, Commission File No. 33-13674-LA, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.17 Sublease dated 30 November 1998 between Colorado Electronics Corporation, LLC and Circuit Technology Corporation (previously filed

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

as Exhibit No. 10.17 to our Registration Statement on Form SB-2, Amendment No. 1, dated October 29, 2001, and incorporated herein by reference).

10.18Attornment Agreement dated 30 November 1998 among Sun Borne XII, LLC et al, Colorado Electronics Corporation LLC and Circuit Technology Corporation (previously filed as Exhibit No. 10.17 to our Registration Statement on Form SB-2, Amendment No. 1, dated October 29, 2001, and incorporated herein by reference).

10.19Form of Subscription Agreement entered into between CirTran Corporation and various subscribers pursuant to a debt settlement and private placement completed in January 2002 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 19, 2002, and incorporated herein by this reference).

10.20Settlement Agreement entered into on January 18, 2002 among Sunborne XII, LLC, CirTran Corporation et al. (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 19, 2002, and incorporated herein by this reference).

21. Subsidiaries of the Registrant

31. Certification of President and Chief Financial Officer

32. Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350

We did not file any reports on Form 8-K during the last quarter of 2002.

33

### ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

#### (1) AUDIT FEES

The aggregate fees billed for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, for professional services rendered by Hansen Barnett & Maxwell, for the audit of the registrant's annual financial statements and review of the financial statements included in the registrant's Form 10-QSB or services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for fiscal year 2003 and 2002 were \$54,975 and \$73,876, respectively.

#### (2) AUDIT-RELATED FEES

The aggregate fees billed for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, for assurance and related services by Hansen Barnett & Maxwell, that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the registrant's financial statements for fiscal year 2003 and 2002 were \$0 and \$0, respectively.

#### (3) TAX FEES

The aggregate fees billed for each of the fiscal years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, for professional services rendered by Hansen Barnett & Maxwell for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning, for those fiscal years were \$2,000 and \$8,675, respectively. Services provided included preparation of federal and state income tax returns.

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

### (4) ALL OTHER FEES

The aggregate fees billed in each of the fiscal years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, for products and services provided by Hansen Barnett & Maxwell other than those services reported above, for those fiscal years were \$0 and \$0, respectively.

### (5) AUDIT COMMITTEE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

Not applicable.

(6) If greater than 50 percent, disclose the percentage of hours expended on the principal accountant's engagement to audit the registrant's financial statements for the most recent fiscal year that were attributed to work performed by persons other than the principal accountant's full-time, permanent employees.

Not applicable.

### SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CIRTRAN CORPORATION

Date: August 16, 2004

By: /s/ Iehab J. Hawatmeh, President

34

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date: August 16, 2004

/s/ Iehab J. Hawatmeh  
Iehab J. Hawatmeh  
President, Chief Financial Officer and Director

Date: August 16, 2004

/s/ Raed Hawatmeh  
Raed Hawatmeh, Director

Date: August 16, 2004

/s/ Trevor Saliba  
Trevor Saliba, Director

35

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

The following financial statements of CirTran Corporation and related notes thereto and auditors' report thereon are filed as part of this Form 10-KSB:

Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants  
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2003 and 2002  
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2003 and 2002  
Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Deficit for the Years Ended December 31, 2003 and 2002  
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2003 and 2002  
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

F-1

HANSEN, BARNETT & MAXWELL

A Professional Corporation  
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS  
AND

BUSINESS CONSULTANTS  
5 Triad Center, Suite 750  
Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1128  
Phone: (801) 532-2200  
Fax: (801) 532-7944  
[www.hbmcpas.com](http://www.hbmcpas.com)

Registered with the Public Company  
Accounting Oversight Board

### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTING FIRM

To the Directors and the Stockholders  
CirTran Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of CirTran Corporation and Subsidiary as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' deficit, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of CirTran Corporation and Subsidiary as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has an accumulated deficit, has suffered losses from operations and has negative working capital that raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regards to these matters are also described in Note 2. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

As discussed in Note 1, the accompanying 2003 consolidated financial statements have been restated.

HANSEN, BARNETT & MAXWELL

/s/ Hansen, Barnett & Maxwell

Salt Lake City, Utah

March 11, 2004

F-2

### CIRTRAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31, 2003	December 2002
	-----	-----
	(AS RESTATED - NOTE 1)	
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 54,135	\$
Trade accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$28,876 and \$37,037, respectively	89,187	37,
Inventory	1,247,428	1,550,
Other	165,091	100,
	-----	-----
Total Current Assets	1,555,841	1,688,
	-----	-----
Property and Equipment, Net	577,603	865,
Other Assets, Net	10,390	12,
Deferred Offering Costs	26,000	13,
	-----	-----
Total Assets	\$ 2,169,834	\$ 2,580,
	-----	-----

Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT

Current Liabilities		
Checks written in excess of cash in bank	\$ 9,623	\$ 19,
Accounts payable	1,300,597	1,359,
Accrued liabilities	3,615,264	3,030,
Current maturities of long-term notes payable	1,964,021	1,059,
Notes payable to stockholders	31,838	20,
Notes payable to related parties	163,742	688,
	-----	-----
Total Current Liabilities	7,085,085	6,179,
	-----	-----
Long-Term Notes Payable, Less Current Maturities	-	295,
	-----	-----
Commitments and Contingencies		
Stockholders' Deficit		
Common stock, par value \$0.001; authorized 750,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding shares: 349,087,699 and 247,184,691 net of 3,000,000 shares held in treasury at no cost at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively		
	349,088	247,
Additional paid-in capital	12,876,941	11,089,
Accumulated deficit	(18,141,280)	(15,230,
	-----	-----
Total Stockholders' Deficit	(4,915,251)	(3,894,
	-----	-----
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Deficit	\$ 2,169,834	\$ 2,580,
	-----	-----

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-3

CIRTRAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

For the Years Ended December 31,		200
		-----
	(AS RESTATED - NOTE	
Net Sales	\$	1,215,24
Cost of Sales		(854,54
Writedown of carrying value of inventories		(160,00
		-----
Gross Profit		200,70
		-----



Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Operating Expenses	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	2,402,96
Non-cash employee compensation expense	137,50
Total Operating Expenses	2,540,46
Loss From Operations	(2,339,76)
Other Income (Expense)	
Interest	(571,04)
Other, net	(16)
Total Other Expense, Net	(571,21)
Net Loss	\$ (2,910,97)
Basic and diluted loss per common share	\$ (0.0)
Basic and diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding	277,068,17

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-4

CIRTRAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2003

	Common Stock		Additional	
	Number of Shares	Amount	Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Deficit
Balance - December 31, 2001	160,951,005	\$ 160,951	\$ 5,977,164	\$ (13,080,49
Shares issued for cash	6,666,667	6,667	493,333	
Shares issued for conversion of notes payable	36,654,519	36,654	2,712,436	
Exercise of stock options by employees	10,350,000	10,350	438,650	
Shares issued for conversion				

Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

of notes payable and accrued interest to related parties	30,000,000	30,000	1,470,000	
Shares issued to placement agent for equity line of credit	2,562,500	2,563	(2,563)	
Net loss	-	-	-	(2,149,81)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Balance - December 31, 2002	247,184,691	\$ 247,185	\$ 11,089,020	\$ (15,230,30)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Shares issued for accrued wages	500,000	500	9,500	
Shares issued for to equity line investor, net of fees (as restated - Note 1)	64,253,508	64,254	1,024,318	
Options granted to employees, consultants and attorneys	-	-	239,227	
Exercise of stock options by directors and employees	33,900,000	33,900	517,600	
Exercise of stock options by consultants and attorneys	3,249,500	3,249	(2,724)	
Net loss (as restated Note 1)	-	-	-	(2,910,97)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Balance - December 31, 2003 (As restated - Note 1)	349,087,699	\$ 349,088	\$ 12,876,941	\$ (18,141,28)
	-----	-----	-----	-----

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-5

CIRTRAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the Years Ended December 31,

Cash flows from operating activities

Net loss	\$	(2,910
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization		300

-----  
(As restated - No

Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Provision for loss on trade receivables	(8)
Provision for obsolete inventory	160
Cash paid for settlement of litigation	
Non-cash compensation expense	137
Loan costs and fees in lieu of interest on notes payable	120
Note payable issued as settlement of litigation expense	62
Options issued to attorneys and consultants for services	101
Payments made on behalf of the Company as settlement of a sublease agreement	
Legal fees paid on behalf of lender	
Changes in assets and liabilities:	
Trade accounts receivable	(43)
Inventories	143
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(63)
Accounts payable	(25)
Accrued liabilities	901
	-----
Total adjustments	1,787
	-----
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,123)
	-----
Cash flows from investing activities	
Purchase of property and equipment	(12)
	-----
Net cash used in investing activities	(12)
	-----
Cash flows from financing activities	
Change in checks written in excess of cash in bank	(9)
Proceeds from notes payable to stockholders	41
Payments on notes payable to stockholders	(30)
Proceeds from notes payable, net of cash paid for costs	1,605
Principal payments on notes payable	(194)
Proceeds from notes payable to related parties	350
Payment on notes payable to related parties	(875)
Proceeds from exercise of options and warrants to purchase common stock	301
Exercise of options issued to attorneys and consultants for services	
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	
	-----
Net cash provided by financing activities	1,189
	-----
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	53
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	
	-----
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 54
	-----

The accompanying notes are an integral part of

Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

these financial statements.

F-6

CIRTRAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (CONTINUED)

For the Years Ended December 31,

	-----	(As restated - Note
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information		
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$	54
Noncash investing and financing activities		
Notes issued for accounts payable and capital lease obligations	\$	34
Common stock issued for notes payable to stockholders	\$	
Common stock issued for deferred offering costs	\$	
Common stock issued for which the Company directed the proceeds to be applied as payment of notes payable	\$	1,134
Common stock issued for notes payable to related parties	\$	
Common stock issued for accrued interest payable to related parties	\$	
Accrued and deferred offering costs	\$	
Accrued interest converted to notes payable	\$	57
Stock options exercised for settlement of accrued interest and accrued compensation	\$	250
Common stock issued for accrued compensation	\$	10
Loan costs included in notes payable	\$	120
Fees withheld from notes payable for Equity Line Agreement	\$	47
Deferred offering costs withheld from notes payable proceeds	\$	26

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-7

CIRTRAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY  
NOTES CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

### NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A summary of the significant accounting policies consistently applied in the preparation of the accompanying financial statements follows.

**Nature of Operations** - CirTran Corporation (the "Company") provides turnkey manufacturing services using surface mount technology, ball-grid array assembly, pin-through-hole, and custom injection molded cabling for leading electronics original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs") in the communications, networking, peripherals, gaming, consumer products, telecommunications, automotive, medical, and semiconductor industries. The Company also designs, develops, manufactures, and markets a full line of local area network products, with emphasis on token ring and Ethernet connectivity.

**Principles of Consolidation**--The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of CirTran Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary, Racore Technology Corporation. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

**Revenue Recognition**--Revenue is recognized when products are shipped. Title passes to the customer or independent sales representative at the time of shipment. Returns for defective items are repaired and sent back to the customer. Historically, expenses experienced with such returns have not been significant and have been recognized as incurred.

**Cash and Cash Equivalents**--The Company considers all highly-liquid, short-term investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

**Inventories** -- Inventories are stated at the lower of average cost or market value. Costs include labor, material and overhead costs. Overhead costs are based on indirect costs allocated among cost of sales, work-in-process inventory and finished goods inventory. Indirect overhead costs have been charged to cost of sales or capitalized as inventory based on management's estimate of the benefit of indirect manufacturing costs to the manufacturing process. When there is evidence that the inventory's value is less than original cost, the inventory is reduced to market value. The Company determines market value on current resale amounts and whether technological obsolescence exists. The Company has agreements with most of its customers that require the customer to purchase inventory items related to their contracts in the event that the contracts are cancelled.

**Property and Equipment** --Depreciation is provided in amounts sufficient to relate the cost of depreciable assets to operations over the estimated service lives. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the life of the lease or the service life of the improvements. The straight-line method of depreciation and amortization is followed for financial reporting purposes. Maintenance, repairs, and renewals which neither materially add to the value of the property nor appreciably prolong its life are charged to expense as incurred. Gains or losses on dispositions of property and equipment are included in operating results.

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 was \$300,520 and \$470,849.

**Impairment of Long-Lived Assets** --The Company reviews its long-lived assets, including intangibles, for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. The Company evaluates, at each balance sheet date, whether events and circumstances have

Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

F-8

occurred that indicate possible impairment. The Company uses an estimate of future undiscounted net cash flows from the related asset or group of assets over their remaining life in measuring whether the assets are recoverable. As of December 31, 2003, the Company does not consider any of its long-lived assets to be impaired.

Checks Written in Excess of Cash in Bank--Under the Company's cash management system, checks issued but not presented to banks frequently result in overdraft balances for accounting purposes. These overdrafts are included as a current liability in the balance sheets.

Stock-Based Compensation -- At December 31, 2003, the Company has one stock-based employee compensation plan, which is described more fully in Note 12. The Company accounts for the plan under APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. During the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, the Company recognized compensation expense relating to stock options and warrants of \$137,500 and \$25,000, respectively. The following table illustrates the effect on net loss and basic and diluted loss per common share as if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, to stock-based employee compensation:

	Years Ended December	
	----- 2003 -----	
Net loss, as reported	\$ (2,910,978)	\$
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in net loss	137,500	
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards	(292,247)	
	-----	-----
Pro forma net loss	\$ (3,065,725)	\$
	-----	-----
Basic and diluted loss per common share as reported	\$ (0.01)	\$
	-----	-----
Basic and diluted loss per common share pro forma	\$ (0.01)	\$
	-----	-----

Income Taxes --The Company utilizes the liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under the liability method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and the carryforward of operating losses and tax credits and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. An allowance against deferred tax assets is recorded when it is more likely than not that such tax benefits will not be realized. Research tax credits are recognized as utilized.

Use of Estimates --In preparing the Company's financial statements in accordance

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

F-9

Concentrations of Risk -- Financial instruments, which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk, consist principally of trade accounts receivable. The Company sells substantially to recurring customers, wherein the customer's ability to pay has previously been evaluated. The Company generally does not require collateral. Allowances are maintained for potential credit losses, and such losses have been within management's expectations. At December 31, 2003 and 2002, this allowance was \$28,876 and \$37,037, respectively.

During the year ended December 2003, sales to two customers accounted for 29 percent and 11 percent of net sales. No individual customer account receivable balance at December 31, 2003 created a concentration of credit risk.

During the year ended December 2002, sales to three customers accounted for 11 percent, 12 percent, and 13 percent, each, of net sales. No individual customer account receivable balance at December 31, 2002 created a concentration of credit risk.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments --The carrying value of the Company's cash and cash equivalents and trade accounts receivable, approximates their fair values due to their short-term nature. The carrying value of the Company's notes payable also approximates fair value because notes are recorded at fair value plus any default provisions.

Loss Per Share -- Basic loss per share is calculated by dividing loss available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted loss per share is similarly calculated, except that the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding would include common shares that may be issued subject to existing rights with dilutive potential when applicable. The Company had 3,850,500 and zero in potentially issuable common shares at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. The potentially issuable common shares at December 31, 2003 were excluded from the calculation of diluted loss per share because the effects are anti-dilutive.

Reclassifications -- Certain 2002 amounts have been reclassified to conform with the 2003 presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on the previously reported net loss.

New Accounting Standards -- In May 2003 the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity", which requires that certain financial instruments be presented as liabilities that were previously presented as equity or as temporary equity. Such instruments include mandatory redeemable preferred and common stock, and certain options and warrants. SFAS 150 is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and is generally effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. The Company adopted the requirements of SFAS 150 in the

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

accompanying financial statements.

In November 2002, the FASB issued Financial Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others." FIN 45 sets forth the disclosures required by a guarantor in its financial statements about its obligations under certain guarantees that it has issued. It also clarifies that a guarantor is required to recognize, at the inception of a guarantee, a liability for the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee. The Company adopted the requirements FIN 45 in the accompanying financial statements.

Restatement of Financial Statements -- The Company has reclassified offering

F-10

costs related to the Equity Line of Credit from selling, general and administrative expenses to additional paid-in capital and interest expense related to notes payable to the Equity Line Investor related to selling, general and administrative expenses (see Notes 5 and 10) for the year ended December 31, 2003. The effects of the restatement were as follows:

	As Previously Reported	Effect of Restatement	Re
	-----	-----	-----
For the Year Ended December 31, 2003			
Selling, general and administrative expense	\$ 2,586,868	\$ (183,900)	\$
Loss from operations	2,523,665	(183,900)	
Interest expense	460,344	110,700	
Net loss	2,984,178	(73,200)	
Basic and diluted loss per common share	0.01	-	
As of December 31, 2003			
Deferred offering costs	\$ -	\$ 26,000	\$
Total assets	2,143,834	26,000	
Additional paid in capital	12,924,141	(47,200)	
Accumulated deficit	(18,214,480)	73,200	
Total stockholders' deficit	(4,941,251)	26,000	

### NOTE 2 - REALIZATION OF ASSETS

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which contemplate continuation of the Company as a going concern. However, the Company sustained losses of \$2,910,978 and \$2,149,810 for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, the Company had an accumulated deficit of \$18,141,280 and \$15,230,302, respectively, and a total stockholders' deficit of \$4,915,251 and \$3,894,097, respectively. In addition, the Company used, rather than provided, cash in its operations in the amounts of \$1,123,818 and \$1,142,148 for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.



## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Since February of 2000, the Company has operated without a line of credit. Many of the Company's vendors stopped credit sales of components used by the Company to manufacture products, and as a result, the Company converted certain of its turnkey customers to customers that provide consigned components to the Company for production. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

In addition, the Company is a defendant in numerous legal actions (see Note 8). These matters may have a material impact on the Company's financial position, although no assurance can be given regarding the effect of these matters in the future.

In view of the matters described in the preceding paragraphs, recoverability of a major portion of the recorded asset amounts shown in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets is dependent upon continued operations of the Company, which in turn is dependent upon the Company's ability to meet its

F-11

financing requirements on a continuing basis, to maintain or replace present financing, to acquire additional capital from investors, and to succeed in its future operations. The financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue in existence.

Abacas Ventures, Inc. ("Abacas") purchased the Company's line of credit from the lender. During 2002, the Company has entered into agreements whereby the Company has issued common stock to certain principals of Abacas in exchange for a portion of the debt. The Company's plans include working with vendors to convert trade payables into long-term notes payable and common stock and cure defaults with lenders through forbearance agreements that the Company will be able to service. During 2003 and 2002, the Company successfully converted trade payables of approximately \$2,986 and \$316,762, respectively, into notes. The Company intends to continue to pursue this type of debt conversion going forward with other creditors. As discussed in Note 10, the Company has entered into an equity line of credit agreement with a private investor. Realization of any proceeds under the equity line of credit is not assured.

### NOTE 3 - INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of the following:

	2003	2002
	-----	-----
Raw materials	\$ 1,114,445	\$ 1,363,276
Work-in process	130,810	170,724
Finished goods	2,173	16,553
	-----	-----
	\$ 1,247,428	\$ 1,550,553
	-----	-----

During 2003, write downs of \$160,000 were recorded to reduce items considered obsolete or slow moving to their fair market value.

Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

NOTE 4 - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment and estimated service lives consist of the following:

	2003	2002	Est Servi in
	-----	-----	-----
Production equipment	\$ 3,146,488	\$ 3,141,993	
Leasehold improvements	958,939	958,940	
Office equipment	639,375	631,645	
Other	118,029	118,029	
	-----	-----	
	4,862,831	4,850,607	
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	4,285,228	3,984,709	
	-----	-----	
	\$ 577,603	\$ 865,898	
	-----	-----	

F-12

NOTE 5 - NOTES PAYABLE

Notes Payable consist of the following:

Notes Payable

	2003	
	-----	-----
Notes payable to Equity Line Investor, no periodic interest, matures 70 to 131 days after issuance, (See below).	\$ 650,000	\$
Note payable to a company, interest at 8.00%, matured August 2002, collateralized by 3,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock currently held in escrow, in default.	115,875	
Note payable to a company, due in monthly installments of \$1,323, interest at 8.00%, matures May 2005, unsecured.	23,549	
Note payable to a company, due in monthly installments of \$5,185, interest at 8.00%, matures September 2004, unsecured.	41,484	
Note payable to a financial institution, due in monthly installments of \$9,462, interest at 8.61%, matures April 2004, collateralized by equipment.	215,516	
Note payable to a company, due in monthly installments		

Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

of \$6,256, interest at 8.00%, matured July 2003, collateralized by equipment, in default.	183,429
Note payable to a financial institution, due in monthly installments of \$9,000, interest at 13.50%, matures December 2004, collateralized by equipment.	161,109
Note payable to an individual, due in monthly installments of \$12,748, matures February 2006, interest at 10.00% unsecured, in default.	107,919
Note payable to a company, due in monthly installments of \$1,972, matures November 2005, interest at 8.00%, unsecured, in default.	87,632

F-13

Note payable to an individual, due in monthly installments of \$5,000, interest at a rate of 9.5%, matured May 2000, collateralized by all assets of the Company, in default.	85,377
Note payable to a finance corporation, due in monthly installments of \$4,127, interest at prime plus 3.00% (7.25% at December 31, 2002), matures December 2004, collateralized by equipment.	93,832
Note payable to a company, due in 18 monthly installments of \$1,460 followed by six monthly installments of \$2,920, interest at 6.00%, matured April 2003, unsecured, in default.	60,133
Note payable to a finance corporation, due in monthly installments of \$2,736, interest at 9.00%, matures December 2004, collateralized by equipment and trade accounts receivable.	55,831
Note payable to a finance corporation, due in monthly installments of \$562, interest at 9.00%, matures December 2004, collateralized by equipment and trade accounts receivable.	-
Note payable to a finance corporation, due in monthly installments of \$637, interest at 9.00%, matures December 2004, collateralized by equipment and trade accounts receivable.	-
Note payable to a bank, payable on demand, interest at 10.00%, unsecured.	36,901
Note payable to a finance corporation, due in increasing monthly installments of \$50 to \$5,443, interest at 12.00%, matures December 2004, collateralized by equipment and trade accounts receivable.	45,434
	-----
Total Notes Payable	1,964,021
Less current maturities	(1,964,021)
	-----

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Long-Term Notes Payable \$ - \$  
-----

Certain of the Company's notes payable contain various covenants and restrictions, including providing for the acceleration of principal payments in

F-14

the event of a covenant violation or a material adverse change in the operations of the Company. The Company is out of compliance on several notes payable, primarily due to a failure to make monthly payments. In instances where the Company is out of compliance, these amounts have been shown as current. Additionally, all default provisions have been accrued as part of the principal balance of the related notes payable.

Notes Payable to Equity Line Investor -- During 2003, the Company borrowed a total of \$1,830,000 from Cornell Capital Partners, LP, pursuant to nine unsecured promissory notes. The loans were made and the notes were issued from June 2003 through December 2003. In lieu of interest, the Company paid fees to the lender, ranging from 5% to 10%, of the amount of the loan. These fees have been recorded as interest expense. The fees were negotiated in each instance and agreed upon by the Company and by the lender and its affiliate. The notes were repayable over periods ranging from 70 days to 131 days. Each of the notes stated that if the Company did not repay the notes when due, a default interest rate of 24% would apply to the unpaid balance. Through December 31, 2003, the Company directed the repayment of \$1,180,000 of these notes from proceeds generated under the Equity Line Agreement, discussed in Note 10 below. At December 31, 2003, the balance owing on these notes was \$650,000. All notes were paid when due or before, and at no time did the Company incur the 24% penalty interest rate.

Subsequent to December 31, 2003, Cornell loaned the Company an additional \$500,000 pursuant to two additional unsecured promissory notes. The loans were made and the notes were issued in January through March 2004, bringing the total aggregate loans from Cornell to \$2,330,000. As before, in lieu of interest, the Company paid fees to the lender, ranging from 4% to 5%, of the amount of the loan. The fees were negotiated in each instance and agreed upon by the Company and by the lender and its affiliate. The notes were repayable over periods of 87 days and 88 days. Each of the notes stated that if the Company did not repay the notes when due, a default interest rate of 24% would apply to the unpaid balance. Subsequent to December 31, 2003, the Company directed the repayment of \$650,000 of these notes, consisting of the remaining \$650,000 owing on the loans made in 2003, from proceeds generated under the Equity Line Agreement, discussed in Note 10 below.

### NOTE 6 - LEASES

The Company conducts a substantial portion of its operations utilizing leased facilities consisting of a warehouse and a manufacturing plant. The lease was originally with a related party. In December of 2003, the related party sold the facilities to an unrelated party. The Company entered into a new ten-year lease agreement with an unrelated party.

The following is a schedule of future minimum lease payments under the operating lease:

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Year Ending December 31,

	-----	
2004	\$	203,688
2005		203,688
2006		203,688
2007		203,688
2008		203,688
Thereafter		1,018,440
	-----	
Total	\$	2,036,880
	-----	

The building lease provides for payment of property taxes, insurance, and maintenance costs by the Company. Rental expense for operating leases totaled

F-15

\$200,492 and \$200,992 for 2003 and 2002, respectively.

### NOTE 7 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Stockholder Notes Payable --The Company had amounts due to stockholders from two separate notes. The balance due to stockholders at December 31, 2003 and 2002, was \$31,838 and \$20,376, respectively. Interest associated with amounts due to stockholders is accrued at 10 percent. Unpaid accrued interest was \$6,900 and \$2,378 at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and is included in accrued liabilities. These notes are due on demand.

Related Party Notes Payable -- The Company had amounts due to Abacas Ventures, Inc., a related party, under the terms of a note payable and a bridge loan.

During 2002, the Company entered into a bridge loan agreement with Abacas. This agreement allows the Company to request funds from Abacas to finance the build-up of inventory relating to specific sales. The loan bears interest at 24% and is payable on demand. There are no required monthly payments. During the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, the Company was advanced \$350,000 and \$845,000, respectively, and made cash payments of \$875,000 and \$156,258, respectively, for an outstanding balance on the bridge loan of \$163,742 and \$688,742, respectively.

The balance due to Abacas related to the note payable was paid in full at December 31, 2002. The note accrued interest at 10%. The amounts owed were due on demand with no required monthly payments. This note was collateralized by assets of the Company. As discussed in Note 10, a significant amount of the Abacas note was converted to shares of the Company's common stock during 2002.

During the year ended December 31, 2002, Abacas completed negotiations with several vendors of the Company, whereby Abacas purchased various past due amounts for goods and services provided by vendors, as well as capital leases. The total of these obligations was \$316,762. In addition, Abacas agreed to deduct as an offset of the amount owed to Abacas of \$120,000, constituting the amounts paid by the Company as legal fees incurred by the Company as part of its negotiations with the Company's vendors. The Company has recorded this transaction as a \$316,762 non-cash increase and a \$120,000 non-cash payment to the note payable owed to Abacas, pursuant to the terms of the Abacas agreement.

The total principal amount owed to Abacas between the note payable and the bridge loan was \$163,742 and \$688,742 as of December 31, 2003 and 2002,

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

respectively. The total accrued interest owed to Abacas between the note payable and the bridge loan was \$230,484 and \$71,686 as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and is included in accrued liabilities.

### NOTE 8 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Settlement of Litigation -- During January 2002, the Company settled a lawsuit that had alleged a breach of facilities sublease agreement involving facilities located in Colorado. The Company's liability in this action was originally estimated to range up to \$2.5 million. The Company had filed a counter suit in the same court for an amount exceeding \$500,000 for missing equipment.

Effective January 18, 2002, the Company entered into a settlement agreement which required the Company to pay the plaintiff the sum of \$250,000. Of this amount, \$25,000 was paid upon execution of the settlement, and the balance, together with interest at 8% per annum, was payable by July 18, 2002. As security for payment of the balance, the Company executed and delivered to the plaintiff a Confession of Judgment and also issued 3,000,000 shares of common stock, which are currently held in escrow and have been treated as treasury

F-16

stock recorded at no cost. The fair value of the 3,000,000 shares was less than the carrying amount of the note payable. Because 75 percent of the balance had not been paid by May 18, 2002, the Company was required to prepare and file with the Securities & Exchange Commission, at its own expense, a registration statement with respect to the escrowed shares. The remaining balance has not been paid, and the registration statement with respect to the escrowed shares has not been declared effective and the Company has not replaced the escrowed shares with registered free-trading shares pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreement; therefore, the plaintiff filed the Confession of Judgment and proceeded with execution thereon. The Company is currently negotiating with the plaintiff to settle this obligation without the release of the shares held in escrow.

In connection with a separate sublease agreement of these facilities, the Company received a settlement from the sublessee during May 2002, in the amount of \$152,500, which has been recorded as other income. The Company did not receive cash from this settlement, but certain obligations of the Company were paid directly. \$109,125 of the principal balance of the note related to the settlement mentioned above was paid. Also, \$7,000 was paid to the Company's legal counsel as a retainer for future services. The remaining \$36,375 was paid to the above mentioned plaintiff as a settlement of rent expense.

During September 2002, the plaintiff filed a claim that the \$109,125 portion of the payment was to be applied as additional rent expense rather than a principal payment on the note payable. The Company estimates that the probability of the \$109,125 being considered additional rent expense is remote and disputes the claim. The Company intends to vigorously defend the action.

Litigation - During 2000, the Company settled a lawsuit filed by a vendor by issuing 5,281,050 shares of the Company's common stock valued at \$324,284, paying \$83,000 in cash and issuing two notes payable totaling \$239,000. During 2002, the vendor filed a confession of judgement claiming that the Company defaulted on its agreement and claims the 2000 lawsuit was not properly satisfied. At December 31, 2003, the Company owed \$60,133 of principal under the terms of the remaining note payable. The Company denies the vendor's claims and intends to vigorously defend itself against the confession of judgement.

During 2003, an investment firm filed suit in the U.S. District Court, District

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

of Utah seeking finders fees, consisting of common stock valued at \$350,000 for allegedly introducing the Company to the Equity Line Investor. The case was previously dismissed in a New York court. The Company estimates that the risk of loss is remote, therefore no accrual has been made.

In December 1999, a vendor of the Company filed a lawsuit that alleges breach of contract and seeks payment in the amount of approximately \$213,000 of punitive damages from the Company related to the Company's non-payment for materials provided by the vendor. Judgment was entered against the Company in May 2002 in the amount of \$213,718. The Company has accrued the entire amount due under the judgment.

The Company has been a party to a lawsuit with a customer stemming from an alleged breach of contract. In July 2002, the Company reached a settlement with the customer in which the customer was to make payments from August 1, 2002, through October 29, 2002, to the Company totaling \$265,000. As part of the settlement, the Company returned inventory valued at \$158,010, settled receivables from the customer of \$287,277, settled payables owed to the customer in the amount of \$180,287 and sold inventory to a Company related to the customer for \$13,949. During 2002, the Company received the entire \$265,000.

F-17

During October 1999, a former vendor of the Company brought action against the Company alleging that the Company owed approximately \$199,600 for materials and services and pursuant to the terms of a promissory note. The Company entered a settlement agreement under which the Company is to pay \$6,256 each month until the obligation and interest thereon are paid. This did not represent the forgiveness of any obligation, but rather the restructuring of the terms of the previous agreement. At December 31, 2003, the Company owed \$183,429 for this settlement. The Company has defaulted on its payment obligations under the settlement agreement. Subsequent to year end, this claim was purchased by Abacus and recorded as an increase to the amount owed to Abacus under the terms of the bridge loan.

Judgment was entered in favor of a vendor during March 2002, in the amount of \$181,342 for nonpayment of costs of goods or services provided to the Company. At December 31, 2003, the Company had accrued the entire amount of the claim. The Company is currently in settlement negotiations with the vendor.

In December 1999, a vendor of the Company filed a lawsuit that seeks payment in the amount of \$44,269 for the cost of goods provided to the Company. The Company admits owing certain amounts to the vendor and has accrued the entire amount claimed as of December 31, 2003. No trial date has been set and the Company is currently negotiating a settlement of these claims.

During 2002, a vendor of the Company filed a lawsuit that seeks payment in the amount of \$31,745 for the cost of goods provided to the Company. The Company has accrued the entire amount claimed. No trial date has been set. Subsequent to year end, this claim was purchased by Abacus and recorded as an increase to the amount owed to Abacus under the terms of the bridge loan.

An individual filed suit during January 2001, seeking to recover the principal sum of \$135,941, plus interest on a promissory note. The parties are presently negotiating settlement.

During March 2000, a vendor brought suit against the Company under allegations that the Company owed approximately \$97,000 for the cost of goods or services provided to the Company for the Company's use and benefit. The Company issued a

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

note payable to the vendor in settlement of the amount owed and is required to pay the vendor \$1,972 each month until paid. At December 31, 2003, the Company owed \$87,632 on this settlement agreement. The Company is currently in default on this obligation and is currently negotiating a new settlement agreement.

A financial institution brought suit against the Company during February 2000, alleging that the Company owed approximately \$439,000 for a loan provided to the Company for the Company's use and benefit. Judgment was entered against the Company and certain guarantors in the amount of \$427,292 plus interest at the rate of 8.61% per annum from June 27, 2000. The Company has made payments to the financial institution, reducing the obligation to \$215,516 at December 31, 2003, plus interest accruing from January 1, 2002. The Company is in default on this obligation and is negotiating for settlement of the remaining claims.

Suit was brought against the Company during April 2001, by a former shareholder alleging that the Company owed \$121,825 under the terms of a promissory note. A Stipulation for Settlement and for Entry of Judgment was executed by the parties wherein the Company agreed to arrange for payment of a principal amount of \$145,000 in 48 monthly installments. The Company made seven payments and then failed to make subsequent payments, at which time the shareholder obtained a consent judgment against the Company. The Company is currently in settlement negotiations with the former shareholder regarding the judgment.

F-18

Various vendors have notified the Company that they believe they have claims against the Company totaling \$193,604. None of these vendors have filed lawsuits in relation to these claims. The Company has accrued the entire amount of these claims and it is included in accounts payable.

The Company is the defendant in numerous legal actions, primarily resulting from nonpayment of vendor invoices for goods and services received, that it has determined the probability of realizing any loss is remote. The total amount of these legal actions is \$159,908. The Company has made no accrual for the legal actions and is currently in the process of negotiating the dismissal of these claims with the various vendors.

The Company is also the defendant in numerous immaterial legal actions primarily resulting from nonpayment of vendors for goods and services received. The Company has accrued the payables and is currently in the process of negotiating settlements with these vendors.

Registration Rights - In connection with the conversion of certain debt to equity during 2000, the Company has granted the holders of 5,281,050 shares of common stock the right to include 50% of the common stock of the holders in any registration of common stock of the Company, under the Securities Act for offer to sell to the public (subject to certain exceptions). The Company has also agreed to keep any filed registration statement effective for a period of 180 days at its own expense.

Additionally, in connection with the Company's entering into an Equity Line of Credit Agreement (described in Note 11), the Company granted to the equity line investor (the "Equity Line Investor") registration rights, in connection with which the Company is required to file a registration statement covering the resale of shares put to the Equity Line Investor under the equity line. The Company is also required to keep the registration statement effective until two years following the date of the last advance under the equity line. The Company has not yet filed such registration statement.



## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Accrued Payroll Tax Liabilities -- As of December 31, 2003, the Company had accrued liabilities in the amount of \$2,107,930 for delinquent payroll taxes, including interest estimated at \$393,311 and penalties estimated at \$230,927. Of this amount, approximately \$329,739 was due the State of Utah. During 2002, the Company negotiated a monthly payment schedule of \$4,000 to the State of Utah, which did not provide for the forgiveness of any taxes, penalties or interest. Approximately \$1,767,253 was owed to the Internal Revenue Service as of December 31, 2003. During 2002, the Company negotiated a payment schedule with respect to this amount, pursuant to which monthly payments of \$25,000 were required. The Company is currently renegotiating the terms of the payment schedule with the Internal Revenue Service. Approximately \$10,939 was owed to the State of Colorado as of December 31, 2003.

As of December 31, 2002, the Company had accrued liabilities in the amount of \$2,029,626 for delinquent payroll taxes, including interest estimated at \$304,917 and penalties estimated at \$229,285. Of this amount, approximately \$301,741 was due the State of Utah. Approximately \$1,716,946 was owed to the Internal Revenue Service as of December 31, 2002. Approximately \$10,939 was owed to the State of Colorado as of December 31, 2002.

### NOTE 9 - INCOME TAXES

The Company has paid no federal or state income taxes. The significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2003 and 2002, are as follows:

F-19

	2003	
	-----	-----
Deferred Income Tax Assets:		
Inventory reserve	\$ 261,177	\$
Bad debt reserve	10,771	
Vacation reserve	26,177	
Research and development credits	26,360	
Net operating loss carryforward	4,465,571	
Intellectual property	130,067	
	-----	-----
Total Deferred Income Tax Assets	4,920,123	
Valuation allowance	(4,843,751)	
Deferred Income Tax Liability - depreciation	(76,372)	
	-----	-----
Net Deferred Income Tax Asset	\$ -	\$
	-----	-----

The Company has sufficient long-term deferred income tax assets to offset the deferred income tax liability related to depreciation. The long-term deferred income tax assets relate to the net operating loss carryforward and the intellectual property.

The Company has sustained net operating losses in both periods presented. There were no deferred tax assets or income tax benefits recorded in the financial statements for net deductible temporary differences or net operating loss

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

carryforwards because the likelihood of realization of the related tax benefits cannot be established. Accordingly, a valuation allowance has been recorded to reduce the net deferred tax asset to zero and consequently, there is no income tax provision or benefit presented for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002.

As of December 31, 2003, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards for tax reporting purposes of approximately \$11,972,039. These net operating loss carryforwards, if unused, begin to expire in 2019. Utilization of approximately \$1,193,685 of the total net operating loss is dependent on the future profitable operation of Racore Technology Corporation under the separate return limitation rules and limitations on the carryforward of net operating losses after a change in ownership.

The following is a reconciliation of the amount of tax benefit that would result from applying the federal statutory rate to pretax loss with the benefit from income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2001:

	2003	2002
	-----	-----
Benefit at statutory rate (34%)	\$ (989,733)	\$ (730,935)
Non-deductible expenses	37,225	39,752
Change in valuation allowance	1,048,572	762,129
State tax benefit, net of federal tax benefit	(96,064)	(70,946)
	-----	-----
Net Benefit from Income Taxes	\$ -	\$ -
	-----	-----

F-20

### NOTE 10 - STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY

Common Stock Issued for Cash and Debt - Effective January 14, 2002, the Company entered into four substantially identical agreements with existing shareholders pursuant to which the Company issued an aggregate of 43,321,186 shares of restricted common stock at a price of \$0.075 per share, the fair value of the shares, for \$500,000 in cash and the reduction of principal of \$1,499,090 of notes payable and \$1,250,000 of notes payable to stockholders. No gain or loss has been recognized on these transactions as the fair value of the stock issued was equal to the consideration given by the shareholders. The Company used the \$500,000 cash as working capital.

Common Stock Issued for Conversion of Debt - Effective December 23, 2002, the Company entered into four substantially identical agreements with existing shareholders pursuant to which the Company issued an aggregate of 30,000,000 shares of restricted common stock at a price of \$0.05 per share, the fair value of the shares, for the reduction of principal of \$1,020,154 of notes payable and \$479,846 of accrued interest. No gain or loss has been recognized on these transactions as the fair value of the stock issued was equal to the consideration given by the shareholders.

Common Stock Issuance -- During June 2003, the Company issued 500,000 shares of restricted common stock to a relative of a director for \$10,000 of accrued

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

compensation owed to the director. The \$0.02 cost per share was equal to the market value of the Company's stock on the date the shares were issued.

Equity Line of Credit Agreement - In conjunction with efforts to improve the results of operations, discussed above, on November 5, 2002, the Company entered into an Equity Line of Credit Agreement (the "Equity Line Agreement") with Cornell Capital Partners, LP, a private investor ("Cornell"). The Company subsequently terminated the Equity Line Agreement, and on April 8, 2003, the Company entered into an amended equity line agreement (the "Amended Equity Line Agreement") with Cornell. Under the Amended Equity Line Agreement, the Company has the right to draw up to \$5,000,000 from Cornell against an equity line of credit (the "Equity Line"), and to put to Cornell shares of the Company's common stock in lieu of repayment of the draw. The number of shares to be issued is determined by dividing the amount of the draw by the lowest closing bid price of our common stock over the five trading days after the advance notice is tendered. Cornell is required under the Amended Equity Line Agreement to tender the funds requested by the Company within two trading days after the five-trading-day period used to determine the market price.

During the year ended December 31, 2003, the Company drew an aggregate amount of \$1,180,000 under the Equity Line Agreement, pursuant to draws on the equity line, net of fees of \$47,200 and prior offering costs of \$44,228, and issued a total of 64,253,508 shares of common stock to Cornell under the Equity Line Agreement. At the Company's direction, Cornell retained the proceeds of the draws under the Equity Line Agreement and applied them as payments on the notes to Cornell, discussed in Note 5 above.

Pursuant to the Equity Line Agreement, in connection with each draw the Company agreed to pay a fee of 4% of the amount of the draw to Cornell as consideration for its providing the Equity Line. Total fees paid for the year ended December 31, 2003 were \$73,200. Of these payments, \$47,200 was offset against additional paid in capital as shares were issued under the Equity Line Agreement and \$26,000 was classified as deferred offering costs at December 31, 2003. These deferred offering costs were offset against additional paid in capital as shares were issued under the Equity Line Agreement subsequent to December 31, 2003.

F-21

Subsequent to December 31, 2003, the Company drew an aggregate of \$650,000 under the Equity Line Agreement, net of deferred offering costs of \$26,000, and issued 30,075,515 shares of common stock to Cornell under the Equity Line Agreement. At the Company's direction, Cornell retained the proceeds of the draws under the Equity Line Agreement and applied them as payments on the notes to Cornell, discussed in Note 5 above.

### NOTE 11 - STOCK OPTIONS AND WARRANTS

Stock-Based Compensation - The Company accounts for stock options issued to directors, officers and employees under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations ("APB 25"). Under APB 25, compensation expense is recognized if an option's exercise price on the measurement date is below the fair value of the Company's common stock. For options that provide for cashless exercise or that have been modified, the measurement date is considered the date the options are exercised or expire. Those options are accounted for as variable options with compensation adjusted each period based on the difference between the market value of the common stock and the exercise price of the options at the end of the period. The Company accounts for options and warrants issued to non-employees at their fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("SFAS 123").

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Stock Option Plan - During February 2003, the Company adopted the 2002 Stock Option Plan (the "2002 Plan") with 25,000,000 shares of common stock reserved for issuance there under. Also, during November 2003, the Company adopted the 2003 Stock Option Plan (the "2003 Plan") with 35,000,000 shares of common stock reserved for issuance there under. The Company's Board of Directors administers the plans and has discretion in determining the employees, directors, independent contractors and advisors who receive awards, the type of awards (stock, incentive stock options or non-qualified stock options) granted, and the term, vesting and exercise prices.

Non-Employee Grants - During 2003, the Company granted options to purchase 5,250,000 shares of common stock to non-employees for services, prepaid services and in settlement of amounts owed for previous services at exercise prices of \$0.0001 per share. The options were all five year options and vested on the dates granted. 3,249,500 of these options were exercised for cash proceeds of \$525, leaving 2,000,500 options to non-employees outstanding at December 31, 2003.

Employee Grants - During the year ended December 31, 2003, the Company granted options to purchase 40,750,000 shares of common stock to directors and employees of the Company pursuant to the 2002 and 2003 Plans. These options are five year options that vested on the date of grant. The related exercise prices range from \$0.01 to \$0.14 per share. As of September 30, 2003, the Company had granted 5,000,000 more options under the 2002 Plan than were available under that plan. Prior to December 31, 2003, the Company rescinded the grant of those options through agreements with three option holders. 33,900,000 of these options were exercised during 2003 for \$301,500 of cash, \$175,000 of accrued interest and \$75,000 of accrued compensation, leaving 1,850,000 options outstanding at December 31, 2003.

During the year ended December 31, 2002, the Company granted options to purchase 10,350,000 shares of common stock to certain officers and employees of the Company pursuant to the 2002 and 2001 Plan. These options were five year options and vested on the date of grant. The related exercise prices range from \$0.03 to \$0.05 per share. During 2002 these options were exercised for \$424,000 of cash and \$25,000 of non-cash compensation leaving no options outstanding at December 31, 2002.

A summary of the stock option activity for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 is as follows:

F-22

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
	-----	-----
Outstanding at December 31, 2001	-	\$
Granted	10,350,000	\$
Exercised	(10,350,000)	
	-----	-----
Outstanding at December 31, 2002	-	
Granted	46,000,000	\$
Exercised	(37,149,500)	
Cancelled	(5,000,000)	
	-----	-----
Outstanding at December 31, 2003	3,850,500	\$
	=====	=====

Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Excercisable at December 31, 2002	-	\$
	=====	=====
Excercisable at December 31, 2003	3,850,500	\$
	=====	=====

The fair value of stock options was determined at the grant dates using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions for the years ended 2003 and 2002:

	2003	2002
	-----	-----
Expected dividend yield	-	-
Risk free interest rate	2.85%	3.78%
Expected volatility	338%	399%
Expected life	.10 years	.10 years
Weighted average fair value per share	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02

A summary of stock option and warrant grants with exercise prices less than, equal to or greater than the estimated market value on the date of grant during the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 is as follows:

F-23

	Options Granted	Weighted Average Exercise Price
	-----	-----
Year Ended - December 31, 2003		
Grants with exercise prices less than the estimated market value of the common stock	21,750,000	\$ 0.
Grants with exercise prices equal to the estimated market value of the common stock	23,000,000	\$ 0.
Grants with exercise prices greater than the estimated market value of the common stock	1,250,000	\$ 0.
Year Ended - December 31, 2002		
Grants with exercise prices less than the estimated market value of the common stock	2,500,000	\$ 0.
Grants with exercise prices equal to the estimated market value of the common stock	5,850,000	\$ 0.
Grants with exercise prices greater than the estimated market value of the common stock	2,000,000	\$ 0.

A summary of the stock options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2003 follows:

Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable		
Range of Exercise Price	Options Outstanding	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
\$0.0001	2,000,500	4.89	\$0.0001	2,000,500	
\$0.02	1,500,000	4.89	\$0.02	1,500,000	
\$0.14	350,000	0.67	\$0.14	350,000	

F-24

NOTE 12 -SEGMENT INFORMATION

Segment information has been prepared in accordance with SFAS No. 131, "Disclosure About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information." The Company has two reportable segments: electronics assembly and Ethernet technology. The electronics assembly segment manufactures and assembles circuit boards and electronic component cables. The Ethernet technology segment designs and manufactures Ethernet cards. The accounting policies of the segments are consistent with those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. The Company evaluates performance of each segment based on earnings or loss from operations. Selected segment information is as follows:

	Electronics Assembly	Ethernet Technology	T
2003			
Sales to external customers	\$ 1,050,090	\$ 165,155	\$
Intersegment sales	75,814	-	
Segment loss	(2,689,392)	(221,586)	
Segment assets	1,946,221	223,613	
Depreciation and amortization	295,439	5,081	
2002			
Sales to external customers	\$ 1,838,781	\$ 460,887	\$
Intersegment sales	179,451	-	
Segment loss	(1,890,097)	(259,713)	
Segment assets	2,342,881	237,434	
Depreciation and amortization	449,914	20,935	

Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Sales	2003	
	-----	-----
Total sales for reportable segments	\$ 1,291,059	\$
Elimination of intersegment sales	(75,814)	
	-----	-----
Consolidated net sales	\$ 1,215,245	\$
	-----	-----
Total Assets	2003	
	-----	-----
Total assets for reportable segments	\$ 2,169,834	\$
Adjustment for intersegment amounts	-	
	-----	-----
Consolidated total assets	\$ 2,169,834	\$
	-----	-----

F-25

NOTE 13 - REVENUES

All revenue-producing assets are located in North America. Revenues are attributed to the geographic areas based on the location of the customers purchasing the products. The Company's net sales by geographic area are as follows:

	2003	2002
	-----	-----
United States of America	\$ 1,206,510	\$ 2,291,946
Europe/Africa/Middle East	8,735	7,722
	-----	-----
	\$ 1,215,245	\$ 2,299,668
	-----	-----

NOTE 14 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

During January and February 2004, the Company granted options to purchase 10,750,000 shares of common stock to certain employees of the Company and to members of the board of directors pursuant to the 2003 Plan. These options vested on the date of grant. The related exercise price was from \$0.01 to \$0.015 per share, which was equal to the market value of the common stock on the dates granted. The options are exercisable through 2009. All options granted were exercised. The options were exercised for \$55,000 of cash, \$11,250 of accrued interest to directors and \$42,500 of accrued compensation. The Company estimated the fair value of the options at the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The following weighted-average assumptions were used in the Black-Scholes model to determine the fair value of the options to purchase a share of common stock of \$0.01: risk-free interest rate of 2.98 to 3.18 percent, dividend yield of 0 percent, volatility of 314 to 317 percent, and expected lives of 0.10 years.

During January and March of 2004, the Company issued \$500,000 of additional

## Edgar Filing: CIRTRAN CORP - Form 10KSB/A

notes payable to the Equity Line Investor for \$454,000 cash and \$46,000 in fees and offering costs. The notes are on the same terms as all other notes to the Equity Line Investor as described in Note 10.

Subsequent to December 31, 2003, the Company issued an additional 40,000,000 shares to escrow for future funding of the equity line of credit agreement (see Note 10).

Subsequent to December 31, 2003, the Company issued 30,075,515 shares of common stock from the escrowed shares under the Equity Line Agreement in lieu of payments of \$650,000 on notes payable to the Equity Line Investor.

Subsequent to December 31, 2003, Abacas completed negotiations with several vendors of the Company, whereby Abacas purchased various past due amounts for goods and services provided by vendors, as well as certain notes payable. The total of these obligations was \$805,613. The Company has recorded this transaction as a \$805,613 non-cash increase to the bridge loan owed to Abacas, pursuant to the terms of the Abacas agreement.